THE

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY DEBATES

(Official Report)

Volume I, 1930

(20th January to 24th February, 1930)

SIXTH SESSION

OF THE

THIRD LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY, 1930

Chamber Suggested. 18-X-73





DELHI COVERNMENT OF INDIA PRESS

Legislative Assembly.

President:

THE HONOURABLE MR V. J. PATEL.

Deputy President:

MAULVI MUHAMMAD YAKUB, M.L.A.

Panel of Chairmen:

PANDIT MADAN MOHAN MALAVIYA, M.L.A.

MR. M. A. JINNAH, M.L.A.

SIR DARCY LINDSAY, KT., C.B.E., M.L.A.

SIR ZULFIQAR ALI KHAN, KT., C.S.I., M.L.A.

Secretary:

MR. S. C. GUPTA, BAR.-AT-LAW.

Assistant of the Secretary:

RAI SAHIB D. DUTT.

Marshal:

CAPTAIN SURAJ SINGH BAHADUR, I.O.M.

CONTENTS.

PAGES.

Volume I—20th January to 24th February 1930.	
Monday, 20th January, 1930-	
Statement by Mr. President re Protection of the Assembly	
Chamber and its precincts	1-3
Unstarred Questions and Answers	44-81
Resolution re Attempt to wreck the Viceroy's Train-Adopted	
Governor General's assent to Bills	
Statement laid on the Table	
The Indian Sale of Goods Bill-Presentation of the Report of	
Select Committee	86
The Indian Contract (Amendment) Bill-Presentation of the	
Iteport of Scient Committee The Inland Steam-vessels (Amendment) BillPresentation of	86
The Inland Steam-vessels (Amendment) BillPresentation of	
the Report of Select Committee The Dangerous Drugs Bill—Appointment of Mr. E. F. Baum	86
The Dangerous Drugs Bill—Appointment of Mr. E. F. Baum	
to the Select Committee	87
The Cantonments (House-Accommodation Amendment) Bill-	
Appointments to the Select Committee	
The Transfer of Property (Amendment) BillIntroduced	88
Resolution re Fixing Minimum Wages- Adopted, as amended	88-82
Tuesday, 21st January, 1930-	
Maruhars Sworn	93
Members Sworn	
Statement by Mr. President of his position in relation to the	80-100
havenest of the Legislatures	101 04
beycott of the Legislatures Statement laid on the Table	
The Hindu Widows' Right of Inheritance Bill—Circulated	134-61 162-68
The Imperial Bank of India (Amendment) Bill-Motion to con-	102-00
sider negatived	100.00
The Arya Marriage Validation Bill—Discussion on the Motion	168-93
to refer to Select Committee editioned	100 07
to refer to Select Committee adjourned	193-97
Wadnasian 00nd Tananan 1000	
Wednesday, 22nd January, 1930—	
Resolution re Stipends of the Members of the Carnatic Family	***
Adopted	199-201
Adopted	
Resolution re Slaughter of Milch Cows-Negatived	203-35
Thursday, 23rd January, 1980—	- 6%-
Member Sworn	
Statement of Business	
The Arya Marriage Validation Bill-Circulated	237-63

CONTENTS-contd.

Minus de la Companya	Pages.
Thursday, 23rd January, 1930—contd.	
The Reservation of the Coastal Traffic of India Bill-R	e -
circulated	263
The Indian Steam-vessels (Amendment) Bill-Passed	263-66
The Mussalman Wakf Validating (Amendment) Bill-Referre	ed
to Select Committee	26 6
The Court-fees (Amendment) Bill-Referred to Select Cor	n-
mittee	266-68
The Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill-Motion to ci	r-
	268-71
The Indian Electricity (Amendment) Bill—Withdrawn	271-74
The Indian Railways (Amendment) Bill-Introduced	274
The Code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Bill-Intr	
duced	275
The Indian Religious Pictures Trade Marks (Prevention) B	
—Introduced	275
Saturday, 25th January, 1930-	
Address by il. E. the Viceroy to the Members of the Legi	·
lative Assembly	277-82
Monday, 27th January, 1930-	
Member Sworn	283
The Indian Merchandise Marks (Amendment) Bill-Appoin	200 nt-
ments to the Select Committee	283
The Indian Patents and Designs (Amendment) Bill-Passed	
	283-88
Tuesday, 28th January, 1930—	
Closing of the Galleries of the Legislative Assembly Chamb	er 289
Short Notice Question and Answer	289-90
Resolution re Recruitment for the Indian Medical Service	
Adopted, as amended	290-312
Wednesday, 29th January, 1930-	
	31 3-3 8
Unstarred Questions and Answers	339-48
Statement of Business	348
The Indian Income-tax (Amendment) Bill-Presentation	of
the Report of Select Committee	348
The Indian Sale of Goods Bill-Passed	348-58
The Indian Contract (Amendment) Bill - Passed	358
the Report of Select Committee The Indian Sale of Goods Bill—Passed The Indian Contract (Amendment) Bill—Passed The Transfer of Property (Amendment) Bill—Passed	359
•	
Tuesday, 4th February, 1930—	
	361-83
	383-94
Short Notice Questions and Answers	395-404
Resolution re Report of the Indian Road Development Co.	
Resolution To Report of the Indian Road Development Co.	m-
mittee—Adopted, as amended	

CONTENTS -- contd.

8 <u></u>		Pages.
Wednesday, 5th February, 1980-		
Questions and Answers		423-36
_1		. 436-37
Statement laid on the Table—Reports on the Dam		
Floods in the North West Frontier Province and	Deliver by	407.44
The Code of Criminal Procedure (Ameudment) Bi	III—(Amena-	•
ment of section 552)-Motion to refer to Select	Committee	
	•••	
The Code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Bi	ll—(Amend-	•
ment of sections 205 and 540A)-Motion to refe	r to Select	
Committee negatived	•••	459-69
Committee negatived The Indian Religious Pictures Trade Marks (Prevented Programmes of the Committee	ention) Bill	
		469-73
	•••	400-10
Thursday, 6th February, 1930—		
Statement of Pusiness		4778
Statement of Business The Dangerous Drugs Bill—Presentation of the Re		4/0
The Dangerous Drugs Bill—Presentation of the Re	port of the	
Select Committee		475-76
The Provident Funds (Amendment) Bill—Passed	•••	476
The Provident Funds (Amendment) Bill—Passed The Indian Income tax (Amendment) Bill—Passed	•••	476-79
Demands for Supplementary Grants in success of 1	Dailmana	470.00
Working Expenses—Administration Working Expenses—Repairs and Maintenance and		479-81
Working Expenses—Repairs and Maintenance and	Operation	481-82
Trepropriation from the Depreciation from		400 Q9
Strategic Lines-Working Expenses and Miscellan	eous	483
Saturday, 8th February, 1980—		
Questions and Answers		485-90
Questions and Answers Unstaired Questions and Answers	•••	490-92
Resolution re Relations between Railways and Inlan	d Steemer	400-0a
Services in Eastern Bengal-Withdrawn		400.00
Resolution re the Present System of Education in		492-98
Adopted, as amended	india	
Resolution re Medical Research—Debate adjourned	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	499-543
delical Research—Depate adjourned	•••	548-50
Tuesday, 11th February, 1930-		
Mambara Curan		
Members Sworn Questions and Answers Short Notice Questions and Answers Messages from His Evenlynes the W	•••	551
Chart N	5	51-72
Phort Notice Questions and Answers	•••	579-75
and the same of the vicerov and	liovernor-	
		575-76
Cautonments (House Accommodited to Accommodited		
Presentation of the Report of Select Committee Resolution re Medical Research—Withdrawn Resolution re Enfranchisement of Letters in Challenge	110) Dill—	(70
Resolution re Medical Research-Withdraun		7/D
Resolution re Enfranchisement of Indians in Ceylon-) د الخطيمالية	770-88
	-Adopted (590-618
Wednesday, 12th February, 1930—		
The Indian Income to		
The Indian Income tax (Amendment) Bill—Present	tation of	
		819
The Indian Merchandise Marks (Amendment) Bill—	Presenta-	
tion of the Report of Select Committee	6	19

[iv]

CONTENTS-contd.

	PAGNA
Wednesday, 12th February, 1980—contd.	
The Court-fees (Amendment) Bill-Presentation of the Report	
of Select Committee	619
The Indian Bailways (Amendment) Bill-Presentation of the	
Report of Select Committee	
The Indian Lac Cess Bill—Introduced	
The Indian Companies (Amendment) Bill-Introduced	
The Indian Tariff (Amendment) Bill-Introduced	
The Steel Industry (Protection) Bill-Introduced	
The Dangerous Drugs Bill—Passed	621-24
Amendment of Standing Orders-Motion to refer to Select	
Committee, adopted	624-25
Election of Members to the Select Committee on the Amend-	
ment of Standing Orders	625
Thursday, 13th February, 1930-	
Motion for Adjournment—Strike on the Great Indian Penin-	
and Duilman Matin for large being ground feller through	897.21
sula Railway-Motion for leave being granted fallen through	021-01
Statement of Business	031-32
	-
mitteea	632-45
The Special Marriage (Amendment) Bill-Discussion on the	- .
Motion to refer to Select Committee adjourned	645-75
Monday, 17th February, 1980—	
Members Swern	677
Members Sworn	677-718
1 1	718-19
Short Notice Question and Answer	719-22
Election of Members for the Select Committee on the Amend-	
	722
	722-27
Statement laid on the Table	727-37
Presentation of the Railway Budget for 1930-31	121-01 H A F
Panel of Chairmen	737
Tuesday, 18th February, 1930—	
The Indian Income-tax (Amendment) BillIntroduced	739-40
The Insolvency Law (Amendment) Bill-Introduced	740
The Indian Tariff (Amendment) Bill-Referred to Select Com-	
	741
The Steel Industry (Protection) Bill—Referred to Select Com-	
mittee	741-44
The Indian Lac Cess (Amendment) Bill-Referred to Select	
	745-47
Committee	140 11
	747
Association	141
Election of Members for the Standing Finance Committee for	
Railways	747
Election of a Panel for the Central Advisory Council for Rail-	
wavs	748
Demands for Supplementary Grants	748-56

CONTENTS-contd.

Tuesday, 18th February, 1980—contd. Elections to the Governing Body of the Indian Association, the Standing Finance Committee	Resear e for l	ch Fund	
and the Central Advisory Council for Railway	s		
Wednesday, 19th February, 1930—			
Election of Members to the Select Committee of	n the	Amend-	
			757
ment of Standing Orders The Railway Budget—General Discussion	•••	•••	
Thursday, 20th February, 1930-			
Questions and Answers	•••		813-22
Orders by His Excellency the Governor-General	i		822-23
Allotment of Dates for the General Budget			822
Discussion of certain Heads of Expenditure b			
			823
tive Assembly Message from His Excellency the Vicercy			823-26
Arrangements for Protection of the Assembly	Cham	ber and	
neglected			
The Indian Income tax (Amendment) Bill—Passe The Cantonments (House-Accommodation Amer	ed, as a	Bill_	
Considered Statement of Business			829-43
Statement of Business			843-44
Arrangements for Protection of the Assembly	Chamb	er and	
its Precincts	• • • •		844-45
Friday, 21st February, 1930-			
Member Sworn The Railway Budget-List of Demands	•••	٠	847
The Railway Budget-List of Demands-	• • •		847-93
Demand No. 1—Railway Board— Standardisation of Wages	•••		847-93
Standardisation of Wages		• • •	847-48
necent revised rates of East Indian Railway	' Wage	g	848-49
Abolition of the additional post of Labour M	lember	on the	
Railway Board			849-84
Displacement of Anglo-Indians and domicil-	ed Eu	ropeans	
on the various Railways			884
Re-examination of the Convention of the	3eparat	tion of	•
Railway Finance from General Finance			884- 93
Saturday, 22nd February, 1930—			
Member Sworn			895
Questions and Answers	•••	•••	89 5-901
Member Sworn Questions and Answers Unstarred Questions and Answers The Railway Endget List of Demands			902-12
The Railway Budget-List of Demands-could	•••		912-66
The Railway Budget—List of Demands—contd. Demand No. 1—Railway Board—contd.	•••		91 2-66
Re-examination of the Convention of the S	 Jonarat	ion of	91 Z-0 0
Railway Finance from General Finance	e han ar		010.44
Relations of Railways with their customers			912-44 944-48
Indianisation of the staff of Railways	•••		948- 49
Failure of the Railway Board to settle Lab	our Pr	obleme	/3U- 3U
and the Great Indian Peninsula Railway Lal	oour tr	oubles	149

CONTENTS-concld.

	PAGES
Saturday, 22nd February, 1930—contd.	
The Railway Budget-List of Demands-contd.	
Demend No. 1-Railway Board-contd.	• • •
Non-development of Railway communications in Orissa Reorganisation of the Central Adviscry Council for Rail-	950-51
ways and of Local Advisory Committees	951-55
Removal of penal clauses from the agreements by Com-	955-58
pany managed Railways and their reintroduction Policy of Government Recruitment to Accounts and Audit	900-00
Department of Railways and how Provincial quota is	
•	958-59
Larger employment of Mussalmans	959-66
Monday, 24th February, 1930— Appointment of Pandit Hirday Nath Kunzru to the Library	
Committee	967
The Indian Tariff (Amendment) Bill—Presentation of the	
Report of the Select Committee	967
The Steel Industry (Protection) Bill-Presentation of the	
Report of the Select Committee	
The Reilway Budget-List of Demands-contd	
Demand No. 1-Railway Board-contd	967-1020
Larger employment of Mussalmans	967-98
Desirability of State Railways running Steamer Services between Important Points in the Railway System	998-1002
The Dacca-Aricha Railway Project	1002-20

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

Monday, 27th January, 1930.

The Assembly met in the Assembly Chamber of the Council House at Eleven of the Clock, Mr. President in the Chair.

MEMBER SWORN.

Rai Bahadur Raizada Bhagat Ram, M.L.A. (Jullundur Division: Non-Muhammadan).

THE INDIAN MERCHANDISE MARKS (AMENDMENT) BILL.

APPOINTMENTS TO THE SELECT COMMITTEE.

Er. K. C. Neogy (Dacca Division: Non-Muhammadan Rural): I beg to move that Mr. W. Alexander, Mr. M. S. Aney, Mr. Abdul Matin Chaudhury and Mr. A. H. Ghuznavi be appointed to the Select Committee on the Bill further to amend the Indian Merchandise Marks Act, 1889. This has been necessitated by the resignation of some Honourable Members of this House who were members of the Committee.

The motion was adopted.

THE INDIAN PATENTS AND DESIGNS (AMENDMENT) BILL.

The Honourable Sir Bhupendra Nath Mitra (Member for Industries and Labour): Sir, I beg to move that the Bill further to amend the Indian Patents and Designs Act, 1911, for certain purposes as reported by the Select Committee, be taken into consideration.

The House will recollect, as explained in my speech of last February when I moved the motion for the appointment of a Select Committee, that the Bill represents an attempt at overhauling the present Indian Patents law, to bring it up to date, and that the amendments proposed were based partly on the revision of the English law undertaken in 1917 and partly on the experience gained in India on the working of the present Act. The Select Committee, whose Report the House will observe is unanimous, and to whom my thanks are due for their care and patience

(283)

[Sir Bhupendra Nath Mitra.]

have made no radical changes in the Bill, though they have proposed certain important alterations which in my opinion have greatly improved the Bill. The alterations proposed by the Committee are generally designed for the greater convenience of those members of the public who have occasion to have recourse to the Patents Office. Thus new clause 3(b) is designed to permit a greater latitude to applicants in making applications for extensions of the ordinary limit of twelve months after which an application for a patent, if not accepted, becomes void. Similarly, in new clause 28-A, the period of limitation for appeals to the Governor General in Council from the orders of the Controller is proposed, for the greater convenience of the public, to be increased from two to three months. The important questions of policy to which the Select Committee have drawn attention in their Report are receiving the careful consideration of Government. Sir, I move.

Sir Purshotamdas Thakurdas (Indian Merchants' Chamber: Indian Commerce): In rising to support the motion before the House, I should not ordinarily have added anything to what has fallen from the Honourable Member in charge, but as he has disposed of the two questions regarding policy, which have been referred to in the Select Committee's Report, with only this assurance that they will receive the attention of Government, I think it necessary to elaborate on these two aspects. The Select Committee's Report was submitted to the House towards the end of the Simla Session, and personally to me it is a matter of regret that the public have not devoted any time to this question in view of the larger engrossment of their attention, in the meantime, in political questions. The fact, however, remains that the measure which is now being amended is a very important one to the commercial and industrial community, and I wish to impress upon my friends on the Treasury Benches the great necessity of Government taking action on the two questions of policy referred to in the Select Committee's Report under clause 28-A, paragraphs 2 and 3. There is the International Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property, and India is not a member of that Convention. One of the main reasons why India cannot be a member of that Convention is, I understand, the absence of registration of trade marks in India. The question about the registration of trade marks in India is not a new one and I find that in the Holland Commission's Report of 1916-18 this question was dealt with very fully. I wish to refer to Volume IV of the Évidence, page 326, where Mr. Rutnagar of the Bombay Textile Journal, giving evidence, pressed for some action or some recommendation by that Commission regarding the registration of trade marks. Honourable Members will find there a short history of trade marks registration, and I have here a quotation from that which would be interesting if I read it to the House. But the quotation being fairly long, I wish only to refer to it by giving a reference to the page, which I have already done. The whole idea regarding the registration of trade marks started in 1877, and from this quotation it appears that the Bombay Chamber of Commerce and the Bombay Millowners Association then said that it was not necessary in the light of the circumstances then prevailing to do anything in the direction of registration of trade marks. Between 1877 and 1929, considerable changes have naturally taken place. I understand that this subject is under the Honourable the Commerce Member and I wish to ask him if he has received any representations lately from commercial bodies in India asking Government to proceed with this question, and if so, what is the attitude of Government regarding this question. I am convinced, Sir, by the opportunity available to me to study this case on the Select Committee, that the few Indians who do go in for patents have suffered a good deal by India not being a party to this International Convention. I feel that, if this absence of registration of trade marks in India is militating against India by her not being a party to this International Convention, and further if there is a demand in India now for registration of trade marks, one may well inquire of the Government of India what justifies them in their policy of doing nothing and saying that the thing was turned down by commercial bodies in 1877. I inquire whether the Government wish to do anything in the near future. I strongly recommend them to move in this direction, and I trust that the remarks made in the Select Committee's Report will be attended to with more promptitude than is usual with Government Departments.

The other point I wish to touch is under the direct control of the Honourable Member in charge of this motion. That is the subject referred to in paragraph 2 under clause 28-A. That, Sir, is a matter, I understand, of the applications for patents and designs being scanned more thoroughly and more minutely than is being done at present. The Honourable Member gave us to understand in the Select Committee that the Government were aware that the staff in the Patents and Designs office was not adequate to cope with the demand. We were told that, in Great Britain, where it is being done much more thoroughly, the staff is much Where Indians have to compete with and hold their own against other able talents in the wide world, I wish to ask my Honourable friend whether it is not a fact that the Government, by not making the same adequate arrangements as are being made in other countries, do not really create a handicap for those Indians who exercise their intelligence in the direction of devising patents and designs? If that is so, I wish to ask the Honourable Member what steps Government propose to take to remove this handicap. I do not wish it, by the slightest inference, to be understood that I have anything to say against the office as staffed at present, and I wish to impress upon the House the able manner in which Mr. Rama Pai, as Controller, has conducted his office. He showed the greatest intimacy with the work with which he is charged, however inadequately his office may be staffed. My complaint is that the Government of India have not kept that Department adequately manned and this tells on the people for whose benefit the Patents and Designs. Act is, I understand, being amended. Sir, I have pleasure in supporting the motion before the House.

The Honourable Sir George Rainy (Member for Commerce and Railways): Sir, I have only a very few words to say with reference to what fell from my Honourable friend Sir Purshotamdas Thakurdas on the subject of the registration of trade marks. I was not aware that the question was likely to be raised today and therefore I am not in a position to deal with the matter quite so fully as otherwise I might have been able to do, but the information I have is as follows. The last time on which the question was formally brought before the Government of India was in December, 1927, as a result of a Resolution passed by the Fifth session of the Indian

[Sir George Rainy.]

Industrial and Commercial Congress held at Madras. The Congress passed a Resolution to the following effect:

"That it was desirable in the interests of Indian trade and industries to introduce at an early date legislation for the registration of trade marks in India, in the absence of which the Indian merchants are put to considerable hardship, both in India and abroad, and India is unable to join the International Convention for the protection of industrial property."

The Commerce Department replied to that letter, and the reply was to the following effect, that we had noted the opinion of the Federation of Indian Chambers, but we added that the question of the introduction of the system of registration of trade marks in India had been considered on no less than ten occasions, and that, on every occasion, it was found that commercial opinion was either unanimously, or in the balance, against the proposal. We stated that in these circumstances the Government of India were not prepared to reopen the matter unless a statement were placed before them indicating clearly the reasons which were held to justify it, and, in particular, the extent of the disability which India suffered by non-adherence to the International Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property and the advantages which it was believed would result from the registration of trade marks.

Unless a reply has come in very recently, I think the matter stands there. That is to say, as far as my information goes, we have not yet had the statement from the Federation of Indian Chambers which we suggested they might send to us. On the other hand, Government are bound to attach weight to the opinion expressed by the Select Committee on the Patents and Designs Bill. The matter has already been examined in the Commerce Department, but I do think it will be helpful to our examination if the Federation of Indian Chambers can see their way to give us the statement we suggested they should send, because that will enable us to focus our minds definitely on the points which the Federation considered important. I can assure the House that any representation of that kind which we may receive will be most fully examined.

Sir Purshotamdas Thakurdas: I wonder, Sir, whether the Honourable Member can tell me when the last inquiry regarding this matter was made? Was it made more than 10 years ago or was it made recently?

The Honourable Sir George Rainy: It was last under discussion in the years 1917 to 1919.

The Honourable Sir Bhupendra Nath Mitra: Sir, I wish to deal briefly with the second of the points to which my Honourable friend Sir Purshotamdes Thakurdas referred. That is the point mentioned in paragraph 2 of the Report of the Select Committee, and it refers to the desirability of tightening up the procedure in India, in regard to the examination of applications for patents, so as to bring it more in line with the procedure followed in England. Now, Sir, this matter received our consideration as soon as we received the Report of the Select Committee. We asked the Controller to examine the matter fully and let us have any specific recommendations he might consider it desirable to make. The

one main difference between the procedure in India and the procedure in England refers to the systematic search for anticipation of inventions. Section 7 of the English Patents Act makes it obligatory on the examiner to investigate whether the invention claimed in a patent application has been wholly or in part claimed or described in any specification, other than a provisional specification not followed by a complete specification, published before the date of the application, and left pursuant to any application for a patent made in the United Kingdom, within 50 years next before the date of the application. Section 5 (1) (c) of the Indian Patents and Designs Act, on the other hand, enjoins that the Controller shall examine every application to see whether the invention, as described and claimed, is prima facie a new manufacture or improvement.

I understand that in India, there are great practical difficulties in following the English procedure in its entirety, i.e., that all specifications of a particular class published before the date of the application within fifty years next before the date of the application should be examined. In India, specifications filed prior to 1912 have not been printed, and the applicants would be put to a considerable loss of time and money in obtaining copies of specifications cited against them if the examination is to be pursued to a period of fifty years back. Even so, Sir, we have not for that reason rejected the recommendation of the Select Committee. We are still pursuing the matter in consultation with the Controller in order to determine to what extent it would be practicable to tighten the Indian procedure in the interests of the general public.

My Honourable friend Sir Purshotaindas Thakurdas was perfectly correct when he referred to the inadequacy of the establishment. As a matter of fact, we hope in the Budget for next year to get a few more examiners and also to raise the pay of the Controller himself, to the commendation of whose services by my Honourable friend I fully subscribe. When we have managed to come to definite conclusions as to the extent to which the Indian procedure can be improved, we will have to ascertain the amount of extra expenditure which this tightoning of the procedure is likely to lead to; and thereafter when it is possible for us to get a grant for the extra establishment, there should be no difficulty on our part in making such improvements in the procedure as may be found to be necessary.

Mr. President: The question is:

"That the Bill further to amend the Indian Patents and Designs Act, 1911, for certain purposes, as reported by the Select Committee be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 to 32 were added to the Bill.

Clause 1 was added to the Bill.

The Title and the Preamble were added to the Bill.

The Honourable Sir Bhupendra Nath Mitra: Sir, I am sorry there is one point to which I have not drawn attention at an earlier stage. In fact it has just caught my eye. In clause 1, sub-clause (2) it is stated that the Bill shall come into force on the 1st day of January 1930. That might have been all right when the Select Committee made its Report, but it is not

[Sir Bhupendra Nath Mitra.]

right at present. I suppose it is not permissible for me, at the third reading stage to move the formal amendment to alter "January" into "July". If that is not permissible, we will have to have the change made in another place.

Mr. President: As a special case, I will allow some other Honourable Member, on behalf of the Government, to move the amendment at the third reading. The motion for third reading must be made.

The Honourable Sir Bhupendra Nath Mitra: I move:

"That the Bill, as amended, be passed."

The Honourable Sir Brojendra Mitter (Law Member): Sir, I move:

"That in clause 1(2), the word 'January' be altered into 'July'."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. President: The question is:

"That the Bill, as amended, be passed."

The motion was adopted.

The Assembly then adjourned till Eleven of the Clock on Tuesday, the 28th January, 1980.