Fourteenth Loksabha

Session: 7
Date: 17-02-2006

Participants: <u>Acharia Shri Basudeb, Dasgupta Shri Gurudas, Tripathy Shri Braja</u> <u>Kishore, Malhotra Prof. Vijay Kumar, Pal Shri Rupchand, Chatterjee Shri Somnath</u>

>

Title: Discussion on India's vote on the Iran Nuclear issue.

SHRI BASU DEB ACHARIA Sir, before the Statement of the Prime Minister, please allow us to make a submission.

MR. SPEAKER: How is it possible? There is no such procedure.

SHRI BASU DEB ACHARIA Sir, please allow me for one minute. We have submitted our notices and we discussed it also.

श्री रामजीलाल सुमन अध्यक्ष महोदय, कल राट्रपति जी का अभिभाग हुआ। एक तरह से राट्रपति जी का अभिभाग सरकार की मंशा होती है। ...(<u>व्यवधान</u>)

SHRI BASU DEB ACHARIA Sir, today the hon. Prime Minister is making the Statement on a very important matter regarding voting on Iran and referring it to the Security Council. What we wanted is that immediately after the Statement, there should be a discussion on this.

MR. SPEAKER: It could be, subject to availability of time.

SHRI BASU DEB ACHARIA He is making a Statement. Then, it will be taken up after one week. It is an important issue. Sir, in the meeting convened by you all the political parties said that there should be immediate discussion on this.

MR. SPEAKER: There should be a structured discussion. I have accepted your notice for a discussion on this.

SHRI BASU DEB ACHARIA: We want to express our views and the nation also wants to know the views of this House.

SHRI GURUDAS DASGUPTA Sir, I am on a different view. My point is that the hon. Prime Minister is using his right to make a Statement. He can do so any time he likes because he is heading the Government. That is not the point with me. The point is that we are a supporting Party. This is not a one-Party Government. It is clear to the Government and more so to the

Prime Minister that as supporting Parties, we have serious differences. He is aware of our serious differences. Therefore, the system should have been that as and when the Prime Minister speaks, immediately the discussion begins. Why should it begin? It is because the House will be seized of the view of the Prime Minister but our views will not be known to the House. With all humility to Dr. Singh, I am saying that this is not a very nice parliamentary system and nice parliamentary tradition.

MR. SPEAKER: No. I think ordinarily we should welcome the Government's views on an important matter.

SHRI BASU DEB ACHARIA We did not object to that. But we want an immediate discussion.

SHRI GURUDAS DASGUPTA We never objected to that.

MR. SPEAKER: You know that there is no possibility because of this Session.

SHRI GURUDAS DASGUPTA Sir, heavens would not have fallen if the hon. Prime Minister agreed... (*Interruptions*)

MR. SPEAKER I did not know that you have faith in heavens.

SHRI BRAJA KISHORE TRIPATHY Sir, the hon. Prime Minister is making a *suo motu* statement. But we want to have a full discussion in this House.

MR. SPEAKER: I have committed that you will have a discussion. I am sure all the hon. Members will make good contribution in the debate. We shall have a full discussion.

श्री रामजीलाल सुमन प्रधान मंत्री जी अपना वक्तव्य दें, उन्हें कोई रोक नहीं सकता लेकिन बेहतर होगा, अगर सभी दलों की राय आ जाती। मैं समझता हूं कि इससे प्रधान मंत्री का वक्तव्य देने की ज्यादा सार्थकता होती।

MR. SPEAKER: We are developing a new system. Before the Prime Minister's speech, we are discussing whether he should speak or not.

... (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: You also feel the same way.

प्रो. विजय कुमार मल्होत्रा (दक्षिण दिल्ली) : अध्यक्ष महोदय, आपसे वहां जो बातचीत हुई थी, उसमें यही हुआ था कि ...(<u>व्यवधान</u>)

अध्यक्ष महोदयः आपने भी कहा था।

प्रो. विजय कुमार मल्होत्रा प्रधानमंत्री जी जब चाहें वक्तव्य दे सकते हैं लेकिन राट्रपति जी के अभिभााण पर बहस से पहले और कोई बहस नहीं हो सकती, यह विरोध किया गया था। अगर प्रधान मंत्री जी अपना वक्तव्य 24 तारीख को देते और 27 को बहस हो जाती तो कोई फर्क नहीं पड़ांÉÉ[R24]।

उनको अधिकार है, वे अपना वक्तव्य दें, लेकिन पांच-छः दिन निकल जाएं, यह उचित नहीं है।...(व्यवधान)

MR. SPEAKER: May I make my position very clear?

... (Interruptions)

SHRI RUPCHAND PAL Sir, we welcome the fact that the hon. Prime Minister has come to this House to explain as to what had happened at the IAEA. But a substantial section of this House has a different view on this issue. What would happen after the hon. Prime Minister has made his statement? The country would know only about the views of the Government on this issue... (*Interruptions*)

MR. SPEAKER: You may agree with the view of the Government after you have heard the statement of the hon. Prime Minister.

... (Interruptions)

SHRI RUPCHAND PAL : Sir, we have asked for a structured debate on this issue... (*Interruptions*)

MR. SPEAKER I have said that this is an important issue and should be discussed on the floor of the House. There is a demand for a structured debate and I have said, 'yes'. But we have to start with the Motion of Thanks on the President's Address. *Rashtrapatiji* had been kind enough to deliver his address to the nation in the Parliament. As soon as that discussion is over and as soon as the Budget is presented, we shall take up the discussion on this issue.

... (Interruptions)

श्री रामजीलाल सुमन अध्यक्ष महोदय, सदस्यों की राय जानने के बाद माननीय प्रधानमंत्री जी अपना वक्तव्य देते तो वह ज्यादा सार्थक होता...(व्यवधान) MR. SPEAKER It is very unfortunate. This is very unfortunate. I can only say that this is very unfortunate. You are entitled to make your comments both inside and outside Parliament. But now please listen to the Statement to be made by the hon. Prime Minister with the respect he deserves.