LOK SABHA

JOINT COMMITTEE

ON THE

PREVENTION OF FOOD ADULTERATION (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1963

EVIDENCE



LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

September, 1964/Bhadra 1886 (Saka)
Price Rs. 3.25

WITNESSES EXAMINED

Serial No.	Names of Associations etc. and their spokesmen	Date of hearing	Pages
I .	. All India Hotels-Halwais Federation, Delhi. Spokesmen	4-8-1964	2
	1. Shri R.S. Batalia		
	2. Shri M.H. Vyas		
	3. Shri Sadajivat Lal		
	4. Shri Bhagvati Charan Gupta		
	5. Shri K.N. Iyer		
	6. Shri M.L. Aggarwal		
	7. Shri B.V. Tambe		
	8. Shri A.B.L. Bhargava		
	9. Shri S.C. Das		
	10. Shri Bhai Lal Bhai.		
II	. The Madras Hotels Association, Madras		2
	Spokesmen	4-8-1964	
	1. Shri Basantlal Gupta	• •	
	2. Shri K.A. Subramanian.		
III .	. Delhi Canners Cooperative Industrial Estate, Ltd. —New Delhi		26
	Spokesmen	4-8-1964	
	 Shri Y.K. Kapoor 		
	2. Shri Lakshmi Narain		
	3. Shri J.C. Jindal		
	4. Shri V.N. Kaura.		
IV .	U.P. Sanitary Inspectors' Association, Agra		35
	Spokesmen	4-8-1964	
	1. Shri J.N. Arora	,	
	2. Shri K.C. Saxena		
	3. Shri U.S. Kulshreshtha.		
v .	. Kirana Committee (Regd.), Delhi		45
	Spokesmen	5-8-1964	
	1. Shri Kishori Lal Mehra	J 0 1904	
	2. Shri Ram Chander Amar		
	3. Shrl Gopi Chand		
VI	. Delhi Provision Merchants' Association, Delhi.		47
	Spokesmen	5-8-1964	
	1. Shri G.C. Khanna	5-0-1904	
	2. Shri Girdhari Lal		
	3. Shri Vijay Kumar		

Serial No.	Names of Associations etc. and their spokesmen	Date of hearing	Pages
νII	. All Delhi Parchoon Dukandar Association, Delhi.		47
	Spokesmen	5-8-1964	
	1. Shri Basati Lal		
	2. Shri Ram Lal		
	3. Shri Kedar Nath		
VIII	. Dry Fruit, Kariana & Chemical's, Retailers Welfare Association, Delhi.	•	47
	Spokesmen	5-8-1964	
	1. Shri J.L. Duggal	•	
	2. Shri Hari Kishen		
	3. Shri Fateh Chand Nascem		
IX.	. Federation of All India Foodgrain Dealers' Association, Delhi.		47
	Spokesmen	5-8-1964	
	I. Shri V.S. Aggarwal	•	
	2. Shri Vallabh Das Peraj		
x .	, Indian Produce Association, Calcutta.		47
	Spokesmen	5-8-1964	
	1. Shri S.N. Murarka		
	2. Shri C.L. Gupta		
	3. Shri R.S. Sharma		
XI.	. All India Ice Cream Manufacturers Association, New Delhi.		93
	Spokesmen	6-8-1964	
	I. Shri P.L. Lamba		
	2. Shri P.L. Vohra		
	3. Shri B.R. Kapur		
XII	. The Baroda City Pure Milk Suppliers' As sociation, Baroda.	-	93
	Spokesmen	6-8-1964	
	I. Shri N.C. Bumiya		
	2. Shri Jadgish Chandra Mawawala		
	3. Shri Vadilal Shah		
	4. Shri Chandubhai Pothiwala.		
XIII	. Poona Dairymen's Association, Poona.		93
	Spokesmen	6-8-1964	
	 Shri A.K. Phadke 		
	2. Shri R.B. Chitale		
	3. Shri Babulal Cherenjivlal		
	4. Shri Mohamed Husain Jeena		
	5. Shri Hari Prasad		
	6. Shri R.S. Joshi		

Serial No.	Names of Associations etc. and their spokesmen	Date of hearing	Pages
xiv. ,	Deshi Makkhan Vyapari Sangh (Regd.), Bombay.		124
	Spokesmen	6-8-1964	
	1. Shri V.G. Sohoni		
	2. Shrimati H.K. Lawande		
	3. Dr. N.N. Godbole		
	4. Shrimati Laxmi Bai Ambole		
	5. Shri Mohan Singh Verma.		
xv	Butter Wakers' Association, Bombay		124
	Spokesmen	6-8-1964	
	I. Shri Naval Nariman	> - 4	
	2. Shri B.A. Sanghavi		
	3. Dr. N.N. Godbole		
	4. Shri Dhunjishaw R. Kalianiwala		
	5. Shri A. Chakrapani		
	6. Shrimati N. Nariman.		
XVI.	The Indo Afghan Chamber of Commerce, D	elhi.	14
	Spokesmen	7-8-1964	
	 Shri Nuruddin Ahmed Shri Ajudhia Nath Shri Kundan Lal Bhasin Shri Sunder Lal 		
XVII.	Northern India Hing Merchants Association,		14
	Spokesmen	7-8-1964	
	 Shri O. P. Malhotra Shri A. Pandey Shri L. D. Bhatia. 		
XVIII.	Delhi Katha Dealers Association (Regd.) Del	hi	15
	Spokesmen 1. Shri Rattan Chand Mehta 2. Shri Bishan Dass Mehta.	7-8-1964.	
XIX.	. The Tea Packeters Association of India, Calc	cutta	
	Spokesmen	7-8-1964.	
	1. Shri T. S. Nagarajan]		
	2. Shri R. N. Das.		
XX	. Brooke Bond India Private Limited, Calcutt		10
	Spokesmen	7-8-1964.	
	 Shri T. S. Nagarajan Shri J. C. Brock 		

Serial No.	Names of Associations etc. and their spokesmen	Date of hearing	Pages
XXI.	. Calcutta Tea Traders Association, Calcutta		16
	Spokesmen . · .	7-8-1964.	
	1. Shri J. C. Brock		
	2. Shri M. R. Smith		
	3. Shri J. Hollander		
XXII.	. Calcutta Tea Merchants' Association, Calcu	utta.'	16
	Spokesmen	7-8-1964.	
	1. Shri M. G. Patel		
	2. Shri Jayantilal H. Shah		
	3. Shri P. M. Rajgopal		
XXIII.	. West Bengal Spice Dealers' Federation, Cal	cutta	17
	Spokesmen	7-8-1964.	·
	1. Shri Chittaranjan Barat	,	
	2. Shri Nishi Kanta Halder		
	3. Shri Hirendra Chandra Burman		
XXIV.	Bengal Spice Dealers Association, Calcutta		r
26261 V .	Spokesmen		•
	•	7-8-1864.	
	 Shri Ajit Kumar Kar Shri Dhirendra Chandra Deb 		
	3. Shri Dinabandhu Roy		
VV (7	-		
XXV.	 Ahmedabad Spices and Condiments Dealer Association, Ahmedabad. 	*8	1
	Spokesmen	7-8-1964.	
	1. Shri Kantilal Ambalal Shah	, , , , , , ,	
	2. Shri Jayantilal Jethlal Patel		
	3. Shri Ramanlal Jivanlal Shah		
XXVI.	. Calcutta Kirana (Spices) Merchants Associ	ation	
	Calcutta.	шегод,	1
	Spokesmen	7-8-1964.	
	1. Shri M. L. Tambi		
	2. Shri Joy Kumar Jain		
	3. Shri B. B. Pal		
XXVII.	. Ghee Merchants' Association, Belgaum.		1
	Spokesmen	7-8-1964.	<u>-</u> ,
	1. Shri Arvindrao Joshi	,/-7	
	2. Shri S. Y. Kakatkar		
	3. Shri V. V. Pendse		
	4. Shri Babubhai Padamshi		
	5. Shri Ramkrishna Kalghatgi		
	6. Shri Shantilal J. Modi.		

Serial No.	Names of Associations etc., and the	heir spokesmen Date of hearing	Pages
XXVIII.	Gujarat Suddh Ghee Vepari M Spokesmen	iahamandal, Ahmedabad 7-8-1964	192
	 Shri Jamana Shanker Pano Shri Chinubhai N. Shah Shri Shantilal C. Shah Jivanlal R. Patel Shri Sunderlal G. Modi 	ly a	
XXIX.	The Ghee Merchants Association,	Bembay	197
	<i>Spokesman</i> Shri Shantilal J <i>M</i> odi.	7-8-1964	
XXX.	The Guntur Kirana Retail Mero Spokesmen	chants Association,Guntur	200
	 Shri M. Satyanarayana Shri S. Varahala Rao 	/-0- 1904	
xxxì.	Andhra Pradesh Retail Kirana Merchants Federation, Viziawada		200
	Spokesman 1. Shri V. V. Punnaiya	7-8-1964	
XXXII.	Bhesal Pratibandhak Kamkaj Cor	nmittee, Poons	200
	Spokesmen 1. Shri M. E. Jeena 2. Shri R. S. Joshi 3. Shri Chiman Lal Panachano 4. Shri Chuni Lal Shankar Lal		
XXXIII.	Dukandar Samiti (Regd.) Dehra I)un	200
	Spokesmen 1. Shri Balgobind Khanna 2. Shri Tara Chand	7-8-1964	
XXXIV.	North Calcutta Traders Association	n, Calcutta	200
	<i>Spokesmar</i> Shri Sontosh Kumar Lodh	7-8-1964	
XXXV.	Bezwada Commercial Association (Regd.) Vijayawada		200
	Spokesmen 1. Shri Anumalasetty Sreeram 2. Shri Kakaraparthy Venkates		
XXVI.	Andhra Pradesh Yadava Sangham, Secunderabad		
	Spokesmen 1. Shri G. Mallaidh 2. Shri Barmaji 3. Shri Keshav Lalji	8-8-1964	216

Serial No.	Names of Associations etc., and their spokesmen	Date of hearing	Page
XXXVII .	Delhi Ghee Merchants Association, New Delhi.		223
	Spokesmen	8-8-1964	
	 Shri Puran Mal Shri Baldev Bansal Shri Om Parkash Malhotra 		
XXXVIII.	Delhi Oil Merchants Association, Delhi		233
	Spokesmen	8-8-1964	
	 Shri Uttam Chand Jain Shri Khairati Lal Jain 		
xxxix .	Shri A. P. Jain, M. P.	18-8-1964	241
XL .	Shri H. K. L. Bhagat, Deputy Mayor of Delhi	18-8-1964	255
XLI .	Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee, Minister of Health, West Bengal	18-8-1964	270
XLII .	Shri B. R. Gupta, Secretary, Department of Health, Government of West Bengal	18-8-1964	27 0

JOINT COMMITTEE ON THE PREVENTION OF FOOD ADULTERATION (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1963

Minutes of Fvidence given before the Joint Committee on the Prevention of Food Adulteration (Amendment) Bill 1963

Tuesday, the 4th August, 1964 at 13.37 hours.

PRESENT

Dr. Sarojini Mahishi-Chairman

MEMBERS

Lok Sabha

- 2. Shri K. L. Balmiki
- 3. Shri Sonubhau Dagadu Baswant
- 4. Shrimati Jyotsna Chanda
- 5. Shri N. C. Chatterjee
- 6. H. H. Maharaja Pratap Kesharj Deo
- 7. Shri Shiv Charan Gupta
- 8. Shri Tulshidas Jadhav
- 9. Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath
- 10. Shri C. M. Kedaria
- 11. Dr. Mahadeva Prasad
- 12. Shri Yamuna Prasad Mandal
- 13. Shri Gokulananda Mohanty
- 14. Dr. C. B. Singh
- 15. Shri U. M. Trivedi
- 16. Shrimati V. Vimla Devi
- 17. Dr. Sushila Nayar.

Rajya Sabha

- 18. Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja
- 19. Shri J. C. Chatterjee
- 20. Shri K. Damodaran
- 21. Shri S. S. Mariswami
- 22. Shri Deokinandan Narayan
- 23. Shri Palat Kunhi Koya
- 24. Shri Niranjan Singh.

DRAFTSMAN

Shri S. Harihara Iyer, Deputy Draftsman, Legislative Department, Ministry of Law.

REPRESENTATIVES OF THE MINISTRY

- 1. Shri R. K. Ramadhyani, Secretary, Ministry of Health.
- 2. Shri Gian Prakash, Joint Secretary, Ministry of Health.
- 3. Shri A. S. Bawa, Deputy Secretary, Ministry of Health.
- 4. Dr. Y. K. Subrahmanyam, A.D.G. (P.H.), Ministry of Health.
- 5. Shri Amar Nath Varma, Under Secretary, Ministry of Health. 874(Aii) LS-1.

SECRETARIAT

Shri A. L. Rai-Deputy Secretary.

WITNESSES EXAMINED

- I.All India Hotels-Halwais Federation. Delhi.
 - 1. Shri R. S. Batalia
 - 2. Shri M. H. Vyas
 - 3. Shri Sadajivat Lal
 - 4. Shri Bhagvati Charan Gupta
 - 5. Shri K. N. Iyer
 - 6. Shri M. L. Aggarwal
 - 7. Shri B. V. Tambe
 - 8. Shri A. B. L. Bhargava
 - 9. Shri S. C. Das
 - 10. Shri Bhai Lal Bhai.
- II. The Madras Hotels Association, Madras.
 - 1. Shri Basantlal Gupta
 - 2. Shri K. A. Subramanian.
- III. Delhi Canners Co-operative Industrial Estate Ltd., New Delhi.
 - 1. Shri Y. K. Kapoor
 - 2. Shri Lakshmi Narain
 - 3. Shri J. C. Jindal
 - 4. Shri V. N. Kaura.
- IV. U.P. Sanitary Inspectors' Association, Agra.
 - 1. Shri J. N. Arora
 - 2. Shri K. C. Saxsena
 - 3. Shri U. S. Kulshreshtha.
- I. All India Hotels-Halwais Federation, Delhi

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shrl R. S. Batalia
- 2. Shri M. H. Vyas
- 3. Shri Sadajivat Lal
- 4. Shri Bhagvati Charan Gupta
- 5. Shri K. N. Iyer
- 6. Shri M. L. Aggarwal
- 7. Shri B. V. Tambe
- 8. Shri A. B. L. Bhargava
- 9. Shri S. C. Das
- 10. Shri Bhai Lal Bhai.
- II. The Madras Hotels Association, Madras.

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri Basantlal Gupta
- 2. Shri K. A. Subramanian.

(Witnesses were called in and they took their seats)

Chairman: The memoranda submitted by you have been circulated to all the hon. Members. If you want to stress any particular point or add any new points, you may do so. The evidence given by you will be treated as public unless you specifically want that the whole or any part of it should be confidential. Even then it will be circulated to all the hon. Members. If you wish to suggest any amendments, you can do so.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: I am from the distant Madras and I am here not to tell you that we are against the prevention of food adulteration. We are one with the Government in that desire to see that there is no adulteration in food. What we are worried about is how far we as hoteliers or as sellers of food are made responsible for adulteration if it is detected to be there. We have been purchasing our things just like any other householder from the market. We have no means to find out adulteration or not. Take for instance rice or oil or ghee or milk; we buy just as any other householder does. The only difference is that we are bulk consumers. We have no means to find out whether the things that we purchase in bulk are not adulterated or do not come up to the standards prescribed by Government

If there is any adulteration, where should it be stopped? My suggestion is that it has got to be stopped at the manufacturing stage itself. Take the supply of milk. Our experience is that even a Government colony at Madhayaram which is one of the milk colonies started by Government, has not been able to supply milk of the requisite quality.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Even the hon. Minister had an unpleasant experience yesterday.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: I was coming to that. There was a fly inside that milk bottle, supplied by Government.

- Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The Committee has begun its work well.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: Firstly, with regard to representation of the trade on the committee set up to draw standards that is the first point which I wish to discuss. I would very much wish that trade should be given due representation so that they may put forth their difficulties: let it not be purely an official committee or a non-official committee.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: It is neither; it is a committee of experts.

Shri Basantial Gupta: We have to put forth our views and tell them what standards are possible and what standards should be prescribed.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Are we to be guided by persons who are only interested in selling their goods? Besides, I find that there are two representatives of industry and commerce nominated by the Central Government so that there is representation.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: I submit that the representation may be enlarged.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: You want representation for your federation?

Shri Basantlal Gupta: Yes. There are many federations. As far as South is concerned we have got one body called the Madras provincial hoteliers' association and I can speak as far as Madras State is concerned. other States, there are other friends who will deal with it. So far as Madras is concerned, there is only one federation. I am speaking for Madras There are two associations-State. the Madras Hotels Association and the Madras Provincial Hotels' Association to which the various associations in the State are affiliated.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: You want representation for Madras State or for the hoteliers all over India?

Shri Basantlal Gupta: My view is my State should have a representation on the central committee. My next point is about the powers which the inspectors are invested with. The Bill says "where they may have reason to believe that a particular article of food is adulterated.." That is really investing the inspectors with very wide powers. Considering the fact that the penalties imposed under the Act are very severe, we have to be cautious.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The punishment comes only if the guilt is proved; not on catching the specimen.

Shri Basantial Gupta: I say that the power to seize should be restricted. It should not be left to his discretion. He should be told under what conditions and circumstances he can seize an article of food.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Can you tell us what should be the conditions?

Shri Basantlal Gupta: He should state in writing what makes him seize the article. It is not enough for him to say "I have reason to believe that it is adulterated":

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You want to safeguard against mala fide seizure?

Shri Basantial Gupta: Yes, because he can seize the entire lot. He has got very wide powers now.

Chairman: Under the Act, if an inspector seizes an article without any reason, then he is also subjected to some penalty.

Shri Bansantlal Gupta: He may be penalised later for misusing his powers, but the dealer is put to a terrible loss. There is no security for him.

Regarding witnesses, it should not be left to the discretion of the inspector to produce any witness he pleases. There should be two respectable independent witnesses from the locality.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Then he cannot function at all. There is a proposal

to do away with the witnesses because witnesses are very reluctant to come and give evidence. When the sample is taken, there are three portions. One is left with you and the other two portions are taken away. It is all sealed and you can make your own mark on the seal. So, where is the need for witnesses? This is a question to be considered.

Shri Basantial Gupta: Efforts should be made to stop adulteration at the very source. For example, in regard to milk, there is no method to find out whether the milk is added with water. We do not have any means of finding out what type of milk we are getting. Even the milk from Government projects and cooperative societies is found to be adulterated. Recently in Maras there was a cooperative society which was prosecuted for adulterating milk.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Since you are a bulk consumer, if you keep a lactometer in your hotel, at least water can be detected.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: I am sorry to say that lactometer test is no test at all.

Dr. C. B. Singh: Lactometer testing is a very quick way of finding out if water has been added to milk. It will give you the density of the milk at once. Detailed analysis can be done in the laboratory. But in a majority of cases, they just add water and that can be detected by the lactometer. How do you say it is useless?

Shri Basantial Gupta: If the hom. Members are satisfied that the lactometer test is perfect....

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That is not the question; but it is sufficient for your purpose to find out whether you are getting milk adulterated with water. It is for your own protection.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: We are here only to put forward our case for our own protection. Apart from joining with other consumers in the demand.

that food should not be adulterated, our anxiety now is also to protect ourselves against anything which we do not commit.

Chairman: Your point is that adulteration of milk should be stopped at source.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: Yes.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: If it is prevented at the source, what is the remedy that you have if your servants adulterate it?

Shri Basantlal Gupta: When I purchase I must at least be sure of what I am purchasing. If my servant adds water to it, at least I can try to fit the guilt on somebody but here is a case where I have absolutely no means to find out the content of water in the milk.

Shri N. C. Chaterjee: Is it your point that if you get absolutely genuine stuff at the origin then you are prepared to undertake the reponsibility?

Shri Basantlal Gupta: Definitely we will and we should. We cannot shirk it then.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: What prevents one from going and buying cheaper stuff which may not be of a good quality. The people who sell say, "If the consumer wants to pay less and get inferior quality goods, what can we do?"

Shri Basantlal Gupta: We are in a vicious circle, but nothing prevents the vendor to say to the consumer. If am giving you the genuine stuff and this is my price for it. The customer can take it or leave it. But, unfortuntely, it is not so.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Some of them are doing it.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: For people who are purchasing in bulk it is really very difficult to purchase the genuine

stuff. As I have told you even the samples of milk of the co-operative society were found to be adulterated. Even the milk supplied by Government projects has been found to be tampered with. I happen to be on the advisory committee of the Madhavaram milk project and I know that every day we get complaints from consumers of filled bottles being tampered with. So, it cannot be said that we are prepared to pay for the genuine stuff.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: This is a vicious circle, as you say. You say that you cannot get genuine stuff; the man who sells says that if the customers are not prepared to pay the price what can they do. So, we can go on shifting the responsibility all along the line.

Shri Basantial Gupta: What I have been trying to stress before the Committee is that it is a practical difficulty. It is not as if we are not anxious to do what is expected of us. We are anxious to do our utmost. I may tell you that we take guarantees in respect of the ghee that we buy.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Very good; you can take the guarantee always.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: What happens if after taking the guarantee when I open the tin, serve the preparation to my customers and a sample is taken which is found to be prepared from adulterated ghee?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You can catch the man from whom you got it.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: Unfortunately, I cannot do that.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I think, the law provides that if the guarantee is there and if an unsealed tin is there, he cannot be held responsible.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: Even when a guarantee is given by the supplier

things are found to be adulterated when a sample is taken. Even when samples are taken from tins with agmark seal, they are found to be adulterated.

Chairman: When you know the name of the party and when you have got the guarantee, why can you not file a suit for damages under the law of contracts?

Shri Basantlal Gupta: Firstly, it is the question of satisfying the law. How far as suit for damages will be maintained is another matter, but I am being prosecuted and my liberty is in danger.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I think, it is there that if you have got, say, two or three tins which are sealed on taking the sample from the unopened tin the same adulteration is found as in the opened tin, the responsibility will shift from you.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: But will it be allowed in law?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That is one of the amendments that is being proposed.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: That should be provided; otherwise, it will be unfair because you will not be punishing the real criminal. But suppose the stuff is tested and certified at the source and then it is passed on to you, are you prepared to undertake the responsibility and suffer the consequences of law?

Shri Basantial Gupta; Yes; we should and we will because we cannot say that we do not know what kind of stuff was given to us if the stuff is tested and certified at the source and is then given to us.

An Hon. Member: What is the remedy that you suggest?

Shri Basantlal Gupta: All these things should be tested at the source. If it is checked 50 per cent of the adulteration will disappear. I will say it will be even more. Mere deterrent

punishment is not going to set things right. You may say, I am an interested person and I want to escape and that is why I am saying, don't impose deterrent punishment.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Do you mean to say that every item of food must be supplied only by the Government?

Shri Basantlal Gupta: I don't mean that. Take rice which is an item of food, on which we depend and we clamour for it. We still continue to take rice. I purchase rice from market. However much I try to separate the stone from it, it is not possible to separate stones from rice.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Some brother of yours has set up a factory to make the stones.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: My suggestion is this. Even if he is my brother, hang him. That is my suggestion.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: When you purchase for hundred people or 500 people or 1000 people, it is your duty to test the rice before you purchase and see that you are not buying it with stones.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: It has been said that somebody in the trade would be preparing the stones. Why can't we sack those people. It will be a lesson for all others. They will stop doing this.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: If you lead us to them certainly we will do it.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: Even a man with ordinary diligence and ordinary care and even special care could not find out whether something is adulterated or not. Even with diligence and special care one cannot find it out.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: For an average consumer it is not possible. But for a large scale consumer like you it should be possible to find out. You can keep a small laboratory if necessary. You can have a consumer cooperative from which you can buy.

There has been a feeling which is expressed on behalf of all consumers. Now, in, the hotels you might be aware of a system whereby the vegetables and things left over are made into cutlets and served at the next meal. Sometimes it is very bad and people can get sick. So, unless and until there is this thing and this determination on the part of the trade to be fair to the consumer, I do not see what can be done. Our lawyer friends will be able to say more.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: That is not called adulteration.

Shri Basant Lal Gupta: I don't hold brief for those who are guilty of such offences as serving left overs at the next meal or the next day. I do not hold any brief for them. If they do it certainly they are not playing fair game.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: What is your practical suggestion?

Shri Basant Lal Gupta: You have to find out all those factories in which such stones are manufactured which is being mixed with rice. Let everybody see who is doing what. What I say is this, I am not doing any act for which you are punishing me.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: You can saydon't catch hold of me. But can you trace the origin of it? Then we can catch hold of the man who is the real culprit.

Shri Basant Lal Gupta: It is not possible for us to find out whether a particular stuff is genuine or not.

An Hon. Member: Is it not possible to find out with the particular machine whether the milk is pure or not?

Shri Basant Lal Gupta: When you make a law it is applicable not only to hotels, but to halwais carrying on small milk shops and those working in panchayats and municipalities and numerous towns and villages. How far that man can afford a test of that

type is the question. You know how milk is collected even in big hotels. All these vendors having 10 or 15 cattle bring the milk to the hotel. That milk is measured. If early morning at 5 o'clock the first supply of milk does not come, our customers would not get their first coffee.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: I was trying to differentiate between impossibility and reasonable care.

Shri Basant lal Gupta: If it is not reasonable, it will become an impossibility.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: This machine costs only Rs. 300 or so. If you can get this done once or twice at any time convenient to you and the seller, it will be good. For once if the vendor knows that he will lose his business if he supplies bad stuff, he would not do so again.

Dr. Mahadeva Prasad: Supposing as you suggest we check the adulteration at the source, how do you guarantee that adulteration may not take place at the next stage?

Shri Basant Lai Gupta: It is very grave risk we are undertaking in such a case. Even after checking in the source, it is a very grave responsibility when, particularly these days, nothing could be done at any stage. The relationship between the employer and the employee is not very quite safe. Once a thing is certified that it is genuine, we have got to take the responsibility to see that the stuff is not adulterated at our place.

Chairman: He has already made it clear that adulteration is primarily committed at the source and more than 50 per cent responsibility will lie with the suppliers of raw materials and only minor responsibility with others.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Suppose a checking is imposed at the source. If thereafter adulteration is discovered

at your place, will you undertake the responsibility for that?

Shri Basant Lal Gupta: I cannot escape that. I realise the difficulty there too. I am quite sure that the honourable Member who is putting the question is also aware of our difficulty. But I make bold to say that we will have to take up some responsibility or the other. If the stuff that comes to our hand is genuine, we have got to do our utmost to see that when it passes to our customers it is genuine.

Shri Niranjan Singh: You suggestion is that there should be checking at the source. How can you or anybody interfere and check when someone is preparing ghee? Suppose in a small oil mill, something is mixed with the oil, can you check up that thing? It is not a big mill. It is a small mill in a village.

Shri Basant Lal Gupta: My answer to the question of the honourable Member would be that all those small suppliers should not directly sell anything. They should pool together all the supplies in the village and unless it is certified at that stage, it should not be taken out for sale.

Shri Niranjan Singh: You mean that this should be done by the cooperative society or by some State trading organisation.

Dr. C. B. Singh: The basic problem is that the supply is so little and the demand is so great. Unless you increase the supply, these things will continue. You have not said anything about it. You are wasting your time on matters which we cannot do anything about.

Shri Basant Lal Gupta: I am very sorry that I have been wasting the time of this august Committee. I have come here from 1500 miles away just to place our views before this honourable committee and not to waste the time of the Committee. I

shall only say that I crave the protection of the Chair.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You need not worry about it. I do not think he oreall meant it.

Shri Basant Lal Gupta: Thank you very much.

Dr. Mahadeva Prasad: Whatever they have stated in their memoranda need not be repeated.

Chairman: I am also requesting him to stress only new points.

Shri Basant Lal Gupta: All adulteration, whether it is injurious to health or not injurious to health and sub-standard article of food are treated on par. Even non-observance of the rules of licence are equally as much an offence as it is for selling adulterated food. As a matter of fact, the conditions of licence are to deal with hygiene, sanitary conditions etc.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Don't you think that if some of the conditions are not implemented it will kill the people? You know that cholera is going on in some parts of the country and the flies may sit on the uncovered food and people may eat the same. Thus, cholera will spread.

Shri Basant Lal Gupta: It does not denote adulteration

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Ignorance is no protection against law. Eminent law-yers here will agree with me in this.

Shri Basant Lal Gupta: I hope that the lawyer friends here will help us also. Unless there is criminal intention, a person should not be punished for an act.

Chairman: Intention or otherwise, why should the poor consumer be punished for that?

Shri Basant Lal Gupta: If an offence is committed inadvertently, I don't think anyone should be punished. Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Do you know that in mens rea, that is, intention to kill or to injure is the essence of offence?

Shri Basant Lai Gupta: We realise the difficulties of lawyers. But we are also anxious to follow the law. We are anxious to follow the law and act within the four coners of it. But how far will it be practicable if you start with this suspicion that we are the persons who commit the offence, this and that. We cannot get justice in that case.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: What shall we say then? When a crime is committed, we are anxious to catch the offender. We catch him wherever we find that such a crime is committed. The crime will have to start from the person who is dealing with the incriminating articles. If person is left out that will lead on to the next man. Then the search for the real culprit can go on which would mean a wild goose chase. You have to suggest something by which we can bring in the culprit to book. Tell us as to how is it possible to do that?

Shri Basant Lal Gupta: We are being asked to do a thing which is impossible for us to do.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I would respectfully disagree with the contention that this is impossible. Which section are you referring to?

Shri Basant Lai Gupta: I am referring to rules 49 and 50 with regard to issue of licences. Rules are already there for this purpose. This is a real occasion for us to bring to the notice of this rulemaking Committee regarding the difficulties experienced in regard to those rules. Most of these conditions are mentioned in the licences granted by the Corporation. I was just saying that you are duplicating the things by putting the condition here and there in the matter of granting of a licence.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Then what is the objection that you have?

Shri Basant Lel Gupta: As I said, there is not much difference between the rules regarding adulteration of food for which a person is punished and the non-observance of some conditions with regard to the grant of licence.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: As the hon. Minister put it, is it not a duty to prevent cholera, typhoid and other diseases taking place? We gave you the illustration of adulteration of vegetable food being prepared. You felt annoyed at some of the remarks made by an hon member just now.

Shri Basant Lal Gupta: I never telt annoyed. I am not at all annoyed.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Do you think that you cannot support the idea of having any control over the cleaning of utensils?

Shri Basant Lal Gupta: You are imposing some penalty due to inadvertance on the part of the employers to follow a particular condition laid down in the licence. You are confusing this point with the active adulteration of food.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: There are different rules for that. There is a penalty clause, Which particular clause in the Bill are you referring to? What concrete suggestions do you make? We want to understand that.

Shri Basant Lal Gupta: We shall take that up.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Have you finished with your explanations?

Shri Basant Lal Gupta: At present there is no timelimit in regard to analysing of the food articles or even for filing a chargesheet on the vendor. That is being done after years. There had been occasions when it became impossible to file a chargesheet. The vendor comes to know about this only after a charge sheet is made

against him. Before he could get the analysis of samples this is made against him. He is practically without a defence. So, your suggestion is that the analysis should be done immediately.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That has been stated.

Shri Basant Lal Gupta: There should be some timelimit and the vendors should be furnished with a copy of the results of the analysis done immediately.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: How much time is taken by the Madras Corporation in declaring results of chemical examination?

Shri Basantlal Gupta: They take a lot of time-it goes upto two months. Sometimes charge sheet is earlier. He comes to know of the results of the chemical examination only after the charge sheet is filed against him. There are two stages involved—one is the declaration of the results of the analysis and the other stage is that if the samples are found to be adulterated then they are prosecuted. They are informed that they are being prosecuted. I only that they should be given time by the Corporation to explain before they are prosecuted.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: You say that the Corporation takes away samples of milk etc.. from your hotels and they take a long time in declaring the results of the analysis.

Shri Basantial Gupta: As things stand at present, they are not communicating the results of the analysis to the vendor or the hotelier. If anything is found adulterated, the vendor is always asked to appear before them. He does not know as to what has happened to the results of the analysis. As least they should put them at the notice board of the Corporation..

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: Even if they put it up in the notice board, how could it be helpful to the vendor?

Shri Basantlal Gupta: I think it is but fair that the sample taken for analysis should be analysed at the earliest possible time and the results should be communicated to the vendor immediately. If it is found adulterated, then a charge sheet should be filed within a reasonable time.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Government will try to expedite that job.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: Regarding samples that are taken, instead three there should be four-one may be left with the vendors so that he can utilise that for testing that in the laboratory of his choice; he can get it analysed there and know as to what the result is instead of waiting sample months together. First taken away by the Food Inspector; he gets that tested in the laboratory immediately. I think there should be no difficulty in allowing one sample being tested by the vendor in laboratory of his choice.

An Hon. Member: After all, the number of laboratories with whom we can depend is limited and the man concerned will be at liberty to get it tested in a laboratory of his choice.

Dr. Shushila Nayar: Would it not be better for the vendors to get them analysed at the time of purchase of the articles so that they can ensure that there is safety. When you make a bulk purchase all that you are supposed to do is that you take that much precaution to have it analysed before it comes to you so that you are safe.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: What I wish only to impress on the hon. Minister is the practical way in which our purchases are made. As I said, particularly take, for instance, day-to-day purchases like milk.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Why do you talk of milk? Even in regard to

milk, that is possible. It may not be possible to do it every morning. But if you do it once in a while and have a random check, you can do it. Suppose vendor 'A' gives you adulterated milk and vendor 'B' gives you good milk. You can ask vendor 'A' not to give you milk. After all, you are a bulk purchaser. You are purchasing a large quantity. That can put a check on him. What I wish to say is that you can do a tremendous amount of checking adulteration by refusing to buy from a person who sells adulterated goods to you. Each man must do his job. Each man must not try to pass on the buck on somebody else.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Now-a-days there is no system of checking. Whenever you make a bulk purchase, you take it on trust. Is it not so?

Shri Basantial Gupta: We take it to a large extent on trust. As far as South is concerned, we impose a condition of the quantum of Khoya that comes out of milk. In south we measure milk by measures. Supposing a person brings ten measures of milk, we take aside a quarter of that and prepare khoya in his presence and on the basis of the khoya it yields, the money is paid to him.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: There may be starch in it; there may be singhara in it.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: That is what has been followed from time immemorial.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: Why does not your association take the responsibility of making purchases for all and then have it distributed?

Shri Basantlal Gupta: Would you realise the purchas of these articles, at what time they are purchased and distributed? As I told you, if I have got half a dozen concerns in the city, it is not possible.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: What the hon. Member is suggesting is not unrealistic. During the War it started here

in India that in smaller hospitals and district hospitals the medicines supplied were of low quality, adulterated and so on. So, an organisation was set up for making bulk chases, for testing them in the laboratories and supplying them to all the places. It became so popular during the War that that organisation is continuing even today. Unfortunately, it has not extended sufficiently to cover all the hospitals but it is covering more than half the hospitals in the country in supplying the quality drugs. Similarly, here also it could be done. We are all agreed you have also agreed that the evil of adulteration must be checked. Then, everybody has to play the role. particularly the hoteliers trade. They can, as the hon. Member suggested, set up some agency, a cooperative society or whatever you like and supply most of the things. After don't we make purchases from some of these places? Similarly, you can do it here also

Shri Basantlal Gupta: All those things are not perishable.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: There are some articles which are not perishable. But the point is this. We should not catch you with adulterated stuff. We should go in search of a man who has prepared the adulterated stuff and sold it to you. You say, "I have purchased it from 'X'." Then, we go to 'X'. And then 'X' says, "I have purchased it from 'Y"'. Then, we go to 'Y'. That kind of a thing can be done. But if they leave you out of the picture completely and put no responsibility on you, then nothing can be done.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: If I obtain a guarantee of the purity, that is not considered enough under the law. It goes further to prove that the diet has not undergone any change.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We are proposing here a complete warranty clause. You can take warranty but you have to be responsible to see that after the stuff has come in your possession it

has not been adulterated with anything or it has not been tempered with. That is obvious. Without that, how can you proceed any further?

Shri Basantlal Gupta: Having taken a guarantee, and if my guarantee is sufficient, I am able to prove by reasonable evidence that I have not done anything to the article that I have purchased.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: After you get an article under guarantee and it comes into your possession, you must undertake the responsibility after suffering the consequences, whether you do it or your servant does it or your cook does it, that is a different matter.

Chairman: He has made it clear. Only a minor portion of responsibility lies thereafter.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: I thank the Chair and the hon. Members of the Committee . . .

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Not so soon we have to put some questions.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: Mr. Vyas is also going to deal with the question.

Chairman: We can ask the questions later. Both of them can answer.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: I thank you for the opportunity that has been given to us.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Then he need not thank us so soon.

Shri M. H. Vyas: I am the honorary General Secretary of the All-India Hotels and Halwais Federation, Delhi. We have got another central office at Bombay. We are representing 11 States.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You do not represent Madras.

Shri M. H. Vyas: We do not represent Madras, Orissa, Assam and Kerala.

We represent Maharashtra, Gujarat, Andhra and all the rest.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You are the Hony, Secretary and by profession an advocate?

Shri M. H. Vyas: Yes. I am very thankful to the hon. Chairman and the hon. Members of the Committee for giving me this opportunity and to my organisation to be present before your goodself to put forth our case. We have submitted the Memorandum to you.

Now, at the very outset, I want to stress the point as to what is the part that the hotel owners and halas the house wais play. Just holder is there, in the same way the hotels owners and halwais are there who are not only in the big cities but they are also in the remote villages. They are doing useful social service inasmuch as they provide food and all other amenities to the people who are homeless or those who for some reason or the other have to go out of place for some work or some other reason. They are provided all amenities which they ordinarily get at their homes. Therefore, for practical purposes, these are the homes, say, common homes for such people on a bigger scale. We are also purchasing all these articles from market just as a house-holder does it. Now. Just as a house-holder makes a mistake or there is a mistake in judgment whether a particular article is adulterated or not-there are certain articles about which it is not possible for a man to find out at sight whether the article is adulterated or notin the same way it is not possible for the hotel owner or a halwai, while making purchases, to find out whether the article is adulterated or not.

Now I want to stress one point which has already been touched upon by my friend Shri Gupta. When essential commodities like wheat or atta, basin, grams or sugar are purchased there should be a check at

that point and it should be made obligatory that they should be packed in certain sealed containers or bags and it should be certified that they contain pure and unadulterated articles. Thereby when we purchase we make sure that this article is unadulterated and pure. Thereafter, if it is found that it is adulterated, then we are prepared to undertake the responsibility and serious punishment may be given to the culprits.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Hanging?

Shri M. H. Vyas: Not to that extent but any serious punishment.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Flogg-ing—public flogging?

Shri M. H. Vyas: Whatever punishment provided in the Bill.

Chairman: There is a lot of difference between a housewife purchasing things for their domestic purpose and a hotel owner purchasing things from the commercial and profit point of view. Anyway, I would like you not to repeat the points covered by Shri Gupta.

Shri M. H. Vyas: What I was saying was that we are prepared to undertake this much responsibility. for the articles after they come in our possession. When we have no means of finding out the genuine nature of articles how can we be held responsible? Simply because our shops are situated at wantage points and it is easy for any inspector to step in and take a sample from our shops, we should not be held guilty. The people who have sold the bad stuff may be living in some corner hiding themselves from these inspectors. They usually take every precaution cover their articles and make them appear as if they are not adulterated. They will go scot free whereas we will be held responsible. This is not fair because our guilt is only a failure of judgment. If my suggestion is accepted 80 or 90 per cent of adulteration can be stopped.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: This is just like a lawyer saying, after setting up this shop, "I did not get the genuine law books" or "in the book I read had a wrong quotation". Will any court accept it? If I set up a shop, it is my duty to find the tools to run the shop somehow.

Shri M. H. Vyas: I am thankful to the hon. Minister. But that i₃ hypothetical. As regards the book, it is easy for any literate man to find out whether the book is really the one that one wants.

Now I have with me some samples which, with the permission of the Chairman, I would like to show to the Committee.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: As organised hoteliers you can very well have a small laboratory for testing purposes. You can very well have some operative method by which you can supply various goods to your association members. You are in a preeminently fortunate position to do all these and as a matter of fact you should do it. There are consumers associations in every place and they are really the bulwark against adulteration in some of the western countries. Such associations can derive the greatest strength from a group like yours. You should take a lead to form consumers, associations and bring the guilty people on the right path.

Shri M. H. Vyas: I am grateful to the hon. Minister and I appreciate what you said.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Is there any provision in the law whereby you can prosecute anybody on the basis of a private complaint?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I was not saying that. You did not follow me. I have not said anything about prosecution. By refusing to buy from people who sell adulterated and inferior stuff you can either force them

to go out of trade or to sell better stuff. It is the consumers' privilege to buy or not to buy from X, Y or Z.

shri M. H. Vyas: We are backward and disorganised and therefore it is very difficult to undertake that work. But we will certainly take up that suggestion and we will move in that direction. We do not want to shirk the responsibility on others. But Government also should help us by providing essential commodities and other things that we require

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Do you want Government to take up all trading activities? That is done only in a totalitarian State. I certainly do not want a totalitarian State. I do not think you want it either.

Shri M. H. Vyas: We do not want 'that. But to start with, supply of milk or other products can be taken up by Government.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: Instead of asking Government to undertake it, why not your Association start it?

Shri M. H. Vyas: We will do it certainly. We will make efforts in that direction and I can assure the Committee that we will gradually do it . . .

Dr. C. B. Singh: There is a firm called Express Dairy which makes arrangements for the supply of pure milk. When you say that Government should do it, I ask you, why not your organisation do it?

Shri M. H. Vyas: The hon. Member is correct. But this cannot be done all on a sudden. For that an organisation is necessary; capital is required.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You start in the form of a co-operative. You can get loans from the Government. So many consumers co-operatives are there.

- Shri M. H. Vyas: Efforts will be made by us certainly in that direc-

tion. The main issue is different. The intention of Government and everybody is to stop adulteration. Why not say that every tin should have a label outside it showing that it contains the required percentage of various things and that unless it is done it cannot be sold in the open market?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That is the meaning of laying down standards. But standards have been laid down. To ask the Government that they should see that every commodity that is sold in the market conforms to the standards prescribed is a very tall order. That I have told you, why it cannot be done.

Shri M. H. Vyas: I would respectfully submit that though it is difficult, the problem before us is equally difficult. If we want to tackle that problem we shall have to take steps.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: What is it that is being exhibited? I see some bottle.

Shri M. H. Vyas: With the permission of the Chairman I wanted to produce certain samples.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Is it adulterated?

Shri M. H. Vyas: Here are the samples. I want to ask whether it is possible for an average man to find out what it contains. Here I have got samples of pure milk, cow's milk and buffalo's milk, and a samples of adulterated milk.

Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja: It is not just on one day that you buy milk. If it is bad on one day, after using it at least you would know, not by sight. That is what the housewives do. We reject when we know it is unclean or adulterated stuff.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You are interested in the life of your children and family and you are interested in giving them the best possible stuff. If that same spirit pervades in the trade, all our problems will be solved.

Shri M. H. Vyas: I submit we are certainly interested. Because, if we are serving wholesome, pure and unadulterated stuff we can certainly attract more customers. But once people go to a place for their meal or lunch and find that the stuff served is not palatable or is not pure, they will certainly not go there the next time.

श्री तुलशी बास जावव : श्रापने ऐसो-सिएंशन बना रखी है श्रीर उसकी तरफ से श्राप अपनी बात तथा श्रापके जो सदस्य हैं उनकी बात यहां रखने श्राये हैं। श्राप अपने मैम्बर्ज के प्रोटैक्शन के लिए यहां श्राये हैं। में जानना चाहता हूं कि जो एसोसिएशन की डयूटो है क्या श्राप उसको निभाते हैं।

भी एम० एच० ब्यास : जी हां।

श्री तुलकी दास आधव: जो लोग भ्रपनी शाप्स पर या भ्रपने मकानों पर एडल-देशने करते हैं, उनकी रिसपांसिबलिटि लेने भाव यहां भाये हैं। भ्रगर कोई गलत रासते पर जाता है तो उसको सही रास्ता बतला-नाभो क्या श्रापका काम नहीं है। श्रभी श्रानरेवल मिनिस्टर ने एक चीज श्रापको कही है। भ्राप एक जगह पर सभी के वास्ते एक चीज लें भीर उसकी भ्रपने मेम्बर्ज को दें तो कुछ खराब बात नहीं होगो, तब भाप यह नहीं कह सकेंगे कि भ्रापको मिलावटो चीज दो गई है। श्रापकी श्रीर हमारी दोनों की इंटेन तन यह है कि हमें मिल कर फुछ काम करें जिससे एडलट्रेशन न हो। भ्रापको एसोसिएशन मैम्बर्ज के लिए चीजें ले लिया करें भीर फिर उन हो दे दिया करें तो प्रच्छा ्होगा। भ्राप कहते हैं कि भ्रोरिजन जहां होता है वहां चैक लगना चाहिये। एसोसि-एशन की तरफ से या उसके मैम्बरी की तरफ से जितनी कठिनाइयां पैदा होती हैं गवर्नमेंट को, उनको सुलक्षाने की घाप कोशिश करें।

Shri M. H. Vyas: My respectful submission was that when it is not possible for the Government, then certainly for a small organisation like hotels it is very difficult to undertake this work. But I do not want to shirk the responsibility by merely saying that it is not possible. I assure you and assure all the Members of the Committee, as I have done, that we will certainly do our best. But my own suggestion is that there should be some certified shops which may be licensed by the Government that 'here is a shop where all unadulterated things are available'.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: How possible? Tomorrow some or son of that person comes begins to adulterate. That certificate of the Government, will it be lasting? Can you take for granted, if a man has once been good, that all the people working with him will be honest and will supply good stuff? You don't need a certificate from Government if your stuff is good. I have told other people and I going to tell you also about Patnakariana stores, where the man came as a refugee with his wife and three or four daughters. He was determined to give good stuff. His wife and daughters, they sat and cleaned the rice and masala and things and made little packets of a pound or 2 lbs. or 5 lbs., whatever it is, and from a small thing the man has got in ten or fifteen years a chain of stores. People will any day go and buy from you if they know it is You don't need a certipure stuff. ficate from Government if you are genuine and honest.

Shri M. H. Vyas: My suggestion is also the same....

Dr. Sushila Nayar: It is not possible for the Government to certify, because today the certificate may be

true but tomorrow it may not be true. The consumers' certificate is the true certificate.

Chairman: And Mr. Vyas was under a wrong impression, because he was saying that if Government is not able to do this, how can you expect a small federation to do this. That is what he said.

Shri M. H. Vyas: What I meant was, if it is not possible to check it at the place when it is manufactured, at the bottom, then after it comes into our hands it is very difficult to find out. If I have said anything wrong I am sorry, because I did not mean otherwise.

Chairman: Well, you have made yourself clear on this point. I hope you will now go to the next point.

Shri M. H. Vyas: I will not take much time. I will stress the point about inclusion of the representatives of hotels and halwais on the Central Committee for Food Standards, in order that the people who have got experience of the trade can give their practical suggestions.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: In laying the standards? How can you give any suggestion on that?

Shri M. H. Vyas: Standards also vary from place to place.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Is it your suggestion that the standards laid down by the Government are not proper or are inappropriate or they require modification?

Shri M. H. Vyas: My submission that it requires modification in certain cases.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Will you please give an example?

Shri M. H. Vyas: For instance, there is koya from goat milk, from cow milk and from buffalo milk. The standards would differ.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I am unable to understand why the standard of koya from buffalo's milk should be different from that of the cow's milk.

Besides, where there are these variations, the standards prescribed will themselves give a range from so much to so much or they use the minimum after taking thousands of samples. Standards are not fixed in an arbitrary manner. I may also tell you that in view of the different climatic conditions, there are variations. The ghee standard in Gujarat vary from that of Punjab. standards are being worked by analysts who are people completely disinterested in any way. They take a large number of samples; and they take the common denominator or they give a range. Besides, anybody is free to point out anything which may be incorrect in respect of any standard and the standards committee keeps on reviewing these standards.

Shri M. H. Vyas: I come to clause 16 which prescribes the same punishment for all kinds of offences. Whether it is intentional adulteration or whether the article injures the health or does not injure health whether it is sub-standard all these are put in the same category. It is not possible for an average man to detect whether the content is 6 or 5.75 but he becomes liable for the same punishment under this clause. Certain standards have been laid down for cleanliness by local municipalities and penalties have also been prescribed. For instance, there are some rules in Maharashtra State. If one receptacle is left uncovered and if an inspector finds it, though the food therein was not meant to be served for the customer, he is liable for punishment. The word of the inspector is sufficient to get him a punishment of six months.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I find it very difficult to believe that a court will give this six months punishment if the inspector just says that he found a receptacle opened.

Shri M. H. Vyas: Clause 16 (1) (2) says:

"If any person either by himself or by or through any person on his behalf imports into India or manufacture for sale or store, sells or distributes any article of food in contravention of any provision of this Act or of any rule made thereunder....".

Shri U. M. Trivedi: You are referring to clause 7 in page 3 of the Bill. You are taking exception to the provision there which says: "in contravention of any provision of this Act or of any rule made thereunder". Is that your objection?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Under clause 7 of the Bill, penalties are divided into (a) and (b). For offences which I was reading just now, i.e., offences with respect to clauses (a), (b), (c) or (d), imprisonment for a tern which shall not be less than six months is prescribed. In other cases, the punishment is for a term which may extend to two years and with fine.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: They are objecting to imprisonment for all offences, even though it may be a technical violation.

Shri M. H. Vyas: That is our point.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: For the other offences, there is no minimum punishment. The punishment may be only a fine of Rs. 5 or Rs. 10. Only for serious offences, minimum punishment is prescribed.

Shri M. H. Vyas: In the proposed amendment, there is nothing like that. The court may say that it_s hands are tied.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We will bear this in mind.

Shri A. B. L. Bhargava: I have here a latest news about adulteration of gur with soap-stone powder. This news is dated 30th July from Jaipur. It says that lathi which is not fit for human consumption was mixed with soap-stone powder and sold as gur in

the market. So, my point is that it such an article is found in a hotel or halwai and if it is found that such adulteration could not have been done by the hotel keeper, it is the duty of the State to find out the real culprit.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Your point is that defence must be available to the man that he could not have manufactured it and it was done by somebody else.

Shri A. B. L. Bhargava: Yes; he must be given a reasonable opportunity to prove his innocence. As in the case of the gur which I just mentioned, if he proves that such raw material was purchased by him from such and such place, it is the duty of the State in the interests of justice to catch hold of the culprit. If it is milk, they may say that it might have been adulterated in our place.

Chairman: Please see clause 8 which seeks to amend section 19:

"(2) A vendor shall not be deemed to have committed an offence pertaining to the sale of any adulterated or misbranded article of food if he proves" etc.

So, adequate defence is there.

Shri A. B. L. Bhargava: We want that in section 16, the word "knowingly" should be added after the words "by himself or by any person on his behalf".

Shri U. M. Trivedi: You want that mens rea must be there.

Shri A. B. L. Bhargava: Yes.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Then I am afraid you would not be able to catch anybody.

Chairman: Adequate defence is already there in page 4, clause 8 which I have just read out.

Shri A. B. L. Bhargava: You have given him the defence but how to prove it?

Chairman: This is the only point that you wanted to cover.

874(Aii) LS-2

Shri A, B. L. Bhargava: No. Another point is about the representation.

submit that one representative from the all-India body will suffice on the central committee. I hope, Shri Gupta will also agree with me

Then, the hon Member there raised the question as to how that person can guide. Now, the samples that are being taken are not of raw things but of cooked material. Unless and until the persons fixing the standards know that it has already gone through some process which can bring chemical as well as physical change in it as also the standards of that thing, to apply to the cooked material the standards fixed for the raw material will not be justified.

As regards the necessity for having witnesses, when the punishment is being made more strict, the presence of those witnesses will be helpful to the person from whom the sample has been taken to prove his innocence. If there is no witness, there will only be the offender on the one side and the inspector on the other side.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The samples will also be there.

Shri A. B. L. Bhargava: In what condition was that sample taken must also come to knowledge.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That may be written down and the man may sign that it is a correct statement. One copy of it may remain with the man concerned and one copy with the inspector.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I would like to put a few questions to the three distinguished witnesses. They have tried to throw some light on this very cancerous problem. I hope, all three of you agree that adulteration of food and drink is a wide-spread malady today in India and that the position with regard to this has deteriorated considerably during the last few years.

Shri A. B. L. Bhargava: Yes; we agree.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Shri Gupta does not agree perhaps

Shri U. M. Trivedi: He has agreed in the beginning.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Do you think that there is a curious feeling abroad that it does not matter whether you adulterate food and drink and and also practise some other vices so long as you can get rich quick.

Shri Bansantlal Gupta: I beg to differ. There may be some who are anxious to make quick money but there are hundreds and thousands who are anxious to serve the people at least in the matter of food. I can assure you that we are as anxious as any consumer or anybody else.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Is it the desire to get rich quickly that makes people adulterate?

Shri Basantlal Gupta: There can be many other reasons also

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I did not refer to anyone of you. But is there a feeling that all is well so long as one gets rich quick and it does not matter as to what happens to other people?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Could you give us a few?

Shri Basantlal Gupta: Yes. As one of the hon. Members here suggested, the question of supply and demand is the main factor. If there is plenty of supply and less of demand, there cannot be any question of any adulteration at all.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: So, instead of saying to your customer that you do not have it, you think that more people are wanting it and you can make more of it by adding rubbish to it. Ultimately, it is the profit motive and nothing else.

Shri M. H. Vyas: The other reason for this increase in adulteration, according to me, is that there are regular factories which are doing it. They are not the hotel owners, but there are other people or factories which are indulging in this kind of thing.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You mean to say that it has become better organised and is going on on a large scale.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamth: Do you agree that mainly the profit motive or the desire to get rich quick is the main factor, if not the only factor? Is that right?

Shri M. H. Vyas: Yes.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Therefore, you agree that we must save the poor consumer from adulterated food and drink which, perhaps no day passes, when he does not intake or imbibe and we must save the poor consumer from this kind of slow, cumulative poisoning. With that also you agree, I hope?

Shri M. H. Vyas: Including the hotelowners and halwais who are the bulk consumers and who are also the victims.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Yes, all consumers. Do you recall that the hon. Minister's predecessor, Shri Karmarkar, when he was the Minister in the last Parliament once described these adulterators of food and drink as potential murderers who deserved the highest penalty of hanging? Does jt ring a bell in your memory?

Shri Basantlal Gupta: I will endorse that.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: So far so good. But just now Shri Vyas said, if I remember aright, that he does not want to recommend the penalty of hanging.

Shri Bansantlal Gupta: Flog him in the Chandni Chowk.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Why only Chandni Chowk?

Shri Basantlal Gupta: It is very near from here. We have the Round Tana on Mount Road.

Shri Hari Vishna Kamath: Do you think this evil cannot be eradicated because the machinery is inadequate or inefficient? The problem is such that punishment alone cannot root out this evil.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: You catch hold of wrong person. You should catch hold of the man doing adulteration. You simply prescribe a deterrent punishment for anybody and everybody. You do not know who is the adulterator and punishment is given to innocent person.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Do you think the machinery of Government cannot catch the real culprit? Is it not efficient enough to catch the real culprit? Is that what you want to say?

Shri Basantlal Gupta: I would not like to lay the blame on the Government. I would like to say what it is. As to who is responsible, it is not my responsibility. There is somebody who is committing adulteration and because you fail to catch hold of that man, you catch hold of me

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Who is to be blamed?

Shri Basantial Gupta: I will leave it for you to decide, and to conclude.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Whoever is found with the incriminating article will be caught hold of by law.

Shri M. H. Vyas: He must have knowledge that the article is adulterated. Unless he has got the knowledge that the article is incriminating or adulterated, he cannot be blamed. He has no means to find it out.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We have provided the words, with due diligence.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Have you thought of evolving a code of conduct, Aachar Samhita, for the members of your federation and your association and trying to enforce such discipline rigorously? Our Home Minister Nandaji made some people take a vow.

that they will not accept bribes. Such sort of ethical restraint will tone up the trade. Have you done that?

Shri M. H. Vyas: We have done it and I can cite instances where we have issued instructions, and appeals.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Appeal is not enough. Some traders tell me: "We know who are the black sneep amongst us; we don't have the courage to say so. We don't want to get into trouble with them. They are very aggressive." If there is a code of conduct, it is necessary that you force that code of conduct on the members and those who do not abide by that should be punished. Don't take them into your association.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: I cannot say there are no black sheep. There are. I cannot hold brief for such persons. Simply because some persons commit a wrong, commit a heinous crime, you are punishing the entire lot.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You have not answered my question. You may give thought to it.

Shri M. H. Vyas: An appeal is issued to all our Members. We have requested our Members to purchase articles from a particular purchaser. We have impressed upon them the necessity of purchasing articles of known purity and certified articles. We assure you that certainly we will in future act upon your suggestion.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You are bypassing my question.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: Please take my answer. As far as we are concerned, we are trying our best to form a co-operative society and our application is pending for registration with the Government of Madras for the past two years. Why the Government of Madras has not considered it they feel that it is only the consumers who can form a co-operative society and not the hoteliers.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You can form a co-operative society because you are consumers as hoteliers

Shri Basantial Gupta: We are bulk consumers. We should be permitted to have a co-operative society. They have not rejected our application. It is pending consideration. Even last week, before I left Madras I had an interview with the Registrar of Co-operative Societies and requested him to consider our application.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Perhaps from the hotel trade you want to move into other foodgrains. That is why you want wholesalers there.

Shri Basantlal Gupta: I only mean that we will be purchasing wholesale quantity so that we may be in a position to distribute it to our members who will require again wholesale quanity.

Shri M. H. Vyas: It is not only in Madras this has happened. We have got the same instance in Maharashtra also. Our Registrar of Co-operative Societies says that we are traders and not a consumer and traders cannot have a co-operative society.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: For your own use you can have.

Shri M. H. Vayas: We would request the honourable Minister and the honourable Chairman to take up this matter.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You write to me.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Is it not a fact that in some cases some hoteliers, may be not of your particular Federation, but elsewhere in the country, have been caught red-handed while trying to hush up the matter by greasing the palm of Food Inspectors when they were caught selling adulterated foodstuffs?

Shri M. H. Vyas: We have no cases reported to us.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: How would you say that some of you were

caught? You cannot, of course, say that.

Shri Basantial Gupta: If you know what our answer is going to be, then we cannot help.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You want that at source you must get unadulterated supplies. Do you advocate that the entire wholesale trade in almost everything should be taken over by Government?

Shri Basantlal Gupta: We will be happy if the Government takes up the responsibility to certify that the supplies are unadulterated.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You want that guarantee at the wholesale level. But, then, the responsibility passes on to you after that

Shri M. H. Vyas: There is already the Agmark in ghee and other food products. The same Agmark can be prescribed by the Government for such of the essential commodities as has been decided by the Directorate of Food. Then, we will be assured of the purity of raw material which we buy.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: There are so many people who sell grains and so many other things. Is it possible to think of having Agmark for all these things?

Shri P. K. Deo: As you have all agreed, the adulteration menace is on the increase. Are we going to reconcile this thing or is there any proposal to deal with this menace?

Shri M. H. Vyas: Our suggestion has been that these articles of food and grains may be given the marks like Agmark or any other mark at the time when they are manufactured or put in the market for sale. We on our part want that this evil of adulteration must be stopped somehow.

Shri P. K. Deo: You mean to say that there would be no adulteration before it reaches the people for consumption.

Shri M. H. Vyas: We are responsible thereafter and if adulteration is found we suggest that any punishment may be given to us

Dr. C. B. Singh: On page 3 of your memorandum you have stated as follows:

"We, therefore, suggest that the words 'if any article of food appears to any Food Inspector to be adulterated or misbranded should be replaced by the word 'if any food inspector has reasons to believe that any article of food is adulterated or misbranded'."

I cannot understand the difference between the two. Your suggestion does not make any change at all. Why have you suggested this change?

Shri M. H. Vyas: There is nothing to stop him if any article of food appears to be adulterated or misbranded. Everything may appear to him to be adulterated or misbranded, though he may have no reasonable ground to believe that it is so.

Dr. C. B. Singh: He will do that only if he believes that an article of food is adulterated or misbranded. How does your change remove that?

Shri M. H. Vyas: Suppose I prepare 10 maunds of laddu and it is ready for sale to my customers. It may appear to the food inspector to be adulterated or misbranded, though he may have no valid reason for him to belive that it is so

Shri R. S. Batalia: If the food inspector has reasons to believe that any article of food is adulterated or misbranded, those reasons can be judged by the Court which is to award the punishment for adulteration to find out whether one has acted maliciously and intentionally or not. If it was found to be intentional, then only punishment should be awarded to him. Otherwise, anything may appear to the Food Inspector to be adulterated or misbranded and punishment may be

awarded to the offender without analysing the reasons.

Dr. C. B. Singh: Without any reason, the Food Inspector will not prosecute a man. He must have good reasons to believe that a man has committed adulteration. Otherwise, why should the Food Inspector prosecute him?

Shri R. S. Batalia: The reason why we are placing this matter before this Committee is that there is a provision which empoweres the Food Inspector to prosecute a person found to have committed adulteration, in this process, it is likely that he may misuse his powers. If a case comes up before the court, the judge should also see whether the case made out by the Food Inspector is a bonafide one or a malafide one. Our only fear is that the Inspectors may misuse the powers given to them.

An hon. Member: Can you tell us as to how many members of your Hotelier Association were convicted for adulteration during the last two years?

Shri M. H. Vyas: I cannot tell you offhand.

Dr. C. B. Singh: You represent the Hotelier Association. You ought to know this. Can you please tell us as to now many of your members have been convicited for adulteration?

Shri M. L. Agarwal: We have got about 4 lakhs members throughout India. Possibly about 22 per cent. of them might have been convicted. Our main problem is this. We are being convicted for the things for which we are not responsible.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You said 'we are being convicted'. What do you mean by 'we'?

Shri M. L. Agarwal: We mean our members of the Association. They are being convicted as adulterators for no fault of theirs. Now, for instance, I will give you one example regarding

khowa. Suppose I purchase milk cake from a person who has been licensed under the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act. Now what happens is that when I bring that article to my shop, the inspector comes there and takes some sample of that article. Now that is a raw material to me. It is not an article for sale at my counter. The law provides a penalty for the people who are selling such articles of food.

Shri P. K. Dec: Is the intention of yours for sale of that article?

Shri M. L. Agarwal: We are selling khowa at our counters. But, we are using that for the preparation of other articles. We get khowa weighing 11 chataks. After heating that, the weight of that khowa might be lesser. Naturally, sample is taken from us. That being a fresh khowa there will be some difference. When we sell it its weight may come to 5 chataks instead of 11 chataks.

Shri P. K. Dee: I want to know as to how the quality of khowa will improve in this.

Shri M. L. Agarwal: I have got with me the cake made out of this which I can show to you

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Then what objection have you got? You say that there is less fat or more fat after it is heated.

Shri M. L. Agarwai: I say that there will be less fat content.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: If it is heated, the fat content is likely to increase and not to decrease in that process. After you heat it—the moisture will be reduced.

Shri M. L. Agarwal: As I told you I have brought with me the khowa which you may please see for your perusal. The real thing is that I have utilised only a small quantity. Some portion of that is gone in the process of cooking. The moisture and the weights are reduced. Out of 11 chataks of khowa that you take as a sample, the fat will be reduced.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: It cannot disappear. The loss of weight is due to the loss of moisture. Further, I also want to tell you that suppose, I have got this article at my counter for sale for Rs. 2 or so. I get it for Re. 1 and prepare khowa out of the milk. The milk may be skimmed.

Shri M. L. Agarwal: You are perfectly right. I purchase milk and prepare rabri from it or ras malai from it. Now the milk is adulterated. But, when I give it to someone the quantity becomes less.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: From where do you get the milk?

Shri M. L. Agarwal: I get the milk from the dairy.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Now, in this process, what happens is that the water can disappear. But certain other things which you have mentioned should be there. The fat should be much higher than what the normal milk could contain. If the fat content is less, then it is open to objection. All your friends have stated this over and over again and we have got replies to our questions.

Chairman: For your information the definition of food under sub-Section (3), clause (d) reads as follows:

"The definition of the term 'food' as given in the Act includes any article used as food or drink for human consumption.....and includes articles which ordinarily enter into or is in the composition or preparation of human food and any flavouring matter or condiments".

Shri M. L. Agarwal: That is right. But that must be the true representative of the article which I have sold to a customer. If I have sold this milk to the customer, then I must not be responsible for that milk. I am not selling it in the same condition

Chairman: We are listening to you with an open mind. The blame should not lie upon the hoteliers but it should go to the suppliers of the raw materials.

Shri M. L. Agarwal: The thing is that our business is not to re-sell. We have not the licence to manufacture and sell. We are consumers; we are not the sellers. The standard of whatever we sell changes between the process of our cooking and our taking it from the market. I may give you another example. Suppose I take rice with stones...

Shri U. M. Trivedi: We have not followed your complaint. What is your complaint exactly?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: He says he processes the food before he sells out.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: How is he prosecuted?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The point is this. The inspector says, we have taken this adulterated milk from here. But he says, "What I have in store in the shop is not what I sell to the consumer." How do we know whether you sell it or not? It is not possible to check it. You may supply this to the consumers. You may be using other stuff for making khoya. It is not possible for any human being to check as to what you claim to sell to the consumer. We can only see what you have got in your shop.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Supposing you have bought it from outside, there is the protection given to you.

Chairman: That defence is also there.

Shri K. Damedaran: He says that out of these 20 persons who were prosecuted or convicted, not a single person was really guilty and that the only culprit was the moisture. That is what he said

Shri M. L. Agarwal: I never meant this thing.

Shri K. Damodaran: According to you, there was not a single hotel owner who was really guilty. You earlier said that there are black sheeps amongst you. But now you say that not a single man was really guilty.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: It is a fact that the food-stuffs are adulterated. Since you people are in the trade and you have got a lot of experience, could you suggest methods through which adulteration can be checked?

Shri R. S. Batalia: The machinery which is responsible for administering the Act is not efficient enough. They are not able to administer the existing Act properly and that is why the present Act, as it is, has failed to achieve the object of checking adulteration.

Dr. Sushi a Nayar: We want your cooperation in this job. If you cooperate, lots of things can improve. If you do not cooperate, then the whole process of adulteration will continue. A few will be caught and many will escape, The things will go on like this.

Shri M. H. Vyas: We are prepared to cooperate in all possible ways.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: In the light of their experience, can they suggest methods to check adulteration? It is accepted by everybody that there is adulteration. Everyday there is an agitation that food-stuffs are adulterated.

Shri R. S. Batalia: I have to give two proposals here. Firstly, the machinery of the Government which is responsible for administering the Act is not efficient.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You mean to say you have no responsibility; it is only Governments responsibility.

Shri R. S. Batalia: I am coming to that. Their machinery should be efficient and they should administer the Act properly. Already, the punishment upto imprisonment one year for the first offence is provided. If that is not sufficient and even if you make it a minimum of six months as it is provided in the amended Act, better results will not follow. If this law is properly administered and the imprisonment in suitable cases upto one year is given as is provided in the existing Act there is no reason why this cannot be curbed. Also, there can be provision made in the Act itself that the cooperation from the trade can sought.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: How can we provide that in the law itself?

Shri R. S. Batalia: I am giving a proposal. If it suits you, it can be provided.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: By putting you on the advisory committees.

Shri R. S. Batalia: These can be formed at the Central, provincial and district level in which trade representatives and the officials can be included. They can educate the masses or the dealers. They can give them the proposals and discuss things with them. They can find ways and means and those ways and means will go a very long way in curbing adulteration.

Shrimati V. Vimala Devi: They have given a number of suggestions. I want to know what are they going to do to eradicate the adulteration of foodstuffs for themselves? How are they going to help us to cradicate this evil of food adulteration.

Shri R. S. Batalia: We have already formed these associations with a view to curbing the adulteration of food articles.

Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja: Have you taken any action against any member so far?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Some of your members have been prosecuted. What action have you taken against the

members who have been found guilty by courts of law.

Shri R. S. Batalia: We have no statutory force behind us to take any action against them. We have no legal powers. The only thing is that we have not enrolled them as members for the future.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: They are not members any more?

Shri R. S. Batalia: They are not members any more.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Have you publicised their names saying that these are the adulterators?

Shri R. S. Batalia: We have just struck off their names from our rolls. This is the provision in our Constitution.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Don't you think as a citizen to be your duty to let the public know that these fellows are adulterators?

Shri R. S. Batalia: The only thing we can do is that we can shut our doors for them. They are no more our members.

श्री के एल बास्मीकी : इस तरह के काम के लिए जो में म्बर द्याप के एसोसि-एशन के पकड़े गए हैं भीर जिनको सजा मिला है, क्या ग्राप उनकी ग्रपने एसे सिए-शन से निकाल देतें हैं।

Shri B. S. Batalia: That is the only action we take. We struck off their names from our rolls,

Shri Yamuna Prasad Mandal: We want to know if you want to say anything regarding this new section 7 in the Bill. Have you anything to say whether this is lenient or harsh provision?

Shri M. H. Vyas: We say that the sentence prescribed is very harsh. If it is done with intention, then this punishment may be given. But such

a punishment should not be given if the article is of a sub-standard quality or if the article sold is not containing the required percentage of purity. Also, for the non-compliance with the rules of the licences, the same punishment should not be awarded. There should be different penalties according to the gravity of the offence.

Shri Yamuna Prasad Mandal: So it is a harsh one according to your view.

Shri M. H. Vyas: It is harsh in respect of those who are selling, articles which are sub-standard but not for those who are actually adulterators.

Shri Yamuna Prasad Mandal: What is your suggestion regarding the minimum penalty?

Chairman: I think he has answered this question.

Shri M. H. Vyas: It should be left to the discretion of the Magistrate. If he has merely not complied with the rules of the licence, or, he is responsible for selling sub-standard goods then that offence should not be equated with the offence of adulteration of foodstuffs which is injurious to health,

Shri Yamuna Prasad Mandal: How do you say it is harsh?

Shri M. H. Yyas: It is harsh because even if a person has not observed the rules of the licence such as keeping the articles clean, etc., he is liable for six months imprisonment.

Shri Basantal Gupta: Regarding punishment I feel that the discretion that has been vested all along in the Magistrate should not be fettered by prescribing a minimum punishment saying that the punishment should not be less than 6 months. That means, even if the Magistrate feels that the offence is only technical in character, he has to award this minimum punishment of six months.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: There is nothing in this.

Shri Basantial Gupta: You should punish the person who is really guilty of adulteration, not the person who merely sells sub-standard goods.

Shri P. K. Deo: That is for the Judge to find out.

Chairman: On behalf of the Committee I thank you. We have heard all your points, and we will examine them.

(The witnesses then withdrew)

IH. Delhi Canners Co-pperative Industrial Estate Ltd, New Delhi.

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri Y. K. Kapoor
- 2. Shri Lakshmi Narain.
- 3. Shri J. C. Jindal.
- 4. Shri V. N. Kaura.

(Witnesses were called in and they took their seats)

Chairman: Your memorandum has been received and copies of the same have been distributed to our members. If you want to stress any specific point therein or anything pertaining to the amendments that are under consideration, you are welcome to do so. The evidence that will be given by you will be considered as public. If you want any part of it or the whole of it to be considered strictly confidential, you have to indicate Even if it is considered confidential. that will be circulated to the members of the Committee and Members of Parliament.

Shri V. N. Kaura: We will begin our objections with remarks of the Hon. Union Minister of Health, Dr. Sushila Nayar addressed to the 7th Indian Standards Convention convened at Calcutta between January-February, 1963. There Dr. Nayar said: "The sale of adulterated food must be regarded as a heinous crime. There is little difference between those who

administer poison or adulterate foodand thus poison human beings. Both are murderers. Profiteering in food in itself is most horrible". In these words she epitomised the feeling of the entire nation on this subject. In the light of these circumstances, we fully endorse and offer our full cooperation to any activity-legitimate proper-designed to overcome this unhappy state of affairs and to deter, in the words of Dr. Nayar, these murders by adulteration. In this activity, we also appreciate that it is the Legislator who has the greatest contribution to make; and in context we note the efforts of the Legislature in its proposal to enhance the penalty for adulteration. We welcome the intention but not the form. Surely, is it not a usurption of the powers of the judiciary to fix an indiscriminate minimum penalty proof of the technical offence as has been envisaged in clause 7(iv) of your proposed amendments, which in its altered form would read section 16 of the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, 1954 as follows: -

"If any person manufactures food or stores, salts or distributes any article of food—which is adulterated is punishable.... for the first offence with imprisonment for a term which shall not be less than 6 month....".

Our preliminary objection lies that our Constitution expressly provides for the separation and independent functioning of the judiciary from the Legislature. Surely, the discrimination in punishment is the essence of the judicial function. It is necessary that before an accused is punished, the adjudicator must consider the circumstances involved, the character and the demeanour of the accused, the extraneous circumstances and a host of other circumstances which must necessarily be taken into account in guaging punishment. If homicide be the technical offence, surely, killing by accident or on provocation must be distinguished from cool, calculated murder. Yet if homicide be the technical offence, both killing by accident

as well as by cool, calculated murder, will satisfy the technically.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: How many pages have you got?

Shri V. N. Kaura: Seven or eight pages.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Then you give the points.

Shri P. K. Dee: Why not have it distributed?

An Hon Member: Their memorandum has been distributed.

Shri V. N. Kaura: The memorandum is different.

Chairman: I said that if there is anything else pertaining to the amendments under consideration, he can stress those points also.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You may read the operative part of it.

Chairman: You may supply a copy of it.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: And make any other points.

Shri V. N. Kaura: Besides the points submitted here?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Your first point is, you object to the prescribing of minimum penalties.

Shri V. N. Kaura: As being unconstitutional.

Chairman: Due to certain technical reasons.

What is the next point?

Shri V. N. Kaura: My second point is that it is essential to distinguish in between what is a sub-standard product and what is an adulterated product. As the definition in the Preven-

tion of Food Adulteration Act stands today, adding lead cromate, which is a highly poisonous substance, to turmeric is as much an offence as the technical offence of having 1 per cent less sugar in the required percentage of 65 in a syrup, or having 0.1 per cent less of acid in the 1.2 per cent of acidity required in tomato ketchup.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: If he uses pumpkin instead of tomato?

Shri V. N. Kaura: That is adulteration, not sub-standard. I will define what is sub-standard. Sub-standard means, which does not involve the addition of any foreign material but only the use of permitted materials in a standard which is at variance with the prescribed one, without any harmful results.

Shri Hari Vishau Kamath: If it is not harmful or poisonous, you are at liberty to adulterate?

Shri V. N. Kaura: No. What I say is, if no foreign substance is added.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: How do you define 'foreign substance'?

Shri V. N. Kaura: The rules provide that permitted substances may be added.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Permitted by law?

Shri V. N. Kaura: Yes.

Shri Hari Vishau Kamath: Not by convention?

Shri V. N. Kaura: Permitted by law. Suppose some permitted substances are added, but there is a deviation. The law provides not less than 1.2 per cent of acidity in tomato ketchup and if it is less by 0.1 per cent.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You mean, not serious?

Shri V. N. Kaura: Not serious, because it can happen in so many ways. I can give instances.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Is it necessary that permissible material should be added, or merely it is a variation?

Shri V. N. Kaura: In some cases it is necessary, for instance to bring the acidity in tomato ketchup to 1.2 per cent.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Where they say that something should be done either to act as a preservative or to prevent certain type of deterioration from setting in and so on, they have prescribed those standards. If it is your contention that the standards are not correct and they can be lowered or raised, you can raise that point. We can refer it to the Standards Committee and it can be revised. But you cannot ask us to ignore the observance of those standards.

You mentioned about 1 per cent less of sugar in a syrup. If there are hundreds and thousands of bottles you make, you say 1 per cent less of sugar, but how much will be the total quantity and by how much is the consumer cheated?

Shri V. N. Kaura: I do not say that the person should be let off scot-free. But you cannot send that person to six months' imprisonment. You can impose a fine. Because, these things can happen purely by accident, on account of certain fault in graduation in the technical instruments, due to margin of human error and bad methods of analysis. Shall I give you examples?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I do not think any court is going to put you in prison for a marginal difference. But if it is a deliberate attempt to cheat the consumer over a large scale, the court may consider it necessary to do

Shri V. N. Kaura: The Act prescribes no ranges at all in mens rea.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The standards have been fixed, as I said earliar. Suppose the standard is from 60 to 65 per cent. Either it is a range or the

prescribed lowest minimum. You have no business to go below the lowest limit. If instead of 65 per cent, you are putting 63, 62, 61 or 60 per cent, nobody is going to say anything. But if you go below the bare minimum, it is wrong. If you object to the standards, you can always point it out.

Shri V. N. Kaura: Madam, you seem to be mistaken about the range. There is no range. The Act says—I mean the rules—that it will be 65 per cent sugar. It does not provide between 60 and 65 per cent.

I do not say, let him off scot-free. But a man who by accident puts one per cent less, which is quite harmless and which is not adulteration, you cannot sentence him to six months' imprisonment.

Shrimati Jyotsna Chanda: What is behind this "one per cent less"? If it is one per cent less in each bottle, whether it is good or bad, what is the total quantity?

Shri V. N. Kaura: What is the person going to gain by one per cent sugar? This sugar is a bad example perhaps.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We have taken note of your point. You can go to the next point.

Shri V. N. Kaura: You wanted some examples I can give a specific laboratory example of what has happened in Delhi.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Please go to the next point.

Shri Tulsidas Jadhav: Have there been prosecutions for such offences?

Shri V. N. Kaura: There have been prosecutions, and prosecutions are still going on.

Shri Tulsidas Jadhav: For putting less percentage?

Shri V. N. Kaura: For adulteration. come to the next point.
But what is an adulteration? I will

We want adulteration to be defined in a fool proof way. Who are the people who did it? It is not the ordinary small scale industrialist who has not got a big laboratory to test We want that the big persons who adultrerate and who commit murders of the community by slow poisoning should be punished. I do not believe that the small persons who commit these small mistakes which are quite harmless ought to be punished like that. So we the legislature to define it clearly as to what is an adulterant. what is the material which if added to a substance will make it adulterated and what is it that won't make it an adulteration.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We have taken note of that point: you can go to the next point.

Shri V. N. Kaura: Our next objection is to the reduction to the number of witnesses from two to one at the time of taking samples. In this connection, I may refer to some your remarks made at Bhopal sometime back where you said that sons of food inspectors were studying in England and America.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Has it anything to do with the reduction of the number of witnesses from two to one?

Shri V. N. Kaura: Yes, Madam; if there are more witnesses, independent witnesses, it prevents him...

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The same is taken in your presence, one portion is given to you, two portions are taken by the inspector: you have to sign there; there is a stateof the condition. matter of fact, it has been suggested to me and I am also seriously thinking that no witnesses are necessary at all as the samples are taken in your presence, and you are to sign there.

Shri V. N. Kaura: Am I given according to the rules, a copy of the documents that I am made to sign?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: By all means.

Shri V. N. Kaura: There is no rule providing for giving me a copy of that document. But why not provide for two independent witnesses at least?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Because independent witnesses are not available; they do not like to come forward because of the time taken in courts: it takes their time so much that they are not willing to come.

Shri V. N. Kaura: Make a provision in the Act itself that it will be compulsory for any citizen to accompany the food inspector if asked the food inspector and witnesses the taking of samples with a proviso that if anybody does not accompany the food inspector on being asked to do so must provide sufficient reason to the satisfaction of the inspector. There is no shortage of population here. There is necessary for two witnesses. I believe there is a lot of corruption going on. I am told that food inspectors go to shop keepers and say unless you pay so much, I am going to take sample of such and such thing here and add so much of sulphuric or some other acid here and I am going to send those samples for analysis. The shop keeper has to pay him the money.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You should write down what he has done before putting down your signature. It is not so easy. The inspector will himself get a jail sentence if he does so.

Chairman: You can go to to the next point

Shri V. N. Kaura: There should be four samples taken, two left with the shopkeeper and two taken with the inspector. The inspector may send one sample to one laboratory and the vendor may send one to another laboratory so that there will be two sets of tests.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: At present the third sample sealed, is with the inspector to be given to the appellate laboratory. Why do you want to in-

crease the work? In fact the appellate authority is overworked because even now so many appeals have been sent to them and if the samples are not analysed properly there, this could not have been so.

Shri V. N. Kaura: So many appeals are being sent to them because the primary analysis is no good.

Dr. C. B. Singh: How do you say that primary analysis is no good. On what basis are you making this suggestion? Do you know the working of the laboratories?

Shri V. N. Kaura: We have got complete examples and if you like to hear them, I can read them out to you.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: My suggestion to you is almost the same. When you are storing things, why do you not get them analysed? Why should you wait for the inspector to come and take a sample? You get it analysed yourself, earlier, so that you know what you are storing.

Shri V. N. Kaura: It is very difficult for the vendor to get every tin he gets opened and tested.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I do not say that. You take a batch and test one of them; it is bound to be of the same quality in one batch

Shri V. N. Kaura: Every small vendor has not got that facility.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The man who is going to send one sample to the laboratory after the inspector had come, should certainly be able to afford it. Better still, for the small vendors I would suggest that they share such a facility on a co-operative basis.

Shri V. N. Kaura: We are trying to take to co-operation for these things but it takes time. Legislation comes far more easily and quickly than the time taken by people to take to co-operation.

Dr. C. B. Singh: I would like to know whether this canners' society maintain any laboratory.

Shri V. N. Kaura: Not yet.

Dr. C. B. Singh: You are canning certain food products and you do not maintain a laboratory.

Shri V. N. Kaura: The individual manufacturers do maintain a laboratory. It is only now that we are trying to provide common facilities for testing, marketing, etc. We are going to set our own standards.

Chairman: Since how long is your association working and how many members are there?

Shri V. N. Kaura: We have been working for two years nearly and there are 14 members, all in Delhi.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The complaint is that when apples etc. start rotting and people do not purchase them for eating fresh, the canners take those fruits. They may be taking some good fruits also, but a lot of bad fruits are also taken. Have you any arrangements to check that the fruits you take are not rotten?

Shri Lakshmi Narayan: I am representing Hall's fruit products. Anybody can go and have a surprise check. We can assure you that we ourselves go to the market and buy the best fruits.

Shri Y. K. Kapoor: Out of 15,000 samples checked by F.P.O. authorities in 1961, not a single product was found to be adulterated.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: What about 1963?

Shri V. N. Kaura: We have not got the official figures yet.

Mr. Chairman: Can you assure usthat you use only fresh fruits for canning?

- Shri J. C. Jindal: You can open any tin canned by any member of our society. None of the tins will contain any blemish.
- Shri V. N. Kaura: In addition to chemical analysis, we also do mirco-bilogical tests.
- Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: What is the definition of a baser ingredient?
- Shri V. N. Kaura: It is defined in the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act. It is an ingredient which is much cheaper in the market than what it purports to be.
- Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: In tomato sauce if seetapal is added, will you not call it adulteration?
- Shri V. N. Kaura: Most definitely it is adulteration. There should not be the addition of any substance which is not permitted.
- Chairman: Let us know which things are added.
- Shri V. N. Kaura: We are not experts in adulteration. Adulterant is something the addition of which makes a thing adulterated. Additive is something which does not make a thing adulterated. There is on the market a vegetable sauce which is not tomato sauce. It is made from pumpkin, vinegar, salt, sugar, etc. It is clearly stated there on the label that it is vegetable sauce. It is also red in colour but it is not tomato sauce.
- Shri J. C. Jindal: There are two products—one is tomato sauce and the other is vegetable sauce. Vegetable sauce contains a label on which the word 'tomato' is not there; the picture of tomato also is not there. It will be clearly written there on the label that it is prepared from pumpkin, vinegar, sugar, etc.
- Dr. S. N. Mitra: We have analysed earlier tomato ketchup and we have found that in some cases it contains pumpkin in admixture with tomato.

- Shri V. N. Kaura: What percentage of sample₃ contain₅ pumpkin and not tomato?
- Dr. Sushila Nayar: He does not test all your tomato ketchup. Sometimes he has found that the samples marked as tomato contain pumpkin. Thepercentage is not relevant.
- Shri V. N. Kaura: As producers of canned fruit and vegetable products, like all other manufacturers, we come first under the purview of the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act. Then, under the Essential Commodities Act of 1955 there is an order promulgated, called the Food Products Order. We come under the Food Products Order and the Fruit Products Order too which is a highly specialised order. The functions of the authorities under the Fruit Products Order is to keep a surveillance and check on all the factories in India producing fruit and vegetables products of any kind. They take samples from us at least twice every month.
- Dr. Sushila Nayar: What are you trying to get at?
- Shri V. N. Kaura: What I am trying to get at is that the standard of the Fruit Products Order is so high that while we are subject to dual control.
- Dr. Sushila Nayar: That is done throughout the world. No municipality can give up its jurisdiction and authority.
- Shri V. N. Kaura: We do not say that. Let the jurisdiction be there, but let administrative control to be single because as it is the manufacturer does not know where he stands.
- Dr. Sushila Nayar: That is a matter which will be decided at the Government of India level, but what is your objection?
- Shri V. N. Kaura: The objection is that there is inter-departmental rivalry.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You do not worry about inter-departmental rivalry.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I think, you are a competent practitioner of the law, Shri Kaura.

Shri V. N. Kaura: You are correct.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: What is the membership of this Delhi Canners Co-operative Industrial Estate?

Shri V. N. Kaura: There are 14 members.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You are one of its legal advisers:

Shri V. N. Kaura: I am their legal adviser.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Chief Legal Adviser, I believe.

Shri V. N. Kaura: I am the only one, in fact. They do not need more than that. I am under worked.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Have you ever thought that because it is a cooperative organisation it is easier to try and set-up certain standards among your own members?

Shri V. N. Kaura: The statement of objects of our bye-laws says that we shall form a co-operative industrial estate with common facilities for power, gas, laboratory equipment, modern machinery and plant and other things of similar type and that we shall fix standards for our members which must be achieved. Any product that does not achieve these standards—these standards are going to be higher than the standards prescribed under the Act

Shri Hari Vishnu Kumath: You aim to do it or you have done it already?

Shri V. N. Kaura: We aim to do it. It is in the bye-laws of our society. We have just got the land from the Delhi Administration on which to set up the industrial estate

Dr. Sushila Nayar: They are starting with good intentions.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Could you give us a copy of these bye-laws?

Shri V. N. Kaura: Not just now but we can send you later.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Do you have any sort of internal supervisory machinery to see that the members of the co-operative observe these standards?.

Shri V. N. Kaura: This question will arise after the factories have been established on the estate. So far, only very recently we have acquired the land and we are now trying to raise the loans to be able to build on this land.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You are a co-operative i_n an embryonic stage at the moment.

Shri V. N. Kaura: Yes, that is very much so.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Therefore we cannot expect much of you at this stage. We will have to wait and see how you go ahead. If I heard him aright, your colleague to your right said in answer to a question put by my colleague, Shri Gupta, about tomato ketchup that there was no picture of a tomato even on those bottles. Therefore am I to understand that so long as the picture of tomato is there, it is genuine?

Shri J. C. Jindal: Yes; a bottle which is named as tomato ketchup and which has got the picture of tomato on the label will definitely have a pure tomato product.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: There will be no adulteration—no pumpkin or anything of that sort?

Shri J. C. Jindal: No.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: They can speak only for their co-operative society. They are not responsible for others.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: They have not yet produced anything.

Shri Y. K. Kapoor: Personally I feel that any bottle of tomato ketchup bearing the words and giving an illustration of tomatoes will be pure tomato ketchup.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: But the analyst has already told you that he has had samples which had pumpkin in tomato products. He is not interested in one group or another. He is a pure analyst. You are only presuming but he is giving the actual fact.

बी लक्ष्मी नारायण : वह इस तरह के एनैलिस्ट हैं कि उन्होंने हमारे ४७ सैम्पल लिये भीर उन्होंने सब के सब में यह शो कर दिवा कि उन में सल्फ्र्यूरिक एसिड है, हालांकि मैसूर की सैट्रल फूड लैंबारेटरी ने उन को ठीक पाया था।

डा॰ सुज्ञीला नायर: वह सेंट्रल एपेलेट एयारिटी के एनैलिस्ट हैं। घाप को उनके बारे में एसी बात नहीं कहनी चाहिए।

श्री लक्ष्मी नारयण : हम को हैरास किया गया, बेकार तंग किया गया । हमारी चीजों के बारे में कहा गया कि उनमें सल्फ्रयूरिक एसिड है, जब कि दूसरी जगह वे बिल्कुल ठीक पाई गई।

डा॰ सुशीला नायर: ऐसी बातें हो सकती है। इसी लिए तो एपेलेट एथारिटी और एपेलेट लैंबारेटरी रखी गई है।

श्री लक्ष्मी नारायण : उन्होंने कहा है कि टोमीटो कीचप में पेठा ग्रीर लोकी है। हम इस बात को चैलेंज करेंगे।

डा॰ सुजीला नायर: ग्रगर ग्राप एपेलेट एथारिटी की बात को चैलेंज करेंगे, तो ग्राप की बात को कोई नहीं मानेगा । 874 (Aii) LS—3 श्री लक्ष्मी नारायण : क्या मैसूर की सेंट्रल फूड लेबारेटरी रांग है ? वहां से सेम्पल ठीक साबित हो कर ग्रा रहे हैं भीर यहां पर वे गलत साबित हो रहे हैं। ग्राख़िर यह क्या बात है ?

डा॰ सुर्ज्ञीला नायर: यह वक्त यह बहस बेमानी है। वह कहते हैं कि टोमेटो केचप में पम्पिकन पाया गया। भ्राप कैसे कह सकते हैं कि उस में पम्पिकन नहीं था?

श्री तुलसीवास जाधव : ग्रापके मेम्बर किस रीति से ग्रपनी चीजें बनाते हैं क्या इस के ऊपर ग्राप का कोई कंट्रोल है ?

भी बाई० के० कपूर : कोई कंट्रोल नहीं है।

श्री तुलसी बास जाभव : ग्राप उन की तरफ से कहते हैं कि व भीज ठीक बनाते हैं । ग्राप का जो एसोसिएशन है वह उनको फैसिलिटीज देनेके लिए है । लेकिन वे ग्रपने कारखानों में किस तरह से भीज बनाते हैं इस पर ग्रापका कोई कंट्रोल नहीं है ?

श्री बाई० के० कपूर : एसोसिएशन की तरफ से तो नहीं है, लेकिन हमारे ऊपर जो लाइसेंसिंग ग्रोथारिटी है उसका कंट्रोल है ।

श्री तुलसी दास आधव : गवर्नमेंट का कंट्रोल हो सकता है, ले किन ग्रापके एसोसिए-शन की तरफ से कोई कंट्रोल उन के ऊपर नहीं है ।

श्री बाई० के० कपूर : इस वक्त नहीं े है । ग्रभी तो हमारी एस्टेट बनी नहीं है ।

An hon. Member: You have said something about the laboratory. Have you got one laboratory?

Shri Y. K. Kapoor: We don't have laboratory. There is one instance I wish to tell you. We have got samples

of agmarking in eight tests. Seven of the agmarking were found to be conforming to the standard but one sample was declared to be adulterated. When the question was taken up between the various departments and the Agricultural and Marketing Adviser it was found that certain tests were done. It would give the indication of the presence of turbidity etc. if the material is heated and gradually coolded. In this particular case what happened was this. They just heated the sample to the required temperature and just coolled it down. Gradual coolling procedure was not done.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: When it is in favour of your party you say it is fairly done!

भी सक्सी नारायण: जहां तक एडल्ट-रेशन का ताल्लुक है प्रगर किसी चीज में एक परसेंट एसिडिटी कम है या कोई इस तरह की छोटी सी कमी है, तो उसके लिए उसे सजा नहीं होनी चाहिए। पहले तो दो तीन बार उसको बार्रानग होनी चाहिए। पहली ही बार उसको ६ महीने की सजा दे देना तो उसके साथ जुल्म है।

An hon. Member: What is the capital of the society? What loans and grants have you received? What is your profit of last year? What is the ratio of dividend-distribution to shareholders?

भी बे॰ सी॰ जिन्हाल : यह सोसाइटो इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट बनाने के लिए किएेट का गयी है। अभी तो हम गवनेमेंट से उसके लिए जमीन ले रहे हैं। यह इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट हैं—मैनुफैकवरिंग सोसाइटी नहीं।

An hon. Member: What is the capital of the society? What is the loan taken?

Shri J. C. Jindal: Share is Rs. 1,000 per share. Rs. 14,000 is the capital. We have not so far taken any loan.

डा॰ सुक्षीला नायर: मभी कुछ नहीं है। सिर्फ हवाई बात है। भी जें • ती • जिम्हास : जब तक गवर्नमेंट एलाट नहीं कर देगी तब तक हम क्या कर सकते हैं ।

भी तुससी वास आधव : जो ग्रापके १४ मेम्बर हैं उनमें से ग्रमी तक कितनों को सजा हो चुकी है ?

भी कें ० सी० जिल्हास : किसी को नहीं हुई है । हर मेम्बर की ग्रलग फैक्टरी है ।

Chairman: May I know your designations?

Shri Y. K. Kapoor: I am proprietor of Nothland Industries and Member of the Executive Committee.

Shri J. C. Jindal: I am partner of Excellsior Food and Chemical Industries and Secretary of this Society.

Shri V. N. Kaura: I am the legal adviser.

Shri Lakshmi Narain: I am partner of a manufacturing company. I am President of the society.

Shri J. C. Jindal: 14 members are there. They are already in business. The Industrial Society has been established in the industrial estates—and they want to shift there away from the congested areas. They want to go to healtheir and more hygienic conditions and for this purpose industrial estate is created. All the 14 are in business.

सभाषति महोदया : ग्राप के ग्रलावा भी दिल्ली में ग्रीर कितने काम करने वाले हुँ?

Shri J. C. Jindal: Pickles and morrabba manufacturers are there. They are different.

श्री लक्ष्मी नारायण : हमारे सामने एक बड़ी दिक्कत साम्पल लेने के तरीके की है। इस्पेक्टर हम से या छोटे-छोट दुकानदारों से साम्पल लेते हैं उसका तरीका गलत है। वह उस चीज को प्रपनी शीशी में डालते हैं। ग्रब ग्रगर उस शीशी में कुछ खराबी हो तो हमारे माल में खराबी ग्रा जाती है। हमारा सील बन्द उट्या या बोतल साम्पल के रूप में ली जाए ग्रौर उसको टैस्ट किया जाए।

इसके मलावा हमसे इंस्पेक्टर इल्लीगल मेटिफिकेशन चाहते हैं भौर हम नहीं देते तो हमको परेशान करते हैं । मैं म्रापके सामने एक उदाहरण पेश करना चाहता हूं । एक बरफ वाले से इंस्पेक्टर ने शादी के लिए एक मन बरफ मांगी, उसने नहीं दी । इस पर इंस्पेक्टर ने बाद में उसके पानी का साम्पल लिया भौर भपने नाखूनों में कुछ गन्दगी लगा ली, जिसकी वजह से पानी का साम्पल खराब हो गया।

डा० सुझीला नाबर : भापका मतलब यह है कि सारे इंस्पैक्टर बेईमान हैं भीर दकानदार ईमानदार हैं ।

श्री सक्सी नारायण : हमारा यह कहना है कि वह भ्रपनी शीशी में हमारा साम्पल न रखें। हमारे सील बन्द डिब्बे या बोतलें ले लें भीर उनको जांचा जाए।

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We have already provided for this in the rules—

"The contents of one or more similar sealed containers having identical labels to constitute the quantity of a food sample: Where food is sold or stocked for sale or for distribution in sealed containers having identical label declaration the contents of one or more of such containers as may

be required to satisfy the quantity prescribed in Rule 22 shall be treated to be a part of the sample".

Chairman: You have enlightened us on many points. We are really thankful to you.

(The witnesses then withdrew).

IV. U. P. Sanitary Inspectors' Association, Agra

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri J. N. Arora
- 2. Shri K. C. Saxsena.
- 3. Shri U. S. Kueshreshtha.

(Witnesses were called in and they took their seats).

Chairman: Mr. Arora, your memorandum has been circulated to all the Members of the Committee. If you want to stress on any points contained in your memorandum or want to state any new points, you may kindly do so.

Shri J. N. Arora: In the Statement of Objects and Reasons given in 1954 Act it was stated that there was no uniformity about the Prevention Adulteration Acts all over India. Agra district there are 4 or 5 local authorities—Agra Corporation, District Rural, Agra Cantonment, Ferozabad Municipality, and Fatch-pur-Sikri Municipality. All of them have got their own application form for licence, their own set of licence fees, etc. Because of this the Inspectors are very much handicapped. Within a few furlongs one rule changes and another rule is there. In Agra district, only one fee is charged for all the food articles which are licensed under Rule 50; they charge only Rs. 2. But Agra Municipal Corporation charges Rs. 30 for ice-cream, Rs. 5 for aerated water, etc. In Agra district on the licence application form Rs. 1.50 is charged as the Court Fee Stamp is affixed, while in Municipal Corporation nothing is charged. The form is a local one.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: How does it affect you?

Shri J. N. Arora: The public asks us—how is this that in the Corporation the licence fee is Rs. 50 or Rs. 30 and it is only Rs. 2 in the District. This creates all sorts of difficulties.

Dr. C. B. Singh: You want uniformity in respect of these things. What is the next point?

Shri J. N. Arora: Our Director of Medical and Health Services, U. P. issued some circulars which would be very effective in checking adulteration. We have given them as appendices to our memorandum. As directed in the circular, 20 per cent of the samples are to be taken by the Sanitary Inspectors in the presence of Health Officers. These officers have avoided taking of samples. If this is properly enforced, there will be efficient checking of adulteration. That will help us in discharging our duties well. We wish that all the points mentioned in the circulars of Director of Medical and Health Services should be implemented.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: This taking of samples in the presence of Health Officers is to protect yourself against charges of corruption.

Is that your view?

Shri J. N. Arora: The Director has stated in so many words that samples are to be taken in the presence of the Health Officer and the results are to be conveyed separately. The results

of the samples taken by the Sanitary Inspector are also to be conveyed separately. This would mean that there will be a check on the sanitary inspector also.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: In other words, you say that you will be protected from the charges of corruption that are levelled against you.

Shri J N. Arora: Yes, Madam.

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: What is the percentage fixed for the samples to be taken for testing? Is it the same everywhere?

Shri J. N. Arora: Not one everywhere. Here, in U.P., the percentage of samples taken may be 10 per cent or 15 per cent for health officers. We suggest that it should be 5 per cent.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You also want that the samples should be taken in the presence of the Inspectors.

Shri J. N. Arora: Yes. One thing which I would like to bring to the notice of the Committee is this. There is no alphabetical record of adulterated cases detected being maintained by the Corporation, etc. In a few years, thousands of cases of adulteration have been detected by the Municipal Corporation etc. But, there are proper record or register maintained by the Office of the Health Officers. If this is maintained that would help us in telling the court that such and such a man has been convicted three or four times or so. As it is, we found it difficult to point this out. It might be of interest to the Committee if I say that there are cases of adulteration in regard to Nelson, Amul, Morton, Cowboy, Shakti and Government dairies of Aligarh and Agra. In Agra some samples were taken and some cases of adulteration were

found out. There is no coordination between the States in this regard. What we want is that if an offence is committed by anyone at Bombay and any of the branch at Agra also commits an offence and is convicted, this should be taken as a second conviction and not as one conviction.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Yoy want that if one branch of a film is convicted in another town viz., in Bombay and it has been convicted for the second time at Agra, this should be treated as a second conviction and not as one conviction. You may also warn them to be very careful in future.

Shri J. N. Arora: Certainly it is a very good thing if it is done. As far as Agra is concerned, the Food Insas Agra is concerned the Food Insthis regard. It might have also come to the notice of this Committee that we were faced with a strike for eight days or so by the milkmen of Agra. The milkmen were bringing milk from the rural areas. During strike milk was sold at Rs. 2 per seer. When they were convicted, they wanted that their conviction should be set aside.

Chairman: If it is an association or a body and if they have got a branch elsewhere and if they have committed an offence and they are convicted at different places, conviction given to the branch should be treated as a second offence even though it may be a body or association.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Suppose one man is prosecuted in two cases—one at Bombay and the other somewhere.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That should be notified.

Shri J. N. Arora: We are suggesting certain things for the consideration of the Committee.

Chairman: You say that there should be a proper record maintained at the Office of the Sanitary Inspectors. Is it so? Shri U. M. Trivedi: He says no proper record has been maintained for the persons who have been convicted.

Shri J. N. Arora: Another point which our Association would like to stress is that sometimes Supreme Court or high courts deliver some judgements which affect the administration of the law. But, the Food Inspectors are generally kept in the dark about these unless some of us are wide awake and we take notice of these things ourselves by our constant contact. Some check should be exercised by the Central Government or State Government over the local authorities as to whether rules are being complied with by them in the matter of issue of licences etc. to the traders.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You say that there should be uniformity in the procedures followed in different States. Is that what you want?

Shri J. N. Arora: Yes, Madam. At Agra, there was recently a strike by the milkmen or Food Inspectors were harrassed by these people. We submitted their cases to Government of India also. The District Magistrate and others have helped us and the strikes was held to be illegal. They were also fined. About 100 to 150 milkmen were also sent to jail at Agra for adulteration. In such cases we are faced with difficulties. Far this purpose, we suggest that some vehicles and uniforms be made available to us.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I think you get some cycle allowance. If you have uniforms, won't that go against you because the moment they see that the man in the uniform is coming like a police man to collect samples they may hide away the things?

Shri J. N. Arora: This i_8 also a very important point. Still we require uniforms. We may wear it at the appropriate time.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I think some sort of identy card or emblem might be useful for the purpose. You can take that out from your pocket and show that to the man concerned. He might then recognise you.

Shri J. N. Arora: Vechilc is absolutely necessary. Cycle allowance alone won't do. The public brings in food articles from Kanpur, Rajasthan etc. to Agra. They bring hundreds of tins. We should also have to check them. Generally big business people bring many articles from other States.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You mean to say that you want to chase them.

Shri J. N. Arora: Yes. The real point is that we have to check those people who bring hundreds of tins of ghee and other things and run away after leaving two or three tins here and there.

For this, we require vehicles.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Do you think there should be a police squad?

Shri J. N. Arora: Yes. There should be some good attendant with us also as it is in the Excise where there are inspectors. We can take police but generally we do not get it. Generally, we do not get the help in time and by the time we get it, the culprit runs away.

We now bring to your notice another aspect, that is, the Public Analyst office. After all, errors and omissions can be at that level too. We wish that we may be permitted in some cases to check what the Public Analyst has certified. We submit that some errors and omissions can be found in the Public Analyst office.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Maybe.

Shri J. N. Arora: When we collect samples, our samples are sent in the first instance to the Public Analyst office, Lucknow. If there is found adulteration and the man accused contests against it, then they are sent to

the Central Laboratory; not otherwise. We want to exercise some check ourselves. If certain samples are declared to be genuine and we believe that they are not so, we might be permitted to check-them, by sending them to Central Laboratory.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: When the other party is contending what the inspector feels, I think what you say is a very good thing. That will be a very salutary thing.

Dr. C. B. Singh: There may be a margin of error.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: How do you happen to know that the Analyst's report is wrong and that the food is adulterated?

Shri J. N. Arora: We are in the field. People approach. At Agra we have devised one other thing also that food inspectors will take the samples as soon as they finish sampling, to the Health Officer and not to their residences in order to escape all the criticism.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: Can't the power given to the sanitary inspector be abused?

Shri J. N. Arora: No, please. It may be done by taking the second sample with the Health Officer. We can request the Health Officer or the court. We take three samples. One is given immediately to the man.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The traders have said that there should be four samples, two with the trade and two with the inspector and they said that they would like to send one sample for testing straightway . . .

Shri J. N. Arora: . . . to the Central Laboratory.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: No; to their own laboratory.

Shri J. N. Arora: There may be no objection. We say, they should have every facility so that there will be no doubt at all

Dr. Sushila Nayar: So, you approve the idea if they want to send one to their own laboratory.

Shri J. N. Arora: The findings of their own laboratory will have no force.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: You should have the right of testing at the Central laboratory. Why are you worried about their examination?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Nobody will attach any importance to that.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: We are not going to. Let them have their own satisfaction.

Shri J. N. Arora: There may be no objection.

Now, this is a bottle of Gold Coin Apple Juice manufactured by a very big concern of all-India fame, that is, Dyer Mekins. Let me submit something on this. A cample taken by a certain food inspector-if you like to have the name, I can tell you-was submitted to the Public Analyst, U.P. with a request that the analysis may be done for quality, colour and preservative content. On this nothing is written as to whether it contains any colour or preservative. The food inspector was quite justified in requesting the Public Analyst to give a report on these three points. But he simply says: no adulteration detected.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: is this the notorious one?

Shri J N. Arora: Yes. The food inspector requested, "Kindly state whether it contains colour or any preservative or not because on this label it is not indicated whether it contains any colour or preservative. In the rules framed by the Central Government, it has been provided that the fruit juices can be with or without colour and preservative.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I accept your point. You are right in asking that, You wanted to know whether any colour or preservative has been used in this. The Public Analyst should have given you the answer.

Shri J. N. Arora: Under the law, it has been provided that the man who uses any colour should write it on the label that the colour is used. Only permitted colours are used. If the food inspector who submitted the sample would have known that the colour has been used in this, the fellow of such a great repute of all-India fame could have been prosecuted. But the food inspector could not do it.

Chairman: It would help the Public Analyst also.

Shri J. N. Arora: Moreover, it has been specifically laid by the Central Government that the Public Analyst has to give the data. But the data is not being given. He simply says: No adulteration detected.

Chairman: They do not give it in the positive way.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Have these matters been reported to the Director of Health Services?

Shri J. N. Arora: That is a relevant point. We being the servants, bring it to the notice of immediate boss, but many things happen. There is no food inspector other than myself who detected. I know a man of this repute will stand up in the court and ask many questions and a man of some standing, a man who has rendered 32 years of service, must stand and face all this. This thing had been brought to the notice of my boss. Our association is most anxious to render any service you like. These are the suggestions.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: What is your view on this business of having two independent witnesses?

Shri J. N. Arora: I invite, first your attention to section 10(8) of the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act. That section authorises us to act as police officers and accordingly if the true name and address is not given to us we can just arrest the man and make inquiry about the correct address. But what happens thereafter? We could ascertain the correct name and address of the man. Supposing he lives 12 miles away, we try to take some bonds and surety from him to the effect that whenever required he will come to the court. But the unfortunate thing is that the court refuses to recognise this bond and this surety. We can give concrete cases of this kind whenever required. Whenever the accused knows that summons is issued, he will go away from the corporation area, with the result the Police will not go there and arrest him. If we take from the man surety bond for Rs. 1,000, the court should forfeit that. That will be very helpful.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I want to hear your views on the question of two witnesses.

Shri J. N. Arora: On the question of two witnesses, the point is this. When there is adulteration and when we are not on the spot, the public say that there is adulteration. But when we are actually on the spot, the public are no the side of the dealer or vendor. They say "You leave him. He has not done anything". Suppose we take their signatures. But when they face the advocate in the court, they say something different and we lose our case.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The witnesses are won over by the accused?

Shri J. N. Arora: Yes.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Why not take four signatures or signatures of any number of witnesses on the spot?

Shri J. N. Arora: There is no difficulty. Shri U. M. Trivedi: But when the matter goes to the court, these witnesses are not prepared to tell the truth?

Shri J. N. Arora: That is the position.

The second difficulty is that if somehow they are implicated as witnesses, they will have to go to the court not less than three or four times. That is another difficulty.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: What is the remedy: Should we retain this clause about the witnesses?

Shri J. N. Arora: "As far as possible" may continue in the Bill so that we may vindicate our position. If it is not there, the witnesses may turn hostile and our case may be lost. That is our submission.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You want to keep two witnesses as far as possible?

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: According to a High Court judgment if the words "as far as possible" are there, then one witness is sufficient.

Shri J. N. Arora: In most cases the culprits know how to escape punishment because the surety bonds taken by us are not recognised by the court. That is our handicap. The Police say that these people run away to the villages when summons is issued so that they are not arrested. Our submission is that the Bill should contain some provision by which the surety bonds which we take from these men will be recognised by the court. Suppose a bond for Rs. 1,000|- which we took from the man is forfeited by the court, the man can be controlled.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: What is the remedy for this?

Draftsman (Law Ministry): For that section 10(8) read with Section 57 of the Cr. P.C. is there. Under that section he has the powers of a police

officer and can arrest the offender. Section 57 of the Criminal Procedure Code inter alia states:

"Should the true name and residence of such person not be ascertained within 24 hours from the time of arrest, or should he fail to execute the bond, or, if so required, to furnish sufficient sureties, he shall forthwith be forwarded to the nearest Magistrate having jurisdiction".

So, that power is there.

Shri J. N. Arora: That power is given to us only for ascertaining the correct name and address of the person concerned, not for anything else. My submission was slightly different.

श्री के एस॰ बाल्मीकी : ज्यादातर केसिज में दूध श्रीर घी वाले पकड़े जाते हैं। श्रगर वे श्रपना सही नाम श्रीर गांव वर्गरह न बतायें, तो उस स्थिति में श्राप क्या करते हैं ?

भी जे एन धरोड़ा: उसके लिए एक्ट में प्रावधान है कि हम उनको गिरफ्तार कर सकते हैं और उनको थाने में भेज सकते हैं और जब तक उन का ठीक नाम और पता न मिले, तब तक उनको वहां पर रख सकते हैं। ये प्रक्त्यारात तो हम को मिले हुए हैं, लेकिन हम को यह प्रक्त्यार नहीं है कि हम उन को प्रोड्युस कर सकें।

भी के एल बाल्मीकी : उनके गवाह भी तो पासरबाइज होते हैं ग्राप उन की गवाही कैसे मानं लेते हैं ?

श्री जे० एन० ग्ररोड़ा : जिस व्यक्ति को हम नहीं जानते हैं, हम उसकी गवाही को मन्जूर नहीं करते हैं।

भी के एल बाल्मीकी : मिलावट दो तरह से होती है—एक तो इन्डजेनस तरीके से भीर दूसरे साइंटिफ़िक तरीके से। जो दूसरा तरीका है, जैसे महेश घी, शिवा घी ग्रीर में।हन घी इत्यादि, क्या उनके सैम्पल भी ग्राप पकड़ते हैं ग्रीर क्या उन में भी ग्राप ने एडल्ट्रेशन पाया है?

श्री के एल बाल्मीकी : यू० पी० में ग्रीर विशेषकर ग्रागरा में लोग घी की हंडिया ले कर ग्राते हैं ग्रीर बड़े होलसेलर्ज के पास जाते हैं। क्या उन में ज्यादा एडल्ट्रेशन होता है ?

भी जे ० एन ० भरोड़ा : छोटे छोटे भादमी ज्यादा पकड़े जाते हैं क्योंकि उन लोगों को एक मकान से दूसरे माकान तक जाने के ज्यादा चांसिज होते हैं । वे लोग ज्यादा एडल्ट्रेशन करते हैं ।

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: Regarding the capacity of these laboratories, every municipal board has this laboratory. Do you face any difficulty with regard to sampling and analysis of samples and all that?

Shri J. N. Arora: This Association has submitted in its memorandum that there ought to be a laboratory at each district level. For two things. One is that the honest businessmen can have their samples analysed at a nominal cost; secondly, we may also get our samples immediately analysed and take action.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: The samples taken by the food inspectors are required to be analysed at the laboratory of the local body concerned. I want to know whether these local boards have sufficient laboratory facilities or not.

Shri J. N. Arora: In U.P., only the laboratory of the Public Analyst is recognised and we send all our samples there.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Suppose we have a laboratory in every district, may not be necessarily the corporation. The State Government may make provision for independent analytical facilities available at each district level for speedy analysis of the samples and early submission of the results. Do you consider that will be a big help? If not at the district level, at the divisional level.

Shri J. N. Arora: We have also submitted it in our memorandum.

Dr. C. B. Singh: This facility is not available at the district level in U.P. The provision has been there that they must provide in each district. but we have not got it.

Shri J. N. Arora: That will be of very great help.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: How many are there in U.P.?

Shri J. N. Arora: Only one.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: And from every nook and corner of the State they must sent it there?

Shri J. N. Arora: Therefore, our submission is that it should be at the district level so that the analysis may be done expeditiously.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: What is the average daily capacity to take samples in Agra, in the Corporation area?

Shri J. N. Arora: About ten samples a day.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: What is the normal time taken in analysing and submitting the report on them?

Shri J. N. Arora: About two months for getting the result.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: That means that if a particular sample is found to be adulterated, that person is likely to know the result after two months?

Shri J. N. Arora: Yes, One more point arises, that he will get the prosecution about a year after that.

श्री के • एल • बाल्मीकी : मान लीजिये कि साप दूध या घी का एक सैम्पल झाज दोपहर को लेते हैं जिसको लखनऊ लेबे (रेटरी में भेजा जाता है आप कितने टाइम में उसको भेज देंगे ?

भी जे० एन० भरोड़ा: हमारे फूड इंस्पेक्टर तो सैम्पल लेने के बाद फ़ौरन ही उस को हैस्थ ग्राफिसर के पास भेज देंगे।

श्री के एल बाल्मीकी : इस बात की क्या गारण्टी है कि व ईसैम्पल बदला नहीं जायेगा ?

श्री के • एल • घरोड़ा : कानून में यह प्रावधान किया गया है कि तीसरे सैम्पल की बोतल उस व्यक्ति के पास रहे ।

डा॰ सुशीला नायर : घगर वह सैम्पल दूध का है। तो दो महीने के बाद वह दूध तो नहीं रह जायेगा।

श्री जे एन अरोड़ा: इसीलिए तो हमने कहा है कि यह काम जल्दी होना चाहिए।

श्री के • एल • बाल्मीकी : वह सैम्पल कितने दिन तक म्यूनिसिपल बोर्ड या कार्पोरेशन के स्थान पर रहता है और उस को रखने का क्या कायदा है ? मैं ऐसे केसिख जानता हूं कि चाहे सिफ़ारिश से ग्रीर चाहे ग्रीर तरह से वे सैम्पल बदल दिये जाते हैं।

श्री जे ० एन परोड़ा: हमारी एसोसि-एशन की जानकारी में तो ऐसे केसिज नहीं हैं। हमारी एसोसियेशन ने तो यह कोशिश की है कि हमारे फुड इंस्पेक्टर जब भी कोई सम्पन्न में, तुरन्त ही वे उस को हैल्य भाफिसर के पास भेज हैं।

डा॰ सुज्ञीला नायर : पैरिशेवल चीजों के लिए तो कोई मोबाइल लेबारेटरी या मोबाइल मेजिस्ट्रेट हो, तो ज्यादा फ़ायदा होना ।

श्री जें एक श्ररोड़ा: माननीय मंत्री जी ने हैंदराबाद में जो यह कहा कि उसी बक्त एनेलेंसिज हो, उसी बक्त फ़ाइन हो उस से हम पूरी तरह सहमत हैं। हमारी एसोसियेशन का ख़्याल है कि ध्रगर उसी बक्त एनेलेंसिज हो जाये, ती हमारे बारे में जो बात कही जाती हैं, उनके कहने की गुंजायश नहीं रहेगी।

भी कें एस बास्मीकी : वे सैम्पल ग्रंडर लाक एंड की किस के पास रहते हैं?

भी भे० एन० भरोड़ाः हैल्य माफ़िसर केपास।

श्री के ॰ एल ॰ बाल्मीकी : प्रक्सर इस बारे में सिफ़ारिश चल जाती है ग्रीर वे सैम्पल बदल दिये जाते हैं।

There are a few more points of submission by the Association. One important point is this—we are sorry that we could not get fifty copies of this submitted at the time when we submitted our memorandum. But if the hon. Chairman allows us to submit one or two copies, we would like to make this submission as to how the courts treat the Act. Is it their own Act, or the Act of the Parliament. We have got these only from two places—we can cite others—but for the present it is Firozabad Municipality and Bareilly Municipality.

In 1960 sample No. 12 is seized. It was tested on 11-1-1961. Fine imposed: Rs. 25.

Then there are cases where thereought to be six months' jail they are let off with a fine of Rs. 5, or so. We want that a regular probe may be made in this respect.

Chairman: You have given the statistical information.

Shri J. N. Arora: Yes. We have given instances of one or two districts in this. The next point is this. The Central Committee for Food Standards is going to revise the qualifications of the Food Inspectors for recruitment. Formerly in U.P. Sanitary Inspectors were only matriculates, but now F.Scs. and B.Scs. are already there. This detection of adulteration is not a question of F.Sc. or B.Sc. for a particular job. It is a question of intelligence, knowing the area and culprits. proposal of revision of qualifications may either be kept in abeyance or may be made applicable for future. Because when the question of promotion comes, the people who have been doing good work be not denied their chance of promotion on account of lack of qualifications. Our honourable Health Minister here has also taken notice of this at the recent Hyderabad Conference. There precious inducements around the Food Inspectors and if they want they can make use of the opportunity. they are doing their best in their work, especially hazardous work. These people should be amply rewarded by the Government.

The U.P. Act of 1950 was repealed by the Act enacted by the Parliament. But the rules framed under the 1956 Act are still there. The food inspectors are the people to implement this Act, but they are confused on account of these old rules. These rules ought to be revised according to the Act and rules of Parliament.

Dr. C. B. Singh: Will you suggest some kind of training for the Food Inspectors?

Shri J. N. Arora: We are ready for any training.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: What type of training you suggest? The Drugs Controller of Maharashtra is regularly sending his Inspectors to Courts so that they may become familiar with the loopholes and that may give them some classes in law. You know they are most successful in Maharashtra.

Shri J. N. Arora: We are ready for any type of training. 99 per cent of the Food Inspectors of U.P. take their cases themselves to the law courts. We generally do not get legal help. If we are given legal assistance, we may also become as successful as the Drug Inspectors of Maharashtra.

When the question of promotion or appointment to the Central cadre comes, we should also be given a chance in the Central cadre.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The first step is provincial cadre.

Shri J. N. Arora: In U.P. we are about 1300 Sanitary Inspectors. 600 Sanitary Inspectors have been appointed by State Governments in districts. 250 Z.P.S.Is are shortly to be absorbed in the provincial cadre. We wish that steps should be taken

to provincialise the services of the rest of 500 Inspectors of the Corporations and Municapilities. Our Minister, Shri Chaturbuj Sharma has assured us that our suggestion will be taken up for action, because for the last 27 years we have been talking of this.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: I would like to say that it is not a debatable point whether the sanitary inspectors should be vested with the powers of food inspectors or there should be a separate cadre of food inspectors.

Shri J. N. Arora: It is a very good question. The Sanitary Inspectors are in close touch with the public and they know many things in the field of sanitation, hygiene etc. For the sake of administrative efficiency, these people should be vested with the powers of Food Inspectors.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You have given us very good points. You have been very helpful to us. Thank you very much.

(The witnesses then withdrew)
The Committee then adjourned.

MINUTES OF EVIDENCE GIVEN BEFORE THE JOINT COMMITTEE ON THE PREVENTION OF FOOD ADULTERATION (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1963

Wednesday, the 5th August, 1964 at 13.32 hours.

PRESENT

Dr. Sarojini Mahishi-Chairman.

MEMBERS

Lok Sabha

. .

- 2. Shri K. L. Balmiki
- 3. Shri Sonubhau Dagadu Baswant
- 4. Shrimati Jyotsna Chanda
- 5. H. H. Maharaja Pratap Keshari Deo
- 6. Shri Shiv Charan Gupta
- 7. Shri Tulshidas Jadhav
- 8. Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath
- 9, Shri C. M. Kedaria
- 10. Dr. Mahadeva Prasad
- 11. Shri Yamuna Prasad Mandal
- 12. Dr. G. S. Melkote
- 13. Shri Gokulananda Mohanty
- 14. Dr. C. B. Singh
- 15. Shri U. M. Trivedi
- 16, Shrimati V. Vimla Devi
- 17. Dr. Sushila Nayar.

Rajya Sabha

- 18. Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja
- 19. Shri J. C. Chatterjee
- 20. Shri K. Damodaran
- 21. Shri S. S. Mariswami
- 22. Shri Deokinandan Narayan
- 23. Shri Palat Kunhi Koya
- 24. Shri Niranjan Singh.

DRAFTSMAN

Shri S. Harihara Iyer, Deputy Draftsman, Legislative Department, Ministry of Law.

REPRESENTATIVES OF THE MINISTRY

- 1. Shri R. K. Ramadhyani, Secretary, Ministry of Health.
- 2. Shri Gian Prakash, Joint Secretary, Ministry of Health.
- 3. Shri A. S. Bawa, Deputy Secretary, Ministry of Health.
- 4. Dr. Y. K. Subrahmanyam, A. D. G. (P.H.), Ministry of Health.
- 5. Shri Amar Nath Varma, Under Secretary, Ministry of Health.

SECRETARIAT

Shri A. L. Rai-Deputy Secretary.

WITNESSES EXAMINED

- I. Kirana Committee (Regd.), Delhi
 - 1. Shri Kishori Lal Mehra
 - 2. Shri Ram Chander Amar
 - 3. Shri Gopi Chand.
- II. Delhi Provision Merchants' Association, Delhi.
 - 1. Shri G. C. Khanna
 - 2. Shri Girdhari Lal
 - 3. Shri Vijay Kumar.
- III. Delhi Parchoon Dukandar Association, Delhi.
 - 1. Shri Basanti Lal
 - 2. Shri Ram Lal
 - 3. Shri Kedar Nath.
- IV. Dry Fruit, Kirana & Chemicals' Retailers Welfare Association, Delhi.
 - 1. Shri J. L. Duggal
 - 2. Shri Hari Kishen
 - 3. Shri Fateh Chand Naseem.
- V. Federation of All India Foodgrain Dealers' Association, Delhi.
 - 1. Shri V. S. Aggarwal
 - 2. Shri Vallabh Das Peraj.
- VI. Indian Produce Association, Calcutta.
 - 1. Shri S. N. Murarka
 - 2. Shri C. L. Gupta
 - 3. Shri R. S. Sharma.
- II. Kirana Committee (Regd.), Delhi.

.Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri Kishori Lal Mehra
- 2. Shri Ram Chander Amar
- 3. Shri Gopi Chand.

II. Delhi Provision Merchants' Association, Delhi.

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri G. C. Khanna
- 2. Shri Girdhari Lal
- 3. Shri Vijay Kumar.

III. All Delhi Parchoon Dukandar Association, Delhi.

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri Basanti Lal
- 2. Shri Ram Lai
- 3. Shri Kedar Nath.

IV. Dry Fruit, Kariana & Chemicals Retailers Welfare Association, Delhi.

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri J. L. Duggal
- 2. Shri Hari Kishen
- 3. Shri Fateh Chand Naseem.

V. Federation of All India Foodgrain Dealers' Association, Delhi.

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri V. S. Aggarwal
- 2. Shri Vallabh Das Peraj.

VI. Indian Produce Association, Calcutta,

Spokesmen

- 1. Shri S. N. Murarka
- 2. Shri C. L. Gupta
- 3. Shii R. S. Sharma.

(Witnesses were called in and they took their seats).

Chairman: The memoranda submitted by you have been circulated to all the honourable Members of the Committee. If you want to stress any particular point or add any new points, you may do so. The evidence given by you will be treated as public unless you specifically want that the whole or any part of it should be treated as confidential. Even then, it will be circulated to all the honourable Members. If you wish to suggest any amendments, you can do so.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: I wish to bring to the notice of the honourable Members that the Act as it stands or the amended Bill does not make any

distinction between whole article and powdered article. Our request is that distinction should be drawn in the Act between whole article and powdered article because adulteration as defined in the Act is mainly manmade and not made by the nature. The whole articles of spices are natural products. They are produced by the growers, by the peasants, by the gardeners etc. These people bring the produce to the market in their original condition, reaped condition. The purchasers in the market buy these in the same condition as are brought by the peasants and then despatch to outside markets for sale to retailers through whole-salers.

[Shri Kishori Lal Mehra]

There is no chance for adulteration when the produce is with the wholesaler or Commission Agents. No arrangement is made at least in Delhi and other like centres for adulterating the whole article.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: How is papaya seed mixed with the whole pepper? How is rubbish mixed with jeera?

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: Unless the Government make some arrangements at the producing centres, this so-called whole adulteration cannot be avoided. The whole spices are produced in different parts of India under different climatic conditions in different localities with different earth strength of productivities and growth as well as different atmospheric effects. So the substance and quality cannot be the same in all parts of India. Therefore, some arrangement should be made in the Rules to specify the nature, substance and quality of the whole produce in different parts of India.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: There are standards for both I am told—whole as well as powdered.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: So far as we are informed, there are standards for powdered ones and not for the whole product.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: They are for both.

Dr. C. B. Singh: You mean to say that there are regional differences—east, west, north and south—in the quality and substance of these products.

Chairman: If the Members could make note of their points and ask their questions later on after the witnesses finish their evidence, we can save some time also.

Dr. C. B. Singh: There are certain points on which we have to get clarification then and there.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: The specifications made under the rules cannot be made applicable to the whole articles; these can be made applicable to powdered articles all right. I may refer to the rules made under the old Act of 1954, page 34:

A. Spices—The standard specified for the various spices given in this clause shall apply to spices in whatever form, whether whole or partly ground or in powdered form.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: These rules are ready and they are awaiting notification. May I suggest one thing? If you have any difficulty concerning with the present Act, please let us know. Also you can bring in suggestions with regard to the amendment of rules and write to us. We can examine them and whatever is reasonable can be done.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: What I want to submit is that these rules may not be applicable to the whole articles.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: This Committee is not amending the rules. Therefore please tell us whatever suggestions you want to make with regard to the amendments of the Act and leave the rules out of that. That is a separate matter.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: If I am allowed to submit, my contention is that the rules as they exist will be applicable to the new Act in which different rules are made. Because of these, the whole article should be excluded from the operation of this Act.

Chairman: If you can give suggestions with regard to the rules, we can make note of them and while amending the rules, we will certainly consider them.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: He wants to distinguish the application of the Food Adulteration Rules vis-a-vis the whole material and the powdered material. I want to ask him whether it is his suggestion that the definition of the

food in the Food Adulteration Act has come in this section.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: On page 19 of the old Act, you will see that the rule-making power is given. It is definitely stated that you can define the standards or quality of food and for fixing the limits of the percentage permissible in respect of any article of food, it can be done for the whole as well as powdered article so that it does not need amending the law with respect to that.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Does he want to make any suggestions for the alteration in the definition of food?

Chairman: He is only dealing with the powdered material. He wants to stress his point on this.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: I want to bring to the notice of this hon. Committee one fact that what nature has produced in a particular area in A.P. may not be the same as produced in U.P.; it may not also be the same as produced in Punjab. I want to emphasise that the nature and quality of all these things cannot be the same in different places unless the Government establishes the same to the contrary.

Chairman: You are stressing on a different point. For example, the hon. Health Minister gave an example of papita seeds being mixed up with the pepper—it may be in Mysore or in Andhra Pradesh or in Punjab. The fact remains that the papita seeds may be mixed up with the pepper.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: That is not adulterated. That may be sub-standard.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Is it not adulterated?

Chairmen: Members are all agreed that there is some variation in all the articles produced in different parts of the country depending upon the climate, sun-shine and other things in that part of the country.

874 (aii) L.S.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: If it is not adulterated, why should he fear then?

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: Anything that is visible to the naked eyes is an adulterated one.

Chairman: Is it your idea that anything which is visible to the naked eyes is adulterated?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We all agree that if you can see with your own eyes that it is not an adulteration, then it is your job to point it out.

Shri Hari Vishna Kamath: In the memorandum submitted by this Association to the Committee, the main plea is that the Government should take upon itself the responsibility for distribution of spices, kirana etc. In that case, you will not ask for exemption from the operation of this Act. That is what you have said on page 4 of your Memorandum. You cannot have it both ways. If the Government takes upon itself the responsibility for distribution, then you come under the law—Adulteration Law. Later on, you will be responsible.

Shri Rishori Lal Mehra: My submission is that as the producing centre has made some arrangements to check the qualities or sub-standard nature of the article. There may be many articles sold as adulterated elsewhere.

. Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Later on you may say that.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: Then I should be held responsible. My submission is that under the rules we have to conform to certain standards. Page 34 of the rule says:

"Turmeric (Haldi) means the dried Rhizome or Bulbous root of the plants of Genus Cureuma and spices longa and turmerics in whatsoever form. It shall be free from damage by insect pest, from lead chromate and other artificial colouring matter, and shall not contain more than 2.5 part of per

million of lead, it shall conform to the standards as required under this Act."

1

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You need not read that.

Chairman: This is an amendment to the rule that he is suggesting.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: Under the present Act, we have to conform to these standards as required under the Act.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That is very true. These specifications have been laid down after examining large samples and analysing them in the manner it is stated here and then they are prescribed. In any case, if you have any quarrel with the rules we have suggested to you that you can take it up separately. This hon. Committee is not dealing with the rules.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: I am not asking the hon. Members to deal with the rules at this stage. What I was submitting was that naturally a product when produced cannot be expected to conform to those standards as required under the Act.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Then what do you want?

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: The whole article is produced; it has been brought into the market and hence no rule can be introduced now.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You do not know what you are doing. I can assure you that turmeric as has been pointed out just now is mixed with lead chromate. It looks yellow—very very yellow—whereas the natural turmeric does not look yellow. You may not be doing it but other people—are doing it.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: I submit if that centre is checked where it is produced . . .

Dr. Sushils Nayar: The trade is doing it.

Chairman: The analysis of some of these things have shown that these things do contain adulterated material. If you want any statistical information, we have got it ready.

Dr. Sushiia Nayar: The Government Analyst can tell you how it is done. Please show him the sample.

Chairman: He knows it.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: The question is, the percentage of lead by nature in the turmeric varies from place to place.

Dr. Sushita Nayar: 200 parts of a million of lead is in this. This is in the powder. I can show you the whole one also. गांठ पर लगाते हैं, भाई।

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: If that, is checked at the centre where it is produced . . .

Dr. Sushfia Nayar: It is not done at any particular centre. It is your job, as traders, to see that you do not distribute lead poison to the people.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: There is no arrangement with the traders to check the percentage of lead.

Chairman: Any amendments that you are suggesting to the rules may kindly be sent separately.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: I am not saying about rules. I am suggesting that the Act should not be applicable to the whole articles.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We cannot agree with that. This is the stuff that is used by the trade to colour the whole turmeric.

Chairman: Whether it is whole article or powdered article, after all it is included in the food.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: My next submission is going to be regarding the powdered spices. Some arrangements should be made to Agmark them at the factory itself where these are powdered. The Government should make arrangements to check and Agmark those articles in the factories where these are powdered.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The Government should see that it is produced properly; the Government should see that it is powdered properly and given to you in a pure form. Why not the Government sell it also. You want all the trade to go into the hands of the Government?

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: As we stand in India, in 1964, is there any arrangement with the trader to check what percentage of lead is in the whole turmeric?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: What prevents you from having your own laboratories where you can test them?

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: It will not be practical at all to check it in every city.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Every city can have a laboratory.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: The Government is Agmarking the ghee.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Yesterday some people told us that even the Agmark product they found it adulterated. It was explained to us how they put a needle or something else and remove the real stuff and instead put the adulterated stuff in it. So, the people who have taken to adulteration are very ingenious.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: If after it is Agmarked it is found to be adulterated, then naturally the person who possesses it is guilty under the Act.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: He wants to say that it was adulterated at the source itself; he wants impunity because of that. Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: For the sake of one or two cases, the whole of the trade should not be made to suffer.

My next submission is regarding the imported articles of spices. I submit that there should be a check at the exporting centre.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Should we have our organisations in all places in every country to check what you are importing?

Shri Kisheri Lai Mehra: How can the Indian trader check the foreigner?

Dr. Sushiia Nayar: Why don't you get a certificate from them that it applies to certain qualities.

Chairman: The imported articles are not under consideration now. The amending Bill does not deal with that,

Shri Kishori Lal Mchra: Then I make a submission regarding the samples which are taken at the time when the inspector comes. Under the Act, three samples are taken. Our submission is that four should be taken instead of three. Two samples should be left with the trader so that they will be given an opportunity to get these independently tested.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You want us to believe the report that you will get from your analyst which we are not going to do. If you want to get it checked yourself, the sack or the can from which the inspector takes out the sample, the remaining portion, is there and you get it analysed. Where is the need for the fourth sample?

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: How will the court believe it that this is out of the same stock.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: In any case the court is not going to believe the report that you get from your analyst

unless it is an independent body the testimony of which can be accepted.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: We may have it tested from some other laboratory in some other province.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That will not be acceptable.

Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja: Government laboratories are all the same everywhere.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: The person who is testing it is not the same.

Chairman: When the person can get it tested from his own laboratory, why can't he get it tested at the source itself?

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: We can have different opinions from different analysts.

My next submission is that some arrangements should be provided to the traders for storing the articles because so far there is no arrangement made for storing these articles where they can be kept safe from insects and climatic effects.

Chairman: Now go to your next point.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: Does the hon. Minister agree with me that the whole articles should not be included in the purview of the Act and that it should be made applicable only to the powdered ones where there is a greater chance of adulteration?

Chairman: Are you reopening the first point?

Shri Kishori Lai Mehra: Then certain amendments may be made in the definition of the wording as suggested by me in my Memorandum already submitted.

My next point is regarding section 7 of the Act which reads:

"No person shall himself or by any person on his behalf manufacture for sale, or store, sell or distribute any adulterated food." According to the present section which is being adopted in the Bill also, the producer of the article in one place, the wholesaler in Delhi and the retailer in that article at Panipat, are all liable for punishment for the offence of adulteration, if it is proved to be an adulterated stuff. According to an established principle of law, no two persons can be punished for the same offence.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: This is very interesting. A factory run by 100 persons may produce poison which is capable of killing thousands of people, but you say that only one person can be punished and 99 should be set free.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The established principle of law is the other way round. No man can be punished twice for the same offence. Not the contrary.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: Suppose I am the wholesaler here in Delhi, A servant helps me in my business. If the article is found to be adulterated, under this Bill now both can be punished.

Dr. Sushina Nayar: Don't you think that is fair?

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: It is hardly fair. Only the person who owns the shop and who sells it is punishable and not the servant.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Is there any other point?

Chairman: We have understood that point. If there is any other point, please put forward. Otherwise, I will now ask the hon. Members to put questions.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Please turn to page 6 of your written memorandum submitted to the Committee. Here you suggest that four samples should be taken of the suspected material because you would like to get one of them examined by a Government laboratory of your own choice. Does it mean that by experience your confidence in the Public Analyst has been weakened or shattered?

shri Kisheri Lal Mehra: That is not my point. The point is that analysis can differ in different laboratories by different analysts. This is my point. I have not said that I have lost trust in the Public Analyst. I should be given the right to get the material analysed myself and then it should be left to the Court whether to believe the report of the Public Analyst or my analysis.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The implication of this is that at the back of your mind there is suspicion of the honesty of the Public Analyst.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: It is not like that.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: If that is so, why not admit it?

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: The point is that the analysis of the sample may differ.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: If they differ, you send it to the appellate authority. The court can ask for an appeal.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I find that later on in the same paragraph you have said that "cases are brought in the court after lapse of months and even years and by that time the same becomes...." Are you speaking from experience or is it hearsay?

Shri Kisheri Lal Mehra: Experience.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: In that case can you give us figures, apart from this kind of vague and random statement?

Shri Kishori Lel Mehra: We can give definite instances with concrete figures.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Please send the statistics later on.

You have suggested one last point that for powdered spices there should be licensed factories and for whole spices Government should take over the arrangement for distribution—that is procuring them at the source or producing centres and then distributing them

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: Inspection should be done at the producing centres.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: If that is done, then I take it, you will hold yourself liable—not you personally, but the wholesaler?

Shri Kisheri Lal Mehra: Of course I will be liable, if the certificate by the Government is there to the effect that it is according to specifications. Then, if I am found in possession of adulterated articles which do not conform to the specifications, then surely I will be liable.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Even after that if you are found guilty of adulteration by the highest court of the land would you agree that the adulterator is a potential murderer and should therefore be publicly hanged in Chandni Chowk?

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: The adulterator should be punished....

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: To stop adulteration, would you agree that he should be publicly hanged in Chandni Chowk provided he is found guilty by the highest court of law?

Dr. Sushiia Nayar: Of all the places why show such kindness to Chandni Chowk?

Shri Hari Vishau Kamath: I am speaking about Delhi. Would you agree that he should be publicly flogged?

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: Punisnment is prescribed here. But if the Parliament so desire it may be done.

Shri P. K. Deo: I could not appreciate paragraph 3 of your memorandum where you say that there should be no chemical analysis for spices. Why should you be against any chemical analysis for spices?

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: As I have submitted, these powders differ according to the countries where they are produced. The nature, water, atmosphere and the strength of the earth, all these things affect the quality and the substance of the article. Therefore, there cannot be one single specification.

Shri P. K. Deo In that case what is your concrete suggestion to check adulteration in the case of spices if there is to be no chemical analysis?

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: There can only be a physical check, no analytical check. A pharmaceutical test cannot be made to apply to this.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Perhaps if you have some chemist or some persons scientifically trained to represent you, they would have understood us better and we would have understood them better. When you say that no chemical analysis is necessary and only physical check will do, obviously you and the Committee are talking two different languages. We cannot understand each other's ideas.

श्री तुलक्षीदास जाधव : आप ने श्रपने मेमोरेंडम में यह लिखा है :---

"The Government should provide, establish and maintain scientific arrangements for cleaning the whole spices in the producing centres at the time when these commodities are brought by the farmers or growers from the fields, so that the goods according to the specifications are despatched to the distributing centres.

Till such arrangements or institutions are formed the applicability of the Act should be suspended."

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: It should not be allowed to come into the market unless....

श्री तुलशीदास जाधव : मेरा कहना यह है कि भ्राप ने इस में जो सुझाब दिया है कि यह चैकिंग का काम उन फील्ड्स में जहां कि वह चीजें किसानों द्वारा पैदा की जाती हैं. सरकार करे ग्रौर इस तरह की चैकिंग की व्यवस्था जब तक सरकार न कर सके तब तक के लिए इस एक्ट पर ग्रमल करना मल्तवी रक्खा जाय, यह सुझाव कुछ श्रापका उचित व तर्कसंगत नहीं प्रतीत होता है क्योंकि भ्राप बल्क पर्चेजर्स हैं, किसानों से उत्पादकों से ग्राप भारी माला में चीजें खरीदते हैं भीर उनको स्टोर करते हैं। म्राप की एसोसियेशन भी है और इसलिए क्या भ्राप की एसोसियेशन का यह कर्तव्य नहीं होना चाहिए कि वह भ्रपने को सैटिसफाई कर के व चैक भ्रादि कर के भ्रपने मैम्बर्स के वास्ते वह चीजें परचेज करे। श्राप इस तरह की साइंटिफिक जांच का भार गवर्नमेंट पर क्यों डालना चाहते है कि वह हर जगह हर किसान के खेतों पर जाकर उनको प्रइयस की चैंकिंग करे ? ग्राप की भ्रपनी एसोसियेशन क्यों नहीं यह काम करती ?

श्री किशोरी लाल मेहरा : दुकानदार भ्रलग भ्रलग फैले हुए हैं भ्रीर जगह जगह से उनके पास चीजें उत्पादित होकर भ्राती हैं भ्रीर इस तरह की चैंकिंग की व्यवस्था करना उनके वास्ते संभव नहीं है।

श्री तुलशीवास जाश्वव : ग्राप की एसो-सियेशन को यह दायित्व सम्हालने से हिच-किचाना नहीं चाहिए । बहरसाल मैं जानना चाहूंगा कि ग्राप की एसोसियेशन में कितने मैम्बर्स हैं ?

भी किशोरी लाल मेहरा : ४०० मैम्ब्सं हैं । जी तुलशीबास जाजब: नया प्राप बतला सकेंगे कि प्राप की एसोसियेशन के ४०० मैम्बर्स में ग्रब तक क्या किसी को इस मिलावट करने के प्रपराध में सजा हुई है ?

श्री किशोरी लाल मेहरा : किसी को सजा नहीं हुई है ।

भी तुलसीवास जाभव: यह तो बहुत प्रच्छी बात है। मैं पुन: भ्राप से इस बात के लिए भ्रनुरोध करना चाहूंगा कि यह सोर्स पर चैकिंग करने का भार भ्राप गवर्नमेंट पर न डालने के लिए कहें भ्रपितु भ्राप की एसोसियेशन को भ्रागे बढ़ कर इस दायित्व को सम्हालना चाहिए ताकि ट्रेड का नाम बदनाम न हो।

श्री किशोरी लाल मेहरा : हर एक दुकानदार के लिए यह पूर्व चैकिंग का इंतजाम करना मुश्किल है ।

श्री तुलशीवास आधवः मैं ने हर एक दुकानदार के लिए यह नहीं कहा है बस्कि ग्राप की एसोसियेशन के लिए कहा है।

Shri P. K. Deo: Mr. Mehra, you must have read in the papers some time back that these spices are mixed with horse dung, and it cannot be found out physically just by feeling that there is any mixture by adulteration. The only way is by sending it for chemical examination.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: It will differ with different items.

Shri P. K. Deo: Then how do you say that physical examination will do? Horse dung is mixed with powdered spices. So, how can you find it out, unless you send it for chemical analysis in the laboratory?

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: For powdered articles I have already stated that it could be examined, and it should be examined, and the adulterator should be punished.

Shri P. K. Deo: Therefore para 3 of your written memorandum does not apply to it?

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: Regarding powder, if at the factory where these

articles are powdered a check is made and if they are agmarked, the adulteration will be reduced to a very great extent.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: You have made one suggestion in the course of your statement. About the various spices you would not like them to be treated as adulterated if insects are found inside the spices. I would like to know from you whether you want to make this suggestion in respect of storing or when you are trying to sell also.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: For the purpose of storing.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Your objection is mainly that if you have merely stored the article and if it is found to contain any insects inside you should not be held responsible for it. Is that your contention?

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: My contention is, that if these things occur due to the climatic conditions and the atmosphere etc., we request that we may be helped in making them stored at places where these conditions may not occur.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Please listen to the question. If an article stored in your possession only is in any manner found damaged, should you be held responsible for adulteration or not?

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: Obviously I think we should be.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Even if it is stored only and you are not selling?

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: Yes.

Dr. C. B. Singh: On page 2 of your memorandum, you say that 'so far as Delhi like other cities in India is concerned none of the arhaties or commission agents or dealers have got any establishments, arrangements or facilities for adulterating the whole articles...." How do you make this claim?

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: My association consists of 400 traders and there are no arrangements to get things adulterated.

Dr. C. B. Singh: Can it happen without your knowledge?

Shri Kishori Lai Mehra: It is not possible.

Dr. C. B. Singh: Then, how do we get adulterated things?

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: These are all what you get in powder form. The passage in page 2 refers to whole articles. Our members do not deal in powders.

Dr. C. B. Singh: On the same page in the third para you say that whole spices brought in the selling centres must necessarily have dust, earth, ash, straws, unripened fruits etc. We agree that it is not adulteration. Will you agree that they should be classified as class I, II or III commodity.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: I agree

Dr. C. B. Singh: Why not your association classify it?

Shri Kishori Lal Mchra: We cannot do it: we are having no specialised staff for this purpose.

Dr. C. B. Singh: It is an important point. When there is a natural mixture of these things, he agrees for classifying them as class I, II and III.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We can discuss your opinion later on: at present let us get their advice.

श्री श्री एमि केशिया: मभी यहां पर बताया गया है कि एनैलेसिज से पता चला है कि काली मिर्च में पर्षया सीड्ज और हल्दी में लैड मिलाया जाता है। मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या ये चीजें किलानों के बेत में मिली यी या दुकानदारों की दुकानों में मिलाई गई थीं।

की गोबी बन्द : पाउडवं भीर होल स्पाइतिक में बड़ा फर्क है । इसीलिए हम चाइते हैं कि उन का एममार्क कर दिवा जावे । ऐसा कोई स्वत नहीं मिला है कि साबुत मसालों में घोडे की लीद मिली हुई है। जहां तक पपैया का सम्बन्ध है, उन का रेट ८ रुपये और काली मिर्च का रेट साढे तीन रुपये है। इस हालत में यह कैसे सम्भव है कि काली किर्च में परीया सीइज को मिला दिया जावे ? ला के मुताबिक हस्दी में २.५ पार्टस पर सिमियन लैंड एलाउड है। प्रयर कहीं लैंड ३ पार्ट्स पर मिनियन पाया गया, तो प्रासीक्यूबन हो गया । क्रमर कहीं पर हल्दी में २.५ पार्टल पर मिलियन के बजाये ३ पार्ट स पर मिलियन लैड पाया जाता है, तो इस का मतलब यह नहीं है कि उस में किसी ने लैड मिलाया है, क्योंकि दस लाख में ग्राधा िस्सा लैंड मिलाना सम्भव नहीं है।

भी हरि विष्णु कामतः क्या हल्दी में लैंड एलाउड है ?

भी बोषी चन्द्र: लैंड एलाउड है, लेकिन जैंड कोमेंट एलाउड नहीं है।

डा० सुशीला नायर: नैट्यर में एक ख़ास हद तक ही चीज मिली हुई होती है। हल्दी में २.५ पार्ट्स पर मिलियन ही लैंड पैदा हो सकता है। अगर उस से ज्यादा मिलता है, तो वह इन्सान का डाला हुआ है। इसी लिए उसकी सजा होती है।

श्री गोपी चण्य: ग्रगर कोई दुकानदार हल्दी में ग्राप्टा या एक हिस्सा लड इन्जेक्ट करने बैठे, तो उस के लिए यह मुमकिन बहीं है।

डा॰ सुसीसा नायर: वह इसलिए मुमकिन है कि कुछ सोग हल्दी की शक्स को अच्छा दिखाने के लिए और उस के डिफ़ेक्ट्स को डकने के लिए उस की गांठों की सरफ़ेस को पेंट करते हैं। अगर लैंड को सरफ़ेस पर लगाया आये, तो बोपोशन बहुत ज्यादा नहीं होता है 8 नेट्बर में किसी जगह १ पार्ट पर मिलियन लैंड या १ ५ पार्ट्स पर मिलियन लैंड हो सकता हैं । वाइडेस्ट मार्जिन २ ५ पार्ट्स पर मिलियन का रख दियों गया है। जब मिलावट होती है, तो लैंड उस से ज्यादा बढ़ जाता है भौर तब प्रासीक्यूशन होता है।

धाप का कहना है कि पपैया सीड्च का भाव भाठ रुपये हैं। वह रेट तो बढ़िया से बढ़िया पपैया सीड्ज का है, जो कि खेत में बोने के काम में भाते हैं। सर्वसामान्य पपैया सीड्ज तो हम लोग फेंक देते हैं। उन को धो कर काली मिर्च में मिला दिया जाता है। इसीतरा जीरे में खस के बीज मिलाये जाते हैं। हमारे एनैलिस्ट के पास कई सैम्पल्च हैं।

श्राप ने कहा है कि श्राप के जार सौ मेम्बरों में से किसी को सजा नहीं हुई है। यह ग़लत है। मैं रिकार्ड निकलवा रही हूं। अभी श्राप की बता दिया जायेगा कि कितने लोगों को सजा हुई है

भी गोवी बन्द: जहां तक हस्दी पर पालिस का ताल्लुक है, हमारे पास ऐसी कोरी हस्दी है, जो प्रासेस्ड नहीं हुई है उस में लैंड २.५ पार्ट्स पर मिलियन के बजाये बार पार्ट्स पर मिलियन निकला है।

सभापति महीवयाः भ्राप वह हम को दे दीजिये । हम उस को लेबोरेटरी में भेज देंगे ।

बा॰ सी॰ बी॰ सिंह: उस हल्दी का एग्जामिनेशन किस ने किया था? क्या भ्राप के पास उसकी रिपोर्ट है ?

सभापति महौबया: सैंट्रल लेबोरेटरी ने उसका एनैलेसिज नहीं किया। इन की श्रपनी लेबोरेटरी ने उस को एनैलाइज कियां है।

डा॰ तुझीला नायर: इस कमेटी के सामने भाप सही बात करेंगे तो श्रापको भी लाभ होगा भौर हमें भी, बर्ना हम दोनों का बक्त खराब होषा । श्रापने कहा है कि श्रापके मेम्बरों में से किसी के यहां एडस्ट्रेशन नहीं हुआ है, किसी को सजा नहीं हुई हैं। दिल्ली में स्पाइसिस में १,२२२ सैम्पल लिये गये हैं जिनमें से २२४ में एडस्ट्रेशन पाया गया है जोकि १८३ बैठता है। सारी की सारी लिस्ट मेरे पास है भौर अगर आप बाहें तो मैं आपके पास उसकी नकल भिजवा सकती हूं।

भी के ० एल ० बाल्मीकी : सजा वाली बात प्रलग है। कभीशन एजंट्स का काम यह है कि वे माल को इधर से उधर पास करते हैं भीर प्रपना कभिशन लेते हैं। कितनो लेते हैं इससे में कोई मतलब नहीं है। जब काली मिर्च या कोई भी और मसाला आप पास करते हैं तो क्या भाप यह भी देखते हैं या नहीं कि वह प्रनएडल्ट्रेटिड है?

श्री गोपी चन्दः इसका हमारे पास कोई झरेंजमेंट नहीं है।

श्री कें ० एस० बाल्मीकी : यह लिखा गया ै कि झाउटबर्डली देखा जा सकता है। यह देखा जा सकता है कि दालचीनी में यह मिल सकता है, मिर्च में यह मिल सकता है। वैसे ही झांखों से इसे देखा जा सकता है। एक जगह से दूसरी जगह देख कर जब झाप माल को पास कर रहे होते हैं तो उसके बीच में झापको सजा हो सकती है या नहीं?

श्री गोपी चन्दः इंडियन स्टेंडर्ड इन्स्टी-ट्यूशन ने स्पाइसिस के बारे में स्टेंडर्ड बनाये हैं।

डा० सुशीला नायर : वह तो ग्रेडिंग की बात है।

भी गोपी चन्दः जो स्पेसिफिकेशन रखे हैं वे फिजिकल स्पेसिफिकेशन हैं।

डा॰ सुझीला नायर: जब टैस्ट करते हैं तब एनैलेसिस होता है।

भी कें एल शस्त्रीकी : दालचीनी दालभीनी न हो करके दूसरी जो कोई लकड़ी

होती है उसको ही शेप दे दी जाती है, ऐसी चीज भी क्या भाषके नोटिस में है ?

भी गोपीचन्द : दालचीनी का इम्पोर्ट बन्दहै बाहर से लाने का लाइसेंस नहीं मिलता है। वह बाहर से ही प्राती हैं जब प्राती ही नहीं है तो हिन्दुस्तान में कहां से वह चीज मिल सकती है? यहां पर हिन्दुस्तान में उसका मैनुफैक्चर नहीं होता है इस वास्ते इसके बारे में हम बया कह सकते हैं?

Chairman: Just as Dr. C. B. Singh said, it is grading—A, B, C and so on. It is not adulteration.

डा० सुत्रीला नायर : जिस चीज की जितनी स्केरसिटी होती है उस में उतना ही ज्यादा एडलट्रेशन का स्कोप बढ़ जाता है।

श्री गोपी चन्द : टोटल बैन है कैसे बेच सकते हैं।

Chairman: Scarcity is no justification for adulteration.

श्री के एल बाल्मीकी: जहां तक मसालों का ताल्लुक है व होलसेल में भी बिकते हैं और रिटेल में भी। कुछ लोग ऐसे भी हैं जो बस्तियों में झौर गांवों में सिरों पर टोकरे लिये फिरते हैं और मसाले बेच कर प्रपना पेट भरते हैं। उस मसालें में श्रगर एडलट्रेशन पाया जाता है तो उस में उसकी जिम्मेदारी नहीं हो सकती है, जिससे वह माल लाता है उसकी जिम्मेदारी हो सकती हैं या नहीं?

डा० सुशीला नायर: सभी की है। जो बनाता है, जो प्रोड्यूस करता है, उसकी है, होलसेलर की है, रिटेलर की है। हर एक की यह जिम्मेदारी है। वह इस तरह की बात में हिस्सेदार न हो, ऐसी उसको कोशिश करनी। चाहिये।

भी के **एल** बाल्मीकी : साधारण लोगों का जहां तक ताल्लुक है, वे इसको जानते नहीं हैं। उनको तो बचाने की जरूरत हैं या नहीं?

सभापति महोदयाः इस प्रहम झापूस में बाद में बहस कर सकते हैं।

श्री गोपी चन्द : यहां पर एक गलतफ ्मी पैदा करने की कोशिश की जा रही है। कहा जा रहा है कि काली मिर्च में पपीते के बीज मिल ये जाते हैं। पपीते के बीज तो काली मिर्च से बहुत महंगे हैं।

Shrimati Jyotsna Chanda: From the statement supplied to us, it is found that during 1963 so many samples were analysed and they were found to be adulterated. But the witness said that though they have 400 members in their association, none of them has been penalised for adulteration.

Chairman: So many adulteration cases were found out in 1963 in Delhi. For your information, one Mr. C. K. Satyanath, A 3, Defence Colony, New Delhi was punished in May 1963 for adulteration in jeera. He was fined Rs. 275 and given some nominal imprisonment also.

Shri Tulshidas Jadav: He might not be a member of their association.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: There is no denying the fact that there is adulteration in spices in the wholesale trade in Delhi. Can they suggest any measures to check this adulteration?

Chairman: Don't say that the Government should supply these materials.

श्री देवकी तंदन नारायण: इन्होंने जो भाव बताये हैं ग्रीर कहा है कि पपीते के बीज काली मिर्च से महंगे हैं वे वे भाव हैं जो कि बीज दूकानों पर मिलते हैं ग्रीर जो अच्छी किस्म के सीड होते हैं। जो खेती करने वाले हैं या खाने वाले है ग्रीर जो श्रीय सुखा

कर बेचते हैं वे बारह ब्राने सेर या एक रुपये सेर मिल जाते हैं।

सभाषति महोदया : घर में पपीते का पेड़ है तो बिना पैसे मिलते हैं।

श्री तुलशीदास जासव : श्रापकी एसोसियेशन में चार सौ मेम्बर हैं । उन मेम्बर्ज से श्राप सबस्किपशन लेते हैं, उनकी तकलीफों को दूर करने की कोशिश करते हैं । क्या श्राप उनको यह नहीं बतलाते कि जब वे माल लें तो शुद्ध माल लें ? क्या इस तरह की कोई बात श्रापक। एसोसियेशन ने कही है, श्रीर श्रगर नहीं तो फिर कैसे यह काम होगा ?

श्री गोपी बन्द : काली मिर्च में ६० पर सेंट सब स्टेंडर्ड होती हैं, तो क्या इतने बड़े हिस्से को ग्राप फेंक देंगे । यहां एक बार ऐसा मामला हुग्ना था । ग्रांध्र से पांच ६ हजार बोरियां काली मिर्च की ग्राई थीं जो कि सबस्टेंडर्ड थीं । वह मामला हेल्थ ग्राफिसर के सामने भी गया था । तो मेरा कहना यह है कि जो चीज दूसरी जगह से सबस्टेंडर्ड ग्राती उसके लिए हमको क्यों सजा दी जाए ।

डा० सुशीला नायर: प्रापका प्रारगेनाइज्ड ऐसोसियेशन है भौर श्राप जहां से चीज
लें तो उस को साम्पल करवा सकते हैं।
प्रगर वह सबस्टेंडर्ड है तो न खरीदिये।
मैं श्रापसे कहती हूं कि ट्रेडर के ग्रलावा श्राप देश
के नागरिक भी हैं श्रीर यह ग्रापके भी हित में है
कि लोगों को गुद्ध चीजें मिलें। श्राप भी
तो उन चीजों को खाते हैं। ग्राप ब्रूसरों के
लिय कुछ लोगों से चीज खरीदते हैं। हम
खराव चीज के लिये प्रोड्यूसर पर भी
मुकदमा चलाते हैं, लेकिन श्राप भी तो कह
सकते हैं कि यह चीज सबस्टेंडर्ड है हम नहीं
खरीदेंगे भीर श्रगर श्राप न खरीदें तो श्राप

देश की सेवा कर सकते हैं। लेकिन भ्राप जान बूझ कर सबस्टेंडर्ड चीज खरीदते हैं यह सोच कर कि सस्ती मिल जायेगी भ्रौर इसमें ज्यादा मुनाफा हो जाएगा।

श्री गोपी चन्दः क्या ग्राप ६० परसेंट चीज को फेंक देंगी ?

डा॰ सुझीला नायर: ग्रगर वह पायजनस है तो जरूर फेंक देंगे ।

भी गोपी चन्द : लेकिन वह चीज सबस्टेंडर्ड है मिलावटी नहीं है।

सभापति महोदया: धगर स्टेंडर्ड गलत है तो धाप उसकी सूचना दे सकते हैं और उस पर विचार किया जा सकता है।

Dr. G. S. Melkote: May I know from Shri Mehra whether they are not an organised association?

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: We are an organised association.

Dr. G. S. Melkote: You said just now that because of the nature of the climate, soil, water and other things there are variations and it is due to the variations that grading takes place and not due to adulteration. Nowhere so far as I am aware does this occur in the world. There the organisation sees to it that if goods are adulterated they get refused. How many times have you refused the adulterated material?

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: We hardly know that it is adulterated. We deal only in whole spices and therefore there is no occasion for us to see that.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: From the look of it you can know. Then, you can know by sending it to the chemical analyst.

Chairman: When the common man can know, when the housewife can know, it is strange that you do not know it.

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: We buy in bulk.

Chairman: You must be in a better position to know this,

Shri Kishori Lal Mehra: There is no difference in the price.

Dr. G. S. Melkote: That you have not refused it even once shows that you are continuously purchasing adulterated stuff and are passing that on to the public.

श्री गोषी चन्द : हमें पता नहीं होता कि वह चीज सबस्टेंडर्ड है या नहीं।

डा॰ ची॰ एस॰ मेसकीटें: हम बतला सकते हैं, तो ग्राप नहीं बतला सकते क्या । जब हम मिलाबट को जान सकते हैं तो ग्राप नहीं जान सकते ।

श्री राम चन्द्र समर: होल मसाले के बारे में तो जान सकते हैं, लेकिन पिसे हुए के बारे में नहीं जान सकते । ज्यादातर शिकायत पिसे मसाले के बारे में हैं, होल मसाले के बारे में नहीं है।

Dr. G. S. Melkote: I asked this question because in other countries the organisation does this as a matter of duty in the national interest except in India. Why does this organisation not accept this challenge?

भी गीपी चण्य: हमारे लिये यह पासि-बिल नहीं है ।

श्री तुलकी बास जावब : एक हाउस बाइफ बाजार में जाती है तो वह देख सकती है कि यह मिलावटी चीज है, भाप नहीं जान सकते ?

भी राज चन्द्र समर : जो सबस्टेंडर्ड माल है उसको जान सकना कठिन है।

डा॰ सुझीला नायर : म आपको मिलाबट की परिभाषा क्ता दूं। वह इस प्रकार है : "If the article sold by a vendoris not of the nature, substance or quality demanded by the purchaser and is to his prejudice or is not of the nature, substance or quality which it purports or is represented to be;

- (b) If the article contains any substance which affects or if the article is so processed as to affect injuriously the nature, substance or quality thereof;
- (c) If any inferior or cheaper substance has been substituted wholly or in part with the articleso as to affect injuriously the nature, substance or quality thereof;"

में द्याप से प्रार्थना करती हुं कि द्याप यह सोचें कि ट्रेडर के ग्रलाबा एक नागरिक भी हैं। भाप इस बात का इन्तिजाम भपने ऐसोसियेशन के द्वारा कर सकते हैं कि भापके जो चार सौ मेम्बर हैं उनको भ्रच्छा माल मिले । भाप स्टोरेज करवा सकते हैं. द्याप एनेलेसिस करवा सकते हैं, घपनी लेबोरेटरी रख सकते हैं। धगर धापके दिल में हाउसबाइफ जैसी लगन हो तो प्राप ये सब चीजें कर सकते हैं। ग्रगर श्राप कनज्यूमर को गन्दगी नहीं खिलाना चाहते तो प्रापको इन चीओं का ध्यान रखना चाहिए जैसा कि ग्राप श्रमी तक नहीं रखते । कानुन तो जो बनेगा सो बनेगा, लेकिन कानून के घब घलावा हम मापका सहयोग चाहते हैं। इतनी प्रार्थनाः करके मैं भापकी धन्यवाद देती हं।

Dr. G. S. Melkote: Gradation in India means gradation according to quality and according to adulteration. This is how our merchants are acting. Is it not a fact?

श्री गोपी चन्य : व्यापारी लोग इस तरह से मिलावट करते हैं यह कहना सही नहीं होगा बल्कि असलियत यह है कि किसान लोग जो उत्पादन करते हैं वह जब साफ नहीं होता है तो वह सब स्टेंडर्ड हो जाता है और मेरी राय में उसको ऐडल्ट्रेटेड कहना दुरुस्त नहीं होगा।

Chairman: Now, we will take up Delhi Provision Merchants' Association.

Shri G. C. Khanna: I represent the Delhi Provision Merchants' Association and I would like to assure the honourable Members that we are citizens first, consumers second and traders third.

We have already put down in the paper before you our points regarding the Prevention of Food Adulteration (Amendment) Bill, 1963. Besides this, I would like to reiterate a few points that have been mentioned and which may need a little emphasis.

We deal only in sealed and packaged foodstuffs which are bottles tins and sealed packages. We would like that these are made foolproof so that the consumer feels, when he is getting an article, that he is getting a tin in the original condition. We would like that the labels should contain the batch number and code number so that there is no misapprehension on the part of the retailer and the consumer that the foodstuff is what it should be. When we sell something, it may be that it has been manufactured a long time back or it may have been put on the shelf for a long time or it may also have been adulterated during the process of manufacture itself or it may be a substandard item. Neither the retailer nor the consumer can judge the purity from the appearance of container or the package. The tailer buys his requirements from the licensed manufacturer or their agents. So the manufacturers and producers alone should remain responsible under the law. The batch numbers and code numbers should be mentioned in all cash memos.

Chairman: Is it not mentioned in the cash memo?

Shri G. C. Khenna: No. The manufacturer or the wholesaler can deny at any stage that that particular item was sold by him.

Chairman: It is in the rules.

Shri G. C. Khanna: I am telling you what happens in general practice of the trade.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: It should be on the label.

Shri G. C. Khanna: If it is mentioned on the cash memos, it will make the retailers safe because the wholesalers will not deny that that particular batch was not sold by them, if that batch is found to be adulterated. For no fault of the retailer he should be prosecuted. Some of the containers are such they can be tampered with easily. The manufacturers should see that their names are embossed on the containers.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Cannot you refuse to buy unless they give you the necessary information?

Shri G. C. Khanna: If we do that, this would hold back much stocks and the consumers will be put to a great deal of difficulty. 90 per cent of the items will not be available on the shelf. That is why we insist that it should be made compulsory that every supplier should issue necessary letter of warranty as prescribed under the Act. It should also be made compulsory that all labels contain warranty clause and it should indicate Batch or Code number on along with details of ingredients used. At present it does not contain batch number and code number.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: If it can be opened by the retailer, the wholesaler cannot hold himself responsible for that.

Shri G. C. Khanna: We are talking only of tinned foodstuffs. We would like to say that rigid inspection of factories and analysis of samples taken at the manuacturers premises can only help to curb this malady.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You want letter of warranty.

Shri G. C. Khanna: Previously warrantly was not forthcoming. Then it was stressed that the warranty should be on the label itself. Firstly warranty comes in the cash memo. Then, all manufacturers should put their batch number code number on the articles they sell, so that if adulteration is found then the retailer can be able to explain himself and also the authorities can further check up the source at all the levels.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Batch number or code number either in Hindi or English numericals or alphabets is put on the label. It is also provided in the rules. If in implementation some people are failing to put it, that is a matter to be brought to the State authorities to look into. I want to know about the warrantly. We have two views before us. One is everybody who sells or who buys has the right to expect a warranty from the man he buys from. That is to say, wholesaler to the bigger retailer and the bigger retailer to small retailer, the letter of warranty must be given. You have put the other view point that the cash memo itself may be treated as a letter of warranty and there is no need for a separate letter of warranty.

Shri G. C. Khanna: I would further say that the licence or the authorisetion to manufacture is by itself a guarantee that the Government is seeing that the manufacture is according to the specification or not.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That is, ofcourse, understood. But the factory fellow says—I supplied this stuff to this man and he has tampered with it after the stuff left my hands.

Shri G. C. Khanna: We could check the batch number both at the manufacturers' level and at the wholesalers' level to see what he says is right or wrong. We suggest that the lebel itself be considered as warranty in terms of purity. All cases which are found to be adulterated or which are prosecuted, they all need not be sent to the Courts of Law immediately. First of all they should go to health authorities who should go to health and the cases which are worth pursuing should be sent to the courts of law; otherwise, there is a lot of harassment and a lot of delay. The health authorities should look into this.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The health authorities are being blamed for all kinds of ways. All kinds of wild charges that they are corrupt, that they are prosecuting some and they are not prosecuting others are made. As a Health Minister, I am not prepared to expose the Health Department to these charges. Let the court decide what is what and we finish with that.

Shri G. C. Khanna: Now I won't take much time.

Chairman: When the article is sent for analysis and when there exist only technical defects, I don't think the court proceeds to punish a person to that extent that it is an intentional adulteration.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: The law does not allow a person to adulterate. Before the Court we will consider these. Dr. Mahishi says that if there is a question of intentional adulteration, then the court proceeds on some grounds and makes the position clear.

Chairman: This is an amendment which we have to consider.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: That is what I say.

Shri G. C. Khanna: I would like to stress one more thing. In our particular industry, there are three or four items which are often found to be adulterated. Large samples are always taken for analysis. You have mentioned ghee tins. I would suggest that samples are taken at source in this particular industry as it would

more often help. This is our feeling in checking the adulteration.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Yesterday some people came over here and said that tomato sauce is made up of pumpkin. This is one of the things mentioned by them.

Shri G. C. Khanna: There are certainly many sauces in the market which do contain a lot of pumpkins and things of that kind.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: May I put a question to this gentleman? Is there any suggestion on your part to bring in for giving effect to the amendment connected with the sub-standard articles?

Shri G. C. Khanna: Since our sister associations have already covered this. I have nothing to say.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I am asking you a question with regard to the amendment.

Chairman: He says that the other sister associations have covered this.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: As a humble citizen, does he agree that if the offence is of the nature of unclealiness of utensils thereby breaking the rules, the man concerned should be punished?

Shri G. C. Khanna: I don't think that uncleanliness of utensils is a big offence under the Food Adulteration Act.

Chairman: It does come under Sec. 16 of the Act.

Shri G. C. Khanna: I should make it clear that I am dealing with the packed foodstuffs.

Dr. C. B. Singh: Mr. Khanna, on page 1 of your memorandum, you have mentioned the proposed amendment to clause 1 regarding sealed and package foodstuffs. There are very many ingenious ways of adulterating things. Probably you know that. People buy some foodstuff and draw out with a pin and then substitute

them by false products. Now if somebody has done that sort of a thing it is a wellknown fact—how wouldyou expect the Government or the Inspector to know that this has been done by such and such an individual? What do you suggest for this?

Shri G. C. Khanna: I am sure you will appreciate that the individual trader may not do this on his own as it cannot be economically worthwhile doing.

Dr. C. B. Singh: I am sure that it would be more economical.

Shri G. C. Khanna: I would suggest to the Committee that those who have bought the articles should insist on the trader to mention in the cash memos their batch number and code number so that this would be useful in tackling this problem as to whether the adulteration is taking place at the manufacturing stage. One can make sure about this.

Dr. C. B. Singh: You said something about 'economical part of doing a thing'. What about a bottle of Scotch Whisky which is substituted by something else? This is a known fact. It may be uneconomical in some cases but it may be very economical in certain cases.

Shri G. C. Khanna: I am talking about the provisions.

Dr. C. B. Singh: What do you suggest for this?

Shri G. C. Khanna: I certainly suggest that whoever may be the culprit and wherever he may be should be accorded a servere punishment.

श्री तुलझीदांस जावव : किसी फैक्टरी में कोई चीज मैनुफ़ैक्टर होती है मौर माप उसकी सील देख कर म्रपने यहां लाते हैं। क्या ऐसी चीज बेचने पर मापको कभी सजा हुई है?

भी जी० सी० सभाः मुरू में टेक्निकल ग्राउंड पर ऐसा हुन्ना। Shrimati Jyotsna Chanda: You said that failure of mustard crops is partly responsible for adulteration of oil in Bengal.

Chairman: Now we shall proceed to examine All Delhi Parchoon. Dukandar Association, Delhi.

भी राम लाल : मैं उन छोटे छोटे परचून दुकानदारों की तरफ से बोस रहा हूं, जो कि होल सेलर्ज से पांच पांच सेर सामान खरीद कर लाते हैं और गली कूचों में बेचते हैं।

माज इस ऐक्ट पर इस तरह से ममल ंकिया जा रहा है कि सैम्पल छोटे छोटे दुकान-दारों से लिये जा रहे हैं श्रीर बड़े दुकानदारों से नहीं लिये जा रहे हैं। इसका नतीजा यह है कि जितने सैम्पल पकड़े जाते हैं, जो भी सजायें होती हैं, वे छोटे दकानदारों को होती हैं। दूसरी ऐसोसियेशन्य ने श्रभी श्रापके सामने जो कुछ कहा है, उस के बारे में मैं कुछ नहीं कहना चाहता हूं। लेकिन मैं अर्ज करना चाहता हुं कि ग्रभी हालतेलर्ज की एसोसियेशन की तरफ से कहा गया कि उनके यहां हल्दी एडस्ट्रेटिड नहीं होती है, लेकिन उनके यहां लाखों रुपये की हल्दी श्रीर दूसरा माल होता है। हम लोग तो उसमें से दो दो, तीन तीन सेर माल लाते हैं। लेकिन सैम्पल्ज हमारे यहां से ही लिये जाते हैं, हम लोगों को ही सजादी जाती है भ्रौर उनको छुम्रा तक नहीं जाता है।

डा० सुशीला नायर : इसका मतलब यह है कि कानून से भ्रापको शिकायत नहीं है। भ्रापको कानून को इम्पलीमेंट करने वालों से शिकायत है।

भी राम लाल : हमें कानून से भी शिकायत है ।

सैक्शन 19 के पार्ट 1, 2 और 3 में कुछ बातें कही गई हैं। सैक्शन 18 के दूसरे हिस्से में लिखा हुआ है :

For sub-clauses 2 and 3, the following sub-section shall be substituted, namely,

(2) A vendor shall not be deemed to have committed offence pertaining to the sale of any adulterated or misbranded article if he proves to the satisfaction of the Health authorities.

यह लिखी हुई चीज कोई इकानदार साबित करेगा तो उसको मुल्जिम नहीं समझः जाएगा । इस चीज को साबित करने के लिए उसको भ्रदालत में जाना पडता है। वहां पर उसका बहुत खर्चा हो जाता है। उन्नकी कुल पंजी पांच या सात सी रुपये की होती है। उसका जब चालान हो जाता है वो उसको भ्रटालत में जाकर ये जो बातें लिखी हुई हैं ये सब की तब साबित करमी होती हैं। उसको इसके लिए वकील करना पडता है. साल डेढ साल तक ग्रदालतों के वक्कर काटने पहले है, उसका सारा विजनेस तबाह हो जाता है ग्रीर यही उसके जिए सब से बड़ी सजा हो जाती है मेरा कहना यह हैं कि ग्रगर वह इन कंडिशंज को पूरा कर देता है तो उसको भ्रदालत में न भेजा जाए बन्कि उनको भेजा जागे जिन्होंने माल बनाया होता है, जो मिलाबट करने के जिस्मे-दार होते हैं भीर मगर रिटेल ते कोई मिलावट की हो तो उसको ब्राप सब्त से सन्त सजा दें मुझे कोई गुतराज नहीं है।

डा० सुझीला नायर : इसको कौन प्रूव करेगा ?

भी राम लाल: मापकी है तथ प्रथारिटी है। वह कर सकती है। मान समरी ट्रायल मैजिस्ट्रेट के यहां रख सकते हैं जिससे पहसी स्टेज पर ही पता चल जग्ए कि कौन सख्या गुनहगार है। भगर तब यह साबित हो जाए कि रिटेलर का कोई दौष नहीं है तो उसके खिलाफ प्रोचीड न किया जाए बिल्क उसके खिलाफ कार्रवाई की जाए जिसने मिलाबट की हैं ।

डा॰ सुत्रीला नाबर : हमने यह लिखा हुआं हैं :

"(i) in a case where a licence is prescribed for the sale from a

duly licensed manufacturer, distributor or dealer;

- (ii) in any other case, from any manufacturer, distributor or dealer:
- (b) that he did not know and could not, with reasonable diligence, have ascertained that the article of food was adulterated or misbranded; and
- (c) that the article of food while in his possession was properly stored one remained in the same state as when he purchased it."

म्राप कहते हैं कि म्राप खुली चीज लाते हैं। खुली लाते हैं तो कैसे साबित करेंगे कि उनमें किसी दूसरे ने गड़बड़ी नहीं की लेने के बाद ?

भी राम लाल: 90 पर सेंट माल जो रिटेलर के पास होता है वह या तो कनस्तर में रहता है या बोरी में रहता है। रूल 32 के अन्दर आपने पैंकिंग रूल बनाये हैं। उसके अन्दर आपने पैंकिंग रूल बनाये हैं। उसके अन्दर आपने लिखा है कि हर मैनु-फैक्चरर को पैंकिंग में बैच नम्बर देना चाहिये। बैच नम्बर न देना एक जुर्म है। यह हमारी बदिकस्मती है कि इस मुल्क में आज तक उन लोगों का चालान नहीं किया गया है जो जान बूझ कर एक भी बैच नम्बर नहीं देते हैं। मैंने दिल्ली म्यूनिसिपल कारपोरेशन में पिछले ढाई साल से लगातार यह बात कही है। उस के रूल बनाने के लिए कहा है और चेयरमैन हैल्थ कमेटी ने बाकायदा उनके

डा० सुशीला नायर : तब तो ग्राप खुद कारपोरेटर हैं ।

श्री राम लाल : इस सब के बावजूद कि हैल्य घाफिसर ने रूल बनाये हैं, कमेटी के चेयरमैन ने कंडिशन्ज लगाई हैं कि ग्राप जाकर बाजार में माल पकड़ें जिसके ऊपर बैच नहीं लगे हैं, लेकिन कुछ भी कार्रवाई इस पर नहीं हुई है। बैच नम्बर न लिखना उतना ही बड़ा जुमें है जितना बड़ा जुमें एडल्ट्रेशन करना है। दिल्ली में घाज तक शायद एक केस ऐसा हुग्रा है जिसमें बैच 874 (Aii) LS—5.

नम्बर न लिखने की बजह से बालान हुमा है जब कि दिल्ली में 90 परसेंट बीजें ऐसी हैं जिन पर बैच नम्बर नहीं लिखे जाते हैं। इसका कारण यह है कि भगर बैच नम्बर लिख दिया जाए तो मैनुफेक्चरर सीधा पकड़ा जा सकता है, यह पता चल सकता है कि किसने एडल्ट्रेशन किया है।

एक श्रीर बात है। जब किसी का माल पकड़ा जाता है तो माल बनाने वाला कहता है कि यह मेरा माल नहीं है। उस सूरत में श्रवालत में यह साबित करना कि फलां का माल है बहुत मुश्किल हो जाता है भौर केस फेल हो जाता है। छोटे छोटे श्रावमी इसमें पिस जाते हैं श्रीर बड़े बड़े साफ बच निकलते हैं। ये बड़े बड़े श्रावमी ही एडल्ट्रेशन करते हैं। मैं यह प्लीड नहीं करता हूं कि छोटे दुकानदारों को श्राप छोड़ दें। श्रगर छोटा दुकानदार मिलावट करने का जिम्मेदार पाया जाए तो उसको पकड़ा जाए, सब्त से सब्त उसको सजा दी जाए, चांदनी चौक में खड़े करके उसको हैंग कर दिया जाए, मुझे कोई ऐतराज नहीं है।

हमारी एसोसियेशन ने अपने मेमोरेंडम में यह भी कहा है कि न सिर्फ उसको आप फांसी की सजा दें बल्कि जितना उसका माल है, जितनी उसकी प्रापर्टी है जो कि एडस्ट्रेशन करके उसने कमाई है, उसको भी सीख कर लिया जाए । आज तक हिन्दुस्तान भर में ऐसा केस नहीं हुआ है जहां पर लाखों रुपये का माल इस बिना पर सीख किया गया हो कि वह एडस्ट्रे-टिड था। आप जिसके पास दो तीन टिन माल होता है, उसको पकड़ लेते हैं। इससे एडसट्रेशन दूर नहीं हो सकता है। इससे तो एडसट्रेशन और भी फैस सकता है।

हा॰ सुझीला नायर: धाप सैक्शन 19 के बारे में कह रहे हैं। धापका हेतु यह प्रतीत होता हैं कि ग्रगर यह तय हो जाता है कि उसने टैम्पर नहीं किया हैं तो फिर सीधा जहां से वह माल ग्राया है उसको उसका जिम्मेदार ठयराया जाये। ग्रापसे पहले विटनेस यह कह रहे थे कि कैंग मेमो के ऊपर बैक तम्बर हो और इसको वह इसलिए जरूरी समझते हैं कि पीछे वह इस्कार न कर सके। जो कींच उस सूरत में आपको दी जाती है समर वह स्पूरियस हो सकती है तो बैच नम्बर भी जानी हो सकता है।

श्री राम लाल: मैंने कुछ एमेंडमेंट दिये हैं जब मैंने पार्ट 1 में कहा है .

Chairman: For your information I may read out to you clause 19(4) of the Act. It says:

"If any article intended for food appears to any food inspector to be adulterated or misbranded, he may seize and carry away. . . "

So, there is such a provision alread; existing.

भी राम साल: तमाम हिन्दुस्तान के भाप स्टेटिसटिक्स इकट्ठे कर में

Chairman: We have got your point.

सी राम साल .: कुछ कंडिशंज प्रापने सैनशन 19 में लगाई हैं। प्रापने पूछा था कि कैसे साबित करेंगे कि उसने ये कंडिशंज पूरी कर दी हैं। इसके बारे में में कुछ प्रजं करना चाहता हूं। सैन्शन 19(2), पेज 1 पर जहां मैंने एमेंडमेंट दी है, उस में पैरा 2 में लिखा है:

"A vendor shall not be deemed to have committed an offence pertaining to the sale of any adulterated or misbranded article of food if he proves to the satisfaction. . . .".

धगर हैस्य धायोरिटी के मुतास्लिक कोई विकाद है या उसके उपर रिलाई बहीं किया जाना है तो इसके बजाय समरी ट्रायस धाप कर में ताकि पहली कोर्ट के धन्दर ही, पहली पेसी के धन्दर ही यह साबित हो बाए कि उस शक्स ने किया है या नहीं ताकि उसकी छूटी हो जाए, धगर उसका कोई कसूर न हो । उनमें से पर्नी कंडीशन जो भापने कही है, यह है :

"(i) In a case where a licence is prescribed for the sale from a duly licensed manufacturer..."

I entirely agree with it.

जो छोटा दुकानदार मास खरीदे व : लाइसेंस बाले मेनुफैक्चरर या होल सेलर से खरीदे । उसके लिए उसके पास उसका कैंग मीमो सबूत रहेगा । राज्य सरकार को और लोकल बाडीज को प्रिवेंशन माफ एडल्टरेशन टु फूड म्राटिकिल्स के कानून के मातहत लोगों को लाइसेंस देने का मधिकार है और वे ऐसे लाइसेंस देती हैं। ऐसे लोगों से छोटा दुकानदार खरीदे । मगर माल खराब होगा तो उस दुकानदार को पकड़ा जा सकता है जिससे खरीदा गया है ।

हा० सुशीला नामरः यः बात तो माप उन चीजों के बारे में कर सकते हैं जो बन द हब्बों में मिलती हैं। लेकिन बाजार में जो माटा मिलता है उसमें तो छोटा दुकानदार मिलाबट कर सकता है। चावल में मिलाबट पायी गयी है। चीनी में मिलाबट पायी गयी है। इसको कैसे रोका जाए।

भी राम लाल: माटा भी गों में माता हैं भीर उस पर मिल वाले को लाट नम्बर मादि देना चाहिए भीर उसको पैकिंग रूल नम्बर 32 का पालन करना चाहिए।

डा॰ सुकीला नायर : यह आटा और चावल तो गांव गांव में बिकता है। और वां इस कानून की पाबन्दी कौन करता है। वां मिलावट की जाती है। उसको रोकना है।

भी राम लाल: बाज गांव यांव से यह विकासत मा रही है भीर इससे फायदा मिल बाले उठा रहे हैं। मिल वालों को पैंकिंग रूल के सैवगत 32 का पालन करना चाहिए, तो वे पकड़े जा सकते हैं क्योंकि कोई दुकानदार प्रपत्ने घर में माटा नहीं पिसवाता, वः मिल से नेता है। डा॰ सुत्रीला नायर: वह बाहर से भी नेता है।

भी राज काल : मैं दिल्ली की बात कहता हूं।

श्री यू० एम० त्रिबंदी: प्रापने को कहा कि हैस्व भाफितर को सैटिसफाई करे भीर ट्राइल समरी हो, इससे भापका क्या मतलब है। क्या भापका यही मतलब है कि वह समरी ट्राइल मजिस्ट्रेट के सामने हो।

भी राम लाल: जी हां।

भी यू० एव० विवेदी : घापने वो एकोसिएकन बनायी है उसके मेम्बरों के घव तक कितने प्रासीक्यूकन हुए हैं।

श्री राम लाल : हमने जो सौसाइटी बनायी है उसके किसी मेम्बर को सजा नहीं हुई। इम लोग प्रपना खुद मसाबा उँगार करते हैं चौर हमारे साम्पल कई बार बिस् गए मगर ठीक पाए नए। दो सान से हम यह काम कर रहे हैं। हमने पाटे चौर तेल का काम वो नहीं कर सकते लेकिन मसाने का काम कर सकते हैं। हमने पहले हैस्य मिनिस्टर करमरकर सा ब को घपना काम दिखाया या। हम तो यह चाहते हैं कि जो ईमानदार दुकानदार है उसकी सजा न हो।

भापने दूसरी कर्त यह लगायी है कि:

"that he did not know and could not, with reasonable diligence, have ascertained that the article of food was adulterated or misbranded. . ."

हाईकोर्ट से रीजनेबिस डिलीजेंस का मतलब यह निकाला है कि किसी प्रक्वेट लेक्टरेटरी से टैस्ट करा ले । लेकिन छोटे दुकानचार के लिए यह सम्भव नहीं है ।

हा व सुर्वाणाः नाकरः यह चीच तो हमने उसके प्रोटेक्ट करने के लिए की रखी है।

हैं अर्ध्युद्धान साल: मेरा निवेदन है कि "रीजनेविस दिलीजेंस" को निकास दिया जाए भीर यह रखा जाए कि वह भपने यां मिलावट न करे।

डा॰ सुझीला नायर : मगर ऐसा करेंगे तो वह दूसरे घावमी को कह वेगा कि वह मिलावट कर दे, मौर उस मादमी का पता नहीं होगा ।

बी राम माल: मैं ने पहले ही कहा है कि उसको माइनेंस बासे दुकानदार से खरीदता, चाहिए। प्रगर यह सर्ग रखी जाएगी तो लाइसेंस बाला दुकानदार मिलावट नहीं करेगा क्योंकि वह भी तो पकड़ा जा सकता है। इसलिए मेरा निवेदन है कि "रीजनेबिल डिसीजेंस" को इसमें से निकाल दिया जाए।

डा॰ सुकीला नायर: हम बीर घाप भी जब प्रपने घर के लिए चीजें खरीदते हैं तो यह देखते हैं कि हम जो चीज घर में खपत के लिए ले जा रहे हैं वह ठीक घीर गुद्ध हैं तो क्या यह उचित वहीं होगा कि दुकानदार लोग घन्य जनता के लोगों को भी गुद्ध घीर ठीक चीजें बेचें घीर वह इसके लिए पूर्व चैंकिंग की व्यवस्था घपनी घसोसियेशन के द्वारा करवा सकते हैं।

श्री राम लान: साप का कहना बजा है लेकिन हमारा तो कहना यही है कि टैकिन-कल प्राउन्ड्स पर डीलर्स को विक्टिमाइड न किया जाब बल्कि स्टेंग्स शुड बी टेकैन टु चैक दी सेनुफैक्बरिंग एँड सेनुफैक्बरर्स इस्टेंबिनिक्समेंट्स ।

फुड ऐडस्ट्रेंशन बिल के बारे में हमारा सुझाब यह है कि ऐक्सप्लेनेशन में से ड्यू डैलीजैंत यह शब्द निकाल दिये जायं। लेकिन धगर इनको धाप ने रखना ही हैं तो इतना धब्स्य साक्ष कर दें कि उसका मतलब सेबोरेटरी डैस्ट बहीं होगा ।

डा॰ सुद्दीला नायर : प्राप एक बड़े लिमिटेड दायरे की बात करते हैं क्योंकि 90 प्रतिकतः जगहें ऐसी होंगी जहां कि सील्ड टिन्स नहीं मिलते होंगे । भी राम लाल: तीसरी कंडीशन श्रापने उस के लिए यह डाल दी है:

"that the article of food while in his possession as properly stored".

भ्रव यह बड़ा बेग टमं है श्रीर यह हम से कैसे ऐक्सपैक्ट किया जाता है कि हम यह जानें कि यह प्रापरली स्टोर्ड है भीर यह प्रापरली स्टोर्ड महीं है। So I want after that: "as prescribed by the licensing authorities".

डा॰ सुशीला नायर: श्राप जानते हैं कि अमुक चीज किस किस तरह से प्रापरली स्टोर की जानी चाहिए । उसमें कोई ऐसी दिक्कत की तो बात है नहीं ।

भी राम लाल: उसमें दिक्कत यह हो जाती है कि सारे प्रादिकित्स के स्टोर करने का प्रलग प्रलग ढंग होगा भौर हो सकता है कि उन सब स्टों की सुविधा उस डीलर को प्राप्त न हो।

सभाषति महोबय जाहिर है कि बटर को रखने के लिए रैफ़ीजरेटर चाहिए जब कि प्राटा के लिए स्टोरेज की दूसरी सहलियत चाहिए।

Storage and other things may differ from part to part. A refrigerator may be necessary in Delhi, it may not be so in Ahmedabad.

Shri Ram Lal: That is why I said "as prescribed by the authorities."

Chairman: It is meant to give protection to the dealers who are honest.

श्री राम लाल: वही मैं ने प्रजं किया है। इस के ऊपर भी बहुत सारे केसेज जो चले हैं उन में बहुत डिफोंस प्राफ़ भोपीनियन है। प्रव रिमैंड इन दी सेम स्टेट के शब्द इस्तेमाल किये गये हैं तो जाहिर है कि तिल का तेल भगर 6 महीने रक्खा रहे तो उस में कैमिकल चेंजेज हो जायेंगे। डा० सुझीलां नायर : हम चाहते हैं कि डीलर्स तिल का तेल पुराना रक्खा हुमा न बेचें। उनको ताजा तिल का तेल बेचें। कंज्यूमर्स को प्रोटैक्ट करने के वास्ते हमने यह प्राविजन रक्खा है।

श्री राम लाल : श्रव हम परचून वाले तो एक कनस्तर तेल लाकर बेचते हैं हमें कैसे पता हो सकता है कि मिल वालों ने इसे कितने दिन श्रपने वहां रख कर हमें बेचा है?

डा० सुझीला नायर: आप को एक डीलर होने के नाते पता होना चाहिये कि तेल ताजा है या पुराना हो गया है। उसकी सुगंध और रंग ग्रादि से ग्राप पता लगा सकते हैं।

श्री राम लाल: उचित यह होगा कि मैनुफैक्चर्स एँड पर इस तरह की पांबंदी लगाई जाय श्रीर जिस तरह से दवाइयों श्रीर इंजैक्शनों श्रादि पर दवाइयों पर लिखा रहता है कि फला तारीख के पहले पहले इसको इस्तेमाल कर लेना चाहिए उसी तरह से तेल श्रादि के टीनों को सील बंद कर के उस पर यह लेबल लगवा दिया जाय कि यह तेल श्रादि पदार्थ इतने दिन के बाद खराब हो जायेंगा। उस तारीख के बाद इसे न बेचा जाय श्रीर श्रार उसे कोई बेचता हुआ पकड़ा जायेगा तो उसे दंडित किया जायेगा। तमाम लेबल्स में वारटी क्लाज होना चाहिए।

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We can suggest that they should put the date of manufacture.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Provided it is manufactured in a big mill. If it is manufactured in a ghani, what will happen?

भी राम साल : भाप इतना तो कर दें कि मैनुफैक्चरर्स उसके उत्पादन की डेट लिख दें भीर उसमें साथ ही यह भी स्पैसिफ़ाई कर दें कि इतने दिन के बाद इसे इस्तेमाल न किया जाय । नेट्बर में किसी जगह १ पार्ट पर मिलियन लैंड या १ ५ पार्ट्स पर मिलियन लैंड हो सकता हैं । वाइडेस्ट मार्जिन २ ५ पार्ट्स पर मिलियन का रख दियों गया है। जब मिलावट होती है, तो लैंड उस से ज्यादा बढ़ जाता है भौर तब प्रासीक्यूशन होता है।

धाप का कहना है कि पपैया सीड्च का भाव भाठ रुपये हैं। वह रेट तो बढ़िया से बढ़िया पपैया सीड्ज का है, जो कि खेत में बोने के काम में भाते हैं। सर्वसामान्य पपैया सीड्ज तो हम लोग फेंक देते हैं। उन को धो कर काली मिर्च में मिला दिया जाता है। इसीतरा जीरे में खस के बीज मिलाये जाते हैं। हमारे एनैलिस्ट के पास कई सैम्पल्च हैं।

श्राप ने कहा है कि श्राप के जार सौ मेम्बरों में से किसी को सजा नहीं हुई है। यह ग़लत है। मैं रिकार्ड निकलवा रही हूं। अभी श्राप की बता दिया जायेगा कि कितने लोगों को सजा हुई है

भी गोवी बन्द : जहां तक हल्दी पर पालिस का ताल्लुक है, हमारे पास ऐसी कोरी हल्दी है, जो प्रासेस्ड नहीं हुई है उस में लैंड २.५ पार्ट्स पर मिलियन के बजाये बार पार्ट्स पर मिलियन निकला है।

सभापति महीवयाः भ्राप वह हम को दे दीजिये । हम उस को लेबोरेटरी में भेज देंगे ।

बा॰ सी॰ बी॰ सिंह: उस हल्दी का एग्जामिनेशन किस ने किया था? क्या भ्राप के पास उसकी रिपोर्ट है ?

सभापति महौबया: सैंट्रल लेबोरेटरी ने उसका एनैलेसिज नहीं किया। इन की श्रपनी लेबोरेटरी ने उस को एनैलाइज कियां है।

डा॰ नुझीला नायर: इस कमेटी के सामने भाप सही बात करेंगे तो श्रापको भी लाभ होगा भीर हमें भी, वर्ना हम दोनों का वक्त खराब होषा । श्रापने कहा है कि श्रापके मेम्बरों में से किसी के यहां एडस्ट्रेशन नहीं हुआ है, किसी को सजा नहीं हुई हैं। दिस्ली में स्पाइसिस में १,२२२ सैम्पल लिये गये हैं जिनमें से २२४ में एडस्ट्रेशन पाया गया है जोकि १८३ वैठता है। सारी की सारी लिस्ट मेरे पास है और अगर आप बाहें तो मैं आपके पास उसकी नकल जिजवा सकती हूं।

श्री के ० एल ० बाल्मीकी : सजा वाली बात श्रलग है। कभीशन एजट्स का काम यह है कि वे माल को इधर से उधर पास करते हैं श्रीर प्रपना किसशन लेते हैं। कितनो लेते हैं इससे में कोई मतलब नहीं है। जब काली मिर्च या कोई भी श्रीर मसाला आप पास करते हैं तो क्या श्राप यह भी देखते हैं या नहीं कि वह श्रनएडल्ट्रेटिड है?

श्री गोपी चन्दः इसका हमारे पास कोई झरेंजमेंट नहीं है।

श्री कें ० एस० बाल्मीकी : यह लिखा गया ै कि झाउटबर्डली देखा जा सकता है। यह देखा जा सकता है कि दालचीनी में यह मिल सकता है, मिर्च में यह मिल सकता है। वैसे ही झांखों से इसे देखा जा सकता है। एक जगह से दूसरी जगह देख कर जब झाप माल को पास कर रहे होते हैं तो उसके बीच में झापको सजा हो सकती है या नहीं?

श्री गोपी चन्दः इंडियन स्टेंडर्ड इन्स्टी-ट्यूशन ने स्पाइसिस के बारे में स्टेंडर्ड बनाये हैं।

डा० सुकीला नायर : वह तो ग्रेडिंग की बात है।

भी गोपी चन्दः जो स्पेसिफिकेशन रखे हैं वे फिजिकल स्पेसिफिकेशन हैं।

डा॰ सुझीला नायर: जब टैस्ट करते हैं तब एनैलेसिस होता है।

श्री कें एल शास्त्रीकी: दालचीनी दालचीनी न हो करके दूसरी जो कोई लकड़ी डा॰ सुत्रीला नायर: वह बाहर से भी नेता है।

भी राज काल : मैं दिल्ली की बात कहता हूं।

श्री यू० एम० त्रिबंदी: प्रापने को कहा कि हैस्व प्राफितर को सैटिसफाई करे प्रीर ट्राइल समरी हो, इससे धापका क्या मतलब है। क्या घापका यही मतलब है कि वह समरी ट्राइल मजिस्ट्रेट के सामने हो।

भी राम लाल: जी हां।

श्री यू० एव० विवेदी : घापने वो एक्टोसिएकन बनायी है उसके मेम्बरों के घव तक कितने प्रासीक्यू एक हुए हैं।

श्री राम लाल: हमने जो सौसाइटी बनायी है उसके किसी मेम्बर को खजा नहीं हुई। हम लोग प्रपना खुद मसासा तैयार करते हैं चौर हमारे साम्पल कई बार किए गए मगर ठीक पाए गए। दो साल से हम यह काम कर रहे हैं। हमने चाटे चौर तेल का खाम तो नहीं कर सकते लेकिन मसाले का काम कर सकते हैं। हमने पहले हैस्य मिनिस्टर करमरकर सा ब को धपना काम दिखाया या। हम तो यह चाहते हैं कि जो ईमानदार वुकानदार है उसको सजा न हो।

भापने दूसरी कर्त यह लगायी है कि:

"that he did not know and could not, with reasonable diligence, have ascertained that the article of food was adulterated or misbranded. . ."

हाईकोर्ट से रीजनेबिल डिलीजेंस का मतलब यह निकाला है कि किसी प्रक्वेट लेकारेटरी से टैस्ट करा ले। लेकिन छोटे दुकालवार के लिए यह सम्भव नहीं है।

दा० सुर्वाणाः नाकरः यह चीच तो हमने उसके प्रोटेक्ट करने के लिए की रखी है।

र्हें अर्ध्युद्धान साल: मेरा निवेदन है कि "रीजनेविस डिलीजेंस" को निकास दिया जाए और यह रखा जाए कि वह भपने यहां मिलावट न करे।

डा॰ सुझीला नायर : मगर ऐसा करेंगे तो वह दूसरे घावमी को कह वेगा कि वह मिलावट कर दे, मौर उस मादमी का पता नहीं होगा ।

बी राम माल: मैं ने पहले ही कहा है कि उसको माइनेंस बासे दुकानदार से खरीदता, चाहिए । प्रगर यह सर्ग रखी जाएगी तो लाइसेंस बाला दुकानदार मिलावट नहीं करेगा क्योंकि वह भी तो पकड़ा जा सकता है । इसलिए मेरा निवेदन है कि "रीजनेबिल डिसीजेंस" को इसमें से निकाल दिया जाए।

बा॰ सुक्षीला नायर: हम बीर घाप भी जब घपने घर के लिए चीजें खरीदते हैं तो यह देखते हैं कि हम जो चीज घर में बपत के लिए के जा रहे हैं वह ठीक भीर गुद्ध हैं तो क्या यह उच्चित वहीं होगा कि दुकानदार लोग घन्य जनता के लोगों को भी गुद्ध घीर ठीक चीजें बेचें घीर वह इसके लिए पूर्व चैकिंग की व्यवस्था घपनी धसोसियेशन के द्वारा करवा सकते हैं।

श्री राम लान: साप का कहना बजा है लेकिन हमारा तो कहना यही है कि टैकिन-कल प्राउन्ड्स पर डीलर्स को विक्टिमाइड न किया जाब बल्कि स्टेंग्स शुड बी टेकैन टु चैक दी सेनुफैक्बरिंग एँड सेनुफैक्बरर्स इस्टेंबिनिक्समेंट्स ।

फुड ऐडस्ट्रेंशन बिल के बारे में हमारा सुझाब यह है कि ऐक्सप्लेनेशन में से ड्यू डैलीजैंत यह शब्द निकाल दियें जायं। लेकिन धगर इनको धाप ने रखना ही है तो इतना धक्क साथ कर दें कि उसका मतलब से बोरेटरी डैक्ट बहीं होगा ।

डा॰ सुद्दीला नायर : प्राप एक बड़े लिमिटेड दायरे की बात करते हैं क्योंकि 90 प्रतिकतः जगहें ऐसी होंगी जहां कि सील्ड टिन्स नहीं मिलते होंगे । मैं चाहता हूं कि रूल बारह यह चीज कम्पलसरी कर दे कि तमाम लेबुल वारटी क्लाज रक्खें। यह लेबुल बखुद नोटिस समझा जाय और इनग्रीडिएंट्स की डिटेल्स के साथ साथ वह बैच और कोड नम्बर भी इंडिकेट करे। हर एक सप्लायर के लिए लैटर आफ वारटी ईश्यू करना कम्पलसरी कर दिया जाय।

सिर्फ डीलर्स भौर दुकानदारों को ही खराब साबित होने वाले माल के लिए दंडित न किया जाय क्योंकि वह बेचारा डीलर रिटेलर मैनुफैक्चरसं की नैगलीजैंस के कारण सफर करता है। रिटेलर तो भ्रपनी बरूरत का सामान मैनफैक्चरसं भ्रौर प्रोडयसर्स से खरीदता है भीर लॉ के नीचे उनको भी जिम्मे-दार ठहराना चाहिए। मैं ने कारपोरेशन में भी इस चीज के लिए कोशिश की कि फुड इंसपैक्टर्स केवल दुकानदारों के वहां ही नमूने इकट्ठे कर के बैठे न रहें बल्कि सैक्शन 14 को धर्मेंड ही इसलिए किया है भीर उसके मुताबिक वह द्कानदार यह डिक्लेयर करे कि वह माल उसने कहां से प्राप्त किया है, वह सोर्स डिक्लेयर करे और उस इनफारमेशन को लेकर इंसपैक्टर सोर्स पर जाय भौर मैनुफैक्चरसं प्रीमिसैज पर भी उस माल के सैम्पूल भरे जाये । फैक्टरीज का रिजिड इंस्पैक्शन किया जाय ।

डा० सुजीला नायर : यह स्रमेंडमेंट इसी मंशा से किया गया है ।

श्री राम लाल: यह शमेंडमेंट बड़ा प्रच्छा है। इसका झाश्य बैंडर के जिए सोर्स को ट्रेंस करना है श्रीर यह झावश्यक है कि सरकार उस मिलावट वाले सोर्स को ही समाप्त कर दे। यह बहुत जरूरी है कि फूड इंसपैक्टर, होल-सेलर्स, डिस्ट्रिब्यूटर्स और मैनुफैक्चरर्स के वहां से सैम्युल इकट्ठा करे जहां से कि बैंडर ने वह पदार्थ खरीदे हैं श्रीर यह प्रोसंस सैक्शन 14 के मातहत बनाये जाने वाले क्स्स में झाना उचित ही होगा। दोनों जगहों के सैम्युल्स की टैस्ट रिपोर्ट मिसर्ने पर झगर यह पाया जाव कि

वैंडर का कोई कसूर नहीं है तो उस को सर्जा न दी जाय बल्कि दरप्रसल वह व्यक्ति जो कि इस मिलावट के जिम्मेदार हैं, उनको सजा दी जाय ।

मैं बाहुंगा कि रूल 17 के बाद एक रूल 17(ए) रक्खा जाय ताकि फूड इंसपैक्टर वैंडर को उस व्यक्ति का पता बतलाये जिससे कि उसने वह खाद्य पदार्थ खरीदे हैं। भगर वैंडर भौर मैनुफैक्चरर्स के वहां के सैम्पुल्स भाइडैंटिकल पाये जायें तब वैंडर को बेगुनाह समझा जाय भौर उस हालत में मैनुफैक्चरर, होलसेलर या डिस्ट्रिब्यूटर को प्रौसीक्यूट किया जाय।

सैक्शन 16 में एक दूसरा सब क्लाज (एच) जोड़ा जाय जहां कि खाद्य पदार्थ पैकेज के डिक्लेरेशन से लोग्नर ग्रेड के पाये जायें। दूसरे ग्रमी तक माल का बेचने वाला डीलर ही इस बारे में पकड़ा जाता है। जरूरत इस बात की है कि मिलावट सोर्स पर टैकिल की जाय ग्रीर ग्रावश्यकता इस बात की है कि मैनुफैक्चर्स के वहां से सैम्पुल भरे जायं ग्रीर मिलावट पाने पर उनका प्रासीक्यूशन लांच किया जाय। जब तक ग्राप यह नहीं करेंगे तब तक मिलावट की समस्या पर सरकार काबू नहीं पा सकेगी। मिलावट को सोर्स पर खरम करना होगा।

श्री तुलकीदास जाधवः प्राप परवृत दुकानदार कहां कहां से श्रीर कौन कौन सी चीजें ग्रपने वहां रखते हैं।

श्री राम लाल: हम सभी खाने, पीने का सामान ग्रपनी दुकानों पर रखते हैं भौर वह हम विभिन्न प्रोड्यूसर्स ग्रौर मैनुफैक्चरर्स से खरीदते हैं।

श्री तुलकीबास जाजव : श्राप लोग तो व्यापार में लगे हैं श्रीर श्रापको पता होगा कि किन किन जीजों में मिलाबट होती है श्रीर मिलाबट के लिए उन में क्या क्या मिलाया जाता है ? भी राम लाल : भाज मिलावट की समस्या हमारे सामने गम्भीर रूप के उपस्थित हैं। जहां तक दिल्ली का ताल्लुक है शायद ही कोई ऐसी चीज हो जिसमें मिलावट न की जाती हो। यहां कोई चीज खालिस नहीं मिलती है। घी के भन्दर वेजीटेबुल भ्रायल मिलाया जाता है, बनस्पति तेल के भन्दर मूंगफली का तेल मिलाया जाता है और मूंगफली के लेल के भन्दर व्हाइट भ्रायल मिलाया जाता है। भगर यह कहा जाय कि यहां दिल्ली में हवा भी खालिस नहीं मिलती तो गलत नहीं होगा।

Dr. C. B. Singh: The information being given by the witness is very useful. Will you please request him to give information about all the articles of mixture that he knows of? I will be grateful to him for that information.

Shri Ram Lal: I have not brought it today, I am sorry. I can send you.

सभापति महोदया : ग्राप कल दे दीजिए।

भी राम लाल : मैं कल दे दूंगा।

डा॰ सी॰ बी॰ सिह : गुड़ में क्या मिलाया जाता है ?

श्री राम लाल: गुड़ की एक नई बैरायटी श्राई है। मोलैंसिज से गुड़ बनाया जाता है, जिसको रसकट कहा जाता है। श्रसली गुड़ भौर उस में कोई फ़र्क नहीं है। वह मबे-शियों के काम में श्रा सकता है। उसको गुड़ में मिलाया जाता है।

श्री तुलझीदास जाधव : हम लोग नहीं जानते कि बाजार में क्या क्या मिलावट की जाती है। इसी लिए हम झाप से इस बारे में कुछ जानना चाहते हैं।

श्री राम लाल : प्राजकल कत्या, हींग वगैरह सब चीजों में मिलावट की जा रही है। हींग के दो स्टैण्डर्ड रखे गए हैं। एक प्रफ़गानिस्तान की हींग का स्टैण्डर्ड है गौर दूसरा यूर्व की हींग का स्टैण्डर्ड है। पिछले दितों हमने एयर पोर्ट पर पाच लाख कावे की मफ़गानिस्तान की हींग पक इवाई । बह हींग एडल्ट्रेटिड की भीर इसलिए उस को डेस्ट्राय कर दिया गया । अगर वह पांच लाख कपये की हींग रीटेलर्ज में बांट दी गई होती, तो कई रीटेलर्ज का विजिनेस खरम कर दिया गया होता । दिल्ली में हींग बनाई जाती है, जो कि बहुत बिकती है । उन लोगों की तरफ़ तो कोई ध्यान नहीं दिया जाता है, जो कि हजारों जाखों इपये का सामान अपने कब्जे में रखते हैं, लेकिन छोटे छोटे दुकानदारों से सैम्पन ले लिये जाते हैं, जो कि लिर्फ दो तीन तोने हींग रखते हैं । फिर कहा जाता है कि हमने वस हजार सैम्पल पकड़ लिये हैं ।

डा॰ चुझीला नायर : श्रापका कहना है कि कानून का इम्म्लीमेंटेजन ठीक तरह नहीं होता है।

भी के० एल० बाल्मीकी : जहां तक जन-साधारण का ताल्लुक है, वे ज्यादातर भाप लोगों से ही चीजें ख़रीदते हैं। भाप लोगों का बिजिनेस सोलह भाने उचार होता है-नकद बहुत कम होता है। साधारण बादमी एडल्ट्रेटिड चीजें ब्रापके यहां से ही पाता है। वह कुछ क ुभी नहीं सकता है। मगर वह ज्यादा कहे, तो उधार बन्द हो जाता है। जहां पर माल बनता है, वहां एडल्ट्रेशन होती होगी । उन पर निगाह रखी जा रही है। लेकिन धापके यहां भी एडस्ट्रेशन हो जाता है। हो सकता है कि घी की इण्डस्ट्री में कैमिकल प्राप्तेस से एडस्ट्रेशन किया जाता हो, लेकिन म्रोपन घी में शकरकन्दी मीर बहुत सी चीर्जे मिलाई जाती हैं। यह प्रासेस उत्तनी वर् नहीं होती है, जितनी कि प्रापके यहां होती है।

बा॰ सुबीला नायर : उनका कहना है कि रीटेलर्च के मैबल पर होस्त तेलर्ज के सेबस से ज्यादा एडल्ट्रेसन होती है।

भी राम सास : धानरेवत मेम्बर की इल्फार्वेकन बावद कुछ तनत है।

श्री सें० एत० वास्त्रीकी : वालों में भी ऐसा ही है। भी राम लाल : ग्राज एडल्ट्रेशन एक सांइटिफिक भार्ट बन गया है । वह वर्टीज की तरह का कोई कूड भार्ट नहीं है, जबकि घी में चरबी मिलाने के बारे में कहा जाता था कि एडल्ट्रेशन किया जाता है । भाज बड़ी बड़ी फैक्टरियों में मशीनों से एडल्ट्रेशन किया जाता है, जिस से कुछ पता नहीं चलता है।

भी कें एल बास्कीकी : प्रापंके यहां जो मिलावट की जाती है, उसके लिए भ्रापं क्या कर रहे हैं ?

श्री राम साल : मैं सब के बारे में कैसे क_र सकता हूं कि कोई मिलावट नहीं करता है। कुछ ब्लैक शीप होंगे।

Shrimati V. Vimla Devi: If they know English, I would ask them to speak in English.

Dr. C. B. Singh: What are the articles which are mixed wits hing?

Shri Ram Lal: Nobody mixes anything in hing here; what happens is that hing is produced adulterated; the chemical contents are not pure hing but it contains certain things which are passed on as hing. It is a capital compound by itself.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We have ourselves circulated a list of the common adulterants in various articles of foods and in hing the adulterants are excessive sand and grit, excessive chalk, foreign resins, deficiency in alcoholic extract, coaltar dyes in bandhani hing, etc.

Chairman: We thank you for giving us information on these matters.

श्री हरि किशन: हमारी दुकांनें खारी बादली में हैं। हमारी दुकानों पर जो माल विकता है, वह मण्डियों से धाकर विकता है।

भी तुलझीबास जाजब : मापकी एसी-सिएटिंड के कितने मैम्बर हैं ? भी हरि किसन : इसके १४ मेम्बर हैं। लेकिन हम लोग चालीस के करीब हैं। वे सब खारी बावली में ही है।

हमारी सबसे बड़ी दिक्कत यह है कि कि हमारे पास जो माल भाता है, वह ऐसा होता है, जिसकी हम भपने यहां साफ करते हैं। मण्डियों में बेतों से माल पाता है। मंडियों में उसकी बोली लगाई जाती है। जि.की बोली ऊची होती है, यह उसको खरीद कर दिस्ली की मण्डी में बेचता है। उससे हम खरीवते हैं भीर फिर उसको साफ करके ब्राहकों को, खाने वालों को, बेचते हैं। बहुत सी हालतों में ऐसा होता है कि हमने पांच बोरी किसी चीज की लेली। माम तौर परहम पांच बोरियां एक दम साफ नहीं करते हैं। हम एक एक बोरी साफ करके बेचते हैं। इंसपैक्टर उन बोरियों में में भी सैम्पल लेंने का हक रखते हैं, जो उसी हालत में पड़ी रहती है, जैसे कि वे मंडियों से भाती हैं। उस हालत में हमको कसूरवार, हमको गुनहगार समझ लिया जाता है। अबिक उस गन्दे माल को हम किसी ग्राहक को बेचने के इब्रछुक नहीं होते हैं। वास्तव में हमने उसको साफ नहीं किया हुआ होता है। जो माल होता है उसकी कितनी ही क्वालिटीज होती है। जीरे की सात घाठ किस्में होती हैं। कोई जीरा होता है जो बहुत मोटा होता है। बर्शनीसम पर बहुत कुछ निर्भर करता है। फाल्गुन में जब बिजली कड़कती है तो उस कड़क से जीरा खराब होता जाता है भीर उसका भगर एनेलेसिस किया जाए तो वर् घटिया उतरता है भौर उसके लिए ्म को गुन शार करार दे दिया जाता है।

सभापति नहोत्रया : हर एक वस्तु का विस्तार सें वर्णन करने की वरूरत नहीं है।

भी हरिकिशन : बात यह है कि जितना माल हम लेते हैं उस सब को एक दम साफ नहीं कर देते हैं। प्रार्ट्सिंग प्राहिस्ता जितना हमें बैचना होता है, हम साफ करके बर्बन रहते हैं। हमारे अन्दर्विजतना माल पड़ा होता है और जो साफ किया हुआ नहीं भी होता है, उसका भी आपके इंसपैक्टर आते हैं और सैम्पल ले जाते हैं। यह एक जुल्म है जो हमारे ऊपर नहीं होना चाहिये। गोडाउन में भी उसको हक है कि जाकर देख ले।

सभापति महोदया : इंसपैक्टर कैसे समझ ले कि भ्रापको वे बेचना नहीं हैं या बाद में भ्राप उसको बेचेंगे नहीं ?

श्री हरि किशान : बहुत मामूली सी बात है। किसी भी गैर-प्रादमी को भेज कर पूछा जा सकता है कि फला बीज हमारे यहां है...

डा० सुशीला नायर : जीरे का स्टैण्डर्ड बहुत सीधा सादा है कि पांच परसेंट से ज्यादा फारेन सीड नहीं होना चाहिये। चार परसेंट से ज्यादा टोटल एश नहीं होना चाहिये । १.५ जो इनसाल्युबल एश होता है, वह नहीं होना चाहिये। इस तरह से यह सब जो भाप कह रहे हैं इसका कोई अर्थ नहीं है। कोई दूसरी प्रकार का दाना हो सकता है। पांच परसेंट तो हमने एलाउ ही कर दिया दूसरा किस्म का दाना। तकलीफ तब होती है जब पांच परसेंट जीरा डाल कर ६५ परसेंट दूकानदार उसमें दूसरी चीज डाल देता है। पांच परसेंट दाना स्वाभाविक तौर पर उस में ग्रा जाएगा इस वास्ते यह पांच परसेंट की लिभिट रखी गई है। पांच परसेंट भी बहुत होता है ? प्रधिक से प्रधिक जो नार्मल मिक्स-चर हो सकता है वह पांच परसेंट का हो सकता है, इससे ग्रधिक नहीं हो सकता है।

संभापित महोबया: पहले जिन विट-नैसिस को एग्जेमन किया गया था उन्होंने इसी प्वाइंट को रेख किया था भीर उनकी बात को भापने सुन लिया था। प्रश्न भी पूछ लिये नये हैं भीर उनका स्पष्टीकरण भी मिल गया है।

भी हरि किशन : काली मिर्च के बारे में भापने पहले पांच परसेंट की लिमिट रखी बी भीर बाद में चल कर इसको रेख करके भापको दस परसेंट करना पड़ा । कालें ि कि में अंगूर की तरह का जो गुच्छा होता है, उस गुच्छे में जो सब से पहले दाना पैदा होता है वह सब से मोटा होता है

डा॰ सुझीला नायर : उस में फारेन मैटर नहीं किया है, कि हो सकता है। एक सैमीनार हुआ था उस में मैंने कहा था कि काली मिर्च स्टार्च के लिए नहीं खाई जाती है, तीखेपन के लिए खाई जाती है अधिक से अधिक जितना हो सकता है, उसको बढ़ा दिया है। फारेन मैटर नहीं उस में हो सकता है। अगर कोई यह कहे कि पांच परसेंट से अधिक मिलावट ज्यादा हो सकती है तो हम कहेंगे नहीं हो सकती है।

श्री हरि किञ्चन मांच परसेंट पपीते के सीड ग्रगर कोई मिलाता है तो उसको सख्त सजा मिलनी चाहिये।

डा॰ सुकीला नायर: ग्रगर ग्राप सारा कूड़ा करकट रख लें तो क्या कहा जाये ग्रौर ग्रगर ग्राप वही दिखा दें तो क्या किया जाये . . .

श्री हरि किशन : बोरियों की बोरियां होती हैं श्रीर बोरियों की बोरियां विकती हैं। ऐसी बात नहीं है कि कूड़ा करकट ही विकता हो

डा॰ सुझीला नायर: बोरियों की बोरियां जो कचरे की होती हैं उसको ग्राप यह कह कर कि काली मिर्च नहीं है क्या ग्राप लेने से इन्कार नहीं कर सकते हैं? दिल्ली में लो यह पैदा नहीं होती है। दिल्ली में एक पेड़ भी इसका नहीं है मेरा तो दिमाग खराब हो गया है दोनों तरफ की बातें सुनते सुनते एक तरफ कहा जाता है कि सस्ती चीज लाते हैं इसलिए खराब होती है। मैं नहीं जानती हूं कि सस्ती लाते हैं इसलिए खराब होती है या नहीं। दूसरी तरफ कहे जाते हैं महंगी भी देते हैं ग्रीर खराब भी देते हैं में यह कहती हूं कि ग्राप इन्कार कर सकते हैं गंदी चीज खरीदने से। एक बार ग्राप इस तरह से करके देखिये,

तंब उनके भी कान खड़े हो जायेंगे उनके सैम्पल भी लिये जाने चाहियें, उनको भी सजा मिलनी चाहिये लेकिन भ्रापका भी फर्ज है कि भ्राप चटिया चीज न खरीवें।

श्री हरि किशन: घटिया चीज का सवाल नहीं है हम तो जो चीज हमारे पास झाती है उसको हम साफ करके वेचते हैं जितना भी माल हमारे झन्दर होता है उसको हम एक दम से साफ नहीं कर सकते हैं झगर साफ कर भी लें तो जो बोरियां होती हैं उनके झन्दर कूड़ा झा जाता है। जीरे के बोरे को एक दम साफ करवा लें तो फिर उसके बाद वह खराब हो जाता है।

भी यू० एम० जिबेबी: स्टोर किये हुए माल के बारे में भ्राप कह रहे हैं?

श्री हरि किशन : हम यह कह रहे हैं कि स्टोर किये हुए माल के ऊपर भ्राफेंस नहीं होना चाहिये।

डा॰ सुशीला नायर : कौन कह सकता है कि माल बेचने के लिए नहीं है ? कौन उसको पकडेगा ?

Shri J. L. Duggal: It is a national problem. Instead of emphasising merely on punishing the traders, why don't you open some manufacturing centres with the help of Government? At the producing centre, there should be strict checking.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Do you want the Government to take over the whole trade of manufacturing and also analysing? This committee at any rate is not prepared to say that everything in regard to consumers goods should be Government-controlled.

Shri J. L. Duggal: Even if it is not controlled by Government, at least some processing centres can be established where the things are produced.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Why can you not have your own processing centres? I related my experience to

some of the friends who came yesterday of how a man who went to Patna started selling kariana with the help of his wife and daughters. His wife and daughters cleaned them and out them in neat packets. He became so popular that he started a chain store. He was determined to give clean stuff. Why can you not do that?

Shri J. L. Duggal: Of course, that is correct.

डा॰ सुझीला नायर : ग्राप चार्ते हैं कि सरकार रोटी पका कर ग्राप के मुंह में डाल दिया करे ।

Shri J. L. Duggai: We do not want that. But Government can help a lot. Government can take the help of the different associations.

Chairman: That is why we are seeking your co-operation.

Shri J. L. Duggal: For powdered spices. I would suggest that it should be agmark.

Chairman: About powdered spices the point has already been made and you have heard that. Any other point?

Shri J. L. Duggal: That is all.

श्री फतेह चन्द नसीम: सबसे बड़ा प्रावलम स्टेंडर्ड का है। स्टेंडर्ड तो एक बना दिया जाता है ग्रीर मुख्तलिफ जगहों की पैदाबार मुख्तलिफ किस्म की होती है। तो इन नेचुरल चीजों के लिए हमारा प्रासीक्यूशन न होना चाहिए। जहां तक मिलावट का सवाल है सब इसके हक में हैं कि इसको मिटाया जाये लेकिन नेचुरल चीजों के बारे में हमको प्रोसीक्यूट न किया जाये।

डा० सुझीला नायर: आप जो फरमा रहे हैं वह दुरुस्त नहीं है चीजों को जान बूझ कर गीला कर दिया जाता है ताकि वजन बढ़ जाये और उसका दूसरा नतीजा यह भी होता है कि जो धादमी उस बीज को खरीद कर ले जाता है उसके यहां जा कर वह सड़ जाती है। श्री फतेह बन्द नसीम: कुछ समय पहले विल्ली में नमक का सैम्पल लिया गया था जो ठीक नहीं था लेकिन उसके लिए कहा गया कि बारिश न होने से उसमें नमकीनपन कम है, इसलिए प्रासीक्यूशन नहीं हुन्ना ।

डा० सुन्नीसा नाबर : उसका स्टेंडरं कम था इसलिए हमने प्रासीक्यूशन रुकवा दिया जहां ग्राप के हाथ की बात नहीं है वहूं। हम ग्रापकी रक्षा के लिए तैयार हैं लेकिन बहुत सी बातें ग्रापके हाथ की हैं उनको रोका जाना चाहिए ।

श्री फड़ेह चन्द नसीम: सास्ट के ग्रलावा मिर्च में तेल की बात है।

बा॰ सुवीला नायर: अगर आपको किसी चीख के स्टेंड के बारे में शिकायत है तो आप हम को लिखिये उसकी जांच कर ली जायेगी लेकिन जो स्टेंड कायम किया जाता है व देश के कोने कोने की चीजों का सम्पल लेने के बाद किया जाता है और उसका एक रेंज रखा जाता है या उसका कम से कम बाला स्टेंड के रखा जाता है। एक दूध वाला था वह इसलिए दूध में पानी मिलाता था कि उसकी भैंस के दूध में यी ज्यादा होता था लो एक हब तक हम रेंज रखते हैं हम कम से कम वाला स्टेंड के रखते हैं शिकायत है तो उसके बारे में शिकायत है तो उसके बारे में लिखें।

भी फड़ेह चन्द नसीम : प्रगर स्टेंडर्ड बदल जाये तो सब ठीक हो सकता है।

श्री तुलझीवासः जावव : प्राप्तका एसो-सिएशन तो ड्राई फूट का हैं । उसके बारे में भ्रापने कुछ नहीं कहा ।

श्री हरि किशन : हमारा किराना से भी सम्बन्ध है । ज्यादा दिक्कत हमें किराने के सौदे में भाती है । एक हमारी प्रार्थना है कि जो हम माल स्टोर करते हैं उस पर हमको प्रासीक्यूट न किया जाये । उस माल में कभी कीड़ा भी लग सकता है, बरसात में वह खराब भी हो सकता है। हम उसको साफ करके बेचते हैं। खारी बावली में भ्रच्छा माल बिकता है। वहां से छोटे दुकानदार भी ले जाते हैं।

डा० महावेज प्रसाव : श्राप मेवे में क्या मिलाते हैं ?

भी हरि किशन उसमें कुछ नहीं मिलाते।

डा० सुजीला नायर : स्टोर में सड़ी चीजें रखी जाती हैं भीर उनके थोड़ा थोड़ा भच्छी चीज में मिला कर बैच दिया जाता है। हम उसकी रोकथाम कैसे करें। भगर एक भादमी ईमानदोर है सो उसके साथ दस बैईमान बैठे हैं। हमारी यह दिक्कत है। हमको बताया गया कि मुजफ्फरपुर में बाढ़ से चना भीग कर खराब हो गया भीर उसके बारे में कहा गया कि जानवरों को खिलाने लायक भी नहीं है। लेकिन द्कानदारों ने उसको थोडा थोडा घच्छे चने में मिला कर बेच दिया। ऐसा हो रहा है। इसको रोकने का धाप उपाय बतायें तो हम श्रापकी बात का जवाब दे सकते हैं। श्राप ने जो यह फरमाया कि जब मजिस्ट्रेट ने यह कह दिया कि वह सब चीज फेंकने लायक है. जानवरों के खाने के भी काबिल नहीं है तो उन्होंने उसे जब्त नयों नहीं किया ? मजिस्ट्रेट को वह सारा सामान घपने कब्जे में लेकर उसको नष्ट कर देना चाहिए था। भापका यह कहना सही है। लेकिन ऐसा भी श्रवसर देखने में भाता है कि जो चीज सबी हुई भ्राप के पास में रहती है उस सड़ी चीज को भण्छी चीज में मिला कर बेचते हैं।

श्री हरि किवान: हमारे सामने प्राच नृष्य समस्या मिलावट रोकने की है। बहुत से मिला-बट करने बाले लोग बगैर सजा पाये हुए बच जाते हैं उसको कैसे रोका जाय इस बारे में हम सब सोच रहे हैं। मेरा कहना यह है कि सरकार प्रभावशाली ढंग से इसे तभी रोक सकने में समर्थ हो सकती है जब हम ब्यापारियों का धाप सिक्य सहयोग हासिस करें। हम से सम्पर्क स्थापित करें और हमें ध्रपने विश्वास में लें । उनकी प्रपने पास बुलायें, उनकी विचार विमयं करें भीर उनकी इस बुराई को खत्म करने के लिए सलाह मांगें । इम भी भारत के वासी हैं भीर इस व्यापक बुराई को हम भी भाप की तरह समाप्त करने के इच्छुक हैं । लेकिन हमारी शिकायत यह है कि हम व्यापारियों को सरकार भ्रपने विश्वास में नहीं लेती भीर हमारा सहयोग इस दिशा में हासिल करने का प्रयत्न नहीं किया जाता है। इम चाहते हैं कि मारे देश का नैतिक स्तर कंचा उठे।

डा॰ सुशीला नायर : पिछले दो, ढाई साल से मैं इस बात के लिए बराबर प्रयत्नशील रही हूं कि धाप व्यापारियों का इसमें सहयोग प्राप्त किया जाय । कई ट्रेडर्स की मीटिंग्स में भी मैं बैठी हूं धौर उन से मेरी इस बारे में बातचीत हुई है लेकिन ट्रेडर्स सिर्फ एक बात कहते हैं कि स्टैन्डर्ड कम करो, उसको निकाल दो, प्रोसीक्यूशन न हो । एक भी ट्रेडर ने धाज तक यह चीज नहीं कही कि हम इसका जिम्मा लेने को तैयार हैं कि इसमें मिलावट नहीं हुई ।

भी हिर्दिशन : साबुत चीजों में मिलावट नहीं होती है बल्कि जैसा मैंने कहा वह सब-स्टैन्डडं होती हैं।

डा॰ सुन्नीला नायर: साबुत बीजों में भी र कोई जानता है कि मिलावट होती है जैसे कि काली मिर्च में पपीते के बीज मिला दिये जाते हैं। मिलावट कितनी व्यापक है इसके बारे में पबलिक ऐनालिस्ट कमेटी को कुछ ग्रभी बतलायेंगे।

Dr. S. N. Mitra: There are two types—whole spice and the ground spice. Spices are prone to adulteration in a number of ways. Adulteration has been detected both in the whole spice and the powdered spice. Let us take the very important spice, turmeric, which is consumed all over India in a large quantity. The whole

turmeric is adulterated in various ways. It may be coloured with lead chromate and also other lead compounds. This makes the stuff highly poisonous. Also, the whole haldi is coloured in various ways by tar dyes to make it look fresh nicer. The whole turmeric is also boiled in cow dung water and then dried. Then, I will take up mrich. The whole kalimrich may be adulterated in various ways. By insect infestation, the starch may decrease and the stuff may be left only with the outer skin and it may be contaminated with insect also. Foreign seeds may also be present in the whole black pepper resembling the fruit of black pepper. Also artificial pepper seeds have been detected in the local markets of Calcutta and they have been coloured also. As someone made out in morning, deficiency of starch in kalimrich cannot come in nature; nature does not give less starch. It is one of the important items which comprise the composition of black pepper. the kalimirch is infested with weevils. we cannot see it by chemical analysis. Artificial black pepper is made and then coloured. I have got samples of this from Calcutta Corporation. whole chilly also can very well adulterated. For instance, rotten old chillies are smeared with oil containing oil soluble dves to make it look. nicer and clean. This type of adulteration is very harmful because soluble coal dyes are almost toxic.

Chairman: Thank you for the information.

श्री फतेह चन्द शसीमः पीस्त के दाने का नवा स्टैन्डंड है?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: It is given here.

"It shall not contain more than 5 per cent by weight of other harmless foreign seeds, dust or other foreign or vegetable matter; more than 8 per cent of total ash; less than 40 per cent. of oil.

श्री फतेह चंद नसीम : श्रायल तब कम होता है जब उस में कोई दूसरों चीज की मिलाबट होतों है। जो कुदरती पौपा-सीड है उस में श्रायल जरूर होगा। पौपी-सीड़स का अगर आयल निकल जायगा तो उसकी खली बन जायेगा। फोरैन मैटर जो मिलायेंगे उसका भें तो उसमें परसंटेज आयेगा और उसका भी हिसाब बतलाना होगा।

अगर वह फ़ारेन मैटर में भ्राता है, तो आप उसका परसंटेज बतायें। तब भ्राप कहें कि भ्रायल कम है। अगर फ़ारेन मैटर न बताया जाये, तो फिर ग्रायल कम होने के बारे में न कहा जाये।

सभापति महोदया : एक्ट में सब बातों का प्राविजन है । ग्रगर ग्राप उसके बारे में कोई सूचना दे सकते हैं, तो बतायें ।

श्री हरि विष्णु कामत: ग्राप ने संयुक्त प्रवर समिति को जो स्मृतिपत्र प्रस्तुत किया है, उससे प्रतीत होता हैं कि ग्राप की संस्था सूखे मेवे, किराना ग्रौर केमिकल्ज के डिल्लर व्यापारियों का कल्याणकारी समाज है।

श्री फतेह चन्द नसीम ; हमारी वैलफ्रेयर एसोसियेशन यह देखती है कि व्यापारियों की क्या दिक्कतें हैं ग्रीर उन को कैसे दूर किया जा सकता है ।

श्री हरि विष्णु कामत : क्या ग्राप लोग ग्रवाम का कल्याण करने वाले हैं ?

भी फतेह चन्द नसीम : व्यापारियों का।

डा॰ बुझीला नायर: उन की एसोसियेशन व्यापारियों को प्रिवेंशन ग्राफ़ फूड एडल्ट्रेशन प्राविजन से प्रोटेक्ट करने के लिए हैं।

श्री हरि विष्णु कामत: श्राज के स्मृतिपत्र में ड्राई फूट ग्रीर कैमिकल्ज का जिक्र किया गया है। लेकिन जहां तक मैं पढ़ पाया हूं, ग्राप के स्मृतिपत्र में केवल किराने का जिक्र है ग्रीर ड्राई फूट तथा कैमिकल्ज का कोई उल्लेख नहीं है। भ्राप ने उन दोनों को भ्रलगं क्यों कर दिया है ?

भी फ़तेह चन्व नसीम : इसलिए कि इाई फूट भीर केमिकल्ज का फड एडल्ट्रेशन से कोई ताल्लुक नहीं है। बादाम भीर काजू में एडल्ट्रेशन होने की गुंजायश नहीं है।

भी सी • बी • सिंह : उन में की ड़े लग सकते हैं।

भी फ़लेह चन्द नसीम : कीड़े तो पानी में भी पड़ जाते हैं।

डा॰ सुन्नीला नायर : लेकिन कीड़े वाली चीज बेचने की हम इजाजत नहीं दे सकते हैं।

श्री फ्रतेह चन्द नसीम : हम कीड़े वाली चीज को नहीं बेचेंगे, डेस्ट्राय करेंगे, लेकिन उसमें कीड़े तो पड़ सकते हैं।

श्री हरि विष्णु कामत: ग्राप ने ग्रपने स्मृतिपत्न के पृष्ठ ६ पर किसानों पर एक गम्भीर ग्रारोप लगाया है। उस में कहा गया है:

"The main offence committed by a party or by a farmer".

I do not know what do you mean by the 'party'. I suppose that is not a political party.

श्री फ़तेह चन्द नसीम : ग्रगर साबुत हल्दी पर कोई रंग लगाया गया है, चाहे वह कोई श्री रंग हो, तो रीटेल डीलर यह नहीं देख सकता है कि उस पर कौन सा रंग लगा हुमा है। यह तो प्रासेसिंग सेंटर पर ही देखा जा सकता है।

भी हरि विष्णु कामत: क्या ग्राप समझते हैं कि विल्लर व्यापारी दूख के शुले हुए हैं ?

श्री फ़तेह चन्द नसीम : ग्रगर साबूदाना धनाने वाले कारखाने में साबूदाना बनाया जाये, तो रीटेलर यह नहीं देख सकता है कि वह साबूदाना किस मेटीरियल ने बनाया गया है । श्री हरि विष्णु कामत: लेकिन किराना में साबूदाना श्रीर हल्दी के श्रालावा श्रीर बहुत सी चीजों में मिलावट की जाती है। श्रभी श्राप को बताया गया है कि क्या क्या मिलावट की जाती है।

į

श्री फ्रतेह चन्द नसीम : चूंकि यह घंग्रेजी में बताया गया है, इसलिये मैं समझ नहीं सका हूं।

श्री हरि विष्णु कामत : लेकिन ग्राप ने ग्रपना स्मृतिपत्र भी तो श्रंग्रेजी में दिया है।

भी फ़तेह चन्द नसीम : वह तो ग्राप लोगों की सुविधा के लिए ग्रंग्रेजी में दिया है ।

भी हरि विष्णु कामत: पृष्ठ ७ को पढ़ने से मालूम होता है कि ग्राप के दिमात में कुछ जनतफ़हमी रह गई है। वहां पर लिखा हुग्रा है:

The limits of foreign matters in all fruits are very small. They may be raised to a reasonable percentage.

क्या ग्राप को कानूनन मिलाने की इजाजन है ?

श्री फ़तेह बन्द नसीम : काली मिर्च में लाइट वैरीज का परसेंटेज नियमों के अनुसार दस परसेंट हुआ । उसको ं बदला गया। यहां भी परेशानियां हैं । इसलिए इस में भी सुधार हो ।

भी हरि विष्णु कामत : इस को कितना बढाया जाये ?

भी फ्रतेहें चन्द नसीम : मैं इसकी तादाद नहीं निश्चित कर सकता हूं । मुख्तिलफ़ पैदावारों को देखते हुए उनका एनै लीसिस करने के बाद यह देखा जाये कि मिनिमम धौर मैक्सिमम क्या हो सकता है ।

श्री हरि विष्णु कामतः व्या ग्राप यह तो महीं चार्ते हैं कि सौ फ़ी सदी बढ़ा दिया जाये?

भी फ़लेह चन्द नसीम : मैं तो एक फ़ी सदी भी नहीं चाहना हूं। भी हरि विष्णु कामत : श्राप की संस्था कब स्थापित हुई थी?

श्री फ्र. हे चन्द नसीम : इस संस्था को दो, ढाई साल पहले रिजस्टर कराया गया था। लेकिन हमारे दुकानदार पचास पचास साल से यह काम कर रहे हैं।

श्री हरि विष्णु कामत : इस म्रल्पाविध में क्या ग्राप ने कभी इस बात पर विचार किया है कि ग्राप के मेम्बरान मिलावट को दूर करने के लिए एक ग्राचार-संिता बना कर उस पर ग्रमल करें ?

भी फ़तेह चन्च नसीम : विचार किया है और दिल्ली कार्पोरेशन में खारीबावली से जितने भी नमूने लिये गये हैं, वे कभी इस बिना पर फ़ेल नहीं हुए हैं कि कोई चीज एडल्ट्रेटिड हैं। यह कहा गया है कि ल्दी कलर्ड है, लेकिन नमक में नमकपन कम है या गुड़ में मिठास कम है इस माधार पर सेम्पल फ़ेल हुए हैं। लेकिन मिलावट के माधार पर, इस माधार पर कि गुड़ में मिट्टी मिली हुई है, हमारे सैम्पल फ़ैल नहीं हुए हैं। हल्दी का कलर भौर नमकपन या मिठास में कमी रीटेलर के हाथ की बात नहीं है।

श्री हरि विष्णु कामत: क्या ग्राप ने कोई ग्राचार-संहिता तैयार की है ?

भी फतेह चन्द नसीम : लिखित रूप में नहीं की है।

श्री हरि विष्णु कामत : इरादा है क्या?

श्री फतेह चन्द नसीम: प्रापका यह सुझाव बहुत घच्छा है ग्रीर इसको ग्रमल में लाने की कोशिश करेंगे।

श्री हरि विष्णु कामत: उधर से जो बात कही जा रही है कि एडलट्रेशन को दूर कर दीजिये ग्रच्छी चीज मंगाइये, इस पर भी ग्रमल हुग्रा है क्या ?

भी फतेह चन्द नसीम : $a_{\overline{p}}$ तो हम करते ही $|\overline{\xi}|$

श्री हरि विष्णु कामत : तो—माचार संहिता बनाने से भाप क्यों हिचकिचाते हैं ?

भी फ्रेह चन्द बसीम : यह सुझाव भापका बहुत भच्छा है भौर इस पर भ्रमल करेंगे।

भी हरि विष्णु कामत : इस प्रपराध में कोई पकड़ा जाए और प्रपराध साबित हो जाए घदालत में तो पिम्लिक में उस घादमी के कोड़े लगाये जायें या फांसी पर उसको लटका दिया जाए तो घापको कोई एतराज तो नहीं होगा ?

श्री फ्रोह जन्द नसीम: प्रापके इस सुझाव से तम बिल्कुल सहमत हैं। लेकिन देखना पड़ेगा कि एडलट्रेशन क्या चीज है। ऐसा न हो कि सौ गुनार्गार तो छूट जायें लेकिन एक जो गुना्गार नहीं है, उसको सजा हो जाए।

डा॰ सुझीला नायर : य ह जो मनोवृत्ति है, इसको भापको बदलना पड़ेगा । फूड एडलट्टेशन से बहुत ज्यादा नुस्तान देश का हो रत् है । गुनित्गार तो निकल जाए भीर जो गुनिहगार नहीं है, वह फंस जाये, यह नहीं हो सकता है । इसका कोई उपाय करना होगा ।

भी फ्तेह चन्द नसीच : हमारे कृते का मतलब यह है कि बेगुना गार को सजा मिले वह ज्यादा खतरनाक है । भादिकाल से यह चला भा रहा है कि गुना गार को न छोड़ा खाए।

Dr. C. B. Singh: On page 9 of your Memorandum, you have used the word 'farmer'. Don't you look very carefully into the things that the 'armer brings to you? How does he become the main culprit?

श्री फ़लेह चम्द नसीमः किसान इस तर् से है कि उसके यहां जो चीज पैदा हुई उचको उसने ला कर मार्किट में रख दिया भीर उसको किसी तरह से साफ नहीं किया डा॰ सुझीला नायर : १४ रुपये मन में खरीद कर जब झाप पचास रुपये मन के हिसाब से उसको बेचते हैं तो क्या झाप उसको साफ नहीं कर सकते हैं?

श्री फ़तेह चन्द नसीम : बिना साफ कि हैं हुए हम उसकी कंज्यूमर को नहीं देते हैं । उसकी साफ तो हम करते ही हैं। लेकिन पेश्तर इसके कि हम उसकी साफ करें, उसके सैम्पल ले लिये जाते हैं। इस में हमारा क्या दोच है, यह आप हमें बतायें।

डा० सी० बी० सिंहः क्लीन्ड, वान-क्लींड आदि के मार्क सगा कर आप क्यों नहीं रखते हैं ?

श्री फ्तेह चन्द नसीस : वह तो हम करेंगे ही । सेकिन जहां तक उसको स्टोर करने का सवास है, वह हमारे बस की बात नहीं है । यह मार्किंग तो ्म कर देंगे कि साफ नहीं की गई है ।

डा० सुनीला नायर : चाप उपाय क्ताइये जिस से कि गन्दे माल को प्रच्छे के साथ मिला कर भाष न बेच पार्ये।

श्री फ्तेह खन्द नसीम : योक व्यापारी पहले उसको साफ कर के रखे। उसके बाद जो रिटेलर है व उसको साफ कर के रखे। रिटेलर से जब बह कंज्यूमर के पास जाये तो जो योड़ी बहुत भीर सफाई की जरूरत है, उसको वह कर दे। इस तरह से साफ चीज उसको खाने को मिल सकती हैं।

श्री के ० एल ० बास्मीकी : सारा बोष प्रापने किसान पर मड़ा है । किसान तो जैसी बीज उसके बेत में पैदा होती है प्रापको दे देता है, मंडी में ले प्राता है ग्रीर उसको प्राप खरीद जेते हैं। इस बास्त्रे किसान को तो प्राप दोष नहीं दे सकते हैं। जहां पर प्राप माल को स्टोर करते हैं। जहां पर मिलावट किस क्य में होती है , काकी किये में या दूसरे क्यालों में। जिस प्राक्षेत्र के उसके कव्यर एडलट्रेशन होती है, उस में ट्रेडर्ज का प्रधिक हिस्सा होता है ।

भी फतेह चन्द नसीम : वहां तक गेहू काया मसालों काताल्लुक है . . .

श्री के **एल** बास्मीकी : मैं गेहूं की बात नहीं कर रहा हूं।

भी फ़तेह चन्द नसीम: धाप हमारी बात सुन लीजिये, फिर तो जो धापकी जजमेंट वह धापकी जजमेंट धौर जो हमारी, वह नारी। गेहूं का भी धबर उसी तरीके से हम एनैलेसिस करें जिस तरीके से मसालों का करते हैं तो जितना भी गेहूं न्दुस्तान में पंदा होता है वह कभी भी जो स्टैंड डें है उस पर पूरा नहीं उतरेगा।

डा॰ सुशीला नायर : श्राप लोग पूंजीपति हैं। दो तीन रोज से हम बैठे हुए हैं। एक भादमी ने भाप में से या मिस्बरा नहीं दिया है कि इस तरह से एडलट्रेशन खत्म हो सकता है। सभी ने या का है कि स्टैन्डर्ड हटा दो, कानून बदल दो, हमारी समझी को किसी तरह से हाथ मत लगाओ । किसी ने भी यह नहीं बताया कि इस तरह से एडल-ट्रेशन को खत्म कर सकते हैं।

भी फ्तेह चन्द नसीम: जहां तक मसालों का सम्बन्ध है, मैं सजैशन देता हूं। जहां प्रासैसिंग भीर भीर मैन्युफैक्चर यह होता है, वहां लाइसेंस होना चायि भीर वहां पर चैक लगना चायि।

डा॰ सुकीसा नास्यः : मर मर में नसाला कृटता है ।

श्री फतेह चन्द नसीम: साबूदाना है, ग्ररारोट है, हल्दी का सवाल है, गन्दे मसाले की बात है। इस सब के बारे में मैं कहूंगा कि एयमार्क होना चाबि।

डा॰ सुकीला नायर : यह सब कुछ कर दें तो कितनी बेरोजगारी हो जाएगी इसका क्या प्राप प्रदाजा लगा सकते हैं। घर घर में मसाला कुटने का काम होता है। वे लोग इसी पर प्रमनी रोटी कमा रहे हैं। वे सब बेकार हो जायेंगे । इस काटेज इंडस्ट्री को कैसे बस्म कर दें ? यह नहीं हो सकता है ।

की वसुना प्रसाद जंडक : माननीय विष्टमेस ने कहा है कि प्रापका फैसला प्रापका फैसला घीर मेरा फैसला मेरा फैसला है। यह ठीक बात नहीं है . . .

डा० सुनीला नायर : इसकों स्रोड़ दीजिये।

श्री समुना प्रसाद नग्डल : इ ई साल प्राप की एसोसिएशन को बने हो गये हैं। कागजी सक्त क्वा भाप दे सकते हैं कि भाषने कोई कसूर नहीं किया है भीर या कि डिस्ट्रिक्ट कोर्ड में खा कर बता विकायमा है कि वे बेगुनाह हैं वेकसूर हैं?

श्री फतेह बन्द नसीम : एक गुड़ का सैम्पल एक रिटेलर का लिया नया था। बताग्रे का भी लिया नया था। प्राप मुझ को यह भी बत में नुड़ गुड़ की शक्त का होगा जब उसकी क्ली बन नर्भ ? तो रिटेलर ने उस में कैसे मिक्सचर किया ? बताशा बन गब: तो कैसे मिक्सचर किया ?

डा॰ सुशीला नायर: जैसा मैंने प्रायं किया प्रापका तो केवल इतना ही हेतु है कि ट्रेड को तकलीफ न हो । मिलावट को रोकने के बारे में हमको प्रापत कोई सलाह मशविरा या मदद नहीं मिजी।

श्री शिष घरण गुप्त : भगर कोई दुकानदार मिलावट करता पकड़ सिया जाए, तो उसके बारे में भापकी क्या सिफारिश है ?

भी हरि किशन जिस मादमी को इस तरह पकड़ा जाए, उसको को है हक नहीं है कि वह बुकानशारी करें।

श्री यू० एन० त्रिकेशी: कुछ कावनी ववा में सिझाबट करने के लिए पकड़े गए। वे तो बच गए। लेकिन जिसने वह दवा खायी वहतो मर गया। Chairman: On behalf of the Committee I thank you.

The evidence that you will be giving will be treated as public unless you want any part or whole of it to be treated as confidential. Even then it will be circulated to Members of Parliament.

I would request you to be brief and precise.

Shri R. S. Sharma: In clause 5 of the amending Bill you have provided for only one witness instead of two witnesses as at present. We would like to know what objection Government have got against the provision which provides for two witnesses. Even in the Criminal Procedure Code and various other laws of the country there is provision for two witnesses at the time of seizure, etc. We do not know why Government have thought it fit to provide for only one witness in this particular case.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Two reasons have been brought to our notice. One is that witnesses are very reluctant to come forward because those who are dealing with these things may not be very pleasant people to antagonise; they may not be very nice people to make enemies of. So, the people of the locality do not like to come forward as witnesses.

Secondly, the procedure in the courts is very lengthy and they cannot afford the time for that and therefore do not like to come forward as witnesses.

There is still another reason. When the samples are taken they can seen by the man whose sample is taken and by the Inspector and 80 the there can be no question of sample, being not different from what they are claimed to be and therefore witnesses may not be considered necessary to testify this. These are the points made before us.

Shri R. S. Sharma: When the law is going to be made more deterrent.

what is the point in doing away with this elementary safeguard?

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: The Hon. Minister has said that the witnesses do not come forward because people feel that the shopkeepers are not people whom they would like to make enemies. Are they worse than goondas?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: People of the locality do not want to make enemies by deposing against certain persons of the same locality. Secondly, the court procedures are lengthy. These are the two reasons put forward before us.

Shri R. S. Sharma: In sub-clause (ii) of the same clause 5, there is a proviso which says "....with one or more sureties as the food inspector deems fit". Our submission is that the food inspector should not be given such absolute discretion as to decide how many sureties there should be. After all, a food inspector is not such a big officer that he should be given wide discretion as to decide the number of sureties in a particular It should be a formal bond and one or two sureties will do. When you say "as the food inspector deems fit", it gives absolute discretion to him. This is in respect of sub-section (4) section 10 of the Act.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: But the very fact that weevil has got in, shows that the condition of the entire stock $i_{\rm S}$ not what it should be. You cannot say, separate the weevil-infested part and the rest is edible.

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: To that I will say this. It will not be possible for everybody. I am a whole wheat eating person and I get the wheat at my home and I see to it that it is cleaned properly. But in the mills where you crush ton, of wheat, this separation is not possible.

Dr. Mahadeva Prasad: It is possible.

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: I have been in the wheat trade for thirty years now and I would very much like to be told and shown. Of course, there is one method that in the flour mills they put it in the water tanks and some of them which are very badly caten by the weevils will float but not all the weevil-infested ones.

Chairman: Next point, Mr. Sharma.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You may not consider weevil to be objectionable, but there are plenty of members who do not like to eat dhal fined with weevil. You people may be non-vegetarians, but we vegetarians do not eat weevil.

Dr. C. B. Singh: Even though a person may be a non-vegetarian he would not like to eat grain infested with weevil!

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Apart from that, if the yardstick of adulteration is to be the fitness or otherwise of the stuff for human consumption, then surely the mixing of papayya seed with kali mirch, that is pepper, cannot be 'adulteration'.

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: This is the literal meaning of adulteration: mixing something of lower price, of a lower quality, that is deliberate adulteration. I do not suppose we have said that that would not be adulteration.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: So, human consumption is not the criterion. (Interruptions).

Shri R. S. Sharma:*

*There is one thing. There were no standards fixed for foodgrains. But the Act says that if a stuff consists of any filthy, putrid, disgusting, rotten, decomposed or diseased animal or vegetable substance or is insect-infested or is otherwise unfit for human consumption, it is deemed to be adulterated. Nobody can object to it. If it is de-

composed, filthy or rotten etc., nobody in his senses can say that it is not adulterated. It must be treated as adulterated. But growth of weevils in grains in godowns is altogether a different phenomenon.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Two years ago in Delhi atta was being sold which was full of weevils. When it was brought to our notice we had taken action immediately. You and I do not want to eat atta full of weevils. Do we want that others should eat it?

Shri R. S. Sharma: I am talking only of foodgrains in whole form. After a certain period of storage, certain types of insects grow there. It is not an insect at all in the sense in which that is meant, because whatever is rotten is always unfit for human consumption. But whatever is weevil-infested is not unfit for human consumption. That is the distinetion I want to make. I want them to judge that the interpretation of the law is defective. There are crores of maunds of wheat stored in godowns of the Central Government itself. Lakhs of maunds of might be containing some weevils. There was a seminar on this subject in which eminent experts opined that such weevils grow in course of storage of grains.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Your suggestion is that weevil-infested grain can be consumed?

Shrf R. S. Sharma: That is not my point. My point is that by the mere fact of a few grains in the whole lot getting weevils in the storage, this does not become absolutely unfit for human consumption.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: That is to say, if the infestation by weevil is of a minor nature it is fit for human consumption, unless it is of a very big proportion—is that your point?

Shri R. S. Sharma: Exactly.

^{*}The omitted portion of the evidence is being treated as confidential at the request of the witness but is available to the members only in the Parliament Library.

^{847 (}Aii) LS-5.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: What should be the proportion of weevil infestation which can be permitted for human consumption?

Shri R. S. Sharma: That is for the Food Standards Committee and food technologists to prescribe. also said in Eminent judges have their judgments that the law has prescribed an absolute standard of what is to be treated as unfit for human consumption. Under the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, only that should be rejected which is unfit for human consumption and not something which is not of a very superior quality. Some people take very superior quality of food; others take a slightly inferior quality. That is the distinction that should be made.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: If I understand you aright, you seem to be of the view that partial infestation by weevil does not amount to adulteration; that is, you separate the weevil and eat the rest which is edible. On a similar reasoning, if spices are adulterated with horse dung partially, would you say, remove the horse dung and eat the rest?

Shri R. S. Sharma: I have no knowledge about it.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You must have heard about this.

Charman: It has to be infested by weevil and at the same time be unfit for human consumption. It is only when both these things are satisfied that there is provision for the enforcement of this.

Shri R. S. Sharma: Under the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act only that thing should be rejected which is unfit for human consumption. That should be the line of demarcation.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Madam Chairman, I put a straight question and you have tried to by pass it. I want to pursue it to its logical conclusion, and our friend was trying to reply to it.

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: May I say that when we have cow dung or horse dung in spices it is deliberately mixed? As regards weevil it is a sort of deterioration of the foodgrain due to nature, due to the conditions of our tropical climate.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You mean bad or careless storage?

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: Also due to moisture. Just as you and I age, similarly if foodgrains are not properly stored—if they are stored well, if we could have air-conditioned storage etc., perhaps for a whole year they will not deteriorate—but in the heat and moisture of our climate they do deteriorate and weevil gets in. It is not that it is put in. It automatically comes.

Chairman: The difficulty here is that all of you speak simultaneously and it becomes very difficult to follow.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I wonder if the witnesses would refer to section 2 of the 1954' Act where the definition is clearly stated. An article of food shall be deemed to be adulterated if the article sold by a vendor is not of the nature, substance or quality demanded by the purchaser, if the article contains any other substance which affects, or if the article is so processed as to affect, injuriously the nature, substance or quality thereof, if any inferior or cheaper substance has been substitute wholly or in part for the article so as to affect injuriously the nature, substance or quality thereof; if the article consists wholly or in part of any filthy, putrid, disgusting, rotten, decomposed or diseased animal or vegetable substance or is insect-infested or is otherwise unfit for human consumption. So, it is clear. The word used here is insectinfested.'

Shri R. S. Sharma: I am talking about the interpretation that is put on this word by Food Inspectors and I shall refer to a Calcutta Judgment in which they have rejected that interpretation. The word 'insect-infested'

is interpreted by the inspectors to cover cases of weevilled grains. Some prosecution was launched in respect of worm eaten grains. I refer to criminal appeal No. 666 of 1960 of the Calcutta High Court. It was a single bench decision the court goes on to say:

"'Disgusting' is a characteristic which need not be objective characteristic of an article and in my view it is a subjective notion in relation to a particular person or persons. What is disgusting food for one may be a delicacy to another. To an affluent and fastidious eater of any food which is not of best quality may appear to be disgusting but food of inferior quality may be acceptable to a poor man for economy. So in my view here cannot be any absolute standard for ascertaining if food of particular quality is 'disgusting' within the meaning clause (f). Clause (f) by its last portion "Or is otherwise unfit for human consumption" lavs down an absolute standard for food. possible What is the least standard is whether the particular food is fit for human consumption by scientific test. Above that minimum and short of the maximum quality which is best quality food, there may be food of varying qualities. In my view, therefore, all the other words in clause (f) must be understood by application of rule of a jusdum generis principle of interpretation as meaning whether the particular food article is of that particular characteristic so as to be unfit for human consumption."

Shri U. M. Trivedi: It says here: 'insect-infested or is otherwise unfit for human consumption'. We all know the rule; what is wrong in it? We fully agree with it.

Shri R. S. Sharma: If there are, let us say, a thousand grains and some weevils developed during their storage and one or two grains are

found to be thus infected, that cannot be called insect-infested,

Dr. Sushila Nayar: A notification is under issue fixing standards for foodgrains in which they have laid down a specification for weevil infestation.

Chairman: You can proceed to your next point.

Shri R. S. Sharma: So, this interpretation has been given. All these are technical matters and that is why we have pleaded that the discretion that is now vested in a court of law should remain; and should not be taken away. If you tie them down to a particular compulsory sentence, there may be miscarriage of justice.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: There are two types of offences. For the serious types of offences, compulsory imprisonment of 6 months is provided. For the others, the discretion of the court is there.

Chairman: That is laid down in clause 7 at page 3 of the Bill.

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: Clause 7 says:

"(b) in other cases, with imprisonment for a term which may extend to two years and with fine."

But in the context of the previous clause, it appears that the minimum also would be six months.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: In this case, the lower limit is not deliberately fixed. For a technical offence, the punishment may be just imprisonment for 1 or 2 weeks or till the rising of the court. So, the discretion of the court is not taken away.

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: Can it be only fine without any imprisonment? If they are only technically guilty?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: No. It can be imprisonment till the rising of the court. The whole idea is that fines do not seem to work. The man tries to make up the money spent on the fine

by indulging in more adulteration. Our object is not to collect money in the form of fine but to stop adulteration. That is why compulsory imprisonment has been suggested,

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: I will bring two or three cases to your notice and you would agree that the offence was merely technical. In Calcutta mustard seeds worth about Rs. 45,000 were seized and analysed. Dirt was found to the extent of 8 per cent. The Act provides it should be not more than 5 per cent. If you had allowed the merchant to clean it, it could very well have come to 1½ per cent.

Shri P. K. Deo: They might have added more.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: It could not have been merely dirt. If I remember correctly, some other poisonous seed was mixed. They pleaded their case and asked whether it would be possible to use it for soap-making. But it was pointed out that those people could not be relied upon and if it goes into the market, it would be dangerous to human beings. Dirt alone is not dangerous.

Dr. C. B. Singh: The Government was absolutely justified in seizing it, because adulteration of mustard seed is almost criminal. The people of Bengal suffer so badly from diseases because of this adulteration.

Chairman: He is arguing for the defendant.

Dr. C. B. Singh: I am arguing for the general public and not for the defendant.

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: I would not put myself in the position of defending a criminal or doing a diabolical act. I will circulate the papers of the case and if you go through them, I am sure you will come to the conclusion that it was a legal destruction of national wealth.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Let me assure you that we went into it very thoroughly and ultimately it had to

by indulging in more adulteration. The be destroyed in the interests of the Our object is not to collect money in people of Calcutta.

Chairman: We are not sitting here as a court to decide the case.

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: I am not askyou to do it. The other case that I had in mind is of the United Flour Mill, Calcutta. As you may perhaps be knowing, every flour mill in the country is being supplied wheat by the Government. They also were supplied wheat by Government of India. After the goods had gone to the godown sample was taken and it was found that there were some weevils in it. As at present, no weevils are permitted. So, they were prosecuted and fined. But they did not do anything at all themselves. The mill is owned by Messrs Shaw, Wallace and Company and I, in my mind, will never thing of their doing anything of that sort. They are a huge concern having business of several crores of rupees and a profit of Rs. 5.000 or Rs. 10,000 will not mean anything to them.

Chairman: The report of the central laboratory will be final and there is no appeal.

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: My point is that in that case they did not do anything deliberately.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The word 'deliberate' does not help us. If a man is poisoned and loses his life, it does not matter whether it is mixed deliberately or it is mixed accidentally. If he has not taken due precautions to prevent the admixture, the person is guilty.

Chairman: Clause 8 of the Bill (page 4) gives some protection to the vendor. It says:—

"A vendor shall not be deemed to have committed an offence pertaining to the sale of any adulterated or misbranded article of food if he proves.."

Shri R. S. Sharma: What is the objection if the adulterated stuff can be put to an alternative non-edible use?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I am sorry to say that the trade in India cannot be relied upon. Time and again it has been tried but they mix it with the good stuff and sell it for purposes for which it is not meant. It is not possible for u_3 to keep a CID after them and see that they use it for the right purpose and not for the wrong purpose.

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: You confiscate it, do not give it to the trader but put it to an alternative use. Why burn it? If wheat is infested to a small extent, in our conditions it can very well be fed to cattle. Let the Corporation or the State take it over. If such a provision is there, any court can do it.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: May I say that the complaints that we have had from different places so far have been that the courts have been taking this offence very lightly? That is why the trouble has increased so much. Some of you black-coated gentlemen go in defence of these big fellows.

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: We are all businessmen. There are no lawyers among us.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I do not say that all of you do it; but some do it. Some magistrates also think that he is a poor man. जैसा कि श्री बाल्मीकी जी ने कहा है. कि एक खोंचे वाले पर, जिसकी पंजी पांच सी रुपया है, ज्यादा से ज्यादा पांच दस रुपवा जुरमाना भर दिया जाए। What more can you do to him? In the mean time the trouble goes on getting from bad to worse. As you know, it is the magistrate who has the option to dispose of this in all number of manners and you know it better than I do that if the magistrate considered it best to destroy this particular lot, there must be good

reasons for him to do so. To the best of my knowledge you went up in appeal all over and you lost those appeals. It is not that you just took the verdict of one magistrate. The whole thing was lying for nearly a year before it was destroyed.

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: Facts are facts. You can have the copy of the judgment, if you want.

Then, I would like to put in one small point about clause 5. You have described how the samples can be taken, but I would like to add one thing more. In respect of foodgrains, after the samples have been taken the analysis should be finalised within 48 hours. We were at the ISI meeting yesterday. We have recently finalised the standards for cereals and There we have decided that pulses. after the samples are taken the analysis should be finished within 48 hours. There are very good reasons for that. In the case of weevils they spread very quickly specially when the sample is bottled in. So, if the analysis is done after a month, the result will not be the same as it would have been if it had been done within 48 hours.

Chairman: We shall consider it. It will be taken care of when the rules are framed.

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: About the witnesses you have said that independent persons should be there as witnesses. What is the meaning of 'independent persons'?

Shri R. S. Sharma: What we have said is that the provision for witnesses should be on the same lines as it is in the Criminal Procedure Code Section 103, which says:—

"Before making a search the officer or other persons about to make it shall call upon two or more respected inhabitants of the locality in which the search is to take place."

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: Here it says to be present at the time". The local people might be present there and he will take them as witnesses. There is no objection to taking the people of the locality?

Shri S. N. Murarka: Naturally the local people will be there.

Chairman: He should not be a witness interested in the inspector.

श्री एस० एन० म्रारका । बात यह हैं कि सर्च के लिये जो इंस्पैक्टर जाते हैं तो भ्रपनी जान पहचान के गवाह ले जाते हैं भ्रीर लोकल भ्रादमियों को नहीं लेते।

Shri Gian Prakash: You must have seen that in other cases also it very much depends upon the standard of witnesses and the Court will duly consider it.

श्री तुलसीबास जाषव : श्राप लोकल श्रादमी बतौर विटनैसैज के लिये क्या इसलिये प्रस करते हैं कि श्रापको शक है कि बाहर के व्यक्ति इंस्पैक्टर के श्रादमी हो सकते हैं ?

श्री एस० एन० मुरारका : हमें पावर्स के मिसयूज होने के वास्ते कानून में कोई लूपहोल नहीं छोड़ना चाहिये।

श्री तुलसीवास जाधवः हो सकता है कि लोकल भादमी डर के मारे दुकानदार के विरुद्ध गवाही देना न चाहे तब उस हालत में यह लोकल भादमी की गवाही वाली शर्त कैसे पूरी की जा सकती है ?

श्री एस० एन० मुरारका : जाहिर है कि जब इंस्पैक्टर सर्च में जायेंग तो वे किसी भी ग्रादमी को ग्रपने साथ में लेकर नहीं जायेंगे ग्रीर वहां पर लोकल ग्रादमी के ग्रलावा श्रीर कौन हो सकता है ?

श्री तुलसी दास जाभव : ग्राप एक वक्तील हैं ग्रीर गवाह इकट्ठा करने के बारे में जो प्रैक्टिकल डिफ़िकल्टी स्नाती है उससे पूरी तरह परिचित हैं फिर मेरी समझ में नहीं स्नाता कि स्नाप यह लोकल स्नादमी के लिये क्यों जिद कर र हैं।

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: Whatever is provided in the criminal law for other offences should be provided in this also.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: Is there no adulteration in edible oils?

Shri S. N. Murarka: This Indian Produce Association deals with not at all in oil but in oil seeds and grains.

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: As far as the Association is concerned, it covers oil seeds and foodgrains. Individual members may deal in oil. I am a member of Indian Produce Association; but I deal in oil and my Association does not take cognizance of it.

Shri Deokinandam Narayan: You are dealing in oil. I would like to know from you your suggestions to prevent adulteration of edible oils that is going on everywhere.

Shri V. S. Aggarwal:***

Chairman: Have you got any other suggestions?

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: In respect of the rules etc. implementation should be better.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: I agree that til oil and groundnut oil should be introduced in Bengal. When you admit that adulteration is going on due to short supply of mustard oil I want to know what remedies you suggest to remove this adulteration?

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: We from our association always ask the members not to deal with the people who are handling adulterated oil. It is not that everybody is resorting to adulteration; only a section of people is doing this. You must tighten up the programme of implementation.

^{*}The omitted portion of the evidence is being treated as confidential at the request of the witness but is available to the members only in the Parliament Library.

Shrimati Jyotsna Chanda: May I know whether your Association tried to supply groundnut oil or til oil to the people in Calcutta and whether they have tried to find out that the housewives are prepared to use groundnut oil and til oil?

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: As far as til oil is concerned, the prices are very much on the low side. It is only a matter of buying. You can have ample supply of groundnut oil and til oil.

Shrimati Jyotsna Chanda: You mentioned that the Bengali people are not accepting any other oil excepting the mustard oil. You also said that the mustard oil was being adulterated. Also you said that since they are not getting mustard oil they are used to til oil or groundnut oil. That is the reason why you adulterate the mustard oil

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: I do not accept that I adulterate it. I have merely stated the position as it is. I have said that this situation has been aggravated by the artificial control. That was my point.

Chairman: Scarcity is not the justification for adulteration. The hon. Member did not mean that you adulterated it. But, as an Independent citizen having some social conscience, what is the remedy that you are going to suggest?

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: Whatever the Committee would like us to do, as an Association, I can assure you that we shall do so.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Here is the memorandum of Shri S. N. Murarka of the Indian Produce Association, Calcutta, Here you have stated at the last page as follows:

"It would be necessary to prove the deliberate act of mixing injurious matter to food with the motive of making profit."

Here you have sought to include in the matter of adulteration two new

features which, I believes, are contrary to provisions of the law. One is that it should be injurious to life, injurious to human organism, and secondly the profit motive. With a view to making profit and also that the matter added or mixed was injurious to the organism. These, I suppose, you would regard as rather a trivial offence. I do not know on what basis "you have made these suggestions because I dot not think anybody who adulterates does so unless he wants to earn a profit thereby. Ofherwise, why should he adulterate? Just now it was stated that in Bengal, today, either there is blackmarketing or adulteration. There is no other way because of the failure of the crop. Therefore, for adulteration, I don't think there is anybody who adulterates food unless there is a profit motive or money-making. I suppose he has understood it.

श्री एस॰ एन॰ मुरारकाः हम लोग पहलें ही कह चुके हैं कि कुछ चीजें ऐसी हैं, जो कि स्वतः खेत में पैदा होती हैं, जैसे म्रजीमन सीड्ज बहुत थोड़ी मात्रा में सरसों में पाए जाते हैं। "'रेप एंड मस्टर्ड" नाम की किताब में लिखा है कि किसी किसी खेत में यह एज एक वीड पैदा होता है। 🗗 टेडर इस का कोई भी बैनिफ़िट या प्राफ़िट नहीं उठाता है। कोई जान-बुझ कर उस को नहीं मिलाता है, ताकि उस को फ़िनांशल बैनिफ़िट मिले। जब किसी मन्ष्य को फ़िनांशल बैनिफ़िट नहीं मिलता है, तो वह क्यों मिलायेगा? उस की बैंड इन्टेन्शन नहीं है, लेकिन फिर भी उस को सजा होती है। इसका कारण यह है कि ला इस प्रकार का है। हैल्य प्राफ़िसर बड़े बड़े गोडाउन्ज में जा कर सीड्ज के स्टाक को देखते हैं भौर भ्रगर उस में .०००१ भी एडल्ट्रेशन होता है, तो फुड एडल्ट्रेशन एक्ट के अन्तर्गत वह व्यक्ति कल्परिट हो जाता है। इस में मिलावट का कोई मार्जिन नहीं रखा गया

क्षेभापति महोबया : दो या तीन को सैम्पल्ज को देखने के बाद ही माजिन ग्रीर वैरिएशन तय किया जाता है।

श्री एस० ०एन० मुरारकाः इस में कोई मार्जिन या वैरिएशन नहीं रखा गया है।

Shri Gian Prakash: I am informed that Argemone is a highly poisonous thing. No margin is allowed.

श्री एस० एन० मुरारका : एडल्ट्रजन एकट में सभी तेलों केस्पेसिफि-केशन तब किये गए हैं। वहां पर हर एक सीड का विवरण दिया गया है, लेकिन सरसों का एक ग्रमण स्पैसिफ़िकेशन फ़िक्स किया गया है। यह स्पेसिफ़िकेशन इस नाते फ़िक्स किया गया है कि सरसों का इस्तेमाल दो प्रकार से होता है। वह बहत बडी क्वान्टिटी में तेल बनाने के काम में प्राती है भौर उस के भलावा वह डायरेक्ट मसलों में खायी जाती है। इसी लिये सरसों को दो प्रकार का स्पंसिफिकेशन दिया गया है। लेकिन इसका मिस-इन्टरप्रैटेशन किया जाता हं भीर कुछ व्यापारियों की सरसों जला दी गई । माननीय मंत्री महोदय ने बताया कि उस में भर्जीमन थी। ग्रगर हम मान लें कि उस में झर्जीमन थी, तो एनेलिस्ट्स की रिपोर्ट बतायेगी कि वह नहीं थी।

सभापति महोदयाः ग्राप ग्रपनी बात को क्वस्टियन तक ही सीमित रखें।

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: There is a case only in Bengal under Adulteration Act. As far as the private trade is concerned, there is no profit motive. Of course, just now it was said that the mustard oil prices are at the sky high rate. Five years ago, there was a case that the price of mustard oil was high due to the fact that til oil was found not to be used by the Bengalis. We cannot find 500 to 600 maunds of mustard oil. You would perhaps agree that the high prices of til oil might be made here or there.

In any case, nobody can be blamed for that. Still that was taken under the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act. The party was punished. The oil was denatured and I do not know whether it was confiscated or not.

So, in that case there was no profit motive.

श्री हरि जिम्मु कामत : ज्यान जो श्री मुरारका ने दिया है, उसका निचोड़ यह निकलता है कि मिलावट का अपराध तब तक साबित नहीं माना जाएगा जब तक कह जो मिलावट चीज है वह मानवीय सेहत के लिए हानिकारक नहों। दूसरे उन्होंने यह कहां है कि जानबूझ कर उस में आर्थिट फायदे के लिये न मिलाई गई हो। ये दोनों साबित हों तभी अपराध अपराध माना जाना चाहिये। यह कभी कानून में नहीं हो सकता है। फाज की जिये ग्रगर आपने काली मिर्च में पपीते का सीड मिला विया, तो वह तो हानिकारक है नहीं सेहत के लिये।

श्री एस० एस० मुरारका: जानवृज्ञ कर मिलावट की जाती है ग्रीर वह हानि-कारक होती है। लेकिन कुछ ऐसी चीज भी होती है जिस को धनएवाडेबल मिक्सचर कहा जाता है जिस का जिक कुछ दिन पहले तक कलकत्ता कारपोरेशन एक्ट के ग्रन्दर भी था।

Dr. C. B. Singh: Mr. Aggarwal said that Argemone seed can only grow in the same field where mustard is grown. That is entirely wrong. This Argemone seed is grown at other places as well. It is a common practice for those traders and for those men who are prepared to mix these things to collect these seeds and mix them purposely. I want you to know this. Once upon a time in Bengal men and women used to suffer from the swelling of the feet and anaemia. We did not know the cause of this disease. Ultimately, after a lot of investigation it was found out that it was due to the use of mixed oil that the Bengalis were using. So, it was declared a criminal thing. So, anyone found guilty must be dealt with seriously. You said that it is normal.

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: I did not make that point.

Dr. C. B. Singh: I have made that point.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: Is it a fact that white oil is mixed with ground edible oil and is it not a fact that white oil is injurious to health?

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: I admit it is very much injurious to health. I do not know whether it is done or not.

Shri Tulsidas Jadhav: May I know which oil is mixed with which oil so that it is called adulterated and which is injurious to health?

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: Well, as far as I am concerned, I do not have any personal knowledge of it. But so many oils can be mixed with it, say, linseed and groundnut. At least these can be mixed with it.

श्रीकं एए वास्मीकी : एक तो किसान होता है, एक कच्चा भ्राइती होता है भीर एक पदका भाढती होता है। कच्चा श्रादती कमिशन एजंट होता हैं, जो पक्का श्राढ़ती होता है वह होलसे हा डीलर होता है किसान भ्रपनी चीज ला कर के कच्चे भ्राढती के द्वारा मंडी में बच देता है। जो उसकी प्रोड्युस को लेता है चाहे वह सरसों है या गेहं या कोई दूसरी चीज है, वह ले करके श्रपनी कीमत पर श्रागे बंच देता है। जब किसान से वह चीज भ्राती है तब उस में कोई एडलटेशन नहीं होता है। एडलटेशन कहां श्रीर कैसे होता है, इसके बारे में श्रपनी राय बतलाने की ग्राप कीजिये।

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: I would say that the hon. Member has put so many questions and he has taken so many things for grant and I fail to answer all his questions.

Shri Yamuna Prasad Mandal: You have been in the business for the last 25 years and you are an experienced man. I would like to have some useful information from you as to whether it is a fac: that linseed is also crushed with these wild seeds?

Shri V. S. Aggarwai: I do not know whether it is crushed but I can very well tell you it is used as edible oil in Madhya Pradesh and Bihar.

Dr. G. S. Melkote: We are extremely grateful to Mr. Aggarwal for the frank statement that he made in the beginning that there is a widespread adulteration of many types. May I know whether your association has done anything to prevent such adulteration?

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: When I admitted that there is widespread adulteration. I only did that as an honest citizen of the country. I do not want to hide anything. I am a trader and I want to save my skin also. You can as well do something about it. It is not that I alone can do it. I am prepared to offer you my cooperation in Anything that you tell me, I will do it. I may tell you this also, I was the President of the Indian Producers' Association. The hon. Minister visited Calcutta so many times. We requested her to come and address our Association. Unfortunately, I was not able to get her to my Association. Whatever I say will not carry that much weight as what the Minister says. There are many Ministers, the Commerce and Industry Minister, the Finance Minister, the Food Minister and all that. Whenever they go to big cities they write to us. Now, the Food Minister is going to Calcutta.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It does not apply to all. There are exceptions.

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: As far as I am concerned, I am prepared to give you all cooperation. There is another thing also. We were talking about turmeric. May I know how many cases has the Government started. You have come to one assumption that

It is coloured. I myself do not agree. But still I want to know how many cases they have started at the source where turmeric is sent.

Chairman: They have got all the statistics all over India.

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: I will be very happy to know about it.

Mustard seeds are mixed with arjman seeds. The Agricultural Marketing section of the Agriculture Ministry should be asked to see that this is not done.

Dr. G. S. Melkote: May I ask whether any effort has ben made on your part to prevent such things?

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: We publish papers and circulate them to all our clients in U.P. and we tell them: "Please do not sell mustard seeds with dust. It will cost you more because to that extent the railway freight will go up....".

Dr. G. S. Melkote: Have you refused to take any such stuff? That is the positive action which you could have taken.

Shri V. S. Aggarwal: We will do it. But the difficulty is that we know it only after it comes. Before we take the R. R. we do not know it.

Chairman: We are extremely pleased with the evidence that you have given. On behalf of the Committee I thank you.

Representative of the Delhi Katha Dealers Association: When are we going to be heard?

Chairman: We may ask you to come on the 8th probably. The time will be communicated to you in two days.

(The witnesses then withdrew)

The Committee then adjourned.

Minutes of Evidence given before the Joint Committee on the Prevention of Food Adulteration (Amendment) Bill, 1963.

Thursday, the 6th August, 1964 at 13.36 hours.

PRESENT

Dr. Sarojini Mahishi-Chairman.

MEMBERS

Lok Sabha

- 2. Shri K. L. Balmiki
- 3. Shri Sonubhau Dagadu Baswant
- 4. Shrimati Jyotsna Chanda
- 5. H. H. Maharaja Pratap Keshari Deo
- 6. Shri Shiv Charan Gupta
- 7. Shri Tulshidas Jadhav
- 8. Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath
- 9. Shri C. M. Kedaria
- 10. Dr. Mahadeva Prasad
- 11. Shri Yamuna Prasad Mandal
- 12. Dr. G. S. Melkote
- 13. Shri Gokulananda Mohanty
 - 14. Shri U. M. Trivedi
 - 15. Shrimati V. Vimla Devi
 - 16. Dr. Sushila Nayar.

Rajya Sabha

- 17. Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja
- 18. Shri J. C. Chatterjee
- 19. Shri K. Damodaran
- 20. Shri Shantilal Kothari
- 21. Shri S. S. Mariswami
- 22. Shri Deokinandan Narayan
- 23. Shri Palat Kunhi Koya
- 24. Shri Niranjan Singh.

DRAFTSMAN

Shri S. Harihara Iyer, Deputy Draftsman, Legislative Department, Ministry of Law.

REPRESENTATIVES OF THE MINISTRY

- 1. Shri Gian Prakash, Joint Secretary, Ministry of Health.
- 2. Shri A. S. Bawa, Deputy Secretary, Ministry of Health.
- 3. Dr. Y. K. Subrahmanyam, A.D.G. (P.H.), Ministry of Health.

· SECRETARIAT

Shri A. L. Rai-Deputy Secretary.

WITNESSES EXAMINED

- I. All India Ice Cream Manufacturers Association, New Delhi.
 - 1. Shri P. L. Lamba
 - 2. Shri P. L. Vohra
 - 3. Shri B. R. Kapur.
- II. The Baroda City Pure Milk Suppliers' Association, Baroda.
 - 1. Shri N. C. Bumiya
 - 2. Shri Jagdish Chandra Mawawala
 - 3. Shri Vadilal Shah
 - 4. Shri Chandubhai Pothiwala.

III. Poona Dairymen's Association, Poona.

- 1. Shri A. K. Phadke
- 2. Shri R. B. Chitale
- 3. Shri Babulal Cherenjivlal
- 4. Shri Mohamed Husain Jeena
- 5. Shri Hari Prasad
- 6. Shri R. S. Joshi.

IV. Deshi Makkhan Vypari Sangh (Regd.), Bombay.

- 1. Shri V. G. Sohoni
- 2. Shrimati H. K. Lawande
- 3. Dr. N. N. Godbole
- 4. Shrimati Laxmi Bai Ambole
- 5. Shri Mohan Singh Verma.

V. Butter Makers' Association, Bom bay.

- 1. Shri Naval Nariman
- 2. Shri B. A. Sanghavi
- 3. Dr. N. N. Godbole
- 4. Shri Dhunjishaw R. Kalianiwala
- 5. Shri A. Chakrapani
- 6. Shrimati N. Nariman.

I. All India Ice Cream Manufacturers Association, New Deihi,

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri P. L. Lamba
- 2. Shri P. L. Vohra
- 3. Shri B. R. Kapur.

II. The Baroda City Pure Milk Suppliers' Association, Baroda,

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri N. C. Bumiya
- , 2. Shri Jagdish Chandra Mawawala
 - 3. Shri Vadilal Shah
 - 4. Shri Chandubhai Pothiwala.

III. Poona Dairymen's Association, Poona.

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri A. K. Phadke
- 2. Shri R. B. Chitale
- 3. Shri Babulal Cherenjivlal
- 4. Shri Mohamed Husain Jeena
- 5. Shri Hari Prasad
- 6. Shri R. S. Joshi.

(Witnesses were called in and they took their seats)

Chairman: Gentlemen, the memoranda submitted by you have been circulated to the Members. If you want to stress any particular point mentioned therein you can do so and besides that, if you want to stress any other point pertaining to the amendments you are welcome to do so.

The evidence that you are going to give will be treated as confidential unless you specifically mention that the whole or any part of it should be treated as confidential. Even then it will be circulated to the Members of this Committee and Members of Parliament

Shri B. R. Kapeer: Madam; some of the points we have mentioned have already been considered by this august body and it has come to some conclusions. So it will help us not to repeat them....

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Whatever conclusions we may have to come to, we

will decide that after we have taken everybody's evidence. We won't come to any conclusion before that. We will hear every one of you, all your arguments, ask you such questions that we want, and then the Committee will sit by itself without the witnesses and come to conclusions.

Shri B. R. Kapeer: We have submitted four representations. The fourth is on sampling and testing techniques to be perfected and thereafter the rules to be amended to suit the changed circumstances.

These are the extracts from the Seminar on Prevention of Food Adulteration held at Hyderabad. The Ministry has agreed to these recommendations.

Item 11 of the Agenda, page 27, of the Seminar—Approved:

"The Seminar recommends:

That it is necessary to lay down accepted methods of analysis,

which may be incorporated in the Rules for the benefit of all concerned:

That as the existing laboratories are inadequate and ill-equipped, the number of laboratories may be increased so that at least each State may have one well-equipped laboratory...".

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The Seminar may say so many things to be done, but till those things are done we are not going to suspend the operations of this Act. What it means is that if there is one laboratory in each State, or more than one, we can take many more samples and work more adequately. Till that is done the number of samples to be taken may be lesser, and to that extent the application of the Act may not be as good as one would like it to be.

Shri B. R. Kapoor: May I request one thing? We have understood that chemistry is an exact science. Will the technologists agree to this?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: True. You know, after that the committee was appointed. They have come out with definite, clearcut methods of analysis. They are available to all analysts. We have done it.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We cannot overnight increase the number of laboratories. If the number of laboratories is less it only means that we cannot take enough number of samples and analyse them as often as we would like. To that extent loopholes are there.

With the Chair's permission, I may tell you that when we invite you for tendering evidence, our object is to protect innocent people from the menace of adulteration. We do not want to do anything which puts honest people into difficulties. We also want to know from you the ways in which adulteration could be prevented. An Act is only one of the means to put end to adulteration. So, your only concern should not be how to mitigate the punishment or how to

make people safe from the application of the law. You are citizens as well as traders and as such we all know that adulteration is taking place. Let us put our heads together. You advise the committee how we can better check this menace.

Shri B. R. Kapur: Perhaps it may be within your knowledge that the ice cream manufacturers were prosecuted in 1961 for the simple reason that sampling and analysis procedures were not laid down and today also it is the same position; methods for analysis are not prescribed.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Apart from that, prosecutions may be for certain other reasons also such as lack of cleanliness and hygiene leading to infection.

Shri B. R. Kapur: We have ourselves enforced certain improvements in these matters. Still, as we have suggested in our first representation, administration should have taken steps to eradicate adulteration by suitable devices like compulsory hall marking, etc. Our association is exercising a good deal of care in Delhi; they are taking samples at random.

Chairman: Your memorandum has been circulated to all the Members. Whatever is not contained in your memorandum, if there is anything, you may say.

Shri B R. Kapur: The law as it stands treats sub-standard articles as adulterated. This is not as it should be. The penalty clause should be related to the nature and gravity of the deviation from the set standard.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: You are referring to section 16.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: He says that what adulteration means; it should be treated a_S adulterated only if it is injurious for health and then you can inflict severe punishments. It is not adulteration if it is not harmful, such as mixing water with milk and for things of that type h_e wants less severe punishments.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Punishment should be according to the degree of adulteration. That seems to be his contention.

Shri B. R. Kapur: Section 402 of USA Federal Food Drug and Cosmetics Act defines adulterated food.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You have given it in your memorandum. We have got the provisions as in UK and USA. We have already proposed amendments proposing two types of punishments, one for very serious offences and the other for offences which are not of the same gravity.

Shri B. R Kapur: Punishment is still there.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Punishment has to be there.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: What is your suggestion?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: He says that when a product is declared to be substandard but yet not injurious to health, it should not come within the purview of this Act.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Do they want that they should proceeded against for committing the offence of cheating?

Shri B. R. Kapur: No, no I give an example; in ice cream, there is milk, stabiliser and sugar. Milk takes the place of cream. Some milk may not contain the required fat content and the resultant product be substandard and yet it may not be injurious to health.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: You may sell it as sub-standard ice cream.

Shri B. R. Kapur: It i_S only after analysis that it could be known as being sub-standard.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Are you not protected by the new amendment which is made? You say you may supply sub-standard ice-cream, but you do not want to be prosecuted

for adulteration. Even if you know that you have supplied sub-standard goods, you do not agree to be prosecuted for cheating.

Shri B. R. Kapur: Definitely not.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Your excuse is that you do not know what particular type of cream was supplied to you and the man who supplied it is at fault. Is that defence not available to you under this amendment which we have made?

Shri B. R. Kapur: It is not available because I am responsible for the finished product.

Chairman: You say that clause 8 on page 7 of the Bill is not able to give full defence to the innocent vendor?

Shri B. R Kapur: In our case, it does not give protection. If it is a processed item, then that defence is available.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: In the definition of adulteration, sub-standard also is there.

Shri B. R. Kapur: Clause 2(a) itself covers it. It says:

"An article of food shall be deemed to be adulterated—

(a) if the article sold by a vendor is not of the nature, substance or quality demanded by the producer and is to his prejudice or is not of the nature, substance or quality which it purports or is represented to be."

It is laid down in the Act that in the ice-cream there should be 10 per cent fat content. Unfortunately if it is only 9.5 per cent, the product is not in accordance with (a) and we are to be penalised. We have to be safeguarded against that.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Suppose you are selling ice-cream to children and 10 per cent fat is necessary for the development of those children. Instead of that, if you take skimmed milk and use arrowroot cream to thicken it

and sell it as ice-cream, is it not punishable?

Shri B. R. Kapur: In para 3 of our memorandum, I have made it very clear. It is based on American and English law. If my ice-cream cotains anything else than milk, cream and sugar, it is not sub-standard, but it is adulterated.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: 10 per cent fat has been laid down because that is the very minimum. Actually it should be 12, 13 or 15 per cent. But they have said upto 10 per cent we will not punish. If you want to reduce it to 9 per cent, then there is no limit. From 9, it will become 8 per cent and so on.

Shri B. R. Kapur: Chemistry is an exact science and whatever internationally established procedures are there, these should be adopted in India as well. The Sri Ram Institute took samples of the ice-cream and they say that it was according to British Standard Specification No. 2472 and 809.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Why should we have that number of British standards? Why not have our own methods and standards?

Shri B. R. Kapur: The Indian Standards Institution have laid down the same standards for analysis as the British standard.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Our own standards and methods are there written down. Let anyone say that these methods are wrong. If you have any quarrel with the method of analysis and with the standards that are laid down, you can always make a representation and it will be examined most carefully. But if you want clause 3 to be taken out and if you say that you will sell 2 per cent fat ice-cream instead of 10 per cent and still you should not come under the purview of the Act, that is not possible.

We have noted down your points. Whether we accept it or not is a different matter. We have understood what you say. If there 3 anything else, you might say.

Shri P. L. Lamba: I will talk on the subject of ice-cream, not from a legal point of view but generally. I started the ice-cream business and I can talk about this subject.

We agree that there should be no adulteration; if we mix arrowroot, it becomes adulteration. But what we submit is that if the percentage of fat content comes to 9.5 instead of 10, it is not due to the intention of the management but it is because we cannot get milk or cream of the required standard. If the management knows that it is 9.5 then we can tell you that we will never sell it. As the hon. Minister has said, we would not like that the children of the country should take ice-cream which is sub-standard. I agree that if the fat content is 4, 5 or 7 per cent, the sentence should be six months' imprisonmet or whatever you want; but there should be a margin for a little difference because of the quality of the milk.

Chairman: We have got your point You want that for no fault of yours should you be punished. The same is the intention of the Committee. To an innocent vendor defence is given under clause 8 of the amending Bill.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You have said that in the manufacturing process the fat percentage falls a little. I will tell you what the manufacturers of drug are doing. In the Indian climate vitamins deteriorate a little; so, they add a certain percentage above that so that on analysis within a certain period of time, whatever it may be, deterioration will not make it sub-standard. So if you think that the fall in cream or fat content may be half per cent in the manufacturing process, you take half per cent extra or 1 per cent extra. Why do you want to stick to the minimum only.

Shri P. L. Lamba: We make ice-cream with 12 per cent or 13 per cent fat content. In fact, for the last one year of the samples taken only in one case it came to 11 per cent; in all others it was more than 11 per cent. But sometimes it may happen that the sample taken by the food inspector is kept in the laboratory for two or three days and then it is tested, in that case the fat content comes to half. If once my sample fails, I have to go behind the bars.

Chairman: If out of 10 samples, 9 are successful and 1 fails, the majority is there. Please proceed to your next point.

Shri B. R. Kapur: As regards section 13.....

Mr. Chairman: Section 13 i_S not under consideration.

Shri B. R. Kapur: But if the Act is to be amended, why this should not be considered?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The Committee has been given a mandate. Under that only certain clauses are being amended. We want your views on that. The other clauses are not under discussion because they are not sought to be amended. They are not within the purview of this Committee.

Shri B. R. Kapur: But this Committee can recommend.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: No. You can write to the Government in that connection.

Shri B. R. Kapur: We have represented in 1960. Then, this Committee is not concerned with the rules even, but there are certain aspects connected with that.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: You have said that you want two or more independent witnesses. Why do you want this word 'independent' to be added there?

Shri B. R. Kapur: In order to save miscarriage of justice.

874 (Aii) LS-7

Shri U. M. Trivedi: How will you define the word 'independent'?

Shri B. R. Kapur: The witness should not be from the officialdom.

Shri P. L. Vohra: The idea is the witnesses should be taken from the spot and not arranged beforehand.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Will you be satisfied if we say that the witnesses should be of the same type as are provided in the Criminal Procedure Code?

Shri P. L. Vohra: If the witnesses are taken in such a way that they are peoples from the locality and are respectable persons as is given in section 103 of the Criminal Procedure Code. I think, our purpose will be served.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Then, you will drop the word 'independent'?

Shri P. L. Vehra: I would still insist on the word 'indspendent' for the reason....

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Reasons are not necessary. How will you make out on the spot that the witness is independent or not?

Shri P. L. Vohra: An independent witness will be one who is not connected with the machinery which is going to enforce and implement this Act.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: So, the word independent' will have to be determined at the trial stage?

Shri P. L. Vohra: No doubt, it will be done at the trial stage. But it should be a directive to the machinery which is to implement the Act that the witnesses should be of this nature.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Another suggestion is that no witnesses at all need be had, but the sample be sealed with the seal of the inspector and of the man concerned or may be signed in some way so that the sample cannot be tampered with. One

sample may be left with you and two may be taken away by the inspector. So, if the sample is sealed in such a way that nobody can tamper with it, whether you have witnesses or not is immaterial.

Shri B. R. Kapur: On this very point one of my friends was telling me that a small quantity of saccharin was added to the sample and the whole thing changed. The mischief is being done by the inspectors.

Dir. Sushila Nayar: It cannot be added so quickly.

Shri B. R. Kapur: It does give saccharin positive results, when a small grain of saccharin even is added.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Your whole establishment is there.

Shri B. R. Kapur: The sample bottles are washed with saccharin mixed up. The result will be positive in saccharin test. The bottles should be sterilized in the presence of them. It has to be shown to my people before they take my sample.

Chairman: You want the witnesses in your interest.

Shri B. R. Kapur: Only to see that the mischief is not done.

Chairman: You want some people in your own interest.

Shri B. R. Kapur: We want to see that no mischief is done. Innocent people should not be prosecuted. Maybe they have some grievances with these people.

Chairman: In case witnesses are not independent what is the anticipated mischief?

Shri B. R. Kapur: If Saccharin is added the ice-cream will give sacchrin positive result and the man will be prosecuted.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: All your people are there.

Shri B. R. Kapur: If there are six people they will do the mischief and

I am alone. They take sample from a small man like myself. Justice will be miscarried. The law should enforce that there is no mischief. That is our point.

Chairman: We have got your point. Go on to the next point.

Shri B. R. Kapur: Regarding penalty clause we wish to say something. There is provision for lesser penalty and higher penalty. Whatever penalty is there should be reasonable. That is our stand.

An hon. Member: What is the remedy you suggest to prevent adulteration?

Shri B. R. Kapur: Organised industry as it stands is taking necesary steps in this direction. The Health Ministry should be able to cooperate and see that hardship is not caused to small-producers. The use of saccharin should be prohibited. We have improvement in the made certain rules well. In the ag we have got two types of icecreams, one is plain ice-cream and the other is mixed ice-cream, where add something. Now, we have made the law that there will be no mixed ice-cream at all. Whosoever prepares the ice-cream will prepare only plain ice-cream, with milk cream and sugar. He will not be able to do any mischief. In the C. C. F. Committee we have suggested that the use of saccharin should be prohibited all over India and the sub-committee has approved it. There will be less chances of adulteration. Arrowroot and saccharin, these are the two things which should be eliminated.

An hon. Member: Blotting-paper is used by some ice-cream manufacturers. Is it a fact or not?

Shri P. L. Lamba: I heard that the use of blotting paper for ice-cream was resorted to by one of the ice-cream small producer who was producing so many other things. I heard that in the books of Income-tax he showed as if he had bought the paper for the manufacture of ice-cream He

might have done it for the purpose of dodging income-tax. According my opinion these blotting papers cannot be used. There is a process with which we make an ice-cream. They have to pass through a homogeniser. When it is passing through homogeniser the ice-cream is coming as the If somebody will finished product. not use the homogeniser, when ice-cream freezes, the result of that finished product will not be like that. It will not be an ice-cream. It will be something which people will not eat. It will not be good for the consumption of the human being and it will show a different taste or look. It is wrong to say that blotting paper can be used. It is not possible. It is my view about it.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: In ice-cream people should not use non-permitted colours, should not add certain non-permitted flavours etc. All these things should not be added.

Shri B. R. Kapur: We have suggested these items which should be prohibited. Then there will be no adulteration.

Chairman: They have answered the question. What would you like to prevent or what measures do you suggest to combat adulteration in small manufacturers?

Shri B. R. Kapur: We have already submitted our suggestions.

Shri P. L. Lamba: I am speaking about all these small people. They should be given a proper lecture on the subject so that they may know how they can produce the good quality ice-cream and what should be mixed up in that thing.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Do you think that Government is going to teach everyone of you?

Shri Deckinandan Narayan: Why should not your Association organise this? You do not want the small people to live.

Shri B. R. Kapur: We have done very well in Delhi. The use of colour has been prohibited in Delhi except in one or two cases. The plain ice-cream is very good. Saccharin should be prohibited; aeroroot should be prohibited; the use of colour should be prohibited.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: When was your All India Ice Cream Manufacturers' Association founded? How old is it?

Shri B. R. Kapur: I am working as Secretary since the rules were framed in 1955.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: But the Association dates back to?

Shri B. R. Kapur: Originally it was Delhi Ice Cream Manufacturers' Association. Later on it became an all-India Association.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You are not quite sure when it was founded.

Shri B. R. Kapur: Since the inception of the rules in 1955, I am working as Secretary.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You say you are an all-India Association. At the moment, what is the membership of your Association? How many bodies are there in your Association?

Shri B. R. Kapur: We have got member_s at Bombay, Hyderabad, Poona, Madras, Calcutta, Agra, Lucknow, Dehra Dun, Kanpur, Chandigarh.

Chairman: Straightway you may give the figure.

Shri B. R. Kapur: I have not got the figure with me.

Chairman: You need not worry; he will count the number.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Have you got any supervisory body or controlling body?

Shri B. R. Kapur: Definitely. We have got an advisory body here.

Shrimati V. Vimla Devi: How to adulterate? Advisory body to what purpose?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Can you submit to the Committee if not today but later on, the pieces of advice that you have issued to your members from time to time about the manufacture of ice-cream, since you say you have an advisory body?

Chairman: You may submit to the Committee later on when we are sitting on the 18th.

Shri P. L. Lamba: We usually get together and discuss our problems.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Could you tell the committee as to whether uptodate, any of your members has been penalised for adulteration?

Shri B. R. Kapur: I will just read a few lines on that

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I do not want the advices.

Shri B. R. Kapur: That serves the purpose. We have black-listed the persons who have not agreed to our proposals.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: In a court of law, how many were prosecuted and fined or punished otherwise?

Shri P. L. Lamba: We have not been punished so far. We have been harassed.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: There will be prosecution in the court, once you go to the court. Outside the court harassment may be there.

Shri P. L. Lamba: We had to fight for a long time in the court because the process of investigation or testing was found to be defective.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Are there cases pending at the moment?

Shri B. R. Kapur: The cases are still pending. People have been penalised Rs. 2000 or Rs. 3000 in Delhi.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Without cases.

Shri B. R. Kapur: With cases.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I would like to have a definite answer. Were any members of your Association prosecuted and did any conviction take place?

Shri B. R. Kapur: They have been convicted.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: In those cases, what did the Courts held as regards the adulterants used for ice-cream?

Shri B. R. Kapur: For deficiency of fat. For instance if the standard laid down is 10% it might have been 8% less.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: In all the cases?

Shri B. R. Kapur: Yes.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Yes, No-adulteration?

Shri B. R. Kapur: No.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Do your statistics and your experience show that the consumption of ice-cream in the country is rapidly going up and you are also interested in pushing up the sales?

Shri B. R. Kapur: Definitely.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You also feel or believe that the production of milk is going down in the country?

Shri B. R. Kapur: That question does not arise, because the availability of milk is a different thing.

Shri P. L. Lamba: If milk is not available in sufficient quantity for consumption, then people may compensate it by taking ice-cream, in which we take care to add cream and fat.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: According to you, the supply cannot cope with the demand in the country. You are, in your own words, forced to adulterate ice-cream to boost the quantity.

Shri P. L. Lamba: There is nothing which we can add in that to increase the quantity of ice-cream, because it is pure milk cream and sugar.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I do not say you add, not about any person here, but outside who has the tendency to increase the quantity by adding adulterants, like paper, arrow-root.

Shri P. L. Lamba: It is very difficult. If you want to make ice-cream you should know how to make. In England there is vegetable ice-cream sold. When you taste that ice-cream, you would not be able to make out whether it is made out of pure milk cream. They know the process. But, here if we make ice-cream from arrow-root the taste will differ and it will taste like custard.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: A little while ago you admitted that there have been some convictions in the courts of law. After these convictions took place or during pendency of ceses of even now, have you ever given any thought to the compilation or formulation of a code of conduct for your members with regard to manufacture of ice-cream, a code to be strictly enforced at the risk of social boycott of the members who disregard or violate that?

Shri P. L. Lamba: We get together and we discuss the problems. We try to understand each other's difficulties.

We are twelve manufacturers in Delhi who, for the last one year, are getting the milk-cream tested by Sri Ram Institute. We get this tested by the topmost Institute.

Shri Hari Vishau Kamath: Now, from what I understand and from what you said just now, you have not formulated a complete Code of Conduct advising that kind of a thing. As regards those members who have been convicted after due trial in a court of

law, have you ever thought of a social boycot scheme by which you can expel such members from your association?

Shri P. L. Lamba: There are certain people who are going out of their trade because they could not keep up to the standards. For the last one year, our efforts are getting them together and having the things discussed. We have come to this Committee only for the purpose of pleading our case. Sometimes even the honest people are convicted. As far as the quality is concerned, we always keep upto the standards. It will not be adulterated with any other product. We have visited the places in Bombay, Calcutta etc. and have found that the Kwality which produces the ice cream keeps to the standard.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I thought you came over here as a witness to offer your cooperation to the Committee for eradicating adulteration and this kind of evil. Now you say that you have not come for that purpose.

Shri P. L. Lamba: Because they were not tested properly it was said that there was adulteration. There may be cases which might have been brought before the courts and in accordance with the law they might have been convicted. If the fat percentage is 9.5 the Magistrate has no authority to punish us.

Chairman: Mr. Lamba, please be brief and specific. You have already given sufficient preliminary background. In my opinion the answer should not be as long as the question is.

Shri Hari Vishau Kamath: The question is not so long but the answer is long perhaps. I hope you will also indicate it clearly. What I am driving at is that unless your association should make up its mind to exercise its own inherent powers against the adulterators on the question of expelling such members from the Association. Instead of that you are pleading against the punishment. Your

association should continue its effort to co-operate in the matter of eradicating the evil of adulteration. Why don't your Association debar such of the members from continuing their membership when they are found to have committed an offence. Would you warn them?

Shri B. R. Kapur: I will definitely do so. I shall read what I said in 1960. I have made some suggestions for the smooth working of the Food Adulteration Act. Advisory Committee have laid down certain standards. If they are entrusted with the work of advising the traders. I am sure, the implementation of this Act can be done more effectively.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Don't beat about the bush.

Chairman: Shall we pass to the next witness? If any member wants to put questions he may do so. But he should be brief.

श्री के ० एल० बाल्मीकी : श्रापने अपने मेमोरेंडम में कहा है कि श्राप शासन द्वारा जो पैनल क्लाज रखा जा रहा है उसे रखना नहीं चाहते हैं तो क्या मैं जान सकता हूं कि श्राप श्रपनी श्रसोसियेशन की मार्फत श्राइसकीम श्रादि के तैयार करने में जो मिलावट की जाती है उस को दूर करने के लिए क्या क़दम उठा रहे हैं ?

Shri P. L. Lamba: I think we have replied this question earlier. We have taken precaution to improve our standards. We have also undertaken visits to London, Hong Kong and Italy and when we came back we imparted out knowledge gained in the above places to everybody here.

श्री के एल बाल्मीकी: सवाल दूसरे देशों का नहीं है और हमें इस से कोई सरोकार नहीं है कि वहां पर मिंलावट होती है या नहीं होती है लेकिन क्या यह हक़ीकत नहीं है कि हमारे देश के अन्दर जो आइसकीम तैयार होती है उनमें सिर्फ कलर होता है, बरफ़ होती है और सैकीन अथवा चीनी होती है, दूध तो नाम मात्र को होता है ?

ग्रभी मैंने प्रपने जाती अनुभव पर बतलाना चाहता हूं कि दो महीने पहले मैं मय अपनी फैमिली के मद्रास गया था और एक इंगलिश आइसकीम जिसको कि चाक्लेट आइसकीम बतलाया गया था और जो कि बारह बारह धाने की एक थी वह हमें सर्व की गई लेकिन दरअसल में उसमें सिर्फ कलर, बरफ और सैकीन या चीनी का सम्मिश्रण मालूम होता था, दूध का सिर्फ छीटा सा था.....

डा॰ सुतीला नायर : एक पानी को भी ब्राइसकीम होती है ।

डा॰ पुशीला नायर : यह धोखेबाजी करना है ग्रीर इसके लिए भी सजा की व्यवस्था होनी चाहिए।

Shri B. R. Kapur: In June 1961—I am giving you one example—out of 35 samples taken 32 were declared

adulterated and three were declared as not adulterated. That too was because of the simple reason that the procedure adopted was not in accordance with the international standards and later on prosecutions were withdrawn.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Anyway, out of 35, 32 were found to be adulterated. Whether it is your contention that it was a wrong analysis.

Shri B. R. Kapur: It must be, when the prosecutions were withdrawn.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Out of 35 samples 32 were found to be adulterated. Was it in 1961?

माननीय सदस्य संख्या पूछना चाहते हैं। पहले भापने बतलाया कि वे ३५ थे तो दूसरे सालों भर्यात सन् १९६२ भीर ६३ की संख्या भी बतलाइये कि कितने नमूने लिये गये ?

Shri B. R. Papur: Later on improvement was issued made in regard to analysis in accordance with instructions by Health Ministry.

डा॰ सुशीला नायर : ठीक है ग्राप यह एनफारमेशन बाद में भेज दीजियेगा ।

Shri B. R. Kapur: I can collect the figures. But these are with individual manufacturers.

श्री के एल बाल्मीकी : क्या ग्राप महज श्रंग्रेजी ढंग की ग्राइसकीम ही बनाते हैं या ग्रापको यह घड़ें में हिला कर जो कुल्फ़ी तैयार की जाती है उसके बारे में भी कुछ श्रनुभव है ?

डा॰ सुशीला नायर : यह लोग महज् माइसकीम बनाते हैं, कुल्फ़ी नहीं बनाते हैं।

श्री कं ० एस० बाल्मीकी : क्या श्रापको पता है कि कुल्फ़ी में भारी माला में मिलावट होती है ? भी वी० सार० कपूर: उसे तो हम वैन करा रहे हैं।

Shri Niranjan Singh: I want to know whether your Association represents the Kwality Ice Cream organization for the whole of India?

Shri B. R. Kapur: Whosoever may be, that organization is our member. Whether he is at Agra or in Bhopal or anywhere, and not only Kwality Ice Cream, we have Madhu Ice Cream at Agra. They are not Kwality people, but they are members of our Association, this being an organized Association for the Industry.

Chairman: Do they agree to the Code?

Shri B. R. Kapur: They agree to whatever Code we have.

Shri Deckinandan Narayan: You have branches all over India, Whether they are maintaining the standards. Have you any inspectors or supervisors who go there and certify that they are maintaining the standards?

Shri P. L. Lamba: They are quite good to look after the standards of their ice-cream In the cities, the ice-cream has been tested and we have found it is always upto the standard.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: Are you or your association sure that all the branches are maintaining the standard throughout India?

Shri B. R. Kapur: Definitely. Sometimes, some one of us is being sent to Agra and Calcutta to give advice. Whoever needs our guidance we go there and help the industries to come upto the standards as and when necessary.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Do you take any action against any member of your association who is convicted?

Shri P. L Lamba: The Kwality, Hyderabad was fined Rs. 2500 only three or four months back. We have put an advertisement in the local

papers in southern India saying that that has no concern with the Kwality organisation. I would like to point out that we wanted to get this Kwality registered but it is very difficult to get it registered according to law. So, what we are doing is that we are getting some insignia registered within the next six months. So far as the Kwality people are concerned, insignia will be given to them if they maintain those standards.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I am not concerned only with Kwality. There are hundred and one names. They are all your members. If any member of your association is found guilty, what action do you take against him? Do you throw him out of your association?

Shri B. R. Kapur: We are definitely mending them. Our supervisory staff is going there to help them.

Shri S. S. Mariswami: Are we to take it that so far you have not taken any action against those who were convicted?

Shri P. L. Lamba: We have taken action against the one, that is, the Kwality, Hyderabad.

Shri S. S. Mariswami: That is for safeguarding your own interests

Dr. G. S. Melkote: Is it a fact that particularly during the summer season, the quantity of milk that is necessary in the manufacture of ice-cream is not sufficiently available?

Shri P. L. Lamba: The demand has not gone up so much that the milk in adequate quantity is not available. The consumption of milk on this product is negligible. I can only say that the consumption of our icecream is not so high.

Dr. G. S. Melkote: Is it a fact that you are not able to get milk of standard quality?

Shri B. R. Mapur: The transportion question has been solved. The Anand Dairy people can send us the milk. They have got surplus milk They

can transport it. They have got transport vans. We in Delhi are importing from Jaipur.....

Chairman: I have requested you to be precise.

Dr. G. S. Melkote: My point is this. When the milk is available both in required quantity and of standard quality, then where is the question of sub-standard quality of ice-cream?

Shri B. R. Kapur: The ice-cream is always of standard quality. It is only by chance that there is some defect found in its analysis.

Dr. G. S. Melkote: If there is any case where sub-standard quality of icecream has been found, the trouble starts at your centre and not at the hands of the milk vendor. You said that milk is available both in required quantity and of good quality. So, if the ice-cream is found to be sub-standard, then the whole fault lies with you and not with anybody else.

Shri B. R. Kapur: Agreed. But in the report of the ad hoc committee on quality control, it is mentioned on p. 35:

".... to eliminate any accidental error...."

Chairman: I have requested you off and on that you must be precise and not to read from anywhere.

Shri B. R. Kapur: The word 'substandard' comes from accidental error. As to how accidental error occurs, that has to be decided.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Whether it is accidental or whether it is deliberate, if the poison is added to something, the fact is not going to be different. Therefore, adulteration is adulteration. We cannot go into the intentions of the man who adulterated it. The adulteration will have to be taken note of and acted upon.

Shri P. L. Lamba: With regard to murder, the murder is a murder but there is a culpable homicide also. It is the same thing here.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That the Magistrate will take note of.

Shri B. R. Kapur: For that very reason, we have requested that the definition of 'adulteration' as given in Sec. 402 and Section 306 of the United States Federal Food Drug and Cosmetic Act, 1938 be taken into consideration.

Chairman: We have understood your point. The Committee will give thought to it.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: In your Memorandum you have stated:

"(2) that when the product is declared adulterated and the adulteration is in no way injurious to health."

Then you further say:

"(3) that when the product is declared sub-standard with variations of the original constituents of the product and the resultant product is in no way injurious to health."

How do you explain this? How do you reconcile between the two?

Shri B. R. Kapur: In England, there was the question . . .

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: I am asking about your definition.

Shri B. R. Kapur: In England, if water was added to the milk, it will be treated as injurious to health. The law says, if water is added to milk, it will be treated as injurious to health. We can also define something that some of these additions may well be treated as injurious to health. That will serve the purpose.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: Do you mean to suggest that if there is something other than the original constituent, then that should also be allowed?

Shri B. E. Kapur: Not at all; it will be treated as adulterated. The original constituent should be allowed in variation and let that variation be put down.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The original constitutent of milk has water in it. Should we allow them to get the gutter water and put it into the milk? You will say, after all, the original constituent water is there.

Shri B. R. Kapur: If it becomes injurious to health. We have got a clause relating to insanitary conditions. If there is a certain amount of bacteria, the ice-cream will be rejected.

Chairman: We have noted all your points. On behalf of the Members Committee I thank you for the information that you have given to the Committee.

भी एनं ली ब्रिया : मैं बड़ीया से भाषा हूं। चूंकि मैं भंग्रेजी में नहीं बोल सकता हूं इस वास्ते मुझे हिन्दी में बीलने की भाजा दी जानी चाहिये ।

सभापति महोदया : कुछ मेम्बर साहबं हैं जो हिन्दी नहीं समझते हैं और अंगर आप अंग्रेजी में बोल सकते हैं तो अंग्रेजी में बोलें। यह अच्छा होगा ।

भी एन० सी० बूमिया: मैं ठीक तरह से नहीं बोस पाऊंगा ।

पहली बात तो यह है कि जो प्रेस नोट भापने इशू किया था वह छोटे छोट मखबारों में नहीं छपा, सभी मखबारों में नहीं छपा जिस की वजह से पता नहीं चल सका...

भी यू॰ एम॰ त्रिवेदी : टाइम्ज माफ इंडिया में नहीं पढ़ा था क्या ?

डा॰ सुशील। नायर: तोन सो श्रवारीं में यह नया था। रैडियो पर इसके बारे में एनाउंसमेंट हुई थी। इसके बाबजूद भी श्रगर भाप लोगों को पता नहीं चला तो बड़े दुख की बात हैं। श्राप लोगों को ज्यादा ध्यान रखना चाहिये। भी एन० सी० बूनिया : बड़ौदा के जो दो बड़े मखबार हैं जिन की पचास हजार के करीब सेल है उनमें से किसी में नहीं छपा है।

भी यू० एम० त्रिबेबी : ग्रहमदाबाद के ग्रखबारों में नहीं छपा है ।

श्री एन० सी० बूमिया : बड़ौदा के श्रखबारों की बात मैं कर रहा हूं।

श्रभी जो विचार यहां व्यक्त किया गया है कि सौ गुनाहगारों को फांसी दे दी जाए लेकिन एक भी इनोसेंट श्रादमी को नहीं मिलनी चाहिये, उसको मैं बहुत एप्रिशियेट करता हूं। श्रपने मेमोरेंडम के तीसरे प्वाइंट में हमने कहा है कि जो सैम्पल लिये जाते हैं उन सैम्पल्ज के जो रिजल्ट हैं वे सास साल शौर डेढ़ साल बाद शाप्त होते हैं। ऐसा नहीं होना चाहिये। रिजल्ट जो है उसका हमें तीन चार दिन में ही पता चल जाना चाहिये। हमें बता दिया जाना चाहिये कि हमारा सैम्पल पास हुआ या फेल हुआ है। इससे हमें बड़ो सहूलियत हो जाएगी शौर अगर फेल हुआ हो तो हम आगे उसको इम्पूव कर सकते हैं।

चौथा प्वाइट हमने यह बताया है कि ग्राज जो भादमी को भ्रच्छा खाने को नहीं मिलता हैं तो कैटल को भ्रच्छा चारा कैसे मिल सकता है। भ्रापने भैस के दूध के लिए छ: परसेंट का फैट कंटेंट रखा है। चूंकि जानवरों को भ्रच्छा खाने को नहीं मिलता है, इस वास्ते हमारी प्रार्थना है कि इस को घटा कर भ्रापको पांच परसेंट कर देना चाहिए। गुजरात के बारे में तो भ्रापको मालूम ह है कि वहां बहुत मुश्किल का सामना करना पड़ रहा है। इससे कम भ्रगर फैट कंटेंट पाया जाए तो उसको सजा मिलनी चाहिये भीर भ्रागर इससे उपर पाया जाए तो नहीं मिलनी चाहिये।

एक हमारी यह शिकायत भी है कि अब दूध का सैम्पल लिया जाता है तो उसको बफेलोमित्क समझ लिया जाता है। इंस्पेक्टर जब माता है तो वह पूछता तक नहीं कि गाय के का दूध है या भैंस का है। वह समझ लेता है कि भैंस का ही दूध है, फिर चारे वह गाय का दूध ही क्यों न हो। ग्रगर हम कहते भी है कि यह तो गाय का दूध है तो भी वह कोई परवाह नहीं करता है भीर भैंस का दूध ही लिख कर एनेलेसिस के लिए भेज देता है।

डा० सुक्तीला नायर : किस तरह से वह एसरटेन करेगा आप बता सकते हैं कि किस का वह दूध है ? फैट कंटैंट में दोनों के फर्क होता है ।

श्री एन० सी० ब्रिमया: हमारी खुद की जो लेबोरेटरी है वहां पर जो टिस्टिंग का रिजल्ट निकलता है उसमें और बड़ौदा की जो टैस्टिंग लेबोरेटरी है, उसके रिजल्ट में फर्क निकलता है। दोना के रिजल्ट भ्रलम भ्रलगहोते हैं।

डा॰ सुशीला नायर : ग्राप ग्रपील कर सकते हैं ।

श्री एन० सी० बूनिया : हमारी लेबोरेटरी में तो सैम्पल पास हो जाता है लेकिन दूसरी में पास नहीं होता है। कोर्ट में जब केस जाता है तो पनिशमेंट हो जाती है। हुम अपील में कलकता लेवॉरेटरी में सैम्पल प्रेज सकते है। वहां पर टैस्टिंग का जो रिजल्ट निकलता है, उसमें भी फर्क होता है। गाय का लिया गया था भीर अडीदा में उसमें दो परसेंट फैट कंटैट निकला ग्रीर ११.६ एसे नेप निकला । कलकता की लेबोरेटरी में दो का वह छः होगा भीर ११.६ का ४.६ रह गया। दूसरा सैम्पल जो लिया गया उसका रिजल्ट बड़ौटा की लेबोरेटरी में घीर कलकता की लेबोरेटरी में जो निकला वह भी मैं भ्रापको बतलाता हूं। बड़ौदा में उस में ४.२ फैट कंटैंट निकला भीर १० ४ एसे प निकला

भीर जब वह कलकता गया तो ७.७ फट हो गया भीर ६.२ एसेनेप रह गया।

डा॰ सुशीला नायर: एनलिस्ट साहब इसके बारे मैं प्रापको बतला देते हैं। वह यहां बैठे हए हैं।

Dr. Sushila Nayar: What has Dr. Mitra got to say on this?

Dr. S. N. Mitra: Particularly in the case of milk products, there are some variations from the results of the Public Analyst. But I must say that the variations are not large. May I point out one thing with the background of my long experience in this line that samples are also tampered with. I do not want to give any names in this connection but I have noticed that the samples left with the vendors pass the test whereas the food inspector's samples fail. This is particularly so in the case of some Southern States. Shri Kamath asks me whether they are not sealed. 1 can tell him that the seal also could be changed and put back so as to make it appear as original. There are cases of samples collected from the Southern States where the vendor's sample was found to be absolutely genuine. Then I wrote to the Magistrate but he said that the food inspector's sample was absolutely adulterated. You know the implication of that and the meaning of that. After that I am very glad to report to the Committee that in many of the Southern States the Magistrates are sending both the samples. In all such cases it has been found that the vendor's part is genuine and the food inspector's part is adulterated and that agrees with the public analyst's report. There may be some error in the public analyst's report also because nobody is infallible.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Whose is genuine and whose is adulterated?

Dr. S. N. Mitra: The vendor's part is genuine and the food inspector's part is adulterated; and the latter agrees with the public analyst's.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: In other words, the vendor has tampered with it?

Dr. S. N. Mitra: Yes, in the majority of cases the vendor tampers with it.

An Hon. Member: And vice versa.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The vice versa. can also be true, but this is what the Institute has found.

Dr. S. N. Mitra: Confidentially I can give the names of some of the States where these tampered samples are obtained most. I have statistics of all the States. It is not the case with all States, but there are some States wherefrom we get tampered samples. And further, tampering is done in a particular type of packing. I have written to the Director-General also.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We have understood the point.

Dr. S. N. Mitra: These sample phials in many cases are closed with a glass stopper. I have advised the use of welded cork instead, and then sealing of it. Then there is lesser chance of tampering.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The matter raised here is serious in all conscience. I would like to know whether, when the samples are taken, they are not sealed, and if they are sealed, with whose seal they are sealed, and if the seal is tampered with—that itself is an offence, and for that offence—nobody should hold a brief either for the food inspector or the vendor—who is responsible for tampering with this and how it is done?

Chairman: That is another offence again.

भी एन० सी० बूमिया : जब साम्पल लिया जाता है तो तीन बोतलों में लिया जाता है, दो बोतलों इंस्पेक्टर ले जाता है भीर एक वेंडर के पास रहती है। जो दो इंस्पेक्टर ले जाता है उन में से एक म्युनिसिपेलिटी में रहती है भीर वह जकरत होने पर कज़कत्ता

भेजी जाती है, वेंडर की बोतल कलकत्ता नहीं भेजी जाती ।

डा॰ सुत्रीला नायर : वर् बता रहे हैं कि मंजिस्ट्रेट ने वेंडर की ग्रोर इंस्पेक्टर दोनों की बोतलें भेजी तो इंस्पेक्टर की बोतल में मिलावट पायी गयी और वेंडर की बोतल में नहीं पायी गयी । इससे वह यह ग्रर्थ लगाते हैं कि वेंडर ने ग्रपने नमूने को टेम्पर किया । इसीलिए कामय साहब ने कहा है कि इसके लिए तो वेंडर पर दूसरा चार्ज भी लग सकता है ।

नी कं ० एत० बास्मीकी : वह तो साम्पल ले कर ग्रलग रख देते हैं । फिर टैम्परिंग कैसे हो सकता है ।

डा॰ सुशीला नायर : एक साम्पल वेंडर के पास भी रहता है। उसके बारे में कहा गया है।

भी के एल बास्मीकी : बाज बाज जगह तो उस साम्पल में भी टेम्परिंग हो जाता है जो हैल्य माफ़िसर के पास रहता है ।

डा० सुझीला शायर : उन्होंने भ्रपना अनुभव बताया है। फिर हम यहां गवाह से इनफारमेंशन लेने के लिए बैठे हैं न कि देने के लिए।

भी एनं सी० बूमियाः जी साम्पल कलकत्ता भेजा जाता हैवडु म्युनिसिपेलिटी का होता है वेंडर कार्चुमहीं।

जा० कुंबीला भायर : कहीं मैजिस्ट्रेट ने वेंडर का भी भेजा होगा । उसके बारे में कहा गया है ।

संभापति वहाँवेव : चांन साम्पल होते हैं और ये कलगं अलगं रखे जाते हैं। जब दो की जीचा गवा ती पाया गया कि बेंडर के में टैम्परिंग था। भी एन० सी० बूमिबा : टेम्परिंग तों म्युनिसिपलटी के साम्पल में भी हो सकता है ।

सभापति महोदवा : उनको ऐसा बनुभव हुम्रा है जो उन्होंने बताया ।

भी एन० सी० बूमिया: घी के बारे में पहले एक साम्पल को गलत बताया गया, बाद को उसे ठीक बताया गया। ऐसा भी हो चुका है।

डा० सुझीला भाषर : झापका कहना है कि सील ग्रन्छी होनी चाहिये जो कि टैम्पर न की का सके। यह देखना होगा कि यह कैसे किया जाए ।

श्री एन० ती० बूमिया: तीलं तो बहुत भ्रच्छी तरह की जाती है। मेरा मतलव यह है कि जैसे ब्यापारी को सजा होती है उसी तरह एनेलिस्ट को उसकी गलती के लिए सजा होनी चाहिए।

बा० सुकीला नायर : जहां जान बूझ कर ऐसा किया जाएगा वहां सजा उसे भी होगी ।

भी एन॰ ती॰ बूमिया : दूसरा मेरा कहना यह है कि चार साम्पल लिए जाएं।

डॉ॰ सुशौला नायर: इससे क्या फायदा नंजिस्ट्रेट तो आक्के एनेलिस्ट को महत्व नहीं देणा । वैसे आप अपने संतोष के लिए उसी चीज का अपना साम्पल ले कर अपनी लेखारेटरी में अंचवा सकते हैं।

श्री एन० सी॰ बूलिका: एक बार मैंने खुद ग्रंपने हाथ से दूध निकाला ग्रीर उसके तीन साम्पल १५ रुपए फीस दे कर पब्लिक एनेलिस्ट से जचवाए । तो तीनों में ग्रलग मलग फैट पाया गया, एक में पर सेंट, दूसरे में ६ पर सेंट, तीसरे में पाच पर सेंट । उसमें कोई टैम्परिंग नहीं किया गया था । मैंने जांच करने के लिए साम्पल जंचवाए थे । Dr. Sushila Nayar: Can there be that much difference, Dr. Mitra? Three samples are sent, and one was 8 per cent, another was 6 per cent, and the third was 5 per cent. From the same stuff three samples he has sent to the laboratory.

Dr. S. N. Mitra: I have heard of those reports. I do not remember all the data obtained in my loboratory. It should not happen unless the samples are changed. All I can say as an expert is that the samples are different. So much mistake we analysts cannot make. There may be some mistake in the sampling also by the food inspector.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: He is not making allegations against you. He says that three samples were taken out of the same stuff, and from the same laboratory three different results were reported.

डा॰ सुत्रीला नायर : हो सकता है कि पहले साम्पल में ऊपर का दूध हो, बाद वाले में उससे नीचे का और तीसरे में बिल्कुल नीचे का और उनको टैस्ट करने से पहले हिलाया न गया हो, इससे तीन अलग अलग नतीजे निकले । यह चीज हो सकती है । इसमें एनालिस्ट का दोष नहीं है ।

श्री एत० सी० बूसिया : हमारे दूध का एक साम्पल फैल हो गया था । इसलिए मैंने ये साम्पल जांचने के लिये ठीक से ले कर भेजे थे।

Dr. S. N. Mitra: The milk must be mixed thoroughly before the sample is taken.

श्री एत॰ सी॰ झूमिया : ग्रागे घी के बारे में हमारे दूसरे साथी बोलने वाले हैं। मुझे यह भी कहना है कि हम जब प्रपत्ता साम्पल जो कि इंस्पेक्टर हमारे पास छोड़ जाता है। पब्लिक हैल्य लेबारेटरी को टैस्ट के लिए देते हैं तो हम से कहा जाता है कि इसकी सील तोड़ कर दूध दे दो तो हम टैस्ट कर सकते हैं। बगैर सील तोड़े वे उसे टैस्ट करने को नहीं लेते।

Dr. S. N. Mitra: Give me those data also.

Shri N. C. Mumiya: I will send it.

हम चाहते हैं कि ग्रगर तील्ड बोटल्स पब्लिक हैल्थ लेबोरेटरी में प्राइवेट लोगों द्वारा सौंपी जांय तो पब्लिक हैल्थ लेबोरेटरी के ब्राफसरान को सील्ड सैम्पुल के रिसीट के बारे में सर्टिफ़ाई कर देना चाहिए....

डा॰ मुझीला नायर : उनको तो यह मालूम नहीं है कि सील के साथ किसी ने टेम्पर किया है अथवा नहीं इसलिए वह इसे कैसे सिंटफ़ाई कर सकते हैं? वह सील्ड सैम्पल की आपको कैसे रसीद दे सकते हैं इसीलिए वह यह इंसिस्ट करते हैं कि आप उनके सामने उस बोतल की सील तोड़िये और वह उसमें से आप की मौजूदगी में सैम्पल अरते हैं दो नमने अपने पास रख लेते हैं और एक आप के पास छोड़ देते हैं। आप के सामने वह उन्हें सील कर देते हैं और आप चाहें तो उन पर उस बक्त सील या हस्ताक्षर अथवा निशानी लगा सकते हैं जिससे कि आप को यह शक न रहे कि उन्होंने बाद में कुछ उसमें गड़बड़ की है।

श्री धी एन० ती० बृजिया : इसके भलावा हम यह भी चाहते हैं कि यह ऐक्ट भीर उससे सम्बंधित रूल्स रीजनल लैंग्वेजेज में फीली विस्त्रिस्पूट किये जांग ।

स्रभापति सहोदया : ठीक है श्राप ने श्रपने मेमोरेंडम में भी इसके लिए कहा है।

श्री एन॰ सी॰ बूमिया : दूसरी हमारी प्रार्थना है कि हम जो एैगमार्क की सील का लगा हुआ सामान बेचते हैं और उसमें से सैम्पल लिया जाता हैं तो उसके वास्ते हम दुकानदारों को क्यों पिनैलाइज किया जाता है?

डा॰ सुशीला नायर : यह एँगमार्क भ्राप बखुबी जानते हैं नकली बना लिये जाते हैं। भीर जनता को एँगमार्क की नकली सील लगा कर घोखा दिया जाता है।

श्री एन० सी बूमिया: दूसरे हमारे दही का जब इंस्पैक्टर सँम्पुल भरने ग्राता हैं तो वह दही का सँम्पुल पाना के साथ लेता हैं श्रीर पानी के साथ दही का सँम्पुल भरने का फल यह होता है कि हमारा दही फेल हो जाय। हम इसके लिए कहते भी हैं कि पानी दही के साथ मत रो लेकिन वह नहीं मानते हैं।

डा० सुकीला नायर : भ्राप को इंस्पेक्टर से यह चीज कहनी चाहिए ।

श्री एन० सी० बूमिया : हम लोग मला इंस्पेक्टर से झगड़ कर कहां रह सबते हैं? वह श्राये दिन सुवह शाम उस हालत में हमारे सैम्पुल लिया करेगा ।

डा॰ सुन्नीला नायर: ग्रगर ग्राप ग्रपना घंधा ईमानदारी के साथ कर रहें हैं ग्रीर मिलावट नहीं करते हैं तो ग्राप को इसका क्या डर है, लेने दीजिये उनको सैम्पुल?

श्री एन॰ सी॰ बिनया : दूसरे दूध के जो नमूने भरे जाते हैं तो फड इस्पेक्टर्स वगैर शौपकीपर को रेफर किये हुए सैम्पुल पर बफैलो मिल्क लिख धेते हैं । दरअसल नमूना लेने वाले अधिकारी को दुकानदार से पूछ कर उस पर काऊ मिल्क, बफैलो मिल्क या मिक्सैड मिल्क आदि लिख देना चाहिए ताकि ऐनालाइजर फैट परसेंटजेंज का रेजल्ट ठीक से निकाल सके । इसके अलावा हमारी यह भी प्रार्थना है कि पुअर कैटिल फुड को देखते हुए बफैलो मिल्क का मौजूदा स्टेन्डर्ड एक परसेंट कम कर दिया जाय जब कि मिक्सैड मिल्क का स्टेंडर्ड 4.5 परसेंट रहने दिया जाये।

सभापति महोदया : यह सब बातें धाप के मेमोरेंडम में मौजूद हैं इसलिए इनको दुबारा कहना भावश्यक नहीं है । श्री तुलशी बास जायव : ग्राप ने ग्रपने मेमोरेंडम में नम्बर पांच पर लिखा है कि दुकानदार या उसके एथोराइज्ड रिग्नेजेंटेटिव की गैरहाजिरी में सैम्पुल न लिया जाय तो यह चीज मेरी समझ में नहीं ग्राई इसको जरा समझा दीजियेगा ।

भी एन० सी० ब्रिमया : हमारे जो नौकर होते हैं वह प्रमाणिक नहीं होते हैं।

श्री तुलशी बास जाषव : क्या भाप जो दुकान में भ्रपने नौकर रखते हैं उनको भ्राप जिम्मेदार नहीं समझते हैं?

श्री एन० सी० बूमिया : जी नहीं । उनको हम इतना जिम्मेदार नहीं समझते हैं। इसीलिए हमने यह प्रार्थना की हैं कि शौपश्रोनर या उस के एथोराइज्ड प्रतिनिधि की गैरहाजिरी में सैम्पूल न भरा जाय ।

Shri B. R. Kapur: The coaltar dyes which are being used in sweets.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Are coaltar dyes permitted in milk?

Shri B. R. Kapur: In sweets.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Have you submitted any memorandum for the consideration of the Committee?

Shri B. R. Kapur: No.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: We have not got any memorandum from him. How are we to know what he wants.

Chairman: He represents some association which has submitted its memorandum. Besides that, if he has to say anything about the amendments he may say it.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: He should first submit this memorandum; if he has to supplement his submission, then he can be allowed.

Chairman: You may give a copy of what you are reading from. If there

are any additional points, you may say.

Shri B. B. Kapur: My second suggestion is about chillies.

Chairman: Yesterday, we dealt with kiryana people and they have dealt with it.

Shri B. R. Kapur: My third suggestion is about khoya. The fat content has been laid down as ten per cent. The khoya prepared from cow's milk will have less fat content than the khoya prepared from the buffalo's milk.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That is not quite true. Cow's milk may give less quantity of khoya compared to buffalo's milk. But unless you use skimmed milk, khoya content cannot go down....

श्री तुलशीदास जायव : ग्राप ने ग्रपने मेमोरेडम में सब दोष या तो सरकार के फूड इंस्पैक्टरों या ग्रपने नौकरों ग्रादि पर डाला है। ग्रापकी एसोसियेशन के कितने मेम्बर हैं? क्या उन में से कोई एडल्ट्रेशन नहीं कर सकते हैं?

श्री एन० सी० बूमिया: हमारी एसोसि-येशन के २०० मेम्बर हैं। हमारी एसोसिये-शन का काम यह हैं कि देहातों से जो दूध श्राता हैं, हमारे इंस्पैक्टर यह चैक करते हैं कि उस दूध की ग्रेविटी श्रीर फैट कन्टेन्ट कितना हैं। ग्रगर इंस्पेक्टर को वे कम मालूम हों, तो उस दूध को इस्ट्राय कर दिया जाता हैं श्रीर वह सिटी में नहीं श्राने दिया जाता हैं। हमारी छोटी सी एसोसियेशन हैं। हमारे पास लैक्टोमीटर के लिए साधन नहीं हैं।

श्री तुलशीदास जायवः क्या ग्राप के २०० मेम्बरों में से कोई एडल्ट्रेशन नहीं करता है ? क्या उन में से किसी पर केस हुए हैं ग्रीर उन को सजा हुई हैं ? **भी एन० सी० वृत्रियाः** जी हां।

भी तुलशीदास जायब: प्राप के मैमो-रेंडम से ऐसा मालूम होता है कि घाप का कोई दोप नहीं है, बल्कि सरकार के कानून से भीर दूसरी तरह से भाप को तकलीफ होती है। हम भापकी तकलीफ़ को दूर करने के लिए बैठे हैं? क्या भाप हमारी तक्लीफ़ को दूर करने के लिए कोई सुझाब दे सकते हैं?

भी एन॰ सी॰ बूमिया: मेरा सुझाव यह है कि जब देहातों से दूध खरीदा जाये, तो उसकी जांच कर के उसको सीटी में धाने दिया जाये। यह जिम्मेदारी व्यापारियों पर डाल दी जाये।

डा॰ सुशीला नायर : अगर ट्रेड यह निश्चय कर ले कि हम शुद्ध दूध और घी देंगे, तो यह काम बहुत आसानी से हो सकता है । अगर ट्रेड इस में सहयोग नहीं देती है और उस के रिप्रेजेन्टेटिव केलब यह ही सोचते हैं कि ट्रेड को कानून से कैसे बचाया जाये, तो यह काम बड़ा कठिन हो जाता है ।

श्री तुलशीदास जायव : भाप ने अपने मेमोरेंडम के १७वें भनुच्छेद में लिखा है कि जो भ्रच्छा काम करता है, उस को रिवार्ड देना चाहिए । क्या भ्राप समझते हैं कि भ्राप की एसोसियेशन के २०० मेम्बरों ने भच्छा काम किया है, इसलिए उन को बढ़गीश देनी चाहिए?

श्री एन० सी० बूमिया: हमारा मतलब यह है कि ग्रगर उन के दस सैम्पल लिये जायें ग्रौर वे पास हो जायें ग्रौर ग्रगर उस का ग्यारहवां सैम्पल फेल हो जाये, तो उस पर प्रासीक्यूशन नहीं होना चाहिए।

भी तुलशीबास जावव : ग्राप के मेमो-रेंडम के छठे भनच्छेद में लिखा है :

"The sanitary and food inspectors understand that milk means

milk of buffalo and without referring to the shop-keeper they write buffalo milk".

क्या इंस्पैक्टर को यह बात मालूम नहीं है कि वह दूसरा मिल्क है ?

भी एन० सी० बूमियाः उस को व्यापारी को पूछ लेना चाहिए।

श्री तुलशीदास जाभवः स्या वह बगैर स्राप से पूछे दूध ले जाता है ?

भी एन० सी० बूमियाः वह उस को बफ़ैलो मिल्क कहकर एग्जामिन कराता है।

सभापित महोदयाः क्या भाप का यह कर्त्तव्य नहीं है कि भाप उस को बतायें कि यह गाय, बकरी या बफ़ैलो का मिल्क हैं?

श्री एन श्री वृमियाः वे मानते नहीं हैं। व कहते हैं कि बोर्ड लगाना चाहिए।

की देवकीनन्वन नारावण : क्या ग्राप की एसोसियेशन एक रजिस्टर्ड बाडी है ? क्या ग्राप डायरेक्ट एग्रीकल्टरिस्ट्स से बूध लेते हैं या को-ग्रापसेटिव सोसायटी से ?

श्री एन० सी० बूमिया: हमारी एसो-सियेशन रिजस्टर्ड बाडी है। अभी हमारी को-आपरेटिव सोसायटी नहीं बनी है। हम ने देहातों में बनाने की कोशिश की थी, लेकिन औरों का कम्पीटीशन हो जाता है। अगर वे आधे पानी का बूध से कर आते हैं, तो अच्छे दूध का भाव देना पड़ता है।

श्री देवकीनन्वन नारायण : ग्रानम्द में को-श्रापरेटि सोसाइटीज से हजारों मन दूध लिया जाता है । ग्राप ऐसा क्यों नहीं कर सकते हैं ?

भी एन० सी० बूमियाः हम ने कोशिश जरूर की, लेकिन हम सक्सेसफुल नहीं हुए।

डा० सुशीसन नायर ः अगर आनंत्र सक्सेसफुल हो सकता है, तो आप भी हो सकके हैं। श्री चन्तू आर्च प्रोचीकाला: मानंद डेरी को मानोपली दी गई है । उन के स्राज्यका कोई बाहर दूध नहीं भेज सकता है। वे जैसे चाहें, मणना धंन्या करसकते हैं।

डा० सुशीला नायर : धानंद को पहले दिन हो मानोपाली मिल गई । उन्होंने शुद्ध दूध पहुंचाने का रास्ता निकाला और धपनी रेपुटेशन बनाली । बाद में उन को सब सुविधायें मिलीं । धगर भाप भी वैसे ही मेहनत करेंगे , तो धाप को भी सफलता मिलेगी । जनता तो सुद्ध दूध चाहती हैं ।

भी क्सक्य: आपने ज़िका है कि मिक्स्ड मिल्क । वह कौन सा होता है ।

भी एन० तीं० यूनियाः गाय का भीर भींस का, दोनों का मिला हुमा ।

श्री बसवन्तः फैट किस में कितनी होती है ?

श्री एन० सी० वृश्विया: भैंस के दूध में ६ परसट श्रौर गाय के में साढ़े तीन परसैंट ।

भी तुलझीवाल जामच : ये जो २०० मेम्बर हैं ये कस्टमर्ज हैं या बेचने वाले हैं ?

भी एम० सी० मू नियाः वेचने वाले हैं।

श्री यमुना प्रसाद मंडल : जो दूध ग्राप के पास ग्राप्ता है, उसके सैम्पल ग्राप चैक करते हैं ?

श्री एन० सी० बूमिया: हमारे यहां ऐसा है कि एक आदमी चार आने, आठ आने या रूपये में उसको लेता है। यह उसकी मजदूरी होती हैं लाने की। हम दूध को कभी देखते भी नहीं हैं। वहां पर जो आदमी कलैक्ट करता है वह कलैक्ट करने की दलाली लेता है। कलैक्ट जब होता है तो एक मजदूर उसको ले आता है।

डा॰ सुशीला बाबर व्यों सैम्मल नहीं उसका लेना चाहिये। श्री एन० सी० बूमिया : ट्रांजिट में नहीं लेना चाटिये।

डा॰ सुक्तीला नायर: ग्रापका नौकर जा कर दूध लाता है तो फिर क्यों उसका सैम्पल न लिया जाए ?

श्री एन० सी० बूनिया: वह हमारा नौकर नहीं होता है । वह कैरियर होता है । ग्राज एक ग्रादमी होता है तो कल बूसरा होता है । ग्रलग ग्रलग ग्रादमी होते हैं हर रोज ।

डा॰ सुशीला नायर : अगर वह आपके बिहाफ पर ला रहा है तो उसके जिम्मेदार आप हैं, साफ बात है ।

श्री यसुना प्रसाद मंडल ः जो झापकी एसोसिएशन का नाम है उस से स्पष्ट है कि झाप प्योर मिल्क सप्लाई करते हैं, झापके यहां एडलट्रेशन नहीं होता है । क्या यह ठीक है ?

श्री एन० सी० बूमिया: हमारा एम यही है कि प्योर मिल्क लोगों को मिले। लेकिन इस में हमें अभी तक पूरी कामयाबी नहीं मिली है।

भी यमुना प्रसाद मंडल : जो एडलट्रेटिड मिल्क होता है, इम्प्योर मिल्क होता है उसका ग्राप क्या करते हैं ?

श्री एत० सी० बूमिया : उसको हम फेंक देते हैं।

श्री यमुना प्रसाद मण्डल: श्रापने कहा है कि कलकत्ता लैंबोरेटरी में जो फीस बार्ज की जाती है वह पचास परसेंट कम कर दी जाए ? पूरी फीस ग्राप न दें तो उस से क्या फर्क पड़ेगा ? क्यों ग्राप चाहते हैं कि उसकी ग्राग्नी कर दिया जाए ?

874 (Aii) LS-8.

श्री एन० सी० बूनिया: एक बात तो यह है कि लोकल लैबोरेटरी का जो रिजल्ट है वह कलकत्ता लैबोरेटरी के रिजल्ट से भिन्न होता है। हर गरीब श्रादमी ग्रगर श्रपना सैम्पल कलकत्ता भेज कर चैक करवाना चाहें तो नहीं करवा सकता है। जिस तरह से श्रापनें एजुकेशन फी कर दी है, उसी तरह से इसको भी श्राप फी कर दें ताकि गरीबों को राहत मिले।

डा॰ सुझीला नायर: एजुकेशन से इसका ताल्लुक नहीं है । जो ट्रेडर हैं, उनके पास इतना पैसा तो होता ही है कि एपीलेट लैबोरेटरी में वे जा सकें । जब तक तीन चार रिजनल लैबोरेटरीज नहीं बनती हैं, तब तक उनको कलकत्ता जाना ही होगा ।

श्री यसना प्रसाद मंडल: ग्रापने यह भी कहा है कि रिजनल लैंगुएज में रूल्ज फी डिस्ट्रीक्यूट हों । ग्राप इनका ग्रनुवाद करवा कर ग्रपने मैम्बरों को क्यों नहीं दे देते हैं?

डा॰ सुतीला नायर: ग्राप समझ सकते हैं उसको । लीगल लैंगुएज में जरा फ्रंक हो जाएगा। कोर्टतो ग्रोरिजिनल लगुएज देखेगी तर्जुमा नहीं देखेगी । ग्राप ग्रपने लाभ के लिए उसका तर्जुमा कर सकते हैं।

सभापति महोदया : समरी उसकी कर सकते हैं।

श्री एन सी० बूनियाः वह हम कर लेंगे ।

श्री के एल बाल्मीकी : जो दूध इकट्ठा भ्राप करवाते हैं, वह इंडिविजुम्रल्ख से ले कर करवाते हैं या ठेकेदारों की मार्फत करवाते हैं?

भी एन० सी० बूमिया : मलग मलग प्रोड्यसर से ले कर इकट्ठा करते हैं। **भी के एस कारनी थी:** किसने एरिया से भ्राप इकट्ठा करते हैं ?

श्री एन० सी० वृत्तियाः पंद्रह मील के एरिया से।

श्री के **एल बाल्मीकी** : एडलट्रेकन जो होता है वह सैंटर्ज में होता है या रास्ते में होता है या प्रोड्यूसर के यहां होता है ?

श्री एन० सी० बूमिया : ज्यादा प्रोड्यूसर करते हैं।

श्री हरि विष्णुकामत: जी आपने स्मृति-पत्न प्रस्तुत किया है कमेटी के समक्ष उसकी प्रतिलिपियां आपने गुजरात के आरोग्य मंत्री के पास तथा बड़ौदा की श्रन्य सस्थाओं के पास भेजी हैं। आप जानते हैं कि यह चीज नियमों के खिलाफ है ?

श्री एन० सी० ब्रुमिया: मुझे मालूम नहीं था ।

श्री हरि विष्णु कामत: श्रापने नीचे लिखा है, श्रानरेबल हैल्थ मिनिस्टर गुजरात स्टेट। इसी तरह से बड़ौदा की छः सात सस्थाश्रों के नाम भी नीचे लिखे हुए हैं।

श्री एन० सी० बूमिया: हमने ऐसा समझा था कि हैल्थ मिनिस्टर यहां मैम्बर होंगे, इसलिये उनको भेजी गई है। गलती हो गई है, माफी चाहता हुं।

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The Chairman should take notice of it.

Chairman: He has said that he did not know it.

श्री एन० सी० बूमिया: मुझे पता नहीं था इसलिए भेज दिया । ग्रायन्दा ऐसा नहीं करूंगा ।

भी हरि विष्णू कामत : प्रापने जो स्मृति-पत्न दिया है उसका शीर्षक है :

"बरोदा सिटी प्योर मिल्क सपलासँ एसोसिएशन" तो क्या यह प्राप्की जानकारी में है कि वरौदा नगरी में प्रशुद्ध दूध देने वाले भी हैं।

भी एन० सी० बूमिया: जी हां।

श्री हरि विष्णु कामतः क्या ग्राप दावे से कर् सकते हैं कि ग्राप शुद्ध दूध सप्लाई करते हैं?

श्री एन० सी० वृत्तियाः हम शुद्ध दूध सप्लाई करने की कोशिश करते हैं।

भी हरि विष्णु कामत : क्या श्रापको विश्वास है कि जो दूध ग्राप सप्लाई करते हैं वह 16 ग्राने शुद्ध होता है ।

भी एन० सी० बूमिया: मैंने ग्रपनी कठि-नाइयां ग्रापके सामने रख दी हैं । दूध सबेरे पांच बजे ग्राता है ग्रीर लोगों को सात बज के पहले सप्लाई करना होता है । उस समय हम उसकी जांच नहीं कर सकते।

श्री हरि विष्ण कामत ः स्रापके एसोशि-येशन के कितने मेम्बर हैं?

श्री एन० सी० विमियाः करीब दो सौ। श्री हरि विष्णु कामतः उन में से किसी को सजा हुई है।

श्री एन० सी० बनियाः हुई है।

श्री हरि किण्ण कामतः क्या ग्रापने उनका बहिष्कार कर दिया है ?

भी एन० सो० बूमिया : नहीं। उनको वारनिग देदी है।

भी हरि विश्व कावत : भापने भपने स्मृतिपत्न के तीसरे भौर पांचवे भनुष्छेद में कुछ सुझाव दिये हैं। ये चीजें भापकी निजी जान-कारी की हैं या सुनी हुई हैं?

भी एन० सी० बूसिया: म उन कोजों को साथ नहीं लाया हूं। भी हरि किन्तु कामतः प्राप भेज देना। सुनी हुई बीज यहां कहने से किसी का प्रायदा नहीं है।

आपने स्मृतिपत्न में यह भी सुझाव दिया क जो ईमानदार धादमी हैं उनको कुछ इनाम दिया जाए। इससे क्या धाय का मतलब किसी आधिक इनाम से है या यु कि उनको पद्म भूषण धादि बनाया जाए।

श्री एन० सी० बूमियाः मेरा मतलब ऐसा कुछ नहीं है। मेरा मतलब यह है कि अगर किसी श्रादमी के 10 सैम्पल ठीक श्राए है श्रीर 11वां खराब श्राया है तो उसको उसके लिए सजान दी जाए।

डा॰ सुशीला नायर : प्रेली बार कम सजा होगी, बाद में पकड़ा गया तो ज्यादा होगी। ऐसा तो नहीं हो सकता कि जिसके 10 सैम्पल ठीक हैं उसका 11वां या 12 वां गलत पकड़ा जाए तो उसे सजा न हो।

श्री एन० सी० बूमिया : मैंने सुझाव रखा है कि व्यवसाय में झादमी का खयाल रखा जाना चाहिए। कायदा धादमी के लिए है, श्रादमी कायदे के लिए नहीं है । ईमानदार लोगों को प्रोत्साहन मिलना चाहिए। जो बराबर भ्रच्छा काम करता भ्रा रहें है, उससे भ्रगर कभी गलत हो जाए तो उस पर दया करनी चाहिए।

डा॰ सुशीला नायर: क्या भ्राप मानते हैं कि व्यापारी का धर्म है ईमानदारी से चलना।

श्री एन० सी० बूं निया: जी हां।

डा॰ सुझीला नावर : तो उसको ऐसा करना ही चा δ ए।

सभापति महोबया: मैं भ्रापका ध्यान इस तरफ दिलाना चाहती हूं कि इस संशोधन में उन बैंडसें के लिए सुरक्षा की व्यवस्था है जो कि जानवृक्ष कर धोखा नहीं देना चाहते हैं। भी हरि विष्णु सामतः धापके सुझाव से ऐसा संकेत मिलता है कि जो बेईमान स्थवसायी हैं उनको राष्ट्रीय समारोहों में भीर राज्य भवन में निमंत्रण मिलता है भोर ईमानदार लोगों को नहीं मिलता। क्या भापका यह अनुभव है ?

श्री एन॰ सी॰ बूमिबा: मेरा अनुभव यह नहीं है। हम छोटे व्यापारी हैं। हमने जो सुझाव रखे हैं वे यदि आपको ठीक मालूम हों तो उनको स्वीकार करो । हम कोई पारितोषिक नहीं चाहते।

श्री हरि विष्णु कासतः प्रापने कहा कि ईमानदारी ग्रापका धर्म है। तो ग्राप चाहते हैं कि ईमानदार को इनाम दिया जाए ग्रौर वईमान को दंड दिया जाए।

श्री एन० सी० विभिन्नाः जी हां।

श्री हरि विष्ण कामतः क्या बेंईमान-व्यापारी को फांसी परलट का दिया जाए।

भी एन० सी० बिनयाः जो आप ठीक समझें करें। मेरा कृता तो केवल यु है कि अगर किसी ने बराबर ईमानदारी दिखायी है, और कभी उस से गलती हो जाए तो उसको सजान हो। यही इनाम में चाहता ठ और न मुझे दरवार में जाने की इच्छा है और न अन्य कोई इनाम पाने की इच्छा है। युकाम करने वाले छोट छोट लोग हैं, दो चार रुपए के लिए दिन भर काम करते हैं।

डा॰ सुशीला नायरः दो चार रुपये में तो कोई दूध का व्यापारी नहीं हो सकता ।

भी एन० सी० विनया भाप न मानो तो दूसरी बात है।

श्री के बामोबरन : श्राप ने प्रपने मेमोरेंडम के पैरा १७ में लिखा है कि बजाय ऐक्ट के जरिये लोगोंको पेनास्टी भौर पनिशमेंट देने के, उन ट्रेडर्स को रिवार्ड्स दिये जायें जो कि नौन-एडल्ट्रेशन की पालिसी को प्राज्यें रखने के लिये भरसक कोशिश करें भीर इस के लिये भ्राप ने सुझाव दिया है कि ऐसे ट्रेडर्स जिनके खिलाफ़ मिलावट के भ्राभयोग में भ्रभी तक कोई केस नहीं चला है या भ्रपेक्षाकृत कम केस चले हैं उनको इनाम दिया जाय । तो जरा इसको खुलासा की जियेगा ?

श्री एन० सी० बूमिया: इसका डीलर्स पर बड़ा ग्रच्छा ग्रसर पड़ेगा ग्रीर उन में एक जिम्मेदारी की भावना जाग्रत होगी ।

डा॰ सुशीला नायर : आप ने अपने मेमोरेंडम में कहा है कि जिस व्यक्ति का कम दफे चालान हुआ है उसको इनाम दिया जाय, अगर किसी डीलर ने पचास दफ़ें मिलावट की और किसी ने पांच दफें मिलावट की तो उस पांच दफ़ें मिलावट करने वाले को इनाम दिया जाय, ऐसा आप ने सुझाव दिया हैं।

श्री एन० सी० बूमिया: हम चाहते हैं कि बजाय सरकार सजा देने के ईमानदार व्यक्तियों की हिम्मतम्रफजाई करे ताकि उन पर भौर साथ ही दूसरों पर एक भ्रच्छा मनोबज्ञानिक ग्रसर पड़े।

श्री देवकीनन्दन नारायण: ग्रापकी एसोसियेशन के २०० मैम्बर्स एक साथ दूध खरीद करते हैं या हर एक मैम्बर ग्रलग ग्रलग ग्रपना दूध खरीदता है ?

भी एन॰ सी॰ बूमिया: हर एक मैम्बर भालग भालग दूध खरीदता है। भी देवकीनन्दन नारायण : क्या एसी-सियेशन इस बात का भा कोई जिम्मेदारी लेती है कि उसके मैम्बर्स मिलावट नहीं करेंगे ग्रीर वह ग्रपने माल की क्यालिटी को ग्रन्छी रक्खेंगे ?

श्री एन० सी० बूमिया: एसोसियेशन इसकी जिम्मेदारी नहीं लेती है क्योंकि हर एक दुकानदार मलग मलग भ्रपना दूध खरीदता है भौर गांवों से, ४०, ४० मील से मलग मलग जगहों से दूध उनको मिलता है।

श्री देवकीनन्दन नारायण: भ्राप की एसोसियेशन का फंक्शन क्या है ?

श्री एन० सी० बिनया : हम श्रपने मैम्बर्स में इस बात का प्रचार करते रहते हैं कि वे ईमानदारी से श्रपना घंधा करें श्रौर मिलावट कदापिन करें।

भी शिवचरण गुप्त: क्या कभी आप ने इस की जानकारी हासिल की है कि आप के वहां जो दूध बिकता है उसमें फैट कंटेंट कितने परसेंट होती है ?

श्री एन० सी० बिनया: हम समय समय पर उसको टैस्ट करते रहते हैं ग्रौर कभी ६ परसेंट निकलती है तो कभी सात ग्रौर भाठ परसेंट तक भी निकल ग्राती है।

श्री शिवसरण गुप्त : कानून के मुताबिक शुद्ध दूध में फैट कटेंट ६ परसेंट होना चाहिये जब कि आप ने मांग की है कि वह पांच परसेंट होना चाहिये तो आप ने क्या यह जाती तौर पर टैस्ट आदि करके मालूम किया हैं कि दूध में आमतौर पर कितने परसेंट फैट होता है और अगर मुख्तलिफ़ हालात के कारण कटेंट में फर्क पड़ जाता है तो कितना फर्क पड़ता है इसकी कोई जांच आपने की है ?

श्री एन० सी० बूमिया: हमारी एसोसियेशन लेबोरेटरी चलाती है जिसमें कि दूध का फैट कटेंट देखा जाता है और हम पाते हैं कि कभी वह साढ़े चार परसेंट ब्राता है तो कभी साढ़े पांच परसेंट तक ब्रा जाता है।

श्री शिक्चरण गुप्त : भ्रापने फर-माया है कि १५, १५ भीर २०, २० मील की दूरी से दूध श्राप के यहां पर भ्राता है तो क्या भ्राप बतला सकते हैं कि भ्रगर उसे उसी कच्चे दूध की शक्ल में रहने दिया जाय तो वह कब तक नहीं फटेगा भीर ठीक रह पायेगा ?

श्री एन० सी० बूमिया: मैं समझता हूं कि कोई तीन, चार घंटे तक वह बगैर फटे रह जायेगा।

श्री चन्तू भाई पोषीबाला : हम लोगों को लाइसेंस एक साल के लिये मिलता है। इस लिये धगर किसी व्यक्ति को दो तीन साल के बाद दोबारा पकड़ा जाता है, तो उस को कम सजा दी जाये।

डा० सुझीला नायर: लाइसेंस तो बदल जाता है, लेकिन आदमी तो वही है। अगर वह दूसरा आफ़ेंस करेगा, तो उस को सजा होगी। अगर कोई आदमी बार-बार एडल्ट्रेशन करता है, तो उस को कड़ी सजा देनी चाहिये। आप का यह सुझाव नामुनासिब है कि चूंकि हर साल लाइसेंस बदलने से नया आदमी हो जाता है, इस लिये अगर वह एडल्ट्रेशन करे, तो उस को दूसरा आफ़ेंस नहीं मानना चाहिये। अगर कोर्ट सैटिसफ़ाइड है कि उसने दूसरा आफेंस किया है, तो उस को सजा होगी।

Chairman: As already conveyed, the evidence you give will be treated as public. If you want it to be confidential, you may specifically mention that to us.

Shri A. K. Phadke: Our memorandum is mainly on three points. They may be repeated here. Please excuse me for this. But, it is my duty to do so in order to be quite sure. I shall read it out.

Chairman: Please don't read. You simply mention.

Shri A. K. Phadke: The first thing is that implementation of the law is not done throughout the country. It is not done at all in rural areas. My next point is about the sub-standard nature of the ice cream. Here again the standards are again revised because the standards fixed in the new amending Bill are the same which were before independence. Even in the British time standards were as they are today.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You mean to say that the standards should change because the British have gone.

Shri A. K. Phadke: Survey should be undertaken now that circumstances have changed.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Standards must change because the British have gone. Material is the same and the method is the same.

Shri A. K. Phadke: We do not get proper fodder to give to cattles. Therefore their health has gone down.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We have put it down to the very minimum and have not put down to the maximum.

Shri A. K. Phadke: My humble submission is that 6 per cent is not the minimum; in some places, it is high.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: 6 per cent has been kept for Punjab. For Punjab, 6 per cent is very low. Everybody has agreed to this.

Chairman: After examining and analysing the samples from different parts of the country, the standards have been laid down. 6 per cent for buffalo milk is too low.

She'i A. K. Phadhe: In some cases, as my friend has said, buffalo milk does not contain that much fat.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I may tell you that the buffalo milk shall contain not less than 5 per cent milk fat except in Delhi, Punjab, Pepsu, U. P., Bihar, West Bengal, Assam, Bombay and Saurashtra where it shall not be less than 6 per cent. This has been fixed after taking up large number of samples of milk in these areas.

Shri A. R. Phadke: My third point is that an opportunity should be given to the producers of milk to improve. For that purpose provisions should be made about one or two warnings before prosecution.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: How can that be possible. Your Association can do that. You test for yourselves and if you find that there are people who are using stuff that is not pure, you can warn them. But, if it comes to the notice of the State Government, then they may be punished. So, it is for you to see to it that your members do not indulge in these things. Instead of asking the Government to give warnings you can give them warnings.

Shri A. K. Phadke: My last point is that in the case of milk, the degree of offence should be observed while punishing.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: What is the degree of offence that you would like to be observed—Is it 50 per cent or 25 per cent of adulteration?

Shri A. K. Phadke: Not that. In every case, when there is adulteration which is injurious or harmful to the health of the persons the punishment should be higher. But in the case of milk also the person should be punished but the punishment should be lighter.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Why should it be lighter please? If he is adding the milk with the water or if he is taking

away the cresm of the milk and sending the skimmed milk as pure milk, why should the punishment be lighter?

Shri A. K. Phadke: It is a technical offence.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: He has cheated the people deliberately. How can you say that this is a technical offence?

Shrimati V. Vimla Devi: Because people won't get indigestion!

Chairman: Thank you very much.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Before he leaves, I would like to put one question. Is it your contention that the standards laid down for milk have now gone down?

Shri A. K. Phadke: Yes.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: How do you say that the standards have gone down? Is it your experience or have you got reports in this regard?

Shri A. K. Phadke: I have got my own buffaloes; I live in villages and I have experience.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Have you got any analysis to show that the standards have gone down?

Shri R. B. Chitale: The thing is this. The buffaloes are not properly fed; they do not get cotton seed; they do not get grass; they do not get fodder. Our farmers are mainly producing cash crops. They are producing ganna. They are not producing cotton seeds. That is the difficulty.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I want to know whether you have analysed that the standard has gone down. That is the pertinent question.

Shri R. B. Chitale: In 1940, we were preparing khoya out of the milk. At that time, one seer of milk could give 25 tolas of khoya. Now it has come down to 19 tolas.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That is a very very high proportion.

Shri R. B. Chitale: In 1940, it was so.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That is an impossibility:

Shri R. B. Chitale: It is a fact.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: How many samples of food have you got analysed in a laboratory with regard to the fat content?

Shri R. B. Chitale: The thing is this. We have not got the laboratory. We have got the testing machines.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You have not got anything.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: The suggestion that has been made is that you should not be punished heavily as a first offender so far as the question of adding to milk is concerned. Do you admit that it is an adulteration?

Shri R. B. Chitale: The thing is, if you find it adulterated, then he may be punished. If there is a technical mistake and it is found as sub-standard, then he should not be punished.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: What is the substandard in milk?

Shri R. B. Chitale: We are getting milk below 6 per cent fat. There are so many cases.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The fat is one content. There are certain other contents, the solids and so on. If everything is low, obviously water has been added to it. They do not go only by fat content.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Have you got any cases whereby you can say that only on the question of fat content the punishment has been awarded?

Shri Mohd. Hussain Jeena: Yes, Sir. There were three or four cases in the Poona High Court. They were punished only for substandard quality.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: There is no such thing as sub-standard milk.

Shri Mold. Hussain Jeens: The fat content was less than 6 per cent. He was punished on that account. derstand this. If you adulterate the whole milk and the skimmed milk, the only difference will be with regard to the fat content and nothing else. Adulteration is there all the same. You may not adulterate it with water; you may adulterate it with skimmed milk. The court must give the punishment.

Chairman: You agree with it.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Mr. Phadke made one point. According to him, they are averse to the punishment given for the first offence. What is their object in view? What do they suggest?

Shri A. K. Phadke: My humble submission is that for the first offence the punishment prescribed is severe and the people will not be encouraged to do this business if they are treated under these conditions. At least, an honest man will never dare to do this business again.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: An honest man will not be punished; the dishonest man will be punished.

Shri A. K. Phadke: The man is honest. But in each and every case he cannot be successful. He will have to depend upon some persons who help him in the business. Every time he is held responsible and he will have to go to jail for so many times for that purpose. Even to encourage the private parties, the Government should make this provision and for that purpose I have made my humble submission.

श्री तुलसीवास जायव : भ्रापने मांग की है कि फैट का जो परसेंटेज है, उसको कम कर विया जाये। महाराष्ट्र में छः परसेंट है। श्राप क्या चाहते हैं?

Shri A. K. Phadke: Upto 5 per cent.

श्री तुलसीबास जायव : प्रापने प्रपने स्थान में बहुत तीवता से लिखा है कि यह बनिया गवनेंमेंट है। ऐसा प्रापने कैसे लिख दिया है ? **भी ए० के० फडके: मैं** समझा नहीं हूं।

Shri Tulsidas Jadhav: You say in your Memorandum:

"This is a Bania business. Collection of milk should not be the aim of the Government."

What do you mean by saying that this is a Bania business?

Shri A. K. Phadke: He means, Government is other than the private parties.

श्री तुलसीबास जाधव : वहां पर जो हैयरी स्कीम है, वह फैट की तरफ नहीं देखता है ऐसा श्रापका कहना है श्रीर श्राप पर ही फैट का टैस्ट लगाती है ? इसके लिये कुछ प्रूफ हैं।

Shri A. K. Phadke: When there is a scarcity of milk, we are compelled to purchase adulterated milk in some proportion. Otherwise, we have no other source to supply our demand. Even the Milk Schemes also collect milk from the villages and we find even they will have to face this problem. In order to fulfil the demand, sometimes they will have to purchase some type of milk.

Chairman: You supply adulterated milk to your customers?

Shri A. K. Phadke: Milk with less fat.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You openly purchase adulterated milk?

Shri A. K. Phadke: When there is too much of scarcity, we do it. We are compelled to do it.

Chairman: You supply it to your customers saying that it is adulterated milk or that it is whole milk?

Shri A. K. Phadke: We cannot help it. We say, it is not pure milk but adulterated milk.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You tell the customer that it is not pure milk.

Shri A. K. Phadke: They also know it when there is scarcity of milk.

Shri Tulsidas Jadhav: You say in your Memorandum:

"Government should not use this amendment to make the business impossible for the people roundabout the cities and procure milk at cheapest rate for itself not minding the cost of production."

Shri A. K. Phadke: I have replied to that.

Shri Tulsidas Jadhav: What do you suggest?

"Government schemes are of recent origin and their pattern is more rigid and less sensitive to the changes in the cost of production of milk outside."

This is on page 5, last paragraph of your memorandum. Can you explain this?

Shri A. K. Phadke: The milk federation at present is in the hands of men who are not educated and who have no experience about milk business.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: They do not need any experience or education for producing pure milk.

Chairman: Education is necessary only for adulteration.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: If you want to adulterate in a scientific manner, then you need education.

श्री तुलसीदास जाधव : ग्राप मिल्क फैडरेशन की तुलना करते हैं। ग्रापका कहना है कि इस फैडरेशन के काम को देखने वाले भज्ञान हैं ? Shri A. K. Phadke: I say that the milk federation is now in the hands of people who are not educated on milk business and they have no experience in the line.

डा॰ सुजीला नायर : श्रापके देश महाराष्ट्र में ही श्रारे बस्ती में श्रौर श्रानन्द में जो दूध होता है उसमें द से लेकर ६ पर सेंट तक फैट रहता है । श्रापके लिये ६ परसेंट रखा है, उसको भी श्राप मंजूर नहीं करते ।

श्री तुलसीबास जाघव : क्या श्राप का ऐसा क⊹ना है कि गवर्नमेंट स्कीम को इंस्पेक्टर नहीं देखते ।

Shri A. K. Phadke: We had been there for the conference of DPH for the last three years. We have asked them questions about the samples and whether they have taken samples from the milk scheme. We got the reply that samples were taken. Then we asked them why they do not declare the results of the test? They say: 'It is confidential'. The word 'confidential' gives protection for the Government servants. That is my humble suggestion.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The point is people have analysed it and consumers have analysed it. They have found that the fat content is higher in Government dairies. Some of the traders may be taking Aarey milk and mix it with skimmed milk and sell it as whole milk.

Shri A. K. Phadke: To prevent adulteration, my humble suggestion is that milk should be produced in abundant quantities. Otherwise, in the face of scarcity of milk, we become helpless.

Chairman: Scarcity is no justification for adulteration.

Shri G. Mohanty: You have said on page 3 of your memorandum as follows:

"We are afraid, there is a veiled attempt to wipe out private enterprise under the grab of this holy Act."

Will you elaborate this statement?

Shri R. B. Chitale: I want to say a few words about Aarey. In Aarey the people who are keeping buffaloes are able to get good fodder and therefore they can produce milk even with 6 to 8 per cent of fat content. But in rural areas these facilities are not available to people and therefore you cannot expect the same standard from them.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: In your memorandum you have called the Government as a Bania Government. All right; that may be your view. You have tried to state that Government's taking up this dairy scheme may become detrimental to your business. I do not follow how you come to this conclusion because you have not given any reasons here. Could you tell us how Government schemes have become detrimental to your interests?

Shri A. K. Phadke: I may kindly be permitted to tell the truth which I have personally experienced.

Chairman: I would only request you to be brief and specific.

Shri A. K. Phadke: Yes. We get milk from villages where there are also Government centres. Before they start a centre in the same area, they make some propaganda and tell the people: "For those who will supply us milk, there will be no fat testing". Now, we have to collect milk in competition with the Government centres. In that context we have stated here that in some respects Government schemes have become detrimental to the milk business.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: This must be by some irresponsible people. Whatever your personal experience may be, the fact remains that—whether it be Delhi Milk Scheme or Bombay Milk Scheme or at any other place—the milk in any Government dairy is much richer in fat content than the milk of any private seller.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: The consumer gets better milk from government dairies than from private owners.

Shri A. K. Phadke: I do admit the word "better".

Shri Deokinardan Narayan: So Government supplies better milk.

Chairman: It is in the interests of the consumers that this enterprise is conducted.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The prescribed fat content is there in the government supply. They remove some cream because the actual fat content is more than what has been prescribed. Therefore, for you, gentlemen to come and tell us that the standards are too high is meaningless. The standards are not too high, because the truth of the matter is that the standards are too low.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: They say it is detrimental to their interests. When we get better milk from the government dairy, why should it be detrimental to their interests?

Shri A. K. Phadke: Government has a protection.

Chairman: When all the consumers go and place their demands with the government dairies, that is detrimental to them. That i_S their point.

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: On page 2 of your memorandum it is written that "after the passing of this amendment it will compel a dairyman to attend to his milk business for public health reasons, not allowing him to attend his sick child who is bidding him farewell" (line 4).

Shri A. K. Phadke: He has written this feeling that he has taken shock of this business. His name is Khare. He has a buffalo. He is a very honest man in Poona. He always tries to test the milk of all the buffaloes.

Chairman: With his own experience he wrote this memorandum? Is it only his experience or your Association's?

Shri A. K. Phadke: His experience.

Chairman: And you have put that in the memorandum.

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: You say "for public health reasons". It is true. First we should see to the public health. What is wrong in it?

Chairman: He has answered your question; I think.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: Does your Association enforce the standards, the rate and quality etc., on its members and make it applicable to them?

Shri A. K. Phadke: No.

Shiv Shiv Charan Gupta: You don't enforce these standards and at the same time feel difficulty in competing with the government dairies with regard to quality.

Shri A. K. Phadke: I don't understand.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: As you say, your Association does not enforce on its members the rate and uniform quality. You find at the same that it is difficult to compete with the government dairy both with regard to the rate and the quality. So, in fact the position is not that pure milk is not available, but you are finding it difficult to enforce the quality.

Shri A. K. Phadke: Not always.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: Rut sometimes.

Shri A. K. Phadke: Yes.

Shri Shantilal Kothari: What is the number of membership of your Association, and how many of them have been convicted?

Shri A. K. Phadke: We have begun this Association newly.

We have got 10 to 12 members.

Shri Shantilal Kothari: And how many have been convicted within this short time?

Shri A. K. Phadke: I cannot say.

Shri Shantilal Kothari: The other thing is, you have suggested that in times of scarcity you have to have adulterated milk. I wonder if you realise what you are talking about.

Shri A. K. Phadke: Not adulterated, but take the chance.

Shri Shantilal Kothari: You said you have yourself procured adulterated milk and sold it.

Shri A. K. Phadke: I said 'sometimes', not always

Shri Shantilal Kothari: Sometimes, even once, you have yourself procured adulterated milk in time of scarcity and sold it to your clients and consumers. Do you realise that adulteration mostly takes place in times of scarcity?

Shri A. K. Phacke: The producer is tempted to adulterate when there is scarcity.

Shri Shantilai Kothari: The second thing is, you say that the Federation is inexperienced. What do you mean? They don't adulterate?

Shri A. K. Phadke: The persons may be educated, but they have no experience about milk technique.

Shri Shantilal Kothari: You are applying two tests. The first thing is, according to your own experience, it is adulterated to your knowledge; you know of cases in time of scarcity when it is adulterated. The second thing is that you do not stand competition with the State or with the other organisations of the Government who can supply better milk. Is it not?

Shri A. K. Phadke: Ycs.

Shri Shantilal Kothari: Your memorandum shows that this is nothing but to protect almost the criminal.

Chairman: That is all right. Any Member on this side wanting to put questions?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: On page 4 of your memorandum you have said, "we are not against socialist pattern of this business". May I ask whether this is intended only to flatter the Government and you are mouthing this rather time-worn slogan because it has been used by the biggest party in the country, or do you mean what you say?

Shri A. K. Phadke: The sentence is in good sense.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You really meant it? That is what I am asking.

Shri A. K. Phadke: Nowadays, socialist type of pattern is our goal and aim in the country. And for that purpose we have written in our memorandum that this business should also go on the socialist pattern.

Shri Hari Vishau Kamath: So you have said 'yes' to my question; that is, because this is the expression which is widely used in the country today, that is why you have said you are not against it.

Shri A. K. Phadke: May be so.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Then you go on to say that after the 1961 floods in Poona—I happened to be there on that day; I remember it very well—you submitted a scheme. Have you got a copy of the scheme and if so could you send it to us? Is it a new scheme or a re-hash of the old scheme?

Shri A. K. Phadke: Unfortunately, Mr. Khare is not here; he is sick; he is unable to come and it was he who prepared the scheme.

Shri Deckinandan Narayan: Your association is supplying milk to Poona. What is your supply? What is the total need of Poona?

Shri A. K. Phadke: Our association is supplying about fifty maunds. The total need may be about 500 maunds or even more.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: How much does Government supply?

Shri A. K. Phadke: I do not know how much is supplied by Government.

श्री बसवन्त : श्राप का कहना है कि छ: परसेंट से कम फैंट का दूध लेना चाहिए ग्रौर उसको एडल्ट्रेशन नहीं माना जाना चाहिए । मैं खुद डेयरी का काम करता हूं ग्रौर दो साल से शारे डेयरी को दूध देता हूं । ग्रामीण लोग देहात से दूध कलेक्ट करके बम्बई पहुंचाते हैं । डेढ़ साल में छ: परसेंट से नीचे केवल एक ही दिन दूध ग्राया है । लेकिन ग्राप कहते हैं कि छ: परसेंट से नीचे का दूध ले लिया जाये ।

श्री ए० के० फडके: ग्राप हमारे साथ चल कर ग्रानों में देखिए कि वे कितने परसेंट का दूध देते हैं।

भी बस्बन्त : हमारी सोसायटी के पास रिपोर्ट म्राती है कि कितने परसेंट दूध भ्राया है। छः परसेंट से कम एक दिन के भ्रालावा कभी नहीं भ्राया है।

Shri Mohd, Hussain Jeena: We are talking about Poona and Satara districts. There is lack of good fodder, lack of cotton seeds and lack of oil cakes. That is why the quality is poor. I told you about fodder.

Chairman: It is in the memorandum. The Memorandum has been circulated to the Members.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You say in this memorandum that the Aarey milk scheme is a failure. Have you heard about the Delhi Milk Scheme? Is it a success or not?

Shri A. K. Phadke: In such cases, we cannot find out the true position about accounts or the truth about what the Government claims and so we cannot say anything about that.

ViChairman: On behalf of the Members, I would like to offer our thanks to you for having come and tendered evidence.

(The witnesses then withdrew.)

Chairman: We shall now examine the Second Group.

IV. Deshi Makkhan Vypari Sangh (Regd.), Bombay.

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri V. G. Sohoni
- 2. Shrimati H. K. Lawande
 - 3. Dr. N. N. Godbole
- 4. Shrimati Laxmi Bhai Ambole
- 5. Shri Mohan Singh Verma.

V. Butter Makers' Association, Bombay.

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri Naval Nariman
- 2. Shri B.A. Sanghavi
- 3. Dr. N. N. Godbole
- Shri Dhunjishaw R. Kaliantwala
- 5. Shri A. Chakrapani
- 6. Shrimati N. Nariman.

(Witnesses were called in and they took their seats).

Chairman: The copies of your memoranda have been distributed to the Members. If you want to stress any points pertaining to the amendments or add any points you may do so. Your evidence will be treated as public unless you specifically mention that a part or the whole of it is confidential. Even then it will be circulated to the Members.

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: At the outset, I may be permitted to point out that our association has specifically requested for copies of certain documents. Since they have not been made available to us, it may be rather difficult for us to put forward all the facts which would help the Joint Committee.

Chairman: They had asked for some documents about standards and other things. Your letter has been sent to the Ministry.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The standards are given in documents which are public and which are available in any library.

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: We have been informed that the documents that we asked for are confidential and for official purposes only.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: If you want to know the names of the members of the sub-committee on whose recommendations the standards are fixed, it is not possible to give you the minutes of their meeting and all that.

Chairman: Certain documents cannot be disclosed. Those which can be disclosed will be supplied to you.

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: The basic data on which the standards have been based should be made known to us.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The standards are always there before you. The methods of analysis are before you.

Objections are obtained and examined by experts. This committee is not laying down the standards. So, the question of bringing in standards here is irrelevant. You may confine your remarks to the amendments.

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: Our basic objection with respect to this Bill is that the standards as prescribed in the rules are arbitrary.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I have told you that we are not examining the standards here in this committee. You are most welcome to give your opinions about the standards to the Government. Dr. Godbole has written to me and we are examining it separately. Today if you have anything to say about the clauses, you ma do so. You think the standards are arbitrary; we deny that contention. We will not go into that now.

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: If it is a question of only speaking on the Bill, whatever our association wants to say we have given it in our memorandum, with due respect to the committee, I submit that if my argument is curtailed as far as standards are concerned then innocent people will be victimised.

Chairman: It is not a question of curtailment of the argument. That question is not under our consideration at all. We have specifically made it clear in the beginning that only about matters pertaining to the amendments under consideration we shall listen. There are other bodies for listening to such other suggestions that you may have to make.

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: If I am not wrong, I think, our Association had brought it to the notice of the Committee that if our Association has anything to say in connection with Bill No. 59 then it is essential that it has to be considered in connection with the Act and the Rules as at present; otherwise, anything said on the Bill will be of no use.

Shri P. K. Deo: I think, she should be given a chance to point out the difficulties which are being faced by them by the implementation of the Rules.

श्री के एल बास्मीकी : कानून में जो संजोधन लाया जा रहा है उसके धनुसार हत्स में भी तो परिवर्तन किया जाएगा ।

सभापति प्रहोट था:उसके बारे में बाद में विचार करेंगे। प्रभी तो यह वहस नहीं है।

भी के एल बाल्मीकी : देश के अन्दर स्टेंडर्ड भ्रलग भ्रलग हैं, उसके बारे में तो उनको सूनना चाहिए।

डा० सुत्रीला नाघरः वह उन्होंने लिख कर दे दिया है ।

Chairman: They have submitted a very big report. It is quite exhaustive.

Dr. N. N. Godbole: For the last 35 years RM value and other values used for butter analysis are in vogue. For the last so many years on many occasions in official meetings and in my capacity as a professor and an author of a book on butter fat which I consider is the only book available in India on the subject, I have been protesting that the standards laid down are wrong. The standards are there in spite of protest. According to the law there should be not more than 20 per cent moisture or water and not less than 76 per cent fat in deshi butter. This makes 96 per cent and leaves a gap of 4 per cent for the absorbed material which is absurd. Take, for example, the standard of butter in Germany. It is not more than 18 water and not less than 80 fat; so, the sum of the two, namely, water and fat, shall be 100 minus 2.

Dr. Sushiia Nayar: May I say that you have sent all this to me and I have referred it to the standards committee? We are examining it and shall let you know what the view of

our experts is. But, as the Chairman has said, this Committee is not revising the standards.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Dr. Godbole happens to be an expert and we can take his advice because the Act provides for the formulation of standards and for making the rules. Those rules are to be placed before the House for adoption. We are interested in hearing Dr. Godbole because we have heard so much about the standards.

Dr. G. S. Melkote: I personally feel that though the evidence of Dr. Godbole may be very interesting and instructive, we are not dealing with that aspect of the question. Here we have to take into consideration only the amendments that are proposed in the Bill.

pr. N. N. Gedbele: May I crave your indulgence for a couple of minutes? I have sent copies of it to a number of people who are dealing with standards. Many of them are my colleagues but they do not even acknowledge receipt of what I have sent them, much less consider it.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I have acknow-ledged it.

Dr. N. N. Godbele: I am thankful to you. For the information of other hon. Members who are not aware of this I will submit a few points. One point is about the percentage of water. Firstly, a margin of 4 per cent leaves a clear margin for legal adulteration. Secondly, in connection with the determination of standards of butter fat for the last 30 years I have been seeing some absurd statements still continuing and we make a fool of ourselves. Thirdly, there is a maximum and minimum given for BR, there is a maximum and minimum given for the butyric acid and for water. So far as RM is concerned, there is an arbitrary minimum which is unscientific and is persisting for the last 25 years in spite of my protests. If you give me a full BR and restrict RM, it is impossible. If you restrict RM, you must restrict your BR. One cannot have a range while the other is limited.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I do not find myself sufficiently technically instructed to be able to understand the full significance of what you are telling me. I can only pass on what you say to the experts. You can sit with experts and myself and then thrash it out. I doubt, when I am not able to understand it, whether other hon. Members are able to understand it.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Some of us have studied chemistry; so we can understand it. I can understand it.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We are not following what you are saying. Therefore I suggest that this technical subject may be discussed among a group of technical people. I am prepared to call a meeting of technical people so that this can be further discussed.

Dr. N. N. Godbole: I do not want to be judged in absentia.

Chairman: We have understood you. The Minister mentioned about a Committee of technical experts. You kindly give advice in that Committee and that would be appreciated.

Dr. N. N. Godbole: Yes.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: There is the National Institute of Dairy Research. I would suggest you may send your views to them also.

Dr. N. N. Godbole: I have already done it. You were good enough to acknowledge but with 3 reminders I am not getting any reply from them. I leave it at that. I have got a new method which I would place before the Committee there.

There is one more point. In the law courts in Poona where I have gone as witness, I have come across evidences of experts analysing butter. Their estimation of water in butter is horrible. If he was my student I

would have plugged him out. You know that the samples are tested at Poona or Baroda or Bombay. It goes to the big laboratories in places like Alipore, to the Central Laboratory, etc. We get two opposite reports. There are many instances and I have been witness for one of the parties. In Poona they say the percentage of water is 24 per cent. When it goes to higher authority, the higher authority says, it is 9 per cent. Both are our scientists. This is very bad.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We can take the best scientists that are available to us through the UPSC and we have to rely on them. There is the analytical laboratory and there is the appellate laboratory. If you have any particular method to suggest, you please send your views to them. We shall see what other expert advice is available so that we can benefit from your knowledge.

Dr. N. N. Godbole: The Poona court says their report is final and the poor customers are suffering. This should not be there. If there are two contradictory reports, what action is taken by Government?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: If there are two contradictory reports, then the report of the Calcutta Laboratory which is the appellate authority, will be the final report.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I know in a High Court Dr. Godbole's testimony was not believed as against the testimony of Dr. Kulkarni. The District and Sessions Judge's was upheld by the High Court. Are not you annoyed, Dr. Godbole?

Dr. N. N. Godbole: I am sorry I differ.

Dr. G. S. Malkote: May I remind the Chairman that we are not dealing with the question of pastourization. I would like to know from Dr. Godbole if he has any suggestions about the Amendment Bill before us.

Chairman: Dr. Godbole, you please submit your views about the standards to the Ministry so that the Technical Committee of Experts can have the benefit of them.

Dr. N. N. Godbole: Since I was invited as a technical expert I would like to confine myself only to scientific data.

Dr. Mahadeva Prasad: You just now told us that you appeared as witness in certain cases. May I ask how many times you appeared for the accused and how many times for helping the Government?

Dr. N. N. Godbole: It is not a question of helping the Government, I am for science. Whenever any argument comes up, I give my scientific advice. Whenever a particular inference is drawn on qualitative test and not confirmatory test, I say qualitative test is not confirmatory test and I give my scientific advice. I am not going for anybody.

Chairman: On two samples of same food sent to a laboratory by two different persons—one was sent by a Federation and the other was sent by the Food Inspector—two different reports were given. I would like to bring this to your notice. Let us take up now Butter Makers' Association.

Shri Dhunjishaw R. Kalianiwalla: Just as the honourable Minister suggested now, this Bill should be kept pending till it is considered thoroughly by this Experts Committee.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The standards are not being laid by this Bill. This Bill is laying down certain principles of law. There is a Standards Committee which lays down the standards. It is also free to revise those standards, if necessary, I said that Dr. Godbole can present his views before this Committee.

Chairman: The rules and standards both will be subsequently kept before the Parliament. You need not worry about these two things.

Shri B. A. Sanghvi: In this Amendment Bill, for the first offence the punishment is not less than six months and for the second offence it is not less than two years. We agree that the Government should prevent the adulteration which is done in-A man selling butter tentionally. which is manufactured in the normal course where there is no adulteration, I believe, should be allowed to sell his product. If there is no international adulteration and if this Amendment is passed, then these people may have either to give up the trade or face punishment.

Chairman: Without the intention the consumer is killed.

Shri B. A. Sanghvi: Butter is manufactured by different methods. One method is to make it out of one out of curd. If you cream. are to maintain the 16 per cent standard laid down, unless and untill you control the temperature of the cream as well as wash water and even the places, it is very difficult to attain that standard. It will be difficult for small scale butter manufacturers to adhere to the prescribed moisture content. If they do it intentionally to increase the weight for getting some benefit, then punishable. For maintaining the temperature and everything else, pasteuriplant zation plant, cooling required, especially for getting 16 per cent moisture content. It is not possible for small scale manufacturers of table butter. Now this law is going to be made applicable to each and every part of our village, town, rural or otherwise.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: May I remind you that 16 per cent moisture is only for butter which is produced by pasteurisation and creamery butter. This is not for the deshi butter. For deshi butter moisture content is 20 per cent as pointed out by Dr. Godbole himself

Shri B. A. Sanghvi: I have got one question. What is the difference between deshi butter and table butter?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The Committee cannot explain the difference. You may go and visit the dairy, the machine and apparatus they use and you will find that there is a big difference.

Chairman: He himself gave the distinction between the two.

Shri B. A. Sanghvi: In India it is made out of the cream and there is no process having been prescribed for pasteurisation and cooling methods.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Deshi butter is defined very clearly on page 41 of the Rules.

Shri B. A. Sanghvi: Even for making this butter out of the cream under normal conditions—the moisture content is small. It is not more than 16 per cent depending upon the season.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You yourself said a little while ago that butter with 16 per cent moisture is possible if there is pasteurisation method. I may tell you that this is only enforced on the butter that is coming out of the dairies. The deshi butter prepared at home, in the cottage or village has a different standard. It does not have 16 per cent moisture

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Deshi butter is one thing. According to law butter is allowed to be sold with 16 per cent moisture for the Dairies. There is no objection to this. You can make butter out of cream in both the cases—deshi butter as well as table butter.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Naturally one is made with the crude method and the other is made with a more complicated machinery.

Shri B. A. Sanghvi: Deshi butter can be derived by churning method while the table butter is made by pasteurisation method. Deshi butter has been given some margin and it is 18 per cent.

874 (Aii) LS-9

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Is it your suggestion that there should be some distinction between the two?

Chairman: Both the table butter and deshi butter have been clearly defined in the rules at page 41 at (a) and (b).

Shri B. A. Sanghvi: My complaint is that the standard laid down for these two should not be different.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Is it your suggestion that for table butter it should be raised to 20 per cent.

Shri B. A. Sanghvi: In our memorandum we have asked for revising this to 22 per cent.

Chairman: The moisture limit is more than 20 per cent while the fat content should not be less than 75 per cent.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The process of manufacturing is not prescribed. Only moisture is prescribed. Now it is for you to know as to how you can prepare table butter with 16 per cent moisture. You yourself said that it can only be done if you have pasteurisation and various other apparatuses. Therefore, the table butter is that which is prepared in this process while the deshi butter is what is prepared at home.

Shri B. A. Sanghvi: I agree with this. Now my point is that the relaxation on deshi butter's standard is given on the technical ground that the butter which is prepared is without pasteurisation and cooling plant's use. When that processing has been accepted, we are manufacturing butter out of cream without wooden churn and we add colour and salt to that. This method may produce moisture a little more or less depending upon the nature.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You will sell it as deshi butter. It is all given in the rules.

Chairman: It is there on page No. 41 of the Rules.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Let me read it for you. It is clearly defined as follows:

"(a) Table butter (Creamery) means a product prepared exclusively from milk cream or curd of cow or buffalo or a combination thereof with or without the addition of salt and coloured with Annatto and shall contain not less than 80 per cent of milk fat and not more than 16 per cent of moisture. No preservative is permissible. In table butter Diacetyl may be added for flavour but shall not exceed four parts per million."

As regards 'deshi butter' the rule provides:

"(b) 'Deshi butter' means a product prepared exclusively from milk, cream or curd of cow baffalo or a combination thereof, with the addition of any salt or any colour or any preservative and intended exclusively for use in cooking or for preparation of ghee. It shall contain not more than 20 per cent moisture and not less than 76 per cent of milk fat. Where butter is sold or offered for sale without any indication as to whether it is table butter or deshi butter, the standards of quality prescribed for table shall apply."

Is this not clear to you?

Shri B. A. Sanghvi: We do not agree to this definition.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The method for manufacturing table butter will be different from Deshi butter. The Table butter gives you 80 per cent. milk fat whereas the deshi butter gives you 76 per cent milk fat content.

Shri B. A. Sanghvi: People are making use of deshi butter which is coloured and salted.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Who asked you to colour it? Deshi butter is not to be treated as table butter. That is a straightforward question. What is the difficulty?

Chairman: What is it that you want to say?

Shri B. A. Sanghvi: Now it is accepted that colouring can be added to the butter.

Chairman: Please refer to the clauses under consideration.

Shri B. A. Sanghvi: I want to make out that the small manufacturers may not be in a position to continue their trade if the standard laid down to-day is to be followed up.

Chairman: Please straightway come to the point. What is your real difficulty?

Shri B. A. Sanghvi: When we are making butter out of cream we add salt and colour. The moisture comes to 20 per cent.

Chairman: You mean to say that it should be considered as adulterated. Section 8, Clause 4 of the proposed amending Bill gives protection to such offenders. Please see page 4, clause 8 of the proposed amending Bill. It says:—

"(8) A vendor shall not be deemed to have committed an offence pertaining to the sale of any adulterated or misbranded article of food if he proves—

(b) that he did not know and could not, with reasonable diligence, have ascertained that the article of food was adulterated or misbranded."

I need not read the whole thing. Let us now go to the next point. A new clause has been added.

Shri B. A. Sanghvi: If it is coloured and salted, the judge has only to see as to whether the particular standards have been maintained.

Chairman: It should not be more than 20 per cent. Why should you colour?

Shri B. A. Sanghvi: This is to be used generally only in hotels.

Chairman: Do you want to sell it as table butter?

Shri U. M. Trivedi: With the addition of salt, it is no longer a table butter.

Chairman: If he wants to sell the deshi butter in the name of table butter, that means another offence. Why should you use salt when it can be sold without salt?

Shri B. A. Sanghavi: Salt is used as a preservative....

Chairman: You are talking of small men, small producers; I do not know how long they will be required to preserve those things.

Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja: It is meant for cooking and for making ghee.

Shri B. A. Sanghavi: Moisture has been affected by the method of preparing.

Chairman: We have discussed this to a very great extent. Deshi butter has been defined; table butter has been defined. No salt can be added to the deshi butter.

Shri B. A. Sanghavi: That is not reasonable because the smaller manufacturer....

Chairman: Whether that is reasonable or not, the committee will decide that. You can send the suggestion to us and we will send it to the Standards Committee.

Shri B. A. Sanghavi: If the amendment is passed immediately....

Chairman: Which section are you referring to?

Shri B. A. Sanghavi: Section 16. According to the I.S.S. bulletin, the following list of new subjects approved by the Divisional Council concerned is given....

Chairman: Please come to the point.

Shri B. A. Sanghavi: Here there are mentioned methods of analysis for ghee and butter. That subject is there.

Chairman: You are referring to section 16, the penalty clause.

Shri B. A. Sanghavi: If this amendment is passed before this report come, then I think it will be unjust...

Chairman: I do not understand what you say. You mean the analysis is not correct?

Shri B. A. Sanghavi: The Government has appointed the committee. Before this report comes....

Chairman: You are referring to section 16, the penalty clause. Please let me know what is the relation existing between this analysis and the penalty clause.

Shri B. A. Sanghavi: An honest man....

Chairman: In section 8, on page 4 of the proposed amending Bill, that defence is being given to the honest vendor. If you want specifically to bring out any relation between this method of analysis and the penalty that has been prescribed, we will be glad to listen.

Shri B. A. Sanghavi: Whatever the methods of analysis are prescribed by the rules, that is going to affect the penalty.

Chairman: That is a very farfetched idea.

Shri B. A. Sanghavi: There is a chance that a pure product can be treated as an adulterated one.

Chairman: That cannot be treated as an adulterated stuff.

Shri B. A. Sanghavi: I have got the examples.

Chairman: We shall get the advice of the experts.

डा० महादेव प्रसाद: मैमोरेंडम से मालूम होता है कि डा० गोडवोले ग्रीर मितेज लावेंडी का ग्रनुभव बहुत ज्यादा है, ग्रीर इन्होंने बहुत पे केसेज में काम किया है। हम उनके विचार जानना चाहते हैं कि इस मिसा-वट को किस तरह से रोका जाये। हम चाहते हैं कि ये हमारी इस काम में मदद करें और जो खाद्य में मिलावट की जांती है उसको रोकने के उपाय बतावें।

Shrimati H. K Lawande: In this respect I may point out that I personally feel that by just prescribing deterrent punishment under the Act. it would not be sufficient to curtail adulteration. It is essential for purposes of curtailing adulteration in the first instance, to change administration of the Act itself under which as I think the Members of the Committee are well aware, the administration of the Act is done by local bodies. that is municipal bodies. I feel that for effective checking of adulteration or effective implementation of the Act, it is absolutely necessary that the implementation should be centralised. In my Memorandum, I have made the proposal that the matter should be entrusted to the inspectors staff of the Agricultural Marketing Advisor to the Government of India for the simple reason that that body is already established all over India and it will be in a position to take over implementation of the Act immediately. Further, I have also pointed out that for purposes of analysing the samples, the Agricultural Marketing Adviser has laid down specific tests which the Public Analyst must verify for purposes of declaring a sample adulterated or otherwise. Taking all these points into consideration and also another very basic point that the staff of the Agricultural Marketing Adviser today is well-paid. well-educated and, therefore, in a better position to guide the manufacturers as to how the production should be improved, it is essential not only to prescribe high penalties but it is also essential that the manufacturers in deshi butter specially should be taught how to improve their methods. It is very true that deshi butter, as it is manufactured today, falls below the prescribed standards but if it is to attain some higher standard than what it is today, it is absolutely necessary that villagers must be properly educated. I think, if this work is entrusted to the Agricultural Marketing Adviser to the Government of India, the inspectors staff can go down into the villages and see what methods are followed. Actually, they should give demonstrations to them and make them follow particular methods and see that they follow those particular methods. It is essential that we should not be just prosecuting bodies.

Shri P. K. Deo: If it is not harmful to health, the standard of deshi butter may be lowered.

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: In this respect, I may only say that the product, as is existing in nature, should not be declared as adulterated. specific adulterant is found, then that person must be necessarily very drastically dealt with. I agree with this Committee on this point that adulteration should be stopped. But at the same time we must not forget that innocent people who have not actually adulterated should not be penalised for it. Sometimes the product itself, as it exists, is below the standards prescribed because the standards prescribed are not absolute. This point should be considered.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: We are glad to hear that you hold the view that once you are given good administration, you are for deterrent punishment.

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: In cases where specific adulterant is detected.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: In that case, can we take it that the real culprit having been found guilty by the highest court of law in the land should even be publicly hanged in Chandni Chowk of Delhi or Shaniwar Wada of Poona?

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: As regards punishment, I would leave it to the Legislature.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: But can I take it that you will not oppose it?

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: I will not oppose it provided that no innocent person is sent to jail and for that purpose it is essential that there is a revision of standards.

Shri P. K. Deo: In your memorandum you have stated that the intention has to be established before you take any drastic action. How can you establish the intention?

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: If specific adulterant is found in the sample, then you cay say that somebody must have added it. It cannot exist there otherwise. Somebody must have put it there.

Shri P. K. Dee: The onus of proof will lie on the culprit to say that he has not adulterated it.

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: Why not? What happens nowadays is that you prescribe the R. M. Value for ghee on an average basis. That is not an accurate basis and therefore the quality of butter or ghee cannot be determined precisely on that basis. Another point to be considered is that there should be some standardisation of methods. I feel that with the agricultural marketing office standard to a great extent has been achieved. I personally feel that they will work even further if they are asked to do

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: While you are pleading so vigorously for some change in the standards of administration, why don't you also plead equally vigorously for formulating a code of conduct among the ghee and butter merchants?

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: That will be a very good thing. As far as my association is concerned, I am proud to say that we have specially tried to obtain agmark for our butter. Unfortunately we found that the agmark people have informed us that the deshi butter is not uniform in one bulk itself. Sample taken from one part is found to vary from the sample taken from a different part in the same bulk.

Shri S. S. Mariswami: I think you are a lawyer and you are appearing in cases connected with this Act. You were talking about innocent people being convicted. What about the people at large who are poisoned by adulteration of foodstuff by these merchants and traders? What is your solution to this problem?

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: As I have already said, the administration has to be changed. Under the Act as it is today we find that the magnates who are really responsible for adulteration are left; those who adulterate things with specific materials are left. People who deal with such articles may not be aware of this fact, but it is those who are convicted. For this purpose my suggestion is that giving of warranty should be made compulsory whenever the product is sold by a certain dealer another so that it will definitely hold the manufacturers who are the magnates of the trade responsible adulteration.

Shri P. K. Deo: You suggested that administration should be changed. Do you suggest a change of the Government?

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: Not the change of the Government.

Dr. G. S. Meikote: The purpose of bringing forward this legislation is to stop adulteration. But adulteration is connected with other aspects also. For instance, the lady witness was referring to revision of standards. Standard is another aspect of the same problem. But I would like to impress upon the witnesses that we are at present concerned only with adulteration, and not standards as

such, though it is possible that innocent persons may be victimised for selling substandard items.

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: With the permission of the Chair, may I please point out that if Government is pleased to call for the records from all over India, they will realise that about 80 per cent of the samples were declared as adulterated because they were below standard. This point should be taken into consideration before the Bill is pushed through.

Chairman: If it is below the prescribed standard, then it should be declared as adulterated.

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: It may not conform to the standards as prescribed in the Rules. But still it may be genuine.

Chairman: That is due to certain factors which nobody can control. But samples from different parts of the country are collected by the Government and it is the Central Laboratory that analyses and tests them. The Standards Committee lays down the standards and there is a margin for minor variations. I might inform you and the members of the Committee of the moisture content in some of the samples of butter seized under the Act.

"The records of moisture content of 84 butter samples seized under the PFA Act and analysed in the Bangalore Municipal Corporation Laboratories (being the total for the months of January to October 1959) showed that in as many as 79 samples the moisture content was within 16 per cent, the moisture figures of the remaining five being 44 per cent. 33 per cent, 29 per cent, 35 per cent and 27 per cent respectively. Out of these samples again at least two showed other signs of adulteration. The lowest moisture content recorded in these samples was 13 per cent".

Therefore, different samples from different parts of the country during a particular part of the year are collected.

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: With due respect to whatever you say, I might say that this Committee is not dealing with the standards.

Chairman: If you have got any suggestion, we will pass it on to the Standards Committee. On behalf of your association, you can send it to

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: I quite appreciate that point. What I would like to point out is that in case this Bill is passed, the standards existing today will be applicable to the dealers.

Chairman: The rules, standards and this Bill are different.

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: Standards are prescribed under the Rules. There is a provision in the amendment that whatever action has been taken under the Rules as they existed till they are passed in Parliament shall be valid etc. That part of it is not rectified. If somebody happens to go to jail in the meantime, there is no remedy for him.

Chairman: The scope of the Joint Committee is limited.

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: That is very true. All I am saying is that it should be safeguarded that innocent people are not victimised.

Shri Gian Prakash: What more safeguards would you like to provide?

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: If in the definition of 'adulteration' the products which are below standards are removed and only the actual, specific adulterations are taken up for punishment, that would serve the purpose.

Chairman: That is the object of the Committee, to punish the culprit, not the innocent person.

श्री के **एस वाल्मीकी** श्रीप जिस एसोसियेशन की तरफ़ से श्राई हैं, उस में श्रीप का पद क्या है ?

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: I am nobody in the Association; I am appearing purely as an advocate.

Shri K. L. Balmiki: As advocate or as legal adviser?

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: You may say I am the legal adviser. Both would be nearly the same.

श्री के **एल बाल्मीकी** : इस संस्था के कितने मेम्बर हैं ?

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: We are about twenty members.

श्री के **एल** बाल्जीकी : क्या उन में से किसी को कभी एडल्ट्रेशन के लिए सजा हुई है ?

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: Yes, because the products fell below the prescribed standards and not because of any specific adulteration having been there.

श्री के **एल** बाल्मीकी : बिलो स्टेंडर्ड ग्रीर एबाव स्टेंडर्ड का प्रश्न नहीं है। ग्रभी तक कितने लोगों के खिलाफ़ केस पेंडिंग हैं? क्या किसी को सजा या जुर्माना हुआ है?

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: May be more than 80 per cent also.

श्री के **एल** शास्मीकी : कानून की दृष्टि से भी श्रीर वैसे भी श्रीप को काफ़ी तजुर्बा है। ज्यादातर देग : मक्खन में तो नमक नहीं होता है, लेकिन क्या उस को प्रिजर्व करने के लिए नमक मिलाया जाता है ?

भीमती एवं के लावंडे : हमारी एसोसियेशन के लोग तो नहीं रखते हैं।

श्री के **एस बाल्मीकी** : जो लोग एडल्ट्रेशन के लिए पकड़े गए हैं, उन्होंने क्या चीज मिलाई थी ? Shrimati H. K. Lawande: In our Association, as far as my knowledge goes, they have been convicted only because the moisture content has been more. And that is a factor which cannot be controlled.

Shri K. L. Balmiki: Was there anything mixed or not?

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: No. To the best of my knowledge nothing has been mixed.

श्री के **एल बाल्मीकी : क्या** कोई सबस्टेंस मिलाया गया था ?

भीमती एच० के० सावंडे : कुछ भी नहीं।

श्रीमती एव० के० लावंडे: पच्चीस परसेंट तक हो जाता है।

श्री के **एल** बाल्मीकी : श्राप की एसोसियेशन में जो लोग एडल्ट्रेशन करते हैं, क्या श्राप उनको डिफेंड ही करती हैं या एडल्ट्रेशन को दूर करने में भी मदद करती हैं?

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: I am appearing before this Committee to stop adulteration.

Shri K. L. Balmiki: In your personal capacity as legal adviser or as vakil, are you helping to remove this adulteration or are you helping the persons who are making the adulteration?

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: I will not help anybody who indulges in specific adulteration.

श्री के ॰ एल ॰ बाल्मीकी : ग्राप तो वकील हैं। वकील तो केस लेगा ग्रीर ग्रपना पैसा तय करेगा ।

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: As far as I am concerned, whatever cases I have accepted so far—of course, I am an absolute junior with three

years' standing only—still, whatever cases of adulteration I have accepted have been only those where I have felt that the sample is below the prescribed standard, for no fault of the person concerned.

श्री के एल बाल्मीकी : क्या ग्राप की एसोसियेशन ने ग्रपने मेम्बरान के लिए काई ग्राचार-संहिता या कोड ग्राफ़ कंडक्ट बनाया है कि वे लोग एडल्ट्रेशन न करें ?

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: You see, it has been incorporated in the memorandum of the Deshi, Makhan Vyapari Sangh. The main duty is to see that adulteration is stopped. I may be able to give you a copy of the memorandum.

श्री के एस बाल्मीकी : हिन्दी में देशी मक्खन को लोनी कहते हैं । क्या ग्राप बता सकती हैं कि कोनी, नवनीत ग्रीर मक्खन में क्या फ़र्क है ? क्या ग्राप ने सुना है कि डाल्डा के ऊपर की झाब, फ़ोम, को मक्खन में मिलाया जाता है ?

सीमती एवं के लावंडे : हमारी एसोसियेशन में मने ऐसा नहीं सुता है। It is very true that many people might be using that as an adulterant. That does not mean that everybody should be punished.

भी के० एल० बाल्मीकी: क्या ग्राप ने ग्रीर कहीं से ऐसा सुना है ?

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: I am not aware of it. I am only aware that vanaspati is used as an adulterant.

भी के ० एल ० बाल्मीकी : क्या म्राप समझती हैं कि वनस्पति में ऐसा रंग दिया जाये कि वह मिलाबा न जा सके ?

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: Personally I feel that sesamum oil which is added to vanaspati is itself a latent colour. But persons who want to adulterate can do away with the colour by a chemical process.

Shri B. A. Sanghavi: Sir, I want to say a word regarding the Prevention of Adulteration Rules. The standards of quality of various articles of food specified in Appendix B to these rules are defined in the appendix. I want to suggest that these rules may be changed, and in that it should be said or defined that the appendix should be changed in consultation with the trade.

Chairman: Your suggestions are most welcome and we will send them on to the Ministry.

Dr. N. N. Godbole: I want to say that in the report of the chemical analysis the chemist has to say what the adulteration is. At present most of the reports say, "the adulteration is so much percent". That is not enough. We would like to know what is the nature of the adulteration.

Chairman: That is being noted down.

And you said that there are no representatives. The representatives of industry and commerce nominated by the Central Government are in the committee, and they are also heard.

As a technical expert if you want to give any suggestions, all those suggestions are welcome and we shall send them on to the technical committee.

Dr. N. N. Godbole: I only wanted to say this that in most of the reports they say: "Minimum expected so much, present so much, difference so much, therefore adulteration so much". That is not scientific. I would like to know the nature of the adulteration, what is the adulteration.

Chairman: I have followed your point. You want that the report should be more exhaustive and what is the material with which it is adulterated should also be specified.

Dr. N. N. Gedbole: Regarding this minimum etc. I have sent my letter.

Chairman: Even with regard to admixture, if you have anything to say I will pass it on to the committee concerned.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I would like to know from Mrs. Lawarde whether she wanted the present definition of 'adulteration' to be amended.

Shrimati H. K. Lawande: Yes.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Dr. Godbole wants the dictionary meaning of the word 'adulteration'.

Dr. N. N. Godbole: When they say that there is foreign fat, they must also say what it is. That is my point.

Shri B. A. Sanghvi: I have to make a submission. You said that innocent people should not be given rigorous punishment or imprisonment. I can show you that there are instances where one thing is treated as pure in one part of the country and at another place it becomes, according to the standards prescribed, adulterated stuff.

Chairman: We discussed all these things at great length; we said that standards are taken after examining a number of samples.

(The witnesses then withdrew)
The Committee then adjourned.

Minutes of Evidence given before the Joint Committee on the Prevention of Food Adulteration (Amendment) Bill, 1963.

Friday, the 7th August, 1964 at 09.06 hours.

PRESENT

Dr. Sarojini Mahishi-Chairman.

MEMBERS

Lok Sabha

- 2. Shri K. L. Balmiki
- 3. Shri Sonubhau Dagadu Baswant
- 4. Shrimati Jyotsana Chanda
- 5. Shri N. C. Chatterjee
- 6. H. H. Maharaja Pratap Keshari Deo
- 7. Shri Shiv Charan Gupta
- 8. Shri Tulshidas Jadhav
- 9. Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath
- 10. Shri C. M. Kedaria
- 11. Dr. Mahadeva Prashad
- 12. Shri Yamuna Prasad Mandal
- 13. Dr. G. S. Melkote
- 14. Shri Gokulananda Mohanty
- 15. Dr. C. B. Singh
- 16. Shri U. M. Trivedi
- 17. Shrimati V. Vimla Devi
- 18. Dr. Sushila Nayar

Rajya Sabha

- 19. Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja
- 20. Shri J. C. Chatterjee
- 21. Shri K. Damodaran
- 22. Shri Shantilal Kothari
- 23. Shri S. S. Mariswami
- 24. Shri Deokinandan Narayan
- 25. Shri Palat Kunhi Koya
- 26. Shri Niranjan Singh

DRAFTSMAN

Shri S. Harihara Iyer, Deputy Draftsman, Legislative Department, Ministry of Law.

REPRESENTATIVES OF THE MINISTRY

- 1. Shri R. K. Ramadhyani, Secretary, Ministry of Health.
- 2. Shri Gian Prakash, Joint Secretary, Ministry of Health.
- 3. Shri A. S. Bawa, Deputy Secretary, Ministry of Health.
- 4. Dr. Y. K. Subrahmanyam, A.D.G. (P.H.), Ministry of Health.

SECRETARIAT

Shri A. L. Rai-Deputy Secretary.

WITNESSES EXAMINED

- I. The Indo Afghan Chamber of Commerce. Delhi
 - 1. Shri Nuruddin Ahmed
 - 2. Shri Ajudhia Nath
 - 3. Shri Kundan Lal Bhasin
 - 4. Shri Sunder Lal
- II. Northern India Hing Merchants' Association. Delhi
 - 1. Shri O. P. Malhotra
 - 2. Shri A. Pandey
 - 3. Shri L. D. Bhatia.
- III. Delhi Katha Dealers Association (Regd.), Delhi
 - 1. Shri Rattan Chand Mehta
 - 2. Shri Bishan Dass Mehta.
- IV. The Tea Packeters Association of India, Calcutta
 - 1. Shri T. S. Nagarajan
 - 2. Shri R. N. Das.
- V. Brooke Bond India Private Limited, Calcutta
 - 1. Shri T. S. Nagarajan
 - 2, Shri J. C. Brock.
- VI. Calcutta Tea Traders Association, Calcutta
 - 1. Shri J. C. Brock
 - 2. Shri M. R. Smith
 - 3. Shri J. Hollander.
- VII. Calcutta Tea Merchants' Association, Calcutta
 - 1. Shri M. G. Patel
 - 2. Shri Jayantilal H. Shah
 - 3. Shri P. M. Rajgopal.
- VIII. West Bengal Spice Dealers' Federation, Calcutta
 - 1. Shri Chittaranjan Barat
 - 2. Shri Nishi Kanta Halder
 - 3. Shri Hirendra Chandra Burman.

- IX. Bengal Spice Dealers Association, Calcutta
 - 1. Shri Ajit Kumar Kar
 - 2. Shri Dhirendra Chandra Deb
 - 3. Shri Dinabandhu Roy.
- X. Ahmedabad Spices and Condiments Dealers Association, Ahmedabad
 - 1. Shri Kantilal Ambalal Shah
 - 2. Shri Jayantilal Jethlal Patel
 - 3. Shri Ramanlal Jivanlal Shah.
- XI. Calcutta Kirana (Spices) Merchants Association, Calcutta.
 - 1. Shri M. L. Tambi
 - 2. Shri Joy Kumar Jain
 - 3. Shri B. B. Pal.
- XII. Ghee Merchants Association, Belgaum
 - 1. Shri Arvindrao Joshi
 - 2. Shri S. Y. Kakatkar
 - 3. Shri V. V. Pendse
 - 4. Shri Babubhai Padamshi
 - 5. Shri Ramkrishna Kalghatgi
 - 6. Shri Shantilal J. Modi.
- XIII. Gujarat Sudhh Ghee Vepari Mahamandal, Ahmedabad
 - 1. Shri Jamana Shankar Pandya
 - 2. Shri Chinubhai N. Shah
 - 3. Shri Shantilal C. Shah
 - 4. Shri Jivanlal R. Patel
 - 5. Shri Sunderlal G. Modi
- XIV. The Ghee Merchants Association, Bombay Shri Shantilal J. Modi
- XV. The Guntur Kirana Retail Merchants Association, Guntur
 - 1. Shri M. Satyanarayana
 - 2. Shri S. Varahala Rao.
- XVI. Andhra Pradesh Retail Kirana Merchants Federation, Viziawada Shri V. V. Punnaiya.
- XVII. Bhesal Pratibandhak Kamkaj Committee, Poona
 - 1. Shri M. E. Jeena
 - 2. Shri R. S. Joshi
 - 3. Shri Chiman Lal Panachand
 - 4. Shri Chuni Lal Shankar Lal.

XVIII. Dukandar Samiti (Regd.), Dehra Dun

- 1. Shri Balgobind Khanna
- 2. Shri Tara Chand.
- XIX. North Calcutta Traders Association, Calcutta Shri Sontosh Kumar Lodh.
- XX. Bezwada Commercial Association (Regd.), Vijayawada
 - 1. Shri Anumalasetty Sreeramulu.
 - 2. Shri Kakaraparthy Venkateswarlu.
- I. The Indo Afghan Chamber of Commerce, Delhi

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri Nuruddin Ahmed
- 2. Shri Ajudhia Nath
- 3. Shri Kundan Lal Bhasin
- 4. Shri Sunder Lal.
- II. Northern India Hing Merchants Association, Delhi

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri O. P. Malhotra
- 2. Shri A. Pandey
- 3. Shri L. D. Bhatia.

(Witnesses were called in and they took their seats).

Chairman: Copies of your memorandum have been circulated to the Members. If you want to stress any point pertaining to the amendments you may do so. The evidence given by you will be published unless you specifically mention that the whole or part of it should be kept confidential. Even then it will be circulated to the Members.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: I represent the Indo-Afghan Chamber of Commerce. Our object is the same as the object of the committee, viz., that food adulteration should be prevented. Our chamber is concerned with two substances—hing and zira and we have been experiencing considerable difficulty with the customs authorities as well as the authorities of

the health department over these two substances. Last year also there was a hing scandal as a result of which one of our health officers had to leave immediately after one or two months of his appointment.

You may make the Act as strict as possible and the punishment as severe as you like, but before you do that, you must provide safeguards for the business people and traders. Stricter the law, greater should be the safeguard. It should not be a vehicle of harassment. At present the conditions of our laboratories are not satisfactory. If the rules are made loosely and if the Act provides measures which can be abused, it can do a great deal of harm to the traders and to the country as a whole. To prevent food adulteration, the Act and rules should be scientific and practical.

You cannot separate the rules from the Act, because without the rules, the Act is useless. When you consider amending the Act, you have to give directions about framing rules for each substance and remove the practical difficulties which the people are experiencing.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Are you suggesting that the law should incorporate something like that? The rule-making power is given in the Act. This committee is not framing the rules. The difficulties with regard to the rules should be really taken up with the Government, with the Ministry, so that the body that frames the rules and that can revise the rules can go into it.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: That is being done. We are having constant discussion with the Ministry about hing and zecra.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The hing standard was lowered temporarily. We had given them six months in the first instance and then we have given them another six months. Upto March, 1965 we have extended the lower standard.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: That is true. You have to give directions with regard to natural substances and manufactured substances. Hing and Zeera are natural substances.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The trouble arises about collection. In the collection, the processes are not what they should be, with the result that there is more of extraneous matter.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: The collection is made in Afghanistan.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That is why we took it up with the Commerce Ministry. They have taken it up with Afghanistan and they have even sent a delegation there to advise and help in improving the methods of collection. Pending that improvement at that end, we have relaxed the standard.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: That lowering of standard is not sufficient to provide relief at the moment.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That was the maximum relaxation that could be given.

Shri Niranjan Singh: The rules are separated from the Act. Just like schedules, the rules cannot be incorporated in the Act.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: The rules are part and parcel of the Act; they are not separate from the Act. Without the rules, the Act would be almost ineffective. Without the rules, you would not be able to control anything at all. So, when you are considering the amendment of the Act, you have to consider the basic principles on which the rules have to be made.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: This committee is not going into that. There is a statutory body created under the Act which lays down the rules and the standards.

Chairman: The rules framed will also be laid before Parliament.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: But when you are considering the amendment of the Act, you have to consider the basic principles which lead to the effective working of the Act. In your report, you can mention the genuine difficulties of the trade.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Do you mean to say that the rules should be embodied in this Bill?

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: Hing and Zeera are natural substances and not man-made. While laying down rules and standards for various articles, we should not confuse raw materials with manufactured materials.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: In the raw product also, a lot of admixture may take place. In wheat they can mix barley or some other coarse grain. In Zeera, they mix some grass seeds.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: That is adulteration and must be punished. This chamber are only importers and they sell hing only to the manufacturers and not to the retailers. They get their materials from Afghanistan. Hing is sapped from the roots of the plant and the semi-liquid matter is accumulated in pifs dug near about and allowed to dry. Then the semiliquid or dried material is filled in goat-skin camel hair sacks or jute bags. Then, the people in Afghanistan just take it out, dry it and fill it in the bags. In that condition it comes here. It reaches the customs either at Amritsar by land or it comes to Delhi if it comes by air. Then these boxes are opened, samples are taken out and are tested not for adulteration but for seeing whether they conform to the standards that you have laid down under the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act. What we say is that those standards are arbitrary and have not been fixed after a study of the whole problem.

Dr. Sushiia Nayar: Should we have any standard for imported goods or not?

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: Our suggestion is that for raw material we should not have any standard. The only thing is that it should not have any foreign material.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That is the whole point.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: Whatever it gets naturally cannot be called as impurity.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Everything comes out of the earth and is natural; so, any amount of mud can be mixed into anything.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: Jeera, for instance, has got many qualities. Their contents are different. How can you have one, two or three standards for so many varieties?

Chairman: You mean to say that there should be some kind of a grading.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: There must be grading.

Chairman: Grading is quite different from adulteration.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: That is what I am saying, but people are punished.

Chairman: A particular quality may be a superior quality or an inferior quality; but there is a distinction between grading and adulteration.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: But that is not recognised under the PFA Act.

Chairman: When the standards are laid down, a number of varieties from different areas as also imported goods are taken into consideration.

Dr. C. B. Singh: If you say that there should be no standard laid down for the raw material that comes from Afghanistan, we do not agree with you there because if there is no standard then anything can be done. But will it serve your purpose if we put some standard even for the raw material as it comes from Afghanistan?

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: There should be classification. There are so many qualities and varieties of hing and jeera coming from Afghanistan that is impossible to classify them under one standard. Prices of all those varieties differ and even according to the Customs Act the tariffs differ. So, there should be classification according to each variety.

Dr. C. B. Singh: Have you any scientific data for this?

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: Yes. Your customs manual lays down the tariff for hing coarse at Rs. 110/- per lb., Anguri Rs. 120/-....

Dr. C. B. Singh: They do not give even the chemical composition.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: No; but they recognise the difference.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I would bring to your notice the fact that though there are these different varieties, we have not indicated the highest limit. The lowest limit is what has been indicated by the standards committee. So, if what should be available in the worst or the lowest variety, even that is not available then the law comes in Maybe, the people in Afghanistan are adulterating it, but this country is paying the money to Afghanistan: therefore, should we not insist that we will have at least the minimum standards which are applicable on a vast number of chemical analyses of the lowest variety? People should learn the value of doing things properly and of giving good stuff to the consumer.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: If that is done. I think, they will be satisfied.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That is what has been done.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: At present it is not done.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: While laying down the standards it is the lowest variety that is taken into consideration and not the highest.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: We have received several communications. There is a very recent letter of the 1st July, 1964 from the Directo-General of Health Services to our non. Secretary which says that our demand is under the consideration of Government and that as soon as some decision in the matter is arrived at, we would be informed of the same.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That shows how every point that is made by the trade is given the most careful consideration and then only decisions are arrived at. They are not arbitrary decisions.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: These standards were laid down in 1955. Since 1958 we are trying to get them changed. There has been a constant struggle going on.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Temporary relaxations have been given from time to time.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: But we want this thing to be finally decided on a scientific basis.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: How can you finally decide it? As processes improve, we want to raise the standards. I hope, you do not want people to keep on giving inferior quality goods to the consumer. Being realistic and knowing what the conditions in Afghanistan are, we have relaxed those standards to a certain extent. Now we are taking up the matter with Afghanistan to improve standard. We are obtaining samples from other countries like Iran and other neighbouring countries telling Afghanistan that if they can have this standard why you cannot have the same standard. Afghanistan says that we should send a team of experts to help them improve the standards and we have agreed to that. So, as the techniques improve the standards will be raised.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: But till this is done, let us not suffer from prosecutions and confiscations of property worth lakhs of rupees.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We have given further relaxation. But hing is one of those things in which our people are indulging in large-scale irregularities and it has been brought to my notice that the very fact that we have allowed them to do this compounding, that is the main source through which they are adulterating and making money by giving much inferior stuff to the consumer than they should.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: I am not representing the compounders and the processors. I am only representing the importers who get the raw material from Afghanistan and am only placing their difficulty before you.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The point is this. Samples are taken at the customs barrier. Whatever standards are at that time they are not prosecuted. If we allow them to import the stuff then they will not be prosecuted if it is of the same content as at the time of analysis of the imported stuff. We may take it up with Afghanistan and say, this shall not be allowed if it is inferior. These gentlemen who might have paid might have some genuine difficulty about it. What we release from the customs, we won't prosecute them for it.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: On a point of order. So far as standards are concerned, yesterday we decided that we might leave it to the committee. We said the recommendation or representation will be put before the Standardisation Committee.

Chairman: Mr. Nuruddin Ahmed, you please give your suggestions and we shall pass them on to the committee responsible for framing rules and you can proceed to the next point. The Committee has understood your point. There can be adulteration at raw material stage and at subsequent stage also. The importer and innocent vender has got to be protected. You have proposed certain amendments.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We are very anxious to see that they are not unnecessarily harassed. We have suggested that after the customs sample is taken they seal it and take it to godown so that there is no question of its being tampered with.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: If they are sealed and marked for manufacturers, only then they are protected. If you have arrangement with Afghanistan it is better.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Analaysis has to be done here.

Chairman: The Committee has got the point. Shall we proceed?

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: Zeera is an indigenous thing. We have got stan-874(Aii) LS-10

dards laid down for white zeera which comes from Afghanistan. It is quite different from black zeera. The botanical name given to the substance is out-dated. That was given about 100 years ago. You have got three different rules under the present Act. Three different standards are laid down In the agreement which we have with Afghanistan, there are four varieties.

Dr. S. N. Mitra: Standards are laid down for three types of zeera. The type which the gentleman referred to has been collected and we have got samples. I don't think this august Committee would make recommendations on the use of any particular variety. For example, in the case of tamarind, there are so many varieties. They are used in consumption of food. Sometimes they are used for adulterating foodstuffs. Take zeera for a specific example. Carum Bulbocastauum, a wild variety of zeera is wholly substituted and sold. Such a variety would be declared adulterated. In order to protect the consumer from such type of pucca adulteration and indirect adulteration, these botanical names have been given.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: It has been stated to me by very responsible people that laying of standards on the basis of lowest denominator opens the floodgates for adulteration. When trader complains even about the lowest denominator, it is obvious that he is adulterating not only the better variety but he wants even standard below the lowest minimum that has been laid down. They have told me that we should not lay the lowest standard. We should say the lowest is so much and the highest is so much and keep somewhere an average of that. If we keep the average proportion, the trader may not accept that also because he wants to have even below the lowest denominator. need the co-operation of the trade in this. This should be concerned about the welfare of the consumer.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: There are several varieties of zeera, the highest to the lowest and the most ordinary also and their prices also differ. The market consists of people who can pay for the most expensive and who would be able to buy only the cheapest. If you allow the import of cheaper varieties, then you must have different standards for them.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Even the lowest requirements are not observed.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: Then you are absolutely justified in punishing them.

Dr. C. B. Singh: There is difference in the standard of all these things. Sometimes we lay the lowest and sometimes we lay the highest. Will it not be possible for us to lay down three quality standards—No. 1 quality No. 2 quality and No. 3 quality—so that there is no chance of disregarding the standards?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We can examine that.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: That is what we are suggesting also.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Already separate standards have been laid down.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: They do not have the names.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Black Cumin or kala zeera shall be the dried seeds of Nigella Sativa Lum and shall contain not more than 5% of foreign organic matter, not more than 7.00 per cent of total ash and not more than 1.25 per cent of ash insoluble in hydro chloric acid and not less than 0.5 per cent of volatile oil. This volatile oil is most important for black zeera. For ordinary zeera volatile oil has not been laid.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: This does not exist at all. It has to be imported.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Just now it is imported.

Chairman: If you give certain suggestions about the existing standards, then the Standards Committee will consider.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: All that we are saying is there should be classification of qualities. They should be realistic and based on scientific facts.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We are doing it.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: This is absolutely out-dated and out-moded.

Chairman: We shall pass on your suggestions.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: As we have stated in the memorandum, the proposed amendment in sub-section (7) of section 10 of the principal Act should be as follows—'call at least 2 independent witnesses of the locality to be present at the time when such action is taken and take their signatures'. They should neither be connected with the department nor with the trader.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: How will you decide that? Will not the same provision in the Criminal Procedure Code serve the purpose here also?

Chairman: You want a similar provision as found in the Cr. P.C.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: The suggestion here is there should be 2 independent witnesses.

Chairman: The Committee has understood your point.

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: From Form (VI) under Rule 12 of the P.F.A. Rules the word 'Food' should be deleted and substituted by 'item'.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The traders want?

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: The importers.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That does not concern the Committee.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: What is your idea about imprisonment provision in this amending Bill?

Shri Nuruddin Ahmed: Now. I am talking as a member of the public. Before you impose on the first offender imprisonment, you must be sure that your detecting machinery is not That is very corrupt. important. Otherwise, it will put a premium on corruption. Instead of charging they will start rupees. charging Rs. 1,000 and their life will be made miserable. I know as a public man and I have deals with these people as a lawyer. Corruption is so rampant in your prosecuting machinery.

Shri O. P. Malhotra: I am representing Northern India Hing Merchants' Association. This compounded hing is the diluted form of asafoetida because asafoetida, as it is imported into India, is a raw material and so to say a crude drug. Most of the encyclopedias and scientific data are supporting the point that the hing as it grows in nature, is a drug and not a food item. In the first instance, our Standards Committee missed the point. I will rather start with the post mortem of this Act because of my 11 years' experience as Food Inspector.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: You are welcome to express the view that you like. But, we would like to confine ourselves into the four corners of this amending Bill.

Shri O. P. Malhotra: They very title of the Act is Prevention of Food Adulteration Act. It is a recognised principle of jurisprudence and it has been established by our Supreme Court that the title of the Act must bear a reasonable relation to its context.

Now coming to section 2, my humble comment upon this definition clause on 'adulteration' is.....

Chairman: With deference to you, I must say that this particular section is not under consideration for amendment.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Are you suggesting any amendment to this? Have you given anything in writing as to what is your suggestion? Do you

mean to say that there is any other definition for this?

Shri O. P. Malhotra: Yes, Sir.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Have you given it in writing?

Shri O. P. Malhotra: I shall submit it in writing.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: You must give that in writing so that we may consider it.

Chairman: This particular section is not under consideration.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: If he wants to make any suggestion, he can give it in writing.

Chairman: Let him give it in writing. We shall consider it.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: We shall consider it if you give it in writing. You have only stated that this definition is not good. If want to know as to what should be the definition for 'adulteration'?

Shri O. P. Malhotra: I think we should make a distinction between man-made things and the things given by nature. We should insist on quality where the thing is made by human agency. We should not insist upon quality in the case of things made by nature.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Do you mean to say that the definition of 'adulteration' as defined under section 2 is not proper and that it should be modified? What is the modification that you would like to point out? Do you understand my point? We are running against time. Please be quick.

Shri O. P. Malhotra: I shall hardly take ten minutes.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I think that it would be more helpful if you would kindly give us a note stating as to what exactly do you want to put to the existing definition for 'adulteration'?

Shri O. P. Malhotra: The definition that is prevailing in various countries like United Kingdom and United States of America is.....

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Don't put your clause. You simply give your suggestions.

Shri O. P. Malhotra: My suggestion is that the definition of 'Adulteration' as given in the Act is very wide and vague generally to cover all possible foreseen, and unforeseen, predictable and unpredictable situations and conditions which, per se have no control. The definition as given in the Law of Hamsburg....

Chairman: That very section is not under our consideration.

Shri O. P. Malhotra: My respectful submission is that the very foundation to the Act is laid on the definition of 'adulteration'.

Chairman: That is true. If you want to say anything with reference to section 16 as also section 2, you can do so. You may please give it in writing.

भी के ॰ एल ॰ बाल्मीकी : भ्रापनें जो परिभाषा दो हैं उसके शब्द तो बताइये।

Shri O. P. Malhotra: In this context, it will be interesting and instructive to find out as to how the word 'adulteration' has been defined in similar statutes in other countries like the U.K. and the U.S.A.

Chairman: The whole Committee knows that. If you want to say anything to the existing one, you please tell us.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Let him help us. Can you please read the proposed amendment to section 16? It says:

"Whether by himself or by any person on his behalf imports into India or manufactures for sale or stores, sells or distributes any article of food". Have you got any objection to this?

Shri O. P. Malhotra: I have no objection to this. But my submission is that this is a debatable point. Under the Act, whether storage per se is an offence or not. So, with reference to section 16, we quote certain instances.

Suppose I have stored kala zeera or other commodities in my godown. They are not for sale because I have to screen them, I have to subject them to so many other processes so that in the test, all the external materials and extraneous matters may be removed from them. An Inspector comes to my godown and takes the samples also. I am not aware and the court is not going to accept my plea that it was not adulterated but that it was in the process of being cleared from extraneous matters. So, storage per se should not be an offence

Chairman: Can you say as to what is the percentage of extraneous matter that can be allowed in the original materials?

Shri O. P. Malhotra: It varies with the nature of the substance.

Chairman: What is the maximum?

Shri O. P. Malhotra: There should be no extraneous materials at all.

Chairman: 75 per cent. is the external material while 25 per cent may be zeera.

Shri O. P. Malhotra: When in godown it is not for sale. I must be given a chance first that I should clear it. So, the mere storage should not be an offence.

Chairman: The definition was made by the Standards Committee. Standards have been made; you know them and the Members know that. They have taken into consideration all these things and then laid down the standards.

Shri O. P. Malhotra: Under Section 16, storage is an offence.

Chairman: That leads to sub-standard or something of the kind.

Shri O. P. Mathotra: A distinction should be made as to whether the storage is for sale or for the mere storage.

Shri O. P. Malhotra: Section 16 says that mere storage should not be an offence.

Chairman: But the storage that leads to a particular thing and that makes the food impossible or undesirable for human consumption is certainly considered as an offence.

Shri O. P. Malhotra: If it leads to all these things then it is an offence.

Chairman: It is bad storage that is considered to be an offence. If a housewife stores a certain article in the store room for the purpose of consumption of the family, then it cannot be called as bad storage or storage with a view to adulterating or earning some profit or something like that.

Shri O. P. Malhetra: Mere storage per se should not be an offence under the Act.

Chairman: Storage by itself is an offence if it leads to something else undesirable.

- Shri U. M. Trivedi: His contention is this. That is, the storage per se should not be an offence provided the stuff is not exposed and that it should be clean and fit for sale.
- Dr. C. B. Singh: If you say that it is not for sale, how will the inspector know that this material which is in store is not for sale and that the other one is for sale? The trouble will come there. You may say that it is not for sale but it may be for sale. How is the distinction to be made?
- Shri O. P. Malhotra: If the unclean or adulterated stuff is in my possession, I have to clean it and then to exhibit it for sale. If the inspector takes the sample at that point of storage, it will be an excessive hardship.

- Dr. C. B. Singh: How will the inspector who goes there to check it know that this article is not for sale and that the other article is for sale? How will he make the distinction?
- Shri O. P. Malhotra: If the man is found selling it at the godown, then he should be taken to task.

Chairman: He might put a note on that 'Not for Sale' when the inspector goes there and will remove it afterwards.

Shri O. P. Malhotra: In foreign countries like U.K. and U.S.A., they have inserted a clause for enhanced punishment. It is only if the offence is committed due to personal negligence and default that he is to be given the enhanced punishment and not otherwise.

Chairman: We have got your point.
You can proceed to your next point.

Shrimati Jyotsna Chanda: The gentleman is citing so many rules. May I know from him whether the traders of India have got that mentality of making business in that way?

Chairman: She says, the hon. gentleman has cited so many examples from foreign enactments and other rules. Does he mean that the traders of India have got that mentality of making business?

Shri O. P. Malhotra: We should take every human being as a gentleman until proved to the contrary. The morality in India may be higher than what is prevailing in America.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: Is it the business morality as it is found in America and England?

Chairman: I do not know whether he is an authority on all these things of international matters.

Shri O. P. Malhotra: Now, I come to section 10. Under the Indian Evidence Act, there is a specific section which says that no particular number

of witnesses is required to prove any fact in any court of law. Either there should be two respectable persons of the locality or section 19(7) should be completely deleted. It should be left to the discretion of the court. The Indian Evidence Act which is a very long standing statute has been working well. There should be at least two respectable persons of the locality from where the sample is taken.

Then, I come to section 11, that is, regarding procedure to be followed by food inspectors.

Chairman: Section 11 is not under consideration. Please deal with sections which are under consideration now.

Shri O. P. Malhotra: I come to section 20 which is going to be amended. It has been stated by the Supreme Court that it is not an empty formality that before instituting the proceedings the local authorities or the State Government are to apply their mind as to whether the case should be insti-Here also I submit tuted or not. that in certain chemical analysis there are minor variations and if the sample is failing by 0.1 per cent then the authorities should be given the discretion to see as to whether the case should not be instituted in the court or not.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: That discretion is already vested there. Do you mean to say that there must be a preliminary inquiry before that power is exercised?

Shri O. P. Malhotra: As it is under the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1938, in America they do not report the case on minor variations. This is expressly mentioned in it. If there is a minor variation, the matter should not be reported to the court.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: You can approach the local authorities.

Chairman: The discretionary powers are already very wide.

Shri O. P. Malhotra: It is expressly incorporated under that Act.

Chairman: It may not be necessary to incorporate it here. The discretionary powers are already very wide. Have you got any other point? No. Then, Mr. L. D. Bhatia. You can refer to any of the sections which are under consideration.

Shri L. D. Bhatia: I am speaking on section 16. I support the idea that the adulterators should be heavily punished. I want to cooperate with the Government in eradicating adulteration. But I want to stress this that the man who is punished must be an adulterator. From practical point of view, we come to the conclusion that *Hing* is a crude drug which is imported from Afghanistan. The impurities and foreign matters are but natural in it

Shri U. M. Trivedi: You do want to include it in the foodstuffs. That is for the Standards Committee to decide. They have included it in the foodstuffs. You want that it should be left out from the purview of this Act. That is the only point you are making. This Committee is not for standardising food articles. All these suggestions that are being made will go before the Standards Committee. This Committee is merely a Committee for making the law. We are not here to standardise the things. This is not within our jurisdiction.

Shri L. D. Bhatia: When the law comes into applicability, when there is a punishment prescribed, when there is infringement of the rules, unless and until my sample is tested, prosecution should not be initiated. From practical experience I can say that as an honest trader I cannot pull on with my business due to faulty procedures and lack of any provision to check the analysis in deciding the case whether the sample is correctly analysed or not. Until and unless

these are checked, I cannot be sure that my prestige is safe. I cannot pull on with my business as an honest man.

I will now come to the findings of the Central Committee of standards. In the beginning they said that hing should not contain sand, gravel or any other foreign mineral or material. Later, after nine years, through a letter of the Health Ministry they admitted that the presence of foreign mineral material was natural in hing. Who is responsible for the convictions of innocent people during these nine years? The law must protect them. Similarly in the case of compounded hing, in order to make it marketable, starches....

Shri U. M. Trivedi: You are telling the same thing again. You do not want hing to be included in the list of food articles. You are treating us as a Committee of Standards. We have told you that these things should be placed before the Standards Committee. This Committee cannot take cognizance of what you say.

Chairman: I was told by the Hon. Minister that when the circumstances compelled them, the standards were also relaxed to a certain extent. But she never said that the standards should be relaxed to that extent whereby people can supply all undesirable things.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: For this, they should go before the Standards Committee. Why are you anxious to tell us these things? We should not take cognizance of these things. You can go before the Standards Committee where all your views will be considered.

Chairman: If you want to give any suggestions regarding standards, you please give them in writing and we will pass them on to the Standards Committee.

Shri L. D. Bhatia: If I want to run my business as an honest man, as an

honest trader, Government will have to give me shelter.

Chairman: How many times have the members of your Association been convicted?

Shri L. D. Bhatia: Each and every member has been convicted and many have been acquitted. It is rather strange that there is so much variation in the results of these tests from laboratory to laboratory . . .

Chairman: I do not know by whom the samples are sent?

Shri L. D. Bhatia: The third part is being sent and this is maintained for the very purpose.

Shri R. K. Ramadhyani: It can be sent only to the appellate authority which exists for this very purpose in case the first analysis shows something which you wish to get verified. The Central Food Laboratory is the appellate authority and it is their duty to make sure that there is a second test and to see that there is nothing wrong in the first part.

Shri A. Pandey: But prosecutions will be launched before that. We can quote a number of such cases. Therefore, it will save a lot of harassment to the trader and a great deal of unnecessary litigation to Government if non-confirmatory samples are rechecked before prosecution.

Chairman: We shall note that point.

Dr. C. B. Singh: That the standards in various laboratories differ so widely is a very important point. Does it really differ, and if it differs why does it differ? This is an important point.

Chairman: Yesterday we discussed this very same point. Samples are given by the Food Inspector and by the vendor and it was explained yesterday that sometimes the part with the vendor is adulterated. Unless there is some adulteration there cannot be any variation. There is of course margin for minor variations,

say, to the extent of 1 per cent, for defects in the apparatus, etc.

Dr. C. H. Singh: If there is a chance for adulteration in between who is responsible for it? Not the trader. This point must be decided here. This is a very serious matter.

Dr. S. N. Mitra: Yesterday I gave some examples as to how this discrepancy is happening. In a majority of samples our report agrees with the report of the public analyst. In some cases there have been discrepancies. Offhand, and particularly in a case like this, it is not possible for me to give exact reasons for such discrepancies. These are scientific things. In my laboratory these discrepancies have been found due to some sort of adulterant. There were cases when we had asked the vendor to send his counterpart to the Central Food Laboratory and was found completely different from the results of the public analyst. Then I asked for the food inspector's counterpart which was found to be adulterated. The conclusion is obvious. This happens in some cases particularly in the case of milk products and liquild tances. In the case of asaloetida there may be genuine mistakes. I do not think all analysts are infallible. We take all possible precautions. Public analyst also takes lot of precautions. Actually there cannot be any difference in the results at all. But there may be difference in the matter of interpretation of results on which have no hand. I interpret it according to my own judgment and the public analyst interprets it in a different way. In the microscopic examination of certain adulterants we find that there are sometimes some genuine differences between the public analyst and the Central Food Laboratory.

Dr. C. B. Singh: Why should that be? You are a scientist, why should there be?

Dr. S. N. Mitra: As Dr. Singh may know, in the case of microscopic analysis it may be differently interpreted, particularly when the adulterant is small. But this type of difference is rather much less than in the case of tampering.

Shri A. Pandey: You should send two samples to two different laboratories, one in the State and the other in another State, and only when both the laboratories find that there is an adulteration, then only prosecution should be started. This will save a lot of botheration to the Government also, as well as to ourselves.

Chairman: That is the arrangement. Only when it is not considered satisfactory it is sent to the appellate laboratory. You want it to be sent to two different laboratories in two States, and you don't want the appellate laboratory to work at all.

Shri L. D. Bhatia: We want justice.

Chairman: No one would like to sacrifice justice. The Committee has caught your point.

Shri L. D. Bhatia: As Dr. Mitra said, to err is human...

Chairman: We are not here to discuss philosophical matters.

Shri L. D. Bhatia: There must be some provision to check that the analyst has not done his work with a view to sabotaging my flourishing business. If that is done my business is lost.

Dr. C. B. Singh: I will not agree with you when you say that.

Shri L. D. Bhatia: It is possible.

Dr. C. B. Singh: It is not possible.

Shri L. D. Bhatia: I can show you from the extract of the Times of India dated 17th March, 1964.

Chairman: These offhand allegations cannot be made. You please submit it to the Committee.

Shri L. D. Bhatia: It is given as an appendix to our memorandum.

Chairman: That is all right.

Shri L. D. Bhatia: From this it is obvious that the samples were changed at the customs or in the laboratory, and the sample was declared adulterated.

Chairman: The Public Analyst does not know who is the vendor in a particular case.

Shri L. D. Bhatia: On the sample the name and address are written. And my business is flourishing. There is every possibility, and you must admit that there is the maximum corruption in the laboratories.

Chairman: If you want to suggest that instead of the names some numbers should be given there, we shall consider it, but you cannot go on making allegations.

Shri L. D. Bhatia: I would suggest this: don't give Delhi sample in Delhi; give Delhi samples in Punjab, Punjab samples in Bombay, like that.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: What you say amounts to this that all our officers are dishonest. How can you make that assumption?

Chairman: And that all analyses are wrong. If there are any positive and concrete suggestions which with an open mind you would like to make. we shall pass them on to the concerned committee.

Shri A. Pandey: There are some drawbacks. Even though it may be good quality hing, there may be very little alcoholic extract. This is a point which should be brought to the notice of the Committee. For instance, Heera hing and other good varieties have the minimum alcoholic extract.

Chairman: As I told you, as regards standards if you have any suggestions please give them in writing and we shall pass them on. Shri A. Pandey: That will help the grading.

Chairman: The standards are fixed after testing hundreds of samples in different parts of the country, and the lowest available is fixed.

भी के एक बास्मीकी : स्टेंडर्ड व क्या दुवारा बनाये जायें ?

श्री तुलकीवास जावन : स्टेंडर्डज की बात भ्राप बार बार क्यों कहते जा रहे हैं ? एक बार कह लिया है, काफी है ।

Chairman: I think you have covered all the points?

Shri L. D. Bhatia: I want to say one thing about compounded hing. The Act says there is a lead of quality standard. But I fail to understand why the standard of compounded hing has been degraded from 10 per cent to 5 per cent alcoholic content. I find no reason behind this,

Chairman: You may give it in writing and we will pass it on to the committee that will sit there to consider these things.

Shri L. D. Bhatia: I am giving you a summary of the amendments. I have full faith, I want to suffer enhanced punishment, but I must be provided with shelters that I can continue to carry on my business. Otherwise I will have to leave the trade.

Chairman: I think you have explained your point at great length. Neitner is it the intention of this Bill or this Committee or the Parliament to punish an honest vendor or dealer. It is only intended to punish the culprit, the adulterator.

Shri L. D. Bhatia: Surely, if that is the motive, I will welcome the Bill.

श्री तुलक्षीदास जाधव: ग्राप सुनें चेयर-मैन साहब क्या कहते हैं। बार बार ग्राप कहते हैं कि ग्रगर यह उदेश्य है तो मैं वैलकम करता हूं। यह कमेटी या पार्लियामेंट भ्रगर कोई काम करती है तो क्या उसका जो उद्देश्य होता है वह भ्रच्छा नहीं होता है ? भ्राप एवीडेंस देने के लिए भ्राये हैं भीर भ्राप भ्रपनी बात कहें।

Shri L. D. Bhatia: I feel sorry.

Shri A. Pandey: The procedure is that five samples of the lot are taken and they should be made a composite mixture.

Chairman: There is provision only for three samples.

Shri L. D. Bhatia: The modified procedure provides that five samples should be taken. Secondly, it says that only two parts should be given, and the third part is not given to the vendor with the result that the vendor cannot prove his innocence or the genuineness of his stuff. He is at the mercy of the Institute. The third part should be given to the vendor.

Secondly, we understand from the Director-General of Health Services that this modified sampling procedure has been drafted on the lines of the recommendations. On through it I find the number of containers taken is the same, but what has to be done is that the samples from the various containers should be made into one and then only should it be tested. But what we find that all the five samples are tested independently and only if all the five conform to the standard, then only the lot is released. If even one them falls through, the whole lot is not declared adulterated. That is subfair. Hing is a heterogenous stance. I challenge, if ten samples of hing are taken they will all from one another because it is a heterogeneous substance.

Chairman: It is not necessary that all the five samples should be of the same standard.

Shri R. K. Ramadhyani: The object of taking five samples is to obtain an idea of the composition of the consignment as a whole. All the five samples

are taken into account in arriving at the standard and it is not correct that the result of one of them is taken into account.

The rules contain the procedure prescribed for the express purpose of notifying whatever our intentions are regarding standards. When these notifications are put out, it is open to you to put forward your objections and difficulties. They are then referred to technical experts before any final decision is taken.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: What should be the minimum price of your unadulterated hing? Secondly, they know much more than what we know about how hing is being adulterated. What are the adulterants according to their knowledge?

Shri L. D. Bhatia: There are many varieties of hing. The coarser variety is Rs. 10 per kilo and the finer variety is Rs. 90 per kilo. The coarser variety gives more alcoholic extract and the finer one less. The adudterants which are allowed to make it bandhani or compounded are starches, i.e., rice flour, atta and maida.

Chairman: The authorities say that you are making a misleading statement. The definition of bandhani or compounded hing is given here on page 34 of the rules. You should not say something which is not correct.

श्री के० एल० बाल्मीकी: ग्रापका क्या विचार है। जो चीज कि लेबारेटरी में केमीकल श्राधार पर एडल्टरेटेड पायी जाए उसके ग्राधार पर सजा होनी चाहिए कि इस ग्राधार पर कि उस चीज में जो मिलावट है वह कम हानिकारक है या ज्यादा हानिकारक है? ग्रापकी राय में सजा का ग्राधार क्या होना चाहिए?

Shri O. P. Malhotra: With the coming into being of the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, there are two broadly classified provisions. There are certain absolute prohibitions in the rules that certain admixtures are not permitted. When the public analyst

says that it is an admixture, prosecution is initiated. There are others in which the percentage of adulteration is very material. Previously the Punjab High Court and other High Courts held that the public analyst or the Director, Central Food Laboratory should state the exact percentage of adulteration. If the percentage of adulteration was not given, no conviction was possible. But that has now been over-ruled by the Division Bench of the Punjab High Court.

श्री के • एल • बाल्मीकी : एक बोरे से एक नमूना ऊपर से लिया जाता है ग्रीर दूसरा जरा गहरे में से लिया जाता है, तो दोनों में ग्रन्तर ग्राता है। ऐसा क्यों है। इसका ग्रयं क्या यह नहीं है कि नीचे कुछ भीर माल है ग्रीर ऊपर कुछ ग्रीर है। इसके बारे में ग्रापका क्या कहना है ?

Shri Malhotra: My respectful submission to this is that the very nature of the thing is heterogeneous.

Dr. G. S. Melkote: If all asafoetida that comes into India from outside is standardised, then any further adulteration in the imported stuff should be punishable.

Shri O. P. Malhotra: If it is imported into India pure and then it is found to be adulterated in India, a dealer or the retailer should be given the maximum punishment.

Chairman: Thank you very much.

(The witnesses then withdrew.)

III. Delhi Katha Dealers' Association (Regd.), Delhi

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri Rattan Chand Mehta
- 2. Shri Bishan Dass Mehta

(Witnesses were called in and they took their seats.)

Chairman: You were present here yesterday. Therefore, as you have heard, the evidence given by you will be treated as public unless you specifically mention that the whole or part of it may be treated as confidential. You may refer to any point submitted in your memorandum that you wish to elucidate or to any other point that you may desire to bring to the notice of the Committee.

Shri Rattan Chand Mehta: Manufacture of Katha is done mostly in forests. It is a cottage industry. There are factories too, but there are only two or three factories in India. 90 per cent of the Katha used is made by hand, by indigenous methods in the forests. They are mostly farmers or petty contractors who prepare it. There is a tree called the Khair three which is auctioned by the Forest Department and these people have to purchase those trees. At the time of auction all sorts of trees, dry, semidry, green, hollow, moth-eaten are sold. The Government that the produce from the dry, hollow or moth-eaten trees will be somewhat inferior to that from sound still they auction every type of tree. Those trees have to be cut, chips have to be made and those have to be boiled in earthen or aluminium pots. The water used is mostly stream water or rain water in pools. not pure or filtered. Therefore some sort of dust formation occurs in the manufacturing process. The up takes 30 to 40 days. That also is done in the open jungle where there is regular blowing of wind with the result that dust gets deposited on the surface of the slab that is made. So, in the manufacturing process, no foreign matter is mixed: whatever little dust comes in is due to the blowing of winds. According to the standards laid down, they allowed only about 1 per cent of unsoluable ash whereas sometimes the ash content is somewhat

About a decade ago they used to mix soapstone to some extent which was injurious; but now there is absolutely no such mixing up in the manufacturing process.

Chairman: Why has it been given up?

Shri Rattan Chand Mehta: The trade has realised that the thing should be pure.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Or, because the Act has been applied to *katha* so that the trade has thought it better not to mix soapstone?

Shri Rattan Chand Mehta: The Act was passed in 1956 or 1957 but this thing is coming in its pure form since 1953.

Shri Bishan Dag Mehta: We came to know of the Act only in 1960.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: So, the trade itself realised that it should give pure katha?

Shri Rattan Chand Mehta: Yes.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I am happy to hear that.

Shri Rattan Chand Mehta: If the Government wants to further the quality of katha, our suggestion is this. The forests are all owned by Government. At the time of auction, therefore, hollow or dry trees should not be auctioned for the manufacture of katha. Let them be sold as firewood, but they should not be sold for the manufacture of katha because katha manufactured out of those hollow, dry or moth-eaten trees will definitely contain more particles of dust etc., than that manufactured out of green trees.

Chairman: What is your point?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That suggetion can be made to the Government.

Chairman: Have you got any amendment under consideration? If you want to say anything against any of the amendments etc. you may say so. You may say what changes, modifications etc. you want to be done. You may make such submission to the Committee.

डा॰ सुशीला नायर: क्या इस कानून के संशोधन के बारे में भाप को कुछ क**्ना** है ?

Shri Rattan Chand Mehta: There are two standards; hand-made katha and mill-made katha.

हा० सुशीला नायर: जहां तक स्टेंडर्ड का ताल्लुक है, इस कानून के नीचे एक स्टेट्टरी कमेटी बनी हुई है। स्टेंडर्ड के बारे में ग्राप को जो कुछ कहना है, वह ग्राप हैल्थ मिनिस्ट्री को भेज दीजिए या मुझ से मिल कर बता दीजिए। लेकिन यह कमेटी केवल कानून की चन्द धाराग्रों में संशोधन करने ग्रीर इस कानून को कड़ा बनाने पर विचार कर रही हैं। ग्राप जानते ही हैं कि कानून को कड़ा बनाने की ग्रावश्यकता क्यों पड़ी है। इस कानून की धाराग्रों के बारे में ग्रार ग्राप कुछ बताना चाहते हैं, तो बतायें।

सभापति महोदया : क्या संशोधनों के बारे में घाप को कुछ नहीं कहना है।

श्री रतन चन्द मेहता : नहीं । हम इस कानून के ख़िलाफ़ नहीं हैं ।

श्री देवकी नन्दन नारायण : आप ने कहा है कि यह काटेज इंडस्ट्री है। आप ने यह भी कहा है कि कंट्रैक्टर्ज यह काम करते हैं। अगर कंट्रैक्टर्ज इस काम में आ गए, तो यह काटेज इंडस्ट्री नहीं रहती है। क्या आप के ख्याल में कहीं कत्थे में एडल्ट्रेशन होती है या नहीं ?

श्री रतन चन्य मेहताः मैनुक्रैक्चरिंग प्वायंट पर एडल्ट्रेशन श्राफ़ ऐनी फ़ारेन मैटर नहीं होता है।

श्री देवकीनन्दन नारायण : तो फिर एडल्ट्रेशन कहां होता है ? श्री रतन चन्च मेहता: फ़ोरेस्ट में ट्रीज में हालोनैस या ड्राइनेस होती है। इस लिये उस के पार्टिकल्ज उस में एन्टर कर जाते हैं।

डा॰ सुन्नीला नायर: म्राप कहते हैं कि म्राप की जानकारी में एडल्ट्रेशन नहीं होती है। लेकिन म्राप यह तो नहीं कह सकते कि दूसरे भी एडल्ट्रेशन नहीं करते हैं।

श्री रतन चन्द मेहताः गवर्नमेंट इस की जड़ें भी बेचती है।

डा॰ सुशीला नायर : कत्थे में सैंड एड डर्ट, वाटर इनसालुबल रेजिड्यू इन एक्सेस भौर एल्कोहल इनसालुबल रेजिड्यू इन एक्सेस वगैरह मिलाए जाते हैं।

श्री रतन चन्द मेहता: फ़ार्मर्ज या मैनुफैक्टरर्ज के द्वारा जो कत्था तैयार किया जाता है, वह उसी तरह कन्ज्यूम नहीं किया जाता है। पान वाले श्रीर हाउस-वाइय्ज उस को इस्तेमाल करने से पहले उबालती हैं। फ़ारेस्ट में मनुफैक्चरिंग के प्रासेस में कुछ चीज मिल जाती है। गवर्नमेंट की तरफ से कत्थे में हाफ़ परसेंट इसालुब्ल एश एलाऊ की गई है।

डा० सुझीला नायर : भ्राप जानते हैं कि कत्था महंगी चीज है। भ्रगर वह एक छटांक की जगह सवा या डेढ़ छटांक बन सकता है, तो ज्यादा दाम मिल सकते हैं। इसका मतलब है कि एडल्ट्रेशन में कुछ मोटिव है।

श्री रतन चन्द मेहता: मोटिव तो है ही। ग्रगर कोई मिक्स्चर करता हैं, तो उस के पीछे प्राफ़िट मोटिव है। गवर्नमेंट की फ़ारेस्ट सेल में ख़ामियां हैं, उन में इम्प्रूबमेंट की जानी चाहिये।

श्री के एल बाल्मीकी : कत्था बनाने के दो तरीके हैं—एक प्राकृतिक ग्रीर दूसरा मध्य के द्वारा बनाने का, जो कि कड़ाही में बनाया जाता है। कत्ये की कीमत पिछले बीस साल से लगातार बढ़ रही है और पान खाने वालों की संख्या भी बढ़ रही है। ताम्बूलम् मुखभूषणम्। ताम्बूल में कत्या ही उस की जान है, पान नहीं। कत्ये में जो मिलावट होती है, वह मनुष्य द्वारा बनाये जाने में होती है या प्राकृतिक तरीके में भी होती है?

भी रतन चन्द मेहताः फ़ारेस्ट में कोई एडल्ट्रेशन नहीं होती है।

श्री के एल बास्मीकी : म्राप की संस्था में कितने मेम्बर हैं ?

भी रतन चन्द मेहता: ३५।

श्री के एल बाल्मीकी : साइंटिफ़िक भाधार पर जो स्टेंडर्ड तय किये गये हैं, उन को हम सही मानते हैं। इस बीच में जो सैम्पल लिये गये हैं, उन में से कितने एडल्ट्रेटिड पाये गये हैं?

श्री रतन चन्द मेहताः हमारी ऐसोसियेशन ने ये ध्रांकड़े इकट्ठे नहीं किये हैं।

श्री के **एल बाल्मीकी** श्रीप इस कमेटी को ये झांकड़े भेज दीजिये कि पिछले साल, दो साल में कितने लोगों को सजा हुई।

श्री रतन चन्द मेहता : हम भेज देंगे ।

श्री के **एल बाल्मीकी** : क्या कोर्ट में ऐसे कोई केस पेंडिंग है ?

श्री विश्वनवास मेहता: जी हां। हमारी दुकान के नौ सैम्पल १९६० में लिये गये थे। जब हम को पता लगा कि इस का स्टेंडर्ड बना हुआ है, उस वक्त हमारी दुकान में कोई मिक्स्ड माल नहीं था। हमारे पास ३५० रुपये मन से ले कर ६०० रुपये मन तक का माल था। जब काफोरेशन के द्वारा कोर्ट में सैम्पल भेजे गए, तो नौ सैम्पलों में से पांच पास हो गए धीर चार फ़ेल हो गये। जो चार सैम्पल फ़ैल हुए, वे हमारे सब से अच्छे माल के थे। वे मायस्टर की बिना पर फ़ेल हो गये। कत्थे की जो हल्की क्वालिटी होती है, जो कि ड्राई ट्रीज या रूट्स से बनती है ब्रौर जिस की कीमत ३२४ रुपये या ३४० रुपये मन होती है, उस में चार परसेंट एश होता है, जब कि गवर्नमेंट के ला के मुताबिक हाफ़ परसेंट होना चाहिये। जबिक गवर्नमेंट के लोगों के भ्रनुसार उस में माधा परसेंट म्राना चाहिये था। हमने इसको मागे मृव किया। हम प्योर चीज बचते हैं भ्रीर प्योर करके ही हमने बेचा था। उस में कोई मिक्सचर नहीं था। हम मिनिस्ट्री में गये श्रौर वहां पर इस मामले पर सोच विचार हुन्ना। जो स्टैंडर्ड बनाथा वह १६५५ में बनाथा। उस वक्त कोई भी कमेटी वगैरह ने इसको नहीं बनाया था । देहरादून फारेस्ट इं स्टीट्यूट के जरिये सिर्फ मिल मेड के कत्थे का स्टेंडर्ड ही बनाया गया। जो काटज इडस्ट्री में बनता है, वह बहुत बढ़िया कत्था होता है। इसको मिनिस्ट्री ने भी माना भौर उसके श्राधार पर एडवाइस दे दी।

डा॰ सी॰ बी॰ सिंह: ग्रापने ग्रपने मैमोरेंडम में कहा है कि कत्थे को ग्राटिकल ग्राफ फूड न माना जाए। ग्राप क्या इस बात को मानते हैं कि कत्था लाखों लोग खाते हैं? ग्रगर यह बात सही है तो किस तरह से ग्राप कहते हैं कि इसको ग्राटिकल ग्राफ फूड न माना जाये?

श्री विशान बास मेहता: कत्था हम रा मैटीरियल के तौर पर बेचते हैं। हमारे यहां से जो लोग कत्था ले जाते हैं उसको वे जा कर बायल करते हैं ग्रौर फिर छानते हैं ग्रौर तब उसको वे पान पर लगाते हैं। चूंकि यह एक रा मैटीरियल है जो हम बचते हैं इस वास्ते हमारा कहना यह है कि इसको भ्राटिकल भ्राफ फूड न माना जाए।

डा० सी० बी० सिंह: वह खाया तो जाता है, यह तो श्राप भी मानते हैं। तब श्रापका यह कहना कि श्राटिकल श्राफ फूड इसको न माना जाए, वह गलत हो गया।

श्री तुलशीवास जाधव : यह तो ठीक है कि पतला करके लगाते हैं। लेकिन देहातों में कत्था खाते भी हैं, क्या यह भापको मालूम है ?

श्री विश्वनदास मेहता: नार्दर्न इंडिया श्रीर यू०पी० वगैरह में पतला किया जाता है।

भी तुलशीवास जामव : माराष्ट्र में पान के साथ इसका टुकड़ा खाते हैं पतजानहीं करते हैं।

सभापति महोदया : उनको माल्म हो कि खाया जाता है। लेकिन वह चाहते हैं कि कत्थे को इस में मत लाइये।

श्री रतनचन्य मेहता: हम इसके खिलाफ नहीं हैं कि ग्रगर एडल्ट्रेशन कोई करता है तो उसको सजा न दी जाये यह एडल्ट्रेटिड चीज मार्किट में बिके जो भ्रप-राधी पाया जाये उसको सख्त सजा मिलनी चािये। हम अगर एडल्ट्रेशन करें तो भगवान के भौर कानून के भी हम सजादार होंगे। लेकिन जो गुनाहगार नहीं उसको सजा नहीं मिलनी चािये, उसके खिलाफ एकशन नहीं होना चाि्ये, यह हमारा कहना है। तूफान म्रादि म्राने से भट्टे के अपर उसका ग्रसर पड़ता है ग्रीर क्वालिटी में भी फर्क पड़ जाता है। मिलों की तरह से काटेज इंडस्ट्री वालों के पास सहलियतें तो हैं नहीं तो फिर मिलों के स्टेंडर्ड तक वे कैसे पहुंच सकते हैं?

सभापति महोदया : ग्रापका प्वांइत समझ में भा गया है । ग्रब ग्रापको क्या कुछ ग्रीर कहना है ? श्री विद्यानस्य मेहता: जो सैम्पल लिये जाते हैं उनको ग्रगर डिफेंट लैंबोरेटरीज में भेजा जाए तो डिफेंस रिजल्ट ग्राते हैं, ग्रलग ग्रलग रिपोर्ट्स ग्राती हैं। कोई ऐसी व्यवस्था की जानी चाहिये कि शुरू से ही दो लैंबोरेटरीज की रिपोर्ट्स मांगी जायें। एक तो कारपोरेशन की जो लैंबोरेटरी है उसकी रिपोर्ट मांगी जाए ग्रौर दूसरी किसी ग्रौर रिपार्ट ग्राने के बाद ही किसी को खिलाफ प्रोसीड किया जाए। इसका कारण यह है कि इसका जो एनेलैंकिस होना है वह बहुत ही डेलीफेंट होता है। इन वास्ते एक रिसपांसबल लैंबोरेटरी से इसका एनेलेसिस करवाना बहुत जरूरी है।

श्री विश्वनं मेहता: में एक ध्रजं ग्रीर करना चाहता हूं। गवनंमेंट चाहती है कि एडल्ट्रेशन खत्म हो जाये। शहरी होने के नाते हम भी इस मामले में ग्रापको एक राय देना चाहते हैं। जो इस ट्रेड वाला है वह इस चीज को जितनी ग्रच्छी तरह से समझ सकता है, उतनी ग्रच्छी तरह से समझ सकता है। जब कोई केस चलाया जाए तो एसोशिएशन के एक मेम्बर की या किसी मैनुफैक्चरर की या इस ट्रेड में कोई ग्राद री जो लगा है ग्रीर जिसको ग्राप ईमानदार समझते हैं उसकी भी जुरिसडिकशन केस में होनी चाहिये। जुरी में ट्रेड का भी रिप्रिजेंटेटिव होना चाहिये।

Shri R. K. Ramadhyani: The Committee of Standards has got two representatives, one from trade and one from industry.

श्री विश्वनचन्द मेहता: श्रव तो ऐसा है कि मैजिस्ट्रेट को जो फैसला देना होत है उसे वह दे देता है श्रीर कह देता है कि चूंकि एडलट्रेशन पाया गया है, इस वास्ते इसको इतनी सजा दी जाती है। लेकिन श्राप देखें कि इनकम टैक्स के

मामले में क्या होता है। आई. टी. म्रो फैसला दे देता है, उसके बाद म्रगर कोई अपील करना चाहता है तो उसके लिये द्रिब्यूनल होता है जिस में तीन भ्रादमी होते हैं। ग्रब उसमें तीन ग्रादिमयों का दिमाग काम करता है जब कि ब्राई. टी. ब्रो. ने श्रकेले ही फैसला दे दिया होता है। इस वास्ते में कहना चाहता हूं कि एसोसिएशन के किसी मैम्बर को या ब्यापारी को किसी को जिसको गवर्नमेंट ईमानदार समझती हो भौर जिस पर उसका एतबार हा भ्रानरेरी कैपेसेटी में रख ले भीर फैसला देने से पहले उसकी भी राय ले ली जाए तो ज्यादा भ्रच्छा होगा। इस तरह से जो यह एडलट्रेशन है यह जल्दी बन्द हों सकता है, बजाय इसके कि कानून को सस्त किया जाये।

सभापति महोदयाः भ्रापको ग्रीर की कुछ कहनाहै ?

श्री बसबन्तः में एक सवाल पूछना चाहता हं।

विटनेस ने कहा है कि ालो लकड़ी होने से कत्था सब-स्टेंडर्ड बन जाता है। मेरे डिस्ट्रिक्ट में तो काटेज इंडस्ट्री इसकी बहुत है। वहां पर लकड़ी के पीस बना कर बायल कर लेते हैं और हालो लकड़ी का कोई सम्बन्ध उसमें नहीं होता है।

खैर की झार्ड़ी में पोला (हैलो) लकड़ी बहुत कम होती रहती है, क्या यह भी उनको मालुम है ?

श्री विश्वनचन्द मेहता: खैर ट्री के हार्डवुड में कित्या निकाता है। जो ड्राई द्रांहोता है उसमें से हस्की वालिटी का कत्या किलता है श्रीर जो गीन होता है, उसमें से बढ़िया क्वालिटो का । इसकी उहुत सा वेराइटीज होती हैं श्रीर यह पचास कार्य से ले कर श्राठ सौ क्पये मन तक विकता है। Shri Rattan Chand Mehta: May I clarify that? When a magistrate is to try such cases, a representative from that trade should be associated to know whether it is actually adulterated in the real sense that there is some foreign matter or there is only a technical defect like excessive moisture.

Shri Yamuna Prasad Mandal: You have said on page 4 of your Memorandum that because the restriction imposed by the Government on Katha in this manner is bound to result in completely obliterating the cottage industry of manufacture of Katha in jungles and would therefore be opposed to the directive principles contained in the Constitution. Again on page 5 you say that it is void under Article 13 of the Constitution as violating the fundamental rights guaranteed to the petitioners under Articles 19(1) (f) and (g) and 14 of the Constitution. How do you explain this?

Shri Rattan Chand Mehta: The traders are dealing only in raw material; they are not selling it as ready-to-eat food. The traders or the manufaturers, what they are not miximum anything, are selling it as a raw product.

Shri Yamuna Prasad Mandal: You try to procure the raw material from, katha tree and not the adulterated raw material.

सभापति महोदया : ग्रापका कहना कि जो बोने वाले हैं, जो गेहूं बेचने वाले हैं, जो ग्राटा बेचते हैं उनको न पकड़ा जाए, बल्कि जो रोटी बेचते हैं उनको ही पकड़ा जाए।

Shri Rattan Chand Mehta: Adulteration is possible only at that level.

Chairman: It is possible at all levels.

Shri Rattan Chand Mehta: As far as admixture of soap stone or earth

or clay is concerned, heavy punishment should be provided.

Chairman: You have made that quite clear. You need not elaborate that

Shri Yamuna Prasad Mandal: I would request him to withdraw these things at least in his own interest.

Chairman: In his interest, he should withdraw these two points (3) and (5). You, being a lawyer, should know that the substitution of food by something else is an offence. After all it is ultimately consumed by the human beings. Anyway, you have tried to give your guidance in the course of your evidence.

On behalf of the Members of the Select Committee, I would like to extend my thanks to you.

(The witness then withdrew.)

(The Committee then adjourned to meet again at 13-30 hours).

(The Committee reassembled at 13.30 hours).

IV. The Tea Packeters Association of India, Calcutta

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri T. S. Nagarajan
- 2. Shri R. N. Das.

V. Brooke Bond India Private Limiteð Calcutta

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri T. S. Nagarajan
- 2. Shri J. C. Brock.

VI. Calcutta Tea Traders Association Calcutta

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri J. C. Brock
- 2. Shri M. R. Smith
- 3. Shri J. Hollander.

WII. Calcutta Tea Merchants' Association, Calcutta

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri M. G. Patel
- 2. Shri Jayantilal H. Shah
- 3. Shri P. M. Rajgopal.

(Witnesses were called in and they took their seats)

Chairman: Gentlemen, the evidence that you will be giving here will be treated as public unless you specifically mention that the whole of it or a part of it should be treated as confidential. Even in the latter case, it will be circulated to the Members of the Committee and to the Members of Parliament. We have received the memoranda from you and they have been distributed to all the Members of the Committee. If you want to stress any other points pertaining to the amendments under consideration, you are most welcome. You may explain within five or ten minutes whatever you want to explain and then the Members would like to put certain questions to you and you can answer them afterwards.

Shri T. S. Nagarajan: I am very grateful to the hon, Members for giving us an opportunity to appear before you to represent our difficulties and our viewpoints that we wish to make.

I would like to emphasise that we are fully aware that there is a need for strengthening and tightening the rules for prevention of adulteration. We wish to point out certain practical difficulties which we are confronting here.

The first amendment is one underclause 7 which is classified under (a) and (b) of the Bill. There are two types of punishments. Under (a), the minimum sentence of six months is imposed upto a maximum of two years and fine. We have no difficulty about that. We feel that that is very necessary. But there are other things which are of a minor or technical

nature. Suppose it may be the question of the code number of the packet or the label or certain other smaller details of the packet. At the present movement it is upto the discretion of the judge to decide what the quantum of punishment should be. It may be either punishment or fine or both depending upon the gravity of the offence. But in the new amendment which is proposed, it is said that the punishment will be imprisonment for two years and fine. We feel that would cause a lot of hardship to the traders who will have to go to jail just for a simple or a technical offence. I make that submission for the consideration of the Committee.

The next point is again relating to the same thing which covers clause 2 of the present Bill which classifies the types of offences from (a) tr, (1). There again, we feel that clauses (a) to (k) indicate deliberate and wilful intention. We are trying to make a distinction here between wilful and deliberate intention and the accidential offence. After all, the purpose of the Act is to prevent adulteration of food with dangerous and injurious chemicals and to punish the people concerned. We do not want them to escape the law whoever it is. anti-social evil must be dealt with severely. There is one sub-clause (1) which relates to chemical standards. In the plantation industry, both for tea and coffee particularly we have a problem. There are certain prescribed standards in the Act under (1). It has been our experience that there are certain types of genuine teas which do not sometimes conform to the prescribed standards. We have had a series of experiments carried out. We had sent some of the teas to two or three laboratories and we found wide variations. It is because tea is a commodity which is grown in gardens and it varies from plantation to plantation in different parts of Darjeeling and Assam. It is not possible to establish a definite standard which every type of tea should conform to.

I would like to submit that when you consider tea, you must remember

that it is more consumed for its taste and flavour than as an item of food. You must have heard about famous tea tasters. They taste the product in certain types of blends and then they buy it. Even the quality control practised in the plantation industry is based on taste. There are certain teas which may be chemically all right according to the prescribed standards but then the tea tasters would not pass them for the taste because they will reject them on the basis of taste. Similarly there are teas which have been passed by the tea tasters, but which do not conform to the tea standards, one way or the other.

We feel that the minimum of six months' imprisonment for any type of offence including non-conformation of standards will be hardly fair. There is no way of knowing whether the articles which we get conform to the standards or not. For instance, buy coffee seeds from the Coffee Board. Therefore, some distinction may be made between adulteration coming under sub-clauses (a) to, (k) and what is contained in sub-clause (1). As it is, under the law, even for an offence falling under sub-clause (1), one is punishable with 6 months' imprisonment. We have, in this connection, a proviso to be incorporated in clause 7 of the Bill on page 2 of our memorandum, which says:

"Provided, however, that in the case of Tea and Coffee, and such other similar products, if they are found to be affected only under sub-clause (1) of clause (i) of Section 2, the person dealing in such products shall not be liable for the penalty of imprisonment.

Again, it is upto the judge to decide the matter. It may be a marginal case. It is upto him to decide whether that requires a definite punishment of 6 months' imprisonment or fine or even acquittal.

There are two other points which the Tea Packeters Association have made and Shri Das will deal with those points. Shri R. N. Das: Our memorandum covers mainly three items. The first relates to clause 7 which Shri Nagarajan has just now explained. I do not have much to add to what he has said, except one or two things. After that I will take up clauses 5(ii) and 5(iii) as covered by the memorandum.

The first thing is that there should not be any compulsory imprisonment for the first offence. Reading the Act as it stands today and reading the proposed amendment as published in the gazette, I have no doubt that the purpose behind this legislation is tostop adulteration and not to give definite punishment to the people whoadulterate. On behalf of the Packeters Association, I can assure you that we are prepared to give 100 per cent support to this policy. Wealso agree that there should be stringent law as far as adulteration is concerned. But looking at the definition of the word 'adulteration', this Bill covers not only adulteration as it appears to a lay man, but also other technical things.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Do you agree with (a) to (k) in the definition?

Shri R. N. Das: There I agree with what Shri Nagarajan has said.

Having said that, I will now refer to clause 5(ii) of the Bill, as covered by our memorandum on pages 2 and 3. So far as this clause is concerned, it is proposed in the Bill to insert a proviso after sub-section (4) of the Act. That proviso reads:

"Provided that whenever the food inspector keeps in the safe custody of the vendor such article, the vendor shall execute a bond for a sum of money equal to the value of such article with one or more sureties as the food inspector deems fit".

The suggestion of the Tea Packeters Association as covered in their memorandum is that there will be no difficulty so far as execution of bond is concerned. But there are practical

difficulties in the matter of giving sureties sometimes. The idea behind the legislation is not to make monetary gain for the Government, but to stop adulteration. There are already sufficient measures taken against the offender because he can be punished if he tampers with the seized article of food. In addition to this, if sureties are also to be furnished by the dealer, then it becomes very difficult. The suggestion is that the provision regarding one or two sureties may be deleted. Let the proviso remain as it is upto the execution of bond. We have indicated in our memorandum a draft of the proviso.

Coming to clause 5(iii) of the Bill, it is proposed in the Bill that the words "as far as possible, call not less than two persons to be present at the time when such action is taken and take their signatures" contained sub-section (7) of the Act will deleted. Under the proposed amendment, the clause provides only for one witness. The suggestion made by the Tea Packeters Association in their memorandum is that the law should provide for independent witnesses. That will be very fair for both the parties. Since the number is going to be reduced from two to one, independent witness should be provided for in this clause.

These are the three suggestions which I would like to place before the Committee.

Shri T. S. Nagarajan: Speaking for the Brooke Bond India Private Limited, I have nothing more particularly to add to what is contained in our memorandum except that we have got a table to submit in order to prove what we have stated here that there is no noticeable relationship between the trade standards and the chemical specifications required under the Act so far as tea and coffee are concerned. We usually go by taste. Similarly, we buy coffee seeds from the Coffee Board which is a Government owned body. We have no control over the raw material so far as

coffee i_S concerned. Then, some cognizance must be taken of the fact that as the word 'adulteration is defined...

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You said that (a) to (k) is all right, but (1) should not come under this. We have caught your point. Anybody else?

Shri J. C. Brock: I have got an analysis table. I will pass it on to you.

Here there are three tables. first table shows the analytical results relative to one tea that appeared to us to be of a reasonable standard according to the normal practice of sight and taste which was later analysed by seven different analysts to see whether in fact it was of the standard-there was some doubt about it. And Table I shows the results of the seven different analysts who tested the same tea. The difficulty we would like to stress is that they vary considerably that at present the basis for imprisonment or any other sentence in clause (1) is that the tea does not come up to a particular standard. It is quite the different analysts obvious that produce different results, and where the variation can be as much as 5 per cent on a particular item it is very difficult to know whether the tea is actually below a particular standard or not.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The analysis is very difficult to be exact, that is your point?

Shri J. C. Brock: We feel that there should be at least two analytical results if any serious action is to be taken.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: What is the point, that the same tea analysed by different people produces different results?

Shri J. C. Brock: Yes, it varies considerably, and therefore any one analytical test is not sufficient to carry any weight.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Samples from the same tea?

Shri J. C. Brock: Exactly the same tea

Going on to Table II, when we found out the results of Table I, we decided to take three teas sold in auctions and have them analysed to see whether the analytical results vary. Though the variation is not so great, you will see a noticeable variation in the case of one tea which to my astonishment was one that had been sold in the auction five or seven times. From our trade standards we never knew that there was anything wrong with it. But the acid insoluble ash was high. Our trade standards cannot possibly cope with it.

Table III shows the analytical results in respect of coffee. And we found that a large percentage of the coffee sold at Government auctions is sub-standard according to the specifications.

Therefore it is quite impossible for traders to conform to these standards, because the standards appear to be too high. Though we will take this up with the Health Ministry, if this amendment is put through we will be in extreme danger, because a lot of coffee is sub-standard.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Anyway, we shall examine it

Chairman: The next is the Calcutta Tea Traders Association

Shri J. C. Brock: That is myself again.

In addition to our memorandum, one point I would like to stress is that it is commercially impracticable to have the teas analysed on a wide scale. As I have already pointed out, quite a large quantity of tea and coffee is being sold which are sub-standard and not adulterated. If the penalty of imprisonment is retained, there would be a danger of the producers, brokers, buyers and everybody going to jail for no true offence.

The other point is that if this is brought in it is a very serious matter because all of us will be liable to go to jail and this would certainly cause a considerable stir. And in the tea industry in particular the speed of handling the commodity is a very important factor. As you know, it is export-oriented and a lot of tea goes for export. And if we have to do analytical business on this scale it will delay and hold up the whole system in Calcutta, and it would also have very unpleasant export publicity in the export markets.

Chairman: The next is the Calcutta
Tea Merchants' Association

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: I have not very much to add. We agree to subclauses (a) to (k) in clause 2. But only about sub-clause (1), as Mr. Nagarajan has already explained, I want to stress that we must understand that adulteration is nothing but the addition of an extraneous matter, which is foreign matter, which is not part and parcel of the tea.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Suppose used tea, brewed tea, is dried and put back. Is that foreign matter?

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: Yes.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That is only tea leaf.

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: But it will give a different result in the analysis.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Say, dust.

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: Any matter which is added to tea should be taken as an adulterant and not substandard tea.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: If the decoction has been taken out and the leaves are dried and put back again, it will be only sub-standard.

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: It will give some different result on analysis.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: So you are going back to analysis.

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: Some of the gentlemen from ISI are here. They can guide us in this matter. We had so much discussion on this matter. Dehra Dun tea which is being marketed is sub-standard according to the P.F.A. Act at present, because it does not conform to the standard. But the infused tea, that is tea once used, and the Dehra Dun tea will not give the same results.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: In other words you are confirming that analysis of tea is necessary, what your other friends have been objecting to

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: We say it is necessary when it is found that some tea is sub-standard. After all, it is not possible for the Health Department to check all the tea.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: There can be only random sampling.

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: Therefore we say that for sub-standard tea the punishment should not be like that.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You don't want imprisonment for sub-standard tea?

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: Exactly.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: What is your definition for sub-standard tea?

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: Tea which is varying with the prescribed standard.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: How do we put the standard for tea? It is against the lowest standard or the highest standard. What they have done is that they have taken a large number of samples; and having taken a large number of samples and having analysed them they have taken the lowest denominator, not the highest denominator, as the standard. They have put in their standard the lowest.

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: It leaves no margin.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: If your tea does not even conform to the lowest standard, the implications are quite obvious. In fact, as I explained to some other friends, the charge against us is that because we take the lowest denominator we leave the door open for the adulterator. He adulterates and brings it to the lowest denominator; in doing so he sometimes overshoots and comes below the lowest denominator and gets into trouble and comes to us and says 'you should alter the standards'. Having already taken the standard at the lowest it becomes very difficult. I think that the thing to do for the Standards Committee is not to fix it at the lowest but to take the average or something like that. But the whole idea was not to be hard on the trade. So far as you are concerned you are a highly organised trade, but there are all kinds of trade, and that is why the lowest denominator was taken. But here it seems that there is objection even to that.

I would like to explain one or two points here. With regard to subclauses (a) to (k) and (1) we shall examine it, and the Committee may have other questions to ask. With regard to sureties and bonds, the whole idea was this. Sometimes it is a vendor, a hawker, who is going round selling something. You take a bond from him. Then he just disappears. You cannot trace him afterwards. And he may have been selling something which was very very dangerous for health. That is why the idea of surety was brought in. It is not meant for the man that you can get at who has an established place trade and so on. About 'independent witness' again, I am told that the definition of the word 'independent witness' will create so many complications that almost nobody may be an independent witness. He either knows one party or the other party with the result that every case will fail on the definition of 'independent witness'.

Chairman: What is your idea about 'independent witness'?

Shri R. N. Das: What is meant here is that the witness who is not brought by the food inspector.....

Chairman: If he is brought by the vendor?

Shri R. N. Das: We do not want either the food inspector's man or the vendor's name. We want one who is not interested in either party. Since the food inspector is treated as a public officer, he can ask anyone to come as a witness. That man cannot refuse it because if he refuses to come, he will be charged for refusing to obey the public officer's command. Therefore, there is a definite provision of food inspector to get witness who is neither connected with him nor connected with the vendor.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: With regard to the standards that you have complained of and that there are difficulties and differences, these things presented to the Government and the Government set up a committee with the Indian Standards Institute and that Committee has met in Calcutta. Dr. Subramaniam was the convener of the Committee and the Brooke Bond and some other Tea Packeters and the Tea Board were all represented in the Committee. They have presome draft standards as a result of that meeting and these are at present under circulation. After they are examined and the objections received, if any, they will be revised accordingly. So, there is no difficulty about revising the standards.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: All that you are saying is that your raw material is derived from nature and that raw material varies in different zones. That is the question of fixing standards.

Shri J. C. Brock: The standards re slightly too high. They are not the lowest common denominator. It does mean that purities should be reduced and definitely some of the coffees are sub-standard. The standards are a little higher.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Anyway, these standards are under examination. There is a large-scale adulteration of tea going round in the market. Two or three years back I was travelling in a train and there was lying a very huge consignment of these packages of Lipton's tea and the man

travelling with those packages informed me how they were mixing some saw dust which was roasted and made to look like tea. This is the most highly paying proposition. A large scale of adulteration in tea is going on at present.

Shri J. C. Brock: I would like to say, probably you are mis-informed. I am certain that it is not the Liptons or Brooke Bond....

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Not the Liptons or Brooke Bond. The original people may not be doing it. But there are some other people who are doing this. They mix roasted saw dust with tea. All kinds of rubbish is put in with a little amount of tea and that is sold to the consumer.

Shri J. C. Brock: That is not very often.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Here are the adulterants in tea and coffee, coal tar dyes, exhausted tea and coffee extracted, redried and sold; foreign leaves in tea; date husks; tamarind husk; black gram husk in tea and coffee; chickory in coffee without declaration; sand and grate; saw dust; waste products and excessive stalk in tea. If you put a lot of stalks in tea instead of leaves, the consumer does not get what he is paying for.

Shri J. C. Brock: I can appreciate all this. There must be chemical standards. There is no doubt about that. What we do feel is that coffee and tea is sold through the normal trade channels without any question of adulteration.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Have you got your own laboratory facilities.

Shri J. C. Brock: There is no relation between the standards....

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Standards are being revised in consultation with you.

Shri J. C. Brock: We shall have no objection. But at the moment we are worried that under this Act we are liable to sentence if we have substandard tea. We fully realise that we have got to have some standards. The standards should be

lowered. Everybody is trying to prepare the best possible tea. The majority of the tea is exported.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: It is almost impossible to get good tea in the market, in Delhi, at present.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: We are so used to bad tea that there is a sort of repulsion to good tea.

Shri Jayanti Lal H. Shah: I would like to stress one another thing. There is the Tea Board. They are well-equipped with all these analysis laboratories and other things. Why this is not handed over to them instead of taking it under P.F.A. Act?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That is not possible. The Health Ministry cannot give up its responsibility to the Tea Board.

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: The overall control of the Health Ministry may be there. There are the municipalities in small towns. They are not well-equipped with laboratories. If the Health authorities agree, all the samples could be sent to the Tea Board or to one laboratory at one place. The analysis report may be taken from one centre only. So, the reports will not vary. The general trader is not harassed unnecessarily.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: There is only one appellate authority for the whole of India. You can go in appeal. It will be only one laboratory, that is, the Calcutta laboratory. There will be one analysis report.

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: By the time we go in appeal, it takes two years and the goods get deteriorated.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You can say that the process should be expedited.

Chairman: As to whom it should be entrusted, that Government will consider. Your point is that the procedure should be expedited.

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: What I mean to say is that there should be some provision in the Act that the result of the analysis be known....

Chairman: Let us restrict ourselves to the amendments under consideration.

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: There are analysis methods.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: What you are saying is already provided for. The results of analysis shall be sent to the court within a month. It is already provided.

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: But that goes to the court. The party should be intimated.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You want to get the result of the analysis within a month?

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: Within 15 days. There is no scope for the party to get things decided.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We have understood your point. Any other point?

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: There are teas which are going to outside countries such as Port Sudan or America. Every country has got its own prescribed limit. Port Sudan has a different limit; USA has got different limit.

Shri P. K. Deo: Limit of what?

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: Limit of standard. If we export tea to Port Sudan to suit their limit, then it should not be treated adulterated. I do not want to mix up adulteration and sub-standard. These are two different things.

I also suggest that one more extra set of samples be given to the trader or vendor so that he can get it analysed by any Government approved analyst.

Chairman: If you want to add anything to what your brother witnesses have said, you are most welcome. It is no use repeating the same thing. After all, your problems are more or less similar.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I would like to tell these friends that the Tea Board and the Ministry of International Trade have firmly opposed the export of sub-standard tea which our friends here want to export. If we export sub-standard tea, we lose foreign market and we get a bad name for the country. I am sorry we cannot lower the standard for purposes of export simply because a certain country does not care for good tea. We want every country to know that tea produced in India is of a high standard.

Shri P. K. Deo: Is it true that Ceylon has stolen a march ahead of India so far as tea export is concerned because of our sub-standard tea?

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: I would not say no. In Ceylon the cost of production is lower than in India. That is why Ceylon is having much better export of tea.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Why is the cost of production less there? Wages are higher there.

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: It is due to many factors. For instance, yield is more there.

Shri P. K. Deo: Or, is it because of middleman's profit here?

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: I do not think so.

Shri J. C. Brock: The answer to that question is this. In recent years Ceylon did more effective propaganda abroad. Now of course Tea Board is doing it for Indian tea. But Ceylon took a lead for a while. Added to that, unfortunately, in the last two years our Northern Indian crop has been very bad due to drought conditions whereas Ceylon crop was very good. Naturally Ceylon's export has gone up and Indian export has come down. But now Indian crop is going ahead.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Has it anything to do with export of sub-standard tea?

Shri J. C. Brock: It has nothing todo with that. India has maintained very good standard. It is a question of supply and demand. When wehave a sure crop, we will improve our export especially when countries like Russia and Egypt do not buy from others even when our price is higher than elsewhere.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: A friend of mine sent me a packet of Ceylon tea. It was so delicious that I tried to hide it in my cup-boards so that I could have it daily for my morning tea. Unfortunately some rats got at it and I had to throw it away.

Dr. C. B. Singh: But that won't bethe general standard in Ceylon.

Shri J. C. Brock: Ceylon tea 1s. extremely nice and I am glad that the Minister appreciated it.

Shri Yamuna Prasad Mandal: You referred to propaganda and publicity. Do you think that this amendment will affect our export publicity?

Shri J. C. Brock: In a way it coulds affect because of these very heavy punishments provided for in the Bill.

Shri Yamuna Prasad Mandal: For that reason do you think that adulterated tea should enter foreign market?

Shri J. C. Brock: There is no question of tea being adulterated. Adulteration means mixing with foreign matter. This tea is being produced from the gardens and there is no question of adulteration.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: If there is toomuch stalk in tea, would you call it adulteration?

Shri J. C. Brock: For that there should be some standard of tea waste. That would make it quite simple.

Dr. C. B. Singh: You make a point in your memorandum that if the article is not found adulterated under any of the sub-clauses (a) to (k) of section 2, but only under sub-clause. (L), it should not be treated as adulterated, there being no wilful attempt.

to adulterate. You agree that the extreme penalty of imprisonment only should be awarded in cases of wilful adulteration. On whom will lie the onus of proof that there is no wilful attempt to adulterate?

Shri R. M. Das: If the public analyst's report does not say that any foreign material is added, if the public analyst does not say that what he has analysed is injurious to health, but it contains only a very few percentage of certain chemical substances which are not within the control of any human agency then there is no wilful attempt to adulterate it. In the tea industry what happens is that the tea is plucked from the garden and sent to the brokers for auction in Calcutta. Teas from different gardens and with different tastes are brought and blended. The tea tasters go for the taste and flavour. They can give a guarantee that it is hundred per cent tea leaf.

Dr. C. B. Singh: On whom lies the onus of proving that there is no wilful adulteration? I am quite specific in my question.

Shri J. C. Brock: I have been informed that through various tests the chemists can tell whether there has been actual adulteration or not.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The chemist cannot tell.

Dr. C. B. Singh: In other words, no one can recognise it.

Shri R. N. Das: The Public Analyst can say whether something has been added to it from outside or whether something has been extracted from it.

Dr. C. B. Singh: What is the International practice for tea?

Shri J. C. Brock: The international practice for tea is entirely based on taste and palate, controlled by tea tasters and buyers who buy on those standards and those standards alone. If there are any standards in U.K., they are certainly never used, and it is purely palate and taste. This is

what is done in every country in the world.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You will agree that the menace of adulteration is not quite the same in that country as it is in India.

Shri J. C. Brock: I would like tostress that we do realise that you have got to have standards and you have got to try and stop adulteration. What worries us basically is that t may prevent geuine tea to pass. If we can have the assurance that this will allow genuine tea to pass, there is not really any problem.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: What do you think about the punishment when foreign material is added?

Shri J. C. Brock: We would think: the penalty is too !ax.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Too light. When you collect tea, there are two leaves which are not good tea, and there are the tender leaves which make good tea. One method of adulteration is to use the inferior leaf which should not go into tea.

Shri J. C. Brock: I do not think so. The only adulteration which really takes place is the one to which you made a reference earlier, that is tea which has already been used brewed tea, being put back again. I do not think there is any sub-standard tea coming from the garden.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: You have stated here:

"Provided, however, that in the case of Tea and Coffee and such other similar products, if they are found to be affected etc".

What are they?

Shri J. C. Brock: We could not take too partisan a view, and we thought there might be other plantation products which are in the same position as tea and coffee. We can only speak of tea and coffee.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Therefore you don't want anything for products other than tea and coffee?

Shri J. C. Brock: Not for ourselves.

Dr. Mahadeva Prasad: In the memorandum of the Tea Packeters Association it has been said:

"In the interest of justice we propose that the law should provide for independent witnesses, particularly as the proposed Amendment contemplates only one witness instead of two."

May I know what you mean by these independent witnesses and how many of them do you want to be provided in the Act?

Shri R. N. Das: Independent witness means a person who is not interested either on the food inspector's side or on the seller's side. Since the law gives power to the food inspector to call anybody, any shopkeeper, as a witness, the shopkeeper cannot refuse him. What we have intended is, let not a man be brought by the food inspector who is his own employee and ask him to stand as a witness. Let there be impartiality. We are not suggesting the seller's man.

Chairman: This question was answered a few minutes back.

Dr. Mahadeva Prasad: Who is independent and who is not? You may say he is not.

Shri R. N. Das: I can only say, whom an ordinary prudent man would consider independent. Nothing further than that.

Shri J. C. Brock: May I answer that? A person not attached to the Food Department or the Government, and not attached to the actual firm which is selling it, is an independent witness.

Chairman: That is to say,, by independent witness you mean what is given in the relevant section of the Criminal Procedure Code, that is, some respectable witness in the locatity?

Shri R. N. Das: Yes, according to the ordinary law of the land.

Shrimati Yyotsna Chanda: It has been stated in your memorandum:

"The mere fact that the ultimate product is sub-standard as compared to the standards prescribed in the rules, through nobody's fault, should not make the persons handling the commodity liable for compulsory imprisonment as proposed in the amendments."

What do you suggest instead of this?

Shri J. C. Brock: The original punishments in the P.F.A. Act should be retained where the magistrate has the right and the discretion to determine whether he is going to impose a fine or imprisonment, depending on the nature of the case.

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: In our statement you have said that inferior quality of tea can only be called substandard, not adulterated. When substandard tea is used, is it not harmful to the health?

Shri J. C. Brock: No, it may be sub-standard on a minor issue like insoluble ash content. In fact, you can get very good tea from brewed stalks, if necessary.

Chairman: Mr. Nagarajan referred to clause 7 of the Bill and said that (a) to (k) may be treated under clause (i) where the minimum punishment of six months can be imposed and that (1) may be treated differently; and he made a reference to (b) of clause 7 on page 3 of the amending Bill which says "in other cases, with imprisonment for a term which may extend to two years and with fine". That means, which may extend from a single day's imprisonment to two years in the case of others. It has to be interpreted that way.

And in the case of clause 5, subclause (ii) of the amending Bill, perhaps Mr. Rajagopal said.... Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: I said.

Chairman:something about sureties. The question of the sureties making the compensation would arise only where the sealed stuff is tampered with. Till then it does not arise at all. It is left to the discretion of the inspector to choose one or two. The main idea is that a surety will be able to fully compensate in case any loss is incurred.

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: In the memorandum of the Calcutta Tea Merchants' Association they have said that this "has already done considerable damage to the prestige of Indian tea in the different export market. What is the meaning of that; that our tea is not sold in the foreign market?

In the second para, you have said:

"The wide publicity that is given to the seizure of teas which are alleged to be not conforming to the specifications in the existing Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, in the considered opinion of our Association, has already done considerable damage to the prestige of Indian tea in the tea export markets."

Shri Jayantilal H. Shah: It is correct. In many cases, it has happened that the food inspector goes to the godown of a genuine trader and seize the tea. Next morning in the paper it is publicised. In most cases, it is found that the teas are released after two or three months as they are genuine. But publicity has already been given in the papers. So, we had approached the Tea Board for this reason and ultimately we had an agreement between the Corporation, the Tea Board and the Association that they would not give any publicity to this unless the man is convicted.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I take it, Mr. Brock, that you are a good connoisseur of tea.

Shri J. C. Brock: I do happen to be an expert.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: In your experience of the wide world, you have come across various countries which like Indian tea and which do not like Indian tea also.

Shri J. C. Brock: Indian tea used to be consumed in Egypt. During war, because of allocation difficulties, they switched over to Ceylon tea. They did not want to go back to Indian tea. It is a question of people getting used to a particular type of tea.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: To what extent is this popular belief in this country correct that most of the superior quality tea produced in our country is exported while inferior quality is dumped upon the Indian people for their consumption?

Shri J. C. Brock: That is not true. Many of the grades consumed in this country are extremely good. In general, with the exception of a small percentage, the tea consumed here is pretty well up to the standard. Of course, a good range of tea is exported right up to the best tea.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I have seen some of your advertisements in the papers and I find that there is a very elaborate process before your Brooke-Bond tea reaches the consumer. There are so many tests. You do it very well; advertising is a fine art with you. Have you got any supervisory or controlling machinery within the organisation to keep a check on the tea which the retailers sell at the village level?

Shri J. C. Brock: Yes. Indeed our salesmen check the stocks and draw back the old stock. We take steps to see that the stock is fresh and good.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Have you not received reports from the rural areas, particularly, that the tea doled out to the poor people in the villages is mixed with all kinds of things and it is not tea dust only, but real dust?

Shri J. C, Brock: So far as we are concerned, we are very careful to

have the tightest possible control on our supplies and the freshness of our stocks. But it does occasionally occur that our cases are refilled, but I do not think it is a major problem.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: It is not only given to the poor people, but to everybody.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Then it is worse; the Minister supports me.

Shri P. K. Deo: We find that the tea that we get at the Parliament House tea board counter is much better than the same stuff sold in the market.

Shri J. C. Brock: I know that the tea sold in the Government departments is the best quality possible. But it is not available on a large scale. But yet, the standard of tea drunk in India is quite good.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: In the Teo Board counter in Parliament, there is a Brooke-Bond tea which is labelled Sansad. Is it the same as Supreme tea?

Shri J. C. Brock: It is slightly better than our Supreme tea.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Can there be anything better than supreme?

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Since how long have you been in India?

Shri J. C. Brock: I have been in India since 1952. But I have been tasting tea all my life.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: So far as your Association is concerned, you are maintaining the same standard?

Shri J. C. Brock: We try to maintain the best possible standard. I am satisfied that we have always given value for the money.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: What is inferior tea and what is superior tea? If the leaf is bigger, does it constitute inferior tea?

Shri J. C. Brock: That is a question for which I do not think anybody has got a complete answer. There is no

dubt that if tea grows slower and is manufactured properly, it has got: more flavour. Where you put a lot manure and bring it up quickly, it is not so good.

About the hon. Minister's remarks about the quality of Indian tea, I think we have to do sometimes about it.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Not for the Minnster, but for the Indian people.

Shri J. C. Brock: The Idian people generally are turning towards better quality tea.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I am glad to find in the memorandum of Brooke Bond's coming as it does from traders, that they are of the view that any attempt at food adulteration must be strictly and effectively checked.

Shri J. C. Brock: Indeed, we feel strongly about it because, in the first place, it is an anti-social practice and makes people ill and, in the second place, it puts the whole trade into disrepute.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I am sure you are aware of the law and practice in other countries and the kind of draconian and drastic punishment meted out to such anti-social, antipeople offenders and criminals.

Shri J. C. Brock: I do not think that in most of the countries that I visited there is any adulteration.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Neither in Europe nor in America?

Shri J. C. Brock: Certainly not; I have never heard about it.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Shri Kamath will be glad to know that Shri Brock considers the punishment proposed by us for people who adulterate is too mild. Shri J. C. Brock: It is a very serious thing and I do not think you can punish people harsh.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: For the real adulterators there should be harsher punishment.

Shri P. K. Deo: As tea is the largest foreign exchange earner of this counstry, we are anxious that not only the tea that is consumed here but also the tea which is exported should be free from all sorts of adulteration and should be pure and of the requisite standard. Of course, the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act may not be operative in the case of goods which are for export, but do you not feel that we must have a very high standard for tea which is exported and there should be some procedure for examining it before it is packed?

Shri J. C. Brock: I do not think we have to worry about the quality of tea which is exported.

Shri P. K. Deo: Have you received any complaint from the foreign consumers?

Shri J. C. Brook: Never. Indian tea has got extremely good influence. The only complaint that is heard is that it is becoming too expensive for the last two or three years.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: You have said that if there is a sub-standard grade, it should be subject to nominal penalty only. On the one hand, you say that there is no adulteration and you do not accept the definition of adulteration but at the same time you yourself suggest that for sub-standard goods there should be a penalty. How do you reconcile it?

Shri J. C. Brock: I do not think we would be able to convince anybody that sub-standard products should be exempted from punishment. Therefore we have suggested that the penalty should be commensurate with the crime.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: We have provided pently only for adulteration but

you suggest penalty for sub-standard goods also. Therefore, sub-standard thing must also be classified under the definition of adulteration.

Shri J. C. Brock: No. What we feel is that sub-standard products are not to be classified in the same category as adulterated products.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Your point is that adulteration means that there should be some foreign material in it.

भी के ० एल ० बाल्मीकी : बुक बांड चाय काफी मशहूर है। ग्रापने कहा है कि ग्रापने खुद सैम्पल चैकिंग के लिये भेजे हैं। में जानना चाहता हूं कि फूड इंस्पेक्टर से ने खुद ग्रा कर कितने सैम्पल लिये हैं ग्रीर उन में से जांच के फलस्वरूप कितने सैम्पल्ज में एडल्ट्रेशन पाया गया या कितने बिलो स्टेंडर्ड पाये गये ?

Shri J. C. Brock: It is a question that I cannot answer. But the number of samples drawn would be very small. Occasionally the food inspectors draw up samples to check up quality. We have had some trouble with our coffee standards but we have not had any other trouble at all.

श्री के **एल बाल्मीकी**: फूड इंस्पैक्टजं ने कितने लिये हैं?

डा॰ सुझीला नायर : ध्ता नहीं सकते हैं। कहते हैं बहुत थोड़े लिये हैं। जहां तक स्टेंडर्ड का ताल्लुक है उन्होंने बता दिया है कि चाय के स्टेंडर्ड के बारे में उन्हें कोई तकलीफ नहीं हुई है, काफी के स्टेंडर्ड के बारे में जरूर हुई है।

भी के ० एत० बाल्मीकी: जो जितनी ज्यादा बड़ी कम्पनी होती है, उसके सैम्पल लेने से फूड इंस्पैक्टर उतना ही ज्यादा घबराता है क्योंकि वर म्यूनिसिपल कमेटी के जैर-साया होता है । मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या ऐसी भी कोई वहां पर दिक्कत है ? सभापति महोदयाः यह वह कीसे बता सकते हैं।

श्री के एल बाल्मीकी : चाय के छोटे-छोटे पैकेट्स जो देहातों के ग्रन्दर बिकते हैं उनमें समझता हूं कि 30-40 परसेंट के करीब डस्ट होती है, ऐसा क्यों ?

Shri J. C. Brock: I can assure you that Brooke Bonds' products are always up to the standard as far as tea and coffee are concerned and we have never had any worry about it except recently over the coffee standards when we have had some trouble.

भी के० एल० बाल्मीकी : म्रापने लिखा है :—

"In fact there is no visual or tasting standards which would let the seller or buyer know whether any tea does or does not comply with the PFA chemical specification".

कैमिकल स्पैसिफेकेशन को क्लेरिफाई कीजिये।

डा० **शीला नायर** : इसके लिये उनकी लैंबोरेटरी है।

श्री के० एल० बाल्मीकी: स्वाद को बढ़ाने के लिये क्या कोई कैमिकल साल्यूशन या कोई ऐसा प्रासेस भी ग्राप करते हैं ग्रयवा फलैंवर के लिए ?

Shri J. C. Brock: As far as we know there has been no research into the question whether chemical properties in any way affect flavour.

भी के**ं एलः बाल्मीकी :** ग्रीर ब्लैंडिंग करने के लिये ?

Shri J. C. Brock: It is a physical process. Tea is put in a drawn and is mixed. A lot of different kinds of tea is mixed to bring a particular flavour.

श्री के एस वाल्मीकी: कैमिकल प्रासैंसिंग जब ग्राप करते हैं तो उस में इस तरह की कोई चीज तो नहीं होती है जो हैल्थ के लिये इंज्रियस हो ?

डा० मुझीला नायर: ऐसा कुछ नहीं है। इस में डाल कर उसकी धुमाया जाता हैं श्रीर श्रलग श्रलग किसम की चाय नैयार कर ली जाती है।

भी के० एस० बास्मीकी : में कोई साहयुशन तो नहीं डासते ? ===

डा० सुशीला नायर: नहीं।

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: For sheer flavour, which brand of yours is the best?

Shri J. C. Brock: It depends upon what type of tea you like. If you like flavour Samsad and green label tea is best. If you like thick tea our red label tea is the best. Free advice. Thank you very much.

An Hon. Member: Do you mix up any things in the blending?

Shri J. C. Brock: No. Tea is a natural product. There is no chemical process associated at all.

Chairman: We thank you very much. We thank you who are representatives of the different associations of the tea industry who have come all the way. You have been kind enough to give us your views. Thank you.

(The witnesses then withdrew).

VIII. West Bengal Spice Dealers' Federation, Calcutta.

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri Chittaranjan Barat
- 2. Shri Nishi Kanta Halder
- 3. Shri Hirendra Chandra Burman.

IX. Bengal Spice Dealers Association, Calcutta.

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri Ajit Kumar Kar
- 2. Shri Dhirendra Chandra
 Deb
- 3. Shri Dinabandhu Rov.

X. Ahmedabad Spices and Condiments Dealers Association, Ahmedabad.

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri Kantilal Ambalal Shah
- 2. Shri Jayantilal Jethlal Patel
- 3. Shri Ramanlal Jivanlal Shah.

XI. Calcutta Kirana (Spices) Merchants Association, Calcutta.

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri M. L. Tambi
- 2. Shri Joy Kumar Jain
- 3. Shri B. B. Pal.

(Witnesses were called in and they took their seats.)

Chairman: Copies of your Memoranda have already been circulated to all the Members. If you want to say anything important relating to the amendments under contemplation you may stress that point. If you want to add anything, you are also welcome. All that you say will be treated as public. Even such portion which you may treat as confidential may be circulated to Members Parliament. Main spokesman have 5 to 6 minutes each. Afterwards hon Members will put questions which you may kindly answer.

Shri Chittaranjan Barat: The standards laid down in the rules are rather arbitrary and of a tentative nature and should be revised in view of the standards of the Indian Standards Institute. The standards laid down for these spices should be uniform.

The ISI may also be asked to make arrangements for preparing further standards in those things which are not tackled by them as yet.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I.S.I. should be associated with lying down standards. All right. Anything else?

Shri Chittaranjan Barat: The rules may be replaced in the light of such standards

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Any other point?

Shri Chittaranjan Barat: As regards the punishment for infringement they might be according to the gravity of the offence. I might define it a little more. There may be some accidental damage to the goods. They might not be given the maximum penalty. They might be destroyed in the presence of some higher authorities. If it is proved that a person has intentionally adulterated the goods in line with the provisions of the law he might be imprisoned or given any other strict punishment. That is all I have got to say.

Chairman: We take up the next Association, the Bengal Spice Dealers' Association, Calcutta.

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: My humble suggestion is that to stop the adulteration of spices the standard laid down in PFA Rules, as already told by the representative of the previous Association should be changed, amended and medified and chemical examination of spices should be omitted. The total refraction is 5 per cent in all spices. This should be enhanced to a reasonable extent.

Dr. Sushita Nayar: You mean 5 per cent foreign material and this should be enhanced to a reasonable extent. What is your definition of 'reasonable extent'?

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: So far as we have seen, the total refraction does

mot come below the limit of 12 per cent or 13 per cent. So, the specification should be revised accordingly.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: There is a statutory committee which does all these things. Whatever is the correct standard according to your opinion, you can pass it on to that Committee for consideration.

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: Without changing the standards . .

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We are not saying that it should not be changed. This Committee is not revising the standards. This Committee is revising the law and the law provides for a Committee of Experts to go into the standards. Whatever your views regarding the right standards may be passed on to this Committee.

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: I shall be highly obliged if honourable Minister advises us to whom we should send our views.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You please send it to the Health Ministry. We will pass it to the Committee.

Shri Ait Kumar Kar: In sub-clause 1 (b) of Clause 2 of Amending Bill, please insert the following lines—for the purpose of standardisation two alternative representatives should be taken, who will be nominated by the Spice Dealers Federation and the Grain Dealers Federation of Calcutta, Bombay, Delhi and Madras.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: On the Standards Committee you want representatives of your trade.

Shri Ajtt Kumar Kar: The proposed amendment in Section 7 of the principal Act should not be done.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We are not making any amendment to that at all. You don't want the words "in the interest of public health" there. There may be some poisonous matter which

is dangerous to the health of human beings. It may not cause infectious disease, but it may inure the health of the people. That is why we have put this there.

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: The only poisonous article mixed up with spices is lead chromate.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: It is a very serious poison.

Shri Aji: Kumar Kar: For instance, if this honourable committee can stop the production of coloured turmeric, this problem will be solved,

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We are not making the law only for spice dealers.

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: We are speaking only of spices. Section 4 of the amending Bill says: For section 9 c' the principal Act, the following section shall be substituted, namely:

9(1): The Central Government or a State Government may, by notification in the official gazette appoint such persons as it thinks fit having the prescribed qualifications to be Food Inspectors for such areas . ." Here, we may say having the qualifications prescribed by the Central Government .

Chairman: Under Section 23(e) of the original Act it is stated 'the Central Government can make rules in connection with the qualifications, powers and duties of Food Inspectors and Public Analysts . . .'

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: In sub-section 2 of Clause 10 of the original Act, the following should be inserted:

'Article of food which is exposed for sale only'.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You want samples to be taken only from the articles of food exposed for sale and not from godowns or other places.

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: In Sub-section 7 of the Amendment Bill . . .

Dr. Sushila Nayar: What do you want there?

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: In place of one or two inspectors being called as witnesses, it should be raised to four.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Instead of reducing from two, he wants to enhance it to four.

Chairman: It is very difficult to get the witnesses.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Have you finished or have you any other point?

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: In sub-section 2 of Section 16, the following can be substituted:—

"For the first offence there should be only monetary fine."

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You want to limit the first offence to fine.

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: For subsequent offence, there should be either imprisonment, fine or both. Add the following new section after Sec. 16 of the Principal Act:—

"That any previous conviction under this Act, shall not be treated as previous conviction till after six or twelve months of the first offence."

Dr. Sushila Nayar: So, you want to go on adulterating continuously, that is, for 12 months and still you want to be immune and get a little fine! What else do you want?

Chairman: My request to the main spokesman is that if he wants to add to the points already raised by the spokesmen of the other Association. he can do so.

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: I appreciate the suggestion given by you. Instead of the words 'in the interest of public health' the words 'if the same is injurious to public health' should be substituted.

The next point is with respect to-Section 5. There, our suggestion is that some adequate and reasonable provisions regarding stamp duty on bonds should be made. Such bonds should be exempt for stamp duty. At the same time there should be no compulsion.

So far as sureties are concerned, our submission is that production of sureties should either be deleted or it should be made applicable only in cases where the value of the article in question exceeds Rs. 2,000.

Another point is with respect to Section 14. Here, our suggestion is that the old section 14 should be dropped. Under Section 9 of the new Bill the Central Government is going to appoint Food Inspectors and hence section 9 being a general section, Sec. 14 of the old Act is not necessary. Amendment by substitution of a new section 14 is sought to be inserted. My suggestion is that this is an impracticable provision.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Why is it so?

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: I have explained that in my memorandum. If you want, I may read out from the memorandum.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Not necessary.

Shri Ramanial J. Shah: I would like to say that if the new section 14 is to be retained, then there should be the following words being added at appropriate places:—

"as far as possible in the circumstances of the case"

The above may be added after the word 'disclose'.

Regarding Section 7, as proposed for Section 16, the words 'in the interest of public health' should be substituted by the words 'if the same is injurious to public health.' So far as punishment is concerned, this Association is fully in agreement that stricter punishment is necessary. Cer-

tain considerations should be shown with respect to word 'food' as defined in the Section. It has been defined comprehensively in the Act of 1954. Our submission with regard to the words 'adulterated and misbranded' is that the element of human agency is not sought to be considered. If the word 'adulterated' according to the dictionary meaning is to have this meaning, it can be by virtue of anything that a human being does with respect to that item and in that case, the word 'adulterated' would have the proper meaning. The definition as contained in the Act, 1954 is such that a person who has not done anything with a view to adulterating the article, will also be taken in and the punishment will be meted out to him. He will also fall a prev in that respect. That is why our submission is that so long as the definition of the word 'adulterated' and the word 'misbranded' in the original Act is not changed, the stricter punishment should be considered.

Shri P. K. Deo: How would you differentiate between these two words?

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: If it is not possible to differentiate, it is also equally not wise to pick any person who is innocent.

Shri P. K. Deo: He did not mean that. He simply asked yau as to whether it is possible or not.

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: So far as adulteration by human agency is concerned, the motive behind it is profit motive. If it is the profit motive, that is to be checked. Then, some provision with respect to that shall have to be made differentiating from the other one.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You want innocent, profit motive and everything to be defined.

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: With regard to punishment I would say that there is no clarification to the article

of food either in the original Act or in the Bill in question.

Therefore, my respectful submission. is that there should be some classification according to the importance of the items of food and also according to their use. There are certain articles of food which are generally used by all human beings. With respect to those articles if any adulteration is done or if the provisions of the Bill are infringed, then no doubt stricter punishment should be meted But all articles of food, even though technically covered by the definition of food are not equally important and they are not equally in use.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: So they can be poisoned.

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: That is not the point I was making. An adulterated item would not necessarily be poisonous.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: But they can be.

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: If it becomes poisonous, then also the law can take care of it and he can bepenalised even under the Indian Penal Code. If anything that he does results in death, then of course the punishment can be given.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: His suggestion is that the Indian Penal Code is enough. Why bother about this Act?

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: That is not my submission. The provisions punishment under the Bill in question ought to be compared with the provisions of punishment under the Drugs Act. Under the Drugs Act also, the punishment has been provided under section 27 of the Drugs Act and section 30 of the Drugs Act. Section 27 of the Drugs Act considers the case where the offence is committeed for the first time. For subsequent offences, there is section 30 of the Drugs Act. Now., if we were to compare the provisions of punishment under the Drugs Act vis-a-vis the provisions of

the Bill in question, we would find that the punishment that is sought to be meted out under the Bill in question is far more stricter than what it is meted out even in the case of drugs where the scientific and technological knowledge is more perfect than with respect of articles of food.

Chairman: Are you referring to the old Drugs and Cosmetics Act or the new one?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Under the new Drugs Act there is ten years imprisonment and confiscation of property. You are being dealt with lightly as compared to that.

Shri Ramanial J. Shah: With respect to the punishment, the gravity and the nature of the offence should also be taken into consideration.

Then, I would refer to section 8 of the Bill. A new section 19(2) (c) is sought to be added. There, I particularly emphasize the words 'in the same state'.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We are giving a protection to the dealer which was not there. Do you object to it?

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: What I object to is that it would be perhaps impossible for the dealer to prove and establish it along with section 19 (2) (a) and (b) and also (c) because of 'the words in the same state'.

Chairman: In the same condition.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: What is your suggestion?

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: My suggestion would be that section 19(2)(a) and (b) is enough.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: You want to take away part (c).

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: If the words 'in the same state' are retained, then I would say if the dealer purchases it from the semi-wholesale dealer

Shri U. M. Trivedi: How does it become inconsistent with (a) and (b)?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We have understood your point.

Chairman: I think you have covered all the points.

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: That is all.

Chairman: Thank you. Now, we take another Association. Shri M. L. Tambi.

Shri M. L. Tambi: Respected Chairman and the hon. Members of the Committee: Our Association is representing the whole sale dealers for ungrounded spices, that is, whole spices.

At the outset, I may say that so far as these whole spices are concerned, the majority of them are agricultural products and those products which are cultivated in the various parts of the country.

Chairman: I request you not to repeat the points which have been stressed by the other members of the various Associations.

Shri M. L. Tambi: I will take full note of it. What I was submitting was that in case of the whole spices which are agricultural products, there should not be such standards which cannot be detected by naked eyes or by taste or by touch. The chemical ingredients fixed for the various commodities, for these whole spices, which are agricultural products have often been found to vary. Our Association also sends samples for analysis. Even in the case of whole turmeric, if you send a sample of the original seed to the analyst, you will find that the lead found in that turmeric is more than 2 parts per million which is the standard which has been prescribed under the present Bill.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Not prescribed; but allowed.

Shri M. L. Tambi: With much respect to the hon. Member, I may say that has been prescribed by the rules.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You are mixing up the words. That is all.

Shri M. L. Tambi: It was pointed out to us that lead chromate is a very dangerous thing. I would submit that this lead chromate will never be found if the colouring of the turmeric is totally prohibited. Lead chromate is put in the turmeric in the process of colouring. If the colouring is not done, you will not find a single part of the lead chromate in the turmeric.

Dr. Sashila Nayar: It is not allowed but it is being done by unscrupulous traders.

Shri M. L. Tambi: I will request your honour to specify the instances. I can assure you that lead cannot be given to the turmeric if it is not coloured at all I will first take up the amending Bill. I will be confining myself to two things. First I will explain the effect of the proposed amendments and secondly I will explain what further amendments are necessary to be included in this Bill or in the Act.

Chairman: This Committee is not authorised to deal with amendments which may be made in the original Act. You can speak only on amendments that are under consideration according to this Bill.

Shri M. L. Tambi: In clause 2(i)(b) (i) of the proposed amending Bill, we suggest that the following words be added:

"and four representatives of trade, and manufacturers, and cultivators nominated by the Federation of Indian Chamber of Commerce and Industry, New Delhi".

As regards clause 5, our submission is that there should not be any provision for execution of sureties. Secondly, the number of sureties should not be left to the discretion of the food inspector as is proposed to be done under the proposed amending Bill.

Now I come to sub-clause (iii) of clause 5 of the proposed Bill. In this

respect I will draw your attention to the fact that already there are a number of decisions according to which this provision has been held to be directory and not mandatory. Taking into consideration the serious punishment which is going to be imposed on the person gainst whom the charge of adulteration is proved, it is most essential that the number of witnesses should not be reduced. If two persons are available in the locality, their signatures must be taken.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You want two witnesses to remain Any other point?

Shri M. L. Tambi: It should be clarified that no person in any way connected with the official duty of the food inspector should be made such a witness.

Chairman: No explanation is necessary. You want two independent or respectable witnesses.

Shri M. L. Tambi: I was submitting that such witness should not be in any way connected with the local authorities or food inspectors or the officers concerned with the prosecution.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: He may be connected with the shopkeeper.

Shri M. L. Thambi: Not necessarily.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: But there may be no objection

Shri M. L. Tambi: Clause 6 proposes to insert a new section 14 in the place of the existing section 14. We do not oppose this section. But you will note that this section provides that every vendor of an article of food shall, if so required, disclose to the food inspector the name, address and other particulars of the person from whom he purchased the article of food. In our humble view the words "other particulars" should be qualified with the words "within the knowledge of the vendor". Sometimes the things are imported from abroad or from other States and one may not be knowing the details of the firm, etc. But, all the same, the name, address and other particulars within

the knowledge of the vendor can be given. This has been also made punishable under new section 7.

Coming to new section 16, the amendment wants to give stern punishments on the charges being proved. Before we increase the quantum of punishment, we must make sure that the standards fixed are correct and practicable. If we cannot be sure of that, then the punishments should not be so stern.

Secondly, according to the definition of the word 'adulterated', even articles which, due to any reason beyand the control of any human agency are below the standards fixed, come under that. Therefore, the word should be defined in two ways, one to cover adulteration understood by everybody, and the other to cover substandard goods. In the case of substandard goods being sold, it should be possible to find out how it was possible and there should be some fine imposed. But if there is any proof of adulteration, then there may be stern punishment. But because of the technicalities of the standards, if any innocent person is made to suffer, then it is great injustice.

Thirdly, so far as penal Acts are concerned, usually the maximum limit of punishment to be awarded by the Magistrates is prescribed. In this law, it is the minimum that has been prescribed. In my humble opinion there may be some cases where the judge or a Magistrate may be of opinion that the offence is only of a technical nature and therefore does not warrant any severe punishment. But in this case, he is helpless because he has to award the minimum punishment prescribed. That will be also against the principles of natural justice. The Magistrate should be given the discretion to give punishment according to particular circumstances and facts of each case. If you prescribe the minimum punishment, there is no importance to mensrea.

Before we push through this Bill, we have to examine one more aspect. There have been prescribed percentages of availability of the foreign seeds. In a majority of cases the figure of 5 per cent is given. In this respect. I will draw your attention to section 3 of the Food and Drugs Act of England. There is a provision there that in such cases defence is allowed to the accused so that he can prove by adducing evidence that it was unavoidable and it is beyond the control of anybody to remove that particular percentage of seeds. My secon submission in this respect is that we should define the meaning of foreign seeds in the Act or Rules. In some cases it has been found that Magistrates or food inspectors do not know what seeds are simultaneously grown in the same field and on the same plant. In my humble submission it is necessary that we should also make a provision for that.

The next point is this. Under section 19 we should also take notice or one important fact that the vendors do not always purchase these things: sometimes they also receive the goods from upcountry people or other dealers to be sold on their account as commission agents. But no consideration has been given to that. I therefore want in section 19 (2) (a) of the Act the following words to be added between the words "purchased" and "the article of food", namely. "or received as commission from a principal", so that that defence may also be there.

One mohe thing. The definition of the word "adulterated" also takes into account insect-infested goods. I want to make it clear that in the rainy season it is be and the control of the traders to prevent insect infestation, and a certain percentage of the goods stocked in their godowns will get insect infested and there will be either living or dead insects found. In such a case there is no provision in the Act for about the percentage of the insect-infested article. Suppose a bag of three maunds contains 4 chhetaks

of insect-infested article. Under the technicality of the present Act the trader is deemed to be an adulterator and punished and the whole of the stuff is to be destroyed. There should be a provision.....

Dr. C. B. Singh: The standard is not to be discussed and he is all the time on the standard.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Please finish.

Shri M. L. Tambi: In the report of the hon. Committee it should also be taken into consideration that the Act does not fix any time-limit for the release of the goods which are not found to be adulterated, and also a limit for starting the prosecutions in case the goods are found to be adulterated, because there have been long delays in this respect.

The next thing is that in our present Act there is no prescription of a particular system of analysis to be followed in the case of chemical analysis. There are different systems of analysis and the results may vary in the different systems.

The second thing in this connection is that the Act makes the report of the Director of the Central Food Laboratory as the conclusive and final proof. It should be made final and conclusive with this exception that if the accused wants to call the person who analysed that sample as a witness he should be produced in the court.

Shri P. K. Deo: He is produced.

Shri M. L. Tambi: Under the Act the prosecution is not bound.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: If the court asks him.

Shri M. L. Tambi: I am finishing. So far as spies are concerned, any sort of colouring, natural or unnatural, should be totally prohibited, and from the standards chemical ingredients should be eliminated.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Mr. Barat or Mr. Kar will be able to enlighten me on this point. Is it your case that you are not manufacturers or producers of spices but you are buying from outside Bengal or from other States:

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: On behalf of the Bengal Spice Dealers Association I can say that practically we are retailers and we purchase the spices from the local market at Calcutta. We are not producers or manufacturers.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: You have said that first of all a thorough examination at the point of first sale in all big mondis or markets of producing States should be effected. What do you mean by that? Please explain. Where do you get your elachi from?

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: It comes from Mysore.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Suppose it comes from there in proper condition and you do something to it in Calcutta?

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: If it is done by me, I should be punished.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: What is your suggestion? That you should make a law that in every case before actually despatching it there must be an examination there?

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: Yes, there must be an examination there under the supervision of the Central Covernment to test and analyse it and affix a seal of purity like agmark.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Why should not the purchaser make sure that it is genuine? Why should the Government do it?

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: Because we cannot believe all the producers.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: I wanted to understand the position. Is it the position that these are poor traders who buy from outside and the stuff is brought from outside, and they do not mind going to jail provided the stuff coming from the producing States is genuine; that there is no machinery, laboratory or any such thing for testing them, and so they are demanding that there should be some system of checking and putting the Government stamp on it. Is that your idea?

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: Yes.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Will it be practical? Can you suggest a practical scheme?

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: I cannot suggest, but I think it will be practicable for the Government.

Dr. C. B. Singh: Think of the market and villages. Will it be practicable for the Government? How can it be practicable?

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: In this respect, if the Government undertake to analyse all the spices at the wholsale stage in the producing centres....

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Can you give us an idea of how many centres there would be?

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: Suppose a commission agent in Rajasthan stocks jeera got from the cultivators, farmers or agriculturists of the villages. When the jeera is in the godown in Rajasthan.....

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: You want that to be verified and checked and analysed and tested?

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: By Government,

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: And thereafter the movement can take place?

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: Yes.

Shri N. C. Chatterjoe: And thereefter if something is found wrong, you can be punished. That is your point?

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: Yes.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: How is it to be done? Government can set apart ten, twelve or twenty centres.

Shri M. L. Tambi: In my submission it is possible like this that at the producing centres it should be made compulsory that no article other than those which have been tested and sealed in a particular manner under the Agricultural Marketing Act by the officers appointed thereunder should be accepted for carriage by railways or any transport companies. And they should not be found in possession of the traders.

If any trader is found in possession of that, he should be given the strict-test punishment. I know of an instance at a particular market where there is an auction commutee of the Central Government selling zira, the rates varying between Rs. 100 to Rs. 140 per maund.

I want provision should be made to see that at the source itself there is effective check, so that adulterated things may not come into the market. Then it will be very easy to detect the adulterators than to detect now, because now you make a great queue of persons in which the real adulterators are hiding and it has become just an identification parade for the food inspectors.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You want that you should escape and somebody else should be punished But somebody else want they should escape and you should be punished. For the Government, it is not possible to make a distinction between the wholesaler and the retailer or the man who Anybody who is selling should see to it that he is selling the genuine stuff.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: There is something in what he is saying. It should not be brushed aside like that.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: You say that the party should be prepared to suffer the consequences of going to jail, provided you get the genuine stuff at the source.

Shri M. L. Tambi: There should be provision for prevention of adulteration. If you allow the adulterated

food freely to come into the market, will it be possible to detect the source where it has been adulterated? You must make some practical provisions by which things cannot be adulterated.

Dr. C. B. Singh: You want that the Government should set up agencies in the big mandis. That is very big problem. We agree with you that there must be some agency, but don't you think that the trade itself should take some responsibility? If you cooperate, it can be done. How can Government do it by itself?

shri M. L. Tambi: We are ready to take up that responsibility. The trade will always like to find out the persons who are making the trade appear bad in the eyes of the public, the press and the Government officers. But there must be guarantee to detect the adulteration.

Shri P. K. Deo: You mean there should be no railway-booking unless it has been checked by some agency of the Government?

Shri M. L. Tambi: This cannot be done by the Government alone. It should be done in cooperation with the big association which you will find everywhere in each mandi. Just as in the Forward Contract Regulations Act, it should be made a joint responsibility.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You want the Government to collect, pack and sell it. You want all the trade to go into the hands of the Government? Surely you do not want that. If the trade makes up its mind that adulteration should be stopped, it can do it more effectively than the Government. You can have your own agency -your cooperative or whatever else you like—and see that your members get genuine stuff. If you have obtained it from a particular person in a sealed condition, if you have not tampered with it, you will not be punished. That defence is available to you. But if you are found in possession of adulterated goods and the goods are in a condition in which you yourself

could have adulterated them, then it is difficult for you to say that you are not responsible. You are most welcome to arrange that you will purchase only standard goods and you will supply standard goods to the members of your association, but your cannot ask the Government to give you the standard goods.

Shri M. L. Tambi: But standard goods must be made available to us.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Who is going: to make it available?

Shri M. L. Tambi: It should be the combined effort of the Government and the trade. It cannot be done only by the trade.

Chariman: Food consists of so many things. When wheat or atta is collected from different cottages, growers are not in a position to give it in a sealed condition. It is not practicable to get it in sealed tins. How will it be possible for us to see that puregoods are given?

Shri M. L. Tambi: I was impressing on you the same difficulty. If that is the difficulty for the producer, the same thing applies to the traders also. If the Act remains like that, no honest trader can trade in these circumstances. In West Bengal the milk suplied by the West Bengal Government contains only 3 per cent fat as against the minimum of 3.5 per cent They plead that they have lowered the prices. The traders are ready to do anything on their part which the Government seek from them including finance, ispection, etc. But committees should be appointed in each town and village consisting of food inspectors, representatives of the trade and some MLA or MP or any public leader that place. They will inspect the thing.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You have said earlier that Indian Standards Institution should be associated with the laying down of standards. want you to know that the standards committee has already appointed a sub-committee with which the Indian Standards Institutions is very prominently associated.

With regard to your other suggestions, of course Members will ask you such questions as they want, but I must say that I find it very difficult to understand the attitude that has been taken by you. You want intention to be proved. You want deliberate adulteration to be proved. You want the type of injury to health to be defined. You want the profit motive to be proved. All that you want will make it impossible for any Act to function at all. The impression that I get is that your one interest is to see how the trader can escape the penalties under this law whereas we want the trade to be partners in improving the quality of goods and in putting an end to adulteration. You want that the previous offence should not be taken into account and every new offences should be the first offence. That is a very difficult situation.

Chairman: You cited the example of Calcutta Government Dairy. It is toned milk with 3 per cent fat which is allowed to be sold in the market. So, I do not wish you to seek some protection under that.

Shri M. L. Tambi: We do not want to take shelter behind that.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Are you not satisfied with the defence that is provided to any honest man who is caught in clause 8 for the amendment of section 19 of the Principal Act? Do you want anything more than that?

Shri M. L. Tambi: May I draw your attention to a similar provision in the English Act?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We do not want the English Act. We have seen that.

Shri M. L. Tambi: We want that there should be defence available to the trade to prove that they were not responsible for the particular adulteration.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Will you kindly read clause 8(2)(b) of the Bill? What better protection than this do you want?

Shri M. L. Tambi: I agree with the hon. Member that it gives better protetion than the existing Act.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: He wants absolute protection.

Shri M. L. Tambi: If I am innocent, I must get absolute protection under the Act. Then and then alone the law will be successful.

Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja: If you are in possession of something which is adulterated, you cannot escape.

Shri M. L. Tambi: That is true: But is it due to my fault?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You buy stuff mixed with stand; you buy ilaichi which has been boiled and then you say that you are not responsible.

Shri M. L. Tambi: If such persons are found, they should be hanged and not only imprisoned. But why should you have a presumption that everyone is doing that?

Dr. Sushiia Nayar: We have said: that you can say that you bought it from So-and-so. But you say that we should not even ask you that. Objection has been taken to that also by some of you.

Shri M. L. Tambi: We do not say that. We must answer that; but you should make the Act so simple and clear that adulterators may not hide behind innocent persons.

Chairman: Please make concrete proposals.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: May I ask Shri Barat whether he is a chemist?

Shri Chittaranjan Barat: I am a chemist by profession.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Have you any experience of chemical analysis of foodstuffs?

Shri Chittaranjan Barat: To some extent; not much.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: In your memorandum you have suggested that the standards prescribed by the Food

Adulteration Rules are not commensurate with the proper analysis of the article concerned.

Shri Chittaranjan Barat: I said that it is not conclusive and so we should better follow the standards laid down by the Indian Standards Institute.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Would you be satisfied if you are called to give evidence before the Standards Committee, so that....

Shri Chittaranjan Barat: Yes.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Mr. Chairman. I' find that the Ahmedabad Spices and Condiments Dealers Association has sent their memorandum to us through some advocate. Is it not a question of privilege? Why could it not be sent to us direct?

Chairman: It is a breach of privilege. It should be sent on behalf of the Association and not through a lawyer.

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: The only explanation that I can give is that the Association people, not knowing the procedure, have sent it like this.

Chairman: But the advocate advising them must know the procedure.

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: I was not aware of the position. Had I known it, I would not have done it.

Dr. C. B. Singh: On page 9, paragraph 7, you say:—

"We do concede that the adulteration and misbranding of Drugs is a serious matter and calls for a strict punishment as in most cases, human life is endangered. But are all articles of food, even when adulterated, equally dangerous to human life?"

This is what you have said. May I tell you that it is even more dangerous than adulteration of drugs? Millions of people are more or less poisoned and people do not know about it. How do you claim that drugs are more dangerous than this?

Shri Ramanial J. Shah: That statement has been made keeping in view the definition of the word "adulterated". All that I was wanting to suggest thereby was that because there was no human agency and by virtue of the definition the commodity that was found to be adulterated might have been a sub-standard commodity, but it might not be injurious to health. In that context the sentence was written.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Nothing can be done without human agency. Even if you say that all the seeds were sown in the field they have to be grown by human agency. You can say it is not done by you personally but you cannot say it is not done by human agency.

An hon. Member: What limitation do you want to put on sub-standard articles?

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: So far as standard articles are there, commodities are available. There are other varieties of the same commodity which are equally available. The standards of those commodities are not the same. There are other varieties. The best variety may be got; but there are other varieties also. Therefore it is very difficult to say. If different standards of the same article in question could be fixed with accuracy then the question could be solved. Otherwise not.

An hon. Member: Have your association given any sub-standard or adulterated article to food inspector before purchasing?

Shri M. L. Tambi: Under the act the sellers are not given the chance to get their samples analysed before they decide to sell. Our association sent a sample of uncoloured turmeric. The result was found to be 4 part per million instead of 2:5 part per million. Food inspector does not see all these things. We cannot send to public analyst. There should be some provision that before we purchace we should be able to get the

things analysed. Under the Act we cannot get it analysed.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You are free to take the sample at random and give the sample to the analyst. In fact this should be the job of your association.

Shri M. L. Tambi: We agree to try that. In Calcutta there is an inadequate number of public analysts. The laboratories are also not adequate.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You are going into extraneous things. You have not been able to get it. For how many times you have tried?

Chairman: He has not sent.

Dr. G. S. Melkote: One of the witnesses mentioned that the words 'in the interest of public helath' need not be there. Does he mean to say that products infested with germs may be allowed to be sold in the market? What is his intention?

Shri M. L. Tambi: In certain varieties of spices like coriander seed because of a particular effect of the climate the plant itself is infested with germs, but those seeds are not injurious to health. Still, under the present Bill, they are to be treated as adulteration.

Dr. G. S. Melkote: What steps have your organisation taken to advise its constituents about what to buy and what not to buy, where to purchase their goods etc. so that the consumers are protected from the adulterated articles?

Shri M. L. Tambi: Under the other Acts there is similar provision. For example, in foreign Acts like English Act, they have made provision for marketing, storing facility etc. They have also made provision for prevention of adulteration at the source. In the case of articles which are agricultural products where the chemical ingredients cannot be checked at the source, if the standards are to be eliminated, we are ready to take the responsibility and we can give you samples of every article.

Dr. G. S. Melkote: Many of the witnesses have been continuously pleading modifications in the provisions of the Bill in order to protect themselves. May I ask, as a public man, what are the measures you would suggest so that the consumers need not purchase adulterated things?

Shri M. L. Tambi: Section 3(5) of the original Act should be amended. There should be various sub-committees for fixing the standards and for a particular commodity there should be representative of the producers and traders of that particular commodity on the committee concerned.

Dr. G. S. Melkote: What is the measure your organisation will take?

Shri M. L. Tambi: As we already stated, these things should be checked at source and they should be sealed and protected.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You have stated in your note that the samples are to be taken only from those things which are exposed for sale and they should not be taken from your godowns. Do you realise that what you expose outside for sale may be good stuff and what you have got inside may be bad stuff? Occasionally only the Inspector can go and take samples; he may not be sitting all the time to check. I am not saying you are doing it. I am saying trader A or B may do that. How do you expect anyone to accept this suggestion from you?

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: As I have told you, we are retailers and we are to clean all those spices which we purchase from the wholesalers. Then only we can sell to our customers.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Is it your contention that before the articles are cleaned sample should not be taken?

Shri Ajit Kumar Kar: Yes.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Whichever stock you open, you will clean that. Whichever stock is unopened, the law says if you prove that it is in the same state you have purchased and you have not tampered with it you will not be punished. That protections

tion is there. You said that there should be on the Standards Committee the producers and wholesalers. me tell you that there are already two representatives of the trade and commerce on the Standards Committee. You thought the Standards Committee consists of experts who are not in any way interested in the whole trade or have any kind of connection with business interests. It is not so. In addition to the representation given to the trade and commerce, any individual having a different point of view can go and give evidence before the committee. The Committee can invite you for a hearing. You were saying something about sureties also. You said that sureties should be only for goods worth Rs. 2,000. I want to tell you that sureties are generally contemplated for a small vendor who may not have a shop. For the man who has a shop and godown, the funds are available. If it is to be only for Rs. 2.000, then it will defeat its own purpose. In view of this, I think you will have no objection to the sureties.

Chairman: You referred to stamp duty. That again comes under the purview of State Government.

Dr. Sushija Nayar: Section 14 says that every vendor of an article of food shall, if so required, disclose to the food inspector the name, address and other particulars of the person from whom he purchased the article of food. You must know what is the meaning of this,

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: I shall answer that question also. So far as the bond of surety is concerned, what I was submitting was that in respect of small dealers who are just maintaining themselves in the trade, it will not be possible for them to obtain persons to be as sureties. Their position being humble, people would not come as sureties for them.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Their own people would be able to say that he is an honest man and they would be

able to stand as sureties for them. The question is that when the court sends for them, they are not available.

Chairman: The surety is there in order to compensate if the stock which is sold is tampered with. The main thing is that the offender must have got certain goodwill of some people who are quite confident in him and it should not be difficult for them to stand as sureties.

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: When we Consider the case of a trader in a village, he will have some responsibility there. It will not be possible for him to run away because his samples have been taken.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Not in villages. These things are taking place in towns. The villager who comes into contact with these people knows as to who has become corrupt.

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: There should be some provision in so far as the traders in the cities are concerned.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The point is that the man concerned who is mobile is going on selling goods which are injurious. The man takes the samples, gets it analysed and in the meantime when the man concerned is summoned by the court, he cannot be traced because he is mobile. Now, if there is any truth, the surety concerned should be able to present himself or give some satisfactory answer.

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: If that is the real intention in providing this provision of such people to stand as sureties and if the surety is insisted upon such persons, then, we would be harassed in that respect.

Chairman: Then what is it that you suggest for a small trader or a big trader to stand as surety when the stock itself is sealed by an Inspector?

Shri Ramanial J. Shah: The difficulty is this. With respect to small traders, it is difficult for them to get persons as sureties. Dr. Sushila Nayar: Anyway the law is not discriminatory.

Shrimati V. Vimla Devi: In response to Mr. Deo's question he stated that it is difficult for an honest trader to compete in the open market under the present provisions of the Act. May I ask him then how is it possible for an honest trader to come in the market to compete with the horrible adulterators?

Secondly what is it that this particular Association has been doing in order to protect the hon-st trader from dishonest traders?

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: So far as the Ahmedabad Spices and Condiments Dealers' Association is concerned, they have passed resolutions amongst their own members to see that such a sort of food or article, condiment or spices may not be sold. For that purpose, they have their own office-bearers in the Association which are inspecting the shops and godowns of their members.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Have you punished anybody? Has the Association punished anyone found to have adulterated in goods? What action has been taken by this Association against them?

Shri Ramanial J. Shah: Some sort of a fine was imposed on some members.

Shrimati V. Vimla Devi: How can the honest traders compete with the adulterators in the market?

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: An honest trader cannot compete with the adulterators. But the difficulty is that when conditions of trade are taken into consideration and when the person who deals in certain commodities is not himself in a position to decide whether it is adulterated or misbranded, how is it possible for an honest trader to compete.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Let me tell you that it is so common that it is not simple. The percentage of adulteration in Bengal is 28.5 in spices; in Punjab it is 22.9 per cent; in Madras it is 26.6 per cent; in Bihar it is 22

per cent; in Assam it is 37.1 per cent and in Orissa it is 39.5 per cent while in Gujarat it is 20 per cent.

Shri P. K. Deo: As the problem is gigantic, you being a citizen of this country, we all need your cooperation to make a comprehensive and foolproof legislation by which we can put a stop to any sort of adulteration. In that regard, we very much value your evidence at this stage. If all the suggestions that are given by you are implemented, will it be possible to put a full stop to adulteration?

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: My answer to that would be that even with the passing of this Bill, it is not going to put an end to food adulteration. The problem is such that there are inherent difficulties in the problem itself.

Chairman: I would request you to be brief and specific to your answer. You have already said that it is practically impossible. If we take all these measures, do you think that we can put a full stop?

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: The point that I was making out is that there are certain conditions in our country which make it impossible to cary on our trade. If you implement the provisions verbatim, then it will be difficult to carry on the trade because there are not many commodities where effective checks are in operation at the sources.

Chairman: I have got your point.

Shri P. K. Deo: We expect that there should be a national perspective to this whole problem instead of a parochial problem. This is from your own stand point of view.

Shri Ramanlal J. Shah: That is how the Ahmedabad Condiments and Spices Merchants' Association has made their representation.

Shri P. K. Deo: My second question is that you stress so much that various commodities you are dealing, they should be checked either by naked eye or by picking them up. We wonder as to how it could be possible especially in the cases of

powdered spices by seeing or picking them up to judge that the stuff is not adulterated?

Shri M. L. Tambi: This was the submission made by us on behalf of Kirana Merchants' Association and I told that we were dealing in whole spices. So far as whole spices are concerned, it cannot be checked by naked eyes if there is any chemical ingredient and whether it is adulterated or unadulterated. That was my submission on that point.

Shri P. K. Deo: So, you agree that in cases of powdered spices, they must go to a chemical analyst.

Shri M. L. Tambi: So far as powdered spices are concerned, I cannot give any opinion. But, I can assure you that so far as the whole spices are concerned, there are three types of standards fixed. At present, one is fixed under the Food Adulteration Rules and the second is fixed by the Indian Standards Institute.

Shri P. K. Deo: We have followed it from what you have been quoting from the British Law etc. Will you kindly let us know what the law is?

Chairman: He has given in his memorandum.

Shri M. L. Tambi: I have not given that. But, I wish, particularly, to draw the attention of the hon. Members to Sec. 1. 2, 3, 109 and 111 of the Foods and Drugs Act, 1955.

श्री के एस वास्मीकी: आपकी एतीसिएशन के क्या ऐते भें सदस्य हैं जो अधिकतर पिसे हुए मसालों का भी काम करते हैं और यदि हां, तो एसीसिएशन को तरफ से क्या कोई सुपरविशन भें रहता है ?

Shri M. L. Tambi: Our Association does not deal in powdered spices.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: One of the spokesmen, if I heard him aright, said that 2 parts of lead per million in turmeric is prescribed by rules and when I said that it was not prescribed but allowed, he insisted that it was prescribed by the rules. Does he still think that it is prescribed? Shri M. L. Tambi: What I was saying was that that is the maximum limit fixed under that sub-section. That was my idea. That is the maximum limit fixed for the lead which is to be allowed us it is prescribed under the rules.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I am reading from your own Memorandum. It says:

"It shall not contain more than 2.5 parts per million of lead."

It is not prescribed.

Shri M. L. Tambi: So far as I am concerned, I mean the rule says that the maximum limit permissible under the rules is that 2 parts per million of lead can be allowed to remain in turmeric.

Chairman: For the information of all the Members, I am informed that these are natural contents. The lead comes along with the raw materials from the earth.

Shri P. K. Deo: After it is chemically treated. You can verify it from Mr. Mitra.

Chairman: I am saying this after getting it verified from the experts.

Shri P. K. Deo: I just verified it from him.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I suppose, we have before us the spokesmen of four Associations comprising of...

Shri M. L. Tambi:.. 3 persons from each Asociation, that is, who are present here.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: How many members are there in each Association?

Shri M. L. Tambi: In our Calcutta Kirana (Spices) Merchants Association, there are 80 to 90 members who are wholesale dealers,

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You have just now told the Committee about the difficulties that you face because you get stuff from wholesale

dealers which cannot be tested by analysts. Have you at any time given any thought to setting up or formulating a code of conduct amongst the retailers themselves?

Shri M. L. Tambi: Our Association has from time to time given consideration to this. It has been in correspondence with the Government also. It has been taking steps on its own initiative also. We are not representing retailers in our Association.

Chairman: Mr. Deo, about the lead content in turmeric I have got this information from the experts. To the extent of 2 parts per million, the lead will come up along with the materials. Only to that extent it is allowed because it may come up in nature along with the material.

Shri M. L. Tambi: But it comes more than that in the nature.

Chairman: After testing so many samples and other things of course, this standard has been fixed.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: 1 would like to know whether the retailers' association had any time formulated or attempted to formulate a code of conduct to regulate the conduct of retail traders on pain of expelling them from membership of your association if they violate the code of conduct?

Shri Ramanlal Shah: So far as Ahmedabad Association is concerned, we have not done that in so many words.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Do you propose to formulate it?

Shri Ramanlal Shah: I am told that the members of the association would think on those lines.

Chairman: Some people complained here that the samples never come upto the standard because the standards prescribed are very high. An analysis made in the Central Food Laboratory of the samples collected from your place indicates that out of 15 samples, 13 of them have come up

to the standard. Only two were found' below the standard. That means there is adulteration in those two samples.

Shri M. L. Tambi: These two samples may have come from such parts of the country where it was not possible to comply with the provisions regarding the standard.

Chairman: This is from different parts of the country. We cannot have any better authority than the appellate food testing laboratory.

Shri B. B. Pal: So far as the wholesale spices dealers of Calcutta are concerned, we assure the Government of our support and co-operation in stopping adulteration.

Chairman: Without much explanation, you can add any new point without repeating what has already been said.

Shri B. B. Pal: We are not used to this kind of giving evidence before this Committee. The principle of the Act is prevention of adulteration. We agree with that principle of prevention, but all we want is some sort of chemical examination. If it is not feasible, then it should be checked by a committee of merchants and Government officials and public. We want to ascertain how much percentage of adulteration is there.

Chairman: You have got your federation and union. You can have 17 checked there.

Shri B. B. Pal: How is it possible? They cannot understand the chemical ingredients. How is it possible-for a layman to understand it without chemical experts?

Chairman: You can take the help of some technical persons just assome of you have engaged a legal adviser to present your case.

Shri B. B. Pal: Even at the sourcethe commodity should be checked and we should know that such and such commodity is genuine. Otherwise, how is it possible to understand that the commodity is genuine? Chairman: We have heard a lot on this. There was a lot of discussion over it in your presence. If you can add any new point, you can do so.

Shri B. B. Pal: Unless and until the commodities are tested there and unless and until the tested marks are there on the commodities, railways and steamers should not be allowed to handle them.

On behalf of our association, we are ready to co-operate with the Government to have supervision in order to see that no adulterated food is sold after testing.

Chairman: On behalf of the Committee I extend our thanks to the witnesses who have appeared before us for the guidance and information they furnished to us.

(The witness then withdrew).

XII. Ghee Merchants' Association, Belgaum.

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri Arvindrao Joshi
- 2. Shri S. Y. Kakatkar
- 6. Shri V. V. Pendse
- 4. Shri Babubhai Padamshi
- 5. Shri Ramkrishna Kalghatgi
- 6. Shri Shantilal J. Modi.

XIII. Gujarat Sudhh Ghee Vepari Mahamandal Ahmedabad

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri Jamana Shanker Pandya
- 2. Shri Chinubhai N. Shah
- 3. Shri Shantilal C. Shah
- 4. Shri Jivanlal R. Patel
- 5. Shri Sunderlal G. Modi.

(Witnesses were called in and they took their seats.)

Chairman: Your memorands have been circulated to the Members. If you want to stress anything or add anything pertaining to the amendments under consideration, you are most welcome.

The evidence given by you will be treated as public unless you specifically want that the whole or any part of it should be treated as confidential. Even then it will be circulated to Members of the Committee and Members of Parliament.

श्री बी० बी० पेंडसे : वेग्नरमैन महोदया, मैं इस ज्वाएंट कमेटी के सामने ग्रपने कुछ विचार बहुत संक्षेप में हिम्दी में प्रकट करूंगा।

र्म लोग बेलगांव के घी व्यापारी हैं भीर हमारी घी मर्जेट्स भ्रसोसियेशन है। हमने भाप की सेवा में पहले ही भपना लिखित ज्ञापन भेज दिया है जिसमें हमने विस्तार से भपनी मांगें पेश की हैं। इस भ्रवसर पर मैं संक्षेप में इस फुड ऐडल्ट्रेशन बिल की तरमीम के कारण हम घी के व्यापारियों को जो प्रैक्टिकल डिफिकल्टीज पैदा हो गयी हैं उन को श्राप के सामने रखना चाहता हूं।

बेलगांव के लिए घी की ग्रार० एम० बैल्य जो कि 98 से २६ के रेंज में वैरी करती है, उस के बजाय गवर्नमेंट ने सारे बेलगांव के वास्ते एक यनिफार्म भार० एम० वैल्य २६ फिक्स कर दी है। २६ का मिनियम स्टैंडर्ड सारे बेलगांव के लिए रख दिया है। भ्रगर भ्रार० एम० वैल्यु २६ से कहीं कम पाई जाती है तो वे ग्रार० एम० का लोग्नर नम्बर नहीं बतलाते या ठीक तौर से यह नहीं बतलाते कि उसमें क्या मिलावट है। वे भ्रपनी टेबल के ब्रन्सार कह देते हैं कि इसमें फौरेन फैट इतने परसेंट है। हमारे विचार में इस तरह का एक डायरेक्ट कनक्लजन निकाल लेना एक शलत जीज है भौर बहुत से बेगनाह व्यापारी इन रिपोर्टी के कारण सफर कर रहे हैं। हम इस बारे में पिछले इस सालों से यह मांग रखते ग्रा रहे हैं कि हमारे रीजन के धी की भार० एम० वैल्यु तमाम साल एक सी नहीं र ती भीर इसलिए एक यनिफार्म स्टैंडर्ड ग्रीर वड भी २६ का फिक्स करना हम लोगों के साथ एक बड़ी ज्यादती है।

यह हमारा चनुभव है कि हम घी की माने रोजन में मुख्तलिफ सीजन में मुख्तलिफ आर॰ एम॰ वैल्यू पाते हैं। मिसाल के लिए मैं भापको बतलाऊ कि भ्रक्तूबर से मार्च तक 27 टु 32 म्रार० एम० वैल्यू होती है, म्रप्रैल से जुलाई तक 27 से 20 तक भार॰ एम॰ वैल्यू रहती है घौर ग्रगस्त से सितम्बर नक 20 से 27 तक भार एम वैल्यू घी की रहती हैं। यह असमानता भाबोहवा की तबदीली भौर कैटिल के फौडर में असमानता के कारण पाई जाती है। ग्रार० एम० वैल्यू की लिमिट 20 से 40 तक है। कभी कभी तो भार॰ एम॰ वैल्यू 20 से भी नीचे चली जाती है। जब म्रार० एम० में इतनी वैरिएशंस हैं तो यह क ना मुश्किल है कि फलां घी मिलावटी है क्योंकि उस का मार० एम० कम है भौर द्यार० एम० का होना फौरेन फैट उसमें होना साबित करता है। इसलिए मार० एम० स्टेंडर्ड के बारे में सरकार को फिर से नये सिरे से विचार करना चाहिए। हमारा इस सिलसिले में एक अनुभव और भी हैं भौर वह यह है कि जब लो मार० एम० घी हाई मार० एम० बल्क के साथ मैल्ट किया जाता है तो बह एैगमार्क लैबुल पा सकता है। यह कैसे है ? इस हाई म्रार० एम० वैल्यू के फलस्वरूप ची में काफी मिलावट की जा रही है। ग्रार० एम० बैल्यू का हाइएस्ट रेंज एनीमल फैट (टैलो) मिला कर 35 से 38 है। कुछ श्रवांछनीय लोग इसका नाजायज फायदा उठाते हैं। वह एनीमल फैट (टैलो) को हाइयर धार भार० एम० बटर भौर घी में इस प्रपोत्त्रान से मिलाते हैं ताकि एवंज ग्रार० एम० का २६ से २६ ग्राजाय। टैलो चूंकि सफेद रंग का होता है इसलिए वह ग्रासानी से घी भौर मक्खन में मिलाया जा सकता है।

वनस्पति भायल का भी प्रयोग कुछ लोगों द्वारा घी में मिलावट करने के लिए किया जाता है क्योंकि घी की तरह उसका भी रंग सफेद होता है। हम पिछले कई वर्षों से लगातार 874(Aii) LS—13

भारत सरकार से अनुरोध करते आये हैं कि घी में मिलावट बन्द करने के लिये यह जरूरी है कि बनस्पति प्रोड्क्ट्स को रंग दिया जाय । लेकिन अभी तक अभागयवश हमारी इस सलाह को अमल में नहीं लाया गया है । हमारी यही प्रार्थना है कि सरकार जल्द से जल्द बनस्पति आयल में रंग मिलाने की व्यवस्था करे और ऐसा होने से हमारे विचार में बहुत हद तक घी में मिलावट बन्द हो सकती है ।

हमारा निवेदन यह है कि म्रार० एम० का स्टेंडर्ड एक फलंप्रूव मेजर नहीं है भौर न यह कोई एडल्ट्रेशन को रोकने की एक यार्ड-स्टिक है। यह उल्टे एडल्ट्रेशन को स्कोप देता है भौर बहुत दफ़े बेगुनाह व्यापारियों को इससे नुक्सान पहुंचता है। इसलिये हम प्रार्थना करते हैं कि कि इस घी भौर बटर के स्टेंडर्डस—ख़ास तौर से म्रार० एम० वैल्यू के बारे में सरकार साइंटिस्ट्स भौर डेयरी इंडस्ट्री के टैकनीशियंस से सलाह मशविरा करके एक नीति निर्धारित करे। मुझे म्राशा है कि हम ने जो यह सुझाव रखे हैं उन पर यह प्रवर सिमित व सरकार सहानुभूतिपूर्वक विचार करेगी।

श्री जमनाशंकर पांड्या : चेग्नरमैन महोदया व संयुक्त प्रवर समिति के सदस्यगण, हमने भ्रपने लिखित मेमोरेंडम में विस्तार से भपने सुझाव भेज दिये हैं। लेकिन जो एक सब से खास चीज है व । यह कि तमारी गजरात स्टेट के तमाम भागों में घमेंडेंड प्रीवेंशन भ्रोफ फुड ऐडल्ट्रेशन ऐक्ट 1954 के मुताबिक देशी घी की बी० ग्रार० ग्रौर म्रार० एम० वैल्यु युनिफार्म नहीं हैं। कच्छ म्रौर सौराष्ट्र में स्पेसिफिकेशंस कम वैल्यू के हैं जब कि गुजरात के दूसरे हिस्सों में वे **ऊचे हैं। पुराने ऐक्ट के मात**ृत सौराष्ट्र की झार० एम० वैल्यू 21 थी । जब कि गुजरात के दूसरे हिष्सों में वह वैल्यू 26 थी लेकिन मौजूदा भ्रमेंडेड के मातहत वे रिवाइज कर दिये गये हैं भीर भव सौराष्ट्र भीर भच्छ में

एम॰ वैल्यू भौर बी॰ भार॰ वैल्यू के स्पेसिफिकेशंस 21 और 45 हैं जब कि गुजरात
के दूसरे हिस्सों में वे 24 भीर 43.5 हैं।
गुजरात के तमाम व्यापारी सन् 1957 से
यह मांग कर रहे हैं कि तमाम गुजरात के लिये
युनिफार्म स्पेसिफिकेशंस रक्खे जायें।
सौराष्ट्र भौर गुजरात के दूसरे हिस्सों में
कैटिल डाइट और क्लाइमेट एक सी है
इसलिए यह समझ में नहीं भाता कि क्यों
सौराष्ट्र का एक एरिया कौटन जोन ऐरिया
डिक्लेयर किया गया है भौर बाकी को नौन
कौटन जोन डिक्लेयर किया गया है?

सभापति महोदया : क्या समानता हो सकती है ?

थी जमनाशंकर पांडया : जी हां समानता हो सकती है। हमारे वहां पहले सौराष्ट्र को कॉॅंटेन जोन एरिया ग्रौर गुजरात को नौन कौटैन जोन बनाया गया था। में भापके सामने फीगर्स पेश करता हं कि सौराष्ट्र में जहां 3 लाख 87 जार टन कौटेन बन रही हैं वहां गुजरात रीजन में उसका उत्पादन 18 लाख 38 हजार टन का है। इन फीगर्स से साबित हो जाता है कि सौराष्ट्र की भ्रपेक्षा गुजरात के बाकी जिलों में कौटेन का प्रोडक्शन ग्रधिक हैं । काटन जोन भीर नान काटन जोन के भ्राधार पर जो ग्रसमानता है, उसको समाप्त कर देना चाहिए। वह सारा क्षेत्र काटन जोन बनाया जा सकता है। सारे गुजरात में एक सी भावो वा है, पशुपालन का एक ही तरीका है भौर एक सी खुराक दी जाती है।

श्री य० एम० त्रिवेदी : ग्रापका क ना है कि सौराष्ट्र की ग्रार० एम० वैल्यू भ्रलग है भीर गुजरात की ग्रलग है। ग्राप चा ते हैं कि सारे गुजरात में एक ही ग्रार० एम० वैल्यू तय कर दी जाये। ग्राप क्या ग्रार० एम० वैल्यू फिक्स कराना चाहते हैं?

श्री जमनाशंकर पांड्या : जो कुछ सरकार के टेकनीशन्ज तय करें। लेकिन वह एक सी होनी चाहिए । हमारा विचार है कि 20 से 22 होनी चाहिए ।

श्री जमनाशंकर पांडया : गुजरात स्टेट में एक ही श्राबोहवा है, एक ही पशु-पालन की रीति है और एक ही खुराक है। लोगों ने गुजरात स्टेट गवनेंमेंट से यह डिमांड की हैं कि सब जगः एक ही स्टेंड होना चाहिए । वहां पर इस समय पाई जाने वाली ग्रसमानता को दूर करने के लिए एक कमेटी बनाई जानी चाहिए, जो कि इस बारे में जांच करे ।

श्री के ० एल ० बाल्मीकी : मैं बेलगाम की घी मरचेंट एसोसियेशन के प्रतिनिधि से या प्रश्न पूछना चाहता हूं। उनके या मिलिटरी डेयरी हैं। लैबोरेटरी में उसके सैम्पल भी जाते हैं शौर झापके भी जाते हैं। मिलिटरी के डेयरी के सैम्पल तो भ्रप टुदि स्टैंडर्ड उतरते हैं और आपके नहीं उतरते हैं। ऐसा क्यों है?

श्री बाबूभाई पवमशी: मिलिटरी डेयरी कीम, टेंबल बटर तैयार करती है, जब कि हमारी एसोसियेशन का काम देशी बटर, श्री ग्रीर कर्ड से सम्बन्धित है। कीम टैंबल बटर ग्रीर देशी बटर की ग्रलग-ग्रलग स्टैंडर्ड हैं है

श्री बाबुभाई पदमशी : हर एक प्रान्त में भार० एम० वैत्यु के बारे में तकरार है। बलगाम में भ्रार० एम० वैल्य 26 तय की गई है। भ्रगर किसी सैम्पल में उससे कम भार एम व व ल्यू पाई जाती है, तो उसकों एडल्ट्रेशन समझा जाता है। लेबारेटरी की रिपोर्ट में कह दिया जाता है कि उसमें फ़ारेन फ़ैट मिली हुई है। यह समझना उचित नहीं है कि भगर भार एम० वैल्यु 26 से कम हो गई, तो उसमें एडल्ट्रेशन किया गया है। भगर कुदरती तौर पर भार० एम० वैल्यु 19 है, तो फिर उसकी सजा क्यों दी जाती है। कई व्यापारी टेलो को घी में मिक्स करते हैं, ताकि उनके घी की मार० एम० वैल्य हायर हो सके भीर उनको एगमार्क लेबल मिल सके । एगमार्क लेबल से हमारा कोई झगडा नहीं है, लेकिन हमारा कहना यह है कि केवल मार० एम० वैल्यु देख कर यह नहीं कहा जा सकता है कि ग्रमक घी प्योर है या एडल्ट्रेटिड है। इसलिए इस घी की प्युरिटी देखने के लिए भार० एम० वैल्यु का टैस्ट नहीं रखा जाना चाहिए । कई कैंमिस्ट कहते हैं कि भीर भी कई टैस्ट हैं, लेकन उनमें तीन दिन का समय लगता है। मैं यह पूछना चाहता हं कि क्या केवल तीन दिन की मे नत से बचने के लिए किसी निरपराध व्यापारी को फांसी पर लटका देना चाहिए।

माज-कल हालत यह है कि सब घी भीर बटर को मार० एम० वैल्यु के हिसाब से चैक किया जाता है । मगर मार० एम० वैल्यु 26 हो गई तो सैम्पल पास हो गया । भीर मगर मार० एम० वैल्यु 19 हो गयी, तो सैम्पल फ़ेल हो गया । जब मार० एम० वैल्यु कुदरती तौर पर कम या ज्यादा होती है, तो हमारा क्या कसूर है ?

इसलिए ग्रावश्यकता इस बात की है कि व्यवहार ग्रीर साइंस के बीच में कोई तरीका निकालना चाहिए ग्रीर इस काटेज इंडस्ट्री को प्रोत्साहन देने के लिए सरकार की तरफ से सहायता श्रीर सहूलियत दी जानी चाहिए ।

श्री के एल बाल्मीकी : क्या प्रापकी संस्था ने मिलावट को रोकने के लिए कोई आचार-संहिता, रेजोल्यूशन या नियम बनाए हैं कि कोई मिलावट न करे श्रीर अगर कोई करेगा, तो श्रापकी संस्था उस को सजा देगी?

श्री बाबू आई पवमशी: जरूर। जब व्यापारियों की दुकान से कोई माल ग्राया ग्रीर हमको लगा कि उसमें एडल्ट्रेशन है, तो हमने म्यूनिसिपल ग्रधिकारियों को सूचित कर दिया ।

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I do not know how these standards were framed and adopted. The standards for Kathiawad and Saurashtra in Gujarat are different. One may be separated from the other by a field only.

Chairman: In Gujarat in areas other than the territories of erstwhile Saurashtra and Cutch, the RM is fixed at 26.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: In Gujarat it is 26; in Saurashtra and Cutch it is 21.

Chairman: It is fixed after taking samples from those areas. But these standards are in the process of being revised.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: They ought to be revised. They ought to have been revised long ago.

Dr. C. B. Singh: He has made a very good point that the standard has got to be revised. Obviously, it has been laid down on insufficient data. It must be on the record that the standard laid down is on insufficient data and must be revised.

Chairman: The standards in Gujarat as also in Mysore are under consideration. Anyway, I do not think that it is quite possible to bring about uniformity in RM value, but as far as possible it should be uniform within a particular radius.

एक साक्षी : म्रार० एम० के बारे में बहुत तकलीफ हो रही है। हम गुजरात वालों के लिए म्रार० एम० के बदले कुछ ग्रीर हो जाए तो म्रच्छा है।

श्री बसवन्त: कुछ महीनों में ग्रार० एम० नीचे ग्रौर कुछ में ग्रार० एम० ऊंचे होने का क्या कारण है, क्या ग्राप इसको बतला सकते हैं ?

श्री बाबू माई पदमशी: यह कुछ तो कुदरती कारणों से होता है श्रीर कुछ जो चारा श्रादि दिया जाता है, उसके कारण से होता है। श्राज हिन्दुस्तान की हालत यह है कि श्रादमी को तो खाने को श्रच्छा नहीं मिलता है तो फिर जानवर को कैसे श्रच्छा खाने को चारा दिया जा सकता है। हम गवर्नमेंट के ऊपर कोई किसी किस्म का श्रद्रैक नहीं करना चाहते लेकिन जो पोजीशन है, उसको श्री हमें देखना होगा। श्राज ज्यादातर देहातों में गरीब श्रादमियों के हाथों में दूध घी का घंघा है। श्रगर जानवरों को बनोले नहीं डाले जायें तो किस तरह से वे श्रच्छा दूध दे सकते हैं।

श्री वेवकीनन्दन नारायण : श्रापने अपनी एसोसियेशन का नाम शुद्ध घो न्यापारी महामंडल रखा है। श्रापने श्रपने मैमोरेंडम के पेज 4 पर लिखा है:

"to enable the small producers to sell directly to consumers".

इसका मतलब यह है कि जो स्माल प्रोड्यूसर गांव में रहता है और जो घी तैयार करता है, उसको क्या कंज्यूमर को बेचने की भ्रनुमति नहीं है। क्या यह सही है?

एक साक्षी: जो काटेज इंडस्ट्री में घी तैयार होता है उसको वे लोग व्यापारियों को दे देते हैं। और व्यपारी उसको दूसरों को दे देते हैं। जो एगमार्क करते हैं उनके लिये ग्रार० एम० वैल्यु न्नाना चािये। ग्रार० एम० वैल्यु तब ग्रायेगा जब मायसचर चला जाएगा। जो बीच की एजेंसी है वह बहुत बढ़ गई है।

श्री देवकीनम्बन नारायण : मैं समझा नहीं। गांव वाले व्यापारी को देते हैं, डायरेक्ट कंज्यूमर को नहीं देते हैं, ऐसा क्यों है ? क्या कंज्यूमर को डायरेक्टली बेचने पर कोई पाबन्दी है ?

एक साक्षी : पाबन्दी नहीं है। वे टैस्ट से डरते हैं।

ग्रगर वे डायरेक्टली दें तो ग्रार० एम० वैल्यू ग्रौर मायसचर का सवाल पैदा हो सकता है ग्रौर घी को टैस्ट किया जा सकता है। इस वास्ते वे बेचने से डरते हैं।

श्री देवकीनन्दन मारायण : खानदेश में बहुत घी पैदा होता है । वहां कोई रोक नहीं है । वे लोग डायरेक्टली कंज्यूमर को बेच सकते हैं । उसी में ज्यादातर मिलावट होती है । श्रीप जानते हैं कि भ्राज ऊपर से नीचे तक मिलावट होतो है । श्रोड्यूसर भी करता है, बीच वाला भी करता है ग्रीर दूकानदार भी करता है । इसको किस तरह से बन्द किया जाए?

एक साक्षी: कोई वैज्ञानिक तरीका ग्रपनाया जाना चाहिये जिससे मिलावट करने वाले पकड़े जा सकें ग्रौर उनको सजा दी जा सके।

श्री देवकीनन्दन नारायण : यह तो भ्रापने जनरल बात कह दी । कोई भ्राल्टरनेटिव बताइये ।

एक साक्षी: लोगों में नैतिकता की भावना जगाई जाये, कानून में जो लूपहोल्ज हैं, उनको दूर किया जाये। तब यह हो सकता है।

भी तुलशीदास जाभव : पृष्ठ ३ पर भापने कहा है :

"We request and hope that the Select Committee should study this problem minutely and also visit the region if need and consult the State Government and find out the vested interests who are playing role for keeping this differentiation only for the lopsided benefits of one region

at the cost of the benefits of the other region" etc.

ये कौन हैं ?

एक साक्षी: सौराष्ट्र के कुछ जिलों में भार० एम० वैल्यू कम होने की वजह से वैस्टिड इंटिरेस्ट पैदा हो गये हैं भौर उनकी मोनोपोली हो गई है। सात डिस्ट्रिक्ट्स के भन्दर उनकी मोनोपोली है। गुजरात वाले भगर र्षा भेजते हैं उधर तो उनको मुनाफा नहीं मिलता है। गुजरात सरकार ने भभी तक एगमार्क कम्पलसरी नहीं किया हैं। गुजरात वाले घाटे में हैं भौर सौराष्ट्र के जो ब्यापारी हैं उनके वैस्टिड इंटिरेस्ट बन गये हैं भौर वे बड़े सक्सैसफुल हो गये हैं।

श्री तुलशीवास जाधवः वहां क्या राजस्थान का विरोध करते हैं।

श्री **चिनूभाई शाह**ः हमारी ऐसी आशंका है।

श्री तुलशीदास आधव: डिपार्टमेंट जांच करता है कि कितना ग्रार० एम० कहां है। ग्रगर डिपार्टमेंट जांच न करता तो हम उन से कहते।

श्री चित्भाई शाह : हमारी शक की बुनियाद यह है कि गुजरात सरकार यूनीफार्म पालिसी बनाने के लिए कहती है। फिर भी चीज भागे नहीं चलती।

सभापति महोदया : श्राप गुजरात सभा के प्रतिनिधि हैं ।

श्री चिनुभाई शाहः जी हां।

श्री तुलज्ञीदास जाधव : गुजरात स्टेट इन्तिजाम करती है और श्राप भी करते हैं। फिर भी श्राप को शंका है यह मेरी समझ में नहीं श्राया ।

भी चिन्भाई शाह : कुछ निर्नुकुछ फर्क पड़ता है इसीलिए संका है।

Chairman: For the information of these gentlemen and for Members I would like to give this information. They have taken different samples from different parts and districts of the same State, it is said. The agricultural department and agricultural directorate have taken the sample. They collaborate with them in testing the samples. In the Gujerat area other than Kaira district and erstwhile State of Saurashtra and Kutch it is different. Other than the district of Kaira the RM is different. It is decided, they say, not on the basis of district or taluk wise or district or State wise but they have got R.M. zones.

श्री बसवन्त : बम्बई गवर्नमेंट ने प्रपने यहां के लिए प्रार० एम० वैल्यू मुकरंर की है। बलगांव वाले कहते हैं कि उनके यहां के दूध की प्रार० एम० वैल्यू कम होती है क्योंकि कैटिल को ग्रन्छा चारा नहीं मिलता।

An hon. Member: It varies from season to season and place to place.

भी बसवन्त: खानदेश में भार० एम० बैल्यू ज्यादा भाती है। बम्बई राज्य के कुछ हिस्सों में जहां इंजन का पाला पशुभों को मिलता है परसेंटज बहुत ज्यादा है तो भापका कहना है कि भार० एम० बैल्यू पशुभों को जो चारा मिलता है उस पर निर्भर करता है।

Chairman: On behalf of the Members of the Committee I thank you for having come over here and given us the benefit of your views.

(The witnesses then withdrew.)

XIV. The Ghee Merchants Association, Bombay.

Spokesman:

Shri Shantilal J. Modi.

(The witness was called in and he took his seat.)

सभापति महोदया : श्राप कहां से श्राते हैं। भी शांति लाल जे भोदी : हम गुजरात शुद्ध घी व्यापार महा मंडल के प्रतिनिधि हैं।

हमारे यहां मनेक क्षेत्रों से डब्बों में बन्द घी ग्राता है। ग्रीर उन में ग्रलग ग्रलग की भार० एम० वैल्यू ग्रलग ग्रलग होती है। जो छोटे व्यापारी हमारे यहां से घी ले जाकर भीर डब्बों को खोल कर बेचते हैं, उनके जब सँम्पल लिये जाते हैं तो उनमें कभी कभी कम भार० एम० वैल्यू वाला घी पाया जाता है। केवल इसी बात पर चालान कर दिया जाता है। हम को यह भी बतलाना चाहिए कि उसमें क्या मिलावट की गयी है। भार० एम० वैल्यू सब जगह के घी की बराबर नहीं होती।

सभापति महोदया : क्या चीज मिलायी जाती है ?

श्री शांतिलाल खे॰ मोदी : वेजीटे बिल की मिलावट चल रही है। हम चाहते हैं कि वेजीटेबिल को रंग दिया जाये।

श्री के**० एस० बाल्मीकी :** चरबी भी मिलायी जाती है ?

श्री शान्तिलाल जे० मोदी : जी हां।

श्री के **एल बात्मीकी** : क्या सब से ज्यादा चरबी सुमर की किलायी जाती है ?

श्री शांतिलाल जे शांबी: ग्रार एम • वैल्यू के साथ क्या मिलावट है यह भी बतलाना साहिए।

श्री के • एल • बाल्मीकी : श्राप तो कहते हैं कि श्राप बन्द डब्बों के घी का व्यापार करते हैं । श्राप ने खुरजा के महेश घी श्रीर शिवा घी का नाम सुना होगा । क्या इन में मिलावट पायी गयी ?

श्री शांतिलाल जे शांदी: ये प्रच्छे घी हैं।

श्री के **एल वाल्मीकी** : क्या खुरजा के घी में इसलिए मिलावट नहीं होती कि वह एगमार्क होता है ? भी शांतिलाल जे॰ मोबी: जी हां।

श्री के **एल वाल्मीकी**: क्या सब घी एगमार्क होना चाहिए ।

श्री क्षांतिलाल जे भोदी : एगमार्क होने से कन्ज्यूमर को मंहगा पड़गा ।

भी के • एल • बाल्मीकी : ग्राप ने कहा कि घी में ज्यादातर वेजीटेबिल मिलाया जाता है । जिन मंडियों में घी बिकता है, उनमें बेजीटेबिल भी बिकता है । क्या ग्रापका कहना है कि बेजीटेबिल को रंग दिया जाये ।

भी शांति लाल जे भोदी : वनस्पति प्रोडक्ट्स में जब कोई उपयुक्त कलर मिलाया जायेगा तभी इस देश में लोगों को शुद्ध घी सुलभ हो सकेगा क्योंकि उसके कलर होने से घी में मिलावट बहुत कम हो जायेगी।

श्री के एस बाल्मीकी : ग्राप की श्रसोसियेशन के कितने सदस्य हैं।

श्री शांतिलाल जे० मोदी: १५ सदस्य हैं।

सभापति महोवयाः घी में मिलावट रोकने के वास्ते ग्राप ने वनस्पति को रंगने का जो सुझाव दिया है उस पर सरकार गम्भी-रता से विचार कर रही है ग्रीर कोई उपयुक्त रंग की एक्सपर्टंस के जरिए तलाश भी करवा रही है जो कि स्वास्थ्य के लिए हानिकारक भी न हो ग्रीर साथ ही परमानेंट भी हो। ग्रभी जो रंग मिले हैं वे टैम्पोरैरी हैं। गवर्नमेंट के सामने यह मामला विचाराधीन है।

श्री सांतिलाल जे भोदी : पन्द्र वर्ष से सरकार वनस्पति में रंग मिलाने के बारे में सोच रही हैं लेकिन श्राश्चर्य का विषय है कि उसे श्रभी तक कोई उपयुक्त रंग नहीं मिल पाया है। जब कि हर चीज के लिए रंग मिल जाता है तब बेजीटेबुल श्रायल के लिए रंग क्यों नहीं मिलता है? श्री के • एल • बाल्मीकी : क्या भाप बतला सकते हैं कि किस स्टेट के बन्द डिब्बों में मिलावट पकडी गयी?

श्री शांतिलाल जे नोबी : सौराष्ट्र के घी प्रोड्यूसर्स के डिब्बे पकड़े गये हैं लेकिन गुजरात के ग्रीर उत्तर प्रदेश के नहीं पकड़े गये हैं।

श्री तुलझीदास जाधव : ग्राप के पास सौराष्ट्र, गुजरात, मैसूर, ग्रांध्र प्रदेश श्रौर महाराष्ट्र ग्रादि से ग्रलग ग्रलग घी के डिब्बे बिकने के वास्ते ग्राते हैं, मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि ग्राप उन्हें उसी तरह ग्रलग ग्रलग बेचते हैं या उनको एक में मिला कर बेचते हैं?

श्री शांतिलाल जे शोबी: हमारे पास रेकार्ड रहता है कि कितने कितने डिब्बे किस किस जगह से हमारे वहां बिकने के लिए श्राये हैं, श्रलग श्रलग पार्टीज का हमारे पास पूरा ब्योरा रहता है लेकिन जिस तरह से वह श्रलग श्रलग हमारे पास श्राते हैं उसी तरह से हम श्रलग श्रलग ही ग्राहक की मंशा के श्रनुसार उनको बेचते हैं। हर एक घी का भाव भी श्रलग श्रलग होता है शौर इसलिए मिक्स करने का उनको कोई सवाल नहीं है शौर उनको उसी तरह से श्रलग श्रलग ही बेचा भी जाता है।

श्री बाबु आई पदमशी: मैं यहां पर थोड़ा सा चेग्नरमैन साहब की इजाजत से निवेदन करना चाहूंगा कि हमारा शहर बतौर खुद घी प्रोड्यूस नहीं करता है। वह केवल एक बड़ा कंज्यूमिंग सेंटर है ग्रौर विभिन्न राज्यों से घी मंगवाता है जिनके कि ग्रपने ग्रलग ग्रलग घी के स्टैन्डंडस हैं। हमारे रिटेल डीलर्स जोकि २६ ग्रार० एम० से नीची वैल्यू का घी बेचते हैं उनको बड़ी दिक्कत का सामना करना पड़ रहा है क्योंकि उनका सैम्युल बिलो स्टैन्डंडस का माना जाता है हालांकि वह पड़ोस की रियासतों का जनविन इम्पोर्टेड घी है। जाहिर है कि हमारा कंज्यूमिंग सैंटर प्रोड्यूसिंग स्टेट्स से भिन्न स्टैन्डर्ड नहीं रख सकता है। इसलिए यह प्रार्थना की जाती है कि घी टिनों पर प्रोड्यू-सिंग सेंटर का मार्क साफ साफ बना हुमा हो भौर वह उसी स्टैन्डर्ड से टैस्ट किया जाय जोकि स्टैन्डर्ड उसका प्रोड्यूसिंग सेंटर में है।

सभापति महोबया : यह भ्राप के मेमो-रेंडम में सब दिया हुआ है इसलिए उसे दूइराने की जरूरत नहीं है। कमेटी ने भ्रापका सुझाव नोट कर लिया है।

श्री देवकीनन्दन नारायण : श्राप घी गांव वालों से डाइरैक्ट लेते हैं या बल्क प्रोड्यूसर्स से लेते हैं ?

श्री शांतिलाल जे व मोबी ः प्रोड्यूसर्स के वहां से भाता है।

श्री देवकीनन्दन नारायण : भ्रव बल्क प्रोड्यसर्स के यहां तो किसान लोग दो, दो ढाई सेर भ्रलग भ्रलग हंडियों में भी भर कर लाते हैं भीर वह उनको इकट्ठा करते रहते हैं भीर बाद में टिनों में भर कर भेज देते हैं। इसलिए दरम्रसल भ्राप भी किसान प्रोड्युसर्स से नहीं लेते हैं बल्कि घी के व्यापारियों से लंते हैं जोकि घी ग्रपने वहां इधर उधर से जमा करते रहते हैं। जब ऐसी बात है तो ब्राप को क्या भरोसा है कि वह व्यापारी जो इस तरह से दिसयों जगह से घी इकटठा करते हैं व उसमें मिलावट नहीं करते हैं? वह व्यापारी उन टिनों को सील करके ग्राप के पास भेजते हैं। उन पर ग्राप लोग नम्बर डालते हैं। इस बात की क्या गारन्टी है कि जो वहां भाप के एजेंट या घी व्यापारी हैं वे सील करने से पहले उसमें मिलावट नहीं करते हैं ?

श्री शान्तिल। ल जे बोबी : गवर्नमेंट की लेबोरेटरीज में उस को चैक किया जा सकत हैं।

Chairman: The members have got sufficient information and on behalf of the Members of the Committee, I would like to offer my thanks to the spokesman of the Association.

(The witness then withdrew)...

XV. The Guntur Kirana Retail Merchants Association, Guntur.

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri M. Satyanarayar
- 2 Shri S Varahala Rao

XVI. Andhra Pradesh Retail Kirana Merchants Federation, Viziawada

Spokesman:

Shri V. V. Punnaiya

XVII. Bhesal Pratibandhak Kamkaj Committee Poona.

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri M. E. Jeena
- 2 Shri R S. Joshi
- 3. Shri Chiman Lal Panachand
- 4. Shri Chuni Lal Shankar Lal

XVIII. Dukandar Samiti Dehra Dun.

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri Balgobind Khanna
- 2. Shri Tara Chand.

XIX. North Calcutta Traders Associations, Calcutta,

Spokesman:

Shri Sontosh Kumar Lodh

XX. Bezwada Commercial Association (Regd.), Vijayawada.

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri Anumalasetty Sreeramulu
- 2. Shri Kakaraparthy Venkateswarlu.

(Witnesses were called in and they took their seats.)

Chairman: Gentlemen, the copies of the memoranda submitted by you have been distributed to all the members. If you want to stress any point that you have mentioned in your memoranda or any other point pertaining to the amendments under consideration, you are most welcome. The evidence that will be given by you will be treated as public unless you specifically mention that a part of

it or whole of it should be treated as confidential. Even then, that will be circulated to the members of the Select Committee and Members of Parliament.

Shri S. Varahala Rao: On behalf of the Guntur Kirana Retail Merchants Association. Guntur. I want to assure that whatever Government want us to do we shall do. Let me also make it clear that we have not come here to plead the cases of those who are committing or violating the law of Adulteration. We are also not against awarding deterrent punishments to the offenders. Our request is to give a: patient hearing to the retailers' case. On previous occasions, they wanted to represent their cases or difficulties experienced by them but they had not got the opportunity to do so during the last ten years of the implementation of this Act. We should request the hon. Members to do justice and not to favour our Association from what we submit to you.

Chairman: We have already got your memorandum. You only stress on any point because we have already listened to so many representative bodies of the Kirana Merchants. Therefore, the Members are able to grasp it in no time. Please emphasise on any special points.

Shri S. Varahala Rao: This being an important matter for all of us, we want to say that for the last ten years of the implementation of this Act, an opportunity was denied to this hon. Committee in touring the States.

Chairman: For the information of the Members of this Committee, I would like to say that these people have requested the members to tour round the country. That is why they say that all of them were denied the opportunity to represent their case to the Committee.

Shri S. Varahala Rao: Under the prevailing conditions in this country, all the retail dealers know 's to what is happening regarding the proposed amendment or its consequence or the

Act as it stands to-day. The hasty manner with which the legislation is being pushed by Parliament is depriving them of opportunities to represent their case.

Chairman: Please come to the point. We do not want to take hasty action. We are listening to you. Let us restrict ourselves to the relevant points only.

Shri S. Varahala Rao: The amendments will show that the Government find that the Act is not working upto their satisfaction. In implementation of this Act, there are certain inherent difficulties and there are certain lasses, omissions and commissions in the original Act found during the last ten years which, these Retailers' Association feel, should be taken note of. This is our submission. Then, as regards the steps to be taken or recommended by this esteemed Committee, we are going to suggest three or four important points. Firstl, effective checking must take place at the place of production.

Chairman: We have followed it.

Shri S. Varahala Rao: Also at the point of assembling. I suggest this because a large amount of commodities are available there. That reduces the amount of adulteration. Secondly, extensive arrangements for laboratory facilities for scientifically testing food articles may be provided at least to cover all important towns. Thirdly, the issue of warranty certificates by the wholesalers should be made compulsory. Hitherto warranty certificates are not being issued compulsorily. It is left to the option of the wholesalers. We request you to make it compulsory on the part of wholesalers to issue the warranty certificates to the retailers when they supply the goods.

Chairman: You think that is essential.

Shri S. Varahala Rao: Yes; because that certifies that the commodity supplied by the wholesalers to the retailers is genuine in quality. Fourthly, we put it for your consideration to see whether it is possible for you to advise the wholesalers as well as the retailers to sell the minimum possible quantities of food articles either in the form of packets or parcels or tins and they may be Agmarked.

Dr. C. B. Singh: You want the minimum and the maximum level?

Shri S. Varahala Rao: An ordinary consumer's level should be taken into account in fixing the minimum possible quantities for each and every article of food.

Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja: That means even the smallest quantity must be tested and Agmarked?

Shri S. Varahala Rao: Of course, it implies that.

Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja: Doyou think it is an easy thing for the Government to test and Agmark each and every article of food even in the smallest quantity?

Shri S. Varahala Rao: The easiest thing is to supply them through any of the Governmental agencies, co-operative societies or something like that. The retailers are quite prepared to purchase from you.

In the Act, agricultural and manufactured commodities were combined: in the list of food articles. I suggest that agricultural articles should be separated from the manufactured articles because they are different in nature and quality. So far as the agricultural commodities are concerned, the articles like foodgrains, pulses and other things, there adulteration can be found out easily with naked eyes as to whether they are adulterated or not. It can also be known whether they are injurious to human health or not. If they are not injurious to human health, they can be eliminated from the list of food articles so that it can give some relief....

Chairman: There is no possibility of these things being adulterated?

Shri S. Varahala Rao: It can easily be found out. Moreover, some of the wholesalers and retailers take the trouble of cleaning them also and even the housewives will be cleaning the articles at home. Such things never cause an injury to health.

Chairman: The housewives have not got any profit motive or any commercial motive. Therefore, they cannot venture to adulterate.

Shri S. Varahala Rao: Even for the improper cleaning done by the agriculturists, the responsibility is being laid upon the dealers.

Chairman: If it is adulterated at the stage of retailer or the wholesaler, then what do you suggest?

Shri S. Varahala Rao: It is being adulterated at several stages. We do not deny that. So far as the retailer is concerned, his profits are meagre and he cares more for the goodwill of the consumer than earning more profit through adulteration.

Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja: Are you trying to mislead the Committee?

Shri S. Varahala Rao: Certainly not.

Chairman: Do you think the Committee will be misled by you?

Shri S. Varahala Rao: I am not capable of it.

Chairman: You are giving us some information and guidance. We are here to seek your advice.

Shri S. Varahala Rao: My submission is that agricultural commodities should be separated from manufactured articles. That will give some relief.

Dr. C. B. Singh: Will you clarify the point as to what do you mean by agricultural commodities and what do you mean by manufactured articles?

Shri S. Varahala Rao: The pulses and the foodgrains are agricultural commodities. The manufactured articles are, like, oils, ghee, butter and several other things. The articles like ghee, butter and oils may be separated from agricultural commodities because agricultural commodities cause no harm to the health of the humanbeing. That is our submission.

Then I come to another point. While in the Act, adulteration was elaborately defined, no definition was given as to who the actual adulterator is. Unless and until that is defined clearly and umabiguously, retailers cannot be unnecessarily harassed. The more possession of an adulterated stuff by an innocent dealer does not mean that he is an adulterator. An innocent dealer does not know whether it is adulterated or not as there are not laboratory facilities for him to know whether the stuff is adulterated or not. He is being harassed as an adulterator for the mere offence of possessing an adulterated stuff which he purchased innocently from the wholesaler.

Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja: You said that it is visible to the naked eye and now you are saying that they innocently purchase adulterated stuff from the wholesaler.

Shri S. Varahala Rao: I have differentiated agricultural commodities from the manufactured articles. In the case of agricultural commodities, it can be detected with naked eyes whereas in the case of manufactured articles, it cannot be detected wtihout having scientific tests and all that. I want agricultural commodities to be eliminated from the list itself because they are not harmful to the human beings. The practical difficulty is that the retailers are going to the wholesalers and purchasing stuff without knowing whether it is adulterated or not. They are denied the facility

of knowing it due to the scarcity of laboratory facilities. For the mere possession of an adulterated stuff, the retailer is being taken to task and branded as an adulterator and being punished in the court of law. If adulterator is also defined in the Act, that will be much better. The word 'Adulteration' has been elaborately defined. The word 'Adulterator' should also be defined.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: We have got this memorandum. He is repeating practically every sentence and every point contained therein. There is nothing new in his evidence.

Shri S. Varahala Rao: I will explain the remaining points. When local co-operative stores and local retail private dealers are purchasing foodstuffs from the same place, only the retail private dealers are taken to task. Whatever stuff the co-operative stores may sell, they are exempted from any punishment.

Then we request that articles such as Vanaspati and Dalda may be covered by this legislation.

Chairman: We have recorded that already because other associations too have said it.

Shri S. Varahala Rao: It has come to my notice very recently, from no less an authority than the health officer of a particular municipality, that there exist some factories which are manufacturing stone powder for adulteration with spices and other commodities.

Chairman: Can you give us the name of place, not openly, but confidentially.

Shri S. Varahala Rao: I have not secured the address myself. I will try to send it to you.

Dr. C. B. Singh: We have heard that sort of thing.

Shri S. Varahala Rao: Our submission is that the analyst's report should be made available carlier. The sample taking authority must have

the status of a gazetted officer. That will stop the harassment of retailers by food inspectors or local Government servants. If the mark on the goods is found to be spoiled while with retailers, they can be penalised. As regards preservation of standards and qualities prescribed by the Government, we request that some margin should be allowed in respect of unsold goods. When the article deteriorates, our request is to permit us to destroy the stuff.

Dr. C. B. Singh: That will depend upon you. How can the Government allow you to sell it?

Shri S. Varahala Rao: My last submission would be that inspection staff and laboratory facilities should be made available throughout the country.

Shri V. V. Punnaiya: Adulteration takes place at different stages and at different levels—at source, at the stage of wholesalers, retailers and lastly at the level of consumers. The retailer, being more sensitive to public opinion, cannot afford to do it because if he does it, he loses his business. If a retailer is known to be a supplier of adulterated or sub-standard food articles, he loses his business, and goodwill.

Chairman: Do you mean to say that the wholesaler does not lose his goodwill?

Shri V. V. Punnaiya: He can afford because he has a vast market.

Chairman Do you think that the retailer will not supply adulterated stuff?

Shri V. V. Punnaiya: I was only speaking about the sensitiveness of the retailer. There are certain commodities where adulteration is impossible at a lower level and at the level of retailers. It is impossible for the retailer because for that purpose he requires colouring materials and other things apart from technical knowledge.

Chairman: If adulteration of that nature is not possible, adulteration of a different nature is possible for him.

Shri V. V. Punnaiya: There certain things which can be adulterated at every stage. There are certain others which can be done only by the wholesalers. There are again certain adulterations which are visible or which can be detected by the naked eye. There are certain type of adulterations which cannot be detected except with the help of technical equipment. Take the case of mustard seed. The dust or sand contained in mustard seeds is removable and can be detected by the naked eye. Supposing after the test it is found out that the oil content in the mustard seeds is not upto the standard. You cannot find out that unless you have the testing capacity. Real adulteration is different from this kind of thing. Sub-standard is different from adulteration. That is my important suggestion. The percentage of oil content in this case cannot be easily detected and this is not due to adulteration. It is due to various factors such as the soil factor, fertiliser, etc.

Knowing the human nature and the structure of our society, I think it is always the bigger man who goes free. The small man is not able to resist it. The bigger man can resist it. I will give you another instance. Take the question of water supply. But putting chlorine at every tap you cannot purify the water supply system. On the other hand, if you chlorinate water at the source, the whole town is safe. On the same analogy, if you check the commodities at the source or wholesaler's level or manufacturer's level or producer's level, 90 per cent of the whole trouble will be over. I do not say that 100 per cent we will be successful. Sometimes when the bigger people are in trouble, they know how to manage, but not the small man. I use the word 'manage' in an harmless way.

Chairman: That is his opinion.

Shri V. V. Punnaiya: That is natural. The bigger man can manage to escape but not the smaller man.

Coming to adulteration, I want to say this that the mere possession of those goods cannot be an offence.

Then, the laboratory facilities are not adequate at all. Every municipality can be insisted upon to open a laboratory where things can be tested locally and round about towns.

Chairman: That point is clear.

Shri V. V. Punnaiya: That is one of the main things which will serve the purpose to a great extent. In the absence of it any amount of this tinkering will not do.

And agmarking is also very essential.

This analysis business is taking a lot of time. We know the results after four or six months.

Dr. C. B. Gupta: You want rapid results?

Shri V. V. Punnaiya: And a time should be fixed for different varieties of goods. For instance, milk deteriorates after twentyfour or fortyeight hours.

Chairman: We follow, perishable and non-perishable articles.

Shri V. V. Punnaiya: Because sometimes the quality itself deteriorates by the time the results come.

Then, along with agmarking, there should be the warranty forms, and these should be sent not at the request of the retail merchant, but the condition should be that it should follow the goods and bill. Then I think most of the things may be eliminated. The warranty forms guaranteeing the quality of the goods supplied should not be a thing to be given at the request of the retail dealer but should be made compulsory to be supplied along with the invoice whenever a stuff is supplied.

Chairman: Who should insist? It is the person who purchases.

Shri V. V. Punnaiya: But if that man refuses, what am I to do?

Chairman: If you say that the other man refuses to give the warranty, that means he is not selling the proper goods, and you are not taking the goods from the proper person.

Shri V. V. Punnaiya: But suppose all are the same, I have to purchase. Suppose all the five who manufacture are the same.

Chairman: Why do you create difficulties? We are trying to solve them.

Shri V. V. Punnaiya: From experience I am talking.

Chairman: All people are like that?

Shri V. V. Punnaiya: Not all people. But now it is not made obligatory. It should be made obligatory.

Chairman: It is up to the person who makes the purchase to say, "I want a warranty".

Shri S. Varahala Rao: It is because of the fear of adulteration that we want this.

Chairman: So you should not take these things from a person who is unwilling to give a warranty.

Shri V. V. Punnaiya: There is a warranty clause in the Act. It is only optional and not obligatory. Our request to you is to make it obligatory.

Then, when the food inspectors inspect and take samples, they are supposed to do it in the presence of two witnesses. Generally they are only the peons of the food inspectors. We want that the food inspector or whoever is asked to do the inspection must do it in the presence of two independent people, and not his peons. I think I have made myself sufficiently clear. When I say it is only the peons who are asked to serve as witnesses, what I want to point out is that it can lead to any kind of mischief. After all, the servants of the food inspectors cannot be called independent witnesses. That is a very important point.

Chairman: For the information of Mr. Shiv Charan Gupta and others I am giving this information about the warranty clause. According to section 19(2) (i) of the existing Act which contains the provision about warranty, the responsibility of proving that he is innocent is upon the purchaser. Now, that section is going to be substituted by another in the amending Bill under which the responsibility will be thrown upon the seller to prove that he supplied the proper goods and he did not know that it was adulterated and that he is innocent. The onus of proving is shifted from the purchaser to the seller. In other words, the warranty clause is dropped, but by the new amendment the seller has to prove that he is innocent.

Shri V. V. Punnaiya: It should be made obligatory in respect of whatever he supplies.

Chairman: I think you approve of the amendment. The onus of proving that he is innocent has shifted from the purchaser to the seller. It is the seller who has to prove it.

Shri S. Varahala Rao: That is exactly what we are demanding.

Chairman: I do not know whether you have seen it.

Shri S. Varahala Rao: That is exactly what we want.

Shri M. E. Jeena: On behalf of the Bhesal Pratibandhak Kamkaj Committee, we submit our views on the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act Amendment Bill No. 59 of 1963 for the kind and sympathetic consideration of the Members of the Joint Select Committee.

Chairman: It is not a body actually dealing with these things but a Federation. Am I correct?

Shri M. E. Jeena: Yes.

Chairman: You have submitted your memorandum

Shri M. E. Jeena: I want to say something outside the memorandum.

Chairman: It should pertain to the amendments under consideration.

Shri M. E. Jeena: My two friends have covered most of the points. In two or three minutes I will refer to the things which are left.

Penalty for infringement of the provisions of the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act and Rules thereunder with imprisonment and fine will be unnecessarily harassing to the businessmen unless the Act will be applied and implemented in the whole country. At present the Act is applicable only to urban areas of the country, and the rural areas which are more than 60 per cent are outside the purview of the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act and Rules

Chairman: What brought you to this conclusion that it is applicable only to the urban areas and not to the rural areas? Where is it, please show me.

Shri M. E. Jeena: In Maharashtra it is so.

Dr. C. B. Singh: There is no such distinction. It is applicable to the whole of India

Chairman: It is applicable to the whole of India. If you say that it is not administered properly, that is a different matter.

Shri M. E. Jeena: In Maharashtra it is applied only to a certain section of the population.

Chairman: The State enactments are no longer there. This will be the central enactment applicable throughout India.

Shri Tulsidas Jadhav: Is there any provision that this Act should be implemented by the State Governments as they like?

Chairman: No.

Shri M. E. Jeena: We humbly and respectfully request the Joint Committee to kindly give good thought over the present issue before recommending the Bill and to please see that

there is no injustice done to anybody in the country whether he is from rural areas or from urban areas for no fault of his. We may also mention that the maximum quantity of food commodities in the country is received from rural areas where the Act is not applicable.

Chairman: It is a wrong thing. If the whole of that note refers to this thing, you may drop it and refer to other points.

Shri M. E. Jeena: About section 10 (ii), which says that vendor shall execute a bond, it is not necessary in the case of municipal licensed premises under the State rules. In section 14. along with the proposed amendment, it should also be added that every manufacturer or wholesaler or retailer must give warranty for the food commodity.

Chairman: I have explained to you that the warranty clause is being dropped and a more powerful clause is going to be substituted. Previously the moment the warranty was given, it was the purchaser who was responsible for proving it and the seller was to a certain extent relieved from the liability. But in the substituted clause, it is the seller who has to prove that he is quite honest. So, the responsibility of proving honesty sincerity has shifted from the purchaser to the vendor.

Shri M. E. Jeena: We also want to submit that the standards laid down under the Prevention of Food Adulteration Rules 1955 are to be justified by examining many more samples of food commodities and confirming the standards laid down at present under the Prevention of Food Adulteration Rule 1955. In our opinion the present standards need adulteration and amendment.

Chairman: If you want to give any suggestions regarding the standards, you may please give them and we shall pass them on to the standards

committee, which is specially constituted for this purpose. They will examine them.

Shri M. E. Jeena: The Maharashtra Government have made 15 or 16 rules under this Act which we want to bring to your notice.

Chairman: You give it in writing and we shall pass it on to the Ministry.

Shri M. E. Jeena: Yes; we shall give you a copy

About warranty, if the commission agent has given a warranty, the court is not accepting it

Chairman: The warranty clause is being dropped and substituted by another clause. You need not worry about that.

Shri M. E. Jeena: The wording now is only "purchaser" and not commission agent. Do you say now the commission agent also will be included?

Chairman: Everybody will be included. The commission agent is acting for the principal and he will be responsible for all that the principal does.

Shri R. S. Joshi: It will not stand in the Poona court. The Poona court is not allowing that warranty.

Shri M. E. Jeena: If I want to sell genuine dal, the fees for testing one sample in the laboratory is Rs. 20, whereas the cost of one bag of dal itself is only Rs. 120. I have to pay Rs. 20 for testing that one sample. I request the Committee that it should be made according to what is charged from the Corporation. From the Corporation they are charging only Rs. 4. So, the fee should be reduced to Rs. 4.

Chairman: Why can you not get it analysed there?

Shri M. E. Jeena: We have to pay Rs. 20 for that

Chairman: Why not get it tested in the municipal laboratory?

Shri M. E. Jeena: Municipal laboratory is not there. As regards the result, we get the result after 1½ to 2 months. They send the result only of sub-standard or adulterated things, but we want everything. Our retailers should get the written results

Shri K. Venkateswarlu: We dealers in gram dal and Bengal gram. Andhra Pradesh is mainly an importing State We import from Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, Punjab and UP. Therefore we should not be held responsible for adulteration of the stuff that is imported from other States. Our suggestion is that there must be strict check at source. That check must be implemented by proper agmarks. With regard to the question of warranty, when section 19 is amended the entire burden is thrown on the vendor. So, in order to have effective implementation of this Act and in order to check adulteration there must be a centralised organisation as stated in the statement of objects of the Bill. Unless there is a strong, well-organised central administration, it is not possible to put into effective operation the amended section 19(2).

Shri K. L. Balmiki: Do you mean to say that there should be a separate cadre for this purpose?

Shri K. Venkateswarlu: Yes. The Act proposes to make certain amendments and also takes some powers to appoint some food inspectors and to create five zones. With regard to the question of witnesses, there should be two independent witnesses. With regard to the penal provisions, unless the consignments are checked at source or unless it is effectively implemented provision at the source, this penal will not solve the problem and it becomes the Damocles' sword on the business community's head. Therefore, if these checks are implemented, there is no further need to raise the penal provisions and the present provisions themselves are sufficient. With regard to the question of agricultural products just like Bengal gram and all those things naturally there will be

a mixing of dust etc. with those things. They can easily be separated from those foreign matter. On behalf of the wholesaler I submit that we sell in bags. They can easily be verified. They can testify and verify whether they are tampered or not tampered. That is very easy.

Chairman: Please proceed to the next point.

Shri Balgobind Khanna: We are retail traders in spices and all sorts of food articles. The manufacturers and wholesalers are trying to put the resof adulteration ponsibility on the small trader. You can get it checked up. There are 4 or 5 big shops, wholesale shops. They sell atta maida, suji, sugar, etc. The board is put in the shop saying, food articles sold here are not meant for human consumption. They have got signboards on the shop indicating this. There are 2 or 3 shops In Dehra Dun there are 5 big shops in the main centre. You can enquire and you will know the position even tomorrow morning. If you delay they will remove the signboards. Another point. There is a factory for manufacturing hing. It is slightly outside the limits. Since it is slightly outside the limits of Dehra Dun, the inspectors do not go there to check up. They say that it is beyond their limits. It is not good hing. The raw material is not available in India, as far as I know. It is something that comes from Kabul. They make some mixture in it so that they can add weight and sell it out. There is a board that the hing manufactured is for use in the field to kill insects etc., and not to be used by people. When it goes out in the market the signboards do not go along with the article. When it comes to the wholesaler and retailer it is sold as hing.

Chairman: Is the State Government taking action?

Shri Balgobind Khanna: The inspectors say it is outside the municipal limits. They say there is the sign board that it is not fit for human consumption. But the sign boards do

not go along with the articles. Everywhere it is consumed by human beings. It is sold in the open market. In such cases of adulteration etc. the article should be collected from the people who make those articles. Otherwise he may say, the contents have been replaced etc. There are people who manufacture ground chillies. There is a big firm doing it. The packing can be temporary. You can remove the contents and put other contents and still the packet looks as if it has been packed. When the time of prosecution comes, that man says, "Well, I don't know, this might have been tampered on the way". So, you should exercise check at the source where they are packed where they are canned, where they are manufactured. There should be no difficulty in absolving and exempting the retail trader absolutely in such cases where samples cannot be altered after manufacture

On other point. In all these cases of adulteration the time factor is to be considered. The date of expiry should be put on the tin. After that date it should not be sold. If it is accepted or offered the responsibility will be that of the manufacturer. We do not know after how much time it will become deteriorated. In the case of those things which cannot be altered like sabudhana, katha, hing etc., the responsibility for proving that they are unadulterated also comes on the retailer, whereas . .

Chairman: There may be variety of adulteration at the retailers' level also subsequently.

Shri Balgobind Khanna: But the things cannot be altered.

Chairman: If one pay of sabudhana is made to weigh 1½ pay by adding something like matti, who is responsible? I do not mean personally anything. Some of the retailers may do it.

Shri Balgobind Khanna: It is manufactured from roots of some tree; the juice is extracted. The raw material is available only in the South. The

responsibility should not be with the retailer. All eatables should be packed and labelled before they are sold. There should be nothing like a loose thing. If I don't do adulteration, why I should take the responsibility? If I sell a staled and packed thing to my customer, why should I take the responsibility? When the responsibility is equally with the manufacturer and the wholesaler and the retailer, there should be some distinction and there should be some proportion in which the sample should be collected—as is given in our memorandum 75 per cent with the supplier, 15 per cent with the wholesaler and 10 per cent retailers. If you don't nip the thing in the bud, you know what would be the result? There is a poisonous tree and is yielding poisonous fruit. If you pluck one branch and throw away that, it would not have the effect. You have to axe the root. Why should there be difference between facturers, wholesalers and retailers in the matter of collection of samples?

Chairman: We have understood your point. You say that manufacturers also should be made responsible.

Shri Balgobind Khanna: And to a greater extent. That is my point. Regarding the standards, when a lower standard is due to a mixture of a foreign matter, it should mean adulteration. But, when the thing itself is of lower quality, it is not adulteration. If the whole spices are unclean, they should not be taken as adulterated. If there are stones or dust, they can easily be separated.

Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja: You separate them and sell them.

Shri Balgobind Khanna: Ours is a poor country. If a man does not want to pay 8 annas extra for something clean and if he chooses to pay for something unclean, he should be allowed to do so.

Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja: Is there any possibility of this uncleanliness going to the extent of adulteration?

874 (Aii) LS-14

Shri K. L. Balmikh It is the duty of the Government to save the life of the person howsoever poor he may be.

Shri Balgobind Khanna: The percentage should be liberal. It should be according to the state in which it is collected by the farmer from the field.

भी के o एल o बाल्मीकी : अभी विटर्नेस ने जो यह फरमाया कि हम अच्छी क्वालिटी के मसाले भी बेचते हैं और घटिया किस्म के सस्ते मसाले भी बचते हैं और घरिया किस्म के सस्ते दाम वाले मसाले ले जाते हैं और घर पर वें उस को साफ़ कर लेते हैं तो इसके तो माने यह हुए कि जितने भी सड़े हुए फस व तर-कारियां हैं शाम को गरीब लोग कम दाम पर ले जायें और उसके फलस्वरूप वे और उन के परिवार के लोग बीमारियों का शिकार बनें, मेरे खयाल में उनको इस बात की इजाजत देना सही नहीं है।

Shri Balgoind Khanna: I would submit that the example is not analogous to this. I will make a reference to Section 10 where it is stated—

A food inspector shall have power.

(a) to take samples of any article of food from . .

Chairman: What do you want to say here?

Shri Balgebind Khanna: This should be amended and the following should be amended and the following should and stocking for sale—in appropriate place.

Chairman: We have understood your point. We will take up the Traders Association, Calcutta.

भी के एस बास्मीकी : क्या धापके यहां बन्द डिक्बों में भी व मक्बन भी बेचा जाता है, दानें ब्रादि भी ब्राप की दुकान पर विकती हैं ?

भी संतोष कुमार लोख : हम लोग किराना के रिटेल डीलर्स हैं । हमारे वहां स्पाइसैज मादि बिकती हैं। दालें भौर घी, मक्खन मादि नहीं बिकते हैं। धनिया, काली मिर्च, जीरा व लौंग मादि हम लोग बेचते हैं।

श्री के ० एल ० बाल्मीकी : ग्राप तो व्यापारी लोग हैं श्रीर ग्राप को पता होगा कि काली मिर्च, जीरे ग्रादि में क्या, क्या चीजें मिलाई जाती हैं ? या काली मिर्च में पपीते के बीज नहीं मिलाये जाते हैं ?

श्री संतोष कुमार लोघ: जी हां, सुना हमने भी है लेकिन दरश्रसल यह चीज सही नहीं है।

भी के **एल** बाल्मीकी : काली मिर्च देश के किस भाग से माती हैं ?

भी संतोष कमार लोघ : मद्रास से आती हैं।

श्री के • एल • बाल्मीकी : ग्राप किस ग्राघार पर यह दावा करते हैं कि काली मिर्च में पपीते के बीज नहीं मिले हुए होते हैं ?

श्री संतोष कुमार लोध : काली मिर्च एक मुपीरियर किस्म की होती है जो कि जरा बड़ी होती है श्रीर दूसरी इनफीरियर होती है जिसके कि बीज छोट होते हैं।

श्री संतीय कुमार लोषः दोनों मगाते हैं।

श्री के**ं एलं बाल्मीकी** : क्या कभी आराप का कोई सैम्पल पकड़ा गया है ?

भी संतोष कुमार लोध: कभी नहीं।

श्री तुलतीवास जायव : श्री खन्ना, झाप ने सारी जिम्मेदारी होलसेलर और मैनुफ़ैम्बरर पर डाली हैं। झाप ने लिखा है कि रीटेलर्ज होलसेलर्ज से माल लेते हैं। उन से माल लेते वक्त झाप उनकी क्वालिटी झीर प्वृरिटी वगैरह को क्यों नहीं देखते हैं? श्री बालयोबिन्द लज्जा : देखने से नया पता लगता है ?

श्री तुलसीदास जायदः ग्राप ने यह भीः कहा है कि कन्ज्यूमर्ज ग्राप की दुकान से सामान ले जायें भौर ग्रपने घरों में साफ़ करें । क्या माल को साफ़ करना ग्राप का काम नहीं है ? ग्राप के मेमोरैंडम ग्रौर ग्राप के बयान से हम पर ऐसा इम्प्रैशन पड़ा है कि जो कुछ गुनाह करते हैं, वह फूड इंस्पैक्टर, होलसेलर ग्रौर मैनुफैक्चरर करते हैं ग्रौर ग्राप बिल्कुल प्यूर हैं।

भी बालगोबिन्द लगा: मान लीजिये कि एक कंज्यूमर मेरे पास श्राता है भौर दूसरा कन्ज्यमर यह चाहता है कि वह होलसेल मार्केट से एक रुपया सस्ता माल ले भौर उस को भपने घर में साफ़ करे। भगर वह चाहता है कि वह लेबर के लिए पेन करे, तो वह होलसेल मार्केट से खरीद सकता है या वह रीटेलर से बग़ैर साफ़ किया हुआ माल खरीद सकता है।

Dr. C. B. Singh: May I put a question to Mr. Khanna? He has tried to leave the responsibility on the wholesaler and the manufacturer. Does he mean that the retailers are not adulterators?

Shri Balgobind Khanna: I did not say that. What I said is that mostly under a condition in which a retailer does not tamper with an article, it can still be proved that he is an adulterator. The definition of adulteration is such that the things which are not adulterated or are not mixed with any foreign matters, may still be considered to be below the standards. Let us say something like that. Should they also be termed as adulteration?

Shri C. M. Kedaria: The sample is not taken. But, according to the present amending Act, if a commodity is found in possession of a particular shop-keeper, then he should be made responsible. How can you say that you are shirking your responsibility

on the others and thereby encouraging the adulteration in another way?

Shri Balgobind Khanna: It is not that I am shirking my responsibility. I shall give you a small instance. There may be some articles coming from the wholesale market which you might term as 'adulterated' according to the Act. I place it in my shop for cleaning. There comes the Inspector and insists on its quality.

Chairman: The wholesalers from Bezwada want to say anything about this.

Shri Tulsidas Jadhav: You have written in your memorandum about the definition of Section 19. What is your suggestion?

Shri K, Venkateswariu: Here even the station vendors are termed as wholesalers.

Shri Tulsidas Jadhav: Who selis the commodities?

Shri K. Venkateswarlu: On behalf of Bezwada Commercial Association I have already submitted a memorandum. There must be proper check on this. We sell under the same clause. We would sell only the articles in which the AGMARK is not tampered.

Shri Tulsidas Jadhav: You have heard the statement of retailers. Do you agree with that? What is your opinion?

Shri K. Venkateswarlu: With great respect to my friends, I am not in a position to agree with them. It is not good to throw the blame on others. My humble submission is that we must sit together and consider ways and means to solve this most knotty problem.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: You have named the Committee as Bhesal Pratibandhak Kamkaj Committee. All the Members of the Committee must be following these words. This Committee has taken the work of prevention of adulteration. I would like to know from you what help in the

matter of prevention of food adulteration this Committee is giving and likely to give to the Government.

भी एम॰ ई॰ जीना : बारह महीने पहले महाराष्ट्र के लिए जो रूल्ज बनाये गये थे उनके भनुसार इस कमेटी की स्थापना की गई है। इसका उद्देश्य यह हैं कि एडलट्रेशन न हो, इसका प्रचार किया जाये, सबस्टेंडर्ड चीजें न बिकें, इसको देखा जाये।

Shri C. M. Kedarin: How can you say that the present amendment is inhuman in so far as it relates to the giving of punishment to the trader?

श्री एस० ई० जीना : मानन्द की डेरी, टेंडू मादि के मन्दर यह एक्ट लागू नहीं हैं। बीस बीस मील रूरल एरियाज के मन्दर यह लागू नहीं हैं।

सभाषति महोदय : सभी जगह है ।

Shri Deckinandan Narayan: In your Memorandum you have said that effective provision should be made in the Act for tracing out the real adulterators and they should be dealt with suitably. May I know what help you are likely to give to the Government to find out these adulterators. Or, is it only the responsibility of the Government to look after these things? Is it not your responsibility also to help the Government in tracing out these people because you know them better than what the Government know?

Shri S. Varahala Rao: I request the hon. Committee to recommend to the Government to define the word 'adulterator' in the Act. Unless and until that is clearly defined, there can be no salvation for the innocent retailers. We have assured our cooperation in the best possible way to the Government for effectively preventing the food aulteration.

Shri Deckinandan Narayan: Your last paragraph says:

"If, however, Government now fails to amend the Act so as to do

justice to all concerned, the dealers will have no option but to stop dealing food articles which adversely affects the consumers...."

You say, you want the Government to do justice to all concerned, dealers and others. Are not dealers to do justice to any consumer?

Shri S. Varahala Rao: Including the consumers

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: Government has to do everything. What are you people, the dealers, to do?

Shri S. Varahala Rao: On behalf of the Association, I have assured all possible cooperation to the Government. But apart from that I wanted to tell you that the retailers are put to trouble by the Government by not providing any genuine goods to them through any of their agencies.

Chairman: Do you wish to say that the Government should become the seller of all the food articles?

Shri S. Varahala Rao: The Government is probably intending to become the trader.

Chairman: The Government does not intend to become the trader. It encourages you people, the voluntary associations.

Shri S. Varabala Rao: Though the cooperative methods, they also want to take the trade ultimately. It seems to be their aim. My humble submission is that the retailers are being beaten by both the sides.

Chairman: What is the concrete help that you are going to give to the Government?

Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja: I want cooperation in this respect. Can you tell us where stones are being manufactured. Can you give us the address?

Shri S. Varahala Rao: After ascertaining the address, I will certainly

give the information. The municipality gave me the information. At first, I did not believe it. But afterwards I ascertained it and it came to be true.

Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja: You are referring to rice where stones are mixed up.

Shri V. V. Punnaiya: The stones are grounded and the mineral is powdered and pulverised.

Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja: Where is it done?

Shri V. V. Punnaiya: It is done in the south in the Selam District. There are factories.

Shri S. Varahala Rao: I appeal to the Members of the Committee that the retailers must be given some safeguards.

Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja: Even though they import stones and mix them with rice, they must be given some safeguards.

Shri S. Varahala Rao: That is not at all my request. Such adulterators should be given deterrent punishment.

Shri V. V. Punnaiya: The mixing of these things with rice cannot be done by the grocer or the retailer or the dealer or the provisions merchant here. These things are done by the rice mills which get large quantities from South.

Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja: All the mill-owners do it?

Shri V. V. Punnaiya: I cannot guarantee that.

Shri S. Varhala Rao: It is my humble request that there should be some safeguards for the retailers, or, the Government must be in a position to supply at least to the retailers some genuine quality food articles.

Chairman: Government are not traders.

Shri S. Varahala Rac: Otherwise it is impossible for the retailers to get on.

Shri Shiv Charam Gupta: It is known to everybody that there is adulteration both at the retailer's level and wholesaler's level. The anxiety of everybody is—yours and ours— that honest traders, whether retailers or wholesalers, should not be punished. How can it be done unless you can help us? Nobody has given us a solution to this problem.

Shri K. Venkateswarlu: It is being said that some stones are mixed in the rice and distributed in the country. With great respect so far as Vijayavada area is concerned-which is the biggest rice producing centrewe have not received a single complaint of that sort. So far we have sold some lakhs of tons in the entire districts of Andhra Pradesh such as Krishna, West Godavari and East Godavari and Government have analysed it. Unless they analyse it, they do not receive rice from us. So far Government have not made any complaint of that sort. Further, we have exported rice to Kerala. From there also we have not received any complaint of this sort. We have not received it either from Mysore Madras. Therefore, these allegations have no substance in them. humbly on our part, submit that we are at the disposal of the Government and we extend hearty co-operation to check these malpractices.

Dr. C. B. Singh: You said that this Bill is being rushed through in great hurry and haste. How can you say that? Don't you consider that there is great deal of adulteration?

Shri S. Varahala Rao: The proceedings of the Committee are hasty and the country and the dealers, as they stand today, are not able to follow your proceedings or programmes. Nobody knows what is happening in Delhi. Therefore, I humbly submitted that the Committee must take the opportunity of touring the country and giving opportunities to the retailers' association to represent their difficulties, etc.

Dr. C. B. Singh: If you ask this Committee to visit the whole seven lakes of villages, it cannot be done. Your blame that we are in a hurry is entirely misplaced. We are not in a hurry. We are giving you all opportunities. Your suggestion that we are in a hurry is all wrong.

Shri S. Varahala Rao: You should not take it in that sense. What I meant was that you are dealing with a matter affecting 43 lakhs of people in four days.

Chairman: We have been listening to many people and reading many representations, we are thinking over this and having deep deliberations over the matter and in the end we shall come to some conclusion on the basis of the guidance given by you.

Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja: You said that agricultural commodities must be separated. At the same time you say that retailers do not adulterate. If they are not adulterated, why are you keen that agricultural products should be separated?

Shri S. Varahala Rao: This is to save the retailers from unnecessary harassment. Agricultural commodities are harmless if they are properly cleaned. They are not injurious to human health.

श्री जसबन्त : परचून दुकानदार देख कर ही बहुत सी चीजों के बारे में जान सकते हैं कि वे श्रच्छी हैं या नहीं । श्रगर खन्ना साहब कहते हैं कि वे देख कर नहीं पहचान सकते, तो क्या उनकी राय में इन दुकानदारों को ६ महीने की ट्रेनिंग देने के बाद दुकान करने की इजाजत देनी चाहिए, ताकि वे चीजों को पहचान सकें ?

श्री तुलशीदास जाभव : इन का कहना है कि माप कहते हैं कि रिटेलर चीओं को केवल देख कर नहीं पहचान सकते । क्या माप की राय में इन दुकानदारों को पहले ६ महीने की ट्रेनिंग देने की जरूरत है भीर बाद में उनको दुकान करने की इजाजत दी जाये ? श्री वालगीविन्द सन्ताः बहुत सी चीवें तो एनेलसिस से ही पहचानी जा सकती हैं। हम माटा बाजार से खरीदते हैं। उसकी जांच तो लेबोरेटरी में ही हो सकती है।

श्री तुलशी बास जाशव : लेकिन बहुत सी चीजें तो ग्रांख से देख कर पहचानी जा सकती हैं।

भी वासगोविन्द सन्ताः ग्राप कहते हैं कि फलां बीज में पांच पर सेंट से ज्यादा फारिन मेटर नहीं होना चाहिए । इसकी जांच केवल भांख से देखने से नहीं हो सकती ।

Chairman: On behalf of the members of the Joint Select Committee, I thank you very much for your kind guidance and information

(The witnesses then withdrew).

The Committee then adjourned.

Minutes of Evidence given before the Joint Committee on the Prevention of Food Adulteration (Amendment) Bill, 1963.

Saturday, the 8th August, 1964 at 09.10 hours.

PRESENT

Dr. Sarojini Mahishi-Chairman.

MEMBERS

Lok Sabha

- 2. Shri K. L. Balmiki
- 3. Shri Sonubhau Dagadu Baswant
- 4. Shrimati Jyotsna Chanda
- 5. Shri N. C. Chatterjee
- 6. Shri Shiv Charan Gupta
- 7. Shri Tulshidas Jadhav
- 8. Shri C. M. Kedaria
- 9. Dr. Mahadeva Prasad
- 10. Shri Yamuna Prasad Mandal
- 11. Shri Gokulananda Mohanty
- 12. Dr. C. B. Singh
- 13. Shrimati V. Vimla Devi
- 14. Dr. Sushila Nayar

Rajya Sabha

- 15. Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja
- 16. Shri J. C. Chatterjee
- 17. Shri K. Damodaran
- 18. Shri Shantilal Kothari
- 19. Shri S. S. Mariswami
- 20. Shri Deokinandan Narayan
- 21. Shri Palat Kunhi Koya
- 22. Shri Niranjan Singh

DRAFTSMAN

Shri S. Harihara Ayer, Deputy Draftsman, Legislative Department, Ministry of Law.

REPRESENTATIVES OF THE MINISTRY

- 1. Shri R. K. Ramadhyani, Secretary, Ministry of Health.
- 2. Shri A. S. Bawa, Deputy Secretary, Ministry of Health.
- 3. Dr. Y. K. Subrahmanyam, A.D.G. (P.H.), Ministry of Health.

SECRETARIAT

Shri A. L. Rai-Deputy Secretary.

WITNESSES EXAMINED

- I. Andhra Pradesh Yadava Sangham, Secunderabad
 - 1. Shri G. Mallaiah
 - 2. Shri Barmaji
 - 3. Shri Keshav Lalji.
- II. Delhi Ghee Merchants Association, New Delhi
 - 1. Shri Puran Mal
 - 2. Shri Baldev Bansal
 - 3. Shri Om Parkash Malhotra.
- III. Delhi Oil Merchants Association, Delhi
 - 1. Shri Uttam Chand Jain
 - 2. Shri Khariati Lal Jain.
- I. Andhra Pradesh Yadava Sangham Secunderabad.

Spokesmen

- 1. Shri G. Mallaiah
- 2. Shri Barmaji
- 3. Shri Keshav Lalji.

[Witnesses were called in and they took their seats.]

सभापति महोंद्या: हमें भ्राप का मेमो-रेंडम मिल गया है भीर कमेटी के मैम्बर्स ने उसको पढ़ भी लिया है। भ्रगर उस के भ्रलावा भ्राप भीर कोई खास प्वाएंट्स स्ट्रैस करना चाहते हैं तो संक्षेप में कह दीजिये।

श्री वर्माजी: चेग्ररमैन महोदया, खाद्यान्न में ग्राज जो मिलावट हो रही है उस के ऊपर हम सभी लोगों को बहुत गम्भीरता के साथ विचार करना जरूरी है। दूध भी खाद्यान्न का एक ग्रंग है भीर ग्रात ग्रावश्यक ग्रंग है। हमने ग्रंपने मेमोरैंडम में कारण देकर विस्तार से बतलाया है कि दूध के वर्तमान स्टैन्डर्इ स को रिवाइज करना ग्रावश्यक है। जांच करने से मालूम हुआ है कि दूध का स्टैन्डर्ड सीजन के ग्रनुसार बदलता रहता है ग्रीर यही कारण है कि दूध का स्टैन्डर्ड सर्वी, गर्मी ग्रीर बरसात के मौसम में ग्रलग ग्रसग होता है। इसलिए हर एक सीजन का एक युनिफार्म ग्रीर प्रजैंट स्टैन्डर्ड फिक्स करना श्रनुचित है। दूध के लिए जो एक सा स्टैन्डर्ड हर एक सीजन के लिए फिक्स किया गया है वह ठीक नहीं है श्रीर जब तक दूध के बारे सें सीजनवाइज स्टैन्डर्ड फिक्स करने के लिए पूरी जांच न हो जाय तब तक दूध को इस कानून से मुस्तस्ना किया जाय।

दूध के धंधे में ६६ फ़ीसदी लोग ऐसे लगे हैं जो कि बाहर से गांवों से दूध लाकर शहरों में बेचते हैं। वे उस दूध को २०, २० और २४, २४ मील की दूरी से डिब्बों में भर कर साइकल या ग्रन्य सवारियों पर लाते हैं। वहां पर उन्हें रात का दूध गर्म करके दिन के दूध में डाल कर लाना पड़ता है। इस के ग्रलाबा दूध के उत्पादनकर्ताधों के पास गाय, भैस और बकरी सभी रहती हैं और जाहिर है कि वे उन मवेशियों का ग्रलग-ग्रलग दूध भर कर तो शहर में ला नहीं सकते हैं। इस वास्ते वे तमाम दूध एक ही बर्तन में भर कर ले बाते है। दूध को साइकिल पर लाते वक्त डिब्बे में बन्द कर के लाते हैं भीर इससे होता यह है कि वह दूध हिल हिल कर उस की जो चिकनाई है वह ऊपर के भाग में भ्रा जाती है। यहां शहरों में लाकर जब दुकानों में वह दूध बिकना शुरू होता है तो जाहिर है कि उस के ऊपर के भाग में चिकनाई ज्यादा होती है ग्रीर धीरे धीरे वह कम होती जाती है। ग्रब बाल्टी का ऊपर का ग्राधा दूध ग्रगर बिक जाय ग्रौर नीचे की ग्राधी बाल्टी में से फड इंसपैक्टर दूध का नमूना भरना चाहेतो उसे दिक्कत का सामना करना होता हैं। दूध बिन्नेता नमुना देने से इंकार तो कर नहीं सकता है। इंस्पैक्टर इस बात से अनजान रहता है कि बाल्टी के ऊपर के ग्राधे हिस्से का दूध बिक चुका है जिसकी कि वजह से चिकनाई जो कि ऊपर ग्रा गयी थी वह निकल चुकी है भौर परिणाम यह होता है कि नमूना भर लिया जाता है भीर चिकनाई की कमी होने से दूध जांच में ठीक नहीं पाया जाता है श्रौर उस दूध विकेता को बगैर कमूर के दण्ड भोगना पड़ता है ।

डा॰ सुशीला नायर: भ्राप ने जो कठिनाई बतलाई उसे तो हमने समझ लिया लेकिन भ्रव भ्राप यह बतलाइये कि जब भ्राप यह मानते हैं कि मिलावट करना भ्रपराध है तो हम भ्रपराधियों को कैसे पकड़ें भीर किस तरह से इस मिलावट की बीमारी को भ्रपने देश से से समाप्त करें।

श्री बर्माजी: इसके लिए मेरा सुझाव यह है कि दूध जहां गांवों से माता है वहीं सोसं पर एक ऐसा डिपो कायम किया जाय भीर वहीं पर नमूना लेकर उसकी शुद्धता की जांच कर ली जाया करे।

डा० सुशीला नायर : दूध कोई एक गांव या एक स्थान से माता नहीं हैं, विभिन्न गांवों व मुहल्लों से माता है तो कितनी जगहों पर उसकी जांच के लिए इंतजाम संभव हो सकता हैं? श्री वर्माणी: कोई केन्द्र एसा स्थापित करना चाहिए जो सब के लिए सुविधाजनक हो।

डा॰ सुत्रीला नायर: प्राप की प्रपनी प्रसोसियेशन है प्राप खुद लोग क्यों नहीं कोई एक ऐसी छोटी मोटी प्रयोगशाला बना लते जहां प्राप स्वयं जांच कर प्रपना संतोष कर लें ताकि भागे कोई श्रापको दिक्कत पेश ही व श्रा सके?

श्री बर्माजी: दूध के धंधे में लगे हुए लोग श्रामतौर से इतने पढ़े लिखे नहीं हैं, बहुत सारे तो श्रनपढ़ लोग हैं श्रौर उन को नहीं मालूम कि ठीक ढंग से किस मशीन से दूध की शुद्धता श्रादि की जांच की जा सकती हैं।

डा० सुशीला नायर: ग्राप की ग्रसोसि-येशन कोई दस, पांच रुपये की फीस लगाती होगी और बह इस तरह की मशीन लगा सकती है जहां कि मैम्बर्स लोग दूध बेचने से पूर्व नौमिनल फ़ीस देकर पूर्व उसकी जांच करवा लिया करें। दरग्रसल चीज तो यह है कि सरकार की ईमानदार व्यापारी को सजा देने की क़तई स्वाहिश नहीं है लेकिन जो बेईमान लोग हैं भौर मिलावट करते हैं उनसे सरकार भव जरूर सस्ती के साथ पेश भायेगी। धब सरकार को या नागरिकों को वैसे तो पता नहीं है कि कौन ईमानदार है और कौन बेईमान है। सभी साप ने बतलाया कि दूध का डिब्बा हिलते रहने से उसकी चिकनाई जपर मा जती हैं, मक्खन जपर मा जाता है भौर जो नीचे का इध रह जाता है चूंकि उसमें चिकनाई कम हो जातो है इसलिए उसका नम्ना ठोक साबित नहीं होता है तो कहना यह है कि दरग्रसल बात यह नहीं है। धगर मक्खन या चिकनाई ऊपर श्रा गयी हो तो वह दिखाई देनी चाहिए ग्रौर वह इसएंश्टर उसे देख सकता है नेकिन अपर कुछ होता नहीं है। दरमसल होता यह है कि दूध में से कीम निकाल कर लाते हैं जगह जगह पर गांवों में मशीनें लगी हुई हैं भौर कीम निकालने के बाद वह दूध

ंडिक्बों में भरकर शहरों में बेचने के लिए भाया जात है भीर लाहिर है कि उस दूध में ं फैट तो कम होगा ही । क्या खाप द्रध विकेताओं की तरफ़ से इस बात की जिम्मेदारी लेने को तैयार हैं कि कीम निकला हुन्ना दूध नहीं बेचेंगे ग्रीर दूध में पानी नहीं डालेंगे? एक भादमी ने यहीं दिल्ली में मुझ से भ्रपने लड़के की कसम खा कर कहा था कि मै दूध में मिलाबट को खत्म कर दुंग। ग्रीर थोड़े दिनों तक उसने ६० प्रतिशत: उस जीज को ठीक भी कर दिया लेकिन बाद में कुछ ऐसे मामले हो गये जिनसे वह पुरा काम नही हो पाया । भ्रग्रर भ्राप लोग भ्रवनी तरफ से कछ करें. तब नो कोई रास्ता निकल सकता है। हमें तो कुछ सुक्ता नहीं है कि इस के भ्रलावा हम क्या करें।

श्री बर्माजी: स्राध्य प्रदेश में दूध का स्टेंडर्ड ठीक तरह से निश्चित नहीं किया गया है। सालिङ नान-फैट ६ परसेंट रखा गया है, अब कि विदेशों में वह ६ या ६ ५ एरसेंट है। फ़ैट का पससेंटेज ५ रखा गया है।

डा॰ सुशीला नायर सालिड नाम-क़ैट तो एक ही रहेगा, क्योंकि वह बदल नहीं सकता है ।

भी बर्माजी: ग्रगर फैट १ परसेंट फ़िक्स किया गया है, तो सालिड नान-फ़ैट केबस ६ परसेंट ही फ़िक्स किया जाना चाहिए था। दूध के किसी सैम्पल में सालिड नान-फ़ैक्ट ६ परसट तभी हो सकता है, ग्रगर फ़ैट ७.१ परसेंट या उस से ज्यादा हो। ग्रगर फ़ैट उस से कम या ज्याद हो. तो लाजिमी तौर पर सालिड नान-फ़ैट कम या ज्यादा हो जायेगा। उस को हर हालत में ६ परसेंट रखना मुनासिब नहीं है।

Shri S. N. Mitra: In milk, there are two things: one is the actual fat and the other is the solution fat. The fat content is variable. That is why in Andhra Pradesh a lesser fat standard has been kept, but the solution fat is the most uniform and of a cons-

tant nature. That does not vary. That is why it has been kept at 9 all over India. It cannot go below that.

Dr. Sushiia Nayar: How many samples have you tested to say that this is the same?

Shri S. N. Mitra: These have been done in thousands by the National Dairy Research Institute. We have also analysed many samples in the laboratory. I have not got the data with me immediately.

डा॰ सुशीला नायर: देश के कोने-नेको से मैं म्पल इकट्ठे कर के उन को टैस्ट किया गया भौर यह पाया गया कि उन में फ़ैट तो कम ज्यादा हो जाता है, लेकिन सालिड-नान-फ़ैट की मात्रा कम ज्यादा नहीं होती है, अगर पानी न मिलाया जाये। इसी लिए सालिड नान-फ़ैट एक ही रखा गया है।

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: You must have tested also some samples from Andhra Pradesh.

Shri S. N. Mitra: Yes.

Shri C. M. Kedaria: They have stated at page 5 of their memorandum that solid non-fat would be 9 per cent in a given sample of milk only when fat is 7.5 per cent or more than that, and, therefore, when fat is required to be 5 per cent, the standard for solid non-fat should have been fixed at 6 per cent only, and not 9 per cent as has been done. Is the proportion mentioned by them correct that the percentage of fat should be 5 per cent and that of solid non-fat should be less than 9?

सभापति महोबया: पूरे भारत के कोने-कोने से सैम्पल लेकर उन को टैस्ट किया गया है। उन से मालूम होता है कि फ़ैट में तो कुछ परसेंटेज में फ़र्क हो जाता है, लेकिन सालिड नान-फ़ैट की माला में कभी फ़र्क नहीं झाता है।

Shri S. N. Mitra: Just now, I have given a short explanation to show that there is no connection, practically, as far as uniformity is concerned, between the fat content and the solid non-fat. The solid non-fat

of milk is remarkably constant, whereas the fat of milk varies. Even if the fat may go down to 5 per cent or 4:5 per cent or 3 per cent, the solid-non-fat of buffallo milk cannot form below 9 per cent. In fact, it is never lower than that, because if that falls, then the whole theory of osmotic pressure goes to pieces, which cannot happen in nature. Take, for instance, the case of blood. The osmotic pressure has been remarkably constant in blood, and likewise, the cosmotic pressure of milk is also remarkably constant. It is on the basis of there physico-chemical facts that these percentages have been

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Have you also conducted tests on samples from Andhra Pradesh?

Shri S. N. Mitra: Yes, we have tested milk from all over India.

श्री बर्माजी: हम ने ग्रपने मेमोरेंडम में बहुत सी किताबों के नाम दिये हैं। उस में विद्वानों का हवाला दे कर यह बताया गया है कि सालिड-नान-फ़ैट भी कम ज्यादा हो सकता है।

डा॰ सुकीला नायर : किसी ने क्या कहा है या विलायत में क्या होता है, यह हम नहीं जानते । लेकिन भारत में हजारों सैम्पल टैस्ट करने के बाद हम इस नतीजे पर पहुंचे हैं कि भैंस के दूध में सालिड-नान-फ़ैट इस से कम नहीं होता है ।

श्री बर्माजी: यहां पर बटर फैट का टैस्ट करने की सुविधा है, लेकिम सालिड-नान-फ़ैट को टैस्ट करने की कोई सुविधा नहीं है।

डा॰ सुझीला नायर : उस की भी सुविधा है ।

Dr. C. B. Singh: Is the assertion that the contents of solid-non-fat does not vary in the whole of the country correct? Is there no variation at all? Or is there some margin of variation?

I believe that there must be some margin.

Shri S. N. Mitra: I would like to explain what I have stated. I have stated earlier that it varies to some extent, but the minimum cannot fall below 9 per cent. It may vary between 9 and 9:5 per cent but it is not as variable as the fat.

Dr. C. B. Singh: It must be mentioned clearly that it varies. The range of variations, should be mentioned.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: May I take it never goes below 9 per cent?

Dr. S. N. Mitra: I have made that clear already.

श्री बर्माजी: जहां तक सजा का सम्बन्ध है, ग्राप जानते हैं कि यह धन्धा करने वाले बहुत गरीब हैं। उन लोगों को जुर्माने या कैंद की सजा देने से उन के परिवार बेसहारा हो जायेंगे। भ्रान्ध्र प्रदेश में ऐसे उदाहरण मौजूद हैं कि भ्रादमी का भ्रपने परिवार के फिक के कारण जेल में देहान्त हो गया। इसलिए उस सम्बन्ध में सजा या जुर्माना बहुत कम रखा जाना चाहिए। उसके ताकत के यह बाहर को बात है कि वह ज्यादा जुर्माना भ्रदा कर सके।

सभाषति महोदयाः धमीर हो या गरीव, जो गुनाहगार है, उसको सखा होनी ही चाहिये।

भी वर्माजी: हमारे यहां मराठी में कहते हैं कि रोजाना काम करके दो चार रुपये कमा कर जो भादमी लाता है भौर उससे भपने परिवार वालों का पासन पोषण करता है, उस पर भगर हजार या दो हजार रुपया जुर्माना हो जाये तो . . .

डा॰ सुशीला नायर: जो चोटी करता है, वह गरीव हो या भमीर, वह चोर है भीर उसको सजा उसकी मिलती ही है। जो मिलावट करता है वह सारी समाज की चोरी करता है। इस में अमीर गरीब का सवाल कहां आता है? गरीब आदमी को तो ज्यादा ध्यान रखना चाहिये।

श्री बर्माजी: जिन परिस्थितियों में वह दूध लाता है, उसको श्राप देखें। रात का दूध गर्म करके सुबह के दूध में वह उसको मिलाता हैं। इसका जो रिजल्ट है वह पूरा उतर नहीं सकता है। ऐसी सूरत में वह मुल्जिम बन जाता हैं शौर वह मारा जाता है। लेकिन असल में उसका कसूर कोई नहीं होता है। हमारा कहना यह है कि श्रगर टैस्ट करके बर्तन पर लेबल लगा दिया जाये कि यह श्रच्छा दूध है, तब लोगों को राहत मिल सकती है।

डा॰ सुशीला नायर : ग्रगर बाद में पानी मिला दे तो ?

श्री वर्माजी: जब वह ऐसा करता है तो उसके लिए ग्राप सख्त सजा रखें, हमें कोई एतराज नहीं है ।

डा॰ सुनीला नायर: सरकार एक एक गांव श्रौर एक एक घर में टैस्ट करके लेबल नहीं लगा सकती है। ग्रापको स्वयं इसका कोई इन्तजाम करना होगा। यह श्राप कर सकते हैं।

श्री बर्माजी: यह जो चीज है यह आपके श्रीर हमारे सहयोग से ही सम्भव होने वाली है। दोनों अगर सहयोग करें तो ही मिलावट का रोग दूर हो सकता है। जगह जगह केन्द्रीय स्थान पर अगर लैंबोरेटरीज स्थापित कर दी जायें और जो दूध आये उसको टैस्ट करके उस पर लेंबल अगर लगा दिये जायें तो यह रोग काफी हद तक दूर हो सकता है। उसके बाद भी अगर कोई दोषी पाया जाये तो उसको कही सजा मिलनी चाहिबे।

डा॰ सी॰ बी॰ सिंह: भ्राप वे कहा है कि ग्वाले बहुत गरीब होते हैं भौर उनकी हालत का खयाल किया जाना चारिये । आप ने कोई यूनियन बनाई है ताकि इन गरीब लोगों की मदद हो सके ?

भी वर्माजी : उनकी जो कोग्रापरेटिव सोसाइटी बनी है, उसके प्रत्येक सदस्य को पांच सौ रुपये कर्ज के तौर पर दिये जाते हैं। ग्राज इतने पैसों की एक भैस भी नहीं मिलती है।

डा॰ सी॰ बी॰ सिंह: आपका मतलब यह है कि जो मदद है वह बढ़ाई जाये ?

श्री बर्माजी : मदद भी प्रधिक की जाये श्रीर यह जो टैस्टिंग का तरीका है, उसके ग्रन्दर भी सहूलियत दी जाये । जो भी दूध श्राये उसको पहले टैस्ट किया जाये श्रीर फिर उसको बचने की इजाजत दी जाये ।

डा० सी० बी० सिंह: खुद ग्राप कोई लेबोरेटरी क्यों नहीं रख लेते हैं ? ग्रापकी युनियन तो बहुत बड़ी है।

भी बर्माजी: एक छोटी मोटी मशीन से प्रबन्ध नहीं हो सकता है। इतना लम्बा चौड़ा प्रबन्ध हम नहीं कर सकते हैं कि सभी लोगों का दूध टैस्ट कर सकें।

श्री एन० सी० चटर्जी: भ्रापका क्या मलतब है कि हर एक गांव में टैस्टिंग का इतजाम हो ?

श्री बर्माजी : शुरू शुरू में कोई एसा
मुकाम दूढ लिया जाये जोकि श्रासपास है
लोगों के लिए सुविधाजनक हो जहां पर टैस्ट करके लेबल लगा दिये जाया करें । उसके
बाद ग्रगर कोई मिलावट करे तो उसे कड़ी
सजा दी जाये ।

भी देवकीनन्दन नारायण: आपने पेज ११, पैरा ६ सें सिफारिश की हैं:

There should be provision for the sale of milk of standard purity to suit the purchasing capacity of the people. इसका मतलब यह होता है कि जिस परिमाण में पानी मिलाया जाये उस परिमाण में दूध की कीमत रखनी चाहिये। क्या यह सच है?

श्री बर्माजी: होटल वाले कहते हैं कि उन्हें १८ तोले खोये का दूध नहीं चाहिये, पंद्रह तोले का चाहिये और वे उसके लिए पैसे कम देते हैं। उसके लिए यह चीज रखी गई है। अगर बाजार में रुपये सेर दूध है तो वह कहते हैं कि रुपये का सवा सेर या डेढ़ सेर दो।

डा॰ सुशीला नायर: श्राप कह सकते हैं कि दूध रुपये सेर वाला है और श्रपने घर में वे सेर का सवा सेर या डेढ़ सेर बना लें। श्राप रुपये का सेर ही देंगे।

भी वर्माजी: यह जो बात मैंने कही है यह कानून भाने के पहले जो मुम्राहिदे हो चुके थे उनके बारे में कही है। भागे से हम वैसा नहीं कर रहे हैं।

श्री के एल बाल्मीकी : यादव संगम के कितने सदस्य हैं ?

श्री वर्माजी : दो हजार के करीब हैं।

भी के एल बाल्मीकी : एक एक आदमी कितनी भैंसें रखता है ?

भी वर्माजी: कोई एक रखता है, कोई दो, कोई दस, कोई पंद्रह । लेकिन कम से कम वह एक जरूर रखता है ।

भी के एल बास्मीकी : ब्रापके सदस्यों में से कितनों के सैम्पल लिये गये हैं ब्रीर उन में से कितने सैम्पल पास हुए ब्रीर कितने फेल ?

भी वर्गाची: कई सैम्पल पास हुए हैं। जो फेल हुए उनके खिलाफ मुकदमे क्लाये गये। लेकिन ६६ परसेंट मुकदमे हमारी फेवर में गये। भी के एल बाल्मीकी : किस ग्राधार पर मुकदमे फेल हुए ? उन में क्या कमी थी ? क्या लेबोरेटरी की जांच ठीक तरह से नहीं हुई थी ?

श्री बर्माजी: सैम्पल तीन बोटल्ज में लियं जाते हैं। एक बोटल तो लेबोरेटरी को भेज दी जाती है। दूसरी बोटल म्यूनिसिपैलिटी में रहती है शौर एक जो दूध का मालिक है, वह रखता है। श्रगर सैम्पल पास हो गया तब तो कोई झगड़ा ही नहीं। लेकिन श्रगर पास नहीं होता है तो मुकदमा चलाया जाता है। श्रगर वह हार जाता हैं तो वह दुबारा टैस्टिंग के लिए भेजने के लिये कहता हैं। जब दुबारा भेजा गया तो ठीक हो कर सैम्पल श्राया। कुछ केस इस वजह से भी फेल हुए हैं कि दूध टैस्ट करने के काबिल नहीं रह गया था।

श्री के ० एल० बाल्मीकी : क्या ग्रापकी जानकारी यह है कि दो तीन लेबोरेटरीज को सैम्पल भेजे गये ग्रीर उन में ग्रन्तर ग्राया ?

श्री बर्माजी: जी, उन में फर्क था।

सभापति महोदया : जो ध्रापके ये दो हजार मेम्बर हैं, क्या इन से ग्राप कोई चन्दा लेते हैं ?

भी वर्माजी: साल में चार धाना नाम के लिये चन्दा लेते हैं।

श्री के॰ एत॰ बास्मीकी : ग्राप की सोसाइटी किस एक्ट के मातहत राजस्टर्ड है ?

भीवर्मांची : एसोसिएशन एक्ट के मातहत ।

डा॰ सुशीला नायर: ग्राप ने कहा कि किसी सदस्य के पास एक भैंस है, किसी के पास दस हैं। ग्रगर ग्राप इन लोगों से प्रति भैंस दस दस या बीस बीस नये पैसे महीने का चन्दा ले सकें, जो कि कोई बड़ा बोझा नहीं होगा, तो ग्राप इन लोगों को मदद दे सकते हैं गुढ़ काम करने में ग्रीट

एनेलेसिस करने में । इस तरह इनकी कठि-नाइयां दूर हो सकती हैं । यानी आप शुद्ध काम करने का बोझा अपने ऊपर ले लें भीर इस प्रकार अपने संघ के लोगों की मदद करें ।

आरे बस्ती के दूध के बारे में या आनन्द डयरी के दूध के बारे में किसी को शंका नहीं होती कि दूध अशुद्ध है। इसी तरह अगर आप अपने यहां प्रबन्ध कर लें तो आपके दूध के बारे में भी किसी को शंका नहीं होगी।

श्री वर्माजी: मैं ने कहा कि इस में हमको ग्रापका सहयोग भी मिलना चाहिए।

डा॰ सुकीला नायर : हम से आनन्द बालों ने या आरे बस्ती वालों ने कोई सहयोग नहीं मांगा । जो कोआपरेटिव ऐक्ट के अन्दर सुविधा उनको मिली है वह आप को भी मिल सकती है ।

श्री **बुर्माजी** : म्राप कहती हैं वह ठीक हैं ।

श्री एन० सी० चटर्जी: घापका ग्रेडिंग से मतलब यही है कि टोन्ड मिल्क, होल मिल्क झादि का ग्रेडिंग किया जाये।

> (एक माननीय सदस्या का तथा गवाह का कुछ समय तक तेलगू भाषा में वाद विवाद होता रहा ।)

श्री जी • मलैया : कानून में तरमीम लाने के पहले हकी कतों को भी ध्यान में ले लेना चाहिए। हम ने अपने मेमोरेंडम में भी लिखा है और यहां भी कहना चाहते हैं कि जो इस्पेक्टर हमारे पास से नमूना लेते हैं दूध का । उसका फैंट परसेंटज देख कर ही कम होने से हमारा चालान कर देते हैं । उनके पास और चीजों को जो उसमें मिली हैं बताने की सुविधा नहीं है । यह इन लोगों ने अदालतों में भी स्वीकार किया है । अनेलिस्टों ने भी यह बात अदालतों में स्वीकार की हैं।

समापति महोवया: उसके बारे में वर्षी हो चुकी हैं। सेंट्रल लेबोरेटरी में इसके लिए सुविधा है कि यह बतलाया जा सके कि उस दूध में भीर क्या क्या मिला है। सेंट्रल लेबोरेटरी की जांच से यदि कोई व्यापारी संतुष्ट न हो तो वह उसकी आगे पड़ताल के लिए चालीस रुपया जमा करवाये। गरीब लोगों को इतनी अधिक फ़ीस जमा करना मुश्किल होता है। मैं चाहता हूं कि यह चालीस रुपये की फीस घटा कर 10 रुपये कर दी जाय।

डा॰ सुकीला नायर: जब एक मर्तबा उसने फैसला दे दिया कि दूध मुद्ध नहीं है तो ग्राप उस पर विश्वास करिये लेकिन श्रगर श्रागे भौर जांच करवाना चाहते हैं तो फिर श्रापको खर्चा करने से हिचकिचाना नहीं चाहिए। ग्राप लोग यहां हवाई जहाज से चल कर ग्रा सकते हैं, दो तीन दिन तक सब लोग दिल्ली में ठहर सकते हैं भौर सारा खर्चा बर्दाश्त कर सकते हैं तो ग्रापको इस दुवारा जांच के लिए पैसा खर्च करने में कोई दिक्कत नहीं महसूस होनी चाहिए। इस के श्रलावा ग्रापका संघ ग्रपनी एक छोटी मोटी लेबोरेटरी भी बना सकता है भौर उसमें मेम्बर्स लोग पूर्व जांच करवा सकते हैं।

भी देवकीनन्दन नारायण : Item 7 of the Memorandum at page: 11 says:

"Provision 'for appeal to the cow' should be made in the Act to enable the vendor to rebut the charge of adulteration."
मैं इस प्राविजन फौर अपील टु दी काळ का मतलब जानना चाहता है।

श्री जी॰ मलैया: हम प्राविजन फौर अपील टुदी काऊ ऐक्ट में इस्रलिए शामिल करना चाहते हैं ताकि वैंडर दूध में एडल्ट्रेशन के चार्ज को झुठला सके। इंग्लिस ला में भी इस तरह का प्राविजन प्रोवाइडेड हैं। Shrimati V. Vimla Bevi: The memorandum says:

"Provision should be made to sell milk of different grades to suit the purchasing capacity of the people."

भी के एल बास्त्रीकी : कुछ गाहक ऐसे हैं जो कि असली दूध का मूल्य नहीं दे सकते हैं और वे घंटिया दूध अर्थात् पानी मिले दूध का मूल्य दे सकते हैं वे घटिया किस्म का दूध लेना चाहते हैं, क्या यह बात आपकी जानकारी में आई है ?

डा० सुनीला नायर : घपने मेमोरैंडम में उन्होंने कहा है कि जो मूल्य ग्राहक दे सकता है उस किस्म के दूध को हमें उसे बचने की इजाजत देनी चाहिए ।

श्री तुलक्षीदास जाधव : ग्रापकी ग्रसोसियंशन के मेम्बर 2000 हैं। दूध में ग्रगर
पानी की मिलावट होती है या कीम उसमें से
निकालकर बेचा आता है और पकड़ होती
है तो व्यापारियों को सजा व जुर्माना होता
है तो क्या यह उचित नहीं होगा कि
दूध के व्यापारी लोग एक छोटी सी
मणीन लैक्टोमीटर ग्रपने यहां रख लें
भीर दूध खरीदने से पहले उस मणीन से
जांच कर लिया करें ?

श्री वर्माजी: लैक्टोमीटर से दूध की गुढ़ता ठीक तरह से जांच नहीं हो सकती है। कितना उक्सपानी मिला हुन्ना है या कितनी ऊसमें से चिकनाई निकाली हुई है उसका लैक्टोमीटर ठीक, ठीक पता नहीं लग पता है।

भी तुलझीदास जाभव : यह चीज तो भापके भपने फायदे में है जिससे कि फूड इंसपेक्टर भापको हैरेस न करें । भी वर्माबी: लैंक्टोमीटर का प्रयोग कामयाव नहीं रहा है इसलिए मैंने कहा कि बह उपमुक्त नहीं है।

समापित महोदया : हम ने इसीलिए प्रापको गवाही देने के लिए बुलाया है कि प्राप हमें प्रपने सुझाव दें कि किस तरह से यह मिलावट की बीमारी को खत्म किया जा सकता है। हम उसे रोकने के लिए जो बिल पास कर रहे हैं उसमें प्रगर कुछ तुटियां हैं तो हम प्रापसे उस बारे में मशविरा करने को तैयार हैं प्रौर यही कारण है कि हमने ग्रापको बुलाया है। मैं ज्वाएंट कमेटी की ग्रोर से ग्रापको धन्यवाद देती हूं कि ग्राप ने हमें ग्रापने विचारों से ग्रवगत किया।

(The witnesses then withdrew).

II. Delhi Ghee Merchants' Association, New Delhi

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri Puran Mal
- 2. Shri Baldev Bansal
- 3. Shri Om Prakash Malhotra.

(Witnesses were called in and they took their seats)

dence will be treated as public unless it is specifically mentioned that something is confidential.

Shri Baldev Bangal: Our association welcomes the amendment of section 16 to enhance the penalties for adulteration. At the same time, we would like to ask the Committee to be cautious while amending this section because it is very important that the position of the honest trader is safeguarded fully. At present there are certain defects in the Act and the position of the honest trader is not at all safeguarded. We want to focus the attention of the Committee on the defects that are therebefore we make some proposals.

We are not at all satisfied with the set-up of the laboratories as at present. The work in the public analytical laboratory is not up to the mark. Samples are tested wrongly and samples are wrongly labelled as adulterated even though they are pure. This position could have been rectified if we could get justice from the Central Food Laboratory.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Even the Central Food Laboratory does not give you justice?

Shri Baldev Bansal: That is what we have felt. I have got evidence in support of my content on.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You may send that to the Government. This Committee is not sitting in judgement over the Central Food Laboratory.

भी के एस बास्मीकी : इन को पच्चोम साल का कैमिस्ट का अनुभव है । अगर यह बहैं सियत एक कैमिस्ट के और इस संस्था में काम करने के कुछ बताना चाहते हैं, तो हमको उनकी बात पर ध्यान देना चाहिए ।

का० सुझीला नायर : ग्रगर चेयरमैन साःव इसकी इजाजत दें, तो ठीक है, लेकिन यह कमेटी सैंट्रल फुड लैंबारेटरी पर जजमेंट करने या उसकी शिकायत सुनने के लिए नहीं बैठी है ।

भी के एस बास्मीकी : शिकायत का प्रश्न नहीं है। श्री बंसल एव ए कैमिस्ट अपना श्रनुभव बताना चाहते है, तो बतायें

श्री बलदेव बंसल : मेरे पास कई मिसालें हैं। एक वी का संम्पल गवर्नमेंट के स्टेंडर्ड के मुताबिक है। दिल्ली लैबारेटरी ने उसमें 52 परसेंट एडल्ट्रंबन पाया, लेकिन मैंने उसको एनेलाइज किया, तो उसको प्यूर पाया।

सभापित महोबया : माप स्टैंडंड्जं के बारे में जो कुछ कहना चाहते हैं, वह हमको लिखं कर मेज दीजिए । हम उसे गवर्नमेंट के पास भेज दगे ।

Shri Baldev Bansal: We are not satisfied with the present set-up of the laboratory. For that reason, we want that something should be done so that the samples are correctly tested.

Dr. Sushila Wayar: What should be done? Should there be another appellate laboratory over this present laboratory?

Shri Baldev Bansal: Our proposal is, firstly, that there should be four samples taken.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Why?

Shri Baldev Bansal: Because we want it to be tested by another laboratory.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: When the inspector takes the samples from you, he takes them out of a lot of stuff that you have. He gives you one sample; he takes away two. If you want to get your own samples tested, you can take them out of the stuff that you have for your own satisfaction. No court is going to give credence to your analysis or the analysis given by your analyst; credence can only be given to the public analysis.

Shri Om Prakash Malhotra: The whole idea is that instead of three samples, there should be four samples.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Is it for your own information or you want the court to take this analysis?

Shri Om Prakash Malhotra: My submission is this. If four samples are taken, three may be taken by the food inspector and one will be given to the vendor. The food inspector will send one sample to the public analyst, Delhi for instance and if the trader wants the other may be sent to another analyst, say, Chandigarh. If the reports are within the margin

of human error and say that it is adulterated, then prosecution should be launched: otherwise, not.

I have myself appeared in cases of this nature where the reports were contradictory and persons were acquitted. For tance, in one case, the sample of chillies was taken and Delhi the analyst's report was that it to the Central Food Research Instito the Central Food Research Institute's director, his report was different from the earlier report. In Criminal appeal No. 280 and also another case decided by the Division Bench of Punjab High Court Justice Falshaw and Justice G. D. decided this point; it was held that whenever there is difference in the report between the Government analyst and the director, the conclusion was that they did not do their job properly.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We shall examine your suggestion. You want that the same sample should be analysed by two analysts. But you will agree that at some stage the appeal has to come to an end; it cannot be an indefinite process. If the trader feels something is wrong, he can even now appeal then it goes to the Central laboratory. You have yourselves stated that the Central laboratories have stated that in some cases the analyst was no correct.

Shri Om Prakash Malhotra: Chemistry is an exact science and so there is no possibility of divergence of opinion on a large scale, at any rate. My submission, therefore, is that before referring the matter to the appellate laboratory and before instituting proceedings against him, there should be two laboratory tests.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Is it practicable? Already the load is so much and we find it very difficult to cope with the work. Do you conceive of

874 (Aii) LS-15

a possibility when the samples can be tampered with? You said yesterday that you had yourself put in eleven years of service as food inspector? Can the samples be tampered with?

Shri Om Prakash Malhotra: In the nine cases I mentioned the samples that were sent for second test were those in the custody of the food inspector, because in the first appearance our defence is: no sample bottle was given to me; no price was paid. There is no possibility of tampering because the seal is there with the inspector.

Dr. Sushiia Nayar: There are other people who have felt differently; they say the seals are not so perfect and it is possible to tamper with them.

Chairman: You are talking about the samples with the vendors. But if the vendor feels that the inspector's sample is not intact? That is the question.

Shri Om Prakash Malhotra: In certain cases it is possible. Either the sample lying with the inspector or the sample in the possession of the vendor—any sample can be sent.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: I want to know whether all your nine cases were tested in the same laboratory? Do these cases relate to the same laboratory?

Shri Om Prakash Malhotra: It is only in the Delhi laboratory.

भी क० एल० बाल्मीकीः सरकार की एक बड़ी लेबारेटरी मलीपुर में है भीर एक एगमार्क की कानपुर में है, इन पर विम्वास किया जा सकता है ।

डा॰ सुकीला नायर: पहले यह कहा गया है कि एगमार्क की लेबारेटरी पर भी विश्वास नहीं किया जा सकता।

Dr. C. B. Singh: The statement made by Shri Malhotra about the

nine High Court judgments deserve very serious consideration. We must take serious notice of it. If it is necessary, we can take four or five samples and send them to the laboratories. But unless we devise a method it is quite possible that an innocent man may be prosecuted. There are nine cases on record. There may be thousands which have not come to our notice.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That is a question which we can decide among ourselves after the examination of witnesses is over.

Shri Om Prakash Malhotra: Secondly, autonomous laboratories should be set up for examination in case of appeal.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: What do you mean? Government laboratories are not autonomous?

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: In one of the judgments referred to by Shri Malhotra the learned Judge says:

"It is a serious state of affairs prevailing in the laboratory.... the municipal corporation should take some steps to organise the laboratory in such a way as to rule out such corrupt practices..."

Or. Sushila Nayar: It is a reflection against the Delhi analysis. It can understand that there may be some mistakes in the analytical laboratories maintained by the different municipalities and corporations and it is conceding this very factor that an appellate laboratory is opened by the Central Government. What you may say is that the analytical laboratory, instead of being that of the corporation, should be of the State Government. We can think of that. But do not ask us to have two laboratories for every sample.

Shri Om Prakash Malhotra: That is our humble suggestion. It can be put into practice if it is practicable.

So far as the amendment of section 16 is concerned, while an enhanced punishment is given under the criminal jurisprudence the principle is to test the criminal tendency and see whether he is a hardened criminal. That is why an enhanced punishment or penalty is given for the recurrence of the offence. In this case, I submit that there should be classification for imposing the penalty. For the first offence, it must be the minimum provided. If a sample contains one per cent of moisture or 99 per cent adulterated with Dalda, in the eyes law, both are on the same footing. Further, if my sample fails to satisfy once in 1950 and again in 1960, I am liable for enhanced punishment for the second offence committed by me. My submission in this respect is, because we are making this Food Act a code by itself-it appears from the amendments that it will be a complete code in itself-we should prescribe a time-limit for the operation of the previous conviction.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Do you mean to say that you can go on committing adulteration? The inspectors do not come every time. Once in a while he goes and checks and out of several cases one case is proved and punishment is given. Having gone through one punishment, he can go on doing whatever he wants provided he does it after six months or whatever is the time limit, so that the previous conviction does not count. Is that what you are suggesting?

Shri Om Prakash Malhotra: Under the Indian Penal Code, section 75, enhanced punishment shall be given in cases where the offence is committed for a second time. But the High Court rules and orders say that the judge while giving the enhanced punishment should look into the fact committed the whether he has offence after a long long lapse of time, whether previously he was a pickpocket or dacoit and now he settled in life and so on. If there is no mens rea, the offence should not be counted for purposes of considering

whether there was a previous conviction.

Chairman: Besides being the spokesman of your association, you are also an advocate and a citizen of our country. Could you please tell us the effective deterrents to prevent those offences being repeated?

Shri Om Prakash Malhetra: One of the effective deterrents is to classify the offences. Those which are injurious to public life should be dealt with with an iron hand; there the imprisonment can be even up to seven years. For example, sulphuric acid is mixed with some other acid. Even one drop of sulphuric acid is enough to burn one's body.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That classificacation is already there. The minimum punishment of six months for offences falling under clauses (a), (b) (c) and (d). In other cases, the punishment ranges from one year to more.

Shri Om Prakash Malhotra: If other things being equal, there is no defect in testing, the Delhi Ghee Merchants Association is prepared for a sentence of seven years even for the first offence; not six months or two years. The wholesale dealers in Delhi at least are not indulging in such corrupt practices.

Shrimati V. Vimala Devi: We do not agree.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: At the bottom of page 3 and top of page 4, although it is laid down that for a repeat offence a higher seatence may be awarded, still there is a proviso which says:

"Provided that the court may, for any adequate and special reasons to be mentioned in the judgment, impose a sentence of imprisonment of less than two years but not less than six months."

That is the minimum for the first offence. So, for practical purposes,

if a man has committed an offence many years ago and it was possibly unintentional, as you say, the court may treat it as first offence.

Chairman: He says that in the case of the first offence, if the nature of the offence is very serious, the punishment should be enhanced. We shall consider your suggestion.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Will you kindly send attested copies of the nine judgments which you mentioned?

Shri Om Prakash Malhotra: Each certified copy will cost me at least Rs. 12. So, I can send typed copies within four or five days.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Yes; Mr. Chatterjee will examine them and advise the committee.

Dr. C. B. Singh: Copies may be given to all of us.

Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja: He has said that the court decided that there was no coal tar dye in the sample. One analyst said that it was present and the other analyst said it was not present. If the difference was only about the presence of the dye, I would like to know whether the ghee was substituted by some other substance.

Shri Om Prakash Malhotra: Otherwise the ghee was pure. Except for the dye, it was declared conforming to the standard. There was also the Halphen test by which cotton seed admixture can be detected.

Shri Baldev Bansal: My observation is that the public analysts' laboratory and the Central Food Laboratory are not working properly and therefore proper results are not coming. I have got facts with me and if you examine them you will come to know the real position.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You may say that on the strenth of your own analysis, which we cannot consider authentic.

भी के ० एस० बाल्मीकी: क्या प्राप्त यह बतला सकेंगे कि सरकारी ऐनालिस्ट ने जिन सैम्पुल्स के बारे में भ्रपनी रिपोर्ट दी भीर श्रापने श्रपने तरीके से श्रदने उने माल के श्रदा के केसेज श्रदालत में भेजे गये तो श्रापके कितने केस कामयाब हुए श्रथीत् श्रदालत ने श्रापको बरी किया जिसका कि श्रथ्य । हुश्रा कि इतने केस जो कि सरकारी ऐनालिस्ट ने भ्रगुद्धता के दायर करवाये वे भ्रापक। श्रपनी प्राइवेट जांच के मकाबले फेल हो गये।

जां तक सरकार द्वारा जांच विशेषज्ञ की रिपोर्ट का मवाल है वह मानी जाएगी लेकिन कोर्ट उस से बंधे हुए नहीं हैं। यहां पर बड़े बड़े वकील बैठे हुए हैं और मैं समझता हूं कि व मझसे इस बात में स मत होंग कि कोर्ट उसको मानने के लिए बाध्य नहीं है व: प्रपना फैसला इंडिपैंडैंटली दे सकते हैं। मैं जानना चाहंगा कि अदालत ने कितनी सरकारो जांच विशेषज्ञ की रिपोर्टी को मही माना और कितनी आप लोगों ने प्राइवेट लेबारेटरी में जो अपने नमूनों की जांच करवाई उनको उसने सही माना ?

श्री बल्बेब बंसल: ऐसे केसेज कई हैं जिनमें कि सरकारी जांच विशेषज्ञ की रिपोर्ट को कोर्ट ने सही नहीं माना ग्रौर विकेता को बरी कर दिया ।

का० सुत्रीला नायर : हो सकता है कि जो स्थानीय ऐनालिस्ट है उस पर ब्यापारी लोग ग्रपना दबाव डाल सकते हैं। ऐसा हो नहीं सकता कि मैं इसका दावा नहीं कर सकती । इसके लिए एक हायर एपैलेट कोर्ट रखा गया है। सरकार की पूरी कोशिश यहा रहती है कि उसकी सबसे ऊंची लेबारेटरी में इक्विपमेंट वगैर सब एकदम बढ़िया रहे ताकि जांच बिलकुल सही हो सके, वहां पर जांच विशेषज्ञ ग्रादि कैरेक्टर के श्रादनी हो जो कि ईमानदारी के साथ अपना कर्नव्य निवाह सके ग्रीर किसी किस्म

की गडबड न होने पाये । उसको समय समय पर देखा भी जाया करे। ग्राभी तक किसी ने भी सरकार के ऐनालिस्ट के ऊपर शक जाहिर नहीं किया है। यह बात दूसरी है कि जब किसी जज ने एक ग्राध किसी केस में गुस्से में भ्राकर कह दिया हो कि दो ऐनालिस्टस कः उसी माल के बारे में ग्रलग ग्रलग रिपोर्ट स हैं इसलिए हम उसे ठीक नहीं मानते हैं भ्रौर केस नाकामयाब हो गये हों लेकिन हकीकत यह है कि घगर दो ऐनालिस्ट्स में मतभेद हो तो कानून में प्रोवाइडैंड है कि सैंटल लेबं/रेटरी के ऐनालिस्ट को रिपोर्ट को सत्य माना जायगा। इस लेब रेटरी को ग्रन्छा से ग्रन्छ। रखने की हम कोशिश करते हैं। ग्रगर उसके बारे में कोई शिकायत हो तो ब सरकार से करनी चािए लेकिन ढाई साल के दौरान में कोई ऐसी बात या शिकायत नहीं भाई है जिसते कि हम यह कह सकें कि वहां पर कोई गलती हुई है। हमें स्रभी तक कोई शिकायत नहीं मिली है लेकिन ग्रगर दरग्रसल कोई शिकायत अगर हो तो मारे पास उसे धवश्य भेजें ग्रीर हम उसके बारे में पूरी जांच पडताल करने का ग्राम्बासन देते हैं। If there is delay, that will be a very serious matter and whoever makes that complaint should realise the gravity of that complaint.

Shri Baldev Bansal: I make that grievance with full responsibility.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You can send us whatever you wish to send.

Shri Baldev Bansal: We will give you copies. Then, as Shri Malhotra has said, our proposal is that two samples should be tested simultaneously by two different laboratories. Even the two laboratories, that is, the higher and the lower, should not differ in their judgment, if they are working properly and if their instruments are correct.

Chairman: They are working properly. But if there is any doubt regarding the analysis given at the lower level, there is scope for appeal to the higher laboratory. Sometimes the two may coincide in their results but we cannot say that always they should coincide.

Shri Baldev Bansal: The results should corroborate.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We have noted your opinion. Please proceed to your next point.

श्री कें ० एस० बाल्मीकी: जो टैस्ट किये जाते हैं, वे कैमिस्ट्री की प्रासेस से किये जाते हैं। कैमिस्ट्री तो एक परफ़ेक्ट साइंस है, लेकिन हुमैन बीइंग्ज में कमी है। इस प्रकार जो हुमैन एरर होता है, उसके बारे में ग्रापका क्या ग्रनुभव है?

श्री बल्बेब बंसल : हुमैन एरर की लिमिट को प्रैस्काइव कर देना चाहिए।

श्री के o एल o बाल्मीकी : मान लीजिए कि दो लेबारेटरीज भलग भलग नतीजे पर पहुंची श्रीर उनमें मामूली सा फर्क है । क्या कभी कोर्ट ने इस प्रकार के हुमैन एरर की बात को माना है ?

भी बल्बेव बंसल : कलकत्ता लैबारेटरी की रिपोर्ट हैं कि

का॰ सुकीला नायर: कलकत्ता लेबारेटरी की चिन्ता ग्रापको नहीं करनी चाहिए। होता यह है कि लोग्नर एनैलिस्ट की रिपोर्ट पर ग्रगर हायर लैबारेटरी ने कन्फ़र्म कर दी, तब तो कनविक्शन हो जाती है। ग्रगर लोग्नर लैबारेटरी में ही नैगेटिव रिजल्ट निकल ग्राया, तब तो ग्रपील का सवाल ही नहीं है ग्रौर केस वहीं डिसमिस हो जाता है। ग्रगर लोग्नर एकानदार ने कहा कि यह ग़लत ऐनालेसिस है, तो ऊपर ग्रपील जाती है। ग्रगर लोग्नर एनैलिस्ट का टैस्ट कनफ़र्म होता है, तो सजा हो जाती है। ग्रगर वह कनफ़र्म नहीं होता है, तो जज कहता है कि दोनों लेबारेटरीज की अलग अलग ओपीनियन है, इसलिए हम छोड़ देते हैं। इसलिए इस बारे में शंका करने की बहुत गुंजायश नहीं होनी चारिए।

श्री बल्देव बंसल : हमारे पास मिसालें हैं कि सेंट्रल फुड लंबारेटरी लोग्नर लेबारेटरी को डिट्टो करने की कोशिश करती है।

डा० सुशीला नायर: यह चार्ज बहुत नामुनासिब है। हर एक चीज की लिमिट होती है। एक केस लोग्नर कोर्ट में जाता है भीर उसके बाद हाई कोर्ट भीर सुप्रीम कोर्ट में जाता है। सुप्रीम कोर्ट के फ़ैसले के बाद भी भगर कोई कहेगा कि जज ने ग़लत फ़ैसला दिया हं, तो यह बहुत नामुनासिब बात होगी भीर कोई भी उसको नहीं मानेगा।

Chairman: Why should you make this allegation against the central laboratory?

Shri Baldev Bansal: Because I have got cases.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: It is an unfair charge.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Yes, it is an unfair charge.

Shri Om Parkash Malhotra: What Shri Bansal wanted to stress is that we should rationalise the laboratory at the State level.

Chairman: It is a suggestion that he is making, namely, that laboratories should be set up by the State Government also at the State level. About that the hon Minister has said that that may be considered.

Shri Baldev Bansal: My next submission is that the vendor should be permitted to send his sample to the higher laboratory through the court immediately after the taking of the sample and not after the institution of the case which comes after one year.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: He can get it analysed anywhere by paying the fees.

Chairman: Do you mean to say that for the purposes of the vendor the appellate laboratory should be the primary laboratory?

Shri Om Prakash Malhotra: In section 13(2) of the Act for the words "after the institution of the prosecution" it should be "after the taking of the sample". That is our submission. There is a point in it. Suppose, a sample of milk is taken today from me. Milk is a perishable commodity and if the case is instituted after the lapse of a year, milk will get curdled and it will be spoiled.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You can say that analysis should be done within a certain time-limit.

Shri Om Prakash Malhotra: And the institution of the case in the court should be within a prescribed period of time.

Chairman: There is a lot of diffeence between saying that the analysis should be as early as possible and the report should be given as early as possible and that the vendor should be allowed to send the sample to the central laboratory. The central labolatory cannot be a primary laboratory from the point of view of the vendor.

Shri Baldev Bansal: I think, there is some misunderstanding. I did not say that it should be the primary laboratory.

Then, the procedure for test should be prescribed. The standards are not correct at present and we want that they should be overhauled. While considering the standards for particular foodstuffs, the central committee should co-opt in large numbers experts of those foodstuffs from the public and the Government so that the standards may be correct.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Whatever you have to say regarding standards you can submit it separately. It will go to the standards committee.

on page 4 of your memorandum that magistrates trying food cases should be graduates in chemistry so as to be capable of independent interpretation of the results of analysis without being prejudiced by the opinions of the analyst. What do you mean by this?

Shri Baldev Bansal: When the analyst writes that the sample is adulterated, the magistrate is unable to form his own opinion on the basis of the analysis figures given.

Chairman: But if the magistrate is a graduate in chemistry, there is no necessity for an analysis at all.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Out of nine cases that you referred to, how many were decided by Magistrates with B.Sc. or M.Sc. in Chemistry?

Shri Om Prakash Malhotra: I nave not got the records. One case was decided by the Magistrate with F.Sc. in Chemistry. They should have some knowledge of it.

Dr. C. B. Singh: Is it necessary that he must be an M.Sc. in Chemistry before he can decide it?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Magistrates have to be experts in many things. They have to be experts in everything. How is it possible?

Chairman: They will be analysts.

Shri Baldev Bansal: Science is a general subject.

Dr. C. B. Singh: You say in your Memorandum:

"The Act should expressly forbid the Public Analyst, the Director, C.F.L. or any other laboratory that may be set up or nominated under this Act from giving his opinion in the report."

You say that he should not give any opinion. Then, what else will the analyst do? He must give his opinion about the sample he is given.

Shri Om Prakash Malhotra: My submission is this. Section 13(5) says:

"Any document purporting to be a report signed by a public analyst, unless it has been superseded under sub-section (3), or any document purporting to be a certificate signed by the Director of the Central Food Laboratory, may be used as evidence of the facts stated therein..."

It is only the question of facts stated therein. He should not express his opinion.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: These are the facts, whether the sample is adulterated or not.

Shri Om Prakash Malhotra: Either the clause be amended or the certificate of the Director may be amended.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: It is a question of the interpretation of the facts: R.M. value is so much etc. ctc.

Shri Baldev Bansal: The standards are there for the guidance of the Magistrates.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: As a doctor, let me tell you that there is the pathological report, the X-ray report and all that and there is a report as to what is the implication of the findings given there. The total thing is considered as a report and not as an opinion.

Shri Baldev Bansal: There is a difference between the report of the chemical examiner and the report of the public analyst.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We have taken note of that. We will look into that.

Shri Baldev Bansal: There is a difference between the report of the chemical examiner and the report of the Public analyst. About the report that is given here, the court says that that would be used as evidence of the facts stated therein.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: It is exactly the same everywhere.

Dr. C. B. Singh: That is the basis on which the report is given.

Shri Om Prakash Malhotra: The knowledge of every witness is admissible and the opinion of the experts is also admissible. It is only that section 13(5) is unhappily worded. That is the trouble.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Nobody has thought that this section is unhappily worded. No court has given that opinion.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: In your Memorandum you have stated:

"....we are equally interested in the elimination of dishonest trader from the trade...".

I would like to know how far you are prepared to give help to the Government in finding out the dishonest trader.

Shri Baldev Bansal: We have already written to the Chief Commissioner that this Association is prepared to sell only the Agmark ghee.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: How are you going to help the Government in finding out the dishonest trader? Are you going to give us all the information that you have? You know better who are the dishonest traders.

Shri Baldev Bansal: We can sell only the Agmark ghee.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: That is not helping the Government to find out who the dishonest trader is.

There are black sheeps amongst them. What steps have you taken to throw out black sheeps from the trade?

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: There are black sheeps amongst the traders; there are adulterators. Are you going to help the authorities in detecting them and punishing them properly?

Shri Baldev Bansal: Definitely I will do that if I am asked.

Shri Om Prakash Malhotra: Mr. Puran Mal who is the Vice-President of the Association has conveyed to me that he is prepared to give every instance of adulteration to the Corporation and if any of his members is found indulging in such practices, he will see that he is socially boycotted.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That is very good; we appreciate that.

भी तुल्लिशिवास जायव : मापने कहा है कि दो लैंबोरेटरीज के मन्दर एक साथ सैम्पल्ज मेजे जायें । एक तो पब्लिक हैल्थ लैंबोरेटरी जो लोकल है वहां भेजा जाए भीर एक किसी दूसरी लैंबोरेटरी में भेजा जाए। मब मान लीजिये कि मापने घी का सैम्पल लिया। एक सैम्पल तो ऊपर के घी का ले लिया मौर एक नीचे के घी का ले लिया। मब माप दोनों सैम्पल्ज को टैस्टिंग के लिए मगर भेजेंगे तो जो रिजल्ट मायेंगे उन में डिफेंस तो होगा ही।

श्री बत्देव बंसल: हमारा कहना सिर्फ इतना है कि एक और लेबोरेटरी में उसको टैस्ट किया जाना चाहिये। प्रोसीजर यह होता है कि ग्रगर किसी डिब्बे में से भी का सैम्पल लेना होता है तो उसको थारोली मिला लिया जाता है और तीन हिस्सों में डिवा-इड कर दिया जाता है और सैम्पल ले लिये जाते हैं।

भी तुलसीवास आपच : मेरे कहने का मतलब यह है कि ची का ग्रगर सैम्पल लेना है तो एक तो ऊपर से ले लिया जाए ग्रीर एक सैम्पल बीच से ची का लिया जाए ग्रीर तीसरा सैम्पल ग्रगर ची का बहुत नीचे से लिया जाए तो उन सैम्पल्ज के जो रिजल्ट जायेंगे चे भलग ग्रलग ही जायेंगे, एक से तो ग्रा नहीं सकते ह।

Shri Om Prakash Malhotra: The bulk may be pure and the sample, if not properly taken may be impure and it can be vice versa. Because of bad sampling, all the labour will be invalidated.

श्री कें ० एस० वास्मीकी : ग्रापने कह है कि जो जिस चीज का एक्सपर्ट है, उसकी एडवाइस ली जानी चाहिये। मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि विदेशों से शिक्षा प्राप्त करके जो ग्रादमी ग्राये हैं या जिन्होंने यहां उच्च शिक्षा प्राप्त की है, भीर जिन की एडवाइस ले कर सरकार चलती है, क्या उन से भी बेहतर ग्रादमी हमारे देश में मौजद हैं?

श्री बस्बेब बस्त : मैं मिसाल देता हूं। श्री राम इंडस्ट्रियल रिसचं इंस्टीट्यूट है भीर बहां पर एक्सपर्ट हैं या भीर भी बाहर एक्सी-पीरियेंस्ड कैमिस्ट हैं भीर उनके एक्सपीरियेंस से भगर फायदा उटाया जाए तो कोई हर्ज की बात नहीं है ।

श्री के ० एस ० बास्मीकी : हमारी गवर्न-मेंट की सेंट्रल लेबारेटरी में हर चीज के एक्सपर्ट हैं। कुछ प्राइवेट लोगों के भी एक्स-पर्ट हैं। क्या उनकी राय भी ली ह जानी चाहिये ?

श्री बल्बेच बंसल : ट्रेंड वाले भी ग्रंपने फील्ड में काफी ग्रनुभव रखते हैं । उनकी रायः ली जानी चाहिए ।

श्री के **एस** वास्मीकी : क्या भ्राप ऐसे. लोगों की एक लिस्ट दे सकते हैं ?

श्री बल्देव बंसल : जी, हां।

श्री के ० एल ० बाल्मीकी : भ्रापने लाइटर इनग्रीडिएंट्स श्रीर हैवियर इनग्रीडिएंट्स श्रीर हैवियर इनग्रीडिएंट्स के बारे में कहा । भ्रापका कहना यह है कि नीचे के बी के टैस्ट में भ्रीर ऊपर के बी के टैस्ट में भिन्न भिन्न निताजे निकले हैं।

श्री बस्देव बंसल : जी, हां । प्रक्सर यह होता है कि वेंडर ऊपर से बेचना शुरू कर देता है । जो नीचे का माल रह जाता है वह हैवियर होता है ग्रीर उसकी भार० एम० वैल्यू कम होती है । उसका सैम्पल लिया जाता है तो फेल हो जाता है ।

सभापति महोदया : भापका मतलब यह है कि सारे वल्क को होमोजिनियस करके तब सैम्पल लेना चाहिए।

श्री बत्देव बंसल: जी, हां। ग्रगर सिर्फ नीचे के श्री का सैम्पल लिया जाएगा ता फेल हो जाएगा ।

श्री के **एल बाल्मीकी** : ग्रापके एसो-सिएशन के कितने सदस्य हैं?

भी बल्देव बंसल : १४।

श्री के **एल बाल्मीकी** : श्राप उन से कितनी फीस लेते हैं ?

श्री बल्देव बंसल : साठ रुपया सालाना ।

भी बल्बेब बंसल : जी, हां ।

श्री जिब घरण गुप्त : दिल्ली में कितना भी एगमार्क का विकता है, कितना विना एगमार्क का ? भी बल्देव बंसल : ६० परसेंट एगमार्क का माता है भीर ४० परसेंट बिना एग-मार्क का ।

भी शिव चरण गुप्त : क्या कभी एगमार्क के सैम्पल लिए गए भीर फेल हुए ?

श्री बल्देष बंसल : बहुत दफा फेल हो जाते हैं।

श्री शिव चरण गुप्त: इन में क्या कमी पायी गयी ।

भी वस्देव बंसल: नीचे के भी का सैम्पल: लेने से वे फेल हो गए ।

श्री भ्रोम प्रकाश मल्हीचा: एगमार्क का तेल भी सैम्पल लेने पर फेल हो गया था । वह काफी दिनों तक पड़ा रहा इसलिए उसमें फैटी एसिड ज्यादा पैदा हो गया । इस एबोडेंस पर वह केस छोड दिया गया ।

भी बल्बेच बंसल : वह सरसों का तेल इसिलिए फेल हुआ था कि एक अमेंडमेंट हुआ था उसको एगमार्क वालों ने स्वीकार कर लिया था उसके मुताबिक वह तेल था। पी० एस० वालों ने उस अमेंडमेंट को स्वीकार नहीं किया था।

Chairman: On behalf of the Committee I would like to thank you for having come before us and having given the evidence.

(The witnesses then withdrew)

Delhi Oil Merchants' Association, Delhi.

Spokesmen:

- 1. Shri Utam Chand Jain.
- 2. Shri Khairati Lal Jain.

(Witnesses were called in, and they took their seats)

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Please excuse us. You were made to wait for the whole evening.

Shri Utam Chand Jain: You were kind enough to give us the opportunity to come before you and place our views before you.

Chairman: Everything that you tell us will be treated as public unless you specify the whole or a part of it as confidential. Even then of course it will be circulated to the Members of the Committee.

Shri Utam Chand Jain: We are oil merchants. We deal in vegetable oils. The rule lays down that if the result is 3 per cent it is pure. It can be used for human consumption. If F.F.A. is above 3 per cent or 3.1 per cent it does not remain as human food. The name remains the same. Whether it is oil of 3 per cent or 3.1 per cent it is groundnut oil. No distinction can be drawn between both types of oil at the time of crushing. 3.1 per cent is an oil which according to the Act is an oil which is called adulterated oil. No distinction can be drawn at the time of extraction. Oil of 3 per cent is treated as good. It is treated as adulterated if it is 3.1 per cent. In that case it is treated as adulterated oil.

Section 7 is very clear. It says:

"No person shall himself or by any person on his behalf manufacture for sale, or store, sell or distribute any adulterated food".

Suppose I am a crusher. I purchase seeds from the market. I take the seeds to my mill and crush them I do not know....

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Have you also seen the proposed new amendment of section 19 giving protection to the vendor?

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: Yes. In a minute I will come to that. When there is no such distinction between two varieties of oil....

Dr. Sushiia Nayar: If the oil is rancid oil then it is not fit for human

consumption. You will use it for soap manufacture or for any other purpose.

Shri Uttam Chang Jain: There must be some protection provided to the manufacturer. The FFA increases not only by keeping the oil for a long time, but also due to defective seeds about which the manufacturer does not know.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I have already given you protection in section 19. If it is due to your keeping the seeds for a long time, naturally you are responsible for that. But if you have got it from some place and you yourself have not done anything of that type, then you can claim protection.

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: I will now come to section 19. This section, as amended, is no doubt a very favourable section to the trader. But then sub-section (2) says "that the article of food while in his possession was properly stored and remained in the same state as when he purchased it".

Supposing a food inspector comes. He takes out some sample from my shop. I say that I have purchased it from X company. The inspector says: "I will prosecute X company if the article is found adulterated". But X company will come before the court and say that I have not kept the oil in a proper state. Under this sub-section, he can say that.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: He has to say that. If you take the oil and keep it in a hot place for months, then it deteriorates. When the man sold it to you it was good oil, but it has gone bad with you. How can that man be responsible for that? With all due respect, the normal content of FFA, as found out from a large number of analysis, is 52 per cent. They have kept the limit at a very high level namely, 3 per cent. They say: "Please do not go beyond 3 per cent". was done in view of the fact that this country is a very big country different climatic conditions in different places. But you assume it to be

the normal limit. You fix the upper limit. This is rather a peculiar way of doing things. You do not give the lower limit. The right thing would be to give the range, say from .5 to 3. If it is .51 there is no prosecution. If it is .57, then also there is no prosecution. You can go upto 3 per cent. The whole trouble has started with your putting the upper limit, instead of giving the range.

Chairman: For different oils there are different standards. For ground-nut oil the limit is 3 per cent. For linseed oil the maximum limit is 2 per cent. For mahua oil the limit should not be more than 22 per cent. It is not more than 3 per cent in the case of mustard oil.

Dr. C. B. Singh: They should give the range. That is an important point made by the Minister.

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: I do not ask you to lower it or to make it higher.

Chairman: If at all it can vary, it can vary only within certain margin. Marginal variation will be allowed.

Shri Uttam Chand: It is not my contention that it should be raised. My respectful submission is this. When the name is one and the same...

Chairman: If it is 3.1, then it becomes adulterated.

Shri Uttam Chang Jain: Suppose we have not added anything to it, but it is natural with the article?

Dr. Sushila Naar: In the natural process if it deteriorates and it develops most poisonous material, then should we not hold the man who sells it responsible? You know that the trader should take precautions. Only a trader who does not take enough precautions, gets into trouble.

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: In the case of wheat flour, there are two

types. One is called resultant atta and the other is called the whole mill atta. If a differenciation is not drawn, between these two varieties....

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Are you saying that there should be two standards of oil?

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: Standards are all right. I say that since 70 to 80 per cent of oil is being used for other purposes than food, it is not safe to keep one and the same name for both.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Oil is used for edible purposes when it is When it becomes stale and rancid, it should not be used for edible purposes. It is not possible for the Government or any food inspector to know whether it is fresh or it has been there for long time. It is for the trade to decide it. When the oil has been there for many months, it is for them to remove it from the edible section and put it in the non-edible section. Then you can ask the authorities to make some distinction between the two, so that it is obvious that it cannot be used for edible purposes. You know that business people have all kinds of ways of doing things. Some people came and told us the other day that rotten food articles which are not fit for human consumption and which can be used only as cattle fodder are kept in some shops, of course with a label saying "Not for human consumption". But as soon as the inspector goes away, they remove the label and sell it to human beings.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: What guarantee is there that the oil merchants will not do the same type of thing?

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: What I am suggesting is that a label should be put that it will not be fit for human consumption after so many months. If I sell it within that fewod why should I be made responsible for that? The customer should satisfy himself and then buy.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: What you are suggesting is where the oil is extracted they should put the batch number and the time in which it should be used for human consumption-say, it can be used within two or months for human consumption. But the trouble is that it is not highly orgainsed body that is doing this type of thing. There are small cottage industries, small men who are crushing oil. It is not humanely possible to go to each one of them and label it. Ours is a big country. We do not want to put restrictions in the way of small men.

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: The mills should be asked to put their batch number and the time in which it should be used.

Shri N. C. Chatterji: Clause 19 (2)(c) says:

"That the article of food while in his possession was properly stored and remained in the same 'state' as when he purchased it".

What is wrong in this?

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: The word 'state' has got a very wide meaning. Suppose I purchase oil from a company. I kept it for three months or three years. But, when the case goes to a court, the manufacturer will come and say 'this man has not kept the oil in proper state'.

Shri N. C. Chatterji: In the original Act the sentence reads—

"that he gold it in the same state as he purchased it".

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: There is a warranty attached to it which we have eliminated now.

Chairman: The onus of proving is now shifted from the producer to seller. It is the seller who is to prove it now.

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: Had there been warranty, this difficulty would not arise.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: If you can prove that you purchased it from X and you have not tampered with it in any way, you are protected. You can say this is a closed tin and I have done nothing to it and I purchased it from so and so. Now it is for that man to prove his innocence. May I say further that the warranty in a way is removed and in a way not removed, because from the cash memo you can say the batch number and that will have the power of exonerating you. A suggestion has been made by other people that the cash memo should also mention the batch number.

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: If that suggestion is accepted that the tin should be labelled and it should contain the batch number, our purpose is served.

of your Memorandum has been about storage and quality going down during storage. What arrangements have you got in your own godown for proper storage so that the quality will not go down? This contention does not apply to villages. It applies to big towns like Bombay or Delhi.

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: Our storage arrangements have neither got cooling facilities nor heating facilities. We keep them in ordinary places. The nature has its own effect as it has got in other places.

Dr. C. B. Singh: In your own interest time has come for you to think of having big storage places to minimise the loss in quality and also consequent national loss. You can have a central cold storage for oil alone. All the big merchants in Delhi can keep at a common place.

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: In cities like Delhi, if we adopt such methods, it will become very costly. Cold storage costs rupees five to six lakhs. Then, every merchant will have

invest 2 or three lakhs. This can be taken up at Government level.

Dr. C. B. Singh: Government will certainly help you. Will you think on that line?

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: As you know, adulteration in edible oil is very common in cities. May I know whether white oil is mixed with edible oil so that it may not be distinguished easily?

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: It will be better if this question is put to some vegetable factory where with the connivance of big authorities white oil and linseed oil are being mixed. And when a sample is taken from a retailer, he is held responsible in case he is found to have mixed the linseed oil.

Chairman: He does not want that information. But, as a merchant dealing in oils, do you know or have you any information which is fairly known to you regarding this?

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: We have got no such information as far as linseed oil is concerned.

श्री तुलशी दास जायव : स्या आप आयल मर्चेट्स को रिप्रिजेंट करते हैं श्रीर क्या उन में से कोई भी आयल के अन्दर एडल्ट्रेशन नहीं करता है ?

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: A few must be doing it.

भी तुलशी दास जावव : ग्रापके कितने मैम्बर हैं ?

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: About 72.

भी तुलशी दास आपव : उन में से किसी को क्या कभी सजा हुई है :? Shri Uttam Chand Jain: I think none has been committed as far as I know.

श्री तुलशी दास जाधव : सबिकस्पशन ग्रपने मैम्बर्ज से क्या लेते हैं ?

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: Rs. 24 per year.

भी तुलशी बास आधव : क्या क्या सह्रिचतें देते हैं ? भायल भ्रपने सब मैम्बर्ज को क्या भ्राप देते हैं ?

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: No. They do that on thier own accord. They do it if not from member-to-member basis from the oil producing centre.

डा० सुशीला नायर : नैं एक निवेदन करना चाहती हूं। ताजा जो तेल है उस में एफ० एफ० ए० निल होता है। उन्होंने तीन परसेंट रखा है श्रौर तीन परसेंट से अगर उसकी मात्रा हो जाए तो वह डेफिनिटली हैल्थ के लिए खराब होता है। अब इस तीन पर सेंट पर आपको कोई श्रापत्ति नहीं है। लेकिन इस पर आंध्र के लोगों ने बड़ी आपत्ति की है। उन्होंने कहा है कि पांच परसेंट कर दो।

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: Because their system of extraction if not from different

डा॰ सुझीला नायर : एक्सट्रैकशन के तरीके से कोई मतलब नहीं है । उनका यह कहना है कि क्लाइमेट बड़ी गर्म है । उस में एफ॰ एफ॰ ए॰ बहुत जल्दी बन जाते हैं। ग्राप के यहां हो सकता है कि यह लिमिट दो महीनों में पहुंचती है ग्रीर उनके यहां तीन हफ्तों में पहुंच जाए । यह संभव हो सकता है । लेकिन उस से ज्यादा सम्बन्ध नहीं है ।

श्री कं **एल** बाल्मीकी : कुछ तो प्राप तेल मशीनों की सहायता से जिस को एक्स-पैलर कहते हैं, उसकी सहायता से बनाते होंगे भौर कुछ तेलियों के जरिये भ्राप इकट्टा करते होंगे । में जानना चाहता हूं कि कोल्हू से जो तेल बनता है, साधारण भ्रादमी के प्रयत्नों से जो तेल बनता है वह भ्रच्छा होता है या मशीन से जो बनता है वह भ्रच्छा होता है ?

की उत्तम श्राम्य जैय : मझीन से जो तेल बनता है वह उस से बहुत श्राच्छा होता है जैसा श्रभी मैंने श्रफ्तं किया है । कोल्हू से निकले हुए तेल को श्रगर श्राप टैस्ट करें, चाहे वह फेश हो या कैसा भी हो, उस में श्रापको एफ० एफ० ए० तीन परसेंट या उससे ज्यादा जहर मिलेगा ।

डा० सुझीला नाथर : बिल्कुल गलत बात है, उस में जीरो परसेंट, २४ परसेंट, ४ परसेंट, होंगा श्रौर किसी भी सूरत में तीन परसेंट सें ग्रधिक नहीं हो सकता है।

श्री के एस बास्मीकी : जो कोस्ट्र चला कर तेल बनाते हैं उन के जीवन का ग्राधार ही यही है ग्रीर वह बहुत गुढ़ता से काम करते हैं। मशीनों से या एक्सपैलजं से जो तेल बनाया जाता है, क्या यह सही नहीं है कि उस से ज्यादा मिला-बट होती है ?

श्री उत्तम चन्द जैन: जहां पर तीन परसेंट से ज्यादा एक० एक० ए० पाया जाए तो एक्ट अन्दर उसको मिलावट माना गया है।

सभापित महोदया: खराव मूंगफली का दाना जब भ्राप इस्तेमाल करते हैं तो उस में ज्यादा परसेंट इसकी हो सकती है।

डा० सुजीला नायर : ए॰जैक्टली ?

श्री उत्तम बन्द जैन : सीड का क्या किया जाए जो कि नैकुरल कलेमेटीज की वजह से खराब हो जाता है ।

भी के o एल o बारु मोकी: आपने कहा कि किसी भी मैम्बर के ऊपर मुक्तदमा नहीं चला । क्या कोई भी सैम्पल आपका फेल नहीं हुआ ? क्या सैम्पल भी लिये गये हैं या नहीं ? फूड इंस्पेक्टर के ऊपर क्या आपका कोई प्रभाव तो नहीं है ?

श्री उत्तम चन्द्र जैन : सैम्पल लिये गये हैं । उनकी जांच भी हुई है । सैम्पल फेल हो जाए तो वे कोर्ट में जाता है। We may get it sometimes tested from the Central Laboratory. Otherwise, the question of sending the groundnut oil to the other Laboratories does not arise.

श्री तुलशीवास जावण : ग्रायल मिलों का निकला हुग्रा तेल ग्रीर कोल्हू का निकला हुग्रा तेल ग्राप लेते हैं या खुद निकालते हैं ?

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: We generally purchase oil and sell it as it is. Almost all our members do not crush oil seeds.

श्री तुलशीदास जाधव : जो तेल ग्राप लेते हैं उसके बारे में कैसे पता लगाते हैं कि यह एडलट्रेटिड है या नहीं है, शृद्ध है या ग्रशुद्ध ? जांचने का ग्रापके पास कौनना तरीका है ?

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: We generally do it by sight and smelling and by our own experience.

श्री तुलाही बास आध्य : ग्रभीतक जो ग्रायल ग्रापके पास ग्राया है, उस में से किसी भी वक्त क्या एडल्ट्रेटिड ग्रायल नहीं ग्राया है ?

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: Sometimes. We have returned the goods to the sender.

भी तुलशीदास आधव: उस के लिये अपने क्या किया है ? Shri Uttam Chand Jain: We have returned the goods to the sender.

भी सुनन्नीकास अनुष्य : गवर्नमेंट की भ्राप मदद कीजिये। ऐसा माल अगर भावा था तो फूड इंस्पैक्टर को बुला कर उसको उस तेल को टैस्ट करने के लिए भ्रापने क्यों नहीं कहा ?

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: I have just told you that we have never told this to the Food Inspector. We have told you the truth.

Chairman: I think we all agree that we should extend our thanks to this gentleman here who has given: so much information. Thank you very much.

Shri Uttam Chand Jain: We heartily reciprocate the feelings to you all.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We offer our thanks to the Chair for conducting the proceedings so ably, so efficiently and so tactfully.

(The witnesses then withdrew).
The Committee then adjourned.

Minutes of Evidence given before the Joint Committee on the Prevention of Food Adulteration (Amendment) Bill, 1963.

Tuesday, the 18th August, 1964 at 09.32 hours.

PRESENT

Dr. Sarojini Mahishi-Chairman.

MEMBERS

Lok Sabha

- 2. Shri K. L. Balmiki
- 3. Shri Sonubhau Dagadu Baswant
- 4. Shrimati Jyotsna Chanda
- 5. Shri N. C. Chatterjee
- 6. H. H. Maharaja Pratap Keshari Deo
- 7. Shri Shiv Charan Gupta
- 8. Shri Tulshidas Jadhav
- 9. Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath
- 10. Shri C. M. Kedaria
- 11. Dr. Mahadeva Prasad
- 12. Shri Yamuna Prasad Mandal
- 13. Dr. G. S. Melkote
- 14. Shri Gokulananda Mohanty
- 15. Dr. C. B. Singh
- 16. Shrimati V. Vimla Devi
- 17. Dr. Sushila Nayar.

Rajya Sabha

- 18. Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja
- 19. Shri Arjun Arora
- 20. Shri J. C. Chatterjee
- 21. Shri K. Damodaran
- 22. Shri Shantilal Kothari
- 23. Shri S. S. Mariswami
- 24. Shri Deokinandan Narayan
- 25. Shri Palat Kunhi Koya
- 26. Shri Niranjan Singh.

DRAFTSMAN

Shri S. Harihara Iyer, Deputy Draftsman, Legislative Department, Ministry of Law.

REPRESENTATIVES OF THE MINISTRY

- 1. Shri R. K. Ramadhyani, Secretary, Ministry of Health.
- 2. Shri Gian Prakash, Joint Secretary. Ministry of Health.
- 3. Shri A. S. Bawa, Deputy Secretary, Ministry of Health.
- 4. Dr. Y. K. Subrahmaniyam, A.D.G. (P.H.), Ministry of Health.
- 5. Shri Amar Nath Varma, Under Secretary, Ministry of Health.

SECRETARIAT

Shri A. L. Rai-Deputy Secretary.

WITNESSES EXAMINED

- I. Shri A. P. Jain, M.P.
- II. Shri H. K. L. Bhagat, Deputy Mayor of Delhi.
- III. Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee, Minister of Health, West Bengal.
- IV. Shri B. R. Gupta, Secretary, Department of Health, Government of West Bengal.
- I. Shri A. P. Jain, M.P.

(Witness was called in and he took his seat.)

Chairman: We are happy to welcome Shri A. P. Jain, Member of Parliament amongst us to-day. He will be giving us valuable information as ragerds prevention of food adulteration.

Mr. Jain, you are welcome on behalf of this joint Select Committee and as per rules, the evidence that you will be giving will be treated as public unless, of course, it is specifically mentioned that the whole or part of it should be treated as confidential. The amendments under consideration are with regard to prevention of Food Adulteration Act and I hope you will give us certain valuable information regarding this.

Shri A. P. Jain: Madam Chairman. I do not want any portion of my evidence to be treated as confidential. Now, I am thankful to you for saying a few kind words about me. I have come here in the capacity of an observant consumer. Food adulteration has become a national menace. It has assumed a magnitude that serious 874(Aii) LS—16.

notice should be taken of it. I need not mention the various types of adulteration that are at present prevalent. The Committee is well aware of them.

As regards the draft Bill under consideration I am sorry to say that, in my opinion, it will fail to achieve its objective viz., suppression of adulteration of foodstuffs. My feeling is somewhat like this that the Bill lays emphasis only on the police powers that is of enhancing of punishment. It completely overlooks the responsibility of the State in providing a correct and better procedure about testing. Also, it completely ignores the court procedure which ultimately means more of delay and the justice delayed is justice defeated. These are the two aspects to which I would like to refer.

At present, there is a system of what is known as public analysis. The rules prescribe certain qualifications for persons who may be appointed as public analysists. The public ana-

lyst's report can be challenged in the court and the accused person can send the samples to the Central Food Laboratory for further examination. The result of all this is delay. In my opinion, the system of public analysts is both loose and defective. What I would suggest is that the State should undertake its own responsibility and in each of the States, there should be a well-equipped laboratory with a highly qualified staff working in it. The system of food analysts should be completely done away with.

My reasoning in making this recommendation is that I want firstly the people to know that there is no hanky-panky about food analysis. Whatever analysis of adulterated food is done, it is an authoritative analysis. It is done by a highly qualified person who would inspire confidence among the consumers and the dealers and there may not be delay to expedite the court procedure.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: At present, the analysis is done in many places by the Municipal or Corporation Authorities. Is it your suggestion that instead of the laboratory being run by the Municipalities, it should be run by State Governments?

Shri A. P. Jain: I am exactly coming to that. I think that the prosecuting agency which is the local body or a body which is interested in prosecution, should not be in-charge or have any control over the analysts. In fact, analysts are appointed by the State Government. Even so, I would like these laboratories to be run either directly by the Central Government at least one laboratory being located in a State or by the State Government, not by an agency which is interested in the prosecution. It is a fundamental principle of jurisprudence that an expert witness should be an independent, he should not be influenced by any of the parties. Now that is one part of it. The Law provides that the report of the Central Laboratory and of the public analysts should be presumed to have

been proved. I think that is a correct. presumption. Of course the presumption is rebuttable. That is the first suggestion I want to make.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: With regard to the prosecuting agency, a suggestion has been made that the posts of the food inspectors who really take the samples and launch the prosecutions should be provincialised. If they are provincialised, again it is the State which runs the laboratory. So the prosecuting agency and the analyst agency become one and the same. Would you therefore suggest that the inspectors should remain with the municipalities as at present and only the analytical facilities should be taken away?

Shri A. P. Jain: At that level I do not think the objection comes in. For instance, many State Governments maintain forensic laboratories for examining poisons, counterfeit coins and other things. These laboratories are not within the police department; they are separately treated.

By and large I have the feeling that the local bodies have failed to discharge their function. They are far too much under local influence, particularly, the trading community in the big towns is so powerful that action becomes impossible.

But I do not want to make anything rigid. It may be a Central inspector, it may be State inspectors, or it may be that in big towns the work may be entrusted even to the corporations. It will all depend upon the conditions. Government should function in a pragmatic way to see that adulteration is effectively checked.

So far as the procedure is concerned, I think it must be a simple procedure. And I think that the provisions of the Criminal Procedure Code which cause delays should be cut down. It may be a sort of a summary procedure. And just as in the railway cases one magistrate is

entrusted with the hearing of cases, here also it should be entrusted to a first-class magistrate. I won't like the case to be tried by a second-class third-class magistrate, but it should be done by a first-class magistrate. My proposals are integrated ones: firstly, I want to give certain sanctity to the report of the food examination laboratory; secondly, I want to cut down tse procedure. Therefore, it will not be fair that these cases should be handled by a second-class or third-class magistrate. A first-class magistrate should be specially appointed to look after these adulteration cases in districts. If he has got any spare time he may look after some other work or decide some other type of cases also; but if there is sufficient work, then one magistrate should deal with them and, if necessary, more than one magistrate may be appointed.

In summing up, I would like the procedure to be made very simple, the report of the laboratory being treated as proved, the presumption being always a rebuttable one. The procedure should be cut down and only one appeal should be provided. Not more than one, because what happens in these cases is that the accused goes on prolonging and ultimately the object is defeated.

In the present law I find there is a considerable amount of looseness. There are provisions which in a way whittle down the effectiveness of the law. For instance, the food inspector who has to take a sample has to pay price for the sample. It does not mean a large amount of money, but the approach that he has to pay the money for even taking the samples for analysis is something that does not appeal to me.

Similarly, there is a clause in the main law that the inspector....

Dr. Sushila Nayar: About making the inspector pay for the samples, I presume one has to protect the trader from the inspectors also who might become corrupt. And if he is taking a sample without payment, somebody might take it into his head to take very frequent samples or something like that.

Shri A. P. Jain: But the law provides that he has to divide the sample into three parts. One part is given to the shopkeeper, another part is sent to the laboratory, and the third part is kept by him. If there is a corrupt inspector, you punish him. Improve the machinery. But do not provide a system which leads to the idea that you want to whittle down the law. This is my feeling.

I look at the problem that it has become a national menace. All kinds of adulteration are prevalent. As it is, it has become dangerous to buy food, it has become dangerous to buy prepared food articles in the market. In any western country if you buy a packet of food you are sure that there is no adulteration in it. That is why I want to suggest some stringent measures.

Chairman: I wish to ask you something as regards the procedure. Now that the offender can be punished to the extent of ten years imprisonment.

Shri A. P. Jain: Six years.

Chairman: . . . do you think that the summary trial or cutting down the procedure would be desirable?

Shri A. P. Jain: Yes. I want the machinery to be improved, both inspectors and the analytical laboratory.

Chairman: Don't you think that the parties would be put to too much hardship on account of cutting down the procedure?

Shri A. P. Jain: The man who is punished for the third time is a habitual offender. Otherwise, in the first time it is only six months to two years.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Section 21 of the Act says:

"Notwithstanding anything contained in section 32 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898, it shall be lawful for any presidency magistrate or any magistrate of the first class to pass any sentence authorised by this Act in excess of his powers under section 32 of the said Code".

Will it meet that requirement?

Shri A. P. Jain: A first-class magistrate can pass a sentence only up to two years. By this provision he is authorised to inflict a punishment from two years to six years. The provision enhances the magistrate's powers.

Shri P. K. Deo: I would like to have one clarification regarding the cutting down of the procedure and making the prosecution simpler. We cannot do away with this chemical analysis; that has to go on. As there are very few laboratories and they are not widely dispersed in the country it will take its own time, and by the time you get the report it will be very much delayed. Even with the best of efforts it will take its own time and it will be delayed.

Shri A. P. Jain: Perhaps, the hon. Member has not followed my arguments. I have suggested that each State should have a laboratory.

Shri P. K. Deo: At the moment, each state has not got a laboratory.

shri A. P. Jain: Let me complete what I was going to say. I have said that each State should have a laboratory. That laboratory must be properly equipped with instruments, and manned by highly qualified scientists in sufficient numbers, who can do quick and honest analysis.

Shri P. K. Dee: At the moment, most of the State Governments and even the Union Territory Adminis-

trations have got laboratories of their own; and in some States, the number is more than one. They must have qualified staff and the necessary equipment. But it will take its own time for the chemical analyst to come to a finding. And the report will have to go from some divisional head-quarters or some remote village to the State capital and so on, and, therefore, the procedure followed in getting the report, in entering into correspondence and so on is very much time-consuming.

Shri A. P. Jain: They are really 'musts' in our administration, which do not exist in the field. We should see that the laboratory must be established, and if necessary, more than one laboratory established in each State. It should be fully equipped both with instruments and personnel.

Shri P. K. Deo: In Madhya Pradesh, there are as many as ten laboratories.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: All of them are doing food analysis?

Shri P. K. Deo: Yes. Similarly, in West Bengal, there are 13 and in Delhi only one.

Shri N. C. Chatteries: You would realise that a fundamental change is being made by eliminating imposition of fines and making imprisonment compulsory even for the first offence. I would like to know whether you support this idea?

Shri A P Jain: Yes, I do.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Do you realise that it may also become an engine of oppression and also of corruption? You have suggested that the machinery should be improved for detection, checking etc. Therefore, one would have to be very careful so far as detection and checking and analysis are concerned.

Shri A. P. Jain: If we proceed on that assumption, then we cannot

- effect any improvement. The improvement has to be an integrated one both in the administrative machinery as also in the legal procedure.
- Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Are you suggesting that apart from the big corporations such as those in Bombay, Calcutta etc., so far as the small municipalities are concerned they should not be entrusted with this work of detection?
- Shri A. P. Jain: I have not suggested any strict code. What I say is that wherever it is found that a particular body can do the work and discharge its functions effectively, naturally that body must be entrusted with the work.
- Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Are you suggesting the appointment of a special magistrate to deal with these cases?
- Shri A. P. Jain: I have suggested that a magistrate should be specifically entrusted with the dealing of these cases. He will have the experience and also acquire specialised knowledge in the matter.
- Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Take, for instance, the Calcutta and the Bombay High Courts. There are special judges, dealing with commercial cases, and who have got to dispose of the cases within a period of six months, and that is done also. Are you suggesting the same procedure to be adopted here also?
- Shri A. P. Jain: This will be tried by a magistrate, not by the High Court.
- Shri N. C. Chatterjee: I know, you could have a special provision made imposing a time-limit within which the case must be disposed of.
- Shri A. P. Jain: I do not know when we should do it. You cannot lay down too hard and fast rules. With the improvement that I have suggested I think that these cases will be disposed of quickly.

- Shr N C Chatterjee: In regard to the person accused, would you allow him to have the sample tested by another laboratory if he wants to? I think that would only be fair.
- Shri A. P. Jain: I would not object to it, but that must be done when a case is made out against the report.
- Shri N. C. Chatterjee: You would leave it to the magistrate then?
- Shri A. P. Jain: Yes, I hope he will exercise his discretion in suitable cases.
- Shri N. C. Chatterjee: You would not afford the same facility in every case?
- Shri A. P. Jain; No, not in every case. Mostly people who make these unlawful and unconscionable profits want to delay the whole thing. If there is a good case, then let it be examined by another laboratory. But if the intention is to delay the disposal there should not be any retesting.
- Shri N. C. Chatterjee: A good case can be made out only if he has it analysed in another laboratory.
- Shri A. P. Jain: If the magistrate finds that a good case has been made out, he will send the sample again for re-testing. If any doubt arises in his mind about the report of the first laboratory, then he will have the sample re-tested, but if there is no such doubt, and he thinks that the first report is clear enough, then he would not send the sample for re-testing.
- Shri N. C. Chatterjee: It has been represented to us by many small retailers and traders that they buy their stuff from the wholesale market, and, therefore, it is only fair that there should be some organisation set up for the purpose of checking and testing at the source, and only after such testing, the thing should be put into the market. We would like to have the benefit of your advice on this suggestion.

- Shri A. P. Jain: If you can create such an elaborate organisation, by all means do it. But even under the present law there is a provision that if a dealer can establish his bona fides that is, if he did not know that the foodstuff was adulterated, and he bought it from a licensed shop or a regular manufacturer, then he can be exonerated.
- Shri N. C. Chatterjee: If he could show that he bought the adulterated thing from a particular person, then he can escape. Do you not think as a lawyer that it is a very difficult onus to discharge?
- Shri A. P. Jain: There might be packages bearing special marks. At any rate it would make the dealer cautious, and he will go only to an honest manufacturer.
- Shri N. C. Chatterjee: I can give you the instance of small mustard oil dealers in Calcutta. They buy mustard oil in large quantities from UP and other States and they sell it in the market. Thereafter, some food inspector comes and takes a sample and finds it to be adulterated, and those small dealers are prosecuted. Thus, the onus shifts to them and they are put to trouble. Is that fair?
- Shri A. P. Jain: He will have to establish that he did not know that it was adulterated and that he bought it from a licensed or regular manufacturer; and if he can do that, he gets exonerated. Our objective is to stop adulteration. Adulteration of food is heinous; it is highly criminal.
- Shri N. C. Chatterjee: There, we are all agreed. The only question is that this is what they are pleading before us, and I want the benefit of your experience and knowledge to tackle this problem. The small traders are saying that they should not be penalised because they are purchasing from wholesale dealers from outside States, in large quantities. They are suggesting that some

- machinery should be set up for checking at the source.
- Shri A. P. Jain: By all means do it. But merely because you cannot set up that machinery immediately I would not like the other things to be postponed.
- Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Would you think over it and advocate the imposition of a time-limit for the disposal of these cases? Otherwise, they would drag on for months and months and thereafter it will wear out.
- Shri A. P. Jain: I have already said that the procedure should be cut down, and that there should be well-established and well-equipped laboratories. I would not go beyond that.
- Shri P. K. Deo: While fully agreeing that the punishment should be very drastic and deterrent on a person who indulges in food adulteration, I personally feel that simply firing a cannon at a mosquito will not solve the problem of mosquitoes. We must tackle the problem at the breeding place. With your vast experience of the Food Ministry, will you enlighten us as to where the actual adulteration is taking place, and what the breeding place is?
- Shri A. P. Jain: I would like you to shoot at the rhinoceros and the elephant first.
- Shri P. K. Deo: Whether it is the retailer who passes on the adulterated goods to the consumer or the wholesaler, the real adulteration starts at the point of production. Is that not so?
- Shri A. P. Jain: It all depends upon the commodity. In certain commodities, it is at the source, and in others, it is at the level of the retailer. So, I cannot give any categorical reply.
- Dr. C. B. Singh: You have laid great stress on laboratories being

independent and being run by the Central Government; you have also emphasised that inspectors and the magistracy should be independent of the local authority. Keeping in view the vastness of the country, is it a practical proposition that the whole machinery can be run by the Central Government?

Shri A. P. Jain: I have not said that everything should be done by the Central Government; it should be either by the Central Government or by the State Governments. I want results to be achieved. We should have a flexible scheme. The authority which can act promptly and effectively should do the thing.

Dr. C. B. Singh: To solve the difficulty regarding paucity of inspecting staff, suggestions have been made that police officers of a certain rank should be given power to go and take samples and do to the work. Do you agree?

Shri A. P. Jain: No. I won't give that power to the police.

Dr. C. B. Singh: In view of the seriousness and magnitude of the problem, some States have suggested it.

Shri A. P. Jain: By and large I feel that that power should not be given to the police. They are not acting too honestly.

Shri Arjun Arora: What is the guarantee that the other machinery that will be set up will act more honestly?

Shri A. P. Jain: If we accept that suggestion, it means we should do nothing.

Shri Arjun Arora: We should utilise existing machinery, not go about having a multiplicity of them.

Shri A. P. Jain: If we do not feel that another machinery will be better, then it means status quo—doing nothing.

भी बेवकी नन्दन नारायण: प्रापने कहा कि यह मिलावट का मामला देश के कोने कोने तक फैला हुआ है। इसकी मैगनी-ट्यूड को देखते हुए मैं जानना चाहूंगा कि हर एक जिले में आपको कितने फूड इंस्पेक्टर नियुक्त करने होंगे।

दूसरे भ्रापने दो तीन सुमाव दिये हैं एनेलेसिस के बारे में, स्पेशल मिलस्ट्रेट के बारे में भ्रादि । भ्रापर इन सुझावों को मान लिया जाये तो कितना करण्शन भीर मिलावट दूर हो जायेंगे ।

श्री ए० पी० जैन: कितने इंस्पेक्टर नियुक्त करने होंगे, इसकी तादाद तो मैं नहीं दे सकता । यह हैस्थ मिनिस्टरी का काम है। मेरा इसमें अनुभव नहीं है। रही दूसरी बात, तो एक मसल है:

ताजी मारा तुर्की कांपा

मेरा यह खयाल है कि हमको बड़े स्केल पर

इस काम को नहीं फैलाना चाहिये क्योंकि
हमारे पास इतनी मेशिनरी नहीं है, न
बहुत ज्यादा मुकदमों को हम कोर्ट में ठीक
तरह चलवा सकते हैं। झाप मच्छरों
को न मारें, हाथियों को और गैंडे को मारें।
बड़े लोगों को झाप इफेक्टिवली डील कीजिये
तो देश में इमानदारी झाजाएगी। वे
खत्म हो जायेंगे और मिलावट मिट
जायेगा।

Shri T. C. Chatterjee: We have got our State machinery. There are representatives of the trade on it. But the real sufferers are the consumers. Do you not realise that it is very essential that there should be strong consumer representation in all these affairs?

Shri A. P. Jain: I began by saying that I have come here as an observant consumer. I am speaking on behalf of consumers.

Shri 7. C. Chatterjee: Should they not be encouraged to stand as an organisation?

Shri A. P. Jain: That will be excellent.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Everybody who is on these committees is a consumer, be he a trader, inspector, analyst etc.

Shri A. P. Jain: I think he is referring to consumer as against a person occupying a dual capacity—pure and simple consumer versus trader consumer or inspector consumer. If there is consumers' resistance in an organised manner, it will greatly improve matters and create public opinion.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You are not in favour of giving any powers to the police. The question raised by the West Bengal Government is this. When mustard oil is being transported or stored by the wholesalers, at present it is not permissible to take samples. They say, 'you can take samples when it is released to trader'. It is not possible for the Government to keep a watch all the 24 hours and take samples when it is released. They have suggested that samples may be taken from places of storage, railway wagons; secondly, that police officers of a certain rank should be allowed to take samples, and the qualifications prescribed for food inspectors may not be adhered to. What is your reaction?

Shri A. P. Jain: I have not given any very careful consideration to it. But prima facie, I do not agree with the view of the West Bengal Government. I would refer you to sec. 10 of the Act. It includes articles in the process of being conveyed and so I do not see how the trouble arises.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The interpretation given is—when the trader has taken delivery and is carrying it to his own place.

Shri A. P. Jain: Is this the view of law courts? Is it the interpretation given by a High Court or the Supreme Court; is it just their fear?

Chairman: There is no interpretation given. Shri Deckmandan Narayan: You have said there should be only one appeal over the magistrate's decision. Is that warranted by the Constitution?

Shri A. P. Jain: You can even deny the right of appeal under the present Constitution, because all that you have to provide through procedure established by law.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: You cannot take away the powers of the High Court under art. 226.

Shri S. S. Mariswami: There is a rumour, partially well-founded, that some State Governments are not so serious about this menace as the Centre and are trying to soft-pedal the issue. Do you suggest that the Centre should take over implementation of the Act?

Shri A. P. Jain: The amending Bill before the Committee permits the Centre to appoint Food Inspectors. I would not like to deprive the State Governments of their powers, but I would like a two-pronged pressure to be put on them, one by the Ministry of Health at the Centre, and the other by the consumers.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: A suggestion has been made that offences under the Act should be made cognizable, if we are to deal with the matter effectively. What do you say to this?

Shri A. P. Jain: The Inspector can take samples, send them to the laboratory and prosecute the case.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: If they see with their own eyes Argem one seed being mixed with mustard seed, should it not be possible to arrest the man on the spot?

Shri A P. Jain: Personally, I would not like to give the police powers, and whether an offence is cognizable or not relates to the police.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Would you be prepared to give police powers to the Inspector?

Shri A. P. Jain: That will require a lot of consideration.

Shri Shantial Kothari: You have given a good analysis of the use or misuse of powers by the police. In cases where adulteration is observed to be taking place, would you favour a composite, mobile detection squad consisting of a Food Inspector, a judicial officer and a policeman to go to the spot and take necessary action?

Shri A. P. Jain: The Inspector immediately makes a report to the First Class Magistrate, and then asks the police to take possession of it. But I would not vest the police with excessive powers. That is the maximum extent to which I would go.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: If adulteration is made in his presence, you will not give him power to arrest the man?

Shri A. P. Jain: Possibly such powers can be given, but they must be very well defined.

Shri Devki Nandan Narayan: To whom should those powers be given?

Shri A. P. Jain: That is a matter of detail. This question has come before me all of a sudden. There are two types of adulteration, one is injurious to health, and the other lowers the quality of the food. I am more particular about the former. I would like to put down the other also.

Chairman: You said that there should be only one appeal from the decision of the Magistrate. Is it to the Sessions Court or the High Court?

Shri A. P. Jain: In cases of punishment less than two years, it may be an appeal to the Sessions Court, and in other cases it may be direct to the High Court.

श्री के एल वाल्मीकी: जो सैम्पुल्स लिये जाते हैं वह सैम्पुल्स दो लेबोरेटरीज में जांचे जायं तो उस में जो केमिकल प्रोसैस है या टैस्ट है उस का जो नतीजा है वह दो तरह का थोड़े से मन्तर से म्राता है। वह मन्तर हियुमन ऐरर का है क्योंकि कैमिस्टरी का जहां तक सम्बन्ध है वह तो एक परफैक्ट साइंस है, तो क्या इस कानून के ग्रंदर कुछ हियुमन ऐरर का भी लाभ देने के लिए प्राविजन किया जाना चाहिये या नहीं ?

श्री ए० पी० जैंग : मैं तो ऐसा नहीं ससझता हूं कि हियुमन ऐरर से ऐसा हो सकता है कि बुनयादी वाक्यात पलट जायं। यह तो मुमकिन हो सकता है कि किसी ने यह कहा कि अमुक जीज में पांच फी सदी मिलावट है तो दूसरे ऐक्सपर्ट ने कह दिया कि उसमें 4.9 मिलावट है लेकिन कोई यह कहे कि नहीं उसमें बिलकुल ऐंडल्ट्रेशन है ही नहीं जब तक वह बेईमान आदमी न होगा, ऐसा नहीं कहेगा।

श्री के० एल० बाल्मीकी : इस कानून के सनुसार एक दक कार्यवाही करने का पुलिस को प्रिष्ठकार न दिया जायं लेकिन पुलिस को क्या इस का प्रधिकार दिया जाना चाहिये कि कहीं पर भी किसी चीज के अन्दर ऐडल्ट्रेशन हो, चाहे वह मिलाबट दूध में हो अथवा तेल आदि में, तो उन का सैम्पुल लेने का और उन नमूनों को बंद कर के और मौल करके भेजने का अधिकार उन्हें दिया जाय ?

श्री ए॰ पी॰ श्रीन : मैंने पुलिस के बारे में जो कहा या वह एक जनरल तौर पर कहा था। ग्रव पुलिस में सिपाही भी है, हैड कान्सटेबुल भी है, सब इंस्पैक्टर भी है ग्रीर इंस्पैक्टर भी है भीर सुपरिनटैंडैंट्स भी हैं जनरल तौर पर क्या जवाब दुं? श्रलबत्ता ग्रगर ग्राप डी० वाई० एस० पीज को कुछ इस अहरे में पावसं देना चाहें तो मुझे कोई ऐतराज न होगा। अगर वह देखें कि ऐडल्ट्रेशन किया जारहा है तो उसके खिल।फ ऐक्शन लेने के लिये म्नाप डीं० वाई० एस० पी० या इंस्पैक्टर्स को भी इसकी पावर्स दें तो मैं उस पर राजी हो जाऊंगा । भव वह पुलिस माफिलर्स भपनो भाषों से फड ऐडस्ट्रेशन होता देख रहा है तो वह फंड इंसपैक्टर की रिपोर्ट के ऊपर ऐक्शन ले ले

लेकिन यह ऐन्शन लेने का मधिकार एक पुलिस कानस्टेबुल या हैड कानस्टैबुल को देने का सवाल ही पैदा नहीं होता है।

श्री के० एल० बाल्मीकी: यह खाद्य पदार्थों में मिलावट की समस्या बड़ी विशाल श्रीर व्यापक है तो क्या ग्राप चारते हैं कि इस के लिये कोई एक विशेष मशीनरी सैट ग्रप की जाय? एक तो फुड इंसपैक्टर्स थोड़े से हैं उन्हें केवल सैम्पुल लेने का ग्रधिकार है ग्रीर कुछ करने का ग्रधिकार नहीं हैं ग्रीर होता यह है कि वह उन का सैम्पुल भर कर ले ग्राते हैं ग्रीर उसकी जांच ग्रादि की रिपोर्ट ग्राने में बहुत समय लग जाता है तो क्या इस काम को दक्षता ग्रीर शीघता से सम्पन्न करने के लिये ग्राप कोई एक खास कैडर की ग्रावश्यकता महसूस करते हैं ताकि यह काम ग्रधिक तेजी से ग्रागे बढाया जा सके?

श्री ए० पी० जैन: मेरी सब से बड़ी शिकायत यह है कि जो मशीनरी झाज झाप के पास इस काम के लिये मौजूद है वर् भी ठीक से का नहीं कर रही है। आप उस से काम कराइये। केवल मशीनरी को बढ़ाने से काम नहीं होता है। जरूरत उन से काम कराने की है। आप अपनी मौजूदा मशीनरी को एफिशिएंट बनाइये। इसपैक्टर्स, एनालिस्ट्स को मुस्तैदी से काम पर लगाइये और कुछ कोर्ट के प्रोसीज्योर को ठीक कीजिये और अगर थोड़े से केसेज में भी ऐक्शन ले लिया जायेगा तो उससे जनरल क्लाइमैंट अच्छा हो जायेगी।

श्री के एल बास्मीको : श्राप ने श्रभी इस ऐडल्ट्रेशन को खत्म करने के लिये इस्कुवैड का सुझाव दिया लेकिन श्रीर श्रीर चीजों के लिये भी हम ने जो इस्कुवैड्स सैट श्रप किये थे वह भी ठीक तरीक़ से काम नहीं करते हैं श्रीर कामयाब साबित नहीं हुए श्रीर मेरी श्राशंका है कि उसी तरह से यह इस्कुवैड भी नाकामयाब होने वाला

है तो यह इस्कुवैड नाकामयाव न रहे इस के लिये श्राप लोकल पुलिस को इस में किस हद तक एसोसियेट करना चाहेंगे उस का मैं जरा श्राप से खुलासा चाहता हूं?

श्री ए० पी० जैन: फुड इंसपैक्टर को अगर पकड़ने का अधिकार नहीं है तो वर मिजिस्ट्रेट से कह दे या सुपरिनटें डेंट पुलिस को अपनी रिपोर्ट दे दे और फिर उस में एक्शन ले ले। पुलिस आफिसर्स को बुला लाये, पुलिस को साथ में ले ले वह तो ठीक है लेकिन में जनरली पुलिस को इस तरह की स्वीपिंग पावर्स देने के कि में नहीं हूं। अलबत्ता पकड़ने का और ऐक्शन लेने का खास खास पुलिस अफसरों को, ऊंचे दर्जे के पुलिस अधिकारियों को यह हक और पावर दी जा सकती है। फुड इंसपैक्टर्स मिलावट को पकड़ने के लिये छापे मारने के लिये पुलिस को अपने साथ में ले जा सकती हैं।

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You said that analyst employed in certain other laboratories should be designated as public analysts. "Public analyst" is a term under the Act and you can designate anybody as the public analyst. It may be an analyst in the State laboratory. Even the analyst in the Central Food Laboratory is termed so. So, your objection is not to the words "public analyst", but you are keen that the analyst should have a certain quality and independence, so that his results are reliable. Am I right?

Shri A. P. Jain: I have no quarrel with words. In fact the words 'public analyst' has been defined in the rules. An individual can be appointed a public analyst if he is a graduate in chemistry of five years' standing. I object to that. What I mean is a regular laboratory, properly equipped with instruments and personnel, under the control of the Government.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I do not think there is any disagreement on this attitude that you have defined just now

But there are some places such as Andaman and Nicobar Islands, Himachal Pradesh, Laccadive islands, Manipur and Tripura, etc. where there are no laboratories and generally they send the samples to the laboratory in Shillong or the nearest food ratories; there are 56 laboratories in the country, most of them are State laboratories and some are municipal laboratories. But in these places, when an immediate or urgent analysis is indicated, this provision is there; it is to cover such exceptional circumstances.

Shri A. P. Jain: I do not know. But I have some experience of Himachal Pradesh and adulteration is the least in that area; adulteration is primarily a disease of the big towns.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You are right; there are hardly any cases of adulteration from Himachal Pradesh.

श्री यमुना प्रताब मंडल: राष्ट्रपति महोदय ने कहा है कि एडल्ट्रेशन करने वाले देश के सब से बड़े दुश्मन हैं। क्या ग्राप समझते हैं कि इस बारे में कोई इमर्जेंसी लेजिस्लेशन करने की जरूत हैं, क्योंकि इस बिल के पास होने में तो शायद तीन, बार महीने या ज्यादा भी लग जायें?

श्री ए० पी० जैन : में इस की जरूरत नहीं समझता । पार्लियामेंट 7 तारीख़ से मिल रही है। ग्राप बहुत ग्रासानी से कानन पास कर सकते हैं। सब मेम्बरों की सहानुभृति ग्राप के साथ होगी।

श्री यमुना प्रसाद मंडल : नया श्राप चाहते हैं कि बालन्टेरी धार्गनाइजेशन्ज को इस बारे में कुछ ध्रस्त्यर दे दिये जायें, ताकि श्रगर कहीं गड़बड़ी हो रही हो, तो व उस को सरकार के समक्ष लायें ?

श्री ए० पी० जैन: यह प्रख्त्यार तो प्रव भी उन को हैं। कोई भी कम्प्लेंट कर सकता है। Shri Deokinandan Narayan: In the Bill it is provided that the inspectors are to be appointed by the Central Government. Will they not be overlords like the central excise officers in the mofussil? Should they not be made responsible to district collectors or somebody else?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: May I say something by way of explanation? I shall explain what the central inspectors are intended for. There are certain inter-State movements of adulterated food-stuffs and because of the crossing of borders of different States, some little difficulty is created—not always but sometimes. Most of the time they work in mutual co-operation but sometimes there are difficulties. Similarly, there are certain big manufacturing concerns, etc. It is for these limited purposes; they are not intended to replace the local inspectors.

Shri Deckinandan Narayan: Should they not be made responsible to some local or State officers?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: They will be responsible to Central Government people who are appointing them.

Chairman: What should be the extent of police powers that may be given to the food inspectors according to you?

Shri A. P. Jain: I would not give them full police powers; they already enjoy certain powers which are police powers though they may not be under the Indian Police Act.

If the inspector finds that adulteration or something is going on then he can take with him some police help and immediately arrest the man.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: By the time he gets police help, the people may move from their places or remove things from there.

Shri A. P. Jain: After all these things are never known by accident;

it is only through informers that dens of adulteration are made known. So, he can go with the help of the police. If an inspector wants to raid a factory or a big manufacturing unit, he can take the police with him and immediately arrest the accused person.

भी के एल बाल्मीकी: ग्रगर किसी वीज के बारे में शिकायत हो कि उस में एडल्ट्रेशन हैं, चाहे वह फैक्ट्री में हो या दुकान में, तो जब तक कैंमिकल टैस्ट से यह साबित न हो जाये कि उस में वाकई एडल्ट्रेशन हैं, तब तक महज शिकायत की बिना पर या फिजिकल साइट की बिना पर किसी को गिरफ्तार नहीं किया जा सकता है। इस बारे में ग्राप की क्या राय है ?

भी ए० पी० भीन: मैं माप को एक मिसाल देता हूं। भागर कोई मादमी सरसों में उस से मिलती-जुल्ती शक्ल के बीज मिला कर तेल निकाल रहा है, या सरसों के तेल में माबिल भायल मिला रहा है, तो उस को फ़ौरन वहीं पकड़ लेना चाहिये। बाद में एनैलेसिज होता रहेगा।

श्री तुलक्षीवास जावव : श्राप ने श्रमी अपने लाक्य में कहा है कि इंस्पेक्टरों पर लोकल इन्फ़लुएन्स डाला जाता है। यह बात सही है। क्या श्राप का मतलब यह नहीं है कि यह पावर म्यूनिसिपैलिटी और कार्पोरेशन के हाथ में न वेते हुए स्टेट गवर्नमेंट श्रीर सेंट्रल गवर्नमेंट के हाथ में वेनी चाहिये?

श्री ए० पी० जैन: उन जगहों को छोड़ कर, जहां यह ला श्रच्छी तरह से एडिमिनिस्टर हो सकता है, यह पावर म्यूनिसि-पैलिटी से ले लेनी चाहिये श्रीर स्टेट गवर्नमेंट को टे देनी चाहिये।

Chairman: Even if the inspector takes a police officer with him, unless the offence is cognizable, the person concerned cannot be arrested.

Shri A. P. Jain: That will be the legal aspect.

Chairman: The second thing is, the amendment seeks to reduce the number of witnesses to one. Can you not do with the help of the witnesses as provided in the present Act, with the existing powers?

Shri A. P. Jain: I think the whole provision about the witnesses of the locality is bad law. As Chairman of the Police Reorganisation Commission in U.P. I learnt that it was bad law. No good and decent person wants to come in as witness. It is only a loafer, clothed with respectability by the police, who comes as a witness.

Chairman: You mean then that there is no necessity for a witness at all.

Shri A. P. Jain: It is a useless provision, giving rise to corruption.

Chairman: So, it should be done away with?

Shri A. P. Jain: Yes.

भी तुलकीवास जाजब : इसमें 6 महीने की धौर इससे ज्यादा की सजा की व्यवस्था है। मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि धगर कोई ऐसी चीज की धिलावट न करे जो कि स्वास्थ्य के लिए नुकसानदेह हो, केवल उस चीज की क्वालिटी कुछ कम हो जाये, जैसे धगर कोई महाराष्ट्र का गेहूं पंजाब के गेहूं में मिला कर बेचे, तो क्या उसको भी उतनी ही सजा होनी चाहिये जितनी कि उसको की कि स्थास्थ्य के लिये हानिकर चीजें किसी चीज में मिलाता है ?

श्री ए० पी० जैन : है तो वह की मिसावट ही ।

भी तुलशीवास जायव : तो इसके मानी यह हुए कि दोनों हालतों में बराबर सजा होनी चाहिये ?

श्री ए० श्री० जन: उनकी ज्यादा प्रासीक्यूट न कीजिये। यह तो आप के हाथ में हूँ। जो ज्यादा खराब लोग हैं उनको प्रासीक्यट कीजिय।

Chairman: The West Bengal Government has made a suggestion that the penalty provided in the Act should be enhanced and provision should be made for compulsory imprisonment for even the first offence.

Shri A. P. Jain: There is a provision in the amending Bill to that effect.

Chairman: But they say that the penalty may be enhanced still further.

Shri A. P. Jain: I think six months is all right.

Dr. C. B. Singh: As Chairman of the Police Reorganisation Commission, in Uttar Pradesh, you had a very vast experience of the working of the police department in that State. With that vast experience, you have said that you do not like to give more powers to the police. Is that correct?

Shri A. P. Jain: Yes.

Dr. C. B. Singh: It is such a very serious problem that it is very difficult to cope with the magnitude of the problem. Knowing what the police are, such a suggestion has come from you. Would you like to reconsider the position?

Shri A. P. Jain: I do not like to give any extensive powers to the police. I have already mentioned the very limited power which I should like to give them.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: It is a very difficult question. One has to protect the persons against harassment by the police, and on the other hand, one must curb this evil of adulteration. We constantly get complaints that there are factories for producing adulterants. Is there any such thing in existence? I have never seen one though I have asked several people.

Shri A. P. Jain: Your experience and my experience are the same. I have not been able to catch such a factory. But I have heard there are such factories.

Dr. C. B. Singh: We were told that there are factories manufacturing small stones to be mixed with rice in Andhra Pradesh.

Dr. Sushila Navar: In Madras also.

Chairman: The retailer puts the blame on the shoulders of the whole-saler and the wholesaler passes on the blame to the manufacturer. Nobody would like to be punished. That is to say, everyone would like to escape.

Shri A. P. Jain: I put it on the same level as a forged currency note. The man who holds it last is responsible. But here, we are making a concession to the effect that if the person can prove his innocence he can be let off.

Shri G. Mohanty: As a measure of cutting down the procedure, can we not suggest that the report of the Analyst of the Government Laboratory should not be subject to scrutiny in a law court?

Shri A. P. Jain: The report is presumed to be correct. It will have the legal assumption that it is correct. But it is open to the other party to prove that it is false.

Shri G. Mohanty: He might be cross-examined in a law court.

Shri A. P. Jain: You can summon him as a witness. I would not deny him that right. It is every person's right that he should be convicted only on correct evidence.

Shri Deckinandan Narayan: You suggested that we should have big hunts. May I know whether it will not be better if, instead of making big hunts, we try to find out the most injurious adulterants that are used in the trade and detect their presence?

Shri A. P. Jain: Have both.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Do you think that for the last two or three years adulteration of foodstuffs has been very much on the increase compared to what it was when you were the Food Minister?

Shri A. P. Jain: I certainly think so. It has become a national menace today.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: When you were the Food Minister, what measures did you contemplate to put down what little adulteration there was at that time, and were you successful with those measures?

Shri A. P. Jain: That was not my function; that was the responsibility of the Ministry of Health.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: But there is the joint responsibility of the Cabinet. Did you and the Health Minister have joint deliberations over this matter?

Shri A. P. Jain: Honestly, it was much less in those days than what is happening now.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Do you think that the present trend in the country to adulterate foodstuffs, and also drugs and such other things, is mainly due to a craving or desire on the part of those people to get rich quick?

Shri A. P. Jain: I think you are correct there.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Do you think that some of the measures being taken by the Government for instance the Sadachar Samiti and similar measures that the Home Ministry is contemplating, will be able to eradicate this evil?

Shri A. P. Jain: At least the present Health Ministry, I can say, is very keen to suppress adulteration.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Do you think that this evil needs both punitive and preventive measures?

Shri A. P. Jain: Yes.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: With regard to punitive measures, do you think that the highest penalty should be inflicted on the convict?

Shri A. P. Jain: You have already prescribed penalties in the amending Bill—six months to two years on the commission of the first offence, two years to four years on the commission of the second offence and four to six years for the third offence. I think that is adequate.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The Bill is liable to further modification. Those of us who are dissenters would like to even enhance the punishment. Some of us are of the view that, in accordance with what the former Health Minister, Shri Karmarkar, once said when he was in office the adulterators of food and drugs are potential murders and deserve capital punishment. Dou you agree that such a punishment should be meted out?

Shri A. P. Jain: My own experience is that the people who talk the loudest do the least. I have heard national leaders saying that adulterators and anti-social persons should be hanged by the lamp post; but they have not even been hanged on the ordinary gallows.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Let us hope that the time has now come for action.

Shri A. P. Jain: I believe that whatever law we pass must be implemented. We must pass only those laws which are within the compass of our power to implement. It is not for the Administration or the Government to talk tall things.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Do you think that the number of laboratories for analysing samples of foodstuffs in the country is adequate?

Shri A. P. Jain: They are not adequate; neither numerically nor from the point of view of equipment or personnel are they adequate.

Chairman: Well, on behalf of the Joint Committee I would like to offer our thanks to Shri A. P. Jain for coming here and giving us valuable information and guidance.

(The witness then withdrew)

II. Shri H. K. L. Bhagat—Deputy Mayor of Delhi.

(The witness was called in and he took his seat.)

Chairman: We are thankful to you, Mr. Bhagat, for having spared some time to come over here. Your evidence will be treated as public unless you specifically mention that a part or the whole of it should be treated as confidential. Even then it will be circulated to the Members of Parliament and Members of the Joint Committee.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: I am very thankful to you, to the Minister and to the Members of the Joint Committee for providing me with this opportunity to express my views. The views I am going to express are my views and I am not expressing those veiws formally on behalf of the Corporation as such because although most of these things have been said in the meetings of the Corporation, I have not formally consulted the Corporation as such. The Corporation also had submitted separately a memorandum which, I am sure, would be considered by the committee.

I will beg your pardon for another thing. You will kindly permit me to express my views in a little general way, but of course, the points are relevant and connected with the provisions of the Act as well as the amending Bill. As far as I can see, there are four stages: (1) Taking of the sample. (2) Period during which the

sample remains with the public analyst and report of the public analyst.

(3) Filing of the case in the court and
(4) Trial. In regard to these four stages in dealing with the case of adulteration, I feel today thre is a lot of delay and a lot of lacunae. So, I have a few suggestions to give in this connection.

Firstly, I will deal with the question of taking the sample. I have seen the amending Bill wherein it has been provided that instead of two witnesses, there may be one witness. With my little experience as a lawyer dealing with such cases before I became a member of the Corporation, I feel that no useful purpose will be served by providing for the presence of even one witness, because in practice normally the neighbours do not come in, as witnesses. If at all they come in any case, because of local influence, they change their statements. It also enables the food inspector to connive with the trader. He takes: the sample and puts witnesses of the choice of the trader. The witnesses who appear are stock witnesses and invariably the cases are lost, because the witnesses come and change their statements. That is the present situation. So, it is better to pin down the responsibility on the inspector-he should take the sample. In order to ensure that the sample has been taken, I suggest that it should be made obligatory that the dealer from whom the sample has been taken thumbmarks the taking of the sample by the inspector. I am deliberately saying thumb-mark and not signature, because there are a number of judicial pronouncements that the science of identifying the signature is not as accurate as thumb-marking. A situation may arise when a dealer may refuse to thumb-mark the taking sample. In that case, my suggestion is that refusing to thumb-mark a sample or preventing an inspector to take a sample should be made a cognizable offence. The inspector should immediately make a report to the police station. That will serve as a suitable check.

It might be said that this amounts to testimonial compulsion and might infringe the provisions of article 20 of the Constitution. I must confess that I have not very carefully studied this point. I think probably it may not amount to testimonial compulsion. There is no need to have even one witness. The dealer should thumbmark the sample and if he refuses, it should be a cognizable offence. A sample thus taken should be presumed to be validly taken. If someone challenges that he did not give the sample it should be made obligatory on him to file a petition on the next working day in the court or at the most when he appears for the first time as accused in the court.

Then, we come to the question of deposit of the sample. I feel that in the Act or in the rules, provision should be made that the sample received by the inspector should be deposited with the public analyst within a fixed time. The time may vary from place to place in the sense that if somebody wants to send a sample from a mofussil town to the headquarters, it may take more time. But in a place like Delhi, it should be deposited on the same day with the local public analyst. There should be a statutory provision like that in order to avoid delay or prevent the possibility of changing the sample, because just as the sample in the possession of the dealer can be changed, the sample which is to be deposited with the public analyst can also be changed. So, there should be a timelimit fixed for food inspector to deposit the sample meant for the public analyst.

Though there will be administrative difficulties because of our present arrangements regarding the public analyst organisation being inadequate, I feel it is necessary that the public analyst's report must also be given within a fixed time. There should be a provision like that in the Act or rules. The time may vary. So, in

the case of quickly perishable articles it must be less and in the case of other articles a little more, but it must be fixed. In a sense it is already fixed because the certificate of the Director of the Central Food Laboratory has to be given within a month. Why can we not do it in the case of the public analyst? Of course, the organisation will have to be strengthened but it is essential. Now the reports of the public analyst do not come for months and months, the cases are filed in the court, the samples deteriorate in the meanwhile, doubts arise in the mind of the court and the dealers are given the benefit of doubt.

Similarly, a time limit should be fixed for failing a case in the court. It sometimes happens that the public analyst has also submitted his report but the case remains with the department concerned for two or three months for various reasons. It may be provided in the rules or in the Act but it should be done.

Lastly—I do not know how far it would be possible or legal or constitutional—if possible, there should be a timelimit for the decision of the case by the court. Because, there are unnecessary delays in courts; sometimes the delays are caused by procedural requirements but not always so. Because of lethargy, laziness, connivance or other reason cases are delayed and naturally it creates difficulties.

In the matter of trial we can categories the cases into two types. In the case of articles like milk and perishables we can have summary trial; in the case of other articles we can retain the present procedure of summons trial. At least in the case of quickly perishable articles we should have summary trials for disposal of cases.

One sample is left with the dealer. A number of complicated questions arise in connection with that sample. Giving that sample to the dealer is considered essential. At the same time, it is open to so many practical difficulties. Firstly, the inspector can

change that sample because the seal is in his possession. He is the man who takes the sample. He might give one sample to the public analyst while on the next day he might go and change the sample which remains with the dealer and the dealer may say in court "let my sample be examined". Of course, it can be done only with the connivance of the inspector. It is a fairly big question and the only solution which I could think of is the development of a separate small organisation where the dealers could deposit their samples; it should be a small independent agency. It will make it a little more complicated and cumbersome, but we will have to make some arrangements under the charge of some senior officer so that the dealers' samples are deposited there. as is now happening in the case of police malkhana. Normally there is little chance of their being tampered with.

I will make one more suggestion in this connection. At present there is no time limit fixed for the dealer to make an application for getting a second test. He can make an application under the Act and say that the sample should be tested by the Director of the Central Food Laboratory. Since there is no time limit he can make that request at any stage including the stage of the trial of the case in the court. So, he takes it as an alternative defence. First he tries to If he fails, win over the witnesses. he wants to get the samples tested which results in delay. Therefore, if the dealer wants to get a second test of the sample, it should be the sample in his possession and not the sample in the possession of the inspector so that he may not afterwards ask for a test of the sample in his own possession, which will be a third test.

Chairman: You have just now told us that as early as possible the food inspector should deposit his sample with the public analyst so that there is less chances of corruption.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: That by itself does not solve the problem, because

874 (Aii) LS-17

in the law it is provided that if somebody wants to challenge the opinion of the public analyst, he can get the second sample tested by the Central Food Laboratory and the opinion of the Director of the Laboratory is final. Supposing he deposits his sample with the public analyst and it is tested. After three or six months he says the sample in my possession or in the possession of the inspector should be tested by the Director of the Central Food Laboratory; in the mean while, time passes the sample deteriorates or is even changed. All these things do take place. Therefore, though this provision for a second test is wholesome, it should be done at the earliest possible opportunity. My specific suggestion is that either it must be on the next working day or the second working day when he must make an application to the court that the sample should be tested so that within a month the report will come and there will not be any delay. Or that application must be made on the first day of appearance in court. If he does not do so, then no permission should be given for getting that sample tested at the Central Food Laboratory.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: What is the objection to the sample with the food inspector being tested?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: The dealer first says that the sample with the food inspector should be tested. After some time, he comes forward and say that he has got a sample in his possession which should be tested.

Chairman: He can get it analysed, but the report on the sample of the vendor need not be final. That is merely for the satisfaction of the vendor.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I think the original idea was that the vendor should give his sample for analysis. If the court or the inspector himself feels what he took was really an adulterated article and the analysis report is not correct, he can send it to the Central Laboratory. So that the inspector's sample should be available for

inspector himself or at the instance of the court, and not at the instance of the dealer. If the dealer so wishes, he can send his sample also.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: That is exactly what I am submitting. If the dealer wants to get his sample tested, it should be his sample.

Chairman: What guarantee is there that the sample in the possession of the vendor is not subsequently replaced?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: There is no guarantee. That is why I made the suggestion that there should be arrangements for the sample with the dealer to be deposited with an independent agency.

Chairman: What do you mean by "an independent agency"?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: You may create some special cell where the sample should be left in charge of some senior officer; or, you might deposit it with the Police Malkhanas or something like that. The various articles taken into possession by the Police are deposited there and entries are made when anything comes in or goes out. There have been cases where some tampering with has been done but normally it is not so.

Dr. C. B. Singh: Would you suggest the depositing of the samples in a bank?

Shri H. K. L: Bhagat: Yes. That might be done.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Instead of placing the vendor's sample with some independent agency, would you not rather keep the inspector's sample for appeal purposes in the Police Malkhana, as you say? In other words, the sample with the vendor may be used by the vendor for his own satisfaction, but so far as the court is concerned, it is the two samples with the inspector that should be taken cognizance of—the first for analysis at the local level and the second for analysis at the appellate level.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: That would not solve the problem because, firstly,

there is not much of a problem regarding the changing of the sample which is in the possession of the inspector. The sample which the inspector takes in his possession, he goes and deposits in his office and the keys of the almirahs remain with the officers. So, normally those samples are not changed.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The trade says that the inspector has the seal, the sample etc. and it is the inspector who changes the sample rather than we. That is the accusation of the trade.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: I am not in any way saying something different from that. The inspector really changes the sample before depositing it in his office. After he deposits it in his office there is very little chance for changing the sample; but there are greater chances for changing the sample which is deposited with the dealer. Now, what happens is that the inspector's sample or the second sample is almost very rarely tested because on its own initiative the court rarely interferes. Similarly, the department does not come to challenge the opinion but it is the dealer who comes to challenge it. Therefore, if greater precautions can be taken to see that the dealer's sample is not changed, that might do some good.

Chairman: The samples can be sealed with seals of the two parties, that is, the vendor and the inspector.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: When they collaborate only then it is changed.

Chairman: If the sample can be sealed with the seals of both the parties, the problem will be solved.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: It is changed by collaboration. Their seals can change in a second.

Another suggestion is that when the accused appears in the court for the first time he should be examined on all the relevant points specifically. Now what happens is that we are adopting the procedure of a summons case. Today, the court puts to him a question, "On such-and-such date 8

sample was taken from you which has been found to be adulterated. Do you plead guilty or not?" The man says, "I do not plead guilty". That is only a general statement so that he keeps open to himself to take up defence later on as he likes. What he does is that he tries to manoeuvre the witnesses and if he fails in these he says that he wishes to get it tested at Calcutta and so on. But if such a provision is made in the Act then these two questions should be put to him, namely, was the sample taken into possession from you? He should be made to give a specific answer "Yes" or "No". The second question Is that adulteraed? should be: Of course, he cannot be examined on oath but without an oath he can be examined. If he has to take a specific plea on the first day, his defence is limited and he chooses a particular line of defence on that day and it cannot be that he can change his line of defence any time he likes. So, keeping in view the peculiar conditions of the disease a provision should be made in the Act whereby on the first appearance before the court the accused is examined in detail. I am not, by any manner or means. suggesting any cross-examination.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Can that be laid down in law?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: Yes; it is laid down already. In certain Acts it is said "examination of the accused that may be considered necessary". This examithe difference. makes nation you put a general question and answers it in a general way, that examination may not be helpful. However, in my opinion there is absolutely no bar to making this provision in the Act, namely, that on the first day of appearance in the court the accused will be asked whether the sample was taken into possession from him and whether the same was adulterated. These two questions should be specifically put to him because this will eliminate the possibility of delays.

Then, another thing is this. Firstly, there is the opinion of the public analyst; then, there is a provision in the Act that the man can get the sample

tested by the Director of Central Food Laboratory and, thirdly, there is the right to produce any evidence that he likes in his defence. Now, he can come forward any time and say. "I going to produce my analyst's analysis". Just as these handwriting experts appear before courts, comes and gives his report on the basis of certain scientific data and certain other things. Normally public analysts do not come the court because if you call public analyst every time to the court, naturally he cannot do the work and it is open to so many difficulties. Therefore their reports are given special sanctity in terms of the other provisions regarding expert evidence. But now the accused has a right to bring forward a private analyst and say that the opinion of the public analyst is wrong. The opinion given by private analyst comes to the court, he stands the cross-examination and his evidence on the face of it looks a little more convincing because of certain reasons that he gives. Anyway, a doubt is created and the benefit of doubt goes to the accused. So, when the Act is already providing for two things, namely, the public analyst's opinion and the opinion of the Director of the Central Food Laboratory, a question might arise whether you can shut up the defence to that extent or not. In my opinion, the opinion of the Director of the Central Food Laboratory, if the opinion of the public analyst is challenged, and of the public analyst, if it is not challenged, should be made conclusive and final and not open to challenge by any private analyst. Today a private expert can come. There is no bar.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: In other words, what you mean is, there should be only one way of challenging the public analyst's report, that is, by going to the appellate laboratory and not by going to private analyst.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: That is absolutely correct.

Then, I come to another thing. Now, today, food inspectors lack certain powers as a result of which they are

prevented from functioning a little more effectively. For example. food inspectors-our food inspectors in Delhi-do not have pot to enter the railway station. All the milk comes mostly in the railway trains. The people know that the food inspectors are outside and that they cannot be caught inside with the result they escape. So, my submission is these powers under the Railway Act or whatever the relevant law may be should be given to the food inspectors so that they can enter the railway premises and take samples.

There is one thing more. When the food inspectors take a sample from somebody's shop, there may be some documents lying there, say, for example, showing that he has purchased the goods from somewhere. Now, the food inspector does not have the powers to seize any documents which should be useful in the proper decision of the case and in the proper prosecution of the person. The food inspectors just take a sample and do nothing else. My submission is, if the food inspector thinks that the documents are incriminating, that he should be given the powers to seize those documents.

At present, there is another very great practical difficulty that is being experience. The food inspector catches a man and, of course, it is easy to ensure the presence of the person who has a shop in the court. But particularly in the case of the milk vendors or such other people who may have been caught on road side, while selling the things, he takes a surety or a bond. The man gives the surety or the bond but he gives the wrong name and then he runs away. The bond or the surety taken by the food inspector is not enforcible in the courts as it is today because he has not been given those powers the relevant section 47 of the Criminal Procedure Code. A provision should be made in the Act that the bond or the surety taken by the inspector is enforcible by the courts and liable to be forfeited under Section 514 of the Criminal Procedure Code Otherwise, it has no meaning. The surety can

easily escape. In this connection, I may point out that some High Courts have made the pronouncements that the bonds and sureties taken by the food inspectors are not liable to be enforced because they lack proper powers as given to the police officers under the provisions of the Criminal Procedure Code.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Are you sure that the sureties or the bonds are not enforcible in the courts nor are they forfeited?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: I may submit that some High Courts have given judgments saying that because the food inspectors lack the proper powers to take these sureties, they are not liable to be forfeited. If you like I can even give you the specific cases.

Here I may suggest, if a man gives a wrong name or he refuses to give his name, that should be made a cognizable offence and he should be awarded a heavier punishment.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: How will he know that he has given a wrong name?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: Where the inspector suspects that he has given the wrong name, he should have the powers to take him to the police station. It should be made a cognizable offence. Even in the normal cases of cheating and other cases, they are detected. Here, they should be punished for the act of committing adulteration and also for refusing to disclose correct particulars. I may tell you there are scores of cases like this. They go in default like this.

I now come to the most complicated question of retailer vs. wholesaler. I have seen the present provisions in the amending Bill. I have myself a lot of sympathy with the viewpoint of the retailer because sometimes he may say that he is getting the adulterated stuff. But my own feeling is that the provisions made in the amending Bill will be no solution. I most respectfully submit this to the Committee. I would suggest—it may look too drastic—that so far as these dealers dealing in food articles are concerned, the purchasing of food articles—of course.

that provision to a certain extent is there in the amending Bill—by a dealer from a wholesaler without a receipt should be made a cognizable offence. Similarly, the selling of a food article to a retailer without a receipt should be made a cognizable offence. What I am submitting is, supposing a man purchases chillies from a wholesaler with a receipt, his mere purchase without a receipt should be considered as an offence and he should be punished.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Why?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: If it is found to be adulterated. Today, the retailer is punished. What I am submitting is that the wholesaler or the manufacturer should also be roped in. What I am submitting is that so far as the transactions between dealers and wholesalers are concerned, the sale of food articles without any receipt should be prohibited.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Only the other day, the Prime Minister at his Press Conference disclosed that some of the officers of the Government went round probably incognito to some shops in Delhi and tried to buy things and obtain receipts for them. But the shopkeepers refused to give receipts even to them. Supporting they refuse to give receipts; what will you do?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: I would just make my point clear. I am not suggesting that no customer should purchase anything from a shop without a receipt. Probably, that is impossible. But what I am suggesting is a very limited thing. Any article of food sold by a wholesaler to a retailer should not be without a receipt and a warranty should be presumed.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: That I agree.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The receipt should serve as a warranty.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: The receipt should serve as a warranty. It should be presumed like that.

Then, another case will arise. The wholesaler will say, "Look, I gave him an article in a perfectly good condition. It is the retailer who has adul-

terated it." And the retailer will say, "It is the wholesaler who has adulterated it." I believe that both should be prosecuted. Their bona fides should be proved in the court. Today, only the retailer is prosecuted.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: If the retailer can prove that he has not adulterated the stuff and that he has taken the tin or the container, whatever it may be, from the wholesaler then the onus can go to the wholesaler but if he has open goods which can be adulterated by the retailer, how do you account for this?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: I would just make a submission. What is the position today? A sample is taken from a retailer and if the article is found to be adulterated it is the retailer who is prosecuted. The wholesaler says, "I did not give the goods to him" because there is no proof.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: As regards receipt, we accept that.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: The retailer might say, I got this from whole-saler. One way is to prosecute retailer only. The other way is to prosecute wholesaler and find out evidence and so on. My feeling is this. If goods are sold by wholesaler and then sold by retailer both of them should come in the court and prove their bona fides.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: If the wholesale trade is taken over by Government?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: That is a big policy question. I am too small a man to suggest anything before this committee.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Would you agree?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: That is a very big question. Another thing not only from prevention of food adulteration point of view, but also from hygienic point of view. I would suggest that articles which are capable of being sold in packages, spices and other things, it can be made obligatory on the manufacturer to sell them in packages under their seal. A

number of articles can be sold in packages. This will be good even otherwise in my opinion.

Then, in section 10(iv) of the Act the language used is food article intended for sale. The food inspector can take possession of a food article which is intended for sale. Now we take a strict interpretation of the law. The courts are naturally insisting that the onus of proof is on the prosecution that the food article was meant for sale. The man says, I do not keep it for sale. There is a labe! saying, this is not meant for sale for human consumption. That is, hing other things. They say it is meant for insects. They do this to save themselves from the clutches of the law. They have put a label. They say, this is not for human consumption and sell these things. Any article of food which is used by human beings for consumption should be presumed to be intended for sale. The word, intended for sale, should be omitted from section 10 of the Act. Food articles in the premises of retailer should be presumed to be for sale for human consumption and the words 'intended for sale' should be omitted from this section.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: They say, not for human consumption.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: The question will arise, there may be certain articles which human beings also use which animals also use. Even animals should not be given any adulterated food, in my opinion. This presumption should be there. This may mean certain hardship in one respect, but from the overall point of view we have to choose the larger good.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: 'Intended for sale'—if the words are omitted, will that remove the other difficulty that you have pointed out, where they have put the label clearly not for human consumption

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: We say, this shall be presumed to be meant for sale for human consumption. They say, not meant for human consump-

tion and sell it. Every customer is; not reading it.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Do you make a distinction between adulterants which are harmful to the human organism and those which are harmless.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: Obviously that is there. There are cases of adulteration and cases of sub-standard goods. Both are harmful in the sense that the man gets the price for goods of standard quality and gives sub-standard ones, but that may not do as much harm. There are syrups where there is 60 per cent sugar, where there is 55 per cent sugar, etc. It may not be adulterated in that sense. So distinction can be made and should be made. There is difference between adulterated article and article which is not standard one

There is one other suggestion would like to place before you. The names of all the wholesalers or manufacturers should be published on a second conviction for adulteration. In any case it should be obligatory for the courts to publish the names. It is discretionary now. It is done. It should be made obligatory for the courts to publish the names of manufacturers. For convicted tail it is difficult because the number is large. This may be done regarding manufacturers and wholesalers who are convicted for the second offence.

Dr. Sushila Nayar. Why not for first offence?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: There may be a small little variation. Sometimes it is possible. There may be small difference which may not be very material. That should not put him into trouble. I would suggest this: On a second offence conviction, his name should be compulsorily published in the local daily newspapers with all the particulars.

That would be published for the second offence.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: If we remove the words, intended for sale, then, do you think that the courts will not take any note of these labels, not for human consumption?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: We can make it even a little more specific and say that articles of food meant for human consumption. It shall not be for them to say that they were not meant for human consumption. It should be made more specific.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: We can say the label "not for human consumption" shall be no defence.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: That is right. Another suggestion I would like to say is this. All the licences which a manufacturer or wholesaler has for various agencies should stand automatically cancelled on the second conviction. On the second offence the manufacturer's or wholesaler's licence should be automatically cancelled. The man does not mind payment of fine and probably he may not mind going in for a few months. If licence is cancelled he would not have the courage to do it again.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: This question has been raised. The records are not kept so well and it is very difficult to prove that it is a second offence or third offence. What is the remedy for that?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: That is correct. The records are not properly kept. One thing I might say concealing of the first offence sometimes is deliberate also. It is known also. I have not studied this question in detail. But I think we will have to study it. As in other criminal cases, before a case is launched in the court it becomes obligatory to mention the previous convictions. I must confess I have not studied this aspect of the question as to what is the present position, what are the lacunae. how they should be rectified etc. One thing more I would like to point out. These articles of food, on a large scale wherever they are adulterated, they are adulterated in premises which are not licensed. The official

agency normally does not know about such premises. Nobody adulterates in his own shop or in his own godowns.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: As Deputy Mayor do you know some of the places where they do it?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: Unfortunately I do now know the specific places. If I happen to know them, we in the Corporation are competent to deal with it.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: They do it in unlicensed shops.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: The premises where they sell or where they store are known to the Department. Except in the case of connivance, the Officers visit those places and if goods in bulk quantity are found there they are not only caught but they suffer also heavily. They adulterate these things in some premises which are not licensed and bring them in smaller quantity to their shops and godowns. They do not also suffer heavy financial losses. If the adulterated goods are found at unlicensed premises, the man is arrested and the penalty is same as is for adulteration. The penalty should be more drastic for storing of adulterated articles in unlicensed and undeclared premises; this should be made a graver offence and much greater penalty should be provided. It should not be treated just as ordinary case of adulteration. In that he is preventing the people from taking samples and checking. Some very heavy penalty should be provided for. In that case it may have some good result.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You may not know to whom the articles belong, if they are in unlicensed and undeclared premises. You may confiscate the goods. But, what proof have you to show that it belongs to A, B or C?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: If there is a tenant, the landlord comes forward and says this man is my tenant. Then it is the responsibility of the tenant. If there is no tenant, under the law it becomes the responsibility of the owner. It is there already in other laws like Opium and Arms Act etc. That difficulty is not there much.

I am not a technical expert. know much I do not the standards of food articles fixed. Sometimes a number of people come and say certain things which on the face of it appear to be rational. opinion am not giving any on a particular article. I think there is necessity for considering the question of revision and rationalisation of the standards fixed in the rules so that they are more realistic and more in keeping with the conditions prevailing. The Standards Committee may go into this question and give an opportunity to those who have any objection for some of the existing standards.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: This is constantly being done. As complaints are being received about any standard, experts are constantly reviewing and revising them and hearing the complaints. What is happening is this. Generally, our people lay the lowest standards of purity. Take for example ghee which is to have something called RM value. RM value 21 is the minimum. There are some people who say it should be higher. There are some others who say it should be still lower. The question has been raised whether there should not be a range, say 31 to 21. When you lay down the lowest standard, the people not knowing that there is already the lowest possible denominator ask why not lower it by half or one. Pressures are being brought for this purpose. Take the case of Hing. The standard is upto 2% in the case of other matters like sand etc. which may be permitted. It should be normally much less. In view of the prevailing conditions they have kept upto 2%. 2% is the highest limit here and you cannot go beyond that. Still people want to go beyond that. Take again fat in the milk. Some buffaloes in the Punjab give milk with 12% fat. The minimum laid down is 6% and it should not be less than 6%. Objections have been raised that when we have the minimum of 6%, some people whose buffaloes give milk with 8% fat may bring the fat down to 6%. Certain others say bring it down to 5%

or 5½%. Some people have suggested that a range between 6 to 12% can be put. If you have any suggestion to make, we may consider.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: As I said in the beginning, this is a matter for the experts to decide. I cannot say much about it. For example, in regard to King certain rules were shown to me sometime ago which the Government of India had themselves accepted and they were revised, but had not yet been enforced.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: I will tell you the reason. Some of the State Government's are contesting. Till we get their clearance, we cannot do anything. For instance, Gujarat Health Minister has raised certain points, saying do not have the RM value of 21 and things of that sort.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: I would conclude by making this observation. Apart from tightening of this law—of course it may not exactly be within the scope of this Committee—what is required more is tightening of the administrative machinery. And I have a number of concrete suggestions to give in this connection which I can forward to the hon. Minister and not here.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You can send them.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: I feel that there are a number of points on which some attention should be paid. That is all I have to say. Thank you very much.

Chairman: Our Members would like to ask a few questions. I hope you will kindly enlighten them in the matter. I would request the Members to restrict their questions really to the specific points and be short as far as possible.

Shri C. M. Kedaria: In view of the views expressed by you, you feel that more deterrent punishments will lead to more corruption. It should be made more flexible than by giving the deterrent punishment. How will you amend this?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: About this question of punishment, any problem will not be solved just by mere moral

persuasion or by deterrent punishments. Of course, when we are going to provide for more deterrent punishments in the Act, it will lead to greater corruption in the sense that the people will have to face graver consequences; they would like to protect themselves from these things. Many things may happen even if you do not provide deterrent punishments. Still the problem remains where it is because the people do not care much about it. After all, payment of a small fine is not much. They can easily pay and escape with that. If some deterrent punishment is to be provided, it has to be coupled with efforts to create some public opinion about it. That is the only way which we can think of

श्री फे॰ एल॰ बाल्मीकी : ग्रापने कहा है कि डटरेंट पनिशमेंट दिया जाना चाहिये। एडल्ट्रेशन दो तर से होता हैं— एक र्युमैन हल्थ के लिये इन्जूरियस होता हैं ग्रीर दूसरा नहीं होता हैं। क्या ग्राप समझते हैं कि उन दोनों में सजा देने के बारे में कोई ग्रन्तर होना चाहिये?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: It looks to me rationale that different punishments should be provided for an article of food which is adulterated. Where the article of food just below a certain standard, some adjustments might be made. But, I must submit, with a word of caution because, in the actual administration of justice, this might create many complications and probably, I would like that the punishment for the adulterator for a certain kind offence e.g., in syrup there is some difference in sugar content (instead of 60% it contains 50%), should be somewhat different. I am afraid, however, in administration of justice, this might create certain complications for a court with regard to a thing which is adulterated and if it passes a judgment, in one case the sentence might be big and in another case it might be a little less.

श्री के एस बाल्मीकी : क्या ग्राप को जानकारी में ऐसे कंसिज ग्राए हैं, जिनमें कोर्ट ने सरकारी एनेलिस्ट की रिपोर्ट को नं मान कर प्राइवेंट एनेलिस्ट की रिपोर्ट को सही माना, जा कि उसी योग्यता ग्रीर तजुबें का व्यक्ति था ?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: Still there are certain cases of this kind and I had, as a matter of fact, made my submissions on this point already while explaining my views. As said, there is a practical difficulty. That difficulty is that your public analyst normally does not go to the court and you cannot, just make it compulsory for him to give his evidence in every court and in every case unless it is called for It creates complications. Sometimes the information of a private analyst appeals more to the court. It is really a question appreciation by the court which may be or may not be correct. It is a case of appreciation of evidence of a particular analyst. I have given my submission that we should see that we prescribe definite standards of testing for all our public analysts; we should also see that their reports are submitted within time and we should provide for appeal in testing by the Central Food Laboratory which, in my opinion, will shut out the evidence of the private analyst.

श्री के एल बाल्मीकी : जब इस कानून पर अमल किया जायेगा, तो जिस व्यक्ति का सैम्पल पकड़ा जायेगा, उस का यह मौलिक अधिकार होना चाहिये कि वह अपनी संतृष्टि के लिये किसी प्राईवेट लेबोरेटरी या प्राईवेट एनिलस्ट से भी जांच करा सकें। यह कोर्ट की अपनी समझ पर है कि वह सरकारी एनिलस्ट की रिपोर्ट को सही माने या प्राईवेट एनेलिस्ट की रिपोर्ट को सही माने या प्राईवेट एनेलिस्ट की रिपोर्ट को । इस लिये आप प्राईवेट एनेलिस्ट को वालू रहने देने में क्या दिस्कत देखते है ?

बा॰ सुझीला नायर: उन का मतलब यह है कि एनेलिस्ट की रिपोर्ट तो कोर्ट के सामने हो, लेकिन एनेलिस्ट ग्रपनी पर्सनैलिटी, वकालत ग्रीर केस प्रजेंन्ट करने की शक्ति के ग्राधार पर कोर्ट को इन्फ्लुएन्स न कर सके ग्रीर इस लिये प्राइवेट एनेलिस्ट को कोर्ट में न ग्राने दिया जाये।

भी एक के एल भगत: यह लीगल सवाल भाप के सामने भायेगा कि हम एक्यूज्ड पर यह रैस्ट्रिक्शन कैसे लगा सकते हैं कि वह अपना डिफस कैसे करे और वह एविडेंस इन रिबट्टल पेश न करे, जिस में रिबट्टल ब्राफ़ एक्सपर्ट एविडेंस भी शामिल है। श्रापको इस सवाल पर गौर करना होगा कि जब दूसरे मां फ़न्सिज में इस तरस की लिमिटेशन नहीं है, तो एडल्ट्रेशन के केसिज में यह लिमिटेशन कैसे रखी जा सकती है। दूसरे केसिज में प्राइवेट हैंडराइटिंग एक्सपर्ट भ्रौर बैलिस्टिक एक्सपर्ट बुलाये जा सकते हैं। जहां तक एडल्ट्रेशन के केसिज का ताल्लुक है, प्राईवट एक्सपट्स के फीलड में कोई लम्बी-चौड़ी डेवेलपमेंट नहीं हुई है। इस के ग्रलावा गवर्नमेंट की दो एजन्सीज से भ्रोपीनियन आ जाती हैं। इस में दोनों पहलू हैं, लेकिन मेरी राय यह है कि लार्जर इन्ट्रैस्ट का देखते हुए सेंट्रल फूड लेबोरेटरी की, एपेलेट एथा-रिटी की. भ्रोपीनियन के बाद किसी फ़रदर एविडेंस का सवाल नहीं उठना चाहिये। ग्रगर प्राईवट एक्सपर्ट ग्राते हैं, तो उस में डाउट ग्रा जाता है। इस में कुछ हार्डिशिप बिरूर होगी श्रीर डिफ़ेंस का जो फ़ंडामेंटल राइट है, उस में कुछ रेस्ट्रिक्शन होगी, लेकिन हिलार्जर पब्लिक इन्ट्रेस्ट के लिये यह जरूरी है।

श्री हरिबिच्यु (कामत : एपेलेट भाषारिटी की श्रोपीनियन से श्राप का क्या मतलब है ?

भी एव० के० एल० भगत: मेरा मतलब सेंट्रम फूड लेबोरेटरी से हैं। उस के लिये एपेलेट एचारिटी प्रापर वर्ड नहीं हैं:

ब वह टैिस्टिंग के लिये दूसरी एजेन्सी हैं।
देयर इज नो टैिस्टिंग भ्राफ ए सेम्पल एट
दि एपेलेट स्टेज।

श्री कें एल बाल्मीकी : क्या पिछले कुछ सालों में माप का कार्पोरेशन के द्वारा फूड इन्स्पेक्टरों पर इस बिना पर कोई एक्शन लिया गया है कि उन्होंने करप्ट प्रैक्टिस की है या वे मालदार हो गये हैं?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: Respectfully I submit that this is more an administrative question, and I agree that there are people who are corrupt. There is corruption in this, and the Corporation has taken some steps and is taking some steps. As I said, I have some suggestions, administrative suggestions meant for my own Corporation and also for the benefit of others. I have prepared them in some hurry and therefore instead of going into them here I will submit them to the Health Minister.

Shrimati Jyotsna Chanda: May I know from the witness as a lawyer what he suggests regarding vesting more power to the police regarding adulteration of food?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Can we bring in the police to take samples etc.?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: I have myself suggested that. When I say that
the offences should be made cognizable it obviously means that a police
officer can arrest without warrant.
And I think the powers should be
given to the police. There is no harm.
And the powers of the police can be
given to the food inspector. He is already partly given the powers of a
police officer. That is not complete. I
have made the suggestion that they
should be given some powers of a
police officer.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: I would like to ask how many manufacturers are there who grind the spices etc. and are licensed by the Corporation, and in how many cases the Corpora-

tion has taken their samples, analysed them and found adulterated?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: That is a very detailed administrative question. And if I may be excused I may take the liberty of saying that probably my hon. friend Mr. Shiv Charan Gupta may have more knowledge about this, being in the Corporation for a number of years, and he can enlighten this Committee more than myself, because I am only two years old there.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: That is why I asked this particular question, because there are many persons in the capital who grind spices etc., and in fact the adulteration takes place there and the smaller retailers get their requirements from them. But unfortunately those people come within the grip of law or the grip of the administrative machinery. And if anybody asks anything about them, the Councillors or others, they are told "their samples are being taken, action is taken". That is the difficulty.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: That is why I have suggested their prosecution. I have suggested it already while giving my views on the subject that they should be prosecuted.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: The other point I wanted to know with regard to this Bill was this. What is the percentage at present of milk samples which are taken by the Corporation in respect of cow's milk and of buffalo's milk?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: Again, it is a question of figures and I have not come prepared with the figures. But if required, I can send this information

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: My own information is that 90 per cent of the samples are indicated cow's milk. And that is to protect themselves from the law the vendors say it is cow's milk, even though it is buffalo's milk.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: These malpractices may be there. I cannot deny that.

Shri Hari Vishna Kamath: As & high-ranking authority of the Delhi Municipal Corporation as a distinguished lawyer, and also, as a prominent leader of the Congress Party that you are, are you in a position to tell the Committee whether you have on your finger-tips a rough assessment, if not an accurate one, of the number of adulterators in this capital of our country who, during your tenure of office as Deputy Mayor of the Corporation have, owing either to the defective or inadequate or corrupt machinery or enforcement, gone scotfree? What percentage do you think might have gone scot-free during your tenure as Deputy Mayor?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: It is a very brief tenure.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: I am only three months old as Deputy Mayor; I am a small baby that way. But I can say that in a number of cases people have been acquitted.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I am not talking of that. I am referring to the detection and prosecution stage; and there, because of the corrupt or inadequate machinery, how many have not been caught at all.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: I have no specific case within my knowledge where one can say that because of corruption the case was not put against a man concerned. I cannot say.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Do you know of some people who are known to be culprits and they are not being caught at all?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: Honestly, I do not know.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Let alone your tenure as Deputy Mayor, but even when you were a member of the Corporation have you heard of such cases where the culprits have gone scot-free; not in the courts; but who nave not been caught by the inspectorate staff because, as my friend said and you admitted also, there is some corruption?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: Respectfully I submit I do not know of any specific cases. If I had known I would not allow them to go scot-free.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You might have read some months ago in the papers,—because I am sure you are a diligent reader,—a report that the Minister of Health, Dr. Sushila Nayar, when she was in Bhopal I believe, made a speech referring to the food inspectorate staff in which she said that she had got reports that some of them had become very rich, and had cars at their disposal and send their children abroad for studies. Do you think there are some inspectors like that in Delhi also?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: In a general way I have heard that, and that impression exists in Delhi also. But specific cases as to how much a food inspector has or how much he has made, I do not know. But in a general way that impression exists.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: So, as long as as that is so-a number of food inspectors may be quite honest, while some of them as you say may be corrupt—as long as the position why is it as a distinis like that. guished lawyer you plead that opinion of the food inspector if reinforced by the opinion of the evidence of the Director of the Food Laboratory should be regarded as final, and the accused should be debarred from pleading in his defence the evidence of an analyst whom he has enlisted in his behalf and paid the necessary fees etc.?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: I will answer that question. There is no question of opinion of the Food inspector regarding the nature of adulteration. What I am saying about the food inspector is only about taking the sample, that the sample was taken by him. And I am also suggesting a safeguard that the man who gives the sample should make his thumb mark, and a further safeguard that if the sample was not given by him he can challenge at the earliest opportunity

in the court. What I have suggested is about doing away with the two witnesses, because it helps a corrupt inspector. It is his choice to take any witnesses and put witnesses who will do what he likes.

Chairman: You have made it clear.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The point of my question was this: If all was well in our country, it would have been easy and smooth, but unfortunately it is not so, and there is corruption.

Chairman: I would request the hon. Member to be specific and brief in his question.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I am going on in my own way. So, please do not interrupt me.

When you yourself have said, Shri Bhagat, and some of the dealers and traders and small merchants who have given evidence before us also have said that when samples are taken by the food inspectors, they are sometimes liable to tampering by the food inspectors themselves in some way or the other, may I know whether you can give a guarantee that the food inspectors do not do any such thing at all, and, therefore, their evidence should be taken as final?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: After what is the evidence of a food inspector? His evidence is merely this that he took a sample, from so-andso. He does not say that this is adulterated; that has to be analysed, and there the public analyst comes in. Instead of allowing chances for even the fact of receipt of the sample to be open to a big dispute, if a person gives the sample, he can thumb mark it; if he does not, then he does not thumbmark it. The evidence of the food inspector, so far as the court is concerned, is only regarding the factum of taking the sample and nothing else.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: A little while ago, when you were making

your opening statement, you said that the retailer must get a receipt and a warranty or guarantee from the wholesaler. I agree with you entirely, but then I put you a question whether it would not help, you, for instance, the corporation, and also the governmental administration if the wholesale trader were to give such a thing—I am asking you now, not in your capacity as the Deputy Mayor, not as a Congress leader; please forget that capacity for a moment.....

Dr. Sushila Nayar: He stated in the beginning itself that he was giving his personal evidence.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: I made that quite clear in the beginning.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: In that case, I do not know why you should hesitate to come out with your views freely.

Would you agree that it would help matters for enforcement of the law, if the wholesale trade in food grains and certain other essential commodities is taken over completely by the Government itself, so that once we start getting things through that source, we shall be sure that the Government being what it is we shall get good stuff?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: I would submit respectfully, as I said earlier, that it is a very big question. Whether the entire wholesale trade should be taken over or not is a big question of policy. I would submit most respectfully that for me the proper forum will be my own organisation where I can express my views.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You were referring to some of these places where these people carried on their nefarious practice of adulteration. They do not do it in the stores but somewhere else. I am sure that as Deputy Mayor, or even earlier, as a member of the Corporation, you must have come to know where these underground stores or hide-outs are in this capital city of India that is Bharat. Ever since you because

Deputy Mayor, have any of these hide-outs or underground stores been raided by your staff?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: So far as the Department is concerned, they have come to know sometimes about certain places, and they have raided those places, even taken things into possession and prosecuted the people. But so far as my personal knowledge is concerned, as I said, I do not have much personal knowledge, because even in the corporation I am only twoyears old. But I know this that certain officers have gone raided certain places, taken samples, taken the stocks into possession and prosecuted the people for carrying on that trade in unlicensed premises etc. All that has happened in certain cases.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: In answer to a question by my colleague Shri Balmiki, you have made a distinction between those adulterators who use stuff which is harmful to the human organism and these who use stuff which is not so harmful or poisonous; and you suggested that the two categories deserved different kinds of punishment. Have I understood you correctly?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: Yes, in principle, I said so, but in practical administration I do not know how far that would be possible.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Take for instance, the mixing of horsedung with curry powder. Also, in Bengal, recently we had reports that white oil and poisonous oil etc. was mixed with mustard oil. There are hundreds of such adulterants. public leader of some esteem in this capital would you recommend such adulterators are potential murderers and, therefore they deserve the highest penalty that is, capital punishment, and the best way would be to hang them in Chandni Chowk or Connaught Circus? As a lawyer also, would you recommend this?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: I might say that probably.....

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I am not saying that for the first offence itself but say, for the second or third offence.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: I would suggest that we can make the punishment graver now and consider this question after some time if we find that the desired results have not been achieved, by inflicting this greater punishment now proposed.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I believe you are inhibited by the consciousness that you are a Congress leader also.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: I can assure you that that i_S not the case. The hon. Member himself is a very distinguished parliamentarian and knows law....

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Not much of law.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: And he knows that for and against capital punishment much can be said. Whether we should have capital punishment at this stage for offences of this kind is not a question which can be answered just now in one sentence.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I may remind you that one of your own party leaders, Shri Karmarkar, when he was the Health Minister, had said publicly that food adulterators were potential murderers and they deserved the highest penalty. It may be that he is no longer a Minister partly because of that statement of his, but he himself said that some ears ago.

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: Not only Shri Karmarkar but many others in this country have this view, and sometimes, some people have expressed this opinion in the corporation also. "That shows that this opinion does exist in some quarters.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You do not share that opinion?

Shri H. K. L. Bhagat: As I said, we must wait for some time to see the results of the greater punishments now proposed to be inflicted.

श्री देवकीनदंन नार:यण : ग्राप ने ग्रभी कहा कि एडल्टेशन जो होता है वह द्कानों पर नहीं होता है, गोदामों में नहीं होता है बल्कि वह ऐसी जगहों पर होता है जिन का कि ग्राप को पता नहीं चलता है। जो ग्रनलाइसेंस्ड जगहों पर होता है तो मैं भाप से जानना चाहंगा कि क्या भ्राप की कारपोरेशन ने ऐसी जगहों को ढ़ढ़ने की माज तक कोई कोशिश की है ग्रींर यदि हां तो क्या श्राप को कुछ ऐसी जगहों का पता मिला है जहां कि वह मिलावट की जाती है भीर जोकि लाइसेंस्ड जगहें नहीं हैं ? मेरा दूसरा सवाल यह है कि जैसा भ्राप ने फरमाया कि यह एडल्ट्रेशन ऐसी जगहों पर किया जाता है जिन का कि किसी को पता नहीं चलता तो इसके लिए प्रथात उन जगहों को ढ़ंधने के लिए पुलिस को कुछ खास पावर्स देनी चाहिए या नहीं भौर भगर देनी चाहिए तो क्या पावर्स देनी चाहिए ।

श्री एव के एल भगत: मेरा उस को कहने का यह मतलव नहीं था कि यह एडल्टेशन गोदामों में बिल्कूल होता ही नहीं है, थोडी बहुत वहां पर भी मिलावट क*ा* जाती है भौर उन को तलाश करने की कोशिश भी की है भीर कुछ केसेज पकड़े भी गये हैं। पुलिस को पावर्स देने के लिए मैंने इसलिए कहा था ताकि पुलिस ऐसी छिपी हुई ग्रौर धनलाइसेंस्ड जगहों का पता लगा सके भौर उस के पास उन जगहों को रेड करने की पावर होनी चाहिए । फुड इंस्पेक्टर्स को भी पुलिस की पावर्स होनी चाहिए यह मैंने कहा था । एडमिनिस्ट्टिव सर्जेशन्स जो मैं दंगा उस में एक सुझाव मेरा यह भी है कि फड मिनिस्टरी में एक रेगलर इंटैलिजेंस बांच होनी चाहिए जो कि इंटैलिजेंस के मैं डर्न मैथडस को इम्प्लाय करके ऐसी छिपी हुई जगहों का पता लगा सके जहां कि यह मिलावट की जाती है। एडमिनिस्ट्रेशन के बारे में मैंने कुछ सजैशन्स मिनिस्टर साहब को देनी हैं। उन में एक यह भी है कि इन्फर्मेशन

वैने वालों या पकड़ने वालों को कुछ इन्सेन्टिव दिया जाये । यह ऐसा सबजेक्ट है, जिस पर डीटेल्ड डिस्कमन की जरूरत है । लेकिन मैं महसूस करता हूं कि ऐसी जगहों को डिटेक्ट करने के लिए एक रेगुलर साइंटिफ़िक सिस्टम माफ़ इन्टेलिजेंस होना चाहिए । कारपोरेशन ने कुछ केसिज पकड़े हैं ।

Chairman: On behalf of the members, I have great pleasure in thanking Shri Bhagat for being kind enough to come and assist us with his valuable evidence.

Shri H. K. L. Bhayat: I am very grateful to the Committee for the opportunity given to me to appear before it and give my views.

(The witness then withdrew)

III. Shrimati Purbi Mookerjee, Minister of Health, West Bengal.

IV. Shri B. R. Gupta, Secretary, Department of Health, Government of West Bengal.

Witness were called in and they took their seats)

Chairman: We are extremely p.eased to have your guidance in the matter we are discussing. The evidence you give will be treated as public, unless you specifically desire a part or whole of it to be treated as confidential. Even so, it will be circulated to the Members of the Committee and of the Parliament

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: I know.

your memorandum only yesterday. As hon Members may not have had time to study it, you may wish to explain briefly the points you wish the Committee to keep in mind.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: I am very grateful to the Members of the Joint Committee, to its Chairman and the Union Health Minister for giving us this opportunity to appear personally before the Committee and tender evidence

We have given a memorandum drafted, of course, very hurriedly because we did not know that we would be coming here today to give evidence; we did not also get an official copy of the amending Bill. So we have recorded only the difficulties we are facing in seriously implementing the provisions of the Act. We have recorded our memorandum on that assumption, that our friends here have sat here with right earnestness to amend the Act so that the real offenders should be punished in the strict sense of the term.

First, we have suggested that police officers not beloy the rank of subinspector should be vested with necessary powers to deal with offenders officers not below the rank of subunder the Act. Because the police are not so empowered under the Act, the police official has to be only a silent spectator when he sees food adulteration taking place. He has to have recourse to some other Act, as we have done in West Bengal, to prevent this. So we would the Committee to consider the suggestion to give the police ample powers to take up cases of food adulteration. Under our suggestion, police officers not below the rank of sub-inspectors will ipso facto have the powers of a food inspector.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: The police can take action under secs. 272|273, IPC.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: I have referred to that. But they cannot take action under this law. As it is, they have to act under the IPC, the P.D. Act, or DI Act or DI Rules. But when there is an Act to deal with food adulteration, why not the police be duly authorised to act under that Act to deal with these cases?

We have laid down certain procedures and qualifications for food inspectors in the rules. When we appoint police officers for the purpose,

we have to override these rules. The powers are like this:

"The police officers shall be competent to exercise the powers of food inspectors under the Act and shall be deemed to be food inspectors for the purpose of the Act irrespective of whether they have the specific qualifications as laid down in the rules".

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You do not want any qualifications for them?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Nor Under the rules framed under the Act, there are qualifications specifically mentioned for food inspectors on sanitary and health grounds. The police inspectors, whether they are sanitary officers or no, whether they have had the training for that or not, would be appointed as food inspectors under the Act.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Besides detection of food adulteration, the food inspectors have the other duties, inspecting eating houses etc., for which they need special training. You are not suggesting that the police officers appointed should take over all the functions of the food inspectors.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: No, only to take samples of food. If we do not mention it here, we will be debarred from appointing police officers as Food Inspectors under item 8 of the rules where the qualifications of the Food Inspector have been specifically mentioned.

The punishment under the Bill is not deterrent. It is only six months rigorous imprisonment minimum for the first offence. It must be not below five years, and there should be no distinction between first and later offences. The reason is this. A person residing in one State changes his name or the name of his firm and goes to another State and starts a new business. The Food Inspector does not have any evidence against him; there is no system of taking finger prints etc., available with the ordinary police officer. So, he cannot say that the person has already been convicted in another place. So, it will be necessary to give these powers of the Food Inspector to the police officers.

I do not want any distinction between first and later offences, and on behalf of my Government I can very boldly say that those who deal in adulteration of foodstuffs are worse than murderers, and I want them to be suitably punished. That punishment cannot be less than five years.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You said they are worse than murderers. Under the Indian Penal Code, the penalty for murder is death or transporation of life. Why do you say only five years then?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: As compared to the provision of six months in the Bill, if I want the death sentence, the Committee will not agree, though I will be the first person to accept it.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: If you, as the Health Minister of a Congress Government, plead with all your earnestness and vigour that capital punishment should be imposed, the Committee, I am sure, will consider it

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Whatever I say, I say as a Congress Minister, and I am proud of being a Congress Minister.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You have every reason to be proud. The point was that the majority of Members of this Committee are members of the Congress Party.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: I am not concerned about the constitution of this Committee.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Do not get upset.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: I would ask Mr. Kamath not to get upset because I am a Congress Minister.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Why should I?

Chairman: We need not bring in the party matter there. She may be allowed to continue, and at the end questions can be put, and while putting questions members may be brief and specific, restricting themselves to the relevant matter.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: I want the offence should be cognizable; it should be non-bailable, and no appeal should lie to any court of law.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Supposing a lower court for some reason lets off a known criminal, would you not like the State to go in appeal to the higher Court?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: I have suggested amendments which will not allow any real offender to be let free.

I feel the trial should not take much time, because the court may pass an order that the whole stock should be destroyed, but if the decision comes after two years, the stock will not be destroyed for two years. So, I want you to consider whether a lime-limit should not be fixed

Under section 10(4), where a Food Inspector intends to keep any food article in the custody of the vendor because of difficulties of transhipment etc., the present provision regarding damage is very lenient, and the dealers tend to gain by selling the adulterated food articles at a high black market price. He also injuriously affects the health of a large number of consumers. It is, therefore, necessary to provide for a very deterrent punishment for any offence under section 16(1) (e) of the Act. By deterrent punishment, I mean five years imprisonment.

Chairman: Under the impression that the food is adulterated the food inspector starts the prosecution but the court finds that the vendor has to be acquitted. Supposing in such a case the article seized by the food \$74(Aii)LS—18

inspector is allowed to remain in possession of the vendor and supposing the vendor has suffered great losses on account of this, is there any provision for compensating the vendor also?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: There is provision for compensating him. Such a provision should be there. The food articles which appear to be adulterated must be seized and if ultimately in turns out to be not adulterated and if the vendor suffers any loss in the process, he should be compensated. It is already provided under the Act.

The third point is about taking the samples of foodstuff kept in the custoday of any bank against hypothecated loan. Under the present Act there is no provision for this and we would request the Committee to insert a new clause allowing the food inspectors to take samples from banks where the articles are hypothecated.

The next point is about the institution of prosecution of offenders for violation of the provisions under the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act and the rules thereunder. Under this the food inspector has to take permission of the State Government or the authority superior. A private citizen has a right to take the sample and ask for an analysis and he can prosecute the trader but in the case of a food inspector he is not permitted to do that. He has to obtain written permission. I want to delete this clause altogether. Our suggestion is that section 20(1) of the Act should be suitably amended to eliminate the present delay.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Why cannot the State Government give permission promptly instead of doing away with the provision?

Shrimati Furabi Mookerjee: The hon. Minister has asked a very pertinent question. As a Congress Minister, I should reply that if there is a question of giving permission then people are led to believe that there must be some hanky-panky. As soon

as a sample is found to be adulterated, let the food inspector prosecute the vendor. He does not have to come to me for taking my permission.

The next point is about protection to the food inspectors against harassment and prosecution by the vendors. Under the present law, the food inspectors who vexatiously and without any reasonable grounds of suspicion seize any article of food or commits any other Act to the injury of any person without having reason to believe that such Act is necessary for the execution of his duty, may be prosecuted by a vendor and on coviction a food inspector liable to punished with a fine which extends to Rs. 500/-. This provision has a bad effect on the morale of the food inspectors. They can only act in good faith to detect adulterated stocks but if in the course of such detection he is to be constantly under the fear of having to face a prosecution in a court of law, he cannot do his duty properly. To put a stop to the prevalence of adulteration on a large scale it would be desirable to ask the food inspectors to take samples from Αq many godowns as possible. The inspectors can be expected food to comply with such direction Government if they are given simultaneously the necessary protection of law. We are strongly of the view that a time has come when in the interest of protecting the public health the food inspectors should be given wider powers and the impediments in the way of administration of prevention of food adulteration Act should be removed. We are accordingly suggesting that section 10(9) of the Act should be deleted.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Can you conceive of a food inspector being corrupt and unnecessarily going and harassing the trader?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Yes, I will suitably punish him if he is corrupt. That should be done departmentally. The next point is the storage of non-edible oils and other oils not fit for human consumption in the

same premises where edible oils are manufactured, stored or sold. I think that explains the position.

The next point is about the power of the Food Inspector to articles of food, if it is adulterated. unwholesome or is mixed with noxious ingredients which make the food unfit for human consumption. In the case of milk or perishables, for example, it is very difficult for the poor inspector to keep them or preserve them and produce them before the court of law. The offender may say that the article had deteriorated after it has been seized. So, I want the power to be given to the Food Inspector to destroy the perish**able** food items, instead of waiting for the court to decide upon it.

Then, the existing provisions of the law require a food inspector to produce the seized goods before magistrate. These provisions should be amended so that the Food inspector does not have to face an impossible task. One suggestion is that only samples of such seized goods may be produced before the magistrate. 1 think this Bill gives us the power to take samples from the articles including edible oils from the railway yards and ports. But my point is different. So long, under the existing Act, the food inspectors who had jurisdiction over ports and railway yards were appointed by the Governof India. The present Bill gives the State Governments full authority in respect of jurisdiction. But my point is, the railways, under the Railway Act, act as bailees. According to the Railway Act, no food article, which is put in a tank or a tin or a cover could be tampered by any food inspector. It cannot be opened by any food inspector because such action is debarred under the Railway Act. But. if it comes into the railway yard, the food inspector has to wait to seize the stock and to take the sample.

My point is about the difficulties in the implementation of the Food Adulteration Act because of the provisions

in the Railway Act. Something has to be done either by changing the Railway Act or by adding a clause by which the provisions the Railway Act can be amended in order to tackle this question. I pose this problem before you because it also headache; in our State, mustard oil and mustard seed, which are sent from other States, are found to have been adulterated when they reach the State of West Bengal. The Calcutta Corporation, the West Bengal Government or the local authorities take the samples and find that the stuff is highly adulterated, but the seizure of the stock there and then and the destruction of it does not achieve our purpose, because, we cannot go to the root of the problem or the source of the problem. So, some provision should be made so either the Railway Act is amendedwhich is not under the purview of this measure—or some rules are framed so that the food inspector can take samples in their railway yard before the goods are taken in the train.

Then, there is the point about the protection of the vendor against punishment under the Act. First, I punishment. Then. ask for severe why do I ask for protection of the vendor against punishment under the Act? While implementing this Act, our personal experience has been that so long as the retailers alone are punished the offenders who sit somewhere else may be beyond the jurisdiction of our State and they go scot-free I want to protect these poor vendors and I want some sort of amendment to this Act to the effect that each case, where adulteration is found, is taken up seriously and is traced to the source and the big man behind the scheme should be caught and punished very severely with rigorous punishment. I want to protect the small vendors and I am requesting you to consider how best you can do it.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: There is protection given in the amending Bill.

Please see page 4, clause 8, which reads thus:

"A vendor shall not be deemed to have committed an offence pertaining to the sale of any adulterated or misbranded article of food if he proves—

- (a) that he purchased the article of food—
 - (i) in a case where a licence is prescribed for the sale from a duly licensed manufacturer, distributor or dealer;
 - (ii) in any other case, from any manufacturer, distributor or dealer;"

Then, it is also stated:

"(c) that the article of food while in his possession was properly stored and remained in the same state as when he purchased it."

So, it gives him full protection as we have given in the case of drugs.

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: But it will not suffice our purpose—the purpose that I am visualising, because, in the licence, it does not carry the batch number.

Chairman: In the rules, that can be prescribed.

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: The second point is, if at least by rules we do not suggest that in each case of detection of adulteration the source has to be found out, it will be very difficult to implement the provisions of the Act.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: It will be said that we are on a wild goose chase. The man who is caught may say, "I am not responsible; go and catch A." The search goes on, and the person may not be traceable at all. Therefore, you have to punish the man who has the adulterated thing. If he can prove that he is not responsible, but somebody else is responsible, then we can catch that man. Otherwise, it will be a wild goose chase and we will not catch anybody.

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: If you retain the word "warranty" and change the form, it will serve the purpose better. We have said in our memorandum that as the term vendor has not been defined in the Act, it is apprehended that even distributors wholesalers commission may claim immunity under this section. It is, therefore, necessary to clearly define the term vendor to ensure that the protection granted under this section, which seems to be meant for bona fide retail dealers, who bring supplies in sealed containers from manufacturers, etc. is not taken advantage of by big unmanufacturers scrupulous wholesalers.

In order to ensure that even a retail dealer does not get away with an offence of adulteration, it is necessary to lay down stricter conditions under which he can enjoy the immunity. There is at present a lacuna in Form VI-A prescribed under Rule 12-A. This form does not require the trader to declare the number and other particulars of the licence granted to him under the provisions of the Act and Rules. This should be made compulsory by an amendment of the Rule.

भी के एल बाल्मीकी : बंगाल में सरसों का तेल काफी इस्तेमाल होता है । ग्रीर सरसों विभिन्न राज्यों से ग्राती है । तो यह सरसों किस राज्य की ग्रिधिक मिलावट वाली पायी गयी ?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: Our mustard oil and mustard seeds mostly come from U.P. The samples of mustard oil and seeds that we have got from my State and from other States testify that adulteration is rampant there. My point in asking for this Act to be amended by the Government of India either by an ordinance or at the first sitting of the Parliament is that the State Governments may implement it simultaneously very seriously and very rigidly all the provisions of this Act.

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: I do not agree with you there.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You have not suggested that the ordinary food inspectors be eliminated and only the police sub-inspectors should be given the powers. You have said that police inspectors also should be given some limited powers to implement this law to the extent of taking samples and instituting prosecutions.

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: We have not asked for the elimination of food inspectors. We have said that the police inspectors should be deemed to be food inspectors for this purpose.

श्री के एल बाल्मीकी : भ्रापने अपने नोट में कहा है कि मिलावट को कागनिजेबिल भ्राफेंस कर देना चाहिए । लेकिन यह मिलावट का काम गहरे में ज्यादा है ऊपर कम है ।

डा॰ सुशीला नायर : ग्राप भाषण न दीजिए, छोटा सा सबाल कीजिए तो वह समक्ष सकती हैं।

श्री के० एल० बाल्मीकी: ग्रापने कहा है कि यह ग्राफेंस कागिन नेबिल होना चाहिए। लेकिन इस में जब सैम्पल लिया जाएगा ग्रीर जांच होने पर उस में मिलावट पायी जाएगी तभी तो गिरफ्तारी हो सकेगी वैसे नहीं। Dr. Sushila Nayar: He says, how can you make it cognizable? After all you must prove the offence and the offence will be proved when the report of the analyst comes.

Shri B. R. Gupta: When an offence is made cognizable, the idea is that the police officer will have a reasonable ground for suspecting that the offence has been committed. For instance, if there are bulk stocks of ghee which have become decomposed, anybody with any common sense can make an intelligent inference that the stock is rotten. Only in those circumstances the police officer should exercise these powers and not in the case of other stocks, where without the report of the public analyst, he cannot proceed. Particularly in West Bengal, when the stocks are driven underground because of the imposition of ceiling prices and when there is a reasonable chance of the police officer feeling that an offence has been committed, these powers will be exercised by him.

श्री के एलं बाल्मीकी : मैं पूछना बाहता हूं कि क्या -स तरह के मामलात को पकड़ने के लिए ग्रलग तरह की मैशिनरी होनी बाहिए या नहीं ?

डा० सुझीला नायर: वह यह चाहती हैं कि जो कुसूरवार मालूम हो उसको पकड़ने को इजाजत होनी चाहिए ।

श्री के **एल** बास्मीकी : क्या इसके इस्प्लं में टेशन के लिए स्पेशल मैशिनरी होनी चाहिए ?

Dr. Sushila Nayar: Do you think that a special machinery is necessary for the implementation?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: No special machinery is needed. The food inspectors under the Act will be there. The ordinary police will also be empowered to deal with adulteration.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: A suggestion has been made that just as for the drug control administration, a police squad is attached to the machinery, similarly for the administration of this Act also, a police squad should be attached to the regular food inspectorate.

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: We do not think so.

श्री के० एल० बाल्मीकी : भ्रव तक जो फूड इंस्पेक्टर हैं बाहे व लोकल बाडीज के कमवारी हों या भ्रापके भ्रवीन हों क्या उनके ऊपर कोई भंकुश है कि वे भ्रष्टाबार न करने पार्वे ।

Dr. Sushila Nayar: He asks, how do you prevent corruption at the level of the inspectorate in your State?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: The State Government deals with each case of corruption in the case of a public servant. They will be dealt with in the same way.

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: I would request the hon. Member to concentrate on the provisions of the Bill. Whether the Food Inspector will inform the offender or not, the State Government has every power either to reward an officer for good work or suitably punish him for bad work.

That has got nothing to do with vesting powers on food inspectors. It is a matter of administration.

Shri S. S. Mariswami: You were suggesting that police officers be given special powers to take action. Extra powers, more or less of the same nature as suggested by you, were given to police officers in Madras State for detecting cases of breach of prohibition. That resulted in more corruption among the police officers in Madras. That opened the flood-gates to a number of offences which were not there before. In the light of that experience, do you still suggest that the police officers should be given more powers?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: In spite of that experience in the Madras State, I would still plead that the police officers be vested with these powers. Where a police officer misuses his powers, we have the competence to punish him. If the police officer is not given that power, he will not be able to arrest an offender under this Act.

Shri S. S. Mariswami: Instead of creating another evil to use your own words by vesting the police officers with these extra powers, why not rectify the present evil by giving those powers to the food inspectors themselves?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: Even if you give the food inspectors unlimited powers, they do not know how to take fingerprints, they do not know how to make a case history down from the native place up to the place he is working and all that. They will not be able to know whether a person is a first offender or a second offender.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: That is why I suggested that a police squad be attached with the food inspectors. It is one thing to provide police aid to food inspectors, it is completely another thing to hand over implementation of the Act to the police.

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: If the police officers are asked only to help they will only accompany the food inspectors and they will have no powers to implement the provisions of this Act. They can only arrest the offenders under some other Act and not under the provisions of this Act.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: What does it matter? On the other hand, if you give the implementation of this Act to unqualified police officers they would play havoc with it. A food inspector is a qualified man and he is trained in this job.

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: A food inspector takes the sample to the laboratory and only if it is found, on analysis, to be adulterated that he takes action under the provisions of this Act. The police officers also will act in the same way.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: A food inspector himself can do it. Why do you presume that a food inspector will not be able to take fingerprints, prepare case history and so on. If there is anything wanted, he can be trained in that respect. There is a lot of difference between the food inspectors getting the aid of police officers and the police officers themselves implementing the provisions of this Act.

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: We have already directed the enforcement branch police officers to help the food inspectors. But that is not sufficient to crush this menace of food adulteration at least in my State.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: You can have secret police, intelligence squad and all that to find out these anti-social activities. Are you suggesting that you cannot have enough food inspectors and you want the police officers to take action under this Act?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Even if sufficient number of food inspectors are there, they are not fully trained in the detection of crimes as the police officers with the result that the real offender will be let loose and only the small fry will be caught.

श्री तुलशीबास जाधव : मैं ग्रपनी बहन से जो उन्होंने ग्रभी कहा उस का जरा खुलासा चाहूंगा। जब एडल्ट्रेगन होता है तो उस वक्त हमारे फूड इंस्पेक्टर्स उसका नमूना लेते हैं लेकिन जो चीज पीछे बच रहती है उस माल को डिस्पोज करने का कोई बंदोक्स नहीं है ? उस माल को जब्त करने या डिस्ट्राय करने का क्या ग्रभी कोई प्राविजन है ? फूड इंस्पेक्टर्स तो उस एडल्ट्रेटेड माल का सैम्पुल भर कर ले जाता है लेकिन पीछे जो तमाम माल बच रहता है उस माल का क्या होता है ? उसको पुलिस क्षारा कक्जे में लिया जाता है या उसको नष्ट किया जाता है उस के लिए श्रभी कानून में क्या कोई प्राविजन है ?

सभापति महोदया : एक्में वजन है। It will be seized and sealed

Shri Tulsidas Jadhav: For how long?

Chairman: Until the analyst report comes and the case is decided.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: The present provision is "until the court has decided".

Shri Tulsidas Jadhav: What is your difficulty then? You say that the whole foodstuffs should be destroyed when the sample is taken.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: You have missed my point. These are two different issues

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Before I put you the question permit me to express the hope that your counterparts in other States of our country display the same earnestness, or are motivated by the same earnestness

which you have shown before the Committee this morning. Your wide experience, your valuable experience as Health Minister of West Bengal for all these years—for how many years are you holding this portfolio?....

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Only six months. I was Minister for Social Welfare and Jails before I became the Health Minister six months back. But what I am presenting here is the decision of the whole cabinet.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You have made a somewhat astounding proposition or proposal that the sub-inspectors of police should be invested with the powers, functions and duties of food inspectors under the present Act. But I hope you realise that by making such a proposal you are expressing, if I may say so, indirectly though it be, lack of confidence in your own machinery, the machinery which you have at present?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: No. If there is any difficulty, it is due to the lacunae that exist in the Act. I have full confidence in the food inspectors that are being employed under this Act.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: May I invite your attention to rule 8 of the extent rules which prescribes qualifications for food inspectors, not for Directors of central laboratories, medical officer in charge of the health administration for local areas, graduate in medicine associate in medicine and so on. Do you seriously suggest that all the qualifications that are prescribed under rule 8 of the present rules should be waived or relaxed in the case of police officers?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: 'Waived' is not the proper term. Our recommendation was that police officers, not below the rank of sub-inspectors, should be invested with powers while dealing with the provisions of this Act. I know that most of the sub-inspectors will not have the qualifications that have been enumerated in rule 8, but there are other factors

which have to be taken into consideration, the factors being the experience of a police officer to detect crimes, the machinery for detecting crimes at the disposal of the police officers, training available to the police officers for detection of crimes etc. Because I visualise that the food inspectors will only collect samples. Their decision about whether an article is adulterated or not is not final. It has to be analysed in a public laboratory. So, if the food inspectors can take a sample, I would suggest that special provisions should be made for including the police officers also so that they can take samples and send them for analysis because the ultimate judgement will depend upon the report of the analysis, not on the eye examination of the food inspector.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: So, I take it that either because you think the food inspectorate macinery is inadequate or because the police machinery is more efficient and less corrupt than the food inspectorate you are of the view that the rules, as they are at present, should be overhauled and recast.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: No, I do not accept either of those suggestions. I do not feel that my food inspectors are incompetent or inefficient. I was referring to the system the organisation that is at the disposal of the police in every rural area, in every village and town, as compared with the machinery at the disposal of the food inspectors. In any case, ultimately for securing conviction they will have to depend on the analysis report of the laboratory. So. why not empower the police officer, irrespective of whether they are medical graduates or not, whether they have training for testing or not, with the powers of the food inspectors? After all, they have more suitable machinery for detection of crimes. Because, my whole concern is to detect crimes. From personal experience I can say that big offenders are let loose because the food inspectors are not able to catch them. I want to take

the help of the police to catch them and punish them. Here may I refer to section 14 of the present Act which says:

"The Central Government may, by notification in the Official Gazette, appoint any person to exercise the powers of a food inspector under sections 10 and 11—

- (a) at any major port, airport or land customs station, in respect of any article of food, and
- (b) in respect of any railway station.."

It can be done by the Government of India even now.

Chairman: That section is going to be dropped under the amending Act.

Dr. Sushila Nayar: It is being dropped because there is another section empowering the Government of India to appoint food inspectors. So, when a general power is provided for, there is no need for a special provision for ports etc.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: I think I have wasted much of your valuable time on this point. On behalf of the Government of West Bengal I feel that we will be able to bring to book more criminals of food adulteration if we take the help of police officers and appoint them as food inspectors instead of merely relying on the present mahinery alone.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I am glad to hear that from you, Shrimati Mookerjee. It logically follows, as night doth follow the day, from your proposal that the enforcement machinery at the disposal of the Government at the moment is insufficient.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: No. I again refer you to the lacuna in the Act. The Act was not so suitably amended that we could catch hold of the big traders. You did not provide it in the central Act. So, under the protection given by this Act these big

traders are going scotfree. Either you should amend this Act suitably so that in each case of adulteration it is traced back and the big trader behind this is caught, or you take it for granted that there will be adulteration, whether you have Police officers or Food Inspectors or not. I am not disatisfied with the present machinery. I only want to tell you that because they are getting this protection and because the police have no jurisdiction to take action under this Act, they are going scotfree.

Mr. Chairman: We will meet again at 4 o'clock.

(The witnesses then withdrew)

(The Committee then adjourned to meet again at 16.00 hours)

(The Committee reassembled at 16.02 hours.)

- 1. Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee, Minister of Health, West Bengal
- 2. Shri B. R. Gupta, Secretary Department of Health, Government, of West Bengal.

(The witnesses were called in and they took their seats)

Chairman: Let us proceed now. Mr. Kamath wanted to put some more questions

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Mrs. Mookerjee. I am sorry to say that in spite of your best efforts the statement that you made this morning is not convincing, that is, the statement that you made that it was not because of your experience as Minister and even earlier as a leader of the party in West Bengal that your food inspecting machinery has been found to be inadequate, or insufficient, cope with this menace and that is why-that my counter-argument-you have got this proposal of investing police officers with these duties and powers of food inspectors. You say, it is not so. Why should we have then this police machinery at all?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Madam Chairman, I explained this eggreat length, the difficulties of food inspectors in detecting the crimes, the difficulties of food inspectors in getting the finger prints, the difficulties of identification of crimes and other things. So, I think, along with the food inspectors, if the police officials are also given the powers, it will be better administered. I do not accept the position that the food inspectors in my State at least are incompetent or they are less efficient. But to facilitate the whole thing, to bring more crimes to books, I think, the policeofficers should also be vested with these powers.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: May I take it that the police inspectors should be invested with certain powers, but not all the powers of the food inspectors?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Not all. May I read out the powers of the food inspectors?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: They are given in the Act

Shrimati Purabi Mokerjee: I explained it at that time that the food inspector takes the sample and he sends sample for analysis. A police officer can also do that It does not requin any extra knowledge or technical qualifications for a police officer to do that. But you can also consider whether the police officer should enjoy all the powers of the food inspector or you will give them some limited powers. My main concern was tocheck corruption; my main concern was to bring to books the real offenders and for that I still insist that the police officer should be brought under the purview of this Act.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Would I be wrong in thinking or suggesting—I do not wish that you should divulge any Cabinet sacrets here—that though in spite of your experience to the effect that your own machinery is adequate, efficient and satisfactory; it is very likely that the Police Minister

or the Home Minister of West Bengal prevailed upon you and, therefore, you have come to suggest this....

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Madam, I object to this sort of question being put.

Chairman: Mr. Kamatl:, we should restrict ourselves to the relevant questions.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Madam Chariman, shall I make it absolutely clear that I am a Minister of the Cabinet....

Chairman: That question need not be answered at all.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I did not want any Cabinet secrets.

Chairman: I have made it clear that that question need not be answered.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Please allow me to finish only one sentence: I am a Cabinet Minister. My responsibility is a joint responsibility and the whole Cabinet is behind all these recommendations. Not only that but also my party and I myself, before coming here, consulted the leaders of the Opposition groups in my Assembly and they all agreed with our recommendations. Our Chief Minister is the Home Minister and I can tell this Committee on his behalf that the whole Cabinet of West Begal is out to root out corruption and specially this food adulteration must be checked not only in our State but all over the country. My main purpose in coming here before this Committee was to impress upon the Members of this Committee the importance and the urgency of throughly changing the existing Act in order to check food adulteration effectively. I had a mind to bring forward in my Assembly a new legislation. The Members of the Assembly also put pressure on me to bring in a new legislation for the State of West Bengal. I did not do it because as much as we may wish to root out corruption or prevention of food adulteration....

Chairman: Well, we have understood you well. I made this very clear in the very beginning that this question of Mr. Kamath need not be answered at all.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: I am not answering his question because I feel below my dignity to answer this question. I want to impress on the Members of this Committee that I am quite responsible enough. As a Cabinet Mnister, I am really responsible as my Home Minister is.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: 'Dignity' is the wrong word for that.

Chairman: All the Members have understood your point thoroughly well. They are all enlightened Members and veteran parliamentarian. I did not allow the question of Mr. Kamath to be answered. These things also do not arise when the question has not been allowed.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Now, I proceed to the next question. I have got a note or letter submitted to the Committee by Mr. Gupta, Secretary, Health Department, West Bengal. The Minister said this morning that she wants to make no distinction as regards offence, second offence, third offence etc. and all should be punished alike, if I heard her aright. In this note by the Secretary there is a suggestion made. It says under item (2) as follows:

"Penalties provided for in the Act should be enhanced and provision should be made for compulsory imprisonment for even the first offecace: penalties for second and subsequent offences should be enhanced so as to make the penalties deterrent".

There is a dichotomy between what you said and what the Secretary says and I do not know which represents the Government's view. Is it your view or the Secretary's view. Mr. Gupta's view? I want clarification on this point.

Chairman: It has been answered in the morning, whether it is on behalf of the Secretary or the Ministry. It is answered.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: If Secretary makes a statement which is at variance with Minister's statement whose statement should be taken as correct?

Chairman: Is it at variance?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: In the morning speech I have prefaced my arguments by telling this august Committee that we sent the memorandum in a hurry because we did not know that we will have to come to this Joint Select Committee as early as So before coming here thought it best that instead of going without sending our views, without sending our particular point of view, it will be very difficult for the Members to understand what we have got to say. So we sent a tentative recommendation. I have every responsibility for this memorandum that my secretary has sent. In my morning speech I said that it must be a deterrent punishment. Then I said, it must be for 5 years. Again I said that I do not want to make any distinction between first offence, second offence, third offence, because from our personal experience told the Committee that it is very difficult for a food inspector to find out whether the same man once convicted as first offender and whether the same man is being again convicted for the second offence so the primary consideration before this Committee is whether the punishment will be very much deterrent and I know in implementation of this act as it is now today, before this is amended, it is very difficult to get a second offence for the same person. I again insist that if you go through the records of each State and find out for how many instances a particular person was convicted for the second offence you will find the position. In no State with this Act will they be able to tell the court, this is the man who was convicted once. So I wanted absolutely to have first offence,

second offence or third offence to be taken out of this gradation and once for all to make it at least for 5 years. I take full responsibility of that memorandum which has been sent to you. This letter from the Secretary was sent to you on the 5th of August, 1964. This is modified by this memorandum. We can modify even that. That can be modified when I give personal evidence here There is point in insisting like that. We can further modify it also Even if I go back and the Joint Select Committee does not finish its evidence I may again send another recommendation. What is the point? We are all here to help the Government of India in bringing out a legislation which is really effective which can really bring down offenders to book

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: If I heard you aright in the morning, you said that these little fellows, small fry, are caught and the source is not traced, and that the big fish go scotfree. I take it you were talking from experience, experience of administration as a whole. Is it your considered view therefore that in West Bengal there have been many cases during your tenure of office as the Health Minister and as the Minister of Social Security in the past, that many big fish or some big fish have gone scotfree, and that is why you made that statement?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: It is permanent question and a very to reply to it. In want State of West Bengal we do not much mustard seed produce as mustard oil that we require. Most of it comes from other State. I will refer to a judgement recently Calcutta. delivered by a court in Even the agmark ghee was found to be adulterated. This is a 'serious being in the situation. The source other state we don't trace the root and trace the source, they will go scotfree. In my personal experience I found that because the warranty is defective when the retailer is prosecuted, he takes the plea: I have got this from

so and so. And I did not mix anything; go and check the other stock in my possession." Subsequently it was found that the other articles in his possession bearing the same mark were adulterated. Then that was traced again. Then the wholesaler was approached. He said "I have got this warranty; go and catch hold of that man who has given this warranty". But the warranty does not bear the batch number. Even the licence number is defective. The addressee is never to be found.

Shri J. C. Chatterjee: This Agmark ghee came from Verawal, Gujarat. Is it not so?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: I will give you a copy of that judgement. This is the normal experience of Government, which I am explaining. The Ministry is a continuous process, whether I am there for six months or not. The warranty from the miller is defective; the licence is defective. The licence holder is not traceable. The poor retailer is punished. What should be done in such a case, because the rule making power also does not purely vest with the State Government and the Act is a Central Act and the source of supply is not my State? I have to come to you to seek remedy for this so that you take into consideration the big guys behind it, so that each case of adulteration is traceable to the source, wherever the source is-may be he is outside the State, may be he is outside India. Either you keep the warranty in another form or make the licence more perfect. The warranty should contain the batch number of the unit of production. Some expert should go at length into this question.

Shri Hari Vishau Kamath: Now the fundamental question of supply to retailers by somebody at the source has arisen. To help you, to help the administration to solve this problem, to tackle it more successfully, would you agree to wholesale trading in feodgrains and other essential commedities like mustard oil by the Government itself?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: I may tell the House that we in our State have decided to take up State trading in foodgrains; it will start from 1st January 1965.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You said that the big fish go scotfree and the small fry get caught.

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: Because of the lacuna in the Act.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: But there have been wild, may I say, suggestions, also allegations and reports in the newspapers and magazines to the effect that the big fish go scotfree because in some mysterious way they are connected with the party in power. There were reports and allegations recently that some of these people in big business, contributed quite a sizeable sum for the Congress President's purse of Rs. 2 lakhs in Calcutta, and the Government is therefore soft to them.

Chairman: Mr Kamath, you may refer to inefficiency in the administration. But, please do not make a reference to all these things. Madam, you also need not reply to this question

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: I want to reply to this question in order to keep up the prestige of my State.

Chairman: I have requested the members not to bring in party matters.

Shri S. S. Mariswami: There was a report to this effect that a large donation was given by somebody who is not above-board in his dealings. I think Mr. Kamath referred only to that.

Chairman: I am requesting the Minister of West Bengal that if she can restrict her answers to the points it will be much better and we shall be able to cover all points.

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: I do not want to go back with some charges.

Chairman: Some of the questions I do not want you to answer.

Shrimati C. Ammanna Raja: If a question is ruled out of order, it should not be answered.

Chairman: Her speech itself was quite enlightening to all of us.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It might have enlightened you, but in my opinion it has obscured the issues.

Chairman: There should not be repetitions.

श्री के एल बाल्मीकी : अभी कामत जी ने एक अलग तरीके से प्रश्न किया है। पार्टी का उस में नाम न भी लिया जाए तो भी यह बात जरूर है कि कुछ बड़े प्रभाव हैं, धन के, पद के, मान के

सभापति महोदया : भ्राप सवाल पुछिये।

श्री के॰ एल॰ बाल्मीकी: वही मैं पूछ रहा हूं। इन प्रभावों के कारण बड़ी मछली या छोटी मछली छूट जाती है। यह बतलाने की कृपा की जाए कि वे क्यों छूट जाती हैं भौर वे प्रभाव क्यों हैं?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: Madam Chairman, the question is whether the high Government officials or the Ministers are in collusion with these big traders.

Chairman: He does not mean that.

Shri Hari Vishna Kamath: They are in some mysterious way connected with them.

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: That is collusion.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The word 'collusion' has a bad odour about it.

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: If any high official or those who are in the Government of my State are in any mysterious way connected with this group of people, why should I, as the Health Minister of the Government of

West Bengal, come here to give evidence before the Joint Select Committee so that the lacunae and the defects of this Act are rectified? Is it not ample proof that really the officials, the Government and the Ministers in West Bengal are serious about implementing each section of this Act very rapidly?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You said, if I understood you aright, that you are here to get the lacunae in the Act removed. The lacunae may be there, and what might happen in future is known to God only, but so far one of the main reasons why the big fish went scotfree was not the alleged lacunae in the Act, but some mysterious connection between the big fish and the high ups in the administration. If you say no, it is all right.

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: The big fish went free because they did not belong to West Bengal, but came from outside the State. This Act does not empower the State Government to take suitable action beyond the jurisdiction of West Bengal.

श्री के एस बास्मीकी : बंगाल की मछिलयां दूर फेंकी जाने लगी हैं। यह तो कोई बरदाइत नहीं कर सकता ।

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: I will refer this Committee to the judgment that has been passed in a recent case on food adulteration.

Dr. C. B. Singh: You have mentioned very categorically that you and your Government are very anxious to eradicate this evil from your State. May I know if it has come to your notice that mustard seed is adulterated with arjuman seed?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: The analysts' report did not show arjuman as such, but it amply showed that the ingredients were injurious to health.

Dr. C. B. Singh: Is there any other adulterant which you have found in your experience which is injurious to the general public in West Bengal?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: In 1962 there was only one case of adulteration of mustard oil with bakra oil. It does not have instantaneous effect, but cumulative effect. In the course of this year, we have received two or three instances of mustard oil being mixed with mineral oils. Except these two very harmful things, adulteration is being done mostly with the help of other types of edible oils.

Dr. C. B. Singh: You have suggested deterrent and exemplary punishment viz., a minimum of 5 years R.I. Do you agree that something more drastic—like public flogging—may be awarded as punishment?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: I do not mind. If the Constitution permits that, I have every intention to do it.

Dr. C. B. Singh: You have suggested that the police inspector should be given very wide powers and the food inspectors should be protected. Have you realised that by giving all these powers to the food inspectors, there is likely to be some more trouble about the food inspectors?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: Till now the food inspectors did not enjoy wide powers, but only limited powers. I do not think by giving more powers to the food inspectors, will be wrong in any way, because if some of the food inspectors are found to be misusing their powers, we can suitably punish them.

Dr. C. B. Singh: If we increase the punishment, those who are criminally-minded and who charge Rs. 100 or Rs. 150 now will further increase their charges. Have you thought about that?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: What is that charge? Is it a legal charge or bribe?

Dr. C. B. Singh: Bribe.

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: It is not the experience in the State of West Bengal. If it is bribe, why

allow him to charge any bribe at all? You must punish him. The West Bengal Government is competent enough to punish those food inspectors who misuse their powers.

Dr. G. S. Melkote: Are you aware that even today food inspectors are misusing their powers and the food distributed to fair-price shops is made to go underground so that both the inspectors and the shopkeepers can make some money?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: The food inspectors under this Act are entirely different from the food inspectors of the Food Department.

Dr. G. S. Melkote: Is it possible for these inspectors to do that?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: It is not our experience.

Shri K. Damodaran: The witness stated that the West Bengal Government had been implementing the existing Act most vigorously and rigidly. May I have some idea as to the nature of that rigid implementation and the results achieved?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: In the rural areas of our State the sanitary inspectors are appointed as food inspectors by the Municipality. the Corporation area we have asked the Calcutta Corporation to appoint more food inspectors. We have told the Corporation that if necessary they may come to us for financial assistance. We have also asked the police to help the food inspectors in carrying out the provisions of this Act. We had a conference with the Enforcement Branch, the police officials, the port authorities, the railway authorities, the Calcutta Corporation, and the Health Department and we came to the conclusion that whether there is any provision under this Act or not we will have to take all steps to stop food adulteration under the Defence of India Rules, the Anti-profiteering Act or even the Preventive Detention Act. We are not only

enforcing this Act but we are also taking the help of other Acts to stop food adulteration.

Shri K. Damodaran: From the newspapers one gets the idea that Calcutta is one of the main centres of food adulteration. But from figures supplied to us we find that in West Bengal only about 9000 samples of food were examined whereas in States like Maharashtra, Puniab. Madras etc., two to three times that number of samples were examined. Even out of these 9000 cases 2429 cases were found to be adulterated, but only in 1900 cases prosecutions were launched. This shows that more than 500 cases of adulteration were not taken up at all for prosecution and they were let free to go on with their adulteration

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: Prosecution starts only after adulteration has been found out on analysis. Because there are only eight public laboratories, it takes much time to get the analysis. After the report is received, some time is lost in locating the real culprit. Unless this process of detecting the real culprit is more simplified, this time lag cannot be avoided. For the simplification of this process we have suggested change in the form of warranty giving the full address in the licence. We have given our recommendations for speeding up prosecution. But, as soon as adulteration is found out. the stock is seized.

Shri K. Damodaran: Were the stocks seized in these cases?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: Yes, in most of the cases.

Shri K. Damodaran: From the figures supplied to us we get the impression that other States are doing far better in the implementation of the existing Act. I feel that what is being done in West Bengal, even under the existing Act, is not enough.

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: If I reply to the first part of your question you will get the reply you want. Our main difficulty is that cur food articles are mostly supplied from States outside West Bengal. We do not produce even one-fourth of our requirements of mustard oil, foodstuffs and spices; the rest we import from outside in which adulteration is rampant. The provisions of the present Act are inadequate. They do not sufficiently empower the State Government to bring to book all offenders.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan; You said that most of the mustard oil which you need is brought from outside and that is adulterated. Does it mean that what is produced in Bengal is free from adulteration?

do not mean that I include my State also. But we produce only a negligible proportion of our requirements; perhaps less than 25 per cent. Our Chief Minister declared on the floor of the House that he has already passed an order prohibiting the import of any mustard oil or mustard seed from other States which do not bear 'Agmark'. The comic part of it is, since we passed that order we find that adulterated ghee comes with 'Agmark'. What are we to do?

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: Your Secretary has said that your State is producing about 25 per cent of your requirements. Have you found out whether your factory owners are adulterating their products at that very stage? If so, have you prosecuted any of them? What are the results?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: There were a few such instances. There is one concern in Manitala area, a very big one. I cannot tell you the name offhand; the owner does not belong to that place. That person has been detained under the Defence of India Act.

Shri Deckinandan Narayan: You suggested that the minimum punishment should be five years. Do you mean to say that it should be made applicable to even small vendors who mix water with milk?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: Why not? After all, adulteration is adulteration whether it is on a small scale or a big scale.

Shri Deckinandan Narayan: Then you said that such offences should be made non-bailable and there should be no appeal, Is it permissible under the Constitution and the rules of the High Court?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: It is for the Joint Committee to consider whether such a provision should be made. I think any offence can be made non-bailable under the Constitution. On the question of appeal, I am not sure whether an amendment is necessary or not.

Chairman: In any case, it is for the Committee to consider.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: Then you said something about the payment of compensation for food articles destroyed if afterwards it is found that the food was not adulterated. Have you any idea of the magnitude of the amount that will have to be paid in each State if there is such a provision?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: There is already a provision to that effect in the Act.

Shri C. M. Kedaria: It is our experience that more the power vested with the police officers more the misuse. In spite of that, does she want the police officers to be vested with powers under this Act?

Chairman: She has made it clear more than once.

Shri C. M. Kedaria: She has said that the Act should be implemented or enforced rigidly and severe punishment should be given when a case is detected? Will it not result in innocent persons being prosecuted and held responsible and real culprits going scotfree?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: I have dealt with it as point No. 9 in my note.

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: You have suggested amendment of section 8 in order to give protection to the vendor. As all the safeguards have been provided, what more do you want?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: We want a change of the form. Also we want to define the word "vendor" because under the present Act a vendor is "anybody who sells or offers to sell or who deals in any trade in foodstuffs". In that case, how can you make a distinction between a small vendor and a big vendor? So, you have to define the word more definitely.

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: You said that adulteration is more in Bengal, particularly in mustard oil. If there is strict enforcement of the Act by the Government why should there be so much of adulteration?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: I have said more than once that adulteration is done somewhere outside Bengal and we are forced to swallow that adulterated food in Bengal. The source of suply of that adulterated food is somewhere outside Bengal.

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: That I know. But when foodstuffs come into the State from outside, why do you not check them then?

Shrimati Purabi Mukerjee: For three reasons we cannot check it properly. The existing Act does not allow the State Government officials to go to the railway yard or to the port, that is, to the places where the goods first arrived After they arrive they are distributed to thousands or lakhs of channels. The food inspector has to go, chase those channels and detect it.

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: But, now under the amending Bill, the railway stations and the ports come within the food inspector's jurisdiction.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: That will not be sufficient.

Shri Tulshida, Jadhav: You may be having a list of the wholesalers. So why do you not check them in the godowns of the wholesalers when they are brought there from the railway station or the port?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: After the articles reach there they are hypothecated in a bank. It is done in West Bengal mostly. The food inspector cannot go and check the hypothecated article. It is banned under the Act. So, we have suggested a new section in this Act so that we can also touch hypothecated goods

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: Have you any confidence that it will be stopped if we enhance the minimum runishment to five years when there is a shortage of foodstuffs, mustard oil etc.?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: If this clause is simultaneously implemented throughout India in all the States, then and then only it can reasonably be expected that adulteration will be stopped for ever.

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: The implementation of the Act is under your Government. Is it not so?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Yes, it is so.

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: And you are in the Government. So, what is the difficulty in checking it at least in your State?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: The main adulterated foods come from outside my State.

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: Every foodstuff is brought from outside?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Mainly the items which are adulterated are scarcity goods, like edible oils, ghee, spices and we do not produce them. Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: Am I to understand that foodstuffs which are produced in West Bengal are not adulterated at all?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: No. I do not claim that. They are adulterated. But what I want from this Joint Committee is that, firstly, each State must very rigorously implement the provisions of this Act. secondly, all the defects and lacunae in this Act should be removed and it should be amended and, there should be complete co-ordination between the trade and the State Governments so that even for goods that pass from one State to another through trade channels the State Government takes full resposibility of looking into the fact whether the goods are adulterated or not. Even if I want to do it in my State. I cannot do it because I do not grow all the food. If I can do it, I can bring forward another Act there to punish them. But then why should I have come here? I only came here to say that all the States should make joint effort to root out altogether this adulteration of foodstuffs.

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: In your opinion should the implementation of this Act be by the Central Government or by the State Governments?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: By both. Within the State the State Government should have full powers to do it, but if a State Government fails, the Central Government should swoop down on it and tell it, "You are not doing your duty; so, we take away that power from you". I do not mind that

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: Then the Corporations and the Municipalities should also be given powers.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: They are also responsible bodies. Why should I not vest them with this power?

معنى بالمناز المعامل بالمعامل والمنازع والمنازع والمنازع والمارات

874 (Ali) LS-19

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: Will there not be any local influence brought on the food inspectors then?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: I do not think so. Specially in my State that is not the problem.

Shri G. Mohanty: Are the Cabinet Ministers, Members of the Assembly and also members of the opposition all unanimous in their support for your proposal that there should not even be any bail and power to appeal to a vendor?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: I did not even write it in my memorandum that no appeal should lie because I know that there may be some constitutional difficulties about the question of appeal. I wanted the Joint Committee to consider this point.

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: How many articles of foodstuffs produced in West Bengal were analysed by you and how many of them were found to be adulterated?

Chairman: You are entering into details.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: I might submit that we have taken the burden of all the States of India for refugees and whatever we grow does not meet our demand for essential items.

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: Are some articles produced in West Bengal or not?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Rice is produced there.

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: Only rice? Nothing else?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Mustard oil also, but very little.

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: Is there no adulteration in rice?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Generally, no; but, maybe, in some places people have some complaints. Shri Deokinandan Narayan; Calcutta is a very big city and there are dark corners spread all over Calcutta. Someone told us yesterday or this morning that adulteration is going on mostly in dark areas and not in the open market or factories. Adulteration is always done in places that are not easily detected. So, I would like to know if there are such dark places where adulteration of ghee, spices etc. is going on.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: It cannot be that there is no dark place, all are open and all are enlightened.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: No, no. Adulteration is not going on in the open market or in the factory or in any shop.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Naturally.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: I want to know from you how far your police is helping you to detect such cases.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Where is the scope of the police here under your Act?

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: Your police is there.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjeee: How can I explain on the activities of those persons who do not come under the purview of your Act?

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: There are so many other Acts. There is the D.I.R. Are you helped by your police in detecting such cases?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Of course. Already 3000 persons are in jail.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: What I mean to say is, if your police is so very alert—that is what we have understood from you—has your police helped you in detecting such cases and, if so, how many cases were detected by your police during the last year. That is my question.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Madam, Chairman, I do not want to reply to this question because if you include the police officers under the purview of this Act, then and then only you could ask whether the police officers have discharged their duties efficiently and dutifully.

Chairman: If you do not wish to answer the question, then it is all right.

Shri Deokinandan Narayan: She said in the morning that the police are working under several Acts, the D.I.H. and for this very purpose. How can she say that they are not working?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: How can I give the number as to how many cases were detected during the last year?

Chairman: If you are not able to give the figure, then it is all right.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: I can only say that my State Government along with other police officers in different branches and under the different Acts that exist today are taking suitable action in each case that is noticed.

Shri J. C. Chatterjee: Has it come to your knowledge that in West Bengal some of the food inspectors keep even motor cars and some inspectors' children are educated in England and other foreign countries?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: You mean these food inspectors under this Act?

Shri J. C. Chatterjee: Present-day food inspectors.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: There are different types of inspectors with different pay scales. The food inspectors under this Act are paid only Rs. 135 a month. How can they maintain a car? If they do, they are corrupt officers and if you can kindly give me the specific cases, I will take suitable action under the law.

श्री के एक बाल्मीकी: प्रापने कहा है कि जो मिलावट करते हैं उनमें से बड़े लोगों की जड़ कलकत्ता या बंगाल में नहीं है बिल्क बाहर है। क्या प्रापका यह मतलब है कि कोई इंटर-स्टेट गेंग है जो इस सरह मिलावट का काम करता है। ग्रीर ग्रंगर ग्राप यह समझती हैं कि ऐसा गैंग है, तो उसको खत्म करने के लिए ग्रापका क्या मुझाय है?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Madam Chairman, perhaps the hon. Member did not understand me. Otherwise, he should not have put this question. I want to tell this Committee only one thing. I thought the purpose of this Joint Select Committee was to ask us to give our experience as to how this Act was being implemented and to suggest how it can be more effectively implemented and get the Act suitably amended.

Chairman: Yes, that is the purpose. The hon. Member wants to know whether there is any inter-State gang dealing with all these things.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: I do not know.

Chairman: That is a very simple answer. That is all.

भी कें एस बास्नीकी: मैं यह पूछना चाहता हूं कि जो ऐसे दुकानदार हैं, चाहे वे रिटेलर हों या होल सेलर, जिन पर कि पिछले बीस साल में कभी मिलावट के लिए मुकदमा नहीं चला है और जो कि ग्रच्छा काम कर रहे हैं, क्या उनको किसी प्रकार का पारितोषिक देने की बात ग्राप उचित समझती हैं?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: The trader is rewarded by the consumer if he does his business honestly.

श्री के एस बास्मीकी: जिस तरह से ग्रन्य लोगों का ग्रादर किया जाता है सरकार की तरफ से, या प्रसीडेंट या गवर्नर की ग्रोर से, इसी तरह से ईमानदार बुकानदारों का भावर करना उचित है या नहीं? Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Let the Joint Select Committee send a recommendation for that.

Chairman: Now, I would like to ask this question.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Before you do that, I want to suggest one or two things more. There is Section 22 where a question of intention has been raised in the original Act. It is a very difficult thing to find out. I think the Joint Select Committee should consider whether that should be retained or not.

I also want to raise another point. Under some Section companies have been defined. But what about the State enterprises? What about the public sector? What about some commercial undertakings under the charge of either the State Government or the Government of India? Who is to find out adulteration and how they are to be punished? That should also be defined.

Chairman: The Committee is thinking over all these things.

I want to ask this question. In the year 1962, the West Bengal Government examined 9,492 samples and out of them 2,429 were found adulterated. What I mean is this. As compared with the number of samples taken in other States, it is a very small number. May I know the reason for this small number of samples taken and analysed?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: I could not tell you off-hand. I want to stress only one thing. This rampant adulteration started only a few months back as soon as the scarcity conditions were there.

Chairman: As compared with the figures of the same year in the other States, your number is very small.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: I understand that is the main point that we wanted to tell you. As soon as the scarcity conditions were created

throughout the State and other parts of India also, about these essential commodities, like, mustard oil, mustard seeds and some other things also, it came to our notice that there is a large-scale adulteration going on. The Calcutta corporation only had some 12 or 13 food inspectors and now they have raised the number to 22. They have raised upto 22 and we have asked them to increase more. This happened because there was not sufficient number of food inspectors. So it was not done in that way. This food adulteration was not rampant at that time. Now at the present moment we have acute scarcity in all the essential food articles in West Bengal. It started in the early part of July. It continues up till now.

Chairman: Scarcity should not be the defence for adulteration. That is the thing. All over India, from the point of view of the whole country, we are considering this. Compared to the sample tested in Madras, Maharashtra, U.P. and other States this number is very small. In West Bengal there are 13 laboratories. In Maharashtra there are 5 laboratories.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: I will check it up.

Chairman: Even in Calcutta the sample tested is 2,000. The proportion is one per thousand.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: That is the jurisdiction of Calcutta corporation.

Chairman: The second question. You said the State has no jurisdiction over the manufacturers who are sending articles from outside your State. What are the articles if at all which go outside from your State? Are there any such complaints as regards adulteration from other States? Have you informed the other States of the adulteration made by those States with regard to the articles sent to your State?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: We have sent to the Government of India for that.

Chairman: You said there is no jurisdiction over the other person residing in other State. According to the provision of the Act if the adulterated article is found in the possession of retailer or whole-saler or whoever he may be, action can be taken. Wholesaler is brought to the court. He may try to throw away the responsibility on the shoulders of the manufacturers. But if the manufacturer is made party to this under section 179 of the Criminal Procedure Code when he is outside that State proper action can be taken. Are there any such cases in your State?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: When the food inspector prosecutes a case, he prosecutes under the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act of 1954. The section that you have mentioned here, that comes under criminal procedure. That is not here.

Chairman: That is applicable to the provisions of the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act. Under Section 179 of the Criminal Procedure Code the magistrate can call him. Suppose wholesaler is brought to court?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Where he is to be found? The warranty or address goes to the wrong address. The man is not traceable. I asked my legal opinion about it.

Chairman: Under section 6 there is provision. Under the new amendment there is provision. Wholesaler or retailer has to disclose the name of the person from whom he got the articles. That will be sufficient?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: After the amendment is done. But in the existing Act there is no such provision. I would request, consider the question of retaining the warranty and change the form to suit the purpose. Warranty cannot give immunity to the big person, the miller or the wholesaler. If you change the form the warranty will bear the signature, the address and also the licence number and batch number.

Chairman: I may say, we are adding in the rules that the cash memo should bear all these things. In rules the duties of the food inspector will be defined.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Not only duties, but I want special provision under this Act so that the State Government can appoint police officeralso to collect samples and send the samples to the laboratories to seize the stock if it is found to be adulterated and to prosecute in that case.

Chairman: We have got that point. Which duties of the police officers should be shifted to the food inspectors?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: It has been stipulated that the Central Government may by notification in official gazette appoint any person to exercise the powers of food inspector under section 10 and 11. I want this power of State Government to be retained so that the police o...icers can be appointed as it was done in section 14.

Chairman: She is in favour of retaining the Section 14.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: We will not appoint them specifically. They will be deemed to be Food Inspectors for the purpose of this Act.

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: That will be only for Railway Stations and major ports.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: That is there under Section 14-The Central Government may, by notification in the Official Gazette, appoint any person to exercise the powers of a food inspector under sections 10 and 11-(a) at any major port airport or land customs station in respect of any article of food which is being imported through such port or station; (b) in respect of any railway station or group of railway stations where food is being sold. If you see 14(2) it reads—Every person appointed or authorised under sub-section (1) shall be deemed to be a food inspector for the purposes of this Act.

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: It is removed now.

Chairman: Section 14 is to be amended.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: You are deleting Section 14 altogether. You are giving powers to the State Governments to appoint Food Inspectors for the ports and railway stations. Similarly, I want this section to be kept for the purpose of appointing Police Officers as Food Inspectors.

Shri Tulshidas Jadhav: Clause 14(2) should remain there.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Not in this form. You can suitably amend the rules.

Shri Yamuna Prasad Mandal: If any other laboratory equally good, equally organised and equally efficient furnishes a report about adulteration, should it not be taken as good as the report of the Central Laboratory?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: That will serve our purpose. We will get the help of more laboratories, if they are authorised also.

बी के एल बाल्मीकी: मान लीजिये कि सैम्पल लिये जाते हैं, जिन में से एक सेंद्रल फूड लेबारेटरी में ग्रीर दूसरा किसी प्राइवेट लेबारेटरी में एनेलेसिस के लिए जाता है ग्रीर दोनों के रिजल्ट्स में फ़क है। कैमिस्ट्री तो एक परफ़ क्ट साइंस है। इस का मतलब है कि वहां पर ह्यूमैन एरर हुग्रा है। क्या उस ह्यूमैन एरर का फ़ायदा देना वाहिए?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: Under this Act any analysis of the Central aboratory cannot be challenged. We save to accept it, right or wrong. The question that my friend raised is whether we can appoint more private aboratories and authorise them to undertake the analysis; I have no objection if such a laboratory is fully equipped, if the assistants are tully qualified and if you authorise them

under the purview of this Act to undertake this process of analysis.

भी के एक बाल्मीकी: क्या भ्राप की जानकारी में कलकता में ऐसे केसिज हुए हैं, जिन में कोर्ट ने प्राइवेट एनेलिस्ट की फ़ाइंडिंग्ब को सरकारी भीर सेंट्रल फूड लेबारेटरी की फ़ाइंडिंग्ब से ज्यादा करेक्ट पाया है ?

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: The Central Laboratory is not my laboratory.

Chairman: It is the highest appellate laboratory. The report of that laboratory cannot be questioned.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The question of Shri Balmiki was that in Calcutta and perhaps in West Bengal there have been cases where the courts have given their verdict, holding the private analysts' finding as more reliable than that of the public analyst or even of the Central Laboratory.

Shrimati Purahi Mookerjee: A private laboratory is not authorised to take any samples under this Act.

Chairman: It will not be authentic.

Shri K. L. Balmiki: The private analyst can appear in the Court and it is the duty of the Court to give consideration or not.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: So long as a laboratory is not authorised the report of the analyst from that laboratory, whether it is right or wrong, is not legal in the true sense of the term which is envisaged under this Act.

Chairman: Mrs. Mukerjee, you please see Section 4 of the Amendment Bill. This is an amendment to Section 9 of the principal Act. Here it is provided that the Central Government or a State Government may, by notification in the Official Gazette, appoint such persons as it thinks fit, having the prescribed qualifications to be food inspectors for such areas as

may be assigned to them by the Central Government or the State Government, as the case may be. That is why section 14 has been dropped and two things have been combined.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: In the new amendment, those persons will be appointed who have the prescribed qualifications.

Chairman: I have understood your point. Prescribed qualifications clause may be relaxed.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: To accommodate Police Officers.

Chairman: Members of the Select Committee, on your behalf I have very great pleasure in extending our thanks to Shrimati Mukerjee, Minister of Health of West Bengal Government and to Shri Gupta for their guidance and valuable information. Of course, they have been able to enlighten us on so many matters.

Shrimati Purabi Mookerjee: On behalf of the State of West Bengal, and on my own behalf and that of the Secretary, I thank you, Madam Chairman and the Members of the Joint Select Committee and also the Minister of Health of Government of India for kindly allowing us to come and give evidence before this learned Committee. If I have created any bitter impression during the course of evidence, I beg to be excused. I have said everything in my anxiety to help you and to help my State in rooting out the adulteration.

(The witnesses then withdrew)
The Committee then adjourned.