# COMMITTEE ON 

# GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES 

(1981.82)
(SEVENTH LỌK SABHA)

## THIRD REPORT

(Presented on 24 December, 198I)

LOK, SABHA SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI
$372 A$
Price: Rs. 3:00

The Tinira Keport of the Corikittee on vo vemwent assurances.
(SEventir Lok Saoha)

| Paredro | Correction |
| :---: | :---: |
| lover Page | lane 8 from the top Insert 'the' after 'eresented on' |
| 18 | il.No.17, line 12 Insert 'certain' Defore 'extension' |
| 20 | (i) Col. 3, last inne for 'right' reud 'rights' <br> (ii) Col.5, line 6 E'0r 'iuplemente read ${ }^{\prime}$ implemented' |
| 21 |  <br> (11) Col.5', lust lane for ' 3.12 .811 real 131.12 .811 |
| 25 | (i) Col. 3, fart (c) lint jop'setys' reda 'steps' (2i) Col.4, 1ine $<$ tor 'on' remb 'of |
| 26 |  ' nowo top atulc' |
| 27. |  |
| 38 | Iteu 11, wl.4, line 1 Eer ' enat read 'anal |
| 39 | 1 tem 14, Wol. 5, line 3 for 'fol read 'fori: ' |
| 40 | Itell 15, Lol. 3, lane 3 for 'suidilutsíreda 'tuiuelines' |
| 46 | Para o, line 1 Efor ' Monuitee' read 'Cominittee' |
| 52 | 1 tein 4, Col.3, Part (b), line 1 Her 'costings' read - costann' |
| 53 |  |
| 54 | - Iteur 9, Col.2, For 'snri acharya bhatfoana Dev' read 1acharyadrabwan Dev' |
| 55 | Lteli 11, Col.4, part (c), Line 2 For 'bad' read 'laid' |
| 56 | 1tem 13, Col. 3, Eart (c), lıne 2 for 'the' read 'this' |
| 57 | Item 15, Col.3, Part (a), line 8 , insert 'such' after |
| 50 |  |
| 59 | - ( 1 ) 1 telu <1, Lol.s, Eur't (a) (1), lane 5, Fior 'precious' real 'yrivious' <br>  <br>  |
| 6 |  |
| 01 |  |
| 67 |  |
|  | New Delni; |
|  | $\frac{\text { Fepruary } 16,1982}{\operatorname{Misha} 27,100(\text { bak } a)}$ |

## CONTENTBI

Comportrion of The Committer ..... (iii)
Introduction ..... (v)
I. Evidence of the officials of Railway Ministry ..... !
II. Review of Assurances of Taird and Fourth Sessions of Seventh Lok Sabha ..... 3
III. Requests from the Government for dropping of five assurances ..... 3
1V. Position of $p$ :ading asurances pertaining to Filth, Sixth and Seventh Lok Sabha.
Manutras
Minuten of the Fourth Sitting held on 98 September, r93: ..... 7
Miautes of the Fifth Sitting held on 15 October, 198: ..... 14
Minutes of the Sixth Sitting held on 29 October, 1981 ..... 8
Minutes of the Seveath Sitting held an 17 November, 198s ..... 44
Minutes of the Eighth Sitting held an 22 December, 1981 ..... 64
Appandix
Statement showing the position of assurancer pertaining to Fith,Sixth and Seventh Lak Sabia4Paos

- COMPOSITION OF THE COMMITTEE* ON GOVERNMENT

ASSURANCES
(1981-82)
Chatrman
Shri Jagannath Rao
Membars
2. Shrimati Usha Prakash Chaudhari is
3. Shri Kamaluddin Ahmed
4. Shri Digvijay Sinh
5. Shri Jagpal Singh ${ }^{\prime}$
6. Shri Lakshman Mallick
7. Shri Somji Bhai Damor
8. Shrimati B. Radhabhal Ananda Rao
9. Shri Sunder Singh
10. Shri Krishan Datt Sultanpuri
11. Shri Tapeshwar Singh
12. Shri Ram Swarup Ram
13. Shri Zainal Abedin

## Secretariat

Shri N. N. Mehra-Chief Examiner of Questions.
1 Shri T. R. Ghai-Chief Legislative Committee Officer.

[^0](iii)

I, the Chairman of the Committee on Government Assurancea as authorised by the Committee do present on their behalf this Third Report of the Committee on Government Assurances.
2. The Committee (1981-82) was constituted on 15 June, 1981.
3. The Committee held five sittings on 28 September, 15 and 29 October, 17 November and 28 December, 1981.
4. At their sitting held on 28 September, 1981, the Committe took the evidence of the representatives of the Ministry of Railways: in connection with the implementation of the assurance given in reply to Unstarred Question No. 4516 on 18 December, 1980 regarding racket in permission to clear hard parcels by Mail/Express trains.
5. At their sittings, held on 15 October, 29 October and 17 November, 1981 the Committee cons'dered the following items:-
(i) Review of assurances pertaining to Third and Fourth Sessions of Seventh Lok Sabha.
(ii) Requests from Government for dropping of five assurances.
6. At the'r sitting held on 22 December, 1981, the Committee considered this Third Report and adopted the same.
7. The Minutes of the aforesaid sittings of the Committee form part of this Report.
8. Conclusions or observations of the Committee are contained in the succeeding chapters and in the Minutes appended to this Report.
9. The Committee wish to express their thanks to the officials of the Ministry of Railways (Railway Board) who appeared before the Committee and for placing before them material and information the Committee wanted in connection with the examination of the implementation of the assurance.

New Dehit;
December 22, 1981.
Pausa 1, 1903 (S)

JAGANNATH RAO, Chairman, Committee on Government Assurances.

## rexpors

During the Third and Fourth Sessions of Seventh Lok Sabha, in all 548 and 333 assurances were culled out Out of these 516 assurances pertaining to Third Session and 284 assurances of Fourth Session have since been implemented, thus leaving a balance of 32 and 49 assurances reapectively yet to be implemented. During the Fifth and Sixth Sessions of Seventh Lok Sabha in all 792 and 372 assurances were culled out. Out of these 583 and 70 assurances have since been implemented, thus leaving a balance of 209 and 302 assurances yet to be implemented. These figures take into account the latest statement of implemented assurances laid on the Tabie of the Lok Sabha by the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs on 23 November, 1981.
2. The following table indicates the overall position of assurances pertaining to Seventh Lok Sabha still pending implementation:

| Sessions | Total assurances | Pending imple-mentation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seventh Lok Sabha |  |  |
| First Session, 1980 | 96 | Nil |
| Second Sossion, rgeo | .96 | 3 |
| Third Session, 1980 | 548 | 32 |
| Fourth Session, 1980 | 333 | 49 |
| Fifth Session' 1981 | 792 | 209 |
| Sixth Session, 1981 | 372 | 302 |

3. At their sitting held on 28 September, 1981, the Evidence Committee took oral evidence of the officials of Ministry of Railways (Railway Board) in connoction with the implementation of the assurance given in reply to USQ No. 4516 on 18 December, 1980 regarding racket in permiselon
of the officials of Railway Mlnistry. to clear hard parcels by mail/express trains. The observations/recommendations of the Committee in respect of the oral evidence have been given in the Minutes of the sitting held on 28 September, 1981.
4. The Committee are concerned to note that instead of making an in-depth probe into the 'racket' in giving. permission to clear hard parcels by mail/express trains when genuine preferential traffic was left behind, the Ministry of Railways (Railway Board) chose a safer course to come before the Committee with a request that the assurance given in reply to the Parliamentary question might be dropped on the ground that 2500 parcels way bills were issued from Delhi Main Station every day and that 36.5 lakh parcels way bills, issued during the last four years, would have to be scrutinised before complete information could be obtained. It was only when the Committee, not being convinced by the grounds stated by the Ministry, invited the officials of the Ministry of Railways (Railway Board) for oral evidence that some revealing facts came to light. The Committee are pained to note of the revelation made during the evidence that this 'racket' was going on at Delhi Main Station with the connivance of some officers of the Railway Administration and in league with some unscrupulous agents/traders for a number of years withcut being detected by the authorities. The Committee wish that the Ministry had conducted this investigation on their own immediately on receipt of the Parliamentary question.
5. What has pained the Committee more is the fact that even on the day of evidence, complete investigations had not been made into the state of affairs as an inquiry against the agents/traders who had been indulging in such malpractices was yet to be made. The Committee need hardly emphasise that such agents/traders, who are found guilty, should be identified and brought to book and it should be considered whether they be black-listed.
6. The Committee have been informed during evidencethat some of the officials involved during the last four years had retired. The Committee would suggest that the Ministry might examine whether any action could be taken against the retired officials in case the irregularities committed by them are established after due enquiry. The Committee would also like the Ministry of Railways (Railway Board) to devise some in-built machinery whereby strict checking and supervision is exercised over the officara whose assignments are vulnerable to corruption.
7. The Committee further note that the representatives of the Ministry had assured the Committee during evidence that information as per assurance given in reply to the question would be collected for one calendar year (instead of four years as stipulated in the question), that is, for 1980 and the same would be furnished to the Committee by 31 October, 1981. The Committee regret that the requisite information has neither been furnished to them nor laid on the Table in implementation of the assurance. The Committee desire that this information should be collected and laid on the Table without further delay*.
8. At the $r$ sittings held on 15 October, 20 October and 17 November, 1981, the Committee reviewed 45 pending

Review of assurnaces pertaining to Third Session of Seventh Lak ending
 Sabho and 30 pending assurances pertaining to Fourth Session of Seventh Lok Sabha (details given in Annexure to Minutes of the sittings held on aforesaid dates).

The observations or recommendations of the Committee in respect of each case have been given under the relevant item of the Minutes of the sittings held on 15 October, 29 October and 17 November, 1981.

Considering the reasons advanced by the Government, the Committee agreed to grant extension of time in 15 cases. The Committee trust that the Ministries/Departments concerned will make all efforts to ensure implementation of those assurances by the extended dates as approved by the Committee.
9. The Committee also hope that the assurances where period of extension sought for has already expired, would be implemented by the Government without loss of further time.
10. In the case of assurance at Sl . Nos. 1 and 11 of Annexure-I, the Committee noted that the Ministry had sought for extension of time upto 9-8-1981 and 10-10-1881, respect:vely, and thereafter neither the assurances were

[^1]Implemented nor the Ministry bothered to seek further extension of time. The Committee decided that officials of the Ministry of Education and Finance might be called to appear before the Chairman, Committee on Government Assurances to explain the reasons for such lapses. "They also decided to call the representative of the Ministry of Finance in respect of Sl. No, 14 where the Mknistry had intimated that the implementation of the assurance was likely to take more time beyond 31-12-1981, upto which an extension of time had been sought earlier. Accordingly, the officials of these Ministries appeared before the Chairman on 30 October, 1981 and explained the position in respect of each of the pending assurances.

The Committee would like to emphasise that the Ministries/Departments should invariably be careful in making a request in time for extension of period for implementation of the pending assurances so that they (Committee) are apprised of the latest position in each case. This can be achieved if monitoring of the implementation of the pending assurances is done frequently and regularly at a higher level.
11. In case of the assurance at Sl . No. 20 of Annexure-I the Committee noted that the assurance was partly implemented on 23-2-1981 and further extension of time upto 19-12-1981 was sought by the Ministry to implement the assurance in full. After going through the implementation report already laid, the Committee noted that hos-pital-wise information as desired in the question had not been given therein.

The Committee urge that the Ministries/Departments should give complete information as desired in the quection so as to avoid any ambiguity and complaint from the Member concerned.
12. In the cases of assurances at Sl . Nos. 2, 3 and $\theta$ of Annexure-II the Committee decided that representativen of the Ministries might be called to appenr before the Chairman to explain the latest poaition regarding implementation of the assurancer.
13. In case of the assurance at Sy. No. 21 the Comm'ttee noted that Ministry had implemented the assurance partly and thereafter no extension was sought for and
in case of Sl. No. 22 the Committee noted that for implementing the assurance extension upto 28-1-1981 was sought. The Committee decided that the representatives of the Ministry might be called to appear before the Chairman. Accordingly, the representatives of the Ministries of Home Affairs (Personnel and Administrative Reforms) (Sl. Nos. 2 and 3), Industry (Sl. No. 9) and Works and Housing (Sl. Nos. 21 and 22) appeared before the Committee on 13 November, 1981 and explained the latest position in regard to implementation of the respective assurances.
14. Similarly, in the cases of assurances at Sl. Nos. 5, 12. 13, 1518 and 20 to Annexure-III after considering the grounds on which the Ministry/Department had sought for extension of time, the Committee decided that the representatives of the Ministry might be called* to appear before the Chairman to explain the latest position about the implementation of the assurances and delay in seeking further extension of time.

The Committee are constrained to note that the recommendations of the Committee are not being given the due attention they require as it is seen from past experience that Ministries/Departments of Government of India do not take it seriously to implement them in time, with the result that not only the number of pending assurances is mounting up but little precious is done to reduce the list of old pending assurances even after extension of time granted by the Committee. The Committee would once again take this opportunity to draw the pointed attention of the Ministries/Departments concerned to their earlier recommendation that suitable cells be created in the Min'stries to oversee the implementation of the pending assurances within the least possible time.

[^2][^3](ii) Assurance given in reply to USQ No. 3680 on 10 May, 1976 re: Expenditure on the enquiry committee on working of Bharat Sewak Samaj;
(iii), Assurance given in reply to USQ No. 2196 on 31 August, 1981 re: Morale Dam and Indrani Dam;
(iv) Assurance given in reply to Calling Attention re: Reported Hawala Racket involving bogus transactions in Bombay; and
(v) Assurance given in reply to Calling Attention re: Reported Power shortage in various parts of the country.

The Committee perused the reasons advanced by the Government in these cases and agreed that the above assurances might be dropped.

Position of Pending assurances Pertaining to Fifth, Sixth and seventh
Lok Sabha.
16. A statement showing the position of assurances pertaining to Fifth, Sixth and Seventh Lok Sabha pending implementation by the Government as on 19 December, 1981 is given at Appendix. The Committee would like the Ministry/Department concerned to make earnest efforts to implement expeditiously all the assurances pertaining to Fifth and Sixth Lok Sabha, which have been pending for a considerable period of time. The Committee would also like to urge upon the Ministries/Departments concerned to make every endeavour to implement the pending assurances of Second to Sixth Sessions of Seventh Lok Sabha within the stipulated time. In all cases where Government foresee that in spite of best efforts it would not be possible to implement any assurance within the prescribed time or within the extended period agreed to by the Committee, the Ministry/Department concerned should approach the Committee in time, i.e. before the expiry of the due date for extension of time for implementing the assurance indicating in detail the progress already made and the reasons for seeking such extensions.

NEW DELAH;
December 22, 1981.
Pausa 1, 1903 (Saka)

JAGANNATH RAO, Chatirmban, Committee on Government Assurances.

## MinUTES

Fourth Sitting
(1881-82)
The Committee sat on Monday, 28 September, 1981 from 15.00
to 16.30 hours.

## PRESENT <br> Shri Jagannath Rao-Chairman <br> Membras

2. Shri Kamaludin Ahmed
3. Shri Lakshman Mallick
4. Shri Somjibhai Damor
5. Shrimati B. Radhabai Ananda Rao
6. Shri Sunder Singh
7. Shri Krishan Datt Sultanpuri
8. Shri Tapeshwar Singh

## Secretarlat

Shri N. N. Mehra-Chief Examiner of Questions
Shri T. R. Ghai-Senior Examiner of Questions
Witnesses Examinte
Shri P. C. Misra-Director, Traffic Commerital, Rallway Board.
Shri C. S. Christian-Chief Commercial Superintendent, Northern Railway.
Shri Brijendra Prasad-Joint Director, Vigilance (Traffic), Railway Board.
2. Before the Committee proceeded to take evidence of the ropresentatives of the Ministry of Railways in connection with the implementation of the assurance given in reply to Unstarred Quention No. 4516 on 18 December, 1980 the Chairman drew attention of the witnesses to the provisions of Direction 58 of the Directions by the Speaker.
3. The Chairman referred to the Yactuar note furnished at the instance of the Committee by the Ministry wherein it was stated that items to be cleared by haggage vans of mall/express trains on priority have been specified by the Railway Administration. On being asked "when and at what level it was decided about certain items to be cleared by luggage vans by mail/express trains on priority basis", the witness explained the position as follows:
"Para 983 of the Indian Railway Commercial Manual, Vol. I, Second Edition, 1972 says:
'Despatch of parcels:-Parcels must be despatched as quickly as possible. Parcels of perishable nature, newspapers and cinematograph films should, however, be despatched by the first suitable train so that they may reach their destination the earliest. Individual railways have issued pamphlets containing instructions for the clearance of parcels, traffic, both local and through. The stafr should carefully study these pamphlets and strictly act upon the instructions contained in them.'"

The Northern Railway published a Parcel Loading Manual in 1961. In para 107 of this Manual, it is stated:
"Clearance-(1) The general principles for the clearance of all Parcels and luggage by different trains are given below:-
(a) Mail and Express Trains will normally carry only the following items of traffic:-
(i) Bonafide Luggage
'(ii) Valuables and Insured Articles
(iii) Arms and Ammunition
(iv) Free Service Articles where specially authorised
(v) Railway Dak
(vi) Bags containing Government Official Dak
(vii) Mail Dak
(viii) Films
(ix) Newspapers
(x) University or Service Commission Examination Answer Books
(xi) Gift Parcels
(xii) Monkeys in crates
(xiii) Poultry
(xv) Iced Fish
(xvi) Fresh fruit
(kvil) Vegetables
(xviii) Milk
(xix) Cream
1.a. (xx) Butter
(xxl) Ice Bundles
(b) Passanger and Parcel Expreas Train will carry all Parcel traffic and luggage, including items mentioned in (a) above."

The witness further stated that subsequently, after proper investigations, a new directive was issued in April, 1531. In reply to a question, he confirmed that the revised instructions had been incorporated in the Railway Manual and had also been communicated to all the important stations.

In reply to another question as to whether the list of priority items had been reviewed at any time, the witness stated that it was being reviewed from time to time depending upon the local factors.
4. The Committee drew the atiention of the witness to Ministry of Railway's statement that... "Traders in connivance with Railway staff get their hard parcels booked when genuine preferential traffic is left behind" and asked how and when the Ministry had come to such a conclusion. In reply the witness stated that periodically surprise checks were made which revealed such connivance. He added that 8 cases had come to light in which 12 to 15 members of the staff were involved.
5. On being asked about the action taken against the staff found involved in such cases, the witness informed the Committee that out of those found guilty, 2 were removed from service and others were subjected to various types of punishment e.g. suspension from service, withholding of increment, etc.

To a further question whether any complaint book was kept to enable the genuine passengers to lodge a complaint if their luggage was refused to be booked, the witness informed the Committee that a complaint book was maintained by the Station Master at each station. About the complaints made during the last one year, the witness stated that from August, 1980 up to May, 1981 there had been only three complaints. As regards the first complaint, it was
not substantiated, while the other two complaints were under enquiry.
7. When enquired on which trains loading of hard parcels, except with prior permission was prohibited, the witness informed the Committee that there were about 22 restricted trains for loading hard parcels except with prior permission. A list of such trains was furnished by the witness to the Committee (see Annexure).

The witness further informed the Committee that quantum load being more than the capacity available in the trains, first preference was given for clearance of passenger luggage, then came the perishable parcels and thereafter the hard parcels. To a query it was stated that similar restrictions were imposed in all other metropoli$\tan$ cities.
8. While drawing the attention of the witness to part (a) of Unstarred Question No. 4516 dated 18-12-1980, the Committee enquired about the action taken against the officers responsible for the racket in grant of permission to clear hard parcels by Mail/Express trains and the unscrupulous agents operating at Delhi Main Station. In reply, the witness stated that a study made by the Northern Railway revealed that there was a certain trend to this effect in the Railways over a period of time though it could not be termed as a 'racket'. Supplementing the information, the witness stated that the officers involved during the last four years were Sarvashri C. L. Khanna, T.E.A. Prakash and Bhasin who officiated as 'Station Superintendent', Delhi main Station.

In this context he clarified the position as under:
"During the four years period, principally for a major period Mr. Khanna and for a short spell Mr. Prakash and Mr. Bhasin were the Station Superintendents. The present incumbent is Mr. Chandra Prakash from January, 1981, He is Station Superintendent."

Asked whether there was any breach of rules committed by any of the three officers, the witness added:
"It would appear that there was some sort of this trend during the period of Mr. Khanna. That is being separately looked into."
9. When asked about the action taken against the clearing agents concerned, the witness stated that no action had been taken against them. The aspect whether any irregularity had been committed by

Wese waring agents was yet to be examined. In this connection, the following paragraph from a relevant document 'Clearance of hard parcels by restricted Mail and Express Trains Ex Delht, furnished to the Committee was read out by the Chairman:
"Incidentally a sample analysis in regard to the permits issued by PA/SS or SS over a period of ten days w.e.f. 1st January to 10th January, 1981 has revealed that the Forwarding Agents cornered 96.8 per cent of the permits issued and 8.2 per cent went to deserving cases. Out of 86.8 per cent granted to the Forwarding Agents.
67.6 per cent went to Forwarding Agents M/s. Paul \& Co.
14.8 per cent to Shri Ramji Das \& Co. and 9.7 per cent was cornered by Shri Ashok Kumar \& M/s. S.R. \& Sons respectively.
In other words, 92.1 per cent was cornered by four Forwarding Agents."
. In this context, the witness stated thus:
"If it is established that he (the clearing agent) has been indulging in malpractices then we can certainly blacklist and withdraw his licence."
Stressing this point, the witness added that the Railway Department had already issued instructions in regard thereto and action would be taken accordingly.
10. On being asked about the procedure adopted for seeking specific permission of the Divisional or Headquarter Office, the witness informed the Committee that permission was obtained in writing from the consignor and the permission was given in writing by the Railway authorities. In case the permission of the competent officer was sought over the telephone, it was confirmed in writing later on.

While referring to the following paragraph from the Ministry of Railway's note, the Committee desired to know whether the Station Superintendent had any discretion left with him:
". . . . . . investigations carried out to study whether these rules were being observed at Delhi Station reveal that over a decade the Station Superintendents of Delhi Station have been granting permisaton for loading of hard parcels in mail|express trains. This was done even when preferential trafic was left behind."

In reply, the witness stated that no specific authority was given to the Station Superintendent in this regard. Only after the Parliament Question came, the position was checked and Ministry came to the conclusion that there was irregularity committed by them.

The Committee were further informed that P.A. to the then Station Superintendent was mainly issuing such permissions. However, he had taken the plea that as the Register of sanctions used to be periodically signed by the Station Superintendent, he (i.e. P.A.) was acting only on his behalf and as such he was not responsible for the irregularities. The representative of the Ministry stated that in the light of the investigations, the question of transfer of P.A. to Station Superintendent from Delhi was under consideration of the Administration.
11. On being asked as to the action taken against those defaulting officials who had since retired, the witness stated that in case of retired officials gratuity was given only after certificate of efficiency and faithfulness issued by the competent authority was obtained, otherwise it was withheld. In these cases also, perhaps action might be taken on those lines.

The Committee were given to understand that since the issue of revised instructions in March-April, 1981 by the Railway authorities, periodical checks were conducted and no violation of the order had come to their notice.

The Committee drew attention of the witness to the information given by him that the Register of sanctions was signed by the Station Superintendent and asked him to clarify the position in the context of the statement made in the written information furnished to the Committee that adequate records of permissions granted during the last four years had not been maintained. The witness stated that the complete record was not available.
12. In reply to a query by the Committee that if information fo: full four years could not be furnished in implementat on of the assurance, to what extent such information was available, the witness assured the Committee that the information as per assurance given in reply to the Question would be collected for one calender year, that is, for 1980 and furnished for their information and use, latest by 31 October, 1981.

The Committee then adjourned.
(vide para 7 of the Minites)
(i) $1 U P / 2 \mathrm{Dn}$.
(ii) $7 \mathrm{UP} / 8 \mathrm{Dn}$.
(iii) $11 \mathrm{UP} / 12 \mathrm{Dn}$.
(iv) $13 U P / 14 \mathrm{Dn}$.
(v) 38 Dn .
(vi) 6 Dn .
(vii) 5UP
(viii) 3TUP
(ix) $167 \mathrm{UP} / 168 \mathrm{Dn}$.
(x) $153 \mathrm{UP} / 154 \mathrm{Dn}$.
(xi) $85 \mathrm{UP} / 86 \mathrm{Dn}$.
(xii) 155UP/156Dn.
(xiii) $81 \mathrm{UP} / 82 \mathrm{Dn}$.
(xiv) $103 \mathrm{UP} / 104 \mathrm{Dn}$.
(xv) 173UP/174Dn.
(xvi) 101UP/102Dn.
(xvii) 175UP/176Dn.
(xviii) 161UP/162Dn.
(xix) 57UP/58Dn.
(xx) 29UP.

Nuintrys
Fifth sitting
(1981-82)
The Committee met on Thursday, the 15 October, 1981 from 15.00 to 15.30 hours.

PRESENT
Shri Jagannath Rao-Chairman
Members
2. Shri Kamaluddin Ahmed
3. Shri Digvijay Sinh
4. Shri Jagpal Singh
5. Shri Lakshman Mallick
6. Shrimati B. Radhabai Ananda Rao
7. Shri Sunder Singh
8. Shri Krishan Datt Sultanpuri
9. Shri Ram Swarup Ram

## Secretarlat

Shri N. N. Mehra-Chief Examiner of Questions.
Shri T. R. Ghai-Senior Examiner of Questions.
Review of pending assurances pertaining to Third Session of Seventh Lok Sabha

Memiorandum No. 12
2. The Committee took up for consideration Memorandum No. 12 (item Nos. 1 to 22) for the purpose of reviewing assurances pertaining to the Third Session of Seventh Lok Sabha (Second Batch) (details given in Annexure 1).

The observations and recommendations made by the Committee seriatim on the 22 pending assurances are as under:-

Sl. No. 1.-The Ministry of Education and Social Welfare had sought an extension of time up to 9-8-81 for the implementation of the assurance. The Committee noted that further extension of time beyond $9-8-81$ had not been sought by the Ministry. They
desired that the Secretary of the Ministry of Education and Social Welfare on his representation should appear before the Chairman of the Committee on Government Assurances to explain the reasons for such lapse and thereafter the matter may be considered by the Committee.

Sl. No. 2.-The Committee noted that a statement fulfilling the assurance in part had been laid on 23-2-81 and extension of time up to 30-9-81 had been sought for to implement the assurance in full. The Committee also noted that while information in respect of State of Karnataka had already been received and in the case of Madhya Pradesh and Assam it was still awaited. The Committee desired that the information in respect of the remaining States should be expedited so that assurance was implemented in full during the coming session. They however, regretted that no request for seeking extension of time beyond $30-9-81$ was made by the Ministry.

Sl. No. 3.-The Committee noted that the assurance had been partly implemented vide statement laid in Lok Sabha on 24-8-81 and information in respect of States of Assam, West Bengal, Orissa was still awaited and for that reason extension of time up to 10-10-81 had been sought by the Ministry. The Committee desired that the Ministry should take immediate steps to implement the balance part of the assurance during next session.

Sl. No. 4.-The Committee noted that part information had been laid on the Table of the House on $30-3-81$ and the information in respect of States of Assam, Bengal and Orissa was still awaited and that extension of time up to $11-10-81$ had been sought for implementation of the remaining assurance. The Committee desired that efforts should be made to implement the balance assurance in full during the next session.

Sl. Nos. 5 \& 6.-The Committee had noted that the assurances were given as long back as on $13-6-80$ and $20-6-80$ and extension of time up to 31-12-81 had been sought. to implement them on the ground, that, "Despite repeated reminders the required information is still awaited from a number of Ministries and departments. Even after the information has been received, it would need to be collected and typed in English and Hindi and it is likely to take some time." The Committee felt sore for the delay in implementing such simple type of assurances and deaired that the Ministry should take immediate steps for implementing the assurances positively during the next session.

Si. No. 7.-The Committee noted that aut exuension of time upto 31-12-81 had been sought by the Ministry to implement the remaining assurance on the ground, "Despite repeated reminders, the required information is still awaited from a few Ministries/Departments. Even after the information has been received it would need to be collated any typed in English and Hindi and it is likely to take quite some time." The Committee felt that the assurance was given as long back as on $20-6-80$ and that one and half year had already lapsed since then. They desired that the required information should be collected without further delay and the assurance implemented during the next session.

Sl. No. 8.-The Committee noted that an extension of time upto 15-12-81 had been sought by the Ministry to implement the assurance. They further noted that certain information had been received by the Ministry but certain clarification had been sought. The Committee desired that the information should be compiled by the Ministry immediately so that the assurance was laid during the next session positively.

Sl. No. 9.-The Committee noted that an extension of time upto 31-12-81 had been sought by the Ministry to implement the assurance on the ground, "it is likely to take some time möle to get the required information typed in English and Hindi." The Committee felt that delay in implementation of the assurance should not have occurred merely on the ground that the information was yet to be typed in English and Hindi. The Committee desired that the assurance should be implemented and laid on the Table of the House during the next session without fall.

Sl. No. 10.-The Committee noted that an extension of time upto 31.12 .81 had been sought on the ground that the information had been received from the field formations but the same had to be compiled after proper scrutiny. The Committee hoped that the Ministry would compile the information immediately and lay it during the next session.

Sl. No. 11.-The Committee noted that an extension of time upto 10.10.81 had been sought to implement the assurance on the ground that the bank was likely to take some more time to complete the initial investigation to give final reply for fulfilling the assurance. The Committee were not satisfied with the reasons advanced by the Ministry and desired that the Secretary of the Ministry of Finance or his representatives should appear before the Chairman of the Committee on Government Assurances to explain the latest
position about the implementation of the assurance. Thereafter the matter might be considered by the Committee further.

Sl. No. 12.-The Committee noted that the Ministry had sought an extension of time upto 31.12 .81 to implement the assurance on the ground, "despite reminders the required information is still awaited from a number of Ministries/Departments." The Commiltee were of the view that the information which was to be collected from local sources should not have taken euch a long time. They desired that the assurance should be implemented without further delay and statement thereof laid during the next session.

Sl. No. 13.-The Committee noted that the Ministry had sought an extension of time upto 31.10 .81 for the implementation of the assurance on the ground "despite repeated reminders information is still awaited from a few Ministries and Departments. Even after the information has been received, it would need to be collected and got typed both in English and Hindi". The Committee felt that the Ministry should not have taken much time to implement the assurance. They desired that the assurance should be implemented during the next session.

Sl. No. 14.-The Committee noted that an extension of time upto 31.12 .81 was sought on the ground that fulfilment of the assurance was likely to take some more time. The Committee were of the opinion that the reasons mentioned by the Ministry were not clear at all. They desired that a representative of the Ministry might be called to appear before the Chairman so as to explain the whole matter personally, whereafter the matter would be considered by the Committee further.

Sl. No. 15.-The Committee noted that an extension of time upto 18.7.81 had been sought to implement the assurance on the ground "the requisite information for the fulfoment of the assurance has to be collected from field formations and also from other Sections of the Department. Though all efforts have been made to collect the information as early as possible, the complete information hat not yet been received, which will take some more time". The Committee noted that no further extension of time had been sought beyond 18.7.81. The Committee desired that the assurance should be implemented during the next session.

Sl. No. 16.-The Committee noted that an extension of time upto 25-10-81 for implementation of the assurance had been sought on the ground "the Ministry are vigorously trying to obtain the complete information from the state Government. However, the State Government, in spite of best eflorts, has not been able to send the
complete information." The Committee also noted that the assurance had been implemented partly vide statements laid on the Table of the House on 24.11 .80 and 24.8.81. The Committee desired that the remaining information should be collected expeditiously and the assurance implemented during the next session.

Sl. No. 17.-The Committee noted that an extension of time upto 23.9.81 to implement the assurance on the ground "at the time of compilation of the information received from the Public Sector banks, it was observed that there were certain discrepancies in the information furnished by some of the Banks. Necessary clarifications were therefore obtained from the Banks with a view to reconclling those discrepancies. The replies received from the Banks are being processed. Since the information received from the banks is quite voluminous, it will take some more time in processing and finally compiling them". The Committee further noted that no further extension of time had been sought after expiry of the extension on 23-9.81. The Committee desired ticat the assurance should be implemented during the next session

Sl. No. 18.-The Committee noted that the assurance had been partly implemented vide statement laid in Lok Sabha on 24-8-81 and an extension of time upto $8-11-81$ had been sought on the ground "the State Government, in spite of its best efforts, has not been able to send us the complete information". The Comm ttee agreed to grant the extension and desired that the assurance should be implemented within the extended period.

Sl. No. 19.-The Committee noted that an extension of time upto $8-11-81$ had been sought by the Ministry to implement the assurance on the ground, "Thougb all efforts have been made to collect the information as early as possible, the complete information has not yet been received, which will take some more time". The Committee agreed to grant the extension and des'red that the assurance should be implemented within the extended period.

Sl. No. 20.-The Committee noted that the assurance had been partly implemented vide statement la'd in Lok Sabha on 23-2-81 and an extension of time upto 19-12-81 had been sought by the Ministry to implement the assurance in full. The Committee noted that the information sought to be obtained in the question was about the number of beds in each hospital. After going through the implementation report already laid, it had been transpired that the hospital-wise information had not been given therein. The Committee felt that the information should be given as desired in
the question and a note stating the reasons for not giving the complete information and implementing the assurance in time should be furnished by the Ministry for consideration of the Com. mittee.

Sl. No. 21.-The Committee noted that an extension of time th Implement the assurance upto 17-10-81 had been sought by the Ministry on the ground "the requisite information is still awaited from only one State Government". The Committee felt that the information which was to be collected from local source should not have taken such a long time to be sought. They desired that the assurance should be implemented during the next session.

Sl. No. 22.-The Committee noted that the assurance had already been implemented vide statement laid on 24-11-80.

Sl. No. 23.-The Committee noted that an extension of time uptc 7-11-81 to implement the assurance had been sought on the ground that procensing of matorial would take more time. The Committoe felt that the processing of material should not have taken more time and desired that the assurance should be implemented without further delay.

The Committee then adjourned to meet at 15.00 hours on 29-10-1981.

20
ANAETURE l
Penting ascurames pertaining to Thive Session, 1980 of Seoenth Lot Secho-As an 5-10-1981

| $\underset{\text { No. }}{\text { si }}$ | Date and Reference | Text of the Question/Debate | Asarance | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|  | THIRD SESSION-1980 |  |  |  |
|  | Mriotry of Edecation mid Sochl Welfare |  |  |  |
| 1 | USQ. No. 5745 dt. 28-7-1980 by Shri Rajnath Sonkar Shastri. | The reasons for disparity in pay ecales of hospital employees of Kashi Hindu Univerxity and Aligarh Muslim University and those of general cadre employees. | The information is being collected ard will be laid on the Table of the Sabha in due ccurse. | Last request received from DPA on 20-7-81 for extension of time upto 9-8-81. |
| 2 | USQ. No. 5770 dt. 28-7-80 by Shrimati Geeta Mukherjec. | (a) whether Govermment are aware that in some States teachers are denied the fundamental civil and political rights e.g. right to form associations, right to seek election in statutory bodie; and | (a) and (b): The information is being collected from the State Governments and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha. | Partly implemente. vide statement hia on the Table of the Housc on 23-2-81, <br> Last request received from DPA on 11-8-81 for extension of time upto-30-9-81. |
|  |  | (b) what do the Government propose to do to ensure such civil and political right to teachers. |  |  |


 fom for extemsion
of time upto
of of timbe.

(c) the expenditure Incurred on each dele-
ganioa in Eoreign exchange and in rupece;
and
(d) the purpose of each delegation se-
5 U8Q. No. 635\%dt. ${ }^{\text {Sigri Chandra Pa Shailani. }}$

| 1. | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | USQ. No. 1505 d. $20-600$ by Shri N.E. Hero. | (a) the details regarding the names of the Ministers and Officers who visited foreiga countrias along with their purposes during the last four months; and <br> (b) the details retarding the foreign earchange needed for every Minister and Officinil. | (a) and (b) The information is being collected and will be haid on the Table of the House as early maspossible. | Last requat received ${ }_{6-10.81}^{\text {from }}$ for extem 31-12-81. sion of time upto |
| 7 | USQ. No. 155S dt. 30-6-80 by Shri K, Remamurthy. | (a) the amount of forcign exchange apent by 741 Indian delegations that went abroad from April to December, 1977; <br> (b) the number of Indian delegations that went abroad in 1978 and in 1979; <br> (c) the amount of foreign exchange apent by <br> (d) the results that have been achieved by the visit of these delegations. | (a) to (d): The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House as early as possible. |  |
| 8 | USQ. No. 2147 dt. $27-60$ by Shri Ram Viles Pawan. | The names of Inspectors, Superintendents, Ascistant and Depurty Assistant Collectors, etc, in the Excise and Cutoms Departseatcharge for more than the last throe year. | It was inter-alie stated that the Government are concerned with the poatings and transfer of group A officers in the grade and Deputy Collectors. The requisite information in respect of them is being compiled and will be laid on the Table of the House. | Last request received from DPA on 15-12-81. $3-10-81$ for exten sion of time upte $15-12-81$ |
| 9 | USQ. No. 903e d. 4-7-80 by Kutmari Kamla Kumari. | (a) Total expenditure incurred during March 1977 to 1979 by the Uuion Ministrri on their forrign tours: ; <br> (b) Whether some of the Ministers have gone on perrional tours on Government expenses along with their family mernbers; and | (a), (b) \& (c): The required informaion for the period from 1st March, 1977 to 3 st December, 1979 is bcing collected and will be laid on the Table of the House as soon as possible. | Last request received $5-10-8 \mathrm{i}$ for extension of titne upla $31-12-81$. |

(c) if so, the details thereof.

|  | USQ. No. go95 dt. 4-7-1980 by Shri Rasabehari Behcra. | (a) names of cinema stars and Film Peoductirs igainst whom Income-tax; supertax and other tax dues of mone than Give latihe are outstanding; and <br> (b) names of the persons in film indueties including film sears against whom tax evarion inveatigation is going on and agninet whom prosecution has been jintiated. | (a) \& (b) : The informations is not readily available It is being collected and will be Laid on the Trable of the Housce as soon as possible. | Last request received from DPA on 5-30-81 for extersion of time upi-3-12-81. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | USQ. No. 3828 d. ${ }^{11-7-80}$ by Stiri Ram Lal Rahi. | (a) the number of complaints made against the Manager and other persons of the Central Bank of India, Sitapitr for comrnitting irretularities and indulging in corrupt practices during 1978-79 and 1979-80 ; and <br> (b) whether there complaints will be inquired into by higher officers and whether details of the amion taken thereon will be laid on the Table of the House. | (a) \& (b) : It was stated that the Sitapar branch of the Bank have reported 12 such complaints againet the officers and the complaints were being looked into. It was also stated that in the meantime the Manager and otber official have been transferred till the result of the Inquiry is known. Result of the inquiry and action taken thereon againat the officiale will be placed on the table of the House. | Last requeat recrived from DPA on 20-7, 1981 for extension of time upio 10-10-81. |
| 12 | USQ. No. g8B3 dated $11-7-\mathrm{Ho}^{8}$ by Shri S.M. Kristra. | (a) the total armunt of expenditure incurred on the forcign sours of the various Minitiers of Central Government during the year s r977-78, 1978-79 and 1979-60 and the stafr accompanving them; and <br> (b) the emantries they vistited and the busi- ness transacted. | (a) and (b) : The required information in respect of Minisers and thrir personal stafif for the perind is April, 1977 to 31s Warch, $19 \% 0$, is boing collected and will be laid on the Table of the House as soom as ponible. | Last mequeat rrceived from DPA on 5-10-8: for exterion of time upto 3i-12-8i |

8

| 1 | 2 | 3 - | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | USQ. Nu. 4716 dt. 18-7-80 by Shri Mohd. Asrar Ahmad. | (a) the Indian delegatioas visited various countries from ist January, 1980 to date, the names of Members of delegations and their leaders and the purpose for which sent; and | (a) and (b) : The required information for the period from ist January, 1980 to 18 th July, 1980 is bcing collected and will be laid on the Table of the House as early as possible. | Last request received from DPA on 3-8-81 for extension of time upto 31-10-81, |
|  | USQ. No. 4726 dt. 18-7-1980 by Shri Tridib Chaudhury. | (b) the achievements made. |  |  |
| 14 |  | (a) whether under the 'Sank Guarantee Scheme' as formulated by the Regerve Dank of India with the approval of the Governuent, Seheduled Banks wre authorised to give bank guarantes against the payment of State revenue or tasee by a person; | (a) to (c): The information is being collected and will the laid on the Table of the House. | Last request reccived from DPA on 3-10-81 time upto 31-12-81. |
|  |  | (b) is it a fact that some Scheduled Banks in Delhi have isoued bank-guarantess against payment of many crores of rupecs of revenue and taves by busineamen who had collected excise duty and sales tax from their customers in the Union Territory; and |  | - |
|  |  | (c) if the anower to (a) is in the negative, what steps Government propose to take to prevent the Bank from issuing suck bankguarantecs. |  |  |
| 15 | USQ. No. 4844 dt. 18-7-80 by Shri Navin Ravani. | (a) bow many cases at present are pending before Central Board of the Direct Taxes in the Ministry regarding defaulting M.R.T.P. and multinational companics in respect of non-payment or enquiry into tax evasion in direct taxes; | (a) to (c): The requisite information is being collected and will be haid on the Table of the House as soon as possible. | Last request received from DPA on 9-6-81 for extersion of time upto 18-7-81. Implementation report received as informallyintimated by DPA. |

(b) which are these companies; and (c) what setpe are taken to recover duea,
(a) the quantum of Central grants surrendered by the Bihar State Government Year-
wise during the laat five years;
(b) the Department-wise break-up of these
grants; and
(c) the circumstances in which the grants
were surrendered.
Last request received
fromDPA on 4-8-8
for extension of time
upto $25-10-81$.
pan!2001 380nbos ist
from DPA on 18-8-8
for extenaion of time
up to 23-9-81.
The information is being collected and will Partly inmponemated
be laid on the Table of the House.

10

| 20 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | The number of allopathic, homecopathic an $d$ Ayurvedic hospitals set-14p by State Go- | If formation: is beir \& collected and wil. Le laid on the Table of the He ure. | vide staicmel,1 laid on the Table 23-2-81 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { then enint } \\ & \text { pital } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 21 USQ. No. 4681 d. 17.7-80 by Shti Rem Vilae Puswari: | (a) the total number of posts filled up and date of appointrment in different cule during the lat insee years; gories in Saldarjang Hospital, New Deins during the last itree yearr; | (a) to ©d'. The is.formation is heiverempiled ard will be flayed on the Table of lile Sabla. |  |
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## Secretariat

Shri N. N. Mehra-Chief Examiner of Questions.
Shri T. R. Ghai-Senior Examiner of Questions.
2. The Committee took up for consideration Memorandum Nos. 13, 14, and 15. Observations or recommendations of the Committee on the Memos are as under:

Memorandum No. 13. Request from the Government for dropping of assurances given in reply to USQ No. 2887 on 12 March, 1979 regarding shifting of Central Government offices out of Delhi.
3. The Committee noted that the Ministry of Works and Housing had requested through the Department of Parliamentary Affairs that the assurance might be dropped on the grounds set forth in the note dated $31-8-81$ from the D.P.A. relevant extracts where-from are reproduced below:-
"In March, 1978 the CCA while considering the proposal about the location of some new offces in Delhi directed
the Ministry of Works and Housing to prepare a paper on the question of shifting of government offices out of Delhi for the consideration of the Secretaries Committee. In June, 1979 the required note for the CCA was propared after collecting the information from the various Ministries/Departments. From June, 1979 till April, 1980 this Ministry was in the process of finalising the noto in consultation with Finance Division and the T.C.P.O. In April, 1980 the Note was presented to the Cabinet Secretariat. The Cabinet Secretariat returned the note suggesting that specific proposal for shifting the offices outside Delhi may be brought before the Secretaries Committee after examining the matter in consultation with other Ministries concerned. From June, 1980 to March, 1981 various exercises were made to formulate concrete proposals and give specific names of offices which should be shifted outside Delhi. It was not considered necessary to consult the Ministries concerned because every Ministry/Department will have one reason or other to support their contention for the continued location of their office in Delhi. In March, 1981 a sub-group was constituted to study, the problems and make recommendations about the offices which should be shifted from Delhi without causing any inconvenience or dislocation of work. The sub-group came to conclusion that it would be necessary to conduct a detailed study to evolve a suitable criteria for selecting offices for shifting from Delhi and assess the availability of accommodation at other places where offices could be shifted. The sub-group at its second meeting in April, 1981 tentatively selected certain offices, Public sector undertakings which could be considered for shifting out of Delhi. On the recommendation of this sub-group a draft note for presentation to the Secretaries Committee has been prepared and it is under consideration of Finance Division at present. It is likely that a need may arise for consulting the Departments concerned before finalising the recommendation of this Ministry. After Secretaries Committee has considered the note, their recommendations are to be submitted to the CCA for final decision.

Shifting of offices out of Delhi is a continuous process and involves lot of resistence from the concerned Departments. It is difficult to specify the time required for fnalising the matter."
4. After considering all aspects of the matter and reasons advanced by the Ministry of Works and Housing the Committee decided to drop the assurance.

Memorandum No. 14. Fiequest from the Government for dropping of an assurance given in reply to USQ No. 3680 on the 10 May, 1978 regarding expenditure on the eaquiry committee on working of Bharat Sewak Samaj.
6. The Committee noted that the Ministry of Civil Supplies had requested through the Department of Parliamentary Affairs that the assurnnce might be dropped of the grounds set forth in the note dated 20 Septecnber, 1881, relevent extract therefrom are reproduced below:-
"At the time of giving above reply, it was expected that material from the Central Ministries/Departments, State Governments and U.Ts. on the portion of the report with which they were concerned would be fortheoming quickly and that a final Memorandum of Action taken on the Report, as stipulated in the Commission of Enquiry Act, would be prepared on the basis of available information. The Report of the Commission is a voluminous document and it consists of 25 volumes running into about 10,000 pages. The report calls for action by a number of Central Ministries as well as State Governments. On the basis of the replies received by them, a draft Memorandum of Action taken on the Report was prepared and sent to all concerned Ministries for comments. The comments of various Ministries have not yet been received, in spite of several reminders. The draft Memorandum of Action can be finalised only on receipt of comments from various Ministries.

Under the Rules of Bustness, the Memorandum of Action taken on the Report to be laid on the Table of both the Houses has to be first submitted to the Cabinet for approval and this can be done only after the draft Memorandurn of Action has been finalised in consultation with all the concerned Ministries. This has resulted in delay.

For the above reasons, this Ministry finds it difficult to present to Padiamerat soon a Memorandum of Action taken on the Report. It is, therefore, unilikely that this Ministry may be able to fulfil the above assurance before the stipulated
date. It may be added that the Ministry has a statutory obligation of placing a Memorandum of Action taken an the Report in both the Houses of Parliament. As such, the assurance will ipso facto stand fulflled when this in done."
6. The Committee after considering the reasons advanced by tibl Ministry of Civil Supplies agreed to drop the assurance.

Memorandum No. 15: Review of pending assurances pertalning to Third Session of Seventh Lok Sabha.
7. The Committee then took up for consideration Memorandum Na. 15 (item Nos. 1 to 29) Yor the purpose of reviewing assurances pertaining to the Third Session of Seventh Lok Sabha (last batch) (details given in Annexure-II).

The observations and recommendations made by the Committee seriatim on 23 pending assurances are as under:-

Sl. No. 1: The Committee noted that the extension of time upto 17-12-81 had been sought for by the Ministry of Home Affairs to fulfil the assurance on the ground that information from some State Governments was still awaited. The Committee desired that whatever information was available with the Ministry might be laid during the next session and efforts made to get the requisite information from rest of the States as early as possible.

Sl. Nos. 2 \& 3: The Ministry of Home Affairs had sought an extension of time upto $23-10-81$ for the implementation of the assuranoe given in reply to USQ. No. 5308 on $23-7-1980$ on the ground that information from some State Governments was awaited. The Committee noted that the extension of time beyond $23-10-81$ had not been sought by the Ministry. The Committee felt that the Miniatry of Home Affairs could have easily implemented the assurance in part with whatever information they were having. In ragard to assurance given in reply to USQ. No. 5307 on 23-7-1980, the Committee noted that the latest communication seelding extension of time for 3 months had just then been received. The Committee desised that the representative of the Ministry of Home Aflairs might be called to see the Chairman, Committee on Government Assurances to explain the reasons for the lapse in both the cama.

Sl. Nos. 4 \& 5: The Committee noted that the extension of time upto 6-10-81 and 5-11-81 respectively had been cought by the Ministry or Home Affairs. The Committoe dedred that statements in implementation of these enurances might be laid on the Table of Lok Sabha in the ensuing session.

Sl. Nos. 6 \&7: The Committee noted that the extension of time upto 6-1-82 and 22-11-81 respectively had been sought by the Ministry on the grounds that the matter was under consideration with the Department of Legal Affairs and had also been agitated in the High Court/Supreme Court. It was also stated that the information was still awaited from some of Ministries/Departments. The Committee agreed to grant the extension in both the cases and desired that the assurances should be implemented within the extended period.

Sl. No. 8: The Committee noted that the assurance was partly implemented on 30-3-81. Ministry had sought extension upto 31-3-81 and thereafter no request for further extension was made. The Committee desired that a representative of the Ministry might be called to appear before the Chairman to explain their difficulty and the reasons for not seeking further extension of time.

Sl. No. 9: The Committee noted that no request for extension of time for implementation of the assurance had been made by the Ministry. The assurance was to be implemented within three months from the date of the reply of the question ( $6-8-80$ ) i.e. by $6-11-80$. The Committee desired that a representative of the Ministry might be called to appear before the Chairman to explain the matter personally.

Sl. No. 10: The Committee noted that extension of time upto 15-10-81 had been sought for by the Ministry to fulfil the assurance on the ground that the information was being compiled. Thereafter, they had not shought any extension of time. The Committee desired that whatever information was available with the Ministry might be laid in the House during the next session.

Sh. No. 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 23: The Committee noted that in these cases, the period of extension of time sought for had elther lapsed or was to lapse shortly. The Committee desired that in alt these cases, whatever information was available with the Ministry might be laid in the House in part implementation of the assurance in the next session and efforts made to expedite the implementation of the remaining portion of the assurance.

Sl. Nos. 18, 19 and 20: In these cases the Committee noted that the Ministries had sought extension of time upto 1-11-1981, 1-8-1981 and 7-11-1981, respectively for the implementation of assurances. In all these cases, the Committee desired that the assurances might be implemented in the ensuing session of Lok Sabha.

Sl. Nos. 21 \& 22: The Committee noted in case of Sl. No. 21 that the assurance was implemented partly on 15 December, 1980 and in case of Sl. No. 22 the Ministry had sought extension of time upto 28 January, 1981 only for implementation of the assurances. Thereafter the Ministry of Works and Housing had not sought any extension of time. The Committee desired that the representatives of the Ministry of Works and Housing might be called to appear before the Chairman, Committee on Government Assurances to explain the factual position.

The Committee then adjourned to meet again on Tuesday, the 17 November, 1981.

## ANNEXURE II



| S. | Date and reference | Text of the Question/Debate | Ascurance | Fentarit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1. USQ. No. $114^{8} \mathrm{dt}$. 18-6-1980 by Shri K. Mallanna Shri K. Pradhani: Shri N.E. Haco. <br> - <br> 9. USQ. No. 5306 dt. 23-7-1980 by Shri Arjun Sethi. <br> (a) the number of persons in defferent jails, State-wise which are in the list of death penalty; <br> (b) the number of persons who have applied for mercy; and <br> (c) the number of such death penalty cases which are pending before the different courts in the country i.e. High Courts and Supreme Court. <br> (a) the number of persons who died after taking spurious liquor during the last <br> two years State-wise, as well as in the Union Territories; and <br> (a), tions is awaited. <br> Gujarat, (c): Information from Assams cobar, Islands, Arunachal Pradesh, Lakshadweep, Pondicherry and Chandigarh was furnished. It was stated that information from other stety Governments and Union Territory adtuinitita- <br> (a) and (b): The requisite finformation is. being eollected and on receiptor the stine a statement will be laid on the Table of the House. ceived Trim DPK <br> Lat request reon 24-9-8: for extensiba of time upte 17-12-01. <br> Lan requeat re ceived from DPA on 22-7-8i for extencion of time upto 23-10-81. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

(b) the number of persons apprehended
for selling illicit liquor during the hast

-Implementation Report reccived by DPA and will be haid during the next sexaion.
$\xlongequal[1]{2}$
(c) how many out of them have been re-
verted/removed from their posts ; and
(d) how many out of them have been re-
gularised during the above period, Mi-
nistry-wise and year-wise.


| 8. USQ. No. 7071 dt. 6-8-1980 by Shri Chandra Bhan Athare Patil. | What has been the expendiiture (depart-ment-wise) on overtime paid each year during the last three years, and in 1980 uplo yoth June, 1980 . | The information on overtime expenditure is collected for the financial years. A statement showing the expenditure incurred on overtime allowance by various Departments of the Central Government during 1976-77, 1977-76 and 1978-79 was furnished. Complete information for the subsequent periods is however, not available as yet. This will be laid on the Table of the House when collected). | Partly implemented oide SS. No. X, item No. 24 on 30-3-8t. <br> Last request received from DPA on $18-2-8 \mathrm{f}$ for ex tension of time upto $31-5-81$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY |  |  |  |
| 9. S.Q. No. 863 de. 6-8-1900 Supplemenury by Shri Chintamani Jena. | Shri Chintamani Jena enquired whether it is a fact that in 1974. the prosent Prime Minister, Mrs. Gandhi laid a foumdation stone at Parmdip for the eatablishment of a cement factory there, and that that foundation stone was thrown away by the recent Janata Government when it was in power in the State? Has the present State Government of Orissa represented several times for a ecment phant at Paradip? If $\%$, what is the Government's resction to it . | The Minister for Industry stated "I don't have the details of this stone laying and tone-throwing and on the second point also". Thereupon the Member (Shri Nawal Kishore Sharma) submitted that it was an important question; and information may be obtained and supplied by the Minister. | No request for extenstion of time has been received from DPA. |


mand Chowrment in regard to theec cames in
saldition to Cowernmert advecates and
when they were oppoigtod the amount
 the anowit outwenatian on thit acoment;
and
 advocatte and other officers
have been appointed.
13 USQ. No. Nocs, dt. 12-8-1980 (a) whether Government are aware of (a), (b) a (c) The information is Init request received
 (b) if 20 , their number Statewise and the policy as regards their property in India; (c) whether any guidelines have been

14 USQ. No. $\mathbf{3 4 7 0}$, dt. 8-7-1900 (a) the number of blackmarketeers, hoard- (a) \& (b): The requisite information in Iapt requent ra. expention of time


(d) if so, whether in view of Home Minis-
try's orders and need for economy steps are
proposed to be taken to reinstate the above
92 Horse Guards ; if not, the reasons
therefor.

## USQ No, 6396, dt. 1-8-80 by Shri (a) whether the Government of India pre- Laxman Karma an integrated development scheme <br>  <br> bale adjoining the Bailodila Iron ore of Madhya Pradeah; <br> $\infty$

Madhya Praderh;
(b) if so, the details the
(b) if so, the details thereof;
(c) the impact of the Iron Ore Project of tri-
bath and total number of villageand and the
tribale affected due to the Iron Ore Com-
plex; and (d) rehabilitation a
(d) rehabilitation schemes undertaken by
the Government of Madhya Pradesh and
the result to far.

(b) the royalty received by the Madhya Pra-
deah Oovernment from the above Iron Ore, annually so far;
(c) the money inverted for the development
and tribats of thate locality of the Centre
from the Iron Ore; and from the Iron Ore; and
(d) If not, the alternative
(d) If not, the alternative proposile there-
p 2
41

-Implementation Report received by DPA and will be laid during the neat semion.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| minastry of tourism and civil aviation |  |  |  |  |
| 20. USQ. No, 7425 dt, 8-8-80 by Shri Ram Vile Prewanz |  | (a) the numaber of Pitts- 2 aeroplanes imported during the least five years; |  | Lest request from DPA received on 1-9-81 for extontion |
|  |  | (b) the pernons in whose names these were imported into india; |  | of time upto 7-11-81. |
|  |  | (c) in phose sames their import lioences were inued and who paid duty thesoon; and | (c) \& (d). Information is being collected and will be placed on the Table of the Sabha, | $\cdots$ - |
|  |  | (d) the names of particalpcrione who paid canh or through cheques. |  |  |



minutes
SEVENTH SITTING
(1981-82)
The Committee met on Tuesday, the 17 November, 1981 from 11.00 to 12.30 hours.

## PRESENT

## Shri Jagannath Rao-Chairman

Members
2. Shrimati Usha Prakash Choudhari
3. Shri Kamaluddin Ahmed
4. Shri Jagpal Singh
5. Shri Somjibhai Damor
6. Shrimati B. Radhabai Ananda Rao
7. Shri Sunder Singh
8. Shri Ram Swarup Ram

Seciretartat
Shri N. N. Mehra-Chief Examiner of Questions
Shri T. R. Ghai-Chief Legislative Committee Officer
2. The Committee took up for consideration Memoranda Nos. 16, 17, 18 and 19. Observations/Recommendations of the Committee on the Memoranda are as under:-

Memorandum No. 16.-Request from the Government for dropping of the assurance given in reply to USQ No. 2196 on the 31 August, 1981, regarding Morale Dam and Indrani Dam.
3. The Committee noted that the Ministry of Irrigation had requested through the Department of Parliamentary Affairs that the assurance might be dropped on the grounds set forth in the note dated 1 October, 1981 which is reproduced below:-
"Irrigation is a State subject and the responsibility of irrigation projects is entirely that of the State Governments. The Central Government is in no way involved for the damage caused to any of the structures built by the State Government on account of the floods or the causes thereof, which was the subject matter of the Question.

The question asked was "whether any inquiry has been ordered and if so, the results thereof". The reply given was the statement of fact stating that the State Government have constituted two separate committees to inquire into the causes of damage and that their reports are yet awaited. Since these committees have been constituted by the State Government, the Central Government does not have any control on the period in which the committees will be enjoined to submit their reports. The State Governments normally do not immediately publish the results/findings of such committees till they take a decision on the action to be taken on findings/ recommendations of such committees. Therefore, it may not be possible for the Central Government to report the findings of these committees to the Parliament within the stipulated period of three months in fulfilment of an assurance, nor will it be possible to ask for a specific extension for the fulfilment of the assurance..

They have further stated that an assurance has to be related to any action promised by the Central Government and in a matter which attracts the responsibility of the Central Government, which is not the case in the present context as already explained earlier.
Minister of State for Irrigation is, therefore, of the opinion that the replies given to USQ No. 2196 in the Lok Sabha on 31-8-1981 should not be treated as an assurance."
4. The Committee, after considering the reasons advanced by the Ministry and also various aspects of the matter, decided that the ussurance might be dropped.
Memorandum No. 17.-Request from the Government for dropping of the assurance given in reply to Calling Attention on 25 August, 1981 regard'ing Reported Hawala Racket involving Bogus Transaction in Bombay.
5. The Committee noted that the Ministry of Finance had requested through the Department of Parliamentary Affairs that the assurance might be dropped on the grounds set forth in the note dated 16 October, 1981, relevant extracts wherefrom are reproduced below:-
"The statement made by the Finance Minister in the course of explaining the nature of bogus transactions in the
hawala racket was mainly in response to the Calling Attention Motion. He, had however, furnished in his speech all relevant information in the matter and the assurance was only an additional and voluntary action promising to lay down the results of investigations as and when it was completed. In view of the Inter-State ramifications and the large number of persons involved in these transactions, the investigation is bound to be time-consuming. Besides, it is the experience of the Department that such type of cases entail protracted litigation and normally it takes several years before the Department is in a position to know the final results. This may compel the Ministry to seek extension of time for a period of 3 to 4 years for fulfilling the assurance: Undoubtedly, this would give a wrong impression of the Department before the Parliament. In the circumstances, it is requested that this may not be treated as an assurance."
6. The Commitee, after examining the reasons advanced by the Ministry of Finance, agreed that the assurance might be dropped.

Memorandum No. 18.-Request from the Government for dropping of the assurance given in reply to Calling Attention on 1 September, 1981, regarding Reported Power shortage in various parts of the country.
7. The Committee noted that the Ministry of Energy had requested through the Department of Parliamentary Affairs that the assurance might be dropped on the grounds set forth in the note dated 28 October, 1981, the relevant extracts are reproduced below: -
"While replying to Shri K. K. Goyal on a supplementary on Calling Attention Notice dated 1 September, 1981, the Minister of State for Energy stated that he did not have the latest figures about the water level in Gandhi Sagar Dam and had promised that he would give this information after obtaining the same (page No. 6774 of the uncorrected version of the debates). However, before concluding his reply, the Minister of State for Energy had furnished the requisite information as under:-
'So far as Gandhi Sagar is concerned, I just like to say that I have got the report of 27th August. The Reservoir
level of this year is 1280.24 foet against last year's level of 1270 feet. Therefore, Gandhi Sagar has more than last years'.
[Page No. 6794 of the uncorrected version of the debates]"
8. Here also, the Comm'ttee after considering the reasons advanced by the Ministry, agreed that the assurance might be dropped.
9. Memonandum No. 19.-The Committee then took up for consideration Memorandum No. 19 (item Nos. 1 to 30) for the purpose of reviewing the pending assurances pertaining to Fourth Session of Seventh Lok Sabha (first batch) (details given in Annexure-III).

The observations/recommendations made by the Committee seriatim on the 30 pending assurances are as under:-

Sl. Nos. 1, 2, 4, 22, 27 and 28: The Committee noted that in all these pending assurances the Ministries had sought extension of time for the implementation of assurance either upto mid of December, 1981 (in cases of SI. Nos. 1 and 2) or upto the end of December, 1981 (in cases of Sl. Nos 4, 22, 27 and 28) on the grounds that the information from the State Governments, Ministrias/Departments was still awaited.

The Committee agreed to grant the extensions sought for in all these cases observing that concerned M:nistries should pursue implementation of these assurances vigorously.

Sl. No. 3:-The Committee noted that the Ministry of Rural Reconstruction had sought extension of time for implementation of the assurance upto 9 December, 1981, through Department of Parliamentary Affairs, on the ground that the matter was being pursued with some of the State Governments and information was awaited. The Committee desired that whatever information the Ministry was having should be laid on the Table in implementation of the assurance and efforts be made to expedite the rest.

Serial No. 5: The-Committee noted that the Ministry of Communications had sought extension of time for implementat on of the assurance upto 16 December, 1981 on the ground that the information from widely spread out $P$ and $T$ Units was awaited. The Committee felt that the information sought in the question was rather of such a nature that if too much time was taken in collecting the information, the benefits to accrue to some of the persons through the promotional scheme might be delayed. The Committee desired
that the representative of the Ministry might be called to appear before the Chairman to explain the reasons for delay in implementation of the assurance personally.

Serial No. 12: The Committee noted that the Ministry had earlier sought extension of time for implementation of the assurance upto 10 November, 1981 which had already expired. In the earlier communication received through the Department of Parliamentary Affairs, it was mentioned that the Delhi Administration had been reminded to send the complete information. The Committee desired that the representative of the Ministry might be called to appear before the Chairman to explain the delay in implementation of the assurance and also the reasons for not seeking further extension of time for implementation of the assurance.

Serial No. 13: The Committee noted that the assurance was given as early as 15 December, 1980 and was required to be implemented within a period of 3 months. However, no request had been received from the Department of Parliamentary Affairs for extension of time for implementing the assurance. The Committee desired that the representative of the Ministry might be called to appear before the Chairman to explain the reasons for delay in implementation of the assurance and also for not seeking extension of time.

Serial No. 15.-The Committee noted that the last request for extension of time upto 2nd April, 1981 had been received from the Department of Parliamentary Affairs on 17th March, 1981 wherein it had been stated that information had to be collected from the Department of Power and Department of Coal and their attached and subordinate offices. The date upto which extension of time had been sought had expired long back. The Committee desired that the representative of the Ministry might be called to appear before the Chairman to explain the reasons for delay in implementation of the assurance and also for not seeking extension of time.

Serial No. 18: The Committee noted that the last request for extension of time upto 28 July, 1981 had been received from the Department of Parliamentary Affairs on 23 April, 1981 on the ground that the Reserve Bank of India was collecting information from various regional sources. The date upto which extension had been sought had already expired and no further extension of time had been sought. The Committee desired that the representative of the Ministry might be called to appear before the Chairman to
explain the reasons for delay in implementation of the assurance and the reasons for not asking for further extension.

Serial No. 20: The Committee noted that the last request for extension of time upto 4 June, 1981 had been received from the Department of Parliamentary Affairs on 19 March, 1981 on the ground that the information to be collected was voluminous. The date upto which extension of time had been sought had already expired. The Committee desired that the representative of the Ministry might be called to appear before the Chairman to expla $n$ the reasons for delay in implementation of the assurance and also the reasons for not asking for extension of time.

Serial Nos. 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 14, 23, $25 \& 30$ : The Committee noted that in these cases, the period of extension of time sought for had either expired or was to expire shortly. The Committee also took note of the grounds on which extensions had been sought for implementation of these assurances. The Committee desired that in all these cases, assurances might be implemented in the ensuing Winter Session of Lok Sabha.

Serial Nos. 10, 16, 17, 19 and 26: The Committee noted that the statement in partial implementation of the assurance had been laid on the Table on 24 August, 1981. The Ministry had sought extension of time upto 31 December, 1981 for implementation of the remaining part of the assurance. The information received from the Department of Parliamentary Affairs, however, indicated that information in respect of Madhya Pradesh only was awaited. In the cases of Sl. Nos. 16, 17, 19 and 26, the Ministries sought extension of time upto nearly end of the November, 1981. The Committee decided that in all these cases extensions be granted. However, the Ministries concerned should make efforts to implement the assurances in the ensuing Winter Session of Lok Sabha.

Serial. No. 21: The Committee noted that the Ministry bad sought extension of time upto 30 November, 1981 on the grounds that the information from two organisations was still awaited.

The Committee desired that the assurance might be implemented in the ensuing Winter Session and if that was not poasible, the Ministry might lay whatever information was available with them in the ensuing session in part fulfilment of the assurance.

Serial No. 29: The Committee noted that the Ministry had sought extension of time upto 3 December, 1981 on the grounds that information from Bihar, Madhya Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh was still awaited. The Committee desired that whatever information the Ministry were having, might be laid on the Table of the House in the ensuing session and efforts be made to expedite the implementation of the remaining portion of the assurance.

The Committee then adjourned.
ANNEXURE III


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Date and Reference | Text of the Quextion/Debate | Assurance | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|  |  | FOURTH SESSION- | 1980 |  |
|  |  | (MINISTRY OF AGRIG | ULTURE) |  |
| 1 SQ. No. 2 dated 17-11-80 Supplementary by Shri Ramavatar Shastri: |  | Asking for the member referred to the dues which have accrued to the farmers and wanted to know the reps Govrenment have taken to see that dues are paid to the firmern by the Mills located at Motipur, Lohat, Beheta and S.K.G. Siwan. <br> The Minister stated that he would give the details with regard to amounts paid and the Mills involved. |  | Last requent recenved from DPA on 26-10-81 for extension of time upto 16-12-81. |
| (MINISTRY OF RURAL RECONSTRUCTION) |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | US2. N. 2882 dated 8-12-80 by Sari A.C. Dea : | (a) the arrount allocated in the Sixth Plan for providing link ruads in the ruapl areas; |  | Last request recrived from DPA on 18-9-81 for extension of time upto 10-12-81. |
|  |  | (b) the amount allocated for Orima in the mid pian in that head; |  |  |


| 1 | 2 | 31 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 USQ. No. 2915 deted 8-12-1980 by Shri Chandra Pal Shailani: | (c) whether his Ministey wants to give top priority to provide such link roads is the . Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes populated villages of Orissa; and | - ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |
|  |  | (d) if so, the name and number of link roads identified in Jaypur sub-division of Cuttack district to be brought under the above schemes. | (d) The information is being collected from the Government of Orissa and will be placed on the Table of the House. |  |
|  |  | (a) whether it is a fact that Government had a programme 'Minimum Need Programme' under which rural communications roads were constructed in various states; and |  | Last request received from DPA on 18-9. 81 for extension of time upto 9-12-1981 |
|  |  | (b) if so, the kilometre of roads constructed in each State and Union Territory. | (b) Information is being collected from the State Government and Union Territories and will be placed on the Table of the House. |  |
| 4 USQ. No. 3929 dt. 15-12-1980 by Stiri Ahok Gehlot : |  | (a) the total number of works done under the Food for Work Programme in Rajasthan in 1978-79; |  | Last request received from DPA on 26-10 81 for extension of time upto 31-12-81 |
|  |  | (b) whether 76 works costings Rs. 3 lakh 80 thousand were to be done in Jodhpur district under this programme whereas 36 works out of these were totally fictitious; <br> (b) to (f). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House. |  |  |
|  |  | (c) if so, the outline thereof and which of these works are fictitious; |  |  |
|  |  | (d) whether Government will consider taking action against the guilty employecs; |  |  |
|  |  | (e) if so, by what time; and $(f)$ if not, the reasons therefor. |  |  |

55
MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS
3 USG. No. 98 d. 17-11-1900 by (a) what isthe fate of 20 per cent promotional (a) to (f). The Minister while explaining Last request recei-


MINISTRY OF ocheme in P\&T introduced in the year
1974 and whether it is sufficient or depart--
ment is going to consider more promotio(b) if so, what are those and when will be implementod;
(c) whether this scheme is being implemen-
ted in all cadre of $P$ \& $T$ Department all over India;
(d) if so, what is the position of its imple-
mention, Circle-wise, in postal mention
side ;
(e) whether promotional orders are being ciarietin preacding years with percentage
of staff; and (n) whether ubere is provision of confirma-
 and the same will
ble of the House.
6 USQ. No. 990 dr. 24-11-1980 by
Shri Fhool Chand Verma: (b) if so, the names of other offices in which
the prectice of distributing honorarium
is ppevelent;
(c) the date from which it is in force there;
(d) the mmoont likety to be incurred on this
account by Government and full details

- Yaplempatation Regort received by DPA and will be laid durng the dext sextion.


tension of time
$31-12-81$,
Last request received
from DPA on
$7-9-81$ for exton-
sion of time up to
$30-11-81$.
(c) whe is the actual number and pro- (c) The requisite information is being col-
(a) whether it is a fact that teschere as a complete Goverament tuke over of Primanry, middile, weondary and higter edu-
cation throughout the country;
(b) if
and
son , Goverament resction
(b) if 30, Goverament resction thereon;
(c) What is the actual number and pro-
portion the toctal number of the various
categorion of educutional instientions
alreany Giken owor by the Goverament
in varioun Statee and Union Territorica
of the conatry.

- lappormenation Report recrived by DPA and will be haid during the next sexion.

(c) approximate loas on account of burning
of coal in the coal mines.





[^4]| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Ministry of Health \& Family Welfare) |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | USQ. No. 2396 dt. 4-12-80 by Shri Ram Singh Shakya | (a) the action taken by Government against the production and distribution of spurious drugs in India during 1979-80, <br> (b) the number of persons died in India by using the spurious drugs during the above period; and <br> (c) the number of druggists, doctors and drug manufacturers against whom cases weri filed and who were awarded punishment for more than one year's imprisonment? | (a) to (c) : Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House. | Partly implemented eide No. VI, Item No. 4 laid on the table on 14-9-8i. Last request received from DPA on-26-10-81 for extention of time up to 31-12-81. |
|  | USQ. No. 2447 dt. 4-12-80 by Shsi Satish Agarwal. | (a) whether it is a fact that Jaipur University Biologists have obtained on antidiabetic drug froma medical plant by using the techaique known as 'Tissu Culture" ; | (a) to (c) : This information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House. | Last request received from DPA on 6-11-81 for extension of time up to 31-12-81. |

(b) whether the drug has been put to test
on a large scale and if so, the findings
thereof; and
(c) Whether Government have plan for the go along way to help the diabetic patients
in our country?
-Imptementation Report received by DPA and will be laid during the next semion


MINUTES
Eighth Sitting
(1981-82)
The Committee sat on Tuesday, the 22 December, 1981 from 15.30 hours to 16.00 hours.

PRESENT
Shri Jagannath Rao-Chairman
Members
2. Shri Lakshman Mallick
3. Shrimati B. Radhabai Ananda Rao
4. Shri Krishan Datt Sultanpuri
5. Shri Ram Swarup Ram

## Secretariat

Shri N. N. Mehra-Chief Examiner of Questions
Shri T. R. Ghai-Chief Legislative Committee Officer.
2. The Committee considered their draft Third Report and adopted the same.
3. The Committee authorised the Chairman, and in his absence, Shri Krishan Datt Sultanpuri, to present the Report on 24 December, 1981.
4. The Committee also decided to undertake, subject to the approval of Hon'ble Speaker, a study tour to Andaman \& Nicobar Islands, tentatively from 11 to 16 January, 1982 in connection with the implementation of the assurance given/laid in reply to Unstarred Question No. 4368 dated 18 December, 1980 regarding New Passenger Vessel Triveni.
5. The Chairman also placed before the Committee the letter from Shri Uttam Rathod, MP dated 14-12-81 regarding delay involved in bringing forward by Government an amendment to Land Acquisition Act, 1894, and the Committee agreed to discuss the matter on the receipt of replies from the Ministers of Agricuiture/ Works \& Housing.
6. The Committee were informed that in pursuance of the decision taken by the Committee at their sitting held on 29 October, 1981, representatives of the Ministries of Home Affairs (Department of Personnel and Administrative Reforms), Industry and Works \& Hcusing appeared before the Chairman, Committee on Government Assurances on 13 November, 1981 and explained the position regarding implementation of the following assurances:-

| Quention Na. \& Datr | Minitury | Suhject |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Unstarred Question No. 5906 dated e9-7-80 by-Shri Arjun. Sethj | Home Affairs | Spurious Liquor Deaths. |
| 2. Unstarred Question No. 5907 dated 29-7-80 bx Shri Arjun Sethi | Home Affairs | Kidnapping and abduction of Children. |
| 9. Starred Question No. 863 duted 6-8-80 (Supplomentany) by Shri Chintamani Jena | Industry | Setting up of a Cement Ptant in Orisas. |
| 4. Unatarred Qrestion No. $\mathbf{4 8 8}^{88}$ dated $21-7-80$ by Shri B.K. Nair | Worke and Housing | Drinking Water Supply Untrs. |
| 5. Unstarred Question No. ${ }^{8880}$ dated 28-7-80 by Shri Arj in Sethi | Works and Housing | Unanthorised land in powemion of religious. persom. |

7. The Committee then adjourned.
(Vide Para 16 of the Report)
(i) Statement showing the sosition of assuramees of Fifth Lok Sabha as 19 Decomber, 1981

| Sesaion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



 4898

(iv) Ministry-wise details of oulstanding acouranoes of Soomith Lak Sabke

| Minitstry/Department | First | Socilons of Seventh Lok Sabhe |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Second | Third | Fourth | Pith | Sixth T | Total |
| Apriculture | . | - | . | 1 | 3 | 96 | 30 |
| Rural Reconstruction. | . | . | . | 3 | 9 | 10 | 29 |
| Oivil Supplies | . | . | . | 2 | 8 | 9 | 19 |
| Commerce. | . | . | 1 | - | - 1 | 9 | 11 |
| Communications |  | . | . | : | 4 | 8 | 13 |
| Defence |  |  | . | . | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Education \& Social Welfare |  |  | 1 | 3 | 10 | 18 | 38 |
| Energy |  |  | 2 | 1 | 12 | 17 | 38 |
| Extermal Aftairs. |  | - | . |  | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Electronies |  | . | . | . | - .. | 2 | 2 |
| Finance | . | 1 | 9 | 9 | 28 | 97 | 84 |
| Health \& Family Welfare | - | . | 4 | 3 | 9 | 13 | 29 |
| Hame Affirt | . | . | 5 | 15 | 37 | 40 | 97 |
| Industry | -. |  | . | 1 | 6 | 6 | 13 |
| Information \& Broadcauting | .. | - |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 9 |
| Irrigation |  |  | . | 1 | 1 | 7 | 9 |
| Labour | - $\cdot$ | . | . | - | 14 | 11 | 25 |
| Law, Junice \& Co. Affairs | . | 2 | 3 | 1 | 12 | 6 | 24 |
| Per., Cheme. \& Fertilizers | . .. | -• | 3 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 19 |
| Parliamentary Affairs | - | - | . | - | - $\cdot$ | 1 | 1 |
| Planning - | - .. | . | . | $\cdot$ | - 5 | 1 | 6 |
| Railways | - . | . | - | 1 | 3 | 18 | 29 |
| Science \& Tachnology | - $\cdot$ | - | - | - | . 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Shipping \& Transport | -• | -• | -• |  | . 3 | -. | - 1 |
| Steel \% Mines | - . | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| Tourism \& Civil Aviation | . $\cdot$ | . | 1 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 19 |
| Supply \& Rehabilitation | - $\cdot$ | - | . | . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | . | 1 | 1 |
| Worke \& Housing | $\cdots$ | . | 1 | . | $\cdot 17$ | 37 | 7 - 35 |
| Total: | nil | 3 | 32 | 49 | 209 | 302 | 595 |

GMGIPMRND-I.I-2974 LS--29-1-82-153


[^0]:    -The Committee was nominated by the speaker with effect from 18 Jumes 1081 vide para 1010 of Lok Sabha Bulletin Part-II dated 15-6-1981.

[^1]:    *P.S.: The statement in implementat ion of the assurance has been laid on the Table on 21 Dec ember, 1981.

[^2]:    15. The Committee considered the request made by the Requests Government for dropping of the fallowing flve assu-rances:-
    from Government for dropping of Five nesurancer.
[^3]:    ${ }^{*}$ Date for appearing of the officials not yet decided.

[^4]:    - Implementatiea Report received by DPA and will be haid during the nexa emem.

