LOK SABHA

THE CONSTITUTION (SEVENTEENTH AMENDMENT) BILL, 1963

Report of the Joint Committee

(Presented on the 25th March, 1964)



LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

> March, 1964 Chaitra, 1886 (Saka)

> > Price: Re. I

JOINT/SELECT COMMITTEE REPORTS PRESENTED TO LOK SABHA DURING THE YEAR 1964

| 31 No. | Name of the Report. | resen te d o |
|--------|--|---------------------|
| 1. | The Constitution (Seventeenth Amendment) Bill, 1963. (J.C.) | 25.3.1964 |
| 2. | -do- EVI DENCE | |
| 3. | The Prevention of Food Adulteration (Amendment) Bill, 1963. (J.C.) | 7.9.1964 |
| 4. | -do- EVI DEN CE | |
| 5. | The Gold (Control) Bill, 1963 (3.6.) | 11.9.1964 |
| 6. | -do- Evi den Ce | ٠ |

JOINT/SELECT COMMITTEE REPORTS PRESENTED TO LOK SABHA DURING THE YEAR 1964

| 31 No. | Name of the Report. | resen te d o |
|--------|--|---------------------|
| 1. | The Constitution (Seventeenth Amendment) Bill, 1963. (J.C.) | 25.3.1964 |
| 2. | -do- EVI DENCE | |
| 3. | The Prevention of Food Adulteration (Amendment) Bill, 1963. (J.C.) | 7.9.1964 |
| 4. | -do- EVI DEN CE | |
| 5. | The Gold (Control) Bill, 1963 (3.6.) | 11.9.1964 |
| 6. | -do- Evi den Ce | ٠ |

CONTENTS

| | | | | | PAG |
|-----------|--|------------------|------------|----------|--------------|
| ı. | Composition of the Joint Committee | • | | | (iii) |
| 2. | Report of the Joint Committee | • | • | | (v) |
| 3. | Minutes of Dissent | • | • | • | (x) |
| 4. | Bill as reported by the Joint Committee | • | • | • | I |
| APPENDIX | I— | | | | |
| • | Motion in Lok Sabha for reference of the mittee | he Bill to | Joint Co |)m- • | 7 |
| APPENDIX | II— | | | | |
| | Motion in Rajya Sabha . | • | • | • | 9 |
| APPENDIX | II I — | | | | |
| | Statement of memoranda/representation by the Joint Committee | ns/resoluti • | ons receiv | red | 10 |
| A ppendix | Iv- | | | | |
| | List of Associations/individual who gave Joint Committee | e evidence | before t | he . | 14 |
| APPENDIX | v— | | | | |
| | List of Acts deleted from clause 3 of th | e Bill as is | ntroduced | • | 15 |
| APPENDIX | VI— | | | | |
| | Minutes of the sittings of the Joint Com | mittee . | • | • | 21 |

THE CONSTITUTION (SEVENTEENTH AMENDMENT) BILL, 1963

COMPOSITION OF THE JOINT COMMITTEE

LOK SARHA

Shri S. V. Krishnamoorthy Rao-Chairman

Members

- 2. Shri Bibhuti Mishra
- 3. Shri Sachindra Chaudhuri
- 4. Shri Surendranath Dwivedi
- 5. Shri A. K. Gopalan
- 6. Shri Kashi Ram Gupta
- 7. Shri Ansar Harvani
- 8. Shri Harish Chandra Heda
- 9. Shri Hem Raj
- 10. Shri Ajit Prasad Jain
- 11. Shri S. Kandappan
- 12. Shri Cherian J. Kappen
- 13. Shri L. D. Kotoki
- 14. Shri Lalit Sen
- 15. Shri Harekrushna Mahatab
- 16. Shri Jaswantraj Mehta
- 17. Shri Bibudhendra Misra
- 18. Shri Purushottamdas R. Patel
- 19. Shri T. A. Patil
- 20. Shri A. V. Raghavan
- 21. Shri Raghunath Singh
- 22. Chowdhry Ram Sewak
- 23. Shri Bhola Raut
- 24. Dr. L. M. Singhvi
- 25. Shri M. P. Swamy

(III)

į

- 26. Shri U. M. Trivedi
- 27. Shri Radhelal Vyas
- 28. Shri Balkrishna Wasnik
- 29. Shri Ram Sewak Yadev
- 30. Shri Asoke K. Sen

RAJYA SABHA

- 31. Shri Tarit Mohan Das Gupta
- 32. Shri Rohit Manushankar Dave
- 33. Shri Khandubhai K. Desai
- 34. Shri Nemi Chandra Kasliwal
- 35. Shri Dhirendra Chandra Mallik
- 36. Shri Joseph Mathen
- 37. Shri Nafisul Hassan
- 38. Shri P. Ramamurti
- 39. Sardar Raghbir Singh Panjhazari
- 40. Shri S. D. Patil
- 41. Shri Kota Punnaiah
- 42. Shri G. Rajagopalan
- 43. Thakur Bhanu Pratap Singh
- 44. Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee
- 45. Shri J. Venkatappa.

DRAFTSMEN

- 1. Shri R. C. S. Sarkar, Secretary, Legislative Department, Ministry of Law.
- 2. Shri V. N. Bhatia, Joint Secretary and Draftsman, Ministry of Law.
- 3. Shri S. K. Maitra, Deputy Draftsman, Ministry of Law.

REPRESENTATIVES OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION

- 1. Shri Ameer Raza, Joint Secretary, Planning Commission.
- 2. Shri A. N. Seth, Assistant Chief, Land Reforms Division, Planning Commission.

SECRETARIAT

Shri A. L. Rai-Deputy Secretary:

REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMITTEE

- I, the Chairman of the Joint Committee to which the Bill* further to amend the Constitution of India was referred, having been authorised to submit the report on their behalf, present this their report, with the Bill as amended by the Committee annexed thereto.
- 2. The Bill was introduced in Lok Sabha on the 6th May, 1963. The motion for reference of the Bill to a Joint Committee of the Houses was moved in Lok Sabha by Shri Asoke K. Sen, Minister of Law, on the 18th September, 1963 and was discussed and adopted on the 19th September, 1963 (Appendix I).
- 3. Rajya Sabha discussed and concurred in the said motion on the 21st September, 1963 (Appendix II).
- 4. The message from Rajya Sabha was published in the Lok Sabha Bulletin, Part II, dated the 23rd September, 1963.
 - 5. The Committee held 14 sittings in all.
- 6. The first sitting of the Committee was held on the 23rd September, 1963 to draw up their programme of work. The Committee at this sitting decided to hear oral evidence from interested bodies/associations etc. and to issue a Press Communique inviting memoranda for the purpose by the 5th October, 1963. As the Committee received a number of representations from some Members of Parliament and various parties pleading that the time for submission of memoranda on the Bill was very short, the Committee, at their second sitting held on the 11th October, 1963, decided to extend the time for submission of memoranda on the Bill upto the 15th November, 1963, and to issue a Press Communique to that effect.

At their ninth sitting, held on the 28th January, 1964, when the Committee considered the question whether nine additional State Acts might be added in clause 3 of the Bill, the Committee felt that the public might be given an opportunity to submit their views to the Committee on those nine State Acts also. Accordingly, another

^{*}Published in the Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part II, Section 2, dated the 6th May, 1963.

Press Communique was issued on the 28th January, 1964 inviting memoranda from the interested parties on those Acts by the 10th February, 1964.

7. The report of the Committee was to be presented by the last day of the first week of the Sixth Session. As the Committee felt that it would not be possible for them to complete their work by that time, they, at their fifth sitting held on the 13th November, 1963, decided to ask for an extension of time for presentation of their report upto the last day of the first week of the Seventh Session. Necessary motion was brought before the House and adopted on the 18th November, 1963.

As the Committee desired to hear oral evidence in respect of a proposal to include nine additional State Acts in clause 3 of the Bill, they, at their ninth sitting held on the 28th January, 1964, decided to ask for further extension of time upto the 31st March, 1964. Necessary motion was brought before the House and adopted on the 11th February, 1964.

- 8. 1,36,141* Memoranda/representations/resolutions on the Bill were received by the Committee from the various associations etc. as mentioned in Appendix III.
- 9. At their second, third, fourth, fifth, seventh and twelfth sittings held on the 11th and 12th October, 1963, 12th and 13th November, 1963, 23rd January, 1964 and 22nd February, 1964, respectively, the Committee heard the evidence given by the representatives of 13 associations etc. and one individual specified in Appendix IV.
- 10. At their sixth sitting held on the 5th December, 1963, the Committee decided to hear further oral evidence and to consider the clauses of the Bill thereafter.
- 11. The Committee have decided that the evidence given before them should be laid on the Tables of both the Houses in extenso.
- 12. The Committee considered the Bill clause-by-clause at their eighth, ninth, tenth, eleventh and thirteenth sittings held on the 24th, 28th, 29th and 30th January, 1964 and 10th March, 1964, respectively.
- 13. The Committee considered and adopted the report on the 17th March, 1964.

^{*}Besides these, 68,427 representations were received before the Bill was referred to the Joint Committee.

- 14. The observations of the Committee with regard to the principal changes proposed in the Bill are detailed in the succeeding paragraphs.
- 15. Clause 2.—The Committee feel that where any law makes a provision for the acquisition by the State of any estate and where any land comprised therein is held by a person under his personal cultivation, it should not be lawful for the State to acquire any such land as is within the ceiling limit applicable to him under any law for the time being in force or any building or structure standing thereon or appurtenant thereto, unless the law relating to the acquisition of such land, building or structure provides for payment of compensation at a rate not less than the market value thereof.

For the purpose of achieving the object in view, clause (1) of Article 31A of the Constitution has been amended by inserting a further proviso therein.

The other amendment is of a drafting nature.

16. Clause 3.—(a) The Committee are of opinion that in view of the enlarged definition of the term 'estate' proposed in clause 2 of the Bill, many State enactments would get protection under Article 31A of the Constitution. The Committee, however, note that the main object in including several State enactments in the Ninth Schedule to the Constitution is to put them above litigation with a view to facilitating their expeditious implementation. Keeping this in view the Committee have carefully scrutinised the various Acts proposed to be included in the Ninth Schedule to the Constitution by clause 3 of the Bill as introduced.

The Committee were informed by the Government that a number of those Acts have already been fully or largely implemented without being challenged. Several others have already stood the test of challenge in courts. Some others do not raise any major controversial issue. The Committee are, therefore, of the view that it is not necessary to include all such Acts in the Ninth Schedule to the Constitution.

The Committee have accordingly deleted from this clause 88 Acts listed in Appendix V and 36 Acts only have been retained out of 124 Acts included in the Bill.

(b) Among the Acts included in clause 3, the Committee consider that section 28 of the Bihar Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling Area and Acquisition of Surplus Land) Act, 1961 (Bihar Act

XII of 1962), which permits acquisition of land under personal cultivation within the ceiling limits should not get the protection of Article 31B as the amount of compensation payable for such acquisition is not in accordance with the second proviso to clause (1) of Article 31A as inserted by clause 2 of the Bill.

The Bihar Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling Area and Acquisition of Surplus Land) Act, 1961 has, therefore, been included in this clause with the exception of section 28 thereof.

The Committee further note that section 15A of the Rajasthan Tenancy Act, 1955 (Rajasthan Act III of 1955) has been struck down by the High Court of Rajasthan. Under section 15 of the Act, khatedari rights accrued to certain classes of tenants. Subsequently, the Act was amended with retrospective effect by inserting section 15A and other sections to provide that khatedari rights shall not be deemed to have accrued in any land in Rajasthan canal area and other specified areas. Section 15A had the effect of acquisition of Khatedari rights of certain tenants without payment of compensation. The Committee are of opinion that the second proviso to clause (1) of article 31A as inserted by clause 2 of the Bill should be attracted to such cases. The Committee therefore, feel that the Rajasthan Tenancy Act, 1955 should not get unqualified protection under article 31B and they have, therefore, recommended the inclusion of the Rajasthan Tenancy Act of 1955 in the Ninth Schedule subject to the second proviso to clause (1) of article 31A of the Constitution as proposed in clause 2 of the Bill. To achieve this object, the Act has been included with an Explanation.

(c) In addition to the above Acts, the Committee have considered certain other land reform enactments which were not included in the Bill as introduced and are of opinion that eight such Acts should be included in the Ninth Schedule.

The Committee find that the Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act, 1950 had been struck down by the Andhra Pradesh High Court on the ground that it had not been reserved for, and did not receive, the President's assent. The Committee also note that the Act was validated with retrospective effect by the Andhra Pradesh Government in respect of the Telangana area, by the Mysore Government in respect of the Karnatak area, and by the Maharashtra Government in respect of the Marathwada area.

The Committee are of the view that the three validating Acts should be specifically included in the Ninth Schedule to the Constitution to ensure protection of Article 31B to the Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act, 1950.

The Committee have, accordingly, included the following Acts in the clause:—

- (1) The Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Validation) Act, 1961 (Andhra Pradesh Act XXI of 1961).
- (2) The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Reenactment, Validation and Further Amendment) Act, 1961 (Maharashtra Act XLV of 1961).
- (3) The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Validation) Act, 1961 (Mysore Act XXXVI of 1961).
- (d) It was brought to the notice of the Committee that the State Governments of Gujarat, Kerala and Orissa had enacted three Acts relating to land reforms subsequent to the introduction of this Bill in Parliament, namely:—
 - (1) The Gujarat Surviving Alienations Abolition Act, 1963 (Gujarat Act XXXVIII of 1963).
 - (2) The Kerala Land Reforms Act, 1963 (Kerala Act 1 of 1964).
 - (3) The Orissa Merged Territories (Village Offices Abolition)
 Act, 1963 (Orissa Act X of 1963).

The Committee consider that in order to remove any doubt or uncertainty in regard to the validity of these enactments, they should be specifically included in the Ninth Schedule.

(e) It was represented to the Committee that (1) The Jenmikaram Payment (Abolition) Act, 1960 (Kerala Act III of 1961) and (2) the Kerala Land Tax Act, 1961 (Kerala Act XIII of 1961) should elso be included in the Ninth Schedule as these Acts have been struck down by the Courts. The Committee feel that it is necessary to do so.

These Acts have, accordingly, been included in this clause.

- (f) The Committee are of the opinion that as the Acts which should get the protection of article 31B have been specifically included in the Ninth Schedule, the existing Explanation to clause 3 of the Bill is redundant and has accordingly been omitted.
- 17. The Committee recommend that the Bill, as amended, be passed.

S. V. KRISHNAMOORTHY RAO,

Chairman.

The 17th March, 1964.

2501 (B) LS-B.

Joint Committee.

MINUTES OF DISSENT

I

This is a Bill of a far-reaching character and it needs to be scrutinised very thoroughly in the interests of justice and fair-play. view of the enlarged definition of the term "estate" proposed in clause 2 of the Bill, many State enactments would get automatic protection under article 31A of the Constitution. As such there is no need to put any more Acts in the Ninth Schedule. This will be in consonance with the spirit of the Constitution wherein we have guaranteed equal treatment to all citizens and also provided legal remedies by making their fundamental rights justiciable. In a democratic country like ours, the independence and prestige of the judiciary should be maintained at a high level and we should not deprive the citizens of their legal remedies to agitate their rights in a proper forum of law. It will be against all canons of jurisprudence to deprive any citizen of his legal right to move the courts particularly after 13 years of our Constitution. Any inroad on the legal remedies of a citizen would bring down the prestige and independence of our judiciary which is one of the strongest and important arms of our democracy. I, therefore, strongly urge that there should not be any addition to the Ninth Schedule which will result in undermining the prestige of the judiciary. Therefore, I oppose the inclusion retention in the Ninth Schedule of the Constitution of 36 Acts out of 124 Acts included in the Bill. Moreover, some of the Acts were not at all before both Houses of Parliament when the Bill was first moved. It is also not safe to ask Parliament to pass all these 36 Acts which alone can stand the scrutiny of the competent judiciary.

New Delhi; The 17th March, 1964.

S. D. PATIL.

П

This is a Bill of a far-reaching charcter and it needs to be scrutinised thoroughly. As many as more than 1,36,000 representations were received. This shows the interest created among agriculturists.

A proviso to article 31A is proposed by the Joint Committee to clear misunderstandings created that land under ceiling area also may be taken away without payment of market price. I feel that the purpose will be best served if after the word "acquisition", the words "or diminishing any right of a holder" are added in clause 2 of the Bill.

Our planners have suggested some exemptions from ceilings in paras 28, 29, 30 and 31 of Chapter XIV of the Third Five Year Plan. These suggestions are not followed by some States. Land Reform Policy should be uniform in the country and I am of opinion that if a suitable provision is inserted in article 31A i.e. clause 2 of the Bill, to exempt from ceilings lands under such plantations, States will be obliged to respect the uniform Land Reform Policy of the country.

In a democratic set up, particularly where written Constitutions have been adapted, courts are constituted as the custodians of fundamental rights. In one sense, our courts are laboratories in which the validity of legislative enactments and executive conduct are tested, and in another sense our courts have been constitutionally constructed as watch-towns in which judges act as the custodians of the citizen's fundamental rights and carry on an increasing vigil to see that the Legislatures do not transgress their legislative jurisdiction and function within legal bounds.

Some State Legislatures transgressed their jurisdiction and legal bounds in passing the enactments proposed to be included in the Ninth Schedule.

I feel this is unconstitutional.

NEW DELHI; PURUSHOTTAMDAS R. PATEL The 23rd March, 1964.

Ш

यद्यपि धारा २ के भाग (i) में भूमि जोत-सीमा निर्धारिण के सम्बन्ध में संबोधन कर देने छ उन लोगों को उचित संरक्षण प्राप्त हो गया है जिनकी भूमि जोत-सीमा तथा उससे कि मर रहती है किन्तु हमारी मान्यता है कि विधेयक के पृष्ठ २ पर धारा २ की उप-धारा (म) के भाग (iii) में भी उपपुक्त संशोधन की भावश्यकता है। हमारे मतानुसार वर्तमान परिभाषा जो दी हुई है उससे यह स्पट नहीं होता है कि इस भूमि का तथा मकान भादि के स्थानों का उपयोग से खितहर व खेतिहर मज रूर भयवा दस्तकार को उनका मालिक बनाने लिए ही होगा था खेती के ऐसे उपयोग के लिए होगा जो किसी प्रकार से विचौलियों को भयवा धरकार को भपने कार्यों के लिए शांच देने के लिए म होगा। भतः हमारा मत है कि भूमि-सम्पता (ऐस्टेड) की

परिभाषा में परिवर्तन करने हेतु इस भाग (iii) के अन्त में ऐसे शब्द जोड़े जावें कि इसका उपयोग उपयुक्त श्रेणियों के लिए ही होगा अन्यया डर है कि सरकार किसी सभय भी जनहित के नाम पर इस हा उपयोग किसी प्रीर काम के लिए भी कर सकती है। अतः हम इस प्रकार का संशोबन विभेगक के सदन में प्रस्तुत किये जाने के समय लायेंगे और इस हेतु यह विभन्न प्रगट किया गया है।

जहां तक संविधान के नवें परिच्छेद में ४४ अधिनियमों के समावश का प्रश्न है हमारा मंत है कि यह कार्यवाही निजान नीतिबिहीन आधार पर की गयी है। प्रारम्भ में १२४ अधिनियमों की सूचो देना फिर उनमें से दन को वापस ले लेना और इसके पश्चात् १ नये अधिनियमों को जोड़ने की सूचो देना और उनमें से द को जोड़ देना यह सब इस बात का प्रमाण है कि सब निर्मय बिना किसो नीति को अपनाये कर लिये गये है। जो प्रतिबेदन तैयार किया है उसने यह उल्लेख है कि उन दद अधिनियमों को सरकार द्वारा वापस लेने का सुझाव मुलस्स से इसलिए दिया गया कि मुख्य रूप में भूमि-सम्पदा (ऐस्टेट) की परिभाषा विधेयक में बदल दिया जाने से उनके शमिल करने की आवश्य कता नहीं रह गयो है। किन्तु जो शामिल किये गये हैं। उन पर दृष्टि डालने से यह प्रमाणित हो जाता है कि उनमें से अधिकतर उन दद अधिनियमों से मिलते जुलते हैं।

हुमारे मत में नवें परिच्छेद में मिधिनियमों को सिम्मिलित केवल विशेष परिस्थितियों में भौर बिना कोई भीर उपाय बाकी रह जाने पर ही करना चाहिए। हमारा यह भी निश्चित मत है इस का मापदण्ड यह होना चाहिए कि जब सर्वोच्च न्याय लय के स्तर पर मिधिनियम की चुनौतों के निर्गय हो जाने पर भीर मिधिनियम को माशिक मथवा पूर्ण रूप में मवैद्यानिक घोषित किये जाने पर या तो राज्य सरकारें भपने भाप को इस स्थिति में प्रमाणित करकें कि परिस्थित ऐसी हो चुकी हो जिसमें संविधान के भनुरूप संशोधन विशेष कारण से मुलभ न हो भीर बहुत बड़ी संख्या के लोगों पर उसका विपरात प्रभाव पड़ रहा हो भयवा उचित संशोधन लाने के लिए लम्बे समय की भावश्यकता प्रतीत हो भीर उतनी देरी होने में एक बड़ी संख्या के लोगों पर विपरात मसर पड़ने की संभावना हो।

इन ४४ मिमिनियमों को शामिल करने में ऊपर वर्णित दोनों मापदण्ड कतई उपयोग मैं नहीं लाये गये। इसके विपरीत कहीं केवल न्याय लय में चुनौती हो जाने की माशंका के भाधार पर, कहीं कानूना बुटियों को छिपाने के लिए भीर कहीं भ्रपने निर्णयों को किसी प्रकार भी कार्योन्वित कराने के लिए ये अधिकतर अधिनियम राज्य सरकारों द्वारा नवें परिच्छेद में सम्मिलित करने के लिए भेजे गये हैं और उनके भाधारों को मान्यता देते हुए संयुक्त प्रवर समिति ने उनको सम्मिलित कर किया है। इन अधिनियमों में भनेक ऐसे हैं जो भूमि सुधार कानूनों की सीमा लाभ कर भन्य प्रकार के मामलों को उनके भन्तगंत लाये हुए हैं।

यदि हम उपयुक्त माधारों को स्पष्ट करने के लिए उदाहरण देना प्रारम्भ करें तो यह विमित्ति टिप्पणी बहुत लम्बी हो जावेगी। ग्रतः हमने निश्चय किया है कि विधेयक के सदन में उपस्थित होने पर उन मिधिनियमों को परिच्छेद में से निकाल देने के लिये संशोधन लावेंगे मीर ऐसा करते समय उन सब पर उचित प्रकाश दालेंगे।

हमारी यह भी मान्यता है कि राज्य सरकारों की स्वयं की तृटियों को छिपाने के लिए इस प्रकार नवें मरिज्छेद का उपयोग होना संविधान में इस परिज्छेद को स्थान देने की मंशा के विपरीत है और इससे राज्य सरकारों को संविधान के प्रति लापरवाही बरतने का प्रोत्साहन मिलता है। अनेक अधिनियम जो शामिल किये गये हैं, हमारी वृष्टि से उन पर यह बात लागू होती है।

श्रतः इन सब कारणों को सामने रखते हुए हमें यह विमिति-टिप्पणी देने की भाव-इयकता प्रतीत हुई हैं।

नई बिल्ली; २४ मार्च, १६६४ काशीराम गुप्त रामसेवक यादव लक्ष्मीमलल सिम्बी

IV

The Statement of Objects and Reasons accompanying the Bill introduced in Parliament specifically pointed out that the proposed Amendment had been necessitated by the judgement of the Supreme Court declaring certain vital provisions of the Kerala Agrarian Relations Act, 1960 ultra vires of the Constitution.

As is known most of the land reforms passed in various States have failed to confer real rights on the cultivator because of the numerous loopholes in those Acts. Unlike them, the Kerala Agrarian Relations Act was the one piece of land reforms legislation which sought to translate the declared objective of the Five Year Plan into reality and to confer substantial rights on the tillers of the land in Kerala.

Nonetheless the fact that this legislation conferred real rights on the people and seriously affected the position of the parasitic land-owners was responsible for a violent movement by the vested interests to overthrow the Government of Kerala and ultimately the President dismissed the Government. The President, after more than a year, gave his assent to the Bill. The tenants of Kerala heaved a sigh of relief.

However, the Supreme Court judgement intervened. In these circumstances, those peasants were looking forward to the passage of this Bill and thinking that their hopes and aspirations would be fulfilled at long last.

In the interval, the present Kerala Government brought a new Land Reforms Bill, which takes away many of the substantial rights conferred by the Kerala Agrarian Relations Act, 1960 (Kerala Act IV of 1961). The Kerala Government run by the Congress Party had brought such a preposterous piece of legislation in consultation with with the approval of the Central Government, when the Joint

Committee was considering the inclusion of the Kerala Agrarian Relations Act, 1960 (Kerala Act IV of 1961) in the Ninth Schedule.

Even before the Joint Committee had finished its consideration of the Bill the Congress Government of Kerala got the new Land Reforms Act passed in the State Legislature and the President gave his assent within a few days.

Thus once again the hopes and expectations of the peasants of Kerala had been frustrated.

Thus the very object for which this Bill had been brought forward has been otherwise frustrated. This only serves to expose the professed anxiety of the Government to carry out real land reforms.

We are therefore constrained to express dissent regarding the inclusion of the Kerala Land Reforms Act, 1963 in the Ninth Schedule. We hold the view that the Kerala Agrarian Relations Act of 1960 (Kerala Act IV of 1961) should continue to remain in the Bill and need not be replaced by the Land Reforms Act, 1963. Of course, it is our conviction that this country cannot progress or move towards the national goal of socialism without basic changes in the land system. When such major reforms are launched upon it is but natural that some fundamental right or other may be contravened largely because the right to hold property is a guaranteed right under our Constitution.

The cardinal features of a bold land reform also will remain unexpressed legislatively if such measures, basic to national progress are not immunised against attacks in courts on the score of violation of fundamental rights. We strongly plead for the inclusion in the Ninth Schedule of land reforms laws. But in the Kerala instance, the crucial question is not whether the agrarian law, bringing about extensive changes in existing ownership, should or should not be included in the Ninth Schedule: the point is whether we should continue in the Schedule the already existing enactment i.e., the Kerala Agrarian Relations Act, 1960 in preference to the obnoxious measure which has been subsequently passed under pressure of landed interests in the State. In fact there is no moral justification for giving up the Agrarian Relations Act. It had received considerable debating attention in the Assembly and in the press. It underwent thorough scrutiny at the hands of the Joint Committee and many an amendment was made. The Central Government had given its approval through Presidential assent. The Planning Commission had also scanned and satisfied itself about the provisions. It is impossible to understand why a legislative obliteration of that measure should at all be "ventured upon

What is more important, a legion of tenants had approached the Tribunals and Courts for relief on the basis of that Act, and proceedings in large numbers have either been disposed of or are pending. Colossal sums of money have been spent by the tenantry and Tribunals have also spent lots of time on these petitions. The new Act takes away the right given to tenants of small land owners to purchase small land owners rights. About 24,000 petitions were filed in the various Tribunals of Kerala for purchase of these rights. In many purchase price was also deposited. These petitioners are not given any rights under the new Act. This is the way socialism is implemented in our country. The Congress Party as well as the Praja Socialist Party formed the coalition Government in Kerala in 1960. As a Government these two parties brought about quite a number of Amendments to the Kerala Agrarian Relations Act. Kerala Act IV of 1981 was thus passed. When all this has been done, the final product represents the views of the Communist Party which originally brought the Bill: the Congress and the P.S.P. which subsequently modified the Act: the Planning Commission and Central Government which had X-rayed the measures and approved them. Strongest reasons are necessary to give up that law and bring in another. We did not take this stand of ours only on the basis that there is no justification for repealing Kerala Act IV of 1961. We take the further stand that the Land Reforms Act recently passed by the Kerala Legislature and which is sought to be inserted in the Ninth Schedule is injurious to the agrarian community, viz. cultivating tenants and contradicts the principal features of agrarian reforms. Any sound land reform law must answer the following tests: (1) Does it produce substantial reduction of rents from their current levels so as to facilitate more rapid improvement in the economic conditions of tenants; (2) Does it transform tenants into owners of the land they till while putting an end to the vestiges of landlord-tenant relationship; (3) Does it invest the tenants, with absolute security of tenure and inhibit resumption of holdings: (4) Is there effective ceiling enforced in regard to agricultural holdings and is there a capable machinery for the utilisation of surplus land for the purpose of resettling ejected tenants and landless labourers; (5) Are there sufficient safeguards of preventing large landholders evading the law in the guise of gifts, transfers, partition etc.; (6) In the peculiar situation of demographic pressure is there provision of security of occupation of their homesteads for the landless labourers called Kudikidappukars.

Take for instance the chapter dealing with ceiling which provides for assumption and distribution of surplus land. Broadly speaking the various clauses of the Act defeat the very purpose of the chapter. The exclusion of plantations which are many in Kerala, of cashew

estates of 10 acres and above which are quite a few in the State, of pepper and areca gardens of 5 acres and above which are also numerous, of Kayal Padasekharams of Kuttanad area, which runs into several tens and thousands of acres kept in a few hands, of wakfs, private or public, etc. effectively defeat the object of providing for ceiling. The new definition of small holders and standard acres in the Kerala context gives a larger area to the owners. Agricultural companies which were included in the earlier law are kept out of the ceiling provisions in the new Land Reforms Act. Above all a general power, unguided we should say, has been taken by Government to exempt any land from the ceiling provisions "on account of any special use to which it may be put" or for converting it into plantations or for expansion of existing plantations. The concept of ceiling area has itself received an extended meaning; with the result that families and individuals can keep unlimited extents on the score of sub-families or on account of lineal descendants. It is purposeless to keep the chapter on ceilings in the law with these flood-gates for escape kept aiar.

Again it is the essential feature of land reform that there should be a reduction in the current levels of rent and never an increase. But the Kerala Land Reforms Act, 1963 provides for unlimited increase in the rent in a considerable number of cases. This is supported by the theory that justice must be done to all including landlords, also. It is idle to speak of evolving a socialistic pattern of society, if land reformers become extremely sensitive to the rights of landlords. Even the existing benefits of fair rent fixation available to the tenants of Malabar have been whittled down by the new Act. The Malabar Tenancy Act as it stood even a decade ago provided that where better vields were realised on account of the Government irrigation schemes such increase should not be included in arriving at fair rent in favour of the landlord, the idea being that the benefit must go to the peasant and the fair rent should be fixed on the basis of a yield fixed without reference to such irrigational facilities. Even this benefit has been taken away in the new law. Where the contract fixed money value for the commodity payable as rent the Agrarian Relations Act stipulated that it was enough that the tenant paid the money value so fixed in the document. But now the landlord gets a better deal and the tenant under the Land Reforms Act is called upon to pay at the new high price, the object being that the landlord must get the benefit of the fantastic increase in the price of commodities. This is not the route to socialism. We beg to submit that even clauses relating to eviction have become dangerously wide in the new Land Reforms Act in contrast to the Malabar Temancy Act of long age and of the Kerala Agrarian Relations Act. 1960. There are many situations under the new law where the land-lord can just evict the tenant for mere desire to do so. The homeless poor labourers who live in places permitted by other big landholders were given protection against eviction under the Malabar Tenancy Act and later under the Agrarian Relations Act. But the present law (The Kerala Land Reforms Act, 1963) has considerably disturbed this immunity, and narrowed down the scope of the protection. In the name of temple and gods provision for eviction is made under the new law; for instance, there is a provision which enables eviction of land "when the same is needed for the purpose of extending the place of public religious worship". The danger lurking behind this clause is that most deities in Kerala during annual festival take a long itemerant course punctuated by worship en route and all these lands become liable to eviction now.

We can go into the subject more elaborately to substantiate our views that the present Land Reforms Act will be a big blow to the peasantry of Malabar. So we plead for the retention of The Kerala Agrarian Relations Act, 1960 in clause 3 of the Bill, and oppose the substitution thereof by the Kerala Land Reforms Act, 1963. There has been large number of cases where final orders have been passed under the Kerala Agrarian Relations Act, 1960. Even these are unsettled by the new law. In regard to compensation the new scales are so high that the tenants will not be able to take advantage of the clauses. In short the proposed substitution of the new Act for the old would result in the denial of the agrarian reform to the Kerala State. Haste is writ large in the various sections of the Act and we are sure various amendments will have to be brought in the Land Reforms Act when it starts working. All this can be avoided if our suggestions were accepted that the Kerala Agrarian Relations Act, 1960 will continue in the Ninth Schedule. We have dwelt at length on this because the Kerala Agrarian Relations Act, 1960 is the only one Act which has been substituted by a new Act after it has been referred to the Joint Committee.

We are also opposed to the deletion of the various Acts of the States which were included in the amending Bill referred to the Joint Committee. They were included by way of abundant caution, in order to protect them against possible attacks in the Supreme Court or the High Courts.

The argument that some of them which are being deleted, have been on the statute book for some years without being attacked in courts is no justification for their deletion, for there can be no guaranteel (B) LS—C.

tee against such attacks on them in the courts, except their inclusion in the Ninth Schedule.

Subject to these reservations, we support the Bill as amended by the Joint Committee.

P. RAMAMURTI*
A. V. RAGHAVAN

New Delhi; The 24th March, 1964.

V

I regret that in spite of several substantial alterations secured by us in the Bill as referred to the Joint Committee as a consequence of the deliberations of the Committee, I am unable to endorse the Bill in the form in which it is proposed to be reported to Parliament.

In the first place, I cannot help prefacing my minute of dissent with the general observation that the Bill as introduced in the two Houses of Parliament clearly demonstrated a casual, ill-considered, half-baked and unscientific approach; it suffered from inherent deficiencies and was so loosely formulated that it could not in good conscience be said that there was a consistent legislative or economic approach to the problems of land reforms in our country. These inherent deficiencies persist in the Bill even as it emerges through the Joint Committee, partly because of the insufficiency of the time at the disposal of the Committee and because of the pervasive lack of dependable data and sustained analysis of the economic and legislative problems in the field of land reforms. It seems to me that uninformed economic orthodoxy and fixity of certain stock ideas have got entrenched in the governmental thinking in our country and that this constitutional amendment is intended more as a homage to these grooves of thinking, dominating our land policies rather than as an attempt at providing a rational and comprehensive answer to the problems which beset our country in this field.

We cannot look with equanimity upon the demonstrably casual and cavalierly approach adopted by the Government in introducing the Bill which contained as many as 124 enactments, many of which had no relation whatever to the programme of land reform. Within a few months there was a far-reaching change in the Government's position, when the Law Minister informed the Committee that on a reconsideration the Government felt that it would not be necessary

^{*}Certificate required under Direction 87 of the 'Directions by the Speaker under the Rules of Procedure of Lok Sabha' not received.

to include 88 out of these 124 enactments in the Ninth Schedule. The Bill as introduced in the Parliament thus did not exemplify adequate sense of responsibility on the part of the Government. It is evident that the Government indiscriminately included all and sundry enactments in the entourage of the Ninth Schedule, showing shockingly insufficient regard for the Constitution and unfolding an insensitive casualness of approach in seeking constitutional protection for certain enactments which supposedly stood in the way of the implementation of the programme for land reforms.

In this context, in my opinion it is necessary for us to harken to the stage of Constitution-making. Dr. K. M. Munshi, a member of the Constitution Drafting Committee, sought to provide in a separate article two limitations on the States' rights to expropriate private property, namely, that expropriation would be permitted for public reasons only, and in return for just and adequate consideration to be determined according to conditions laid down by law. A divergent draft article was proposed by Shri K. T. Shah who sought to emphasise the State's right to acquire any private property and prohibited recognition of private ownership in certain industries and in various forms of natural wealth. The Sub-Committee which dealt with this provision felt persuaded to proceed on the basis of Section 299 of the Government of India Act, 1935. The Sub-Committee formulated a proposal which appeared as Clause 27 in its Report, and which was as follows:—

No property, movable or immovable, of any person or corporation, including any interest in any commercial or industrial undertaking, shall be taken or acquired for public use unless the law provides for the payment of just compensation for the property taken or acquired and specifies the principles on which and the manner in which the compensation is to be determined.

At the stage of discussion, a point of view was expressed that the Article as drafted by the Sub-Committee may stand in the way of beneficient social legislation and in this connection the example of the Fifth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution was cited. Further, it was suggested that a new clause permitting curtailment by law of property rights whenever the contingencies of the common good so required be inserted. The Sub-Committee, however, did not accept this view. When this due processed provision relating to the right of property came up before the Advisory Committee, it encountered considerable opposition. In particular, Pandit G. B. Pant expressed the apprehension that the U.P. legislation for the abolition of Zaminderi may run into difficulty if the clause were adopted. When the

provision came up for discussion in the Constituent Assembly, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel observed that it was wrong to assume that the object of the clause was to provide for the acquisition of Zamindaris, because he thought that by the time the clause became law most of the Zamindaris would have already been liquidated. The expectation of such expeditious abolition of Zamindaris and such prompt implementation of land reform measures was, however, to be belied in due course.

The clause was further revised before it came to be incorporated as Article 24 in the Draft Constitution. However, Article 24 of the Draft Constitution was never brought for consideration before the Constituent Assembly. There were sharp differences of opinion on this matter in the Assembly. As was later recalled in the Constituent Assembly itself, the issue gave rise to so bitter a controversy that at one time it looked as if the differences would "even break up the whole Constitution" and cause "our ship to founder on the rocks" (Constituent Assembly Debates, Volume 11, Pages 662, 666). Perhaps the bewildering cleavage of opinions on the clause led the Chairman of the Drafting Committee at one stage to suggest the omission of the Article from the body of the Constitution. He suggested that instead of the Article, clause xxxi of Section 51 of the Australian Constitution may be incorporated as an entry in the legislative list.

Clause xxxi of Section 51 of the Australian Constitution reads:

"The acquisition of property on just terms from any State or person in respect of which Parliament has power to make laws"

Similarly Shri C. Rajagopalachari is also believed to have expressed his preference for not including this article as a fundamental right if it were to follow the ingredients of the analogous provision in the Government of India Act. He felt that if the clause covered all cases of acquisition, the question of just compensation would inevitably be taken to the Courts in every case, with the result that Government functioning would be paralysed. At a later stage, Pandit Pant suggested the inclusion in the article of two new clauses, (i) to provide that the payment of compensation might be in cash or in securities or bonds or partly in cash and partly in securities, and (ii) to ensure that no law providing for the acquisition or taking possession of property would be called in question in any court. This was generally considered to be somewhat sweeping.

The article which finally emerged through the welter of conflicting opinions was essentially a compromise, a "just compromise" as

Dr. K. M. Munshi put it in the course of his speech winding up the debate. Dr. Munshi and Mr. Alladi Krishnaswami Aivar strenuously defended the provision of judicial review in respect of the quantum of compensation. Mr. Aiyar elucidated the accepted legal position in this regard in the following words:—

Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru who moved for the consideration of the finally amended draft article felt that it would balance seemingly conflicting considerations of individual's right to property and the community's interest in that property. According to Pandit Nehru, three broad propositions were implied by the article, namely, (i) that there would be no expropriation without compensation; (ii) that a distinction had to be made between "petty acquisitions" and large schemes of social reform and social engineering; and (iii) that the balancing authority ultimately could only be the Legislature which had to keep before it all the relevant factors. He felt that so far as the question of compensation was concerned, the judiciary did not come into the picture unless there had been a gross abuse of the law or a fraud on the Constitution. (See Constituent Assembly Debates Vol. IX). The foregoing analysis of the traveux preparatoire of our Constitution shows that there is no justification for the Union Law Minister's claim that no new principle is now being sought to be introduced through the Bill or that the Bill was necessitated because of certain unforeseen and unanticipated difficulties. The fact that several of these enactments pertaining to land reform were challenged successfully in courts of law demonstrates that the various State Governments paid scant regard to reason, equity and Constitutional propriety. It is not that the Courts have failed to apply or observe

the principles of Constitutional interpretation referred to by Mr. Alladi Krishnaswami Aiyar in considering the validity of land reform enactments, but that there has been persistent transgression of fundamental rights enshrined in the Constitution and heedless violation of the dictates of reason by ill-considered legislation. It is a travesty of truth to allege that the land reform programmes cannot make any headway in a duly constituted manner under a regime of valid laws and that it is imperative to resort to the extraordinary expedient of protecting the whole body of legislative measures en masse by means of a retrospectively operative constitutional amendment. Thus every piece of legislation which was struck down yesterday by courts of law rises by the fiat of this Constitutional amendment to the dignity of valid and enforceable legislation today. To cite the most manifest miscarriage of reason and justice, a definition of "family" which was pronounced as arbitrary and unreasonable by the Supreme Court will be enthroned by the magic of this amendment.

In securing such blanket protection for the entire body of land legislation in this country, the Government have shown a rare and unprecedented disregard for Constitutional principles.

A Constitution of a country is the sheetanchor of organic and fundamental principles. The laws of the land have to be tested at its anvil. What is being attempted through this Constitutional amendment is to bend the Constitution to conform to certain legislative enactments.

In my opinion, it is highly improper to bring into existence a catalogue of pretected legislation the propriety or soundness of which we can scarcely vouchsafe. The Ninth Schedule is a monument of ineptitude and lack of self-confidence as it is a confession of the failure of the Government to define "estate", in an adequate and expressive manner, so as to obviate the need of appending a halting and hesitating inventory of enactments.

I have no hesitation in agreeing that the principle of ceilings as such does not offend against fundamental rights, social justice and public policy. But there is no warrant for spreading the umbrella of Constitutional protection over ceiling legislation which is neither good law nor sound economics. This is evidently so in respect of many of the enactments sought to be protected and against which memorialists and witnesses before the Committee made out a really persuasive case.

Much less is there any rationale in protecting tenancy and revenue legislation as a whole or legislation which has not been shallenged in

any court of law and particularly when even the nature of such apprehended future challenge is not known. In this Constitutional amendment, legislative irrelevance and lack of specific objects seem to have reached a high watermark, characterising this as a piece of predatory legislation.

It is not possible comprehensively to consider the desirability of this Constitutional amendment on the yardstick of economic and agronomic considerations because of the absence of reliable data. It is nevertheless possible to infer that the enactments which are sought to be protected are not likely to check fragmentation of holdings and promote scientific, progressive and growing agriculture committed to increasing productivity. The social revolution about which the architects of our land reforms vexed eloquent has yet to materialise; the problems of the landless and the small land-holders are still staring us in the face; our agriculture continues to suffer from conditions of stagnation, marked by surplus man-power and chronic under-employment; our yield per acre has been obstinately low. The tabulates figures in the recent Census of land holdings and cultivation and various studies on the subject convincingly substantiate these propositions and leave us no option but to conclude that there is something fundamentally lacking in the land reform programme of our country and that land reform measures require a radical reorientation and far-reaching fundamental thinking.

In certain specific matters, I have associated myself with two other colleagues and have, therefore, refrained from repeating the points of dissent dealt with therein.

L. M. SINGHVL

NEW DELHI; The 24th March, 1964.

THE CONSTITUTION (SEVENTEENTH AMENDMENT) BILL, 1963

[AS REPORTED BY THE JOINT COMMITTEE]

(Words side-lined or underlined indicate the amendments suggested by the Committee; asterisks indicate omissions.)

BILL

further to amend the Constitution of India.

BE it enacted by Parliament in the Fifteenth Year of the Republic of India as follows:—

- 1. This Act may be called the Constitution (Seventeenth Amend-Short title, ment) Act, 1964.
 - 2. In article 31A of the Constitution,—

Amendment of article

(i) in clause (1), after the existing proviso, the following 31A. proviso shall be inserted, namely:—

"Provided further that where any law makes any provision for the acquisition by the State of any estate and where any land comprised therein is held by a person under his personal cultivation, it shall not be lawful for the State to acquire any portion of such land as is within the ceiling limit applicable to him under any law for the time being in force or any building or structure standing thereon or appurtenant thereto unless the law relating to the acquisition of such land, building or structure, provides for payment of compensation at a rate which shall not be less than the market value thereof.";

2501 (D) LS-1.

10

5

1

- (ii) in clause (2), for sub-clause (a), the following sub-clause shall be substituted and shall be deemed always to have been substituted, namely:—
 - '(a) the expression "estate" shall, in relation to any local area, have the same meaning as that expression or its local 5 equivalent has in the existing law relating to land tenures in force in that area and shall also include—
 - (i) any jagir, inam or muafi or other similar grant and in the States of Madras and Kerala, any janmam right;
 - (ii) any land held under ryotwari settlement;

10

35

(iii) any land held or let for purposes of agriculture or for purposes ancillary thereto, including waste land, forest land, land for pasture or sites of buildings and other structures occupied by cultivators of land, agricultural labourers and village artisans;'.

Amendment of Ninth Schedule.

- 3. In the Ninth Schedule to the Constitution, after entry 20, the following entries shall be added, namely:—
 - 21. The Andhra Pradesh Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings Act, 1961 (Andhra Pradesh Act X of 1961).
 - 22. Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Validation) Act, 1961 (Andhra Pradesh Act XXI of 1961).
 - 23. The Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Ijara and Kowli

 Land Cancellation of Irregular Pattas and Abolition of 25

 Concessional Assessment Act, 1961 (Andhra Pradesh Act

 XXXVI of 1961).
 - 24. The Assam State Acquisition of Lands Belonging to Religious or Charitable Institution of Public Nature Act, 30 1959 (Assam Act IX of 1961).
 - 25. The Bihar Land Reforms (Amendment) Act, 1953 (Bihar Act XX of 1954).
 - 26. The Bihar Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling Area and Acquisition of Surplus Land) Act, 1961 (Bihar Act XII of 1962), (except section 28 of this Act).

| Act, 1954 (Bombay Act I of 1955). 8. The Bombay Taluqdari Tenure Abolition (Amendment) Act 1957 (Bombay Act XVIII of 1958). 9. The Bombay Inams (Kutch Area) Abolition Act, 1958 (Bombay Act XCVIII of 1958). 10. The Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Gujarat Amendment) Act, 1960 (Gujarat Act XVI of 1960). 11. The Gujarat Agricultural Lands Ceiling Act, 1960 (Gujarat Act XXVII of 1961). 12. The Sagbara and Mehwassi Estates (Proprietary Rights Abolition, etc.) Regulation, 1962 (Gujarat Regulation I of 1962). 13. The Gujarat Surviving Alienations Abolition Act, 1963 (Gujarat Act XXXIII of 1963). 14. The Maharashtra Agricultural Lands (Ceiling on Holdings) Act, 1961 (Maharashtra Act XXVII of 1961). 15. The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Reenactment, Validation and Further Amendment) Act, 1961 (Maharashtra Act XXVII of 1961). | | | | 3 | | |
|---|-----|------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|------------|
| 9. The Bombay Inams (Kutch Area) Abolition Act, 1958 (Bombay Act XCVIII of 1958). 9. The Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Gujarat Amendment) Act, 1960 (Gujarat Act XVI of 1960). 1. The Gujarat Agricultural Lands Ceiling Act, 1960 (Gujarat Act XXVII of 1961). 2. The Sagbara and Mehwassi Estates (Proprietary Rights Abolition, etc.) Regulation, 1962 (Gujarat Regulation I of 1962). 3. The Gujarat Surviving Alienations Abolition Act, 1963 (Gujarat Act XXXIII of 1963). 4. The Maharashtra Agricultural Lands (Ceiling on Holdings) 5. Act, 1961 (Maharashtra Act XXVII of 1961). 6. The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Reenactment, Validation and Further Amendment) Act, 1961 | 2 | | | | olition (A | .mendment) |
| 9. The Bombay Inams (Kutch Area) Abolition Act, 1958 (Bombay Act XCVIII of 1958). 9. The Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Gujarat Amendment) Act, 1960 (Gujarat Act XVI of 1960). 1. The Gujarat Agricultural Lands Ceiling Act, 1960 (Gujarat Act XXVII of 1961). 2. The Sagbara and Mehwassi Estates (Proprietary Rights Abolition, etc.) Regulation, 1962 (Gujarat Regulation I of 1962). 3. The Gujarat Surviving Alienations Abolition Act, 1963 (Gujarat Act XXXIII of 1963). 4. The Maharashtra Agricultural Lands (Ceiling on Holdings) 5. Act, 1961 (Maharashtra Act XXVII of 1961). 6. The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Reenactment, Validation and Further Amendment) Act, 1961 | | • | • | • | • | |
| D. The Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Gujarat Amendment) Act, 1960 (Gujarat Act XVI of 1960). I. The Gujarat Agricultural Lands Ceiling Act, 1960 (Gujarat Act XXVII of 1961). I. The Sagbara and Mehwassi Estates (Proprietary Rights Abolition, etc.) Regulation, 1962 (Gujarat Regulation I of 1962). I. The Gujarat Surviving Alienations Abolition Act, 1963 (Gujarat Act XXXIII of 1963). I. The Maharashtra Agricultural Lands (Ceiling on Holdings) Act, 1961 (Maharashtra Act XXVII of 1961). The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Reenactment, Validation and Further Amendment) Act, 1961 | 28 | | | | ion (Amen | dment) Act |
| D. The Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Gujarat Amendment) Act, 1960 (Gujarat Act XVI of 1960). I. The Gujarat Agricultural Lands Ceiling Act, 1960 (Gujarat Act XXVII of 1961). I. The Sagbara and Mehwassi Estates (Proprietary Rights Abolition, etc.) Regulation, 1962 (Gujarat Regulation I of 1962). I. The Gujarat Surviving Alienations Abolition Act, 1963 (Gujarat Act XXXIII of 1963). I. The Maharashtra Agricultural Lands (Ceiling on Holdings) Act, 1961 (Maharashtra Act XXVII of 1961). The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Reenactment, Validation and Further Amendment) Act, 1961 | | • | • | • | | |
| Amendment) Act, 1960 (Gujarat Act XVI of 1960). I. The Gujarat Agricultural Lands Ceiling Act, 1960 (Gujarat Act XXVII of 1961). I. The Sagbara and Mehwassi Estates (Proprietary Rights Abolition, etc.) Regulation, 1962 (Gujarat Regulation I of 1962). I. The Gujarat Surviving Alienations Abolition Act, 1963 (Gujarat Act XXXIII of 1963). I. The Maharashtra Agricultural Lands (Ceiling on Holdings) Act, 1961 (Maharashtra Act XXVII of 1961). The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Reenactment, Validation and Further Amendment) Act, 1961 | 28 | * . | | | olition Act, | 1958 (Bom- |
| Amendment) Act, 1960 (Gujarat Act XVI of 1960). I. The Gujarat Agricultural Lands Ceiling Act, 1960 (Gujarat Act XXVII of 1961). I. The Sagbara and Mehwassi Estates (Proprietary Rights Abolition, etc.) Regulation, 1962 (Gujarat Regulation I of 1962). I. The Gujarat Surviving Alienations Abolition Act, 1963 (Gujarat Act XXXIII of 1963). I. The Maharashtra Agricultural Lands (Ceiling on Holdings) Act, 1961 (Maharashtra Act XXVII of 1961). The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Reenactment, Validation and Further Amendment) Act, 1961 | | • | • | • | سرو | |
| Act XXVII of 1961). 2. The Sagbara and Mehwassi Estates (Proprietary Rights Abolition, etc.) Regulation, 1962 (Gujarat Regulation I of 1962). 3. The Gujarat Surviving Alienations Abolition Act, 1963 (Gujarat Act XXXIII of 1963). 4. The Maharashtra Agricultural Lands (Ceiling on Holdings) Act, 1961 (Maharashtra Act XXVII of 1961). The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Reenactment, Validation and Further Amendment) Act, 1961 | 30 | - | | - | | • |
| Abolition, etc.) Regulation, 1962 (Gujarat Regulation I of 1962). The Gujarat Surviving Alienations Abolition Act, 1963 (Gujarat Act XXXIII of 1963). The Maharashtra Agricultural Lands (Ceiling on Holdings) Act, 1961 (Maharashtra Act XXVII of 1961). The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Reenactment, Validation and Further Amendment) Act, 1961 | 31 | | | Lands Ceili | ng Act, 196 | 0 (Gujarat |
| Abolition, etc.) Regulation, 1962 (Gujarat Regulation I of 1962). The Gujarat Surviving Alienations Abolition Act, 1963 (Gujarat Act XXXIII of 1963). The Maharashtra Agricultural Lands (Ceiling on Holdings) Act, 1961 (Maharashtra Act XXVII of 1961). The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Reenactment, Validation and Further Amendment) Act, 1961 | | • | • | • | • | |
| (Gujarat Act XXXIII of 1963). The Maharashtra Agricultural Lands (Ceiling on Holdings) Act, 1961 (Maharashtra Act XXVII of 1961). The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Reenactment, Validation and Further Amendment) Act, 1961 | 32 | Abolition, | | | _ | - |
| The Maharashtra Agricultural Lands (Ceiling on Holdings) Act, 1961 (Maharashtra Act XXVII of 1961). The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Reenactment, Validation and Further Amendment) Act, 1961 | 33. | | | | Abolition | Act, 1963 |
| Act, 1961 (Maharashtra Act XXVII of 1961). The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Reenactment, Validation and Further Amendment) Act, 1961 | | (Gujarat A | ct XXXIII of | 1963). | | |
| Act, 1961 (Maharashtra Act XXVII of 1961). The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Reenactment, Validation and Further Amendment) Act, 1961 | | • | • | • | • | |
| enactment, Validation and Further Amendment) Act, 1961 | 34. | | _ | | - | Holdings) |
| | 35. | The Hyders | abad Tenancy | and Agric | ultural La | nds (Re- |
| • • | | | | | mendment) | Act, 1961 |
| | | • | • | • | • | |

10

15

20

25

30

36. The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act, 1950 (Hyderabad Act XXI of 1950).

37. The Jenmikaram Payment (Abolition) Act, 1960 (Kerala Act III of 1961).

38. The Kerala Land Tax Act, 1961 (Kerala Act XIII of 1961).

- 39. The Kerala Land Reforms Act, 1963 (Kerala Act I of 1964).
- 40. The Madhya Pradesh Land Revenue Code, 1959 (Madhya Pradesh Act XX of 1959).
- 41. The Madhya Pradesh Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings Act, 5 1960 (Madhya Pradesh Act XX of 1960).
- 42. The Madras Cultivating Tenants Protection Act, 1955 (Madras Act XXV of 1955).
- 43. The Madras Cultivating Tenants (Payment of Fair Rent) 10

 Act, 1956 (Madras Act XXIV of 1956).
- 44. The Madras Occupants of Kudiyiruppu (Protection from Eviction) Act, 1961 (Madras Act XXXVIII of 1961).
- 45. The Madras Public Trusts (Regulation of Administration 15 of Agricultural Lands) Act, 1961 (Madras Act LVII of 1961).
- 46. The Madras Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling on Land)
 Act, 1961 (Madras Act LVIII of 1961).
- 47. The Mysore Tenancy Act, 1952 (Mysore Act XIII of 1952). 20
- 48. The Coorg Tenants Act, 1957 (Mysore Act XIV of 1957).
- 49. The Mysore Village Offices Abolition Act, 1961 (Mysore Act XIV of 1961).
- 50. The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Validation) Act, 1961 (Mysore Act XXXVI of 1961).
- 51. The Mysore Land Reforms Act, 1961 (Mysore Act X of 1962).
- 52. The Orissa Land Reforms Act, 1960 (Orissa Act XVI of 1960).
- 53. The Orissa Merged Territories (Village Offices Abolition)
 Act, 1963 (Orissa Act X of 1963).

5

10

15

- 54. The Punjab Security of Land Tenures Act, 1953 (Punjab Act X of 1953).
- 55. The Rajasthan Tenancy Act, 1955 (Rajasthan Act III of 1955).
- 56. The Rajasthan Zamindari and Biswedari Abolition Act, 1959 (Rajasthan Act VIII of 1959).
- 57. The Kumaun and Uttarakhand Zamindari Abolition and Land Reforms Act, 1960 (Uttar Pradesh Act XVII of 1960).
- 58. The Uttar Pradesh Imposition of Ceiling on Land Holdings
 Act, 1960 (Uttar Pradesh Act I of 1961).
- 59. The West Bengal Estates Acquisition Act, 1953 (West Bengal Act I of 1954).
- 60. The West Bengal Land Reforms Act, 1955 (West Bengal Act X of 1956).
- 61. The Delhi Land Reforms Act, 1954 (Delhi Act VIII of 1954).
- 62. The Delhi Land Holdings (Ceiling) Act, 1960 (Central Act 24 of 1960).
 - 63. The Manipur Land Revenue and Land Reforms Act, 1960 (Central Act 33 of 1960).
- 25 64. The Tripura Land Revenue and Land Reforms Act, 1960 (Central Act 43 of 1960).

Explanation.—Any acquisition made under the Rajasthan Tenancy Act, 1955 (Rajasthan Act III of 1955), in contravention of the second proviso to clause (1) of article 31A shall, to the extent of the contravention, be void."

APPENDIX I

(Vide para 2 of the Report)

Motion in Lok Sabha for reference of the Bill to Joint Committee

"That the Bill further to amend the Constitution of India be referred to a Joint Committee of the Houses consisting of 45 members, 30 from this House, namely:—

- 1. Shri S. V. Krishnamoorthy Rao
- 2. Shri Bibhuti Mishra
- 3. Shri Sachindra Chaudhuri
- 4. Shri Surendranath Dwivedy
- 5. Shri A. K. Gopalan
- 6. Shri Kashi Ram Gupta
- 7. Shri Ansar Harvani
- 8. Shri Harish Chandra Heda
- 9. Shri Hem Raj
- 10. Shri Ajit Prasad Jain
- 11. Shri S. Kandappan
- 12. Shri Cherian J. Kappen
- 13. Shri L. D. Kotoki
- 14. Shri Lalit Sen
- 15. Shri Harekrushna Mahatab
- 16. Shri Jaswantraj Mehta
- 17. Shri Bibudhendra Misra
- 18. Shri Purushottamdas R. Patel
- 19. Shri T. A. Patil
- 20. Shri A. V. Raghavan
- 21. Shri Raghunath Singh
- 22. Chowdhry Ram Sewak
- 23. Shri Bhola Raut
- 24. Dr. L. M. Singhvi
- 25. Shri M. P. Swamy
- 26. Shri U. M. Trivedi

- 27. Shri Radhelal Vyas
- 28. Shri Balkrishna Wasnik
- 29. Shri Ram Sewak Yadav, and
- 30. Shri Asoke K. Sen

and 15 from Rajya Sabha;

that in order to constitute a sitting of the Joint Committee the quorum shall be one-third of the total number of members of the Joint Committee;

that the Committee shall make a report to this House by the last day of the first week of the next session;

that in other respects the Rules of Procedure of this House relating to Parliamentary Committees shall apply with such variations and modifications as the Speaker may make; and

that this House recommends to Rajya Sabha that Rajya Sabha do join the said Joint Committee and communicate to this House the names of 15 members to be appointed by Rajya Sabha to the Joint Committee."

APPENDIX II

(Vide para 3 of the Report)

Motion in Rajya Sabha

"That this House concurs in the recommendation of the Lok Sabha that the Rajya Sabha do join in the Joint Committee of the Houses on the Bill further to amend the Constitution of India, and resolves that the following members of the Rajya Sabha be nominated to serve on the said Joint Committee:—

- 1. Shri Tarit Mohan Dasgupta
- 2. Shri Rohit Manushankar Dave
- 3. Shri Khandubhai K. Desai
- 4. Shri Nemi Chandra Kasliwal
- 5. Shri Dhirendra Chandra Mallik
- 6. Shri Joseph Mathen
- 7. Shri Nafisul Hasan
- 8. Shri P. Ramamurti
- 9. Shri Raghbir Singh Panjhazari
- 10. Shri S. D. Patil
- 11. Shri Kota Punnaiah
- 12. Shri C. Rajagopalan
- 13. Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh
- 14. Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee
- 15. Shri J. Venkatappa".

APPENDIX III

(Vide para 8 of the Report)

State nint of memoranda/representations/resolutions received by the Joint Committee

| Sl. No. | Nature of document | From whom received | Action taken |
|------------|--------------------|---|--|
| I | Memorandum | Maharashtra Pragat Shetkari Sangh, Poona. | Circulated to members and evidence taken on 11th October, 1963. |
| 2 | Do. | Shri S. G. Bhamburkar, Industrial Economist, Poons. | Do. |
| 3 | Do. | Bhal-Nalkantha Khedut Mandal Gundi (Ahmedabad). | Do. |
| 4 | Do. | Gujrat Ex-Talukdars' Association, Sanand (Ahmedabad). | Circulated to members and evidence taken on 12th October, 1963. |
| 5 | Do. | All India Supari Federation Koppa- Kadur (Mysore). | Do. |
| 6 | Do. | All Kerala Landowners' Association, Chittur. | Circulated to members and evidence taken on 12th November, 1963. |
| 7 | Do. | All India Agriculturists Federation, Mangalore. | Do. |
| 8 | Do. | Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry, New Delhi. | Circulated to members and evidence taken on 13th November, 1963. |
| 9 | Do. | Gujrat Khedut Sangh, Bardoli | Do. |
| 10 | Do. | Swatantra Kisan Sabha, New Delhi. | Do. |
| 11 | Do. | United Planters' Association of Southern India, Coonoor. | Circulated to members and evidence taken on 23rd January, 1964. |
| 12 | Do. | Andhra Pradesh State Convention Committee, Vijayawada. | Do. |
| 13 | Do. | The Belapur Company Ltd., The Maharashtra Sugar Mills, Ltd. Gangapur Sugar Mills Ltd., and Brihan Maharashtra Sugar Syndica Ltd., Bombay. | •• |
| 14 | Do. | Swatantra Party (Punjab) Patiala. | Circulated to members and evidence taken on 22nd February, 1964. |
| 15 | Do. | Ayalur-Kairady-Thiruvazhyad Land Owners' Association, Ayalur (Kerala). | Circulated to members. |
| 16 | Do. | All Orissa Ryots Association, Chatrapur. | Do, |

| Sl. No. | Nature of document | From whom received | Action taken |
|------------|----------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| 17 | Memorandum ' | Sri Kanchi Kamakoti Peethadhipathy? Circ Sri Jagadguru Sri Sankaracharya Kumbakonam. | rulated Ito Imembers. |
| 18 | Do. | South Kanara Landholders' and Agriculturists' Association, Mangalore. | Do. |
| 19 | Do. | Bar Association, Hospet. | Do. |
| 20 | Do. | Shri P. Kodanda Rao Bangalore. | Do. |
| 21 | Do. | Madras State Ryotwari Owners' Association, Kumbakonam. | Do. |
| 22 | Do. | The Ryots Association, Kamalapur, Hospet Taluk, Bellary District. | Do. |
| 23 | Do | Ryotwari Landowners' Association, Kuthanur. | Do. |
| 24 | Do. | Ryotwari Landowners' Association Nallepilly. | Do. |
| 25 | Do. | Tirunelveli District Ryotwari Land- owners' Association, Tirunelveli. | Do. |
| 3 6 | Memorandum and Telegram | The Southern India Chamber of Commerce, Madras. | Do. |
| 27 | Article | Forum of Free Enterprise, Bombay. | Do. |
| 28 | Memorandum | South Kanara & Coorg Areca Growers Union, Puttur. | Do. |
| 29 | Dò. | Agriculturists of Perumati Village, Perumati. | Do. |
| 30 | Do. | The Bar Association, Eluru. | Do. |
| 31 | Do. | Assembly Swatantra Party, Orissa. | Do. |
| 32 | Do. | Supreme Court Bar Association, New Delhi. | Do. |
| 33 | Do. | Malanad Karshaka Union, Palai. | Do. |
| 34 | Do. | The Bombay Karnatak Land Owners Association, Dharwar. | Do. |
| 35 | Da. | Coorg Voters' Association, Mercara | Do. |
| 36 | Dö. | Andhra Chamber of Commerce, Madras. | Do. |
| 37 | Do. | The Bar Association of India, New Delhi. | Do. |
| 38 | Do. | Chaudhuri Hari Ram Advocate, Rohtak, | Do. |
| 39 | Do. | Shri R. Krishnamachary, Kumba- konam. | Do. |

• f

| SL No. | Nature of document | From: whom sectived | Action taken |
|----------------|--------------------|---|------------------------|
| €. 40 ï | Memorandum | "South Kanara Landholders and Farmers' Association, Belthangadi (in Kannada), | Circulated to members. |
| 41 | Do. | 12 different individuals. | Do. |
| 42 | Do. | Swatantra Forum, Calcutta. | Do. |
| 43 | D o. | Ahmedabad Jilla Khedut Sangh, Ahmedabad. | Do. |
| 44 | zz Do. | Saurashtra Khedut Samaj, Rajkot. | Do. |
| 45 | Do. | Daskroi Sah City Taluka Khedut Sangh, Ahmedabad. | Do. |
| 46 | Do. | Kasaragod Taluk Areca Growers' Association, Bellur. | Do. |
| 47 | Do. | District Kisan Sabha, Tirunelveli. | Do. |
| 48 | Do. | Sardar Lal Singh, Daurala (Meerut) | Do. |
| 49 | Do. | Sarvashri Devi Lal, Maulvi Abdul Ghani and Master Tara Singh, New Delhi. | Do. |
| 50 | Do. | Shri B. Laxminarayana Rao, Baluvini kodige (Mysore). | Do. |
| 51 | Do. | Andhra Pradesh Kisan Sammelan, Vijayawada. | De. |
| 52 | Do. | Annapoorna Farming & Fishery Private Ltd., Calcutta. | Do. |
| 53 | Do. | The Chikmagalur District Areca Growers' Association, Koppa- Kadur. | Do. |
| 54 | Do. | Tiruchirapalli District Ryotwari Pattadars' (Farmers) Federation, Tiruchirapalli. | Do. |
| 55 | Do. 1 | Maharashtra Chamber of Commerce, Bombay. | Do. |
| 56 | Do. | Zamin Bachae Sammelan, Delhi. | Do. |
| 57 | Do." | Bellary District Land Improvements Association, Hospet. | Do. 3 |
| 58 | Do. ₁ | Communist Party Kerala State Council, Trivandrum. | Do, i |
| 59 | Do. ; | 'Kasaragod Taluk Landholders' & Ryots' Association, Majeshwar (Kerala) | Do. |
| 60 | Do. | Shri Bhoopalam Chandrasekharayya, Shimoga. | Do.¶ |
| 61 | Do. | All India Manay Sevak Samaj, Vijayawada. | Do. |

| Sl. | Nature of | | |
|------------|--------------|---|--|
| No. | document | From whom received | Action taken |
| 62 | Memorandum . | Kerala Independent Agriculturists (Association, Nalukodi, P.O. Changanacherry (Kottayam Dist., Kerala State). | Circulated to members. |
| 63 | Do. | Panchayath Board, Eranholy (in Malayalam). | Do. |
| 64 | Do. | Indian Sugar Mills Association, Calcutta. | Do. |
| 65 | Do. | Sheti Sangh of Eleven Villages in Tehsil Indapur (Poona) (in Marathi), | Do. |
| 6 6 | Do. | Kuttiazhikathu Devaswom, Thrik- karuva, Quilon. | Do. |
| 67 | Do. | Jenmies of the Travancore Area, Tripunithura. | Do. |
| 68 | | Inaugural address delivered by Shri M. K. Nambyar at the Conference of Southern States on the Constitution (Seventeenth Amendment) Bill, held at Bangalore. | Do. |
| 69 | Resolution | The Yuvak Raita Sangh, Uttameshwar (in Kannada). | Do. |
| 70 | Do. | Shri B. Sathyanarayana Singh, Hospet, | Do. |
| 71 | Do. | Shri A. Devappa Punja, Bantval (South Kanara). | Do. |
| 72 | | 45 resolutions from different Bar Associations and other bodies. | Placed in Parliament Library and members informed. |
| 73 | | I, 21, 817 representations (mostly post-cards/telegrams) opposing the Bill received from different individuals/bodies. | Do. |
| 74 | | 14,208 representations (mostly post-cards/telegrams) supporting the Bill received from different individuals/bodies. | Do. |

APPENDIX IV

(Vide para 9 of the Report)

List of Associations/Individual who gave evidence before the Joint Committee

| 2 Shri S. G. Bhamburkar, Industrial Economist, Poona Do. 3 Bhal-Nalkantha Khedut Mandal, Gundi (Ahmedabad) Do. 4 Gujarat Ex-Talukdars' Association, Sanand (Ahmedabad) Do. 5 All India Supari Federation, Koppa-Kadur (Mysore) Do. 6 All Kerala Landowners' Association, Chittur 12-11-1963 7 All India Agriculturists Federation, Mangalore Do. 8 Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry, New Delhi 13-11-1963 9 Gujarat Khedut Sangh, Bardoli Do. 10 Swatantra Kisan Sabha, New Delhi Do. 11 United Planters' Association of Southern India, Coonoor 23-1-1964 12 Andhra Pradesh State Convention Committee, Vijayawada Do. 13 The Belapur Company Ltd., The Maharashtra Sugar Mills Ltd., Gangapur Sugar Mills, Ltd. and Brihan Maharashtra Sugar Syndicate Ltd., Bombay Do. | S1. No. | Names of Associations/individual | Dates on which evidence was taken |
|---|---------|---|---|
| Bhal-Nalkantha Khedut Mandal, Gundi (Ahmedabad) Gujarat Ex-Talukdars' Association, Sanand (Ahmedabad) All India Supari Federation, Koppa-Kadur (Mysore) All India Supari Federation, Koppa-Kadur (Mysore) All India Agriculturists Federation, Chittur All India Agriculturists Federation, Mangalore Bederation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry, New Delhi Gujarat Khedut Sangh, Bardoli Gujarat Khedut Sangh, Bardoli Do. United Planters' Association of Southern India, Coonoor Andhra Pradesh State Convention Committee, Vijayawada Do. The Belapur Company Ltd., The Maharashtra Sugar Mills Ltd., Gangapur Sugar Mills, Ltd., Bombay Do. | I | Maharashtra Pragat Shetkari Sangh, Poona . | 11-10-1963 |
| Gujarat Ex-Talukdars' Association, Sanand (Ahmedabad) | 2 | Shri S. G. Bhamburkar, Industrial Economist, Poon | a Do. |
| medabad) | 3 | Bhal-Nalkantha Khedut Mandal, Gundi (Ahmedaba | d) Do. |
| 6 All Kerala Landowners' Association, Chittur . 12-11-1963 7 All India Agriculturists Federation, Mangalore . Do. 8 Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry, New Delhi | 4 | | 12-10-1963 |
| 7 All India Agriculturists Federation, Mangalore . Do. 8 Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry, New Delhi | 5 | All India Supari Federation, Koppa-Kadur (Mysore |) Do. |
| 8 Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry, New Delhi | 6 | All Kerala Landowners' Association, Chittur . | 12-11-1963 |
| Industry, New Delhi | 7 | All India Agriculturists Federation, Mangalore . | Do. |
| Swatantra Kisan Sabha, New Delhi United Planters' Association of Southern India, Coonoor Andhra Pradesh State Convention Committee, Vijayawada The Belapur Company Ltd., The Maharashtra Sugar Mills Ltd., Gangapur Sugar Mills, Ltd. and Brihan Maharashtra Sugar Syndicate Ltd., Bombay Do. | 8 | | 13-11-1963 |
| United Planters' Association of Southern India, Coonoor | 9 | Gujarat Khedut Sangh, Bardoli | Do. |
| Coonoor | 10 | Swatantra Kisan Sabha, New Delhi | Do. |
| yawada | 11 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 23-1-1964 |
| Sugar Mills Ltd., Gangapur Sugar Mills, Ltd. and Brihan Maharashtra Sugar Syndicate Ltd., Bombay Do. | 12 | | |
| 14 Swatantra Party (Punjab), Patiala 22-2-1064 | .13 | Sugar Mills Ltd., Gangapur Sugar Mills, Ltd. and Brihan Maharashtra Sugar Syndicate Ltd., | Do. |
| | 14 | Swatantra Party (Punjab), Patiala . • | 22-2-1964 |

APPENDIX V

(Vide para 16(a) of the Report)

List of Acts deleted from clause 3 of the Bill as introduced

- 1. The Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1948 (Madras Act XXVI of 1948).
- 2. The Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Jagirs (Commutation) Regulation (Amendment) Act, 1961, (Andhra Pradesh Act XVIII of 1961).
- 3. The Assam State Acquisition of Zamindaris Act, 1951 (Assam Act XVIII of 1951).
- 4. The Assam Fixation of Ceiling on Land Holdings Act, 1956 (Assam Act I of 1957).
- 5. The Assam Consolidation of Holdings Act, 1960 (Assam Act XIX of 1961).
- 6. The Bihar Land Reforms (Amendment) Act, 1959 (Bihar Act XVI of 1959).
- 7. The Bombay Bhagdari and Narwadari Tenures Abolition Act, 1949 (Bombay Act XXXII of 1949).
- 8. The Bombay Watwa Vazifdari Rights Abolition Act, 1950 (Bombay Act LXII of 1950).
- 9. The Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Amendment) Act, 1951 (Bombay Act XII of 1951).
- 10. The Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Second Amendment) Act, 1951 (Bombay Act XXXIV of 1951).
- 11. The Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Third Amendment) Act, 1951 (Bombay Act XLV of 1951).
- 12. The Salsette Estates (Land Revenue Exemption Abolition) Act, 1951 (Bombay Act XLVII of 1951).
- 13. The Bombay Land Tenures Abolition (Compensation Application Extension of Date) (Amendment) Act, 1952 (Bombay Act III of 1952).

- 14. The Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Amendment) Act, 1952 (Bombay Act XXXIII of 1952).
- 15. The Bombay Saranjam Jahagirs and other Inams of Political Nature Resumption Rules, 1952.
- 16. The Bombay Land Tenures Abolition (Amendment) Act, 1953 (Bombay Act XXXVIII of 1953).
- 17. The Bombay Personal Inams Abolition Act, 1952 (Bombay Act XLII of 1953).
- 18. The Bombay Merged Territories (Ankadia Tenure Abolition) Act, 1953 (Bombay Act XLIII of 1953).
- 19. The Bombay Kauli and Katuban Tenures (Abolition) Act, 1953 (Bombay Act XLIV of 1953).
- 20. The Bombay Merged Territories (Baroda Mulgiras Tenure Abolition) Act, 1953 (Bombay Act XLV of 1953).
- 21. The Bombay Merged Territories (Baroda Watan Abolition) Act, 1953 (Bombay Act XLVI of 1953).
- 22. The Bombay Merged Territories Matadari Tenure Abolition Act, 1953 (Bombay Act XLVIII of 1953).
- 23. The Bombay Land Tenures Abolition (Recovery of Records) Act, 1953 (Bombay Act L of 1953).
- 24. The Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Amendment) Act, 1953 (Bombay Act LX of 1953).
- 25. The Bombay Service Inams (Useful to Community) Abolition Act, 1953 (Bombay Act LXX of 1953).
- 26. The Bombay Merged Territories (Janjira and Bhor) Khoti Tenure Abolition Act, 1953 (Bombay Act LXXI of 1953).
- 27. The Bombay (Okhamandal Salami Tenure Abolition) Act, 1953 (Bombay Act I of 1954).
- 28. The Bombay Pargana and Kulkarni Watans (Abolition) Amendment Act, 1954 (Bombay Act XXIX of 1954).
- 29. The Bombay Merged Territories and Areas (Jagirs Abolition) Act, 1953 (Bombay Act XXXIX of 1954).
 - 30. The Bombay Amending Act, 1954 (Bombay Act LVIII of 1954).
- 31. The Bombay Service Inams Useful to Community (Gujarat and Konkan) Resumption Rules, 1954.

- 32. The Bombay Bhil Naik Inams Abolition Act, 1955 (Bombay Act XXI of 1955).
- 33. The Bombay Merged Territories Miscellaneous Alienations Abolition Act, 1955 (Bombay Act XXII of 1955).
- 34. The Bombay Shilotri Rights (Kolaba) Abolition Act, 1955 (Bombay Act XLVII of 1955).
- 35. The Bombay Pargana and Kulkarni Watans (Abolition) (Amendment) Act, 1955 (Bombay Act L of 1955).
- 36. The Bombay Land Tenures Abolition (Amendment) Act, 1955 (Bombay Act LI of 1955).
- 37. The Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Amendment) Act, 1955 (Bombay Act XIII of 1956).
- 38. The Bombay Land Tenures Abolition (Amendment) Act, 1956 (Bombay Act XL of 1956).
- 39. The Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Amendment) Act, 1957 (Bombay Act XV of 1957).
- 40. The Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Second Amendment) Act, 1957 (Bombay Act XXXVIII of 1957).
- 41. The Bombay Land Tenure Abolition Laws (Amendment) Act, 1958 (Bombay Act LVII of 1958).
- 42. The Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Amendment) Act, 1958 (Bombay Act LXIII of 1958).
- 43. The Bombay Land Tenures Abolition (Amendment) Act, 1958 (Bombay Act XCIII of 1958).
- 44. The Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Vidharbha Region and Kutch Area) Act, 1958 (Bombay Act XCIX of 1958).
- 45. The Bombay Inferior Village Watans Abolition Act, 1958 (Bombay Act I of 1959).
- 46. The Bombay Ankadia Tenure (Saurashtra Area) Abolition Act, 1959 (Bombay Act XXXI of 1959).
- 47. The Bombay Bandhijama, Udhad and Ugadia Tenures Abolition Act, 1959 (Bombay Act XXXV of 1959).
- 48. The Bombay (Saurashtra Area) Aghat Tenure and Ijaras Abolition Act, 1959 (Bombay Act LXV of 1959).
- 49. The Bombay Taluqdari Tenure (Abolition) Amendment Act, 1960 (Bombay Act XVIII of 1960).

- 50. The Gujarat Patel Watans Abolition Act, 1961 (Gujarat Act XLVIII of 1961).
- 51. The Bombay Taluqdari Tenure Abolition (Gujarat Amendment) Act, 1962 (Gujarat Act XV of 1962).
- 52. The Saurashtra Land Reforms Act, 1951 (Saurashtra Act XXV of 1951).
- 53. The Saurashtra Barkhali Abolition Act, 1951 (Saurashtra Act XXVI of 1951).
- 54. The Saurashtra Estates Acquisition Act, 1952 (Saurashtra Act III of 1952).
- 55. The Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Amendment) Act, 1960 (Maharashtra Act IX of 1961).
- 56. The Maharashtra Revenue Patels (Abolition of Office) Act, 1962 (Maharashtra Act XXXV of 1962).
- 57. The Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Amendment) Act, 1962 (Maharashtra Act XXXVI of 1962).
- 58. The Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Second Amendment) Act, 1962 (Maharashtra Act VIII of 1963).
- 59. The West Khandesh Mehwassi Estates (Proprietary Rights Abolition, etc.) Regulation, 1961 (Maharashtra Regulation I of 1962).
- 60. The Hyderabad Abolition of Inams Act, 1954 (Hyderabad Act VIII of 1955).
- 61. The Kerala Agrarian Relations Act, 1960 (Kerala Act IV of 1961).
- 62. The Madhya Bharat Zamindari Abolition Act, Samvat 2008 (Madhya Bharat Act XIII of 1951).
- 63. The Madhya Bharat Abolition of Jagirs Act, Samvat 2008 (Madhya Bharat Act XXVIII of 1951).
- 64. The Madras Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Act, 1951 (Madras Act XVII of 1951).
- 65. The Madras Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Second Amendment Act, 1951 (Madras Act XXXV of 1951).
- 66. The Madras Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryot-wari) Amendment Act, 1953 (Madras Act IX of 1953).
- 67. The Madras Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Act, 1954 (Madras Act XXXIV of 1954).
 2501 (B) LS—3.

- 68. The Madras Estates (Supplementary) Act, 1956 (Madras Act XXX of 1956).
- 69. The Madras Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Act, 1956 (Madras Act XLIV of 1956).
- 70. The Madras Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari), Estates Land (Reduction of Rent) and Estates (Supplementary) (Amendment) Act, 1958 (Madras Act XXXIV of 1958).
- 71. The Madras Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Act, 1961 (Madras Act XVIII of 1961).
- 72. The Madras Estates (Supplementary) Amendment Act, 1961 (Madras Act XXXV of 1961).
- 73. The Mysore (Personal and Miscellaneous) Inams Abolition Act, 1954 (Mysore Act I of 1955).
- 74. The Mysore (Religious and Charitable) Inams Abolition Act, 1955 (Mysore Act XVIII of 1955).
- 75. The PEPSU Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act, 1955 (PEPSU Act XIII of 1955).
- 76. The Rajasthan Land Reforms and Resumption of Jagirs Act, 1952 (Rajasthan Act VI of 1952).
- 77. The Rajasthan Kasar Bhom Abolition Act, 1961 (Rajasthan Act XXXV of 1961).
- 78. The Ajmer Abolition of Intermediaries and Land Reforms Act, 1955 (Ajmer Act III of 1955).
- 79. The Uttar Pradesh Zamindari Abolition and Land Reforms (Amendment) Act, 1952 (U.P. Act XVI of 1953)...
- 80. The Uttar Pradesh Land Reforms (Amendment) Act, 1954 (U.P. Act XX of 1954).
- 81. The Jaunsar Bawar Zamindari Abolition and Land Reforms Act, 1956 (U.P. Act XI of 1956).
- 82. The Uttar Pradesh Land Reforms (Amendment) Act, 1956 (U.P. Act XVIII of 1956).
- 83. The Uttar Pradesh Urban Areas Zamindari Abolition and Land Reforms Act, 1956 (U.P. Act IX of 1957).
- 84. The Uttar Pradesh Zamindari Abolition and Land Reforms (Amendment) Act, 1958 (U.P. Act XIV of 1958).

- 85. The Uttar Pradesh Land Reforms (Amendment) Act, 1958 (U.P. Act XXXVII of 1958).
- 86. The Uttar Pradesh Government Estates Thekedari Abolition Act, 1958 (U.P. Act I of 1959).
- 87. The Uttar Pradesh Land Laws (Amendment) Act, 1962 (U.P. Act XXI of 1962).
- 88. The Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Act, 1933 (Himachal Pradesh Act XV of 1934).

APPENDIX VI

MINUTES OF THE SITTINGS OF THE JOINT COMMITTEE ON THE CONSTITUTION (SEVENTEENTH AMENDMENT) BILL, 1963

I

First Sitting

The Committee met on Monday, the 23rd September, 1963 from 09.30 to 10.12 hours.

PRESENT

Shri S. V. Krishnamoorthy Rao-Chairman

MEMBERS

Lok Sabha

- 2. Shri Bibhuti Mishra
- 3. Shri Kashi Ram Gupta
- 4. Shri Ansar Harvani
- 5. Shri Harish Chandra Heda
- 6. Shri Hem Raj
- 7. Shri Ajit Prasad Jain
- 8. Shri S. Kandappan
- 9. Shri Cherian J. Kappen
- 10. Shri L. D. Kotoki
- 11. Shri Lalit Sen
- 12. Shri Jaswantraj Mehta
- 13. Shri Bibudhendra Misra
- 14. Shri Purushottamdas R. Patel
- 15. Shri T. A. Patil
- 16. Shri A. V. Raghavan
- 17. Shri Raghunath Singh
- 18. Chowdhry Ram Sewak
- 19. Shri Bhola Raut
- 20. Dr. L. M. Singhvi

- 21. Shri M. P. Swamy
- 22. Shri Radhelal Vyas
- 23. Shri Balkrishna Wasnik
- 24. Shri Ram Sewak Yadav

Rajya Sabha

- 25. Shri Rohit Manushankar Dave
- 26. Shri Khandubhai K. Desai
- 27. Shri Nemi Chandra Kasliwal
- 28. Shri Dhirendra Chandra Mallik
- 29. Shri Joseph Mathen.
- 30. Sardar Raghbir Singh Panjhazari
- 31. Shri S. D. Patil
- 32. Shri Kota Punnaiah
- 33. Shri G. Rajagopalan
- 34. Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee
- 35. Shri J. Venkatappa

DRAFTSMAN

Shri V. N. Bhatia, Joint Secretary and Draftsman, Ministry of Law.

SECRETARIAT

Shri A. L. Rai-Deputy Secretary

- 2. The Committee considered whether any evidence should be taken by them and whether it was necessary to issue a press communique advising associations and individuals desirous of presenting their suggestions or views before the Committee in respect of the Bill, to submit written memoranda thereon.
- 3. It was decided that a press communique be issued advising Bar Councils, public bodies, associations or individuals who are desirous of presenting their suggestions or views or giving evidence before the Committee in respect of the Bill to send written memoranda thereon to the Lok Sabha Secretariat by the 5th October, 1963.
- 4. The Committee authorised the Chairman to decide after examining the memoranda received as to which of the associations etc. might be called upon to give oral evidence before the Committee.

- 5. The Committee decided that the following papers might be sirculated to the members of the Committee:
 - (a) Gist of the representations received on the Bill.
 - (b) Acts which are proposed to be added to the Ninth Schedule to the Constitution.
 - (c) List of the Laws mentioned in Clause 3 of the Bill which have been struck down by Law Courts.
 - (d) Relevant decisions of the Supreme Court and High Courts.
 - (e) Gist of debates in Parliament on Article 31B of the Constitution.
 - (f) Statement prepared by the Planning Commission showing summary of the main provisions of the enactments proposed to be included in the Ninth Schedule to the Constitution.
- 6. The Committee decided to sit from the 11th October, 1968 onwards for hearing oral evidence.
- 7. The Committee then adjourned to meet again on Friday, the 11th October, 1963 at 10.00 hours.

п

Second Sitting

The Committee met on Friday, the 11th October, 1963 from 10.00 to 13.15 hours and 15.30 to 17.05 hours.

PRESENT

Shri S. V. Krishnamoorthy Rao-Chairman.

MEMBERS

Lok Sabha

- 2. Shri Bibhuti Mishra
- 3. Shri Sachindra Chaudhuri
- 4. Shri Surendranath Dwivedy
- 5. Shri Kashi Ram Gupta
- 6. Shri Ansar Harvani
- 7. Shri Harish Chendra Heda
- 9. Shri Hem Red

- 9. Shri Ajit Prasad Jain
- 10. Shri S. Kandappan
- 11. Shri Cherian J. Kappen
- 12. Shri L. D. Kotoki
- 13. Shri Lalit Sen
- 14. Shri Harekrushna Mahatab
- 15. Shri Jaswantraj Mehta
- 16. Shri Bibudhendra Misra
- 17. Shri Purushottamdas R. Patel
- 18. Shri T. A. Patil
- 19. Shri A. V. Raghavan
- 20. Shri Raghunath Singh
- 21. Chowdhry Ram Sewak
- 22. Shri Bhola Raut
- 23. Dr. L. M. Singhvi
- 24. Shri U. M. Trivedi
- 25. Shri Radhelal Vyas
- 26. Shri Balkrishna Wasnik
- 27. Shri Ram Sewak Yadav

Rajya Sabha

- 28. Shri Rohit Manushankar Dave
- 29. Shri Khandubhai K. Desai
- 30. Shri Nemi Chandra Kasliwal
- 31. Shri Dhirendra Chandra Mallik
- 32. Shri Joseph Mathen
- 33. Shri Nafisul Hasan
- 34. Shri P. Ramamurti
- 35. Sardar Raghbir Singh Panjhazari
- 36. Shri Kota Punnaiah
- 37. Shri G. Rajagopalan
- 38. Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee
- 39. Shri J. Venkatappa

DRAFTSMEN

- 1. Shri V. N. Bhatia, Joint Secretary and Draftsman, Ministry of Law.
- 2. Shri S. K. Maitra, Deputy Draftsman, Ministry of Law.

REPRESENTATIVE OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION
Shri Ameer Raza, Joint Secretary, Planning Commission.

SECRETARIAT

Shri A. L. Rai—Deputy Secretary.

WITNESSES

- I. Maharashtra Pragat Shetkari Sangh, Poona
- 1. Shri D. G. Shembekar
- 2. Shri S. G. Bhamburkar
- II. Shri S. G. Bhamburkar, Industrial Economist, Poona.
- III. Bhal-Nalkantha Khedut Mandal, Gundi (Ahmedabad)
 - 1. Shri Phuljibhai Dabhi
 - 2. Shri Ambubhai Shah
- 2. The Committee heard the evidence given by the representatives of the Associations/individual named above.
 - 3. A verbatim record of the evidence given was taken.
- 4. The Chairman informed the Committee that some Members of Parliament and a number of associations, bodies and individuals had requested for extension of time for submission of memoranda on the Bill to the Committee. The Committee, after some discussion, decided that the time for receipt of memoranda might be extended upto the 15th November, 1963 and a Press Communique issued to that effect.
- 5. The Committee then adjourned to meet again on Saturday, the 12th October, 1963 at 10.00 hours.

Ш

Third Sitting

The Committee met on Saturday, the 12th October, 1963 from 10.00 to 13.15 hours and 15.30 to 17.15 hours.

PRESENT

Shri S. V. Krishnamoorthy Bao-Chairman

25

MEMBERS

Lok Sabha

- 2. Shri Bibhuti Mishra
- 3. Shri Surendranath Dwivedy
- 4. Shri Kashi Ram Gupta
- 5. Shri Ansar Harvani
- 6. Shri Harish Chandra Heda
- 7. Shri Hem Raj
- 8. Shri Ajit Prasad Jain
- 9. Shri S. Kandappan
- 10. Shri Cherian J. Kappen
- 11. Shri L. D. Kotoki
- 12. Shri Lalit Sen
- 13. Shri Harekrushna Mahatab
- 14. Shri Jaswantraj Mehta
- 15. Shri Bibudhendra Misra
- 16. Shri Purushottamdas R. Patel
- 17. Shri T. A. Patil
- 18. Shri A. V. Raghavan
- 19. Shri Raghunath Singh
- 20. Chowdhry Ram Sewak
- 21. Shri Bhola Raut
- 22. Dr. L. M. Singhvi
- 23. Shri M. P. Swamy
- 24. Shri Radhelal Vyas
- 25. Shri Balkrishna Wasnik
- 26. Shri Ram Sewak Yaday

Rajya Sabha

- 27. Shri Rohit Manushankar Dave
- 28. Shri Khandubhai K. Desai
- 29. Shri Nemi Chandra Kasliwal
- 30. Shri Dhirendra Chandra Mallik
- 31. Shri Joseph Mathen
- 32. Shri Nafisul Hasan

2501(B) LS-4

- 33. Shri P. Ramamurti
- 34. Sardar Raghbir Singh Panjhazari
- 35. Shri S. D. Patil
- 36. Shri Kota Punnaiah
- 37. Shri G. Rajagopalan
- 38. Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee
- 39. Shri J. Venkatappa

DRAFTSMEN

- 1. Shri V. N. Bhatia, Joint Secretary and Draftsman, Ministry of Law.
- 2. Shri S. K. Maitra, Deputy Draftsman, Ministry of Law.

REPRESENTATIVE OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION

Shri Ameer Raza, Joint Secretary, Planning Commission.

SECRETARIAT

Shri A. L. Rai-Deputy Secretary.

WITNESSES

- I. Gujarat Ex-Talukdars' Association, Sanand (Ahmedabad).
 - 1. Shri R. D. Sinh
 - 2. Shri Balwant Singh
 - 3. Shri N. C. Chatterjee
 - 4. Shri J. B. Dadachanji
 - 5. Shri S. M. Dave
 - II. All India Supari Federation, Koppa-Kadur (Mysore)
 - 1. Shri A. Bhima Bhat
 - 2. Shri B. V. Hanumantha Rao
 - 3. Shri Bhoopalam R. Chandreshekharaiah.
- 2. The Committee heard the evidence given by the representatives the Associations named above.
- 3. A verbatim record of the evidence given was taken.
- 4. The Committee then adjourned to meet again on Tuesday, the 12th November, 1963 at 10.00 nours.

IV

Fourth Sitting

The Committee met on Tuesday, the 12th November, 1963 from 10.05 to 13.08 hours.

PRESENT

Shri S. V. Krishnamoorthy Rao-Chairman.

MEMBERS

Lok Sabha

- 2. Shri Bibhuti Mishra
- 3. Shri Surendranath Dwivedy
- 4. Shri Kashi Ram Gupta
- 5. Shri Harish Chandra Heda
- 6. Shri Hem Raj
- 7. Shri Ajit Prasad Jain
- 8. Shri S. Kandappan
- 9. Shri Cherian J. Kappen
- 10. Shri L. D. Kotoki
- 11. Shri Harekrushna Mahatab
- 12. Shri Jaswantraj Mehta
- 13. Shri Bibudhendra Misra
- 14. Shri Purushottamdas R. Patel
- 15. Shri T. A. Patil
- 16. Shri A. V. Raghavan
- 17. Shri Raghunath Singh
- 18. Chowdhry Ram Sewak
- 19. Shri Bhola Raut
- 20. Shri M. P. Swamy
- 21. Shri Radhelal Vyas
- 22. Shri Balkrishna Wasnik
- 23. Shri Ram Sewak Yadav

Rajya Sabha

- 24. Shri Tarit Mohan Das Gupta
- 25. Shri Rohit Manushankar Dave

- 26. Shri Khandubhai K. Desai
- 27. Shri Nemi Chandra Kasliwal
- 28. Shri Dhirendra Chandra Mallik
- 29. Shri Joseph Mathen
- 30. Shri Nafisul Hasan
- 31. Shri P. Ramamurti
- 32. Sardar Raghbir Singh Panjhazari
- 33. Shri S. D. Patil
- 34. Shri Kota Punnaiah
- 35. Shri G. Rajagopalan
- 36. Shri J. Venkatappa

DRAFTSMAN

Shri S. K. Maitra, Deputy Draftsman, Ministry of Law.

REPRESENTATIVES OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION

- 1. Shri Ameer Raza, Joint Secretary, Planning Commission.
- 2. Shri A. N. Seth, Assistant Chief, Planning Commission.

SECRETARIAT

Shri G. V. Mirchandani—Under Secretary.

WITNESSES

- All Kerala Landowners' Association, Chittur Shri C. S. Subramania Ayyar.
- II. All India Agriculturists Federation, Mangalore Shri K. B. Jinaraja Hegde
- 2. The Committee heard the evidence given by the representatives of the Associations named above.
 - 3. A verbatim record of the evidence given was taken.
- 4. The Committee than adjourned to meet again on Wednesday, the 13th November, 1963 at 10.00 hours.

V

Fifth Sitting

The Committee met on Wednesday the 13th November, 1963 from 10.00 to 13.03 hours and 15.02 to 17.15 hours.

PRESENT

Shri S. V. Krishnamoorthy Rao-Chairman.

MEMBERS

Lok Sabha

- 2. Shri Bibhuti Mishra
- 3. Shri Surendranath Dwivedy
- 4. Shri Kashi Ram Gupta
- 5. Shri Harish Chandra Heda
- 6. Shri Hem Raj
- 7. Shri Ajit Prasad Jain
- 8. Shri S. Kandappan
- 9. Shri Cherian J. Kappen ·
- 10. Shri L. D. Kotoki
- 11. Shri Lalit Sen
- 12. Shri Jaswantraj Mehta
- 13. Shri Bibudhendra Misra
- 14. Shri T. A. Patil
- 15. Shri A. V. Raghavan
- 16. Shri Raghunath Singh
- 17. Chowdhry Ram Sewak
- 18. Shri Bhola Raut
- 19. Dr. L. M. Singhvi
- 20. Shri M. P. Swamy
- 21. Shri Radhelal Vyas
- 22. Shri Balkrishna Wasnik
- 23. Shri Ram Sewak Yadav

Rajya Sabka

- 24. Shri Tarit Mohan Das Gupta
- 25. Shri Rohit Manushankar Dave
- 26. Shri Khandubhai K. Desai

- 27. Shri Nemi Chandra Kasliwal
- 28. Shri Dhirendra Chandra Mallik
- 29. Shri Joseph Mathen
- 30. Shri Nafisul Hasan
- 31. Shri P. Ramamurti
- 32. Sardar Raghbir Singh Panjhazari
- 33. Shri S. D. Patil
- 34. Shri Kota Punnaiah
- 35. Shri G. Rajagopalan
- 36. Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee
- 37. Shri J. Venkatappa.

DRAFTSMEN

- 1. Shri R. C. S. Sarkar, Secretary, Legislative Department, Ministry of Law.
- 2. Shri S. K. Maitra, Deputy Draftsman, Ministry of Law.

REPRESENTATIVE OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION

Shri A. N. Seth, Assistant Chief, Planning Commission.

SECRETARIAT

Shri G. V. Mirchandani-Under Secretary.

WITNESSES

- I. Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry, New Delhi
 - 1. Lala Bharat Ram
 - 2. Shri M. L. Khaitan
 - 3. Shri S. K. Somaiya
 - 4. Shri G. L. Bansal
 - 5. Shri N. Krishnamurthi

II. Gujarat Khedut Sangh, Bardoli

- 1. Shri Khushalbhai Patel
- 2. Shri Vasant Rai D. Desai
- 3. Shri Dahyabhai P. Patel
- 4. Shri Bapubhai N. Desai
- 5. Shri Gabilal B. Marfatia

III. Swatantra Kisan Sabha, New Delhi Shri M. R. Arya

- 2. The Committee heard the evidence given by the representatives of the bodies named above.
 - 3. A verbatim record of the evidence given was taken.
- 4. The Committee decided to ask for extension of time for the presentation of their Report upto the last day of the first week of the Seventh Session of Lok Sabha and authorised the Chairman and, in his absence, Shri Hem Raj to move the necessary motion in the House on Manday, the 18th November, 1963.
- 5. The Committee authorised the Chairman to fix the next date of sitting of the Committee some time during the ensuing Session to decide their future programme of work.
 - 6. The Committee then adjourned.

VI

Sixth Sitting

The Committee met on Thursday the 5th December, 1963 from 17.15 to 17.20 hours.

PRESENT

Shri S. V. Krishnamoorthy Rao—Chairman.

MEMBERS

Lok Sabha

- 2. Shri Surendranath Dwivedy
- 3. Shri Hem Raj
- 4. Shri Lalit Sen
- 5. Shri Jaswantrai Mehta
- 6. Shri Bibudhendra Misra
- 7. Shri Purushottamdas R. Patel
- 8. Shri Bhola Raut
- 9. Shri Radhelal Vyas

Rajya Sabha

- 10. Shri Rohit Manushankar Dave
- 11. Shri Dhirendra Chandra Mallik

- 12. Shri Nafisul Hasan
- 13. Sardar Raghbir Singh Panjhazari
- 14. Thakur Bhanu Pratap Singh
- 15. Shri J. Venkatappa

DRAFTSMAN

Shri V. N. Bhatia, Joint Secretary and Draftsman, Ministry of Law.

Representatives of the Planning Commission

Shri Ameer Raza, Joint Secretary, Planning Commission.

SECRETARIAT

Shri G. V. Mirchandani-Under Secretary.

- 2. The Committee considered their future programme of work and decided to hear further oral evidence and to consider the clauses of the Bill from the 23rd January, 1964 onwards.
- 3. The Committee then adjourned to meet again on Thursday, the 23rd January, 1964 at 09:30 hours.

VII

Seventh Sitting

The Committee met on Thursday, the 23rd January, 1964 from 09.40 to 13.08 hours.

PRESENT

Shri S. V. Krishnamoorthy Rao-Chairman.

MEMBERS

Lok Sabha

- 2. Shri Bibhuti Mishra
- 3. Shri Sachindra Chaudhuri
- 4. Shri Surendranath Dwivedy
- 5. Shri A. K. Gopalan
- 6. Shri Kashi Ram Gupta
- 7. Shri Harish Chandra Heda
- 8. Shri Hem Rai

- 9. Shri Ajit Prasad Jain
- 10. Shri S. Kandappan
- 11. Shri Cherian J. Kappen
- 12. Shri L. D. Kotoki
- 13. Shri Lalit Sen
- 14. Shri Harekrushna Mahatab
- 15. Shri Jaswantraj Mehta
- 16. Shri Purushottamdas R. Patel
- 17. Shri T. A. Patil
- 18. Shri A. V. Raghavan
- 19. Shri Raghunath Singh
- 20. Chowdhry Ram Sewak
- 21. Shri Bhola Raut
- 22. Dr. L. M. Singhvi
- 23. Shri M. P. Swamy
- 24. Shri U. M. Trivedi
- 25. Shri Ram Sewak Yaday

Rajya Sabha

- 26. Shri Tarit Mohan Das Gupta
- 27. Shri Rohit Manushankar Dave
- 28. Shri Nemi Chandra Kasliwal
- 29. Shri Dhirendra Chandra Mallik
- 30. Shri Joseph Mathen
- 31. Shri Nafisul Hasan
- 32. Shri P. Ramamurti
- 33. Sardar Raghbir Singh Panjhazari
- 34. Shri S. D. Patil
- 35. Shri Kota Punnaiah
- 36. Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee
- 37. Shri J. Venkatappa.

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman, Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Labour and Employment and for Planning was also present.

DRAFTSMEN

- 1. Shri R. C. S. Sarkar, Secretary, Legislative Department, Ministry of Law.
- 2. Shri S. K. Maitra, Deputy Draftsman, Ministry of Law 2501(B) LS-5

Representative of the Planning Commission Shri Ameer Raza, Joint Secretary, Planning Commission.

SECRETARIAT

Shri A. L. Rai—Deputy Secretary.

WITNESSES

I. United Planters' Association of Southern India, Coonoor.

Shri P. K. Kurian

- II. Andhra Pradesh State Convention Committee, Vijayawada.
 - 1. Shri Pasupuleti Koteswara Rao
 - 2. Shri Prakash Rao
- III. The Belapur Company Ltd., The Maharashtra Sugar Mills Ltd., Gangapur Sugar Mills Ltd. and Brihan Maharashtra Sugar Syndicate Ltd., Bombay.
 - 1. Shri Porus A. Mehta
 - 2. Shri M. L. Bhakta
 - 3. Shri F. Edwards
 - 4. Shri D. M. Dhanukar
 - 5. Shri Limaye
 - 6. Shri J. D. Kapadia
 - 7. Shri S. K. Gubbi
 - 8. Shri S. G. Phadke
- 2. The Committee heard the evidence given by the representatives of the bodies named above.
 - 3. A verbatim record of the evidence given was taken.
- 4. The Committee decided to conclude hearing of evidence on the Bill on the 24th January, 1964 and take up clause-by-clause consideration of the Bill on the 25th January, 1964.
- 5. The Committee then adjourned to meet again on Friday, the 24th January, 1964 at 14.30 hours.

VIII

Eighth Sitting

The Committee met on Friday, the 24th January, 1964 from 14.45 to 15.37 hours.

PRESENT

Shri S. V. Krishnamoorthy Rao-Chairman.

MEMBERS

Lok Sabha

- 2. Shri Bibhuti Mishra
- 3. Shri Sachindra Chaudhuri
- 4. Shri Surendranath Dwivedy
- 5. Shri A. K. Gopalan
- 6. Shri Kashi Ram Gupta
- 7. Shri Harish Chandra Hede
- 8. Shri Hem Raj
- 9. Shri Ajit Prasad Jain
- 10. Shri Cherian J. Kappen
- 11. Shri L. D. Kotoki
- 12. Shri Lalit Sen
- 13. Shri Jaswantraj Mehta
- 14. Shri Bibudhendra Misra
- 15. Shri Purushottamdas R. Patel
- 16. Shri T. A. Patil
- 17. Shri Raghunath Singh
- 18. Chowdhry Ram Sewak
- 19. Shri Bhola Raut
- 20. Dr. L. M. Singhvi
- 21. Shri M. P. Swamy
- 22. Shri Ram Sewak Yadav

Rajya Sabha

- 23. Shri Tarit Mohan Das Gupta
- 24. Shri Rohit Manushankar Dave
- 25. Shri Nemi Chandra Kasliwal

- 27. Shri Dhirendra Chandra Mallik
- 28. Shri Nafisul Hasan
- 29. Shri P. Ramamurti
- 30. Sardar Raghbir Singh Panjhazari
- 31. Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee
- 32. Shri J. Venkatappa

DRAFTSMEN

- 1. Shri R. C. S. Sarkar, Secretary, Legislative Department, Ministry of Law.
- 2. Shri S. K. Maitra, Deputy Draftsman, Ministry of Law.

REPRESENTATIVES OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION

- 1. Shri Ameer Raza, Joint Secretary, Planning Commission.
- 2. Shri A. N. Seth, Assistant Chief, Land Reforms Division, Planning Commission.

SECRETARIAT

Shri A. L. Rai-Deputy Secretary.

2. The Committee considered the question whether it was within their power to omit 90 state enactments from clause 3 of the Bill and add 9 additional state Acts therein.

The Chairman after hearing the Minister of Law and other members ruled that it was within the competence of the Committee to omit certain Acts from clause 3 of the Bill and also to add new Acts therein.

- 3. The Committee decided to hear oral evidence of associations/individuals who might express their desire to present their suggestions or views before the Joint Committee in respect of a proposal to include the following 9 Acts in clause 3 of the Bill:
 - (1) Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Validation) Act, 1961 (Andhra Pradesh Act XXI of 1961).
 - (2) The Gujarat Surviving Alienations Abolition Act, 1963 (Gujarat Act XXXIII of 1963).
 - (3) The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Reenactment, Validation and Further Amendment) Act, 1961 (Maharashtra Act XLV of 1961).

- (4) The Jenmikaram Payment (Abolition) Act, 1960 (Kerala Act III of 1961).
- (5) The Kerala Land Tax Act, 1961 (Kerala Act XIII of 1961).
- (6) The Kerala Land Reforms Act, 1963 (Kerala Act 1 of 1964).
- (7) The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Validation) Act, 1961 (Mysore Act XXXVI of 1961).
- (8) The Orissa Merged Territories (Village Offices Abolition)
 Act, 1963 (Orissa Act X of 1963).
- (9) The Rajasthan Jagirdars Debt Reduction Act, 1956 (Rajasthan Act IX of 1957).
- 4. It was decided that a press communique might be issued advising public bodies/associations desirous of presenting their views or giving oral evidence before the Committee, in respect of the inclusion of the 9 Acts in clause 3 of the Bill, to send 65 copies of their written memoranda to the Lok Sabha Secretariat by the 10th February, 1964.
- 5. The Committee authorised the Chairman to decide, after examining the memoranda, which of the Associations should be called to give oral evidence before the Committee.
- 6. The Committee took the view that evidence might be taken on the 17th February, 1964 at 17.00 hours.
- 7. The Committee decided to ask for extension of time for the presentation of their Report upto the 31st March, 1964. The Chairman and in his absence Shri Raghunath Singh was authorised to move the necessary motion in the House.
- 8. The Committee then adjourned to meet again on Wednesday, the 29th January, 1964 at 10.30 hours.

X

Tenth Sitting

The Committee met on Wednesday, the 29th January, 1964 from 10.32 to 12.55 hours.

PRESENT

Shri S. V. Krishnemoorthy Rao-Chairman.

MEMBERS

Lok Sabha

- 2. Shri Bibhuti Mishra
- 3. Shri Surendranath Dwivedy
- 4. Shri Kashi Ram Gupta
- 5. Shri Ansar Harvani
- 6. Shri Harish Chandra Heda
- 7. Shri Hem Raj
- 8. Shri Ajit Prasad Jain
- 9. Shri Cherian J. Kappen
- 10. Shri L. D. Kotoki
- 11. Shri Lalit Sen
- 12. Shri Jaswantraj Mehta
- 13. Shri Bibudhendra Misra
- 14. Shri Purushottamdas R. Patel
- 15. Shri T. A. Patil
- 16. Shri A. V. Raghavan
- 17. Shri Raghunath Singh
- 18. Chowdhry Ram Sewak
- 19. Shri Bhola Raut
- 20. Dr. L. M. Singhvi
- 21. Shri M. P. Swamy
- 22. Shri U. M. Trivedi
- 23. Shri Radhelal Vyas
- 24. Shri Ram Sewak Yadav
- 25. Shri Asoke K. Sen

Rajya Sabha

- 26. Shri Tarit Mohan Das Gupta
- 27. Shri Rohit Manushankar Dave
- 28. Shri Nemi Chandra Kasliwal
- 29. Shri Dhirendra Chandra Mallik
- 30. Shri Nafisul Hasan
- 31. Shri P. Ramamurti
- 32. Sardar Raghbir Singh Panjhazari
- 33. Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee
- 34. Shri J. Venkatappa

DRAFTSMEN

- 1. Shri R. C. S. Sarkar, Secretary, Legislative Department, Ministry of Law.
- 2. Shri S. K. Maitra, Deputy Draftsman, Ministry of Law.

REPRESENTATIVES OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION

- 1. Shri Ameer Raza, Joint Secretary, Planning Commission.
- 2. Shri A. N. Seth, Assistant Chief, Land Reforms Division, Planning Commission.

SECRETARIAT

Shri A. L. Rai-Deputy Secretary.

- 2. The Committee took up clause by clause consideration of the Bill.
 - 3. Enacting Formula.—The following amendment was accepted:—Page 1, line 1,

for "Fourteenth" substitute "Fifteenth"

The Enacting Formula, as amended, was adopted.

4. Clause 1.—The following amendment was accepted:—

Page 1, line 4,

for "1963" substitute "1964"

The clause, as amended, was adopted.

- 5. Clause 2.—The following amendments were accepted:—
- (1) Page 1,

for line 5, substitute—

"In article 31A of the Constitution—

- (i) in clause (1), after the existing proviso, the following proviso shall be inserted, namely:—
 - 'Provided further that where any law makes provision for the acquisition by the State of any estate and where the land held therein by a person under his personal cultivation does not exceed the ceiling limit applicable to him under any law for the time being in force, it shall not be lawful for the State to acquire any such land held by that person or any building or structure

standing thereon or appurtenant thereto unless the law relating to such acquisition provides for payment of compensation for the land and the buildings or structures so acquired on the basis of market value thereof.'

- (ii) in clause (2), for sub-clause"
- (2) Page 1, line 17,

for "pasture and sites" substitute "pasture or sites".

The clause, as amended, was adopted.

A member suggested that a recommendation might be incorporated in the Report of the Joint Committee to the effect that the provisions of the Constitution in this regard should apply only when changes were made in the land systems on a broad scale. However, if any State acquires property of an individual or a group of people, it should ordinarily be done under the Land Acquisition Act and full compensation should be paid for such acquisition.

The Chairman observed that the matter might be taken up when the draft Report is considered.

- 6. Clause 3.—The Committee agreed to the inclusion of the following State enactments under this clause:—
 - (1) The Andhra Pradesh Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings Act, 1961 (Andhra Pradesh Act X of 1961).
 - (2) The Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Ijara and Kowli Land Cancellation of Irregular Pattas and Abolition of Concessional Assessment Act, 1961 (Andhra Pradesh Act XXXVI of 1961).

The consideration of the clause was not concluded.

7. The Committee then adjourned to meet again on Thursday, the 30th January, 1964 at 14.00 hours.

XI

Eleventh Sitting

The Committee met on Thursday, the 30th January, 1964 from 14.04 to 16.14 hours.

PRESENT

Shri S. V. Krishnamoorthy Reo-Chairman.

MEMBERS

Lok Sabha

- 2. Shri Bibhuti Mishra
- 3. Shri Shri Kashi Ram Gupta
- 4. Shri Ansar Harvani
- 5. Shri Harish Chandra Heda
- 6 Shri Hem Raj
- 7. Shri Ajit Prasad Jain
- 8. Shri Cherian J. Kappen
- 9. Shri L. D. Kotoki
- 10. Shri Lalit Sen
- 11. Shri Jaswantraj Mehta
- 12. Shri Bibudhendra Misra
- 13. Shri Purushottamdas R. Patel
- 14. Shri T. A. Patil
- 15. Shri Raghunath Singh
- 16. Chowdhry Ram Sewak
- 17. Shri Bhola Raut
- 18. Dr. L. M. Singhvi
- 19. Shri M. P. Swamy
- 20. Shri U. M. Trivedi
- 21. Shri Radhelal Vyas
- 22. Shri Ram Sewak Yadav
- 23. Shri Asoke K. Sen.

Rajya Sabha

- 24. Shri Tarit Mohan Das Gupta
- 25. Shri Rohit Manushankar Dave
- 26. Shri Nemi Chandra Kasliwal
- 27. Shri Dhirendra Chandra Mallik
- 28. Shri Nafisul Hasan
- 29. Shri P. Ramamurti
- 30. Sardar Raghbir Singh Panjhazari
- 31. Shri G. Rajagopalan
- 32. Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee
- 33. Shri J. Venkatappa.

DRAFTSMEN

- 1. Shri R. C. S. Sarkar, Secretary, Legislative Department, Ministry of Law.
- 2. Shri S. K. Maitra, Deputy Draftsman, Ministry of Law.

REPRESENTATIVES OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION

- 1. Shri Ameer Raza, Joint Secretary, Planning Commission.
- 2. Shri A. N. Seth, Assistant Chief, Land Reforms Division,
 Planning Commission.

SECRETARIAT

Shri A. L. Rai—Deputy Secretary.

- 2. The Committee resumed clause by clause consideration of the Bill.
- 3. Clause 3.—The Committee approved the inclusion of the following State enactments under this clause:—
 - (1) The Assam State Acquisition of Lands Belonging to Religious or Charitable Institution of Public Nature Act, 1959 (Assam Act IX of 1961).
 - (2) The Bihar Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling Area and Acquisition of Surplus Land) Act, 1961 (Bihar Act XII of 1962) (except section 28 of this Act)
 - (3) The Bombay Inams (Kutch Area) Abolition Act, 1958 (Bombay Act XCVIII of 1958).
 - (4) The Gujarat Agricultural Lands Ceiling Act, 1960 (Gujarat Act XXVII of 1961).
 - (5) The Sagbara and Mehwassi Estates (Proprietary Rights Abolition, etc.) Regulation, 1962 (Gujarat Regulation I of 1962).
 - (6) The Mahrashtra Agricultural Lands (Ceiling on Holdings)
 Act, 1961 (Maharashtra Act XXVII of 1961).
 - (7) The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act, 1950 (Hyderabad Act XXI of 1960).
 - (8) The Madhya Pradesh Land Revenue Code, 1959 (Madhya Pradesh Act XX of 1959).
 - (9) The Madhya Pradesh Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings Act, 1960 (Madhya Pradesh Act XX of 1960).

- (10) The Madras Cultivating Tenants Protection Act, 1955 (Madras Act XXV of 1955).
- (11) The Madras Cultivating Tenants (Payments of Fair Rent)
 Act, 1956 (Madras Act XXIV of 1956).
- (12) The Madras Occupants of Kudiyiruppu (Protection from Eviction) Act, 1961 (Madras Act XXVIII of 1961).
- (13) The Madras Public Trusts (Regulation of Administration of Agricultural Lands) Act, 1961 (Madras Act LVII of 1961).
- (14) The Madras Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling on Land)
 Act, 1961 (Madras Act LVIII of 1961).
- (15) The Mysore Tenancy Act, 1952 (Mysore Act XIII of 1952).
- (16) The Coorg Tenants Act, 1957 (Mysore Act XIV of 1957).
- (17) The Mysore Village Offices Abolition Act, 1961 (Mysore Act XIV of 1961).
- (18) The Mysore Land Reforms Act, 1961 (Mysore Act X of 1962).
- (19) The Orissa Land Reforms Act, 1960 (Orissa Act XVI of 1960).
- (20) The Rajasthan Tenancy Act, 1955 (Rajasthan Act III of 1955). (Subject to the Proviso to clause (1) of Art. 31-A which the Committee had adopted on 29th January, 1964).
- (21) The Rajasthan Zamindari and Biswedari Abolition Act, 1959 (Rajasthan Act VIII of 1959).
- (22) The Kumaun and Uttarakhand Zamindari Abolition and Land Reforms Act, 1960 (U.P. Act XVII of 1960).
- (23) The Uttar Pradesh Imposition of Ceiling on Land Holdings Act, 1960 (U.P. Act I of 1961)
- (24) The West Bengal Estates Acquisition Act, 1953 (West Bengal Act I of 1954).
- (25) The West Bengal Land Reforms Act, 1955 (West Bengal Act X of 1956).
- (26) The Delhi Land Reforms Act, 1954 (Delhi Act VIII of 1954).
- (27) The Delhi Land Holdings (Ceiling) Act, 1960 (Central Act 24 of 1960).

- (28) The Manipur Land Revenue and Land Reforms Act, 1960 (Central Act 33 of 1960).
- (29) The Tripura Land Revenue and Land Reforms Act, 1960 (Central Act 43 of 1960).
- 4. Consideration of the following State enactments for inclusion in clause 3 of the Bill was held over:—
 - (1) The Punjab Security of Land Tenures Act, 1953 (Punjab Act X of 1953).
 - (2) The Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Act, 1953 (Himachal Pradesh Act XV of 1954).
- 5. The Committee decided not to include The PEPSU Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act, 1955 (PEPSU Act XIII of 1955) in clause 3 of the Bill.
 - 6. The consideration of clause 3 of the Bill was not concluded.
- 7. The Committee then adjourned to meet again on Monday, the* 17th February, 1964 at 17.00 hours.

XII

Twelfth Sitting

The Committee met on Saturday, the 22nd February, 1964 from 14.40 to 15.20 hours.

PRESENT

Shri S. V. Krishnamoorthy Rao-Chairman.

MEMBERS

Lok Sabha

- 2. Shri Bibhuti Mishra
- 3. Shri Harish Chandra Heda
- 4. Shri Cherian J. Kappen
- 5. Shri Lalit Sen
- 6. Shri Harekrushna Mahatab
- 7. Shri Bibudhendra Misra

^{*}Subsequently the date of next sitting was changed by the Chairman to 22nd February, 1994.

- 8. Shri A. V. Raghavan
- 9. Shri Raghunath Singh
- 10. Shri M. P. Swamy

Rajya Sabha

- 11. Shri Tarit Mohan Das Gupta
- 12. Shri Rohit Manushankar Dave
- 13. Shri Khandubhai K. Desai
- 14. Shri Nemi Chandra Kasliwal
- 15. Shri Nafisul Hasan
- 16 Shri S. D. Patil
- 17. Thakur Bhanu Pratap Singh

Shri R. M. Hajarnavis, Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs, was also present.

DRAFTSMAN

- 1. Shri R. C. S. Sarkar, Secretary, Legislative Department, Ministry of Law.
- 2. Shri S K. Maitra, Deputy Draftsman, Ministry of Law.

REPRESENTATIVE OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION

Shri A. N. Seth, Assistant Chief, Land Reforms Division, Planning Commission.

SECRETARIAT

Shri A. L. Rai—Deputy Secretary.

WITNESS

Swatantra Party (Punjab), Patiala

Shri C. L. Aggarwal.

- 2. The Committee heard the evidence given by the representative of the party named above.
 - 3. A verbatim record of the evidence given was taken.
- 4. The Committee then adjourned to meet again on Tuesday, the 10th March, 1964 at 17.00 hours.

XIII

Thirteenth Sitting

The Committee met on Tuesday, the 10th March, 1964 from 17.05 to 18.15 hours.

PRESENT

Shri S. V. Krishnamoorthy Rao-Chairman.

MEMBERS

Lok Sabha

- 2. Shri Sachindra Chaudhuri
- 3. Shri Kashi Ram Gupta
- 4. Shri Hem Raj
- 5. Shri L. D. Kotoki
- 6. Shri Lalit Sen
- 7. Shri Harekrushna Mahatab
- 8. Shri Jaswantraj Mehta
- 9. Shri Bibudhendra Misra
- 10. Shri A. V. Raghavan
- 11. Shri Bhola Raut
- 12. Dr. L. M. Singhvi
- 13. Shri U. M. Trivedi
- 14. Shri Radhelal Vyas
- 15. Shri Balkrishna Wasnik
- 16. Shri Asoke K. Sen

Rajya Sabha

- 17. Shri Nemi Chandra Kasliwal
- 18. Shri Joseph Mathen
- 19. Shri Nafisul Hasan
- 20. Shri Kota Punnaiah
- 21. Thakur Bhanu Pratap Singh
- 22. Shri J. Venkatappa

DRAFTSMEN

- 1. Shri R. C. S. Sarkar, Secretary, Legislative Department, Ministry of Law.
- 2 Shri S. K. Maitra, Deputy Drafteman, Ministry of Low.

REPRESENTATIVE OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION

Shri A. N. Seth, Assistant Chief, Land Reforms Division, Planning Commission.

SECRETARIAT

Shri A. L. Rai—Deputy Secretary.

- 2. The Committee resumed clause by clause consideration of the Bill.
 - 3. Clause 3 (continued)
 - (a) (Vide para 4 of the Minutes, dated the 30th January, 1964)
 - (i) The Committee approved the inclusion of the Punjab Security of Land Tenures Act, 1953 (Punjab Act X of 1953) in this clause.
 - (ii) The Committee decided not to include the Himachal Pradesh Abolition of Big Landed Estates and Land Reforms Act, 1953 (Himachal Pradesh Act XV of 1954) in this clause.
 - (b) (Vide para 3 of the Minutes, dated the 28th January, 1964)
 - (i) The Committee approved the inclusion of the following eight new State Acts in this clause:—
 - (1) Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Validation) Act, 1961 (Andhra Pradesh Act XXI of 1961).
 - . (2) The Gujarat Surviving Alienations Abolition Act, 1963 (Gujarat Act XXXIII of 1963).
 - (3) The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Reenactment, Validation and Further Amendment)
 Act, 1961 (Maharashtra Act XLV of 1961).
 - (4) The Jenmikaram Payment (Abolition) Act, 1960 (Kerala Act III of 1961).
 - (5) The Kerala Land Tax Act, 1961 (Kerala Act XIII of 1961).

- (6) The Kerala Land Reforms Act, 1963 (Kerala Act 1 of 1964)
- (7) The Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Validation) Act, 1961 (Mysore Act XXXVI of 1961).
- (8) The Orissa Merged Territories (Village Offices Abolition) Act, 1963 (Orissa Act X of 1963).
- (ii) The Committee decided not to include the Rajasthan Jagirdars Debt Reduction Act, 1956 (Rajasthan Act IX of 1957) in this clause.
- (c) The following amendments were accepted:—

Page 9,

- (i) omit lines 3-6
- (ii) after line 6, add-
 - "Explanation.—Any acquisition made under the Rajasthan Tenancy Act, 1955 in contravention of the second proviso to clause (1) of article 31A shall, to the extent of the contravention, be void."

- (d) The Committee decided that the State amending Acts listed in this clause which amend the Acts already included in the Ninth Schedule to the Constitution and which require the constitutional protection might be retained in this clause. The Draftsman was directed to include such Acts in this clause.
- (e) The Committee decided not to include the other remaining State Acts in this clause.

The clause, as amended, was adopted.

4. Clause 2.—The Committee re-opened their earlier decision regarding insertion of a new proviso to clause (1) of Article 31A of the Constitution (Vide para 5 of the Minutes, dated the 29th January, 1964).

The following re-draft of the proviso was accepted:-

"In clause (1) of article 31A of the Constitution, after the existing proviso, the following proviso shall be inserted namely:—

Provided further that where any law makes any provision for the acquisition by the State of any estate and

where any land comprised therein is held by a person under his personnel cultivation, it shall not be lawful for the State to acquire any portion of such land as is within the ceiling limit applicable to him under any law for the time being in force or any building or structure standing thereon or appurtenant thereto unless the law relating to the acquisition of such land, building or structure, provides for payment of compensation at a rate which shall not be less than the market value thereof."

The clause, as further amended, was adopted.

- 5. The Title was adopted without amendment.
- 6. Draftsman was directed to make drafting o_T consequential changes in the Bill wherever necessary in the light of amendments accepted by the Committee.
- 7. The Committee decided that the evidence given before them might be laid on the Tables of the Houses and the memoranda submitted by the associations/bodies who gave evidence might be placed in the Parliament Library for reference by members.
- 8. The Committee then adjourned to meet again on Tuesday, the 17th March, 1964 at 17.00 hours to consider their draft Report.

XIV --

Fourteenth Sitting

The Committee met on Tuesday, the 17th March, 1964 from 17.07 to 17.45 hours.

PRESENT

Shri S. V. Krishnamoorthy Rao-Chairman.

MEMBERS

Lok Sabha

- 2. Shri Kashi Ram Gupta
- 3. Shri Hem Raj

. (1

- 4. Shri Ajit Prasad Jain
- 5. Shri S. Kandappan

- 6. Shri Cherian J. Kappen
- 7. Shri Lalit Sen
- 8. Shri Harekrushna Mahatab
- 9. Shri Bibudhendra Misra
- 10. Shri Purushottamdas R. Patel
- 11. Shri T. A. Patil
- 12. Shri A. V. Raghavan
- 13. Chowdhry Ram Sewak
- 14. Dr. L. M. Singhvi
- 15. Shri Radhelal Vyas
- 16. Shri Ram Sewak Yadav

Rajya Sabha

i

- 17. Shri Tarit Mohan Das Gupta
- 18. Shri Nemi Chandra Kasliwal
- 19. Shri Dhirendra Chandra Mallik
- 20. Shri Joseph Mathen
- 21. Shri Nafisul Hasan
- 22. Shri P. Ramamurti
- 23. Sardar Raghbir Singh Panjhazari
- 24. Shri S. D. Patil
- 25. Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee

DRAFTSMAN

Shri V. N. Bhatia, Joint Secretary and Draftsman, Ministry of Law.

REPRESENTATIVES OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION

- 1. Shri Ameer Raza, Joint Secretary, Planning Commission.
- 2. Shri A. N. Seth, Assistant Chief, Planning Commission.

SECRETARIAT

Shri A. L. Rai-Deputy Secretary.

- 2. The Committee adopted the Bill as amended.
- 3. The Committee then considered and adopted the draft Report with the following amendment:—
 - In the second sub-para of para 16(a) for "The Committee find", substitute "The Committee were informed by the Government".

- 4. The Chairman announced that the minutes of dissent, if any, might be sent to the Lok Sabha Secretariat so as to reach them by 17:00 hours on Tuesday the 24th March, 1964.
- 5. The Committee authorised the Chairman and, in his absence, Shri Hem Raj to present the Report on their behalf and to lay the evidence on the Table of the House after the presentation of the Report.
- 6. The Committee also authorised Shri Nafisul Hasan and, in his absence, Shri Joseph Mathen to lay the Report and the evidence on the Table of Rajya Sabha.
- 7. The Chairman announced that the Report would be presented to Lok Sabha on Wednesday the 25th March, 1964 and laid on the Table of Rajya Sabha when that House met next

The Committee then adjourned.