SHRI RASABEHARI BEHERAcontd.

of people have been rendered homeless. They have lost their cattle wealth worth several lakhs of rupees.

In view of such enormous loss, I make a fervent appeal to the Government to rehabilitate the people to a safe place. A central team should visit the flood-affected areas to assess the loss and sanction advance relief assistance forthwith.

I demand that the Government should take special measures to avert recurring floods in this area. This is a question of life and death of more than 50,000 people. The raising of embankments on both sides of these rivers should be taken on war-footing.

14.05 hrs.

243

APPROPRIATION (No 3) BILL, 1980

MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN): I beg to move:*

"That the Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for the services of the financial year 1980-81 be taken into consideration."

भी मुल चन्द डागा (पाली) 🖫 म्राज सरकार को बहुत बड़ी रकम कंसालिडेटिड फंड में से दी जा रही है। मैं एक बात कहना चाहता हूं। बजट का पहला ग्रसर तो यह हम्रा है कि महंगाई म्राज काफी बढ़ गई है। हम लोगों ने यह सोचा था--

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU (Diamond Harbour): Sir the Appropriation Bill covers all grants and for all Ministers. Kindly have a look around, at your right. Except the Finance Minister, there is nobody. This is most unfor-

tunate. Mr. Speaker was making observations about Members not being present during the Question Hour, not realising that they have other programmes. But the Ministers herefor whose Ministries grants have been voted—are not present. This is most improper.

MR DEPUTY SPEAKER: The Minister is giving a reply. (Interruptions)

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: You cannot obstruct the proceedings of the House like this.

SHRI RAVINDRA VARMA (Bombay North): Sir, it has been the practice in the House that since some Ministries get guillotined and questions may be raised about those respective Ministries, the Ministers responsible for these Ministries, particularly, always took care to be present. This courtesy has always been extended by the Cabinet to the House. It is regrettable that this practice has not been followed this time.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I have been briefed on all these points, and the officers of the Departments are here. Cabinet responsibility is a joint responsibility, and as long as I am able to answer the questions, the other side should have no objection.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Motion moved:

"That the Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain sums from and out of the Consolied Fund of India for the services of the financial year 1980-81, be taken into consideration."

Mr. Mool Chand Daga.

भी मूल चन्द डागा (पाली) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, वित्त मंत्री ने 1417 करोड़ रुपये के घाटे का बजट पेश किया है। यह घाटे की म्रथं-व्यवस्था कहां तक जायेगी, इसका पता एक साल बीतने

^{*}Moved with the recommendation of the President

पर लगेगा । मैं समझता हं कि इसके कारण महंगाई काफ़ी बढ़ गई है भीर इससे हिन्दुस्तान के गरीब भादमी को बहुत कम राहत मिली है।

राजस्थान में ग्राठ लाख लोग प्रकाल से पीड़ित हैं । वे काम कर रहे हैं, लेकिन उन्हें बराबर दो महीनों से प्रनाज का भुगतान नहीं हुन्ना । केन्द्रीय सरकार उन्हें प्रनाज देने में प्रसमर्थता जाहिर कर नुकी है। इस लिए या तो राजस्थान को अनाज देना होगा या 15 करोड़ रुपये की धनराणि देनी होगी। राजस्थान की प्रार्थिक हालत ऐसी नहीं है कि वह 15 करोड़ रुपये की धनराशि दे सके।

हमारे बजट का उद्देश्य एक ही मालूम होता है कि हम ग्रागेनाइज्ड सैक्टर को ठीक रखना चाहते हैं । हमारा ग़र-योजना खुर्चा बढ़ता जा रहा है भीर उस पर कोई रोक नहीं लगाई जा रही है । 1979-80 में हमारा वैज बिल था 11,396 करोड़ रुपये, ग्रीर इस साल वह बढ़ कर 13,310 करोड़ रुपये हो गया है, ग्रर्थात् एक साल में वेज बिल 1,914 करोड़ रुपये के करीब बढ़ चुका है । इसी तरह ग्रोवर-टाइम एलाउंस की रकम भी काफ़ी बढ़ चुकी है। करोड़ों रुपये प्रोवर-टाइम एलाउंस के रूप में दिये जाते हैं, लेकिन उसके बावजद सरकारी कर्मचारियों में एकिशेसी नहीं पाई जाती है भीर लोगों के साथ ठीक व्यवहार नहीं होता है। हमारे प्रशासन के तरीके में कोई परिवर्तन नहीं म्राया है। ज्यों ही महंगाई बढ़ती है, सरकारी कर्म-चारियों का महंगाई भत्ता बढ़ जाता है। लेकिन गांवों में 40 प्रतिशत गरीबी की रेखा के नीचे रहने वाले लोगों को मिनि-मम वेजिज भी नहीं मिलती है। प्रश्वारों में चर्ची होती है कि बीड़ी वर्कर्ज को तीन रूपये रोज भी नहीं मिल पाते हैं। भाज

माधिक विषमता, डिसपैरिटी, बढ़ रही है। क्या सरकार के पास ऐसा कोई तरीका है, जिससे यह विषमता कम हो सके? हिन्दुस्तान में बजट का केवल एक मतलब नहीं होना चाहिए कि हम बजट के द्वारा केवल सरकारी नौकरों की संख्या बढ़ा दे, उनकी तनख्वाहें ज्यादा बढ़ा दें, उनको बोनस ग्रेच्युइटी सब कुछ दे दें लेकिन उनकी एफिश्येंसी बढ़ाने की तरफ, उनकी काम करने की योग्यता बढ़ाने की तरफ कोई ध्यान न दें। म्राज कौन इस तरफ ध्यान देता है ?

बोनस की बान की जाती है कि बोनस देना चाहिए ग्रान दि बेसिस ग्राफ प्रोडक्टिविटी। ग्रभी तक मेरी समझ में नहीं ग्राया कि प्रोडिक्टिविटी का क्या प्राधार होगा। हम रेलवे कर्मचारियों को बोनस देना चाहते हैं लेकिन ग्राज रेलवे की क्या हालत है? इसी तरह पी० एंड टी० विभाग को भौर दूसरे विभागों को बोनस देने की बात की जाती है, लेकिन यह किस लिए? ग्राज ग्राप हालत देखिए उन मुहकमों की। म्राज टेलीफोन की क्या हालत है? तो क्या बोनस का यही मतलब है कि जो प्रपनी मावाज उठाए उसको खुश करने के लिए चुंकि वह ग्रागेंनाइज्ड लेबर हैं, या एलाइड सेक्टर के लोग हैं, वह खुश रहें इसके लिए बोनस दिया जाना चाहिए? इस तरह से तो हमारे बजट का जो परपज है वह सकसेसफूल नहीं हो सकता। महात्मा गांधी ने सोचा था कि गरीबों को सारी राहत मिलेगी । उनके सपने का स्वराज्य वह था जिसमें गरीबों को वह सब चीजें मिलेंगी जो धनी व्यक्ति को मिलती हैं। लेकिन भाज गरीबों को वह चीजें मिलना तो दूर रहा, उनकी हालत भौर गिर रही है।

एक शिक्षा के क्षेत्र को ही ले लीजिए। में ने एक बात देखी कि प्राटिकिल 49 में कहा गया है कि प्राइमरी एजुकेशन कम्पलसरी JULY 24, 1960

[श्री मूल चन्द डागा]

होती चाहिए दस साल के पीरिग्रड के ग्रंदर। लेकिन प्राज कितने साल बीत गए, प्राइमरी ए बुकेशन भाज भी कम्पजसरी नहीं हो सकी है। हम लोग ग्रपनी धनराशि एडल्ट एज्केशन में लगाना चाहते हैं। उससे क्या होगा कि जो हमारी भ्रीलाद पैदा हों रही है गांवों में वह ग्रनएजुकेटेड रह जायगी भीर फिर उसको मागे चल कर प्रौढ शिक्षा देंगे। उस ग्रार्टिकल की जो धारणा थी कि हम लोगों को शिक्षा देंगे उसके लिए ग्राज 30 साल के बाद भी हम दावे के साथ नहीं कह सकते हैं कि हम ने संविधान के उस ग्राटिकिल को लागु कर दिया। शिक्षा के क्षेत्र में जो ग्राज हमारे स्कूलों की ग्रीर विश्व-विद्यालयों की दशा है, वह तो भगवान ही जानते हैं कि कैसी हालत हमारी हो रही है। जाब स्रोरिएंटेड एज्केशन स्राज नहीं है। लाखों भ्रीर करोड़ों की संख्या में वेकार लोग हैं।

इसके ग्रलावा ग्राप देखें कितनी घटनाएं ग्राज दहेज की होती हैं, कितनी चोरी ग्रीर डकैती होती है। इसका कारण क्या है? ग्राइडल माइंड इज डेविल्स वर्कशाप। ग्राज करोड़ों की संख्या में लोग बेकार हो गए हैं। हमें उन्हें एम्पलायमेंट गारंटी देनी चाहिए या कोई बेरोजगारी का भत्ता देना चाहिए। लेकिन वह हम नहीं दे सके।

माननीय मंत्री के खुद के उत्तर से मालू होता है कि कितनी रकम हमारी लोगों पर इनकम टैक्स की बकाया है। 5 लाख की धनराशि के केसेज इनकम टक्स बकाया के 5250 हैं। पांच लाख से दस लाख तक के 892 हैं और ग्रोवर दस लाख के केसेज 2149 हैं। ग्राज 965 करोड़ रुपया तो इनकम टैक्स का बकाया है। यह मंत्री महोदय के उत्तर से मालूम होता है कि इनकम टैक्स की इतनी बड़ी रकम बकाया है ग्रीर कोई कहने वाला या सोचने वाला नहीं है।

इसके ग्रलावा बजट के मंदर मीर जो योजनामों में खर्चा बढ रहा है वह तो बढ़ ही रहा है। में एक बात कहना दाहता हं, मुझे मालुम नहीं कि गवर्नमेंट किस प्रकार निर्णय लेती है। मैं जब पांचवीं लोक सभा में था तो मैंने एक छोटा सा सवाल पूछा था कि जो सैनिक भ्रापके यहां पाकिस्तान के ऐज प्रिजनर रह गये हैं उनका खर्चा कैसे लेगे तो डिफेंस मिनिस्टर ने कहा था कि सारा खर्चा उनसे वसूल किया जाएगा। वह कूल खर्चा हम्रा था 3 करोड 15 लाख 10 हजार रुपया। वह कैसे वसूल किया जायगा? जब वे सैनिक यहां रह कर चले गए तो ग्राप उत्तर दे रहे हैं कि ग्रभी इस सवाल को हम ने उस गवर्नमेंट से उठा रखा है। 38 करोड़ रुपया खर्चा हम्रा पाकिस्तान के सैनिको के यहां रहने पर, जो यहां प्रिजनर की तरह रहे ग्रौर उनको ग्रापने लौटा दिया । उस समय शाप ने दहा था जो खर्चा हम्रा था वह हाप ले लेंगे । मैं ने प्रश्न किया तो ग्राप ने कहा कि वह उनसे ले लिया जायगा लेकिन ग्राज दस साल के बाद हमें प्रश्न करना पड रहा है कि 38 करोड़ रुपए का क्या हुम्रा, वह लिया या नहीं लिया। म्राज तक वह क्यों नही लिया

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Mr. Daga, you are on the third item. You have to come to the fourth item. You have finished with the Planning Commission item. You must now come to Ministry of Law, Justice and Company Affairs. With that, your time will be over.

श्री मूल चन्द डागा : न्यायालयों की बात ही ले लीजाए।

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL (Jaipur): Where is the hurry in passing the Finance Bill? It has to be passed within two months from the date of introduction. It had been introduced on 18th June We can pass it by 18th August even. श्री मूल चन्द हागा: ग्राज देश में न्याय सस्ता ग्रीर सुगम मिला या नही—इस पर जब ग्राप विचार करेंगे तो देखेंग कि लाखों की संख्या में हाईकोर्ट में कैसे पड़े हुए हैं। जजेज की 75 पोस्ट खाली हैं। फिर सस्ता ग्रीर सुगम न्याय किस तरह से मिलेगा? यहां पर ला मिनिस्टर साहद स्पीच देंगे तो कहेंगे कि मैं प्रोमीजर निकालूंगा जिससे सस्ता न्याय मिलेगा।

इना तरह से माज डाक्टरों की हड़ताले हो रही हैं इसलिए न तो माप सस्ता इलाज दे सकते हैं मौर न सस्ता न्याय दे सकते हैं, न ही कुछ मौर कर सकते हैं।

एक बात ग्रीर भी है। ग्रापने बैंकों पर माढ़े सात परसेंट ब्याज ग्रीर लगा दिया । इपका नतीजा यह होगा कि बर्त कम संख्या में लोग ऋण लेंगे क्यांकि उनकी भारी संख्या में व्याज देना होगा । इसकी वजह से हुमारी उत्पादन क्षमता भी घट जायेगी । ब्राप इण्डह्रोज बढ़ाना चाहते हैं श्रीर उसके लिए लोन भी देना चाहते हैं लेकिन बैंकों पर साढ़े सात परसेंट ब्याज बढ़ा देने से बना हालन होगी? ग्राप मेहरवानी करके इस पर सोचें। श्रापने खुद कहा था कि पब्तिक ग्रण्डरटेकिंग पर ध्यान रखें। मैंने पढ़ा ग्रीर देखा कि पंब्लिक ग्रण्डर-टेकिंज पर कितनी धनराशि व्यय होती है। पब्निक अण्डरटेकिन में जो डायरेक्टर हैं उन्ही ब्राज क्या हालन है ? 1978-79 की पृष्टिक अण्डरटेकिंग्ज से संबंधित रिपोर्ट म्राने देवी होगी कि किनना एक्स्ट्रावैगेन्ट खर्वा होता है। उस रिपोर्ट में बतलाया गया है कि 59 प्रण्डरटेकिंग्ज घाटे में चल रही हैं, करोड़ों का घाटा होता जा रहा है। वहां के जो डायरेक्टर हैं वे 10 परपेंट मीटिंग्ज भी भ्रटेण्ड नहीं करते हैं । बदुत सोव-विचार के बाद यह बात कही गई थी कि किसी तरह से मेम्बसँ ग्राफ पालियामेन्ट को पिन्तक ग्रण्ड रहेकिन

में डायरेक्टर के रूप में मीका देना चाहिए। पिंक्लिक श्रण्डरटेकिंग्ज कमेटी ने यह सजेस्चन दिया कि एम पीज को मौका दिया जाए लेकिन श्राप श्रपनी भूमिका ठीक से नहीं निभा सके ।

मैंने एक प्रका किया था कि पेट्रोल ग्रीर डीजल का खर्चा कैसे कम किया जायेगा । यहां पर मैं घ्रापकी तारीफ करूं उससे कुछ नहीं होगा, हिन्दुस्तान के कोने-कोने में बैठे हुए गरीब लोग जब म्रापकी तारीफ करेंगे तभी तारीफ होगी। 40 करोड़ लोग जोकि गरीबी की रेखा के नीचे जीवन बसर कर रहे हैं उनको जब राहत मिलेगी तभी आपकी तारीफ होगी । हम लोग यहां पर उनका प्रति-निधित्व करते हैं। गांवों में जो हालत है उसी को हम यहां पर कहना चाहते हैं ग्रापका जो बजट है वह श्रापने बड़ी खूबसूरती से बनाया है, उसके लिए धन्यबाद लेकिन वह तो बाद में मालूम होगा कि इसका क्या श्रसर हुमा । तेकिन मैं यह महना चाहता हूं कि जी हमारी उम्मीद थी कि लोगों को सस्ता न्याय मिलेगा इलाज सस्ता होगा श्रोर उचित शिक्षा मिलेगी, उसमें कोई सुघार होने वाला नहीं है । मैं पुनः कहन वाहता हूं कि भ्रगर कर्जा नहीं मिलेगा ती उत्पादन भी कम हो जायेगा । धन्यवाद ।

श्री भीन सिंह (झुन्झुनू): जपाध्यक्ष महोदय बजट पर बहस के दौरान कुछ ऐसे मुद्दे थे, जिनके बारे में कुछ नहीं कहा गया । मैं भापके द्वारा मंत्री महोदय का ध्यान तीन-चार भद्दों की भ्रोर भ्राकृषित करना चाहता हूं

श्राज श्रपने यहां से हजारों श्रादमी गल्फ कन्द्रीज में काम करने के लिए गए हुए हैं। खास तौर से वे श्रापके श्रानिंग मैम्बर्स हैं, जो श्रापको फारन-करेन्सी कमाकर भजते हैं। मेरी कान्स्ठी-चूर्येसी मुन्सुनू शौर सीकर, इन दोनों

डिस्ट्रिक्ट्स में बड़ौदा बैंक के द्वारा फरीब 10 लाख रुपया प्रतिदिन धपने मुल्क में मा रहा है। जब इन लोगों को वीजा के लिए रिक्ट किया जाता है, तो एजेंट्स इनको मिस-गाइड करते हैं। ये मजदूर माम तौर पर इल्लिट्टे घादमी हैं, बगैर पढ़े-लिख-कोई खाती हैं, कोई कारीगर है । प्रपने देश के प्रन्दर इन लोगों को वीजा देने के लिए एजेंट्स इनसे पांच हजार रुपया लेते हैं ग्रीर ग्राज यह राशि बढ़ कर नी हजार ह० तक चली गई है। इन मजदूरों को कहा जाता है कि तुम्हें गल्फ-कन्ट्रीज में जाने के लिए वीजा दिया है, लेकिन लिबिया में पहुंचा दिया जाता है । पिछले वर्ष राजस्थान ग्रीर गुजरात के 127 ग्रादिमयों को लीबिया पहुंचा दिया गया ग्रीर ऐसी कम्पनी में जगह दे दी गई कि छ: महीने तंक सिवाय खाना-खाने के उनको कुछ नहीं दिया गया । उनकी हालत यह हो गई थी कि वे टयूनिस भी नहीं जा सकते एम्बेसी को पुकारने के लिए । जब हम लोगों को मालूम हुआ तो हमने यह मामला विदेश मंत्री को मूव किया, तब बड़ी म् श्रिकल से जाकर उनको रिस्कयू किया जा सका ।

Appropriation

प्रभी हाल की एक बात में आपको बताता हूं । जैसी कि रिपोर्ट है, नागौर जिले के एक प्रादमी को, जैसा कि वहां को कम्पनी कहती है, इंडिया जाने वाले भ्रमुक जहाज के भन्दर बैठा दिया गया बा भीर जब हमने बम्बई में मालूम किया तो उस लिस्ट में उस व्यक्ति का नाम नहीं था । वह प्रादमी प्राज दो साल से मायब है। मेरा प्रापसे निवेदन है कि इनकी तीन समस्यायें हैं, जिनकी घोर मैं मंत्री महोदय का ध्यान भाकषित करना चाहता हूं। पहली तो यह है कि इन मजदूरों को एजेंटों के एक्सप्लायटेशन से

बचाने के लिए कोई मशीनरी सैटमप की जाए, जो उनको वाजिब खर्चे पर वीचा दिलाये भीर वो गत्फ-कन्द्रीच में जाकर काम कर सकें । दूसरी समस्या यह है कि वहां की एम्बेसीज में एक सैल बनाया जाए, जो मजदूर यहां से गल्फ-कन्ट्रीज के प्रन्दर, सऊदी घरव के प्रन्दर या मीबिया के प्रन्दर चले गए हैं, उनके वैल-फयर के लिए, उनको लुक-ग्राफटर करने के लिए ध्यान दे । प्राम तौर पर ये मजदूर तीन साल के लिए जाते हैं भीर तीन साल में करीब 60 हजार रुपया कमा पाते हैं इनको नार्मली 45 रु0 से लेकर 60 रु० प्रति दिन मिलता है भ्रीर करीब 200 रु० महीना ये भ्रपने खाने पर खर्च करते है तथा एवेज में में 1000-1200 रु हर महीने बड़ौदा बैंक के थ्रू ड्राफ्ट भजते हैं इस तरह से जो मजदूर 60 हजार रु० तीन साल में कमा कर भेजता है ग्रीर जब वह ग्रपने देश लौटता है, तो ग्रपने बच्चों के लिए कुछ चीजें खरीदता है, जैसे कपड़े, ट्रांजिस्टर ब्रादि तो एयरपोर्ट पर कसटम डिपार्टमेंट के लोग, इल्लिट्रेट होने को वजह से उनको एक्सप्लायट करते हैं। वहां के प्रधिकारी चार-चार पांच-पांच, छ:-छ: हजार ६० उनसे क्रस्टम इयुटी का चार्ज कर लेते हैं। प्रभी पिछले दिनों एक मजदूर से 13 हजार ६० तक लिए गए हैं भीर इसके बावजूद उनका सामान सीच कर लेते हैं । इसलिए नीसरी बात मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि जो मजदूर मापको 60 हजार रु० फारन-करन्सी का कमा कर भेजता है, को कम से कम उनको यह छूट होनी चाहिए कि जो सामान वें लेकर पाते हैं, जसकी लेकर वे प्रासानी से उत्तर सकें। इन तीन वातों की घोर में पाहुंगा कि नंती पहोदय ध्यान दें।

दूसरा प्वाइंट सीलिंग मान एग्रीकल्चर होल्डिंस से संबंधित है, जो डिसकस नहीं हुमा था । माप को इस बात की जानकारी होगी कि 23 जलाई, 1972 को, जब हमारे स्व० श्री फखरहीनधली प्रहमद हमारे एग्रीकल्चर मिनिन्टर छे, उन्होंने सारे रटेट्स के चीफ़ मिनिस्टसं 'की एक कान्फ्रेंस बुलाई थी भ्रीर उसमें उन्होंने गाइडलाइन्स दी थी, सीलिंग ग्रान एग्रीकल्चर होल्डिंग्स के ऊपर । जो सिलिंग के कानून जुदा-जुदा स्टेट के ग्रन्दर पहले से लैजिस्लेट किए हुए थे, उनमें यूनीफार्मिटी नहीं थी, जिस तरह का म्राऊट-लुक होना चाहिये, वह नहीं था । उस समय यह गाइड-लाइन्ज दी गई थीं, , डायरेक्शन्त्र दी गई थी कि सब स्टेट्स में यूनीफार्म टाइप का लाभ हो ग्रीर गाइड-लाइन्ज को स्ट्रिक्टली फोलो किया जाय। लेकिन मुझे दुख के साथ कहना पड़ता है कि बहुत सी स्टेटस में गाइड-लाइन्ज को फोलो नहीं किया गया।

में राजस्थान के बारे में निवेदन करना बाहुंगा, जो पुराना कानून था, जो 1960 में बना था, वह भी श्राज की तारीख में चालू है भ्रीर 1972 में जो गाइड-लाइन्ब दी गई भीर उन के भन्तगंत 1973 में जो सीलिंग का कानून बना, वह भी इन-फोसं है। नतीजा यह हुआ है कि जो फैसले पुराने कानून के तहत 10-15 वर्ष पहले किये गये थे, प्राज 15 साल बाद उन को री-आपन करने कै नोटिसिज दिये जा रहे हैं । कई का तकार इस बीच में मर गये हैं, उन बरै हुए काश्तकारों के नाम नोटिसिज जा रहे हैं । बहुत से काम्तकारों ने बिन्होंने बैंकों का लोन चुकाने के लिये वह मान कर कि जमीन का फैसला फाइनल हो चुका है, अपनी खमीन को बेच दिया था, वह जमीन मागे भी री-सेल हो चुकी है, भव पुराने काम्तकारों

को नोटिस दे कर केस को फिर से री-भ्रोपन किया जा रहा है। यहां यह भी बतलाना चाहता हं-मान लीजिये उस ने कुछ जमीन भपने पास रख ली थी ग्रीर कुछ बेच दी थी ग्रब यह कहा जा रहा है कि जो जमीन तुम ने बेच दी, वह तो तुम्हारी थी भीर जो मब तुम्हारे पास है उस को लिया जायेगा । कानून की यह मंशा नहीं थी कि इस तरह से जो लैंड होल्डर है, उस को नैंड-लेस बना दिया जाय

में एक सवाल भ्रीर उठाना चाहता हूं--मान लीजिये चार लड़के हैं, एक लड़का भ्रामीं में जाता है, दूसरा मैडिकल प्रोफेशन एडाप्ट करता है, तीसरा इन्जीनियर बनता है ग्रीर चौथा सिविल सर्विस में म्राता है-चारों को एब्रेज में 2 हजार रुपये माहवार कमाने का मौका मिलता है। लोक न जिस लडके ने बी॰ एस॰ (एम्रीकल्चर) किया है मौर वह सरकार पर बर्डन नहीं बना है, सरकार से कोई एइपलायमेंट नहीं माँगी है, ग्रपनी जमीन पर सैंटिल हो कर, संल्फ-एम्पलायह हो कर मुल्क की मदद कर रहा है, उस को हम कमाने का मौका नहीं देना चाहते हैं । मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि म्रब दूसरों को 1500 रुपये या 2000 रपये माहवार कमाने का मौका मिलता है, तो इसको भी वह ग्रपार्चु निटी मिलनी चाहिये ! सरकार की यह मंशा वभी नहीं हो सकती है कि कोई एग्रीकल्चर में बी०एस० सी० पास कर के टेटर्ड क्लोद्स में रहे। उस को भी पूरा मौका मिलना चाहिये कि एग्रीक्ल्चर में म्राज जितनी रिसर्च हो रही है, जितना खर्ची सरकार इन कामों पर कर रही है, वह उस ज्ञान की प्रपने काम में भी एप्लाई करे भीर देश को धच्छी प्रोडक्शन दे सके। नेशिन इस डिस्परिटी का नतीजा यह होगा कि कोई भी इन्टेलिजेन्ट घाटमी एग्रीकल्चर के प्रोफेशन मैं नहीं जायगा भीर को प्राके भीर प्रायोग्य लोग हैं या इल्डीट्रेंट खोन हैं

255

वे ही इस प्रोफोशन में रहेंगे। ऐसी हालत में आप की फूड-प्रोडक्शन की जो स्कीमें हैं, वे के से कामयाब होगी। इस लिये मेरा निवेदन है कि श्री फखरुदीन ग्रली ग्रहमद साहब के वक्त में जो गाइड लाइन्ज दी गई थीं— उन पर ग्रमल किया जाना चाहिये। मैं श्राप की जानकारी के लिये उस के सबक्लाज 9 की तरफ ग्राप का ध्यान दिलाना चाहता हूं। उस कान्फरेन्स ने यह कहा था—

Guidelines were drawn up on the basis of the conclusions of the Chief Ministers' Conference on ceiling on land holdings held on 23rd July 1972.

उस के लास्ट पैराग्र.फ का हैडिंग था ---''इम्पले मेंटेशन'' उस में कहा पथा है ---

"Implementation will be the responsibility of the State Governments. They would set up non-official bodies at appropriate levels and place competent officials and organisations in order to administer the ceiling legislation."

इस में एक और मेन्डेटरी बात कहते हैं---

"The concurrence of the Central Government will be obtained in respect of any incidental departure from the guidelines necessitated by the special conditions prevailing in any State or the Union Territory."

जब भी गाइड-लाइन्ज से डेविएट करेंगे तो उस में सेन्टर का इन्टरवेन्शन होगा, सेन्टर से मंजूरी लेनी होगी। लेजिन ऐसा नहीं किया गया। 1979 में जब राजस्थान हाई कोर्ट के सामने यह ईशू श्राया तो गवर्नमेंट से एफिडेविट लिया गया, जिस में गवर्नमेंट ने कहा कि पुराने कानून के श्रन्तर्गत सिर्फ 166 केसेज बाकी हैं, इस लिये मंजूरी दी जाये। लेकिन इस के श्रन्तर्गत 10-15 हजार मोटिसज भेजे गये श्रीर पुराने केसेज को रीश्रोपन किया गया। श्राम काश्तकार के

ऊपर जब इस तरह से तलवार लटकती है तो स्वाभाविक है वह खेती के काम में पूरी तरह से नहीं लग सकेगा। मेरा निवेदन है कि यह बहुत उचित समय है जब कि संन्टर को इस मामले में इंटरवीन करना चाहिये, दो कानून नहीं चलने चाहिये, एक ही कानून चलाना चाहिये।

तीसरी बात मैं सील्जर्स के बारे में कहना चाहता हं। माज स्थिति यह है कि हमारे मुल्क में करीब 1 लाख मार्म्ड फोर्सेज के जवान हर साल रिटायर किये जाते हैं। ग्राम तौर पर रिटायरमेंट के समय 35-40 साल की उम्म होती है। 18 साल की उम्म में रिकृट होते हैं भीर 17 साल उन् की सर्विस का पीरियड होता है। नामेंली 35 ग्रौर 40 साल की उग्र के बीच में उन को रिटायर मैंट मिल जाता है भ्रोर उस के बाद उन को 100 रुपये से 150 रू पेंशन के मिलते हैं या दूसरे इमोल्मेंट्स के नाम पर उन को इतना पैसा दिया जाता है। जै०सी० ग्रोज० ग्रीर धमीशन्ड ग्राफिसर्स जो हैं वे 50 साल के नीचे की उग्र में रिटायर होते हैं। ऐसी स्थिति में वे बच्चों का लालन-पालन कैसे कर सकते हैं। इस का नतीजा यह होता है कि उन की फीमली में फस्ट्रेशन पैदा हो जाता है। म्राप का जो यह जवान है, यह देश का कीम है भीर यह वह बादमी है जिस ने भ्रपनी जान देश की रक्षा के लिए शींकने के लिए भ्रोफर किया है। दूसरी तरफ़ हम यह देखते हैं कि जो सिवल सर्विस वासे लोग हैं, वे 55 ग्रीर 58 साल की उग्न में रिटायर होते हैं । बिगेडियर रैंक का जो म्राफिसर होता है माई०ए०एस० माफिसर होता है, यह 55 ग्रीर 58 साल की उम्र में रिटायर होता है ग्रीर सब से खराब बात तो यह है कि जब यह जवान 35, 40 की उम्म में रिटायर होता है, तो उस में जो बच्चे होते हैं वे इतने बड़े नहीं हो पाते हैं कि वे नीकरी कर के कुछ कमा सकें अविक दूसरी

257

तरक यह स्थित हैं कि जो सिविल सिवस का प्रादमी है या जो बैंक का चपरासी है, वह जब रिटायर होता है, तो उस के बच्चे बड़े हो जाते हैं प्रीर प्रपनी एजू केशन खत्म कर के सिवस में लग जाते हैं प्रीर वह जो धादमी है, ही हेज समिथिंग टू काउंट प्रपोन। जवानों के बच्चे इनने बड़े नहीं हो पाते हैं तो इस से बहुत बड़ा फ्रस्ट्रेशन जवानों के प्र-दर होता है।

एक दूसरी प्राब्लम जो इन लोगो के सामने ग्राई हुई है वह पैंशन लाज की है। जो ग्राज से बहुत पहले रिटायर हुए थे ग्रौर जिन की सर्विस पुरानी है, उन को पैंशन कम मिलती है ग्रीर उस के बाद लेटर इग्रर्स में जी ग्रादमी रिटायर हुए हैं उन की पैंशन फोंम टाइम टु टाइम रिवाइज होती रही है लेकिन वह जो पैंशन रिवाइज हुई है, वह रिट्रोसपेक्टिव इफेक्ट से रिवाइज नहीं हुई है । मैं ग्राप को एक कर्नल का उदाहरण देना चाहता हं। एक कर्नल जो कुछ माल पहले रिटायर हुआ था, उस को 400 हुपये पैशन के मिलते हैं लेकिन एक कर्नल जो ग्रब रिटायर हो रहा है, उस को 1000 रुपये पेंशन मिलेंगे। दोनों ही कर्नल हैं। एक ही केडर के हैं ग्रौर दोनों ने एक सी ही सर्विस की है ग्रपने कन्द्री के लिए, लेकिन यह डिस्पेरिटी है। इसलिए मेरा सुझाव यह है कि इस तरह की डिसपेरिटीज को दूर करने के लिए एक पेंशन कमीशन शिठाया जाना चाहिए ।'

करना है कि जो भ्रामों वालों की विडोज हैं, 1-1-64 में एक यह कानून भ्राया कि जो भ्रामों का जवान मर जाता है इविन नाट इन सविस, तो उस की विडो को फैमीली पेंशन लागू रहेगी, लेकिन 1-1-64 से पहले की जो पेन्शनर हैं, उन के लिए ऐसा कोई कानूम नहीं है कि उन की फैमिली के उनके खत्म होने के बाद उन को पैसा मिले । पैन्शनर के खत्म होने के बाद उन की फैमिली 1964 से पहले की जो विडो हैं, उन की 16 साल हो गये हैं भीर भ्रब किठने दिनों की वे भीर मेहमान हैं। तो जब 1-1-64 के बाद वाले जवानों की विडोज को पेंशन मिलती है, तो उन पहले वालो को भी मिलनी चाहिए।

इस के ग्रलावा ग्रभी ग्राप ने पेंशन-स्केल बढ़ाए हैं इन्डिपेडेंस के फीडम फाइटर्स के । तो यह जो जवान हैं, ये तो उस इन्डिपेंडेंस को कायम रखने वाले हैं। इन के पेंशन स्केल्स को भी बढ़ाया जाना चाहिए

एक वात और कहना चाहता हूं कि रावतभःटा का एटोमिक पावर प्रोजेक्ट राजस्थान में हैं भ्रीर यह प्रोजेक्ट करोड़ों रुपये की लागत से बनाया गया है भीर भाज भे 15 साल पहले इसका धर्माशन किया गया था लेकिन हर तीन महीने के भ्रन्दर यह फैल हो जाता है और नतीजा यह होता है कि इस का पर डे जो 40 लाख यूनिट का माऊटपुट है ग्रौर जो यह राजस्थान को देता है ग्रीर जो राजस्थान की रिववाधरमेंटस का धन-थर्ड है, वह भ्रबरप्टली बन्द हो जाता है, जिस के कारण एग्रीकल्चर के लिए कुन्नों को बिजली नहीं मिलती है श्रीर इंडस्ट्री के सामने भी प्रः ज्लम ग्राती है ग्रीर ग्राप जानते हैं देयर इस पावर ऋइसिस इन राजस्थान 🕡 तो मेरा निवेदन यह है कि गवर्नमेंट इस काम को देखें कि यह 15 साल में जो हर तीन महीने में फैल हो जाता है, तो इस में क्या टेक्नीकल फला है। उस फला को दूर किया जाए ग्रीर राजस्थान को पावर ऋइसिस से बचाया जाए ग्रीर जो करोड़ों रुपयों का नुकसान होता है, उस से भी बचा जाए।

बस इतना ही मुझे निवेदन फरना था।

श्री हुड्ण कुमार गोयल (कोटा) इ उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, जब वित्त मंत्री जी ने अपना वजट पेश किया था और उस भाषण में कुछ एक्साइज डूयूटीज पर छूट दी थी और

[भी कृष्ण कुमार गोयल]

मूछ को विदड़ा निया था, तो बड़ी खुणा क साथ खास कर सत्तारूढ दल की त्रीर से बेचों की वाजाया गया था और शाज जब इस एप्रोप्रियेशन विल पर वहस चल रही है, तो उसी सत्ताक्षारी दल की जोर से जो अभी माननीय सदरबद्धाशा जी बोले, यद्यपि जबान जितनी साफाहोनी चाहिए थीं, निटिकल हीनी चाहिए थी, उतनी नहीं थीं फिर भी जवान से जन्हीने इस वात को स्वीकार किया है कि बजट भाषण के अन्दर वित्त मंत्री जी ने जो हमें सुविधाए दी थीं विशेषकर के मृत्यों के स्थिरीकरण के बारे में उनसे हमें निराशा हुई है। बल्कि उन्होंने यहां तक भी वहां कि जो कुछ बित्त मंत्री जी ने कहा था उससे कहां तकः क्रागे वे जाएंगे ; खास कर उन्हें ने घाट ने वजर की बात चलाशी थी। घारे का वजर आपने 1470 करोड़ रुपये का बनावा है। यह कहां जा कर स्कगा, कहां तक जाएगा, यह तो समय ही वतायेगा।

यह इस से ही बात साफ हा जाती है कि ग्राज एक महीने के अन्दर अन्दर कांग्रेसजन निराशा अनुभव करने लगे है। उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, यह स्वाभाविक है कि कांग्रेस दल में, खास कर के जो नका रवत आया है, उस नये रक्त ने आशाएँ दी है, देश की जनता की कहा है कि अब हम सत्ता में आये हैं, हिमारी स'रका'र स्टेबल है, सब राज्यों में हमको स्टिब्लटी मिल गर्या है, अब हम वायदि पूरे करेगे। खास कर उन्होंने दो ही तो वाबद किये हैं--एक मृत्यों के दिखरीकरण का कि जन साधारण की जहरत की चीजें िषर भावों पर मुहैबा करायेगे, साते भावों पर दिलायेंगे और दूसरा वायदा उन्होंने क्रिया वालाएण्ड स्रार्डर की बनाये रखने का। ला एड आर्ड र के बारे में तो में इस 'समन कुछ नहीं कहुंगा, मृत्यों के वारे में कहना चाहुंगा। वया एकः गहानि ते कादर ही देश की रिवति इस प्रकार की नहीं वन गर्मा है ? जनवरी में इतपने शासन सभाला, 18 जन

को भापना दण्ट आया भी र शाक जुलाई के तीसरे स्वाहा ने अव्यर हम हैं। इन सारे स्वाहों के अव्यर साधारण व्यनुत्रों की कीमते वहां जा कर रहेची है ?

आज आप अस् को ले लीजिए। चाहें गंहू के मूल्य हों, चाहें चाट, ल के मूल्य हों, चाहे शहकार के मूल्य हों, चाहे दोलों वे मृत्य हों। उपाध्यक्ष जी, इगर इन दढ़ते हुए मूल्यों का फादला इगर किसान की जेव में जाता है लो सादद किसी की भी शार्यत्त नहीं है।

14.39 hrs.
[SHRI SHIVRAJ V. PATH. in the Chair]

आजदी मी हण्डं व्विटल गेहें है। इ.स. इसका फ.ट.या विकार का मिरुदा ने इ गर्ल फरल अच्छी हुई होती। आज आर स्प्ये विलो इतक र है। इतर यह बढ़ते हुए मृत्य श्वारों न वे सत्य ने हप में विसान को फिल सये होते तो श्रार देन की पराल धरछी हुई ़ीती। लेकिन याज याप सीजन में किसान के पास न गेहूं है न भीर ची जे है। श्राज सब ची जें जा कर के व्यापारियों है गौड़ामी में जमा है। इन बस्तुका के दढ़ते हुए मुल्य विसी पंजीपित को या उदच मध्यम वर्ग की तंग वरने वाले नहीं हैं। ये वहते हुए मुख्य जन साधारण की यौर लोधार मिडिल बलाह के लोगों की जिन की बंधी हुई आय है पित्रस इनक्स है, उनकी परेशान कर रहे हैं। बित्त मंत्री जी में किसी से ब्लनाकारने नहीं जाना चाहता. न अ ले चना या ही मेरा ट्रिट्रीण व्हा है। लेबिन ग्राज ग्राप ग्रापने मन से सी में कि जिस समय जनता पार्टी का रुल था इस समय प्राइस इन्हेन्स विस तन्ह से लगातार स्थिर रहा या। भाष 1977-78 और 1978-79 का होलसेल प्राइस इन्डेंब्स देखें जो कि लगभग बरावर दना रहा। चैधरी चरण सिंह जी के दर आने के बाद से निध्यत हम से उसमें व्हें तरी हुई। लेखिन ग्राप स्वयं ही इस का फैस ला करें वि जनवरी के ऋगदार जब से आदने शासर लंभ ला है दब से विस गृति

से होलसे सेल प्रदस इन्डेक्स बढ़ा है ग्रीर ख।स कर के ग्राप के बजट पेश करने के बाद्य से कित व बढ़ा है ?

ग्राज मेरे गास जो होलसेल प्राइस इ डेक्स के ग्रांकड़े हैं बे 28 जून तक के हैं। "सभावति जी मुझे यह जानकारी मिला। है कि सन्कार ने यह वहाना लगा कर के कि हम प्रइस इन्डेक्सिज का एक नया फार्मुला वनाने वाले है, एक नये ढंग से इन्डेक्सिज तयार करेंगे इसका छापना ग्रीर प्रकाणित करना बंद कर हिया है। मैं चाहूंगा कि वित्त मंत्री जी ग्रपने उत्तर में वताएं कि मेरी सूचना ठीक है या नहीं कि इस प्रकार के "डिकिस जो समय समय पर इण् किए जाते थे, बीकली किए जाते के उनको सहस्वार ने बन्द करवा दिया है

1970-71 का बंस यी अर मान कर 28 जुन को जो होलसेल प्राइस इंडैक्स था बह 249.9 था जबिक जिस समय बजट वेश किया गया थ . वह 242. 7 था। वेवल दस दिन के जनदर वजट पैश करने के बाद यह 7. 2 बढ़ गया। वित्त मंत्री जी ने वजट पेश करते हुए कहा थ. कि इससे इन फ्लेशन नहीं वहेगा, प्राइसिस नहीं बहेगी। जब उनको चैलेंज किया गय तब उन्होंने यहां दकः कह दिया था किया तो मैं सफल हो छंगा या फिर कुसी से हट आऊंगा। मैं नहीं चाहता कि वह कुर्सी से हुटें श्रीर न में ऐसा कह ने का दुस्साहस कर सकता... (इटः एशंज)में यह मानता हैं कि बित्त मंत्री जो एक योग्य व्यक्ति हैं। उनकी मजदूरियां हो सकती हैं। वह स्वयं एक च च्छे ग्रर्थ शास्त्री है। ग्रथं शास्त्री हाने के नाते वह फैल कुलेट वारें कि सभार दस दिन के अन्दर इसने प्वाइंट होल सेल डंइंक्स के अन्दर बद जाते है, तो यह क्या दर्शाता है। क्रप्रैले 1977 में जब जनता का शासन ग्राया उससमययहदं डेंक्स 184.1 था। जिस समय ची चरण सिंह ने वजट देश किया टी साल के वाद गार्च 1979 में तो यह 189.1 था। यानी लगात भरदो साल कं ऋन्दर इस में केवल पांच प्दाइंट के वृद्धि हुई। लेकिन 14

जून को यह 243,7 हो गया भीर 28 जून को यानी दस दिन के बाद इस में 7 प्बाइट्स की वृद्धि हो गई। इधरदो साल में बेवलपांच प्बाइंट्स का वृद्धि तुई भीर इधर दस दिन के अन्दर अन्दर 7 ध्वाईट्स की वृद्धि हो गई? यह किस बात का चौतक है शिगवर्नमेट को इस पर गंभं रता से सोचना चाहिये। जिस समय कांबेर शासन में आई जनवरी 1980 में उस समय यह इंडवस 226.2 जा और माज यह 249.9 हो गया है, उसको क्रांस कर च्वा है। इसके लिए दिल मंत्री जी कुछ भी कारण बताएं लेकिन इस बात को तो वह स्वीकार वरेंगे कि यह जो मृत्यों में वृदि हो रही है यह खास कर उन वस्तुओं के मुखों में हो न्हीहै जिन का सम्दन्ध साधारण जनता से है। यह एक चिन्ता का विषय है। सरकार को और से इस मृत्य वृद्धि की राविने के लिए कोई भी कदम नहीं उदाए जा रहे हैं!

श्रापने बजट के अन्दर अनेक प्रकार की छूटें देने की घोषणायें की थीं। में पूछना चाहता हूं कि जिन वरसुओं पर आपने एक्साइज इयूटीज में छूटें दी थीं या जिनवर हुओं पर से श्रापने इनकी विद्ड़ा किया था, क्या उसका लाभ उपभोवता तक पहुंचा है? दढ़ी हुई की मतीं में इन छूटों की वजह से क्या कोई कमी आई है या इन की मतों को नीचे लाने के लिए कोई कदम उठाए गए हैं? क्या इन छूटों का लाभ उपभोवता को मिला है? उपभोवता केवल वही मूल्य नहीं दे रहे हैं जो पहले दे रहे थे विश्वा आपके द्वारा की गई इन छूटों की, थोड़णा के बाद वे और भी ज्यादा मूल्य हीं वर्डुओं के दे रहे हैं की

म्राप दिस्ली के बाजारों में जा वर देख लें। सिव्जयों का वया हाल है? कोई सब्जी ग्राज पांच रुपये किलो से कम श्रापको नहीं मिलेगी। कोई दाल एसी नहीं है जिस को गरीव खाता हो और जो पांच और छ : रुपये किलो से कम हो। मसाले, खाने के तेल, इंधन घर के ग्रन्दर जो लक्ड़ी जलाने के काम श्राती है या कोयला—गैस की बात ग्राप छोड़ दे—

[त्री कृष्ण कुमार गोयल]

जितनो भी वस्तुए हैं जो दो जून रोटी खा कर जिन्दा रहने के लिए प्रावश्यक हैं, उन में से एक भी वस्तु ऐकी नहीं है जो कांग्रेस के शासन में म्राने के बाद भीर खास तौर पर बजट पेश करने के बाद सस्तो हुई हो। इसके विपरीत उनकी कीमतों में बहुत तेज गति से वृद्धि ही हई है।

में ग्रापके माध्यम से वित्त मंत्री का ध्यान एक ग्रवबार के उस समाचार की ग्रोर ग्राकाँषत करना चाहता हुं, जिसमें वस्तुग्रों के भावों में बढ़ोतरो की स्रोर इसारा किया गया है :--

"Unending price spiral

Prices of all essential commodities -sugar pulses oils, soaps, vegetables—have registered further in crease.

Sugar has touched an high—Rs. 8 per kilo—making it beyond the reach of the poor. Gur price has also almost doubled in the last one month Loose oil costs one rupce more per kilo. The Postman four kilo tin priced at Rs. 65.89 just before the budget, is now selling at Rs. 70.65. The four-kilo Kanodia oil tin is also seven rupees more expensive than it was at the time of the budget.

Prices of all the dals—arhar, moong gram, Rajma—have registered an increase of 10 per cent. Arhar dal and the poor mans dal, chana cost one rupee more per kilo after the budget.

Popular brands of soap—Lux, Hamam, Rexona, Lifebuoy, Rin, Sunlight—have become costlier by 10 paise per cake Prices of detergents have also been revised to make time of the budget is now Rs. 14.20. the one-kilo box more expensive by about a rupee. The two and a half kilo Vim, priced at Rs. 13.10 at the time of the budget is Rs. 14.20.

Baby foods are another whose prices are going up almost

These are the expressions made by the press.

मैं वित्त मंत्री से यह जानना चाहता हूं कि जो दिन-प्रति-दिन वस्तुएं ग्रधिक महंगी होती जा रही हैं, उसकी रोक-थाम के लिए उन्होंने क्या कदम उठाये हैं। वह या सम्बन्धित विभाग यह कह कर नहीं बच सकते हैं कि हम तो होर्डर्ज, प्राफिटियर्ज घीर ब्लक-मार्केंटियर्ज को जेल में डालने क लिए कानून बना चुके हैं, ग्रब यह राज्य सरकारों का काम है। एक या दो राज्य सरकारों को छोड दीजिए, अन्य राज्यों में, जहां वित्त मंत्री महोदय की पार्टी ने स्टेबिलिटी के नाम पर बोट मांगे थे, उनकी पार्टी का ही शासन है। उनके बारे में वित्त मंत्री का क्या कहना है ? इस सम्बन्ध में उन्होंने ग्राज तक क्या किया 윩?

मैं वित्त मंत्री स एक सवाल ग्रीर पूछना चाहता हं। यह ठीक है कि गई फसल में शुगरकेन का उत्पादन कम हुस्रा । लेकिन जितना शकर का उत्पादन कम हुन्ना, उसके मकाबले में शकर की कीमत 8 ग्रीर 9 रु० के वीच में हैं, क्या सरकार उसकी जस्टिफाई करती है ? मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि न्नाज तक सरकार ने लोक सभा या राज्य सभा में इस बात की स्पष्ट घोपणा वयों नहीं की कि चाहे हुळ भी कारण रहे हों, जब उसने ड्यूग्रल प्राइस सिस्टम लागू किया है, उसके बाद वह फी-सेल शूगर के लिए रीजनेबल प्राइस क्या मानती है । शूगरकेन महंगा उहा हो, शुगर का उत्पादन कम हुम्रा हो, लेकिन स्वयं केन्द्रीय सरकार फी-सेल शुगर की वाजिव प्राइस क्या मानती है, उसने भ्राज तक यह घोषणा नहीं की है। नहीं उसने यह घोषणा की है कि प्रगर मूल्य इससे प्रधिक बढ़े, तो सरकार कदम उठाँयेगा ।

मैं एक सवाल ग्रीर पूछना चाहंगा पब्लिक डिस्ट्रीब्यूशन सिस्टम के सम्बन्ध में। . . . (व्यवधान) . . . मैं यह जानना चाहूंगा कि

सार्वजिनक वितरण प्रणाली की बात जनता सरकार ने भी बहुत की ग्रीर ग्राप भी बहुत कर रहे हैं, मैं कैटेगोरिकली पूछना चाहूंगा कि क्या सरकार ने इस के लिए इस में कोई फंड एलोंकेट किया है ? श्राप एप्रोप्रिएशन के नाम से हम से पैसा ले रहे हैं। आप जितना मांग रहे हैं उस से चार सी करोड़ ग्रीर प्रधिक हम देने के लिए तैयार हैं। क्या ग्राप कह सकते है कि पब्लिक डिस्ट्रीब्युशन सिस्टम को स्ट्रेन्येन करने के लिए भ्राप ने कोई रकम इस में प्रोवाइड की है ? कही रकम का हवाला नहीं है। सिविल सम्लाइज डिपार्टमेंट ने जो भ्रपनी डिमांड पेश की है उस को देख कर ग्राप को दुख होगा ग्रीर मैं खास कर ग्रथने कांग्रेस के दोस्तों से कहना चाहंगा कि आज जिस वात को प्रशासन और सरकार बार-बार कह रही है कि सार्वजनिक वितरण प्रणाली को हम मजबत बनाएंगे उस के लिए कितना पैसा इस में मांगा है ? ग्राप ताज्जब करेंगे कि इस बजट के ग्रंदर नाफेड को डेढ़ करोड़ देने का तय किया है। वह भी इस बात के लिए कि सोयाबिन जो खरीद लिया है उस के लास को पूरा करने के लिए डेढ़ करोड़ रुपया दिया जायगा । यानी नाफेड की हालत सुधारने के वास्ते यह नहीं है। इसी प्रकार से एन०सी०सी०एफ०को केवल 90 लाख रुपया दिया है। कन्ज्युमर्स को-म्रापरेटिव को केवल साढ़े सात करोड़ रुपया दिया है। इस प्राविजन को रख कर सरकार विश्वास दिलाना चाहती है देश की जनता को कि हम जो जीवन की भ्रावश्यक वस्तुएं है उन को गरीब को मुहैया कराने के लिए अपने

सिस्टम को मजबूत बना रहे हैं? कोई इस का ग्राधार नहीं है। जिन वस्तुग्रों को ग्रभी ग्राप ने शामिल किया है पिंक्लिक डिस्ट्रीब्यूशन के ग्रंदर उन को देखें। मैं एक जानकारी चाहूंगा, यद्यपि बह चीजें इम्मैटिरियल है, जसे माचिस है, मैंच वापस की कितनी डिमांड है जो ग्राप दिस्ट्रब्यूट करने जा रहे हैं? एक्सरसाइज बुक्स हैं, कितनी डिमांड ग्राप के सामने ग्राई

है भीर कितनी डिमांड को भाप मीट करने वाले हैं ? स्रोप है, सोप की कितनी डिमांड है जो भाप देने वाले हैं ? ये सब वेंगटम्सं हैं भीर केवल कागज के ऊपर कार्यवाही है। सचमुच में स्थिति यह है कि कहीं पर भी पव्लिक डिस्ट्रीब्युमन सिस्टम के नाम से कोई कार्य-वाही नहीं हो रही है। यहां तक कि शक्कर भी भ्राज डिस्ट्रिक्ट केन्द्रों को नही पहुंच पा रही है। मैं तो एक जानकारी चाहंगा कि क्या यह सरकार जो भ्रपने भ्राप को समाजवाद का बड़ा भारी नेता कहती है, भाज सीमेट, करोसिन, पेट्रोल, गैस ये जो प्राफिटेबल श्राइटग्स है जिन पर काफी मुनाफा होता है, इन म्राइटम्स के लिए यह फैसला करने जा रही है कि इन सारी वस्तुओं को प्राइवेट एजेंसीज के हाथ से ले कर वेवल कोभ्रापरेटिव सेक्टर को देगे ? फिर ग्राप भ्राशा कर सकते है कोग्रापरेटिव सेक्टर से कि जिन वस्तुग्रों मे उन को नुकसान होने वाला है उस नुकसान की पूर्ति वह इन प्राफिटेबल भ्राइटम्स से कर सकते हैं। लेकिन मैं सोचता हूं कि इस प्रकार की कोई योजना नहीं है। उपभोवता श्रपने रहम पर है। सरकार भ्रपने भ्राप को निराश महस्स कर रही है। हाथ पर हाथ धरे चली जा रही है भीर सारी वस्तुभो के दाम बाजार के भीर मनमाने ढंग से बढ़ते चले जा रहे है। म्राप कोई सहारा नहीं दे सकते। जितनी भ्रच्छी बरसात इस साल हिन्दुस्तान में हुई है जसी कि रिपोर्ट ग्रा रही है उस से नेचुरल कोर्स में बाजार गिर जाने चाहिए। लेकिन दुख है कि भ्राज वस्तुओं के भाव न गिर कर बढ़ते चले जा रहे हैं। इन्हीं शब्दों के साथ में प्रपनी बात समाप्त करता हूं।

SHRI G. M. BANATWALLA (Ponnani): Sir, the Appropriation (No. 3) Bill, 1980 is before the House. I shall defer my comments on fiscal measures and economical policy till the consideration of the Finance Bill is taken up. Here I shall confine myself only to some of the important points. There are about 2.48 lakhs of extra-departmental employees of the

207

Posts & Telegraphs Department in this country. Their emolument3 range from a meagre Rs. 85 to Rs. 170 per month. Technically speaking, are part-time employees and are supposed to be not working for more than five hours in a day. However, the actual position is that they put in the same amount of work as regular employees but draw meagre emoluments and are not entitled to any service benfits on the ground that they are engaged mainly in rural areas where post offices and telegraph offices are not remunerative. This is discrimination and exploitation. must, therefore, urge on the Government that expeditious steps should be taken to departmentalise all Extra-Departmental employees in the Posts and Telegraph Department of country.

A number of States are once again victims of ravages of floods. Floods have occurred in the States of Assam, Gujarat, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Karnataka, Kerala, Punjab, Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal and Delhi. A₃ admitted by the Government, 15,36,145 people have been affected with a total damage to crops, houses and public utilities amounting to Rs. 45,17,47,000/-. These flood havoes are attributed to two causes. viz swelling of rivers because heavy downfall and the consequent inevitable excess discharge from the reservoirs. But I submit that there are several man-made causes also. There is a great need to pay proper attention to these man-made factors such as ill-planned or badly designed protection works, bunds, embankments and other structures constructed without considering the larger river flow and the sub-standard materials and poor construction works because of corruption. I hope these factors also will receive due consideration in the formulation of a comprehensive policy with respect to meeting these flood havocs.

There is also need for speedy central assistance to all the flood-hit States. The two States that always worst hit by these floods are Gujarat and Kerala. According the admission of the Government. Gujarat has suffered a loss of over Rs. 24,29,13,000/-. In the recent unprecedented floods in Kerala, nearly 42 human lives have been lost with 14,236 houses destroyed and 22,575 damaged. A preliminary estimate of loss is over Rs. 20,56,00,000 or about Rs. 21 crores. The Kerala Government has approached Government of India for immediate non-plan central assistance to the tune of Rs. 15 crores. I hope that this particular matter will receive serious and immediate attention of the Government. I must again emphasise that the assistance that is sought by Kerala and that should be given to the Kerala Government is in the nature of non-plan relief grant. 15.00 hrs.

(No. 3) Bill, 1980

Mr. Chairman, Sir I may refer also to the draft Annual Plan for Kerala for 1980-81. It envisages a total outlay of Rs. 265.40 crores. Later, because of the discussion with the Deputy Chairman of the Planning Commission, there was a revision of the Plan outlays and it now stands at Rs. 290.41 crores. But even for sustaining Plan of the original size of R₃. 265.40 crores, the Kerala Government committed to resource mobilisation to the extent of Rs. 22.50 crores. State has, therefore, made a special appeal to the Planning Commission to sanction additional Central assistance of Rs. 17.50 crores from Special Problems fund in addition to the earmarked assistance of Rs. 10 crores for specific ischemes under the new priority. I must urge upon the Planning Commission that the developmental and the financial problems and needs of the Kerala Government seriously considered and should be more Central assistance should, therefore be readily provided.

Mr. Chairman, Sir, the question of augmenting the flows of the Ganga is not only serious but brooks further delay in its solution. The

proposed construction of the Brahmaputra-Ganga link canal which expected in size to be six times—the length of the Suez canal and half a mile in breadth is a Herculian task. I must emphasise upon the Government the need to see that our differences with Bangladesh are sorted out and solved as early as possible. Since 75 per cent of the flow of the Ganga is from Nepal and since, as I understand, it was agreed in the 17th meeting of the Indo-Bangladesh Joint Rivers Commission that Nepal may be approached for necessary data and information, I must urge upon the Government that the vexed question of association of Nepal with augmentation studies be tackled in a pragmatic manner with necessary accommodation. It should be shorn of all rigidities and we should try to obtain the earliest solution for mutual benefits. If i3 necessary that our Government should not adopt unnecessary impractical rigidities in this particular matter.

Mr. Chairman, Sir, there is one more point I had to make and that was with respect to the change in the practice of appointing the Chief Justices of High Court. However, today we had the Call Attention on this and the matter was clarified by the hon. Minister for Law and therefore, I will not go into that particular point.

Sir, the problem of inter-State wage parity has assumed serious significance especially for Kerala which is a very industrially backward State. As a result of higher wage rates in the bidi industry in Kerala, the bidi manufacturers in Kerala are not in a position to compete with the manufacturers in the neighbouring States. As a result, we find a shift in the bidi industry from Kerala to other neighbouring States.

Similarly, consequent to the implementation of the minimum wage laws in the cashew industry, the cashew processors find it cheaper to get the

raw nuts processed in the neighbouring States. This has led to the smuggling of the raw nuts from Kersia and also it threatens the closure of several factories in Kerala. It is, therefore, a serious question.

Then again, because of the implementation of minimum wage laws in the handloom sector, the handloom products of Kerala are not in a position to compete in any market anywhere in India, or even in Kerala itself.

PROF. N. G. RANGA (Guntur): Why so?

SHRI G. M. BANATWALLA: Because, as I said, minimum wages are ensured to the handloom workers in Kerala, which is not done in the other neighbouring States. This pushes up the cost in Kerala as compared to the other States. Kerala should not be punished for being progressive in matters of labour laws and in ensuring that the workers receive their legitimate dues.

Similarly, in Kerala minimum wage, have been fixed for employment in fish peeling and fish canning, freezing and exporting of sea food and frozen legs. This has led to the steady migration of the industry from Kerala.

Kerala, as I said, is an industrially backward State. Therefore, this question of inter-State disparities in wages has become very serious in Kerala. I urge upon the Government to redouble its efforts to arrive at an early solution.

Finally, just a few words more. It is highly regrettable that India, while participating in the Twentysecond Olympics in Moscow, failed to express its protest against the Soviet military intervention in Afghanistan. Nearly 16 countries while participating in the opening ceremony, marched without their national flags and carried the Olympic flag instead, and ten of these countries were represented by solitary flag bearers as a token protest against

[Shri G. M. Banatwalla]

271

the said intervention. I am sorry that we have not been able to express our resentment and our disapproval against Soviet military intervention in Afghanistan where the situation was such that Soviet soldiers did not hesitate even to massacre school girls on the streets of Kabul. When such was the condition, we totally failed at the time of this Twentysecond Olympics in Moscow to given even a token expression to our resentment in keeping with our policy of nonalignment.

SHR! JYOTIRMOY BOSU (Diamond Harbour): This is a unique year in that no less than 25 Demands, including the Demands of the Lok Sabha and the Sabha, the Rajya President'3 Secretariat have been guillotined. Once a year the House gets an oportunity to discuss a Ministry. This year it has been done in such a manner that the ruling class cannot afford to listen to even minimum criticism of their activities and the blunders that they have been committing, and they have managed to ensure that these are guillotined.

I am not going to touch upon the election manifesto of the ruling party. Mr. Venkataraman know all about it.

He knows the game of politics, how to rule and how to remain in power. Sugar—Rs. 9 a kilo, it will be Rs. 10 tomorrow, and day after tomorrow Rs 11. He says: 'we shall take care of that.' Edible oils—while the international price is Rs. 450 a quintal, we are paying here Rs. 1500 a quintal. Increased cost of transport—they have taxed Rs. 2100 crores in fuel and fertiliser—Rs. 600 crores. It will all spiral down; Mr Venkataraman. however clever and cunning you may be, it does not take time to discover this; it will ultimately land on the common man. And we shall see how you face the electorate in the next elections. Central excise alone-Rs. 6500 crores....(Interruptions).

MR. CHAIRMAN: I will request the members not to interfere when

Mr. Bosu is speaking. Mr. Bosu, E will bring to your notice one thing.

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA (Tumkur): He should also confine to the Rules of Procedure.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I am doing the same thing.

Mr. Bosu, you may please hear what I am reading from the Rules of Procedure:

"The debate on an Appropriation Bill shall be restricted to matters of public importance or administrative policy implied in the grants covered by the Bill which have not already been raised while the relevant demands for grants were under consideration."

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Exactly. I have done that. Please do not interrupt me unnecessarily. The Central Excise grant amounting to Rs. 6500 crores, there, Mr. Venkataraman, your establishment and administrative structure is terribly under-staffed when compared to the direct taxes organisation. The relief that you have given is Rs. 35 crores. I would like to know whether the 20 per cent relief that you have given to Campa Cola has been passed on to the consumers?

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Bosu...

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: You don't keep on interrupting.

MR. CHAIRMAN: This is not the way to deal with the Chair. I am referring to the Rules and you have to follow the rules.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: I ams speaking within the Rules.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please follow the rules.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Congressman in the Chair.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The Chair will be entitled to take all the necessary action against you. This is not the way.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: I am telling you, you take. (Interruptions)

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: He has been referring to certain excise levies.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Let him 3ay that much. I shall have no objection. But if he is trying to impose his authority on the Chair, it is not good, it is not in the interest of the members.

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI MAGHANBHAI BAROT): I say that the remark made by the hon. Member namely? 'Congressman in the Chair' must be withdrawn. What business has he got to say as to who is in the Chair?

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: I will not withdraw. (Interruptions).

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE (Rajapur): That was a factual statement...

MR. CHAIRMAN: I expect that the senior members will help to maintain the dignity and decorum of the House. Otherwise, it is not in the interest of the members concerned.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: I shall show you respect, Mr. Chairman, but you must deserve it also.

MR. CHAIRMAN: It is not the respect that you are showing to the individual, you are showing it to the Chair.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Mr. Finance Minister, Kindly tell us whether the 20 per cent excise relief that you have given to certain types of beverages like Campa Cola has been passed on to the consumers or it is being swallowed by the privileged classes. How much refund has been allowed to Campa Cola people? Is it not amounting to more thn crore and a half rupees? Would he cover it in his reply? (Interruptions).

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA (Tumkur): He is going out of the scope of the debate. (Interruptions)

MR. CHAIRMAN: The Chair would be interested in helping all the members to make their points in the House. But, at the same time, the House is sometimes agitated when the points are repeatedly raised in the House. I would like to bring to the notice of the hon, senior member not to repeat the points extensively. If you refer to certain points, the Chair would certainly have no objection. But if you go on repeating them, that is not in order. I would request the senior members to help the Chair and the House, and everybody here, to that, the minimum time that is available to us its properly utilised and it is utilised for discussing the new points.

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: I am one with you with regard to the observation made. But I would like to know from you, for my own consumption, as to who referred on the floor of the House that an amount of Rs. 1.60 crores has been refunded to Campa Cola people. How is it a repetition? It is for the Government to reply.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Agarwal, you will kindly bear with me that it is not that every new point has to be raised or not to be raised in the House. Now, if a demand comes up for discussion and all the points which could have been discussed about that have not been discussed, if you take a demand like that...

SHRI G. M. BANATWALLA: All the points "which have been discused" not "could have been discussed". Kindly correct yourself.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Then all the time available to us will not be sufficient. You make use of time for making points, not for alleging anything against the Chair. It is not good to quarrel with the Chair.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: I would go to Grant Nos. 86 and 88 relating to the Department of Tourism and Civil Aviation. The amount voted by Parliament is Rs. 61.67 lakhs in one case and Rs. 33,92,85,000 (Revenue) and

[Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu]

Rs. 59,52,50,000 (Capital) in the other case. Let us deal with this subject first. This is a matter relating to demands of the Department which have been guillotined and no debate has taken place. The hon. Minister, Mr. A. P. Sharma, is here fresh with all the memories after returning from London. I am quite sure, he will be able to give a befitting reply to the things I wish to say.

The Air India has become the biggest, the densest, cesspool of curruption. If you read the Report of the Public Undertakings Committee, you will get an insight of Air India. The biggest gainer is Mr. Joginder Sangar, a General Sale3 Agent, drawing a cut of 13 per cent... (Interruption).

THE MINISTER OF SHIPPING AND TRANSPORT AND TOURISM AND CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI A. P. SHARMA): Sir, under rule 353 Mr. Jyotirmov Bosu has given notice to raise certain points. He is referring to the demands of the Civil Aviation Ministry which have been guillotined. I would simply draw your attention to the third line of his letter. He has stated, "I shall be bringing an allegation that has been brought to my notice." Mr. Bosu is not bringing an allegation himself. He is going to make certain allegations which have been brought to his notice.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: What does it matter?

SHRI A. P. SHARMA: Of course, he is free to bring any allegation he likes...

SHRI K LAKKAPPA: No, he cannot bring whatever he likes.

SHRI A. P. SHARMA: I maintain that Mr. Bosu, an Hon. Member of is House, is free to bring any allegation against the Civil Aviation and Tourism Ministry; he will be absolutely within his rights. But he must make the allegation: he should not say 'I will be bringing an allegation that has been brought to my notice'.

He has to make the allegation and he has to take the consequences of that allegation.

SHRI MAGANBHAI BAROT: He cannot be a carrier of allegations.

SHRI K. P. UNNIKRISHNAN: We take it that the Hon. Member is taking full responsibility for the allegation that he has made.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I could not hear the last part: will you please repeat it? What is the operative portion?

SHRI A. P. SHARMA: Mr. Bosu says he is going to bring a certain allegation 'that has been brought to his notice'. I am saying he cannot say that: if he is going to bring any allegation then he should take the responsibility for the allegation.

MR. CHAIRMAN: There is a procedure given in Rule 353 and it requires that he should give notice to the Hon. Speaker and he should inform the Hon. Minister also that he is going to raise certain objectionable points in the House or that he is levelling allegations against body in the Ministry or against a Member or against a Minister after obtaining permission he can do that. He has given a letter to the Hon. Speaker and the Hon. Speaker's order is like this: 'Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu has given notice that he would make some allegations and would lay on the Table certain documents during his speech on the Appropriation (No. 3) Bill, 1980. In accordance with the well established practice. Member should take the responsibility for the allegations to be made by him.' As regards the papers to be laid on the Table, these will be examined and, thereafter, I will decide whether they should be treated as laid on the Table'. (Interruptions). Copies of the documents given by Shri Bosu been sent to the Minister of Tourism and Civil Aviation.

SHRI MAGANBHAI BAROT: *Sir, I think I may be allowed... (Interruptions) I am intervening on a point

of order...(Interruptions, You are a senior Member and many a time we are reminding you that you are a senior Member.

Rule 353 says: 'No allegation of a defamatory or incriminatory nature shall be made by a member...'. There is no reference to his having heard indirectly or directly through somebody or to his passing on the information of somebody. If it is an allegation, the allegation must be by the Hon. Member hemself. That is precisely what the Hon. Civil Aviation Minister has said. (Interruptions).

Let him make the allegation.

SHRI K. P. UNNIKRISHNAN (Badagara): Sir, this oint of order has already been disposed of by you.

SHRI MAGANBHAI BAROT: No. Let him make the allegation—and not carry, like a postman, somebody's allegation.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I take it, Mr. Bosu is levelling this allegation with responsibility.

You say 'Yes' Mr. Bosu?

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Yes: I can give it in writing.

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: Ex en Rule 353 clearly says that no allegation, if there is an imputation or... (Interruptions).

I am not holding a brief for anybody. Why can't you listen to me? (Interruptions).

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Shastri, if you want this matter to be finished in no time and you want that he should continue, let me hear him and then say whatever I have to say.

SHRI A. P. SHARMA: I was making the point...(Interruptions) By your laughing, my point is not going to be overlooked.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Some people are laughing.

SHRI A. P. SHARMA: You are one of them.

I was making the point that, in his letter, he has very clearly stated that...(Interruptions).

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please take your seats. I will have to decide this. I will ask you, if I require your help. If I require it, I will certainly ask you.

SHRI A. P. SHARMA: In his letter he has stated that he shall be bringing an allegation that has been brought to his notice. He has said, "...that has been brought to my notice..." I am saying that he cannot, as my friend has stated now, carry somebody's allegations. Mr. Jyotirmoy Bosu must make the allegation himself and take the responsibility for it.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I should say this point, viz. bringing allegations against a person or levelling allegations against a person or charging a person with something, that there may be difference in using the phraseology or the words, but in a sense they are the same thing. At the same time. the hon. Member was asked whether he was prepared to take full responsibility, and he says that he is prepared to take full responsibility. All other formalities which should have been fulfilled, according to me, have been fulfilled, and hon Speaker has allowed him to raise it.

SHRI A. P. SHARMA: Responsibility for making the allegations. That means, Mr. Bosu is making the allegations. He is not carrying somebody else's allegation.

MR. CHAIRMAN: If Mr Bosu has been informed about certain things and on the basis of that information he is saying something, the rules require that he should have investigated into the matter and he should have come to a particular conclusion; and having come to the conclusion that what is going to state in the House is correct, he should state in the House. I had asked him whether he was prepared to take the responsibility, and silently he moved his head to say that he was...

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: I said it I stand by it.

Appropriation

MR. CHAIRMAN: ... and afterwards he has said that he takes the responsibility. In view of all these facts, I think, it would not be possible to... (Interruptions)

SHRI MAGANBHAI BAROT: Sir, I want to bring this to your notice. If Mr Jyotirmoy Bosu says that he has heard somebody say this or that somebody has told him this... (Interruptions) The question is who is the author of the allegation. If Mr. Bosu says, 'I make the allegation', then he is the author of the allegation. It is another matter, being a carrier of the allegation; in that case, he will say, "I only reported what I learnt", "I only conveyed to the House what was alleged somewhere". Here, the rules require that he should be the author of the allegation. Let him be the author. Then, we shall face it.

SHRI RAVINDRA VARMA: This is a matter of procedure; this is something which affects the procedure this House It has to go by the precedents of the past. The rule has been read out by the hon. Minister raised the point of order more than once. It clearly states:

"No allegation of a defamatory or incriminatory nature shall be made by a member against any person unless the member has given previous intimation to the Speaker and also to the Minister concerned, so that the Minister may be able to make an investigation into the matter for the purpose of reply."

In this case, the hon. Member written to the Speaker as demanded by this rule, and he has informed the Speaker that the proposes to make an allegation. When he writes to the Speaker...(Interruptions)

SHRI A. P. SHARMA: Let him say so, that he is making the allegation. (Interruptions)

SHRI RAVINDRA VARMA: is a serious matter affecting the rights of the House. This cannot be settled by shouting

(No. 3) Bill, 1980

MR. CHAIRMAN: Ravindra Mr. Varma, I have followed you...

SHRI RAVINDRA VARMA: The hon. Minister can repeat his argument, but you cannot hear me even once! I will sit down if you ask me to. I do not want to defy you

MR. CHAIRMAN: If you have anything more to say, I will be pleased to hear. I have only said that I have followed what you wanted to say

SHRI RAVINDRA VARMA: I was saying that, therefore, as provided for in the rules, the hon. Member has written to the Speaker giving intimation of his intention and providing such material as is necessary to enable the Speaker decide whether it should be permitted or not, and the Speaker, after looking into it, has permitted a reference to it on the basis of the letter he has written to the Speaker.

The rule, you will see, has two provisos under which the Speaker is competent to refuse him permission to make such a reference. One is that 'if, in the opinion of the Speaker such allegation is derogatory...(Interruptions)—I have my right to speak—to the dignity of the House and the other, that no public interests is served by making such allegation.

The hon. Speaker, I presume, as you do, has gone into it and then given the permission. Therefore, it is very clear that the conditions laid down in the rule have been fulfilled by the hon. Member and the Speaker has given his ruling on that.

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA (Tumkur): To interpret the provisions of Rule 353, Rule 353 clearly says that a member who makes an allegation against person should have the knowledge and he is taking full responsibility for that. My friend, Mr. Varma says that he is making the allegation according to rules and as per the letter he has written to the Minister You know, Sir, what he has written to the Minister? He has stated...

-28 I

SHRI NIREN GHOSH (Dum Dum): He is challenging your ruling.

AN HON MEMBER: How can it be allowed?

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: He has addressed to the Minister, 'I shall bringing in allegations that have been brought to my notice by somebody...' Sir, it is all hearsay. It is hyothetical and it is not direct. Therefore, the spirit of rule 353 has not been complied with. I can understand a member cleverly interpreting and playing a fraud not only on the floor of the House but also on the Chair by interpreting it in a different manner and thereby he may get away and escape from the responsibility. is a responsible member. I hope will, in all sense of responsibility, withdraw and he cannot make such an allegation against any individual with vengeance or motive. Therefore, he will not come within the purivew of Rule 353 and, therefore, no permission should be given and if such a thing is brought out, we will protest against it and it should be expunged. No allegations should be recorded on the basis of somebody saying something.

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: I not agree with Mr. Ravindra Varma that any permission is required from the Speaker. The second part is only prohibitory and the first part is permissive It says 'by intimating to the Speaker and giving a copy to the Minister so that he may be able to make an investigation into the matter for the purpose of a reply.'...

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: What is the intimation he has given? That he got the information from somebody. He has got no knowledge about it. him take the full responsibility the allegation.

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: I am not taking the responsibility of Bosu or Mr. Lakkappa. What I wish to submit is that it is very important with regard to the procedure. Para (1) simply says intimation to the Speaker with a copy to the Minister so that

he may be able to reply. Para (2) says that the Speaker may prohibit at any point of time-even prior and later on also, during the debate. That authority is there in the Speaker to prohibit. Supposing he does not prohibit, that which is not prohibited is permitted. So, Speaker's permission is not at all required. Simple intimation is enough under the rules.

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: Making such derogatory remarks on the floor of the House is prohibited.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I have followed all the arguments put forth by Members

I am giving my final decision on this in consonance with the decision given by the hon. Speaker. Hereafter, I will not hear any arguments on this

The allegation which Mr. Bosu wants to bring before this House is the allegation about which he is ready to take the responsibility. He has said specifically in the House. No Member is expected to be present at all the places where objectional thing happens. So they may not have first-hand information.

The information would be given to them by somebody and, on the basis of that information, they would be coming before the House. But, at the same time to keep the record correct and straight, I would state, the Member who wants to allege anything against anybody has to investigate into the matter and has to come to a conclusion that whatever he is going to speak in the House is corect, and has to be ready to take the responsibility for all that he is saying in the House. Only then he can come here first part is that the matter has to come before the hon. Speaker. hon. Speaker has to see everything that the hop. Member wants to say. After the hon. Speaker goes through the record that is produced before him and after having heard and having gone through the record, he comes to the conclusion whether the matter can come before the House or not. Generally, the permission is granted. But, if the hon. Speaker thinks that no purpose is going to be served and the dignity of the House will not be maintained if that kind of allegation is brought before the House, he may refuse permission. As regards the second part, what Mr. Agarwal said is correct. Here the matter was brought to the notice of the hon. Speaker who probably, has personally gone through the document.

SHRI A. P. SHARMA: Kindly read that.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The hon. Speaker has said that 'I am allowing this objection to be raised. But, I am not allowing the documents to form part of the record. I wil scrutinise the documents and I will come to a conclusion.' He has applied his mind and he has found that there is a prima facie case only for allowing him raise an issue—not the prima facie case—and so the issue is: to raise a prima facie case, and not what he says. That is why I am allowing Mr. Bosu to speak out. I will state further that while making certain allegations, let us do it in such a fashion as to show that we are interested in correcting if something wrong has taken place, not with any intention of allegagainst somebody. ing something While doing that there is a procedure followed in the House. Generally, we don't mention the name of the officers; when there is a criticism against the policy, it is the responsibility of the Minister; if there is criticism against some officer, then we do not mention his name but we mention his designation so that unnecessary publicity is not given but, after coming to the **c**onclusion that what is stated in the House is correct, a lot of publicity can be given. When the hon. Member is just trying to raise this issue, he will follow these norms.

SHRI MAGANBHAI BAROT: I want to understand this. Many members feel that this is what I have and I am alleging in the House.

MR. CHAIRMAN: He takes the responsibility.

SHRI MAGANBHAI BAROT: But if the information is correct or otherwise, the responsibility is not mine. I

am only a spokesman.

MR. CHAIRMAN: There are other procedures which can be followed in order to correct the Member also.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Any way I am quite amused to hear the arguments because it has happened a number of times before. I should know why they are so much identified with this. I am bringing a serious charge.

MR. CHAIRMAN: We are not here for amusement. We are here for working.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: I am one with you. I am now coming to Air-India. This was examined in depth by the Public Undertakings Committee. I did not reveal certain things in the interest of the country. I am not going to reveal anything that was recorded in the evidence. But, it has come out in the press only a few days ago. Therefore certain clarifications are necessary. I have collected certain material afresh. What is happening? The General Sales Agency is the real gold mining in Air-India. The man has called himself as Joginder Sanger who operates in different names in U.K., in Delhi and in Punjab.

MR CHAIRMAN: You mention designation.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: I have mentioned that. In addition, I am told—Mr. Minister, say 'yes' or 'no' he is trying to get agency in Rajasthan and North India and for South India also. That means there is a feeling that in Air-India this man is getting a commission of 13 per cent each ticket. In regard GSA, for cargo, the Air India has got a banking of 3 months of cargo, which they cannot tackle. They are chartering from other companies. But they have to engage general sale agent for enhancing the business. They cannot handle but they pay another 13 per cent commis-

sion. For what consideration, Minister should convey it to the House. Only the other day he has appointed as the General selling agent for cargo also. For what consideration, Mr. Chairman, Sir? I would ask the Minister to clarify before this House. You have got a biggest patron of this man. Here is a person called I. D. Sethi, Dy. Managing Director. I am quoting from a public document. This is the 53rd Report of the Public Undertaking Committee, I am reading this from page 48. Mr Lakkappa was a member; he was a signatory to this report. (Interruptions).

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: He was the Chairman. I was a member of that committee. I do not remember... (Interruptions)

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Now, what I say is this...

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: You should be proud of exposing such things.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: How corrupt is this man, he is remaining untouched; he is flourishing in his position, flourishing in his money-collecting racket. I want the Minister to say 'yes' or 'no' to this...

MR. CHAIRMAN: Come out with facts

SHRI JYOTIRMOY 'BOSU: I am coming to it. Kindly don't disturb me. Page 48 says this. It is said here very clearly. It says: 'Misuse of authority'. It says:

"An instance of acceptance of lavish hospitality both in India and outside by a senior executive from parties having business connections with Air India which came to the notice of the Committee is dealt with below:

(i) In 1975, Shri Sethi took his family to London..."—on a free pass, of course.—

Then it says:

"...Where they stayed in an apartment owned by one Mr. Iqbal

Ghai Shri Ghai holds a Travel Service Contract with Air India at the Gaylords Restaurant, London, where Air India's passengers/clients are entertained.

(ii) In 1976, Shri Sethi took his wife and stayed in a Villa in Spain, owned by one Mr. Frank Kozareck"—

I will tell you who this Frank Koza-reck is—

- "...who is currently holding the following positions:
 - (a) Director, Indamer Co. Private Ltd Bombay.
 - (b) Director of Afghan Industries Incorporated, Kabul.
 - (c) Director of Operations..." etc. etc.

Then is says:

"Besides, Mr. Kozareck has a contract with the U.S. Air Force for the maintenance in West Germany of the U.S. Air Bases for jet fighters.."

He has also some collaboration with another company. Now, this Frank Kozareck is a retired Air Force colonel and he is in the pay-roll of C.I.A. penetrating into the vital sectors of our Air India, because Air India at the time of war had carried sensitive materials from abroad We have got details; I will not go into them.

SHRI LAKKAPPA: Let him say who was the Chairman of the Committee...You cannot hoodwink all the people.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Then it says:—

"In 1977 Shri Sethi took his family to USA and stayed in New York and Orlando. In New York they were the guests of Pierre Hotel, which has business deals with Air India...On one occasion Shri Sethi stayed at Maurya Hotel as the personal guest of Mr. Prem Kapoor who was at that time President of ITC—that is India Tobacco Company—

[Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu]

"Hotel Division. He was given a suite for five days for which the normal charges are Rs. 900 per day. Shri Sethi claimed full out-station allowance for visit. It appears that the hotel gave hosptaltyi to Shri Sethi as they wanted to get more business from Air India....

.... Shri Sethi has explained that it has been the practice whereby executives..." etc. etc.

Then, there is another instance, The Committee recommended matter to be enquired into throughly. Now I demand that the matter enquired ino by the Central Vigilance Commission because he managed the matter with the CBI.

I will come to another point concerning serious mal-practices Sangar. I wish the Foreign Minister . was here because the African countries, at least eight of them, are very much agitated about the serious malpractice of siphoning out of their money abroad through undercutting in tickets. Sangar pays in dollars and pound sterling to parties African countries and buys air tickets, MCOs (Miscellaneous Carriage Orders) in their much fluctuating currency. The international law prohibits this. None can get refund for unused tickets outside the country where the ticket is bought. Sangars bring the tickets and MCOs to UK, USA etc. and get payment in dollars and pound sterling from Air India and sometimes by re-routing those tickets. This is all done under arrangement and direct consent Mr. I. D. Sethi about whom I just now mentioned. He still mains untouched. The discount amount I am told, is as high as 40 per cent. One senior Deputy Managing Director, Mr. Sharma, who objected this practice was over-ruled. The African countries where this practice is done by Sangars 'using Air India are Kenya, Tanzania, Zambia, Zaire Nigeria, Iran

Sudan, Air India, a national flag carrier, is being used for dirty work. Air India is losing to the extent 13 per cent as also the forign exchange on those tickets. I want the hon. Minister to enquire into this and tell us whether Sangars' offices were raided and foreign currency and blank tickets of fake airlines were seized or not by the Enforcement Directorate or some other agencies of Government. Further, whether Manager, who happened to be nephew, was also arrensted and fined Rs. 10,000. I would like to ask the hon. Minister whether Sangar Sethi had arranged complimentary tickets for the mother-in-law sister-in-law of an ex-MP and for full lodging and other expenses in Europe and London.

Here, nothing short of an enquiry by the Interpol about this national racket which is spoiling the name of this country, because India is a national flag carrier of this country, will do. We should quest the Interpol to institute enquiry and Sethi should be mediately suspended and the enquiry should be held by the Central Vigilance Commission.

Then, Sir I would like to give you further details about Frank Kozareck's brother, Joe Kozareck, who has featured in another well known company. I have got all the documents now in my hand.

MR. CHAIRMAN: This is not court of inquiry. Your have mentioned the matter, it is not necessary the House to know all these details..

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Why not?.... (Interruptions). With modesty, I would say, you are mistaken....

MR. CHAIRMAN: You will not be able to cow me down with that kind of statement. At this stage we consider the administrative policy anything of public importance.

do not consider individual cases. How can you go on giving all the details?

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: I demand that the Interpool be requested to enquire into this racket.

SHRI K. P. UNNIKRISHNAN (Badagara): I am on a point of order under Rule 218 read with Rule 353.

MR. CHAIRMAN: You read clause (4).

SHRI K. P. UNNIKRISHNAN: Clause (4) says, "The debate on an Appropriation Bill shall be restricted to matters of public importance policy. (Interrupadministrative tions) Please let me also complete it; let there be no running commentry from the Chair, it has not been the practice I am again reading it. says, "The debate on an Appropriation Bill shall be restricted to matters of public importance or administrative policy implied in the grants covered by the Bill which have not already been raised while the relevant demands for grants were under consideration". Now, Mr. Jyotirmoy Bosu, after going through the procedural formalities has come to us regarding the Ministry of Tourism and Civil Aviation of which the demands for grants were guillotined. Now, exactly what he was trying to say, as far as I have understood him, was that it is a matter of great administrative policy (Interruptions) The Air India is a public corporation. (Interruptions) If a loot is going in the Air India which causes a loss to public revenue and loss of foreign exchange it is a matter of relevant public importance, I would urge you, please do not be restrictive on this, because it is a vital matter. tion of law involves a loss of foreign exchange led by the law enforcing agency which has been brought the notice of the House. If he wants to say anything in this connection, he is certainly within legitimate right under the Rules of the House to have his say. We are also interested in this. You cannot enforce a blanket restriction on this. (Interruptions).

MR. CHAIRMAN: I hope that this is not a matter of administrative policy as such; it may be a matter of public importance. I am allowing him to state all these facts and be brief. But if you are going to give all the details, it is not a court of inquiry; you are making it a court of inquiry (Interruptions).

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI MAGANBHAI BAROT): Since the hon. member, Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu, is making his point I also want to say one thing. (Interruptions).

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Under what rule, he has to make his point?

SHRI MAGANBHAI BAROT: have a right to know whether hon, member is not making all these things a part of his speech, but the Chairman of the Committee which he presided, he is reading the Report thereof (Interruptions). was the chairman of the Committee whose Report he was referring to. I want to say one thing against this Report (Interruptions). Let me say, Sir, let me make my point, Mr. Unnikrishnan has made the point. I want to make a point (Interruptions) When this Committee's Report was being published, the Chairman Air India publicly contradicted... (Interruptions). I want to know this fact: I want to make only one point, a simple point of.. (Interruptions) I don't want to sit down. I want to say, the report which is being quoted, he is the author, he is the Chairman of that Committee; and the then Chairman of Air India came article contradicting... with an (Interruptions). No action has been taken (Interruptions)

PROF. MADU DANDAVATE: I am rising on a point of order. Clause (4) of Rule 218 is the very clause which you have referred to. There are two elements in that: whether it is a matter of public importance or administrative policy. I do feel that

· [Prof. Madhu Dandavate]

Appropriation

this is a matter of public importance. (Interruptions). How matters are going on and all that are very important and therefore it perfectly fits into this particular clause 4 of Rule 218. (Interruptions).

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: One minute Sir. (Interruptions).

MR. CHAIRMAN: It is not necessary. (Interruptions).

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: According to his notice, he has to make an allegation..... (Interruptions). If he is reading the report of the Public Undertakings, Committee, as Chairman of that Committee I may say that allegations have been made in that report and they have been denied and a rejoinder has been given by Tata and already the public had seen If he wants to make allegations again, notice to the Speaker should be given, notice to the Minister should be given and the Minister has to get information. He should not allowed to read from that report... (Interruptions).

AN HON. MEMBER: Are you a spokesman for Tatas?

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: We are saying this because he has not followed the procedure. Let him write first and obtain permission and then let him make allegations.. (Interruptions).

MR, CHAIRMAN: I am not dis allowing him from raising this matter. What I am saying is this. If you are going to speak about this matter in this fashion, at such length, it is not a court of enquiry we are holding here. You have mentioned some matters; you can mention all the relevant points but if want to take the entire time of the House, you cannot be allowed

you are not the only one Member. I am asking him to be brief.

SHRI K. LAKKAPPA: On the Appropriation Bill the scope is very limited; you cannot allow all things.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Now I would like to ask the hon. Minister.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Do not ask the Minister, please address the Chair.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU:......
Through you.

MR. CHAIRMAN: It is not a question-answer sesion that is going on.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: May I ask the hon. Minister through you, during Mr. Sanger's visit to Delhi who were the persons in authority whom he had met? The hon. Minister, Shri A. P. Sharma visited London. How many discussions did he have on business with people there? I would like to be enlightened on this.

I am only wanting to ask: In spite of serious strictures given by the Public Undertakings Committee, which is a Committee of both Houses of Parliament, why this person, Mr. I. P. Sethi, has been kept untouched? Is it because of the fact that he is a convenient person for people in authority?

I would like to ask Mr. Venkataraman one thing. How are you allowing customs exemption for aircraft that are being imported? Confiscated aircraft are being allowed to be brought in, aircraft belonging to Thomas Moujai & Co. I will furnish you with all the details in a short time.

SHRI ANANDA GOPAL MUKHO-PADHAY (Asansol): Mr. Chairman, the hon. Member has quoted from the report of the Public Undertakings Committee, of which he was the Chairman. What action was taken on

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: On a point of order. I have written to the Speaker; I am giving this at the Table, for examination, as you directed.

MR. CHAIRMAN: That will not form part of the record; after the hon. Speaker takes a decision it will form part of the record; he would decide whether it should be included or not.

Now, Shri Ramavatar Shastri. Your notice was received very late; some concession was given to you; you should reciprocate and be brief.

भी रामावतार शास्त्री (पटना) समापति जी मैं तीन सवाल उठाना चाहता हुं। एक सवाल है गन्दी बस्तियों के सिलसिले में भीर उससे उत्पन्न स्थित। दूसरा सवाल है—पांडे जी भापके मंत्रालय से सम्बन्धित सवाल है —बाढ़ से कटाव पीड़ितों को बचाने का सवाल क्योंकि इसके बारे में भापके मंत्रालय में भ्रभी विचार नहीं हुआ है। तीसरा सवाल में उठना चाहता हूं, हिन्दुस्तान में जो नारा दिया जा रहा है कांग्रेस की तरफ से भीर सरकार की तरफ से भीर भ्रभी श्रम मंत्रियों का जो सम्मेलन हुआ था वहां भी यही नारा दिया गया था कि सरकार कम से कम एक व्यक्ति को एक परिवार में से नौकरी देना चाहती है, उसका।

16.00 hrs.

जहां तक गन्दी बस्तियों का सवाल है यह बहुत बड़ा सवाल है। इससे जो सम्बन्धित मंत्रालय है उस पर बहस नहीं हुई है। इन तीनों सवालों से सम्बन्धित मंत्रालयों पर बहस नहीं हुई है। स्लम्ज का बहुत बड़ा सवाल है। बड़े से ले कर छोटे शहर तक सभी जगह गन्दी बस्तियां हैं वहां की स्थित बड़ी ही

नारकीय है। ऐसी बात नहीं है कि केवल बढ़े-बड़े शहर ही इससे पीड़ित हैं जैसे दिल्ली, बम्बई, मद्रास, कलकत्ता । इनके मलावा जितनी भी हमारे देश की राजघानियां हैं या दूसरे शहर हैं ग्रौर नीचे के स्तर पर **चले** जाएं, वहां भी इन गन्दी बस्तियों का सवाल है। पहले जो चार मट्रोपोलिटन सिटीज थीं उनकी ही जबावदेही केन्द्रीय सरकार की थी। लेकिन ग्रव राज्यों की सरकारों के जिम्मे यह सारा काम सुपु**र्द कर दिया ग**था है कि वे गन्दी बस्तियों का इंतजाम करे। पहले यह भी व्यवस्था थी कि गन्दी बस्तियों की सफाई के नाम पर सरकार मलग से बित्त की व्यवस्था कर देती थी। शब राज्य सरकारों को ब्लाक ग्रान्ट के नाम पर पसा दे दिया जाता है। इसका नतीजा यह होता है कि राज्य सरकारे इस महत्वपूर्ण काम को तो भूल जाती है, इसको तो नजरझदाज कर देती है भीर उस तमाम पैसे को कुछ भीर कामों में खर्च कर देती है। यह स्थिति हमारे देश में ग्रभी तक चल रही है। श्राप पटना शहर को ही ले जो बिहार की राजधानी है। वहां भ्रंडरमा उंड नाला बनाने में गन्दी बस्तियों की सफाई के नाम पर नी करोड़ खर्च किए गए हैं। ग्राप चल कर देखिये. प्रभी मेरे साथ कल चिलये, उस नाले से पानी नही निकल सकता है ग्रीर वहां इतनी गन्दगी है कि नाक खुली रख कर म्राप चल नहीं सकते हैं। गलियों में, सड़कों पर सारे शहर में गन्दगी पड़ी रहती है, कूड़ा पड़ा रहता है भीर उसको उठवाने के लिए वहां कारपोरेशन के पास पैसा नहीं है। कारपोरेशन करे भी तो क्या ? वहां निर्वाचित नगर निगम है लेकिन उसके पास पैसा नहीं है। राज्य सरकार पैसा देती नही है। करों का सब पैसा भ्रपने पास रख लेती हैं। इस प्रकार से नौ करोड़ खर्च हो जाए भ्रौर समस्या के फिंज को भी टच न किया जा सके तो यही कहा जाएगा कि भ्रष्टाचार का वहां म्रालम है। यह केवल पटना शहर की बात नहीं है। पूरे भारत में यह हो रहा है। लखनऊ, जो उत्तर प्रदेश की राजधानी

^{*}The Speaker subsequently not having accorded the necessary permission, the document was not treated as laid on the Table.

[श्री रामानतार मस्त्री]

है वहां की भी यही हालत है। सरकार ने कहा है कि नो लाख से ज्यादा जिन शहरों की भावादी होंगी, उन पर सरकार तवज्जह देगी 1 लेकिन नौ लाख से कम भावादी वाले ज़ो शहर हैं उन्होंने क्या पाप किया है, यह मैं भाप से जानना चाहता हूं ? उन शहरों के विकास का, उनकी गन्वी बस्तियों की सफाई का क्या प्रबन्ध होगा ? सरकार को इस बात पर भी विचार करना होगा। तभी हमारे देश का सम्यक् विकास होगा।

सभापति महोदय : ग्रव माननीय सदस्य समाप्त करने का प्रयत्न करें।

श्री रामावतार शास्त्री: सभापति
महोदय, ग्रगर मेरे साथ एसा व्यवहार
होगा, तो मैं नहीं बैठूंगा। ग्राप बतायें
कि मुझाने पहले जो माननीय सदस्य
बोले हैं, उन्हें कितना समय दिया गया
है। हाउस में दो तरह का स्टैंडर्ड नहीं
चलेगा। कोई बड़ा हो सकता है, कोई
छोटा हो सकता है। मैं भी एक रैसपांसिबल पार्टी को बिलांग करता हूं।

समापित महोवय : ग्राप एक रेस-पांसिवल ग्रीर प्रनुभवी सदस्य हैं । ग्राप थोड़े से शब्दों में बहुत कुछ ग्रच्छे ढंग से कह सकते हैं ।

भी रामावतार शास्त्री : ग्रगर ये लोग छड़ेखानी क

समापित महोदय : ग्रप चेय'र को संबोधित कीजिए।

श्री रामावसार शास्त्री: तमाम शहरों में सम्यक विकास का इन्तजाम करना चाहिए, नहीं तो शहरों में बड़े पैमाने पर हैजा फैल जाता है। मभी पटना में तीस दालीस श्रादमी मर गये। कई महत्लाउं में हैजे का प्रकार मुख्य हो गया है। मेरे कहने का मतलब यह है कि गंदी बस्ती सफ़ाई योजना को ठीक तरह से लागू किया जाना चाहिए भीर इस योजना को बिना किसी भेदभाव के तमाम शहरों में लागू किया जाना चाहिए।

कमजोर वर्ग के लोगों के लिए मकान बनाने के लिए हुडक़ो बनाया गया है। लेकिन भाप मेरे साध पटना चलिये ग्रीर देखिये कि किनके लिए मकान बनाये गये हैं भ्रीर किन को दिये गये हैं । हडक़ो का उद्देश्य बहुत भच्छा है, लेकिन उस पर जो पैसा खर्च किया जा रहा है, उसका फायदा निहित स्वार्थ वाले लोग उठा रहे हैं । जिनके पास पहले से मकानात हैं, ज्यादातर वही लोग इसका लाम उठा रहे हैं । दबे तबके को दबे कुचले लोगों को, मकान नहीं मिल रहे हैं। सरकार को इस तरफ ध्यान देना चाहिए कि उस पैसे का इस्तेमाल ठीक तरह से हो भीर देश के तमाम शहरों का विकास हो।

माज ग्यारह सूबे बाढ़ से पीड़ित हैं। हमारे सुबे में भी बाद माती है। सब जगह कटाव, इरोजन की समस्या है। निदयों से गांव कट जाते हैं। हमारे जिले के दर्जनों गांव सालों से गंगा नदी द्वारा कट चुके हैं । मनेर प्रखंड में जीवराखन टोला एक बहुत बड़ी बस्ती है, वहां बिजली लगी हुई है। उसका थोड़ा सा भाग बचा हुन्ना है, बाक़ी कट कर गंगा में चला गया है। दानापुर भीर मुकामा में भी यही स्थिति है। खगरिया में बहुत से गांव कट चुके हैं। मानसी उत्तर-पूर्वी रेलवे का एक महत्वपूर्ण स्टेशन है। कई सालों से उसको भी कटाव का खतरा है। कटाव का खतरा सारे देश में है। मैं इन जगहों का नाम इस लिए ले [श्री रामावतार शास्त्री] रहा हूं, क्योंकि मैं वहां गया हूं श्रीर वे मेरे सूबे में हैं।

कटाव की रोकशाम के लिए विशेष रूप से धन विया जाना चाहिए। मगर ब्लाक मान्ट के रूप में दिया जायेगा, तो उन बस्तियों का पुनर्वास नहीं होगा, जो कटाव से पीड़ित हैं या पीड़ित होने वाली हैं। हजारों हजार लोग कटाव के शिकार हो गये हैं, जिनमें मनेर के लोग भी हैं मगर मभी तक उनका पुनर्वास नहीं हो सका है।

मेरा ब्राखिरी मुहा है एक परिवार के कम से कम एक व्यक्ति को काम देने का । उद्देश्य तो बड़ा महान है भीर सब लीग इसका समर्थन करते हैं । हमारे देश में लगभग डेढ़ करोड़ ऐसे बेकार हैं. जिनके नाम नियोजनालयों, एम्पलायमेंट एक्सचजों में दर्ज हैं। बिहार में 12 लाख पढ़े लिखे लोग बेकार हैं, जिनमें प्रशिक्षित शिक्षक भी शामिल हैं। इसी तरह तमाम देश के विभिन्न इलाक़ों में बेकार लोगों को काम देने का सवाल है। यद्यपि श्री मोरारजी देसाई ने दावा किया था कि वह दस सालों में बेकारी को समाप्त कर दगे, लेकिन जनता पार्टी के शासन में भी करीब 45, 45 या 47 लाख बेकारों की वृद्धि हो गई। तो डेढ़ लाख बैकारों की काम देने का उद्देश्य तो बड़ा प्रच्छा है। लेकिन क़ैसे देंगे ? श्राप ने क़ोई योजना इसके लिए देश के सामने नहीं रखी। विचार ती द्याप ने किया लेकिन क़ैसे करेंगे ? रोजगार प्राप तब तक उनको नहीं दे सकते हैं जब तक कि भ्राप देश का बड़े पैमाने पर भौद्योकीकरण नहीं करेंगे। बड़े पूमाने पर कल कारखाने स्थापित की जिए भीर लघु उद्योगों को भी दूर दूर तक देहातों में ले जाइए ।... (व्यवधान)... चरण सिंह का ज**वा**च में नहीं दे रहा हूं। मैं भाप का जवाब दे रहा हूं क्योंकि प्राप शासन में हैं जब चरण सिंह प्राएंगे ती उन को भी जवाब दें सूंगा।

तो बड़े बड़े उद्योग खोले जायें, सम् उद्योगों का जाल बिछाया जाय। पूरे देश में भौर भूमि सुधार कानून लागू किया जाये । भूमि सूघार कानून सब जगह बने हुए हैं लेकिन लागु कितने हुए हैं ? जमीन जो प्रजित की गई उस का औ बंटवारा नहीं किया गया । यह मैं इस सन्दर्भ में कह रहा हूं कि बेकारी कैसे मिट सकती है ? इन कदमों को अगर म्राप नहीं उठाएंगे, लैंड रिफार्म नहीं करेंगे. जमीन का बंटवारा गरीकों में नहीं करेंगे जो कि भ्राप का बढ़ा पुराना नारा है. 1938 में विपुरा कांग्रेस में भाप ने यह नारा दिया था कि जमीन जोतने वालों को देंगे, लेकिन कितनी जमीन दी ?(ब्यवधान).... मेरा कहने का मतलब यह है कि श्राप तभी यह बेकारी की समस्या हल कर पाएंगे भीर प्रत्येक परिवार के कम से कम एक आदमी की काम दे सक़ोंगे जब इस तरह के बुनियादी सवालों के हल क़ी तरफ जाएंगे जिन के लिए मैंने जिक्र किया-शौद्योगीकरण छोटे उद्योगों का बढ़ाना भीर जमीन का बंटवारा जोतने वालों के बीच में भीर खेत मजदूरों के बीच में । 42 प्रतिशत खेत मजदूरों के पास जमीन नहीं है भीर श्रव तो उन की तादाद श्रीर बढ़ गई है। जो गरीबी रेखा के नीचे हैं 60 प्रतिशत देहातों में उनको जमीन नहीं देंगे तो बेकारी की समस्या हुल कैसे होगी ? इसलिए ग्रगर सचमुच में ग्राप चाहते हैं कि प्रत्येक परिवार के एक व्यक्ति को काम दिया जाय तो देश के सामने योजना रिखए । धंगर इस तरह की योजना ग्राप ने रखी या ग्रीर कोई जनता के पसन्द की योजना रखी, जनता पर चीट करने वाली योजना नहीं, बल्कि

[श्री रामावतार शास्त्री]

जनता की मदद करने वाली योजना, तो निश्चय ही एस तरह की योजना को समर्थन मिलेगा ! मैं चाहूंगा कि इन बातों की तरफ मंत्री महोदय का ध्यान भीर सरकार का ध्यान जाय, नहीं तो कुछ होगा नहीं । महंगाई बढ़ती रहेगी, हम भाप कराहते रहेंगे, चीनी नहीं मिलेगी, शिकायत करते रहेंगे । इस तरह से काम चलने वाला नहीं है ।

SHRI T. R. SHAMANNA (Bangalore South): Mr. Chairman, Sir, permit me to bring to the kind consideration of the Finance Minister an aspect which requires a very sympathetic consideration.

In the first place, I am sorry that though the Finance Minister provided funds for so many items, he has not given sufficient amount for slum clearance. Slum clearance is an obligatory duty of the Government. When I asked a question about it, the reply given was that it is a State problem and the States will have to tackle it. It is the duty of any State which calls itself а State, to provide food, clothing and shelter. Harijans and backward people live in slums, who cannot afford to have their own housing.

Apart from humanitarian considerations, namely, to give them shelter it also reflects on the good administration. The Government should see that the city beauty is not marred. At the same time, slum is a health hazard.

MR. CHAIRMAN: That point has already been covered.

SHRIT. R. SHAMANNA: The Government should give top priority to slum clearance. The difficulty is that if the slum problem is to be tackled by the States alone, then it is impossible for any State to clear slums. And therefore, the Centre should come forward and give necessary

facilities to the State Government. The State Government, the Central Government and also the local bodies should join together and see that they do something for these unfortunate people. I am not well versed with the big cities, but as far as Bangalore city is concerned, I am sorry to state that about 10 years back there were hardly 100 slums. After 10 years, now we find that there are 400 slums in Bangalore city.

MR. CHAIRMAN: You have already made that point.

SHRI T. R. SHAMANNA: We have got about thousand families in glum areas and the population there is not less than 3 lakbs. If these families require proper shelter, we require a crore of rupees and we require this huge amount to see that slums in big cities like Bombay and Calcutta are cleared. Therefore, I appeal to the Finance Minister to see as to how soon this problem could be solved. This problem is very urgent and that has to be attended to.

The next point that I wish to make here is regarding Vijayanagar steel project. As you know, Salem, Visakhapatnam and Hospet are the three areas which were taken for steel projects. Round about Hospet in Karnataka we have got very fine iron ore and it is one of the best ores in the country and some work has done at Visakhapatnam and Salem in this regard. Though something has been done in Tamil Nadu, it is very unfortunate that the Karnataka State has not got the fortune of having a major steel project and therefore, I urge upon the Finance Minister to see that without any delay the Vijayanagar steel plant should be taken up and at least a token grant should be provided here so that within the course of a few years we may have the satisfaction that something good has been done to our State. Therefore, I once again appeal to the Finance Minister to see that this year the work on the Vijayanagar plant starts.

We have got plenty of power and we have got plenty of iron ore, and at the same time we have got plenty of labour and therefore, it will be a most successful project and therefore, that has to be taken up on a prioity basis.

The third point that I wish to state here is regarding the urban water supply. Now, the Government taking keen interest in solving the drinking water problem of thousands of rural people. At the same time, in the cities it is necessary that drinking water facilities are provided.

As far as Bangalore is concerned, they have completed the first stage of Cauveri scheme, and now the population is growing rapidly and water scarcity is already there. If the State Government applies for funds to the Central Government, they have to give assistance in the form of interest free loans or grants.

Bangalore has military establishments and Central workshops. So, it is necessary that the Central Government has to give assistance. Therefore, some priority should be given to see that the important need of the city, namely, water, is met. The State Government will not be able to bear the whole burden and Central assistance should be given in the form of interest-free loans or grants. So, request that necessary assistance may be given to Karnataka State in this regard.

Fourthly, the Finance Minister knows the problem very well and that is with regard to prohibition. knows how Shri Rajagopalachari introduced prohibition. He found this out as an alternative measure for loss of revenue through excise. But it is unfortunate that though we are collecting a large amount of revenue as sales-tax, we are collecting not only the cales-tax, but also the excise duty. Therefore, the Central Government has to take a decision on how best the problem can be solved. The Central

Government should take it as a policy decision and see that the problem is solved without further delay.

In Karnataka, medical colleges and evening colleges are charging exorbitant fees and donations. The Central Government must see that this evil is stopped. One medical college seat is sold for Rs. 2 lakhs and one engineering college seat ranges from Rs. 10,000 to Rs. 50,000. The University Grants Commission is giving grants, and therefore the Central Government has got every right to direct the State Government to see that this evil is stopped forthwith.

In Karnataka the lands of many poor people and even ex-army men, their valuable property, have been taken over. Good paddy land costs Rs. 30,000 per acre, but they are hardly given Rs. 1,000 to Rs. 1,700 as compensation. Therefore, I urge that something should be done in consultation with the State Government to give relief to those who have lost their lands, which was all their property, by giving them better compensation.

THE MINISTER OF SHIPPING AND TRANSPORT AND TOURISM AND CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI A. P. SHARMA): Mr. Jyotirmoy Bosu is not only a very intelligent person, but also a very clever person. Wherever he wants to cover something, he does it very intelligently and cleverly, and wherever he wants to place certain things in a wrong way, he always tries to do that. This is known perhaps to all of us.

I thought that through this letter under rule 353 in which he has sought the permission of the Speaker, he was going to make out something new. I would like to draw the attention of the hon. House to the fact that Mr. Bosu is the same person who was chairman of he Public Undertakings Committee. All that the has stated here in this House is old excepting only one thing, and that is regarding the sale tickets in African countries. There JULY 24, 1990

[Shti A. P. Sharma]

303

also, he has very cleverly mentioned the thing which is already in letter. I am sure we will make an enquiry into that.

It is very unfortunate that he has made an allegation against the officials of Air India, Mr. Bosu knows that the services and the reputation of Air India are praised not only by our own people, but by the people in foreign countries also. Anybody who has travelled by Air India can definitely be a witness to it. I only want to say that whatever allegation he is trying to make is nothing but a repetition of what is already mentioned in the PUC. Report. That report, I am sorry to say, was submitted by Mr. Jyotirmoy Bosu, during the time.

DANDAVATE: PROF. MADHU Why should you feel sorry?

SHRI A. P. SHARMA: I am only sorry that during your period, when you were in Government, at that ime this report was submitted and I can say that this report is under the examination of the Government and the decision of the Government...

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: when? It is now six months since you are in power. (Interruptions)

SHRI A. P. SHARMA: Mr. Bosu, please do not try to cover whatever has happened during your time. How you had tried to bully the people as the Chairman of PUC is known to every public sector undertaking. I do not want to say...

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: highly objectionable. He is casting aspersions on the Committee. mittees are composed of members drawn from both the Houses of Parliament, from Rajya Sabha and Lok Sabha and all the members are signatories of that Report, are consenting parties to that report. He has cast aspersions. It is not an individual who sits. I am surprised that Sharmaji, who has been in Parliament for

decades is behaving like this. He is calling a Committee as an individual.

SHRI A. P. SHARMA: No. I have only said what he has been doing as the Chairman of the Committee, how he has been acting in his person outside the Committee.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Outside?

SHRI A. P. SHARMA: You are known for bullying type. Whatever he has tried to make out...

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: A monument of bribery.

SHRI A. P. SHARMA: Did I speak when you were talking? This clearly goes to show how hollow were your arguments and how they were devoid of any truth.

I will read out only one relevant thing. He accused somebody and then he says: 'Although, he has managed to get out from the CBI inquiry...'. In the eyes of this gentleman, nobody 15 honest. Everybody is...

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: point of order under Rule 376. (Inter. ruptions). The Minister is misleading the House. (Interruptions). The CBI inquiry was done in a perfunctory manner. This is the Committee's Report. I do not want to divulge here what was in evidence.

MR. CHAIRMAN: It is not a point of order. You may please continue, Mr. Minister.

SHRI A. P. SHARMA: I know the position of a Committee and the Parliament, if not more than Mr. Bosu, at least as much as he knows. Therefore, he should not expect me to say anything against the Parliamentary Committee or Parliament. What I have said is all about his own personal habits. I have read out the point. Mr. Bosu has not made out any point. He has only read out from the Report of the PUC, which has already been published and therefore, I do not think that there has been any allegation. (Interruptions). He has said only

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: I can give in writing that I stand by it.

one interesting thing. I will read out that. In his letter, he has again said that it has been explained, (interruptions).

MR. CHAIRMAN: It is not good, Mr. Bosu, I think, Mr. Bosu will take a correct position.

SHRI A. P. SHARMA: It has been explained by a person—I am not naming the person—that it has been the practice whereby the executives of Air India have availed of hospitality from hotels and have claimed full out-station allowances.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Can you imagine that?

SHRI A. P. SHARMA: He should have patience. What more does he want? This explanation has been given and it is for the Government, Department to examine whether it is correct or not, whether it is right or wrong. What is the allegation in this? Therefore, I would say this much and I would request Mr. Jyotirmoy Bosu, in the interest of service, in the interest of the fair name of India, not to bring in allegations against individuals, against some officials of Air India, thereby bringing a bad name to our national carrier which has earned a good name throughout the world, wherever Air India goes. (Interruptions).

प्राचार्य भगवान देव (ग्रजमेर) : सभापति महोदय, बिना भ्राप की श्राज्ञा के इस तरह से ये खड़े हो जाते हैं श्रीर संसद का टाइम खराब करते हैं भौर नियमों का पालन नहीं करते हैं। भ्राप इन पर नियंत्रण रिखये ग्रीर इन के बोलने पर लगाम लगाइए।

SHRI A. P. SHARMA: I again submit that he has not made out any new point. I would request Mr. Bosu that even if he wants to make some incorrect statement, if he wants to say something wrong, please do not say in the House, say outside so that the people can take care of him.

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN) Mr. Chairman, Sir, if the House is really in a mood to hear all the placid explanations which I am going to give, I may say that the debate has been useful in certain parts and some constructive suggestions have emanated. Therefore, I shall first/deal with these things and then proceed to deal with the individual points raised by hon. members.

One of the points which has been raised by a number of hon. members is the emphasis that should be given on slum clearance. On this there can be no two opinions. The Government is committed to giving the highest priority for slum clearance and is making adequate provision for same. But I must confess that the problem is of such a magnitude that it cannot be solved even during a Plan period. It will require several Plans to completely eradicate the slums. But I will give some figures as to what we are doing in this regard.

The erstwhile Plan provided Rs. 140 crores for dum clearance for the period 1978 83 and we are continuing to honour the commitment in respect of that. Besides, we have now started re-vamping the Plan for the period 1980—85 and, in this, it has been the objective of this Government that adequate provision should be made. I am not at liberty at this stage to disclose the figures because they are tentative. But I do recognise the importance of clum clearance.

The problem is something like this. There are about 10 million people living in the slums in cities which have a population of about a million each. The concentration varies from place to place. My hon. friend, Shri Shamanna said that Bangalore has got a very heavy concentration of slums. On the contrary, only 10 per cent of the population of Bangalore is slums as against 87 per cent in Kanpur.

[Shri R. Venkataraman]

Therefore, the problem has to be tackled on a national level. I assure the House that this programme will receive the highest priority.

The second important aspect which has been dealt with by/a number of hon. members is the disturbing trend in prices. I will not be right or correct if I pretended that the price trend is really disturbing. In fact, it is causing great anxiety to us. But hon, members would be aware that the price trend is not one which is created by anything one does in the previous week. Actually, the economic forces take more than a year or two to exhibit themselves in the results and in indices. It is the past politics of a Government that have created this very heavy pressure on prices. It is in the same that I would like to explain that during the period of comparative stability of prices in 1975-76 that paved the way for comparative stable prices in 1977-78. It is the policy of very heavy deficit financing pursued by the previous Government that has indeed brought about this very great pressure on prices and it still continues to exert its pressure Therefore, to say that the prices have gone up in the last week and, therefore, you are responsible for the price rise in the last week is to exhibit ignorance of basic economics.

Now, I would go further and say / what we want to do in this matter. We have provided a number of incentives for the purpose of increasing production in the country. In fact, as I explained in my reply to the debate, the inflationary situation is handled in two ways, one by restricting money supply and controlling liquidity, and the other by expanding supplies of goods and services so as to absorb liquidity in the country. We have attempted the second path of trying to increase the supply of goods and services and, for that purpose, we have given a large number of incentives. It takes some time for these incentives to work and for production

to be geared up on account of the incentives given.

The hon. Members said that various excise concessions which have been given by the Government have not been reflected in the retail prices. I agree. In fact, we/ have no price control now. It was my earnest hope that the concessions in excise duty that we gave would be passed on to the consumer and at least some kind of reduction would be noticeable in essential commodities. Though there have been some items in which the reduction has been noticed as instance in non-essential items like T.V. there has been a reduction of Rs. 200 in prices and in respect of some engineering goods, there has been some reduction in prices, respect of other important and essential items, there has been none. It has not been passed on to the consumer. The best policy that a Government can follow is that of the carrot and the stick. We have followed the path of the carrot for a while. I am afraid if the market does not behave, the policy of using the stick will have to be used.

I do propose in consultation with my colleague, the Civil Supplies Minister to see, as a first measure, whether the retail shops should not be asked to put up notices of their stocks and the retail prices. This will be a first step in making people know what stocks are possessed by the retail shops and what prices they are charging. It will enable them to compare and if this measure does not bring /about the required results, then we may have to adopt all other measures which will have to be used for the purpose of controlling prices. I am quite sure that the market will understand that the Government is not going to take things lying down and that if they invite by their behaviour the use of certain regulatory measures, they have only to thank themselves for what will come or what will follow.

Now, having dealt with the two major subjects which have been dealt with in this, I will refer to some of the points made by individual Mem-

Shri Daga was very critical of a number of things. In fact, it is customary for the opposition to open the debate, and since the opposition Member was not there, Mr. Daga performed this function.

AN HON. MEMBER: He fulfilled that role.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: And he earned your compliments also.

In matters relating to the economy of the country, I personally do not think that there is any division or dichotomy between the Government and the Oppositioin, because, in my opinion, both are interested in seeing that the economy improves and both are interested in seeing that better conditions prevail in the country. Therefore, whether criticisms come from my side or from your side, I am prepared to give them the utmost consideration and respect and see that the good points raised by either side receive the best attention.

One of the points that he has mentioned is that the non-plan expenditure has been increasing. Apparently, he is under an impression that all non-plan expenditure is administrative expenditure. It is not so. What is plan expenditure in the previous Plan becomes a non-plan expenditure in the current plan. For instance, if we spend an amount of money as plan expenditure on education in the previous Plan, then in the current Plan this becomes a non-plan expenditure and in this Plan we give an additional amount as plan expenditure for education. Therefore, to think that all non-plan expenditure is administrative expenditure and to say that the administrative expenditure has, therefore, gone up sky-rocketing is to ignore the real state of accounts of Government.

The second point which he has/ raised is that there is a lot of incometax arrears. We are quite aware of

Actually we are trythis problem ing to increase the number of Appellate Assistant Commissioners who will be able to cope with the problem, A number of cases go the Appellate Assistant Commissioner as well as to the Tribunal and to the courts, High Courts and Supreme Court. And what actually prevents a quick disposal is the number of stay orders that are issued by various courts. Once the stay order is issued, we are unable to proceed with the matter and the courts stay these proceedings for a number of years, with the result that the arrears accumulate. In any event, as I said, we shall try to improve the situation by having more Appellate Assistant Commissioners. We are looking into this question in an earnest manner

Mr. Daga also mentioned about drought in Rajasthan, and he has said that nothing has been done. comes from Rajasthan and should have known better, because, in this very year, 1980/81, we have so far provided to Rajasthan Rs. 20 crores by way of drought relief assistance. Nobody can say that the Government has not come to the assistance of Rajasthan. We have not in any way reduced the assistance for drought relie# because wherever there has been drought, the policy of accelerating the food-forwork programme has been continued and I have stated in my budget speech itself that in some cases, we will not only continue till September, but even continue this assistance, in some cases, for a longer period than that. Therefore, I would like to remind him that the criticism is not well informed.

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL (Jaipur): You must have special consideration for Rajasthan for two reasons: the earlier Minister of State of your Ministry comes from Rajasthan; and Mr. Jagannath Pahadia, who was an immediate deputy in your Ministry, also comes from Rajasthan.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: He has already cashed in on that. I want to tell my esteemed friend, Mr. Satish Agarwal, that he has already cashed in on that: he will know very soon...

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: That Tonk a place where the thug chief still lives. That Tonk is still there.

SHRI R. VINKATARAMAN: My friend, Mr. Bosu, speaks a language which is too clever for my brain.

SHRI M. SATYANARAYAN RAO (Karimnagar): Speak in the language of the people.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: friend, Mr. Bhim Singh also referred to a number of points. One point was that a number of people who go abroad and earn foreign exchange are put to a lot of harassment when they come back and the Customs authorities in various ports/are very harsh on them. This is a complaint which we have heard before also. One of the difficulties is that many of these people who come, come with a very large amount of baggage. In fact, it is not the usual practice in our country to get all these things—sundry things. They come with lock, stock and barrel and they come back with such a large number of things that it is very difficult to clear them. If they take some advice of the people in our Embassies and Missions in the area in which they are working before they come, they may be able to discard a number of things which are useless and which they need not bring here...

SHRI K. P. UNNIKRISHNAN: To distribute to their relatives here.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: other point raised was that in some cases they have been levied very heavy taxes and that as much as Rs. 10,000 was charged by the Customs authorities. That is why I have changed the law in the present Budget. Till now if a person brought goods upto Rs. 1000, it was free of tax. If he brought Rs. 2000 worth of goods, he was liable t_0 pay 120 per cent as tax on those goods and if he brought more than that then he was subject to penalties and then he was subject to prosecution and so on. Now we have changed the law in this Budget and even if he brings goods worth more than Rs. 3600, then he will pay a higher duty of 320 per cent, but he will not be harassed by way of prosecution, penalties, etc. This is the change which we have made and I hope it will reduce any complaint of harassment.

He also said that the agricultural ceiling legislation has not worked properly or has not been implemented properly in the various States. This is a statement with which nobody can quarrel. Everybody knows that the degree of implementation of the land ceilings law varied from State to State and in some States at least, they do not have the heart to implement the legislation and, therefore, it has been in different levels of implementation. As far as the Centre is concerned, we can only advise and we have no direct powers in respect of land ceiling legislation over the States

The hon, Member also raised a very important point with regard to the persons discharged from the Services. particularly, the JCOs and Jawans who retire at a very young age and in the prime of their lives. We are aware of this problem and actually for the rehabilitation of those people. a number of vacancies has been reserved—for instance, in the public sector, for JCOs-17-1/2 per cent of the vacancies has been reserved and for Jawans 27-1/2 per cent of the vacancies are reserved. In government also a certain percentage been reserved. We are also giving them facilities for training in various trades before they are discharged. Banks have also been advised to give facilities to new entrepreneurs so that they can start an industry of their own. I would not say that the problem has been solved, but, to a large extent, I would say, it has been miti-

Mr. Goyal then dealt with some very important questions. I would deal only with one or two points. He mentioned about the very high price of sugar and said that it does not benefit the growers.

Sir. I have a complaint about the sugar prices. 65 per cent of the total sugar releases are through the fairprice shops and at prices which are the most lowest in the world. supply 65 per cent of the releases at Rs. 2.85 per kilo which you cannot get anywhere in the world. other 35 per cent you get at Rs. 7/a kilo or so. May be, everybody pays Rs. 10/- a kilo. Even at Rs. 10/many people buy. All the time only that part of the sugar, the open market sugar, is given for the people who do the mithai business or those who are rich and who can afford to spend more on sugar. We are giving that to them. (Interruptions)

313

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: You will kindly see that the people will judge you.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: Our commitment is to the masses. To them we give 4 k.g. in urban areas and one kilo in the rural areas. (Interruptions).

SHRI KRISHNA CHANDRA HAL-DER (Durgapur): What about rural areas... (Interruptions)

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: I am quite capable to take care of myself I do not want any assistance from anybody. Sir, I will repeat my point. The Commitment of my party is to the masses. And it is to them that we have agreed to supply sugar at the controlled and at lower price. We are doing it; to-day, 65 per cent of the sugar releases are at Rs. 2.85 per kilo (Interruptions)

THE MINISTER OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI C. M. STEPHEN): You can distribute that within your State, the sugar that is being given to your State.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: Mr. Chairman, I want to take time on this hecause the people who pretend to be the champions of the poor are now pleading for the richer, the upper classes.

SHRI NIREN GHOSH: Also see how much of sugar you export.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: Sir, the persons who live in an urban area get about 4 kilos of sugar; he gets it at Rs. 2.85 a kilo per head.

SHRI RAMAVATAR SHASTRI: Not per head. (Interruptions).

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Mr. Venkataraman, you do not know it. (Interruptions)

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: accept Mr. Agarwal's plea. Even if it is 400 grammes only, the amount which you are paying per kilo of sugar is only Rs. 2.85. (Interruptions) What we have said is that the distribution of sugar at this level is 35 per cent to be sold at a higher price so that they can make up the lower. price at which we are procuring and selling the sugar to the people. This dual pricing system implies that for the weaker sections of the society, sugar or any other commodity will be given at lower prices and the person who produces the commodity will be allowed to recoup the difference by selling the same at a higher price. (Interruptions) Your criticism amounts to saying that you supply sugar at subsidised price and give free to us, then you would come and say 'you supply petrol at subsidised price'. But you do not understand that when you make such a demand, going to cast this burden on who do not consume and not the man who consumes it. So the general community cannot be asked to for what one person consumes. Therefore let us understand this very clearly. If a person goes to a hotel and eats he must pay for it. If he buys a cloth he must pay for it. he travels he must pay for it If he eats sugar he must pay for it. But the general community cannot be asked to pay for the travel of some person.

18.56 hrs.

[Mr. Deputy-Speaker in the Chair]

One person cannot be asked to pay for the higher consumption of sugar of another person. Out of 60 lakh tonnes, 40 lakhs tonnes of sugar are being distributed at Rs. 2.85. other thing is only 20 lakh tonnes. How can you say that the average price of sugar is 12 rupees rupees? All the newspapers play up; they say, sugar is selling at 8 rupees and 10 rupees. What is more, they are buying at Rs. 2.85 and then writing that it is selling at 10 rupees. They are buying only at Rs. 2.85 and they are propagating that it is selling at Rs. 10. This is what happens. ...

(Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: One by one. One Member at a time. He will reply.

(Interruptions)

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN: After all there must be some norm. Is it to be treated like this? Is there going to be a dialogue? He is making a point. Is there going to be a dialogue?

(Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Now, order please. You have had your own say. The Minister is making a speech. You should hear the Minister. Let him reply. You have already stated your own point of view Now should not the Government state their point of view.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I am enjoying this because I know it hurts and truth hurts. I am glad that I have made this point go home to them and therefore it is that they are protesting.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Mr. Minister, it is sugar complaint; therefore you must answer.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: They must go to a doctor, they must not come to me...

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: You become more unpopular; please don't do it.

17.00 hrs.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: In that case you should be happy; then, why

are you angry? If that is true you should be happy, but now you are all very angry.

(No. 3) Bill, 1980

Mr. Banatwalla had a number of points about Kerala. The first point is that inter-State wage disparity is hurting industries in Kerala answer is this. Kerala State should enter into dialogue with the neighbouring States and then try to solve this problem because Government of India cannot do anything in this matter. I have myself been a member of the Cabinet of the Tamilnadu Government and I am the person who introduced the Bidi labour Bill there and got the Bill passed. At that time, Kerala did not have the oil and that was transported from Tamil Nadu to I had to go to Kerala Kerala. then discuss with them the wage arrangements and asked them to follow Tamil Nadu in certain respects. Similarly, I would say that in respect of both handloom and Bidi, it would be appropriate for Shri Banatwalla to ask the State Government to go and have a dialog le with the State concerned.

The next point that he made is about the floo'; in Kerala, In fact, Banatwaila with other Kerala members came and saw me also. Shri Banatwalla should know that there is a well laid down procedure for this. Whenever there is flood, or drought. the State Government sends its report on the extent of damage to the Centre A team from the Centre goes and makes an assessment of the damage They make an interim report in which they say that the damage would be likely to be of the order of this much, then they make a final report also. According to the recommendations of the Finance Commission, the advances are made and these advances are given as advance plan allocation. We are following only the procedures which have been already well laid down for the purpose of meeting situations like this.

He also referred to a matter of great interest to both the users and myself

and that is about the extra-departmental staff. I would like to remind Shri Banatwalla that I have been the President of the Extra-Departmental Staff Association at one time. The principle on which they are given lower wages than full-time employees is that they are part-time employees and they also engage in some other work.

SHRI K. P. UNNIKRISHNAN: Parttime employees, but working full time.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: Then, it is a demand, but this is not the situation. The position is that they have some other occupation and for attending to this postal work, they are given an allowance which varies from Rs. 93/- to Rs. 192/- as the case may be. Therefore, if Shri Banatwalla says that this is exploitation, I would request him to reserve such harsh language for causes more worthy than this.

SHRI K. P UNNIKRISHNAN: You are saying that it is not a worthy cause.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: He might have said that because a trade union leader is the Minister.

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN: This is worthy enough, there are worthier causes also.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: I said 'More worthy'.

Now, Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu cannot speak without creating commotion. If he must speak, there must be something which must set the whole of Yamuna on flames. So far as the point about the Air India is concerned, there is a report of the Public Undertakings Committee.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Not a report, but reports.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: No less a person than the Chairman of the Air India at that time had come forward with certain contradictions.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: He was not Chairman, Air India, at that time.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: I am referring to the period concerned in the report. How can he deny something if he is not concerned? He was then the Chairman. And as Chairman, he is entitled to equal right. He has completely denied that. Therefore, what we have before in the House is an allegation and a denial. Now, nobody can say that the allegations are proved.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Sir, on a point of order. As regards the Financial Committee's Reports, there is no rule, no convention by which the remarks or observations of the post-mortem can be done by the remarks which the Minister has chosen to say in his wisdom. These should be expunged. He has no right to do that. Financial Committee Reports are not open to criticism by Ministers or any individual members in this House. It is a report which is consented and agreed upon by 22 Members of the Public Undertakings Committee—seven Members from the Upper House and 15 from this House.

Therefore, Shri J. R. D. Tata made a press statement for which he has been hauled up before the Privileges Committee. And he is equating Mr. J. R. D. Tata's statement with the Committee's report. It is regrettable and unbecoming of you, Mr. Venkataraman.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: I thank you for your compliments. But, I respectfully submit . . . (Interruptions).

PROF. N. G. RANGA (Guntur): Mr. Chairman, I was also the Chairman of the Public Accounts Committee. The general convention is that Government generally accepts the reports of these Committees and they implement most of their recommendations. But it does not mean—it is never understood like that—that everyone of the recommendations that this Committee makes has got to be accepted by the Government.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Sir on a point of order.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: You have already made your point. Let the Minister reply to your first point.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Sir, he is confused. Mr. Venkataraman, these are not accusations; these are what have been recorded in the report based on oral and written evidences. Recommendations of the Committee are based on them. They may accept the recommendations or they may not accept them at all. The narrative part of the report is based on evidences and Shri Venkataraman has no right to cast any aspersion on the narrative part of the report. I am a little surprised. I never expected this from him.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: I have not cast any aspersion.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: We have regard for Mr. Venkataraman. I do not expect this from you.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: I speak English and I hope they understand this. I stated that there are certain portions in the report that have been denied by other persons. So, there are two versions; government have not yet come to any conclusions on these. Mr. Bosu is always a Jack in the box before I can say anything.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: It has been narrated in the report. He cannot do that. I am surprised at this.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: He has not said like that,

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: I did not say anything. I only said that there are two versions. Only certain things are stated...(Interruptions).

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: You may or may not accept the recommendations of the report.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: There is a denial not by a passer-by but by a person who was the Chairman of Air-India at that time. Therefore, I said there are two versions now.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: We had not been criticised . . . (Interruptions).

SHRI K. P. UNNIKRISHNAN: This is an extraordinary thing that the Minister is equating the report of the Committee and accepted by the House with the statement of Shri J. R. D. Tata.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: You spoke English. We did not understand that.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: Sir, when certain charges or allegations of the kind are made and that person against whom the charges are made denies them, until Government comes to the conclusion . . . (Interruptions).

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Sir, on a point of order. To the best of my knowledge, I recollect that no charge was made against Mr. Tata but it was made on the working of the Air-India, against certain officials, Mr. Venkataraman. I am surprised at this. He is casting aspersion on what has been established before the Committee, through oral and written evidences. Government may or may not accept the recommendations. But the Minister has no right to cast any aspersion.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: What the Minister said was that he had not supported anybody. This is what I understand.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: You have to understand my rotten Indian English.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: You may have been educated in—England. I am a person who had been educated earlier in India. The point really is that Government have not taken any decision on this and therefore one should not treat it as a conclusion, as something which is binding on the Government. That is all I have got to say.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: It cannot apply to the narrative part the narrative part is based on evidence; the recommendation is different . . . (Interruptions).

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: No discussion on this point; you go to the next point.

SHRI K. P. UNNIKRISHNA: It is not a matter between Mr. Jyotirmoy Bosu and Mr. Venkataraman; it is a matter of prestige to the whole House.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: The evidence part, the narrative part, he cannot talk about it like that. Is he holding a brief for J. R. D. Tata? He should be hauled up before the Privileges Committee.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: I am really surprised at the exhibition of temper by my hon. friend.

SHRI HARINATHA MISRA (Darbhanga): Since a réference has been made to the Privileges Committee of which I happen to be the Chairman, I would respectfully submit that Mr. Jyotirmoy Bosu or for that matter anyone else has no business to refer to what is going on before the Committee of Privileges. ... (Interruptions).

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: I have not cast any aspersions on the Privileges Committee. (Interruptions) I have not commented on what you are doing inside the Privilege Committee.

SHRI HARINATHA MISRA: I will finish within one minute. I would respectfully submit that so long as the Committee is seized of the matter—and the Committee will certainly submit its report—it is no-body's business to refer to the Committee, what its finding will be, who is being hauled up and who is not being hauled up. It should not be referred to at all.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: Mr. Bosu referred to some refund of 1621 LS—11.

certain amount. I do not remember to have passed any such order. I would request him. because in Government orders are passed at different levels and I would like him to put a question.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Will you accept a short notice question?

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: No? I am busy . . .

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: No time is left for a question in this session.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: We are sitting till the 15th of August and you have enough time. I am surprised at this: this is all gimicks. (Interruptions). The rule that silence is consent does not apply to me because I do not reply to many things that are being said.

The other point he said was that there was an aircraft. Even about that if he put_s a question, I will collect all the information.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: S. A. II Aircraft. What customs did you collect? (Interruptions).

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: I will do the job for you.

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: I am making a very fair offer. Please put a question. I will collect all the information.

One thing, I can assure the House— I will not hold back any information. I will certainly place all the information. If I am wrong, I will be the first to admit that I am wrong Therefore, you please put the question? Now I come to the last point. Shri Shamanna raised point about the Vijaya Nagar Steel. He said that no provision has been made. Actually we have made a provision of Rs. 30 crores in this Budget 1980-81 and a detailed project report has been prepared. The detailed project report

[Shri R. Venkataraman]

before the Board of the Steel Authority of India. Then he also mentioned about prohibition.

(Interruptions)

As regards prohibition, I must make this point clear because it has been raised by several people outside as well as here. Government have said that they will meet 50 per cent of the deficit which is created by States going in for prohibition. I would now appeal to the States to have a thorough look at their own finances and then take a decision because the bulk of the States do not have adequate resources now for a large development programme since we want the development programme to be put through in the next Plan, it is upto the States. We do not want to advise them in favour or against. But we want them to look into the problem from point of view of their own resources and take the decision. This is appeal to them.

I thank the House once again for the very . . .

SHRI RAMAVATAR SHASTRI: I have a point to make.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I am not allowing. I shall now put the motion for consideration. I am not allowing anything. I shall now put the motion for consideration. I am not permitting you. I shall now put the motion for consideration to the vote of the House.

The question is:

"That the Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for the services of the financial year 1980-81, be taken into consideration."

Those in favour may say 'Aye'.

SEVERAL HON. MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Those against may say 'No'.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: No.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: I think the Ayes have it, the Ayes have it, and the motion is adopted . . . Now we shall take clause by clause consideration of the Bill.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Noes have it. Noes have it.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Do you want Division? Are you pressing for Division? Now I put again the motion to the vote of the House and you ask for Division.

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: It is on record—you have announced Noes have it. Noes have it. That is all. We do not want Division.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: No, no. I said Ayes have it, Ayes have it. Please see the records.

(Interruptions)

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: There is a record. It is very easy to create a confusion. Let us see the record. I have said—Ayes have it, Ayes have it. What is that?

(Interruptions)

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: Mr. Deputy Speaker, even if you had said—Noes have it, we say—Ayes have it and we will have the Division.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: All right, do you want Division?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Yes.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Let the lobbies be cleared—

I would request each Member to make sure that he is sitting in his assigned seat. Each Member is requested to take special care to record his vote correctly as 'Aye' or 'No' or 'Abstention' as the case may be, so

that there is no occasion for making corrections.

I may briefly recall that as soon as the automatic vote recording equipment is made active on announcement by the Chair "Now Division", a gong sounds which is the signal to the Members to cast their votes. Each Member has to press the push switch and then operate one of the three push buttons that is, for 'Aye', 'No' or 'Abstention', according to his own choice. The push switch and the push button must be kept pressed

Divigion No. 61

Anand Singh, Shri Ankineedu, Shri M. Anuragi, Shri Godil Prasad Arunachalam, Shri M. Bairwa, Shri Banwari Lal Bajpai, Dr. Rajendra Kumari Baleshwar Ram, Shri Banatwalla, Shri G. M. Bansi Lal, Shri Barot, Shri Maganbhai Bhagwan Dev, Acharya Bhakta, Shri Manoranjan Bhardwaj, Shri Parasram Bhatia, Shri R. L. Bheekhabhai, Shri Bhoye, Shri Reshma Motiram Birbal, Shri Birender Singh Rao, Shri Chakradhari Singh, Shri Chandra Shekhar Singh, Shri Chavan, Shri S. B. Dennis, Shri N. Desai, Shri B. V. Dhandapani, Shri C. T. Faleiro, Shri Eduardo Gaekwad, Shri R. P. Gandhi, Shrimati Indira Ghufran Azam, Shri Gireraj Singh, Shri Gomango, Shri Giridhar Gounder Shri A. Senapathi Gulsher Ahmed, Shri Hembrom, Shri Seth Jain, Shri Bhiku Ram Jitendra Prasad, Shri Kamal Nath, Shri Kaushal, Shri Jagan Nath Krishan Dutt, Shri Krishnan, Shri G. Y.

simultaneously until the gong sounds for the second time after 10 seconds.

Kind cooperation of Members is solicited.

The question is:

"That the Bill to authorise payment and appropriataion of cer a sums from and out of the Cons dated Fund of India for the s vices of the financial year 1980-61, be taken into consideration."

The Lok Sabha divided.

[17.28 hrs.

AYES

Kuchan, Shri Gangadhar S. Laskar, Shri Nihar Ranjan Mahabir Prasad, Shri Mahajan, Shri Y. S. Mallu, Shri A. R. Mishra, Shri Ram Nagina Misra, Shri Harinatha Mohite, Shri Yashawantrao Motilal Singh, Shri Nehru, Shri Arun Kumar Netam, Shri Arvind Panday, Shri Kedar Pandey, Shri Krishna Chandra Parashar, Prof. Narain Chand Patel, Shri Ahmed Mohammed Patel, Shri C. D. Patel, Shri Uttambhai H. Patil, Shri A. T. Patil Shri Balasaheb Vikhe Patil, Shri Shivraj V. Phulwariya, Shri Virda Ram Pradhani Shri K. Pullaiah, Shri Darur Qazi Saleem, Shri Quadri, Shri S. T. Ram, Shri Ramswaroop Ramamurthy, Shri K. Ran Vir Singh Shri Ranga, Prof. N. G. Rao, Shri M. Satyanarayan Rawat, Shri Harish Chandra Singh Reddi, Shri G. S. Reddy, Shri K. Brahmananda Reddy, Shri K. Vijaya Bhaskara Saminuddin, Shri Satya Deo Singh, Prof. Sebastian, Shri S. A. Dorai Sethi, Shri Arjun Shankaranand, Shri B.

Shanmugam, Shri P. Sharma, Shri Chiranji Lal Sharma, Shri Kali Charan Sharma, Shri Nand Kishore Shiv Shankar, Shri P. Shivendra Bahadur Singh, Shri Sidnal, Shri S. B. Solanki, Shri Babu Lal Sparrow, Shri R. S. Stephen, Shri C. M. Suryawanshi, Shri Narsing Tariq Anwar, Shri

Tayyab Hussain, Shri Thorat, Shri Bhausaheb Thungon Shri P. K. Varma, Shri Jai Ram Veerbhadra Singh, Shri Venkataraman, Shri R. Venkatasubbaiah, Shri P. Verma, Shrimati Usha Vyas, Shri Giridhari Lal Wagh, Dr. Pratap Zainul Basher, Shri

NOES

JULY 24, 1980

Agarwal, Shri Satish Barman, Shri Palas Basu, Shri Chitta Bhim Singh, Shri Dandavate, Prof. Madhu Ghosh, Shri Niren Goyal, Shri Krishna Kumar Halder, Shri Krishna Chandra Hannan Mollah, Shri Harikesh Bahadur, Shri Kashyap, Shri Jaipal Singh Mandal, Shri Dhanik Lal Mandal, Shri Sanat Kumar

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Subject to correction, the result* of the division is: Ayes: 101; Noes: 25.

The motion was adopted.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Now, we take up clause by clause consideration. There is no amendment to clauses 2 to 4. The question is:

"That clauses 2 to 4 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 to 4 were added to the Bill. The schedule was added to the Bill. Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

The following Members also recorded their votes for Ayes: Shri V. N. Gadgil, Shri M. V. Chandrashekhara Murthy, Shri Digvijay Sinh, Shri Mohammad Asrar Ahmad, Shri Muldevji M. Odedra Shri Hiralal R. Parmar Shri Rameshwar Shri V: dhi Chander Jain and Shri Subhash Chandra Yadav.

Mehta, Prof. Ajit Kumar Mhalgi, Shri R. K. Mukherjee, Shri Samar Muzaffar Hussain, Shri Syed Roy, Shri A. K. Roy Pradhan, Shri Amar Saini, Shri Manohar Lal Shastri, Shri Ramavatar Tirkey, Shri Pius Trilok Chandra, Shri Unnikrishnan, Shri K. P. Varma, Shri Ravindra

SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN: I beg to move:

"That the Bill be passed."

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: The question is:

"That the Bill be passed." The motion was adopted.

17.32 hrs.

FINANCE (NO. 2) BILL, 1980

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER. Now, we take up Finance (No. 2) Bill for consideration and passing.

FINANCE MINISTER OF (SHRI R. VENKATARAMAN). beg to move:*

"That the Bill to give effect to the financial proposals of the Central Government for the financial

^{*}Moved with the recommendation of the President.