Magha 27, 1887 (Saka)

LOK SABHA DEBATES

(THIRD SERIES)

Vol. L, 1966/1887 (Saka)

[February 14 to 28, 1966/Magha 25 to Phalguna 9, 1887 (Saka)]



Fourteenth Session, 1966/1887-88 (Saka)

(Vol. L. contains Nos. 1 to 10)

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

CONTENTS

No. 3-Wednesday, February 16, 1966/Magha 27, 1887 (Sak	a)
Answers to Questions—	Columns
starred Questions Nos. 31 to 38	407—47
ten Answers to Questions—	, , .,
tarred Questions Nos. 39 to 60	447—68
Unstarred Questions Nos. 126 to 132, 134 to 182, 184 to 195, 197 to 200, 202 to 218, 220, 221, 223 to 233, 235 to 264	<i>46</i> 8—566
Calling Attention to Matter of Urgent Public Importance—	
Discontent among Central Government Employees on account of inadequate grant of dearness allowance	567—78
Paper Laid on the Table	578—82
tement re: Situation on India-China Border	582-93
Shri Y. B. Chavan	582-85
tatement re . Circumstances of Shri Lal Bahacur Shastri's Death Shri Swaran Singh	593—607
lection to Committee— Committee on Public Undertakings atents Bill—	607—08
Extention of Time for presentation of Report of Joint Committee Business Advisory Committee—	6c8
Forty-third Report	609
Indian Tariff (Amendment) Bill-Introduced	609
Statement re: Indian Tariff (Amendment) Ordinances—	
Shri Manubhai Shah	609—10
Motion re: Tashkent Declaration	610736
Sardar Swaran Singh	610-27
Shri P. K. Deo	65460
Shri H. N. Mukerjee	661—73
Shri K. C. Pant	674—81
Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad	681—89
Shri U. M. Trivedi	68998
Shri Krishna Menon	698—709
Shri Surendranath Dwivedy	709—19
Shrimati Renuka Ray	719—23
Shri A. C. Guha	723—27
Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri	728—32
Shri Bakar Ali Mirza	73236

^{*}The sign+marked above the name of a member indicates that the question was actually asked on the floor of the House by him.

407

LOK SABHA

Wednesday, February 16, 1966/Magha 27, 1887 (Saka)

The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair]

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Kidnapping of Policeman in Delhi

*31. Shri Yashpal Singh:
Shri Hukam Chand
Kachhavalya:
Shri Bade:
Shri Ram Sewak Yadav:
Shri Bagri:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that a Policeman was kidnapped by goondas with the help of a truck driver in Delhi on the 23rd December. 1965;
 - (b) if so, the details thereof; and
- (c) the action taken by Government to protect the public servants on duty?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri P. S. Naskar): (a) No. Sir,

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

भी यशपास सिंह : क्या सरकार ने उन न्यूजरेपर्ज के खिलाफ कोई कार्रवाई की है जिन्होंने इस झूठी खबर को सैकड़ों जगह छापा है ?

Shri P. S. Naskar: Some incident did occur. It is not exactly the one as 2400 (ai) LS—1.

was reported in the newspapers. On the 22nd and 23rd December, there was a drive against traffic violations. On the night of 23rd December, in the Alipore and Mori Gate area, a Head Constable saw a truck driving towards Alipore Road from Mori Gate area without the light and the truck seemed to be overloaded. Then, the Head Constable asked the truck driver to stop the truck. The driver did not stop the truck. But after some distance, another Constable stopped that truck and got into the truck and he asked the driver to stop the truck. He put some questions to him and in the meantime the truck driver did not stop the truck, and the Constable was in the truck. even tried to put the Constable down but they could not do so. The Constable was trying to stop the truck. In the meantime, a patrol van was passing that way and the patrol van stopped the truck and arrested the driver and three other persons who were in truck. The Constable wounded a little bit in his left toe.

श्री यशपाल सिह: जितना लम्बा जवाब दिया गया है क्या इतना लम्बा सप्लीमेंटरी करने की सुक्ते भी इजाजत टी जाएगी ?

Shri Kapur Singh: I rise on a point of order. Is there any provision in the Rules of Procedure of this House which can justify the wastage of the time of the House on a question which does not arise?

Mr. Speaker: There is no provision that I can point out but supplementaries can arise even when the answer is that the question does not arise.

Shri Kapur Singh: Out of nothing, nothing can arise. (Interruption).

भी बशपाल सिंह । उस ट्रक वाले के बिलाफ क्या कोई एक्जन लिया गया है ?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): A criminal case has been launched against him.

श्री हकम चन्द कडवाय : क्या यह पता सगाया गया है कि उस टक में कौन सा माल था? क्यावह चोरी कातो नहीं था? टक किस का था और उसके मालिक का नाम क्या है ?

बाध्यक्ष महोदय : इस तफसील में जाने का हमारा क्या मनलब ?

भी **हक्क क्रम्ब क्रम्बाय** : दुक में क्या माल था जिसके कारण वह ट्रक को नहीं रोक रहा था?

धाध्यक्ष महोबच : वह इससे नहीं उठता g ı

भी बढ़े : पश्चिक सर्वेटस जो हैं उनके विसाफ गंडे सकिय होरहे हैं और गंडा एसीमेंट बढ़ रहा है। क्या इसके बारे में, इस एलीमेंट को रोकने के बारे में सरकार ने कुछ ठोस कदम उठाये हैं। सभी कुछ दिन हुए मध् किमने साहब के घर पर चोरी हो गई थी। नंडा एसीमेंट जो वह रहा है, इसको रोकने के लिए क्या सरकार ने कोई कदम उठाया ₹ ?

श्राच्यक्ष महोदय : यह भी कैसे भाप पुछ सकते हैं इस सवाल वें ?

भी हकम चन्द्र कछवाय : दूसरे भाग का हो। उत्तर दिया जाए कि ट्रक के मालिक का नाम क्या था।

चध्यक्ष महोदय : मालिक का नाम जानने से क्या लाभ होता ? मण्लीमेंटरी का उसका जवाब माना चाहिये जिससे कोई मब्लिक परपंज सर्व होता हो । ए. बी, सी कोई भी नाम हो इससे क्या फायदा रनकलेका ?

Separation of Executive from Judiciary

*32. Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri Balmiki: Shri Bagri: Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia: Shri Ram Sewak Yadav: Shri Kishen Pattnayak: Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:

Shri Gulshan:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the progress so far made in the separation of Executive Judiciary:
- (b) the names of States where it has taken place; and
- (c) the time by which the separation is likely to be completed in the remaining States?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri V. C. Shukla): (a) and (b). The Judiciary has been completely separated from the Executive in Gujarat, Kerala, Madras, Maharashtra and Mysore. It has also been separated over large areas of Andhra Pradesh. Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, Punjab and Uttar Pradesh and in some of the Districts of Assam and Rajasthan.

(c) No target date has been fixed. The matter is primarily for the State Governments to consider.

भी यहापाल सिंह . क्या यनियन टैरिटरीज में सरकार ने यह कर दिया है ?

भी विद्या चरण शुक्त : जी हां, कई स्तरों पर सभी किया गया है सीर कई जगह हो रहा है। दिल्ली में इस मामले को श्राज ही एडवाइजरी कमेटी के सामने पेक किया जा रहा है। हिमाचल प्रदेश में भी उसे एडबाइजरी ककेटी के सामने पेश किया जाएगा। गोग्रा. हमन दीव वर्गैरह में इसके बारे में सिद्धान्तत: एक निर्णय लिया यया है स्रीट उसको जल्दी लाग कर दिया फाएगा । बदमान, निकोबार वगैरह में बहुत कम काइम हैं। वहां भी इस बारे में सोच विचार किया जा रहा है।

भी यशपाल सिंह : जिन स्टेट गवन मेंट्स ने अब तक नहीं किया है उन्होंने क्या दिकक्तें जाहिर की हैं ?

भी किया वरक शुक्त : कोई दिकतों जाहिर नहीं की हैं। सिद्धान्सन: सब इसकी मानने हैं। कुछ प्रैक्टिकल मुग्किलात हैं भीर उनको हल किया जा रहा है। हमें भागा है कि काफी जल्दी इसके बारे में भीर प्रगति हो जाएगी।

Shri Kapur Singh: Do the Government propose to separate judiciary from the politicians and politics and, if so, when?

Mr. Speaker: Shri U. M. Trivedi

Shri Kapur Singh: He is a very able Deputy Minister. He can answer.

भी उ० मू० विशेषी 1950 में हुमारा सिवधान लागू हथा था। उसकी जार हुए आज सीलह साल के करीब हो गए हैं। सोसह साल तक जो तकलीफ धीर मुश्किल हमको आज मालूम पड़ती है ज्युडिशरी को एग्जेक्टिय से भ्रमण करने के बारे में क्या इसको हल नहीं किया जा सकता था? धरार धाप हल कर सके हैं तो क्या यह मही तहीं है कि उन्हीं राज्यों में खाम तौर पर धाप हल कर सके हैं जहां पहले से अनुशास तो है कि जा पहले से अनुशास हल कर सके हैं जहां पहले से अपूडिशरी एग्जेक्टिय से धला थी? दूसरे राज्यों ने क्या धापित इस सम्बन्ध में अभी तक धापके सामने जाहिर की है?

भी विद्या भरण जुक्ल इस दिशा में काफी प्रगति हुई है। यह बात कहना ठीक नहीं है कि पहले से ही कुछ राज्यों में यह चलग भी। यह तो सब——

भी द० मू० त्रिवेदी : क्यों मही नहीं है ? बरावर सही है । सध्य भारत के बल्दर थी, बम्बई में थी। क्यों सही नहीं है ? गलत जवाब दे रहे हैं।

भ्रष्टमक्ष महोदय उनका जबाद पूरा मुनतो लें।

भी उ० मृ० त्रिवेदी : कैसे ब्राइमी हैं ?

प्रथम महोदय: उनने मीनियर मैंस्वर हैं, पता नहीं इतनी जल्दी क्यों नाराज हो जाते हैं। भाषने कहा है कि सिर्फ उन राज्यों में हुआ है जहां पहले में ही था। शायद उनका जबाब हो कि यह गलत बात है भीर कुछ राज्यों में अहां यह हुआ है वे नए राज्य भी है। जबाब मुनने के पहले ही भाष नाराज हो गए।

भी विद्या थरण शुक्ल : मैं तारीखें बता सकता हूं जिन को भिन्न भिन्न प्रदेशों में यह हुआ है । वैरिस्टर साहब के मुख्य प्रधन के बारे में मैं इतना ही कहना चाहता हूं कि इस विज्ञा में प्रगत्ति काफी हुई है भीर कुछ प्रान्तों में थोड़ा मा काम बाकी हैं । इसके लिए भी गृह मंत्रालय द्वारा बड़ी कोशिश की जा रही है और हमें प्राणा है कि जल्दी इसके बारे में काफी प्रगति हो सकेशी ।

भी हुकम चन्द्र क्लायः कीनसी किनाइयां है ?

Mr. Speaker: He has said in 1-ply to an earlier question that there has been no difficulty.

Shri R. Ramanathan Chettlar; Some of the States have not implemented the scheme. What are the reasons for the delay in implementing the scheme?

Shri V. C. Shukla: There is no scheme as such. But there are certain States which have decided to implement this in stages. There is no difficulty except the practical difficulties, the local difficulties, in backward areas where it has not been found possible to implement it straightway

413 as is done in advanced areas. It will be completed in due course.

Shri S. Kandappan: May 1 know whether the Government propose to expedite the creation of a separate judiciary for Andaman & Nicobar Islands and, if so, when it is likely to be effected?

Shri V. C. Shukla: The incidence of crimes in Andaman & Nicobar is very meagre. Still we have taken some steps to do that there also.

श्री जिब नारायण : क्या कोई फाइनल डिसिशन ले लिया गया है कि सारे देश में ज्यविणरी को श्रलग स्नाप कर देंगे या नहीं ?

श्री विद्या चरण शक्स : जी हा, यही इरादा है।

Shri S, M. Banerjee: 1 would like to know which are those States which have implemented the scheme or are likely to implement and which are those States which have refused to do

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Nanda): No State has refused. progress in some States has been more rapid than in some others. I can say that I would like this to be completed very quickly all over. We are in communication with the States. In some cases they have expressed their difficulties. I hope it will be speeded up.

थी भागवत सा प्राजाद : माननीय मंत्री ग्रीर उपमंत्री बताया - कि सिद्धान्ततः इस कर लिया गया है, लेकिन इस सम्बन्ध में ब्यवहारिक कठिनाइयां है। मैं यह जानना चाहता हं इस को सिद्धान्ततः स्वीकार करने के बाद और व्यवहास्कि कठिनाइयों को ध्यान में रखते हुए क्या राज्य सरकारों के लिए कुछ समय-निर्धारण किया गया है, जिस के ग्रन्तर्गत वे इस को पुराकर लेंगे।

भी विद्या चरण शक्त : ऐसा कोई समय निर्धारित नहीं किया गया है, लेकिन हमारी तरफ से राज्य सरकारों को बार-बार कहा जाता है कि वेडस बारे में जल्दी करें। जो प्रगति हो रही है, उस से लगता है कि कछ। मालों में यह कार्य परा हो जायेगा।

Oral Answers

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: The Union Territories being the direct responsibility of the Central Government, may I know why after such a long time this subject is going to be introduced in the Advisory Committee? know whether it had ever been introduced previously or not, and if not, what was the difficulty in doing it

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi); It is not that this question is going to be raised today in the Delhi Advisory Committee. Actually, the Bill has been drafted and the Bill is being discussed today in the Advisory Committee.

भी जगवेब सिंह सिद्धान्ती : क्या सरकार को सूचना मिली है कि पंजाब राज्य में इस ्यक्करण की प्रक्रिया से प्रशासन में कुछ बाधा ग्राई है ?

श्री विद्या चरण शक्त : जी नहीं । ऐसी कोई सुचना नहीं मिली है।

M/s Bennet Coleman & Co. Ltd.

*33. Shri Indrajit Gupta: Shri Bagri: Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia: Shri Yashpal Singh:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the given to Starred Question No. 581 on the 1st December, 1965 and state:

(a) whether the Attorney-General has advised legal proceedings against the accused persons in the case of

415 criminal misappropriation by Ms

Bennet Coleman & Co. Ltd., Bombay; (b) if so. whether Government have accepted his advice and are proceeding accordingly; and

(c) if not, what other steps Government propose to take in the matter in the light of the C.B.I.'s findings?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri V. C. Shukla): (a) No, Sir.

- (b) Does not arise.
- (c) The matter is under consideration

Shri Indrajit Gupta: I want to know specifically whether this matter was referred to the Attorney-General for his advice, and if so, whether the report of the Attorney-General will be laid on the Table of the House.

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): The matter was referred to the Attorney-General and he has given some advice. proceeding on that advice and carrying on the investigation. But I do not think that that advice can be laid on the Table of the House.

Shri Indrajit Gupta: In view of the fact that there are wide reports circulating that an attempt is being made privately between Shri Shanti Prasad Jain and other members who are involved in this misappropriation and the Government to hush up matter, may I know whether it is a fact

Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: It is not a fact.

Shri Indrajit Gupta: I have not finished my question yet. I want to know whether the Law Ministry and the Law Minister were also asked for their opinion in this matter and if so, what their advice was, and whether they suggested that legal ceedings should be undertaken or not.

416

Shri Hathi: Yes, both the Law Ministry and the Law Minister were consulted and they advised further investigation in the matter, as also the Attornev-General.

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : कोलमैन के प्रखबारों में इन दिनों एक परिवर्तन **प्राया है** कि सरकार भीर उस के खास-खास मंजियों के लिए रुख नर्म हथा है। क्या इस कारण से इन के खिलाफ कार्यवाही बन्द **2** ?

श्री विद्या चरण शक्त : जी नहीं।

श्री यशपाल सिंह: जब किसी सरकारी ग्रधिकारी के खिलाफ एन्स्वायरी होती है, तो पहले उसे सस्पेंड किया जाता है। जब इतना बड़ा कांड हो गया. तो क्या उन का लाइसेंस धव तक जब्त किया गया है या नहीं धीर सम्पेंशन हन्नाहै या नहीं ?

Shri Hathi: The point is this. When an inquiry against any official is being conducted, if the crime is so serious, then, of course, he is suspended. In this matter, in regard to the offence, whichever has been committed, the extent to which the persons are to be held responsible is a matter for further investigation, and, therefore, we are going on with the investigation.

Shrimati Renuka Ray: Will the hon. Minister state how long this investigation is going to take and when the House will know about the final decision?

Shri Hathi: It is now in the stage of being concluded, and it would not take much long time.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Since it is a case of criminal misappropriation. may I know why Government are allowing this impression to go round that due to certain reasons and pressure these things are not clearly decided earlier?

Shri Hathi: I think that this impression is not correct.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: We are happy to know that. But why is there this delay?

Shri Hathi: I shall tell my hon. friend the reasons for the delay; when the investigations are complete. I shall be able to tell this House why further investigation was needed, and facts, as advised by Attorney-General, were to be investigated further: I shall let the House know then, but not now.

Shri D. C. Sharma: Is it not a fact that there is an apprehension in the minds of many persons that by the time the investigations are completed most of the documents which incriminate these persons would have disappeared from the files?

Shri V. C. Shukla: No. Sir, these documents are in our possession. This fear is completely unfounded.

Shri Hem Barua: May I know if it is a fact that since Shri Nanda was meticulous about checking corruption for which purpose he utilised the serparticular vices of the CBI, this department has been taken out of his control and transferred to the Minister of State; if so, may I know whether this particular case is going to get the same attention as it used to have under Shri Nanda?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Nanda); Sir, I may inform the House that I have not been relieved of this onerous responsibility.

Shri Hem Barua: Was there any attempt to relieve him of this responsibility?

Mr. Speaker: No, attempts are not to be discussed.

Shri Kapur Singh: Particularly, unsuccessful attempts.

Oral Answers

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: view of the fact that there is a very wide knowledge amongst people in general that Shri S. P. Jain is a very powerful man and holds much influence over the ruling party, I would like to know why Government has taken such an unconscionably long time in taking the steps which would have brought them to book. What is the reason for the delay? That is the point. He is not explaining that.

Mr. Speaker: Shri Hathi has said that he would explain it. When that is completed he would give reasons.

Shrimati Renu Chakravantty: For the last six months they are giving the reply, "Yes, no; yes, no".

Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah: Before ordering a further probe into the allegations of misappropriation has the Government been fully satisfied that there is a prima facie case of misappropriation; if that is so, what action has been taken on that?

Shri V. C. Shukla: Because we are satisfied we ordered this investigation.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: The hon. Minister, Shri Hathi, in reply to a question by Shri Indrajit Gupta, said that the ex-Law Minister was also consulted and that he had given his advice. I would like to know whether it is a fact that the advice given by the ex-Law Minister was somewhat different from that of the Attorney-General; if so, in what respect.

Shri Hathi: As I said, I would not like to place on the Table the advice This is cross-examination.

धी रामेश्वराज्य : जिस किसी रेलवे कर्मधारी के प्रति कोई शिकायत की जाती है, तो उस की जांच के लिए की इंस्पैक्टर भादि जाते हैं. वे उस के साथ मिल जाते हैं

और सारे मामते की ठाप कर देते हैं। क्या उन की जांच का भी कोई विचार है ?

410

ब्राय्यक्ष महोदय: यह सवाल एक फर्म के बारे में है। माननीय सदस्य वहां से चल कर गाडी में सवार हो गए।

Shri Daji: Do these proceedings also include the charges regarding the sale as waste of newsprint given to the company?

Shri V. C. Shukla: The charges are exactly those about the sale of waste newsprint.

Disappearance of Shri Kakodkar

Shri K. N. Tiwary: *34. Shri P. R. Chakraverti: Shri Indraiit Gupta: Shri Subodh Hansda: Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Shri M. L. Dwivedi: Shri P. C. Borooah: Shri Vishram Prasad: Shri Bagri: Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia: Shrimati Savitri Nigam: Shri Shree Narayan Das: Shri Prakash Vir Shastri: Shri Jagdev Singh Siddhanti: Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri Madhu Limaye: Shri Hukam Chand Kachhavaiya. Shri Bade: Shri Kairolkar: Shri Kindar Lal: Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Mysore State Government requested the Central Government to probe into the mysterious disappearance of Shri Kakodkar, President of the Goa Congress;
- (b) whether any investigation has been conducted by the Central agencies; and

(c) if so, the findings thereof?

420

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathl): (a) Yes. Sir.

- (b) Central agencies are assisting the Maharashtra Government in the investigation.
- (c) Investigation has not yet been concluded.

श्री क ना तिवारी: यह जांच कव तक पूरी हो जायेंगी?

Shri Hathi: I cannot give any definite time for this,

भी क० ना० तिवारी: नौन सीएयारिटीच के क्षारा यह जांच कराई जा रही हैं? क्या इस में महाराष्ट्र श्रीर मैसूर दोनों सरकारों के प्रतिनिधि शामिल हैं?

भी हाथी: जी, हां । महाराष्ट्र सरकार, मैमूर मरकार और सैट्रल गवर्नमेंट के धाफि-गल्ड जाच कर रहे हैं ।

Shri Indrajit Gupta: In the course of the investigations carried on so far, has any prima facie evidence come to light at least to indicate whether Shri Kakodkar is alive or dead?

Shri Hathi: So far as the investigations carried on are concerned, it appears that he was last seen on 1-1-1966 at Junegadh.

Shri Subodh Hansda: Has Government's attention been drawn to a rumour that Shri Kakodkar has gone into hiding on his own in some places? If so, how far is it true?

Shri Hathi: Investigations are going on and, as I said, we have been told from the investigations carried on so far that he was seen at various places, mostly places of pilgrimage such as Veraval, Somnath, Porbander, Jamnager and Junagadh.

Shri Hem Barua: If he was seen why was he not caught?

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Why was he not photographed?

Shri Hathi: When I say 'he was seen', that does not mean that he was seen by the police. Other people had seen him.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Is there any reason to believe that that information is wrong?

Mr. Speaker: Unless called, he should not ask questions.

Shri S. C. Samanta: Is any investigation also going on in Goa? If so, what is the reaction of the Goa Government?

Shri Hathi: Yes, investigations are also going on in Goa. The result will be known after they are completed.

भी भागवत सा भाजाव : क्या सरकार जांच के बाद किसी निणंय पर भ्रा सकी है कि काकोदकर साहब स्वयम् लापता हो गये हैं या उन्हें उड़ा लिया गया है या उन्होंने भारम हत्या कर ली है । क्या किसी ऐसे श्राधार पर इन्वेस्टिगेशन चल रहा है या कि वैसे ही भन्धकार में विचरण चल रहा है ?

भी हानी: ग्रन्धकार में विचरण नहीं चल रहा है जो डाकुमेन्ट्स ग्रीर चिट्ठियां ग्रादि मिली हैं उसमें दोनों बातें हो सकती हैं कि उनकी मेन्टल कंडिशन ऐसी भी कि वह बाहुर जाना चाहते थे या ग्रकेला जीवन बिताना चाहते थे ।

भी मन लान हिम्बी: जिन परिस्थितियों में काकोदकर साहब गायब हुए उन में कई प्रकार की स्थितियां मंत्री महोदय ने बतलाई। मैं जानना बाहता हूं कि केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा ओ जांच पड़ताल शुरू की गई है वह किस सरकार के कहने से या किन बातों के भ्राधार पर भ्रावश्यकता पड़ी कि इस्बेस्टिगेशन किया जाये। भी हाथी: एक तो मैसूर गवनंमेंट ने लिखा था, धौर हमने भी यहां सुना कि वह डिसऐपिग्नर हो गये हैं सेंट्रल इन्वेस्टिगेशन ब्यूरौंने उनकी तलाश की है।

भी विभाग प्रसाद:

बतलाया कि काकोदकर साहब कई जगहों पर पुलिस द्वारा नहीं बल्कि दूसरे श्रादमियों के द्वारा देखे गये। श्रगर वह दूसरों के द्वारा देखे गये तो श्रापकी पुलिस ने उनका पता लगाने या पकड़ने के लिये क्या कार्यवाही की?

श्री हाथो : बात ऐसी है कि जब पूलिस को हमने इन्बेस्टिगेशन सौंपा कि वह कहा है तो उनको पता नहीं लगा । उसके बाद एक गोग्रा का ग्रादमी सोमनाथ गया था, उस ने उन्हें देखा था । उसको पता नहीं था कि वह गायब हो गये हैं। जब वह गोग्रा ग्राया ग्रीर कहा कि उसने देखा था, उसके बाद पुलिस ने उसको देस करने की कोशिश की । उनको एक होटल में देखा गया फिर एक धर्मशाला में देखा गया, ऐसा मालूम हुग्रा । पकड़ने की बात नहीं उठी ।

बा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया: जब केन्द्रीय सरकार घीर दो प्रत्य सरकारे भी इस खोज में लगी हुई हैं घीर खोज करते डेक्ट हुपते हो गये हैं तो जनता में भ्रम पैदा हो रहा है कि राजकीय कार्यवाहो को छिपाने के लिये सरकार खुद इस खोज में ढील डाल रही है। सरकार इस भ्रम को दूर करने के लिये क्या कार्यवाही कर रही है ?

भी हाची : कार्रवाई तो यही है कि जो तलाश हो रही है वह हो रही है ।

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया: प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, क्या घाप समझते हैं कि मंत्री जी ने सवाल का जवाब दे दिया ?

ग्राप्यक्ष महोदय : ग्राप तो मुझसे ही सदाल करने सगे। ं डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : भाप प्रश्न का जबाब दिलवायें यह भी जरूरी काम है।

श्रम्थक महोबय: मैं मिनिस्टर साहब से कहूंगा कि इसका जवाब लाजिमी तौर पर पूरा दिया जाना चाहिये।

गृह-कार्य मंत्री (श्री नन्दा): मैं जवाब देना चाहता हूं। यह बात स्पष्ट है कि पूरे तौर पर इस वक्त भी कोणिण की जा रही है भीर कामयाबी हो रही है ग्रीर एक जगह से दूसरी जगह उनको फालो किया जा रहा है। इसलिय यह मान लेना कि इस काम में डील की जा रही है, गलत है। इसी तरह में हम किसी चीज को छिपाना चाहते हैं यह बात भी मही नहीं है।

डा॰ राम भनोहर लोहिया: जनता में जो भ्रम है उसको दूर करने के लिये मंत्री महोदय क्या कर रहे हैं मेरे इस प्रश्न का अह उत्तर नहीं है कि जनता में भ्रम नहीं है।

क्रम्यक्ष महोदयः मैं समझता हू कि क्रम्त का जवाब मा गया।

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: What has been the view of the family members of Shri Katodkar? Are they of the the view that he has gone for pilgrimage quite well prepared?

Shri Hathl: From the letters which we have received addressed to the family members, it appears—I will only say, but what I say will not be conclusive, because investigations are going on—that he wanted to retire to some village and leave the work of the Khadi Commission and Congress and all that. That is the trend which appears from the letter.

भी प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री: श्री कटोडकर के लापता हो जाने के मूल कारण से सम्बद्ध जो प्रका है वह गोधा के विलय के बारे में है जिसके घाषार पर मैसूर सरकार ने धापको लिखा है धौर घापने जांच की है। क्या केन्द्रीय सरकार इस मूल प्रक्ष्म के ऊपर घी किसी निणय पर पहुंच चुकी है जिससे किसी दूसरे कटोडकर के लापता होने की सम्भावना न हो ?

भी नन्दा: इसके बारे में पालियामेंट में भी कहा गया था। उसके बाद खास हालात की वजह से इस मामले को धाभी तक बन्द रक्खा हुआ है, और उनको भ्राप जानते ही हैं। जब सब चीज खुलंगी तब यह भी खुल जायेगा।

श्री जगवेब सिंह सिद्धान्ती:श्रीकटोडकर की गोब्रा में जो हालत है, क्या सरकार की प्रतिया से पंजाब में भी वैसे ही हालात नहीं पैदा हो जायेंगे?

भ्रध्यक्ष महोदय: नहीं हो जार्येगे ।

भी बरापाल सिंह: नया सरकार यह बतला सकती है कि जब कांग्रेस प्रेजिडेंट का इस तरह से घपहरण किया जा सकता है तो घाम जनता की हिफाजत के लिये सरकार ने वहां क्या किया है ?

ग्रम्मक महोदयः यह सवाल पैदा नहीं होता ।

भी हाचीः क्याहुमा है इसका पता तो जांच के बाद लगेगा ।

श्राम्यकः महोदयः पहले मिनिस्टर साहव को मेरी तरफ देख लेना चाहिये । मैं मलाऊ करूं तब जवाब देना चाहिये ।

श्री मच्चु लिलये : मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि राजनीतिक झान्दोलन को खत्म करने के लिये जो प्रादेशिक झान्द्रे हैं उनको उकसाने का सरकार का प्रयत्न है या राजनीतिक झान्दोलनों के भाषार पर उन का निचोड़ निकालने के लिये भी कोई सरकार का इन्तजाम है ? **प्रध्यक्ष महोदय :** ग्रान्दोलन का सवाल इस प्रश्न के सम्बन्ध में कैसे ग्रा सकता है !

श्री मणु लिमथे: उनकी हल निकालने की प्रक्रिया क्या है ?

श्री हुकम चन्द्र कछवाय: मंत्री महोदय ने प्रश्न के उत्तर में बतलाया कि कटोड़ कर साहब गुजरात में किन्ही स्थानों पर देखे गये। मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि वह तारीख कौन सी थी जिस रोज वह वहां देखे गये थे। क्या उनके परिवार के लोगों से कोई व्यक्ति-गत बातचीत भी की गई है। यदि हां, तो उन लोगों की क्या प्रतिक्रिया हुई ?

भी हायी: ग्रन्तिम तारीख जिस दिन वह देखे गये थे वह 1 जनवरी, 1966 थी।

म्रघ्यक्ष महोंबय : माननीय सदस्य जानना चाहते हैं कि जो उनके परिवार के भ्रादमी ये क्या उन से भी कोई बातचीत पूलिस ने की है ।

श्री हाणी: बातचीत की है लेकिन इस तारीख के बाद नहीं, उस के पहले।

श्री बड़े: मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि 1 जनवरी के बाद पुलिस ने इन्बेस्टिगेशन करके श्रापके पास कोई रिपोर्ट भेजी है श्रीर 1 जनवरी के बाद कोई इन्वेस्टिगेशन हुआ है ?

श्री हाथी: इन्वेस्टिगेशन हुआ है श्रीर रिपोर्ट भी आई है। किसी श्रीर जगह उनका पता नहीं लगा है।

Shri Kajrolkar: Is there any political motive behind his alleged disappearance?

Shri Hathi: I cannot say anything about that.

Shri Hari Visimu Kamath: Has the attention of Government been drawn to the very unseemly vituperative slanging match between some politicians in power in Mysore and some

politicians in power in Maharashtra, on this issue, and if so, has the Government advised these politicians in power not to allow their love for Goa to take them to such lengths? Because it is an emergency, Government could advise the politicians in the States, the ministers and the government in the States not to indulge in such things, so that bad blood is not created in the States on this issue. Have they advised the Governments? That is what I want to know.

Shri Nanda: If one person expresses deep concern and another person gives an assurance that everything is being done about it, that is one part of it. So far as the manner of the language, etc. is concerned, certainly we are desirous that everything should be done in the most decorous way.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I could not follow.

Mr. Speaker: The short point is whether any advice had been given to the government?

Shri Nanda: No. Sir.

Status of High Court Judges

Shri Warior: ***35**. Shri K. N. Tiwary: Dr. L. M. Singhvi: Shri Indrajit Gupta: Shri Rameshwar Tantia: Shri Himatsingka: Shri P. R. Chakraverti: Shri Madhu Limaye: Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri P. C. Borooah: Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri Subodh Hansda: Shri Kindar Lal: Shri Vishwa Nath Pandev: Shri Ram Sewak Yadav:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

Shri Bagri:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government have rejected the Suprema

Court Committee's recommendations that High Court Judges be ranked with the Cabinet Ministers; and

(b) what other recommendations of the Committee comprising of three Supreme Court Judges have been considered by Government and with what results?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri V. C. Shukla): (a) and (b): A statement is laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-5450/66].

Shri Warior: May I know who constituted the committee and if it was the Government, what were the terms of reference?

Shri V. C. Shukla: The committee of judges was not constituted by the government.

Shri Warlor: If it is the Supreme Court Judges who constituted this committee, may I know why all their recommendations except one were not accepted by the government and whether the government has got any cogent reasons for refusing to accept all these recommendations?

Mr. Speaker: The reasons would not be discussed here.

Shri V. C. Shukla: The recommendations are in two parts—one about the rank in the order of precedence and the other regarding the conditions of service of High Court judges. It has been explained that the government was not desirous of disturbing the order of precedence which was settled after very long consideration. The conditions of service mainly relate to financial matters and these recommendations were not found practicable or acceptable to the government.

भी क० ना० तिबारी: यह स्टेंटनेंट जो टेबल पर रखा गया है इसमें मध्यर 2 पर है कि: The age of retirement of High Court Judges should be raised to 65 years.

भीर चौथे नम्बर पर है :

The High Court Judges T. A. Rules should be amended so as to provide for certain travel facilities which are not included at present.

तो कम संकम यह दो रेकमेंडेशस जो इनकी हैं इनको मान लेने में सरकार को क्यादिकत है, क्या भ्राप कुपा कर बतलायेंगे?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Nanda): May I say a word about this that will possibly make it unnecessary for Members to ask other questions of some kind at least? I have had some talks with the Chief Justice of India and I am again going to have further discussion so that possibly anything more that could be done might be considered. May I explain that these refer to 1963 recommendations of that committee and it is an old matter. There have been certain recent developments.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: The summary manner in which these recommendations have been dealt with by the government have done a great deal to undermine the status if the judiclary. At what level were these recommendations considered? Were they considered by the Cabinet as a whole?

Shri V. C. Shukla: I do not agree that these recommendations were dismissed in a summary manner. They were fully considered and considered by the Home Minister and government. Greatest consideration was given to these recommendations and only when they were not found acceptable, they were rejected.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: Mr. Speaker, on a point of order. My question has not been answered. I asked whether these were considered by the government.

Mr. Speaker: When he says that they were considered by the Home

Minister, it implies that the whole cabinet did not consider it.

Shri Ranga: Home Minister and the cabinet are not one and the same. Did the Home Minister think it fit to be placed before the Cabinet?

Mr. Speaker: The answer given was that the Home Minister considered it: it implies that the Cabinet as a whole did not consider it.

Shri Ranga: We are seeing how the Cabinet is working

Shri Indrajit Gupta: Apart from the first recommendation relating to the matter of precedence, all the other recommendations as I understood from the original reply involve certain financial considerations, view of the fact that this committee obviously had the approval of, if it was not actually constituted by, the Chief Justice of India, did the Government consider that these recommendations, although they involve financial commitment, could not have been put forward in any frivolous or lighthearted manner, and were they given proper consideration?

Shri Nanda: I have indicated already that I am having a discussion with the Chief Justice of India, and we are trying. What more can be done about it?

भी मन् लिमये : मैं यह जानना चाहता हं कि न्यायाधी सों को कभी कभी वक्ती तौर .. पर राज्यपाल के पद पर नियुक्त किया जाता है भीर न्यायाधीण के सेवा से हटने के पश्चात् कभी कभी उनको राजदूत बनाया जाता है, मंत्री भी बनाया जाता है। यह प्रश्न न्यायाधीशों के स्थान भीर प्रतिष्टा के सम्बन्ध में है इसलिए क्या जो इस तरह की कार्य प्रक्रिया है उसके ऊपर सरकार पूर्नावचार करेगी कि न्यासालय के किसी भी निवृत्त न्यायाधीश को भागे राजदूत नहीं बनाया जायेगा, मंत्री नहीं बनाया

Oral Answers जायेगा धौर न राज्यपाल के पद पर उसकी नियुक्ति होगी ?

प्रव्यक्ष महोवय : यह सवाल नहीं उठता ।

भी मच लिमये: यह तो स्थान ग्रीर प्रतिष्ठा से सम्बन्धित है। न्यायाधीशों की ऐसी नियक्ति करने से न्यायालयों की प्रतिष्ठा, स्वतंत्रता भीर पविव्रता को धक्का पहुंचता है, केवल मंत्रीयों के बराबर का स्थान उनको न देने से नहीं। मैं इस प्रश्न का जवाब इसलिए...

प्रध्यक्ष महोदय: मैंने सून लिया । श्रीयशपाल सिंह।

भी यशपाल सिंह : क्या सरकार बता सकती है कि ग्राइंट ग्राफ प्रिसीडेंस जो है यह श्रंग्रेजों का बनाया हथा है श्रीर श्रब समाजवाद भ्राचका है। फायर फील्ड ऐंड नो फेवर। तो म्रंग्रेजों ने तो यह इसलिए किया था कि साम्प्राज्यवाद को जो जितना मजबत करता थावह उतना हो ऊरंचासमझा जाताथा। . . (व्यवधान) ग्रब मान लीजिये कि कालेज के स्टडेंट के ऊपर यह इम्प्रेशन हो जाता है कि प्रिसिपल के ऊपर कोई है तो क्या ग्रनुशासन का निर्माण हो सकता है (स्यवचान) यह बतलाइए कि मंग्रेजों के भार्डर भ्राफ प्रिसीडेंस भौर भ्रापके भ्रार्डर भ्राफ प्रिसीडेंस में कितना चेंज हभ्रा है ?

Shri V. C. Shukla: This question is not about the order of precedence as such.

धन्यका महोदय : धाप इतनासब कहते चले नये। धनशासन का जिक भी करते रहे भौर भाप भनुशासन की परवाह भी नहीं करते रहे।

भी भागवत ज्ञा भाजाद : मैं यह जानना चाहता हं कि सरकार ने इस निर्णय पर पहुंचने से पहले क्या यह देखा कि संसार के विभिन्न देशों में उच्चतम न्यायालय के न्यायाधीशों को मंत्री के पद पर रखाने की परिपाटी श्रधिकतर जगहों में है या नहीं ?

ग्राध्यक्ष महोवय : यह तो दूसरा सवाल हो गया ।

श्री भागवत झा ग्राजादः मेरा मनलव . .

Mr. Speaker: No; I have already disallowed it.

Shri S, C. Samanta: May I know whether the remaining six items which were considered by Government will be reconsidered in view of the comments made in the newspapers now?

Shri V. C. Shukla; Yes.

4

Shri Subodh Hansda: Since the Minister stated that this committee was not constituted by the Government, how are the suggestions made by this committee regarded by Government as recommendations?

Shri V. C. Shukla: These were the recommendations forwarded to the Government by the Judges Committee.

श्री विश्वताय पाण्डेय: जैसा कि मंत्री
महोदय ने प्रपता वक्तत्य सभा के सम्मुख
प्रस्तृत किया है, उसमें उन्होंने लिखा है कि
सिर्फ ग्राइटम 7 को उन्होंने स्वीकृत किया है
श्रीर बाकी को श्रस्वीकृत किया है। तो मैं
यह जानना चाहता हूं कि जब देण में इस
नरीके में महंगाई है और जजों ने सिफारिण
की है कि हाईकोर्ट के जजों की तनस्वाह
बढा दी जाय तो क्या सरकार इस पर विचार
करने को तैयार है ?

गृह-कार्य मंत्री (श्री नन्दा): एक बात मैं साफ कर दू कि यह तो प्रखबारों का जिक हुत्रा यह 1963 में जो रेकमेटेशेंस भ्रायी बीं वह प्रखबारों में भ्रा गई। धर्मा का मामला तो बाहर रखना ही नहीं या क्योंकि जजेब ने लिखा कि उसको कान्फिडेंशियल रखना है भीर यह पे वगैरह का उसमें था। मगर र भी हुर एक चीज को सोचना होता है। Shri Daji: The first recommendation is that the appointment of judges of the high courts should emanate from the President's Secretariat as in the case of Governors and not from the Home Ministry. This recommendation involves no financial commitment but it only involves the complete independence of the judges. May I know why this recommendation was not accepted?

Shri V. C. Shukla: There is some little misunderstanding about this problem. Actually, the warrant of appointment is signed by the President but the notification for the appointment of Governors is issued by the State Government concerned, whereas the notification of appointment of judges is issued by the Home Ministry. The President's Secretariat only issues the press communique. It is not correct to say that the communique about the Governors' appointment is issued by the President and about judges it is not done.

Visit of UNESCO Mission

*36. Shri K. N. Tiwary:
Shri Ram Sewak Yadav:
Shri Bagri:
Shri P. R. Chakraverti:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether an evaluation mission of UNESCO visited India to assess the impact of assistance to the centres of advanced study in the Indian Universities;
- (b) how far the recommendations of the mission endorsed the continuance of the assistance programme and its augmentation;
- (c) whether the Governments of India and U.K. have agreed on a programme of collaboration between the centres of advanced study in Indian Universities and institutions of higher learning in U.K.; and

434

(d) if so, the extent of assistance (ख) उच्च ग्रध्ययन के केन्द्रों के वरिष्ट likely to be secured, specially with ग्रधिकारियों द्वारा इंग्लैंड का regard to the supply of equipment, books and journals? ग्रस्पकालान दौरा ।

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) No Sir

- (b) Does not arise.
- (c) Yes. Sir.
- (d) A statement is placed on the Table of the House

Statement

The programme envisages ιhe following:

- (a) Visits by British scientists and scholars to Centres of advanced Study in Universities.
- (b) Visits of senior personnel of the Centres of Advanced Study to the U. K. for short periods.
- (c) Provision of training facilities and fellowships for Indian personnel in institutions the U.K.
- (d) Supply of certain specialized equipment required by the Centres

So far 8 experts from the U.K. have visited Centres of Advanced Study and 9 Indian Scientists and Scholars have gone to the U.K. to visit laboratories and Institutions. Neither the total number of scientists and scholars who will participate in the programme during 1965-66 and 1966-67 nor the extent of equipment, books and journals likely to be supplied under the agreement can be estimated at this stage.

भी का मा निवारी: यह जो स्टेटमेंट रका गया है जस में दिया गया है कि कार्यक्रम चिम्न प्रकार है:---

> (क) ब्रिटिश वैज्ञानिकों तथा विद्वानों द्वारा भारतीय विश्वविद्यालयों के उच्च प्रध्ययन के केन्द्रों के दौरे ।

मैं यह जानना चाहता हं कि केवल इंग्लैंड के साथ ही ग्रदलाबदली हो रही है या ग्रीर भी कोई दूसरे देश हैं जिनके कि साथ उन विदानों भीर वैज्ञानिकों की भ्रदालावदली हो रही है ?

Shri M. C. Chagla: This question deals with U.K. and I have answered the specific mode of assistance from We have also similar grammes with other countries. instance, U.S.S.R. has also sent us experts to visit the centres of advanced study.

भी कः ना० तिवारी : इंग्लैंट की संस्थाओं में भारतीय कर्मचारियों के लिए प्रशिक्षण मुविधाएं तथा प्रधिष्ठात्रवित्यों की व्यवस्था हो तो इसके लिए क्या व्यय किया गया है भीर कितनी रकम इस के लिए रखी गई है ?

Mr. Speaker: What amount has been allocated for this purpose?

श्रीकश्या श्रीकारी: छात्रवत्ति के लिए बीर प्रशिक्षण के लिए क्या क्या सविधाएं दी आ रही हैं भौर उन का क्या कार्यक्रम है ? स्रौर उन में कितनी धनराशि रखी गई है !

Shri M. C. Chagla: The position is this, 23 foreign experts are to visit the centres for 104 man-months during 1965-66 and 26 experts for 142 during 1966-67. 24 man-months Indian experts are to visit U.K. for 159 man-months during 1965-66 and 26 experts for 184 man-months during 1966-67. Equipment, books and journals valued at Rs. 40 lakhs---Rs 18 lakhs in 1965-66 and Rs. 22 lakhs in 1966-67-are likely to be provided.

435

436 Shri M. L. Dwivedi:

Shri Sham Lal Saraf: May I know whether besides exchange of scholars and technicians, there is some attempt to set up training institutions based on the benefits that would be derived

Shri P. R. Chakraverti: Shri K. N. Tiwary: Shri Onkar Lal Berwa: Dr. L. M. Singhvi:

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad:

from the visit of foreign experts? Shri M. C. Chagla: The whole

Shri D. N. Tiwary: Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Shri Ravindra Varma:

scheme of centres of advanced study is this. The U.G.C. decides which particular faculty in a university has risen to a certain height and then to assist that faculty to reach international standards. The agreements arrived at with different countries are to help the centres of advanced study with professors, experts and so on.

Shri R. S. Pandey: Shri Rajeshwar Patel: Shri Yashpal Singh:

Shri Kajrolkar: Shri R. Barua: Shri B. K. Das:

भी म० ला० विवेती : इंग्लैंड के बलावा क्या कामैनवैल्थ का कोई ग्रीर दूसरा देश ऐसा है जिस से इस सम्बन्ध में सहयोग का प्रस्ताव किया गया हो या वहां से ऐसा प्रस्ताव बाया हो; यदि हां, तो वह कौन कौन वेश हैं और वहां पर इस किस्म की सहायता **क्यों** नहीं दी गई ?

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

- Shri M. C. Chagla: For the time being, we have restricted our operations to U.K. and USSR. We will certainly explore the possibilities of approaching other Commonwealth countries.
- (a) whether the Government India have succeeded in finding cheaper crude oil supply source for the proposed Haldia Oil Refinery;

Shri D. C. Sharma: May I know if any assessment has been made of the tangible results produced by the visits of these gentlemen abroad on the Indian universities and on the advanced centres of study?

(b) whether the American Company-Socony Mobil has agreed to make modified offer for the supply of crude;

Shri M. C. Chagia: The results are extremely good. There has been no specific evaluation. UNESCO wanted to do it early this February but the mission's visit has been postponed.

(c) whether the Company proposed to give credit to cover the foreign exchange content of the Refinery; and (d) whether Government

Haldia Oil Befinery

engaged M/s Engineers India, Ltd. to do the entire engineering and designing works of the Refinery?

*37. Shrimati Renuka Barkataki: Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: Shri R. G. Dubey: Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri Subodh Hansda: Shri P. C. Boroosh:

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Ighai Singh): (a) to (c). Discussions on the various proposals given to Government are still in progress, It is too early to analyse the other details.

(d) Does not arise.

Shrimati Renuka Barkataki: May I know what are the main offers of collaboration that have been received and how many of these include supply of crude oil at a lower price and offers to cover the foreign exchange content of the project?

Shri Iqbal Singh; There are three proposals with the Government, and

it is too early to say anything regarding the other details.

Shrimati Renuka Barkataki: Does any of these offers of collaboration also include the proposal to set up a petro-chemical complex at Haldia at a later stage?

Shri Iqbal Singh: That is also under discussion.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know whether the Central Government has asked the State Government and the Calcutta Port Commission to make facilities like road and other things there?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan): Already there is a road under construction and the State Government are taking various steps in that connection. The Railways are also trying to construct a railway line.

Shri Subodh Hansda. With regard to the crude offered by the American Oil Company, may I know how it compares with our crude, the crude obtained at Gujarat and other places of the country?

Shri Iqbal Singh: When things are finalised everything will be considered. It is too early to say how it compares with the crude offered, and it will not be advisable to disclose this information at this stage.

भी म० ला० डिम्मेडी : इस रिफाइनरी में कुल कितने भ्यय का धनुमान है भीर धनी तक कितना काम हो चुका है ?

Shri Alagesan: No work has yet been done. It is too early to indicate the cost.

भी म० ला० द्विवंदी: ऐस्टिमेट क्या है वह उहोंने नहीं बतलाया ?

श्राच्यक्त महोबय : तह ग्रामी उन के पास नहीं है।

Shri Bhagwat Jha Asad: Does not Government think it too early to ask

for these details when the very coming up of Haldia Oil Refinery has been shelved to the background even in the Fourth Plan?

Shri Alagesan: I do not know how the hon. Member makes that assumption. It is very much under coasideration for inclusion in the Fourth Plan, and we propose to go ahead with it. It has not gone to the background as the hon. Member assumes.

भी क॰ ना॰ तिबारो : क्या यह सारा काम मेसर्स इंजीनियर्स इंडिया लि॰ कर रही है या दूसरे कोई इंजीनियर्स भी यह काम कर रहे हैं, यदि हां, तो वह कौन कौन हैं ?

श्री इकबाल सिंह: जब प्रपोजल्य फाइनलाइज हो जायेंगे उस के बाद मेसर्स इंजीनियर्स इंडिया लिमिटेड की बात श्रा सकती है लेकिन उस वक्त तक कोई सवास इस का नहीं ग्रा सकता है।

श्री धोंकार साल बेरवा: मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि किन किन विदेशों से सहायदा के लिए बातचीत की है ?

श्री इकबाल सिंह: सहायता की बातचीत तीन देशों से ही रही है, रूमानिया, श्रमरीका श्रीर फांस में ।

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: As at present conceived, what would be the foreign exchange component of this project and how is it proposed to be met?

Shri Alagesan: That is also an estimate. I am not able to give an estimate in any rough manner. The foreign exchange cost is likely to be 60 per cent of the total cost, and the parties who have offered to collaborate will produce foreign exchange either by way of equity or by way of loans.

श्री द्वां ना तिवारी: क्या यह सही है कि हिस्दिया में तेल शोधन के धतिरिक्त फटिलाइजर्स बनाने की बात तय हो गई है

भी इकबात सिंह: भागा तो यही है कि धगर हिन्द्या रिफाइनरी मिन्मल हो जाय को बहा फरिलाइजर्स भी बनाया जायेगा ।

Shri D. N. Tiwaty: Sir. I asked whether Haldia will be given preference over Barauni.

Shri A'agesan: There is no question of giving preference to Hallia over Barauni. Even at Barauni we are considering the question of construction of a fertilizer factory. Russians have offered to do it after some years.

श्री विश्वनाय पाण्डेय: स्या सरकार ने करूरे तेल के सरभरण के लिए प्रमुरीकी तेल कद्यानी के ग्रामाना भीर किसी देश की कम्पनी के भी परामर्गकिया वा?

श्री इक्रबाल सिंह : यह तीन प्रपोजल्स तीन देशों की तरफ से भागे हैं। इन दीन षारियों के धलावा भीर कोई नहीं है।

Shri R. S. Pandey: The hon. Minister said that the Government of India is considering proposals from three eountries. I want to know which of the countries have given favourable terms?

Shri Alagesan: There are three parties-a joint offer by one American and German Company, another by Industrial Export of Rumania and a third by a French Company.

Mr. Speaker: Which country has given more favourable terms?

6hri Alagesan: That we cannot disclose.

भी यञ्चपाल सिंह: नया यह सही रे कि खब देशों से नहीं पूछा गया है और चोड़े से बुन्दों से पूछा गया है--अहां से हमें धासिक माम हो सकता था बहा से नही पूछा शया है ? 2400 Ai) LS-2

Shri Alagesan: We invited proposals and thuse are the three parties which have offered to collaborate There is no question of our not asking anybody.

41C

Shrimati Renu Chak avartty: May I know whether in the modified offer which has now been made by the parties Government have demanded that they will have control over marketing and pricing? Can we be assured that the terms in this case at least would be completely in favour of In lia and not like what they have done in the case of fertilizer?

Shri Alagesan: We will certainly take care to see that the terms are as favourable to India as possible. The hon, Member knows what has been done in the case of the Madras refinery. There we have obtained the most favourable terms. We propose to do it in the case of this also.

Shri Hem Barua: In view of the fact that new oil fields are being discovered in Assam, are we to understand that the Government are contemplating to pipeline this crude oil from Assam fields for refining in the proposed Haldia refinery? In that context, may I know whether Government are aware of the fact that there is a serious demand in Assem to have a second refinery in the public sector to refine crude oil discovered in these new oil fields?

Shri Alagesan: The hon, Member has asked so many questions.

Mr. Speaker: The second one need not be answered.

Shri Alagevan: Already there is a crude pipeline leading from Assam to Barauni. It will be too early to say whether crude will be sent through the pip-line that will be extended to Haldia,

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: May I know whether it is a fact that a very good offer has been made by a country where no foreign exchange is involved but Government themselves have not been able to take a decision whichher they want suphisticated midthirlery or ordinary prevalent machinery and they have not been clear as to from which country they are going to purchase crude oil?

Shri Iqua Shith: Government is dilte clear on this subject. We are considering all aspects of all the proposats. We will accept that pro-posal which is most tavourable to Irilia.

Mr. Speaker: Shri Kachhavatya.

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: Sir, my question has not been answered.

Mr. Speaker: They are considering all the proposals including the one that has been suggested by the hori. Member.

बी हुंकम बैंग कछवार्य: क्या सरकार में इस बात का पता लगाया है कि बात हुंधे हैत की कितनी कनी महसूंस होती है भीर यह कारखाना खुलने के बाद हम कितनी कमी पूरा कर पायेंथे और कितनी बचेंथी? मैं यह बी जानना चाहता हूं कि कारखाना खीलने के सम्बन्ध में जो विचार किया जा रहा है, इस बर कम से कम कितना समय लगेगा?

भी इंग्रंबाल सिंह: यह कहता बड़ा बृष्किल है कि किस्ता समय लगेगा। लेकिन गवर्नमेंट ने तेत की कमी की सिलसिले में हैं जा है कि कुछ बातों में तेत की कमी पूरा हो आयेगी ब्रार कुछ बातों में फिर भी कमी रहेंगी, जिस के बारे में हम सोचतें रहेंगे।

Affinitificative Beforen Commission

***38. Shri Kishen Pattunyak:
Shri K. N. Tiwary:
Shri P. R. Chakraverti:
Dr. Ram Mahohar Lohia:
Shri Matha Limaye:
Shri Bagri:
Shri Linga Reddy:
Shri D. C. Sharma:

Shri P. C. Borooah: Shri Vishram Prasad: Dr. L. M. Singhvi: Shri Narayan Reddy: Shri M. L. Dw.vedi: Shiff Bharwat Jha Abaill: Shri Suhodh Hansda: Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri Prakush Vir Shastri: Shri Hukam Chand Kachhavaiya: Shri Jugdev Singh SldiDishit. Shri Lahtan Chrudhry: Shif Rameshwar Tandlas Bhri Hhmatsingka: Shrimati Savitri Nikam: Shri S. M. Banerjee: Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey. Shri Bibhuti Mishra: Shri Onkar Lal Berwa: Shri R. Barua: Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Mozasi Shri Basumatarı: Sh: i R. S. Pandey: Shri M. L. Jadhav: Shri Shiv Charan Gupta. Shri J. B. S. Bist: Shrimati Ramdulari Sinha: Shel Indraiit Gupta:

Will the Minister of House Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have taken final decision regarding the proposal to set up a Commission on Administrative Reforms;
- (b) whether the composition, scope and methods to be adopted by the Commission will be made known to the public, providing them with spportunity for discussion on the model of the Hoover Commission is U.S.A.; and
- (c) whether the Commission with take up the entire gamut of administrative problems from the Union to district level, including the Services?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shrl Hathl): (a) to (c). The resolution of Government regarding the setting up of the Administrative Reforms Commission; a copy of which is lated on the Table of the Rouset (Placed in Library, See No. LT-566), gives the necessary information. The Commission is expected to devise its own procedures for collecting data and evidence.

भी किशन पटनायक : क्या इस कमीशन के कार्य में इसलिए गतिरोध पैदा हुआ है कि कमीशन के लेक्स्प्रैन ने कमीशन के लिए कैंबिनेट की हैंसियत और अपने लिये प्रकान मंत्री या जप-प्रवान मंत्री की हैंसियत की मांग की हैं ?

भी हांची : उन्हों ने प्रचान मंत्री के क्य की भी मांग नहीं की है। उन्हों ने मींग की है कि उन का स्टेटस पर्याप्त ऊंचा होता चाहिए।

णृह कार्य मंत्री (भी नंप्या): इस सम्बन्ध मैं यह सत्राज उठता है कि इस कमीणत को भपना काम मच्छी तरह में कर सकने की पोती-यत हमिल हो। उस के लिए जो भी जहरतें हैं, उन सब का खबाल किया गया है।

shri S. M. Banerjee: Sir, I rise on a point of order. The question asked by Shri Kishen Pattnayak was simple. He asked whether it is a fact that whatever delay has taken place is due to the fact that the Chairman of the Administrative Reforms Commission wanted a certain status. That has not been replied to either by Shri Nanda. We want a specific answer to that,

Shit Nanda: The duestion of rank has aris n in the context of the fantations of the Commission and it is not as such only.

भी किशन पटनायक : प्रगर प्रजासन के बदानने का पक्का इरादा है, तो क्या सरकार वै इस परिजर्जन के लिए कोई नीति-वक्तस्य भी प्रस्कृत किया है यानी किन यह दुसी कर कान्तिकारी परिवर्तन होगा, परिवर्तन में मृदय चीजें क्या होंगी, इस की कोई क्परेखा बनाई हैं ?

श्री हाथी: रेकोल्यूशन को पढ़ने से मान-नीय सदस्य को मालूम हो आयेगा कि इस कमीशन को क्या-क्या कोम करना है।

भी क० ना० तिवारी: प्रैस में यह रिपोर्ट छा है कि इस कमीणन के कई सदस्यों ने इस्तीफ दे दिये हैं भीर एक सदस्य मिनिस्टर बन गए हैं, इसलिए इस कमीणन का फिर निर्माण होगा। क्या यह बात सही हैं भीर इन मैम्बरों के इस्तीफ। देने का क्या कारण है ?

भी हाली: इस कमीशन के एक मेम्बर साहब मिनिश्टर बन गए हैं। इसलिए कमीकन में जो जगह होगी, उस के लिए जरूर कार्यवाही की जायेगी?

श्री कं ना तिवारी : प्रेस में यह रिपोर्ट हैं कि श्री मायुर भ्रीर दूसरे सदस्य ने इस्तीका दे दिया हैं। भगर यह सही है, तो उस का क्यां कारण हैं?

भी मंत्रा : यह बात गलत है।

भी हाथी : किसी ने इस्तीफा नहीं दिया है ।

ैडा० राम मनोहर लोहियाः प्रशासन में सुघार लाने के लिए यह कर्माणन बनाई गई है या जिन लोगों को सरकार में मंत्री-पक नहीं निला है, उन के लिए कोई काम ढंडा गया है ?

सम्यक्त महोवयः श्री मध्रु लिक्ये ।

हा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया : धंम्यक्ष महोदय, मैं ने यह सर्जान पृछा या अनाव के लिए। यह बहुत गम्भीर सनान है। संजी महोदय को "हा" या "ना", जी कुछ कहनां हो, यह कह वें। सम्यक्त महोत्तयः चूकि सत्रात बहुः ज्यादा गम्भीर था, इतितिये मैं ने दूसरे माधनीय सदस्य को बुला लिता।

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिन: प्राप को
 घौर सरकार को बहु। गम्भीर सन्नालों का
 सामना करना पड़ेगा।

ष्णव्यक्ष महोदय: ग्रागर मिल्स्टर सहिव की गरफ से प्रधाव ग्रा पाये ग्रोर वह "हां" या "मं" कह दिया करें, तो ज्यादा ग्रन्छ: है। मैं ने तो इस लिए दूसरे माम्तिय सबस्य को बुला लिया कि जवाब वड़ा ग्राब महीयस है भौर उस के सिया दूसरा कोई प्रधाब महीं हो सकता है। जोभी प्रधाब हो, यह ग्रामा चाहिए, स्निक मुत्रे यह न कहा। पड़े भोर मुत्र पर इल्साम न सनाया स्राय ।

थी हाथी: ऐसी कोई बात नहीं है।

थी मधु लिमये : इन पक्त बड़ी नौकर-बाही का बड़े रैमाने पर जो चिरतार हो रहा है, उस को रोकने के लिये और भाम चाला के बीजन स्तर भीर यह नौकरवाहों के बीजन-स्तर में जो दरार पड़ी चनी या रही है, उस को कम करने के लिए भी कोई उपाय यह कमीवन खंडेगा ?

भी हाची : इस रेजोल्यूशन में मशीनरी भ्राफ दि गयर्नभेंड भ्राफ इंडिया भीर पर्वोनेस वर्गरह सभी बातें हैं।

भी डा॰ ना॰ सिवारी: वया मैं पान सकता हूं कि इस कभीशन की नियुक्ति के बाद स्पेशन कन्सतटेटिय एउपाइमरी कभेटी की क्यां स्थिति रह गई है? यदि वह काम करती रहेगी, तो उस भें और इस कभीशन में सामंधस्य केशे रहेगा?

धी हाची: हम ने उस कमेटी की एक बीटिंग बुलाई है। हम कोटी हे मेन्स्रों है साथ विचार-विभन्न करेंगे भीर उत्तहे बास स्वय करेंगे। धी विश्व म उत्ताद : बरा सरकार ने इस कमीणन को बाने से पहले इन बारे में ओई गीदि टिप्टिंग्स को है कि इन के जो जो जो मैम्बर हों। या उस ने धित को घाडा, उसे सेम्बर बना जिया ?

थी हुन्दी: इत काम के लिए जो व्यक्ति सर्वोत्तम होते, उन का ही नाम सांचा गया था।

Dr. L. M. Sin;hvi: May I know whether it is a fact that the lione Minister was to consult with the Chief Justice in respect of the appointment of a separate commission in respect of administrative tr.bunals and reduces of public grievances; if so, what is the result and will this subject be bifurcated or will it continue to be entrusted to this Commission?

Shr! Nanda: I have brought up this subject with the Chief Justice of India and it is being pursued.

भी म० ला० द्विनेशी: एडमिनिस्ट्रेटिब रिफार्स कमीणन के सम्बन्ध में सरकार की घोर से इकतरका बक्तःगों का प्रकाशन समाचारपतों में हुगा है, जब कि इस कृतीशन की घोर से रेमी कोई बात मुनने को नहीं मिली है। क्या घाप की घास से गृह मंत्री या कमीशन के वे गरमैन की घोर से, जो गहां मीजूद है, इस सम्बन्ध में श्राष्टीकरण दिल ने का घनतर दिया जायेगा ?

स्राप्यस महोदयः गृह मंत्री से पूठा का सकता है जवाद।

बी हावी : किछ बारे में सम्ब्टीकरव चाहिये हैं

भी म॰ ला॰ द्वितेशै : इत्तरफा वराव भी निकल रहे हैं, स्टेटब के बारे में। श्री हाथी: स्टेटस के बारे में तो बयान होम मिनस्टर ने दे दिया है कि जो स्टेटस है उस में इनडिविज्ञुचल की बात नहीं है। कमीणन का कोई ऐसा स्टेटस होना चाहिये, जिस से सस को काम में सुविधा रहे।

श्री म० ला० द्विबरी: चेपरमैन मौजूद हैं। यदि उन से स्पष्टीकरण दिला दिया जाय तो ग्रन्छा होगा तः कि सदन को पता लग जाए कि बास्तविकता क्या है।

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Oil and Gas in Karaikal and Cauvery

*39. Dr. P. Srinivasan: Shri Paramasivan: Shri Linga Reddy:

Shri P. R. Chakraverti: Shri K. N. Tiwary: Shri R. Barua:

Shri P. C. Borooah; Shri M. L. Dwivedi; Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad; Shri S. C. Samanta;

Shri Subodh Hansda:
Will the Minister of Petroleum and
Chemicals be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that oil and gas have been found recently in Karaikal and Cauvery area; and
- (b) if so, the estimated reserves and steps taken for the exploration thereof?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan): (a) and (b). Some traces of oil and gas have been found in the Karaikal area. As these have not yet been found to be of communical significance, the question of estimation of their reserves does not arise at present. But further exploratory operations will be continued in the area:

Education on Concurrent List

*40, Shri Bagri:

Dr. Ram Manchar Lohia; Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri Balmik!:

Shri Dhuleshwar Meena; Shri Ramachandra Ulaka;

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 54 on the 3rd November, 1965 regarding the Sapra Committee's recommendation to bring 'Education' on the Concurrent List and state:

- (a) whether the replies from the remaining States have since been received;
- (b) if so, the nature of the replies received from each State; and
- (c) the action taken on these recommendations?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) No. Sir.

- (b) Does not arise.
- (c) Further action will be taken by Government on receipt of replies from the remaining State Governments.

Coke-oven Gas for Fertilizers

*41. Shri Rameshwar Tantia;
Shri Himatsingka;
Shri Onkar Lai Berwa;
Shri Lahtan Chandhry;
Shri Narayan Reddy;
Shri Bagri;
Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia;
Shri Madhu Limaye;
Shri Yashpal Singh;
Shri Kajrolkar;

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

- (a) whether there is a proposal for the produc ion of fertilizers by "ilizing coke-oven gas obtained from the Bhilai Steel Plant;
- (b) whether it is a fact that the possibility of using coke-oven gas for the fertilizer plant at Korba was discussed with the Russian experts who had come in connection with the expansion of the Bhilai Steel Plant; and
- (c) if so, the outcome thereof?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan): (a) The Fertilizer Corporation of India Limited has made a proposal to tap hydrogen from coke-oven gas of Bhilai Steel Plant for the manufacture of urea. The proposal raises a number of important issues, which cannot be fully decided until the lines of expansion of the Bhilai Steel Plant have been settled. The report of the Corporation is under examination.

- (b) There is, at present, no preposal to establish a fertilizer plant at Korba utilising coke-oven gas.
 - (c) Does not arise.

Infiltrators in Eastern Region

Shri Himatsingka: Shri Rameshwar Tantia: Shri Onkar Lal Berwa: Shri Lahtan Chaudhry: Shri D. C. Sharma: Shri P. C. Borooah: Shri Narayan Reddy: Shri Ram Harkh Yadav: Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Shri M. L. Dwivedi: Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri Subodh Hansda: Shrimati Savitri Nigam: Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri P. R. Chakraverti: Shri K. N. Tiwary: Shri Bagri: Shri Vishram Prasad: Dr. Ram Manchar Lohia: Shri Kishen Pattnayak: Shri Prakash Vir Shastri: Shri Jagdev Singh Siddhantl: Shri Hukam Chand Kachhavaiya: Shri Madhu Limaye: Shri Hem Barua: Shri Rajeshwar Patel: Shri Ravindra Varma: Shri R. S. Pandey: Shrimati Jyo'sua Chanda:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

Shrimati Renuka Barkataki:

Shri Basumatari:

Shri R. Barua:

(a) whether it is a fact that the various schemes to prevent the inflitation of Pakistani nationals ante-Assam have not yet been implemented;

- (b) if so, the reasons that prevent Assam Government to implement these schemes;
- (c) the nature of assistance the Centre is considering to give in view of the difficulty expressed by the Assam Government in implementing them;
- (d) whether the scheme for issue of identity cards to all the Indians in Assam has found favour and if so, when those cards are likely to be introduced; and
- (e) the latest estimate of infiltrators in Assam, West Bengal and Tripura?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) to (d). Of the various schemes which have been under consideration for the preveninfiltration of Pakistani tion of nationals into Assam, those relating to the erection of barbed-wire fencing and issue of identity cards have respoctively been found impracticable and inexpedient. The scheme for the evacuation of population from a onemile belt is still under consideration. Other schemes have been implemen-Necessary financial and other assistance has been and is being, given to the State Government.

(e) Assam—About 1,20.000 Tripura—About 1,000

West Bengal—Actual number has not yet been determined. However, the number of infiltrator detected as on 10th January 1966 was 728.

Education Commission's Report

*43. Shri Prakash Vir Shasiri; Shri Rameshwar Tantia: Shri Himatsiazka: Shri Hokam Chand Kachhavalya: Shri Jagdev Singh Siddhanti: Shri Narayan Reddy: Shri Wishwa Nath Pandey: Shri M. S. Musti; Shri Sidheshwar Frasad: Shri Linga Reddy; Shri Sham Lai Saraf; Shri R. Barua; Shri Ramac landra Ulaka; Shrimati Renu Chakravartiy; Shri Dhuleshwar Meena; Shri R. S. Pandey; Shri Karni Sinchii; Shrimati Ramdu'ari Sinha;

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 5 on the 3rd November, 1965 and to state:

- (a) whether the Education Commission has since submitted its Report to Government;
- (b) if so, the main recommendations made therein: and
- (c) the steps taken by Government to implement those recommendations?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagia): (a) Not yet, Sir.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

Indian Historical Repords Commission

*44. Shri Lahtan Chaudhry: Shri Rameshwar Tantia: Shri Himatsingka: Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri Na ayan Reddy: Shri Ram Harkh Yadav:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Union Government have decided to reconstitute the Indian Historical Records Commission and substantially amend its scope;
- (b) if so the main reasons for its reconstitution; and
 - (c) the composition of the Commis-

The Deonty Minister in the Minister of Education (Shri Bhakt Darahan): (a) Yes. Sir. The Commission has already been asconstituted.

- (b) The main reasons for its reconstitution are given in paras I and 2 of the Resolution which is laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-5452/661.
- (c) The composition of the Commission is given in para 3.1 of the Resolution.

Oll Reserves under the Ocean Bed

045 Shri Bibhuti Mishra: Shri K. N. Tiwary: Shri P. C. Borooah; Shri M. L. Dwivedi: Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri Subodh Hansda: Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri Balmiki: Shri Onkar Lal Berwa: Shri P. R. Chakraverti: Shri Rameshwar Tantia: Shri Himatsingka: Shri Bagri: Dr. Ram Manchar Lohia: Shil D. N. Tiwary: Shri Madhu Limaye: Shrimati Renuka Barkataki: Shri Balakrishnan: Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: Shri Hokam Chand Kachhavaiya: Shri Bade: Shri Gokulananda Mohanty: Shrimati Avotsna Chanda: Shri R. S. Pandey: Shri Ravindra Varma: Shri R. Barua: Shri Basumatari;

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that there are very huge reserves of oil under the ocean bed off the coast between the mouth of the Coleroon and Kanyakumari in Madras State and Kerala coast;
- (b) if so, whether Government have formulated any scheme to exploit these reserves; and
- (a) if so, the main Keatures thereof?

The Minister of Petroleum Chemicals (Shrl Alagesan): (a) Seismic surveys have indicated favourable structures at two places. Since drilling operations have been carried out so far along Coromandel Coast it is not possible to say whether accumulations of oil are present in these structures. No detailed off-shore survey has yet been made along the Kerala Coast.

453

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

विद्वविद्यासयों के सम्बन्ध में एकस्प नोति

 46. डा० राम मनोहर ले।हिया । र्थाः किञ्चन पटनायकः भी मध लिमये : भी बागदी: भी प्र० रं० चक्रवर्तीः

> भ्री क० न० तिवारी : भी नारायण रेष्ट्री : भी लाटन चौधरी :

क्षां प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री : भी हुकम चन्द कञ्जाय :

भी जगदेव सिंह तिद्धान्ती :

भी रामेश्वर टाटिया: भी हिस्मतसिंहका :

भी धोंकार लाल बेरवा :

भी भीनारायण दास :

की द्वां ना शतिवारी:

भी यशपाल सिंह :

भी विश्वनाय पाण्डेय :

भी लिंग रेड्डी:

र्धा च० का० भटटाचार्य : भी भागवत हा। शामाद :

भी स० च० सामन्त :

भी सुबोध हंसवा : भोमती रामबुलारी तिन्हा :

क्या जिल्ला मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) शाध प्रदेश के मस्य मंत्री के इस प्रस्ताब पर राज्य सरकारी तथा विश्व-

विद्यालयों के बीच सम्बन्धों के बारे में एक समान नोति निर्धारित करने के लिए केन्द्र तथा राज्य सरकारों के बीच विचार विमर्ण होना चाहिए, सरकार का क्या दिष्टकोण है: भीर

(ख) क्या उन के मंत्रालय ने कोई ऐसा इंग निकाला है जिस से राज्य समवे देश में विश्वविद्यालयों से एक समान सम्बन्ध वनाये रखासकें?

शिक्ता मंत्री (श्री मु०क० चागला): (क) भीर (ख) भानध प्रदेश के मख्य मंत्री से ऐसा कोई प्रस्ताव नहीं मिला है। फिर भी शिक्षा मंत्री ने सभी राज्यों के मस्य मंत्रियों को सलाह देते हुए लिखा है कि एक convention (परिपाटी) कायम की जानी चाहिये कि पहले से U.G.C. तथा Education Ministry Central (केन्द्रीय शिक्षा मंत्रालय) से सलाह लिए विना काई University legislation (विश्वविद्यालय विधान) हाथ में न लिया जाए और नहीं कोई University Act में संशोधन किया जाए।

Self-sufficiency in Petroleum Kerosene and Diesel Oil

•47. Shri Linga Reddy: Shri Madhu Limaye: Shri Bibhuti Mishca: Dr. L. M. Singhyl:

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 17 on the 3rd November, 1965 and state:

- (a) the extent to which Government have been successful so far to make the country self-sufficient in regard to diesel, petrol and kerosene oil; and
- (b) the States where oil refineries are proposed to be set up in the Fourth Five Year Plan period and the estimated cost thereof?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicais (Sh.i Alagesan): (a) The indigenous production of motor spirit is in excess of damand. A part of the demand for HSD and Kerosene is still being met by imports. Selfsufficiency in HSD is expected to be achieved during 1966 with the commissioning of addJtional refining capacity at the Cochin, Kovali and Barauni refineries. Imports of kerosene will decline progressively with the setting up new refining capacity in the IV Five Year Plan, but small deficits are likely to continue for some years to come.

(b) In the IV Five Year Plan period, 'free oil refineries are proposed to be set up, namely, the Madras Refinery (estimated cost about Rs 25 crorss), a refinery at Haldia in West Bengal and another in North-West India at a location still to be determined. The estimates of cost for the Haldia and the North-West Refinerics have not so far been made.

Joint Consultative Machinery

*43. Shri Daii: Shri S. M. Banerjee: Shri Madhu Limaye:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the constitution of a Joint Consultative Machinery for the Central Government employees has been deadlocked due to the difference of opinion between the Government and the recognised employees associations on the issue of representation on the Joint Councils to "teraft" unions; and
- (b) the steps taken to resolve the deadlock?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Sunsilies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Haffi): (a) The implementation of the scheme of joint consultation and compulsory arbitration has been deleved due to the difference of coining between the Government and the employees organisations on some items one of which

is the recognition of unions on the basis of categories of staff having common service interests.

(b) It is proposed to hold further meetings with the employees organisations in order to arrive at an agreement.

Prohibition

440. Shri Hem Rai: Sh i P. R. Chakraverti: Shri K. N. Tiwarv: Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Shri M. L. Dwivedi: Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri Subodh Hansda: Shrimati Savitri Nigam: Shri P. C. Borocan; Shri Kajrolkar: Shri Madhu Limaye: Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri S. M. Baneriee: Shri Vishwa Na h Pandey: Shri Bibhu'i Mishra: Shri Hem Barua: Shri Warior: Shri Ind alit Gupta: Shri Vasudevan Nair: Shri Prabhat Kar: Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Shri D. J. Naik: Shri D. C. Sharma: Shri Kindar Lal:

Shri R. Barua:

Shri Bagri:

Shri Himatsingka:

Sh-i R. S. Pandey:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 570 on the 1st December, 1965 and state:

Shri Rameshwar Tantia:

Shri Ram Sewak Yadav:

Shrimati Ramdulari Sinha:

- (a) whether the views of all the State Governments on the Tek Chand: Committee Report have been received;
- (b) the names of the Stales who are not in favour of Prohibition and the reasons advanced by them; and
- (c) the final decision taken on the policy to be pursued to enforce prohibition throughout the country?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) All the State Governments with the exception of two have furnished their view on the report of the Study Team.

Written Annoers

- (b) From the replies received so far, no State has stated that it is not in favour of prohibition or is totally opposed to the recommendations of the Study Team. Some State Covernments have, however, pointed out difficulties in implementing some of the recommendations and these arabeing examined.
- (c) Efforts are being made to reach an early decision on the future policy.

गुह-कार्य मंत्रालय में हिन्दी का प्रयोग

\$50. श्री राम सेवक प्रावव : श्रा० राम मनोझर मोहिया : श्री बागड़ी : श्री किशन पटनायक : श्री प्रकाशकोर शास्त्री : श्री हुकम चन्त्र कछवाय : श्री कपावेब सिंह सिद्धान्ती : श्री कष्मपक्ष सिंह : श्री विश्ववनाय पण्डेय :

्वका गृह-कार्य मंत्री यह बताचे की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) गृह-कार्य मंत्रालय में हिन्दी के ब्रवीय के बाए में सब तक किसानी जयकि हुई है;
- (ब्र) इस मंत्रालय में हिन्दी का प्रकोत क्रम तक पूर्ण क्रम से हो ज़ायेगा;
- (ग) क्या कियी जानने वाने कर्न-चारियों को कोई लाम दिया जाता है; झोर
 - (म) प्रति हो, हो स्या है ?

गृह-कार्य मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (ब्री विद्या भरण मृक्त): (क) केन्द्रीय सरकार के गृह मंद्राजय में विभिन्न कार्यों के लिये हिन्दी के वास्तविक उपयोग की दिशा में की गृद्रै प्रगति इस प्रकार है:—

- हिन्दी में प्राप्त होने वाले पत्नों का जाम तौर पर हिन्दी में जबाब दिया जाता है।
- हरकारी संकल्प हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी
 होनों भाषाओं में जारी किये जाते हैं।
- 3. प्रभासनिक प्रतिवेदन तथा संसद् में पेण किये जाने वाले प्रतिवेदन हिन्दी में भी प्रकाशित किये जाते हैं।
- 4. 112 विमागीय फार्मों में से 9,4
 दोनों भाषाभ्रों में छापे जा चुके हैं।
- 5. इस मंत्रालय के विभिन्न प्रनुभागों में काम करने वाले कर्मचारियों में से लगभग 67 प्रतिशत को हिन्दी का काम चलाऊ ज्ञान है या हिन्दी का प्रशिक्षण दिथा जा चुका है।
- 6. घव तक इस मंत्रालय के चुने हुए इ. अनुभागों में हिन्दी में टिप्पणियां लिखना सुरू कर दिया गया है।
- (ख) 1963 के राजभाषा प्रधिनियम कें हिन्दी तथा अग्रेजी दोनों भाषाओं के, विभिन्न सरकारी कार्यों के लिये, प्रयोग की अयवस्था है। इस व्यवस्था के प्रवृतार समय की कोई सीमा नहीं बांखी गई। इस निये केन्द्रीय सरकार के काम काज में केवल हिन्दी के प्रयोख को लागू करने का प्रथन ही नहीं जठता।
 - (ग) जी, नहीं।
 - (ज) प्रात ही तही ब्रह्मा ।

नागरिक सुरक्रा बन

. १५३. जार पाम मनोहर मोद्विकाः की कामग्रीः की प्राक्तिक संस्त्राः श्री किवान परलायक : सी किवा रेड्डी : स्पी आगवत का प्राजात : सी अन्य लाज विवेदी : सी सन्दर्भन सामन्त : श्री सुन्धेश हैं तथा : सी प्रजाय के वहस्मा :

श्री यशपाल सिंह । श्री विश्वास प्रसाद :

श्री उदिया :

श्री विद्वताय पाण्डेय : श्री नारायण रेडडी :

भी हिम्मत तिहका :

श्री रामेश्वर द!दिया :

क्या कृत-कार्य मंत्री सह बताने की क्रपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि सरकार का जिचार सिविज फ़ित फोर्स (नागरिक सुरक्षा डल) को शुद्दु करने का है;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो क्या सरकार का विचार इस प्रयोजन के लिये नृवक विभाग बनाने का है जो कि एक केन्द्रीय मंद्री के श्रद्रीत होगा;
- (ग) इस प्रस्ताव की मुख्य वार्ते क्या है है

गृह-मार्थ यंत्रः लख में डच्मंत्री (भी पू० को॰ सस्कर) : (क) क्षोर (ग). नागरिक सुच्छा स्थवस्था को अधिक प्रभावशाबी बनाने के लिये कुछ सुझाव धभी विचाराधीन हैं। इस बारे में विस्तार से बताना जनहित की दृष्टि में ठीक नहीं होगा।

(ख) जी, नहीं ।

Border Security Force

*53. Shri Gulshan: Shri Linga Reddy: Shri Prakash Vir Shastri: Shri Hukam Chand Kachhawaiya: Shri Jagdev Singh Siddhanti: Shri Madhu Limaye:

Shri Kishen Pattnayak: Shri Bhagwat Jha Asad:

Shri M. L. Dwivedi: Shri S. C. Samanta:

Shri Subodh Hansda: Shrimati Savitri Nigam:

Shri P. C. Borooah: Sh i Shree Narayan Das:

Dr. Ranen Sen: Shri Dinan Bhattacharya:

Shri Krishnapal Singh: Dr. L. M. Singhvi:

Shri D. C. Sharma: Shri R. S. Pandev:

Shri Ram Sewak Yadav: Shri Bagri:

Shri Man Sinh P. Patel:

Wil the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 1 on the 3rd November, 1965 and state:

- (a) whether the Central Government have taken over all the Border Police Forces of the States;
- (b) if so, whether all the expenditure is being borne by the Central Government;
- (c) whether the Central Government have reimbursed to the States any amount of expenditure for the years 1963 and 1964; and
- (d) if so, how much amount to each State?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) and (b), The Central Government have taken over from the 1st December, the State Armed Police battalions deployed on Indo-Pak. Border to form the unified central border secuforce and have responsibility for meeting the entire expenditure on them from the said date.

(c) Yes.

(d) The following payments have been made to the States as Grant-inaid for policing Indo-Pakisan border:-

		1	963-64	1964-65	
	((figures in lakins of rupees			
* ssam			9.44	162.30	
unjab .			7.50	80.10	
Rajasthan .		, .		200.00	
West Bengal			8.50	4.67	

Review of Working of D.I.R.

*53. Shri Harish Chandra Mathur:
Shri S. M. Banerjee:
Shri Vasudevan Nair:
Shri Warior:
Shri Prabhat Kar:
Shri Indrajit Gupta:
Shri Madhu Limaye:
Shri Kishen Pattnayak:
Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey:
Dr. L. M. Singhvi:

Wi'l the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any review of the working of the D.I.R. has been made:
- (b) how many persons are under detention under D.I.R. at present and how many of them are Legislators;
- (c) what are the main complaints and Government's conclusions regarding the operation of D.I.R.;
- (d) whether Government's attention has been drawn in particular to the very severe observations made by Sa vashri 'Setulvad and Santhanam; and
- (e) if so, Government's reaction thereto?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) The Government have been reviewing the

working of the Defence of India Rules, 1962, from time to time to assess the extent to which the rules have been used to meet the requirements of the emergency.

- (b) 3030 persons were under detention under the Defence of India Rules on 1-1-1936. Of these 67 were either members of State legislatures or of Parliament.
- (c) There has been some criticism to the effect that the Proclamation of Emergency was being continued indefinitely by the Government that the emergency powers were being used for stitting political opposition and for dealing with strikers, hearders, profiteers, communal elements etc. while the ordinary law was available for the purpose. As was stated earlier in the House, the Proclamation of Emergency was issued because the security of India was threatened by external aggression. As this threat has persisted, it has been found neces_ sary to continue the operation of this The actual Proclamation. emergency powers is governed considerations dictated by interest and requirements of the situation as they arise and not by political or any other consideration.
- (d) and (e) Government have seen a press report (*) an article by Shri M. C. Setalvad in the "Indian Advocate", in which he is reported to have stated that the executive has taken advantage of the Chinese aggression to constitute itself into what may be called a constitutional dictatorship. They have also seen a press report that according to a statement made by the Secretary of the Bar Association of India the views expressed by Shri Setalvad did not reflect the opinion of the Bar in general but were attributable only to a section of the They have also seen a press report on a lecture delivered by Shri K. Santha. nam is which he is reported to have stated that there was no justification for the conitnuance of the Proc'amation of Emergency after the Chinese had withdrawn from certain areas occupied by them and that if it was

found necessary, there could have been a fresh Proclamation of emerge-cy at the time of Pakisiani aggression last year. Government do not agree with the views expressed by Shri Setalvad and Shri Santhanam. As stated in part (c) above, the necessity for continuing the Proclamation of Emerge-icy arises because of the persistent threat to the security of the country and the emerge-cy powers have been exercised to meet the requirements of the emergency.

462

Calcutta Industrialista

*54. Shri S. M. Banerjee: Shri Indrajit Gupta: Shri S. C. Samauta: Shri Subodh Hansda:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 719 on the 8th December, 1965 and state:

- (a) what further action has been taken against the two industrialists of Calcutta arrested on the 8th October, 1965 under the D.I.R.;
- (b) whether it is also a fact—that Indian currency notes worth crores of rupees whi h were printed in Pakistan have been recovered from their houses;
- (c) whether these persons have person prosecuted; and
 - (d) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathl): (a) They are still in detention under rule 30 of the Defence of India Rules.

- (b) No. Sir.
- (c) No. Sir.
- (d) The Government of West Bengal who have been dealing with this matter are awaiting a futher examination of the material available, for taking a decision.

Decentrol of Prices and Distribution of Fortilizers

*55, Shri P. C. Borooah: Shri M. L. Dwivedi: Shei Bhagwat Jha Azad: Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri Subodh Hansda: Sh ima'i Savi ri Nigam: Shri Shree Narayan Das: Shri Vishram Prasad: Shri Madhu Limaye: Shri Kishen Pattnayak: Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia: Shri Bagri: Shri Utiva: Shri Ram Sewak Yaday: Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri Bibhuti Mishra: Shri K. N. Tiwary: Shri Karni Singhii: Shri P. R. Chakraverti:

Shri Vishwa Nath Pandeys Shri Kajrolkar: Shri D. N. Tiwary: Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya:

Shri Lahtan Chaudhry:
Shri Rameshwar Tantia:
Shri Himatsingka:

Shri Narayan Reddy:

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

- (a) whether in order to attract foreign private investment in the fertilizer industry. Government have decided to allow a limited decontrol of prices and distribution of fertilizers;
- (b) if so, the precise decision taken in this regard; and
- (c) the reaction of the foreign extrepreneurs thereto so far?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) It has been decided that fertiliser nroje to licensed upto 31st March, 1967 will be free to fix prices of their products and to organise their own distribution for a period of seven years from the commentement of commercial production, subject to Government having the option to take upto 30 per cent of the products at a negotiated price.
 - (c) The reactions are favourable.

Commitations between State Ministers and Governors

.54 Shef A N. Vidvalankar: Shel Ribbutl Mishra: Shri P. R. Chakraverti; Shri Bhagwat Jha Asad: Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri Subo in Hansda.

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Government of sent à circular India have recently to the State Governments advising the Chief Ministers and Ministers to consult the Governors more irequently and on a larger number of subjects:
- (b) whether it is a fact that some of the Governors had made a complaint to the Government that they were not sonsulted frequently by the State Ministers on important matters; and
- (c) whether Government propose to revise the model rules of business and whether it is intended to further curtail the powers of the Minister's Increased say in all matters to the Governors?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shrit Nanda): (a) to (c). It was found In 1951 that the Rules of Business adopted in the various States were not uniform. A draft of the Model Rules was, therefore, prepared and circulated to State Governments to bring about uniformity and also to ensure that the Governor was kept informed of all important matters relating the administration of the State. Since it appeared that the Rules of Business in some States did not fully conform to those Model Rules, it was suggested to Chief Ministers in November, 1965. that they might consider the desirability of amending their Rules of Business suitably. A list of the types of cases which, at the Centre, were norma ly submitted to the President, and which could usefully be included in the list of cases to be submitted . Governors was also sent

The provisions of article 167 of the -Constitution were kept in view making the above suggestions

Athinistment of a Vict-Chicktollor in Puntas

*57. Shri Hari Vishin Kamath: Shri Ram Sewak Yaday: Shrf Bafri:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 594 on the lat December, 1965 and state:

- (a) whether the Punish Government has submitted a report with regard to the appointment, as Vice-Chancellor of a University in Punjab, of # person who was removed from service by order of the President on charges of corruption:
- (b) if so, the particulars thereof; and
- (c) whether the report will be inid on the Table?

The Minister of Education M. C. Charla); (a) to (c). The Chief Minister of Punjab in his reply stated that he was requesting the Chancellor, who is the appointing authority, to make an enquity into the matter. The Chancellor has instituted an enquiry but its outcome has not yet been reported to the Education Ministry by the Puntab Government.

Plot to hill Kashenir Chief Minister

*58. Shri M. L. Dwivedi: Shri P. C. Berecah: Shri Bhagwat Jha Anni: Shri S C. Samanta: Shri Subodh Hatisda: Shri P R. Chakravertik Shri Bibhuti Mishra: Shel D. N. Tiwart: Shirt Yashpat Stock; Shet Hokem Chand Kildkhavelyst Shri Bade:

Shri D. J. Naik: Shri Krishnapul Singh: Shri Gukulamanda Mokasiy:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be

- (a) whether a plot to kill the Kashmir Chief Minister was unearthed in December last before it could materialise.
- (b) if so, the number of persons who were apprehended in that connection and their particulars; and
 - (c) Government's reaction thereto?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and c). Fuller details of the incident are awaited from the Jammu and Kashmir Government.

New Code of Conduct for Ministers

*59. Shri Madhu Limaye: Shri Kishen Pattnayak: Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia: Shri P. K. Deo: Shri Kapur Singh:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government are contemplating a new code of conduct for Ministers and a new procedure for making independent preliminary inquiries into al'egations made against them by legislators; and
- (b) if so, the broad features there-

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Nanda): (a) and (b). Government are not contemplating a new code of conduct for Ministers. Government's approach regarding procedure making inquiries into allegations against Ministers were explained by the Home Minister in the course œ his reply during the discussion of the Demands for Grants of Ministry of Home Affairs on 27-4-1965. There is to change in the position.

Resignation of Shri A. P. Jalm

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the former Governor of Kerala, Shri A. P. Jain, took an active part in a Congress party election while he held that high Office;
- (b) whether the said action on his part was in consonance with the code of conduct prescribed for a Governor; and
- (c) if not, the action taken in the matter?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Nanda): (a) to (c). In his letter dated 17 January 1966, addressed to the President, Shri Ajit Prasad Jaiw stated that while he was on a visit to Delhi he had advised his friends, who in connection sought his guidance, with the recent election of the leader of the Congress Parliamentary Party, Since he felt that this might not be in accord with the proprieties attached to the high office of Governor he tendered his resignation. He was formed in reply by the President on the 21st January 1966, that arrangements were being made to him of his office. But until this was done he should continue in office . Governor of Kerala:

Excavation of Ancient Sites in Mathura

126. Shri Kam Harkh Yadav: Shri Murli Manuhar: Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have permitted a German Indologist to

excavate the sites of two ancient mounds in Mathura district of U.P.—the Sonkh and Rai areas:

Written Answers

- (b) if so, the terms of the licence; and
- (c) the estimated expenditure involved in the excavation?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhakt Durchan): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) 1. Objects found during excavations will be the property of the State Government.
- 2. Not more than one piece of those objects (which will be found in aupticate) will be handed over to the excavator.
- 3. The excavator will be all wed to take photographs of the objects found during excavations but in case he intends to publish them prior permission of the State Government will be necessary.
- 4. If permitted he shall have to donate at least ten copies of the publications to the State Government in which the photographs of such objects are published.
- 5. An officer duly reminsted by the State Government will be ported at the site to watch the excavations.
- (c) As the expenditure i, to be borne by the excavator himself, the Archaeological Survey of India has neither prepared nor called for any estimate.

Training for Circus Artistes

127. Shri Murli Manchar: Shri Ram Harkh Yadav:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state;

(a) whether the Indian Circuses Federation have submitted their demands to Government for the provision of training institutes for Circus Artistes;

- (b) if so, whither Government propose to send Circus Artistes abroad for professional training; and
- (c) whether Government propose ? to remove the levy of entertainment tax from circuses?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhakt Darshan); (a) Yes S.r.

- (b) There is no such proposal under the consideration of Government.
- (c) The matter falls within the jurisdiction of State Governments.

Guruvayoorapan College, Kerala

129, Shri A. K. Gopalan: Wi'l the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Kerala University Syndicate his appointed a Commission to go into the working of "Guruvayoorapan College", Kerala:
- (b) whether it is a fact that the Principal of the College has submitted a complaint about its working;
- (c) if so, the contents thereof; and
- (d) when the Commission's work will be over?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) Yes, Sir.
- (c) There are charges and countercharges levelled by the Principal and the Management against each other.
- (d) The Commission is expected to complete its work shortly.

Arrest of Muslim Larguers in Kerala

- 129. Shri A. K. Garalan: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:
- (a) whether his Ministry has enquired into the allegation that some

Muslim leaguers in Kerala had been arrested and detained due to political prejudices:

47I

- (b) the number of Muslim Leaguers detained in Kerala under the Defence of India Rules after August, 1965:
- (c) the number of women among them;
- (d) whether protests have been made against these arrests:
- (e) whether any of them have been given family allowance; and
- (f) whether it is a fact that they are not given all facilities which other detenus are getting?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) No Muslim Leaguer was arrested and detained in Kerala due to political prejudices.

- (b) Four Muslim Leaguers were detained under the Defence of India Rules, 1962, after August 1965. They have since been released.
 - (c) Nil.
 - (d) Yes. Sir.
- (e) No Sir. Their cases were under consideration of the State Government but before any decision could be taken they were released
- (f) No, Sir. No discrimination is shown in regard to treatment of detenus.

Shortage of Light Diesel Oil

130. Shri Shiv Charan Mathur: Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

- (a) whether there was an acute shortage of light diesel oil in the country during the months of September-October, 1965;
- (b) if so, the reasons thereof and the present position of supply of this oil; and

2400 (ai) LS--.

(c) the quantity of light diesel on supplied to Rajasthan and other States during the period September-December, 1965?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan): (a) and (b). Owing to the failure of the monsoon in 1965, a much larger programme of lift irrigation was undertaken in some States and there was a substantial increase in the demand for light diesel oil suddenly from October 1965 onwards and shortages began to be felt. As soon as this brought to the notice of the Government of India, steps were taken to increase the production and supply of light diesel oil correspondingly. As a result, from a total of about 71,000 Kl in September 1965. supply in December 1965 had been increased to nearly 97,000 Kl; the position is, therefore, greatly improved.

(c) Supplies of LDO were specially planned and arranged for the States of Gujarat, Maharashtra and Rajasthan. Detailed information about these three States only is available for the months October to December. The quantities supplied to these States are shown below:—

Month.	Rajas-	Gujara	Maha- rashtra	Other States.
	KI.	KI.	K1.	KI.
October November December		23440 25847 20928	20082 20688 24384	38976 45149 47244

A similar break-up for September 1985 is not immediately available; but the information will be collected and placed on the Table of the House in due course.

Programme of General Education

- 131 Shri Shiv Charan Mathur: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:
- (a) whether any scheme has been formulated by the University Grants

Commission for strengthening the programme of General Education;

- (b) if so, the details of the scheme; and
- (c) the names of the Colleges in Rajasthan to be covered under the scheme?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla); (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) On the recommendation of the Advisory Committee on General Education financial assistance is made available to Universities
- I Where General Education has been introduced: For collection and printing of reading material; discussion meetings or conferences; workshop sessions during vacations; addition to the library of books of general interest and audio-visual aids for use in General Education Courses.
- II. Where introduction of General Education is under active consideration: For short term visits by faculty members to Universities where such course are in progress, and vice versa; organisation of a Committee to prepare the General Education programme and meetings for discussion of plans as worked out by this Committee.
- (c) All the colleges affiliated to or under the control of the three universities in Rajasthan, and which conduct the Three Year Degree Course, are covered under the scheme.

Indian Archives Council

- 132. Shri Shree Narayan Das: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:
- (a) whether the Indian Archives Council as suggested by the Committee on Archival Legislation has been established; and

(b) if so, the composition and precise nature of its functions?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhakt Darshan): (a) No, Sir. The suggestion is under consideration of the Government.

(b) Does not arise.

Supply of Gas and Oil in Gujarat

- 134. Shri Sham Lai Saraf: Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:
- (a) whether the Industrialists and Merchants in Gujarat have raused their concerted voice about the inadequate supply of Gas and Oil from local production for the private sector industries; and
- (b) if so, the sleps being taken to meet the demand?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan): (a) and (b). During recent months, demands were received from Gujarat for increased supplies of L.D.O., which is not produced at the Gujarat Refinery. Supplies were, therefore, arranged from the production of the Refineries at Bombay.

The Oil and Natural Gas Commission have received requests from a number of industries in Gujarat for the supply of natural gas. The quantity of gas available being limited, the Commission will be able to meet the requirements of only some of the industries after satisfying priority consumers such as power houses and fertilizer factory.

Inter-University Board Meeting

- 135. Shri Sidheshwar Prasad: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that a meeting of the Inter-University

Board was recently held at Mysore to discuss the question of disaffiliation of the Universities of Andhra State:

- (b) whether the question of the abridgement of the autonomy of the Calcutta University was also considered;
- (c) if so, the decisions taken on them; and
- (d) other items discussed and the decision taken thereon?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) The Inter University Board at its annual meeting discussed among other subjects, the question of recent amendments to Andhra Pradesh University Bills.

- (b) Yes. Sir.
- (c) The Board resolved that before taking any further steps in the matter, a Committee should be appointed to review the provisions in the different University Acts and the innovations that have been made which are detrimental to academic efficiency and the honour and dignity of universities. It will suggest ways and means by which things can be improved so as to establish cordial relations with the Government of the day consistent with the position of the Universities.

The Board resolved further that a joint meeting of the representatives of the Inter-University Board and the University Grants Commission should be sought to be convened early in March to consider the various aspects of the problem in the light of the recommendations made by the Standing Committee of the Board and on the basis of the report of the Sub-Committee.

- (d) Other items discussed by the Board were:—
 - Constitution and Functioning of Universities.

- II. Recognition and Equivalence of Institutions and Degrees.
- III. Functions of the Inter-University Board.
- IV. Grants to Universities.
- V. Language Policy.
- VI. Litigation and discipline in the University
- VII. Pattern of Education.
- VIII. Conditions of Service of Professors Teachers.
- IX. Report of the Special Committee on Private Appearance of candidates.
- X. Subjects and Examinations:— Reform of curriculum and Examination.
- XI. N. C. C. and Sports.
- XII. Other procedural matters.
- XIII. Miscellaneous.

The finalised proceedings of the Inter-University Board meeting have not so far been received.

Propagation of Hindi and other Languages

136. Shri Sexhiyan: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) the amounts allocated and spent in the years 1963-64, 1964-65 and 1965-66 so far, for propagation and development of (i) Hindi and (ii) other languages mentioned in the Eighth Schedule of the Constitution?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry

477

of Education (Shri Bhakt Darshan):

(a) the required information is furnished below:

	Sanctioned Budget allocation	Actual amount spent
	1963-64	
Hindi .	. 51,96,000	50,30,683
Sanskrit Other Modern	. 9,34,000	12,36,000
Indian Lang ges .	gua- . 11,30,000	6,12,000
	1964-65	
Hindi .	. 81,86,300	2,44,94,850
Sanskrit .	. 18,04,000	16,80,000
Other Modern Indian Lan- guages	. 16,00,000	5,67,000
	1965-66	
Hindi .	. 2,07,42,300	1,70,00,000*
Sanskrit .	25,45,000	23,18,000*
Other Modern Indian Lan-		
guages .	. 12,00,000	10,00,000

^{*}Anticipated expenditure Figures of actual expenditure will be available only after the close of the current financial year.

Mysore High Court Judgement

137. Shri P. C. Borocah: Shri M. L. Dwivedi: Shri Bhagwat Jha Asad: Shri Subodh Hansda: Shri S. C. Samanta;

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the Mysore High Court's Judgement of the 19th December, 1865 declaring certain provisions of the Mysore Government Servants' Conduct Rules as being violative of Article 19;
- (b) whether similar provisions exist in the Central Government Servants' Conduct Rules; and
- (c) if so, the steps being taken to modify the same in the light of the said judgement?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri P. S. Naskar): (a) to (c). Press reports regarding the Judgement of the Mysore High Court have come to the notice of Government. The exact position is being ascertained from the Mysore Government and such further action as may be necessary, will be taken on receipt of the reply from the State Government.

बपूली (नेफा) में पंचायतें तथा जिला परिचर्वे

138 डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया : श्री बागडी :

क्या गृह-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृषाः करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या सरकार ने उर्वशीयम (नेफा) में स्थानीय पंचायतों तथा जिला परिषदों के सदस्यों के, जिनको वहां पर कमशः बुन्गों तथा बनगुम्बा कहा जाता है, कार्यों का धम्ययक किया है; श्रीर
- (ख) यदि हां, तो उक्त संस्थाम्रों तथा तत्समान संस्थाम्रों को कब तक कानूनी रूप से मान्यता दी जायेगी ?

गृह-कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री तथा प्रतिरक्षा संभालय में प्रतिरक्षा संभरण मंत्री (श्री हाथी) : (क) जी, हां। मई, 1964 में प्रासाम के राज्यपास ने उत्तर-पूर्व सीमान्त प्रभिकरण में स्थानीय स्वायत्त शासन के विस्तार एवं विकास के बारे में विचार करने के विये एक समिति का निर्माण किया था। समस्त उत्तर-पूर्वी सीमान्त प्रभिकरण में वर्तमान स्थानीय जन-जातीय संस्थाघों की गतिविधियों का प्रध्यन करने के बाद समिति ने प्राम, प्रंचल तथा जिला स्वर पर प्राम पंचायतों, प्रंचल समितियों तथा जिला परिषदों जैसे लोक-

480

तांत्रिक संगठनों के निर्माण की सिफारिशें की हैं। भव ये सिफारिशें विचाराधीन हैं।

(ख) कोई निश्चित समयावधि निर्धारित -नहीं की जासकती है।

प्रपद्वत बच्चों की स्रोज

139 भी बागबी : भी विधाम प्रसाद : डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया : भी किशन पटनायेक : भी बशपाल सिंहं : भी रामबन्द्र उलाका : भी वलेश्वर मीना :

क्या गृह-कार्य मंत्री 3 नवम्बर, 1965 के असारांकिस प्रश्न संख्या 17 के उत्तर के सम्बन्ध में यह बताने की क्या करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या पुलिस खोये हुए बच्चों का पता लगाने में सफल हुई है : ग्रीर
- (ख) यदि हां, तो उसका विवरण क्या है ?

मृह-कार्य मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (धी पु॰ घो॰ नास्कर) : (क) ग्रौर (ख) दिल्ली पुलिस द्वारा भरसक चेव्टा के बावजद -काये हए बच्चों का कुछ पता नहीं चला। मामले को दाखिल दक्तर किया जा रहा \$ 1

Indoor Stadiuh at Talkatora Gardens

140. Shri Bhagwat Jha Amd: Shri M. L. Dwivedi: Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri Subodh Haneda: Shri P. C. Borocch: Shrimati Savitri Nigam:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to build an indoor Stadium at Talkatora Gardens by N.D.M.C.; and

(b) whether the Central Government have been approached for any grant for this proposal?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhakt Darshan): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Delhi Administration has been approached by the N.D.M.C. for a grant-in-aid to enable it to meet one-third of the cost of the project.

Return of Holdings by Service Personnel

141. Shri Bhagwat Jha Asad: Shri M. L. Dwivedi: Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri Subodh Hansda: Shrimati Savitri Nigam: Shri P. C. Borooah:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have suggested to the Orissa Government to extend the period of submission of return of the holdings by the land holders who are now on active service: and
- (b) if so, the reaction of the State Government thereto?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) Yes.

(b) The matter is under consideration of the State Government.

Oriminals Operating on Delhi-U.P. Border

142. Shri Rameshwar Tantia: Shri Himatsingka: Shri Onkar Lal Berwa: Shri Lahtan Chaudhry: Shri Narayan Reddy:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that recently joint operations were launched by the Delhi and U.P. Police to apprehend criminals operating on the border of the two States;

- (b) if so, how far this joint scheme has helped to check criminals and dacoits in the two States; and
- (c) whether this Joint Scheme is likely to be introduced in other States also?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri P. S. Naskar): (a) Yes, Sir

(b) and (c). It is usual for senior police officers of neighbouring States to discuss problems regarding cases of dacoities, illicit distillation, smugging etc. and to carry out investigations in a coordinated manner.

Repairs of Holy Places

143. Shri Rameshwar Tantia; Shri Himatsingka; Shri Lahtan Chaudhry; Shri Narayan Reddy;

Will the Minister of Home Affair; be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Government have decided that voluntary organisations may be asked to collect money for repairs of holy places damaged by Pakistanis aggression in the recent conflict.
- (b) if so, whether any estimate has been made in this regard;
- (c) the total number of holy places destroyed by the Pakistani bombing; and
- (d) the final decision taken in this regard?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) No decision to ask voluntary organisations has been taken, but it is proposed to issue an appeal to the public for contributions for rebuilding the places of worship.

(b) to (d). The State Governments concerned have been requested to assess the damage and the probable cost of reconstruction. Information when collected will be laid on the Table.

All India Education Conference

144. Shri Rameshwar Tantia; Shri Himatsingka; Shri Onkar Lal Berwa: Shri Lahtan Chaudhry: Shri Narayan Reddy: Shri Ram Sewak Yadav: Shri Bagri:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that an All India Education Conference was held in December, 1965 at Allahabad;
- (b) if so, what were the main subjects discussed in the conference;and
 - (c) the decisions arrived at?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagia): (a) The All India Fedration of Educational Associations convened this conference at Allahabad.

(b) and (c). The proceedings of the Conference have not been received by the Government so far.

Gandhi Centenary Celebrations

145. Shri Rameshwar Tantia: Shri Himatsingka: Shri Narayan Reddy: Shri Onkar Lal Berwa:

Will the Minister of Education bepleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the National Committee for Gandhi Centenary Celebrations have decided to hold an international exhibition on the life of Mahatma Gandhi in different parts of the world;
- (b) if so, the countries where this exhibition is proposed to be held; and
- (c) the assistance which Government propose to give to them?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhakt Darshan): (a) No. Sir.

(b) and c). Do not arise.

∡83

"कुशल धापरेटरों" का बेतन-कम

146 श्री किशन पटनायक : श्री मधु लिमये : डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : श्री बागडी :

क्या शिक्सा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) वेतन मायोग ने वैज्ञानिक तथा भौदोगिक गवेषणा परिषद् के कुशल भ्राप-रेटरों के क्या वेतन-कम निर्धारित किये हैं;
- (ख) कितनी प्रयोगशालाग्नों में यह बेतन-कम लागू किया गया है ;
- (ग) क्या यह सच है कि राष्ट्रीय भौतिकीय प्रयोगशाला के कर्मभारियों को यह बेतन-कम नहीं दिये गये हैं क्योंकि उनके पद का नाम कुशल धापरेटर से बदल कर भ्रापरेटर प्रशिक्षार्थी कर दिया गया है ; भीर
- (घ) यदि हां, तो इस मामले में क्या कार्यवाही किये जाने का विचार है ?
- किया मंत्री (भी मु॰ क॰ कामला):
 (क) बेतन प्रायोग की सिफारिकों, उसी तथ्य से, वैज्ञानिक प्रौर प्रौद्योगिक प्रनुसन्धान परिषद पर लागू नहीं होती हैं, क्योंकि परिषद एक स्वायत्त-शासी संगठन है। फिर भी, वैज्ञानिक प्रौर प्रौद्योगिक प्रनुसन्धान परिषद ने प्रपने प्रधीन विभिन्न पदों के बेतन मान बेतन प्रायोग की सिफारिकों के प्रनुसार संशोधित किये हैं। तदनुसार, परिषद् के विभिन्न वर्गों के प्रवालकों (प्रापरेटरों) पर लागू 35-1-40-2-60, 60-5/2-75 प्रौर 60-5/2-75-3-105 द

के बेतन मानों को कमशः 80–1–85–2– 95 कु० रा०–3–110, 110–3–131 मीर 110–3–131–4–143– कु० रा० 4–155 रु० में संशोधित कर दिया गया

- (ख) बैं० भौ० भ० प० के भ्रधीन सभी राष्ट्रीय प्रयोगशालाभ्रों/संस्थानों ने, जहां-जहां ये पद विद्यमान हैं, नए वेतन-मानों को भ्रपना लिया है।
- (ग) और (क). 80-1-85-2-95-कु॰ रो॰ 3-110 का संगोधित बेतनमान, राष्ट्रीय भौतिक प्रयोगशाला, नई दिल्ला के उन प्रचालकों पर भी जो 35-1-40-2-60 रु॰ के बेतन मान में थे, लागू कर दिया गया था। किन्तु इस संगोधित बेतनमान के प्रचालकों (कुगल) के 80 पदों में से 60 पदों का नाम उनके बेतनमान में परिवर्तन किए बगैर बदलकर प्रचालक प्रशिक्षार्थी (कुगल) रख दिया गया और 20 पदों को नीचे बताए धनुसार उच्च कोटि का कर दिया गया :---

पर	पर्वो की संख्या	बेतन-मान
1. प्रवर प्रचालक	10	110-3-
		131-4-
		143
		कु० रो० 4-
		155₹0
2. ग्रवर प्रचालक	10	110-3-
		131 ই০

Delhi and Himachal Pradesh Civil and Police Service

- 147. Shri Shiv Charan Mathur: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that deputationists from the States of U.P. and Punjab have ben absorbed in Delhi

Police services: (b) whether deputationists from other States are not allowed to opt

Himachal Pradesh Civil

and

for the above Services; and (c) if so, the reasons therefor?

(a) to (c). The Delhi and Himachal

Pradesh Civil Service was constituted on the 15th March, 1961 and the

Delhi and Himachal Pradesh Police

Service on the 30th March, 1961. Ac-

cording to the rules of these cadres.

appointments to these services at their

initial constitution were to be made

among officers who were holding posts included in the services on the dates

of the constitution of these services.

So far as the Delhi and Himachal

Pradesh Civil Service was concerned, some posts included in the Service

were held on the date of the con-

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri P. S. Naskar):

stitution of the Service by a officers belonging to Governments of Punjab and Uttar Pradesh; there were at that time no deputationists from States. Out of deputationists from Punjab and Uttar Pradesh, those who were willing to join the Delhi and Himachal Pradesh Civil Services and in whose cases the State Governments concerned agreed absorption in the

were considered for appointment to

So far as the Delhi and Himachal

Pradesh Police Service was concern-

ed, some of the posts included in the

the Service.

Service were held by deputationists from Punjab. Uttar Pradesh, Maharashtra and Rajasthan. Similarly, out of these deputationists, those who were willing to be considered for absorption in the Delhi-Himachal Pra-Police Service and in whose cases the State Governments concerned agreed to their absorption in the Service were considered for appointment to the Service.

The Delhi and Himachal Pradesh Civil and Police Services Rules further provided that if sufficient number of suitable officers were not available from among those who were holding posts included in the Services on the dates of the constitution of these services, other officers holding equivalent posts in the States of Punjab and Uttar Pradesh on the date of the constitution of the Delhi-Himachal Pradesh Civil and Police Services, could also be considered for absorption in these services. In accordance with these provisions, officers of the Governments of Punjab and Uttar Pradesh who were will be considered for absorption in the Delhi-Himachal Pradesh Civil and Police Services and in whoses cases the State Governments concerned agreed to their absorption, were considered for appointment to the Services. Appointments to Delhi-Himachal Pradesh Civil Police Services were made by selection on the recommendations of the Selection Committee prescribed over by a Member of the Union Service Commission.

148. Shri Hem Raj:

Shri Daljit Singh:

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

Drilling in Jwalamukhi

(a) the number of deep drilling wells sunk so far in the Jwalamukhi area in Punjab; and

(b) the number of wells which have given results either of oil or gas and their estimated commercial value?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan): (a) One deep well has been drilled and two more are under drilling at present.

(b) The first well indicated the presence of natural gas but as the well could not be tested properly, the commercial significance of the gas show, could not be determined. Indications of the presence of natural gas have been obtained in another well, but

their significance will be known only

after the well has been completed and

tested.

Written Answers MAGHA 27, 1887 (SAKA) Written Answers Assam Hill Districts Commission चलियों के ग्रइलील इक्तहार Report 149. डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया : 151. Shrimati Renuka Barkataki: श्री बागडी : Shri P. C. Borooah: Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: श्री रामसेवक यादव : Shri Ravindra Varma: श्री किशन पटनायक : Shri R. S. Pandev: श्रीमती सावित्री निगम : Shri Rajeshwar Patel: Shri Yashpal Singh: भी यशपाल सिंह : Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena: न्या गृह-कार्य मंत्री 10 नवम्बर, 1965 Shri R. Barua: के अतारांकित प्रश्न संख्या 327 के उत्तर Minister of Home Affairs Will the के सम्बन्ध में यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि be pleased to state: सरकार ने फिल्मों के ग्रर्धनग्न चित्रों (फोटो) (a) whether the Assam Hill Dist-बाले इश्तहारों के प्रदर्शन पर प्रतिबन्ध लगाने ricts Commission has submitted के लिए क्या कार्यवाही की है ? report to Government: (b) if so, the main recommenda-गृह-कार्य मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (श्री पू० tions of the Commission; and बी॰ नास्कर): जैसा कि पहले ही 10 नवम्बर (e) Government's decision thereon? 1965 को बताया जा चुका है सरकार के The Minister of State in the Minis-सामने ऐसा कोई प्रस्ताव खास तौर पर try of Home Affairs and Minister विचाराधीन नहीं है। हां ऋग्लीलता सम्बन्धी Defence Supplies in the Ministry कान्त को ग्रधिक प्रभावी बनाने के लिये Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) No. उसमें ग्राम संशोधन का प्रश्न ग्रभी तक विचा-(b) and (c) Do. not arise. राधीन है। केन्द्रीय सरकार के कर्मचारियों को हिन्दी Arrests under D.I.R in Delhi State का जिला 152 श्री म० ला० द्विवेदी : 150. Shri Guishan: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state: भी मागवत सा ग्राजाद : श्री सुबोध हंसदा : (a) the number of persons arrested श्री स० चं० सामन्त : under D.I.R. in Delhi State during the months of August and September, श्री प्र० चं० वरुमा : 1965: न्या गह-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा (b) the number of persons acquit-करेंग कि: ted after or before trial; and (क) केन्द्रीय सचिवालय के कर्म-(c) the number of persons still बारियों को हिन्दी का शिक्षण देने के सम्बन्ध under trial? में क्या प्रगति हुई है और क्या कक्षाओं की संख्या बढाई गई है : The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of (ख) इस कार्यक्रम के प्रारम्भ होने Defence Supplies in the Ministry of के समय से लेकर अब तक कितने कर्मचारियों Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) 21. ने हिन्दी शिक्षण पूरा कर लिया है घीर (b) 2 कितने कर्मचारी हिन्दी नहीं सीख कार्य : (c) 13.

490

- (ग) क्या हिन्दी न सीख सकने वाले कर्मचारियों के लिये भ्रब कक्षामों की व्यवस्था नहीं की जाती है; भीर
- (घ) यदि हां, तो उस के क्या कारण

गृह-कार्व मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (श्री पू० शे॰ नास्कर):(क) 1959-60 में शिक्षार्थियों की संख्या 16,000 थी। 1964-65 में यह संख्या बढ़ कर 44,000 हो गई। हिन्दी शिक्षण केन्द्रों तथा कक्षाओं की संख्या भी बढ गई है।

- (ख) एक या मधिक पाठ्यकमों का शिक्षण पूरा कर लेने वाले 3 लाख केन्द्रीय सरकारी कर्मचारियों में से 1.7 लाख ने निर्घारित परीक्षाएं पास कर लीं। लगमग 2.7 लाख कर्मचारियों को श्रभी शिक्षण दिया जाना है।
- (ग) इस मंत्रालय की हिन्दी शिक्षण योजना के प्रधीन प्राप्त सुविधाएं प्रभी तक जारी हैं।
 - (घ) प्रश्न ही नहीं उठता।

सरकारी कर्मचारियों की संख्या में वृद्धि

153 भी म० ला० द्विवंदी : भी प्र० चं० वरमाः भी भागवत झा ब्राजाद : भी स॰ चं॰ सामन्त : भी सुबोध हंसदा :

क्या गृह-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कुपा करेंगे कि:

(क) 1952 के पश्चात भारत सरकार के विभिन्न मंत्रालयों तथा विभागों में कर्म-चारियों तथा प्रधिक रियों की संख्या में प्रत्य-धिक वृद्धि के क्या कारण हैं;

- (ख) क्या 1952 के पश्चात प्रत्येक विभाग तथा मंत्रालय में भरती किये गये तथा नियुक्त किये गये कर्मचारियों तथा म्रधिकारियों की संख्या दिखाने वाला एक विवरण सभा पटल पर रखा जायेगा ;
- (ग) विभिन्न मंत्रालयों तथा विभागों में इस समय पुरे सम : काम करने व ले तथा केवल थोडे समय के लिये काम करने वाले कर्मचारियों की ग्रलग संख्या क्या है ग्रीर उन्हें पूरे समय का काम देने भ्रथवा उनकी संख्या कम करने के लिए क्या कार्यवाही की जा रही है; ग्रौर
- (घ) फालतु ग्रथवा ऐसा कार्य करने वाले कर्मचारियों तथा ग्रधिकारियों की संख्या क्या है जिसे ग्रापातकाल की दृष्टि से इस समय स्थगित किया जा सकता है भीर क्या उन्हें इन मंत्रालयों तथा विभागों से हटाने तथा उन्हें कोई ग्रन्य कार्य सौंपने का कोई प्रस्ताव सरकार के विचाराधीन है ?

गृह-कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य-मंत्री तथा प्रतिरक्षा मंत्रालय में प्रतिरक्षा संभरण मंत्री (भी हाथी): (क) ग्रीर (ख). सरकारी कर्मचारियों की संख्या में वृद्धि का कारण कल्याणकारी राज्य की गतिविधियों का विस्तार है। कर्मचारियों तथा ग्रधिकारियों की संख्या के बारे में सूचना विभिन्न मंत्रालयों तथा विभागों से एकवित की जा रही हैं, भीर पूरी सूचना उपलब्ध होते ही सदन के सभा-पटल पर एक विवरण रख दिया जायगा।

(ग) प्रतिरिक्त कर्मचारियों की मंजरी देने से पूर्व, धावश्यकता की, सावधानी से जांच की जाती है।

जब कभी वित्त मंत्रालय की कर्मचारी-निरीक्षण यूनिट भौर गृह मंत्रालय के प्रशासनिक सुधार विभाग द्वारा किये गए कार्य मापों तया संगठनात्मक अध्ययनों से फालतू कार्यं। शक्ति का पता चलता है, तब उपयक्त संख्या में कर्मचारी फालतू घोषित कर विये जाते

(घ) फालतू कमंचारियों का पता विधिवत् ग्रध्ययनों द्वारा चलाया जाता है। इन ग्रध्ययनों में उन गतिविधियों तथा कार्यों का ग्रध्ययन किया जाता है जो ग्रापातकालीन स्थिति के कारण या ग्रन्यथा ही फालतू घोषित हो जायें या स्थिगित की जा सकें। फालतू घोषित कर्मचारियों के बारे में कार्यवाही करने के लिये गृह-मंत्रालय में एक केन्द्रीय कोषा स्थापित किया जा रहा है। यह कोषा उन्हें उपयुक्त पदों पर नियुक्त करायेगा भौर यदि जरूरत हुई, तो उन्हें भागुलिपि भ्रषवा लेखाकारिता जैसे ग्रन्य कार्यों का प्रशिक्षण देगा।

भारत सरकार के घषीन घायोग

154 भी मन लान द्विवेदी: भी प्रन्थ में नवस्मा: भी भागवत हा झाजाद: भी सन्यन्न सामन्त: भी सुबोध हंसदा:

क्या गृह-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) भारत सरकार के प्रधीन कुल कितने धायोग काम कर रहे हैं धौर उनका कार्यकाल क्या है;
- (ख) क्या इन धायोगों के कर्मचारियों तथा पदाधिकारियों के पास पूरे दिन का काम रहता है धौर यदि नहीं, तो कर्मचारियों की छटनी करने घषवा इन धायोगों को सौंपे गये कार्य को शीघ्र समाप्त ५ रवाने के सम्बन्ध में क्या कार्यवाही की जा रही है; धौर
- (ग) दिसम्बर, 1965 तक जिन भाषोगों ने भ्रपने प्रतिवेदन दे दिये हैं उनके स्थय का स्थीरा क्या है ?

गृह-कार्य संज्ञालय में राज्य-मंत्री तथा प्रतिरक्षा संज्ञालय में प्रतिरक्षा संभरण संजी (भी हाथी): (क) से (ग). सूचना एकतित की जा रही है भौर उपलब्ध होते ही सदन के समा-पटल पर रख दी जायगी। Durgapur Fertilise: Factory

155. Shri Subodh Hansda; Shri S. C. Samanta; Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad; Shri M. L. Dwivedi; Shri P. C. Borooah; Sh-i Sidheshwar Prasad;

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 428 on the 24th November, 1965 and state:

- (a) whether the foreign exchange needed for the Durgapur fertiliser factory has since been fully sanctioned by the Central Government; and
 - (b) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan): (a) and (b). Not yet, Arrangements for meeting the foreign exchange cost under suppliers credit either from Japan or Italy are being made.

Oil at Kathana in Gujarat

156. Shri Subodh Hansda; Shri S. C. Samanta; Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad; Shri M. L. Dwivedi; Shri P. C. Borooah;

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that oil has been struck in the first well at Kathana in Gujarat;
- (b) the number of wells yet to be sunk to complete the assessment of oil in this area; and
- (c) when it will be posssible to assess the commercial potentiality thereof?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagegan): (a) Yes.

(b) The number will depend on the results of the next wells to be drilled:

.493

(c) No indication can be given until further exploratory drilling has heen undertaken.

Vacant Belt on Assam border

157. Shri R. G. Dubev: Shrimati Renuka Barkataki: Shri Ravindra Varma: Shri R. S. Pandey: Shri Rajeshwar Patel: Shri Bagri: Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia: Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri Karni Singhii: Shri P. R. Chakraverti: Shri K. N. Tiwari: Shrimati Savitri Nigam: Shri M. L. Dwivedl: Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena: Shri Sidheshwar Prasad:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Assam Government has pointed out the difficulty arising out of creating one-mile belt on the Assam border since it involves the removal of inhabitants from there and the question of their further settlement;
- (b) whether in the course of the -discussion held between the Government of India and the Government of Assam, a suggestion was made to give careful thought to put up a barbed wire fencing on the borded; and
- (c) the progress made in creating the one-mile belt on Assam border?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) and (c). The State Government have made certain alternative suggestions, which are under consideration.

(b) Due to certain practical difficulties, the proposal has, for the present been dropped.

Drilling by O.N. G.C. and Oil India Ltd.

158. Shri R. G. Dubey: Shri Madhu Limave: Shri Yashpal Singh:

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemica's be pleased to state:

- (u) whether it is a fact that the Oil and Natural Gas Commission and Oil India Ltd. have drilled nearly 360 oil producing wells so far:
- (b) whether it is also a fact that the O.N.G.C. have drilled 34 gas producing wells and Oil India Ltd. 83; and
- (c) if so, the location thereof and the average vield per year from these sources?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan): (a) The actual number of wells drilled is 376.

- (b) The ONG Commission and Oil India Ltd., have drilled 34 and 9 gas wells respectively.
- (c) Locations may not be disclosed as per the Defence of India Rules. The term "average yield per year" is not understood. But in 1965, the total oil produced in all areas was 2.86 million tonnes and the total gas was 137 million cubic metres.

Lubricating Plant, Bombay

159. Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri Subodh Hansda: Shri M. L. Dwivedi: Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Shri P. C. Borocah: Shri Madhu Limaye: Dr. P. N. Khan:

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

(a) whether an agreement has recently been signed between the Government of India and ESSO the construction of a jointly owned lubricating oil base stock refinery at Bombay;

- (b) if so, the capacity of the proposed refinery and how the products will be shared:
- (c) the cost of the Project and foreign exchange involved; and
- (d) the composition of the Board of management?

The Minister of Petroloum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan): (a) Yes.

- (b) .The plant will be designed to manufacture 145,000 tons per annum of Lubricating oil base stocks. These products will be shared equally between Government of India or their nominee and Esso Standard Eastern Inc.
- (c) The total cost is about Rs. 7.16 crores including a foreign exchange component of Rs. 4.30 crores.
- (d) The Board of Directors would have 8 directors, 4 nominated by Government and 4 by Esso. In addition, Chairman and the Financial Director will be from among Government directors. The Managing Director will be a nomine

Oil in Gangetic Plain

160. Shri S. C. Samanta: Shdi Subodh Hansda; Shri M. L. Dwivedi: Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad; Shri P. C. Borooah;

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

- (a) the names of places in the Gangetic Plain where exploratory surveys were conducted, are being conducted or are proposed to be conducted for search of oil;
- (b) whether the presence of interesting structural features was indicated; and
- (c) if so, the type of drilling operations which are continuing?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alageman); (a) Regional aero-magnetic survey has been carried out over the entire area covered by the Gangetic Plain of Uttar Pradesh, Bihar and West Bengal.

Reconnaissance gravity and magnetic surveys have been carried out in parts of most of the districts of U.P. and Bihar, located north of the Ganga river, such as Aligarh, Bahraich, Bara Banki, Bareilly, Basti, Dehra Dun, Fyzabad, Etah, Ghazipur, Gorakhpur. Hathras, Kanpur, Lucknow, Moradabad, Muzaffarnagar, Pillibhit, Sahranpur Sitapur and Unnao districts of Uttar Pradesh and Bhagalpur, Champaran, Darbhanga, Monghyr, Muzaffarpur and Purnea districts of Bihad and portions of West Bengal. Regional reconnaissance detailed seismic surveys have been carried out in most parts of the aforesaid areas.

Reconnaissance gravity and magnetic surveys are at present being conducted in the Bahraich and Gonda areas and Akbarpur-Tanda area of Fyzabad district, Uttar Pradesh, Siwan area of Chapra (Saran) district and Bagaha area of Champaran district, Bihar.

Seismic surveys are being conducted in the Mohand area, Saharanpur district, U.P. and Tamluk area of Midnapur district and Port Canning-Bodra and Bhangar-Dum Dum areas of 24 Parganas district, West Bengal.

It is proposed to cover the entire Gangetic Plain of Uttar Pardesh and Bihar by reconnaissance, gravity and magnetic surveys.

(b) Yes.

(c) Interesting structures discovered so far by these surveys have already been tested through. deep drilling operations. At present deep drilling operations are not taking place in any part of the Gangetic Plain. Preparations for deep drilling in West Bengal are in progress.

Home Guards

161. Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri Linga Reddy: Shri Hem Barua:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state;

- (a) whether there is any proposal under consideration of Government to increase the strength of the Home Guards in the country:
- (b) if so, the main features thereof; and
- (c) the extra funds allocated for the same?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri P. S. Naskar); (a) No such proposal is under consideration.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

Conference of Scientists

162. Shri Yashpal Singh:
Shri P. C. Borooah:
Shri M. L. Dwivedi:
Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad:
Shri She Shoodh Hansda:
Shri Shree Narayan Das:
Shri D. N. Tiwary:
Shri Bagri:
Shri Kishen Pattnayak:
Shri Vishram Prasad:
Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia:
Shri Ram Sewak Yaday:
Shri Utiya:
Shri Dinen Bha:tacharya;

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that a conference of scientists of the National Research Laboratories and Industrial Technologists was held in New Delhi in the last week of December, 1965:
- (b) whether the conference has submitted some recommendations to Government; and
- (c) if so, the action taken by Government on these recommendations?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c). The recommendations made by the 15 Working Groups of the Conference, were considered by the Conveners of the Groups at a meeting held on 7th and 8th February, 1966 with a view to finalising steps for implementation off the recommendations. Their report is under preparation.

Chief Ministers' Meeting with the Minister of Home Affairs

- 163 Shri Yashpal Singh: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:
- (a) whether a meeting of the Chief Ministers of all the States of India was held with the Home Minister during the month of December, 1965;
- (b) if so, the subjects discussed thereat; and
- (c) the decisions taken in the meeting?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

Scientific and Engineering Talent

164. Shri Yashpal Singh:
Shri Subodh Hansda:
Shri S. C. Samanta:
Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad:
Shri M. L. Dwivedi:
Shri P. C. Borooah:
Shri Hem Barua:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the question of replacing 80 per cent of the foreign experts in industrial technology by scientific and engineering talent now available in India has since been considered by Government as suggested by the Director-General Council of Scientific and Industrial Research:
- (b) if so, the estimated amount of foreign exchange expected to be saved by this proposal; and
- (c) the action so far taken or proposed to be taken by Government in this regard?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla); (a) No, Sir. The Director-General, Council of Scientific & Industrial Research had in fact referred to "Foreign expertise" and not "Foreign experts." At a Press Conference held on 22nd December, 1965, the Director-General had observed that 80 per cent of the "Technical know-how" for industrial development in many of the industries was available in the country and could be utilised for industrial development.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

Textile Mili for Jazdalpur

165. Shri Balmiki;
Shri Yashpal Singh;
Shri Bagri;
Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia;
Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad;
Shri M. L. Dwivedi;
Shri S. C. Samanta;
Shri S. C. Samanta;
Shri P. C. Borooah;
Shrimati Savitri Nigam;
Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey;

Will the Minister of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation be pleased to refer to the reply given to the Unstarred Question No. 819 on the 17th November, 1965 and state the further progress made in establishing the Textile Mill at Jagdalpur under the Rehabilitation Industries Corporation in Dandakaranya?

The Minister of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Shri Jagjivan Ram): The site for the Spinning Mill has been selected and preliminary development of the land has been taken in hand. Quotations for machinery have been obtained and selection of machinery is expected to be finalised shortly.

Socio-Economic Survey of NEFA

166. Shri Balmiki: Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri Bagri: Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia; Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena; Shri Ramachandra Ulaka;

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 814 on the 17th November, 1965 and state:

- (a) whether the Socio-economic survey of NEFA has since been completed;
 - (b) if so, the results thereof; and
- (c) the action taken by Government in this regard?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) to (c). It is understood that the survey conducted by the National Council of Applied Economic Research has been completed. A preliminary report prepared by the Council has been sent to the NEFA Admn. and on receipt of their comments, the report will be finalised.

Arms to People in Border Areas

167. Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri Bagri: Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia: Shri Daljit Singh: Shri Krishnapal Singh:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the progress so far made in the scheme to provide light machine guns and other weapons to the people living in the border areas; and
- (b) by what time the work is likely to be completed?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) and (b). The creation of a wing under the Home Guards scheme to cover the

border areas has been under active consideration of Government for sometime now and provision of weapons to selected persons will be made only within the scope of this scheme

Progress will be reviewed only after the scheme is implemented

Recognition of Unions

168. Shri Balmiki:
Shri Yashpal Singh:
Shri Baggi:
Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia:

Will the Minister of **Home Affairs** be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 780 on the 17th November, 1965 and state:

- (a) whether the draft instructions for recognition of associations and unions for joint consultation scheme had been sent to the Ministries for their comments; and
- (b) whether these instructions are proposed to be extended for other purposes also?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hath); (a) Yes.

(b) The matter is still under consideration.

Gazetteer of India

169. Shri Balmiki: Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri Bagri:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

 (a) whether any up-to-date edition of the Gazetteer of India has since been prepared and issued;

- (b) whether all the volumes of the new Gazetteer have since been published; and
- (c) if eact, the time by which the work relating to publication of the Gazetteer will be completed?

The Minister of Education (Shri-M. C. Chagla); (a) The first volume of the Gazetteer of India—"Country and People"—has been published.

- (b) The second volume is being edited. Some of the contributions for Volumes III & IV have also been received.
- (c) All the four volumes of the Gazetteer of India are likely to be published before the end of the the Fourth Plan period.

Inter-State Disputes

170. Shri Kishen Pattnayak:
Shri Yashpal Singh:
Shri Balmikl:
Shri Madhu Limaye:
Shri Bagri:
Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia:
Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey:
Shri M. S. Murti:
Shri Ramachandra Ulaka:
Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:
Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:

Will the Minister of Home Affairsbe pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 277 on the 17th November, 1965 and state;

- (a) whether any decision has since been taken for setting up a Government machinery to settle disputes among the State Governments; and
- (b) if so, the broad features thereof?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) and (b). The matter is still under consideration.

Stagnation in Clerks'/Assistants'

171. Shri S. M. Banerjee: Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri Baimiki:

Shri Bagri:

Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia:

Will the Minister of Hame Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 717 on the 8th December. 1965 and state:

- (a) whether any Committee has been set up to go into the question of stagnation in the Clerks' and Assistants' Grades in the Central Secretariat;
- (b) if so, the terms of reference of the Committee; and
- (c) when the Committee is likely to submit its report?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri P. S. Naskar): (a) A Co-ordinating Committee at Joint Secretaries' level has already been in existence since 1967, charged with the responsibility of examining problems concerning the terms and conditions of service and conditions of work of the Central Secretariat Service officers that cannot be resolved in the appropriate Staff Councils. This Committee is being asked to look into the various matters agitated from time to time in the recent past in the various Staff Council as also by Services Associations, including the question of stagnation in the Clerks and Assistants grade.

- (b) As the functions of the Coordinating Committee already stand definded so as to embrace all matters pertaining to the conditions of service and work of the Central Secretariat Services, no formal terms of reference are considered necessary.
 - (c) As soon as possible. 2400 (Ai) LS-4.

Profit of Central Government Employees consumer Cooperative Stores Ltd.

172. Shri Kishen Patinayak: Shri Yashpal Singh:

Shri Balmiki:

Shri Bagri:

Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia: Shri Ram Sewak Yadav:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the total profits made so far by the Central Government Employees Consumer Cooperative Stores Ltd., New Delhi since its inception;
- (b) whether Government propose to decrease the expenditure of the Stores on staff; and
- (c) if so, the percentage of staff proposed to be reduced?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministey of Home Affairs (Shri P. S. Naskar): (a) The Central Government Employees Consumer Cooperative Society Ltd., came into being on the 1st July, 1963. The profits of the Society for the first year, which ended on 30-6-1964, were Rs. 1,30,300,90. The accounts of the second year, which ended on 30-6-1965, are being audited.

(b) and (c). The staff employed is the minimum necessary. However, as a measure of economy, vacant posts are not being filled.

Annual Meeting of Central Government Employees Consumer Cooperative Stores

173. Shri Warior:
Shri Yashpal Singh:
Shri Ba'miki:
Shri Bagri:
Dr. Ram Manehar Lohia;
Shri Vishram Prasad:
Shri Kishen Pattnayak;
Shri Ram Sewak Yadav:
Shri Utiva:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have exempted the Central Government

Desirable production

level by

Employees Consumer Cooperative Stores Ltd., New Delhi from holding its annual general meeting as required under the Companies Act;

- (b) if so, the reasons for allowing such an exemption; and
- (c) when the annual general meeting is likely to be held?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri P. S. Naskar): (a) to (c). The Central Government Employees Consumer Co-operative Society, Ltd., New Delhi, is governed by the Bombay Cooperative Societies Act, 1925, as in force in the Union Territory of Delhi, and not by the Companies Act. The Chief Commissioner, Delhi, has issued a notification exempting the Society until 31st July, 1966, from the operation of certain provisions of the former Act including the .provision for holding an annual general meeting.

Petro-Chemical Complex

174. Dr. L. M. Singhvi; Shri Linga Reddy; Shri Madhu Limaye; Shri P. C. Booroah;

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have drawn up a perspective plan for the development of petro-chemical complexes in India;
- (b) if so, the particulars thereof; and
- (c) whether in this connection the utilisation and substitution potentials of petro-effenticals have been fully studied; and a t
 - (d) if so, with what results?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan): (a) and (b). Yes. A tentative plan for the development of petrochemicals till 1975-76 has been drawn up. It envisages the fulfilment of the following

targets of production in respect of major petrochemical intermediates by setting up new units and expanding the existing ones in various parts of the country:

Item

			1975- 76 (in'ooo tons) per year		
1. Polyethylene.		-		240	
2. Polyvinyl Chlor	ide			240	
3. Polystyrene .	_			80	
4. Caprolactam				73	
5. D.M.T				60	
6. Acrylonitrile				45	
7. Vinyl Acetate				92	
8. Ethylene Oxide				35	
9. Phthalic anhydri	de			60	

(c) and (d). An end-use survey of the petrochemicals proposed to be manufactured during the Fourth Plan has been made. It has been decided to establish speedily the required processing and fabrication capacity in the country. The substitution potentials of plastics, synthetic fibres, synthetic rubbers and synthetic detergents are well recognised and it is proposed to exploit these potentials in a planned and systematic manner.

Hindi Salahkar Samiti

175. Dr. L. M. Singhvi: Shri M. L. Dwivedi: Shri P. C. Borooah: Shri Bhagwat Jha Asad: Shri Subodh Hansda:

Shri S. C. Samanta:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 1209 on the 1st September, 1965 and state:

(a) whether the Hindi Salahkar Samiti has since made any further suggestions and recommendations for advancing the cause of Hindi;

- (b) if so, the details thereof; and
- (c) the reaction of Government thereto and how far these recommendations have been implemented?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri P. S. Naskar): (a) to (c). A meeting of the Sub-Committee on the use of Hindi for official work in the Hindi-speaking States was held in November, 1965. A statement showing its recommendations together with the Government's reactions thereon, leid on the Table. [Placed in Library, See No. LT-5453/66].

Civil Defence Training for Students

176. Shri Bagri:
Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia:
Shri Yashpal Singh:
Shri P. R. Chakraverti:
Shri K. N. Tiwary:
Shri Bibhuti Mishra:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have prepared a scheme under which students above fourteen years of age are to be trained in various jobs connected with Civil Defence;
- (b) whether this scheme is proposed to be extended to all States; and
- (c) if so, the reaction of the State Governments thereto?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhakt Darshan): (a) and (b). The Government of India have impressed on the Governments of the States and Union Territories the desirability of imparting training to school students in civil defence measures. In this connexion, the scheme launched by the Directorate of Education, Delhi Administration, was commended to their notice and they we're advised to adopt a similar scheme covering the schools in their States.

(c) The reaction of the State Governments, generally speaking, has been favourable, most of whom stated that the Delhi scheme was being examined by them with a view to taking appropriate action.

Blowing up of Imphal Bridge

177. Shri Bagri:
Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia:
Shri Yashpal Singh:
Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey:
Shri Ramachandra Ulaka:
Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 743 on the 8th December, 1965 regarding blowing up of Imphal Bridge by Naga Hostiles and state:

- (a) whether the investigation has since been completed;
 - (b) if so, the findings thereof; and
- (c) the action taken by Government in the matter?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c). On completion of investigation charge sheet has been submitted to Court against 12 persons and the case is sub-judice.

Enquiry against Police Inspector, Chethannoore

178. Shri Bagri: Dr. Ram Manchar Lotia: Shri Yashpal Singh:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs he pleased to refer to the rep'y given to Unstarred Question No. 2018 on the 8th December, 1985, regarding the enquiry against Inspector of Police, Chethannoore, and state:

(a) whether any report of inquiry

has since been received from the State Government; and

Written Answers

509

(b) if so, the details thereof and action taken in the matter?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri P. S. Naskar): (a) and (b). The Assistant Collecto; Quilon, conducted enquiry into the allegations against Sub-Inspector of Police Chathannoore. reported that there was a prima facie case against the Sub-Inspector of Police of having unduly assaulted the petitioner while under arrest. Government of Kerala have accepted the finding. Action is being taken against the Sub-Inspector under the Kerala Police Departmental Inquiries, Punishment and Appeal Rules.

Standard of English

179. Shri Madhu Limaye: Shri Kishen Pattnayak: Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia: Shri Hem Barua:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the University Grants Commission intend making knowledge of English up to a "minimum standard" as a condition for admission to a University;
- (b) whether Government are aware of the present standard of English in the schools; and
- (c) if so, the steps proposed to be taken to achieve the above objective?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) The Standards Committee as well as the English Review Committee, set up by the University Grants Commission have inter-alia stressed the need for the Universities to insist on a certain ability on the part of students to speak and write English and also the need for strengthening the tearhing of English in high schools. The Commission have circulated the reports of these Commit-

tees to the Universities for observations and comments:

510

- (b) Yes, Sir.
- (c) The major steps taken to raise the standard of English are:
- (i) The setting up of the Central Institute of English at Hyderabad to improve the teaching of English in schools and colleges both through the organisation of research in the teaching of English and the training of teachers in suitable techniques, and of State Institutes of English/English Language Teaching in the States.
- (ii) Bringing about of changes in curricula of English in secondary schools and also in the testing procedure adopted by Boards of Secondary Education.
- (iii) Production of suitable texts and teaching materials in English.
- (iv) Utilisation of the services of the British and American experts in the teaching of English.

Reorganisation of Districts in States

- 180. Shri Madhu Limaye: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:
- (a) how many States have under consideration schemes of reorganisation of districts and sub-divisions;
- (b) whether the Centre's advice or financial assistance has been sought for in the matter; and
- (c) if so, the reaction of the Central Government thereto?

The Minister of State In the Ministry of Home Affai's and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) to (c). The required information is being obtained from the State Governments and will be laid on the Table of the House.

District Re-organisation in Bihar

181. Sh i Madhu Limaye: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Bihar Government have formulated any scheme of district and sub-divisional reorganisation;
- (b) the additional expenditure involved in the scheme;
- (c) whether Central Government were consulted in the matter;
- (d) whether any financial help was sought for; and
- (e) if so, the reaction of the Central Government in the matter?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Sh:i Hathi): (a) to (e). The required information is being obtained from the Government of Bihar and will be laid on the table of the House.

लड़ कियों को बहकाना 182 की मधु लिमये : क्या गृह-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने कि कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या लड़कियों को विदेशों में भेजने के लिये कोई गिरोह अन्तर्राज्यीय स्तर पर उन्हें बहकाने का कार्य कर रहा है ;
- (ख) क्या इस सम्बन्ध में वाराणसी, रक्सील और झाझा में कोई गिरफ्तारियां की गई हैं; और
- (ग) यदि हां, तो उसका क्योरा क्या है।

गृह-कार्य मंत्रालय में उप-मंत्री (बी यू॰ क्षे॰ नास्कर) : (क) जी नहीं।

(ख) भीर (ग). चार लड़कियां बाराणसी नगर में स्थित भ्रपने मकान से 13-12-1965 को 4 व्यक्तियों के साथ गायब हो गई। वे बिहार के मगेर जिले में झाझा गये थे किन्त पुलिस दल के पकड़ पाने से पहले ही वे काठमाण्डू में भाग गए। किन्तु आखिरकार पुलिस उन लड़िक्यों को बापस लाने में कामयाब हुई। चारों अभियुक्तों में से एक रक्सौल में गिरपतार हुआ, दो झाझा में और एक ने बाराणसी के एक ने न्यायालय में आस्म-समर्पण किया। यह भी कहा जाता है कि गायब होने वाली चार लड़िक्यों में से दो अभियुक्तों से मिली हुई थीं। इन दोनों लड़िक्यों को गिरपतार कर लिया गया है। स्थानीय पुलिस बारा मामले की ओर शोर से जांच की जारही है।

Aligarh Muslim University

184. Shri Maheswar Naik:
Shri Hukam Chand
Kachhavaiya:
Shri Bade:
Shri Yashpal Singh:
Shri Madhu Limaye:
Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya:
Shri Hem Barua:

Will the Minister of Eduction be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Aligarh Muslim University Court has recommended to the Executive Council of the University that the name of the University be changed as the Na[†]ional Muslim University; and
- (b) if so, the reaction of Government thereto?

The Minister or Education (Shrs M. C. Chagia): (a) It is understood that on the request of the Executive Council of the Aligarh Muslim University, the Court of the University appointed a Committee which suggested that the University be renamed as National Muslim University Aligarh. The Court accepted the suggestion but the same has yet to be considered by the Executive Council of the University.

(b) No official intimation has so far been received by Government the University with regard to When received, it suggestion. will be taken into consideration in connection with the proposed long-term legislation for the University.

Written Answers

Oil Structures in Assam

185. Shri D. C. Sharma: Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri Subodh Hansda: Shri P. C. Borooah: Shri M. L. Dwivedi: Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Shri Onkar Lal Berwa: Shri Hukam Chand Kachhavaiya: Shri Madhu Limaye: Shri Kishen Pattnavak: Shri Liladhar Kotoki:

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

- (a) whether some promising oil bearing structures have been located in the Lakwa, Rudrasagar and Dumduma areas of Assam;
- (b) whether they are commercially exploitable: and
- (c) if so, the steps being taken in that direction?

The Minister of Petroleum and Shemicals (Shri Alagesan); (a) and (b). Yes, in the Rudrasagar Lakwa areas. In the Dum-duma area drilling is still in progress and it is premature to talk of an oil-bearing structure there.

(c) Drilling operations in the Rudrasagar and Lakwa areas are being intensified and installations for trial production are under construction in Rudrasagar and are to be constructed shortly at Lakwa. Dum Duma area, more wells are proposed to be drilled to determine the oil potential of the area.

Scheme to popularise Hindi in Delhi

186. Shri Bagri: Dr. Ram Manchar Lohia:

Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri Ralmiki.

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have proposed any scheme to provide incentives to those students in Delhi who offer Hindi as one of the elective subjects with a view to popularising Hındi.
- (b) if so, the main features thereof; and
- (c) the total expenditure likely to be incurred annually on this scheme?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) No. Sir.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

Admission into Technical Colleges

187. Shri Bagri: Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia: Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri Balmiki: Shri Linga Reddy:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 432 on the 24th November, 1965 and state:

- (a) whether several irregularities are committed in the admission of students in technical colleges;
- (b) whether students are sometimes required to pay heavy sums of money for seeking admission in such institutions: and
- (c) if so, the steps Government propose to take to regulate admission in these institutions?

The Minister of Education M. C. Chagla): (a) and (b). No irregularities in admission to technical colleges have come to the notice of the Government except that some private engineering colleges in Mysore are demanding donation fees students seeking admission.

(c) Government is trying to persuade these colleges to discontinue collection of donation fees. The steps to achieve this purpose are being worked out in consultation with the State Government of Mysore.

Oil Wells in the Country

188. Shri Ram Harkh Yaday: Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

- (a) the total number of wells drilled in India for oil production, Statewise:
- (b) the number of oil producing wells State-wise and upto-date; and
- (c) the number of wells actually producing natural gas?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan); (a) The total number of wells drilled so far in the country. State-wise are as under:

State				No. of wells drilled	
Gujarat					335
Assam					1330
Punjab					5
Utter Pr	adesh				3
Bihar					2
Rajsthan	١.				2
Union cherry			0	ndi-	

(b) The total oil producing wells as on 31st December 1965, were as under:

Gujarat Assam .	•		:		197 555
(c) 7	The number	is as	follows	:	

Gujarat

Assem.

In addition, all oil wells are produc-

12

17

ing associated gas.

भारतीय भाषा समिति

189 भी द्वा० ना० तिचारी : हा० लक्ष्यीयस्य विश्ववि : भी यशपाल सिंह :

क्या शिक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कपा करेंगे कि ।

- (क) क्या भारतीय भाषा समिति बनाई जा चकी है :
- (ख) यदि हां. तो उस समिति के सदस्य कितने हैं तथा उन के नाम क्या-क्या हैं: भौर
 - (ग) समिति के निदेश-पद क्या हैं ?

शिक्षा मंत्रालय में उपमत्री (भी भक्त बर्शन): (क) से (ग) विवरण सम्रा-पटल पर परख दिया गया है। पुस्तकालय में रका गया, देकिए संस्था एल. टी. 5454/ 66].

ग्रसिल भारतीय विधि ग्रध्यापकों का सम्मेलन

190 भी द्वा॰ ना॰ तिबारी : भी ही। यं जार्माः भीमती सावित्री निगम : भी यशपाल सिंह : भी रामसेक्क गावक : भी बागवी:

न्या शिक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे **f** :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि केरल में प्रवित्त भारतीय विधि मध्यापक संस्था, एरणाकसम के तत्वाधान में 30 तथा 31 दिसम्बर, 1965 को एक प्रखिल भारतीय शिक्षा सम्बन्धी सम्मेलन हम्रा था:
- (ख) क्या विधि सम्बन्धी प्रध्यापन प्रणाली में सुधार लाने के लिये कोई सुझाव दिया गया था: भीर
 - (ग) यदि हां, तो स्झाव क्या है?

शिक्षा मंत्री (श्री मु० क० चामला):
(क) ग्रखिल भारतीय विधि ग्रध्यापकों का सातवां सम्मेलन केरल में एरनाकुलनम में 30 श्रीर 31 दिसम्बर, 1965 को हुग्रा था।

Written Answers

(ख) जी, हां।

- (ग) 1 भारत के सभी विश्वविद्यालयों में कानून की डिग्री के लिए समान नाम पढ़ित होनी चाहिए । कानून में प्रथम श्रीर द्वितीय डिग्री को क्रमणः एल० एल०बी० श्रीर एल० एल०एम० कहा जाए ।
- सफल विद्याधियों को प्रथम श्रेणी श्रीरदूसरी श्रेणी इस प्रकार केवल दो वर्गों में ही रखा जाए।
- 3. एल० एल० बी० तथा एल० एल० एम० दोनों डिगिप्रियों में, धलग-प्रंलग विषय में पास होने के लिए न्यूनतम प्रंक 40 प्रतिशत तथा द्वितीय श्रेणी में पास होने के लिए कुल प्रंक का 50 प्रतिशत धीर प्रथम श्रेणी में पास होने के लिए कुल . प्रंकों का 60 प्रतिशत होना चाहिए।
- 4. कानून के पाठयकम में दाखिले के लिए न्यूनतम योग्यता किसी भी संकाय में स्नातक की डिग्री मानी जानी चाहिए। दाखिले के लिए न्यूनतम योग्यता के रूप में, पूर्व-विश्वविद्यालय परीक्षा अथवा उस के समकक्ष परीक्षा सहित एक वैकल्पिक पंच वर्षीय समेकित पाठ्य-कम लागू करने की सम्भावना पर यथाशीध्र जिलार किया जाना चाहिए।
- 5. एल० एल० बी० पाठयकम के लिए तीन वर्षीय पाठयकम होना चाहिये। भारत की वकील परिषद से भनुरोध है कि वकील परिषद द्वारा भपेक्षित व्यावसायिक प्रशिक्षण को इन्हीं तीन वर्षों में शामिल किया जाना चाहिए।
- 6. एल० एल० बी० डिग्री के लिए कानून में प्रथम डिग्री के पश्चात दो वर्षीय पाठयकम होना चाहिए ।

- 7. एल० एल० बी० डिग्नी ग्रीर एल० एल० एम० डिग्नी पाठयकम को सभी प्रयोजनों के लिए कमश: उत्तर-स्नातक पाठयकम श्रीर उत्तर-स्नातक डिग्नी पाठयकम के समान मान्यता दी जानी चाहिए।
- 8. शिक्षण प्रणाली , अध्यापक-छाल अनुपात, कक्षा में विद्यार्थियों की संख्या, एल० एल०बी० पाठयक्रम में शामिल किए जाने वाले अनिवार्य तथा वैकल्पिक विषयों जैसे मामले उपयुक्त निर्णय के लिए संबंधित विश्व-विद्यालयों पर ही छोड़ दिए जाने चाहिए ।

गोधा

191 श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री : श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाय : श्री जगदेव सिंह सिद्धान्ती :

भी मध् लिम्येः

भा मधुालमयः भीकिञन पटनायकः

भा किशन पटनायक : श्री विष्ठवनाथ पाण्डेय :

श्रा विश्वनाम पाण्डय श्री हरि विष्णुकामतः

भी भाग् स्थ्याच्या :

क्या गृह-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) गोधा के महाराष्ट्र ग्रथवा मैसूर के साथ तथा दीव भीर दमन के गुजरात के साथ विलय के सम्बन्ध में लोक-मत जानने के सम्बन्ध में प्रदेसर क्या प्रगति हुई है;
- (ख) क्या इस सम्बन्ध में गोधा सरकार ने केन्द्रीय सरकार से कोई पत्र-व्यवहार किया है; स्रौर
- (ग) धदि हां, तो इस सम्बन्ध में कब तक निर्णय किये जाने की सम्भावना है ?

गृह-कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य-मंत्री तथा प्रतिरक्षा मंत्रालय में प्रतिरक्षा संमरण नंत्री (श्री हाथी) :(क) से (ग). इस बारे में प्रधी तक कोई फैसला नहीं किया गया है।

काश्मीर का विलय

519

192 श्री प्रकाशबीर शास्त्री :

श्री हरूम चन्द कछवाय :

श्री जगदेव सिंह सिद्धान्ती :

श्रीप्रः रं० चकार्तीः

भी क० ना० तिवारी :

भी देम राजः

श्रीलिंग रेड्डी:

श्री दलजीत सिंह :

भी यशपाल सिंह :

श्री विद्वनाथ पाण्डये :

भी च० का० महाचार्यः

श्री गोवाल दत्त मेंगी:

क्या गृह-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) भारतीय संविधान के कौन-कौन से भीर भन्च्छेद जम्म तथा काश्मीर राज्य में लाग किये गये हैं भ्रयवा लाग किये जा रहे हैं;
- (ख) क्या उस राज्य के संविधान तथा झण्डे के स्थात पर भारतीय संविधान तथा झण्डा रखने का कोई प्रस्ताव है, जैसा कि राज्यपाल तथा मध्य मंत्री के मामले में किया गया है; झीर
- (ग) यदि हां, तो संविधान के मनुच्छद 370 का निराकरण कंब तक किये जाने की सम्भावना है ?

गृह-कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य-मंत्री तया प्रतिरक्षा मंत्रालय में प्रतिरक्षा संभरण मंत्री (भी हाची): (क) राष्ट्रपति द्वारा संविधान के प्रनुच्छेद 370 के प्रधीन 24 नवम्बर, 1965 को राज्य सरकार की सहमति से एक ब्रादेश जारी किया गया जिसमें "सदरे रियासत" के पद को "राज्यपाल" में परिवर्तित करने के लिये भारतीय संविधान के निम्न-लिखित चनुच्छेरों के संशोधित रूप को जम्मू तथा काश्मीर राज्य में लागू करने की

व्यवस्था थी:---

म्रन्च्छेद--222

ग्रन्कोद--361

म्रन्च्छेद--- 367(4)

मंबधान के निम्नलिखित सन्च्छेदों को संशोधनों के साथ या ज्यों का त्यों राज्य पर लागू करने के सुझावों पर राज्य सरकार की सलाह से विचार किया जा रहा है :---

मन्च्छेद--- 81

ग्रन्च्छेद—- ३२५

म्रनच्छेर--326

म्रनुच्छेद--- ३२७

मन् ञ्छेद--- 329

(ख) घीर (ग). फिलहाल ऐसा कोई सुझाव विचाराधीन नहीं है।

प्रश्लील साहित्य

193. भी प्रकाशबीर शास्त्री : भी हकम जन्द कछवाय : श्री जगदेव सिंह सिद्धान्ती : श्री श्रीनारायण दास :

क्या गृह-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपाः करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सब है कि धश्लील साहित्य तथा पत्रिकाओं का प्रकाशन बढ़ रहा है;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो क्या सरकार का विचार इसे रोकने के लिये कोई कड़ी कार्यवाही करने का है; मौर
- (ग) क्यायह भी सच है कि इस प्रकार की पतिकामें तथा साहित्य मुख्यतः छोटी पीढी ग्रीर विशेष रूप से स्कूलों ग्रीर कालेजों के लड़के तथा लड़कियां खरीदते हैं ?

गृह-कार्य अंत्रवसय में उपमंत्री (श्री पू॰ ग्रै॰ नारकर): (क) एक या दो राज्यों में भक्लील साहित्य के प्रक्राशन तथा विकी में कुछ वृद्धि ध्यान में धाई हैं।

(ख) राज्य सरकारें, जिन्हें प्रश्लील साहित्य के प्रकाशन तथा विकी के खिलाफ़ मौजूदा कानून के ग्रधीन कार्यवाही करने का प्रधिकार है, इस मामले में सतक हैं। प्रश्लीलता सम्बन्धी कानून को ग्रीर प्रधिक प्रभावी बनाने की दृष्टि से उसमें संशोधन करने के एक सुझाव पर भी भारत सरकार विचार कर रही है।

Written Answers

(ग) यह बात देखने में नहीं धाई कि धश्लीत साहित्य तया पत्निकाएं मुख्यतः नई पोढ़ी द्वारा ख़रीदी जा रही हैं।

बिल्ली में बच्चों की शिक्षा

194. श्री झोंकार लाल बेरवा। श्री हुकम बन्द कछवाय : श्री बड़े :

क्या शिक्सा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या सरकार ने राजधानी में बच्चों की शिक्षा के सम्बन्ध में स्कूलों तथा कालेजों का सर्वेक्षण किया है; भीर
- (ख) यदि हां, तो कितने स्कूलों तथा कालेजों की कभी है और इस कभी के कब तक पूरा हो जाने की संभावना है ?

शिक्ता मंत्री (श्री मु० क० घागला):
(क) ग्रीर (ख). प्रारम्भिक स्तर पर
कौन सी ग्रीतिरिक्त सुविधाग्रों की व्यवस्था
करनी है, यह मालूम करने के लिए सम्बन्धित
स्थानीय निकाय गैक्षिक सत्त गुरू होने से
पहले प्रत्येक वर्ष सर्वेकण करते हैं ग्रीर ग्रोपित

माध्यमिक स्तर पर प्रपने-मपने क्षेत्र की दाखिला योजना तैयार करने मौर तदनुसार सभी पात विद्यापियों को दाखिला दिलाने के लिए झाबस्यक सुविधामों की स्ववस्था करने के लिए प्रत्येक क्षेत्रीय गिक्सा मधिकारी गैक्षिक सद्ग शुरू होने से पहले हर साल विस्तृत सर्वेक्षण करता है ।

कालेज स्तर पर, 1966-67 वर्ष के दौरान दाखिले के लिए पात विद्याधियों की संख्या को देखते हुए प्रतिरिक्त सुविधाओं से सम्बन्धित समस्या का प्रध्ययन किया गया है। प्रमुमान है कि और प्रधिक सीटों की मांग होगी। विषय विचाराधीन है।

Fertilizer Factory at Kanpur

195. Shri S. M. Banerjee: Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

- (a) whether a final decision has since been taken to instal a fertilizer factory in Kanpur; and
 - (b) if not, the reasons for the delay?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan); (a) Yes. A letter of intent has been issued to M|s Indian Explosives Limited.

(b) Question does not arise.

'गीतांजलि' की पांडुलिपि

197. भी हुकम चन्च कछवाय : डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया :

भी बागकी :

भी रामसेवक यादव :

भी किशम पटनायक :

भी बशपाल सिंहः

भी वड़े:

धी उटिया :

भी विभाग प्रसाद :

क्या क्रिक्स मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या भारत सरकार ने हारवर्ड विक्वविद्यालय से 'गीतांजिल' की मूल पांडु-लिपि की 'माइको फिल्म' प्राप्त कर बी है; खीर (ख) यदि हां, तो उसका मूल्य तथा स्यौराक्या है ?

शिक्षा मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (श्री भक्त वर्षान) : (क) जी हां।

्री ख) 6.94 डालर जो 33 रुपये 3 पैसे के बराबर है। "माइको फिल्म" राष्ट्रीय पुस्तकालय, कलकत्ता में रखी गई है।

मोटर-पहियों के चोरों का गिरोह

198 श्री हुकम चन्द्र कछवाय : श्री बड़े : श्री युद्धवीर सिंह :

क्या गृह-कार्य मंत्री 8 दिसम्बर, 1965 के घतारांकित प्रश्न संख्या 2117 के उत्तर के सम्बन्ध में यह बताने की क्रुपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या मोटरों के पहिये चुराने वालों के गिरोह के शेष दो सदस्यों को, जो भाग गये थे, भ्रव पकड़ लिया गया है;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो उनके विरुद्ध क्या कार्यवाही की गई है ; भौर
- (ग) उनसे चोरी की ग्रीर कौन-कौन सी वस्तुयें बरामद हुई हैं।?

गृह-कार्य मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (भी पू० को० मास्कर): (क) जी नहीं।

(ख) भीर (ग). प्रश्न ही नहीं उठते।

जीवाजी विश्वविद्यालय में प्रंप्रेजी

199. भी हुकम चन्य कड्याय : भी बढ़े :

क्या क्रिकामंत्रीयह बताने की क्रुपा करेंगे कि :

(क) स्था जीवाजी विश्वविद्यालय के कला-संकाय (प्रार्ट्स फैंकल्टी) ने सरकार का यह सुझाव दिया है कि ग्रंग्नेजी भाषा केवल एक वर्ष के लिए ही ग्रनिवार्य होनी चाहिये;

- (ख) क्या यह भी सच है कि ग्रंग्रेजी की तीन वर्ष के लिए ग्रनिवार्य बनाना इसलिये उपयोगी नहीं समझा जाता, क्योंकि उसके कारण बी० ए० के 60 प्रतिशत छात्र ग्रसफल हो जाते हैं;
- (ग) यदि हां, तो क्या ध्रध्यापन-कार्य को भौर प्रधिक प्रभावशाली बनाने की दृष्टि से इस प्रनिवार्यता वाली शर्त को हटा देने का विचार है; भौर
- (घ) यदि नहीं, तो इसके क्या कारण हैं ?

शिक्षामंत्री (श्रीमु० क० चागला) : (क) जी नहीं।

(ख) से (घ). प्रश्न नहीं उठते।

जम्मू तथा काश्मीर राज्य का पुनर्गठन

200 भी हुनम चन्द कह्मवाय : भी रामेश्वरानम्य : भी बड़े :

क्या **गृह-कार्य** मंत्री यह बताने की कृषा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या अस्मूतथा काश्मीर राज्य को भाषा के भ्राष्टार पर पुनर्गटन करने की मांग की जा रही है भीर क्या इस योजना में काश्मीर की एक पृथक राज्य बनाने का मुझाव दिया यया है; भीर
- (ख) यदि हां, तो इसके क्या कारण हैं भौर इस सम्बन्ध में भारत सरकार की क्या प्रतिकिया है?

. गृह-कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य-मंत्री तचा प्रतिरक्ता नंत्रालय में प्रतिरक्ता संभरण मंत्री (बी हाची) : (क) प्रीर (ब). जैसा कि समाचार-पत्नों ग्रादि में दिये गये इन सुन्नाचीं से दिखाई पड़ता है वैभी न तो कोई मांग ही है झौं न ही सरकार का ऐसा कोई विचार है ।

Written Answers

जम्मूतया काश्मीर में पाठ्य पुस्तकें

202. श्री बड़े:

श्री हुकम चन्द कछ शय : श्री युडबीर सिंह : श्री मसुलिसये : श्री हेम बरबा :

क्या शिक्षा मंत्री 8 दिसम्बर, 1965 के तारांकित प्रम्त संख्या 741 के उत्तर के सम्बन्ध में यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या केन्द्रीय सरकार ने जम्मू तथा काश्मीर सरकार से उस राज्य के स्कूलों में पढ़ाई जा रही ऐसी पाठ्य पुस्तकों के संबन्ध में सूचना प्राप्त करली है जिनमें चीन की प्रशंसा की गई है; श्रीर
- (ख) यदि हां, तो उसका ब्यं रा क्या है ?

शिक्षा मंत्री (श्री मु० क० चागला) : (क) जी हां।

(ख) जम्मू तथा कश्मीर सरकार ने बताया है कि राज्य शिक्षा विभाग द्वारा मुद्रित और प्रकाशित पाठ्य-पुस्तकों में से कुछ में धापत्तिजनक सामग्री और कुछ गलतियां तथा गलत सूचना भी दी गई है। ये पुस्तकों कई वयों पहले छपी थीं। जम्मू तथा कश्मीर सरकार ने इस मामले की पूरी पूरी जांच का धादेश दिया है और सभी धापत्तिजनक हिस्सों को पुस्तकों से निकाल बेने और गलतियों/गलत सूचनाओं को टीक करने के लिए, भी धावश्यक कार्रवाई की है।

Cut in Crude Prices

203 Shri P. C Borooah: Shri M. L. Dwivedi: Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Shri Subodh Hansda: Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri Narayan Reddy: Shri D. C. Sharma: Shri D. N. Tiwary: Shri S. M. Banerjee: Shri Rameshwar Tantia: Shri Himatsingka: Shrimati Savitri Nigam: Shri Madhu Limave: Shri P. R. Chakraverti: Shri Kairolkar: Shri Warior: Shri Vasudevan Nair: Shri Daji: Shri P. H. Bheel: Shri P. K. Deo: Shri Solanki: Shri Sarioo Pandey: Shri Ram Sewak Yadav: Shri Bagri:

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

- (a) whether some oil companies operating in the country with refineries based on imported crude oil have agreed to effect a cut in prices of crude oil;
 - (b) if so, their names; and
- (c) the extent to which the prices have been reduced and the saving of foreign exchange as a result thereof?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan): (a) Yes.

- (b) Burmah-Shell Oil Storage & Distributing Co. of India Ltd., Esso Standard Eastern, Inc. and Caltex (India) Ltd.
- (c) Burmah-Shell and Caltex have agreed to give an additional discount of 8 cents per barrel on their Aghajari Crude with effect from 1-1-1966. Similarly, Esso have offered an additional discount of 4 cents per barrel on their Arabian Crude mix. As a result of these discounts a foreign ex-

change saving of about Rs. 27 pakhs is expected during the first quarter of 1966.

Drilling in Port Canning area

204. Shri P. C. Borooah:
Shri M. L. Dwivedi:
Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad:
Shri S. C. Samanta:
Shri Subodh Hansda
Shri Narayan Reddy:
Shri Himatsingka:
Shri Rameshwar Tantia:

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that drilling in the Port Canning area to the South of Calcutta has been further delayed; and
 - (b) if so, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan): (a) Yes.

(b) The delay is mainly due to the difficulties experienced in transporting the Derrick and under-structures of the drilling rig from Sibsagar to the site.

Gauhati Refinery

205. Shri P. C. Borooah: Shri Madhu Limaye: Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey:

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the p'an for the expansion of the Gauhati Refinery during the Fourth Plan has since been finalised; and
 - (b) if so, the particulars thereof?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan): (a) No.

(b) Does not arise.

Rehabilitation of Repatriates from

206. Shri M. L. Dwivedi:
Shri P. C. Borooah:
Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad:
Shri S. C. Samanta:
Shri Subodh Hausda:
Dr. L. M. Singhvi:
Shri Yallamanda Reddy:
Shri Hukam Chand
Kachhavalya:

Will the Minister of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 273 on the 17th November, 1965 and state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Ministry of Works, Housing and Urban Development have declined to allot some shops for the rehabilitation of repatriates from Burma;
- (b) if so, the alternative steps being taken or proposed to be taken to rehabilitate these repatriates; and
- (c) the number of shops which are proposed to be allotted to them in Delhi/New Delhi?

The Minister of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Shri Jagjivan Ram): (a) to (c). The Ministry of Works Housing & Urban Development have intimated that no shops could at oresent be made avai able for allotment to Burmese repatriates.

A statement indicating the measures taken for the rehabilitation of these repatriates is given below.

Statement

- A scheme for granting loan for husiness purpose upto Rs. 2,000 per family has been sanctioned.
- 2. Employment assistance is being provided to the repatriates from Burma. For this purpose, the repatriates from Burma have been accorded priority in the matter of appointment to posts and services under the Central Government through, the Employment Exchange.

3. Age limit for recruitment through Employment Exchange has been relaxed upto 45 years, with a further relaxation upto 5 years in the case of persons belonging to Scheduled Castes and scheduled Tribes.

Written Answers

- 4. The Administrative Ministries concerned with Public Undertakings of the Central Government have been requested to reserve 25 to 33 1/3 per cent of vacancies in the Undertakings under their respective control for repatriates from Budma and Ceylon.
- 5. The State Governments have been asked to resettle the repatriates under the Land Colonisation Scheme for which assistance from the Government of India is available.
- 6. The State Government have also been asked to extend:
 - (a) facilities for employment to the posts and services under the State Government;
 - (b) employment in existing projects;
 - (c) educational concessions: and
 - (d) preference in allotment of land, plots for building, etc.
- 7. Instructions have been issued to the effect that Indian repatriates from Burma admitted in the Industrial Training Institutes may be paid a stipend of Rs. 45/- p.m., in deserving cases, if the trainces are staying away from their parents.
- 8. Certain Schemes for the rehabilitation of the repatriates in industries such as Spinning Mills, Diary Farms and Poultry Farms and in agriculture are under examination.
- 9. Age relaxation and remission of examination fees is also allowed in respect of the examinations conducted by the U.P.S.C.
- 10 The State Governments have been asked to extend the benefits of the o'd Age Pension Scheme to the repatriates if the same is in existence in a particular State.

Rations to the workers of the Sabarigiri H. E. Project, Kerala

207. Shri Vasudevan Nair: Shri Warior:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state;

- (a) whether it is a fact that rations were denied to the workers in the Sabarigiri H. E. Project, Kerala State during the strike period in December 1985; and
- (b) if so, whether the cut has been restored?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) No Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

National Diploma in Commerce in Kerala

208. Shri Vasudevan Natr; Shri Warior:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether there is a proposal to conduct the examinations for National Diploma in Commerce by the State Government in Kerala State instead of by the All-India Council for Technical Education:
- (b) if so, whether the Diploma given by the State Government will be at, par with the National Diploma; and
- (c) whether Government have received any representation against the above proposal?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) The Aff India Council for Technical Education, as far back as 1957 decided to crease to "to affiliate institutions and hold examinations as and when the State Boards of Technical Education were found to be in a position to take over these responsibilities. In pursuance of this decision the State Board of Education, Kerala was requested in February, 1963 to take over the function of affiliating

and conducting National Diploma examinations in respect of the Institutions in the State.

- (b) Yes, Sir.
- (c) Representation was received in February, 1965 from students in Kerala requesting that examinations be conducted by the All India Council for Technical Education and not by State Board. The students were under the impression that the State Board Diploma may not be recognised. The position was immediately explained to the students and the State Government. No further representation has since been received.

Thefts and Murders in Thakasi, Kerala

209. Shri Vasudevan Nair: Shri Warior:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have re ceived any representation from the Thakazi Panchayat in Kerala State requesting for a thorough enquiry into certain cases of theft and murder in the area in November, 1965;
- (b) if so, whether any such enquiry was conducted: and
 - (c) the result thereof?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) to (c). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House as soon as possible.

Science Education in India

210. Shri Warlor:
Shri Vasudevan Nair:
Shri Indrajit Gupta:
Shri Prabhat Kar:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that there are serious defects in the present

system of science education in India;

532

(b) if so, the steps taken to remove the defects and to improve the science education?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) No Sir; but the existing programmes of science teaching are being constantly strengthened and improved.

(b) Steps taken to improve science teaching include—improvement of science syllabi, preparation of new text books containing up-to-date information, preparation of guide books for teachers, improvement in teacher training and techniques of teaching, provision of better laboratory and research facilities and establishment of science Institutes in States.

Sales Tax Proceedings

211. Shri Vishram Prasad: Shri Bagri: Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia: Shri Yashpa! Singh:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs se pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 340 on the 19th November, 1965 regarding the raids by the Sales-Tax Officers in Sadar Bazar, Delhi and state the progress made in the proceedings against the shop-keepers?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri P. S. Nas-kar): The accounts books and documents taken into possession from the businses premises of four shopkeepers largely relate to the current year 1985-66. Assessment proceedings against the shop-keepers shall therefore be initiated after the expiry of the year.

पूर्वोत्तर क्षेत्र के लिए विद्वविद्यालय

212. श्री विश्वाम प्रसाव:
श्री सुबोध हंसवा:
श्री सं० खं० सामन्त:
श्री भागवत झा प्राजाव:
श्री म० ला० द्विवेदी:
श्री प्र० खं० बरुझा:
श्री यशपाल सिंह:
श्री बागड़ी:
डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया:
श्री रामसे बेक यादव:
श्री उटिया:
श्री प्र० रं० चकवर्ती:
श्री मतारे रेणुका बड़कटकी:
श्री विववनाथ पाण्डेय:

श्री विश्वनाथ पाण्डेय : श्री तीलाभर कटकी : श्री वारियर : श्री डारियर :

श्री प्रभात कार : श्री वासुदेवन नायर : श्री मधु लिसये : श्री किशन पटनायक :

भी विभूति मिश्रः भी राम सहाय पाण्डेयः भी रवीन्ड वर्माः

भी रा ० सम्बद्धाः

क्या शिक्षा मंत्री 10 नवम्बर, 1965 के तारांकित प्रश्न संख्या 143 के उत्तर के सम्बन्ध में यंह बताने की क्षुःग करेंगे कि:

- (क) भारत के पूर्वोत्तर क्षेत्र के लिए एक विश्वविद्यालय स्थापित करने के सम्बन्ध में सरकार ने कितनी प्रगति को है; भीर
- (ख) इस विश्वविद्यालय में शिक्षा कार्य कब तक प्रारम्भ हो जायेगा ?

शिक्षा मंत्री (श्री मु॰ क॰ खागला):
(क) भौर (ख). विषय भभी विचाराधीन
है।

Petro-Chemical Corporation

213. Shri Vishram Prasad: Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri Subodh Hansda: Shri P. C. Borooah: Shri M. L. Dwivedi: Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Shri Bagri: Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia: Sh i Utiva: Shri Kishen Pattnayak: Shri Ram Sewak Yadav: Shri Yashpal Singh: Shrimati Savitri Nigam: Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 135 on the 10th November, 1965 and state:

- (a) whether any final decision has since been taken on the propo-al to set up a Petro-Chemical Corpo ation in the public sector; and
 - (b) if so, the broad features thereof?

The Minis'er of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan): (a) and (b). The matter is still under consideration of Government.

Re-employment of Retired Civil Servants

214. Shri Vishram P asad:
Shrimati Savitri Nigam:
Shri M. L. Dwivedi:
Shri Bharu Prakash Singh:
Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia:
Shri Bag i:
Shri Subodh Hansda:
Shri S. C. Samanta:
Shri Bhagwat Jha Asad:
Shri P. C. Borooah:
Shri Yashpai Singh
Shri Karni Singhji:
Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey:

Shri Madhu Limaye:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs
be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have considered the recommendations of the

Santhanam Committee in respect of the re-employment of retired civil servants in private service:

- (b) the decision taken by Government to implement these recommendations: and
- (c) if answer to part (a) above be in the negative, when a decision is likely to be taken?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Rome Affairs and Ministry of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) and (b). A copy of the instructions issued by the Ministry of Home Affairs on the subject is placed on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-5455/66].

(c) Does not arise.

Hindu Religious Education in Schools

215. Shri Ram Harkh Yadav: Shri Hem Raj: Shri Daljit Singh:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Vishwa Hindu Dharm Sammelan held from 8th to 12th December, 1965 in Delhi has urged upon Government to introduce Hindu ethical religious and spiritual education in the school curricula from the Primary stage;
- (b) if so. Government's reaction thereto; and
- (c) the decision taken by Government in the matter?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Edification (Shri Bhakt Darshan):
(a) Government have no knowledge of the recommendations of the Sammelan.

(b) and (c). Do not arise,

Grants to Technical Institutions by Ford Foundation

216. Shri Ram Harkh Yadav: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Ford Foundation has recently allowed large sums of 2400 (Ai) LS-5. money as grants to the Technical Institutes in India; and

(b) if so, the details thereof with the specifications of the institutes receiving the grants?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) and (b). During 1965, Ford Foundation had sanctioned \$ 1,450,000 for the development of Birla Institute of Technology & Science, Pilani.

UNESCO Conference on Nehru's Role

217. Shri Baimiki; Shri Yashpal Singh; Shri Bagri; Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia; Shri Dhuleshwar Meena; Shri Ramachandra Ulaka;

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 833 on the 17th November, 1965 and state:

- (a) the further progress made in organising the Round Table Conference of cultural leaders on late Shri Jawaharlal Nchru's role in Modern world by UNESCO; and
- (b) whether the list of participants has since been finalised?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagia): (a) It is now proposed to hold the Round Table in the later half of September, 1966 in New Delhi.

(b) No, Sir.

File Movement Costing Rs. 50 Lakhs

- 218. Shri Kajrolkar: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that a particular file which took more than eight months to travel from Delhi Municipal Corporation to the Central Secretariat and back has cost the civic body Rs. 50 lakhs:

- (b) if so, its impact on the revenue; and
- (c) whether tax has to be increased to meet such unforeseen expenditure?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri P. S. Naskar): (a) No. Sir.

- (b) Does not arise.
- (c) Does not arise.

Namrup Fertilizer Factory

220. Shri Karni Singhji: 'Shri P. R. Chakraverti: Shri P. C. Borooah; Shrimati Renuka Barkataki:

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

- (a) the progress so far made in setting up the Namrup Fertilizer Plant;
- (b) how far the difficulties encountered in regard to land acquisition and poor load-bearing capacity of the soil, resulting in delay in setting up of the Plant have now been overcome: and
- (c) the revised target date of commissioning the project in the light of (b) above?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan): (a) The civil construction was started on 5-3-1965. All the major plant buildings and foundations are scheduled to be completed by 1-12-1986.

Foundations of the Sulphate and the Sulphuric Acid Plants are almost complete. Progress in the Ammonia and the Urea Plants is according to schedule.

Mechanical erection of plants and equipments was begun in the Sulphuric Acid Plant on 1-1-1966 and is making steady progress according to schedule. Out of 802 quarters, 642 have been built and the construction of the balance is in progress. Progress of ancillary buildings and other services is as per Schedule.

- (b) All the difficulties encountered in regard to land have been overcome. Possession of the new factory site was taken on 2-2-1965 and work as described above is now going on.
- (c) The revised target date of commissioning the plant is 1-8-1967.

Studies of Poons Students

- 221. Shri Karni Singhji: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:
- (a) whether Government are aware that a large number of students coming from the rural areas in Poons District are being forced to give up their studies as their parents could not support them on account of failure of the crops;
- (b) whether in view of the tight financial position of the State, any request has been received from that Government by the University Grants Commission for financial aid; and
- (c) if so, the details thereof and how far their request has been complied with?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) The Central Government have no information on the subject.

- (b) No, Sir.
- (c) Does not arise.

Public Grievances

- 223. Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:
- (a) whether Government have finally evolved a plan for establishing an adequate institutional machinery for the redress of public grievances;
- (b) if so, the broad features thereof; and
- (c) if reply to part (a) above be in the negative, the reasons for the delay?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathl): (a) No. Sir

- (b) Does not arise.
- (c) The problems of redress of citizens' grievances will now be considered by the Administrative Reforms Commission.

Rewa Funds

224. Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 282 on the 17th November, 1965 regarding repatriation of former Rewa Ruler's funds and state:

- (a) whether any agreement has been reached with the present ruler of Rewa regarding the funds deposited by the late Maharaja Gulab Singh in foreign banks;
 - (b) if so, the details thereof:
- (c) when this transfer is likely to materialise; and
- (d) if the answer to part (a) above is in the negative, the causes of the delay?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi); (a) and (b). An agreement was reached between the Government of India and the present Maharaja of Rewa in January 1953 for the division of assets held in the name of the late Sir Gulab Singh of Rewa equally between the Government of India and His Highness the Maharaja of Rewa after meeting the cost of realisation etc. included deposits in These assets Banks etc. to the extent of £19,157.

- (c) Steps have been taken for the realisation of the assets, which will be transferred to the name of the President as soon as the necessary formalities have been completed.
 - (d) Does not arise,

Petro-Chemical Complex in Assam

225. Shri Liladhar Kotoki: Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey:

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

- (a) whether a scheme has been drawn up to set up a Petro-chemical complex unit for the utilisation of the surplus natural gas of Assam oll-fields: and
 - (b) if so, the salient features thereof?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan): (a) and (b). The Fertiliser Corporation of India is putting up a factory at Namrup for the manufacture of nitrogenous fertilisers based on the surplus gas. There are also schemes for the manufacture of PVA fibres, methanol, formaldehyde and formaldehyde based resins. Besides, a study is being conducted by the Burmah Oil Company with the assistance of the Fertiliser Corporation of India on further utilisation of natural gas for increased production of nitrogenous fertilisers.

Quota for S.Cs. and S.Ts.

226. Shri Daljit Singh: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the quota reserved for the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes has been filled in all the Ministries and Attached Offices of the Government of India as on 1st January, 1966; and
 - (b) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri P. S. Naskar): (a) and (b). Reservation is made for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in all services and posts under Government filled by direct recruitment Reservation is also made for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in Class III and Class IV appointments made by promotion

bv selection or through departmental competitive examination, in grades to which there is no direct recruitment whatever. These reservations apply for purposes of new appointments only. Figures showing the proportion of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes amongst the total employees in the Ministries and Attached Offices of the Government of India, as on 1st January 1966, are not available. However, a statement showing the progressive representation of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in the services under the Government of India for the years 1957 to 1963 is laid on the Table, [Placed in Library, See No. LT-5456, 66].

The main reason for the shortfall in the representation of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes is that suitable Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe candidates are not available to fill all the posts reserved for them, particularly those posts for which technical or special qualifications are required.

Expansion of Education Schemes in Puniab

227. Shri Daljit Singh: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Central Government have given any financial assistance to the Punjab Government as an advance payment from the allocation made for the Fourth Five Year Plan for expansion of education schemes in the State; and
- (b) if so, the amount thereof and also the details of these schemes?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chag'a): (a) and (b). A sum of Rs. 3,50,000 has been allotted to Punjab for advance action on the Fourth Five Year Plan Schemes, namely, girls' education, training of librarians, adult literacy, educational survey and technical education.

भृतपूर्व देशी राज्यों में हिन्दी

228. श्री विमृति मिंश : क्यां गृहं-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कपा करेंगे किं:

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि स्वतन्त्रता से पहले राजस्थान, मध्य प्रदेश तथा उत्तर प्रदेश के देशी राज्य श्रपनी राजभाषा के लिये देवनागरी लिपि में हिन्दी भाषा का प्रयोग करते थे :
- (ख) यदि हां, तो क्या यह भी सच है कि भारतीय संघ में उनके मिलने के पश्चात वहाँ अंग्रेजी भाषा जारी की गई थी: और
- (ग) क्या यह भी सच है कि उन देशी राज्यों के लोग प्रशासन में ग्रंबेखी भाषा के प्रयोग के कारण ग्राप्रसन्न हैं?

गह-कार्य मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (धी प० शे नास्कर) : (क) से (ग) सूचना एकबित की जारही है और यथासमय सभा पटल पर रख दी जायेगी।

Enquiry against Foreign-owned Tea Estates in Assam

Shri Hem Barua: 229 Shri Bhagwa! Jha Azad: Shri M. L. Dwivedi: Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri Subodh Hansda: Shri P. C. Borocan: Shri R. Barua: Shri R. S. Pandey:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the attention of Government has been drawn to a broadbased charge that some of the foreignowned tea estates in Assam are functioning as "centres of espionage" for Pakistan:
- (b) whether Government have held any enquiry into this matter; and
 - (c) if so, the result thereof?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri P. S. Naskar): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c). Investigation carried out in this connection did not show any specific instance of indulgence by any foreign-owned tea estate in Assam in espionage for Pakistan.

Oil in Coastal Districts of Orissa

230. Shri Gokulananda Mohanty: Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any survey has been carried out for Oil exploration in the coastal districts of Orissa; and
 - (b) if so, the results thereof?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan): (a) and (b). Geological investigations have been carried out in the Baripada area and gravity and magnetic surveys have been carried out in Baripada-Balasore districts. Gravity and magnetic surveys are in progress in the Cuttack-Bhadrak-Puri area.

The surveys carried out in the Baripada-Jaleswar area have indicated that the prospects are not promising. The results of the surveys currently in progress in the Cuttack-Bhadrak-Puri area will be known only after the completion of the work.

पाकिस्तान से धाने वाले व्यक्ति

231. श्री विश्वाम प्रसाव : श्री राम हरस यादव :

न्या भाम, रोजगार तथा शुनर्वास मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) हाल के भारत-पाकिस्तान संघर्ष के पश्चात भाज तक पूर्व तथा पश्चिम पाकिस्तान ने किन्ने हिन्दू, ईसाई तथा धस्य समुदाय के श्वश्वित बाहर निकाल दिये गये हैं :

- (ख) सरकार ने ध्रव तक उनमें से किनने व्यक्तियों के पुनर्वाम की व्यवस्था कर दी है ; धीर
- (ग) उनके पुनर्वास पर धव तक किनमी धनराणि व्यय हई है ?

श्रम, रोजगार तथा पुनर्वास संबी (श्री जगजीवन हाम): (क) पहली प्रगस्त, 1965 से 11,039 विस्थापित व्यक्ति पूर्वी पाकिस्तान से प्राये हैं। समृदाय के धनुसार धांकड़े उपलब्ध नहीं हैं। 3,833 हिन्दू जिनमें सोधा राजपूत, जाट, मेघवाल, धील तथा स्वामी हैं, पश्चिमी पाकिस्तान से राजस्थान में घाय हैं।

(ख़) घीर (ग). पूर्वी पाकिस्तान से घाये विस्थापितों में से जो सहायता तथा पुनर्वास सहायता के पात हैं उन्हें वर्तमान में सहायता दी जा रही है जब तक कि उनके पुनर्वास की व्यवस्था नहीं हो जाती।

जो परिवार पश्चिम पाकिस्तान सं भ्राये हैं उनको बसाने के लिये योजनाएं बनाई जा रही हैं। इस भवस्या में यह बताना संभव नहीं होया कि जनके पुनर्वाझ पर कितनी धन राशि व्यार होगी।

Arrest of Muslims

232. Shri Mohammad Elias; Shri Indrajit Gupta:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state.

- (a) the total number of Muslims arrested during the time of Pakistani aggression on our country;
- (b) the charges against the arrested persons; and
- (c) the number of persons released till now?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri P. S. Naskar): (a) to (c). The information

is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha when received.

Shortage of Kerosene Oil in Mysore

233. Shri Linga Reddy: Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government are aware of the scarcity conditions of kerosene oil in Mysore;
- (b) whether Government are also aware of the abnormal rise in prices and blackmarketing in kerosene; and
- (c) if so, the steps taken to supply sufficient quantity of kerosene and put down blackmarketing in kerosene in Mysore?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan): (a) No. Supplies of kerosene to Mysore during recent months have been very near the average monthly consumption in that State during the year 1964.

- (b) No.
- (c) It is for the State Government to take steps for effecting equitable distribution within the State and for preventing blackmarketing, if any. The attention of the State Government has been drawn to their statutory powers in this regard.

एकरूप प्राथमिक शिक्षा

235. श्री बागड़ी: क्या शिक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सब है कि सरकार पांचवीं कक्षा तक धमीर तथा गरीब सभी क्यां के लिए एकरूप शिक्षा देने की व्यवस्था कर रही है;
- (ख) क्या यह भी सच है कि उन सभी गैर-सरकारी शिक्षा संस्थाओं को, जो विशेष कप से ग्राप्तुनिक तथा उच्च वर्ग के लोगों

के बच्चों के लिये चलाई जा रही हैं, बन्द किया जा रहा है ; ग्रीर

(ग) यदि नहीं, तो इस के कारण क्या हैं ?

शिक्षा मंत्री (श्री मु०क० वागला): (क) सभी बच्चों के लिए पांचवीं कक्षा तक सामान्य शिक्षा की ग्रामतौर पर समान प्रणाली चल रही है।

- (ख) जी नहीं।
- (ग) प्रणाली की कट्टर समानता वांछनीय नहीं समझी जाती ।

Sindri Fertiliser Factory

236. Shri Kajrolkar: Shri Karni Singhii:

Will the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Sindri Fertiliser Plant is running at a loss;
- (b) if so, the annual loss and the reasons therefor;
- (c) whether its old tectinology of production had undergone a change; and
- (d) its rated production and how does it compare with the price of the private sector?

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shai Alagesan): (a) No.

- (b) Does not arise.
- (c) There has been no change in the technology of production in Sindri.
- (d) The original designed capacity was 117,000 tonnes per annum, but due to shortage of coke oven gas and poor quality of gypsum, its actual production has seldom exceeded 90,000 tonnes per annum in the past. However, with the installation of certain additional equipment, such as Lean

Gas Generators and Naphtha Gasification Unit, the capacity will be raised to 1,10,000 tonnes of nitrogen per year, in the course of next two or three years. The end products of the factory are ammonium sulphate, double salt and urea which are not yet produced in the private sector. These are sold to the Fertiliser Pool at retention prices fixed by Government. There is therefore no question of comparison with the price of the private sector.

Summer Schools and Multi-Purpose Schools

237. Shri R. Barua; Shri R. S. Pandey;

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have drawn up a scheme to popularise and open more Summer Schools and Multi-purpose Schools in the country during the Fourth Plan:
- (b) the number of such schools of both categories functioning at present; and
- (c) whether Government have made an assessment about their working and usefulness?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagia): (a):

- (i) It is proposed to hold about 1000 Summer Schools in science, mathematics, and social studies during the Fourth Plan period for teachers in these fileds.
- (ii) A Centrally Sponsored Scheme is being drawn up to strengthen diversified courses in the existing Multi-purpose Schools. In addition, larger funds have been provided in the State Sector to enable the States and Union Territories to set up more Multi-purpose Schools during the Fourth Plan.

- (b) (i) 51 Summer Schools were held in 1965
 - (ii) The number of Multi-purpose Schools upto July, 1965 was 3858.
- (c) The working of the Summer Schools and diversified courses is being constantly reviewed and interviewed. Their usefulness is accepted by various bodies. The Education Commission's Report is also expected to give the Commission's recommendations on this aspect.

Cultural Centres in Orissa State

238. Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any financial assistance was given to Orissa for the construction of cultural centres in the State during 1965-66; and
 - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhakt Darshan): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

Assistance to publishers and Booksellers in Orissa State

239. Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state the assistance given to the publishers, printers and booksellers of Orissa State in the field of social education, literature and literature for neo-literates during 1985-687

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Education (Shrimati Soundaram Ramachandran): No such assistance has been given.

Written Answers **Rehabilitation Industries Corporation**

240. Shri Dhuleshwar Meena: Shri Ramachandra Illaka:

Will the Minister of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation be pleased to state the amount outstanding as on the 31st January, 1966 with the various industrial concerns which were provided with loans by the Rehabilitation Industries Corporation?

The Minister of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation Jagilvan Ram): A statement showing arrears of loans and interest outstanding as on 31st January, 1966 is laid on the Table, [Placed in Library, See No. LT-5457/66].

Migrants Deserting Dandakaranya

241. Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: Shri Subodh Hansda: Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Shri M. L. Dwivedi: Shri P. C. Borooah: Shri P. R. Chakraverti: Shri K. N. Tiwary: Shri Maheswar Naik: Shrimati Savitri Nigam: Dr. Ranen Sen: Shri Dinen Bhattacharya: Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: Shri Mohammad Elias: Shrimati Jyotsna Chanda: Shrimati Renu Chakravartty:

Will the Minister of Labour. Employment and Rehabilitation be pleased to state:

- (a) the total number of displaced families from East Pakistan who have deserted from rehabilitation sites in Dandakaranya areas and States outside West Bengal in the years 1964, 1965 and 1968 to-date State-wise and
- (b) the reasons for these desertions and the whereahouts of these families since desertion?

The Minister of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Shri Jaguvan Ram): (a) The required information is as below:

Desertions from rehabilitation sites No. of families

	1964		1966 (to- 1 date)	Total
Tripura .	Nil	Nil	Nil	
N.E.F.A	Nil	Nil	Nil	
Maharasthra .		259	Ni l	259
Uttar Pradesh .	25	7	Nil	32
Madhya Pradesh	Nil	Ni	Nil	
Bihar .	43	30	Nil	73
Assam .	Nil	Nil	Nil	
Dandakaranya	159	1,036	81	1,276
Andhra Pradesh	151	73	Nil	274
Orissa	Nil	759	6	765
	378	2,164	87	2,629

(b) Main reasons:

- (a) Some families have left in order to join other sections of their families resettled elsewhere in the past.
- (b) In some areas, unscrupulous elements held out hopes of rehabilitation elsebetter where and some families were misled by their propaganda.
- (c) Unwillingness to do manual labour.
- (d) Demand of better facilities available in the than those colonies.
- (c) Non-allotment of agricultural land.

The whereabouts of the descriers is not known, but many of them are reported to have gone to West Bengal.

Propagation of Hindi

551

242. Shri Badshah Gupta: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state the areas inhabited by uneducated people on the mainland and the Islands of the Indian Union where propagation of Hindi has so far been undertaken by Government?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhakt Darshan): The work relating to propagation and development of Hindi has been undertaken in all the non-Hindispeaking areas, whether on the mainland or the Islands.

Space Research in Kerala University

243. Shri Vasudevan Nair: Shri Warlor; Shri A. V. Raghavan:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any proposal has been recommended to the Kerala University to institute a course in space research;
- (b) whether the idea of associating the University with the Thumba Rocket Launching Station is being considered; and
- (c) the time by which a final decision will be taken in the matter?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagia): (a) to (c). Certain preliminary discussions have taken place between the Vice-Chancellor, Kerala University, and the authorities of the Thumba Rocket Launching Project on the question of instituting a course in space research in the University. It is premature to state at this stage as to when a final decision will be taken to start the course.

Sugar Mill in Betul

244. Shri Wadiwa: Shri A, S. Saigal: Dr. Chandrabhan Singh: Shri Chandak;

Shri Lakhmi Bhawani: Shri Hukam Chand Kachhavaiya:

Will the Minister of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 785 on the 17th November, 1965 and state:

- (a) whether the Government of Madhya Pradesh have since forwarded proposals for providing irrigation facilities for the cultivation of sugarcane on the lands under reclamation in Betul district for the resettlement of migrants from East Pakistan;
- (b) if so, whether the scheme has been sanctioned:
- (c) whether any decision has been taken regarding the establishment of a sugar mill there; and
 - (d) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Shri Jagjivan Ram): (a) Not yet.

(b) to (d). Do not arise.

Small-Scale Industries in Madhya Pradesh

245. Shri Wadiwa:
Shri A. S. Saigal;
Dr. Chandrabhan Singh;
Shri Chandak;
Shri Lakhoo Bhawani;

Will the Minister of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any proposal has been received from the Government of Madhya Pradesh for establishing small-scale industries in the State for the employment of 1327 new migrants from East Pakistan;
 - (b) if so, the details thereof; and
- (c) whether the approval of the Government of India has since been communicated to the State Government?

The Minister of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Shri Jagivan Ram): (a) The Government of Madhya Pradesh have forwarded 57 small-scale industries scheme which envisages employment of 2,418 new migrants at an estimated cost of Rs. 56.00 lakhs.

553

- (b) A statement is laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-5458/66].
- (c) The schemes are under technical scrutiny.

Compilation of Madhya Pradesh District Gazetteer in Hindi

246. Shri Wadiwa: Dr. Chandrabhan Singh: Shri A. S. Saigal: Shri J. P. Jyotishi: Shri Chandak:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 70 on the 3rd November, 1965 and state:

- (a) whether the Government of India have accepted the suggestion of the Government of Madhya Pradesh regarding the compilation of Madhya Pradesh District Gazetteer in Hindi in terms of the Madhya Pradesh Languages Act, 1957 on Government of India sharing 40 per cent of the cost of such publication; and
- (b) if so, whether Government concurrence has been communicated to the State Government?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) No, Sir

(b) Does not arise.

Teaching of Fereign Languages

- 247. Shri M. Malaichami: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:
- (a) whether the attention of Government has been drawn to a suggestion made by the Board of Trade

as reported in the "Hindu" dated 31st December, 1965 that provision must be made for teaching facilities in the country for foreign languages like Arabic, Spanish, Russian and Japanese; and

554

(b) if so, the steps taken for imparting education in foreign languages to students at the Secondary and University Stages?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla); (a) No. Sir.

(b) There is no provision for imparting education in foreign languages to students at the Secondary school stage. The University Grants Commission has approved certain grants for developing the study of foreign languages in universities. They have also accepted the recommendation of the Committee on Area Studies to develop the study of Foreign languages as part of the programme relating to Area Studies in selected universities.

डाक्टर की सम्मानार्थ डिग्री

248. डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया: श्री किशन पटनायक: श्री रामसेवक ग्राडव:

क्या शिक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की क्रुपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) संविधान के लागू होने से 31 दिसम्बर 1965 तक केन्द्रीय विश्वविद्यालयों द्वारा कितने व्यक्तियों को डाक्टर की सम्मानार्व डिग्नी दी गई हैं;
 - (ख) ये व्यक्ति किन वर्गों के हैं ;
- ं (ग) इन में संघ तथा राज्य मंत्रियों की संख्या क्या है ;
- (घ) क्या किसी ऐसे व्यक्ति को भी यह डिग्री प्रदान की गई है जो सरकार विरोधी विचार रखता हो ;

Written Answers MAGHA 27, 1887 (SAKA) Written Answers

- (ङ) उक्त सबधि के दौरान केन्द्रीय विश्वविद्यालयों में कितने दीक्षांत-भाषण हुए ; स्वौर
- (च) क्याकोई ऐसा व्यक्ति जिस ने दीक्षांत-भाषण दिया था किसी एक विषय का प्रधिकारी विद्वान था परन्तु उसके विचार सरकार विरोधी

शिक्षा मंत्री (श्री मु० क० चागला):

- (ख) शिक्षा-शाकी, वैज्ञानिक, विद्वान, दार्श्वनिक, लेखक, सामाजिक कार्यकर्ता, किन, संगीतज्ञ, विदेशों के राज्याध्यक्ष भौर भ्रन्य संभ्रांत-जन, भारत के राष्ट्रपति, भारत के प्रधान मंत्रो, गवर्नर भौर मुख्य मंत्री गण, केन्द्र भौर राज्यों के मंत्री ।
 - (刊) 12
- (घ) ऐसा कोई मूल्यांकन नहीं किया गया है ।
 - **(**♥) 71
- (च) दीक्षांत-समारोहों में भ्रमिभाषणों के लिए जिन व्यक्तियों को भ्रामंत्रित किया जाता है, वे भ्रपने-भ्रपने कार्य क्षेत्रों में सुविख्यात होते हैं। किन्तु सरकार के प्रति इन व्यक्तियों के क्या विचार हैं, इसका मूल्यांकन नहीं किया गया है।

भारतीय इतिहास की धालोचना

249. क्लै॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया: भी रामसेवक यादव: भी किझन पटनायक:

क्या किला मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) क्या युनेस्को ने इतिहास के सम्बन्ध में कोई ऐसा प्रकाशन प्रकाशित किया है जिसमें यह बताया गया है कि 1200 वर्ष ईसा पूर्व तक भारतीय कविता भ्रथवा संगीत का कोई उल्लेखनीय भस्तित्व नहीं था ;

- (ख) क्या कथित पुस्तक में पाटिलीपुत में बने लकड़ी के मकानों को चीन की नकल बतायी गयी है:
- (ग) क्या यह भी सच है कि कथित पुस्तक के प्रकाशन से पूर्व इस संगठन में भारतीय प्रतिनिधि ने भी इस पुस्तक को पढ़ा भ्रौर इस का धनुमोदन किया ; भ्रौर
- (घ) इस संगठन में भारतीय प्रतिनिधि का क्या नाम है ?

शिक्षा मंत्री (भी मु० क० चागला):
(क) भीर (ख). मानव जाति, सांस्कृतिक भीर वैज्ञानिक विकास के इतिहास खण्ड I में, जिसमें इस काल की चर्चा की गई है, इस संबंध में विशेष रूप से कोई उल्लेख नहीं मिलता है। फिर भी, इस विषय में यूनेस्को को लिखा जा रहा है, जिसके तत्वाबधान में एक मन्तर्राष्ट्रीय भायोग हारा इतिहास प्रकाशित किया गया है।

(ग) ग्रीर (घ). मानव जाति के वैज्ञानिक भौर सांस्कृतिक विकास संबंधी इतिहास के निर्माण और प्रकाशन के लिए यनेस्को ने 1951 में एक बन्तर्राष्ट्रीय बायोग स्यापित किया था भीर कार्य के निर्माण तथा उसे पुरा करने की सारी जिम्मेदारी धायोग को सौंप दी थी । घायोग में मलतः 9 सदस्य बे. जिसमें एक भारतीय (डा॰ होमी भाषा) भी था । किन्तु बाद में, प्रधिक भौगोलिक सांस्कृतिक भीर दार्शनिक प्रतिनिधित्व प्रदान करने के लिए घायोग में भीर विद्वान शामिल किए गए। प्रो० ग्रार० सी० मजमदार ग्रायोग के उप प्रधान भीर सरकार के इस के के पश्चिकर सदस्य बने । प्रो० धार० सी० मज्मदार ने, जिन्होंने इतिहास के पहले खंड को उसके प्रकाशन से पहले देखा था, इतिहास के पहले खंड में भारत के पूर्व-ऐतिहासिक काल के संबंध में कुछ गलतियां भीर खामियां

बताइ । भ्रन्तर्राष्ट्रीय भ्रायोग का घ्यान इस भ्रोर भ्राकषित किया गया जिसने इन गलितयों भ्रौर खामियों की सूचना लेखक-सम्पादकों को दी ताकि प्रकाशन के लिए संशोधित पाट्य/पाण्ड्लिपि तैयार करते समय वे उन्हें ध्यान में रखें। इतिहास में किए गए उल्लेखों की जिम्मेदारी भ्रायोग की है, यूनेस्कों की नहीं।

Awards to Teachers

†256. Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia: Shri Ram Sewak Yadav: Shri Kishen Pattnayak;

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) the outline of the scheme regarding national awards to teachers;
- (b) the criteria and the procedure for giving the awards;
- (c) the procedure and the criteria for scrutiny of examination results, interest taken in games, other items of teaching work, building and development of personality of students; and
- (d) whether any complaint has been received in this connection by the Government of India?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) and (b). A brief note is laid on the Table. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-5459/66].

- (c) A copy of the blank application form is also laid on the Table. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-5459 (ii) 66]. Scrutiny is done on the basis of the information furnished by the teacher/State Government concerned.
- (d) No complaint has been received about the criteria and the procedure

adopted for the selection of the teachers for the grant of award.

Students' Homes in Universities

- 251. Shri Basumatari: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that the University Grants Commission has sanctioned one lakh rupees to each University for establishment of Students' Homes:
- (b) the Universities which have submitted their plans for such Homes; and
- (c) the names of Universities whose plans have been approved?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) Under the University Grants Commission scheme for the establishment of Student's Homes, the maximum grant payable to a University is Rs. 1 lakh. This grant is, however, not automatically payable to each and every University but depends upon the nature of proposal, the plans and estimates received, the existing number of students and the facilities like the reading seats etc. already available in case of a particular university from which a proposal in this regard is received.

- (b) So far 24 Universities have submitted plans for such homes i.e. Aligarh, Andhra Pradesh Agricultural, Banaras, Bihar, Gorakhpur, Gujarat Vidyapeeth, Jadavpur, Jiwaji, Karnatak, Madras, Mysore, Osmanie, Punjab Agricultural, Poona, Rajashan, Jamia Millia Islamia, Kachi Vidyapeeth, Marathwada, Kurukshetra, Varanasya Sanskrit Vishvavidyalaya, Indore, S. V. Vidyapeeth, and Burdwan.
- (c) (i) Osmania (ii) Karnatak (iii) Rajasthan (iv) Banaras (v) (v) Jiwaji (vi) S. V. Vidyapeeth (vii) Indore.

Privy Purses to Rulers

252. Shri Jashvant Mehta: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have reviewed the question of privy purses to the erstwhile rulers of princely States;
- (b) how many such rulers died during the year 1965; and
- (c) whether Government have reduced the quantum of the privy purse of their heirs or continued the same?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) The payment of privy purse amounts to the Rulers of former Indian States is an obligation on the Government of India under Article 291 of the Constitution. The Government have no proposal to review this question.

(b) Ten.

(c) Reduction has been made in one case with the consent of the Ruler and in another case no privy purse is being paid as the rulership has lapsed.

Arrest of Walcott

253. Shri S. M. Banerjee:
Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey:
Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey:
Shri Daljit Singh:
Shri Surendranath Dwivedy:
Shri Kolla Venkulah:
Shri M. N. Swamy:
Shri Ram Sewak Yadav:
Shri Laxmi Dase:
Shri Laxmi Dase:
Shri Kajrolkar:
Shri Kajrolkar:
Shri Dharmalingam:
Shri R. S. Pandey:
Shri Onkar Lal Berwa:
Shri B. Barua:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

 (a) whether it is a fact that a person named as Daniel Hailey Walcott has been recently arrested in Bombay;

- (b) if so, whether he is the same person who was reported to be connected with many international smugglers' gangs; and
- (c) the action taken by Government in the matter?

The Minister of State in the Ministery of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) and (b). Yes, Sir. He is the same person who is believed to be involved in many smuggling activities.

(c) A case is under investigation by the Central Bureau of Investigation.

Traffic congestion in Delhi

254. Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (u) whether it is a fact that footpaths and roadberms are being squatted upon in Delhi;
- (b) whether it is also a fact that this adds to traffic congestion; and
- (c) if so, the steps being taken by the authorities in this connection?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri P. S. Naskar): (g) o (c). A statement is given below.

Statement

Many foot-paths and roadberms are squatted upon by Rehriwalas and unlicensed Pattri shops in Delhi. Traffic congestion is caused by these squatters.

The Delhi Municipal Corporation and the New Delhi Municipal Committee organize frequent programmes for removal of encroachments with the assistance of Magistrates and Police of the Delhi Administration. The Delhi Administration also prosecutes trespassers and removes encroachments under the Bombay Police Act, which has been extended to

Delhi. In many cases, the squatters have obtained stay orders from the courts and the matter is sub-judice.

As a part of the permanent solution to the problem, the Delhi Municipal Corporation have decided not to give any fresh licences to Rehriwaias. Temporary Teh-bazari permits are issued to squatters who are squatting in the New Delhi Municipal Committee area since 1957 and for allotment of sites to the verified squatters. a sub-committee has been constituted. The Delhi Development Authority has taken action to re-allocate specific areas to licensed Rehriwalas, where semi-permanent shops will be set up for them. Similar areas are being surveyed for re-allocation to Dhobis, Mochis and vegetable sellers.

Report of Prohibition Committee for Delhi

255. Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the erstwhile Delhi State Government appointed a Prohibition Committee for Delhi;
- (b) what are the main recommendations thereof;
- (c) what programme has been drawn up by the Government to implement these recommendations; and
- (d) whether there is any possibility of enforcing total prohibition for Indian Nationals in Delhi?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Ministry of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathl): (a) Yes.

(b) and (c). A statement showing the recommendations of the Committee and the action taken thereon is laid on the Table. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-5460/60].

(d) Enforcement of total prohibition in Delhi is linked up with the all India policy.

Delegation to U.S.S.R.

256. Shri Dharmalingam: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that a fiveman experts delegation visited U.S.S.R. to study the system of educational administration and planning;
- (b) if so, whether any report has been submitted by the delegation;
- (c) the main points of the report;
- (d) the extent to which the trip has helped India in the field of education?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) Under the Indo-Soviet Cultural Exchange Programme for 1965-66, a four-man delegation of educationists|administrators left for U.S.S.R. on the 28th Januady, 1966, to study for a period of about four weeks, the educational system in that country. The fifth member, however, could not join the delegation.

- (b) Not yet, Sir.
- (c) and (d). Do not arise at present.

Uniform Jail Rules

- 257. Shri Dasaratha Deb: Will the' Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that the jail rules for political prisoners detained under the Defence of India Rules, 1962, differ from State to State:
 - (b) if so, the reasons therefor;
- (c) whether Government of India propose to introduce uniform jail rules for the political detenus throughout India; and

(d) if so, when such a uniformity in jail rules is likely to be formulated and introduced throughout India?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) and (b). There are some differences due to local circumstances in the rules framed by State Governments and Administrations of Union Territories governing security prisoners.

(c) and (d). There is no proposal before the Government to introduce uniform jail rules throughout India. However, effort is being continued to have a uniform approach to the question of facilities and amenities afforded to detenus and to narrow down the existing differences to the greatest extent feasible, consistent with security and local conditions.

Migrants in Tripura

258. Shri Dasaratha Deb: Will the Minister of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of families of migrants from East Pakistan still waiting in Tripura for rehabilitation:
- (b) the number of families sent outside Tripura for rehabilitation during the year 1965-66 so far; and
- (c) when the existing families of migrants who have been in camps of Tripura, are likely to be sent outside Tripura for rehabilitation?

The Minister of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Shri Jagjivan Ram): (a) 525,

- (b) 367
- (c) 29 families awaiting dispersal to other States are expected to be moved to Bihar for rehabilitation shortly.

200 families of Rashtriya Vikas Dal will remain in Camps till the discharge of the Sahakaris concerned from the Rashtriya Vikas Dal. 296 families of Long Term Liability category will be accommodated in a P.L. Home in Tripura itself, where voca-

tional training will be given to persons found suitable.

564

American Scholarship

- 259. Shri Dasaratha Deb: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that Dr. Triguna Sen, the Rector of the Jadavpur University, West Bengal, was offered scholarship by some American Institution for studying in America;
- (b) whether it is a fact that Dr. Triguna Sen had accepted that offer first, but ultimately he had to refuse to go to America; and
 - (c) if so, the reasons for his refusal?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagia): (a) Dr. Triguna Sen was not offered a scholarship by an American Institution, but was invited by the Government of USA to attend the World Congress on Engineering Education held in Chicago from June 21—25, 1965, and to visit the institutions in that country.

(b) and (c) Dr. Sen accepted the invitation, but later decided not to make the visit on account of certain conditions that were attached in connection with this visit.

National Museum of India

- 260. Shri Basappa: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:
- (a) whether the National Museum of India has presented a set of 20 plaster casts of Harrappan art to the Thailand Education Minister at Bangkok recently:
- (b) whether this was reciprocated by them; and
- (c) if so, by what set of museum articles?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Education (Shri Bhakt Darshan); (a) Yes. Sir.

- (b) Yes, Sir.
- (c) 26 items of motive tablets and others made of clay, terra-cotta and lead.

of teachers?

166 them to introduce the minimum pay

केरल में भाषा जिलक

- 261. श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री: क्या शिक्ता मंत्री यह बताने को कपा करेंगे कि :
- (क) केरल के हाई स्कुलों में इस समय कितने भाषा-शिक्षक काम कर रहे हैं :
- (ख) उनमें से उन मध्यापकों की संख्या म्रलग-म्रलग कितनी है, जिनकी नियक्ति ग्रध्यापन-कार्य के निये विभागीय स्कलों, नगर निगमों की शिक्षण संस्थान्नी तथा गैर-सरकारी शिक्षण संस्थाओं दारा को गई है :
- (ग) उनमें से उपाधिकारी, स्वातक तथा स्नातकोत्तर भाषा-शिक्षक कितने हैं :
- (घ) कितने स्नातक तथा स्नातकोत्तर भाषा-शिक्षकों ने उपाधि प्राप्त करने के पश्चात सेवा भारम्भ की है भीर कितने म्रध्यापकों ने बाद में उपाधि प्राप्त को है : ग्रीर
- (ङ) दूसरे विषयों के स्नातकोत्तर शिक्षक कितने हैं भीर उनमें से कितने शिक्षकों ने सेवा में रहते हुए स्नातकोत्तर परीक्षा पास की हैं?

क्तिका मंत्री (श्री मृट क व्यागला): (क) से (ङ). सूचना एक वित की जा रही है और सभा-पटल पर रख दो जाएगी।

Minimum Pay of Teachers

Shri J. B. S. Bist: Shri D. C. Sharma: Shrimati Savitri Nigam:

Will the Minister of Education pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have asked the States to give a minimum salary of Rs. 100 to teachers;
- (b) if so, what is their response to the proposal; and
- (c) the excent to which the Centre is willing to assist the States to enable

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) No. Sir.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

Memorial to Shri B. G. Mehta

263. Shri M. Rampure: Will Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether there is any proposal under consideration of the Central Government to raise any memorial in honour of the late Shri B. G. Mehta, Chief Minister, Gujarat; and
 - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri P. S. Naskar): (a) and (b). No such proposal is under consideration.

Retirement Age of District Judges in Kerala

264, Shri A. V. Raghavan: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether there is any proposal before the Government of Kerala to raise the retirement age of District Judges in the judicial department;
- (b) the number of District Judges likely to retire during the pendency of the present Proclamation in Kerala;
- (c) whether this proposal was considered and rejected by the previous Ministry; and
- (d) if so, the reasons for reviewing that decision now?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): (a) to (d). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House as soon as possible.

12 hrs CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

DISCONTENT AMONG CENTRAL GOVERN-MENT EMPLOYEES ON ACCOUNT OF . INADEQUATE GRANT OF DEARNESS ALLOWANCE.

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): call the attention of the Minister of Finance to the following matter of urgent public importance request that he may make a statement thereon: -

The serious discontent among twenty-five lakhs of Central Government employees on account of inadequate grant of dearness allowance.

The Minister of Finance (Shri Sachindra Chaudhuri): Sir, I have a statement to make. It is a 3-page May I place it on the statement. Table of the House?

Some hon Members: It is an important statement. That may be read.

Mr. Speaker: That might be read then.

Shri Sachindra Chaudhuri: terms of the Second Pay Commission's recommendation accepted by Government, the rates of dearness allowance admissible to Central Government employees are reviewed whenever the All India Consumers Price Index for Working Class rises by 10 points on an average for 12 months. On this basis the D.A. rates were recently revised from 1st De ember, 1965 when the average index had crossed 165 points.

The actual increases effected on this occasion are as follows:

> From Rs. 33 to Rs. 38, for those drawing pay below Rs. 110 p.m.;

2400 (Ai) LS-6.

of D.A. (C.A.) . From Rs. 50 to Rs. 58, for those

inadequate grant

568

in the pay range of Rs. 110-

From Rs, 65 to Rs, 76, for those in the pay range of Rs. 150/-209:

From Rs. 81 to Rs. 93, for those in the pay range of Rs. 210/-399;

From Rs. 90 to Rs. 100, for those in the pay range of Rs. 400-1000, with marginal adjustments upto Rs. 1,100.

The above increases represent roughly 75 per cent neutralisation of the rise in price index from 155 to 165 in respect of the lowest three categories, and 60 per cent for the next higher slab. An ad hoc increase of Rs. 10 was allowed for those in the salary range of Rs. 400-1,000 so that the total dearness allowance admissible to them is limited to Rs. 100 p.m. These percentages do not, how-ever, fully represent the total relief available to the employees, since the general price index does not reflect benefits like house rent allowance. medical benefits, assistance for education of childden, city compensatory and other special compensatory allowances which are admissible in addition to dearness allowance.

It is true that the neutralisation allowed is on a slightly lower basis than the percentages which recommended by the S. K. Enquiry Body appointed in 1964. The rates recommended by that Body were, however, related to the index average 145. Moreover, since Shri Das submitted his report, the situation has greatly changed, particularly in the wake of the unfortunate conflict with Pakistan last year. The Second Pay Commission themselves had not suggested any rigid linking of dearness compensation with the price index but had left freedom to Government to determine the quantum of compensation with reference to the circumstances existing at the time of revision, such as the causes of the rise in cost of living, the state

(Shri Sachindra Chaudhuri)

of the economy, and the social and economic consequences of the grant or denial of increase.

The increased dearness allowance allowed from 1st December, 1965 involves an additional burden of about Rs. 25 crores annually, and it must be remembered that, very roughly, every extra rupee per month allowed to Government employees means an additional annual expenditure nearly Rs. 3 crores, not taking into account the employees of the State Governments, public sector undertakings, municipal bodies etc. hon. Members are well aware, the country's economy is at present being subjected to exceptional stresses and strains, and the resources position is There too satisfactory. imperative need to keep down administrative expenditure and to curb inflationary pressures. Under the compulsions of the Defence and developmental programmes, hardship has inevitably to be borne by all sections of the community including Government employees. In these circumstances the increases already allowed can hardly be considered inadequate and do not provide a valid ground for discontent among the employees.

Hon. Members must also be aware that there have been protests from State Governments against the periodical revisions of dearness allowance for Central Government employees. When the matter was discussed with some Chief Ministers recently in pursuance of a decision taken last year in the Resources Committee of the National Development Council, they pointed out the repercussions of our decisions on their employees and that their resources position did not permit them to assume the burden of additional emoluments being given. Further, monetary increases do not mean real relief for the employees as experience shows that this is largely off-set by simultaneous increase in prices of articles of common consumption. Government, have, therefore, decided to examine afresh the whole question of dearness compensation so that effective ways can be found of making available real benefits for Government employees without putting additional burden on other sections of society. This matter is now receiving attention and I would welcome any suggestions that hon, Members may have to make. There is of course no decision at all to discontinue payment of the dearness allowance now being given.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: From statement it appears-it has been admitted by the hon. Finance Minister-that the neutralisation recommended by the Das Commission was not accepted or adhered to by the Government. At present whatever neutralisation has been given is only 70 per cent instead of 90 per cent recommended by the Das Commission. I would like to know whether it is a fact that on 12th August, 1965 when the Central Government employees' representative met the late lamented Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri, he gave a definite assurance that the Das Commission's recommendation would be regarded as an award and if so, I would like to know whether the present Prime Minister will respect that word-keep this award or tinker with it or throw it to the winds.

Shri Sachindra Chaudhuri: I am not personally aware of the assurance of the late Prime Minister, but I am prepared to accept what my hon. friend says if he has assured himself that that is the correct position.

Even assuming that it is an award, the question will arise whether it is possible to implement that award or not. I have stated the circumstances in which, despite our best efforts, we have not been able to neutralise to the extent of 90 per cent in the case of certain employees. We will welcome any views that may be given for the purpose of an alternative scheme without in any way taking away the benefits already given; we may sit down together and consider what has been the real benefit to the employees.

I have not been legalistic in this matter. I cannot say whether this award can or cannot be enforced. With all humility, I am trying to be as practical as I can, so that there may be real removal of the trouble that government employees, along with others, suffer. If my friends help me in that, it will probably be more constructive than the question whether this was an award or not. I am not quarrelling on this. If my friend says that he has assured himself that there was an assurance given by the late Prime Minister, certainly I will accept that. Having done that, I should consider whether I am in a position financially to honour that. If I honour that, I will certainly be denying the other institutions, the other persons and the other bodies, whose needs are equal, if not more, the little assistance which, having regard to finances of this country, can be given to them.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: My question was very clear. When there was the mass agitation throughout the country, delegation saw the hon. late Prime Minister Lal Bahadur Shastri and a specific question was put to him whether the Das Commission's recommendations would be treated as an award and he said that it would be treated as an award. Now the arguments advanced by the Finance Minister are two or three, namely, the situation has changed because of Pakistani aggression, secondly the intrusion by the Chief Ministers and then the incursion by the Chamber of Commerce which he has not said. I would like to know why the Central Government employees should suffer and why this award should not be accepted by the present Prime Minister. Today we are going to demonstrate before her bungalow.

Mr. Speaker: Does he want me to join him?

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Let the Prime Minister intervene and give a reply. Mr. Speaker: If she wants to reply, she can. But the Finance Minister has already explained; he has explained the position; he has not denied that it was an award; he says that he does not know, he is not sure and it may be an award; even then he says that there are certain difficulties which he has tried to explain. Whether they are adequate justification or not is a different thing altogether.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Can he geback on it?

Shri Ranga (Chittoor): The point arises this way, if it was an award at all. My hon, friend is an eminent lawyer. Has he conceded ever before any court that the executive authority has got the right to tamper with that award and not to implement it but plead their own practical difficulties?

Mr. Speaker: In view of these observations, he has said that he would consider that and see it.

Shri Priya Gupta (Katihar): view of the fact that the Government of India or rather the ex-Finance Minister, Shri T. T. Krishnamachari, had openly come out with a statement on the floor of this August House that the Government had failed to arrest the spiralling rise in the cost of living, and in view of the recent decision of the Government of India that since during the last five years there has been an increase six times in the dearness allowance, no further dearness allowance could be given to the Central Government employees, and in view of the decision of the Government of India to have some re-thinking about the problem with a view to finding out a fresh solution for supplying things in kind, may I know whether the Government of India have examined and considered and decided about the submission of the All India Railwaymen's Federation for supply of the necessary commodities of life at a subsidised rate through subsidised grainshops, as it obtained in 1949 for the railwaymen, to compensate for the shortfall in

[Shri Priya Gupta]

wage and also for allowing cash in the shape of the dearness allowance to neutralize the balance shortfall without making a discrimination between the class IV, class III, class II and class I staff, since the minimum necessaries of life are sold at equal prices for all classes of employees, class IV, class III, class II and class I alike? May I know the reply to this question? (Langhter). It is not a matter to be laughed at...

Mr. Speaker: I am sorry I had to laugh; the hon. Member should not resent it. I was laughing only at my shortness of memory, because I had lost the thread of what he had said. By the time the hon. Member reached the end of his question, I had lost the beginning and the middle.

Shri Priya Gupta: May I know whether Government have considered the question of having subsidised grainshops.

Mr. Speaker: He has said that already. Now, let us hear the hon. Minister.

Shri Sachindra Chaudhuri: I must confess that with my limited intelligence it has been rather difficult for me to formulate exactly what question was being put to me; it is my fault and nobody else's.

Shri Priya Gupta: If at all my hon. friend wants to understand it, it would be clear.

Shri Sachindra Chaudhuri: I have already confessed that it is my short-coming and nobody else's. So, why blame me'any more on that point? If I have understand the question correctly—my hon friend may correct me if I am wrong—his question was what we could do about subsidised grainshops. We shall certainly take that into consideration. I have already welcomed suggestions from all Members of this House. If they would be kind enough to meet me or write to me and left me know what

their suggestions are, we shall examine them

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Why not convene a meeting?

Shri Sachindra Chaudhuri: I cannot convene a meeting of the entire body of this House. I can convene a meeting of a few Members only. If my hon, friend w.ll kindly let me know and tell me, I shall certainly convene a meeting.

Shri Priva Gupta: He had promised to meet the delegates from the All India Railwaymen's Federation....

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member will be called as a Member to that meeting.

Shri Daji (Indore): The statement of the hon. Finance Minister himself admits that this n ggardly and beggarly rise actually amounts to a wage cut. If the award of the Das Commission is to be changed unilaterally in this manner, I would like to know whether Government realise the repercussions that it will have on the employees? Would it not have been advisable to place all the reasons why Government want to change the recommendations before another commission headed by a Supreme Court Judge? Let that judge independently judge whether the reasons advanced by Government are valid or not. Let them not abrogate unilaterally the decision of a Supreme Court judge thereby undermining the confidence of the Government employees in the honesty and the bona fides of the Government themselves.

Shri Sachindra Chaudhuri: Shorn of all the adjectives, the question is whether this matter should again be referred to a Supreme Court Judge or not. That is a matter which may be considered. But a Supreme Court Judg's findings are not going to provide the immediate relief which might possibly be considered by the employees themselves. Therefore, I have invited the representatives

576

the people to tell me if there are any immediate means which we can adopt. So far as reference again is concerned, it may be considered, if that is going to be useful.

Shri A. P. Sharma (Buxar): In his statement, the Finance Minister has raised three pertinent points. First, he said that the Second Pay Commission did not lay down any limit. He should understand that at the time that Commission investigated the matter, they did not visualise the prices rising so high as they are now. Therefore, they did not make a specific recommendation beyond 125 points.

He referred to the S. K. Das Commission. The Government set up that Commission. On the floor of this House, the Government made a commitment that they will accept the recommendations of the Commission. Other friends boycotted the Commission; only the section of workers represented by the INTUC cooperated with the Commission: Government did not accept the recommendations of the Das Commission.

The third point he has raised is that the State Governments are coming in the picture and are influencing the decision of the Central Government because of their difficulties.

May I know what was the justification for Government in going back on their commitment and they change the recommendations unilaterally without consulting the Central Government employees' representatives? I want to know....

Mr. Speaker: That should be enough. He has already put the question.

Shri A. P. Sharma: These are clarifications. Now I am coming to the question.

How long are Government going to be influenced by so many considerations, creating further agitation and discontent among the employees by taking arbitrary decisions? Shri Sachindra Chaudhuri: My first answer is that the decision is not arbitrary. It has been given every consideration possible. My second answer is that whenever any forces come up, Government as a matter of duty consider those forces and the result of those forces, keeping in view this, that it is also the duty of Government to try to help its employees as far as it is pecuniarily possible, as far as it is physically possible, to do so.

Shri A. P. Sharma: Why not consult the employees before taking a decision?

Shri Priya Gupta: The Central Government has not taken a decision in spite of the fact that prices have risen....

Mr. Speaker: No, no. I cannot allow the hon. Member to put a question again. He should resume his seat.

Shri Priya Gupta: Does the Government want its employees to starve?....

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. He is obstructing the proceedings.

Shri Priya Gupta: I only want to ask....

Mr. Speaker: If he does not resume his seat, I will have to name him. I find this Member always in the habit of obstructing the proceedings....

Shri Priya Gupta: If the Government want its employees to starve, let them say so frankly....

Mr. Speaker: I will name the hon. Member, Shri Priya Gupta.

Shri Priya Gupta: All right. I will go away.

Mr. Speaker: He might kindly leave.

Shri Priya Gupta: Do they want the employees to starve?

Mr. Speaker: He should go out.

Shri Priya Gupta: But still I want to say this: If the Government want their employees to starve, let them say so frankly.

Mr. Speaker: He is persisting in his defiance. He should leave.

Shri Priya Gupta: I am leaving. Thank you very much.

Shri Priya Gupta then left the House.

Shri A. N. Vidyalankar (Hoshiarpur): The Minister has admitted that it was not taken as an award. I want to ask whether non-acceptance of the award on the plea of Government's incapacity to pay does create serious implications. Has he examined the implications of this because under the same plea many of the awards given by courts and tribunals concerning private industrialists, will not be accepted by private industrialists? Will it not be possible for them to take cover under the same plea and not accept those awards?

Shri Sachindra Chaudhuri: As I said. I have not gone into the matter in a legalistic way. If I am asked to consider it as an award, then undoubtedly other questions will arise, but legally speaking, it is not an award. What was said before and in this House just now is this, that the late Prime Minister had agreed to accept it as an award. I said I would proceed from that point, and I had pointed out that even if it is an award, there may be considerations, there may be circumstances which make it impossible for Government to abide by the terms of that award, and I am saying that the circumstances are such, having regard to the conditions in the country, having regard to the difficulties that the Government is facing, that it should review what would be the position, assuming that we do consider the Das Committee's report to be an award. That is exactly what we have done. On top of that, I have said I am prepare? 'n consider

every suggestion that may be made for the purpose of alleviation of the conditions of the employees, provided it is possible to do it within the funds available to Government. Beyond that, what can I assure Members?

12.21 hrs.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

REPORT OF ENQUIRY ON THE FATAL ACCI-DENT IN RAJNAGAR COLLIERY ETC.

The Minister of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Shri Jagjivan Ram): I beg to lay on the Table---

- (i) Report of Enquiry on the fatal accident in Rajnagar Colliery on the 8th November, 1965. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-5436/66].
- (ii) Report of Enquiry into the Dheri Colliery Disaster, 1965. [Placed in Library. See No. LT- 5437/66].
- (iii) A copy each of the following notifications under sub-section (7) of section 59 of the Mines Act, 1962:—
 - (a) The Coal Mines (Fourth Amendment) Regulations, 1965, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 1789, in Gazette of India dated the 4th December, 1965.
 - (b) The Mines (Second Amendment) Rules, 1965, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 1886, in Gazette of India dated the 25th December, 1965.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-5438/66].

NOTIFICATIONS UNDER THE INDIAN
TELEGRAPH ACT

The Minister of Parliamentary Affairs and Communications (Shri Satya Narayan Sinha): I beg to lay on the Table a copy each of the following Notifications under subsection (5) of section 7 of the Indian Telegraph Act, 1885:—

- (i) The Indian Telegraph (Third Amendment) Rules, 1965, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 1842 in the Gazette of India, dated the 18th December, 1965.
- (ii) The Indian Telegraph (Amendment) Rules, 1966 published in Notification No. G.S.R. 75 in Gazette of India, dated the 8th January, 1966.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-5439/66.]

FORMATION AGREEMENT FOR ESTABLISH-MENT OF AN OIL REFINERY AT MADRAS ETC.

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan): I beg to lay on the Table a copy each of the following papers:—

- (i) Formation Agreement between Government of India and National Iranian Oil Company & AMOCO India Incorporated of U.S.A. for establishment of an Oil Refinery at Madras, dated 18th November, 1965. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-5440/66].
- (ii) Crude Oil Sales agreement between Government of India and National Iranian Oil Company and PAN American International Oil Company for sale of crude oil to Madras Refineries Limited, dated 18th November, 1965. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-5441/ 66].
- (iii) (a) Annual report of Fertilisers and Chemicals, Travancore Limited, for the year
 1964-65, along with Audited
 Accounts and the comments
 of the Comptroller and
 Auditor General thereon,
 under sub-section (1) of section 619A of the Companies
 Act, 1956.

(b) Review by Government on the working of the above company.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-5442/66].

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION (EXEMPTION FROM CONSULTATION) (THIRD AMENDMENT) REGULATIONS ETC.

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathl): Sir, I beg:

- (1) to re-lay on the Table-
 - (i) A copy of the Union Public Service Commission (Exemption from Consultation) (Third Amendment) Regulations, 1965, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 1672 in Gazette of India dated the 20th November, 1965, under clause (5) of Article 320 of the Constitution, together with an explanatory note. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-5324/65].
 - (ii) A copy of Notification No. G.S.R. 1673 published in Gazette of India dated the 20th November, 1965 making an amendment to Schedule III to the Indian Administrative Service (Pay) Rules, 1954, under sub-section (2) of section 3 of the All India Services Act, 1951, [Placed in Library. See No. LT-5325/651.
- (2) to lay on the Table a copy each of the following notifications making certain amendments to the Indian Administrative Service (Pay) Rules 1954, under sub-section (2) of Section 3 of the All India Services Act, 1951:—
 - (i) G.S.R. 1716 published in Gazette of India dated the 27th November, 1965.
 - (ii) G.S.R. 1718 published in Gazette of India dated the 27th November, 1965.

- (iii) G.S.R. 1763 published in Gazette of India dated the 4th December, 1965.
- (iv) G.S.R. 1764 published in Gazette of India dated the 4th December, 1965.
- (v) G.S.R. 1765 published in Gazette of India dated the 4th December, 1965.
- (vi) G.S.R. 1766 published in Gazette of India dated the 4th December, 1965.
- (vii) G.S.R. 1767, published in Gazette of India dated the 4th December. 1965.
- (viii) G.S.R. 1796 published in Gazette of India dated the 11th December, 1965.
- (ix) G.S.R. 1949 published in Gazette of India dated the 18th December, 1965.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-5448/66].

- (3) A copy of the Kerala Escheats and Forfeitures Rules, 1965, published in Notification No. S.R.O. 184|65 in Kerala Gazette dated the 4th May, 1965 under sub-section (3) of section 17 of the Kerala Escheats and Forfeitures Act, 1964 read with clause (c) (iv) of the Proclamation dated the 2th March, 1965 issued by the Vice-President, discharging the functions of the President, in relation to the State of Kerala. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-5444/66.]
- (4) A copy of the Kerala Fire Force Rules, 1965, published in Notification No. G.O.Ms No. 406/65/Home in Kerala Gazette dated the 9th November, 1965 under sub-section (3) of section 35 of the Kerala Fire Force Act, 1962 read with clause (c) (iv) of the Proclamation dated the 24th March, 1965, issued by the Vice-President, discharging the functions of the President, in relation to the State of Kerala. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-5445/66].

(5) A copy of the Indian Police Service (Uniform) Amendment Rules, 1965, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 1717 in Gazette of India dated the 27th November, 1965 as amended by Notification No. G.S.R. 12 published in Gazette of India dated the 1st January, 1966 under sub-section (2) of section 3 of the All India Services Act, 1951. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-5446/86].

582

1766 published in . NAVY (SNROLMENT) REGULATIONS, 1965

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): Ont behalf of Shri A. M. Thomas, I beg to relay on the Table a copy of the Navy (Enrolment) Regulations, 1965, published in Notification No. S.R.O. 359 in Gazetfe of India dated the 30th October, 1965, under section 185 of the Navy Act. 1957. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-5172/65].

NOTIFICATIONS UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE PERSONAL INJURIES (COMPENSA-TION INSURANCE) ACT.

The Deputy Minister (Shri D. R. Chavan): On behalf of Shri Shah Nawaz Khan I beg to lay on the Table a copy each of the following Notifications under section 24 of the Personal Injuries (Compensation Insurance) Act, 1963.

- (i) The Personal injuries (Compensation Insurance) Amendment Rules, 1965, published in Notification No. S.O. 77 in the Gazette of India dated the 1st January, 1966.
- (ii) The Personal Injuries (Compensation) Insurance Amendment Scheme, 1965, published in Notification No. S.O. 78 in the Gazette of India dated the 1st January, 1966.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-5447/66].

12.25 hrs.

STATEMENT RE. SITUATION ON INDIA-CHINA BORDER

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): Sir. I last dealt with the situation on the borders with China on

30-11-1965 and 6-12-1965 on the floor of this House. Since a large number of questions have been asked by Members of Parliament about the happenings since then, I am making this statement to indicate the up-to-date position.

During the months of December 1965 and January 1966, the Chinese committed as many as 27 violations. 19 of them were in Ladakh, 4 in NEFA, one in U.P. and 3 in Sikkim. In all these areas, they withdrew after a short interval. No violations have so far been reported in February.

As I indicated to the House earlier, on 26th November 1965 the Chinese crossed the Thagla ridge and took up positions on our side of the border. A few days later about 250 armed Chinese moved further south to Namkachu river and some of them closed over the south bank. This intrusion continued till 2nd December 1965. Thereafter on the night of 5th|6th December a sizeable force of the Chinese troops crossed the Thagla Ridge area, again re-entered the Namkachu Valley and penetrated upto Hatung La ridge which is about miles, as the crow flies, south of the international border. On 10th December about 400 armed Chinese troops entered the Longju area in the Subansiri district of NEFA and intruded to a depth of 11 to 2 miles into Indian territory. During their stay in Longiu area and in the area south of the Thagla ridge, the Chinese troops indulged in construction of bunkers and digging of trenches. On 27th December the Chinese withdrew from the Longju area and on 29th December from the Thagla ridge area.

In the Sikkim area on 12-12-1965 about 250 to 300 Chinese troops intruded into Sikkim territory. 3 miles north-west of Sese La and fired at an Indian patrol using mortars and automatic weapons. The Indian personnel returned the fire in self-defence. As a result of the firing, which went on for several liours, five Indian person-

nel were killed and three were captured by the Chinese of whom one died in captivity. The Chinese later returned six dead bodies and two of our personnel. One Indian soldier is still missing. 30 of the Chinese intruders were also killed in the same flring : (डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : में कोई एक भी मिला?) The Chinese carried back the dead bodies under cover of darkness Resides this major incident, the Chinese indulged in firing across the border in the Nathu La area on the night 10th December and again on the night of 19th December. Earlier a 30 man strong intrusion was made by Chinese on 10th December in Bom Cho area of north Sikkim. But the Chinese retreated on sighting an Indian patrol sent to intercept them.

In the middle sector, a Chinese aircraft intruded into Indian air space upto a depth of five miles in the Mana area on 16-12-1965.

In the Ladakh sector, the Chinese have been continuing to visit two hill features about two and four miles respectively on the Indian side of the line of actual control', where they have also been carrying out constructions and exercises. This is in the Daulet Beg Oldi area opposite the Indian checkpost at track Junction.

In addition to the actual intrusions on our side of the border or the socalled 'line of actual control', the Chinese are continuing to maintain their troops in strength on their side, including inside the 20-kilometre demilitarized belt.

The Chinese have, during the past year, increased their military potential in Tibet. Apart from bringing in additional troops they have reinforced their artillery strength. They have also improved the Sinkiang-Kunming air complex, Considerable road construction has taken place all along the border and there has also been considerable fresh construction of accommodation and bunkers close to the

[Shri Y. B. Chavan]

border. The tone of the Chinese notes continues to be an aggressive one.

India China

These intrusions followed a definite pattern and the motive behind 'hem appears to be to continue the state of tension along our northern borders. The Chinese are developing an offensive posture on our northern borders and we must therefore maintain a close watch on their activities. The House may rest assured that appropriate defensive measures are being taken to meet the threat,

Some hon. Members

Mr. Speaker: I can allow a few questions, about four or five, one from each group.

श्री बशपाल सिंह (कैराना): एक सवाल इतना जरूरी है कि सारे हाउस को भ्रन्धेरे में रखा जा रहा है।

ग्रथ्यक्ष महोदय: भ्रच्छा, मैं वह रोशनी भी लेलगा । श्रापबैठ जाइए।

Shri Ranga (Chittoor): May I suggest, consistent with the need for manitaining military secrecy, would government take an early opportunity of informing the House or the Consultative Committee as to the steps they have been taking in order to improve communications in the NEFA, Nagaland and other border areas and also about the strategic steps that we are taking in order to ensure the needed protection and support for our troops in these strategic areas?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: I am certainly prepared to discuss and disclose some of the information to the Consultative Committee as the hon. Member has suggested. I am prepared to do that.

श्री यशपाल सिंह : प्राखिर इतनी बड़ी जनता को कब तक ग्रंधेरे में रक्खा जायेगा? चागला रिज से 1962 से बाद से एक मिनट के 'लिए भी चीनी फीजें नहीं हटी हैं भीर तब से वह सगातार शनके कब्बे में बला था एहा है।

जब भारतीय जनता पछती है कि उन को कब हटाया जायेगा तो प्रधान मंत्री ग्रीर सरकार यह जवाब देते हैं कि चीन के लिए हर वक्त दरवाजा खला है और वह कभी भी बातचीत कर सकता है। ग्रब यह तो श्रीमन, वही बात हुई कि एक उक्तैत 50 लाख रुपया लेकर जाता है भीर मैं कहं कि डकैत के लिए हर वक्त रास्ता खला हम्राहै। एक मिनट के लिए भी थागला रिज से चीन की फौजें पीछें नहीं हटीं श्रीर इस तरह जो उसने हमारा 38000 म्रब्बामील क्षेत्रफल हडप रखा है ग्रीर हम थोडा सा भी उसका हिस्सा उससे वापिस नहीं ले सके हैं श्रीर उलटे कहते हैं कि बातचीत के लिए दरवाजा हमेशा खला हम्राहै तो उस तरह से भ्राखिर कब तक यह सरकार भारतीय जनता को धोखें में रखेंगी ? कुछ तो जवाव इस बक्त मिना चाहिए। भ्राखिर यह दर-वाजा किस चीज के लिए सरकार ने खला रक्खा है ? क्या उकति भ्रौर भी लट मार कर सकें भीर हमारी भिम हडप कर सकें इसके लिए हमने दरवाजा खला रक्खा हमा है?

भी घोंकार लाल बेरवा (कोटा) : समझौते के लिए।

Shri H. N Mukerjee (Calcutta Central); Could I know whether the Government has kept the Colombo powers and other friendly countries consistently informed about the kind thing which China is reportedly doing on our northren borders so India's desire for a settlement honourable terms can be stressed at the same time as India's determination to defend her frontiers?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: I think the countries which are named popularly as the Colombo powers have consistently kept informed about the intrusions, etc. That is done.

Shri Hem Barua (Gauhati): While we have accepted the Colombo proposals for peace, China has in toto refused to touch these even with a pair

of tongs, our late Prime Ministers, including Mr. Nehru, have been telling us that there could be no talks with China unless and until China implements these proposals in toto. That is what our late Prime Ministers were telling us. Now, from the statement of the Defence Minister, it has become very clear to all of us that China has violated even the demilitarised zones fixed under the Colombo proposals. In this context, and in view of the fact that our Prime Minister, Shrimati Indira Gandhi, has been going about telling the country that she is prepared for talks with China, may I know what is the basis or what would be the basis of talks with China? Is she going to write off all the assurances given to us by the late Prime Ministers including her father?

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shrimati Indira Gandhi): No, Sir.

Shri Hem Barua: What is 'No'? Let us be clear about it. What she has been telling the country that she is prepared for talks with China is a fact. It was reported in the newspapers. When: I asked her whether she is prepared to have talks or whether she is going to write off her father's assurances, she said "No" "No" to what? (Interruption).

Some hon. Members rose-

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Hem Barua: "No" to write off assurances or "No" to talks with China? (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. This question has been answered.

Shri Harl Vishnu Kamath: (Hoshangabad): It is a monosyllabic answer. It is no answer. (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. When the question is, "Is she going to write off the assurances—(Interruption).

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: "Or", he said; whether she is going to have talks or write off the assurances. (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. About the assurances given by the late Prime Ministers including he father, she said, "No." What else can be done?

Shri Hem Barua: I am very sorry, Sir, that you have misunderstood me completely. You did not hear me This is how you treat us people coming from a backward State. I am very sorry. (Interruption). Now v submit that this is how you treat us people coming from a backward State. I put two alternatives. (Laughter). This cannot be a matter for laughter.

Mr. Speaker: It is a matter for laughter only to the extent that a State which can produce Mr. Hem Barua cannot be called a backward State.

Shri Hem Barua: Let us have a reply to that question. Let us not laugh it out. Let us have a reply from her.

Mr. Speaker: Dr. Singhvi.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Why do you come to her rescue, Sir? It is an important question; let her answer it.

Mr. Speaker: I have told Shri Hem Barua that we got the answer. Why should he stand in between?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Why not? He is my colleague.

Mr. Speaker: I know he is your colleague.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi (Jodhpur): May I know whether there is any indication of any fresh initiative on the part of any Colombo powers or any move to revise the terms and conditions on which we are prepared to enter into negotiations with China on the matter of our northern borders?

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: Not to my knowledge. In reply to Mr. Hem Barua's earlier question, I do not know what exactly was reported, but I do know what I have said on this subject. What I said was...

Shri Hem Barua: We have read your statements very carefully.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The press reports you faithfully.

Shri Hem Barua: Every word of your statements we follow.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The press is very kind to you.

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: I feel flattered by Mr. Barua's attention to my statements. Nevertheless, all statements are not always very accurately reported.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Why not contradict them?

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: What I have said publicly in answer to questions is that we are prepared to talk with China or with anybody should the proper conditions for such talks arise.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: I asked whether there is any fresh intiative on the part of the Colombo Powers and whether there is any revision of the terms and conditions

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: I said, no.

श्री बड़े (खारगोन): ग्रभी सुरक्षा मंत्री जी ने ग्रपने वक्तव्य में बतलाया कि नामकाछू रिवर के इस साईड पर चीनी फौजें ग्रा गयी हैं जिससे मैं समझता हूं कि उतने क्षेत्र पर ग्रभी उनका कब्बा है। साथ ही एक प्रगन पूछना है कि जब वह कोलम्बो प्रोपोजस्स मानते नहीं हैं तो फिर प्रापंको उनके ग्रनुरूप वर्ताव करना चाहिए ग्रीर जैसे ग्रापने ग्रपनी फौजें सियालकोट में भेज कर फंट खोला था वैसे ही यहां पर भी ग्रपनी ग्रामी भेज कर चीनीयों को वहां से पीछे क्यों नहीं हटाया इसके लिए ग्राप को क्या कहना है ?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: About the first part of the question, I would refer him to the statement I made. In para 2 I have said that in all these areas, they withdrew after short interval. They are not in occupation of any of these areas now. About the

other part, this is a matter of an operational nature and we cannot discuss it. Our strategic approach in this matter is defensive. I think we are very much correct in that.

डा० गाम मनोहर लोहिया (फर्ड बा-बाद): एक तरफ माननीय रक्षा मंत्री का संकल्प है सीमाधों की रक्षा का, दूसरी तरफ़ माननीय प्रधान मंत्री का मंकल्प है कि वह चीन से कुछ हालतों में बात करेंगी तो इस स्थिति में क्या माननीय प्रधान मंत्री और रक्षा मंत्री को पता चला है कि पेकिंग चीन के सबसे बड़े विदेशी दोस्तएडगरस्नों ने बताया है कि शायद पेकिंग चीन कैलाण मानसरोवर और पूर्व बाहिनी ब्रह्मणुत्र के इलाक़ को खाली करने को तैयार हो जाये और इसके बाद धागे शायद तिब्बत को स्वतंत्र करने के लिए भी तैयार हो जाये, उससे भी यह सवाल उठता है और धगर इन दोनों को यह बात पता नहीं है तो क्या वह पता लगाने की कोशिश करेंगे ?

Mr. Speaker: Some minister should answer.

Shri Y. B. Chavan: Certainly we have read the statements made by Edgar Snow in these matters. But these statements must be taken at the face value. It is difficult to depend on these statements and take any polititical steps on them.

डा० राम मनोहर सोहिया : मब इस वक्त मैं प्राप की सदद चाहुंगा । एडगर स्नो पेकिंग चीन के स्व से बड़े विदेशी दोस्त है । माननीय मंत्री को यह शोभा नहीं देता कि इस तरीके का जवाब दें। उन को ऐसे बयानों का घध्ययन करना चाहिए और अध्ययन करके पता लगाना चाहिए । घाखिर यह सरकार किस लिए बनी हुई है । घगर जन्होंने यह बयान पड़े विना यूही कह दिया हो तब तो और बात है। धव अगर पता नहीं है तो माननीय प्रधान मंत्री से धाप पूछवायें।

592

अध्यक्ष महादर्गः अब आप सुझाव दे दिया है कि अगर उन्हें पता न हो तो उसको पढ़ें अध्ययन करें और उसका पता लगायें अब और आप क्या चाहते हैं?

डा० राम मनेहर लोहिया : प्रधान मंत्री बैठे हुए हैं उन से पूछ सकते हैं। प्रव देखिये यह पूरानी बात हो जाती है। एउगर स्नो की बात छप चुकी है और मैं ध्यान खींच चुका है उन का तो इस स्थित में जब प्रोर सब बातें हो जाती हैं तो इस पर भी उनका ध्यान जाना च हिए, खास कर प्रवान मंत्री का

स्रव्यक्त सहोतय: श्रापने सवाल किया और कुछ वाक्यात बतायश्रीर कुछ उनकोण-मेशन दी और उन से पूछा कि क्या उनको इस क्यान का पता है और श्रापर नहीं पता है तो क्या उसका पता लगाने की कोशिश करेंगे तो उसका जवाब तो साफ़ श्रा जाता है जब श्रापने कहा है कि जरूर गवर्नमेंट उसका पता लगाये और श्रापर उनको पता न हो गे। श्रव उस का मैं और क्या जवाब बूं था उन से श्राप को बिलवाऊं। उन्होंने कहा कि वह जो। बयान है वह हमारे नोटिस में श्राया है मगर उन की फेस वैलयू जितनी है उतनी देनी वाहिए उस से अग्र देनी नहीं चाहिए। मैं इस से ज्यादा और क्या पूछ सकता हुं?

बा॰ रान मनोहर लोहिया: प्रगर उन्होंने यह कहा होता कि हमें इस बपान का पता नहीं है, प्रांगे पता लगायेंगे, तो मेरा सवाल बहां पर कक जाता, लेकिन उन्होंने यह नहीं कहा उन्होंने यह नहीं कहा उन्होंने कहा कि उनको स्थान का पता है लेकिन जैसे उस को रही की टोकरी में फेंक विया—उससे कोई मतलब नहीं है, इसलिए मैं प्राप्ती मदद बाहता हूं। मतियों का इस तरह का खब देश के हित में बड़ा खतरनाक है। अगर उन्होंने बयान पढ़ा, तो उन को कार्यवाही करनी बाहिए थी। प्राखिर एडगर स्तो कोई मामली प्राप्ती नहीं है।

चन्यक महोदव : मैं ने मुन लिया है च ब माननीय सदस्य बैठ जाएं।

Shrimati Vijay Lakshmi Pandit (Phulpur); Sir, the article referred मनोहर लॉहिया : (Wie राम बद्धा बोली भानजी की जगह).... to by the hon. Member is two years old, and since that article was written I have had the opportunity of meeting Mr Edgar Snow at least four occasions-three I am sure of I think, possibly four-and, therefore, one cannot say that what was written in that article is the exact view that Mr. Edgar Snow holds today.

हा० राज मनोहर लोडिस : मन यह मामला बढ़ गरा है अध्यक्त महोदय,....

व्यष्यका अन्दोदयः घव मैं घागे नहीं जा सकता हुं।

डा॰ राम मनोहर तोहिया : प्रध्यव महोदय,....

स्राप्यक्त महोदय : इस बारे में बहस नहीं चल सकती है ।

डा० राम मनं।हर लोहिया: मध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरी बात सन लीजिए।

स्राप्तक महोदय में ने श्री चौधरी को बुलाया है।

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri (Rerhampur): The hon. Prime Minister said that she would be prepared to open negotiations with China when the appropriate conditions for such negotiations came about. May I know what are those conditions and whether those conditions are still circumscribed by what are known as the Colombo proposals?

डा॰ राम मनं हर नं हिया : बुमा जी को बोस सेने दीजिये ।

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: Sir, all that I meant was that we obviously have to look to India's interest first; we will not have talks in any circumstances where our position is compromised in any way.

श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाय (देवास): श्रध्यक्ष महोदय, श्राप का निर्णय है कि हर एक पार्टी से एक एक व्यक्ति को बुलाया जायेगा। श्राप ने एक दल के दो व्यक्तियों को बुलाया है, लेकिन हमें नहीं बलाया है।

सध्यक्ष महोदय : क्या श्री बड़े स्नाप की पार्टी के नहीं है ?

श्री हुकम चन्द कछवायः श्री यशपाल सिंह ने भी सवाल पूछा है भौर डा० नोहियाने भी पूछा है। ये दोनों एक ही दल के व्यक्ति हैं।

चान्यका महोदय : श्री यशपाल सिंह बिल्कुल म्रलाहिदा व्यक्ति है ।

भी हुकम चन्द कछवाय: मध्यक्ष महोदय, भ्राप ऐसा कह कर इसको टाल नहीं सकते हैं।

12.44 hrs.

STATEMENT RE: CIRCUMSTANCES OF SHRI LAL BAHADUR SHASTRI'S DEATH

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): With your permission, Sir, I might lay this copy of the statement on the Table of the House—it is a two-page long statement.

Mr. Speaker: All right.

Shri Swaran Singh: Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a statement on the circumstances under which Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri died.

Some hon. Members: It is an important statement. It may be read.

Mr. Speaker: We have already taken too long. The statement will be circulated among Members and if hon. Members want something on that they can give notice of it.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): Sir, I'rise on a point of information. You are aware, and the

House is aware. that at the of this tragic event in distant Tashkent, there were press reports which were clear and incomplete. know whether the statement includes facts regarding the failure to provide an attendant or a nurse just side the bed room of the heart patient and, secondly, whether doctor had an oxygen tube oxygen cylinder ready with him. I want to know whether all these things are included in the statement. If they are not included in it, then he should make a supplementary statement.

Mr. Speaker: First the statement might be read. Afterwards, these questions will arise.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: If the statement contains all the information, I have nothing more to say. If it does not contain them, he should make a supplementary statement.

Mr Speaker: First the statement might be read by the Members.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It should be circulated.

Mr. Speaker: Yes.

Shri Bade rose-

Mr. Speaker: Let the Members go through the statement. Then they will know what it contains.

Shri Bade (Khargone): Then, will we be allowed to ask questions?

Mr. Speaker: I will see.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Asad (Bhagalpur): I submit that this statement should be read in the House. We want to know how the late Prime Minister died. It is not an ordinary matter. As it is a very important matter, the statement should be read in the House.

Mr. Speaker: The statement shall be circulated. Then, if it is the desire of hon. Members, I will allow them to ask questions.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Asad: It should be read in the House

भी हुकम क्षत्र कछवाय: (देवास) जब सारा हाउस वाहता है कि इस वनतव्य को पढ़ा जाये, तो फिर भ्रापको क्या भ्रापत्ति है।

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: We will sit half an hour longer to make up for it.

श्रध्यक्ष महोबय: जब तीन चार माननीय सदस्य एक ही वक्त बोलना गुरू कर दें, तो मैं कैसे समझ सकता हूं कि मैं क्या करूं और क्या न करूं? मैंने मेम्बर साहबान को बार—बार दरक्वास्त की है कि तीन चार माननीय सदस्य एक ही वक्त बोलना गुरू न कर दें।

Is it the desire of the House that the statement should be read?

Several hon, Members: Yes.

Mr. Speaker: All right. Let it be read.

Shri Swaran Singh: Sir, the Government would like to take this opportunity of making a statement on the death of Prime Minister Shastri.

- 2. The late Prime Minister was accommodated in a villa specially provided for his use by the U.S.R. Government. With him in the villa were the following members of his personal staff:
 - (a) Dr. R. N. Chugh—Medical Officer.
 - (b) Shri R. Kapur—Security— Officer.
 - (c) Shri J. N. Sahai—Private Secretary.
 - (d) Shri M. M. N. Sharma— Personal Assistant.
 - (e) Shri Ram Nath—Personal Attendant.

This villa was about 250 yards from the Intourist Hotel where the other members of the Indian Delegation were lodged. The room adjoining the Prime Minister's suit was allotted to the Medical Officer and the Security Officer. Other members of the party occupied the remaining accommodation in the villa.

- 3. Apart from the two telephones for internal and international calls fitted in the P.Ms.' suite, there was also a third telephone with a buzzer which could be activated by simply lifting the receiver. This instrument was available for the P.Ms' use to call any member of his personal staff or the doctor in case of need.
- 4. On 10-1-1966, the late Prime Minister returned to his villa a little after 10 P.M. after attending a Reception given by Mr. Kosygin, Chairman of the USSR Council of Ministers. He retired immediately to his suite and was served dinner there by Shri Ram Nath, his personal attendant at about 10.30 P.M. little later, the P.M. allowed himself to be photographed by two Indian newsreel cameramen from outside his window. Thereafter, he spoke on the telephone with Delhi to members of his family. At 30 minutes past midnight, the P.M. asked Shri Ram Nath to go and have his food. Shri Ram Nath then put out the lights and went to the adjoining room where he and other members of the staff were attending to the packing of their luggage.
- 5. At about 1.20 A.M. while P.M's personal staff were packing the baggage for the morning flight (11th January) they saw the late P.M. at the door of their room. The P.M. paused a while and asked for the doctor. Shri Kapur and Shri Sharma hurried forward and escorted P.M. to his room and Shri Sahai woke up Dr. Chugh who immediately rushed to the P.M.'s room. Dr. Chugh started examining the P.M., and while doing so, he asked Shri Sahai, P.S. to P.M., to call local doctors also. This was done done immediately through the Soviet Security Officer on duty. By 1:32 A.M. the P.M. had lost consciousness, the pulse had disappeared. the heart tone could no longer be-

[Shri Swaran Singh]

heard, and breathing had stopped. Efforts by Dr. Chugh to revive the P.M. through an intramus:ular injection, artificial respiration and massage proved fruitless. The team of Soviet doctors who arrived on the scene within minutes at the personal bidding of Mr. Kosygin were also unable to revive him. In spite of excellent medical facilities, therefore, it was not possible to save the life of the late Prime Minister.

- 6. A copy of the medical report of Dr. Chugh and the Soviet medical team is laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-5449/66].
- 7. All during his stay in Tashkent the late Prime Minister appeared to be in good health. My colleague, the Defence Minister and I as well as other members of the Delegation were constantly with him for long periods every day. He was cheerful and relaxed. His daily schedule of work was less strenuous than it was in Delhi, and, at no time did he either complain of being unwell, nor did he, in fact, show any signs of fatigue or strain.
- 8. The physical arrangements for the late Prime Minister's stay in Tashkent were made in consultation with and under the supervision of our Ambassador in Moscow. Everything that could possibly have been done for the comfort and convenience of the late P. M. was done by the Government of the U.S.S.R.
- 9. I take this opportunity of reiterating our thanks and appreciation to the Government of the U.S.S.R.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: On a point of clarification now, Sir. My doubts and fears have been completely borne out by the statement read out by the External Affairs Minister. It is completely silent on three matters. Firstly, knowning that he had a serious heart ailment, why was it that no nurse or attendant was kept in attendance, and made to stay on duty all the night either inside the bedroom or just

outside on the threshhold of the bedroom; secondly, why was it that, when the entire Soviet team, so efficient and so hardworking, were called came with all the equipment necessary for the purpose including the oxygen cylinder and other things, our doctors did not have anything ready on the spot for the purpose; and, lastly, according to the papers, here the late Prime Minister used to be examined medically or had a check-up every other day or so, I am told, during the last few months, why was it that during those ten days in Tashkent there was no medical or physical check-up at all of the Prime Minister? The Minister has stated that the schedule at Tashkent was even less strenuous than in Delhi. I do not know how this is to be believed. These points should be clarified.

Shri U. M. Trivedi (Mandsaur): What surprises me is this—and this is in conflict with the reports that reached us as soon as the late Prime Minister died—that the statement read out by the hon. External Affairs Minister today indicates that it is not that the Prime Minister himself gave any alarm but that the men who were packing up things noticed the Prime Minister at the window.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty (Barrackpore): No.

Mr. Speaker: The Prime Minister went out.

Shri U. M Trivedi: That is what I am coming to. The Prime Minister himself came out at 1.20 when they were just packing up their things for proceeding to India on the morning of the 11th. This was the statement, if I remember aright. In this statement there is no indication whether the buzzer was sounded by the late Prime Minister to wake up the officer concerned who was in attendance on him. I would like to have this explanation as to whether the buzzer worked at all or not or whether the alarm was raised or not

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: He himself had to come out

Shri Bhagwat Jha Asad: When the Government was aware of the heart trouble of the late Prime Minister, I want to know whether Government supplied any medical history to the Soviet Government, the host government, and whether in spite of supplying that no arrangements like keeping oxygen at hand and other things were made.

भी प्रकाशकीर शास्त्री (विजन्तेर):
क्या पह सही है कि स्वर्गीय प्रधान मंत्री
श्री लाल बहादुर शास्त्री प्रपनी ताशकंद यात्रा
के दौरान जिस कमरे में सोया करते थे,
श्रांतिम दिन जिस दिन उनका देहाबसान
हुआ, वह उस कमरे में न थे किसी दूसरे
कमरे में उनके सोने की स्यवस्था की गई
भी?

क्या यह भी सही है कि उनका भीजन बनाने के लिए जो रसोइया यहां से गया था केवल वही उनका भोजन बनाने का काम करता था या मास्को स्थित हमारे राजदूत का रसोइया भी जो कि मौलाना घाषाद का रसोइया हुंग्रा करता था वह भी उस में सम्मिलत था ?

क्या यह भी सही है कि जिस समय टा॰ चुग प्रधान मंत्री के भरीर के पास पहुँचे तो उससे पहले ही उनके प्राण निकल चुके थे और टा॰ चुग ने उनके पास झाक्सीजन न होने के कारण प्रपने मुंह से उनके मुंह में हवा फूंकी और उनके भव के उसर ही केवल चंक्कलन नगाये, उस समय चंजकले लगाये जबकि उनका भरीर पूरा हो चुका था ?

भी सम् लिसये (मुंबेर) : एक बात की सफाई नहीं हुई है। क्या शास्त्री जी ने शान्तिपूर्ण ढेंग से नींद ने ली भी या वह अस्वस्थ बे ? उसी से उनके मन की उस बक्त जो स्थिति भी उसका पता चल जायेगा। क्या बिदेश मेंत्री की के पास इस बात की कोई जानकारी है कि उनके नौकरों ने अब दीया बुझा दिया तो उसके बाद क्या वह भ्राराम से सोये ये या चितित वे भ्रौर उनकी मन स्थिति कुछा ची ?

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): I want to know whether it is a fact that the wife of the late Shastriji, Shrimati Lalita Shastri, said that had she beem there she would not have allowed Shastriji to work beyond some hour. That was being observed by Shastriji here. If this was correct, I would like to know why Dr. Chugh, who accompanied Lal Bahadur Shastriji, allowed him to work beyond those hours and whether he examined him every day. This was the third heart attack.

Shri Hem Barua 108e-

Mr. Speaker; Shri Kamath has already asked questions from Shri Hem Barua's party. I shall allow only one Member from each Party.

Shri Krishnapal Singh (Jalesar):
The late Prime Minister was a heart
patient. Was he examined after his
return from the party which he
attended? I think, it was necessary
that he should have been examined
before he went out to attend conferences and parties and after he returned from there.

भी रभूनाथ सिंह (वाराणसी) : मैं यह जानना बाहता हूँ कि डिफेंस मिनिस्टर भीर एक्सटर्नल एफैयर्ज मिनिस्टर साहब झाझ जहादुर वी के देहान्त के बाद पहुँचे या पहले पहुँचे थे ?

- **सम्बक्त महोदय**ः मिनिस्टर साहब जयाद दे दें ।

बी ख॰ प्र॰ सर्वा (बक्सर) : मैं एक छोटा सा सवाल पूछना बाहता हूँ । वह कहा गया है कि उनको दरबाजे पर धाना

[স্বীম০ স০ লছা]

पड़ा था । यह भी कहा गया है कि उनके पास इंटरनल टेलोफोन था, भ्राटोमेटिक टेलोफोन था । जब भ्राटोमेटिक टेलोफोन था तो क्या उसको भी एग्जेमिन किया गया है कि वह खराब तो नहीं हो गया था जिस की बैजह से उनको दरवाजे पर भाना पड़ा ?

Death of

Shri Hem Barua (Gauhati): On a point of order, Sir. In my case you said that there could be only one Member from one Party who could ask a question, but here two Members off the Congress Party have put a question each.

Shri Swaran Singh: Shri Kamath has put three questions. I would say that the arrangement had been made for his personal attendant, Shri Ram Nath, to stay in the adjoining room with a buzzer connection which at once was activated as soon as the receiver was lifted and, at the same time, this buzzer also started buzzing in the doctor's room and in his assistant's room. As to whether he slept outside the room, we have seen those rooms. The rooms were cosy and small rooms, not very large-size rooms and whether it is outside the door on the one side or on the other side would not make any difference because it is not like a person sleeping outside the room as the climate there is such that no one could sleep outside.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Why had he to come out if the burzer was working?

Shri Swaran Singh: It is a little painful for us who watched that—I and my colleague, Shri Chavan—really to be cross-examined like that. We ourselves were so moved and so anxious to find out that everything had been done or not and we were fully satisfied on that issue.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You are satisfied, but.....

Shri Swaran Singh: The buzzer, telephone and all that were in absolute working order.

Mr. Speaker: One question that is very pertinent is whether after that it was ascertained that the buzzer was in order and whether the Prime Minister had to come out himself because the buzzer could not work.

13 hrs

Shri Swaran Singh: It is a very important question. It was tested and it was in working order. It was for the Prime Minister to decide what he did and, knowing as we do his habita, he did not want to trouble people and he probably thought that he would...

भी रामेश्वरानंस्य (करताल) : श्रध्यक्ष महोदय, क्या यह जानने का यस्त किया गया कि वह द्वार में बाहर क्यों श्राये ?

Shri Swaran Singh: ...go out and call somebody rather than use the buzzer. We cannot decide as to why he chose one or the other course. He could do either of the two things. In this case, he did not use the buzzer and he actually came out of the room.

About equipment, it is a technical question and the Doctor and the Health Minister have assured us that all the necessary equipment was available with the Doctor.

About the medical examination, I know it personally that Dr. Chugh used to examine him everyday and very often more than once, I can say that Dr. Chugh, apart from being a very good doctor professionally, was himself very much attached to Shastriji and he was also very careful to be present wherever the Prime Minister went. He always remained somewhere near about him in spite of the fact that Shastriji, on many occasions, insisted that these doctors are much too fussy and that they should not pester him.... (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Let him answer first.

603

Shri Swaran Singh: Dr. Chugh did cooked the food or he advised others, examine him everyday and there was no slackness on his part. As regards Mr. Trivedi's question about the buzber, I have already answered it.

Mr. Bhagwat Jha Azad put the question whether the history of the state of health of Shastriji was communicated to the U.S.S.R. doctors. I may say that our doctor was there and there was also a Soviet doctor who was in charge of that area, I had a personal experience myself. I myself got a little unwell and immediately not only Dr. Chugh but also the other doctor came in a matter of minutes. Dr. Chugh may have himself communicated his requirements I do not know.....

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: He says, 'may have.'

Shri Swaran Singh: But Dr. Chugh himself had all the necessary equipment which was necessary to meet an emergency in a case like this.

Another question put by Mr. Prakash Vir Shastri was whether the late Prime Minister stept in a different room than the one which he normally occupied. My reply to this is 'No'. He slept that night in the same room in which he used to sleep normally.

With regard to his kitchen arrangements, there were the Soviet workers also who looked after the arrangements because not only the Minister alone but on several occasions others whom he wanted to invite had to be served. So, there were the arrangements principally organised by the U.S.S.R. Government, and very fine arrangements had been made. To supplement for the special requirements of the Prime Minister and to cater to his special tastes and the simple type of food that he generally preferred, his own personal attendant, Shri Ram Nath, who was his valet, that is, to look after his comfort, also combined in himself the functions of a cook. As to whether he himself

obted the food or he advised others, I cannot say. But whenever we went there, he was there serving and bringing the things. There was also a cook of the Indian Embassy who was tent for because it was considered necessary that there should be an additional help to him. So there were two Indian cooks working in the kitchen besides the Soviet cooks and the Soviet workers.

As to what was the condition of the Prime Minister when Dr. Chugh had arrived at the scene, that is contained in the statement of Dr. Chugh, a copy of which is laid on the Table of the House.

Then, Mr. Madhu Limaye put the question as to whether he slept normally or whether he was worried. I would like to share the information that so far as the Tashkent Declaration is concerned, that had been finalised on the night between 9th and 10th. The Declaration was actually signed on the 10th at 4 P.M. at a ceremony where the leaders, the press people and a large number of other important dignitaries were present. Throughout that day, Shastriji was feeling very relaxed. He had a conversation with me and my colleague Shri Chavan on a number of matters. In fact, it was during these talks that he said that we had very valiantly fought for defending our country and we had to fight equally valiantly for defending peace. That day, I think, he also went to some museum and saw something. The point that I want to place before this House and the country is that on the 10th throughout that day, there was nothing that he was required to attend to or think about in relation to the Tashkent Declaration which had been finalised during the night between 9th and 10th Others were working on it. For instance, I had to look at the draft to see that it was in accordance , with what had been agreed upon. But he himself was very relaxed and he felt happy and there was no pressure of any type on his mind He was not at all worried. That was the specific question

Shri Maurya (Aligarh): What had he been doing after 4 P.M. on that day?

Shri Swaran Singh: If you put a question, I will answer it. Please do not do it like that. Let me finish my reply. I will answer it. There is nothing that I want to hide. what he was doing after signing the Agreement that day, we returned to our place and, I think, my colleague, Shri Chavan actually came in the same car with him. He had nothing particularly to do that afternoon except that he attended a reception which was attended by several people. There were our own press people; there were the Soviet hosts; there were the Pakistani leaders. There was some cultural programme also and all of us who had the honour of being with him at that time watched him and we felt that he was feeling very relaxed. He was having talks with every person, with our press people, with the foreign press people, and also with President Ayub and Prime Minister Kosygin and other Ministers, and also with Chairman of the Council of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan.

I am mentioning all these things to indicate that there was no pressure of work, there was no weight on his chest and there was no worry to him. He was feeling very relaxed before signing the Agreement and after signing the Agreement. I think, this covers all the points that have been raised.

Shri Maurya: Was he examined between 4 P.M. and 11 P.M.? (Interruption)

Mr. Speaker: There is one thing more. The hon, Minister said that our doctor had all the necessary equipment. But the particular question asked was whether he was equipped with oxygen also.

The Minister of Health and Family Planning (Dr. Sushila Nayar); They did have oxygen also. Shri Raghunath Singh: He has not replied to my question.

Mr. Speaker: They say, they had oxygen also.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It is a fabrication. I am sorry to say that. No paper said that oxygen was available with our doctor at that time. It is an unabashed fabrication

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया : (फर्रबा-बाद) : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, प्राप मेहरबानो करके इस पर ध्यान दीजिये कि विदेस मेंबी जो ने उत्तर नहीं दिया कि शास्त्री जी बिस्तर पर लेट ही सके ये या सो भी सके थे । प्रगर उन के पास इलला नहीं है तो वह कह दें कि हमारे पास इलला नहीं है । उन्होंने बाबी धनुमान बतलाया कि वह दिन भर जगे वे इसलिये सोये होंगे ।

ब्राध्यक्ष महोदय : क्या इस की ची इन्फार्मेशन कोई है कि वह रात में सो सके थे या उन्होंने कोई शिकायत की

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया : किनायब नहीं । मेरा सवाल यह नहीं था ।

Shri Swaran Singh: It is mentioned in the medical report of doctors including Dr. Chugh. It is mentioned there that he went to bed at about 12-30 A.M. (mid-night).

Mr. Speaker: The question is whether he could sleep or not. This is what Dr. Lohia says.

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : मेहे सवाड का तो जवाब ही नहीं दिया गया ।

भ्रध्यक्ष महोबयः वह कहते हैं कि उन को पता नहीं है ।

मैं भ्राप से कहूँगा कि इस तरह ठीक नहीं है। जो कुछ जवाब वह दे सकते वे वह दे दिया। भ्रव उसको लिए चले जाना, बहुत ज्यादा बींचना ठीक नहीं है। (व्यश्वाक) 607 Public Undertakings MAGHA 27, 1887 (SAKA) Patents Bill (Exten- 608 Comm. (Election)

Shri Raghunath Singh: My question is very simple.

Several hon, Members rose-

Mr. Speaker: I have asked the members to sit down. Whosoever speaks now will not go on record. (Interruption) *

भी रघनाथ सिंह : हमारा सवाल बहुत बिम्पिल है कि डिफेंस मिनिस्टर साहब कब पहुँचे, लाल बहादूर शास्त्री जी के देहान्त के बाद पहेंचे या कब पहेंचे ?

Shri Swaran Singh: We reached there as soon as we received the telephonic message. Both I and my colleague, Shri Chavan, reached the spot immediately. He was absolutely unconscious at that time. doctors said that they were working on him. They were doing their best. You should imagine the impact that would have been on our mind rather than putting this type of question (Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: I will request the hon. Members to sit silently.

Mr. D. N. Tiwary:

13.11 hrs.

ELECTION TO COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC UNDERTAKINGS

Shri D. N. Tiwary (Gopalganj): I beg to move:

"That the members of this House do proceed to elect in the manner required by sub-rule (3) of Rule 254 read with sub-rule (1) of Rule 312B of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Businness in Lok Sabha, two members from among themselves to serve as members of the Committee on Public Undertakings for the unexpired term of the Committee, vice Shri Panampilli Govinda Menon ceased to be a member of

sion of time for J. C. Report

the Committee on his appointment as a Minister and Shri Harish Chandra Mathur resigned from the Committee."

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That the members of this House do proceed to elect in the manner required by sub-rule (3) of Rule 254 read with sub-rule (1) of Rule 312B of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Businness in Lok Sabha, two members from among themselves to serve as members of the Committee on Public Undertakings for the unexpired term of the Committee, vice Shri Panampilli Govinda Menon ceased to be a member of the Committee on his appointment as a Minister and Shri Harish Chandra Mathur resigned from the Committee."

The motion was adopted.

13 13 hrs.

PATENTS BILL.

EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PRESENTATION OF REPORT OF JOINT COMMITTEE

Shri Krishnamoorthy Rao (Shimoga): I beg to move:

"That the time appointed for the presentation of the Report of the Joint Committee on the Bill to amend and consolidate the law relating to patents, be extended upto the first day of the second week of the next session."

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That the time appointed for the presentation of the Report of the Joint Committee on the Bill to amend and consolidate the law relating to patents, be extended upto the first day of the second week of the next session."

The motion was adopted.

^{*}Not recorded.

12.13 hrs.

BUSINESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

FORTY-THIRD REPORT

The Minister of Parliamentary Affairs and Communications (Shri Satya Narayan Sinha): I beg to move:

"That this House agrees with the Forty-third Report of the Business Advisory Committee presented to the House on the 15th February, 1986."

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That this House agrees with the Forty-third Report of the Business Advisory Committee presented to the House on the 15th February, 1966."

The motion was adopted.

13.14 hrs.

INDIAN TARIFF (AMENDMENT)
BILL*

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Manubhai Shah): I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill further to amend the Indian Tariff Act, 1934.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill further to amend the Indian Tariff Act, 1934."

The motion was adopted.

Shri Manubhai Shah: I introducet the Bill.

13.144 hrs.

STATEMENT RE. INDIAN TARIFF (AMENDMENT) ORDINANCES

The Minister of Commerce (Shri Manubhai Shah): I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the explanatory statement giving reasons for immediate legislation by (1) The Indian Tariff (Amendment) Ordinance, 1965, and (2) The Indian Tariff (Amendment) Ordinance, 1966, as required under rule 71(1) of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha.

610

13.15 hrs.

MOTION RE. TASHKENT DECLARA-

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): I beg to move:

"That the Tashkent Declaration be taken into consideration."

Shri U. M. Trivedi (Mandsaur): I would like to rise on a point of order whether this motion is in order.

Mr. Speaker: Only after I have placed the motion before the House, can anything be moved.

Shri Swaran Singh: I must confess that I might have been able to give a little longer statement at this stage, but the insistence on the part of the hon. members to ask questions about the tragic circumstances under which we lost our Prime Minister has brought vividly back to my mind—and I am sure to the mind of my colleague, Shri Chavan, also—the very painful and touching atmosphere when we heard in our own hotel about the sudden illness of our late Prime Minister.

Sir, after this lapse of time and perhaps in an atmosphere which is different, we can look back with perhaps a little critical eye and with a certain objectivity. But we would not be human beings if it were expected that we would be able to face all that with the fortitude that is normally expected from us.

About the Tashkent Declaration, the Prime Minister was good enough to make a statement and a copy of that has been laid on the Table of the House yesterday. A copy of the

*Published in Gazette of India extraordinary, Part II, Section II, dated 16-2-1966.

⁺Introduced with the recommendation of the President.

Declaration has also been Tashkent laid on the Table of the House. A brief statement has also been made giving the salient features of Tashkent Declaration and some information about the follow-up action that has already been initiated and also accomplished between the two countries, India and Pakistan. I will not repeat what is contained in that statement. I would, at this stage, draw attention to only some of the important aspects of the Tashkent Declaration.

Mr. Speaker, Sir, the circumstances and the back-ground in which two Heads of Governments of India and Pakistan, Shri Laj Bahadur Shastri and President Avub Khan, met in Tashkent are well known. The late Prime Minister, Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri, before the House adjourned on the last occasion, himself made a statement about his intention to go to Tashkent and several hon, members belonging to different parties and different groups made some observations on that occasion. He responded the suggestion made by the Chairman of the Council of Ministers of U.S.S.R. to go to Tashkent and he agreed to have discussions with President Ayub Khan, so that the relations between the two neighbouring countries, India and Pakistan, might improve. not for me to take any time on the description of those relations. I can say that, ever since Partition in spite of our best efforts at the governmental level and even at non-governmental level, the relations between the two countries, India and Pakistan, continued to be highly strained and this culminated in a clash of armed forces. India faced the aggression and India valiantly fought to maintain the integrity and sovereignty of the country. Our thought first of all goes, when we talk of this conflict, to the valiant soldiers, airmen and members of the security forces, police others, who fought so bravely maintain the honour and dignity of our country and to repel the aggression. The spontaneous co-operation offered by the civilian population in

actual sustenance of the efforts which had been made by the armed forces and also the psychological impression and feeling of cohesion, of unity, that was created in the country will always remain as the most heartwarming experiences of all of us.

At this stage, it is not my intention to go into the details thereof. When we went to Tashkent, the cease-fire which had been agreed upon by Pakistan and India was very uneasy; here were violations almost day, and tens or dozens of these violations sometimes took place in different sectors in which the Armies were confronting each other. If my memory helps me aright, we have already lodged protests against something like 1600 or 1700 violations of the cease-fire, before the UN Observers; and there were shootings sometimes by civilians and sometimes by Army men. This was the state of affairs even after a formal declaration by the two Governments they had accepted the cease-fire response to the resolution and the appeals issued by the Security Council and the Secretary-General of United Nations.

There was no agreement and there was not even a purposeful discussion about the withdrawal of armed personnel. The two Armies were interlocked. I myself had occasion to go to some of the forward areas, as I am sure many hon, Members of this House must have done; we had soldiers on either side in trenches and the like; facing each other in the fields with desolation all round, and everyone in the grip of tension. This was the state of affairs when we went to Tashkent.

Before going to Tashkent, Prime Minister Lal Bahadur Shastri had taken this august House and the country into confidence about his way of thinking. On the political question, namely about Jammu and Kashmir, he had made a very clear statement in the House and outside that this is an integral part of India, and the sovereignty of

[Shri Swaran Singh]

Jammu and Kashmir is not negotiable . He said that this is our stand on Jammu and Kashmir. I can say without the least hesitation, and great sense of pride, that he stuck steadfast to this position all through these talks in Tashkent, and he did not budge an inch from that stand. He had said when he would go there, if the other side said anything about Kashmir or suggested that this was the Pakistan attitude about Kashmir. he would not run away from that meeting or conference, nor would he say that he was going to shut his ears to that; but he said in his own inimitable way that when any question was raised, he would reiterate the Indian position in unmistakable terms. This was the promise which he redeemed throughout these talks, and this is reflected in Declaration itself. In the Declaration itself it is clearly mentioned that each side reiterated its position on question of Jammu and Kashmir. Therefore, there is no doubt his position was very clearly reiterated.

It is true that Pakistan did not accept our position just as we do not accept their attitude on this, and if I may say so, there was agreement to disagree. This was not left to chance or speculation but was mentioned in the Declaration itself that each side reiterated its respective stand on the question of Jammu and Kashmir.

Shri Tyagi (Dehra Dun): What were their views?

Shri Swaran Singh: On the question of restoration of peace, which was the main objective before Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri when he went there, and about which he made no secret, he very patiently, very gently, but very firmly pursued that line from the very beginning of these talks.

I was glancing through the very clear statement that he had made in the plenery session when this conference opened. As the House is no doubt aware, the conference opened at Tashkent in the presence of Mr. Kosygin. Chairman of the Council of Ministers of the USSR with his other distinguished colleagues, President Khan with his Ministers and senior members of his delegation, and we were also present at that time. It is very important to note that in the very initial stages, Prime Minister Lal Bahadur Shastri clearly spelt out the objectives that were before him when he entered these talks and discussions.

I would like to remind the House about one or two significant passages in the opening speech of Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri. I am referring to this only to show that what he said in the initial stages he really achieved towards the end when the Tashkent Declaration was actually finalised, and some of the ideas which he had projected in the initial stages were actually embodied in one form or the other in the final Declaration itself. I shall not take long over this, and I shall read out only the most important parts of his speech.

One of the things that Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri said in his statement on January 4th, at plenary session was this:

"I know there are many unresolved differences between our two countries. Even between countries with the best of relationship there are differences and even disputes. The question which we have both to face is whether we should think of force as a method of solving them or whether we should decide and declare that force will be used. If other countries, even those with vast resources and much deeper differences, can avoid an armed conflict and live together on the basis of peaceful coexistence should not countries like India and Pakistan whose main problem is the economic betterment of their people give

910

up the idea of solving any problems by recourse to arms?".

I shall not read out the subsequent parts, though they are important, but I shall refer to one other part, which was as follows:

"The foundation of good neighbourly relationship should be, as I have said, the acceptance of the policy of peaceful coexistence. In pursuance of this, action will have to be taken on several fronts."

He even enumerated those fronts. He said:

"For instance, the atmosphere of cold war has to be removed. If through propaganda in the press or by radio, a feeling of animosity or distrust is generated and sustained between the two countries, whatever we as heads of Governments might say, there will always exist the danger of a conflict. Our aim should be to improve the totality of the relationship between the two countries. Our trade has been shrinking: it should grow instead. Many rivers flow between India and Pakistan: instead of being a source of controversy, they could through co-opeative endeavour enrich both our countries. There are many other areas of economic co-operation which given goodwill and understanding can be developed to our mutual advantage.".

I am referring to this in order to show that when Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri went, the immediate objective before him was that of reversing the trend that unfortunately bedevilled the relations between India and Pakistan. Not only was he conscious that without reversing this trend, good relations and good neighbourly relations would not develop and would not be strengthened between the two countries, but he had a positive picture before him of developing and strengthening the economic relations so that the normal relations between

the two countries should develop and prosper and get strengthened.

When he said that, immediately thereafter, being a great realist, he had said:

"In saying all this, I am not trying to suggest that we shut our eyes to the many points of difference that exist between the two countries. I do not want to enumerate them. But what I do say, however, is that all these problems must be resolved through talks and negotiations and by resort to force. An armed conflict creates more problems than it solves. It is an impediment understanding and agreement. On the other hand, in an atmosphere of peace, we can make real progress towards solving the differences between us.".

I am sorry I quoted at length, but i could not find better words really in support of the basic philosophy behind the Tashkent agreement than the words of our late Prime Minister Shri Lai Bahadur Shastri. These words he did not utter after the finalisation of the agreement, but they were something prophetic in the opening address that he made. All the essential ingredients of the Tashkent Declaration are embodied in these sentiments which were so vividly and so touchingly expressed by Shri Lai Bahadur Shastri in his opening speech.

If this Tashkent Declaration is examined against that background, I am sure that every section of this hon. House and I hope our countrymen at large-will be convinced that Lal Bahadur Shastri went to Tashkent with certain clear objectives before him; and we are very happy and very proud, in retrospect, to recall that he succeeded in a very large measure in reversing the trends that existed between the two countries and generating an atmosphere of peace and in stabilising peace between our two countries. I am a realist enough. having been involved in these Indo-Pakistan problems ever since partition took place and Pakistan was [Shri Swaran Singh]

created as a separate country: I myself had to deal with various problems. very painful problems, even when I was in Punjab, the huge problems that were created by migration of people. division of assets and the like and all the tensions that got built up. It is very easy for people sitting and taking a very theoretical view and trying to scrutinise each and every word and attempting to point out that an 'i' could be dotted or a "t' could be crossed, but it is easily forgotten that if the objective to be achieved is the reversal of the unfortunate trends, has to be done on a somewhat reciprocal basis. It was alen objective, which he did not at any time hide, that 'while sticking to my basic stand, while sticking to realisation of the basic objective, am flexible enough to see the viewpoint of the other party also', because he was not a person who would like to adopt an attitude where at end he could say, 'I have turned down all the points that were suggested by the other side and so I have achieved all that I wanted to'. That was not the spirit in which he entered these discussions.

I am mentioning this because it is very easy to criticise these things. If I alone were the author of that document, if only an Indian representative had had to draft this Declaration, its language could be different, its content could perhaps be stronger. But let us always remember that this was a document which was evolved as a result of very elaborate discussion, and the attitude on our side-I will be quite frank in saying thatwas not to take a rigid stand. were fully aware-I and my colleague, Shri Chavan, who were assisting our late Prime Minister, Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri, assisted by our advisers-we were always conscious of, and kept before us, the basic objective. Sticking to our basic objective, we did not want to take such a rigid attitude that no option was left to the other side

except to say 'no'. Also, we were anxious to achieve a solution which should be broadly acceptable not only to the two Governments or the heads of government but to the people of India and to the people of Pakistan.

Therefore, I would beg of this hon. House to scrutinise this agreement against that background also. It is not a document of which I alone am the author. It is a compromise document. You may find that there are adjustments at several occasions, adjustments which we very carefully scrutinised to meet the viewpoint of the other side. Our objective was...

भी रामेडबरानन्द (करनाल) : प्राप कहीं भी चले जाइये, प्राप को पता चल जायेगा कि भारतीय जनता इस से सहमत नहीं है।

श्री स्वर्ण सिंह : यह जरा पेंचदार बात है। स्वामी जी इस को समझने की कोशिया करें।

श्री रामेश्वरानन्व: ग्राप ने सुरक्का परिषद् के कहने से नहीं माना और वहां जा कर मान लिया । इस पेंचदार बात को ग्राप नहीं समझते ।

Shri Swaran Singh: I did not vield.

क्षच्यक महोदयः यह कोई कायदा नहीं है कि इस तरह बीच में बोला जाये। जब स्वामी जी की बारी प्रायं, तो वह भ्रपनी बात कहें।

भी रानेश्वरानम्बः इस तरह से जनता का नाम लेना उचित नहीं है।

Shri Swaran Singh: I was submitting that there are portions in this document which can be regarded as compromise proposals or proposals which are the result of a compromise between two different viewpoints. In fact, I am happy that we were able to achieve this agreement in which either side, when they could go back to their country, could project to their own people that this is something in which there is no defeat for any party, but there is this gain because both sides have gained peace. Our efforts, on both sides, have to be directed to glabilise and strengthen that peace and to give real content to that concept of peace which is the king-pin of the Tashkent Declaration.

Having said that, I would now like to mention some of the points which have been worrying certain hon. Members of this House and even people outside. Before doing that, I would very humbly urge, and very earnestly appeal, to, all sections of the House and my countrymen at large not to view this as a party issue. This is a national issue and we have to look to the interests of the country as a whole to the interests of the people as a whole. I would appeal to hon. Members not to make political capital of it but to view it as an issue which concerns all of us.

Shri Maurya (Aligarh): That is your job; making political capital is your job. Say boldly that you want partition.

Shri Swaran Singh: One of the points of criticism in the statements of some hon. Members, in the press and elsewhere, is about the withdrawals. On this, I would not like to say much. I would only draw attention of the House to the stand that the late Prime Minister, Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri, had taken when he was approached by the UN Secretary-General for a cease-fire and for withdrawals. In response to that, Lal Bahadur Shastri in his letter to the UN Secretary-General of 14 September 1965 had stated this-a copy of this letter has already been placed on the Table of the House and Lal Bahadur Shastri also made a statement here-

"Let me make it perfectly clear, Mr. Secretary-General, that when consequent upon the cease-fire becoming effective further details are considered, we shall not agree to any disposition which will leave the door open for further infiltrations or prevent us from dealing with the infiltrations that have taken place".

This was the criterion that he had enunciated. This he had repeated in different forms in the House, in the other place and also in his statements to the press. We have to examine whether the Tashkent Declaration, judged in the light of these statements answers some of the doubts that have been raised.

May be, these doubts have been raised about the wisdom or propriety of the withdrawals of the armed forces without appreciating various aspects. In this connection, without going into details, I would mention three salient points. In the Tashkent Declaration there is the agreement signed by the two heads of government that they will not have recourse to the use of force for settlement of any dispute between the two countries. Secondly, they have agreed that there will be non-interference in the internal affairs of each other. Thirdly, that in the Jammu and Kashmir State ceasefire terms on the cessefire line will be observed. Now, if these three conditions are faithfully carried out by each side, the basic condition that Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri had made when he wrote to the Secretary-General, which he repeated in different forms in the House and outside, is satisfied. I claim that these three conditions fully answer any doubt that may arise in the mind of any hon, Member here.

Shri Mauriya: What about infiltrators?

Shri Swaran Singh: Sending of infiltrators, armed infiltrators, as was done by Pakistan and under their inspiration and guidanes, when they sent people in this manner into the 1 100,,,,,,,,,

[Shri Swaran Singh]

State of Jammu and Kashmir, that was obviously use of force. What else is use of force if sending of armed infiltrators into another territory is not use of force? This is obviously use of force.

भी तुकम चन्द कड़वाय : संख्या सही है। संख्या गलत नहीं है।

Mr. Speaker: Let him finish his speech.

Shri Swaran Singh: Observance of the cease-fire terms on the cease-fire line is another important thing. Then non-interference in internal affairs. I am conscious. I know that some of the doubts that have been raised in the minds of the hon. Members and other persons with the best of intentions-I do not say anything against any individual-may be due to some of the interpretations which have been put in an onesided manner by commentators or sometimes even by public men, even Ministers of Pakistan, but it will be a very unsatisfactory state of affairs if we are deflected from an objective interpretation of something which is in writing, and if we get excited about the onesided interpretation that might be put on any side. The provision on the other obvious course that is open to us at that time is to state clearly that their interpretation is incorrect, and this we have taken care to clarify on various occasions. That is why I am saying that our interpretation, which is the borne out by the text and by back-ground and by the circumstances, is quite clear and quite unambiguous that these three conditions definitely take care of infiltrators. I would like to add one thing more.

और पुरुष करव कछवाय : पाकिस्तान ने क्या गांटी दी है कि वह इनफिल्टियं नहीं सेबेगा?

Shri Swaran Singh: I was saying that the three conditions that are embodied in the declaration provide fully the necessary guarantees, the

necessary agreement, and this definitely covers the infiltrators.

I would like to remind the hon. House that even Pakistan does not claim that they have the right to send infiltrators. They have never owned any responsibility for the infiltrators. We have always tried to pin down the responsibility on them. It is something which is not even claimed by them that by this agreement they have the right to send infiltrators. So, why should we say something which is not even suggested or claimed by them? It is quite obvious that non-use force, observance of the cease-fire non-interference in affairs, these three are very important points, and this is the real basis for our interpretation, which is borne out by the background and the circumstances, that infiltrators are covered.

About withdrawals, the occupation of Kargil Haji Pir and Tithwal, as was stated by my colleague, Shri Chavan in the House and also by Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri on several occasions, was necessitated by the military situation that faced us. Here were these large number of armed infiltrain. We approached tors coming Pakistan that they should own responsibility and withdraw them. They did neither of the two. We had, therefore, to defend our territory, and to prevent infiltration we moved to these places. We went to Kargil because we had to protect our lines of communication to the Ladakh area. All those actions had been taken with the object of safeguarding our integrity, safeguarding our sovereignty over areas, and therefore, after these threeconditions have been agreed uponthat cease-fire terms on the cease-fireline will be observed; non-use of force, which, I have said, covers infiltrators; and non-interference in affairs-our continuance in these areas was a question about which we did not take a decision lightly. We were faced with this position. Interruptions).

घष्पक महोदय: यह कोई कायदा नहीं है कि इस तरह से इंटरप्ट करते झाप चले जार्ये । भाषको भी बारी भाएगी भौर तब श्राप किटिसाइज कर सकते हैं।

Tashkent

भी हरूम चन्द कछवाय : ग्रीर चस रहे हैं। जो पहले से पाकिस्तानी वृसे हुए हैं क्या वे बले गये हैं ?

ध्रध्यक्ष महोदय : ध्राप क्या बाहते हैं कि मिनिस्टर साहब स्टेटमेंट घपना न करें? यह कोई कायदा नहीं है कि झाप दर्म्यान में बराबर बोलते चले जायें।

भी बडे (खारगीन) इसका कारण यह है कि

ध्रध्यक्ष महोदय : कारण कुछ भी हो, जब प्रापको बारी ग्राए तब ग्राप जो कहना चाहें कह सकते हैं।

भी बड़े: देश को मनः स्थिति को बो देखना चाहिये

धाष्यका महोदय : देश की मनः स्थिति भाप नही बतायेंगे तो कौन बतायेगा । भाप किस लिए हैं ? यह नहीं हो सकता है कि श्राप साथ साथ कमेंट करते चले जायें। न्त्रापका क्या मतलब है कि मिनिस्टर साहब वही कहें जो धाप चाहते हैं धौर उनका केस जो है वह हाउस के सामने भाए ही नहीं? **'भापकी जब बारी भाए तो जितना भाप** किटिसाइज करना चाहें कर सकते हैं।

भी भीवें: मझे गम्भीर एतराज चापके ऊपर है।

ग्राध्यक्ष महोदय: भाप बैठ जाइये ।

भी भौर्य: मैं बैठ जाता हूं। लेकिन आपके क्रपर मझे गम्भीर एतराज है।

सम्बक्त महोदय : बेशक हो, माप बैठ जाहरे ।

भी राम सहाय पाण्डेय (गना) : इन्होंने कहा है कि इनको भाष पर सम्भार एतराज है

श्रम्यक महोदय : वह तो हब्देश रहेगा । माप कैसे दूर कर सकते ?

भी राम सहाय पाण्डेय : इसको रिकार्ड वे एक्सपॅंज कर देना चाहिये।

Shri Swaran Singh: I promise I will listen with the greatest respect to the very learned speeches and very forceful speeches that Shri Bade and his colleagues will make, and I would only expect that he will be good enough to show me the courtesy of at any rate being able to put across my viewpoint. I know that he does not agree, he may not agree. It will be my endeavour to persuade him to agree to this viewpoint. At the end, even two countries which had fought agreed to disagree. Let us least agree to disagree.

I would like to say that I will be able to give all the information which the Swamiji or any other Member may want. When in their speeches they make their statements, I shall endeavour to answer them. At stage I would say both withdrawal and the question of infiltrators linked with the three conditions, the three important decisions that had been agreed upon between the Governments, and this explains withdrawal.

We were in Haji Pir, we were in Kargil, we were in Tithwal, We were also in the Lahore and Sialket sector, and Pakistan was in the Chamb sector; they were also in Amritsar District in the Khemkaran area and they were also in certain parts in Rajasthan So, the question that was before my colleague Shri Chavan and myself was this. Shri Chavan gave a great deal of consideration to the military aspect, and all of us had to take a decision as to whether there will be

[Shri Swaran Singh]

justification for us to continue to stay in Haji Pir, in Tithwal and in Kargil and to face also the situation that Pakistan continues to stay in Chamb and Rajasthan, and in Khemkaran and we continue to stay in the Bialkot and Lahore sectors after these three conditions had been agreed upon. I may make it clear, and I am sure that any person who dispassionately amines the situation will agree with me, that after these three conditions are fulfilled, it does not stand to reason that this military confrontation the two countries should continue, that our soldiers should continue to lie in the trenches and in the fields facing each other at a distance of 50 to 100 yards, with sniping going on all the time, with cease-fire violation, and killings going on, with civilians on either side in the grip tension and the 600 million people of India and Pakistan always under this tension. I would most earnestly appeal to the hon. Members to view that background. We were fully convinced that after these agreements we must accept this disengagement and must withdraw. It was in pursuance of this very careful examination that Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri came to the conclusion that if, notwithstanding the agreement on these three issues, we continue confrontation we would not be acting in the best interests of the people of India and Pakistan and we would also be creating the impression all over the world that, notwithstanding these reasonable arrangements. these countries were determined · carry on the policy of confrontation and tension. So, we have to view this question of withdrawals in the background of these observations that have made.

There are other positive features of this agreement. Some people say that this might affect our military preparedeness. That is a subject on which Shri Chavan with his intimate knowledge and the way he has handled our defences at a very crucial and difficult moment is more qualified to

give any further detail. He had applied his mind very carefully and he and his advisers were of the view that far from affecting our defence preparedness any lessenning of tension in one area obviously adds to the defence potential. There is an arrangement here that there will be various meetings at Ministers' level and official level so that this polarisation, this unfortunate cutting of all lines of communication that has taken place as a result of the conflict and the mounting tensions-these should disappear. People at various levels and directly concerned with all aspects of governmental and public life should meet each other and try to resolve the problems that require to be solved, for the two countries have to live in good neighbourly relations. The Tashkent Declaration can broadly be divided into two parts. The first part that I have touched upon gave a great of attention to undoing complications that arisen as result of the conflict. Diplomatic relations had virtually, though not formally, been snapped; the missions were not functioning; there was no communication between the two: overflights were not there. A number of other things had happened. There were internees and prisoners on either All these problems that had been thrown up as a result of the armed conflict were sought to be normalised and normal neighbourly relations between two neighbours were sought to be restored. The central philosophy was the insistence on peace. The actions that were taken really follow from that. It is not my intention to so into greater detail. I have confined myself to certain broad aspects and a broad approach and the main structure of the Tashkent Declaration. T want to make it clear that we on our side are determined to implement very faithfully and very conscientiously this agreement which was, if I may gav so, the last gift of our late Prime Minister Shastri to country. He led the country in an admirable manner when our country faced aggression and the honour and

dignity of the country was raised by the heroic manner in which we dedefended our country under his leadership. I am sure that the part of peace is really our normal way of thinking and it is a path which we ourselves have asked other countries to follow because we genuinely believe in the path of peace. The return to the path of peace should be a matter of satisfaction rather than a matter of criticism or concern to any section in our House.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshan-gabad): Peace with honour.

Shri Swaran Singh: With these words I commend my motion to the House

Mr. Speaker: I shail place the mution before the House: Motion moved: "That the Tashkent Declaration

be taken into consideration". Shri Trivedi wanted to raise some

constitutional point.

tions.)

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Sir, on a point of order. I do not want to offer my comments on the biased statement that had been made by the hon. Minister; I will steer clear of that. This Resolution has now been moved and is going to be discussed as an ordinary motion before this House. If it is carried by a bare majority... (Interrup-

Mr. Speaker: Some one on behalf of the Government may have to reply it there is a constitutional question. So, the Law Minister may be asked to come.

The Minister of State in the Department of Parliamentary Affairs and Communications (Shri Jaganatha Rao): I am here....(Interruptions.)

Mr. Speaker: It is not my job. Let them make any arrangement.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Let them stew in their own juice.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Knowing the procedure of this House, this motion can be carried even by an absolute majority of even one. The point involved in this motion as has been con-

ceded by the Minister is that we are going to give up our hold on Kargil, Tithwal and Haji Pir areas of the State of Jammu and The sovereignty over this area-not it was under our control--was our always. We have declared from housetops that the Jammu and Kashmir State is an integral part of India. It has been an integral part of India as defined in article 1 of our and also as defined in constitution Schedule I pertaining to that ... kle. According to the political map supplied to all of us by the Surveyor General of India, the whole of Jammu and Kashmir State is shown as part of India. We have been able to recover parts of that State, and areas which were lost have been recovered by us. Now, we want to give up that territory. I am not challenging the authority of this House or the authority of the Constitution to so amend the Constitutional provisions to give up these areas if they like it. did this on a previous occasion. wanted to give the territory known as Berubari but we had to make an amendment of the Constitution; the 9th amendment to the Constitution had to be brought before this House and pursuant to that the schedule was amended. My point is that when any territory which belongs to the Union of India has got to be given, it can only be given in that way as has been opined by civil reference No. 1 of 1959 to the Supreme Court. It can be given if a law relatable to article 368 of the Constitution is brought before the House and the amendment of the Constitution takes place. It is purcuant to that that the 9th amendment come up before the House. It has been stated unequivocally by the hon. Minister who has moved this motion that he wanted to give up possession of Kargil, Hajipir and Tithwa! area I do not want to look at it politically now because I am raising a point of o. ler about this motion.

14 hrs.

The impropriety and the political outlook of it are not before me.

629

Therefore what I am submitting is based upon the position namely, if we have to give up these territories, whether this resolution is the proper mode of giving up these territories, whether this resolution is enough and whether the resolution in terms of article 368 of the Constitution is called for. I say this because the provision under article 368 is that such a resolution could only be passed by a majority of the total membership of 'ne House and by a majority of not less than twothirds of the members presen; and voting. I therefore move that this resolution is out of order

Dr. L. M. Singhvi (Jodhpur): am glad that this question has been raised at this stage. It is evident that article 1 of the Constitution defines the territories of India and that this article can be amended only by a constitutional amendment. The juristic conception of the Indian Union includes the territory of India as defined in article 1 read with the First Schedule.

Sir, I would like to cite article 1, sub-clause (3) in particular, which says:

"The territory of India shall comcomprise-

- (a) the territories of the States:
- (b) the Union territories specified in the First Schedule; and
- (c) such other territories as may be acquired".

Now, the territories of the States include, according to entry !5 in the First Schedule of the Constitution. the Indian State of Jammu and Kashmir before the commencement of the Constitution. We have claimed time and again that the Indian State of Kashmir which ac-Jammu and ceded to India comprises the territory of the former princely Indian State of Jammu and Kashmir. It is on this ground that we have the United Nations claimed in

under the UN resolution of 1948 that Pakistan must first vacate territory occupied by them and belonging to the former princely State of Jammu and Kashnir which is in their illegal occupation. It is on this very ground that the Government of India alleged that the transfer of large tracts of Indian territory which formed part of the State of Jammu and Kashmir by Pakistan to China means of an agreement was an illegality. If it is held or if the Government wishes to take the position that this was not a part of the State of Jammu and Kashmir, then the bottom goes out of the whole of the Indian argument.

But what is more important is the constitutional position which as my hon. friend Shri Trivedi pointed out was made clear by Their Lordships of the Supreme Court in the Berubari reference. I would like to refer to two sentences from the opinion of the Supreme Court in that case. It reads:

"....it is an essential atrribute. to sovereignty that a sovereign state can acquire foreign territory and can, in case of necessity, cede a part of its territory in favour of a foreign state, and this can be done in exercise of its treatymaking power....This power, it may be added, is of course sublimitations which the iect to of the state constitution either expressly or by necessary implication impose in that behalf; in other words, the question as to how treaties can be made by a sovereign state in regard to a cession of national territory and how treaties when made can be implemented would be governed by the provisions in the constitution of the country".

The motion. as it is before us, is only for consideration of the Tashkent declaration. The, Government if it wishes to implement the operative portion of the Tashkent declaration, must therefore necessarily bring about a constitutional amendment to spell out the position and the circumst-

ances under which it is ceding this territory to Pakistan. I therefore move that at the very least either the Government must make a presidential reference to the Suprome Court in this respect or the House should be given the right to hear the Attorney-General on this very important constitutional issue which is of far-reaching significance.

भी मधु लिमये (मुंगेर) : माननीय सदस्यों ने जो घाक्षेप उठाया है उनका मैं समर्थन करता हं। लेकिन इस वक्त मेरा भापसे निवेदन है कि सदन के सामने प्रस्ताव केवल है "विचार करें" । कई स्थानापन्न प्रस्तावों की भी सूचना दी गई है। तो जो निरनमोदन करने वाला प्रस्ताव है वह तो संविधान के भौर नियमों के माफिक होगा मेकिन धगर धनमोदन करने वाला कोई प्रस्ताव सरकार या सरकारी दल का कोई सदस्य लाना चाहे. संशोधन के रूप में. तो उस वक्त मैं घापसे निवेदन ककंगा कि भापको भपना निर्णय देना होगा कि भनमोदन करने वाला प्रस्ताव, जिसके भन्दर हमारे ही एक राज्य का इलाका, प्रदेश, इसरों के हाथ में, विदेशी ताकत के हाथ में देने का सवाल भाता है, वह कहां तक संवैधानिक है भीर उस स्थिति में हम बाक्षेप उठायेंगे । माप उस पर मपना निर्णय देंगे

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Mr. Speaker, Sir, while I am in agreement with the basic constitutional arguments urged in favour of the ultra vires character of the operative part of the Tashkent declaration, may I invite your attention and the attention of the House to a factual position that was adumbrated by the Home Minister, who fortunately is present in the House now, in answer to a specific question put in the last session. The question was whether, to the liberated-in legal parlance 'recovered'-territories of Haji Pir, Tithwal and Kargil, the laws of the Indian union and the constitutional provisions that have been extended to Kashmir. had been applied-whether those pro-2400 (Ai) LS-8.

visions have been applied to these liberated areas as well—and whether the jurisdiction of the Indian union and of the State Government is complete over those areas. The Minister categorically and specifically replied that that was the position; that the laws have been extended and tha jurisdiction of the Jammu and Kashmir State and of the Indian union is complete over these areas of Haji Pir, Tithwal and Kargil.

Now, what will be the effect of the or the proposed withdrawal, the Indian withdrawal from these areas? Will it not mean, and would you not agree, that the withdrawal by us, withdrawal of our troops, our jurisdiction, our authority and our power-will it not be tantamount to the cession of our jurisdictions, our sovereignty, to a foreign State who will immediately occupythey must already preparing the occupy-these areas militarily, areas of Tithwal, Kargil and Haji Pir? That means to say, the Government has ceded, or the Government propose to ecde without the approval of Parliament as required by article 3 of the Constitution, those areas which are ours, which are India's to a for-eign State, that is, Pakistan. Therefore that part is ultra vires of the Constitution.

Shri Daji (Indore): I beg to differ from the points of order raised by some of our hon. Members. Though the position regarding the final cession of territory is not very clear by the opinion of the Supreme Court, cited by my hon, friend Shri Trivedi and also relied upon by my hon. friend Dr. Singhvi, I would like to point out that in their overenthusiasm they are doing a disservice to the nation at the present juncture. What we are now doing is, we are not ceding our sovereignty or seceding our sovereignty over the areas of Haji Pir and the rest. That concept is absolutely absent. Let us not go a step forward and let us not beg the question and play into the hands of Pakistan,-a question which could possibly and

[Shri Daji]

plausibly be subsequently raised by Pakistan. That question of constitutional amendment can come only if the Government comes forward, as in the case of the Berubari cession, for the final cession of the territory occupied by us. What we are now doing is only to withdraw our de facto sovereignty and not our de jure sovereighty. Constitutional de facto control can be withdrawn. As Shri Kamath and Shri Trivedi pointed out, all these years, these territories were under the control of Pakistan and yet we claimed full sovereignty over those territories. Even now we shall continue to claim that sovereignty until final settlement is reached. Therefore, as long as the question of seceding the sovereignty does not arise, the question of constitutional amendment does not arise. (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Dafi: Let the hon, Member's bear with me one a constitutional point, which I am making.

Mr. Speaker: That is what I am asking the Members.

Shri Daji: I am requesting them only through you, Sir. So, my submission is, the question of constitutional point of order should be examined dispassionately apart from our predilection on the merits of this issue. When a constitutional point of order is referred to, the lawyer may not like a particular brief, but he has to put the facts of the law and the facts of the case as dispassionately as possible. I cannot project my likes or dislikes into a constitutional argument. What I am submitting is, the Berubari case applies when we secede or cede a territory permanently, including the cession of our sovereignty. Here, we are not ceeding our sovereign right over the territory. We are only coming to an arrangement which existed before a particular date. The arrangement was disturbed, because of the Pakistani aggression. Everyone agrees that before the position was disturbed by Pakistani aggression and our counter-action, though we did not in fact physically possess that territory, the sovereign rights of ours were claimed by us and asserted by us, even without physical possession. Sovereignty can be claimed and exercised without physical possession. This distinction has to be borne in mind and if that is borne in mind, then no constitutional amendment is necessary at this moment.

एक माननीय संबस्य : वे इसे चीन को बाया पाकिस्तान सौंप देना चाहते हैं। वे उसके हाथों में खेल रहे हैं।

Shri Daji: I will not tolerate this kind of aspersion. No member is allowed to cast aspersions on the opinion expressed by another member. My friend just now said that I want to hand over this via Pakistan to China. When constitutional points are discussed, I have got the right to express my views and I have exercised that right I resent this aspersion and it should be withdrawn. There can be no cool and calm discussion in Parliament if such aspersions are allowed to be made.

Shri Bade: He said that we are playing into the hands of Pakistan.

Shri Daji: I said it objectively.

Mr. Speaker: Words flung in this careless manner do not help anybody.

Shri H. N. Mukeriee (Calcutta Central): Sir. even though it is not us to pull the Government's chestnuts out of the fire, I would supplement basically what Mr. Daji had tried to say. In law, the entire State of Jammu and Kashmir is part of the Indian Union, but as a matter of fact, certain areas in Jammu and Kashmir are, according to India, in the illegal occupation of Pakistan or of people acting as proxies on behalf of Pakistan. Our stand has always been to secure a settlement of this matter and to restore to ourselves effective sovereignty which is today nominal

sovereignty over certain areas of Jammu and Kashmir. Pakistan's purpose, on the other hand, has been somewhat different, with the result that last year Pakistan made an effort by armed force, by infiltration as well as by military attack, to consolidate its hold either by proxy or directly on certain parts of Jammu and Kashmir. We repelled that effort of Pakistan.

Two sovereign States-India Pakistan-met together through their representatives and came to an understanding in relation to what ought to be done about the settlement of outstanding issues, among which neis the question of Jammu cessarily and Kashmir. At that meeting, it was decided that Pakistan would not intervene in our affairs and we shall also not intervene in Pakistani affairs all the outstanding questions would be settled by mutual discussion. We do not know what is going to be decided, but if after mutual discussion to which both countries have agreed, it is decided that certain portions of Jammu and Kashmir may have to be detached-Heaven forbid that kind of thing happening-but suppose it is decided like that, then and then alone would it be time for the government to come before this House with whatever constitutional amendment or legalistic device which might be necessary. At this moment what we are dealing with is to endorse or not to endorse the declaration which has been issued by the government of this country along with the government of Pakistan. Our government has performed a sovereign act. Whether in performing that act, it went against the wishes of the people whom we represent here—that is the question which we are discussing. As Mr. Daji pointed out, at a later stage, this legalistic matter might come up for discussion, but not at this point. We are certainly entitled at this point of time to approve or not to approve of the declaration.

Shri Kapur Singh (Ludhiana): Sir. the core of the question which is

being discussed on the floor of the House is the meaning and substance of the concept of sovereignty in international law. I rise to contest the point of view which has been so ably put forward by my friend, Mr. Mukerjee and my other Communist friends. They have argued that by withdrawling our military forces under the circumstances in which we are doing it, we are not ceding sovereignty and that our de jure claim of sovereignty still remains intact. I think that is not the correct and true position.

These are the maxims of jurisprudence of international law that sovereignty may be given up in three modes, either by express consent or by intention or by conduct. The essence of sovereignty is our power to go in and come out at our own volition without the permission of anybody. So, if somebody drives us by force out of a territory over which we have sovereignty, our govereignty is challenged. But if we go out of that territory with the intention of letitng the adversary occupy that territory and in the full knowledge that after that we shall not be able to exercise our fundamental right of going in and coming out at our own volition, it means both by intention and by conduct that we are ceding our also. If we go on sovereignty right of de jure our claiming sovereignty still remains intact, we are talking empty words and we are making claims which will have absolutely no validity before the jurists of international law. That is my submission.

Shri Frank Anthony (Nominated—Anglo—Indians): I merely want to put forward the legal perspective. If I get an opportunity to speak, I will probbably support the Tashkent Declaration. But the crucial issue is this. Having resumed sovereign control of our own territory, does not voluntarily abdicating that sovereign control constitute cession? If it is taken by force, it is a different matter. But voluntarily

[Shri Frank Anthony]

abdicating our sovereignty over our own territory, even if we do that temporarily, is not that cession? That is the issue.

श्री प्रकाशबीर शास्त्री (बिजनौर):

मैं पाकिस्तान के कानून मंत्री की एक राय
धापकी सुनाना चाहता हूं धौर वह इस दृष्टि
से कि जो हमारे मन में सन्देह है उस सन्देह
की पृष्ठभूमि क्या है जिस धाधार पर कि
मैं यह निवेदन कर रहा हूं कि धाप सरकार
से ताशकन्द समझौते को इस संसद में पेश
करने से पहले इस बात का स्पष्टीकरण
करावें। वह वक्तव्य बहुत लम्बा इसलिए
मैं उसकी केवल तीन पंक्तियां ही पढ़ कर
सुनाता हूं। 20 जनवरी को लाहौर में
उन्होंने यह वक्तव्य दिया है भौर जिसे कि
साहौर रेडियो ने बाडकास्ट भी किया है।
उन्होंने कहा है:—

"कितना मूर्खंतापूर्ण व हास्यास्पद है ? क्या संसार में कोई संवैधानिक यंत्र इस बात को मान सकता है कि कोई सरकार प्रपने ही देश के एक हिस्से को खाली कर दे ? इसलिए मैं धापको यह विश्वास दिलाता हूं कि ताशकन्द घोषणा धन्तत: काश्मीर पर भारत के दावे के विरुद्ध जायेगी और पाकिस्तान के दावे को मजबत करेगी ।"

इसी पृठभूमि में श्री लाल बहादुर शास्त्री इस सदन में श्रीर बाहर भी बार बार इस बात पर बल देते थे कि जो यह हिस्सा हमने ले लिया है वह हमारा श्रीभन्न शंग जो काश्मीर है उसका एक भाग है श्रीर वहां से हटने का कोई प्रश्न नहीं श्राता । इसलिए श्राज जब हम वहां से हटने को तैयार हैं श्रीर पाकिस्तानी फौजों को श्राने का सौका देते हैं तो यह संसद की ग्रवहेलना नहीं कर रहे हैं ? बल्कि संविधान की भी ग्रवहेलना इस प्रकार से कर रहे हैं।

The Minister of Law (Shri G. S. Pathak): Sir, I have not had the good fortune of hearing the argument of my learned friend here. But what I have been able to understand is that according to him, withdrawal means cession of the territory, Abdication is not the word for that.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Cession of sovereignty.

Shri G. S. Pathak: Cession of sovereignty. I hope I have correctly understood.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: More or less, rather less than more.

Shri G. S. Pathak: This expression "more or less" has really given away the whole argument. Either it is cession or it is not cession. It cannot be more or less or both.

भी मण्ड लिसये : यह जो उन्होंने "मोर भीर लैस" के बारे में फरमाया उससे साफ है कि इस तरह से बिना सोचे समझे भीर सुने भपनी राय देने जा रहे हैं वह उचित नहीं है। यहां पर जो जो वादविवाद हुमा है उस को पहले वह पढ़ें भीर फिर ठोक तरीके से जवाब दें तो उचित होगा। कामत साहब ने "मोर भीर लैस" तो मंत्री जी के समझने के बारे में कहा था न कि "सेशन भाफ टेरिटरी" के बारे में।

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I said that the minister has understood more or less, rather less than more. (Interruptions).

Shri G. S. Pathak: If it is desired by the distinguished Members here that I should study the entire discussion which has been made. I am prepared to do so and make a statement tomorrow. If you want that, I am prepared to do so Otherwise I will proceed according to what I have understood from the few words which I have heard today.

Mr. Speaker: Then, probably it would be better if he studies that and then gives us the benefit of his advice tomorrow. We will go on with the discussion on this.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: We can adjourn the House for half-an-hour.

Mr. Speaker: This motion is for consideration only. We can continue with the discussion, and as was suggested by one of the hon. Members when that question of approval or disapproval comes up then this point can be considered.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee; Sir, I want to say something in regard to the procedure. I am really flabbergasted. A question comes up, a point of order is brought up by the leader of one of the recognised parties in this House. There is an array of ministers on the other side, very senior ministers led by the Prime Minister herself, Home Minister and other Ministers Shri Chavan had left. They heard the points made. Some of us here, laymen, took part in the discussion and possibly did not make entirely irrelevant remarks. We expect of Government to have the elementary capability to have reservse of membership present in this House to answer points which are raised particularly by way of points of order. It is almost impossible for me to function in this House and take part in the discussion on a motion for consideration of a matter of international importance and of the greatest national impotrance at the same time, when the whole thing hangs fire, when the Damocles' sword of legality is over there and no decision is made. decision is not made because you are not assited by the representatives of the Government. Ineptitude, Sir, is the word. I have got a bad taste in my mouth over the food debate yesterday, and today a very important international discussion is spoilt by the incapacity of the Government to give its opinion on a legal point. I do not blame the law Minister. Ho was not here. He was 'not informed properly about what is on the agenda. The Law Minister is not asked to be here by his leader when this sort of a very important subject is under discussion. We are used in this House to Law Minister after Law Minister never being present when the Government's case in regard to legal points comes up. This has happened again. We are told we shall get the benefit of his advice tomorrow. I refuse to participate in the debate today if the discussion on the motion for consideraion continues when this Damocles' sword of legality hangs over it. This is not a frivolous matter. We are discussing this matter with all earnestness and we want this matter to be decided. You, Sir, are there in the Chair, and you are eminently capable of giving a decision in regard to this matter. If you feel you cannot give it, then, of course, we can adjourn the House and we can have the discussion after the whole matter is decided. Until that is done, I for one would not participate in this discussion.

Mr. Speaker: If a decision is wanted of me, I am ready with it. I do not need the advice of any Law Minister or any Minister at all. But I thought that primarily

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You have warned them so often that they should be present here.

Mr. Speaker: That is a different thing altogether. We know that the Law Minister was not present when the discussion took place (Interruption). When Shri Jaganatha Rao said that he would reply, then hon. Members objected and wanted the Law Minister. When the Law Minister has come, objection is taken and it is said that other Ministers could have done it.

Shri H. N. Mukeriee: He should have considered this matter. He is a member of the Government. has to say whether it is legal or it is not legal.

Shri G. S. Pathak: It was at the desire of some hon. Members here that I should deal with it tomorrow elaborately that I agreed to give my opinion tomorrow. If you want I am ready to give my opinion now (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: I do not think there is any objection to our continuing the discussion. The motion before the House is that this be taken into consideration. We can safely go on with the debate.

Some hon. Members: No, no.

Mr. Speaker: All right. If the hon. Law Minister is prepared to give his opinion now, he may do so.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: Sir, I submitted specifically that we should call the Attorney-General. This is a constituwould like to tional point and we hear his opinion also.

Mr. Speaker: No, no. That is not for me to say. Let us hear the Law Minister now.

Shri G. S. Pathak: Sir, in this agreement there is a word which can be interpreted to amount to cession of the territory. I will read portions of this agreement and I will show to you, Sir, and to the distinguished members here, that there is nothing in this agreement which amounts to cession.

Shri Hari Vishau Kamath: Cession of sovereignty and jurisdiction?

Shri G. S. Pathak: Yes, yes, cession of sovereignty. I will briefly tell what this agreement amounts to, before I read the portions of it. This agreement proceeds upon the basis that there shall be cease-fire. That is to

say, there was once a ceasefire agreement, that cease-fire was accepted by the parties concerned at the relevant time, that cease-fire continued and that cease-fire was violated by Pakistan. The agreement says, restore that cease-fire, do not shoot, agree to suspend the hostilities, agree to suspend the firing and go back to the positions which were occupied earlier. Under the previous arrangement there was a cease-fire agreement continued and that cease-fire was violated by Pakistan. Pakistan agreed to give up the use of force and threat to use force in order to arrive at an understanding between the parties for the solution of the dispute between them. Therefore, whatever was the subject-matter of discussion still remains to be discussed. Only we go back to the cease-fire line which existed ever since January, 1949. There was a cease-fire agreement then. There was a lined fixed under All that that cease-fire agreement. this agreement says is, go back to that line. This agreement further says that the dispute shall never be decided by the use of force, there shall be settlement of the dispute by peaceful means. That is what the agreement says. Where is the word, where is any word or any expression which indicates that we are ceding territory to the north of the cease-fire line? There is none. This agreement ...

Shri U. M. Trivedi: The difficulty arises-if I may interrupt my hon. friend for a moment-because the Government has asserted in unequivocal language that we are giving up this territory.

भी हुकम चन्द कञ्चवाय : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, समझ में नहीं भाता है कि मंत्री जी क्या बोल रहे हैं।

प्रध्यक्ष महोदय : मुझे सून लेने दीजिए।

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy (Kendrapara); Let us adjourn the House for half-an-hour.

Shri G. S. Pathak: I shall deal with it right now.

बो प्रकाशबीर झास्त्री: हमारी इच्छा यह है कि विधि मंत्री पहले इस सारी चर्चा को पढ़ लें भौर उस के बाद धपना मत बना कर उत्तर दें, तो वह ज्यादा व्यवहारिक होगा।

भी हुक्स चन्द कख्याय : जो प्रक् किये गए हैं, मंत्री महोदय उस के विपरीत बोल रहे हैं।

हाउस को एडजर्न किया जाए एक **प्राध** षंटे के लिए। उसके बाद मंत्री महोदय बोर्ने।

भी भोंकार लाल वेरवाः (कोटा) पेपर पढकर ग्रायें।

धाध्यक्ष महोदय : प्रव यह झगड़ा नहीं है कि पेपर पढ़ कर ब्रायें या नहीं। उनको इसलिए बलाया गया है कि वे राय दें। मैंने चपनी चसिस्टेंस के लिए, घपनी हैल्प के लिए इसको चाहा है। लेकिन मैं भ्रव भ्रपना फैसला देता हं कि कोई भी उनकी राय हो वह दूसरा सवाल है। मैम्बर साहिबान जानते हैं कि हमेशा से जब से यह पालिमैंट शुरू है भीर जब कभी भी यह सवाल उठा है कि कोई बीज कांस्टीटयशनल है या उसके बरखिलाफ़ है भौर बिलों में भी यह हुआ है कि हुमेशा स्पीकर ने भपने जिस्से यह नहीं लिया है कि वह फैसला दे कि ग्राया कांस्टीटयशन के बरिखलाफ वह है या नहीं है। इस हाउस को हक हासिल है कि यह कास्टीटयशनल भी पास करे और अन-कांस्टीटयशनल भी पास करें क्योंकि कई चीओं को कसिंडर करन के बाद ही हाउस किसी चीज को पास करता है। हाउस जो फैसला करेगा वह उसके सिर्फ लीगल पार्ट पर ही नहीं करेगा और कई चीजों को इसके साथ वे करेगा। जो मार्गु मेंट्स दी गई हैं इन को भी हाउस कन्सीटर करेगा। भीर गौर करके ही फैसला देगा माया पास करना चाहिये इस हाजत में या नहीं करना चाहिये। गवर्नमैंट भी उन भार्गमेंटस को देख कर भीर इस रेजोल्युशन

के पास होने के बाद भी कि आया उसको किसी बिल के लाने की जरूरत है या नहीं है। यह उसको फरना होगा। धगर नहीं करेगी तो कोई बादमी कोर्ट में जा सकता है भौर कोर्ट का फैसला ले सकता है। येंने देखा है कि सभी कोई गया भी था सौर शायद उसको उसने वापिस भी ले लिया है। यह हो सकता है कि कल को कोई दूसरा चला जाए। धगर धाज स्पीकर फैसला दे किसी कांस्टी-टयशनल प्वाइंट पर भीर कल को कोर्ट ने उसको धपसैट कर दिया तो यह एक बडी झाकवर्ड सी पोखिशन पैदा कर देगा. एक बडी माकवर्ड सी पोजिशन पैदा हो जाती है। हाउस ही फैसला दे सारे लीगल भौर कांस्टी-टयशनल प्वाइंटस पर भीर उसको सैट एसाइड कर दे जो सुप्रीम कोर्ट है वह, तो यह हो जाता है कि जो फैमला दिया गया है उस में उसको मैट एसाइड कर दिया गया है लेकिन अब बिल पास करते हैं तो कई कंसिडेसनस होती हैं। जब सुप्रीम कोर्ट नाकडाउन करती है किसी को तो बहुएक साइड को ले कर करती है. कहती है कि इस पर यह बात है कि यह लीगल भीर कांस्टीटयणनल नहीं है भीर इस बास्ते वह उसको सैट एसाइड कर देती है भौर कह देती है कि यह अनकांस्टीटयशनल है, ग्रस्ट्रा वायरस है या जो भी करार देना चाहती है दे देती है।

कुछ भी राय भाए, सारी बहस के बाद भी सम्में यहीं फैसला देना होगा कि मैंग्बर साहिबान भपनी कांस्टीट्यूमनल राय जो है वह भी देते चले जायें भीर जो कहना चाहते हैं बह भी कहते चले जायें भीर बाद में हाउस के मैंग्बर साहिबान जो हैं वे इस राय को भपने सामने रखें भीर भपनी राय देने बकत इस बात का भी ख्याल कर लें भीर पूरी तरह से सोच विचार करने के बाद ही जो उनकी राय हो उसको वह दें, जो चाहें फैनला हैं। धागे किसी ने जाना है सुप्रीम कोर्ट के पास तो वह चला जाएगा भीर सुप्रीम कोर्ट भपना फैसला दे देगी। स्पीकर नहीं देवा

ं [मध्यक्ष महोदय]

कि झाया यह जो रेजील्यूशन इस वक्त हमारेसामने है इससे ऐसे नताइज पैदा होंगे जो कि झनकांस्टोट्यूशनल हैं। यह मेरे करने का काम नहीं है।

Shri U. M. Trivedi: There is a point of inforamtion which I want to give. A point of this nature arose once when the Cow Slaughter Bill was before the House. At that time the Speaker wanted the Attorney-General to give expression to his opinion on this question whether a law of this nature could be made by this House or not. So, on that occasion. the Attorney-General himself appeared before the House and expressed his opinion. Whether we followed that opinion or not is another matter. So, there is nothing to preclude the Speaker from upholding or ruling out a point of order. It is his duty to decide on the point of order one way or the other. I am not going to grumble if he refuses to decide this point of order. That is a different matter altogether. But there is nothing to preclude him from giving a decision on the question whether this point of order is valid or not. It is true that one who is affected by an enactment may go to court. But one who is affected by the passing of this Resolution will not be able to before any court because no Bill is being passed here now and it is not a law which can be challenged before the Supreme Court or any other court. A resolution cannot be challenged before a court.

Mr. Speaker: If by passing a resolution any provision of the Constitution has been contravened, certainly he has a right to go to the Supreme Court. I do not know how such a distinguished lawyer says like that.

Now I will submit to the hon. Members that my position is very clear in this respect and I have said that. After hearing all the arguments that

have been advanced, or might be advanced subsequently, when I have to give my decision I have to come to this conclusion that I cannot take that decision. So, it is no use spending any more time on it. Let us proceed with the discussion. Afterwards, when the decision is to be taken by the House, we will see

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Sir, on a point of order. While I bow to your ruling, may I submit in all humility that it detracts somewhat from the provisions of rule 376 which relates to points of order? My hon. friend, Shri Trivedi, raised a point of order which relates to the Constitution. Sub-rule (1) of rule 376 says:

"A point of order shall relate to the interpretation or enforcement of these rules or such Articles of the Constitution . . ."

Sub-rule (3) is very categorical. It says, when such a point of order is raised, what should the Speaker do? The Speaker's duty and obligation are very clear on this point. It says "the Speaker shall decide"—so, it is mandatory—"whether the point raised is a point of order and if so give his decision thereon, which shall be final". Now, you have evaded it. I am sorry I have to use the word "evaded". You have evaded the rule and sald "the Supreme Court will decide, not I".

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member has quoted rule 376. It says:

"A point of order shall relate to the interpretation or enforcement of these rules or such Articles of the Constitution as regulate the business of the House . . ."

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: This is the business of the House.

Mr. Speaker: No.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: What else is it?

Mr. Speaker: This point of order may be about some alleged infringement of some article of the Constitution. But it does not relate to "such Articles of the Constitution as regulate the business of the House".

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: May I ask for a clarification? I understand that the Supreme Court is there to see that nothing is done in contravention of the provisions of the Constitution and if such a matter is brought by a citizen of India before the Supreme Court, the Supreme Court will give its decision. But, as far as we here in this House are concerned, it may be that on this occasion you may decide that the matter is of such doubtful character that you cannot take the responsibility of taking a decision in regard to it. That is a different matter. But if in regard to all matters where a point of order is raised on the ground that it contravenes something in the Constitution you say "I have nothing to do with it, go to the Supreme Court if you are aggrieved", where will we be?

Mr. Speaker: I should remind Shri Mukerjee that during all these 18 years that has been done. If he can point out one instance where the Speaker has taken upon himself that responsibility, certainly I will welcome that and I will abide by that. It has been repeatedly held by Shri Mavalankar and Shri Ayyangar, whenever such a question was raised, that they will not take up that responsibility.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: May I refer to the Beru Bari issue?

Mr. Speaker: That was also a Resolution.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: On that occasion Shri Ayyangar, I think, decided that it was in order and the discussion proceeded. Then some chaps in West Bengal went to the High Court and got a verdict.

Shri U. M. Trivedi rose-

Mr. Speaker: I think we should leave it at that

Shri U. M. Trivedi; I am sorry . . .

Mr. Speaker: All points have been raised and the Speaker has also given his decision. Now what is the necessity to raise it again and again and argue with me?

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I do not want to raise it.

Mr. Speaker: Then what is it that he wants?

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I want to point out that there is some mistake . . .

Mr. Speaker: If I am mistaken, I am mistaken.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Rule 376 which you have read

Mr. Speaker: I have read it and interpreted it. If my interpretation is wrong then also . . .

Shrl U. M. Trivedi: When we have spent so much time on this, why not bear one minute with me? Article 368 says:

"An amendment of this Constitution may be initiated only by the introduction of a Bill for the purpose in either House of Parliament, and when the Bill is passed in each House by a majority of the total membership of that House and by a majority of not less than two-thirds of the members of that House present and voting . . ."

This article says that this is the procedure for an amendment of the Constitution, that is, the procedure in

[Shri U. M. Trivedi]

the House of the People, that is, the Lok Sabha, and I have raised a point of order with reference to the procedure of the House. I will bow before your decision but I want to point out that this is with reference to that question.

Shri G. S. Pathak rose-

Mr. Speaker: He might intervene in the debate some time and explain the whole thing as he desires.

Now, this motion is before the House. There are some amendments. They will be subject to any objection that might be raised, afterwards. I am just asking whether they are being moved.

Shri Prakash Vir Shastri: Yes.

Mr. Speaker: Shri Venkatasubbaiah.
....He is absent.

भी सिवेंडवर प्रसाद (नालंदा): जी हां।

Shri Surendranath Dwivedi: I move.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I move.

Mr. Speaker: 6 and 7 also?

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Yes.

Mr. Speaker; Shri Sivamurthi Swamy...He is absent.

Shri K. C. Pant (Naini Tal): Yes, Sir; I move.

Mr. Speaker: That is all, I suppose. All these will be treated as moved.

श्री यद्यापाल सिंह (कैराना):यह ग्राल ग्राफ एसडेन ग्रागया है। मैं ने ग्राजसवेरे भेजाहै।

श्री मधुलिमये: मैं ने भी दिया था।

Shri Kapur Singh: They were circulated,

भी नौर्धः मेरा कहांचला गया वह क्याकहीं नहीं है ? स्रप्यक्त महोदय : मेरेपास जो मोशन स्राये हैं उन में से लिमये साहद का है, श्री यशपाल सिंह का है और श्री सिंघवी साहद का है। एक और है उस के ऊपर नाम तो श्री वी०पी० मौर्य का है लेकिन नीचे दस्तवात किसी के नहीं हैं।

भी मौर्यः वही मेरा मोशन है।

अध्यक्ष महोदयः क्या बगैर दस्त**खत के** भी वह मोशन हो जायेगा ?

भी मौर्यः मैं ने घपने हाथ से लिखा है भपना नाम।

Mr. Speaker: They will also be treated to have been moved. As regards the time limit . . .

Some hon. Members: Seven hours.

Mr. Speaker: Yes, but there was a condition that it must be finished by 2.30 tomorrow. Therefore, I will request the House to sit up to six o'clock today and then we will make up.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Tomorrow also up to 3.30.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty (Barrackpore): There should be no question of pushing off the Private Members' business.

Mr. Speaker: That we will see. As regards the time-limit on speeches, leaders of groups may have 20 minutes and others 10 minutes.

Shri P. K. Deo (Kalahandi): Sir, I am the only speaker from my party.

Shri Kapur Singh: Give him 30 minutes.

Mr. Speaker: I will see what can be allowed.

the view that-

Dact:

(i) That for the original motion, the

श्री प्रकाशवीर झास्त्री : मैं प्रस्ताव करता हं:

> .कि मूल संकल्प के स्थान पर यह रखाजाये, धर्यात:

"यह समा ताशकंद घोषणा पर विचार करने के पश्चाल् ताशकंद में किये गये असंवैधा-निक तथा अध्यवहारिक भारत पाकिस्तान समझौते का निरनमोदन करती है।"(1)

Shri Sidheshwar Prasad: I beg to move:

That for the original motion, the following be substituted, namely:—

"This House, having considered the Tashkent Declaration approves of it." (3).

Shri Surendranath Dwivedi: I beg to move:

That for the original motion, the following be substituted, namely:—

"This House, having considered the Declaration. Tashkent while appréciating the efforts made by the late Prime Minister towards normalisation of relations between India and Pakistan, is of opinion that the decision embodied in the Tashkent Declaration to withdraw our armed personnel from the Kargil, Tithwal, Uri-Poonch and Haji Pir areas which are legallly Indian territory is against our national interest and detrimental to our national security and directs the Government not to withdraw from these areas till such time as Pakistan agrees to a no-war pact with India." (4).

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I beg to move:

following be substituted, namely:—
"This House having considered the Tashkent Declaration, is of

(a) the Declaration goes counter to the assurances given by the Government to this House that troops would not be withdrawn from Pakistan territory unless Pakistan categorically

committed itself to a no-war

- (b) the Declaration militates against the Constitution inasmuch as it involves withdrawal from areas which are constitutionally part of Indian territory:
- (c) the Declaration creates in the country a dangerous sense of complacency, and wishful thinking about Pak intentions, not at all warranted by Pak postures as manifested in the speeches by Pak spokesman.
- This House, therefore, calls upon the Government to revoke all orders for the withdrawal of troops from Haji Pir, Kargil and Tithwal areas liberated from Pak occupation." (5)
- (ii) That for the original motion, the following be substituted, namely:
 - "This House, having considered the Tashkent Declaration, records its disapproval of the declaration and calls upon the Government to halt immediately the steps being taken towards withdrawal of troops from Haji Pir, Tithwal, Kargil and other liberated areas in Pak occupied Kashmir." (6).
- (iii) That for the original motion, the following be substituted, namely:
 - "This House, having considered the Tashkent Declaration. records that the Declaration

[Shri U. M. Trivedil

is a gross violation of the solemn assurances given by the Government to Parliament and the people that there would be no withdrawal from the posts of Haji Pir. Tithwal and Kargil until and unless there was a matching commitment by Pakitsan that it would withdraw all its infiltrators in Kashmir and that it would never again attempt tο inject infiltrators Kashmir." (7).

Shri K. C. Pant: I beg to move:

That for the original motion, the following be substituted, namely:—

"That this House having taken into consideration the Tashkent Declaration, approves the stand of the Governemnt of India thereon."

Shri Yashpal Singh: I beg to move:

That for the original motion, the following be substituted, namely:--

"This House, having considered the Tashkent Declaration, is of opinion that Indian forces should not be withdrawn from Haji Pir Pass, Kargil, Tithwal, of the State of Jammu and Kashmir which is an integral part of India".

(10).

Shri Madhu Limaye: I beg to move:

That for the original motion, the following be substituted, namely:—

"This House, having considered the Tashkent Declaration, regrets the failure of the Government of India to offer to settle at the Tashkent Summit Conference all differences with Pakistan on the basis of a Confederation of the two States, and disapproves the Tashkent Declaration as It violates the solemn assurances about the withdrawal of

Indian Armed Forces from Haji Pir, Uri-Poonch, Tithwal and Kargil without in any way holding out the prospect of an early end to the artificial partition of India into Pakistan and Bharat." (11).

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: I beg to move:

That for the original motion, the following be substituted, namely:—

"This House, having considered the Tashkent Declaration, is of opinion that withdrawal of Indian Armed personnel cannot and should not be effected unless a constitutional amendment of article 1 and the First Schedule is passed. (12).

Shri Maurya: I beg to move:

That for the original motion, the following be substituted namely:--

"This House, having considered the Tashkent of Declaration is of opinion that—

- (a) the Declaration is contrary to the assurances given by the Government;
- (b) the implementation of Declaration will put India in very critical position.

This House, therefore, calls upon the Government to suspend the implement of the Declaration." (13).

Mr. Speaker: These substitute motions are now before the House.

Shri P. K. Deo: Mr. Speaker, Sir, on the last day of the last session when the late lamented Prime Minister expressed his desire that he would be visiting Tashkent for a meeting with President Ayub at the invitation of the Soviet Premier, Mr. Kosygin, I had the privilege that day to speak on behalf of our party and, while

welcoming the Soviet Premier's effort, I wished all success to the talks.

14.45 hrs.

[Mr. Deputy -Speaker in the Chair.]

Though at the initial stage a break-down of the talks was apprehended, through the untiring efforts of the Soviet Premier, Mr. Kosygin, it ended in a success. It is also due to the statesmanship of the late lamented Shri Shastri and President Ayub and our grateful thanks go to them.

भी यक्तपाल सिंह: भारमा से कह रहे हैं या ऊपर से ?

Shri P. K. Deo: But Shastriji is not here to receive these greetings from He has been sacrificed, as had been said by my leader the other day, at the altar of peace. We hope that there will be an opening of a new chapter in our neighbourly relationship with Pakistan. It is claimed that a new era of joint welfare and assured progress has dawned, but I do not think that it is a very big achievement. It is a step in the right We need not gloat over direction. the success. The test of the pudding lies in its eating. It is to be judged from the events that are to follow.

This agreement was hailed from every corner of the world except China. We know the Chinese intentions. The Chinese advocacy of war as a medium to decide all disputes and the Chinese ulterior motive of fomenting conflict between India and Pakistan is so obvious.

It is a bilateral agreement; rather, it is a treaty because it has its operative portions. As a bilateral agreement it must have some give and take. It restores the status quo ante regarding the disposition of our troops; it assures non-interference in each other's internal affairs, puts an end to all propaganda of mutual vilification, restores diplomatic, commercial, economic and cultural relations.

suggests repatriation of prisoners and so on. It cannot be construed to be a cent per cent victory or a cent per cent defeat to any party; rather, in other words I would say, it is a victory to both and defeat to none. I would submit to you and to this House that this document raises new hopes and expectations and it should be viewed in its proper perspective: it should be viewed in the context of Communist Chinese expansion; it should be viewed in the light of the intention of China which has posed a threat to freedom in Asia. We all know the growing appetite of China whose desire is to grab all the nations one after another after they are bled white by mutual conflict. The whole agreement has to be seen from the angle of the growing Pindi-Peking friendship.

There are the so-called defects, Let me point out the defects first. After the division of this country on the basis of religion and 18 years of bad blood, it is but natural that such a bold step will receive a mixed reception inside the territories of the two States. In Pakistan and in this country there was a mixed reception to this bold decision. We all know and I personally feel that India's independence might have been delayed by a couple of years, but no force on earth could have stopped India's nationhood while the British Empire was crumbling and small countries like Burma and Ceylon were becoming free. There was no force on earth which could have stopped this process, but this process was hastened though this country was divided and it only helped the Congress to be in the saddle of power much carlier, but it was a defeat of India's nationalism which has brought in its trail bitterness and illwill which has continued up till today. I being one of those who belong to the class who have sacrificed all or everything what our forefathers did for the unification of the country cannot reconcile to this division of India on the Lesis of religion.

[Shri P. K. Deo]

Sir, it has received a mixed reception. In spite of the unambiguous assurance of the Prime Minister in this House and outside that Kashmir is an integral part of India and that there would be no surrender of any part in Kashmir, we have put our seal to a document where we have to withdraw from some of our territor-The constitutional pundits quarrel over the constitutional propriety of it. I do not want to join in the wrestling bout on the constitutional platform. But I beg to submit that it is rather too premature to judge whether there has been any actual infringement of the Constitution or not at this stage. strongly that the whole Agreement has to be examined in its true perspective.

When a voice is raised that under no circumstances we are to withdraw, I beg to differ from it. I feel that it is nothing but the restoration of status quo ante. When I hear that voice, I do not hear the voice of reasoning; I do not hear the voice of sanity; I hear the voice of passion; I hear the voice of arrogance; I hear the voice of stubbornness and I hear the voice of Duroyodhana. was the language of Duryodhana? When Lord Krishna went to him as a mediator and asked him to cede only five villages and said that it will be the end of all trouble. Duryodhana replied:

स्तीक्ष्णेन सुच्यग्रेण यावत् तिष्ठित मेदिनी । तावत भीम न दान्यामि बिना यद्धेन केशव ।।

Duryodhana said that under no circumstances he was going to budge an inch of land and that he was not going to cede even that amount of land which the sharpest needle could hold. And you all know the catastrophe, the battle of Mahabharta. We have learnt from the pages of Mahabharata that this whole catastrophe avoided had have been could Duryodhana listened to the words of wisdom and words of reasoning of Lord Krishna. Here the withdrawal is not of the vanquished but of the victor with honour and dignity, truly in Indian tradition

I quite agree that de jure Kashmir is a part of India. But is not so de facto. There has been de facto possession of parts of Kashmir by China and by Pakistan. So far as Pakistan is concerned. all these years we have more or less reconciled to the cease-fire line. Agreement only restores the status quo ante, as I have pointed out, and reaffirms settlement of disputes by peaceful means consistent with the United Nations Charter.

We have to face the realities. What is the other alternative? The other alternative is a perpetual state of war. If Mr. Bhutto says that it is a thousand-years war, we should have been prepared to say that it is a thousand and one years war. Are we prepared to say that? Are we prepared to utilise all our resources for defence only and not for economic reconstruction? It is impossible. I could not be a party to such After all, geography a decision. has made us neighbours for all time to come, whether we like it or not. Rather, we all know that it is a bitter pill. It has been done in the interest of the country. bitter pill has to be swallowed sometimes to improve the health and I am sure it would improve the health if it is implemented in the proper spirit and there is the proper follow-up action.

A big question-mark is posed: What is the guarantee against future infiltration In this regard, I beg to submit that the Secretary-General of the United Nations welcomed this Agreement. We have the blessings of the entire United Nations Assembly. Our great neighbour, U.S.S.R., is honour-bound and if they can play their role properly. I do not see that any aggression could take place on India.

Another apprehension is: Is it not amounting to appeasement to an aggressor? Will it not demoralise our fighting forces? My answer is a big 'No'. I say that our gallant fighting forces have proved their valour and gallantry. They have proved their gallantry against heavy odds and superior arms of the opponent. Their deeds are written in letters of gold. We won many battles in the aggressor's own soil. We, more or less, brought the aggressor to its knees and we knocked at the door of Lahore India has no territorial ambition. We taught them a lesson. In the trial of strength, we have We have proved our superiority. of humiliation wiped out the scar and defeat which had been inflicted on us during the regime of the former Defence Minister and we earned fresh laurels of victory in the crucial battles. We won the war and we must win the peace. It is not the peace of the grave. It is the peace of the brave. We are asking for Of course, the price is that peace. too high. It has to be paid. It is worth it. We want that the beginning that has been made is followed up properly. Unless there is a follow-up action, we might slide back to tension again.

The leader of our Party has tabled an amendment to the Motion of Thanks to the President's Address, It is self-explanatory. May I read tt:

"That at the end of the motion, the following be added, namely,

"but regret that

(d) the Address does not indicate any readiness to follow up the implementation of the Tashkent Agreement by building an economic basis for friendship between India and Pakistan by the abolition of traiffs and duties on goods crossing the frontier between the two countries and by setting up a Joint Committee to consider ways and means by which economic and other forms of cooperation between the two countries may be furthered to the mutual benefit of both."

If there is a proper follow-up action. if our resources are to be mobilised towards economic reconstruction, I am sure the tax burden in both the countries would be minimised. this economic cooperation, we should be able to build a common market. If the independent sovereign countries in Europe could have a European Common Market, why not India and Pakistan also allow the tariff barriers to go? We should pool our resources for our mutual benefit. We should send iron and steel and coal to Pakistan and get food, jute and cotton from there. Each one will be extending a complementary hand in the economic reconstruction of the other. I am sure once this spirit has gathered momentum, it could be extended to other fields. It may be a joint defence agreement against our common enemy, that is, Communit China,

Trust begets trust. Dr. Lohia has often laid stress on forming a confederation. I go a step further, God willing, if all goes on well, why not from the pangs of birth emerge the re-unification of these two nations? After all, we are the same people. When a new world society is gradually emerging quietly and imperceptibly in the minds and hearts of men and national barriers are withering away for a world Government, I dream of the Akhand Bharat Varsh It could only be achieved through the love and friendship and never through war or hatred, This is the first step in the right direction. Once it achieved, it will undo all the mistakes which we have committed so far.

With these words, I support the Tashkent Agreement and urge that it should be given a fair trial.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Mr. Mukerjee.

15 hrs.

Shri Sivamurthi Swamy (Koppal): I have to move my motion.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: That is taken as moved. Mr. Mukerjee may begin.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: A little while ago I was constrained to say that, since yesterday's discussion on Kerala, on the mounting failures of Government, specially of the Food Ministry. have a very sour taste in our mouth and after the exhibition, a little while ago, of incompetence on the Government benches, I feel it difficult muster sufficient enthusiasm in supporting the Government, but the Tashkent Declaration is in a very different street and the spirit of Tashkent has brought, as it were, a wave of fresh air and exhilaration into the sordid atmosphere which so often weighs us down. I feel that, in regard to the Tashkent Declaration, we can, in spite of our very serious criticisms of Government in so many other regards, offer our full support

I have no doubt that India is in honour bound and also out of a sense of self-respect and duty, to redeem the pledge to peace and to consolidation and concord between India and Pakistan which the late Shri Lal Bahashur Shastri had given. He gave that pledge in the fabled city of Tashkent almost, as it were, with the last breath of his being, and it is upto India to honour that great pledge.

Some discordant voices, of course, have been raised which is why the Tashkent spirit, which my friend, the hon. Minister tried to explain ardently, requires to be understood and cherished.

In spite of the recent unhappy confrontation with Pakistan, the basic objectives of peace and amity between our two countries, as embodied in the Declaration of Tashkent, must, on no account, be allowed to be distorted. Nothing can be more wellcome to India than what is indeed the core of that document, namely, the renunciation by both the countries of recourse to force for the settlement of our mutual disputes. Even during August-September, 1965, this country did no more than give a fair stern notice to Pakistan that any attempt to secure alteration of our borders by bullying methods and by recourse to force, would just not be tolerated. Even in the worst days of that encounter, India had made clear that she craved not an inch of Pakistani soil, that she wished neither harm nor humiliation to Pakistan. that she would not, unless absolutely compelled to do so, extend the war in the direction of East Pakistan. We have, in spite of our own share of chauvinists in this country, preferred the ways of dignity and restraint, even in the face of provocation, and to us, therefore, the Taskent stress on the renunciation of force is a highly prized achievement which this House should unconditionally support.

It was no more than appropriate that Tashkent was the venue of the discussion, and that the meeting was sponsored by the Societ Union. Perhaps without the courageous initiative and the truly indefatigable labours of Mr. Kosygin, the Soviet Prime Minister, the successful outcome of the meeting would have been impossible. To him personally and to the Soviet Union, this country has the liveliest sense of gratitude. They have stood by us as principled friends in a manner that, as Lal Bahadur Shastri said in this House during the last session, this country will never If friendship is be able to forget. tested by adversity, the Soviet friendship for India has proved itself a many splendoured thing, and we got an illustration of it when, speaking in Delhi, Mr. Kosygin repeated, "India is our friend and brother".

Renunciation of force for settlement of disputes is a victory of peace of goodwill and of neighbourliness which, commonsense and deceacy require, should prevail in the relationship between our two countries. It was right for India also—I agree with my friend, the hon. Minister—to agree to a mutual withdrawal of armed personnel since Pakistan had consented

not only to withdraw all armed personnel but also to respect, after withdrawals, the ceasefire terms, and there was the undertaking of noninterference in each other's internal affairs. The stipulation that, in order to resolve all disputes, representatives of the two Governments would meet whenever necessary at different levels, either the topmost level or any lower level, is a further indication that we are determined on one thing. If the Tashkent Declaration has any serious content, it is this that the two countries are determined on our own and without the intervention of mischief-minded busy bodies from United Nations or elsewhere. We are determined on our own to liquidate acrimony and to live as instinct and interest dictate-we should live with good-neighbourliness and understanding.

This is entirely in keeping with the country's decision that we follow an independent policy in fcreign affairs. In its worry over food and in its fear of not being in the good books of the United States of America, for example, the Government seems sometimes to forget that basic fact. that is our national decision-a policy of peace and non-alignment-and if, heaven forbid, the Tashkent concord is disrupted or even encumbers serious difficulties in implementation, then the new imprialist power-political game, of which India has been the victim, will surely be resumed. I say this because there are certain things that, in this connection, we cannot just afford to forget.

The United Kingdom, as we have seen over and over again, seems unreconciled to Indian freedom and hopes for Pakistan, being somehow comparatively a safe and malleable customer, as capable of being used even in the way she had intended—Britain had intended—at the time of Partition. Maulena Azad has left it on record that the objective of Britain was potentially to use Pakistan as a Brittish base against India. The 2400 (Ai) LS—9.

hangover of this continues in the minds of the British ruling class. That is why Britain has not become reconciled to the fact of India reedom and the determination of India to go ahead in her own way.

As far as the United States of America is concerned, she reckons her presence in our part of the globe as a sheer power-political necessity which, perhaps, according to the United States' calculations, Pakistan and not India will sub-serve. Of course, it was our failure to effectively combine in the days of freedom struggle, which had compelled us to pay the price in 1947, however reluctantly we had to pay the price of Partition.

The U.K. and the U.S.A., in particular, continue to try to queer the pitch for our two countries to move ahead in peace and in co-operation and to develop our economies and independent policies untrammelled by traditional big power interests in this part of the world. The malevolent and deliberately mischievous attitude of the United Kingdom and the United States of America in matters relative to Indo-Pakistan differences is part of the price which we continue to pay for the original sin which we committed-the original sin of Partitionin 1947.

If we delve into a little history we could see how in 1953-54 the U.S.A. was unable to get India to deviate from her policy of non-alignment, but with their openly proclaimed desire of getting "Asians to fight Asians"that was a solgan put forth by a very distinguished American Presidentthey wanted to control the strategic areas by a kind of proxy. Expert witnesses before the United States Congressional investigations testified how at a cost of only 10 dollars per head, a rifle could be placed in the hapds of a Pakistani soldier, while the comparable cost in the case of an American soldier to be sent to that area would be over 5,000 dollars. I remember having quoted this document from out of the U.S.

[Shri H. N. Mukerjee]

Congressional investigation proceedings, because in those days, as members of the Commonwealth Parliamentary Association or that kind of organisation we used to get these reports, and perhaps because I had quoted this out of the U.S. Congressional investigation proceedings, no longer get such reports distributed by the agencies responsible, who used to give us in those days not only the Journal of the Comonwealth Parliamentary Association but also the Congressional investigations in United States. I have quoted in this House, I remember very distinctly, in 1953 how they had said, or a very important military expert had said that in Pakistan and nearby areas you could put a rifle in the hands of the native soldier at a cost of 10 dollars while to send an American soldier and do the job would cost on an average 5,000 dollars each time.

Shri Joachim Alva (Kanara): We do not get those things now; the Congressional records are no more available to us.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: India declined to offer of walking into the net which America was offering us in the military alliance, but Pakistan swallowed the bait, and the U.S.-Pak military pact was concluded in 1954; then, a virtual time-bomb was planted in our sub-continent whose explosion we saw last year.

This country has seen how all assurances regarding the non-user by Pakistan of United States military assistance against India have been a fake, and this country has seen how aid from certain Powers has been use against us as a weapon, no less a weapon of blackmail in order to cow us into submission. It is a chapter shameful for us and sordid on all accounts, which one would like to forget, but it is very difficult to do so.

It is this bad old context out of which the Tashkent Declaration helps us to steer clear. I do not know if 1

can get this into the craneum of our Ministers over there who seem function in a small way, who do not seem to understand the global significance of certain things, who do not realise how certain things happened because of certain Power-political complexes operating in our part of the It is out of that bad old conworld. text, the context of the US-Pak military pact which was, as I said a little earlier, a time-bomb planted in our part of the world, it is out of that bad old context, that we have to steer clear, and that is what the Tashkent Declaration declares to all the world. If we have differences, we can settle them by discussions among ourselves, not by recourse to arms, not by fighting each other, not by going on bended knees to the United Nations or to our patrons in one country or the other, but by discussions which we hold on our own, uninhibted discussion helped by friends, if friends are genuine friends, sincere well-wishers of ours, if they do not intervene in order to make us follow their way. That is the lesson of Tashkent. That is something which has got to be drilled into the minds of those who are ruling this country. This is not a matter of pragmatically and practically taking a decision of living from hand to mouth and of merely settling some problems because they crop up just like that. It is not in that spirit that you can understand the Tashkent Declaration. If you cannot stand the basic essence of it, then it would be merely another document in the list of documents which circulate in the archieves of the Foreign Ministry.

The Taskent Declaration has been welcomed all over the world. My hon, friend here said that except for China, every other country has welcomed it; and particularly countries like the German Democratic Republic; have given it a very special welcome, because the Taskent Declaration seems to give to them an instrumentality for the kind of problem which separates

the two Germany's which want to come together but on a basis which is acceptable and honourable to both.

We have also to remember that in our own country, whatever some of our friends here might say, the Tashkent Declaration has been welcomed in those border States which have had to bear the brunt of the August-September fighting. In the Punjab it has been welcomed. have seen reports in the press-I go not know what the Jan Sangh spokesman in this House would say, but I have seen reports in the press-tant the Jan Sangh in the Punjab has welcomed the Tashkent Declaration. know that in Rajasthan it is welcomed. I know that Bengal and Assam, for Bengal particularly I can speak from personal experience, welcome it, because in East Pakistan we have got a wonderful pocket of sensibility and decency which one day would perhaps light the torch which would illumine the whole of Pakistan, and we do not want to have inimical relations developing between our two countries. And above Kashmir and her Government led by Sadiq Mг. have welcomed this Tashkent Declaration. Now. we should know what is what, and we should trust the people on the spot, those who fought when fighting was necessary and gave of their blood and their treasure and faced every conceivable risk; they are coming forward to support this idea. And a word of praise for Mr. Sadiq and his Government and the people of Kashmir would perhaps be very necessary: though we have repeated it ever so often, perhaps it is rightful to repeat it. They have all welcomed the idea of Tashkent because this gives us an instrumentality for solving OUT problems.

I know that the question is raised of Haji Pir Pass, and Tithwal and Kargil areas which we occupied for some which tactical considerations. we are now agreeing to withdraw from, and there is some objec-I do not undertion to that. If Pakistan does not it. mean business, if Pakistan does not

wish to observe the Tashkent Declaration-I have no such suspicion up to now-if Pakistan wants mischief, she can do it; she can continue this kind of thing; if infiltrators come, they can come in all kinds of ways, not only through the Haji Pir Pass but through many other passes as well. But here we have got a comprehensive Declaration that they are not going to have that kind of thing at all and that infiltration and that sort of thing which really amounts to intervention in our affairs by recourse to force is not going to be practised at That is the solemn word pledged at an international meeting in a document, attested by the Prime Minister of the Soviet Union himself, by the President of Pakistan and signed also by the Prime Minister India. In that case I do not see why any objection can arise and any dangers of a risk accruing to India might be thought of because we are agreeing to withdraw as we should withdraw in terms of the Declaration from certain areas like Haji Pir Pass.

I would then say, as my hon, friend Shri P. K. Deo had said, that it is necessary for our Government to take further initiative in this matter and to consolidate the gains of Tashkent. It is not a matter of our having come to some kind of an agreement so that there is no fighting between our two countries; but if tension continues, if mentally we continue to be almost at war, then naturally the whole position would be vitiated and jeopardised. Therefore, it is necessary that Government take serious steps to consolidate the bonds of amity between our two Such things as exchanges countries. of students, of writers, of journalists, of teachers, of cultural delegations and that sort of thing, even parliamentary delegations, and sports teams between our two countries can now be undertaken with real gusto and with real fervour; that kind of thing should certainly be undertaken. Economically, he has suggested steps which should surely be taken. After all, the economy of these two countries is inter-dependent. The Bengali in West

[Shri H. N. Mukerjee]

Bengal wants fish from East Bengal and the East Bengali wants all kinds of things, such as consumer goods, from West Bengal. The economy of our two countries-I need not dilate on it at this point of time-is so interdependent that whatever we can do by way of arriving at something like a customs union is most terribly important. But what I do not understand is that we have so many of these exchanges of cultural delegations and students and teachers and so on and so forth with a many other countries, but even in the best of times with Pakistan somehow this exchange has not occurred to the extent that was necessary and this is something which we fail to understand.

In Bengal we know that today in East Pakistan there is such a tremendous feeling for the Bengali language and literature, and for Rabindranath Tagore; in fact, not he alone but other writers of a lesser calibre are also cherished; their works are read with avidity in East Bengal. In Dacca, the Tagore celebrations are held on a scale which could hardly be conceived of in a distant area. In West Bengal a poet like Nazrul Islam is looked upon as a mational figure. Of course, we have all known of great figures like Iqbal who wrote:

हिन्दी है हम बतन है हिन्दुस्तान हमारा।

He could write that at one point of time; maybe later it changed over to something else. But there is somesomething basic in us that makes us realise that while we may be two different states-we may have to continue, unfortunately, separately as two different states for a good length of time-we do belong to the same stock, we do have so many features of affinity that we can easily build upon them. We can build that confederation of minds and hearts. Confederation is talked about by some of our friends here sometimes, but I cannot understand the methodology of their projected achievement of confederation through continuation of fighting or that kind of thing. What is necessary is a confederation of minds and hearts. That is something of a task to which the Government as a whole should lend its hand after Taskhent.

In article VIII of the Tashkent Declaration, there is a reference to one matter. The Prime Minister of India and the President of Pakistan agreed that both sides will create conditions which will prevent the exodus of people.' They will 'continue the discussion of questions lating to the problems of refugees and evictions/illegal immigations'. little while ago I think I saw Fakhruddin Ali Ahmad who belongs to Assam, who knows something about the problem of immigration. Perhaps there should be some kind of understanding between our two countries that for a certain length of time we do not push out these supposed immigrants, we follow a policy of a more generous nature and later we come to a state of things where the minorities on either side are treated so well, that there may be no further exodus and there would be no danger in regard to immigration.

I want to say this over and over again, in spite of appearing to stress one point, that Pakistan, the partition of our country, has meant something like a heartbreak for many of us. In Bengal it is so difficult to conceive of the area watered by the billowy-bosomed Padma and its myriad tributaries, which is the venue of so many of the stories of Rabindranath Tagore, for example, as not part of Bengal. The other day I looked up a verse by the Urdu poet Mir who wrote at one time:

दल हहा के जो काबा बनाया ती क्या किया।

"What if you have built even the house of God on the ruins of the human heart?" We have got our freedom. The two countries are independent states. We surely have a great deal to be feeling exhiparated over that sort of thing. But the heart

has broken because something snapped between these two countries not being able to continue in a friendly posture. I do not see why cannot do something about it. regard to that I would say that even today in this country under the direction of this Government, people continue to be in jail because of a remote suspicion of their having at some time some sort of sympathy with Pakistan. Our colleague, whom we miss in this House, Shri Badruddula is not here just as Shri Gopalan is not here-has been detained for a long time without trial. Till my dving day. I am not going to believe that Shri Badrudduja or Shri Gopalan people who can be traitors to their country. But Shri Badrudduja was held up in jail and is not released even now. Why this kind of thing happens after Tashkent is something which I cannot understand.

Shrimati Renu Chakravarity: We want the Prime Minister to look into this.

Shri Ranga (Chittoor): He is one of our colleagues.

Shri H. N. Mukeriee: My submission to the Government, therefore, is that it lends reality to the spirit of Tashkent and follows up what the Tashkent Declaration calls upon us to do. We have to follow policies which would bring about reconciliation inside the country. If I was a Muslim, it was perhaps very likely, some of our kith and kin being in Pakistan, that in the days of war and fighting what was happening over there as well as here might not have evoked · particularly jingoistic, chauvinistic, feelings in some of us. It is important for us to realise that we are human beings first and citizens of one state or the other next. It is only perhaps in times of tension, in times of great excitement that this happens. Perhaps this is part of human nature. But we recollect ourselves; Tashkent has given us an opportunity to recollect ourselves.

There is one matter to which I wish to refer with which I shall conclued my speech, and that is in relation to China. My hon friend, Shri Das—he is not here—referred to China. One of the points he sought to make was that China is an incorrigible enemy and, therefore, we have to got together with Pakistan and whoever else it may be and try to see that China is more or less liquidated—if we can do so.

Shri Ranga: No. no.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: The Parliament Secretariat has supplied us with this very prettily-printed pamphlet containing the Prime Minister's broadcast to the nation. I find a very fine statement here. It is:

"We seek to maintain the friendliest relations with our neighbours and to resolve any disputes peacefully. The Tashkent Declaration is an expression of these sentiments. We shall implement it fully in letter and spirit".

A very fine statement; in letter and in spirit, we shall fulfil the Tashkent Declaration and we seek to maintain the friendliest relations with neighbours and to resolve all disputes peacefully. The dispute with also is a dispute with a neighbour and it has to be resolved peacefully. I know that I would be told that China is perverse. I yield to nobody in saying,-and I have openly expressed my views in this matter-that I have also found many of China's actions in relation to India to be particularly perverse, which I cannot understand. But there is no reason why we should not take the initiative in this matter; there is no reason why, if other countries are not there to help, we on our part do not keep the point that we want to settle these matters by peaceful methods alive. It is necessary for us to keep that matter alive all the time. If we are really and truly committed to peace, it implies that we have optimism for the future and the conviction that if there are clouds in

[Shri H. N. Mukerjee]

the horizon, the clouds are sure to break. ا الغام ال

An hon. Member: Why not ask Kosygin to help?

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: If there is no hope for the future, as some people think, then perhaps we would not mind the present going up in flames. But we have hopes for the future. We have hopes for China. Surely fourth of the human race lives in that country. The People's Republic of China represents a great force, whether we like it or not, and we have to come to terms with the world as it iα

Therefore, I say that in spite of the grievous provacation which we continue to receive from China-we heard a report about it this morning-I say that we should have two things in mind as our aim at the same time, our determination to defend our integrity and our determination also to pursue the paths of peace in order to have a settlement. And here is a statement of the Prime Minister which fortifies my conviction that the Government's regard is that it is policy in this serious about Tashkent and all it implies, that it wants to settle with all neighbouring countries, whichever is the country with whom we happen to have a dispute, in a peaceful manner.

Let us, therefore, not be helpless victims of whatever situation is created either by China or by the Anglo-American neo-imperialist seum who operate in the United Nations and alsewhere in various disguises world politics today. The wages India-Pakistan hostility may be the death of all the hopes of achievement. This is a challenge to us. These hopes today have soared to heights. We do have the conviction that those hopes would be fulfilled if only the Government pursues truly and sincerely the implications of the Tashkent Declaration.

Shri K. C. Pant: Mr. Deputy-Speaker, I have moved the substitute motion-

"That for the original motion; the following be substituted, namely: --

'That this House having taken into consideration the Tashkent Declaration, approves the stand of the Government of India thereon'".

The two hon. Members who spoken before me represent two extreme segments of the political life of this country. That thev nevertheless, found it possible to support the Tashkent declaration. perhaps for his own reasons seems to suggest that the Tashkent spirit has not left them untouched. The Tashkent declaration was not written on a clean slate. Behind it lay years of arid conflict between the two countries, and in the course of those years, many attempts were made, especially on the part of India, to find a basis whereby force would be nounced for the settlement of disputes. The most significant about the Tashkent agreement is that after all these years it has at last been possible to find an area of agreement which covers this essential point of renunciation of force for the settlement of disputes between the countries. In this sense, the agreement does represent a break-through because it breaks the spiral of suspicion and tension between the countries.

That it should have come so soon after a bloody conflict makes it all the more remarkable. This does not mean that the Tashkent agreement by itself constitutes a solution of any of the outstanding problems between India and Pakistan. It is a declaration of good intent, and its value in terms of concrete results depends upon the sincerity with which it is given effect to. As the Prime Minister has stated her statement yesterday, "the success of the Tashkent declaration

the two armies were facing each other across a fragile cease-fire line. As Shastriji said after the signing of the agreement, the meeting was held, I quote:

676

consists in the fact that both countries are now agreed not to take recourse to force and to settle their disputes through peaceful means."

We are all aware of the events beginning with the aggression by Pakistani infiltrators on 5th August, 1965. We know that the armies of the two countries clashed, and we also know that in this clash, our armed forces gave an excellent account of themselves and if it is not too much to say so, inflicted a resounding defeat on the Pakistan army, in spite of their superiority in equipment. But, really speaking, the main point of that conflict was that India had the will and the determination to stand up and fight when its vital interests were involved, and secondly that it had the ability to fight for those interests. This will and determination to protect its interests and the ability to protect those interests, these constitute main lesson of the conflict that took place in the months of August and September.

If we are to follow the logic of these lessons, then our display of unity, strength and will to fight for our interests by themselves constitute an important factor in maintaining peace on the sub-continent. So long as these factors are there, we do not run any risks by an agreement of this kind.

It is important to remember that India had not entered the conflict with the aim of inflicting a total defeat on Pakistan, and so when the cease-fire came into existence, the position was had that while the Pakistan army drubreceived a somewhat servere bing, its back had not been broken. It is, therefore, wrong to expect the Tashkent declaration to be a catalogue of surrender terms dictated to a defeated Pakistan. In the words of our revered President, "no one would claim that the declaration is a perfect document: it has the elements of give and take, compromise and conciliation."

The House will recall that when the late Prime Minister left for Tashkent,

"in order to see that there is no escalation of conflict. If there had been no agreement here, tension would have become more acute, and it would have led to further conflagration".

It is gratifying that the various steps indicated in the agreement are being taken by both parties, and this has definitely led to a reduction of tension. What is more, the leaders of both countries have acclaimed the Tashkent spirit, though there are some in both who oppose it.

Another element in the background of events is the Security Council resolution which called up India Pakistan first to cease fire and subsequently, when the cease-fire had been achieved, to withdraw the armies on both sides to positions they occupied prior to 5th August, 1965. And must be remembered that not only the USA and the USSR, but all the members of the Security Council were party to this resolution. The Security Council was finding it somewhat difficult to get this resolution implemented, and the danger of the conflict escalating was everpresent. was in this situation that the USSR renewed its initiative for holding the Tashkent talks.

Why did we agree to go to Tash-kent? That is a relevant question, and when we understand that question, we understand many of the implications of the agreement. Firstly we went because we had confidence in the Soviet Union. The Soviet Union had been our consistent supporter in the Security Council and outside on the Kashmir question. Even otherwise, our relations with the Soviet Union are extremely warm and friendly.

The second reason was that because the Security Council was already seized of the so-called Kashmir question, it would eventually take it up

for discussion once again. Our experience of the Security Council meetings has been that far from resolving differences they prompt both parties to adopt extreme positions. It was, therefore, any day preferable, if we really wanted a break-through in our relations, to discuss the issue in the presence of a powerful and friendly Soviet Union which recognises justice of our stand on Kashmir. have to remember that at Tashkent, for the first time in history Soviet Union took a diplomatic initiative in resolving the outstanding differences between two neighbouring countries in Asia. The Soviet Prime Minister, Mr. Gosygin, involved own prestige in the negotiations and played a historical role in guiding them to success.

The long-term significance of the success of the Tashkent talks lies in . the Soviet Union's assertion of its presence in Asia. India should welcome this event, just as China could hardly be expected to welcome it. All along China had done its best to sabotage the Tashkent talks, and their success represents a rebuff to country. China advocates war as a means of settling disputes, and here the dispute was solved around a conference table, at least some of the disputes, even though minor ones, and China definitely has all along shown a lively interest in fomenting between India and Pakistan. In 80 far as the Tashkent agreement represented some step forward towards further agreement between the two countries, it was a rebuff to China. But China is an exception in this regard. International opinion at the moment is overwhelmingly in favour of restoration of normal relations between India and Pakistan.

It was my privilege to represent this country in the delegation to the United Nations last year when was question considered. I had the opportunity to talk to a large number of delegates from a number of countries, and in the course of those discussions I found

broadly speaking, most of those countries were rather tired of the Kashmir problem. They had been hearing arguments year after year for the last 18 years, and almost every one wanted the two countries to sit down and find a way to settle their disputes peacefully as early as possible. one respect I found a change in their attitude after the recent conflict. More and more countries seem to realise that plebiscite was no solution to the problem of Kashmir. This constituted in many cases a departure from previously held opinions. But then having accepted that plebiscite is no solution, they naturally ask as to how the differences over Kashmir were ultimately to be resolved. can be either through military or political means. Every problem to be solved some day and the world community certainly prefers the conference table to the sword. It has also been India's preference all along. Our attitude at the Tashkent could not possibly be inconsistent with this basic approach. When the Prime Minister left this country for Tashkent he held many discussions within the party and with the Opposition leaders and with others and broad sentiment appeared to be that. short of sacrificing our vital interests, everything should be done to prevent the collapse of the Tashkent talks.

678

Shri Bade: That is not the assurance of the Opposition parties.

Shri K. C. Pant: I hope you heard what I said-short of sacrificing our vital interests. What are these vital interests? Broadly speaking, they relate to the states of Jammu and Kashmir and the security of our frontiers. I hardly think that Mr. Bade will disagree with that. As far as the status of Kashmir is concerned we must make it perfectly clear that we stand firmly by our previous position on Kashmir. namely, that it is an integral part of this country.....(Interruptions.) am not yielding I do not have sufficient time. The Tashkent declaration should not be permitted to be considered in any other light. We owe it to our armed forces and our people, particularly to the government and the people of Jammu and Kashmir that there is no ambiguity, no shadow of doubt or possibility of miscalculation on this score. The people Jammu and Kashmir have a right to settle down to a life of normalcy and security. Knowing as we do both the United States and Russia are keenly interested in a settlement between India and Pakistan we must be particularly careful not to give them the impression that the Tashkent declaration constitutes a willingness on our part to compromise our basic position on Kashmir. I do not here suggest that pressures are used but whether we like it or not the jective conditions in which we ourselves leave us open to all kinds of pressures. There is on the hand a threat from China whom we cannot fight alone at this stage. I think there is need for economic assistance at least for a few more years to come. It is better to recognise these pressure points and guard against them than ignore them and he cought by surprise. This brings me to the question of security of our frontiers.

Not even the most starry-eyed optimist would expect the government to relax its vigilance or weaken its defence preparedness because of the is no Tashkent declaration. There sure room for complacency. I am Mr. Bade will agree with me there. In the ultimate analysis the Tashkent declaration at its best can be the first step towards a new chapter of peace, harmony and co-operation between India and Pakistan; at its worst it amounts to the implementation of the first part of the Security Council resolution. Both this declaration the Security Council resolution refer to the withdrawal of armed personnel to the 5th August line.

Shri Bade: Does it refer to the withdrawal of infiltrators from Kashmir?.....(Interruptions.).

Shri K. C. Pant: What is the significance of this date, 5th August? It is this, that infiltration started on this day. It would have been difficult for us ultimately to refuse to withdraw to the 5th August line per the Security Council resolution not only because of world opinion but because we should not ignore that we had our own problems in Chhamb. To those who criticise our withdrawals from Haji Pir etc. I would not attempt to give an answer because the Foreign Minister has already an interpretation of the Tashkent agreement. It covers these and I would only say this that to the best of my knowledge our army agreed with the decision to withdraw from these posts.....

Shri Maurya: No; Army officials—I do not want to name them—did not agree.....(Interruptions.)

Shri K. C. Pant: I am sure he will get his chance to have his say and he should wait for that.

Shri Maurya: Say things which you feel; do not talk about the army; the army did not agree.

Shri K. C. Pant: The second thing is that if we had to withdraw to the 5th August line, then it is my submission that it was better to withdraw in Tashkent and in happy circumstances rather than to do it with ill-grace under pressure from the Security Council.

As to seeking guarantees against further aggression by Pakistan I believe that the only guarantee that counts is our own strength. As a sovereign country if infiltrators come into our country we shall shoot them down. That is the only answer and the only guarantee.

In conclusion may I just say that the Tashkent declaration has been held as a statesman like step throughout the world. It is something more [Shri K. C. Pant]

for us. It is the last commitment to which our late Prime Minister pledged his country. He restored this country's confidence in its own strengtn and ability to defend itself. His faith was born of strength. Let us not be wanting either in that strength or in that faith. Let us not forget that the Prime Minister who signed the declaration on behalf of India was a man of acknowledged sincerity. honesty and a high sense of public duty. He was not a weakling. He country in war without fear and hesitation. Let us only ask ourselves: could such a man have betrayed national interest or national honour. The answer is surely, no. Let us then implement the Tashkent declaration in letter and spirit without harbouring any suspicions in the hope that it will pave the way to peace and understanding with a neighbour will always be there.

थी भागवत झा बाजाद (भागलप्रुर) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, ग्राज जब हम ताशकन्द घोषणा पर विचार कर रहे हैं हमारे मन में स्वभावतः यह भावना माती है कि इसके बनाने वाले हमारे देश के स्वर्गीय प्रधान मंत्री लाल बहादूर शास्त्री जी हमारे बीच होते । उन्होंने इस देश को धपनी प्रतिष्ठा भीर भारता सम्मान देने के लिए जिस प्रकार इस देश की सेना को श्राकामक के विरुद्ध भेजा भौर उसमें जीत पायी उसी प्रकार भ्रयने प्राणों की भ्राहति देकर उन्होंने ताशकन्द में इस देश की प्रतिष्ठा को ग्रीर भी ग्रागे बढाया । उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं समजता हं ताशकन्द घोषणा एक ऐतिहासिक घोषणा 🌡 । ऐतिहासिक घोषणा इस मर्थ में कि ताश-कन्द घोषणा ने न केवल इस महाद्वीप में. न केवल एशिया में बल्कि सम्पूर्ण संाार में शान्ति को बल दिया है। ताशकन्द घोषणा ने न के बल इस बात पर ओर दिया है कि युद्ध से तमाम प्रश्नों का विधान नहीं होता बल्कि ऐसे भी प्रक्त दुनिया के दो देशों के बीच संवार में हैं जिनका हल करने के लिए धावध्यक होता

है कि ऐसी ऐसी घोषनाओं पर विचार किया जाय । उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मुख्य सफलता इस घोषणा की क्या है ? इस घोषणा की मुख्य सफलता यह है कि हमने दोनों देशों के बीच यह तय करा लिया है कि हम बल का परित्याग करेंगे। यह बात हम पर लागु नहीं होती क्योंकि हिन्दस्तान ने कभी भी किसी समस्या का हल करने के लिए पाकिस्तान के साथ बल का प्रयोग नहीं किया लेकिन पाकिस्तान ने जिसने हर सगस्या के समाधान के लिए ब्राज तक बल प्रयोग करने की कोशिश की, उसे इस बात को मानना पडा कि वल प्रयोग से तमाम बातें हल नहीं हो सकतीं भ्रीर इसलिए ताशकन्द घोषणा की सब से महत्वपूर्ण सफलता हमारे स्वर्गीय प्रधान मंत्री लाल बहादर शास्त्री की सफलता भीर हमारे मिन्न कोसीगिन की सफलता इस बात में है कि उन्होंने एक ऐसे राष्ट्र को जिसने भ्रपनी समस्याओं के समाधान के लिए बन प्रयोग को ही महत्वपूर्ण समझा या उसको इस बात पर राजी करा लिया कि बल प्रयोग से समस्याची का समाधान नहीं होगा । इसलिए हमारी मध्य सफलता यह है।

भगर हम इसको पिछले 18 वर्षों की पष्ठभमि में देखें तो इस पर कुछ प्रकाश पड़ेगा क्योंकि हमारा पिछले 18 वर्षों का इतिहास दोनों देशों के बीच में दुःख का इतिहास है, घणा का इतिहास है, घापस में लडाई का इतिहास है। हमारे इस महाद्वीप को जब ब्रिटिश साम्रज्यवादी बांट कर गये तो वह काश्मीर को बम के समान, एक टाइम बम के समान बीच में छोड़ कर गये। इसका परिणाम यह हुन्ना कि दोनों देशों में मार्थिक प्रगति जितनी होनी चाहिए बी उतनी नहीं हो पाई । हमारे वहां तो भाषिक प्रगति हुई भी लेकिन हमारे पड़ोसी पास्किस्तान में धार्थिक प्रगति के बजाय सिर्फ सबरजेट भीर पैटन की ही प्रगति हुई, उस के पास धमरीका के भीर भन्य लोगों के भस्त भादि भाते रहे।

वहां पर हुँ स बात की तैयारियां हैं कि बल प्रयोग के द्वारा हिन्दुस्तान से इस बात को सनवाया जाय कि काश्मीर जो भारत का धिवभाज्य ग्रंग है उसको शक्ति के द्वारा हड़प लिया जाय । यह घोषणा इसलिए महत्वपूर्ण है भीर इसकी मुख्य सफलता इस बात पर है कि हमने पाकिस्तान को यह बता दिया, पाकिस्तान इस बात को मानने पर मजबूर हुआ कि समस्याओं का समाधान बल प्रयोग से नहीं होता हैं। इसलिए इस ताशकन्द की घोषणा की मुख्य सफलता यह है कि हमने इस बात पर जोर दिया।

धाज इस देश में कुछ लोग तरह तरह के प्रश्न उठा कर जनता को गमराह करना चाहते हैं। वह कहते हैं कि ग्रापने हाजीपीर भीर कारगिल ग्रादि स्थानों से वापिस ग्रा कर के ग्रपने देश के साथ धोखा किया है। इस के लिए हम सदन का ध्यान प्रपने स्वर्गीय प्रधान मंत्री शास्त्री जी के 14 सितम्बर के उस पत्र की मोर ले जाते हैं जो उन्होंने उस समय ऊषांट को लिखा जब कि वह हमारे देश में ग्राये थे । जिस समय पाकिस्तान धीर हिन्दस्तान के बीच यद चल रहा था जिस समय हमारी सेनायें भाकामक को भगाने पर लगी हुई थीं उस समय ऊ थाट हमारे देश में धाये थे तो उस समय स्वर्गीय प्रधान मंत्री भी लाल बहादूर शास्त्री ने स्पष्ट शब्दों में कहा या कि हमारी सेनाएं लड़ती रहेंगी जब तक पाकिस्तान इस बात को महसस न कर ले कि बल प्रयोग के द्वारा समस्याची का समाधान नहीं होता है । उन्होंने सिर्फ यही नहीं कहा भ्रपित उन्होंने यह भी कहा कि धगर दोनों देशों के बीच यद्धविराम हम्रा तो यद्धविराम के बाद भी हमारी सेनायें तभी पीछे हटायी जायेंगी जब पाकिस्तान इस बात को कहे कि वह बल प्रयोग नहीं करेगा। दूसरी बात उन्होंने यह भी कही कि जब तक यह दरवाजा ध्सपैठियों के भाने के लिए बन्द नहीं हो जायगा तब तक हमारी सेनायें नहीं हटेंगी । स्वर्गीय प्रधान मंत्री श्री लाल बहादर मास्त्री की यह दो शतें थीं जोकि उन्होंने

युनाइटेड नेशंस को भीर सैकेटरी जनरल ऊ थांट के सामने रक्खीं थीं। हम ने उनकी स्पष्ट रूप से बता दिया था कि हम तब तक भ्रपनी फींजे नहीं हटायेंगे जब पाकिस्तान यह प्रतिझान करे कि हम बल प्रयोग नहीं करेंगे। दसरी गर्त हमारी यह थी कि घसपैठियों के ग्राने के लिए दरवाजा जब तक बंद नहीं होता तब तक हमारी सेनाएं पीछे नही हटेंगीं। इस के दूसरी भोर उपाव्यक्ष महोदय, पाकिस्तान की क्या शतें थीं ? पाकिस्तान ने सेकेटरी जनरल को लिखा था कि हम तब तक भ्रपनी सेनाएं यद्व विराम के बाद नहीं हटायेंगे जबतक कि काश्मीर का प्रक्त हल न हो जाय या काक्मीर के प्रक्त को हल करने के लिए कोई एक ऐसी मणीनरी नहीं बना दी जाती जिससे कि इस प्रश्न की निकट भविष्य में हल करने के धासार नजर धार्ये । धब दोनों देशों की इस पृष्ठभूमि में ताशकन्द घोषणा को देखिये । ताशकन्द घोषणा ने उन दो बातों पर जोकि हमारे स्वर्गीय प्रधान मंत्री ने कही थीं उन दो बातों पर पहले धमल किया। (Interruption)

पाकिस्तान को मजबूर हो कर मानना
पड़ा कि वे बलप्रयोग नहीं करेंगे। पाकिस्तान
को यह मानना पड़ा कि वे खुमपैठिय नहीं
भेजेंगे। लेकिन इस के दूसरी घोर हम
देखते हैं कि जो पाकिस्तान की गतें थीं
कि जब तक काश्मीर के प्रश्न का हल न हो या
उसे हल करने के लिए कोई ऐसी मशीनरी
की व्यवस्था न हो जिससे कि इस प्रश्न के
निकट शविष्य में हल होने के घासार नजर
धार्ये, उसकी कहीं कोई इस में बात नहीं है।
(Interruptions) घगर माननीय सदस्य
बारवार मुह खोलने के बजाय कान धोले
रहें तो फायद हो।

आज हम स्पष्ट रूप से यह देखा रहे हैं कि ताझकन्द में इन दो बातों पर जिन पर कि हिन्दुस्तान ने बस दिया था और हमने सदन में यह प्रतिज्ञा की थी, इस सदन में स्वर्गीय प्रधान संबी ने कहा था कि जब तक यह दो झर्ते पूरी नहीं होती हैं तब तक हमारी श्री भागवत का बाजादी

Tashkent

फीजें पीछे नहीं हटेंगी वह हमारी दो कर्ते तो पूरी हुई लेकिन पाकिस्तान की शत पूरी नहीं हुई । पाकिस्तान के राष्ट्रपति ने बार बार यह घोषणा की थी कि जब तक यह काश्मीर का प्रश्न हल नहीं होता या उसके हल के लिए कोई उपयुक्त मशीनरी स्थापित नहीं की जाती जिससे कि उसके निकट भविष्य में हल होने के झासार नजर म्रायें, जब तक उनकी यह दो बात नहीं मानी जातीं तब तक वह फौजें भ्रपनी पीछे नहीं हटायेंगे । उनकी वे बातें नहीं मानी गई।

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मझे तो यह देख कर बडा भाश्चर्य भीर दू:ख होता है कि इस सदन में कुछ ऐसे सदस्य मौजद हैं जो कि समझते हैं कि उनके देश के एक भ्रविभाज्य काश्मीर को या उसके एक भाग को सौंपा गया है। मझे इस तरह का विचार रखने वालों की बुद्धि पर तरस द्याता है भीर आश्चर्य व दःख होता है कि ऐस लोग भी मौजद हैं। बास्तविकता तो यह है कि इस घोषणा ने एक बात तो यह मानी कि कहीं बल प्रयोग नहीं होगा दूसरे यह कि घूसपैठियों को यहां भन्दर नहीं भाने दिया जायगा । इस सम्बन्ध में मैं ताशकन्द दिक्लेरेशन के धार्टिकल तीन की ग्रोर भाषका ध्यान श्राक्रष्ट करना चाहता हं जिसमें यह कहा गया है कि पाकिस्तान ने यह मंजर किया कि हम भारत के धान्तरिक मामलों में हस्तक्षेप नहीं करेंगे। ताशकन्द में हमारे स्वर्गीय प्रधान मंत्री ने, हमारे विदेश मंत्री ने स्पार हमारे रक्षा मंत्री ने स्पष्ट शब्दों में कहा कि काश्मीर भारत का श्रविभाज्य भंग है। काश्मीर भारत का भविभाज्य शंग है इसको जब पाकिस्तान ने भारत के भांतरिक मामलों में हस्तक्षेप न करने की बात को मान कर इसे, स्वीकार किया कि हम इस देश में घसपैठिये नहीं भेजेंगे । इसलिए माज यह कहना कि पुसपैठियों के भाने का दरकाजा बन्द हुआ या नहीं हुआ तो मेरा

कहना है कि लाशकन्द डिक्लेरेशन के बार्टिकल ध्री के तहत इस बात की प्रति हो गई है। भव यह बात दूसरी है कि भव कोई भी राष्ट्र किसी एक घोषणा में शामिल हो कर फिर उसका उल्लंघन करने लगे। संसार में इस तरह के बहुत से उल्लंघन होते हैं। अब पाकिस्तान जिसने कि 18 वर्षों से यह भारत विरोधी नीति श्रपनाई हुई हो उसने भ्रगर ताशकन्द घोषणा को माना. उसने इस बात को माना तो हम उस की ईमानदारी पर विश्वास करते हैं जिस तरह कि ग्राप ग्राशा करते हैं कि ग्रापकी इमानदारी पर श्रीर लोग भी विश्वास करें। ग्रगर इस वक्त उसने ग्रपने कशन पर ग्रमल नहीं किया, घोषणा जिसे कि उसने स्वीकार किया है उसके अनरूप उसने आचरण नहीं किया तो जैसा जवाब हमने उसे भूतकाल में दिया है वैसा ही जवाब हम उसे भागे भी देने से नहीं चकेंगे ।

जपाध्यक्ष महोदय, ग्रब प्रश्न यह उठाया जाता है कि काश्मीर पर इसका क्या असर होगा ? हमारी प्रधान मंत्री श्रीमती इंदिरा गांधी ने एक बार नहीं बार बार यह कहा कि इसका काण्मीर पर कोई ग्रसर नहीं होता है। यह इस घोषणा में है। यह उन्होंने कहा कि काश्मीर भारत का अविभाज्य अंग है भौर यह रहेगा। उसके बाद प्रम्न उठाया जाता है कि मुरक्षा पर उस का क्या ग्रसर होगा। यह बात स्पष्ट है कि हमारे इस पड़ोसी ने जहां उसने इस समझीते पर हस्ताक्षर किये हैं हां हमारी सीमा पर दूसरी स्रोर चीन है जसकी कि साम्राज्यवादी ग्रीर विस्तारवादी नीति और जिसकी कि भांख हमारी दौलत पर भौर जिसके कि दांत हमारी सीमाभी पर है इसलिए स्पष्ट है कि हम अपने देश की सुरक्षा सम्बन्धी तैयारियों में कोई भी कमी नहीं करेंगे। हम जानते हैं कि सुरक्षा की इस तैयारी से हमारी द्यापिक प्रगति में रुकावट ग्रायेगी लेकिन ग्रपने देश की सार्व-

भौमिकता, भ्रपने देश की भ्रखंडता, भ्रपने वेश की प्रतिष्ठा भीर सम्मान के लिए हम सदा तैयारी करते रहेंगे । ताशकन्द योजना के अन्तर्गत हमारी सुरक्षा पर कोई असर महीं पड़ता । इसलिए हम देखते हैं कि यह तीन, चार प्रश्न जो हमारे कुछ माननीय सदस्य यहां पर या बाहर जनता के दिमाग में देते हैं जैसे कि काश्मीर पर क्या ग्रसर पडेगा. सरक्षा पर क्या ग्रसर पडेगा भीर घसपैठियों पर क्या असर पडेगा यह तमाम प्रश्न बेदलील भौर निराधार हैं। इसलिए इन प्रश्नों को अलाकर यह देखिये कि इस ऐतिहासिक घोषणा की वास्तविक सफलता क्या है ? मैं इस को मानता हं कि ताशकन्द घोषणा यद का बाबाहन नहीं है बल्कि यह ताशकद बोषणा शान्ति का भावाहन है । इस पष्ठभूमि में देखते हए जहां देश के प्रधान मंत्री ने अपने देश की रक्षा के लिए अपनी फॉओं को कि तुम ग्राकामक का हरूम दिया मकाबला करो माज उनके लिए यह कहना कि इस देश के उस महान प्रधान मंत्री ने किसी दबाव के घन्दर प्राकर घपने देश के किसी भाग को दिया इस से बड़ी मर्मनाक बात भौर कोई नहीं हो सकती है। उस महान् भादमी ने भीर उस महान सेनानी ने न केवल इस महाद्वीप में, एशिया महाद्वीप में शांति के लिए भिपत् सम्पूर्ण संसार में शान्ति रह सके उसके लिए उन्होंने एक सकिय योग प्रदान किया और उस दृष्टि से उनकी इस घोषणा का हम ब्रादर करेंगे। जिस शान्ति को उन्होंने यह घोषणा करके बल दिया है उसकी हम भागे बढाने की चेट्टा करेंगे । यही उस दिवंगत महान भारमा के प्रति हम लोगों की सच्ची श्रद्धांजिल होगी । साथ ही जो हमारे देश की सुरक्षात्मक तयारियां है उनमें ढील न भाने पाये भीर हम उस दिशा में हिम्मत भीर मस्तैदी के साथ भागे वर्डे । हम प्रसन्न हैं कि हमारी सरकार ने घापस के यातायात. धापस की जो टेड है और धापस के जो दतानास भादि के सम्बन्ध हैं उन सम्ब धों को उन्होंने ठीक कर लिया है। हम चाहते हैं

कि इस घोषणा के धन्तर्गत जो उच्चस्तरीय वार्ता होने की बात दोनों सरकार के बीच में कही गई है वह परस्पर वार्ता की जाय हम जानते हैं कि हमारे देश के सामने प्रश्न है कि संसार में शान्ति स्थापित हो, पड़ी सी राष्ट्रों के साथ हमारे मैत्रीपूर्ण सम्बन्ध हों भौर साथ ही हम भपने भाधारभत सिद्धान्तीं पर कायम रहें। हमारे स्वर्गीय प्रधान मंत्री. पंडित जवाहरलाल नेहरू. ने पिछले वर्षी में इस देश को क्या दिया? उन्होंने हम को ये सिकास्त विये कि इस संसार के तमाम प्रश्नों का शान्तिपूर्ण समाधान चाहते हैं ौर हम शान्तिपूर्ण सह-ग्रस्तित्व में विश्वास करते हैं। मैं समझता हं कि पंडित जवाहरलाल नेहरू ने इस देश को जो धादर्श दिये. ी लाल बहादर शास्त्री ने ताशकंद में इस घोषणा पर हस्ताक्षर कर के उन को मतंरूप विद्या। इसलिए इस देश का, इस संसद का और यहां को जनता का यह परम कलंब्य है कि हम इस घोषणा का स्वागत करें। हम नहीं चाहते कि हम धपनी फीओं को सीमाधों पर खडा रखें। हम चाहते हैं कि जहां हमारे देश की सुरक्षा की पूर्ण व्यवस्था हो, वहां हमारे देश में धार्थिक प्रगति भी हो।

16.01 hrs.

[SHRI SONAVANE in the Chair].

सारे संसार ने इस घोषणा का स्वागत किया है । हमारे परम् मिल, सोवियत प्रधान मंत्री, श्री कोसिजिन, के समक्ष पाकि-स्तान ने कुछ प्रतिकार्य और वादों पर विश्वास करते हैं । धगर इसके वावज्य इन प्रति-क्राघों और वादों पर धमल नहीं किया गया, तो निश्वय ही हमारे देश की मुग्क्षा तैयारी बराबर हो ग्ही है । निश्वय ही हम कभी उस बात से पोखे नहीं हटेंगे, जिस को करने से हमारे टेज की सावैयंगिकता, ध्रावंटना, प्रतिष्ठा और सम्मान की रहा होगी । धस्तु,

[श्री भागवत झा भाजाद]

हम चाहेंगे कि ताशकंद की ऐतिहासिक घोषणा का सम्मान किया जाये, इस का समर्थन हो और इस के अनुसार व साहसिक कदम उठाये जायें, जिन के द्वारा इस उप-महाद्वीप में शान्ति हो ।

हम प्रधान मंत्री के उस विचार का भी स्वागत करते हैं कि हम चीन के साथ भी शान्तिपूण सम्बन्ध चाहते हैं । यह विचार प्रकट करने के साथ साथ उन्होंने यह भी कहा कि ऐसी कोई बात नहीं की जायेगी. जिस से हमारी प्रतिष्ठा पर भ्राघात हो। म्रगर चीन कोलम्बो प्रोपोजन्स को स्वीकार करे और अपने भ्राकामक रवैये को छोड़ कर शान्ति वार्ता करने के लिए तैयार हो, तो उसके साथ शान्ति की बात-चीत की जायेगी। हम चाहते हैं कि ताशकद घोषणा के अन्य कदमों पर विचार किया जाये। साथ साथ ग्रगर चीन भी सम्मानजनक समझौते के लिए तैयार हो, तो उस पर भो विचार किया जाये। इस बारे में हमारे प्रधान मंत्री साहसिक कदम जठायें।

इन शब्दों के साथ हम इस महान् घोषणा का, अपने स्वर्गीय प्रधान मंत्री, श्री शास्त्री, की घरोहर का स्वागत करते हैं भीर उसका समर्थन करते हैं।

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Mr. Chairman, Sir, the discussion which we are entering upon today will have a farreaching effect on the future of our country. This morning I raised a point of order, which unfortunately the Speaker was pleased not to decide. I am not here at this stage to reiterate the same arguments, but I would like to analyse the statement that has been presented by the minister.

You know there is a saying in the world that you can wake up a sleep-ing man, but you cannot wake up those who are already awake. The government knows fully well what

folly it is committing and it is no use pointing out that their action is foolish or silly. On the face of it, this action is a wrong being done t this country. Nobody wants war. We in India never wanted war, but it was thrust upon us and Pakistani forces were held at leash against us for 18 years. We gave them a taste of our power. It is only when they tasted the rebuff that they went about seeking some mediator and played upon the sentiments of the world at large that there should be peace. It was the peace of the hypocrite, not the peace of an honest man. We offered them a no-war pact times without number But Pakistan never accepted it and we had to fight. We fought and taught them a lesson. But that was not enough in the sense that a crushing defeat was not imposed upon them. They wanted it, but we refused to give it. We never wanted to go the whole hog, with the net result that they thought it better to have a cease-fire. They are to this term 'cease-fire'. We had an experience of this cease-fire in 1947. We are again having this experience

On 26th October, 1947, His Highness Maharaja Hari Singh of Kashmir signed his accession and the accession was accepted by us. How is it that I find in this Year Book of the United Nations of 1948-49 of the United Nations this statement:

"At the Council's 230th meeting on 20 January a resolution was adopted..... Written proposals, submitted by the representatives of India and Pakistan, stressed the importance of a cessation of fighting and recorded agreement in principle on the desirability of a plebiscite to determine the accession of the State of Jammu and Kashmir to India or to Pakistan."

Did we enter into these negotiations with our eyes open or did we lack in foresight and lucid expression of our thoughts that this muddle has

taken place that the accession of Kashmir to India or Pakistan was a subject open for discussion? Our country has accepted the accession signed by 560 rulers in all and by the mere signature of these rulers, who were soverign de jure and de facto, the accession was full and complete. Why the accession of Kashmir was not full and complete passes my comprehension and the country is not prepared to believe it.

In October, 1949, the Constituent Assembly of Jammu and Kashmir passed the Constitution of that State declaring that that State shall be an integral part of India. What State? The State which was the Princely State of Jammu and Kashmir, with all its boundaries as they existed on 15th August 1947. That is what article 4 of the Constitution of Jammu and Kashmir states. So there nothing ambiguous which is left. do not know why ridicule has been thrown against this Constitution and in his arugment, one of the hon. Members said that it is foolish on the part of anyone of us to consider that that part of Jammu and Kashmir ishis own quote language-"मविभाज्य भंग भारतवर्ष का है।"

It is. There is no doubt in my mind that every part of Jammu and Kashmir territory is an integral part, an undivisible part of India. If that conception persists-and that is the only conception which has been repeated in this House over and over again-then even for a moment we cannot give up the territory which belongs to us, which has been recovered by us, which has been taken from the thief. I as a lawyer, Sir, have never come across a single case when stolen property recovered by police or by our own efforts is given back in the court to the thief. That is not the law, that cannot be the law and even the ordinary law against that. How is it that this terri-

tory belonging to us-Kargil . Tithwal and Haji Pir-recovered by us by force is being given over today? I do not for a moment ay, do not give back Sialkot, do not give back Lahore. No. I will not stand in the way of your doing that for the sake of treaty. good conscience, justice and equity. We are bound to, when we come to terms, give back Sialkot and Lahore and get back Chamb and Khemkaran. But. Sir. it cannot enter in my mind for a moment, it cannot fall from my mouth even for once that Haji Pir, Kargil and Tithwal can be given back, They cannot be given back

Why has Government furked in doing the right thing. They should have come before this House. They have got an absolute majority. Just as they passed a law for giving away Berubari, just as they passed an Act under article 368, they could have moved a resolution this House it be decided whether or not country will tolerate this decision to give away this territory of Haji Pir. Tithwal and Kargil to Pakistan.

Sir, arguments have been advanced. When the point of order was raised, my hon. friends from the Communist Party, very enlightened and intelligent people, committed this mistake of not supporting the point of order, because everything that comes from Russia is good for them, everything that comes from America or Great Britain is bad for them and everything that comes from India is foolish. I should say Sir, that that attitude must be given up. We are here to take a detached view. We are sitting in this House after having taken the oath under the Constitution. It i our duty to interpret it, interpret it rightly, fairly, squarely and justly. If we interpret it in that way, is there any other meaning possible for the language used here in this Constitution? If that is the position, how is it that we are giving up his territory, what are the circumstances under which we are giving up this territory?

[Shri U. M. Trivedi]

Let us see this whole Tashkent show. We remember, on 20th September, before the cease-fire the hon. Prime Minister, the late lamented Lal Bahadur Shastri, told us that he one and only reason which made him ultimately agree to this cease-fire was this, that he had a letter from Soviet Russia, from the Prime Minister of Russia. He had a telegram from him.

Shri K. C. Sharma (Sardhana): It is doubtful.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: It is not doubtful coming from me, it is doubtful coming from you.

The question is this. When the hon. Prime Minister, Lal Bahadur Shastri, went to Tashkent, he was accompanied by our Minister of External Affairs and our Defence Minister. They are wise men in their own way, but it requires a great deal of firmness in the mind of a man to stand up goondaism. What was actually happening was, President Ayur Khan was talking one thing and Bhutto on the other hand was talking another thing. We were badly let down by the foolish, incompetent propaganda that was carried out and the incompetent publicity that our courtry received in Britain and America. appeared, on the face of it, that they had given up giving proper aid to us.

The only friend that we could point out was this friend who had the occasion of vetoing certain decisions in To that friend we looked the UNO. and to that friend we went. Every day from the 4th onwards, news was coming into India day in and day out, morning and evening, in the radio to which we listened, disclosing this state of affairs that there was possibility of coming to an agreement because Kashmir was not to be discussed. This pivot of Kashmir there which prevented the talks from coming to a successful end. went on till the morning of the 10th.

What happened on the 10th, I do not know and nobody has told this House as to what happened on the 10th, that suddenly news is flashed out in the evening saying that we are all happy that the agreement has been signed The hon. Minister of External Affairs, Shri Swaran Singh, was pleased to say that this was drafted on the 9th night and morning of the 10th. If that was so, why was it that in the news that was flashed out from Tashkent in the morning of the 10th it negatived any idea about the Tashkent agreement?

Sir, what was the pressure that was being brought on him. We were not there. We do not know what pressure was brought upon our late Prime Minister. He was not a man who would easily yield to pressure. He was a firm man, a man with his own ideas, although a mild man, a docile gentleman but a man with a will. To bring him down they had to carry on propaganda not for one day, two days, three days, four days or five days but for days together, and ultimately the pressure was felt. What was that pressure. It was poured into his ears that we had no rifend left, our only friend was Russia and therefore he should not discard the advice given by Russia. That was poured into his ears.

An hon. Member: By whom?

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Whosoever was there. I was not there. Who poured that into his ears you have to judge for yourself. When that was poured into his ears, naturally, at the last moment....

An hon, Member: You should make it clear.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: The hon. Member can clear it when I have finished. When the whole question was being put under that pressure and that kind of advice which was available to him from those who were present at the

last moment, the man felt it. This morning, Sir, making a statement in the House about the sad demise of the late Prime Minister, the Minister of External Affairs was pleased to say that after he had signed it they saw that he was relaxed. I do not know what he means by the word 'relaxed'. when he says that the man with a will even in the worst calamity felt relaxed and wanted to show that he was relaxed. The question is whether he was mentally worried, whether that mental worry was weighing upon his mind. One who had asserted in this House over and over again, before the Members of the Opposition whenever they had an opportunity of meeting him, that he will not give up on this point and there was getting out of Haji Pir, Kargil and Tithwal, he felt relaxed after agreement. I remember an occasion when my hon, friend, Shri Bagri, asked him how it was that if he does not give up on this question he agreed to the cease-fire he said: यह तो भाई एक तिकडम है।

These are the words which he repeated and we were satisfied that there is our Prime Minister who is not willing to give up any territory. From that gentleman it was least expected that he would give up. Therefore, whatever be the denial, I am not prepared to believe for a moment that pressure was not put upon him to agree to this.

The question asked by the people is this. Are we going to rest on the belief that by virtue of this Tashkent Agreement we will have peace? Are we day-dreaming? For 18 years we have experience of Pakistan.

भी बड़े: च्स पैठ की गारेन्टी भी नहीं दी।

Shri U. M. T-ivedi: Leaving aside ghus paith, how long are we going to suffer from the hatred that has been put up against us? Was it well received in Pakistan? Was this Treaty of

2400 (Ai) LS-10.

Tashkent well-received in Pakistan? It was not. Was the arch—I do not want to use the word criminal—was the arch offender, in this case, Shri Bhutto, satisfied? No, he was not satisfied.

An hon. Member: Just as you are not satisfied.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Yes, you are right, perfectly right. I am not satisfied and the country is not satisfied. It is only people like you, who have nothing to gain or lose, that will be satisfied with this agreement.

Shri Sheo Narain (Bansi): We are not going to join the Bagri school.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: All right. The question is this. On that day, when all of you clapped—it was reported in the press; I did not see it because I was not present here—I do not know whether you also clapped your hands.

Mr. Chairman: The hon. Member should address the Chair.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I am sorry. It so happens that the Minister is sitting opposite to me. I would be pleased if my friend, Shri Swaran Singh, tells us that he also did clap. This was what was reported in the press. I can only repeat what I read, because I was not present here then.

The enmity, hatred, aversion India's progress, which is deep-rooted in Pakistan is still there. It has not gone away. There is only one method of dealing with it-either engendering love or engendering fear. As it is not possible to engender love, we have to engender fear. We have miserably failed in achieving that particular aspect of creating fear in the mind of Pakistan. We have not been successful there. I do not say that we have to fight. I do not want to fight for the sake of fight because I know the horrors of war. Each one of us understands the horrors of war. Nobody is preaching war and I am not a war-monger. But as a practical man in life I know that there are only [Shri U. M. Trivedi]

two ways in which we can deal with a person or country. Therefore, when Shastriji went from here he said "there will not be one Tashkent but many Tashkents". But one Tashkent took away the life of that great man.

Mr. Chairman: The hon. Member's time is up. He has already taken 20 minutes.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I will take two more minutes.

At one time the London Times wrote "the load-stone of every aspect of Pakistan's foreign policy is relations with India". Has that loadstone changed? I ask for an assertion from our Minister of External Affairs "has that load-stone changed"? will be very happy, nobody will be happier than myself, if it is so. I do not want war, I repeat. The demonstrations that have been held by the Jan Sangh are demonstrations not to bring about war or demand war from you. They are only to strengthen your hands. They are only to give expression to the feeling on the part of the public at large that the giving up of Kargil, Tithwal and Haji Pir is not liked by the people.

Therefore, I say that if with all the prudence that you can show, with all the logic that the Government can show, with all the courage that you have in your hands you come to the conclusion that you want to give up Kargil, Tithwal and Haji Pir, then bring a Bill before this House, have it debated in this sovereign Parliament so that the determination and will of Parliament is ascertained and get it passed by the majority that is required under article 368 if you want to give up that territory. In that case, I will be satisfied. That is all what I want, nothing more. I do not approve of the method by which you want to hand over a portion of our territory. As such, the Tashkent agreement is not approved of by me, by the country and shall not be approved of for all times to come.

Shri Krishna Menon (Bombay City North): Mr. Chairman, when on the Republic Day our Prime Minister told this nation and the world regarding the declaration at Tashkent that it would be implemented in full, in spirit and in letter, she was not only, in my view, declaring and reiterating an important piece of Government policy but reflecting the sentiments of the overwhelming majority of our people. That, however, casts upon us certain responsibilities. When we say that the declaration should be implemented in letter and in spirit, it is important that we should try to think in own-minds both the genesis of this declaration, its implications and also what part peoples played in this. I intend no back of enthusiasm about this declaration when I say that in all international agreements, on the one hand a romantic approach and on the other a cynical approach is shown: that is to say, expectations are aroused, as was the case in Bandung. But, then, there are ups and downs in all international relations, I have often heard it said that this is a declaration of intent. I would like to say with great respect to our Prime Minister that I hope she discourages this attitude towards it. This is not a declaration of intent, but a declaration of a solemn resolve to pursue the path of peace, even with our bitterest enemies or those who mistakenly think that this country may submit to force of arms of their own or other peoples'.

This declaration has to be understood also in the context of not only our world relations but world relations in respect of this continent. As has been stated repeatedly, our relations with Pakistan and Pakistan's relations with us are a hang-over from the days of the empire. I do not want to go into the question of Low it came about, but there is no

doubt that even after the empire had folded down and even when we resisted its attempt to come by the back door, we have always had them around us. There have been a large number of talks with Pakistan, sometimes rounds of talks with the British or the Americans breathing down out shoulders. In the Tashkent Declaration, while I myself do not subscribe to the view that any particular country has either an excess or a monopoly of diplomatic skill, a departure is made in the sense that on the Asian continent there are other forces operating, that we function as independent countries without the impact and the inhibition of past weight upon us. So in this sense, it was a new departure, which draws a new outline of the political geography of Eurasia.

It is for the first time to my knowledge that conversation between pakistan and India had been really twoparty mee.ings. The Tashkent Conference was not a three-party conference, as some people seem to think, but a two-party conference. The intentions of the Soviet Union, to my limited experience in negotiations with them, have always been when there are two parties who want to come to an agreement, introducing a mediator does not always achieve the results. We had the same trouble in regard to Korea to convert them to this view and I think events have proved that probably they were right. Two parties that cannot see eye to eye with each other should be brought face to face to resolve their differences. So, Tashkent was more a forum, an area where we were the guests of the Soviet Government. That is why I say there was a two-party conference rather than a three-party conference.

civil There was no formula, no servants running around and whispering into our ears what we should say. There was no formula of any kind. So far as this Parliament's and the nation's criticism is concerned, all I have is this document. I do not have to ask Shri Chavan what some-

one said to someone else because at all international conferences so much would be said that is pleasant or unpleasant. We are only concerned about the results and those results are this extension of dimensions of international politics in this way which would have an effect not only on our country and on Afro-Asia but also on the new face of Latin America, where so many conflicts of this kind are taking place, and Vietnam or anywhere else. Ultimately the solution has to be found by keeping the older imperial powers out of interfering with them and seeing some troubled waters that they can away. This is one of the aspects which many people may regard as remote but no development of any country takes place except in the context of its international effects.

If there was anybody who thought that at Tashkent would emerge master agenda or a complete solution of our problems, they were really thinking romantically. At one time the newspapers talked of a master agenda and I felt rather depressed because the moment you get on to the master agenda you only magnify all the difficulties that you have. The only thing we could have done was nibbling at things and removing whatever was there.

What was the purpose of this conference? The purpose was to create a climate of rapproachment, that is to say, a disengagement of some kind. We cannot look at this problem without looking at the fact that after the escalation of war efforts bν neighbour and after the conflict on a large scale-whether war was declared or not, there was conflict on a large scale-before and after Tashkent after the United Nation's resolution of 23rd September, we had situation a alone where-the Defence Minister can tell you-considerable elements of our fighting forces, our armour, our equipment and a considerable amount of our national energies were pitted one againt the other. We were on each other's territory and let it be

[Shri Krishna Menon]

701

said that the United Nations resolution did not bring cease-fire; it was cease-fire of a solution fire never ceased. There were thousands of violations. not few but several thousand violations, of the cease-fire agreement and it is up to any government to find out how do we disengage ourselves from this posi-It was cease-fire without an armistice in sight; it was cease-fire without withdrawals and the only solution that was provided was that of sending of United Nations observers, whom we had for the last 19 years observing one side or the other, sometimes observing neither. Therefore there was no prospect of a disengagement in the immediate present and the disengagement that was to be brought about on the long cease-fire line was something which we required and they required if for nothing else to save the enormous amount of expenditure from day to day. Each day must cost crores of rupees to occupy somebody else's country. Therefore usengagement was necessary.

What I have said should not be taken in the way of thinking that this was a counsel-I would not call, defeat-of making the best of a bad job. That was not the position. After all wars and after all conflicts of any kind, this problem of disengagement is one of the most difficult which the Western nations have not been able to solve with all their armies of occupation all over the place with all the consequences that follow. As an exercise of disengagement, if nothing else, it has been rapid, conclusive and, if reports are right, in three or four days' time we shall get back to asyou-were in that way.

Then come the other aspects of it. As I said in the beginning, speaking for myself, I looked upon this Tashkent exercise even before they went there as merely an attempt to restore normality. We had broken diplomatic relations not officially but for all practical purposes. Now, we have come to their restoration under the Geneva Convention of 1961 which

means that they cannot go and beat our people nor can we do the same. That is to say, a degree of civilised relationship will come about between the two countries. I do not subscribe to the view that because we said we forswear peace, peace will be forsworn because that is part of the United Nations Charter, that is part of the general teleological doctrine of turning swords into plough shears. And nobody has turned them so far. But each time we repeat the sentiments, we add something to its promotion. So, the agreement to forswear force, to forswear use of force as such, and whatever our rights are. these are not to be accomplished by taking more initiative.

So far as we are concerned, we are committed not to fire bullets. That is to say, we will not take the initiative in war. Again, without any disrespect to the present performance, I would like to say that this is merely a reiteration, a development, an enlargement of the policies that we have either followed or we tried to follow all along. In this connection, it is also significant to quote the speech of the Prime Minister. It goes on to say:

"...We have followed policy of peace and friendship with all nations. Yet we reserve to us the right of fundamental opinion. The principles which have guided our foreign are in keeping with the best traditions of our country and are wholly with consistent national interest. The fundamental principles laid down by my father to which he dedicated his life will continue to guide us . . ."

Now, that, I think, is what is basically to be considered. That is to say, there is no departure—I do not mean departure in the method—there is no basic departure from our aims and our objectives and our posture in international relations, People would say, I think, rightly, that it was quite unnecessary for India to declare that she will not use force, that she will not take the initiative in the use of

force. But it so happens, Mr. Chairman, in this world that however true something may be, in certain contexts it has to be repeated. And so we restore normalcy. Where it is diplomatic relations, they are being progressively restored. Where it is disengagement of troops, it is being done and it would be possible to cut down military expenditure on both sides and, I think it would be very difficult in the present context for military alliances-we have none-to operate because people would be too shame-faced to do it in the face of Tashkent Declaration. So, even as a protective measure, it has considerable value.

We have also been able to, according to the Declaration, effect the restoration of personnel, not only the war prisoners but also those others whom we had interned. There are people who have relations on both sides, Hindus and Muslims by faith, whom we have interned and whom they have interned. They will be restored to their homes. There will also be restoration of ships and properties and all that which will promote economic relations. It is only truism to say that these two countries even during war times achieved this-what Governments don't do. the smugglers do; what good men don't do, the bad men do. That is how it is. Therefore, by taking this by the horns and by seeking to restore economic relations, as much as we can restore, we have also made a great advance in this direction. So, there is the restoration of normalcy creating those conditions which, I suppose, is what people mean by declaration of intent-I think it is a dangerous phrase to use because it looks as. "Yes, we intend to do so." But that will be a bad attitude to adopt for a country like ours in the face of the world because it would mean we were talking of peace with the tongue in our cheek. We always say, we have peaceful relations with Pakistan. There is no episode in our history for the last 17-18 years where at any time we have taken initiative in regard to force. On the long frontiers of land that lie between ourselves and Pakistan, we have not taken initiative in regard to force. The position remains exactly as before.

What about the Tashkent Agreement with reference to Kashmir? I think the best thing for me would be if the friends opposite don't mind to intervene on the debate that has been raised this morning. I think we should understand the constitutional aspect of this not only for constitutional purposes but also for political purposes because if we raise dust on this, it will go against us. It is said because we withdrew from Kargil or from Haji Pir or from Tithwal, thereby we had committed some constitutional impropriety. If I may say with great respect, is it not saying that it is only upto Haji Pir that India extends? But our porthas always been that the cease-fire line between India and Pakistan is not an international frontier and so, whether we were five miles or 10 miles forward, it does not matter. Our position is that Haji Pir is in Indian territory. When cross the cease-fire line, it does not mean that we are leaving the country. The country is ours. Therefore if people say that because of withdrawal from Haji Pir or whichever place you like to take, we have surrendered our sovereignty, it is total misunderstanding not only of international law but also a disservice to political commonsense

The United Nations is committed to us in regard to the recognition of the entire territory of Jammu & Kashmir and let there be no mistake about it. There are some people who whisper that the Soviet Union will do this or will do that. That is only a kind of inverted submission to imperialism. Neither the Soviet Union nor Soviet Union's ancestors can prevent us from asserting our sovereignty. There is no reason to think that the Soviet Union is going to press anybody. Their policy on Kashmir remains unchanged, that is to say. they

[Shri Krishna Menon]

respect the position that the entire territory of Jammu & Kashmir, i.e., the land the lakes and mountains which were under the suzerainty the Maharaja of Jammu & Kashmir is part of the Indian territory. I think it is a mistake to think that, because in the last eighteen years, some villages have been taken or some small areas have been taken, the position will change. There must be a distinction in our minds between administrative control and de facto occupa-Sovereignty is de jure. Sovereignty is the right of the people over a territory in law. Therefore. there has been no abrogation of sovereignty and speaking for myself, long as there is life in this nation. will be no abrogation of our sovereignty to Pakistan or any other country.

Reference has been made to Berubari. That is entirely a different question. There the issue was not surrendering an Indian territory. There were grave doubts as to whose territory it belonged to. There were all sorts of negotiations of various kinds. As a measure of abundant caution, at that time the Government of the day, after a series of discussions, decided to refer the matter to the Supreme Court because they did not want that, after handing over the territory, the Parliament should pass a censure or create difficulties which would make Pakistan say, "they have given to us, but they have actually not". As a measure of abundant caution, the matter was referred to the Supreme Court and the Supreme Court said, "you can give any territory you like but you pass a Bill through Parliament". Where sovereignty is doubtful you take the precaution of regularising matters. So no question of constitutional impropriety arises. But a certain amount of political-I would not call it impropriety-something arises in this. If we keep on saying that because Pakistan is occupying the territory, it is theirs, then we

are saying that all the areas which are under occupation by Pakistan in the last eighteen years, belong Pakistan. We cannot subscribe to the theory that because aggression lives long, it makes the party sovereign: because aggression lives long, it makes an empire; an empire is permanent aggression and so, if Pakistan lives there, it is Pakistan empire and the only way to deal with the empire is to break it. Therefore, our position has always been this-not only ours but the position conceded by United Nations when they told us that these are called northern areas; Pakistanis were there at the time of ceasefire....

Shri Bade: That was not the question raised in the point of order. The question is: when we have occupied it, how can we give it up.

Shri Krishna Menon: I am not, not, usually, a person who yields.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: He is not yielding.

Shri Bade: He has not taken the monopoly for political commonsense.

Shri Krishna menon: So far as occupation is concerned, our Army has moved to Lahore sector. Does it mean that Lahore becomes part Because troops moved India? physical occupation takes place, you do not concede sovereignty. I will give you international instances. For example, take the whole of South West Africa. Over South West Africa. the Government of the Union of South Africa has been the Government ever since 1921: that is to say, they took over the mandated territories in 1919. and in 1921, they gave citizenship to the people of South West Africa. But the League of Nations protested. Even today, we hold the view South West Africa is not South African territory. Every trust territory in the world is administered and fully administered as an integral part

of the metropolitan country; but the fact of administration does not confer sovereignty. In fact, all trust is based upon the idea that the administering people have no sovereignty.

Therefore, I say that it is not in our interest to raise this kind of thing: doubts may be raised either about the political or military or strategic or tactical or moral or other wisdom about withdrawing from these places. but you cannot question its constitutional propriety. Constitutionally we have surrendered nothing. I think that it is a mistake for us to say the world that because we are withdrawing from a particular place it would go to somebody else for, by that logic all those areas which BTE now called Azad Kashmir, the northern areas, as I said, of Gilgit etc. would thereby by efflux of time come under the sovereignty of

So, I would only express the fact that our people are happy that there has been a disengagement in regard to this conflict. Of course, there are many obstacles in the way. Particularly, for example, some people have asked 'Are the Pakistani people in favour of it?'. How do we The Pakistan Government is not like ours. Therefore, we can only say the Government says. And What besides, this is E004 international practice to take the Government representing the people Constitutionally, the system is such that we have no method of ratification of treaties. but our Government is a popular government, and if it acts against the interests of the people, the only way is to throw out the Government: but this Government is not going to thrown out; and therefore they speak in the name of Parliament and they speak in the name of the people, and then an agreement is reached; they had the interests of the country mind and the negotiators went with the goodwill of this House and there has been no change in regard to that.

The cease-fire line between Pakistan and India in Kashmir is not an international boundary, never was and it

will never be. If anybody tries make it an international boundary, then the question of constitutional propriety arises; then the other side of the cease-fire line would become another nation. I say, speaking for myself that neither this Parliament nor its successor Parliaments to come will ever agree to the surrender of sovereignty so far as that is concerned. In practical terms, it means that even at some future time, maybe, ten or fifteen or twenty or even years later, whenever they want. when democratic processes operate. when industrial development place and those areas which are now colonially occupied by Pakistan desire to come over to us, the question of international propriety would arise: and there, we cannot surrender this question. And there has been no surrender at all.

Therefore, I would conclude by saying that so far as the Tashkent Declaration is concerned, the things remain as they were in 1949 with all the assurances given to us by the United Nations, including the one given at that time that it was our responsibility to maintain law and order, including our right to keep garrisons Gilgit; all those assurances are changed. Besides, in the Tashkent Declaration itself, there is also a reference to a chapter in the UN Charter. If that is so, then the future relations must be governed by these positions and by the general concept of international law.

It is wholly important that in negotiations and talks that take place, both the Parliament and the people and the Government should be extremely vigilant in the sense that we do not slip into something or the other, and if there would be further prolonged discussions in the UN on these matters—because that is the only place where they go—we, do not shift from our position.

The only way of resolving the problem of Kashmir is for Pakistan to vacate the aggression and that vaca-

[Shri Krishna Menon]

tion of aggression is facilitated by this. For, on the one hand, we have told them that aggression does pay, and on the other hand we have been prepared to adopt peaceful methods, and, therefore, even the vacation of aggression may be possible because of that. That in my submission is the significance and the lesson of the Declaration of Tashkent.

Mr. Chairman: Acharya Kripalani.

Shri J. B. Kripalani (Amroha): I had requested that I may be called tomorrow.

Shel Supendranath Dwivedy: Mr. Chairman, I have listened very patiently to the speech made by the External Affairs Minister while moving this motion. I have also gone carefully through the voluminous papers circulated to us on this matter. But I do not find a single explanation either in the speech or in the papers showing how this Tashkent Declaration is different from what the Security Council had offered to us in its resolution of September 20. What was there acceptable in the terms of the Security Council Resolution although we were prepared to go out of our way to accept this Taskent Declaration? How is it different? The only difference seems to be that whereas the Security Council Resolution had stated that the withdrawals would take place within three months, here Tashkent Declaration we are staggered to find that within six weeks of the signing of the declaration we should withdraw from these areas.

It has often been contended this Tashkent Declaration is a new charter for peaceful relationship. I would support it if it is a charter actually opening the way for establishment of peaceful relationship between India and Pakistan. Nobody in House has ever advocated that should always go to war, that war is the only method through which such problems could be solved. Rather we

want to develop friendly relationship with our neighbours, specially Pakistan, Burma, Ceylon, Nepal and other countries. But what is this declaration? After all, if we had accepted the UN Charter, it is an established authority; all nations are represented on the UN. However slender powerless it may be, it has authority. But here it is a declaration.

16.54 hrs.

[Mr. Deputy-Speaker in the Chair]

It is neither a treaty nor a pact. If there are differences in interpretation, I do not know who is going to decide which side is right? In view of this, this declaration is nothing but a promise by both countries under certain circumstances. Let us not be emotion-I would have liked Shri Swaran Singh not to refer to the late Prime Minister and tell the House that this is his last gift. If you want to introduce such considerations into matter, what about the lives of thousands of jawans who had died on the war front? Are they of no value in this land? So do not talk about the death of Shastriji or others. Rather, I will not be wrong if I say that Swaran Singh and Shri Chavan are not right when they say that after signing the declaration Shri Bahadur Shastri felt relaxed. If he felt relaxed, is it not a fact that at 10.30 that night he telephoned to his house and wanted specifically to know what was the reaction of the people to the signing of the declaration? It was because he was worried.

An hon. Member: Not at all.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: He had given this promise to this House, not only to this House, but to public in his speeches outside, whatever happened we were not going to withdraw, from our own specially Haji Pir, Tithwal and Kargil. He had told us when we met him. "The entire world may go against me

does not necessarily mean that we go to war immediately or start hostilities; it only means that we are not going to withdraw from positions which will ultimately put us into greater difficulties. It would have been proper for them, for Shri La! Bahadur Shastri, in view of the promise and the pled te that he had given to the people and the House, to say there that they could not agree to this without putting this matter to Parliament. They have a majority, and they could get it passed, but it would have been proper for them to say that since Parliament was committed to a position, they would not agree to this, they would not do this unless it was discussed and ratified in Parliament. From that point of view, it is a breach of trust, and I do not think anybody in this country is hereafter going to believe the words of this Government. after the way they have treated this problem, an international problem which affects our countrymen, affects the world, affects all of us.

Many things have been said about the points in the declaration. I am not going to quote and repeat what Shri Swaran Singh has said about promise given to this House by Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri. I am not going to quote his letter of 14th September to the Secretary General of the Security Council. But let us examine the conception of Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri and the Government of India regarding restoration of normalisation of relationship between India and Pakistan. In concrete terms, this has been stated by Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri in this House on 5th November, 1965. He has spelt it out only once. Whatever he might have had in his mind. he made this question very in his speech of November 5. These will be the conditions for restoring normalisation of relationship between India and Pakistan. He has stated:

"If Pakistan wants an end to the present tense situation, let it first honour and respect the Cease-fire Agreement. Let it put an end to

but we are not going to withdraw from our own areas." We had the opportunity to meet him on the 1st of January before he left for Tashkent. He told us that the Security Council resolution, to which Russia was a party, had asked us to withdraw from these areas, that Russia was our only friend and that if Russia in this conference asked us to withdraw these areas, he would feel embarrassed. That embarrassment had caused him ultimately,- you may call it pressure or not-the threat that Russia would withdraw its support, the threat that from America, Britain and other western countries we are not going to get any economic or any other aid, caused him ultimately to sign this declaration, and not considerations of our national security and our national interests. I maintain this. If I for a moment can believe that this declaration has been signed in the best interests of our nation, for preserving the national security of our country, for contributing peace to the world, I will be the first man to support a declaration like this, but nothing like that. I have no time to quote speeches made by authoritative persons, Ayub Khan, Bhutto, interpreting it in a manner different from what has been painted before us by these decuments. Bhutto is not prepared to admit even this much, that this is a turning point in the history of Indo-Pak relations. He has stated:

"The Tashkent declaration was not an end in itself and could not itself represent the turning point in Pakistan's relations with India."

It may be argued that, after all, Bhutto is not Pakistan. If Bhutto is not Pakistan, then Swaran Singh is not India. There is no difference between Bhutto and Swaran Singh. We must take them as one and the same, we must not choose between them.

Therefore, what I say is that this declaration is nothing but a scrap of paper which has no validity. If this House rejects it, it does not necessarily mean that cease-fire ceases to exist, it

Tashkent [Shri Surendranath Dwivedv]

the daily violations of the ceasefire. Let it then withdraw its armed personnel from our territory and we shall also withdraw our troops from the areas under our occupation in Pakistan."

-not in Tithwal and Haji Pir.

"More important than any of these things, let Pakistan step the various things which it is doing apparently in preparation for a fresh trial of strength Let it stop the recruitment of irregular forces in Pakistan-occupied Kashmir. Let it put a stop to the digging of trenches and putting up of military structures which is going on at so many places just across the present cease-fire line.

Let it give up its attempts to acquire arms and ammunition. Let it release the goods, the cargo and the vessels it has seized. Let it also give up its collusion with China which is based only on a common hatred of India and is aimed at weakening and disintegrating this country. Let Pakistan, to put it briefly, first restore normal relations before we can discuss how to establish better relations."

17 hrs.

These are the conditions; they are the pledges given to this House. On this we have extended him support on this basis he went to Tashkent. We wished him God speed and said that let him achieve peace on these conditions. That is why we lent our support. It is not to come with something else and to tell us to accept something else. Can Mr. Swaran Singh say that these conditions had been fulfilled in the Tashkent declaration? Is there

any word mentioned in it about the Chinese? Is there any word where it has been stated that Pakistan is going to abrogate its pact with China by which it has ceded 2700 square miles of Indian territory? Rather Mr. Ayub Khan has stressed on the 14th January that the relationship with China and all the other countries would remain the same as it was before. He has as asserted it. Therefore, there is nothing to show that these conditions have in any way been fulfilled. Mr. Swaran Singh has taken the trouble to explain to us chapters I, II and III which are really important so far as this declaration is concerned; they are the issues of the entire document. Here what is it that is new except saying that there was a categorical reaffirmation of the UN Charter? Was the UN Charter not existing before the Pakistani attack? Is it not a fact that in spite of UN charter over the last seventeen years Pakistan has attacked us three times? How can you completely forget these things? What has Mr. Ayub Khan to say? Ayub Khan has made no secret of his intentions. He is honest in that respect. We are again running after a mirage; we are building our own image. We do not know what the other party is thinking. Is the Secretary General of the Security Council to come and explain that Pakistan is wrong and India is right? So far as interpretation is concerned, who would be the interpreter? Russia? Have we accepted this? It has played its part very successfully so far as its diplomatic and political influence is concerned in the world and in Asia in particular. That is a different thing altogether. Now, what has Mr. Ayub Khan to say? He has explained He has made no it quite lucidly. secret of his intentions. He did not sign a no-war pact. Mr. Lal Bahadur Shastri gave us a promise: my first condition will be signing of a no-war pact. It is explained to the House that this signing is also a sort of a no-war pact. If it is a kind of a no-war pact, if Pakistan was agreeable to that condition, why Pakistan, why Ayub Khan refused to sign a no-war pact and readily signed this declaration?

He has stated:

"The Indian Prime Minister wanted us to sign a no-war pact with India. We made it clear to him that Pakistan could not enter into any such agreement until the issue of Kashmir was settled in a just and honourable manner."

About the United Nations Charter, he has stated.

"The responsibility means that nations should not resort to the use of force so long as avenues of peace remained open. We also told him that peace could be maintained only if the issue of Kashmir was settled in a reasonable manner. We stuck to this stand that the real issue was Kashmir and that peace could not be established between the two countries until the issue of Kashmir was settled."

That was very clear so far as this question is concerned.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Member's time is up.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: How many minutes have I taken, Sir?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: 20 minutes.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: I would like to have 10 minutes more. I am putting forward a different view altogether. All speeches are being made in support of the declaration. So, you must permit me some more time.

Therefore, my point is, affirmation of the United Nations charter means nothing so far as Pakistan and this country are concerned. Secondly, it is quite clear that, charter or no charter. Kashmir is the crux of the problem. Unless the Kashmir problem is solved, nothing is going to bring

about the peaceful relationship between India and Pakistan. In regard to the discussion of this problem, we say we did not discuss Kashmir. Even today, we stated our position. I want Shri Swaran Singh to explain to us. In Paragraph 1 of this document, there is the mention of Kashmir. It has been stated: "It was against this background." What is this background? If the background is not that we shall negotiate about Kashmir, what is this background mentioned here?

Then, much is made about noninterference. I would like to make two points about this non-interference in internal affairs. I think we are again committing a mistake, because, already the Foreign Minister of Pakistan has clearly stated that "the article referring to non-interference in each other's internal affairs did not apply to Kashmir because it was a disputed territory and was not an internal affairs of India." So, where is the question of guarantee that they will never cross the cease-fire line? Where is the guarantee? The only guarantee that has been achieved is, although our Foreign Minister earlier in this House stated that we would support Pakhtoon movement, now after signing this declaration we will not be able to extend our sympathy and support to the movement for Pakhtoonistan or East Bengal. The only thing that we have is that Pakistan will be free to send its men into Kashmir, which is a disputed territory according to Pakistan, and continue infiltration in that area.

The third thing that has been made out very much is the withdrawal of the armed personnel. Now, in this matter, we must again be very clear in our minds. It has been repeatedly asked in this House, what about inflitrators: and Shri Swaran Singh has failed to give any satisfactory reply. Has Pakistan given any undertaking whatsoever, that it will not be a party to any infiltration into Kashmir? No; nowhere has it given. Rather, the

[Shri Surendranath Dwivedy]

Pakistan Foreign Secretary, Mr. Aziz Ahmed, has said that the "term 'armed personnel' did not include the so-called freedom fighters of Kashmir." It is very clear. (Interruption). We are only expressing a hope; this hope has been belied so many times. This document remains beautifully vague so far as the commitment of Pakistan for sending infiltrators into India is concerned. Therefore, it is very clear,

I will again point out to the hon. Minister one thing. Here is the mention of phased withdrawal. The commanders of both the countries have met and they have decided to withdraw all armed personnel. I could have understood if in that phased withdrawal there was any mention that there will also be a phased withdrawal of all infiltrators. But there is nothing like that. The only thing that has been stated is this: part I of the agreement deals with the procedure concerning the immediate disengagement of troops; then he document deals with the reduction of tension; and then it relates to procedures concerning the withdrawal of troops from the occupied areas. There is no mention that the infiltrators are going to be withdrawn from Kashmir. Therefore, from this, it will be clearly seen that what has been claimed by our own representatives and our own Government is completely wrong from the facts that we have before us.

Then, I will again put it to him: we are withdrawing to August 5 position. I want to mention a point specifically. We had occupied Kargil because it threatened our lifeline; but we withdrew from that position because the United Nations gave us some guarantee! There is no guarantee; nobody has given us any guarantee. That cannot be considered to be the August 5 position. How is it that we have also agreed to withdrawal from Kargil?

this agreement Well. has been reached. We have bitter experiences of these agreements and pacts. There have been several pacts: the Liaquat Ali Pact, the Noon Pact, and lastly the Kutch Pact. Was not the Kutch Pact made for friendship and peace? All these things are said to us.

718

But what was the result? The consequence was greater military conflict. Let us not live in an image of our own and forget the realities of the situation. Our security is threatened. China, as we were told this morning by the Defence Minister, is already planning a major attack on does not know the country. One what is going to happen within three or four months, if Pakistan and China combine.

In view of this situation, it cannot be said that really by this declaration. we have achieved something which will contribute to peaceful relationship with Pakistan and maintenance of peace in our country, so that the economic progress of India goes on unhampered. It is perfectly right to withdraw from Lahore and Sialkot when they accepted withdrawal from Chhamb. But so far as other places are concerned, we should have waited because Kashmir is still threatened and it is still a problem to be decided. So long as the international frontier between Jammu and Kashmir should Pakistan is not decided we not have agreed to withdraw from those positions-that would have been the perfect thing .to do.

We are prepared to extend our hand of cooperation. We want that peace should be maintained. We have always lauded the efforts of the late Prime Minister to bring about peace. But let Russia, America and all those Tashkent friends who hailed the Declaration as a great charter come forward and give an assurance that Pakistan is going to conclude a treaty of friendship and no war with India. Then we will have no occasion to go to war with Pakistan and we will live in peace. We are prepared to make as much sacrifice as is neces-sary to have peace in this world. has taken us But this declaration back to a position where we were

not on August 5th, but in July, 1951 when the cease-fire line was drawn. This is the tragedy of the situation. Whatever Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri achieved, he actually gave it with his death and India remains where it was before Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri assumed Prime Ministership of this country.

Therefore, I beg of the House: Let us not be carried away by emotions. Let us see whether this declaration is really subserving the best interests of the country and contributing to the security of the nation. From that point of view, let us here decide that we are not going to withdraw from these vantage positions, so long as this main problem—the real problem according to Ayub Khan—has not been settled.

Shrimati Renuka Ray (Malda): Sir, the last action of the late Prime Minister, Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri, was in the quest of pease. It was an endeavour to change the climate, so that peace and friendly relations would come in the end. The speaker has been quoting from many speeches of the late Prime Minister. But I do not understand why he does not realise that it is in pursuance of what the late Prime Minister said himself that he came to this agreement in Tashkent. The Tashkent Declaration does not mean that enduring peace has been established, but it does mean that an atmosphere has been created, through which it is possible to come to solution which will ultimately lead to enduring peace.

What is the background against which the Tashkent meeting took place? It was after a conflict in which we were able to defend our land with ability, after which it was proved beyond any shadow of doubt that India and her army was able to cope with the invader, that the prestige that she had lost on a former occasion when she was unexpectedly invaded by China was restored. After this, naturally—it is known through-

out the world that in modern warfare whether it is those who succeed
in winning the war or those who
lose it, ultimately both the nations
and all such nations lose—what the
war was costing us financially, whether it was India or Pakistan, was
something which was destroying the
economic life of the entire sub-continent. These are some of the factors
against which we have to consider
the Tashkent Declaration.

Sir, before I discuss clause (2) about which so much has been said, let us for a moment consider the consequences of this Tashkent agreement towards peace which will follow and which are written into it. The first one is the establishment of friendly relations. This was exactly Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri had wanted. The second thing was that we should have mutual economic and trade relations. Can anybody in this House object that when two nations live in such close proximity together, nations which were one not so long ago, they should have mutual economic trade relations. It cripples both unless economic relations can be so founded that they are able to operate together on matters that effect the entire sub-continent.

Then, Sir, there is the question of minorities in both the countries. There is no doubt that if there are good relations between India and Pakistan, the minorities in both the countries will benefit thereby. As for the minorities from Pakistan who have come to India day after day, night after night, through all these years since partition-we have them in the eastern region-at least them we can hope for something better. I do agree with my friends who say that the past record of Pakistan has not been good. Government and the country are well aware of the past record of Pakistan. But always we must hope for better things in the future and this Tashkent agreement is something which has been arrived at with the hope

[Shrimati Renuka Rav]

of achieving better things and better conditions in this sub-continent through which these two countries, which are under-developed and which need to go ahead from so many angles, will be able to march forward. It is with that hope that this Tashkent agreement was arrived at. To these matters, I am sure, there can be nobody in this House or in this country who can object.

Then I come to clause (2) which is really the clause on which all the opposition has been voiced in this House. This opposition is due I think to not having a proper understanding of the clause. The clause says that India and Pakistan have agreed that all armed personnel of the two countries shall be withdrawn not than 25th February to the positions they held prior to 5th August 1965 and both sides shall observe the ceasefire terms and the cease-fire line. As the Law Minister pointed the cease-fire line that had obtained before the present conflict was recognized without any question of submitting to concessions regarding sovereignty. Shri Krishna Menon has also very ably put forward arguments on this matter. I do not want to repeat what has already been said. But I do want to point out to those who have opposed this Resolutin that they have not laid proper emphasis, or not properly interpreted, the significance of the 5th of August. What has happened on the 5th August? On that day, armed personnel in disiguise from Pakistan invaded our territory. So under this agreement all the armed personnel, whether in disguise or not who have entered our territory have to withdraw.

Then, coming to the point raised about our withdrawal from Kargil, Titwal and Haji Pir, they are no doubt our own areas. Shri Krishna Menon has also emphasised it. So also the land that has passed over to

China is our own area. Therefore. the point is that if we in persuance of peace agree to withdraw to the original cease-fire line, it does not mean for one single moment that it weakens our position in respect of our sovereignty over that area. which been taken away from us by force. So, I do not agree with those who oppose this agreement on that score. I do, however, feel that it is very important for the Government ensure that the mechanism of withdrawal functions in such a way that it is simultaneous; that is to say, the infiltrators or the armed personnel in disguise in Kashmir are turned out along with our receding from certain positions which we have held after the conflict which was not of seeking. I am sure Government would apply their mind to this aspect. but we cannot expect the Government or the defence forces to inform us what precautions they have taken.

As the time at my disposal is so short, I cannot deal with some other problems which I wanted to refer. But I will certainly refer to thing. One of the clauses of agreement says that both countries will do their best to discourage propaganda against each other and will encourage propaganda which promotes the development of friendly relations. So far as India is concerned we are agreeable to it. I quite sure that this House will endorsing this declaration and as such it is up to us to see to it that on our side we do not violate this provision But I do not know what Pakistan will do. Though it is beyond our control. it is to be hoped that Pakistan, which has learnt a bitter lesson after its attempted invasion of India will also realise that peace is as important to Pakistan and its people as it is to India and its people. The people of India and Pakistan are of the same race. Many of us speak the same language. If we can create an atmosphere in which we can live in peace and amity and our countries march ahead towards the progress I am sure that the Tashkent Declaration through which we reached such an agreement will also be the fore-runner of many such agreements the world over, in the pursuit of peace.

Shri A. C. Guha (Barasat): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, it will be difficult to discuss the Tashkent agreement without referring to the tragic death of the Prime Minister for whom within a very short time the whole nation developed great devotion, regard, respect and even love. But it will not be proper for us to discuss this document with that emotional aspect even out of our reverence for his memory. We should discuss this document on its own merits and not out of any sentimental approach due to the tragic death of the signatory of this document on behalf of India.

I do not think it is the claim of the Government that this document or this agreement has solved all problems with Pakistan; perhaps, it has not solved any problem except the problem of confrontation and a sort of diplomatic and communicational impasse in the relations between India and Pakistan. It has only paved the way of solving the problems and that is a great achievement.

Shri Dwivedy had been repeatedly asking, what is the guarantee that Pakistan will not violate these conditions. Nowhere in the world in any peace treaty can there be any sure gurantee that terms of the peace treaty will be respected by the other party. In the First World War Germany was miserably defeated even then within two decades she started another world war. So. there is no guarantee that Panistan will not violate these conditions or the terms of this agreement. I can sav even, from the record of performance of Pakistan regarding the other agreements, particularly the Nehru-Liaquat Ali Agreement, that there may be a suspicion that Pakistan will not observe the conditions of this agreement in the proper spirit; but there are certain considerations from which

we may expect that Pakistan may be in a better mood. Pakistan has exhausted all the means to get her ways particularly regarding Kashmir and to spite India. Thrice she attacked India. She wooed NATO, SEATO and other military alliances; but her military friends could not help her either in the battlefield or in the discussion chamber of the Security Council. Then, at the same time. while she was aligning with the USA and the UK, she was also toying with China, and this was a dangerous thing she entertained simply beause expected that China would help her in her fight against India. There also China she has been disappointed. was not of much help to her in the last skirmishes between India Pakistan.

Those who have been opposing this agreement I do not know what they want. Do they want this sort of stalemate in the relation of India and Pakistan to continue? The Tashkent Agreement has done nothing than what was put in the Security Council's Resolution of 22nd September. I wonder why the Security Council or the important members of the UNO-the USA and the UK-did not pursue the question of the implementation of the terms of the Security Council's resolution. It may be they did not want it. That resolution says many things and the ceasefire was only the first step. Then, withdrawal of forces, restoring normal conditions, peaceful relations—all these things-were to follow; but, during the following few months the Security Council did not take up the issue at all, rather, I should say, they allowed things to drift. On our side, we have just now been told, lodged complaints of about 1,700 violations of the cease-fire and Pakistan also might have lodged more or less a similar number of violations against us. While the Security Council was sleeping over this document and did not try to come to the logical conclusion of their resolution, if somebody else took it up, we should not only from our own national point of view

[Shri A. C. Guha]

but even as belonging to the comity of nations, be grateful to the USSR for taking up this ardous task of bringing two countries, India and Pakistan, together.

In the event of failure of coming to any agreement, as has been done by the Tashkent declaration, what is the alternative? I do not know if mv friends sitting that side want that the war prisoners should continue to be detained in the two countries. I do not know if they want that several lakhs residents who have been evicted from their home-lands, from both countries, will continue to live in camps in miserable state of life. I do not know if they want that no normal communication, no diplomatic relations, no trade and commerce should be re-established between the two countries.

In this connection, I would like this House to realise that India and Pakistan are not simply two neighbours. China is also a neighbour of India; Burma is also a neighbour of India; Burma is also a neighbour of India and Ceylon is also a neighbour of India and Ceylon is also a neighbour of India. But Pakistan is not merely a neighbour of India. But Pakistan is not merely a neighbour of India. It is a portion of our own country. Here, I would like to refer to the Resolution passed by the All India Congress Committee in July, 1947 while endorsing the proposal of the British Cabinet regarding the partition of India. The Resolution says:

"...the long course of India's history and tradition bear witness to this essential unity. Geography and the mountains and the seas fashioned India as she is and no human agency can change that shape or come in the way of her final destiny. Economic circumstances and the insistent demands of international affairs make the unity of India still more necessary. The picture of India we have learn to cherish will remain in our minds and hearts. The AICC earnestly trusts that when pre-

sent passions have subsided, India's problems will be viewed in their proper perspective and the false doctrine of two nations in India will be discredited and discarded by all."

Today it may sound somewhat a forgotten and forlorn hope but those who participated in the deliberations and in the passing of this Resolution do still entertain that some day there may be some good relations established between India and Pakistan. That is the thing which is essential for the prosperity and peace of the two countries.

I do not like to refer to economic matters so much. But still it has to be considered by how much our exchequer has been affected because of Indo-Pakistan conflict. I think the Finance Minister or the Defence Minister may be in a position to give a correct figure. But the general view is that it is about Rs. 500 crores. Can we afford to continue this sort of expanditure? It is no use being guided simply by enthusiasm. We have to realise the realities. We have to proceed on the basis of the realities. It is not possible for India or even for Pakistan to have a long-drawn-out war or even an atmosphere of confrontation and tension continuing even after the signing of this document.

Apart from the relaxation of this confrontation and tension, one great achievement of this document is that a cleavage is likely to be created or, perhaps, has been created in the Pakistan-China axis. That is not only a good thing for India but, I think, that is a boon for the whole world. China is gradually being isolated and this isolation of China from Pakistan is definitely a good thing for the entire international politics and also for India.

Shri S. N. Dwivedy was referring to some preparations made by China or a major attack on India. Does he think that if there is a major attack apprehended from China, can we afford to have also inimical relations or this

sort of hostile relations with Pakistan? Will it strengthen our hands to fight China? No. Even for fighting China. we require some good relations with Pakistan and from this document we expect that some good relations will be established; because Pakistan has now realised-we expect that she has now realised it-that she has failed to achieve what she wants, even though she has now exhausted all her resources by her three attacks on India and through her diplomatic channels. through the U.S.A., the U.K. and the SEATO and the NATO and also through, friendships and alliance with China. Now, she may feel that it is not possible to get what she wants about Kashmir by all these tactics. That is why we expect that she may now be in a better mood. Further, we should realise the bonds between Inand Pakistan. There are many split families on this side as well as on the other side. What are the positions so long? Any letter from Calcutta to Dacca will have to be surreptitiously sent via London or Rangoon or Washington. Similarly, any letter from a friend or relative from Delhi to Lahore will have to be sent surreptitiously in another cover through 30me other foreign metropolitan towns. Is this the relation which we can afford to continue to have with Pakistan?

points of view, From all these think this is the right sort of agreement that has been arrived at. Some points have been mentioned about the strategic positions, that we are going to surrender. Pakistan also will surrender some of the strategic points. Maybe, our surrender is somewhat more than what Pakistan will surrender. But I hope the Indian Army, which has shown its mettle and courage in this conflict of six weeks, will be able to defend India in any future conflict also. Pakistan has come to realise that it is not possible to make India yield by threat of war. The Indian Army has been strong enough future also, be strong and will, in enough to defend our borders.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri (Behrampur): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, in this House today on the discussion on Tashkent Declaration, we have heard sharply divided opinions expressed. Speaking for myself and my group, we accord to this Tashkent Declaration our support and welcome it with reservations.

I welcome it primarily for the reason that any lessening of tensions on our borders give the common people of the country an opportunity to concentrate on their economic problems. We know to what acute pass our economic situation has come after discussing the Kerala food situation only yesterday. The common people clearly at ma disadvantage when the country is surcharged with chauvinistic emotions, nationalistic emotions, and any tension between Inand Pakistan inevitably takes on a communal and chauvinistic character not only on the other side of the border but also in this country.

The second reason for which I accord welcome to the Tashkent Declaration is that this is the first major diplomatic watershed in Asian affairs. This is the first time that two major Asian nations have come to an agreement about their own disputes without interference from western imperialist powers. We have to take a calculated risk and find out how far this Declaration accord takes us towards the solution of our butstanding disputes peacefully.

The third reason for which I accord welcome to this Tashkent Declaration lies in the fact that this Diclaration, as we find from newspaper reports, is being opposed only by extremely communal and chauvinist elements on the other side of the border and myabe, by some on this side of the border also. That means by and large that the people in both the countries feel that a way has been opened for the development of pesceful relations between the common peoples of

[Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri]

the two countries, while the communal reactionaries in both countries would oppose it I am all for peace between the two peoples and two communities.

But having said that, and having accorded my support, I feel I would be failing in my duty if I do not mention some of the serious reservations that I have about this accord. Here, in the paper that was circulated by the Ministry of External Affairs and also from the speeches made from the other side in support of the Tashkent accord, we find that an attempt has been made to identify the Tashkent Declaration about the so-called renunciation of force with some kind of nowar-declaration. In order to stand the real value of this so-called renunciation of force in the settlement of disputes by Pakistan, we have look to what the Pakistan authorities, not merely communal opposition parties but the spokesmen of the Pakistan Government, and President Ayub, the and Mr. Bhutto, particularly Foreign Minister of Pakistan, have been saying about this accord.

I am quoting from a speech of Mr. Bhutto, which he made only a few days back. The report is as follows:

"Referring to the provisions of the Tashkent Declaration for renunciation of force under the UN Charter Mr. Bhutto said that article 51 recognised the ultimate right of a nation to wage struggle for freedom, and added It is precisely in this context that in the Tashkent Declaration we have reaffirmed our obligations under the Charter. The fact that we were unable to arrive immediately at a settlement at Tashkent..."

-he was referring to the settlement on Kashmir-

"...does not detract one iota

from our resolve to seek a just settlement under this very declaration or even outside its framework.".

let not our Government lull themselves with the idea that they have achieved some kind of a no-warpact with Pakistan in terms of this declaration. If we are to believe Mr. Bhutto, and Mr. Bhutto speaks not only for himself but also for his Government, he says very categorically that the Pakistan Government not feel itself obliged to seek a peaceful solution of the Kashmir dispute with the framework of this declaration if they get what they want within the framework of the Tashkent accord, well and good; if not, they will go butside it, and if necessary, they will go to war. President Ayub made it very clear in his opening speech at Tashkent when he said:

"A no-war agreement between nations can work only if it is adopted after taking concrete steps for resolving disputes which divide them.".

After the Tashkent Declaration, he said in Pakistan in a broadcast to his own people that 'the Prime Minister of India wanted us to sign a no-war declaration, but we did not deliberately sign a no-war declaration'. That means, he has reserved his right to resort to war, resort to arms, when they feel that the time appropriate for that has come.

In assessing the value of any international accord or agreement, we should not be guided by wishful thinking or led away by the fact, in this case, that the Soviet Union supported this accord. Not only Soviet Union, but apparently at least the US and even Great Britain and other countries have lent their support to this accord. They have however had nothing to say as yet about the interpretation that is being put officially by Pakistan on this accord which leaves the door clearly open for resort to arms to Pakistan when it considers the time appropriate to do so. That is why I would urge upon Government the necessary of interpreting this accord not according to their own wishful thinking, nor on the basis of the starry-eyed idealism of some of our friends here, but to be very realistic in assessing what we have achieved by this Declaration.

So far as the question of infiltrators is concerned, there also we find the position highly unsatisfactory. Within a few hours of the signing of the declaration, the Pakistan Foreign Secretary said that the clause about non-interference in each other's interal affairs does not apply to Kashmir. That means that Pakistan feels even after signing this declaration it would be free to interfare in Kashmir.

Shri Bade: They have said that 'armed personnel' does not include infiltrators.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: Yes.

But so far as this problem is concerned, we need not depend upon the good offices of Pakistan. If there are infiltrators, we can deal with them as best as we can and shoot them down.

So far as non-interference is conrerned, I find the clause as interpreted or understood by official spokesmen of Pakistan to be absolutely unsatisfactory. In this background, us not put very high hopes on this declaration but take it at face value for what it is worth. It has already led to a certain visible lessening of tension, and we welcome that, but at the same time, we must also tell the the House Government to take and the country into their confidence and to tell us frankly what is really in the back of their minds. Immediately after the signing of the Tashkent declaration, there were talks about division of Kashmir. No Member has referred to that fact, but it is on record, it has been reported by newspapers, that one member of the new Government, a Cabinet Minister,

and if I may say so, a very senior member of this Government and of the previous governments....

Shri Bade: Minister and Deputy Minister, both.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: The Deputy does not add to his strength.

Shri Jagjivan Ram has made in which he has advocated the partition of Kashmir along the cease-fire line. I would not personally mind if on the basis of that there is a final solution, once for all, of question, which has vitiated not only the relations between the two countries, but also, due to historical reasons, the relations between different sections of our people, between different communities, internally also. But the Government must say openly whether the views that were expressed by Shri Jagjivan Ram represent views of the Government, or whether the Government is thinking in terms of finding a solution, a peaceful solution of the Kashmir question, in terms of a partition. Otherwise, misgivings that have been raised in the minds of the people will not be set at rest, and continual agitation will go on not only about withdrawal from Haji Pir and Tithwal, but the very intentions of the Governments and the bona fides of the Government would come to be questioned.

An Hon. Member: The Government should categorically deny it.

Shri Bakar Ali Mirsa (Warrangal): I rise to support and approve the Tashkent agreement.

There has been a lot of criticism from the Opposition benches about this agreement. Shri Trivedi especially pointed out that after 18 years of disharmony, of hatred campaign and all that, it is not possible overnight to change the atmosphere. We have to keep that in mind while we are judging the agreement, because with that campaign of hatred prevailing in both the countries it was very difficult to come to any agreement at all. Yet, in

[Shri Bakar Ali Mirza]

733

spite of these conditions, this agreement has come into being

There has been criticism, but one has suggested what they would have liked instead. Is there any other form of agreement they propose, or do they want the war to continue till is abject surrender of Pakistan? them be quite clear in their minds today war is a theory, war does not solve any problems.

In the West you see Gt. Britain with all its political maturity searching for a negotiating table on Rhodesia; the East in Viet Nam, you see the USA with all its armed might influence, in the world, also, searching for a negotiating table to come to some kind of negotiation. Here two countries which have been carrying on a campaign of hatred for the last 18 years sit together and after a week of serious effort, have come to some agreement which is honourable Here we come and pick both sides. holes. It is very easy to pick holes in any agreement. Thirdly they have been quoting from what Mr. Bhutto said here or what Mr. Ayub there. We have also to recognise, as there is opposition in this country to the handling over of Kargil, Hajipir pass, etc., there is also opposition in Pakistan to the Tashkent agreement, in fact much more than in India because Pakistan has lost a great deal more. In fact the whole of her political philosophy has been absolutely shattered by this agreement. They recognise the principle of co-existence. After all co-existence means non-interference in each other's affairs. Pakistan has recognised the principle of Co-existence. Pakistan also indirectly agreed to a no-war pact which Pandit Nehru has been trying for the last sixteen years of his life?

Shri Bade: Why not directly?

You Balar Ali Mirza: must remember the parties that you are dealing with. You have to remember also the basis, the conditions in

the country, in Pakistan. Pakistan has been raised to a pitch and the whole foreign policy of Pakistan was based on the hatred of India. To turn it suddenly into love, affection, brotherhood overnight is not practical poli-Therefore, whatever says in Pakistan may be largely for their domestic consumption. We have allowance for that. even England after the Versailles Treaty. There was a hue and cry for hanging Kaiser, and the Government public speeches said: we will hang Kaiser. But Kaiser was not hanged . . . (Interruptions.) Similarly, you have to make some concessions to the public feeling that is around you. What is it that we have gained by this agreement? First, Kashmir plebiscite has been put in cold storage. Whatever Ayub may say, according to the agreement Kashmir is recognised to be an integral territory of India. They may hold a different view but they are not putting forward plebisscite as they did a few years ago in the United Nations, Security Council and so on. Foreign intervention these infiltrators has also been eliminated. The use of force has been ruled out for settling disputes. It is not an ordinary matter for after all we have to remember that there was a territorial dispute between Mexico and the United States; after a hundred years it was settled only the other So, once you have peaceful negotiations of a dispute, then there is time to think, to revise and so on. Most important of all, it has given a chance for national integration and unity among both the communities of the country. This is very important. I say this because in this country there has been talk about Pakistani spies; there have been communal feelings and communal forces in Kashmir and so on. But when the opportunity came, when there was the conflict between Pakistan and India, what was it that made the whole country united and rise like one man? Suddenly it did not spring from somewhere. It must have been

there or it must have been created by some force which had not been recognised. Sir, I personally feel that it is the martyrdom of Mahatma Gandhi that established the unity of India.

18 hrs.

Also, when we are examining agreement, we have also to take into consideration the author of that agreement. You cannot dissociate An agreement signed by me has not the same value as an agreement signed by you. There is a difference. The signatory also is an important part of an agreement. Shastriji during his last days tried his utmost, and in the brief period that he was leading our caravan, we were made to feel in the march the echo of the footsteps Gandhiji, the long-forgotten one. personally believe that just as the martyrdom of Gandhiji brought about unity in this country, similarly, the martyrdom and the sacrifice of Shastriji might one day unite the two parts of the country which, by our sins, we had agreed to partition.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Member's time is up.

Shri Bakar Ali Mirsa: Still there is up.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Now it is 6 O'clock, and the hon. Member's time is also up.

Shri Bakar Ali Mirza: I can speak tomorrow, Sir.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: All right, he may continue his speech tomorrow.

18.02 hrs.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Eleven of the Clock on Thursday, February, 17, 1965/Magha 28, 1887 (Saka).