Title: Regarding Protest by members of Youth Congress in front of official residence of the Speaker on 5th August, 2015.

डॉ. किरीट सोमैंया (मुम्बई उत्तर पूर्व) : माननीय अध्यक्ष जी, युÂामा जी के भावनात्मक बयान और जो उन्होंने कहा है, उसके बाद में मैं सदन का थोड़ा ध्यान चाइता हूं और दुख व्यक्त करना चाइता हूं। कल यूथ कांग्रेस ने जिस प्रकार से इस सदन की जो अध्यक्ष हैं, स्पीकर हैं, कांस्टीटसूशनल हैंड हैं, उस कांस्टीटसूशनल हैंड के खिलाफ पुदर्शन करना, उनके बारे में इस प्रकार के वाक्य उत्तार करना और यूथ कांग्रेस के कार्यकर्ता सिर्फ यहां तक ही नहीं रूके, उनके अध्यक्ष कहते हैं कि हम सदन के पास, पार्लियामेंट में आकर, पार्लियामेंट के गेट पर स्पीकर का पुताला जलाएंगे।...(व्यवधान) यह बहुत ही खेद की बात हैं।...(व्यवधान) माननीय अध्यक्ष जी, मैं कुछ वाक्य पढ़कर सुनाना चाहूंगा और सदन से अनुरोध करूंगा कि इसके संबंध में खेद पूरताव व्यक्त करे।...(व्यवधान) The Youth Congress spokesperson, ... ं justified and said, "If the Speaker is not just, there is nothing wrong in protesting against her."

माननीय अध्यक्ष : उनके किसी के नाम नहीं जाएंगे।

…(<u>व्यवधान</u>)

माननीय अध्यक्ष : किसी के नाम रिकार्ड में नहीं जाएंगे।

…(<u>व्यवधान</u>)

**डॉ. किरीट सोमैया:** स्पीकर महोदया, मैं एक ही पूर्थना करूमा<sub>।</sub> मैंने प्रिविलेज मोशन भी सिमट किया है<sub>।</sub> आप मेरा प्रिविलेज मोशन एडमिट करें, वर्योकि यह विÂाय आपका व्यक्तिगत नहीं है<sub>।</sub> यह लोकतंतु का विÂाय है<sub>।</sub> मेरी आपसे पूर्थना है कि आप प्रिविलेज कमेटी को यह विÂाय भेजिए<sub>।</sub>

श्री अर्जुन राम मेघवाल (बीकानेर): महोदया, मैं इसमें एक चीज जोड़ना चाहता हूं कि ऐसा पहले कभी नहीं हुआ है कि स्पीकर के निर्णय को इस तरह से वैतेंज किया जाए। यह लोकतंत्र की हत्या है इसिए मेरा मानना है कि इस पुरताव को स्वीकार किया जाए और प्रिवितेज कमेटी को भेजा जाए।

**माननीय अध्यक्ष :** सर्वश्री भैरों पुसाद मिश्र, निश्चिकांत दुबे, पी.पी. चौधरी, सुधीर गूसा और स्टनलाल कटारिया को डॉ. किरीट सोमैया द्वारा उठाए गए विÅाय के साथ संबद्ध करने की अनुमति पुदान की जाती हैं।

THE MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT, MINISTER OF HOUSING AND URBAN POVERTY ALLEVIATION AND MINISTER OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI M. VENKAIAH NAIDU): I only appeal to the hon. Speaker not to take this incident as personal one because the demonstration is against the Speaker. It is against the Institution. I appeal to the entire House and also to the people at large that we have to protect the Institution, the Parliament. The Speaker, the Chairman, the President of India, the Prime Minister are the institutions. Here, in the Parliament, we have seen for eleven days how much patience the Speaker has shown, how much persuasion she has made; how many times she met the Members. She also called for a meeting and requested them. In spite of that, what they did. I am not going into that because as they are not here I do not want to make any comments on them.

As Parliamentary Affairs Minister it pains me if somebody goes to the Speaker's home and holds a demonstration and threatens that they will burn the effigy of the Speaker and then charge the Speaker with partisanship. Then, how do you expect the institutions to run effectively? It is nothing but blackmailing, it is nothing but threatening. The issue is not which party has done that. Today you are in the Chair. This is a very serious issue and very important issue. It is an assault on the parliamentary democracy, it is an assault on the system. So, it has to be taken seriously and appropriate action has to be taken as per the rules of the House. I also have a number of instances where even constitutional experts like Shri K.K. Venugopal and also former Additional Solicitor General, Shri Altaf Ahmad had argued in the court over this issue.

I do not think that anybody in the country can have some kind of dispute over this matter. Since morning, after I read about it in the newspapers, I am pained very much. I talked to some people, not only of this side but also of the other side. Everybody is aghast as to how could this happen. It has never happened in the history of Independent India. You may disagree with the Speaker. There were instances when people disagreed with the Speaker. But attributing motives to the Speaker, partisanship and going to the Speaker's home physically is something which is unheard of. What is the purpose of going to the house of the Speaker? Then they are holding demonstrations and are threatening that they will burn the Speaker's effigy and will shave their heads, etc. I do not think this is a proper way of holding a protest in the parliamentary democracy. There are other ways of protesting. What they have been doing from day one is known to everybody.

So, I only appeal to the Chair not to take it as a personal reference. It is a very serious matter and it should be referred to Privileges Committee. Let the Privileges Committee go through it and then on the basis of the merit of the case let the Privileges Committee take appropriate action. That is the only request I have as Parliamentary Affairs Minister.

HON. SPEAKER: Thank you very much. Your notices for privilege motion are under my consideration. I will consider them.

HON. SPEAKER: The House will now take up 'Zero Hour'.