GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE

LOK SABHA UNSTARRED QUESTION NO. 1995 TO BE ANSWERED ON 21ST DECEMBER, 2018

MILK ADULTERATION

1995. DR. SUNIL BALIRAM GAIKWAD: SHRI AJAY MISRA TENI: SHRI BHARAT SINGH: SHRI KUNDARIYA MOHAN BHAI KALYANJI BHAI:

Will the Minister of **HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE** be pleased to state:

(a) whether nearly half the milk samples tested by the food safety regulators have been found to be non-compliant in terms of the required standards;

(b) if so, the details thereof and reasons therefor;

(c) the number of cases of milk adulteration registered in the country and the action taken against them during the last three years, State/UT-wise;

(d) whether Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI) has sought action taken reports from all the State Governments on matter of milk adulteration in the country and if so, the details thereof and the response of the State Government thereto; and

(e) the corrective steps taken by the Government to provide quality milks to people in the country?

ANSWER THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI ASHWINI KUMAR CHOUBEY)

(a) & (b): Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI) had conducted a Nationwide Qualitative Screening of milk samples for on-spot qualitative and quantitative analysis of milk samples for quality parameters viz. FAT, Solids-Not-Fat (SNF), added water, protein content and safety parameters viz. 13 adulterants, antibiotics, pesticides and aflatoxin M1 covering around 1100 towns across the country. A uniform protocol and precise sampling plan was followed with trained manpower and well equipped laboratory. This survey was intended to help in identification of hotspots and root cause analysis for the same; and also designing and operation of a framework for continuous monitoring of milk quality.

The Survey was conducted from May to November 2018, through M/s VIMTA Labs with a sample size of approx. 6000 samples for qualitative analysis and 1800 samples for quantitative analysis. The interim report of National Milk Safety and Quality Survey 2018 has been released on 13th November, 2018 and is available on FSSAI website i.e. www.fssai.gov.in. As per the interim report, about 39% of milk samples were non-compliant with reference to quality parameters (fat, SNF, sugar and maltodextrin) but were without any safety issues.

Only 9.9% samples were found to be unsafe for consumption. Thus, National Milk Safety and Quality Survey, 2018 broadly suggests that milk in India is largely safe .

(c) & (d): Implementation and enforcement of the Food Safety and Standards (FSS) Act, 2006 primarily lies with State/UT Governments.

As per the information received from State /UT Governments, the number of milk samples analysed, found non-conforming to the prescribed standards and penal action taken against concerned Food Business Operators (FBOs) during the last three years is at Annexure I,II and III.

(e): In terms of Section 31 of Food Safety and Standards (FSS) Act, 2006, no Food Business shall commence or carry on without license/registration under FSS Act, 2006. Implementation and enforcement of FSS Act, 2006 primarily lies with State/UT Governments. Regular surveillance, monitoring and inspections are being carried out by the Officials of Food Safety Departments of the respective States/UTs to check compliance of the provisions laid down under FSS Act, 2006, and the rules and regulations made thereunder.

In compliance of directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India vide its Order dated 05.08.2016 in the matter of WP (C) No.159 of 2012 titled as Swami Achyutanand Tirth &Ors. V/s Union of India & Ors. pertaining to checking the menace of adulterated milk, FSSAI has advised States/UTs to comply with the direction of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. Further, in order to make available good quality foodstuffs to the consumers and for keeping a check on the problems of food adulteration in the country, the state food authorities have been advised from time to time to keep a strict vigil by regularly drawing food samples from all sources viz. manufacturers, wholesalers and retailers and to take strict action against the offenders under the provisions of FSS Act, 2006. In cases where samples are found to be non-conforming, penal actions are initiated against the defaulting FBOs as per the provisions of FSS Act, 2006, and Rules and Regulations made thereunder.

Annexure-I

Annual Public Laboratory Testing Report for Milk for the year 2015-16									
State	No. of Samples Analyzed	-	No. of Cases Launched		No. of Convictions / Penalties				
			Crimina	Civil	Convictions Penalties		Penalties		
						no.	amount		
A & N Islands	9	4	0	0	0		0		
Andhra Pradesh	205	22	1	7		5	48500		
Arunachal Pradesh	21	1		1	0	1	2000		
Bihar	6	0							
Chandigarh	18	3		3			500000		
Chhattisgarh	15	2							
Dadra & N.H	2	0							
Daman & Diu	7	0							
Goa	24	0							
Gujarat	916	80		60	38	205	823156		
Haryana	160	21		28		11	222000		
Himachal Pradesh	21	7		2	1		5000		
Jammu & Kashmir	99	43		49	25		219700		
Kerala	44	3							
Madhya Pradesh	725	144	11	120	61	100	2878000		
Maharashtra	1171	285	32	80	9	68	1503050		
Meghalaya	7	5					1		
Odisha	14	5	0						
Puducherry	58	0							
Punjab	1220	389	32	295	5	101			
Tamil Nadu	106	34		16	9	9	63000		
Uttar Pradesh	2787	1515	57	1224	397	763	21070200		
Total	7635	2563	133	1885	545	1263	Rs. 2733460		

Source: States/UTs; Note: Some of the States/UTs have shared consolidated data and not shared the data/complete data in respect of particular food category like Milk. Therefore, such States/UTs data is not reflected in the report.

Annexure-II

Annual Public Laboratory Testing Report for Milk for the year 2016-17								
State	No. of Sampl es Analyz ed	No. of Samples found Adulterated/ Misbranded	No. of Cases Launched		No. of Convictions / Penalties			
			Criminal	Civil	Convict ions	Penalties no.	Penalties amount	
Andhra Pradesh	297	28	6	14		9	805000	
Arunachal Pradesh	15							
Assam	57	21		5				
Chandigarh	4	1		1			15000	
Delhi	8	1						
Goa	102	2						
Haryana	147	43	2	27		6	55100	
Himachal Pradesh	18	2				1	30000	
Jammu & Kashmir	518	84		189		76		
Kerala	49	5	0	1			35000	
Madhya Pradesh	524	86	14	66	33	67	347500	
Maharashtra	1817	359	3	293	108		1216763	
Manipur	24	0						
Odisha	25	8						
Puducherry	73	55						
Punjab	999	199	5	243			52602	
Rajasthan	342	36	10	7	0	3	4500	
Tamil Nadu	152	56	0	51	35	38	309500	
Telangana	70	11	0	10				
Uttar Pradesh	2468	1306	19	1138	364	776	18894950	
West Bengal	8	4						
Total	7717	2307	59	2045	540	976	Rs. 21765915	

Source: States/UTs; Note: Some of the States/UTs have shared consolidated data and not shared the data/complete data in respect of particular food category like Milk. Therefore, such States/UTs data is not reflected in the report.

ANNEXURE - III

State	No. of Samples Analyzed	No. of Samples found Adulterated/ Misbranded	No. of Cases Launched		No. of Convictions / Penalties			
			Criminal	Civil	Convictions	Penalties/ no.	Penalties amount	
A & N Islands	76	44	-	-	-	-	-	
Andhra Pradesh	171	18	03	09	09	07	285000	
Arunachal	12							
Assam	63	08	0	03	02	02	12,000	
Bihar	125							
Chandigarh	10	03	0	02	-	-	10,000	
Chhattisgarh	41	12	0	03		01	15,000	
Dadra & N.H	21							
Daman & Diu	03							
Goa	119	0						
Gujarat	548	75	02	43	42	42	11,28,500	
Haryana	123	21	-	25	-	31	2,65,500	
Himachal	08	03	01	01	0	02	45,000	
Jammu &	518	228		220	82	149	8,78,400	
Kashmir	1							
Jharkhand	07	04						
Kerala	153	15		16	7	8	1,57,000	
Maharashtra	2,030	311	0	145	20	49	5,60,000	
Manipur	102	26						
Meghalaya	25							
Nagaland	24	18						
Odisha	08	03						
Puducherry	164							
Punjab	1420	485	01	175	02	112	6,24,150	
Rajasthan	559	85	19	25	0	23	38,000	
Tamil Nadu	393	101	07	63	64	65	4,19,000	
Tripura	08	02						
Uttar Pradesh	5,042	2,631	05	2,000	778	1,078	2,59, 65,000	
Uttarakhand	214	50						
West Bengal	11	07						
Total	11,998	4150	38	2730	1006	1569	3,04,02,550	

Source: States/UTs; Note: Some of the States/UTs have shared consolidated data and not shared the data/complete data in respect of particular food category like Milk. Therefore, such States/UTs data is not reflected in the report

--