GOVERNMENT OF INDIA HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT LOK SABHA

UNSTARRED QUESTION NO:2260 ANSWERED ON:14.12.2004 SCHEMES FOR CHILDREN Shukla Smt. Karuna

Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether the amendments introduced in IMS, Act, 2003 regarding Production, Supply and Marketing of substitutes of infant milk, feeding bottles and infant food are being complied with strictly;

(b) if not, the reasons therefore;

(c) the details of incidents of violation of this Act noticed so far; and

(d) the corrective measures and the penal action taken in this regard?

Answer

MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI KANTI SINGH)

(a),(b),(c)&(d) Between January to August 2004 twenty incidents of violation of IMS Act, 1992 have been noticed by the Breastfeeding Promotion Network of India, an organization authorized in this behalf, which are at preliminary stages of investigation. Details of these cases are given in the statement enclosed,

Statement referred to in reply to Lok Sabha Unstarred Question No. 2260 for 14.12.2004 by Shrimati Karuna Shukla regarding Schemes for Children.

Details of the Action Taken regarding Violations of the Infant Milk Substitutes, Feeding Bottles and Infant Foods (Regulation of Production, Supply and Distribution) Act 1992, as amended in 2003 (IMS Act) by The Breastfeeding Promotion Network of India January 2004 to September 2004 (1st, 2nd and 3rd Quarter)

January 2004

Council of India.

S.No Details Analysis	Action taken	Legal Comments
 Bombay Dyein had published an advertisement in their magazine for women "Gladrags" presenting Gladrags Mrs India in which a feeding bottle had been shown in the, Oct-Nov issue 2003 	analysis was done in the light of IMS Act, 1992. A consultation with experts was also sought. After analysis it was concluded that this	Advertising Council of India, responded by a letter stating that that the advertisement was in the breach of the law, but as the advertisement was one-time and the advertised again, the file was closed.
(Annex-1) written to editor of the magazine "Gladrags" Mr. Rituraj Sharma and to advertising	Letter was	

2. Dr. J P Dadhich All the from Delhi evidences received were gathered invitation card and analysed from Nestle to in light of attend a the Amended symposium. The IMS Act. invitation of Opinion was the same event also sough was advertised from experts in DMA Bulletin on the issue.

(Annex-2)

February 2004

S.NO Details	Action	taken	Legal	Comments
Analysis				

3. Dr. Ajay Gau	r The origina	ls Lega	al After legal and in-
from Gwalior	of the	opinion	house analysis it
reported a	materials	sought	was concluded that
complaint,	distributed		this is violation
regarding	by the		of Section 7 (1) a
educational	company were		to b of the IMS
material and	traced. The		Act and Rule 9 of
poster	materials		the IMS Rules.
distributed by	were analysed		
Reptakos, Brett	in the light		
and Company, a	of the IMS		
company			
manufacturing			
infant milk	2003. After		
substitutes and	-		
infant foods.	was concluded		
(Annex-3)	that these		
materials are			
violating the			
IMS Act in			
letter and			

spirit and it was forwarded to the legal experts for his comments.

April 2004

S.No Details Analysis	Action taken	Legal Comments
4. The Magazine "Femina Book of Good Parenting" had a picture of an infant feeding a bottle on the cover page as well as inside pages	ongoing activity of BPNI to monitor violations in newspaper and magazines, it	Received a letter from Femina, stating that they will carry errata in their July edition.
(Annex-4) of Good	"Femina Book	

Parenting" bared a picture of a infant feeding on a bottle. The photograph was examined and it was concluded that this photograph violates the spirit of the IMS Act. A letter was written to editor of editor of Femina, Sathya Saran, and to Advertising Council of India. 5. Complaint was After Legal After legal received from receiving opinion analysis it was Dr. A complaint in sought concluded that Muthuswami from written from this is violation Chidambaram our member, of Section 3 (c) regarding the evidences and 8(1) of the distribution of were analysed IMS Act. distribution of were analysed pamphlets and in respect to persuasion of IMS Act. It destore by doctors by was concluded representatives that this of Nestle India action is a Ltd. violation of (Annex-5) the IMS Act and the evidences were

were forwarded to our legal expert for analysis

May 2004

S.No Details	Action taken	Legal	Comments
Analysis			

6. It was notic	ed Letter	was	No response
that on the	written to		
channel DD News	Director,		
on 6th May at	Dordarshan,		
6.10 PM in the	Akashwani		
programme "	Bhawan for		
Health" popular	stop showing		
version "Haal	such		
Chaal Theek	programmes		
Thak Hai",	that promote		
there were	artificial		
comments on	feeding in		
"use of cup &	the country		
spoon while	and also take		
feeding a small	action to		
baby"	reverse		
damage done			
by that			
programme.			

June 2004

S.No Details	Action tak	en	Comments
7. Advertisemen of Mortein Rat Kill aired on Zee TV in between the programme Sa Re Ga Ma depicted an infant feeding on a baby soother.	t Letter was written to Manager of Kitchen Appliances India Limited for stop showing such images that promote		No response
(Annex-6) feeding in the country. This was also brought in notice of advertising Council of India.	artificial		
8. Complaint wa received from Dr. Rajinder Gulati, Ludhiana for distribution of Immunisation record cards and other printed materials by Nestle India Ltd.	s The evidence were gathered and analysed in the light of the IMS Act. After detailed analysis it was concluded that this is a violation of the IMS Act. The		al After legal analysis it was concluded that this is violation of Section 3 (c) and 8(1) of the IMS Act.
(Annex-7) with our opinion was forwarded for legal analysis	evidences		
9. Complaint in respect to letters dated April 2004 sent to doctors by Reptakos, Brett and Company.	The evidence were gathered and analysed in the light of the IMS Act. After detailed		al After legal analysis it was concluded that this is violation of Section 3 (c) and 8(1) of the IMS Act.
(Annex-8) was concluded that this is a violation of the IMS Act. The evidences with our opinion was forwarded for legal analysis	analysis it		

July 2004

S.No Details In house Legal Action taken Analysis and Analysis Comments And Comments 10. The company An in-house After legal No action was "Hello Baby" analysis was and in-house taken

who are done in the analysis it manufacturers light of IMS was concluded of infant Act, 1992 as that this products amended in booklet by including 2003. A passed the booklet by including 2003. A passed the consultation provisions of with experts the IMS Act feeding bottles and related was also products, sought. The published a said booklet booklet was then send for legal "Growth Record Book" opinion. containing messages on various issues related to infants 11. Container of BPNI did a Legal opinion "Farex" an market survey was sought infant milk to analyse After legal substitute labels of and in-house and infant infant milk analysis it and in-house analysis it analysis it was concluded manufactured and infant that the by Heinz foods contri-bearing arrite and in-house bearing available in violates the packing date the market. A provisions of of September carton of Section 6 and 2003 Farex was Rule 7 of the Farex wa IMS Act. bought bearing the manufacturing date of September 2003. The container was analysed with respect to the provisions of IMS Act and legal opinion was sought BPNI did a 12. Carton of Legal opinion "Amul Spray" market survey was sought. an infant to analyse After legal labels of and in-house labels of food manufactured infant milk analysis it by Mehsana substitute was concluded Distt. Co- and infant that the operative foods container Milk available in violates the was concluded MILKavailable inviolates theProducersthe market. Aprovisions ofUnion Ltd."container ofSection 6 andbearing"Amul Spray"Rule 7 of the packing date was bought IMS Act. of September bearing the 2003 manufacturing date of September 2003. The container was analysed with respect to the provisions of IMS Act and legal opinion was sought 13. Container of BPNI did a Legal opinion "Lactogen 1" market survey was sought. an infant to analyse After legal milklabels ofand in-bosubstituteinfant milkanalysismanufacturedsubstitutewas conclby Nestleand infantthat thebearingfoodscontainer and in-house analysis it was concluded container packing date available in violates the of March 2004 the market. A provisions of Rule 7 of the carton of Lactogen was IMS Act. bought bearing the

manufacturing date of March 2004. The container was analysed with respect to the provisions of IMS Act and legal opinion was sought 14. Container "Lactogen 3" an infant milk substitute manufactured by Nestle bearing packing date of February 2004 Lactogen 3 was bought bearing the manufacturing date of September 2003. The container was analysed with respect to	of BPNI did a market survey to analyse labels of infant milk substitute and infant foods available in the market. A carton of IMS Act.	Legal opinion was sought. After legal and in-house analysis it was concluded that the container violates the provisions of Rule 7 of the
the provisions of IMS Act and legal opinion was sought 15. Carton of "Cerelac" an infant food manufactured by Nestle bearing packing date of February 2004 the market. A carton of "Cerelac" was bought bearing the manufacturing	BPNI did a market survey to analyse labels of infant milk substitute and infant foods available in provisions of Rule 7 of the IMS Act.	Legal opinion was sought. After legal and in-house analysis it was concluded that the carton violates the
date of January 2004. The container was analysed with respect to the provisions of IMS Act and legal opinion was sought 16. Carton of "Nestum" an infant food manufactured by Nestle bearing packing date of February 2004 the market. A carton of "Nestum" was bought bearing the manufacturing date of January 2004. The container was analysed with respect to the provisions of IMS Act and	BPNI did a market survey to analyse labels of infant milk substitute and infant foods available in provisions of Rule 7 of the IMS Act.	Legal opinion was sought. After legal and in-house analysis it was concluded that the carton violates the

legal opinion
was sought.
17. Advertisement After in
of "Nipcare" house
an ointment analysis and
to prevent discussions
and treat it was
dry, sore and concluded
cracked that the said
nipples advertisement
published in violates IMS
Indian Act.
Pediatrics
Volume 41,
Number 7,
July 2004.
violates IMS
Act. No
Response has
been received
till yet.

in and as said aent IMS Letter was written to Editor of Indian Pediatrics to stop publishing advertisement s, which undermines women confidence in breastfeeding as well as

August 2004

S.No Details	In house	Legal	Action taken
Analysis and Comments 18. Symposium "Approach to a child with chronic cough and infections & introduction to integrated management of Neonatal and Childhood Illness" organised by Nestle for medical professionals on 7th August 2004 at Ram Manohar Lohia Hospital	Analysis And Comments on BPNI came across an invitation card send to medical professional to attend the said symposium as well as a invitation letter issued by Department of Pediatrics & Neonatology, Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Hospital, New Delhi for	sought and letters were written Secretary, Commissioner, Assistant Commissioner of Department of Family Welfare, bringing this	ion A letter was issued by Dr. D K Dewan, state MCH Officer, Directorate of Family Welfare to The medical Superintenden t of R.M.L Hospital, stating that by organising a symposium under the aegis of " Nestle Nutrition" the
<pre>(R.M.L. Hospital), New Delhi. post graduates to attend the symposium. An in-house analysis was done and it was concluded this Act is a clear violation of Section 9 of</pre>	faculty members, residents and	provisions of the IMS Act and in future such activities does not happen.	Department have violated the
IMS Act. 19. Article on Infant Feeding published in Punjab Kesri, Delhi on 7th August 2004 & Rashtiya Sahara on12th August 2004. the provisions of the IMS Act, it was found that the articles miss on certain particulars	BPNI notic two said articles on infant feeding. After analysing the contents of the articles in respect to Section 7 and Rule 9 of the IMS Act.	was sought. After legal and in-house analysis it was concluded that the articles violates the	ion

as prescribed in the Section 7 and Rule 9 of the Act. 20. Health and BPNI noticed Legal Opinion Opinion Nutrition banners in was sought awaited Programme Mayur Vihar, organised by New Delhi put Nestle in by Nestle for play school organising of Delhi programme in a preschool on 7th August.