COMMITTEE

ON

GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES (2016-2017)

(SIXTEENTH LOK SABHA)

FIFTY- EIGHTH REPORT

REQUESTS FOR DROPPING OF ASSURANCES (NOT ACCEDED TO)

Presented to Lok Sabha on11/04/2017



LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

April, 2017/ Chaitra, 1939 (Saka)

CONTENTS

		Page
Compos	ition of the Committee (2016-2017)	(iii)
Introduc	ction	(iv)
Report		1-3
Appendi	ices-I to XIX	
	Requests for Dropping of Assurances (Not Acceded to)	
I.	USQ No. 149 dated 05.12.2013 regarding 'Audit of Modernisation of Airports	s' 4-5
II.	USQ No. 1013 dated 28.11.2014 regarding 'DGTR'	6-7
III.	USQ No. 3729 dated 12.08.2015 regarding 'Misuse of BSNL Network'	8-9
IV.	USQ No. 6510 dated 06.05.2015 regarding 'Foreign Companies in Telecom Manufacturing'	10-11
V.	USQ No. 1782 dated 22.07.2014 regarding 'Regional Centre of NSD'	12-13
VI.	USQ No. 2621 dated 26.08.2013 regarding 'Defence Deals'	14-17
VII	USQ No. 1983 dated 23.07.2014 regarding 'Uttarakhand Calamity'	18-19
VIII.	USQ No. 1379 dated 03.03.2015 regarding 'Special Tiger Protection Force'	20-23
IX.	USQ No. 5148 dated 24.04.2015 regarding 'Overvaluation and Undervaluation of Imports'	10-11
X.	USQ No. 237 dated 14.03.2012 regarding 'Extension of RTE Act'	27-29
XI.	USQ No. 3186 dated 13.12.2011 regarding 'Vacancies in TV/Radio Stations'	30-32

XII.	USQ No. 457 dated 14.07.2014 regarding 'Separate High Court for Telangana'	33-36
XIII.	USQ No. 1879 dated 04.12.2014 regarding 'Survey of Hydro Power Projects'	36-37
XIV.	USQ No. 2424 dated 04.08.2015 regarding 'Assistance to Differently Abled for Higher Education'	38-40
XV.	USQ No. 3867 dated 12.08.2015 regarding 'Pricing Policy of Satellites'	41-43
XVI.	USQ No. 4758 dated 22.04.2015 regarding 'Increase in MPLAD Funds'	44-46
XVII	USQ No. 4297 dated 08.08.2014 regarding 'Malnutrition'	47-49
XVIII.	Minutes of the Sitting of the Committee held on 06 October, 2016.	50-61
XIX.	Minutes of the Sitting of the Committee held on 06 April, 2017.	62-63

COMPOSITION OF THE COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES* (2016 - 2017)

Dr. Ramesh Pokhriyal "Nishank" - Chairperson

MEMBERS

- 2. Shri Rajendra Agrawal
- 3. @ Vacant
- 4. Shri Anto Antony
- 5. Shri Tariq Anwar
- 6. Prof. (Dr.) Sugata Bose
- 7. Shri Naranbhai Bhikhabhai Kachhadiya
- 8. Shri Bahadur Singh Koli
- 9. Shri Prahlad Singh Patel
- 10. Shri A.T. Nana Patil
- 11. Shri C.R. Patil
- 12. Shri Sunil Kumar Singh
- 13. Shri Taslimuddin
- 14. Shri K.C. Venugopal
- 15. Shri S.R. Vijay Kumar

SECRETARIAT

- 1. Shri R.S. Kambo Additional Secretary
- 2. Shri P.C. Tripathy Director
- 3. Shri S. L. Singh Deputy Secretary

^{*} The Committee has been re-constituted w.e.f. 01 September, 2016 <u>vide</u> Para No. 4075 of Lok Sabha Bulletin Part-II dated 05 September, 2016

[@] Shri E. Ahamed passed away on 01 February 2017

INTRODUCTION

I, the Chairperson of the Committee on Government Assurances (2016-2017), having

been authorized by the Committee to submit the Report on their behalf, present this Fifty-

Eighth Report (16th Lok Sabha) of the Committee on Government Assurances.

2. The Committee (2016-2017) at their sitting held on 06 October, 2016 considered

Memoranda Nos. 02 to 26 containing requests received from the various

Ministries/Departments for dropping of pending Assurances and decided to pursue 17

Assurances.

3. At their sitting held on 06 April, 2017, the Committee (2016-2017) considered and

adopted their Fifty- Eighth Report.

4. The Minutes of the aforesaid sittings of the Committee form part of this Report.

NEW DELHI; 07 April, 2017

DR. RAMESH POKHRIYAL "NISHANK" CHAIRPERSON

17 Chaitra, 1939 (Saka)

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES

(iv)

REPORT

While replying to Questions in the House or during discussions on Bills, Resolutions, Motions, etc., Ministers sometimes give Assurances, undertakings or promises either to consider a matter, take action or furnish information to the House at some later date. An Assurance is required to be implemented by the Ministry concerned within a period of three months. In case, the Ministry finds it difficult in implementing the Assurances on one ground or the other, they are required to request the Committee on Government Assurances to drop the Assurances and such requests are considered by the Committee on merits and decisions taken to drop an Assurance or otherwise.

2. The Committee on Government Assurances (2016-2017) considered the following requests received from various Ministries/Departments for dropping of Assurances at their sitting held on 06 October, 2016:-

S. No.	SQ/USQ No. & Date	Ministry	Subject
1.	USQ No. 149 dated 05.12.2013	Civil Aviation	Audit of Modernisation of Airports (Appendix-I)
2.	USQ No. 1013 dated 28.11.2014	Commerce and Industry (Department of Commerce)	DGTR (Appendix-II)
3.	USQ No. 3729 dated 12.08.2015	Communications and Information Technology (Department of Telecommunications)	Misuse of BSNL Network (Appendix-III)
4.	USQ No. 6510 dated 06.05.2015	Communications & Information Technology (Department of Telecommunications)	Foreign Companies in Telecom Manufacturing (Appendix-IV)

5.	USQ No. 1782 dated 22.07.2014	Culture	Regional Centre of NSD (Appendix-V)
6.	USQ No. 2621 dated 26.08.2013	Defence	Defence Deals (Appendix-VI)
7.	USQ No. 1983 dated 23.07.2014	Environment, Forest and Climate Change	Uttarakhand Calamity (Appendix-VII)
8.	USQ No. 1379 dated 03.03.2015	Environment, Forest and Climate Change	Special Tiger Protection Force (Appendix-VIII)
9.	USQ No. 5148 dated 24.04.2015	Finance (Department of Revenue)	Overvaluation and Undervaluation of Imports (Appendix-IX)
10.	USQ No. 237 dated 14.03.2012	Human Resource Development (Department of School Education and Literacy)	Extension of RTE Act (Appendix-X)
11.	USQ No. 3186 dated 13.12.2011	Information and Broadcasting	Vacancies in TV/Radio Stations (Appendix-XI)
12.	USQ No. 457 dated 14.07.2014	Law and Justice (Department of Justice)	Separate High Court for Telangana (Appendix-XII)
13.	USQ No. 1879 dated 04.12.2014	Power	Survey of Hydro Power Projects (Appendix-XIII)
14.	USQ No. 2424 dated 04.08.2015	Social Justice and Empowerment (Empowerment of Persons with Disability)	Assistance to Differently Abled for Higher Education (Appendix-XIV)
15.	USQ No. 3867 dated 12.08.2015	Space	Pricing Policy of Satellites (Appendix-XV)
16.	USQ No. 4758 dated 22.04.2015	Statistics and Programme	Increase in MPLAD Funds

		Implementation	(Appendix-XVI)
17.	USQ No. 4297 dated 08.08.2014	Women and Child Development (Department of Women and Child Development)	Malnutrition (Appendix-XVII)

- 3. The details of the Assurances arising out of the replies and the reason(s) advanced by the Ministries/Departments for dropping of the Assurances are given in Appendices -I to XVII.
- 4. The Minutes of the sitting of the Committee dated 06 October, 2016 are given in Appendix-XVIII.
- 5. After having considered the requests of the Ministries/Departments, the Committee are not convinced with the reasons furnished for dropping the Assurances. The Committee desire that the Government should take note of the Observations of the Committee, as contained in Annexure-II to Appendix- XVIII and take appropriate action, for the implementation of the Assurances expeditiously.

DR. RAMESH POKHRIYAL "NISHANK"
CHAIRPERSON
COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES

MINUTES

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES (2016-2017)

(SIXTEENTH LOK SABHA)

SECOND SITTING

(06.10.2016)

The Committee sat from 1500 hours to 1700 hours in Committee Room "B", Parliament House Annexe, New Delhi.

PRESENT

Dr. Ramesh Pokhriyal 'Nishank' - Chairperson

MEMBERS

2.	Shri Rajendra Agrwal
3.	Shri E.Ahamed
4.	Shri Anto Antony
5.	Shri Tariq Anwar
6.	Shri Naran Bhai Kachhadia
7.	Shri Bahadur Singh Koli
8.	Shri Prahlad Singh Patel
9.	Shri Sunil Kumar Singh

Shri Taslimuddin

Shri K.C.Venugopal

Shri S.R.Vijay Kumar

10.

11.

12.

SECRETARIAT

1.	Shri R. S. Kambo	-	Additional Secretary
2.	Shri J.M. Baisakh	-	Director

3. Shri S.L. Singh - Deputy Secretary

XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXXX	XXXXXX
XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXXX	XXXXXX

At the outset, the Chairperson welcomed the Members to the sitting of the Committee and apprised them regarding the day's agenda. Thereafter, the Committee took up 25 Memoranda (Memorandum Nos. 02 to 26) containing requests received from various Ministries/Departments for dropping of pending Assurances. After considering a few Memoranda, the Committee authorized the Hon'ble Chairperson to decide the dropping or otherwise of the Assurances contained in the remaining Memoranda. Thereafter, the Hon'ble Chairperson decided to drop 08 Assurances as per details given in Annexure-I* and to pursue the remaining 17 Assurances as per details given in Annexure-II, for implementation by the Ministry/Department concerned.

XXXX XXXXXX XXXXXX

The Committee then adjourned.

* Not enclosed

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES (2016-2017)

Statement Showing Assurances <u>not dropped</u> by the Committee on Government Assurances at their sitting held on 06.10.2016

SI.	Memo	Question	Ministry/	Subject	Remarks
No.	No.		Department		
1	2	USQ No. 149 dated 05.12.2013	Civil Aviation	Audit of Modernisation of	The Committee have been informed that the CAG Report on the implementation of Public Private
				Airports	Partnership Project at Chhatrapati Shivaji
					International Airport, Mumbai has been laid on the
					Table of the House. The report has been referred to
					PAC for their examination and as such nothing is
					pending on their side. The Committee feel that the
					Ministry are required to pursue the case in right
					earnest to its logical conclusion. If the Assurance is
					fulfilled, the Ministry should send 15 copies of
					Implementation Report to the Ministry of
					Parliamentary Affairs at the earliest to be laid on the
					Table of the House. Till that time, the Assurance will be
					treated as unfulfilled.
2.	3	USQ No. 1013	Commerce and	DGTR	The Ministry have contended that no Assurance was
		dated 28.11.2014	Industry		given in the matter as it is incumbent on several posts
			(Department of		being filled up which are not in the control of the
			Commerce)		Ministry. The Committee outrightly reject the

3.	4	USQ No. 3729 dated 12.08.2015	Communications and Information Technology (Department of Telecommunications)	Misuse of BSNL Network	contention of the Ministry as it is their prerogative to treat a particular reply as an Assurance and the Ministry cannot question the wisdom of the Committee as to what constitutes an Assurance. Moreover, an Assurance cannot be dropped merely on the ground that the attempts made by the Ministry have not yielded any results. The Ministry need to enhance the level of co-ordination with organizations/departments concerned and take concrete steps to constitute the Directorate General of Trade Remedies (DGTR). That the Ministry have not adopted this approach is deplorable. The Committee urge upon the Ministry to adopt a pro-active approach and fulfil the Assurance at the earliest. The Committee would like to be apprised of the initiatives taken and the progress made in the matter. In this case, the Ministry are required to furnish the details of the CBI investigations into the misuse of ISDN in Chennai. The Committee are of the view that an Assurance cannot be dropped merely on the ground that the matter is being investigated by the CBI which will take time and it would not be able to fulfil the Assurance within the stipulated time period. Moreover, the matter is of national concern and sensitive and as such it should be brought to its logical conclusion. The
			Telecommunications)		will take time and it would not be able to fulfil the Assurance within the stipulated time period. Moreover, the matter is of national concern and sensitive and as

					fulfil the Assurance.
4.	5	USQ No. 6510 dated 06.05.2015	Communications & Information Technology (Department of Telecommunications)	Foreign Companies in Telecom Manufacturing	The Ministry have requested for dropping of the Assurance saying that the matter i.e. entry of foreign manufacturing companies in the country is not a static one but a dynamic and continuous process. The Committee understand that such matters are incessant in nature but there is a need to give the position existing as on 06.10.2015. The Committee observe that the task involves only compilation of some basic information and desire that the Ministry should make concerted and vigorous efforts to collect the information and fulfil the Assurance at the earliest.
5.	6	USQ No. 1782 dated 22.07.2014	Culture	Regional Centre of NSD	In yet another instance, the Committee observe that the contention of the Ministry that the Assurance may be dropped since the subject matter is a continuous process is untenable. The Ministry is required to give the position existing on 22.07.2014. The Committee desire that the Ministry take a clear-cut stand i.e. to set up NSD centres where land is made available by the State Governments concerned. The Committee urge upon the Ministry to make co-ordinated efforts to fulfil the Assurance at the earliest.
6.	7	USQ No. 2621 dated 26.08.2013	Defence	Defence Deals	In this case the Ministry are required to furnish the details of CBI investigations into the irregularities in the procurement of VVIP/VIP helicopters. The Ministry have requested for dropping the Assurance citing the reason that the case is under investigation by CBI and it is not possible to know the time line for completion of CBI investigations. The Committee are of the view

					that an Assurance cannot be dropped merely on the
					ground that the matter is being investigated by the
					CBI which will take time and it would not be able to
					fulfil the Assurance within the stipulated time period.
					Moreover, the Committee feel that the matter is of
					national concern and sensitive as such it should be
					brought to its logical conclusion. The Committee,
					therefore, desire that the Ministry must enhance the
					level of co-ordination with the CBI for proper and
					expeditious investigation into the case and the details
					thereof apprised to the Committee so as to fulfil the
					Assurance.
7.	8	USQ No. 1983	Environment, Forest	Uttarakhand	The Committee note that the matter is sub-judice and
		dated 23.07.2014	and Climate Change	Calamity	the Ministry are requested to furnish a final report and
					recommendations of the consortium of IITs to the
					Supreme Court. Citing that the outcome and finality is
					not in their hands, the Ministry have requested the
					Committee to drop the case. The contention of the
					Ministry is untenable since an Assurance cannot be
					dropped merely on the ground that matter is sub-
					judice and its outcome and finality is unknown. The
					Committee feel that the matter is of crucial national
					importance and needs to be pursued vigorously to
					bring it to its logical conclusion. The Ministry are also
					required to apprise the Committee and Parliament of
					the final outcome of the matter alongwith the
					directives of the Supreme Court in this regard. The
					Committee would like to be apprised of the initiatives
					taken and the progress made in the matter.

	1				
8.	11	USQ No. 1379	Environment, Forest	Special Tiger	The Ministry have requested for dropping the
		dated 03.03.2015	and Climate Change	Protection Force	Assurance citing the reason that the Constitution of
					STPF involves a lengthy and time taking process
					besides the fact that the matter has to be addressed by
					the States concerned. The contention of the Ministry is
					untenable and smacks of casual approach towards
					fulfilling the Assurance. Hence, this contention cannot
					be a valid ground for dropping the Assurance. The
					Committee desire that the Ministry should co-ordinate
					with all the agencies and State Governments
					concerned and pursue the matter vigorously till STPF is
					set up as planned. The Committee would like to be
					apprised of the initiatives taken and the progress made
					in the matter.
9.	12	USQ No. 5148	Finance	Overvaluation	The Ministry have requested for dropping of the
		dated 24.04.2015	(Department of	and	Assurance in view of the limitations of the
			Revenue)	Undervaluation of	investigating agencies in overseas investigation. The
				Imports	Ministry have further contended that investigation in
					cases of over invoicing of coal imports is a time
					consuming process as the procedural formalities for
					international queries take a long time. The Committee
					understand that such matters take time but the
					Assurance cannot be dropped merely on the ground
					that its fulfilment would not be possible within the
					stipulated period of 3 months. The nature of the
					irregularities warrant a thorough probe and fixation of
					responsibility for taking appropriate action. The
					Committee urge upon the Ministry to take urgent
					action with alacrity within a specific timeline to bring

					the matter to its logical conclusion. The Committee
					would like to be apprised of the initiatives taken and
					the progress made in the matter.
10.	14	USQ No. 237	Human Resource	Extension of RTE	The Ministry have contended that no Assurance was
		dated 14.03.2012	Development	Act	given on the issue as the reply given to the Question,
			(Department of		according to them, was full and only a factual position
			School Education and		about the particulars of the case being progressed for
			Literacy)		extension of the Right of Children to Free and
					Compulsory Education (RTE) Act, 2009 to the
					secondary level. The Committee reject outright this
					contention of the Ministry as it is their prerogative to
					treat a particular reply as an Assurance and the
					Ministry cannot question the wisdom of the Committee
					as to what constitutes an Assurance. In this case, the
					Central Advisory Board of Education (CABE) Committee
					is required to formulate the draft legislation and
					estimate the requirement of funds for extension of the
					Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education
					(RTE) Act, 2009 to the secondary level. The Committee
					feel that the matter is of national importance and it
					should be brought to its logical conclusion. The
					Committee, therefore, desire that the Ministry must
					pursue the matter vigorously to bring it to its logical
					end as soon as possible and fulfil the Assurance.
11.	16	USQ No. 3186	Information and	Vacancies in	The Ministry have contended that since the process of
		dated 13.12.2011	Broadcasting	TV/Radio Stations	Recruitment Regulations involves inter-Ministerial
					consultation, which is a time-consuming activity, no
					time limit can be given in this regard and hence have
					requested for dropping of the Assurance. The

					Committee feel that an Assurance cannot be dropped merely on the grounds it that it involves inter-Ministerial consultations and its implementation would take a considerable length of time. The Ministry need to make earnest and sustained efforts to accomplish the task. The Committee urge upon the Ministry to shed their lackadaisical attitude and fulfil the Assurance at the earliest. The Committee would like to
12.	17	USQ No. 457 dated 14.07.2014	Law and Justice (Department of Justice)	Separate High Court for Telangana	be apprised of the initiatives taken and the progress made in the matter. The Ministry have requested for dropping the Assurance on the grounds that it is not possible to fix a time frame by which the infrastructure facilities would be completed and separate High Courts for Andhra
					Pradesh and Telangana could be established. The Committee feel that an Assurance cannot be dropped merely on the ground that its implementation would take a considerable length of time. The Committee urge upon the Ministry to take appropriate steps with better co-ordination and make sustained efforts towards fulfilling the Assurance. The Committee would like to be apprised of the initiatives taken and the
13.	19	USQ No. 1879 dated 04.12.2014	Power	Survey of Hydro Power Projects	progress made in the matter. The Ministry have contended that no Assurance was given in the matter and that the reply was only a statement of facts, which cannot be treated as an Assurance. The Committee out rightly reject this contention of the Ministry as it is their prerogative to treat a particular reply as an Assurance and the

14.	23	USQ No. 2424	Social Justice and	Assistance to	Ministry cannot question the wisdom of the Committee as to what constitutes an Assurance. The Committee also note that the reply given by the Ministry is incomplete as the information regarding present status of survey and execution of work of each project with regard to the Public Sector Hydro Power Projects located in North-Eastern Region have not been provided. The Committee feel that the Ministry need to take concrete steps to materialise the same within a fixed time frame. That this approach has not been adopted by the Ministry is deplorable. The Committee urge upon the Ministry to shed their insouciant attitude and fulfil the Assurance at the earliest. The Ministry have contended that no Assurance was
		dated 04.08.2015	Empowerment of Persons with Disability)	Differently Abled for Higher Education	given on the issue and that the reply according to them was only a factual position and does not indicate any incomplete action or give an impression about any ongoing action. The Ministry also informed that the reply given to the question does not warrant further reporting to the House about the completion of the process of constituting a Committee to look into issues regarding implementation of guidelines for conducting written examination for persons with disabilities. The Committee reject outright the contention of the Ministry as it is their prerogative to treat a particular reply as an Assurance and the Ministry cannot question the wisdom of the Committee as to what constitutes an Assurance. The Committee feel that the Ministry need to approve the steps taken by their Committee to

					implement the guidelines issued for conducting
					written examination for persons with disabilities. The
					Ministry should shed their lackadaisical attitude and
					pursue the matter till its logical conclusion.
15.	24	USQ No. 3867	Space	Pricing Policy of	The Committee note that a revised proposal for
		dated 12.08.2015	,	Satellites	continuing the existing method of transponder pricing
					has been submitted for the approval of the
					Government. However, the request of the Ministry for
					dropping the Assurance is untenable since an
					Assurance cannot be dropped merely on this ground.
					This is nothing but finding lame excuses for the
					Ministry's lackadaisical attitude and penchant for
					allowing things to go on their own course. The Ministry
					are required to pursue the case in right earnest for its
					logical conclusion. The Committee would like to be
					apprised of the initiatives taken and progress made in
					the matter including the status of the said proposal.
16.	25	USQ No. 4758	Statistics and	Increase in	The Ministry have contended that the matter related to
		dated 22.04.2015	Programme	MPLAD Funds	increase in annual allocation under the MPLADs
			Implementation		Scheme is under examination in consultation with
					Ministry of Finance and the decision in the case cannot
					be arrived at within a rigid time frame. They have
					further contended that there is no certainty that in a
					case of this nature, the culmination of the examination
					and consultation process will result in any final
					decision at the competent Level. However, the
					Committee feel that the request of the Ministry for
					dropping of the Assurance is untenable as once an
					Assurance is given, it is incumbent upon the Ministry

					to fulfil it with proper planning and co-ordination with the other Ministries/Departments concerned within a definite timeframe. The Committee desire that the Ministry should take appropriate pro-active action without further delay and apprise the outcome of the proposal to increase annual allocation under MPLADS at the earliest. The Committee would like to be apprised of the initiatives taken and the progress made in the matter.
17.	26	USQ No. 4297 dated 08.08.2014	Women and Child Development (Department of Women and Child Development)	Malnutrition	The Ministry have contended that no Assurance was given on the issue as the reply given to the Question, according to them, was only a factual position about the NFHS-4 being processed with the purpose of assessment of the Government on the impact of various nutritional schemes mean for malnourished children. The Committee reject outright this contention of the Ministry as it is their prerogative to treat a particular reply as an Assurance and the Ministry cannot question the wisdom of the Committee as to what constitutes an Assurance. The matter, being an important issue and of national concern, should be brought to its logical conclusion the Committee desire that the Ministry must vigorously pursue the matter and expedite the fulfilment of Assurance at the earliest by providing the requisite data generated by the survey.