

**GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE
LOK SABHA**

UNSTARRED QUESTION NO:2240

ANSWERED ON:24.08.2012

REVIEW OF NRHM

Deo Shri Kalikesh Narayan Singh;Ering Shri Ninong;Raghavan Shri M. K.;Shankar Alias Kushal Tiwari Shri Bhisma

Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Government has set State specific targets under National Rural Health Mission (NRHM);
- (b) if so, the details thereof along with the extent to which these targets have been achieved so far, State/UT-wise;
- (c) whether the Government has reviewed the functioning of the National Rural Health Mission recently in order to streamline in implementation;
- (d) if so, the outcome thereof along with the shortcomings/irregularities noticed therein;
- (e) whether the Government medical facilities are not reaching the poor under National Rural Health Mission (NRHM) in various States like Odisha, Chhattisgarh NER and Jharkhand; and
- (f) if so, the steps taken by the Government to provide special assistance to above said States and particularly in Kalahandi-Bolangir-Koraput (KBK) districts in Odisha?

Answer

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI SUDIP BANDYOPADHYAY)

(a) & (b) The State wise details on the targets set on certain key indicators during the Eleventh Five Year Plan along with achievement made is annexed.

(c) & (d) Yes. The progress under National Rural Health Mission (NRHM) was reviewed through the Fifth Common Review Mission (CRM) held during 8th to 15th November, 2011 in selected States. The key observations/ recommendations of this review mission is as under:

- a. Substantial increase in human resources through introduction of incentives, compulsory rural service for medical graduates, rotational posting, amendment of recruitment rules and increase in retirement age.
- b. Increase in OPD and IPD attendance in most of the States,
- c. Emergence of an assured referral transport systems in many States,
- d. Improvement in the availability of outreach services in all States, increased access to the health system through ASHAs
- e. Increased utilization of funds.

The report also inter-alia mentions certain shortcomings and recommends to fill- up the gaps in infrastructure, human resources, reduce out of pocket expenses etc.

(e) & (f) No. Under NRHM the States have been supported for improving their medical facilities through annual State Programme Implementation Plan which is appraised and approved by GoI based on the recommendations of national programme Coordination Committee. Under NRHM 264 high focus districts having low progress in health indicators have been identified in States including Odisha, Chhattisgarh NER and Jharkhand for focused attention. The steps taken by the Government include augmentation of human resources, infrastructure strengthening, providing patient transport systems and Medical Mobile Units etc. Further, special efforts are made by the Government to improve the service delivery of KBK districts which include: establishment of Mobile Health Units at least 2 MHUs per block. Establishment of Maternity Waiting homes, Intensive School Health Programme for all tribal residential schools, Scholarship scheme for SC/ST students for GNM & BSC Nursing courses under Swasthya Sevika Nijukti Yojana, Engagement of ASHAs in hard to reach areas, Establishment of NRC, SNCU, NBC etc, Saturation of construction of SC building, Special & Hardship allowances for staff, Organisation of Health Camps etc.

11th Plan Targets for IMR,MMR & TFR and current Status

No State IMR MMR TFR

11th Status 11th Status 11th Status
 Plan (as per Plan (as per Plan (as per
 Goal# SRS Goal# SRS Goal# SRS
 2010) 2007- 2010)
 09)

| | | | | | | | |
|----|----------------------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1 | A & N Islands | 13 | 25 | - | - | - | 1.6 |
| 2 | Andhra Pradesh | 28 | 46 | 65 | 134 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| 3 | Arunachal Pradesh | 18 | 31 | - | - | - | 2.3 |
| 4 | Assam | 33 | 58 | 163 | 390 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| 5 | Bihar | 29 | 48 | 123 | 261 | 3 | 3.7 |
| 6 | Chandigarh | 9 | 22 | - | - | - | 1.7 |
| 7 | Chhattisgarh | 30 | 51 | 126 | 269 | 2.4 | 2.8 |
| 8 | Dadra & Nagar Haveli | 20 | 38 | - | - | - | 2.9 |
| 9 | Daman & Diu | 14 | 23 | - | - | - | 2.0 |
| 10 | Delhi | 17 | 30 | - | - | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| 11 | Goa | 8 | 10 | - | - | - | 1.4 |
| 12 | Gujarat | 26 | 44 | 57 | 148 | 2.2 | 2.5 |
| 13 | Haryana | 29 | 48 | 54 | 153 | 1.9 | 2.3 |
| 14 | Himachal Pradesh | 24 | 40 | - | - | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| 15 | Jammu & Kashmir | 24 | 43 | - | - | 2 | 2.0 |
| 16 | Jharkhand | 24 | 42 | 123 | 261 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
| 17 | Karnataka | 24 | 38 | 76 | 178 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| 18 | Kerala | 7 | 13 | 37 | 81 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| 19 | Lakshadweep | 11 | 25 | - | - | - | 1.6 |
| 20 | Madhya Pradesh | 37 | 62 | 126 | 269 | 2.6 | 3.2 |
| 21 | Maharashtra | 17 | 28 | 50 | 104 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| 22 | Manipur | 6 | 14 | - | - | - | 1.5 |
| 23 | Meghalaya | 24 | 55 | - | - | - | 2.9 |
| 24 | Mizoram | 10 | 37 | - | - | - | 1.7 |
| 25 | Nagaland | 9 | 23 | - | - | - | 1.8 |
| 26 | Odisha | 36 | 61 | 119 | 258 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| 27 | Puducherry | 14 | 22 | 59 | - | - | 1.8 |
| 28 | Punjab | 21 | 34 | - | 172 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| 29 | Rajasthan | 33 | 55 | 148 | 318 | 2.6 | 3.1 |
| 30 | Sikkim | 14 | 30 | - | - | - | 1.7 |
| 31 | Tamil Nadu | 18 | 24 | 45 | 97 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 32 | Tripura | 15 | 27 | - | - | - | 1.4 |
| 33 | Uttar Pradesh | 35 | 61 | 172 | 359 | 3 | 3.5 |
| 34 | Uttarakhand | 20 | 38 | 172 | 359 | - | 2.1 |
| 35 | West Bengal | 18 | 31 | 64 | 145 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| | India | 28 | 47 | 100 | 212 | 2.1 | 2.5 |

Source:Planning Commission