264

- (ii) A copy of the Review (Hindi and English versions) by the Government on the working of the Indian Institute of Mass Communication, New Delhi, for the year 1982-83.
- (3) A statement (Hindi and English versions) showing reasons for delay in laying the papers mentioned al (2) above.

[Placed in Library, See No. LT-8 89 84]

Indian Telegraph (Fifth Amendment) Rules 1984

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI V.N. GADGIL): I beg to lay on Table a copy of the Indian Telegraph (Fifth Amendment) Rules, 1984 (Hindi and English versions) published in Notification No. G.S.R. 679 in Gazettee of India dated the 30th June, 1984 under sub-section (5) of section 7 of the Indian Telegraph Act. 1885.

[Placed in Library, See No. LT-8490 84]

अध्यक्ष महोदयः आपको गलन इत्तला मिल गई है और आपने गलत कानून पढ़े हैं। यहां कालिंग अटैंशन डिस्कम नहीं होता।

MR. SPEAKER: You come to me if you have got anything more to say.

(Interruptions)

अध्यक्ष महोदय: आपने स्वयं अपने लिए कानून बनाए हैं। मैंने उनको नहीं बनाय। है और न वे अकेल गेरे लिए बने हैं।

That is under my consideration, I am not rejecting it.

े श्री हरीश कुमार गणवारः सर बड़ा गम्भीर विषय है।

MY. SPEAKER: Why don't you read books?

आप एक बात को बार-बार कह रहे हैं।

If you do not read them you do not follow them.

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL (Jaipur) : Sir, I want to raise a question of procedure with regard to the verbatim proceedings of the House, as prescribed in the rules add in the Directions given by you. Suppose I am allowed to say something, and I say something which is not derogatory or unparliamentary and after one minute you say "Not allowed", that means I am not allowed further. How is it ... (Interruptions) Let us understand it, let the reporters understand it and let the newspapers also understand it, because there is a lot of confusion. Suppose I say something, or Prof. Tewary says something, you permit us to say it for half a minute or so. Then you say "Not allowed: Shri Harikesh Bahadur".

MR. SPEAKER: After that it is not allowed.

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: Yester-day, I raised a point about Haji Mastan and Lala, and, after hearing me for some time, you said "Not allowed". I find there is not even a single line about it in the proceedings. Is it unparliamentary? 'Why should it not go on record?

MR SPEAKER: I will look into the point. आप 2● आदमी एक साथ बोलोगे तो कभी नहीं आएगा रेकाई पर।

SHRI HARIKESH BAHADUR (Gorakhpur): Everything what they have spoken is on record. Whatever we have said is not on record.

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: It should not be applied retrospectively.

एक माननीय सबस्य: उधर से भी तो एक साथ लोग बोल रहे के, उनका कैसे अा गया रेकाई पर?