THE PARLIAMENTARY DEBATES
(Part I—Questions and Answers)
OFFICIAL REPORT

HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE
Tuesday, 2nd March, 1954

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

INDIAN TERRITORIAL FORCE

553. Th. Lakshman Singh Charak: (a) Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state whether the personnel of the ex-Indian Territorial Force, who opted for regular service were given the right to continue on their existing pay and to revert to their previous status on release from service?

(b) Is it a fact that on release from the Army, they are not being absorbed in the Territorial Army on the plea that the Indian Territorial Force has since been disbanded?

The Minister of Defence Organisation (Shri Tyagi): (a) Yes, as far as Senior and Junior Grade Officers were concerned.

(b) The ex-Indian Territorial Force personnel, who wish to join the Territorial Army, are not debarred from doing so, provided that they fulfil the terms and conditions laid down for enrolment in the Territorial Army.

एपलबी प्रतिबंधन

*554. Shri M. L. Dwivedi: क्या गृह-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की क्षप करेगे कि 740 P.S.D.
Shri Datar: They are being examined in the Ministries.

Shri M. L. Dwivedi: May I know whether it is a fact that, in spite of the Union Public Service Commission taking due care and applying right criteria in connection with the selection of proper personnel, certain defects have been noticed; and if so, whether these defects are also under consideration?

Shri Datar: Yes, they are also under consideration.

Shri Jaipal Singh: What are the main defects that have been pointed out by this expert?

Mr. Speaker: He should refer to the report.

Training of Deaf-mutes

555. Sardar Hukam Singh: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any Central institution for helping deaf-mutes to develop hearing and speaking power;

(b) if not, whether any State has such an institution; and

(c) if so, which?

The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Education (Dr. M. M. Das):

(a) No.

(b) and (c). There are 41 schools for deaf children in different States. A list is placed on the Table of the House. [See Appendix III, annexure No. 9.]

Sardar Hukam Singh: May I know whether any of these institutions run by the State Governments or by private organisations are receiving any grants or subsidies from the Central Government?

Dr. M. M. Das: There are two kinds of institutions. Thirty-five of these institutions are managed by private bodies and six of them are run by State Governments. Most of the institutions managed by private bodies are receiving financial aid from the State Governments.

Sardar Hukam Singh: May I know whether any complaints have been received by Government that the appeals for employment by these incapacitated persons are not receiving a suitable response from the employers?

Dr. M. M. Das: That is a different question. We are concerned with the teaching of these children only; we have nothing to do with giving them employment.

Shri Barrow: May I know how the scales of salaries for teachers in these schools compare with the scales of salaries for teachers in ordinary schools?

Dr. M. M. Das: Most of these institutions are managed by private organisations, and a few are run by State Governments. So far as the Central Government is concerned, no institution is run by it. So, we do not know about the salaries.

Shri Dhulekar: Have these institutions evolved any system or courses whereby the parents of such students can take advantage also?

Dr. M. M. Das: The parents of these deaf and dumb students? I do not know what he means.

Mr. Speaker: I also cannot understand the question.

Shri C. D. Pande: Unless they are themselves deaf and dumb!

Sardar Hukam Singh: May I know whether Government take any interest in the provision of employment to these deaf and dumb students after they have received their training and have left, or do they leave it to the institutions themselves to find employment for them?

Dr. M. M. Das: So far as my knowledge goes, these institutions are not in a position to make any such arrangements.
CULTURAL SCHOLARSHIPS SCHEME

*556. Shri Bahadur Singh: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) the number of students from various foreign countries who were enrolled in Indian Institutions during 1953-54 under the Cultural Scholarships Scheme; and

(b) what assistance was given by Government to each of them?

The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Education (Dr. M. M. Das):

(a) and (b). A statement is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix III, annexure No. 10.]

Shri Bahadur Singh: May I know what is the total number of scholarships that were to be awarded during 1953-54, and whether all these scholarships have been awarded or not?

Dr. M. M. Das: From the statement it will appear that ninety-four students have already got scholarships.

Shri Bahadur Singh: I want to know the total number of scholarships that were to be awarded.

Dr. M. M. Das: The total number was hundred, and four stipend holders backed out at the last moment; that is, they did not come to this country and there was no time left for fresh selection.

Shri Bahadur Singh: May I know what was the number of students from Asian countries, and the number from Commonwealth countries?

Dr. M. M. Das: I have not got that record with me, but I can give the number of students that are of Indian origin and students of non-Indian origin.

Shrimati A. Kale: May I know the names of the countries from which students are taken?

Dr. M. M. Das: Students have been taken from certain Asian, African and other Commonwealth countries.

Dr. Suresh Chandra: In view of the statement made by the hon. Minister that there are students from Africa, may I know whether the Government have received any complaints regarding the treatment meted out to the African students?

Dr. M. M. Das: To students in Africa or here?

Dr. Suresh Chandra: Scholarships have been given here.

Dr. M. M. Das: No such information has been received by Government.

Sardar Hukam Singh: May I know whether any representations have been received from these countries—Asian or Commonwealth—for the increase of such scholarships and what attention has been paid to them?

Dr. M. M. Das: I cannot commit myself, but so far as I know, no such representations have been made up till now.

क्रिकेट वर्णा

*556. छठे पोस्टिंग वर्ण : क्या प्राकृतिक संसाधन तथा वैज्ञानिक गवेशण मंत्री यह वर्तमान की क्रिकेट करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या यह सच है कि भारत की आस्ट्रेलिया से क्रिकेट वर्ण की विधि के अनुसार छठे पोस्टिंग वर्ण में निर्माण मिला है ;

(ख) क्या कोई प्रतिनिधि बेंजा जा रहा है ; और

(ग) यदि हां, तो कौन?

The Deputy Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Shri K. D. Malaviya): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Two scientists will be deputed.

(c) Selection has not yet been made.

छठे पोस्टिंग वर्ण : क्या इस सम्बन्ध में आस्ट्रेलिया से कोई वैज्ञानिक साहित्य भी प्राप्त हुआ है?
Shri S. N. Das: May I know what is the latest development in this direction in India and in other countries?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: Some scientific researches and investigations have been carried out in rain-making in America. The General Electric Company and the Weather Corporation, United States of America have been carrying out work in this direction. Also, Dr. E. C. Bowen, head of the Department of Radio Physics and Electronics, Australia has done a lot of work in this connection. Our assessment of the whole situation is, as I have said, that not much success has so far been made outside.

In India also, mostly in Bengal under the direction of Dr. Banerjee and also in Madras, some work in cloud-seeding and artificial rain-making has been carried out. There too we have met with a little success.

**Backward Classes Commission**

*559. Shri Muniswamy: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to starred question No. 936 answered on the 14th December, 1953 and state:

(a) whether Backward Classes Commission is expected to submit its report; and

(b) when the Commission is expected to visit the State of Madras?

The Deputy Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Datar): (a) Yes; before the end of 1954.

(b) April, 1954.

Shri Muniswamy: May I know the places visited by this Commission so far and the months spent?

Shri Datar: They visited in all 12 States. The number is very large and the amount spent is not very much.

Shri Velayudhan: May I know whether the Government have given any directive regarding the scope and functions of this Commission?

Shri Datar: The terms of reference are quite clear and wide enough.

Shri Veeraswamy: May I know when the Commission is going to visit Nicobar Islands and Andaman Islands?

Shri Datar: It is for them to consider.

Shri Muniswamy: May I know whether the Commission as a whole visits all places or they divide themselves?

Shri Datar: Some places they visit as a whole; other places are being visited by batches.
CANTONMENT ACT

*560. Shri Bansal: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state what steps are being taken to consult public opinion in the cantonments in regard to the proposed legislation to amend the present Cantonment Act?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithia): The hon. Member will recollect that while piloting the Cantonments (Amendment) Bill, 1953, I had informed the House that Government would first take preliminary action by way of

(a) delegation of powers to Civil Area Committee; and

(b) excision of Civil Areas from Cantonments which the military no longer required,

and if experience indicated that further changes were necessary, Government would be willing to consider comprehensive legislation in this respect.

Therefore the question of getting public opinion will arise when necessary legislation is brought forward.

Shri Bansal: क्या म जान सकता हूँ कि असल म गवर्नमेंट ने अपनी राय करीब कायम कर ली है। निजी उस के एलान से पहले ने खुद जाने हक्क में विद्वान भाभी के राय करेंगे। लेकिन उन के थोड़े पहले ने खुद जाने हक्क में विद्वान भाभी के राय करेंगे।

रत्न संवेदन मंत्री (बी स्याही): में भारतीय संवेदन मंत्री महोदय इस समक्ष में मानतीं मंत्री महोदय इस मुद्दे पर भी विचार कर रहे हैं कि जो संसद-सदस्य इस से विवेच सबबचित हैं उन की राय भी ले ली जाये?

*561. Shri Dabhi: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Bombay Government have submitted any proposals regarding the opening of new primary schools in the rural areas of the State with a view to combating unemployment among the educated;

(b) if the answer to part (a) above be in the affirmative, what those proposals are; and

(c) whether Government have accepted those proposals?

The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Education (Dr. M. M. Das): (a) Yes.

(b) The proposals involve the employment of 10,300 rural teachers.

(c) Yes. Government have so far allotted 4,000 teachers to the Bombay Government under their scheme of relief to the educated unemployed.

Dr. M. M. Das: In the first year of appointment, the Central Government will pay 75 per cent. of the total expenditure. The total amount that has been sanctioned up till now for the State of Bombay is Rs. 19,25,000.

Shri Dabhi: For how many years will the Central Government contribute?
Dr. M. M. Das: In the first year, 75 per cent. of the total salaries will be paid by the Central Government; in the second year, 50 per cent. will be paid by the Central Government and the other 50 per cent. by the State Government; in the third year, 25 per cent. will be paid by the Central Government and 75 per cent. by the State Government. From the fourth year, the whole amount will be paid by the State Government.

Shri B. K. Das: What is the total amount so far granted to all the States?

Dr. M. M. Das: The total amount sanctioned up till now is Rs. 1,08,63,300. It also takes into account the salaries of social educational workers who have been employed.

Shri B. S. Murthy: May I know whether this involves introduction of compulsory education in all rural areas?

Dr. M. M. Das: It is no doubt helps in introducing compulsory education in the States.

Youth Camps

563. Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether any youth camps have been opened by Government in the year 1953-54 so far under the auspices of the Youth Welfare Scheme;

(b) if so, how many and where; and

(c) the total number of students who participated in those camps?

The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Education (Dr. M. M. Das): (a) No.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: May I know whether any scheme to this effect was launched by the Government of India last year or this year, and if so, what was the purpose of that scheme, and has that scheme been implemented by the Education Ministry?

Dr. M. M. Das: I do not know what scheme the hon. Member refers to. If he means camps for students, then I may say that Government has given some grants to the State Governments for running such camps.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: What is the purpose of giving such grants to the State Governments? May I know whether the Education Ministry had any clear view of this programme, and if so, what is that view, and to what extent that policy of the Government has been implemented?

Dr. M. M. Das: It is a temporary arrangement. A scheme for Rs. 25 lakhs has been submitted to the Planning Commission and it is under their consideration. It is only a temporary arrangement.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: What part of that money has been spent by the Government?

Dr. M. M. Das: The scheme is still under the consideration of the Planning Commission and no part has been spent from that Rs. 25 lakhs.

Shrimati Kamlendu Mati Shah: Are girls also included in the youth welfare schemes?

Dr. M. M. Das: I want notice of the question.

Relief for Natural Calamities

564. Shri Radha Raman: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether under the rules for relief of distress caused by natural calamities State Governments can claim up to only 50 per cent. of the amount of the doles;

(b) whether any relief given against any type of manual labour is excluded from its provisions and is not shared by Government under this Grant; and

(c) whether Government propose to make any changes in the provisions of gratuitous relief?

The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Finance (Shri B. R.
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Bhagat: (a) There are no rules on the subject. The practice followed so far has been to re-imburse to the State Government concerned, as a grant, up to 50 per cent, of its expenditure on gratuitous relief subject to appropriate ceilings, where the amount spent by the State is seen to be beyond the capacity of the State to bear by itself. Doles are only one form of gratuitous relief.

(b) In practice again, relief afforded to manual labour does not come under the general arrangements for gratuitous relief. Manual labour is employed in relief works and is paid wages at such rates as the State Governments may fix. Towards the State expenditure on such relief works, the Centre has so far been offering, in cases where the need for such assistance is established, loan assistance up to 50 per cent, of the total expenditure on such relief works.

(c) The principles on which the Centre should give assistance to the States for relief of distress are under consideration.

Shri Radha Raman: May I know which States claimed such grants in the current year and the extent to which these claims were admitted or refused?

Shri B. R. Bhagat: In the year 1953-54 the States which asked for and were offered such help are: Bihar, Madras (before partition), U.P., Mysore, Madhya Bharat and Bombay. If the hon. Member wants, I can give the extent of the aid also.

Mr. Speaker: Not necessary.

Shri Radha Raman: I want to have some information on the claims that were refused.

Shri B. R. Bhagat: There was never any outright rejection but the whole assistance to be offered is worked out on the formula of 50 per cent, of the amount spent by the States in such work.

Mr. Speaker: He mentioned the States which were given grants. The hon. Member wants to know which of the States had asked for such grants and were not given grants.

Shri B. R. Bhagat: I think there is no such case where a grant was asked for and was rejected outright.

Shri Radha Raman: May I know whether Government are aware of the growing feeling among the people of this country that even in these calamities they would not like to claim doles from Government but would prefer payment in exchange for their labour?

Shri B. R. Bhagat: Yes, the whole matter is under consideration with a view to rationalising the whole aid and making it more effective and scientific.

Shri S. N. Das: May I know whether in any of these cases, any departure was made from the prevailing practice, and any of these States was given more than fifty per cent. of the gratuitous relief?

Shri B. R. Bhagat: No departure was made with a view to making any exception with regard to the percentage, but certain States like Rajasthan and Bihar were given assistance even in those cases where there were no gratuitous activities, in order to enable them to meet a part of the taccavi loans etc.

Pakistan Securities

*565. Shri A. N. Vidyalankar: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to refer to the replies to starred questions Nos. 1027 and 1028 asked on the 4th September, 1953 and to starred question No. 1246 asked on the 22nd December, 1953 and state the form and value of the consideration that was received in India against the export of Pakistan Securities that have changed enfacement to Pakistan?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shri A. C. Guha): According to the information of Government, securities of the face value of about Rs. 38 lakhs have been exported with the permission of the Reserve Bank. The
major portion of these were exported by banks and insurance companies for complying with requirements regarding compulsory statutory deposits in Pakistan. The bulk of the balance is believed to have been exported to Pakistan before the advent of exchange control.

Shri A. N. Vidyalankar: Is it not possible and desirable to call for a declaration from the banks, brokers and the general public, giving particulars of the securities negotiated by these banks and other concerns, during the period from 27th February 1951 to date, and find from these statements the cases of smuggling of these securities?

Mr. Speaker: This is a suggestion for action. If the hon. Member wants to ask for any information, he may put a question.

Shri A. N. Vidyalankar: I asked about the possibility of doing so.

Shri Damodara Menon: Have Government taken any steps to ascertain whether any part of the securities were smuggled out of India?

Shri A. C. Guha: Only if any case of smuggling is detected. Government can say whether any of the securities have been smuggled. But without committing myself to any definite opinion I do not think we can deny that there is a possibility of some securities having been smuggled.

Sardar Hukam Singh: What are the grounds on which Government suppose there is a possibility of these securities having been taken out before the exchange control came into operation, when no inquiry has been made, no declaration has been called for, and no other checks have been maintained?

Shri A. C. Guha: Those securities were not presented to the Indian treasuries after the exchange control came into operation, for taking their interest. So, it is presumed that they must have been sent out of India before that date.

Shri A. N. Vidyalankar: May I know whether Government have received any suggestions that a declaration about the securities should be called for, and if so, whether Government have considered the same?

Shri A. C. Guha: Yes, we have received several suggestions from the hon. Member himself. Government have considered the matter, and I do not think, as yet they have been convinced about the utility of the procedure suggested.

Amendment of the Constitution

566. Shri A. M. Thomas: Will the Minister of Law be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that proposals have been invited from the various State Governments regarding amendments to the Constitution;

(b) if so, whether Government have suggested the lines on which suggestions are required;

(c) what are the Articles in respect of which suggestions for amendments have been received; and

(d) when Government propose to introduce a Bill for amendment?

The Minister of Law and Minority Affairs (Shri Biswas): (a) Yes.

(b) No; the State Governments were free to suggest any amendments that they considered necessary.

(c) and (d). Suggestions have been received in respect of quite a considerable number of articles, and they are being examined by the law officers. Since the matter has to be considered very carefully by Government, I cannot say at present when the Amendment Bill is likely to be ready for introduction.

Shri A. M. Thomas: May I enquire whether the main amendments suggested are to the Chapter on Fundamental Rights?

Shri Biswas: So far as I have counted the number of amendments, out of 47, as many as 4 relate to the Part dealing with fundamental rights, while
the largest number relates to Part VI relating to States in Part A of the First Schedule.

Shri A. M. Thomas: May I enquire if the States have pointed out the difficulties which they have to encounter for want of adequate provision in the Constitution?

Shri Biswas: The suggestions are of various kinds. In certain matters, they have pointed out how the provisions of the Constitution go against what they consider to be the public interest. In other cases, they have suggested modification of certain articles in order to make things simpler, easier and more expeditious. The suggestions are of various kinds; it is very difficult for me to categorise the different amendments and place them under distinct headings.

Shri A. M. Thomas: The hon. Minister has stated that it is not possible to fix any time-limit within which any Bill will be brought forward. May I enquire whether any division has been set up in the Law Ministry to deal with the suggestions received and classify them and also for drafting amendments?

Shri Biswas: That stage has not yet been reached. The amendments will have to be considered at a meeting of the Cabinet and then the Cabinet will decide upon the procedure which has to be followed in order to introduce the necessary Bill.

INDIAN NATIONAL COMMISSION

*567. Shri S. C. Samanta: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) the names of the educational, scientific and cultural institutions of an all-India character which have associated themselves with the Indian National Commission; and

(b) the extent of help so far received by Member States from the UNESCO?

The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Education (Dr. M. M. Das): (a) A statement is placed on the Table of the House. [See Appendix III, annexure No. 11.]

(b) Attention is invited to the Reports of the Director General of UNESCO to the Seventh General Conference and the Second Extra-ordinary Session. Copies of the Reports are available in the Parliament Library.

Dr. M. M. Das: Sir, India has been a member of this Organisation since its inception in the year 1945. So far as India is concerned, we have derived considerable benefit from UNESCO. We have received experts, fellowships and equipment for educational schemes. The Organisation has also collaborated with the Government of India in organising seminars and regional conferences. In 1949, the Adult Education Seminar was organised in Mysore in collaboration with UNESCO. The Delhi Public Library was set up and substantial help in the form of equipment, experts and fellowships has been made available by UNESCO. Last year, UNESCO set up a centre for training of specialists in fundamental education in Bangalore. The centre is entirely financed by UNESCO. Recently UNESCO has agreed in collaboration with the Government of India to translate Indian classics into foreign languages...

Mr. Speaker: Is it a very long?

Dr. M. M. Das: Yes, Sir. Two or three items more.

Dr. M. M. Das: During the current year, i.e., 1953-54, in our budget provision was made for Rs. 17 lakhs for contribution to UNESCO.
Dr. M. M. Das: Sir, the under-developed States who are members of UNESCO have felt for sometime past that the programme of UNESCO needs to be reoriented to the needs of these areas. A good deal of money is being spent on administration and it has been felt that the resources of the Organisation should be diverted in greater amounts for implementation of concrete projects.

Shri Muniswamy: May I know, Sir, whether there are sub-commissions under this Commission, and if so, how many of them are working, and are they fully constituted?

Dr. M. M. Das: Sir, there are three sub-commissions of our Indian National Commission for co-operation with UNESCO. They are: the cultural sub-commission, the educational sub-commission and the scientific sub-commission. Each of them comprises a large number of organisations in this country in the respective fields.

Youth Welfare

*568. Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) what steps have been taken to promote Youth Welfare; and

(b) how much money is being given by Government to the various organisations for this purpose?

The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Education (Dr. M. M. Das): (a) and (b). Youth Leadership Training Camps have been conducted by the Government of India. In addition grants have been given to various Sports Organisations, other Voluntary Organisations and State Governments to promote Youth Welfare. A statement is placed on the Table. [See Appendix III, annexeure No. 12.]

Shri D. C. Sharma: May I know why out of the sum of about Rs. 53,000 which was spent 70 per cent. was allotted to West Bengal Government, and how did the West Bengal Government utilise this amount?

Dr. M. M. Das: Sir, the figure that has been given in the statement comprises the money that has already been sanctioned. But, a large number of applications are still under consideration and a large amount of money will be sanctioned and given before the next financial year begins. When these grants will be made the hon. Member will find that the West Bengal Government will not have a lion's share of the whole amount.

Shri D. C. Sharma: May I know what programme of action and study was followed at these Youth Leadership Training Camps and how were these youth leaders trained?

Dr. M. M. Das: Sir, those camps passed resolutions that a scheme for labour and social service should be taken up by the youths.

Shri D. C. Sharma: What is the Youth Hostels Association, and where is its headquarters, and who are the office-bearers of this Association?

Dr. M. M. Das: Sir, this is a non-official organisation and I have no intimate knowledge of that organisation.

Shri N. M. Lingam: In view of the fact that Government have no clear concept of the role of this movement in the scheme of national development and in view also of the fact that there are other organisations like the N. C. C. for youth welfare, do not Government think that this is a national waste that should be avoided at the earliest possible moment?

Dr. M. M. Das: Government does not agree with the views of the hon. Member that it is a waste of public money. The Ministry of Education...
has drawn up a comprehensive scheme of youth welfare. The main activities contemplated in this scheme are—

(1) survey of youth problems and youth welfare programmes,
(2) Youth Leadership Training Camps,
(3) Work projects for students in and around the college campus,
(4) Workshops in the universities,
(5) Youth tours, hikes and mountaineering,
(6) Youth hostels,
(7) Grants to physical education institutions and sports organisations,
(8) Bulletins, brochures and other publications on youth welfare,
(9) Grants to various States and other voluntary organisations for projects for non-student youths, and
(10) Coaching schemes in sports and games.

EXCESS PROFITS TAX

*571. Shri K. C. Sodhia: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) the total balance lying with the Government of India relating to excess profits tax repayable to assesses under Section 10 of the Indian Finance Act, 1942;
(b) the payments made during the year 1953-54 out of these balances;
(c) whether there are any conditions attached to such payments;
(d) if so, what they are; and
(e) by what time these arrears are likely to be cleared?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shri M. C. Shah): (a) The total balance with Government relating to part Excess Profits Tax repayable to assesses, as and when it falls due, was Rs. 973 lakhs on 1st April, 1953.
(b) Payments out of the above amount made upto 31st December, 1953 were Rs. 175 lakhs.
(The figures are departmental not yet verified by the Accountants General.)
(c) No conditions are attached to such payments provided they are due to the assessee.
(d) Does not arise.
(e) These repayments are not really in arrears. They must fall due under the law before they are refunded and since all payments are being made by due dates the question of such payments being in arrears does not arise.

Shri K. C. Sodhia: Do these refundable amounts earn any interest?

Shri M. C. Shah: So far as these refunds of excess profits tax are concerned, they do not bear any interest. But, with regard to deposits, they bear interest at 2 per cent.

LEGISLATORS SENT ABROAD

*572. Shri Dhusiya: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) how many legislators were sent abroad at Government expense in 1953; and
(b) the total expenditure incurred on them?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shri M. C. Shah): (a) and (b). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.

श्री रघुनाथ सिंह : कितने दिन के अन्दर यह इन्फर्मेशन आ जाएगी?

Shri M. C. Shah: Very soon.
Scheduled Castes Grant

*573. Shri Thimmaiah: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state the total amount granted to Mysore State for the uplift of Scheduled Castes for 1953-54 and the major items under which it is to be spent?

The Deputy Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Datar): A statement showing the schemes approved by the Government of India for the removal of untouchability in the State of Mysore during the year 1953-54 and the ceiling fixed for each scheme is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix III, annexure No. 13.] Out of the total ceiling of Rs. 1,62,500 fixed for all the schemes, a sum of Rs. 81,250 has already been paid. The balance will be paid before the close of the financial year, on receipt of full details regarding the actual expenditure etc. incurred by the State Government on the various schemes including that met from their own funds.

Shri Thimmaiah: May I know the number of Scheduled Caste voluntary agencies that have been given grants out of the Rs. 12,500 allotted to voluntary agencies?

Shri Datar: Those details are not here.

Shri Thimmaiah: If any amount out of the Central grant is not spent by the States, will it lapse for that year or will it be passed on to the next year?

Shri Datar: That amount will lapse and again they will have to ask for money out of the new grant.

Shri N. Rachiah: May I know the nature of publicity undertaken by the Mysore Government to eradicate untouchability?

Shri Datar: We have not yet received those details.

Shri Velayudhan: May I know whether the money allotted by the Centre and directly spent by the Centre for the backward classes has lapsed this year?

Shri Datar: It has got to be mostly spent by the State Governments.

Shri Velayudhan: My question has not yet been answered.

Shri B. S. Murthy: May I know what steps the Government are taking to see that the amounts given to State Governments do not lapse?

Shri Datar: We request the State Governments to send their schemes as early as possible, we sanction the schemes and call for reports every three months.

Recruitment in Manipur

*574. Shri Rishang Keishing: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Assistant Recruiting Officer, Shillong visited Manipur and the Naga Hills from 9th to 15th January 1954; and

(b) the number of youngmen interviewed and selected respectively in both the places?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithia): (a) Yes;

(b) Interviewed 320 and selected 22.

With your permission, I would like to add that special attention is now being given to this area for recruitment purposes, and people of this area, I am sure, will take advantage of it.

Shri Rishang Keishing: Is it a fact that the officers who were sightseeing there met with an accident and killed a boy?

Sardar Majithia: Though it does not arise out of this question; I will reply to it. They did meet with an accident.

Shri Rishang Keishing: May I know if Government has given compensation to the bereaved family?

Sardar Majithia: The car was a civilian car belonging to the Collector of that district and driven by his driver, who was also a civilian. A
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The Minister of Defence Organisation (Shri Tyagi): I am afraid this question should not be allowed as the hon. Member is making an allegation against an officer.** (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. I do not allow that question and I shall see that it is deleted from the proceedings.

Sardar Majithia: As I have already said, special attention has been given to this area. Recruiting Officers will be travelling in this area extensively, and if suitable young men are brought before them, I am quite sure they will be taken.

Shri Jaipal Singh: Are these concessions physical, educational or otherwise?

Sardar Majithia: As the hon. Member knows only too well, there are no concessions that way. It is only facilities that are being provided, and if suitable people do turn up, they will be taken.

Mr. Speaker: No. 575, Shri Vittal Rao.

Shri T. B. Vittal Rao: Along with 575, may I request you to take up 595 as well, because they relate to the same subject.

Mr. Speaker: Has the hon. Defence Minister any objection?

The Minister of Defence Organisation (Shri Tyagi): I think reply to the first will cover the other.

NATIONAL DEFENCE ACADEMY, KHADAKVASALA

*575. Shri T. B. Vittal Rao: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

(a) the total original estimate of the cost of construction of the National Defence Academy, Khadakvasala;

(b) the date when it was expected to be completed;

(c) the date when it was started; and

(d) what progress has been made to date?

The Minister of Defence Organisation (Shri Tyagi): (a) Rs. 5.87 crores.

(b) The construction was expected to be completed in 6 or 7 years from the date of commencement.

(c) October 1949.

(d) A statement showing the progress made is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix III, annexure No. 14.]

Shri T. B. Vittal Rao: What about 595.

Shri Tyagi: The answer is almost the same. But if the hon. Member is anxious I shall read it.

NATIONAL DEFENCE ACADEMY, KHADAKVASALA

*595. Shri T. B. Vittal Rao: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the original estimate for the National Defence Academy at Khadakvasala will be increased;

(b) if so, to what extent and why;

(c) whether any instances of corruption and maladministration in the execution of the project have been brought to the notice of the Government; and

**Expunged as ordered by the Chair.
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The Minister of Defence Organization (Shri Tyagi): (a) Yes.

(b) The original and the revised estimated costs for the whole project are Rs. 5.87 and Rs. 6.45 crores respectively. The increase of Rs. 58 lakhs is due to various reasons but principally because of a substantial rise in prices of materials and labour.

(c) No.

(d) Does not arise.

Shri T. B. Vittal Rao: May I know, Sir, whether there is any senior officer to supervise the payment of wages to labour?

Shri Tyagi: Senior officers do supervise, but in this case for the control of the work done there and to supervise construction a committee was appointed specially and the Secretary of the Defence Ministry himself is the Chairman of that Committee. They also look into the work which is going on there.

Shri T. B. Vittal Rao: May I know whether it is a fact that the Special Branch Police detected some cases where the number of employees engaged was actually less than the number that was shown in the muster rolls?

Shri Tyagi: It is very difficult for me to recollect what reports had come in the Ministry. But I shall be very much obliged to my hon. friend if he passes on such complaints to me. I am very anxious to enquire into such complaints.

Shri G. S. Singh: When the construction of the buildings of the National Defence Academy at Khadakvasala is completed, do Government contemplate closing down the National Defence Academy at Dehra Dun and the Flying Schools at Begumpet and Jodhpur?

Shri Tyagi: Sir, the Academy will be completed in the year 1956, but our desire is to transfer the National Defence Academy in the beginning of the next year.

As regards the Military Wing, it is not the intention to transfer it from Dehra Dun, because the present buildings are not enough to accommodate the Military Wing and if additional buildings are put up for the Military Wing then the cost will rise by another Rs. 80 lakhs. I do not propose to deprive Dehra Dun of the Military Wing.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Will the Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Indian Bureau of Mines is conducting any boring operations in the District of Singhbhum, Bihar; and

(b) the strategic materials, for which the operation is being conducted?

The Deputy Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Shri K. D. Malaviya): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Uranium ore.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Will the Minister of Internal Affairs please state:

(a) whether the present buildings are not enough to accommodate the Military Wing and if additional buildings are put up for the Military Wing then the cost will rise by another Rs. 80 lakhs. I do not propose to deprive Dehra Dun of the Military Wing.

(b) Boring Operations in Singhbhum (Bihar): 576. Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Will the Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Indian Bureau of Mines is conducting any boring operations in the District of Singhbhum, Bihar; and

(b) the strategic materials, for which the operation is being conducted?

The Deputy Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Shri K. D. Malaviya): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Uranium ore.
Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy: In view of the great importance of uranium in this area of Jharkhand, may I know whether Government are contemplating to take the whole area under their own control?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: No, Sir, but Government have been making prospecting investigations in this area in Singhbum, and the Atomic Energy Commission has applied for the mine's lease to the Bihar Government. As soon as we obtain the lease, we shall start mining operations for using uranium ore for extracting pure uranium.

FOREIGNERS IN DEFENCE INSTALLATIONS

*577. Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state how many foreigners are working in Defence installations?

The Minister of Defence Organisation (Shri Tyagi): I presume that the hon. Member means depots, factories, etc. when he refers to Defence installations. There are 86 foreigners in such establishments. In addition, there are 98 other foreigners in the rest of the Defence organisation.

Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy: May I know if out of the twenty Defence ordnance factories, any one has been completely controlled by foreigners?

Shri Tyagi: None of these is controlled completely by foreigners except in one of the factories which has only lately been set up at Ambernath where some foreigners are working.

Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy: May I know whether it has come to the notice of the Government that in Muradnagar factory a secret file relating to a new weapon of defence has been missing and that it is found in Pakistan Secretariat and the man who was responsible to it was a Britisher?

Shri Tyagi: I am surprised how this had arisen out of this question. I should think that these are questions which have not been mentioned in the main question. Supplementary questions of this nature—whether an officer was doing this thing or that—will be very difficult for me to reply and I do not want that such questions should go unreplied either. That is my main difficulty.

Mr. Speaker: I think I differ from the hon. Minister respectfully: the point that the hon. Member is asking is as to the number of foreigners working in the Defence installations and the implication of the question is clear: whether it is safe for India to have foreigners in such services of Defence.

Shri Tyagi: I can understand if this were the main question. When a Member says that one of the officers did such and such a thing of which I do not have any information, I cannot reply.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Minister can say that he has no information, but that is different from saying that the question is irrelevant. He just gives an illustration about a particular foreigner in the service of the Government of India taking away papers which are found in the Pakistan Secretariat. That is a serious enough matter.

An Hon Member: If there is any truth in it.

Shri Tyagi: I would request that if there is any information with the hon. Member, he could forward it on to me so that I can make enquiries.

ARCHAEOLOGICAL ARTICLES

*578. Dr. N. B. Khare: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether any steps have been taken by the Government of India to bring back to this country articles...
such as copper plates of archaeological and historical interest which were removed to Great Britain prior to Independence; and

(b) if the answer to part (a) above be in the affirmative, the nature of such steps?

The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Education (Dr. M. M. Das):
(a) Yes.

(b) Government are making an attempt to catalogue and prepare a list of all those articles that have been taken away from time to time from this country.

Dr. N. B. Khare: When will the attempts be successful, or when will they end?

Dr. M. M. Das: That depends upon the future, Sir.

Shri Muniswamy: Have any attempts been made to make out a list of those articles of archaeological interest and to which States in our country they belong?

Dr. M. M. Das: Our foreign diplomatic Missions and the diplomatic Missions of foreign countries here have been requested to make a catalogue of articles of historical importance to this country which are in those countries.

The Prime Minister (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): May I add a word, Sir? It is not a particularly easy matter to get back things of artistic value, articles of historical or archaeological interest which private collectors or a Government has taken in the past, because the country that possess them also values them very greatly. There is no means of forcing them to give them back unless it be through a friendly course or by exchange. That is the only way to deal with it.

Shri Jaipal Singh: The question refers to the removal of articles of historical interest previous to Independence. Did Government make an inventory of them, and may I know whether they have gone out to private institutions or agencies or otherwise, and also whether any effort has been made to make an inventory of the material that has left this country after Independence?

Dr. M. M. Das: Government has got no information about materials of importance, historical or otherwise, that have left this country after Independence.

Excavations of Ashwamedha Site

*581. Shri Krishnacharya Joshi: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that as a result of excavations of the first Ashwamedha site at the foot of the Himalayas near Dehra Dun, bricks containing Sanskrit Verses in early Brahmi script have been found; and

(b) if so, what other things of historic importance have been unearthed there?

The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Education (Dr. M. M. Das):
(a) Yes.

(b) None.

Shri Krishnacharya Joshi: What is supposed to be the time of this Ashwamedha?

Dr. M. M. Das: So far as the Ashwamedha performed in this particular site is concerned, it was performed in the latter part of the 3rd century A.D.

Shri Krishnacharya Joshi: May I know whether there are any archaeological specimens that go to prove that there was Ashwamedha?

Dr. M. M. Das: From the Nonaghat inscriptions we know that one Andhra king performed this Ashwamedha, the king who was the founder of the Brisala dynasty. From the Sunga inscription in Ayodhya we find that Pushyamitra performed this Ashwamedha. And from coins that are with us in the museum we know that Samudragupta performed this Ashwamedha.
FINANCING AGRICULTURAL OPERATIONS

*582. Shri Lakshmayya: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Reserve Bank of India had made any provision for financing agricultural operations and marketing of crops of co-operative societies in the Madras State in 1952-53 and 1953-54; and

(b) if so, whether these facilities continue to be given to the Andhra State after its formation?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shri A. C. Guha): (a) Yes, Sir. A credit limit of Rs. 479-30 and Rs. 281-50 lakhs to be availed of through the Madras State Co-operative Bank for financing agricultural operations and marketing of crops, under section 17(4)(a) and 17 (4)(c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act, was sanctioned during 1952-53 and 1953-54 (upto 12th February 1954) respectively. The figure of Rs. 281-50 lakhs is exclusive of the amount of Rs. 246-00 lakhs sanctioned in respect of the Andhra State Co-operative Bank during the year 1953-54.

(b) Yes, Sir.

Shri Lakshmayya: May I know the rate of interest charged on that?

Shri A. C. Guha: 1½ per cent. is charged by the Reserve Bank.

Shri Lakshmayya: May I know whether there is any proposal to set up a Financial Corporation for agricultural operations, on the model of Industrial Finance Corporation?

Shri A. C. Guha: There have been so many proposals. I cannot say that Government have come to any decision about this 'thing', but I can only say that the Reserve Bank of India is carrying out an all-India enquiry into the question of agricultural credit, and till the results of the enquiry are out Government cannot come to any decision on this question.

Shri Lakshmayya: May I know whether the Central Government propose to set up such a Corporation for agricultural operations?

*583. Shri Morarka: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state the number of companies whose affairs have been investigated by Government Inspectors under Section 138 of the Indian Companies' Act since 1936?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shri M. C. Shah): Necessary information is being collected and will be placed on the Table of the House as early as possible.

Shri Morarka: Are we to understand that information since 1936 is not available with the Central Government at all?

Shri M. C. Shah: Information is being collected.

Shri Morarka: May I know the minimum qualifications necessary for such Inspectors?

Shri M. C. Shah: There are Registrars. Up till now the Company Law administration was delegated to the States, and those Registrars continue to administer the Company Law within the respective States. We have to obtain all this information from the Registrars. Now the Central Government has taken over the administration and the delegated powers have been withdrawn. There is no question of qualifications of Inspectors.

KUMBH MELA TRAGEDY

*584. Shri L. Jogeshwar Singh: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state the number of killed and injured at the Kumbh on the morning of the 3rd February, 1954?

The Deputy Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Datar): Attention is invited to the statements made by the Prime Minister on the floor of the House on the 15th February 1954. This is a matter under investigation by the Committee appointed by the Uttar
Pradesh Government and the Committee's report must be awaited.

Shri L. Jogeswar Singh: May I know how many persons were reported to be missing and how many of them have been restored to their relations?

Shri Datar: Sir, that itself is a matter under investigation.

Shri V. G. Deshpande: rose—

Mr. Speaker: I am going to the next question.

Shri V. G. Deshpande: I want to ask a question on a matter which is not under investigation.

Mr. Speaker: The matter is under enquiry.

**INCOME-TAX**

*585. Shri B. S. Murthy: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to refer to the reply to starred question No. 1223 for the 11th September, 1953 and state whether any agreement has since been entered into with the Government of Burma for avoidance of double taxation?*

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shri M. C. Shah): The matter is still under consideration.

Shri B. S. Murthy: May I know whether the Government are aware of the difficulties being experienced by the Indian merchants in Burma, and what steps are being taken to minimise their difficulties of double taxation?

Shri M. C. Shah: Government are aware of their difficulties, and therefore we have continued the Order of 1936 wherein certain reliefs are granted. They have kept the matters pending till the agreements are entered into. The draft has already been prepared; it will be scrutinised and sent to the Burma Government. After we receive the consent of the Burma Government these agreements will be entered into.

Shri B. S. Murthy: Is it a fact that the books in India are being summon-
Short Notice Question and Answer

SALT MANUFACTURERS

S. N. Q. 4. Shri Jethalal Joshi: Will the Minister of Production be pleased to state:

(a) whether complaints have been received from State Governments regarding the abuse of concessions by the salt manufacturers owning 10 acres of land who are exempted from the licensing system;

(b) the States from which complaints have been received; and

(c) the steps Government propose to take in the matter?

The Minister of Production (Shri K. C. Reddy): (a) and (b). The hon. Member has evidently in mind the concessions granted to small scale manufacturers of salt working in areas of 10 acres and less, in terms of Government's Press Note of 23rd April 1948. Complaints regarding the abuse of the concessions have been brought to the notice of the Government by licensed salt manufacturers and also by the Salt Experts Committee and the Salt Advisory Committee, on which the important salt manufacturing States of Madras, Bombay, Saurashtra and West Bengal are represented.

(c) Government have decided to restrict the concession to areas of 2½ acres and less from 1st March, 1955. A statement embodying and explaining the Government's decision on the subject is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix III, annexure No. 15.]

Shri Jethalal Joshi: From the statement I find that there is an attempt on the part of the Government to remove most of the possibilities of misuse, but there is one point which requires elucidation. At the end of page two, it is stated:

"the movement of salt manufactured under the concession under (1) above will be restricted, as it is intended for local consumption only, and no facilities will be given for transport by rail in respect of it."

May I know whether this means that these owners will continue to enjoy facilities for transport by shipping?

Shri K. C. Reddy: I do not know if any unlicensed salt is now being shipped to places of consumption. I should imagine that if such salt is offered for shipment it would be accepted.

Shri Jethalal Joshi: Is it a fact that each ten acre owner is paying three annas to the Agarias while Government manufacturers and licence-holders have to pay seven annas and they are not subject to any labour laws?

Shri K. C. Reddy: I would like to have notice for this question.

Shri Jethalal Joshi: May I know if it is advisable for the Government to apply some labour laws in the best interests of labour and have Government contemplated any?


Shri N. M. Lingam: May I know if any conditions have been prescribed regarding the percentage of sodium chloride in the manufacture of unlicensed salt?

Shri K. C. Reddy: No such quality control has yet been exercised. It is the intention of Government to exercise such control hereafter.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

AGE LIMIT FOR COMPELLSORY EDUCATION

*558. Shrimati Renu Chakravarty: (a) Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state whether the attention of Government has been drawn to the speech made by Dr. Shrimall to the Secondary Education Section of the All-India Educational Conference at
Calcutta, on the need for raising the age limit of children who should be provided with free and compulsory education?

(b) What is the attitude of Government towards this proposal?

The Minister of Education and Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Maulana Asad): (a) Yes.

(b) Government are committed to make provision for education to all children up to the age of 14 years as envisaged in the Constitution. Until this target is achieved it would not be possible to raise the upper limit of compulsory education.

APPRAISERS OF CUSTOMS DEPARTMENT, BOMBAY

*562. Shri Gidwani: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether any Appraisers of Customs Department, Bombay, were suspended during the years 1951, 1952 and 1953;

(b) if so, what was the nature of charges against them;

(c) whether they were prosecuted; and

(d) whether any departmental enquiries were held against them?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shri A. C. Guha): (a) Yes, Sir. One Appraiser was suspended in 1952 and two in 1953. No appraiser was suspended during the year 1951.

(b) The charge against the Appraiser who was suspended in 1952 was contravention of certain departmental orders. The charges against one of the appraisers suspended in 1953 were contravention of certain departmental orders. The charge against the second appraiser suspended in 1953 was the acceptance of illegal gratification.

(c) Only the Appraiser who was charged with the acceptance of illegal gratification has been prosecuted.

(d) Yes, Sir. Departmental enquiries have been held against the appraisers other than the one who has been prosecuted in a court of Law.

LOAN TO ANDHRA

*569. Shri Nanadas: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Andhra Government have asked for any loan for repairing and bunding of the Godavari river banks;

(b) if so, the amount asked for; and

(c) the decision taken in the matter?

The Minister of Finance (Shri C. D. Deshmukh): (a) to (c). The Andhra Government asked for a total loan of Rs. 3.75 crores in the half-year 1953-54 for financing the expenditure on their Schemes on Capital account, including the Scheme for repairing and bunding of the Godavari river banks, and also for meeting a part of their Revenue Budget deficit for the half year ending 31st March 1954. The Government of India have agreed to advance a loan as requested, but have not yet decided which specific schemes should be financed from the loan. This will be decided in consultation with the Planning Commission.

SMUGGLING

*570. Pandit D. N. Tiwary: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) the number of cases of smuggling from India to Pakistan, and vice versa, detected in 1952 and 1953; and

(b) the commodities that are generally smuggled from one country to the other?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shri A. C. Guha): (a) and (b). A statement giving the required information is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix III, annexure No. 16.]

REPUBLIC DAY

*580. Shri Biren Dutt: (a) Will the Minister of States be pleased to state what amount was spent to celebrate Republic Day in Tripura?
(b) what were the items of expenditure and the amounts incurred thereon?

The Minister of Home Affairs and States (Dr. Katju): (a) and (b). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House when received.

INDIAN BANKS ABROAD

*587. Shri Bansal: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Indian banks operating in foreign countries; and

(b) how many of such banks are licensed to deal in foreign exchange by the countries in which they are operating?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shri A. C. Guha): (a) 35 Indian banks operate with 138 branches in foreign countries.

(b) The requirements about licensing for dealing in foreign exchange vary from country to country and we have no precise information on the point. There has however not been any general complaint from Indian banks about not being able to obtain such licences.

REHABILITATION FINANCE ADMINISTRATION

*588. Shri Gidwani: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Administration and Advisory Board of the Rehabilitation Finance Administration have communicated their unanimous opinion to Government that the rate of 6 per cent. interest charged on loans is high and should be reduced;

(b) whether they have requested the Government not to charge any interest for money advanced to the Administration or to bear all the administrative charges of the Administration;

(c) whether the Government have considered the same; and

(d) if so, what is their decision?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shri A. C. Guha): (a) and (b). Yes, Sir.

(c) and (d). The matter is under consideration.

TECHNICAL AID FROM JAPAN

*589. Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether India has received any technical aid from Japan; and

(b) if so, what?

The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Finance (Shri B. R. Bhagat): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

PURCHASE TAX

*590. Pandit D. N. Tiwary:

Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that consultations are being carried on by the Centre with the State Governments for introducing purchase tax instead of Sales Tax; and

(b) if so, what is the result?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shri M. C. Shah): (a) Yes, Sir, certain consultations at the official level are in progress. But the proposal to introduce purchase tax relates only to inter-State transactions.

(b) The matter is still under consideration.

DESCRIPTIVE MEMOIRS OF MINISTRIES

*591. Shri S. C. Samanta: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) how many Ministries or Departments of Ministries have been included in the last printed Memoirs; and

(b) how far the Descriptive Memoirs of different Ministries or
Departments have helped in the re-organisation work?

The Deputy Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Datar): (a) Descriptive Memoirs of five Ministries/Departments for the year 1953 have so far been printed.

(b) The Descriptive Memoirs are intended to convey an accurate picture of the existing organisational set-up of the Ministries/Departments concerned, of their respective functions and of the actual distribution of work among the officers therein. Such a picture is essential for any work connected with re-organisation or review of Establishments.

Opium

*592. Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) the districts in Punjab which have been authorised to cultivate opium in the year 1953-54; and

(b) the estimated acreage of cultivation in the country during this period?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shri A. C. Guha): (a) In the Punjab poppy cultivation was permitted only in the districts of Hoshiarpur and Jullundur in the year 1953-54.

(b) The estimated acreage of cultivation in the whole of India during this period is approximately 54,000 acres.

Border Check Posts

*593. Shri Radha Raman: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) the establishment charges incurred on the Indian border check posts (including Land Customs Stations) during the year 1953-54 (upto the 31st January 1954) are approximately Rs. 55,25,000/-. 

(b) The total value of the smuggled goods seized at these posts (including Land Customs Stations) for the same period is approximately Rs. 40,36,000.

World Bank

*594. Shri K. C. Sodhia: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether India holds any shares in World Bank's capital; and

(b) if so, the amount thereof?

The Minister of Finance (Shri C. D. Deshmukh): (a) and (b). Yes, Sir. India holds 4000 shares of the value of $400 million, of which 20 per cent, or the equivalent of Rs. 34.28 crores is paid-up.

Rehabilitation of Ex-Criminal Tribes

*596. Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) what amount has so far been given by the Central Government to the Government of Bihar for the rehabilitation of ex-criminal tribes in Bihar; and

(b) whether this amount will be utilised to cover the provision already made for this purpose in the budget of the State of Bihar, or whether this would be in addition to the amount already allotted by them?

The Deputy Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Datar): (a) A sum of Rs. 17,500/- has already been paid and another instalment of an equal amount will be paid before the close of the financial year on the receipt of full details regarding the actual expenditure etc. incurred by the State Government on the various welfare schemes including that met from their own funds.

(b) The grant given by the Centre is in addition to the amount provided by the State Government in their budget.
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NATIONAL DEFENCE ACADEMY

*599. Sardar Hukam Singh: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

(a) the number of students who were admitted to the Joint Services Wing of the National Defence Academy during 1953-54; and

(b) how many applied to the U.P.S.C. for admission?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithia): (a) 372.

(b) 6,061.

UNDISPOSED OF RECEIPTS

*600. Shri Gidwanl: (a) Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to refer to the reply to unstarred question No. 584 asked on the 22nd December, 1953 regarding a bundle of undisposed of receipts found in the Customs Wing of the Central Board of Revenue, and state whether the responsibility has since been fixed?

(b) If so, has any action been taken against the officer concerned?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shri M. C. Shah): (a) Yes, Sir. the responsibility has been fixed for a prima facie case.

(b) Necessary action against the officer concerned is being taken.

ESTATE DUTY ACT

Shri A. M. Thomas:

Shri B. K. Das:

Shri Govind Das:

Shri S. C. Singhal:

Shri L. N. Mishra:

Pandit D. N. Tiwary:

Shri Krishnamacharya Joshi:

Seth Achal Singh:

Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether any amount has so far been collected under the Estate Duty Act and if so, how much;
(b) whether the administrative arrangements in connection with the enforcement of the provisions of the Act are complete;

(c) how many cases have so far been registered for assessment under the Act; and

(d) how many have been disposed of?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shri M. C. Shah): (a) No amount has been collected so far. The Estate Duty Act came into force with effect from 15th October 1953 and under section 53(3) the accountable persons are allowed six months time to file an account of all property passing on the death of the deceased. No accounts are therefore due before 14th April 1954 and none has been filed. No assessment could therefore be made as yet.

(b) Yes, apart from the appointment of valuers, who are to be appointed within 12 months after the commencement of the Act. Necessary steps are being taken to draw up a panel of valuers within the time laid down in the Act.

(c) The number of cases which have so far come to the notice of the Estate Duty authorities is 715, but out of this, 564 cases are likely to be non-dutiable cases.

(d) No case has yet been disposed of because no return has yet become due or been filed.

84. Shri Dabhi: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) the total number of persons who have up to this time migrated from India to Pakistan and have ceased to be citizens of India;

(b) the total number of persons who have up to this time migrated from Pakistan to India and have either become or are eligible to become citizens of India;

(c) the names of the State in which the persons referred to in part (b) above have settled; and

(d) the number of these persons in each State?

The Minister of Home Affairs and States (Dr. Kajju): (a) The Government of India have no up-to-date information; but according to the Census of Pakistan, 1951, the total number of migrants from India to Pakistan was 6,576,000.

(b) to (d). According to the 1951 Census, there were 7,295,870 displaced persons in India. Since then approximately 6.5 lakh persons have migrated to India from Pakistan. Of these, 52,99,120 persons became Indian citizens under the provisions of the Constitution. Two statements are attached showing the State-wise distribution in respect of—

(1) the displaced persons according to the 1951 Census,—(Similar distribution in respect of the 6.5 lakh migran mentioned above is not available): and

(2) persons who became Indian citizens. [See Appendix III, annexure No. 17.]

Pending the enactment of an Indian Citizenship Law, those migrants who have not already become Indian citizens are being treated as potential Indian citizens.

85. Babu Ramanrayan Singh: Will the Minister of Law be pleased to state:

(a) the date on which the term of appointment of the Patna Election Tribunal will expire;

(b) the number of cases pending before this Tribunal;

(c) whether the proceedings on the pending cases have been stayed by order of the Patna High Court;

(d) whether the Tribunal will continue to function till the disposal of the cases by the Patna High Court; and

(e) if not, what action will be taken by Government?
The Minister of Law and Minority Affairs (Shri C. C. Biswas): (a) An Election Tribunal is not appointed for any specified term. It is expected to continue until it has disposed of the petitions referred to it. The financial sanction for the posts of Chairman and Members of the Patna Tribunal has for the present been accorded up to 31st May, 1954,

(b) Two.

(c) Yes.

(d) Yes.

(e) Does not arise.

COMMON CADRE OF SERVICES FOR PUNJAB AND PEPSU

86. Shri Bahadur Singh: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether any formula for a common cadre of Punjab-PEPSU civil services has been submitted to the Ministry for approval;

(b) whether there are any other States in India which are having a common cadre; and

(c) whether this formula would apply to important services only?

The Deputy Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Datar): (a) In December 1953 the Governments of Punjab and PEPSU arrived at an agreement regarding the constitution of joint cadres for the Indian Administrative and Police Services in those States. On the basis of this agreement it has been decided to establish joint cadres for Punjab and PEPSU for the two All India Services.

(b) It has been decided to establish joint cadres for Bombay and Saurashtra also for the two All India Services.

(c) The scheme for joint cadres applies to the two All India Services only.

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shri A. C. Guha): (a) to (c). The quantity of cloth exported from India during 1953 was about 678 million yards of which 495 million yards were exported under bond without payment of excise duty, and nearly 183 million yards under claim for refund of duty. In respect of about 138 million yards out of the latter quantity, refunds amounting to Rs. 54 lakhs have been already made.

SCHEDULED CASTES PERSONNEL IN DEFENCE MINISTRY

88. Shri M. R. Krishna: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Extra Temporary Establishment personnel belonging to the Scheduled Castes who have been promoted to the posts of lower division clerks and upper division clerks on the recommendations of the Pay Commission; and

(b) whether any special consideration was shown to them in the promotions?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Shri Satish Chandra): (a) On the introduction of the prescribed scales of pay, the pay of all E.T.E. Clerks was fixed in the grade of U.D.C. or L.D.C. on the basis of the daily rates of pay drawn on the date of election of the unified scales prescribed in 1944. The pay in the new scales was fixed as U.D.C. if according to daily rates a
person was placed in 'A' Grade and as L.D.C. if he was placed in 'B' or 'C' Grade. These were not cases of promotion.

(b) No special consideration is shown to members of the Schedule-C Castes in the matter of promotion. The orders regarding reservation of vacancies in favour of members of the Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes do not apply on the basis of seniority and/or merit, as the case may be.

**TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH**

89. Shri Gidwani: (a) Will the Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research be pleased to state the yearly grant paid to the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay?

(b) What is the yearly amount paid by the Tata Trust to the Institute?

The Minister of Education and Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Maulana Azad): (a) and (b). A statement giving the required information is placed on the Table of the House. [See Appendix III, annexure No. 18.]

**NATIONAL CADET CORPS**

90. Shri Gadilntrana Gowd: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have constituted an advisory committee in connection with the selection of National Cadet Corps in Andhra State; and

(b) if so, its personnel?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Shri Satish Chandra): (a) A Committee has been constituted under Rule 42 of the N.C.C. Rules and its functions are given in Rule 43 of the N.C.C. Rules.

(b) A statement is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix III, annexure No. 19.]

**कोई प्रतिष्ठान आवश्यकता सहायता**

91. सेठ विश्वलिंग तिग्न्हु : क्या विश्वलिंग मंत्री यह बताने को कुछ करेंगे कि :

(क) कोई प्रतिष्ठान, राकाफ्लय प्रतिष्ठान और अमेरिका की अन्य ऐसी संस्थाओं ने भारत में सामुदायिक परिस्थितियों, माध्यमिक तथा उच्च शिक्षा लोक स्वास्थ्य तथा विकास आदि के समक्ष में कुल कितना राशि दी है; और

(ख) विश्वविद्यालय के अतिरिक्त उन्होंने क्या सहायता दी है?

The Minister of Finance (Shri C. D. Deshmukh): The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.
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HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE
Tuesday, 2nd March, 1954.

The House met at Two of the Clock

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS
(See Part I)

3-2 p.m.

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

Mr. Speaker: I have received the following message from the President:

"I have received with great satisfaction the expression of thanks by the Members of the House of the People for the address I delivered to both the Houses of Parliament assembled together on the 15th February, 1954."

MESSAGES FROM THE COUNCIL OF STATES

Secretary: Sir, I have to report the following two messages received from the Secretary of the Council of States:

(i) "In accordance with the provisions of rule 125 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Council of States, I am directed to inform the House of the People that the Council of States, at its sitting held on the 23rd February, 1954, agreed without any amendment to the Government of Part C States (Amendment) Bill, 1953, which was passed by the House of the People at its sitting held on the 23rd February, 1954."

(ii) "In accordance with the provisions of sub-rule (8) of rule 182 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Council of States, I am directed to return herewith the following Bills, which were passed by the House of the People at its sitting held on the 24th February, 1954 and transmitted to the Council of States for its recommendations and to state that the Council has no recommendations to make to the House of the People in regard to the said Bills:


BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Speaker: We will now proceed with the general discussion on the Railway Budget. As regards the discussion on the report of the Industrial Finance Corporation Enquiry Committee, to be taken up at five o'clock, I presume all Members who wanted copies of the report of the Committee have received those copies.

Some Hon. Members: No Sir.

Some Hon. Members: Yes Sir.

Mr. Speaker: I am not clear as to whether it is 'yes' or 'no'.

Some Hon. Members: No Sir.
Mr. Speaker: I understand that an announcement was made in the House yesterday and notice was put up on the Notice Board. May I know how many Members really called at the Notice Office and did not get copies?

Several Hon. Members rose—

Shri Radhelal Vyas (Ujjain): As soon as the announcement was made, I had been to the Notice Office. Before some of us went there, copies had already been distributed to some of the Members. Not a single copy was available there.

Mr. Speaker: The only course is further supply of copies if possible.

Several Hon. Members: Yes.

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shri A. C. Guha): We have supplied sixty copies: 40 copies to the Notice Office and 20 and previously five to the Library. In all, we have placed 65 copies at the disposal of the Members.

Some Hon. Members: Out of 500.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. How many more copies can be spared?

Shri A. C. Guha: Yesterday, your direction was that we need not supply copies to all the Members and that some copies may be supplied. It is only a two-hour debate. At the most ten or twelve Members can take part in the debate.

Shri Bansal (Jhajjar-Rewari): May I suggest, Sir,...

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Let us not take time on this and reduce the time for the discussion on the Budget. Is it possible to have more copies? How many more copies can he spare?

Shri A. C. Guha: I think some more copies can be made available. How many copies will be required?

Mr. Speaker: It is not a question of two-hours or one-hour debate. Which ever hon. Member wants to speak even for five minutes, he must have a copy of the report. If it is not very inconvenient let us have the debate postponed till day after tomorrow. In the meanwhile copies may be supplied today.

Several Hon. Members: Yes.

Shri A. C. Guha: How many copies would you like, Sir, to be made available?

Several Hon. Members: To all Members.

Mr. Speaker: To as many Members as want the copies. It is very difficult to say how many. That means, now if this discussion goes off till day after tomorrow, another question arises which was raised by the hon. Member Shri Ramachandra Reddi, as to whether there would be any extension of time for the General Discussion on the Railway Budget.

Some Hon. Members: A day more.

Mr. Speaker: It is not a question of one day more at all. There is no time. Members have to take an overall picture of the business before the House till the end of the session and adjust within that time. There are so many ways. Would it be possible to spare the question-hour by dropping the questions?

Several Hon. Members: No, no.

Mr. Speaker: Then, we may go on for some time, say, half an hour more.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee (Calcutta North-East): May I make a suggestion, Sir? On Friday, we have 2½ hours for non-official work. On this day, we may do without what is put there in the programme and we can have this discussion instead.

Mr. Speaker: Instead of non-official business?

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Yes.

Mr. Speaker: If the Members are agreeable, I have no objection. The List of Business has been circulated. If the Members whose business is put up there are agreeable, we may proceed that way.
Some Hon. Members: We may take a decision day after tomorrow.

Mr. Speaker: The difficulty is that if the decision is postponed till day after tomorrow, we shall not be able to have one hour by dropping the questions. That is why I put up the suggestion today.

Shri K. K. Basu (Diamond Harbour): The Minister's reply can go to the next day.

Mr. Speaker: It comes to prolongation of the whole programme.

Shri K. K. Basu: In the mean time, Leaders of Groups may meet and discuss and come to some arrangement.

Mr. Speaker: We will go on. Otherwise, Members will have no time. We cannot hold it up indefinitely.

Shri A. C. Guha: Then, Sir, the discussion on the IFC Report is postponed till the 4th?

Mr. Speaker: I have no objection.

Shri A. C. Guha: What am I to understand from the discussion? If I am to supply copies now, I am ready if you so wish. We can have the discussion even today. If you want it to be postponed to the 4th, I have no objection.

Mr. Speaker: My idea in taking up this question at this stage and suggesting postponement till day after tomorrow was to see whether we can take advantage of the question-hour and get one hour more. But, as the hon. Members do not want it, they may have the discussion today even. I do not mind.

Shri Ramachandra Reddi (Nellore): May I also point out that the views of the Board of Directors of the Industrial Finance Corporation have also been published by the Government of India. Copies of this have not been circulated among the Members. At least a few copies of this might be supplied.

Mr. Speaker: That is a new request which the hon. Minister might consider. Anyway, we are not having the discussion today. It might go on on the 4th now.

Shri A. C. Guha: There is another difficulty I would like to bring to your notice. I think we have given about 40 or 50 copies to the Notice Office. How many more copies would you direct me to supply?

Mr. Speaker: I think the best course would be for Members who want copies to have a request for a copy made in the Notice Office. Their names will be registered, say up to 4 o'clock, and as many copies as are requisitioned will be supplied. That will be the only solution.

COMMITTEE ON PRIVATE MEMBERS’ BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

PRESENTATION OF THIRD REPORT

Shri M. A. Ayyangar (Tirupati): I beg to present the third Report of the Committee on Private Members’ Bills and Resolutions.

RAILWAY BUDGET

Kumari Annie Mascarense (Trivandrum): Yesterday I was referring to the condition of the Railway Department at the time the Congress took up the administration.

[MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]

I was pointing out how the Railway Department presented a complicated problem and how the Congress administration had handled it, how the integration of the States and the partition of the assets and liabilities had affected the Railway system and how the Congress had handled the situation in such a way that today we have got a regular well-organised Railway system. Be it said to the credit of the late lamented Shri Gopalaswami Ayyangar that he manned it during strenuous times and got over the difficulties and laid the foundations of a Railway system which would have done honour to the most advanced and highly developed countries in the world. Thus far and no farther.
[Kumari Annie Mascarenhas]

This is a major scheme of nationalised industry, a large undertaking of a public utility concern with a separate financial entity. I examined the facts and figures at my disposal and compared them with the facts and figures of the past, and I regret to submit that the Railway Department has deteriorated in recent years. Nay, we have fallen far below the earning capacity of crucial times such as 1948. The surplus during 1948 was Rs. 9.85 crores whereas in 1954 it is Rs. 9.48 crores and in 1955 it is estimated to be Rs. 9.3 crores. Between these years we had risen to peak heights of earning capacity, so much so that in 1952, we had Rs. 21.85 crores and in 1953, Rs. 24.87 crores. There has been no great difference between the expenditure and the income during these four years respectively and proportionately. I expect the hon. Minister of Railways to explain the situation.

Then I come to the contribution made by the Railways to the General Revenues of the nation. The Railways being a major national undertaking with investments running to crores and crores of rupees, we expect the natural economic consequences of the Railways yielding a fair return to the General Revenues. The Railways are an earning department, unlike the other departments like Defence, Education, Health, Judiciary etc. The Railways being a source of revenue to Government should enable Government to run successfully the other departments which have more of expenditure than of income.

The resources at our disposal are rather disappointing. In 1950, the income was Rs. 225.15 crores, and the contribution to the General Revenues was Rs. 32.5 crores or about 13 per cent. In 1954, the income is Rs. 272 crores, and the contribution to the General Revenues is estimated at Rs. 35.5 crores, i.e. 13.5 per cent of the earnings. Midway between these years, the contribution to the General Revenues fluctuates between 12 per cent. In 1951, 13 per cent in 1952, and 12½ per cent. in 1953. We are told that the years 1951, 1952, and 1953 are years of peak earning, and yet we find that the contribution to the General Revenues has not in any way increased, but fluctuates between 12 and 13 per cent. However much money may be flowing into the Railways, we find that the contribution to the General Revenues does not exceed 12 or 13 per cent. I wish to know why this is so.

This is clear proof that there is no healthy functioning of this department. The idea of the state undertaking a monopoly is not only for the efficient running of it, but also for the profitable running of it. But in this department, we find that there has been so much of waste, carelessness, indifference and irresponsibility on the part of the officers, with the result that a large part of the income is being wasted.

We have heard in this House that there are thousands and lakhs of ticketless travellers. We have also heard in reply to questions that accidents due to fire or other causes have destroyed railway goods. We also heard the remarks of censure from the Public Accounts Committee, which very often passes a vote of censure on the Railway Ministry. I would like to invite the attention of hon. Members to page 5 of the fifth report of the Public Accounts Committee on the Appropriation Accounts of the year 1949-50 where they say:

“The Committee regret to observe that the various irregularities disclosed in Para. 19 of the Audit Report in regard to the payment of compensation claims to the contractors......are marked by wastage, neglect and incompetence of the Railway Officers who had handled the case......The Committee also observe that the Officers especially the senior Officer of the rank of Deputy Controller of Stores through whose negligence the pilferage of stores was facilitated especially at a time when commodities like......”
"The Committee regrets that the Ministry should have failed to consult the Comptroller and Auditor-General in introducing any changes in the accounting structure... etc."

These are clear proofs coming from the Public Accounts Committee whose membership consists of a majority of Members from the opposite, a committee appointed to scrutinise the finances of the State, and this is their verdict. Therefore I request the hon. Minister to explain why the contribution to the General Revenues has fallen so low.

Then, Sir, we come to the achievements of the Department. To do justice to the Minister, I must say that there are achievements in this Department. They have begun to produce goods for the railways, they have developed lines on zonal systems etc. etc. and for that the Minister deserves credit. Still, looking at the earnings we had for the last seven years—I have gone through the budgets carefully—I find that adding up our earnings, they come to Rs. 1670-07 crores as income, Rs. 1267-9 crores as expenditure and Rs. 93-78 crores as surplus. I have added up all the income and expenditure for the last seven years and this is the result. Though the Department have great achievements those achievements are not in proportion to our earnings and expenditure.

Then with regard to zonal development, they have not done justice to it. If you look at the map of the railways, you will find that the railways in the north are so intricate and well-knit that they cross each other, and as you go further down, it becomes wider and wider till when you reach Travancore-Cochin State it evaporates altogether. Sir the Minister had already started a railway line of 96 miles from 1949 and in six years the Minister promised to complete 36 miles—in 1955! I wish to ask him why he had finished 171 miles of railway in Kandala-Desa with 31 railway stations and 311 bridges with the longest bridge—the Bana's bridge—which was inaugurated by our President on the 2nd October 1952. This is in 19 months and, Sir, in 7 years we are getting only 36 miles of railways. Perhaps it will take another 36 years to complete 96 miles! (Interruptions).

Then I wish to invite the Minister's attention to inconsistencies that I have seen in his speech.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Member has had sufficient time.

Kumari Annie Mascarene: I have five minutes more.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: No, no.

Kumari Annie Mascarene: It is only ten minutes since I started.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I think the other day the hon. Member took six minutes and today she has taken ten minutes.

Kumari Annie Mascarene: I want to draw the hon. Minister's attention to the inconsistencies in his speeches. There is a steady decrease of passengers; there is an increase in coaches, in wagons and in locomotives and yet there is overcrowding in the railways. How does this happen? I am unable to fit these things together. I am asking the hon. Railway Minister to reconcile them in such a way that there is no inconsistency. If I am mistaken, he may correct me.

Then, with regard to third class passengers. The Minister has risen to philanthropic heights by abolishing the first class. He has defeated even the Soviet people, who still maintain the class system in their railways. From the philanthropic heights I wish to ask him whether he can reconcile himself to third class passengers hanging from the roofs of compartments. I wish he could further see the women and children segregated in a single-compartment and struggling for existence. I have been myself travelling in the second class compartment. I wish to draw his attention towards the condition of the lavatories there. There is a pipe under the commode. I do not know for whose use it is. Persons of diminutive structure and magnitudes can easily go in and wriggle out. I can...
[Kumari Annie Mascarene]
tell the Railway Minister that the rest of the world is otherwise. I wish he will change it to the condition that it was formerly.

I want to draw his attention to a matter of serious concern. Accidents happen almost daily.

Shri R. K. Chaudhuri (Gauhati): On a point of order, Sir.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Let us hear the point of order.

Shri R. K. Chaudhuri: The point of order that I wish to raise is one of momentous importance. It is this whether a woman and a man in this House have equality of rights. Yesterday, I was cut short by the Chair and the hon. lady Member spoke for about ten minutes yesterday and nearly ten minutes today and she is speaking in spite of the Chair's remarks.

Kumari Annie Mascarene: If one accident happened in a month one can understand it; if two or three accidents happened in a year, one can understand it, but what can you say to a series of accidents, two accidents a day. We say that it is Nature that had caused it, that it was floods that caused it. I am asking you, when a bridge collapses under the train it is not the main but the criminal negligence of the engineers and officers, who look after the bridges. I just wish to remind him of a verse in the Gitā—

कार्यकरसादुस्त्वे हेतु प्रकृतित्वष्णूः
पुष्पः सुल्लुःसारां मोक्षवेच्छे हेतुह्रष्णूः।

For the rising of cause and effect, Nature is responsible; for causing pain and pleasure man is responsible.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Not woman?

Kumari Annie Mascarene: I'm only Government had been entrusted to her it would have been the best in the country.

Sir, the financial year has dawned with despair, diffidence and doubts because the intricate system of railways which resembles the network of the nervous system, threatens to collapse with a paralytic stroke. I wish to ask the Railway Minister, in view of the fact that the finances diminish every year, in view of the fact that we have got a construction programme in full swing, in view of the fact that the Five Year Plan has to be implemented, in view of the fact that there is so much of corruption and so much of irresponsibility in the department, how is he going to plan to go ahead in future? I wish to suggest to him that he must approach the people and be more with the people than with the officers, that he must visit the construction schemes himself and make an appeal to the people and listen to their complaints, that he must, at least once in a way, travel in the railways and make himself one with the common man so that they may have confidence in him, and that he may take stringent measures against those officers who bring down the reputation of the department and waste money.

Shri M. L. Agrawal (Pilibhit Distt. cum Bareilly Distt.—East): Railways are the biggest national undertaking of this country. They are indeed the life lines of India. On their proper administration depends the strengthening, improving, maintenance and extension of this vital service. Naturally, the Parliament and the country at large are deeply interested in scrutinising annually the stewardship of this department of public utility.

The hon. Minister of Railways, ever since he assumed his portfolio has been making Herculean efforts to reform the department, to give greater satisfaction to the users and the employees and to root out corruption, and if in spite of them the results are neither spectacular nor show appreciable improvement, the fault is not his. He has certainly succeeded in imparting a new and healthy tone to the administration.

The Ministry of Railways has supplied to the Members of Parliament copious literature in the form of books, booklets, reports, summaries, statements, maps and charts, besides the
Budget proper statements and explanatory memoranda to enable them to appreciate the working of the department and to understand the intricacies of figures running into millions. From these papers I have called out some information and I present it to the House.

Last year I had expressed my concern at the dwindling annual surplus and expressed my regret that the same was progressively shrinking and that in the current year it was falling to Rs. 9.31 crores, but the Budget presented reveals that in the revised estimates, it has further declined to the insignificant figure of Rs. 3.18 crores.

For over a year our thoughts have been engrossed in the First Five Year Plan and its implementation. The target of expenditure on Railways fixed for the Plan period 1951-56 was Rs. 400 crores, of which the Railways were to find Rs. 320 crores from their own resources, and the balance, namely, Rs. 80 crores, was to be supplied by the Government during the Plan period, but we find that the proportionate targets during the first two years could not be reached and they have fallen considerably. It is impossible, in my opinion, judging from the past performance, that in the next two years also, the total targets would be reached.

In the reports presented by the Railway Board and other documents which Members of Parliament have received, they have tried to show that great progress has been made in the administration of the department. From these very papers I present the following results.

In the matter of punctuality, we have not in 1952-53 attained the position we had in 1940-41.

The wagon-miles per wagon day registered a fall on the metre gauge from 32.4 in 1951-52 to 31.4 in 1952-53 and the net ton-miles per wagon day fell on the broad gauge from 463 in 1951-52 to 452 in 1952-53.

The average speed of goods train fell in 1952-53 from what it was in the preceding year both on the broad gauge and the metre gauge.

The average train loads on the broad gauge fell in 1952-53 to 469 from 487 in 1951-52.

The ratio of the unproductive shunting miles to the 100 train-miles of passenger and goods increased on the broad gauge in 1952-53 from what it was in 1951-52.

There was no appreciable decrease in the number of claims during 1952-53 from that in 1951-52, and in the words of the report of the Railway Board for 1952-53, "the crime position in regard to thefts and pilferages on Railways continued to cause anxiety." The net amount paid by Railways during the year 1952-53 on account of goods lost or damaged was Rs. 3,18,66,922 as against Rs. 2,91,80,867 in 1951-52. The number of claims disposed of in 1952-53 was 3,65,215 as against 3,82,638 disposed of in 1951-52. The number of suits filed in 1952-53 and those which remained outstanding at the close of the year 1952-53 was 24,296 and 14,598 respectively as against 27,952 filed in 1951-52 and 13,142 remaining outstanding at the end of 1951-52.

The number of suits filed in courts in 1952-53 and pending from the year 1951-52 was 24,296. Of these 14,598 were still pending at the end of the year 1952-53. Of the rest 6,923 had to be settled out of court by the Railways, and 1,288 were decreed after contest and only 2,153 dismissed. The claim of the Railway Board of prompt disposal and the elimination of infructuous litigation is hardly borne out by these figures. It is my personal experience that being indifferent and callous to the waste of public money officers-in-charge of Railway litigation have taken even the most frivolous and technical pleas to the High Court without the least regard for moral or equitable consideration.

The number of passengers detected travelling without proper passes or tickets during 1952-53 was 84,15,088 as compared with 79,00,918 in the previous year.
As compared with 1951-52 passenger earnings on all Indian Railways decreased by 9.55 crores or 8.57 per cent. of passengers carried and about 3,515 millions or 8.89 per cent. in passenger miles. The earnings for goods carried amounted to Rs. 143.80 crores as against Rs. 153.95 crores in 1951-52.

The total ton-miles dropped by 57 millions in 1952-53 as compared with that in 1951-52.

The percentage of loaded wagon miles to the total wagon miles dropped from 69.4 in 1951-52 to 69.1 in 1952-53 on the broad gauge and from 76.2 in 1951-52 to 78.1 in 1952-53 on the metre gauge.

We are much behind our rehabilitation programme. We have not been able to place as many locomotives, coaches and wagons as we should have and as we hoped we would be able to put on the line.

Nothing has caused more anxiety to the Members of the House and the public generally than the unprecedented large number of train accidents and the resulting disasters during the first six weeks of the year 1954. To name only a few, the Bhatinda, Raxaul and Mirzapur catastrophes have shocked the public mind with an intensity second only to that felt at the recent train accident at Jhimpri in Pakistan and at the Kumbh tragedy at Prayag. We have, however, no authentic figures to review the situation with regard to all the casualties due to accidents in the current year. Only for the period from 2nd January 1954 to 12th February 1954 have I got figures. There were in these few weeks not less than ten serious accidents in which sixty-six persons were killed and seventy three seriously injured. Damage to the Railway property was Rs. 5,15,532 which damage to private property is not known. In view of the growing frequency and the severity of these accidents and the appalling nature of loss to life and property, I wonder how the Minister is justified in saying that it should not cause any undue apprehension or alarm. However, the Enquiry Committee that he has appointed is a step in the right direction and perhaps its results may make travelling on Indian Railways more secure.

**Mr. Deputy-Speaker:** The hon. Member must make an effort to speak extempore and only occasionally refer to the notes.

**Shri M. L. Agrawal:** They were all figures, Sir. From the point of view of public safety the situation is continually deteriorating in the case of passengers, employees and strangers alike. The fatalities rose to 54 or 0.05 per million passengers in 1952-53 as against 38 or 0.03 per million passengers in the previous year. Similarly, casualties rose to 339 or to 0.29 per million passengers in the year 1952-53 as against 290 or 0.24 per million passengers in the year 1951-52. In 1952-53, accidents in railway workshops accounted for the death of eight and injuries to 20,477 railway servants or an increase of two in the former and of 2,517 in the latter as compared with the previous year. The total number of persons—passengers, railway servants and others—killed and injured during the year 1952-53 rose to 4,757 and 29,789, respectively from the corresponding figures of 4,214 and 29,650 in the previous year. I have given these figures not in any spirit of carping criticism but I wanted to show that there is no room for complacency and the Railway department should always be vigilant and try to reform the administration as far as possible.

The hon. Minister in his Budget speech last year announced his intention to appoint a Committee of Members of Parliament, and if necessary a few others, to investigate the prevailing corruption in the department. It was only after seven months after his announcement that the Committee was appointed. The Committee have issued a comprehensive questionnaire dealing only with the corruption indulged in by the staff in their dealings with the users only. There are different kinds of corruption and they are not always
visible to the casual eyes. Corruption among the railway employees is two-fold: one in their dealings with the users and the other in their dealings among themselves and with the Railway administration. Perhaps the Committee is precluded by the narrow terms of reference to enquire into this sort of corruption also. If that is the difficulty, the terms of reference should be widened so as to include all sorts of corruption in their enquiry. In connection with the other kind of corruption, I may point out that the complaint is that regular sums of illegal gratification and bribes are paid in making transfers and postings of inferior officers to other places by the superior officers. Then there are complaints that railway employees take private work from their subordinates. Then there are complaints about theft of coal from loco sheds. Wherever there are loco sheds, contractors, with the connivance of the fitters in charge steal coal. Such cases occur every now and then. At one station, I was told that the cinder contractor gave more than Rs. 1,000 as bribe per month to the railway employees and defrauded the railway of thousands of rupees every month. There is another complaint that the Medical Officers at the railway station do not look to the sufferings of the railway patients so much as to their private clients. Then there is the complaint that the railway officers do not deal fairly with the honest employees. The honest employees are given all sorts of trouble. The place is made too hot for them, while those persons who are in corrupt surroundings and act up to those surroundings are well off. I have no time to multiply these complaints, although I have got a number of them. Most of them I have given to the Corruption Enquiry Committee in answer to their questionnaire.

In this connection, I would only invite the attention of the House and the Government to Items 11, 12, 13, 16, 18, 20 and 22 of the fifth Report of the Public Accounts Committee for 1952-53 relating to the Railway Administration. These items deal with many things. I would only refer to two of the Committee's comments. One has been already referred to by the Lady Member who preceded me. I shall not refer to that one. The Committee has observed:

"In two cases even claims relating to items in respect of which the contractors had signed "No-claim" certificates previously were allowed to be referred to arbitration."

The second item mentioned by the Committee is as follows:

"The Audit Report shows that out of 371 contracts amounting to Rs. 2.09 crores, 300 works were given out at negotiated rates and without inviting open tenders."

Then as regards catering, I want to say that vending contractors at railway stations are making huge sums of profit by entering into deals with sub-vendors on payment of exorbitant premia who make up for their loss by supplying stale, impure, adulterated and rotten stuff and also by underweighing and charging high rates to the passengers. On the Northern Railway, the heirs of a deceased contractor automatically step into his shoes. This evil should be stopped and contracts for catering must be given only to the actual vendors or to vending co-operatives. In that way only, there can be any improvement in this regard.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Member should have his eyes only not on the paper but also on the clock.

Shri M. L. Agrawal: I will finish in two minutes. It is heartening to hear that the Railway Minister has issued instructions to extend the use of khadi on the railways. But would he stop at the use of bedsheets, table covers, dusters, hand towels and durries only or would he also enjoin upon the vast army of employees under his control to put on immaculate khadi and where uniforms are provided by the Department see that only khadi uniforms are given?

It is a matter of satisfaction that the Budget presents an impressive programme to provide better and more
amenities to the travelling public. I want to plead for some urgent requirements to be provided in the Rohilkhand section of the Northern and North-Eastern Railways.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The railways were 40,000 miles long, and now they are 34,000 miles long. If the hon. Member were to relate all the 34,000 grievances of the 34,000 railways, there would not be any time even if we were to sit for 34,000 days. I have already given him twenty minutes and I am not prepared to give him a second more.

Shri M. L. Agrawal: I shall conclude in half a second.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: No. I cannot allow him to speak even for half a second. Let his speech end without a conclusion.

I want now to make a suggestion to hon. Members. There are a number of hon. Members, but during all the Budgets I have seen that some hon. Members do not take part in the discussion to speak about general policies but they want to refer to individual grievances relating to their own particular constituencies. They generally finish within five minutes. I would like to receive chits from all such hon. Members who would confine themselves to some local matter. I will devote one full hour and allow some twelve hon. Members of this kind to speak. At the end of the five minutes, at whatever stage the sentence may be, whether it is a half sentence or a quarter sentence, I shall ring the bell and the hon. Members must resume their seats. So, let hon. Members send chits to me, and I will call them during the next one hour.

Shri Radhelal Vyas (Ujjain): It would be better if you allot time for particular items during the cut motion stage.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: That will also come later. But at present I shall call hon. Members who send me chits.

Shri Bansal (Jhajjar-Rewari): I have great pleasure in rising to share with this House the subdued optimism of the hon. the Railway Minister in the working efficiency and finances of the Indian Railways. The criticism which has been made on the floor of the House yesterday and today, and that which has appeared in the papers, consider the railways to be a commercial enterprise. They are a commercial enterprise—the biggest commercial enterprise. At the same time, the railways are the biggest public utility of the country and therefore, when we examine the performance of the railways, we have to take into account that they are both a commercial enterprise and a public utility.

I have been looking through the figures of the performance of our railways during the past years, and I am impressed with the progress that they have made after partition. Whatever figures you take—whether you take the figures of passenger train miles, or you take the figures of trains arriving in time and punctually, or you take the figures of freight train miles operated, or you take the engine miles per day, or you take any other figures—you will find that our railways have been consistently making progress during the past few years. I can quote any number of figures, but I do not want to bore the House with them.

Shri Nambiar (Mayuram): What about accidents?

Shri Bansal: I will come to them. Please hold yourself in patience.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: An accident is like an interruption.

Shri Bansal: I am worried only on one account. In the previous year, the hon. the Railway Minister gave the figures of wagon turn-round, but in this year's speech and the papers which have been circulated, I do not find those figures. May I suggest to him that, in order to make the position clear, such figures should also be collected, so that if there is any apprehension in the minds of the public that the efficiency
of the railways has gone down, it may be removed.

A criticism has been made that the gross traffic receipts have gone down and the industrial activity of the country has on the other hand been increasing. Looking at the figures, I find that the gross traffic receipts during the last two years have not decreased; in fact, they are slightly higher in 1953-54 than they were in 1952-53. They were lower about Rs. 20 crores less in 1952-53 as against what they were in 1951-52, but part of that difference is accounted for by an accounting procedure. In 1951-52, the figures included about Rs. 15 crores as earnings of the railways on account of transport of their own stores. Now, this figure has not been included in the subsequent figures and again, it cannot always be that the goods traffic earnings will go on increasing. After all, 1951-52 was a very good year in many other respects too. Then we will also have to take into consideration that in the past year we did not have so much of food import as we had in 1951-52, with the result that trains did not have to carry so much wheat from Bombay to other distributing centres. In one of the economic journals which has a wide circulation and good reputation, I find a reference is made that gross traffic receipts have come down by Rs. 15 crores although industrial production has gone up by ten per cent. Now, if this ten per cent relate to the last three or four years, then I have nothing to say. But, certainly, industrial production did not increase by ten per cent. In one year. The index of industrial production in 1952 was 128.9 and in 1953 it was about 134. The percentage increase will, therefore, be only slightly over five. Therefore, I think that the efficiency and the earnings of the railways have been quite satisfactory.

A mention was made yesterday that the reserves of railways are dwindling. I do not know from where the hon. Member who made that statement quoted the figures. I find from the explanatory memorandum that regarding the revenue reserve fund, the figure has actually increased from Rs. 33.77 crores in 1950-51 to Rs. 36 crores in 1952-53, Rs. 37.18 crores in 1953-54 and in the budget estimates for the coming year it is Rs. 38.36 crores. Similarly, the position of the Depreciation Reserve Fund is also not too bad. At least, it is not as bad as it was pointed out, because I find that whereas in 1952-53 it stood at 116 crores, in the estimates of the current year it is Rs. 107.86 crores and at the end of the next year it will be Rs. 98.16 crores. After all, these reserve funds are created in order to rehabilitate the railways, and in as much as the railways are being rehabilitated to the extent possible, the utilisation of the reserves from these various funds is a good thing. I do not think that any Member can complain that our reserve funds—particularly the Depreciation Reserve Fund—are being written down to the detriment of the railways. Then, contributions to the General Budget have also been more or less at the same level. In fact, for the coming year, the figures show an increase of Rs. 2 crores.

My main criticism of the hon. Minister's Railway Budget is regarding the developmental expenditure. In the Plan, Rs. 400 crores were allocated to be spent during the five years of the Plan. Before I say something else on the subject, I would like to know from the hon. Railway Minister as to how this small discrepancy in the figure occurred. In the Progress Report of the Five Year Plan of Indian Railways, on page 5, from table (1), I find that the expenses incurred on the railway plan in 1951-52 is given as Rs. 72.61 crores, in 1952-53 as Rs. 70.10 crores and for the remaining three years as Rs. 148.29 crores. But, in the Budget speech of the hon. Minister, these figures are slightly different. It is stated that in the current year, the expenses were of the order of Rs. 74 or 76 crores. I want to know how that discrepancy arises.
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That apart, the main point to which I want to invite the attention of the House is that the Railways are the biggest commercial assets of the country. The capital at charge is more than Rs. 800 crores. According to the
present valuation, in my opinion, it may be about Rs. 2000 crores. An amount of Rs. 70 or 80 crores that is now being spent will be hardly sufficient for rehabilitating the Railways. That is admitted even by the Five Year Plan. I want to know how the Government are going to develop our Railways to cater to the increasing requirements of the country during this Plan period. Of course population is increasing. It is quite obvious that during this period production is increasing; it is bound to increase even further. New sources of raw materials are being tapped. New industries are being put up and new demands are being created. How are we going to cater to all these requirements if we are not going to spend much larger sums on further development of these Railways?

The hon. Minister said that there are two limiting factors in regard to the development plan of our Railways. One is the availability of materials and the second is the availability of funds. As regards the latter, now that the Finance Minister is embarking on a bold policy of financing,—some Members call it deficit financing,—I should imagine that it would have been possible to increase the size of the Railway plan too. As regards the scarcity of materials, I am not so sure that even such stores as the Railways require could not be produced in the country, in larger quantities. I do know that the wagon manufacturing capacity in the country can be increased quite substantially. Although the report of the Engineering Capacity Committee is not yet out, I do not think that I will be letting out a secret if I tell the House that they seem to believe that about 50 per cent. of the engineering capacity is lying idle. I am sure that quite a lot of that capacity can be utilised for manufacturing essential Railway stores. It might be said that steel is not available. Only yesterday, a businessman, who certainly knows what is happening in the country, told me that certain varieties of steel are becoming unsaleable in the country. I do not know whether those varieties of steel could be utilised for wagon building and other purposes. But, I think that is a sphere which could be examined if only stores is one of the bottlenecks in the way of development of our Railways. If economic progress takes place in geometrical proportion and therefore we must take all possible steps to see that transport, after three or four years, does not become a bottleneck and put a stop to all our progress.

I saw in the papers today that the hon. Transport Minister laid down a list of priorities in the Council of States yesterday as regards the development programme. According to that priority he said he would give the first place to those places where heavy industries are being developed; he would give the second place to areas which were rich in minerals and which were, by coincidence, also backward; and he would give only third priority to backward areas like Rajasthan, Vindhya Pradesh, Orissa etc. I have no quarrel with this list of priorities, but I want to ask him most humbly whether he would like to leave the backward areas backward for ever.

I come from an area which is very backward, and one of the crying needs of that area is additional train mileage. The hon. Prime Minister was good enough to make a reference to that area recently in one of his speeches. I am particularly inviting the attention of the Transport Minister to this fact because even in our Community Development Projects backward areas have been neglected on the ground that progress should first be achieved in areas which are capable of showing bigger and quicker results. On that very ground Railways should not be kept away from these backward areas. After all, we have to distribute the prosperity in the country as equitably over areas as over human beings, and you cannot go on neglecting certain areas in every respect. The first primary requirement of these areas which are traditionally backward is a
few miles of railways and roads here and there, and if the hon. Transport Minister will give an assurance to the House to that effect, I am sure he will see a wave of enthusiasm passing through those areas. Particularly, I can give an assurance on behalf of my area.

I do not want to refer to the locomotive and wagon position that will be there after the Plan period. In spite of the best efforts that the Railways are making for more locomotives and for more wagons, I find that at the end of the Plan period the number of overaged engines and wagons will be larger than it is at present. It may be that the traction effort of our locomotives will be slightly more at the end of the Plan period. I understand it will be about 3·5 per cent more, but this is really not sufficient and this again underlines my plea to the hon. Transport Minister for increasing the size of the Plan not only to see that rehabilitation is carried out to the fullest extent possible, but that development takes place so as to cater for the increasing requirements of the economy of the country.

In connection with these backward regions, during the war a line between Panipat and Rohtak was dismantled. The Minister had included the restoration of this line, I think, in his last budget speech. Somehow this has not been taken on hand—I think because the claims of Chandigarh intervened. I would earnestly request him to restore this line and to connect it from Rohtak to Jhajjar and from Jhajjar to Rewari which is the most backward area and which I have the honour to represent in this House.

Only two more points before I sit down. We are all proud of our Chittaranjan Locomotive Works. They are producing very good engines. There were some defects in the beginning. They have been rectified. But I understand that the proportion of overhead to cost is a very high one. It works out to 1,300 per cent. It is quite understandable that when a concern is in the initial stages the overheads are bound to be high, but I suggest that every effort should be made to decrease these overheads and bring down the cost of our engines and boilers coming out of this workshop.

Another point which I wanted to refer to was about air-conditioned coaches. We are having on some lines air-conditioned coaches. Whenever I have travelled by these coaches I have found the greatest difficulty in getting seats. But when I got a seat and occupied a berth, actually I found that a number of berths were vacant. Recently I had occasion to travel in one of these air-conditioned coaches along with a high railway inspector; he was absolutely surprised to see that four berths were vacant, although earlier he had been told that there was no berth available. On another occasion, the General Manager of one of the light railways was travelling with me, and he had also the same experience; up to the previous evening, he had been told that not a single berth was available, but when we occupied our seats, we found that there were five berths vacant. I would suggest that this thing should be looked into.

I would also like to know what the effect of the introduction of the air-conditioned coaches is on the Budget of the Government of India, because now it seems that all our 'A' class officers have to travel by air-conditioned coaches. (Interruptions.)

Shri K. K. Desai (Halar): Why?

Shri Bansal: I think they should, but if the House thinks that they should not, at least to start with, you should find out how much addition it has caused to the Budget. If the amenities in the second class are going to be of the same order as in the first class, I do not see why all of us should not travel by the second class. But for the time being, I would like to know whether the hon. Minister has worked out the extent to which Government have been put to extra expenditure on account of the conversion of first class coaches into air-conditioned coaches for the sake of Government officials.

Lots of things have been said in this House about corruption. I know there may be corruption in the Railways as there is corruption in other sectors of
[Shri Bansal]

our life. But who is responsible for corruption?

Babu Ramnarayan Singh (Hazaribagh West): Government.

Shri Bansal: It is not a one-sided affair, and for members to come here and say that only the railway officials are responsible for corruption is not quite a correct thing. I would therefore urge this House to take quite a balanced view of things, and not to tar all our officers and workmen with the same brush. I know our officers and workmen in the Railways have been doing their best. If they have not been doing their best, we must make every effort to get the best out of them, without unnecessarily pampering them. The hon. Minister of Railways has stated that in the current year's budget as compared with the previous years, about Rs. 50 crores more are being paid to workmen by way of additional amenities, arising out of the implementation of the Gadgil Committee's recommendations, and similar other amenities. I am not one of those who grudge further emoluments and amenities to workers, but we must also see at the same time that the workers give their best to the Railways. In order that they may be encouraged to do that, we must also give them a word of cheer and encouragement from here. It would not do if we always criticise them in season and out of season, without appreciating the good work they are doing. I therefore, suggest that we ought to show some appreciation of the very splendid work our railway officers and workmen have been doing.

With these words, I once again share with this House the sober optimism of the hon. Minister, and congratulate him on the working of the railway system.

Shri G. D. Somanl (Nagaur-Pali): Sir, I would congratulate the hon. the Railway Minister at the outset on his having been able to present the Railway Budget with status quo so far as the fare and freight rate structure is concerned. We had seen several disquieting reports in the Press during the last few months that the Railway Board was seriously examining the question of another increase in the freight structure, specially of coal, and I am glad that these apprehensions have not proved correct, at least for the time being.

Having said so, I would also like to refer to the remarkable statement that the hon. Minister of Railways made in his speech that in the context of the short-fall of Rs. 60 crores and also in the context of the increase in the working expenses, he would examine the implications and practicability of making some adjustments in the fare and freight structure. But I am glad that the hon. Railway Minister yesterday in the other House said something about this statement, which means that an examination of the whole structure will be made and this statement does not mean that the freight structure will be revised upwards, but that the whole structure will be revised upwards and downwards after a proper examination of the whole matter. In this connection, Sir, I would like to suggest the appointment of an impartial and outside committee of experts to go into the whole question. I would recall that the present freight structure, when it was introduced in 1948, had created an upgrading of rates in several directions. Ever since then, there have been frequent occasions when the freight has been increased for so many reasons. I may specially refer to coal, the freight on which was increased by 30 per cent and the 12½ per cent rebate which used to be enjoyed by a selected group of industries was also withdrawn.

Now, Sir, the hon. the Railway Minister yesterday mentioned in the other House that the fall in the railway surplus was not due to the law of diminishing returns but due to the increase in the working expenses. But I would seek a clarification from him: in the context of such a drastic increase in coal freight, which constitutes almost 40 per cent of the total bulk goods traffic, and in the context of the rising production, both in our agricultural and industrial sectors, how is it that
the earnings from our goods traffic are remaining more or less static? My friend, Shri Bansal, just now referred to a certain decrease in these goods traffic earnings compared to the figures of 1951-52. I would, Sir, specially like to know as to how in spite of such an enormous increase in our production, both in the agricultural and industrial sectors, and also in view of the upward revision of the freight structure on so many commodities and specially coal, the earnings from the goods traffic have not gone up to the extent and to the proportion that they should have gone in the light of these factors.

I would, therefore, like to sound a note of warning that this aspect of the freight rate structure should be properly examined. Some hint was given by the hon. the Railway Minister last year that the statisticians and experts of the Railway Board would go into the whole structure. The facts and the picture presented to us do not show anything having been done in that connection.

Sir, so far as the examination of the present freight rate structure is concerned, I would like to make a submission that you can raise your revenue always by two ways. There is the short-cut method of raising the freight rate structure without going into the repercussion which it might have on the economic development of the country. That is purely from the revenue point of view. That is all right for a short period. But, there is another more sound and broad way of adjusting the freight structure to the needs of our developmental economic, so that, while it might entail some sacrifice in the initial period, yet, in the long run, it will stimulate economic activity to an extent which will more than compensate what little sacrifice the railways might make in assisting the economic development of the country. I would, therefore, when the whole case of the freight structure is being examined, specially refer to what the Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry, which is the premier commercial organisation in the country, had suggested. I would like to read a few sentences from the memorandum which they have submitted to the hon. Railway Minister sometime ago. They say—

"The new rate structure has been in operation for the last five years. It is time to adjust the impact of the new structure to development of industries. It is suggested that a small and compact committee consisting of an independent Chairman, one or two representatives of trade and industry and one or two officials of the railways may be set up to study the working of the new rate structure. The rate structure of a country should not be gauged from the revenue point only; it should be the special charge of this committee to examine the impact of the new rate structure on the development of trade and industry and to suggest modifications so as to make the structure of railway rates serve the needs of a developmental economy better."

Sir, when the whole matter, as the hon. Minister has said, is going to be examined, I would seriously suggest that it should not be like the previous period in 1948, when the rate structure was introduced after a departmental enquiry. An opportunity should be given to the outside experts and to the representatives of trade and industry, who contribute so much to the revenue of the railways, to have their say in the findings of this enquiry so that, whatever conclusions might be arrived at from this examination, may do full justice to the economic needs of the country. I hope, therefore, that when the whole matter is coming up before the Railway Minister, he would please look into the implications of doing something which may do justice from the point of view of our developmental economy and not treat the whole matter simply from the revenue needs of the railways.

Having said this about the freight rate structure, I would also like to draw the attention of the hon. Minister to the development, rehabilitation and expansion of our railways. Our experience—from trade and industry—has
been that the bottle-necks and difficulties still continue to obstruct the free flow of goods from one part of the country to another. I do not want to go into specific examples. But, I have no doubt that the Railway Board and the hon. Minister are aware of the difficulties and complaints which are brought before them, from time to time, from various parts of the country. At a time when the country is embarking on a bold development programme, it is highly essential that our railways should be rehabilitated and developed at a pace which will be in full conformity with the requirements of trade and industry. My friend, Shri Bansal, just now has drawn the attention of the hon. Minister and I know sufficient has also been said in the memorandum submitted by the Federation. Although the Minister has said in his speech that it is necessary to adopt a bold policy of development and expansion on the railways, still in the eyes of those who have been examining the rehabilitation and development programme of the railways it is not so and the programme which the Railway Ministry has in view, I am afraid, may not be sufficient to cater to the growing needs of transport in our country. The Federation has suggested that during the remaining period, Rs. 100 crores per year should be added to the rehabilitation and expansion programme of our railways. I think it should be possible at a time when the Finance Minister has said something about deficit financing, to explore the desirability of doing everything possible not to adversely affect the development programme of the country. I think it is time that the Railway Ministry should take it up with the Planning Commission and with the Finance Minister and press their needs so that, at the time when our requirements are growing, we may not be lagging behind to find that our economic development may not progress because of lack of railway facilities.

I find that so far as the development programme is concerned, the Railways have spent Rs. 131 crores in the two years 1951 to 1953 and another Rs. 78 crores is likely to be utilised this year. This means that a balance of Rs. 190 crores are to be spent during two remaining years of the Five Year Plan. As I said just now, I think that this amount of Rs. 190 crores should be raised to a level—after scientific enquiry—which will take note of the growing needs of our economy. At the same time, I would also like to suggest that the Railway Board and the administrative machinery they have got should take great care of the growing expenses of rehabilitation and development. Nothing is more important than the fact that every pie that we spend should be properly utilised and it is the special responsibility of the Railway Board to ensure that the huge development programme which the Railways have in hand should be executed properly, efficiently and economically.

Talking from the development point of view, I would also like to make a suggestion about the bottle-necks, that we have in regard to transhipments at four or five places in the country. I think it is possible to have some sort of mechanisation at these transhipment bottle-necks so that more wagons can be handled. In this connection also, certain suggestions have been made in the Federation memorandum. It may be some system of tilting of wagons for unloading or putting up some sort of conveyor system or cranes for the loading of wagons. This is not a very costly programme and should be given a top priority so that the bottlenecks at the various places may be removed at a very early date and more wagons may be handled by Railways by doing away with these transhipment bottle-necks now impeding the disposal of goods through the various centres of the country.

I would also like to say something about the backward areas. I do not want to repeat what Shri Bansal just now said about proper priority being given for the development of backward areas. Coming as I do from Rajasthan, I would like to draw the attention of the hon. Railway Minister that Rajasthan is a key province and that
it has got a long border with Pakistan. Nobody wants or advocates war, but in the context of the present situation, it is certainly a problem of strategic importance even from the defence point of view that the whole of Rajasthan should have proper communications. We have got a long border with Pakistan, and with such poor communication of roads and railways, Rajasthan is not safely placed, and it is not in the interests of the country even from the security point of view to leave Rajasthan in this manner. I would like, therefore, to impress upon the Minister of Railways that Rajasthan is very very backward and poor in communications and its further development is impeded simply because of the lack of communications throughout the vast area of this land. It is the special responsibility of the Minister of Railways to give attention to the development of communications in this area. I am distressed to find that even the schemes which were under the contemplation of the former small railway system that were working in this area have been put into cold storage. Of course, nobody expects that things will be done overnight or by magic, but there must be a sort of a systematic survey of the entire field of poor communications in Rajasthan so that, when resources are available, priority will be given to such areas which, from the defence and strategic points of view as well as from the point of view of preferential treatment for backward regions, are entitled to receive proper attention. I do not want to say much more, but I hope that the points that I have put forward will receive due consideration.

बी बलख : आपको लिस्ट से भाग मतलब?

बार राम सुभाष सिंह : यह जो बजट रेल्वे में संचालित किया, उस को में एक बहुत सच्चाई का बजट भनता हूँ और इसके लिये उसको बहुत व्यवस्था देता हूँ। उन्होंने देश के सामान्य रेल्वे का बहुत अन्य सब उपस्थित किया। इस बजट पर मे तीन फ़्रेंड्स के सबार करता हूँ। पहला बार जो रेल्वे का स्टेटवर अन्य ऐसा कि नहीं, दूसरे रेल कर्मचारियों में किस्मत निर्धारित है और उन का सुविधा के लिये किसी व्यत्यस्त रेल्वे विभाग की ओर से की गई है और दूसरी श्रीमान जी कि रेल्वे का सहयोग का कार्यक्रम क्या है? इन तीनों मृदुलकों से युक्त पूरा सत्ताओ है कि रेल्वे में संचालक का ध्यान हन तीनों बातों की ओर गया है।

लेकिन इस के साथ शाब ते माहे के बारे में कुछ सुधार देना चाहता हूँ। चारों ओर तीन धीरों के बाद निर रही है, लेकिन भाइयों में कोई कमी नहीं हो रही है। हां त्रीक ने लिखा में ती हो बहुत गुंवाह है कि उन का सुविधा दी जायें। तीन धीरों जनता के लिये कोई लाभ खिच नहीं उपस्थित किया गया है कि माहे की धर में कोई कमी होती या नहीं। मैं बाहुल्या कि माहे की धर में जी खेल बहुत कमी का आया।

शाही पहले पर जाने के लिये बड़े बड़े लोगों का सुविधा देना की अवधारणा है। यह जी उन्ति है कि विभागों की ओर दूसरे लोगों का कि कितना भी व्यवस्था सफल करेगा सुविधा वह गई है, लेकिन ने भाहा है कि ऐसी सुविधायों देश के उन लोगों को मे प्रदत्त की जायें जिन को न तो पहले पर मे जाना है और न कारण ऐसा करना है, तात्विक जनता को जो धार-पार, वातावरण के दौरीयों में सफल करे और
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कि हालारे रेल नंबर की ईमार ध्यान देंगे, और 
शासनबाज जो भी जो ज्यादा मूल्यै के 
काम करते हैं, उन के देखेंगे, जो कमेटी 
भी है, वह हाल पर ध्यान देंगे और इस बात 
की मान्यता करनी कि रेल बुलेटनांक आगे 
और अभियान न होने पाएं।

अब रेलवे कर्मचारियों की तरक्की का 
भावना है कि उन की सीमांतत्त्व का किस 
सरह से निघट नियामित जाता है। में भी 
रेल नंबर की बजट सीख वेंच रहा था, उस 
में विशेष अंतर्गत के लोगों के लिये नियामित 
कि फ़िलि 

“मात्र रेलवे अंतर्गत अपनी सरकार का बात 
नहीं” के स्थान में नहीं जाती है। ऐसा यह भी 
काम प्राप्त है कि कोई आदर्श में अंतर्गत 
टेम्पोरेरी रहता है। और अगर रहती भी है 
उस की अनुरोध अंतर्गत में पाये के लिये उस 
का आधा अभियान किया जायगा और 
इसके 5 साल के अधिक न होगा। यह बात 
में समय में नहीं जाती कि कभी तक समय 
कर हमे लोगों के लिये वांछन रहता है। 
अगर कोई आदर्श नंबर 15 साल तक अपनी अंतर्गत 
टेम्पोरेरी रहता है तो प्रयास अंतर्गत में 
के लिये केवल 5 साल हो गिने जायेंगे। 
इस 
से यदि यह बहुत आदर्श काम कर 
चुका है टेम्पोरेरी हैसियत से तो पहला चाहा 
तो उस की यह होता है कि वह इस 
में लिये विभिन्न तक अपनी अभियान जाती है, इस 
रात यह होता है कि उस की अभियान 
नींहरी 
की प्रयास अंतर्गत में जाने के लिये पांज साल 
से अभिक नहीं माना जायेगा। में बाहरा 
हूँ कि इस पर चित्त नियामित और नियामित 
रिख्यों तक कोई आदर्श काम कर चुका है 
उस की पूरी मंजूरी ही जाय और उस 
की तथ्यशास्त्रीय में वांछन कर लिया जाय।

इस के बाद में अभियान के निर्यात 
पर वह खूब कहता आता है। मुझे यह 
जान कर बड़ा सलाह है कि अंतर्गत 
यहो बात रहे हैं कि पहले जो दूसरे बात 
से भी सवारी के या मालामाल के, उन सब 
को जाता है एक बार भी साक्षात् 
हो गया है, उन के बाएं की कोई उम्मीद 
हो गया। आज रेलवे अंतर्गत और बात 
हो गई है कि वोगों देशों में आश्वासन 
स्वतंत्रता प्राप्त है और एक ऐसा 
दूसरे देश में जाय, अथवा पाकिस्तान और 
हिन्दुस्तान के बीच में। इस पर वह 
ध्यान देना चाहिए कि हम लोग इस में 
कहाँ तक सफल हो जाएंगे। यह 28 किसी 
बढ़ते हो सीजूता और उन को पाकिस्तान 
सरकार यहाँ नहीं आने देती, तो नहीं 
रहता आपसीसमझ से या भिन्नी और जान्दें। से पाकिस्तान 
को तो जानो के बिश्व व्यवस्था हो रही है उस 
की इजताब कर किया बुनियाद पर देते की 
सौंभाल रहे हैं। ऐसा तो अगस्त 
मुहूर्त है। 
सुरारा बातरा मुहूर्त है कि अमेरिका की 
दौरे में जिन सोशियों से आने को 
संभालना था वह भी नहीं आया। इस 
सब 
की वहूँ पर ध्यान रख कर मंचन के देवत्प्रेम 
को व्यापक बाहिये। इस के साथ
राम सुभाष सिंह

में कहा चाहता हूँ कि यह तो बड़ी अफ़सोस बात है कि रेलवे बोर्ड पर एक धीरे-धीरे धूरी,
कायम किया है और उस के बाद इस तरह के काम के देखरेख होने।

एक बड़ा विशेष निकाय यह है कि 
शाहीपुरावा राजस्व में बड़ा देर देर तक फ़स्की 
पढ़ी रहती है। मान लीजिए कि हिंदुस्तान 
बाहर की एक गाँव यहाँ से कलकत्ता के 
विशेषतः चलने वाले यहाँ दो-दो, तीन-छः दिन तक 
रास्ते के टेबलों पर ही फ़स्की रहती है। 

इस पर भी ध्यान देने की जरुरत है। रेलवे 
में जिस चीजें उड़ी आती हैं उन के लिए 
अलग-अलग जेनरल की हैं नियमक व्यवस्था 
की सुरक्षा अपेक्षाकृत रूप से आती है। 

लेकिन बात ऐसे बारे के जो लोग रेलवे 
सामना के देश भारत के लिए मुक्तरी रखकर 
गए हैं उन के बारे में बड़ा देर देर तक 
विचार कर ज़ाला लगाने में सक्षम होते हैं। इस 
पर भी ध्यान देने की जरूरत है।

में ध्यान कर कुछ बारे में कुछ कहा चाहता हूँ। संगम स्टेशन के बारे में असर 
चर्चा होती है। यहीं कहीं यही भी कहा जाता है 
कि संगम स्टेशन की नहीं लौटना चाहिए क्योंकि 
ना में भी देखा है कि संगम स्टेशन पर 
्भास के बारे में जाने के लिए पटना से या बायपुर से दिकट 
ही दिया जाता और संगम स्टेशन पर जाने 
के लिए इलाहाबाद स्टेशन से भी टिकट 
ही दिया जाता। ऐसी हालत में इस स्टेशन को 
लेकर उस पर लोगों सीड़िया-बखरी करके की 
कार्यार्थकता भी। इस से वहाँ पर फ़स्की 
ही रहती हैं, जो २००, १०० 
दौड़ घर करती है उस में भी बड़ा कमी 
हो गई है।

रेलवे तथा परिचालन मंत्री (भी एस० 
भी० शासी) : इलाहाबाद से संगम स्टेशन 
के विमे तेल्स चलाने गई। अप 
हिंते है कि टिकट नहीं दिया जाता था, यह 
आधार की बात है।

राम सुभाष सिंह : शहदूत टेल्स इलाहाबाद से संगम तक जाती थी, लेकिन 
'हां' से टिकट नहीं मिलता था।

भी एस० भी० शासी : वहाँ टिकट 
लेने हुए वह कहीं चाहती थी?

राम सुभाष सिंह : मान लीजिए कि 
में पटना से इलाहाबाद के लिए बड़ा 
टिकट के बारे, इलाहाबाद जाने पहुँचने जाने 
भी इसका प्रयास की कि हम को इलाहाबाद के 
बाहर संगम तक जाने दिया जाय और इस 
्भी टिकट को एकस्रोण कर दिया जाय, तो उस 
टिकट का एकस्रोण नहीं होता।

इस विकल्प थी। में मानता ह। कि यह 
एक असर्वदा विकल्प थी। तो यह तरह 
की काटनें थी, उन में से एक यह भी 
थी। तो में इस में कोई ठीक नहीं 
निकालना चाहिए, लेकिन भार फिर से स्टेशन 
का बड़ा व्यवहार लगा लगा कर निर्माण किया 
जाय तो यह बड़ा देखना चाहिए कि उस से 
्भी की काटनें में बड़ी हैं या घटती हैं। इस 
संगम स्टेशन के बारे में जो यात्री 
बड़ा टिकट चाहते थे और जो यहाँ जाने 
मानते तो उन दोनों की काटनें बड़ी है। 
तो इस से तो यही असर होता कि उस स्टेशन 
का निम्नांकण ही न किया गया होता और लोगों 
को आवाज में रहती कि जहाँ चाहें वह रहे। 
'भी बड़ा' में भी कमी होती।

इस के अतिरिक्त मैं फिर यह कहना 
चाहता हूँ कि रेल का जो भारी यह मांग लिया 
जाय। इस बारे में भी जुटा अनुमान में 
संस्कृति की वीरता जताती जा रही है उसे 
अनुपात में मानके में भी बढ़ी की जानी चाहिए।

मंत्री महादेव ने अपनी बार्स लोक में स्थीरता
Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Hon. Members have to wait till 7 o’clock!

Shri K. K. Desai: Sir, I have been following the debate in the House very closely. Some Members of the House took exception to either congratulating the Railway Minister or paying some tribute to him. Well, I would neither congratulate him or the Ministry or the administration, nor pay any tribute. But they do deserve appreciation from the House for the good performance they have shown not only during the last year but during a number of years since independence. I will quote facts and figures to establish whether the Railway Minister deserves appreciation or not.

Kumari Annie Mascarene: What about accidents?

Shri K. K. Desai: Accidents are accidents and they do happen in life, however much you or I may take precautions.

I think we have got before us complete statistics and figures from the year 1947 onwards, and those figures and statistics require to be read and interpreted properly before we pass any judgment on the performance of the Railway Administration since we have attained independence. Some of us who were in this House in 1947-48 had to complain bitterly about the financial structure of our railways. We did say that if the Government did not look to this problem, our railways would cease to exist as railways, because their financial structure had...
completely deteriorated. Rehabilitation had to be done to a large extent; the rolling-stock which had deteriorated completely had to be renewed and the tracks which had more or less gone out of work for some time also had to be renewed. In 1947-48 some of us brought to the notice of the then Railway Minister, Dr. John Matthai, these facts and asked him what he proposed to do. After our suggestions were made in the House, he became the Finance Minister and the hon. Shri Gopala-swami Ayyangar became the Railway Minister. Thereafter, a Convention Committee was established and that Committee has given a report which has been implemented by Government, so that now the financial structure of our railways has been put on a sound basis.

Let us see what was the position in 1947-48. The figures, in my opinion, are very clear. At that time, including all the reserves we had about Rs. 116.36 crores, whereas at the end of March 1955, we will be having about Rs. 150.69 crores. But that is not the full story. During these five or six years, we have spent some Rs. 264 crores for the renewal of the rolling-stock and for the rehabilitation and renewal of the railway track, and this amount has been spent from our own resources, that is, from the resources of the railway earnings during this period. Even after spending these 264 crores, final balance that we have is about Rs. 150 crores.

There is one other item that escapes the attention of the critic generally. Whereas we were spending for repairs and maintenance round about Rs. 50 crores then, today we are spending Rs. 68 crores. That also comes out of the revenue. So, taking everything into consideration I come to the conclusion that the financial structure of our railways has considerably improved and we are on the way to a very sound position. Some critics have placed before the House the fact that the contributions from the railways to the General Revenues has gone down. Let us see what the figures say! Before the war, or even after the war for a year or two, the contribution by the railways to the Depreciation Fund was in the neighbourhood of twelve to thirteen crores of rupees. Now, every year without exception, we are taking thirty crores or more. That comes out of the surplus and to that extent the surplus is bound to go down. But, that depreciation amount of Rs. 30 crores is going towards the renewal of our rolling-stock, additions and also put in some capital expenditure. Apart from that, as per the Conventions Committee's recommendations, the railways are paying Rs. 34 crores by way of interest or dividend to the capital at charge. Now whatever amount as dividend, is made available to the General Revenues, as you will find from the figures—I do not want to go into the details—and it goes on increasing. That means that for every rupee that Government invests in Railways, is contribution and gets its fixed return in the form of dividend. Next year it is going to be Rs. 35 crores or a little more than that. So, from all the facts and figures which I have placed before you, the House will be convinced that today we are very much better off than what we ever were. That means that we can make progress in the future with ease and confidence. Therefore, I would like to join issue with the Minister. Where an industrial or commercial undertaking is in such a sound position, it can certainly be in a position to take risks. I should therefore suggest that the hon. Minister must accede to all the demands that have been made in this House for the expansion of the railways. The old criterion of constructing a line if it can pay, should be forgotten now. We are developing this country and any railway line that may be projected in a backward area or in an area which is not industrially developed will be, in my opinion, a very sound investment. Immediately the railway gets into that area, it will economically and socially develop and for the future it will also give good earnings to the Railway Administration. These are the points which I would like to place before the House.
with regard to the financial structure of our railways.

Now, Sir, I will come to the question which has been agitating the minds of various people, either way. When the first class accommodation was abolished there was a hue and cry. I am very glad that this country has now got itself accustomed to the abolition of first class. The other day, in the other House, the hon. Minister stated that he believes in two classes, thereby suggesting that the accommodation and amenities in the so-called third class should be improved. What I understand from him is that the third class travel should approximate to the inter class travel and the upper class travel should approximate to second class travel. If that is the intention, I would congratulate him. In the course of the discussion today, Shri Bansal suggested something about air-conditioning. May I tell the House what air-conditioning means? I am one of those who believe that air-conditioning is not necessary. Air-conditioned coaches may be necessary for attracting rich people from rich countries to travel. But, they must pay for it at a higher rate. Let us see the economical aspect of third class and air-conditioned coaches. A third class coach with about 72 or 80 seats may cost about Rs. 105,000 or Rs. 110,000 whereas an air-conditioned coach would cost, at the present price, about Rs. 260,000. That is, it costs about \( \frac{2}{3} \) times as much. If both these coaches are full, we will earn more or less the same amount provided the air-conditioned coach is full: that is, Rs. 2-4-0 or 2-5-0 per mile for a third class coach and for an air-conditioned coach with fourteen berths. But, we have spent \( \frac{2}{3} \) times the amount for that particular coach. If I do not make a mistake, in the air-conditioned coaches, the occupation ratio is hardly 60 per cent, if not less, while in the case of the third class coach, the occupation will not only be 100 per cent, but even more.

Shri Nambiar: Two hundred per cent.

Shri K. K. Desai: On the whole the capital investment will earn only one half in the case of air-conditioned coaches. To that extent it is subsidised by the third class passengers. If somebody wants to have air-conditioning, he must pay the full fares.

Shri C. D. Pande (Naini Tal Distt. cum Almora Distt.—South West cum Bareilly Distt.—North): Why do Members want air-conditioning in this House?

Shri K. K. Desai: This is a commercial concern. It must take the full fares if anybody wants to travel in air-conditioned coaches.

It has been stated by the hon. Minister that we would be procuring about 16,000 wagons, 10,000 wagons from indigenous production and 6,000 from external sources. I think they will not be able to cope up with the demand, and they will always say there is bottleneck here or there because of shortage of wagons. Some people of experience have made a suggestion to me and I will pass on that suggestion to the hon. Minister. They say that in all countries loading and unloading of wagons is done for 16 to 18 hours a day whereas our loading and unloading closes at five o'clock. I do not know the working of the system; but for what it is worth, I am placing this suggestion before the Railway Ministry to explore whether loading and unloading in bigger stations like Howrah, Kanpur, Bombay, Madras, Ahmedabad, etc., may not be undertaken for longer hours as a trial so that we may be able to release more wagons for carrying our goods traffic.

The hon. Minister has said that for the year 1954-55 our goods earnings will be more or less the same amount. It is good that he has made a rather conservative estimate. Some people say that these earnings would not come up to that level, but will go down. But, I feel that in view of the economic activities that are going on, in view of the fruits of the developmental work that is going on—we are only in the initial stages in the first two or three years of the plan, goods earnings will go up to a certain extent. It may not be possible to say the same thing about passen-
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gger earnings, but I believe we have got a brighter future as far as goods earnings are concerned than what the hon. Minister has stated.

There have been also criticisms about the regrouping of Railways. The hon. Minister has very clearly stated that it is too early to judge the results of regrouping, but whatever figures he has supplied to us prove that at least the operational working has not deteriorated. If it has not got any advantage, it has at least not deteriorated. We must wait for a year or two before we can pass a final judgment on regrouping. But there is one advantage which at least I see and that is that instead of the Railway Board and the Railway Ministry dealing with 13 administrations they have now got to deal with only six administrations. By this, in my opinion, the supervision of the Railway Board and the Railway Ministry has been lessened considerably and to that extent efficiency is bound to increase whether that can be shown by facts and figures or not. Regrouping came into existence two years back in some Railways, and only last year in some Railways, but the operation and the results have not deteriorated, have not in any way shown bad results.

Last year I had occasion to place before the hon. Minister the suggestion that the capacity of our workshops is not being utilised fully. He has announced in his speech that he is setting up a Committee of officials to look into the capacity of workshops. May I suggest to him that it will be far better if he associates some non-officials with that Committee so that they can bring to bear upon the question a little fresher and detached outlook?

Much has been said about corruption. There is corruption. Nobody denies that. Some hon. Members stated that though there was accommodation in a particular coach it is refused, and it would be given if a couple of rupees is paid. A Committee has been appointed and we shall await its findings. Acharya Kripalani is the Chairman of the Committee. He will certainly look into these questions. But the terms of reference of the Committee, in my opinion, are somewhat limited. I would suggest to the hon. Minister to widen the terms of reference. Apart from the extent of corruption between Railway officials and the public, the Committee should also enquire into corruption inter se among the Railway officials, or the corruption which some of the Railway officials may be indulging in in relation to purchasing of shares or giving contracts for the supply of Railway goods. I think it would be much better. Let the Committee find out if the allegations of corruption are true or untrue and to what extent so that we may not waste so much of our time in the House discussing these charges indefinitely without any results. Let the Committee presided over by Acharya Kripalani look into all these questions so that we may get a clear picture of the situation.

Shri Dhulekar (Jhansi Dist.—South): What is your idea? Is there no corruption?

Shri K. K. Desai: I never said that there is no corruption. I said that there is corruption. I am surprised at the question. I say it is there. Let us find out to what extent and how it should be remedied.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Member will kindly address the Chair.

Shri K. K. Desai: There is one other matter to which I would like to refer. It is with regard to the industrial relations that exist between a million of the railwaymen and the Administration. Last time the hon. Minister expressed the hope that the amalgamation of the two Federations would take place. It has taken place and the situation has improved considerably. I may have got a lot of grievances regarding some affairs, but now that the negotiating machinery has been instituted a year or two back, this is not the forum for ventilating those grievances. It is for the negotiating committee to go into the grievances and get them settled. It has also now been decided that
on any difference of opinion that may arise between the Railwaymen's Federation and the Administration an ad hoc tribunal can be appointed. One such ad hoc tribunal is now sitting to look into this question.

Before I close, I would like to mention one thing. The hon. Minister has in his speech paid a tribute to my late lamented colleague Shri Hariharnath Shastri. May I say that the morale of the personnel of the Railways has considerably improved, and Shri Hariharnath Shastri made considerable contribution towards this end.

Shri Nambiar: I have to submit that I have no thanks to offer to the hon. Minister of Railways for the third Railway Budget he has presented to this House, for four reasons: The first reason is that the railway finances are at a stalemate, they have not improved, and the figures in regard to the earnings show that. The second is that the rehabilitation programme which he had promised is not being kept up, and even at the end of the Five Year Plan, there will be no material change in the position of the rolling stock. I can quote the figures supplied by him in this connection. The third point is about amenities so far provided to the passengers, it is clear that the amenities are not at all improving, and the suffering of the passengers still continues. Fourthly, the railway staff are getting more and more discontented. Taking all these things into consideration, if we look at the Budget and the way in which the hon. Minister of Railways has presented the same, we shall find that there is nothing to congratulate him for or to be complacent about.

Coming to the earnings of the Railways, the figures for the earnings at the end of 1953 were Rs. 272 crores, while the earnings at the end of 1954 are Rs. 270 crores, and at the end of 1955, the hon. Minister has promised an earning of Rs. 273 crores. This is what is happening in the Plan period. If during the Plan period there are to be industrial activities to the extent we require, the earnings should have been more. Of course, the hon. Minister takes credit for the fact that he has halted the dwindling of the earnings. To that extent, if he feels pleasure for having done so, he can take credit. Otherwise, there is nothing else to his credit.

The figures supplied show that even at the end of the Plan, there will be about 1,985 engines unfit for use as against 2,554 we had originally. If this is all the improvement that the hon. Minister has been able to show after spending nearly Rs. 400 crores under the Five Year Plan, I would say that this is no improvement at all. The same is the position with regard to coaches also. The hon. Minister has stated this if only all the orders are met. But that is a big 'if', as we know, under the present circumstances. The same is true of wagons also. Last year, the hon. Minister promised that at the end of the Plan, there will be no wagon running which would be over-aged. But now he says that that may not be the position, and there may still be some wagons unfit for use, to the tune of nearly 20,000 at the end of the Five Year Plan. This is the position, even if all the other things proceed satisfactorily.

So far as our production capacity in respect of Rolling stock is concerned, the TELCO produces in a year about 50 engines—of course, not all the parts. The Chittaranjan produces about 120 engines in a year—not all the parts, but only about 70 per cent. of parts. I think the figure has now increased to 80 per cent. It is just like a man's body without a head, where only a small percentage is missing, but it is the head that is not there. It is the same case here also. The Hindustan Aircraft Limited produce on an average about 100 coaches in a year. So far as the Integral Coach Factory is concerned, we take so much pride about it, but it is still under construction. In the Railway Centenary Exhibition, a model was exhibited, and after seeing that, one would have gone with the impression that production had started in the Integral Coach Factory. I know there are certain pillars coming up in Perambur which he calls as the Integral Coach
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Building Factory which will start production in 1955-56. Very good; let us wait for 1956.

Then, coming to passenger amenities, I need not add anything and take up my time because every hon. Member has referred to that. The position of the third class passenger and even the position of the inter class passenger is the same and I would request him that either he must reduce the fares and give us some relief or he must give the people some relief by way of amenities of travel. If he does not do either, then there will be a terrific calamity for him, because he takes a lot of money from the people and does not give convenience of travel. And then he claims credit for the performance that he has made! I do not understand the logic of this. It is only understandable to him and to persons of that policy and position. I would submit to him that he should explain the position clearly.

Coming to the staff, Sir, I have many things to say. He says that the position of the staff has improved by the increase of Rs. 33 crores to their emoluments during the last five years. He said it was Rs. 50 crores in a very cunning manner, I should say, if I may be permitted to do so....

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Member is not permitted.

Shri Nambiar: Then I will modify and say 'in a very clever way'. He mentioned Rs. 50 crores and then, of course, he says Rs. 10 crores go towards taking over the State railways. Then he says Rs. 7 crores go for fuel. This figure of Rs. 50 crores has also been misquoted by Mr. Bansal as having gone to the staff. This is the cleverness with which it is done. During the course of the last five years, the Central Pay Commission's recommendations were not fully implemented. Not only that; the Adjudicator's award was not implemented, and all that was due to the railwaymen was not done in practice. That is the position, Sir. In that situation, he gives these figures and then says that all these have been given to the railwaymen and there is no reason why they should grudge. But the reality is otherwise. His own figures show that out of the railwaymen, 52.4 percent. that is Class IV staff, had got a pay between Rs. 30 and Rs. 50 (basic). Again, according to his figures, 34.5 percent of the staff got a pay ranging from Rs. 55 to Rs. 150 after 21 years of service. This is the condition. If this is the condition in the railway industry, which is considered to be one of the premier industries and which is an industry of which the Central Government is the owner—and it has got a million workers—then what the lot of workers in the other sections in this country is can be understood. Therefore, there is no reason to say that the railwaymen are paid amply or reasonably. I say they are paid below the living level of the railwaymen's standards. I can quote instances. It is not because a Communist party man has said so. The INTUC, a Federation to which there was a reference made just now, itself passed resolutions saying that the CPC scales ought to be revised, an inquiry should be conducted and a Committee should go into the whole situation and see that Class IV and Class III staff are properly paid. It has not come from a Communist body. It has come from the very ranks of the Congressmen who top the INTUC today. What does it show? The railwaymen today......

Shri K. K. Desai: The question has gone to the Tribunal, whatever their grievances may be.

Shri Nambiar: No, Sir. It is far far away from the resolutions. The INTUC decided that there must be an enquiry into the pay structure of the railwaymen and they are not satisfied with the C. P. C. recommendations. I know the Union in the Eastern railway—the Central Committee—has passed a resolution to this effect. That is the position. I have occasions to know the hearts of railwaymen. They have passed resolutions and sent to the Railway Ministry memoranda. They have come to him hundreds and thousands, demanding:
a thorough review of the whole structure. On this occasion, I must add that the lowest paid railwaymen must get something better. The pay of the unskilled labourer, which is Rs. 30, must be increased; the class III men, clerks or technicians must get something more than Rs. 55. To that extent at least, there must be a revision. The Railway Board and the Railway Ministry should consider this seriously and not give it a passing reference. This is a very serious situation which is developing in the Railways.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: What is the sum total, the basic salary and allowance which the lowest paid employee gets in the Railways?

Shri Nambiar: The gang cooly, the lowest paid employee gets Rs. 70. Rs. 30 pay plus Rs. 40 dearness allowance. This has been split into three categories. He has got a pay of Rs. 30, Rs. 20 treated as pay—that is Mr. Gadgil’s presentation—and there is that category which is considered to be dearness allowance. There are so many reasons why these three are not put together—I will come to that later on. Now, what I submit is that the Railway Ministry and the Government must consider seriously the question of raising the salaries of the lowest paid employees.

My second request is with regard to the implementation of the recommendations of the C. P. C. They are so badly implemented that there are about 1000 anomalies. Every implementation creates an anomaly and all that is done at the cost of the staff. That is the very reason why the matter has been referred to a tribunal. The pay must be increased by applying the correct policy. The skilled men must get skilled pay, but they are getting semi-skilled pay and are asked to do skilled labour. The semi-skilled men are given the pay of unskilled men but are asked to do semi-skilled work. If properly examined, I can say that almost all the workers would naturally come under the semi-skilled category because there will be none left in the unskilled category after he has worked in the railways for three months because by then he becomes semi-skilled. In joining the fish-plates making the curves and crossings the work of the gangman is such that he can never be called an unskilled worker; it is absolutely wrong to say so. My complaint is that the implementation of the C. P. C. is not properly done. Of course, the Tribunal has been set up; God alone knows what will happen. Tribunals come and go but the poor railwayman continues to be in the same level. I am speaking out of my experience and I am not theorising.

I have also to submit some more grievances of railwaymen; the question of victimisation has been hanging fire for the last three years. Ever since this Parliament started, this controversy has been going on. There were 360 railwaymen victimised under the Safeguarding of National Security Rules, which are obnoxious. The leaders of the Opposition requested the hon. Railway Minister to reconsider this question and to reinstate them. He said he has reviewed and out of these 360 cases he has reinstated 30 men. I once again make a personal appeal to him through the form of this Parliament and through you, Sir, that he must have a sympathetic heart to reconsider the question. I am not interested in them for any political reason. He always thinks that it is so; he thinks every one of them is associated with the Communists or the Revolutionary Socialists or something like that or the Forward Block, and also now the P. S. P. after the Travancore-Cochin elections. But, I submit he should reconsider the question with a sympathetic heart. Of course he claims to have a sympathetic heart—and I am also prepared to admit that he is sympathetic. I would once again appeal to him to apply his mind with patience and sympathy. I also submit that the trade union policy, the policy towards labour should also be reconsidered. I am glad that Mr. Khandubhai Desai says that the Federation is working well; but I may say that the Federation is not working properly.

Shri K. K. Desai: It is a matter of opinion.
Shri Nambiar: It is a matter of fact; if it is a matter of opinion I would have agreed with you, but it is a matter of fact.

There are about 20,000 trade unionists in the Southern Railway who are refused either affiliation or recognition. I know, Sir, and you also know that our policy of treating these trade union men on the railway is not the correct policy.

I submit that this policy must be changed.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: While I am sitting here, hon. Members may take it that my mind is blank.

Shri Nambiar: If he says that his Federation and its affiliated unions are the recognised unions and nothing else, then he must prove to us that the affiliated unions have got the maximum support of the workers. Unless and until he proves it, he cannot claim that. What is proved is otherwise now. I have brought to his notice that there was a co-operative credit society election in the Southern Railway in which these unions contested, and the union to which he has granted recognition got 300 votes while the other union got 1,500 votes—these are realities and facts. Shri Khandubhai Desai knows these, but if he wants figures, I can give him. You cannot dictate a policy to labour; labour was never dictated to in the history of the labour movement in the world, not only in India, and let him understand the position. Ten lakhs of Indian railwaymen are not going to be dictated by a policy, which is the policy of the Railway Minister or of the Railway Board. The railwaymen will come forward and stand to the last to see that their interests are safeguarded. Therefore, he has to change his policy with regard to this matter. I made personal requests and I negotiated with him, but he thought it was not proper to accept them on the ground that it should be a federation of his liking. That cannot happen in this country and so, he will have to reconsider that point.

Coming to the question of the recent increase in the house rents, I say that this was an obnoxious increase in the case of the Southern Railway. The rents of the houses have suddenly jumped from Rs. 2 to Rs. 7, and from Rs. 3 to Rs. 9, but he gives us stories and rules and regulations to the effect that the minimum should be 10 per cent of pay. Whatever be the reason, there was an increase and that is there even now. He has brought in a change now to say that this increase will take place in four instalments of six months each, which means that after the end of two years, there will be the increase in full, which is a very serious cut in the wages of railwaymen. I have to request him to find out a formula how this can be avoided. With regard to houses constructed in future, let him decide as he thinks fit, but when the railwaymen have occupied the house and have been paying only Rs. 2 for over ten years, how can he increase it to Rs. 7? On the other hand, the houses have become bad and no proper repairs are carried out. Without any improvement, how can you expect to charge Rs. 5 in addition? This is a very good case for reconsideration and I do hope that the Railway Minister will deal with it with sympathy.

I have to say a few more words about the scales of the Central Pay Commission and I will give you a few more instances of anomaly. The stationmaster's pay starts at Rs. 64 and goes up to Rs. 150, and 86 per cent of the stationmasters are put in the lowest category. The stationmaster is supposed to extract work from a driver who is drawing Rs. 200 as pay, or from a guard who draws a minimum of Rs. 80. The general clerk and the goods clerk may be getting a pay of about Rs. 90. The general clerk and the goods clerk may be getting a pay of about Rs. 90. and the stationmaster, with a pay of Rs. 64, is expected to extract work from them as he is their master. This is an anomaly and so we have requested the Railway Minister to increase at least the lowest strata of the grade of the stationmaster, which, he says, cannot be done. There are hundreds of such anomalies which I can bring to your notice. The Railway Minister has to revise the scales of pay of such categories of staff who
are hard hit by the implementation of the Central Pay Commission.

With regard to the retrenchment of the temporary staff, the latest figure supplied is 1,174,448 out of a total of 2,250,000. Still it is stated that they are trying to absorb the temporary staff as quickly as possible. But the absorption is going on at a very slow pace. We feel that it should be done at a higher pace. That is all that I have to say in regard to that.

Sir, an innovation has been introduced recently on the railways of appointing security officers. I have not the least objection to the appointment of these security officers if it is made with a view to safeguarding the interests of the railways and protecting railway property. But these security officers of the rank of Deputy Inspector-General of Police appointed on each of the Railways are not meant to protect railway property, but to watch on the labour and trade unions. I can give several instances of this. Quite recently in Kharagpur the Vice-President of a recognised union was served with a notice under the National Safeguarding Security Rules on the plea that he is a communist. A Vice-President of a recognised Union to be a communist? Communism would be far far away from that union, farther even beyond Moscow! And then this man suddenly crops up in Kharagpur.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Does the hon. Member agree that if he becomes a communist he must be dismissed?

Shri Nambiar: Oh, yes, if he is a communist. He has dismissed all "communists" already. There is no question of communism hereafter on the Indian Railways; that has ended already. It may be in Travancore-Cochin, but not on the Indian Railways.

I may tell you, Sir, of another instance in Pakala. You may be interested to know about it because it is close to your place. P. W. sub-inspector Anantaraman was suspended under the National Security Rules on the ground of being a suspected communist. Only three months back the Railway Minister assured this House that the National Safeguarding Security Rules are not being resorted to. In spite of that these two cases have happened. There was a third case at Kalka, which I brought to his notice and which he was pleased to rectify immediately. He may similarly rectify these two cases too.

But the fact is that these National Safeguarding Security Rules are being still applied against railwaymen. These rules are a by-product of the appointment of these police officers. Their job is to see who is a communist, who is Red and who is black. Therefore, they are trying to find out the Red communists. I may say that communism is not only in red colour, but in all colours. Let the hon. Minister understand it now. This sort of thing must end.

There was recently a case of corruption in Golden Rock on the Southern Railway. A worker is alleged to have given a hundred-rupee note to an officer. The officer took it home at five o'clock in the evening, slept with that money; the next morning he comes and says: "There was an attempt of bribing me; therefore, I am paying back that money." The result was that the worker was suspended and the officer continues merrily. In fact the officer slept the whole night with that hundred rupees; he did not call the policeman, or report the matter immediately. This is the sort of corruption that is going on within the Railways itself.

With regard to corruption practised by contractors there is no end at all. Corruption enters in regard to every contract that is being entered into. If the Railway Minister would send any of his trusted men, I shall personally show him. So far as I am concerned, I am a communist and he will not believe me. Let him send somebody who is in his confidence. I will take him with me. I do not want a second class pass; I do not want to be in the Corruption Enquiry Committee; I do not want to be in the Railway Users Committee. They can give these positions to others—we do not worry. I am pre-
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...prepared to go spending my own money and help him to find out corruption among officers. But he must be bold enough to take action. I recently brought to his notice the case of an officer. I know the life of the railwaymen; I know the life of the officers; I have got a diary about many officers of the Southern Railway; therefore, I submit at the end that these are all not simple matters which I am putting before him just to picture as if the Railway is bad. It is not in that sense that I am putting; I am putting these things with honesty and I say these are facts I am not throwing mud at him—I have got all respect for him and in certain respects I can say he is better than many other Ministers—I can say that I only treated him in that way. It is a fact and I have dealt with many Railway Ministers. I have taught Railway Ministers good lessons and I may be in the liking or disliking of many Ministers. He must play his role properly; and if he takes such an attitude, a sympathetic attitude to labour, he can rest assured that labour will be taking his lead and I can give him that much assurance. I hope that the various points I have raised he will certainly consider sympathetically and dispassionately.

Shri Venkataraman (Tanjore): Sir, after the certificate which my hon. friend, Mr. Nambiar, has given, I do not think there is any need for anybody on this side of the House to speak and I feel sincerely that the Administration and the Ministry can very well congratulate themselves on having won the admiration of a party which admires nobody except itself.

I shall deal with the few points which Mr. Nambiar has just raised at the beginning of the debate itself before I take up the other points which I wanted to. I did not originally intend to deal with staff matters in this general debate because there are several ways of making representations on staff matters and a railway debate is not really the forum for presenting all the staff grievances. Trade unions exist in this country for the purpose of representing the grievances of the workers to the authorities concerned and there are many levels of negotiation—the district level, the zonal level and then we have the level at the Railway Board and if no settlement is achieved at that level, there is always recourse to the Tribunal so that to merely take out stray and isolated cases of grievances and then present them in this forum for the purpose of ventilating the grievances is hardly fair to the tax-payer and to ourselves.

[Panlal Thakur Das Bhargava in the Chair]

Mr. Nambiar said that the Railway Minister's policy with regard to the non-recognition of 20,000 workers or somebody whom he says he represents was fraught with dire consequences and he almost threatened the Railway Minister. May I ask Mr. Nambiar whether it is or it is not, or whether it has or has not been, the policy of the All India Trade Union Congress to agitate day in and day out that there should be only one Trade Union in this country? If that is the policy of the All India Trade Union Congress, I venture to ask: why does he place before this House a plea for the recognition of a smaller union when already the ideal of having a single Trade Union at the zonal level is in the process of achievement? We are well aware that the two great Federations have come together and formed a National Executive. At the unit level, the Railway Board is now insisting on merging of several unit unions into one Union. For that purpose, the Railway Board is, in my opinion, even taking drastic action on some of the units, which had not merged themselves and bringing about a unity by refusing to recognise them. Units which have not been recognised enjoy no right to make representations and are now being denied the right to make these representations because the Railway Board and the Railway Ministry insist on a single Union in each unit and in each zone.

Shri Nambiar: The Railway Board is forcing unity among railwaymen!
Shri Venkatacharsan: Undoubtedly, it has to. Otherwise, if we ourselves will fight like kilkennv cats here as well as outside and let down the cause of labour, somebody has to do so, and the Railway Board has taken up the responsibility. If Mr. Nambiar and his union of twenty-thousand, as he pretends, were to go against the entire policy of the two great Federations which have come together, is that small unit to stop the progress of railwaymen’s unity in this country? Should we allow a discordant, a dissident unit in one section of the S. I. Railway to stop the progress of amalgamation and of unifying the workers’ organisations in this country?

Shri Nambiar: Leave it to the workers to decide.

Shri Venkatacharsan: Mr. Nambiar represents one small section in one zonal union. Today the Southern Zone consists not only of the South Indian Railway but of the Madras and Southern Mahratta Railway, the Mysore Railway and the South Indian Railway. Mr. Nambiar cannot pretend to membership of the M. & S. M. and Mysore Railways. His membership is confined to a small unit of the ex-South Indian Railway, and he now wants that this small block should block the progress of unity in the whole country and also prevent a further unification of railwaymen in this country.

Shri Feroze Gandhi (Pratapgarh Distt.—West cum Rae Bareli Distt.—East): Ignore him.

Shri Venkatacharsan: I hope Members who speak later, Members of his own party as well as those of the Congress, will very much dissociate themselves from the sentiments that Mr. Nambiar has been expressing before the House.

The next point that I wish to deal with is the question of the pay scales and matters connected therewith. It is no doubt true that the Central Pay Commission did create a number of anomalies. But that is recognised by the Railway Board itself. The Railway Board did appoint a joint committee consisting of representatives of both the Federations. Mr. Guruswami represented one Federation and the late Shri Harihar Nath Shastri represented the other Federation. Those people sat together, with an independent chairman and members representing the Railway Board, and they tried to rectify as many anomalies as possible. And may I say here that many of the points that Mr. Nambiar has raised before the House now were not taken before the C. P. C.? (Interruption). I repeat, the case of the station masters was completely let down by the Trade Union. It was not taken up, while the case of the guards was taken up. The case of guards who were placed on a starting salary of sixty rupees was represented, but the case of stationmasters was let down by the Union at that time. The All-India Railwaymen’s Federation was the Federation representing them. I am not saving in criticism ...  

Shri Nambiar: All of us were in jail then.

Shri Venkatacharsan: We become wise after the event and then we want to rectify the whole world. And that is the great role which Mr. Nambiar has taken. We cannot help if his own leaders had let down labour at some stage. He has to pay the penalty for it.

I am proceeding to say that this is not a matter which should have been raised in this House; it should have gone to the Railway Board by way of negotiation.

Sir, I do not want to spend more time on this because Mr. Nambiar went on giving individual instances. One swallow does not make a summer. If some Anantanarayanan was discharged I can cite hundreds of Ramnarayanans not discharged. And this is hardly the way to argue a case. Mr. Nambiar has not been a lawyer and he thinks that merely citing one instance proves the whole of his statement. (Interruption). Mr. Chairman, I will have it with Mr. Nambiar outside!

Shri D. C. Sharma (Hoshiarpur): Better have the duel here, so that we may also watch it.

Shri Venkatacharsan: The most important thing that is coming up in the
next few years is the question of the revision of the Convention. The Convention, which is to expire at the end of 1955, has been in existence for several years and should be said to have worked very well. We also know the Convention of 1924, according to which 1 per cent. was charged on the capital-at-charge as dividend payable to the general revenues, and then on the surplus one-fifth was directed to be paid as further contribution. We consolidated all these things and made it into a 4 per cent. dividend on the capital-at-charge, and it has worked satisfactorily. Merely because the previous Convention records that at the end of five years the Convention should be reviewed, I would urge that both the Railway Ministry and the members who are going to be in the Convention Committee should not think it incumbent on them to change this system. The system has worked well and it provides for rehabilitation and also for contributions to the Development Fund and the Revenue Reserve Fund. Therefore, it should be continued as much as possible.

Then, we had another system of examining all the estimates relating to the railways before they were placed before the House. The examination was done by the Railway Standing Finance Committee. I would urge upon this House to revive it, and in this connection I would appeal to the hon. Deputy Minister of Railways to bring to bear his own experience on that question, because he was himself a member of the Railway Standing Finance Committee. We all know that before any budget estimate for the railways was brought, it was considered by the Railway Standing Finance Committee. The Committee scrutinised the estimates, called for information from the Railway Board and its officers, and did a lot of other things. But today what we get is a lot of printed papers which is thrown at us some five or six days before the Budget is presented. Frankly, I have not been able to read through all the Committee had been in existence, it would have scrutinised the estimates, and would have added notes on certain recommendations in respect of each one of these things.

Even apart from the estimates, after the House has passed the Railway Budget, when expenditure has to be incurred a certain amount of scrutiny appears to be necessary. The scrutiny takes place when the Board or its officers bring forward proposals for expenditure over, say, Rs. 5 lakhs. The Railway Standing Finance Committee would then consider whether that expenditure is necessary and whether it should be allowed to the extent asked for. Therefore, there is a check at both ends: one before the estimate is prepared and placed before the House and another after it is passed by the House and before the money is spent. I think it would be salutary to revive the Railway Standing Finance Committee, and I would appeal to this House to do so.

The next subject that I would like to deal with is regrouping. It is true that the Railway Minister has said that we cannot postulate whether it has been a success or a failure, but I am quite sure that administratively the Railway Administration should have felt the strain of having only six zones. In my view, more than six zones would be necessary. At least, there should be eight or nine zones. In transport, the greater the decentralisation, the better the efficiency. It is not like any other industrial undertakings. In transport where one has to attend to the needs of the passengers, to the needs of the traffic and the movement of goods, I would appeal to the hon. Minister to consider this decentralisation before things become final, because once they crystallize themselves and become fossilized into six zones, any change is crystalized, I would like the change itself would become difficult. Therefore, even before the change is crystallized, I would like this House and the hon. Minister to consider this suggestion.
With regard to stores purchase, my hon. friend Shri Vittal Rao said the other day that the amount has increased from Rs. 7 crores in 1948 to Rs. 28 crores in 1953. I thought that was a matter for appreciation because we cannot improve the traffic or the turn-over of goods without sufficient engines and wagons. We are not producing them. Mr. Vittal Rao himself admitted that we are not producing enough in this country. The only way left is to import. Out of the Rs. 28 crores for imports, Rs. 20 crores worth of rolling-stock are to be imported entirely for the purpose of improving the traffic and carriage of goods. Therefore, I do not think that there is any point in that criticism.

The next question is on behalf of labour which my hon. friend Mr. Nambiar did not care to look into. In the year 1951-52 there were six deaths due to accidents in our workshops and in 1952-53 there were eight deaths. The number injured in 1951-52 was 17,960 and 20,477 in 1952-53. There has been an increase of 2,500 among the injured in the course of one year. This is a matter which calls for certain scrutiny. The Factories Act provides various safety measures to be taken to prevent a worker from sustaining any injury. I do not think that the Factories Act is being enforced properly in our railway workshops. Because it is a State enterprise, I suppose the administration is a little too lenient towards the management of the railway workshops. Sir, it is much better to stop at the end of the road than in the middle of a thing.

मीसती व्यवस्था (कर्ता-दिलिन) : भेंजरमैन साहब, मूल सबूत थोड़ी बातें कहती हैं। एक तो रेलवे मंगर्ने ने अपने भाषण में कहा है कि रेलवे में जिन शब्दों का उपयोग हो रहा है, वह उस का अनुशासन खिलों में करा रहे हैं। मैं आपका कर्त्तव्य है कि उस की युवी हृदय सूत धारण गरीब बातों के बाद, शायद हम भी उन शब्दों का भाषण में उपयोग कर सकें।
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[भीमलेख मणिचंदन पटेल]

वह बहुत साफ सुसारे और पुंजे हुए थे और रेलवे कर्मचारी भी काफी साफ थे। तो इससे निकले और लखरे के दर निकले तब तो यह सब चीज मिल सकती है, परन्तु मेरा सुझाव तो यह है जैसे इस उन को समझाये कि वे साँस में कम से कम एक बार बलबंद में किसी पैसेंजर ट्रेन में बिना लखर दिये हुए प्रवास करते हैं तो उन को पता लगेगा कि बलबंद के पैसेंजर को कितनी तकलीफ होती है। आज यह शस्त्रास के बादत लोगों को फालने बारे बताते हैं और कहते हैं कि हम आप को एक सप्ताह का माल बाल अंदाज में या बाहर अंदाज में देते हैं। वह अंदाज की तरह जाते नहीं रहते और किसी भी एक एक दिन में तीन-तीन और बाहर बाहर (Hawkers) अंद शस्त्र में चढ़ते हैं।

हर जगह जा रहा है, कोई फ़र्ज़ ने देखा है, कोई पिपरांडिट के बारे में बताते हैं कि अब कहा वह जो वे बाल अंदाज में नहीं रह सकता है। यह अंदाज अब है और अब उन के कर्मचारियों, उन के हाथ देखिये और उन के नाकुन देखिये, किसी भी जो कितने गदे होते हैं और उन के पैर को बच्चे सांगे से व्यवहार को कितना नुकसान हो सकता है। वह तीन अंदाज में इतर कर देते हैं।

यह एक शस्त्र में आप ने अपने साथ रूप में पालने का इतिहास किया है। वह उस पालन को नीचे जाये का ठीक इतिहास नहीं है। वह से वह सारे बाहर रूप में फूल जाता है।

आज रेलवे के अधीर शस्त्र क्लास में प्रवास करते हैं तो उन को अनुभव नहीं होता कि उस दिन को भी अनुभव समझें। आज तो मैं ने देखा है कि व्यक्ति के क्लास में बॉय्ड बाल में, 17 अंदाज के और 20 अंदाज के लड़के भी अब बहुसे जाते हैं। पहले जब बाहर शस्त्र में चलते हैं वह गाड़ी देखता था कि उस दिन का शस्त्र के नहीं हैं। वह अब हम गाड़ी में कितने तो वह बहुसे कहते हैं कि हम कथा करते हमारी कोई मुनता है। वह यह मुनता अपना अनुभव है। कभी कभी गाड़ी कहते हैं कि यह हमारे जिम्मे भ्राता मुक्कल है। आज स्टेस्ट में कुछ लोग ऐसे हैं जो इस तरह से चलते बालों के साथ सहानुभूति रखते हैं, तो हमारी मुफ्किल हो जाती है। तो रेलवे अन्वजन हमारे दर्शन कर जनरल बैरिज अंदाज में एक बार बना लखर दिये
हुए प्रबंध करने तो इस विषय में काफी पुष्पार हो सकेगा। अगर यह इस तरह से बिना लाभ दिये हुए प्रबंध करने तो आज जो कर्मचार हो रहा है उस का भी पता लगेगा।

लोग चाहते हैं कि आप को सहायता दे। मैं आप को बताऊँछूँ कि फल एक ऐसी चीज है जोकि पूर्वोदित बसता है। अगर में बाला रखने अफसर को, पोर्टर को और गार्ड को कुछ न कुछ न देते तो उस का माल जाता नहीं। गौहाटी से कलकत्ता अन्नप्राय और संतरे बांटे हैं उन का को आधूने दुर्गापूर-मेंट प्रस्ताव पड़ा है। अगर रेलवे बालों को और स्टीरर बालों को कुछ न दिया जाय तो 15 अप्रैल को ट्रैकर में से 6 ही अच्छे निकलते हैं। अगर उन को कुछ अन्नप्राय दे दिये जाये तो 14 और 12 अच्छे निकलते हैं। नहीं तो खराब हो जाते हैं। इसी तरह से आप के मामले में आप में बने बालों रखने रेलवे बालों को कुछ आप न दें तो इस आप को चढ़ने नहीं देते। अगर चढ़ने मी दें तो कहीं न कहीं बंगाल को रोक दें है और को खराब दिन लग जाते हैं और बहु आप खराब हो जाता है। निकले महीनों की 29 तारीख को, 29 अप्रैल को कलकत्ता से एक पारस्पर अहसासवाद में जाए गया। यह बहुत 8 फरवरी को वस्तुता।

इस परिसर लगातार बढ़ता है। इस तरह लगातार बढ़ता है। बहुत कोई पूर्वोदित चीज नहीं थी इस से इसका लिया गया लोग खराब नहीं हुई, लेकिन अगर पूर्वोदित चीज होती तो खराब हो जाती। िस बार पूरे में है। यह तो आप को यदि करना बांटते हैं लेकिन हम किस तरह से यदि से यदि यह उसरो समय में नहीं बाला। तो इस बाज़ार का फिस्टहृत से राजस्थान निकाला जाये यह उसरो समय में नहीं बाला। आप सीधे और आप के अफसर सीधे। कुछ निकाला मास्टर और छोटे अफसर तो उसरो मुंह पर कहते हैं कि यही सोच कर तो हम को इतनी तरह लाभ देते हैं। मैं नहीं जानती कि तनबाह क्या सोच कर दी गई है। मैं उन की यह दृष्टिक स्वीकार नहीं करती। कहा जाता है कि यह देने में बने बालों को कोई खास तक्कवाली नहीं होती। इस को तो रखने में दस्तुरी कहा जाता है। मुझे आशा है कि कुछ बार यही की जो कमेटी बनी है वह इस की जांच करेगी।

कुलाली जहाँ इस पर गर्भसागर को बहुत परेशान करते हैं। आज है वह इस का कोई रास्ता निकलने का।

ताजी लहर पर यह है देश में चलन्त है।

लड़ाई के पहले एक तासरी लड़ाई भी चलनी थी। लड़ाई के जन्मान्त में यह कम कर बिना गई। मेरी विश्वास है कि उस तासरी दूल्हा को जारी कर देना चाहिए। बहु सिगरेट लागु हैं और जो यादी जाते हैं उन को जोड़ने का कालिंग होता है अगर एक दूल्हा देरी से आते हैं तो दूसरी भी चलती है इसके बहुत समय तक सक्ना पड़ता है। इस बजहू से लोगों को बड़ी तक्कवाली होती है।

आप ने जो प्रोशन बताया है उस के बन्दर कुछ काम हो रहा है। कुछ काम के बारे में यहां हो रहा है कुछ का सब होने बाला है। आप ने बताया कि भारतवर्ष तारापुर भाल के हम जाते हैं। गुजराती वेदांत यह इस तारीख में का पता लगा हो। नालों में इस बारे में काफी ज्ञान हो रही है। आप के पास रहा रखता कि नहीं सामान्य नहीं। इस पर काफी मतभेद है इसके कोई नालों में नहीं रखना चाहिए। यह मेरा आपात है। दूसरी सोनजित बोलका लागू है।

इस दोनों का आप सबर काम करें और जिस से जनता का भाद्र खाल हो उस को शुभ करें। दोनों लोगों की एकलवय, टंकीधरा बालि जानने बाले सब करें और जो यह वे आप के मामले में राखें और जब नियोजन करें तो हम को बताउं जाय कि किस कारण से यह नियोज होगा या नियोज नहीं होगा।
[श्रीमती मणिबेन पटेल]
इन विचारों पर मतभेद हो सकता है । हो सकता है कि इस मामले में आप पर भी दोनों तरफ से दबाव पड़ा हो, लेकिन मेरा तो यही कहना है कि भिस में देश का लाभ ही उस को करें । इस में मतभेद के लिये जान नहीं है । ऐसा नहीं होना चाहिये कि किसी काम में काफी खर्च हो और उसे ताम क्या । तो आप इन सब बातों को देख कर निर्णय करें ।

एक बार मुझे यह कहती है कि जब हम से गलती से रेलवे प्रॉट कम दिया जाता है तो उस को पौन बेलुकर दिया जाता है और लोग देते हैं परन्तु आप गलती से ज्यादा प्रॉट के लिया जाता है और उस का १२ महीने के बाद पता लगता है तो उस को देने से हक्क मजा करते हैं ।
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तब रेलवे अभिकारी हम को यह कहते हैं कि वह उन की सत्ता की बात नहीं है आप की सत्ता की बात नहीं है और गलती आप की है । बार बार हम पूछते हैं कि झट्ठा तूफान है और फिर ज्यादा दिया होता है तो उस का भी राजा होमा चाहिये ।

ऐसा नहीं कहना चाहिये कि हम की अभिकार नहीं है । रेलवे अभिकारी की अभिकार न हो, लेकिन जब रेलवे मंजिल का तो अभिकार है । रेलवे बीड़ को तो अभिकार होगा ।

बार बार आप का ध्यान पीछा आप तो लोगों को पैसा बापस मिलाना चाहिये । आप पैसा लेने में तो काफी जरिये करते हैं लेकिन बापस देने में नहीं । में आप की बात कि एक आदमी का 3५० रुपये का केमेंट मंजूर किया गया लेकिन उस को बार लिखने पर भी इस के मिलने में तीन मास लगे ।

तो इस तरह से काम नहीं होना चाहिये । जैसे लेन में धीरजता होती है, इसी तरह मंजूर करने के बाद देने में भी धीरजता बिखानी चाहिये ।

आप ने यह बलात में रंगे लगाये, बहुत अच्छी बात है । लेकिन पंखे के साथ दिन में भी बसी जंगली रहती है । एक बार में ने गाँव से कहा कि पत्ता चाहू करो हो बालू करने पर बसी भी जंगली रहें । में ने इस के लिये कहा तो बताया गया कि हम तो लिख लिख कर यक गये, अब आप निकलिये कि दिन में पंखे के साथ बसी स्वयं जंगली हैं ।

आप ने जो पंखे रखे तो वह कई चलते नहीं हैं । जब हम उन की तरफ ध्यान लीजिये हैं तो कहा जाता है कि हम को जंगल स्टेशन पर बताना चाहिये, हमारे पास हर स्टेशन पर सैक्सिनिक नहीं हैं । ढीक है, हर स्टेशन पर आप के पास सैक्सिनिक नहीं हो सकते । लेकिन हर जंगल स्टेशन पर आप को यह बलात की बोती के हर पंखे को देखना चाहिये कि वह चलता है या नहीं और साथ ही यह भी देखना । चाहिये कि पंखे के साथ बसी तो दिन में नहीं जंगली हैं ।

यह भी देखना चाहिये कि रात के बलते बसी जंगली है या नहीं ।

एक बार आप को और कहना चाहिये है कि स्टेशन के ऊपर बहुत बार पोटर प्रवासीयों को परेशान करते हैं और बार स्टेशन, अभियाचार और निदान स्टेशनों का तो मूल अनुभव है कि पोटरों को काफी सताते हैं । आप का दर तो हैं तीन आप बोका, लेकिन वह एक रूपया मांगते हैं । प्रवासीयों की गोद में बचने रहते हैं और सामान भी होता हैं । फिर वह स्टेशन पर किसी समय पहुँचती है, गुडवे के बाद बार बार तीन बार पांच बार के समय स्टेशन पर पहुँचती है और लेटफार्न के बाहर चौंक बोती होती हैं ।

तो ऐसे जगहों पर आप को कुछ न कुछ बनकड़ता करना चाहिये जिस रीति पेटर लोग इस तरह से लोगों को मस्त माहौल करते हैं।
Shri Nijalingappa (Chitaldrug): I congratulate the hon. Minister of Railways on his very practical, considerably realistic and very responsive Budget. I would like to read two of his statements, one made last year, and the other made this year, and see how far they have been satisfied. Last year, he was pleased to state:

"The Plan gives the highest priority to agricultural development with a view to making India self sufficient in food as quickly as possible. The railways being the most important means of transport, have to respond to the needs of development in both agriculture and industry."

This year, he has said:

"Although we are doing our best to improve and extend rail transport facilities in the country, ... I am aware that much more needs to be done. Considering the vast areas in our country which are awaiting economic exploitation through the introduction of satisfactory transport facilities, I feel it is necessary to adopt a bold policy of development and expansion of the railways."

Considered from these two statements, I feel that much is not being done for the backward areas. I was very happy to read in the papers the Railway Minister's statement that backward areas would certainly receive due consideration. But I wish they receive more consideration. Before coming to the particular areas, I would like to draw the attention of the Railway Minister and the House to this. If one looks at the railway map of India, it appears as though the west coast is practically empty of any railways.

Shri Velayudhan (Quilon cum Mavelikakara—Reserved—Sch. Castes): Karnatak.

Shri Nijalingappa: For you too—Kerala.

Regarding the metre gauge from Delhi to Trivandrum, of course, last year the Railway Minister was pleased to say that there must be a connection. There are two missing links. One of course is being taken up, between Khandwa and Hingoli. I do not know why he is silent about the other link, I mean to say, from Chamarajanagar, to Satyamangalam and, I think, on to Coimbatore or somewhere there. If that could be done, I think from Delhi to the southern most tip of India there would be a metre gauge line connecting the two metre gauge sections. When Mr. Gopalaswami Ayyangar made his budget speech three years ago, I very well remember that this line—Chamarajanagar-Satyamangalam line was mentioned as one of those that would be taken up. I do not know why that is not being taken up. If that is completed, there would be a metre gauge line connecting both northern and southern India by a metre gauge link.

Now, Sir, regarding the rest of the cost, I would like to make a suggestion. The west coast road is now being constructed as a national highway, from Cape Comorin to Bombay, and some bridges are also being put up. The Railway Minister said yesterday that he would consider the desirability of having a line between Bombay and Cape Comorin. I think it must be a broad gauge line in view of the fact that from Cochin to Man-
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galore there is already a broad gauge line. So if this could be a broad gauge line, that area will be lesser served. I would also suggest, now that bridges are being built, whether it would not be desirable for the roads and railways to co-ordinate their efforts and build these bridges both for the railways and for roads. I think this would obviate the necessity of building up fresh bridges or spending more on that account. Therefore, I very much urge that this suggestion of mine may receive their consideration. This would serve a very backward area.

I am glad that the Railway Minister yesterday mentioned about Malanad. It is an area in which I am particularly interested. I have made several references to it in this House, and I am glad that the Railway Minister has agreed to attend a conference convened by the Malanad people. That is an area which is really very important from many points of view. It supplies us quite a large part of our betel-nut, it supplies us our rubber, it supplies quite a good quantity of timber, it supplies cardamom, it supplies tea and, more than all, it supplies the delicious coffee. That is the only area that supplies coffee. If you look at the map, it is an area which is most poorly served. I spoke about coffee. I may also say that some geologist have surveyed this area. There are two centres where there are the biggest concentrations of mineral deposits. The first is somewhere in Bihar, Orissa and Madhya Pradesh, and the second biggest—though smaller—concentration of mineral ore is in Malanad and area adjacent to a depth of 100 miles. The whole of this area is full of minerals and if there is a mineral survey made, I am sure, my hon. friend, the Railway Minister must agree that this area must be taken up. I am speaking of an area, which I particularly know between Goa and Cochin, and which is called Malanad. There are really ports which are of the best. There is Karwar, which is supposed to be one of the three world's best ports, according to Sir A. Scott. I am sure it would be desirable, in national interests, to improve that port,—I say, 'improve' because it is already a natural port—as a naval base. And, the next is Mangalore. Of course, it is already a small commercial port and it must be improved. There is another port, Malpe. I am sorry that tons of fish are caught every year and thrown back into the sea for want of transport facilities. It is said that the west coast fish is possibly the most delicious fish—I have no idea but my friends may agree—and it is thrown back into the sea for want of transport.

An Hon. Member: West coast fish is dangerous.

Shri Nijalingappa: I wish these suggestions of mine will receive due consideration. If there is a judicious construction of railways in this area, it will help much to improve the area which is still neglected. There are lakhs and lakhs of acres of agricultural land which can be brought under cultivation and paddy produced. Not even 15 per cent. of this area, which is immediately cultivable is used and, to that end, I would suggest the taking up of certain routes. I am sorry I have not much time. I am simply going to catalogue the lines. I am glad that the Hassan-Mangalore line is now to be surveyed, though belated. In this connection, I would mention that Chickmagalur, the most important Malanad centre in a coffee growing area has been neglected. We have made a strong representation that Kadur and Chickmagalur should be joined to the Hassan-Mangalore railway. I am sure, it will receive the very sympathetic consideration of the Ministry, in view of the fact that Mysore Government have collected about Rs. 25 lakhs by way of railway cess during the last 30 years. It was sanctioned by the Mysore Government, but nothing has been done so far. I should think that the Railway Minister must make those people feel
that there is some advantage in the change that is now affected. I am very particular because this line will open up some of the Malnad areas. With the same purpose, I am going to mention another important line connecting Karwar to Hubli. This line has been very strongly recommended—I am told—by the Bombay Government. There is another line between one dead end Dandeli and the other Talaguppa; it is a very important line which will give an alternate metre gauge route for South India and also serve this area. These are some of the important lines that should be taken up immediately. I come from a backward area, backward in the sense not of resources and richness but because of their neglect. Adjacent to this area, there is a vast hinterland which should also support the ports and the railways. Having that in view, I would like to mention the taking up of the Chittaldrug-Rayadurg line. It belongs to my own district and now that the Tungabhadra scheme is finished and serves a very dry area and deficit area this line should be taken up. Similarly, I would like to mention the line between Kottur and Bhadravati. That is an important line which will serve the ports and the backward areas. I am giving this because, as I said, I must give some constructive suggestions. Having said this much I wish that these lines should be surveyed as early as possible.

Lastly, I would like to mention that there is a lot of complaint after the integration of the Mysore Railway with the rest of the Railways. Some of the staff, especially in the higher grades have not been kindly treated. One of the conditions of the integration was that their status and pay would not be adversely affected, but a large number of staff, though they have not suffered materially in their pay, have suffered in their status. That is rather not desirable and I am sure this matter will receive immediate consideration of the authorities. I have a lot more to say, but there is no time. Once again, I stress that the lines I have suggested will receive the very serious consideration of the Minister of Railways.

Shri Sarangadhar Das (Dhenkanal-West Cuttack): Before I come to the points in the Budget that I wish to speak on, I wish to say a few things about the remarks made by certain speakers preceding me in regard to the Railway Corruption Enquiry Committee. Some unfavourable remarks have been made which I think, justify the dignity of the House. I can speak for myself, and others as well, that we, members of that Committee, were not soliciting it. We came to know about it one fine morning when our names were published in the papers. The Minister never consulted us. Consequently, the question that one Party is included and another Party is not included is beside the point. The Committee has not yet functioned and has not produced any result so far. When the result is produced, it will be before the House. In that connection, I support the suggestion of my hon. friend, Shri Khandubhai Desai. We had felt—in saying this I believe I am not transgressing the etiquette of a committee—that the
scope of enquiry into corruption was very much limited. I trust the Minister will consider it and widen the scope of the enquiry so that in future there will be no section of the Railways that can be said to have escaped the scrutiny of this Committee.

Now, I come to the main point on the Railway Budget. I have in previous years said many things about amenities and so forth, but those points were dwelt on by hon. Members already. As I am in a mood of construction today, I want to go to the constructive side of it. Regarding the development of new lines and the rehabilitation of the old lines that were dismantled during the war, I find there is no plan, because certain lines that were completely surveyed seven or eight years ago are entirely forgotten now, and it is only political pressure from certain quarters that once in a while brings in a new line that is built or brings in electrification of the environs of the cities of Bombay, Calcutta and Madras—I do not know how far I am right about Madras.

Dr. Krishnaswami (Kancheepuram).
Quite right.

Shri Sarangadhar Das: Cities and their environs are to be electrified. For whom? It is for the urban population. Does it bring any more revenue than the lines are doing at present? No. It is, therefore, an unproductive venture.

Sir, I wish to remind the Minister about his last year’s plan of constructing the Gua-Monoharpur line in Bihar on the border of Orissa to facilitate the quick transport of iron ore and other raw materials for the steel plant, particularly. But the work has not been started yet. Then again, this whole area where the new steel plant is proposed to be located, that is to say the districts of Sundargarh including Bansai State, Mayurbhanj and Kendjhar are full of mineral resources, also timber. And if the Ministry of Railways are still thinking in terms of the Five Year Plan to do something to increase the wealth of the country, then the first priority should be given to developing these areas where we have vast mineral resources which should be exploited in the first instance.

I do not plead for any backward area. That is a wrong term to be used. A backward area may be a place where there is no railway and if a railway is put in there may not be much traffic. Here are areas which will bring in revenue right away because ores and other materials like limestone are in demand by the steel mills now working, and in about three or four years, by the new plant which is likely to go into production. I am not speaking from the point of view of my own State—I come from Orissa. I think it is a national concern, it is a national interest that should be looked into. From that point of view I would suggest the taking up of a new line of about thirty miles from a place called Talghari to Rourkela. This line will bring the Banai ores which will save nineteen million ton miles transport for the half a million ton steel plant. Rourkela to Talchar is a line that was surveyed eight years ago but nothing has been done. That also becomes necessary with the steel plant because that will bring all the coal for steam raising, gas, etc. Then there is another line, Sambalpur to Titlagarh which was also surveyed, it seems, seven or eight years ago. This line is important for supplying steel to the shipyard in Vishakapatnam and also reaching the port Vishakapatnam from your Rourkela plant. Other steel from other plants may go, when there is surplus in the country, to South-East Asia and the Far East. Along with the building of railway lines, I wish to press for the quick progress in the preliminary investigations into the
port Paradwip. That is also a very necessary place for exporting and for coastal traffic for all these materials. When this is accomplished, there would be a railway line from Cuttack to Paradwip also.

I should also speak of another place which has been neglected by the Railway Ministry in their development work and that is Vindhya Pradesh. This is an area of extensive coal fields and vast mineral deposits such as bauxite, corundum, fireclay, mica, iron, diamonds, limestone, yellow and red earth and also vast virgin forests which will give timber and bamboo for paper pulp. This area consisting of former small States, Rewa, Ratlam, etc. was neglected up to 1947 because the then rulers of these States were afraid that their feudal regime would go with the introduction of railway and the people coming from outside and freely moving with the people in those States, but I do not see the reason why it should be so after that feudal regime is gone. Everyone of my friends on the other side, whenever the merger of States is talked about, is full of praise and very proud that this Government has got rid of the rulers. What have you done? The rulers are gone but their privy purses are there. But, that is a different matter. But what they had neglected this Government also has neglected for the last eight years. They have not done anything for this area and as I have said, there are so many mineral resources and many more may be found; with a proper survey even coking coal may be found in Rewa which has not been surveyed. It is so vast. Under these circumstances, it is absolutely lopsided planning that the Railway Minister has gone after electrification of railways and also the little money that is available has been spent in building covered platforms in each and every station. I am not against covered platforms; I want them; I want also development of lines that will produce wealth; that will bring more revenue to the Railways and prosperity to the nation. Consequently, between these two or three or half a dozen items that I have mentioned for development, the Ministry, if it does its work properly and if it does believe in its Government's Five Year Plan for increasing the standard of living of the people will have to give first priority to the lines that will tap the hidden resources of the country in those areas. I believe a railway line from Mirzapur in U.P. through Rewa, Satta Panna, Chattarpur, Nowgong, Ratte and a branch to Lalitpur would open up all these mineral resources for development. Several lakhs of acres of arable waste land could be brought under the plough, and foodgrains therefrom would add considerably to the larder of the nation. Then there are the rich virgin forests which would yield timber, and the bamboos would yield paper pulp.

I have dwelt on the immediate necessity of building a few hundred miles of railway lines in these two States only. But I would mention the third also, namely Madhya Pradesh. It must be remembered that Orissa and Madhya Pradesh are Part A States. There had been development to some extent previously. But since seven years ago the Princely States have been merged, and in them there had been no development, no mining operations, no extraction of timber to any larger extent. All those resources have come to the nation from those areas which used to be independent, having no connection with India. Consequently it is very necessary now to tap all those resources. I am glad—I give credit to the Minister, although I do not know whether he will do it—he said in the other House that he is thinking of these things. I am glad that sense has dawned on him. Instead of tapping these resources and bringing in more wealth, I would say it is absolutely foolish to go after supplying the needs of urban populations.

Sir... (Laughter). My friends are laughing when I say urban population.
Shri Sarangadhar Das: I belong to the urban population myself. I have heard about air-conditioned coaches and all that. Whom are they for? Are they for the villager? Are they for producing wealth out of the mines? Not that I do not like air-conditioned coaches or better facilities. But at the same time if I had the time to go into that, I would say that everything is done for the upper classes but not for the lower classes.

There are two or three more points and I will finish.

Mr. Chairman: I am very sorry. I have rung the bell thrice. There are some Members who are anxious to speak. I would therefore request the hon. Member to finish within one or two minutes.

Shri Sarangadhar Das: I always finish in time. On this occasion I have taken a little more time.

Shri K. K. Basu (Diamond Harbour): As even the railways do.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Now the railways are running in time.

Shri Sarangadhar Das: There are two things about Orissa which I must mention. One is that the mine-owners who are extracting manganese and chromite are not getting enough wagons. That is a point that should be looked into. Then there is another complaint that with such a long mileage of the Eastern Railway in Orissa there is not even a Divisional Headquarters in Orissa.

Sardar A. S. Saligal (Bilaspur): Regional Head Quarter is in Bilaspur.

Shri Sarangadhar Das: Some complaint was voiced last year in this House, and I understand that instead of taking the Divisional Headquarters to Orissa it is being placed at Waltair, at the end of the Eastern Railway.

Then, Sir, after I have criticised the Ministry, I must give the devil his due.

Shri Sarangadhar Das: It is not unparliamentary. I happened to be in Allahabad for the Kumbh Mela and I could see what the railway arrangements were. I was quite satisfied with the railway arrangements and whatever has happened there, the tragedy etc., is not due to any fault on the part of the railways and the blame must be placed elsewhere. At the same time that good record was marred because on one line, at Jhusi on the narrow gauge line, people were perching on roof tops. I was told that in that locality people are in the habit of travelling on roof tops. This is a thing that should be stopped.

I say, this kind of laughter should be stopped because you need all the discipline that can be observed in a country. Yesterday's speech of the Prime Minister and what has happened recently between Pakistan and United States, should awaken all of us to the necessity of discipline.

I agree with that hon. friend who said that the third class passengers or passengers of any other class, should not be over-crowded into the compartments and only that number should be taken in, which could be accommodated. Outside this I have nothing more to say.

Shrimati Maydeo (Goons: South): Sir, at the outset I must congratulate the Railway Minister for the very well balanced Budget which he has presented to the House and for the various achievements which stand to the credit of the Railway Administration during the last year.

I speak before you, Sir, as a lay person who does not claim any special knowledge of either the Railway Budget or the Railway Administration, but I know from experience, as a constant travelling passenger, what improvements the Administration has progressively been bringing about.
and what is still necessary to be done. It is a story of achievements and failures. I am not one of those who would either applaud the Government for whatever it does, or criticise it mercilessly and indiscriminately on its failure, as a matter of normal course. Both these attitudes are not helpful because in the first case a feeling of complacency will naturally arise and in the latter case a feeling of frustration will be created in the minds of the large masses of our people. While I listened to the Railway Minister's speech my mind was travelling far recalling all my personal experiences in the course of my travel on the railway. Why should I, or anyone else, deny that the trains are generally running more accurately to time than in the former days, or that the third class passengers are getting more facilities, if not amenities, these days than before, or even that the Railway officers have been more courteous to the passengers than before? I think that if we look at the working of the Railways without prejudice, we shall find many things which should satisfy us, and for which we shall not grudge giving compliment to the Government. But, then, in a constructive way, we must also bring to the notice of the Government many disparities which unfortunately exist within the amenities afforded to the different classes of passengers.

According to the figures given by the Government, about 112,07,00,000 third class passengers, 181 lakh inter class passengers, 43 lakh second class passengers and 138 lakh first class passengers travelled on the railways during the last year. The figures of the first class passengers appear larger than the figures of the second class passengers; the number for first class includes the first class passengers on the suburban Railways in Bombay. You will kindly note that there are only two classes on these suburban Railways, third class and first class. Many middle class people also prefer to travel by the first class to avoid the terrific over-crowding which prevails on these Railways. I would request the hon. Railways Minister to give separate figures for these suburban first class passengers, so that we could form an accurate ratio between the higher class and the lower class passengers. What I find from my personal experience is that the third class passengers, who are the real patrons and supporters of the Railways, as the figure 112 crores as compared with 43 lakhs shows, are still being denied the most basic amenities. For instance, look at the accommodation. One of the hon. Members of this House said in 1951 that whereas only one seat was available for four passengers in the third class, for 245 seats one passenger was available. That was the disparity in 1951. Now, we are abolishing the first class. So, the proportion might be less, but I contend, not very much less because, what I find is this. We are abolishing the first class; but we are introducing air-conditioned coaches and also increasing the accommodation in the second class. What we must remember is that we are abolishing the first class in the interests of the third class passengers who must be provided with greater accommodation. Looking at the continued over-crowding in the Railways we find that the accommodation problem in the third class is as acute as ever. I shall like to have a definite assurance from the Railway Minister that more and more third class bogies will be introduced on the railways in the place of the first class bogies.

In this connection, I have to bring to your notice a doubt which is generally entertained in the minds of the public. We are told that new coaches are being built and that some coaches are being imported from foreign countries. But, while these new coaches are being introduced, there are a number of coaches which become unserviceable and have to be scrapped. What will be the number of coaches which will become unserviceable over these few years? If we get this number of unserviceable coaches and also the number of new coaches introduced, then only we can find out whether
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larger accommodation is being made available to third class passengers or not.

There is another matter to which I would like to refer. It is regarding the preparation of the time tables. What I find is that many of the time tables on the through lines are prepared without taking into consideration the convenience of the passengers travelling on the branch lines, so that in many cases passengers have to wait at different places for hours together if the through trains are delayed only by a few minutes. I might give the instance of a branch line in my State, that between Challagaon and Dhulia. Here the trains move only twice a day and if a through passenger train is late by a few minutes, the passengers have to wait at Challagaon practically the whole day and even the whole night. In some cases there is a difference of several hours between the timings of through trains and connecting branch lines. To quote an actual instance, the Delhi Express reaches Lucknow at about 7 a.m. and one has to wait for seven long hours before one gets connecting trains for Katial. This shows to what great inconvenience the passengers are put because there is no proper co-ordination in the Railway time-tables. The time-tables of the newly acquired Baris Light Railway also needs suitable rearrangement. I hope the Railway Minister will give a kind thought to these observations and try to improve the situation to the satisfaction of the passengers on the branch lines.

I must also say a few words about the tea and food stalls at the railway stations. It appears from the complaints reaching us that the vending contracts are not given directly to the vendors and the middlemen through whom the contracts are given are making large sums of profit at the cost of the vendors to whom they sublet these contracts. From the facts and figures supplied by the Jullundur Vendors’ Union it is evident that better things at cheaper rates would be available to passengers if the middlemanship of vending contracts prevalent on the Indian railways is done away with and contracts given directly to vendors or vendors’ cooperatives, a start in which has already been made in the Northern Railway at Khurja and Gaziabad Stations.

These are a few observations I wished to make and I hope the hon. Railway Minister will give a kind thought to them.

Dr. Krishnaswami: I think it is fortunate that the debate on the Railway Budget was concluded in the other House and my hon. friend the Railway Minister was able to answer various questions and doubts that were raised by hon. Members in another place. We in this place start with the advantage of considering his reply and making our criticisms more constructive than they would have otherwise been had the debate commenced earlier here.

My hon. friend the Railway Minister has been noted for his patience, courtesy, and tact in dealing with hon. Members. While I agree that he has attempted to consider all the suggestions that we on this side have put forward, there is one suggestion to which he has not given sufficient attention and to which I invite his attention once more. It may be in his opinion a question, but it is one which looms large in the context of the South, and which has led to considerable agitation and turmoil. I refer to the rechristening of Dalmiapuram station. I hope it will be possible for my hon. friend to satisfy large sections of the South who are aggrieved—genuinely aggrieved over the apathy displayed by the Government.

Now Sir, I do not wish to be an alarmist, nor is it my purpose to predict a gloomy future for our railways. But I desire to point out that we are facing a very serious situation, and it be moves all of us, irrespective of the parties to which we belong, to
reconsider our policy and to devise ways and means of making the biggest national undertaking in India a commercially and socially useful undertaking.

I propose to ask five questions. What are the financial prospects of our railways? Where and how do we invest our funds? How far has regrouping helped our railways to satisfy the demands of consumers? Has this reform promoted the efficiency of our transport system and led to further economy? Has not the time arrived to give a new direction, twist and strength to the Railway Board, by resorting to the old type or a variant of the old, and making it more flexible and adaptable to meet and solve the great and increasing needs of our country?

An Hon. Member: No. (Interruptions).

Dr. Krishnaswami: Before the hon. Member has heard what I have got to say, he says, no. That shows......

An Hon. Member: He means, question.

Shri Nambiar: He is answering in anticipation.

Dr. Krishnaswami: We have invested in our Railways a little over Rs. 850 crores. It is normally assumed by accountants that 40 years is the normal life of plant and equipment in our Railways. We have therefore allotted according to accounting procedure an amount equivalent to 2½ per cent. on capital each year for purposes of depreciation, and renewal of equipment. But this allowance is grossly inadequate and is not related to the costs that we have to incur today. The hon. Minister of Railways knows, that we have inherited a large but shattered railway system—a railway system which was considerably worn out and the rehabilitation of which should have been a matter of top priority. Hon Members who have doubts on this point can take a look at the Explanatory Memorandum furnished to us by the Financial Commissioner of Railways.

On page 36 of that report, are given figures relating to the Depreciation Reserve Fund, and it will be found that right down from 1937 or thereabouts, to 1948-49, the net withdrawals from the Fund have been purely nominal, while the accretions to the Fund have been increasing year by year. What does this show? Today we have inherited along with the vast network of railways, a formidable back-log of depreciation. We have to understand in addition that we will have to provide an amount for making good current depreciation. It is fallacious on the part of hon. Members to assume that historical costs are the only costs that are to be taken into account. What is necessary is to find out the exact amount that would have to be spent today and now for renewal of equipment.

Taking a very simple view—I do not go into the details of the question—it will be realised that our investment in railways which consists of railways, tracks, locomotives and stores would be assessed in terms of current prices at about Rs. 2,200 crores. I therefore feel that if the present rate of depreciation continues we would have made good the back-log of depreciation only by the year 1960. The situation is not rosy as my hon. friend Shri Khandubhai Desai painted. It is much more serious than hon. Members are willing to admit, and in my judgment the time has arrived when we ought to think seriously of the future of this, our biggest nationalised industry. How are we going to make good not only the back-log of depreciation but also current depreciation? What has occurred in our railways is something similar to what occurs in nature. Sometimes, just as erosion of land takes place so too there has been erosion of capital in our railways.

If we assume that the value of the railways is about Rs. 2,200 crores and we make an allowance of 2½ per cent. on the value of capital it will be realised that both for the purpose...
of making good the back-log of depreciation and also for making good current depreciation, we will have to provide about Rs. 115 crores each year. There is no use of relying on the figures of the Planning Commission; there is no use of suggesting that what the Planning Commission has provided for depreciation funds is generous and that our railways would be happily circumstanced after the funds allotted by that body are spent. I would like my friends who have the welfare of our railways at heart to consider what would happen if we do not made a more liberal provision for this purpose. If we do not, then the transport system, which is already shattered, may at any moment collapse. People speak of the inadequacy of finance, people speak of the inadequacy of resources that are available for making our railways efficient. I do not think that these factors need intimidate us. I only want my hon. friends to realise that if we are seriously minded, we can certainly provide the amount that I have suggested—the minimum amount for rehabilitating our railways. I know that there is a tendency in certain circles, certain high circles connected with the Government, to assume that our railways are the Cinderella of our development plan. I am one of those who has always opposed this approach. I feel that railways ought to be given larger amounts of funds, and larger amounts should be devoted to them from the funds of our Five Year Plan so that we may not merely rehabilitate the equipment, but also expand our railways appreciably. Let it be understood that once we expand our communications, not only would we have opened up the hinterlands of our country to greater economic activity, we would also have provided increasing amount of employment to large numbers of our people. Mr. Somani spoke of Rajasthan suffering from inadequate transport facilities. He also pointed out that Rajasthan was on the border and that from the strategic point of view there ought to be an improvement of our communica-

Having examined this aspect I now proceed to examine the thorny problem of regrouping. I wish to make my attitude on this subject clear. I am attempting to examine it from a scientific angle. On the subject of regrouping, there has been a great exercise in passion and emotion. I do not for a moment under-estimate the great sentiment that there is behind this question of regrouping. In fact, Mr. Chairman, to quote the phrase of the Prime Minister, people seem to be emotionally aware of the problem without being intellectually conscious of the merits or demerits of this great questions. My hon. friends from West Bengal, for instance, feel almost to a man strongly on this matter.

Shri K. K. Basu: But not unscientific.

Dr Krishnaswami: I have not said that you are unscientific, nor have I charged those who feel emotional with being unscientific. But I would only add this that there is a possibility of some people being unscientific while they are emotional and there is equally a possibility of others being unscientific without being emotional. I should like to point out that those of us who
have attempted to understand the viewpoint of people hailing from West Bengal should realise that this is an attitude which we have to take into account and which we have to deal with sympathetically. West Bengal is today in the position of Australia. Just as the greatness and glory of Austria depends on Vienna, so too my friends from West Bengal feel that Calcutta's importance should not be allowed to diminish, because that is the only thing that remains with them. It is not an attitude which can be discounted. But I do not say that that is the sole consideration which we ought to take into account, in discussing the question of regrouping and finding out what its merits and demerits are.

7 P.M.

I must point out that in the consideration of this question, the memorandum that has been submitted by the Railway Administration, does not give us any assistance. On page 5, it has been pointed out, 'regrouping of two or three railway systems into a single administrative unit leads to certain economies, both administrative and operational. The question is, how far these expected economies have actually materialised, as a result of the regrouping of railways in India. At the outset, it should be stated that the exact valuation of the advantages accruing from regrouping is difficult owing to the difficulties of arriving at comparable figures of the post and ante-integration period. Certain new factors, namely, the recession in international trade, reduction in the transport of foodgrains, revision of pay scales and the implementation of the adjudicator's award have introduced new factors in the revenue and operational cost position making a comparison unrealistic.'

Now, this line of reasoning is pure casuistry. After all, when we wish to judge the merits of a scheme of regrouping, we have to find out how it works now and compare it with the working of railways, in that past. If there are variable factors, we have to make allowance for these factors and then institute a comparison. I ask a straight question. What is the central idea behind regrouping? People have got themselves so much mixed up in this controversy that they have forgotten the original purpose of regrouping. The main purpose was to have sizable units, which, administratively, could be managed very efficiently and without being subject to excessive centralisation. Now, if regrouping is to be assessed, we must assess the figures of expenditure and revenue of the different zones and use them for a definite purpose. We must use them as criteria for fixing priorities for the development of railway lines in our country and for fixing freight charges in different parts of our country. The hon. Railway Minister has invited us to make suggestions regarding the fixing of freight charges and, it would be highly proper that these figures relating to regrouping zones should be utilised for finding out how we can fix the maxima and minima of freight charges. Here, let me point out that there is a serious flaw that has entered into the accounts that have been submitted to us by the Railway Administration. We know that the North-Eastern Railway for instance is necessarily heavily burdened with strategic lines; a perusal of the accounts gives us the painful impression of its being more ill than it is. One would have expected the Administration, which is interested in finding out the correct commercial positions, to separate the strategic lines from the commercial lines. The strategic lines are there being utilised for defence purposes. We ought to have separated the revenue and disbursement's on the strategic lines from the commercial lines and then we would have had a clearer idea of the profitability of the North-Eastern Railway. As it is, when one reads these figures, one gets a painful impression that the position of this regrouped railway is much worse than what it is. Now, let me take up......

Several Hon. Members: It is five minutes past seven. Sir.
Mr. Chairman: Let him finish; it is very interesting. The party meeting can be postponed for 10 or 15 minutes.

Shri K. K. Basu: It is more important than the party meeting.

Shri Namblar: Let us sit some more time, Sir.

Dr. Krishnaswami: When we embarked on regrouping, we affirmed that it was the business of each regrouped railway to pay its way. That was the essential purpose of Sir Gopalaswami Ayyangar's scheme and I am inviting the attention of this House to it because I want hon. Members to find out how each regrouped system has worked. When we say that each zone must pay its way, what do we mean? We imply that each regrouped zone must contribute its share to the dividend, its share to the working charges and depreciation and something more for purposes of future investment.

Hon. Members know very well that the savings of any corporate enterprise is utilised for further investment, and this is the source of new investment. I doubt very much — I am not saying a harsh thing — whether the regrouped units are working efficiently at all. Statistics have been supplied to us. I will take only one or two as instances to prove my point. On page 23, the statistics, dealing with the Southern Railway, give the locomotive performance on the metre gauge for the year 1951-52 as 81 and for the year 1952-53 as 82, and the figures for engine miles per engine day in use in respect of passengers are 143 in 1951-52 and 140 in 1952-53. Turning to page 25, one finds that the Western Railway's locomotive performance for the metre gauge is only 68 in 1951-52 and 67 in 1952-53. Why is there this disparity? Here is a method of comparing the relative efficiencies of the two Railways. I am not now going into a detailed examination of these statistics, but I am indicating the ways in which we can possibly compare the working of different regrouped Railways. How are decisions regarding investment in new lines taken? No one knows what criteria are taken into account by the Railway Administration. The hon. Minister yesterday in another place attempted to throw light on how decisions pertaining to new investment are determined. He suggested certain criteria, but I hope he will forgive me when I suggest that, while these criteria are important, they only give a brief indication of how decisions may be determined. We, who are shareholders in this railway enterprise, would like to have more light thrown on how these investment decisions are being taken. Are they taken from a purely economic point of view? No light is thrown on this subject. How are the developmental needs of the backward areas, notably the South, decided? Here let me point out that I am thankful to the Minister of Railways for having stumbled into accepting the policy of linking Hassan with Mangalore. It is a scheme which is long overdue and was espoused by the individual Railway administrations of the past. How are these decisions determined? Are they determined on political grounds, say, on the strength of powerful States and more powerful politicians? I think it would be most unfortunate if this should continue and make havoc of our railways. It was Dr. Johnson who said that "A cow is a very good animal in a field, but we turn her out of a garden" and Boswell adds "Lord Eliebank used to repeat that this was an illustration uncommonly apt." I do not think it is apt to remind my hon. friend the Railway Minister of this remark. So far as these decisions are concerned, political factors ought to be kept at a minimum and we should have decisions taken on economic and welfare grounds, the latter being important in the context of the times we live in. Let us, however, realise that conditions differ from zone to zone. It is a mistake to assume as some members of the Railway Board do, that a uniform Railway policy of investment and rates and freights is a national policy and that it is productive of the greatest good to our economy.
I hold an entirely different view and in this view I am fortified by the wisdom of the United Kingdom where a decision has been taken only last year to annual regrouping. In the early stages of 1947-48, as you will remember, the English Railways were regrouped. A great agitation took place in the House of Commons. There was an acrimonious debate in the course of which Sir David Maxwell Fyfe made interesting observations pertaining to this very idea of decentralisation of transport. The remarks are very brief and I shall not tire the House by quoting them in extenso.

"I believe that local application, which can only be done by relatively small units, has four great advantages. By it, we get an understanding of the particular needs of the customer which no nationalised undertaking can have. We get a comprehension of the urgency and importance of proper timing of the arrivals of vehicles. We get the stressing of the importance of the absence of unnecessary handling, which is essential to large classes of goods, and finally we get a quick and certain delivery which no one has ever been able to show that nationalised industry can give. That is one side of it."

Eventually as I said earlier after an acrimonious discussion the Bill to annual regrouping was passed by Parliament. Sections 16 and 17 of the British Transport Act clarifies this position and I make a present of this information to my hon. friend.

I have got some other points.

Mr. CHALMERS: The hon. Member has already taken twenty-two minutes. The House stands adjourned till two o'clock on Thursday, the 4th March, 1954.

The House then adjourned till Two of the Clock on Thursday, the 4th March, 1954.