# LOK SABHA DEBATES

VOLUME IX, 1954

(6th to 24th December 1954)



EIGHTH SESSION, 1954

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

#### CONTENT

(Vol. IX, Nos 16-32-6th	to 24t	h Dec	ember	. 195	1)					Columns.
No. 16-Monday, 6th December,					••					
Death of Shri Girja Shankar Baj		-								1917—18
Motion for adjournment—	рал								•	1917—18
•										
Bank employees' strike		2963	*3	*	9.0	- 63	*	•	•	1918—25
Messages from the Rajya Sabha								•		
Code of Criminal Procedure (Ar							15	. 153	. *	1926
Correction of answer to supplem		•			_			146	X	1926—27
Committee on Absence of Memb				ngs o	I the I	louse	<del></del>			
Sixth Report-Adopted	•		9	91	•				•	1927—29
Code of Criminal Procedure (Ar	nendm	ent) B	ill—							
Consideration of clauses—Not	conclu	ided	*0						I	9292042
Clauses 66 to 80		3.9	*0					•	*0	1931—41
Clauses 81 to 88		%	¥3					•	2	1941—86
Clauses 89 to 96 and 98 to 10:	2	34						•	1	986—2042
17—Tuesday, 71h December, 1	054									
Business of the House—	774									
Order of Government Busines	e for :l	he rest	ainíma	ו מפת	of the	Seas	ion			2043-44
Code of Criminal Procedure (An				, 1-00.	02 (1)(	. 0003	.011		2.	****
Consideration of clauses—Con			049	101	12		6		2	044—2156
Clause 22				ī	i		2	VI.		2044—59
Clauses 89 to 96 and 98 to						3.0				059-2114
Clauses 103 to 113 and							T NSP ET	c A an		039-5114
Clauses I & 2.	, ,,			-				, .	٠.	2114-56
Motion to pass-as amended-				*:)		118				2156-58
Dr. Katju	2.0	3			397			·		2156
Shri Datar						-83				2156-57
. 18Wednesday, 8th December	T 100									
apers laid on the Table-	7, 190	4-								
Statistical information re: Pre		Data	etion	A						2159
Declarations of exemption un						A			*	2159—60
Report of Rehabilitation Figs					Pricts	ACL				2159—60
•			CLACIO			•			7.0	2101
Preventive Detention (Amendm										
Petition presented •							. Ž.	·	•	2161
Committee on Private Membe				olutic	ms—3	event	eentn	Keb	ort	- 4 :
greeented			685	. *	<u> </u>		*			2161
Message from President of Gran				•		•	•			2161—62
Code of Criminal Precedure (Ar	nendm	ent) B	ıll—Pa	assed	as am	ended	20			162—2232
Sbri M. A. Ayyangar	•	9 <b>.</b> *	358	*	*	•	7.0	•		2162-71
Shri A. M. Thomas		•		9	39	•9	•	÷		2171—77
Shri H. N. Mukerjee		(*)	•85	•	3.0	. :	8 10			2177—86
Shri S. S. More			•	٠	12	•	•			2186—90
Shri Datar	8.0			8.5	9.58					2190-98
Pandit Thekur Das Bhar	geva	•.0	•	8	3.0	*0				198—2209
Shri N. C. Chatterjee	19	( <b>.</b>	*	*	•	•			•	
Shri R. D. Misra	•	•	٠	•	(0)	•				2214—20
Dr. Katiu	- 5		-							2221-72

Hindu	Minority and Guardia	ınshi	n Bill	_								Columns.
	on to nominate Men		-		mmir	ree_ i	in c	nclud	led			
	Shri Pataskar	OCL	to joi	,	Á1241111	166- 1	11/1 64				75	2232-76
	Shri V. G. Deshpand		- 2			•	*		(2)			223141
5	Shri Tek Chand,				- 65	525						2243—57 2257—64
				9				-			•	2264—71
5	hrimati Jayashri					-	-	-	•		Å	2272-73
	Shri D. C. Sharme			4Ĉ -	•	3.70	***				*	. , ,
	Thursday, 9th Decen		1954	_8			0.00		•			2273—76
	for Adjournment-	-										
Violat	tion of Indian, Territ	ory	by ar	пеф	Portu	guese	soldi	ers at	nd kid	inappi	ng	
ladica i	in Indian villager L'arriff (Third Amend	u. Imaa	ः •\ D:‼	i e	endua.	( (A)			•	*	(3)	2277—79
	Minority and Guardia		-		rounc	20					*	2279
	on to nominate Memi		•				dones	,				
				R COI	1111111111	:c	30 PIE	, 30 H			2	280-2360
	Shri D. C. Shamna Shrimeti Sucheta Kri		8900	**	•		•					2280-84
		•	11	*					•			2284-92
	Shri N. C. Chatterjee Shri Bogawat		*	*					3.5		2	292—2310
	-	51		*	•							2311—18
	Pandit Thakur Das E	narg	ava									2318-34
	Shri P. Subba Rao			•					•			2334—43
	Shrimati Uma Nehru		•	*					13		*	2344—46
	Sardar 19bal Singh		*1	*:							•	234648
:	Shri Paraskar	*		•					2		20	2348—59
Prevent	ive Detention (Amen	dmer	ıt) BiI	<b>I</b> —								
	on to consider. Not a							$\times$			2	360-2408
I	Dr. Katju. 🖟	14										2360-93
:	Shri A. K. Gop≃lan											2399
:	Shri Deshpande											2399
	Shri T. K. Chaudhui	i							•			2399
:	Shri M. S. Gurupada:	Wan	ıy								100	2402-08
No. 20-	-Friday, 10th Decemi	ict, I	954									
Paper I	aid on the Table—											
Notif	fication under Sea Cu	stom	Act	•								2409
Prevent	ive Detention (Amen	dmer	nt) Bil									
Moti	on to consider-Not	concl	uded	26							48	240974
	Shri A. K. Gopalan	(*)					20					2410-27
:	Shri G. H. Deshpand	e									100	2427-35
	Shri Veeraswamy	S.							••		0.00	2435-39
:	Shri Asoka Mehta 💮		*0	96			• 5					2439-51
;	Shri M. P. Mishra		20						85		€3	2451-57
	Shri V. G. Deshpende	:	12									2458~-66
;	Shri Tek Chand											2466-69
;	Shri N. M. Lingam		•			300					390	2469-74
Commi	ittee on Private Mem	bers'	Bills:	and F	Resolui	ions-	_					
	Fifteenth Report-Add										-	2474-75
	Seventeenth Report—	•		*	:	1000				5000		2475
	f Criminal Procedure	-								on 100	4) <del>-</del> -	
			·					,		750	9	2476
	Amendment) Bill (In									٠	5	2476
	ition of Manufacture									ider		0.467.90.0
	gatived .										-	2476-91

											2477—79
Shri Dabhi	39	80	*	3.5	*5	3.5					
Dr. P.S. Deshmukh								100			2479 -90
Indian Arms (Amendment)							26 et	(·)—			
Motion to refer to Select					d sine	die					2492—2513
Shri U. C. Pamaik		•	•	•		÷.				• •	2492-2502
Dr. Karju	•33	•	85	10.50		•				•	2503
Shrimati Ila Palchou	dhury	F			33.					25	2504-05
Th. Lakshman Sing	h Cha	rak		82	43					14	2505-09
Shri Kanavade Patil					2002						2509-12
						25.5					
Women's and Children's In-			icensi	ng isi							
Motion to consider—Not		ıded			•	•			•	(*	
Shrimati Uma Nehru					•	*	7.0		•		2513-14
Shri Pataskar 🙃						•			•		
Shrimati Sushama Se					•	•				_	17, 2520-21
Shrimati Jayashri	1000				•	•	•		•		2517—18
Shrimati A. Kale					•27	35	0.5		*	3.	25 I 8 <del></del> I9
Shrimati Maydeo						•			30		25 <b>19—</b> 20
Shr: Keshavaiengar	10.00					0					2521-22
Shrimati Ila Palchou					29	*0					2522-23
Shri D. C. Sharma		03		26	***	×3	*	254		94	2523-27
Shri T. S. A. Chetti			29		12			-	-		2527-31
Shri Dhulekas .											2531-34
Electricity Supply (Amen											
Retrenchment of Sepoy of	ierks d	f Arn	ny Or	dnanc	e Cor	P\$					2535-38
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res	olutio	n re:	Repor								2535—38 2538—39
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res Preventive Detention (Ame	olutio ndmer	n re: at) Bi	Repor							ee .	z <u>5</u> 38—39
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res Preventive Detention (Ame Motion to consider—Not	olutio admei	n re: at) Bi	Repor							ee .	2538—39 2539—2676
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res Preventive Detention (Ame Motion to consider—Not Shri N. M. Lingar	olutio ndmer ounch	n re: at) Bi aded	Repor				iv <b>es</b> ta			ee .	2538—39 2539—2676
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res Preventive Detention (Ame Motion to consider—Not Shri N. M. Lingar Shri N., C Chatter	olutio admer ounclu n	n re: nt) Bi nded	Repor				iv <b>ea</b> ta			ee .	2538—39 2539—2676
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res Preventive Detention (Ame Motion to consider—Not Shri N. M. Lingan Shri N., C Chatter Shri Ramachandra	solution admer conclu n jec Redd	n re: at) Bil aded	Repor				ivest			ee .	2538—39 2539—2676 2539—44
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res Preventive Detention (Ame Motion to consider—Not Shri N. M. Lingar Shri N., C Chatter Shri Ramachandra Shri Keshavaienga	iolutio indmen ounclu n : ijee Redd	n re: at) Bil aded	Repor				iveat i			ee .	2538—39 2539—2676 2539—44 2544—60
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res Preventive Detention (Ame Motion to consider—Not Shri N. M. Lingar Shri N., C. Chatter Shri Ramachandra Shri Keshavaienga Shritnati A. Kale	solution andmen ounclu n : rjee i Redd r	n re: nt) Bi nded	Repor				ivest			ee .	2538—39 2539—2676 2539—44 2544—60 2566—64 2564—70
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res Preventive Detention (Ame Motion to consider—Not Shri N. M. Lingar Shri N., C Chatter Shri Ramachandra Shri Keshavaienga Shritnati A. Kale Shrimati Renu Cha	solution admer ounclu n : jee i Redd r	n re: nt) Bil nded i	Repor	π of F			nventa			ee .	2538—39 2539—2676 2539—44 2544—60 2566—64 2564—70
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res Preventive Detention (Ame Motion to consider—Not Shri N. M. Lingar Shri N., C Chatter Shri Ramachandra Shri Keshavaienga Shritnati A. Kale Shrimati Renu Cha Shri Kasliwal	olutio admer ounclu n . tjee Redd r	n re: at) Bil aded i	Repor	π of F			nventa			ee .	2538—39 2539—2676 2539—44 2544—60 256—64 2564—70 2570—73
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res Preventive Detention (Ame Motion to consider—Not Shri N. M. Lingar Shri N., C Chatter Shri Ramachandra Shri Keshavaienga Shritnati A. Kale Shrimati Renu Cha	olutio admer ounclu n . tjee Redd r	n re: at) Bil aded i	Repor	π of F			ovention.			ee .	2538—39 2539—2676 2539—44 2544—60 256—64 2564—70 2570—73 2573—82
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res Preventive Detention (Ame Motion to consider—Not Shri N. M. Lingar Shri N., C Chatter Shri Ramachandra Shri Keshavaienga: Shrimati A. Kale Shrimati Renu Cha Shri Kasliwal Shri Bhagwat Jha Dr. N. B. Khare	colution andmen ounclum rjee Redd r akrava	n re: at) Bil uded i	Repor	π of F			nvesti			ee .	2538—39 2539—2676 2539—44 2544—60 256—64 2560—70 2570—73 2573—82 2582—85 2585—91
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res Preventive Detention (Ame Motion to consider—Not Shri N. M. Lingar Shri N., C Chatter Shri Ramachandra Shri Keshavaienga Shritnati A. Kale Shrimati Renu Cha Shri Kasliwal Shei Bhagwat Jha A	colution andmen ounclum rjee Redd r akrava	n re: at) Bil uded i	Repor	π of F			nvesta			ee .	2538—39 2539—2676 2539—44 2544—60 256—64 2560—64 2570—73 2573—82 2582—85 2585—91
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res Preventive Detention (Ame Motion to consider—Not Shri N. M. Lingar Shri N., C Chatter Shri Ramachandra Shri Keshavaienga: Shrimati A. Kale Shrimati Renu Cha Shri Kasliwal Shri Bhagwat Jha Dr. N. B. Khare	olutio ndmen ounclu n . rice Redd r akrava	n re: nt) Bil ided i	Repor	π of F			nvesta			ee .	2538—39 2539—2676 2539—44 2544—60 256—64 2564—70 2570—73 2573—82 2582—85 2585—91 2592—98
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res Preventive Detention (Ame Motion to consider—Not Shri N. M. Lingar Shri N., C Chatter Shri Ramachandra Shri Keshavaienga: Shritnati A. Kale Shrimati Renu Cha Shri Kasliwal Shri Bhagwat Jha A Dr. N. B. Khare Shri Datar	oolutio endmen oonelu n riee Redd r akrava	n re: at) Bil aded i	Repor	π of F			nvesta			ee .	2538—39 2539—2676 2539—44 2544—60 256—64 2564—70 2570—73 2573—82 2582—85 2582—85 2591—98 2591—98 2591—98
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res Preventive Detention (Ame Motion to consider—Not Shri N. M. Lingar Shri N., C. Chatter Shri Ramachandra Shri Keshavaienga: Shritnati A. Kale Shrimati Renu Cha Shri Kasliwal Shei Bhagwat Jha Dr. N. B. Khare Shri Datar Dr. Krishnaswami	olutio admer ounclu n : jee Redd r akrava Azad	n re: nt) Bi uded i	Repor	π of F			ivesta			ee .	2538—39 2539—2676 2539—44 2544—60 256—64 2564—70 2570—73 2573—82 2582—85 2582—85 2591—98 2591—98 2591—98
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res Preventive Detention (Ame Motion to consider—Not Shri N. M. Lingar Shri N. J. C Chatter Shri Ramachandra Shri Keshavaienga Shritnati A. Kale Shrimati Renu Cha Shri Kasliwal Shei Bhagwat Jha Dr. N. B. Khare Shri Datar Dr. Krishnaswami Shri Chattopadhya	solution admer ouncling in a Redd real admer a Redd real administration and real administration administration and real administration administration and real administration and real administration	n re:	Repor	π of F			ivesta			ee .	2538—39 2539—2676 2539—44 2544—60 2566—70 2570—73 2573—82 2582—85 2585—91 2591—98 2598—2610 2610—19 2619—24 2624—26
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res Preventive Detention (Ame Motion to consider—Not Shri N. M. Lingar Shri N. J. C Chatter Shri Ramachandra Shri Keshavaienga: Shrimati A. Kale Shrimati Renu Cha Shri Kasliwal Shri Bhagwat Jha Dr. N. B. Khare Shri Datar Dr. Krishnaswami Shri Chattopadhya; Shri C. R. Narasin	solution admersion i value i v	n re:	Repor	π of F			ivesta		mmiss	ee .	2538—39 2539—2676 2539—44 2544—60 256—64 2560—70 2570—73 2573—82 2582—85 2582—91 2591—98 2598—2610 2610—19 2619—24 2624—26
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res Preventive Detention (Ame Motion to consider—Not Shri N. M. Lingan Shri N., C. Chatter Shri Ramachandra Shri Keshavaienga Shritnati A. Kale Shrimati Renu Cha Shri Kasliwal Shei Bhagwat Jha Dr. N. B. Khare Shri Datar Dr. Krishnaswami Shri Chattopadhya Shri C. R. Narasin Shri Mulchand Du	olutionadmen outlin .  jee Redd r . Azad i i ya nhan be	n re:	Repor	π of F			ivesta		mmitt	ee .	2538—39 2539—2676 2539—44 2544—60 256—64 2564—70 2570—73 2573—82 2582—85 2582—85 2591—98 2591—98 2591—98 2619—24 2624—26 2626—30 2630—36
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res Preventive Detention (Ame Motion to consider—Not Shri N. M. Lingan Shri N., C. Chatter Shri Ramachandra Shri Keshavaienga: Shrimati A. Kale Shrimati Renu Cha Shri Kasliwal Shri Bhagwat Jha Dr. N. B. Khare Shri Datar Dr. Krishnaswami Shri Chattopadhya; Shri C. R. Narassin Shri Mulchand Du Pandit K. C. Sharm	evolution and mention in the control of the control	n re:	Repor	π of F			ivesta		mmitt		2538—39 2539—2676 2539—44 2544—60 256—64 2564—70 2570—73 2573—82 2582—85 2582—91 2591—98 2591—98 2591—99 2619—24 2624—26 2626—30 2630—36 2636—42
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res Preventive Detention (Ame Motion to consider—Not Shri N. M. Lingar Shri N. M. Lingar Shri Ramachandra Shri Keshavaienga: Shritnati A. Kale Shrimati Renu Cha Shri Kasliwal Shri Bhagwat Jha / Dr. N. B. Khare Shri Datar Dr. Krishnaswami Shri Chattopadhya: Shri C. R. Narasin Shri Mulchand Du Pandit K. C. Sharr Shri Raghavachar:	olutionadmen	n re:	Repor	π of F			ivesta		mmitt		2538—39 2539—2676 2539—44 2544—60 2566—64 2564—70 2570—73 2573—82 2582—85 2585—91 2591—98 2598—2610—19 2610—19 2610—19 2624—26 2626—30 2636—42 2636—42
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res Preventive Detention (Ame Motion to consider—Not Shri N. M. Lingar Shri N., C. Chatter Shri Ramachandra Shri Keshavaienga: Shritnati A. Kale Shrimati Renu Cha Shri Kasliwal Shri Bhagwat Jha A Dr. N. B. Khare Shri Datar Dr. Krishnaswami Shri C. R. Narasin Shri C. R. Narasin Shri Mulchand Du Pandit K. C. Shart Shri Raghavachar: Kumari Annie Mas	iolutio condiner conclus n	n re:	Repor	π of F			ivesta		mmitt		2538—39 2539—2676 2539—44 2544—60 2566—64 2564—70 2570—73 2573—82 2582—85 2585—91 2610—19 2610—19 2614—26 2626—30 2636—42 2642—49 2649—56
Business of the House— Allocation of time for Res Preventive Detention (Ame Motion to consider—Not Shri N. M. Lingar Shri N. J. C Chatter Shri Ramachandra Shri Keshavaienga: Shritnati A. Kale Shrimati Renu Cha Shri Kasliwal Shri Bhagwat Jha A Dr. N. B. Khare Shri Dater Dr. Krishnaswami Shri Chattopadhya; Shri C. R. Narasin Shri Mulchand Du Pandit K. C. Sharma Shri Raghavachar; Kumari Annie Mas Shri R. C. Sharma	i ya nhan be sacaren.	n re: nt) Bi uded i	Report	π of F			ivesta		mmitt		2538—39 2539—2676 2539—44 2544—60 2566—64 2564—70 2570—73 2573—82 2582—85 2585—91 2591—98 2598—2610—19 2610—19 2610—19 2624—26 2626—30 2636—42 2636—42

13— 34 2513— 14

No. 22—Monday, 13th December, 1954—	_								Columns.
Motions for adjournment-									
Retrenchment of sepay clerks of Army	Ordna	ance	Curp	5					2677—79
Tragedy in Newton Chikhli Colliery									267983
Alleged firing on election procession in				100 400					2683—N5
Papers Iaid on the Table-									20113
Air Corporation Rules									2685
Audit Report on Industrial Pinance Co									2685
Demands for Supplementary Grants for	1954-5	55—							77.00 M
Laid on the Table									2685
Demands for Supplementary Grants for a				-					
Laid on the Table									2686
Alleged association of Minister with a Bar				2					2686-92
Preventive Detention (Amendment) Bill-			2	20					2000-92
Motion to consider —Adopted .	•								
Shri H. N. Mukerice	• .			*			*8		2692-2750
	e ::						*	٠	2693—2706
Dr. S. N. Sinha Th. Lakthman Singh Charak									2707-14
Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha				•				*	2714
				50			*:		2720—27
	•			•			*		2727—34
							•	٠	2734-47
				•			•	्र	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*						: <b>•</b> :	•	2789-2802
Dr. Katju Shri Nand Lal Sharina								•	2789
							٠.		2789-94
Shri Lakshmayya							*	*	
Shri Punnoose							*:		2798
at an and David on and									
No. 23—Trusday, 141h December, 1954—									
Papers laid on the Table—									
Appropriation Accounts of the Defence							*		2803
Commercial Appendix to the Appropri							Servie		
1952-53	*1		•	*	35		*	1.*	2803
Audit Report, Defence Services, 1954 Correction of answer to Starred Question	No Re		•	*		90	•	•	2803
	14 O' BC	12		*				•	2804
Business of the House—									
Statement re, order of Government bu	SIncss		£.,	٠,			•		
Tea (Second Amendment) Bill—Motion t	.o cons								
Shri T. T. Krishnamachari			•	*	it.				07, 2826-30
Shri Tushar Chatterjea			•	•	36		•		
Shri N. M. Lingam		88					*	4	- '
Shri Barman			*		*		*	•	
Shri K. P. Tripethi Shri A. M. Thomas			*				*		
	*		**						
			7		•			•	2822—23
		•	•	*	•		*		2824-2
Shri K. C. Sodhia		•	*		*		3.6		2825 <del></del> 28
			8	*			ж С		283 <b>0-</b>
				•					2831-
Motion to pasa, as amended—Adopted Shri T. T. Krishnamachan				:	•		55		2634—
SHIFT: I. KIBDRAUMCDED		•			800				**

Indian 7	Farriff (Third Amenda	ent)	Bill—									Columns,
	tion to consider—Ador		2000		32	210	8					2832—67
	Shrii Kanungo		16.3		192		H			282	6	286 I—67
	Shri V.P. Nayar		10.00		85	31	- 6			203.	30	2836—43
	Shr. Tulsidas		10.000		172	- 50		5550		- 25	10 <b>1</b> 00	2843—46
	Dr. Lanka Sundar	am			- 15			19.07		3.5	•	
	Shri Ihunihunwala		- 6			- 5	100			:5	(357)	2816-50
	Shri A. M. Thomas		100			576	•	9.00			(00)	
	Shri Kasliwal	22	- 20		25 25	04.00 EC					82	2852 <del>-</del> 57
	Shri V. B. Gandhi	95	•		18		•	•		•		2857—59
Clas	ises I and 2	100	84		85	*0	•	27	./	*	2792	
	tion to pass—Adopted	(\$) ()	(2) (a)			5.03	•	*	12.5		9.	2867—68
1410	Shri Kanungo	100		- 53	5,	10.01	7.0		25	*		2868—74
	Dr. Lanka Sundara			Š	•		•	•		*	97	2868—69
	Shri T. TKrishnar		900	•		10	:50		9.50	*:	*	2859
Joduseri	al Disputes (Amendmen			Katian	* .		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	niad	•	•		2869—74
April Later	Shri Khandu bhail			TOHOU	10 00	Harriet		opteu	*	*		75— <b>29</b> Co
	Shri Amiad Ali	/C381						*	28	575 <del>-</del>		97—2905
	Shri Bimlaprosad C	halib	•	•	*	-	•	•		. 0		2876—78
	Shri Punnoose	IIIIIIII	d.	3.00			100			*		2878—80
	Shri B. S. Mutthy	¥6			23		-	•		$\langle \bullet \rangle$		2887—84
	Shri Velayudhan	•		*5	*	•	•	ં	***	•		288;—86
• •	Shri Keshavalengar	•		1.7	•00	*		*0	•	10	*	<b>2</b> 886 <b>—</b> 88
	Shri P. C. Bose	•	1.	(**)	*	•		*		•	•	2888
r	Shri K. P. Tripathi	•	•		*					•		2888—89
	Shri S. V. Ramaswar		-	•	•		•			*		<b>2</b> 889 <b>—</b> 93
	Th. Jugol Kishore S	-		•5	•	3.00			(*)	*		2893 <b>—</b> 96
_		BUDE	*	•		100	•	•	•	•		2896 <b>—</b> 9?
	auses t to 3.	*		•		•				1980	- 1	2900-02
Mot	ion to pass—Adopted			8.	85				$(\bullet)$	*		2902
	Shri Khandu bhai D		88	.50		\$ <b>*</b> \$			300			2902
•	-Wednesday, 15th Deec	moer	1954	_								
	s for adjournment—	_	٠									
	ed firing on election pro							50			. 2	9:3-07
	ger strike of policemen i laid on the Table—	ı we	st Ber	ıgaı ac	ia cali	מו gns	oimil	itary			. 2	907-11
-												
	dent's Acts re: Andhra			1				3.98			. 2	911-12
	ments showing action to						urance	es etc.			. 2	912—13
	ication under Central E						- 1965 		Ÿ.	•		2913
	ce-sheets and Audit Re ttee on Private Member						Dorr W	LULIOI	ity			2913
-			112 820	1 Keso	OLUBOR	1s—						
-	eenth Report—presente s of the House—	u.	*		•	*			•	•		2913
	of Government Busine						•				. 29	14-15
2	attention to matter of U				portar	300-						
Se Ban o	n export of tapioca star	ch au	ed Eloi	III.		•	•	· 9	27		. 29	15-16
14000000	on re: Report of Rails	Sala C	ODVE	ntion	Coma	ntice-	-Not	conclu	ded		2916	-3028
S. Tenena	on of Disqualification (F	ariia	med	and P	att C	States		quanta	) Seco	bnd		- 12
es Am	endment Bill—Introduc	æ <b>a</b> .		•			50					302\$
~ 4)—1	hursday, 16th Deserbe	P, 19	54~~									
<del>U ca</del> th	of Shri Jwala Prasad S	U ASP	EVA .									Decree .

										Columnu.
Delimbation Commiss	sion (Amend	iment) l	Bill—In	troduce	d					3031
Resloution re. Report		2.0				-Ado	pted			3031-68
Demands for Supplem		-					-			968-3152
Not concluded	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				1190		•		3	000 3212
No. 26-Friday, 171h 1	Decamber, 19	54-					4.			
Motion for adjournment	ent—									
Hunger strile of pol		Vest Be	nael an	d callie	st in c	of mili	tárv			3153-58
Papers laid on the Tal				_						3-33 30
Amendments to Mine		on Rule	-s	-	100					3158
Demands for Supplem									-3193	3215—02
Demands for Supplex	-									193—3214
Appropriation (No. 4)	•				100		22	333		3220-21
Committee on Private					ighte		enort	-Ad-	_ ^	3220
opted						1943	27	S	20	3222
Resolution re. statutor	y Body to		-		e Gov	emm	ent In	ຂນອດກ່າ	al	3
Undertaking-Negr										3222-71
Resolution re. Departs	nent of Welf	are for S	Schedule	ed Case	es and	Scher	haled '	Tribes	_	3
Not concluded	20 120							V 4V		3271-80
	S. 1865					-	•			i
No. 27-Saturday. the	18th Decen	19 IS	954—							
Resignation of Shrima	iti Vijaya La	<b>ik</b> shmi			+ 1	•	140	<u>\$</u>	32	3281
Resolution re: Remo	val of Speak	cer—Ne	gatived		85	100	33	8 <b>1—</b> 33	309, 3	398—345 <b>6</b>
Demands for Supplem	entary Gra	nw for	1954-55	—And	172	•		330	9—33	3378—82
Delimitation Commiss	sion (Ameno	iment)	Bill —R	efered	to Sel	ect Co	mmit	tee	•	3333-78
Shri Pataski	ar ;	0.0					•	323	3—45	3374-75
Shri Barma	in .	543				8			0	3345-53
Shri R. L	). Misra	100	2	2				335	4-55	3365—74
Pandit Mun	ishwar Dat	t Upad	hyay .	:: •::						?359-65
Andhra Appropriation		-								3382-83
Prevention of Disqual						• ociolar	*	it Second		3302-03
Amendment B						CRIMA	utes)	2650M	•	3383-92
Shri Pamska		to cons	IG63—V	dopted.		98	9 <b>4</b> 3283	- 100		3383—92 3383—86
Shrii Dhulek	- 50	*		•	,			•	•	3387 <del>-88</del>
Shri Dhulek	0.00	9.0		•	j∰),	**	•	16.	•	3389-90
	kur Das Bha	968	100	•	E 277		**	· 1	***	339c—92
Clauses 1 and 2		irgava		sa.	5.€X 7665	20	:* :xx	22.5KE	•	3392 <del>-9</del> 4
	*		i.		•	•	•	•	•	3392 94
Shri Panaska										2201
Motion to pass—A Tea (Amendment)				i Io Cabb	:- 	fi Ioriaa	*	ال مؤطمة	<b>5</b> )	3394
	Dill as ba:		THE KAN	ya Sabi	12—M	OGON	to car	192CL:1 -	-	339496
Adopted.	100	۰	•	•		*	•			3394 <b>—9</b> 5
Shri Karma				•	8.6%	*	*		20	• 3395 • 3395
Shri A.M. 7 Shri N.M.		*	# B	•		*:		5.70	*	3395 <del>~-3</del> 6
	•	\$5 550	* :	*	9 <b>.</b>		* 2000	V	•00 600	3396-97
	nended A	doned	•	•	•	•	50		•	3390-97
Meden to pass an at		•	•	•		*	•	•	*	537/
University Grants Co Metion to refer to			Motor	ak ara						3397-98
	Das .								ot reso	3397-98
LIT. M. M.	1745									337/-34

No. 28—Monday, 20th December, 1954—					Columns
Motion for adjournment—					
Violation of Indian territory by armed Portuguese soldiers		•	•0		3457-59
Sterement re Hunger strike by policemen in West Bengal	•			•	3459-6
Papers laid on the Table—					
Appropriation Accounts (P & T) 1952-53 and Audit Report	1954				346
Constitution (Fourth Amendment ) Bill-Introduced.	٠				346
Suppression of Immoral Traffic in Women and Girls Bill-Int	rodu	ced			346:
Motion re Economic Situation—Not concluded			*	3	463—357
No. 29— Tuesday, the 21st December, 1954—					
Statement re: Orders for Jeeps and other Defence Stores place	d abr	oad			3577—7
Business of the House	•	*		•	3578-80
Calling attention to matter of Urgent public importance—					
Speculative activity in Tea Export xights				2	3580-8
Motion re: Economic situation—Adopted, as amended				3	\$833693
Messages from the Rajya Sabha					3693-94
No. 30— Wednesday, 22nd December, 1954—					
Papers laid on the Table-					
Statement re: recummendations of Press Commission	*		1749		369
Notifications under Sea Customs Act					369
Evidence on Untouchability (Offlences) Bill	*				3699
Committee on Absence of Members from the simings of the Hou	18 <b>c</b> —				
Seventh Report—Presented		•		2	3696
Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions-					
Ninereenth Report—Presented	1. O	*		•	3696
Estimates Committee—					
Minutes Vol. 3—Presented	( <b>)</b>		•	•	3696
Motion re: Progress Report of Five Year Plan for 1953-54-No					
concluded		3696	<b>—378</b>	8, 37	88-3825
Delimitation Commission (Amendment) Bill—			13		
Report of Select Committee—Presented					3788
Message from the Rajya Sabha					3826
No. 31 Thursday, the 23rd December, 1954—					
Mosion for adjournment—					
Arrest of M.P. and Police raid on Praja-Socialist Party office					3827-3-
Joint Statement by President of Federal People's Republic of Y	ngosj	avia s	nd		
	•	•	•		3832-3
Papers laid on the Table—					
Statement showing action taken by Government on various					3 <sup>8</sup> 36
Statement on action taken on Recommendations of 36th Sessio	a of i	ntern	ations	الهاد ال	
Conference held in June 1953	* #:-:-	•	• ************************************		3836
Statement re: ratification of Convention No. 26 concerning A ing machinery	מוםנגיי	י מצטוו	wage:	11-	
Amendments in Reserve and Auxiliary Air Forces Act Rules.	105		•	•	3836
Calling attention to matter of urgent public importance—	-923	,	•	•	3837
Supply of news to private enterperise by P.T.I. and U.P.I.					<b></b>
Committee on Absence of Members from sittings of the House		th Re	per!-		83740
Adopted		•		3	841-44
Appointment of Members to Joint Committee en Communic 1	Biji			855	844-45

									Columns.
Delimitation Commiss  Motion to conside			er Com	mittee		looted			.044 2000
1410 Hotel to copared	Shri Pansk					-		_	845—3900
*			•	•	-	- 36	\$55	1, 3	891 3900
	Shti N. M	_		•	•	•	*	2	€5—3ر 38
	Shri B.S.	Murthy		*			*	8.0	385669
	Shri Ragha	vachari					9.5		3860-62
	Shri Sadha	Gupte							3862 <b>-6</b> 5
	Shri T. N.	Singh .					•		3866—70
	Shri Bhagu	vat Jha A	rad						3870-72
	Shri Jangd	e 😘	2.45			1.00			3872 -75
	Shri M. L	. Agrawal							3875-78
	Shri Kashi	wal .							3878—79
	Pandit Mu	nishwar I	Datt Up	adhyay	*				3879-83
	Shri Kajro		ı v	190					3883-84
	Shti Naval		ar .					÷	3885-87
	Shri Kakk								3887—89
	Shri P. L.		- 1						3889-90
	Shri Gapp	-	723	984			9	i.	3892—9I
Clauses I and 2	2 00 2	N .	. 1	82	-	1040	325		39 <b>00</b> —12
Motion to pass—	Adonted		20 2	0.7	-53	0.50	0	- 7	3912
	_	• Veen Die	n for to	*	Non	co ech	المعادي	•	-
Motion re: Progress		e icar ria	m'ton ra	23-34-	-1401	COMPT	Iden		3912-82
Arrest of Shri Rish	-	•			•	•	•		3983
Massages from th	- Daissa Cabba						-	11200	2002 - 94

Calling attention to marter of urgent public importuncs— Optum cultivation in Madhya Bharat and Reischam  Optum cultivation in Madhya Bharat and Reischam  Appropriation Accounts of Railways in India for 1998-53, Part II—Detailed Appropriation Accounts of Railways in India for 1998-53, Part II—Detailed Appropriation Accounts  Block Accounts (inchalling Capital Statements comprising the Loan Accounts),  Balance Sheets and Review of working of Railway Collieries and Statements of  all-in-oxet of cost, etc., for 1932-53  Balance Sheets and Review of working of Railway Collieries and Statements of  all-in-oxet of cost, etc., for 1932-53  Audit Report, Railways, 1954  Statement ret decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Centrol Board  Option of answers to Starred Questions Nos. 876 and 1265  Statement ret decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Centrol Board  Option of answers to Starred Questions Nos. 876 and 1265  Statement ret decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Centrol Board  Option of answers to Starred Questions Nos. 876 and 1265  Statement ret decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Centrol Board  Option of Properties Report of Five Year Plan for 1953-14—Adopted as  amended  Motion retrogrates Report of Five Year Plan for 1953-14—Adopted as  amended  Motion retrogrates Report of Five Year Plan for 1953-14—Adopted  Motion retrogrates Report of Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes  -Not concluded  Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions—  Nineteenth Report—debate adjourned  Indian Converts (Regulation and Registration) Bill—  Introduced.  4075—83  Women's and Children's Institutions Licensing Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded  Shri Dhulebar  Shri Dhulebar  Shri Dhulebar  Shri Mags-thwar Parsad Sinba  4094—96.4097—98  Shri Tek Chand  Motion to circulate—Not concluded  Dr. N. B. Khare  4098—4100  Debate adjourned  Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to refer to Select Committee—Not concluded  10 4098—99  Debate adjourned  Indian Medical Council (	No. 32-Friday, 24th De	и <b>ств</b> ег, 1954—	-							. 52	
Opium cultivation in Madhya Binitat and Rejacaham  Papers isid on the Table— Appropriation Accounts of Railways in India for 1958-53, Part II—Detailed Appropriation Accounts Appropriation Accounts Appropriation Accounts Black Accounts (inchaling Capital Statements comprising the Loan Accounts), Balance Sheets and Profit and Loas Accounts of Indian Government Railways, 1952-53  Balance Sheets and Review of working of Railway Collieries and Statements of all-in-cost of coal, etc., for 1952-53  Audit Report, Railways, 1954  Statement re: decisions of secand meeting of Central Flood Control Board 3988 Correction of answers to Starred Questions Nos. 876 and 1265 3988-89 Scourities Contracts (Regulation) Bill—Introduced Motion re-Progress Report of Five Year Plan for 1953-54—Adopted as amended Motion re Report of Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes —Not concluded Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions— Nineteenth Report—debate adjourned Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Amendment of Speciam 497)—Introduced Indian Converts (Regulation and Registration) Bill— Introduced.  Women's and Children's Institutions Licensing Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded Shri Passalam: 4084—93 Shri Passalam: 4084—93 Shri Passalam: 4084—93 Shri Introduced. 4094—98 Shri Nagrathwar Parsad Sinha 4094—964-097—98 Dr. Karju Debate adjourned Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill (Intersion of new section 294 B)— Motion to consider—Not concluded  Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded  10 N. B. Charc 10 Shri Khandabhal Desai 10 Debate adjourned 10 Dr. N. B. Charc 10 Shri Khandabhal Desai 10 Debate adjourned 10 Debate adjourned 10 Debate adjourned 10 Dr. N. B. Charc 10 Shri Khandabhal Desai 10 Debate 3 Jones 117 Sarvar A. S. Sajasl 11 Jones 117 Sarvar A. S. Sajasl 11 Jones 117 Jon	Calling agention to marrer o	f nemot oublic is		_						Columns	
Pepers iaid on the Teble— Appropriation Accounts of Railways in India for 1958-53, Part I—Review 3987 Appropriation Accounts of Railways in India for 1952-53, Part II—Detailed APPropriation Accounts of Railways in India for 1952-53, Part II—Detailed APPropriation Accounts of Indian Government Railways, 3987 Block Accounts (inchabing Cepital Statements comprising the Loan Accounts), Belance Sheets and Profit and Loas Accounts of Indian Government Railways, 1952-53  Balance Sheets and Review of working of Railway Collicries and Statements of all-in-cost of coal, etc., for 1952-53  Balance Sheets and Review of working of Railway Collicries and Statements of all-in-cost of coal, etc., for 1952-53  Balance Sheets and Review of Working of Railway Collicries and Statements of 3987  Statement re: decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Control Board 3988  Correction of answers to Starred Questions Nos. 876 and 1265  Statement re: decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Control Board 3988  Statement re: decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Control Board 3988  Statement re: decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Control Board 3988  Statement re: decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Control Board 3988  Statement re: decisions of second Guestions Nos. 876 and 1265  3987  Statement re: decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Control Board 3988  Scatement re: decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Control Board 3988  Scatement re: decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Control Board 3988  Scatement re: decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Control Board 3989  Statement re: decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Control Board 3989  Part Respective re: decisions of Second 2062  Scatement re: decisions of Second Meeting of Reilways 1266  Scatement re: decisions of Second Meeting of Reilways 1266  Scatement re: decisions of Respective Part 1266  Scatement re: decisions of Respective Part 1266  Scatement re: decisions Accident 1266  Scatement re: decisions Accident 126					127	050	60		598	-00 0 -	
Appropriation Accounts of Railways in India for 1998-53, Part II—Detailed Appropriation Accounts (including Capital Statements comprising the Loan Accounts), Balance Sheets and Profit and Loas Accounts of Indian Government Railways, 1952-53 Balance Sheets and Review of working of Railway Collicries and Statements of all-in-cost of coal, etc., for 1952-53 Audit Report, Railways, 1954 Statement re: decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Control Board Appropriation of answers to Starred Questions Nos. 876 and 1265 Securities Contracts (Regulation) Bill—Introduced 3989-90 Motion re-Prograss Report of Five Year Plan for 1953-54—Adopted as amended Motion re Report of Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes —Not concluded Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions— Nineteenth Report—debate adjourned Indian Converts (Regulation and Registration) Bill—Introduced Indian Converts (Regulation and Registration) Bill—Introduced Shri Dhulchar Motion to consider—Not concluded 4084-93 Shri Institutions Licensing Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded Application Application of new section 294 B)— Motion to circulate—Not concluded Dr. Karju Debate adjourned Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Intersion of new section 294 B)— Motion to circulate—Not concluded Application—Not concluded A		0.800 30	9	,	- \$		•0		•	3985-87	
Appropriation Accounts of Railways in India for 1952-53, Part II—Detailed Appropriation Accounts 3987  Block Accounts (inchaling Capital Statements comprising the Loan Accounts), Balance Sheets and Profit and Loas Accounts of Indian Government Railways, 1952-53  Balance Sheets and Review of working of Railway Collieries and Statements of all-in-cost of coal, etc., for 1952-53  Audit Report, Railways, 1954  Statement re: decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Control Board  3988  Correction of answers to Starred Questions Nos. 876 and 1265  Sales—49  Scentrities Concraces (Regulation) Bill—Introduced  3989—90  Motion re-Progress Report of Frive Year Plan for 1953-54—Adopted as amended  3990—4012  Motion re-Progress Report of Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes —Not concluded  Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions— Nineteenth Report—debate adjourned  Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (American of Section 497)—Introduced  Motion to consider—Not concluded  Shri Dhulekar  Motion to consider—Not concluded  Shri Dhulekar  Shri Panalasar  Shri Dhulekar  Shri Dulekar  Shri Tek Chand  4093  Debate adjourned  Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Instrument of Instrument of Section 1994 B)—  Motion to circulate—Not concluded  Applied—Appli	•						_				
Block Accounts (including Capital Statements comprising the Loan Accounts), Balance Sheets and Profit and Loan Accounts of Endian Government Railways, 1952-23  Balance Sheets and Review of working of Railway Collieries and Statements of all-in-cost of coal, etc., for 1952-53  Balance Sheets and Review of working of Railway Collieries and Statements of all-in-cost of coal, etc., for 1952-53  Balance Sheets and Review of working of Railway Collieries and Statements of all-in-cost of coal, etc., for 1952-53  Balance Sheets and Review of working of Railway Collieries and Statements of all-in-cost of coal, etc., for 1952-54  Addit Report, Railways, 1954  Statement re: decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Control Board  3987  Addit Report, Railways, 1954  Securities Contraces (Regulation) Bill—Introduced  3988—49  Securities Contraces (Regulation) Bill—Introduced  4013—69  Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions— Nineteenth Report—debate adjourned  4069—75  Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (American of Section 497)—Introduced  4075—83  Women's and Children's Institutions Licensing Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded  5hri Dhulekar  5hri Passabar  5hri Passabar  5hri Passabar  5hri Dhulekar  5hri Dhulekar  5hri Dhulekar  5hri Dhulekar  5hri Passabar  5hri Passabar  5hri Passabar  5hri Passabar  5hri Passabar  5hri Passabar  5hri Nagrahwar Parsad Sinha  4094—98  5hri Nagrahwar Parsad Sinha  6094—96  6098  Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded  6098—4100  6000—1177  6000—1117  6	Appropriation Accounts	of Kailways in I	2dia 101	1950	-53,	Part I	—Re	/ic₩		3987	
Block Accounts (inchaling Capital Statements comprising the Loan Accounts), Balance Sheets and Profit and Loas Accounts of Indian Government Railways, 1952-33  Balance Sheets and Review of working of Railway Collieries and Statements of all-in-cost of coal, etc., for 1952-53  Balance Sheets and Review of working of Railway Collieries and Statements of all-in-cost of coal, etc., for 1952-53  Audit Report, Railways, 1954  Statement re: decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Countrol Board 3988  Correction of answers to Starred Questions Nos. 876 and 1265  Securities Contracts (Regulation) Bill—Introduced 3989-90  Motion re-Progress Report of Five Year Plan for 1953-54—Adopted as amended 3990-4012  Motion re-Progress Report of For Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes  -Not concluded 4013-69  Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions— Nineteenth Report—debate adjourned 4069-75  Indian Converts (Regulation and Registration) Bill—  Introduced. 4075-83  Women's and Children's Institutions Licensing Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded 4084-93  Shri Dhulchar 4084-93  Shri Dhulchar 4093  Shri Tek Chand 4093  Shri Tek Chand 4093  Debate adjourned 4094-964-097-98  Shri Nagraliwar Parsad Sinha 4094-964-097-98  Shri Nagraliwar Parsad Sinha 4094-964-097-98  Debate adjourned 4098-4100  Dr. Karju 4098-4100  Dr. N. B. Khare 4098-409-4100  Shri Khandubhal Dessi 4098-409-4100  Shri Khandubhal Dessi 4098-99  Debate adjourned 4100-4117  Sardar A. S. Saigal 4100-12,4115-164,4117  Reflumari Amrit Kaur 4113-11			adia for	1953-	53, P	art II	—De	tailed			
Balance Sheets and Profit and Loss Accounts of Indian Government Railways, 1952-53  Balance Sheets and Review of working of Railway Collieries and Statements of all-in-cost of cost, etc., for 1952-53  Audit Report, Railways, 1954  Statement re: decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Control Board  Ja988  Correction of answers to Starred Questions Nos. 876 and 1265  Sages—9  Securities Couraces (Regulation) Bill—Introduced  Motion re-Progress Report of Five Year Plan for 1953-54— Adopted as amended  Motion re-Progress Report of Five Year Plan for 1953-54— Adopted as amended  Motion re-Progress Report of Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes —Not concluded  Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions—  Nineteenth Report—debate adjourned  Indian Converts (Regulation and Registration) Bill—  Introduced.  Motion to consider—Not concluded  Aof5—75  Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded  Shri Dhulekar  Shri Patsalar  Motion to consider—Not concluded  Aof8—93  Shrimati Una Nohru  Aof8—93  Shrimati Una Nohru  Aof94—98  Shri Nagrathwar Parsad Sinha  Debate adjourned  Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to circulate—Not concluded  Dr. Katju  Aof6—97  Debate adjourned  Debate adjourned  Dr. Katju  Aof8—97  Debate adjourned  Indian Medical Council (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded  Aof8—99  Debate adjourned  Indian Medical Council (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to refer so Select Committee—Not concluded  Aof98—99  Debate adjourned  Indian Medical Council (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to refer so Select Committee—Not concluded  Afro—12,4115—16,4117  Refleumari Amrit Kaur  Aurit Kaur  Aurit Kaur  Aurit Kaur	Appropriation Acquir	ats	**		*	*	(*)	*	33	3987	
Balance Sheets and Review of working of Railway Collieries and Statements of all-in-cost of cost , etc., for 1952-53 3987 Audit Report, Railways, 1954 3987 Statement re: decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Courtol Board 3988 Correction of answers to Starred Questions Nos. 876 and 1265 3988-89 Securities Contracts (Regulation) Bill—Introduced 3989-90 Motion re-Progress Report of Five Year Plan for 1953-54—Adopted as amended 3990—4012 Motion re-Progress Report of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes—Not concluded 4013—69 Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions—Ninetecoult Report—debate adjourned 4069—75 Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Amendment of Section 497)—Introduced 4075 Indian Converts (Regulation and Resistration) Bill—Introduced 4075—83 Women's and Children's Institutions Licenaing Bill—Motion to consider—Not concluded 4084—93 Shri Passabar 4088—93 Shri Dhulebar 4088—93 Shri Tek Chand 4093 Debate adjourned 4093 Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Intertion of new section 294 B)— Motion to circulate—Not concluded 4094—96.4097—98 Dr. Karju 4094—96.4097—98 Dr. Karju 4096—97 Debate adjourned 4098—4100 Dr. N. B. Khare 4098—4100 Dr. N. B. Khare 4098—4100 Shri Khapdubhal Desai 4098—99 Debate adjourned 5000—99 Debate adjourned 6000—99 Debate adjourned 6000—99 Debate adjourned 6000—99 Debate adjourned 60000—99 Debate adjourned	Block Accounts (including	ng Capital State	ments o	A TOTAL	ising	the L	OND A	Acore	216),		
Balance Sheets and Review of working of Railway Collieries and Statements of all-in-cost of coal, etc., for 1932-53  Audit Report, Railways, 1954  Statement re: decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Control Board  3988  Correction of answers to Starred Questions Nos. 876 and 1265  3988—89  Securities Contracts (Regulation) Bill—Introduced  3989—90  Motion re-Progress Report of Five Year Plan for 1953-54— Adopted as amended  3990—4012  Motion re Report of Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Not concluded  Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions— Nineteenth Report—debate adjourned  Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Amendment) For Section 497)—Introduced  Motion re Report of Code (Amendment) Bill (Amendment) Bill—  Introduced.  Women's and Children's Institutions Licensing Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded  Shri Dhulchar  Shri Passalas:  4084—93  Shrimati Una Nehru  Shri Resolution  Shri Nagrahwar Parsad Sinha  Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to circulate—Not concluded  1008—96  Shri Nagrahwar Parsad Sinha  Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded  1008—97  1008—97  10098—99									18,		
all-in-cost of coel, etc., for 1952-53 Audit Report, Railways, 1954 Statement re: decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Control Board 3988 Correction of answers to Surred Questions Nos. 876 and 1265 3988—89 Securities Contracts (Regulation) Bill—Introduced 3989—90 Motion re-Progress Report of Five Year Plan for 1953-54—Adopted as amended Motion re Report of Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes —Not concluded Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions— Nineteenth Report—debate adjourned Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Amedical of Section 497)—Introduced Indian Converts (Regulation and Registration) Bill— Introduced. 4069—75 Indian Converts (Regulation and Registration) Bill— Introduced. 4075—83 Women's and Children's Institutions Licensing Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded 4084—93 Shri Dhulebar 4084—93 Shri Dhulebar 4093 Shri Patechar Shrimati Uma Nehru 4093 Debate adjourned Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Intersion of non section 294 B)— Motion to circulate—Not concluded 4094—98 Shri Nagrahwar Parsad Sinha 4094—98 Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded 4098—4100 Dr. N. B. Khare 500 Augustic—Not concluded 4098—4100 Augustic—Not concluded 4100—4117 Serdar A. S. Saigal 4100—12,4115—16,4117 Augustic—Not concluded 4100—4117									0.00	3987	
Audit Report, Railways, 1954 Statement re: decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Central Board 3988 Correction of answers to Starred Questions Nos. 876 and 1265 Securities Concracus (Regulation) Bill—Introduced 3989—90 Motion re-Progress Report of Five Year Plan for 1953-54—Adopted as amended Motion re Report of Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes —Not concluded Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions— Nineteenth Report—debate adjourned Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Amendment) Bill— Introduced. Women's and Chikkren's Institutions Licensing Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded 4084—93 Shri Dhulekar Shri Passalam Shri Passalam Shri Passalam Shri Tek Chand 4093 Debate adjourned Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Instrum of new section 294 B)— Motion to circulate—Not concluded Shri Nagathwar Parsad Sinha Dr. Karju Debate adjourned Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded Or. N. B. Khare Stri Khapdubhal Desai Debate adjourned Indian Medical Council (Amendment) Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded Or. N. B. Khare Stri Khapdubhal Desai  Oebate adjourned Indian Medical Council (Amendment) Bill— Motion to refer to Select Committee—Not concluded 10044—96 Stri Khapdubhal Desai 1006—117 Serdar A. S. Saigal 4100—12,4115—16,4117 Reflaumari Amrit Kaur 4113—1				-		and S	ita ica	COLUMN C	f		
Statement 7s: decisions of second meeting of Central Flood Courtrol Board 3988 Correction of answers to Starred Questions Nos. 876 and 1265 3988—89 Securities Contracts (Regulation) Bill—Introduced Motion 7s Propress Report of Five Year Plan for 1953-54— Adopted as amended 3990—4012 Motion 7s Report of Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes —Not concluded Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions— Nineteenth Report—debate adjourned Indian Converts (Regulation and Registration) Bill—Introduced Indian Converts (Regulation and Registration) Bill—Introduced Women's and Children's Institutiona Licensing Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded Shri Dhulekar Shri Passabar Shri Passabar Shri Tek Chand 4093 Shri Tek Chand 4093 Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Intertion of new section 294 B)— Motion to circulate—Not concluded Shri Nagrahwar Parsad Sinha 4094—96.4097—98 Shri Nagrahwar Parsad Sinha 4094—96.4097—98 Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded 4098—4098 Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded 5this Rhapchibhal Desai 4008—99 Debate adjourned 1008—4098—4100 Dr. N. B. Khare 5this Rhapchibhal Desai 4100—4117 Sardar A. S. Saigal 4100—12,4115—16,4117 Refkumari Amrit Kasur 4113—1							0	13	*	3987	
Correction of answers to Starred Questions Nos. 876 and 1265  Securities Contracts (Regulation) Bill—Introduced  Motion re-Progress Report of Five Year Plan for 1953-54—Adopted as amended  Motion re-Report of Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes  Not concluded  Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions— Nineteenth Report—debate adjourned Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Amendment) Bill— Introduced.  Women's and Children's Institutions Licensing Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded  Shri Dhulekar  Shri Passabar  Shri Passabar  Shri Tek Chand  Debate adjourned  Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Intersion of new section 294 B)— Motion to circulate—Not concluded  A094—98  Shri Nagrahwar Parsad Sinha  Dr. Karju  Debate adjourned  Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded  Dr. N. B. Khare  Shri Khapdubhal Desai  Debate adjourned  A098—4100  Dr. N. B. Khare  Shri Khapdubhal Desai  Josepha A100—12,4115—16,4117  Refkamari Amrit Kasur  A091  A102  A103  A093  A104  A104  A104  A105  A106  A117  A113—1	*	25. 93									
Securities Contracts (Regulation) Bill—Introduced  3989—90  Motion re-Progress Report of Five Year Plan for 1953-54— Adopted as amended  Motion re-Progress Report of Five Year Plan for 1953-54— Adopted as amended  Motion re Report of Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes  —Not concluded  Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions— Nineteenth Report—debate adjourned  Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Amendment) Bill—  Introduced.  Women's and Children's Institutiona Licensing Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded  Shri Dhukekar  Shri Dhukekar  Shri Dhukekar  Shri Danashar  4088—93  Shri Inganshar  Shri Tek Chand  4093  Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Intersion of new section 294 B)—  Motion to circulate—Not concluded  4094—98  Shri Nagrahwar Parsad Sinha  Dr. Karju  Debate adjourned  Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded  4098—4100  Dr. N. B. Khare  Shri Khapdubhal Desai  4008—4100  Shri Khapdubhal Desai  4100—12,4115—16,4117  Refkamari Amrit Kasur  4113—1			_						5 <b>;</b>		
Motion re-Progress Report of Five Year Plan for 1953-54— Adopted as amended  Motion re Report of Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes —Not concluded					-	•		*		•	
Amended  Motion re Report of Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes  -Not concluded  Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions— Nineteenth Report—debate adjourned  Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Amendment) Bill— Introduced.  Women's and Children's Institutiona Licensing Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded  Shri Dhukekar  Shri Dhukekar  Shri Patadae:  Shri Patadae:  Shri Was Nehru  Motion to circulate—Not concluded  Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Instrume of new section 294 B)—  Motion to circulate—Not concluded  Shri Nagrahwar Parsad Sinha  Debate adjourned  Dr. Rarju  Debate adjourned  Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded  Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded  Or. N. B. Rhare  Shri Rhapdubhal Dessi  Jebate adjourned  John Shri Rhapdubhal Dessi  John Shri Rhapdubhal Dessi  John Shri Rhapdubhal Dessi  John John John John John John John John								35	8.0	3 <b>9</b> 89—90	
Motion re Report of Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Not concluded  Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions— Nineteenth Report—debate adjourned  Indian Penal Code (Ameridament) Bill (Ameridant of Session 497)—Introduced  Indian Penal Code (Ameridament) Bill (Ameridant of Session 497)—Introduced  Introduced.  Women's and Children's Institutions Licensing Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded  Shri Dhulebar  Ao84—93  Shri Dhulebar  Ao88—93  Shri Passion:  Shri Passion:  Shri Passion:  Shri Tek Chand  Debate adjourned  Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Intersion of new secsion 294 B)—  Motion to circulate—Not concluded  Ao94—98  Shri Nagrahwar Parsad Sinha  Dr. Karju  Ao96—97  Debate adjourned  Debate adjourned  Dr. N. B. Khare  Shri Khandubhal Dessi  Ao98—99  Debate adjourned  Iodian Medical Council (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to refer to Select Committee—Not concluded  Ao98—99  Debate adjourned  Iodian Medical Council (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to refer to Select Committee—Not concluded  Africo—12,4115—16,4117  Reflaumari Amrit Kaur  4113—1							KG 88				
Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions— Nineteenth Report—debate adjourned 4069—75 Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Amendment) Bill— Introduced 4075—83 Women's and Children's Institutions Licensing Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded 4084—93 Shri Dhulchar 4084—88 ShriPateshar 4098—93 Shrimati Uma Nehru 4093 Debate adjourned 4094 Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Intersion of now section 294 B)— Motion to circulate—Not concluded 4094—96,4097—98 Shri Nagrahwar Parsad Sinha 4094—96,4097—98 Dr. Karju 4096—97 Debate adjourned 4098 Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded 4098—4100 Dr. N. B. Khare 4098—99 Debate adjourned 4100—4177 Sardar A. S. Saigal 4100—12,4115—16,4117 Rafkumari Amrit Kaur 4113—1							- 82	~ .		990-4012	
Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions— Nineteenth Report—debate adjourned  Nineteenth Report—debate adjourned  Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Amendment) Bill— Introduced.  Women's and Children's Institutiona Licensing Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded  Shri Dhulekar  Shri Panahar  Shri Panahar  Shri Hek Chand  Debate adjourned  Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Insertion of new section 294 B)—  Motion to circulate—Not concluded  Shri Nagrahwar Parsad Sinha  Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded  Dr. N. B. Khare  Shri Khandubhal Desai  Debate adjourned  Ao98—4100  Dr. N. B. Khare  Shri Khandubhal Desai  4098—99  Debate adjourned  Ao98—99  Ao98—99  Debate adjourned  Ao98—99  Ao98—99  Debate adjourned  Ao98—99  A	-				es and	1 2036	23 (II) 02	1.110	es		
Nineteenth Report—debate adjourned 4069—75 Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Amendment of Section 497)—Introduced 4075 Indian Converts (Regulation and Registration) Bill— Introduced. 4075—83 Women's and Children's Institutiona Licensing Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded 4084—93 Shri Dhulekar 4084—88 Shri Panahar 4088—93 Shri Itak Chand 4093 Shri Tek Chand 4093 Debate adjourned 4093 Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Insertion of new section 294 B)—  Motion to circulate—Not concluded 4094—96,4097—98 Dr. Karju 4094—96,4097—98 Dr. Karju 4094—96,4097—98 Dr. Karju 4098—400 Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded 4098—4100 Dr. N. B. Khare 4098,4099—4100 Shri Khandhubhal Desai 4098—99 Debate adjourned 4008—4100 Dr. N. B. Khare 4098,4099—4100 Shri Khandhubhal Desai 4098—99 Debate adjourned 4100—4117 Sardar A. S. Saigal 4100—12,4115—16,4117 Refkumari Amrit Kaur 4113—1					90.0	*	7.5		•	4013-69	
Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Amendment) Bill— Introduced.  Introduced.  Introduced.  Women's and Children's Institutiona Licensing Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded.  Shri Dhulekar ShriPatashar ShriPa											
Indian Converts (Regulation and Registration) Bill— Introduced.  Women's and Children's Institutiona Licensing Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded 4084—93 Shri Dhulckar 4088—93 ShriPassilar 4098—93 ShriPassilar 4093 Shri Tek Chand 4093 Debate adjourned 4093 Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Intersion of new section 294 B)— Motion to circulate—Not concluded 4094—98 Shri Nagrahwar Parsad Sinha 4094—98 Shri Nagrahwar Parsad Sinha 4094—96,4097—98 Dr. Karju 4096—97 Debate adjourned 4098 Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded 4098—4100 Dr. N. B. Khare 4098,4099—4100 Shri Khapchubhal Desai 4098—99 Debate adjourned 4098—99 Debate adjourned 4100—1217 Sardar A. S. Saigal 4100—1217 Sardar A. S. Saigal 4100—1217 Refkumari Amrit Kaur 4113—1						400)	7-1		*		
Introduced.  Women's and Children's Institutions Licensing Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded 4084—93 Shri Dhulchar 4084—88 Shri Pasedasr 4088—93 Shrimati Uma Nehru 4093 Shri Tek Chand 4093 Debate adjourned 4093 Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Intersion of new section 294 B)— Motion to circulate—Not concluded 4094—98 Shri Nagathwar Parad Sinha 4094—96,4097—98 Dr. Kanju 4096—97 Debate adjourned 4098—4100 Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded 4098—4100 Dr. N. B. Khare 4098,4099—4100 Debate adjourned 4098—99 Debate adjourned 4100—4157 Sardar A. S. Saigal 4100—12,4115—16,4117 Rafkumari Amrit Kaur 4113—1					ELON .	497)-	-1000	anced		4075	
Women's and Children's Institutiona Licensing Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded	, -	_	ion) Di	ı—							4.11
Motion to consider—Not concluded  Shri Dhulchar Shri Dhulchar Shri Passelar Shri Passelar Shri Passelar Shri Wan Nehru Shri Wan Nehru Shri Tek Chand  Debate adjourned  Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Intersion of new section 294 B)— Motion to circulate—Not concluded Shri Negrahwar Parsad Sinha Dr. Karju  Debate adjourned  Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded  Dr. N. B. Khare Shri Khapdubhal Desai  Jebate adjourned  Debate adjourned  A098—4100 Dr. N. B. Khare Shri Khapdubhal Desai Jebate adjourned  Jebate adjourned  Debate adjourned  A098—99  Debate adjourned  Jebate adjourned  Jebat			moine i	D:12					•	4075—83	53
Shri Dhulchar 4084—88 ShriPatechar 4088—93 Shrimati Uma Nehru 4093 Shri Tek Chand 4093 Debate adjourned 4093 Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Intersion of new section 294 B)— Motion to circulate—Not concluded 4094—96,4097—98 Shri Nagrahwar Parsad Sinha 4094—96,4097—98 Dr. Karju 4096—97 Debate adjourned 4098 Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded 4098—4100 Dr. N. B. Khare 4098,4099—4100 Shri Khapdubhal Desai 4098—99 Debate adjourned 4098—99 Debate adjourned 4100—4117 Sardar A. S. Saigal 4100—12,4115—16,4117 Rafkumari Amrit Kaur 4113—1			_	Dill-		46					
Shri Pasasar 4088—93 Shrimati Uma Nehru 4093 Shri Tek Chand 4093 Debate adjourned 4093 Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Insertion of new section 294 B)— Motion to circulate—Not concluded 4094—98 Shri Nagrahwar Parad Sinha 4094—96,4097—98 Dr. Karju 4096—97 Debate adjourned 4098 Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded 4098—4100 Dr. N. B. Khare 4098,4099—4100 Shri Khandubhal Desai 4098—99 Debate adjourned 4100—1417 Sardar A. S. Saigal 4100—12,4115—16,4117 Rafkumari Amrit Kaur 4113—1			<b>*</b>	3	≲*∴	•2	85				
Shrimati Uma Nehru 4093 Shri Tek Chand 4093 Debate adjourned 4093 Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Intersion of new section 294 B)— Motion to circulate—Not concluded 4094—98 Shri Nagrahwar Parad Sinha 4094—96,4097—98 Dr. Karju 4096—97 Debate adjourned 4098—97 Debate adjourned 4098—98 Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded 4098—4100 Dr. N. B. Khare 4098,4099—4100 Shri Khandubhal Desai 4098—99 Debate adjourned 4100—1417 Sardar A. S. Saigal 4100—12,4115—16,4117 Rafkumari Amrit Kaur 4113—1					•	*	*		**		
Shri Tek Chand 4093 Debate adjourned 4093 Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Intersion of new section 294 B)— Motion to circulate—Not concluded 4094—98 Shri Nagrahwar Parad Sinha 4094—96,4097—98 Dr. Karju 4996—97 Debate adjourned 4098 Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded 4098—4100 Dr. N. B. Khare 4098,4099—4100 Shri Khapchubhal Desai 4098—99 Debate adjourned 4008—99 Debate adjourned 4100—4157 Sardar A. S. Saigal 4100—12,4115—16,4117 Rafkumari Amrit Kaur 4113—1									•		
Debate adjourned				*	17	*	•		•		
Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Bill (Intersion of new section 294 B)—  Motion to circulate—Not concluded 4094—98  Shri Nagrahwar Parad Sinha 4094—96,4097—98  Dr. Karju 4996—97  Debate adjourned 4098  Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded 4098—4100  Dr. N. B. Khare 4098,4097—4100  Shri Khandubhal Desai 4098—99  Debate adjourned 4100  Iodian Medical Council (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to refer so Select Committee—Not concluded 4100—4117  Sardar A. S. Saigal 4100—12,4115—16,4117  Rafkumari Amrit Kaur 4113—1					5.00	•			•		
Motion to circulate—Not concluded  Shri Nagrahwar Parsad Sinha  Dr. Karju  Debate adjourned  Motion to consider—Not concluded  Dr. N. B. Khare  Shri Khapdubhal Desai  Debate adjourned  A098—4100  Dr. N. B. Khare  Shri Khapdubhal Desai  4098—99  Debate adjourned  Lodian Medical Council (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to refer so Select Committee—Not concluded  A100—4117  Sardar A. S. Saigal  A100—12,4115—16,4117  Refkumari Amrit Kaur  4113—1				*	i Mine a	04 R)				4093	
Shri Nagethwar Parad Sinha Dr. Karju Debate adjourned Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill— Motion to consider—Not concluded Dr. N. B. Khare Shri Khandubhal Desai Debate adjourned  A098—4100 Dr. N. B. Khare 4098—4100 -41 Shri Khandubhal Desai 4098—99 Debate adjourned Iodian Medical Council (Amendment) Bill— Motion to refer to Select Committee—Not concluded A100—417 Sardar A. S. Saigal A100—12,4115—16,4117 Rafkumari Amrit Kaur 4113—1				·w		94 49	_			3	
Dr. Karju  Debate adjourned  Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded  Dr. N. B. Khare  Shri Khandubhal Desai  Debate adjourned  Iodian Medical Council (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to refer so Select Committee—Not concluded  A100—417  Sardar A. S. Saigal  Reflumari Amrit Kaur  44096—97  4098—4100  44098—4100  44100—4177  5ardar A. S. Saigal  4100—12,4115—16,4117  Reflumari Amrit Kaur  4113—1				d Sir	ha.	2.55	<b>.</b> 0	·*			
Debate adjourned					111	::: ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	*	4~34			
Payment of Wages (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to consider—Not concluded  Dr. N. B. Khare  Shri Khandubhal Desai  Debate adjourned  Iodian Medical Council (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to refer so Select Committee—Not concluded  Refkumari Amrit Kaur  Motion—12,4115—16,4117  Refkumari Amrit Kaur  4098—4100  4100—4157  Sardar A. S. Saigal  4100—12,4115—16,4117  Refkumari Amrit Kaur	_	,	9.23						•		
Motion to consider—Not concluded 4098—4100  Dr. N. B. Khare 4098,4099—4100 —41  Stri Khandubhal Desai 4098—99  Debate adjourned 4100  Iodian Medical Council (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to refer so Select Committee—Not concluded 4100—4117  Sardar A. S. Saigal 4100—12,4115—16,4117  Refkumari Amrit Kaur 4113—1		- N - S - S	952			16	3.3	57		4098	
Dr. N. B. Khare	• .	•	1000		141					A0	
Shri Khandubhal Desai . 4098—99  Debate adjourned . 4100  Iodian Medical Council (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to refer so Select Committee—Not concluded . 4100—4117  Sardar A. S. Saigal . 4500—12,4115—16,4117  Refkumari Amrit Kaur . 4113—1			1000	-				40		-	4.5
Debate adjourned  Iodian Medical Council (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to refer so Select Committee—Not concluded . 4100—4117  Sardar A. S. Saigal . 4500—12,4115—16,4117  Refkumari Amrit Kaur . 4113—1				0.5	28	8		. 40			- 1
Iodian Medical Council (Amendment) Bill—  Motion to refer to Select Committee—Not concluded		·····		950	28	÷.		0.76			
Motion to refer to Select Committee—Not concluded		mendment) Bili-	- REG	70	150	22.5		-5	125	4100	
Serdar A. S. Saigal . 4500—12,4115—16,4117 Refleumari Amrit Kaur	-			uded	÷				410	00-4117	
Refkumari Amrit Kaur						4.5	4300	—I2.		•	
Diline all and 1					· ·	35 20 <b>0</b> 0		,			
December and succession and the second secon	Debate adjourned			16		*	•			4117	

3 (4) F												
Prevention of Free, Forced or Compulsory Labour Bill-												
Motion to circulate—Not concluded												
Shi D.	C. S	استمع	l'.						*	4117-26		
Shri R. 1	K.C	handl	luci							4127-38		
Manages from the Rajya Sabha		•								4129 -30		
Hindu Marriage Pill—												
Laid on the Table as passed by	Rajy	a Sab	ha				*	3.6		4130		

- AND VALUE OF

1185

#### LOK SABHA

Thursday, 9th December, 1954

The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair]

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

## टेक्नालाजी की प्रावेशिक संस्थायें

\*९३८. भी एम० एस० विषेती: क्या काणिज्य तथा उद्योग मंत्री १३ सितम्बर, १९५४ को पूछे गये तारांकित प्रश्न संख्या ८३३ के उत्तर के सम्बन्ध में यह बताने की कपा करेंगे कि टेक्नालाजी की चारों प्रादेशिक संस्थायें कब से कार्य करना आरम्भ करेंगी ?

The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): A Director has been appointed to each Institute and a nucleus staff of officers has also been sanctioned. Directors are now engaged in paring the programme of work for each Institute in consultation the State Governments.

Shri M. L. Dwivedi: May I know whether the institutes at all the four places have been established, and if so, what progress has been made?

Shri Kanungo: As I have already replied, the nucleus staff has been recruited. The buildings and other things have not yet been completed.

Shri M. L. Dwivedi: What is the estimated cost of this scheme?

552 LSD.

Shri Kanungo: A sum of Rs. 135 lakhs has been proposed in the revised estimate for the current financial year for the development of small-scale industries.

Shri M. L. Dwivedi: What are the small-scale industries that are under consideration for research work?

Shri Kanungo: It is not research. These are institutes which will undertake research in various smallscale industries. To start with they have just two industries to work on, i.e., cycle parts and leather.

Shri T. S. A. Chettiar: What are the places at which these four institutes are going to be situated?

Shri Kanungo: One in one in Calcutta, one in Bombay or Poona and one in Faridabad.

Mr. Speaker: Shri S. N. Das. Absent.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Sir, Question No. 939 is an important question and should be replied to.

Mr. Speaker: I am going to the next Question. We will take it up later on if the hon. Member comes.

#### MONAZITE

\*940. Sardar Hukam Singh: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Indian Rare Earths and Monazite Plant Limited at Alwaye have been able by now to reduce the production cost so as to enable plant to produce rare earth chlorides for the world market at competitive rates; and
- (b) the quantity of monazite that was treated there during the last 12 months?

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs and Defence (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): (a) Rare Earth Chlorides and Carbonates have been produced at competitive rates from the beginning. The production cost has been gradually reduced since then.

(b) It is not in the public interest to divulge the exact figure. It is in several hundreds of tons.

Sardar Hukam Singh: May I know whether we were able to export some of these chlorides in the last twelve months and sell them in foreign market?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: They are being sold, that is, by arrangement with countries, not in the open market. We come to terms with a country and give it in exchange for something else.

Sardar Hukam Singh: Could I know the quantity of monazite exported last year?

Shri Jawahariai Nehru: That is what I have said. I would rather not say that, but this runs into some hundreds of tons.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: May I know by what percentage the production cost has been reduced?

Shri Jawaharial Nehru: I cannot say, but whatever it is, it finds a very easy market; it is below the normal rate. It is not a high rate, and it is being gradually reduced. To begin with it is not much, it is very competitive.

### TEEL PLANT

\*941. Pandit Munishwar Datt Upadhyay: Will the Minister of Production be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Russian experts have surveyed the likely places and pressed any opinion regarding the suitability or otherwise of the places suggested for the establishment of a Second Steel Plant;
- (b) whether Government have decided upon the location of the plant;

- (c) the main considerations according to the Russian experts which should determine the location of the steel plant; and
- (d) whether this plant is likely to be installed earlier than the one proposed to be set up in Orissa?

The Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Sardar Swaran Singh):
(a) The team of Soviet experts are examining all the relevant data as to the possible sites for location of a new Steel Plant. The team is now on a tour to several places in the country in this connection. The experts have not yet expressed any opinion.

- (b) No.
- (c) The consideration which will weigh with the experts will be known at about the time when they will be making their recommendation regarding the location of the Plant.
- (d) Sufficient data is not available with Government for venturing a forecast on these lines.

Pand't Munishwar Datt Upadhyay: What is the difference between the amounts to be invested in the two-plants?

Sardar Swaran Singh: So far as the steel plant the possibility of establishing which is now being explored is concerned, it is still in a preliminary stage and it is too early to say the relative costs.

Pandit Munishwar Datt Upadhyay: Is there any estimate?

Sardar Swaran Singh: I will not make any guess of an estimate which is of a conjectural nature.

सेट गोविन्द दास: क्या यह बात सहीः नहीं ह कि ज पहला प्लांट उड़ीमा में स्थापित करने का निर्णय किया गया था उस वक्त मध्य प्रदेश सरकार ने जो चीजें केन्द्रीय सरकार के सामने पेक्ष कीं उनके आधार पर यह करी। करी: निश्चित हो गया था कि दूसरा प्लांट मध्य प्रदेश में स्थापित किया जायेगा?

तरदार स्मर्ण सिंह: अर् दोबारा इस सारे मामले पर विचार हो रहा है और इस विचार के धाद जो जगह ज्यादा मौज् समझी जायेगी वहां यह नया प्लांट लगाया जायेगा।

#### CASHEWNUTS

- \*942. Shri V. P. Nayar: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to refer to the reply given to unstarred question No. 2 on the 23rd August, 1954 and state:
- (a) the percentage of raw cashewnuts imported by established importers and by processors separately; and
- (b) whether Government have taken any steps to find out the percentage of profits made on imports of raw nuts by established importers?

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Karmarkar): (a) The information about actual imports made by established importers and processors separately is not available. The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

- (b) Government has been endeavouring to collect this information; but as the nuts are collected in Portuguese East Africa by the agents of the importers in India and there is no fixed price for the nuts in Portuguese East Africa, the profit on imports cannot be easily determined. Government is, however, looking into the matter further.
- Shri V. P. Nayar: The hon. Minister said that the information about the break-up of figures of import are being collected. May I know whether Government are aware that owing to the monopoly of three or four exporters at Bombay, the price of cashewnuts varies to such an extent that in the first half of 1954, as admitted by the Minister in answer to another question, only 25,000 people were working in the industry which was capable of normally having about 80 to 90 thousand workers?

- Shri Karmarkar: The Question, Sir, is mixed up. It is a fact that a complaint was brought to us that the importers were not playing fair with the processors in respect of prices.
- Shri V. P. Nayar: May I know whether Government have taken any steps to assure that the importers take only the minimum profit in order that the industry may go on with provision for work for about 90,000 people?

Shri Karmarkar: What we did was quite in accordance with the suggestion made by the processors themselves. We gave them permission to import 50 per cent. of the total imports, but it appears that they have not availed themselves of that privilege to the best extent possible.

Shri V. P. Nayar: Do Government know that as between the processors and importers, the chances of competition for the processors are very little as the importers are backed by immense capital and also a long term trade with the African countries?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari): I am afraid the presumption is not quite correct. In fact, it has been brought to my notice that this spiral increase in prices is due to the fact that one of the byprocessors really was raising the price so as to shut out the smaller processors. There are complexities in the situation which is rather difficult for us to unravel and it is very difficult to apportion blame thereof therefore.

- Shri V. P. Nayar: May I know whether Government are aware that on account of the price manipulations of importers, the processors who take raw cashewnuts from home-grown nuts are able to give only a very, very low price and that thousands of growers are affected by this price manipulation of Bombay firms?
- Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: I am afraid it does not really follow. Actually, if there is a price manipulation of the imported nut and prices go up, naturally the home-growers

also must get a higher price, but it happens in this case that no single is entirely to blame. blame, I am afraid, has to be apportioned on all fronts.

#### KOREAN PRISONERS OF WAR

#### \*943. Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

- the Korean (a) how many οf prisoners of war who were brought to India have finally expressed desire to settle in India; and
- of (b) how many them have acquired the rights of Indian citizenship?

The Deputy Minister of External Affairs (Shri Anil K. Chanda): (a) The number of those who want to settle in India is frequently changing. Their number has now increased to

- (b) None. Under the law as it stands at present it is not possible to grant Indian citizenship to these exprisoners.
- Shri D. C. Sharma: To what countries do these Korean prisoners of war belong?

Shri Anil K. Chanda: There are 74 from North Korea, 2 from South Korea and 12 are Chinese.

Shri D. C. Sharma: May I know if any attempt is being made to train them for any gainful profession in India?

Shri Anil K. Chanda: Yes. Those who are to settle in India are being given training at various centres-Secunderabad, Jubalpur, Bangalore and Delhi

STATES FLOOD CONTROL BOARDS

- \*944. Th. Lakshman Singh Charak: Will the Minister of Irrigation and Power be pleased to state:
- (a) the names of the States where Flood Control Boards have been set up; որժ
- (b) whether they have submitted any preliminary reports to the pective State Governments as also to the Central Government?

The Deputy Minister of Irrigation and Power (Shri Hathi): (a) Assam, Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, Pepsu, Punjab, West Bengal and Jammu and Kashmir.

(b) Preliminary reports have been submitted to the State Governments and some of these reports have been received by the Central Government.

Th. Lakshman Singh Charak: May I know what is the decision on the programmes of the Flood Control Board of Jammu and Kashmir that is taken and how long will it take to implement it?

Shri Hathi: So far as the Jammu and Kashmir State projects are concerned, they have not been finalized yet.

Shri B. K. Das: May whether any short-term or longterm plans have been finalised regarding the States which have submitted these reports?

Shri Hathi: So far as Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, West Bengal and Assam are concerned, short-term or immediate protection relief works have been undertaken. Estimates have been submitted by these States; they have been examined and those works will start for these States.

Shri B. K. Das: May I have an idea as to the short-term plan finalised about the State of West Bengal?

Shri Hathi: So far as West Bengal is concerned, it is protection of the 5 towns of Siliguri, Jalpaiguri, Alipur Duars, Cooch-Behar Mathabhanga, at a cost of Rs. 350 lakhs.

Shri Sarangadhar Das: Mav know the reasons why no Board has been formed for Orissa?

The Minister of Planning and Irrigation and Power (Shri Nanda): All States were informed that Boards would be formed for purpose of flood control and protec-Wherever a State felt that there was need for the formation of such a Board, it was told that it might do so.

Shri T. S. A. Chettiar: I take it that these Boards have been formed only in those States where floods have been creating havoc. May I know whether Government are collecting data in those States where flood water is going waste and where it can be profitably utilised?

Shri Hathi: The idea of forming all these Control Boards is mainly to provide protection against floods, and for that purpose Government have established three circles in different parts of the country. They will be concerned mainly with protection against floods.

#### STORES PURCHASE COMMITTEE

\*945. Shri Dabhi: Will the Minister of Works, Housing and Supply be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 823 on the 13th September. 1954 and state:

- (a) whether Government have received the final Report of the Stores Purchase Committee; and
- (b) if so, what decision has been taken on the various recommendations of this Committee?

The Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Sardar Swaran Singh):
(a) and (b). The Final Report of the Stores Purchase Committee has not yet been received.

Shri Dabhi: When is it likely to be received?

Sardar Swaran Singh: By about the end of January next.

shri T. B. Vittal Rao: May I know whether any of the recommendations made by this Committee in their interim report have been accepted and implemented?

Sardar Swaran Singh: Yes, some of them have been accepted.

Shri Gidwani: What are those recommendations?

Bardar Swaran Singh: I require notice.

#### FLOOD CONTROL

\*947. Shri Bibhuti Mishra: Will the Minister of Irrigation and Power be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government propose to make united efforts in collaboration with all the Himalayan countries such as Nepal, Tibet and Sikkim for checking the recurrence of floods in Northern India, Nepal, Tibet and Sikkim; and
- (b) if so, whether any steps have been taken in this respect so far?

The Deputy Minister of Irrigation and Power (Shri Hathi): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Negotiations have been started.

श्री विभूति मिश्रः क्या बाढ़ रोकने में सरकार को सिक्किम, नैपाल और तिब्बत का सहयोग प्राप्त हो रहा है।

Shr. Hathi: So far as Nepal is concerned, we have already a Coordination Board for the Kosi where there is full co-operation from Nepal. With regard to others, we are negotiating.

श्री विभूति निश्न : हिमालय से केवल कोसी ही नहीं निकलती है बल्कि गंडक आदि और भी बहुत सी नदियां निकलती हैं। क्या उन सा नदियों का सर्वे कराने में सरकार उनका सहयोग प्राप्त कर रही है ?

Shri Hathi: We expect co-operation from Nepal.

श्री विभूति मिश्राः यह काम कव तक हो जायेगा ?

Shri Hathi: That is a matter which will take some time. Even the Prime Minister of Nepal himself has suggested recently that there should be co-ordination between India and Nepal on this basis.

#### SLUM CLEARANCE

\*948. Shri Gidwani: Will the Minister of Works, Housing and Supply be pleased to refer to the reply given to

starred question No. 1178 on the 21st September, 1954 and state:

- (a) whether the scheme for clearing the slums of the industrial areas has been finalised:
- (b) if so, the nature of the scheme; and
  - (c) when it will be implemented?

The Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Sardar Swaran Singh): (a) Not yet, sir.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

Shri Gidwani: In view of the fact that the Prime Minister has been repeatedly stating that the slums should be burnt, when will the Government completely carry out this scheme?

Sardar Swaran Singh: They are . still there; they have not yet been burnt.

Some Hon. Members rose-

Mr. Speaker: Let us go to the next question.

Shri Keshavaiengar: One question, Sir. May I know whether schemes for slum clearance have been submitted by the Mayor of Bangalore through the Mysore Government? If so, are they being accepted by Government, and are they going to be given any financial help by way of subsidy or loan?

Sardar Swaran Singh: A scheme was sent by the Mayor of Bangalore. In all these schemes that have been sent up by Bangalore or other or State Governments, corporations the main demand is for subsidy. We are prepared to advance loans, but it was not possible yet to accept the principle of grant of subsidy. It is for that reason that no final decision has yet been taken.

ऊन

\*९४९. सेठ गोबिन्द दास : न्या वाणिज्य तथा उद्योग मंत्री यह बताने की क्रुंग करेंगे कि:

- (क) देश में १९५३-५४ मैं कितना **ऊन उत्पादित हुआ** ;
- (स) देश में कितनी मात्रा की स्वपत हुई ;
- (ग) क्या अन के अबाध निर्यात की कोई मांग है: और
- (घ) क्या यह सच है कि सरकार की ओर से अन का निर्यात किया जा रहा है ?

The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) Precise figures for the quantity of wool actually produced 1953-54 are not available. Estimates of average annual production vary from 50 to 55 million lbs.

- country's (b) The requirements are estimated at 25 million lbs. Information about actual consumption is not available.
  - (c) Yes, Sir.
  - (d) No, Sir.

सेंड गोविन्य बास : भारतवर्ष में सबसे अधिक ऊन का उत्पादन किस राज्य में होता **흥** ?

**भी कान्नगो**ः राजस्थान, सौराष्ट्र और पंजात में।

सेठ गोविन्य बास : इस उत्पादन के सम्बन्ध में क्या सरकार के सामने इस प्रकार की कोई योजना आयी है, खास कर राज-स्थान से कि वहां पर एक ऊन के वस्त्र बनाने का कारखाना स्थापित किया जाना चाहिए?

भी कानुनगी : ऐसा कोई प्रस्ताव तो नहीं आया है।

COAL

Oral Answers

\*956. Shri T. B. Vittal Rao: Will the Minister of **Production** be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have come to any decision on the question of increasing the price of coal as suggested by the Indian Mining Association;
- (b) if so, the nature of the decision;
  and
- (c) if not, the reasons why a decision is being delayed?

The Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Sardar Swaran Singh):
(a) and (b). It is presumed that the Member is referring to the suggestion made by the Indian Mining Association for restoring the cut in coal prices imposed in November 1949. Government have considered this suggestion but have decided not to re-open the matter.

(c) Does not arise.

Shri T. B. Vittal Rao: May I know whether Government are proposing to have a uniform price for coal, because the coal in Assam is more costly than coal produced in the Bihar and West Bengal collieries?

Sardar Swaran Singh: This is a suggestion for action. We will consider it.

FINANCIAL AID TO RAJASTHAN

•952. Shri Karni Singhji: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Rajasthan Government have approached the Government of India for any financial aid for starting a Sulphur-cum-Cement Factory in that State; and
- (b) if so, the amount of financial aid promised or granted in that connection?

The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

Shri Karni Singhji: In view of the importance of the sulphur industry to India, will the Government of India consider sponsoring one?

Shri Kanungo: The Rajasthan Government has sent a proposal to the Planning Commission for a sulphur-cum-cement plant to be included in the Second Five Year Plan. It is being examined in the Planning Commission.

Shri Karni Singhji: May I know where this will be located?

Shri Kanungo: It is too early to say.

SALE OF UNUSED AIRCRAPT

\*953. Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Will the Minister of Works, Housing and Supply be pleased to refer to the reply given to starred question No. 1457 asked on the 29th September, 1954 regarding the sale of aircraft and state:

- (a) the number of aircrafts still lying in the Panagarh aerodrome at the end of November, 1954; and
- (b) the name of the foreign firm which has bought those planes and the name of the Indian firm through which they were sold?

The Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Sardar Swaran Singh):
(a) Sixty-one.

(b) A statement giving the information is placed on the Table of the House. [See Appendix IV, annexure No. 42.]

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: May I know what the Government intend to do with these aircraft which are still lying and the number of which is so large?

Sardar Swaran Singh: They are intended to be sold.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: The statement shows that some of the aircraft were re-sold by the Indian firm to foreign firms. May I know whether it is in the knowledge of Government at what price they were

re-sold by the Indian firm to the foreign firms?

Sardar Swaran Singh: No, Sir; we did not probe into the matter.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: May I know if the Government is aware that the aircrafts which were sold for a very low price by the Government to this firm were re-sold to the foreign firms at very higher prices and may I know what were the reasons that weighed with the Government to give a contract to this Banwari Lal firm of Japanese cloth repute?

Sardar Swaran Singh: That is hardly a question which arises out of this. But, this is a question which has been asked again and again on the floor of the House and the strong adjectives that are being used by the hon. Member are hardly called for because this is a matter which has been explained. This was the highest bid that we could get and this was the highest amount that the aircrafts could fetch.

AND STILL COMPANY

\*955. Shri Sarangadhar Das: Will the Minister of Production be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Messrs. Tata Iron and Steel Company have invited the attention of Government to some aspects of the Krupp-Demag Steel Project, which, according to them are unsatisfactory;
- (b) whether Government had any consultations with the Tata Iron and Steel Company's representatives in this respect; and
  - (c) if so, what were the results?

The Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Sardar Swaran Singh):

(a) to (c). The representative of Tata Iron and Steel Co., who served on an informal committee which examined the preliminary Project Report submitted by the German Consultants, raised certain points mainly of a technical nature. Some of the points referred to concern the

kinds and sources of coal to be used, the development and processing of certain iron-ores, matters relating to refractories, water, transportation, energy balance and a number of other technical details about the proposed steel plant. These points have been considered by the Government in consultation with technical experts.

Shri Sarangadhar Das: May I know if any advice given by the representative of the Tatas has been wholly accepted by the Government?

Sardar Swaran Singh: It was a very detailed advice that was given by the representative of the Tatas and it is really difficult to say at this stage when the project is still being formulated as to how much of it has been completely or formally accepted and upto what percentage.

Shri Sarangadhar Das: Did they suggest anything about the form of agreement to be entered into between the Government and the Krupp-Demag combine?

sardar Swaran Singh: That is nardly a point upon which outside advice was necessary. Their advice was sought mainly on technical aspects. So far as the form of the agreement and other financial aspects are concerned, Government have got other advisers.

Shri Velayudhan: May I know in this connection whether the Government have sent the Managing Director of the Hindustan Steel Factory to Germany and did he submit a report?

Sardar Swaran Singh: I am afraid I have got no information.

Shri P. C. Bose: May I know whether the Tatas have got any concern with this proposed steel plant or did they give advice gratis?

Sardar Swaran Singh: We consulted them and they gave the advice-

#### AVIATION SPIRIT

\*956. Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: Will the Minister of Works, Honsing and Supply be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Pakistan Government have agreed to provide necessary facilities for the transport of aviation spirit from West Bengal to Assam by the river route;
- (b) whether this arrangement will be on a temporary or a permanent basis; and
- (c) the quantity of aviation spirit that has so far been transhipped by this route from West Bengal to Assam this year?

The Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Sardar Swaran Singh):
(a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) On temporary basis.
- (c) Approximately 80,000 gallons of Aviation Spirit have been transhipped by barges by the river route from Calcutta to Assam.

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: May I know whether any calculation of the amount of aviation spirit needed by Assam to carry on the flood relief operation has been made, and of that amount, how much will be carried by the river route?

Sardar Swaran Singh: I have not got that calculation or break-up.

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: How does the cost of transhipment by the river route compare with the cost by the rail route, and may I know whether there is any increase in the cost of transportation by the river route and whether it has increased the cost of aviation spirit?

Sardar Swaran Singh: It may be actually the other way round.

URANIUM ORE PROSPECTING

\*960. Shri T. K. Chaudhuri: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the number of persons who have applied so far and claimed the reward of Rs. 10,000 for the discovery of Deposits of Uranium Ore capable of producing 50 tons of Uranium; and

(b) whether the Department of Atomic Energy have formulated any scheme of imparting training to laymen in Uranium Ore prospecting?

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs and Defence (Shri Jawaharial Nehru): (a) Four parties have so far applied for the reward of Rs. 10,000 on account of discovery of new deposits of uranium ore. Two of these claims have been rejected as the conditions laid down for the grant of the reward were not fulfilled. The other two claims are under investigation.

(b) Instructions have been issued to all field geologists of the Raw Materials Division of the Department of Atomic Energy to enlist the help of intelligent villagers and other persons with whom they come in contact during their camps at various places. This is to be done by giving talks on Uranium and giving them some idea of the kind of mineral to be looked for, the value of the mineral and also its importance to the country.

Shri T. K. Chaudhuri: May I know whether the recent announcement of prizes for the discovery of deposits of less than 50 tons has resulted in extensive discovery of such deposits?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I do not know which announcement the hon. Member is referring to. There have been discoveries of uranium in various parts of the country.

Shri T. K. Chaudhuri: There have been two sets of announcements, one for deposits of more than 50 tons and another for less than 50 tons.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: Yes. This was the second announcement which was rather annexed to the conditions of the first. It is a fairly recent one and I am not aware of any particular results of the recent announcement.

Shri Jaipal Singh: Does this award: for discoveries apply to other very valuable metals like titanium also?

Shri Jawaharial Nehru; This particularly applies to uranium and thorium.

RECOVERY OF ABDUCTED WOMEN

\*961. Shri L. Jogeswar Singh: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of abducted Indian women and children still to be recovered from Pakistan and the number so far recovered;
- (b) whether all the abducted women and children so far recovered have come to India; and
- (c) the steps so far taken to rehabilitate them in India?

The Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Sardar Swaran Singh): (a) and (b). The hon, Member presumably desires information in respect of non-Muslim abd'ucted women and children. The number of such abducted persons recovered Pakistan from 1-12-1947 to 30-9-1954 is 9,020; of these 8,777 have been received in India. It is regretted that it is not possible to supply the figures . of abducted persons yet to be recovered from Pakistan, as the work of recoveries is done on the basis clues received from various sources which frequently result in overlapping of names etc. and make it difficult to calculate the total number of cases of abduction.

(c) Most of the recovered persons received in India have been restored to their relatives. There are only a few cases of such persons whose relatives could not be traced or were unwilling to accept them. Such persons were handed over to the Rehabilitation Homes.

Shri L. Jogeswar Singh: May I know whether it is a fact that the progress of recovery in Pakistan is very slow as compared to that in India, and if so, why?

Sardar Swaran Singh: I do not want to emulate all that. We are trying to do our best and we leave Pakistan to do their best.

Shri L. Jogeswar Singh: The hon. Minister has just stated that a number of abducted women have not come back to India from East Pakistan. May I know the reasons?

Sardar Swaran Singh: I do not accept the first premise when he says that a large number of women who have been recovered in Pakistan have not come over to India; that is not correct. I do not know what is the basis of that information. Quite a large number of women have been recovered and have gone back to their homes, and a big percentage out of them have actually come over to India.

Shri L. Jogeswar Singh: May I know whether it is a fact that there are some relations of these abducted women who refuse to receive back these abducted women from Pakistan?

Sardar Swaran Singh: Sir, I must confess that in spite of my best efforts I have not been able to follow what the hon. Member wants.

Mr. Speaker: Whether many relations of these abducted women refuse to accept them in their homes?

Sardar Swaran Singh: That is incorrect. Actually, the recovered Hindu and Sikh women who have been brought over to India have been accepted in society and have been accepted by their relatives and friends.

#### SHOW ROOMS IN EMBASSIES

\*962. Shri S. C. Samanta: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Indian handicrafts and cottage industries products are in great demand in foreign countries; and
- (b) if so, whether Government propose to open show-rooms for exhibiting Indian products in the embassies where there are no show-rooms already?

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Karmarkar): (a) It is a fact that there is some demand for the articles mentioned.

(b) Yes, Sir.

I should also like to add that the export of handicrafts is growing on account of the exhibitions being held in different countries.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know the number of Embassies where there are such show-rooms?

Shri Karmarkar: Sir, I could tell my hon. friend that the show-rooms set up in premises specially acquired for the purpose are in Colombo, Malaya, Bangkok, Philippines and Thailand. There are 4 centres where moderate size show-rooms are set up in the Embassies, High Commissions, Consulates or Legation premises, and there are 18 centres where nucleus show-rooms or show-windows exist for display purposes.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know when the exhibits for the show-rooms are selected, whether the Embassy places the order direct or is it done through some agency in the country?

Shri Karmarkar: These articles that are being displayed in show-rooms are selected by us—the Handicraft Board and officers of the Government of India concerned in that behalf. These show-rooms are for purposes of show and not for purposes of sales. Some of the exhibits exhibited in the exhibition are also sold if found feasible; otherwise the show rooms are there for show.

Shri S. C. Samanta: I wanted to know whether the Embassies help those people who are satisfied with the things and who want them?

Shri Karmarkar: Certainly; it is one of the duties of the Embassies.

Shri Sarangadhar Das: May I know what arrangements there are in those places where there are show-rooms in the Embassies for people of those countries to order for large quantities of those articles, and how they are supplied?

Shri Karmarkar: Recently it has been our policy to tie up the showrooms or particular centres with corporations to be established in the countries concerned and Government have recently approved a scheme to set up a particular centre in New York to deal with the corporation.

HIGH POWER TRANSMITTER

\*971. Shri Achuthan: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) when the high-power transmitter is going to be installed in the West Coast (Malayalam speaking area); and
  - (b) where it will be located?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Dr. Keskar): (a) By March, 1956.

(b) The exact location of the transmitter has not yet been finalised.

Shri Achuthan: May I know whether by this time any preliminary investigations have been conducted for the selection of the site concerned.

**Dr. Keskar:** Preliminary investigations are taking place and a number of alternative sites are being considered.

Shri Achuthan: May I know what is the estimated expenditure intended for installation of this high-power transmitter station?

Dr. Keskar; I won't be able to give the exact figure; it will be probably about Rs. 8 to 10 lakhs.

Shri Achuthan: In view of the fact that Trichur, Crangannur and Irinjalakuda are culturally important places situated almost in the centre of Kerala, will there be any consideration shown by the Government that this station must be in the central place of Kerala?

Dr. Keskar: Yes; the object of locating this transmitter is to see that a major part of the Kerala area is covered by radio and all relevant factors will be taken into consideration.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know whether the high-power transmitter

RECOVERY OF ABDUCTED WOMEN

Oral Answers

\*961. Shri L. Jogeswar Singh: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of abducted Indian women and children still to be recovered from Pakistan and the number so far recovered;
- (b) whether all the abducted women and children so far recovered have come to India; and
- (c) the steps so far taken to rehabilitate them in India?

The Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Sardar Swaran Singh): (a) and (b). The hon, Member presumably desires information in respect of non-Muslim abducted women and children. The number of such abducted persons recovered Pakistan from 1-12-1947 to 30-9-1954 is 9,020; of these 8,777 have been received in India. It is regretted that it is not possible to supply the figures . of abducted persons yet to be recovered from Pakistan, as the work of recoveries is done on the basis clues received from various sources which frequently result in overlapping of names etc. and make it difficult to calculate the total number of cases of abduction.

(c) Most of the recovered persons received in India have been restored to their relatives. There are only a few cases of such persons whose relatives could not be traced or were unwilling to accept them. Such persons were handed over to the Rehabilitation Homes.

Shri L. Jogeswar Singh: May I know whether it is a fact that the progress of recovery in Pakistan is very slow as compared to that in India, and if so, why?

Sardar Swaran Singh: I do not want to emulate all that. We are trying to do our best and we leave Pakistan to do their best.

Shri L. Jogeswar Singh: The hon. Minister has just stated that a number of abducted women have not come back to India from East Pakistan. May I know the reasons?

Sardar Swaran Singh: I do not accept the first premise when he says that a large number of women who have been recovered in Pakistan have not come over to India; that is not correct. I do not know what is the basis of that information. Quite a large number of women have been recovered and have gone back to their homes, and a big percentage out of them have actually come over to India.

Shri L. Jogeswar Singh: May I know whether it is a fact that there are some relations of these abducted women who refuse to receive back these abducted women from Pakistan?

Sardar Swaran Singh: Sir. I must confess that in spite of my best efforts I have not been able to follow what the hon. Member wants.

Mr. Speaker: Whether many relations of these abducted women refuse to accept them in their homes?

Sardar Swaran Singh: That is incorrect. Actually, the recovered Hindu and Sikh women who have been brought over to India have been accepted in society and have been accepted by their relatives and friends.

#### SHOW ROOMS IN EMBASSIES

\*962. Shri S. C. Samanta: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Indian handicrafts and cottage industries products are in great demand in foreign countries; and
- (b) if so, whether Government propose to open show-rooms for exhibiting Indian products in the embassies where there are no show-rooms already?

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Karmarkar): (a) It is a fact that there is some demand for the articles mentioned.

(b) Yes, Sir.

I should also like to add that the export of handicrafts is growing on account of the exhibitions being held in different countries.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know the number of Embassies where there are such show-rooms?

Shri Karmarkar: Sir, I could tell my hon. friend that the show-rooms set up in premises specially acquired for the purpose are in Colombo, Malaya, Bangkok, Philippines and Thailand. There are 4 centres where moderate size show-rooms are set up in the Embassies, High Commissions. Consulates or Legation premises, and there are 18 centres where nucleus show-rooms or show-windows exist for display purposes.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know when the exhibits for the show-rooms are selected, whether the Embassy places the order direct or is it done through some agency in the country?

Shri Karmarkar: These articles that are being displayed in show-rooms are selected by us—the Handicraft Board and officers of the Government of India concerned in that behalf. These show-rooms are for purposes of show and not for purposes of sales. Some of the exhibits exhibited in the exhibition are also sold if found feasible; otherwise the show rooms are there for show.

Shri S. C. Samanta: I wanted to know whether the Embassies help those people who are satisfied with the things and who want them?

Shri Karmarkar: Certainly; it is one of the duties of the Embassies.

Shri Sarangadhar Das: May I know what arrangements there are in those places where there are show-rooms in the Embassies for people of those countries to order for large quantities of those articles, and how they are supplied?

Shri Karmarkar: Recently it has been our policy to tie up the showrooms or particular centres with corporations to be established in the countries concerned and Government have recently approved a scheme to set up a particular centre in New York to deal with the corporation.

HIGH POWER TRANSMITTER

\*971. Shri Achuthan: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) when the high-power transmitter is going to be installed in the West Coast (Malayalam speaking area); and
  - (b) where it will be located?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Dr. Keskar): (a) By March, 1956.

(b) The exact location of the transmitter has not yet been finalised.

Shri Achuthan: May I know whether by this time any preliminary investigations have been conducted for the selection of the site concerned

Dr. Keskar: Preliminary investigations are taking place and a number of alternative sites are being considered.

Shri Achuthan: May I know what is the estimated expenditure intended for installation of this high-power transmitter station?

Dr. Keskar: I won't be able to give the exact figure; it will be probably about Rs. 8 to 10 lakhs.

Shri Achuthan: In view of the fact that Trichur, Crangannur and Irinjalakuda are culturally important places situated almost in the centre of Kerala, will there be any consideration shown by the Government that this station must be in the central place of Kerala?

Dr. Keskar: Yes; the object of locating this transmitter is to see that a major part of the Kerala area is covered by radio and all relevant factors will be taken into consideration.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know whether the high-power transmitter

that is going to be replaced by a new one at Delhi will be sent to the West Coast?

Dr. Keskar: No. Sir; this has nothing to do with the highpower transmitter being installed there.

#### TINPLATES

\*972. Shri Kamal Singh: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state the steps taken by Government to bring down the price of tinplate by increasing its production and supplies as recommended by the Tariff Commission?

The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): The selling price of timplate has been reduced by Rs. 50/- per ton since 1st April, 1954. The position is under constant review and further reduction will be made as and when the situation warrants it.

Shri Kamal Singh: May I know whether it is a fact that despite granting of quotas tinplate is not available from the various stockists?

Shri Kanungo: It is the other way round.

Shri Jaipal Singh: Are any special steps being taken to increase the production of tinplate?

Shri Kanungo: The Tinplate Company have a programme of expanding their production capacity and another concern has been granted licence to do tinplating.

Shri Jaipal Singh: What will be the quantum of increase by this plant?

Shri Kanungo: It will be 10,000 tons by the Tinplate Company and the other one will also be 10,000 tons per annum.

Shri Kamal Singh: I am afraid I could not make myself clear. Inspite of the quotas being granted to applicants, may I know whether the Government is aware that the stockists are not able to supply because they have no stocks?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari): The quotas are granted on the basis of stocks available and it may be that some particular case has come to the hon. Member's notice in which a particular stockist did not have stocks. If any such information is brought, to the notice of the Government suitable action will be taken. But, normally quotas are sanctioned only more or less taking into account the possible amount of stocks available.

ADVANCED TRAINING TO ENGINEERS

\*975. Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy: Will the Minister of Irrigation and Power be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any Training Centre for giving advanced training to Engineers in Design has been started recently;
- (b) if so, when and where this centre is located;
  - (c) the number of trainees;
  - (d) the duration of the course; and
- (e) the criterion for selection of trainees?

The Deputy Minister of Irrigation and Power (Shri Hathi): (a) to (e). A statement giving the required information is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix IV, annexure No. 43.]

Shri T. S. A. Chettiar: Where is that training centre?

Shri Hathi: There is no one place. These graduates are sent to various projects. The statement mentions all those details.

# फोडं प्रतिष्ठान दल (सिफारझें)

\*९७६. श्री एम० एल० हिबेबी: क्या बाणिज्य तथा उद्योग मंत्री निम्नलिखित ातें दर्शाने वाला एक विवरण सभा पटल पर रखने की कृपा करगे:

(क) छोते पैमाने के उद्योगों आदि के विकास के लिये ऋणों के सम्बन्ध में विशेष सुविधार्ये देने के लिये फोर्ड प्रतिष्ठान दल की सिफारिश पर सरकार ने क्या निश्चय किये हैं; और ् (ख्) १९५४-५५ और १९५५-५६ में इस प्रयोजन के लिये सम न्धित राज्यों द्वारा मांगे गये ऋणों की राशि और अभी तक मंत्रूर किये गये ऋणों की राशियां क्या हैं?

The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo):
(a) and (b). A statement is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix IV, annexure No. 44.]

Shri M. L. Dwivedi: In pursuance of the recommendations of the Ford Foundation Team in respect of the State Governments and Central Government I want to know whether decisions have been takn or not?

Shri Kanungo: As far as the Central Government is concerned, decisions are being taken and they have been published.

Shri M. L. Dwivedi: I want to know what is the total sum earmarked for this purpose?

Shri Kanungo: Rs. 135 lakhs.

Shri M. L. Dwivedi: As laid down in the statement, the demand by the State Governments was for Rs. 88,40 lakhs in 1954-55 but the amount sanctioned was only Rs. 57.91 lakhs. I want to know why all the amount requested for by the State Governments was not sanctioned?

Shri Kasunge: They were mostly demands for loans. It all depends upon so many things: there were schemes and schemes which did not conform to the conditions. Therefore they have not been sanctioned.

Shri M, L. Dwivedi: May I know whether any demand or request for loan has been received from the Uttar Pradesh Government?

Shri Kanungo: No.

The Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Sardar Swaran Singh): Question No. 977 and question No. 989 are on the same subject. If you permit me, I might take them together.

Mr. Speaker: Yes.

SINDRI FERTILIZER FACTORY

\*977. Sardar Hukam Singh: Will the Minister of Production be pleased to state the value of actual shortage revealed in the stocks of raw materials in the Sindri Fertilizer Factory at the close of the financial year 1953-54 and the reasons therefor?

The Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Sardar Swaran Singh): The value of the actual shortages revealed in the stocks of raw materials at the end of the year 1953-54 was as follows:

Coke.....260 tons valued at Rs. 10,353.

Gypsum.....8,849 tons valued at Rs. 3.05.916.

These represent 0.2 per cent: and 2.09 per cent., respectively, of the total receipts and are not abnormal. The causes which led to these shortages are:

- (a) bedding down of dumps;and
- (b) loss in transit and due to windage and handling.

SINDRI FERTILIZER FACTORY

\*989. Shri K. C. Sodhia: Will the Minister of **Production** be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Committee appointed by the Board of Directors of the Sindri Fertilisers Factory to make a thorough inquiry into the causes of shortages in stock of the factory has submitted its report:
  - (b) if so, what are its findings; and
- (c) the action taken to prevent such shortages in future?

The Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Sardar Swaran Singh):
(a) to (c). A statement is laid on the Table of the Lok Sabha. [See Appendix IV, annexure No. 45.]

Sardar Hukam Singh: May I know when the first check of the stocks of the raw materials purchased for the factory was done?

Singh: As hon. Sardar Swaran Members know, the assets of the old Fertilisers Project, Sindri, were transferred on the 16th January, 1952, to a private limited company known as the Sindri Fertilizers and Chemicals, Ltd., a body registered under the Indian Companies Act, 1913. The first annual verification of the stock of raw materials was conducted at the end of the accounting period 31st March, 1953, which disclosed these shortages. They cover the entire period since the factory started actually working.

Sardar Hukam Singh: May I know whether any enquiry was made by any officer as regards the comparative effect of such a shortage, and whether such a shortage is possible or is happening in other factories in foreign countries so far as these materials are concerned?

Sardar Swaran Singh: As I have said, and as also indicated in the statement a copy of which has been laid on the Table of the Lok Sabha in reply to the other question which was also answered by me just now along with question No. 977, a Committee was constituted. It went into the entire question and it found that the estimate of the shortfall was not quite correct scientifically and statistically. So far as comparison with similar factories in other countries was concerned, that is not necessary and it has not been undertaken.

Shri K. C. Sodhia: In the statement, it is said that a scientific reverification was done. What is this scientific re-verification?

Sardar Swaran Singh: Actually, an attempt has been made to explain it somewhat in the statement itself. The scientific verification obviously is scientific re-verification, and if I give any explanation of it, I will be simply translating it which is hardly necessary, because, this expression itself is quite a good one and a precise one.

Shri K. C. Sodhia: May I know the reason why this Committee could not meet?

Sardar Swaran Singh: One of the reasons was that one of the members was a representative of the Auditor-General, and the latter thought that in view of the statutory obligations which rest upon himself, it was not in the fitness of things that he should nominate a representative. was the main reason why the Committee could not function.

Shri K. C. Sodhia: Who was this expert who whitewashed the whole affair?

Sardar Swaran Singh: Does want the name of the expert?

Mr. Speaker: Yes.

Sardar Swaran Singh: He is Mr. Bensen Gyles.

Dr. N. B. Khare: This is colourwashing.

#### PEPPER

\*978. Shri V. P. Nayar: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to refer to the reply given to starred question No. 5 on the 23rd August, 1954 and state:

- (a) whether any steps have been taken by Government to assure fair prices to the pepper growers for the pepper produce; and
- (b) what is the effect of the reduction in export duty given effect to from the 12th May, 1954?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari): (a) A Spices Enquiry Committee was set up to investigate into the production and marketing of some of the important dollar earning crops India including pepper, and on the of the Committee. recommendation Government are preparing Schemes in consultation with the State Governments concerned to develop the production of pepper on scientific lines, to reduce its cost of production and to increase the margin of profit to the growers. Government are also considering, in consultation with trade interests concerned, a scheme for setting up an Export Promotion Council for pepper.

- (b) The reduction of duty had the effect of improving exports of pepper in July and August but there has been a sharp fall in exports in September and October, 1954. This is usually the lean period for exports, as pepper from the new crop becomes available for export only late in the year. No conclusion can, therefore, be drawn about the effect of the reduction in duty.
- Shri V. P. Nayar: From the statement published by Government in their Report on Foreign Sea, Land and Air Routes of India, I find that from 1952 to 1954 the quantity of pepper exported has increased by 30 per cent. and that the total realisation of the value has been reduced by thirty to forty per cent? May I know whether in view of this, the Government have considered the desirability of taking up the matter of export of pepper at State level?
- Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: The remedy suggested cannot cure the ill that has been disclosed. The price of pepper depends very largely world factors. In the initial days referred to in that particular statement, India had a sort of semimonopoly. Recently, both Indonesia and Sarawak have come into the picture and nothing that a State can do can bolster up the price of pepper unless we can do it by agreement with other countries which, I think, is a matter largely in the realms of remote possibility.
- Shri V. P. Nayar: I find that in the month of August, 1954, while Rs. 99.13 lakhs worth of pepper had been exported from India, Rs. lakhs worth of pepper have sent only to the United States of May I know whether it America. is not a fact that it is due to this monopoly of the United States purchasing Indian pepper that the price of pepper has been subject to such vicissitudes and has affected the growers?
- Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: It might be construed to be a monopoly. If it is, it is a monopoly of consumption and it is perhaps a good thing.

- Shri V. P. Nayar: What steps have Government taken to increase the trade in pepper with other countries to which we are not exporting pepper in sufficiently large quantities and may I also know whether in doing that, the Government have any proposals to do it on State to State basis?
- Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: As regards the latter part of the question, I am afraid, Government have no intention to do so. In regard to the former part of the question, a study of the pepper consumption propensities in each country is a little too big for us to undertake at the present moment.
- Shri A. M. Thomas: It has been repeatedly laid down that the levy of export duty is not primarily a revenue measure. May I know what exactly is the price of pepper now, and whether the growers have been benefited to any extent after the change in the export duty, and is the Government aware that we are not in a position to keep up our competitive position in foreign markets because of the very high export duty?
- Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: question is a very complex one, and if I were to answer one part of it, I should give away the answer for the other part. At present moment, I must say that the Government are fully cognisant of the position it now obtains, and they are also watching the trend of prices. only trouble is, when we examine the position with a view to propose a reduction of export duty, prices go up. Naturally, one section of the Government is concerned in gathering revenue, and the position reduction in duty does not remain stable. If the market it stable even at a lower level, possibly Government will take action. The initial proposition adumbrated by my hon. friend that the Government does not levy export duty for revenue purposes only, still holds good.

#### CLOCK FACTORY

Oral Answers

\*979. Shri Dabhi: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to refer to the reply given to unstarred question No. 885 on the 29th September, 1954 and state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Government have sanctioned a grant Rs. 1,23,000 to the Madhya Bharat Government for the purchase machine and towards cost of installation of a clock-manufacturing factory in addition to the loan of Rs. 15,000 as working capital;
- (b) if so, where this factory will be installed and when it will start functioning: and
- (c) what will be its productive capacity?

Deputy Minister of merce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) The factory will be installed at Indore. The factory is expected to start functioning as soon as necessary machinery and equipment are purchased and erected and start production by April, 1955.
- (c) It is at present estimated that the Centre will make 100 clocks a month of standard type of 10" dial and later step up the production gradually.

Shri Dabhi: What is the amount sanctioned?

Shri Kanungo: Rs. 1,23,000.

Shri Dabhi: May I know whether Government, before sanctioning this aid, have enquired about the price and quality of the clock to be manufactured?

Shri Kanungo: Yes. One hundred clocks will be produced and the cost price of a clock is estimated to be Rs. 66-8-0.

Shri Dabhi: May I take it that with regard to the price and quality, it is favourable as compared to the imported clocks?

Shri Kanungo: That is the expectation.

Shri T. S. A. Chettiar: May I know whether this is a business concern run on business lines and whether Government proposes to give grants to business concerns?

Shri Kanungo: It is not wholly a business concern; it is a pioneering work for clock-making.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know whether this clock industry will be run on cottage industry basis?

Shri Kanungo: It will be; a large number of the components will be produced in different places, and assembly will be done at Centre only.

#### BILASPUR M IR FER

\*982. Shri Gidwani; Will the Minister of Irrigation and Power be pleased to state:

- (a) whether a Committee has been appointed by the Government to decide what area of Bilaspur should merge with the Punjab for the purpose of Bhakra-Nangal Project;
- (b) whether the Committee has submitted its report; and
- (c) if so, what are its recommendations?

The Deputy Minister of Irrigation and Power (Shri Hathi): (a) Yes.

- (b) Yes, Sir.
- (c) As the report is under the conof the sideration Government, it would not be in the public interest to disclose the recommendation of the Committee at this stage.

### टाइपराईटर्स

\*९८३. सेठ गोबिन्द दास: क्या वाजि: ज्य तथा उद्योग मंत्री यह बताने की कपा करेंगे कि भारत में १९५३ में और सितम्बर, १९५४ के अन्त तक देवनागरी की बोर्डों वाले कितने टाइपराईटर्स आयात किये गये थे ?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari): Statistics of imports of typewriters with Devnagari key-boards separately available.

9 DECEMBER 1954

Seth Govind Das: Is the hon. Minister aware that so far, the Devnagari key-board has not been finalised, and on account of this, various difficulexperienced by the ties are being persons who want to take these typewriters?

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: Yes. Sir.

Seth Govind Das: What steps are being taken to finalise these kevboards at an early date?

The Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: hon. Member, I think, would known that at one stage Kakasaheb Kalelkar visited Germany and wanted to arrange for the manufacture of typewriters with a key-board sign which he had approved. Subsequently it was found that that typewriter with this key-board can be manufactured in this country. The particular key-board was subjected to investigation by a Committee and unfortunately the Committee did quite approve of this design. The matter rests at that particular level.

Seth Govind Das: What is being done now? This is a very important thing. Do Government want that these circumstances should continue and that no final key-board pe arrived at?

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: The question of standardisation of the script-the main trouble is in regard to the type of script—is under consideration.

Shri M. L. Dwivedi: May I know whether the recommendations of the Committee which met at Lucknow in connection with the reform of Hindi script have been considered by the Government in this connection?

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: I believe the official Committee that went into this matter did take into account all such views.

Seth Govind Das: The matter under consideration for a very long time. I want to know when it will be over.

Mr. Speaker: I am going to the next question.

#### RADIO ARTISTES

\*985. Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether All India Radio pays remuneration to its staff artistes for their scripts for broadcasts; and
- (b) whether there is any discrimination in payment of remuneration to the staff artistes and the casual script writers for the same kind of work?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Dr. Keskar): (a) and (b). Staff Artistes receive a monthly remuneration which is not based on the number of scripts submitted by them during the month, whereas Casual Artistes are paid a royalty fee for the first and subsequent broadcasts of each script submitted them. The question of discrimination in payment to staff Artistes and Casual Artistes does not, therefore, arise.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: May I know in the case of those artistes who are on a contract basis for casting work and other functions. whether it is in their contract they will be asked to submit to A.I.R. scripts free of any remuneration?

Dr. Keskar: I do not know type of contract he is referring to. because staff artistes are also on contract and casual artistes are also on contract and it is the duration contract that differs.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Whereas the casual artistes who submit their scripts for drama etc., get payment, the other artistes who are broadcasting work and other functions, when they submit any scripts, do not get the same payment. Is it in their contract that they will submit scripts? Or will they be paid for giving scripts?

Dr. Keskar: I have not understood the question.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Is it not a fact that some of the staff artistes of the A.I.R. are being paid for their scripts whereas the casual artistes, who come from outside, are paid at double the rate?

Dr. Keskar: As I said, staff artistes are persons who are paid monthly, and for whatever work they do and whatever scripts they submit in the performance of their duties, they are not paid anything extra while casual artistes are paid for each script and it is not possible therefore to compare both these rates, because they are quite different.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: I want to know....

Mr. Speaker: He may want to know, but he may consult the Minister and get the information. The question itself is not very clear to me.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: But I will make it clear and it is a very important question.

Mr. Speaker: He may consult the Minister concerned.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: But the Minister will not tell me outside.

#### CLOTH

\*986. Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Chinese offer to sell at competitive prices a large quantity of textiles to Ceylon in exchange of rubber will affect the export of Indian cloth to Ceylon; and
- (b) whether Government are aware that the Chinese offer has caused great concern among the handloom industry in this country?

The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) and (b). Government have no information of any such offer.

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: What amount of cloth has been exported by India in the years 1953 and 1954? Out of that, what amount was handloom cloth?

Shri Kanungo: In 1954 (upto August), mill made cloth—17:38 million yards; and handloom cloth—13:31 million yards.

In 1953, mill made cloth—19·29 million yards; and handloom cloth—27·63 million yards.

In 1952, mill made cloth—16:56 million yards; and handloom cloth—26:65 million yards.

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: May I know whether Government is aware of the reports appearing in the papers that China is entering the world market as an exporter of textiles, and if so, how far will that affect the Sino-India agreement about the export of textiles to China?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari): We have seen the reports, but I do not think anything has materialised yet.

MACHINES FOR SMALL SCALE INDUSTRIES

- \*987. Shri Sarangadhar Das: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:
- (a) whether consistent with the policy of Government to develop small village industries, any Expert Committee has been appointed to develop small machines for such industries;
- (b) the names of the experts in the above committee and those of the machines so far developed; and
- (c) whether the manufacture of the accepted machines is being done on a large scale?

The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) to (c). The use of suitable machinery for indigenous industries is a question that is continuously engaging the attention of the Departments of the Government both at the Centre and the States and other Organisations. For small Scale Industries, the Government of India have decided also

to set up four Institutes of Technology which would be particularly concerned with this subject.

Shri Sarangadhar Das: In view of the fact that electric power from these big projects will be available in the rural areas through the grid, may I know if any mechanisation of small industries by providing small machines and small motors is being developed in these institutes? I think for a long time it has been said that "it is receiving attention", but I wish to know if these small motors and machines will be ready when the electric power will be available.

Shri Kanungo: This is exactly the purpose for which the four technical Institutes are being located in the different parts of the country, and they are likely to start their work in the course of this financial year. Certainly, they will apply their mind to this type of invention and find out machinery which will be useful for production in small-scale industries.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: May I know if the Government is also taking into consideration the displacement which may occur due to the introduction of these machines, for example, in the bidi insdustry due to the development of a bidi making machine?

Shri Kanungo: Of course.

## WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS नदी आयोग

\*९३९ भी एस० एन० दास : क्या सचाई तथा विद्युत् मंत्री यह त्ताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या गंगा और ब्रह्मपुत्र तथा उन की सहायक नदियों की बाढ़ों की रोकथाम करने के सम्बन्ध में बनाये गये दो नदी आयोगों की कोई बैठकों हुई थीं, और यदि हां, तो उन्होंने क्या निश्चय किये;
- (स) अभी तक इन आयोगों के लिये कितनी राशि मंजुर की गई है; और
- (ग) उन के मुख्यालय किन-किन स्थानों पर रखे आयेंग?

The Deputy Minister of Irrigation and Power (Shri Hathi): (a) The first meetings of the Ganga River Commission (Floods) and the Brahamputra River Commission (Floods) were held on the 24th and 26th November, 1954, respectively. A statement showing the decisions taken at these meetings will be laid on the Table of the House as soon as the minutes are finalized.

- (b) The two River Commissions are technical advisory bodies and the question of sanctioning funds for them does not arise.
  - (c) At New Delhi.

#### GHANI OIL INDUSTRY

- \*946. Pandit D. N. Tiwary: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to refer to the reply given to starred question No. 1178 on the 22nd March, 1954 and state:
- (a) the causes of decrease in the output of "Ghani oil";
- (b) the number of 'Ghanies' lying idle; and
- (c) the amount of subsidies given to increase the production?

The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) to (c). A statement is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix IV, annexure No. 46.]

#### STEEL EXPERTS

- \*951. Shri Nanadas: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to lay a statement on the Table of the House showing:
- (a) the names of the Consulting Engineers who have been appointed by Government to advise on the expansion of steel plants and industries in India;
- (b) whether they belong to a foreign owned company;
- (c) the remuneration paid to them from the time of their appointment to date;
- (d) the terms of their appointment and matters on which they have been consulted; and

(e) the nature of advice tendered by them?

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Karmarkar): (a) Governments have not appointed any consulting engineers to advise on the expansion of steel plants and industries.

(b) to (e). Do not arise.

# ् इस्पात संयंत्र

\*९५४ं श्री आर॰ एन॰ सिंह : क्या उत्पादन मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि एक नए इस्पात सयंत्र के प्राविधिक (टेक्निकल) पहलुओं का अध्ययन करने के लिये। कतने विशेषज्ञ नियुक्त किये गये हैं और क्या वे सभी भारतीय हैं?

The Minister of Production (Shri K. C. Reddy): Assuming that the hon. Member refers to the appointment of experts in connection with the offer of a steel plant from the Soviet Government, it may be stated that seventeen Indian Experts have so far been selected for studying the technical aspects of the project in collaboration with a team of fourteen Soviet Experts who arrived in India recently.

#### NATIONALISATION OF TRANSPORT

\*957. Shri Bahadur Singh: Will the Minister of Planning be pleased to state whether the Punjab Government have submitted its detailed programme for nationalisation of transport to the Planning Commission?

The Deputy Minister of Planning (Shri S. N. Mishra): No, Sir; not yet.

#### VALUABLE MINERALS

\*958. Dr. Rama Rao: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

- (a) whether eminent Geologists have been deputed to investigate the extent of occurrence of Monazite, Ilmenite and Zircon;
- (b) the basic findings of their investigations;
- (c) whether they occur in sufficient quantities to make mining possible;
   and
- (d) the steps Government are taking to develop these valuable minerals?

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs and Defence (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): (a) Two field parties of the Raw Materials Division of the Department of Atomic Energy under the charge of senior geologists are carrying on survey and prospecting work for estimating the reserves of Monazite, Ilmenite and Zircon in the States of Travancore-Cochin and Andhra.

- (b) and (c). The results of investigations so far made indicate that these minerals occur in workable quantities. The survey work is however still in progress. The exact results of the investigations so far carried out cannot be disclosed in the public interest.
- (d) Three minerals factories situated in the State of Travancore-Cochin are engaged on producing and developing the above minerals.

#### SEA EROSION

- \*959. Shri C. R. Iyyunni: Will the Minister of Irrigation and Power be pleased to state:
- (a) the names of the States which are subject to frequent sea erosions;
   and
- (b) the steps taken by the State or Central Government to prevent the erosions?

The Deputy Minister of Irrigation and Power (Shri Hathi): (a) and (b). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House as soon as possible.

#### COKE OVEN GAS

- \*963. Shri Morarka: Will the Minister of Production be pleased to refer to the reply given to starred question No. 1040 on the 16th September, 1954 and state:
- (a) whether Government have come to any decision on the tenders submitted for producing Urea and Ammonium Nitrate by making use of the by-product coke-oven gases; and
- (b) if so, what are the features of the scheme decided upon by Government?

The Minister of Production (Shri K. C. Reddy): (a) A decision is expected to be taken by the end of this month.

(b) Does not arise.

#### SILK GOODS

\*964. Dr. Satyawad: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to refer to the reply given to starred question No. 825 on the 13th September, 1954 and state whether any decision has been taken by the Government of U.S.A. on the representation for the exemption of Indian silk sarees, laces and scarves from the U.S. Flammable Fabrics Act?

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Karmarkar): Yes, Sir, Indian silk fabrics have not been exempted from the flammability tests provided under the U.S.A. Flammable Fabrics Act.

# कार्क से बनी हुई बस्तुएं

\*९६५. श्री जी० एल० चौघरी: क्या वाजिज्य तथा उद्योग मंत्री निम्नलिखित दातें दर्शाने वाला एक विवरण सभा पटल पर रखने की कृपा करेंगे:

- (क) उन देशों के नाम जंहां से आम-तौर पर कार्क से बनी हुई वस्तुएं आयात की जाती हैं;
- (स) कार्क से त्नी हुई वस्तुओं के सम्बन्ध में देश की वार्षिक आवश्यकता कितनी है; और
- (ग) स्वदेशी उत्पादन में कितने प्रतिशत की पूर्ति होती है ?

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Karmarkar): (a) Cork manufactures are generally imported from the U.S.A., U.K., Italy, Portugal, Spain, Western Germany and Norway.

- (b) The present estimated annual requirements of our country are worth Rs. 35 lakhs approximately.
- (c) Approximately 8.5 per cent of the total requirements are met from indigenous production.

#### EVACUEE PROPERTY

- \*966. Shri Ibrahim: Will the Minister of Rehabilitation be pleased to state:
- (a) the total quantum of Evacueer property pool now available for payment of compensation to displaced persons from West-Pakistan;
- (b) the total number of uneconomic properties of evacuees;
- (c) how these properties are proposed to be disposed of; and
- (d) how many third party claims have been received so far by the Ministry?

The Deputy Minister of Rehabilitation (Shri J. K. Bhonsle): (a) About Rs. 100 crores.

- (b) 40,500.
- (c) By public auctions or by inviting tenders.
- (d) According to Rule 22 of the Administration of Evacuee Property (Central) Rules, 1950, third party claims are to be registered with the Custodians of Evacuee Property and action is being taken by the Custodians accordingly.

DELEGATION OF ENGINEERS TO CHINA

- \*967. Shri L. N. Mishra: Will the Minister of Irrigation and Power be pleased to refer to the reply given to unstarred question No. 688 on the 8th September, 1954 and state:
- (a) whether the Indian Delegation of Engineers who visited China in May-June, 1954 have since submitted any detailed report to Government about the working of the scheme of Public Co-operation for executing river valley projects; and
- (b) if so, the salient features of the said report?

The Deputy Minister of Irrigation and Power (Shri Hathi): (a) and (b). Not yet, Sir. The detailed report will be paid on the Table of the House as soon as it is ready.

LOAN TO DISPLACED PERSONS IN TRIPURA

\*968. Shri Dasaratha Deb: Will the Minister of Rehabilitation be pleased to refer to the reply given to unstarred question No. 868 on the 29th September, 1954 and state:

- (a) the total number of applications for central loan received by Government from the displaced persons of Tripura; and
- (b) the number of cases in which Central loans have been given so far?

The Deputy Minister of Rehabilitation (Shri J. K. Bhonsle): (a) and (b). Altogether Rehabilitation Finance Administration have sanctioned loans in 434 cases from Tripura; 4 cases are pending; and the number in respect of cases which have been turned down is not available.

UNEMPLOYMENT IN MADHYA PRADESH

- \*973. Mulla Abdullabhai: Will the Minister of Planning be pleased to state:
- (a) whether the Government of Madhya Pradesh have formulated a scheme for the eradication of un-employment; and
  - (b) if so, its details?

The Deputy Minister of Planning (Shri S. N. Mishra): (a) and (b). Yes; a statement showing the schemes suggested by the Madhya Pradesh Government for relieving unemployment is placed on the Table of the House. [See Appendix IV, annexure No. 47.]

#### किरुव प्रदेश में सीमेंट का कारकाना

्९७४. श्री बी० डी० शास्त्री : क्या ्राज्य तथा उद्योग मंत्री यह बताने की ा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या विन्ध्य प्रदेश में एक सीमेंट का कारस्थाना स्थापित करने के लिये विल्ध्य प्रदेश की सरकार के परामर्श से कोई निश्चय किया गया ह;

- (स) यदि हां, तो क्या प्रस्ताबित कारखाना सरकार द्वारा वित्त पोषित किया जायेगा अथवा निजी उपक्रम के द्वारा; और
- (ग) आरम्भ में उस पर कितना व्यय किया जायेगा?

The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) No, Sir

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

#### UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA

\*960. Shri S. N. Das: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the trust for the administration of scholarship fund in Malaya for the benefit of students of Indian origin for higher studies in the University of Malaya has been constituted and is functioning; and
- (b) if so, how many scholarships have been granted so far by the trust?

The Deputy Minister of External Affairs (Shri Anil K. Chanda): (a) Yes.

(b) Three.

#### PALM TREES

- \*961. Pandit D. N. Tiwary: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 339 on the 24th February, 1954 and state:
- (a) what part of the country's requirements of sugar can be met from the palm juices according to Government's estimates, if all the palm trees are utilized; and
- (b) the number of palm (khajoor) trees in the country according to the rough estimates prepared by Government?

The Deputy Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): (a) No precise estimate can be made. It is expected that about 1/7th of the demand can be so met.

(b) About 242 lakhs.

9 DECEMBER 1954

#### MUSIC COMPETITION

### \*984. Shri Bahadur Singh: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of artistes who responded to the All India Radio's invitation for participation in the music competition held during the Radio month; and
- (b) the items in which competition was held?

#### The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Dr. Keskar): (a) 1,386.

- (b) The competition was held the following categories of Hindustani and Karnataka Music:
  - (i) Classical Vocal.
  - (ii) Light Classical Vocal.
  - (iii) Light Vocal.
  - (iv) Instrumental-Melodic.
  - (v) Instrumental-Percussion.

#### DOCUMENTARY FILMS AND NEWSREELS

# \*988. Shri T. K. Chaudhuri: Shri L. Jogeswar Singh:

Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Motion Picture exhibitors in South India have decided to suspend screening of "approved" films and not to renew their contracts with the Films Division of the Government of India for the exhibition of Documentary Films and Newsreels:
- (b) whether a similar decision has been taken by film exhibitors in other parts of the country; and
- (c) the reasons or complaints which led film exhibitors in South India to take the above decision?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Dr. Keskar): In view of the controversial issues involved, a comprehensive statement is laid on the Table of the House [See Appendix IV. annexure No. 48.1

## DOCUMENTARY FILMS

- \*990. Dr. J. N. Parekh: Will the. Minister of Information and casting be pleased to state:
- (a) whether approved documentary films are compulsorily given for exhibition;
  - (b) if so, the reasons therefore; and
- (c) the policy of Government in this regard?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Dr. Keskar): Attention is invited to reply to Question No.

## कोसी नदी का नियम्त्रण

\*९९१. श्री एम० एल० दिवेदी: क्या सिंचाई तथा विद्युत मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या कोसी नदी के नियत्रण के लिये स्वीकृत योजना वही है, जिस की सिफारिश अनसंधान प्रयोगशाला, पूना द्वारा की गई थी:
- (स्त) यदि हां, तो उस को कियान्वित करने में कितना घन और समय लगेगा ; और
- (ग) अभी तक कोसी परियोजना को कियान्वित करने के काम में कितनी प्रगति हुई है ?

The Deputy Minister of Irrigation Power (Shrl Hathi): The present accepted scheme was prepared by the Central Water Power Commission and not by the Central Water and Power Research Some design Station, Poona. tures of the scheme are being tested by hydraulic models at the Research Station as is usual with all such schemes.

(b) The estimate has not yet been finalised but the indications are that it may approximate to Rs. 42.5 crores The time required for implementation is six years according to the original project report but it is likely to be shortened by labour through cooperation in the area

(c) A statement giving the requisite information is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix IV, annexure No. 49.]

SYNTHETIC PETROLEUM

Will the Minister of **Production** be pleased to state.

- (a) whether there is a proposal to set up a plant for synthetic petroleum; and
- (b) whether the plan and the estimates of the same have been prepared?

The Minister of Production (Shri K. C. Reddy): (a) Yes.

(b) Not yet, Sir.

### ELECTRICITY

◆993. { Shri Gidwani: Th. Lakshman Singh Charak:

Will the Minister of Irrigation and Power be pleased to state:

- (a) the names of the colonies for displaced persons in Delhi where electricity has not yet been provided;
  - (b) the reasons for the delay; and
- (c) when the work will be complet-

The Deputy Minister of Irrigation and Power (Shri Hathi): A statement is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix IV, annexure No. 50.]

### MUSLIM EVACURE HOUSES

\*994. Sardar Hukam Singh: Will the Minister of Rehabilitation be pleased to state whether any of the Custodians of the various States have given directions for the realisation of rent of Muslim evacuee houses from Displaced Government rervants at the rate of 10 per cent. of their pay?

The Deputy Minister of Rehabilitation (Shri J. K. Bhonsle): Only one such case has come to our notice. STATE INDUSTRIAL UNDERTAKINGS

# •985. Shri S. N. Das: Shri Morarka:

9 DECEMBER 1954

Will the Minister of Production be pleased to refer to the reply to unstarred question No. 241 given on the 3rd September, 1954 and state:

- (a) whether the proposals for the constitution of a Central Authority for the management of State Industrial undertakings have been finalised; and
  - (b) if so, the decision taken thereon?

The Minister of Production (Shri K. C. Reddy): (a) and (b). The matter is still under consideration.

### AMMUNITION

- 647. Shri Hem Raj: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:
- (a) the quantities of (i) Black Gun-Powder (ii) Percussion Caps for Muzzle Loading Guns (iii) Fuse Coils and Lead Shots imported during 1952-53 and 1953-54;
- (b) the quantity produced in the country during the above period; and
- (c) the number and names of the factories engaged in their manufacture, State-wise?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari):
(a) and (b). A statement is attached.
[See Appendix VI, annexure No. 51.]

(c) A list of Gun-powder manufacturers is attached. [See Appendix IV, annexure No. 52.] Information regarding manufacturers of other items is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.

### TEA ESTATES

- 648. Shri Nanadas: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to lay a statement on the Table of the House showing:
- (a) the number of tea estates that were sold by foreign concerns to Indian concerns from 1947 onwards, yearwise;
  - (b) their total acreage; and
  - (c) their location?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari): 'a) to (c). A statement is attached. See Appendix IV, annexure No. 53.]

### TEA INDUSTRY

649. Shri Nanadas: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state the total amount of American rapital invested in tea industry?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari): Rs. 4.54 lakhs as on 30th June, 1948. There has been no participation of any additional American Capital in Tea Industry since that date, according to the information available.

### D. V. C.

- 650. Shri N. B. Chowdhury: Will the Minister of Irrigation and Power be pleased to state:
- (a) the number of persons to be rehabilitated in connection with the construction of the Panchet Hill and Maithon Hill Projects; and
- (b) the present position of rehabilitation work in respect of two projects?

The Deputy Minister of Irrigation and Power (Shri Hathi): (a) Panchet Hill-About 30,000 persons.

Maithon-About 25,000 persons.

(b) At Panchet Hill, as no options have so far been indicated by persons likely to be displaced, scheme for rehabilitation has yet been finalized. In the case of Maithon, 1,500 acres of paddy land have been reclaimed against the requirement of 1768 acres. There has been no demand for replacement of houses.

### SECOND FIVE YEAR PLAN

- 651. Shri N. B. Chowdhury: Will the Minister of Planning be pleased to state:
- (a) whether the Government of West Bengal have submitted its proposals regarding irrigation projects for inclusion in the Second Five Year Plan; and

(b) if so, whether a list of these proposals will be placed on the Table of the House?

The Deputy Minister of Irrigation and Power (Shri Hathi): (a) and (b). The West Bengal Government have sent a list of Schemes for examination by the Technical Committee on irrigation and power projects. The Committee after obtaining project ports and examining them, will recommend which of them should considered in connection with Second Five Year Plan. The more important irrigation schemes, i.e., which cost Rs. 10 lakhs or more, are given in a statement which is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix IV, annexure No. 54.]

# पाम उच्चोग

६५३. श्री एम० एल० विशेवी: न्या बाजिज्य तथा उद्योग मंत्री यह बताने की क्रपाकरेंगे कि :

- (क) विभिन्न राज्यों के किन-किन गांवों में अखिल भारतीय खादी तथा ग्रामी-शोग बोर्ड ने विकास केन्द्रों के कार्यों में (१) नये ढंग से और (२) पुराने ढंग से सहायता पहुंचाई है :
- (स) ग्रामोद्योगों की उन्नति के लिये केन्द्र से सहायता प्राप्त करने के क्या उपाय ₹;
- (ग) देहाती क्षेत्रों में विस्तार-योजनाओं को प्रचलित करने के लिये बोर्ड ने किस प्रकार और कहां कहां पर प्रचार किया; और
- (च) सार्वजनिक घन का ठीक-ठीक व्यय हो, क्या इस की जांच के लिये कोई व्यवस्था है ?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari): (a) and (c). The All- India Khadi and Village Industries Board are carrying on their work for the development of Khadi and Village Industries in a number of villages all over India. It will not be possible to give the

names of all these villages and places or specify the different methods used.

- (b) Application should be made to the All-India Khadi and Village Industries Board.
  - (d) Yes, Sir.

# कोरिया युद्धबन्दी

६५४. भी एम० एल० द्विवेदी : क्या अथान मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि å

- (क) भारत में रहने के लिये मत देने वाले कोरिया-युद्धबन्दियों को कहां पर बसाया जा रहा है;
- (स) सरकार ने उन के लिये कितनी राशि मंजूर की है;
- (ग) उनके लिये मकानों की व्यवस्था में कितनी राशि व्यय की जा रही है;
- (घ) ये लोग किस किस देश के निवासी हैं और कौन सी भाषा बोलते हैं ; और
- (क्र) क्या उन को कोई राष्ट्रीय या अन्तर्राटीय भाषाभी सिस्ताई जायेगी?

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs and Defence (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): (a) The ex-prisoners, who opted for India, are receiving training at the various Training Establishments of the Defence and Rehabilitation Ministries at Secunderabad, Jabalpore, Bangalore and Delhi. They will be rehabilitated after they have finished their training.

- (b) Besides free rations, clothing, medical treatment etc. on a prescribed scale, each prisoner has been sanctioned a pocket allowance of Rs. 50/- per month during the period of his training.
- (c) Accommodation has been provided at the scale laid down for a sepoy, and most of them have been accommodated in Barracks.
- (d) Of the 87 ex-prisoners from Korea now in India, 74 are North Koreans, 2 South Koreans and 11

Chinese. They speak Korean and Chinese and some of them can speak English.

(e) They are being taught Hindi and English.

# पाकिस्तान में पेट्रोल के लाइसैसों का रह किया जाना

६५५. भी एम० एस० द्विवेदी : स्या प्रधान मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि पाकिस्तान में सैंकड़ों गैर-मुस्लिमों के पैट्रोल और मिट्टी के तेल बेचने के लाइसैंस रह कर दिये गय हैं और इस लिये तेल कम्पनियों को अपनी एजेंसियां बन्द कर देनी पडी हैं: और
  - (स्त) यदि सच है तो क्या सरकार ने इस दिशा में कोई कार्यवाही की है?

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs and Defence Jawaharlal Nehru): (a) and (b). The East Bengal Government have cancelled the licences of a large ber of non-Muslims in Pakistan for the sale of kerosene oil and petroleum and consequently the oil companies have been forced to terminate agencies. The matter has been taken up with the Government of East Bengal as well as with the Government of Pakistan.

### VEHICLES

656. Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state the number of Indian-made vehicles, excluding locomotives, placed on the road during the last financial year?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari): Government have no information. However the number of motor vehicles sold in the country during the last financial year was approximately 10,600.

LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME

- 657. Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Minister of Planning be pleased to state:
- (a) the total amount allotted for local development programme to the

Puniab during the first three years of the Plan:

- (b) the categories of subjects on which these sums were spent;
- (c) whether the amounts sanctioned were fully utilised and if not, the amount of such unutilised money;
- (d) on whose recommendation the various development schemes were submitted and approved; and
- (e) the machinery through which the working of the approved schemes is checked up?

The Deputy Minister of Planning (Shri S. N. Mishra): (a) The programme was inaugurated in 1953-54. An allocation of Rs. 8.90 lakhs for that year was made.

- (b) and(c). Schemes relating to water supply, paving of streets, construction of culverts, construction and repairs of Civil and Veterinary Dispensaties, school buildings, village roads etc. were approved but the programme is being implemented only during the current year.
- (d) Last year schemes were approved by the State Government on the recommendations of Deputy Commissioners who, in turn, consulted the local officials and non-officials in the Districts. For the current year schemes are being approved by District Development and Planning Committees consisting of officials and non-officials.
- (e) Through Deputy Commissioners and District Development-cumliaison officers in each District.

### SUPREME COURT BUILDINGS

658. Shri Nageshwar Prasad Sinha: Will the Minister of Works, Housing and Supply be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the plan of the new Supreme Court buildings has been finalised; and
- (b) if so, who prepared the plan and design?

The Minister of Works, Housing and Supply (Sardar Swaran Singh):
(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Shri G. B. Deolalikar, Architect, Central P.W.D.

### KOREAN PRISONERS OF WAR

- 659. Shri T. B. Vittal Rao: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:
- (a) the number of Korean and Chinese Prisoners of War who were originally brought to India by the Custodian Forces;
- (b) how many of them are still in the country;
- (c) where they are located and what steps Government have taken to rehabilitate them; and
- (d) how many of them have since been rehabilitated and in what professions?

The Deputy Minister of External Affairs (Shri Anil K. Chanda): (a) to (d). Of the 88 ex-prisoners of war originally brought from Korea, one has since been repatriated to China. The remaining 87 are still in India and fall in two categories:—

- (i) those who wish to stay in India; and
- (ii) those who wish to be repatriated to other countries. Those who have opted for India are receiving training at the various training establishments of the Defence and Rehabilitation Ministries at Secunderabad, Jabalpore, Bangalore and Delhi. The ex-prisoners under the second category are at present located at Delhi, excepting two, who are unwell and are being treated at the Military Hospitals at Aundh and Lucknow. Arrangements are in hand to ex-prisoners from Delhi to Meerut shortly. Excepting one who has been provided with a part-time job in a Nursery School in Delhi, none of the ex-prisoners has so far been rehabilitated as they have not completed their training.

GROUNDNUT AND GROUNDNUT OIL

- 660. Shri C. R. Chowdary: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:
- (a) the quantity of groundnut and groundnut oil that has been released for export till the end of December, 1954;

- (b) the names of the ports through which these exports are permitted;
- (c) the countries to which these exports are allowed; and
- (d) the restrictions and conditions subject to which these exports are permitted?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari):
(a) About 18,000 tons of hand-picked selected groundnuts and about 54,000 tons of groundnut oil have been released for export during the calendar year 1954. The quotas released are valid for shipment till the end of March, 1955.

- (b) Bombay, Calcutta, Cochin, and ports in Madras and Saurashtra States.
- (c) Groundnut oil is allowed to be exported to all destinations except South Africa. A quota of 8,000 tons for hand-picked selected groundnuts released in March, 1954 was available for export to dollar countries. The remaining quota of 10,000 tons released in November, 1954 can be utilised for export to all destinations, except South Africa.
- (d) Exports of hand-picked selected groundnuts released in 1954 were allowed by established shippers who exported hand-picked selected groundnuts to dollar tries during any one of the calendar years from 1951 to 1953, equal to 50 per cent. of their shipments to dollar countries. The quota released November, 1954 has been distributed amongst shippers on the basis of shipments effected by them to countries during any one of the calendar years 1951 to 1953, equal to 40 per cent. of the shipments made by them to all destinations. Quotas for shippers who exported during and 1950 have not yet been determin-

Groundnut oil is allowed for export by established shippers of groundnut and groundnut oil on the basis of their shipments during any one of the four financial years ending March, 1952. Maximum allotment to

an individual shipper was 400 tons. This has been raised to 600 tons in respect of a quota of 26,000 tons released in December, 1954. Minimum allotment to an individual shipper is five tons.

### EVACURE HOUSES

. 661. Sardar Hukam Singh: Will the Minister of Behabilitation be pleased to state the number of evacuee houses in possession of Government servants in various States at present?

The Deputy Minister of Rehabilitation (Shri J. K. Bhonsle): Information relating to the number of evacuee houses which are in possession of Government Servants in various States, is not readily available. Its collection will involve an amount of labour which would not be commensurate with the result to be achieved.

### KOREAN PRISONERS OF WAR

**662.** Shri S. N. Das: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state whether all the Korean ex-prisoners of war who had opted for Mexico and South Korea have been repatriated?

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs and Defence (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): Of the 88 exprisoners of war originally brought from Korea, one has since been repatriated to China. The remaining 87 are still in India.

### DRIED FRUITS

- 663. Shri Dhusiya: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:
- (a) whether dried fruits are allowed:
   to be imported from Honkong and China;
- (b) what quantity, if any, was allowed in 1952-53 and 1953-54;
  - (c) if not, the reasons therefor; and
- (d) whether Government will consider the desirability of such import in the near future?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari):
(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) A statement is attached. [See Appendix IV, annexure No. 55.]

(c) and (d). Do not arise.

### TRADE WITH INDO-CHINA

- 664. Shri S. N. Das: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:
- (a) whether trade potentials between India and any of the Associated States of Indo-China and possibility of mutual commercial relationship have been studied by Government;
- (b) if so, the important aspects of the question studied and results accruing therefrom;
- (c) whether any formal or informal talks in this regard have been carried on so far; and
- (d) the names of the Indian articles which have any prospect of being exported to those countries?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari):
(a) to (d). A detailed study of the possibilities of increasing trade between India and any of the States of Indo-China has not yet been made. From past statistics, it appears that there is scope for exports of jute manufactures, tobacco, machinery, cordage and rope, rubber manufactures, metals and metal products. An objective study will be possible when conditions stabilise in this region.

### BONE-GRINDING FACTORIES

665. Shri Ganpati Ram: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) the total number of Bone Grinding Factories in India and the location of each, State-wise:
- (b) the comparative figures during the last five years so far as production, consumption, export and import of bone manures are concerned; and
- (c) the steps, if any, taken by Government to develop this industry?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari):
(a) There are 84 bone-grinding fac-

tories in India. A list of these factories showing their location, Statewise, is attached. [See Appendix IV, annexure No. 56.]

(b) Production.—Accurate figures of production are not available. It is estimated that 30,000 to 35,000 tons of bone-meal is produced in India per annum.

Consumption.—The entire bonemeal produced in the country is consumed. The consumption is, therefore, of the order of 30/35,000 tons per annum.

Exports.—The export of bone-meal (i.e., bones crushed to pieces smaller than 3/32") and uncrushed bones is not allowed. Bonegrist and crushed bones of the following specifications are allowed for export by Established shippers and Crushers:—

Bonegrist.—Bone pieces passing through 3/16" mesh holes and resting on 3/32" Maximum length of the pieces not exceeding 2"

Crushed Bones.—Bone pieces passing through 7/8" mesh holes and resting on 3/16" Maximum length of the pieces not exceeding 2"

The figures of export of bones for manurial purposes during the last five years are given below:—

Year Bones for manurial purpos		rial purposes
	Quantity	Value
1950-51	19,920	68,32
1951-52	2,286	8,73
1952-53	259	97
1953-54	942	2,65
1954-55	163	51
April-Sep	tember)	

Imports.—There is no import of bone-meal, raw bones, crushed bones or bone-grist. The internal production is enough to meet the requirements.

(c). The Government have approved the setting up of three new bone mills, the particulars of which given below:-

Name	Location	Capacity Per annum
		tons

- 1. M/s. Raj Traders Ltd., Kotah Jaipur (Rajasthan) 8,220
- M/s. Knit Bone & Glue Wocks, Rajputana.

Rajpura. 2,400

3. M/s. Faridabad Bone Mill.

Fa:idabad. 18,320

Steps have been taken to develop a plant called a Bone Digester on the model of a similar one obtained from Japan. This Digester is meant use in rural areas in order that bones may be steam-coked and crushed into bone-meal, nearer the sources of their availability. State Governments are taking steps to popularise this Digester. The existing undertakings in this line are encouraged to undertake manufacture of glue and gelatine.

### N.E.S. BLOCKS

- 666. Dr. Satyawadi: Will the Minister of Planning be pleased to state:
- (a) the amount allotted during 1954-55 to each Community Project and N.E.S. Blocks in the Punjab, Pepsu and Himachal Pradesh: and
- (b) the amount spent on these Projects so far?

The Deputy Minister of Planning (Shri S. N. Mishra): (a) The allotment of funds for the programme is made for a 3 year period. The phasing of expenditure for each year is made by the State Governments. The provision made in the State Budgets for 1954-55 is not available.

(b) The amount spent in each State upto 30th June, 1954 was as under:-

### Punjab

For four Community Projects, 7 Community Development and 7 N.E.S. Blocks. Rs. 46.85 Lakhs.

### PEPSU

For 1 Community Project, 1 Community Development and 4 N.E.S. Blocks-Rs. 12 82 Lakhs.

### Himachal Pradesh

For 1 Community Project, 2 Community Development and 4 N.E.S. Blocks. Rs. 24.61 Lakhs.

### GRANTS TO TRAVANCORE-COCHIN

- 667. Shri Achuthan: Will the Minister of Commerce and Industry be pleased to state the total amount (grants and loans, separately) given to Travancore-Cochin State in 1953 and 1954 (upto the 30th September) under each of the following heads:-
  - (i) Khadi
  - (ii) Small scale industries, and
  - (iii) handloom?

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari): (i) to (iii). A statement is attached. [See Appendix IV. annexure No. 57.1

### CENTRAL WATER AND POWER COMMISSION

- 668. Shri Randaman Singh: Wul the Minister of Irrigation and Power be pleased to state:
- (a) the value of orders placed till the 31st October, 1954 by the Central Water and Power Commission with the following firms:--
  - (i) Messrs. William Jacks & Co., and
  - (ii) Messrs. Blackwood Hodge & Co.:
- (b) how much of the orders have been executed:
- (c) whether it is a fact that a large number of orders are still pending with the firms; and
  - (d) if so, the reasons therefor?

The Deputy Minister of Irrigation and Power (Shri Hathi): The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House as soop as possible.

# INDEX

# TO LOK SABHA DEBATES-PART I

Vol. VI-No. 18.

CEMBER, 1954 9th

# ABDUCTION-

Question re-

Recovery of abducted women. 1203-04.

# ABDULLABHAI, MULLA-

Question by---

Unemployment in Madhya Pradesh. 1227.

# ACHUTHAN, SHRI--

Question by-

Grants to Travancore-Cochin, 1244. High Power Transmitter. 1206-07.

# AID(S)-

Question re-

Financial --- to Rajasthan. 1197-

See also "Grant(s)".

### AIRCRAFT-

Question re-

Sale of unused ---. 1198-99.

# ALL INDIA KHADI AND VILLAGE INDUSTRIES BOARD-

Question re-

Gram Udyog (ग्राम उद्योग) 1234-35.

### ALL INDIA RADIO-

See "Radio, All India".

# ALWAYE...

Question re-

Monazite. 1186-87.

# AMERICA, UNITED STATES OF-

Question re-

Silk goods. 1225.

# AMMUNITION-

Question re-

Ammunition, 1232,

# ASSAM---

Question re-

Aviation spirit. 1201.

Coal. 1197.

# AUTOMOBILES-

Question re-Vehicles. 1236.

### AVIATION SPIRIT-

Question re-

Aviation spirit. 1201.

# AZAD, SHRI BHAGWAT JHA-

Question by-

Radio artistes. 1218-19.

Sale of unused aircraft. 1198-99.

В

### BAHADUR SINGH, SHRI-

Question by-

Music competition. 1229.

Nationalisation of transport. 1223.

# BHAKRA-NANGAL PROJECT-

Question re-

Bilaspur merger. 1216.

### BIHAR-

Question re-

Coal. 1197.

# BILASPUR-

Question re-

---- merger. 1216.

# BOMBAY-

Question re-

Cashewnuts. 1189-91.

# BONE-GRINDING FACTORIES-

Question re-

Bone-grinding factories. 1241-42

### BONE-MEAL-

Question re-

Bone-grinding factories. 1241--43

BOSE, SHRI P. C. —

Question (Supplementary) by—
Tata Iron and Steel Company
1200.

BRAHMAPUTRA RIVER COMMIS-; SION (FLOODS)—

Question re-

Nadi Ayog. (नहीं आयोग) 1221-22.

# BROADCASTING-

Question re-

Radio artistes. 1218-19

### BUILDINGS-

Question re-

Supreme Court ---. 1237-38

C

CAPITAL, FOREIGN--

Question re-

Tea industry, 1233.

# CASHEWNUTS-

Question re-

Cashewnuts. 1189-91.

# CEMENT FACTORY-

Question re-

Vindhya Pradesh men cement ka karkhana. (बिन्ध्य प्रदेश में सीमें: का कारखाना) 1227-28.

# CENTRAL WATER AND POWER COMMISSION—

Question re-

Central Water and Power Commission. 1244.

Kosi nadi ka niyantran. (कोसी नहीं का नियन्त्रण) 1230-31.

# CEYLON-

Question 76 .

Cloth. 1219-20.

CHAKRAVARTTY, SHRIMATI RENU—

Question (Supplementary) by—
Machines for small scale industries. 1221.

CHARAK, TH. LAKSHMAN SINGH-Question by —

Electricity. 1231. States flood control boards, 1191—1193.

# CHAUDHARY, SHRI G. L .--

Question by--

Cork se bani hui vastuyen. (कार्क से बर्ने: हुई वस्तुएं) 1225.

# CHAUDHRI, SHRI T. K. --

Question by -

Documentary films and newsreels, 1229.

Synthetic petroleum. 1281. Uranium ore prospecting. 1201-02.

### CHETTIAR, SHRI T. S. A .-

Question (Supplementary) by-

Advanced training to €ngineers. 1208.

Clock factory. 1216.

States flood control boards. 1193. Technology ki Pradeshik Sansthayen. (टेजनालजी की प्रावशिक संस्थाये) 1186.

### CHILDREN-

Question re-

Recovery of abducted women. 1203-04.

### CHINA-

Question re-

Delegation of engineers to ——. 1226.

Dried fruits. 1249-41.

# CHLORIDES-

Guestion re-

Monazite. 1186-87.

CHOWDARY, SHRI C. R .-

Question by-

Groundnut and groundnut oil. 1238-40.

CHOWDHURY, SHRI N. B .-

Question by-

D.V.C. 1233.

Second Five Year Plan. 1233-34.

CITIZENSHIP-

Question re-

Korean prisoners of war. 1191.

MANUFACTURING FAC-TORY- (Vincing

Question re-

Clock factory. 1215-16.

CLOTH-

Question re-Cloth. 1219-20.

COAL-

Question re-

Coal. 1197.

COKE OVEN GAS-

Question re-Coke Oven Gas. 1224-25.

COLLIERY (IES) -

Question re-

Coal. 1197.

COMMITTEE(S)-

Question re-

Bilaspur merger. 1216.

Sindri Fertilizer Factory. 1210-12. 

Typewriters (टाइपराईटर्स) 1216-18.

COMMUNITY PROJECTS-

Question re-

N.E.S. Blocks. 1243-44

COMPANY(IES) ---

Question re-

Tata Iron and Steel --- 1199-1200.

Tinplates. 1207-08

COMPENSATION-

Question re-

Evacuee property 1226

CONSUMPTION -

Question re-

(জন) 1196. Oon

CORK PRODUCTS-

Question re-

Cork se bani hui vastuyen

से बनी हुई वस्तुएं) 1225.

COTTAGE INDUSTRY-

Question re-

Gram udyog (ग्राम उद्योग) 1234-51 Machine for small scale industries.

1220-21.

Show rooms in embassies. 1204-86.

D

DABHI, SHRI-

Question by-

Clock factory. 1215-16 Stores Purchase Committee. 1152.

Synthetic petroleum. 1231.

DAMODAR VALLEY CORPORA-TION-

Question re-

D.V.C. 1233.

DAS, SHRI B. K .-

Question (Supplementary) by-States flood control boards. 1182.

DAS, SHRI S. N .-

Question by-

Korean prisoners of war. 1240. Nadi Aayog नदी भ.योग) 122!-22.

State industrial undertaking

1232.

Trade with Indo-China. 1241.

University of Malaya. 1228.

DAS, SHR! SARANGADHAR-

Question by-

Machines for small scale industries. 1220-21.

DAS, SHRI SARANGADHAR—contd.

Question by—contd.

Question by—contd.

Tata Iron and Steel Company.
1199-1200.

Question (Supplementary) by— Show rooms in embassies. 1205 States flood control boards. 1192.

# DASARATHA DEB, SHRI-

Question by-

Loan to displaced persons in Tripura. 1227.

### DELEGATION-

Question re-

--- of engineers to China. 1226.

# DELHI-

Question re-

Electricity. 1231.

High Power Transmitter. 1206-07.

# DEOLALIKAR, SHRI G. B .--

Question re-

Supreme Court buildings. 1237-38.

# DESIGN ENGINEERING-

Question re-

Advanced training to engineers. 1208.

### DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

Question re-

Local development programme. 1236-37.

# DHUSIYA, SHRI-

Question by-

Dried fruits. 1240-41.

### DISPLACED PERSONS -

Question re-

Evacuee property. 1226.

Loan to —— in Tripura. 1227.

# DOCUMENTARY FILMS-

See "Film(s)".

# DWIVEDI, SHRI M. L .-

Question by -

Ford pratishthan dal (sifarisen)

(कौंड प्रतिष्ठान दल सिफारकों) 1208-09.

Gram udyog (माम उपोग) 1234-35. Koria yudhbandi (कोरिया युद्धकर्दः)

1235**-36**.

DWIVEDI, SHRI M. L.—contd. Question by—contd.

Kosi Nadi ka niyantran. (कोसी: नदी का नियन्त्रण) 1230-31.

Pakistan men Petrol ke Licenson ka rud kiya jana. (पाहिस्तान में पेट्रोल के लाइसेंसों का रह हिया जाना) 1236.

Technology ki Pradeshik Sansthayen (टेक्नालाजी की प्रावेशिक संस्थायें) 1185-86.

Question (Supplementary) by-

Typewriters. (टाईपराईटर्स) 1217.

E

### ELECTRICITY-

Question re— Electricity. 1231.

### EMBASSY(IES)-

Question re-

Show rooms in ——. 1204—06.

### ENGINEER(S)-

Question re-

Advanced training to —. 1208. Delegation of — to China. 1226. Steel experts. 1222-23.

### **EROSION**—

Question re-

Sea ----. 1224.

# EVACUEE HOUSE(S)-

Question re-

Evacuee houses. 1240. Muslim ——. 1231.

# EVACUEE PROPERTY-

Question re— Evacuee property. 1226.

### EXPENDITURE-

Question re-

Ford pratishthan dal (sifarise). (फोर्ड प्रतिष्ठान दल सिफारशें) 1208-09.

### EXPENDITURE—contd.

Question re-contd.

High Power Transmitter. 1206-07. Kosi nadi ka niyantran. (कोसी

नदी क (नियन्त्रण) 1230-31.

N. E. S. Blocks. 1243-44.

### EXPERT(S)-

Question re-

Ispat sanyantra. (इस्पात सयंत्र) 1223.

Steel ---. 1222-23.

### EXPERTS, FOREIGN-

Question re-

Steel plant. 1187-89.

### EXPORT(S)-

Question re-

Cloth. 1219-20.

Groundnut and groundnut 1238-40.

Oon. (ऊन) 1196.

Pepper. 1212-14.

F

# FACTORY(IES)-

Question re-

Bone-grinding --- 1241-43. Clock ----. 1215-16.

### FERTILISER-

Question re-

Sindri --- Factory. 1210-12.

# FILM(S)-

Question re-

Documentary — 1230.

Documentary — and newsreeis. 1229.

### FIRMS. FOREIGN-

Question re-

Sale of unused aircraft. 1198-99.

# FIVE YEAR PLAN, SECOND-

Question re-

Financial aid to Rajasthan. 1197-

Second Five Year Plan. 1233-34.

### FLOOD(S)-

Question re-

Aviation spirit. 1201.

control. 1194.

Nadi Ayog.नदी द्वायोग) 1221-22.

States --- control boards. 1191-93.

# FORD FOUNDATION TEAM-

Question re-

Ford pratishthan dal (sifarisen).

(फोर्ड प्रतिष्ठान दल सिफारशें) 1208-09.

# FOREIGN COUNTRY(IES)-

Question re-

Cork se bani hui vastuyen. ( कार्क से बनी हुई वस्तुएं) 1225.

Show rooms in embassies. 1204-06.

# FRUITS, DRIED-

Question re-

Dried fruits. 1240-41.

G

#### COMMISSION RIVER GANGA (FLOODS)-

Question re-Nadi Ayog. (नर्द: द्यायोंग) 1221-22.

# GANPATI RAM, SHRI---

Question by-

Bone-grinding factories, 1241-43.

# GERMANY-

Question re-

Tata Iron and Steel Company. 1199-1200.

### CHANI OIL-

Question re-

--- industry. 1222.

# GIDWANI, SHRI-

Question by-

Bilaspur merger. 1216.

Electricity. 1231.

Slum clearance. 1194-95.

Question (Supplementary) by-Stores Purchase Committee. 1193.

# GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES-

Question re-

Evacuee houses. 1240.

# GOVIND DAS, SETH-

Question by-

Oon. (ऊन) 1196.

Typewriters. (टाईप राईटर्स)

1216-18.

Question (Supplementary) by— Steel plant, 1188.

### GRANT(S)-

Question re-

Clock factory. 1215-16.

to Travancore-Cochin. 1244.

### GROUNDNUT-

Question re-

--- and --- oil. 1238-40.

# GURUPADASWAMY, SHRI M. S .-

Question by-

Advanced training to engineers. 1208.

н

### HANDICRAFTS-

Question re-

Show rooms in embassies.. 1204—06.

### HANDLOOM-

Question re-

Grants to Travancore-Cochin. 1244.

# HANDLOOM CLOTH-

Question re-

Cloth. 1219-20.

### HEM RAJ, SHRI -

Question by-

Ammunition, 1232.

### HINDI--

Question re-

Typewriters (टाईप राईटर्स) 1216—18.

### HONGKONG---

Question re-

Dried fruits. 1240-41.

### HOUSE(S)-

Question re-

Evacuee — 1240. Muslim evacuee — 1231.

# HUKAM SINGH, SARDAR--

Question by-

Evacuee houses. 1240.

Monazite. 1186-87.

Muslim evacuee houses. 1231. Sindri Fertilizer Factory. 1210.

Question (Supplementary) by— Sindri Fertilizer Factory. 1210-11.

 $\mathbf{L}$ 

# IBRAHIM, SHRI-

Question by-

Evacuee property. 1226.

### ILMENITE-

Question re-

Valuable minerals. 1223-24.

# IMPORT(S)-

Question re—

Ammunition. 1232.

Cashewnuts. 1189—91.

Cork se bani hui vastuyen. (क. के से बर्ना: हई वस्त्राएं) 1225.

Dried fruits. 1240-41.

# INDIAN(S)-

Question re-

Pakistan men Petrol ke Licenson ka rud kiya jana. (पानिस्तान में

पेट्रोल के लाइसेंसीं का रद्द दिया जाना) 1236.

### INDIAN MINING ASSOCIATION-

Question re-

Coal. 1197.

# INDIAN RARE EARTHS AND MONA-ZITE PLANT LIMITED—

Question re-

Monazite. 1186-87.

# INDO-CHINA-

Question re-

Trade with — . 1241.

### INDUSTRY(IES)-

Question re-

Cashewnuts. 1189-91.

Ghani oil industry. 1222.

Tea --- 1233.

Technology ki Pradeshik Sanstha-

टेक्नालाजें क्ते. प्रावेशि रु संस्यायें) 1185-86.

### INSTITUTE(S)-

Question re-

Machines for Small Scale Industries. 1220-21.

Technology ki Pradeshik Sanstha-(टक्नालाजें की प्रादेशित

संस्थायें) 1185-86

### IRON AND STEEL— Question re-

Ispat sanyantra. (हस्पा: संयंत्र)

Steel experts. 1222-23.

### IRRIGATION-

Question re-

Second Five Year Plan. 1233-34.

# IYYUNNI, SHRI C. R .--

Question by-

1224. Sea erosion.

Ι.

### JAIPAL SINGH, SHRI--

Question (Supplementary) by-Tinplates, 1207. Uranium ore prospecting. 1202.

ĸ

# KAMAL SINGH, SHRI-

Question by-

Tinplates. 1207-08.

# KARNI SINGHJI, SHRI-

Question by-Financial aid to Rajasthan, 1197-

# KERALA-

Question re-High Power Transmitter. 1206-07.

# KESHAVAIENGAR, SHRI-

Question (Supplementary) by-Slum clearance. 1195.

'KHADI'---

Question re-

Grants to Travancore-Cochin. 1244.

# KOREAN WAR PRISONER(S)

Question re-

Korean Prisoners of War. 1191. 1238, 1240,

Korea yudhbandi. (कोरिया प्रा-ਕ-ਵੰ) 1235-36.

# KOSI CONTROL SCHEME---

Question re-

Kosi Nadi ka niyantran. ( कोसी नदी का नियन्त्रण) 1230-31.

# KRUPP-DEMAG STEEL PROJECT-

Question re-

Tata Iron and Steel Company. 1199-1200.

L

# LICENCE(S)-

Question re-

Pakistan men Petrol ke Licenson ka rud kiya jana. (पाहिस्तान पेट्रोल के लाइसेंसों रष्ट किया जाना) 1236.

# LOAN(S)-

Question -re-

Ford Pratishthan dal (sifarisen). (फोर्ड प्रतिष्ठान दल सिफारशें) <sup>1208-09.</sup>

- to displaced persons in Tripura. 1227. Slum clearance. 1194-95.

#### PRO-LOCAL DEVELOPMENT GRAMME-

Question re-

Local development programme. 1236-37.

### M

# MACHINERY-

Question re-

Machines for small scale industries. 1220-21.

# MADHYA BHARAT-

Question re-

Clock factory. 1215-16.

### MADHYA PRADESH-

Question re-

Unemployment in ---. 1227.

# MAITHON HILL PROJECT-

Question re-

D.V.C. 1233.

# MALAYA UNIVERSITY-

Question re-

University of Malaya. 1228.

### MANUFACTURE-

Question re-

Ammunition. 1232.

Typewriters. (टाइपराईटर्स) 1216—18.

### MINERALS-

Question re-

Valuable ----. 1223-24.

### MISHRA, SHRI BIBHUTI-

Question by-

Flood control, 1194.

Synthetic petroleum. 1231.

# MISHRA, SHRI L. N.-

Question by-

Delegation of engineers to China. 1226.

# MONAZITE-

Question re-

Monazite. 1186-87.

Valuable minerals. 1223-24.

### MORARKA, SHRI-

Question by-

Coke oven gas. 1224-25.

State industrial undertakings.

1232.

### MOTOR VEHICLES-

See 'Automobiles'.

### MUSIC COMPETITION-

Question re-

Music competition. 1229.

### MUSLIMS-

Question re-

---- evacuee houses. 1231.

### N

# NANADAS, SHRI—

Question by-

Steel experts. 1222-23.

Tea estates: 1232-33.

Tea industry. 1233.

# NATIONAL EXTENSION SERVICE

BLOCKS-

Question re—

N.E.S. Blocks. 1243-44.

# NATIONALIZATION ---

Question re-

--- of transport. 1223.

## NAYAR, SHRI V. P .--

Question by-

Cashewnuts. 1189—91.

Pepper. 1212-14.

# NEPAL-

Question re-

Flood control. 1194.

# NEWSREELS-

Question re-

Documentary films and ---. 1229-

### 0

### OIL(S)-

Question re-

Ghani — industry. 1222.

Groundnut and Groundnut — 1238—40.

### ORISSA-

Question re-

States flood control boards.

1191-93

Steel plant. 1187—89.

P

### PAKISTAN-

Question re-

Pakistan men Petrol ke Licenson ka rud kiya jana. (पाकिस्तान में पेटोल के लाइसेंसों का रह किया जाना 1236.

Recovery of abducted women. 1203-04.

### PALM TREES-

Question re-Palm trees. 1228.

# PANAGARH AERODROME-

Question re-

Sale of unused aircraft. 1198-99.

### PANCHET HILL PROJECT-

Question re-D.V.C. 1233.

# PAREKH, DR. J. N .-

Question by-

Documentary films. 1230

# PEPPER—

Question re-

Pepper. 1212-14.

# PETROLEUM-

Question re-

Pakistan men Petrol ke Licenson ka rud kiya jana. (पाकिस्तान में पेटोल के लाइसेंसों का रद्द किया जाना) 1236. Synthetic ---. 1231.

# PLANNING COMMISSION-

Question re-

Financial aid to Rajasthan, 1197-98. Nationalisation of transport. 1223.

### PRICE(S)-

Question re-

Coal. 1197.

PRISONERS OF WAR-See "Korean war Prisoner(s)".

### PRODUCTION-

Question re-

Bone-grinding factories. 1241-43.

Pon. (33平) 1196.

Pepper. 1212-14. Tinplates. 1207-08.

### PUNJAB---

Question re-

Bilaspur merger. 1216.

Local Development Programme. 1236-37.

Nationalization of transport. 1223.

### R

# RADIO, ALL INDIA-

Question re-

Music competition. 1229.

Radio artistes. 1218-19.

# RADIO ARTISTES-

Question re-

Music competition. 1229.

Radio artistes. 1218-19.

### RAJASTHAN-

### Question re-

Financial aid to ---. 1197-98.

# RAM SUBHAG SINGH, DR .--

Question by-

Synthetic petroleum. 1231.

Vehicles. 1236.

Question (Supplementary) by-Monazite. 1187.

### RANDAMAN SINGH, SHRI-

Question by-

Central Water and Power Commissien. 1244.

### RAO, DR. RAMA-

Question by-

Valuable minerals. 1223-24.

# RAO, SHRI T. B. VITTAL--

Question by-

Coal. 1197.

Korean prisoners of war. 1238.

Question (Supplementary) by-Stores Purchase Committee. 1238.

### RAW MATERIALS-

Question re-

Sindri Fertilizer Factory. 1210.

# RECOMMENDATION(S)-

Question re-

Bilaspur merger. 1216. Ford Pratishthan dal (sifarisen). (फोंडें प्रतिष्ठान दल सिफारकों) 1208-09.

Stores Purchage Committee. 1193.

### REHABILITATION-

Question re-

D.V.C. 1233.

# REHABILITATION FINANCE ADMI-NISTRATION—

Question re-

Loan to displaced persons in Tripura. 1227.

### REMUNERATION-

Question re-

Radio artistes. 1218-19.

### RENT-

Question re-

Muslim evacuee houses. 1231.

### REPATRIATION-

Question re-

Kor prisoners of war. 1191, 1230, 1240.

# REPORT(S)-

Question re-

Sindri Fertilizer Factory. 1210-12. States flood control boards. 1191—93.

Stores Purchase Committee. 1193

# RESEARCH---

Question re-

Technology ki Pradeshik Sansthayen. (टेक्नालाजी की प्रावेशिक संस्थायें) 1185-86.

# RIVER COMMISSIONS-

Question re-

Nadi ayog. (नदी मायोग) 1221-22.

٥

### SALE-

Question re-

— of unused aircraft. 1198-99 Tea estates. 1232-33. Vehicles. 1236.

# SAMANTA, SHRI S. C .--

Question by-

Show rooms in embassies. 1204-06.

Question (Supplementary) by—
Clock factory. 1216.

High Power Transmitter. 1206-07.

### SATYAWADI, DR.—

Question by-

N.E.S. Blocks. 1243-44.

Silk goods. 1225.

# SCHEME(S)-

Coke Oven Gas. 1224-25. Kosi nadi ka niyantran.(कॉसी नदी

का नियन्त्रण) 1230-31.

Slum clearance, 1194-95.
Unemployment in Madhya Pradesh, 1227.

# SCHOLARSHIP(S)-

Question re-

University of Malaya. 1228.

# SCRIPT(S)

Question re-

Radio artistes, 1219-19.

# SEA EROSION-

Question re-

Sea erosion. 1224.

# SHARMA, SHRI D. C.-

Question by-

Korean prisoners of war. 1191. Local Development Frogramme 1236-37.

# SHASTRI, SHRI B. D .-

Question by-

Vindhya Pradesh men Cement ka karkhana. (विन्ध्य प्रदेश में सीमेंट का

**बारखाना)** 1227-28.

SILK GOODS-

Question re-

Silk goods. 1225.

# SINDRI FERTILIZER FACTORY-

Question re-

Sindri Fertilizer Factory. 1210-12.

# SINGH, SHRI L. JOGESWAR-

Question by-

Documentary films and newsreels, 1229.

Recovery of abducted women. 1203-04.

# SINGH, SHRI R. N .-

Question by-

Ispat sanyantra (इस्पात संयत्र )

# SINHA, SHRI NAGESHWAR PRA-SAD-

Question by— Supreme Court buildings. 1237-38.

### SINHA, SHRIMATI TARKESHWARI-

Question by-

Aviation spirit. 1201. Cloth. 1219-20.

### SLUM CLEARANCE-

Question re— Slum clearance. 1194-95.

# SMALL SCALE INDUSTRIES--

Question re-

Grants to Travancore-Cochin. 1214 Machines for —............. 1220-21.

### SODHIA, SHRI K. C. -

Question by-

Sindri Fertilizer Factory. 1210-12.

# SPICES ENQUIRY COMMITTEE-

Question re-

Pepper. 1212—14.

### STAFF ARTISTES--

Question re-

Radio artistes, 1218-19.

# STANDARDISATION-

Question re-

Typewriters angelfif 1216-18.

### STATE(S)-

Question re-

Ammunition. 1232
N.E.S. Blocks. 1243-44
—— flood control boards, 1191-93.
Valuable minerals. 1223-24.

# STATE INDUSTRIAL UNDERTAK-INGS--

Question re-

State industrial undertakings, 1232

### STEEL PLANTS-

Question re-

Ispat sanyantra. (इस्पात संयत्र).

Steel experts. 1222-23. Steel plant. 1187-89.

# STORES PURCHASE COMMITTEE— See "Committee(s)"

# STUDENTS-

Question re-

University of Malaya. 1228.

### SUBSIDY-

Question re— Slum clearance. 1134-95.

### SUGAR-

Palm trees. 1228.

# SULPHUR CUM CEMENT FACTORY Question re—

Financial aid to Rajasthan. 1197-98.

# SUPREME COURT BUILDINGS-

Question re-

Supreme Court buildings. 1237-38.

### SURVEY-

Question re-

Flood control. 1194.

# SYNETHETIC PETROLEUM-

Question re---

Synthetic petroleum. 1231.

Т

# TARIFF COMMISSION-

Question re-

Tinplates. 1207-08.

# TATA IRON AND STEEL COMPANY, MESSRS.—

Question re-

Tata Iron and Steel Company. 1199-1200.

### TEA-

Question re-

--- industry. 1233.

TEA GARDENS-

Question re-

Tea estates. 1232-33.

### TECHNOLOGY-

Question re-

Technology ki Pradeshik Sansthayen. (टेक्नालाजी की प्रावेशिक संस्थायें) 1185-86.

### TENDER(S)-

Question re—

Coke Oven Gas. 1224-25.

#### TEXTILES-

Question re— Cloth. 1219-20.

THOMAS, SHRI A. M.-

Question (Supplementary) by— Pepper. 1214.

### TINPLATES-

Question re— Tinplates. 1207-08.

TIWARY, PANDIT D. N .-

Question by—
Ghani oil industry. 1222
Palm trees. 1228.
Synthetic petroleum. 1231.

#### TRADE-

Question re—
— with Indo-China. 1241.

# TRAINING-

Question re-

Advanced — to engineers. 1208. Uranium ore prospecting. 1201-02.

### TRANSMITTER-

Question re-

High Power ---. 1206-07.

### TRANSPORT-

Question re-

Aviation spirit. 1201.
Nationalisation of —. 1223.

# TRAVANCORE-COCHIN-

Question re-

Grants to ---. 1244.

### TRIPURA---

Question re-

Loan to displaced persons in ——, 1227.

# TYPEWRITERS-

Question re-

Typewriters. (टाइपराईटर्स) 1216—18.

U

# U. S. FLAMMABLE FABRICS ACT-

Question re—

Silk goods. 1225.

# UNEMPLOYMENT-

Question re—

--- in Madhya Pradesh. 1227.

# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA-

See "America, United States of".

# UNIVERSITY-

--- of Malaya. 1228.

# UPADHYAY, PANDIT MUNISHWAR DUTT-

Question by-

Steel plant. 1187—89.

### URANIUM ORE-

Question re-

— prospecting. 1201-02.

V

# VELAYUDHAN, SHRI-

Question (Supplementary) by—
Tata Iron and Steel Company.
1200.

# VINDHYA PRADESH-

Question re-

Vindhya Pradesh men cement ka karkhana. (बिन्ह्य प्रदेश में सीमेंट का कारजाना 1227-28.

W

# WEST BENGAL-

Question re-

Aviation spirit. 1201.

Second Five Year Plan. 1233-34 States flood control boards, 1191-93.

258

# INDEX TO DEBATES, PART I, 9TH DECEMBER, 1954

)MEN—	WOOL-
Recovery of abducted ——. 1203-	Question re— Oon. (कन) 1196.

# (Part II-Proceedings other than Questions and Answers)

227

### LOK SABHA

Thursday, 9th December, 1954

The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock.

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair].

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

(See Part I)

12 Noon

MOTION FOR ADJOURNMENT

VIOLATION OF INDIAN TERRITORY BY ARMED PORTUGUESE SOLDIERS

Mr. Speaker: I have received notice of an adjournment motion by Dr. N. B. Khare on the violation of Indian territory by armed Portuguese soldiers crossing into the Indian territory near the village called Ain Naka in Belgaum District of the Bombay State and kidnapping an Indian villager named Lusso Narayan Gavas.

The Ministry has given me a note which unfortunately I got a bit late. I shall read the note received from the Ministry. That would clarify facts.

"According to a wireless message received by the District Magistrate, Belgaum, on 8th December from Ratnagiri, our outpost at Banda, near village Ain Naka, has reported an incident on the Goa border. The report is still under investigation. Ain Naka is not easily accessible. Twelve miles have to be covered on foot before the outpost can be reached.

There is a press report about this incident at Ain Naka. This report states that armed Portuguese soldiers crossed into Indian territory near Ain Naka cn the 2nd December afternoon and kidnapped an Indian villager. The Portuguese soldier later released the villager who came back to Ain Naka with one of his teeth knocked out.

Late last evening, another incident which took place at the Daman frontier was reported by telephone by our Liaison Officer in Bombay. According to this report, which is also under investigation, a European Portuguese soldier crossed into Indian territory at Police Chowki No. 13 with an automatic weapon 24 rounds of ammunition. was challenged by our police and was captured.

The press report about incident in Ain Naka on the 2nd December refers to earlier cases of border violations. We - have information about one such case. On 18th August, two European officials Portuguese Indian territory between customs posts Nos. 55 and 56 near Naka. They were challenged by a customs sepoy. After firing three shots in Indian territory, the Portuguese officials went back to Goa. No one was injured by this firing.

In respect of this earlier cident at Ain Naka, a strong protest was lodged with the Portuguese Legation on the 2nd September. The Legation informed that suitable measures

550 LS

[Mr. Speaker]

will be taken if there are any further violations. The Legation has not yet replied to the protest note. There was a question about this incident in Parliament on the 20th September. The facts stated above were given in reply to this question."

On these facts, I think it requires some further elucidation before we can decide about this motion. The place, as stated, is not easily accessible. Perhaps information may not be available. The only thing that can be done at this stage is, it may be held over. In the meanwhile Government may call for information and give it to the House.

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs and Defence (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): That is, any additional information that may be received.

Mr. Speaker: Yes. At present, this is postponed. I do not fix any date for this because I do not know when the Government will be in a position to give the information: in any case, before the present session ends.

### INDIAN TARIFF (THIRD AMEND-MENT) BILL

The Minister of Commerce and Industry (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari): I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill further to amend the Indian Tariff Act, 1934.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill further to amend the Indian Tariff Act, 1934."

The motion was adopted.

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: I introduce\* the Bill

### HINDU MINORITY AND GUAR-DIANSHIP BILL—concid.

Mr. Speaker: The House will now resume further discussion on the motion for concurrence in the recommendation of the Rajya Sabha for reference of the Hindu Minority and Guardianship Bill, 1953, to a Joint Committee. Of the five hours allotted for the discussion of the motion, two hours and five minutes have already been availed of yesterday and 2 hours and 55 minutes now remain. This would mean that the motion shall be put to the vote of the House at about 3 P.M.

Thereafter, the House shall take up the Preventive Detention (Amendment) Bill, 1954 for which 15 hours have been allotted.

We will proceed to take up that motion. I do not think that I need read out that motion. Shri D. C. Sharma, who was on his legs yesterday continue his speech.

Shri D. C. Sharma (Hoshiarpur): Yesterday, I said that the definition of the term "Hindu" has been made as comprehensive as possible and that no harm would be done to the minors by restricting the guardians only to three categories.

A point was made yesterday that something wrong was being done in changing the Hindu Law at this time and that the Hindu law was being played with in a spirit which is not very proper and right. I must say that Hindu Law has been something dynamic and it has always been responsive to the changes which have demanded of it by the been social circumstances and rew social situations. If we read the report Hindu Law Committee of the published in 1941, on page 11, find that a very cogent case has been made for a change in the Hindu law. It has been said that when author of the Mitakshara wanted to change the law in respect of the right

<sup>\*</sup>Introduced with the recommendation of the President.

of the widow to inherit the property of her son-less husband, he started with the texts of Yajnyavalkya which were favourable to the change. Then, he took up the texts of Manu which were not favourable. Therefore, he by-passed Manu and tried to sttand by Yajnyavalkya. In the same way, when he came to other points, he made use of those sources which were favourable to his point of view. As it has been said, the ancient lawgivers were making a iudicious selection of the texts to suit their needs. Such Hindu law-givers not available. It is necessary that we should meet the social challenge of the times by amending the laws which have become obsolete and outmoded.

The main point in this law is the welfare of the minor and I 1hink the welfare of the minor has described in a very efficient manner thus.

"A guardian of the property of a ward is bound to deal therewith as carefully as a man of ordinary prudence would with it if it were his own and, subject to the provisions of this Chapter, he may do all acts which are reasonable and proper the realisation, protection or benefit of the property."

On going through this Bill, one finds that several precautions have been taken and every safeguard has put in to see that the tection of the minor should be the only consideration and that the property should not be wasted or played with in any case.

[MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair].

I would say that there are certain changes which have to be made in this Bill. I would like to suggest these changes.

In the first place I would say that in clause 5(a) the word 'mother' should be further defined so as

make it clear that the term should include a step-mother or divorced mother or a mother has married again. This is for the obvious reason that when a mother has done anything of that kind, her interest does not remain of the same intensity in her former children.

Again, in the proviso to clause 5 it has been said that a man should not be made a natural guardian "if he has completely and finally nounced the world by becoming hermit or an ascetic or a rerpetual religious student". I would say that if a man has become socially desirable he should not be permitted to be a natural guardian. A man may be a habitual drunkard or may have developed some other undesirable traits of character. All such persons should be debarred from becoming natural guardians of children.

At the same time I would say this. In clause 7 it is said that mortgage or charge, or transfer by sale, gift, exchange or otherwise of any of the immovable property of minor should not be done without the permission of the Court. We are living in times when business is done at a very quick tempo, and I would say that this clause requires to amended, because sometimes it may be in the interests of the minor effect the sale of the property at very short notice. If one wants have recourse to a court of law may take a very long time.

Coming to sub-clause (5) of clause 7 I would like to say that it become the habit of the Government to give references to Acts but to quote the relevant sections of those Acts. For instance, in sub-clause (3) of clause 7 the Guardians and Wards Act (VIII of 1890) has been mentioned. I would say that this piece of legislation is not only meant Members of Parliament and lawyers but also meant for the common man. Whenever a reference is made to anv other Acts, those Acts should be

[Shri D. C. Sharma]

quoted in full or in extenso, so that anybody who wants to read the provisions of the Acts referred to should be able to grasp the full significance of the provisions by looking at Act.

Coming to clause 9, it has heen said-

"Provided that nothing in this section shall be deemed to authorise any person to act as guardian of the person of the minor for so long the mother alive etc."

I believe that a very valuable point was made by Shrimati Jayashri when she said that the powers of the mother should be extended under this clause. For instance it has been said that a mother can be the guardian of a child up to the age of three. She said that the mother should be the guardian of a girl till she is fourteen and of a boy till he is seven. I believe that this also is not the right course to follow. A mother should put on a par with the father, because I believe that mothers look after their children in as proper a way as the fathers do. I think there may be many Members in this House who will hold with me when I say that mothers are not to be discriminated in any sense of the word-in this Bill anywhere else. Women have orshown their capacity not only for looking after the physical and mental welfare of the children, but have also shown their capacity for managing the property. I would therefore say that there should be no discrimination made against mothers in this Bill.

One minute more and I have finished. It has been said in clause (3) of clause 9 that "subject to the provisions of this Act, a Hindu widow may, by will, appoint guardian for any of her minor children respect of the person of minor". I think this provision shou d be made subject to certain other conditions so that it is not in any way used wrongly against the minors.

In the end I would say that it is a very simple measure and it makes an attempt to bring our Hindu Law in conformity with the changed spirit & our times and that it does not make any serious departure from those conditions which are prevailing present; most of the provisions in this Bill are those which have accepted more or less in our country already. I would therefore commend this Bill for the whole-hearted support of the House.

Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani (New Delhi): I rise to support this generally because this is an attempt to codify the law pertaining to guardianship and minority, and I believe a codified law is better than an uncodified law. But I have some general criticisms to offer for the manner in which this Bill has been brought and also I shall give at a later stage my views regarding the details of clauses.

From the opinions circulated to us we find that there have been general criticisms which are of considerable force. One is that we are attempting to pass the Hindu Code by driblets, in a piecemeal fashion. This Bill is a part of the Hindu Code Bill. If we really want to improve the law of the land, we should bring a comprehensive integrated Hindu Code covering all aspects of our national life and our personal law, and that should be passed. This kind of piecemeal legislation does not give us an opportunity to study the different aspects of the law in a proper fashion. I am sure some loose ends will be left after the passing of the various laws-Marriage and Divorce, Minority and Guardianship. Succession and othersand at a later stage amendments will have to be brought in to integrate them. That is very necessary because each law will affect the other law.

For instance, the Marriage Law will affect the Law of Succession.

It is a great pity that the Congress Party having such a tremendous majority did not think it fit to pass the integrated Hindu Code. I was a Member of the Parliament at that time and I saw the manner in which the Hindu Code Bill was scuttled in this House. I am surprised when I see that when the Government wants to pass the motion every year to extend the Preventive Detention Act, it is passed by an overwhelming majority. Similarly the Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Bill with all its various obnoxious features was passed in spite of the fact that many Congress Members opposed it. when it comes to the Hindu that cannot be passed, that must be brought in this defective, back-door manner. This aspect of the manner in which this Bill has been brought, I fail to grasp. I feel that in this matter the Congress Party is not acing in a courageous manner.

Shri S. S. More (Sholapur): There is a fifth column of Mr. Chatterjee inside the Congress.

Shrimati Sucheta Kripajani: I think Mr. Chatterjee has many followers in the Congress.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee (Hooghly): I have a few supporters.

Shri S. S. More: He has a larger following there than here.

Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani: You want to bring about social reform. But you hesitate, halt and do not go to the logical end. That is why we have this defective Bill. But whatever it is, I welcome it because even a halting step is a step forward. Then there has been another criticism which requires to be considered. Why do we not have one civil code for all the people of India if we want reform? We believe that religion a personal and individual Our social life should be governed under one law. Therefore, we should

have one Civil Code governing lives of all citizens of India. Here too, we want to do a thing, but we have not got the courage to go far enough. One criticism is that such communal legislation is against our Constitution. It is against one of the Directive Principles of the State.

When we are bringing such a faltering measure, I do not understand why we do not bring some amendments to the Guardians and Wards Act instead of bringing a new Bill. Certain amendments could have been introduced and some new principles could have been added. Therefore, though I welcome the Bill, I am very conscious of the defects and very conscious of the weaknesses of the Congress Party in bringing this halting Bill.

I would now like to go into details. The principles embodied in the Bill are generally acceptable to me, but there are many defects in the Bill. I do hope that the Joint Select Committee will bring in considerable amendments.

As the time is limited, I shall only touch upon a few important points that appeal to me.

The first point is that the age of a minor in this Bill and other Bills is different. In some Acts the age of majority stands at 18 and in some other Acts the age of majority is 21. I do not see why the age of majority is not 21 under all the different Acts. That would simplify the laws and make it easy for people.

Then in clause 2 we have defined the people to whom this Act would apply. I think this definition is very cumbersome...

Mr. Deduty-Speaker: If the average age of majority is 23 and a man is at the age of 21, it can well be extended.

Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani: Even in this Bill uunder certain circumsstances he does not become a major [Shrımati Sucheta Kripalani] till 21 and in other circumstances he becomes a major at 18.

In clause 2, we have defined the people to whom the Act would apply. I consider that this definition is very cumbersome. I would suggest that we should define it in this way, that "except for the communities mentioned, all persons domiciled in India may be governed by this Act". That would simplify the wording of this clause.

One favourable aspect of this Bill is that under this Bill the women's position has been improved as a guardian. In this Bill an attempt has been made to give the mother and father the same status and put them on an equal footing. Clauses 5 and 9 give women the right of guardianship as well as the right to appoint a guardian under will. In clause 5, woman has been given certain preferences. For instance, women would be given the custody of a child under years of age. For an illegitimate child, the first guardian is the mother and the second guardian is father. But I think there is scope for improvement. I do not think that the age of a child should be three whose custody should be the mother. A mother can look after a small child much better than father. Therefore, I would suggest that the age of the child should raised from three to ten for the custody of the mother.

Then in clause 9, a mother has been given the right to appoint a guardian by will for the person of child and not for the property. I do not at all understand why this tinction has been made. Perhaps the argument that would be put forward would be that women in India not know how to manage property; they have not sufficient knowledge: therefore they should not have right to appoint guardians for of the child. Here, the woman is not going to manage the property. She is merely going to appoint a guardian for the managoment of the property. The woman knows best who would look after the best interests of the child. She would be in a position to find amongst her friends and relations the person who will really look after the child. Therefore, it is quite improper not to give the woman the right to appoint a guardian for managing the property of the child. I do hope that the Joint Select Committee would rectify this defect in clause 9.

I have another small change suggest in clause 9. In clause 9 in section (3), you say, "subject to the provisions of this Act. a Hindu widow may, by will, appoint a guardian." Now, we have here provided for an illegitimate child also. The word 'widow' may not cover all Therefore, we should substitute word 'mother' or some other word so as to cover the cases of both legitimate as well as illegitimate children. No provision has been made in the Bill for cases where the woman marries or separates from the husband by divorce or is physically Therefore, some provision should be Besides, we made for that also. should also take into consideration the question of step-mother. Whether the step-mother is the right person to be a guardian is a very controversial matter.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The term 'mother' does not include step-mother.

Shri S. S. More: It includes.

Shri Raghavachari (Penukonda):
Generally in Hindu law it includes;
but here, they have simply said
'mother'. I am not a lawyer to know
whether 'mother' includes 'stepmother' or not. I hope the Select
Committee will look into it.

Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani: Now I come to the question of natural guardians. In clause 5, we have defined natural guardians as the father, mother......

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Is it so provided in the General Clauses Act that 'mother' includes 'step mother'?

Shri Bogawat (Ahmednagar South): Yes.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Whenever a special statute is enacted, it is excluded from general principles of Hindu law, where 'mother' does not include 'step-mother'. So far as that law is concerned, it is excluded. It may be that for other purposes of the Hindu law, 'mother' may include 'step-mother'.

**Shri S. S. More:** There is no specific provision.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Any provision of Hindu law is abrogated by this. The over-riding effect of this Act is there. Any text covered by Hindu law, or any custom or usage in force immediately before the cummencement of this Act shall cease to have effect. So, so far as minority and guandianship are concerned, the rights are all abrogated.

Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani: It is for the lawyers to decide, and I hope the Law Minister will take note of this

I was saying that in clause 5, the natural guardians are defined. The only natural guardian recognised by this Act would be the father or the mother. Those who have framed the law have failed to take into consideration the existing Hindu society. In our society to-day, the joint family system may be very bad, but it still persists. That system has got some good features also. In our society, when children are left without proper guardians, they are looked after by the uncles, by the elder brothers and by so many other male members of the family. And in the Indian society as it is situated today, we have got unemployment, our income is not very high, the financial needs are there. With all these things, it is absolutely imperative that certain members of the family should be looked after by

other members. It is a kind of socialistic system in a way, in a crude form maybe, that in a joint family the weakest members of the family are looked after. Now, here, we have not recognised the joint family at all. framing this law we have taken into consideration the position of the father and the mother. So, under this Act, only the father and the mother would be the natural guardians. This, I think, would go against the interests of the minor. Therefore, I think, that the list of those who should be eligible to be natural guardians should be increased. The group of people to be eligible to be natural guardians should be enlarged than what is defined in this Bill. I think if we retain the Bill in its present form, the result would be that many children would be left without any proper guardians, and it will be difficult for the Courts even to find suitable guardians for such children.

Now, again, the same defect comes when we define the powers of natural guardians. In clause 7, the powers of the natural guardians have also been limited in the matter of sales, mortgage and transfer of property. I do recognise the fact that the guardians are often in a position to cheat the minors and to misuse the property. We have to safeguard against that, it is true, but at the same time, if such restrictions are placed, then the guardians will not be in a position to effect sale or transfer in a quick mannerbecause the essence of a sale or transfer is that it should be done quickly. When a buyer comes, he cannot indefinitely go on waiting, and we know that legal proceedings are so lengthy that if you apply for the permission of the Court, it may take a very long time. Therefore, it may in fact turn out to be impossible for these guardians to sell or transfer the property when the need arises.

Then, after all, what do you safeguard by this measure? You are putting this safeguard only for immovable property. Nowadays with the

Hindu Minority [Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani]

abolition of zamindari etc., immovable property is not much. Very often, in a property you will find movable property forms the larger share, like shares, debentures etc. Now, the guardian can do away with that larger share of the property, but when it comes to immovable property, he will have to take the permission of the Court. Therefore, I feel you are not protecting the interests of the minor very much by putting in this clause.

Then, what happens. Suppose he goes to the Court for permission to sell. It will be an exparte statement. The guardian goes and he gets the permission of the Court and sells. In such a case, the right of the minor for future action is barred. If he sells without the permission of the Court, at a later stage the minor can go against the guardian and do whatever he likes. He can take some steps. But now, by an ex parte representation, the guardian goes to the Court, gets permission to sell and sells. After he has sold, the minor child has no right to do anything. He cannot take any steps against the guardian.

Then, there are other difficulties. For instance, the immovable property may be scattered in two or three States. If it is scattered over two or three States. the guardian will have to go from State to State to the different Courts of the different States in order to get permission for selling or transferring. There are very many difficulties. This will result in raising the cost. It will result in an increase of the Court work, and it will also result in making it more difficult to find proper guardians. What will happen is lawyers will make hay. Ordinarily, people will not like to become guardians with all these difficulties, and most often probably the Courts will appoint lawyers to become the guardians of the children.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava (Gurgoan): Lawyers have not got so much leisure.

Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani: Thereare many lawyers who have plenty of leisure.

So, generally, these are my criticisms. There are certain other criticisms with which. I do not wish to take up the time of the House at the moment. I generally support the Bill, but I find there are many defects. It has not been carefully drafted. I hope the joint Select Committee will give it serious thought and make suitable changes.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: I was obliged to the hon, Law Minister for suggesting my name on the Committee, but I am sorry I could not accept it because of my fundamental objection to the Hindu Code Bill as it is coming. And he candidly stated that this is one of the instalments of the Hindu Code Bill.

We are sorry that the Law Minister, Mr. Biswas, is not here. We wish him speedy recovery and complete restoration to health. At the time, we are happy that a distinguished votary of them like Mr. Pataskar is now occupying the position of Law Minister, and I am sure he will bring a detached mind to bear on these questions.

I am one of those who genuinely convinced that this kind of communal legislation, of communal Codes, are really repugnant to the Constitution of India, both in letter and spirit. I do not think that there is any occasion for infringing article You know, 44 of the Constitution. Sir, the makers of the Constitution solemnly set a very glorious objective in front of the country and in front of Parliament-and that is in article 44. Article 44 clearly states in unequivocal terms that the State is emjoined to strive, to provide, to secure for the citizens of India uniform civil code throughout the territory of India. You would remember the words "a uniform civil code throughout the territory of

India". Daily the Congress leaders are harping on the evils of communalism. The Prime Minister of India is ranting on the menace of communalism. What business this Congress Government to bring up a communal legislation, a communal Code of this character, directly infringing the directive principle of the Constitution? What is the difficulty? If you honestly feel necessity for any codification or law reform or having a uniform civil Code applicable to all citizens, it should be a legislation which applicable to all citizens.

It may be stated that it does not make it illegal because you are only infringing a directive principle, but as the Supreme Court has pointed out, directive principles should be taken into account. because the "State" Parliament means and all functioning in Legislatures "State" means all the organs of the State, and they are enjoined to have a desirable objective in view. Otherwise, you make these directive principles mere pious, important platitudes. They are not meant for that purpose. further and I do But, I go maintain that this kind of communal legislation-marriage law for one community or divorce law for community, or fixation of a particular age for marriage for Hindu boys as distinguished from Muslim boys, a particular age of minority for one community and not for another-is an infringement of article 14 of the Constitution, also of article 15 of the Constitution. And they are fundamental rights) I would be very happy if the hon, Law Minister would devote some attention to this important aspect of it.

You know, Sir, that any legislation in any way abridging the fundamental rights is completely void. It has been declared by the Supreme Court of India that anything which is done which in any way abridges any of the guaranteed rights of equality which are embodied in arti-

cles 14 and 15 would be immediately struck down and is liable, to be struck down as unconstitutional.

Article 14, you know, Sir, incorporates both the English principle of equality before the law as as the American doctrine of equal protection of law. Both these cepts have been embodied in article Is it consistent with the doctrine. of equality before the law that you shall have one marriage law for Hindus, another marriage law for Muslims, one law of guardianship for Hindus, another law of guardianship for Muslims and Christians and Jews: and Parsis? Is it consistent the fundamental guaranteed rights solemnly embodied in Part III of the Constitution? Are you providing equal protection of laws within territory of India?

I know that the fourteenth amendment of the American Constitution does not prevent application by a State of different laws or different systems of judicature to different parts of the country, having regard to local conditions. Regional classification is one thing; communal classification is different. Article 15 of the Constitution says:

"The State shall not discriminate against any citizen on grounds only of religion, race, caste, sex, place of birth or any of them".

When you say that a particular he is a Hindu, shall boy, because have to wait till the age of 'wentyone before he can marry, while Muslim boy can marry, say, at age of eighteen, are you not criminating against a particular citizen, simply because he professes the Hindu faith, simply because he professes a particular religion? that discrimination against the memof one community who citizens of India on the ground religion or place of birth or caste or

[Shri N. C. Chatterjee]

race permissible. Sub-section (3) of article 15 says:

"Nothing in this article shall prevent the State from making any special provision for women and children".

The Constitution-makers have pointed out that only in one case. and in one case alone, an exception be made for discrimination. Otherwise, there is absolute, gorical, unqualified, unequivocal prohibition of discrimination on ground of religion. I humbly beseech the hon, the Law Minister to apply his mind to this aspect of the question. The norms have not been fully set, the extent of the ambit of protection against discrimination has not yet been completely defined and it rigid definition. is not capable of But, as you know, the Supreme Court, has struck down the West Bengal Special Criminal Courts Act on ground of article 14. it has struck down the Communal G.O. which was promulgated in Madras, also on similar grounds, and strong judgements were delivered. In a Bombay case also-that of Lakshman Das Ahuja Bombay . -it has struck down the Special Courts Act on the ground that it infringes equality before the law or equal protection of law. It is a serious matter. I submit very strongly that this is certainly consistent with what the Constitutionmakers have enjoined. This kind of communal legislation is not desirable. This is completely out of tune with the concept of secular democracy, which Shri Jawaharlal Nehru is daily preaching throughout India. Sir, it does not behove his Government to rush through this kind communal legislation. If you think that something is good for one particular community, it must be good for all and you should plan it on basis and you should not say simply because some people, some sc--called progressive people, some socalled fashionable people are wedded .....

to the Hindu Code, that you must legislate for codification or reformation of only one law for one community.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Is there not a Majority Act for the whole of India?

- Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Yes. The Guardians and Wards Act is for the whole of India. That is why I am appealing. If there is to be a Minority and Guardianship Act. If you want some reformation, have it for the whole of India.
- I have listened very carefully to the speech of Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani—I always listen to her with respect and with profit.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: She spoke like a lawyer.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: That is a trespass into the legal domain!

Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani: A third class lawyer!

- Shri S. S. More: Is it not a slander to compare Shrimati Kripalani with lawyer?
- Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Slander is excusable under Dr. Katju's Act; therefore, there is no difficulty.
- I hope Shri Pataskar is not so nard-hearted as the hon. the Home Minister; I hope the new Law Minister will be more relenting and more responsible and susceptiable to our appeals and suggestions.
- Shri S. S. More: He is new, but old in his views.
- Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Shri More knows Shri Pataskar better; at least he claims so. He says he is old. But I have the privilege of working with him on two Committees, both very important—the Committee on Subordinate Legislation and the Joint Committee on the Companies Bil!—and I have found that he brings a

refreshing view and refreshing outlook to bear on all serious problems connected with law.

Now, what I am pointing out this, that it is entirely a slander-and a mischievous slander—to say simply because of the organisation. which I have the honour to represent. is opposed to the Hindu Code, therefore, I am championing crude, medieval orthodoxy. It is a slander and I repudiate it: I repudiate it wholeheartedly. Those of the Members who had the opportunity of working with me on the Joint Committee on the Untouchability (Offences) know that I had fought tooth and nail much more than the so-called progressives for the purpose eradicating that cancer from the body politic of the Hindu community. I shall strive my best to widen the door to bring about real unity integrity in the great community of which I am a humble member.

Now, I do maintain that Hindu law had been dynamic, Hindu has not been static, Hindu law been progressive, and the great glory of Hindu law and of Hindu society has been that it has been a common law of the Hindus. That means that it has grown, developed and expanded with expanding social consciousness. It has been an organic growth; it has not been an artificial growth. Therefore, if you read Manu, if you read Yagyavalkya, if you read Narad, if you read Gautam, and then go to the Dharma Shastras or Baudhayana or Apastambha, you find, stage by stage, that Hindu law was progressing or developing. Sir, the development, the organic development, of Hinduism, in with expanding social consciousness, was checked, thwarted and chocked by the incubus of British imperialism. I am not saying that British Judges who were great administering the Hindu law made any conscious attempt to do anything improper to the Hindu com

munity. But they were sitting thinking in Whitehall. When I was a student in the London University, I used to go to the tribunal, the greatest tribunal in Downing Street. know the Judicial Committee sits there. I was amazed to find Lord Shaw or a man like Dunedin going through Manu and Yagyavalkya. They used to go religiously. scrupulously, through these and try to find out what was laid down there and enforce it strictly. Their anxiety was not to hurt feelings, but to maintain susceptibilities and to maintain the traditional look of law. compelled them to accept a peculiarly orthodox or reactionary view in certain things. In the development of Stridhan, Lord Davy was actually misled in his judgement by the translation by Colebrook of Brahaspati and Narad. These were wrongly translated and that wrong translation was enforced upon India, and we got a shock when that judgement came.

Now that you are freed from incubus of British imperialism, that you have not got to deal with Dunedins and MacNaughtons, don't you allow the organic social conciousness to develop and, Hindu law so developing, allow it to have full and free play? Why do you bring in only legislation? Look at the history of England. You know of the great system of common law which the British people are proud. They are one of the greatest commercial nations of the world, but they had not got a codified commercial law; they had not got a law of contracts codified, a law of tort codified. Only at a very late stage after we had the Sale of Goods law in the Contract Act, did they have the Sale of Goods Act. Therefore, do not think that the development of nation is thwarted or choked simply because there is no codification. Codification is, to a large extent artificial. The greatest jurists and the greatest lawyers have pointed out that codification sometimes thwarts

[Shri N. C. Chatterjee] sometimes acts with a deterrent effect on improving social consciousness, and it gets petrified. Take for instance, the Criminal Procedure Code. Was that not codified in the 1882? It took 72 years before this Parliament of India had the time to do something and even then we are not satisfied. Yesterday, all sections of the House pointed out that although for 72 years some attempt was made, we were not quite happy on what we had done. Therefore, codification is not the ideal. You know, Sir, when Bentham was trying to have a comprehensive codification and to have a unified system of civil law for England the great jurists there said that it will not do any good to England. Lord Cranworth, Lord Westbury, Lord Cearns and nine others pointed out, when Lord Westbury was the Lord Chancellor. that a digest or codification would lead really to no improvement and may by a process of pretation, by a process of petrification, by the process of development of law by precedents based on statutory interpretation of certain codes really retard the development therefore they dropped it. Sir, one of the greatest, if not the greatest man of jurisprudence born in Europe in the last century, Lord Kingsley pointed out that it is much better to allow free play for the traditional and normal development of social consciousness in accordance with the of the age rather than codify artificially and when you codify and it gets some interpretation our doctrinaire decision comes into play and law becomes static and law becomes unprogressive. Therefore, my objection is that codification per se will not bring about any solution of the problem.

Now, coming to this Bill, ! have tried honestly to understand the rationale of the Bill. I have made an honest effort to find out if there is any justification. I am convinced that there is absolutely nothing in

this Bill which w?) do any good to the community or any good to any minor. If the Bill is passed today, how will it help anybody from tomorrow? If you look at the Bill, there is nothing, not one word of improvement, not any conscious attempt made to reconcile the differconflicting judicial dieta or judicial decision. As a matter of fact, if there is any chapter on Hindu law in which there is almost complete unanimity, it is on this law of guardianship and minority. Codification becomes necessary and you try to formulate a code when there is some uncertainty or when there is an urge for radical legislation. There is absolutely no uncertainty in this branch of Hindu law. You have got Mulla's Hindu Law which is one of the recognised text books daily cited in courts of law or take Maine's book. Chapter XXIV in Mulla's Hindu Law is the chapter Minority and Guardianship. The law is very clearly put except on subject; there is practically no difference of opinion and the whole law is crystal clear. It is given in practically 8 or 10 pages from page 612. What is the hon. Minister going to do? I ask him, is this Bill worth the paper on which it is written? Just take this Bill, clause by clause. Clause 2 is the application of the Act. The Act applies to any person who is a Hindu by religion in any of its forms, to any person who is a Buddist, Jaina or Sikh by religion and to any other person domiciled in India who is not a Muslim, Christian, Parsi or Jew by religion. Section 3 is definition. Next is over-riding effect of the Act. It brushes aside, by one stroke of the pen, all the laws and customs and dharma shastras and the nibhandaks, all the interpretations and all the judicial decisions in force. Very well, to what effect, what is the purpose and what is the objective? What are you going to do? Every legislation must have a standard, must have some ideal, must be actuated by some tangible clear objective. What is the objective?

Clause 9 is natural guardian of the minor. Everybody who knows the A B C of Hindu law knows that in the case of a boy or an unmarried girl the father is the first preferential guardian and then comes the mother. They have put down illegitimate boy or illegitimate unmarried girl will have the mother as the preferential guardian rather than the father. That is a great tribute to the other sex. In the case of a married girl, the husband I do not know whether Mr. Pataskar has thought about it. Supposing the husband is a minor. then what is the position? Are you going to make the minor husband the guardian?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: No minor can marry now.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: You know, Sir, there are millions of people in this country who are husbands as good as anybody else in the world and they have got minor wives.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: All that I was saying was the husband can no longer be a minor.

Shri V. G. Deshpande (Guna): They are already married.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: If they are already married, they would have become majors by this time.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: When a boy of 8 or 10 marries a girl of 6 or 7....

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: It is not merely for keeping the House in good humour. Now the age of marriage has been raised in the Act which was passed a number of years ago, in 1929 or so.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: But the marriage is quite good and valid in law; it is not void, nor even voidable.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Is the submission that law should recognise such improper marriages and at the same time make provision for a minor boy marrying a minor girl? Shri N. C. Chatterjee: That is what Mr. Patasker's Bill is. You know and we know.....

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: In the Guardian and Wards Act also the minor husband is the guardian of the wife.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: What I am pointing out is, openly, even with the connivance of Dr. Katju's police and the authorities, the Child Marriage Restraint Act is defeated in the rural areas. I do not know of Maharashtra but I know of Bihar and other places (interruption), even in Mr. Pataskar's constituency possibly, hundreds of such marriages are performed at an age much below the prescribed age. The only thing, so far as I know, is what the High Courts have done. I know Mr. Justice Banerjee of the Calcutta High Court, when a guardian came and applied to the court for permission to raise money on the property of the minor for the purpose of marriage of the ward, refused such permission on the ground that the ward had not attained the requisite age limit according to the Sarda Act and that the guardian was trying to do something which he could not under the law. Apart from that, in fact, there are thousandsnot thousands but hundreds of thousands of such cases.

Then comes the powers of the natural guardians. Under clause 9, the natural guardian of the Hindu minor has the power to do all acts which are necessary or reasonable and proper for the benefit of the minor and for the protection or benefit of the minor. You know, as a lawyer, that for at least one hundred years, from Hanuman Prasad's case this has been the law and everyone in India knows it. There is nothing new. It is simply a way of putting down what Lord Kingsdowne had said in that case.

Then comes sub-section (2). What business has this Parliament got to

[Shri N. C. Chatterjee]

2303

say that the father, who is a natural guardian or the mother who is the natural guardian shall not be able to charge or mortgage or transfer any part of the immovable property of the minor. Why not? That is not the Hindu law, that is not the law of this country. Why are you putting this fetter on the father or the mother. The mother might have had some stridhan which might have been inherited by the son and there may be the necessity of sending the son to another country for getting some specialised training and some money may be needed for that purpose. The father might like to sell the property for that purpose. Why do you deprive the father of the opportunity of charging or transfering any immovable property of the minor? This is a wonderful piece of legislation. Supposing there are ten houses belonging to the minor. The father cannot go and pledge or mortgage one house and raise some money.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: It is the son's private property and not the joint family property.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Even that is permissible today. There is no restriction. Right from 1856 to 1954, throughout India a father or mother, as natural guardian, had the fullest right as you know. Hanuman Prasad's case has been followed by all the courts and recognised as the bedrock of Hindu law. If this power is not given, what is the good of giving any power to the natural guardian. But, if a man leaves ten lakhs of rupees in shares and securities, then the father can sell it or any part of it and there is absolutely no impediment to that. It is only immovable property that cannot be touched.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: If a boy inherits some property from his maternal grandfather and his mother dies and if his father marries a second wife, does the hon. Member think that the father should be given

the unrestricted right to do whatever he wants with that property of the children of the first wife?

#### 1 P.M.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: There may be one or two extreme cases, but ordinarily, if the father dies and the mother is a natural guardian, is it ever to be suggested that the mother will do anything except for the purpose of protecting the interests of the son? How can the mother have the right to sell the immovable property, share security or anything that the boy has got? But you cannot even allow that to be done.

One other thing is this. You will find that the testamentary guardian has powers. Clause 9 says that a Hindu father may, by will, appoint a guardian for any of his minor children. Now, as I understand it, is there any question of any reformist mind being brought to bear upon this? I should think that the mother should also have that right. Why do you confine it to the father?

Shri Tek Chand (Ambala— Simla): Not if she re-marries.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: I am not thinking of her re-marrying I do not think that every mother who wants to be appointed a testamentary guardian will be thinking of remarriage necessarily, but I am thinking of a mother who nues in the family without any thought of re-marriage. She should be given that right. What is troubling me is this. It will be very much detrimental to people governed both by dayabhaga law and also by mitakshara co-parcenary law. Take, for instance, the dayabhaga law. I belong to a family and I have got four brothers. If I die ....

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: God forbid.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Supposing my next brother, belonging to dayabhaga family dies, then comes the next brother. He, the uncle of the child—is expected to look after him.

Supposing that man dies, with four houses, in Bombay, Calcutta or any other city, from the next day, the uncle collects the rents, the usurfructs, etc. and pays for the education and other expenses, if necessary, for the child, as also the marriage of the sister if there is any daughter alive. From tomorrow, after this Bill is passed, no uncle, no elder brother, will be able even to collect the rents or pay the school or college fees.

Shri Altekar (North Satara): Not even to pay taxes.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Yes; not even to pay taxes.

Shri S. S. More: He is your follower!

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Shri More interrupts me. He is suggesting that the Congress Party has done something very improper, that the Congress Party has done many bad things. One good thing it has done—not to pass the Hindu Code in spite of the popular will!

What I am pointing out is this: is it right, is it fair, that even in the case of a joint family, we should compel the person to go to a court of law? You know we have not got any coparcener; where there is no co-parcener, is it fair that we compel every uncle or the eldest brother or the next brother to go to a court of law and apply for a certificate under the Guardians and Wards Act and then go through the whole gamble? I can assure you that very many people who go to the court of law find it difficult to proceed. I know it is so in my part of the country, and I do not know what is the procedure in other parts, and perhaps my friend Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava will be able to tell you about them. People who would like to go to the court of law and get into all this botheration of filing six months' accounts, etc., and they have to dance attendance at the sheristadar who asks them, "Why have you paid Rs. 2-4-0, why have you paid Rs. 12-0-0 and not Rs. 6" and so on. There is interminable discussion District Judges almost invariably issue notices inviting caveats, and a caveat somehow comes in, whether due to vigilant eyes at the Bar or something. Sometimes two or three caveats follow and then all these difficulties occur. Assuming you are here in Delhi and there is somebody dying in your family. What will you do?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Why should, for the purpose of minority and guardianship, an hon. Member's family suffer?

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Talking of mitakshara law—supposing I am dying, in respect of this Bill, for the time being—I will omit the references to the Chair.....

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I equally urge upon the hon. Member not to refer to himself either. We are trying to take care of the children when the father dies. But no death need take place.

Shri S. S. More: Let him use 'A. B. C and D'.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Supposing A is here in Delhi.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: 'A' is the first letter of my name.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Supposing AA dies. But there again, your name comes!

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: X is convenient.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Supposing X of Madras is working in Delhi and dies, and Y and Z are there. Now, naturally Y would look after son, but if he is compelled and driven to go to a court of law and subject himself to these troubles and periodic difficulties and handicaps of having the accounts scrutinised,-there is no mahinery really other than the sheristadar or his deputy or his deputy's deputy-all sorts of difficulties are created. As in the case of mitakshara, I want some clarification from the hon. Minister. You know the case-Gurubullah vs. Titak Chanddecided by Sir Arthur Wilson at page 165 of the reports. The Privy Council [Shri N. C. Chatterjee]

laid down the law clearly that the guardian of the property of an infant cannot be appointed in respect of the infant's interests in the property in an undivided mitakshara family.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: It has been defined as property exclusively belongly to the minor; not to the joint family.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: I know from my experience in the Calcutta High Court that if there is any question of selling the property, no purchaser would be willing to pay any decent price unless and until you get the permission of the court and then applications are made for the purpose of getting the karta or the eldest male member appointed, and then formal proceedings of the court are initiated. You know there is a complete conflict of judicial authority on that point, because after this judgment on 30 Indian Appeals, all the High Courts have said that it cannot be done under the Guardians and Wards Act. That is settled law. Therefore, the High Courts have found out that this judgment has had a great deterrent effect on getting the proper, requisite value for even a very high-class property. Supposing a daughter has got to be married; there are Rs. 10,000 or Rs. 20,000 in the family; and there is no cash money for the other daughters but they own ten houses in Calcutta and the family has got to dispose of one of them to bear the marriage expenses. They apply to the court, and the High Court takes up the case. In fact, Justice Costello did he said "I have got inherent powers by the first Letters Patent as a chartered High Court to grant certificates and to appoint a man as a guardian of a ward although he is a member of the mitakshara undivided co-parcenary family." The hon. Minister drew my attention to the proviso under section 12 which reads :thus:

"Provided that nothing in this section shall be deemed to affect

the jurisdiction of a High Court to appoint a guardian in respect of such interest."

There is an illuminating judgment of Mr. Justice S. R. Das who is now a Judge of the Supreme Court of India. It is reported in A.I.R. 1944, Calcutta. page 433. There, His Lordship has made an exhaustive review of the cases and he has pointed out that the appointment of a person as a guardian of a minor can be done under clause 17 of the Letters Patent. That is, even in spite of Sir Arthur Wilson's judgment, the Calcutta High Court and other High Courts who have got similar Letters Patent, have got the power. Assuming that this is the correct view, I do not know what will be done in such cases when we have got Part B States and other States where the High Courts are not constituted under the Letters Patent. I do not know how such High Courts will have the power and what will be done in such cases. This will lead to a very great difficulty in the administration of a co-parcenary property. This may even to some extent disintegrate. In many cases, the Judges have refused. I know in Calcutta, even in very wealthy families, there is absolutely no charge of mismanagement against the daughter. So. Sir. there is no question of maladjustment misfeasance or malfeasance on the part of the de-facto guardian. But, what has happened is their Lordships have refused it because of want of power. Some Judges have not taken the view that inherent power is there and they have to actually separate the mitakshare co-parcenary, sell the house and everything and after the sale is effected then again have reunion of the co-parcenary.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Now, this Bill makes a provision there.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: No, Sir; it does not make any provision. I wish it had done so. The proviso says:

"Provided that nothing in this section shall be deemed to affect

the jurisdiction of a High Court to appoint a guardian in respect of such interest."

It does not confer power; it only saves power. You will notice that this is a proviso saving the jurisdiction.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: That means other Courts are not competent at all.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Yes, Sir, and it will be very very difficult. I am beseeching my hon. friend Shri Pataskar to make a provision. I think he comes from Khandesh. A man coming from one part of a country may have to travel 250, 300 or 400 miles before he can come to a High Court, and you know in every High Court it is much more costly than in District Courts where you can get things done with much more expedition and at less cost. Therefore, Sir, I think that it should be done.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Is it the suggestion of the hon. Member that a special power should be conferred under this Act upon High Courts in proper cases to intervene and appoint guardians even with respect to minors of undivided families.....

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: That is the point.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: ....and therefore, make a positive provision here or is he against the ruling to this provision and against the ruling of the Calcutta Court?

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: I am proceeding on the view that Justice Das's view is correct and I am appealing to the hon. Minister that if he accepts that the view is correct—that is not the Supreme Court's Judgment; other Courts might have taken a different view; I know Justice M. M. Mukerjee took a different view....

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: In Punjab guardian could be appointed in respect of undivided property of Hindu Joint family. The Punjab Chief Court had held that view. Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Other High Courts like Calcutta and Bombay have held differently.

The Minister in the Ministry of Law (Shri Pataskar): That proviso will be considered when it goes to the Select Committee.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Regarding extension of powers.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Another thing to which I want to draw attention is that I would strongly urge that de facto guardian should be inserted. Do not confine it merely to natural guardians. I am reading Sir. a judgment of Chief Justice Kania when he was Chief Justice of the Federal Court, reported in AIR 1949, Federal Court on page 218. Therein he pointed out that de facto guardians should be recognised by law and they are recognised by law. 1 am reading that passage-Justice Mahajan, the present Chief Justice. has agreed with it:

"In law there is nothing like a de facto guardian. There can only be a de facto manager, although the expression 'de facto guardian' has been used in text books and some judgments of Courts. That is the correct description of a person generally managing the estate of a minor without having any legal title to do so."

He has pointed out, Sir, that de facto manager—call him manager or guardian—has and should have the same powers as a natural guardian when he is doing something for the benefit of the minor. He is saying that if the transaction is in the interest of the minor or for the benefit of his estate, the de facto manager has got the necessary authority.

I am submitting, Sir, that this is a very, very salutary provision and there should not be by legislation complete interdiction or complete exclusion of de facto managers or guardians.

Shri Bogawat: Sir, I am very glad that you have given me this opportunity. This is the first instalment of the Hindu Code which has come before this House. I have no jection to reforms, but the social reforms must be such so as to suit the conditions prevalent in the country or the interests of our people. But, if there is any law or any reform which would hurt the interests either of the minor or the people of the country, that is a very unhappy circumstance.

The present Bill, as it is drafted is very unhappy and I am very sorry to say that the persons who drafted the Bill have not given full consideration to the day to day transactions, the interests of the minor and the interests of the property of the minor. All those who spoke on this motion have clearly stated that as regards the several points. I can humbly say that the existing law is far better and, as the previous speaker said, there is nothing in this Bill which would improve matters. On the contrary there are provisions in this Bill which would harm the interests of the minor and the minor's property. I have no objection to bypass Yajnyavalkya, Manu and other legislators but the present legislators are going in such a way that they will harm the interests of the country if such a Bill is allowed to pass.

So, I want first to lay stress on clause 7, sub-clause (2). It says:

"The natural guardian shall not, without the previous permission of the Court, mortgage etc. etc."

This is a very bad clause. I am very sorry to say that an experienced lawver like the present Law Minister should have said in his yesterday's speech that even with respect to natural guardian an important provision has been made in the Bill by which the natural guardian cannot without the permission of the Court mortgage or dispose of the minor's property. Now, Sir, I ask the House:

"Who is the real judge, the fathernatural guardian-or the Court which is not acquainted with the circumstances and the difficulties father and the minor?" Supposing the property is mortgaged and there is a decree; the property is put to sale and it will be auctioned in a day or two and the father wants to raise money and save the property how, he cannot do that. He cannot sell the property or mortgage it or have money raised on the property. This would not be in the interests of the minor. It would go against the interests of the minor if in each and every case the permission of the Court is required to be taken. The former law was that the father had the right to alienate the property of the minor in case of necessity or for the benefit of the estate. That was a good law because the burden of necessity would lie on the purchaser or the person who advances the money and if there was no benefit of the minor's estate that was lost then the transaction could be effected. now, here in the present clause 7. sub-clause (2) it is said that the natural guardian, even though he is the father, will not be entitled to mortgage, charge or transfer the property or even lease it for a period of more than five years.

Shri S. S. More: Is not prevention better than cure? This is a 'Preventive Alienation Act'.

Shri Bogawat: My good Sir, you are not serious.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Both hon. Members will address the Chair please.

Shri Bogawat: Yes, Sir. So, here the clause as it stands is very harmful to the interests of the minor or the minor's estate and the original provision that is there under the Hindu law is the best. There is no harm in allowing the natural guardian to transfer the property in case of necessity or it is for the benefit of the estate. Similarly he has no power to lease any part of such property for a term exceeding five years or for a term extending more than one year beyond the date on which the minor will attain majority. Suppose there are circumstances in which the property would bring good rent if it is leased out for 10 or 20 years. as for example, in the case of town planning, people are in need of some plots are some property, and it is necessary then for the father to give it to a person who is in need. Therefore, the father, who is the real judge of the minor's interest, is not allowed to lease the property beyond the particular period stipulated here. This also is not in the interest of the minor. Surely, as the man is required to go to the Court, it takes months and months and-who knows-the person or the mortgagee may change and may not advance the money or may not purchase the property, or the person who wants to have the property on lease may change and may not take it on lease or may not give the amount which he intended to give. These provisions are put here in clause 7, sub-clause (2) and they are unwanted provisions. The previous law that the property can be alienated by the natural guardian in case of necessity and for the benefit or in the interest of the minor is the right law. I suggest that this clause should totally be changed and the original law should be put in its place.

Similarly if we read sub-clause (3), it says:

"Any disposal of immovable property by a natural guardian, in contravention of sub-section (1) or sub-section (2) is voidable at the instance of the minor or any other person affected thereby."

I do not mind the words "at the instance of the minor" but I do not like the last words "or any other person affected thereby". Why should you have any other person who is not a minor and who may have some interest? Suppose there are three sons, two of whom are majors and one minor, and the property belongs to the other persons who are majors

have transferred their property. Are they also entitled to void the transaction? That would not be proper. The minor, whose property is transferred, may be given the right to void the transaction. Why should the other persons be affected? They themselves have transferred the property and I do not know why they should be given the right to void the transaction. That is not a good law.

As regards the appeal to the High Courts, we know how expensive it is for the people. That is also a provision which is not material and I think that these petitions should be allowed to be made to the original Court of principal jurisdiction, and power should be given to such Courts so that there will lie an appeal to the District Court. In that case, people will not be required to spend much for going to the High Court.

#### Clause 8 says-

- "Where the natural guardian of a Hindu .....
- (b) where the natural guardian has ceased to be a Hindu....."

I do not understand how a change of religion is so much harmful. Supposing a Hindu changes into a Jain or a Jain becomes a Hindu.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Both of them are Hindus.

An Hon. Member: Christian.

Shri Bogawat: Suppose a becomes a Christian, why there be such an objection? Under the Hindu Law, by the very change of religion, there will not be very bad effect. The original Hindu Law had allowed this change, but now our present law-givers want to make a change.

Shri Tek Chand: That is the only good change done.

Shri V. G. Deshpande: Do not criticise even good things!

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Where from has the hon. Member found it? Where is it in the existing Hindu Law?

Shri Bogawat: When a person has got a big estate, when he has got many [Shri Bogawat]

fields and business transactions, shops etc., and the minor is not able to manage all these affairs, then there is a provision under the existing Hindu Code that a Hindu father may, by writing, nominate the guardian for his children, so as to exclude even the mother. It is a very good clause in the interest of the minor.

An Hon. Member: Where is the provision that change of religion is no bar?

Shri S. S. More: There is some law!

Shri Bogawat: The existing Hindu Law has allowed the father to nominate a guardian in the interest of his minor children, but now this power of the father is desired to be taken away and the mother is to be the natural guardian in all cases, including those where there is a large estate or where there are business firms, shops and big properties. In cases, generally it is the intention of the father that some good friend or trusted friend should properly manage the estate of the minor in the interest of the minor and, therefore, was the provision in the existing law. I am very sorry to say that this power even is sought to be taken away. I do not know the reason why such a provision is to be made now. I have got every respect for our ladies, and still our country is to advance and education is to spread. So long as our ladies are not educated to such an extent as they are made experts, so long as they are not competent to manage large estates and properties, why should the father not have the right to make a will and appoint a guardian in place of the mother, though the mother is there. So, in the interest of the minor, the existing provision is the right provision and it should not be disturbed.

Shri S. S. More: What about the fathers who are ignorant and illiterate?

Shri Bogawat: There may be exceptions. My friend Shri More

wants to know about the case of ignorant fathers. There are cases wherein the father may be ignorant, may be a drunkard, and in such cases there is provision made for making an application to the Court to have some other person appointed in place of the father. There is that provision in the case of a father who is not fit for being a guardian-there is no objection in making an application to the Court to have another guardian appointed in his place. The authority of the father making a will and appointing a guardian in place of the mother is taken away by the present Bill, which in my opinion is not a proper provision. In the interest of the minor and in the interest of protecting the property of the minor. it is quite essential that the original provision of the existing law should be left undisturbed.

The proviso to clause 9 says:

"Provided that nothing in this section shall be deemed to authorise any person to act as the guardian of the person of the minor for so long the mother is alive and is capable of acting as the natural guardian of her minor child."

Pandit K. C. Sharma (Meerut Distt.—South): It does not extend to property, but it is limited to the person.

Shri Bogawat: What I mean to say is that the father should have the right to appoint the guardian for the minor's property in place of the mother, and that power should not be taken away.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: How is that being taken away?

Shri Bogawat: The provision says here only in respect of the person. It also mentions that after the father. the mother will be the natural guardian and after the mother the person appointed by the will, will come into the picture\_not before that time. So long as the mother is alive, the person appointed the will of the father will have no right, I think, to manage the estate of the minor.

Shri Tek Chand: That is in clause 9(1) of the Bill, in the proviso.

Shri Bogawat: Yes, it is there in the proviso.

Then as regards the guardianship of the illegitimate child, there is always a difference of opinion as to how the child is to be looked after. According to sub-clause (b) of the Explanation under clause 2, "any child, legitimate or illegitimate, one of whose parents is a Hindu and who is brought up as a member of tribe, community, group or family to which such parent belongs or belonged" is a Hindu by religion within the meaning of this Bill. If we look to section 6 of the existing Hindu Law it is clearly mentioned there in sub-section (3) that it applies to the illegitimate children of a Christian father by a Hindu mother who are brought up as Hindus. Those are the clear words. And in sub-section (1) of section 7 of Hindu Law it is provided that it applies to the illegitimate children of a Hindu father by a Christian mother who are brought up as Christians, or to the illegitimate children of a Hindu father by a Mahomedan mother.

These are the clear provisions under the existing Hindu law, and in the present Bill also such a provision should be incorporated so that there would not be any difficulty in finding out whether the illegitimate child is a Hindu or a non-Hindu.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: So long as the other religionists are not prepared to accept, or this does not apply, the hon. Member feels the change is necessary?

Shri Bogawat: My submission is that I do not go beyond the existing law. What is there in the existing law should at least be taken advantage of and all the provisions should be made clear. That is my humble submission.

I am very glad to see that under sub-clause (3) of clause 9 a good provision is made that "subject to the provisions of this Act, a Hindu widow may, by will, appoint a guardian for any of her minor children in respect of the person of the minor."

Shri K. K. Basu (Diamond Harbour): The Law Minister is not here. Is there anybody to convey this to the Law Minister?

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय: दिल चल रहा है। श्री के॰ के बसु: चल तो अरूर रहा है।

The Deputy Minister of Rallways and Transport (Shri Alagesan): Sir, I shall be taking notes on behalf of Government.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The Deputy Railway Minister is taking charge of it so that it may be put through quicker!

Shri Tek Chand: The Bill is in locomotion!

Shri Bogawat: In the present Bill, as drafted, there are so many flaws All and difficulties created. difficulties should be removed the Bill should be brought in conformity with the existing law. If there had been any difficulty in the existing law. I could have understood and I would have welcomed a change. But all the changes that are made present Bill the are the interest of the minor or of the minor's estate. Difficultles are created and there is confusion worse confounded. I submit that all these things must disappear, the existing law as it stands must be considered carefully and the provisions should be amended accordingly. I also make a request that the Select Committee may consider all these points and either the present Bill should be improved or the existing law should come in its place.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I shall now call upon Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava. After he finishes, I will call one or two lady Members.

पंडत ठाकुर नास भागीत : जनांव डिप्टी स्पीकर साहब, अभी में ने एक बड़ी मुद्दील्लाल [पंडित ठाक्द दास भागीव]

सकरीर श्री चेंटर्जी की सुनी, जिस में उन्होंने हवाला दिया फंडामेंटल राइट्स का. कांस्टि ट्यशन का और कहा कि हिन्दू ला के बार में हिन्दू ला का कोई इन्स्टालमेंट इस हाउस में नहीं आना चाहिए। एसा कानून बनना चाहिए बौ सार हिन्दूस्तान के वास्त एक हो। में अर्ज करना चाहता है कि यह सिलीसला कोई नया नहीं हैं। जो प्राना हिन्दू कोड बिल था जब वह आया तब भी यही आर्ग्सेंट दिया गया था। आसीर में यह हुआ कि हिन्दू कोड बिल सेलेक्ट कर्मटी के सूपूर्व हुआ, इस हाउस ने उसके प्रिसिप्ल को मान कर सुपर्द किया।

इस बिल में में बहस की इस गहराई में नहीं जाना चाहता कि आया यह सार हिन्द्स्तान के लिए हो या कि सिर्फ हिन्दू ऑर मुसलमानों कं मुताल्लिक कोई कानून कांस्टिट्यूशन के र्वीसलाफ होगा या नहीं क्योंकि जो कुछ में अर्ज करना चाहता हुं वह इस से विल्कुल मुख्तिलिफ हैं। गो कि मैं इस बात को नहीं मान सकता जैसा कि कल मेर भाई श्री देशपांड ने फरमाया था कि दरअस्त इस हाउस को कोई हक नहीं है कि वह कोई एंसा कानून बनाए जो कि सिर्फ एक सेक्शन आफ पापुलेशन के बास्ते हो। अगर में इस भगड़ में पहुंगा तो बड़ी गलती करूंगा। लेकिन एक बात बिल्कूल साफ हैं जिस को मैं जानता हूं और जिस के अन्दर किसी को भी नाराजगी नहीं होगी साथ ही जिस को इस हाउस का हर एक मेम्बर मानेगा और बह यह हैं कि अगर कोई सिविल कोड बन सकता हो या कोई सिविल कोड मॉॅंबर हो. अगर कोई मॉब्द न हो तो उस को बनाने की कोशिश की जाए. अगर मॉजूद हो तो उस को छोडा न जाए। इस निर्गीटव बात को हर एक आदमी मानेगा। कांस्टिट्यशन की दफा ४४ में साफ ताँर पर लिखा हुआ है कि हम एक युनिफार्म सिविल कोड हिन्द्स्तान भर के लिए बनाने की कोशिश करेंगे। अगर कोई सिविल कोह हमारी खुशिकस्मती से माँजूद हो जो सब को एंप्लाई करता हो तो उसको इस विल में हिन्दू कोट बना देना सरीहन गलती है और यह गलती

हमार ला मिनिस्टर साहब कर रहे हैं। मेरी नाकिस राथ में इस दक्त हिन्दूस्तान में माइना-रिटी और गांजियनीशप का यूनिफार्म सिविल ला मॉजूद हैं और उसके साथ खेलना जायज नहीं हैं। उसको नेरो डाउन कर देना, हिन्दू के वास्त मससस कर दंना या मसलमानों के वास्ते मससस कर देना या यह कह देना कि जो हिन्दू नहीं है वह इसके अन्दर नहीं आते हैं. गलत होगा। और यह गलती इस बिल से की जा रही हैं। मैरा यह दावा है कि आज के दिन अगर किसी चीज के बार में यूनिफार्म सिविल कोड हैं तो बह . माइनारिटी और गाजियनशिप के वास्ते हैं। अभी चटर्जी साहब ने शिकायत की और कल देशपांड साहब बर्ड और से शिकायत कर रहे थे कि गवर्नमेंट आफ इंडिया लोगों के पर्सनल ला के अन्दर इन्टरीफयर करती हैं।

[SARDAR HUKAM SINGH in the Chair]

वह भूल जाते हैं कि मैंजारिटी एक्ट हिन्द ला और मुसलमान ला दोनों के ऊपर एन्क्रोचमेंट हैं। हिन्दूला के मताबिक एक शख्स १६ बरस की उम् में बल्गत को पहुंचता हैं और मुस्लिम ला में भी ९८ वर्ष की उमर मुकर्रर नहीं हैं लेकिन मेजोरिटी एक्ट सब के लिए १८ साल मकर्र करता है। हम जानते हैं कि सभी के वास्त शारदा एकट पास हुआ और उस में यह उम १४ साल कर दी हैं यह हिन्दू ला के भी बीसलाक हैं और मुसलिम ला के भी बींखलाफ हैं। मैं आप को पुरानी कहानी सुनाना चाहता हूं। जब शारदा एक्ट हाउस के अन्दर आया तो मालवीय जी ने उस की बड़ी हिमायत की और कहा कि हिन्दुओं के वास्ते यह बहुत बड़ी चीज हैं। इस पर जिल्ला साहब उठ और उन्होंने कहा कि यह क्या गजब हैं। क्या मुसलमानों में यह बिदतों नहीं हैं। छोटी उमर की शादी हटाने का कानून मुसलमानों के लिए भी होना चाहिए, और सार हिन्दूस्तान के वास्ते एक्ट बनना शुरू हुआ। जब वह बनने लगा तो उस कमेटी के जिसका में भी एक मेम्बर था, तीन मुसलमान साहबान भी मेम्बर थे. उन्होंने सार हिन्दुस्तान का दौरा किया और दंखा कि वह बिदतें और खराबियां

2321

मुसलमानों के अन्दर भी माजूद थीं। उस जमाने में यह कोशिश की गई कि शारदा एंक्ट सारं डिन्द्स्तान के वास्ते बनाया जाए। लेकिन उस वक्त आर्य समाजियों ने अपने अखबारों में लिखना शरू कर दिया कि मोहम्मद साहब ने मसम्मात आयशा से किस उम् में शादी की? हम इस शरियत को तन्दील करना चाहते हैं। इसका नतीज यह हुआ कि हमार मरहून मोहम्मद अली साहब ने क्वीन्स गार्हेन में ग्रस्सै में आ कर कि आर्य समाजियों ने क्यों एंसा लिख दिया, उस शारदा एंक्ट को तौडा। यह जो कोशिश हमार आर्य समाजियों ने की उस सं यह नुकसानात हुए हैं।

दरअस्ल जब हम एक कानून बनाएं, जो कानून सार हिन्दूस्तान के लिए अच्छा हो, उसके अन्दर इस तरह की हज्जतें करना वाजिब नहीं हैं। जब आज कल गार्जियन्स ए है बार्ड,स एक्ट. ९८६० सार हिन्द्स्तान के अन्दर नाफिज हैं तो कौर्ड वजह नहीं मालम होती कि अगर हन कोर्ड तरमीम माइनारिटी ए'इ गार्जियनशिव एक्ट में करना चाहें तो उसको न कर के हिन्दुओं के लिए अलाहदा माइनारिटी एंड गार्जियनशिप एक्ट बनाएं। में यह अर्ज करना चाहता हूं कि जो कानन इस वक्त है वह हिन्दुओं, मुसलमानों और क्रिस्चियनों पर यक्सां लाग् होता है । अगर जसमें कोई तरमीम करने की जरूरत थी तो वह की जा सकती थी। लेकिन यह बात समभ में नहीं आती कि सिर्फ हिन्दओं के लिए ही एक अलॅंडदा एक्ट बनाया जाए।

में जब इस बिल को देखता हूं तो मुक्ते हैरानी होती है कि क्यों इस बिल के बनाने वालों का ध्यान इस तरफ नहीं गया, क्यों उनकी, जिन्हों नं इस बिल को पेश किया सम्भूत में यह बात महीं आई कि इस बिल के अंदर कोई नई चीज नहीं हैं जो सिर्फ हिन्दुओं पर ही लागू होती हो और इसरों पर न लाग होती हो। तो जनावे वाला। अब में इस बिल को क्लाज बाई क्लाज लेता हो। क्लाज (१) नाम के बारे में ही और उसीलए कि कहां कहां यह बिल प्रालाई करें। कलाज (२) यह हैं कि किन किन लोगों पर यह बिल एप्लार्ड होगा। क्लाज (३) डफिरिनशन के बार में हैं। क्लाज (४) भी इस एक्ट के आंबर राइडिंग ईफीक्ट्स के बार में हैं। अब मैं, क्लाज (४) पर आता हो। यहां से औप्रीटन पार्ट शरू होता है। इस क्लाज के बार में नोट्स आन क्लाजिज में लिखा हुआ है कि इस क्लाज को सिलॅक्ट कमिटी ने जोड़ा है

"This clause re-enacts the existing

तो फिर इस क्लाज की कोई जरूरत नहीं हैं "It only restates the existing law" क्लाज (६) के बार में लिखा हुआ हैं

"This clause exactly reproduces the What is the use of it existing law. also."

## क्लाज (७) के बार में लिखा हैं

"The existing restrictions on the powers of the natural guardian of a Hindu minor have been re-cast more or less on the lines of similar restrictions in the Guardian and Wards Act, 1890".

तो यह जो पावर्ज आफ नेचरल गार्जियन हैं वह सब के बार में एक सी होनी चाहिएं, यह नहीं कि सिर्फ हिन्दुओं पर ही इन को लगाया जाए और मुसलमानों या ईसाइयों पर न लगाया जाए। एसा नहीं होना चाहिए कि एक के बार में कूड रिस्टिक्शनज लगा दी जाएं और दूसरों के वास्ते वो न लगाई जाएं। यह बहुत नामुनासिन सी चीज है। जो जिम्मेवारियां एक हिन्दू नैचरल गाजियन को निभानी होती हैं वही एक मुस्लिम गाजियन को भी निभानी पहती हैं और वहीं एक क्रिस्चियन गांजियन को भी निभानी होती हैं। इस वास्ते इस क्लाज को खास हिन्दओं पर लागू करने की कोई जरूरत नहीं है और यह सब पर लाग् होनी चाहिए।

यह जो क्लाज (८) हैं इसके बार में लिखा हैं

"Under the present law, the natural guardian entrust the custody and education of his minor children to another person but such entrustment

# [पंडित ठाकुर दास भागीव]

is revocable. The Court will, however, interfere to prevent revocation".

This is the civil law, तो इस के होने वान होने से भी कोई फर्क नहीं पहता।

Clause 9. Under the existing law, even the mother can be excluded from the guardianship by the father. She has also no power to appoint a testamentary guardian.

सिर्फ यह एक एंसी दफा है कि जिस के जरिए जो इस वक्त हिन्द ला है उस में ज्यादा से ज्यादा तरमीम की जा रही है लेकिन यह त्तरमीम इस तरह की है कि वह सिर्फ हिन्दुओं से ही ताल्लक नहीं रखती। rights of mother. mother . से ताल्लुक रखती है या ऑरतों को हक्क दंने से ताल्ल्क रखती हैं एक मुसलमान मां या एक हिन्द मां अपने बच्चों के साथ उसी तरह से मुहब्बत करती हैं जैसे कि कोई और मां करती है। अगर एक हिन्दू मां गार्जियन हो सकती है तो में प्छना चाहता हुं कि क्या एक मूसलमान मां या क्रिस्चियन मां गार्जियन नहीं हो सकती ? क्या डिफरें स है, एक हिन्दू मां, एक मुसलमान मां आर एक क्रिस्चियन मां में ? दंबर इंज नो डिफ-रोंस एंट आल। इस वास्ते यह सेंक्शन भी सब पर लाग् होना चाहिए।

क्लाज (९०) एक अनएक्सँपशनेबल प्रिसि-ष्त या पाँसट्लंट (unexceptionable principle postulate) करती हैं।

A Hindu boy or girl should be brought up as a Hindu. This need not be put in the Act at all. It is not necessary.

बब गार्जियन मुकर्रर होगा तो क्या आप उससे यह एक्सपॅक्ट कर्रगे कि जो लड़का या लड़की उसके सुपूर्व की गई है वह उसका मजहब तबदील का रै। इस वास्ते इसकी कोई जरूरत नहीं हैं। Clause 11. Under the existing law, a de facto guardian has the same power to alienating the property of his ward as a natural guardian. This clause abolishes de facto guardians.

तां में अर्ज करना चाहता हूं कि जब इस एंक्ट में हि फॉक्टो गाजियन को सतम करना चाहते हैं और उसको अधिकार नहीं दंना चाहते हैं जो कि नैंचुरल गाजियन को दिए गए हैं तो इसकों इस एक्ट में लाने की क्या जरूरत थी। यह तरमीम एग्जिस्टिंग ला में की जा सकती थी। इस एक्ट में इसको लाने की कोई जरूरत नहीं हैं।

Clause 12. So long as the joint family system exists, this provision, which is in accordance with the existing law is necessary.

क्योंकि यह भी पहले ला में हैं इस वास्ते इसकी भी जरूरत नहीं।

क्लाज (१२) के बार में में बहं अदब से प्रक्रमा चाहता हूं कि इस एंक्ट में कॉन सी एंसी चीज है जिसको कि हिन्दुओं के उत्पर हिन्दुज एंज सच एप्लाई करने की जरूरत महस्स हुई और दूसरों पर नहीं।

This is the existing law.

इन सब बातों को देखते हुए में कह सकता हूं कि यह हिन्दू ला आफ माइनॉरिटी एँड गार्जियनशिप नहीं।

This is the general law of minority and guardianship.

इसी तरह सं गार्जियनशिप एंड वार्ड्ज एक्ट ६४ बरस सं चला आ रहा हैं और उसमें कोई तरमीम करने की जरूरत महस्स नहीं हुई। इसीलए हिन्दू माइनारिटी एंड गार्जियनशिष एक्ट की कोई जरूरत नहीं हैं। मेरी अदब से गुजारिश हैं

It is a misconceived piece of legislation. It is absolutely unnecessary for the Hindus.

अगर यह सैन पर एप्लाई करता तो में समभता हं कि इसकी थोड़ी बहुत जरूरत हो सकती थी लीकन क्योंकि यह सिर्फ हिन्दुओं पर एप्लाई करता हैं मुर्फ इसकी कोई जरूरत महस्स नहीं होती। और में समभता हूं कि यह हाउस इसे पास करने में जीस्टफाइड नहीं होगा।

बनार्ष वाला आब हिन्दुस्तान में २६ करोड़ लोग रहते हैं जिस में से बहुत ज्यादा हिस्सा हिन्दुओं का है। में अदब से पूछना चाहता हूं कि कितने मुक्कदमात गार्जियनशिप के एंक्ट के तहत अब तक कोर्ट्स में आए हैं। इस किस्म के निहायत ही कम मुक्कदमात आते हैं। असली गवर्नमेंट ऑर निहायत कामयाब गवर्न-मेंट वह हैं जो लिवर की तरह काम करती हैं। मुक्ते पता नहीं मेरा लिवर किस बक्त काम करता हैं। वहीं हैंक्ट्री सिसटम होता है जिसमें आदमी को पता नहीं लगता कि लिवर कहां हैं और काम करता हैं या नहीं। अच्छी गवर्नमेंट वहीं होती हैं जो लोगों की लाइफ के साथ किसी तरह इंटरफीयर नहीं करती और सब जातियों को एक बराबर समफती हैं....

पंडित के० सी० शर्माः बहुत पुरानी बातें करते हैं आप।

पंडित ठाकुर दास भागवः मेर दोस्त ने एसं बक्त में बात कही हैं जिस वक्त कि उसका कोई मतलब नहीं हैं। अगर मेर लायक दोस्त ने उस वक्त जब कि इसका कुछ मतलब होता यह बात कही होती तो मैं जवाब भी दें दंता।

सभापीत महोत्य: इस वास्ते आप बारी रखें।

पंडित ठाकु शस भागंव: मुर्भ हमेशा जारी रखना हैं, लेकिन जब कोई बीच में बोल पड़ता हैं तो मुर्भ जरूर जवाब दंना पड़ता हैं। तो में अर्ज कर रहा था वह गवर्नमेंट अच्छी गवर्नमेंट होती हैं जो लोगों की लाइफ में इंटरफीयर नहीं करती। तो में पूछना चाहता हूं कि कितने एसे मुक्कदमात हैं जो कि गार्जियनशिप एक्ट के तहत हिस्ट्रिक्ट कोर्ट्स में दायर किए गए हैं। और उनका क्या हश्र हुआ हैं। बरसों तक उनका कोई फैसला नहीं होता और पेशियां पड़ती रहती हैं। तो में अदब से अर्ज करना चाहता हूं कि क्या आप चाहते हैं कि जजों की कोर्ट्स दर-

स्वास्तों से भर जाएं ? अगर आप यह नहीं चाहते तां आप ने यह नैचरल और अननैचरल गार्जियन का भागडा क्यों संडा कर दिया हैं। अगर हिन्द ला में अननेच्रल गार्जियन नहीं होता है सह मुसलमानों में भी अननेचूरल गार्जियन नहीं. हैं। मैं इस नए सिलीसले को देखकर बहुत हैरान हुं। कोई शस्त्र जो हिन्दुस्तान की सिविलाइ जेशन से वाकिक हैं, कोई शस्त्र जो हिन्दूस्तान की फॅमिलीज के, ऑर खास तार से हिन्दुओं की फेॅमिलीज के कांस्टीट्यशन सं वाकिक है वह जानता है कि अगर कोई बच्चा आरफन हो जाता है. उसके मां बाप मर जाते. हैं. तो खानदान वाले उन से बहा तक हो सकता हैं उसको प्रोटेक्शन देते हैं और उसकी परवाह: करते हैं। आप गांवों में जा कर देखें कि अगर किसी बच्चे के मां बाद मर जाते हैं और उसकी परवरिश करने वाला कोई नहीं रहता तो गांव वाले उसकी परवरिश करते हैं। यह ठीक है कि आज इंडीवीज्अल टेंडेंसीज बढ रही हैं. ताहम में जानता हूं कि अभी फैंमिली टाइज बहुत कुछ बाकी हैं और आरफन बच्चे अनुप्रोटेक्टेड नहीं रहते और आपने कानन में जो प्रोटेक्शन लड़के और लड़की को दिया हुआ है वह काफी हैं। आज भी गार्जियन का एक्ट वाडहंबल हैं। अगर गार्जियन ने माइनर की भलाई के लिए कोर्ड काम नहीं किया है. तो वह बड़ा हो कर उसके खिलाफ कार्रवाई कर सकता है। इतना ही लाक्या कम हैं? इस ला के रहते लडके को बहुत प्रोटंक्शन हैं। लेकिन अगर आप उसकी जायदाद के लिए नया कानून बना दींगे तो इससें उसका बहुत नुकसान होगा। मेरे ख्याल से इस को पास करने से सोसाइटी में लोगों को सख्त दिक्कत हो जाएगी और में समभता हूं कि इस दिक्कत का अन्दाजा उन लोगों को नहीं हैं जो कि इस दिल को लाए हैं।

आज अगर में इस बिल को एक मिनट के लिए ठीक मान भी लूं तो दीखए कि इसके अंदर मां बाप क्या कर सकते हैं। आजकल लैंड के लिए सरकार सीलिंग मुकर्रर करने जा रही है। में ने सुना है कि हमार यहां पंजाब में तो [पंडित ठाकुर क्रास भागीव]

शायद ३० एकड सीलिंग र**स्त्री** जाएगी, और टावनकोरकोचीन में १४ एकड ही रहेगी। एसी हालत में इम्म्वेबल प्रापर्टी रहे ही क्या जाएगी। लेकिन मुवंबल प्रापर्टी चाहे एक करोड रूपए की हो उसके लिए इस कानून में कुछ भी दर्ज जहीं हैं। इसका इस बिल से कोई जिक्र नहीं हीं कि अगर उसके बाँक के शेवर्स हीं काँश ही. हिंबेंचर्स हैं. उनका क्या होगा। उसके लिए कोर्ड प्राक्रीजन नहीं हैं। लेकिन उनको इम्म्यंबल प्रापर्टी बहुत प्यारी हैं जो उनकी होती जा रही हैं और जो कि सीलिंग मुकरि होने की बजह से बहुत थांडी रह जाएगी। वह प्रापर्टी तो खुद भी र्एसी हैं जो कि प्रोटेक्ट्रेड रहेगी। इसके वास्ते इस कानून के अन्दर एक गार्जियन कोर्ट से मुकरर होगा जिसको वही इस्तियार होंगे जो कि नेच्रल गार्जियन को होते हैं। यह हिन्दू ला और मुस्लिम ला और जहां तक में समभता हं क्रिश्चियन ला के भी खिलाफ है। मैं अदन से पळना चाहता हूं कि इसकी आपको क्या जरूरत महस्स हुई? आपको क्या तकलीफ महसूस हुई ? हमार सामने कोई एवीड स नहीं लार्ड गर्ड जिससे साबित होता कि इस कान्न को लाने की जरूरत थी। मैं अदब से अर्ज करना चाहता हूं कि यह बहुत डास्टिक कान्न हैं । गार्जियन्स एंड वार्ड स एंक्ट के रहते हुए इसकी कोई जरूरत नहीं। और इसका नतीज यह होगा कि अदालतों में यही मुकदमे भर जाएंगे और कोई दूसर केस नहीं रह जाएंगे।

अब आप मुलाहिबा फरमाइए कि इसमें दादा को नंचुरल गार्जियन नहीं माना हैं। आप जानते हैं कि एक दादा को अपना पोता कितना प्यारा हो सकता हैं। वह उसको उसके बाप से ज्यादा प्यार करता हैं। अब उसके लिए भी बकरी हैं कि वह कोर्ट में जाए और गार्जियन मुकर्रर हो। इसी तरह से और बीसों मिसालों हैं जिन में इस कान्न में दिक्कतों पँदा हो जाएंगी और जिनका कांर्ड इलाब इसमें नहीं दिया गया हैं और जिनके बार में आनरिबल मिनिस्टर साहब ने नहीं सोचा है। में आप से प्लना चाहता हूं कि आप ने इसमें मेरिट गर्ल, मेरिट बाय, अनमीरिट

गर्ल, अनमेरिड बाय के लिए तां प्रावीजन रखा हैं. लेकिन विडों के वास्ते आपने कुछ नहीं रखा। अनमेरिड बाय ऑर अनमेरिड गर्ल का गार्जिबन बाप हो सकता हैं, मेरिड गर्ल का गार्जिबन उसका हसबेंड हो सकता हैं, लेकिन विडों का गार्जिबन कॉन होगा यह आपने नहीं रखा। इस मुल्क के अन्दर तो एक बरस से कम उन् तक की विडोंज काफी बड़ी तादाद में मॉज्य हैं....

Shri Asoka Mehta (Bhandara): After the Sarda Act?

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: What after the Sarda Act? Government makes laws but cannot enforce them. What is the use of having these laws?

शायद आपको मालूम नहीं। आज भी हमार देश में दंहातों में छोटी उभ में बहुत शादियां हो रही हैं। और अगर १८ साल के कम उम की विडोज का हिसाब लगाया जाए तो उनकी तादाद लाखों तक पहुंचेगी। मेरं ख्याल से उनकी तादाद बीस लाख से कम न होगी। मैं ने एक बार यहां हाउस में इसके फिगर्स दिए थे। तां इन विडोज का कोई भी नेचूरल गार्जिबन नहीं हैं। तो इसके मानी क्या हैं ? क्या उसके परसन का गार्जियन नहीं है इस वास्ते क्या kidnapping out of guardian's custody goes away as an offence? जायदाद पर कोई कब्जा कर ले? उसके लिए कोई कोर्ट में नहीं आवेगा? तो एंसी हालत में क्या होंगा ? मेर र स्थाल में इस तरह का कानन जिसमें इतनी कीमयां है वह हमार लिए बाजिब नहीं होगा।

में एक और पाइंट आफ व्यू आपके सामने रखना वाहता हूं। आज जिस मेरिज ला पर राज्य सभा में बहस हो रही हैं उसके अन्दर एक लड़का एक लड़की से शादी करता है और शादी करने के बाद अगर लड़का अपना मजहब तबदील कर लेता है तो वह शादी डिजाल्य नहीं होती आरं न वाडाड करार दी जाती हैं। एंसा प्रांदिजन हैं कि लड़की इस वजह से डाइवोर्स हासिल कर ले। उसको तीन साल तक डाइवोर्स की इबाबत नहीं है। तो एंसी हालत में उसका क्या बनेगा? आज एक लडके ने एक लडकी से शादी कर ली और लहके ने अपना मजहब तबदील कर लिया । एसी हालत में लहकी का कॉन गरीर्ज-यन होगा । कोई गार्जियन नहीं होगा क्योंकि उसका इसबेंड हिन्दू नहीं रहा और इसलिए वह गार्जियन नहीं हो सकता इस बिल में लिखा हैं। तो एसी हालत में उसका कॉन गार्जियन होगा ? यह चीज बहुत बड़ी हैं। इस कानून के हिस्से आपस में कांट्रीडक्टरी हैं। एक हिस्सा काल कहता है दूसरा हिस्सा कुछ और कहता है इसमें आपने यह दर्ज कर दिया हैं:

Hindu Minority

If he ceases to be a Hindu, he But who reases to be a guardian. will be the guardian of that woman. you have not said.

Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy (Mysore): The father.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: No, it is not possible. I am speaking of a married woman. So far as a married woman is concerned there is no doubt that someone from the family of the husband can be the guardian of that widow. This is also a lacuna. For this there is nothing in this law.

अभी मेर मोहत्तरिम दोस्त चटर्जी साहब ने हिन्द जाइंट फॉमिली के बार में कहा ! हमार यहां प्राने पंजाब चीफ कोर्ट ने फौसला किया था कि अनीडवाडडंड हिन्द फॉमिली के लिए किसी को माइनर का गार्जियन न बनाया जाय । मझे पता नहीं कि वही अस्तियासत जो कि करनकटा हाईकोर्ट को हैं हमार कोर्ट को आजकल हैं या नहीं। मान लीजिये कि बह अस्तियारात नहीं हैं तब तो यह सुरत होगी कि इस कान्न में एंसा प्रावीजन रखा जाय कि हिन्दू जाइंट फौँमली में कोई दूसरा गार्जियन न बन सके। मैं अदब से अर्ज करूंगा कि यह इम्पारटेंट कानून हैं। मैं तो चाहता हूं कि लैंटर्स पेटेंट का भी ला हाई कोर्ट्स से हटा

लिया जाय । हिन्दू जाडंट करीमली कर जां कन्संप्शन है वह तो अजीनोगरीन है । उसका तरीका तो सौशीलिस्टिक और कोआपरीटिक तरीका हैं। मैं अर्ज करना चाहता हूं कि एक अनिहिवाइ इंड हिन्दू फॅमिली में तो बह बता ही नहीं हो सकता कि माइनर की कितनी जायदाद है क्योंकि वहां तो जितने भी एडल हैं, और जतने भी माइनर हैं, सब का उसमें हिस्सा होता हैं। इसलिए में अर्ज करूंगा कि हार्डकोर्ट को इस तरह का इस्तियार देना जाबज नहीं है। जबतक पार्टीशन न हो जाय तब तक पता नहीं चल सकता कि किसकी कितनी प्रापर्टी हैं। इसलिए कॉन किसका गार्जिबन बनाया जायमा ? गवर्नमेंट के बहुत से कानुनों में जाइंट हिन्दू फरिमली को तांडने की कोक्सि की गयी लेकिन वह अभी तक कायम हैं। मैं नहीं चाहता कि किसी कानून के अरिये इस इस्टीट्युशन को सत्म किया जाय । बड तो आहिस्ता आहिस्ता अपने आप ही खत्म हो रहा हैं। आप क्यों एक हथाँडा और उसमें मारना चाहते हैं। मैं तो नहीं चाहता कि इस नवे विल में यह पावर दी जाय । में तो चाहता हं कि इसको निकाल दिया जाय ताकि हिन्द जाङंट फरीमली महफ्ज रह सर्क।

इसके अलावा बाइंट हिंदू फेंमिली में एक बहुत अच्छी चीज ऑर भी हैं। जाइंट हिन्दू फॅॅीमली की सारी जायदाद उसके सार मेम्बरों की होती हैं। सब लोग उसको बढाते हैं और इस तरह से माइनर का हिस्सा भी बढ़ता जाता हैं। उन सब लोगों की मेहनत का सारा फायदा उस माइनर को भी पहुंचता हैं। लेकिन अब अगर कभी काम में नुकसान हो जाय और प्रापटी बेचनी पहं तो इसका भी खानदानवालों को इस्तियार होना चाहिए। अगर यह इस्तियार नहीं होगा तो यह चीज बहुत गेर मुन्सिफाना होगी जो कि जायज नहीं हैं। तो मैं अर्ज करूंगा कि कितनी ही एंसी बातें हैं जो 🗫 इस कान्न में आती हैं और जो कि पराने गार्जियन्स एंड वार्ड्स एंक्ट के मुखालिक हैं। इसलिए भें यह अर्ज करना चाहता है कि इस बिल में इस चीज को आप न रखें ताकि हिन्दू [पंडित ठाकर दास भागीव]

जाइंट फॉॅंमिली महफ्ब रहे और उसका पार्टीशन न हो । में समभ्यता हूं कि उसका पार्टीशन इस तरह से करना नाजायज होगा । 2. h.M.

इस नुक्ते निगाह से में आनरंबुल मिनिस्टर साइव की सिद्मत में अर्ज करूगा कि अगर इस चीज के अन्दर कोई नई चीज नहीं हैं जिसको वह बनाना चाहते हैं और जो कि सारं दंश की सब कम्युनिटियों के वास्ते एप्लीकेबुल न हो तो इस बिल को लाजे का क्या फायदा । इसमें आप डिफ केटो गार्जियन को उहा देना चाहते हैं, मेरी गुजारिश हैं कि हिन्दुस्तान के सास हालात में डिफ केटो गार्जियन को जायज न मानना कि कोई किसी किस्म का उन्हें इसित्यार न रहे, यह बड़ी भारी गलती हम सं होगी । मैं खुश हं कि इसमें यह ही लिखा हैं डिफ केटो गार्जियन जहां तक प्रापरटी का सवाल

"Not to deal with minors property".

इसमें परसन का जिक्क नहीं हैं, हांलािक यह भी काफी सराबी की बात हैं। आज हम जानते हैं और सिविल प्रोसीज्योर कोड से जो बस्त्वी वाकिफ हैं वह जानते होंगे कि कोर्ट "गार्जियन एंड लाइटम" मुकरिर करता हैं और गार्जियन मुकरिर करने के बाबत उसमें लिखा हैं कि कोई भी एंसा शस्स्र जिसका कि एंडवर्स इंटरंस्ट न हो एंनी परस्न केन बी एपायन्टंड, चाहे उस मायनर का वह रिलेटिन हो या न हो कोर्ट की इजाजत के बगरिर कुछ नहीं हो पाता।

डिफ कटो गार्जियन के होने से किसी माइनर को नुकसान नहीं होने वाला हैं क्योंकि उसको वहीं तक काम करने का इसित्यार हैं उहां तक कि वह माइनर के फायद और उसकी नेसेसेटी के वास्ते हो । कान्न में जो हमने माइनर के चारों तरफ प्रोटीक्टव विग फेंका हुआ हैं वह उनका बचाव करता है और किसी किस्म से उनको गार्जियन से नुकसान पहुंचन का स्ताग नहीं रहता, क्योंकि कोर्ट की इक्सब्ब नियं वगर वह गार्जियन किसी तरह की तबदीली प्रायस्टी में नहीं कर सकता और मैं ने तौ आज तक नहीं देखा कि गवर्नमेंट ने किसी के सिलाफ एसा मुकदमा चलाया हो कि उसने माइनर की जायदाद खा ली हो या हहए ली हो। और जॅसा में ने पहले भी कहा था क्या हिन्द ला क्या मुस्लिम ला. आखिरी गार्वियन हर माइनर की. गवर्नमेंट हैं यह हमार होम मिनिस्टर हैं। मैंने एक मर्तबा यह भी कहा था कि सारं बच्चों की अल्टीमेट मां होम मिनिस्टर है. मां इसलिये कहता हूं कि मां का हक सबसे ज्यादा होता है, मां, बाप दोनों समझ लीजिये यह हमार होम मिनिस्टर मूल्क भर के बच्चों के होते हैं । में अदब से पछना चाहता हूं कि जिस मां बाप के इतने सार बच्चे हों. उसने कभी किसी बच्चे की तरफ तवज्जह दी हैं ? यह सरकार की अल्टीमेट हयुटी हैं और चाहते हैं कि इन का भला हो तो उनके रिश्तेदार हैं उनके गार्जियनशिप के क्लेम को आप न हटाइये. एसा करके आप उन नाबालिंग बच्चों को कोई प्रोटंक्शन नहीं दंरहे हैं । उनको रहने दीजिये । जो प्रोटेक्टर्स हैं. नेचरल गार्जियंस हैं जैसे दादा, भाई, चाचा और हम जानते हैं कि बहा भाई बाप की जगह काम करता है उसको यह कहना कि तुम्हारा कोई हक नहीं, चाचा का कोई हक नहीं हैं, यह वाजिब और दूरुस्त नहीं हैं और एंसा करके आप माइनर्स का कोई फायदा नहीं कर रहे हैं। फर्ज कीजिए कि भाई या चाचा कोई खराब काम भी कर दें. थोडी बहुत उस नाबालिंग की जायदाद को खत्म भी कर दें तो भी क्या. में प्छना चाहता हुं कि सरकार कहां से उनके लिये करोडों एसे गार्जियंस लायेगी जो इतने र्डमानदार होंगे और जो उन नेद्रल गार्जियंस के बीनस्बत उन नाबालिंग बच्चों के हक्क की तरफ ज्यादा तवज्जह करेंगे । मेरी समझ में इस मूल्क की खास हातत को देखते हुए नैचर्ल गार्जियंस को इस का हक न देना सख्त बंडांसाफी होगी और नेचरल गार्जियंस की जैसी तारीफ आपने इसमें रक्खी हैं जो नती हिन्दू लामें हैं, न मूस्लिम लामें हैं और न ही क्रिश्चियन ला में हैं और यह दूरुस्त होनी चाहियं। एक शस्स एक लडकी को सारी उम के वास्ते एक इसान के सिपूर्व कर सकता है 'एज ए गार्जियन आफ हर परसन" "एज ए गार्जियन फार मॅरिज" लेकिन उसकी चार बीघे जमीन या साँ. टो साँ रुपये के मकान को उसकी भूख और प्यास मिटाने के वास्ते मंत्रीकल नहीं कर सकता । यह तो उसको हक है कि सार्र जिस्म को दे दे सारी सहकी को शादी में दंदंक्यों किला के मुताबिक वह गार्जियन कार मॅरिज है लेकिन गार्जियन फार प्रापरटी नहीं हैं। जायदाद के बार में जब यहां सवाल पेश था तो मेर दोस्त कहते थे कि प्रापरटी इज आल थेफ्ट और यहां हम दंखते हैं प्रापरटी इतनी प्यारी है, परसन से भी ज्यादा उनको प्रापरटी प्यारी हैं। मेरी नाकिस राय में यह सारा का सारा बिल बिल्कूल गाँर जरूरी हैं और इसका रिजल्ट देश के ऊपर डिजाजस्टम हो सकता है क्योंकि यह बिन्द ला का इंसटालमेंट नहीं है। इसका हिन्द ला संवास्ता नहीं है अगर इसका वास्ता है तो गार्जियन ला से हैं। एक चीज जो इसके अन्दर सास बनी हैं वह यह हैं कि इसके जरिये औरतों को कुछ ज्यादा हकुक दिये गये हैं।

मसलन् एक नाबाशिंग बच्चे के बाप के बाद अगर उसकी मां मॉबद हो तो उस बच्चे का गार्जियन मां को रहना होगा. मझे इससे डरूतलाफ नहीं हैं लेकिन में इस बार में अपनी हिन्दू बहिनों, मुसलमान बहिनों और क्रिश्चियन बहिनों में कोई फर्क नहीं चाहता । मसलमान और क्रिश्चियन मां भी अपने बच्चों को उतनी ही मूहव्यत करती हैं जितनी कि एक हिन्दू मां अपने बच्चे से महस्वत करती हैं, इसलिये मां की गार्जियनशिय के बार्र में इन तीनों कॉमों में कोई फर्कनहीं चाहिये। आप इसके लिये गार्जियंस वार्डीस एंक्ट में तरमीम करें. एंसी बात नहीं हैं कि आप देश हित के लिये कोर्ड तबदीली कर तो हम उसको सपोर्ट न लेकिन आप हिन्दू ला के बहाने से कोई एंसी

चीज करना चाहें जिसको सारा देश नहीं मानेगा और जिसके अन्दर हिन्दुओं का नुकसान होता हो. उसको जरूर हम मानने को तैयार नहीं हैं। यह असल में हिन्दूला के बरिखलाफ हैं। कोडिफिकेशन का यह मतलब नहीं होता कि आप असली हिन्दू ला जो इतने वर्षी से चला आया है बिला वजह उसको आप तबद्रील कर दं। क्या आपके पास कोई एसी शिकायत आयी हैं या एविडेंस पेश की गयी हैं ? यह सारा ला आपका सराब हैं, इससे तो देश को नुकसान पहुंचता है । इन वजुहात से में अदब से अर्ज करना चाहता है कि सेलेक्ट कमेटी में इस बिल को ले जाने से लम्बा चाँडा फायदा नहीं होगा। दंश के अन्दर जो कुछ सर्च होगा और यहां पर उसके ऊपर जितनी मेहनत की जायगी. बंहतर यह होगा कि गार्जियंस वार्ड स एक्ट में तरमीम करने के वास्ते कोर्ड विल लाइये । मैं यह नहीं मंजूर करता कि हिन्द ला आफ गार्जियनशिप और गार्जियन-शिप का ला युनीफार्म नहीं हैं। भारतीय संविधान की धारा ४४ में दिये गयं डाइर्रकीटव प्रिसिपल्स में एक युनिफार्म कोड बनाने का जो आदेश हैं, वेंसा युनिफार्म कोड हमार सामने आया है, एसा में नहीं मानता। हिन्दू की कुछ उम. और मुसलमान की कुछ उम्, में एंसे कोड को हाथ लगाने को तैयार नहीं हूं। यनिकार्म कोड के साथ सिलवाह करना और उसको एंसी शक्ल दंना जैसे हिन्दू पानी और मुसलमान पानी दुरुस्त नहीं हैं. उसकी नेरी करना दंश के इसेशियल मफाद के बरीखलाफ हें ।

Some Hon. Members rose-

Mr. Chairman: Shri Subba Rao.

Shri P. Subba Rao (Nowrangpur).
Mr. Chairman, Sir...

Mr. Chairman: The Deputy-Speaker had promised that he would call some lady Members, but none stood up. Therefore, I had to call another Member.

Shri Tek Chand: Mrs. Sen was in the process of getting up.

Mr. Chairman: I waited for some time, but none stood up. Therefore, I had to call the hon. Member.

Shrimati Uma Nehru (Sitapur Distt. cum Kheri Distt .-- West): We did not stand because we did not know whother you would give us a chance. Otherwise, we would have stood up.

Mr. Chairman: Should the promise precede the standing up? Mr Subba Rao may proceed with his speech-

Shri P. Subba Rao: This Bill is illconceived, unnecessary and full of Unfortunately, there is a lacunae. craze for codification, and Parliament is now sitting for 200 days in the year; and when considering the rate at which Bill after Bill is introduced in Parliament, even if we sit for 300 days, the Bills cannot be finished. And this craze for codification has come in. Especially in respect of laws that will grow by custom, there is no necessity to codify. In England, I read, most of the laws are not codified-the law of property, the law of contracts and all other laws. But in India, probably the British had set in this codification; and with regard to the personal laws of Hindus and Mohammedans with regard to marriage and divorce, they have kept them apart. They are now studying the Hindu and Mohammedan law, and the craze has set in to codify this Hindu law.

Our Government professes that it is a secular State. At the same time, it constantly reminds us that there are several religions, and our national flag is a constant reminder that there are several religions. The deep orange is significant of the Hindus, the green of the Muslims and the white of the Parsees. Christians and Jews. Of course, there are flags having these colours in other countries but these colours have no significance except in India.

Our Government wants to give respect to both. One section of the House wants that there should be a

uniform civil code and there should be only secular laws and all religions should be done away with, while another section of the House resents interference in religion. Of course. both have got justification because Government is in a way, couraging both. We have got the Special Marriage Act which reminds us that our society is secular, and there is the Hindu Marriage and Divorce Bil! which, at the same time, tries to please the Hindus-simultaneously displeasing them by interfering on matters which ought not to be interfered with by the Government. There is also the Guardians and Wards Act which is, more or less, secular, and now they have brought in the Hindu Minority and Guardianship Bill. Tomorrow a Mohammedan Minority and Guardianship Bill may come. Then, with regard to the right of inheritance. there is already a Succession Act, but another piece of the Hindu Code, the Inheritance Bill, will come.

We have to consider whether there is any necessity for codification of existing laws. There is already a Hindu law with regard to minority and guardianship. Society is not static; it is growing and progressive. But a section of the people want to introduce revolutionary changes. We have to consider whether any changes are necessary, and then only there is place For example, one for codification. such is the supplementing of the fundamental rights declared by the Constitution, that equality of status and opportunity will be given to all. That must be supplemented. We find that a section of Indians are treated as untouchables and so to remove that untouchability, to uphold the fundamental rights declared by the Constitution. an Untouchability (Offences) Bill is quite necessary.

Shri B. S. Murthy (Eluru): Only Bill, not untouchability!

Shri P. Subba Rao: A Bill that gives equal opportunity and equal status to the so-called untouchables is quite necessary-I can understand that.

Hindu Minoritu

We know that child marriage is an evil born of Hindu society. The scciety felt that that evil should be put an end to, and there is the Child Marriage Restraint Act. Another thing is that if you want to introduce any changes in law, where people feel that some changes are necessary, that can be done by bringing in special Bills to modify the existing laws. For example, a section of the people felt that marriage between sagotras should be allowed. I believe there is a Bill validating sagotra marriage. In such a way, we can introduce changes, that is, by supplementing Bills. But there is no necessity to change the whole of the Hindu law once for all. Again, to set right conflicting judicial decisions, sometimes a Bill is necessary.

Applying these tests, I find that there is no necessity to introduce this Bill at all. Another point is that piecemeal legislation, instead of doing good, may bring in complications. The Hindu Minority and Guardianship Bill which is now introduced here infringes on the rights of coparcenary and other things. Of course, I will come to the point whether coparcenary rights are excluded or not, but anyhow it infringes on their rights. This cannot be considered piecemeal, without a law of inheritance and a law with regards to debts, alienations etc. under the Hindu law. From that point of view, this is ill-conceived, because it already anticipates changes in the other portions of Hindu law such as the law of inheritance.

Another point is that there cannot be a uniform civil code unless there is a uniform religion for the whole of India. So far as marriage, divorce and other things are concerned, each religion has got its own rules. If 've want to have a uniform code, there should be a uniform religion for the whole of India. So on that ground, I oppose the idea of having a uniform civil code except in matters which are

not religious, such as regulation and procedure in Courts and the way in which evidence has to be taken and so

Coming to the Bill itself, this Act applies to any person who is a Hind. by religion etc. and any other person domiciled in India who is not a Muslim, Christian, Parsi or Jew. Probably this includes the aboriginals who are sometimes treated in the census reports as not Hindus. There are also followers of the Radhaswami, Saibaba and Haranath faiths. All these persons are not included, though followers of the Brahmo, Prarthana Arya Samaj are included. Then comes (c) which says:

"any other person domiciled in India who is not a Muslim, Christian. Parsi or Jew by religion, unless it is proved that any such person would not have been governed by the Hindu law or by any custom or..."

With regard to clause 3, 'mino.' means a person who has not completed the age of eighteen years. I am of opinion that the age of majority should be fixed at twenty-one. As soon as a person attains the age of eighteen, he is not competent to dispose of his property. I have seen instances where people have become beggers within a year of their attaining majority. I know the case of a prince who was given his kingdom at the age of eighteen-of course, it is now integrated-who squandered away all his wealth amounting to several lakhs of rupees. A voter is not given the right to exercise franchise till he is twentyone; that means he is incapable of choosing his representative in the legislature till then. But now he is given the power to squander away his property. So I am frankly of the opinion that the age of majority should be fixed at twenty-one. (Interruptions).

Coming to clause 5 which says,

"The natural guardians of a Hindu minor, in respect of the [Shri P. Subba Rao]

minor's person as well as in respect of the minor's property (excluding his or her undivided interest in joint family property)...",

I fail to understand whether 'his or .her' refers to the guardian or the minor. Of course, the intention of the Bill seems to be to refer, probably, to the minor, but that is not clear from the wording 'excluding his or her undivided interest in joint family property'. Then it says: 'in the case of a boy or unmarried girl-the father, and after him, the mother'. Why after him? Sometimes the father may be disabled, in which case the mother should be the guardian. He may be of unsound mind, he may be suffering from leprosy or some other centagious disease and unable to manage or look after the affairs. So the phrase. "the father and after him the mother." is most unwise

Then, it is said:

"provided, that the custody of a minor girl who has not completed the age of three years shall ordinarily be with the mother:"

The boy or girl cannot be separated from the mother just immediately after the completion of three years. Where we have no authority, we have to look to other religions and take guidance. Under Muhammadan law, I think the custody of a child up to the age of seven is given to the inother. I do believe that the age of three years should be raised to seven.

With regard to sub-clause (c), in the case of a married girl, the husband is supposed to be the guardian. I do not want to cover the same ground which has already been covered by some of my friends. Though the marriage laws disable a person to marry unless he attains the age of 18 years, there are several cases where minors are married and the law only says that the marriage cannot be declared invalid but there may be a penalty. And, so, there are minor husbands. Supposing the minor takes the guardianship of the wife and dies immediately. There is a lacuna here Is it the husband's relations that are to take charge of the property or the father?

Then, there is the provision:

"Provided that no person shall be entitled to act as the natural guardian of a minor under the provisions of this section—if he has ceased to be a Hindu..."

That means that if the mother ceases to be a Hindu she can continue to be the guardian while the father cannot, unless we assume that he includes she

Clause 6 says that the natural guardianship of an adopted son who is a minor passes, on adoption, from the family of his birth to the family of his adoption. "Family" is a wide term and it may include not only the father and mother of the adopted son but also other relatives. So, while clause 5 defines the natural guardian as the mother and father of the minor, there is a different terminology here. The same terminology should be used here also.

Clause 7(2) says that the natural guardian shall not, without the previous permission of the Court mortgage or charge etc., any part of the immovable property of the minor. unduly infringes upon the rights of the father. There is no case where the father abuses the right. Where the father abuses the right of protecting his son, then the next friend can resort to the Court and have it set right. If for everything the father has to go to the Court, he will have to spend lots of money and that will not be in the interest of the minor. We know nowadays that litigation is costly and there is any amount of delay. Even the power of lease is strictly restricted to five years. That is unnecessary, and the lease may continue for any length of time provided it does not exceed more than one year beyond the date of the minor's attaining majority.

Then, it is provided that a Hindu father may appoint a testamentary guardian for any minor children in respect of the minor's property. (other than the undivided interest referred to in section 12) or in respect of both; provided that nothing shall be deemed to authorise any person to act as the guardian of the person of the minor so long as the mother is alive and is capable of acting as the natural guardian of her minor child. So, the father has no power to appoint a testamentary guardian in preference to the mother. The father is the best judge. When he knows that the mother is incapable of managing the property of the minor, he should be given the power to appoint a testamentary guardian. Even: if the mother is alive, the father knows whether she is capable of acting or has the capacity to act or not.

Generally women in our country, most of them, are not educated and are not capable of managing the property of minors with prudence. course, they have no bad intention but they have not got sufficient worldly experience and they may be cheated by others. In this connection, I may say that in ancient Roman law, women were prohibited to be sureties. Originally women were given rights over property and very soon they squandered away the property and so, immediately, there was an amendment that they should not be accepted as sureties because once they stand as sureties when the time comes, the property is gone.

Secondly, the German philosopher Schopenhaur gave credit to the Hindus. These are his words:

"Of all the nations in the world. it is the Hindus that know how to respect a woman and how to control the property, at the same time."

And, he quoted Manu as saying that a woman should be under the guardianship of her father, husband or son and perpetual guardianship of the woman is justified. This is accepted by a German philosopher who had never seen India and he gave credit to Manu for limiting woman's rights over property, that they can enjoy the property, the annual income, but cannot dispose of the property, because men alone earn and they alone understand the difficulty and women are never allowed to dispose of property. He has praised Manu to the skies.

An Hon. Member: Antiquarian notions.

Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani: He was very anti-woman.

Shri K. K. Basu: Increase the representation of women.

Mr. Chairman: The next chance to speak is going to a woman.

Shri P. Subba Rao: Is it possible not allow a de facto guardian to deal with property at all. Supposing the father dies and there is no mother. What is to happen? What is to happen to the dead body of the father? There may be no money in the house. Even for the funeral rites you will have to go to Court.

Acharya Kripalani (Bhagalpur cum Purnea): Let the dead bury their dead.

Shri P. Sabha Rao: Is it possible for the man to run to the Court keeping the dead body inside the house? The performance of funeral rites has been regarded as religious by the Hindu society. What about the protection of the children when the father dies leaving no cash, or with little property with which the guardian cannot interfere? The child must be thrown into the streets. This clause 11 is unnecessary.

Then I come to clause 12. It reads:

"Where a minor has an undivided interest in joint family property and the property is under the management of an adult member of the family, no guardian shall be appointed for the minor in respect of such undivided interest;

550 LS

[Shri P. Subba Rao]

Provided that nothing in this section shall be deemed to affect the jurisdiction of a High Court to appoint a guardian in respect of such interest."

Of course, the proviso says that the High Court may appoint. That clearly shows that this is testamentary guardian. How can there be a testamentary guardian so long as the father is alive? So long as he is alive, he would be the manager of the property but not any other adult member of the family. The language is vague.

Then to approach the High Court would be rather too costly. should be a limit, say, for property worth Rs. 1,000 or Rs. 2,000, the High Court need not be approached. should be the District Judge, In my opinion, there should be no limit to the power of the District Judge. The District Court should be competent to appoint. And, if there is anybody who feels aggrieved, he may have a right of appeal to the High Court. So, High Court should be defeted and in its place, District Court should be substituted. I am of the opinion that even subordinate courts like the Munsif's court should be competent enough for this purpose of appointing - the guardian. If there is any injustice then there can be revision.

With these few observations I resume my seat.

## Shrimati Uma Nehru rose-

Shri Pataskar: The debate is to close at 3 o'clock.

Mr. Chairman: Yes, I know, but how long does the hon. Minister like to have?

Shri Pataskar: There are about 20 minutes now. Ten minutes may be given to the hon. Member who has risen.

Mr. Chairman: Yes.

श्रीमती उमा नेहरू: बनाव चेयरमेन साहब. मैं ज्यादा वक्त नहीं लंगी क्योंकि में जानती हूं कि अभी सरकार को भी बवाब दंना है। मैं बहृत देर से व्याख्यान सून रही हूं और स्त्रियाँ के बार में कोर्ड एसा पहलू नहीं है जिसकी चर्चा म हाई हो। उनके बार में कहा गया कि नाकाबिल हैं. वह इतनी काबिल नहीं हैं कि उनकी इतना अधिकार मिल सर्क, वह प्रापर्टी को मैंनेज नहीं कर सकेंगी, वह इंगनोर्रंट हैं, वर्गरह वर्गरह। मैं अपोजीशन के भाइयों से कहना चाहती हूं कि उनको अपोजीशन के लिए इन बातों को नहीं कहना चाहिए था। मैं ने देखा कि चटर्जी साहब जब बोल रहे थे तो वे हंसते जाते थे. जिससे मालम होता था कि उनको खद उन बातों पर यकीन नहीं हैं, पर वह अपौजीशन के लिहाज से उनको कह रहे हैं। मैं चाहती हूं कि औ बिल हमार सामने आया है अगर उस में कूड़ गलती हैं तो उसको ठीक किया जाए। इसीलिए सिलीक्ट कमेटी मुकर्रर की गर्ड हैं। लेकिन मैं यह नहीं मानती कि इसके आने की जरूरत ही नहीं थी। जब आज ला का कोडीिफकेशन हो रहा है तब तो इसके आने की बरूरत अवश्य थी। यह चीजें हलके हलके आवेगी और फिर सब मिल कर एक चीज हो जाएगी। मैं नहीं चाहती थी कि हिन्दू कौड बिल इस तरह दकई दकर हो कर आता। लीकन हमार कुछ भाई इतने दकियान्सी ख्यालात के हैं कि उनकी वजह से हिन्द्कोड बिल को परा नहीं लाया जा सका। मुक्ते तो यह देख कर बहुत दुःख हो रहा हैं कि इसको पूरा न लाकर हम इसको दुकहाँ में ला रहे हैं। मैं तो चाहती थी कि हिन्द्कोड बिल सारा का सारा आता। फिर भी आज मुक्ते खुशी हैं कि चाहे ट्रकर्ड ट्रकर्ड हो कर ही सही आ तो रहा है। हमको उन्नीत करना बरूरी है। जब इस तरह के कानून लाए जाते हैं तो अक्सर उनकी मुखालिफत करने के लिए ऋषियों और म्रीनयों का नाम लिया जाता है। मैं तो कहती हुं कि अगर आज वे ऋषि और मृनि जिन्दा होते तो वह भी बदल जाते और हमारी तरक्की होती। अगर वं लोग आज जिल्ला होते तो जनके विचार भी बदलते।

दसरी बात प्रापर्टी के बार में कही गई कि बच्चे की प्रापर्टी का गाउँ बच्चे के लिए मां के सिवा ऑर कॉन गाजियन हो सकता है। मेरी समक में यह बात नहीं जाती कि बाप के मरने के बाद चंकि मां इतनी काबिल नहीं है, क्योंकि उसको इतनी शिचा नहीं हैं, इसीलए दूसरें आदमी को बच्चे की प्रापर्टी का गाजियन मकर्रर किया जाए। मैं तो समभती हूं कि यह एक ऑरत के लिए बहुत बही जिल्लत होगी अगर उसके बच्चे के लिए कोई दूसरा आदमी गाजियन मुकर्रर किया जाए। यह तो हमें बिल्क्स बरदाश्त नहीं है और मैं तो कहती हुं कि सिलेक्ट कमेटी इस पर तौ विल्कूल विचार ही न करें। मुक्ते सिर्फ यही कहना है कि बच्चे की कस्टडी उसकी मां की दी जाए। हमार मद्रास के भाई जानते हैं कि टावनकोर-कोचीन में और मलाबार में बहां पर मेंद्रियार्कल सिस्टम है वहां पर औरतें ही सारा इन्तिजाम करती हैं. वहीं सारी प्रापर्टी की संभालती हैं। इससे किसी को शिकायत नहीं हैं। तो फिर आज यह कैंसे कहा जा सकता है कि दिल्या में तो स्त्रियां. प्रापर्टी का इन्तिजाम कर सकती हैं पर उत्तर भारत में वेंसा नहीं कर सकती

मक्के इस वक्त एक बात याद आ गई। लखनक में एक रर्डस थे। मैं उनका नाम नहीं लंगी। उनकी स्त्री पढी लिखी नहीं थी पर उसमें स्टांग कामन सेंस थी जो कि किसी भी कान्न में ज्यादा अच्छी होती हैं। उन रईस साहब की हालत यह थी कि वह नाच रंग में अपनी सारी प्रापर्टी को खत्म कर रहे थे। उस औरत ने जो कि बिल्कल पढ़ी नहीं भी एक अर्जी गवर्नमेंट को दी कि मैं और मेर बच्चे बिल्करल वर्गर पूर्म के हो जाएंगे क्योंकि मेर पति एसी आराम में मस्त हैं। तब गवर्नमेंट उस प्रापर्टी की माजियन बनी और उस प्रापर्टी को कोर्ट आफ महीस में ले लिया गया। आज कहा जाता है कि ये ऑरतें अपने बच्चे की कस्टही के काबिल नहीं हैं। मैं उन भाइयों से जो यह कहते हैं यह पूछना चाहती हूं कि जो रुपये वह अपने धर लं जाते हैं उसको जितनी हिफाजत से उनकी स्वियां रखती हैं उतनी हिफाजच से वे खुब नहीं रख सकते। मैं कहती हूं कि ऑरत को जिम्मेदारी बहुत होती हैं। अगरु ऑरत को अपने बच्चे की जिम्मेदारी न दी जाए तो यह समाब के साथ वेड साफी होगी।

यह भी कहा गया कि हमको समाज के साध चलना है। ठाकर दास जी ने कहा कि समाज की जो हालत है उसके साथ ही हमको चलना है। तो में उनसे कहुंगी कि समाज तो बहुत आगे बढ़ गया है. उनेको यह नहीं दिखाई दैता है। लेकिन अगर समाज आगे बढ़ गया है और उनको एसा नहीं दिखलाई देता है तो इसमें हमारा क्या दोष हैं। हम रोज देखते हैं कि भारत के नौजवान आगे बढ़ रहे हैं और हमको रोब उनके लिए नए नए कान्नों की ब्रह्मरत महसूस हो रही है। यह जो कान् न सिलेक्ट कमेटी में जा रहा है इसको हम पूरी मदद करेंगी। हमको समाज में माता और पजनीया का स्थान दिया गया है और हमको आदरणीया कहा जाता है। मुभ्ने आशा है कि इस बात की ध्यान में रखते हुए सिलंक्ट कमेटी इस बिल पर विचार करंगी और हमको अस्तियार दंगी कि हम अपने बच्चों की और उनकी प्रापर्टी की देखभाल करें।

Mr. Chairman: There are only about 20 minutes left. I find one more Member, Sardar Iqbal Singh, wishing to speak for the first time—his maiden speech. If he would be finishing his speech within five minutes, he may speak.

सरवार इक्सान सिंह (फाजिल्का सित्सा): अनाव साहिबे सदर, मुर्फ तो उम्मीद नहीं थी कि आप मुर्फ बोसने का माँका देंगे व्योकि क्कत बहुत थोड़ा रह गया था और वह भी मिनिस्टर साहब का था।

में इस बिल पर अपने रूयालात इस नाते रखना चाहता हूं कि यह बिल सिक्स कम्युनिटी पर भी लाग् होगा। बहुत से भाई इसकी मुसा-हिलकत कर रहे हैं। उनका रूयाल है कि सामा-जिक कान्त में तम्दीली नहीं होनी चाहिए [सरदार इक बाल सिह]

क्यों कि एंसा करने से उस समाज पर ऑर उस मजहब के मानने वालों पर बुरा असर पहुंगा। में समभता हूं कि आजकल के बमाने को दंखते हुए हमको अपने कान्नों में तब्दीली करनी चाहिए। में अपने बुजुर्ग ठाकर दास जी से इत्तिफाक नहीं करता कि इस बिल को लाने की कोई बकरत ही नहीं थी। यह तो हिन्दू कोड बिल को एक हिस्सा हैं। इसके कुछ हिस्से अभी राज्य सभा में हैं, और कुछ ऑर आने वाले हैं, और क्योंकि उन बिलों का असर सासतार पर बच्चों और नावालिगों पर पहुंगा जो कि उनकी जट में आवाँग, इसलिए में समभता हूं कि इस बिल का लाना जरूरी था।

इस विल की मुखालिफत की जा रही है, लेकिन मैं समभता हूं कि आब बन कि बमाना बदल रहा है और इस सबब से समाज में कुछ त्रीटयां आ रही हैं, उस वक्त उनको दूर करने के लिए कानून की जरूरत थी। आजकल खास-तार से एसे हालात हैं जब कि जमीन के मूता-ल्लिक कान्न बदल रहा है, मैं समभता हूं कि बच्चों और नाबालियों के हुक्क को महफ्ज रखने के लिए इस तरह के कानून के लाने की जरूरत थी। लेकिन इसके साथ साथ में यह भी देखता हूं कि इस बिल में जो दफात आई हैं उनसे मकसद पुरा नहीं होता। इस बिल में जो टफात हैं वे पहले के बिल से भी कमजोर हैं। आज के जमाने में जब कि प्रापर्टी के सिल-सिले में रोज कानून तबदील हो रहा है गाजियन को उसकी रखवाली करने के लिए बहुत कम ताकत दी गई हैं। इस बिल से जो ताकत गाजि-थन को दी गई है वह मैं समभता हूं कि पहले बिलों से भी कम हैं। इस बिल में जी ताकर दी गई है वह सन् १८६० के गाँबियन एंड वार्ड्स एक्ट से बहुत कम हैं। मैं समभता हूं कि आजकल के कम्प्लीकेटंड हालात में यह बिल कामयाब नहीं होगा। हम देखते हैं कि जब भी कभी कोर्ड सामाजिक सधार का बिल आया. उसकी मुखालफत इस बिना पर की गई कि उसके जीरए से मजहब अथवा धर्म में तबदीली होगी और जो लाइन आफ आर्ग्-मेंट्स और जिस किस्म की मूखालिफत आज से साँ साल पहले या एक साँ पचीस साल पहले की जाती थी. वहीं आज फिर सूनने को मिल रही हैं। हमारं वहां जब सती प्रथा को रोकने का बिल आया था उस वक्त जिस ढंग से मुखालिकत की गई भी वही वजुहात आज भी दिए जा रहे हैं कि हमार धर्म को बदला जा रहा है। वही वजुहात इमेशा उन लोगों की तरफ से दिए जाते हैं जो कि समाज को एक जगह बांध कर रखना चाहते हैं, जो चाहते हैं कि समाज में कोई तन्दीली न हो ऑर समाज को स्टॅीटक रखना चाहते हैं। वहीं लाइन आफ आर्ग्सेट्स आब भी सूनने में आर्ड हैं। इस बिल की दफा १३ का मकसद नाशालिय बच्चों के लिए गाजियन्स मुकर्रर करने का है और जाहिर है कि कोई गाजियन्स एक्ट जो कि उनकी बेहतरी के लिए नहीं होगा वह एक्ट रिवोकेब्ल हैं।

[Mr. Deputy-Speaker in the Chair]

**उपाभ्यक्त महोद्य:** अब आपको अपनी स्पीच सतम करनी चाहिए।

सरकार इकबाल सिंह: इन चन्द अल्फाज के साथ जैंसा आपका हुक्म हैं, में ज्यादा न कह कर इस बिल का समर्थन करता हैं।

Shri Pataskar: Sir, I have been listening very carefully to the debate in this House on this simple social measure which need not have created, I think, such long discussions. Unfortunately, on an analysis of what I have been able to hear, I find that suspicion, prejudice and misconception are at the bottom of many of the criticisms which have been levelled at this Bill.

As I said in the beginning, this is a part of the Hindu Code which at one stage was introduced, discussed, Select Committee was appointed and it went through so many stages, and this is one of the most simplest parts of that Code.

Naturally, those who are opposed, either by prejudice or on some other

grounds, to the codification of the Hindu Law form one category of the critics of this Bill. Probably their idea is that there should be no codification of the Hindu Law. But, I fail to understand this: that their faith in Manu and Yajnya Valkya need not drive them to the conclusion that there should be no codification of the Hindu Law for the simple reason that, when I listened to the debate, most of the eminent lawyers and eminent persons of the legal profession who referred to this question, referred to Mulla's Hindu Code which was an unofficial and unauthorised attempt to codify Hindu Law that is administered in different ways at the present moment. It appears they have no objection to that Code on which they relyeven the advocates who are outside this House-but, they have sort of objection to the codification of Hindu Law. What can I say, Sir? It is no good invoking the names of Manu and Yajnya Valkya. After all what they did was, one 2000 years back and the other 1400 back. If we try to stick, to adhere to the words and to the arrangements which they then suggested for a society which existed in those days, I think even Manu himself, if by any chance he is in Heaven-or somewhere-else I don't know-will change his suggestions now.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Why should the hon. Minister grudge even that?

Shri S. S. More: He is doubtful about the future of the law-makers.

Shri Pataskar: Apart from that, in all seriousness I would say—and that is what I am trying to—that I am not one of those who will say that all that Manu did 2000 years back should be condemned by circumstances that exist in the year 1954. But, I am one of those who feel that we can one of those who feel that we can see what he did; what are the basic principles and if we adhere to them, then only what we are trying to do now is the right thing. The Hindu Law is not a static thing. Manu never meant it to be so and I do not think

any of the commentators who changed it or the customs recognise it. All that point to one factor: that the Hindu society itself—by whatever name you may call it—is not static It is a dynamic process and it is right that in the year 1954 we should take note of the changing circumstances and the changing times. We should try to adapt our laws to the conditions that exist and the Hindu Code is a humble and small attempt in the process of evolution.

For the satisfaction of my learned friend Shri V. G. Deshpande who is here, even if you refer to Manu, Manu himself has said:

# बेदोऽसिस्तं धर्ममूलं स्मृतिशीलं च तद्विदाम्। ग्राचारवर्चेव साधुनामात्मनस्तुष्टिरेव च ॥

These are the principles on which he based his law. It is not that what Manu wrote 2000 years back; what was good in those times will be good now in the year 1954. Even his admirers will not say that. Now, I need not dilate on that point. That is why I said that part of the criticism mainly rest on the plea that this part of the Hindu Code......

Shri Nand Lai Sharma (Sikar): What is meant by भारमनस्तुष्टिः ?

Shri Pataskar: I know Sanskri<sup>t</sup>. fairly well though I may not be as great a Shastri or Pandit as my hon. friend.

Therefore, I would say, that on that ground there is one Mind of objection.

The next thing is we should see what is being done in this Bill. Let us look at it not merely from the point of view of that it is a part of the Hindu Code, but as a piece of what we are doing now on its merit. Then you will find, as I said, that except in some small particulars it entirely conforms with the existing law with regard to the minors among Hindus, only with some variations to which I will come to. On that point, Sir, I claim on the authority of Manu himself that this House has got a right to amend and make suitable laws for the protection

### [Shri Pataskar]

of minors because the Hindu Law vests the guardianship of the minor on the sovereign. This is not an English thing. This is from Manu, Chapter VIII, verse 27. Even in his days, as I said, the basic principles are there. If unfortunately the parents die, there is a minor and nobody takes care of it, even Manu recognises that there must somebody and in case there is none it is the sovereign who will be there. Now, Sir, in the year 1954, sovereigns have gone and the sovereign Parliament is there. Therefore, it is the duty of the Parliament now to make adequate provision for the protection of minors. That is what is being done. You may criticise and you may say that there are some failings. That I can very well understand. I do not understand those learned erudite gentlemen who oppose this merely because something is done by Parliament. Why not we do it?

Another argument which is levelled is that while there is article 44 why do you enact this only for Hindus and not for Muslims and others. Article 44 clearly supports what I am doing now. It says:

"The State shall endeavour to secure for the citizens a uniform civil code throughout the territory of India.

It only says that "The State shall endeavour...", because the Constitution makers also knew that such a task cannot be done immediately. So far as the Hindu Law is concerned there are so many texts and so many interpretations by different codes. Therefore, an attempt is being made to codify them. It is, therefore, as I said, the process of codification of Hindu Law is nothing but an endeavour as envisaged in article 44 to secure for the citizens a uniform civil code. My learned friends who spoke so much about a uniform civil code, I do not know whether ultimately when it comes they will stick to what they say now. But, I can say one thing; that we believe that this Hindu Code is an endeavour on our part to first of all consolidate a very large section admittedly. Because, as was said, it may be applied to Hindus who form a large portion of the people and it will, so far as possible create some unity among them in the first place. Then we will come to the next.

Sardar Hukam Singh (Kapurthala-Bhatinda). If I may be permitted to interfere.....

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Intervene and not 'interfere'.

Sardar Hukam Singh: When Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava spoke the hon. Minister was not here. What he meant was that there is already a code for Hindus and Muslims so far as minority and guardianship is concerned. We are not going to achieve that object which we had laid down in article 44 but we are going against it by now making a law for Hindus separate from the Muslims. That is what he said.

Shri Pataskar: There is also the third type of criticism to which I am just coming to, but these are the two other criticisms. If it is there in the Hindu Code, why do you want this Bill? Virtually it amounts to this: Why is it necessary to have this Bill? Ultimately, some time or other we have to codify, as I said, the branches of the Hindu law and that is the only justification for bringing forward this Bill. We have got the Marriage and Divorce Bill; we are going to have the Hindu Minority and Guardianship Bill passed and we are shortly going to have a further Bill relating to succession amongst Hindus. fore, there is no harm in bringing forward this Bill. What I find is that much of the criticism was based on prejudice, suspicion and fear that this is part of something which is to come before and that it is much better to strike it even at this stage. Otherwise, this is a very innocent measure. There is the Guardians and Wards Act, and the plea was made: Why not. amend the Guardians and Wards Act? The Guardians and Ward Act is still kept intact and this is only a supplemental provision to that Act, only in respect of Hindus, because we are trying to look at it as a part of the Hindu Code, and when the time comes for us to have a uniform Code, naturally it will be looked at from a different point of view.

I will try to analyse some of the criticisms with regard to the details of the Bill. With regard to the interpretations, etc., if there is anything that could be remedied, naturally the Select Committee will take all that into consideration.

About the major changes, first there was an attack on section 2. What is there in section 2? The section only tries to say as to whom the law will be applicable. As we know, at the present moment it is difficult to say it precisely and hence the smaller definition. The Rau Committee tried to frame a definition and what it did was that it put many things by way of illustration, and the Select Committee that was appointed by this House to consider that, instead of doing that, wanted to change it in the form of a section in which it has been put now. Therefore, if somebody suggests a method which would be more appropriate for the purpose, naturally the Select Committee will look into it. The only object is that we want to make this law applicable to all except Christians, Muslims and Parsis, for whom there are some other provisions. Beyond that if it is possible to improve the definition-I think it is hardly possible—the Select Committee will certainly consider it.

Then I go to the application of the Act, The definition of 'minor' is given as a person who has not completed the age of eighteen years. There is hardly anything which could be said to be objectionable there. In the Indian Majority Act, this is in force from 1875, and the age of majority there is approximately correct, and there is no harm in keeping it at that level. We have tried to define who are the natural guardians and, therefore, this was necessary. Who are the natural guardians recognised in the

Hindu law? There are no such guardians recognised in the Muslim or Christian laws. Therefore, this has been done and I also find that there is not any change made in that section. Much of the argument was based on the fact as to why we want this overriding section of the Act. It is true that some of the old Members were there in those days and there was no such provision in the original Hindu Code, but this was thought necessary when the matter went to the Select Committee stage. What is the good of this Bill without this provision? We want to codify the law; we do not want the question of interpretation raked up in the court of law and it is to prevent that that this has been done, and there should be a provision like that as contained in clause 4. By 'natural guardians' we recognise only the father and the mother. Many Members vehemently argued that in joint families, there are uncles and cousins and what not, but at this stage I do not like to take up the question of joint families. So far as the Bill is concerned, I have tried to keep out discussion of that topic, who should be the natural guardians? If at all. 'natural guardians' have to be recognised, they can only be the father and the mother and under this clause we recognise them as the natural guardians. We know that uncles at times may be good. So far as the present. Bill is concerned, there is no bar against any uncle taking care of his nephew. The only thing is that he cannot interfere with the property of the nephew. If such uncles are only going to be good by being able to manage the property of the minor nephew, then it is better that some restriction is put on them. Good uncles will always continue to take care of their nephews, and there is nothing to worry about them so far as the passing of this Bill is concerned. They should not touch the property, which is not the property of the joint family, but which is the property of the nephew. At least we in Maharashtra know-and my friend Shri More also knows—the saying about the managers

## [Shri Pataskar]

minors and there is certainly a prejudice against that. They are dealing with a property which does not belong to them.

The next provision which my hon. friend Shri Bogawat and some other hon. Members vehemently opposed was about the provision that the natural guardians shall take the permission of the Court before dealing with the property. Otherwise, the result has been a lot of litigation up till now. There are so many rulings of the Privy Council, and High Courts and there is a vast amount of litigation on that. Now, if we are trying to justify this on the ground that the uncle requires it for the education of the minor nephew or for the advancement of his interests, why should he not go to the Court? Supposing there is a very honest uncle, very much acting in the interests of the minor, very much in love with the nephew and it does become necessary, then what the law says is that he will make an application to the Court. All these cases likely to be so few.

Shri Altekar: But what time will it take?

Shri Pataskar: It might take time. but he will have to wait. The minor's property will be safe only after the permission of the Court. It belongs to the minor and he wants to dispose of it. Suppose the minor, after becoming a major, will have to go to the Court and the Court will have to see whether such disposal should be set aside. In fifteen years everything might disappear of the minor's property and so protection is the fundamental concern of the sovereign and even in the Hindu law, they make a provision that he will make an application to the Court and the Court's sanction obtained. How that can be shortened is a thing which we might consider at the Select Committee stage. That is a different matter. Does not our experience show that for years we put the minor in such a position that at the time when he wants to agitate against the question of being alienated by the natural guardian, he finds it very difficult? Therefore, a simple provision has been provided that he will make an application to the Court. I looked at the wording of the Gurdians and Wards Act and the provisions of section 29 are not applicable.

Shri S. S. More: Supposing he has gone to the Court and the Court has given permission, will it be res judicate if the minor becomes a major and complains that even this alienation with the permission of the Court is mala fide.

Shri Pataskar: I have examined the question, but I do not think it will be complte res judicata. In actual experience Shri More will find that if there is a minor's estate worth Rs. 10,000, and the guardian proposes sell it, he goes to the market but nobody will offer him more than Rs. 3,000 or Rs. 4,000.

3 P.M.

Shri S. S. More: May I ask.....

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Why should the hon. Members ask about it? If there is a guardian appointed by the Court, for selling the property of the minor that guardian has to apply for the permission of the Court. What happens to that will happen to this. Is it res judicata when a minor files a complaint or a suit for alienation? If it is res judicata there it will be res judicata here also. What is the good of going into those principles which he is copying here?

Shri S. S. More: With due deference, as for as the present position is concerned regarding Hindus, there is some latitude for the minors to contest alienations by his or her guardian.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: He becomes a guardian now.

Shri S. S. More: Are we going to perpetuate some evil because it is already on the statute-book? Shri Pataskar: That matter will be examined, because I do not think it is free from doubt.

Shri S. S. More: That is what I wanted to ask.

Shri Pataskar: But the intial objection raised is: why should we go to Court?

Shri S. S. More: There we agree.
Shri Pataskar: The fundamental object is that the property does not belong to him but to somebody else, which he is protecting. Therefore I do not see there is anything in this point. I believe this was the most hotly contested part of the Bill.

Then, reference was made to the provisions relating to testamentary guardian where it is said that "nothing in this section shall be deemed to authorise any person to act as the guardian of the person of the minor for so long the mother is alive". That also is consistent with the principle that we are enunciating that the father and the mother are naturally the best persons to take care of the interests of the minors. Therefore, even if the father makes a will and appoints a guardian, we do not want to deprive the mother of the guardinship. Suppose it is argued that a woman, on account of ignorance or illiteracy or bad association, is not fit to be the guardian. In that case anybody who is interested, any stranger even, can take advantage of the provisions of the Guarians and Wards Act and make suitable arrangements. Therefore, this also is a very simple provision. The whole idea is to codify the Hindu law with such modifications as are necessitated by the present times so far as this matter is concerned.

Then there was another question as to why, if the Hindu father changes his religion, he should cease to be the guardian. Well, the reason is obvious. At this stage we are going to make provision for the guardianship of minors who are Hindus. Naturally therefore it stands to reason that we should not in this Act say that anybody who cease to be a Hindu and

changes his religion should be the guardian of a minor who is a Hindu. If that man, that Hindu father, by conviction wants to become, say, a Christian, nobody prevents him from becoming a Christian. But in that case it is also desirable that such a sober person who for certain reasons wants to change his religion, may as. well cease to be the guardian of the minor so long as the minor has not reached age when he could decide for himself what religion he should accept. So there is nothing wrong in this provision. This matter has been considered by several committees, by Select Committees of this House, has been discussed in this House for the last fourteen years and more. Therefore I say that this is a very simple measure, and if at all there are somemodifications necessitated, I am prepared to consider them in the Select Committee on their own merits.

As I said, clause 13 is the paramount clause in this Bill, and it gives an idea as to what we propose to do. Everything that is needed for the protection of the minor is being done under this Bill.

Then I was amazed to find that my friend Mr. Chatteriee raised an objection under article 15 of the Constitution. What is it? The article says: that "the State shall not discriminate against any citizen on grounds only of religion". What is the discrimination? Not only that. There is clause (3) of the article which clearly says: that "nothing in this article shall prevent the State from making any special provision for women and children." And this minority questionis a question concerning children for which specifically the provision has been made that "nothing in this article shall prevent the State from making special provision".

Shri S. S. More: What about the different ages for majority?

Shri Pataskar: I will consider that. I am at present concerned only with the objection that was raised.

This is a very simple measure which is necessitated by the change in the

[Shri Pataskar]

circumstances of the society, and I hope it will receive the support not only of those who are anxious to have the Hindu Code early-because that is the common desire of at least the majority of us-but also of other sections who also I am sure will on a deeper consideration come to the conclusion that what we are doing now would have been done by Manu if he were alive today.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I shall now put the motion to the vote of the House. The question is:

"That this House while concurring in the recommendation of the Rajya Saha that the House do join in the Joint Committee of the Houses on the Bill to amend and codify certain parts of law relating to minority and guardianship among Hindus made in the motion adopted by the Rajya Sabha at its sitting held on the 25th August, 1954 communicated to this House on the 27th August, 1954:

- (a) recommends to the Rajya Sabha that the Joint Committee be instructed to report on or before the 31st March, 1955; and
- (b) resolves that the following Members of the Lok Sabha be nominated to serve on the said Shri Joint Committee, namely, Narendra P. Nathwani, Shri Moreshwar Dinkar Joshi, Shri Badshah Gupta, Shri Sohan Lal Dhusiya, Shri P. Ramaswamy, Shri B. L. Chandak, Shri Liladhar Joshi, Shri Mathura Prasad Mishra, Shri Mahendra Nath Singh, Shri Bheekha Bhai, Shri Raghubar Dayal Misra, Shri M. L. Dwivedi, Dr. M. V. Gangadhara Siva, Shri C. R. Narasimhan, Shri H. Siddananjappa, Shrimati Subhadra Joshi, Shrimati Palchoudhuri, Shri Kanhu Charan Jena, Shri Bimalaprosad Chaliha

Shri Bhola Raut, Shri P. Kanavade Patil, Sardar Hukam Singh, Shri S. V. L. Narasimham. Shrimati Renu Chakravartty, Shri Anandchand, Shri Shankar Shantaram More, Shri Jaswantraj Mehta, Shri K. S. Raghavachari, Shri Bhawani Singh, and H. P. Pataskar."

The motion was adopted.

## PREVENTIVE DETENTION (AMEND. MENT) BILL

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The House will now take up the Preventive Detention (Amendment) Bill.

Shri S. S. More (Sholapur): It is a very innocent Bill!

The Minister of Home Affairs and States (Dr. Katju): I beg to move:

"That the Bill further to amend the Preventive Detention Act. 1950, be taken into consideration."

I find that notice has been given of motions to refer this short to a Select Committee and there is also a motion to circulate it for eliciting public opinion. In the normal course I would not have had any objection for reference of Bill to a Select Committee or Joint Committee but in this particular case I am unable to take that course, for really there is nothing to consider about. The Bill is one of the shortest imaginable. It merely desires the House to change "1954" into "1957", to extend the Act by another period of three years.

You must remember that two years ago, this House spent a considerable time, I believe days and days, going over this Bill or rather Act in great detail. Clause by clause it was considered. At that time, the Select Committee went Into Amending Bill at very great length and then it was open to a general discussion in this House. By consent of the Government, the whole Billwas thrown open to amendment and The present Act presents the considered views of this Parliament-I emphasise this aspect -of this Parliament and the as it emerged was one of the most sensible and from the point of of the detenu himself, the most. lenient that could be conceived of. India. There are many persons in competent persons, responsible persons, many State Governments who hold the opinion that this Parliament has gone to the length of making the Bill quite insufficient inadequate to serve the purpose hand. Therefore, there is nothing in this Bill which cannot be conveniently considered on the floor of the House in a measurable time: The which the hon. Speaker has allowed for this discussion is, in my opinion, more than sufficient for a discussion of a Bill of this nature. Nothing is to be gained either be circulating it for eliciting public opinion or referring it to a Select Committee. We represent public opinion here: I mean the whole Parliament.

Shri K. K. Basu (Diamond Harbour): We do not.

Dr. Katju: My hon. friends may say that they do not represent public opinion. It is open to them to say so. I claim that I represent the public opinion of the whole of India.

An Hon, Member: Which India?

Dr. Katju: There is nothing to be considered by a Joint Select Committee. Let us proceed to discuss it and finish it.

Yesterday, I had the honour of placing on the Table of the House a statement which gives all possible information from every angle which the House may require, for getting factual information. I should like to repeat it here for your preliminary consideration. The statement covers the year beginning with 1st October, 1953 and ending with 30th September,

1954. On the 1st October, 1953, there were under detention throughout India 154 detenus. I respectfully submit that the very size of this figure will go to show that the Act had been very very carefully and sparingly used by the State Governments.

Shri K. K. Basu: Then, why have it?

Dr. Katju: In many States, it had not been used at all. The State Governments either were too lenien: or they did not find it necessary utilise it. But, in the big States, in the important States like Bombay, West Bengal and some others, course had to be had to the Bill Because in Calcutta, there is the great Octerloney monument where you can hold meetings of all sorts and description and in Bombay you have the great maidans—I do not know what they call it there.

Shri Gidwani (Thana): The Azad Maidan. It was used by us to fight the freedom struggle.

Shri K. K. Basu: He has foregotton all that.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Freedom has been won.

Dr. Katju: Mr. Deputy-Speaker, am I to be interrupted in this way or am I to go on?

Shri K. K. Basu: We can also go on.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Let the hon. Minister continue.

Dr. Katju: The second thing that I would like the House to apply its mind to is that it would be a travesty of facts to say that this Act has been used in the past for the purpose of suppressing any political party—none at all.....

Shri V. G. Deshpande (Guna): That is true.....

Dr. Katju:......to those doctrines or political theories I do not subscribe. The Act was used for the

## [Dr. Katju]

purposes strictly defined by Parliament. I shall come to that feature of the case in a moment. During the year, 154 was the stock with which we started. In the 12 months ending 30th September, 1954, altogether 280 people—I am not sure about 280, between 250 and 260 persons—were detained:

Shri K. K. Basu: In addition to that number?

Dr. Katju: Some by the order the State Governments passed directly, but in the majority of cases, the orders were passed by subordinate authorities like the District Magistrate, Additional District Magistrates specially empowered in that behalf, and Commissioners of Police. may remember that the Act prescribes that a person may be detained by an order passed by the State Government or he may detained by the District Magistrates order. But in such cases, the District Magistrate is directed to communicate at once to the State Government and unless the State Government ratifies or approves order within 12 days of its passing, the order stands revoked. There. fore, while the District Magistrates had passed orders in many cases, in 227 cases throughout the whole India, the orders were approved and in 54 cases, the orders were not approved.

Then came the subsequent procedure. You are familiar with procedure. As soon as a man detained, he is to be supplied with a very detailed statement called the grounds of detention. The High Courts and the Supreme Court have very clearly that aid down tatement of grounds of detention hould not be a vague one, ot be an indefinite one, but should a precise and specific so that etenu may know clearly and absoitely definitely as to why he has been detained. In some cases, I believe altogether in about 15 cases or

14 cases, the High Courts and Supreme Court have ordered release on the ground that this statement of grounds of detention was not sufficiently specific. Then, the cases to the Advisory Board. I may here at the outset that the Advisory Board is a purely judicial body. consists of three persons who are either Judges of the High Courts or who have been Judges of the Courts or who are qualified to appointed as Judges of High Courts. The Chairman must be either a Judge of a High Court or an individual who has been a Judge of a High Court. They are entitled to ask for any information they like, and allow person they like to appear before them. The detenu is entitled appear before them as a matter of right. They considered this matter most carefully. What was the result? The result was, while they ordered release in the case of 65 detenusthey thought that the grounds were not sufficient or that having been in detention for some time, it enough-and they need not be detained any more-they confirmed the Government action in 123 cases. They thought that the Government's action was justified. Twenty-nine cases were pending when the closed on the 30th September. would remind the House that under the Act, the case must be referred to an Advisory Board within 30 days and the Advisory Board is enjoined to convey its opinion on the advisability or the propriety or otherwise of detention within six weeks. decision of the Advisory Board is. therefore, available within ten weeks of the date of detention.

It may be interesting to note. Sir, that detenus took full advantage of the right of appearance before the Advisory Board. I remember in 1952 during the course of the debate attached the greatest importance this privilege which was being conferred upon detenus, and I said theo, it is true that there was no right of

representation by lawyers before the Advisory Board, but they will find the members of the Advisory Board very sympathetic. They can talk with the detenus face to face, man to man and they can form their opinion as to the strength of the case wheher it was well-founded or ill-founded. Indeed, if they were lawyers, judges may become suspicious; but if they have a talk with the litigant, the natural tendency is to take a symathetic view of the case. I found that 119 detenus took full advantage of the privilege given to them to consult lawyers and to have their statement by way of defence prepared with legal assistance and no less than 174 persons were present. They sought permission to appear before the Advisory Board and to put their case before the members of the Board. The Board sent for further information from the State Governments-they did so in 57 cases-and at the instance of the detenu, further information was called for and they considered 47 cases. The result of that was that at the end of the year 245 persons had been released either recommendation of Advisory Board or by the State Governments themselves directly prior to the expiration of the period of one year or by the order of the High Court. Some people served out their period of one year and the net result was that on the 30th September, 1954. there were 131 persons in detention. I understand that out of these, some have been released during the two months. I am not quite what the number to-day is.

The House would also have noticed this pamphlet entitled "Statistical information regarding the working of the Preventive Detention Act". In that book which was circulated, Hon. Members will find at page 14 that 104 persons were ordered to be detained for violent activities, indulging in such activities or preaching violence; 40 for goondaism; 8 for student agitation; 2 for impeding essential supplies by inciting workers

to strike; 25 for communal activities; 7 for espionage and anti-State activities; 28 for criminal activities; 5 for terrorism; I for bad character and 43 for harbouring dacoits. That makes a grand total of altogether 261 persons who were detained during the last twelve months.

Now, I suggest to you that the Act has been most carefully worked and you will not find a single individual who can claim to say with confidence that he has been or was detained for mere expression of political opinion. Mere expression of political opinion is not enough. You must proceed further and you must also either preach violence or indulge in acts of violence.

Therefore, I submit that population of 360 millions, such Act serves a most useful purpose. I have stated in the Statement Objects and Reasons that the value of the Act is psychological. I repeat that it has a restraining effect. Speaking personally for myself, astonished at the moderation with which it has been used. Please member what happens. When there is an agitation it leads to riots; leads to shooting; it leads to firing. We have had during the last two or three months several cases. We had a case—which is now under judicial enquiry-at Indore. What happened? There was a student gathering. There were members of different parties-I would not indulge in any acrimonious details here—they surrounded Secretariat; they wanted to get into the offices. They went and set fire to the High Court building.

An Hon. Member: This is a subjudice case.

Dr. Katju: When that took place, we had to open fire and ten people were killed. What do you want? Do you want in the name of what you call constitutional freedom...

An Hon, Member: Who killed the ten people—police or....

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Saying that 10 people were killed, is it also subjudice? Order, order. The hon. Minister must sit down. I am only trying to intervene to have smoothness in the House for the Hon. Minister to go on. All that I am saying is that when a matter is sub judice, no doubt it ought not to be raised on the floor of the House. But the Minister is only stating ten persons died on the spot, whoever may be responsible for that. The point is not that the police fired somebody else fired. It will be cided by the Enquiry.

Shri K. K. Basu: He said that members of different parties were there and they surrounded the Secretariat and so on.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Surely, cannot be said that animals into the High Court. Men went into the High Court and they must belong to some political party. Even goonwithin this. das have come political goondas may take advantage of this and may bring some dispute with the political parties. That will he a matter for decision by the High Court or any other Court. But the Hon. Minister is entitled to say, this is what happened without stating that this party was responsible or this individual was responsible. ever might be the goonda, he does not say this or that.

Shri Raghavachari (Pennkonda): The only point is whether the Preventive Detention Act is required to control that situation. The ordinary law is more than enough.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon.

Member, if he gets a chance, will argue that way. The hon. Minister may go on.

Dr. Katju: The point I was making was that either you take action under the Preventive Detention Act in time or you face these further difficulties. namely, riots, firing and all sorts of troubles, murders and tragic in-

cidents. Such things have taken place in many places during the last few years. I am not blaming either this side or that side, but the point remains that this Act is a most salutary. Act and is intended to serve a good purpose, is not intended and does not in any way and has not in the past in any way interfered with or obstructed or put any ban on political activities. This I am entitled to say.

Now, a point has been made in the course of public discussions and I am absolutely in no doubt that it will be made here in the course of the debate. You will have, if I may be allowed to say so, torrents of eloquence on the copy book style, viz., freedom and fundamental rights and so on and so forth, but...

Shri S. S. More: May we know. Sir, what is this copy book style?

Shri Bogawat (Ahmednagar South): There should not be any interruption, He should be allowed to speak.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Why are they so touchy? I am only saying...

Shri S. S. More: Why should they be so rude?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: There is no question of rudeness. The hon. Minister means slogans, they are all copied.

Shri S. S. More: They are the Congress slogans.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: It does not matter. I can be asked to intervene only in cases where an expression is unparliamentary. There is nothing unparliamentary...

Shri S. S. More: Nothing.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Saying "to be parrot-like", "copy book" and so on are quite parliamentary.

Shri Gidwani rose--

Dr. Katju: If there is a single Member who is entitled to make a complaint that all sorts of things are said against him, it is myself, and I never complain. But these gentlemen, I tell you, are of such tender skin that they are hurt even by a very gentlemanly word like "copy book".

I am saying it is desirable that we should understand the structure of our Constitution. I have heard it said by some people: "Oh, where is the emergency? You can only have a Preventive Detention Act in a state of emergency." Now, I say that our Constitution, framers did not think in that way at all. They had put it, so far as the emergency is concerned, in a separate Chapter. Mr. Deputy-Speaker, you will remember there is a provision in the Constitution. The articles from 352 of the Constitution...

Shri B. S. Murthy (Eluru): Is it a copy book?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Order, order. No such reference should be made to the Constitution.

Dr. Katju: ...which deal with emergency provisions. Article authorises the President, when he is satisfied that a grave emergency exists whereby the security of India or of any part of the territory thereof is threatened, whether by war or external aggression or internal disturbance. to declare a state emergency. When he does that, certain consequences follow, and one of consequences is embodied article 353; and more particularly in article 358 it is provided that when a proclamation of emergency is operation, nothing in article 19 shall restrict the power of the State to make any law or to take any action. Then, all our fundamental rights are suspended. To borrow the language of the English law, in a state of emergency the writ of habeas corpus is suspended, and then what occurs is that the executive Government of the day is empowered to put any son under any restraint and there is

no recourse to any law Court. And here, in our Constitution also, article 359 provides for that, viz., you may do what you like, you may frame any rule you like, and you may also stop or restrict recourse to law Courts for the time being while the emergency lasts.

So far as the Preventive detention is concerned, it is a part of Part III, and it is considered by the Constitution-makers as an ordinary piece of legislation. Please remember....

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava (Gurgaon): Fundamental right?

Shri K. K. Basu: Routine and simple piece of legislation.

Shri Gidwani: Then, why this one year, two years, three years business?

Shri S. S. More: So, preventive detention is part of our fundamental rights?

Shri V. G. Desphande: Yes.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: Yes.

Dr. Katju: Mr. More intends to be and endeavours to be humourous, but sometimes I do not see the humour at all.

Shri S. S. More: I cannot help it.

Dr. Katju: Article 22 provides that no person who is arrested shall be detained unless he is produced before a Magistrate. Then, it provides for legal advice or legal assistance. Then comes clause (3). This is a part of the fundamental rights as modified or as circumscribed:

"Nothing in clauses (1) and (2) shall apply—

- (a) to any person who for the time being is an enemy alien; or
- (b) to any person who is arrested or detained under any law providing for preventive detention".

-not by any law passed during an emergency, but by any law providing

[Dr. Katju]

for preventive detention. And then you have further provisions as to....

Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy (Mysore): That is a blot on the Constitution.

Dr. Katju: ...what is to happen in the case of preventive detention.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Hon. Members must know that we are subject to a Constitution. Again and again I would like to say that whenever the character of a high personage is impeached or any reference is sought to be made to the Constitution, less it is a specific motion relating to the amendment of the Constitution or relating to his conduct where -conduct is impeached and some step is sought to be taken against such references either to the Constitution or to the high dignitary are out of order, ought not to be made.

Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy rose-Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Order. order. The hon. Members who have come here have taken a solemn oath that they will abide by the Constitution. Making such casual references there is a blot in the Constitution, until by a proper process the Constitution is changed, will be a breach of the privilege of this House and the manner in which the hon, member has come to this House. After having taken the oath, this kind of slighting of the Constitution is improper, is a breach of privilege of the whole House, and a neglect of duty on the part of any hon. Membber who does 50.

Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy rose— Shri N. C. Chatterjee (Hooghly): Is it not open to us to say that the hon. Minister is clearly wrong when he says that it is a fundamental right to be preventively detained under this Constitution?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: That is another matter. That is not the matter I am referring to. I am now on the point raised by Mr. Gurupadaswamy

that this clause or article in the Constitution is a blot on the Constitution. I would say that so long as any hon. Member has come to this House owing allegiance to the Constitution, having taken a solemn affirmation or oath, he is not entitled to say that a particular clause or article is a blot on the Constitution except in a case where the Constitution itself- is the subject matter under discussion and an attempt is made to remove that particular blot in the article. Then, it is open to him,

Shri Bogawat: He must withdraw.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: ... to say so. Not otherwise.

Shri S. S. More: May I bring to your notice that many of us are elected on the specific platform of amending the Constitution, because there are certain reactionary principles in it, according to us. You took the oath in 1937 to the Constitution as it then prevailed and you came in to rectify the Constitution. Can we not go in the same direction to some extent?

Shri Bogawat: If the hon, Member does not withdraw...

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Hon, Members might have said anything elsewhere, and all that is over-ruled by their having taken the oath of allegiance here.

Shri S. S. More: You took the oath in 1937.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: There is no good referring to my actions in 1937.

Shri S. S. More: I am referring to the Congress, not to you.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: It is wrong. It can only say that I was not called upon, nor was I Deputy-Speaker, the to give a ruling. Now, the matter ha arisen. Hon. Members might have said so many things, that they would change the whole character of the

State. Let them do so. It is open to Hon. Members to come into the House and carry on any kind of agitation subject to restrictions of law. In so far as they have come to this House and have taken a solemn oath they will abide by and carry out the Constitution, nothing will be allowed here to be said against the articles of the Constitution, derogatory to Constitution. They can have anv kind of interpretation of the Constitution. But to say that the Constitution is a blot is against the Rules of the House, against decorum and order and ought not to be allowed.

Shri S. S. More: Under what rule? We ought to know the rule.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The rule that I am in charge of the privileges of the House. The privilege of House is that Members who have come here have taken an oath allegiance and are bound by the Constitution, and nothing derogatory to the Constitution can be said.

Shri S. S. More: May I bring your notice that if there is any breach of privilege, you will have to refer it to the Privileges Committee? You cannot give an ex parte ruling.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I cannot go on allowing all sorts of abuse about the Constitution and then refer it to the Privileges Committee.

Shri A. K. Gopalan (Cannanore): It means that we cannot say anything about the Constitution, we cannot show the defects in the Constitution.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: You cannot abuse the Constitution here. (Inter ruptions). I won't allow that. That is my ruling.

Shri A. K. Gopalan: question of abusing the Constitution. 1 Shri K. K. Basu: Under which rule are we required not to criticise Constitution? (Interruptions).

It is not a

Shri H. N. Mukerjee (Calcutta North-East): This is a very portant matter and you must listen to 550 LS

our position very carefully. I wish to say that we are here certainly after having taken a certain oath which is this, that we shall act here in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution, we shall not be disloyal to the Constitution. But, at the same time, we have come here certain political ideologies-good bad or indifferent-and it is our job not only in the country, not only outside Parliament, but also inside this House, to convince this House during discussion of different Drc. visions which come before us. that this Constitution is not adequate in order to serve the interests of people. We have been elected on the express understanding on the of our people that we want a radical change in this Constitution.

Dr. Suresh Chandra (Aurangabad) You cannot abuse the Constitution.

Shri S. S. More: This is not abusing the Constitution.

Shri K. K. Basu: Learn the English language and know what is meaning of 'abuse'.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Wo do not otherwise abuse, unless we are driven to do so by rowdies opposite. It is only....

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I am not going to allow the hon. Member to say that Members on the other side are rowdies (Interruptions),

Acharya Kripalani (Bhagalpurcum-Purnea): When you say that a particular thing is a blot on the beauty of a person, that means that person is beautiful. This is really commending our Constitution. Wo do not want to be blemished. ruptions).

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: I do not know whether it is to be explained away by the facetiousness of the Acharya But I want you very seriously consider this. We are here from time to time to express ourselves certainly

[Shri H. N. Mukerjee]

in regard to the legislation which Government may bring before us. That, necessarily, drives us from time to time to express ourselves in regard to the inadequacies of the Constitution. Inside this House we do not say anything which goes against the Constitution. But we want to convince this country by our work inside the House, and not only by our work outside the House, that this Constitution requires to be changed. That being so, I do not see how you can rule that any reflection on the Constitution is so unparliamentary that it has got to be discountenanced altogether. In regard to the expression 'a blot on the Constitution', you have to give your ruling. You must make up your mind as to whether an expression like that is tantamount to the expression of a desire to be actively disloyal to the Constitution. As long as we are here, we are determined to express our views, within the ambit of the Constitution, but we do not conceal our desire to tell our people as well as our Members in this House that this Constitution is not adequate. We shall take advantage of this Constitution in far as it goes, but we want it to go very much further than it does. That being so, I wish you would consider your ruling very carefully and not, on the spur of the moment, say something which will unnecessarily damage the interests of the smooth proceedings of this House, particularly in regard to this very serious legislation which the hon, the Home Minister ....

#### Shri N. C. Chatterjee: rose-

Shri N. M. Lingam (Coimbatore): On a point of order. The hon. Memper referred to Members on this side as 'rowdies.' I want your ruling on that, as to whether it is parliamentary or not.

Shri S. S. More: Whether they are rowdies' or not?

Many Hon. Members rose-

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I do not like the expression by the hon, the Deputy Leader of the Communist group, the expression that Members on the other side are 'rowdies'. I do not know what words are used in other Parliaments. So far as I am concerned, I feel that this expression seems to be out of taste and I do not think the hon, the Deputy Leader of the Communist group ever meant this to apply to the others. I would be glad if he says that he did not mean it and would therefore withdraw it.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: I would certainly say that we are not interested in abusing the other side. I said only that if we abuse, it is because of provocation, but certainly I did not want to reflect on any particular Member.

Some Hon. Members: No.

Shri N. M. Lingam: He said he abused because of the 'rowdies' opposite. We take the strongest exception to it.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: In a democratic set-up like this, in Parliament, good will must be maintained.

If an hon. Member accuses others as 'rowdies', he can easily out of goodness, withdraw that. I would only say that it will be right that he should withdraw. There is no harm in saving so. (Interruptions). It always redounds to the credit of the hon. Member to say that he withdraws it.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: I have no objection to withdraw that.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: May I now draw your attention...

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I would immediately say one thing. It is, no doubt an important matter. I do not want to lay down any rule just now. I gave what I felt to be the first impression. It is a very serious and very important matter. I do not want to curtail the privilege of any

hon. Member or any group of Memwith if consistent their bers, allegiance to the Constitution, thev make any observations relating to the Constitution. I will look into If hon, Members matter in detail. want to say a few words, I am prepared to hear them, but I will serve my ruling regarding this matter till I have deeply considered it sooked into the other authorities.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: The oath that each of us took was:

"I..... do solemnly affirm that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the Constitution of India as by law established and that I will faithfully discharge the duty upon which I am about to enter".

I maintain that to criticise any article out of the 395 articles of the Constitution is not at all repugnant our oath, and we are perfectly within our rights to do so, and we are anything to show lack allegiance to the Constitution of India, simply because we point out there is a flaw in it which should be remedied to bring it in conformity concepts which with certain embodied in this very Constitution. like freedom of speech, freedom expression and also freedom of movement. I think that has nothing to do with the violation of our oath.

Shri S. S. More: According to this very oath, we are under a deep obligation to discharge our responsibilities. So if we are to discharge our responsibilities, we are to discharge our responsibility to the fundamental rights of the people, the fundamental conceptions of democracy, which are the basis and foundation of democracy, and not a paper book.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The only limited point is....

Shri S. S. More: My submission is that when we are seeking by legitimate and peaceful means the amendment of the Constitution, we are discharging the most sacred part of our obligation to the people, because it is the people who are sovereign.

Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy: I am second to none in my allegiance to the Constitution. (Interruptions).

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: It is superfluous.

Shri S. S. More: The double negative is for emphasis.

Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy: I am as much eager as any others in fending the provisions of the Consituation. By merely saying that a particular provision of the Constitution is wrong, is slur on the Constitution, it does not in any mean that I am violating the spirit of the Constitution, that I am violating the oath of allegiance to the Constitution. It does not mean anything of the kind. I believe that Member of the House is entitled to have the privilege of expressing opinion on the various provisions. In the past, we have seen many expressions of opinion. Members have expressed their opinions on various provisions and criticised the provisions of the Constitution. My expression here does not in any way go against my allegiance to the Constitution. I am certainly entitled to say that particular provision is a blot on the Constitution.

Dr. Suresh Chandra: No, no.

Shri S. S. More: Why not?

Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy: If I am deprived of the right of expression, I am deprived of a valuable right; it is my privilege and it is my right to pass my opinion on any part of the Constitution, on any provision of the Constitution, and that right should not be abridged or abrogated.

Shri V. G. Deshpande: I wish to make a submission for one minute. My contention is this. We have taken an oath that we will be loyal to the Constitution and that very Constitution to which we are loyal has put

#### [Shri V. G. Deshpande]

upon Parliament another duty responsibility, that is, the amendment of the Constitution. And, when it is the duty of the Members of Parliament to amend the Constitution wherever they feel that it is not adequate or is inconsistent with fundamental rights guaranteed . this very Constitution. I say, it is not only not wrong to criticise a particular article of the Constitution, but it is the duty of every Member, he feels so, to move an amendment of the Constitution with the object of amending that particular article. We can make a reference to it without losing the dignity and if we, in constitutional manner, say that this Preventive Detention Act shows that this article of the Constitution is blot on the great Constitution which we have created. I think, it is only not doing any wrong but it is the duty of all of us to express opinion. Without expressing opinions, we will not be discharging our duty as Members of Parliament and, therefore, I request that ruling should be given barring us from expressing our opinions on particular provisions of the Constitution.

Acharya Kripalani: May I say, Sir, in England they say, 'The King is dead, Long Live the King'. The English people have executed their kings, sent them into exile, have kicked them away and they have taken the oath of loyalty to the King. It is an oath of loyalty to the institution and not to a person.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Nobody denies the right of the hon. Member or Members to amend the Constitution. but when the amendment of the Constitution is not before the House, day in and day out to say that this Constitution is wretched, is a blot and so on and so forth...........

Shri Asoka Mehta (Bhandara): Nobody has said that this Constitution is wretched. We are all with you when you say that nothing should be said or done in this House or outside

which would cause disrespect towards the Constitution. No one present here would permit anyone to do that. All that is being argued out is that there are certain provision in Constitution which were put in there (interruption) because of the peculiar circumstances in which the Constitution was drafted. After all. country was partitioned; after all. we achieved our freedom after great travail and suffering. The imprint of these circumstances is there on our Constitution and some of us feel that the time has come that the imprints those particular circumstances should be removed. We also feel that the Constitution should enshrine noblest ideals that we have cherished during our long freedom movement. If a certain compromise had to made at a particular time, we feel that the time has come and that we should be given an opportunity to convince you, to convince our fellow-Members and to convince the larger public outside that the time has come when some of the limitations in which the Constitution was framed should removed. Surely, Sir, that is only right that we are asking exercise. We are not here to spread disrespect towards the Constitution. If your ruling is towards disrespect to the Constitution, not one of us going to object because we all respect the Constitution and the basis democracy lies in respecting the Constitution. The Constitution is a living document, it is a document that has got to change, it is a document that is expected to respond to the wishes and aspirations of the pepole. And, because that document was drawn up in peculiar circumstances, that is all the more reason why this first Parliament, elected on the basis of adult franchise, should be given the opportunity to express its opinion from time to time, may be through solutions, may be through motions, but if need be, through obiter dicta and other expressions on other provisions and also to say what

Members, consider to be relevant as far as the provisions of the Constitution are concerned.

Shri A. K. Gopalan: I have only to say there is an article in the Constitution about compensation. Are we not entitled to say that there should be no compensation or compensation should not be given? Are we not entitled also to say why this clause on compensation was there in the Constitution? I do not want to enlarge on it, but I want only to say, we have every right to speak about the Constitution, to amend the - Constitution and to point out that, as far as the people, a large majority of the people outside are concerned, there are very serious amendments to be made. If that is not so what else is it? You have raised a very fundamental point and that is a very important point. What we have to say is whether we have any right at all to say that certain provisions or articles in the Constitution are against the interests of the people of this country and so we do not want them here. If we have no right, them there is no question of changing or amending the Constitution at all.

Shri T. K. Chaudhury (Berhampore): I have only to point out that the Minister was justifying this Bill with reference to this particular provision of the Constitution. So, we have also a right at least to express our opinion on that aspect. If the hon. Minister had not brought up this point, perhaps, this acrimonious debate in the House would not have arisen.

Shri Keshavaiengar (Bangalore-North): I do not think you are not saying that the hon. Minister or anybody in this House has not the right of amending the Constitution. It is only to prevent an abuse of the Constitution. To say that it is a blot on the Constitution is a blot on the Member who said it (Interruption).

Shri Gidwani: Sir, is it right to say that it is a blot on the Member?

Shri Tek Chand (Ambala-Simla): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, we have to draw a distinction between offering our comments on one feature of the Constitution and the whole of the Constitution. We have also to remember that our Constitution is the Magna Carta of our freedom, of our democracy and of our liberty, That being so, it is a sacred document, it is a solemn document and any word of a derogatory nature, any word which is derisive or any word which casts an opprobrium on the Constitution as such should be taboo and ought not to be indulged in.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I will reserve my ruing on this point. I have heard all sections of the House. There is no denying the fact that in a constitution, and amendment of the Constitution, and amendment of the Constitution can be tabled and, on that occasion, every one of the features of the particular portions which are sought to be amended can certainly be referred to on the floor of the House. On this, all sections are agreed.

When that is not the regular subject matter, to say generally by way of obiter dicta, as said by Shri Asoka Mehta, whether that has to be allowed or not will require serious sideration. Excepting on the proper occasion where a motion is tabled or the appropriate procedure is taken for amending the Constitution, in all other side ways reference to the Constitution in derogatory terms is allowable or not, is the main point for consideration. This matter arose with respect to the use of the expression. "That is a blot on the Constitution' by Shri Gurupadaswamy. I will consider the position (i) whether such expressions are derogatory and (ii) whether, inciwhen the matter is dentally. directly coming up before us, any hon. Member is entitled to say that not in conformity with this is latest development of political stitutions here or elsewhere: and when we are acting under the Constitution to say that the Constitution itself is wrong-whether that is proper or not -- I will consider,

[Mr. Deputy-Speaker]

Regarding Shri Chaudhuri's remark that the whole thing was provoked or has arisen on account of the Minister referring to this, I say, hon. Minister referred to this because he has to justify the Bill and he is entitled to say why we should have this preventive detention. There are so many items like the freedom of speech, the liberty of action are guaranteed under the Constitution. This is a kind of restriction, according to the Home Minister, intended to guarantee the very rights that have been guaranteed under the Constitution. In general, the majority of the population have been guaranteed certain rights. If there are certain persons who interfere with that right. that interference will have to done away with and for that a safeguard is provided. Therefore, when he referred to preventive detention as an ordinary one, and not as an emergency provision, that is intended by way of safeguarding the very fundamental rights given under the Constitution, I would say he is not irrelevant. I find that he has done well in referring to this portion which has given him, under the ordinary law, a right in exceptional cases.

Shri T. K. Chaudhuri: I never said that he was irrelevant. He was prefectly relevant in referring to that particular article in the Constitution. We are also equally relevant in referring to that article of the Constitution, how far retaining that article itself is a wrong as against the Constitution.

Acharya Kripalani: Before you give your ruling, you will please consider the Constitution as one thing and one article of the Constitution as another. One particular article or section is different from the whole. A person may be loyal to the Constitution and yet may want to change any one particular article.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon.

Member has not understood the implication of my suggestion completely. Today, a certain action is being taken under a particular article of the Constitution. We are not trying amend the Constitution. Even if this Preventive Detention Bill is thrown out, that article will still remain in the Constitution. Therefore, any reference to that article, commending it or opposing it, is not going to alter the present position so far as the Constitution stands. So long as appropriate proceedings are not taken to amend the Constitution, whether, incidentally one can go on casting aspersions on a particular portion or portions of the Constitution is only point for consideration and I will consider it deeply.

4 P.M.

Shri Asoka Mehta: There are two points; one is whether there is derogatory expression and the other whether it can be made about the Constitution or any clause or article in the Constitution. This question has arisen from the fact that a certain statement was made. Whether that statement is derogatory or not: because Acharya Kripalani has raised that and said that a particular thing is a blot on Constitution the or a on a person is really praising that thing or person. I do not whether he was quite serious about it.....

Acharya Kripalani: I was serious.

Shri Asoka Mehta: .....but we know this is a very important point. If your ruling is 'finat the expression like 'blot on the Constitution' is not derogatory, then the whole question of giving a ruling does not arise.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: 'Blot on the Constitution' is not a complimentary statement.

Shri Asoka Mehta: If it is your ruling that it is not a derogatory statement, then the larger ruling does not arise from it. Shri V. G. Deshpande: On a point of order. A rule has been made by the Business Advisory Committee that private Members cannot move any amendment to the Constitution. Under such circumstances, the remedy of moving an amendment to the Constitution is not open to us. I want a ruling on this point.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: No ruling is called for now. This does not arise out of the proceedings before us or out of any behaviour in the House.

Dr. Katju: I was referring to a very small matter, namely, that when we are talking of fundamental rights, then those fundamental rights should be taken in the contexts which are described and with all the contexts in which they are described. I was not saying that the Constitution may or may not be amended in this matter. I am taking the Constitution as it stands. Every Constitution, as you were pleased to say just now, takes particular care to see that law and order should be maintained, should prevail in the country, and every Constitution provides and must provide that tranquil conditions should prevail. Take our Code of Criminal Procedure which we discussed for so many days. You are aware that there is Part IX beginning with section 106 and the heading of it is "Chapter VIII, Prevention of Offences". So, this doctrine of action is intend not only to punish the offenders committing offences, but also to check and prevent the commission of crimes and it is an unquestioned doctrine of jurisprudence everywehere in every country of the world. Similarly, they were providing in the Criminal Procedure Code, and here, when the Constitution granted our fundamental rights, Constitution-framers became aware at once that these fundamental rights may be violated, may be exercised against or may be professed for their exercise which may lead to violent commotion, violent disturbances, violent disorder.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Let me understand the scope of the Bill. The House passed a Bill last time, extending the Preventive Detention Act, 1950. for a further period. Therefore, we are not going into the justification of passing that legislation from day to day but we are concerned with this, that is, what is the justification today, for continuing that legislation today, and extending it particular period. That is the main point. Whether the House has got a right to pass a law or not. long as an article, a law, remains on the statute-book, the rights flow to us through that law. That law should be exercised, but how it is to be exercised, and if it is exercised, in what manner—whether by continuing it or not-is the only point for discussion before the House. The hon. Minister has to satisfy hon. Members here with whatever material he has. But if he thinks he has supplied them with statistical inforthen he cannot explain further. The matter that has to be clenched is: what are the peculiar circumstances which necessitate the continuance; whether those considerations which were prevalent at the when this House accepted this last time continue in all their force or whether they have become softened; whether it is necessary to continue the measure or not. Let us focus attention on this particular point ingeneralisations. stead of going into Nobody denies the right.

Dr. Katju: You will hear them now —they will deny it.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I think hereafter they would not deny it. I am only saying that so long as the stitution stands, there is no good denying it. The right is there. Whether the exercise of that right is proper or not proper is the only point.

Dr. Katju: I think it is worthwhile, before I develop my main point, to remind the ficuse that the Constitution gives full power to Parliament to [Dr. Katju]

pass any law. The law, as it stands is not lightly worded. May I remind the House of the activities which are contemplated by the Preventive Detention Act which Parliament passed last time? They are activities which are prejudicial to the defence of India, to the relations of India with foreign powers or the security India or the security of the State or maintenance of public order and the maintenance of supplies and services essential to the community. are the matters to which the Preventive Detention Act is directed and action can only be taken under this Act to secure and maintain these particular objectives. That is matter of fundamental importance. Then the Act says, in compliance with the Constitution, that man who is ordered to be detained, has got a fair trial, a fair investigation, before an independent officer. Under the Criminal Procedure Code, we have got preventive sections where the matter goes before a Magistrate. These are matters of vital importance. judicial machinery which is provided has the right to hear the man. man has the right to appear before an Advisory Board. As I said at beginning of my speech, the Advisory Board consists of the highest of lawyers or judges of the highest It is a judicial tribunal. It is not an administrativé tribunal. It is perfectly correct that before that judicial tribunal there is no right of representation through lawyers and there is no right of representation that way. You can go there in person, and there is no open trial.

Shri Gidwani: He is not coming to the point.

Dr. Katju: Every one knows—and my friend Shri N. C. Chatterjee knows it—that it is not the essence of a judicial enquiry either, to receive representations through lawyers or to have an open trial. Every day, in courts of law, when an application is

made to a judge, because public interests may require secrecy or the departmental interests may require secrecy, he may order a trial to held in camera. The judge may order that the court may be cleared. Secondly, establishing you are panchayats, courts of minor description where lawyers should not be mitted to come in. So, the basic point must be remembered. Here, Board or the body which is sitting in judgment over the executive action is an independent body. Then question is, is their opinion binding or is it purely an advisory body purely its executive capacity? Advisory Board is a judicial body and its opinion is final. I therefore submit that it was a mockery to say that the Preventive Detention Act is an arbitrary Act, that it invests the executive with enormous powers and that there is no remedy given to the person. An hon, Member asked me to come to the point. The point is this: that we are passing through difficult days; it is not only India that is concerned with all sorts opinions prevailing but the outside world also. There are many things which I cannot say in public here. (Interruption).

Shri S. S. More: Are we some

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The outside world. The article is misplaced. That is all.

Dr. Katju: If one hon. Member interrupts, I might answer, but if six Members stand up, I cannot speak with six voices.

Now, I respectfully submit that I do not want to make any assertion or any reference to any political party, but my hon. friend, Shri H. N. Mukerjee, in his eloquent manner and Shri A. K. Gopalan also, said that they had come here under open professions. I agree. I have got

here before me a reproduction of the Resolution which was passed by the Communist Party two or three years ago. Now, in this they have said plainly: "We do not believe in parliamentary action; we believe in force; we believe in dictatorship."

Shri T. B. Vittal Rao (Khammam): Give reference of that Resolution. Place it on the Table of the House.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: What is the meaning of interrupting the hon. Minister every minute?

Shri T. B. Vittal Rao: Sir, he is referring to a Resolution.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Order, order. Each hon. Member develops his argument in his own way. First of all, the Minister gives the substance and later on before he sits down without giving the reference I will allow hon. Members to put any questions.

Several Hon. Members: Order, order.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Minister may get up. Instead of my saying 'order, order' other said it.

Dr. Katju: Now, Sir, my hon. friends believe in Marx and Lenin. That is the basic exposition of their faith. In the Communist manifesto Marx declared:

"The proletariat during its contest with the bourgeoisle is compelled by the force of circumstances..."

I won't go to Marx. In 1951, the Communist Party said:

"Marxism and history have once for all decided the question for the party and the people of every country in the world long ago. All action of the masses in defence of their interests to achieve their liberation is sacrosanct."

No constitutional action; that is what they prey for.

Shri S. S. More: May I know if even non-violence is a force?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Why should there be interruption at every stage? All hon. Members, I am sure, without exception know English on the floor here and whoever does not know English he can get up and ask for explanation later on. Other hon. Members need not interpret and interrupt. Otherwise hon. Members will have no other time to speak. If I go on like this the hon. Minister may speak for all the five hours and hon. Members will have to sit like this.

An Hon. Member: It is 15 hours.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: 15 or 5 whatever it may be.

Dr. Katju: Sir, I will continue my quotation.

"History sanctions all that the people decided to do to clear the lumber-load of decadence and reaction in their path to progress and freedom."

Then there is another passage:

the most harderied liberal would now feel ashamed to maintain, let alone the Communist Party and other cratis and revolutionaries, this Government and the classes that keep it in power will ever allow us to carry out a fundamental democratic transformation in the country by parliamentary methods alone. Hence, the road that will lead us to freedom and peace, land and bread, as outlined in the Programme of the Party. has to be found elsewhere."

Shri K. K. Basu: Don't add "elsewhere".

Dr. Katju: Sir, I admire them.
They are perfectly right in their denunciation, but when they come here, I tell you, they become domocrats and they talk on the terms of pence, liberty, develon and all

[Dr. Katju]

sorts of things, namely they swear by parliamentary methods. That is what we have to contend here when they come in this House, and the Government. When that comes, goodness knows what they will believe in.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Is it a resolution passed by the Party?

Dr. Katju: Yes, Sir; in 1951 and they nave repeated it times out of number. They cannot deny it.

Shri K. K. Basu: Lay it on the Table of the House.

Shri S. S. More: I can have so many quotations from Panditji supporting this view.

Dr. Katin: Someone, a strong pillar of the Party, is supposed to have said that the answer would not be finished by elections and that It would be finished by the strength or the kisans and macdoors. (Interruption).

An Hon. Member: What is wrong?

Dr. Katju: They were sometimes in PEPSU also.

Now, I come back to the main point. My point is this: that legislation on the lines of the Preventive Detention Act is compulsory; it is essential and it is also not honest to say that it will be meant for the suppression of political opinion. Please remember one thing that out of the 280 people or less who were detained in the year 1953 and 1954, 117 or 109 were politicians of variety or that. 171 were people were not connected politics but who were simply indulging in crimes. There were 46 who were harbouring dacoits. There were many people in Bombay who were goondas and who were indulging in crimes. Now, the main object of every State Government has been to take action with a view to prevent commission of crime and I repeat once again, Sir, that it is much better that action is taken at early stage before any riots start; before riots break out; before there is disturbance of peace and people are killed, no matter whoever is to be blamed-whether the police may be blamed or the rioters may be blamed—for the terrible loss lives. Then we have arson. had such action taken in Hyderabad. We had such action taken in some districts of U.P. And, afterwards there is a sort of post mortem examination, demands for enquiry, demand for public enquiry and so on. If action is taken now. before-hand, two, three or four people are locked up, statement objects given to them as to why they have been locked up and enquiry conducted before an Advisory Board, the matter would be settled. argument can be founded upon fact: "Oh: look at this statement. There are many States where Government has not found it necessary to detain anybody. In several other States action has been taken but the number is small." The number is small because the Act may exercised a sort of purifying or restraining effect; or, secondly the Act itself was not properly utilised. do submit that this is a matter which no risks can be taken. Parliament would be justified in saying that these are critical times. There is a sort of convulsion of ideas. We have got here different types people with different minds working in different ways and with different morals. As I said, in abroad, read every day all sorts of political motions and political doctrines. ought to really congratulate selves that, in India today, ditions reign or prevail where there is security and safety and that partially due to the existence of the Preventive Detention Act. It may be said: "You can take action under the Penal Code". But sometimes, it will be wholly insufficient. It may be a sort of trying to catch the bird when the bird has flown. It is much better 2303 Preventive Detention 9 DECEMBER 1954 (Amendment) Bill

that you take action in time and stop the commission of all crimesdangerous crimes, crimes dangerous to society, to the security of the State and crimes leading to the prevention of relations. I do not wish to refer to any particular case because would not be fair here; otherwise it is worth mentioning. All State Governments, everyone who are charged with the maintenance of law and order, who have to shoulder heavy responsibility have said that this Act should continue.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker, I should like to make this point that Preventive Detention Act was passed in 1950, then the second Act was passed in 1951 and the third in 1952. In 1952two years ago-Parliament undertook a most exhaustive examination and have made it almost perfect to see that the detenu gets a fair deai: that he is protected in every way; that he gets an opportunity of putting his case and that the period of detention is not too long. Formerly in the previous Act there was no maximum and now it is a maximum period period of one year. Any attempt to brush it aside, I submit, will be detrito the State and it teopardise maintenance of law and order in this country. It is from this point of view that I venture to place it before the House.

In the opening comments that were made it was said:

"In a democratic set-up it was absolutely necessary that those who did not see eye to eye with the policies of the Government, should have every right to organise themselves and show their protest in the manner which they think appropriate."

I entirely agree with that. "Which they think appropriate" means that it must be lawful and I challenge it must be lawful and Members opposite to quote a single instance where this law has been abused and members of political partles detained. I can wait for that. (Interruption).

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Motion moved:

"That the Bill further to amend the Preventive Detention Act. 1950 be taken into consideration."

There are amendments tabled to this motion.

Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy: move the amendment standing in my name.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Just wait. will allow opportunities for hon. Members to speak after I put all these amendments before the House. While I have generally no objection motions for circulation of the Bill or for reference to Select Committee

Dr. Krishnaswami (Kancheepuram): I rise on a point of order, Sir.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: At this stage or immediately after I put amendments before the House?

Dr. Krishnaswami: I objection to wait till you put them before the House

Shri S. S. More: Possibly it may be regarding the validity of the Bill it-

Dr. Krishnaswami: I rise on a point of order. It is, I believe, sufficiently important to warrant an interruption of business. Mr. Speaker, whom I gave prior intimation of my intention, has kindly permitted me to do so immediately after the consideration motion has been moved by my hon. friend, the Home Minister. am thankful to Mr. Speaker for having given me the opportunity to raise this issue at the outset. In the event of your ruling being in favour, either partially or wholly, there will be time for hon. Members to give notice of amendments to the parent Act, and the Government also would be in a position to have timely notice of procedure.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon Member must know that a point οľ order is not to be so lengthy as has started. Let him state the point,

and if I want further elaboration, then I will ask him to elaborate. What is the point now?

Shri Raghavachari: The point or order is about the validity of moving amendments to the parent Act.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: No amendment has been moved to the parent Act. There are certain amendments and when I come to the amendments, I will hear them, and then accept or reject them.

Shri Raghavachari: But this is ar amendment to the parent Act.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I must understand what the point is. What is the amendment to the parent Act? Let him have his full say.

Dr. Krishnaswami: After your ruling, we will be in a position to move the amendments.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: What I would like to know is this. I want the hon. Member to tell me what the point of order is and then elaborate. Forget all the arguments, what exactly is the point?

Dr. Krishnaswami: Can amendments be moved to the parent Act in this case?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Hypothetically I am not called upon to give a ruling. What is the trouble here to which he refers?

### Dr. Krishnaswami rose-

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: The point is this. The Speaker has given a ruling in 1951, according to which, if there is a continuatory legislation then the parent Act cannot be re-opened except in some very exceptional cases, and possibly this is one such case....

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Member is a Doctor of Literature and let him make his point clear. I am not here for a general discussion on jurisprudence and parliamentary practice. I have been enough in the

jail and I know the difficulties that arise. But what is the point of order? This ought not to be extended. If it is to be extended, the hon. Member, who is a Doctor of Literature, can help himself and I do not want any other hon. Member to intervene. The hon. Member may be able to tell me the point of order.

Dr. Krishnaswami: Can a Bill, which is merely an extension Bill, permit of amendments being moved to the parent Act?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: To the clauses in the parent Act?

Dr. Krishnaswami: I am taking this particular Bill for consideration and, therefore, I should be permitted make this point at some length as it is a matter of some complication. Since this is a matter which outside the ruling which has been given by the Speaker in 1951, I have to elaborate it at some length and would request your patience, Mτ Deputy-Speaker, to allow me 10 elaborate it in my own way.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I am here to judge the relevancy or irrelevancy and hear the point of order and the hon. Member ought not to dictate to me.

Shri S. S. More: We are only suggesting.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The point raised is purely a hypothetical point. There are, I find, amendments and any point of order can be raised only in respect of the amendments that have been tabled. No hon. Member can seek the advice of the Chair and after the Chair gives its ruling one way or the other, give his amendments.

Hon. Members have tabled amendments that the Bill must be referred to a Select Committee or circulated for eliciting opinion. So far as those amendments are concerned, I have the least objection to their being moved, except regarding the reference to the Select Committee. Even

there, if it is said that for 1958, it may be 1957 or 1955, there is some point before the Select Committee and let there be arguments there. Technically, I do not find anything wrong in these motions except Shri Gurupadaswamy's motion, where no date is fixed. I would have invited him to give a date, but there are other motions of a like nature which have given the dates. Therefore, I am not allowing Shri Gurupadaswamy to fill up the gap.

So far as clause 2 is concerned, except for the amendment of '1954' into '1957', there is no change in Formerly in 1952 when the clause. discussion came in, the point raised and the Speaker ruled that this should be referred to the Select Committee with the directions that clauses not touched by the Bill could also be touched. In that Bill, only was it an extension, but some clauses of the original Act were touched. Here what is the position?

Shri Raghavachari: In clause 2, it says:

"for sub-section (2), the following sub-section shall be substituted..."

So, this is an amendment. There is a whole sub-clause which they seek to substitute by another.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: It is merely an extending Act. So far as an extending Act is concerned, there are two things. If there had been amendments to the parent Act already tabled here, then I would be called upon to consider whether I would allow those amendments or not. Now, the point is purely academic.

Shri Raghavachari: My only point is that this Act is not merely an Act for extension. It is also an Act for amendment of a clause of the parent Act. The present Act extends to the whole of India and also to Jammu and Kashmir except in some particulars. They now propose to omit the whole clause and make it applicable only to India excluding Jammu and Kashmir. There-

fore, there is a substantial amendment in this clause.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Even if there are already amendments tabled, I can consider if those amendments are in order or not. No amendments have been tabled to that effect, and if the hon. Member wants the ruling of the Chair so that he may act upon that ruling, it is a hypothetical point and I am not going to allow it.

Dr. Krishnaswami: It is not as hypothetical as it seems, because reasons for my suggestion for procedure are these. Should ruling happen to be given in favour, there will be time for giving notice of amendments to the various provisions of the parent Act for the consideration of the House, diately after the motion for consideration is taken up. Secondly, if the ruling is favourable to me, the Government also will have timely notice of the procedure to be followed. Therefore, when this Bill comes up for consideration, I do think has got some relevance and that was why I suggested to the Speaker and made this point of view before you. I am afraid I have not made myself clear. It is not hypothetical; it just practical and it affects the liberties of all Members of the House and I thought I would co-operate by just suggesting this.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: My ruling is this. I am not going to give a ruling on what ought to be done and what hon. Members can do hereafter. As the hon. Member is a good lawyer, he knows that no Court commits itself to any particular ruling apart from the facts that arise. Now, there is no amendment here which seeks to amend any clause of the parent Act. in which case I would be called upon to give a particular ruling. then, when the amendment comes in I will take note of it; if there is any amendment to a particular clause, then the matter may be raised and I will come to it.

Now I will only place those general amendments before the House. We

### [Mr. Deputy-Speaker]

are not going clause by clause, in which case we shall consider what has to be done. Hon. Members may now move their amendments.

### Shri A. K. Gopalan: I beg to move:

"That the Bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting opinion thereon by the 31st March, 1955."

# Shri V. G. Deshpande: I beg to move:

"That the Bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting opinion thereon by the 1st February, 1955."

### Shri T. K. Chanduri: I beg to move:

"That the Bill be circuisted for the purpose of eliciting opinion thereon by the 28th February, 1955."

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: There is another amendment tabled by Shri V. G. Deshpande. Is he moving that also?

Shri V. G. Deshpande: Yes, Sir. There is one clause, but as was rightly pointed out by my hon. friend Dr. Krishnaswami, I want the Select Committee to examine all the provisions of the principal Act.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Let him satisfy the House. I will allow it.

## Shri V. G. Deshpande: I beg to move:

"That the Bill be referred to a Select Committee consisting of Shri N. C. Chatterjee, Shri A. K. Gopalan, Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani, Sardar Hukam Singh, Shri Shankar Shantaram More, Shri Tek Chand, Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava, Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad, Dr. Ram Subhag Singh, Shri K. G. Deshmukh, Her Highness Rajmata Kamlendu Mati Shah, Shri P. N. Rajabhoj, Dr. A. Krishnaswami, Shri Nand Lal Sharma and the Mover, with instructions to report before the 22nd February, 1955."

Shri Thimmsiah (Kolar—Reserved Sch. Castes): I have given an amendment.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: That will come when we come to the clauses, I will place the amendments before the House.

#### Amendments moved:

- (i) "That the Bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting opinion thereon by the 31st March, 1955."
- (ii) "That the Bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting opinion thereon by the 1st February, 1955."
- (iii) "That the Bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting opinion thereon by the 28th February, 1955."
- (iv) "That the Bill be referred to a Select Committee consisting of Shri N. C. Chatterjee, Shri A. K. Gopalan, Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani, Sardar Hukam Singh, Shri Shankar Shantaram More, Shri Tek Chand, Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava, Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad, Dr. Ram Subhag Singh, Shri K. G. Deshmukh, Her Highness Rajmata Kamlendu Mati Shah, Shri P. N. Rajabhoj, Dr. A. Krishnaswami, Shri Nand Lal Sharma and the Mover, with instructions to report before the 22nd February, 1955."

Now discussion on the Bill as also on these four amendments (Nos. 2, 5, 8, and 6) will proceed.

Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy—I have disallowed his amendment. Hon. Members will be as brief as possible because a number of hon. Members seem to be interested in speaking on this.

Shri Raghavachari: There are fifteen hours allotted for this Bill. It is better some time is fixed for the general discussion and the other stages; it need not be hurried at this stage.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: How long for general discussion?

Some Hon. Members: Twelve hours.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Then for clause by clause?

Shiri S. S. More: There is only one reading.

Shri Asoka Mehta: There are two clauses. One is about Jammu and Kashmir and the other is about the period. Two hours may be allotted for that and one hour for the third reading.

Shri S. S. More: Yet the point is not clear whether Members are competent to propose amendments to the substantial provisions of the parent Act. You have said that you cannot give a ruling on a hypothetical proposition. Sinppose some amendments come, you will have to change the allotment of time. It must be elastic to provide for that emergency.

An Hon. Member: Tomorrow we can decide it.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: We will decide it after the consideration stage is over. When the Bill is taken into consideration, when we take the Bill clause by clause, then alone is the opportunity for deciding it.

Hon. Members may go into the previous rulings and make up their own mind so far as that matter is concerned. We are bound to follow certain precedents, unless the precedents were wrong.

As at present advised, we will fix twelve hours for the general discussion. Then two hours for the clause by clause stage—one hour for Jammu and Kashmir and the other hour for extension of the period, or for both together. And one hour will be devoted for the third reading.

Hon. Members who are Leaders of Groups, who speak in a representative capacity, will have half an hour each. Other hon. Members will have fifteen minutes each, except that there will be discretion to the Chair to extend fifteen to twenty minutes in appropriate cases.

Now, Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy. Is he the spokesman of his Group, I would like to know.

5hri M. S. Gurupadaswamy: I am one of those who will speak.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker Then he will have fifteen minutes, with the right of the Chair to extend it by five minutes in its discretion.

Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy: When this measure was first debated in Parliament some years back. then hon, the Home Minister said that this Act would not continue longer than one year. When this Parliament met in session after the General Election there was an amendment of this Act, and the Home Minister again said that it would be extended only by two years. The argument advanced on those two occasions was the same. It was that the conditions prevailing in the country at those times warranted a very special Act of this nature. The argument was that the law and order situation in the country was deteriorating. that the anti-social elements very active and so it was imperative, for this piece of legislation to continue for some time to come. Now the hon, the Home Minister comes forward and says again that this Act should be extended for three years that is, till after the next General Election.

What is the meaning of this? What is the purpose of this extension? If the hon. Minister wants to establish and maintain law and order in the country, if he wants to detain people who are anti-social, anti-national, he could have come forward with a demand for a limited extension of this Act for six months or eight months or for one year. But even here the argument is not sound. But if the overriding purpose is to maintain law and order and to curb the anti-social activities of a few miscreants or goondas then he could have taken the help of ordinary law of the land. So I ask: what is the purpose working behind

[Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy]

his mind? It is obvious. He is already looking at the coming general election and he wants this Act to be on the statute-book at the time of the General Election for fulfilling the ends of his own party. He says there is no political or partisan or any biassed or prejudiced purpose in this move. He says it is not meant for curbing public opinion, it is not meant against political parties. But we cannot believe that is true. We cannot take these words as they are, because if his purpose is only to establish law and order, if his purpose is to check the illegitimate or anti-national activities of a few hooligans or goondas or mischief-makers, and if that was not possible by resort to ordinary law, then he could have asked for the extension of this Act only for a period of one year or so. But he wants its extension for three years. The purpose to me seems to be to apply this Act more rigorously to the political activities of various parties or persons who are working in the various parties against the Congress. That is very clear and obvious. The Minister cannot get out of that. He said, three years are enough. It he wants to keep this permanently on the statute-book, let him say so. Let it be a permanent measure; let it be part and parcel of our permanent statutes. Let him keep it for 100 years if he wants. That is his intention I know. But, let us understand the true working of his mind. Let us understand the truth behind the suggestion for extension.

Previously, when we had not won our freedom, we know the feelings in the country against the Rowlatt Act. The entire country was agitated when the Rowlatt Act was brought forward by the Britishers. The Rowlatt Act is similar to the Preventive Detention Act. There is no difference between the two Acts. But, we know how the entire country was agitated at that time. The entire nation became psychologically upset over that Act. What were the consequences of the Rowlatt Act? Many people died. Many people had to witness the

death of their brethren. So people became martyrs in Jallianwala-Bagh. The same Act has been enacted in our free India. This I call an Act of depravity. This is not an Act of grace. It is most pernicious because it takes away the power of the Courts and condemns a man before he is properly tried. In a normal atmosphere, in a society where the ordinary laws prevail, it is expected that before a man is condemned, he should be properly heard before Court of law under the ordinary law. That is a fundamental right. is a right that should be guaranteed in any law. Sir, I venture to ask where is the necessity for such an Act as this? What are the conditions prevailing today? The are normal. The Minister can quote figures. He may say the figures of detention have increased. Increase of figures is a justification for the continuation of the measure. Sir. figures can be increased. There may be more detenus or there may be less detenus. Whether there are more detenus or less detenus depends on the caprices of the Magistrates, caprices of the Government. If they want to take more people to jails. they can take them at any moment. If there are more detenus today, it is because they have arrested more people and put them in jails. If there were less it is because they have not taken action against more people. That should not be a justification or an argument. The present times are most normal. The atmosphere in the country is quiet and calm. There is no disturbance. There is no violance; there are no goonda activities in violation of the ordinary laws. The whole country is now in a state of peace. So far as law and order situation is concerned. Then where is the necessity for continuing this Act for three more years.

The Home Minister said just a few minutes ago that this Act has got a psychological effect and that it is a sort of a psychological Act. I am sorry, I do not know what psychological effect it has. The Home Minister

provides a psychopathic case which we cannot understand. For the purpose of creating a sort of psychological atmosphere in the country, should we pass Acts of this nature? Is that the attitude? Are we to believe this? For the purpose of creating a proper atmosphere, for the purpose of deterring the people from committing offences against society: should that be made a ground for continuing this abnoxious Act. This is a most absurd and irrational argument. We cannot accept that argument at all. He said in the beginning that this Act is not meant for curbing the activities of political parties. I thought I could believe him. But, at the end of his speech he gave the impression that it is meant for curbing the activities of political parties meaning Communist Party. is a basic contradiction in his statement. I cannot understand this. He quoted communist literature and he was saying that the Communists were creating a lot of confusion in the country and so there is necessity for this Act. I am not very much enamoured of communism; I am not a subscriber to Communist Philosophy. But, I want to know whether this Act is meant to smother political opposition. I feel that the way that things are being done, the way that the Act is being extended from time to time drives one to inevitable conclusion that it is meant to buttress the Congress.

There is a saying that politics is a conspiracy of power. This is a saying of Mr. Dennis, a famous political thinker. I think that Dr. Katju must have taken his lessons under Mr. Dennis. Politics is a conspiracy power. This Preventive Detention Act is a conspiracy of power for power. That is what I feel about it. We know that democracy is the rule of the majority. We agree that it should be the rule of the majority. It cannot a rule of the minority. It is only the Congress Party which has to rule because it has got the majority.

Shri S. S. More: That is not a correct statement. They have not got the majority.

Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy: I am coming to that. But should all the Acts of the majority be obeyed. Should all the legislations or measures brought forward by the jority be obeyed? I think all the Acts of the majority should be obeyed so long as those Acts are proper or perfectly legal: All the Acts of the majority should be obeyed if the Acts of that majority respond to the spirit of the age and fulfil the spirit of the age. The question is, are they in response to the spirit of the age? The Acts must have the sanction of time, and should reflect the spirit of time. What is the present spirit of the age? The spirit of the age is freedom. You want freedom; everybody wants freedom. Freedom should be expressed explicitly in all Acts. If there is any attempt on the part of the majority to go against the dominant spirit of the age, then there is no moral sanction or sanction of the time behind the majority rule.

I remind the House here of a fa mous statement of Tockeville. He is a nineteenth century political . thinker, but his statement is even today worth-while remembering. He says that "the moral authority of the majority is partly based upon the. notion that there is more intelligence and more wisdom in a greater number". That is the assumption. Why do people want majority rule? They want majority rule because in a majority there will be more people and more people are better than a few. That is why they always say that democracy should be rule of the majority and power should be with the majority. But, Sir, if the majority acts in an illegal fashion, if the majority becomes a steam roller as we find it here to-day, that rule cannot be called a democratic rule. The Congress has become a majority no doubt, but it has become a steam roller. It is acting like a bull dozer crushing everything, all the virtues of democracy. ----

An Hon. Member: Should there be a minority rule?

Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy: What I say is there should be a corrective [Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy]

for the majority mis-rule. By a simple majority you cannot carry on.

There is another ground why The majority rule is supported. majority interests are to be preferred to minority interests. That is perfectly true when the majority interests represent the true interests of the nation. If the majority interests do not represent vested interests or interests of a few people who rule this country, then that majority interest should be taken as an interest to be supported.

Shri Raghubir Sahai (Etah Distt.-North East cum Budaun Distt.-East): How is it relevant?

Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy: You are enjoying majority in this House; but it is not a real majority rule. It is a minority rule as it reflects the selfish interests of a small coterie of Ministers.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I pointed out to the Hon. Minister, the most relevant issue here would be that after 1952 there has been an increase in crimes and ordinary law could not deal with them. He placed a book here containing some statements. Hon. Members must apply their minds and see whether there is need for this Act.

Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy: Sir, I pointed out earlier that the ordinary law as it is to-day should be able to control crimes. If there is any lacuna in the ordinary laws of the country, it is fit and proper that you should fill up the lacuna. But there is no necessity for a special measure.

Deputy-Speaker: The Member cannot continue for more than one minute.

Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy: I have taken about 12 minutes.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The Hon. Member started at 4-35 and the time is 4-55 now.

Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy: I do not take much of the time. I reiterate once again that the conditions that are prevailing in the country do not warrant the continuation of the Act any longer. These figures which have been supplied by the Home Minister do not also justify this, because these figures can be changed according to the caprices and fancies of the Magistra es. I may also police out that this Act has been misused in many cases. I come from the Carnatic area and I think the Deputy Home Minister may know what happened sometime back. A number of people -70 to 75 people-were detained on flimsy grounds. The grounds were cooked up. People who were working honestly in political parties, decent and honourable men, were as goondas. They were charged named as anti-social elements. Honourable men are made dishonourable by this Act. Sir, therefore it is a black Act. This lawless Act should not be continued for long. By this Act the people who are working in various political parties are condemned. That is why I say it is worse than the Rowlatt Act. It is a disgrace for all of us if you pass this measure. It is a disgrace to continue this. It is a disgrace to Government which brings it again and again; and it is also a disgrace to the country. foreigners look at this, what will they think of us? They will think that these people cannot be ruled by the ordinary law-they should be ruled by a special law-the Preventive Detention Act. Sir. I cannot understand this mentality of the Government.

By this Act democracy will slaughtered. You are hanging democracy and making a corpse out of it. Sir, I would ask the House not to do this. If you do this, you will not only murder democracy but you will be enacting 'slavacracy'. 5 P.M.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Shri A. K. Gopalan.

Shri A. K. Gopalan: Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, detention without trial had been there even in 1947.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The House will now stand adjourned. The hon. Member may continue tomorow.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Fleven of the Clock on Friday, the 10th December, 1954.

....