

Monday, 17th November, 1952

# PARLIAMENTARY DEBATES

## **HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE**

OFFICIAL REPORT

# PARLIAMENT SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

Price Six Annas (Inland)
Price Two Shillings (Foreign)

# PARLIAMENTARY DEBATES

### (Part I-Questions and Answers)

### OFFICIAL REPORT

427

HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE Monday, 17th November, 1952

The House met at a Quarter to Eleven of the Clock.

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

TECHNICAL PERSONNEL IN THE ARMY

\*353. Sardar Hukam Singh: (a) Will the Minister of **Defence** be pleased to state whether it is a fact that there is a dearth of technical personnel, especially electrical and mechanical engineers, in the Army?

(b) What steps are being taken to train such personnel?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithia): (a) Yes, but only in respect of officers.

- (b) The following steps have been taken to make up deficiencies of
- (1) Officers from Non-Technical Arms possessing technical qualifica-tions have been transferred to the Technical Corps after imparting suit-able training to them.
- (2) Increased quota from the normal output of Regular officers from the National Defence Academy, Dehra Dun is being allotted to the Technical Corps, depending upon the availability of suitable candidates.
- (3) Engineering graduates between the ages of 20-27 years are selected every year for one year's training at the National Defence Academy. On completion of this training, they are granted Permanent Regular Commis-sion. In order to attract the talent in the country, Government have recently 266 P.S.D.

decided to offer the selected engineering graduates provisional Short Service Regular Commission during the period of training at the National Defence Regular Commission during the period of training at the National Defence Academy. On grant of Permanent Regular Commission they become eligible for the grant of an antedate of their commission for a period of 2 years, including the period of provisional Short Service Regular Commission, if they possess requisite turbries and interest and int mission, if they po-technical qualifications.

428

(4) Short Service Regular Commissions for a period of 7/10 years are offered to candidates of older age group of 20-40 years. Selected candidates undergo training for about 6 months at the National Defence Academy prior to the grant of Short Service Regular Commission. Such Officers are also entitled to the grant of Permanent Regular Commission. if otherwise eligible.

Sardar Hukam Singh: May I know which are the institutions controlled by the Defence Ministry which impart this training to personnel required by

Sardar Majithia: As I have already said, they get this training at the National Defence Academy.

Sardar Hukam Singh: Is this Academy alone sufficient to train the per-sonnel that we require and make up the present deficiency within a reasonable time?

Sarder Majithia: We have started this Academy for this purpose and it is hoped that it will be sufficient.

Sardar Hukam Singh: Will Government consider the advisability of asking some industrialists to the personnel that we require?

Sardar Majithia: Probably, there there is some misunderstanding. These graduates have got their technical knowledge and they receive additional training at the National Defence Academy in matters pertaining to the

Sardar Hukam Singh: Has the press interview given by our Commander-in-Chief—during which he has expressed the hope that industrialists would come to the aid of the Army in training these personnel—come to the notice of the hope Ministers.

notice of the hon. Minister? Sardar Majithia: I have not come across it, but possibly it related to the Territorial Army.

Shri Velayudhan: May I know whether there is any retrenchment in the M.E.S.? Sardar Majithia: How does this

arise out of this question? Mr. Speaker: That is what I was

also wondering about. Shri Sarangadhar Das: May I know whether the advertisement appearing in this morning's papers wanting engineering graduates for the Services is in connection with this wing of the

Defence Forces? Sardar Majithia: I am afraid I have not seen that advertisement.

Shri B. S. Murthy: May I know whether any industrialists have offered

their co-operation in the matter of givtraining to these engineering proached.

Sardar Majithia: I do not know how even this arises.

Mr. Speaker: It arises in this way, that the hon. Member wants to know whether any industrialist was approached.

Sardar Majithia: Not to my know-

Shri T. S. A. Chettiar: May I know what is the basic qualification required-diploma or degree?

Sardar Majithia: Degree.

LAND FOR RESETTLEMENT OF RELEASED ARMY PERSONNEL

\*354. Sardar Hukam Singh: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state whether the Governments of Uttar Pradesh, Bhopal, Mysore, Madras, Bombay. Pepsu, Punjab, and Travancore-Cochin have made over the land promised by them for the resettlement of released Army personnel?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithia): Yes. except the States of Bombay and PEPSU where

the land colonisation schemes have not yet been finalised.

Sardar Hukam Singh: May I know the number of ex-servicemen resettl-ed on this land so far?

Sardar Majithia: The number is

Sardar Hukam Singh: May I know what assistance has been given by the Government for the resettlement of these ex-servicemen?

Sardar Majithia: They have been given loans. Apart from that, the scheme envisages that the lands will be developed by the Government and then given over to these ex-servicemen for their resettlement.

Sardar Hukam Singh: Is any finan-cial assistance given by the Centre to the States concerned for the resettle-ment of these ex-servicemen? Sardar Majithia: As I have already

said, yes.

Sardar Hukam Singh: Are these persons those who were released after World War II or those who were released on account of the integration of the States in 1950?

Sardar Majithia: I require notice.

Shri V. P. Nayar: Are Government aware that 1500 acres of forest land earmarked for allotment to ex-Travancore and Cochin States Forces personnel in the Rani Forest Range have not so far been given over, and have so far been given over, and have Government made any enquiries about

Sardar Majithia: Yes, Sir. It is correct that 1500 acres of land in the Rani Range extension are there and they will be made cultivable and then made over to these personnel.

Shri Nambiar: May I know whether Government have received any com-plaint from the district of Trichino-poly in South India to the effect that certain lands allotted to ex-service-men have not been made over to them?

Sardar Majithia: I have not received any complaint, but I shall look into

Shri B. S Murthy: May I know whether Government are aware of any instance where these ex-servicemen were asked to pay a nominal price for the land allotted to them for resettleinstance

Sardar Majithia: No. Sir. That is not correct.

Mr. Speaker: We will go to the next question.

Indian, Field Ambulance Unit to Korea

\*355. Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

(a) whether a new Indian Field Ambulance Unit has been sent to Korea to relieve the 60th Indian Field Ambulance Unit which went to Korea in December, 1950; and

Ambulance Unit which went to Korea in December, 1950; and

(b) if so, when the men of the 60th unit are expected to arrive in India?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithia): (a) No; the question of relieving the 60th Indian Field Ambulance is not at present under

(b) It would not be possible to give an answer to this question now.

consideration.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: May I know how does this Field Unit operate there in South Korea? Has it got anything to do with the Commonwealth Division?

Sardar Majithia: This is a Field Unit with the United Nations Forces.

Shri A. M. Thomas: May I know whether there has been any casualty among this Unit and, if so, to what extent?

Sardar Majithia: I require notice.

Dr. Ram Subhag Sinch: May I know whether permission was sought any time for sending this Unit to North Korea?

Sardar Majithia: There too I require notice—it does not arise out of this question.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: May I know the expenditure incurred on this unit so far?

Mr. Speaker: It is difficult to answer the question.

Sardar Majithia: It is very difficult to answer that question, because I have not got the figures.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: In view of the fact that India is trying in the United Nations to settle the Korean conflict, is it proposed to withdraw the Indian field ambulance unit from Korea?

Sardar Majithia: As I have already said in reply to part (b) of the question, it would not be possible for me to give an answer on that point at the moment.

Mr. Speaker: I think it is a question for the External Affairs Minister to answer.

### 'नवरतन' का भू-परिमाप

\*१५६. डा॰ राम सुभाग सिंह: जिला मंत्री यह ब लो के क्वा करेंगे कि क्या भारत सरकार के पुरातत्व विभाग ने बिहार राज्य के शाहाबाद जिले के डुमरांव यान के अन्तर्गत भोजपुर ग्राम में स्थित 'नवरत्न' की सुदाई कारवाने की दृष्टि से भूपरिमाप करवाया था ?

The Deputy Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Shri K. D. Malaviya): No Sir, but the Superintendent Department of Archaeology, Central Circle, Patna, is being asked to inspect the site and submit a report.

## CRIMINOLOGY AND CORRECTIONAL ADMINISTRATION

\*357. Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: (a) Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state how long Dr. W. C. Reckless, U.N. Specialist in criminology and correctional administration, was in India?

(b) How were his services utilized during his stay in India?

The Deputy Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Datar): (a) Dr. Reckless was in India from the 21st October, 1951 to the 17th August, 1952.

(b) After visiting important jails in India to get a first hand knowledge of jail administration in this country, he conducted a training course in criminology and correctional administration at the Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Boinbay, for Jail Officers. He also participated in a Conference of the Probation Officers of the States of Uttar Pradesh, Bombay and Madras at Bombay to discuss the Probation System in India and to make recommendations for its improvement. He also attended the Eighth Conference of Inspectors-General of Prisons at Bombay from the 13th March 1952 to the 15th March 1952 in the deliberations of which he took a leading part.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Did Dr. Reckless suggest, Sir, any method in regard to wiping out the factors which contribute most to the menacing growth of crime in this country?

Oral Answers

Shri Datar: Yes, he has dealt with it in the report which he has submitted to the Government of India.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: May I know, Sir, what are the suggestions he has made to the Government of India?

Shri Datar: The report is under examination and is likely to be published.

Sardar Hukam Singh: Do the Government propose to implement those 'Reckless' recommendations?

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: In what branch of criminology is he an expert and what are his special qualifications.

An Hon. Member: Recklessness.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Datar: He is Professor of Criminology and Correctional administration at the School of Social Administration, Ohio State University. He is in charge of the curricula for the training of probation. Parole and Correctional Institution Workers. He has developed the first comprehensive curriculum in correction in the United States. He is the author of several books and many articles in the field of delinquency and criminology.

Dr. Reckless' most recent contribution to the literature is "The Crime Problem' written by him in 1950.

### ORDNANCE FACTORIES PERSONNEL

\*358. Shri S. N. Das: Will the Minis ter of Defence be pleased to refer to the reply given to my unstarred question No. 143 asked on the 11th June. 1952 and state:

- (a) whether the Committee of Enquiry set up to examine the present day scales of pay of civilian personnel employed in Ordnance Factories has since submitted its report;
- (b) if so, whether the report has been considered by Government and decision taken thereon; and
- (c) what are the important suggestions and recommendations made by the Committee?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithia): (a) Yes.

- (b) The report is still under consideration.
- (c) It is considered desirable to release the report for publication in full instead of publishing summaries of the recommendations. The report is at present under print and the hon. Member is requested to await its publication

Shri S. N. Das: May I know whether after the appointment of this Committee, its terms of reference were enlarged and if so what were those terms?

Sardar Majithia: The terms of reference were not revised.

Shri A. K. Basu: Is the Minister aware that during the pendency of the enquiry a large number of ordnance personnel were retrenched?

Sardar Majithia: I require notice of that.

**Shri B. S. Murthy:** Is it a fact, Sir, that Government have issued instructions that no retrenchment should take place during the pendency of the report?

Mr. Speaker: Probably he wants notice.

### DEMOBILISED SOLDIERS

\*366. Shri V. P. Nayar: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state the number of soldiers demobilised so far by the Central Government from the Travancore-Cochin State Forces consequent on the integration of States?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithia): 35 officers and 2,117 J.C.Os and Other Ranks.

Shri V. P. Nayar: What were the main reasons for their demobilisation? Were they demobilised when they were serving in the Indian army as Indian army personnel?

Sardar Majithia: Various reasons, Sir. No. 1, they were not required; secondly, they were not suitable.

Shri V. P. Nayar: May I know how many soldiers have been recruited to the Indian army after this demobilisation?

Sardar Majithia: It is not in the public interest to disclose those figures.

Shri V. P. Nayar: May I know, Sir, whether the Government have been able to extend the same resettlement facilities to these people?

Sardar Majithia: They have been given some compensation according to what they were qualified to.

Oral Answers

Shri N. Sreekantan Nair: Are the Government aware that there was a preliminary order which was suspended when a writ was moved in the Travancore-Cochin High Court and it was during the pendency of this writ that the President passed orders disbanding these persons?

Sardar Majithia: I do not think that is correct.

Sardar Hukam Singh: It may not be in the public interest to disclose what number has been recruited afresh. But can we know whether any number out of these demobilised personnel has been absorbed against any recruitment?

Sardar Majithia: As I said, only those people were absorbed who were found suitable and fitted into the plan. Others were not.

Shri V. P. Nayar: Once when the Travancore-Cochin State Forces have been integrated into the Indian Army, is it not necessary that persons discharged from the ex-Travancore-Cochin army should also be given the same facilities for resettlement?

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. He is arguing.

### GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF BIJAPUR

- \*361. Shri R. G. Dubey: (a) Will the Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research be pleased to state whether any detailed geological survey of the mineral wealth in the District of Bijapur, in Bombay State has everbeen made?
- (b) If so, is it a fact that gold was found in the bed of the River Malaprabha, traces of copper discovered near Khajji-doni in Bagalkot Taluka; and iron ore and manganese are richly available in the parts of the district south of the Krishna river?
- (c) Is it a fact that rich varieties of stones particularly a beautiful rose coloured granite equal in appearance to the best Aberdeen or Mount Sorrel granite and the dioritic green stone known for retaining its polish for centuries are available at Bilgi in Bijapur district?

The Deputy Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Shri K. D. Malaviya: (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c). A statement giving the information collected by the Geological

Survey of India as a result of the surveys carried out by its officers is placed on the Table of the House. [See Appendix II, annexure No. 38]

Shri R. G. Dubey: May I know. Sir, when this survey was carried out?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: I cannot fell you the exact time, but the survey has been completed exhaustively.

Shri R. G. Dubey: May I know what action Government propose to take on the results of this survey?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: They cannot do much, because the results of the investigations go to show that there is not much of a possibility of exploitation of these minerals from the economical point of view.

Shri R. G. Dubey: Is it a fact that there is a possibility of developing iron ore on a small scale? If that is so, do Government propose to take any measures in this respect?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: Yes, Sir. So far as iron ore is concerned, there are possibilities of smelting iron industry on a cottage scale. Further investigations in this regard will be undertaken.

### CONDITIONS IN P.E.P.S.U.

\*363. Dr. Rama Rao: (a) Will the Minister of States be pleased to state whether he undertook a tour of the Pepsu State recently?

- (b) Did the tenants all over Pepsu represent to him regarding lawlessness by landlords?
- (c) Did he get first-hand report from the actual peasants or their representatives, regarding the atrocities perpetrated by the landlords?

The Minister of Home Affairs and States (Dr. Katju): (a) Yes.

- (b) and (c). I gave interviews to numerous deputations and had a long tour in the countryside and received many complaints both from tenants and landlords against each other.
- Dr. Rama Rao: May I know. Sir, whether Government have taken into consideration that part of the Venkatachar Committee Report saying that there are throughout the States, strong allegations and counter-allegations between the tenants and biswedars?

Dr. Katju: My hon. friend asked me about my tour and not about Venkatachar Report.

Dr. Rama Rao: This Committee was instituted by the Government of India.

tenants.

Mr. Speaker: Whatever it may be the question relates to his own tours.

Shri B. S. Murthy: May I know what the hon. Minister has done in order to see that the complaints made to him were amicably settled?

Dr. Katju: I came to my own conclu-

sions and gave general directions to the Chief Minister and to everybody concerned that every effort should be made to preserve law and order. About complaints, I may and for the information of the House that I saw from among the cultivators a young lad of 16 whose hand had been shot and had been amputated. On the other side I came across a widow of a biswedar who came and fell at my feet, whose husband had been shot dead by the

Mr. Speaker: I think it is no use pursuing this question. We will go to the next question. This will require longer investigation and it is not really proper to pursue it by way of

#### Assistant Administrator of U. S. Technical Co-operation Administration

\*364. Shri Nanadas: (a) Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state whether an Assistant Administrator of the U.S. Technical Co-operation Administration visited or is about to visit India?

- (b) What is the purpose of his mission here?
- (c) Whom did he meet on behalf of the Government of India?
- (d) Did the Government of India make any representation to him regarding technical help from the U.S.A.?
- (e) If so, what was the nature of the representation?
- (f) What was the reply given by him to the representation?

The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Finance (Shri B. R. Bhagat): (a) Yes. Sir. He visited India in September, 1952.

- (b) It is dederstood that his purpose was to pay a routine administrative visit to the Technical Co-operation Headquarters in New Delhi.
- (c) Apart from some purely informal discussions, he did not have any discussion with the Government of India Officers or Representatives.
  - (d) and (f). Do not arise.

Shri Nanadas: May I know how many U.S. experts or officers are working in India under the Technical Cooperation Administration scheme, what will be the total amount of money spent on them, and who will bear it?

Shri B. R. Bhagat: I want notice of the question.

Shri Nanadas: What are the special qualifications of these technical personnel? Are there no personnel available in India with the same qualifications?

Shri B. R. Bhagat: Sir, this is a very wide question. I want notice for this.

Shri K. K. Basu: Has the Government any machinery to ascertain the report of this personnel as a result of its visit to this country?

Shri B. R. Bhagat: I do not think the question arises from this, Sir.

Mr. Speaker: We will go to the next question.

#### STUDENTS SENT ABROAD

\*365. Dr. Rama Rao: (a) Will the Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research be pleased to state whether the Council of Industrial and Scientific Research have recently selected some students for being sent abroad on scholarships?

- (b) If so, who are the students, what are their qualifications, and what was the procedure followed for their selection?
- (c) Who grants these scholarships and what are the schemes according to which these scholarships have been granted?
- (d) In which universities are these students scheduled to study and what subjects?
- (e) How will they be used after their return from abroad?

The Deputy Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Shri K. B. Malaviya): (a) to (e). A statement giving the desired information is placed on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library. See No. P-75/52.]

Dr. Rama Rao: Are Government considering the sending out of technicians for training, particularly for heavy industries?

Mr. Speaker: I am afraid the question is a suggestion for action. It will be better if the hon. Members once gain twice again or thrice again read

the rules regarding the admissibility the rules regarding the admissibility of questions. Because, what I find is that we are not able to put a large number of questions and get information, which really will be of value to the House. If a question is taken up, and then immediately an argument is evolved, and questions are put, which evolved, and questions are put, which are in the nature of an argument, they cannot be admitted. Then, certain suggestions for action are also being made. That is not the real purpose for which questions are to be put, nor is it permissible under the rules. But I feel that the little laxity on the part of the Chair is perhaps encouraging these questions more and more. I propose hereafter to strictly follow the rules in this behalf. Hon. Members will see that they will benefit by having information on a larger number of questions.

Oral Answers

mation on a larger number of questions. The Question Hour is for getting in-formation and let it not be utilized for letting loose their feelings or passions by means of questions. So, a ques-tion should be relevant from that point

बाब् रामनारायण सिंह: समापति

महोदय, इस सम्बन्ध में आप से एक विनय है और वह यह <sub>क</sub>है कि जित**े प्रदन आ**ते हैं उन में कोई अभिप्राय तो जरूर रहता है। तो अगर उसकी व्याख्या इस तरह से इतनी दूर तक की जायगी तब तो आप के लिये कोई भी प्रश्न लेना मुस्किल हो जायवा।

अध्यक्ष महोदय: लेकिन ऐसे स्वश्चन्स जो आते हैं, इतने सब क्वरबन्स में ने अलाऊ किये हैं।

The point is that Members should get information on which to base an opinion and not try to cross-examine with an opinion first. This seems to be the more appropriate procedure which Members should follow.

Shri Velayudhan: Will not our questions reflect our opinions directly or indirectly?

Mr. Speaker: If opinions are expressed straightway, I disallow those questions.

Shri Nambiar: May I ask a supplementary question on this?

Mr. Speaker: I think we have seen the nature of the answers which the hon. Minister has given, and we know what the House can get from him. There is no use pursuing it.

Shri Nambiar and Shri V. P. Nayar

Mr. Speaker: I am going to the next question.

HISTORY OF INDIAN MILITARY ACHIEVE-MENTS IN WORLD WAR II

\*366. Shri S. C. Samanta: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

(a) whether the second volume of the History of Indian Military Achieve-ments in the last World War II has now been published; and (b) whether the Historical Section will also write the Post-war achieve-ments of the Indian Army?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithia): (a) None of the volumes of the official History of World War II has been published. Two are under print. Others are in various stages of compilation.

(b) No. Sir.

Shri S, C. Samanta: May I know when the Combined Inter-Services Historical Section of the Department was formed and whether all the items taken up at that time are being follow-

Sardar Majithia: Apparently the hon. Member is now referring to a different matter, Sir. The Combined Inter-Services Historical Section were given this job and they are doing it. As I said, none of the volumes has as yet been published. The other one to which the hon. Member is referring is the popular history which one Mr. Compton Mackenzie was asked to write in 1945 by the then Government over which we had no control.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know whether this Historical Section is conknow tinued as a joint organisation of India and Pakistan?

Sardar Majithia: That is correct Sir.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know in which part of the History the achievements of the I.N.A. that is the Azad Hind Forces under Netaji Subhas Chandra Bose, will be recorded or have been recorded?

Sardar Majithia: Wherever the I.N.A. fought against the British forces their narrative has been included.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know how long the establishment of this Historical Section will continue and how much has been spent on it up till

Sardar Majithia: As I said, the work is still going on and as soon as the work is completed this will be wound up.

Oral Answers

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know whether the material contained in the History will be scrutinized and approved before publication and, if so, what

is the agency for the same? Sardar Majithia: You have already got a Committee which is presided over by the Defence Secretary who will look into this, and is looking into

Shri T. K. Chandhuri: May I know if any work on the History of Indian Military Achievements by the author to whom the hon. Minister just now referred, namely Mr. Compton Mac-kenzie. is being published by the De-fence Department? Sardar Majithla: None is published by the Defence Department and the Government of India have got no commitments in that respect.

Prof. D. C. Sharma: May I know how the members of this Historical Board are recruited?

Mr. Speaker: How is the Committee formed? What are their qualifications? That is what he wants to

Sardar Majithia: No new recruit-ments are being made, Sir.

**Prof. D. C. Sharma:** I want to know how they were recruited in the past, what their qualifications were and how they were considered fit for the

Mr. Speaker: But that is old history as he says and so it is no use going into it now.

Shri V. P. Nayar: If it is not against public interest, may I know how much this whole work will cost when the books are to be published?

Sardar Majithia: There are thirty-two volumes which have to be publish-ed and, as the hon. Member would rea-lise, it is a great work and it will take considerable time. As yet I could not give the figures on that point. HISTORY OF FREEDOM MOVEMENT IN

INDIA

\*367. Shri S. C. Samanta: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to

(a) whether the proposed Board of Education to collect data and write out the History of the Freedom Movement in India has now been set up;

(b) if so, who are the member of the Board; and (c) how far has the work pro-

gressed?

The Deputy Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Shri K. D. Malaviya): (a) and (b). A Board of Editors for the compilation of the History of Freedom Movement in India is being appropriate and are appropriate. is being appointed and an announce-ment will be made shortly. (c) Shri S. M. Ghosh, M.P., has been appointed as the honorary Secretary to the proposed Board of Editors. He has just begun his work.

भी एस० सी० सामन्त : क्या में माननीय मंत्रीजी से जान सकता हुं कि आजाद हिन्द फौज ने जो स्ट्रग्ल किया उस को इस में स्थान दिया जायगा या नहीं, अगर दिया

कोई बन्दोबस्त किया गया है?

منستر آف ايجوكيشي ايلة نيچرل رسو رايند سائلتينك رسري مولانا

آزاد ): ضررر دیا جائها -

जायगातो उस की खबर जोड़ने के लिये

[The Minister of Education Natural Resources and Scientific search (Maulana Azad): It certainly be included.] Scientific Re-d): It shall श्री एस श्री० सामन्तः क्या हर एक

स्टेट से सबर जोड़ने के लिये कोई सब-कमेटी बनाई जायगी ?

مولانا آزاد : یه ایدیگوریل بورة کا کام ہے کہ وہ غور کرے کہ کس کس کام ك لئے كيا كرنا چاھيا \_ -

[Maulana Azad: It is for the Editorial Board to decide what should be done for each particular work.]

भी एस० सी० सामन्तः इस बजट में इस काम के लिये कितना रुपया मुकर्रर किया गया है ?

श्री के बी भासवीय : इस वक्त इस के लिये हेढ़ लाख रुपया है और आगे किया गया है।

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON COM-

Oral Anginers

PULSORY EDUCATION

\*370. Shri Eswara Reddy: (a) Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state whether an International Con-ference on Compulsory Education is being held in India?

(b) If so, when and where and who is sponsoring it? (c) What are the items to be discussed at the Conference?

(d) Which countries are being invited to attend it?

(e) Will the conference mainly consist of seminars or will it discuss the actual experience of every country?

The Deputy Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Shri K. D. Malaviya): (a) to (e). A statement is placed on the Table of the House. [See Appendix II, annexure No. 39]

Shri Eswara Reddy: The statement laid on the Table of the House says that the actual experience of every country will figure in the discussions of the Conference. As the Governments of Soviet Union and China are not invited to participate in this Conference, may I know how the experience. ference, may I know how the ex-perience of those Governments in abolishing illiteracy will be consider-ed in this Conference?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: This is a Conference organised by the UNESCO and those invited are only Member States of UNESCO. As such, such States which are not Members of the UNESCO. have not been obviously invited and their experience unfortunately cannot be available to this Conference.

Shri Eswara Reddy: It is also said that 4 experts from other Regions will also participate in the Conference as consultants. May I know who are those consultants?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: The Director General, UNESCO will send invitations, I am not aware who those consultants

Shri Eswara meddy: May I know the number or Members of the Indian Delegation who are going to partici-pate in this Conference?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: 7 representa-tives from this country are being in-vited to attend this Conference.

Shri Eswara Reddy: Names of those?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: The names of the 7 Members of the Indian Delega-

- Shri D. R. N. Desai, Educational Minister, Bombay, Leader
- (2) Shri M. V. Krishna Rao, Education Minister, Madras.
- K Bus-ion Minister. Niva-(3) Shri Nanabhai formerly Education Minister. Saurashtra and now Chief Niya-mak Gram Daskshina-Murti. Ambla.
- (4) Dr. D. M. Sen, Education Secre-tary, West Bengal Government. (5) Shri Kazmi, Director of Educa-
- tion. Kashmir. (6) Shri J. P. Naik, Secretary, Institute of Education, Bombay.
- (7) Shri K. G. Saiyidain, Joint Secretary, Ministry of Education.

Shri Eswara Reddy: In view of the fact that literacy has been raised to the level of 98 per cent. in Vietmin area of Vietnam will the Conference take into consideration the experience of Vietmin Government in abolishing illiteracy?

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: One of the aims of this Conference will be to find out ways and means of how to formation compulsory education in the primary stage. In countries where such compulsory education is not there, may I know what will be the aim of the Conference?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: This is the job of the Conference and from what I see from papers, they also propose to set up small Commissions to investigate these matters.

### RIOTS IN HYDERABAD

\*371. Shri Velayudhan: Will the Minister of States be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Government of India have received any information regarding the riots that took place in Hyderabad on the Mulki-agitation; and
- (b) if so, whether the Military was used to suppress the riots?

The Minister of Home Aff States (Dr. Katju): (a) Yes. Affairs and

(b) No.

Shri Velayudhan: May I know, Sir, whether Government have come to know the reasons for this agitation? Dr. Katju: The States Ministry have asked the Hyderabad Government. That is a matter for them.

ment. That is a matter for them.

Shri Velayudhan: May I know whether Government is aware that this particular agitation was caused by sending some people from outside?

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. He is making suggestions. From the reply in the statement, I understand that it was a State subject.

Shri N. Sreekantan Nair: The answer to the first part of the question?

Mr. Speaker: Order, order, I do not opose to argue.

propose to argue.

Shri B. S. Murthy: Did Hyderabad Government ask for any military help?

Mr. Speaker: He said, no.

Shri B. S. Murthy: I want to know whether the approached the Central Government for military help and the Government refused?

Dr. Katju: The troops were asked for. They stood there. They did nothing.

Shri M. R. Krishna: May I know whether it is a fact that from the Chief Minister of Hyderabad to the Deputy Superintendent of Police expressed their ignorance about the shooting and killing of students by the police?

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Krishnacharya Joshi: May

know, Sir, how many people were killed as a result of firing?

Mr. Speaker: Has the Minister got any information on the subject?

Dr. Katju: I have got but I should like to inform the House that an enouiry is proceeding and it will not be desirable to go into this matter.

N.C.C. Training (Cadet-Officers)

\*372. Shri Balmiki: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

(a) the number of trainees invited from educational institutions for N.C.C. Training Centre at I.A.F. Headquarters, Race Course, New Delhi;

(b) the period of training; and
(c) the nature of training?

The Deputy Minister of Defeace (Sardar Majithia): (a) 19 School teachers from the States of Bombay,

Delhi, West Bengal and Uttar Pradesh underwent pre-commission training at I.A.F. Station, New Delhi.

(b) Two months.

(c) They were given training in Air Force subjects.

अभी बाल्मीकी: सरकार को इस ट्रेनिंग के देने में कितना व्यय करना पड़ा ?

Sardar Majithia: I am afraid I have not got figures about the expenses. If the hon Member is very keen, I should certainly let him have the information, if he sees me.

भी बाल्मीकी : कितन लोग ट्रेनिंग के लिये आये और हर एक ट्रेनी पर किनना व्ययह्या?

Sardar Majithia: As I said. Sir. 19 people. I have not got these figures Statewise.

श्री बाल्यीकी: ट्रेनिंग प्राप्त करने के बाद जब यह केडेट आफिसर अपने अपने एजुकेश क इस्टिट्यूशन में लड़कों को ट्रेनिंग देंगे तो क्या सरकार उन को कोई धन की सहायता देंगी?

Sardar Majithia: They will get what they are entitled to under rules.

Mr. Speaker: The point is whether the Government will give help to the further trainees when these people start training schools. Has the Government any scheme to help training classes?

Sardar Majithia: Not to the trainees.

Shri V. P. Nayar: As I heard the hon. Minister's answer. I found that Madras and Travancore-Cochin have not been included in the States from which persons have been selected. May I know whether there is anv special reason to exclude Madras and Travancore-Cochin?

Sardar Majithia: No preferential treatment has been given to any State.

Shri V. P. Nayar: May I know the basis on which selection has been made?

Sardar Majithia: Suitability.

**Shri K. K. Basu:** What are the minimum requirements for this recruitment?

Sardar Majithia: As I said, Sir, they are given in the brochure and if only the hon. Member cares to read that, he can get the information.

Oral Answers

## REQUISITIONING OF AREA IN NEW ALIPORE

- \*373. Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:
- (a) whether in or about 1942, a large area in the suburbs of Calcutta known as New Alipore was requisitioned from its owner, the Hindusthan Co-operative Insurance Society Limited, by the Defence Department of Government;
- (b) whether Government are aware that before acquisition small plots in the said area had already been allotted for building purposes to a large number of purchasers belonging mostly to the middle classes; and
- (c) if so, what steps, if any, are being taken to de-requisition the entire area, so that the said allottees may no longer be prevented from proceeding with their building schemes?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithia): (a) 83 acres of land owned by the Hindustan Co-operative Insurance Society were requisitioned for defence purposes in 1942.

- (b) Government of India have no record of this but. from representations received, the area appears to have been allotted in small plots by the owner.
- (c) Out of 83 acres originally requisitioned 28.71 acres have already been de-requisitioned. The remaining area is partly occupied by displaced persons from East Bengal since 1-7-48 and partly by Defence Services. It has not been possible to evict the displaced persons as the State Government has been unable to find alternative accommodation. The portion occupied by the Defence Services will be vacated as soon as alternative accommodation is found.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: In view of the answer, may I ask the hon. Minister whether any real relief has actually accrued to the displaced persons from East Bengal who are alleged to have taken possession of this particular area, and may I also know if Government will expedite the vacating of this area as far as the middle class allottees of certain portions of the land from the Hindustan Co-operative Insurance Society Ltd.. are concerned?

Sardar Majithia: Obviously, the people staying there do get relief. About vacating the premises, it is the Government of Bengal who has to do it and not the Government of India.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: May I know if the Government of West Bengal is responsible for the up-keep of these areas which are now in the possession of the displaced persons from East Bengal?

Sardar Majithia: Government of West Bengal locks after. . .

Mr. Speaker: I am afraid, this question may be put to the Rehabilitation Ministry—that is 'better—and not to the Defence department.

Shri Nambiar: May I know whether the Central Government are at least proposing to re-allot those places to the original occupants as and when possible?

Sardar Majithia: The question will be considered when the time comes.

Shri K. K. Basu: Is it a fact that those portions of land which have been occupied by the refugees have been-transferred to the West Bengal Government?

Sardar Majishia: That is not a fact; they are still with the Government of India.

### RURAL ECONOMY

- \*374. Shri M. R. Krishna: (a) Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state how far has the Reserve Bank of India expanded its operations to cover the rural economy through co-operative Banks?
- (b) What is the total number of villages that are benefited with these-credit facilities?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shri M. C. Shah): (a) The progressive expansion of the Reserve Bank's operations in the field of rural credit is indicated by the fact that the loans and advances made by it to State Cooperative Banks which amounted to Rs. 31-5 lakhs in 1946-47 increased to Rs. 622-20 lakhs in 1948-49 and amounted to as much as Rs. 1251-33 lakhs in 1951-52.

A brief account of the Reserve Bank's activities in the sphere of rural finance is given in paragraphs 45 to 52 of the Annual Report of the Central Board of Directors of the Reserve Bank for the year ended 30th June 1952, a copy of which is available in the Parliament Library.

449

(b) The Reserve Bank make loans and advances to the Apex Co-operative Banks and not to District or Primary institutions. It is, therefore, not possible to indicate the number of villages that have been benefited by the credit facilities afforded by the Reserve facilities afforded by

Shri M. R. Krishna: Are there any Banks besides in Bombay and Madras for which the Reserve Bank has advanced finances?

Shri M. C. Shah: Yes, in Madras, Bombay, U.P., Vindhya Pradesh, Orissa, West Bengal, Ajmer-Merwara. Certain other Central Co-operative Banks are being re-organised in other States too.

#### **ELECTION PETITIONS**

\*375. Shri N. P. Sinha: Will the Minister of Law be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Election petitions far disposed of by Election so far disposed of by Election Tribunals after the last Election; and
- (b) the number of Election petitions pending at present in respect of Parlia-mentary and State Legislature consti-tuencies?

The Minister of Law and Minority Affairs (Shri Biswas): (a) Upto the 10th November 1952, 21 election petitions have been disposed of by Election Tribunals.

(b) The number pending is 295, of which 31 are in respect of Parlia-mentary constituencies and 264 are in respect of State Legislature constituencies.

Shri N. P. Sinha: May I inquire the name<sub>S</sub> of such persons who have been unseated already?

Shri Biswas: That was a part of the question. That part was disal-lowed. Am I required to answer

Mr. Speaker: No. The information is easily available from the Government Gazette. The names are published.

Shri K. K. Basu: May I know the number of tribunals that are work-ing in India?

Shri Biswas: I cannot give the number offhand. I believe that question was answered here.

Shri Velayudhan: May I know whether any time limit is set for the disposal of these cases in a particular tribunal?

Shri Biswas: No, Sir. As a matter of fact, one single tribunal may be in charge of a number of cases. They have got to adopt a time table according to the requirements of those cases.

Shri S. V. L. Narasimham: How many election petitions have been allowed?

Shri Biswas: Having regard to the information I have regarding Members unseated. I would only hazard a guess: seven.

#### FLOODS IN MANIPUR

\*376. Shri L. J. Singh: Will the Minister of States be pleased to state:

- (a) the area and extent of the ravage caused by the recent floods in Manipur;
- (b) the damage caused to the standing crops;
- (c) how many houses were washed away or destroyed;
- (d) the number of people who were rendered homeless;
- (e) the relief so far given by Government; and
- (f) whether Government propose to render monetary help in the form of relief to the flood-stricken people?

The Minister of Home Affairs and States (Dr. Katju): (a) to (f). About 40,000 acres of land with standing crops were inundated by the recent floods. Eightyfive huts are reported to have been destroyed. Exact figures of those rendered homeless are being compiled; but most of them have been able to obtain shelter with have been able to obtain shelter with nave been able to obtain siletter water their relatives. Steps for immediate relief were taken promptly. Food-stuffs have been distributed to those affected and the Government have incurred an expenditure of about Rs. 7000/- for relief so far. A full re-port on the subject is expected short-ly from the Chief Commissioner.

Shri L. J. Singh: The hon. Minister for Food and Agriculture told the House the other day that 4,000 maunds of rice had been sent to Manipur for relief to the distressed beople. May I know why, instead of rice, maize was distributed to the Scond-tricken poenle in these areas? flood-stricken poeple in these areas?

Dr. Katju: I want notice of this question. May I add that I propose to visit Manipur towards the end of this month. and would try to look into the whole of this question and the question of relief to the people

who have suffered on the spot and  $d_0$  what I can?

Oral Answers

Shri L. J. Singh: May I know whether the Government of Manipur wnemer the Government of Manipur requested the Government of India for an agricultural loan of Rs. 50,000/- for relief to the flood-stricken people?

Dr. Katju: I want notice of that question.

Shri L. J. Singh: What are the measures that Government propose to take in order to prevent recurrence of floods in this State?

Dr. Katju: I really don't know.

Shri Sarangadhar Das: May I point out that in a matter of this sort where there has been so much damage done to crops and relief is necessary, it is surprising that the Minister should say that he wants notice to every question?

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member will please see that relief measures are being taken. An interim report has possibly been received, and he is awaiting the other report. So, what eise can be said, I really don't know, unless one should take a view which is full of prejudice.

# SENTRY OUTPOSTS ON NEPAL-DARJEE-LING BORDER

\*377. Shri S. C. Samanta: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased

- (a) how many sentry outposts have been set up on the Nepal-Darjeeling border to stop "undesirable elements" entering the district of Darjeeling; (b) the number of chief offenders
- who entered without passports from January to September 1952; and
  - (c) the corresponding figure in 1951?

# The Deputy Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Datar): (a) Five.

(b) and (c). Traffic between the two countries is not regulated by a passport system. The question of any offenders entering without passports does not, therefore, arise. Offenders under the ordinary law were:

January to September, '52 ... 1951

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know, Sir. to which countries these undesir-able persons belong, and through which route they come?

Shri Datar: They come from Nepal, Bhutan and Tibet.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know, Sir, whether it is true that seven outposts have been opened as has been notified in the newspapers?

Shri Datar: There are five outposts opened in all—three by the West Bengal Government, and two by the Government of India.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know, Sir. how many were caught with prohibited articles, and how they have been dealt with?

Shri Datar: I should like to have

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know, Sir, how Government deal with these undesirable persons when they are arrested? Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Nambiar: Who are these undesirable elements? What is their definition or qualification? Mr. Speaker: We will proceed to-

the next question. REHABILITATION FINANCE ADMINISTRA-

\*379. Shri Gidwani: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that nearly 50 per cent. of the displaced persons who have been granted loans by the Rehabilitation Finance Administration for business or industrial purpose have failed to pay the instalments of their
- (b) if so, whether the Rehabilitation Finance Administration and the Advisory Board of the Rehabilitation Finance Administration, having considered the situation, having considered the situation, have jointly made certain recommendations to Government, suggesting extension of the period of recovery of loans and reduction of the rate of interest charged on such loans; and
- (c) whether Government have considered these recommendations and if so, what action they propose to take and when?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shri M. C. Shah): (a) It is a fact that comparatively few of the loances of the Rehabilitation Finance Administration pay back the installments on the due dates. stalments on the due dates

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) The Government dered the recommen have consi-

(c) The Government have considered the recommendations and agreed to the proposal regarding extension of the period of repayment of loans from 10 to 15 years. Necessary amendment to the Rehabilitation Finance Administration Act, 1948 has been provided for in the amending Bill which is proposed to be introduced in the current session of Parliament. The other suggestion in regard to the reduction in the rate of interest charged on loans hes not been found acceptable.

Oral Answers

Shri Gidwani: In view of the slump Saft Gidwan: In view of the slump in the market, does the Government not think it necessary to reduce the rate of interest, as it would be beyond the capacity of the loanees to pay?

Shri M. C. Shah: It is not possible because the idea is that the administration should be self-supporting. Shri Gidwani: Will it be possible for

the loanees to pay this high rate of interest, particularly in view of the present slump in the market? Shri M. C. Shah: The rate is not high. The rate charged is six per cent. and a rebate of one per cent. is given if instalments are paid in due time.

Sardar Hukam Singh: Could I know the number of cases where the loanees were not given the full amount of the were not given the tun amount of the loans sanctioned for them, but reductions were made on account of sums that were due by them on account of house rent and other charges?

Shri M. C. Shah: I require notice for that.

### STADIA

\*380. Shri K. K. Basu: (a) Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state whether the Central Government have made any contribution towards the cost of construction of stadia at Bombay, Delhi and Madras?

(b) What is the amount given to the respective Organisations? (c) Are there any specific te or such contributions and if terms

for such contwhat are they?

The Deputy Minister of Natural Resources and Scientifi Research (Shri K. D. Malaviya); (a) No.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

Shri K. K. Basu: Has the Government any intention of contributing anything to the establishment of stadia at different centres with a view to encourage sports?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: No, Sir.

RULES OF HOSPITALITY

\*381. Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state whether there are any rules of hospitality applicable in the case of Ministers of Central Government on

The Deputy Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Datar): No.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: May I know if it would be considered desirable by the Government that Ministers on by the Government that ministers on tour should stay with people as their hosts who have business connections with the Government of India?

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. It is a question of opinion and argument. Some people may object to it. Some may not object to it.

Shri K. K. Basu: We ought to know the intention of the Government.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Is it permissible for Ministers of the Government, in the course of their continuation as Ministers of the Government. to accept the hospitality, in their official tours, of people who are likely to exercise influence on the business connections of the Government of India?

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri N. M. Lingam: Is it customary for Ministers to send their tour programmes to local M.Ps. while on tour?

Shri Datar: They are sent to local Governments, and sometimes to local

M.Ps. also. Shri C. R. Narasimhan: Why some-times, why not at all times?

Mr. Speaker: It is a suggestion for action.

Shri S. N. Das: May I know whether the attention of the Government has been drawn to the suggestion made by Mr. Gorwala in the report which he has submitted to the Planning Commission regarding this.

The Prime Minister (Shri Jawahar-lal Nehru): May I say a word? It is obviously difficult to make hard and fast rules about such matters, but seeing the way in which the question has been put by the hon. Member, I may say that Ministers should not do anything to give rise to the impression that any party dealing with the Government can take advantage of

Written Answers

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: May I add that I asked this question because all Members of Parliament were circu-lated a certain notification from a certain Department which I shall not certain Department which I shall not name, which mentioned specifically that a Minister of Government was staying with a very important business magnate of Calcutta, and his address was care of a particular business magnate.

# WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

POSTAL TRANSACTIONS BETWEEN INDIA AND PARISTAN

- \*362. Shri A. N. Vidyalankar: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state whether any agreement has been reached with Pakistan with regard to the Postal Tran Provident Fund Accounts, Bank, and Pension Accounts? Transactions,
- (b) If not, what action do Government propose to take in the matter?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shri M. C. Shah): (a) and (b). In regard to Savings Bank and Postal certificates the agreement was that transfers of accounts from one country to the other would be permitted unto the 31st March 1949 in the case of Savings Bank and the 30th June 1949 in the case of Postal Certificates. Persons who did not apply for transfer by these dates have to claim payment from the country concerned in the normal course.

As regards Provident Fund Accounts and Pensions, there is an agreement under which payments are made on a provisional basis to displaced Government servants, both Central and Provincial and also to servants of States and local bodies who retired before partition and migrated before the 31st March 1951, subject to subsequent recovery from subject to subsequent recovery from the Government or local body liable for such pensions and provident funds. Final payments are to be made after verification through the Central Claims Organisation of the two Governments. This agreement does not, however, cover ex-em-ployees of the divided Provinces which have their own separate arrangements.

# SECONDARY EDUCATION COMMISSION

\*368. Shri Buchhikotaiah: (a) Will the Minister of Education be pleased

to state whether the Secondary Educa-tion Commission has circulated a questionnaire?

- (b) If so, what is the purpose of the questionnaire?
- (c) To whom has it been sent? (d) Will a copy of the questionnaire be placed on the Table of the House?

The Minister of Education and Natural Resources and Scientific Re-search (Maulana Azad): (a) Yes.

- (b) The purpose is to elicit comto) the purpose is to elicit comments of educationists and others interested in education all over the country on the various problems to be investigated by the Commission.
- (c) The questionnaire has widely circulated to State Gov ments. Universities, educational has been Governstitutions whose names were suggested by the States, editors of important educational journals, teachers and educational associations, Members of Parliament and prominent educationists.
- (d) A copy of the questionnaire is laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library. See No. P-76/52]

SECONDARY EDUCATION IN ANDAMANS

\*369. Shri Raghavaiah: (a) Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state whether representations have been received from the Andaman Islands regarding improving the Sacondary Education them? Islands regarding impressions Education there?

(b) Is it a fact that knowledge Hindustani, which is the mother tongue of the majority of the people there, is made a condition for the employment of teachers?

The Minister of Education and Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Maulana Azad): (a) No, but the Andaman Indian Association submitted a memorandum to the Home Secretary of the Government of India when he visited the Islands early in 1051 and /

(b) No, but in employing teachers preference is always given to those who know Hindustani.

CONFERENCE OF INTERNATIONAL SOCIAL WORKERS

\*382. Shri Balakrishnan: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to

(a) whether it is a fact that a conference of international social workers is going to be held in India in the near

- (b) if so, whether the conference is to be convened by the Government of India or by any private organisation;
- (c) whether the venue for the con-ference has been selected; and

Written Answers

- (d) what is the aim of the conference and what will be the topic of dis-cussion in the conference? The Minister of Education and Natural Resources and Scientific Re-search (Maulana Azad): (a) Yes.
  - (b) By a private organisation.
  - (c) Yes.
- (d) The aim of the conference is to hold a study conference on social welfare and the main topic of discussion will be "The Role of Social Services in raising the Standard of University."

KASHMIR TRADE COMMISSIONER \*385. Shri K. Subrahmanyam: Will the Minister of States be pleased to state whether it is a fact that of all Part 'B' States Kashmir alone has a Trade Commissioner in New Delhi and

if so, what is his position? The Minister of Home Affairs and States (Dr. Katju): It is true that among Part 'B' States the Jammu and Kashmir State alone has a Trade Commissioner at New Delhi. His functions are mainly related to:

- (1) Trade matters in India:
- (2) contacting the Government Departments in Delhi on behalf of the Government of Jammu and Kashmir;
- (3) to be in charge of the Jammu nd Kashmir State properties in and
- SCHOLARSHIPS TO BACKWARD CLASSES \*386 Ch. Raghubir Singh: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to

etate.

this year; and

- (a) whether it is a fact that the scholarships for college students belonging to Backward Classes are
- granted every year; (b) if so, how many such scholarships were given last year and how many
- (c) what is the total number of such scholarships granted annually in Uttar Pradesh?
- The Minister of Education and Natural Resources and Scientific Re-search (Maulana Azad): (a) Yes,

scholarships are awarded for post-matriculation studies to candidates belonging to all Backward Classes, which include Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes and Other Back-

ward Classes. (b) and (c). A statement is laid on the Table of the House. [See Ap-pendix II, annexure No. 40].

### SCHEDULED CASTE SCHOLARSHIPS

\*387 Shri Veeraswamy: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to (a) how many applications have been received from Scheduled Caste students from all over India for Government of India Scholarships, for

- the academic year 1952-53; (b) of them, what is the number from Madras State; and
- (c) how many scholarships have been sanctioned for this year and for what

The Minister of Education and Natural Resources and Scientific Re-search (Maulana Azad): The / hon. Member's attention is drawn to the statement laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix II, annexure No. 41].

### MILITARY COLLEGES

- 128. Sardar Hukam Singh: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to
- (a) whether there are any Military Colleges in India where admission is confined to the sons or dependents of soldiers:
- (b) if so, what is their number and where they are situated; (c) whether any of these colleges s situated in the State of Punjab also:
- (d) if so, where this college was started and the number of boys un-der training there just at present; (e) the total number of boys that have so far been given education in this college;
- (f) whether it has been decided to transfer this college to U.P., or whe-ther this has actually been transferred;
- - (g) if so, why?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithia): (a) Military Colleges where admission for education was confined to the sons or dependents of military personnel have ceased to exist since 1st August. 1952.

These have now been re-organised as public schools where 50 per cent seats have been reserved for sons of military personnel and the remaining 50 per cent. are open to sons of civi-

Written Answers

(b) There are 4 schools, situated at Nowgong (Vindhya Pradesh),

Belgaum,

Bangalore.

- (c) The school at Nowgong was located at Jullundur in the State of Punjab until 18th August, 1952.
- (d) The school was started at Jullundur. Number of boys receiving education at present is 217.
  - (e) 1,800 up to April 1952.
- (f) The school was moved Nowgong on 18th August, 1952.
- (g) The hon. Member's attention is invited to the reply given to part (b) of Unstarred Question No. 570 answered on the 28th July, 1952.

#### EXCISE DUTIES

- 129. Pandit Munishwar Datt Upadhyay: (a) Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state what are the articles on which excise duties were collected under the Central Excise Department in the years 1939-40, 1949-50 and 1952?
- (b) What were the amounts of duty collected from different articles in the years aforesaid?
  - (c) What was the strength of the staff in different grades engaged in the levy and collection of the excise duties in the years aforesaid?
  - (d) What were the amounts spent over the levy and collections of these duties in the same years?
- The Minister of Revenue and Expenditure (Shri Tyagi): (a) and (b). A statement is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix II, annually the Market No. 42] the House. [S nexure No. 42].
  - (c) and (d). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House, when ready

### LICENCES FOR SALE OF Beedies

130. Shri N. Sreekantan Nair: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state · 266 PSD

- (a) the total number of A and B licences for sale of beedies applied for in 1950-51 from the State of Travancore-Cochin; and
- (b) the total number of cases in which the licence fees have been refunded?

The Minister of Revenue and Expenditure (Shri Tyagi): (a) The reference to 'A' and 'B' class licences is apparently to dicences issued by the Travancore-Cochin Government, under their own State Tobacco laws, to tobacco traders in the State. The Government of India are not concerned with, and have no responsibility Government of India are not concerned with, and have no responsibility for these licences. During the period from the 1st March 1951 to the 27th April 1951, when the Central Excise duty on biris was provisionally in force, 8,396 licences in Form L.4 were applied for, under the Central Excise Rules, 1944, by manufacturers of biris in the State

(b) Refunds have been granted in

### COSMIC RAY RESEARCH

- 131. Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Will the Minister of Education be, pleased to state:
- (a) whether a programme of cosmic ray research has been started at Ali-garh University in Uttar Pradesh; (b) if so, who are the sponsors of that research study; and
- (c) what would be the duration of that study?
- The Minister of Education and Natural Resources and Scientific Re-search (Maulana Azad): (a) Yes.
- (b) The Aligarh Muslim University with financial assistance from the Government of India (c) There is no specific time limit placed on the study.

### TRAINING PROGRAMMES

- 132 Shri Gidwani: (a) Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state what is the number of Government officers who were deputed abroad for training under the various training programmes during the last two years?
- (b) How many of such officers will have, after the completion of their training courses, more than ten years service before they are expected to retire?

(c) How many of such officers, who have been sent abroad, are permanent Government servants?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shri M. C. Shah): (a) to (c). The information is being collected and will be laid down on the Table of the House in due course.

PERMISSION UNDER CONTROL OF CAPITAL ISSUES

133. Shri Tushar Chatterjea: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state: .(a) the number of foreign companies which have been given permission under Control of Capital issues to start concerns in India;

(b) the industry or the purpos for which sanction has been given; (c) the amount of capital authoris-

(d) the number of such concerns which are to be floated in partner-ship with Indian capital; and

(e) the number of Indian firms entering into partnership?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shri M. C. Shah): (a) to (e). The in-formation is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.

### CIVILIAN GAZETTED OFFICERS

134. Shri K. Subrahmanyam: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Civilian Gazetted Officers in the defence organisation; (b) how many of them are perma-

nent; and

(c) whether there is any scheme on hand to abolish the post of Civilian Gazetted Officer and if so, what are the reasons which prompted the

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithia); (a) 2147.

(b) 439. (c) No.

OFFICERS AND SUPERVISORS IN THE DEFENCE DEPARTMENT

Written Answers

135. Shri K. Subrahmanyam: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to

(a) the number of officers and supervisors that are at present in the Defence Department, their functions and emoluments; and

(b) their number before the war?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithia): (a) Presumably the hon. Member refers to Officer

Supervisors and Superintendents. The requisite information in respect

Officer

of Officer Supervisors and Super-intendents employed in Armed Forces Headquarters and the Inter-Service Organisations is given below:-NUMBER: Permanent

Supervisors Supdts 82 112 38 38 Temporary

120 150

**POTAL** FUNCTIONS:

Officer Supervisors are non-ministerial gazetted officers employed on junior staff duties of executive and administrative character. Their main utility in a static military formation is continuity.

Superintendents are members of the

Superintendents are memoers of the clerical staff, who hold charge of sections consisting of 7 to 10 case-dealing Assistants and Clerks. Their duties are to train the clerical staff under them and to supervise and check their work. They are not entrusted with duties of executive as administrative nature. or administrative nature.

EMOLUMENTS: Officer (Scale of pay). Supervisors. Supdis. For pre-1931 Rs. 900-50- Rs. 500-40entrants. 1150. 700.

For post-1931 Rs. 650-30- Rs. 400entrants. 800. 20-500. (b) No. before the war 26 48

712

### PARLIAMENTARY DEBATES

# (Part II—Proceedings other than Questions and Answers) OFFICIAL REPORT

711

### HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE

Monday, 17th November, 1952

The House met at a Quarter to Eleven of the Clock.

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair]

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

(See Part I)

11-45 A.M.

MOTION RE: FOOD SITUATION

Mr. Speaker: The House will now proceed with the main business, namely the motion re: the food situation.

Dr. Lanka Sundaram (Visakhapatnam): I have given notice of an amendment, and I do not know whether it is to be moved now or later.

Mr. Speaker: I have received some other amendments also. Some of them were received only this morning. But I am not going to raise amy question of want of sufficient notice, as the debate was fixed on Saturday last and there was hardly any time for tabling the amendments. I would desire that the amendments may be considered after we have from the hon. Minister a statement of the Government's policy on the subject. Then we shall consider the question of admissibility of the amendments.

पंडित अलगू राय शास्त्री (आजम गढ़ जिला—पूर्व व बिलया जिला—परिचम): मुझे एक प्रार्थना करनी है कि यह गल्ले का मामला बड़ा अहम है और सब लोग इस पर अपनी अपनी राय जाहिर करना चाहते हैं, इसलिये इस पर बहस आरम्भ करने से पूर्व भाषणों पर कोई टाइम लिमिट (time limit)मुकर्रर 300 PSD. कर दी जाये ताकि अधिक से अधिक आदमी इस नामले पर बोल सकें, या फिर इस पर बहस के लिये ज्यादा दिन दिये जायें। स्पीकों पर प्रतिबन्ध लगाना जरूरी हैं, क्योंकि अक्सर यह देखा जाता है कि एक आदमी बोलने के लिये खड़ा हो जाता है और काफ़ी बक्त ले लेता है और इस तरह दूसरे मैम्बर बोलने से रह जाते हैं। इसलिये टाइम लिमिट रखन के बारे में में आप से प्रायंना करना चाहता हूं।

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member has raised the point of further extension of the debate.

The Frime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharial Nehru): His first point was some limitation of time to speeches. I entirely agree, but it is for you and the House to consider the question that some have not the chance to speak whatever the time may be, while a few have the chance to do so at greater length.

Mr. Speaker: I put that question first on this point of view, namely that the question of a time-limit will be considered in the light of the total time available. Otherwise, what happens is that the first speakers who come in get a larger portion of the time, and then if every aspect is not exhausted, the request for an extension of time has to be acceded to as happened last time. The idea is that, if the debate can be continued, then I shall adjust the time accordingly. If the idea on the other hand is that the debate must finish today, then I shall fix up the time-limit accordingly.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: In this matter I will be guided by your advice. We are hard pressed for time for other work, but I do not wish the

[Shri Jawaharla] Nehru]

House to feel that on an important subject like this, Government wish to suppress debate or limit it unduly. But I may suggest provisionally that whatever answer Government might give may be kept over till tomorrow; that means some extension of time today, for the rest. As far as possible, the debate might end today.

Anyhow, I leave the matter entirely to you.

Motion re:

Mr. Speaker: Here is a compromise proposal, and I think the House may accept it, that the debate goes on till 5 P.M. this evening, and the hon. Minister's reply will be kept over till tomorrow. That means the House will get that much of time more for the discussion. I do not know what time the hon. Minister will take. he require about 45 minutes?

The Minister of Food and Agricul-ture (Shri Kidwai): For the opening, I think, I will hardly take more than 15 minutes.

Mr. Speaker: Is it his idea not to make a setatement now?

Shri Kidwai: For that I shall take just two minutes.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: He is making a statement.

Mr. Speaker: It is entirely left to the hon. Minister to say what he wants, and take whatever time he wants. I was under the impression that if he can make a statement of his policy more fully.....

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: The Statement of policy, according to him, will take 15 minutes now. He will make a statement of policy and say whatever he has to.

The Minister of Home Affairs and States (Dr. Katju): Brevity is the soul of wit.

Mr. Speaker: Let me first have the position clarified. I take it that the hon. Minister will first make a statement—whatever may be the time he takes—and his reply will be kept over till tomorrow, if at all he gives.

The Minister of Law and Minority Affairs (Shri Biswas): He is subjecting himself to voluntary rationing!

Shri Sarangadhar Das Dhenkanal-West Cuttack): Will it be possible to have a copy of the statement circulated?

Mr. Speaker: Let us hear it first. I believe some statements—whatever they may be—have been circulated. First let us hear what the hon. Minister has to say.

Food Situation

About the time-limit, I think it will be necessary to fix a time-limit in the light of what has been stated. We should follow the ordinary rule of 15 minutes to an individual speaker, and 20 minutes or so to a leader of the group. I think that will be sufficient. and the speeches may be adjusted accordingly.

Shri Kidwai: I beg to move:

situation "That the food be taken into consideration.

I begin with a statement of the objectives of the Government and of their food policy. Our objectives are that we should produce more, so that there will be no need for imports from foreign countries, and we should organise fair distribution at a reasonable price. This policy is being pursued through the existing controls or through the controls that were intro-duced in 1945-46, and through the grow-more-food campaign.

Now, the controls are not uniform throughout the country. In some places, they encourage people to grow more food, and in other places they have proved restrictive. Therefore, while we want to continue the controls, we we want to continue the controls, we have to alter them, in the light of the experience we have gained in the last few years. About the nature of controls, I want to say that in South India it is quite different from that in North India. In North India, most of the States are either surplus or self-ufficient while in South India the sufficient, while in South India the States are deficit.

The procurement policy in South India is more rigorous, and sometimes they have to take away from the pro-ducer all but what he will require for his immediate needs, while for the remaining period of the year, he is sup-plied from the Government godowns. In North India, because it is a surplus area, the policy of procurement is less rigorous and a little loose, and we are hardly able to get the advantage of all the surplus produced.

What has been the effect on production? I shall give the actual figures of production in some States in North India and some States in South India, In Bihar, the acreage under cultivation between 1946 and last year has increased by four million acres. In Madras, the acreage has gone down by ten lakh acres; in Punjab, it has gone up by ten lakh acres, in Uttar Pradesh, it has gone up by ten lakh acres, and in Mysore it has gone down for cereal crops by four lakh acres. Therefore, there is something wrong in the procurement system in South India. It is so rigorous that the producer has no incentive to produce more. Therefore, while the production of foodgrains is increasing in North India, it is actually decreasing in South India. But as procurement in North India is not as effective as in South India, we cannot get the advantage of the increase in production from North India for the benefit of the deficit States. This itself shows that some change in the policy is necessary.

Last year, we tried to allow in some States free movement from one part of the State to another. We also tried decontrol. But it was always in our view that whenever the prices started rising, we would come to the help of the consumer. And we did at some places, for instance in Bhopal, when the prices started rising, and we found that the free movement that was allowed of some coarse grain had to be checked. It was immediately checked.

Last night, some statements were distributed to hon. Members showing the prices that prevailed last year and the prices that are prevalent this year. In most of the States, this relaxation has caused some relief to the consumer because the prices have come down. We should keep it in mind that there is always a difference in the prices in the rationed area and in some States—take the case of Bihar—the rationed area—Jamshedpur—is very small. There is some arrangement of cheap price shops in Patna and from there purchases are allowed.

Pandit L. K. Maitra (Nabadwip): Also in the coal-fields.

Shri Kidwai: Of course, coal-fields.

The statement that has been circulated will show what has been the effect of this relaxation, because rice and wheat from the surplus areas have been allowed to go to the deficit areas, and it has brought down the prices considerably. It was also tried in U.P. at a time when rains had set in and free movement was not possible. Still the day decontrol was enforced, the prices of rice went down, and in most of the eastern dis-

tricts the next day they were below the official prices. In the western districts, they remained high because the rice-growing area was mostly in Eastern U.P.

Food Situation

Wheat prices went up from Rs. 16 a maund in Hapur to Rs. 22 a maund. But then the Chief Minister convened a meeting of the grain dealers and told them that the new Foodgrains Order that has been issued, authorising the Government to take over stocks at the procurement price, would be enforced if they did not bring down the price. And the price did come down to Rs. 17½. But other foodgrains were cheaper and easily available, so much so that from November 15 the U.P. Government have ceased to control all foodgrains except wheat, because wheat prices are higher. The explanation for it is that the U.P. Government are subsidising foodgrains. We cannot expect private dealers to subsidise foodgrains.

The U. P. Government themselves were thinking of raising the price of wheat from Rs. 16 to Rs. 19. They had fixed June 1 as the date from which the prices were to be raised. Then we pressed them not to raise the prices at that time, and they deferred the date to June 16. On June 4, the prices in Delhi were brought down from Rs. 19 to Rs. 16. Therefore, the U. P. Government thought that that was not the prices. Now, they have raised the prices, and there is very little difference between the open market prices and the U. P. Government prices. This has been the experience in other States also. There is a large area where the movement is now free and the prices are going down, so much so that the Governments of these States are worried about the loss they will incur on the stocks they already hold.

### 12 Noon

The experience of Madras is a little different. But I think Members will admit that decontrol has not caused the failure of rains, and if control had continued there and there had been a failure of rains, then something should have been done to help Madras out of the difficulty, because then procurement would not have been possible and other measures would have had to be taken to rush foodgrains to the State. Now, they are facing a famine. There has been a failure of both the rains and therefore, something has to be done to help them. The failure of rains, as I have said, has not been caused by decontrol. But really there is no decontrol. There is that Food-

[Shri Kıdwai]

grains Order which the Madras Government at that time thought they did not need to introduce because the situation was such. This Order requires registration of all foodgrain dealers. They have to keep the State Government informed of the money advanced against foodgrains, and whenever the prices rise upduly the State Government can take possession of the stocks at the procurement price and sell them at the cheap price. The Madras Government did not introduce it because at that time they thought that the situation was under control. Now, on account of the failure of rains, they are a little worried and introduced the new Order in the State last week. I am sure that by the enforcement of this Order and the help that we may render them, they will be able to control the food situation.

I have stated briefly what has been our policy. We now want to introduce certain changes. They may be called a relaxation in the existing controls. They may be so called, but really they are not a relaxation. As I have explained to you, the experience has been that the surplus States have not been very particular about procurement, and now that they find that if they store certain foodgrains and the prices fall, they will lose, they are not procuring. And we have to procure whatever is there for the deficit areas. Therefore, we are making some changes in the existing controls, that is, we are allowing the deficit States to procure in the surplus areas also. If the Madhya Pradesh or Puniab Government are not procuring coarse grain, that is, willets and others, we are allowing the deficit States, like Bombay and Madras, to procure it there.

I will now read out the policy statement:

"It is proposed to continue the present inter-State cordons. But the State Governments will be free to buy millets and other coarse grains in any other State approved by the Centre and move them into their own States either on a State-to-State basis or by direct purchases through their own agencies. There will be no movement restrictions within each State except with the approval of the Central Government. Even in the case of inter-State cordons, movement of headloads will be permitted

for producers wishing to sell their produce in the neighbouring markets, though outside the border of the State.

Food Situation

Suitable measure should be taken to ensure that prices do not go up unduly as a result of competition by buying States. For such purchases, a price will be kept in view which will be related to the present procurement prices.

To ensure equitable distribution of the surplus, a proportion would be maintained in the purchases of different State Governments. For their own requirements, the State Governments may procure necessary quantities from within the State.

A watch will be kept on the price level to see that too much is not removed from surplus States, sausing an undue rise in the price level."

As I have already said, we had already done it last year in Bhopal when the prices started rising. Then we banned all exports from that State:

"The effect of this relaxation will be watched by Government before any further relaxation of food controls is considered. Meanwhile, the existing controls on rice and wheat will continue, as at present."

As I have said, the new scheme is being introduced simply to ensure that the surplus States yield whatever surplus there is to the deficit States.

Now, there is the question of rice. I have to thank the Orissa Government for the help they gave last year and have promised to give this year also. They have been supplying us all the surplus rice, but we cannot say the same about other States. Therefore, we are negotiating with these States in regard to the quantity they would give to the deficit areas, because we have to be more careful about rice procurement this year than we have been hitherto. Rice from outside is costing us more and more every year and, therefore, we have to depend more and more on internal production. Therefore, from U. P., where the rice-eating population is confined to the eastern districts, and Punjab, I am demanding a large quantity of rice, and if they refuse to procure and supply us with that quantity, then perhaps some other change will

be made. But all that we are doing is to see that our food production as also our procurement go up and that our requirements are fulfilled from the production of the country.

Motion re:

Shri T. K. Chandhuri (Berhampore): One question, Sir.

Mr. Speaker: I shall place the motion before the House and dispose of the amendments.

Motion moved:

"That the food situation be taken into consideration."

Now, I have received notices of three amendments, one by Dr. Lanka Sundaram.

Shri Veeraswamy (Mayuram—Reserved—Sch. Castes): Members may be allowed to speak first.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member will wait for some time; and it is not good parliamentary practice that when the Speaker is on his legs other Members should stand up and put questions or begin to speak.

Now, the amendment of Dr. Lanka Sundaram is:

That at the end of the motion, the following be added:

"and having considered the same, this House is of opinion that hasty decontrol of food is fraught with dangerous consequences to the country."

I am reading others, because there is another amendment, a part of which, to my mind, is inadmissible, and therefore I am reading it. The second amendment is by Shri Sivamurthi Swami. It reads:

That at the end of the motion, the following be added:

"and having considered the same, this House"—then I will not read some part which I want to delete—"urges upon the Government—

(1) to take firm and effective steps to make the grow-more-food campaign a success by creating mixed agencies of State and its farmers among each group of villages in the State districts on co-operative basis with an active management to discharge the responsibility of executing the schemes of planned economy for concerned villages and thus for the whole mother State; and

(2) to have an uniform policy towards decontrol and for the meanwhile to procure and to distribute certain foodgrains on fair prices to scarcity areas."

There is a third amendment of Sardar Amar Singh Saigal, which reads:

That at the end of the motion, the following be added:

"and having considered the same, this House approves the steps taken so far in the matter."

Now, all these, as I have read out, are in order and I will just ask each hon. Member who has sent notice as to whether he wishes to move it.

Dr. Lanka Sundaram: I beg to move: That at the end of the motion, the following be added:

"and having considered the same, this House is of opinion that hasty decontrol of food is fraught with dangerous consequences to the country."

Shri Sivamurthi Swami (Kushtagi): I beg to move:

That at the end of the motion, the following be added:

"and having considered the same, this House urges upon the Government—

- (1) to take firm and effective steps to make the grow-more-food campaign a success by creating mixed agencies of State and its farmers among each group of villages in the State districts on co-operative basis with an active management to discharge the responsibility of executing the schemes of planned economy for concerned villages and thus for the whole mother State; and
- (2) to have an uniform policy towards decontrol and for the meanwhile to procure and to distribute certain food grains and thus for the whole areas."

However, in moving the above I would like to know why certain portions of my original amendment have been deleted.

Sardar A. S. Saigal (Bilaspur): I beg to move:

That at the end of the motion, the following be added:

"and having considered the same, this House approves the steps taken so far in the matter." Mr. Speaker: Amendments moved:

the end of (i) That at the motion, the following be added:

"and having considered the same, this House is of opinion that hasty decontrol of food is fraught with dangerous consequences to the country."

(ii) That at the end of the motion, the following be added:

"and having considered the same, this House urges upon the Government-

- (1) to take firm and effective steps to make the grow-moreand effective food campaign a success by creating mixed agencies of State and its farmers among each group of villages in the State districts on co-operative basis with an active mana--gement to discharge the responsibility of executing the schemes of planned economy for concerned villages and thus for the whole mother State; and
- (2) to have an uniform policy towards decontrol and for the meanwhile to procure and to distribute certain foodgrains on fair prices to scarcity areas."

(iii) That at the end of the motion, the following be added:

"and having considered the same, this House approves the steps taken so far in the matter."

Shri T. K. Chaudhuri: I wanted to put a question to the Food Minister whether the policy that has been laid down holds good with regard to West Bengal which is a rice-producing and . rice-eating State.

Shri Kidwai: Yes, it will be followed; it will be introduced soon.

Shri S. S. More (Sholapur): The hon. Minister was pleased to state, if I understood him properly, that the prices of food grains are falling. May I ask him the reasons, whether the cost of production has gone down or whether the demand has undergone a diminution?

Shri Kidwai: Where the Government grain shops are selling at cheaper rates there the demand remains the same; where it is selling cheaper at other shops then the people resort to the other shops.

Mr. Speaker: As regards Mr. Sivamurthi Swami's question, I find that the portions, the deletion of which I have ordered, are full of inferences and, in a sense, not actually defama-tory in the strict sense of defamation but casting an uncalled for slur so far as the relevancy of this motion is concerned.

Shri Jawahariai Nehru: Sir, perhaps I may say a few words which might clarify the situation. The House has heard the Food Minister. The Food Minister has stated briefly what the policy of Government is. I should like to add a few words to remove any misapprehension. We are adhering to our old policy in regard to every basic matter completely. Nothing, so far as the Government is Nothing, so far as the Government is concerned or the Food Ministry is concerned is being proposed which is in any way a change of that basic policy which we have been pursuing. We are making adjustments and certain relaxations which are confined to millets. Nothing is being proposed with regard to wheat or rice, as far as the present policy is concerned. In regard to millets certain relaxations are proposed and even there the basic system is kept in view. That is the present position. Of course, it is open to the House to discuss it in any manner it chooses.

Shri Nambiar (Mayuram): On a point of clarification, Sir. Does this mean that the position in Madras as it stands today will continue?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I suppose SO.

Shri Kidwai: It will improve.

Shri Nambiar: I am asking for clarification, Sir .....

Mr. Speaker: He has got the clarification.

Shri Nambiar: It will continue?

Mr. Speaker: That is what he said.

Now we shall begin the discussion. As I have already said, the time-limit will be 15 minutes and perhaps I shall have to curtail it if a larger number of hon. Members wish to take part in the discussion.

Shri Gopala Rao (Gudivada): I rise to a point of order. This is a discussion on the food situation, but the hon. Minister did not give the whole picture of the food situation in India (Interruption).

Motion re:

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. That is not a point of order at all. He may argue his point if at all he gets an opportunity; otherwise, he must accept such information as the hon. Minister has supplied.

Sardar Lal Singh (Ferozepur-Ludhiana): When it was announced by the hon. Prime Minister on Saturday that there would be a discussion on food policy on Monday, we had expected to get some sort of a note from the Government which would indicate the policy of the Government and which we could have a chance to discuss. I am glad therefore that the hon. Minister of Food has tried to clarify the position at least in the House. It was this morning, or rather last night, that we got this copy of statement, showing the comparative off-take of foodgrains and also the comoarative prices prevailing in various months of the year and in different years. I must confess that in spite of my best efforts to make out something out of this statement. I found it rather difficult to understand much. For instance, while it is true that in connection with the off-take of foodgrains, the off-take has been more in one month and less in the other month, but if you add up, then the total off-take for the first eight months from January to August under "statutory" comes to 25 lakh tons in 1950; 24 lakh tons in 1951 and 21 lakh tons in 1952. If to the statutory rationing, figures under "Others' are added, total comes to 41 lakhs in 1950; 41 lakhs in 1951 and about 39 lakhs in 1952. So, I was not able to make out anything, because no great difference is revealed.

Now, coming to the comparative prices, while it is true that in the case of all the States the prices after decontrol in 1947 had shot up by 50 per cent. to 250 per cent. as compared to pre-control, but when you consider the position after decontrol in 1952 we find a curious position. I have been trying to analyse the position in various States. For instance, in Bihar, after decontrol, the prices have generally declined except in some cases which are unexplainable. For instance in Jamshedpur and Ranchi the prices had gone up.

Shri Kidwai: In Jamshedpur the old prices remain, because the Government was supplying from its own shops.

Sardar Lai Singh: With regard to Madras, I find that the prices after decontrol are attempted to be shown as lower than previously, but the snag there is that the black market price of 1951 has been taken into consideration. I submit that that is not a true index. It can be highly misleading. Thus, it is difficult to support the conclusions attempted to be arrived at from these statistics.

In Bihar the prices have more or less been the same, although there has been a slight increase after decontrol. In the case of Saurashtra also, the prices are more or less the same. There is, however, another snag. It is not quite fair to compare the prices of 1951 with 1952 month by month unless we know that there have been no other factors disturbing the price factor. For instance, we must study climate factors as also the comparative local production during these two years, and so on. It is possible that in certain States, because of favourable weather conditions, the crops may have been better and the prices may have gone down because of good production there. So, in the absence of these facts and figures which could not be studied because this statement was supplied to us only this morning. I am afraid it would be rather difficult to come to any conclusion.

In this plethora of figures, one gets bewildered and lost, and I personally feel that they are more of an academic interest than anything else. The crux of the problem is whether production is increasing or dicreasing—in other words, whether the country is on the way to self-sufficiency or not. If there is a decline, then we must find out the reason. I say these figures and this discussion of control or decontrol, are of academic interest because if there is a satisfactory production in the country the Government may or may not have the control—or the control may be redundant—and even if you have the control it is likely to be successful. On the other hand, if there is a very serious shortage of food, then controls may be indispensable but they will hardly serve the purpose. They will only lead to corruption, degradation and demoralisation of the people as well as the governmental machinery, and the remedy many a time proves worse than the disease itself. Judged in this light, I am afraid the position is not at all gratifying. If we take the authority of the Planning Commission, then we find that the output has actually decreased by about four million tons although

[Sarder La] Singh]

the area under cereals has remained more or less the same. Consequently, the position is very disquieting.

# [SHRIMATI AMMU SWAMINADHAN in the Chair]

As regards the future, I must warn the Government that our hopes are likely to be frustrated. I would therefore beg the hon. Prime Minister kindly to take into confidence the Opposition Members or at least call a conference of the State Directors of Agriculture, the agricultural experts and the practical farmers and find out the reasons for decline. It is a very serious matter, because after spending Rs. 75 crores on the grow-more-food campaign and in spite of the increased production, resulting therefrom, if there is an overall decline in the production, there must be some other reason for it and I would beg the Government to go into this matter rather seriously. I am certain that if such a conference is called and it is attended by people who understand the subject in all its aspects the Government will be greatly disillusioned. I should have liked to discuss this matter in greater detail and go into the probable reasons for failure and what the Government ought to do to increase production but I must return to the subject of prices and control, lest the Chair pulls me up on the ground of being irrelevant.

Whether Government decides in favour of control, partial control or no control, I as a representative of the agriculturists, would like to put before the Government the view point of the cultivators. There are after all two main courses open to the Government: (a) to let the law of supply and demand have its full play; or (b) to have strict planned economy.

Under the law of supply and demand both the consumer and the producer have to take chances. In years of low production the cultivator naturally aspires to get good prices and sometimes perhaps a fancy price, for his produce and in years of heavy production he naturally has got to be contented with very low prices, and sometimes disgustingly low prices. Under strict planned economy, however, both the grower and the consumer are protected. To illustrate this I may give the example of Switzerland where I happened to study some years back how this plan of strict planned economy was working. Gov-

ernment fixes the price of crops—when crop is ready for harvest—on the basis of cost of production plus a reasonable margin of profit, in consultation with a committee representing consumers and a committee re-presenting growers. After the price is fixed, in case the production is less than the total national demand, there is naturally no difficulty in the disposal of the produce at controlled prices. Government allows the import of only that much of stuff, with required import duty, which would suffice to meet the deficit and the price level is maintained without any diffi-culty. In case, however, the production is more than the total demand in the country then Government buys over the surplus, either for storage or for conversion into alcohol, because alcohol is used there in place of petrol and most of the foodstuffs can be converted into alcohol without much difficulty. By this method, Govern-ment guarantees a reasonable price to the cultivator and at the same time protects the consumer against having to pay a very high price for food in certain years.

As an agriculturist I would have no objection to any of the two methods being followed, that is either to allow the law of surely and demand to operate, in full force or to strictly adhere to the policy of planned economy. But unfortunately in India, due to the helplessness of the cultivators, who are not organised like trade unions and who cannot make effective protests, the Government, dominated as it is by nonagriculturists acts in a manner which is always prejudicial and detrimental to the interests of the cultivators. When there is shortage of production and the consumer has to pay more, the Government, as an advocate of strict planned economy, steps in thereby depriving the cultivator of getting a high price in that particular year. On the other hand, if there is a heavy production then "the law of Supply and Demand" is allowed to cause havoc to the cultivators and many a time they are not able to get a price which can meet even the cost of production. For instance, rome years back, in the pre-partition period. I remember the cultivators were forced to sell their wheat at Rs. 1/8 per maund. Government then felt no concern. On the other hand, during the last few years when the prices began to shoot up, of course, Government readily fixed a ceiling thereby preventing the cultivators from getting the advantage of high prices.

727

Mr. Chairman: The hon. Meinber has got two minutes more.

Sardar Lal Singh: In fact the control prices fixed for Indian cotton and wheat are much lower than the prices that India Government is paying for the imported cotton and wheat from Pakistan and other countries.

Similarly, the control price of gur was fixed at Rs. 20 per maund about two years back, even though the cultwo years back, even though the cultivators could get about 50 per cent. higher. Any cultivator charging even four annas extra was likely to be sent to jail. On the other hand when the prices in last March came down to rupees five a maund against the control of price of Rs. 20, Government felt no concern. In fact, I have to say with regret that some high officials including Ministers, expressed a sort of satisfaction at the low prices pre-vailing without realising that the cultivators were being ruined. A appeals of the cultivators to allot them to export gur, to Pakistan other countries fell on deaf ears the Government All allow OF οſ the Government. But as soon as the gur passed from the hands of the cultivators to middlemen, export was at once allowed, with the result that prices again shot up by about 300 per cent. That is how the controls have been working in India to the detriment of the cultivators.

Mr. Chairman: The non. Member has taken about 16 or 17 minutes. If he could finish in a couple ca minutes he may go on.

Sardar Lal Singh: A perusal of the prices of crops—whether cotton, sugar-cane, oil seeds or any other crops, would show how the poor cutivator gets a low price at the time of harvest and how the prices jump up after a short time when the pro-duce goes out of his hands.

Only the other day, our hon. Food Minister gave a further proof of Government's solicitude for the cultivator in connection with sugar-cane prices! A couple of years back as the House knows, sugar was being black-marketed at rupees two to three a seer. Even in the best of hotels one not get sugar more than a spoonful, as if it was a medicine. There were sugar scandals all round. Industries, ď€ pending on sugar, were dying out and people could not get sweets to eat, because sugar prices had gone up. Thanks to the efforts of the cane-growers, sugar is now available in any sugar is now available in any quantity without harassment and without resorting to blackmarketing, and that also at a cost of about six annas a pound, which I think is a very reasonable price, as

compared with other commodities, especially when it is borne in mind that sugar is largely consumed by compared with rich or middle class people. Sugar-cane growers deserved gratitude of the country. On the contrary they have been penalised for increasing production. Our hon. Minister of production. Our hon. Minister of Food has thought fit to cut down the prices by 25 per cent. by one stroke of his pen. The price of sugar-cane now is Rs. 1/3 at out centres or Rs. 1/5 at the gate of the mills. This price does not pay even the cost of production. And what is more, the price has been fixed at a time when the crop is ready, when the cultivators have incurred all the expenses on growing it and had expected to get the same prices as during the last two years. It is nothing short of taking advantage of their helplessness because the crop is of a perishable nature. I may also add here that even though protests have been sent to the Food Minister from all the cane-growing areas, even though the cane experts of the Gov-ernments in different States have stated that these low prices would prove extremely detrimental to the sugar industry, and although the Indian Central Sugarcane Committee—the highest and most competent body in the country to speak on the subjecthave also passed resolutions asking the Government to revise the prices of came and stated that this sudden and steep reduction in prices would prove disastrous to the industry, and it is also an open secret that three biggest cane-growing areas in the country namely Uttar Pradesh. Punjab and Bihar have also written to the Government that Rs. 1/6 to Rs. 1/9 should be the absolute minimum price, still the hon. Mr. Kidwai seems rather adament. All appeals and arguments have failen on deaf cars. I would request him to feel for the cultivators at least as much, if not more, as he does for the sugar mills. Because, after all, it is the cultivators whom Government must satisfy.

I propose to discuss this matter again when the question of the sugar excise duty comes up. Before con-cluding I would appeal to the Govern-ment not to be satisfied with a superficial examination of the problem, not to indulge in academic discussion but to diagnose the root cause of the decline in food production and to consult those who are in a position to understand the subject in all its aspects. Either follow the policy of a rigid planned economy with all that it implies, or allow the law of supply and demand to have its full play. And declare the policy cace for all so that the cultivators may know what

### [Sardar La] Singh]

to do and what crops to grow. And I am certain that the cultivators would increase production and see that the nation does not face shortage of food.

Lastly, I appeal that the cultivators should not be driven to desperation. They have already begun to feel that Government is unsympathetic, and I hope the cultivators will not be forced to think in terms of agriculturists and non-agriculturists or urban and rural, or forced to resort to the formation of unions like the trade unions. If the cultivators are convinced that they cannot get a sympathetic, fair and just treatment from the Government they would naturally be driven to desperation and resort to other means.

Shri Raghuramaiah (Tenali): Some objection has been taken to the showing of black-market prices in the statement so far as it relates to Madras. I may say at the outset that from the common man's point of view nothing else could have been done by the Government. The black-market price was undoubtedly the ruling price in non-statutory areas.

Shri Kidwai: They have been quoted by the Madras Government.

Shri Raghuramalah: I am' grateful to the hon. Minister for the clarification. As you are aware, out of 360 millions of people in this country only about 126 millions are under rationing. Out of them only about 40 millions are under statutory rationing, and it is only in the statutory rationing areas that the procurement price has got any meaning. Outside that no peasant has, so far as my knowledge goes, sold any paddy on the procurement basis; it was always at the blackmarket rate. Take for instance my own area. At a time when the procurement price was Rs. 18 to 20 per bag of paddy the blackmarket price was anywhere near Rs. 30, and no one outside the statutory rationing area could have got a bag for anything less than Rs. 30.

The most interesting thing which these statistics, however, show is this. If you take the deficit areas like Rayalaseema you will find that there has been a reduction by about 50 per cent. in the prices that were in vogue prior to the introduction of this decontrol system. It is true that the predecontrol prices were the blackmarket prices. But in Rayalaseema as elsewhere one could not get anything at the fair market prices. Therefore, from the common man's point of view the figures given by the Food Minis-

ter are the only figures which have any interest.

I am told that, in Rayalaseema which contains most of the deficit districts in Andhra the relief that has been given now has been very much acclaimed and has caused a good deal of satisfaction among large sections of the people.

It is true that in surplus areas there has been a slight rise in the price after the introduction of this decontrol system. For instance in the delta areas, immediately after the intro-duction of the new system, prices began to shoot up from Rs. 25 to about Rs. 35 per bag. But that was only temporary and was caused by the buyers from the deficit areas rushing to the surplus areas. But as soon as there was a fair distribution of stocks the prices began to come down again: so that today in Madras I think it would be correct to say that the position is that although there was a slight increase in the prices immediately after the introduction of de-control, now the prices are more or less coming round to what they were in 1951 during the same months. Therefore, although it is yet too early to give a final verdict about the Madras condition-because, as the hon. Minister himself pointed out, there has been failure of rain, and we do not know how the traders and the merchant classes are going to react to the new crop—with all that, so far the policy of the Government has been received very gratefully.

The amount of relief can be understood only if we have at the back of our minds the state of affairs in Madras prior to the introduction of this system. As the hon. Minister pointed out, procurement was of the strictest type. Very often you come across ryots who would say that so much had been taken away from them by the Government that they had hardly anything left for themselves to eat. And there was of course naturally a good deal of corruption and maldistribution, and the whole prestige of the Government was at stake. The producer was dissatisfied that the stuff was being taken away from him, while the non-producer in the non-statutory areas was miserable that he could not get any grain at all

On the whole, therefore, so far the policy pursued by the Madras Government and approved by the Centre has been a success. But I am not one of those who would say that on the experience so far gained in Madras we should straightway jump at decontrol throughout the country.

I am not for the system of laissezfaire which is one of the things advocated by Sardar Lal Singh. As
we all know, according to the Planning Commission, even after that
Commission's work is put through,
that is by about 1955-56, there will be
a deficit of about three million tons.
And the population in this country
has not been very stationary.

Shri Kidwai: There will be no imports after that.

The Minister of Planning and Irrigation and Power (Shri Nanda): May I clarify the position? The Planning Commission expect an increase in production to the extent of about 7½ million tons, and also they expect a progressive reduction in imports, so much so that we feel by the end of the Plan period we should have no imports at all.

Shri Raghuramaiah: I am grateful to the hon. Minister for the correction. But I remember to have read somewhere that for a few years even after that time we will be importing every year three million tons of foodgrains, and although our effort will be to make the country self-sufficient we cannot overlook the prospect of having to import three million tons a year.

In any case the population is increasing by four millions per year and if you compare our production in 1941 with that in 1946 it has been more or less stationary at about 46 million tons. Of course the Planning Commission expects to increase our production by about seven million tons. At the same time there is the prospect of an annual increase in population at the rate of four million persons. Therefore the situation is not one which we can look forward with any degree of equanimity. This requires that the Government should proceed cautiously and the policy that has been called a relaxation of controls wherever such is possible should, I think, receive the approbation of this country. I should like to modify the terminology, if I may. Perhaps it is a case of controlled decontrol, because it is not really complete decontrol. In a place like Madras what is allowed is a free movement in certain districts and in certain other districts the fair price shops have been continuing to give the relief which the ration shops were giving before. The blackmarket has been converted into whitemarket and we hope that with the new paddy coming in, the price of the white market will gradually come down.

In some of these surplus districts, as I said before, people found it a little difficult in the beginning to find grain at the prices at which they were available before but that difficulty, I think, could have been met by the Government opening a number of fair price shops. Particularly in delta areas in Guntur, Krishna and West Godavari, there have not been so many shops opened as there should have been. If, at the time of the introduction of decontrol or immediately thereafter, they had introduced a number of fair price shops, then that slight increase would not have been there. I would therefore urge upon the Government to see that in all those areas—even in surplus areas where there was a marked tendency for the prices to go up—fair price shops are opened. The price will not then shoot up and people's hardships will be lessened and there will not be any trouble. On the whole I think I should commend the Food Minister for the policy he has followed, a policy which is so well laid-out. I also agree that in a country like ours at a stage like this when we have got a planned economy, we cannot tolerate any inflationary tendencies to go up. It is but correct that the Government should keep a watch over the distribution and see that prices are set at a reasonable level; we must keep the general structure of control intact so that the moment prices go up up we can restore the controls again.

Shri Gopala Rao: I am very much disappointed by the hon. Minister's statement. I was expecting a complete review of the situation so that we can really discuss the motion on the food situation but those expectations are not fulfilled. The food problem is one of the major problems. For the last six years so many experiments are going on but in spite of these experiments, Government is not in a position to solve the problem. There must be something fundamentally and basically wrong somewhere.
That is why I say let us have a
thorough discussion on this matter because due to the failure of Government's policies and due to the failure of the solution of the food problem, people are suffering like anything, not suffering, are starving and dying some sectors. Of course, the hon. Minister may not accept my statement because there are occasions where a lot of controversy takes place in Madras and in other places where there are a large number of starvation deaths. The question now before the House is how to solve this problem, how to approach this problem, after the Government's efforts in various ways, sometimes

[Shri Gopala Rao]

partial control, sometimes full control, sometimes decontrols, import policies, formal rationing, informal rationing, statutory rationing—all these methods failed during the last six years. That is why it is high time for us to take into account the whole situation and find out the ultimate and correct solution to this.

Motion re:

We shall take into account the present state of affairs. In Madras and other States also comparatively prices have shot up very high. They have gone up almost from 25 to 40 per cent. I can give you any number of quotations from various papers. In the Madras State after the introduction of the decontrol policy, the whole problem has taken a new shape and new problems come up before the people and before the Government.

By decontrol policy we mean an indication of the new policy on behalf of the Central Government.

You have to understand in that light. Let us refer to the Planning Commission's Report. On one side the Government says that it is going for a planned economy. It is clearly stated in the Planning Commission Report that:

"any step in the direction of decontrol under present conditions...a certain rise in prices is likely to jeopardise the entire system of food controls which has been built up in the country with considerable effort. The consequence of complete decontrol, it is hardly necessary to say, would prove more dangerous".

I am not able to follow whether the Government's policy on the food front is consistent or is opportunist. After the introduction of decontrol in the Madras State, the prices have shot up. If you want, I can give any number of quotations from various papers. From Rs. 18 before decontrol, it has shot up to Rs. 32 per bag of two maunds in Vijayavada. Rs. 34 in Eluru, 30 in Tanuku, 29 in Tenali. Even if you refer to Madras city, even today, it is Rs. 35 per bag of paddy. In the first week of the decontrol system people were enthusiastic due to the wrong control system that was being adopted for the last eight or ten years. During the controls, people were fed up with corruption, low quality of rice, the very small quantity that was given, and the forcible procurement from poor peasants. When the controls were lifted, for the time being, for the first one or two weeks, people were enthusiastic. Now, they have realised

the whole situation and they are faced with a serious position. As a result of this decontrol in Madras, broadly speaking, the famine area has extended. Previously, Rayalaseema and some other districts in Tamil Nad were famine-affected. Now, it has extended to the surplus areas like Kistna. Godavari and Tanjore, the granaries of the South. In these districts, in the village sectors, the situation is very serious after decontrol. Agricultural labour, poor peasants, artisans and other classes are all facing a very serious situation. Merchants from Madras and other cities came and bought all the grains at exorbitant prices as there was no control or restriction, and the local people are left completely helpless.

Food Situation

It was announced by the Chief Minister of Madras on the eve of the introduction of decontrol that they have got enough stocks for 1½ years and whenever the necessity arises, they are prepared to open fair price shops throughout the country. But, when the question of practice comes, when in the months of August, September and October, there was a hue and cry and thousands of people marched in demonstration to district collectors in hunger marches, demanding food for them, Government are not in a position to open fair price shops according to the promise. I am very doubtful whether it is a fact that they have stocks sufficient for 1½ years. If it is a fact, certainly, they can immumediately send those stocks to the starving millions and open fair price shops also.

Mr. Chairman: It is now one o'clock. The hon. Member has five minutes more. Would the hon. Member like to continue after Lunch?

Shri Gopala Rao: Yes.

The House then adjourned for Lunch till Half Past Two of the Clock.

The House re-assembled after Lunch at Half Past Two of the Clock.

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair]

Shri Gopala Rao: In the morning I was saying how decontrol system affected the various sections of the people in Madras.

As I said at the beginning, the first achievement of the decontrol policy is high prices. The second achievement is extension of famine areas even to surplus districts such as Tanjore, Krishna, Godavari etc. Even in the surplus districts, people's organisations have come into existence to save the people, and give them some help. You can see this from the leading Congress dailies. Today,

735

I have seen a news item that in Samalkot Taluk, a part of East Godavari, the granary of Andhra, 2,000 people are being fed for the last one month. This is the result of decontrol policy. The third result is that the market is completely open to the big merchants and big landlords who read the fruits of the decontrol policy, and the starving millions are completely left at the mercy of these hoarders and big merchants.

Shri Velayudhan (Quilon cum Mavelikkara—Reserved—Sch. Castes): cum Are there no fair price shops there?

Shri Gopala Rao: They are very insignificant. There was a big agrainsignificant. There was a big agration to open fair price shops because at the very beginning, the hon. Chief Minister announced that there was sufficient stock for 18 months, but unfortunately very few shops were opened here and there, and I came to know that definite instructions were given to the officers to adopt delaying tactics, because the Government is waiting for the new harvest. Actually, there were no stocks with the Government to face the situation. That is why they are waiting for the new harvest coming in November and December. That is why, whereand December. That is why, whereever there is a hue and cry and
they feel the situation is difficult,
they are nominally opening a few
shops, and promising many. That is
the condition of the fair price shops
They are not in a position to cope
with the situation.

When control policy was there, there was forcible procurement, but big stockists were completely left out. and there was corruption. Bad quality and insufficient quantity were supplied, and the supply was not resupplied, and the supply was not regular. Now, there is decontrol policy. In both the cases, Government have failed to take the people into their confidence, and secure the co-operation of the people and the other political parties and various organisations that have come into existence to serve the people in this connection. Many the people in this connection. Many political parties formed themselves into one organisation to solve this problem, but the Government did not take them into confidence and adopt take them into confidence and adopt a popular food policy in the people's interest.

It would have been better if the hon. Minister had reviewed the actual position in Madras State, not only the question of prices. Is the food situation there a bit better than it was before the introduction of the decontrol policy, or is it worse? I wish the hon. Minister had given a complete and comprehensive picture of the whole situation.

I have seen some statements of the Food Minister in which he said that there were plenty of stocks with the Government. In September, the Ex-ternal Affairs Ministry also issued a ternal Affairs Ministry also issued a communique stating that there was a two million tons of stock with the Government. But I do not accept that statement. If such big stocks are in the hands of the Government, there is no necessity for these starving millions to suffer like this miserably.

The second argument which is advanced is that the off-take in the ration shops and fair price shops is becoming less day by day. If it is a fact, we must find out the reason for the fall in the purchasing power of the people. And Government must take the responsibility of raising the level of the people's purchasing capacity. That cannot be a reason to take a different course of action in this matter.

In these circumstances, whether it is decontrol or any other policy, it must be in the interests of the people. I put a straight question: "Is the Government prepared to take the respon-sibility of feeding the people?" If that is the aim of the Government, you can have the co-operation of every political party, and the people in the country. No force, no hurdles can hinder the resolution of this problem. Government must open gruel shops, relief centres and cheap grain depots wherever necessary. They must rise to the occasion and adopt the necessary methods. When-ever paddy is procured, the procure-ment price also must be reasonable for the producer, but the procurement must be taken from the big stockists must be taken from the big stockists especially. When there was control policy—even now it is there in some provinces—procurement was not going on properly. I read today that in Travancore-Cochin where there was progressive levy system, a landlord having a thousand acres had to pay the same amount of levy as a peasant holding 30 acres.

Shri P. T. Chacko (Meenachil): No,

The Deputy Minister of Food and Agriculture (Shri M. V. Krishnappa): It is not true.

Shri Gopala Rao: I speak subject to correction.

Procurement must be taken from the big stockists, and if necessary, imports must be brought in, of course, and properly distributed in the countryside and in the towns.

### [Shri Gopala Rao]

I want to repeat that the steps taken by Government must be with the help of the relief committees, food committees and various political parties. If the Government is prepared to take such steps and adopt a popular food policy protecting the interests of the consumers and the producers, of course, every political party will be in a position to co-operate with them. I hope Government will come forward with a completely new policy and secure the co-operation of the people and the various political parties.

Shri T. N. Singh (Banaras Distt.—East): At the outset I would like to emphasise that this problem is not a party problem or a party question. It concerns the whole nation, and I would appeal to the entire House that our approach to this problem should not be in a party spirit, but with a view to understanding exactly what the implications of the situation are, and how we are going to meet it.

I would not describe the statement of policy made only this morning by our Minister of Food and Agriculture, as a policy of decontrol. I do not think it is a policy of decontrol at all. What he has told us this morning is that in regard to millets, there is going to be removal of restrictions on their movement particularly between Madhya Bharat and Bombay. That was the special reference he made to. I think millets have never been one of our strictly controlled commodities, I think there was some control in the beginning when the hon. Minister assumed charge. Then he referred to interzonal controls or thought of bringing together certain deficit and surplus zones with a view to making them self-sufficient, at the same time taking full responsibility for certain industrial towns like Calcutta, Bombay and other places. I think that policy continues to a certain extent, but we have not yet before us the full picture as to how the zones are going to be actually brought together, and how they are going to function. We have to wait for the definition of this policy for some time more till he has finalised it. In the meantime a question has certainly arisen owing to a new policy in regard to millets...

Shri Nanda: There seems to be some misunderstanding about the zones. There is no question of any zones.....

Shri T. N. Singh: I only said that we are still awaiting the enunciation of that policy.

Shri Nanda: There is no intention to have any zones now in view of the fact that the inter-State barriers are to remain, so far as the various States are concerned.

Shri T. N. Singh: I am very glad to hear this clarification of the policy. Now the question really has in my opinion to be viewed from a perspective from which unfortunately the problem has not been viewed. And this raises the question of inferior and finer grains. There is and has been a shortage of finer grains in our country not only after the war, but I think, always. Our people have always been under-nourished and the majority of them have lived on the inferior grains, while many of them have had to be content with one meal a day while others could not even have that. So, that has been our perpetual problem. After Swaraj, we made a valiant declaration of our policy that it is the responsibility of the Government to see that all must be fed and fed properly too. And I claim, without bringing in any party politics in this that during recent years, especially the last four or five years, our people have taken more and more to finer grains. That is a fact, and it is no use disputing that. Even in villages—I myself come from a village, and I know what the position there is—many of us who did not know what wheat or rice was, have now taken to wheat and rice. I welcome that. If as a result of this factor there is shortage of the finer grains, we need not be upset about it. At least it is for that consummation that our people and our Government have to work.

The real question is how are we going to solve the problem? Of course, the ultimate solution is more production, and unless we have more supplies of foodgrains, there will be scarcity and there will have to be control in one form or another. A certain amount of control will have to be there because we must distribute equitably what is available, among our people. Therefore so long as production and our supplies remain what they are today, or do not alter appreciably in the near future, we will have to continue the policy of control in whatever shape considered practicable. The effort of our Government, as I have been able to understand it, for the past several years has been one of reducing the irksomeness of controls. That is what we have been doing. In several provinces attempts were first made to

have total levy and total procurement. In others there were modified systems. In certain places, it was monopoly In certain places, it was monopoly procurement, in certain others procurement was through trade channels and a certain percentage of the intake was through traders. According to varying situations, according as time went on, according to the experience gained, we have been modifying this policy of getting the total fund of wheat, rice and other foodgrains available to us for distribution. That available to us for distribution. That is what we have been doing, and this policy which our Food Minister has recently announced, in my opinion. recently announced, in my opinion, amounts to nothing more than a certain modification of the previous system of procurement and distribution. That is all it amounts to. Therefore to describe this policy as decontrol is wrong, and for any protagonist of decontrol to get ecstatic about it is also wrong. We need not exult over the fact that there has been some modification in the food control policy today. It does not control policy today. It does not amount to decontrol as a matter of fact. Certain modifications have be-come necessary, and if anyone here tries to make the hon. Minister say something which he has not intended to mean, then I think we will be put-ting him as also everybody else in the wrong by trying to raise false hopes. Raising of false hopes in the people is very dangerous. Even from the point of view of procurement and con-trol, I would very strongly urge this: let us not characterize this policy as one of decontrol, and thereby enter into any controversy on these questions. In fact that question itself does not arise.

Shri Gadgil (Poona Central): It does.

Shri T. N. Singh: It does not.

Mr. Speaker: Let the hon. Member proceed with his speech; otherwise the debate will not end, and we may have to give more time.

Shri T. N. Singh: The real question that arises, as far as I know—and that is what has been worrying me most—is that we have got a certain amount of foodgrains with us, and with a certain amount of austerity I think even today we can manage quite a lot, and there will not be any necessity for so much of imports as we are having today. That is true, and at the same time we cannot think of expecting people who have taken to finer grains to go back to inferior grains. But the trouble is the capacity of the merchants and traders to corner grains—even if we were to

assume that somehow or other that there is small favourable margin, considering our production—and raise the prices at which they may in future, be sold. That is the real danger in any step that we may take in regard to decontrol and we have to guard against that. I am told that the Government will have at the end of the year a stock of about two million tons.

Shri Kidwai: 18 lakh tons.

Shri T. N. Singh: I may not be mathematically accurate about what stocks we have. But assuming that the offtake of the provinces continues at the present level, we should have approximately two million tons of foodgrains. I am also told that on a very conservative estimate the stocks of grains in the hands of our merchants and traders are in the neighbourhood of 2.5 million tons. Now, that has created a certain prob-lem and anxiety in me and I have been trying to think out as to what its implications are. Obviously to me it appears that today when the grain trade is not so free and is controlled and restricted the merchants. can afford to stock 2.5 million tons. what is there to prevent them from stocking another two million tons. when there is complete relaxation of controls. though that will depend, of course, on their capacity, or on the blackmarket money or whatever may be with them. or free money as it is called? (An Hon. Member: No more money.) I cannot subscribe to that view. I think it has yet to be proved that there is no such free money with the morehants. money with the merchants. The Finance Minister will be in a better position to assess the situation. I have my doubts as regards the availability of such money and the way it can be manipulated, despite the banking regulations and controls that may be exercised later on. That is the real problem and if that happens I am sure, no merchant will lose the opportunity of making money when he can make it even at the cost of untold sufferings to the people. That is the unfortunate position today and we must take that into account. We must admit and we must be prepared to assume that that may be a possibi-lity and if we have that in view, then we have to guard ourselves against it. because it will create a serious situation I think the House should apply its mind to this question as to how far-we can go even with the modifications that can be made in the existing system of controls, of procurement, supply and distribution, with this

[Shri T. N. Singh.]

risk staring us in the face. I think Government has rightly decided that it is not prepared to take the risk of removing controls because the im-plications are very serious. If there is a real cornering by some merchants or by a large number of merchants or by a large number of merchants and if we cannot supply the food needs of our people there will be no civilised Government, can take starvation. I think no Government, that risk, and I welcome the statement made by the Prime Minister after the Food Minister's statement which has certainly set my mind at rest on this point. (Interruption) He supplemented the information supplied by the Food Minister.

Then, a number of issues have been raised about this question of prices. Prices form a fundamental part of the structure of the whole centrol system and a very exhaustive and analytical study of the price structure as it has and a very exhaustive and analytical study of the price structure, as it has ruled in the past and as it is today, has to be made; and also as to how far it has reacted on our production. That is another important aspect of the problem. The hon. Member from PEPSU referred to this problem. He has suggested one way of dealing with the whole question. He suggested that the prices should be allowed to ge up. Production of foodgrains will then go up and in the subsequent pears when there is less demand the prices will come down (Interruption). mices will come down (Interruption).
That is the usual laissez faire argument in such matters. That may have been accepted but again that involves risks which the Government would not have been legitimately entitled to take. I think therefore Government took the right decision, in stepping in.....

Mr. Speaker: He has already taken 14 minutes; he has only one minute left.

Shri T. N. Singh: If you give me five minutes, I will be obliged, Sir.

Mr. Speaker: I can give the whole time provided the other Members agree. Hon Members will see that they should only make out points in the these specific processes. as short a time as possible so that others also may place their viewpoints. So he will finish now.

Shri T. N. Singh: I bow to you, Sir. I am sorry, Sir, I am not able to develop my point about the price structure owing to the shortness of time, but I will only say this much, that the 'supply and demand' principle should not be applied to this

case. At the same time, we should try our pest to restore the parity of prices that existed before the war between inferior and finer grains.

If we do that, I think we shall be solving our problem to a certain extent. Any system of control of modification of control which goes towards that and restores the old parity between the finer and the inferior grains will be helping a solution of our food ween the inner and the interior grains will be helping a solution of our food supply problem, and it will also be resulting in a more balanced production of the various kinds of foodgrains. That is what I feel. If one were to analyse the price figures of today and of the past one would find that there used to be a ratio of about that that there used to be a ratio of about that there used to be a ratio of about 1 to 2.5 between finer and inferior grains. That parity should be restored. At the same time, I do not think that it is right for the Government or for the Food Minister to base any conclusions on figures of open market prices last year or this year. They are unrealistic; they are untrue reason that when controls are there, the prices are not realistic. They are the prices are not realistic. They are unnatural and therefore, we have no data which are comparable so far as control and decontrol price parities are concerned.

Food Situation

Shri Kelappan (Ponnani): On this very vital problem there seems to have two opinions. One section holds...

An Hon Member: Three.

Shri Kelappan: Yes, three if you please. One section holds that the control must continue intact. There is the other opinion that the control has to be abolished. And there is one section that takes a middle course, that the control must be systematically and steadily abolished so that in the course of the implementation of the Five Year Plan we should stop importing any foodgrains. The Minister of Planning told us a little while ago that In the told us a little while ago that that was the policy of the Government. I do not know if there is any plan behind the Five Year Plan or what the Government has been proclaiming the Government has been proclaiming as their plan. Do we have any plan? Do we propose to have self-sufficiency in at least food and clothing, the two very vital items, in our programme? If we do, then this is not really the way to go about it. The grow-more-food campaign has failed, and rightly too. It could never succeed. (An Hon. Mamber: Grow-more-food has failed Member: Grow-more-food has failed rightly!)

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava in a supplementary note to the Report of the G.M.F. Enquiry Committee says:

Motion re:

"The grow-more-food activity was for several years only confined to the Parliament Hall and the Food Ministry, and perhaps in some measure, to the Agriculture Ministers of the States. It took good time for this to percolate to the districts and the tehsils. It has hardly reached the villages yet."

3 P.M.

And now if after spending 65 crores of rupees it has not percolated to the villagers who are to produce the food, then how do you expect such a scheme to succeed? This Committee, headed by Shri V. T. Krishnamachari is of the opinion that the grow-more-food campaign has failed to enthuse the masses. As long as we are not able to take the masses into our confidence and inspire any enthusiasm in them, our schemes are bound to fail. We have to take a lesson from our experience of this grow-more-food campaign.

About the Madras experiment, some Members here, were saying that it had failed and the prices of foodgrains had gone up. Really, the people of Madras feel that this experiment has succeeded. They have welcomed decontrol. (Interruption) I mean the Madras State, the whole State. One of the most deficit areas is Malabar and the people of Malabar really welcome it. I know the prices have gone up a little but what about the state of things before there was decontrol? We must be fools to think that the people were living on the four or five ounces of rice that they got. They were purchasing rice from the blackmarket and the blackmarket prices were high. Now, after the introduction of this decontrol, the prices have gone down. The blackmarket prices were the ruling prices and they have gone down considerably. In certain areas, the prices are now the same as the prices in the fair price shops.

I really cannot understand why these producers of foodgrains should not be given an equitable price. We find that the prices of all other commodities have gone up. If you expect them to produce food crops then they also must get an economic price. As far as commercial crops are concerned no one grumbles the prices are high. Should not the poor peasants also be able to live? Now, the figures given by the Minister of Food showed that in Madras the area under foodgrains has gone down. Yes, I know that in several places people have taken to money crops. There is no reason why they should not. We were really 300 PSD.

following a dangerous policy and had it not been for the fact that the Food Minister cried 'halt' to that policy and asked the States to pay for the imports full price, he would have had to go on importing more and more. In 1947 we imported foodgrains to the tune of 2.5 million tons or so. In 1951 we imported 4.7 million tons. Now, had it not been for the fact that the States were called upon to pay the full price for the imports, the estimated import would really be eight million tons. They went down to 4.7 million tons.

Another reason why this grow-more-food campaign failed was that we did not take several other factors into consideration. This food problem is intimately conracted with land reform and several other allied problems. As long as the peasants are not sure about their position in the lands they cultivate, you cannot expect them to take any interest. As to the proprietors, they will certainly get their rent; so they are not bothered about it; and then the people as a whole, when they find that the State Governments will supply all the foodgrains that they require, are also not going to take any keen interest in it. I do not believe that if the Government were to go on at this rate, after five years they will be able to stop all imports. They once told us that by 1951 they would stop all imports of foodgrains. But they have not succeeded; on the other hand, they had to import more. So, I say there is really no planned economy in all that Government has been doing.

Before this, there was another Committee constituted under Shri Purushottamdas Thakurdas. They have made certain very valuable suggestions and one of them is that panchatyats have to be organised and cooperative societies formed and they must be entrusted with the work. In fact, they are the only bodies that can take charge of this scheme if it were to be successful. We are dividing and sub-dividing land so that it becomes uneconomic. Now, Acharya Vinoba Bhave has got his own solution of the problem. He says that just as air and water are free, the land also must be free. Air and water are nobody's property; they are common property. Similarly, land also must be common property. If land belongs to the community to be administered by the panchayats and if land is avai'able to persons who stand in need of cultivating it, then and then alone you can really succeed. But are we prepared to have these radical reforms as far as lands are concerned? Unless you take note of this very important problem. I doubt whether your growmore-food campaign or decontrol will succeed. You must first create an

745

enthusiasm and faith in the people that your efforts are going to succeed.

As far as the recommendations of this Committee are concerned, I doubt whether they are pointing the right way. The recommendation is that the Collector should take charge of the Collector should take charge of the scheme at the district level, the tehsildars at the taluk level and the village officials at the village level. My opinion is that these people are the least competent to take charge of this scheme. It is merely a case of putting new wine in old bottles. I am not finding fault with these officials. The old bureaucracy is still continuing and old bureaucracy is still continuing and these officials who were brought up under different circumstances have under different circumstances have their own way of doing things. My fear is that the new ideas which we want to put into force may not materialise if they are entrusted to them for execution. If they are to take charge of this scheme, we would only fail once again. Your scheme would succeed only if you have non-official agencies. Otherwise, your efforts will meet with the same fate that overtook the grow-more-food campaign and other schemes undertaken so far. and other schemes undertaken so far.

Shri K. K. Desai (Halar): I come the declaration of policy by the hon. Food Minister, further clarified by the hon. Prime Minister. The question of food is a very important one and one cannot afford to monkey with

An. Hon. Member: So, it is a monkey problem!

Shri K. K. Desai: I welcome this declaration of policy, because during the last three or four weeks a lot of confusion had been created in the minds of everybody. While considering the food policy, certain basic factors should be clearly borne in mind. During the last two years we have imported very large quantities of food from abroad. In 1951 we imported 4.7 million tons and the import in 1952 100d from abroad. In 1951 we imported 4.7 million tons and the import in 1952 is said to be nearly four million tons. In one of his declarations recently somewhere in Bombay, the hon. Food Minister had stated that at the end of 1952 there is likely to be a carry-over of two million tons. of two million tons. These figures prove that on an average the country has consumed 3.5 million tons of im-ported foodgrains. This may perhaps be one of the reasons why most of us feel that the situation is a little more comfortable. I do not think the Gov-ernment of India intend to import such huge quantities of foodstuffs during 1953 or 1954. If that be so, then the position is not as comfortable as some people are apt to believe.

When the food question is discussed, most of us are likely to look at it from the point of view of our own respec-tive States and the hon. Food Minister has clearly stated that the question of procurement, prices and production varies from State to State. Broadly speaking, he has said that Southern India is a deficit area and Northern India is a surplus area. My suggestion is that this question should be tackled in an integrated way. A statement was recently made that if some incentive is given, there will be more production, that is, if decontrol is effected in a State, probably more food will be produced, but as against that in the Punjab alone where you have vigorous monopoly procurement....

Food Situation

Shri Kidwai: No. no.

Shri K. K. Desai: Please wait-I mean monopoly procurement at trade level—you find that in spite of this, the Punjab has produced more. So, what I mean is that what happens in one State is no criterion for judging the position in several other States. The present policy as I understand it is this. Decontrol to a large extent, that is, as far as millets are concerned, is contemplated State-wise and the trade in these particular commodities as far as deficit areas are concerned will be at the State level, that is, a deficit State may be able to get these commodities from the surplus States at State level either by appointing its own agents in the surplus States, or the surplus States themselves will procure for the deficit State.

Shri Kidwai: That is it.

Shri K. K. Desai: Now there is one danger in that. If along with this the power to requisition the foodstuffs remaining with the trade is not assumed, it is likely that the prices both in the surplus and deficit areas will shoot up.

Shri Kidwai: The powers are there.

Shri K. K. Desai: But the question is whether the hon. Food Minister intends to exercise them and watch the situation closely and critically. Otherwise, the situation will again go out of control. In 1947 when decontrol was effected, assurances were given more or less on the same basis as the hon. Food Minister is doing today. We were told that if prices rose, Government would use all its powers to bring down the prices, but the very figures supplied by the Food Ministry show that at that time the prices had become nearly double of what they were before the decontrol in 1947. I think at this stage we cannot afford to take any risk. So I believe what the Food Minister has stated in the course of his statement is nothing else but the existing policy of overall control over the foodstuffs with such modifications as are necessary for the purpose of better production, better procurement and stable prices, if not lesser prices. So, the new policy is to be judged from these three criteria and while administering the modified control policy as it has been placed before the House, I believe the hon, the Food Minister would have his close eagle eyes on the situation that may arise. . . . .

Shri Kidwai: I am short-sighted.

Shri K. K. Desai: Short-sighted, but eagle eyed.

An Hon. Member: Closed eagle eyed!

Shri K. K. Desai: And see that the three criteria which he has placed before the House, production, procurement and prices...

An Hon. Member: What about distribution?

Shri K. K. Desai: Distribution is only a method. Prices, procurement and production are the main factors. I have no doubt in my mind that the present policy has been placed before the country with a view to remove some of the irksomeness, irritation, etc., which some people may be feeling at the policy that is being adopted.

It has been stated in the course of the debate by Mr. Nanda that by the end of the Plan period, the country may be self-sufficient in cereals and its food requirements. That means that during the next three and a half years the country will be in a position to produce at least five million tons of more food.

Shri Kidwai: Seven and a half millions.

Shri K. K. Desai: If it is so, it will not be produced only at the end of the Plan period, it will be a gradual increase in production every year. If that is the real plan, I believe and I pray that the present modified policy of control will receive good response from the country.

Now one of the speakers this morning seriously pleaded for that old and ancient theory of demand and supply. I think it is better that we forget these old theories of classical economics now. The House will readily appreciate that if all the plans—and plan particularly in regard to food—get going and we get better results, there will be plenty in the country and a situation may arise, as is bound to arise, when we would have to apply controls

in a reverse way. Now Government is trying to protect the consumer. A time is bound to come when Government will have to protect the producer, when a floor price would have to be fixed. Whether it is ceiling price or floor price, it is of course control and to the extent free market is permitted between the ceiling and the floor prices there is elbow room only for a margin. So, I think we must accustom ourselves now to the policy of control.

Food Situation

Let us now not talk about decontrol. Today you are having control in the interest of the consumer. Very shortly we will have to think in terms of controlling the whole economy with a view to seeing that the producers are protected.

Before I sit down I would like again to appeal to the Food Minister that the powers they have assumed under the Essential Commodities Act should be used in case private trader in a State tries to corner the coarse grain for the purpose of trading with a deficit State, because it should not be forgotten that the coarse grain is about one-third of the total production of this country and the coarse grain is generally being used by the poor of this land. I welcome the present policy which is no departure from the overall general control policy as far as food is concerned.

Dr. Lanka Sundaram: I am glad that this debate is taking place today, for the reason that already it has the effect of assuaging public apprehensions which have been aroused as a result of a series of remarkable statements made a few weeks ago by my hon. friend the Food Minister.

Shri Kidwai: Will you please quote any?

Dr. Lanka Sundaram: Your Poona speech, for example.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Let the hon. Member address the Chair; so also the hon. Minister.

Dr. Lanka Sundaram: The debate has been responsible for mitigating the apprehensions held in various quarters of the country as to the present and future position of controls, food controls in particular. In between the statement made by my hon friend the Food Minister, supplemented by the clarification of the Prime Minister, I think, two or three very important propositions emerge.

In the first place, State Governments are free to buy where they want, whatever food they want. Number two is the problem of continued procurement within the precincts of each State,

750

# [Dr. Lanka Sundaram]

and, thirdly, there is no change at all about rice or wheat, while as the Prime Minister put it this morning there will be only a slight adjustment of movements of millets.

I am not satisfied that these three propositions arising out of two statements of the Food Minister and the Prime Minister would solve the problem fully, because I believe there is a tremendous need today—and I hope my hon. friend the Food Minister while replying to this debate will clarify the position—for basic factual information on the three aspects of the question. Are we going to import next year, or are we not going to import next year?

#### Shri Kidwai: We are.

Dr. Lanka Sundaram: If so, to what extent. Then, what has happened to the declaration made by the Prime Minister sometime ago that there would not be any food imports after 1951? I am not entering into a debate.

An Hon. Member: It is an old statement; it has been given up.

Dr. Lanka Sundaram: According to the Planning Commission Report we will be self-sufficient after three and a half years. In what way will the level of imports of food, particularly non-wheat food, be regulated, in order that the revised food policy of the Government can be maintained especially in the South of India?

My hon, friend the Food Minister in his statement this morning said that the three objectives of the Government of India are production of more food, fair distribution and the Government of India coming as a helpful buffer in case there is any difficulty in any particular State. While doing so the Food Minister was anxious to show that the grow-more-food campaign has gone on to the benefit of this country.

#### [SHRI N. C. CHATTERJEE in the Chair]

I am sorry to say that the figures that he has himself given do not sustain the claim made by him. In the State of Madras it was shown that there was a reduction of acreage under food of as much as ten lakh acres. I had occasion last month to show the Planning Commission the sort of grow-more-food drive which is going on in my part of the country, namely Madras State. I have shown the Planning Commission last month a circular invitation from the Collector

of my district asking me. as an exofficio member, to be present at the meeting of the District Food Committee which is a statutory committee. And then I also showed them how there was no agenda for that conference! After ten years of activity in this country in regard to the growmore-food campaign, after the elections, this first meeting did not have an agenda, with the result that I was able to convince myself that as yet in this country we have not come to a stage where the grow-more-food campaign can be taken as a serious proposition. In fact, this decline in the cultivation of food crops to the extent of ten lakhs of acres in one State only is a tragic commentary on the manner in which our agricultural economy is getting out of hand.

I am one of those very few people in this country who have been shouting for the past five or six years that something must be done to stop this menace of cash crops. I speak with a sense of responsibility, especially with regard to my own district. During the past two or three years, lands in these deficit areas which were previously areas of food production in that part of the country, have now been replaced by greater and greater increase of cultivation of sugarcane, jute and so many other commodities like tobacco for example—a deficit area going over to non-food crops! In fact I take a very serious view of the position as to the manner in which the Government of India in the first instance and the various State Governments in the second instance are not tackling the menace of cash crops. There is no point in planning for a self-sufficiency in food if this increasing menace of cash crops is not met. I am sure some of my friends on my right would tell me it is an idea which involves some sort of a political ethic. I am free to confess that there is no particular ethic or ideology behind this statement. It is a factual position, and one of tremendous import to the well-being of this country, that if something is not done immediately to curb the extension of cash crop cultivation in this country I am afraid all the planning and development so far undertaken and projected will not be of any use to our people at all.

I was rather surprised to listen to my hon, friend the Food Minister this morning when he claimed that after decontrol of foodgrains in Madras in June this year there was a fall in prices. In fact one or two other friends, Sarder Lal Singh in particular, elaborated on that point. Here are the prices of foodgrains since June which

have been circulated to us last night. In Visakapatnam the price of rice per maund was Rs. 17-4-6. Today the ruling price is Rs. 23. In Eluru (Andhra) from Rs. 16-6-0 it rose to—the latest price was for the last week of October—Rs. 23. And so on I have built up the position barring one or two places, and the prices have gone up. I consider that a comparison of the ruling price of today with the blackmarket price prevailing at this time last year would be most unfortunate in its implications, for this reason that when controls were on, even at the peak prices, there was a minimum guaranteed supply of food to all ration card holders both in the urban and rural areas. I do not deny that people went to the black market for supplementing the rations given. But the basic thing, which was rather missed by my friend this morning, was that there was a minimum guaranteed supply of a quantity of food at a controlled price. That cannot be forgotten, with the result that to say that today the price is only Rs. 23 compared to Rs. 50 last year would not be a fair comparison at all.

I do sincerely believe that unless and until there is rationing properly and adequately maintained, mere price fixation will not solve the problem. After all, there must be a method of control of prices, effective prices, and such control is completely linked up with the question of rationing and enforcement of rationing properly. I assure you that I am not wedded to food control or rationing for the sake of establishing a dogma. I am not unaware of the fact that controls having been badly administered so far have led to abuses and created vested interests and bottle-necks. But it occurs to me that I must make a statement very clear, namely that I have seen with my own eyes in the rural areas of Andhra, people in the past three years, especially those who are not agricultural labourers, unable to buy food, whatever the price. And the ruling prices were very heavy: with the result that whether it is statutory rationing or no statutory rationing, or urban or rural area, the problem is access—a complete minimum, satisfactory minimum, of food at a price which the people can afford, must be made available. I hope that as a result of the so-called modifications in the Food Plan as propounded this morning by my hon. friend the Food Minister and supplemented by the Prime Minister this point would not be overlooked. For, otherwise, I consider the gravest possible injury will be done to the interests of the people at large, in the urban as well as in the rural area.

One other point which I would like to emphasize this afternoon is this. Is there a shortage of food supply in this country—wheat as well as rice? Is the population growing? Have prices risen or not risen? How are we going to control all these factors? Not by decontrol. In fact I feel very strongly on the point that the greatest amount of psychological damage has been done by....

Shri Kidwai: You want us to control population also?

Dr. Lanka Sundaram: Certainly. Why not? I think your hon. colleague the Health Minister was very much committed to that proposition. She said so—unless it be that she spoke for herself.

I was saying that these three important factors cannot be lost sight of. And, as I was saying, the greatest amount of damage was done psychologically and emotionally to the people by the unthinking advocacy of slogans of decontrol.

For my part I consider that there were politics about decontrol. Let there be no mistake. The zonal system was so arranged as to keep the people with territorial interests in view. I will not weary the House further with that proposition. Coming from the north to the south—I come from the north—Srikakulam, Visakapatnam and East Godavari was one zone. The second zone began like this: West Godavari, Krishna—Guntur was removed from there and Nellore was added on—and Madras City was tacked on. And Guntur was added to Rayalaseema. This is the unfortunate and unfair way in which the zonal scheme was done. I know why it was done. It was to take away the surplus grains of Godavari and Krishna belt to Madras City and the South. I have been there in-between the sessions for two months, travelling in these districts, and the way in which rice was being taken away from these areas by hotel-keepers of Madras and South India is something which I cannot express adequately this afternoon. I say politics have entered, and I put in a caveat. Food can never have politics. It is not a party question. I heartily agree with my hon. friend Mr. T. N. Singh that there cannot be any politics in food. It should not be made a play-thing of party politics, and I do sincerely trust the Government of India will hold the scales even.

Coming from Madras, may I put this question to the hon. the Food Minister: If there has been a reduction of ten lakhs of acres of land under food in Madras State, why does the [Dr. Lanka Sundaram]
Government of India agree to continue food supplies?

Shri Kidwai: Because the supply is there.

Dr. Lanka Sundaram: And in what way does it help the Government of India, especially my hon. friend Mr. Nanda, to carry on the food self-sufficiency drive under the Five Year Plan? I think there are a number of important questions involved in this matter, and I am raising them in order to show that it is not unrelated to politics, with the result there is trouble in this country. I am glad that as a result of the two statements made this morning by my hon. friend the Food Minister and the Prime Minister my hon. friends opposite have closed their ranks and the earlier apprehensions will no longer be held.

भी राषेलाल न्यास (उज्जैन): माननीय समापित जी, आप ने मुझे जो इस समय बोलने का अवसर दिया है, उस के लिये में आप का अत्यन्त आमारी हूं। यह साद्यान्न की समस्या एक जटिल समस्या है। मुझे वह दिन अच्छी तरह याद है जब सन् १९४७ में इसी दिल्ली नगर में फ़ुड मिनिस्टर्स कान्फेन्स हुई थी और उस में मुझे भी सम्मिलित होने का सौभाग्य प्राप्त हुआ था।

Shri R. K. Chaudhuri (Gauhati): I would like to know whether you are calling persons who catch your eyes or you are calling persons from the list. I would respectfully like to mention to you, Sir, that for the last twelve days I had not made a single speech. I would respectfully ask you to allow me to speak.

Mr. Chairman: I am sure the hon. Member from Assam will catch my eyes. I will do my best to help him.

श्री राषेलाल व्यास : उस समय बाबू राजेन्द्र प्रसाद सेन्टर में फूड मिनिस्टर (Food Minister) थे और मैं ने यह देखा कि मध्य प्रदेश और ग्वालियर के अलावा बाकी दूसरे सभी प्रान्तों के फूड मिनिस्टर इस पक्ष में नहीं थे कि डिकन्ट्रोल (decontrol) किया जाय। इस के साथ ही यह भी मैं आप को बतला दूं कि सारे फुड मिनिश्टरों को महास्मा जी ने बिड़ला हाउस में बुलाया और उन से इस मसले पर बातचीत की थी और महात्मा जीने उन से यह कहा था कि तुम को इस समय डिकन्टोल कर देना चाहिये जब कि सिर्फ़ एक दो अपवाद को छोड़ कर जितने फूड मिनिस्टर उस कान्फ्रेन्स में मौजूद थे डिकन्ट्रोल नहीं करना चाहते थे, तो भी गवर्नमेंट आफ़ इंडिया ने उस जमाने में नियंत्रण को हटा लिया। इस के अलावा मुझे वह दिन भी याद है जब सन् १९४८ में महात्मा जी की मृत्यु के बाद दूसरी फ़ुड मिनिस्टर्स कान्फ़ेंस हुई थी और उस में भी मैं सम्मिलित हुआ था। उस में मैं ने पाया कि वह सारे प्रान्तों के फ़ुड मिनिस्टर जो पहले डिकन्ट्रोल के विरोधी थे, वह सारे के सारे केवल एक दो अपवाद को छोड़ कर देश में फिर से कन्ट्रोल लागू करने का विरोध कर रहे थे, उस समय फिर से कन्ट्रोल लागु करने की बात चल रही थी। मिनिस्टर्स कान्फ़ेन्स के विरोध के बावजूद भारत सरकार ने फिर से खाद्यान्न नियन्त्रण लागू किया । इस प्रकार से समझता हूं कि यह बहुत ही जटिल हे और आज भारत सरकार उसी तरह फूंक फूंक कर कदम रखना चाहती है जिस तरह एक दूध का जला आदमी छाछ को फूंक फूंक कर पीता है। भारत सरकार आज इस प्रश्न के हल में उलझी हुई है और उस की दशाठीक उस दूध के जले हुए पुरुष केसमान हैजो छाछ को फूंक फूंक कर पीता है।

इधर पिछले कुछ महीनों से लोगों पर ऐसा प्रमाव पैदा हो रहा था कि भारत सरकार कुछ डिकन्ट्रोल की ओर जा रही हैं लेकिन आज जो फूड पालिसी (Food

Policy) माननीय फ़ुड मिनिस्टर ने बतलाई है, उस से जो इस प्रकार का भ्रम कुछ लोगों में पैदा हो गया था वह दूर हो जायगा और आज के उन के वक्तव्य से ऐसा मालूम होता है कि भारत सरकार अभी अपनी उसी पुरानी पालिसी पर दृढ़ रहना चाहती है। मुझे इस सम्बन्ध में कुछ सुझाव देने हैं और वह यह हैं कि देश की खाद्य स्थिति को अगर देखा जाये और जैसा अभी हमारे माननीय नन्दा जी ने बतलाया कि भारत सरकार अभी जोन सिस्टम (zone system) के पक्ष में नहीं है। मैं नहीं समझता कि यह कोई आखिरी बात है, या कोई आखिरी निर्णय है। वह अगर देश के हित में है और यदि उस से समस्या हल्की हो सकती है तो जोन सिस्टम को बुरा नहीं कहा जा देश की खाद्य स्थिति को अगर देखा जायेतो जो आंकडे भारत सरकार नें दिये हैं उन से यह मालूम होता है कि लगभग ३० लाख टन खाद्यान्न की हम को प्रति वर्ष जरुरत पड़ती मैं समझता हं कि सारे देश की तीन जोनों में बांटा जा सकता है। एक जोन-तो आसाम, बंगाल, बिहार और उड़ीसा को मिला कर बन जाता है और इस जोन के लिये लगभग तीन लाख टन आयेगा, क्योंकि उस में आसाम और उड़ीसा सरप्लस (surplus) हैं, हां बंगाल डिफ़िसिट (deficit) है। आसाम डिफ़िसिट -नहीं है सरप्लस है। वहां से पिछले दो तीन साल में १६ या १८ हजार के क़रीब आया है, सन् १९४६ में उस ने ४६ हजार टन दिया था। इसी तरीक़े से उड़ीसा भी सन् १९४६ से ले कर सन् १९५१ तक सरप्लस प्रान्त रहा है और अब भी है। बिहार और बंगाल जरूर कुछ कमी वाले प्रान्त हैं और इन चारों प्रान्तों को मिलाया जाये तो इन चारों प्रान्तों की आवश्यकता प्रति वर्ष तीन लाख टन की होती हैं। मेरा

ऐसा अनुमान है कि यह चावल खाने वाला प्रदेश है, इस को अलग रखा जाये और कलकत्ता जैसे बड़े शहर में जो ढ़ाई लाख से ज्यादा आबादी वाला शहर है, राशनिंग जारी रक्खी जाये और वहां आप भले ही प्राइस कन्ट्रोल (price cont: ol) रक्खें, लेकिन मूवमेंट (movement) फी (free) रक्खें और मिलेट्स (millets) के अलावा चावल वहां की मुख्य फ़सल है, उस का मूवमेंट पूरे जोन में खुला रखें, तो उस से वहां की समस्या बहुत कुछ मुलझ सकती है।

दूसरी जोन सदर्न जोन (Southern zone) है। यह चार रियासतों से मिल कर बना है, मद्रास, बम्बई, मैसूर और त्रावनकोर कोचीन, और इस खोन को जो इन चार रियासतों से मिल कर बनता है बीस लाख टन प्रति वर्ष की आवश्यकता रहती है और यह एक ऐसा जोन है जिस की वजह से सारे देश भर पर इस कन्ट्रोल और डिकन्ट्रोल का असर पड़ता है। इन दो जोनों को छोड़ कर अगर सारे देश भर का एक तीसरा जोन बनाया जाय और सन् ४६ से ५१ तक के जो आंकड़े दिये हुए हैं उस के मुताबिक़ कुल ६ लाख टन का बाक़ी सारे देश भर में डेफ़िसिट रहता है। ६ लाख टन के लिये सारे प्रदेश में कन्ट्रोल कायम करना और वह केवल दक्षिण की चार रियासतों के लिये जिनकी आवश्यकता यह है, मैं समझता हुं कि उस में इस तरह का नियन्त्रण रखना कोई खास आवश्यक नहीं जान पडता और इस से जनता को जितना लाभ मिलना चाहिये वह नहीं मिलता है। मेरा सुझाव यह है कि ऐसा दक्षिण का जोन बना दिया जाय और उस में परिस्थिति के अनुसार जितना और जैसा नियन्त्रण आवश्यक जान पड़े लगाया जाय। बड़े बड़े शहर जैसे मद्रास बम्बई वर्गरह हैं उन में नियन्त्रण रखा

[श्री राषेलाल व्यास] जाय, स्टैट्युटरी राशनिंग (Statutory Rationing) रला जाय और वहां देहातों से माल भर कर लाने की जरूरत नहीं है ।

Motion re:

**भी नन्दा**ः बाहर से हमेशा लाते हैं।

भी रात्रेलाल व्यास : हम बाहर से नहीं लाना चाहते, लेकिन फिर भी पिछले साल तो इतना अधिक आया है जितना पिछले तीन सालों के पहले नहीं आया। बाहर से तो लाना ही होगा अगर जरूरत बाक़ी रहती है और वहां की खपत से बच जाता है। आग यदि वहां पर ग्रो मोर फूड स्कीम (grow more food scheme) की वजह से अधिक गल्ला उत्पन्न करते हैं तो आप अतिरिक्त गुल्ला दूसरी जगह भेज सकते हैं। आगकन्दोल को ढीला कर दें और बाक़ी सारे प्रान्तों का एक जोन करके वहां पर मुवमेंट की कर दें, आप भले ही कुछ प्राइस कन्ट्रोल रखें, तो मैं समझता हूं कि निश्चित तौर पर पैदावार भी बढ़ सकती है और इस तरह वहां से जो ज्यादा ग़ल्ला उपलब्ध हो वह आप दूसरे प्रान्तों को, दक्षिण के प्रान्तों को भेज सकते हैं।

जहां तक कन्ट्रोल के रखने ब रखने का सवाल है, मुझे केवल इतना ही निवेदन करना है कि अगर आप कन्ट्रोल रखना चाहते हैं, तो उस को सख्ती से रिखये, यह नहीं हो सकता कि आप कन्ट्रोल तो रखना चाहते हैं लेकिन उस को ठीक तरह नहीं चला सकते और आप उस को कुछ ढीला रखना चाहते हैं। में प्रोक्योरमेंट (procurement) के बारे में अपने मध्य भारत की बात बतलाऊं। वहां कोई भी आदमी ग़ैर क़ानुनी तौर पर एक सेर अनाज भी व्यापार के लिये नहीं खरीद सकता है।

लेकिन गवर्नमेन्ट के पास करोडों रूपया चाहिये जिस में वहां जितना उत्पादन होता है उस को खरीदने के लिये तैयार रहे। गाड़ियां आती हैं लोग अपना अनाज देना चाहते हैं. लेकिन समय पर गवनं मेन्ट नहीं खरीद सकती है। इस से किसानों में बड़ी मायुसी फैलती है और उन में घबराहट फैलती है। किसान अनुभव करता है कि उस की गाड़ियां आई हैं, लेकिन उस को वक्त पर पैसा नहीं मिल सकता है, वक्त पर अनाज नहीं तुल सकता है। इसलिये आप की मशीनरी काफ़ी मजबूत होनी चाहिये, कि जैसे ही अनाज आये. उसे तोल लिया जाये और पैसा दे दिया जाये। यह नहीं कि अब चिट्ठी देदी जाये और दस पंद्रह दिन तक चक्कर लगाकर वह लेता फिरे। इस से अच्छा वातावरण नहीं पैदा होता और न उत्पादन बढाने में ही कदम आगे बढ सकता है। इस लिये जहां पर कंट्रोल रखना है वहां पर ठीक से रखना चाहिये। समय पर माल खरीदने और बिकरी का उपाय होना चाहिये और साथ में समय पर कीमत भी मिलनी चाहिये।

Food Situation

इस के साथ ही अच्छे व्यापारियों के हाथ में कन्ट्रोल न होने की वजह से बीज वग्रैरह भी समय से उपलब्ध नहीं होता है। हमारे मध्य भारत में बीज की बहत ज्यादा जरूरत थी। हमारे फ़ड मिनिस्टर साहब मौजूद हैं, बड़ी मुश्किल से इन्दौर अधिवेशन के समय पर उन्होंने हमें बीज दिलवाया ।

भी किववर्ड : जिस रोज मौगा उसी रोज दिया।

भी राषेलाल ग्यास: लेकिन शायद आपको यह पता नहीं कि उस के पहले डाइ- रेक्टर आफ़ फ़ुड (Director of Food) वहां से यहां आये थे और आप के डिपार्ट-मेन्ट ने उन से कह दिया था कि हमारे पास बीज नहीं हैं, और वह यहां से निराश हो कर चले गये थे। हां, उस के बाद इन्दौर में जरूर आप ने बड़ी कृपा की और जितने बीज की जरूरत थी वह आप ने दिया, लेकिन बहत देर से। कारण यह है कि हमें बीज बोने के समय चाहिये, मर देर होने से बाद में बोया गया। किसानों के लिये यह जरूरी है कि समय पर बीज मिल सके क्योंकि उसकी आदत हमेशा से ऐसी पड़ी हुई है कि वह महाजनों से उधार लिया करता है और फिर बाद में देता है। अगर समय पर बीज बीया जाये तो उत्पादन अच्छा होता है। सन १९४८ ई० में मध्य भारत को एक करोड रुपये का बीज उधार दिया गया था. उस का असर यह हुआ कि जो डिफ़िसिट सन् १९४७ में हुआ था वह सन् १९५० में सरप्लस हो इसलिये में अनभव के आधार पर कहना चाहता हुं कि बीज वर्गेरह की ठीक व्यवस्था होनी चाहिये। इस तरह से अगर कन्ट्रोल रखना है तो हमें उत्पादन की ओर ज्यादा ध्यान देना चाहिये।

इसके साथ ही मुझे यह भी निवेदन करना है कि जैसा कि अभी बतलाया गया है कि केवल मिलेट्स पर से हम ने कुछ प्रतिबन्ध हटाया है और वह इधर उधर जा सकेगा। लेकिन इस सम्बन्ध में एक बात और कही गई है कि जोसरप्लस स्टेट्स है वहां और डिफिसिट स्टेट्स वाले आ कर उसे खरीद सकेंगे। यह एक नई चीज है जो अभी तक गवर्न मेन्ट की पालिसी नहीं थी। अगर वहां बाहर वाले आ कर इस तरह से खरीद करेंगे तो वहां के लोगों पर बहुत ज्यादा असर पड़ेगा। यह बिल्कुल नहीं होना चाहिये। और अगर किसी को खरीदना भी हो तो उस राज्य की गवर्न मेन्ट ही खरीदे, अगर उस के पास पैसे की कमी हो बम्बई गवर्न मेन्ट या मद्रास गवर्न मेन्ट किसी राज्य को खरीदना हो और उस के पास खरीदने के लिये पैसा न हो, तो आप उस को पैसा दे दें जिस में वह पेप्सू, पंजाब या विन्धय प्रदेश में अनाज खरीद सकें। लेकिन उस राज्य की सरकारों को ही सारा माल खरीदना चाहिये, बाहर से आने वाले व्यापारी या उन के एजेन्ट उस को न खरीद सकें।

समय समय पर कहा गया है कि गवनं मेन्ट जानती है कि कंट्रोल में खराबियां हैं, बुराइयां हैं और वह कन्ट्रोल से जल्दी छुटकारा पाना चाहती हैं, लेकिन घीरें घीरे जैसे जैसे कि स्थित अनुकूल होती जाये। इस के लिये में कहना चाहता हूं कि गवनं मेन्ट दक्षिण की चार रियासतों और पूर्व की चार रियासतों को छोड़ कर सबों की हालत की जांच करे कि वहां कुछ डिकंट्रोल हो सकता है या फी मूबमेन्ट हो सकता है या नहीं। और अगर जबदंस्त कंट्रोल रखने की जरुरत हो तो गवनंमेन्ट को उस तरफ़ भी जरूर कदम उठाना चाहिये।

इतना कहने के बाद आप को धन्यवाद देते हुए मैं समाप्त करता हूं।

Mr. Chairman: Shri Tulsidas.

Shri R. K. Chaudhuri: Sir, on a point of order, according to Parliamentary practice, a sitting Member cannot catch the eye of the Speaker. The hon. Member was sitting before being called upon to speak.

Shri B. S. Murthy (Eluru): Now, it is four o'clock. There are a number of hon. Members who want to speak. May I know whether this debate will be extended to tomorrow or whether the hon. Minister will be replying to the debate tomorrow?

Mr. Chairman: I have got here a long list of speakers and I am getting more names. I am happy to announce to the House that Government has agreed to extend the debate for an-

## [Mr. Chairman]

other day. You can go on. There will be ample opportunity for discussing this particular question by the House.

Motion re:

Shri Lokenath Mishra (Puri): Will you admit new names, Sir? I am sending one.

Mr. Chairman: I got the first list from the Speaker which contained 31 names. Now, there are ten more names. I think it is quite enough.

Shri Altekar (North Satara): Sir, I have to move my amendment.

Mr. Chairman: Yes; let him move the amendment.

Shri Altekar: I beg to move:

That at the end of the motion, the following be added:

"and having considered the same, this House approves of the policy of Government regarding general control of foodgrains and welcomes the desire of Government to adjust the same to suit local or temporary conditions without prejudice to the basic objectives."

Mr. Chairman: Amendment moved: That at the end of the motion, the following be added:

"and having considered the same, this House approves of the policy of Government regarding general control of foodgrains and welcomes the desire of Government to adjust the same to suit local or temporary conditions without prejudice to the basic objectives."

I hope the hon. Member would not make a speech now. I only allowed him to move the amendment. I have already called Shri Tulsidas.

Shri R. K. Chaudhuri: Sir, I beg to give notice of an amendment to this amendment:

"That the last five words of the amendment be deleted."

Shri Tulsidas (Mehsana West): I rise to make a few remarks on the food problem of the country.

We have been very pleased to hear the statements of the hon, the Food Minister and the Prime Minister today and to note that the policy of the Government has been consistent and not changing. There has been a certain amount of expression of views throughout the country that the policy has considerably changed because the hon. Food Minister has been telling the country that he goes on the lines of ultimate decontrol. The policy he has adopted in certain regions, perhaps, might have been misunderstood as decontrol. But, in effect, it is not really

decontrol in the sense in which one understands decontrol of foodgrains. What he has tried to do, in my opinion, is an adjustment of the control policy, which has been now endorsed by the Prime Minister. One thing, that I feel that the Food Minister has done, is this: he has created an impression in the country that there is no more scarcity of food in the country, that food is sufficient whether it is from procurement or from imports, and that food will be rushed to wherever it is necessary. That atmosphere is really the crux of the whole problem.

I may point out, and I am sure every Member here knows that fully well, that our country was never self-sufficient in food. We always used to import food even before the war when we had no controlled economy. We used to import about 1½ or two million tons of foodgrains every year from our neighbouring country Burma and that food used to be distributed through normal channels. There was never any scarcity or any shortage.

#### 4 P.M.

The reason is, in my opinion, that the scarcity was not being advertised then if I may say so, at any rate, not so much as it is being done now. My point in saying this is to show that deficit does not mean that there should be always a controlled economy at governmental level. We had controlled economy during the war, and the period soon after the war, and it was necessary. It was absolutely necessary in those times, because otherwise the conditions would have been such that nobody would have liked. But, soon we shall have to revert to the conditions to which we were accustomed before the war. I know, in a planned before the war. I know, in a planned beconomy, a certain amount of controls is necessary, and to that extent, our economy will have to be adjusted. But that does not mean that the controlled economy has to continue as we have been accustomed to during all these ten years.

Now, I come to the point that has been raised recently, and in this House by my hon, friend Shri Lal Singh—it is a very important point—regarding the price that the producer gets for his commodities including foodgrains. The grow-more-food campaign which has been pursued during the last few years, for which Government has spent a tremendous lot of money, has not yielded satisfactory results, and not at all up to the expectations of the country. Really, the farmer or the producer has been feeling that the price which he realises for his product has not been economically sufficient for his needs. When I use the words "economically sufficient", I mean the things he would

like to have for his normal standard of life, apart from anything else. I do not mean to say that he should live in the same way as farmers in other parts of the world—in America or in Russia—live. He has not been able to live even in the way he used to live before the war if we consider the price which he has been able to realise for his product.

Motion re:

My hon, friend Mr. Lal Singh has pointed out certain instances. I can also cite the example of cotton. We are large importers of raw cotton. We have been importing to the extent of Rs. 100 crores every year into this country. We have been paying the exporting countries three times the price which we are paying our producers. here. And at that time, our farmers were not allowed to sell even at a higher price. Well, he had his one consolation, and that was that he was getting his cloth perhaps at the control price, but I may say with all humility that the poor farmer never got it at the control price. Now, what has happened? Now, the price is controlled at the ceiling and the floor. During the last year, in the marketing period, the farmer could not sell his cotton for about a month and a half—there was not a buyer—while, still we were importing cotton at about twice the price which the farmer was getting. So, how do we expect the farmer to produce any commodity in which he has no stability of income? I do not say he must get stability of income to the extent that any other would get, but at least he must get a stability of income in each commodity which you want him to grow.

Even in the case of foodgrains, so far we were importing foodgrains at the price of Rs. 24 per maund when we were procuring and not allowing the farmer to sell even at half the import price. How do we expect the farmer to grow more foodgrains? We look at our problem from the angle of rising prices, but we must look at it also from the other side. We can reduce the price only provided our production has gone up to our requirements. And that can only be done provided the farmer gets his price for the different commodities. I do feel that ultimately, in order that our economic condition of life may stabilise to a certain extent, our farming population will have to be guaranteed a minimum income for the commodities grown by them. Unless the farmer is assured a minimum income, there is a variation of ups and downs and he produces one year a particular type of crop, the next year another type of crop. And then he does not know where he is. That is one point which I wanted to

I will finish by saying that I do commend very much the policy which the Food Minister has tried to follow for the last three or four months. Rajaji has also tried to bring in the factor regarding the income of the producer, and that is also being now helped by our stopping this food subsidy which was responsible for seeing that the farmer did not get the minimum price at least which he ought to get.

There is one more point which I would like to mention at this juncture. We have now a definite national plan. We have formed that plan, and it has to be implemented. I believe that the implementation of this plan can only be from the bottom—starting from the broad-based bottom; that means that the local person whether he is a producer, distributor, labourer or a small merchant, must be given an opportunity to have his say and to help in the implementation of this plan. I would like to add that if each one of us here looks after the way in which the plan is worked in his constituency, we will be able to do much better.

The Minister of Finance (Shri C. D. Deshmukh): In the time that my hon. colleague allowed himself by a sort of self-denying ordinance, he was not able to elaborate his philosophy, nor was he able to give certain statistics which I think it is necessary to furnish the House for giving it a perspective against which to consider the present problem.

As regards the statistics, I would first like to refer to certain statistics of wholesale prices just as a background. The wholesale price index for all commodities has risen from 383.7 in September, 1948 to 386.3 for the week ended 1st November, 1952. That is to say, it is more or less at the same level as four years ago. The index for food articles was 395.5 in September, 1948 and it is 376.6, that is to say a little lower, today. Now this category of food articles is made up of Patna rice, gur, jowar, salt, coffee and arhar dal. The index for rice, jowar, arhar dal, cotton, groundnuts etc. is as follows:—

Item	Sep. 1952	11-10-52
Rice	965	894
Jowar	187	-
Arhar Dal	692	•••
Cotton	455	::
Groundnu ts	616	
Item	18-10-52	25-10-52
Rice	894	858
Jowar		179
Arlar Dal		763
Cotton		424
Groundnuts		619

## [Shri C, D. Deshmukh]

All these indices are against a base of 100 in 1939, the index for 25th October 1952 being the latest that I have got.

Now I think the lesson of this is that the grower of cereals has not done so badly, and there is not really very much in the point made by Dr. Lanks Sundaram that cereals are now giving place to cash crops. Actually the grower of rice still gets apparently a better price, and even if it is true that there is a certain amount of substitution from time to time as between them, I do not think any State concerned loses or the country as a whole loses. We want most of these things either as the raw material of industry or for export, to the extent to which the State makes a special effort; in regard to jute we promised that we will make up the deficiency as we did in the case of West Bengal, and if any change is taking place anywhere else in Madras, then we shall have to take notice of it. That is the inference that I draw from these wholesale index figures.

Now I shall turn to the cost of living index figures....

Shri S. S. More: Has the hon. Minister got figures as regards the cost of production of the different commodities at different stages? Without such figures, we cannot draw any reliable inference.

Shri C. D. Deshmukh: I think the point made by the hon. Member is correct. But one of the most difficult things to determine is the cost of production. I remember that the Indian Central Cotton Committee and the Imperial Council of Agricultural Research carried out an inquiry extending over three years between 1936 and 1939 into the cost of production of cotton, and the results were quite bizarre; in some cases there was a profit while in other cases it was conclusively proved that there was a continued loss for the last three or four years, which it did not seem to be possible. Therefore one has to content oneself with some kind of datum line and that datum line, as I said, has been taken as the price level of 1939 at which it is assumed that almost every crop was producing some kind of a profit. Well, the hon. Member Mr. More is shaking his head very vigorously, and I feel that he is entitled to shake his head and keep his opinions fixed.

As regards the cost of working index....

Sardar Lal Singh: I want to interrupt the hon. Minister just for one minute, if he would allow me to do so. He has just said that an inquiry into the cost of production in the case of cotton showed that some of the far-mers in some localities were not making any profit, which "seemed rather unlikely". I want to tell him that this is so and there is nothing un-likely in it. The Board of Economic Inquiry—which has been carrying on investigations in the Punjab for the last 20 years—and it is presided over by no less a person than the Financial Commissioner—has conducted certain inquiries, and if the hon. Minister would refer to the printed Reports, he will find that a peasant-farmer, even though owning his own land, has not been able to earn as much as a farm labourer does, which means that the peasant is not getting even his actual wages. If he had worked as a labourer, he would have got more than as a farmer. So, I think, there is nothing wrong in that conclusion that some of the cotton growers are not making any profit out of their cultivation.

Shri C. D. Deshmukh: Shall I be allowed five minutes more?

Mr. Chairman: I will give you two minutes more.

Shri C. D. Deshmukh: That is quite possible. In this case, I had occasion to study these figures, and the holdings were in the same village; one holding showed a profit, while another holding more or less comparable with that showed a loss. I find I am not able to give any more satisfying reasons for that discrepancy.

In regard to the working class cost of living indices, I would refer to them only to sound a note of warning. If we take Madras, the figures were as follows:

Month	Food	General
January 1947	303	251
September 1932	350	330

The food index has been rising since April, the figures for the various months being as follows:

Month		Index
April	1952	347
May	**	350
June	**	354
July	**	358
September	. **	360

I think finally when we consider whether the Madras experiment has succeeded or not—whatever the reason may be, may be failure of crops or may be failure of the system—we should have to keep these figures at the back of our mind, because these are the final criteria. In this or similar figures one must start a new series for the middle class cost of living or the agricultural workers' cost of living. But some such series would have to be considered to see what the effect has

Motion re:

As regards prices, I was glad to find one of the speakers, Mr. Khandubhai Desai, placing emphasis on certain main criteria, the price level also being one of them.

Shri Sinhasan Singh (Gorakhpur Distt.—South): On a point of infor-mation. When the hon. Minister is giving us the figures, may I ask him also to supply us the amount of new acreage that has been brought under cultivation since 1947.

Shri C. D. Deshmukh: If the hon. Member will wait a little more, he will find that I am coming to still more statistics.

When one would have to deal with prices, what is more important is that one would have to watch the figure of imports. If it is said that prices were high, they happen to be low this year. It is a thing that simplifies our problem very much. If we had been taking this decision last year, I think we should have considered the risk too big, because the price of the imported wheat was Rs. 24 per maund, while this year it is Rs. 16 per maund, which is about the same as our procurement price, and that makes a world difference.

The third thing which we have to consider is our ability to find any money for subsidy. In regard to this last point I quoted a great many figures and I pointed out that we have lost a great deal of our income by the same process which has brought the buyers' market, namely we have lost crores of rupees, by way of export duties, and I am afraid the process has not ended. These are the points or beaconlights on which we should have to keep a weather-eye.

Now that brings me to the next point. I talked about resources and subsidy and so on. Actually I think it would be most convenient if we regarded this question as the concomitant of the question of implementing the Plan. Now those who have had the opportunity of studying not only last year's draft outline but this year's draft in various consultations would have found

that the kingpin of all this Plan is some kind of implied control. And the reason is this. As an undeveloped country, we want to maximise our investment effort, that is to say, we want to increase the size of the Plan as much as we can. We realise that no plan produces its effects instantaneously and there is always a time-lag and the larger the time-lag the greater the danger of a disparity arising between purchasing power and the stock of essential consumer goods. And that is why we have to ensure that the distribution of essential consumer goods will remain on an equitable basis, and the only means we have achieved by and large is of course by the imposition of physical control. Therefore, if one were to say that "things are easy and therefore, in these essentials one might afford to be essentials one might afford to be slack"—I am not talking of one year or two years; conditions this year are certainly very abnormal, but over the whole period of the Plan—then I, as one of the planners would say that we are not putting forward our maximum possible effort in planning, because if that is so, if there is slackness in the system, then obviously one ought to pay more money and take up more schemes to the point at which a control becomes necessary. Therefore, it is no use saying that there are circumstances for a planning country in which a state of decontrol would be a state of bliss. Certainly it would be; state of bliss. Certainly it would be; but that state of bliss would not last very long. Not a day passes without my getting some kind of demand for adding to the Plan. By way of amusement—because I really cannot do very much about it, I have them added—they already amount to Rs. 93 crores. So now on the one hand I am asked to increase the size of the Plan by, say, Rs. 100 crores, and on the other hand, there are some gentlemen—fortunately very few—who say that we could run a plan with a threat of decould run a plan with a threat of decontrol running eternally in our minds. Now those two things are not compatible, and that is what Government have recognised, and I believe, that is what the majority of the House would recognise. Now that being so, we have got to consider what are the different factors in the situation. There are There are several questions that fall to be answered. Do controls affect production of cereals? Well, frankly, one does not know. The trouble is that we are not agreed even as regards our statistics. Till a few months ago I was under the blissful impression that the ICAR statistics were the last word on the subject. I am sorry now to have to say that there is another Statistician who thinks they are rather under-estimates. They are under-estimates by as much as, well, X per cent., X being a double figure.

## Shri Gadzil: 99?

Shri C. D. Deshmukh: It is not as bad as that. But I will take for argument the ICAR figures.

Million tons
45.5
44.2
44.4
22.9
22.0
22.8
16-1
15.5
15.4

There is not really very much in these figures, and one has to remember if reports are correct and if the requests I get for assistance for relief works are considered, there is scarcity and famine over a larger area this year than there has been in the previous years. Well, if one makes allowance for that, I do not think it is proven that controls affect production one way or the other.

Then the next question is: Is there any difference between Northern and Southern areas? Now there too I have taken the overall figures, and I find that there is not really very much in it. Taking Bihar. Madhya Pradesh, Punjab, U.P., Madhya Bharat and Orissa as the North, these are the figures:

1949-50	23.2	million tons
1950-51	21.8	,,
1951-52	22.0	**

There is a slight reduction in the South—Bombay, Madras, Mysore, Hyderabad, Travancore-Cochin.

1949-50	13.6 m. tor	15
1950-51	13·2 "	
1951-52	12.9 "	

There again we must remember that there have been scarcity areas in the South and some more are emerging now. So if you ask my own judgment in the matter, I should say that that also is not proved. I have also looked at the figures of production and 1 for one am not convinced that laxity or rigidness in methods of procurement has any great effect on production. Punjab has done extremely well and Punjab is running a system of procurement, although it is not a very efficient system indeed. And, therefore, on that point also I would not myself

be prepared to dogmatise. But these are personal opinions.

Then the third question is: Will increase in prices raise production? Well now here we find by going through a bit of economic history that there is no fixed relation between prices and production over the whole field of agriculture. You can certainly promote production by substitution by giving incentive to one single crop, and the smaller the crop the greater the effect of this stimulant. Take sugarcane, or take jute. It is a classic example. take jute. It is a classic example. Prices went up after decontrol to Rs. 100 and the area went up. I do not think all of that represented production, but anyway it was regarded as production. as production. And this year the prices are Rs. 25. Now one can only sit with one's fingers crossed and keep hoping that the prices would not go down. I might incidentally dispose of a point here. That brings me to the heart of the matter and that is that what we call procurement prices in a period of rising prices are 'floor' prices in a period of falling prices. That, I think, gives some validity to the point made by Shri Lal Singh. He says: "You cannot have the best of both the worlds. You cannot say 'demand and supply' when prices are going down and the poor cultivator gets five rupees, four rupees, three rupees for what he grows in the anticipation that he would get a good profit judging from last year". So either you choose that system and then you leave him to his own way or you keep the that the prices would not go down. I him to his own way or you keep the 'floor' and work for stability. (An Hon. Member: That is right.) And, therefore, I think that one ought to think for good days and evil. It is an essential plank in our policy that we must keep a ceiling and a floor. We must keep a floor under the cultivator's prices. Now once that is done, then you can get your stability. That means, as somebody suggested, that if somebody brings coarse grain, we must be prepared to buy it. It is no use saying that your challan will take, as my hon. colleague said, four months to cash. He must get the money on the spot; nor can you say......

Shri Kidwal: Somebody there must complain.

Shri C. D. Deshmukh: I know it. But you were sympathetic to them. I quite agree it is quite absurd to tell anyone who offers ready grain: "Wait and go through this procedure". What is intended for the protection of the revenue must not act as a detriment to the cultivator, when you undertake State-trading. Nor will it do to say that our storage accommodation is

limited. That is not the cultivator's concern. It is up to you to see that you have enough storage accommodation. And then if it all goes bad, well it is your 'funeral'.

So I think, therefore, that floor prices reasonably spaced as between two different crops are very necessary. That brings me to the point that was made by the hon. Member there who seemed to me to propound very dangerous theories about conceding a price rise to everyone who wanted to grow. I do not suppose he has paused to think what will happen to Indian economy if one were to do that. I agree that for about six months or a year everyone would be very happy but after that I fear that even he would start feeling miserable, because we shall not be able to export anything, we shall not be able to keep up our imports and I do not know what will happen to our country.

Shri Tulsidas: What I was saying was that there should be a floor and that is now what you have accepted.

Shri C. D. Deshmukh: I accepted it long before the hon. Member said it. There has been a floor for cotton for the last four or five years. In the last season I gave an assurance that I was prepared to buy every bale that was offered to me. Unfortunately no bale was offered to me. (An Hon. Member: Last year?) Yes, last season, in January-February. I offered to buy—in this House, I announced that I was prepared to buy cofton at the floor price. The dispute was about what the floor should be—that is quite irrelevant for the purpose of the present debate—whether it should be Rs. 450 or Rs. 500 or Rs. 600. I do not think that increase in price necessarily will increase production over the entire field of agricultural production. And, as I have said, a fixed price acting as a floor has a great deal to recommend both from the point of view of the cultivator.

The next question is, "Has the food deficit diminished?" I have incidentally referred to it. According to the view of our statistical officer both our production and our consumption are bigger; in other words, we have achieved by statistics what the five years of planning could not have achieved.

Shri Kidwai: Yes.

Shri C. D. Deshmukh: If we want to make efforts to reduce our imports, as we are all anxious to do, and we seem to be united on this one matter and I do not think there is a single dissentient voice in this House of 500, we can do so more in the consciousness that perhaps we are producing a little more and consuming a little more. Therefore the belt can be tightened a little. So, I think, as a long term policy, the policy advocated by the Planning Commission is the only one that is acceptable by the country, namely, over these next 3½ years we must eliminate the import. Whether you can do it next year or not, I cannot say, and my hon. colleague is bound in view of the commitments in the light of International Wheat Agreement. I do not know—he looks doubtful.

Shri Kidwai: No. no.

Shri C. D. Deshmukh: If he is not, then I would be the happiest man because I have got to find the exchange for it.

I hope there is a demand of about Whatever it may be, 625 lakh tons. that is a matter which we have to consider—I have a note here in my pocket and I will ask him about it. That is about imports. It is against this background that I think we must consider what we are going to do this year. I personally think that we should not allow our ideas about controls and particular methods of procurement and so on to be fossilised. It is not right to keep them on the same track and in the same rut, levy, monopoly procurement, this or that. It may be that conditions this year are such that we ought to think of something new and the conditions are new powerier in the conditions are now peculiar, in this way that an unexpected siump has come upon every producer, including the producer-hoarder. And with the deflationary measures which, I would like to point out, we were enabled to carry out by the sale of the two million tons of wheat, which by themselves formed our additional additional stock. So, it is a double-edged weapon. We got the stock and we got the money. We sold it out and we got money. money for our development purposes. Therefore we killed not two birds but three birds with one stone—not the stone in the wheat, the wheat was not so bad. So that is what we are able to do today. We have got some stock in our hand, something to manoeuvre with. Also for a certain period, the producer-hoarder like many of his betters is wiser and is coming out into betters is wiser and is coming out into the market. There is a good deal of grain coming in the market. At the same time, our ships, not one ship a day but three ships a day call at the ports and when the monsoon was very inexorable in May and June we had little more storage accommodation. we had no confidence that we could cope with the problem. In Madras, for

# [Shri C. D. Deshmukh]

instance, we had four lakh tons of rice already in the hands of the Madras Government, which, at the rate of five ounces a day meant a minimum of 15 months or at seven ounces a day, 12 months for all the rationed areas.
There were two deficit districts, Malabar and Nilgiris. They said they can manage this and that is why the experiment started. Whether that experiment will be experiment. periment will be successful or not, one cannot say finally until one sees the result of this monsoon with Madras production. When we see it successful. it does not mean that what we did in Madras should automatically be repeated in other States also, because the result of that would be to stereotype the pattern of control and the burdening of the Centre with the residual marronsibility whether 14 residual responsibility, whatever it may be. Now, if that responsibility is to be discharged, there are only two ways of doing it, call it in whatever way. You may call it one million tons for a population of 20 millions, call it four millions for a rationed population of 40 millions, or eight million tons for a population of 110 or 120 millions. There are only two ways of getting it, procurement and imports. Now, we do not want imports. Therefore, what you have to do is to procure. For this we say that the essential machinery of procurement shall not be interfered with and we can turn back to it if necessary, if our present plenitude comes to an end. If it does we ought to be able to go back to procurement to be able to go back to procurement. There must be some kind of system and that is why I think we have not agreed to take away the State barriers at the moment except for small things like gram. We have controlled it and decontrolled it some four or five times. About millets, it is a different position because it is 1/3 of our total produce and that is why there is no question of sufficiency or not. The idea behind a sense of self-sufficiency is that nothing serious will happen. But that is not so. Sometimes unexpected expert. is not so. Sometimes unexpected shortages develop and in free movement this kind of unexpected shortages are likely to be aggravated into very big shortages as happened in the famine of Bengal in 1943. In Bengal, statisticians have calculated that the original cians have calculated that the original shortage in rice was only six per cent., but the moment it was known that there was going to be a shortage. people started saying that it will develop and the shortage extended to the point at which people could not subsist. That kind of thing is likely to happen in any zone. Therefore, a self-cufficiency, zone is not really a zone sufficiency zone is not really a zone which can always afford confidently to run a system of decontrol. A country which is self-sufficient cannot necessarily say that we can run a system

of decontrol because then they would have to depend on some kind of import. Therefore, I think that some kind of import, Therefore, I think that some kind of rational form of control is wanted, with fair prices which will enable us to put part of the grain as a store against these which will serve as a sort of buffer stock. That is a kind of system that we want and that is a system that has been thought of in the EAO and other international bedien F.A.O. and other international bodies and in which, I think, there has been a kind of proposal that there will be an international pool for that purpose. These are the matters which I wanted to explain.

Shri Meghnad Saha (Calcutta— North-West): The hon. Minister has referred to the Bengal famine. I must correct certain facts. The Bengal famine was due to the fact that from certain areas foodgrains were removed for fear that the Japanese will occupy those areas.

Shri C. D. Deshmukh: That is an additional cause--that the boats were removed.

Shri S. S. More: May I make a request to the hon. Minister through you, Mr. Chairman? A crop of figures have been supplied to us by him. Could they be circulated to us?

Shri C. D. Deshmukh: A crop of food, did you say?

Shri S. S. More: No. a crop of figures; perhaps they may give us some food for thought.

Shri Kidwai: These figures were always with you.

Shri C. D. Deshmukh: They are taken from printed books.

Dr. Krishnaswami (Kancheepuram): After having listened to the Finance Minister's exposition of the food policy, it now remains for me to express a few doubts that I feel regarding this policy. First of all, before I examine the implications of what he has stated, I should like to clear the ground by making a reference to the figures that have been supplied to us this morning. The question that was raised by one of my colleagues this morning was how there was such a reduced offtake from the ration shops and for this the explanation seems to be quite simple. In the first place, due to our having reduced ration requirements there is bound to be a certain amount of re-duction from the issues from the ration shops. Secondly—and this is an important factor—the rise in foodgrain prices has led to certain consumers not drawing the full extent of their rations and going in for subsidiary articles of food consumption. This is particularly so among the lower middle classes and the lower income groups. Thirdly, the offtake depends upon the composition of the rations that are given. For instance, in certain areas people are not willing to consume certain articles to which they have not been accustomed and they do not draw those articles at all.

Motion re:

Now, the question that has been raised by the Finance Minister today is that if we are to have a sort of planning then undoubtedly we should have some type of control or other. Some type of control is undoubtedly envisaged, but the question is what type of control we want. I would like to remind those on the other side that for the past ten years or so, we have been living under what is called a system of distribution controls. Distribution controls have their disadvantages. They are quite different from production controls. I should like to elaborate this matter a little bit, because on it will hinge the question of how far we are going to have areas of decontrol and what type of controls we are going to have in which there will be a system of free enterprise operating without in any way militating against the planning scheme of the Government.

Hon. Members on the other side are aware that a system of control spread over a period of ten or twelve years tends to get rusty and many of the difficulties that we have been experiencing with regard to procurement, stories of corruption etc. are partly due to the fact that there has been this system of distribution controls. As a matter of fact, I was inclined to agree with what an author had said on this particular subject. I think he has gone into this question at considerable length and his estimates are bound to have some value to those who attach importance to scientific investigation. This is what the learned commentator says in the Tatas Quarterly:

"On the whole, the policy of control as it has operated in this country during the last four years appears to have proved injurious to the growth of production."

Of course, he gives figures but it would not be proper for me to go into them at length because the time is so short. He continues:

"The output of foodgrains.......
needs to be reviewed."

Shri C. D. Deshmukh: Who is the author?
390 PSD.

Dr. Krishnaswami: It is Mr. Pandit, I think, although the article is not signed. On the whole, I think the figures he has given are fairly reliable and I agree with the estimates he has put forward.

During the last four or five weeks there has been a certain amount of controversy as to what exactly is the nature of the controls that we are visualising. I would like to remind the House that during the past decade important changes have occurred in income, in taste etc. and the system of distribution controls that we have evolved tends to stratify consumption and to that extent it tends to discort the price structure. If that were not the consideration in view, then I sup-pose my hon, friend the Finance Minister would have no objection what-ever to doing away with all distribution controls as they are at present, but as he has reminded us we have also to keep in mind the perspective of the planning period in which we live. We have also to remind ourselves that today 50 per cent. of the income is spent on cereals and food and therefore the whole idea of control is of strategic importance. As a matter of fact, if we have to resort to deficit financing to the extent of Rs. 300 to 400 crores, then some type of controls has to be visualised. As against this, I should like to place before my hon. friend the Finance Minister the other factor which he has been frequently stressing before the House, namely, that we are on the eve of a big agricultural revolution and the great multipurpose projects which we have already conceived and which are well way would in a year or two... well under

## Shri C. D. Deshmukh: No, four years,

Dr. Krishnaswami: ...very well, they would in four years lead to an increase in agricultural production and the patterns of production and consumption would also alter. I would not like to elaborate on this but for the fact that my hon, friend has mentioned that within three or four years this change will happen. Even if it happens within three or four years, we have necessarily to have a different type of plan or control which would simultaneously give us the benefits of a greater measure of freedom and at the same time bring about increased production. It is a very simple thing, when you come to think of it. Take the Damodar Valley Project, for instance. As a result of its getting under way, a lot of water is supplied to the agriculturists undoubtedly.

An Hon. Member: Not for another three years.

Dr. Krishnaswami: The irrigation portion would be under way in the next year. That is what is anticipated by the Government and if that actually by the Government and if that actually happens, then we would have to think of what types of crops would have to be grown and what types of production would have to be encouraged. The present type of distribution controls tends to a large extent to distort the price structure and may not yield the maximum results. There is also the fact that if we live too much a system fact that if we live too much a system of distribution controls we may not be able in the long run to control the production system for the best purposes. If that be so and if we are to have a type of control then we have to think in what areas we should have a certain amount of decontrol and what are the risks involved and what steps should be taken to eliminate them. If the risks are insuperable, undoubtedly we may not be in a position doubtedly we may not be in a position to resort to this experiment of decontrol in certain limited areas, but if the risks are not insuperable then certainly we would have to think in fresh terms as to how far we are going to have an increased area under de-control and have a different type of control which would promote the objectives that we have in view. One of the great dangers of immediate decontrol as has been visualised by the Finance Minister would be the extra-ordinary spurt in prices which would follow in the wake of decontrol. That that has been the experience of Madras; that has been the experience of all countries where there has been a shortage of goods, and particularly now we find it very difficult to visualise an absolutely decontrolled economy for the simple reason that the imported for the simple reason that the imported foodgrains are much higher in prices than the foodgrains that are produced within our country. If it is possible to have imported foodgrains at a fairly equal level with those grains which are produced in our country, then I think the possibilities of our having decontrol over a large area would be considerably simplified. The possibilities of building up buffer stocks would be considerably easier and undoubtedly considerably easier and undoubtedly when these foodgrains are cheaper it would be possible for us to build up these buffer stocks with which we can certainly bring into reasonable parity and hold these buffer stocks for the and hold these buffer stocks for the purpose of supplying high price regions. To my mind and to those who have given some thought to this problem, I think it would be clear that what we have to do now is to resort to stricter methods of internal procurement in order to build up these buffer stocks. order to build up these buffer stocks so that with these buffer stocks we might be in a position to control prices in different areas.

As regards the decision that has been taken by the Food Minister to decontrol millets, I think that indeed is a very sensible decision.

Shri Nanda: There is no decision to decontrol millets.

Dr. Krishnaswami: What is the decision about millets then?

Shri Nanda: It is a decision to strengthen control on millets.

Dr. Krishnaswami: Then, I should like to be enlightened.

Shri Nanda: The position, as has been explained by the hon. Food Minister is that inter-State barriers will continue. Provisions have been introduced now which will enable a deficit State to procure its requirements from a surplus State more effectively than before, on a State-to-State basis.

Shri T. K. Chaudhuri: May I make an appeal to the Government, through you. Sir, to determine their terminology? First we heard relaxation of control, then modification of controls and now it is intensification of controls. Where do we stand—we would like to know.

Dr. Krishnaswami: All that I can say is that the explanation has made the position more confusing than what I thought it was. So far as millets are concerned, I hope it is common ground with the Government we are not importing one ton from abroad. Everything is being produced in this country. At least there is agreement on that point.

Shri C. D. Deshmukh: In future or in the past?

Dr. Krishnaswami: In the past.

Shri C. D. Deshmukh: In the past we imported a lot of milo, which is millet.

Dr. Krishnaswami: Rough millet, I mean.

The Minister of Agriculture (Dr. P. S. Deshmukh): Milo is very red rough millet. like ragi, juar and makka.

Dr. Krishnaswami: I mean indigenous millets which are internally produced and which go to make a good part of the diet of the lower income groups. It is possible in an area which can safely decontrol it without being faced with consequences of rise in prices or any great difficulties. The only safeguard which I should like to suggest is that even here it might be better to build up a small buffer-stock against certain contingencies, because sometimes some people have a habit of cornering these millets and the lower income-group which we precisely want to help may not be benefited at

all by our having given absolute free-dom of trade in this particular category of millets.

Motion re:

But what should be the long term policy that should be pursued by Gov-ernment? That after all is the thing which really interests most of us and on which I should expect the Government to throw some light. My hon. friend the Finance Minister referred to the Planning Commission and to all the great plans that are to be drawn up for the purpose of increasing the prosperity of our country. I am one with him that we should certainly draw up plans for the prosperity of our country. But I would like to ask the Government and the Finance Minister in particular whether it is really not possible to have a different system of controls in our country. The long term policy should be that we should go in for production controls rather than for distribution controls. I think the time has arrived when we should progressively relax distribution conprogressively relax distribution controls and go in only for production controls; controls that operate at an earlier point of time will not lead to a distortion of the price structure and may be easier of operation. For instance agriculturists who have a certain area of land, say about 25 or 30 acres, might be given a few of the Government's production plans and a certain amount might be reserved for produc-tion of cereals. The great advantage about having production control rather than having distribution control is that in the long run we would have a greater amount of freedom and incentive on the part of the producer to produce more. What would happen in that situation is that private traders and others would get enough stocks of grain to sell in the market as a result of production controls. In the event of there being difficulties, or, in the event

of Government wishing to break up anything which is sold at a higher price, all that they have to do is to enter into the market, buy it at market price and build up have at the form price and build up buffer-stocks for themselves, so that they might be in a position to break down prices. I hold the view that we have now to revise our views on the control policy as we have been pursuing it and think in terms of a different type of controls. I am one with the Finance Minister when he says that in these matters we cannot adopt a fossilised attitude. For over ten years we have tried the system of distribution controls. time has now arrived when we should relax distribution controls and think in terms of production controls. That would give us greater scope for planning and achieving maximum results. Distribution controls in an underdeveloped economy do not work as efficiently as they would in the case of a fully developed economy. Therefore, from the point of view of enlightened planning I suggest to the Government that they should adopt a policy of production controls, touching controls at the source rather than at some place far remote from the source, because that is very difficult to touch, parti-cularly in view of the inadequate administrative machinery that we have at our command.

Food Situation

#### 5 P.M.

Shri Barman (North Bengal-Reserved—Sch. Castes): After the day's long debate, in the course of which many things have been said, I do not like to deal with broad policies. I shall, therefore, confine my remarks to certain matters which have not been mentioned.

The House then adjourned till a Quarter to Eleven of the Clock on Tuesday, the 18th November, 1952.