

Thursday, 11th December, 1952

PARLIAMENTARY DEBATES

HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE

OFFICIAL REPORT

PARLIAMENT SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

Price Six Annas (Inland)
Price Two Shillings (Foreign)

Gazettes & Debatos Section
Parliament Library Guilding
Room No. FB-025
Block 'G'
Acc. No. 25994

THE

Dated 19:11:2014

PARLIAMENTARY DEBATES

(Part I—Questions and Answers) OFFICIAL REPORT

1385

HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE

Thursday, 11th December, 1952

The House met at a Quarter Past Eight of the Clock.

[MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]
ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS
IMPERIAL WAR GRAVES COMMISSION

*1102. Sardar Hukam Singh: (a). Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state whether the India, Pakistan and South East Asia District of the Imperial War Graves Commission has completed its work of concentration of war graves of World War II?

(b) What is the number of graves concentrated in various cemeteries?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithla): (a) Yes, except—

- (i) concentration of 299 War Graves from different cemeteries at Madras cemetery; and
- (ii) concentration of casualties from isolated aeroplane crashes and from late battle areas, if found at a later date.
- (b) A statement is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix VI, annexure No. 47]

Sardar Hukam Singh: Is there any special objective in the concentration of these graves? Is any monument going to be erected, or what is going to be done?

Sardar Majithia: Yes, Sir, it is correct. Various memorials are constructed.

Sardar Hukam Singh: Is it the intention of the Government to take care of those graves of soldiers also who died in foreign countries?

Sardar Majithia: Yes, Sir. Memorials are constructed for all those who had 316 PSD no known graves or who were cremated and who died in non-occupation areas.

Sardar Hukam Singh: I wanted to know whether our Government intends to take care of those graves as well which are in foreign countries where our soldiers died?

Sardar Majithia: There is an Imperial War Graves Commission which takes care of those graves.

Sardar Hukam Singh: May I know whether we have entered into any agreement with any of those countries where our solders have died, about taking care of these graves?

Sardar Majithia: Those graves are taken care of, and we have got no reason to feel that they will not be looked after.

Sardar Hukam Singh: Are those who died in air crashes and whose bodies were brought here include civilians?

Sardar Majithia: About civilians, I have got no information at the moment. I shall let the hon. Member know about it.

बाबू रामनारायण सिंह : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, कवें किस तरह से इकट्ठी की जाती हैं?

सरवार मजीठियाः जैसे हो सकती हैं।

बाबू रामनारायण सिंह: उपाध्यक्ष , महोदय, यह तो कोई जवाब नहीं मिला, वह कहते हैं कि जैसे हो सकती हैं।

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय : नमूना बनायें ?

138 6

Shri Dabhi: May we know whether the Hindu soldiers who were killed in the war, were all buried?

Sardar Majithia: They were cremated. It is only in stray cases where no differentiation could be made, that they might have been buried.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Too much anxiety over graves. There has been enough about these graves.

SUPPLY OF RADIOS ETC. BY UNESCO

*1103. Sardar Hukam Singh: (a) Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state whether the UNESCO has supplied any radio and classroom equipment to the secondary schools or any other associations in India during the year 1952?

(b) What are the societies and institutions that have received educational equipment during this period?

The Deputy Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Shri K. D. Malaviya): (a) Yes.

(b) A statement is placed on the Table of the House. [See Appendix VI, annexure No. 48]

Sardar Hukam Singh: May I know whether it is the UNESCO alone or its agency here that decides the particular associations to which these gifts are to be given, or is it left to the Government of India?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: The needs are surveyed by the UNESCO and they take all steps to distribute the supplies.

Sardar Hukam Singh: I was not understood. I want to know whether in granting these gifts, the UNESCO has any agency to decide what associations are to be selected, or is it left to our Government to decide what associations shall be benefited by these grants?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: The UNESCO make their own arrangements to find out the requirements of institutions of member States. When these are known to them, they take suitable steps to appeal to their own member States to collect these supplies, and supply them to the member States.

Shri B. S. Murthy: What is the agency in India which distributes these radios to the schools on behalf of the UNESCO?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: There $i_{\rm S}$ an Executive Board of the UNESCO and this Executive Board collects all the

information and makes arrangements for distribution.

Shri B. S. Murthy: My question is not answered. What is the agency in India on behalf of the UNESCO which distributes these radios to various schools?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: They have got an Executive Committee and they choose their agencies. That is what he says.

Shri B. S. Murthy: In India?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Yes.

Sardar Hukam Singh: May I know whether any of our representatives are associated with that body?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: I am not aware of it.

Shri Punnoose: The Minister stated that there is an agency for the UNESCO here. Are they collecting information through State Governments, or approaching institutions direct?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: These international organisations are generally always approaching through the Government.

Shri Dabhi: May we know the total value of the gifts?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: There are three schemes under which these supplies are made: One is the UNESCO Gift Committee, secondly the UNESCO Reconstruction Fund, and the third is the Norwegian Committee fund. There are different values under these schemes. Under the UNESCO Gift Committee scheme, the value is roughly about Rs. 60,000. Under the second, viz., the UNESCO Reconstruction Fund, so far photographic paper film costing £110 have been supplied. In regard to the third, the Norwegian Committee Fund has distributed gifts costing about 11,355 dollars.

Sardar Hukam Singh: In 1951/52, the only institution that got this benefit of cash gifts was the Jamaat-e-Islami, and £14.000 was given to that institution. Was it on the recommendation of the Government of India, or did they do it of their own accord?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: Besides the Jamaat-e-Islami, the Degree College of Almora also got the help or the gift. I do not know the exact recommendations made or specific amounts.

Sardar Hukam Singh: What was the amount given to the second institution, may I know?

Shri K. D. Ma aviya: The Degree College, Almora, had suffered from losses due to accidental fire, and it got 2.572 dollars.

Prof. D. C. Sharma: May I know hat is meant by "educational what is meant by improvement".

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I will not take notice of the question. Hon. Member must look on all sides. I have called another hon. Member.

पंडित सी॰ एन॰ मालबीय: क्या यह रियायत सिफ़ं यू० एन० ओ० के लिये ही है या और भी किसी दूसरे मुल्म की सरकार के लिये है जो गर्थनमेंट आफ़ इंडियासे फोन्डली दर्म्ज पर है ?

भी के बी मालबीय : युनेस्को संस्था के जो सदस्य हैं उन सब के लिये यह सहायता को योजना बनाई गई है।

पंडित सी० एन० मालबीय: क्या इसका यह मतलब समझा जाये कि हिन्दूस्तान की हुकूमत से चो दूसरे मुल्क की हुकूमतें दोस्ती रखती हैं कह भी अपनी एजेन्सी हिन्दुस्तान में कायम कर के बंटवा सकती ₹?

श्री के० डी० मालबीय: यह प्रश्न तो इस से सम्बन्धित नहीं है। यूनेस्को एक अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय संस्था है, उस के कुछ सदस्य उन सदस्यों की सहायता के लिये इस अन्तर्राद्रीय संस्था ने योजना बनाई

Shri B. S. Murthy: May I know whether there are any conditions that the institutions which receive these gifts have to abide by? If so, what are the conditions?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: There is no particular condition, as far as I am aware.

BLIND PEOPLE

*1104. Sardar Hukam Singh: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be cleased to

(a) whether India has the largest number of blind people of any country in the world; and

(b) whether their number has been assessed separately in the last census?

The Deputy Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Datar): (a) and (b). Information regarding the number of blind people in India was not collected at the 1951 Census and, therefore, it is not possible to make a comparison with other countries of the world.

Sardar Hukam Singh: Is the Government aware that there are special legislative measures in other countries like the U.S.A. and the U.K. for the benefit of the blind?

Shri Datar: We are not aware.

Sardar Hukam Singh: Does the Covernment propose to take up any legis-lation for the benefit of the blind, so that they might not entirely depend upon the charity of the people?

Shri Datar: Government is not blind to the interests of the blind, and there-fore they are taking necessary measures whenever required.

Sardar Hukam Singh: Does the Gov-ernment propose to make any assess-ment of those who are wholly blind or partially blind?

Shri Da'ar: We are taking necessary steps to look after the interests of the wholly and partially blind.

Dr. N. B. Khare: Including them-selves?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: There is no use asking such a general question as that and answering in a general manner like that.

Shri Chattopadhyaya: May I know whether those who are politically blind are covered under the head of wholly blind or partially blind?

Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Member may answer it himself.

Shri Matthen: The hon. Minister stated that he is not aware of the amenities granted by the U.K. and the U.S.A. Will he be pleased to make inquiries?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: That was not on amenities, but on the question of any special legislation, set on foot.

Dr. Suresh Chandra: Benefits to the blind.

Shri Matthen: Will the hon. Minister be pleased to make inquiries and try to take the necessary steps?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: These are all suggestions for action.

Shrimati A. Kale: Is the Government aware that in the Bombay Child Welfare Conference, a doctor from Calcutta has given the figure of the blind people to the tune of 20 lakhs? Is it a true figure?

Oral Answers

Shri Datar: I might invite the attention of the hon. Member to the fact that in Whittaker's Almanac in 1952 the figure has been given for blind persons as 20 lakhs. That figure is entirely wrong. In view of the fact that according to the 1931 census in India, there were only 6 lakhs of blind people, it is almost impossible to believe that in the course of about 20 years, the number has increased from 6 to 20 lakhs?

Shrimati A. Kale: Would the hon. Minister repudiate that figure?

Shri Datar: The answer given is itself a repudiation.

Shri Namdhari: Does this number include those anti-social elements which are mentally blind?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Order, order. Next question.

SILVER REFINERY

*1105. Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state

- (a) when the silver refinery in Calcutta is expected to come into existence: and
- (b) whether it is a fact that electrolytic copper will also be produced in that refinery as a by-product?

The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Finance (Shri B. R. Bhagat): (a) The silver refinery at Calcutta is expected to start functioning by the end of 1954-55.

(b) Yes. Sir.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: May I know what would be the estimated cost for setting up that refinery?

Shri B. R. Bhagat: It is about Rs. 88 lakhs.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: May I know whether this silver refinery will also be used for extracting silver from quartenary coins?

Shri B. R. Bhagat: It is specially for that purpose.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: What quantity of silver is expected to be extracted from this refinery?

Shri B. R. Bhagat: About 250 million ounces of refined silver.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: If I remember right, in reply to a question by Mr. Tyagi where the refined silver will be kept, Dr. John Mathai replied that it will be kept by the Government. May I know whether that policy is still followed?

Shri B. R. Bhagat: The policy is being followed. But we have an obligation to pay silver to the United States of America, for what we got under the Lend-Lease Agreement during the war, and I think that does not apply to this case.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: How much of silver do we owe to the United States?

Shri B. R. Bhagat: 226 million ounces of silver.

Dr. Ram Subhag Singh: What part of the liability falls on Pakistan?

Shri B. R. Bhagat: Pakistan's liability is about 53 million ounces.

Sardar Hukam Singh: May I rnow whether any special officer has been despatched to foreign countries for negotiations on the purchase of the machinery and plant for this refinery?

Shri B. R. Bhagat: Yes.

Sardar Hukam Singh: Which country is it?

Shri B. R. Bhagat: Germany.

Sardar Hukam Singh: Was there any special necessity for sending a special officer to that country, instead of negotiating and final sing the purchase through our delegation which is there?

Shri B. R. Bhagat: The work being of a very technical nature, it was considered desirable to send a special officer for finalising the specification and designs and particularly so when the special process that is being employed here is cheaper and as a result of these negotiations, the cost per pound of silver extracted is very much less than that which operates in England and other countries.

Sardar Hukam Singh: May I know the expenses incurred by our country in sending this special officer?

Shri B. R. Bhagat: I am afraid I cannot give that figure just now.

Shri B. S. Murthy: May I know whether after the negotiations any agreement has been arrived at, and whether any German expert is coming over here to instal the machinery?

Shri B. R. Bhagat: As I said the refinery will start functioning in 1954-55.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: His question was whether it is expected to have any expert from Germany to set it up.

Shri B. R. Bhagat: The Demag Metallurgical Company of Germany will process the whole thing.

EDUCATIONAL EXPERT FROM U.K.

*1166. Shri S. N. Das: (a) Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state whether it is a fact that an Educational Expert is shortly to come from U.K. in response to a request from the Government of India to organise the department of Industrial Management at the Indian Institute of Technology?

(b) If so, what is the scheme for which he is being invited?

The Deputy Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Shri K. D. Malaviya): (a) Yes. Prof. G. A. Robinson, formerly Education Secretary, British Institute of Management, has arrived in India and is working at the Indian Institute of Technology.

(b) The scheme envisages provision of full-time and part-time courses in (i) Industrial Engineering, (ii) Industrial Administration and (iii) Business Management for the training of personnel required by Industry and Commerce. Prof. Robinson will advise how best to organise these courses as also on Refresher Courses in Management Subjects and other aspects of Training for Management.

Shri S. N. Das: May I know when the expert arrived, and whether he has submitted any interim report on the subject?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: He has already arrived in our country, but his report is not yet available to us.

Shri S. N. Das: May I know the total estimated expenditure involved in this scheme?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: We have accepted financial liability for this gentleman who has come. There is no separate scheme, he has offered to advise us.

Shri S. N. Das: May I know whether any provisions ew'st just at present in any of the Universities, for such industrial management training?

(منستار آف ایجوکیشن ایلت نهچرل ریسورسز ایلت سائنتهنک ریسرچ) مولانا آزاد : جو انستیتهوشن کهوگپور میں کهولا گیا هے - اس کا تعلق صرف اس سے هے - اور یونهورستموں سے نہیں هے - The Minister of Education and Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Maulana Azad): The Institution opened in Kharagpur is connected with this and no other University.

Shri Nambiar: How much amount is likely to be spent on this expert alone?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: On this expert, we will bear the cost of transportation, lodging expenses, daily allowances and actual cost of travelling.

Shri Nambiar: My question was how much will be spent. We cannot calculate?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The travelling expenses etc. can be calculated.

Shri Nambiar: I agree with you.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: But it is impossible to give any figure, if 'he travels up and down.

Shri B. S. Murthy: May I know whether any portion of this amount is being shared by the industrialists?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: No. Sir.

Babu Ramnarayan Singh rose.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon-Member is too late.

Babu Ramnarayan Singh: I got up first, Sir.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I did not see.

CASES IN HIGH COURTS

*1107. Pandit Munishwar Datt Upadhyay: (a) Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state what is the number of cases on an average disposed of by each High Court and the Supreme Court per year?

- (b) What is the number of cases in arrears at present showing cases over one year old, over two years old, and so on over three, four and five etc., years old?
- (c) Has there been increase or decrease in the arrears, since 1948 and in what proportion?

The Deputy Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Datar): (a) and (c). A statement giving the relevant information is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix VI. annexure No. 49]

(b) Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

Pandit Munishwar Datt Upadhyay: May I know. Sir. in view of the fact that the percentage of arrears in the Supreme Court has increased by 1543.2 per cent. on the arrears of 1948, what is the total number of cases instituted during these three years in the Supreme Court and also, what is the number disposed of?

Shri Datar: I may give the figures to the hon. Member. In 1948 the pending cases were 37 and 200 were disposed of by 1949. In 1950, there were 496 cases and by 1951, the number increased to 1401. These are the figures.

Pandit Munishwar Datt Upadhyay: May I know, Sir, whether that is the percentage or it is the total number of cases?

Shri Datar: 1543'2 is the percentage. The number of cases has enormously increased since the Constitution came into force.

Pandit Munishwar Datt Upadhyay: I find from the statement that in a number of High Courts the percentage of increase of arrears is very high—86.8, 67.2, 67.1 and 83.2. What steps have been taken to clear off these arrears and whether these arrears are due to shortage of the number of Judges or due to any other reason?

The Minister of Home Affairs and States (Dr. Katju): May I just deal with this matter? The number has increased because after the Constitution came into force, there was in every High Court a large number of writ petitions which occupied a lot of judicial time. Then there has been great difficulty in recruitment—in finding competent, experienced judges. Thirdly, it is a matter of argument. Some judges are slow, some are medium-paced and some Judges are fast, and it is a matter of argument. There are many factors which go into the making up of a proper disposal. It is impossible to give any adequate explanation. So far as the number of Judges is concerned, in the opin-lon of Government an adequate number has been provided for. But wherever a demand is made for further increase, that is considered.

Pandit Munishwar Datt Upadhyay: May I know. Sir, in what year the required number of Judges was provided for and since when has there been any increase in the arrears?

Dr. Katju: From 1950 to 1952, I think on several occasions in different States the number of Judges has been increased by Presidential Notification. Shri A. M. Thomas: May I enquire whether all the High Courts existing in our country are having their full complement of Judges? If not, which are the High Courts which are not having the full complement?

Oral Answers

Dr. Katju: If my hon, friend.......

Shri A. M. Thomas: The number fixed by the President.

Dr. Katju: Whenever any request is made for appointment of a Judge in the manner required by the Constitution, that is immediately attended to. Whenever there is no such request made, well the matter ends there. But it does take certain time to appoint suitable Judges.

Shri A. M. Thomas: I have not got the answer to the question, Sir.

Shri Datar: I will answer that. Now, so far as the various High Courts are concerned, in four High Courts the number of Judges is more than the one stipulated, and only recently in two or three High Courts the number is less only by one.

Shri A. M. Thomas: Which are the three High Courts?

Shri Datar: In Assam, Patna, Nagpur and Calcutta High Courts, the number is more than the one stipulated. In Hyderabad, Madhya Bharat and Rajasthan, the number is less.

Shri A. M. Thomas: I want to know the High Courts in which there is not the full complement of Judges.

Shri Datar: Only these three High Courts.

Shri A. M. Thomas: In Travancore-Cochin are we having the full complement of Judges? Has any request been made for appointment of a Judge there?

Mr. Imputy-Speaker: Only three High Courts are there and Travancore-Cochin is not included therein.

Shri Datar: I should like to correct myself, Sir. In Travancore-Cochin the number fixed is 8 and we have at present 7 Judges.

Shri P. T. Chacko: May I know, Sir, whether one of these Judges was on leave for the last two years?

Shri Datar: I am not aware.

Shri Sinhasan Singh: May I know, Sir, the number of holidays that the Judges have in the year.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Holidays in a year?

Dr. Katju: Are you enquiring about the holidays in a High Court or are you enquiring about the leave?

Shri Sinhasan Singh: The number of holidays.

Dr. Katju: Holidays are the usual holidays which are open to public servants, in addition to...

Dr. N. B. Khare: Sir, he is carrying on a dialogue. He should address the Chair.

Dr. Katju: I stand corrected by the hon. elder Member.

Dr. N. B. Khare: Thank you.

Dr. Katju: I was saying that the number of holidays is more or less the same as enjoyed in public offices except that there is a long vacation which has got a history behind it.

Shrl P. T. Chacko: May I know, Sir whether the insistence on the quantity of work done by courts has resulted in lowering of the quality of work done?

Shri Nambiar: May I know, Sir, whether the increase in the work of High Courts is due to the increase in illegal detention?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: This has been asked.

Pandit Munishwar Datt Upadhyay

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Next question.

HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY

*1108. Shri S. C. Samanta: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government propose to establish a hydrographic survey office in India in the near future;
 - (b) if so, where and when; and
- (c) whether the plans and estimates for this office have been worked out?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithia): (a) Yes.

- (b) It is intended to establish the Hydrographic Office in Dehra Dun, by the end of 1953 subject to buildings, equipment and trained personnel becoming available by then.
 - (c) Yes.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know, Sir, whether the Marine Survey Wing of the Defence Ministry will have to be expanded when this work will be taken up?

Sardar Majithia: It is obvious, Sir, that we will have to extend it to some extent.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know, Sir, how hydrographic survey is at present conducted and how many charts are produced every year?

Sardar Majithia: This process is a continuous process, S'r, and it has been going on for the last 100 years, and charts are continuously being corrected, as a result of this survey.

Shri S. C. Samanta: My question is, how it is conducted and with whose help it is conducted?

Sardar Majithia: As the hon. Member himself said, Sir, it is being conducted under the Defence Department (Marine Survey).

Shri S. C. Samanta: Is it not a fact that the help of the British Admiralty is sought every now and then?

Sardar Majithia: Due to the shortage of equipment, which is going to be remedied as a result of this expanded scheme, we have to get our charts made in U.K.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know, Sır, whether we have any Indian mine-sweeper which may be transformed into a survey ship?

Sardar Majithia: That has already been done, Sir.

SWEEPERS IN CANTONMENT BOARDS

*1109. Shri Baimiki: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state how far sweepers employed by Cantonment Boards enjoy the benefit of providentfund, oldage-pension, gratuity, sick leave and facilities allowed for Class IV servants?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithia): Sweepers who draw a salary of Rs. 20 p.m. or more, are permitted, at the discretion of the Cantonment Board, to subscribe to the contributory provident fund. No oldage-pension is admissible. Those who do not subscribe to the provident fund are eligible to a gratuity on retirement. Leave, including sick leave, is admissible as in the case of Class IV Government servants. They are also allowed other facilities to which other Class IV servants are ordinarily entitled.

श्री बास्मीकि : स्था में जान सकता हूं कि इन कैन्ट्रनमैन्टस में मेहतरों की तादाट कितनी है ?

Oral Answers

Sardar Majithia: I am afraid, Sir, I have not got the information.

भी बास्त्रीकि: कैंन्ट्रनमेंट में इन क्यर्स कमेटियों में मेहतरों के प्रतिनिधियों के रिप्रैजेंटेशन (प्रतिनिधित्व) का कहां तक ध्यान रखा जाता है ? इन के प्रतिनिधि क्यर्स कमेटियों में होते हैं या नहीं ?

Sardar Majithia: I am afraid, Sir, that question does not arise out of this.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: It is included in the other facilities of class IV servants.

Sardar Majithia: No, Sir, he is asking about Works Committees.

भी बाल्मीकि : मैं दूसरा सवाल यह पूछना चाहता हूं कि इनमें कितने आदमी परमानेंट (स्थायी) हैं और उन को कोई क्यार्टर्स दिये जाते हैं या नहीं ?

Sardar Majithia: I have not got information on the first point, Sir. But as rexards the second point, out of 56 Cantonment Boards 46 do provide accommodation.

श्री बाल्मीिक : हफ्तेकार जो एक पूरे दिन की छुट्टीः मिलनीः चाहिये, तो क्या इस तरह की शिकायतें गवांमेंट के पास बाई हैं कि वह छुट्टी बाज बाज जगह, जैसे यहां दिल्ली में, आधे दिन की ही दी जाती है, पूरे दिन की नहीं दी जाती ?

Sardar Majithia: Government has not come across that, Sir.

Shri B. S. Murthy: Sir, May I know whether any dearness allowance is paid and, if so, what amount; whether the dearness allowance and the basic salary are together taken into consideration for the contribution towards the provident fund?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Is the dearness allowance also taken into consideration in fixing the amount to be contributed

to the Provident Fund? (interruption) What are these insinuations?

Shri B. S. Murthy: May I know, Sir, whether any dearness allowance is paid, and if so, what amount?

Sardar Majithia: Dearness allowance is paid.

Shri Veeraswamy: May I know, Sir, in view of the fact that the sweepers and scavengers are living in squalour and filth completely excluded from enjoyment of any modern facilities, whether the Government will take early steps to improve the living conditions and also increase their pay:

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: What is the need for this preamble? Why get into a general discussion? The hon. Minister has answered that 46 out of 56 cantonment boards have provided houses for these sweepers.

Shri Nambiar: May I know whether it is a fact that a large number of sweepers are getting a pay less than Rs. 20; what is their pay and what is the dearness allowance paid to them?

Sardar Majithia: As I said, Sir. I have not got the information on that point. I shall certainly supply that to the hon. Member.

भी पी॰ एन॰ राजभोज: में यह पूछना चाहता हूं कि उन को डीयरनेस अलाउंस (महंगाई भत्ता) मिलता है या नहीं। खबाब जो डिप्टी मिनिस्टर की तरफ़ से मिलता है वह सैटिसफ़ैक्टरी नहीं है।

सिस्टर डिप्टी स्पीकर : स्थास्थान कैसे दे सकते हैं ?

भी पी० एन० राजभोज : डीयरनैस अलाउंस कितना मिलता है वह इनफार्मेशन (सूचना) मुझे चाहिये । डीयरनैस अलाउंस के लिये में पूछना चाहता हूं। २० रुपया तनस्वाह तो बता दी, लेकिन डीयरनैस अलाउंन्स कितना मिलता है यह मैं जानना चाहता हूं।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I won't allow an answer to that question. In spite of my warning that this ought not to be used for the purpose of a speech, he goes on like this. This need not be answered. Shri Feroze Gandhi: I wanted to know what is the amount of dearness allowance and whether these sweepers were part-time or whole-time?

Sardar Majithia: As I have said already, I have not got the information on that point and I will supply it to the hon. Member.

Shri K. P. Tripathi: The hon. Minister has said that the right to have Provident Fund is in the discretion of the authority. May I understand that the workers have no right to get it as of right and, it is only under the discretion of the authority?

Sardar Majithia: The position is correctly stated, Sir.

पंडित सी॰ युन॰ सालबीय: यह बतलाया गया है कि प्राविडेंट फंड और ग्रैनुइटी दी जा रही है। क्या में मालूम कर सकता हूं कि इस साल और पिछले साल में प्राविडेंट फंड और ग्रैनुइटी में कितना अमाउंट दिया गया ?

भी उपाष्यक्ष महोदयः यह कैसे बतलासकते हैं।

SCHEDULED CASTES, TRIBES AND BACK-WARD CLASSES SCHOLARSHIPS

*1110. Shri Balmiki: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) how many of the scholarships granted to students of the Scheduled Castes, Tribes and other Backward Classes for the year 1951-52 have been renewed:
- (b) whether it is a fact that some renewal cases have been withheld; and
 - (c) if so, the reasons therefor?

The Deputy Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Shri K, D. Malaviya): (a) 1664.

- (b) Yes.
- (c) The students concerned failed to show satisfactory progress.

भी बाल्मीकि : स्टेटवाइज, शिड्यूल्ड कास्ट्स और बैकवर्ड क्लास तया ट्राइब को कितने वजीफ़े दिये गये ?

भी के बी भालबीय : स्टेटवाइज, इस तरह के आंकड़े हमारे पास नहीं [भी बाल्मीकि: फितने भिवेद मिले, फितनों को क्योफ़े दियेग्ये और कितने बाक़ी रहगये?

भी के बी मालबीय: में ने अभी कहा कि १६६४ हम को मिले, इस का जिक-मैंने जवाब में किया। इसमें से १५३ दरस्वास्तें क्की हुई हैं और उसपर विचार हो रहा है।

भी बाल्मीकि: यह वजीफ़े तय करते समय शिड्रूल्ड कास्ट में जो बाल्मोकी महतर, हेला, डोमार, राक्त, डोम, मुशहर और कंजर वगैरह जो अत्यन्त पिछड़ी हुई जातियां हैं, उन का कहां तक ध्यान रखा मया हैं?

भो के बी भास्त्रवीय: Scholars ship देने में इस तज्ह की छोटी छोटी उपचातियों के सम्बन्ध में विचार ...करना ता मुशक्तिल हो जायगा।

Shri A. M. Thomas: May I know, Sir, whether marks obtained by the students in the University examinations form a criterion for awarding scholarship, and, if so, is the Government aware that it is an unfair test because the standard obtaining in the South Indian Universities such as Madras and others are higher than the standards obtaining in the Northern Indian Universities?

Some Hon. Members: Question.

Shri K. D. Malaviya: So far as the first part is concerned, I followed that, reply is yes but so far as the second part is concerned, I could not follow that.

श्री पी० एन० राजभोज: क्या जिला मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा गरेंगे कि छात्रवृत्ति निर्वाचन मंडल के सदस्य कौन हैं?

भी के बी भासवीय: मेरे पास इस समय उन की सूची नहीं है।

श्री पी॰ एत॰ राजमीज : इस मंडल में परिगणित जातियों : के सदस्य कितने हैं और कौप कौन हैं। भी के बोरे मालवीय: मैं ने किहा कि सूची मेरे पास नहीं है। जब सूची ही नहीं है तं: संस्था कैंड बता सकता हं।

Shri Sanganna: May I know, Sir, what conditions are put on the students to qualify themselves for scholarships?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: There must be rules and regulations.

Shri N. M. Lingam: May I know, Sir, whether any order of preference is shown between these communities?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: We have made allocations for the respective communities and according to that allocation, scholarships are awarded.

Shri S. C. Deb: May I know, Sir, besides these scheduled tribes and scheduled castes, what are the other backward classes who are entitled to these scholarships?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: All those classes which are grouped under the backward classes are entitled to these scholarships.

Shrimati A. Kale: May I know whether any special consideration is shown to women among backward classes?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: Sir, this is generally done everywhere.

Shri B. S. Murthy: Arising out of answer to clause (b), may I know, Sir. whether any special consideration is shown to students studying medicine and engineering, if there is a failure after second or third year of their course; whether the failure is condoned and given any concession?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: Yes, Sir. In the case of students studying medicine, engineering and agriculture certain concessions are observed.

श्री बाटव बीर : क्या यह बात सच है कि राजस्थान स्टेट में तीन वर्ष से लगातार शिड्यूल्ड कास्ट के विद्यायियों ने दरस्थास्तें दीं और उन को कोई कजीफ़े नहीं दिये गये ?

श्री केंट डी॰ मालबीय । मुझे ऐसी कोई सूचना नहीं है। Several Hon. Members Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I am calling the next question. Hon Members need not exhaust all their energies over a single question. There may be other interesting questions.

ASIAN STUDENTS' CONVENTION

- *1111. Dr. Rama Rao: (a) Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state whether it is proposed to hold an Asian Students' convention in Delhi in the month of December 1952?
- (b) If so, who is calling the Convention and what help are the Government of India rendering to the convention?
- (c) Is it a fact that the Government of India have promised the organisers some financial help towards the expenses of the Convention; if so, to what extent and for what purposes?
- (d) What will be the work of the Convention?
- (e) From which countries are delegates expected?
- (f) Are all the student bodies in various parts of India associated with this move and if not, have Government taken any steps towards this?

The Deputy Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Shri K. D. Malaviya): (a) Yes.

- (b) United Nations Students' Association of India is organizing this Convention in cooperation with the International Students' Movement for United Nations.
 - (c) Yes. Rs. 6,000,
- (d) The Convention will be devoted to the examination of the techniques of work of the United Nations Students' Associations of Asia.
- (e) The following countries have been invited by the Association: India, Japan, Hong Kong, Philippines, People's Republic of China, Burma, Ceylon, Pakistan, Malaya, Indonesia, Asian Republics of the Sowet Union, Nepal, Australia, New Zealand, Israel, Egypt, Iran, Iraq, Saudi Arabia, Yemen, Syria, Lebanon, Transjordan, Oman and Kuwait.
- (f) Government have no reason to believe that the various student bodies are not associated with this move.
- Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Minister was reading out a long number of names. In the case of such very long statements, he will kindly place them on the Table.

Of Oral Answers 11 DECI Shri K. D. Malaviya: Very well, Sir.

Dr. Rama Rao: May I know if the Government have any definite information as to whether any student organisation other than the Delhi Students' Union has been consulted or taken into confidence?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: We are not aware of it.

Shri Raghavaiah: May I know why students from Indonesia have not been invited in this connection?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: Indonesia is included in the list I have referred to.

Shri K. K. Basu: May I know whether the invitation was sent to the students of the different countries through the respective Governments or through the students' organisations there?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: Invitations were sent to the students' organisation of the country if there were one, but if there were none, then the national Ministries of Education were addressed.

Shri Raghavaiah: May I know why invitations were not extended to countries like Korea and Indo-China?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: I do not know but it is not for us to send any invitation. It is the International Organisation that sends the invitation.

Shri Veeraswamy: May I know the names of the students organisations in Madras State which will be represented at the ensuing Convention of the Students?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: How can we say about this?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Next question.

CHINESE REPUBLIC (ANNIVERSARY)

*1112. Shri K. Subrahmanyam: (a) Will the Minister of States be pleased to state whether it is a fact that certain Ministers of a State sent congratulatory messages to the Chairman of the Chinese People's Republic on the occasion of the anniversary of the Chinese Republic?

- (b) If the answer to part (a) above be in the affirmative, were these messages sent through the Central Government or direct?
- (c) Are Ministers of States authorised to greet Foreign Powers and their leaders directly on their own?

The Minister of Home Affairs and States (Dr. Katju): (a) Two Ministers

of Patiala and East Punjab States Union sent congratulatory messages to the Chairman of the Chinese People's Republic on the occasion of the anniversary of the Chinese Republic.

- (b) The messages were not sent through the Central Government.
- (c) According to International usage Heads of Foreign States are to be addressed on such matters only by the Head of the State. It was, therefore, not in accordance with proper procedure in such cases for the Patiala and East Punjab States Unjon Ministers to have sent the messages.

Shri K. Subrahmanyam: May I know what action has been taken against these Ministers?

Dr. Katju: No action.

Shri K. Subrahmanyam: May I know if it is a fact that about 2½ years ago the Prime Minister rightly took objection to a request sent by some M.Ps. to the United States President asking for food gifts or food supplies to India?

Shri Punnoose: As if sending a message of greetings and begging for food are one and the same thing.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: How is this question relevant?

Shri K. Subrahmanyam: Does not this action of these Ministers come under the same category?

Dr. Katju: I do not know of that particular answer, but I only hope that in future such lapses will not occur.

Shri Raghuramaiah: In order to avoid any such embarrassment in the future, may I know whether the Central Government would inform the State Government that orders should be issued so that such messages would not be sent direct hereafter?

Dr. Katju: I shall inform the State Government of this question and the answer.

FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO INDIAN STUDENTS

- *1113. Shri Telkikar: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:
- (a) the conditions to be fulfilled by Indian students, wishing to go abroad, for getting financial assistance from the Government of India on loan basis; and
- (b) whether there are any rules concerning such assistance?

The Deputy Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Shri K. D. Malaviya): (a) and (b). In very exceptional cases loans only to cover sea passage are advanced to students of first class merit who have obtained a scholarship abroad in a subject of national importance and who cannot bear the cost of sea passage. The loan if granted is recoverable in easy monthly instalments just after six months of the return of the scholar to India or on his employment whichever is earlier. The interest on the loan is charged at the Government rate prevailing at the time of the grant of loan.

Shri Telkikar: May I know whether there is any limit fixed as to the number of students to be sent abroad?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: As I said, the loan is granted in very exceptional cases. There is no limit as such.

Shri Telkikar: May I know what amount is set apart for this purpose in the 1952-53 Budget?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: This loan is operated under the General Partial Financial Assistance Scheme, but as I said, there are very few cases in which this loan has been granted. In 1952, for example, only two students have been awarded this loan.

Shri Telkikar: May I know the number of students who were given loans in 1951-52?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: In the last five years only five loans were granted.

Shri Raghavaiah: May I know to what countries the students have gone?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: I do not have that information just now.

Shri Jaipal Singh: The hon. Minister mentioned that the loan is granted for studying a subject of national importance. May I know what are the subjects of national importance?

ملستر آف ایجوکهشن ایند نهچرل ریسی ریستی ایند سائنتینک ریسی (مولانا آزاد): اس کا مطلب یه هے که ایسے میمیکنگ کے لگر وہ وہاں گئے هیں که جس کی تهیک تعلیم اندیا میں نہیں ہو سکتی -

The Minister of Education, Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Maulana Azad): It means they have gone there to study those subjects, which cannot be properly taught in India.

Oral Answers

Shrimati Sushama Sen: May 1 know how many girls have been sent for this training?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: None perhaps. I am not aware of the number of girls. As I sa'd, there were only five scholarships during the last five years.

Shrimati Sushama Sen: May I know how many from Bihar?

Shrimati A. Kale: Do I understand that no loan is granted for studying subjects other than those of national importance?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: No. Sir.

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: The hon. Minister just stated that two students have been given loans. May I know the amount of the loan, that has been given to them and also when that amount is likely to be realized from them? When are they expected to return after training?

Shri K. D. Malaviya: We give loans only to cover the sea passage. As I said, the loan will be realised in monthly instalments within six months of their return.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Next question.

Shri Raghavaiah: May I know the subjects.....(Interruption).

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Order, order. I have already called the next question.

AIR FORCE ACADEMIES

*1114. Shri Telkikar: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

(a) the qualifications required for a candidate to join the Air Force Academies at Jodhpur and Hyderabad (Deccan); and

(b) what other institutions give training in flying?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithia): (a) The general qualifications required are as follows:—

Age limits:—Between 17½ and 21 years, relaxable up to 24 years in the case of 'A' Flying Licence holders.

Educational qualifications: - Matriculation or its equivalent.

(b) None else under the Defence

Shri Telkikar: Is there no such Academy at Dehra Dun now?

Sardar Majithia: There was never such an Academy at Dehra Dun. These are the only ones that impart flying instruction.

Oral Answers

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Next question.

Shri B. S. Murthy: One supplementary, Sir.

May I know whether there is any age relaxation for scheduled castes a scheduled tribes as a special case?

Sardar Majithia: No, Sir.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Next question. No. 1115.

Dr. N. B. Khare: Sir, I do not wish to put this question.

VEGETABLE OILS RESEARCH COM-MITTEE'S RECOMMENDATIONS

*1116. Shri K. C. Sodhia: (a) Will the Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research be pleased to state what are the chief recommendations of the Vegetable Oils Research Committee for the survey of secondary sources of oils for industrial use?

(b) What action, if any, do Government propose to take on these?

The Deputy Minister of Natural Re-sources and Scientific Research (Shri K. D. Malaviya): (a) The recommendations of the Vegetable Oils Research Committee of the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research are—

- (i) that the Government of India (Ministry of Food and Agri-culture) and the State Governments may undertake surveys of secondary oilseeds resources in the country; and
- (ii) that necessary steps may also be taken by these Governments be taken by these Governments for the promotion of organised collection and large scale cultivation of such oil-seeds so that these are available in considerable quantities for industrial use, thus releasing edible oils from industrial uses.
- (b) The Government of India have asked the State Governments to give effect to these recommendations as far as possible.

ASSAM URBAN TENANCY BILL

*1117. Shri K. P. Tripathi: (a) Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state whether it is a fact that the Government of Assam sent the Assam Urban Tenancy Bill for the assent of the President?

(b) If so, has it been assented to or returned?

The Deputy Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Datar): (a) Yes.

(b) Assent was withheld.

Shri K. P. Tripathi: Is it the first time that assent has been withheld, or is it the second time?

Shri Datar: It is one of the many times when assent was withheld in proper cases.

Shri K. P. Tripathi: When was it withheld for the first time?

Shri Datar: I cannot answer it just here

What is the Shri K. P. Tripathi: reason for the withholding of assent?

Shri Datar: This Bill, the Assam Non-agricultural Urban Areas Tenancy Bill purported to give permanent heritable and transferable rights to tenants for residential and business tenants for residential and business premises. This was against Article 19(1)(f) and (2) of the Constitution Legal opinion was taken and it was found that this piece of legislation not the contract of the c only introduced certain restrictions, but also took away or deprived the landlords of certain rights in property and after taking the highest legal adwice, Government advised the Presi-dent to withhold assent.

Shri K. P. Tripathi: What was the advice given before this was received?

Shri Datar: This was the first occasion when this Bill came before the President.

Shri K. P. Tripathi: When was 't sent back?

Shri Datar: It was sent back in 1951, within two or three months after the Bill was received for assent.

Some Hon. Members: Why this heat?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The heat is generated by the questions and it is increased by the answers.

There are certain ways of putting questions. The hon. Member could very well have asked "May I know, etc

^{**}Expunged as Chair—Ed. of PP. ordered by the

ELECTORAL COLLEGES

- *1118. Shri L. J. Singh: Will the Minister of States be pleased to state:
- (a) whether the three Electoral Colleges for Manipur, Tripura and Kutch, were exclusively meant for the election of members to the Council of States; and
- (b) whether these will be taken into confidence and treated as consultant bodies in making important legislations in their respective States, in view of the assurance given by the then Minister of States to that effect?

The Minister of Home Affairs and States (Dr. Katju): (a) Yes.

- (b) There is no intention of converting the Electoral Colleges into State Legislatures or consultative bodies. The statement made by the Minister for States in August 1951 in Parkament bearing on this matter will of course be borne in mind.
- Shri Bhawanji: May I know whether the Advisory Committee which was functioning in Kutch has been abolished recently?
- Dr. Katju: I leave it to you, Sir. to consider whether this question which relates to electoral colleges in Tripura, Munipur and Kutch can be extended to advisory councils?
- Mr. Deputy-Speaker: No, I was not able to follow that question.
- Shri L. J. Singh: Is the hon. Minister aware that that his predecessor had given an assurance in the Provisional Parliament that the electoral colleges in these States would be treated more or less as local legislatures, empowered to discuss important pieces of legislation in the respective States?
- Dr. Katju: I respectfully ask my honfriend to read the statement made by the hon. Minister of States in August 1951. I have got it before me and he said that whenever occasion will arise Government may think it proper to consult the electoral college or its members informally and I have said in my answer that we will bear it in mind.
- Shri L. J. Singh: Were there any occasions till now when the electoral colleges could have been consulted?

- Dr. Katju: Not so far to my knowledge.
- Shri L. J. Singh: In view of the fact that the hon. Minister recently paid a visit to Manipur and Tripura, will he please state what form of democratic set-up is contemplated in these states?
- Dr. Katju: The democratic set-up is already there. It is a Part C State governed according to an Act of this Parliament and whatever control is exercised is subject to Parliament. So far as the democratic form of Government in the State itself is concerned, it will be strictly in accordance with the provisions of the Act passed by Parliament.
- Shri L. J. Singh: May I know whether the Chief Commissioner's regime without an elected Legislative Assembly is called a democratic set-up?
- Mr. Deputy-Speaker: It is a matter of opinion.
- Shri H. N. Mukerjee: In view of the fact that the members of these electoral colleges were elected on the basis of franchise which was enjuyed by people in other parts of India, will Government be pleased to give us the reasons why the members of these electoral colleges are not to be taken into confidence in regard to impertant pieces of legislation? Will Government please explain the reason for the deprivation of democratic rights of the electorate of these areas?
- Dr. Katju: These areas are governed by an Act of Parliament. Hon. members have ample opportunity to get it amended.
- Shri Rishang Kelshing: What is the strength of the Congress Party in the electoral colleges of both the States?
 - Dr. Katju: I require notice.
- Shri Rishang Keishing: Is it a fact that the Electoral Colleges in these two States have not been treated as Legislative Assemblies because the Congress has not got a majority there?
- Dr. Katju: Sir. is that a question, or is that an enquiry being made? It is an argument. There is no tenability in it.
- Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The Question Hour is over.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO GUESTIONS

Persons under Detention (HYDERABAD)

- *1119 Shri H. G. Vaishnay: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:
- (a) the number of persons who are at present under detention in Hyderabad State under the Preventive Detention Act: and
- (b) how many of them are detained as black marketeers or as anti-social elements?

The Minister of Home Affairs and The Minister of Home Affairs and States (Dr. Katju): (a) and (b). On the 30th November, 1952, 63 persons were under detention in the Hyderabad State. None of them had been detained for black marketing or other like anti-social activities. Orders for the release of 49 detenus have now been issued by the State Government.

COMMISSION EARNED BY IMPERIAL BANK

- *1120. Shri Gidwani: (a) Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state whether it is a fact that the Im-perial Bank of India utilizes Government money during the Banking hours, and at close of business, deposits the major portion of their cash balance in currency chest to save interest?
- (b) Is it a fact that the Imperial Bank of India having Government currency chest at its disposal is able to do free transfer of funds for its use and charge commission to other Banks for transfer of funds?
- (c) Is it a fact that because of Government currency chest with the Imperial Bank, that Bank keeps very low cash balances?
- (d) What is the percentage of cash balance to Deposits maintained by that Bank in its branche, where has Government Currency Chest?
- (e) Is it a fact that large funds of Semi-Government bodies, Trusts. Municipalities etc. are lying with the Imperial Bank of India free of interest?

The Minister of Revenue and Expenditure (Shri Tyagi): (a) No Government moneys are deposited with the Imperial Bank of India. In accordance with the terms of an Agreement which the Reserve Bank of India has entered into with the Imperial Bank of India, the latter bank conducts Government business at places where the former has no offices. The receipts and nayments on behalf of Government are payments on behalf of Government are

adjusted daily between the two insti-tutions, the Imperial Bank of Ind'a teing credited in respect of payments and debited in respect of receipts. In order to facilitate Government transactions, currency chests have been opened at Imperial Bank of India chests have been branches, as at Government treasuries, and in accordance with the aforesaid Agreement, the Imper'al Bank of India ceposits its surplus cash into Chests and withdraws funds from them to meet cash requirements. The relative adjustments are made daily between the two Banks on in the case of Carry the two Banks, as in the case of Government transactions. A degosit made into the Chest may include a portion of the Imperial Bank's own cash balance. just as a withdrawal may include moneys paid on Government Account; but so long as the Imper'al Bank conducts Government business as conducts Government business as egent of the Reserve Bank, it would not be correct to say that it deposits only its own cash balance in Chests. In the Imperial Bank does not any case the Imperial Bank does not save interests by this arrangement and in effect transfers funds free of charge.

Written Answers

- (b) Under clause 9 of the Agreement, Imperial Bank is entitled to transfer funds between its offices and branches having Currency Chests, free of cherge. The Reserve Bank prescribes from time to time what facilities should be granted to scheduled and other banks for transfer of funds from one centre to another and the Imperial Bank, as its agent, is bound to give such facilities to the banks under clause 10 of the Agreement. funds between its offices and branches
 - (c) No. Sir.
- (d) The figures of percentage of cash to deposits for each individual branch where Currency Chest is maintained are not available.
- (e) Several bod'es of the nature in question maintain current and deposit accounts with the Imperial Bank. In accordance with the ordinary banking practice, interest is allowed on such deposit accounts but not normally on the current accounts.

NATIONAL PICTURE GALLERY

- *1121. Shri B. S. Murthy: Will the Minister of Education be pleased state the steps so far taken by the Central Government to establish a National Picture Gallery for India?
- The Minister of Education and Natural Resources and Scientific Re-search (Maulana Azad): Accangements have now been made for housing the National Art Gallery; and steps have also been taken to appoint a Curator. As soon as a Curator is able to join, the National Art Gallery will start functioning.

1415

FLEET REQUIREMENT UNIT

- *1122. Shri A. M. Thomas: (a) Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state whether there is any proposal by Government to establish a "fleet requirement unit" which would form the nucleus of a "fleet air arm" for the Indian Navy?
- (b) If so, what is the nature and scope of the proposal?
- (c) In what ways is Cochin port going to be utilised in this connection?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithia): (a) Yes.

- (b) A Fleet Requirement Unit is being formed at Cochin to co-operate with the Indian Naval Flotilla in Anti-Aircraft Gunnery and other exercises and operations requiring aircraft.
- (c) The scheme does not involve the use of the Cochin Port at all

मध्य भारत मं केन्द्रीय सुरक्षा पुलिस

- * ११२४. श्र**ी सुर्व्यत्रसाद**ः (क) क्या राज्य मंत्री यह बतलाने की कृपा करेंगे कि मध्य भारत में किन स्थानों पर और कव से केन्द्राय सुरक्षा पुलिस काम कर रही
- (स) क्या वह राज्य पुलिस के सहयोग से काम कर रही है या स्वच्छन्द रूप से ?
- (ग) क्या वह अपनी कार्यवाहियों का कुछ सामयिक प्रतिवेदन केन्द्रीय सरकार के पास भेजती है।

The Minister of Home Affairs and States (Dr. Katju): (a) There is no Police Force known as "the Central Security Police" under the administrative control of the Central Government. If, however, the reference is to the Central Reserve Police, which is under the control of the Ministry of States, the reply is that no detachment of this whose headquarters are Neemuch, is functioning at any place in Madhya Bharat. In fact the State Government has never asked for the loan of any detachment of the force for employment in the State.

- (b) Does not arise.
- (c) Does not arise.

EXPLOSION OF AMMUNITION BOX

Written Answers

- *1125 Sardar A. S. Saigul: (a) Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state whether it is a fact that an ammunition box exploded at Pulgaon in Madhya Pradesh on or about 23rd November, 1952?
- (b) How many persons were killed, and what were their Ranks?
- (c) How did the ammunition box explode and who was responsible for
- (d) Do Government propose to pay compensation to the family of the deceased?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithia): (a) Yes, ou 22nd November, 1952.

- (b) Two-One Pilot Officer and one labourer.
- (c) A Court of Inquiry is being held to investigate the matter.
- (d) Yes, if the conditions prescribed under the rules are satisfied.

INDIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES. BANGALORE

- 526. Shri S. C. Samanta: (a) Will the Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research be pleased to state who are the collaborators of Dr. C. V. Raman to help him in his research work at the Indian Academy of Sciences at Bangalore?
- (b) How many papers have so far been published in minerology and Crystal Physics?
- (c) How many papers are expected to be published in the near future and on which subjects?

The Deputy Minister of Natura' Resources and Scientific Research (Shri K. D. Malaviya): (a) to (c). A statement giving the required information is placed on the Table of the House. [See Appendix VI, annexure No. 50]

INVESTMENT OF FOREIGN AND INDIAN CAPITAL

- 527. Shri Tushar Chatterjea: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state the amount separately of foreign and Indian paid up capital invested and the percentage of former to the latter in the following industries:
 - (a) Jute Manufacture;
 - (b) Cotton Manufacture;
- (c) Iron and Steel Hardware Manufacture:

- (d) Mineral Oils:
- (e) Electricity;
- (f) Shipping:
- (g) Investment;
- (h) Tea Plantations; and
- (i) Coal Mining?

The Minister of Revenue and Expenditure (Sari Tyagi): A statement giving the required information so far as it is available is placed on the Table of the House. [See Appendix VI, annexure No. 51]

INDRAPRASTHA AND HASTINAPUR

528. Shri Tefkikar: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state;

(a) whether the archæological sites of Indraprastha and Hastinapur in Delhi (where there are no apparent traces of ancient history) are going to be preserved for excavating and studying the remains or going to be utilised for the purpose of building houses; and

(b) how the boundaries of those ancient cities would be marked?

The Minister of Education and Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Maulana Azad): (a) The site of Indraprastha has not yet been definitely identified.

Hastinapur has been identified east of Meerut. The site is protected and no buildings are allowed on it.

(b) The boundaries of Hastinapur are indicated by the mounds.

The question does not arise in the case of Indraprastha as the site has not yet been identified.

RESERVED ASSEMBLY CONSTITUENCIES IN RAJASTHAN

529. Shri Bheekha Bhai: (a) Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state whether it is a fact that some of the reserved Assembly constituencies in Rajasthan could not be contested by the people of the areas for want of declaring as Scheduled Areas under the President's Order?

- (b) If so, what are the names of such constituencies?
- (c) Have Government received any representation from the State of Rajasthan to rectify the anomalous position?
- (d) Do Government intend to declare these areas as Scheduled Areas? 316 PSD

The Deputy Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Datar): (a) and (b). The facts regarding these constituencies are as follows:—

One seat in each of the undermentioned double-member territorial constituencies of the Rajasthan State Assembly is reserved for the Scheduled Tribes:—

Bagidora. Dungarpur. Partapgarh-Nimbahera.

Sarada-Salumber.

Under the Scheduled Areas (Part B States) Order, 1950, only certain parts of the Dungarpur, Banswara and Chittorgarh districts are Scheduled Areas in the State of Rajasthan. Under the Constitution (Scheduled Tribes) Order, 1950, in Rajasthan, only Bhils living in the Scheduled Areas of the State are members of the Scheduled Tribes. But Bhils residing in any other part of the three districts or in any other district and persons belonging to any other Tribe anywhere in the State are not members of the Scheduled Tribes. Under clause (a) of section 5 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951, such persons as do not belong to any of the Scheduled Tribes are precluded from seeking election to any of the seats reserved in the Legislative Assemblies for the Scheduled Tribes.

- (c) Yes.
- (d) It is necessary to undertake legislation if any further areas are to be declared as Scheduled Areas. It has been decided that such legislation should not be undertaken till the matter has been investigated by the Backward Classes Commission.

ANTI-CORRUPTION COMMITTEE

530. Shri L. J. Singh: Will the Minister of States be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Government of India have appointed any Anti-corruption Committee like the one appointed by the Delhi State Government, especially for those Centrally Administered Areas where there are no legislatures, to enquire into the causes of corruption in various departments of Government and to lessen the chances of corruption among the employees of Government; and
- (b) if the answer to part (a) above be in the negative, whether Government will consider the desirability of appointing a Committee for the States mentioned above?

The Minister of Home Affairs and States (Dr. Katju): (a) No Anti-corruption Committee has been set up in Delhi, nor has any such Committee been appointed in any of the other Part C States:

(b) No. The Special Police Establishment whose jurisdiction has recently been extended to all Part C States will investigate cases of corruption arising in those States.

ENGINEERING SCHOOL, CUTTACK

- 531. Shri Sanganna: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:
- (a) whether the Government of Orissa have applied to the Government of India for a loan to raise the status of the Engineering School at Cuttack:
- (b) whether the Eastern Regional Committee inspected the School in this connection; and
- (c) if so, what are its recommendations?

The Minister of Education and Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Maulana Azad); (a) Yes.

- (b) A wisiting Committee appointed by the Eastern Regional Committee has inspected the institution.
- inspected the institution.

 (c) The report of the Eastern Regional Committee is awaited.

CULTIVATION OF TOBACCO

- 532, Shri Hem Raj: (a) Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state the area which is exempted to the cultivator for the cultivation of tobacco for his personal use in the different States of India?
- (b) Has this exemption been increased in any of the districts or States and if so, in which districts of which States?
- (c) Do Government propose to make it permanent or is it a temporary step?

The Minister of Revenue and Expenditure (Shri Tyagi): (a) The maximum quantity of tobacco which may be retained by a cultivator of tobacco, free of duty, for consumption in his household, has been fixed for each tobacco growing area, the quantity depending upon the tobacco-consuming habits of the local population.

In districts where tobacco is grown principally for the household concumption of the grower, the grower is

- allowed to retain the entire produce of his plot, provided that the plot does not exceed a certain area which is normally fixed at 1/10th of an acre.
- (b) In the districts of Rajasthan, e.g., Bharatpur, the duty free allowance of tobacco for the grower's household consumption which was being granted, namely, ten seers per grower, was found to be inadequate and was increased to twenty seers per grower about six months ago. I have no information of any other cases in which any revision has recently been made, but such revisions are entirely within the competence of the local Collectors of Central Excise, who make them on sufficient cause being shown.
- , (c) The reference here is presumably to the increase mentioned in part (b) of the question. As has been stated already in reply to that part, the increase in Rajasthan was made because the original allowance was not considered adequate having regard to the tobacco consuming habits of the population. The increase is not intended to be temporary.

QUASI-PERMANENCY CERTIFICATES

- 533. Shri Gidwani: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:
- (a) the number of cases for grant of Quasi-permanency Certificates to the displaced Government servants, referred to the Union Public Service Commission since August, 1949;
- (b) the number of cases disposed of by the Union Public Service Commission; and
- (c) if the delay has been over three months, the reasons for such delay?
- The Minister of Home Affairs and States (Dr. Katju): (a) and (b). The information is not readily available, as Ministries/Offices recommending the grant of quasi-permanency certificates to temporary employees do not make any distinction between displaced Government servants and other employees. The time and labour involved in sorting out names from the lists of temporary employees received from time to time will not be commensurate with the results to be achieved.
- (c) In some of the cases of displaced Government servants, the delay may have been over three months because of the fact that no decision could be taken earlier on the question as to how the break in service caused by their migration from Pakistan should be

treated. A decision for condoning the break was reached only in the middle of September, 1952, and since then cases are being dealt with expeditiously.

OIL AND COAL IN NORTH-EAST FRONTIER ACENCY

- 534. Shri Gohain: Will the Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that investigation has been carried out for oil and coal in the Sela District of the North-East Frontier Agency; and
 - (b) if so, what are the results?

The Deputy Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Shri K. D. Malaviya): (a) No. Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

DISCOVERIES BY 'THE FUEL RESEARCH INSTITUTE'

535. Shri Telkikar: Will the Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research be pleased to state :

- (a) the results of the experiments made by 'The Fuel Research Insti-tute' at Digwadih, Dhanbad, in the following fields, from its inception to this day:-
 - (i) dyes with attractive hues,
 - (ii) drugs for healing diseases.
 - (iii) chemicals for use as disinfectants.
 - (iv) industrial explosives, and
 - (v) fertilizers; and
- (b) whether the Institute successful in discovering any new byproduct?

The Deputy Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Shri K. D. Malaviya): (a) Research on dyes, drugs, chemical disinfectants, industrial explosives and fertilizers do not fall within the purview of the Fuel Research Institute.

(b) A statement giving the desired information is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix VI, annexure No. 52]

BAUXITE

- (a) Will 536. Shri Ganpati Ram: the Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research be pleased to state what are the main resources of bauxite in the different parts of the country?
- (b) Is it a fact that a commission of experts is engaged in the investi-

- gation of various sources of electri-cal power with a view to the possi-bilities of aluminium production?
- (c) How many necessary ments in the shape of an aluminium smelter are working in India?
- (d) What is their ultimate capacity in tons per year?

The Deputy Minister of Natural Resources and Scientific Research (Shri K. D. Malaviya: (a) to (d). A statement giving the information available is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix VI. annexure No. 53]

REVIEW PETITIONS

- 537. Shri B. S. Murthy: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply to Starred Question No. 784 asked on the 28th November, 1952 and state:
- (a) the number of review petitions received so far by Government as a result of the decisions of the Departmental Promotion Committees; and
- (b) the number disposed of so far and their results?

The Deputy Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Datar): (a) and (b). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table in due course.

RELIEF IN FAMINE AREAS

- 538. Shri N. L. Joshi: (a) Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state to what parts of the country was the military personnel deputed for relief work in the famine devastated areas in the year 1952?
- work executed by the Force?
- The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithia): (a) Rayalaseema and Tamilnad areas of Madras State.
- troops were employed (b) The mainly on deepening of wells.

RIVER VALLEY PROJECTS (LOAN)

- 539. Shri N. L. Joshi: (a) Will the Minister of Firance be pleased to state what is the amount of loans asked for by the various State Governments for purposes of prosecuting their river valley projects in their respective States in the year 1952?
- (b) Which of the State Govern-ments could get the loan and what is the amount received by them?

The Minister of Revenue and Expenditure (Shri Tyagi): (a) and (b). A statement is placed on the Table of the House. [See Append'x VI, annexure No. 54]

PARLIAMENTARY DEBATES Deted 20:1/

(Part II—Proceedings other than Questions and Answers) OFFICIAL REPORT

2045

HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE Thursday, 11th December 1952

The House met at a Quarter to Eleven of the Clock

[MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS
(See Part I)

11-45 A.M.

Shri Mahtab (Cuttack): Sir, on the 28th November last, when a resolution moved by Sardar Hukam Singh was under discussion, Shri Sarangadhar Das, a member of this House, in his speech made some serious insinuations against me, without mentioning my name, an old Member as he is. I was not present in the House to reply to these insinuations. So I take this opportunity to have my say in the matter, so that the House and the public outside may come to a correct judgment.

I know since an enquiry was started against a Cuttack firm which had obtained some import licences, insinuations of the kind made by the Member here are made by my political opponents against me. Questions here and there are being asked making the same insinuations under the cover of eliciting information from Government. In the circumstances, I think, I should say something to clear up the smear which is sought to be created round about me by my political opponents.

The fact is that it was I who as Minister of Commerce and Industry in the last Cabinet ordered the enquiry into the conduct of the firm in Cuttack against whom some allegation of misconduct had been received. The allegations were enquired into in the Commerce Ministry by the then Secretary Mr. Sukthankar. But in order to make the assurance doubly sure I as Minister wrote to Dr. Katju, the

2046

Home Minister to have the allegation investigated by his agency as well. In the meanwhile the new Cabinet was formed and I ceased to be a Minister. It is funny indeed that my political opponents should try to make it appear as if the investigation which was initiated by me was against myself or I was in any way involved in the affairs of the firm.

I quite agree that all allegations against public men, specially those holding office in Government, should be thoroughly and quickly investigated and the result of the investigation should be published as quickly as possible to satisfy the honest critics, to diserm the political opponents and also to thwart the efforts of blackmailers. As regards the Cuttack investigation I would urge upon Government to publish all the facts relating to the case as quickly as possible, so that all gossips about it may come to an end. I hope then, Sir, that Shri Sarangadhar Das and all those who are insinuating me now will have the spirit of sportsmen to offer an unqualified epology to me, for whatever it is worth.

Shri Sarangadhar Das (Dhenkanal—West Cuttack) rose—

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The practice, as hon. Members will be aware, is that if something is said against another Member here, that Member has got an opportunity to have his say. And we do not sit in judgment over the allegations on one side or the other. Therefore, the chapter ends.

ELECTION TO COMMITTEE PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I have to inform the House that upto the time fixed for receiving nominations for the Public Accounts Committee one nomination was received. As there is only one vacancy in this Committee, I declare Shri Hari Vinayak Pataskar to be duly elected.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The House will now proceed with the further consideration of the following motion moved by Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava on the 30th July, 1952:

"That the Bill further to amend the Indian Penni Code (XLV of 1860), and the Code of Criminal Porcedure (V of 1693), be taken into consideration."

Sardar A. S. Saigal (Bilaspur): Before taking up the Bill I wish to say that some of the hon. Members have also given notice to move private Members' Bills and I will just request you to waive the rules under rule 302 and allow us to move those Bills.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Which one? A Bill which has to be introduced?

Sardar A. S. Saigal: To introduce the Bill standing in my name, namely, the Indian Medical Council (Amendment) Bill.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The order of preference has already been arranged. The hon. Member is too well aware that preference has to be given to those Bills that have already been introduced and with respect to which notices for consideration have been sent. After all these Bills are disposed of, one after the other, the Bills to be introduced will be taken up. Even then the hon. Member's Bill comes only 24th. The order is prescribed there. I cannot go over that and disturb that order.

Sardar A. S. Saigal: My request is, you can do it under rule 302 which says:

"Any member may, with the consent of the Speaker, move that any rule may be suspended in its application to a particular motion before the House and if the motion is carried the rule in question shall be suspended for the time being."

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Has the hon. Member made any request to me to suspend the rule? All of a sudden he starts off. How can he do so? I am not going to allow it.

-Dr. N. B. Khare (Gwalior): That is my request also. I am also in the same position.

(Amendment) Bill Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Hon. Members will realize that that kind of motion is an extraordinary motion. It is very - rarely made. In cases where there are only one or two motions standing for consideration and a number of other motions for introduction are awaiting and there is not sufficient business before the House, those motions are allowed to be made lest are allowed they may have they may have no chance. Here as many as seventeen Bills have been tabled and are in the order paper for consideration. The difficulty is that if these Bills are allowed to be introduced and the rule waived, they will also join the other number and compete with them for priority. Once they are introduced they stand on the same footing as any of the others except the one Bill which is part-heard; all the other Bills have to be thrown into the box and then the priority has to be determined. Is it the desire of the hon. Member that along with the sixteen, the others-ten or so-should also come in and compete for priority? I am not going to allow this.

Procedure

Sardar A. S. Saigal: My request was only to introduce the Bill.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Order, order. The hon. Member has not cared to make a request in the first instance. He started off all of a sudden. And I have considered it deeply It is not that I stand on any technicality. But it interferes seriously. It is not an exceptional case where there is little work before the House. There is engremous work before the House. This will destroy the priority and create a bad precedent.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: (Gurgaon): Last time on the private Members' day this Bill was taken up by this House and dealt with for a short time. Now, with your permission, Sir, I beg to give my reasons why this Bill should be taken into consideration.

This Bill has also got a chequered history as well as the subject matter of this Bill. As regards the subject matter of this Bill I would with the permission of the House take some time to explain to the House the reasons why this Bill is quite necessary.

Sir, as you are aware, so far as our notions in India are concerned, every woman should be married.....

An Hon, Member: We are not able to hear him.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: If there is less of talk in this House, hon. Member's speech will be heard.

2030

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: I was submitting that in India the notions about marriage are that we expect that every girl in India shall be married. We do not believe in the institution of old maids and spinsters. Now, prior to giving my reasons in favour of this Bill, I will only speak of the general notions prevailing in India. This is a novel thing for us which was introduced by the Britishers here that woman as such was considered master of her own person. As a matter of fact this defence of consent was in the public mind never open to any person who had illegal sexual intercourse with a woman The public mind still thinks woman the public mind still thinks that the defence of consent is not good. According to Hindu and Muslim notions, acording to Hindu Shastras and Muslim Shariats, the offence of rape was considered very serious. According to Hindu Law a person could be sentenced to death and according to Muslim Law he could be stoned to death and given 100 stripes. This was death and given 100 stripes. This was the original conception of the offence of rape. In 1828 a Bill was passed according to which if a person had intercourse with a girl of eight, he could be sentenced to death. In 1860, the age was raised to ten. In 1891, the age was raised to 12. There was a very great agitation in the country and the question was whether the right of the husband was absolute but then the Government of the day passed a Bill in 1801. Later on many attempts were made. After some rights of legislation were conferred on the Indians, in 1922 Bakshi Suhanial brought a Bill in this House and he wanted to change the law but he did not succeed. In 1924, Dr. Hari Singh Gour brought in a Bill in which he wanted to raise the age to 14 in respect of marital as well as extra-marital cases but there also be did not succeed though many attempts were made to raise the age to 16 in extra marital cases. The Government of the day was not anxious to pass a Bill of this nature. Now the times have changed. We have a National Government.

Babu Ramnarayan Singh (Hazaripagh West): It is not a National Government.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: I, for one, never thought that I will be disturbed on this point but we have got Babu Ramnārayan Singh in this House and he is angry at me in calling this Government National. Does he mean to say that the previous Government was National?

Babu Ramnarayan Singh: No, no.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: So he will see the difference. He was a party himself in making this Constitution. He stood in the elections according to the Constitution. The Constitution envisages party Government. In all democracies there are party Governments and ours, the Congress Government, is a National Government. There can be no doubt. This would not detract me from my speech.

12 Noon

I submit in those days when the British Government was in power, the Government of the day did not allow such a Bill to pass. When the House in 1925 wanted to make the age 16 in extra-marital cases the Government gave its vote against that measure and Government killed that Bill. In 1927 another Bill was brought before the House by Dr. Hari Singh Gour and it was in the course of that Bill that a Committee was appointed by the Government known as the Age of Consent Committee. That Committee toured the whole of India. It went to various places. It took evidence in Simla, Lahore. Peshawar, Karachi, Delhi, Ahmedabad. Bombay, Poona, Caicutta, Madras. Madura. Vizagapatam. Shilong, Calicut. Patna. Banaras, Allahabad and Nagour. Not only did it visit the towns but it went into the villages to find out opinion. There were members of this Committee out of whom two were lady members and the lady members held conferences, went to the ladies to find out their opinion. This Committee consisted of Sir Moropant Vishwanath Joshi as Chairman and Rai Bahadur Pandit Kanhaiya Lal, retired High Court Judge of Allahabad, Mr. A. Ramaswami Mudaliar who was once the Leader of this House. Khan Bahadur Mahbub Mian Imam Baksh Kadri, Sessions Judge of Junagadh, Dr. Mrs. M. O'Brien Beadon. Mrs. Brij Lal Nehru, Mr. Satvendra Chandra Mitra, who was a Member of this House, myself, Maulvi Muhammad Yakub, former Deputy President, Legisof this lative Assembly, Mian Mohammad Shah Nawaz, Bar-at-Law. These ten members toured the whole of India. About 8000 written statements were received by this Committee. About 400 witnesses were examined. All kinds of opinions, orthodox and unorthodox were considered Religious people were consulted, people were ordinary consulted, advanced people were consulted. Every shade of opinion was consulted and this Committee spent something like Rs. 2.88.00° of Government money and after ten months touring of the whole of India, it produced this Report in the year 1929. I

and Code of Criminal

Procedure (Amendment) Bill

[Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava]

can understand that the previous Government could give a go-by to document but I believe the pr present Government will certainly not be justified in ignoring this Report. The Report of this Committee which was placed before the House was unanimous. All the ten members agreed that this age, extra-marital age for rape should be 18 years but I must say with great pain that so far, the recommendations of this Committee were not implemented by the Government. I would not say any word against the old Government. They did not allow even a single comma of the Sarda Act to be tampered with in this House but so far as our Government is concerned, I had expected that they will bring in a measure themselves so far as this Bill was concerned.

In 1947, as a humble Member this House, I brought in a Bill and that Bill had a chequered history again. I may submit for the consideration of the House that in 1927 also when Dr. Hari Singh Gour brought in a Bill, it was circulated to all the local Governments and the Local Governments submitted their views to the Central Gov-ernment. In 1947, in this House, I moved a motion for the consideration of the Bill and accepted a circulation motion and on the basis of that motion, opinions were received from the whole of the country and I hold those expert opinions in my hands which I shall read to the House later on.

Then again, this Bill which was sent for public opinion was referred to a Select Committee. In the Select Com-mittee also this point was mosted and in the report of the Select Committee I appended a minute of dissent. When the matter came up before the House, a part of the Bill which I presented to the House was passed at that time; but this particular aspect which is now the subject matter of this Bill was not discussed. Because, I submitted to the House at that time that I was not going to move the amendment, but I reserve to myself the right to bring another Bill. These are the very words which I submitted to the House:

"I reserve to myself that I would bring another Bill."

I will give the House the reasons also why I considered this course was right.

As a matter of fact, if the House goes o consider the position in 1948, the position was very grave. We had at that time the Child Marriage Restraint Act in which the age was fixed at 14. We had this law, the Age of Consent Law in which the age consent was 13. According to the report on that Bill we wanted that the age of consent should be raised to at least 15. We also wanted that the age of marriage should be raised to 15. That was the difficulty. When I put in this Bill, I made a mistake, strategic mistake I should say. I should have confined this Bill to increasing the age of girls in intra-marital cases. Tnat would have been much better. I am not an experienced man. I included both the age of marriage as 15 and the extra-marital age as 18. When the Bill came marital age as 18. When the Bill came before the House, Sardar Patel gave his blessings to the other Bill as well as this Bill and he said that these Bills were overdue. I was very happy that with the strength of Sardar Patel behind me, I should be able to get these Bills through. When the matter came up before the Select Committee, to my great dismay, I should say to my great misfortune, a note was put up by the Department in which it was wrongly stated that opinions received were not in favour of this Bill. I am here armed with the opinions as I was then armed with them. That note went up to Sardar Patel and he got the idea that the country was not in favour of that. Sardar Patel was great democrat. His private opinion was different, as he expressed it in the House, when he said that this Bill was overdue. But, when he received this note, he came to the conclusion that the opinions received were against this Bill. I submitted, when the Select Committee was meeting, that this was. not right. But, at that time, this question was not considered. As I was very anxious to see that the age of 15 was accepted in so far as intra-marital law was concerned, I thought that the better part of discretion was to accept three-fourths of a loaf instead of get-ting nothing. Therefore, I accepted ting nothing. Therefore, I accepted the situation. I thought that if Sardar Patel and the Select Committee agreed to raise the age from 13 to 15, it was a very big advance. It was a question of national solidarity. I laid store by the increase of age from 13 to 15 much more than I cared for the increase from 16 to 18. So. I thought I should accept it and I did accept it. But, I vrote even then a dissenting note and the dissenting note was published along with the report of the Select Committee. I have got that dissenting note with me. It is a short one and with the permission of the House, I

and Code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Bill

will just read it. I submitted like this:

"I regret my inability to agree that the extra-marital age of consent should not be eighteen as proposed in the Bill.

In my humble opinion, a girl of sixteen is not mentally mature to comprehend the full significance and consequences of her consent. She cannot runy realise the social degradation which may be her lot in life if sne conceives and gives birth to an illegitimate child. The present day girl has much more freedom and she needs as a consequence much more protection.

The law presumes that before eighteen sae is not fully mature even to dispose of her property. How can she then be regarded fully mature to dispese of her person. In regard to sections 361 of the IPC and 562 of Cr.P.C. the Committee has been pleased to accept the increase in age to eighteen. Under sections 366A, 366B, 372 and 373 IPC, the present age is eighteen years. There is no reason why the age under section 376 should not be on parity with these sections.

The Age of Consent Committee were unanimously of the view that the extra marital age of consent should be eighteen as it is in most of the countries of the world and this age was supported by a large majority of witnesses who appeared before the Committee.

I strongly feel that the law should give full and ample protec-tion to young girls even against themselves before they are fully developed mentally to give consent.

When the matter came before the House, we passed three Bills of a revolutionary character on 4th April 1949 in about an hour or so. At that time, nobody raised any objection. The previous Bill was the one relating to the increase of age of marriage to 14.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: This makes the husband also punishable, between the ages 16 and 18.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: No. Mr. Deputy-Speaker: See the wording here.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: No. If that were so, I would not stand before you to advocate that this Bill be accepted. It is not so. So far as intra-marital relations are concerned, the age of 15 was accepted by the previous Bill. That is exactly where you. Sir, touch a delicate point. I agreed not to press my amendment because I

was getting in a space of five minutes the entire benefit of the increase of age in intra-marital cases. Therefore, I accepted that I would not move that amendment at that stage. Sardar Patel also was very pleased when he spoke pointing out that at that stage we were not going to accept the amendment of the age from 16 to 18. never thought that for all time, that even after three years, when the situation has changed, this matter cannot be taken up. I am here to convince the House-that so far as public opinion is concerned, it is entirely in my favour. I may submit that so far as intra-marital age is concerned, this Bill has nothing to do with the rights of the husband or the rights of the wife. It is only in respect of extra-marital cases that we are considering now. I know how strongly you yourself, Sir, were pleased to advocate this case and it was because of you that we, as a matter of fact, succeeded in increasing the age in intra-marital cases.
When I brought before the House the Child Marriage Restraint (Amendment) Bill and submitted that the age should be increased from 14 to 15, you your-self were pleased to point out that unless this was done, the change in the law will not be very useful. I took the cuc from you as I submitted at that time in my speech and thereupon that Bill was passed. So far as extra marital age is concerned the consider ations are different. Ninty-nine cent of the population of India is in favour of this Bill. Because in India, even in regard to older ladies, more than 18 years old, so far as consent is concerned, they do not regard the consent of a woman as good in cases of rape. Social obloquy social degradation, outcasting caste, etc., are the weapons which public opinion uses against girls who fall from the high ideals which we have set up. As a matter of fact, as I was pointing out. this Bill only relates to extra-marital cases and only to the ages between 16 and 18. If that girl is above 18 years of age this Bill does not touch that case.

I know that Hindu and Muslim opinion in this country would regard, even now, with horror our giving sanction or acquiescence to a state of things in which a woman may have intercourse with a stranger, but at the same time, I do not go to that extent, and we cannot go to that extent. My opinion is that if we restrict the scope of this Bill to the age of 16 to 18. we will be doing the right thing. Therefore, I have submitted that this Bill in its scope is restricted to extra-marital

[Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava] cases of girls between the ages of 16 and 18; nothing more, nothing less.

I was explaining why I did not press my amendment in 1949, and I think that when the Members of this House go through the proceedings of 4th April, 1949, they will themselves rea-lise that I did it rightly. In the course of an hour, three Bills were passed of which one increased the age of marriage of girls from 14 to 15 and another increased the age of consent in intramarital cases from 13 to 15, and the age of consent in extra-marital cases from 14 to 16. Under those circumstances, I humbly submit for the con-sideration of our hon. Home Minister and the House, that they should judge conduct rather sympathetically. And if I accepted then three-fourths of a loaf, was I not justified? In five minutes time you were agreeable and you supported me, and we passed another revolutionary measure. I call it revolutionary because in Hindu society the state of things which we contemplated in that Bill could not have been mollified and changed to the extent it was mollified and changed by the passage of the Bill. You remember, Sir, that within five minutes we passed a law whereby marriages between Hindus of all classes-Brahmins, Sudhras, Jains and Sikhs-were validated by one stroke of the pen. It is frue the time was ripe, but at the same time, within the span of an hour or so, this House passed these three Bills, and I took advantage of that. I did not raise my dissenting voice then. fact, in the Hindu Marriages validating Bill also there was another amendment which I did not move, and I did not raise this question also. But it does not preclude me from doing the same thing now, three years later.

I can understand orthodox opinion. As a member of the Age of Consent Committee, I toured the country and I had occasion to see the strength of opinion in regard to the Sarda Bill etc., but at the same time, so far as the extra-marital cases were concerned, there was very little opposition; in fact, no opposition at all, I can say. The people did not realise the full effect of it because many people did not understand what the Age of Consent Bill was. In this country age of consent is not known. It is, I should say, an idea taken from the foreigners. What is the age? There is no question of consent. Nobody wants that any woman may remain unmarried, may have any sexual connection with a stranger. That is the idea.

When I was coming back from Calcutta to Delhi, it so happened that Vallabhbhai Patel of sacred memory was also travelling in the same train, and when I told him that I was a member of the Committee and that I was coming oack from Calcutta after doing work, he said: "What a nonsensical Committee!" I said: "Perfectly true". I myself did not know, when I became a member of that Committee what that Committee intended to do. But, now times are changed. Now, on account of increase in education, on account of the freedom movement, on account of many other circumstances, a girl is exposed to many more temptations than before. It is high time that we did our duty to protect such girls.

I was submitting about public opinion in the country and the report of the Age of Consent Committee. A special chapter was devoted to considering this question. And this was one of the terms of reference. The terms of reference were:

"(1) to examine the state of the law relating to the age of consent as contained in sections 375 and 376 of the Indian Penal Code, especially with regard to its suitability to conditions in India.

(2) to enquire into the effect of the amendments made by the Indian Penal Code (Amendment) Act, 1925, and to report whether any further amendment of the law is necessary, and if so, what changes are necessary as regards offences (a) without and (b) within the marital state."

It is not as if we went out of our way and enquired into these questions. These were the spcific terms of reference and we were asked to find out what was the suitable age so far as extra-marital cases were concerned and all other matters connected therewith. And with respect to this, we examined 400 witnesses orally and called for a very great number of written statements. It so happened, and it is not curious, that when witnesses, who had given their written statements about increasing the extramarital age to 16, came before the Committee and we cross-examined them as to the nature of the circumstances which justified the increase, many of them changed their opinion and said that the age should be at least 18, because, as a matter of fact, human nature is such. When I say that it should be raised from 14 to 18, people say, "you jump to 18". I could not understand what is jumping in this matter. It is quite true in this matter also.

2058

So far as the previous Government was concerned, any person would have been very cautious in bringing a Bill of this nature. Now we have got at the helm of affairs a National Government, and our Ministers have taken a pledge to see that the objectives with which we framed our Constitution are given effect to. We want that social justice should be done, that our girls and young boys, all of them, should rise to their full stature and be able to hold their own and therefore, the considerations which actuated the previous Government are quite different from those which actuate this Government. Sardar Patel himself was in favour of this Bill, but the opinions received were reported wrongly to be against it, and so he did not agree at that time to give me in full what I wanted of this Bill. Now I appeal to the Home Minister kindly to judge the matter on merits, and nothing else, because I value his opinion very much. If he is not satisfied, I myself will think twice, but at the same time, I beg of him most humbly not to be guided by the question of what happened in 1949 and 1947. Now, it is not a foreign Government which will be rather afraid of bringing in a measure which will go against the religion of any person, though no religious question is involved here. When the previous Government was hesitating when the age was increased to 14, the next Government accepted 15 as right, and passed it into law in a few minutes. At that time, I did not think It discreet to press my amendment to raise it to 18, now I do move it and very humbly come before the House kindly to consider the question in all its aspects.

The question is, how do I justify this change. After all, it is quite true that at the age of 16, a girl, so far as the physical aspect is concerned, cannot be said to be immature for cohabita-tion. So far as full capacity and full maturity is concerned, the doctors are of the view that 18 is the proper age, but at the same time, at 16 also the age is not immature in the sense that we expect any specific physical injury. I would have liked, and I think every Member in this House would have liked, that if we could increase the age of marriage to 16-or, some go further-and say to 18-it would have been all right, but I know what the difficulties are, and what the difficulties were even in increasing it to 14, and I again owe it to you—I am not given to flattery—that the Child Marriage Restraint (Amendment) Act was passed in this House by increasing the age to 15. I remember that our Mr. Gadgii who

represented Government at that time, insisted on the full pound of 14; he would not budge an inch, and I went to the length of saying that I was going to withdraw the Bill and would go to the public and say that the Government of the day was not progres-And another sive enough. leader will kindly excuse me when I refer to him. Could I ever expect that no less a person than Dr. Deshmukh our Minister of Agriculture, could have even come forward to uphold the age of 14 and say that he was not happy with the passage of that Bill. Not that I am complaining against him. In the other measure he supported too well, but at the same time, the circumstances of the country were such that opinions were bound to differ. With this background to increasing the age from 14 to 15, when I was withdrawing Bill, you came to my assistance put it to Mr. Gadgil and said: you agreeable to 15 at least?" So far as the age of the boy is concerned, It could not be increased from 18 to 19. 20 or 21, but so far as the age of the girl is concerned, you extorted almost this thing from Shri Gadgil and made it 15. When I was so circumstanced that even in increasing the age from 14 to 15 I was driven to the extent of withdrawing the Bill and just asking the House to drop this measure, you then came to my aid. If you will kindly go through the proceedings you will find that it was under these circumstances that the age of 15 was accepted. With this background, was I foolish enough to endanger this my second Bill by insisting on the age of 18 instead of 16? I am submitting all these for the consideration of the hon. Home Minister. Why I agreed at that time not to move any amendment because I was so circumstanced that I could not move it. Now I am in a freer atmosphere. What I wanted as the main plank has been accepted by the House then, and the age of consent was increased. I was very happy over that, and I regard that amendment as one which I should think, is a nation-building law, that we have passed. And I am very happy that I was connected with it.

Now the problem is this. According to this report and according to what we believe, the position is this. At the age of 16, it may be said that the girl will not get physical injury in ordinary cases if the offence of rape is committed on her, but so far as the intellect is concerned. I think we are not right in concluding that the girl of 16 is fully able to comprehend the

[Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava]

full consequences of that ignoble act. I say it is ignoble because as a matter of fact when the interlect is not they developed, it is an outrage upon ner. The girl may be consenting to undergo injuries, but no parson can innect any injury upon her even it sae consents, except to the extent provided in section 87 of the Indian renal Code. My submission is that it is a case of un-developed intellect, and not one of undevelopea boay. Opin.ons may differ. And in fact we have received opinions to the enect that 16 is the proper age of consent because at 16, the girl is fully able to comprehend the consequences of such an act. I do not quarrel with such persons, because as a matter of fact the opinions are bound to differ in a matter of this nature. But what I submit is that in the opinion of crores of my country-men, this consent at the age of 16 is meaningless. And in the opinion of those people who gave evidence before us, the consent at the age of 16, was meaningless, because at that age, the girl is not fully competent to understand the consequences of such an act. Now in our society, if a girl has to undergo that kind of torture of being raped by any person, even if she is quite innocent and never gave her consent, the society is so unreasonable that it refuses to accept the girl. Now, what happend in 1947 at the time of partition? There were many girls who were kept in Pakistan, and on whom these offences were committed. Even then our leaders had to go to the society and tell them that these girls were innocent, and so they should be rightly treated. And I am glad that it was in Punjab that we accepted these girls who came back, and made them members of our family. But in the society at large, if a girl is raped, even for no fault of hers, the society does not accept her., Such is the state of things in the society. It may be wrong, it may be the severest form of tyranny over that girl, but still if a girl is treated in that way, she is not accepted back. Nobody is willing to marry a girl on whom this crime has been com-The House has to consider the mitted. case of such a girl. It is all right to say that for the offence committed against any such girl who has been raped, the offender is guilty. There is no doubt about that, but at the same time, I · would like to know how many persons there are in this country who will gladly accept the hand of a girl, who, it is proved, consented to sexual intercourse with a stranger. I think this is
a case of social degradation that in . the society she will be treated as an

outcast, she will not be married by anybody, and the little finger of every person snail be raised against her, if only it is known that she has consented to this act with a stranger. Therefore, when the society is so tyrannically disposed in the case of innocent girls, and so justly disposed in the case of consenting girls—it regards this, offence as one of the highest gravity that even under section 497 of the Indian Fenal Code, the girl is not punished, because the society punishes her, and the society's punishment is much more severe, much more arastic than any punishment that any court of law could give. My submission is that I claim—I may be wrong—that in my view a girl of 16 is not fully competent to comprehend fully the consequences of her consent to such an act by stranger. If she marries the man and the man also agrees to marry her, it is all right, and I would not raise any objections. But if the marriage does not take place, then the girl loses all that is dear to a woman and all that is dear to her relatives and she will be exposed to such consequences that we can only dream of and cannot fully comprehend. This is the basis on which I have come before this House with this Bill. A girl of 16 cannot dispose of even the smallest amount of land or property, she can-not enter into any legal contract, she cannot do anything, and she is an infant in the eye of the law.

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy (Salem): Nor can a boy of the age of 16.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: I have not been able to hear what the interrupter has said, I am, therefore, not in a position to reply to it.

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: Nor can a man do so. I said that nor can a man at that age dispose of property.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Essentially the offence is against the woman and not against the man. Leave alone the question of disposal of property.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: When did a man ever have the right to dispose of property at that age? But if he commits an offence of this nature, do you not want to give protection to the girl? I cannot understand the mentality of those who want to find some criticism and fix the blame on the girl also. I do submit that it is not possible for a man of that age also to enter into a legal contract. But the point at issue is whether she is fully competent to understand the consequences of the act. If she cannot dispose of property, what is the

952

reason? She is an infant in the eye of the law and cannot understand the full consequences. May I just enquire of my hon. friend why it is that in the Civil Marriages Act, it is said that the age of a girl should be 21, if she is not to require the consent of her parents to the marriage. It is not even 18, but 21 that has been laid down. Therefore, my submission is that so far as the question of marriage is concerned, below that age, the girl, under ordinary law, in India, is given away to her husband by her parents. That is why in ordinary marriages, we find that there is the ceremony of 'giving' girl at the time of marriage. namely Kanyadan. One of the reasons for that is this. At the age of 16, she is not fully mature enough to understand what the meaning of her consent would be. It is not the age at which the consequences of the act could be fully thought out and designed. liberated upon. At the age of 16, she is unable fully to realise what it would mean to her if an illegitimate offspring is the result of that union. What is the fate of illegitimate children born as a result of such an act? For all their lives, they are branded with a stigma and wherever they go, society calls them Haraam ka Bacha. So the consequences are so great that a girl of 16 cannot realise them. So this is one of the reasons which I would like to submit in support of my Bill.

Indian Penal Code

In 1927-29, the Age of Consent Committee Report was published. Now so many years have passed, co-education has spread in every college, you find young girls and young boys reading together; in every factory you find many young girls working; if you go to any office, you will find a fair number of ladies working there. Now I find there is much greater freedom in the country for girls than it used to be a few years ago. It can be said that girls also, as a matter of fact, have become more competent. But how many girls are there of this description? After all, in the country at large, the freedom movement and the things that are happening in India have influenced the growth of girls simultaneously with the growth of ideas. Everybody thinks that after 18, a person becomes a major.

There is another aspect of the question and that is one of the most important aspects. The idealism of a country is its best and most valuable capital. We have inherited that idealism from Sita and Savitri. We have inherited that idealism from our culture and from the thousands of years of the nation's striving after the goal of the best principles of conduct.

In India and in tols country alone, I should say, such ideals have been there as do not find favour in any other country. It my attention is called to this question, "in now many countries is this age of consent 18?", I would subsequency reply to it. but I submit, so far as the circumstances of other countries are concerned, they are entirely different from our country. In no other country is chastity put on such a nigh peacstal as in our country. In no other country is social degrada-tion looked down to such an extent if a girl goes wrong-as in our country. Therefore, my humble submission is that here in this country we have been having certain high ideals. Now, we want to have those ideals, if we want to strengthen those ideals, then we have to see that so far as a girl is concerned, before the age of 18, her person is sacred except when she is married and given over to the husband. We have to instal this idea in the public mind, that an unmarried girl of less than 18 cannot be looked upon with amorous eyes, and by providing this by law, we will be doing the right thing. A girl comes from England to India, six thousand miles away, and has got full liberty to roam about alone. But can our girls do the same thing in India? Our girls are not so brave that they will go to other countries and behave in this manner.

Procedure (Amendment) Bill

The Minister of Agriculture (Dr. P. S. Deshmukh): They are going now.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: They are going now. I am glad my friend says they are going now. I only wish that whatever he thinks right may be increased 100 per cent. so that all girls may get that strength and that bravery so that they may be able to hold their own in this life.

Therefore, my humble submission is that so far as this psychological aspect is concerned, if we instal this in the public mind and carry out this amendment and make 18 as the age lower than which if a person wants to look upon a woman he must think that he is doing a wrong thing, then it means that every girl will get that strength to a certain extent. We cannot divorce this psychological aspect from the other aspects of this question, if we really want that we may have high ideals and high respect for our womanhood.

This is not all that I want to submit. If you kindly look at the parity of laws which deal with this aspect, you will be pleased to see that in this chapter which relates to offends against the human body we have got some sections which deal with the

Procedure (Amendment) Bill

THE OWNER DOWN A TANK [Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava]

\$45 HE STONE OF THE PARTY OF TH

relations of man with woman. section 361—kidnapping—previously the age was 16, but by my Bill of 1949 this age was raised to 18. Now, kindly see how this question becomes very important. When the age of a girl is, for the purposes of section 361, 18, how can it be less than 18 in extramarital cases for the purposes of section 375? Now, section 361 runs thus:

"Whoever takes or entices any minor under sixteen years of age or under eighteen years of age, if a female, or any person of unsound mind, out of the keeping of the lawful guardian of such minor or person of unsound mind, without the consent of such guardian, is said to kidnap such minor or person from lawful guardianship"

Then other sections proceed—362, 364 and 366—in which the age of a girl for purposes of this definition has been made 18, with the result that in cases of kidnapping, under sections 366, 366A, 372, 373 as well as under the sections 368 etc., it appears that the age is 18. Now, after all, kidnap-ging is perhaps not a great offence, not a greater offence than an offence under section 375. If a girl is kidnap-sed for an illicit purpose and that purpose is accomplished then the peron who accomplishes it is not guilty the pleads and proves consent. Otherwise, under section 361 if the girl was arreable to elope and gave her content, even then the offence of kidnap-ping is committed. My humble sub-mission is, if you kindly see the whole scheme of the Act from section 359 on-wards up to the end, it will be clear that the protection was afforded to those girls on account of their immature age. Previous to that the age was different. Now in all the other sections, the age has been changed. Even in regard to section 552 of the Criminal Procedure Code, this age has been changed. It reads thus:

"Upon complaint made Presidency Magistrate or District Magistrate on oath of the abduction or unlawful detention of a woman, or of a female child under the age of eighteen years, for any unlawful purpose, he may make an order for the immediate restoration of such woman to her liberty, or of such female, child to her husband, parent, guardian or other person having the lawful charge of such child, and may compel compliance with such order, using such force as may be necessary".

So that in relation to all these matters the age is now 18, and not the previous age of 16.

I remember having read a case reported in Punjab No. 17, I think, in which a girl of 17 invited a stranger to her house. In the whole of India when a person goes to the house of a girl who invites him, then if her age is more than 16, what happens? The girl is there, the stranger comes, the guardians are helpless. If they prosecute the case, what happens? The man says: 'I went there at the invitation of the girl and committed no offence'. They cannot sustain the case and they have to fabricate—that this man came for stealing or for some other purpose. The real thing is not disclosed because it will involve disgrace etc. In that case the man was acquitted. So far as section 448 was concerned, the offence was not committed; he had not come with the purpose of producing uneasiness in a person's mind or disturbing his peace. In cases of such a nature, where the girls are immature, between the ages of 16 and 18—there are lakhs of widows in this country on account of the system of child marriage-if a widow below 18 were to call a person to her house and if he is found in the house, he will not be guilty. So, the position today is that if a person kidnaps a girl, takes her to his house or sells or buys a girl, then that person is guilty under sections 366, 366A, 372, 373, 361 etc., but if he just achieves the object or the purpose for which he takes away the girl—sexual intercourse with her then he is not guilty. My own submis-sion is that this is not the right position of law; all the sections should brought into line. Therefore, it absolutely necessary that we bring all these sections in parity. The original intention of the framers of the Indian Penal Code should be given effect to and we should see that all these sections are on a par and we give to all our daughters the protection the law should give them. The law should give them protection against themselves, against their immature judgment so that they may not ruin themselves.

I submitted for your consideration that so far as the question of public opinion is concerned, every Member knows—and I need not expatiate any further on this point—that in the country it is not a religious question at all and the whole country wants that all kinds of every marital consecution. that all kinds of extra-marital connections may be tabooed. With your permission, Sir, I will read some of the opinions and submit for your consideration that the opinions received on my Bill, so far as the extramarital ago is concerned, are certainly in favour of the Bill. I will not trouble the Houle reading long extracts or small extracts or even cursorily some of them. From the opinions received, I have made out a statement myself and I will read out the number of people who supported it from the different provinces.

the United Provinces far as goes, there were 26 opinions given. Out of these opinions—I have called them—19 are in favour of my Bill, inculding the Government of the United Provinces, including most of the Judges of the High Court and other very influential people. With your permission, Sir, I will just read out some names. The Government is in favour; the Chief Justice, Justice R. Dayal, Justice Sapru, Justice Bind Bansi Prasad, the District Judges of Agra, Aligarh, Azamgarh, Banaras, Bareilly, Meerut, Shahjahanpur, Naini Tal, Chandramoni, the District Judge of Sitapur, the District Judge of Hardoi, the Advocate-General of U.P. and the Government Advocate of the and the Government Advocate of the High Court of Allahabad and Oudh also, Mr. S. Prasad, Mr. Asthana, Mr. Hyder Husain, Mr. Darbari Lal and others. There were only six against and they were Mr. Hon, District Judge of Fyzabad, the District Judge of Lucknow and the District Judge of Allahabad. So for as this aspect is conlahabd. So far as this aspect is concerned, if the United Provinces is regarded as an index of the opinion of the whole country, I would submit, in 1927 also the United Provinces supportted the Bill of Dr. Gour.

The Minister of Home Affairs and States (Dr. Katju): Am I right in thinking that only the U.P. Government and the Judges were consulted and nobody else?

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: I have read out the names of the District Judges of at least ten places, members of the Bar...

Dr. Katju: You just read out the name of the Advocate-General.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: I read the other names also, the names of District Judges. I have read out the names of those who are in favour and also of those who are against.

Dr. Katju: They are Judges and Advocates; they are qualified people.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: If they are qualified people, then I am all the more on sure ground.

I am quoting here the opinions of Samajes, of bodies, of Prarthna Samaj. of the Arya Smaj, Vaidik Samaj and even Sanatan Dharma and I will show what the opinions received are. If the other opinions are not received, it is the hon. Home Minister that is responsible for that, for not calling and receiving them. The Bill was circulated by the Government to the various people and if they do not call for their opinions I am not responsible. I claim that so far as the majority of my countrymen are concerned, they are all in favour and that there is no question. They were and the Sarda Bill, they were heing inreligious question, against the Sarda against the age of marriage being increased. So far as this is concerned, I do not think any enlightened person will raise any objection. Who wants that his daughter may be abducted, who wants that his homely peace may be disturbed by an outsider; whoever wants this kind of liberty for a girl of less than 18 to go about wherever she likes? Nobody wants it, up to the age of 18.

Now, with your permission, Sir, I will also submit the position in regard to the other provinces. I will not like to read out many names. It is enough for my purpose that the majority of the people are with me. In Coorg, the Government favour me; that is the only opinion received. In the Central Provinces, five opinions were received, three were in favour, one is of no use and one is against. In Andamans, only one was received and it is against. In Ajmer-Merwara, five are in favour, and nobody against. In West Bengal seven were in favour and two were against; in Delhi five were in favour and two were against; in East Bengal, eight were in favour and four against, in Bihar two were against and none in favour. In Assam, two opinions were in favour and none against; in Bombay, fourteen opinions were in my favour and two were against and one was neutral. About the United Provinces, I have already submitted. So, it appears that out of the opinions received, about 80 were in favour, 29 were against and 9 were neutral. That is the sum total of the opinions received in regard to this Bill. But, if you kindly go through the opinions received, one will be struck by the reasoning and the unaniomus feeling of many respectable men in this country who look at this question from a different angle from those who look at this question in a rather narrow way.

[Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava]

I do not claim that this Bill is liked by all people. There are some people who do not like this Bill and I divide them into three categories. First, who by their experience in life have got the view that i6 is the proper age at which the girl can fully comprehend the consequences of her act. So far as these are concerned. I have nothing to say. It is a matter of opinion and I do not claim that I am better able to give an opinion as compared to them. This is not my claim. They are entitled to their opinions and so far as they are concerned, their position means something to me also. I cannot brush them aside as some of those opinions are of people whom I respect. Others who are against it are not against it because of this. They have got two kinds of opinions. Some people think that the prostitute class will be affected by this Bill; Devadasis and prostitutes may be affected by this Bill, in their living. This aspect was also considered by the Age of Consent Committee. They devoted some paras to it. I do not want to take up the time of the House in going into chimeras but, at the same time, I would submit that in our country when a girl is driven to that profession on account of circumstances over which she has no control. we are not doing the right thing in not helping her to earn an honest living. If the age is raised to 18. there will be many rebellious girls who would not like this profession.

They will never go to this profession. if this age is increased. Then it will be to their benefit and it is not wrong to sav that these immoral practices are encouraged in this keeping the age at 16. I sixteen is entirely wrong. country by This age of sixteen is entirely wrong. I remember what Mahatmaji said when he started his campaign. He said, that so far as the fallen sisters are concerned, we owe a great duty to them and now if we can by legislation of this kind give them an opportunity, give them protection. I would submit we will be doing the right thing.

There is a third class also. In this third class there are some people whom I call Ashia mizaj. These people consider that so far as girls between 16 and 18 are concerned, no sort of obstacle should be put on their liberty. Their conception of girlhood is suite different from the conception which we have in the country.

So, so far as the first class is concerned. I have just read out its op-position so far as this Bill is concerned and so far as its position before

our Committee is concerned also, I have read out to you. I have placed pefore you all the grounds on which this measure is being opposed. Now this House has to consider whether the reasons advanced by 'nese three classes are good ones and whether in the interests of the majority of the people we should ignore their opinions. I claim that so far as the very big majority of the people is concerned, they only think that the interests of the girl should be looked after and nothing elso. Now, as I submitted, what is happening in this country? If yell are going to allow this co-educa-tion and everything else, are we not drifting towards what was happening in Russia a few years ago? One of the witnesses that appeared before the Committee gave the evidence that in Russia, students—male and female were allotted a single room where they were living in colleges. (Shri Nambiar: Question.) It may be wrong. I wish it was wrong. But I was very sorry when this evidence was given before us. I am very glad that my hon, friend who knows Russia better has contradicted me. But assuming for the sake of argument that this was the condition. I want to ask you: do you want that our country should go down to that state? (Babu Ramnarayan Singh: No. no.) My humble opinion is that if we want our girls to rise to their full stature, if we want that our girls must be robust, must be strong must be able to produce good children and lead happy married lives, then it is absolutely necessary that we should place before our eyes this psychological aspect that their body should be held sacred and sacrosanct until they attain a particular age.

This Bill is not only intended to deal out punishment to offenders, because I know that in these cases it is very difficult to bring home the charge to the offenders. Do I not know the figures of rape cases in the whole country? In this Age of Consent Committee Report the figures up to 1927 were reviewed. Yesterday, I made an attempt to find out from our Library whether I could get good figures in regard to the matters which are coveraged by this Bill but which are covered by this Bill, but unfortunately I could not get those figures. But so far as Punjab is concerned. I know that during the last year the rape cases were 191 out of which 120 were brought to book. My humble submission is—and I say it with very great pain and very great shame—that in our country during recent years, and especially after this partition, all

those relations which we hold sacred have become rather loose. When I went to Bengal, I saw scores of homes for girls. Owing to the partition, all those girls had lost the protection of their olders and they had to be kept in these homes. Similarly, due to the exodus from West Pakistan, we find that in Delhi and other places there is a kind of moral degradation which we have never seen before. I am very much ashamed of it and I want that just as we have a Five Year Plan for our economic advancement, similarly we should have another Plan in which provision will be made for us to revert back to our old ideas of chastity, to our ideas of domestic felicity, marriage and fidelity. We should evolve such a character in our young men and women as to make India a pattern for all the countries of the world as it used to be. I want that pattern to be restored, and it is with a view to achieving that ideal that I am submitting this Bill for the consideration of the House.

1 P.M.

It is now one o'clock. Sir, and if you will permit me I shall continuo after lunch.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Will be take very long?

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava; No. Sir. Only a very short time.

Shri Nambiar (Mayuram): May I get a clarification from the hon. Member? By the old idea of chastity, does he mean that women should not be allowed to divorce? Does he mean that or something else?

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: Certainly not.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Divorce is irrelevant.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: If the hon, Member had followed what my position was with regard to the Hindu Code Bill, he would have seen that I am in favour of divorce.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: As often as he wants.

The House then adjourned for Lunch till Half Past Two of the Clock.

The House re-assembled after Lunch at Half Past Two of the Clock.

[MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: Sir, there are a number of Bills waiting to be introduced. I suggest that all the Bills

(Amendment) Bill be introduced and then they be taken one after another.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: That matter was brought to my notice in the morning—it is no new suggestion.

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: I am sorry I was not here.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I said that it is contrary to the rules and a suggestion was made that I should suspend the rules. That is done in extraordinary cases. First of all if that rule is to be walved, so as not to stand in the way of the other motions which are already on the other motions which are already on the other motions as to be taken in writing. The reason is this laken in writing. The reason is this laken in writing. The reason is this laken in writing the reason is this laken in writing. The reason is this laken in writing the reason is this laken in writing. So, without the consent of the immembers affected, the rule can never be waived.

Further, if the business before the House consists only of one or two motions for consideration, and a number of other Bills are pending, then leave may be granted for their introductions because there is a chance of the other business collapsing. There are already sixteen motions for consideration on the Order Paper. What is the good of adding to that number?

For these reasons, I do not propose to apply my extraordinary discretion of waiving the rule and allowing these motions to be made at this stage. Let us consider it next time.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: have dealt with one aspect of my Bill, that is the clause which seeks to substitute the figure 18 for the figure 16. There is another clause where the punishment is provided and an amendment of the Criminal Procedure Code is sought. In regard to this I know that this question must be exercising the minds of many hon. Members and this is an aspect which we cannot ignore, so far as this Bill is concerned. It was advanced as an argument before the Age of Consent Committee that if such a provision is passed into law, there will be some difficulties ex-perienced in regard to some youngmen who may be entrapped by young ladies. As I submitted before in the case of prostitutes also there will be some difficulty. I do not want to go into this difficulty. I would only just read out some lines from the report of the Age of Consent Committee where this point has been discussed. I would also like to give the background why in clause 4 I have made the offence compoundable.

and Code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Bill

[Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava]
At paragraph 405—page 188—of the
Report, the Committee observe:

"It has been brought to our notice that, in communities where courtship before marriage is permitted, it may cause hardship if the age is fixed so high as 18. In particular in the Assam valley, there is evidence of a practice of young Assamese living for a few days in the jungles as husband and wife and on their return to their villages getting married with the approval of their parents. It is not our intention, however, that these cases should be dealt with under the proposed law."

Now it is well known that among Anglo-Indians and some Assamese people there are such practices—after courtship the couple come and want to get married. Nobody wants that any obstacles should be placed in their way, provided they do not contravene the provisions of the Child Marriage Restraint Act. With a view to provide for that. I thought it would be better if the remedy were placed in the hands of those who have broken the law to come and compound the case, if a case of that nature was brought.

In regard to cases where the objection is that the young man may be entrapped, the Age of Consent Committee observed—page 190, para, 408:

"A more serious objection has been advanced that boys of tender age. i.e., below 18 years are not protected against the blandishments of girls over 16 and that such boys, while at the mercy of designing girls, will be penalised for what they are not wholly or even largely responsible. In considering this objection, we may Ignore the girls who are unchaste and who belong to the professional class of prostitutes, as their case will be considered separately. Among the ordinary classes who lead a normally chaste life, it is, we feel, an exaggeration to suggest that girls will often be tempters of young boys of immature age. Such a hypothesis is wholly against experience and the danger, if it be exists. must held of Ъe such small а degree that it cannot prevail in the consideration of the question. Moreover we have sufficient faith in the discretion of the trying judge and are confident that in such cases the boy would not be given a disproportionate punish-ment." So, this point has been sufficiently answered by the extract I have read out. I can very well understand the anxiety of people to save youngmen from the clutches of law. But I have yet to come across a criminal law which is absolutely flawless, in which hundred per cent. success can be expected. What about the Penal Code of this country? Is it not a fact that in hundreds of cases the law does not succeed and it is evaded? That does not mean that we should not have such law. It may be that the law may not work wholly satisfactorily, but what we have to see is whether it will protect young girls in a majority of cases, in large numbers.

I think these few lines from the Age. of Consent Committee—paragraph 412 —will bear repetition from me:

"We do not ignore the fact that the law may not work satisfactorily, particularly at the initial stages, with reference to prostitutes. There will be many cases of violation of the law which will not come to light. There may be a few cases where harassing prosecutions may be instituted. But even so, the salutary effects of the law are bound to be great and decisive. The distinction of chaste and unchaste girls has been made in some western countries, a lower age being fixed for the latter. It is a distinction, however, which we do not feel called upon to recommend in the circumstances of this country."

My humble submission is that we have to consider this law from the broad standpoints and not from rare cases which may create hardship. In clause 2 of this Bill I have tried to add the following to the section:

"Or unless the woman is between sixteen and eighteen years of age and is a consenting party to the sexual inter-course in which case he shall be punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to two years or with fine or with both".

I have seen many sections in the Indian Penal Code in which the maximum punishment is provided as well as more fine or warning is provided. We must have faith in our judges and they will look to the circumstances of the case and apportion punishment according to the gravity of the offence. I remember that while we were in the Age of Consent Committee and a pro-

and Code of Criminal 2074 Procedure
(Amendment) Bill

posal like this was made, namely that the husband may be imprisoned, my sister Shrimati Rameshwari Nehru put in a note and she thought that it atrocious and very drastic provide such imprisonment to husband. I know the women have got very lenient hearts and I know that Members in this some of the lady House also will not like the provision that a person should be sentenced for two years if he behaves in this manner. May I humbly submit that it is not necessary that in every case there should be a two years' imprisonment? On the contrary it depends upon the circumstances of the case. In some cases fine or warning or imprisonment for a day would be enough. It depends on the circumstances. But at the same time I would like to know of a penal provision in which no punishment is provided. How can we make a penal law in which there is no provision of punishment? After all the range of discretion is large and two years' imprisonment will not be awarded in all cases. Therefore, this punishment is not very great.

On the contrary I must submit that I do not visualize that very many cases will come before the court. As a matter of fact, from the nature of the case, the offence will be committed in secret and there will be nobody to bring the offenders to court. The girl, if she has given her consent, will not bring it; the offender will not bring The greater usefulness of this Act will consist in giving us an ideal and at the same time putting fear in the minds of those who want to behave in this ignoble way. What happened with the Sarda Act? We know that many cases were not brought before the courts. And in fact even now marriages are being celebrated in violation of the Act. But at the same time who can deny that it has had very good influence on the people and that the rise in the marriageable age is in a measure due, among other causes, to the existence of the Sarda Act?

Similarly, it is not the business of this law to go after every infraction in every case. It is not possible in the very nature of things. I do not think there will be many hard cases in which persons will be sent to two years' imprisonment. I do not think so. This is the maximum provided. It is not in every case that this punishment is given. We have not to look only at the severe consequences, provided in the Act; we have to look at the whole thing in a broad way.

I know that there are some people who are against this law. But I have also not known of any penal law of a social nature on which every person is agreed. There are some who think that the consequences in some cases may be hard. I am not here to say that there will be no case in which there may be no hardship to anybody. But in all things it is so. When we were going to enact the Sarda Act I remember people were ruthlessly against it and they just conjured to themselves a state of things in which the heavens were going to fall if the Act was passed. I remember those days also. remember in respect of myself that certain orthodox people took my arthi out in a town in Bhiwani because I was in favour of the Sarda Act. This sort of thing is bound to be there. On that account we cannot be chicken-hearted and refrain from effecting these reforms, even if there are some people who are opposed to them.

Considering the entire circumstances in the country, considering the trend of the prosent civilisation, and considering the moral degradation that is coming upon us almost in so hasty and shocking a fashion, I think every possible effort should be made to see that our morals do not deteriorate.

Some persons are of opinion that education will correct it. But when I look at educated people I think the less educated the ladies and girls are perhaps the better they behave in this respect. Others say: you give lessons in morality. I do not know how far we shall succeed.

Shri Sinhasan Singh (Gorakhpur Distt.—South): Does the hon. Member think that his case is so weak that he should take such a long time to strengthen it by such big arguments?

Mr. Deputy-Sreaker: Length of argument does not necessarily mean weakness of the case.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: Someof my hon, friends are evidently anxious to speak. I understand. It is
a way of putting things. But I understand the interrupter is very anxicus
to speak. And I do not want to stand
between him and the House. I am
very glad he is anxious to speak. I
wish all of my friends will speak on
this point. But I would request them
in a most humble way kindly to read
the Age of Consent Committee Report,
chapter X, on this aspect of the case
and go through the opinions we have
received. As my friend thinks I have
taken too much time on a matter like

[Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava]

this—I do not think I have taken too much time, if I have, I beg the pardon of the House—I shall conclude soon.

Shri Gadgil (Poona Central): That is not without our consent!

Shri Bansal (Jhajjar-Rewari): But he is going to oppose it.

Shri Sinhasan Singh: I said why take so long.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: Since my triend wants me to finish, I have no hositation to conclude my speech. It is not my case that this Bill will bring a millennium. I do not think every case will come to court. And I do not want...

Shri D. D. Pant (Almora Distt.— North-East): You want to stop trial marriages!

An Hon, Member: No. Married trial. Shri Gadgil: You stand for it now.

Paddit Thakur Das Bhargava: It is very difficult for me to go into the depths of my friend Shri Pant's mind. I have never been able to understand him. But I submit that in the efforts which this country and the people of this country can make in having our standard of chastity and morality restored and raised, this is one of the methods by which we can certainly instal and rostore chastity to its ancient standard. From the psychological point of view we may understand that by enacting this measure we will be helping the cause of morality and

pardon of those still beg the friends who think I have taken too long a time. But I respectfully beg of them—perhaps this may not be passed today, but when it comes be-fore them in the next session I would respectfully beg of them-kindly to read these opinions and at the same time come to their own conclusions. In matters where social legislation is enacted in the House, it has been the practice in past that every person has been allowed freedom of voting. And I think now also our Leaders and the Leaders of other parties will allow that freedom of vote. It depends upon us whether we are going to take advantage of the Swaraj and liberty we have got or not.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Motion moved:

"That the Bill further to amend the Indian Penal Code (XLV of 1860) and the Code of Criminal Procedure (V of 1898), be taken into consideration."

I have received notice of certain amendments. Is Shri Nageshwar Prasad Sinha going to move his amendment?

Shri N. P. Sinha (Hazaribagh East): Yes, Sir.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Has not this Bill been already circulated?

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava:
Many a time. The Age of Consent
Committee was a circulating Committee. In 1927 opinions were invited on
Dr. Gaur's B'll and my Bill was circulated. The previsions in the present
Bill were a part of my previous Bill.
I hold in my hand the opinions received on that Bill

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I only wanted to know that information for this purpose. This motion will not be in order if it has already been circulated.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: No, Sir. This Bill as such has not been circulated.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Very well,

Shri N. P. Sinha: I beg to move:

"That the Bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting opinion thereon by the end of March, 1953."

I do not like to make a long speech at the present moment when so many of my hon. friends are anxious to speak, but the points, which initiated me to move this amendment, are mainly two. The first is that this amendment affects one of the common laws of the land and these sections of the Indian Penal Code which are at play almost everywhere, everyday, in hundreds of cases throughout the country. So, it would be better if we get an opinion from all sections of the public, the lawyers, the Judges and from those who are concerned with it, right up from the police investigating officers up to those of the Supreme Court. When we are taking up an important question like this on which opinions may vary, I find it difficult to accept this motion in such a haste today.

Another point is the length of the speech of the hon. Member itself. The hon. Member has made such a lengthy speech that it clearly shows the inherent weaknesses of the Bill itself. My first objection to it is, that we are not in a position to pass this very hastily because we do not know what are the view-points of those people

who are directly concerned with it in the lower rungs of the society. I know, this is a very important social measure. In one way it is going to give a very long rope to the youngsters in a fashion, which we may have sometimes afterwards to decry fully and cry a halt. The first part of the Bill is going to tighten up the period of nonage so far as the girls are concerned. But so far as the males are concerned, the hon. Member is going to give a long rope. After all this appears to he discriminatory, this appears to be somewhat contradictory in principles, as some of his arguments arguments Although his indicated. were meant in favour of this Bill, they were contradictory in this way, when he said that it was not wise always to bring to courts of law such persons who by mistake commit some wrong nor desirable to try to expose them. But if you want to punish the offenders, you must punish them properly. There should be no leniency. If you want to put the age for the consent of girls as 18. I have no objection, but before doing that, you must also

Indian Penal Code

<ases. In this connection I would like to quote the views of some of the Judges of the High Courts:

within this range, if offences are committed even by erring persons, they should be given a deterrent punish-

usually think that it is only a ques-

tion of two years' imprisonment which even the courts do not usually give. Of course, I feel that you are giving a

long rope to such persons who are generally the active agents in these

committed

some people would

see that if offences are

ment. otherwise

"Crimes οf violence women who are not in a position to defend themselves must be put down with a strong hand and it would be a very sad state of affairs, if criminals were to carry an impression that to criminally assault a woman or to rape her was not a very serious matter, and that they could always satis-ty their unholy passion if only they were prepared to undergo a comparatively short term of im-prisonment.

That is a remark made by one of the learned Judges of a High Court. Another remark of a High Court Judge is:

"When a person is convicted of rape. his punishment will be pro-portioned to the greater or less atrocity of the crime, his conduct and the defenceless as also the 395 PSD

unprotected state of the injured female—whether she is a low native or a high European. condonation of the offence, on be-ing paid a sum of money by the family should not be taken into consideration in determining the punishment to be inflicted.

Procedure (Amendment) Bill

This is an extract from the remarks of an hon. Judge of the Nagour High Court.

οf these ways erring youngesters are always inscrutable. If there has been a consent, leave the parties alone and try to see that a sort of marital relationship is developed between them and, if no objection, marriages should be performed. know, whenever there is an offence under this Section 99 per cent. of the cases generally end in acquittal on account of the technical defects of law or, on account of certain other things which lawyers know very well, but for that reason, we should not make the law itself so lenient as to encourage crimes.

If you are going to tighten up the previous aspect of the Bill by increasing the period of nonage, my submission will be, that you have also to tighten up the other aspect of it and make the sentence as severe as pos-sible. It is not the law by itself that is responsible. It is the fear of the consequences of committing an offence counts much. I submit that opinions may vary on this point and I am strongly of opinion that there should be nothing in this Bill which may give any encouragement to any section of the people for doing an act of violence or doing an act of criminal assault on unprotected human beings.

I, therefore, submit that the Bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting public opinion.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Motion moved: "That the Bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting opinion by the end of thereon 1953."

थी नव साल शर्मा (सीकर)> नमोस्तु रामाय सलक्ष्मणाय देव्ये च सर्व जनकात्मवार्यं नमोस्तु छद्रेन्द्र यमानिलेम्बहे नमोस्त् चन्द्रार्कं मरुदगर्नेभ्यः।

कुछ माननीय सदस्य : इसके मेतसन्द तो बतला वीजिये ।

भी नम्ब हाल संप्री : माननीय उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, में श्रीमान ठाकूर दास औ \$ P.M.

[भी नृष्य काल सर्मा]
वार्षेष द्वारा उपस्थित किये गये भारतीय
वंद विधान संसोधन पर बोक रहा हूं। मेरे
वंदुकों को यदि इस का मतलब समझना
होगा तो उन को बाहर बतला सकता हं।

भी वंतसः: हाउस में सब को एक साम ही बता दीजिये।

भी नन्द सांस सर्मा: मुझे माननीय वार्वेव महोदय के इस संशोधन की भावना के सर्वना सहानुभृति है। मुझे यह विश्वास है कि उन्होंने भारतीय समाज के सदाचार को ऊंचा उठाने के लिये ही और इसी भावना से इस संशोधन को रक्ता है। किन्तु इस संसोधन के क्लाज ३ में, जो आप ने यहां दिया है, उस को प्रसग के साथ मिलाने पर बहां पहले यह था कि " Unless the woman raped is his own wife and is not under 12 years of age°'वहांपर उन्होंने अब एक और वकटनटिव (alternative) जोड़ दिया है कि "Or unless the woman is between sixteen and eighteen wears of age and so on" इस से बैरा विश्वास है कि कानून में भांति ग्रान्ति इस रूप से बढ़ेगी अधिक बढेगी। कि इस में पहले रूप में जहां बोमन {woman) अपनी स्त्री होगी, वहां इसरे रूप में ऐसा नहीं है। प्रारम्भिक कानून जो इंडियन पिनस कोड के अनुसार बंदेकों ने बना या या वह तो भारतीय समाज और भारतीय चरित्र शास्त्र को देस कर के बनाया या कि अपनी स्त्री के अतिरिक्त अबि किसी दूसरी स्त्री के प्रति दूर्जावना रखने बाका हो और उस के साब किसी प्रकार का इसंग रर्जना तो उसे दण्ड्य बदलाया

है। बस्तुतः इस स्माय के द्वारा परस्त्री के साच संगम किसी प्रकार से निविद्ध नहीं माना नायगा और संबंध पर कम्पाउंडेबल (compoundable) मी हो सकेंगा वैसा कि बागे बा चुका है। इस्र्लिये मेराः यह विश्वास है कि इस से भारतीय चरित्र शास्त्र को और साधारण जनता को विजेब धक्का पहुँचेगा, इस से किसी प्रकार का लाग नहीं होगा। यह कहना कि छोटी अवस्थाः में अर्थात् १६ या १८ वर्ष की अवस्था में: इस को काफ़ी जान नहीं होता है एताबता अवस्था आगे बढ़ा देने से हम उस का शानः बढ़ा वेंगे, कम से कम काम शास्त्र के अनुसार तो यह ठीक नहीं है। इंन्द्रियों का अवस्था से कोई सम्बन्ध नहीं है। श्री मद्भग्वद्गीता में यह स्पष्ट कहा है: इन्द्रियाणि प्रमाथीनि हरन्ति प्रसमं मनः कि इंद्रियां इतनी बलवती होती हैं कि वह मन को जबरदस्ती खींचकर ले जाती हैं। और इसी प्रकार मन् ने भी। कहा है:

मात्रास्वस्त्रादुहित्र वा नाविविक्तासनोभवेत्।
बलवानिन्द्रियामोविद्वासमपि कषंति "।

विद्वान को भी इन्द्रियां खींच कर ले जाती हैं। इसिलये १६ या १८ वर्ष की कंसेंट (consent) का प्रश्न किसी प्रकार भी उपस्थित नहीं होता। जहां तक भें प्रारम्भिक प्रसंग (original context) को देखता हूं उस के अनुसार किसी भी परस्की के साथ सम्भोग दण्डय माना गया है। "Unless she is his own wife and not under 12 years of age" यह जो कहा गया है इस के अनुसार अपनी स्त्री के अतिरिक्त किसी स्त्रीके साथ जो सम्पर्क होता है वह कुरा माना गया है। हमारे भागा स साइब ने सीता और सावित्री की

2082

कवा तो सुनाई, परन्तु आज उन के आदश्य के विपरीत लड़कियां कालिज और स्कूलों में बाती हैं और वह १८, २० वर्ष की हो जाती हैं पर उन को पित प्राप्त नहीं होता। ऐसी अवस्था में यह हो सकता है कि वह किसी छड़के को अपने चुगल में फंसा लें और उस के खाब प्रसंग कर लें और फिर उसे कहें कि

"Now you must either compound the offence or you must suffer the consequences of law."

इस परिस्थिति में या तो तुम इस के परिणाम को मोगो या मेरे साथ विवाह कर लो, मुझे पति नहीं मिलता या इसलिये ऐसा किया। अयवा स्त्री उसी परिस्थिति में विवाह कर ले और फिर डाइवोर्स (Divorce) कर दे जिस के लिये आज हमारे चरित्र शास्त्र को उन्नत बनाने वाले महात्मा बार बार इस हाउस में आ कर कहते हैं। आप देख सकते हैं कि शारदा ऐक्ट पास कर के क्या कर लिया । में कहता हं कि आज भी शारदा एक्ट के विरुद्ध विवाह होते हैं। और वह एक्ट (dead हैं ह लैटर letter) बना श्री भागंव साहब ने भी यह हुआ है। स्वीकार किया है कि उस एक्ट के विरुद्ध विवाह होते हैं। इसी तरह इस कानून का भी विरोध होता रहेगा। में निवेदन करता हं कि इस हाउस के मान को बढ़ाने के लिये ब्याप उस क़ानुन को पास न करें जिस कि जनता दुद्रता से तोड़ना चाहती है। कानून वही बनना चाहिये जो कि जनता की भावना के अनुरूप हो। जनताने मारल पाइंट आफ़ ब्यू (Moral point of view) से इस चीज की माग नहीं की है। इस परिस्थिति से कि अगर परस्त्री १६ या १८ वर्ष की होने पर कंसेंट दे दे तो आर्फ़ेस (offence) कम्पाउंडेबिल हो जायना इस से भारतवर्ष में बहुत अनर्थ मच जायेगा। इस के स्टेटमेंट आफ़ आवजेक्ट्स एंड रीजन्स

में लिखा है कि, "not to treat the offender very harshly" यह सन्दर्भात्
उसकोसीवियर पनिसमेंट (severe punishment) न दी जाय कियें हैं।में तो यह कहता
हूं कि यदि यह सिद्ध हो जाये कि ऐसे अनुचितः
प्रसंग के लिये कन्या ने भी कंसेंट दे दी हैं.
तो कन्या को भी कानून के द्वारा दण्ड होना
चाहिये। यदि आप ऐसा कानून बनावेंके
और सीता सावित्रो का नाम लेते रहेंगे
तो आप का चित्र आगे बढ़ेगा नहीं। इसलिये
में यह निवेदन करता हूं। अंग्रेजों में
परस्त्री और अपनी स्त्रो का कोई प्रश्क
उपस्थित नहीं होता।

कृष्ट माननीय सदस्य : होता है ।

भी नन्द ल।ल शर्माः लामें चाहे कुछ हो परन्तु वहां कोई भी किसी भी स्त्री के साथ गलबाही ढाल कर नाच सकता है और इस को ब्रा नहीं समझा जाता है 1 परन्तु उन अंग्रेजों ने भी जोकानून बनाया उस में आप की सोसाइटी के मारत्स का ध्यान रखा। लेकिन आज स्वराज्य के नामः से हमारे ही देश के रत्न खड़े हो कर यह कहते हैं कि हम यहां अपनी प्राचीन परम्परा **को** तोड़ने के लिये आये हैं। मेरे पूर्व वक्ता ने जनमत ग्रहण करने के लिये समय मांगा परन्तू में तो कहता हूं कि जनमत की आवस्यकता ही नहीं है। मैं श्री मार्गद से निवेदन करूंगा कि इस को वह स्वयं ही लौटा लें और यदि वह स्वयं न लौटायें तो यह संसद इस पर विचार करे और परस्त्री संसर्ग जो कि हमारे यहां सर्वथा कलंक माना गया है उस को आगे बढ़ाने का प्रयत्न न होने में आप को घन्यवाद देता हूं।

पंडित ठाकुर दास भागेंव : क्या मैं एक सवाल पूछ सकता हूं। अगर इस में से कंसेंट वाला और कम्पाउंड

[पंक्ति ठाकुर दास मार्गव]

करले बाला हिस्सा निकास दिया जाय तो क्या जाप उस को मान सेने ? क्या जाप की राय के मुताबिक जनर जनमैरिड गर्ल (Unmarried girl) कन्सेंट दे दे तो क्या वह आफ्रेंस नहीं होगा ?

भी नन्द लाल शर्मा: दोनों को दण्ड होना चाहिये।

Shri D. D. Pant: I am surprised that a man of the intelligence and experience of my hon, friend Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava should have moved this sort of a Bill. I should have thought that only a man suffering from moral constipation would think of interfering in any way with our natural and rational urges. It is a most unscientific way of thinking that he has given expression to in this Bill. In an age in which the knowledge of biology and sexual pyschology and all these things has so much advanced, when people have written volumes and volumes on it—men like Havelock Ellis and Freud—and the subject is under discussion by the great intellectuals of the world, think that we who could be called laymen so far as sexual science is concerned should try to interfere with the natural instincts of men and women in this world, is surprising in an age when girls are taking freedom all over the world, even to assert their right to trial marriages. A girl must examine quite a number of young men before she marries. That she goes wrong in certain cases does not mean anything. Ultimately the girl's moral personality will emerge out of the struggle. You cannot force a moral personality on anybody. Even a very purist thinker like Mahatma Gandhi, the Father of the Nation, used to say that swaraj is our birthright to err and correct ourselves. But, you do not want to give this freedom to people to err. It is a different thing to protect a child from the onslaught of animals or somebody else. But to think of interfering with the natural and primal instincts of men and women is not proper. I do not think that it would be wisdom on the part of this House to take this Bill so lightly and pass it in a mood of per-verted moral enthusiasm. I respectfully urge that it is this sort of a provision which is responsible for many crimes in this world, An Hon. Member: Do you want promiscuity?

Shri D. D. Pant: You will just now hear me. Have patience. Do not lose your patience; you will lose your case also.

It is said by one of the best writers that poor Adam would never have tasted the forbidden fruit had it not been said that it was forbidden. It will create a sort of rebellion in our youths if we try to interfere in these things. A youth is ordinarily sensible if you leave him to freedom. It is only when you try to interfere with his freedom that he behaves in a manner which you do not think to be correct. So, my submission is that we should not in this way try to interfere with everything without having expert opinion. Even experts would fear to give any opinion on this ordinarily.

An Hon, Member: Are you an expert?

Shri D. D. Pant: Yes, I am an expert. For you I am a super-expert.

Take the question of population. At one time it was said that those who were not fit for producing children and those who could not produce proper and good should be sterilised. The children discussion. has been going on for the last 30 years, and scientists and intelligent people have not yet been able to come to a conclusion. A. C. Pigou in his Economics of Social Welfare, when he discusses the subject of sterilisation of the unfit at length. says that the scientific knowledge at our disposal is not enough to come to a conclusion. Without any knowledge of psychology, and the minds of the present youth, we should not come forward with a we should not come forward with a Bill to interfere with the tradition that has been going on for the last so many years. Nobody can say today that because of the existence of this age of consent, more rapes are being com-mitted or more immorality is taking place. If there is any fear in the mind of Pandit Bhargava that our children are getting immoral, that the youth of this time is getting immoral, and that is why this Bill is being brought, then, their activity will only go under-ground. That will be the only result, underand you will see that nobody has got the power to interfere with the freedom of the youth.

Dr. N. B. Khare: The type of offence contemplated can only be committed underground.

Shri Kasmi (Sultanpur Distt.— North cum Faizabad Distt.—South-West): Both of you agree.

Shri D. D. Pant: I have no objection if for himself he wants it to be underground because he believes too much in Hinduism.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: May I intervene? The point in this Bill seems to be simple. Below the age of 15, the consent is not material. Even if the consent is given, it is useless. It is an offence.

Several Hon, Members; Sixteen.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Below 16. except in the case of the husband, where the age is limited to 15. Now. this does not deal with the case husband. The only question is whether the age of 16 ought to be raised to 18. What is the use of going back? Does the hon. Member Mr. Pant, who is arguing, want that even the age of 16 should be scrapped, and natural forces should be allowed to work for themselves? Therefore, the simple point is this. At one time it was thought that below 12 was improper. Then it was raised to 16, because even at 16 children are not sufficiently able to take care of themselves. Therefore, their consent is useless. Now, it is said that it is full of bad and serious consequences, and therefore it must it must be increased from 16 to 18. This is the short point. Therefore, hon. Members will address themselves to that matter. There is no good going back as if the whole principle of fixing a particular age is bad.

Shri Gadgil: May I respectfully submit that questions of eugenics, sociology and other social consequences are the necessary background for this question. All these principles or angles of view which were relevant when the limit was fixed at 16 are equally relevant today. I would, therefore, very respectfully urge on you to allow young men a little more liberal margin on the subject.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I can understand young men indulging in such speeches. All right.

Shri D. D. Pant: In respect of the points I have made so far, I wanted, in fact, to shorten my speech, because on this flimsy thing I did not want to waste public money; but, all the subjects to which I have referred and all the points referred to up till now are quite relevant to the point.

Shri Kazmi: They have accepted.

Shri D. D. Pant: You said, Sir, just now that the age was raised from 12 to 16 or from 14 to 16. Have we got any data in our possession to provethat we have done a wise thing. would say that we should not talk of these things so lightly in this manner. Tomorrow we can say, it should be raised to 20. Why, our experience uptill now has been that on the whole in the country, human morality and the morality of the youth, has, instead of going down. been going up. The greater the freedom we give to youth. the greater will be the morality. Ιt cannot be secured by taking away the freedom of the youth in this manner. As far as the age of consent in this sexual matter is concerned, I say that so far as nature is concerned, we have girls got several instances wherein have been conceiving at the age of 14, and producing quite healthy children. You cannot so lightly interfere in these things. Therefore, my submission is that the motion for circulation should be supported and we must get public opinion and enlightened opinion and not deal with the case so lightly.

भीनती उमा नेंहरू (जिला सीतापुर व जिला सेरी पश्चिम): जब से यह बिस्ट इस हाउस के सामने आया है, मैं बरा-बर इस पर विचार कर रही हुं, और अभी जो हमारे बानरेबिल मेम्बर ठाकूर दास भागंव ने उस पर व्याख्या दी है, उस पर भी में ने बहुत विचार किया है। लेकिन लाइक (Life) की ट्रेजिडी जो मुझे दिखाई देती है और बदकिस्मती जिन्दगी की यह है कि आज इस जमाने में में सावित्री और सत्यवान की चर्चा तो सुनती हूं, लेकिन आजकल की सावित्री जो हैं, जो भी होवें, उन को अधिकार नहीं है कि वह अपने सत्यवान के गले में जयमाल भी डाल सकें, आज हमारी यह स्थिति है। आज मैं यह भी देखती हं हमारी मोरेली (Morality) की बैकग्राउन्ड (Background) जो है वह सावित्री और सत्यवान की कर के बनाई तो गई है, लेकिन उस के साथ साथ हमारी हालत आज यह हो गई है कि इस बिल के यहां आने की जरूरत हो गई। १८ वर्षकी उम्र जो बढ़ाई-

[बीमती उमा नेहरू]

नाई, उस में तो मुझे कोई एतराज नहीं है, क्योंकि जाहिर है कि मैं तो वही जमाना यसन्द करती हूं कि जब मैं सावित्री को -सत्यवान के गले में जयमाल डालते देखू, स्थासी बड़ी हो और योग्य स्त्री हो जो सावित्री स्वयं अपने सत्यवान के गले में जायमाला डाले और उस को बरेलेकिन इस के साय ही साथ में देखती हूं कि दो शाल के बास्ते लड़कों का सजा मिले, इस की बाबत में यह कहना चाहती हूं और एक माता की **है**सियत से कहतो हूं, क्योंकि में सम**झतो** हूं कि लड़का ओर लड़को दोनों एक माता के पुत्र और पुत्री होते हैं और में नहीं समझती कि इस कानून से आप ऐसी चोजें ला सकते हैं जो आप लाना बाहते हैं। अगर आप को समाज को दुरुस्त करना है जार अपने बानरेबुल माई को भी मैं यह बताती हं कि अगर आप समाज की शुद्धी करना चाहते हैं तो आप को समाज के मारेल्स को चंदलना है. आप को उसके लिये में।रल्टी की फ़िजा बनानी चाहिये, आप समझते हैं कि आप यह क़ानून ला कर इन चीजों को बदल सकते हैं, लेकिन मैं समझतो हू कि आप कमी मी इस तरह इन चीओं को नहीं बदल सकते, लेकिन आप तो अपनी ही करेंगे बार यह कानून पास करके आप और में: लोगों से बच्बों से चोरो करवायेंगे में आप से यह भो कहूं कि म बराबर इस पर सीच रही हूं कि अगर ऐसी चीजें कहीं होती मी हैं स्कूल अथवा कालेज में, तो कौन से मां बाप ऐसे होंगे कि अगर उन को बह चीत्र मालूम भी हो जायेगी, तो भी वह उस पर परदा नहीं डालेंग, स्थोंकि अगर लड़की के मा बाप ऐसे लड़के को दो वर्ष के लिये जेल खाने भिजवा भी देते हैं, तो पहले तो बह अपनी लड़की का इस्तेहार करते हैं, उस बीज

का डिंडोरा पीटते हैं और फिर उस हालत में कीन ऐसा आदमी होगा जो उस कन्या से विवाह करने को राजी होगा, वह तो वेचारे उस पर ही परदा डालेंगे। हमारे समाज 🕏 हालत तो बाज यह है और आप ऐसी चीचों उस के सामने रख रहे हैं जो असम्भव और नामुमकिन चोजें हैं।

इसलिय में तो अपने अ:नरेबिल भाई से कहुंगी कि मैं तो इस सजा के बदुत ही विषक हूं। मैं तो यह कहूंगी कि अगर समाज में परिवर्त्तन करना है तो अप 🖹 मौरल्स को पहले ठाक करना है। तक आप समाज के ौरत्स को ठीक नहीं करेंगे तब तक परिवर्त्तन नहीं हो सकता।

फिर इसके साथ साथ मुने इत बात का भो विचार का रहा है कि हम से कहा जाता है कि हम गृहलक्ष्मों हैं, हम मातायें हैं। लेकिन आज जिस वक्त में इस बिल को पढ़ रही थो तो मैं सोव रही थो कि गृहलक्मो कहां और यह बिल भारत की सम्यता में गृहलक्ष्मी और देवी कहते हैं और पूजनीय कहते हैं। आज उस पूजनीय स्त्री की हासत यह है कि उस के लिये यह कानून बा रहा है। उस की इज्जत लोगई है। यह कहा गया कि कनसेंट और नौन कनसेंट (consent or non-consent)। अगर कनसेंट है तब भो वह गुनहगार नहीं है, गुनहगार लड़का ही है। लड़को गुनहगार नहीं है। उसकी आज यह हालत है। इस के लिये तो यह तरीका है कि अगर आप ठोक तरह से शिक्षा दें, केवल कालेज और यूनोवर्सिटी की ही नहीं, केवल किताबो हो नहीं, बल्कि मौरेलिटो की, चरित्र की शिक्षा दी जाय, तो नामुमकिन है कि ऐने केसेज सामन बाबे। जाज समाज की यह हालत होते हुए सावित्री और सःयवाद

इस स्थाल में नहीं जाना चाहिये कि अड़किवेडें के मारैल्स ठीक नहीं हैं और वे विगड़ कहीं हैं। मुझे आप से यह भी कहना है कि बच आप का मुल्क ही अपरूट (uproot) हो गया है तो न इस वजह से मारैल्स भी अपस्ट हो गये हैं।

कुछ मननीय सदस्य : नलत है ।

बीमती उमा नेहरू : नहीं न**हीं**, मारैल्स जो अपरूट होने के लिये कहा गवा है तो उस में "कोई नहीं" और "हां " कहने की जरूरत नहीं है, क्योंकि में तो उन के संब ही काम करती हूं। ्मुझे मालू**म है** 👂 भाई ठाकुरदास को स्वीच में एक चीज मुझे बड़ी तकलोफ़ देह मालूम दो। में ने आज सुना कि यहां इस तरह के लोग हैं जिन को बताया गया कि आशिक़ मिजाज़ हैं। यह कहा गया और वताया गया कि आशिक मिजाज कौन लोग हैं। यह बात सुत कर मुझे सख्त तकलोफ़ हुई और में समझती हूं कि यह हैं गेलफ्ज नहीं है। **वह कोई** सभ्यता का लफ्ज भी नहीं था जो कि आप में ने सुना आशिक मिजाज का। में **आप** से यह कहूं कि यह चीजें जो लोग इस्तेमाल करते हैं वह बिल्कुल ही इस को समझते नहीं हैं। यह सैक्स क्वैश्चन (sex question) जो है इस को वह क़तई भी नहीं जानते, जो आशिक मिजाज की चर्चा करते हैं। असल बात यह है कि मेरी तो आज से नहीं बहुत दिनों से यह राय है कि यह जो सैक्स क्वैश्चन हमारा है जिस को लोग इतना हंस हंस कर सुनते हैं और जिस पर हंसले हैं, यह हंसने की चीज नहीं है। **हम इस** मामले में इतने बैकवर्ड हैं, मैं तो समझती हूं कि यदि हम जानते तो हमारे मारैल्स भी ठीक होते । हमें इस को चाहिये और बच्चों को शिक्षक

बीर सीता का स्वप्त देखना कितनी मूल हैं। क्योंकि आप वह जमाना का नहीं सकते। वह चीजें आप का नहीं सकते। आप को सब्दें से पहले यह देखना है कि इस जमान में आप को क्या करना है। सीता और सावित्री की चर्चा आप करते हैं, तो यहां आप ही नहीं, जहां कहीं भी में सुनती हूं तो में चारों तरफ देखती हूं, लेकिन मुझे राम दिखाई नहीं देते। राम की जगह मुझे रावण दिखाई देता है। तो जहां त्रावण हों, राक्षस हों, वहां सीता और सावित्री की चर्चा करना कहां तक ठीक है।

अव दूसरी वात मेरे भाई ने कन्यादान की कही थीं, कि हम कन्य दान करते हैं। तो कन्यदान की भी एक अजीब हालत है। आप तो १६, १८ वर्ग की चर्चा करते हैं और कन्यादान का चर्चा कते हैं। कन्यादान की कोरी लकीर आप आज पी टतेहैं। लेकिन कन्यादान के जो माने थे वह आप नहीं जानते हैं। आप कन्यादान कह कर सिर्फ़ लकीर पीट रहे हैं। मुझे कन्यादान की तो चिन्ता नहीं है, असल में वह कन्यादान नहीं है एक रस्म अदा करनी है।

इस के साथ आप ने तीसरी बात पंजाब के पार्टीशन की कही थी — आप ने कहा कि हम देखते हैं कि लड़िकयों बड़ी बही फिर रही हैं। मैं खुद रिफ़्रूजीच में काम करती हूं। मैं ने भी लड़िकयों को देखा है और जन को देख कर मुझे दुःख होता है। लेकिन मैं यह भी जानती हूं कि जिस बक्त कोई मुल्क, कोई देश उजड़ जाता है तो उस को मौरेलिटी भी उस के संग उजड़ जाती है। तो आज हम उस स्थित में हैं। लेकिन मैं समझतो हूं कि हलके हलके, आहिस्ता आहिस्ता सब बातें ठीक हो जावगी। लेकिन मैं पंजाब की लड़िकयों को बगैर घर के बहते हुए देखने से हम को

[बीमती उमा नेहरू]

वैनी चाहिन, ताकि उन के मारैल्स भी ठीक हों। में यह भी आप को बता दूं कि बो इस को समझते हैं, जो पढ़े हैं, जो इस को चानते हैं, उन आदिमयों के मारैल्स और उन की मारैलिटी उन लोगों से ज्यादा बच्छी है जो कि इस की समझते नहीं है। में अपने भाई को यक्तीन दिलाती हूं कि जहां उक्क हो सकेगा हम तो कोशिश करेंगी अपने सड़कों के बास्ते और लड़कियों के वास्ते भी कि वे आशिक मिजाज न हों। लेकिन इन्तरी कोशिश होगी उन को बन्स के मदरसे में शिक्षा देने की, वाशिक मिजाजी की नहीं, बल्कि अक्ल के मदरसे में साना है।

अब इस बिल पर में बहुत नहीं कहना चाहती। में वो इतना ही कहना चाहती हं कि मैं ने इस क्लि को बार बार देखा बीर देखने के बाद में फिर अपने आनरेबिल भाई से कहंगी कि इस का पहला हिस्सा तो बहुत ठीक है। आप उम्म बढ़ा दीजिये, कोई डर की बात नहीं है। लेकिन दूसरे हिस्से में जहां आप ने यह कहा है कि दो वर्ष तक सजा होगी यह तो किसी तरह से भी भेरे गले से उतरता नहीं, क्योंकि इस से तो में खुद अपनी लड़की का एक तरह से ढिंढोरा पीटती हूं, अगर में अपने लड़के को सजा दिलवाती हं। तो यह सब देख कर में जाप से यह कहंगी कि में आप के बिल को अपोज तो करती नहीं हुं। आप ने बहुत महनत की है। लेकिन यह जो पहलू आप ने दो वर्ष की सजा का रखा है यह किसी तरह से, में ने दोपहर भर इस को सोचा, मेरे गले से नहीं उतरता जब तक लड़कों के और लड़कियों के मारैल्स एक तरह के नहीं होंगे तब तक में समझती हूं कि समाज में उन्नति नहीं हो सकती।

Shri Kanavade Patil (Ahmednagar North): This is my first attempt to speak in Parliament, and I request the hon. Members to excuse me if I commit any blunders because this is my first effort to speak.

I submit that my hon. friend Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava has made enormous efforts with the best of motives to introduce this Bill in this House for consideration. He thinks that if this Bill is passed, the virtues of females in this country will be protected. In my humble opinion, I submit, this is not a proper method to protect the virtues of females.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Not in general, but of a particular age only.

Shri Kanavade Patil: Of course, I am speaking in general.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: There is no aspersion cast against all people in general. There is an impressionable age, and that is sought to be safeguarded. So, the hon. Member need not expand the scope of the inquiry.

Shri Kanavade Patil: I am unable to appreciate the views that he has expressed.

Dr. N. B. Khare: It is his maiden speech, so encourage him.

Shri Kanavada Patil: Looking into the provisions of the Indian Penal Code, we find that the age of consent has been increased to 16 by means of the amendment that was passed in the year 1949, to Section 375 in the clause dealing with the age of consent. Even then it was thought by so many persons in the country, including experts and Judges that that age was not a proper one, because it was believed that in a country like India where generally a female attains puberty at the age of 15 years and even at the age of 14, she is perfectly capable of understanding sexual life. So, to think that a female is not capable of understanding her own interests till the age of 18 is certainly doing her injustice, according to my humble opinion. I have known of cases, and I have been practising and I would very humbly like to submit before this House.....

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Practising law.

and Code of Criminal Procedure (Amendincit) Bill

Shri Kanavade Patii: I have been practising law for 19 years at the Sessions Court in Bombay State, and I have known of a number of cases where the girls who expressed consent or were consenting parties, were of the age of 14, and even 131, and where it was proved that they were consenting parties even then under Section 376 the offences were held to have been committed. If that has been the case, it is really surprising for me to find that the hon. Mover of this Bill wants to raise the age of consent to 18 in this Bill.

Indian Penal Code

Taking all the authorities of medical jurisprudence into account. I have to submit that a girl is perfectly of the age of discretion at 15—so far her capacity to understand a sexual offence is concerned. Therefore, I submit that this Bill is introduced in Therefore, this House on the assumption the female is the weaker of the two sexes, that she is less intelligent to appreciate her own difficulties and, therefore, according to this gentleman who has introduced this Bill. she wants more protection under law. According to our own experience, a girl is quite in the prime of her youth after 16, and at the age of 18 she is at the top of her youth And to think that at this age she should not think in terms of finding a bridegroom—for her is indeed a very queer thing and a harsh thing, so far as the female is concerned. I humbly submit that when girls and boys go to the colleges and schools and have co-education, they move about the society together; sometimes girls go about even without the permission of their guardians or parents; they go to cinema shows; they travel together for picnic purposes, it is quite natural that sometimes the young people may have overtures towards each other. Under the present amending Bill over such the present amending Bill even such overtures may be looked down upon by certain people in the society who really want to protect the women, that those overtures may amount to be an attempt to commit rape—under section 376. If overtures....

S. Shri M. Gurupadaswamy (Mysore): Overtures or 'vultures'?

Shri Kanavade Patil: If overtures between the parties are not allowed under this section, then I am afraid, the girls who will be going in the society for want of a bridegroom will be at a loss. In my humble opinion, the best marriageable age is 15. After 15, a girl cannot live a happy life unless she gets a suitable partner or husband. So, in these days, to think that the age

of the girl should be raised to 18 under section 376 is not proper.

In my humble opinion, therefore, this... Bill should not be allowed to be passed.

Shri Tek Chand (Ambala-Simla): Social legislation is usually a dargerous experiment. It always is a delicate experiment. The subject matter of this... Bill should be examined neither from. the point of view of prudishness, nor from levity. This is a very serious matter and there are many side issues ... which have to be scrutinised with care before it is adopted hastily or rejected... hastily.

With the principle underlying the Bill, most of us have no dispute. That girls between the ages of 16 and 18 deserve protection, should admit of nodoubt. That they are mentally imma-ture seems to be fairly obvious. That they can fall victims to allurements, that at times they can be swept off their feet with the first flush of adoles-cent urge, is in accord with the law of nature. But the means whereby thesegirls can be protected are a matter-which would admit of controversy-so far as the remedial side is concerned.

The hon, the Mover of this Bill suggests that anybody who violates the chastity of a girl between the ages of. 16 and 18 with or without her consent falls within the mischief of the criminal law which he now wants to be the law-of this land. There are one or two-matters which should be carefully carefully examined. What is the age of the violator of the girl? If there are immature girls, there are also immature boys. I can understand a man of 30 years or above taking liberties with a. girl of an impressionable age and violating her with her consent. By all means give him a certain dose of punishment. By all means say: You have taken improper advantage of the... impressionable nature of the young girl between 16 and 18 and, therefore, you deserve punishment. You ought tohave known better". But what about the case of a young man who equally falls a victim to the first flush of youth. In his case are you going to visit him with the same punishment and are you going to stigmatise him for the rest of his days as an immoral person? Are you going to brand himfor the rest of his days as a convict. make him absolutely unfit to move inrespectable society or blast his future career for a fault for which not his 'wicked' mind was to blame but because of his failure to control certains impulses and urges which can be very strong at an impressionable age?

Therefore, to tar every violator of a...

[Shri Tek Chand]

=2095

young girl who might herself have - offered the temptation, who might herself have tried to ensnare the young man, to say to the girl 'You are inno-cent' but to tell the young man who might have fallen a prey to her blandishments 'You are guilty and, therefore, deserve to be incarcerated'—I feel that such a law will be draconic in its severity. It will be a monstrous . proposition to lay down that, when a girl of 18 years less two months, has been violated by a boy of 17 in the circumstances that the boy is a willing party, and the girl is a willing partythe girl is absolutely innocent out the boy of 17 must go to a borstal institution or to jail. But, on the other hand. I am at one with the learned Mover when it comes to an accused aged 30 or more. He is expected to know better. So long as such a legislation -does not make a distinction between the respective ages of the male offenders it will have certain imperfections and, in certain cases, it may perpetrate horrible injustices. Therefore, it is .horrible injustices. this aspect of the Bill that, to my mind, is unpalatable and distasteful, though I am absolutely at one with the principle underlying it.

Then, another feature is clause 4 of the Bill, reamendment of Schedule II of Act V of 1898. If there could be anything sinister about this Bill, if there could be anything which I may liken to a trap, it is clause 4. You say, the offence is compoundable. It is the most mischievous provision of criminal law that an offence is compoundable, when you tell the accused. 'You are not at the mercy of the court, but you are at the mercy of your complainant. Please him, placate him, pacify him and if you succeed in doing so, I will let you off. That is, in common man's language, the meaning of compounding an offence. What happens? A girl has lured a boy, more or less of the same age, the boy is in the teens and the girl is in the teens; and he is told, 'Be willing to get a stigma, be ready to be branded, be ready to go to jail, and if you happen to have a rich father, we propose to blackmail you, because of some adolescent folly you have committed, to which the girl was equally a party, or even if she had actually played the principal part. At pistol point the boy is to be told, 'We propose to blackmail you, out with a couple of thousands or we will prosecute you'. Therefore, to make such an affence compoundable is to make virtue of a sin. That is to say, the guilty girl will be allowed to say, when this Bill becomes part of the law of the land, 'Yes, I was guilty, yes, I lured

the boy, yes, I was the consenting party. yes, I am senior in age by a few months, yes, I encouraged him and now that he has fallen a prey to my blandishments, not only I propose to tell him that I will send him to jail, but I will either force him to marry me or may be that I will compel his father or rich uncle to part with a good bit of money'. That, I submit, is the most dangerous aspect of this measure. It is not difficult, in this country, to conceive of unfortunate parents, unfortunate distant relations who have on their hands a young girl for whom they may not be able to find a suitable husband. The barriers of high morals may not be there, and they might encourage the girl to ensnare the boy. Once the boy is caught in the web, either he parts with money or certainly he parts with reputation or may be he is tied down to that girl for the rest of his days in forced wedlock, or he goes to prison. To my mind, there can be nothing more unjust and more unfair and, if I may take the liberty of using that word, more immoral than this clause 4 of the Bill.

Therefore, I submit that this is a measure which has to be examined with a certain amount of thoroughness. The pulse of the people has to be known; we have got to find out, how people react. Some measure is overdue whereby girls between the ages of 16 and 18 should be protected, but, at the same time, all girls who are between the ages of 16 and 18 are not necessarily innocent. They might be the guilty parties and, therefore, I would suggest that this is a measure which has to be examined from all aspects and then and then alone can it form part of the Penal Code.

Shri S. V. L. Narasimham (Guntur): I rise to support this Bill, for the simple reason that this measure satisfies the demands of time and is in accord with the march of time also. Criticism has been levelled against this Bill on the question that the age of consent, as it exists now, being 16, is sought to be raised to 18. There has been absolutely no attempt on the part of any Member of this House to reduce the age of consent from 16 to a lower age. Under these circumstances, I for one, am unable to comprehend as to what is being found by these critics in the matter of raising this age of consent from 16 to 18. The facts as they exist are clearly mentioned in the Statement of Objects and Reasons of this Bill and have been expounded at length by the hon. Mover of this Bill.

After all, criticism has been levelled against this Bill by my hon, predecessor that this provision of making this coffence compoundable is a highly mischievous provision. After all, if we ree to examine the criticisms that are levelled by hon. Members against this Bill, then I wonder as to how it can be said of making that this provision compoundable the offence is mischievous provision. It is :for the purpose of seeing to those persons who could not have been brought within the ambit of criminal law previously this Bill is being brought. It seeks to bring them also into the ambit of law. It is only to protect those people so that the rigours of law may not be felt that this provision has been made comspoundable. So, I would respectfully submit to this House that this provision will, while it accords with the demand of time, practically take away the rigours of law and impose a very reasonable condition, namely, making it compoundable.

I quite agree with the criticism that this Bill will not lead to the complete achievement of the spirit of the Bill, for the simple reason that we do not know what happens in factories and other places. Having regard economic conditions of these women and others-it is quite possible temptations of money to be there.-this Bill will not solve the question morality or protection of morality. Therefore, the simple reason that this will not achieve the purpose fully canmot be an argument against this On the other hand, we must accord our full support to this Bill and take initiative to bring in measures of legislation to improve the economic condi-tion of the women also. I am happy to state on the floor of this House that myself and friends of the Communist Party are in full accord with the prin-ciples of the Bill and I would commend the acceptance of this Bill by the House in all its entirety.

in the Chair] पंडित मुनीश्वर दत्त उपाच्याय (जिला प्रतापगढ़---पूर्व) : यह विषेयक जो हमारे -सामने उपस्थित है इस के सम्बन्ध में, जैसा कि हमारे और माननीय मित्रों ने निवेदन किया, तरह तरह के मत हो सकते हैं क्योंकि **≼स का** समाज से घनिष्ट सम्बन्ध है। जब किसी विषय का समाज से

-सम्बन्ध हे:ता है तो समाज के जितने अंग

हैं सभी को उस में दिलचस्पी होती है और उस

[SHRIMATI RENU CHARRAVARTTY

(Amendment) Bill पर उन की एक दिचार घारा होती है। उस के अनुसार इस पर कई मत हो सकते हैं। अभी जैसा माननीय सदस्यों ने निवेदन किया, जहां तक इस के पहले अंग का सम्बन्ध है कि अवस्था १६ से १८ वर्ष कर दी जाय इस के किये जाने में किसी को कोई आपत्ति नहीं होनी चाहिये। मेरा ऐसा विश्वास है। किन्तुच।हेवह १६ वर्ष एहे**और** चाहे वह १८ वर्ष रहे इस से कोई बहुत मेख नहीं होता। यह कहा / गया कि १६ वर्ष की अवस्था की जो लड़कियां हैं उन में इतनी योग्यता नहीं होती है कि वे अपने भविष्य को समझ सकें, जो कुछ वे करती। हैं उस का जो कुछ असर होता **है,** उस **का जो** कुछ उन के ऊपर प्रमाव होगा, उस को वे-समझ नहीं सकती। १६ वर्ष की अवस्था में उन के लिये य**ह सम्भव नहीं है। इस विषव** में में नहीं समझता कि १६ वर्ष और १८० वर्ष की अवस्था में कोई बहुत भेद हो सकताः है, इस में बहत फ़र्क नहीं पड़ सकता । अगर हम उस पहलू से देखें जैसा कि अभी श्री-मती उमा नेहरू ने निवेदन किया कि महज कानून बना देने से हम अगर ऐसा सुधार करना चाहें तो यह सुधार हो नहीं सकते, उन से कोई प्रभावशाली सुवार नहीं हो सकता, क्योंकि ऐसे कानून बहुत से बने और उन क़ानूनों का कोई बहुत वसर समाज पर नहीं हुआ । समाज ने **जैस**न चाहा किया और वही बात चल गई जैसा 年 हमारे भार्यव जी ने भी अभी अपने व्यास्यान तो श्रीमती उमा नेहरू ने में कहा था। एक तो रास्ता यह बताया कि हमारे समाज का सुधार सोचना चाहिये, हम को अपने चरित्र को ऊंचा करने की बात सो**चनी** इस में कोई सन्देह नहीं है कि अगर हम यह कर सकें, तब फिर इन ऐसे नियमों की, इन क़ानूनों की कोई आवश्यकताः नहीं रह जाती। किन्तु कठिनता तो बही है कि हम ऐसे सुघार स्वयं नहीं कर पाते 🛭

[पंडित मुतीश्वर दत्त उपाध्याय] ऐंदे समाजिक सुधार करने में अगर हम स्वज्ञान्द रहें तो कामयाब नहीं हो पाते । इस वास्ते इस बात की जरूरत होती है कि ऐसे नियम बनाये जायें, और इन नियमों को बना कर कभी कभी अगर हम बहुत कुछ नहीं कर सकते, बहुत आगे नहीं जा सकते सो बोड़ा बहुत सुधार तो कर ही लेते हैं। कला से कम क़ानून को भंग करने वाले या व्यक्तिचार करने झाले जो कि डर के मारे रोके था सकते हैं, कम से कम वे तो रक ही जाते हैं। बौर किर मद एक ऐसी चीज विश्व का असर एक साधारण असर होने समता है। तो मैं समझता हूं कि केवल बह सोच कर रह जाना कि हम को सामाजिक सुबार करना बावस्यक है, कंचा करने की बात करनी है, वह सब क्षो हमें करनाही है, सब करते हुए जो चीज हम बिना क़ानून बनावे हुए नहीं रोक सकते हैं, बिना कोई नियम बनाये हुए महीं रोक सकते हैं, वैसे नियम इय की बनाने आवस्यक हैं।

उस के पश्चात हम लोगों ने कि एक दूसरे हमारे मित्र और बोले, पन्तजी उन्होंने कुछ नैचुरल वरजेब (Natural urges) की बात भी की। इस में कोई सन्देह नहीं है कि जो इस सायन्स को जानने बाले हैं वह इस का भी अध्ययन कर रहे हैं और बड़ा गहरा अध्ययन जैसा उन्होंने बताया इस विषय का हुआ है और हो रहा है। लेकिन इस सब अध्ययन के करते हुए भी कोई रास्ता जो हमारे पास है जिस से कि हम कुछ सुधार ला सकें, कुछ मी सुवार कर सकें, तो एक ही रास्ता है, यानी इस की रुकावट क़ानून के जरिय से, नियम के जरिये से, जो कुछ भी कर सकें, करें। इस बात को ध्यान में रखते हुए में समझता हूं कि विधेयक जो मार्गवजी ने

उपस्थित किया है, यह एक अप्रवस्थक विभेयक है।

मैं देखता हूं कि मार्गव जी ने इस के: पहले अंग में जो बात कही उस का अक्ट उस के दूसरे अंग में जो बात कही उस से हटा दिया। में नहीं समझता कि यह पहला अंग और दूसरा अंग एक साथ कैसे बैठ सकते हैं। आप कहते हैं कि स्वीकृति की जो अवस्था है वह १६ वर्ष से १८ वर्ष तक बढ़ा दी जाय ।, १६ वर्ष के बजाये १८ वर्ष कर दी जाय 🕨 इस का अर्थ यह हुआ कि अभी तक तो अगर कोई शस्स कोई जुर्म करता था, किसी लड़की के साथ जो कि १६ वर्ष की हो और १६ वर्ष से ऊपर की हो तो अगर उस की रजामन्दी हो, अगर उस ल**ड़की की** स्वीकृति हो, तो कोई जुर्म नहीं होता या 1 अब भागवजी चाहते हैं कि वह अवस्था १८ वर्ष कर दी जाये। १८ वर्ष के नीचे जबा तक लड़की हो तब तक वह जुर्म मानाः जाय , और अगर उस की रजामन्दी हो, उस की स्वीकृति हो तब भी उस स्वीकृतिः की वजह से वह जुर्महटे नहीं। तो आप ने यह फ़रमाया। फिर नीके आकर दूसरे अंग में आप कहते हैं कि १६ और १८ वर्ष के बीच में ऐसी बार्ते अगर कोई ऐसा कोई जुर्म हो, तो उस जुर्म कें सुलह करने का भी हक रहे। उसा जुर्म में यह अधिकार रहे कि दोनों लड़का और लड़की, मर्व और औरत अगर चाहें तो बापस में सुलह कर सकें। एक माननीयः सदस्य ने इस पर बहुत बड़ी आपत्ति की 🕨 उन्होंने कहा कि सुलह का इस तरह कानून बनाना तो नैतिकता से बहुत गिरा हुआ। है। में उन से सहमत नहीं हूं। सुलह का क़ातून जहां कहीं, किसी भी ऐक्ट में लाया जा सके, कभो नैतिकता से हमेशा गिरा हु आ नहीं ही सकता। उन्होंने एक दो मिसार्के

and Code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Bill

दीं। वे मिसालें हो सकती हैं। हर क्रान्न के खिलाफ़ बहुत सी ऐसी मिसालें हो सकता है। वह तो व्यक्तिगत मामले हैं। लेकिन सुलह काइस में जो जिक किया गया है, में समझता है कि वह नैतिकता से गिरो हुई बात नहीं है। लेकिन जहां त्तक विघेयक का पहला अंग है, उस के असर को मिटाने वाला यह जरू है। भागंव जो यह चाहते हैं कि **कि**सी वजह से ऐसे ताल्लुकात हो **जा**यें, ऐसे सम्बन्ध हो जायें, तो उस हालत में दोनों अगर चाहें तो आपस में सूलह कर सकते हैं।

Indian Penal Code

पंडित ठाकुर बास भागेव : शादी कर लें।

पंडित मुनीश्वर दस उपाध्याय : हां, -सुलह कर लें, शादी कर लें। सुलह में जो कार्तेहों, वेसव कर लें। पतानहीं, जैसा कि एक माननीय सदस्य ने बताया कि हजारों लाखों रुपये लेने की बात कर लें। सिर हिला रहे हैं। उन का मतलब है कि वे रुपये लेने की चीज नहीं चाहते। ंवे चाहें था नहीं चाहें, जो शब्दावली है, इस विधेयक के अनुसार, वह वात जो कि हमारे प्रक मामनीय मित्र ने बताई वह भी हो -सकती है कि हजारों रुपये देने पड़ें और तब कोई बात तथ हो । यह भी हो सकता है। यह बसम्भव नहीं है। लेकिन मैं निवेदन करूंगा कि जहां वह चाहते है कि १६ और १८ वर्ष के बीच का जो समय है इस समय में इम लड़कियों को सुरक्षित रखें, ऐसे हमलों से जो कि उन पर हो सकते हैं, जो समाज में कभी कभी सुनाई पड़ते हैं, तो मैं समझता ह कि उस के साथ ही इस सुलह वाली बारा को ला कर के उन्होंने उस के असर को बहुत कम कर दिया है। मैं नहीं जामता कि न्यह दोनों बार्ते वह कैसे मिलाते हैं। लेकिन

में यह समझता हूं कि जहां तक अवस्था के बढ़ाने वाली बात है उस **में कोई** अधिक आपत्ति नहीं हो सकती। **जहां** तक सुलह वाली बात है, **इस सुलह** के अन्दर बहुत झमेला बाद को पैदा हो सकता है, बड़ा दिक्तें पड़ सकती है। इस से जो कानन पास करने का असर वह असर भी जाता रहता है। इस वास्ते मेरी समझ में यह जो सुझाव तरह तरह के आये, सामाजिक सुभार के और चरित्र ऊंचा करने के, इन सब को मानते हुए भी और इन पर पूरे तौर पर अमल करते। हुए भी यह आवश्यक है कि हम इस तरह का नियम जुरूर बनावें। लेकिन उस को बनाने के साथ हो एक दूसरा जो उस का अंग है वह अंग बिल्कुल बेकार है और वह अंग पहले अंग के असरको मिटाने वाला है। तो मैं निवेदन करूंगा कि वह पहला अंग ही हम लोगों को स्वोकार होना चाहिये।

4 P.M.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: Suggest some remedy out of it. The fine can be given, the warning can be given, section 562 is there. I am not saying that the bays must be approximately the saying that the boys must be sent to jail. If you can suggest a way in which it can be compounded in respect of persons who are willing to marry agreeable to make I am compoundable.

पंडित मुनीश्वर दत्त उपाप्यायः में निवेदन करूंगा कि जितने कानून बनते ह, यह जरूरी नहीं है कि किसी में हाडें केसज (hard cases) न हों, ऐसे केसेज को रहने देना चाहिये, पहला विभाग जो है, वही स्वीकार करना चाहिये और इसरा अंग जी है, उस की विलक्षल छीड़ देना चाहिये।

Dr. N. B. Khare: The offence contemplated in this Bill must necessarily be bilateral-it cannot be committed by one party alone. Active or passive, co-operation of both the parties is essential for the performance of the offence.

[Dr. N. B. Khare]

2102

Few days ago we passed a Bill about bribery and in that Bill we had Few days made it penal for both the giver of the bribe and the taker of the bribe. Similarly, in this matter of sex commerce there cannot be one-sided punishment.

This is not an age of chivalry. is an age of equality of sexes. When women demand it peremptorily, they must have it. We do not know, or it is very difficult to distinguish, in this matter who is the tempter and who is the tempted. When an act is perform-ed both should be held guilty. After all according to the science of erotics there is a saying in Sanskrit:

प्राप्ते तु बोडगे वर्षे गर्दभ्यप्यप्सरा भवेत ।

When a woman reaches the age of 16, even if she is an ass she looks beautiful as an apsara. That is not a man's fault. The science of erotics cannot be helped. And, nowadays, I must say that our girls are becoming aggressively attractive—with the modern cosmetics they are using they are getting aggressively attractive. So it is not the fault of poor men if they are victimised. They should not be, but it is not their fault. Therefore, according to me, if such things happen both should be punished.

And there is one more thing: now I come to the pure physical act, as a medical man. The law provides that between 16 and 18 years if a woman seeks sexual act with her husband it is all right; but with a stranger it be-comes an offence. I fail to understand how the consequences of the sexual act performed by the husband, physically I mean, and by a stranger, are different. Therefore, although I agree entirely with my hon, friend the Mover of the Bill in all the fine sentiments about morality and culture ex-pressed by him, I do not agree with him in all the provisions of his Bill, because we have to take into consideration the other surrounding factors. Therefore, my submission is that both should be made punishable. The physical act cannot be bifurcated or differentiated as between one performed by the husband and the other per-formed by a stranger. The consequ-ences are the same. Therefore, I am not in favour of this Bill.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: The distinction is quite clear—in one case the off spring is legitimate, in the other it is illegitimate.

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: I yield to none in my loyalty and admiration for the historic and cultural heritage of our country. If Hindu society held together in spite of the innumerableinvading hordes we have seen through. the history of five thousand years and more, it is not merely due to thebravery of our men, but it is also dueto the chastity of our women and their loyalty to the great ideal set by. Sita. Savitri and others.

But it does not mean that I am supporting this Bill, because I find it rather difficult to persuade myself to rather difficult to persuade myself to support it. There seems to be some: confusion of ideas in the mind of the hon. Mover of this Bill. It is one thing to raise the age of consent of marriage. I am one with him when my hon. friend wants to raise it from 16 to 18. In this matter, however, I am opposing it not because the position is inconsistent or incongruous, but for reasons which I shall presently submit. sently submit.

The point is this. We have been dealing only with the word 16 and 18 without looking to the fact how these would affect the section. With your kind permission I will read the word ing of section 375:

"A man is said to commit 'rape' who except in the case hereinafter excepted, has sexual intercourse with a woman under circumstance of the case of the cumstances falling under any of the five following descriptions:-

First.—Against her will.

Sencondly.-Without her consent.

Thirdly.--With her consent. when her consent has been obtained by putting her in fear of death, or of hurt.

Fourthly.—With her consent... when the man knows that he is not her husband, and that her consent is given because she believes that he is another man to whom she is or believes herself tobe lawfully married.

Fifthly.-With or without her consent. when she is under 14 years of age."

The last clause was subsequently amended to 16 and now by this measure it is sought to be raised to-

In the original section, that is in the Penal Code of 1860, the age was fixed at ten. By the Act X of 1891 it was amended and the age was raised to 12. The Statement of Objects and Reasons for raising it from ten to 12 stated thus:

"The limit at which the age of consent is now fixed, that is ten

years, favours the premature consummation by adult husbands of marriages with children who have not reached the age of puberty and that is, in the unanimous opinion of medical authorities productive of grievous suffering, and permanent injury to child wives and of physical deterioration in the community to which they be-

It was on that ground that it was raised from ten to twelve. Subsequently the age was again raised from 12 to 14 by Act XXIV of 1925. There the Statement of Objects and Reasons ran as follows:

"Books on medical jurisprudence establish the fact that the age of puberty in India is attained by a girl about her reaching the age of 14. Even though puberty may be reached, it is obvious that girls are unfit for sexual inter-course till they are older and more developed in physique and strength. The appalling infant mortality in the country is mortality in the country is partially ascribed to early marriages and the consummation which follows with immature girls. It is, therefore, not only for the protection of minor girls but also of their progeny that the age of consent should be raised to at least 14 years."

Subsequently by the Act of 1949, the age of 14 was again raised to 16. I am sorry I have not got the Statement of Object and Reasons, but it was passed in 1949, as you will re-member, when here were so many in-human acts following in the wake of partition. The Act which raised the age from 14 to 16 must be read in the background of those circumstances. Now, when everything is settled, the hon. Member Pandit Bhargava wants to base his Bill upon the report of 1929 and in paragraph two of the Statement of Objects and Reasons the House will see it stated why this Bill is being brought.

"Now under the present stress of civilisation when better and more extensive opportunities exist for college and other kinds education and employment οf in factories and offices, a young girl is more exposed than before and requires more protection.

I find the language is almost the same as is found in chapter X of the Report of the Age of Consent Committee.

"The age of a girl between 16 and 18, when she has no experi-

ence of the world, is the age when the law should cast its protecting wings around her. Her immature judgment and inexperience of worldly affairs entitles her to be treated as not fully grown up and nobody should be : allowed to take advantage of her undeveloped intellect. In the eye of the law a girl of 18 is an infant, not capable of disposing of her properties. Obviously, therefore, the age of consent at the estramarital cases should not be liess = than 18."

My humble submission is that the reasoning based in this paragraph seems to be fallacious. I shall presently submit how it is. I find from the Age of Consent Committee Report that. some of the reasons which have been advanced there are contained in this. paragraph. The first point that they urge is this:

reasons for an advance: are fairly clear and have been put forward with great vigour by; those who have advocated and advance in the age. Physically and physiologically a girl at 14 is not fit for the sexual act, and still less for the possible consequences of such an act. All the reasons that have been advanced with reference to marital cases from a medical point of view, apply with equal if not greater force in this.

It is a bit difficult to follow this line of argument, because if the person is physically and psychologically fit, and biologically too, to bear children, I do not see how it becomes necessary, for the purpose of section 375 of the Indian Penal Code alone, to raise the age. It is considered by medical books and authorities—if you medical books and authorities-if you. turn any book on medical jurisprud-ence, for instance, Modi's Medical: Jurisprudence—you will find it authoritatively stated that in a good per-centage the age of puberty is some-where about twelve. And I find, going: through other statistics also that therehas been a physical degeneration in our women. You will find that the ageof puberty which was formerly 14 or 15, or which in the early nineties was somewhere about 18 in the villages, there has been a gradual fall and the average now is somewhere between 12 and 13. It may be a case of physical degeneration. Nevertheless, for the degeneration. Nevertheless, for the purposes of this Bill it would show that physiologically and physically nature has made them fit. And to enforce them to keep idle or to make-punishable any deviation from a morals. rectitude of persons up to eighteen, would be imposing a great difficulty.

[Shri S. V. Ramaswamy]

Indian Penal Code

The second argument they advanced is that the consenting mind is absent. I do not find it easy to agree to this because modern girls get education. I find they are more and more self-reliant, self-assertive, courag-eous, bold. And I believe they can take care of themselves. To contend that even though they are physiologically fit at fourteen, they are not mentally so, is not paying tribute to the intellectual and moral attainments wof our young girls.

The third line of argument that was taken up was that the social changes need greater protection. It has been argued that because girls have got to go to schools and colleges, and girls have to go to offices companies, departments of Government, it is necessary to protect them because they have got to go for their living, and while in pursuit of their living they might be exposed to certain dangers. I find it difficult to follow this line of argument as well. I find it is an argument for not raising the age at all. It is the other way. It is all right for those people who are well off, who can go and take to married life, settle down and run a family. But what about those poor women who are placed in men too? I belong to a very puritan family. I am a puritan too. I will not allow any deviation from a moral rectitude. Nevertheless the approach to this problem must be reclicit. to this problem must be realistic. It must have some relation to the economic background and the social condi-tions that are prevailing. There are men and women who, because they are not socially well placed—and economically too—cannot marry and settle down in life. They may be working in the same factory. They may be working in the same office. There may be intimate relationship between them. But that does not mean that it is bad. Because, the storm and stress of reconomic forces is so great, and the need to maintain a standard of living and to give an equal standard of living to the progeny is so urgent, that they cannot immediately marry and settle down. Even though there have been lapses from a puritanical view of moral rectitude, oftentimes this breek-away from it leads to and ends in happy marriages. Take for instance a man and woman working in an office. The girl is 18, the man is say, 20. Should there be any lapse—I use the word carefully-should there be any lapse on the part of the woman to make this a punishable offence? or the man, is the hon. Member going The consequences are punishment.

Social and economic conditions prevent them from marrying like others and settling down in life. But until they are able to earn their living and marry and settle down in married life, is it the contention of the Mover of this Bill that that man and woman should not have any relationship? It is ideal, I agree. But is it practical? Has it got any bearing to life as we see. To make that an offence, I submit. is too

Therefore, what I submit is this. I do not say we must encourage these lapses. That is not my object. But the facts of life are there. All that you can do is, give the men and women a proper education, particularly the women. Give them not merely book knowledge, but physical training, moral training to stand on their own legs to defend themselves, to be self-reliant, self-assertive and not merely depend upon this law which, as has been adumberated in the Statement of Objects and Reasons, is going to protect them.

also have been Other arguments advanced against the raising of this age. Well, I do not find myself in agreement with some arguments advanced here but this point is worthy of note: whether it will not give a handle to prostitutes or people of that category to blackmail young men. It would be open to women of questionable character to bring forth false cases against young men and implicate them also in cases of page 25 cate them also in cases of rape and I believe raising this age up to the higher limit will give them a greater handle to blackmail. I do not find myself in agreement with the arguments advanced that the living of prostitutes are going to be affected. I, for one, am not in favour of the existence of prostitution. It ought to go. It is a social evil. It is true that it cannot be done away with all of a sudden but we are not going to give the statutory encouragement to such a statutory encouragement to such a state of affairs. Nevertheless the danger to young men from the existence of prostitution is there. The added difficulty is there if we allow the age to be raised.

I do not know why my friend wants to raise this age when in England, for raise this age when in England, for instance, they are reducing the age, I believe, to 15. I speak subject to correction. Sixteen, in my humble opinion, will be all right. If the BIII raising the age to 16 was passed in 1949, it was because of the peculiar circumstances under which that BIII was brought into existence. The learned Mover of this BIII and that learned Mover of this Bill said that

he was hoping to protect the chastity and virginity of our young girls. I quite agree it is a laudable object but viewing the trends not merely in other countries but in our country also, it would be difficult to check the social trends by merely raising the age from 16 to 18. I do not wish to deal with what is taking place in other countries but the other day I came across an interesting book—The sex Habits of American Women. It is a very serious book.

Dr. Katju: Why bring all this?

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: I take your advice. I will not read it. Such of those hon. Members who want to go through it can read pages 47 to 51 and it will give an insight into the amount of virginity which still exists in other countries.

An Hon. Member: Will you please read it?

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: Let us maintain a certain amount of decorum. It is a serious matter.

I would like to submit two other points. I do not know how the proposed amendment fits in with section 376. Now section 376 runs as follows:

"Whoever commits rape shall be punished with transportation for life or with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to ten years, and shall also be liable to fine, unless the woman raped is his own wife and is not under twelve under twelve years of age, in which case he shall be punished with imprisonment of either deswhich may cription for a term extend to two years, or with fine, or with both."

This amendment seeks to impose a lesser punishment on offences committed by persons between the ages of 16 and 18. Between that section and the amending clause I find there is a gap between the ages of 12 and 16. How this amendment will fill up that gap I fail to see.

Lastly, clause 4 seeks to amend Schedule II of the Code of Criminal Procedure. There again I find the difficulty as to how it fits in with the clause. I shall read Schedule II with reference to section 376:

"Sexual intercourse by a man on his own wife under the age of 12 years" that is the first clause. The second clause is:

If the sexual intercourse was by a man on his own wife under 12 years of age"

t I seeme

then last clause is "in any other case". In between the second and the last clause, the learned Mover would have the following clause:

"Sexual intercourse woman between 16 and 18 years of age when the girl is a consenting party."

The learned Mover would have it an offence and then impose imprisonment of either description for two years or fine or both. If this is the case, why thrust in a third clause, I still fail to see. What would happen if the offence relates to persons between the ages of 12 and 16. We will find therefore that on all these points the proposed amend-ments do not cover the gaps and I submit there is a serious flaw and therefore not merely on grounds of policy but on grounds of defective framing itself, I submit that this House do reject the Bill.

I, once again, submit that the way to protect our girls is not by merely raising the age from 16 to 18 and depending only on the law but upon their own inherent strength, physical and moral, not merely intellectual. There is an inherent self-reliance and capacity to protect themselves. We must work towards that end and that is the aim that we must work for and whatever will tend towards achieving that object will have my full support as well as of the House.

श्री पी० एन० राजभोज (शोलापुर---रक्षित-अनुसूचित जातियां) : बिल के बारे में बहुत कुछ कहना नहीं है। जो कुछ कहना है वह यह है कि मेरा रूयाल है कि हमारे लोगों की जो आर्थिक परिस्थिति है। बहु अच्छी नहीं है जब तक वह ठीक नहीं होती है तब तक इस बिल के लाने से हमारे समाज या सोसायटी फायदा होगा यह मेरी समझ में आता है।

दूसरी बात में यह कहना चाहता हूं कि जो .हमारे लोग हैं वह बैक्वर्ड (backward) हैं। वहां पंचायत पद्धति है और शेड्यल्ड कास्ट (Scheduled Caste)में तो सारा ही काम पंचायतों के द्वारा होता है। अगर कहीं कोई गढ़-बड़ी का काम हो जाये तो बहु पंचायत के पास आता है और हम छोग भापस में ही मिल कर उस को तम कर केंद्रे

[श्री पी॰ एन॰ राजभोज]

हैं और झगड़ा मिट जाता है। जो कानून हम बनाते हैं, जैसे शारदा एक्ट बना, देहातों में जो शादियां होती हैं और पुलिसवाले जा कर पूछते हैं कि तुम्हारी उम्र क्या है, तो उम्र तो ठीक रहती है लेकिन चकि लोग पढे लिखे ज्यादा नहीं हैं, इसलिये वह ठीक ठीक उम्र तक नहीं बतला पाते हैं। और ठीक टीक उम्र न बताने से उन के अपर कोई में, क़ान्न के खिलाफ़ काम करने के लिये कार्रवाई होती है। तो हम लोगों की उन्नति होनी चाहिये, हमें बहादूर होना चाहिये, हम लोगों को जागृत होना चाहिये, हमारी नैतिकता अच्छी होनी चाहिये, में इस के खिलाफ़ नहीं हैं। लेकिन जो लोग बुढ़े हो कर भी शादी करते हैं तो उन के खिलाफ़ भी कानून होना चाहिये. यह नहीं होना चाहिये कि जो नौजवान लड़की लड़के हों उन्हीं के लिये कानून बनाया जाय। यह जो कानुन लाया गया है वह टीक हे' लेकिन उस को अमल में लाने में हमारी गवर्नमेंट को कितनी तकलीफ़ होगी। मामला पूलिस के पास जाता है, पूलिस पूछती है कि तुम्हारी उम्म क्या है। वह कहते हैं कि हमारी उम्र १७ वर्ष की है। पुलिस इस में क्या कर सकती है, उन के पास पूरा सर्टिफ़िकेट नहीं है, न कोर्ट का सर्टिफ़िकेट है, क्योंकि उन के लिये कम्पल्सरी एजुकेशन (compulsory education) नहीं है।

हम ऐजयूकेशन में कितने बैकवर्ड हैं और जब तक हमारा देश ऐजयूकेशनली फ़ावंबर्ड नहीं होगा, यह कानून काफ़ी नहीं होगा और मेरे स्थाल से आज ऐसा नहीं है। यह जी क़ानून है यह मिडिल क्लास (middle class) के लोगों के लिये है, मिडिल क्लास के लोगों में थोड़ी सराबी है, क्योंकि विडिल क्लास में ऐजयूकेशन क्यादा है और इन में कास्त्रिय स्टूबेंटस स्थादा है और यही बात में समझता हूं कि इस बिल के लाने वाले पंडित जी के दिमाग में भी थी जिस के कारण वह ऐसा बिल यहां लाये। मेरी राय में अगर कम्पलसरी ऐजयकेशन के लिये अगर कोई बिल लाया जाय या हमारी जो हालत खराब हो गई है, उस को सुषारने के लिये कोई बिल लावें तो ज्यादा अच्छा होगा । पंडित जी की हमारे ऊपर वडी कृपा रहती है और कभी कभी वह हमारे लोगों के उत्थान के लिये बोलते हैं, लेकिन इस बिल के बारे में मुझे यह कहना है कि वह कम से कम इस के बारे में पहले यह जानने की कोशिश करें कि पबलिक ओपीनियन (public opinion) क्या है और इस वास्ते इस को सर्लैक्ट कमेटी में भेजें और मालुम करें कि इस बिल के बारे में लोगों की क्या राय है। मेरा कहना यह है कि हमारी हालत को देखते हुए और बैकवर्डनैस को देखते हुएमेरी दुष्टि में यह बिल बैकवर्ड क्लासेज के लिये अच्छा नहीं है और जैसा हमारी बहिन श्रीमती उमा नेहरू ने बताया कि उस के लिये दोनों की पूरुष और स्त्री की गलती है, पुरुष का भी कैरक्टर (character) होना चाहिये और महिला का भी कैरक्टर होना चाहिये। आज सीता और सावित्री की यहां पर चर्चा की जाती है, लेकिन दूनिया में आज कहां सीता और सावित्री दिखाई देती हैं। लोग कहते हैं कि भाई पूरुष भी अच्छे होते हैं, तो वह तो हम सब पालियामेंट जो बैठे हुए हैं वह इस छो जानते हैं कि पूरुष कितने अच्छे हैं। यहां पार्लियामेंट में मैं ऐसी कोई बात नहीं निकालना -चाहता जो यहां पर मुनासिय न जंचे, लेकिन मेरी राय यह है कि यह बिल अभी लाना नहीं चाहिये या और अगर अभी ले आये हैं तो कम से कम उस बिल के बारे में प्रवालिक श्रोपीनियन क्या है, स्रोगों की राय क्या है, उस को जान लेना चाहिये और पेसा में

and Code of Criminal 2114 Procedure (Amendment) Bill

परिगणित जाति के दृष्टिकोण को ध्यान में रखते हुए कहता हं, क्योंकि में देखता हं कि शारदा ऐक्ट बन तो गया लेकिन अमल में नहीं आ रहा है और वह खाली शो (show) है और मुझे डर है कि कहीं यह बिल भी एक शो मात्र ही न रह जाय। मेरा इस कारण इस बिल के बारे में विरोध है और कम अज कम हाउस जब तक पबलिक ओगीनियन इस के बारे में क्या है, उसे प्राप्त नहीं कर लेता, तब तक उस के ऊपर यहां चर्चा करना ठीक नहीं है। इस वास्ते मेरा अनुरोध है कि यह बिल सेलैक्ट कमेटी को भेजा जाय और उस में जो कुछ अमेंडमेंट्स ला सकते हों, वह लाये जायें। इतना बोल कर मैं अपनी बात खत्म करता है।

Indian Penal Code

Shri N. Somana (Coorg): I am in full support and sympathy with this Bill. I look at it merely from one point of view, and that is, that this Bill has been aimed at raising the age of con-sent. The most important point to be considered by the House is, what should be the age of consent. If we see this chapter which deals with infants, that is sections 359 to 376, we see that so far as offences affecting minors are concerned, every section lays down the age as 18. That is the purpose. Under law, a person under the age of 18 is supposed to be an infant and either he or she, she in this case, is not competent to give any consent for a valid contract. So, when it is a question of giving consent, there cannot be any valid consent unless that is given by a major. I am really surprised why this section has not been amended so far, when it is a question of consent so far as this particular sub-clause is concerned.

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: Is it a contract?

Shri N. Somana: It is so: consent is necessary for a contract. Because, as my hon, friend, a barrister of 22 years standing knows, a contract cannot be entered into without consent.

In this particular case, the question is whether an offence can be com-mitted without the consent of the lady, and if that is so, what should be the age. Under the law, a person is supposed to be an infant up to the age of 18 and no valid consent can be given until she attains the age of 18.

Therefore, my hon. friend Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava has brought this Bill to raise the age to 18. As I said at the beginning, looking at the structure of the whole chapter, in every case, in describing the offences, the age limit of 18 is there. It is quite in keeping with the structure of this in keeping with the structure of this chapter that the age of consent should be raised to 18. I think the questions of raising the standard of morality, etc., are beside the point so far as this Bill is concerned. Every one is anxious to raise the moral standards of our society; but the solution must come in other forms. This Bill relates to the validity of consent given by a to the validity of consent given by a lady and I think no consent can be valid under law unless the age of the person is 18 years. Even under the Guardianship Act, the age limit of 18 has been fixed for attaining majority. After 18 years of age, he or she is entitled to enter into a contract and they need no protection. In law any entitled to enter into a contract and they need no protection. In law, any person under 18 years is deemed to be an infant under the protection of some guardian, may be natural or appointed by the court or otherwise. No consent can be valid at all unless it has been given by the lady over 18 years of age. I think, it is merely for that purpose, that my learned friend Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava has brought this Bill. I do not see any reason why this House should hesitate to pass the Bill straightway. straightway.

So far as clause 3 of the Bill is concerned, my hon, friend Mr. Ramaswamy found that there was some incongruity in that clause. I do not see any incongruity at all. As stated in the Statement of Objects and Reasons, punishment as regards offences committed between the ages of 16 and 18 has been lessened for a particular reason. That is the age at which a girl grows mature in brain. which a girl grows mature in brain. There is no question of the growth of body at all; consent is concerned only with the maturity of the brain. Between the ages of 16 and 18, there is a process of growing, and that is the very reason why the punishment in the case of offences between the ages 16 and 18 has been lessened and minimized Similar is the case in respect. mised. Similar is the case in respect of a woman who is married and is above the age of 12. There is nothing incongruous about it.

Even so, I do not think there is anything which offends or which is incongruous in clause 4 of the Bill, as my hon. friend Mr. S. V. Ramaswamy put it. It certainly fits in very well with the Schedule. The only question to be considered is whether this offence should be made compoundable and should be made compoundable and that is a question on which, probably, there may be divided opinion whether

(Amendment) Bill

[Shri N. Somana]

such an offence should be compoundable or non-compoundable as is the case with reference to all other portions of section 376. Barring that, my humble submission is that this Bill should certainly be accepted whole sale and there is no necessity for us to dispute the advisability of raising the age.

Indian Penal Code

Shri Raghavachari (Penukonda): I have been listening to the discussion on the Bill and I find that there has not been a clear understanding of the whole scheme of the Indian Penal Code. After all, argument after argument has been advanced by hon. Members and they were trying to make out some capital, out of the difference made in the Bill between a man and a woman, both of whom have to join to commit this offence.

In fact, under sections 375 and 376, the Indian Penal Code at present concerns itself only with the offence committed by the man. Therefore, the arguments that there should be no distinction between man and woman in this respect do not at all arise. They are beside the point.

Next, the question is whether the age of consent now available in the Act to take a man's offence out of the category of offence should be preserved at 16 as at present or should be raised to 18. Those who have some experience of courts know that the offences that really are brought up before courts are only cases where there has been method of committing sexual offence. Invariably cases come before a court when, without the consent of the parents or the girl, some rufflan or rowdy or an objectionable some of the parents of the girl, some rufflan or rowdy or an objectionable some or of the parents of the p person carries away young girls, and then forces the court to take cogniz-ance of the offence, certainly at the initiation of the persons concerned. And in all those cases, if we examine our own experience, what invariably is found is that the girl who has been carried away oftentimes is a witness to show that she has given consent, and the prosecution proves useless. In and the prosecution proves useless. In fact, this Bill is not expected to—nor do I believe it will—prevent all kinds of objectionable relations between young girls and boys of a particular age. If social standards have to be raised, surely the law must come to assist and help. If an offence of this kind cannot be contemplated with imkind cannot be contemplated with impunity, then there is some check. Surely, that, we can expect, and there-fore, to my mind it looks that the age of consent which is oftentimes used now at 16 dangerously, may as well be raised to 18.

The other arguments advanced by Members of this House that it may be used as a weapon of blackmailing, as a weapon to force people into unwilling marriages etc., appear to be beside the point, for, these abuses are available even now with the age of consent at 16. I fail to see where all the strength of that argument is for persons only between 16 and 18. Therefore, the arguments are more or less simply conceived for the sake of argument; increasing the age from 16 to 18 is the only realistic way of approaching it and thus preventing, or at any rate, largely contributing to the prevention, of such violent ways of dealing with young girls.

It may be asked, what is the age of consent? That has also been raised. It was thought that 16 was sufficiently a proper age of consent, taking into account thre climatic conditions in our country and probably also the experience so far. We all know that under jurisprudence, 18 years has been accepted as the legal age of consent. Therefore, apart from the age of 16 or 18 being the proper age for valid consent, the question that is really to be considered is whether, in consonance with the whole scheme of the Act and the experience of society as at present constituted, it would not be more for the benefit of raising the moral and social standards, if we raise the age from 16 to 18.

Again, some argument has been advanced that there are more opportunities now where a young girl and a boy might misbehave because of coeducation. working together in factories and things like that. In fact, it might even be stated that in these days when family planning and contraceptives and things like that are everywhere to be found, the society or the law cannot be expected to completely prevent this kind of extramarital relationship between a boy and a girl. What we are concerned with is that, as the society is constituted at present, no young man should be permitted with impunity to lay hands upon a girl whether she is on this side of 16 or on that side, when it is raised, as I have submitted, to 18.

Dr. Lanka Sundaram (Visakhapatnam): What about the other way round.

Shri Raghavacheri: The arguments about the tempter and the tempted etc., are beside the point, as I have already submitted. In the scheme of the Indian Penal Code, this offence is one of rape. Therefore, the question "No distinction should be made bet-

ween a boy and a girl", does not arise to my mind.

It looks that the Mover of this Bill has also provided, because he is suddenly raising the age of consent from 16 to 18, for some kind of compounding of the offences committed in that borderland. It was said that this measure of compounding might be used as a weapon to force an unwilling marriage. When a young man and a girl have come together, it will be the happiest thing that can be desired if society should force that they should live as man and wife, and it is not a matter on which anybody must have scruples and say, "This young man is forced to live with a woman with whom he agreed to live some time".

Therefore, it would be really helpful if we should take a realistic view that this age of consent should be raised from 16 to 18. All the arguments advanced appear to be manufactured merely for the sake of argument, and really it might not affect either the liberties or the health and the safety of the society. Therefore, I am prepared to welcome this Bill and request the House to accept it.

बाबू रामनारायण सिंह : सभानेत्री महोदया, में आप को बहुत बहुत धन्यवाद देता हूं कि आज जब कि सन्ध्या हो रही हैं और भवन का कार्य समाप्त ोने में पांच सात मिनट शेष हैं, आप ने मुझे कुछ कहने का अवसर दे दिया।

इस विधेयक के प्रस्तावक श्रीयुत ठाकुर दास जी हमारे बहुत ही पुराने और बड़े मित्र हैं। जब हम लोग एक दल में थे उस वक्त हम लोगों की राय बहुत ही मिलती जुलती थी। उन्होंने समाज सुधार का बहुत कुछ काम किया है जिस के लिये वह समाज के घन्यवाद के पात्र हैं। अंग्रेजी काल में जो कंसेंट कमेटी (Consent Committee) बनी थी उस के भी यह सदस्य थे। सारे देश में भ्रमण किया। पटना भी गये थे। मैं ने भी इन के सामने गवाही दी थी। तो यह बराबर ही समाज सुधार की तरफ़

झुकते रहे हैं और काम करते आये हैं। तो यह बिल उन के लिये कुछ नई बात नहीं है। इस को शुरू करते ही इन्होंने कहा था कि अंग्रेजी काल में तो जो हुआ सो हुआ लेकिन अब तो नैशनल गवर्नमेंट है यह काम हो जाना चाहिये। तो उसी पर मैं ने कहा था कि भाई नैशनल गवर्नमेंट मत बोलो। उन को यह नापसन्द हुआ। और लोग भी बोले कि नैशनल गवर्नमेंट न कहें तो क्या कहें। में ने कहा कि नैशनल गवर्नमेंट तो यह नहीं है। लेकिन हमारी बात को यह नहीं माने। चूंकि यह बात शुरू ही में निकल पड़ी थी इसलिये में इस की ओर भी व्याख्या किये देता हूं। यह तो सभी लोग जानते हैं और जो लोग न जानते हों वह भी जान लें कि अंग्रेज़ी हाउस आफ़ पार्लियामेंट में अगर मजदूर सरकार होती है तो वह मजदूर सरकार कहलाती है और अगर कंजरवेटिव (conservative) सरकार होती तो कंजरवेटिव सरकार कहलाती है । ले**किन** वह नैशनल गवर्नमेंट नहीं कही जाती है।

उन्होंने विधान के बारे में कहा था तो विधान भी पढ लीजियेगा। आप इस को भारत सरकार कहें, अपनी सरकार कहें जैसा चाहें कहें। लेकिन जब तक दलबन्दी रहेगी मैं समझता हूं कि सरकार के विरोध में भी बहुत से लोग रहेंगे, तब तक इस को नैशनल गवर्नमेंट नहीं कह सकते । यह ानुनी बात है। सभानेत्री महोदया वास्तविक बात तो यह है कि इस को अगर कांग्रेस सरकार कहें, या एक आदमी की सरकार कहें तो इस में कोई आश्चर्य नहीं होगा। या इसको किसी क्लिक (clique) की सरकार कहें। यह बात बिल पर जो बहस हो रही थी उस के शुरू में ही आ गई थी, इसी बास्ते इस की व्याख्या कर देना ठीक है।

2120

[बाबू रामनारायण सिंह]

2119

अभी काट्यू साहब और हम सब लोग बात कर रहे थे तो में ने काट्यू साहब से कहा या कि देखिये, हमारे भागंब जी की इतनी बात मानलीजिये। इन्होंने बहुत अपील की है यह समझकर कि यह नेशनल सरकार है, मगर ग़लती से उन्होंने यह कहा है, लेकिन खैर अब देखना है कि इन की जो यह राष्ट्रीय सरकार है यह कहां तक इनकी मदद करती है।

सरबार ए० एस० सहयलः आप की सरकार नहीं है ?

बाब राजनारायण सिंह : नहीं, हमारी सरकार नहीं, देश के कुछ लोगों की सरकार है। हमारी हालत इस विघेयक के बारे में यह है कि जैसा मैं ने कहा हमारी और इन की राय बहत मिलती जुलती थी और आज जो बहस हुई इस समा में, उस से मुझे बहुत आनन्द आया कि आज लोग दिल स्रोलकर, किसी नैता या व्हिप (whip) के डर से नहीं, बल्कि लोग दिल खोल कर अपनी अपनी बातें कह रहे हैं। मुझे बड़ी खुची हुई। म सब से कहता हुं कि इसी में देश का कल्याण है कि लोग दलबन्दी से बातं न करें, अपने हृदय की बात करें, हृदय से विचार करें और निर्णय करें। के बाद जो निर्णय होगा वह देश के लिये कल्याणकारी होगा।

भी फ्रीरोज गांधी (जिलाप्रतापगढ़— पश्चिम व जिला रायबरेली-पूर्व) : १६ रहे या १८ रहे ?

बाबू रामनारायण सिंह: आप इतना क्यों घबराते हैं। यह विषय इतना आसान तो नहीं है कि तुरन्त ही खत्म कर दिया जाय। तो जैसा में कह रहा का कि हमारी उन की राय बहुत मिलती जुलती थी। तो मैं बहुत

देर तक यही सोच रहा था और क़रीब क़रीब मेरे दिल में यह बात थी कि मैं इस बिल का समर्थन करूं। मेरे दिल में यह बात थी। लेकिन जो बातें हुईं, उन सबों की राय हम ने सुनीं और फिर मैं ने भी जो सोचातो अब तो मालुम होता है कि इस विषेयक का में विरोध ही करूं तब सही बात होगी। इस बिल का सरकार तो विरोध करेगी. इसलिये कि वह किसी की सरकार है ही नहीं। लेकिन हम इस वास्ते विरोध नहीं करते कि सरकार तो इस का विरोध कर ही रही है। हम लोग तो सोच विचार कर, जैसा में ने अभी कहा और मैं ठीक बात कहता हूं। ग्रलत बात नहीं कहता हूं, निर्णय करते हैं। एक घंटे पहिले तक तो मेरी राय थी कि मैं इस विषेयक का समर्थन करूं, लेकिन अब तो राय बदल गई है जैसा मैं ने कहा कि अब तो में इस का विरोध करता हं।

बात इस में यह है कि एक ओर हमें देखना चाहिये। जो कानून बनते हैं तो देश के लिये कानून बनने चाहियें, जैसा अंग्रेजी में लोग कहते हैं कि "ला इज नियंग बट दी बिल आफ़ दी पीपुल एक्सप्रैस्ड इन टम्सं आफ़ ला।" यही क़ानून की परिभाषा है कि जो जनता चाहती है उस को क़ानून का रूप दे देना चाहिये। लेकिन हिन्दुस्तान में बह बात नहीं है। जनता कहीं रहे यह पालियामेंट जो है वह भी कहीं रहे, यहां कुछ लोग जो चाहते हैं वह तो पास हो ही जाता है।

सरबार ए० एस० सहगल यह बात ग्रलत है।

बाबू रामनारायण सिंह : यह बात तो गुलत नहीं है, लेकिन आप को तो खुश करने के लिये ऐसा कहना ही होगा।

यहां एक प्रस्ताव आया कि इस का प्रचार किया जाय । देश में इस पर मत लिया 🕻 जाय । वह चाहिये ही था। लेकिन में कहता हूं कि नहीं, जब बिल ही ठीक नहीं है तब उस का विरोध कर के इस को खत्म कर देना ही ठीक है, क्यों समय बरबाद किया जाय और इघर उघर भेजा जाय । सभानेत्री महोदया, इस में घोसा यह है कि जो क़ानून बनते हैं तो इसलिये कि उन से देश में जो झगड़े हों वे खत्म हों। लेकिन हमारे यहां तो ऐसे ऐसे क़ानून बन जाते हैं जिन से झगड़े और बढ़ जाते हैं। यहां यह एक घोला है। मैं क्यों इस विषेयक के खिलाफ़ हो गया वह मैं कहता हं। मैं सोचता हं कि यह तो सही है कि १६ वर्ष से बढ़ा कर १८ कर दिया जाय। उस का अर्थयह होगा कि कोई। बालिका १८ वर्ष की जब तक है, तब तक उस ने चाहे अपनी स्वीकृति दी हो चाहे न दी हो, उस के साथ सम्भोग करने वाला जो मनुष्य होगा वह ऋसूरवार होगा। तो यह तो हुआ १८ वर्ष तक। लेकिन उस के साथ साथ यह भावना तो आ सकती है कि जो ऋसूर होगा वह १८ वर्ष तैक ही होगा। लेकिन १८ वर्ष के बाद क्या होगा? इस वास्ते इस में झगड़ा है, क्योंकि जब कहीं पर आप सीमा निर्धारित कर देते हैं, सीमा क़बूल कर लेते हैं, कि १८ वर्ष तक क़सूर समझा जायेगा, लेकिन १८ वर्ष से एक दिन, दो दिन, एक महीना, दो महीना अधिक हो गया, तब आप उस को इसपुर कहेंगे कि नहीं?

लेकिन इस कानून का लोगों पर यह असर होगा कि चूंकि कसूर १८ वर्ष तक ही माना गया है ...

पंडित ठाकुर दास भागेद : अभी क़ानून क्या है ?

बाबू रामनारायण सिंहः अभी तो जैसा क़ानून है वह आप जानते ही हैं।

पंडित ठाकुर बास भार्यव: अभी १६ से एक महीना ज्यादा हो तो क्या क़ानून है? क्या आप चाहते हैं कि सारी उम्र के लिये यह कर दिया जाय, कि कोई औरत सारी उम्र रजामन्दी न दे सके। अगर आप ऐसा चाहते हैं तो हमें बिल को मानने में क्या आपत्ति है।

बाबू रामनारायण सिंहः नहीं, उस के लिये तो मैं राजी हो जाऊंगा, क्योंकि हमारे शास्त्र में दिया हुआ है कि:

मातूबत परदारेषु परद्रव्येषु छोष्ठवत आत्मवत सर्वभूतेषु यः पश्यति स पण्डितः 5 P.M.

Mr. Chairman: Will the hon. Member finish shortly?

बाबू रामनारायण सिंहः जी हां, कुछ समय तो और मिलना चाहिये।

Mr. Chairman: Then I think the matter may stand over till the next private Members' day of the next session.

The House then adjourned till a Quarter to Eleven of the Clock on Friday, the 12th December, 1952.