Matters under sule 377 DECEMBER 9, 1980 Matters under rule 377

The actions of the LIC under Section 19(2) and 9A of the I.D. Act were successfully challenged in the Lucknow Bench of the Allahabad High Court. Another Writ Petition was filed in the Calcutta High Court challenging the validity of the LIC's actions under 19(2) and 9A of the I.D. Act and the Central Government's action under Section 49 and 11(2) of the LIC Act. Having lost the case in the Allahabad High Court, the LIC went in for appeal to the Supreme Court. The writ petition pending in the Calcutta High Court was also transferred to the Supreme Court. At preliminary stage of the hearing, the Supreme Court had passed order that in the event of the LIC appeal not surviving, the employees should be paid bonus along with 12 per cent interest.

299

On November 10, 1980, the Supreme Court by its judgment held all the actions of the LIC and the Government of India illegal and ultra vires the Constitution and struck down the orders depriving the employees of their right to bonus.

But now strangely enough report has appeared in a section of the press that secret confabulations are going on in the concerned Ministries to see to it that the effects of the Supreme Court judgment are nullified and employees are not paid any bonus, even the bonus that has legally accrued to them for the years 1978-79 and 1979-80. To a delegation of the All India Insurance Employees' Association, the LIC Chairman was reported to have told on 11-11-80 that in view of the Finance Ministry telephonically instructing him not to pay the bonus, he was unable to pay.

I urge upon the Government not to engage in such methods. What is involved in this issue is not merely the question of payment of bonus to 43,000 LAC employees only, but also far more basic and fundamental and that is whether this Government will submit to the judicial interpretation of law enacted by the Parliament or it will arrogate to itself also the power of interpreting the laws irrespective of the judgments and interpretation by the highest judiciary in this country.

200

(VII) REPORTED GROWING GANGSTERISM IN CERTAIN PARTS OF BOMBAY

SHRIMATI PRAMILA DANDA-VATE (Bombay North Central): Mr. Speaker, Sir, on 7th December, 1980, a serious episode occurred in Bombay which constitutes a grave threat to the freedom of press.

The 'Mumbai Sakal' a popular Marathi daily published from Bombay has been exposing the growing gangsterism in World and Prabhadevi areas of Bombay where gangsters openly move with swords terrorising people. My letters of complaint to the Police Commissioner of Bombay regarding this gangsterism has produced no result so far.

Some elements probably engaged by the exposure of gangsterism through the columns of 'Mumbai Sakal' burnt the tempo carrying copies of the Marathi daily on 7th December, 1980. The driver escaped and was, therefore, saved from being burnt alive. This is an assault on the freedom of press.

I request the Minister of Home Affairs to instruct the authorities concerned to ensure that such acts of vandalism are prevented and freedom of press protected.

(viii) SUPPLY OF MAIDA TO TAMIL NADU

\*SHRI C. PALANIAPPAN (Salem): Mr. Speaker, Sir, under Rule 377, I wish to raise the following matter of urgent public importance. In Tamilnadu there are thousands of cottage units producing pappad, bun, biscuits etc., which are common people's delicacies. To give an example, in Salem district alone, there are 120 cottage units producing pappads in which more than 3000 people are working, out of whom about 2800 are women.

"The original speech was delivered in Tamil.