LOK SABHA DEBATES

Third Session (Third Lok Sabha)



LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT New Delhi

CONTENTS

[Third Series, Volume X—November 21 to December 4, 1962/Kartika 30 to Agrahayana 13, 1884 (Saka)]

											COLUMNS
No. 11Wednesday,	Nove	m ber	21,	1962/	Kartik	ia 30,	1884	(5	aka)—		
Oral Answers to Ques	tions_							Ì			
Starred Questions	s Nos.	2901	to 30	0, 302	to 306	5 and	308				2509 50
Written Answers to Q			•		•		_				
Starred Questions	Nos.	301,	307 1	nd 30	9 to 31	18					2650-57
Unstarred Question	ons N	os. 64	2 to	697							265 7—89
Statement re: reported	d Chir	nese o	ffer (of Cea	se-fire	:					26 89—2702
Papers hid on the Tab		2703-05									
Messages from Rajya											2705-00
Public Accounts Comm											
Second Report	•	٠									2706- 07
Customs Bill											2707-2802
Motion to conside	r										£707—48
Clauses 3 to 101, 1	og to	122,	124 t	0 130	. 132 to	0 161	and C	Clau		102, 123,	
131 and 1 .	•				•			•	•		2748—2802
Motion to pass						•	•		•	•	2802
Defence of India Bill-											
Motion to conside				•	•		•	•			2802-24
Business of the House Daily Digest	•		•		•			•			2824—26
	•		•	•	•		•	•			2827-34
No. 12.—Thursday, No	vembe	r 22,	1962	Agra	hayan	a I, I	884 (5	Sake	z)—		
Oral Answers to Quest											
Starred Questions	Nos. 3	21	323, 3	325, 3	2733	36, 33	3				2835-71
Written Answers to Qu	estion	s—									
Starred Questions 1	Nos. 3	20, 3	24, 3	26, 33	7, 339						2871-74
Unstarred Question	is Nos	. 698	-700,	702-7	71						2874-2921
Re. Cease-fire on India-		bord	er		' .						2922-26
Papers laid on the Table											29263 0
Public Accounts Comm											
Third-report	•	•	•								293 0
											2930
Leave of Absence from s	itting	of th	e Ho	use							2931
Pondicherry (Administra				•							2932-44
Motion to consider			•	•				•	•	•	2932-43
Clauses 2 to 20 and			•								294 3~44
Motion to pass Business of the House		•	•					•	•		2944
Indian Tariff (Amendme	ne\ P:		•					٠	2944,	2969—75,	
Motion to consider	t) B1			•						2945—56,	
Clauses I and 2		•	•					•		294556-	295867

						COLUMNS
Motion to pass .						2968
Re. Extension of Session						2956—58
Defence of India Bill—.						
Motion consider .					. 296	i8-69, 2976-3068
Daily Digest .						307178
No. 13.—Friday, November 23, 196	2/Agra	ihayana 2,	1884	(Saka)-	_	
Oral Answers to Questions-						
Starred Questions Nos. 341 to	361, a	nd 363				. 3079-3120
Short Notice Question No. 1						3121-22
Written Answers to Questions-						
Starred Questions Nos. 340, 36	2 and	364 to 369				3122—26
Unstarred Questions Nos. 772						3126—76
						3177-79
Message from Rajya Sabha .						3180
Estimates Committee Report—						
Eleventh Report						. 3180
Business of the House						3180-86, 3240
Bills introduced—						
 Taxation Laws (Amendment 	t) Bill					3187
Constitution (Fifteenth Am	endme	nt) Bill				3188
3. Major Port Trusts Bill						3187
4. Textiles Committee Bill						3188
Re. Note on publicity in connection	n with	Chinese a	ggress	ion.		3188
Defence of India Bill—						
	•					. 3189—3239
Resolution re. Economy during em		У				3240—3310
Resolution re. Ayurvedic system	•					3310—14
Daily Digest		•				3315—22
No. 14Monday, November 26, 1	962/ <i>A</i> g	grahayana	5, 188	4 (Sak	2)—	
Calling Attention to Matter of Urg	gent Pu	ıblic Impo	rtance	-		
Cracker explosion in Delhi						3323-25
Papers laid on the Table				~		. 3325–26
Message from Rajya Sabha .						3327
Manipur (Sales of Motor Spirit an		ricants) Ta	xatio	n Bill—	Introduced	. 3327
Re: Cease-fire						. 3328—36
Defence of India Bill						· 3337—3512
Motion to consider						3337-3421
Clauses 2 and 3						3421—3512
Daily Digest	•	•	•			3513-14
No. 15.—Tuesday, November 27,	1962/ <i>A</i>	grahayana	, 6, 18	884 (Sa	aka)—	
Oral Answers to Questions—						
Short Notice Question No. 2						3515-17
Papers laid on the Table						3517-18
Defence of India Bill—						
Clauses 3, 4, 7 to 12, 16, 17, 5	. 6. 12	. IA. 1< an	d 18			3518—8 9
Motion re. Price of Sugarcane	., 0, 23	, - 4, - ,				3589—3662
Daily Digest						3563-64

						COLUM	N
No. 16Wednesday, Novem	iber 2	3, 196	2/Agra	haya	ma 7, 188	84 (Saka)—	
Orai Answer to Question-							
Short Notice Question	No. 3					3665—70	0
Re: Cease-fire						367	
Papers laid on the Table						3671-72	2
Message from Rajya Sabha		•		٠.		367	2
Committee on Private Memi	bers' l	Bills a	nd Res	oluti	ons—		
Eleventh Report						3672	2
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						3673—3753, 3754—6	
Clauses 18 to New clau		and I				3673 – 3728	_
Motion to pass, as ame						. 3728—53, 3754—60	
Arrest of Member .						3753-54	
State-Associated Banks (Mis				ions)	Bill	3767—8	
Motion to consider						3767—81	
Clauses 2 to 6 and 1				•		378	
Motion to pass .				•	·	3781	
Employees' Provident Fund					•	3781—380	
Motion to consider, as					•		
Clauses 1 and 2 .						3808	
Motion to pass .							
Warehousing Corporations			•	•	•		
Motion to consider		_				3809—12	
Motion to consider	•					3009-1-	•
Daily Digest .						3813-14	ŀ
No. 17.—Thursday, November Papers laid on the Table	, y	, 1902)		 ,	. 0, 1004	3815-16	
Message from Rajya Sabha						[3816	5
Hindi Sahitya Sammelan (A	Ameno	iment) Bill-	-			
Laid on the Table as p	assed	by Ra	ijya Sa	bha		3816	5
Warehousing Corporations					*	. 3817—3918	3
Motion to consider						. 3817—3914	ŀ
Clauses 2 to 43, and 1						. 3914—18	3
Motion to pass .		•	•		•	. 3918	3
Workmens' Compensation							
Motion to consider						. 3918—42	ì
Daily Digest .		•			•	. 3943-44	ŀ
No. 18.—Priday November	30, 1	962/ A	grahay	ana,	9, 1884 ((Saka)—	
Paper laid on the Table						3945	•
Business of the House							
Re: Calling Attention Notice			•			3950	
				ı			
Workmen's Compensation	(Ame	namer	it) Bill			3950—59, 3959—73, 3978—9	
Motion to consider						3950—59, 3959—73, 3978—89	
Clauses 2 to 12 and 1			•	•	•		
Motion to pass, as ame						. 3991	
Calling Attention to Matter Proposed talk between		-				397378	Ł
TOPOSCO TRIK DETWEET	Ingle	and P	MKISTS!	u On		. 37/3/0	

									COLUM
Multi-Unit Co-operative Sock	eties (A	mend	ment)	Bill					. 39914000
Motion to consider									. 399199
Clauses 2, 3 and 1 .									. 3999-4000
Motion to pass .									. 4000
Delimitation Commission Bill-	_								
Motion to consider									. 4000—14
Committee on Private Membe	rs' Bill	s and l	Resolu	utions	_				•
Eleventh Report .									. 4014-15
Bille introduced-									
1. Compensation (Amend Shri P. L. Barupal	ment)	Bill (/	Amend	ment c	of sect	ions	15, 30	etc.	b y • 4015
2. Income-tax (Amendme tacharyya	ent) Bil	l (Ame	ndmer	nt of sec	tion 2)	by S	Shri C.	K. Bh	at- . 4015–₹6
Constitution (Amendment) Shri D C Sharma—	Bill-	–Nego	uived	(Amen	dment	of .	Article	226)	by
Motion to consider									. 4016-21
Dahi Rent Control (Amendme 20 and insertion of new se								5 14 a	•
Motion to consider			•						. 4021-58
Daily Digest									4059-60
No 19 Morday, December 3,	1962/2	Agrah a	ıyana,	12, 188	34 (Sa	ka)			
		-	-						
Calling Attention to Matters (i) Reported existence of	-	-		_			4 377	777 A	
· .			piona	ge ring	ın As	sam i	and Mi	SPA ar	•
(4) Reported attack on D President's assent to Bills	r. Go	pai .	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 406670
	d NT1	D-		C+ -+!					. 4070
Statement re, location of Third Re: Cease fire	a Maci	CBIT PO	wer	Station					. 4071—73
	• •	•	•	•					4073—79
Bills introduced—	· Tr	T							
(1) Dethi Motor Vehicles					÷				4079
(2) Personnel Injuries (Em	-	-		Bill; 8	ına				4080
(3) Indian Tariff (Second	Amen	ament) Rill	•	•				. 4080
Delimitation Commission Bill	•	•	•	•	•				4081-4210
Motion to consider		•	•	•	•				4081-4142
Clauses 2 to 11, and 1		•	•	•	•				. 4142-4209
Motion to pass, as amende	d ·	•	•	•	٠				42 09–10
Detention of a Member		•	•	•	•				4210
Daily Digest		•			•				4211-12
No. 20—Tuesday, December 4, 1	1962/A	grahay	ana I	3, 1884	(Saka))—			
Oral Answers to Questions—									
Short Notice Questions No	0s. 4 an	ıd 5							. 4213-20
Calling Attention to Matters of	Urgen	it Pub	lic Im	portano	æ—				
(Y) Supply of MIGs	-								. 4220-27
(2) Firing by Chinese on I		soldie	rs .						· 4227—31
Re: Reported Railway accident					Ċ				. 4231
Papers laid on the Table	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		. 4231
Message from Rajya Sabha									. 4232
Gift Tax (Amendment) Bill									4232—89
Motion to consider									· 4232—89
Clauses 2 to 36 and 1									4289
									7-07

										COLUMN
Motion to pass										4289
Taxation Laws (Ame	ndmer	nt) Bill-	-							
Motion to consid	der									4289 9 4
Motion re: Report or	India	n and S	tate Ad	ministr	ative S	Servic	es .		. 4	1294—4384
Business Advisory Co	mmitt	ce-								
Tenth Report	•									4384
Daily Digest										4385-86

N.B.—The sign + marked above the name of a member on questions which were orally answered indicates that the question was actually asked on the floor of the House by that Member.

4061

406**2**

LOK SABHA

Monday, December 3, 1962/Agrahayana 12, 1884 (Saka)

The Lok Sabha met at twelve of the Clock.

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]
12 hrs.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MAT-*TERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

REPORTED EXISTENCE OF CHINESE ESPIONAGE RING IN ASSAM AND NEFA

Shri S. M. Banerjee: (Kanpur): Sir, under Rule 197, I call the attention of the Minister of Home Affairs to the following matter of urgent public importance and I request that he may make a statement thereon:

Reported Chinese espionage ring in Assam and NEFA.

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri): Sir, the report that appeared in the Times of India on the 28th November in regard to the alleged Chinese espionage ring in Assam and NEFA has been carefully examined. I am informed that no Chinese agent was arrested alleged in the Rangapara area and that no transistorised communications equipment has been recovered there. It is true that one of the refugee Chinese had run a tea shop at Bomdila, where he had been settled by the NEFA authorities some time, but in 1961 he was moved away from this place. Government are fully aware of the dangers espionage activity and the need to control and counter it firmly effectively.

It is correct that a large number of Chinese have been arrested in 2320 (Ai) LS—1

Assam and in the northern districts of West Bengal and are being removed for internment. I would like to assure the House that the strictest possible measures are being and will be taken to deal with any acts of subversion or espionage.

Shri S. M Baneriee: In the Times of India of the 28th November it was stated:

"It is perfectly clear that no espionage system can be effectively operated by a country through its own nationals alone. It is likely that the Chinese planted their agents among the Tibetan refugees, who came down to India earlier, and that enemy agents will be found among the NEFA tribal evacuees who are at present trickling down to the plains"

It also said that there are Indians in other walks of life who have also been doing this job.

May I know whether our security measures and intelligence, which have unfortunately failed, have been tightened up and if so, what positive steps have been taken to see that these elements are combed out properly?

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: Well, Sir, it is a very general statement which the Times of India has made. In any country and in any area it could be easily said, or perhaps in China itself it could be said that there are Indian agents in China. Similarly it could be said that there are Indian agents of China in India. I cannot reply to that point as to what the Times of India has said. As I said, it is not entirely correct. It is difficult to say anything on that

[Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri]
point. But we have to remain vigilant and careful.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: May I know whether some of the Tibetan refugees were used in this, because they have been employed in various undertakings in India.

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: No, we have not any such information that Tibetan refugees as such have been employed in that kind of activity.

श्री बागड़ी (हिसार): चीनी जो गिरफ्तार हुए हैं क्या उन से इस किस्म की इनक्वायरी की गई है कि उन का हिन्दु-स्तानियों से कोई लिंक था या उन्हों ने लिंक पैदा किया जिस की कि बिना पर वह हिन्दु-स्तान में चीन के हक में श्रौर हिन्दुस्तान के ख़िलाफ़ प्रचार कर सके ग्रौर काम कर सके श्रौर ग्रगर कोई नतीजा निकला है तो ऐसे हिन्दुस्तानियों के खिलाफ क्या सख्त ऐक्शन लिया गया है या लिया जाने वाला है?

श्री लाल बहादुर शास्त्री: कोई हिन्दु-स्तानी ऐसा गिरफ्तार नहीं हुग्रा है । मैं ने तो कहा है कि चीनी गिरफ्तार हुए हैं ...

श्री बागड़ी : मैं ने . . .

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय: माननीय सदस्य जानना चाहते हैं कि जो गिरफ्तार हुए हैं उन से कोई ऐसी इत्तिला मिली है कि हमारे हिन्दुस्तानी भी उन् को कोई मदद दे रहे थे ग्रीर ग्रगर ऐसी इत्तिला मिली है तो उन के वरखिलाफ क्या कार्यवाही की जा रही है ?

श्री लाल बहादुर शास्त्री: ऐसी इतिला नहीं मिली है ग्रीर न शायद वह यह कहने को तैयार होंगे कि वहां उन की मदद करते रहे हैं।

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy (Kendrapara): In recent days, there has been a mushroom growth of restaurants and tea-shops especially in Shillong and near-about areas, and may I

know whether Government have verified whether these hotels and restaurants are large centres of espionage for Chinese purposes?

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: Of course, we have now taken action and those restaurants and tea-shops will no longer function.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: I want to know whether the Government have verified whether any of these places have been working as espionage centres by the Chinese.

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: These tea-shops, etc.?

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Yes.

Shri Lai Bahadur Shastri: The hon. Member is suggesting that they are perhaps yet functioning as such centres?

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Yes.

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: Well, as far as I am aware, they are not, but a very large number, about 1,100,—as far as I remember—Chinese have been arrested in Assam and therefore these centres, even if they were being misused in any shape or form before, are not being allowed to function. So, there is no fear of any such thing.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangbad): Is it a fact that very recently a Chinese spy was caught redhanded by the Gauhati police taking-photographs of the big Brahmaputra bridge, that he was given bail by the local magistrate, of Rs. 1,000 only, that an Indian local resident stood surety and that the Chinese jumped bail. (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: That has been discussed here.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It was not discussed here; not at all. This is the first time it is being mentioned here. (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member spoke about that.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: But it has not been replied to; it has not been answered. Is it a fact that he jumped bail and has absconded since and, if so, what measures are being taken by Government not merely to weed out such spies from NEFA and Assam but also such uncautious and probably suspect officers and other Indian citizens in Assam and NEFA?

Shri Lai Bahadur Shastri: As far as I am aware, the information of the hon. Member is not quite correct. Yet, I would also myself like to look into the details. But as far as I had come to know of it, I made enquiries and found that what the hon. Member has said just now is not wholly correct and any kind of reflection on the officers in regard to that particular matter is not justified.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: What is the correct story then? Let us have it. If mine is not correct, let him give the correct story.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Tyagi: Was 'he given bail or not?

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Minister has said that it is not wholly correct. Therefore, naturally, Members want to know to what extent it is correct.

Shri Tyagi: Was he let off cn bail or not?

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastai: I do not exactly remember the details, and therefore, I said that.

Shri Tyagi: Was he let off on bail or not?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Let him give the information tomorrow, then.

Mr. Speaker: But it is not necessary that it should be tomorrow.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: During this session.

Shri Hem Barua: In view of the fact that the Chinese have displayed extraordinary knowledge of the diffi-

cult terrain as also of the unmapped tortuous tracks of NEFA, may I know whether it is not a fact that the Chinese have organised a deep-seated espionage ring in that area, even by allowing Chinese girls to be married to NEFA tribesmen and, if so, may I know whether the Government have taken any positive steps to see that the Chinese wives of Indian tribesmen do not percolate into the Assam plains with the stream of refugees that is coming up?

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: About the latter part, I entirely agree. In fact, that is the main question which the hon. Member has put. We will certainly be careful and take necessary action.

Shri Hem Barua: Sir, my question has not been answered. I wanted to know about the Chinese wives of the Indian tribesmen.

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: I answered that.

Mr. Speaker: That is what he answered.

श्री यशपाल सिंह : क्या में जान सकता हूं कि गिरफ्तार हुए चाइनीज की तादाद कितनी है ? क्या में यह भी जान सकता है कि क्या हिस्ट्री में कोई भी मिसाल ऐसी है कि दुश्मन पन्द्रह हजार फीट ऊंची पहाड़ियों को लांघ कर तीन दिन में ५५ मोल श्रागे श्रा गया हो ?

श्रध्यक्ष महोवय : मिनिस्टर साहब सिर्फ़ यह बतायें कि गिरफ्तार हुए चाइनीज की तादाद कितनी है ?

श्री लाल बहादुर शास्त्री : उन की तादाद श्रासाम में ११०० श्रीर करीब ६३६ वेस्ट बंगाल में है ।

12.11 hrs.

REPORTED ATTACK ON Dr. S. GOPAL

श्री रामसेवक यावव (बाराबंकी) : अध्यक्ष महोदय, में नियम १६७ के अन्तर्गत प्रधान मंत्री का ध्यान निम्न अविलम्बनीय लोक महत्व के विषय की श्रोर प्राकृष्ट करता

[श्री राम सेवक या व]

हूं श्रीर चाहता हूं कि वह इस सम्बन्ध में श्रपना वनतव्य दें :---

> "परराप्ट्र मंत्रालय में इतिहास विभाष के निर्देशक, डा॰ एस॰ गोपाल, पर उल्लिखित हमला ।"

प्रधान मंत्री तथा वैदेशिक कार्य मंत्री तया ग्रण शक्ति मंत्री (श्री जवाहर लाल नेहरू): कल जबकि हमारी तरफ से हमारी मिनिस्टर, श्रीमती लक्ष्मी मेनन, ग्रौर डा॰ गोपाल सिंगापुर से मद्रास जा रहे थे, तो हवाई जहाज पर यह वाक्या हम्रा । डा० गोपाल के पीछ की सीट पर विहारी लाल नाम का एक शहस बैठा हम्रा था, जो सुराबाया में जनियर क्लकं था। वह वहा से ग्रलग किये गये थे, क्योंकि उन की निस्बत कुछ शिकायतें हुई थीं कि वह ठीक काम नहीं करते हैं । . जहां तक मालम हुन्ना है, उन्हों ने एक कांटे से कछ हमला किया ग्रीर डा० गोपाल को चेहरे ग्रीर कंघे पर थोडी मी चोट लगी। मद्रास पहुंच कर उन को गिरफ्तार कर लिया गया प्रौर डा॰ गोपाल हस्पताल ले जाये गय । मालम होता है कि चोट खतरनाक नहीं है। उन को थोड़ी सी चोट लगी है स्रौर बह ग्रपने प्रोग्राम को पूरा करेंगे। ग्राज वह श्रीर श्रीमती लक्ष्मी मेनन कोलम्बो जा रहे हैं।

जैसाक में ने कहा है, यह बिहारी लाल, जिन्हों ने यह हमला किया, वहां से हटाये गये थे, बलाये गये थे, क्योंकि उन की कार्यवाही ठीक नहीं मालूम हुई । उन की निस्वत में इतना अर्ज करना चाहता हूं कि चार बरस हुए, वह तेहरान की हमारी ऐम्बसी में बलर्क थे और उन्हों ने, उस बक्त वहां पर हमारे जो एम्बसेडर थे, श्री बदम्हीन तयबजी, उन पर हमला किया था, उन्हों ने किसी हथियार से हमला नहीं किया, लेकिन उन्हों ने किया था, एसाल्ट रिया था। वह वापिस बला लिये गये थे

भौर उन पर डिसिप्लिनरी एक्शन लिया गया था और उन की तन्ख्वाह वग़ैरह का बढना, इजाफ़ा, रोक दिया गया था । उस वक्त कुछ शक हम्रा था कि उन के दिमाग में ख़लल है, वह मेन्टली ग्रनबलेन्स्ड हैं। वह यहां पर, हैडक्वार्टर्ज में, उसी क्लर्क की हैसियत से दो तीन बरस रखे गये थे श्रौर इस साल की जनवरी में वह सूराबाया भेजे गये थे । ग्रब वह वहां से ब्लाये गये थे, क्योंकि वहां पर भी उन्हों ने ठीक काम नहीं किया । कुछ शिकायतें थीं शायद, लेकिन मालुम यही होता है कि उन के दिमाग में कुछ फ़ितूर है। मझे बहत श्रफ़सोस है कि ऐसी बात हुई, खास कर हमारे हिस्टारिकल• डिविजन के डायरेक्टर, डा० गोपाल, के साथ, जोकि हमारे प्रेजिडेन्ट साहब के लडके हैं। ग्रीर तो कोई खास बात में इस वक्त नहीं कह सकता । ग़ालिबन उन पर मकदमा चलेगा श्रीर जो कुछ भी मनासिब कार्यवाही होगी, वह की जायगी।

श्री रामसेवक यादव : श्रघ्यक्ष महोदय, में यह जानना चाहता हूं कि जिस क्लर्क ने डा० गोपाल पर हमला किया, क्या उस को उन से यह शिकायत थी कि चाइना के प्रति उन का व्यवहार श्रमेंत्री-पूर्ण है।

श्री जवाहरलाल नेहरू : इस की तफ-सील नहीं श्रीई है के उन पर किस बात पर हमला हुआ । लेकिन कुछ उन की बातें हुई और बातों में—उस बक्त लंच का बक्त था । शायद छुरी-कांटे उन के सामने रखे हुये थे श्रीर वे लोग शायद खाना खा रहे थे—कांटे से उन पर हमला किया गया । वह शख्स शायद उन के पीछे बैंटा था ।

श्री मोहन स्वरूप: प्रधान मंत्री जी ने ग्रभी कहा है कि उसका दिमागखराब था। में यह जानना चाहता हूं कि इस किस्म के श्रादमी को काम करने का दोबारा क्यों मौका दिया गया।

Public Importance

श्री जवाहरलाल नेहरू: चार बरस हये, जब कि तेहरान में वह वाकया हम्रा था। वह यहां लाये गये । मझे तफसील याद नहीं है, ले केन गालिबन उस वक्त उन्होंने सफाई पेश की श्रीर माफी मांगी। यह समझा गया कि वह छोटे स्रोहदे पर हैं स्रौर उनको मौका दिया गया । लेकिन यह जाहिर है कि उन को मौका देने का कोई खास फायदा नहीं हम्रा ।

श्री स० मो० बनर्जी: प्रधान मंत्री जी ने फरमाया कि उस शख्स के दिमाग में फितुर था। मैं यह जानना चाहता हं के श्रा खर उस को अपनी नौकरी से हटाया क्यों गया था । क्या उस के खिलाफ कोई शंकायत थी ? क्या ऐसा तो नहीं था कि वह हिंदुस्तान के खिलाफ काम कर रहा था?

श्री जवाहरलाल नेहरू: मैंने भ्रज किया है कि वह जकार्ता में हमारी एम्बेसी में नहीं थे। वह सूराबाया में हमारे कान्सु-लेट में काम करते थे। वहां से शेकायतें म्रार्ड कि काम वगैरह ठीक नहीं होता है। तफ नील हमारे पास इस वक्त नहीं है--हम एकदम से नहीं ला सकें हैं, लेकिन मेरा ह्याल है कि शरू नवम्बर में उनकी शिका-यतें हमारे पास ग्राई थीं । १० नवम्बर को एम्बंसेडर ने लिखाया। हमने कुछ दिन बाद उन को लेखा था के उन को वापस कर ेदया जाये स्रौर वह इत वक्त वापिस क्रा रहे थे।

श्री यशपाल सिंह: में यह जानना चाहता हं कि डा० गोपाल को जो जानी नक्सान हुन्ना है, उस का किस तरह से कम्पेनसेशन दिया जायेगा ?

भी बड़े: पेपर में यह स्राया है कि उस व्यक्ति ने यह कह कर डा० गोपाल पर हमला किया कि "यू ग्रार एन्टी-कम्युनिस्ट" ग्रौर यह कि बिहारी लाल पहले से प्रो-कम्युनिस्ट था भ्रीर जकार्ता से उस के बारे में रिपोर्ट श्रा गई थी । मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या ये बातें सच हैं।

भी जवाहरलाल नेहरू : तेहरान में ऐसा कोई सवाल नहीं था । तेहरान में उन्होंने श्री तयबजी पर हमला किया था, लेकिन वहां तो यह सवाल नहीं उठता था।

श्री बड़े: मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या प्रेस में छपी ये बातें सच हैं कि एरोप्लेन में बिहारी लाल ने डा० गोपाल पर यह कह कर हमला किया कि तुम एन्टी-कम्युनिस्ट हो भ्रौर वह खुद प्रो-कम्युनिस्ट था।

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: We do not have the exact details about the conversation. It is quite possible that he might have said something like that.

Shri Hem Barua: It seems that this Bihari Lal is not of a regular goonda type. Is it a fact that he was engaged in transmitting certain, confidential matters to the Chinese? That is the impression one gets for he used the words "you are unfriendly China" and that sort of thing.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: That is a matter in which certainly a full inquiry should be made. The Member may remember what I have said. He was not in our Embassy; he was in our Consulate in Sourabaya and the Consulate work normally does not consist of much confidential matter. Anyhow, that matter should be enquired into.

12.19 hrs.

PRESIDENT'S ASSENT TO BILLS

Secretary: Sir, I lay on the Table following two Bills passed by the Houses of Parliament during the current Session and assented to by the President since a report was made to the House on the 8th November, 1962:

- (1) The Appropriation (Railways) No. 5 Bill, 1962.
- (2) The Appropriation (No. Bill, 1962.

Location of Third Nuclear Power Station

12.19} hrs.

STATEMENT RE: LOCATION OF THIRD NUCLEAR POWER STATION

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Dinesh Singh): Sir, the Department of Atomic Energy appointed a Committee in August 1961 for selection of suitable sites for locating nuclear power stations whose construction might be undertaken during the Third and Fourth Plan period. The Committee was headed by Shri M. Hayat, former Chairman of the Central Water and Power Commission and consisted of the Member for Hydro-electricity of the Central Water and Commission and senior scientific and technical officers of the Atomic Energy Establishment, Trombay,

The terms of reference of the Committee were:

- (1) to select a suitable site for a nuclear power station of the GANDU type 200 megawatt in the general area of Delhi-Punjab-Rajasthan-U. P. The site should preferably be capable of having a second unit of 200 megawatts added at a later date.
- (2) To select about six other suitable sites for nuclear power stations in the rest of so as to have a list available use. whenever need arises, for locating further nuclear power stations in the country during the Third and Fourth Five Year Plans. At least one such site should be in South India, preferably in the Madras State. These sites should be placed order of suitability.

The Committee has submitted two reports, one dealing with the term of reference and the other recommending certain sites in South India. The following two sites in the Delhi-Punjab-Rajasthan-Uttar Pradesh region were recommended hv th Committee in order of preferen-

- (i) Rana Pratap Sagar near Kotah in Rajasthan.
- (ii) Gangabas in Bulandshahr District, Uttar Pradesh,

and, as already announced, Government have selected the site near Rana Pratap Sagar for the next nuclear power station. As regards suitable sites in South India, the mittee recommended the following sites in order of merit:-

- (i) Kalpakkam near Mahabalipuram in Madras State.
- (ii) Billigundlu near Hoganekkal in Madras State.
- (iii) Somasila near Srisilam in Andhra Pradesh.
- (iv) Sangam near Mekadatu in Mysore State.

The sites at Billigundlu and Sangam will only be possible on the assumption that the hvdro-electric schemes of Hoganekkal Mekadatu are implemented, and the site at Somasila is dependent on the hydro-electric scheme at Srisilam being implemented.

The location of a nuclear station near hydro systems is highly desirable in order to provide necessary backing to storage hydro projects in which the optimum generating capacity has been installed to utilise the flood flow during monsoon period. This would enable more effective use of the hvdro power available and obviate the seasonal power cuts which practically paralyse all established industries in hydro-electric areas.

The State Governments concerned have been addressed to ascertain their willingness to comply with the various conditions which are requisite to the establishment of a nuclear power station.

No decision has yet been taken to proceed with the installation of

third nuclear power station, but when the decision is taken, it is proposed to locate it at Kalpakkam near Mahabalipuram in Madras State, which is the first in order of suitability among the sites recommended by the State Selection Committee.

12.23 hrs.

RE: CEASE-FIRE

Shri Hem Barua (Gauhati): On a point of order, Sir. May I ask one thing about the latest Chinese proposal? The clarifications offered by China are confusing. That we came know from the statement made by Shrimati Menon in Rangoon. After that the hon. Prime Minister took the Congress Partymen confidence and discussed these proposals with them. That has widely reported in the press My point of order lies in the fact that when the Parliament is sitting, possibly it would have been better on the part of the hon. Prime Minister take Parliament into confidence first groups and then to take other other factors into confidence. are not have to be enlightened statements from Rangoon or any other place abroad. We want to be enlightened here. Now, there is the disturbing news coming Cairo. It says that without resiling from our position or stand of the 8th September our representatives delegates have given the President of the UAR to understand that our Government are ready to make certain minor adjustments. There have been confusing things. so many fore, I think, it is the right of Parliament to know before anybody else knows or before the Parliament gets enlightened through other channels.

The Prime Minister, Minister of External Affairs and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): The hon. Member, I think, is misinformed when he thinks that I gave any information on this subject to a Congress Party meeting.

Shri Hem Barua: That was in the papers.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I am sorry. I said practically nothing about that except about how this matter is proceeding. Subsequent to that, the letter that I received from Premier Chou En-lai and my reply to it were published and we have placed copies of them in the Parliament Library. There is nothing more that I say. I do not know what he refers to, to some messages or telegrams from Cairo and other places. constantly coming. They are possibly rumours and other They are not precise. I quite agree that whenever I have any definite information to give I should give it There to Parliament first. doubt about it. I do not think I have gone against that at any stage (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Three or four hon. Members should not speak at a time.

Shri Hem Barua: I have read all that correspondence. I know about that. But before that correspondence was published, we were enlightened from Rangoon by Shrimati Menon's statement for the first time that the clarifications offered by China were confusing. That is what I say. If they are confusing possibly we should know that they are confusing from the hon. Prime Minister instead of knowing it from Shrimati Menon through a statement in Rangoon.

Mr. Speaker: There is nothing that is disclosed in these observations that the proposals confusing. are What would the hon. Member have gained if he had only been told that the proposals are confusing? Nothing further. That would have helped him or the House in knowing only this much that the proposals are confusing. That means that there is nothing to be said at present.

Several Hon. Members rose-

M.: Speaker: No discussion. The only question raised was that when there is some information to be given or a statement to be made about policy, that should be made first in the House. The Prime Minister says that that is the correct procedure. What is there to pursue the matter?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: May I request the Prime Minister to make a statement if possible regarding the reported withdrawal of Chinese forces in pursuance of the Chinese Government's announcement a few days ago, today or tomorrow?

Mr. Speaker: I had received several notices on this subject this morning and I have sent them on to the Prime Minister. The Members would agree that when such information is to be given, and to what extent it has to be given, that would ultimately have to be left to the Prime Minister when he considers there is something to be imparted. We should not press that at this particular moment....

Shri Harl Vishnu Kamath: I submit, there are conflicting press reports. We want to be enlightened. That is all.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: In the newspapers, there have been banner headlines: No information of Chinese pull out.

Mr. Speaker: They are their views, their observations. I have only said that I have passed on these notices to the Prime Minister. When he feels there is information to be given, he would give us. But, we should not compel him just at this moment.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Whether he has any information?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I have not yet received the notices which you have been pleased to send. But, the position is rather a confused one. Therefore, it is not easy for me to make a precise statement. Apparently, there have been some withdrawals.

There have been signs of withdrawals. of trucks going back, e.c., in rear. But in the front positions the Chinese forces, they may have been thinned out, but they have not withdrawn actually. Perhaps Member might have seen reports the effect that the Chinese have offered to hand over to the Indian Cross some wounded prisoners after tomorrow at Bomdi La. means, presumably, that they would be at Bomdi La, some of them, to hand them over. But, behind the Chinese front, there have been apparently some withdrawals. It is difficult know exactly how many have withdrawn, who have withdrawn The process appears to be going on in various places.

Shri Hem Barua: The Hsin Hua news agency says that the Chinese are fraternising with the local people there which means that they might leave behind them some communist cells in the N. E. F. A May I know whether the Government propose to take any steps to see that these ce'ls do not exist and even if they exist, they are broken?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It is for the Home Minister.

Shri Hem Barua: The Prime Minister can say.

Shri Tyagi: May I submit, sometimes, there are questions on highly diplomatic matters on which any definite reply does definite harm to the country. I would therefore suggest that there must be some curb applied and in this way every question should not be replied.

Some Hon. Members: It is for the Prime Minister.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: We are equally responsible.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Sometimes the approach, it may be honest, is different. I always believe that every Member really has sincere intentions and is as patriotic as any other. There is no doubt about that.

But, then, it has to be decided whether every question has to be answered or not. That has to be decided. The Prime Minister has wered that question already. As regards Shri Tyagi's question, I do not know whether it is directed towards me or towards the Prime Minister.

Shri Tyagi: I want to appeal the Prime Minister not to be so liberal in answering these questions.

Mr. Speaker: That was what I said. Shri Tyagi has appealed to the Prime M.nister.

Shri Ranga (Chittoor): May I make one suggestion? From day to day,we would be here only for a few days more,-so many of us may be getting some ideas and some points on which we would like to have enlightenment and so on. May I make a suggestion in all humility that we shall choose to send those things to you, and P ime Minister may be given enough time so that he may be abe to pick and choose all those points on which he would like to give his considered views?

Mr. Speaker: I am doing it already. If hon, Members want to send them certainly I shall welcome them. They may send them on to me.

श्री रामसेवक यादव (बाराबंकी): म्राध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा एक व्यवस्था सम्बन्धी प्रश्न है । प्रधान मंत्री ग्रौर पाकिस्तान के राष्ट्रपति भ्रायुव के बीच जो पत्र-व्यवहार हम्राहै . . .

ग्रम्यक महोदय: इस में व्यवस्था की क्या बात है ?

श्री रामसेवक यादव : यह चीज पहले प्रेस को दे दी गई। लोक सभा बैठ रही है इस लिये इस की इत्तला पहले उस को दी जामी चाहिये थी, लेकिन वह श्रखबारों को दे दी गई।

भ्राध्यक्ष महोदयः यह कोई व्यवस्था की चीज नहीं है कि दूसरी कंट्रीज से प्राइम मिनिस्टर साहब कोई बात करें श्रीर वह ग्रखबार में ग्रा जाये। उस को क्रों ग्रखबार में दिया गया, इस में कौन सा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है ?

श्री रामसेवक यादव: ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, पहले मेरा निवेदन सून लीजिये । स्रभी स्राप ने कहा कि जो नीतियों के प्रश्न हैं वह पहले लोक सभा में श्रान चाहियें, श्रौर इस में नीति का ही प्रश्न है। पिछले दिन जब प्रवान मंत्री महोदय ने इस सदन के अन्दर बयान दिया था हिन्दुस्तान भ्रौर पाकिस्तान के सम्बन्ध में तो यह बतलाया था कि जो हमारी वार्ती चलेगी, वह मौजदा स्थिति को कायम रख कर चलेगी. उस में कोई परिवर्तन नहीं होगा। लेकिन श्री सैंडस के ग्राने के बाद जो वयान श्रखबारों में श्रा रहे हैं, उन में लिखा है कि कोई शर्त नहीं है। बिना किसी शर्त के बात होगी । मैं कहना चाहता हं कि यह नीति का प्रश्न है स्रीर इस पत्र-व्यवहार को पहले लोक सभा में स्नाना चाहियं था।

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय : इस में कोई व्यवस्था का प्रश्न नहीं है। जो कुछ यहां प्राइम मि-निस्टर साहब ने कहा था उन्होंने उस की हा व्याख्या की है कि कोई शर्त नहीं रक्खी है। सब बातें खुली हैं। जो बातें होंग: वह वहां करेंगे ।

श्री जवाहरलाल नेहरू: मैं एक चीज यहां भ्रजं कर दं। माननीय सदस्य ने कहा कि पत्र-व्यवहार यहां नहीं रक्खा गया । मेरा रूयाल है कि इसकी सूचना दे दी गई थी कि हम उसे यहां रखना चाहते हैं, जब भ्रध्यक्ष महोदय तय कर दें। लेकिन यह हमारे रखने की बात नहीं है। वह खत निकला है कई जगह से, हमारी इजाजत से । वैसे उसकी नकलें भेजी गई थीं। कराची भेजी गई थीं श्रौर कुछ श्रौर मुल्कों को भेजी गई थीं । उन्होंने हमसे पूछा कि क्या वे उसकी श्री जवाहरलाल नेहरू]

दिखा सकते हैं या छाप सकते हैं । हमने कहा 'हों' । यहां तो बाद में बात उठी । वह कोई दो, चार हफ्ते पुरानी चीज है ।

श्रगर हम गौर करें तो जो दूसरी बात वह कहते हैं उसी के बारे में मेंने लोक सभा में बयान दिया था यानी जो ज्वाइंट स्टेटमेंट फील्ड मार्शल श्रय्यूब श्रौर मेरा हुआ। उसमें यह था कि मेरी जो वातें मि० डंकन सेंड्स श्रौर मि० हैरिमैन से हुई उन में मैंने उन से बयान किया कि क्या क्या दिक्कतें हमारे सामने हैं। उलट पलट करने में बड़ा नुकसान होगा श्रौर हिन्दुस्तान को श्रौर पाकिस्तान को श्रौर हमारे रिक्तों को। इन बातों का बयान था। श्रौर उन्होंने महसूस किया कि हां, उसमें कुछ श्रहमियत है जो मैंने उनसे कहा।

दूसरी बात जो मैंने कही वह बिल्कुल सही थी, श्रौर वह यह थी कि उन से बातें करने में कोई रुकावट नहीं है, किसी सावाल की। जो हमारी राय है हम कहेंगे, जो उनकी राय है वे कहेंगे। हम बातचीत करेंगे लेकिन कोई प्रकंडिशन्स नहीं हैं। कोई फर्क नहीं है पहले श्रौर दूसरे में।

12.33 hours.

DELHI MOTOR VEHICLES TAXA-TION BILL

The Minister of Transport and Communications (Shri Jagjivan Ram): I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill to impose a tax on motor vehicles in the Union Territory of Delhi and for other matters connected therewith,

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill to impose a tax on motor vehicles in the Union Territory of Delhi and for other matters connected therewith."

The motion was adopted.

Shri Jagjivan Ram: I introduce the Bill.

12.33½ hrs.

PERSONAL INJURIES (EMER-GENCY PROVISIONS) BILL

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Labour and Employment and for Planning (Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman): On behalf of Shri Nanda, I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill to make provision for the grant of relief in respect of certain personal injuries sustained during the period of the emergency.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill to make provision for the grant of relief in respect of certain personal injuries sustained during the period of the emergency."

The motion was adopted.

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman: I introduce the Bill.

The motion was adopted.

12.34 hrs.

INDIAN TARIFF (SECOND AMENDMENT) BILL

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Labour and Employment (Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman): On behalf of Shri Manubhai Shah, I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill further to amend the Indian Tariff Act, 1934.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill further to amend the Indian Tariff Act, 1934."

The motion was adopted.

Shri C. R. Pattabhai Raman: I introduce the Bill.

12.341 hrs.

DELIMITATION COMMISSION BLL

Mr. Speaker: The House will now take up further consideration of the following motion moved by Shri Bibudhendra Misra on the 30th November, 1962, namely:—

"That the Bill to provide for the readjustment of the allocation of seats in the House of the People to the States, the total number of seats in the Legislative Assembly of each State, the division of each State into territorial constituencies for elections to the House of the People and Legislative Assemblies of the States and for matters connected therewith be taken into consideration."

The time allotted for this Bill is 3 hours. 35 minutes have already been taken, and 2 hours and 25 minutes now remain.

Shri Prabhat Kar (Hooghly): Mr. Speaker, Sir, this Bill is a formal one in that articles 82 and 173 of the Constitution contemplate this. After every census a delimitation commission is formed with a view to delimit the various assembly constituencies in terms of the population. So far as that part is concerned, we welcome this Bill.

Clause 5 of this Bill says that the Commission shall associate with itself for the purpose of assisting it in its duties in respect of each State, seven persons three of whom shall be members of the House of the People representing that State and four shall be members of the Legislative Assembly of that State. That is how this Commission is formed. It has ready been pointed out that in order to have a representative of every party in Parliament and in the State assemblies, the number of these members should be increased so that every party may be represented there to give its views about delimitation

of the various assembly constituencies. There is not much difficulty in increasing the number of representatives in accordance with the number of parties in the State as also in Parliament.

While delimiting the assembly constituencies, it must be taken into consideration not to unnecessarily change the present parliamentary constituencies. This can easily be done. Today each comprises of six or seven assembly constituencies. If need be, the number for a constituency may be increased to seven or eight according to the population but the same parilamentary seat may be allowed to remain.

The last delimitation commission changed the parliamentary constituencies very much and they were constituted in such a manner that it became almost impossible if not difficult for a parliamentary candidate to reach every section of the electorate. The elections are becoming so costly affairs that the limit on the expenditure laid down by the Eelection Commission is almost a farce. If the constituencies are not contiguous. the net result will be that the election will be very much costlier and it will be very difficult for the ordinary people to stand for election.

I would, therefore, suggest that if at all any change is made in the constituencies it must be seen that the area is a contiguous area so that it will be easy for any candidate to approach the electorate, and to that extent at least the expenditure will be lessened.

The next thing that I want to point out is this. Although this Bill is almost a "must" according to the Constitution and a Delimitation Commission will have to be formed, but in view of the emergency I suggest that the implementation and the activities of the Commission may be deferred for the time being. Because, very recently, with the consent of all the parties concerned, the Election Commission has deferred all the by-

[Shri Prabhat Kar]

elections because at this moment all the energies of the people and the energies of all the parties should be diverted to the cause of the national So under no circumstance defence should election work be conducted by the Election Commission. Because, you are quite aware that so far as the duties of this Delimitation Commission are concerned it may be pure and simple to delimit the constituencies, but with the movement of this Delimitation Commission from place to place, quite naturally there will be some political activities and the political parties will try their level best to organise the people with a view to presenting before this Delimitation Commission their viewpoints.

Under these circumstances, I feel that no harm will be done if at this moment, after the passing of the Bill, the implementation, the work of this Commission is deferred until the emergency is lifted, until the country is free from the aggressors and until the people come back to their normal functioning.

And I feel that it will not be very difficult in the sense that the next Election comes only in the year 1967; so it is not necessary that the work Commission should start immediately. And I would rather suggest that the Government gives a direction after the passage of this Bill the Election Commission that although this Bill has been passed and the Delimitation Commission may be appointed, their activities must not start and they should not start during the pendency of the emergency period. This I think, is most important and should be done.

Apart from this, as I have said, clause 5 should be so amended as to enable all parties to have their representation in the Commission so that they may be in a position to place their viewpoints before it.

With these words, I support the Bill.

Shri P. K. Deo (Kalahandi): Sir, instead of dilating on all the aspects of this Bill I would like to pin-point my observations on some of the glaring shorthomings that have been noticed in this Bill.

We all think of Kashmir as an integral part of this country. We cannot therefore appreciate the position envisaged in this Bill that this Bill will not extend to the Kashmir State.

An Hon. Member: The State of Jammu and Kashmir.

Shri P. K. Deo: Yes. As you know, the elections last time were conducted under the auspices of the Election Commission of India. We know that the election to this House from the Jammu and Kashmir State is not by direct election. But at the same time the election to the Jammu and Kashmir State Assembly is being made from the various constituencies, and it is, there ore, all the more necessary that the delimitation of the constituencies should be properly scientifically done so far as Jammu and Kashmir State is concerned. So I beg to submit that this Bill be extended to the State of Jammu and Kashmir, and at the same time, to the State of Nagaland. I do not think any persuasion would be needed for the Government to accept this simple amendment that I have tabled in this regard.

Regarding the delimitation of constituencies, I beg to submit that it is more or less a question of statistics because our main basis is the census figures, and it will be by the calculation of statistics that we have to find out how best the constituencies could be delimited, taking into consideration the physical features, the existing boundaries of administrative units, the communication facilities and public convenience.

From my experience of the working of the last Delimitation Commission, I beg to submit that it is not

always these considerations that weigh upon the decision of the Delimitation Commission. Sometimes they are influenced by party considerations. In this regard, I would like to cite two instances. So far as my constituency was concerned, in 1957 it was a double-member constituency. tunately, it has now become a singlemember constituency. But at that time. I know how difficult it was for me to nurse the constituency and approach the people in different areas of that constituency. It was nearly 200 miles long. It spread right from the Raipur district of Madhya Pradesh to the Puri district, one of the coastal districts in the State of Orissa. For me, to go to the Phulbani district which was the other district which I had the privilege to represent, I had to pass through Koraput parliamentary constituency, then the Parvatipuram constituency in Andhra Pradesh, then the Visakhapatnam parliamentary constituency, then the Srikakulam parliamentary constituency, then the Berhampur parliamentary constituency and finally arrive in the Phulbani constituency. Fortunately, it has been split into two and it has become much simpler now. I beg to submit that while delimiting the various parliamentary constituencies then, even though we made protests that it would not be practicable for any man to represent his constituency properly if the constituency was delimited in this way, all our arguments fell on deaf ears: they never took notice of the lack of road there. communications Actually. there is no road communication between Phulbani and Kalahandi: it was difficult to represent such an unwieldy constituency.

I would now like to cite another example. This is an Assembly constituency which belongs to the district which is represented by the hon. Deputy Minister, and that is Puri district. Even though there was no suggestion that there could be a double-member constituency comprising Banpur and Daspalla, though, through the entire proceedings of

taking evidence and asking for suggestions, there was no proposal that there could be a double-member constituency comprising Banpur Daspalla, at the last minute, we felt that some invisible hand pulled the strings, and it was published all of a sudden to the surprise of everybody, in the gazette notification that Daspalla and Berhampur should be tied together to form a double-member Asembly constituency right from Chilka lake to Mahanadi. At that time, the stage of protest had already passed. We could not make any representation and we had to swallow the bitter pill. We feel that it was done only to defeat Pandit Godavaris Mishra, the former Finance Minister of Orissa and the President of So, to put all obstacles party. our way, that delimitation was done. To our misfortune, he did not live till the election time and we had to contest that double-member constituency. We lost one and the Congress Party lost one. So, considerations like this always come in the way of an impartial decision, which would have been more equitable and just.

In this connection, my remark will be incomplete if I do not mention regarding reservation so far as scheduled tribes are concerned. In clause 9(d), it is said:

"(d) constituencies 'n which seats are reserved for the Scheduled Tribes shall, as far as practicable, be located in areas where the proportion of their population to the total is the largest."

In this regard, I beg to submit that the list of scheduled tribes differs from State to State. A particular tribe, Vatras, which is a scheduled tribe in Andhra Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh, is not a scheduled tribe in Orissa, even though they live in the contiguous Dandakaranya areas and have the same social status and economic background. They have been included in the list of scheduled tribes of Orissa, because it will affect the interest of a particular Minister. If Vatras could be declared as sche-

4088

[Shri P. K. Deo]

duled tribe, the entire Koraput district would be a reserved seat and a particular Minister may not be able to be in this House and another in the Orissa Assembly. I submit that such considerations should not be there and there should be a more scientific approach to the subject.

I entirely agree with the suggestion made by the previous speaker, Shri Prabhat Kar, that associate membership should be more broad-based. At the moment, it is envisaged that only 3 M.P.s will be nominated by Speaker and 4 M.L.A.s will be nomi-- nated by the respective Speaker of the Assembly, and they are to assist the Delimitation Commission. As you know, in every State, there are more than 3 political parties working. So, it is all the more proper that figure should be enlarged, so that all political views could be represented in assisting the work of the Delimitation Commission.

Further, I beg to submit that the associate members should have the same voting rights as those of the members of the Commission. I cannot appreciate the argument why they will not have any right to vote or to arrive at any decision. If they are to be associated with the Commission, they should have full powers as any member of the Commission.

With these words, I support this Bill.

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya (Raiganj): Sir, when it was decided that the House will be prorogued on the 23rd of last month, some of the Bills were put in cold storage and I believe this was one of such Bills. It has been revived with cease-fire and it come before us now. The two coincided-the cease-fire was there and the House also was extended. So, it was practically accepted that this was not such a Bill which need be pushed through in the present Session. But since the session has been extended, it has come before us, though the emergency is there. What I feel is, though there is a period of lull going on during these days, we are not yet out of the woods. Rather, from what is happening round about us at times I feel we are getting more and more entangled in meshes of diplomacy and I do not know how we will come out of it at the end and when this Bill, if it is passed into law, will come into operation.

The background of the Bill lies in the two articles 81 and 82, more in article 82 than in article 81. Article 82 provides that:

"Upon the completion of each census, the allocation of seats in the House of the People to the States and the division of each State into territorial constituencies shall be readjusted by such authority and in such manner as Parliament may by law determine"

So, since the census has been completed, it is now the time for Parliament to go into this matter, but it is not such a necessity that it must be gone into at once, because the general elections are still far off—1967—if things go on smoothly. But if things do not go on smoothly, perhaps the elections may be deferred beyond 1967. I do not know what is in store for us. Article 82 also provides:

"Provided that such readjustment shall not affect representation in the House of the People until the dissolution of the then existing House."

So, whatever the recommendations of the Commission, the present House will continue as it is until the next general election comes. In that way, the Bill, even though passed, will not become effective till a general election is declared again by the Election Commission. That might be done in 1966 or later. So, as the hon. Member, Shri Prabhat Kar suggested, even if the Bill is passed into

Act, the Commission should not be constituted unless we find that we are in normal times. The Commission may be constituted, but it will remain ineffective. How will it work, say, in the case of a State like Assam? Situated as it is and conditions there being as they are, how can the Election Commission continue its work in Assam. It cannot. In other places, it can go, but it will divert public attention from more urgent things, to which we should devote our attention now. But in a State like Assam. the Commission cannot work at all.

Under these conditions, when is the Commission expected to submit its I apprehend it will be report? delayed and prolonged. If that is so and if the Commission is constituted, we • will begin incurring expenses now, while the Commission will not be able to work. Expenses will be incurred at a time when we are making a drive for economy in all directions. That is a very important matter, which should be considered by the Government.

Coming to the Bill itself, there is great force in the suggestion that Jammu and Kashmir should be brought under the Bill and put on the same lines as the other States India in the matter of elections. This may be easily done. Usually, the Acts that are passed in this House have always a distinguishing feature that Jammu and Kashmir is always excepted. But when the Defence of India Bill was passed, I was glad find that the exception clause was not there. The Defence of India Bill was passed including Jammu and Kashmir. So, there is a procedure which you might enforce or which the Government may adopt, by which Jaminu and Kashmir may be brought under the jurisdiction of this Bill. That can be done without any particular arrangement being made between this government and that government. ought to be done.

There was one suggestion about the overlapping of constituences in different districts. There is great force in that argument, that all the

constituencies should be composed of compact area. When I was hearing that argument put forward by some of my hon, friends, I was thinking of the constituency of our hon, lady Member, Shrimati Renuka Ray. Her constituency extends over the river Ganges—half of it is on the north of river Ganges and half on the south of river Ganges (Interruption). There are no bridges and Farakha is still to come

Shri Prabhat Kar: The same thing with me.

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: How can you expect a candidate standing for the Parliament to run from this side of the river to that the other side to carry on the campaign? It is because of her personality that she has been able to carry the constituency with her all this time. This sort of constituencies should be avoided as far as possible and as far as practicable.

I had experience of this sort of constituencies in my own case. When these constituencies were made, in a particular area a constituency was situated in such conditions that the polling booths could not be arranged. Polling booths were arranged at a place where even jeeps could not go. I could not contact those places even in a jeep. There was no possibility of even a cart going there. I do not know what happened in the polling booths of those areas, and what safety and security arrangements could be made for the ballot boxes. point should be particularly taken note of and when constituencies are made particular care should be devoted to the georgraphical conditions so that there is the possibility of contracting the different parts of the constituency by the candidate.

In the previous elections, when there were double-member constituencies, I had the experience of reaching part of the constituency in a jeep and part of it in a cart. There were areas where even a cart would not go. So I had to leave the cart

4092

[Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya]

and walk. These can be remedied if care is taken from the very beginning.

Mr. Speaker: These things can be pressed when the Delimitation Commission is set up.

Shri' C. K. Bhattacharyya: The Delimitation Commission will certainly go through all that we are stating now.

Mr. Speaker: Hon. Members will have their say there also.

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: Another thing is, while working out the ratio between the members of West Bengal Legislative Assembly and the members representing West Bengal in this House and also the members of the U.P. Legislative Assembly and members representing Uttar Pradesh in this House, I find that the ratio between the members of West Bengal Legislative Assembly and the Members of West Bengal in this House is higher than that in the case of Uttar Pradesh U.P. has got for one Member a lower ratio than in the case of West Bengal. This has also to be worked out.

There was one suggestion put forward by Shri P. K. Deo. He said that the same caste which is a Scheduled Caste in one area is a non-scheduled caste in a different area. In my constituency, in the same constituency in one part a caste is scheduled and in another part the same caste is non-scheduled. That creates difficulties when nomination papers have to be filed.

Last of all—this is a major consideration and I do not know how Government will tackle it. With the increase in the adult population, the election is going to be a very big job. In the last election it was a bigger job than in the previous election and the number of polling booths had to be increased, about doubled at certain places. This time, with the increase

of population to 44 crores I do not know what the strength of adult votes in each constituency will be and how that will be tackled by dividing the constituencies. Unless the constituencies are divided in such a way that the number of voters in the case of each candidate becomes limited to an extent to which it is possible for him to contact the voters, each candidate will find himself in a difficult position and the Government themselves will find that they are in difficult position in bringing about the electoral roll and managing polling booths for taking votes.

Mr. Spaker: I find that there are a very large number of hon. Members desiring to speak. Of course, I appreciate that desire. But the time that is allotted for this Bill leaves us 2 hours and 25 minutes for today. We began at 12.35. So, we have to finish by 3 O'Clock.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: There are a number of amendments.

Mr. Speaker: About 60. We have to devote time for that also. So, I would like to be guided by the pleasure of the House.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The time may be extented by two hours.

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: When the time limit was fixed by the Deputy Speaker at three hours, it was only provisional. So, it may be extended by at least one hour.

Mr. Speaker: If we extend the time by one hour, we should finish by 4 o'clock. Then the general discussion should conclude by 2 o'clock because we require at least 2 hours for the 60 amendments which have been tabled.

Shri Prabhat Kar: Considering the number of speakers who want to participate I request that the time may be extended by 2 hours so that we may conclude the discussion by 5 o'clock.

Mr. Speaker: All right, I will extend the time by 2 hours. We will devote the whole day for this Bill. We must pass through all the stages and conclude the discussion by 5 o'clock. We will take up the clause by clause consideration at 3 o'clck. I find that 7, 8 or 9 minutes have been taken by each speaker. Since there are a large number of hon. Members wanting to participate in the discuswould limit the time to 7 minutes for each hon. Member. Hon. Members ought to try to observe that time-limit and condense their remarks.

श्री श्रीनारायण दास (दरभंगा) : श्रध्यक्ष महोदय, जो विधेयक हमारे सामने विचार के लिये उपस्थित है, वह हमारे संविधान के ग्रनच्छेद ५२ भ्रौर १७० से सम्बन्ध रखता है। जहां तक मेरा रूयाल है, इस विधेयक में सब प्राविजन्त इस विषय मे पूराने १६५२ के कानुन के अधीन भौर उसके मताविक बनाये गये हैं। कई माननीय सदस्यों ने यह राय प्रकट की है कि भ्रभी इस बिल पर अमल करने की आवश्यकता नहीं है, क्योंकि इस समय देश की राजनीतिक ग्रौर सुरक्षा सम्बन्धी स्थिति ऐसी है, जिसमें इस काम को हाथ में लेने से लोगों का ध्यान एक महत्वपूर्ण वि से हट कर एक ऐसे साधारण विषय की श्रोर खिंच जायगा, जो कि भ्रभी ग्रावश्यक नहीं है । हमारे संविधान में यह व्यवस्था की गई है--भौर उसी के भ्रनसार इस विधेयक में भी कहा गया है--- कि हर एक मर्दमश्मारी के बाद लोक सभा में हर एक प्रदेश की सीट्स, हर एक प्रदेश में विधान सभा की मीट्स और लोक सभा तथा विधान सभाम्रों की कांस्टीट्युएन्सीज को री-**ए**ड्जस्ट करने के लिए संसद द्वारा बनाये गये कानून के मनुसार एक भ्राथारिटी की नियुक्ति की जायगी । मैं समझता हूं जहां तक रिएड-जस्टमेंट का सवाल होता है, इसका श्रयं यह नहीं होता है कि नए सिरे से निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों का निर्माण किया जाए । इसका अर्थ 2320 (Ai) L.S.-2.

कैवल इतना होता है कि जो निर्वाचन क्षेत्र मभी बने हए हैं, उनमें थोडा बहत इघर परिवर्तन कर दिया जाए । लेकिन इस विधेयक के जो प्राविजन्ज हैं. उनको जब मैंने पढ़ा तो मझे ग्राश्चर्य हमा । यह विघेयक संविधान की धारा के मताबिक पेश किया गया है जिसमें कहा गया है कि रिएडजस्टमेंट होना चाहिए । लेकिन वास्तव में उस धारा को न मान कर ऐसा मालम पडता है कि नए सिरे से निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों का निर्माण करने के लिए इसे यहां उपस्थित किया गया है। इसको पढ़ने से ऐसा ही प्रकट होता है। मैं इस सम्बन्ध में स्नापका ध्यान विशेषकर धारा ८ की तरफ दिलाना चाहता हं, जिसमें कहा गया है :---

"The Commission shall, on the basis of the latest census figures and having regard to the provisions of articles 81, 170, 330 and 332, by order determine"

वगल में जो हैड लाइन दी गई है, उसमें तो कहा गया है, रिएडैजस्टमेंट म्राफ नम्बर म्राफ सीट्स । लेकिन धारा द का जो प्राविजन है, उससे ऐसा मालूम होता है कि नए सिरे से तमाम निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों का डीलिमिटेशन किया जायगा । यह ठीक नहीं है । में समझता हूं कि इसमें इस तरह के शब्द रहने चाहियें :—

"by order make readjustment in the number of seats"

त्रगर ऐसा किया गया होता तो में समझता हूं कि यह संविधान की घारा के मुताबिक होता । डिटरिमन करने का श्रयं होता है, नए सिरे से तमाम निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों का निर्माण करना । लेकिन रिएडजस्टमेंट का श्रयं जैसा में समझता हूं, यह नहीं है । उसका श्रयं है, मामूली परिवर्तन करना । इस सम्बन्ध में मैंने कोई संशोधन नहीं दिया है, लेकिन में माननीय मंत्री जी से श्रनुरोध क हंगा कि वह इस पर विचार करें ।

[श्री श्रीनारायण दास]

संविधान में दिया गया है कि जो भ्रधि-कारी नियक्त किये जायेंगे इस कानन के नताबिक, उनका यह काम होगा कि लोक-सभा और विधान सभा का जो नम्बर धभी तय है, उसमें भगर जरूरत पड़े तो इधर से उधर या उधर से इधर कुछ परिवर्तन कर दिया जाए ग्रौर निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों का रिएडजस्टमेंट कर दिया जाए । किन्तु उनका यह काम नहीं है कि वे निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों का नए सिरे से निर्माण करें। इसलिए धारा ८ में जिन जिन संविधान की धाराओं का जिक्र किया गया है. उन पर ध्यान देने से मालुम पड़ता है कि हमारे जो ग्रधिकारी होंगे या जो डिलिमिटेशन कमिशन होगा वह नए सिरे से निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों का निर्माण करेगा, जो कि मैं नहीं समझता इस बिल का मंशा है। जैसा मैंने श्रभी कहा जो डिलिमिटेशन कमिशन बनेगा उसका काम होगा सिर्फ रिएडजस्टमैंट करना इसलिये जो चुनाव चार वर्ष बाद होने वाला है, उसके लिये मभी से तैयारी करना **ठी**क नहीं है । ग्रगर[®]नये सिरे से निर्वाचन क्षत्रों का निर्माण नहीं करना है ग्रौर छोटे **मोटे** परिवर्तन ही करने हैं, वर्तमान क्षत्रों का रिएडजस्टमैंट ही करना है, तो इसके लिय चार वर्ष पहले से काम शरू कर देना मैं नहीं समझता उपयुक्त होगा । इसके लिय तो दो वर्ष का समय काफी था। हम दो बर्ष के बाद डिलिमिटेशन बना सकते थे श्रीर निर्वाचन क्षत्रों में रिएडजस्टमैंट करने के लिय उसको कह सकते थे। लेकिन यह जो धारा है, यह ठीक नहीं है। इसके अनसार **जो** डिलिमिटेशन कमिशन बनने वाला है. उसका स्कोप बहुत बड़ा है, इसके श्रनुसार बो वह नए सिरे से निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों का निर्माण करेगा ।

इसी तरह से मैं ग्रापका ध्यान क्लाज ६ की तरफ दिलाना चाहता हूं। क्लाज ६ इस प्रकार है:—

"The Commission shall, in the manner berein provided, then

distribute the seats in the House of the People allocated to each State and the seats assigned to the Legislative Assembly of each State to single-member territorial constituencies and delimit them on the basis of the latest census figures."

4096

यहां भी मैं समझता हूं डिलिमिट बोर्ड को हटा करके

"They will make readjustment in the limitation of the same".

रख दिया जाना चाहिये। डिटरिमन का अर्थ होता है, पूरे तरीके से, नए सिरे से निर्धारित करना। जो शब्द दिय गये हैं, उससे इलैक्शन किमिशन को भी कुछ गलत-फहमी हो सकती है। संविधान के अनुसार जितने अधिकार इसके होने चाहिये, उससे कुछ ज्यादा अधिकार इसको मिल जायेंगे। अगर डिलिमिटेशन किमशन नये सिरे से निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों का निर्माण करेगा, तो उस में विरोध करने की कोई गुंजाइश नहीं रह सकेगी।

ग्रभी यहां कहा गया है कि जो एसो-सिएट मैम्बर होंगे, जोकि कमिशन के साथ रखे जायेंगे, उनको पूरा पूरा ग्रधिकार होना चाहिये । मैं समझता हूं कि यह एक बहुत भारी सिद्धान्त की बात है। पहले ऐसा हम्रा करता था कि विधान सभा हो या संसद, चुनाव सम्बन्धी या डिलिमिटेशन सम्बन्धी सारा काम संसद् के द्वारा हुआ करता था। लेकिन चुंकि संसद में कई तरह की पार्टियां रहती हैं भौर एक बहुमत पार्टी होती है भौर दूसरी ग्रल्पमत पार्टियां होती हैं, इसलिये इस काम को करने के लिये एक ग्रलग कमिशन की नियुक्ति हो रही है। जब ग्रलग कमिशन की नियुक्ति हो रही है तो कुछ मैम्बरों को जो एसोसिएट मैम्बर्ज के तौर पर लिया जा रहा है, उचित ही किया जा रहा है । लेकिन जहां तक इन एसोसिएट

मैम्बजं के प्रधिकारों का प्रश्न है, मैं समझता इं कि उनको केवल सुझाव देने का ही मधिकार होना चाहिये भौर जहां तक ष्मधिकारों का प्रश्न है, पूरे के पूरे अधिकार कमिशन के पास रहने चाहियें। जब कभी कोई इंडिपेंडेंट कमिशन का निर्माण किया जाता है, तो वह इसलिये किया जाता है कि न केवल बहमत पार्टी को बल्कि सभी दूसरी पार्टियों को भी संतोष हो। इस वास्ते मैं समझता हुं कि जो एसोसिएट मैम्बर्ज हैं, उनके वहीं स्रधिकार रहने चाहियें, जो पहले थे ग्रौर उनको किसी भी प्रकार से बोट देने का ग्रधिकार नहीं होना चाहिये। जो साधारण मैम्बर होंगे, डिलिमिटेशन कमिशन के उनको ही ये प्रधिकार होने चाहियें।

इन शब्दों के साथ मैं इस विधेयक का समर्थन करता हूं।

प्रध्यक्ष महोवय : छः मिनट के वाद में पहली घंटी बजा दूंगा भ्रौर उसके एक मिनट के बाद दूसरी । तब माननीय सदस्यों को बन्द कर देना चाहिये ।

Shri Hem Raj (Kangra): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I support this Bill. I also support the suggestions of some of the Members that the number of Associate members from the Lok Sabha and the Assembly should be increased.

In so far as the Punjab is concerned, as you know, there are two reasons. One is, there are so many political parties both in the Legislative sembly as well as in the Lok Sabha which are to be represented. At the same time, there are, as you know, two regions in the Punjab. When certain members are represented on these bodies as associate members, if representatives are taken from the Punjabi region, the Hindi region will remain un-represented and if representatives are taken from the Hindi region, the Punjabi region will remain

unrepresented. As there is division in the Punjab, I say that the number of members, both from the political point of view and from the point of view of regions, should be increased.

another point which I There is want to submit. So far as the border districts which we have created recently are concerned, I make a bold suggestion that they should be treated on a par with the N.E.F.A. area. They are inaccessible areas. So far as Lahoul and Spiti and Kinnaur in Himachal Pradesh are concerned, the population is very small. In the Himachal Pra-desh area, the density of population in the Kinnaur district is 16. In Lahaul and Spiti, the density of population is only 4. If the area is taken Lanau! and Spiti is 5000 square miles and the Kinnaur district is 3500 square miles. I say that area should be treated on a par with the N.E.F.A. Members should be nominated from these areas. election takes place four months later rest of the country. This time, it took place two months later. It will obviate the necessity of postponing the election in the Kangra parliamentary constituency and at the same time, it will give representation to the people of the border areas who will be, due to the emergency, always under fear of some kind or other. Moreover, these members will be in a position to represent the views of the people of these areas.

Thirdly, in clause 8 it has been stated that the Assembly seats shall be a multiple of the number of the parliamentary constituency. So far as my Kangra parliamentary constituency is concerned, I will cite an example. On the map, you will see it is a contiguous whole. There is a corridor. What kind of a corridor is that? It is a corridor which connects the mountains of Kulu proper and Lahoul and Spiti. The corridor runs parallel to a mountain range, ranging from 12,000 to 16,000 feet high, always snow bound. There is no road. A person like myself has to travel three parliamentary constituencies, one of Shri Chattar Singh, Chamba, another of Shri Lalot Sen, Mandi and another

4100

[Shri Hem Raj]

Virbhandra Singh, Rampur-Bushire. If I have to cover my constituency, I have to go through three parliamentary constituencies. I would submit that the geography has never been taken into consideration. So. when delimiting the constituency, at least for the Assembly constituency, so far as the Kulu area is concerned, the minimum population must be fixed beforehand, so that no portion of the Kangra area proper be mixed with that area for assembly constituencies.

In the present constituency, what happens is that one zail is tacked on with the Kulu people. The Kulu MLA never visited that Kangra area with the consequent result that those people have remained unrepresented. Consequently, this time, they were boycotting the elections. It was after a hard struggle that we were able to persuade them to participate in the elections

So, I would suggest to the Commission that while delimiting the constituencies, they should not go beyond the administrative unit of the block; they should certainly fix it at the block level. After fixing the minimum population so far as the Kulu constituency is concerned, the rest of the population has to be divided in the rest of the assembly constituencies Kangra Parliamentary Constituency. It is only in that way that that area can be well served.

There is one thing more that I would like to place before Government for the purpose of giving representation to the people in the border area. It may be argued that it is not possible to do so because it is not provided for in the Constitution, but then I would submit that there is sufficient time with Government to push on with the necessary amendment to the Constitution to give representation to those areas. At the same time, present emergency to our even if the necessary Bill is passed, it may actually take a long As I have seen in the proceedings of

the last Delimitation Commission, 12 takes a very long time, because many witnesses are produced and so on.

Mr. Speaker: Now, the hon. Member should not take a very long time.

Shri Hem Raj: I am finishing.

So, the Delimitation Commission may postpone its proceedings till the emergency lasts.

श्री बड़े (खारगोन) : श्रध्यक्ष महोदय. यहां पर यह कहा गया है कि यह जो डिलिम देशन बिल लाया गया है वह संविधान की घारा ५२ के वास्ते लाना जरूरी था.। लेकिन अगर इस बिल की प्राविजन्स को देखा जाय तो यह प्रतीत नहीं होता कि जम्म श्रीर काश्मीर को इस में से छोड़ देने से शासन का क्या उद्देश्य है। मैं चाहता हं कि इस में जम्म और काश्मीर को सम्मिलित कर लिया जाय, श्रीर इस वास्ते जो कुछ पहले वक्ताश्री ने कहा है, मैं उस से सहमत हूं।

मैं ने देखा है कि सन् १६५२ में डिलि-मिटेशन एक्ट पास हम्रा ग्रीर उस के बाह हमारे मध्य प्रदेश में वैस्ट नेमाड की कांस्टि-ट्रएन्सी राजपूर को २१ दिसम्बर ६२ को बदला गया । उस वक्त मध्य भारत के विरोधी सदस्य उस के एसोशिएट मेम्बर थे उन की मार्फत तार दिया गया कि वैस्ट मेवाड़ क़ी कांस्टिटुएन्सी को राजपुर श्रीर सेंधवा में बदल देने से श्रम्विधा होती है। लेकिन उस का उत्तर नहीं दिया गया । तार भेजा गया, पत्र भेजा गया तब भी कोई जवाब नहीं दिया गया । लेकिन जब वह मेम्बर स्वयस जा कर मिले तब उन्होंने कहा:

"This delimitation is made for administrative convenience and not for the convenience of members or public".

इन्होंने बतलाया कि उन्होंने कहा कि र्षेषवा एक डबलमेम्बर कांस्टिट्एन्सी है प्रस को तो ग्राप ग्रादिवासी कांस्टिट्एन्सी **क**रते हैं भौर राजपर जो एक जनरल कांस्टि-इएन्सी है उस को ग्राप ग्रादिवासी कांस्टि-ट्रुन्सी करते हैं इस का क्या मतलब है ? इस की जो बाउंड़ी है उस में दो तहसीलें धौर जोड कर दो गांव दूसरी तहसील के स्रौर बोड कर उस को स्राप ने स्रादिवासी कांस्टि-इएन्सी कर दिया। इस तरह से देखा जाय दो पता चलेगा कि जिस क्षेत्र से विरोधी **बल** का सदस्य जीत कर स्राता है, डिलिमि-टेशन बिल ला कर उस पर श्रसर डाला बाता है। ग्राज जनता में यह साधारण भावना है कि जहां से भी विरोधी दल का पदस्य जीत कर आता है उस क्षेत्र पर डिलिमिटेशन बिल ला कर कुठाराघात किया जाता है। डिलिमिटेशन बिल का इस के अलावा और कोई अर्थ नहीं है। इस में जो सेक्शन ६(ए) है उस में दिया इया है:

LOI

"all constituencies shall, as far as practicable, be geographically compact areas, and in delimiting them regard shall be had to physical features, existing boundaries of administrative units, facilities of communication and public convenience".

शास्तव में देखा यह जाता है कि इस में जो कर्लिंग पार्टी होती है उस का सारा झगड़ा होता है। रूर्लिंग पार्टी के लोग प्रपने प्रादिमयों को इस के सम्बन्ध में जो टेलिफोन करते हैं धगर उन को टेपरेकार्ड किया जाय तो मालूम होगा कि रूर्लिंग पार्टी की ग्रोर से कितना प्रभाव डाला जाता है। विरोधी दलों को वहां से हटाने के लिये जो दूर दूर के स्थान होते हैं उन को मिला दिया जाता है। ७०, ७० मील दूर के गांवों को एक कांस्टिट्एन्सी से निवाल कर दूसरी कांस्टिट्एन्सी में मिला दिया जाता है। इस तरह से जो डिलिमिटेशन होता है वह नहीं होना चाहिये।

इस के साथ ही मैं यह भी कहना चाहता हं कि जो एसोशिएट मेम्बर होते हैं उन की तादाद ज्यादा होनी चाहिये। इस से कम से कम यह होगा कि वहां पर कुछ इन्फ्लुएन्स उन का रहेगा। ग्रगर वे वहां नहीं रहेंगे तो रूलिंग पार्टी के जिस इन्फ्लुएन्स का जिक्र में न किया है वह वहां ज्यादा रहेगा । इस तरह की बात नहीं होनी चाहिये। इस तरह की चीज वहां प्रिवेल न करे, इस के लिये धाप ने क्या प्राविजन किया है? एसोशिएट मेम्बरों को बोट देने का ग्रधिकार भी नहीं है, यह भी गलत है। एसोशिएट मेम्बरों को वोट देने का भ्रधिकार न होने से जो कुछ वह कहते हैं वह केवल एक राय भर होती है। उन के राय देने पर भी कमिशन को जो करना है वह तो वह कर सकता ही है भौर एसोशिएट मेम्बर **फी पा**त नहीं सूनी जाती है।

4102

इस के साथ ही यह भी कहा गया है कि एसोशिएट मेम्बर श्रपनी श्रोपीनियन सकता है लेकिन उस श्रोपीनियन को मानना कमिशन के लिय जरूरी नहीं है। यह जो प्राविजन है वह ठीक नहीं है। इस के होते हुए डिलिमिटेशन बिल लाने का कोई ग्रर्थ नहीं होता है । भ्रगर एसोशिएट मेम्बरों को वोट देने का ग्रधिकार दिया जाता है तब तो इस डिलिमिटेशन बिल को लाने का कोई लाभ हो सकता है ग्रन्यथा कोई लाभ नहीं है। धगर यह नहीं किया जाता तो जितने भी मध्य प्रदेश के विरोधी दल के लोग हैं उन में यह भावना उत्पन्न हो जायगी कि यह जो बिल रक्खा गया है वह केवल मध्य प्रदेश के विरोधी दलों के लोगों को मजबूर करने के लिये ग्रीर दबाने के लिये रक्खा गया है, इस के जरिय से वहां पर एडमिनिस्ट्रेटिव युनिट्स नहीं बनाये जायेंगे । इस के ग्रलावा रूलिंग पार्टी का ग्रौर क्या उद्देश्य इस के लाने में हो सकता है?

इसी तरह से एक जगह रक्खा गया है "लार्जस्ट नम्बर म्राफ म्रादिवासीस"। इस से उनका क्या म्राभिप्राय है? इस में लिखना

[श्री बडे]

चाहिये कि जहां पर ३० परसेन्ट या ४० परसेन्ट कंसेट्रेंशन होगा। इस वास्ते में विनती करता हूं कि जैसा भ्राप भ्रादिवासियों के लिये भक्सर कहते हैं उन के लिये भ्राप के भन्दर हाूमन काइन्डेनेस है, उस को देखते हुए "लाजेंस्ट नम्बर" कह देना काफी नहीं है।

इन शब्दों के साथ मैं कहना चाहूंगा कि जो ग्रमेंडमेंट दिया गया है उस को मंत्री महोदय मंजूर कर लें।

Shri Karuthiruman (Gobichettipalayam): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I rise to speak in suport of the Delimitation Commission Bill. I want to bring forward certain suggestions. In Madras there are 41 parliamentary constituencies, each of which has five local assembly constituencies. The previous Commission did not do things fairly as far as Madras State is concerned.

They say here that all constituencies shall be geographically campact areas with facilities of communication and public convenience. But how? How is my constituency delimited? I shall show why I say this. There are certain areas in my constituency. Talawadi firkha is in Gobichettipalayam. But it has been added to Bhavani taluk. I do not know for what propangda purpose it is done like that. A person from Bhavani has to pass 110 miles through Gobichettipalayam to reach that Talawadi firkha. But Talawadi firkha is adjacent to Satyamangalam, which is part and parcel of that area. I do not know how it has been added to Bhavani taluk, Previously, in 1952 elections, it had been a part of When the Nambiyur constituency. double-member constituency shifted into and named as Bhavani double-member constituency, then, unfortunately, the entire Bhavani Talawadi firkha were taluk plus combined; Talawadi firkha was added on to Bhavani taluk.

I want to bring to your notice one thing; in spite of what the Madras Chief Minister, Shri Kamaraj, wrote to the Delimitation Commission, in 1956, those points have not yet been given adequate consideration at all. I do not know under what pressure or under what circumstances these people are going to take into consideration all these things.

I want to bring to your notice one small thing that is pevalent in the rural areas, and that is about the geographical position of Talawadi firkha. When the Delimitation Commission Member came to my district, -Coimbatore district-we had been arguing that Talawadi firkha should not be added to it. Then he said that only the population basis and the census basis would be relied upon. Standing inside the river, just to depth of two feet, if one wanted to cross the river, the average depth at three places is taken; they walk on the river at one place. In one place, the depth may be ten feet. A depth of 14 feet is arrived at and it is divided into three! The Delimitation Commission says that there is only a population of five lakhs; "add this and substract that". So, the constituency has been delimited like that. It is unjustified. Even the language in Talawadi firkha is Kannada and in the area of Bhavani taluk, it is non-Kanarese. The Commission said that when single-member constituencies are formed, this question would be considered. But when the question of delimitation of doublemember constituencies was taken up, when the question of splitting them single-member constituencies came up, they said, "It is only the existing double-member constituencies that will be split; no more additions or no more substractions". Even from the practical point of view, considering the nature of the Bhavani river and hilly the terrian of Talawadi firkha that firkha could not be in another constiuency. I request that this point should be taken into consideration,

namely, Talawadi firkha should be in the Gobichettipalayam taulk and should be in the Satyamangalam firkha. It should not be treated in any other way. Fortunately, when I was a member of the Madras Legislative Assembly, twice, they said: "You go to Parliament and then argue the case there." (Interruption). I am sorry to say that the then Member of Parliament from that area could not have represented this part.

Mr. Speaker: After completing his mission, here, would he like to go back?

Shri Karuthiruman: I would like to go to the front and render service in fighting against the Chinese. Without being a Member, I can do more service and do more work, so that I can accommodate some other people who are very anxious to come to politics.

In respect of the suggestion made by Shri S. M. Banerjee, I would also like to say that in some areas there are seven Assembly constituencies and in some areas there are eight. But in Madras, we are having five Assembly constituencies for every parliament constituency. possible, a proportionate area should given for all parliamentary constituencies in all States, so that there will be equal chances for all the parliamentary constituencies and also ons State cannot have any undue advantage over other States.

भी भक्त दर्शन (गढ़वाल): ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, में इस विधेयक का हृदय से समयंन करता हूं। श्रभी हमारे कुछ माननीय मित्रों ने यह मुझाव दिया कि क्योंकि हम बड़ी ग्रसाधारण पिरिस्यित से गुजर रहे हैं इसलिए इस विधेयक पर विचार नहीं किया जाना चाहिए। में समझता हूं कि सरकार का मंशा यह है कि वह इस विधेयक को स्वीकार करके यह दिखाना चाहती है कि चाहे हमारे देश पर कितनी ही भापित भाए, हम लोकतंत्री प्रणाली में विश्वास करते हैं भीर उस पर भ्रमल करना चहते हैं।

इस विषेयक की घारा ३ में लिखा है:
"As soon as may be after the commencement of this Act,"

इसकी वजह से सरकार के लिए प्रनिवायं हो जाएगा कि इस प्रधिनियम के बनते ही जल्दी से जल्दी कमीशन की नियुक्ति कर दी जाए। में समझता हूं कि इस पर सरकार को विचार करना चाहिए, धौर धभी जो चार वर्ष का समय रहा हुआ है उसके होते हुय भी इसको तो पास कर दिया जाए, लेकिन जब देश में धनुकूल वातावरण हो, धौर लोगों के दिमाग ठीक हों तब इस पर धमल किया जाए तो ज्यादा उचित होगा।

जो कुछ मेरे मित्रों ने जम्मू काइमीर राज्य के सम्बन्ध में कहा है उसका भी में समयंन करना चाहता हूं। मेरी समझ में नहीं माता कि जब चुनाव श्रायोग के कार्य क्षेत्र के मन्तगंत जम्मू काइमीर राज्य को ला दिया गया है और वहां संविधान की और भी धाराएं लागू कर दी गयी है तो इस डिलिमिटेशन कमीशन के कार्य क्षेत्र से उस राज्य को क्यों वंचित किया जा रहा है। यह न्यायपूर्ण नहीं मालूम होता। माननीय उपमंत्री महोदय ने इस विधेयक को प्रस्तुत करते हुए इस सम्बन्ध में कुछ बातें कहीं थीं। दुर्भाग्य से उस समय में उपस्थित नहीं था। लेकिन में श्राष्ट्रा करता हूं कि वह इस पर गम्भीरतापूर्व के विचार करेंगे।

इस विधेयक की धारा ५ में यह उल्लेख किया गया है कि प्रत्येक राज्य में तीन लोक सभा के श्रीर चार विधान सभा के सहयोगी सदस्य नियुक्त किए जायेंगे, लेकिन राज्य की जो परिभाषा की गयी है उसमें कहीं भी यूनियन टैरिटरी (संघीय क्षेत्रों) का जिक नहीं किया गया है। यह समझ में नहीं धाता कि संघीय क्षेत्रों में, जैसे हिमाचल प्रदेश, दिल्ली या मणिपुर त्रिपुरा में या दूसरे क्षेत्रों में यह कमीशन किस तरीके से काम करेगा। यह स्पष्ट नहीं किया गया है। वहां के लिए भी लोक सभा के सदस्य हैं धीर वहां टैरीटोरियक

DECEMBER 3, 1962

4108

श्री भवत दर्शन]

काउंसिलें हैं ग्रौर दिल्ली में तो नगर निगम है जो टैरीटोरियल काउंसिल की जगह का**म** करता है। इन क्षेत्रों के लिए भी ग्रन्य राज्यों की तरह से सदस्य नियक्त क्यों न किए जाएं। में समझता हं कि मंत्री महोदय इस सम्बन्ध में निर्णय करने की क्रपा करेंगे।

धारा ६ में लिखा है कि किस तरह से यह कमीशन निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों का सीमा निर्धारण करेगा । इसमें जो सब्दावली दी गयी है वह इस प्रकार है:

"regard shall be had to physical features existing boundaries administrative units, facilities of communication and public convenience:"

बेकिन इस सम्बन्घ में मैं माननीय मंत्री महोदय का ध्यान विशेष रूप से पर्वतीय इलाकों की भोर दिलाना चाहता हूं जहां कि यातायात की बड़ी कठिनाई है। इस का ग्रभी मझ से पहले मैरे मित्र श्री हेमराज जीने भी जिक्र किया था। धगर हम साढ़े सात लाख जनसंख्या का जो **मानदंड है** उसको पर्वतीय क्षेत्रों पर भी लाग् करे तो यह न्यायपूर्ण न होगा बल्कि वहां के बोगों के साथ धन्याय होगा।

इसके अतिरिक्त म यह भी निवेदन करना बाहता हं कि कोई कोई निर्वाचन क्षेत्र तीन तीन जिलों में फैले हुए हैं। मैं यहां पर किसी माननीय सदस्य का नाम नहीं लेना चाहता लेकिन वह सदस्य मुझे क्षमा करेंगे कि उनके बहुत से निर्वाचक यह शिकायत करते हैं कि वे भपने निर्वाचन क्षेत्र की तरफ ध्यान नहीं देते। लेकिन जब तीन तीन जिलों में एक निर्वाचन क्षेत्र फैला हो तो सदस्य के लिए उस पर ध्यान देना कठिन हो जाता है। श्रृद्ध निर्वाचन क्षेत्र इस प्रकार बनाए गए हैं कि

कहीं की इँट कहीं का रोड़ा, भानमती ने कुनबा जोड़ा। इसलिए मेरा निवेदन है कि निर्वाचन क्षेत्र बनाने के बारे में ऐसी हिदायत होनी चाहिए

कि जहां तक सम्भव हो एक जिले का ही एक निर्वाचन क्षेत्र होना चाहिए, भ्रौर यदि ऐसा सम्भव न हो तो दो जिलों से ज्यादा का तो कभी नहीं होना चाहिए। क्योंकि तीन या चार जिलों में एक निर्वाचन क्षेत्र के फैले होने से बड़ी श्रस्रविधा होती है।

दूसरी बात मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि इसमें जहां परिगणित जातियों के लिए सुरक्षित स्थान रखने की व्यवस्था की गयी है बहां लिखा है कि —

"as far as practicable, in those areas where the proportion of their population to the total is comparatively large:"

मुझे उन इलाकों में जाना पड़ा जहां इस प्रकार की सुरक्षित सीटें रखी गयी हैं। वहां के लोगों का कहना है क्या ग्राखिर हमारा ही ठेका है श्रीर ये सीटें हमारे सिर पर ही क्यों थोपी गयी हैं। बहुत लोगों का यह सवाल है कि इस सवाल पर इस सदन में विचार होना चाहिए क्योंकि यह मौलिक अधिकारों का प्रश्न है। उन लोगों की जो इन इलाकों में परिगणित जातियों के नहीं हैं यह शिकायत है कि इस प्रकार ग्राप उनको निर्वाचित होने के ग्रधिकार से वंचित कर रहे हैं। मैं समझता हं कि इसमें कुछ ऐसी हिदायत होनी चाहिए कि ये सूरक्षित स्थान रोटेशन से ग्रलग ग्रलग क्षेत्रों में रखे जाएं ताकि सभी के हिस्से में भ्रा जाएं। भ्रगर इस चीज पर, कि जहां इन की जन संख्या ज्यादा है वहां ये सुरक्षित स्थान रखे जाएं, श्रीर इस पर दढता से अमल किया गया तो मझे शंका है कि वहां के सवर्ण लोगों को बहत बड़ी शिकायत होगी भ्रौर उनको यह कहने का मौका हो जाएगा कि हमको हमारे स्रधिकारों से वंचित किया जा रहा है। भ्रतः मैं समझता हुं कि मंत्री महोदय इस पर विचार करेंगे :

मुझे इतना ही कहना बा घन्यवाद ।

13.39 hrs.

[Mr. Deputy-Speaker in the Chair]

श्री राम प्र० सिंह (छपरा): माननीय उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, परिसीमन भ्रायोग विधेयक १६६२ का जो सदन के समक्ष न्याय मंत्री द्वारा उपस्थित किया गया है, मैं स्वागत करता हूं।

इस विधेयक द्वारा संविधान के आदेशा-नुसार एक यंत्र का संगठन करने की व्यवस्था की गयी है जिसके जिप्मे विभिन्न राज्यों में संसद की सीटों का बटवारा करना. विधान सभाग्रों के लिए सीटों की तादाद को निश्चित करना एवं विधान सभा तथा लोक सभा के लिए चनाव क्षेत्रों का संगठन करना है। पह विधेयक सन् १६५२ के परिसीमन स्रायोग कानन से थोड़ा बहुत भिन्न है । यह जो मशीनरी बनेगी इसके सदस्य दो प्रकार के होंगे, एक ऐसोसिएटेड सदस्य ग्रौर दूसरे साधारण सदस्य । साधारण सदस्यों में दो हाईकोर्ट के जज होंगे, जो या रिटायर्ड हों या हाई कोर्ट में काम करने वाले हों ग्रौर तीसरे चीफ इलेक्शन कमिश्नर एक्स ग्राफिशियों चेयरमैन होंगे।

सम्बन्धित सदस्यों के अधिकार सीमित कर दिये गये है भ्रौर उन्हें केवल सलाह देने का ही हक होगा श्रौर श्रंतिम फैसला परे सदस्य ही करेंगे । एलेक्शन कमिशन के जो तीन सदस्य है ग्रंतिम फैसला उन्हीं सदस्यों को करना है। यह जो स्रसोसियेट मैम्बर्स या सम्बन्धित सदस्य है इन लोगों **की** सीमा केवल सलाहकार तक ही रही है। इस बारे में मेरा कहना है कि सम्बन्धित सदस्यों के ग्रधिकारों को जो इस तरह से सीमित किया गया है कि उन को श्रंतिम फैसले में कोई भ्रधिकार नहीं होना चाहिए, यह उचित नहीं जंचता । मैं मानता हं कि हाईकोर्ट के जजेज क़ानन की दिष्ट में बहत पंडित हो सकते है लेकिन व्यावहारिक इष्टि से जैसा क्षेत्रों का संगठन होना चाहिए

धौर क्षेत्रों की बनावट, भौगोलिक दृष्टि धौर स्थानीय महत्व ग्रादि के सम्बन्ध में उनके ज्ञान और अनुभव में कमी होना सम्भव है। वे कानून के बिला शक बड़े पंडित है लेकिन क्षेत्रों की सुगमता तथा किठनाइयों का अनुभव उन्हें नहीं होगा श्रौर उसमें वे अनभिज्ञ होगे। इन बातों का ज्ञान सम्बन्धित सदस्य जोकि लोक-सभा श्रौर विधान सभा के सदस्यों में से ही बनाये जायेंगे उनका ज्ञान श्रपेक्षाकृत अधिक होगा। ऐसी स्थिति में में समझता हूं कि एसोसियेट मेम्बर्स को जिनको कि स्थानीय मामलों का विशेष अनुभव है, उन को अधिकार न देकर केवल कानून के पंडितों श्रौर कानून के अनुभवी लोगों को ही दिया जाये यह उचित नहीं जंचता है।

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, इस विधयक में यह भी बताया गया है कि इन्हीं तीन में जो मेजारिटी या बहमत होगा उसी का फैसला सब के लिए मान्य होगा । मझे भय है कि कभी ऐसी परिस्थिति स्ना सकती है कि जो उस समिति के फूल मम्बर्स है, पूरे मेम्बर्स है, उन तीन में से दो ग़ैरहाजिर हों भौर सातसम्बन्धित उपस्थित सदस्यों की एक राय हो तो भी एक फूल फ्लजेड मैम्बर जोकि उपस्थित है उस एक ही सदस्य का विचार इन सातों सदस्यों के विचारों से सर्वोपरि हो जायेगा । ऐसी परिस्थिति में मेरा यह दढ़ मत है कि सम्बन्धित सदस्यों का जिनका कि ज्ञान स्थानीय मामले में जज लोगों से कहीं ग्रधिक है, उन को पूरा पूरा ष्प्रधिकार रहना चाहिए क्योंकि मतदाताश्रों की दिवकत भ्रौर उम्मीदवारों की कठिनाइयों का ज्ञान सम्बन्धित सदस्यों को उन पूल फलेजड मेम्बर्स से ज्यादा होगा ।

जपाध्यक्ष महोदय, सम्बन्धित सदस्यों के गठन के बारे में एक सुझाव मुझे यह देना है कि कभी कभी अनुभवों के आधार पर देखा गया है कि विधान सभाओं की मंत्रि-परिषदों को सदस्यों को ही विश्वेष कर सम्बन्धित सदस्य बनाया जाता है। नतीजा यह होता है **411**1

[श्री रा० प्र० सिंह]

कि चंकि उन के पास समय की कमी रहती है इसलिए इस काम में जितने समय की भावश्यकता है, जितना समय उन्हें देना चाहिए, उतना व लोग नहीं दे सकते है। जहां तक ग्रन्भव का सवाल है ग्रन्भव सो उन्हें भी काफ़ी होता है लेकिन समय की तंगी के कारण वे भ्रावश्यक समय इस काम में नहीं भी दे सकते । ऐसी परिस्थिति में इस विघेयक के सम्बन्ध में उन से जो सहायता मिलनी चाहिए भीर जो साधारण सदस्य विधान-सभाग्रों के दे सकते है, वह मंत्री लोग नहीं दे सकते है। ऐसी स्थिति में मेरा यह दृढ मत है कि सम्बन्धित सदस्यों को लेते समय यह रूयाल रखा जाये कि यदि भ्रावश्यक हो तो प्रदेश का एक मिनिस्टर से भ्रधिक सम्बन्धित सदस्य न बनाया जाये । भरसक प्रयत्न तो इस बात के लिए हो कि मिनिस्टसँ को बनाना ही नहीं चाहिए लेकिन भ्रगर बनाना भावश्यक हो तो एक से भ्रधिक मिनिस्टर को सम्बन्धित सदस्य न बनाया जाये ।

रिजरवेशन के बारे में कहा गया है कि रिजरवेशन भ्राफ़ सीट्स, जहां शडयूल्ड कास्ट्रस के लोगों का बहमत हो. दिया जाये लेकिन कुछ रोटेशन का भी प्याल रखना चाहिए । जैसा कि श्री भक्त दर्शन ने बतलाया कि बार-बार एक क्षेत्र में रिजरवेशन होने स लोगों का ऐसा ख्याल हो जाता है कि ये सीटें एक ही कांस्टीटएंसी में रख कर उन के सिर के ऊपर लादी जाती है। इसलिए मैं समझता हं कि इस में कुछ ऐसी व्यवस्था होनी चाहिए कि सूरक्षित स्थान रोटेशन से भ्रलग भ्रलग क्षेत्रों में रखे जांगे ताकि सभी के हिस्से में श्रा जायें।

इस विधेयक की घारा ह(१) में परिसीमन की प्रणाली निश्चित की गई है जिसमें बतलाया गया है कि क्षेत्रों के सीमा निर्धारण के समय क्षेत्र के सदेपन, भौगोजिक

निकटता, भ्रावागमन की सुविधा एवं प्रशासन सम्बन्धी वर्तमान श्रक्षणाता पर ध्यान दिया जायेगा । इस सम्बन्ध में मुझे कहना है कि माखिर यह एडिमिनिस्टेटिव यनिट का सब से छोटा रूप किस को माना गया है ? श्रभी तक तो थानों को एडिमिनिस्टिटिव यनिट बना कर यह चनाव क्षेत्र बनाये जाते रहे है भीर ऐसा देखा जा रहा है कि एक थाना या उसके कुछ भाग को इसरे थाने में काट कर मिला दिया जाता है। ग्रगर पंचायतों को, डेवलपमेंट कमेटी या थाना को विधान सभा का चनाव क्षेत्र मान कर या इसी ग्राधार पर जिन की कि सीमा बहत ज्यादा है, एडमिनिस्टटिव यनिट का भी पुनः संगठन हो जारे तो भे समझता हं कि यह काम ठीक से हो सकेगा। इन शब्दों के साथ में इस विधेयक का समर्थंक करता हं।

थी विभति मिथ (मोतिहारी) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, में जानना चाहता हं कि बोलने के लिए इच्छक लोगों को श्रपना नाम लिख कर देना पडता है प्रथवा ग्राप की श्रांख कैच करने का सिस्टम है ?

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय : श्राप का बाम मेरे पास है।

श्री ह० च० सीय (सिंहभूम) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, इस बिल के प्राविजंस को देखने से लगता है कि यह जो डिलिमिटेशन होगा इस में इस कमिशन को सारे चनाव क्षेत्रों में परिवर्तन करने का श्रधिकार है। होना तो यह चाहिए कि कम से कम परिवर्तन कर के यह डिलिमिटेशन हो तो बेहतर है। इसलिए मैं मंत्री जी से दरख्वास्त करंग कि इस बिल में वह कुछ ऐसा इंतजाम कर दें जिसके कि कम से कम हेर फेर हो।

एसोशिएट मेम्बर्स के बारे में विश्व किया गया । मेरा धपना स्वयाल 🖁 💗 विलकुल एसोशिएट मेम्बसं न रखे बांबे ।

सिफं लोगों को कमिशन के सामने भ्रपनी बातें रखने का भ्रधिकार दिया जाये । जैसा कि भ्रभी इस बिल में दिया गया ह कि एसोशिएट मम्बसं को हम रखते ही हैं तो यह जररी है कि यह काफी बौडवेस्ड हो । ऐसा कांस्टी-ट्यूशन हो कि एक स्टेट में सभी पोलिटिकल पार्टीज भीर ग्रप्स को मौका मिल सके। एसोशिएट मम्बर्स को क्या श्रधिकार हों इस सम्बन्ध में माननीय सदस्यों ने भ्रपने सुझाव दिये हैं। कुछ लोगों ने यह कहा कि एसोशिएट मेम्बर को भी वोट देने का ग्रिधिकार दिया जारे । मैं इस सुझाव का सर्वथा विरोध करता हं। यहां तक तो ठीक है कि एसोशिएट मेम्बर्स किमशन के लोगों को सहायता दे सकें भ्रौर अपनी राय दे सकें मगर फैसला नेने का भ्रधिकार सिर्फ किमशन के तीन मेम्बरों को ही हो।

इस में जो यह व्यवस्था की गई है कि किस तरीके पर चुनाव क्षेत्र का निर्माण हो, सिद्धात के तौर पर बहुत सी श्रच्छः बातें कही गई हैं। माननीय सदस्यों ने इस बारे में फिजिकल फीचर्स, फेसेलिटीज श्राफ़ कम्युनिकेशन श्रीर पब्लिक कनवीनियंस श्रादि का जिक्र किया। दुर्भाग्य की बात यह है कि इन सारी बातों का हिसाब नहीं रखा गया। यह बहुत जरुरी है कि इन पर सही श्रमल भी हो। सही तौर पर फिजिकल फीचर्स श्रीर कम्युनिकेशंस का स्थाल रखा जाना चाहिए। जो गड़बड़ियां श्रभी चुनाव क्षेत्र के निर्माण में मेरे श्रपने जिले में हुई हैं वह न होनी चाहिए।

एक राय माननीय सदस्यों ने यह दी श्रौर रिजरवेशन के बारे में यह बात सही है कि यदि कोई चुनाव क्षेत्र पालियामेंट का हो, रिजर्व रखा जाये तो इससे सचमुच मत देने वालों के एक हिस्से को पूरा श्रधिकार नहीं मिलता श्रौर उनका श्रधिकार कम हो जाता है। मगर में उस राय से सहमत नहीं हूं कि कभी एक चुनाव में एक क्षेत्र को रिजर्व रखा जाये श्रीर दूसर समय उसे बदल कर

दूसरी जगह रखा जाये। यह शेयुल्ड कास्ट्स के लोग जिस ऐरिया में भ्रधिक हैं वहीं होना चाहिए दूसरे इलाकों में जहां कि यह बहुत कम हैं भ्रगर यह रखा जायेगा तो इससे भ्रन्याय होगा । जहां तक शिड्युल्ड कास्ट्स भौर शिड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्ज के लिए रिजर्वेशन का सम्बन्ध है, उस की व्यवस्था हमारे कांस्टी-ट्यशन में की गई है। हम सब चाहते हैं कि यह रिजर्वेशन जितनी जल्दी खत्म हो जाये, उतना ही अच्छा है। लेकिन मेरी राय यह है भौर हम लोग जानते हैं कि सब कोशिशों के बावजद हम को धभी रिजर्वेशन को रखना पडेगा । हाल ही में हम ढेबर कमीशन की रिपोर्ट पर विचार कर रहे थे। उस कमीशन ने साफ़ शब्दों में कहा है कि हम लोगों की कोशिशों के बावजद पिछले चौदह बरसों में हम इस दिशा में बहुत ग्रधिक नहीं बढ़ सके हैं। इसलिए हम को कुछ दिन तक रिजर्वेशन को बर्दाश्त करना पडेगा ।

जहां तक नये सेन्सस के मुताबिक शिड्यूला कास्ट्स भ्रौर शिड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्ब की पापुलेशन की नई रेशो का सम्बन्ध है, हो सकता है कि उस से रिजर्वेशन की संख्या घट जाये या बढ़ जाये। इस सम्बन्ध में मेरी राय यह है कि नये सैन्सेस के मुताबिक पापुलेशन की जो नई रेशो कायम होगी, उस में पुरानी संख्या में कोई परिवर्तन न किया जाना चाहिए।

श्राखिर में में सरकार से एक बार और कहूंगा—जैसा कि कई श्रौर माननीय सदस्यों ने भी कहा है—कि इस बिल के मुताबिक तो यह कानून पास होने के साथ ही लागू हो जारेगा, लेकिन हमारे देश की श्राज की परिस्थित को देखते हुए इस कानून के पास हो जाने पर भी इस को तुरन्त लागू नहीं किया जाना चाहिए। मेरा सुझाव है कि श्राज देश की तमाम जनता, सब श्रफ्तसरों श्रोर हम सब लोगों को देश की सुरक्षा के काम में ही लगना चाहिए, किसी दूसरे काम में ही लगना चाहिए, किसी दूसरे काम में पढ़ीं।

4116

Shri Balakrishnan (Koilpatti): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, some hon Members spoke expressing the doubt whether it is convenient to conduct the delimitation during the present state of national emergency. I think, Sir, delimitation cannot be a hindrance to our nation's defence. After all delimitation is a routine administrative work. All of a sudden delimitation cannot be done during the elections. Therefore, delimitation has got to be done.

The existing constituencies are based on the 1951 census. The population has grown very much after that. The 1961 census figure shows that our population is 430 millions and something more. That figure according to the 1951 census was 356 millions. Therefore, 75 million people have to get representation in the Parliament as well as in the State Assemblies. Delimitation is, therefore, necessary.

Apart from this, almost all the States have been reorganised and boundaries of States changed. When the boundaries changed some talukas and villages are taken from one State and put in another State. The villages taken from one State are merged with a constituency in the other State. The population of that constituency or the number of voters in that constituency becomes more than the limit for one constituency de-limitation and reallocation of seats are therefore very necessary.

When delimitation is being made—this is mentioned in clause 9 of the Bill—every constitency, whether it is an Assembly constituency or a Parliamentary constituency, must be brought into a compact area. I found in the last elections there were some Assembly constituencies spread over two different talukas, some parliamentary constituencies spread over two or three districts making it difficult for the candidates to reach their

voters. As far as possible, compact area should be provided for every constituency.

Regarding reserved seats, the main criterion must be the population of Scheduled Castes. Wherever the Scheduled Castes are living in a majority, in such places the seats should be reserved. Unfortunately, in the last elections I found that for the majority of the reserved seats the polling was very very thin. That was because the other people, people other than the Scheduled Castes, did not take interest to cast their votes.

Unfortunately, double-member constituencies were bifurcated. bifurcation was an evil country. That bifurcation has brought not only the growth of casteism but so many other things. Especially this state of emergency when our country is facing aggression from a foreign country, every one of us, every Indian must realise the evil of bifurcation. I think even singlemember constituencies should be abolished. I would request the Government, if it is legally possible, to abolish all single-member constituencies and bring an amendment in this Bill inserting a provision that every constituency must be a multiplemember constituency. So that the unity and inegrity of the country is preserved.

श्री विभूति मिश्र (मोतिहारी): उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मेंने १९४२ का चुनाव लड़ा है, १९४७ का चुनाव लड़ा है श्रीर श्रव १९६२ का चुनाव लड़ा है। ये तीन चुनाव लड़ने के बाद मेरा श्रनुभव है कि इस सम्बन्ध में एसोशियेट मेम्बर्ज को नियुक्त करना बेकार है श्रीर उन की नियुक्ति को रोकने के लिये मेंने उमेंडमेंटस भी दिये हैं। मेरा जाती श्रनुभव है कि एसोशिएट मेम्बर्ज ने कुछ भी काम नहीं किया है श्रीर इस लिये उन को रखना बेकार है। में चाहता हूं कि यह काम इलेक्शन कमीशन के जिम्मे रहना चाहिये, क्यों कि

उस ने यह काम बड़ी खुबी के साथ किया

इस के म्रलावा उस में जजों को रखना भी बेकार है। जो जज सर्विस में हैं, उन को चाहे रख लिया जाये, लेकिन रिटायर्ड जिज को रखने से कोई फायदा नहीं है. क्योंकि उन का काम ठीक नहीं होता है। मेरा सुझाव है कि भ्रव्वल तो जज को न रखा जाये, नेकिन भ्रगर रखना हीं है, तो सिर्फ उस जज को रखा जाये, जो कि सर्विस में हों, क्यों कि रिटायर्ड जज को रखने से काम ठीक नहीं होता है। इलेक्शन कमीशन धौर एक जज ही यह कम करें श्रीर तीन श्रादिमयों को न रखा जाये।

इस बिल में लिखा हुन्ना है कि जहां पर हरि-जनों की तादाद ज्यादा हों--इस में "लार्ज" शब्द का प्रयोग किया गया है -बहां रिजर्व्ड कांस्टीट्युएन्सी बनाई जाये । कई ऐसे क्षेत्र हैं, जो कि १६५२, श्रीर १६६२, इन तीनों चुनाग्रों में रिजव्डें कांस्टीट्यएन्सीज रहे । बाहर के हरिजन वहां जा कर सेवा नहीं कर सकते हैं स्रौर चुनाव नहीं लड़ सकते हैं। जिस क्षेत्र को रिजर्व्ड कांस्टीट्युएन्सी बनाया गया है, वहां के जेनरल श्रर्थात नान-हरिजन लोग ग्रज करते हैं कि हमारे अपर हरिजन लादे जाते हैं। मेरा ख्याल है कि रजिव्हें कांस्टी-ट्यएन्सीज को मोबाइल बनाना चाहिये। एक चुनाव में वह एक क्षेत्र में हो ग्रीर दूसरे चुनाव में किसी दूसरे क्षेत्र में, ताकि हरिजनों को श्रपनी सेवा दिखा कर चुनाव लड़ने का मौका मिल सके।

इस सम्बन्ध में जो प्रणाली इस समय श्रपनाई गई है, उस में रिजर्व्ड कांस्टीट्यु-एन्सीज़ के बाहर के हरिजन वंचित हो जाते हैं। मेरा जाती भ्रन्भव है कि जहां पर रि-जब्दं कांस्टीट्युएन्सी नहीं रखी जाती है, वहां के हरिजन ग्रज करते हैं भौर कहते हैं

कि रिजर्व्ड कांस्टीट्युएन्सी हमारे यहां क्यों नहीं रखी गई है श्रीर वह दूसरी जगह क्यों रखी गई है। मैं निवेदन करना चाहता हुं कि कहीं पर हरिजनों की जन-संख्या दस प्रतिशत है, तो कहीं बारह प्रतिशत । केवल दो प्रतिशत कम होने के कारण हरिजनों को मारा जाता है। धगर कोई ध्रादमी किसी क्षेत्र में रहता है, तो वह उस क्षेत्र में भ्रच्छी तरह श्रीर श्रासानी से चनाव जीत सकता है। अगर बाहर का आदमी रखा जाता है, तो वहां के लोग कहते हैं कि हम पर बाहर का भ्रादमी लाद दिया गया है । यह ऐसा जमाना नहीं है कि किसी कांस्टीट्यएन्सी में बाहर के श्रादमी रखे जायें। का ग्रादमी किसी कांस्ट्टीट्यएन्सी में चनाव नहीं लड़ सकता है। चनाव में तो वही घादमी जीतेगा, जो कि उस कांस्टीट्युएन्सी में रहता हो श्रौर उस की सेवा करता हो।

माननीय सदस्य, श्री श्रीनारायण दास, ने कहा कि हर साल कांस्टीट्यएन्सीज नहीं बदलनी चाहिये । मैं समझता हूं कि कास्टी-ट्युएन्सीज को बदलने की जरुरत है। जैसा कि माननीय सदस्य, श्री सी० के० भट्टाचार्य . ने कहा है, कई ऐसे क्षेत्र हैं, जिन के बीच में से नदी बहती है श्रीर उसी क्षेत्र में ग्राने-जाने के लिये नदी को पार करना पड़ता है। हमारी कांस्टीट्यएन्सी में तीन एम० एल० एज॰ की कांस्टीट्युएन्सीज पड़ती हैं। एक नदी पार करके जाना पडता है भौर तीन इघर पड़ती हैं। मेरा अपना ख्याल है कि जो कांस्टीट्युएन्सी होनी चाहिये, वह कम्पेक्ट होनी चाहिये । मैं समझता हं कि उपयुक्त समय पर विल को यहां पेश किया गया है। इलेक्शन कमिशन को चाहिये कि वह कान्स्टीट-ट्युएन्सीज की ठीक तरह से जांच पड़ताल करें भ्रौर कांस्टीट्युएन्सीज को बनायें । प्रायः देखा जाता है कि जब चुनाव ग्रा जाता है तो निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों की बनावट जल्दी जल्दी की जाती है। यह काम पहले से ही कर

[श्री विभूति मिश्र]

4119

लिया जाना चाहिये । इस वास्ते में समझता हूं कि उपयुक्त समय पर इस बिल को पेश किया गया है ।

कुछ माननीय सदस्यों ने कहा है कि इस समय हमारे दिमानों में लड़ाई की बात है और इसको ध्रव नहीं ध्राना चाहिये। लेकिन हम लड़ाई भी लड़ेंगे धीर दूसरे काम भी करेंगे। ग्रगर किसी से झगड़ा हो जाता है, जो हम खाना भी खाते हैं धीर लड़ाई भी बड़ते हैं, उसी तरह से हम लड़ेंगे भी धीर ये काम भी करते चले जायेंगे। इस में घवराहिट की बात नहीं है धीर न ही घवराने की अकरत है।

मैं समझता हूं कि कंस्टिट्यूएंसीज बनाने का काम इलैक्शन किमशन के जिम्मे किया जाना चाहिये । उस को हम ने विधान के अनुसार एक इंडिपेंडेंट बाडी बनाया है । जब बह इंडिपेंडेंट बाडी है तो मैं समझता हूं यह काम भी उसी के जिम्मे कर दिया जाना चाहिये । न भ्राप को जजों को रखने की जरूरत थी और न ही एसोसिएट मैम्बर्ज को रखने की जरूरत थी । उन को हटा देना चाहिये । भ्रगर ऐसा कर दिया जाता है तो भी मैं समझता हूं कि काम ठीक से चल सकता है ।

भी दे० कि पाटिल (यवतमाल) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, यह जो परिसीमन ग्रायोग विधेयक यहां ग्राया है, यह संविधान के अनुच्छेद द२ ग्रीर १७०(३) को ध्यान में रखते हुए लाया गया है । चूंकि यह संविधान की मांगों के अनुसार पश किया गया है, सस वास्ते इस के उद्देश्यों का कोई भी माननीय सदस्य विरोध नहीं कर सकता है । मोटे बौर से यह विधेयक १६५२ का नम्बर ५२ जो मधिनियम था, जो एक्ट था, उसी की प्रणाली पर है । इस में कुछ सुधार ग्रीर कुछ सुझाव भी दिये गये हैं । एक सुझाव यह है कि एसोसियेट मैम्बर्ज की संख्या को नियत कर दिया जाये, उस को निर्धारत कर दिया

जाये । उस को निर्धारित कर दिया गया है । इसीलिये क्लाज ८ भीर क्लाज ६ म्रलग किये गये हैं ।

सम्माननीय सदस्यों ने यह कहा है कि जम्मू काश्मीर पर भी इस विधेयक को लागू किया जाना चाहिये । जिन माननीय सदस्यों ने यह मांग की है, उन को मैं बतलाना चाहता हूं कि यह विधेयक उस पर लागू नहीं होगा क्योंकि वहां पर १६५४ का श्रादेश लागू है ।

यहां पर माननीय सदस्यों की तरफ से यह मांग भी की गई है कि एसोसियेब मैम्बर जो हैं, उन को भी मतदान का ग्रिध-कार दिया जाना चाहिये । एसोसियेट मैम्बर कौन होते हैं, उन की एप्वाउंटमेंट किस बेसिस पर होती है, भ्रगर इन बातों पर रूयाल किया जाय तो इसी नतीजे पर हम पहुंचते हैं. कि उन को मतदान का अधिकार देने की कोई जरूरत नहीं है । ऐसोसियेट मैम्बर का क्या काम है, इस को समझ लिया जाना चाहिये। वे, जिन को ऐसोसियेट मैम्बर एप्वाययंट किया जाता है, लोकल नालेज रखते हैं. कंस्टिटयएंसीज का भी उन को नालेज रहता है भ्रौर उन को कमिशन की मदद करने के लिये, कमिशन को हैल्प प्रदान करने के लिये नियक्त किया जाता है । चुंकि उन को हैल्प करने के लिये रखा जाता है, इस वास्ते एसोसिएट शब्द का प्रयोग किया गया है। जिन संशोधनों की सूचना दी गई है, मैं ने उन को पढ़ा है श्रौर उन को देखा है। किसी भी सम्माननीय सदस्य ने क्लाज २ जोकि डेफीनीशन क्लाज है या क्लाज ३ जोकि कांस्टीट्युशन श्राफ दी कमिशन के बारे में है, पर कोई भी संशोधन .पेश नहीं किया है । इस वास्ते यह श्रनावश्यक है कि एसोसिएट मैम्बर को मतदान का श्रिध-कार देने के लिये वे कहें। किमशन का जो डिसिशन होगा, उस पर दस्तखत करने का उन को ग्रधिकार देना, मेरे रूयाल में धनावस्यक है। किमशन के जो काम यहां दिये हुए हैं, उन को करने का पूरा अधिकार किमशन को ही दिया गया है। एसोसिएट भैम्बर्ज के बारे में क्लाज १ की सब-क्लाज १ में कहा गया है कि उन को दस्तखत करने का अधिकार नहीं होगा और नहीं वोट देने का अधिकार होगा। वे प्रोपोजल्ज दे सकते हैं। इतना ही नहीं, उन की प्रोपोजल्ज की सार्व- जनिक सुनवाई भी हो जायगी। इतना ही धिषकार उन को दिया गया है।

किमशन को यह भी श्रिधिकार क्लाज प्रमें दिया गया है कि लेटेस्ट सैंसस फ़िगर्ज को ध्यान में रखते हुए लोक सभा की और राज्य विभान सभाओं की सीटों का वह बटवारा कर सकती है। वह हर राज्य से जो सुरक्षित सीटें हैं, उन को भी मुकर्रर करेगी। इस के लिए एसोसिएट मैम्बर को कोई श्रिधकार नहीं हैं।

Shrimati Lakshmikanthamma (Khammam): Sir, on a point of order. There is no quorum.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Do you want to challenge the quorum?

Shrimati Lakshmikanthamma: want more members to be present.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: In the lunch hour we do not mind the quorum.

"publish its proposals for the delimitation of constituencies together with the dissenting proposals, if any, of an associate member who desires publication thereof, in the Gazette of India and Official Gazettes of all the States concerned and also in such other manner as it thinks fit."

में नहीं समझता हूं कि जिस को बोट देने का अधिकार नहीं है, उस को डाइसेंटिंग प्रोपोजल पैरा करने का अधिकार कैसे हो सकता है। डाइसेंटिंग प्रोपोजल्ज वही दे सकता है, जिस को बोट देने का अधिकार हो। इस की तरफ माननीय मंत्री जी का ड्यान जोना चाहिये।

ष्राखिरी सुझाव मैं सुरक्षित सीटों के बारे में देना चाहता हूं। मैं ग्राप को बतलाना चाहता हूं कि भारत में शड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्ज की पापुलेशन बहुत ज्यादा है। शड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्ज का लार्जेस्ट कसेंट्रेशन कहां है, इस के बारे में जो शड्यूल्ड एरिया एन्ड शड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्ज ढेबर कमिशन की रिपोर्ट के चेप्टर २ में जो शैड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्ज पापुलेशन का पैरा दिया हुआ है, वह मैं ग्राप को सुनाना चाहता हूं। उस में पापुलेशन की फिगर वी हुई है। इस में लिखा हुआ है :---

"The largest concentration of tribal people anywhere in the world except perhaps Africa is in India. According to the Scheduled Tribes (List) Order, 1956 the total population of Scheduled Tribes was 2,25,11,854. The largest concentration of Scheduled Tribes are in the States of Madhya Pradesh, Bihar, Orissa, Gujerat, Rajasthan, Assam, Maharashtra and West Bengal."

शैड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्स के लिये लोक समा श्रौर विधान सभा की सीटों का जो विभाजन होता है, श्रौर शैड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्ज के लिये जो सीटें मुकर्रर की जाती हैं श्रौर शैड्यूल्ड एरियाज जो डिक्लेयर किये गये हैं, उस वक्त किमशन को चाहिये कि नान शैड्यूल्ड एरिया के रहने वाले शैड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्ज की संख्या ध्यान में रखें। जैसेकि शैड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्ज की संख्या ध्यान में रखें। जैसेकि शैड्यूल्ड कास्ट के बारे में रखते हैं।

श्री रामेश्वरानन्व (करनाल) : ग्रगर शैंड्यूल्ड कास्ट का शब्द ही न रहे तो इस से क्या हानि होगी ?

श्री दे**० शि० पाटिल**ः उन को भी ठीक प्रतिनिधित्व मिलना चाहिये । Mr. Deputy Speaker: The hon. Member must conclude now.

Shri Kashi Ram Gupta (Alwar): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, today I rise to speak in English because elections is a subject which concerns the whole of the country and I may be excused by our Swamiji for this.

I am not of the opinion that this is the opportune time for this Bill to be brought forward. Shri Darshan had given the argument that our Government has shown to the world that they can go on with this thing in an orderly way. Actually, it is not so. The Government has postponed so many controversial Even his own Party has postponed its own annual session and the elections. But as the Bill has been brought forward it has to be passed. Up till now all hon. Members have expressed the opinion that it should not be put into practice at an early date. That too is my opinion.

There is one clause to which many amendments have been given notice of, that is, about the number of associate members, four from the Assembly and three from the House of the People. I have also sent a notice of an amendment to this. my opinion, the purpose is that those people must have an on-the-spot knowledge and the Commission should benefit by their knowledge. So, the question is about the size of State. The number of these associate members will be the same for all the States. Now, Uttar Pradesh has got about 86 parliamentary constituencies while Assam has got only about 16 or 18. So, the people of can very well benefit by those seven members but for Uttar Pradesh it will be quite impossible. Therefore, if at all there has to be any use of these things, whether for Uttar Pradesh or for Bihar, the number should enhanced according to the size of the State.

Shri Bibhuti Mishra just now said that there was no use at all of these people. That may be his point of view. But, I think, the use is there. Of course, the men should have been maintaining their own standards so far as their activities are concerned.

Now, the difficulty that arises is this. Some people say that there should be consideration for all parties. Unfortunately, our country has not got a healthy democracy as yet. When we look at our own House here, we find that there are 12 parties on the Opposition side consisting of about 104 Members and there are about 30 independent Members. On that side too, although the party is one, there are so many groups within the party based on individuals and so on and so forth.

Some Hon. Members: No. no.

Shri Kashi Ram Gupta: It is a hard fact. So, when there is a multi-party House here and there are multi-party Houses in the States, actually problem is how to minimise these parties. Although the Delimitation Bill may not be directly concerned with that, anyhow that has to taken into consideration. The has come when simultaneous elections to the Vidhan Sabhas and the Sabha should be done away My arguments for this are that the subjects are quite different, the manifestoes should be quite different for the Lok Sabha and the Vidhan Sabhas and, at the same time, election corruption methods will have to be avoided.

What is going on at present is that when we have the Vidhan Sabha elections simultaneously with Lok Sabha elections, people generally understand or are made to understand that there is not much difference between the Vidhan Sabha and the Lok Sabha people, that he (the Lok Sabha Member), is only a burra sahib sitting on the head of the six or eight chhota sahibs. Actually speaking, in India people are not voting on the ground of the manifestoes or the programmes but they vote either for the symbols or for individuals. That is going on. The ruling party gets the benefit of the symbols. That is why in this House there are more Members from the ruling party than in the Vidhan Sabhas at so many places. The natural corollary is that if we want to do away with these symbols and unhealthy practices, we must have separate elections. Even the question of election expenses is correlated with this problem.

As regards election expenses, nowa-days everybody knows it that nobody remains within the limit of expenses and it is very difficult to find out how to do justice in these things. So, if we have got separate elections, we can have control over the number of jeeps and so many things. We can also have control over the other activities of the persons. At the same time the constituencies are getting enlarged day by day. It is impossible for a candidate for the Lok to go to every polling station at the time of elections. So, some medium shall have to be found out by which the people may be made to understand.

What happens at present is that candidate for the Vidhan when a Sabha goes to a constituency and the candidate for the Lok Sabha cannot follow him, the people say, "The candidate for the Lok Sabha has not come; so, why should we vote for him?". This is so specially when the parties are different. Sometimes in the elections to the Vidhan Sabha. there are no parties fighting Sabha elections in that area along with the candidates for Vidhan Sabha. Here too the picture is this. Rajasthn there is no Socialist Praja Socialist. If the Socialists or the Praja Socialists, or even Communists, say that they want representation on this basis in the associate membership, it will be quite on the wrong side. So, my submission is that though the Bill may be passed, it should be put into effect only at The appropriate time. Secondly, associate members are there only to help and not to give concrete decisions. So, their help can be sought properly only when the number is adequate according to the size of the State. The 2320 (Ai) L.S.-3.

larger the size of the State the larger should be their number. So, my humble submission is that all these points should be taken into consideration while passing this Bill.

Shri Balkrishna Wasnik (Gondia): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I rise support this Bill. As we know, this Bill is for the purpose of delimiting the constituencies on the basis of the latest census figures having regard to the provisions in the Constitution. am glad that while delimiting constituencies afresh the Commission will take note of the physical features. existing boundaries of administrative units, facilities of communication and public convenience etc. Unfortunately, in previous delimitations these factors were hardly taken into consideration. As we know, an Member from this side of the House has also quoted certain instances. In my part certain such things have also happened when no regard was shown to administrative convenience or facilities of communications etc. I would like the hon. Law Minister to look into the matter and see that in future such things do not repeat.

Some hon. Members have talked about the reserved constituencies. Shri Bibhuti Mishra, to use his words, said that these constituencies should mobile. By that he means that reserved constituencies should not be kept at one place but that they should go by rotation. I do not know why certain hon. Members are rather interested in shuttlecocking the members of the Scheduled Castes or Scheduled Tribes. If there is no permanent constituency, that is, if there is no constituency at one place, as we know, the Member cannot represent his constituency. He cannot nurse his constituency before-hand. Suppose, there is a Member today who comes from a reserved constituency and tomorrow there is delimitation and his constituency changes. The Member today does not know which part of State or the country he has to nurse. He does not know that. If he does not know where to go and to which people to talk, I do not think, the

[Shri Balkrishna Wasnik]

Member can serve any useful purpose. Nursing the constituency necessarily implies that there should be a permanent constituency and the members should not be shuttle-cocked from one place to another. This will be a most injurious thing to be followed, I must say. As you know, some of the Members and some of the people in this country will be rather happy if there is a total extinction of reserved seats. Some voters not belonging to the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes ask, why in a particular constituency there should be a reserved seat. But, I must say that in this country, we have rather to create an atmosphere or mentality in which persons not belonging to the Scheduled Castes or Scheduled Tribes go and vote for the Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe candidates and accept them as one of their own. In this House atleast, no effort to separate should be made. In this country, there are sections and divisions of the people. Unless this mentality is created in this House or elsewhere, the mentality of division and separatism will be there. Reservation is not there to perpetuate castes, to perpetuate the distinctions between the various sections of the community. Reservation is in order to bring up these people to the level of the other communities. Once that is achieved, this will go. As you know and as I know, reservation is only until 1972. My friends here need not be afraid that the reserved constituencies will be there for ever and they will have no chance.

Then, I want to refer to clause 8 of this Bill. Sub-clause (a) says:

"(a) the number of seats in the House of the People to be allocated to each State and the number of seats, if any, to be reserved for the Scheduled Castes and for the Scheduled Tribes of the State;"

Sub-clause (b) is about 'he Legislative Assembly. This Bill provides for the allocation of seats in the Lok Sabha and in the Vidhan Sabhas to the members of the Scheduled Castes

and Scheduled Tribes. This will be done on the basis of the 1961 Census. I must warn this House that no effort should be made to reduce the representation of the Scheduled Castes in this House. I have been seeing, at least, I will refer to my own State, Maharashtra. In the District Council elections, an effort has been made-I do not know who has made that effort-but an effort has been made to reduce the representation of the Scheduled Castes in that State. In the District councils, what has happened is.....

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: We are not concerned with District Boards now.

Shri Balkrishna Wasnik: In my district, representation of Scheduled Castes in the Lok Sabha or in the Vidhan Sabha was round about 20 or 21 per cent. In the District Council the representation has come down to 5 per cent or something like that.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: He should fight it out in the Maharashtra Assembly, not here.

Shri Balkrishna Wasnik: That all right. What I want to say is, in the Census report, the population of the Scheduled Castes has been shown far less than what it was in 1951 Census. That has to be looked into. I do not know how this has happened. Some people attribute this to the problem of conversion. course, some have become Buddhists. But, there are large numbers of people who have not converted themselves. This conversion has not brought any material change. Therefore, I would like the Minister to keep this in mind and see that, as in these local bodies representation is being reduced, the representation either in the Sabha or in the Vidhan Sabha should not be reduced. No effort should be made to reduce their present representation.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Shri Man Sinh P. Patel. I will call the Minister at 2:30.

Shri Man Sinh P. Patel: (Mehsana): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, I have heard the hon. Members speaking about functions of the Associate Members. Ι have personnel experience appearing twice before the Election Commission. The First Commission was appointed after the Census of 1951 and the re-organisation of the constituencies of the then tri-lingual State, Again, there was re-organisation because the States were bifurcated. My own experience gained by me in appearing before the Commission is that the Election Commission has mainly to rely on official record available from the lower stage. They are not in a position to look into each aspect, or go into greater details regarding the delimitation of Assembly constituencies; I would go to the length of saying, that they have even no time to look into the main fundamentals. It is said here that the physical features and compatibilities should be the main considerations while delimiting constituencies. In Gujerat, I have got parliamentary constituency of Kutch, a revenue district which has got 5 Assembly seats and one seat of Ahmedabad district at a distance of 140 miles. This is found to be compatible leaving 42 seats of the Saurashtra region and 7 seats of Banaskantha area. It is a misfortune that at times, the Election Commission does not attend to the fundamentals of physical features, comptibility, etc. Associate Members alone, either of the Lok Sabha or of the Assembly can guide them in these difficulties in which the lower officials at the State level do not guide them properly. My hon. friend Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya by quoted hon. friend Shrimati Renuka constituency and the same difficulty in the constituency of some other friend also. At the same time, some times, a parliamentary constituency was to be delimited by seven. it was allowed to have 6 Assembly members in order to retain compatibility. When they decide this, no political considerations of any nature should come in. Particularly, all the Associate members should take a conventional vow to dissociate themselves

when the question of their parliamentary constituency comes under consideration. Therefore, 1 through you as the Speaker nominate the Associate memtο While the Speaker nominates bers. after nomination, the Speaker should that the members take a moral vow or a conventional oath that they will never allow while delimiting any political considerations, call it party or group consideration or call it caste as some Members say.

Dr. M. S. Aney: You want the Speaker to take a vow?

Shri Man Sinh P. Patel: A moral yow before the Speaker should taken. The misfortune is this. The delimitation of constituencies of Scheduled and Scheduled Tribes is made on the basis of majority. Minus one village from this side and margin of the Scheduled Caste voters is changed and the whole of Scheduled Caste constituency dhanged. I come from Mehsana district where the Scheduled Caste constituency for the Assembly in 1952 was one, in 1957 another and in 1962 another. This is pure mainpulation of misusing certain villages having little more percentage of Scheduled Castes and framing the constituency in a way in which the Scheduled Caste seat can be immediately changed. The same thing happens regarding parliamentary Schedule Caste constituency. In the Gujerat part of and the then Bombay State Scheduled Caste that parliamentary constituency was an-nounced in my district. I had an opportunity to appear before the Commission. Mr. Chandra Sekhara Aiyar, retired Supreme Court Judge was the Chairman. I showed him the figures. You take away or minus 10 villages which were there in 1952 in this parliamentary constituency and the majority will be in the Ahmedabad district. I won my case and the parliamentary Scheduled Caste reserved constituency in my district was again shifted back to the then Ahmedabad diswhich was then reserved trict Scheduled Caste. I urge the members of the commission, through you and through the law Minister that

[Shri Man Sinh P. Patell

Delimitation

they should take the association of the Members of Parliament but these Members should function above political considerations. As regards delimitation by having regard to revenue districts, facilities of communication and public convenience etc., you Sir, have also got much more experience of this kind of delimitation. Even when it is a question of changing only two villages, too much stress is given to the revenue circles, and, thereby small villages are also affected.

Sir, India is an infant democracy. It should not be the person who should win the election but the party, whatever it may be. If we want to create such an atmosphere, then the personal factor should be forgotten while delimiting the constituencies.

After all, the number is not likely to be increased; but there is only going to be a readjustment. When the allocation is made by the commission, if the same number comes, if it is possible there should be no change in the allocation and delimitation of the existing constituencies. It has been a misfortune that each time an Assembly or Parliamentary constituency is delimited, some allowance is made in regard to the number. I would urge the hon. Minister and the commission and if the same number of parliamentary and Assembly seats comes to be worked out in a certain districts in certain States, those constituencies should remain intact, there should be no change in them There should only be a simple formal official declaration by the commission in those cases. Otherwise, we shall not be able to nourish this infant democracy of ours.

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Law (Shri Bibudhendra Mishra): I have heard with rapt attention the speeches made by hon. Members. Most of the suggestions that have been made do not relate to the provisions of this Bill but are mainly meant for the Delimitation Commission when it comes into existence for its guidance while delimiting the constituencies.

Commission Bill

It has been suggested by many Members including some older Members that in view of the emergency prevailing in the country, this Bill should not have come up before the House at this moment. My hon, friend Shri C. K. Bhattacharvva said in support of this with his own logic that when Parliament was scheduled to sit till the 23rd of November, this Bill was not found necessary; that it h now come up bofere the House after the sitting of the House has been extended shows that the Bill is not important. That is a faulty logic which I shall try to answer. When it was decided that the House should sit till the 23rd of November, it was then thought that all those Bills which related strictly to the emergency alone should be brought up before the It was then intended also House would meet some House. that the december. time in the month of When the sitting of the House was extended beyond the 23rd of November, naturally, all other important matters, not as important as those relating to the emergency but, all the same, important from the point of view of the parliamentary life itself, were considered necessary to be brought up before the House, and hence this Bill has been brought forward.

In fact, it is a constitutional obligation on our part-I would refer to article 82 of the Constitution againto bring forward a Bill of this nature upon the completion of each census. That is highly necessary and desirable so long as we accept the parliamentary form of government, and so long as we abide by and cherish the principles enshrined in the Constitution. Of course, the emergency may be there, and the emergency certainly gets the priority, but we have not given a go-by to the articles of the Constitution, we have not departed from the

parliamentary form of government. When we have accepted it, and so long as it is there, we prepare for all contingencies. We do not know what contingencies will be there, but we we should get ready the next elections. It may be that we do not know when the elections would come, and whether they will come at all or not. But it is necessary that the elected representatives of the people must know their constituencies sufficiently well in advance, and must come in touch with them and every constituency, at this particular period of time, should be worked out as a living organism. In order to work out the different, constituencies as living organisms, with an individuality of their own, I believe apart from this national necessary, emergency, that Members should have touch with them and they should know their constituencies.

Dr. M. S. Aney: May I point out that article 82 does not lay down any specific time-limit within which this Bill should be brought forward? This has to be done after the census. That is all. Government may choose their own proper time to bring forward a Bill of this nature.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: The language is 'Upon the completion of each census'. Here, the words are not the same as we use in other enactments such as 'As soon as may be' and so on. It is, therefore, our duty to come forward with a delimitation Commission Bill

There is also another thing that has to be remembered. People have talked as if the general elections are far off, and they will come only in 1967. In this connection, I may point out that the election to the Kerala Legislative Assembly will become due early in 1965, and, therefore, it is necessary that the constituencies there should be demarcated, and seats allocated according to the provisions of the Constitution much in advance of 1965.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: And in Orissa, the general elections will be due in 1966.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: My hon. Shri P. K. Deo and some others have suggested that Jammu and Kashmir should have been included within the scope of this Bill. Government also share the same anxiety as is shared by hon Members, and Government also do not like that in all the Bills which come up before the House the State of Jammu and Kashmir should be excluded from their operation. But then we have to remember the bare facts. which have necessitated article 370 of the Constitution. Therefore, before applying any law of Parliament to the State of Jammu and Kashmir. it is necessary that the concurrence of the State Legislature should be there.

Shri Sham Lal Saraf (Jammu and Kashmir): I_S that so with regard to every law?

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: Except with regard to those that have been specified under article 370. It must be in accordance with article 370.

My hon, friend Shri Surendranath Dwivedy has said that the Jammu and Kashmir State has decided that should come to its representatives Parliament through the process of election. There was a press report to that effect. Beyond that, I may tell the House that the Government of India do not know anything about proposal of the Jammu and the Kashmir Legislature or the Jammu and Kashmir Government to send their representatives to Lok Sabha through the process of election. Then, let me tell the House that if article 370 is to be modified, of course, it is for the State of Jammu and Kashmir to do it. If , it is modified and is brought in line with article 81 of the Constitution

Shri D. N. Tiwary (Gopalganj)

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Minister is not yielding.

Shri D. N. Tiwary: The Home Minister had announced here.....

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Minister is not yielding.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: . . . and if the Jammu and Kashmir Legislature decides to send its representatives to the Lok Sabha by way of election, according to the census report the number that Jammu and Kashmir will have in the Lok Sabha will be only 4 and not 6 as at present. That position also has to be remembered:

An hon. Member had asked me about the applicability of this enactment to the State of Nagaland. I explained that position on the first day when we took up this Bill for consideration. I would not repeat it now except to say that the State of Nagaland has not come into existence, it being dependent upon the ratification Constitution (Thirteenth Amendment) Bill. And when the State of Nagaland comes into existence, no demarcation of the parliamentary constituency will be necessary since the parliamentary constieuency for the entire State will be one. There is a special provision in the State of Nagaland Act itself by which the Election Commission has been empowered to delimit the 60 Assembly constituencies.

So far as the Union Territories are concerned also, I explained the position last time. The case of the Union Territories does not come within the purview of article 81 (1) (a) but that of article 81 (1) (b). The 20 seats that have been allotted to the Union Territories have already been filled up. Pondicherry is to get its representation also. The Constitution (Four-

teenth Amendment) Bill envisages that the number should be increased from 20 to 25, and when that Bill is ratified by the necessary number of Statos, namely eight—it has been ratified by six States, so far as I gather—then the necessary allocation of these 25 seats between the different Union Territories will also be taken up as directed by law by Parliament.

श्री भक्त दर्शन (गढ़वाल) : जिस प्रकार राज्यों के विषय में किया गया है, उसी प्रकार यृतियन टेरीटरीज में टेरीटौरिवल कौसिल्ज से एक एक, दो दो प्रतिनिधि लेने में मागनीय मंत्री जी को द्वार ग्रहवन है ?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Minister is not yielding.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: Shri Tyagi has suggested that constituencies and seats should not be lightly distrubed. That is an accepted principle any constituency should not be lightly distrubed. It will be seen from the report of the Election Commission on the second general elections that this should be done under compelling circumstances only. There is also scope of any radical alteration in the constituencies throughout the country. Article 81 envisages that there should be 500 elected Members in this House. We have 481 elected members from the differnt States and six Jammu and Kashmir. Under the Nagaland Act one more Member will be there, making a total of 488. Whether the maximum of 500 should be reached or not is a different matter but best 12 seats in the Lok Sabha can be increased on the basis of pepulation increase. The multiples are prescribed in the law itself Therefore. there is no scope for much of a deviation or a large breakup of constituencies in the different States even if the constituencies are increased. A suggestion was made that the number of voters in a particular constituency should be limited. cannot be done unless the

decides to do away with the principle adult suffrage enshrined one time the Constitution. At the Constitution itself envisagьa nο parliament constituency should have more than 750,000 voters but with the increase of population this maximum could not be maintained and therefore the Constitution was amended Year after year there is increase in the population......

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: (Hoshangabad): Increase the number of seats in Parliament.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: Provided the House amends article 81

• An Hon. Member: What about the space in this House (Interruptions.)

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: Shri Surendranath Dwivedy of the PSP says that the number should not go up as this House has already become unwieldy. It is for the House to decide

Dr. M. S. Aney: What is the policy of the Government?

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: The policy of the Government will come at the appropriate time.

Now, there are people who do not want associate members. There are others who want the number to be increased from 7 to 11, 13 or even 20; there are so many amendments. The idea is to associate them with the working of the Commission as they have expert local knowledge, it will be seen from the report on the second general elections that at every stage, right from the formulation of proposals till their finalisation, the opinion of the associate members was taken into account in all the stages.

They want that the right of voting should be given to the associate members. I am opposed to this because it would take away the inde-

pendent and quasi-judicial character of the Commission. The Bill says that while deciding the question of the choice of the members, the composition of the House. Under the old Act, the number varied according to the population from State to State. There were then part A, B and C States In some States it was 2, in some it was 7 and the maximum number was 7.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Ir Madhya Pradesh it was 8.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: I am sorry. Now, we have tried to have the same number uniformaly for all the States.

Shri Kashi Ram Gupta: You are comparing Assam with U.P. 80 Members as compared to 16 Members.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: But the prnciple is the same....(Interruptions.) There is no question of giving a representative to the Scheduled or a Scheduled Tribe Caste there here or to each political party. There are parties which consist of one Member in the House; it is an impossible proposition. Therefore, the names of the associate members that were associated with the last Commission had been given and they are fairly representative in character.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Only fairly; not quite.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: I am not in favour of a body with three permanent members with 12 or 20 associate members which would make it unwieldy. But if it is the sense of the House that in order to do justice it is necessary to increase the number to 9. I am prepared to accept it.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: That is my amendment. Let us see.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: It has heen urged that the multiple should be uniform for all the States. It will not be possible....

Shri Kashi Ram Gupta: What are the reasons for that

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: You will know the reasons I will refer to articles 81 (2) and 170 of the Constitution. Article 81 (2) (a) says:

"There shall be cllotted to each State a number of seats in the House of the People in such manner that the ratio between that number and the population of the State is, so far as practicable, the same for all States:"

I will take up the amendment of Shri Kamath and illustrate my point. He wants the number should be between 6 and 8 The U. P. Assembly has 43 members and article 170 of our Constitution says that no State assembly should have more than 500 members. The present multiple comes to five. If the minimum is fixed at six, as per the amendment, and if the membership there goes beyond 500, then there will be difficulties. Let us take another State with the lowest representation. Assam is represented in Lok Sabha by 12 Members; the multiple comes to 9 as there are about 108 members in the State assembly If the multiple is reduced, then the number of seats will have to be reduced from 108 and fixed at 96. The general principle is laid down in the Constitution itself; as far as practicable the Commission will work out that ratio. We cannot fix any multiple. We must leave it to the Commission.

Therefore, to lay down in the very Bill itself that the multiple should be six, seven or eight, would work out hardship. As I have pointed out, like the one in the case of Uttar Pradesh it may violate the Constitution, because we have to go beyond the maximum that is permissible under article 170 of the Constitution, that is, the maximum should be not more than 500 in a State legislature. These are the general questions that I have raised and to which I have given the answer.

Now, the question of the rotation of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes has been supported and also opposed. This question has also been throughly discussed in the report of the Election Commission, and I would like to invite the attention of hon. Members to page 75 of that report. It is not a very good principle to rotate the seats and to deprive those areas where the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes are greater in number. It is not good to deprive them of their representation and to thrust a person belonging to the Scheduled Castes or Scheduled Tribes in a constituency where the maximum number of the electorate is not Scheduled Castes or Scheduled Tribes. Therefore, two different principles have accepted taking into view the historical and geographical facts. That is, you fix the Scheduled Tribes seats at a place where their number is largest. In so far as the Scheduled Castes are concerned, they can be dispersed throughout the State and then the seats could be located in a place where their number is proportionately larger. That number has been worked out.

Then, Shri Tyagi raised a point-he is not here at present-and wanted to know whether the word "largest" in clause 9 (1) (d) in respect of the representation of the Scheduled Tribes would relate to the district or the State. I would only say that the census report, the population, is taken into account. Supposing, in a State, on the calculation of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes, and on calculation of the population of the State as a whole, if it is decided that the State should have four Scheduled Tribes seats, then, that number is first of all decided, and then seats are distributed in the local areas where the Scheduled Tribes population is the largest. That is the principle that has been accepted.

A suggestion has been made that in some regions of the Punjab there should be nomination to the House of the People. That would be a violation of article 170 (1). That cannot be

accepted. With these words, I would request the House to accept this Bill.

श्री भक्त दर्जान : जो संघीय क्षेत्र हैं, यूनियन टैरिटरीज हैं, वहां टैरिटोरियल काउंसिल्ज से एक एक या दो दो प्रतिनिधि एसोसिएट मैंम्बर्ज के तौर पर, सहयोगी सदस्यों के तौर पर लेने में क्या कठिनाई है ? कम से कम इसकी व्यवस्था तो कुछ होनी ही चाहिये ।

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: Since the Union territories do not come under the purview of this Bill, where is the question of associate members? I can understand that, if the Union territories come under this Bill and the Delimitation Commission is entrusted with the work of delimiting those constituencies.

श्री हेमराज : एक पालिमेंटरी कांस्टि-ट्यूएंसी में अगर एक हिस्सा दूसरे हिस्से से बिल्कुल जुदा हो तो उस में कम से कम पापुलेशन का फिबसेशन कोई हो जायेगा या नहीं, कम रें। कम एक ग्रमम्बन्ती सीट के लिये ?

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: No number can be fixed, either the maximum or the minimum. It is very difficult to have any number. So much has been said about communication and so on.

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): I want to know when this Commission will be appointed and whether the work will start immediately or we will have to wait till October, 1963.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: I am sorry I have already answered it and the hon. Member was not present.

Shri Maheswar Naik (Mayurbhanj): Clause 10, sub-clause (3) lays down that "as soon as may be after such publication, every such order shall be laid before the House of the People and the Legislative Assemblies

of the States concerned". May I know whether the Legislative Assemblies and the House of the People have got any right to amend those orders?

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: No, Sir.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is:

"That the Bill to provide for the readjustment of the allocation of seats in the House of the People to the States, the total number of seats in the Legislative Assembly of each State, the division of each State into territorial constituencies for elections to the House of the People and Legislative Assemblies of the States and for matters connected therewith, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: We will now take up clause-by-clause consideration. For clause 2, there are four amendments. Shri Bibhuti Mishra—he is not there. So amendment No. 27 is not moved.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I beg to-move:

Page 1, omit lines 14 and 15. (2).

Page 1, lines 14 and 15, omit

"State of Jammu and Kashmir and" (3).

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Amendment No. 28 is the same as amendment No. 2.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): By your leave, I have moved the amendments standing in my name, namely, Nos. 2 and 3. The first seeks to omit the reference to the State of Jammu and Kashmir and the State of Nagaland, and the second is merely to exclude the words, "State of Jammu and Kashmir" and retain Nagaland, After having studied the position afresh, the State of Naga'and Act afresh, I beg leave of the House towithdraw amendment No. 2.

[Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath]

I will now speak on amendment No. 3. May I submit, very why I would commend this amendment to the acceptance of the Government and the House. We have heard the Minister and to a certain extent he was right in so far as the State of Jammu and Kashmir is concerned; more especially, since last Friday, this question has assumed a delicate aspect. So, I realise the responsibility that devolves upon us when we refer to this particular question. The House will no doubt wish all success to the Prime Minister in his new deavours

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Why complicate matters?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The House will wish all success. That is all I mean to say. I hope that the Government will try to convince Pakistan that China is the common enemy of India and Pakistan and that a joint defence against China is the need of the hour.

Having said that, I would refer the Minister to the statements made by the Home Minister to which I believe a casual reference was sought to be made by one of the hon. Members The here. Minister apparently has not taken notice of the very critical categorical statement made by the Home Minister in this House apart from the press note or the press report which he said he had seen sometime ago. May I invite the attention of the House and the attention of the Minister to the questions raised in the House on the 6th September and 8th June of this yearthat is to say, the first session and the second session of the third Lok Sabha. The question was raised by me with regard to the State of Jammu Kashmir, particularly with reference to its representation in the Lok Sabha. and on the subsequent date, that is to say, on the 6th September, 1262, the Home Minister referred to his statement made earlier in the first seesion and went on to say as follows. 15 hrs.

The Home Minister said there was no constitutional difficulty. He referred to the communication he had had from the Prime Minister of Jammu and Kashmir that the Prime Minister of Jammu and Kashmir had agreed to fill the seats in the Lok Sabha through direct election and not through indirect election, as is the practice in vogue today.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: That was the recommendation of the National Conference also.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The Home Minister went on to say that though three months had elapsed since June, since the correspondence had started between the Central Government and the Jammu Kashmir Government, there was no communication subsequently from the Kashmir Government in this respect. He also told the House on 6th September, 1962 that he hoped to hear from the Prime Minister of Jammu and Kashmir very soon on this matter and that he had agreed in principle to the direct election of the representatives of Jammu and Kashmir to the Lok Sabha and not through indirect election as it is today.

Moreover, I am somewhat in doubt as to the constitutional position also as adumbrated by my hon. friend, the Deputy Minister. Article 370 is there, as we are well aware Clause (1) (a) of this article related to the provisions of article 238; it said:

"(a) the provisions of article 238 shall not apply in relation to the State of Jammu and Kashmir."

That article 238 has since been repealed and so that goes out of the picture. What is before the House and the country is only clause (1) (b) of article 370:

- "(b) the power of Parliament to make laws for the said State shall be limited to—
 - (i) those matters in the Union List and the Con-

current List which, in consultation with the Government of the State, are declared by the President to correspond to matters specified in the Instrument of Accession governing the accession of the State to the Dominion of India..."

Unfortunately, we do not have a list before us of what matters have been so defined or specified by the President as applicable to the power of Parliament to make laws in regard to that State of Jammu and Kashmir. So far we have been told that the jurisdiction of the Election Commission has been extended to that State. That means to say, we have taken the first step and perhaps a major towards the integration Jammu and Kashmir with the rest of India, so far as elections are concerned. So far as the provisions of the Constitution governing elections are concerned, the jurisdiction of the Election Commission which is laid down in article number-I not remember the number of that article now-has been extended to Jammu and Kashmir, What is the difficulty in pursuing this matter to this limited extent at this stage? We all know that the elections are at least five years away, if not more distant; that is to say, till 1967, as the hon. Minister told us, except for Orissa and Kerala, where the elections shall be held in 1966 and 1965 respectively. to the Legislative Assembly.

If a step is taken in regard to this matter and if Jammu and Kashmir is brought within the purview of this Bill, we are sure that the day and the time envisaged by the Home Minister in the course of his reply to my question and the questions of so many colleagues of mine in this House in June and September last will come very soon. My friend, Shri Banerjee and some others also have said that the Delimitation Commis-

sion may be appointed but it may start its work in 1964. The constitutional requirement that after the census, the Government must come before the House with this Bill has been fulfilled. Having appointed the Commission, the Commission need not necessarily start working immediately. it is not obligatory on the Commission to start working immediately. It may go into temporary cold storage-I do not mean to cast any sort of aspersion on the Commission; it may start its work years hence and by that time, we hope the expectation of the Home Minister will be fulfilled and the Jammu and Kashmir State Government will have communicated final assent to the proposal made by this Government with regard to direct election of the representatives of that State in the Lok Sabha Therefore, it is very desirable, if not incumbent upon us, to see to it that Jammu and Kashmir State also is included in this. We seek the integration of the whole country and Jammu and Kashmir has been an integral part of the country, since article 1 was incorporated in the Constitution. which categorically affirms Jammu and Kashmir as one of the States of the Indian Union.

After having extended the jurisdiction of the Election Commission, my amendment seeks to take one more step to associate the representatives of that State in the work of the Delimitation Commission, so that by the time the Delimitation Commission starts its work, the approval of the Constituent Assembly and the Government of Jammu and Kashmir have been will also obtained for direct election and by the time the Commission starts its work, we will have the pleasure and the privilege of having the representatives Jammu and Kashmir also associated with the Commission's work, along with the representatives of other States of the Indian Union. I, therefore, move my amendment and commend it to the acceptance of the Minister and of the House

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: I have expressed the same hope that has been expressed by Shri Kamath. But I am sorry to say that under the law as it stands at present, so long as the concurrence of the Government of Jammu and Kashmir State is not received, it cannot be included.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: For this you do not need the consent of the Government of Jammu and Kashmir.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: For this, we need. Mr. Kamath will kindly look at the Constitution (Application to Jammu and Kashmir) Order, 1954 which says:

"Article 81 shall apply to the State of Jammu and Kashmir subject to the modification that the representatives of that State in the House of the People shall be appointed by the President on the recommendation of the Legislature of that State."

So, article 370 should be suitably amended. Therefore, unless that communication is received from the Jammu and Kashmir Government, whatever may be the correspondence and hope expressed—we also hope Jammu and Kashmir should come under the operation of this law. If at all it comes, I can assure the House can that we always amend this Act and include them. Simply because Jammu and Kashmir has not been included, there is no reason why the demarcation of constituencies in the other States should wait. Therefore, I oppose the amendment.

Shri Hari Vishau Kamath: On a point of clarification. I have read that article. But that refers to the election of representatives of Jammu and Kashmir State in the Lok Sabha. At present, we are not concerned with that, At present we only want to associate those representatives of Jammu and Kashmir with the work of the Delimitation Commission, so that by the time the Commission starts its work, we will have obtained the assent

of the Jammu and Kashmir Government.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Unless the article is amended, how can it be done? Does the hon. Member have the leave of the House to withdraw his amendment No. 2?

Some Hon. Members: Yes.

The amendment was, by leave, with-

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I shall now put his amendment No. 3 to the House. The question is:

Page 1, lines 14 and 15, omit "the State of Jammu and Kashmir and" (3).

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: 'The question is:

"That clause 2 stand part of the Bill"

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2 was added to the Bill.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is:

"That clauses 3 and 4 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 3 and 4 were added to the Bill.

Clause 5- (Associate members)

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: What are the amendments that hon. Members want to move?

Shri Kashi Ram Gupta: Sir, I beg to move:

Page 2, lines 19 to 22,-

For "seven persons three of whom shall be members of the House of the People representing that State and four shall be members of the Legislative Assembly of that State", substitute-

41.3

"as mention below:-

- (i) From States, having the present number of Parliamentary Constituencies below thirty, seven persons, three of whom shall be members of the House of the People representing the State, and four shall be members of the Legislative Assembly of that State;
- (ii) From States, having the present number of Parliamentary Constituencies above thirty (except the State of Uttar Pradesh), thirteen persons, five of whom shall be members of the House of the People, representing the State, and eight shall be members of the Legislative Assembly of that State; and
- (iii) For the State of Uttar Pradesh, twenty-one members, seven of whom shall be members of the House of the People, representing that State and fourteen shall be members of the State Legislative Assembly." (40).

Shri Narasimha Reddy (Rajampet): Sir, I beg to move:

Page 2.-

- (i) line 19, for "seven" substitute "thirteen";
- (ii) line 20, for "three" substitute "seven";
- (iii) line 21, for "four" substitute "six" (1).

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Sir, I beg to move:

Page 2, line 19,—for "seven" substitute "eleven" (4).

Page 2, line 19,—for "seven" substitute "nine" (5).

Page 2, line 20,—for "three" substitute "five" (6).

Page 2, line 20,—for "three" substitute "four" (7).

Page 2, line 21,—for "four substitute "six" (8).

Page 2, line 21,—for "four substitute "five" (9).

4150

Page 2. line 38,—omit "to vote or" (10).

Shri H. C. Roy: Sir, I beg to move:

Page 2, line 19,---

for "seven" substitute "fourteen" (41).

Page 2, line 20,-

for "three" substitute "six" (42).

Page 2, line 21,-

for "four" substitute "eight" (43).

Page 2, line 27,-

after "to the" insert "political" (44).

Page 2, line 28,-

Add at the end-

"provided in the nominations to be so made that at least two members shall be each from the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes in case where the total population of the Scheduled Tribes to the total population of the State is five per cent. or more." (45).

Shri D. S. Patil (Yeotmal): Sir, I beg to move:

Page 2, line 20,-

after "House of the People" insert-

"amongst whom one shall be a member of Scheduled Tribes". (13).

Page 2, line 39,-

add at the end-

"but they shall be entitled to attend sittings of the Commission and to participate in the proceedings". (31). Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The amendments and the clause are now before the House.

Shri Narasimha Reddy: Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, my amendment No. 1 is to clause 5(1) which reads as follows:

"The Commission shall associate with itself for the purpose of assisting it in its duties in respect of each State, seven persons three of whom shall be members of the House of the People representing that State and four shall be members of the Legislative Assembly of that State."

My amendment seeks to increase the number 7 to 13 and, correspondingly, the three members from the House of the People increased to seven, and the four members of the legislative assembly of each State to six.

While proposing number 13, I thought the Government may be allergic to that number. So, if the Government has any objection, I accept reducing that number to 12.

The idea behind increasing number of persons to be nominated as associate members is that representation should be given to all parties and to all members in delimiting the constituencies. I suggest that the nomination of persons should not on the strength of the various parties in either the Assembly or the Parliament, because in such matters it is the opinion of each party that is to be taken into consideration. There may be such a thing as the Congress point of view, the Communist point of view or the Swatantra point of view. But the main thing is that every point of view of every party should be given a representation. I suggest that no party should have more than member to be nominated as an associate member, because a suggestion is either good or bad on the inherent merits of that suggestion and not on the question whether it is pushed forth by a number of people from behind. Therefore, it is the inherent merits of each case that have to be taken into

consideration and not the numbers by which each case is backed up. On that consideration, I suggest that not more than one member should be given representation from each party.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: There are 14 parties here.

An Hon. Member: And Independents too.

Shri Narasimha Reddy: The Members of Parliament and members of the legislative assemblies who would be associate members are familiar with the geographical conditions. They have gone through many elections, and they are in a position to say clearly and distinctly about the topographical conditions more than any official, because in the course of these elections they would have gone in and out of the villages more than a hundred times.

I, therefore, suggest that the number of persons be increased from 7 to 12, and in line 20 the number 3 should be increased to 7, and number 4 in line 31 be increased to 5. The hon. Deputy Minister has already agreed to increase it to 9. Probably, it may be too much for me to ask him to take a sudden jump from 9 to 13. My hon. friend Shri Kamath's amendment suggests that the number may be 11. The hon. Deputy Minister, probably, might find it easier to jump to 11 rather than to take a double jump to 13

Shri Prabhat Kar: 13 is an unlucky number.

Shri Narasimha Reddy: Under these circumstances, I do not press my amendment, but I commend the amendment which Shri Kamath has moved for the acceptance of the hon. Minister.

श्री काशीराम गुप्त (ग्रलवर) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, में ने जो संशोधन पेश किया है उसमें खास तौर से इस बात का ध्यान रखा है कि कितनी वड़ी स्टेट है श्रौर उसका कितना बडा क्षेत्र है। उसी के मताबिक वहां के लिये स्रादमी बढ़ाने चाहियें। ऐसा प्रतीत होता है कि मंत्री महोदय ने उत्तर प्रदेश का विशेष ध्यान नहीं रखा है जो कि इतनी बड़ी स्टेट है। वह शायद समझते हैं कि वहां के लोगों का ग्रलौकिक ज्ञान है। वह ग्रान दी स्पाट ज्ञान के बारे में कहते हैं। तो एक ग्रादमी को कितने क्षेत्र का ज्ञान हो सकता है। किसी के लिये भी इतने बड़े क्षेत्र का ज्ञान सम्भव नहीं है। इसी दृष्टि से उत्तर प्रदेश के लिये ग्रादमी बढाने चाहियें। इसी लिये जान बझ कर मैं ने उत्तर प्रदेश के लिये ज्यादा नम्बर के लिये कहा है। ग्रगर मंत्री महोदय समझते हैं कि उत्तर प्रदेश के महानभाव विशेष शक्ति रखते हैं श्रीर थोडे ही प्रादमी वहां काम कर लेंगे तो मझे कोई ऐतराज नहीं होगा ।

मेरा तो यह निवेदन है कि उत्तर प्रदेश की विशेष परिस्थितियों को देखते हुए वहां के लिये ज्यादा म्रादमी होने चाहिये। पर में समझता हूं, जसा कि ग्रभी रेड़ी साहब ने कहा है, मंत्री महोदय के लिये ६ से ११ सदस्य करना ज्यादा म्रासान होगा। में ने जो नम्बर २१ का बताया है वह शायद उत्तर प्रदेश वाले भी ज्यादा बड़ा समझें। लेकिन मेरा निवेदन है कि उत्तर प्रदेश के क्षेत्र को देखते हुए उसके लिये ज्यादा म्रादमी होने चाहियें।

श्री गौरी शंकर कक्कड़ (फतेहपुर) : उत्तर प्रदेश वालों की शक्ति बहुत ज्यादा है ।

श्री काशीराम गुप्त : ऐसा है तो बहुत ग्रच्छा है। यदि उनकी शक्ति ज्यादा है तो म**ब** के लिये ११ कर दीजिये।

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I have moved amendment Nos. 4 to 10 standing in my name. Amendment Nos. 4 to 9 deal with the number of associate members of the Delimitation Commission pertaining to each State. The hon Minister has indicated earlier his readiness, his willingness, to

accept one of the amendments and the two consequential amendments, is to say, raising the number of associate members from 7 to 9 if I heard him aright. Is that not so? I would have been happier if he had accepted the higher number of 11, because we are all familiar with the cricket ele-So, it would be displaying greater sportsmanship to accept amendment to the effect that the associate members in each State should be 11 and not 9, if he can change hismind. I know he has an open mind and he can change it, if he wants to. So, I would be happy and the House would be happy if he accepts amendment No. 4 and the consequential amendment Nos. 5 and 6.

Shri Prabhat Kar: Further, there is no principle behind it.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: There is no fundamental principle. So, it is open to him to accept the amendment raising the number to 11 instead of the amendment raising it to 9. Let us wait and see what he does at the end of the discussion of this particular clause.

The last amendment is with regard to the right to vote. That is to say, it has been provided in this Bill that the associate members will have normally no right to sign the report of the Commission. I can understand the Minister when he says that the associate members will not be empowered to sign because naturally the Delimitation Commission is a constitutional statutory body and the signature will be of the members of the Commission, not of the associate members because they have got a separate status in the statute itself. But I see no harm if the associate members are allowed, are given the right to vote after discussion. On a particular proposal and the result after the voting is regarded as a decision of the Election Commission. I am sure the judicial members of the Election Commission and the Chief Election Commis[Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath]

sioner will have no objection to this proposal because they would be hapby to have the advantage, the benefit of consultation with the associate members, together with their vote on a particular proposal, so that they would be fortified in what they sign, and in recommending certain measures to the House of the People and the Vidhan Sabhas in States they would feel more fortified because they have got the support of the associate members as well. So, I would certainly press this amendment, and I hope that the Minister will see his way to accepting it and the House too will follow suit.

श्री बै० शि० पाटिल (यवतमाल) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, क्लाज नम्बर ५ पर मेरा श्रमैंडमैंट नम्बर १३ है जिस में में ने चाहा है कि लोक सभा के जो तीन एसोशिएट मैंम्बर्स होंगे उन में एक शड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्स का मेंम्बर हो । इस का कारण यह है कि क्लाज ६ जो है उस में एसोशिएट मैम्बर्स का काम दिया गया है श्रीर वह फंक्शन शेड्यूल्ड कास्ट्स श्रीर शेड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्स का मैम्बर ही कर सकता है दूसरा नहीं कर सकता है, ऐसा मेरा कहना नहीं है लेकिन लोकल ऐरिया की कांस्टीटुएन्सी की इनफारमेशन उन को ज्यादा रह सकती है।

क्लाज ६ (१) (डी) में यह दिया .हुम्रा है :----

"constituencies in which seats are reserved for the Scheduled Tribes shall, as far as practicable, be located in areas where the proportion of their population to the total is the largest."

यह काम शेड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्स का मैम्बर धारुद्वी तरह कर सकता है। इसलिये मैं चाहता हूं कि यह जो एसोशिएट मैम्बर्स बन हैं उन में शेडयूल्ड ट्राइब्स का एक भैम्बर रहना चाहिये। कान्स्टिचूशन में शेड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्स का एरिया इस तरह डिफाइन किया गया है :---

"'Scheduled Area' means such area as the President may order to be Scheduled Area.'"

शेडयूल्डट्राइन्स एरिया प्रेसिडेंट श्रपने आर्डर से डिक्लेयर करेंगे। लेंकिन जहां तक शेड्यूल्ड ट्राइन्स का सवाल है इसको कहीं पर डिकाइन नहीं किया गया है। शड्यूल्ड ऐरिया और शेडयुल्ड ट्राइन्स कमिशन की रिपोर्ट, चेप्टर १ मैं लिखा है :—

"The term 'Scheduled Tribes' is nowhere defined in the Constitution and in fact, there is no satisfactory definition given anywhere."

शड्यूल्ड एरिया में जो शेड्यूल्ड ट्राइव्स रहते हैं उन की संख्या शेडयल्ड ट्राइब्स कांस्टीट्र्न्सी बनाने के वक्त ध्यान मैं रक्खी जाती है लेकिन शोडयल्ड ऐरिया के बाहर जो ट्राइब्स लोग रहते हैं उन को ध्यान मैं नहीं रक्खा जाता है The position of the Scheduled Tribes in a non-scheduled Area ऐसी हो जाती है कि वह शेडपुल्ड ट्राइब्स भी नहीं माने जाते हैं श्रौर नौन शेड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्स भी नहीं माने जाते हैं स्रौर उन को प्रोटेक्शन देने के लिये में ने यह सुझाव यहां पर रक्खा है। यह में नहीं कहता लेकिन शेड्र्यूल्ड ऐरिशा भ्रन्ड शेडयल्ड ट्राइब्स कमिशन के अध्यक्ष श्री ढेबर नें प्रेसिडेंट श्राफ इंडीया को ४ **प्र**कटुबर १६६२ को जो पत्र लिखा है उसमें एक जगह यह लिखा है:---

"There is another class of tribes who, though belonging to the same category, has been excluded because of the territorial test, that they remain outside particular area. We can say with some personal knowledge that this distinction while valid in law is without such justification in point of fact, But, more than that, we have in

our mind the case of left out tribes in the Madhya Pradesh areas and in the Nagpur Division of Maharashtra. We have compared the conditions of these tribals and we would like to recommend that their case should be specially examined."

इतना ही नहीं बिल्क संविधान परिषद् की सबकमेटी ने जो सिफारिशें की हैं उस ने भी पेज ४०१ पर यह कहा है :—

"The Constituent Assembly Sub-Committee had also felt that some sort of protection was required by the Tribals residing in other parts, not declared as Scheduled Areas, which had a concentration of Scheduled Tribes population.

इसको पढ़नं का मेरा मतलब यही था कि नान शेड्यूल्ड ऐरिया में रहने वाले शेड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्स को प्रतिनिधित्व देने के लिये कांस्टीटुएंसीज उन सब लोगों की होनी चाहिये और सब को बराबर रिप्रेजेंटेशन मिलना चाहिये । लोकसभा के लिये और राज्य विधान सभाग्रों के लिए जो ग्रमुरक्षित निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र बनेंगे उस के लिये उनको कडिडेट रहने का हक मिलना चाहिये । इसी हेतु मैं ने ग्रपना ग्रमेंडमेंट रक्खा है ।

मेरा जो दूसरा अमेंडमेंट है वह क्लाज ५ (४) पर ३१ नम्बर का है। उस में मं ने यह चाहा है कि हांलांकि उनको वोटिंग राइट नहीं होगा और वह किमशन के किसी फैसले पर दस्तखत नहीं कर सकेंगे लेकिन वे किमशन की बैठकों को एटेंड करने और प्रोसीडिंग्ज में पार्ट लेने के इनटाइटिल्ड होंगे।

"None of the associate members shall have a right to vote or to sign any decision of the Commission."

इस में मैं ने यह श्रमेंडमंट दिया है:---

"but they shall be entitled to attend the sittings of the Com-2320 (Ai) LS-4. mission and participate in the proceedings."

में इस के बारे में सदन का वक्त नहीं लेना चाहता कि एसोशिएट मैम्बर्स के क्या क्या फंक्शन हों। जैसा कि विधेयक का संकेत है एसोशिएट मैम्बर्स को जो निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र के बारे में ज्ञान श्रौर मालमात हों वह कमिशन को दें। वह भ्रपनी राय कमिशन को लिख कर दे सकते हैं। लेकिन यह विधेयक स्पष्ट नहीं कि वह भ्रपनी राय कमिशन की बैठक में शामिल होकर दे सकते हैं। संकेत यह है कि वह बैठक मैं हाजिर रहें भ्रौर वहां जो उनकी राय भ्रौर व्य हो उसे वे दें। इसीलिये उन को यह भी श्रधिकार दिया गया है कि वह ग्रपना डिस्सेंटिंग प्रपोजल भेज सकते हैं। यदि यह संकेत कानून का है तो एसोशिएट मैम्बर्स को कम से कम सिटिंग में शामिल होने का श्रिधकार तो देना चाहिये। मतदान वे भले ही न कर सकें लेकिन वह सिटिंग को भ्रटैंड करने भ्रौर प्रोसीडिंग्ज में पार्ट लेने के लिये भ्रवस्य इनटाइटिल्ड हों । मैं ने ग्रपने ग्रमेंडमैंट में यही चीज चाही है। भ्रगर हमारी यह राय हो और हमारा यह मत हो तो कानन में ऐसी तबदीली कर दी जाय जिससे कि वह इनटाइटिल्ड हो जायें। कई जगह कमिशन के सिटिंग्स में सहयोजित मैम्बर को नहीं ब्लाया गया। इन काननों का मुझे ग्रनुभव है भ्रौर हम देखते हैं कि कहीं उनको नोटिस नहीं मिलता। है इसलिये में चाहता हूं कि कानन में एक प्राविजन हो जाये कि they shall be entitled to attend the sittings of the Commission and participate in the proceedings, यह भेरा सुझाव है।

Shri H. C. Soy (Singhbhum): Sir, I have moved my amendments and I wou'd not like to add much to what I have said during the general discussion. I am glad that the hon. Minister has agreed to increase the number of associate members. I shall add only one thing more. I will certainly request for more, that is, even

(Shri H. C. Sov)

eleven or thirteen. My amendment is for raising the number to fourteen. I will only impress upon the hon. Minister to see that the number of associate members to be taken broadbased. I want that one member each from the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes should be there among the associate members. this because a number of hon. Members have expressed the view whether a particular area in one General Election should continue as a reserved area or not and whether it should be changed to some other constituency. Then, there is also the point as to what the percentage of population should be for a particular area for the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. All these things will be better explained if there is one associate member each from the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. So, I hope the hon. Minister will see to it.

Dr. M. S. Aney (Nagpur). Sir. wish to make a few observations on some of the aspects of the amendments which have been moved. the first place, I want to congratulate the hon. Deputy Minister of Law because he has shown a tendency an open mind in accepting some of the suggestions from this side of the House. It may be that he may not come upto the expectations of members as a whole, but there is a tendency in him to give a reasonable consideration to suggestions which have been made.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: A welcome tendency.

Dr. M. S. Aney: He was prepared to accept to raise the number from seven to nine. But my hon. friend is there who is always a great fighter and he will certainly fight to the end. But I shall be satisfied at least if that is made. I think, he must stick to it and it should at least be raised from seven to nine.

Then, one of my hon, friends sitting behind me, has made a suggestion

that this number should not be one for all the States. He wants the number to be big or small . . .

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Elastic.

Dr. M. S. Aney: He wants number to be big or small in accordance with the size of the State or the so-called importance of the State and so on. Ours is a federal Constitution and it is a general convention among the federal Constitutions that you have created these units, for interprovincial and national considerations those units are generally considered units of the same status. For bodies created for the sake of consideration of national questions the representative of each State counts as one unit having the same representation. That is the general convention. Therefore, whatever the number the hon. Minister should be prepared to fix it should be the same for all the States. That should be accepted as a wholesome convention. The size of the population of the State is not going to give it or enlarge its importance. you have created a unit, every unit should have the same status so far as national matters are concerned. That is another reason.

There is one more point and I shall finish. Some hon. Members insist that the associate members should have a right to vote. I shall only give you my experience in this matter. I had been not an actual member of the commission but I appeared as a witness before the old Franchise Committees, the function committees and so on of Mountford Reforms. Later on, other bodies were also formed. As regards the Simon Commission, we boycotted But I know what took place. Whenever associate members were taken, they were taken for the sake of information which the members wanted to have as regards the exact position, the condition and the special requirements for that province. Having got that information it was for the members to act upon that information in the best way they

That is the reason thought proper. why they were called associate mem-The distinction was made between members who were there to give or elicit by cross examination of witnesses before the Commission proper information to enlighten them and who were to take a proper view They were not there as actual members sanctioned by the Constitution itself with all the powers which the members are expected to have. That information was given by them. From that point of view I do not think they should get a right to vote. They may be said to have done their duty if they have put their views properly before them

Besides, in another clause the right of writing a dissenting minute is given to them. That thing will be of use when this whole matter, that is, the report of the Commission, will come before the House. Then, if the dissenting minutes are of important nature, the House can certainly take proper consideration of the minutes and the necessary changes can be made by the House itself. So, even if the hon. Minister does not agree to the right of vote, I myself do not want to go to the length of differing from him on that particular point.

Shri Prabhat Kar (Hooghly): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I support Shri Kamath's amendment so far as the increase in the number is concerned. I am not speaking on that because the hon. Minister has already...

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: It needs no support now. It has been accepted.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The lower number has been accepted, not the higher.

Shri Prabhat Kar: He has accepted on principle that the number may be increased. Now that at least clearly manifests that so far as he is concerned he has an open mind and that it does not at all involve any fundamental principle in it. Therefore I would request him to consider a further increase as suggested by my hon. friend, Shri Kamath

On the question of Shri Kamath's amendment No. 10, that is, regarding the right of members to vote. I would just want to draw the attention of the hon. Minister to the fact that so far as the associate members are concerned, their function is not simply to lay the information. That can be done by the witnesses. The Commission has got the right to call witnesses and enforce their attendance. They will get the full information from the witnesses. The associate members of the Commission will be a part and parcel of the Commission itself. Therefore, after the witnesses have gone and their evidence has been recorded, the Commission will discuss and deliberate.

Now, I want to draw the attention of the House to sub-clause (5) of clause 7 which says that even if some member of the Commission is absent, the associate members along with a member of the Commission can continue the deliberations. So, the importance of the deliberations of the associate members is also accepted in sub-clause (5), clause 7.

It has been stated that they can give a dissenting note. I would say that having agreed to that, that is, that the dissenting note can also be affixed to the Report by the associate member, it goes more to support that they must have the voting power. I agree that they need not put their signature on the Report. So, I do not know, having given the right to them for giving even a dissenting note, what stands in the way of giving them the voting right. All these things go to prove that they should have the voting right and I would request the hon. Minister to reconsider this matter.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Do not disenfranchise them,

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: All these questions were dealt with by me at the time of giving the reply. It is not a question of opposing a suggestion because it comes from the Member of the Opposition.

that

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: We did not say that.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: A suggestion is always considered on its merits. When dealing with the question of increasing the number of associate members, I had given my reasons. Now, I am fortified by Dr. Aney. As I have said already, creasing the number from nine to any other number would make it unwieldy. It is not necessary at all. have three members and 9 associate members. It is open to everybody, whether a Member of Parliament or a Member of the Assembly or anybody to appear before the Commission and give his views. Therefore, I am not in favour of accepting these amendments except amendments 5, 7 and 9 moved by Shri Kamath.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: You accept amendment No. 5.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: Amendment No. 5 is the main amendment; Nos. 7 and 9 are consequential.

About the right to vote, I have already said it would take away from the Commission the quasi judicial seal with which it is endowed. The importance of the associate members has been stressed throughout the Bill. At all stages, their opinion is taken into consideration. It would not be desirable in the interests of the functioning of the Commission itself to give the associate members the right to vote. I oppose it as I have already said.

There cannot be any specific provision in the Bill itself giving representation to the different political parties or the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes. What the law says is that while making the nomination, the composition of the House should be taken into consideration by the Speaker. I think that would be sufficient. It is not possible to lay down in the law that representation will either be given to every political party that is there in the House or to the Scheduled Castes or Scheduled

Tribes. That is not possible. In view of this, except amendments 5, 7 and 9, I oppose all the other amendments.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Amendment No. 40.

Shri Kashi Ram Gupta: I withdraw that

Amendment No. 40 was, by leave, withdrawn.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Amendment No. 1.

Shri Narasimha Reddy: I withdraw that.

Amendment No. 1 was, by leave, withdrawn.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Amendments 4, 6 and 8.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I with-draw them.

Amendments Nos. 4, 6 and 8 were, by leave, withdrawn.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Amendment No. 41

Shri H. C. Soy: I withdraw

Amendment No 41 was, by leave, withdrawn.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is:

Page 2, line 19, for "seven" substitute "nine" (5).

Page 2, line 20, for "three" substitute "four" (7).

Page 2, line 21, for "four" substitute "five" (9).

The motion was adopted.

Tr. Deputy-Speaker: Amendment No. 42.

Shri H. C. Soy: I withdraw that. Amendment No. 42 was, by leave, withdrawn.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Amendment No. 13.

Shri D. S. Patil: I withdraw that.

Amendment No. 13 was, by leave, withdrawn.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Amendments Nos. 43 and 44.

Shri H. C. Soy: I withdraw them.

Amendments Nos. 43 and 44 were,
by leave, withdrawn.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Amendment No. 45.

Shri H. C. Soy: I withdraw that.

Amendment No. 45 was, by leave, withdrawn.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Amendment No. 10.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Amendment No. 10 may be put to vote.

15.45 hrs.

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair]

Mr. Speaker: The question is: Amendment No. 10.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You may please read the amendment.

Mr. Speaker: I am going to read it. The question is:

Page 2, line 38, omit "to vote or" (10).

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The substance is, we want the right to vote. Congress Members should not oppose the right to vote: franchise for which we have fought.

Mr. Speaker: He must have made his purpose clear when he moved it. I have read the amendment.

Shri Ranga: Some of the people came now.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Voting against the right to vote.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.
The Lok Sabha divided.

AYES

[15.51 hours]

4166

[Division List No. 6]

Bade, Shri
Banerjee, Shri S. M.
Bhattacharya, Shri Dinen
Biren Dutta, Shri
Chaudhary, Shri Y. S.
Dasaratha Deb, Shri
Dwivedy, Shri Surendranath
Gokaran Prasad, Shri
Gupta, Shri Indralit

Achal Singh, Shri Alva, Shri A. S. Aney, Dr. M. S. Arunachalam, Shri Balakrishnan, Shri Barupal, Shri P. L. Bhagat, Shri B. R. Bhagavati, Shri Bhattacharyya, Shri C. K. Brajeshwar Prasad, Shri Chakraverti, Shri P. R. Chandak, Shri Chuni Lal, Shri Das, Shri B. K. Das, Shri N. T. Dasappa, Shri Desai, Shri Morarji Dube, Shri Mulchand Dubey, Shri R. G. Dwivedi, Shri M. L Gaitonde, Shri Gajraj Singh, Rao Ganga Devi, Shrima Guha, Shri A. C. Gupta, Shri K. R. Hem Raj, Shri

Kamath, Shri Hari Vishnu Kappar, Shri Gauri Shanker Kapur Singh, Shri Kar, Shri Prabhat Karjee, Shri Mahato, Shri Bhajahari Mehta, Shri Bhajahari Mohan Swarup, Shri Mukerjee, Shri H. N.

NOES

Jamunadevi, Shrimati Jena, Shri Kripa Shanker, Shri Kureel, Shri B. N. Lakshmikanthamma, Shrimati Mahtab, Shri Mahishi, Shrimati Sarojini Mandal, Shri Yamuna Prasad Maniyangadan, Shri Mehrotra, Shri Braj Bihari Mohanty, Shri G. More, Shri K. L. Munzni, Shri Naik. Shri Maheswar Nallakoya, Shri Nigam, Shrimati Savitri Pandey, Shri R. S. Patil, Shri D. S. Prabhakar, Shri Naval Pratap Singh, Shri Raghunath Singh, Shri Rai, Shrimati Sahodrabai Ram Swarup, Shri Rane, Shri Rao, Shri Krishnamoorthy Red (y, Shrimati Yashoda

Murmu, Shri Sarkar Ranga, Shri Reddy, Shri Narasimha Roy, Dr. Saradish Sen, Dr. Ranen Singh, Shri Y. D. Warior, Shri Yaday, Shri Ram Sewak Yashpal Singh, Shri

oy, Shri Bishwanath Sadhu Ram, Shri Saha, Dr. S. K. Sarma, Shri A. T. Satyabhama Devi, Shrimati Sen, Shri P. G. Shah, Shri Manabendra Shastri, Shri Ramanand Shinde, Shri Shree Narayan Das, Shri Singh, Dr. B. N. Sinha, Shri Satya Narayan Sinha, Shrimati Tarkeshwari: Sov. Shri H. C. Sunder Lal, Shri Swamy, Shri M. P. Tantia, Shri Rameshwar Tulmohan Ram, Shri Upadhyaya, Shri Shiva Dut t Vaishya, Shri M. B. Varma, Shri Ravindra Veerabasappa Shri Vidyalankar, Shri A. N. Wadiwa, Shri Wasnik, Shri Balkrishna Yadav, Shri Ram Sewak

Mr. Speaker: The result of the Division is: Ayes 27; Noes 78.

The "Noes" have it. The amendment is lost

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: I shall now put amendment No. 31 to vote.

Amendment No. 31 was put and negatived.

Shri Warior (Trichur): I do not know whether it is too late. The hon, lady Member Shrimati Shasank Manjari is complaining that the push button on her table has not worked.

Mr. Speaker: That is all over now. The result has been declared already. Nobody stood up at that time.

The question is:

"That clause 5, as amended, stand part of the Bill.

The motion was adopted.

Clause 5, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Mr. Speaker: Now, I come to clause 6. There is an amendment to this clause, namely amendment No. 32. The hon. Member concerned is absent. So, I shall put the clause to vote.

The question is:

"That clause 6 stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

Clause 6 was added to the Bill.

Clause 7—(Procedure and Powers of the Commission)

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I beg to move:

Page 3, line 28, after 'of' insert 'the Chairman or'.(11)

Page 3, after line 33, insert: "(5A) In the absence of the Chairman at a sitting of the Commission, the members and associate members present shall have the power to elect a Chairman for that particular sitting."(12)

Page 3, line 14, after 'person' insert 'or association or organization'. (15)

That for the amendment proposed by me, printed as No. 12 in List No. 2. of amendments, substitute the following amendment,—

Page 3, after line 3, insert-

"(5A) In the absence of the Chairman at a sitting of the Commission, the other member appointed under clause (a) of subsection (1) of section 3 shall officiate as Chairman at that sitting." (16)

Mr. Speaker: These amendments are now before the House.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The gist or purport of the amendments is with regard to vacancies, that is, with regard to the procedure that should be adopted in the case of the absence of the chairman or the members.

Amendment No. 11 seeks to include the chairman also among the possible or probable absentees. The clause seeks to provide only with regard to the absence of the members of the commission. But suppose the chairman also happens to be absent at a sitting of the commission. What would be the procedure to elect a chairman for that meeting or for that sitting of the commission?

I have suggested the procedure to be adopted in that case in another amendment, and I would move in this connection amendment No. 16. I would beg leave of the House to withdraw amendment No. 12.

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya (Raiganj): Why not the others also?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You are so unthinking with regard to that.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Every hon. Member thinks before he speaks.

भी दे**० क्षि० पाटिल**ः श्रध्यक्ष महोदय श्रमेंडमेंट नं० १२ जो है उसमें लिखा हुन्ना है:

4169

"....the members and associate members present shall have the power to elect a Chairman for that particular sitting.".

यह शब्द हैं। इसके पहले वोट के सम्बन्ध में हाउस में यह निर्णय किया गया है कि They have no right to vote.

इस तरह का निणंय हाउस ने किया है। ऐसी स्थिति में क्या यह संशोधन रखा जासकता है?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I am seeking leave of the House to withdraw amendment No. 12, but press amendment No. 16 in this connection. Instead of amendment No. 12, I wou'd press amendment No. 16 which seeks to provide that in the absence of the chairman at a sitting of the commission, the other member appointed under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 3 shall officiate as Chairman at that sitting. It stands, I believe, to commonsense that when the chairman appointed under the Act is absent, the other member of the commission must usually preside. is a self-evident proposition, and it does not need any elaboration or expatiation on my part. I have no doubt that the Minister will see his way to accept this amendment which seeks only to strengthen his hands in the probable or possible eventuality of the absence of the chairman, because the work of the commission must go on and should not get stumped because of the absence of the chairman; and when the chairman is absent, the other member must automatically and ipso facto preside, according to my humble judgment.

Therefore, I move amendments Nos. 11, 15 and 16, and beg leave of the House to withdraw amendment No. 12.

Shri Prabhat Kar: Sub-clause (5) of clause 7 reads thus:

2110

"The Commission as well as any group of associate members shall have power to act notwithstanding the temporary absence of a member or associate member or the existence of a vacancy in the Commission."

It is not mentioned here what would happen when the chairman is absent. But it has been provided that:

"and no act or proceeding of the Commission or of any group of associate members sha'l be invalid or called in question on the ground merely of such temporary absence or of the existence of such vacancy".

That means that in the absence of the members of the commission or of the associate members and group of associate members the proceedings will continue. There is no mention, however. whether in the absence of the chairman, the proceedings will cotinue or will stop. That is why I think that the amendment moved by Shri Kamath is necessary, or at least an explanation is necessary whether absence of the chairman also the proceedings will continue or not.

Mr. Speaker: The chairman also is a member of the commission.

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: The amendment proposed by Shri Kamath is not a result of mature thinking.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I shall leave you to maturity.

Mr. Speaker: I asked Shri Kamath not to use those words for any Member.

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: I am sorry; I did not follow.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: The hon. Member himself did not give mature thought to it when he said about Kamath's mature thinking.

Shri C. K. Shattacharyya: I have only said that it is not the result of mature thinking.

Mr. Speaker: Why indulge in such things? If hon. Members do not give mutual respect to each other, they would not deserve it from outsiders.

An Hon. Member: It may be said that he is not a mature parliamentarian then.

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: We want t_0 give it to ourselves as well as to outsiders. I do not want to take away the honour from anyone whether in the House or outside the House.

In clause 3 it has been provided that there will only be three members of the Commission, two persons of the status and experience of judges and the third member will be the Chief Election Commissioner, and one from the first group will be the chairman. In case the chairman is absent or he resigns or anything else happens to him, there are only two members left, one of the judges and the Chief Election Commissioner.

It is to provide for such circumstances that clause 6 has been put in here, which says that:

"If owing to death or resignation the office of the Chairman or of a member or of an associate member falls vacant, it shall be filled as soon as may be practicable by the Central Government or the Speaker concerned under and in accordance with the provisions of section 3, or, as the case may be, of section 5.".

So, the presumption is that in such a contingency, the commission will not meet, and the Government will quickly fill up the vacancy, and then only the commission will meet and work again.

Taking clause 3 and clause 6 together, that is the only natural conclusion that follows, as far as I can see.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: As the commission is to consist of three members to be appointed, and the chairman is a member, amendment No. 11 becomes unnecessary. It is not necessary to put in the word 'chairman'.

Mr. Speaker: If there are only three members, and the chairman is absent, then there are only two left. If those two do not agree, what will be the result? It may be said that the decision would be taken by the majority. If there are three members, then that would be all right. But if there are only two, and they disagree, what will happen?

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: That is why it has been provided that this provision will apply only in the case of temporary absence. What Shri Kamath wants to provide is that in the temporary absence of the chairman, one shall be elected as the chairman. That will not meet the case that we contemplate here at all, namely the contingency of a vacancy; and clause 6 is there to provide in case of vacancy.

16 hrs.

Mr. Speaker: Probably the intention is that there are to be three members always.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: Whenever any decision is taken, it will be necessary. When arguments are heard or evidence is recorded, no Chairman is needed.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: That means the decision will be held over.

Shri Prabhat Kar: 'Commission' will always mean three members. Can it split itself up? Can each member sit separately and take evidence?

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: The Commission can split and one person may be assigned some work; so it can be done for some purpose. Clause 7(3) gives these powers. Powers can be distributed among themselves. When decisions are to be taken and in case there is difference of opinion,

then the question of majority will arise. Otherwise, the majority opinion does not arise.

Mr. Speaker: If two members are there during the discussions and they agree, can they take decisions?

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: Certainly. Sub-clause (4) provides for that; the opinion of the majority shall prevail. In a membership of three, two is a majority.

Mr. Speaker: When there are two members and if they disagree, then there shall have to be three members.

Shri Prabhat Kar: The proceedings, deliberations, taking evidence, etc. will continue. The hon. Deputy Minister said this. But it is nowhere provided that at the time of the decision three should be present.

Mr. Speaker: The majority must be there. Two constitute a majority. If they could not agree among themselves, then no decision can be taken. The purpose is served in a different manner though it is not expressly provided.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: May we request in all humility that further light may be thrown on this matter. There is no bar to say this. When a decision is taken three members shall be present. If only two are present, any one can be Chairman. Is it that the other two will arrange between themselves as to who will preside over whom? Is it not the Election Commission?

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: The clause makes it clear. One of the two judges will have to be the chairman. I refer to clause 3(2). If one judge is absent, the other judge will be the Chairman.

Mr. Speaker: Government thinks there is no need of any amendment?

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: No, Sir; there is no need.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: He has not answered my amendment No. 15.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: That is covered under the General Clauses Act as the definition there includes association or organisation.

Mr. Speaker: Has the hon Member leave of the House to withdraw amendments Nos. 12 and 15?

Amendments Nos. 12 and 15 were, by leave, withdrawn.

Mr. Speaker: I shall put amendments Nos. 11 and 16 to the vote of the House.

Amendments Nos. 11 and 16 were put and negatived.

Mr. Speaker: I shall now put clause 7 to the vote of the House.

The question is:

"That clause 7 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 7 was added to the Bill.

Mr. Speaker: We shall now take up clause 8 of the Bill. There are some amendments.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I beg to move:

Page 4, line 13,-

after "multiple" insert-

"not higher than 8, and not lower than 6." (17)

Page 4, line 3,-

for "order" substitute-

"one or more final orders." (47)

Page 4, line 3,-

for "order" substitute-

"one or more orders." (48)

Page 4, lines 4 and 5,-

for "in the House of the People to be allocated to each State"

4176

[Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath] substitute—

"to be allotted to each State in the House of the People." (49)

Page 4 line 5,-

after "and" insert "the" (50).

Shri Hem Raj: I beg to move:

Page 4. line 15 .--

add at the end-

"but in assigning the seats for Legislative Assembly it shall fix a minimum population for inaccessible hilly areas." 51)

Shri Hari Charan Soy:-

Page 4.-

after line 15, add-

"Provided further that the total number of seats reserved for the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes for the Legislative Assembly and the House of the People in any State or Union territory shall not be reduced." (52)

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Clause 8 is one of the important clauses of the Bill. Some of the amendments in my name are comparatively minor. I am sure the Government will accept them. For instance amendment number 50 only prefixes the article 'the'. That has been perhaps omitted by oversight. The draftsmanship should show some improvement in future. There is, again, another amendment. The clause as it reads "....in the House of the People to be allocated to each State " and I want it to be re-worded; "....to be allotted to each State in the House of the People". That was the wording in the Delimitation Act of 1962. arrangement constructional slightly different. I believe it is better English and more accurate English. It is not good English in my humble judgment to say "...in the House of

the People to be allocated to each State". I am sure Government will accept this amendment. On page 4, as it is, it reads: "...allocated to each State and number of seats...". It should be: "...allocated to each State and the number of seats ... ". Otherwise it is not English at all. It should read: "....the number of seats in the House of the People to be allocated to each State and the number of seats. if any, to be reserved...". If the hon. Minister sticks to his draft, I am constrained to say that we will be committing an atrocious mistake and blunder with regard to English language, with regard to the construction of the English language.

Then I come to the other amendments which are more substantial.the provision with regard to the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. I step upon this provision of law with considerable trepidation because I have got a considerable regard and affection for our colleagues belonging to the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in this House. I do not want-I will be the last person to assert or state-that their representation should be adversely affected in any manner because the Parliament has accepted that the provision with regard to reservation of seats in Parliament and the legislatures of States shall be extended by another ten years, till 1970, I believe—anyway, till the next general election. Afterwards, if it is not extended, the reservation clause in the Constitution will cease for the general election of But I would submit in all humility, with all earnestness, that there should be some modicum of fairness and justness with regard to the enforcement and the implementation of this provision. I can narrate my experience in my own constitu-ency. I am sure it has been the experience of my colleagues here in other constituencies as well in our country during the last general election. There were two Assembly constituencies, one reserved for the Scheduled Castes and another for the Scheduled Tribes. Most of the population belonging to the non-Scheduled Castes and non-Scheduled Tribes in those constituencies the Assembly constituencies, complained-I would not say complained-but said to me that now this has become a Harijan constituency, an adivasi constituency, though the percentage of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes respectively in that constituency was perhaps less than 20. Unfortunately, I was very concerned and distressed to find-I was not happy over the development at all-that many of the voters in those two Assembly constituencies-one was a Scheduled Tribes constituency and the other was a Scheduled Caste constituency—lost interest in the election and they did not go to the polling booth even, and so the polling in those constituencies was very poor; they said "We have no candidate". Of course, I do not agree with that outlook; we should all be integrated and there should be no question of Scheduled Caste or Scheduled Tribe but only one nation, one united nation. And I look forward to the day, as you too do and as all my colleagues here do, when these reservations will be abolished and our Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in this country will be part of one and united, integrated nation so that the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes will have as much right to stand as the others and will feel no distinction from the rest of the nopulation, and there will be no reservation for them in their own interest. Unfortunately, today, reservation tends to become a vested interest. When I say this, I do not mean to cast any reflection upon my Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe Member colleagues here, whom we all love and respect, but in their own interests, I am sure they will be the first to vote against the reservation when the next opportunity comes before Parliament, but, in the meanwhile, I would humbly suggest that they should agree to this proposition, in their own interest again, because I want that more and more people in our country should take an active interest in democracy and more and more people should go to the polls in the elections. I do not want that, where there are only 20 per cent or 15 per cent of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes, the people should lose all interest in the election and not go to the polling booth to vote. I do not suppose that will happen. So, I tabled an amendment to the effect that the percentage should be preferably-I have not said that it should be-more than 30. I have no objection to retain it as it is, but I have added the words "preferably 30 per cent", because, if you compare with the earlier enactment of 1952, that was very much stricter. The words used there were: "where the concentration....".

Mr. Speaker: By keeping the minimum of 30 per cent, we cannot make up all the number of seats that are to be allotted in the whole of India to the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: That is why I used the word "preferably". I do not want it to be applicable in every case. Where it is possible you may apply "preferably". I do not say it should be necessarily applied, so that the country will have an idea that Parliament is legislating with a view to abolishing reservation.

Mr. Speaker: What would be the effect of "preferably" in a legal provision?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I am not a lawyer; I am in your hands.

Mr. Speaker: That would have no effect at all, I am afraid,

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: As the Bill stands, in one place it says "largest" and in another place it says "comparatively large".

Mr. Speaker: That is to be compared with other contiguous areas.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: We could appreciate that when there are double-member constituencies. But now when there are only single-

[Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath]

constituencies Parliament and State Assemblies, I do not know what significance the words will convey exactly. Perhaps there will be a few verbal and legal bouts before the Delimitation Commission before they arrive at a decision with regard to this rather tricky matter. Of course, our colleagues from here will be there to assist the Commission in this matter and they will give sound advice. So, the work will be carried on smoothly. But as far as possible, I want to make it clear in the enactment itself.

I would draw your attention to the earlier enactment where the words used are "most concentrated". It was even vaguer; I do not know what it means. It was passed by the House; I was not here in the Parliament at that time and I did not have an opportunity to speak on this.

Dr. M. S. Aney (Nagpur): Even if you were there, it would have been passed.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I could not speak on it because I was not a Member here. The words were "most concentrated". Rhetorically, as a part of English language, it is all right. But in legal terminology, I do not know what it means. Perhaps my friend, Prof. Mukerjee, may throw some light on it, so far as the English language is concerned. I would also like my hon. friends on the other side to discuss the significance and the connotation of these words "most concentrated", "comparatively large" and "largest"—what exactly they mean, what they import and what exactly they should convey. Otherwise, the Commission will be floundering in the dark. If you yourself can throw somt light on it, the Commission will be grateful to you and its work will proceed smoothly.

Mr. Speaker: My light is switched off. Shri Soy.

श्री ह० च० सीय: ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, क्लाज नम्बर ८ पर मेरा ग्रमेंडमेंट नम्बर ५२ है। मैं नहीं चाहताथा कि म्रमेंडमेंट दुं लेकिन जो पिछला सैंशस हम्रा स्रौर जैसा कि कई माननीय सदस्यों ने कहा कि उसमें गड़बड़ रही ग्रौर पर्टिकुलर इलाका टाइ•स गिनती में स्राता है तो दूसरा इलाका टाइब्स की गिनती में नहीं स्राता है। मैं यह चीज सैंशस कमिश्नर के डिसरि-स्पैक्ट के लिए नहीं कह रहा हं मगर ऐसी चीज हो गयी है ग्रीर कहीं नये सैंशस के म्ताबिक यह रिजर्व सीट्स कम न हो जाय इसीलिए मैंने श्रपना यह श्रमेंडमेंट दिया है। मैं नहीं चाहता कि रिजर्वड सीटस कम हों। स्रभी जो भी प्रतिनिधित्व शेडयल्ड ट्राइब्स ग्रीर शैडयल्ड काटस्टस को दूसरे लेजिस्लेचर्स में मिलता है वह काफी कम देते हैं। यह ठीक है कि इसी सदन में श्रीमती राय जी, ढेबर भाई शेडयल्ड कास्ट्स ग्रीर शेडयुल्ड ट्राइव्स में काफी दिलचस्पी लेते हैं ग्रीर जब भी उनका मसला हाउस में स्राता है तो स्राता है तो उसमें काफी भाग लेते हैं ग्रौर दिलचस्पी दिखलाते हैं, मैं यह तो नहीं कह सकता कि दूसरे मैम्बर्स की उनमें दिलचस्पी नहीं है लेकिन इतना जरूर कहगा कि इन लोगों की सारी बातों पर जिस जोर ग्रौर जोश से जाना चाहिए उसके लिए जरूरी है कि शेडयुल्ड कास्ट्स ग्रीर शेडयुल्ड ट्राइब्स के मैम्बर रहे। जब ट्युशन में रिजरवेशन के लिए १० साल का ऐक्सटेंशन देदिया है तो मैं यह ग्रवस्य चाहता हू कि जो विशेष प्रतिनिधित्व मिल सकता है वह बराबर मिलना जारी रहे। इसी चीज को ध्यान मैं रखते हुए मैंने यह अमेंडमेंट दिया है। एक दूसरे माननीय सदस्य ने श्रमेंडमेंट नम्बर ४१ पेश की है उन्होंने कहाई

Delimitation

कि ऐसे क्षेत्र भी हैं पहाडी इलाकों में, जो कि कम्यनिकेशन्ज वर्गरह की दिन्ट से बिल्कूल इनएक्सेसीबल है। मेरा भी जिला ऐसा है, जो कि बड़े बड़े पहाड़ों से भरा पड़ा है ग्रौर ऐसा लगता है कि वहां की एक एक एसेम्बली कांस्टीट्यएन्सी दूसरे इलाकों की लॉक सभा के कांस्टीट्यएन्सी के **बराबर है। ग्रमेंडमेंट ⊷नम्बर ५१** के **पी**छे जो यह खयाल है कि इनएक्सेसीबल पहाडी इलाकों में एक मिनिम पापुलेशन **फिक्**स को जाये. मैं उसका समर्थन करता हा में चाहता है कि पहाड़ी इलाकों जरूर इस बात का खयाल रखा जाये ग्रौर वहां पर एक मिनिमम पापुलेशन फिक्स की 'जाये, जो कि दूसरी जेनरल कांस्टी-त्यए सीज के नम्बर से थोडी कम हो।

श्री हेमराज (कांगड़ा): श्रध्यक्ष महोदय मैंने श्रमेंडमेंट नम्बर ५१ का नोटिस दियः है, जिसके जरिये मैं क्लाज प् के प्रोवाइजों के साथ ये शब्द जोड़ना चाहता हूं:---

"but in assigning the seats for Legislative Assembly it shall fix a minimum population for inaccessible hilly areas".

जैसा कि मैं पहले भी इस माननीय सदन के सामने अर्ज किया है, जितने भी इन-एक्ससीबल हिल्ली एरियाज हैं, उनकी पापुलेशन कीं डेन्सिटी बहुत थीड़ो है और उनका एरिया बहुत ज्यादा है: अगर उन इलाकों में एसेम्बलो सीट्स बनाते बक्त कोई मिनिमम पापुलेशन न रखी जाये, तो आदमी के लिए इतने बड़े एरिया को कवर करना बहुत किटन हो जाता है। जहां तक रास्तों का सम्बन्ध है, अगर नजदोक के रास्ते हैं भी, तो वह सोलह हजार, अटारह हजार और वीस हजार फीट की बुलन्दी पर हैं और परपेटुअल स्नोलाइन पर बाके हैं।

चाहे मू०पी० का पहाड़ी इलाका हो श्रोर चाहे पंजाब श्रीर हिमाचल प्रदेश का, ग्रौर श्रगर कल को जम्मू —काश्मीर पर भी यह कानून लाग होता है, तो चाह वहां का लद्दाख का क्षेत्र हो, ये सारे क्षेत्र साथ लगते हैं। मैं समझता हूं कि इलैक्शन कमीशन को इन पहाड़ी इलाकों के लिए कोई न कोई उसूल बना लेना चाहिए। जहां वह बाकी कांस्टीट्युएन्सीज के लिए इन्ट्रेगल मल्टीपल्ज फिक्स करता है, वहां उसको बिल्कुल इनएक्सेसीबल पहाड़ी एरियाज के लिए एक कम से कम पापुलेशन रखनी चाहिए। पालियामेंटरी कांस्टीट्युएन्सी की जो बाकी की पापुलेशन रह जाये. उसको बाकी की एसेम्बली कांस्टीट्युएन्सीज में बांट दिया जाये।

मैं श्रापके सामने एक मिसाल पेश करना चाहता हूं। १६५२ के डीलिमिटेशन कमीशन में यह वाकया स्राया था । की कांस्टीट्यएन्सी कांगड़ा की एसेम्बली कांस्टीट्यएन्सी से बिल्कुल जुदा है। ग्रगर हमको जाना पडता है, तो सी मील तक कोई कनेक्शन नहीं है, क्योंकि बीच में हिमाचल प्रदेश का मंडी डिस्ट्रिक्ट पड़ता है। वहां पर देखा गया कि ग्राबादी कितनी है। कांगडा की पार्लियामेंटी कांस्टीटयएन्सी साढ़े सात लाख की पड़ती थी। ग्रगर सात कांस्टीट्यएन्सीज को तक्सीम किया जाता, तो एक एक लाख की कांस्टीट्यएन्सी बनती थी । उस डीलिमिटेशन कमीशन ने उस उसूल को मन्जुर किया ग्रीर उन्होंने ७५,००० की एक एक कांस्टीट्यएन्सी कूल्ल में बनाना मन्जर कर लिया।

उस में फिर यह सवाल पदा हो गया कि उस वक्त कुल्लू, लाहौल ग्रीर स्पित की ग्राबादी १,४४,००० थी । इलक्शन कमीशन ने कहा कि इस को कैंसे पूरा किया जाये, हम ७४,००० से कम नहीं बनायेंगे । तो उन्हों ने कांगड़ा डिस्ट्रिक्ट का छः हजार की पापुलेशन वाला कोटी कोड़ श्रीर कोटी सवाड़ का इलाका, जोकि बड़ा बंगाल ग्रौर छोटा बंगाल के नाम से मशहूर है, वहां से श्रिः हेम राजी

ले लिया श्रौर कुल्ल एसेम्बली कांस्टी-टयएन्सीज में जोड दिया और फिर कांस्टी-टयएन्सी बनाई ।

इस का नतीजा यह हुन्ना कि हालांकि तीन इलक्शन हो चुके हैं, लेकिन कुल्ल के मेम्बर ने वहां ग्रा कर कभी वहां की हालत नहीं पुछी । ग्रब हालात ऐसे पैदा हो रहे हैं कि वे लोग वायकाट करने की सोच रहे हैं। मैं ग्रर्ज करना चाहता हं कि पहले इलेक्शन्ज के वक्त यह हालत पैदा हो गई थी कि उन लोगों ने कहा कि हम मंगोलियन ट्राइब्ज से ताल्लुक रत्तखते हैं, हमारा ग्राप के साथ कोई ताल्लक नहीं है और हम तो तिब्बत में जाते हैं। ऐसे हालाय में भहज उसलों पर ही नहीं चलना चाहिये, बल्कि लोगों के जजबात का खयाल कर के काम करना चाहिये। हिन्दुस्तान से अलग हो कर तिब्बत में शामिल होने के खयालात उन लोगों में पदा हो गये । भ्रगर सरकार हिन्दुस्तान की <mark>म्रखं</mark>डता को कायम रखना चाहती है, तो उस को ऐसे हालात नहीं पैदा होने देने चाहियें ग्रौर उन लोगों की भावना का **ग्रादर कर**ना चाहिये ।

में ने १६६१ की सैन्सस की रिपोर्ट देखी है । कूलन, जाहील ग्रीड स्पिती की ं भ्राबादी बजाते-खुद डेढ़ लाख से ऊपर हो जाती है। में चाहता हूं कि कुल्लू, लाहौल श्रीर स्पिती के लोगों के लिये दो कांस्टी-टयएन्सीज जुदा बनाई जायें स्रीर कांगड़े का हिस्सा उस में शामिल न किया जाये। पालियामेंटरी कांस्टीटयएन्सी की जो बाकी श्राबादी बच जाती है, उस को असेम्बली की पांच कांस्टीट्युएन्सीज में बांट देना चाहिये । सरकार को ऐसी नीति श्रस्त्यार करनी चाहिये कि इस किस्म के खयालात वहां के लोगों में पैदा न हों कि हमारे साथ अञ्छा बर्नाव नहीं हो रहा है।

इन शब्दों के साथ में इस ग्रमेंडमेंट को इस माननीय सदन के सामने पेश करता हं ।

Shri Balkrishna Wasnik (Gondia): Mr. Speaker, I welcome the suggestion made by my hon, friend, Shri Kamath, that the reservation for the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes should go at a very early date. He said that he will be happy to see the day when this reservation goes. But, before that, I would like to inform Shri Kamath that the reservation in the minds of those who do not consider Members of the Scheduled Castes or Scheduled Tribes as one of their own should go first.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It does not apply here.

Shri Balkrishna Wasnik: They do not even visit some constitueacies because they are reserved for Scheduled Castes or Scheduled Tribes If the reservation in their minds does not go, I think the day when the reservation for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes will go, will go farther instead of coming nearer. So, this kind of atmosphere and mentality has to be created in this country.

While speaking on the Bill, I have referred to the figures in the last census report. In my own State, in some districts where the precentage of population belonging to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes was something like 20 to 22 per cent, it has come down to 2 per cent according to the latest census report. I do know if it is the result of not of religion, but Ι not think that alone can make such a material difference in the percentage. I kniw that people belonginig to a particular caste in Maharashtra have changed their religion, but that alone could not have made such a big difference, especially when we have people belonging to so many castes. This is also evident from the reservation that has been given in the zilla parishad.

So, I would like to request the Minister to see that this delimitation should not, as a matter of fact, prove a boon to those who have been trying to eliminate this reservation. I want an assurance from the Minister that no effort will be made whatsoever to reduce the representation of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes either in this House or in the State Legislatures.

Regarding the change of religion, I would like to invite your attention to article 25 of the Constitution of India, where it is stated in Explanation II:

"In sub-clause (b) of clause (2), the reference to Hindus shall be construed as including a reference to persons professing the Sikh, Jaina or Buddhist religion, and the reference to Hindu religious institutions shall be construed accordingly"

Clause (2) of article 25 reads as follows:

"Nothing in this article shall affect the operation of any existing law or prevent the State from making any law—

(a) regulating or restricting any economic, financial, political or other secular activity which may be associated with religious practice;....."

So, I want to say that these are the political guarantees that have been given to the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes under the Constitution and therefore even if some members of the Scheduled Castes have changed their religion and have gone over to Buddhism, it should not be felt that they have gone out of our fold; they are one of us.

I would also like to draw your attention to the problem of the Scheduled Tribes. They are concentrated in some areas, in my area and in various other areas. While forming the constituencies it has been seen that

portions of those areas in which the Scheduled Tribes are concentrated are given to three or four constitu-They are divided into three or four constituencies. They are concentrated in one particular area and that area is divided and given to three or four constituencies. If this effort is not made, one more seat can be given to the Scheduled Tribes. this should also be looked into. hope the hon. Law Minister kindly take note of these While delimiting these constituencies a note of all these things, I hope, will be taken.

ध्राप्यक्ष महोदय: ग्रानरेबल मिनिस्टर।

श्री शिवमूर्ति स्वामी (कोप्पल) : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय ,

श्रम्यक्ष महोदय : इस का इतना खुला दायरा तो नहीं है । एक दूसरे को सून कर कई मेम्बर साहब खड़े होने लगे हैं । कहिये, ग्राप को बग्न कहना है ?

श्री शिवमूर्ति स्वामी: इस में जो उसूल बताये गये हैं, उन में से कुछ उसूलों को वेग रखा गया है। में चाहता हूं कि इन को वेग न रखा जाये। ज्योग्नेफिकल कंटि-गुग्रटी ग्रीर कम्पेक्ट एरिया का जहां तक ताल्लुक है, में समझता हूं कि इस को मेंडेटरी कर देना बहुत जरुरी है, इस को कम्पलसर्रा कर देना बहुत जरुरी है।

जहां तक शङ्ल्यूल्ड कास्ट श्रीर शङ्यूल्ड ट्राइल्ज की सीट्स का ताल्लुक है, जिस एरिया में जिल पालिमेंटरी कंस्टिट्यूएन्सी में वे श्राती हैं, उस को भी मंडेटरी बना दिया जाना चाहिये । एज फार एज प्रक्टिक्ल जब श्राप रख देते हैं तो उस से बहुत गड़बड़ होती है । इस चुनाव में जब बाइफरकेशन हुआ डबल मम्बर कंस्टिट्यूएन्सीज को दो में बांटा गया, उस वस्त श्राम तीर पर देखने में श्राया है कि वह ठीक

[श्रो शिवमूर्ति स्वामः]

तरह से नहीं होता है । मैसूर में कर्नाटक में कूडलिपी थ्रौर हरपनहल्ली कंस्टिट्यू-एन्सी को ग्रलग करते वक्त जहां पर हरिजन भाइयों की बहुत ज्यादा थ्राबादी थी, उस ताल्लुके को छोड़ कर दूसरे ताल्लुके की सीट का रिजर्वेशन किया गया । इस तरह की बात नहीं होनी चाहिये ।

एसोसिएट मैम्बर का जहां तक ताल्लुक है, वे जब नामिनेट होते हैं तब पार्टी की दृष्टि से न हों और जो लोग एबव-पार्टी पालिटिक्स होते हैं, उन को मामिनेट किया जाने । अगर ऐसा नहीं हो सकता है तो मसावी तौर पर कांग्रेस दल और विरोधी दलों के लोगों को नामिनेट करना बहुत जरूरी है । जहां पर ज्यादा हरिजन भाई रहते हैं, उस सीट को रिजर्वन कर के जब दूसरी सीट को उन के लिए रिजर्व कर दिया जाता है तो बहुत ज्यादा बर्चनी फैलती है

प्रध्यक्ष महोवय : एसोसिएट मेम्बर तो स्पीकर नामिनेट करता है । क्या ग्राप स्पीकर की नुक्ताचीनी कर रहे हैं कि पार्टी बाजी से वह ऐसा करता है या सरकार के खिलाफ ग्राप बोल रहे हैं ?

श्री **शिवमूर्ति स्वामी** : स्पीकर से में प्रार्थना कर सकता हूं---

श्राप्यक्ष महोदय : मेरेपास श्राएं श्रौर जो मुझे श्राप हुक्म करेंगे, उसकी में तामील कर दूंगा।

श्री शिवसूर्ति स्वामी : ज्योग्रेफिकल कम्पैक्टनैस का जहां तक ताल्लुक है, में आपको बतलाना चाहता हूं कि मेरी कास्टि-ट्युएंसी में ४०-४० मील दूर का एक ताल्लुका बेल्लारी में जोड़ दिया गया ग्रीर इसकी इतिला तीन दिन पहले ही मिली ग्रीर कहा गया कि एक १म० एल० ए० यहां से श्रीर

चुना जाएगा । इसको लेकर बहुत झगड़ा हुआ । मामला द्रिब्यूनल, हाई कोर्ट भ्रोर सुप्रीम कोर्ट तक गया । मेरा कहना यह है कि तहसील श्रीर जिला को यूनिट माना जाए श्रीर जहां पर ज्यादा हरिजन श्रावादी है, उस इलाके को प्रेफेंस देकर, उसको हरिजन सीट घोषित किया जाए न कि इस तरह से शब्द रखे जायें कि 'एज फार एज प्रेक्टिकल' । एज फार एज पासबल के नाम पर दूसरी कांस्टिट्युएंसीज को रिजर्व सीट जब बनाया जाता है, तो इसका में विरोध करता हूं । जो उसूल बतासे गये हैं, ये मैंडेटरी होने चाहिये ।

श्री रा० शि० पाण्डेय (गना) ब्राध्यक्ष महोदय, रिजर्वेशन के सम्बन्ध में जो यहां पर प्राविजन रखा गया है, यह बहुत ठीक है। श्री कामत ने जो कुछ कहा है, उससे में सहमत नहीं हूं । क्यों ठीक है, इसको में श्रापको बतलाना चाहता हु । डेमोऋसी की बात हम करते हैं । लेकिन डेमोक्रेसी के नीचे जो एक सोशल फैनेटेसिज्म है जो हमारे दिलों ग्रीर दिमागों में रहता है, उसे हमें दूर करने की कोशिश करनी है और ऐसी कोशिश इसमें की गई है। हरिजन स्रोर म्रादिवासी लोग कैसे हैं ? वे लोग हैं जो पिछड गए हैं। इस पोलिटिकल मीडियम से हम ग्रादिवासी ग्रीर हरिजन लोगों को यह मौका देंगे कि वे अपने आपको कैंडीडेट के तौर पर पेश करे ग्रीर ग्रपने ग्रापको इलैक्ट करवायें । यह ठीक हो सकता है कि इनको बोट कम मिलते हैं। लेकिन यह बात बिल्कुल ठीक नहीं है । हमारी कांस्टिट्युएंसी में जो हरिजन सीट है, वहां पर जो वोटिंग का परसेंटज है, वह मैं श्रापको बतलाना चाहता हूं। वह सवर्ण सीटों से किसी भी तरह से कम नहीं है। यह चीज बहुत - इस बात पर निर्भर करती है कि जो फैंडाडेट होता है, हरिजन या भादिवासा जब वह चुना जाता है तो चुने जाने के बाद व सा काम करता है । जब वह एम॰ पी॰ या एम॰ एल॰ ए॰ बन जाता है तो उसके काम का एक वैरोमोटर होता है । जब उसका काम अच्छा हो ा है तो नोग भूल जाते हैं कि वह हरिजन या श्रादिवासी है । हमारी कांस्टिट-ट्युएंसी में जो हरिजन कैंडोडेट था वह तीन बार इलैक्ट हुया श्रीर ग्राठ जो सीटें थीं, उनमें सब से ज्यादा वोट वहां पड़े । जो काइटीरियन है वह काम है ।

जहां तक डेमोक्रेसी का सम्बन्ध है, ठीक ही कहा गया है कि इसके जो फंडेमेंटल्ज हैं वे बड़े वैल्यएबल हैं, उसके ग्रा**ब**जैक्ट्स बड़े सेलियेंट हैं। वे सब परे होने चाहियें। लेकिन शैड्यूल्ड कास्ट्स ग्रीर शैड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्ज को जो मौका कांस्टिट्यशन में दिया गया है वह इसलिए िया गया है कि वे लोग एक बार दूसरों के बरावर आ जाएं और जब ऐसा हो गया तो कोई मतभेद नहीं रह जाएगा, कोई मेन्टल रिजवेशन नहीं रह जाएगा। तब इस तरह की किसी चीज को गारी **रख**ो की जरूरत नहीं रह जाएगी। दस बरस के बाद पार्लिमेंट सोचेगी कि ये उन लेबल पर आए हैं या नहीं हैं आरे तब फैसला करेंग∴ कि इनके लिए जो रिप्रेजेन्टेशन एखा गया है, उसको स्थगित किया जाए या इसको जार रखः जाए।

जहां तक लाजं नम्बर का ान है, लाजं नम्बर का मालब होता है ज्यादा नम्बर । जिस कांस्टिट्युएंसी को नेवरिंग कांस्टियूएंसा में दिया जाएगा जहां पर शैंड्यूल्ड कास्ट ग्रीर शैंड्यूल्ड ट्राइब्ज का परसेंटेज ज्यादा होगा, ग्रीर उसको उनके लिए रिजर्व कर दिया जाएगा तो एक प्रकार का इस्पीटस, एक प्रकार का उत्साह पैदा होगा। यह बिल्कुल ठीक है । में समझतो हूं कि रिजर्वेशन की बात भी बिल्कुल ठीक है । साथ ही साथ कांस्टिट्युएंसी बनाने के सम्भाव मैं लार्ज नम्बर को जो बान है, नह भी ठीक है । जहां पर इनकी ग्राबादी ज्यादा हो, वह कांस्टिट्युएंसी इनको दे दी जाए, यह भी बिल्कुल ठीक है ।

2320 (Ai) LS-5.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: So far as amendment No. 19 is concerned, it seeks to provide that the Scheduled Caste constituencies should be located where the percentage of the Scheduled Castes is more than 30 per cent. That is not possible because there may be places where a Scheduled Caste constituency can be carved even though the population of the Scheduled Castes is less than 30 per cent. Therefore, that cannot be laid down as part of the Bill itself.

So far as amendment No. 20 is concerned, it seeks to provide that there shall be the principle of rotation for the Scheduled Tribes constituencies. that the constituencies be distributed in different parts of the State and that the same principle which is applicable to the Scheduled Caste people should be also made applicable in the case of the Scheduled Tribes. This was dealt with by me at length when I moved the Bill and when I gave the reply. Because, it is a matter of common knowledge that unlike in the case of Scheduled Caste people who are dispersed all over the place. Scheduled Tribes are located in concentrated areas. Therefore, it has been thought proper that once number of seats to be allocated to the Scheduled Tribes people is determined, these seats will be located only in those areas where their population is the largest. Therefore, the same principle both in the case of the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes seats cannot be accepted.

So far as amendment No. 48 is concerned,—I think he has moved 48.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I have moved amendments 47, 48, 49 and 50.

Mr. Speaker: Amendments 49 and 50 are only for correction.

Shri Bibudhendra Misra: Amendment No. 47 is, page 4, line 3, for "order" substitute "one or more final orders".

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: They are alternative amendments. For 'order', it should be either "one or more final orders" or "one or more orders".

Shri Bibudhendra Misra: The singular includes the plural. That is the definition given in the General Clauses Act. Therefore, it is not necessary to clarify the word 'order' to include two or three orders or subsequent orders and so on. That is not how a statute is drafted, because according to the General Clauses Act, under the interpretation clause, the singular includes also the plural. Therefore, it is meaningless to specify one or more orders.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The hon. Minister should accept amendments Nos. 49 and 50, if he has any regard for the English language.

Mr. Speaker: The hon, Member wants the term 'in the House of the People' to be lifted from one place and transplanted in another.

Shri Hari Vishuu Kamath: It is so in the earlier Act of 1952. I have taken this only from that Act.

Shri Bibudhendra Misra: My hon. friend Shri Kamath pointed out some defect in the 1952 Act and said that there was some defect in English in the 1952 Act.

Mr. Speaker: We need not go into those times when Shri Kamath was not here.

Shri Bibudhendra Misra: I would say that I do not have much knowledge of English to say whether it is good English or bad English. But I would point out that the language has been taken from the Constitution itself, and Shri Kamath was a member of the Constituent Assembly. I would refer to article 82 setting out the functions of the Delimitation Commission. It says that:

"Upon the completion of each census, the allocation of seats in the House of the People to the States...."

The same language is there in the Long Title of the Bill also.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: In the 1952 Act, the language was different.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Minister is saying that what was decided in his presence when the hon. Member was a Member of the Constituent Assembly should be given more credit.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: But the hon. Deputy Minister was not present here then.

Mr. Speaker: At the time of the framing of the Constitution, the hon. Member was a member of the Constituent Assembly.

Shri Bibudhendra Misra: The language in the 1952 Act is different because article 82 was originally in clause 3 of article 81 which has been amended later. So, that Act was according to the language of the original clause.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I should accept amendment No. 50.

Shri Bibudhendra Misra: I accept amendment No. 50.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Because he has to.

Shri Bibudhendra Misra: I now come to amendment No. 51.

As I have said already in my reply, since no maximum or minimum can be fixed for a constituency, it is not possible for me to accept amendment No. 51 standing in the name of Shri Hem Raj.

As regards amendment No. 52 moved by Shri Hem Raj, there is no question of any attempt to reduce the number of Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe people. But to make a provision in the Bill that in no case shall it be reduced would be violating articles 330 and 332 of the Constitution, because the number of seats for the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes has to be fixed on the basis of their population which again

has to be considered in relation to the total population in the State itself. Therefore, it has to bear a certain ratio: and since it has to bear a ratio. no such thing can be laid down in the Bill itself that there will be no reduction. Therefore, I am opposing these amendments.

Mr. Speaker: Amendment No. 50 is being accepted by Government. So, I shall put it to vote now.

The question is:

Page 4, line 5, after 'and' insert 'the' (5Q)

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker: I shall now put athendments Nos. 47, 48, 49, and 17 to vote.

Amendments Nos. 47, 48 49 and 17 were put and negatived

Mr. Speaker: I shall now put amendments Nos. 51 and 52 to vote.

Amendments Nos. 51 and 52 were put and negatived.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That clause 8, as amended, stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 8, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Clause 9- Delimination of Constituencies).

Mr. Speaker: We shall take up clause 9.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Sir, I beg to move.

Page 4, line 31,-

after "total" insert "thereof" (18)

Page 4, line 32,-

after "large", insert-

"preferably more than thirty per cent". (19)

Page 4, lines 34 to 36,-

for "as far as practicable, be located in areas where the proportion of their population to the total is the largest".

substitute-

"be distributed in different parts of the State and located as far as practicable, in those areas where the proportion of their population to the total thereof is comparatively large, preferably more than thirty per cent." (20)

Page 5, line 9,-

after "places" insert "in each State". (23)

Page 5.

line 9, add at the end-

"at such sitting or sittings the associate members representing that State shall have a right to attend and participate in the proceedings thereof; and" (24)

Page 5, line 9,-

omit "and" (25)

Page 4.—

for lines 31 and 32, substitute-

"population of the Scheduled Castes is most concentrated; and" (54)

Page 4, lines 35 and 36,-

for

"proportion of their population to the total is the largest",

substitute-

"population of the Scheduled Tribes is most concentrated" (57)

Page 5, line 1,-

after

"member" insert "or members" (58)

[Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath] Page 5, line 1,—

omit

"who desires publication thereof". (59)

Page 5, line 10,-

after

"more" insert "final" (60)

Page 5.-

for lines 12 and 13, substitute-

"(ii) the delimitation of assembly constituencies,

of each State." (61)

Shri Hari Charan Soy: I have two amendments Nos. 55 and 62.

Mr. Speaker: His No. 55 is the same as 19 moved by Shri Kamath and hence it is barred. He may move amendment No. 62.

Shri Hari Charan Soy: I beg to move:

Page 5,—

after line 13, add-

"Provided that the period of time between the publication of the proposals for the delimitation and the date of hearing of the objections and suggestions shall be one month." (62)

Shri Hem Raj: I beg to move:

Page 4, line 25,-

after "units" "insert" upto the block level" (37)

Page 4, line 32,-

after "large" insert-

"provided that they are evenly distributed in the different regions of the State." (38)

Page 4, line 25,—
after "communication" insert,—

"inaccessibility" (53)

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: As regards the earlier amendments in their numerical order, I have spoken on clause 8 and so I do not want to repeat those arguments.

Mr. Speaker: He should be brief.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I will speak on amendments Nos. 58, 59 60 and 61. 58 is a very simple amendment. It should be acceptable to the Minister and to the House without much discussion. I want to make the clause more comprehensive by adding the words 'or members'. It will read amendment is accepted: if my "... together with the dissenting proposals, if any, of an associate member or members....." Supposing there is only one member who dissents, that eventuality or possibility will also be covered if my amendment is accepted.

The second amendment, No. 55 is with regard to the phrase "who desires publication thereof". I seek to omit it as I feel it should not be there. If it is passed by the House in this form, I do not know if the Member will be consulted by the Commission and asked: do you want your dissenting proposals to be published? Naturally, when a member dissents, his dissenting notes, as in the case of the dissenting minutes on Select Committee reports, have got to be automatically published. I do not know why a different procedure is adopted here

Mr. Speaker: In the case of the Select Committee that report has to come here and the House has to consider it while the decisions of the Delimitation Commission could not be subected to any such procedure.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: But it goes before the entire nation and it is published in the Gazettes of the Central as well as the State Governments.

Mr. Speaker: But what has the nation to do with that note?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: A larger audience will read it; it will reach a wider public: just as we are supposed to read the minutes of dissent, people will read them. People are interested in the elections and India's political consciousness is growing and I am happy over this development. People are interested in knowing what their representatives on the Commission had written about these matters.

Mr. Speaker: Sometimes, the Member himself might not desire its publication.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I do not know why any Member should object to its publication.

Mr. Speaker: Sometimes, some Members may think like that. I am not referring to anyone. I am giving my experience. I have been an associate member twice. Sometimes the associate member himself is so selfish that he is looking towards his own interest only and not towards the larger interests; and then probably he might fight it out there but would not like it to be published.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: In that case, as the saying goes:

"To thine own self be true, and it will follow, as the night doth the day, Thou shalt not be false to any man."

he should not append any minute of dissent. If he appends, he must have the courage of conviction—it means he has the courage of conviction—and should not object to its publication.

Then, amendment No. 60 is a simple one. It is in line with the provision in the earlier Act of 1952. Instead of "one or more orders," it should be "one or more final orders". It should be "thereafter by one or more final orders determine." I say this because the word "final"recurs in sub-clause (5) of clause 10. There, I come across these words "final order". To be in

conformity with that, here also the words should be "final orders" because they are not open to revision by the House, and it should be accepted by the Minister and the House.

My last amendment is purely linguistic and constructional amendment. If it stands as at present, it will mean that they will, by one or more orders, determine the delimitation of assembly constituencies of each State only and not of parliamentary constituencies of each State. As it is, the words "each State" apply only to the Assembly constituencies. Therefore, there should be a comma there, and these words should apply to the Assembly constituences as well as to the parliamentary contituencies. Therefore, this amendment also stands to reason and must be accepted by the Minister and by the House.

Shri H. C. Soy: My amendment No. 62 is self-explanatory. What I want is that time may be given between the publication of the proposals of the Delimitation Commission and the date of hearing of the objections and suggestions. This period may be one month. I do not know whether this should be provided in this Bill, but certainly time should be given. If under the rules to be framed under this Act, this time is given—it should be given—and if the hon. Minister will excuse me, I may withdraw my amendment.

Shri Hem Raj: Mr. Speaker, Sir, in clause 9(1)(a), I want to add the words "up to the block level" after the word "units", and the word "inaccessibility" after the word "communication." I think that the word "units" by itself does not give a clear idea as to what will be the administrative unit which the Election Commission is going to adopt for the purpose of delimiting the constituencies. Under the Panchayat Act, we have got the Zila Parishads and the panchayat samitis. So, I know that as far as Punjab is concerned, there are block samitis in the different tehsils, and

[Shri Hem Raj]

they are confined to the boundaries of the tehsils themselves. Therefore, I want that a line should be drawn for the purpose of making the intention of the word "units" clear, and for that purpose I want that the words "administrative units" should be clear by specifically putting in that those words should apply to the block levels or the panchayat samiti level.

I will give one instance. As I told the House earlier, Kulu is separated from Kangra by hundred miles. But it is connected by mountain by a direct route; there is no route as such. Therefore, "communications' by itself will not serve the purpose of expressing the geography of the area, unless and until the word "inaccessible" added to it, because that portion connected by high mountainous range, from 12,000 to 20,000 feet, always covered by snow. None can go by that mountain. Therefore, for making the intention of this clause clear, I want that the word "inaccessible" should be added, because if "communications" is there without "inaccessible" the georgraphy of the area will not be clear.

So far as clause (c) is concerned, I want that after the word "large" the words "provided they are evenly distributed in the different regions of the State" to be added. Formerly Punjab was treated as one. In 1952, the Delimitation Commission entered upon its work and delimited the constituencies in a particular way. after, when Punjab was divided into Punjabi region and Hindi region, those very constituencies were again delimited and it so happened that one region was given more scheduled caste seats than the other. I want that both these regions should have the scheduled caste seats according to the population of the scheduled castes and they should not be concentrated only in one region to the detriment of the other region. For that purpose, I have moved amendment No. 38. I commend my With these words, amendments to the acceptance of the House.

Shrimati Sarojini Mahishi (Dharwar North): Sir, the Election Commission -the machinery set up to formulate rules and regulations and also 'o conduct the elections on a very large scale in India-has done commendable work during the last three elections. But once the constituencies. delimited, it will practically continue for a period of 15 years, because the 1962 elections which ought to have been conducted on the basis of the 1961 census, were actually conducted not on that basis, because it was impossible, as the time at the disposal of the House was too short. So, also, once the constituencies are delimited now, after a period of 5 years, that will practically continue for a period of 15 vears.

I think the words in the sub-clause (a)—

"due regard shall be had to physical features, existing boundaries of administrative units, facilities of communication and public convenience"—

have not been taken into consideration sufficiently by the Election Commission, as far as the last general elecbecau**se** tions were concerned, amendments or alterations could made since the elections were conducted on the basis of the 1951 census. More than the convenience of the candidates, it is the convenience of the electorate that we have to take into consideration. Sometimes we have found that it is quite impossible to go over to a particular polling booth, going over a radius of something like 5 or 6 miles. It is practically impossible for them. Therefore, I think the Election Commission will take into consideration the convenience of the candidates and more so the convenience of the electorate.

So far as sub-clause (c) is concerned, no doubt due respect shall be given for the reservation of seats for the scheduled castes.

17 hrs.

420I

But, at the same time, reservation of seats for the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes should not be taken as an initiative for them to remain always backward. As many hon. Members have already expressed, the day would soon arrive when there would be no reservations at all. We do hope that that day would soon arrive.

But when seats are reserved now for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled better to shift a Tribes it may be particular seat from one place in the district to another, if the district is taken as a unit for reservation of seats for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes instead of State which, think, is considered now as the unit, because, as the hon. Member on this side remarked, it may so happen that a particular district or taluka which was heavily populated by Scheduled Castes people during the last general elections may not be so thickly populated now, in the next general elections. It may be due to conversion into another religion or it may be that the population of that area shifted to another area.

At the same time, just as an hon. Member remarked, the reservation in the minds of the people must be removed. So we must give another opportunity to the people in every area or district to remove the reservations in their minds. For that the seat must be shifted from one place to another.

It is not always necessary also, even where a seat is reserved in a particular taluka or district, that the candidate contesting for the seat Castes reserved for the Scheduled should necessarily be a person living in that area. He may come from another place and he can contest the eat reserved for the Scheduled Castes n that particular district. Therefore, how far he would be able to serve and nurse that constituency, is a thing which also will have to be taken into consideration.

Therefore, it is better, in the interest of the Scheduled Caste people themselves,-we need not also presume that a non-Scheduled Caste member will not be nursing the constituency or helping the Scheduled Caste people -to remove that reservation in the minds of the people, if the reserved seats of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes are shifted from one place to another during the different elections, so that other people also will become accustomed and in course of time we may find a rise in their standards and the Scheduled Castes people coming to the same level as other advanced classes of people in the district

4202

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: Mr. Speaker. Sir, so far as amendment No. 37 is concerned, it is not necessary to lay down that the administrative unit would be defined and it should come up to the block level, because the district is the largest administrative unit, and while demarcaing the constituencies it must be left to the Election Commission to determine as to what administrative units in a particular constituency should be taken into consideration. Therefore, it should not be put in here.

About amendment No. 38, I have already opposed it. It is the same as amendments 19 and 20. I need not cay anything more, as it revolves on the principle of rotation.

About amendment No. 53, we have said here "communictions". So the word "inaccessibility" is not necessary. If they take the question of communications into consideration, they will naturally take into consideration whether the area is accessible or inaccessible. So I am opposed to it.

About amendment No. 58, as I have already said, this is not necessary, because singular includes plural.

I am opposed to amendment No. 59. If a member does not desire that his dissenting note should be published, there is no reason why he should be compelled or he should be asked to publish it.

Mr. Speaker: Shri Kamath says, why he should append a note of dissent if he does not want it to be published?

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: May be for a political purpose; nobody knows.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Politicians are politicians.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: why I said so.

About amendment No. 60, the word "final" is not necessary because, as you will find, clause 10 deals as to how orders made in clauses 8 and 9 are final orders. Therefore, the insertion of the word "final" in clause 9 is not necessary.

So far as amendment No. 61 concerned, since Shri Kamath tabled a number of amendments and has taken a great deal of pain, I prepared to accept it.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I do not want any concession. On its own merits it should be accepted.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: Of course on merits also besides this.

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: From what the hon. Minister has just now stated we shall be tempted to table a number of amendments so that at least some may be accepted.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: He has not mentioned anything about amendment No. 24 which says that at such sitting or sittings the associate members representing that State shall have a right to attend and participate in the proceedings thereof.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: I am opposed to it because it is unnecessary. Because, the whole scheme is that the associate members must participate. Otherwise, how do they give their dissenting note. The only difference is, as mentioned in the Bill, they cannot sign and vote.

Then, the Commission may sit at some place and it is just possible that they may not require the presense of any people belonging to that State. Anyhow, I have no objection to accepting it. Let it be "in each State". I will accept it.

Mr. Speaker: Government are prepared to accept amendment Nos. 23 and 61.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: What about amendment No. 24?

Speaker: According the Government it is not necessary.

The question is:

Page 5, line 9,-

after "places" insert "in each State". (23).

Page 5,-for lines 12 and 13, substi-

"(ii) the delimitation of assembly constituencies, of each State.' (61).

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker: I will now put all other amendments moved by Kamath to the vote of the House.

Amendments Nos. 18, 19, 20, 24, 25, 54. 57, 58, 59 and 60 were put and negatived.

Mr. Speaker: I will now put to the vote amendment Nos. 37, 38 and 53 moved by Shri Hem Raj.

Amendments Nos. 37, 38 and 53 were put and negatived.

Mr. Speaker: Does Shri Soy want his amendment to be put?

श्रीह० च०संत्यः मुझे ग्राज्ञाहै कि मंत्री महोदय रूस में इस का अवस्य ख्याल रक्खेंगे ग्रौर इस**लि** ए मैं ग्रपना श्रमें-डमेंट वापिस लेने कः इजाजः चाहरा हूं।

Mr. Speaker: Has the hon. Member the permission of the House to withdraw his amendment?

Some Hon. Members: Yes.

The amendment No. 62 was, by leave. withdrawn.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

4205

"That clause 9, as amended, stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

Clause 9, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Clause 10 - (Publication of orders and their date of operation)

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I beg to move.

Page 5, line 18,—omit "full"

Page 5, line 27,-after "election" insert__

",but not to a by-election" (64).

Page 5, line 37,—after "order" insert "or orders" (65).

Amendment No. 63 is a simple amendment, because when something has the force of law it is not necessary to say the "full" force of law. It is redundant. It is wholly unnecessary to say "it has the full force of law". It may be a rhetorical expression, but it is not necessary in a Bill, in an enactment. I am told that it has no value in any enactment.

Amendment No. 64 relates to elections. I am not quite clear in my mind as to what exactly this provision signifies as it has been brought before this House. It says that the proposals or orders of the Delimitation Commission

relation "shall apply in every election to the House or to the Assembly as the case may be, held after the publication in the Gazette of India".

of the results.

Take a by-election—of course, during the emergency we now have no by-election, but we are legislating on the supposition, that the emergency might come to an end and we may have by-elections soon after the emergency is over. Imagine that the order is published in 1964 and the emergency is also over by then; the Proclamation of Emergency is revoked by the President and we revert to the normal times. Then, suppose, there is a by-election to the House of the People or to a Legislative Assembly of a State in 1965 to a seat caused by a vacancy, either due to death or resignation or due to the unseating of a Member in one of the Houses. Then, for that by-election what will be the constituency to fill that particular seat? It will be the old one and not the constituency as determined by the Commission according to this Act. But, as it stands, it will mean that once the orders are published every election, whether ordinary general elections or by-election, the orders will apply. So, I want to make it clearer by saying "but not to a byelection". Therefore, if my amendment is accepted, it will mean that the orders will apply to general elections and not to by-elections. It stands to reason that the constituencies of the by-elections should not be altered before the next general elections. That should be accepted by the House,

The last one is also just a verbal amendment. If you read the subclause, as it stands, you will find that in the earlier part of the sub-clause orders are referred to and in the latter part of the same sub-clause the word 'order', that is, singular, has been used. In the earlier part the word 'orders' is used. Therefore, it is bad drafting and bad English, perhaps worse English. Therefore to bring this in conformity with the earlier word, it should be "final order or orders of the Commission".

All these three amendments very simple and stand to reason. Therefore they should be accepted by the hon. Minister and the House, I submit

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: About amendment No. 63, the word has been used in most of the Acts since 1952.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I do not know.

Shi Bibudhendra Mishra: I have ascertained it. It is used in most of the drafts. It is just a question of drafting.

Mr. Speaker: Full force of law!

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: That has been used in most of the Acts.

Mr. Speaker: I do not follow it. Will there be anything having less than the full force?

Shri Bibudheadra Mishra: It does not matter if the word 'full' is taken out.

Mr. Speaker: There is no significance in that. There cannot be anything having anything other than that. The force of law is just the same.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It is redundant.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: It does not matter if it is taken out. It may be accepted. Because it has been used in most of the Acts, it is there.

Regarding insertion of the words "but not to a by-election", it is not necessary because the Constitutional provision is clear. What in essence this sub-clause (5) of clause 10 seeks to enforce is article 81 of the Constitution. It is only a reproduction of articles 81 and 170. Therefore, there is no question of this order being applicable to any election held prior to the dissolution of the House for the next General Elections.

Mr. Speaker: Then, what about the words 'final order' being at one place and 'orders' being at other places? Final order can only be one order.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: It does not matter. Let it be included. It does not make any difference.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: That means, you accept it.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: Yes.

Mr. Speaker: Which ones are accepted?

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: Nos. 63 and 65 but not No. 64.

/ Mr. Speaker: The question is:

Page 5, line 18,---

omit "full" (63).

Page 5, line 37,-

after "order" insert "or orders" (65).

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker: . The question is:

Page 5, line 27,-

after "election" insert-

", but not to a by-election" (64).

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That clause 10, as amended, stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 10, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Clause 11—(Power to maintain delimitation orders up-to-date)

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: To this last clause, I move amendment No. 26.

Page 6, after line 10, insert-

"(c) Every such notification shall be laid before the House of the People and the Legislative Assemblies of the States concerned." (26).

I suppose, in line with other similar provisions that have been accepted by the House with regard to other Bills with regard to orders and rules made under the enactment, this also should be accepted by the House without any discussion. The Minister should see his way to declare his agreement straightaway and the House should have no difficulty in accepting this amendment. It is a very simple one and upholds the supremacy of Parliament in our Parliamentary democratic system.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: I acce, this amendment. I would like to make a verbal drafting change. With the permission of the House, I move:

Page 6, after line 10, insert-

"(c) Every notification under this section shall be laid as soon as may be after it is issued, before the House of the People and the Legislative Assembly of the State concerned."

Mr. Speaker: I shall now put Amendment No. 26 as modified by the Government amendment to the vote of the House.

The question is:

Page 3, after line 10, insert-

"(c) Every notification under this section shall be laid as soon as may be after it is issued, before the House of the People and the Legislative Assembly of the State concerned."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That clause 11, as amended, stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 11, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill,

Shri Ranga: The Third reading may be taken tomorrow.

Mr. Speaker: I put it to the House. We have agreed.

Shri Bibudhendra Mishra: I move: "That the Bill, as amended, be passed."

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That the Bill, as amended, be passed."

The motion was adopted.

DETENTION OF MEMBER

Mr. Speaker: I have to inform the House that I have received the following letter, dated the 30th November, 1962, from the Government of Madras:—

"I have to inform you that the Government of Madras have found it their duty, in exercise of their powers under Rule 30 of the Defence of India Rules, 1962, to direct that Shri R. Umanath, Member, Lok Sabha, be detained with a view to preventing him from acting in any manner prejudicial to the defence of India and the public safety.

Shri R. Umanath, M.P., who had been taken into custody at 3:50 hours on the 21st November, 1962, at Tiruchirapalli, under section 151, Criminal Procedure Code, was accordingly detained, and is at present lodged in the Central Jail, Cuddalore."

The House stands.....

Shri Ranga: Before you adjourn the House, may I make one observation? I have had the general impression that while the House is in session, the Members of the House are not liable to be arrested and especially taken into detention.

Some Hon. Members: No, no.

Shri Ranga: How is it that you have not raised your voice in protest?

Mr. Speaker: There is no such thing. The hon Member is not correct in saying that. So far as the criminal law is concerned, we cannot interfere.

The House stands adjourned to meet again at 12 o'clock tomorrow.

17.18 hrs.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Twelve of the Clock on Tuesday, the 4th December, 1962 Agrahayana 13, 1884 (Saka).

[Monday, December 3, 1962/Agrahayana 12, 1884 (Saka)]

	Columns		COLUMNS
CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT		BILL INTRODUCED .	· 4979— 80
PUBLIC IMPORTANCE.	4 061- 70	(1) The Delhi Motor Vehicles Taxation Bill, 1962.	
(i) Shri S. M. Banerjee called the attention of the Mini- ster of Home Affairs to the reported existence of Chinose espionage ring		(2) The Personal Injuries (Emergency Provisions) Bill, 1962.	
in Assam and NEFA.		(3) The Indian Tariff (Second Amendment) Bill, 1962.	
The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Lal Bahadur Sheetri) made a statement in regard thereto.		BILL PASSED .	4081-4210
(ii) Shri Ram Sewak Yadav called the attention of the Prime Minister to the reported attack on Dr. S. Gopal, Director of the Historical Division of the Ministry of External Affairs.		Discussion on the motion to consider the Delimitation Commission Bill, 1962 moved on the 30th November, 1962, continued. The discussion was concluded and motion was adopted. After clause-by-clause consideration, the Bill, as amended, was passed.	
The Prime Minister (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru) made a statement in regard thereto		INTIMATION RE: DETENTION OF MEMBER.	4210
PRESIDENT'S ASSENT TO BILLS Secretary laid on the Table the following Bills passed by the House of Parliament during the current session and assented to by the President since the last report made to the House on the 8th November, 1962:— (1) The Appropriation (Railways) No. 5 Bill, 1962. (2) The Appropriation (No. 5) Bill, 1962.	4070	The Speaker informed Lok Sabha that he had received intimation from the Government of Madras, that Shri R. Umanath, Member, Lok Sabha, who was taken into custody on the 21st November, 1962, at Tiruchirapalli, under section 151, Criminal Procedure Code, was detained under Rule 30 of that Defence of India Rules, 1962, and had been hodged in the Central Jail, Cuddalore.	
STATEMENT BY MINISTER. The Deputy Minister of External Affairs (Shri Dinesh Singh) made a statement regarding the location of the Third Nuclear Power Station.	4071—73	AGENDA FOR TUESDAY, DECEMBER 4, 1962/AGRA- HAYANA 13, 1884 (SAKA)— Consideration and passing of the Gift tax (Amendment) Bill, 1962 and also consi- deration of the Taxation Lawa (Amendment) Bill, 1962.	