

**SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA** (Begusarai) I am raising a point of order. Only day-before-yesterday the subject was discussed very thoroughly. If the Leader of the Opposition wanted to bring in those points, he could have done it at that time.

**MR SPEAKER** It is not a point of order.

**श्री शिव नारायण (बरेली)**

**SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA.\*\***

(Interruptions)\*\*

**MR SPEAKER** Nothing is recorded. Will you all like to hear the Home Minister or not? Nothing is recorded excepting the Home Minister's statement. I am not allowing anybody. Now, the Home Minister.

**THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI CHARAN SINGH)** Mr. Speaker, Sir, just after the hon. Leader of the Opposition had finished speaking I wanted to rise to give a reply to him. But, I have not been allowed to do so. I really felt it. I can only request the Leader of the Opposition to advise his friends to let the proceedings proceed further. I have a right to do. There was absolutely no question of raising a row. Everybody seems to be, in rage and passion and are flinging their arms and fists. Where was the occasion for it? (Interruptions)

What I was saying has now been confirmed by the hon'ble Members who stood up and started flinging harsh words. Through you, Sir, I was simply drawing the attention of Mr. Chavan to this. If this goes on no proceedings can be carried on.

As for my reply to his notice to the government well I may assure him—or I may tell him—that before he made this statement I had asked my office already to send for reports from the Uttar Pradesh government regarding the Hardwar incident as reported in the Press and a letter from the correspondent about the situation in Gujarat. So far as the third question concerning the incident near Bhopal or Ratlam is concerned that has not come to my notice. I am not able to go through all the newspapers fully but it has not come to my notice. I will ask the secretariat to ask for a report from there. The leader of the Opposition

has been pleased to remark that the situation is getting from bad to worse. Sir, as soon as the Janata Party took over (... Interruptions)

Sir, why are they flinging harsh words against me? They should address the Chair. Sir, I was saying if there are still feudal warlords extant in the country then who is responsible? For God sake let me know who is responsible if there are still feudal warlords in the country? As for the number of crimes—as my hon'ble friend, Shri Shyamnandan Mishra was trying to say but was not allowed to, be heard—I do not have the figures in my possession. I did not know this question will be raised otherwise the official figures will show that in the second quarter of 1977, that is, April to June, the figures of incidents against the Harijans have gone down in every State except the State of Maharashtra to which my hon'ble friend, the Leader of the Opposition, belongs.

(Interruptions)

**MR SPEAKER** Nothing to be recorded. He has called for the records.

**RE BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE**

**11 30 hrs.**

**SHRI B. RACHAIAH** (Chamarajaganagar) Sir, I addressed a letter to you requesting you to allow me to raise an urgent matter of public importance under Rule 377.

**MR SPEAKER** I have not allowed it.

**PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR** (Gandhinagar) Sir, I have a point of order. A little while ago, today, when Mr. Chandrapan invited your attention to what had happened on Saturday evening towards the end of debate.

(Interruptions) I am not making any political speech. I am only raising a point of Order. If you think that there is no point of order, then I will sit down.

**MR SPEAKER** Now, this has become the House of Points of Order.

**PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR** I am not making any political speech. On Saturday when the debate on Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes was coming to almost an end, you were in the Chair. And you said that the Home Minister thought that even if the House

were to extend its sitting by one hour, from 7:00 PM to 8:00 P.M., probably the debate would not have concluded because a large number of Members would have been still left out. Therefore, the Home Minister suggested to the Chairman who was presiding over the House at that time that perhaps the discussion might be postponed to a day in the next session. Then you came and said and I quote

'Now that the Home Minister agreed to have one full day for the discussion in the next session, there is no point in sitting further today. We will take up this next time in the next session. The House stands adjourned.'

Two points arise from this. One, you yourself said that the Home Minister had agreed to postpone it. The Home Minister or any other Minister in his speech might have made a suggestion to the House through the Chair that he was prepared to have more discussion on this. But there was no regular indication from the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs whose business it is to tell the House that a particular motion or discussion will take place in this or that session. That was not coming from the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs. It came from the Home Minister. But the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs who is in charge of the business of the House has not so far confirmed it. Secondly, there was no regular motion under Rule 340.

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI (Chirayinkul) The report is there.

PROF P G MAVALANKAR Rule 340 says

"At any time after a motion has been made, a Member may move that the debate on the motion be adjourned."

Now, as far as this matter is concerned, I think that either the Home Minister or the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs has not formally put the motion under Rule 340 for adjournment of the discussion. How can therefore be a debate be postponed to a day in the next session without a formal motion being put before the House? And if that is not done, then I submit that today, Monday the 8th August, the discussion on that Motion stands, it continues because there was no motion for its postponement. You said in the house "the House stands adjourned till 11:00 O'clock on Monday the 8th August 1977."

Therefore, I submit that the discussion on Harijans should be continued today and if you accept my point, I suggest that later on in the day, let the

Home Minister come with a reply about the action which government want to take with regard to seeing that those atrocities do not take place. Between now and the next session some months will pass. We will have more time to discuss but we are not interested in merely discussing the matter, we are not interested in our voice going or not going on record, we are interested in seeing that there is no recurrence of these atrocities, we are interested in seeing that there is no record in the newspapers of the country that the Harijans are being victimised, killed or murdered. If you feel that my point is correct technically, kindly tell the hon. Home Minister that later on in the day, say at 4 or 5 or 6 p.m. he might come and tell the House what he wants to say by way of action taken, whether he wants to come with some white paper. (interruptions) I am bothered about implementation. I request your ruling on this point.

MR SPEAKER. So far as the first point is concerned, the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs was not there. But the responsibility is joint responsibility and any Minister can say that. Therefore, that question does not arise. As far as the second question that there was no formal motion. Prof Mavalankar is correct. But I put it to the House and I took the sense of the House that was the view of the House nobody objected to the adjournment. Sir, that point is overruled.

11 37 hrs.

#### CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

COCA COLA COMPANY'S REFUSAL TO FULFIL ITS EXPORT COMMITMENT AND TO PRODUCE BEVERAGE OF WHOLLY INDIAN ORIGIN

SHRI JOYTIRMOY BOSU (Diamond Harbour) I call the attention of the Minister of Industry to the following matter of urgent public importance and request that he may make a statement thereon.

"Present situation of the Coca-Cola Company, its refusal to fulfil its export commitment and to produce beverage of wholly Indian origin and the closure of its factories rendering about 1.5 lakhs of people directly or indirectly unemployed."

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI GEORGE FERNANDES) The activities of the Coca Cola Company in India during the last 25 years fur-