#### 467 Statements by Minister DECEMBER 16, 1991

Management of economic crisis

correction to reply to SQ No. 694 dt. 5.9.91

(In percent unless otherwise indicated)

	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93
	Est.	Program	
Real GDP growth	5	3-3 1/2	4
Inflation (end-period)	12.1	9	6
Overall public sector deficit/GDP	12.5	10.0	8.5
Union Government deficit/GDP	9.0	6.5	5.0
Broad money growth	15.3	13.0	11-12
Reserve money growth	13.1	15.5 <u>1</u>	11-12
External current account/GDP	3.4	2.7	2.6
Gross official reserves (In months			
of imports)2	1.3	1.3	1.7
Official foreign exchange reserves			
(in billions of U.S. dollars)	2.2	2.2	3.2

Table 2. India: Key Macroeconomic Objectives, 1991-92---1992-93

1. Excluding the impact of the incremental cash reserve ratio, reserve money growth would be 13 percent.

According to the IMF definition, i.e., including SDR holdings and gold valued at SDR. 35 per ounce.

# (ii) Correcting reply to Starred Question No. 694 dated 5.9.1991 re: Implementation Assam Accord

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINIS-TRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI M.M. JACOB): During the supplementaries asked in the Lok Sabha in answer to Starred Ouestion NO. 694 on 5.9.1991, regarding the implementation of Assam Accord, Shri Sharad Dighe, Member of this House had asked in a supplementary about the delay in actual commencement of work on the approved projects for setting up an IIT and an oil refinery in Assam. With regard to the IIT, I had in answer inadvertently said:-

The HT was approved by the Central Government. But the location has be determined in consultation with the State Government and the State Govemment has to find out where it has to be located. That is the reason why the delay is caused in the actual implementation of the IIT. Land has tr located. Then only we will be abir proceed further. It has to be acquir So, location has to be determined them, then acquisition of land and . on and so forth."

two points which are very important.

The above reply given by me may kin a be substituted by the following:-

"Sir, the Hon. Member has mentioned

"There has been delay in actual imple mentation of the works connected with

468

۱.

IT because the land at the site initially selected for it with the approval of the State Government could not be made available and the State Government could not be made available and the State Government requested for changing the location of the IIT. An alternative site has since been selected and finalised. The State Government has to transfer the land for the IIT after which further progress will be possible."

In the above context, I would like to mantion that before the matter regarding inadvertent error in the reply could be sorted out, the Lok Sabha had been adjourned *sine-die* and hence this correcting Statement is being laid on the Table of the House in the Winter Session of 1991.

# **CUSTOMS (AMENDMENT) BILL\***

13.11 hrs.

## [English]

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI RAMESH-WAR THAKUR): On behalf of Shri Manmohan Singh, I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill further to amend the Customs Act, 1962.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: The question is:

> "That leave be granted to introduce a Bill further to amend the Customs Act, 1962."

### The motion was adopted

SHIR RAMESHWAR THAKUR: I introduce the Bill. 13.12 hrs.

# MONOPOLIES AND RESTRICTIVE TRADE PRACTICES (AMENDMENT) BILL\*

## [English]

THE MINISTER OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRIK. VIJAYA BHASKARA REDDY): I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill further to amend to Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices Act, 1969 and the Companies Act, 1956.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: The question is:

> "That leave be granted to introduce a Bill further to amend the Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices Act, 1969 and the Companies Act, 1956."

The motion was adopted.

SHRI K. VIJAYA BHASKARA REDDY: I introduce the Bill.

## 13.13 hrs.

MONOPOLIES AND RESTRICTIVE TRADE PRACTICES (AMENDMENT) ORDINANCE, 1991

## Explanatory Statement Giving Reasons for immediate Legislation by Ordnance

[English]

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINIS-TRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI RANGARAJAN KUMARAMANGALAM): I beg to lay on the Table an explanatory statement (Hindi and English versions) giving reasons for immedi-

\* Published in the Ghezettee of India, Extraordinary, part II, section 2, Dated 16.12.1991.

\*\* Introduced with the Recommendation of the President