LOK SABHA DEBATES

(Thirteenth Session)



(Vol. LV contains Nos. 51 - 61)

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

Price: Rs. 1.00

CONTENTS

[Second Series, Vol. LV]— April 22 to May 5, 1961/ Vaisakha 2 to 15, 1883 (Saka)]

No. 51.—Saturday, April 22, 1961/Vaisakha 2, 1883 (Saka)—

	Columns
Pinance Bill	. 13103—13203
Clauses 2 to 17, 1, and first and second schedules. Motion to pass	. 1310 —68
Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions	. 13168—13203
Eighty-third Report	•
Prevention of Hydrogenation of Oils Bill by Shri Jhulan Sinha	. 13203-04
Motion to consider — Negatived	. 13204-05
Hindu Succession (Amendment) Bill (Amendment of section 14) by Shri Subb. Ambalam	iah
Motion to consider	. 13205—19
Amendment to circulate — adopted	. 13205—19
Essential Commodities (Fixation, Regulation and Control of Prices) Bill by Narayanankutty Menon	Shri
Motion to consider—Negatived	. 1 3219—6 7
All India Domestic Servants Bill by Shri Balmiki	•
Motion to consider	. 13269-70
Daily Digest	. 1371–72
No. 52. Monday the 24th April, 1961/Vaisakha 4, 1883 (Saka)—	
ORAL ANSWER TO QUESTIONS—	
Starred Questions Nos. 1684, 1685, 1687, 1689, 1691, 1692, 1695 to 1698, 17 1702 to 1705, 1707, 1708, 1710, 1709 and 1690	oo, . 13273—13313
WRITTEN ANSWER TO QUESTIONS—	
Starred Questions Nos. 1686, 1688, 1693, 1694, 1699 1701, and 1705.	13313—19
Unstarred Questions Nos. 3726 to 3754, 3756 to 3773 and 3775 to 3782.	13319-52
Motions for Adjournment —	
I. Accident at East Kajora Colliery	13353-57
2. Alleged arrests of Adivasi workers in Rourkela	13357 59
Calling attention to Matter of Urgent Public Importance—	
Wagons for movement of rice in Bilaspur	. 13360-62
Papers laid on the Table	. 13362
Statement re. Shortage of Power in Calcutta Area	. 1 3362–63
Income-Tax Bill—Introduced	. 13363
Telegraph Laws (Amendment) Bill	•
Rajya Sabha amendments agreed to	. 13363 65
Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Amend nent Bill	•
Rajya Sabha amendments agreed to	. 13365–66
Criminal Law Amendment Bill	. 13366—13471
Motion to consider	. 13366—13459
Moion to pass	. 13460—71
Motion re. Indian Administrative Service (Pay) Rules	. 13471—96
Daily Digest	. 13497—13504

No. 40 Tourist April on and III is 11 a annual (C. 1.)	COLUMNS
No. 53.—Tuesday, April 25, 1961/Vaisakha 5, 1883 (Saka)— Oral Answers to Questions—	
Starred Questions Nos. 1711, 1712, 1714 to 1716, 1719 to 1721, 1723 and to 1730	13505—43
Written Answers to Questions—	-3505 43
Starred Questions Nos. 1713, 1717, 1718, 1722 and 1724.	13544-47
Unstarred Questions Nos. 3783 to 3845 and 3847 to 3860	13547-95
Calling Attention to Matter of Urgent Public Importance—	-3547 33
Accident in Power House of Bhakra Dam	13596-97
Papers laid on the Table	13597-98
Statement re. Demands for Excess Grants (General), 1958-59	13598
Statement re. Demands for Excess Grants (Railways), 1958-59.	13598
Joint Committeee on Offices of Profit	
Third Report	1 3 5 9 8
Orissa State Legislature (Delegation of Powers) Bill .	13598—13619
Motion to consider, as passed by Rajya Sabha .	3598 —13618
Clauses 2, 3 and 1	13618-19
Motion to pass	13619
Medicinal and Toilet Preparations (Excise Duties) Amendment Bill	13620—60
Motion to consider	1362052
Causes 2, 3 and 1	13652-56
Motion to pass	13 65 6—60
	118, 13735-36
Motions re, Annual Report of the Indian Air-Lines Corporation and the Air-India International Corporation	35, 13736—86
Daily Digest	13787—92
	-3/0/)-
No. 54.—Wednesday, April 26, 1961/Vaisakha 6, 1883 (Saka)— Oral Answers to Questions—	
Oral Answers to Questions— Statred Questions Nos. 1731, 1732, 1737 to 1743 and 1745 to 1750	
Written Answers to Questions—	13/93-13030
Starred Questions Nos. 1733 to 1736, 1744 and 1751 to 1753	. 1383037
	13837—13904
Correction of Answer to Unstarred Question No. 2437 dated 28th March 1961	13905
Motion for Adjournment—	
Alleged prevention of representation of grievances by certain P. & T. Unions	13905-15
Calling Attention to Matter of Urgent Public Importance—	
Discharge of primary teachers in Orissa	13915-17
Papers laid on the Table	13917—19
Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions—	
Eighty-fourth Report	. 13919
Electon to Committee—	
 Governing Council of Indian School of Mines Advisory Board for National Atlas and Geographic Names 	13919-20
Orissa Demands for Grants, 1961-62	. 13920–21 . 13921–32
Essential Commodities (Amendment) Bill	13933-53
Motion to consider	1393353
Clauses I and 2	13953
Motion to pass	13953
Legal Practitioners Bill	
Motion to consider, as reported by Joint Committee .	13953-14036
Daily Digest	14037-46

	COLUMNS
No. 55—Thursday, April 27, 1961/Vaisakha 7, 1883 (Saka) —	
Oral Answers to Questions—	
Starred Questions Nos. 1754 to 1758, 1760 to 1763 and 1766 to 1769 .	14047—82
Written Answers to Questions—	
Starred Questions Nos. 1759, 1764, 1765 and 1770 to 1776	14083—88
Unstarred Questions Nos. 3980 to 4026 and 4028 to 4047	14088—14125
Motions for Adjournment—	
Suspension of electric power supply to Calcutta.	14125-29
Papers laid on the Table	14129-30
Estimats Committee— Minutes	14130
	14130—34
Orissa Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1961 — Introduced	14135
Legal Practitioners Rill	14136-14233
Motion to consider, as reported by Joint Committee	14136—14204
Clauses 2, 4 to 23, 25 to 28, 31 to 57, 3, 24, 29, 30 the Schedule and Clause 1	
	. 14228—33
Income Tax Bill, 1961	,
Motion to refer to Select Committee	14234-72
Half-an-Hour Discussion re. Beef served in Ashoka Hotel	14272-88
Daily Digest	14289-94
No. 56.—Friday, April 28, 1961/Vaisakha 8, 1883 (Saka) —	
Oral Answers to Questions — Starred Questions Nos. 1777, 1778, 1783 to 1787, 1789 to 1791, 1793, 1794 an 1796 to 1798.	i 14295—14331
Written Answers to Question —	
Starred Questions, Nos. 1779 to 1782, 1788, 1792 and 1795	14331-35
Unstarred Questions Nos. 4048 to 4129, 4131 and 4132	14335—92
Calling Attention to Matter of Urgent Public Importance—	
Deaths due to drowning in Jamuna on Baisakhi day.	14392 94
Estimates Committee—	
(i) Minutes	14394
(i) Hundred and Thirty-eighth Report .	14395
Message from Rajya Sabha	14394
Committee of Privilege —	
Twelfth Report	. 14395
Business of the House	. 14395–96
Coal Mines (Conservation and Safety) Amendment Bill —	_
Introduced	. 14396–97
Orissa Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1961 —Passed .	. 14397
Income Tax Bill	
Motion to refer to Select Committee	14398 -14456
Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions—	* 1 1 = 6
Eighty-fourth Report	14456 14456—14514
Resolution re. Buddhist Converts — Negatived .	14513-24
Resolution re. Individual Income	
Daily Dige st	1 4525 — 3

COLUMNS

57.—Monday, May 1, 1961/Vaisakha 11, 1883 (Saka)				
Oral Answers to Questions—				
Starred Questions Nos. 1799, 1800, 18 02, 1803, 1805 t and 1820				-70
Written Answers to Questions —				
Starred Questions Nos. 1801, 1804, 1809, 1812, 1812, 1812.			1821 to 14571-	-84
Unstarred Questions Nos. 4133 to 4240 and 4242 to 4	4249 .		14584—146	53
Calling Attention to Matter of Urgent Public Importance	e —			
Accident to Howrah Puri Express			14654-	55
Re. Statement on shortage of power in Calcutta .			14655-	56
Papers laid on the Table			1 4657-	58
Messages from Rajya Sabha			14658-	59
President's Assent to Bills			146	59
Points of Personal Explanation			140	5 6 0
Committee on Absence of Members from the sittings of	the H	ouse-		
Twenty-fourth Report			146	61
Privileges Committee—				
Twelfth Report			. 14661—	-66
Income-Tax Bill, 1961				
Motion to report to Select Committee			14667—149	703
Delhi (Urban Areas) Tenants' Relief Bill			. 14703-	86
Motion to consider			1 4703 	82
Clauses 2 and 3			14782-	
Daily Digest			14 7 87—	94
No 58.—Tuesday—May 2, 1961/Vaisakha 2, 1883 (Saka Oral Answers to Questions —	z) 			
Starred Questions Nos. 1833 to 1836, 1838, 1840 to 1	1844, a	nd 1846 to	0 1850 14795—14 8	335
Short Notice Question No. 15.			14735-	-42
Written Answers to Questions—				
Starred Questions Nos. 1837, 1839, 1845 and 1851 to	1859.		. 14742-	-49
Unstarred Questions Nos. 4250 to 4326			14750-14	902
Calling Attention to Matter of Urgent Public Important	ce			
Recovery of validation fee from the people of Angul Re. Question of Privilege —	1 Sub-1	Division	. 14902–	-0 4
Certain remarks in the New Age			. 14904-	_05
Papers laid on the Table			14908-	
Indian Railways (Amendment) Bill — Introduced .			. 149	
Delhi (Urban Areas) Tenants Relief Bill	·		. 14914-	
			. 14914-	
Motion to pass	•		. 14925-	
Marking of Heavy Packages (Amenement) Bill	•		14928-	
Motion to consider	•	•	. 14928-	
Clauses 1 and 2	•	•	**	-
Motion to pass	•		14931-	-32 932
			14932-	_
	•		. 14932-	-
Appropriation (No. 3) Bill, 1961 — Passed . Demands for Excess Grants (Railways), 1958-59 .	•	•	14964	_
Appropriation (Railways) No. 3 Bill 1961 —Passed	•		14978-	
Oppropriation (Callways) 140. 3 Dill 1901 -Passed	•		149/0~	-0

	COLUM
Coal Mines (Conservation and Safety) Amendment Bill	1498015002
Motion to consider	. 15002-60
Motions re. Seventeenth and Righteenth Sessions of Indian Labour Confe Daily Digest	rence 15061—68
No. 59Wednesday, May 3, 1961/Vaisakha 13, 1883 (Saka)-	
Oral Answers to Questions—	
Starred Questions Nos. 1860 to 1864, 1866, 1868, 1871, to 1874, 1876 to and 1882	1879 1 5069— 15109
Written Answers to Questions-	
Starred Questions, Nos. 1865, 1867, 1869, 1870, 1875, 1880. 1881 and to 1898	1883 . 15109—22
Unstarred Questions Nos. 4327 to 4335, 4337 to 4465, 4465—A, 4465-B, 44 and 4465-D	65-C 15122—15213
Motion for Adjournment —	
Missing I.A.F. Dakota aircraft	. 1521315
Calling Attention to Matter of Urgent Public Importance	
Pooling arrangements between the Indian, British and European Ship Companies	oping . 15215-16
Papers laid on the Table	. 15216-26
Leave of Absence	15226-27
Arrest of a Member	· 15227-28
Coal Mines (Conservation and Safety) Amendment Bill	1522866
Motion to consider	1522857
Clauses 2 to 5 and 1	15257-62
Motion to pass	1526266
Delhi Shops and Establishments (Amendment) Bill	15266-15348
Motion to consider, as passed by Rajya Sabha .	15266—15347
Clauses 2 to 5 and 1	. 15348
Motion to pass	15348
Salar Jung Museum Bill	
Moion to consider, as passed by Rajya Sabha	· 15348—50
Half-an-Hour Discussion re. Bhakra Nangal Project	15351-64
Daily Digest	· 1536574
	. 13303-74
No. 60—Thursday, May 4, 1961/Vaisakha 14, 1883 (Saka)—	
Oral Answers to Questions—	
Starred Questions Nos 1899, 1904, 1905, 1907 to 1911, 1914 and 1915	15375-15414
Short Notices Question No. 16	. 1541417
Written Answers to Questions	
Starred Questions Nos. 1900, 1901, 1903, 1906, 1912, 1913, and 1916, 1910 and 1917 to 1925	. 1541 82 7
Unstarred Questions Nos. 4466 to 4573, 4575 to 4587, 4589 to 4592, 459 to 4606, 4606A and 4606B	4 15427—15511
Statement re: Missing IAF Dacota Aircraft	. 15511-13
Calling Attention to Matter of Urgent Public Importance-	
Alleged setting on fire of houses of Scheduled Castes people in a village	
U.P.	. 15513—16
Papers Laid on the Table	· 1551 6— 19
Committee on Private Member's Bills and Resolutions—	

	Columns
Committee on Subordinate Legislation-	
Minutes	15519
Committee on Absence of Members from the Sittings of the Ho	use
Minutes	15519
Messages from Rajya Sabha	15520
Committee on Subordinate Legislation-	
Eleventh Report	. 15520
	15520—68, 15569—15607
Motion to consider	15520-68, 15569-94
Clauses 2 to 28 and clause I	15594—15606
Motion to pass	15606-07
Conviction of a Member	15568
Motor Transport Workers' Bill-	- 55
Consideration of Rajya Sabha Amendments	15607-18
Motion Re. Report of University Grants Commission	15618-41
Half-an-Hour Discussion Re: Indian Council of Medical Research	15642—58
Daily Digest	15659—68
Daily Digent	13039-08
No. 61—Friday, May 5. 1961/Vaisakha 15. 1883 (Saka)—	
Oral Answers to Questions—	
Starred Questions Nos. 1926, 1929, 1933 to 1940, 1942, 1942-A. I 1947, 1946, and 1946-A	943 to 1945. . 15669—15703
Short Notice Questions Nos. 17 to 21	15703—16
Written Answers to Questions-	
Starred Questions Nos. 1927, 1928, 1930 to 1932 and 1941	15717-21
Unstarred Questions Nos. 4607 to 4626, 4628 to 4694 and 4696 to 4	
Motion for Adjournment-	
Lock-out in Swadeshi Cotton Mills	15721-85
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance -	-,,
1. Strike of building workers in Delhi	15785-86
2. Visit of Pakistani water resources experts to Calcutta Port	15786
3. Incidents at certain collieries in Ranigani coal belt area.	15786
4. Accumulation of cotton with traders and growers	15787
5. Reported missing of certain items from magazine of C.P.W.	
Division of NEFA	
Cause of floods in Alipur block area	15787
Papers laid on the Table	15787 92
Statement re, Arrest of Adivasi Displaced Persons at Rourkela	15792
Committee on Government Assurances—	
Minutes	15792
Committee on Petitions-	
Minutes	15792
Estimates Committee—	
Minutes	15793
President's Assent to Bills	15793
Estimates Committee—	****
Hundred and thirty-fifth, hundred and thirty-sixth and Hundred seventh Reports	and thirty- . 15793-94
Public Accounts Committee—	
Thirty-seventh Report	15794
	*?/ 74

	COLUMNS
Committee on Petitions—	
Twelfth Report	1579
Correction of Answer to Starred Question No. 785	15795
Statement re. Explosion at Poozhikunnu Nemom, District Trivandrum	11795-96
Bills introduced—	
I. Coffee (Amendment) Bill	15 796
2. Banaras Hindu University (Amendment) Bill	15 79 6
Indian Railways (Amendment) Bill —	
Motion to refer to Select Committee	15801, 1580220
Re. Report of the Aligarh Muslim University Enquiry Committee	. 15801-02
Union Territories (Stamp and Court Fees Laws) Bill, 1961-	
Introduced	15820-43
Motion re. Report of the University Grants Commission	. 15843
Old Age Pension Bill (by Shri Aurobindo Ghosal) - Introduced	. 15843
All India Domestic Servants Bill by Shri Balmiki-Withdrawn	15843-15919
Constitution (Amendment) Bill-	
(Amendment of Article 226) by Shri C.R. Pattabhi Raman	
Motion to consider	15913-14
Half-an -Hour Discussion re. Integration of Services in Punjab	15914-28
Valedictory Reference	15929-30
Daily Digest	15931-40
Resume of the Thirteenth Session	15941-44

N.B.—The Sign + marked above the name of a Member on Questions which were orelly answered indicates that the Question was actually asked on the floor of the House by that Member.

LOK SABHA

Saturday, April 22, 1961/Vaisakha 2, 1883 (Saka).

The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock.

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair].

FINANCE BILL-contd.

Mr. Speaker: The House will now take up the clause-by-clause consideration of the Finance Bill.

Shri Braj Raj Singh (Firozabad): May I suggest that before we take up the clause-by-clause discussion, you may allot the time for the second reading and the third reading? I suggest that 1½ hours may be given for the third reading, and 2 hours for the clause-by-clause consideration.

Mr. Speaker: I find that there are a number of amendments to the Schedules. We have had almost a third-reading debate ever since the commencement of the President's Address. Therefore, hon Members may speak on clauses now, more particularly. Otherwise, the same thing will be said again and again.

Shri V. P. Nayar (Quilon): That only shows that the House has had no time for going into details.

Shri Prabhat Kar (Hooghly): May I make one suggestion? There are a number of amendments given notice of by hon. Members. Instead of asking each Member to speak on each clause separately, whenever a Member speaks, you may ask him to speak 354 (Ai) LSD—1.

at the same time on all the clauses together. Otherwise, it will be a repetition of the speech of the same Mem-

ber every time.

Shri M. R. Masani (Ranchi-East): That would not be fair to the different points of view to be put forward, and not fair also to the hon. Minister who has to answer point by point.

Mr. Speaker: It is not necessary to proceed as Shri Prabhat Kar suggests. The hon. Member wants me to convert the debate on every clause into a general discussion again?

We shall now take up clause 2.

Shri Braj Raj Singh: What is your decision? At least, there may be one hour for the third reading.

Mr. Speaker: We have allotted 3½ hours for the second and third readings.

Shri Braj Raj Singh: We can go on with the clauses up to 1:30 P.M., and then we can have the third reading for one hour.

Mr. Speaker: I shall allow half an hour for it, and I shall call Shri Braj Singh.

Now, we shall take up clause 2. There are no amendments to this clause. I shall put it to vote now. The First Schedule also goes along with clause 2. Are there any amendments to the First Schedule?

Some Hon. Members: Yes.

Mr. Speaker: We shall take up the First Schedule later.

The Minister of Finance (Shri Morarji Desai): The First Schedule can be taken up later.

13104

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That clause 2 stand part of the Bill".

Finance Bill

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2-was added to the Bill.

Mr. Speaker: So, subject to the passing of the First Schedule, clause 2 stands part of the Bill.

Clause 3—(Amendment of section 4).

Mr. Speaker: Now, we shall take up clause 3. Hon. Members who want to move their amendments to this clause may do so.

Shri Prabhat Kar: There are some Government amendments also to this clause.

Shri Morarji Desai: I beg to move:

- (i) Page 3, line 23, for 'insert**ed** substitute 'substituted'. (10).
- (ii) Page 3, for lines 33 to 41, substitute:

"Provided also that where a person referred to in the proviso immediately preceding continues to remain in employment in India after the expiry of the thirty-six months commencing from the date of his arrival in India, the employer may, notwithstanding anything contained in section 200 of the Companies Act, 1956, pay to the Central Government the tax on the income of such person chargeable under the head 'Salaries' for a period not exceeding twenty-four months following the expiry of the said thirty-six months and if the tax is so paid it shall not be included in his total income of the said period';". (11)

Mr. Speaker: These two amendments are now before the House. I shall now put these two amendments to vote.

Shri Prabhat Kar: I have also got an amendment to this clause, namely amendment No. 55.

Mr. Speaker: I shall come to those amendments, after these two amendments are disposed of.

The question is:

Page 3, line 23, for 'inserted' substitute 'substituted'. (10).

Page 3, for lines 33 to 41, substitute:

"Provided also that where person referred to in the proviso immediately preceding continues to remain in employment in India after the expiry of the thirtysix months commencing from the date of his arrival in India, the employer may, notwithstanding anything contained in section 200 of the Companies Act, 1956, pay to the Central Government the tax on the income of such chargeable under the head 'Salaries' for a period not exceeding twenty-four months following the expiry of the said thirty-six months and if the tax is so paid it shall not be included in his total income of the said period.';". (11).

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker: There are two other amendments to this clause, namely amendments Nos. 55 and 73. Amendment No. 73 is the same as amendment No. 55.

Shri Prabhat Kar: I move amendment No. 55.

Shri Morarka (Jhunjhunu): This amendment would be barred, since the Government amendments have been accepted.

Mr. Speaker: Let me dispose of the objection first. The objection is that after amendments Nos. 10 and 11 have been accepted, this amendment barred. Also, it requires, I understand, the sanction of the President.

Shri Morarji Desai: Yes, it does; it is out of order.

Mr. Speaker: Therefore, on both these grounds, amendment No. 55 is ruled out.

Finance Bill

Now, I shall put clause 3 to vote.

Shri Prabhat Kar: I can oppose this clause, I suppose.

Mr. Speaker: Certainly, he can oppose anything.

Shri Prabhad Kar: The new proviso that is sought to be inserted in clause (xiva) of sub-section (3) of section 4 of the Income-tax Act, reads thus:

"Provided further that in the case of a person referred to in this clause whose contract of service was approved by the Central Government before the commencement of his service, this clause shall have effect as if for the 'during the financial year in which he arrived in India and the financial year next following', words 'during the thirty-six months commencing from the date of his arrival in India' had been substituted and as if the proviso immediately preceding had been omitted.".

The further proviso states that:

"Provided also that where person referred to in the proviso to remain in employment in India immediately preceding continues after the expiry of the thirty-six months commencing from date of his arrived in India, notwithstanding employer may, anything contained in section 200 of the Companies Act, 1956, pay to the Central Government tax on the income of such person chargeable under the head 'Salaries' for a period not exceeding twenty-four months following the expiry of the said thirty-six months and if the tax is so paid it shall not be included in total income of the said period.';".

This means that in addition to the period of thirty-six months, for another twenty-four months also, a foreign technician or a foreign employee who is coming over here and earning money shall be granted relief, so far as income-tax is concerned. I do not know why this concession is required to be given for five years. According to the first proviso which is sought to be substituted, the exemption will be available for three years or thirty-six months. But the subsequent proviso that has now been added extends it for another twenty-four months or two years. I object to this provision, and I submit that it should not be accepted by the House.

Shri Nagi Reddy (Anantapur): My reason for objecting to this clause is based on the importation of technical know-how into the country. We have been hearing quite a number of complaints from our Indian personnel that the foreign technicians that are now coming to our country are not giving the technical know-how to our countrymen which will enable us to get rid of the foreign technicians as early as possible and reimburse our industries with our own technicians. A clause like this would only add to the trouble that is already there in the country.

Take, for example, the Rourkela plant. Mr. H. Venkatasubbaiah, a special correspondent of The Hindu had written in an article that since in Rourkela, the technical know-how is not being given to the Indians, more than about 200 West Germans should continue to remain here to man the running of the plant, even after the building up of the plant is over and it has started production.

Mr. Speaker: How long are they going to stay?

Shri Nagi Reddy: He has not indicated that. But in the World Bank's report we have been told that quite possibly it may be another 6 years or 10 years even. If we were to more concessions in the matter of salaries and emoluments to the foreign technicians without getting a promise that all the technical know-how that |Shri Nagi Reddy]

is to be imparted to our own technicians should be imparted as early as possible and as fully as possible, the passing of this clause will only hinder the growth of our own technical knowhow. We have our experience of ten years in the field of oil, in the field of steel technology, in the field of ship-building; if we are to take our experience in respect of the Machine Tools Factory, we know that the Prime Minister said that it was only the foreign technicians had been sent out that the Hindustan Machine Tools Factory had its production increased, and increased fast. I do not know how this clause is going to help Indian technicians and the manning of our industries with our own technical personnel. Therefore, I object to this clause being passed as it hinders the growth of the technical know-how of our country and increases our dependence on foreign technicians for years to come.

Shri Morarji Desai: In the first place, this applies only to those technicians who are approved by Government. It does not apply to anybody and everybody that anybody wants to apply to. That means that we require those technicians. It is wrong to sa that we will get rid of them as soon as possible. Why should people come and help us if we use this sort of language? I think it is very wrong, even immoral, to talk like that.

Shri Nagi Reddy: I regret that used that word. My English was bad. I would say that we will dispense with them as early as possible.

Shri Morarji Desai: I am glad any rate that the appeal to morality has had some effect.

Shri Nagi Reddy: Yes, if he accepts our amendment.

Shri Morarji Desai: In this matter, we are also as careful as any Member here to see that our people learn these techniques as soon possible. But these cannot be forced. If we give them facilities which

reasonable, I feel that we will learn the techniques more quickly. wise, there is a danger of our not knowing them as quickly as we desire. It is from that point of view that this is done.

Finance Bill

As regards those who are in government projects, it is not a question of keeping them. This clause is not going to keep them. We can always tell them, 'You can go away'. But it depends upon us as to how long to keep them and how long it is necessary to do so. That is also not a question which can be decided quickly every time. This applies only to technicians and not to managerial staff. We require technicians for a long time to time. We will not be self-sufficient in technicians in the near future that I can see, because so much has got to be learnt. It is therefore that have got to do this, and we should do it properly, not in the manner that the hon. Member suggests.

I do not accept the amendment.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That clause 3, as amended, stand part of the Bill".

These hon. Members in favour will say 'Aye'.

Some Hon Members: 'Aye'.

Mr. Speaker: Those against will kindly say 'No'.

Some Hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: The 'Ayes' have it.

Some Hon. Members: No, the 'Noes' have it.

Mr Speaker: I shall put it to vote of the House again. I do not want a snap vote to take place in view of the Hon. Minister's statement. If he had kept quite and not replied, I would have immediately declared the result. But he vehemently opposed the suggestion made by Shri Nagi Reddy. I go by the number of voices, not by the loudness of the voices.

The question is:

13111

"That clause 3, as amended, stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

Clause 3, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Clause 4—(Amendment of section 7).

Mr. Speaker: I shall now put clause 4 to the vote of the House. The amendment that has been tabled to this clause is out of order because it requires the recommendations of the President, which has not yet been obtained.

Shri Jaipal Singh (Ranchi—West—Reserved—Sch. Castes): It seems that we take up a lot of time in explaining why an amendment is out of order. Then why should it appear in the list at al? There are standing rules under which certain things are not admissible. If they are not admissible, they should not be printed at all.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member will bear with me when I say that till the last moment, till the time I put it to the vote of the House, they may obtain the recommendation of the hon. President.

Shri Jaipal Singh: But it is not here.

Mr. Speaker: He has not got it here.

In anticipation of refusal by the President, how can I refuse to include it? If it is prima facie irrelevant or does not arise, we disallow it. But otherwise we circulate, it, even though it has to await the recommendation of the President, so that hon. Members may come prepared, and if per chance the President gives his sanction, they must not be taken by surprise.

The question is . . .

Shri M. R. Masani: I crave your leave to say a few words on this clause. Because of the difficulty I found in obtaining the permission of the President to amendment No. 2 I had tabled, I could not move it. But I would like to make the plea contained in that amendment to the hon. Minister and the House.

Clause 4 extends to the members of the armed forces a concession already made to government employees, that is, that the gratuity on retirement that they get should be free of tax. I welcome the hon Minister's proposal in clause 4. It is right that the brave men who compose our armed forces and who have to defend our country against Communist imperialism and aggression should be looked after properly....

Shri Nath Pai (Rajapur): Against all kinds of aggression.

Shri M. R. Masani: Against all kinds of aggression, particularly the one that we are facing at this moment.

The question arises, why only category of employees? It is that civil employees are given relief, it is even better that now we are extending the relief to those who defend the country. When a poor man or middle class man retires from service, he earns a gratuity. It is not a very big sum. It is fair that, when he retires, this gratuity, which is for his old age, should not be mulcted or taxed by the State. Now, the inequity that arises is this: those who do not serve Government but other employers and right to this relief is equally strong on moral grounds are discriminated against by the law today, amendment had been allowed, would have moved that the same concession be extended to all ployees who get a retirement gratuity. There is no reason why the should discriminate in this manner between its own employees and those who are employed by other people. A man who retires on a salary

[Shri M. R. Masani]

Rs. 500 or Rs. 600 equally deserves this relief, whether he has been employed by a private company or private corporation or government corporation or the armed forces.

Therefore, the point I would like to make is this. This is a very welcome relief. I am glad it is being extended to a body of people who deserve it. But who can argue with reason that employees on a similar level of employment drawing as little or as much as the others should be denied this grace? I would appeal to the Finance Minister to consider whether in Morality and equity, this concession should not be given to all those who are employed on a salary and those retire on a retirement gratuity by whomsoever they may be employed. I think justice and relief to the middle class people who earn gratuity should be extended in this manner.

Shri C. D. Pande (Naini Tal): Generally, these gratuities are given those who are not entitled to pensions. Pensions are taxed year by year those amounts, whereas gratuity, for a man who retires on a salary of Rs. 300 400, comes to Rs. 5,000 or Rs. 6,000. If the amount of Rs. 5,000 or Rs. 6,000 is taxed in one lump, he stands to lose a great deal, whereas if he gets a pension, the pension would not be liable to tax because it would not come to that much amount as to be taxable. Therefore, I think suggestion made by Shri M. R. Masani is very reasonable and it should accepted.

Shri Morarji Desai: Under the provisions of section 7 of the Income-tax Act, income-tax is not payable on any payment of death-cum-retirement gratuity received under the Revised Pension Rules of the Central Government or a local authority or a corporation established by a Central, State or Provincial Act. The proposed amendment has the effect of extending the benefit of this exemption to gratuities paid by employers in the private sector

to their employees. That is what the hon. Member has proposed in amendment which was not moved. The gratuity which was introduced in the revised Pension Rules of the Central Government represented essentially the commuted value of a of the pension. It was therefore considered in fixing the scale of the pension that this gratuity would not be taxable. If the gratuity was intended to be taxed, either its quantum or the quantum of the pension would have had to be increased. In the case of the gratuities paid by a State Government or local authorities or a statutory corporation, this exemption is available only if the schemes under which the gratuity is paid is similar to the scheme of death-cum-retirement gratuity under the revised pension rules of the Central Government.

So far as employees in the private sector are concerned, their gratuity schemes are not similar to the Central Government's gratuity scheme and hence the question of extending the benefit of this exemption to such gratuities does not arise.

Shri C. D. Pande: If they do not get pensions?

Shri Morarji Desai: The pensions are taxed.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That clause 4 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 5- (Amendment of Section 9).

Mr. Speaker: Amendment No. 3 is out of order. Therefore, only the Government amendments stand.

Amendments made:

(i) Page 4, line 12,-

after "section 9" insert "of the Income-tax Act". (12)

- (ii) Page 4, line 20,
 - omit "by". (13)
- (iii) Page 4, line 23,omit "by". (14).
- (iv) Page 4, line 29,-

for "will' substitute "shall". (15). -[Shri Morarji Desai].

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That clause 5, as amended, stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 5, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Clause 6—(Amendment of Section 10).

Mr. Speaker: With regard to clause 6, amendments 4 and 5 are out of order as they require the sanction of the President. The remaining amendments are all Government amendments.

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman (Kumbakonam): With regard to clause 6, I have already spoken to the hon. Finance Minister . . .

Mr. Speaker: Let him move his amendments first.

Shri Morarji Desai: Sir, I beg to move:

(i) Page 4, line 31,-

after "section 10" insert "of the Income-tax Act". (16).

(ii) Page 4, line 40,-

after "per mensem" insert...

"or such buildings being used solely or mainly for the welfare of such persons as hospitals, creches, schools, canteens, libraries, recreational centres, shelters, rest rooms and lunch rooms". (17)

(iii) Page 4, line 41,—

after "written down value" insert "for the purposes of this clause". (18)

(iv) Page 5, line 5,-

for "inserted" substitute "substituted". (19).

(v) Page 7, line 3,-

after "the firm" insert "immediately". (20).

(vi) Page 7, line 24,—

for "provisos" substitute "proviso". (21)

(vii) Page 7,--

omit lines 26 to 28. (22)

(viii) Page 7, line 29,-

omit "further". (23)

Mr. Speaker: These amendments are before the House.

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman: Sir, I find that sub-clause (2) of Clause 6 of the Finance Bill makes certain amendments to sub-section (2) of Section 10 of the Income-tax Act. This clause referred to in the present Finance Bill amends the relevant part of the proviso to Section 10(2) of the Income-tax Act in this manner. I will read that section, as amended.

"and if any such ship, machinery or plant is sold or otherwise transferred by the assessee to any person other than the Government or for any consideration not connected with any amalgamation or succession referred to in clause 6(c) at any time before the expiry of 10 years from the end of the year in which it was acquired or installed, any allowance made under this clause shall be deemed to have been wrongly allowed for the purpose of this Act."

As it originally stood, allowance on account of development rebate was treated as wrongly allowed in cases where the ship, machinery or plant is sold or otherwise transferred to any person except to the Government. The effect of the amendment is to treat the allowance on account of development rebate as wrongly allowed only in cases where the ship, machinery plant is not sold to the Government or in connection with an amalagamation or succession. Thus, wherever any ship, machinery or plant is sold to the

[Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman]

13117

Government or is sold in connection with any amalgamation or succession the allowance on account of development rebate could not be treated as having been wrongly allowed.

It will be found that in sub-clause (ii) of clause (2) of sub-clause 6(c) that a development rebate allowed to a firm, where the firm is succeeded by a private company and in consequence of such succession the ship, machinery or plant is transferred to the private company, the development rebate allowed to the firm is to be treated as not having been wrongly allowed. Therefore, it will be seen that a distinction is sought to be drawn in the case of a firm being succeeded by a private company as against the case of a business carried on either by an individual or a Hindu undivided family being succeeded by a private company or even a public company for that matter. If in the case of succession to a firm, the development rebate allowed to the firm is to be treated as not having been wrongly allowed, there is no justification why in any case where a business carried on by an individual or a Hindu joint family is succeeded by a private company and the individual or the family continues to be a share-holder in the private company, the development rebate allowed to the individual or the family should be treated as having been wrongly allowed and consequently the individual or the family deprived of the allowance on account of development rebate.

At one time I thought it was probably a technical error; but I do not think that is the position now. May I appeal to the hon. Finance Minister to consider this aspect?

Shri Morarji Desai: In this matter, the aim is to secure the continuance of the rebate only if the firm is converted into a private company or a company; but the aim is not to allow an individual person or individual family to gain by transferring it to a company I here there are other shareholders coming in. That is not the intention at all; and I cannot accept this suggestion.

Finance Bill

Mr. Speaker: The question is: Page 4, line 31,-

after "section 10" insert "of the Income-tax Act". (16)

Page 4, line 40,-

after "per mensem" insert-

"or such buildings being used solely or mainly for the welfare of such persons as hospitals, creches, schools canteens, libraries, recreational centres, shelters, rest rooms and lunch rooms". (17)

Page 4, line 41,—

after "written down value" "for the purposes of this clause". (18)

Page 5, line 5,-

for "inserted" substitute "substituted". (19)

Page 7, line 3,—

after "the firm" insert "immediately". (20)

Page 7, line 24,—

for "provisos" substitute "proviso". (21)

Page 7,-

omit lines 26 to 28. (22)

Page 7, line 29,—

omit "further". (23)

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"The clause 6, as amended, stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 6, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Clauses 7 to 12

Mr. Speaker: Amendments to clauses 7 and 10 are out of order as they require the sanction of the President. Therefore, there are no amendments to clauses 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12, if these are ruled out. I shall put them all together.

Shri Morarka (Jhunjhunu): Sir, may I say a word about clause 7?

Mr. Speaker: Yes; any number of words.

Shri Morarka: Amendment No. 39 which stands in my name and in the name of my hon. friend, Shri Nathwani, is ruled out of order as it requires President's sanction. The purport of my amendment is to give the concession to the hoteliers or those who built hotels not after April, 1961 but from April, 1960. As you know, when you pass the Finance Bill, the provisions of the Finance Bill are applicable to the income from the pre-vious year. Therefore, I thought that in fairness, any person who has started running a hotel from April, 1960 should be entitled to this benefit. Now, Sir, this concession which the hon. Finance Minister has given under section 15(c) is primarily to encourage the tourist hotels so that there may be more hotels and more tourist traffic. I think that the Finance Minister would not lose any revenue if he were to extend this concession to these hotels which started functioning from the 1st of April, 1960. The tax holiday is given for a certain number of years and that number of years still remains the same. Instead of having it from April 1961, my submission is that it may be given from 1960. At the present moment, this amendment is out of order but I appeal to the hon. Finance Minister to consider this and if he finds my submission acceptable then at some future time he may do something about it.

Shri Morarji Desai: Sir, it is obvious that the purpose of this provision is to see that more hotels are created. That means that it is to apply to future hotels and not to hotels

which have already come into existence inspite of the present regulations. To give them retrospective effect would not be fair. Then we should give it to all hotels in existence; that would not be proper at all. It is therefore that it comes into effect from 1961; it cannot come into effect from 1960.

Mr. Speaker: There are no more submissions The question is:

"That Clause 7 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 7 was added to the Bill

Clauses 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 were added to the Bill.

Clause 13—(Amendment of Act 1 of 1944)

Mr. Speaker: We shall now take up clause 13. There are some amendments. The hon, Finance Minister may move them.

Shri Morarji Desai; Sir, I beg to move:

(i) Page 13, line 3,—
after "COAL TAR" insert ",". (24)

(ii) Page 13, line 4,—

after "DERIVATIVES" omit ""
(25)

(iii) Page 13,-

for lines 9 to 13, substitute-

"DEFINED IN CLAUSE (h) OF SECTION 3 OF THE DRUGS ACT, 1940 (23 OF 1940), NOT CONTAINING ALCOHOL OR OPIUM, INDIAN HEMP, OR OTHER NARCOTIC DRUGS OR NARCOTICS." (26)

(iv) Page 13, line 15,-

after "PREPARATIONS" insert-

"NOT CONTAINING ALCO-HOL OR OPIUM, INDIAN HEMP, OR OTHER NARCOTIC DRUGS OR NARCOTICS" (27)

(v) Page 13, line 35,-

after "CELLOPHANE" insert-

"that is, any film or sheet of regenerated cellulose". (28)

(vi) Page 14,-

after line 39, in column 2, insert-

"Explanation —'Chinaware' cludes all glazed clayware but does not include terracotta." (29)

Shri Prabhat Kar Sir, if these amendments are passed, my amendments will be automatically out of order.

Mr Speaker: I will keep them in abeyance. Let me know what are the other amendments which the hon. Members would like to move:

Shri Prabhat Kar: No. 56, 57, 58 and 59.

Mr. Speaker: 56 is out of order, 57 is the same as 44; and 59 is the same

Shri Prabhat Kar: I beg to move:

(i) Page 13,-

omit lines 7 to 13. (57)

(ii) Page 13,---

omit lines 24 to 34. (58)

(iii) Page 14,-

omit lines 2 to 12. (59)

Shri Assar (Ratnagiri): Sir, I beg to move my amendments Nos. 41, 42, 43, 45, 46, 51 and 52.

Mr. Speaker: 41 is out of order. 52 is out of order; it requires President's Sanction.

Shri Assar: I beg to move:

(i) Page 11,--

omit lines 26 to 29. (42)

(ii) Page 11,-

omit lines 30 to 32. (43)

(iii) Page 13, line 15,—

after "PREPARATIONS" insert-

"EXCEPT THOSE PREPARED WITH THE HELP OF MACHI-NERY AND POWER" (45).

(iv) Page 14,-

omit lines 1 to 21. (46)

(v) Page 15,---

for lines 26 to 32, substitute-

"33A WIRELESS RECEIVING SETS ETC.

Sets above Rs. 150 and below Rs. 300 Rs. 15. Sets above Rs. 300 and below Rs. 500 Rs. 40. Sets Above Rs. 500. Rs. 75.;" (51)

Shri Braj Raj Singh: Sir, I beg to move:

(i) Page 14,—

after line 27, in column 2, insert-

"Provided that no duty shall be charged on laboratory glassware manufactured in a concern having a capital investment of five lakhs of rupees or less." (60)

(ii) Page 14,--

after line 30, in column 2, insert-

"Provided that no duty shall be charged on the items enumerated in this sub-item manufactured in a concern having a capital investment of five lakhs of rupees or less." (61)

(iii) Page 14, line 31,—

for "Fifteen per cent." substitute "Five per cent." (62)

(iv) Page 14,—

after line 32, in column 2, insert-

"Provided that no duty shall be charged on other glassware including tableware manufactured in a concern having a capital investment of five lakhs of rupees or less." (63)

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava (Hissar): Sir, I beg to move my amendments Nos. 48 and 49 to clause 13:

(i) Page 14, line 15,—

after "KNITTING WOOL" insert-

EXCLUDING YARN FROM SHODDY WOOL AND INDIAN WOOL". (48)

(ii) Page 14,—

for lines 20 and 21, substitute-"worsted yarn Twenty-five naye pa'se per lb.;" (49)

Shri Nanjappan (Nilgiris): Sir, Ι have an amendment No. 8.

Mr. Speaker: It is out of order.

Shri Nanjappan: I would like to make some observations,

Mr. Speaker: I will call him. the amendments are before the House.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: Sir, in moving my two amendments, Nos. 48 and 49, my object is to see that so far as woollen yarn is concerned, shoody yarn and yarn from the Indian wool may be exempted from duties. Secondly, I want that the duty be reduced from the present ad valorem to be made according to the weight and that too at the rate of twenty-five naya paise per lb. of worsted wool. First of all, I beg to bring to the kind notice of the hon. Finance Minister that in para 87 of his speech he said:

"It is proposed to levy a small specific duty on mill-made cotton and woollen yarn and I hope that at least a part of the duty will be absorbed in the cost of manufacture. This step will also bring within the excise net hosiery and certain other fabrics which do not bear any duty at present. This duty will not, however, apply to varn in hanks used in weaving on handlooms such a dhoties, sarees and other common varieties of cloth and to yarn spun from shoddy wool used in the fabrication of coarse articles. I expect a revenue of Rs. 5:55 crores from this levy."

In the first instance, he has himself said that he wants to exempt shoddy wool and now I find that all kinds of woollen yarns are included. I hope that he really wants to abide by his original speech and to exempt the shoddy wool. If that is so, my insistence on it is really redundant. But I do not know what is in his mind. From the words given in the clause here it appears that all kinds of woollen varn are included while from the speech I understand that yarns from the shoddy wool are sought to be exempted. I beg to submit that the yarn from the Indian wool and shoddy wool are used only for coarse things. Indian wool is of very low quality. Though we were the first in the whole world to find use for the wool today all kinds of wools are imported into India and our Indian wool does not give us much benefit. Now, we are trying to improve it.

Shri Morarji Desai: Shoddy wool has been exempted by notification already.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: If it is so, then I am very thankful to the hon. Minister. But in the operative part of the clause all kinds of wool are put; I thought that perhaps shoddy wool might not have been exempted. Since he tells me that it has been exempted, I am happy. So far as the yarn from the Indian wool is concerned, this may also be exempted. As I have already submitted, Indian wool is of great use and now we want the use of Indian wool to be encouraged so that in course of time we may be using large quantities of Indian wool just like the imported wool. Only two things are generally manufactured out of the woollen yarn: one is carpet and the other is blanket.

So far as carpets are concerned, they are generally exported, and according to the policy adopted by the Government in respect of export promotion, I think not much income will be derived by the hon. Finance Minister from the carpets. He has also

[Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava]

provided a drawback for it and so not much income will be derived from it. So far as blankets are concerned, I think these are used by the army generally and these are bought by the Government from the factories. Therefore, the Government themselves have to pay for the blankets and also a duty will have to be paid by the Government themselves. The rest of wool which is not used in the manufacture of blankets and carpets used by the common people for their warm clothing. Poor coarse cloth is generally used by the poor people as warm clothing. I would request the hon. Finance Minister to be kind enough to exclude this wool which will not bring him any great revenue.

As far as revenue is concerned, from the hosiery wool, knitting wool, etc., the income which the hon. Finance Minister wants to get is only Rs. 35 lakhs. In paragraph 14 of the memorandum with regard to this tax, we find that the hon. Finance Minister wanted to get only Rs. 35 lakhs from this woollen yarn. Even according to the present changes that he has been pleased to make in which he has been pleased to give some concessions also, he will get at least Rs. 135 lakhs. After all, the hosiery manufactured wool is about 15 lakh lbs. In 1960, the production of worsted yarn was 1,28,12,698 lbs. that is, 58,11,775 If the rate is Rs. 2-1-0 as has been declared by the hon. Finance Minister two or three days ago, this will bring him Rs. 116 lakhs. Similarly, in regard to the other yarn also, even if shoddy wool is taken away-it has been taken away now-about 48,42,122 kg. of woollen yarn are produced now which will bring, on the basis of 40 nP. about Rs. 20 lakhs. So, he will get Rs. 135 lakhs from the duty whereas he only wanted Rs. 35 lakhs.

Not that I am grudging anything. If he gets anything more, it is all right. But, at the same time, the amount of duty which he expected was only Rs. 35 lakhs, and indeed, the

people were ready to pay this amount. l am very glad to inform the hon. Finance Minister that, as a matter of fact, whatever his proposals. whatever be the reluctance of people to pay, when the concerned people came to me and complained about it, and wanted me to bring in my amendments here, they were only too willing to pay the tax but they only wanted to see that no harassment would be caused. They said that they were willing to pay the tax. I was happy to find that the people had realised that they have to pay the tax especially in view of the Five Year Plan. All that they objected to was about any possible harassment. They said that the manner in which tax is collected should not be harassing to them. I find that the hon. Finance Minister has already pleased to give a concession to these people. Their main complaint that it was most difficult to evaluate the woollen yarn. The yarn was produced in such counts that it was difficult to find a market for it. Such yarns are generally not marketable and are used by factories themselves. So, it would be difficult to evaluate them. Therefore, I am very glad that the hon. Finance Minister has been pleased to give us a formula by virtue of which these people will not have any difficulty any more. Instead of ten per cent or so on the value, they will pay, by way of composite amount, something on the basis of so much per kilogramme. This improvement has been made now.

Therefore, my humble submission is that in the first place the yarn from Indian wool should be exempted, and secondly, the incidence is too high. The hon. Minister wants the tax at the rate of Rs. 2-1-0 for worsted wool, and again at 40 nP per kilogramme for the other variety of wool. My humble submission is that if the other wool is exempted and only 25nP per kilogramme is realised, he will be able to realise Rs. 3.5 lakhs. Instead, he gets almost four times the amount.

Thus, the complaint of some hon. Members that when a duty is levied, there is an under-estimate of revenue and an over-estimate of expenditure, seems to be justified. I do not know whether I am correct. But the figures have been supplied to me by two associations who are responsible associations and who deal in this business of yarn. According to my view, and even according to the evaluation given by the Textile Commissioner, Rs. 8 per pound will be levied on the manufactured worsted yarn so far as 64 and 70 counts are concerned. this way from worsted yarn alone, the hon. Finance Minister will realise Rs. 125 lakhs, and from woollen yarn he will be able to realise Rs. 140 lakhs instead of Rs. 35 lakhs.

I would, therefore, request the hon. Finance Minister to see and realise that nothing will be lost if he is pleased to exempt the Indian woollen yarn from the duty, as he has been pleased to exempt shoddy yarn already. It will be an act of grace if he could exempt it, and at the same time, it will give a fillip to the industry and the poor people will be happy because they are habituated to use only coarse cloth for the winter season, and they will bless the hon. Minister if their cloth is not made dearer.

As regards warm clothing, made from Indian woollen yarn, the present duty is 11½ per cent. That means the people will have to pay a duty twice, first as a component of the cloth as well as otherwise. So, this will be a double tax. I would request the hon. Finance Minister to be kind enough to exempt Indian woollen yarn, as he has been pleased to exempt already the shoddy woollen yarn, and at the same time to regulate the incidence of the tax. After all, he wanted only Rs. 35 lakhs; but let him realise Rs. 70 lakhs but not Rs. 135 lakhs.

Shri Prabhat Kar: While moving the motion for consideration in respect of the Finance Bill, the hon. Finance Minister gave to the House the details of the concessions in regard to the various items which had already been taxed. First of all, I do not know wherefrom he got the information about the manufacture of dolls from plastics, when he granted some concessions to the plastics, moulding powders, etc., including scrap. So far as scrap for the plastics is concerned, it has been announced that plastic moulding powders manufactured from scraps of plastics are also being exempted from duty.

So far as the manufacturers are concerned, there are quite a large number of manufacturers in country and about 1,370 factories are there. Particularly in West Bengal, there are 650 factories. If 20 per cent ad valorem duty is imposed on the raw material of plastic moulders, the small businessmen who manufacture the dolls would suffer. Further, by selling at just one anna a lot, small businessman earns a profit of 37 nP per gross. But, as a result of this duty, such businessmen will have to pay 80 nP per gross as tax. So, if 37 nP is the profit and 80 nP is the duty, I do not know exactly how they will be able to maintain themselves. Similarly, if they sell the dolls at two annas a lot, their profit will be 75 nP per gross, but the duty will come to 160 nP per gross. Thus, the concession that has been granted is no concession in the sense that the scrap forms only one per cent of the total requirements of these people in the manufacture of dolls. Knowing fully well that there are quite a large number of small traders in this business, and knowing fully well also that these small traders in plastics, who prepare small toys, earn only very small profits, a heavy duty of 20 per cent is levied, and so it appears that the hon. Finance Minister does not want that these people should continue to trade in these dolls.

Again, coming to this concession on scrap, let me see what its effect will be on those who produce raw materials. They will show in their books a large quantity of scraps. That large quantity will automatically go out of duty. That large quantity of scrap

[Sari Prabhat Kar]

can again be utilised in the manufacturing of the raw materials and that will find its way into the brackmarket. So far as this matter is concerned, complaints were lodged and it was pointed out not in the ordinary way, but before the Chief Minister of West Bengal and also the Minister of Industry, Shri Manubhai Shah. 27000 false receipts were produced. This is how they put these things into the black-market. If concession is granted, the small traders will automatically go out of existence. I would request the Finance Minister to look into this plastic industry. Knowing fully well that a large number of small businessmen are there, instead of simply granting this concession to the scrap, which will not help them, the hon. Minister should reconsider his decision and instead of imposing 20 per cent duty on all, he can impose 20 per cent duty on big business and he should give relief to the small traders. If he reduces this duty from 20 per cent, as suggested by them to 5 per cent on all concerned, I think it will serve the purpose and it will enable the small traders to continue in their business.

Regarding powerlooms, the other day he said that concession will be granted in respect of 3 powerlooms provided they work only one shift. Instead of really granting any relief, he has caused further hardship to small powerlooms. Previously it was exempted up to two. Two powerlooms working for three shifts could have produced the work for six shifts. Today the relief has been granted to powerlooms up to three or four working one shift.

Shri Morarji Desai: The concession originally given stands. Above that, three or four powerlooms are also given exemption for one shift. There is nothing taken away.

Shri Prabhat Kar: All right. But knowing fully well the difficulties of the powerloom industry and their needs, to sanction exemption for only one shift is not enough. I do not want to attribute any motive, but I have been told that so far in Surat...

Mr. Speaker: Was not the hon. Member here yesterday? There is a saying in our parts that "Reddy has come; start the Purana once again".

Shri Prabhat Kar: I am sorry I was not here yesterday.

Mr. Speaker: Shri Goray raised the same point and the Minister explained at very great length as to how he is not making any discrimination.

Shri Prabhat Kar: I will not take up that point. Knowing fully well the earnings of the small powerloomsthose who run three or four powerlooms-if they are not given exemption for three shifts, they will be in difficulties. Previously they were not paying any taxes. But now they will be paying Rs. 198. No relief has been granted excepting to the first two looms. Even in the case of the first two looms, if the other looms are being sealed, they are being included in these four looms. The relief that has been granted is no relief. I would again request him to consider the fact that among the small traders, there are many refugees who have come from Pakistan and established themselves, earning their bread simply by using powerlooms and preparing certain cotton fabrics. If on them, this levy is imposed, they will have to close their shop. That means, these displaced persons, who have somehow managed to rehabilitate themselves, will further be out of their job and again they will be put to difficulties.

There are quite a large number of people in Nadia district who have come from Pakistan and they have started this textile industry in a small way. From handloom, they had just changed over to powerlooms so that they can earn some living. When this tax is imposed, they will have to stop

their busines and these displaced persons will be put to difficulties again. Knowing fully well that a majority of the refugees have not been rehabilitated, this duty will further create complications so far as the refugees are concerned.

Finance Bill

Another clarification I would like to have is this. The hon, Minister has said:

"Further, strips and foils recovered from scraps of copper alloys and used in making anklets. trinklets etc. used by the poorer people as ornaments are being exempted from duty."

When the scraps are being moulded into sheets of 3 or 4 inches of length and when ornaments are manufactured from these sheets, will they be taxed or will they be exempted? think the Minister has not listened.

Mr. Speaker He wants to whether the material after fabrication will be taxed or exempted.

Shri Prabhat Kar: He has said:

"Further, strips and foils recovered from scraps of copper alloys and used in making anklets. trinklets etc. used by the poorer people as ornaments are also being exempted from duty."

When the scraps are again re-rolled and made into sheets of 3 or 4 inches long, with a view to manufacture these anklets and trinklets, I want to know whether those sheets, because they have come out of the scraps, will be exempted or whether the duty will be levied, because they are formed into sheets. This clarification I want, because it is somewhat vague. If this question is put to the tax collectors, it will be difficult for them to explain this. I want a clarification on that score.

About the plastic industry, already a representation has been made to him by various people, including I would say, almost all Members of Parliament from West Bengal. would request the hon. Minister reconsider his decision plastic industry.

श्री बजराज सिंह : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय मेरा संशोधन ग्लासथेयर्स के सम्बन्ध में है। इस फाइनेंस बिल में यह व्यवस्था की गई है कि शीट ग्लास एण्ड प्लेट ग्लास की कीमत पर १० फीसदी टैक्स लगाने का प्रस्ताव है लेबोरेटरी ग्लासवेयर की कीमत पर ४ परसेंट टैक्स लगाने का प्रस्ताव है। ग्लास शैल्स ग्लास ग्लोब्स एण्ड चिमनीज फौर लैम्पस एण्ड लटर्नम पर १० परसेंट टक्स लैगाये जाने का प्रस्ताव है ग्रीर ग्रदर ग्लास वेयर इन-क्लुडिंग टेब्लबेयर पर १५ फीसदी टक्स लगाये जाने की व्यवस्था है।

ग्रभी वित्त मन्त्री महोदय ने ग्रपने भाषण में एक संशोधन किया है । उसके ग्रनसार सिर्फ उन लोगों को कोई रिश्रायत मिलेगी जो कि टुटे हुए कांच से या ऐसे कांच से जो कि बर्बाद हो चुका है, उसमे चीजें बनाते हैं ग्रीर जिसमें पावर इस्तेमाल नहीं की जाती है ग्रौर जहां सिर्फ २० ग्रा**द**मी काम करते हैं। श्रब मैं नहीं समझता कि कि ट्टें हुए कांच से कहीं पर भी इस तरह के कोई ग्लासवेयर्स बनते हैं। ग्रगर बनाते भी हैं तो छोटी मोटी शीशियां बनती हैं। इसका नतीजा यह होगा कि वित्त मन्त्री महोदयद्वारा इस संशोधन के बाद भी लोग टैक्स से बच नहीं सकेंगे स्रौर जैसा उन्होंने परसों श्रपने भाषण में फाइनेंस बिल पर बोलते हुए कहा था, वह वैसे का वैसाही रहने वाला है ग्रीर इससे कोई भी राहत उत्पादन कर्ताभ्रों को मिल नहीं सकेगी । **मेरा** संशोधन यह है कि सरकार उस सिद्धान्त को देखते हुए जो कि उन्होंने बना रक्ता है प्रयात् जो स्मौल स्केल इण्डस्ट्रीज हैं उनको बड़े उद्योगों के बराबर लाने के लिये यह विशेष सुविधाएं मिलनी चाहिये । सरकार की नीति यह है कि ५ लाख या ५ लाख से कम जहां पूंजी लगी हुई है उनको स्मील स्केल

[श्री ब्रजराज सिह]

इण्डस्ट्री कहा गया है । इसके स्रनुसार इन उद्योगों को दूसरे उद्योगों के बजाये कुछ अधिक राहत दी जाती है। इन संशोधनों के जरिये मैं सिर्फ़ यह चाहता हं कि जिन उद्योगों में पांच लाख या पांच लाख रुपये से कम पंजी लगी हो, उन को विशेष मुविधा दी जाये। इस सम्बन्ध में मुझे यह बताने की जरूरत नहीं है कि सरकार ने यह निश्चित नीति मान ली है कि स्माल-स्केल इण्डस्ट्री के उद्योगों को विशेष मृविधा दी जानी चाहिये और उन को सरकार की श्रोर से प्रोत्साहन दिया जाना चाहिये। इस नीति को निर्धारित करने के म्रनेक कारण हो सकते हैं, लेकिन जहां तक कांच उद्योग का प्रक्त है, मैं वित्त मन्त्री महो-दय की मूचना के लिये कुछ बातें बताना चाहता हं।

12 hrs.

कांच का जो छोटा उद्योग है, जिसमें पांच लाख या पांच लाख रुपये से कम कैपि-टल लगा होता है, उसमें कांच बनाने के लिये छोटी भट्टी होती है श्रीर बड़े उद्योग में बड़ी भट्टी होती है। बड़ी भट्टी में, जो कि बड़े उद्योगों में प्रयुक्त की जाती है, कोयले का खर्च छोटी भट्टी के मुकाबले में, जिसका प्रयोग छोटे उद्योग करते हैं, एक-तिहाई होता है, यानी छोटी भट्टी में कोयले का <mark>खर्च</mark> तिगना होता है। इस का नतीजा यह है कि छोटे उद्योग में जो ग्लास की चीज़ें बनती हैं. उन के उत्पादन का व्यय बढ जाता है। इसी प्रकार से छोटे उद्योग के उत्पादन की बिकी की भी यथोचित व्यवस्था नहीं होती है। इसके अतिरिक्त प्रबन्ध के मामले में भी छोटे उद्योग वालों को ज्यादा खर्च करना पड़ता है । इसलिये लाजिमी तौर पर छोटै लोगों का उत्पादन का व्यय बड़े लोगों से ज्यादा होता है। मेरा कहना यह है कि यदि सरकार छोटे उद्योग को, जिसमें पांच लाख या पांच लाख से कम पूंजी लगी होती है, श्रीर बड़े उद्योग को, जिसमें पांच लाख से

पूंजी लगी एक ही स्तर पर रखेगी, तो नतीजा यह होगा कि छोटे उद्योग वाले बाजार में काम्पीटीशन नहीं कर सकेंगे, बडे उद्योग के साथ प्रतियोगिता नहीं कर सकेंगे और इस तरह छोटे लोगों को अपना काम बन्द कर देना पड़ेगा । यदि सरकार की यह नीति है कि छोटे उद्योगों को प्रोत्साहन देना चाहिये--श्रीर वह एक सही नीति है--जैसी कि उसने घोषणा की है, तो उसको यह सोचना पड़ेगा कि छोटे उद्योग वाले बड़े उद्योग वालों से काम्पीटीशन, प्रतियोगिता कर सकें, इसके लिये उनको विशेष राहत देनी पडेगी ।

Finance Bill

मुझे यह देख कर ताज्जुब होता है कि शीट ग्लास और प्लेट ग्लास पर, जिसको बडे कारखाने वाले बनाते हैं, जिन पर पचास लाख, एक करोड़ या उससे ज्यादा पूंजी लगती है, तो ड टी लगाई गई है सिर्फ़ १० परसेंट एड वैलोरेम, लेकिन भ्रदर इन्क्लडिंग टेबलवेयर पर १५ परसेंट एड-वैलोरेम लगाई गई है। मझे आश्चर्य है कि सरकार छोटे उद्योग पर ज्यादा इयुटी लगा रही है भ्रौर बड़े उद्योग पर कम, जबकि बड़े उद्योग में उत्पादन का व्यय कम होता है स्रौर छोट उद्योग में ज्यादा । इसके भ्रलावा जहां तक बड़े कारखानों का सवाल है, वहां पर पावर इस्तैमाल होती है भ्रौर इस कारण वहां कम लोगों को काम मिलता है। इसकी तुलना में छोटे उद्योगों में पावर इस्तैमाल न होने के कारण ज्यादा लोगों को काम मिलता है। लेकिन फिर भी छोटे उद्योग पर ज्यादा श्रौर बडे उद्योग पर कम टैक्स लगाया जा रहा है। इसका नतीजा तो यही होगा कि छो लोगों को श्रपना काम बन्द कर देना पहुरेगा भ्रौर सिफ बडे लोग ही इस क्षेत्र में रह जायेंगे। इसलि े मैं खास तौर पर फ़िरोजाबाद के बारे बताना चाहता हूं, जो कि कांच में उद्योग का केन्द्र है।

एक ऐतिहासिक पृष्ठभूमि में जब हिन्द-स्तान श्रीर पाकिस्तान का बंटवारा हुग्रा, तो चुड़ी का काम करने वाले ग्रधिकतर लोग पाकिस्तान चले गये । इसी प्रकार हिन्दुस्तान का वह बहुत बड़ा हिस्सा भी पाकिस्तान में चला गया, जहां चड़ियों की खपत होती थी। इस ग्रवसर पर केन्द्रीय सरकार ग्रीर उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार ने सोचा कि जो लोग चुड़ी का काम करते थे, उनको दूसरा काम करना चाहिये, क्योंिक चडियों का जितना उत्पादन होता था, उसकी म्रब पूरी खपत नहीं हो सकती है। इस कारण चूड़ी उद्योग के बजाये कांच उद्योग को प्रोत्साहन दिया गया स्रोर उन लोगों को सुविधायें दी गईं, ताकि जो क्षमता चड़ी उद्योग में लगी हुई थी, उससे कांच के बरतन, खिलीने, ग्लास, चिमनी ग्रीर ग्लोब्ज ग्रादि बनाये जायें। लोगों ने सरकार के इस ग्रायेान के ग्रनुसार वहां बलास-वेयर बनाना शरू कर दिया ग्रीर वह काम छोटे छोटे ग्राधार पर किया जाने लगा। अब इस टैक्स को लगाये जाने के माने ये होंगे कि वह उद्योग बर्बाद हो जायेगा।

Finance Bill

स्माल-स्केल इण्डस्ट्रीज के बारे में उनकी पंजी के स्राधार पर, इस स्राधार पर कि किसी इण्डस्ट्री पर जो पूंजी लगी हुई है, वह पांच लाख या पांच लाख से कम है या ज्यादा है, जो नीति निर्धारित की हुई है, वह मेरे विचार में उचित नहीं है। मैं यह कहने के लिये तैयार हं कि सरकार को यह तय करना चाहिये कि किसी कारखाने की क्षमता कितनी है, उसमें कच्चे माल की खपत कितनी होती है, १५० टन, २०० टन या २५० टन साल में होती है ग्रीर उसके ग्राधार पर ही एग्जेम्प्शन दी जाये स्रीर यह निर्धारित कर दिया जाये कि श्रम्क सीमा तक के उद्योगों को कोई टैक्स नहीं देना पड़ेगा। ग्रगर सरकार इस पर विचार नहीं करती है, तो नतीजा यह होगा कि छोटे लोग, जिनका छोटे स्तर पर काम होता है, बड़े लोगों से कम्पीट नहीं कर सकेंगे, क्योंकि छोटे उद्योगों में कच्चे माल की 359 (Ai) LS-2.

ज्यादा खपत होती है, कोयला ज्यादा लगता है ग्रौर उत्पादन का खर्च बड़े उद्योगों से ज्यादा पड़ता है। इसलिये मेरा पहला संशोधन इस प्रकार है ---

"Provided that no duty shall be charged on laboratory glassware manufactured in a concern having a capital investment of five lakhs of rupees or less."

लैबारेटरी ग्लासवेयर पर ५ परसेंट की ड्यूटी की जो व्यवस्था की गई है, मैं समझता हुं कि इसको बिल्कुल माफ़ कर दिया जायेगा। लैबारेटरी ग्लासवेयर स्कूलों ग्रीर कालेजों में इस्तैमाल होता है, जहां बच्चे पढ़ते हैं। इस पर टैक्स लगा कर सरकार छोटे छोटे बच्चों की शिक्षा पर टैक्स लगाने जा रही है। मैं समझता हूं कि यह उचित नहीं होगा।

इसके साथ ही साथ यह भी सोचना चाहिये कि इसी बजट में सोडा ऐश पर दो रुपये पर क्विंटल के टैक्स की व्यवस्था की गई है श्रीर इस ग्लासवेयर को बनाने में जितना सामान लगता है, उस का तीस परसेंट सोडा ऐश होता है। इसके भ्रलावा कास्टिक सोडा भ्रौर डीजल भ्रायल पर भी टैक्स लगाया गया है स्रौर इन चीजों का भी इस उद्योग में उपयोग होता है। इस प्रकार दो तरह से टैक्स लगाना कहां तक उचित होगा ? मैं निवेदन करना चाहता हूं कि लैबारेटरी ग्लासवेयर को कतई रूप से माफ कर देना चाहिए ।

ग्लास शैल्ज, ग्लास ग्लोब्ज ग्रीर लैम्प्स ग्रौर लैंटर्न्ज़ की चिमनीज पर १० परसेंट ड्युटीकी व्यवस्थाकी गई है । मैं समझता हं कि यह भी उचित नहीं है स्रौर खास तीर पर इस बात को देखते हुए कि छोटे फ्रांर बड़े कारलाने इन चीजों को बनाते हैं, छोटे उद्योगों द्वारा बनाई गई चीजों पर ड्युटीकर जरूर माफ कर देना चाहिए।

मझे यह देख कर आइचर्य हुआ कि श्रदर ग्लासवयर इन्क्लुडिंग टेवलवेयर ५र १५ ५रसेंट डयुटी की व्यवस्था की गई है। शीट

[श्री बजराज सिंह]

ग्लास एण्ड प्लेट ग्लास पर, जिस का बड़े बड़े कारखाने बनाते हैं, तो १० परसेंट डयटी लगाई गई है, लेकिन इन छोटी छोटी चीजों पर, जिनमें ग्लास के खिलोने वग़ैरह ऐसी चोजें हैं, जो काफ़ी मात्रा में विदेशो में जाती हैं, १५ परसेंट डयुटी लगः कर उसको बर्वाद करने का प्रयत्न किया जा रहा है। पिछले दिनों से, जब से इस उद्योग का विकास हम्रा है, तब सै यह सामान विदेशों को भेजा जाने लगा है। हमारे यहां श्रम की कीमत कम है। हमारे देश में ग्रधिक ग्राबादी होने के कारण हाथ से ज्यादा चीज़ें बनाई जा सकती हैं। ग्रमरीया इत्यादि देशों में श्रम की कीमत ज्यादा है और मशीन से काम होता है। वहां हमारी इन चीजों की काफ़ी खपत होती है। मैं एक उदाहरण श्राप के सामने रखना चाहता हुं। हमारे यहां टेबल पर रखने वाली कांच की जो चीजें बनती हैं. उन्हें ग्रमरीका में बनाने के लिये एक ग्रादमी को स्राठ घंटे की सम्मी रुपया मजदूरी मिलती है, जबिक हिन्द्स्तान में फ़िरोजाबाद में, उतनी ही चीजें बनाने की, एक ग्रादमी की एक दिन की मजदूरी ग्राठ रुपये है। इस प्रकार ग्रमरीका का उत्पादन-व्यय हमारे यहां से दस गुना है । इसलिये हमारी चीजें वहां भेजी जा सकती हैं श्रीर काफ़ी फ़ारेन एक्सचेंज प्राप्त किया जा सकता है। ग्रगर छोटे कारखानों को डयटी लगा कर बर्बाद कर दिया जायेगा, तो उत्पादन बन्द हो जायेगा श्रीर यह देश के हित में नहीं होग भ्रौर साथ ही लोगों को काम कम मिल सकेगा इसलिये इस पर पूरी तरह से टैक्स माफ होना भाहिये, श्रगर किसी तरह से उस को माफ नहीं किया जा सकता, तो मैं निवेदन करूंग। कि फिरोजाबाद में यह जो छोटा काम होता है. उससे पांच लाख रुपये सालाना से ज्यादा श्रामदनी नहीं हो सकती है, लेकिन इस टैक्स को वसूल करने की व्यवस्था करने में एक लुख रुपया व्यय करना होगा । भ्रगर सरकार समझती है कि इस पर चार लाख रूपये का नुकसान नहीं किया जा सकता है, तो मैं

सुझाव देता हूं कि ऋदर ग्लासवेयर एण्ड टेबल वेयर पर जो १४ परसेंट एड वैलोरेम की व्यवस्था की गई है, अगर उसको पूरा माफ नहीं किया जा सकता, तो जिन लोगों का कैपिटल इन्वेस्टमेंट पांच लाख या पांच लाख से कम है, उन पर ५ परसेंट एड वैलोरेम श्रीर पांच लाख से ज्यादा वालों पर १४ परसेंट एड वैलोरेम डयटी लगाई जाये। इस तरह सरकार छोटे लोगों को जिनका उत्पादन व्यय ज्यादा होता है, कुछ राहत दे सकेगी भौर उनको बड़े लोगों के साथ कम्पीट करने का मौका दे सकेगी । मैं चाहता हूं कि इस पर गम्भीरतापूर्वक विचार किया जाये । मझे ऐसा लगता है कि वित्त मन्त्री जी स्रौर वित्त मन्त्रालय के ग्रधिकारियों ने इधर ग्रच्छी तरह से घ्यान नहीं दिया है। यदि इसकी जांच पड़ताल ग्रच्छी तरह से की जाये, तो मैं विश्वास करता हूं कि वित्त मन्त्री महोदय इस बात रो आर्वस्त हो जायेंगे कि छोटे उद्योगों की बड़े उद्योगों की ऋपेक्षा ऋधिक राहत भीर सुविधायें देने की जरूरत है। भ्रगर उनको सुविधायें नहीं दी जाती हैं, तो बड़े बड़े उद्योगो के साथ उनका काम्पीटीशन नहीं हो सकेगा, प्रतियोगिता नहीं चल सकेगी। इस सूरत में छोटे उद्योगों को हानि हो सकती है और उनकी बर्बादी हो सकती है मैं चाहंगा कि वित्त मन्त्री महोदय इन सब बातों पर गम्भीरतापूर्वक विचार करें । भ्रीर देखें कि जब हमारे सामने ज्यादा पैसे श्राने का सवाल नहीं है श्रीर न ही ज्यादा नुक्सान होता है तो क्या यह उचित नहीं होगाः कि छोटे कारखानों को इस टैंक्स से या तो परी तरह से माफ कर दिया जाए भीर अगर पुरी तरह से माफ नहीं किया जा सकता है तो कम से कम छोटे भ्रीर बडे कारखानों के बीच कुछ फर्क प्रवश्य कर दिया जाए । म्रगर म्राप बड़े कारखानों से पन्द्रह परसेंट लेते हैं तो छोटे कारखाना से पांच परसेंट ही लें ताकि उनके द्वारा जो माल उत्पादित होता है, वह बड़े कारखानों में उत्पादित माल की: प्रतियोगिता में खडा हो सके।

13139

इसी सन्दर्भ में एक भ्रौर भी बात याद रखने की है। ग्लास वेयर बनाने में जो कच्चा माल इस्तेमाल होता है उस कच्चे माल के हर हिस्से पर श्रापने टैक्स लगाया हन्ना है श्रीर जब उस पर टैक्स लगा हुआ है तो फिर उत्पादित जो वस्तु है उस पर ^{*}क्स लगाना कहां तक उद्योग के हित में हो सकता है, इसका श्रन्दाजा श्राप खद कर सकते हैं।

बजट पेश करने के बाद सफाई देते हुए वित्त मन्त्री महोदय ने कहा था कि चुड़ी पर टैक्स नहीं लगा है। लेकिन जहां तक सोडा ऐश का सम्बन्ध है उस पर दो रुपये प्रति क्विंटल के हिसाब से टैक्स लगाया गया है। केरोसिन श्रायल, डीजल इत्यादि पर भी टैक्स लगा हुम्रा है ग्रीर ेसब चीज चूड़ी बनाने के काम में आती हैं। इन सब टैक्सों के कारण उनका जो उत्पादन-व्यय है वह बढ जायगा । जो भी हो, मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि चुड़ी बनाने में एक वस्तु है जिसका नाम ग्लास टयब है, वह काम में ग्राती है। जब से ये टैक्स लगे हैं तब से वित्त मन्त्रालय के म्रधिकारीगण, एक्साइज वसूल करने वाले भ्राधिकाीगण ग्लास ट्रब्ज पर भी टैक्स वसूल करना चाहते हैं । ग्लाम ट्यूब एक लम्बी सी चीज होती है कांच की जिससे खास तौर पर चडियां बनाई जाती हैं। जो कच्चा माल है भ्रीर जो चुड़ी बनाने के काम श्राता है उस पर टैक्स लगाना कहां तक उचित है, इस पर **ग्रा**प विचार करें। खास तौर पर उस हालत में जबिक चुड़ी पर कोई टैक्स नहीं लगाया गया है। इसके बारे में कुछ, सफाई करने की आवश्यकता है। यदि ऐसा किया गया तो नीचे के भ्रधिकारियों को जिन की इसके बारे में ठीक ीक जानका नहीं है, उनको ीक नीक जानकारी हो जाएगी। ग्लास ्युब जो कि चुड़ी बनाने में एक कच्चे माल के तौर पर इस्तेमाल होता है, उस पर

किसी तरह का भी टैक्स नहीं होना चाहिये क्योंकि वह उन्हीं कारखानों में बनता है जिनमें दूसरा कांच का सामान बनता है। इसलिये यह जरूी है कि इसके बारे में स्थिति साफ कर दी जाए जिससे किसी को भी किसी प्रकार की शंका न रहे श्रीर किसी प्रकार की गलतफहमी न रहे भ्रौर नीचे के एक्साइज वसूल करने वाले ग्रधिकारी लोगों को परेशान न करें।

हम देश का भौद्योगीकरण करना चाहते हैं भ्रीर माथ ही साथ यह भी चाहते हैं कि छोटे उद्योग हमारे देश में पनपे ग्रीर छोटे उद्योगों को प्रोत्साहन देने के लिये हमने काफी रियायत उनको दे रखी हैं। जब हमारी नीति झोंपडी उद्योगों को प्रोत्साहन देने की है तो हमें उनको वे सब रियायत देनी होंगी जो उनको जीवित रखने के लिये ग्रावश्यक हैं ताकि वे बरबाद न हो । हमने खहर उद्योग को मिल मेड क्लाथ से ग्रधिक सुविधायें दे रखी हैं ग्रीर ये इसलिये दे रखी हैं कि खहर मिल के बने हुए कपड़े का बाजार में भी बड़े भ्रौर छोटे कारखानों में फर्क रखा है। जब यह श्रापकी नीति है तो फिर ग्लास वेयर के सम्बन्ध में बड़े श्रीर छोटे छोटे उद्योगों में क्यों फर्क नहीं किया जाता है। इससे जो छोटा उद्योग है वह बरबाद हो सकता है। सम्भवतः वित मन्त्री महोदय तथा उनके मन्त्रालय ने इस दिक्कत को भ्रभी तक समझा नहीं होगा, इस वास्ते ऐसी चीज हो गई होगी । लेकिन श्रब वह स्थिति को सुधारने का प्रयत्न करें। छोटे उद्योगों को प्रोत्साहन देने की जब श्रापकी नीति है, तो इस पर सख्ती से श्राप श्रमल करें और कोई भी इस तरह की बात नहीं होनी चाहिये जिससे छोटे उद्योग के बरबाद होने की श्राशंका पैदा हो। यदि मेरी बात को समझने की कोशिश की जाएगी तो मझे विश्वास है कि मे ी इस दलील से वह सहमत हो जायेंगे कि छोटे उद्योगों की राहत देने की दृष्टि से यह जरूी है कि यातो पांच लाख या पांच लाख से कम जहां पूंजी लगी हई

थि। बजराज सिंह]

है, उन उद्योगों को पूरे तौर पर ही टैक्स से माफ कर दिया जाए और अगर ऐसा नहीं हो सकता है तो जो बड़े उद्योग हैं, उनके लिये टैक्स की दर तो आप पन्द्रह परसेंट ही रखें लिकन जहां पर पूंजी पांच लाख या असे कम लगी हुई है उन पर पांच परसेंट ही टैक्स लगाया जाए। ऐसा करके ही ये बड़े और छोटे उद्योग साथ साथ चल सकते हैं वर्ना छाटे उद्योग बरबाद हो जायेंगे, जो कि मैं समझत ह कि उनका आशय हो सकता है।

Shri Nanjappan (Nilgiris): I wanted to move my amendment No. 8. I am glad that the Finance Minister has reduced the tax which he has imposed on inferior coffee and thereby given the growers some concession. But I do not know why it was not done in the case of superior coffee, though the reasons which weighed with the Government in the matter of inferior coffee apply with equal force in the matter of superior coffee also. The present market position is that there is a lot of coffee in the market and the Coffee Board does not know how to dispose of the stocks. As price obtaining in the foreign markets is very low, it cannot be exported either.

Mr. Speaker: Why do you not sell it cheaper? The middle class people are suffering because of its high price.

Shri Nanjappan: I am coming to that. In my constituency we grow a lot of superior variety of coffee. For a long time now the planters have been finding it very difficult to keep their plantations running. Some of them never open up fresh plantations. They have also destroyed thousands of acres of coffee plantations and gone in for tea or some other crops. This is the situation prevailing in my constituency.

The grower of coffee is not benefited in any way by the present levy and the Finance Minister would not be getting the real return which he was expecting. So, in my view, the present taxation on coffee is inopportune. Also, the reasons which weighed with the Government for removal or reduction of taxation on inferior quality coffee must weigh and must be applied in the case of superior variety of coffee also. At the same time, I request that the taxation may be kept at the present level. Now he has increased it by Rs. 14 per quintal, which is not warranted.

Then I come to tea. The main object is to promote the export of tea, as that helps to earn foreign exchange which the Finance Minister and the country very badly needs. So, has given a reduction of 9 nP kilogram on the export of tea. But, at the same time, he levies an excise duty of 5 to 8 nP per kilogram on loose tea. We are exporting a large quantity of loose tea, especially quality tea, on which the new excise duty comes to 8 nP per kilogram. Then what is the relief he is giving for the promotion of export of tea? He gives a relief of 9 nP per kilogram in one levy and, on the other hand, he imposes a levy of 5 to 8 nP on loose tea. In other words, he takes away the real benefit which he has given on the export of tea, by the imposition of this excise duty. He gives a reduction of 6 nP per kilogram on package tea but, becaus of the enhancement of taxation on loose tea by 5 to 8 nP per kilogram on zonal basis, the exportable tea does not get any benefit at all.

The chief point that has to be considered in this context is the price factor. Even the foreign delegations that have been visiting this country have been of the opinion that the Indian tea costs more and so they say that they are not in a position to buy Indian tea. The grower also wants the price to be reduced for better sales, but he is unable to do so because of high taxation. So, if the Finance Minister really wants to give some benefit to the tea growers and,

at the same time, wants to earn wore of the badly-needed foreign exchange, I think he must reconsider, and new levies may be imposed.

Shri Shankaraiya (Mysore): I wish to take this opportunity to make an appeal to the hon. Finance Minister on behalf of the handloom weavers. The handloom industry and the handloom weavers are very grateful to the Central Government for the continued help of the Central Government, that industry would have collapsed long ago. In the present taxation proposals also, the position of the handloom sector has been kept in mind and exemption from excise duty on yarns has been given up to 40, counts. Of course, the Finance Minister has been generous enough towards the handloom industry and this will no doubt help them to a great extent the manufacture and sale in coarse cloth etc. But that carpets. alone will not be sufficient. Now the handloom industry has been progressing well and has been producing finer counts cloth of and higher quality in larger quantities.

There has been considerable improvement both in the quality and in the quantity. Now on account of the change in the taste of the consumers both inside and outside the country people are using more and more cloth made from higher count yarn than the coarse variety. in the export market it is the finer quality cloth that is being exported. So when exemption is given only up to 40 count it will have an adverse effect not only on internal sales but on external trade also. Most of the external trade or cloth exported outside India is made from higher counts. If the handloom weavers allowed to get yarn at a cheaper rate, prices will go up. Mostly goods of 80 or 100 counts are being exported. There will be a rise in the cost of production price. Consumer resistance is hon. Finance there. The Minister is also aware that for the last two or three years yarn prices have been very high and the handloom sector is finding it difficult to find a market now. Great concesbeing given and in the sions are interim period also the Government had to enhance the rebate from 5 nP to 10 nP and sometimes to 12 nP on account of the heavy stocking of these goods. External trade will also be greatly affected. Therefore order to maintain the external trade and also to see that there is no consumer resistance for the finer quality of goods, I shall request the hon. Minister to be pleased to exempt yarn of up to 100 counts and particularly that meant for the handloom sector. Even now he may consider it and I hope he will give due consideration to it and be sympathetic towards them.

One word more about this. all, the revenue that he may be losing will not be much. It will be about Rs. 50 lakhs. The Government spending Rs. 5 crores to Rs. 6 crores for the sake of the handloom weavers. Most of them are very poor. that has not been enough. Even now they are experiencing great difficulty. They are asking for a higher rebate. 5 nP in the rupee is not enough. They are asking for more. But on account of the financial position, the Government are unable to extend it further. When the situation is this and the handloom sector is making progress, if this concession is not extended to them, their progress will be retarded. Their progress will be greatly affect-After all, the Government will not be losing much. Rs. 50 lakhs is nothing when they are spending Rs. 5 crores to Rs. 6 crores for these downtrodden and poor people.

One word with regard to the powerlooms. The hon. Finance Minister has been pleased to exempt two looms from all the taxes. As regards three and four looms, he has been generous enough to extend the concession to them the other day when he said that on the first shift they will be exempted. Here, I have got a certain doubt. I hope the hon.

[Shri Shankaraiya]

Minister will clarify the position. So far as three and four looms are concerned, the first shift will be exempted. If that is so, it may be all right. But if they want to resort to a second or a third shift, will be first shift be exempted and only the second and the third shifts will be taxed or will they lose this exemption of the first shift also and will have to pay tax on the whole thing? Hitherto four looms had been exempted. Now they are sought to be taxed. Unfortunately, the position of the powerlooms, so far as the small sector is concerned, is that they are all family holdings. them were handloom Many of weavers and poor people. On account of the encouragement given by the State Governments, particularly in my State, namely, Mysore, they took to the powerlooms. The State assisted them in the supply of power. They are run by the families. I do not urge anything for persons owning more than four looms, for persons owning 20 or 30, or even 200 or 300 looms, but those who are having only four or less than four looms are all family units. They will not be engaging labour. Moreover, the looms that they have got are not first-hand and fresh looms. Those looms which have been condemned by these big mills are taken by them. looms are purchased by them. Instead of allowing them to be scrapped, they are making use of those looms and are producing some cloth. particularly the cotton loom owners are finding it a great hardship to find a market for the coarse cloth that they are producing. When the circumstances are these, I request the hon. Finance Minister kindly to look into their condition and to see that four looms are exempted as previously, instead of exempting only one shift. I also want clarification on the point whether, if they resort to a second or a third shift, they will be losing the benefit of the exemption of the first shift also. I request the hon. Finance Minister to consider these two aspects sympathetically.

Shri D. V. Rao (Nalgonda): while referring to my amendments No. 40 and 53, I would like to say something first about tobacco. It is an unfortunate item to which the hon. Finance Minister has not given any concession even in the small concessions that he announced. I come from a State where tobacco is grown in the largest area in our country. There the peasants are undergoing many hardships. It is very difficult to get fertilisers at reasonable prices and a peasant has to go to the black market to purchase fertilisers at a prohibitive price and use them for growing tobacco. There are no arrangements for the sale of tobacco and huge stocks are lying idle. They are not in a position to sell them. There are no arrangements in our country for the export of tobacco-Therefore even stocks of two or three years are lying with the peasants. Sometimes they have to destroy them also.

Finance Bill

13146

The present duties are such that while the peasant is getting very low prices, the consumers of biris and cigars, that is, those who use them, have to pay high prices. In this state of affairs the cultivated area tobacco is also going down. That why tobacco cultivation and the entire tobacco industry is not in a sound position. An additional duty of this nature will therefore, hit hard the tobacco growing peasant and also the consumers. Therefore I oppose this duty. I hope the hon, Finance Minister will reconsider this even at this late stage.

I would like to say something about radio sets also. Now-a-days even the middle-class and the lower middleclass people are also using radio sets of various prices. There are some sets which cost less than Rs. 150 and there are sets costing more than Rs. 300 also. Levying a duty on radio sets in this way naturally hits the middle-class. Therefore some duty on radiosets costing more than Rs. 300 might be levied and radio sets costing less than Rs. 300 should be exempted from this duty. I would request the hon. Finance Minister to make proper amendments as far as these duties are concerned.

Shri Assar: I want a clarification from the hon. Minister. He stated that he has exempted two looms for three shifts and there was an announcement about exemption of four looms for one shift. Now suppose I have got four looms. Then, can I start two looms for three shifts and two looms for one shift?

Shri Morarji Desai: No.

Shri Assar: Or, suppose, I have got six looms. Then, can I start four looms for one shift and two looms for one shift?

Shri Morarji Desai: No.

श्री बाल्मीकि (बुलन्दशहर-रक्षित-श्रनुसूचित जातियां): श्रध्यक्ष महोदय, इस देश
के अन्दर जो करों का भार बढ़ रहा है उसके
विषय में बहुत कुछ कहा जा चुका है कि वे
साधारण जन की सामर्थ्य से बाहर है। हमारे
देश के अन्दर जो कर नीति चल रही है उससे
साधारण जन का कष्ट बढ़ रहा है। जब हम
समाजवाी गंध की बात कहते हैं श्रीर देश
के अन्दर समाजवाद के श्राधार पर समाज
बनाना चाहने हैं तो कर ृद्धि इस प्रकार होनी
चाहिये कि साधारण जन का कष्ट न बढ़े।
लेकिन आज जो करों का भार वहन कर सकते
हैं उन पर कर कम लगाए जाते हैं श्रीर करों
का भाव साधारण जनों पर श्रिधक पड़ता है।

जहां तक छोटे उद्योग नधों का सम्बन्ध है और जहां तक कुटीर उद्योगों का सम्बन्ध है, वे तेश में पिछते १२ साल में हलके हलके फैंले हैं। आपकी भ्रोर से छोटे उद्योगों भीर कुटीर उद्योगों भीर कुटीर उद्योगों को प्रोत्साहन देने के लिये जो कार्य किये गये हैं व सगहनीय हैं, लेकिन जिस तरह का को का भार उनके ऊपर जाश जाता है उसको देखते हुए वह सारा कार्य फीका पड़ जाता है। यह बात भ्रावश्यक है कि आप देश में इण्डस्ट्रीज के डिसपरसल की नीति को भपनावें भीर शहरों के भ्रन्दर से उद्योग हटा कर गांवों में छोटे उद्योगों और कुटीर उद्योगों

तथा ग्रन्य बडे उद्योगों के रूप में फैलाये जायें। लेकिन स दिशा में जो कार्य चलता है वह सन्तो**ष**जनक नहीं है । म्राज तक म्रापने जो सहायता दी है चाहे वह मशीनरी के रूप में हो या बड़ी बड़ी धनराशि के रूप में हो, वह ग्रिषकतर ग्रापने लखपितयों को, वैभव-शालियों को भ्रीर पदधारियों को ही दी है। मशीनरी के रूप में या बड़ी धनराशियों के रूप में इन्ही लोगों को बड़े उद्योग चलाने के लिये सहायता ी गयी है। लेकिन जो छोटे उद्योग धंघे लेकर चलते हैं स्रौर धन में छोटी हैसियत के स्रादमी हैं उनको इस प्रकार की सहायता नहीं मिली है। मैं यकीनी तौर से माननीय वित्त मन्त्री जी का ध्यान इस म्रोर म्राक्षित कराना चाहता हं कि इन १२ सालों के अन्दर बड़े न शालियों ने मशीनरी ग्रौर धनराशि की सहायता का सरकार से लाभ जठाया है । श्रापने इस दिशा में न की मदद भी दी है ग्रार किनीशियन की भी मदद दी है। लेकिन जो छोटे उद्योग धंधे वाले ग्रादमी हैं ग्रीर उस काम में लगे हुए हैं ग्रीर जिन्होंने थोड़ा धन लगा रखा है ोट उद्योगों में या कूटीर उद्योगों को उनकी स्रोर श्राप विशेष ध्यान नहीं दे रहे हैं सहयोग के स्राधार पर लेकर चलना चाहते हैं ग्रौर उनको मशीन की मदद या घन की मदद ठीक समय पर नहीं पहुंच पाती है। **भ्रा**प चाहे जिला स्तर पर हो या राज्य स्तर पर देखें इन लोगों को वह मदद बराबर नहीं मिलती है भीर उनको भ्रनेक दिक्कतें उठानी पड़ती हैं। जैसा मैं ने कहा बड़ी मशीनों की मदद इन १२ सालों में बड़े लोगों को दी गई है जो स्वयं ग्रपनी जेब से पैसा खर्च कर के श्रपने काम को कर सकते थे। उन की हैसियत है भीर स्टेटस है कि वह यह काम भपने भाप कर सकते थे बेकिन सात साल के लिये जो मशीनें या धन कर्जे के रूप में दिया गया है वह उन लोगों को ही दिया गया है जो स्वयं प्रपना भार वहन कर सकते थे, लेकिन साधारण मादिमयों को मदद, चाहे

वह कोग्रापरेटिव सोसाइटियों के द्वारा हो या श्रौर प्रकार से, नहीं मिल पाती । जो लोग पिछड़े हुए हैं ग्राँर इन छोटे घंघों में लगे हैं, इन को मदद नहीं पहुंच पाती । धाप जांच करायें तो श्राप को मालूम होगा कि जो लाखों रुपये की मशींनरी और लाखों पये की धनराशि सहायता के रूप में ी गई है स्रौर जो सहायता राज्यों में स्रौर जिला स्तर पर बांटी गई है वह उन लोगों को ही मिली है जिन की इकानमिक स्तर श्रच्छा है। जो लोग छोटे छोटे उद्योगों में लगे हैं उन को वह सहायता नहीं प्राप्त हुई है बल्कि उन को प्राप्त हुई है जिन को प्राप्त नहीं होनी चाहिये थी और जिन के पास अपना स्वयं का धन है । सहायता उन को मिली है जो सारा भार स्वयं वहन कर सकते थे। तो मैं ग्राप का ध्यान इस की ग्रोर श्राकर्षित करना चाहता हं कि श्राप इन के म्रार्थिक स्तर की जांच करायें।

मेरे जिले के अन्दर और मेरे क्षेत्र के **प्रन्दर** ग्लास का उद्योग, चुड़ियों का उद्योग **श्रौ**र खास तौर से खुरजे में पाटरी का उद्योग चल रहा है। यह उद्योग वहां सैकड़ों सालों से चलता ग्राया है। ग्राप ने जो ग्लास ग्रौर पाटरी पर उत्पादन कर लगाया है उस से इन लोगों पर बड़ा ग्रसर पड़ा है। मेरे जिले के इन लोगों को इस ज्युटी से बड़ा धक्का पहुंचा है। जो राहत ग्राप ने दी है उस का स्वागत किया जाता है लेकिन इन धन्धों पर उस राहत का कोई ग्रसर नहीं पड़ा है। हमरे जो एक्साइज कलेक्टर हैं इलहा-बाद के उन का कहना है कि खुरजा के गवर्न-मेंट सेंटर तो ल इसेंस लेना ही होगा। जो छोटे छोटे यूनिट वहां काम करते हैं पाट्स के उन में से कुछ ने उस सेंटर में ट्रेनिंग भी प्राप्त की है, उन को उस काम के लिये कर्जा भी मिला है और दूसरी सहायता भी मिली है, लेकिन वह उस काम को इस ड्यूटी के कारण ऋसमे नहीं बढ़ा पा रहे हैं। मैं श्राप का

ध्यान इस स्रोर स्राकर्षित करना चाहता हूं कि जो ये लोग यहां पर चीनी या ोरसिलेन के बरतन बनाने का काम करते हैं वह पहले से ही बहुत दबे हुए हैं। इन की उन्नति के लिये राज्य सरकार ने सेंटर कायम किया है। यहां पर बहुत से छोटे छोटे यूनिट काम करते हैं जिन की कुल संख्या ६३ है। इन में से सात यूनिट बड़े हैं जिन में कुछ लाख का धन लगा हुन्ना है, लेकिन इन में ८६ यूनिट बहुत मामुली कुम्हारों के हैं जिन में, दो दो, तीन तीन, या चार चार या पांच णांच स्रादमी काम करते हैं। भ्राप ने कर में जो उदारता-पूर्वक राहत दी है उस का इन पर कोई श्रसर नहीं पड़ता । यह इन लोगों के छोटे घरेलु उद्योग हैं, यह लोग अपने घरों पर ही सामान तैयार करते हैं स्रोर उस को सरकारी सेंटर पर पकाने के लिये ले जाते हैं। सेंटर में उन का सारा माल रुका पड़ा है क्योंकि सेंटर पर ड्यूटी लगा दी गई है। स्वयं किसी प्रकार का माल तैयार नहीं करता है। इस की वजह से इन ५६ यूनिटों को, जिन में वे गरीब कुम्हार भी शामिल हैं जिन्हों ने इस सेंटर में ट्रेनिंग प्राप्त की है और निन्हों ने यहां काम शुरू किया है, भारी हानि पहुंच रही है।

जैसाकि मैं ने कहा यहां पर ६३ यूनिट काम कर रहे हैं और श्रब तक जो उन्हों ने माल परचेज किया है सन् १६६०-६१ में उस का मृल्य २,१३,६३७ रुपये है और उन का जो फिनिश्ड माल है वह श्रन्दाजन ६,१३,३५० रुपये का है। एक यूनिट को जो श्रामदनी होती है वह साल में करीब ४२०० रुपये की होती है और एक एक कुम्हार को महीने में कठिनाई से ५० या ६० रुपये की श्रामदनी होती है। ये लोग छोटे श्राधार पर काम चला रहे हैं। तो मैं श्राप का घ्यान श्राक्षित करना चाहता हूं कि खुरजा का यह कुटीर उद्योग जिस को राज्य सरकार ने इस तरह से सहायता दी है

श्री मोरारजी देसाई : जिस चीज के बारे में फ्राप बोल रहे है वह हो गई है।

म्राच्यक्ष महोदय : वे एग्जेम्प्ट हो गये हैं।

श्री बाहमीकी : उन के ऊपर उस का कोई प्रभाव नहीं पड़ा है।

श्री मोरारजी देसाई : ग्राप को मालूम नहीं, धन के ऊपर प्रभाव पड़ा है।

श्री बाल्मीकी: ग्रगर ऐसा है तो जो मेरा भ्रमेंडमेंट है उस का मंशा पूरा हो जाता है।

मेरा अभेडमेंट इस प्रकार है :

Page 14,

after line 39, in column 2, add-

"Provided that such Government centres as do not carry any manufacturing process and only deal in giving common facility to poor potters who prepare everything in household small scale business and utilise the service of the kilns of the Government centre, shall be exempted from the excise duty". (74).

दूसरी बात मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि रेडियो इंडस्ट्री जो देश में बढ़ रही है वह भी भ्रभी एक छोटे उद्योग के रूप में है। यह वांछनीय है कि यह काम फैले। इस उद्योग में छोटे छोटे लोग काम करते हैं स्रोर यह जरूरी है कि उन की स्थिति ठीक हो। उन के लिये जो राहत दी जा रही है यकीनी तौर से यह एक प्रशंसनीय कार्य है स्रीर सराहनीय कार्य है ।

लेकिन जहां तक कि रेडियोज पर ऐक्सा-इज ड्यूटी लगने का ताल्ल्क है, मेरा मंत्री महोदय से इस सम्बन्ध में यह निवेदन है कि ऐसी यूनिट्स जोकि रेडियोज बनाने का धंघा छोटे रूप में करती हैं या छोटे पैमाने पर रेडियो के स्पेयर पार्ट्स भ्रीर कम्पोनेंट पार्ट्स को ग्रलग से बनाते हैं, उन को ऐक्साइज डिपा मेंट वाले परेशान करते हैं श्रीर मैं

समझता हूं कि वह परेशानी भ्राप के सामने लिखित रूप में पहुंची भी है स्रीर कुछ तार श्रादिभी स के लिये श्राप के पास भेजे गये हैं। मैं चाहता ं कि मंत्री महोदय इस श्रोर सहानभितपूर्वक विचार करें श्रीर ऐसी छोटी युनिट्स को जोकि स्मील स्केल श्रीर कौटेज डस्टी की बेसिस पर रेडियोज का धंधा करती हैं उन को स इयटी से मुक्त कर दिया जाय मैं ने इसी हेतु एक संशोधन दिया है जिस में कि यह मांग की गई है :--Page 15, line 32,-

add at the end-

Provided that such units carry on manufacturing of Radios or spare parts of Radios on smallscale cottage industry basis or such persons as take work from big units shall be exempted from duty." (75).

ऐसा छोटा घंधा करने वालों को इस कर से छुट । मलना चाहिये । उन को म्राप से महायता प्राप्त होनी चाहिये श्रीर उन को प्रोत्साहन दिया जाना चाहिये।

में भ्रन्त में केवल इतना ही कह कर समाप्त करूंगा कि खुर्जे की पौटरीज, श्रीर रेडियो उद्योग जोकि कोटेज इंडस्ट्री के रूप में होता है उस को कर के भार से मुक्त किया जाय भ्रौर इस प्रकार से इन दोनों छो े उद्योगों को खत्म होने से बचाया जाय। यदि छोटे उद्योगों को राहत नहीं दी जाती तो श्राप के द्वारा दिये गये प्रोत्साहन तथा सुविधा का कोई भ्रयं नहीं होता।

Mr. Speaker: Shri Balmiki's amendments are also before the House.

Shri Morarji Desai: As regards the contention of the hon. Member who spoke last, as I said, the notification has already been issued, and those people are covered by this exemption. There is no question of giving any further exemption to them. It is only perhaps a misunderstanding which is responsible for this contention.

[Shri Morarji Desai]

As regards radio set it, cannot be argued that it is used by the poor man. Very few people use the radio in this country yet, and I do not think it is a tax on the poor by any stretch imagination. We have already exempted radio of Rs. 150 and below. Therefore those people can take advantage of these radios. The other radios have got to pay the duty. If there is any hardship Government will consider it in the course of the year, but I do not think today any relief is called for.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri (Berhampore): With regard to radios, there are some very small manufacturers who do not use any power or employ any assistants. Perhaps they manufacture a few sets a year. They have been put to hardships due to procedural difficulties like filling in forms and running to various places. If the procedure could be simplified in their case it would be helpful to them.

Shri Morarji Desai: Yes, Sir, I am ready to simplify the procedure provided they say how many radio sets they are going to produce. We can certainly fix it up and see that they are not put to any harassment. has been my constant effort to see that excise duty is levied in such a manner that no harassment is caused. I am continually examining if compounding could be made and we could have all these various methods whereby the duties can be levied without any harassment.

I was asked to exempt yarns spun from Indian wool. But it is not possible to distinguish this from foreign wool many a time; and both are used together. It is not therefore possible to give any exemption to yarn spun from Indian wool. I examined it and found that it is not possible to do so. If in future I find that there is any process whereby one can give any relief, certainly I will continue to examine it

Specific rates in respect of woollen yarn have already been notified. The effect of the notification is to give an option to the person paying the tax to pay according to the specific rate or according to the ad valorem rate. It is his choice: he can pay either way he likes. As a matter of fact, the specific rates are favourable and I have no doubt that they will choose specific rates.

Then, carpet wool was sought to be exempted. But it is not possible to separate carpet wool from other wool. distinction is not possible. enquired from the Commerce Ministry also, and they also said the same thing. All those that go for export do get rebate. Therefore there will be no hardship as regards manufactures meant for export.

Bibhuti Mishra (Bagaha): What is the percentage of rebate?

Shri Morarji Desai: The rebate is full; there is no question of making any commission on it.

As regards plastics, small moulders buy duty-paid raw materials. Therefore, there is no question of their paying any tax. The others have to pay a tax if they prepare the raw materials also. Otherwise, all those people who make the raw materials will pay the tax. Once that tax is paid, it is paid only at one point; the other people, the manufacturers, do not pay anything if they manufacture any materials out of it.

The question was raised about scrap. Scrap which is exempted will not further be taxed. That is the sort of arrangement that is sought to be made.

As regards glass, it was argued that factories up to Rs. 5 lakhs capital should be exempted. I am afraid I am not able to accept that suggestion.

Shri Braj Raj Singh: The hon. Minister's definition is still more subtle.

Finance Bill 13155

Shri Morarji Desai: That is, small-scale-scale industries, not cottage industries. What facility I am trying to give is to cottage industries. If small-scale industries can be given some relief compared to the bigger industries I can certainly consider it. But it will have to be in such a manner that it is not utilised as a loop-hole for the bigger factories.

As regards power looms I had explained

Shri Braj Raj Singh: Can he not differentiate between the rates for the small-scale sector and the big sector?

Shri Morarji Desai: As I said, that will be considered. I cannot say off hand just now that this can be done, because that also can be utilised. Something prepared in the factories can be brought to the small factories and sold as their produce. Therefore, one has to find out how best it can be done.

Shri Braj Raj Singh: I am afraid the hon. Minister does not evidently know the practice. The small-scale industries get their raw materials from the Development Wing of the Government of India. So it is not possible that the articles manufactured in the big factories can be transferred to the small factories and sold from there.

Shri Morarji Desai: I am afraid in this matter the hon. Member himself is not aware of all the tricks played. The Minister knows more about the tricks used in these matters, than the hon. Member is aware of. It is therefore that one has to be careful.

About power looms I had explained the points fully when I replied on the consideration stage. What concession was given at first was confined to two looms, and for any number of shifts. Then there was a case of the people working all over the country, of people who use only three to four looms, and only for one shift. I said, "All right, we will also exempt them". That does not mean that it does not apply to those which were exempted before, that is, two looms for three shifts, or that that concession is withdrawn or curtailed in any way. But if those with four looms say that they must have more shifts, that is not possible to give. It is not that the first shift is exempted. Four looms are exempted only if they work one shift. And we will try to see that that rule is properly observed and that any tricks are not played in this matter.

Shri Shankaraiya: What harm will be there if the first shift is exempted and there is compounded levy only for the second and third shifts?

They do not Shri Morarji Desai: deserve it. That is my contention. If they want it to be a family affair, then only one shift they can manage for four looms, and nothing more. It is, therefore, that that exemption has been given. Otherwise, fhat exemption would not be given; it is given only up to two looms. Really speaking, a family cannot manage more than two looms at any time. But I have gone up to four looms, only on the condition that they work for one shift. Otherwise, they have to pay the full duty. That is how the rule is made. If I find in the future that they suffer in comparison, I am prepared to consider whatever reasons are put forward by them in future.

I believe I have covered all points raised. I am unable to accept these amendments.

Mr. Speaker: I shall put the Government amendments first to the vote of the House.

The question is:

Page 13, line 3, after COAL TAR' insert ",". (24)

Page 13, line 4, after DERIVA-TIVES' omit ",". (25)

[Mr. Speaker]

Page 13, for lines 9 to 13, substitute:

Finance Bill

"DEFINED IN CALUSE (h) 3 OF OF SECTION DRUGS ACT, 1940 (23 of 1940), NOT CONTAINING ALCOHOL INDIAN HEMP, OR OPIUM, OTHER NARCOTIC OR NARCOTICS.". DRUGS (26)

Page 13, line 15, after 'PRE-PARATIONS' insert:

"NOT CONTAINING ALO-COHOL OR OPIUM, INDIAN HEMP, OR OTHER NARCOTIC OR DRUGS NARCOTICS". (27)

Page 13, line 35, after 'CELLO-PHANE' insert:

"that is, any film or sheet of regenerated cellulose". (28)

Page 14, after line 39, in column 2. insert:

"Explanation. - 'Chinaware' includes all glazed clayware but not include terracotta.". does (29)

The motion was adopted.

Speaker: Now, I come to Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava's amendments. I do not think he is pressing them.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: I want to press them, because the incidence is four times as much as I wanted. I want that my amendments may be put to vote.

Mr. Speaker: I thought that when the notification was issued, he was satisfied.

Shri Morarji Desai: He was satisfied on one point, but there are other points on which he is not satisfied.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: I wanted a duty of 25 nP. per lb, but he has put a duty of Rs. 2-1-0. per Kg.

Mr. Speaker: So, I shall put amendments Nos. 48 and 49 to the vote of the House.

Finance Bill

Amendments Nos. 48 and 49 were put and negatived.

Mr. Speaker: Now, I come to Shri Assar's amendments. Is he pressing them?

Shri Assar: No, I am not pressing them. I beg leave of the House to withdraw my amendments Nos. 42, 43, 45, 46 and 51.

Mr. Speaker: Has the hon. Member leave of the House to withdraw his amendments?

Hon. Members: Yes.

Amendments Nos. 42, 43, 45, 46 and 51 were by leave, withdrawn.

Mr. Speaker: Now, I come to Shri Braj Raj Singh's amendments. Ĩs he pressing them?

Shri Braj Raj Singh: Yes, I press them.

Mr. Speaker: I shall now put to vote amendments Nos. 60, 61, 62 and

Amentments Nos. 60 to 63 were put and negatived.

Mr. Speaker: Now, I come to Shri Prabhat Kar's amendments.

Shri Prabhat Kar: I am pressing them

Mr. Speaker: I shall now put to vote amendments Nos. 57, 58 and 59.

Amendments Nos. 57 and 59 were put and negatived.

Mr. Speaker: Now, I come to Shri Balmiki's amendments.

Shri Balmiki: I press them.

Mr. Speaker: I shall now put to vote amendments Nos. 74 and 75.

Amendments Nos. 74 to 75 were put and negatived.

Shri Morarji Desai: Does it mean that the concession is withdrawn?

13160

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Minister is at liberty to withdraw the notifica-These two amendments, namely amendments Nos. 74 and 75 are lost. Then, there are two amendments in Shri D. V. Rao's name, namely amendments Nos. 40 and 53. Amendment No. 40 is out of order. Amendment No. 53 relates to clause 15. Therefore, there is no other amendment to be put to vote now.

The question is:

"That clause 13, as amended, stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

Clause 13, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Clauses 14 to 17

Mr. Speaker: Now, we shall take up the other clauses. So far as clause 14 is concerned, there is no amendment to it. For clause 15, there is an amendment, namely amendment No. 53, but that requires the sanction of the President. There are no amendments to clauses 16 and 17. So, I shall put all these four clauses together to vote.

The question is:

"That clauses 14, 15, 16 and 17 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 14, 15, 16 and 17 were added to the Bill.

The First Schedule

Mr. Speaker: The following amendments, namely amendments Nos. 54, 55, 56, 9, 57, 58, 69, 70 and 71 are all out of order, because they require the recommendation of the President. The amendments to be moved by Government alone are in order.

Amendments made:

(i) Page 24, lines 16 to 19, omit "at the rate of 22 per cent. on so much of the total income as consists of dividends from an Indian company,

- not being a subsidiary, formed and registered on or after the 1st day of April, 1959 and before the 1st day of April, 1961;". (30)
- (ii) Page 24, line 20, after "any" insert "other". (31)
- (iii) Page 24, line 22, for "1961" substitute "1959". (32)
- (iv) Page 26, for lines 44 to 56, substitute;
 - "(i) on the income from dividends (excluding dividends payable by an Indian company referred to in section 56A of the Income-tax Act)-
 - (1) on dividends payable by any of its subsidiary Indian companies formed and registered before the 1st day of April, 1961-Nil.
 - (2) on dividends payable by any other Indian company formed and registered on or after the 1st day of April, 1959--10 per cent
 - (3) on any other dividends-33 per cent.". (33) [Shri Morarji Desai].

Shri Nagi Reddy: I rise to oppose the concession that has been given in respect of tax on the bonus shares. Even the Finance Minister in his speech had said that the Controller of Capital Issues had been asked to be vigilant and strict in giving consent for the transfer of these reserves into bonus shares, and that is because it has been accepted in theory that this transfer of shares is not in the interests of either the industry or, in general, the progress of the country; and, therefore, it has been accepted that one should be strict and vigilant and not allow such transfers to take place, as the industrialists would like to transfer them from the one to the other. It was for that reason that a tax rate of above 30 per cent, had

[Shri Nagi Reddy]

been accepted by the country and by this House for such a long time as till Now, particularly, I am not today. able to understand why this particular change had to be made, when we are entering the Third Five Year Plan.

Finance Bill

13 hrs.

It looks as though there is a contradiction between the theory and the practice which has been implemented by the Government of India, as accepted by the Finance Minister. This special tax concession has ready given nerve to the industrialists to demand that the whole of this tax should be abolished. Naturally, one is not sure that it is not going to be abolished in the next financial It is not proper to imagine vear. that this concession would stop the demands for further concessions, from the industries. Each concession only leads to further concessions. We have been seeing it one after the other. I am not satisfied with the way in which these concessions are being given. Therefore, I am afraid that the demand of the industry that all the tax on bonus shares should be abolished is going to be fulfilled in the very near future. I hope the Finance Minister will come forward to give a guarantee to the House that it is not going to be so. But I am not so sure whether he will or not. One thing is certain. Of course, our past history has told us that a Bakasura cannot be satisfied with a concession for a day. He wants the concession day after day and his appetite is never quanched. It is the same thing that is happening with the financiers in our country. I hope this concession is not going to be increased. But it is my fear that it is going to be. Therefore, I oppose it. Is the Finance Minister sure what he is doing today is not going to increase their appetite for further concessions? Is he sure that he is not going to give more and more concessions in future? That is the doubt that has arisen. Therefore, any con-

cession which is not in the interest of the country, any concession which is only in the interest of a small percentage of the people of our country, is to be opposed, when today we are demanding of the people of the country as a whole to tighten their belt, to work harder for the success of the Third Five Year Plan and to put in more work for the fulfilment of our targets so that the country may progress faster than it is doing in regard to industrialisation.

It is for these reasons that I consistently oppose this concession earnestly request the Finance Minister even at this last stage of the Bill to withdraw this concession which he is trying to give.

Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava: In regard to the First Schedule. policy behind taxing the individual as well as the Hindu undivided family on the same basis is so unjust and so atrocious that it cannot Just consider this. supported. regard to super tax, one individual pays on the basis of an income Rs. 20,000 and a whole family consisting of, say, 10 or even more persons, also pay on the same basis. One man pays a certain amount and Hindu undivided family consisting of 10 or 15 persons also pay the same amount, which means that there is very great discrimination between one citizen and another.

Our Constitution says in its preamble that there must be uniformity of status and there must be equality of status, whereas I find that on the basis of religion there is great discrimination, which is opposed to the principles of articles 14 and 15 of the Constitution. This is so far as the Hindu undivided family is concerned.

In regard to incomes also, a family cannot consist of less than 2 coparceners and yet only if they are adult, they can be taxed, if the income is Rs. 6,000. Suppose there are 10 persons. Even if there are 10

persons and they are all labourers getting Rs. 10, or so, they will also be mulcted and taxed, whereas the minimum taxable amounts for ordinary citizens is Rs. 3,000. So it is so obviously unjust that I cannot understand why the Finance Ministry is not looking into it.

I know that it will be said that the hon. Finance Minister is not responsible for it and it has been continuing for a long time. But an injustice continued for a long time does not become justice. It is so obviously unjust that it is impossible not to recognise it as such. I can understand in Moghul times the jezia being prevalent. I can understand in British times discrimination being practised. But in these times when there is a Welfare State, and the rule of law when the law applies to everybody in the same way, there is no justification for discrimination between Hindu joint family and an ordinary individual. If a Hindu joint family has got Rs. 4 lakhs and there are 4 members, each person will get Rs. 1,000 per month after paying taxes whereas if there are 4 persons, Muslim or Parsi, who are getting Rs. 3 lakhs or Rs. 4 lakhs, they will get Rs. 4,000 per month, each of them. There is absolutely no justification why the Hindu joint family should be treated in this manner.

This is not all. In regard to estate duty, when we were discussing it on the 1st September 1958, we said that there is absolutely no exemption given for a Hindu joint family, whereas there is exemption of Rs. 1 lakh for an ordinary family. In regard to an ordinary person there is exemption limit, but for a Hindu joint family there is no exemption limit.

Then there is aggression. Even the property of the living person is also taken by way of estate duty provided he is a non-Mohammedan or non-Parsi, provided he is a Hindu or Sikh or any person to whom the Hindu law applies. There is discrimination between a Mitakshara family and a

Dayabhaga family. I do not know where this discrimination will stop. I do not know of any other country where we have taxation on the basis of caste or religion or some other consideration. We should have one rule for every person, that the tax should be realised on the same basis, when the criminal law is the same. This is the only country in the world where families are taxed as such, on the same basis as an individual is taxed.

This is not all. In regard to ceilings also, if a Hindu joint family has got 100 acres, 30 acres are allowed and 70 acres are taken away, whereas if it is another family consisting of, say, four persons, they will not be affected. But the Hindu joint family or Sikh family will have to give all their lands to the Government over and above 30 acres. Even there, the principle of partition is not accepted. Even the ordinary principle of Hindu · law, that on partition everybody is entitled to his share, is not accepted. Even this is not exempted and everywhere they are treated, as a matter of fact, in a discriminatory way, as if they were not nationals of this country.

Even in the British times, there was a difference between Hindu joint families and others in the imposition of super tax. In the case of the family, Hindu joint limit Rs. 75,000 whereas in the case others, it was Rs. 50,000. Even in regard to other taxes, like the excess dividend tax, the limit was different. But now, it appears that except in regard to income-tax upto the Rs. 6,000 limit—if there are two persons , both are above 18-there is no other distinction. This concession made by Dr. John Matthai in this House, has been taken away without any reason or rhyme. The Investigation mission also supported that. Taxation Inquiry Committee presided over by Dr. Matthai also supported that concession. But that concession has been taken away without any reason, not by this Finance Minis[Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava]

ter but by the previous Finance Minister. He had then said that he would be pleased to look into matter, but the present Minister not being satisfied with the reasons I gave has not even said that he would look into the matter. not know where we shall go. If I try to bring in an amendment here. it is barred by law. If I apply to the President for making a change in the law, permission is not granted. I even applied to the President to allow me to introduce an amendment here so that the Hindu joint family may not be treated in this manner. Even that request was not granted. I do not know where to go.

Previously it was stated-before 1923—that when a taxation committee was appointed, it look into it and come to a conclusion. But what is the position today? Estate duty was not levied for a long time on the basis that it was possible to say in regard to a joint family that its property could divided. But now when they wanted to have estate duty, they accepted the principle of notional partition. When the question of notional partition is applicable to estate duty, I fail to see why it cannot be applied to ordinary income. If notional partition can be accepted for estate duty, it can certainly be allowed in respect of income tax also.

Therefore, my submission is that on the basis of logic, the Government have got no case to proceed with the First Schedule. I oppose this Schedule. It is so unjust and discriminatory that it is opposed to the principles of our Constitution and to all cannons of fairness.

Shri Morarji Desai: As regards the bonus tax, I have explained why we have reduced the percentage to 12½. As a matter of fact, with 30 per cent. tax, all government revenue had gone, because no bonus shares would be issued. That also does not benefit society in any way. The equity

structure has got to be strengthened, and therefore, proper issue of bonus shares is not undesirable but is desirable. Therefore, it has been reduced to 12½ per cent. I have no doubt that Government will receive more revenue with this reduction. Therefore, it is beneficial to government revenue and not harmful to it.

Finance Bill

I do not see that there is any concession given in this matter which will call for greater concession. these people desire to get more concession because a concession is given, that is a common human failing. My hon, friend also asks for more and more concessions, once I give a concession that he asks. But that does not mean that because it is asked, a concession is given. If a concession is required to be given, it should be given, whether it is asked for or not. If it is asked for, there is no harm in it. If it is not given, it is because Government do not consider that it is necessary or that it is possible, to give it. But it would be a very strange argument to say that because a concession will be asked for-a concession which deserves to be given alsoit should not be given.

My hon, friend Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava, feels very keenly on the matter of the Hindu joint family, and he has been pleading for it year after year. It is unfortunate that I am not able to agree with him and I have said so. I cannot give him a promise which I cannot fulfil. It is therefore that I have said that it is no use my examining it because I am not going to grant it. He will not agree with that and he will press for it. I have sympathy for his keen feeling, but I do not agree with that keen feeling. Therefore, I cannot grant what he asks for.

Mr. Speaker: There is something to be said in favour of the view put forward. The Britishers wanted to have individualism in this country. We are struggling between individualism and collectivism. I do not know how the result will come about.

13168

The question is:

"That the First Schedule as amended, stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

The First Schedule, as amended was added to the Bill.

The Second Scheduled

.Amendments made:

- (i) Page 28, line 18,—
- (ii) after "entry" insert "or entries" (34)
- (iii) Page 30, line 14 in column 2,---

For"China" substitute "Chinaware" (35)

(iv) Page 30,—in column 2, after line 19, insert-

> "Explanation. — 'Chinaware' includes all glazed clayware but does not include terracotta." (36)

(v) Page 32 line 28, in column 2,---

> "all sorts" after insert "namely" (37)

(vi) Page 33, line 7, in column 2,---

> after "Cellpphane" insert-"that is any film or sheet of regenerated cellulose" (38)[Shri Morarji Desai]

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That the Second Schedule, as amended, stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

'The Second Schedule, as amended, was added to the Bill

Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Long Title

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Long Title stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted. :354 (Ai) LSD-3.

Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Long Title were added to the Bill

Shri Morarji Desai: Sir, I beg to move.

"That the Bill, as amended be passed."

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:

"That the Bill, as amended be passed."

Dr. Sushila Nayar (Jhansi): Sir, while I support the Bill in general, there is one point which I wish to bring to the notice of the hon. Finance Minister. In deciding exemption of the gratuity from income-tax, government servants, Armed Forces and other civil servants, have been granted that exemption whereas those who are employed in the private sector have not been given the exemption.

13.13 hrs.

[Mr. Deputy-Speaker in the Chair]

The reason given seems to be that in calculating the rate of gratuity for government servants it has been done after taking into consideration the tax requirements whereas this is not done in the case of private employees.

Sir, look at the consequence of this as it touches an average employee. Supposing there is a man employed by a private firm, corporation or newspaper or anything else and he is earning Rs. 1,000 per month. His income for the year would be Rs. 12,000. At the age of retirement, he earns a gratuity, say, of Rs. 10000. His total income for that year comes to Rs. 22,000. The income above Rs. 20,000 for the year is liable to super-tax so that the man will have to pay 8 annas in the rupees as Tax and super-tax. The result of it will be, as things stand at present, the man will have to pay Rs. 11,000 out of his Rs. 22,000 for taxes so that all his gratuity the total amount of his gratuity Rs. 10,000 is wiped out, plus another Rs. 1,000 out of his salary.

[Dr. Sushila Nayar]

I am sure this aspect was not kept in view when an announcement on this subject was made. That is why I take the liberty of bringing it to the notice of the hon. Finance Minister.

There is a proposal that this be spread—the gratuity income—be spread and the tax calculated by taking an average income of three years. Why spread it over three years If it is to be fair, then, it should spread over the total period of the years for which that gratuity has been earned by that individual, be it years, 10 years, or 15 years or even 20 years whether it may be. Otherwise we are liable to be charged with discrimination. Already people think and they say quite openly that think of exemptions and reliefs etc. only when it touches the government servants and we do not think others.

This man in private employment has also got a family. What is more? The government servant is going to get some pension and some gratuity whereas the man in private employ does not get a pension, as a general rule. There is only gratuity which he has to support his family. Therefore in all fairness and justice we should do away with this discrimination. I do not want to say that we should do anything which favours the man in private employ as against government servants. But he must not be hit harder than other people either.

Therefore, I request that either the gratuity of the man in private service be also exempted or, at least, the income from gratuity be spread over the years for which he earned that gratuity so that the taxes that he pays are in accord with what anybody else pays, who is in government service, and the element of discrimination is eliminated.

With these words, I support the Bill.

श्री सणराज सिंह : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मुझे खुशी है कि वित्त मंत्री महोदय ने यह **ब्रा**श्वासन दिया है कि जो छोटे पैमाने पर चलने वाले कांच के उद्योग हैं उन की तरफ वे भ्रपना ध्यान देंगे भ्रौर जांच करायेंगे. तथा कोई ऐसा तरीका निकालेंगे जिस से छोटे उद्योगों भ्रौर बडे उद्योगों की प्रतियोगिता में कमी हो सके। मैं उन की इस भावना का जवाब देना चाहता था कि बड़े उद्योग वाले छोटेकारखानों में ले जा कर फ्रीर भ्रपने माल को वहां रख कर बेच देंगे । मैं समझता हं कि यह सही नहीं है । ग्राज कल जो सरकारी कानुन हैं, चाहे विकास के सम्बन्ध में चाहे दूसरे, उन से यह का वाई रोकी जा सकती है, ग्रौर किसी भी छोटे कारखाने में बड़े कारखाने के माल को ले जा कर बेच देना सम्भव नहीं हो सकेगा। मैं श्राशा करूंगा कि वित्त मंत्री महोदय इन हारे मामलों को ध्यान में रखते हुए कोई ऐसा तरीका निकालेंगे जिस से छोटे पैमाने पर चलने वाले कांच के उद्योग को हानि न हो **भौ**र वह बरबाद होने की दिशा में न जाय।

जब ततीय पंचवर्षीय योजना के पहले बजट के कर प्रस्तावों को हम कानुनी रूप देने जा रहे हैं तो बरबस कुछ ऐसी चीजों की तरफ ध्यान भ्राकर्षित करना में भ्रपन। कर्त्तव्य समझता हूं, जिन के ऊपर देंश की ततीय पंचवर्षीय योजना निर्भर करती है ग्रीर जिन के ऊपर ध्यान दिये बिना, जिन समस्याश्रों का समाधान किये बिना, तृतीय योजना निर्बल हो सकती है। खास तौर पर जब से जनसंख्या के भ्रांकड़े प्रकाशित हुए हैं तब से इस मल्क में एक भावना पैंदा हुई है कि जो ततीय पंचवर्षीय योजना का प्रारूप है उस में कोई इस तरह के कारगर कदम नहीं हैं जिन से हम तृतीय पंचवर्षीय योजना काल के ग्रन्दर फैंदा हुई श्रम शक्ति को काम दे सकेंगे। यह प्रत्यक्ष है कि द्वितीय पचवर्षीय योजना के भ्रन्त में सरकारी भ्रांकडों के

म्ताबिक ७० या ८० लाख लोग, जिन्हें काम चाहिये था, बेकार थे भ्रीर उन को काम नहीं दिया जा सका । तुतीय पंचवर्षीय योजना के भ्रन्तर्गत जैसा भ्रन्द।जा लगाया था कि करीब डेढ़ कोड़ लोग ऐसे होंगे जोकि नई श्रम शक्ति होगी भ्रौर जिन को काम देने की म्रावश्यकता होगी भ्रब जब से जनसंख्या के म्रांकड़े प्रकाशित हुए हैं तब से मनुमान लगाया जा सकत। है कि यह संख्या डेढ करोड़ न हो कर १ करोड़ ७० लाख या १ करोड़ ८० लाख होगी । इस तरह से हम देखते हैं कि त्तीय पंचवर्षीय योजन के भ्रन्तर्गत करीब ढाई करोड़ लोग ऐसे होंगे जिन को काम की आवश्यकत होगी और प्रारूप सिर्फ १ करोड ७५ लाख लोगों को काम दिलाने की व्यवस्था करता है। इस का नतीजा यह होगा कि तृतीय पंचवर्षीय योजना के समाप्त होते होते मुल्क में बहुत बड़ी संख्या में बेकार बनेरहेंगे ग्रीर इस प्रकार उन लोगों को मौका मिलेगा कि वे देश में ग्रसन्तोष भड़कायें ग्रीर देश की प्रगति में इस तरह से कावट पैदा करें। मैं चाहुंगा कि जब तृतीय पंचवर्षीय योजना के मसविदे को ग्रन्तिम रूप दिया जाय तो सरकार इन सब बातों पर विचार करे श्रीर ऐसी नीति निर्धारित करे कि तीसरी योजना के ग्रन्तर्गत जितनी श्रमशक्ति पैदा हो उस सब को काम दिया जा सके। जब इस श्रम शक्ति को काम देने की बात आती है तो इस बात की तरफ ध्यान जाता है कि इस श्रम-शक्ति को काम देने के लिये क्या तरीका **अ**ख्तियार किया जाय । मैं समझता हूं कि सरकार इस बात को स्वीकार करेगी कि इस श्रम शक्ति को काम देने के लिये सिवा इस के श्रीर कोई तरीका नहीं हो सकता कि छोटे उद्योगों को ग्रधिक से ग्रधिक फैलाया जाय । इसलिये मैं चाहुंगा कि सरकार तृतीय पंचवर्षीय योजना के ग्रन्तर्गत ग्रधिक से ग्रधिक छो े ग्रीर गृह-उद्योगों को बढ़ाने पर बल दे। श्रीर जहां ३०० श्रीद्योगिक बस्तियों के निर्माण की व्यवस्था तृतीय योजना में करने का विचार है उस के स्थान पर सरकार को ६०० श्रौद्यो-

गिक बस्तियों का निर्माण करना चाहिये ताकि उन में देश के अधिक से अधिक लोगों को काम मिल सके।

इसी सन्दर्भ में मैं यह कहना चाहता हं कि हम ने यह नियम बनाया हम्रा है कि जब तक किसी व्यक्ति को एक खास आमदनी नहीं होगी उस वक्त तक उस से कोई ग्रायकर नहीं लिया जायगा । जिन लोगों पर इनकम टैक्स लगता है उन की आमदनी कम से कम ३०० रुपया मासिक होनी चाहिये। लेकिन जो लोग खेती का काम करते हैं उन पर यह सिद्धान्त लागू नहीं किया जाता । उन पर म्राप म्रपना लगान सब से पहले ले लेते हैं चाहे उन के पास दो बीघा जमीन हो, या तीन बीघा हो या दस या बीस बीघा जमीन हो। उन के बारे में म्राप यह नहीं देखते कि कर ग्रदा करने के बाद इस के पास इतना बचेगा या नहीं जिस से कि यह भ्रपना भीर म्रपने बच्चों का पालन कर सकें, उन को शिक्षा दे सके, ग्रपने लिये मकान बना सके भ्रीर दूसरे भावश्यक खर्च कर सके। सरकार को कर का सिद्धान्त सब के लिये समान रखना चाहिये। कर लगाने का सिद्धान्त यह होना चाहिये कि जो कर देने की क्षमता रखता है उसी संकर लिया जाय । लेकिन जो लोग खेती का काम नहीं करते उन के लिये तो सरकार का यह नियम है कि जब तक उन की भ्राय ३०० रुपये माहवार तक नहीं होती उन पर इनकम टैक्स नहीं लगेगा, लेकिन खेती करने वालों के लिये यह नियम लाग नहीं किया जाता । मैं समझता हूं कि अब समय ग्रागया है कि सरकार को इस मसले पर गम्भीरता के साथ विचार करना चाहिये भौर सब पर कर लगाने का सिद्धान्त समान होना चाहिये । लेकिन श्रभी यदि सरकार ऐसान कर सके तो कम से कम मेरा सुझाव है कि उन खेतिहरों से तो कोई कर नहीं लिया जाना चाहिये जिन की जोतें प्रलाभकर हैं। ग्रगर सरकार मेरे इस सुझाव को मान नेती है तो सरकार को कोई विशेष नकसान

[श्री बजराज सिंह]

13173

होने वाला नहीं है। हिन्दुस्तान में सरकार को लगान से करीब १०० करोड़ रुपये की भ्राय होती है। यह तो सौ करोड़ कर देने वालों में से ६६ प्रतिशत लोग ऐसे हैं जिन के पास म्रलाभकर जोतें हैं। लेकिन जितनी कुल भूमि पर खेती होती है उस को केवल ५० प्रतिशत ही इन ८६ प्रतिशत लोगों के पास है भ्रौर जो शेष १४ प्रतिशत किसान हैं उन के पास इस भूमि का ५० प्रतिशत भाग है। ग्रगर सरकार इन ८६ प्रतिशत किसानो के लगान को माफ कर देती है तो सरकार को कूल राज्यों में मिला कर केवल ५० करोड़ रुपये की ही हानि होगी लेकिन ऐसा करने से हिन्दुस्तान के २२ करोड़ किसानो को राहत मिलेगी और वे भी सोचेंगे कि ब्राज हिन्दुस्तान के म्राजाद होने के कारण उन को भी यह सुविधा मिली है। जब ग्राप समाज के दूसरे वर्गों को ग्रनेक विशेष सुविधायें देना चाहते हैं तो मैं समझता हूं कि ऐसे लोगों को सुविधा देना ग्रत्यन्त ग्रावश्यक है जिन सहयोग से हम अपनी ययजनाओं को सफल बना सकते हैं। यह हम इन लोगों को सुवि-धायें देंगे तो हम योजनात्रो को सफल बनाने में इन का हार्दिक सहयोग कर सकेंगे।

इसी सिलसिले में मुझे एक बात ग्रीर कहनी है। ग्राप ग्रनेक चीजों का उत्पादन ज्यय निश्चित करने के लिये टैरिफ कमीशन बनाते हैं जैसे कि सीमेंट के लिये, चीनी के लिये, लोहे के लिये, कपड़े के लिये या ग्रन्य किसी चीज के लिये जोकि कारखानों में बनती हैं, लेकिन जो चीजें खेतों में पैदा होती हैं, जिन को पैदा करने में हमारे देश की ७० प्रतिशत जनता लगी हुई हैं, उन का उत्पादन व्यय तै करने के लिये सरकार की तरफ से कोई कदम नहीं उठाया गया है, ग्रीर जब जब यह सवाल सदन में उठाया जाता हैं तो सरकार की तरफ से यह किनाई बता दी जाती है कि यह समस्या इतनी जिटल है कि इस को सुलझाने के लिये हमारे पास

कर्मचारी नहीं हैं, हम किस तरह से सारे किसानों द्वारा उत्पादित वस्तुश्रों के उत्पादन व्यय का हिसाब लगा सकते हैं। मैं चाहूंगा कि सरकार इस प्रश्न पर गम्भीरतापूर्वक विचार करे और यदि उसे तृतीय पंचवर्षीय योजना को सफल बनाना है तो उस के लिये यह श्रावश्यक है कि चाहे कोई माल कारखाने का बना हो या खेत में पैदा किया गया हो सब का सही उत्पादन व्यय निश्चित किया जाय।

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय : ग्रब माननीय सदस्य समाप्त करें ।

श्री क्रजराज सिंह: मुझे पांच मिनट का समय ग्रीर दिया जाय।

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय : ग्राप ने पांच मिनट का तो कुल समय मांगा था, ग्रब ग्राठ मिनट हो चुके हैं। ग्रब ग्राप जल्द खत्म कीजिये।

श्री क्रजराज सिंह: मैं च।हूंगा कि सरकार इस बात पर विचार करे कि जो चीज कार-खाने में पैदा होती है ग्रीर जो चीज खेत में पैदा होती है उन दोनो का उत्पादन ब्यय निश्चित करने के लिये एक ही सिद्धान्त लागू किया जाय ।

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय : बहुत तकलीफ़ तो इस बात की है कि माननीय सदस्य थर्ड रीडिंग पर बिल्कुल नहीं बोल रहे, जो टैक्सों में रिवीजन किया गया है उन के बारे में कुछ नहीं कहा मगर दूसरी चीजों पर बोल रहे हैं।

श्री बजराज सिंह: ग्रापने यह सिद्धान्त तै किया है कि फाइनेंस बिल पर किसी भी विषय का जिक किया जा सकता है।

उपाध्यक्ष महे दय: यह बात शुरू में तो हो सकती है। मगर श्रव तो श्राप थर्ड रीडिंग पर बोल रहे हैं। इस वक्त तो श्राप को उन्हीं चीजों का जिक्र करना है जिन का श्राप थर्ड ीडिंग में कर सकते हैं। श्री क्रजराज सिंह : इन चीजों पर हिन्दुस्तान की तीसरी योजना की सफलता निर्भर करती है इसलिये मैं इन बातों पर जोर दे रहा था।

तो मैं कह रहा था कि कृषि जन्य पदार्थी की कीमत तैं करने के लिये सरकार को एक कमेटी बनानी चाहिये । बार बार कृषि मंत्रालय की तरफ से इस का ऐलान भी किया गया पर ऐसा लगता है कि वित्त मंत्री महोदय स्रौर प्लानिंग कमीशन इस प्रकार की कमेटी बनाने के पक्ष में नहीं हैं स्रौर इस-लिये यह कमेटी नहीं बन पा रही है। मैं समझता हं कि ग्रगर ग्राप चाहते हैं कि हिन्दू-स्तान के किसानों में भी ग्राप की पंचवर्षीय योजना को सकल बनाने के लिये उत्साह पैदा हो तो इस के लिये यह ग्रत्यन्त ग्रावश्यक है कि उन को ग्रयनी पैदाबार का उचित मल्य मिले । ग्रब जो विदेशों से ग्रन्न ग्रा रहा है उस से लगता है कि हिन्दुस्तान में कृषि जन्य पदार्थों की कमी नहीं रहेगी लेकिन इस का एक यह भी परिणाम होगा कि हमारे देश के किसानों की पैदावार की कीमत नीचे गिर जायगी स्रौर इस प्रकार उन को स्रपने श्रम का उचित मुल्य नहीं मिलेगा । इसलिये मेरा ग्रन्रोध है कि कृषि जन्य पदार्थों का उत्पादन व्यय निश्चित करने के लिये एक कमेटी बनाई जाय क्योंकि जब तक किसानों को उन की पैदावार का उचित दाम नहीं मिलेगा तब तक उन में किसी प्रकार का उत्साह पैदा नहीं हो सकता।

मैं अन्त में एक बात और कहना चाहता हूं। यह बात बार बार कही गई है। मैं चाहता हूं कि हम को यह निश्चय कर लेना चाहिये कि भविष्य में हमारे प्रत्यक्ष और अप्रत्यक्ष करों का ढांचा क्या होगा। हम को अपनी योजना को सकल बनाने के लिये इस ंचे को निश्चित कर देना श्रावश्यक है। इस विषय की जांच वज्ञानिक ढंग से की जानी चाहिये क्योंकि जब तक इस की वैंज्ञानिक ढंग से जांच पड़ताल नहीं होगी तब तक यह नहीं मालूम हो सकेगा कि किस कर का कितना भार ग्राम जनता पर पड़ता है। जब तक इस तरह की जांच नहीं होगी तब तक हो सकता है कि जिन की क्षमता कर देने की नहीं है उन से कर ग्रधिक वसूल होता रहे ग्रौर जिन की कर देने की क्षमता है उन से कर वसूल न किया जाय। कर वसूली का सिद्धान्त यह होना चाहिये कि जिस में जितना कर देने की क्षमता है उस से उतना ही कर वसूल किया जाय। इसलिये इस की वैज्ञानिक जांच करने की व्यवस्था की जानी चाहिये।

श्रन्त में मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि श्रगर हम को पंचवर्षीय योजना को सफल बनाना है तो देश में जो समाजविरोधी प्रवृत्तियां पैदा हो रही हैं, उद्योग के क्षेत्र में श्रौर राजा महाराजाश्रों को प्रीवी पर्स देने के सम्बन्ध में, उन पर रोक लगाना श्रावश्यक है। ऐसा होने पर ही हम समाजवादी समाज की श्रोर बढ़ सकेंगे।

उपाध्यक्ष महोवय : माननीय सदस्य ने इतनी बातें कह दी हैं कि इस वक्त मिनिस्टर साहब इन का जवाब कहां तक देंगे । माननीय सदस्य ने पचासों बातें इस वक्त उठाई हैं जिन का जवाब मिनिस्टर स'हब नहीं दे सकेंगे ।

Shri D. V. Rao: Sir, I would like to take this opportunity to ventilate some of the grievances that my State of Andhra Pradesh generally has. We still feel that Andhra Pradesh is a neglected State where industrialisation and other matters are not taken care of properly. When we go into the details, they are as follows. The per capita investment in industry in Andhra Pradesh is 10.68 whereas the all India average is 20. The per capita income from industry in Andhra Pradesh is 20,23 whereas the all India average is 39.60. The figures in respect of the licences granted to various States show that Andhra Pradesh gets 4.1 per cent whereas Bombay gets 31.2

[Shri D. V. Rao]

per cent, Madras, 15.5 per cent and West Bengal, 16.1 per cent.

This shows that Andhra Pradesh still continues to be a very neglected area though it continues to be the agricultural base supplying its surplus rice or whatever commercial crops it can spare, to the rest of the country or even export them to foreign countries. Not only this. The vestment of Central projects comes only to Rs. 11 crores in Andhra Pradesh since the last so many years, whereas in other States like Bihar and Madhya Pradesh, it goes up to more than Rs. 300 crores; in West Bengal it is between Rs. 250 crores and Rs. 300 crores; in Orissa, it is Rs. 250 crores and in Madras, Rs. 105 crores. Therefore, the Centre is not taking proper care in investing funds either for industrialisation or even for other purposes in Andhra Pradesh. So, there is a legitimate ground to say that Andhra Pradesh is being neglected and has been neglected. Even the allocations for the third Five Year Plan do not seem to make good this gap that is there in the national economy of Andhra Pradesh.

In the matter of communications also, Andhra has been neglected. The communications in Andhra are poor, and the third Plan also refuses to give any new railway lines to Andhra Pradesh, even after repeated demands. There is also a pressing need for new railway lines there, but nothing has come out of the third plan and no new railway lines have been given. Andhra Pradesh. Even in the matter

Andhra Pradesh. Even in the matter of education, Andhra is so backward. For instance, of all the engineering colleges we have, not a single college has a post-graduate course. If this is the state of affairs how can we expect people in Andhra Pradesh to get advanced technical education and take their proper place along with those in the rest of the country?

Whatever funds are there in the allocations of the third Five Year

Plan, some of them or a major part of them are being spent in irrigation projects so far as Andhra Pradesh is concerned, and we are of course having good results, for, the rest of the country is having its rice from Andhra Pradesh; besides there are good commercial crops grown which we can also export. But then, while Centre is getting in the form of excise tax and in so many other ways through the commercial crops large some of money, nothing is given in return to Andhra Pradesh. I have already shown that only Rs. 11 crores are being spent on the Central projects in our part of the country. It clearly indicates that Andhra Pradesh is being neglected, and this feature is against the declared policy of the Government, namely, a balanced development of the country should take place and there must be a dispersal of industries. But this balanced development has not taken place and all these promises are not acted upon.

I therefore demand that the present policy towards Andhra Pradesh should be changed and Andhra Pradesh should be given its proper share of allotments especially in the matter of industries and education. This is not only a demand from myself or this part of the House, but of all parties and all people, irrespective of their political or other affiliations. They are all making this demand, and the Central Government has to take note of this demand and see that it is met as soon as possible.

Shri Mulchand Dube (Farrukhabad): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, there is just one item in the Finance Bill to which I wish to draw your attention, and that is about the excise duty on hooka tobacco. This is the most inferior kind of tobacco that is grown in Uttar Pradesh. But it so happens that the duty on this kind of tobacco has been upgraded and this has been made liable to the same duty as beedi tobacco. Beedi tobacco is not grown in Farrukhaba.

at all; only hooka tobbaco is grown there. I do hope that the hon. Minister wil take this aspect into consideration and reduce the duty on hooka tobacco which is not certainly of the same quality as beedi tobacco.

Shri Narasimhan (Krishnagiri): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I seek your permission to congratulate the Finance Minister for having shown both firmness and a spirit of accommodation. He levied the taxes and when difficulties were brought to his notice, he came forward readily with the amendments and concessions. A constant enquiry and watch has to be kept on these taxation measures as. they are enforced. We and when should always see how far they affect the incentives for savings and production.

I would also like to join in the plea made by Dr. Sushila Nayar just now, and also the plea made in the earlier stages by Shri Masani and Shri C. D. Pande. The Finance Minister was not in a position to appreciate the difficulties mentioned by them. I hope that later, through executive action if necessary, the Finance Minister will see that the gratuity to people working in private companies, firms and corporations is exempted from tax and that these people are not unduly dis-1 criminated against and treated as different from the position held by the Government servants. Apart the injustice that is felt, it is our duty to see that such feelings do not crop gratuities in. Actually, these other benefits are paid under statutory obligations as in the case of journalists and many other labour tribunal awards. Under the statutes the companies earmark gratuities which are paid in lieu of pension and such other things. So, if a sympathetic approach is brought to bear on these matters, that will be much appreciated and the feelings of injustice would be removed. I request the Finance Minister to see if by executive action a sense of fairplay could be brought into operation.

Shri Achar (Mangalore): Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I fully suport the Bill and also, with pleasure congratulate the hon Finance Minister especially for the concessions he has shown in respect of some items. I take it that from the concessions he has shown, he has recognised the basic principle that the capacity to pay must be the criterion more than everything else in respect of the question of taxation.

The most important aspect of this year's Finance Bill, as I feared in the beginning, when I spoke on the budget, is that the indirect taxation is very much out of proportion to direct taxation. In this country, according to the latest reports, the income in the rural areas is hardly Rs. 250 to Rs. 300 per annum per capita, which means that it is hardly Rs. 25 or Rs. 30 per mensem. Is it proper to tax that income of the rural population? This is one important aspect of the question. I feel that our Finance Minister has recognised this principle of capacity to pay when he showed the concession for kerosene oil or for some other small items like power-looms or even newsprint. No doubt he has recognised this principle, but I want the Finance Minister to consider one thing. So far as the Plan is concerned we want money; there is no doubt about that. Both direct and indirect taxation are absolutely necessary. But the real point we have to consider wherefrom can we find he Are we to tax the people who hardly get an income of Rs. 25 or Rs. 30 per month? Are you not taking away the morsel of food from the mouth of the poor people in the villages?

rias direct taxation reached the highest level? There are people getting Rs. 3000 or Rs. 4000 per month and there are also a large number of people getting at least Rs. 300 or Rs. 400. Has the limit of taxation been reached in regard to them? It is no good comparing with other countries. Are you to tax cloth for the people whose income is hardly Rs. 30 a

[Shri Achar]

month? Are you to tax kerosene oil? I know in my own village, people belonging to the scheduled castes and tribes, especially, take their food before nightfall, because they cannot purchase kerosene oil? Are you to tax them?

I was very happy that at least with regard to certain items the Finance Minister has recognised this principle of capacity to pay. I appeal to the Government to consider this aspect of the question when we shape our taxation policy and see that are collected from people who have got the capacity to pay compared to the capacity of a man getting Rs. 25 or Rs. 30 per month. How is it said that the income-tax rate is high enough, compared with the sacrifice of a man getting Rs. 25 for his morsel of food, with probably his child starving? Are we to compare it with the person who is getting Rs. 200 Rs. 300? Can we not tax them a little more? This must be considered.

I fully support the Bill. I am glad that this principle has been recognised with regard to some items. I hope the whole policy will be shaped according to this and the Government will try to find money from people who can afford to pay and not from people who are really starving.

श्रीमती लक्ष्मी बाई (विकाराबाद) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, फ़िनांस बिल के बारे में बोलते हुए माननीय सदस्यों ने जो बहुत सी बातें कही हैं मैं ने उन को सुना है। मैं इस संबंध में कुछ फ़ैक्ट्स बताना चाहती हूं।

मैं देखती हूं कि इनकम टक्स, एक्स ेंडीचर टैंक्स और गिफट केंस से मिलने वाला एमाउंट साल-ब-साल घटता जा रहा है, क्योंकि लोग इस में चोरी करते हैं। मालदार लोग बहुत तेज चालाक होते हैं और जो ग़रीब होते हैं, वे बुद्ध होते हैं। विनोबा जी हमेशा कहा करते हैं कि ग्रादमी बुद्ध बनने के बाद ग़रीब बनता है श्रीर चालाक बनने के बाद ग्रमीर होता है। श्रमीर लोग हमेशा ऐसा रास्ता तलाश करते हैं, जिस से वे टैंक्सों से बच सकें। वह रकम १५ * लाख रुपये से घट कर ग्रब १४ लाख रुपये हो गई है । ऐसेस होने के वक्त रुपया घटता जा रहा है। बात यह है कि इनकम टैंक्स के इंस्पैंक्टर्ज बहुत चोरी करते हैं श्रीर यह काम खुल्लम-खुल्ला होता है । हमारे वित्त मंत्री जी अच्छे तजुबकार हैं, हुशियार हैं, ग़रीबों के बारे में सब कुछ जानते हैं। हम लोग ग़रीब ग़रीब चिल्लाते हैं, लेकिन उन के दिल में ग़रीबों के लिये दर्द है, यह मुझे मालूम है। परन्तु बात यह है कि पुराने राज में गुप्तचर बहुत एफ़िशेन्ट होते थे, लेकिन इस सरकार के गुप्तचर, इस सरकार का इन्टेलिजेंस ब्युरो बहुत इनएफ़िशेन्ट हो गया है । बहुत हल्ला होने पर भी सरकार के पास कोई रिपोर्ट नहीं भ्राती है। भ्राप दिल्ली में चल कर देखिये कि कई दुकानों पर दस हजार की कीमत का माल बेचा जाता है भ्रौर एक हजार का हिसाब रखा जाता है। भ्रगर उन से कहा जाये कि माल की रिसीट क्यों नहीं देते, तो वे कहते हैं कि सेल्ज टैंक्स लगेगा। श्रगर हम रिसीट के लिये जोर देते हैं, तभी वे लिखते हैं जैंस कि मैं ने श्रभी कहा, वे जितनी कीमत का माल बेचते हैं, उस से बहत कम लिखते हैं, इसलिये उन का सेल्ज टैंक्स बच जाता है। इसी प्रकार वे हर एक टैंक्स से बचने की कोशिश करते हैं। कई मालदार लोग ऐसे हैं कि वे इंस्पैंक्टर्ज स्रीर एड्वोकेट्स को भ्रपना हिसाब दिखा कर उन से इस बात की राय लेते हैं कि कहां पर ैंक्स बच सकता है। हम देखते हैं कि इंस्पैंक्टर लोग जा कर बाद में उन के यहां काम करते हैं। यह कितने ग्राश्चर्य की बात है कि जिस व्यक्ति को चोर को पकड़ने के लिय रखा गया है, वही जा कर चोरी सिखाता है। इस काम में इतनी गड़बड़ है। माननीय मंत्री जी को इस तरफ तवज्जह देनी चाहिये भ्रौर जो लोग टैक्स बचाते हैं, उन के बारे में कार्यवाहीं। करनी चाहिये ।

जहां तक बजट का सम्बन्ध है, ६० करोड रूपये के लिये सरकार ने इतना हंगामा मचाया हुन्ना है। मैं कहना चाहती हूं कि घर में कोई भौरत डेफ़िसिट बजट नहीं बनाती है। ग्रगर उसको तीस रुपये दें, तो वह एक रुपया बचाती है और अगर सौ रुपये दें, तो दो रुपये बचाती है। ग्रीरत कभी डेफ़िसिट बजट नहीं बनाती है । वह हमेशा सरप्लस बजट बनाती है। एक महिला भी माननीय मंत्री जी की सहायता कर रही है, जो कि डिप्टी मिनिस्टर हैं । ग्रगर मंत्री जी को रुपये की जरूरत थी, तो उनको कहना चाहिए था। वह प्राइज बांड्स से या किसी भ्रौर प्रकार से इतना रुपया ला कर दे देतीं। इस बारे में तना हंगामा क्यों मचाया हम्राहै ? मेरा मतलब यह है कि जो तजुर्बेकार बहन हैं, उनसे इस बारे में राय लेनी चाहिये।

Finance Bill

सरकार की तरफ से करोड़ों रुपये के प्लान बनते हैं, लेकिन जो चार-चार हजार रुपया वेतन लेते हैं, उन्हीं के लिये ये प्लान बनते हैं। ग़रीबों के लिए कोई प्लान नहीं बनता है---जो सौ रुपये लेते हैं, उनके लिए कोई प्लान नहीं बनता है। सरकार की तरफ़ से कहा जाता है कि हम सोशलिस्टिक पैटर्न कायम करना चाहते हैं। क्या सोश-लिस्टिक पैटर्न में लोगों के जीवन-स्तर ग्रौर वेतनों में इतना फ़र्क होता है ? कभी नहीं होता है, कोई फ़र्क नहीं होता है। हम लोगों को घर-घर में इस बारे में जवाब देना पड़ता है। लोग हमको कहते हैं कि तुम सर्वोदय की बात करती हो, लेकिन यह क्या बात है कि एक को सौ पये मिलते हैं स्रौर दूसरे को चार हजार श्रीर उनमें श्रापस में हमेशा बहुत फ़ासला रहता है भीर उस फ़ासले को कम करने की कोशिश नहीं की जा रही है। जो लोग स्ट्राइक करते हैं, तो उनका वैतन बढ़ा दिया जाता है, लेकिन फिर भी यह फ़ासला दूर नहीं होता है। मैं कहना चाहती हुं कि सरकार को यह नीति भ्रपनानी चाहिए

कि नीचे वालों को ऊपर लाया जाए, उनकी इनकम बढ़ाई जाये भ्रौर भ्रमीरों को कंट्रोल किया जाये भ्रीर इन दोनों वर्गों को एक दूसरे के नजदीक लाने की कोशिश की जाये।

देश में जो प्लान बनते हैं, उनके लिये सैंटल गवर्नमेंट की तरफ से एड दी जाती है भौर तमाम स्टेटस मदद लेने के लिये भाती हैं। मैं बताना चाहती हं कि भ्रान्ध्र प्रदेश भ्रनाज के विषय में एक सरप्लस स्टेट है। पिछले दस साल से वहां एक कारखाना भी नहीं खुला है। वहां कोई डिस्ट्रीज नहीं हैं, कूछ, नहीं है, नदियों पर बांध नहीं हैं, माननीय मंत्री जी से मैं पूछना चाहती हूं कि यह कब तक चलेगा । वहां पर कई लोग सीजनल काम करते हैं स्रौर बाकी लोगों के पास कोई काम नहीं है श्रीर वे लोग बहुत ग़रीब हैं।

हम देखते हैं कि शहरों में भ्रनाज भीर तरकारी सस्ती मिलते हैं श्रीर गांवों में बहुत महंगे, द्गनी, तिग्नी कीमत पर मिलते हैं। यह तो उल्टा मामला है। सब टैक्स, सब पैसा गांवों से श्रौर गरीबों से मिलता है श्रौर श्रमीरों से न सेल्ज टैक्स मिलता है भ्रीर न वैल्थ टैक्स श्रीर इनकम टैक्स । ग़रीबों को मेहनत भी ज्यादा करनी पड़ती है श्रीर उनका खर्च भी ज्यादा होता है। गवर्नमेंट को इस स्थिति को मुधारने की भ्रोर ध्यान देना चाहिये।

सेंट्रल गवर्नमेंट की तरफ से स्टेट्स को इनकम टैक्स वग़ैरह का जो शेयर दिया जाता है, वह पूरा साल नहीं दिया जाता है। स्कीम्ज बनती रहती है श्रीर साल के श्राखिर में, फ़रवरी में, यहां से सैंक्शन मिलती है। इसका परिणाम यह होता है कि तमाम रुपया लैप्स हो जाता है भ्रौर कोई काम नहीं होता है भ्रौर बडी फ़ज़ीहत होती है। परसों मैं हैदराबाद गई थी। वहां २८ मार्च तक पैसा श्राया नहीं श्रौर फ़िनांस डिपार्टमेंट वालों से पैसा मंजूर नहीं हुआ। तीन दिन में कौन करोड़ रुपया खर्च करने वाला है। सारा काम बहुत जल्दी में करके ग्रीर जल्दी में टेंडर वर्ग रह देकर डबल खर्च कर दिया जाता है।

[श्री दती लक्ष्मी बाई]

कहते हैं कि हाथी जंगल जाता है श्रौर जो लाता है, वही खाता है। जितने प्लान बनते हैं, तमाम रुपया यहीं पर खर्च हो जाता है। मेरे यहां ब्लाक डेवेलपमेंट के लिये सैकंड स्टेज में, १,२०,००० रुपये का एस्टीमेट होता है श्रौर उसमें से एक्चुग्रली ३०,००० रुपया लोगों को देते हैं, जोिक अलग-अलग लोगों के लिये रखा जाता है, श्रौर बाकी ६०,००० रुपया एडिमिनिस्ट्रेशन पर, पैट्रोल श्रौर श्राफिसज पर खर्च होता है। इसका अर्थ यह है कि तीस हजार पया देने के लिये नव्ये हजार रुपया खर्च करना पड़ता है। यह कैसा प्लान है ? मैं अपने हुशियार फिनांस मिनिस्टर साहब से कहना चाहती हूं कि ऐसी स्कीम बन्द कर देनी चाहिये, ऐसी स्कीम नहीं चाहिये।

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, स्रापको मालूम है कि लक्ष्मी, सरस्वती ग्रीर दुर्गा, इन तीनों का बराबर का दर्जा देना चाहिये, तीनों की पूजा होनी चाहिये, लेकिन यहां पर यह होता है कि लक्ष्मी ग्रीर सरस्वती की पूजा तो होती है लेकिन दुर्गा को सब भूल गये हैं। ग्राज परि-श्रम करने वाला कोई नहीं है। इसलिये नहीं बनता है श्रीर डेवेलपमेंट का काम सफल नहीं होता श्रापकी जो स्कीमें हैं, उनके वारे मे कोई सोचता ही नहीं कि स्कीम कितने रुपये की है ग्रीर कितना पैसा दफ्तरी कामों में खर्च हो जाता है । श्रापकी कितनी ही मिनिस्ट्रियां हैं श्रीर उन मिनिस्ट्रीज में ग्रगर ग्राप पता लगायें तो पता चलेगा कि १५०-२०० के करीब कमेटीज़ हैं। इन कमेटीज़ का टर्म तीन-तीन **ग्रौर चार-चार** साल का होता है। हर साल इन कमे<mark>टीज का</mark> इनग्रागुरेशन होता है, दावतें होती हैं भौर दूसरी तरह का खर्च होता है। वे लोग भ्राते हैं भीर चले जाते हैं भीर कुछ होता नहीं है। इन कमेटीज के साथ जो ब्रादमी ब्रटैच्ड होते हैं उनकी भी संख्या कम नहीं होती है। १५० स्टेनोग्राफर होते हैं, श्रौर १५० के करीब ही ग्रंडर सैकेट्रीज होते

हैं। यह बात नहीं है कि इन कमेटीज की तादाद घटती जा रही है। इनकी तादाद बढ़ती ही चली जा र ़ी है। इसका नतीजा क्य हो रहा है, क्या ग्रापने सोचा है? ग्रापका खर्चा फिजूल में बढ़ता चला जा रहा है ग्रीर इसको मैं चाहती हू कि ग्राप घटाय। मैं चाहती हूं कि या तो ग्राप इन कमेटीज की तादाद जिननी कम कर सकते हैं करदें, वनीं मैं तो यह समझती हूं कि इनको बरखास्त ही कर दिया जाए।

ग्राज हो क्या रहा है ? ग्राज गांवों का नाश हो रहा है भीर शहर बढ़ रहे हैं। शहर गांवों का नाश करके म्रागे बढ़ते चले जा रहे हैं । गांवों में लोगों के पास करने के लिए कोई घंधा नहीं है, गरीबी में वे अपने दिन गुजार रहे हैं, उनके पास पैसा नहीं है कि कोई काम शुरू कर सकें। शहर वाले तो हल्ला मचा कर सब कुछ ले जाते हैं, गांव वालों को कुछ नहीं मिलता है। शहर बढ़ते जा रहे हैं, गांव घटते जा रहे हैं। शहर वालों को ग्राप सब्सिडी देते हैं, जिनके पास खाने पीने को है, जिनके पास पैता है, उनको तो ग्राप सब्स्डिजि देते हैं लेकिन गांवों की बात कोई पूछने वाला नहीं है। ग्राज उल्टातरीकाही चल रहाहै। इसको स्राप सीवा कीजिय, गांवों की तरफ ज्यादा ध्यान दीजिये।

श्राप श्राज इतना रुपया खर्च कर रहे हैं, लेकिन इस सबका नतीजा क्या निकल रहा है? ग्राज मैं देखती हूं कि ईमानदारी घट रही है, बेईमानी बढ़ रही है। ग्राप बिल्डिंग्ज बनाते जा रहे हैं श्रीर बहुत सुन्दर ढंग से वे बनाई जा रही है। फूल भी उनमें खूब लगाये जाते हैं ताकि सुगन्ध ग्राती रहे। बहुत श्रच्छे ढंग के बगीचे लगाये जाते हैं। यह जो इतना श्रिधिक ग्राप बिल्डिंग्ज पर खर्च करते हैं, इसकी क्या ग्रावश्यकता है।

एक ग्रीर छोटी सी बात कह कर मैं समाप्त कर दूंगी । मैं ग्रापको बतलाना चाहती हूं कि ग्रापके बच्चे ग्राज गुनहगार बनते जा रहे हैं।

38181

के तेल पर जो टैक्स लगाया जा रहा है उससे

नहीं हो सकता है। यह सुन्दर फल बड़ा तो हो रहा है, लेकिन इसमें वास नहीं है। इस ग्रोर विशेष रूप से ग्रापका ध्यान जाना चाहिये।

तालीम अच्छी उनको नहीं दी जाती है।

उनसे जेलें भरती जा रही हैं। सर्टिफाइड स्कुल्ज ग्रधिक हो रहे हैं। जानें खतरे में हैं ग्रीर

जेलें भरती जाती हैं। इनकी तरफ ग्रगर ध्यान

नहीं दिया गया तो हमारा भविष्य ग्रच्छा

श्री विभृति मिश्रः उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, जो वित्त विधेयक पेश किया गया है इसका मैं स्वागत करता हूं । हमारे वित्त मंत्री जी ने कहा है कि सब लोगों को टैक्स देना चाहिये, यह सही बात है । हमारे वित्त मंत्री जी गांधीवादी हैं ग्रीर वह उस भाग से ग्राते हैं जहां गांधी जी पैदा हुए थे। हुम उनका स्वागत करते हैं। चम्पारन तो उस भाग की बहुत ज्यादा कद्र करता है। हम लोगों का उद्धार डी गांधी जी ने किया था। लेकिन मैं एक बात मंत्री महोदय की सेवा में पेश करना चाहता हुं। स्राज गांवों में जो मजदूर हैं, उनकी स्राधिक दशा बहुत गिरी हुई है। एक मजदूर एक दिन में दो सेर सवा दो सेर ही मजदूरी पाता है। सुबह से शाम तक वह काम करता है ऋौर रात को जब वह घर जाता है तो उसके बाल बच्चे उसी ग्रन्न से जो मजदूरी करके कमाया गया होता है, ग्रपना पेट भरते हैं। किसी का उस ग्रत्र से पेट भरता है, किसी का नहीं भी भरता है। मंत्री जीने कहा है कि साल्ट पर वह टैक्स नहीं लगा सकते हैं ग्रौर वह फी आफ टैक्स रहना चाहिये । यही गांधी जी कहा करते थे । इसका मैं स्वागत करता हं ।

मिट्टी के तेल पर जो टैक्स लगाया गया है, उसके बारे में मैं कुछ कहता चाहता हूं। किसी भी गांव में जाकर ग्राप देखिये ग्रौर गांव में किसी भी झोंपड़ी को जा कर देखिये, मेरे साथ चलिये स्रौर देखिये स्नापको पता चलेगा कि वहां पर रात को खाने के वक्त मृश्किल से चिराग जलता है। खाने के वक्त ही गरीब ग्रादमी चिराश जलाता है। इतनी ज्यादा गरीबी वहां पर है। ग्रापने कहा था कि मिट्टी

श्रापको २ करोड़ ८४ लाख की श्रामदनी होगी। ग्रव इस टैक्स की मात्रा को ग्राघा कर दिया गया है। यह जो रियायत ग्रापने दी हैं, इसके लिए मैं भ्रापकी तारीफ करता हं। लेकिन मैं ग्रापसे प्रार्थना करता हूं कि ग्रब जो १ करोड़ ४२ लाख रुपये की श्रापको श्रामदनी होगी, इसके पीछे भी श्रापको नहीं दोड़ना चाहिये ग्रौर मिट्टी के तेल पर लगे हुए इस टैक्स को भी माफ कर देना चाहिये। माननीय मंत्री जी ने बताया है कि वह ज्यादा से ज्यादा इनफीरियर क्वालिटी के केरोसीन ग्रायल को मंगायेंगे । यह सही हो सकता है लेकिन इस तेल को कोई भी बच्चा जो गांव में भी पढ़ने वाला है, इस्तेमाल नहीं कर सकता है। ग्राप खुद इस इनफीरियर क्वालिटी से मिट्टी के तेल को जला कर देखिये ग्रीर बताइये कि ग्राप इसकी रोशनी से पढ सकते हैं या नहीं पढ़ सकते हैं। गांधी जी किसी भी बात की कहने से पहले उसको खुद किया करते थे। ग्रापको भी ऐसा ही करना चाहिये। श्रापको मालुम होना चाहिये कि ग्राज गांवों में बहुत ग्रधिक संख्या में बच्चे पढने लग गए हैं । ग्रापने हरिजनों के बच्चों की पढ़ाई फी कर दी है श्रौर वे भी पढ़ते हैं। हरिजन जो मजदूरी क रते हैं, उनके बच्चे जो पढ़ते हैं, उनके वास्ते भी वे कम से कम एक लालटेन तो रखते ही हैं। उस लालटन के वास्ते वे सफोद मिट्टी का तेल ही इस्तेमाल करते हैं। ग्रब ग्राप कहते हैं कि उनके इस्तेमाल के लिए भ्राप इनफीरियर क्वालिटी का मिट्टी का तेल मंगायेंगे। मैं श्रापको बतलाना चाहता हूं कि इसको मंगाने पर ग्राप जो खर्च करेंगे वह वेस्ट जाएगा। इस तेल को इस्तेमाल ही नहीं किया जा सकता है। म्राप मेरे साथ दिल्ली में ही चलिये म्रौर एक लालटेन खरी**द लीजिये ग्रौ**र उसमें इनफीरियर क्वालिटी के मिट्टी के तेल जला कर देखिये कि म्राया एक म्रक्षर भी पढा जा सकता है या नहीं पढ़ा जा सकता है। फिर भाप बताइये कि जो मैं कह रहा हूं वह सही है या गलत है---

श्री मोरारजी देसाई: मैंने तो पढ़ा है।

श्री विभूति मिश्र: पहले पढ़ा होगा, म्राज नहीं पढ़ सकते हैं। जिस लालटेन में इस तेल को जलाया जाता है वह बहुत जल्दी काली हो जानी है। यह उस धुंएं से होती है जो इस तेल को जलाने से निकलता है।

यह जो कामर्स एंड इंडस्ट्री मिनिस्ट्री की Annual Administration Report of the Import and Export Trade Control Organisation for the Year 1959-60 \$1 इससे पता चलता है कि १४४ लाख रुपये का इनफीरियर क्वालिटी का केरोसीन भ्रायल बाहर से मंगाया गया है स्रीर सुपीरियर २१२० लाख का । पैट्रोलियम प्रोडक्ट्स ४२५७ लाख रुपये की मंगाई गई हैं। समझ में नहीं स्राता है कि इतनी श्रधिक पैट्रोल्यिम प्रोडक्ट्स किस तरह से मंगा ली जाती हैं। क्या इन प्रोडक्टस का इस्तेमाल गरीब म्रादमी करते हैं या धनी लोग करत हैं, पढ़े लिख करते हैं । ग्रगर इनको धनी लोगों की खातिक मंगाया जाता है तो इन पर भ्राप टैक्स लगा कर जो १४२ लाख का भ्रापको केरोसीन ग्रायल पर टैक्स हटाने से नुक्सान होगा, उसको पूरा कर सकते हैं। अगर आप यह नहीं कर सकते हैं तो जो पांच करोड़ के करीब ग्राप राजे महाराजाग्रों को देते हैं, ग्रौर जिनके पास इतनी ग्रधिक जायदाद है, भ्रालीशान मकान हैं, उसमें १४२ लाख रुपये की कमी कर सकते हैं। क्यों भ्रापने उनको प्रिवी पर्स देना जारी रखा हुन्ना है? हमने स्वराज्य की लड़ाई क्या इसलिए लड़ी थी, कि उनको प्रीवी पर्स दिए जायें भ्रीर क्या इन प्रिवी पर्सिस को बन्द करवाने के लिए हमें भौर एक लड़ाई लड़नी होगी? १६२० से भ्राज तक हम लोग कांग्रेस के साथ हैं। क्या हम इसके साथ इसलिए हैं कि राज महाराजाग्रों के प्रिवी पर्स चलते रहें । इनके पास ग्रालीशान इमारतें, धन-दौलत तथा सभी म्राराम व

श्रासाइश की चीज़ें मौजूद हैं लेकिन फिर भी पांच करोड रुपये इनको प्रिवी पर्स के रूप में क्यों दिए जा रहे हैं, यह बात मेरी समझ में नहीं ग्राती है। इनके मुकाबले में श्राप गांव के मजदूर को देखिये, किसान को देखिये, उसकी क्या हालत है भ्रौर कितना पिछडा हम्रा वह है। जो गांव में काम करता है वह सारा दिन मजदूरी करके दो ढाई सेर गल्ला मुश्किल से पाता है ग्रौर उसको रात ग्रौर दिन दोनों टाइम खाता है भ्रौर शाम को डेबरी जला करके रोशनी श्रपनी झोंपड़ी में करता है। जिस तेल का वह इस डेबरी को जलाने में इस्तेमाल करता है, उस पर भी भ्राप टैक्स लगा दें, यह कहां तक मुनासिब है ? वित्त मंत्री जी वहां से स्राते हैं जहां गांधी जी पैदा हए थे श्रीर मैं श्राशा करता हूं कि वह गांधी जी के म्रादर्शों पर चलते हुए कैरोसीन म्रायल पर जो टैक्स लगाया गया है उसको ग्रब भी वापिस ले लेंगे।

ग्रब मैं डिसपैरिटी ग्राफ इनकम्ज के बारे में कुछ कहना चाहता हूं। भावनगर कांग्रेस में भी मैंने इस विषय को उठाया था ग्रौर कहा था कि जिस तरह की पालिसी ग्रापकी है, उससे यह इनकम्ज की डिसपैरिटी मिटने वाली नहीं है। एक तरफ तो वे सरकारी नौकरी हैं जिनको चार-चार हज़ार मिलती है भ्रौर दूसरी तरफ वे गांवों के लोग हैं जिन को पेट भर खाना भी नसीब नहीं होता है। हम लोग भी हैं जिनको चार सौ रुपया महोना और २१ स्पये रोज जब सैशन होता है मिलते हैं। गांव वालों से ग्राप कहते हैं कि वे पैदावार बढ़ायें। यह सही बात है। वे लोग ही हैं जो कि दैदावार बढा सकते हैं। लेकिन उन लोगों की क्या हालत है इस भ्रोर भी श्रापका ध्यान जाना चाहिये। उनका जीवन स्तर भी श्रापको ऊंचा करना चाहिये। वहां जो गरीव है उसकी लेबर को धनी ग्रादमी इस्तेमाल करके भौर धनी हो जाता है भौर वह बेचारा भूखों मरता है। श्रौर भी कई वर्गों के लोग गांवों में हैं जिनको भर पेट खाना नहीं मिलतः है। दिल्ली यूनिवर्स्टी के जो पहले वाइस चांसलर थे उन्होंन कहा है एक व्याख्यान में कि एलाइट लोग जो है, जो धनी वर्ग के लोग हैं, उनके खर्चों को स्राप घटायें स्रौर जो गरीब है उनके जीवन स्तर को उठायें। समझ में नहीं श्राता है कि श्राप इस बारे में क्यों कुछ नहीं कर रहे हैं। हम लोग जो कि ४०० रुपया महीना श्रीर २१ रुपये रोज जब सैशन होता है, पाते हैं, इतने पैसे क्यों हमें दिये जाते है। वह गरीब भ्रादमी जो भ्रपने श्रम को बेचता है श्रौर जिसको भर पेट खाना नहीं मिलता है, जो ग्रशिक्षित है ग्रीर जिसको ग्रगर कभी कपड़ा खरीदना होता है तो किसी होशियार ग्रादमी की सहायता प्राप्त करनी पड़ती है उसकी तरफ भी ग्रापका ध्यान जाना चाहिये।

श्रव मैं शूगर फैक्ट्रीज के बारे में कुछ कहना चाहता हं। ४५ करोड़ पया स्रापको शुगर से एक्साइज ड्यूटी के तौर पर मिलता है। शुगर फैक्ट्रीज के बारे में जो श्रापकी पालिसी है उसको ग्रापको बदलना होगा । उस बिना पर जिस बिना पर स्राप स्टील फैक्ट्रीज या काटन फैक्ट्रीज, क्लाथ मिल्ज को चलाते हैं, इन्हें नहीं चला सकते हैं। शूगर फैक्ट्रीज के बारे में मालिकों श्रीर मजदूरों के झगड़ों का निपटारा करने के लिए ग्रापको कोई ग्रौर इंतिजाम करना होगा । हमारे यहां एक फैबट्टी है जिसमें हड़ताल हो गई है। उसका नतीजा यह निकला है कि पिछले एक महीने में १२ लाख मन गन्ना नहीं पेरा जा सका है। ग्रब वह गन्ना मई या जून में पेरा जाएगा। इसका नतीजा यह होगा कि रिकवरी कम हो जाएगी ग्रौर चीनी का उत्पादन उतना ही कम होगा। इस वास्ते मैं कहना चाहता हं कि लोहे के कारखांनें की तरह से या दूसरे कारखानों की तरह से ग्राप इन शुगर फैक्ट्रीज को ट्रीट न करे। डिफोंटली इनको ट्रीट करें ताकि इनका काम चल सके। नहीं तो सीजन के वक्त में शगर फैक्ट्रीज के बन्द हो जाने से नतीजा यह होता है कि गन्ना नहीं पेरा जाता

है। गन्ना न पेरे जाने के कारण किसानों को घाटा होता है ग्रौर सरकार को भी घाटा होता है। उसे जितनी ड्डी मिलनी चाहिये उतनी नहीं मिलती है। हमको इस तरह के उपाय सोचने चाहियें जिनसे किसी शुगर फैक्टी में हड़ताल न होने पाये।

बस मुझे यही कहना है ; 14 hrs.

श्री मोहन स्वरूप (पीलीभीत): उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, हमने दो ोजनायें खत्म कर लीं ग्रौर तीसरी योजना ग्रारम्भ करने जा रहे हैं। योजना के मुताबिक वास्तव में यह होना चाहिय कि गांवों में तरक्की आये वयीं कि इस देश में ५० प्रतिशत लोग गांवों में रहे हैं, लेकिन गांवों की दशा बराबर बिगड़ ती जा रही है, गरीबी बराबर बढ़ रही है स्रीर एक चीज खास तौर पर देखने योग्य है कि गांवों के म्रादर लोगो की तबियत खेती की तरह से हटती जा रही है क्योंकि उनको खेती करने का जो उचित मुम्रावजा मिलना चाहिये वह नहीं मिलता है। उनको भ्रपनी मेहनत का फल नहीं मिलता, यही वजह है कि खेती की तरफ से उनकी तवज्जह हटती जा रही है। यह बहुत ही दुख की बात है, श्रीर मैं चाहता हूं कि गवनमेंट इस पर विचार करे।

जब योजना का कभी जिक होता है तो शहरों की तरक्की की तरफ गौर होता है। दिल्ली के मास्टर प्लान बनते हैं, बम्बई के लिये मास्टर प्लान बनते हैं, लेकिन गांवों के लिये कोई प्लान नहीं बनती। श्रभी ४ लाख ४ महजार गांवों में से सिफ ६,००० गांवों को छांटा गया है जिनको हा धरिंग फैसिलिटी गि गई है। जो लोग गांवों में खेती करते हैं धन को सि । १४०० ६० मकान के लिये मिले हैं जबकि इंडस्ट्री में काम करने वाले लेबरर को ६,००० ० मिलते हैं श्रीर धरमों भी श्राधी सब्सिडी होती है श्रीर श्राधा लोन होता है, लेकिन किसानों को पूरा पया लोन ही मिलता है। इस लिये मैं निवेदन करूंगा कि किसानों

[श्री मोहन स्वरूप]

13193

के साथ जो इस तरह का डिस्किमिनेशन होता है वह नहीं होना च।हिये। किसान भी महनतकश होते हैं और मजदूर भी मेहनतकश होते हैं, इस लिये किसानों और मजदूरों के बीच डिस्किमिनेशन नहीं किया जाना चाहिये। उन्हें हाउसिंग के सिलसिले में और चीजों के सिलसिले में फैसिलिटीज मिलनी च।हियें।

इसके साथ साथ मैं भ्रजं करूं कि तमाम चीजों की प्राइसेज बढ़ रही हैं। इस वक्त मेरे पास स्टेटिस्टिकल हेंड बुक है, उसमें बतलाया गया है:

"The present index number of wholesale prices, which has been rising continuously since 1955, rose further during 1958-59, when the average index is 115.5, indicating a rise of 4.5 over the previous year."

इसी तरह से और चीजों की कीमतें भी बढ़ रही हैं, लेकिन जब गल्ले की कीमतों के बारे में कहा जाता है तो सरकार चुप्पी साथ लेती है। मैंने बारहा कहा, किसानों की तरफ से बार बार मतालबा किया जाता है कि गन्ने की कीमत बढ़नी चाहिये, लेकिन इस तरफ कोई ध्यान नहीं दिया जाता। इसलिये में निवंदन करूंगा कि प्राइस फिक्सेशन बोर्ड की फौरन स्थापना की जाये जिसमें किसानों के नुमाइन्दे हों, सरकार के नुमाइन्दे, हों और वह सही तरह से गन्ने और अनाजों की कीमतों को फिक्स करे। अगर यह नहीं होता है तो कुछ बनने वाला नहीं है।

इसी के साथ-साथ में अर्ज करूंगा कि चूंकि अभी देश में गन्ने का उत्पादन कम हुआ है इसलिये जहां पर मिलें हैं वहां पर मजदूरों में वेचैनी फैली हुई है । अभी हमारे यहां पीलीभित मिल का एक मजदूर जेल में बंद है और एक भूख हड़ताल पर है। कुछ मजदूर दरवाजे पर भूख हड़ताल कर रहे हैं। मैं ने ग्रभी एक ऐडजर्नमेंट मोशन रक्खा था, लेकिन भ्रापने उसे मंजुर नहीं किया। मैं चाहता हूं कि वेज बोड की जो रिकमेडेशस हैं उन को हौरन इम्प्लिमेंट किया जाय ताकि मजदूरों को उससे जो लाभ होने वाला है, वह उन को मिल सके। एक तरफ हालत यह है कि मिल मालिक चिल्लाते हैं शुगर की कीमत के बारे में कोई भ्रीर दूसरी तरफ हालत यह है कि जो गन्ना मिलों में जाता है उसकी कीमत वह नहीं देते । लाखों रुपया मिलों में पड़ा हम्रा है। बरेली में मिल है वहां २० या २५ लाख रुपया किसानों का पड़ा हुआ है। इस तरह से मिल मालिक रुपया किसानों को दे नहीं रहे हैं। वैंसे ही । कसान को बड़ी मुसीबतें उठानी पड़ रही हैं फिर भ्रगर उनको गन्ने की कीमत न मिले तो किस तरह से उनका गुजारा चल सकता है। मैं चाहता हूं कि सरकार इन बातों पर विचार करे।

मैं यह भी निवेदन करना चाहूंगा कि मिलों में तैयार होने वाली चीजों में ग्रौर खेतों में तैयार होने वाली चीजों की कीमतों में कुछ श्रनुपात होना चाहिये। श्राज हम देखते हैं कि जो मिलों में बनने वाली चीजें हैं उनकी कीमतों बहुत ज्यादा हैं ग्रौर किसान जो श्रनाज का उत्पादन करता है उसकी कीमत बहुत कम है। इनमें कोई न कोई रेशों होना चाहिये।

फिर मैं चाहता हूं कि गांवों में बिजली की ज्यादा से ज्यादा सहू ियत दी जाय, छोटी छोटी काटेज इंडस्ट्रीज का ज्यादा से ज्यादा प्राविजन हो भीर टेक्निकल नो हाऊ के लिये टेक्निकल स्कूल्स भी हों। भ्रगर यह सब बातें हों तो इन से गांवों के किसानों का भला हो सकता है।

श्रफीम के उत्पादन के सिलसिले में मैं कहना चाहूंगा कि मैं जिस जिले से श्राता हूं वहां पर श्रफीम काफी मैकदार में होती है, मेरा

मतलब बरेली भ्रौर पीलीभीत से है। फिर श्रफीम की स्मिग्लिंग भी बहुत ज्यादा है रतलाम में कई लाख रुपये की भ्रफीम पकडी गयी । जितनी स्मग्लिंग ग्रफीम की होती है उतनी शायद किसी भौर चीज की नहीं होती है सूरते हाल यह है कि जब किसानों से ऋफीम ली जाती है तो वह ३० ६० सेर के हिसाब से ली जाती है भीर गवनमेंट उसको गाजीपुर के शो कारखाने में साफ कर के ३०० रु० में देती है। स्मग्लस उसको १००० स्रौर १५०० रु० सेर तक बेचते हैं। यह चीज बहुत गलत है। इसमें कुछ रेशो होनाचाहिये। मैं समझता हुं कि ग्रगर किसानों को उस का दाम १५० रु० सेर के हिस।ब से मिले तो ज्यादा ग्रच्छा होगा ताकि एक तरफ तो उस का स्मग्लिंग से बचाव हो और दूसरी तरफ किसान को उस के माकूल दाम मिलें ग्रौर वह स्मग्लिंग की तरफ न जाये, भौर सरकार को भी जहमत नहीं होगी। म्रफीम का उत्पादन जो हो रहा है वह भी ग्रच्छी तरह होगा।

Finance Bill

· ग्रब मैं तम्बाकु के बारे में कहना चाहता हुं।

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय: मैंने तो समझा था कि स्राप स्रफीम के नशे से ही खत्म कर देंगे।

श्री मोहन स्वरूप : मैं तम्बाकु के विषय में यह कहना चाहूंगा कि इसके सिलसिले में किसानों पर बहुत ज्यादा ग्रत्याचार होता है । तम्बाकु एक ऐसी चीज है कि जिसका गरीब लोग भी इस्तेमाल करते हैं, च है वह खेत में हल चलाते हों चाहे मेहनत करते हों। हर एक भ्रादमी थोड़ा सा ग्राराम करने के लिये तम्बाक् पीता है। हालांकि मैं तम्बाक् नहीं पीता हं लेकिन गांवों की जिन्दगी में तम्बाक बहुत जरूरी चीज बन गई है। भ्रगर कोई एक बिस्वा में भी तम्बाक ग्रपने खेत के सामने बोले या घर के सामने लगाले तो तम्बाक की एक्स।इज वाले उसको तंग करते हैं। में मिनिस्टर साहब से गजारिश करूंगा कि अगर कोई ग्रादमीं एक बिस्वा या आधा

बिस्वा में तम्बाकु बो ले, जो कि तिजारत के लिहाज से उसे नहीं बोता है, तो कम से कम उसको एक्साइज से छुट दी जाये।

इसके साथ ही मैं गांजा भीर चरस के बारे में भ्रर्ज करना चाहंगा।

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय: प्रगर सारे नशों की बात को ग्राप थर्ड रीडिंग में लायेंगे तो कैंसे काम चलेगा?

श्री मोहन स्वरूप: इसमें स्मग्लिंग बहुत ज्यादा होती है कई पेपर्स की कटिंग्स मेरे पास हैं जिनमें बतलाया गया है २ करोड़ रु० का गांजा भ्रौर चरस नेपाल से टनकपुर हो कर मेरी कांस्टिटएन्सी में म्नाता है। इस तरफ गवर्नमेंट को तवज्जह देनी चाहिये कि गांजा श्रौर चरस की जो स्मग्लिंग हो रही है उस पर रोक लगाई जा सके। ससे गवर्नमेंट को लाजिमी तौर पर फायदा होगा श्रौर जो खामखाह जरायम होते हैं उनमें कावट होगी ।

अन्त में मैं ज्यादा न कह कर यही अर्ज करना चाहंगा कि मल्क में एक तरफ भ्रमीरी बढ़ रही है दूसरी तरफ गरीबी बढ़ रही है। इस तरह से प्लांस को बनाने से कोई लाभ नहीं होगा ग्रगर हम गरीबी को दूर नहीं कर सके । मैं निवेदन करना चाहंगा हमारी एका-नामी कर्जों स्रोर टैंक्सों पर चल रही है। उनको गौर करके कम किया जाये स्नौर गरीबी को दूर करने के लिये ज्यादा से ज्यादा स्टेप उठायें जायें।

Shri Ramananda Tirtha (Aurangabad): In a few minutes' time, proposals contained in the present budget will receive the seal of proval of this House. During this discussion we have seen that Finance Minister has shown firmness and elasticity wherever it was necessary. The present is, in a way, a basis for the Third Five Year Plan and, therefore, this House has shown necessary concern at the various discussions about the proposals,

[Shri Ramananda Tirtha]

either of taxation or of schemes development. While commending the salient features of this budget, also feeling some concern about certain taxation proposals, I would like to impress upon the House, and country also, two supreme considerations that should weigh with us.

In spite of all that we have done so far there remains much to be done in regard to the gearing up of the admiinistrative machinery. Last year while at the general discussion speaking stage of the Budget I stated that the success or the failure of the Five-Year Plans depends very much upon how the administrative machinery functions. Though it is changing for the better, there is still some scope for improvement and I am sure the hon-Finance Minister who is well known for his strength and nerve will see to it that the administrative machinery is geared up to the task which is expected of it.

Secondly, every citizen of this country has to see that he does his own duty so that the disparities in income and the uneven imbalances in the development of the various parts of the country are done away with. It is no use now crying hoarse over the matter. We have discussed the proposals quite at length and now the Finance Minister has also given us an assurance that even if in future there will be any hardships felt he will look into them. So, let us put at rest all the grievances which we have had so far and see that the Third Five-Year Plan proves a success because on the success of the Third Five-Year Plan depends the entire prosperity of the future.

While saying these things and congratulating the hon. Finance Minister for what he has done in formulating the proposals of the Third Five-Year Plan and the actual scheme contained now in the Budget proposals, I would plead for a certain amount of sideration in regard to the area l-which I belong and where I have stayed for long. My hon friend, Shri Venkateswar Rao, spoke something about Andhra State. I know intimately well the Telangana part of it and I know it for certain that it is a very undeveloped area. Whatever is done to develop it is necessary because have toured almost the entire Tllangana area from village to village and I know that it is the direst poverty under which the peasant there suffering. Andhra State will flower into the foremost State in India properly nurtured and taken care of.

pead for that. At the present moment I represent an area or a region which is also equally undeveloped. I mean the Marathwada area of the former Hyderabad State. railway lines are pleaded for they are relegated to the background. irrigation schemes are put forth, they are also not considered earnestly and special care which an undeveloped area requires is not being given. In the Plan that is envisaged we have stated very clearly that all these imbalances between region and region, between different sections of society and between classes and other categories will all be minimised. I wish the hon. Finance Minister in days to come will look to the development of these undeveloped areas more earnestly and with greater concern and will help them to come to the level of other developed areas. This is a crucial moment in the life of this country. We have accepted the democratic method of development and we wish to make that method a success. On the success of that method depends the future of democracy not only in this country but all over the world.

Finally, Twould say that I fully support and am one with the sentiment expressed by Shri Asoka Mehta when he said that material development is not the only criterion for human happiness and weal. There should also be a moral basis for all our mental activities. Therefore when we are creating money and are adding to our production, let us take the utmost care to see that it reaches the most down-trodden individual citizen in this country. If these incomes do not go to minimise his hardships, we shall not be creating that fibre and that warmth which should come forth in making this stupendous developmental scheme a success. I wish the Finance Minister godspeed and that the country and the administrative machinery will come up to task.

Finance Bill

Shri P. C. Borooah (Sibsagar): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I associate myself with those hon. Members have congratulated the hon-Minister for bringing forward a bold Budget. It is bold in the sense that we need about Rs. 1240 crores for the implementation of the Plan projects which are to be taken up during the Third Five-Year Plan period. raising part of this amount he brought in some new taxes which will yeld about Rs. 63:17 crores. It is seen that 50 per cent, of this money is going to come from the customs duties. This belies the belief that nothing could be expected from the customs duties. So, I congratulate the Finance Minister so far as this aspect is concerned. But it is also seen that only Rs. 3 crores out of this amount of Rs. 63:17 crores is going to brought from direct taxation and the rest of Rs. 60 crores is to be had from indirect taxation. I need mention what the effects of indirect taxation are on the people, because that has been said by many hon. Members. I would like to make only one appeal to the hon Finance Minister which has not been fully voiced in this House up till now. That is about the impact of the excise and export duties on tea.

Tea occupies a very important place in building the economy of our country. We all know and take pride in the fact that tea is one of the highest foreign exchange earners of our country bringing more than one-fifth of the total earnings. Let us divide the time that we have devoted to the discussion of the Budget proposals and see how much time we have spent over dis-

cussing tea which is so vital for building our economy. Secondly, this one of the biggest employer in country giving employment to million of our workers and part-time employment to another million. With their dependents it may be that about five million of our population is getting direct benefit from the tea industry. Thirdly, this industry has no demand on the foreign exchange whether in its running or in establishing new units. In spite of this our hon-Finance Minister has thought it fit to increase the excise duty on tea to the extent of 8 nP. per kilogram. As a measure of export promotion the export duty on tea has been reduced by 9 nP. per kilogram, while on the other hand the excise duty has been raised by 5 nP. to 8 nP. per kilogram. This will result in a net gain of Rs. 2 crores in export duty and a loss of Rs. 198 crores in excise duty to the Government. That means the actual relief offered is only Rs. 2 lakhs. This relief of Rs. 2 lakhs is being given to an industry which is responsible for producing 700 million lb. of tea. The tea areas of the country are divided into four zones: they are Zone No. 1, Zone No. 2, Zone No. 3(a) and Zone No. 3(b), and excise duty varies from zone to zone. The excise duty on tea produced in Zone 3(b) has been raised from 27 to 35 naye paise per gram. Most of our exportable tea comes from this zone, that is Zone 3 (b). If this has been done as a part of export promotion drive, I do not know how far this will be successful. On one hand the export duty has been reduced, on the other the excise duty has been enhanced. Nothing remains, practically. I, therefore, appeal to the hon the Finance Minister to look into this question with due sympathy.

The Finance Minister himself admitted in his Budget speech that the Indian tea is losing its markets. Our traditional markets were the U.K. and U.S.A. The U.K. market is our mainstay. But for the last several years export to U.K. gradually coming down In the U.S.A. market the import of Ceylonese tea is increasing with the result that

[Shri P. C. Borooah]

for the last ten years import of India tea remains stationary. These are things which have to be carefully thought of. If we want to promote export of tea, we should be prepared to withdraw this rise in excise duty at least from tea which is to be exported. In that case Indian tea will be able to compete in the world market favourably and will again reign supreme.

The Finance Minister wants there should be curtailment of home consumption. Up till now Government have not been able to make up their mind as to whether they want to increase home consumption or decrease home consumption. Till the other day Government were in favour of increasing home consumption. And for that quite a lot of money was spent in popularising tea in India and many tea houses have been started in important centres of the country. Now we are being told that there should be a curb on internal consumption. First of all we have to decide whether we should curb our internal consumption or not. So far as we are concerned, it should, by all means, be enhanced; it is not because there is less of production and more of home consumption that export is decreasing. That is not the fact. Our export is decreasing, because in some countries we are being priced out. If the excise duty is withdrawn from exportable tea, our tea will again find a good market abroad. So far as internal consumption is concerned, we request the hon. Finance Minister not to curb home consumption. It will be a very dangerous thing. No industry should be made to depend mainly on foreign market. We must expand our home consumption, so that we may have a stable market. Our motto should not be more exports, more production and less home consumption, but our motto should more production, more exports and more home consumption. This is my submission.

Shri Morarji Desai: Sir, I have spoken at great length at various

stages and covered all the points which have been raised during the Third Reading. I do not want to deprive hon. Members of their time; therefore, I shall confine myself only to important points.

In regard to tobacco used for huka or for chewing, what has been done is not going to cause any great hardship. In any case those who use the huka or chew tobacco are not poorer than those who use biris, but biris are paying much higher tax than this tobacco. There was a great deal of evasion of tax by using this tobacco by mixing it with the biri tobacco. That was why this step had to be taken. I do not think it is going to make much difference for those who use it for huka or for chewing purposes.

As regards the allegation that Andhra has been neglected, may I make an appeal to my hon. friends who said this that that is not the only poor part of the country. The whole country is poor and the whole country has to be developed. All will be developed by turns, as funds permit, as opportunities permit. But we have got to see that every part, every bit of the country is developed. But if each one tries to pull its own way none will be developed.

Shri D. V. Rao: What is the order of priority?

Shri Morarji Desai: Let us therefore speak in terms of priority for others rather than priority for oneself. That is the only way the country can rise. If everybody says that there should be priority for himself, then he will be left last. That is why I plead: let us think of others rather than of ourselves and everybody will be provided for in this country.

It is said that villages are being neglected. That also is not true. It may have been so in the past, but that is not so now. We are constructing miles and miles of roads; we are

13203 Committee on VAISAKHA 2, 1883 (SAKA) Frevention of 13204
Private Members Bills Hydrogenation of oils
and Resolutions Bills

having transport services spread out; we are having irrigation ranals which are meant only for villages. Crores of rupees have been spent on these items. Many new projects which are being set up are being set up in rural areas, so that the rural areas are benefited. We want to see the development of the rural areas to an extent that people would want to go to rural areas rather than to urban areas. But there should be no contradiction, or any question of a wrong competition between villages and cities. The two are complementary to each other. We have got to see that they complement each other rather than exploit each other. That is how we look at the development of city areas and the development of rural areas.

I hope that the Finance Bill, as it has ultimately been approved by the hon. House will be satisfactory to all concerned, on the whole, as far as a Finance Bill can be satisfactory.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question:

"That the Bill, as amended, be passed."

The motion was adopted.

14.27 hrs.

COMMITTEE ON PRIVATE MEMBERS' BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

EIGHTY-THIRD REPORT.

Shri Jhulan Sinha (Siwan): Sir, I beg to move:

"That this House agrees with the Eighty-third Report of the Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions presented to the House on the 19th April, 1961."

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is:

"That this House agrees with the Eighty-third Report of the Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions presented to the House on the 19th April, 1961."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Bills to be introduced. Shri Aurobindo Ghosal—absent.

14.28 hrs.

PREVENTION OF HYDROGENA-TION OF OILS BILL—Contd.

by Shri Jhulan Sinha.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I would like to inform the House that on the 24th March 1961, Shri Jhulan Sinha moved the following motion:—

"That the Bill to provide for prevention of hydrogenation of olls in India and for matters connected therewith be taken into consideration."

On the 7th April, 1961 when further discussion on the motion was resumed and after the discussion had concluded, the House wanted to divide on the motion. As there was no quorum voting on the motion was postponed till today.

Now I submit to the vote of the House the following motion moved by Shri Jhulan Sinha on the 24th March, 1961.

The question is:

"That the Bill to provide for prevention of hydrogenation of oils in India and for matters connected therewith the taken into consideration."

Shri Jhulan Sinha (Siwan): Have I the option to withdraw the challenge I made that day?

Shri Braj Raj Singh (Firozabad): How can he do it? It has to be put to the vote of the House.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Yes, he can ask for the permission of the House.

Shri Jhulan Sinha: I am not asking for permission to withdraw the Bill. I made a challenge for division the [Shri Jhulan Sinha]

fier day. Is it open to me to withdraw the challenge that I made on that day?

An Hon. Member: He does not want the division.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I will put it to the vote of the House and we can decide it by the voice vote.

The question is:

"That the Bill to provide for prevention of hydrogenation of oils in India for matters connected therewith be taken into consideration."

The motion was negatived.

14:31 hrs.

HINDU SUCCESSION (AMEND-MENT) BILL—Contd.

(Amendment of section 14 by Shri Subbiah Ambalam)

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The House will now resume further discussion of the following motion moved by Shri P. Subbiah Ambalam on the 7th April, 1961:

"That the Bill further to amend the Hindu Succession Act, 1956 be taken into consideration."

Out of one hour allotted for the discussion of the Bill, 41 minutes have already been taken up on the 7th April, 1961 and 19 minutes are now available.

Shri Tangamani (Madurai): I rise to support the Hindu Succession (Amendment) Bill moved by my hon. friend Shri Subbiah Ambalam on the 7th April, 1961. The statement of Objects and Reasons appended to the Bill has clearly explained the purpose for which this amending Bill has been brought. Many hon. Members have addressed themselves pointedly

to the specific prosions of this Bill, excepting one $_{OT}$ two hon. Members who, I must submit, had some misconceptions about the intention of the Bill. Otherwise I do not think they would have raised the objection that they did.

I would like to reiterate that the Hindu Succession Act of 1956 conferred, for the first time, property rights on women, both widows and daughters. As the House is aware, the British Succession Act provides equal rights to men and women alike, that is to sons and daughters. If X dies intestate leaving a widow and children, according to the British practice the widow will be entitled to one-third and the children to two-thirds of the irrespective of whether they are males or females. Where a person dies intestate leaving only the widow, half of the estate will go to the widow and the other half to the Crown.

The question did arise on the actual definition of "widows" particularly in the colonial countries, because in the colonies under the British Empire in those days there were men who were having more than two wives. In Malaya, the Chinese nationals were British subjects then had generally more than two wives. riches of a rich Chinese Malayan were tested by the number of wives possessed. There was also a Council decision in a famous case known as The Seven Widows died, leaving A Chinese millionaire seven widows and some children. The question arose whether the one-third share, to which a widow was entitled, was to be shared equally among all the seven widows, or whether it was to be given only to the primary widow, because under the Chinese practice there were primary wives and sidiary wives.

Shri T. B. Vittal Rao (Khammam): Good God, what is this?

Shri Tangamani: This was some time in early 1905. This Privy Council decision is there. Their Lordships

13208

held that where there are widows, that is, where a person died leaving widows, we cannot make any distinction between the widows and ultimately it was decided that all the widows would take the share equally.

So far as India is concerned this right, which had been extended under the British law, had not been extended to the widows or to the daughters. All that the Hindu succession Act provided was only a limited estate to the widow. So we are happy that, for the first time in the year 1956, this restriction was taken away. I may be permitted to quote section 8 of the Hindu Succession Act which reads thus:

- "The property of a male Hindu dying intestate shall devolve according to the provisions of this Chapter:—
 - (a) firstly, upon the heirs, being the relatives specified in class I of the Schedule;
 - (b) secondly, if there is no heir of class I, then upon the heirs, being the relatives specified in class II of the Schedule."

As the House is aware, class I of the Schedule mentions "the son, daughter, widow, mother, son of a predeceased son, daughter of a predeceased son and other heirs' and class II refers to the others.

This particular amending Bill wants to give adequate protection both to the widows and the daughters. I would like in this connection to read section 14(1) of the Act, of 1956 which says:

"Any property possessed by a female Hindu, whether acquired before or after the commencement of this Act, shall be held by her as full owner thereof and not as a limited owner."

If a person died before 1956 when the Act came into force, the widow, who was entitled to a limited estate, got by virtue of the Act of 1956 absolute lien on the property. This led to certain abuses. The intention of this Bill is only to rectify this lacuna and prevent those abuses.

I shall mention a particular case, without giving the names. X died in the year 1951, leaving a widow three daughters. During the time of the deceased all the daughters had been given in marriage. Now, the widow had a limited interest in the estate. But by virtue of 1956 Act she got absolute interest. And what she has done is to away the bulk of her property, which has inherited, to the daughter through her first daughter, that is to her grand-daughter, and also to son-in-law, completely depriving the other two daughters of any share. It may be that she had a special love for a particular daughter. The intention of the legislation is to treat the widow and the daughters alike. Here is a widow who has got the limited estate now developing upon her absolutely, and she is now giving entire property to one of the daughters or rather to the daughter that daughter. And the other two daughters have absolutely no locus standi in the matter, according to this.

That is why I submit that this amending Bill is most appropriate. I would also read the relevant portion from the amending Bill which will show how this is sought to be rectified. I have already read out section 14 of the 1956 Act. Clause 2 of the Bill says:

"In sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Hindu Succession Act, 1956, the following provisos shall be inserted, namely:—

'Provided that where a man has, before the commencement of this Act, died intestate leaving a widow or widows and other female heirs mentioned in class I of the Schedule, the widow and the other female heirs shall take the property absolutely in accordance with the provision of section 10."

[Shri Tangamani.]

'Provided further that any alienation made by the widow without consideration after the commencement of this Act, shall be void to the extent of any share in excess of that prescribed in the proviso above.'"

I must really congratulate the hon. Member who had drafted this Bill, because he has not only provided against the abuse which I have mentioned, but he has also provided for bona fide transfer. There is likely to be a bona fide transfer of property; in such cases, the second proviso meets the ends of justice.

I must mention here that we had occasion to discuss this matter with people who have administered justice, and they felt that an amendment such as this one would really meet not only the ends of justice but also the intention of the legislature.

I would not say more, because many hon. Members have dealt with this point already. But I am sorry that one Member, and that too, a lady Member, took serious objection to this, thinking that we were trying to deprive the widow from exercising her rights.

The purpose of this measure is only limited, namely to provide equal rights to the daughters and the widows also, and where the widow has done something in a bona fide manner, to give adequate protection to that bona fide transfer also.

I find that there is a motion for circulation. This is a Bill which needs to be circulated, so that we can have the views of the Bar Associations and the views of those who have administered justice, and more particularly, from the State from which I come. This matter has been engaging the attention of many of the leading parctitioners there.

So, I submit that at least the motion for circulation of this Bill may be accepted by the House

Shri Narasimhan (Krishnagiri): I request Shri Subbiah Ambalam to accept the amendment suggesting that the Bill may be circulated for eliciting public opinion thereon, and further, I would commend to the Law Minister also the acceptance of that amendment.

Apart from the reasons already stated, I have one more reason, and a somewhat different reason. During the non-official hour, we discuss ever so many things. Many problems are discussed here, cutting across party lines. It is a kind of legislative loud thinking, and this will be further helped, and all our legislations will have a better basis if the non-official day is used for seeing that current problems are examined formally and informally both inside the House and outside the House.

By way of encouraging this kind of methodology also, I request the Law Minister to accept this motion for circulation and thereby set a good example towards his other colleagues in charge of the other Ministries. I had a very bitter experience in the case of a Bill relating to another Ministry, where they declined to enjoy the benefit of such loud thinking.

I hope the Law Minister will accept the motion for circulation, and I appeal to the hon. Mover also accept the amendment to this effect.

राजा महेन्द्र प्रताप (मथुरा): उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मुझे एक छोटी सी म्रजं करनी है। यह मसला धर्म से ताल्लुक रखता है स्रोर हमारी जो पालियामेंट है, यह सैंक्यूलर पालियामेंट है।

13212

मेरे ल्याल में इसका श्राक्तियार नहीं है कि हिन्दू धर्म, सिख धर्म, इस्लाम दीन या किसी और दीन धर्म के बारे में कोई कानुन बनाये।

The Deputy Minister of Law (Shri Hajarnavis): I accept the motion for circulation, which has been moved by some hon. Members, and which, I understand, has been accepted by the hon. Mover.

Without dissociating myself in any manner with what has happened in the case of the other Ministries for which no doubt, good and adequate reasons exist, what Shri Narasimhan has said, strikes, if I may say so, a very sympathetic cord in my heart, namely that the non-official business hour may be employed in examining without reference to party loyalties, the personal laws of the citizens of this country, and that as a result of the cumulative wisdom of this House. we may be able to broaden the freedom which the various under-privileged persons or the under-privileged sex has been able to win so far.

On an earlier occasion, it was given to me, it was my privilege, to accept a similar motion for circulation. I believe that during my tenure, this is probably the fourth or the fifth time that I am accepting a similar motion.

The anxiety of the lady Members of this House about the House undertaking an examination of any legislation which deals with women's right to property is understandable. It was after a long, a very bitter fight that the Hindu women had been able to win rights to property, which were denied to them under, what in opinion was wrong reading of Hindu texts by alien judges. As a result of the efforts of some of the leading jurists in Hindu law, and as a result of the efforts of both male and female reformers of Hindu law, we have been able to achieve a great deal of reform in Hindu law, which we have put on the statute-book. There are many who think, and I am one of them, that we have not gone

far enough, and that probably we may continuously undertake revision of the Hindu law, in order to see whether those rights which have been granted to the Hindu women cannot further be enlarged. But, any reopening of the issue has certain risks, and it is that risk of which the hon. lady Members were apprehensive, namely that once a provision dealing with the rights of the Hindu women to property is brought into controversy, it is quite possible that instead of progressing further, an attempt may be made to curtail the rights.

Now, in this particular Bill, as far as I have been able to see, there is no such attempt at all, and if there were any, I, for one, would certainly very strenuously oppose it.

Two sections are there, which have already been referred to in this House. One is section 8 which reads thus:

"The property of a male Hindu lying intestate shall devolve according to the provisions of this Chapter, firstly upon heirs, being the relatives specified in class I of the Schedule."

When we go to class I of the Schedule, we find that in class I are placed son, daughter and widow, so that, if there is a Hindu who dies without making a will after the Act comes into force, then the son, daughter and widow all inherit simultaneously.

The next section which we must deal with, and to which amendment has been moved now, is section 14, which reads thus:

"Any property possessed by a female Hindu, whether acquired before or after the commencement of this Act shall be held by her as full owner thereof and not as a limited owner."

As a result of this, wherever there was a Hindu woman in possession of property as a limited owner, her rights were enlarged.

Under the Hindu law as it stood, before this Act came into force, daughters had no right whatsoever. If at

[Shri Hajarnavis]

all the daughter had any interest, it was that of a reversion. If a man died leaving a widow and a daughter, then the widow had a limited interest, and the daughter had merely a reversionary interest; and it was after the widow's limited interest came to an end, either by surrender or by her death, that the daughter could inherit the property.

This did not apply to the son, because, if there was a son living, then after the Hindu Women's Right to Property Act came into force, both the son and the mother inherited simultaneously; but the daughter did not inherit at all, so that, if before the Act came into force, the death had taken place, then the daughter was merely an expectant owner or was merely expecting to inherit the property after the death of widow.

Now as a result of section 14, all the rights of the reversioners have disappeared completely including that of the daughter. There is no ambiguity or vagueness in it. It was quite possible at the time section 14 was enacted to move an amendment on the lines of the Bill which has been framed by the hon. Mover. But that was not done. The Hindu law maintained distinction already made between the right of the son and that of the daughter.

It was open to us to lay down a principle that the rights of the daughter would come into existence only after the Act came into force. So far as the daughter as a reversioner was concerned, there was no attempt or desire to differentiate her from the other reversioners at all. If the nephew was living, surely he had to wait till death or surrender. Similar is the case with the daughter.

Therefore, I would not agree with the hon. Mover when he says that there is some kind of lacuna or inconsistency between one part and the other in so far as the framing of the Act is concerned. We may agree with him when he says that the same principle was not applied in the case of son and daughter or that retrospectively the rights of the daughter were not equated with those of the son. But I will not agree with him when he says that this was something which was lost sight of at the time the Hindu Succession Act was framed.

That leads us to the question whether we ought to introduce that principle retrospectively. Now generally speaking-I am not laying it down as an invariable rule-the legislature ought not to undertake any retrospective legislation unless there are compelling reasons to supposethat in using certain words we had departed from the principle which we had already enunciated in the Act itself. If there is anything in the Act to show that in spite of this principle to which we were entirely committed, in choosing our words we had not been able to carry out that principle, we might take recourse to retrospective legislation to make the meaning or the intention of the legislature clear, so that the transactions which the words excluded by accident, or by wrong choice of words might again be brought within the scope of the Act. But I submit the present case does not come within that category. But on this matter Government themselves will suspend judgment till they have been able o elicit the opinion, as my hon, friend, Shri Tangamani said, of Judges who have dealt with these cases, of Bar Associations who have had experience of such cases and so on. Let us see how many cases are actually affected by this. If during the time the Act is in force, the mischief has already been done and transactions have already been entered into, then it would, I submit, be wrong in principle to undertake legislation in order to unsettle a few individual transactions, merely because we now find that the principle which we think ought to have been applied has not been applied in the first instance.

Thirdly—again reverting to what I had mentioned earlier in the House—so far as these Acts are concerned,

I am loath to reopen their provisions. There is something in what American jurists have said, in living with an Act. Let us have the experience of these Acts. Let us see how they work. We have not lived long with this Act. It is only four or five years old. Let the highest courts decide. Our own apprehensions may be alloyed by the pronouncements of the highest courts. We may read the Act in a particular manner. It may be that our first impressions are wrong. After consideration, after the various courts have considered the matter and after the matter has been fully debated in the highest court, if the highest court takes a decision which removes our apprehensions, our legislative effort would have been in vain. It is not that every time that we feel that a certain doubt exists about the interpretation of an Act we should rush to legislation. After all, in making a change in the law that has been administered for four or five years only, it is quite possible that unwittingly we might be making a change which is worse than the present law. Therefore, let us see how the Act works.

Dr. M. S. Aney (Nagpur): May I ask the hon Minister if during these four or five years that the Act has been in force any case has gone up to the Supreme Court and their decision given?

Shri Hajarnavis: As far as I am aware, no court, the High Courts or the Supreme Court, has probably dealt with this matter.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: (Basirhat): The daughter is considered not to have any locus standi even to make the appeal. That is what Shri Tangamani tells me.

Shri N. R. Muniswamy (Vellore): Is there any instance brought to the notice of Government that there has been injustice done to the daughter?

Shri Hajarnavis: No such case has been brought to the notice of Government. As far as I have been able to ascertain, there is no such case at all.

Shri N. R. Muniswamy: So the amendment is premature.

Shri Hajarnavis: These are the various considerations. What the Bill tries to do is to unsettle retrospectively certain transactions, to which, as I said, prima facie, there is a very serious objection. If the law is clear, if there is nothing to show that the law as stated in the statute was not intended to be the law, then I submit we ought to be slow in making retrospective changes. That is the general consideration by which we ought to be guided.

It may be that legislation can be better framed. We could have used a clearer or more felicitous phrase while framing the Act. But as I said, in all these Acts there is a great deal of deliberation and a good deal of compromise. If we think that the Act is a workable Act and is something which the people have found satisfactory and which the courts have been able to interpret, then I submit that it would be nothing but vandalism to try to change it every time some apprehension comes to our mind.

Shri N. R. Muniswamy: Is he not accepting the motion for circulation?

Shri Hajarnavis: These are some of the considerations which will have to be put in the forefront of our mind when we deal with this. But as I said, we will not, at this stage, stifle any further discussion of the Bill. So Government will accept the motion for circulation.

Shri Subbiah Ambalam (Ramanathapuram): I am grateful to the hon Members who have been kind enough to support my amendment to section 14 of the Hindu Succession Act. I am also grateful to some of the Members who have expressed certain misapprehensions regarding the intention of my move. I think that is mainly due to not understanding the real implications of my amendment. My am. endment does not intend to deprive a women of a right in the property which has been vested in her. But it rather tries to enlarge and implement the real object of the main Act of 1956. I am also grateful to the hon. Minister, who has been kind enough to

[Shri Subbiah Ambalam]

accept that there are certain hardships which I have pointed out in my amendment, for having accepted the amendment for circulation of the Bill for eliciting public opinion.

15 hrs.

But, I am unable to understand the argument of the hon. Minister that during the past 5 or 6 years, after this Act had come into force, no cases have been decided by the High Courts or the Supreme Court. I would like to draw his attention to the fact that section 14 is so clear that it gives no room for any interpretation by a High Court or by the Supreme Court. The section is so clear that any property possessed by a female Hindu, whether acquired before or after the commencement of this Act shall be held by her as full owner and not as a limited owner. There is not a single word in this section which requires any interpretation of the court; and the cases that have been decided by the High Courts have been mainly on the interpretation of the word 'possessed'.

To cite an example, I will say this. A widow, as a limited owner, might nave alienated her property and, actually, when this Act came into force, might not have been in physical possession of that property. Cases have been brought to the courts for a decision-to give an interpretation of this word 'possessed'; whether the court would consider the purchaser to be in physical possession or the widow, who, a limited owner, has alienated such property to be in constructive possersion. That has been the nature of the cases that have come up for decision before the High Courts. Therefore I should say that regarding the rights of a widow-as between herself and the daughters or step-daughters, if any-there has been no occasion for the courts to decide such issues.

After I had moved this Bill in this House I have received a lot of representations from people throughout the country, especially the daughters who have been hard hit by this section 14. I will give an example. Suppose a man died in 1951, leaving

properties worth about Rs. 2 lakhs, giving an income of about Rs. 5,000 per year. At the time of death he left 3 daughters and a window. Under the law then prevailing, window inherited the property as a limited owner-in 1951. But, in 1956, by virtue of this section 14, she became the absolute owner, completely depriving any reversionary right of the daughters who are living. daughters, under the existing law, have no right to this property; and this window who is now the absolute owner has got every right to away the property to whomsoever she wants. This is the injustice and hardship that is being caused by this section 14.

Therefore, my submission would be that this is a real lacuna, the intended effect of this section. When this section was drafted and when Bill was discussed in this House in 1955 and 1956, a lot of other issues and other interpretations were raised but not any issue similar to the one which I have brought in by way amendment. I have read the entire speeches of the hon. Members then and the Minister of Legal Affairs then. But attention had not been focussed on this issue by the hon. Members. Therefore, I should that this has never been considered; nor had this been pointed out by any Member. But, after this Act been passed, all those cases which were pending at the time Act was passed were unsuited--suits which had been filled by the reversionary heirs-by virtue of this section. This section is so clear that the limited owner shall absolute owner. The become an courts have no discretion or no chance to give any interpretation of this section. I submit that Government should....

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Does the hon. Member agree to the amendment for circulation

Shri Subbiah Ambalam: Yes, I agree.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: No date been Does he want to fix given here. some date?

Shri Subbiah Ambalam: I should that this Bill may be circulated for eliciting public opinion and the opinions may be received on before the first of August 1961.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: That is right. May I put it to the House then?

Shri Subbiah Ambalam: Yes, Sir. Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is:

"That the Bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting opinion thereon by the 1st August, 1961."

The motion was adopted.

15.08 hrs.

ESSENTIAL COMMODITIES (FIXATION, REGULATION AND CONTROL OF PRICES) BILL

By Shri Narayanankutty Menon

Narayanankutty Menon: (Mukandapuram): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Bill to provide for fixation, regulation and control of the prices of commodities which are essential for the life of the community be taken into consideration."

The Bill, as it is stated briefly in the Statement of Objects and Reasons is intended for introducing statutory sanction for authorising the Government to fix the prices of all essential commodities and also to provide for punishment for violation of the same. The need for the fixation of the prices of at least the essential commodities will not be questioned by anyone, including the spokesmen of Government, because, ever since the Second Five Year Plan was launched, it has been agreed on all sides that the prices of essential commodities also industrial raw materials are shooting very high and that because of the fluctuations of these prices the physical targets of the Second Five

Year Plan itself were, at one time, jeopardised. Therefore, I do not think the principles underlying Bill will come across much opposition from Government. I am not taking the time of the House in going into details and arguing my case for the necessity of introducing such a Bill.

of Prices) Bill

15.10 hrs.

[SHRI JAGANATHO RAO in the Chair]

Sometime back, the hon. Minister of Labour said in this House that between 1939 and 1947 the standard of living of the workers declined by 25 per cent and by 1951 they had just recovered the lost ground and 1955 the real wages had increased by 13 per cent but that since 1956 the prices started rising and their gains had to an extent been wiped out. J am quoting it to lay threadbare before the House the fact that though there has been during 1939-1961 substantial rise in the total monetary emoluments in terms of wages and dearness allowance the real wages remained static because every time the increase in wages to the workers and the middle-class people had systematically been mopped up because of fluctuation in prices of essential commodities. It is a serious problem which has been considered by the Government during the last one two years. It has been stated there is a substantial rise in the national income during the two Plan periods. Now, the Government itstlf has admitted that an enquiry committee will have to be appointed to find out where this increase in the national income has gone. When we look into the question of increase in prices and fluctuation in the structure, it may not be very difficult to find out the actual culprit and the place where a substantial part of this national income is being taken away. Attention was focussed on this matter of prices at the time of strike of the Central Government employees and also for sometime when the Second Pay Commission was considering the whole question. There has been a consistent demand that the [Shri Narayanankutty Menon]

Government should either give increased dearness allowance according to the increase in the cost of living or supply essential commodities fixed prices. The Second Pay Commission considered this question. The employees agreed that they would not press their claim for additional dearness allowance tagged on to the increased cost of living provided the Government was prepared to give them these essential commodities at Commission fixed prices. The Pay found that it was not at all practiccable and the Government told them that it might not be practicable the Government to give even to own employees the essential commodities at fixed prices. The tantamounts to this that whatever might be sermons given by the Govtrnment about the fixity of these prices and about there being no inordinate creases, it remains a fact that prices are fluctuating. The prices of essential commodities especially are going by leaps and bounds. Even since 1939, when the Second World War broke out a transformation begun to appear in the Indian economic scene; the prices of all commodities began to rise because of the impact of war,. No explanation was required at that time because in a war economy, it is based on inflation alone— that is increased monetary circulation alone. When the war ended, in 1946 there was wishful thinking even serious economic circles in the country and the Government that this wartime increased price structure will come down and till about 1951 or 1952, the Government itself was holding the view that normal times would come and the inflationary tendencies would go back. When during the wartime the prices began to increase, the workers naturally wanted more money but that could not be given in terms of wages because it was argued that it was a temporary phenomenon and the prices increased due to war and therefore, there was no point giving any incrase in the basic wage of the workers. Therefore, for the

first time in this country, the conception of dearness allowance was found and the workers began to get concessions for the increased cost living by means of dearness allowance. It was understood that this phenomenon will be over when the war was over and that the inflationary tendencies exhibited in the Indian economy due to war would disappear vry soon. But inspite of this wishful thinking the inflationary tendencies and the increase in prices came during war came to stay ever and that principle was accepted by the Government in appointing the Gadgil Committee to go into question of price increases and cost of living index and fixation of basic wages and dearness allowance That Committee was apstructure. pointed to find out the point which the basic wage had to be fixed and the point from which the dearness allowance was to start. The findings of that committee had got an extra-ordinary significane as far this Bill is concerned in the light of the Indian economic development. It went into the question and recommended firstly that the increase in prices which was a new phenomenon during the war time had come to stay as a part and parcel of the Indian economy for ever and secondly, analysing the quantum of increase in prices the extent this phenomenon would be part and parcel of the Indian economy, the committee reported that at least fifty per cent of the increase at that time in 1952 would be a permanent feature in the Indian economy about the rest fifty per cent it expressed an opinion that in three to five years' time or at the most by the end of the First Five Year Plan, the prices might come down. Therefore, it recommended that half of the dearness allowance should be merged with the basic wage of the Government servant. Even then the Government was not prepared to accept that the increase in price had become a permanent feature of the Indian economy and the Government wanted to continue its

wishful thinking that things would return to prewar level and therefore, instead of accepting the Gadgil Committee report of the merger of per cent dearness allowance in the basic wage as had been recommended by the Committee, the Government wanted to continue its own about the prices and said: not going to merger it with the basic wage but we will find out a new term for it. The new term was: pay. That is to say, fifty per cent of the dearness allowance was dearness pay and fifty per cent was retained as dearness allowance. When the First Plan was over and Second Plan was on the anvil, Indian economy did not show tendency for cutting down the prices nor was it, as Shri Gadgil Committee had stated, showing any sign of the prices coming down by fifty per cent. The fluctuation inevitably led, when then Second Plan was on the anvil, to a further increase in prices. The history of the Second Five Year Plan over the anvil of which we are now standing and reviewing just now has shown the fate of this hope. had been declared in the Draft the Second Plan and there were, consistently, assurances given on the floor of this House that remedial measures would be taken and that the Government would see that the prices were stabilised at a particular point during the Second Plan period. That had been completely betrayed during the whole of the Second Plan period there had been a consistent increase in the price structure of not only the essential commodities but also of industrial raw materials. As a result of this, when we reached the third year of the Second Plan, Government had to admit that the physical targets of the Second Plan been substantially affected because of the increase in prices.

The first point I wish to deal with at this juncture, though not in detail, is this. Some common theories have been enunciated by the Finance Minister time and again on the floor of this House. Firstly, there is the theory

that in a developing economy it is inevitable that there will be fluctuation in prices because the inflationary tendency is part and parcel of a developing economy. Secondly, in a develop-ing economy, because of increased spending and increased money circulation or pumping more money into the market and because of the increase in the capacity of the ordinary man to purchase more and more, it is inevitable that this inflationary dency through the pumping of more and more money in the five year Plans into the developing economy will exhibit itself in terms of an increase in prices of essential commo-Thirdly, the theory has been dities. expounded that it is inevitable in an expanding economy that production cannot be kept up at certain levels and the price structure varies according to the availability of the produced materials in the market. all these theories propounded by the Government are analysed to the core, we find that all of them could be exploded because of the living experience of all of us in this country.

Control of Prices) Bill

Let us examine one theory theirs. Is it true that in this country the prices of essential commodities shoot up every year and come down for sometime and they again shoot up because the prices are governed by these economic laws as propounded by the Government? The categorical answer will be that the prices going up in this country not because they are governed by the economic laws as defined by the Government but they are going up due to different reasons. Take, for example, one year, namely, the year 1960. In the year 1960, it will be admitted by the hon. Minister of Food, there was a good crop. It was not a very year as far as the food production in the country was concerned. Taking an average, it was not bad at all. Along with that, there was the buffer-stock of the new Food Minister, which ran into millions of tons which was available in every part of the country in order to pump to the market whenever the need came. Millions of tons

[Shri Narayanankutty Menon]

of foodstuffs are lying in the godowns in order that the prices could be stabilised by that means. There was also the classification of cereals and also the eating habits of the people in different part of the country. Though rice used to be a weak point in the Food Ministry, the production target of last year was good and the attainment was also good.

Secondly, that stock has been supplemented by 30,000 tons of rice by the Government's barter deal with Burma. Therefore, one thing is admitted; that there was absolutely no shortage in the availability of rice, compared to the previous year, 1960. Take also the cost of living index in 1960. Compared to the cost of living index in 1959,—I am taking the consumer cost of living index—the index in 1960 has risen up to 6.4 per cent.

Take, for example, sugar. The Indian sugar has become a mystery! Sometimes on the floor of the House, one fine morning, it would be that there are surplus stocks of sugar in the country and that it is found impossible to send them away, or at least a part of those stocks to be sent away. The next morning, you will find that there is a deficit stock of sugar and that there is scarcity of sugar throughout the country! But, in the last analysis, you will find that sugar production in the country has gone up and is of a pace and pattern that has not been affected seriously anywhere during all these years. every year a crisis of sugar comes in, this month or next month, and you will find that in 1959 there was a scandalous shortage of sugar throughout the country, which started from Uttar Pradesh, where the maximum quantity of sugar is produced, and was felt right down in the southern States where the production of sugar is comparatively less. This House is yet to receive an answer from the Food Minister as to the causes of the 1959 sugar crisis, because one Food Minister gives one answer and another Minister gives another answer!

But a reasonable answer—an answer which may be squared up and made reasonable—to the effect that there was such and such production due to the availability of stock and availability of transport, etc., has not yet been given to us, by anyone of the Ministers. Therefore, that also exhibits a mysterious phenomenon, whereby none of the economic laws is seen to be relevant as far as the rise in prices is concerned.

In any economy, capitalist economy or socialist economy, the price structure should have some relation to the cost of the raw material and the overall cost of production including the cost of labour. In none of these commodities, including rice, sugar, kerosene oil, which is imported, and such other commodities, has there any reasonable relationship among the different elements constituting the price. If you analyse and try to find out if there is any reasonable relationship between the cost of the material which constitutes the production of sugar, the cost of wages given by the sugar millowners to the workers, and the total overall cost of production, you will find that there is absolutely no relation or no reasonable relation between any of these elements and the price structure sugar that is prevalent in the market, in spite of the fact that the Deputy Minister may point out, namely, that the Tariff Commission gone into this question. On paper, sugar is to be sold at 50 nP. per lb. That is the price fixed by the Tariff Commission after going into all questions, such as the cost of labour, the cost of the cane, the marginal profit that has to be given to middlemen and also the price that has been fixed for the cane. throughout 1959, in no place in this country was sugar available at 50 nP. per lb. In Kerala State, for months continuously, one had to pay Re. 1-4-0 per lb. and the average price was always Re. 1-2-0 per In Delhi also the situation then was not different. In all parts of the country

Regulation and Control
of Prices) Bill

including Uttar Pradesh and Bihar which grow the major quantity sugar in the whole country, the price had gone up to Rs. 1-1-0 or Rs. 1-2-0 per lb. Is there any relation between the cost of sugar and the total cost of manufacture on the part of the millowners including six per marginal return plus 3½ per cent. marginal return for the millowners for the capital invested and including also the reserves? The result of all this is just the price of Rs. 1-2-0 which is far above the Tariff Commission's rate of eight annas. fixed eight annas as a reasonable price which is all-inclusive. Taking into account the overhead charges, ten annas was taken to be the ultimate cost to the consumer. price itself has not got any reasonable relationship to the components of a price structure. I have just given one example by quoting sugar.

Essential

If you take all the essential commodities, it is impossible for anyone to find out the variations in the price structure and the reasons for fluctuations in the price structure including the increase in the price struc-There is something ture. with that, and it is still found out as to who is responsible for this and where all this money going. Indeed, it is not difficult find out at all, when the price structure is analysed and when the price has not been equally shared by the components which I have already pointed out before this House. tually, the difference is paid to the trader; it is so obvious that this whole surplus has been taken away by the middlemen who are the really exploiting classes in this country. In every case, that is the experience in this price structure. All these fluctuations in prices are artificially made. Take for example the textiles. When there is absolutely no change in the production and the variety of cloth even in a particular year, there is an artificial crisis coming up in the textiles production and the supply in the market and the prices of textiles shoot up very high. Afterwards there is a surplus in the godowns,

and by the time the price has been taken away from the consumer by the millowner and the middlemen, the artificial scarcity stops! In the year when these data relating to the production of textiles have been published, there is abosolutely no deficiency in the total production and there was no difference even regard to the pattern of textiles compared to the previous year.

This leads to one conclusion alone; in India, at this time, in regard to the rise in prices which has become phenomenon every month here, there is no reasonable relationship of the rise in prices to the economic development in this country and the economic situation in this country. has got only one relationship and that is the quantum of exploitation by the middlemen of the consuming class also, the ordinary people of this country. Therefore, it is no use giving excuses in economic terms-that in an expanding or a developing economy, prices are bound to go up when money is pumped out of the market. True, when more money is pumped out of the market, there is an inflationary tendency, but to that extent, production should not have increased. In a case where there surplus stock as in sugar or textiles, even when more money is pumped out, and if enough stocks are available within the consumption rates, certainly the prices cannot go up at all. In India, it is not at all a case of deficiency in stock, because the statistics given by the Central Statistical Organisation, according to itself, are thoroughly unreliable because it lacks scientific basis and they do not go into the economic basis of We find that acthese calculations. cording to Government's report, the working cost of living index has increased by 0.4 this month from last month, whereas if you go to market you will have to pay Rs. 1-2-0 for sugar instead of 8 annas month; one yard of cloth costs annas more this month than last month and rice costs exactly double the price last month. Yet, the gazette-

Commodities (Fixation, Regulation and Control of Prices) Bill

[Shri Narayanankutty Menon] shows only an increase of 0.4 in the cost of living index.

Real experience shows that either there is something wrong with statistics prepared or there is something wrong with your own understanding. The latter cannot be correct, because that is the experience of all the people in this country. Therefore, the real truth is the entire surplus money fleeced out of pockets of the ordinary people of this country does not go into the exchequer-even a part of it-as part and parcel of the resources for the Plan, but this entire money floated racketeering, by means of fieecing out of the pockets of the ordinary man, by creating artificial shortages artificial price increases, goes to the private pockets in this country. is why, some way will have to found out so that this exploitation by the middleman of the ordinary people in this country will have to be stopped and some sort of measure, on the basis of this Bill, will have to be introduced at this stage. Otherwise, not only is it a serious danger to the economy of this country, also to the people of this country.

Government have said many times before that they are finding out some methods for stalling these prices. Yesterday the Finance Minister said that the people also should co-operate with the Government that the seeing prices stabilised and they should organise themselves. He was making fun of our colleague in the Rajya Sabha, Shri Bhupesh Gupta, when he said that he interpreted his own sermon as meaning that the people should take the law into their own hands. What he said was, organise yourselves into co-operatives, boycott the shops and by that means try to enforce the fixation of prices.

I will tell the House a story to show how Government are behaving so far as prices are concerned. What excise duty on kerosene oil is increased, the hon. Minister says it is by a very small per cent. He began to calculate on the basis of the data supplied by the Central Statistical Organisation and his own staff in the Ministry of Finance and he said that because of the additional excise duty, the total increase in the consumers' index will be 0.46 per cent. That is his calculation. I will place before the House a classical example as to how calculations are translated in reality when things are sold out.

The foreign oil companies making so much profit and it is agreed that profits are to be cut down. Ultimately. Government decided to import kerosene oil from Soviet Union, because the prices were competitive. But when actually kerosene oil was imported, I do not know whether any hon. Member of this House knows that when actually competition started in the market, it was not competition, but it was competition The Indian Oil on the reverse. Company imported kerosene oil. is selling kerosene oil in Bombay of a little superior variety than what is sold by the oil companies theoreticaly. The selling mechanism that Government found out is a co-operative society in which about 90 per cent. of the shareholders are pure Congress-The wholesale selling rights of the Indian Oil Company for kerosene oil have been given to that co-The Indian operative society. Company gives kerosene oil at 99 nP. per gallon to the co-operativee society. That co-operative society is selling that kerosene oil, which is bought at 99 nP plus expenses of distribution, at Rs. 1.25 to the consumers. though the co-operative society styled as a consumers' co-operative society, the members are the kerosene dealers of the City of Bombay.

The result is, when Russian kerosene oil is brought by the hon. Ministed to find a competitive market with the foreign oil companies, the foreign oil companies sell kerosene at Rs. 1.19 per gallon in the City of

Bombay while the Indian Oil Company's competitive price is Rs. 1:25! According to the Finance Minister, he will calculate the working class index taking the price as Rs. 1:19, because the foreign oil companies are selling it at this price. I gave this illustration, because the Indian Oil Company gives it at 99 nP. to the so-called cooperative society, which sells it at Rs. 1.25; i.e. at 26 nP per gallon more Out of that, not one naya paisa goes to the actual expenses, because Indian Oil Company foots the entire cost of distribution and the increase in price while the kerosene oil is to be delivered at the house-doors. This example shows that when prices are increased, the increase goes down to the pockets of middle-exploiting class in the country, unless that checked by means of a legislation to prevent the increase in the prices as a whole.

I will just put before the House the nature of the increase in prices. One cannot just control the prices by means of the banking credit system. Attempts have been made by the Government during the Second Plan period almost even to throttle banking credit system to see whether prices will come down. The Government have failed, because even though repeated attempts were made by the Reserve Bank and the Government to curb the credit system in order to see whether price increase could be prevented by that, it has been admitted by the Reserve Bank that this cannot be prevented at all by means of controlling the credit system country. All available steps taken by Government have met with miserable failure. Now ultimately what happens is, the exploiting class between which in no way contributes either to the national wealth or the national development is taking away the surplus money as middlemen, which jeopardises the entire Plan and the economic development of this country.

One most important danger in this is that the Government itself em-354 (Ai) LS—5.

Control of Prices) Bill ployees 25 lakhs of people. What these employees go to the Government and put a straight question. " You say the prices are controlled. Either give us food materials and essential commodities at the prices at which you say they are available, in the market through your own shops or you give increased dearness allowance target on to the increased cost of living index", by means of ordinance, the strike has been crippled by Government. But there is this discontent that is all-pervasive in the minds of all Government servants. which permeates into their families and the society as a whole, and Government will not be able to prevent it by a thousand ordnances, because this is a question of life and death of each Government servant and the society of which he is a part.

Secondly, because of this increase alone, the Government calculates the financial target of the Third Five Year Plan on a particular basis, but beyond the control of the Government, without the Government having anything to say in the matter, prices jump up during the Third Five Year Plan. Automatically, therefore, the physical targets are immediately cut down because of increase in prices. Because of these dangers inherent in that, and because of the Government's own policies of not implementing the even declared policies of State trading in foodgrains, it is absolutely necessary that Government should acceed measure on the principle that has been incorporated in this Bill.

Sir, before concluding, I shall place before this House one thing, that in this question no political consideration should come in, because political considerations or even economic theories, it is believed, by either the right or the left, have absolutely no place in this case. It has been unanimously accepted by the Planning Commission that the dangerous trend in the fluctuation of prices in this country will inevitably jeopardise the economic develoment of the country.

13233

[Shri Narayanankutty Menon]

and therefore some sort of via media should be found out by this and the Government to see that the prices are stabilised at some place or the other.

But the difficulty comes in, Sir, that the whole approach of the Government in this has been completely disappointing. On one side, when government servants asked for crease in dearness allowance when the Government did not give they said that unless the Government gave them dearness allowance tagged on to the cost of living index they would go on strike, the Government did not have any hesitation in bringing out an Ordinance completely banning the strikes. There the fundamental right automatically is affected. But now, when a Bill saying that man who sells an essential commodity at exhorbitant prices at the cost of the community should be imprisoned by means of a law passed by House, then the Finance Minister says, as he said yesterday, that the process of democracy is very very long but the ultimate result is very very sweet. Sir, the process of democracy has no fundamental rights, no rights of human beings, and all this slow process is applicable, according to the Finance Minister and the Government, to one class, and that is the exploiting class, whereas the fundamental rights as enunciated in the first part of article 19 of the Constitution and as they apply to the working classes and the toiling people of this country can be taken away by one stroke of the Presidenial pen, by mean of an Ordinance. The slow process of democracy is absent in that sector. The slow process of democracy is absent only in this part.

Therefore, I appeal to the Government that this is a serious problem in which so much of danger is inherent, and a solution should be found out by the Government in order that at least during the Third Plan period the prices of at least the essential commodities will be stabilised. And, the

method of stabilisation is by bringing out a legislation of this kind, whereby deterrent punishment should be prescribed for all those who sell essential commodities at prices higher than those that have been fixed.

of Prices) Bill

Sir, I move the Bill for consideration.

Mr. Chairman: Motion moved:

"That the Bill to provide for fixation, regulation and control of the prices of commodities which are essential for the life of the community be taken into consideration."

The time allotted is 1½ hours. hon Mover has taken nearly 40 minutes. May I know how much time the hon. Minister will take?

The Deputy Minister of Food (Shri A. M. Thomas): Agriculture About 20 minutes.

Mr. Chairman: May I know how many hon. Members would like take part in this debate?

Some Hon. Members- rose

Mr. Chairman: I find that four hon-Members would like to speak on this Bill. Each hon. Member may confine his remarks to 8 or 10 minutes. Raja Mahendra Pratap.

Raja Mahendra Pratap (Mathura): Sir, I have only to say a few words. It appears to me that the Bill is some such a Bill that it will be asked tomorrow of the Government to pass a Bill saying that no one will catch cold. There are certain laws which govern the prices of commodities. have travelled five times round the world. I never saw in any country any such law which will govern the prices. Yes, in case of war it was, of course, ordered that a certain commodity will be sold at a certain price. But in what circumstances was

(SAKA) Commodities (Fixation, Regulation and Control of Prices) Bill

done? When the Government controlled all that was produced, they fixed the prices. Are we now in a situation? Are we to regulate the prices or are we to give freedom to the people to buy and sell as like? I believe, Sir, that prices cannot be fixed, because prices are governed by the law of production and use by the people. What I mean to say is, if production is in very great quantity and people do not want as much as is produced, the prices wil naturally be very low. If, on other hand, people need more and the commodities are not in enough quantitles, then the prices will go up. It is very simple and very clear.

Under the present circumstances obtaining here, I see that too many notes are printed and salaries are raised. When salaries are raised a great deal, supposing I get Rs. 2.000 per month and my servant comes and tells me that wheat has become very dear, I will tell him to go and buy wheat whatever the price is because I have enough money. Therefore, when there is not enough supply in the market and people have got enough money to buy naturally the prices will go up.

I only beg to say that this Bill is entirely useless. It also robs people of freedom in their daily life.

I can also refer to one other point. I know Shri Menon is a Communist. I may say something about Soviet Russia. I was in Soviet Russia.

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: When?

Raja Mahendra Pratap: Long ago.

Shri Braj Raj Singh (Firozabad): When he was not born.

Raja Mahendra Pratap: Yes, perhaps when he was not born. There the Government had fixed the prices. When I went to the market there to get some eggs. I got them at prices higher than the prices fixed by the Government. Russian women came to

the market with baskets full of eggs. When I told them that I wanted some eggs, they said that I could get any amount of eggs and I bought for one rouble one egg.

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: That is very cheap.

Raja Mahendra Pratap: Then some policemen came. When those women saw the policemen they ran away. They were afraid of policemen. Now, such things go on.

Then, as regards roubles—that is also my experience-in Soviet Russia. though it was fixed that roubles would be sold at a certain fixed price but in the market there were lot of roubles. So it happened that with very little money in English pounds or American dollars one could lead a high life in With two English Soviet Russia. pounds in my pocket I could buy first class ticket for what you "air-conditioned", there they called—"pullman car" from a place in Siberia to Moscow,. The price was about 500 roubles. I only mean to point out that you cannot control the prices as you suggest in the Bill. you like that people will not have to bother about the rise in prices, then the only way is, as I suggested, that salaries may be given in commodities.

Shri Naravanankutty Menon: That is what I said.

Raja Mahendra Pratav. That is possible. I presented to Comrade Lenin a plan that instead of having your notes, promising to pay so much silver or gold for these pieces of note, promise that they will get one ton of coal, or so many pounds of wheat, or so many pounds of rice. That yau can do. But when you are printing the notes and when, for instance, they are free to produce as they like, you cannot fix the prices. That is all what I would like to say.

13237

श्री क्रजराज सिंह : सभापित महोदय, मैं इस विधेयक की श्रात्मा का स्वागत करता हूं।

पंचवर्षीय योजना के फल जोनिकल रहे हैं उनका बटवारा इस प्रकार ग्राम जनता में होना चाहिए कि जनता का कोई वर्ग उनसे वंचित न रह जाए । जिन वस्तुन्त्रों के बारे में श्री मेनन के बिल में व्यवस्था की गई है वे सब वस्तूएं भ्राम जनता की भ्रावश्यकता की वस्तुएं हैं भ्रौर जब जिन्दगी की जरूरतों का प्रश्न उठता है तो हमें सोचना पड़ेगा कि ग्रगर हम किसी के वेतन को लगातार बढ़ाते जाएं तो उससे काम चलने वाला नहीं है। इसके लिए जरूरी है कि भ्राप वस्तुग्रों के दामों को किसी स्तर पर स्थिर करें। भीर जब वस्तुभ्रों के दाम को किसी स्तर पर स्थिर करने का प्रश्न उठता है तो उसी के साथ यह प्रश्न भी उठ भ्राता है कि वस्तुभ्रों के उत्पादन का जो व्यय है उसमें उपभोक्ता तक पहुंचने में कितनी वृद्धि तक होने दी जा सकती है। इस सम्बन्ध में एक उसूल को माना जाना चाहिए । भ्रौर वह उसूल यह है कि किसी चीज का जितना उत्पादन व्यय है उसका ड्योढ़े से ज्यादा कभी भी उपभोक्ता से वसूल नहीं किया जाना चाहिए भ्रौर जो यह ५० परसेंट है इसी में उत्पादक और बीच के ब्रादमी का मुनाफा शामिल होना चाहिए । भ्रौर उप-भोक्ता को उत्पादन व्यय की ड्योढ़ी कीमत से ज्यादा न देनी पड़े। श्राप चीनी को लीजिए। मोटे तौर से चीनी का दाम कारखाने में भ्राठ भ्राने सेर पड़ता है। उस पर राज्य सरकार भ्रौर केन्द्रीय सरकार का मिलाकर करीब १३ रुपये ५ भ्राने प्रति मन टैक्स पड जाता है। इस पर मिल का मुनाफा १२ परसेंट टैरिफ कमीशन ने तै कर दिया है। यह सब मिलाकर जितना उत्पादन व्यय होता है उससे करीब दूनी कीमत हो जाती है। मैं कहना चाहता हं कि केवल यही प्रश्न नहीं उठता है कि यदि कोई व्यापारी नाजायज मनाफा उठाता है तो उसको रोका जाए, इसके साथ ही यह प्रश्न भी है कि सरकार को भी जनता की जरूरत की वस्तुओं पर मनमाने ढंग से टैक्स लगाने का अधिकार नहीं होना चाहिए। सरकार को भी इतना टैक्स लगाने की छूट नहीं होनी चाहिए जितना कि उसने चीनी पर लगा दिया है।

इसी तरह कैरोसीन श्राइल है जो कि श्राम जनता की श्रावश्यकता की एक वस्तु है। यह हिन्दुस्तान में श्राता है उससे कितने गुने ज्यादा पर बेचा जाता है इसका हिसाब लगाने का कभी प्रयत्न नहीं किया गया। इसलिए मेरा निवदन है कि श्रगर सरकार चाहती है कि पंचवर्षीय योजनाश्रों के परिणामों को श्राम जनता तक पहुंचाया जाए तो उसे यह सिद्धान्त तै करना होगा कि किसी चीज का मूल्य उपभोक्ता तक पहुंचते पहुंचते उत्पादन व्यय के ड्योढ़े से ज्यादा न होने पाए।

इसी संदर्भ में एक यह बात भी याद रखने की है कि जो वस्तुएं कारखानों से निकलती ह उनके बारे में तो यह तै कर दिया जाता है कि इनका उत्पादन व्यय कितना है, लेकिन जो वस्तूएं खेतों में पैदा होती हैं स्रौर जिनको किसान पैदा करते हैं उनके बारे में यह जानने का प्रयत्न नहीं किया जाता कि उनका उत्पादन व्यय क्या है। ग्रब प्रश्न यह नहीं हैं कि किसान की पैदा की हुई चीजों का दाम ज्यादा न बढ़ने पावे, बल्किक्छ दिशों में यह प्रतन ग्रापके समने ग्राएगा कि किस प्रकार खेतीकी उपज की कीमत िथर रखी जाए और एक खास स्तर से वह गिरने न पावे। यदि हमने ऐसा नहीं किया तो उसका नतीजा यह होगा कि जब किसान की फसल ग्राएगी तो उस समय उसकी कीमत गिरादी जाएगी भ्रौर जब उसके हाथ से फस्ल निकल जाएगीतो उसकी कीमत बढ़ा दी जाएगी । हिन्दुस्तान का पिछले डेढ़ सौ साल का इतिहास इस बात का साक्षी है कि जब किसान की फसल बाजार में स्राती है तो उसका दाम गिरा दिया जाता है भौर जब उसके हाथ से फसल निकल

13240

जाती है तो उसका दाम बढ़ा दिया जाता है। म्राप देखें कि तीन साल पहले फस्ल पर गेहं का दाम १४ रुपए मन था लेकिन बाद में उसका दाम २० रुपए, २५ रुपए, ३० रुपए ग्रीर कहीं कहीं तो ३४ रुपये मन तक हो गया यानी दूने से भी ज्यादा बढ़ गया। यह मुनाफा कहां जाता है : न तो इस दाम बढ़ने से उत्पादक को फायदा होता है श्रीर न उपभोक्ता को लाभ पहुंचता है। इस सारे फायदे को बीच के लोग ग्रपने पास रखते हैं। इसलिए म्रगर उत्पादक के हितों की रक्षा करनी है तो ग्रापको यह देखना होगा कि जिस चीज को वह पैदा करता है उसको उसके उत्पादन में क्या खर्च पड़ता है। स्रापको उसके कृषि उत्पादन का दाम निश्चित करना चाहिए ग्रौर साथ ही यह निश्चित कर देना चाहिए कि उपभोक्ता तक पहुंचने में सउसके दामों में १६ परसेंट से अधिक वृद्धि न होने पावे। श्रगर इससे ज्यादा दाम बढ़ता है तो उससे उपभोक्ता को नुक्सान होता है साथ ही उत्पादक को कोई लाभ नहीं होता । वह मुनाफा बीच वाले के हाथ ग्राता है।

श्राज क्या हो रहा है ? जब बैसाख में किसान की फसल बाजार में श्राती है तो, जैसा कि श्राप श्राजकल देख ं है हैं, उसका दाम गिरता चला जाता है श्रौर चूंकि गल्ले का दाम कम हो गया है इसका परिणाम यह होगा कि उसकी बिश्री से किसान को जो पैसा मिलेगा उससे वह श्रपनी जरूरत की चीजें नहीं खरीद सकेगा श्रौर उसको कठिनाई होगी।

मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि यदि हमको इस बिल की भावना का ग्रादर करना है— श्रौर जैसा मैंने कहा मैं इसकी ग्रत्मा का स्वागत करता हं—तो हमको ग्राम जनता की ग्रावश्यकता की चीजों का दाम निश्चित करना पड़ेगा इस तरह से कि उत्पादक को भी नुकसान न हो ग्रौर उपभोक्ता को भी उचित मूल्य पर चीज सुलभ हो जाए। हिन्दुस्तान में जो चीजें कारखानों में बनती हैं

उनके लिए तो यह निश्चित कर दिया जाता है कि यह चीज इतने दाम पर बिकेगी लेकिन जिस चीज को हिन्दुस्तान के ७० फीसदी लोग पैदा करते हैं, उनके माल के उत्पादन व्यय को तै करने के लिए सरकार ने कोई मिशनरी नहीं बनाई है। इसीलिए हमारे देश में यह कहावत चली ग्रा रही है कि "भाव भवन्ता भ्रोर वर्षा इन पर भगवान का भ्रधिकार है, मनुष्य का भ्रधिकार नहीं है।" यानी भाव पर, भविष्य पर ग्रौर वर्षा पर मनुष्य का ग्रधिकार नहीं है। लेकिन मैं कहना चाहुंगा कि इन तीनों चीजों पर भगवान का नहीं बल्कि मनुष्य का भ्रधिकार है। भाव तो हम तै करते ही हैं भीर भविष्य के बारे में भी हम तै करते हैं। वर्षा के बारे में, रूस ने तो यह ग्रिधिकार ग्रपने हाथ में कर लिया है कि कहां वर्षा हो भ्रौर कहां न हो। तो मेरा निवेदन है कि जब तक किसान की पैदावार का उत्पादन व्यय तै नहीं किया जाता तब तक किसान का शोषण होता रहेगा।

16 hrs.

हमने पिछले दिनों हिसाब लगाया था। यदि यह मान लिया जाए कि फस्ल पर गेहं का भाव १५ रुपया मन रहे भ्रौर बाद में उसका भाव २० या २२ रुपये मन तक हो जाए, ग्रौर ग्रगर यह भी मान लिया जाए कि किसान भ्रपने उत्पादन का भ्राधा भाग ही बाजार में लाता है भ्रौर ग्राधा स्वयं इस्तेमाल कर लेता है, तो बीच वालों को ५०० करोड़ रुपये का साजाना मुनाफा होगा ।मेरा निवेदन है कि इस५०० करोड़ रुपए को बचाने केलिए सरकार को प्रयत्न करना चाहिए । मेरा निवेदन है कि चाहे इसके लिए कोई खास कानून बने या न बने लेकिन कोई ऐसा तरीका ग्रस्तियार किया जाना चाहिए जिससे उत्पादक भ्रौर उपभोक्ता दोनों के हितों की रक्षा की जा सके । श्रीर यह करना कोई ज्यादा कठिन नहीं है । ग्राज देश में करीब २०० ऐसे व्यापारी हैं जो फस्ल के समय सस्ते भाव पर गल्ला इकट्रा कर लेते हैं भीर जब फस्ल कर

[श्री बजराज सिंह]

समय निकल जाता है तो उसको इयोढ़े भीर दूने दाम पर बेचते हैं। सरकार को ऐसा प्रयत्न करना चाहिए कि न तो उत्पादक को हानि हो भीर न उपभोक्ता को हानि हो। इसके लिए मेरा यह निवेदन है कि जहां तक खेत की फस्ल का सवाल है सरकार को यह सिद्धान्त तै कर देना चाहिए कि फसल पर जो भाव होता है उसके बाद के भाव में १६ प्रतिशत से ज्यादा का ग्रन्तर नहीं होना चाहिए । जो कारखाने की पैदा की हुई चीज है उसमें सरकारी टैक्सों को शामिल करते हुए जो पैदा करने वाला है उसके मुनाफे को शामिल करते हुए जो रिटेलर है खदरा व्यापारी है, उसके मुनाफे को शामिल करते हुए किसी सुरत में भी ५० फी सदी से ज्यादा कीमत न बढ़े। जब हम इस सिद्धान्त का प्रतिपादन करेंगे तभी हम हिन्दुस्तान में उत्पादकों भौर उपभोक्ताओं दोनों के हितो की रक्षा कर सकते हैं। मैं समझता हं कि यह बिल इस ग्रोर एक कदम है। सरकार इस बिल को स्वीकार करें या न करें लेकिः इस सिद्धान्त को माग लेना चाहिए कि ग्रगर हमें पंचवर्षीय योजना को सफल बनाना है भगर हमें उस के हितों की रक्षा करनी है तो इसके सिवाय कोई चारा नहीं है कि भावश्यक वस्तुम्रों के दाम इस तरीके से नियत कर दें जिससे न तो उत्पादकों के हितों को हानि हो भौर न उपभोक्ताम्रों के हितों को हानि पहुंचे । इसलिए मैं चाहता हूं कि सरकार यह सिद्धान्त मान ले कि उपभोक्ताओं को ४० फी सदी से ज्यादा दाम न देने पडते इसी तरह गल्ले के बारे में भी यह सिद्धान्त मान लिया जाय कि एक फसल से दूसरी फसल के झाने तक १ म्राने से ज्यादा दाम गल्ले के न बढने पायें। ग्रब गल्ले की मिनिमम कीमत क्या हो इसको नियत करते समय यह जरूर ध्यान में रखना चाहिए कि कहीं ऐसा न हो कि किसान जो ज्यादा पैदावार करना चाहता है उसकी उसे ज्यादा शीर, मुनासिब कीमत न मिले।

🖦 चौ० रणवीर सिंह (रोहतक) : सभा-पति महोदय

of Prices) Bill

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: English please as the hon. Minister does not understand Hindi.

Ch. Ranbir Singh: I am more interested in my electorate.

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: Let us also have the pleasure of understanding your speech.

चौ० रणबीर सिंह: सभापति महोदय, मैं समझता हूं कि कई दोस्तों श्रीर खास तौर पर इस विधेयक के प्रस्तावक महोदय ऐसा मानते हैं कि इस देश के प्रन्दर जो वर्किंग फोर्स है, काम करने वाले भाइयों की तादाद जो है वह २ करोड़ के करीब है हालांकि इस देश के ग्रन्दर जो काम करने वाले भाई हैं उनकी तादाद ग्रन्दाजन १६ करोड़ के करीब होगी । कुछ भाई फैक्टरियों में काम करते हैं, सरकारी नौकरियां करते हैं स्रौर कूछ भाई खेतों में काम करते हैं । ग्रब चाहे वह खेतिहर मजदूर के रूप में काम करते हों ग्रथवा छोटी छोटी जमीन के मालिक हों, उन्हें ग्राप किसान कहिए, या भूमिधर किए, कूछ भी कहिए, वह लोग हैं।

मैंने प्रस्तावक महोदय के विधेयक को ध्यान से पढ़ा है भ्रौर मैं इससे इंकार नहीं करता कि उनकी दलीलों श्रौर खयालातों में कूछ वजन हो सकता है भ्रौर चीजों के भाव नहीं बढ़ने चाहिएं लेकिन भ्राखिर उसका कोई म्रन्दाजा भी तो होना चाहिए कि किस ग्रन्दाज से. किन के वास्ते ग्रीर देश के कितने हिस्से के लिए मेरे माननीय मित्र कोई कायदा ग्रथवा कानुन बनाना चाहते हैं। ग्रगर वह सिर्फ दो करोड़ के नुक्ते निगाह से इस देश के ग्रन्दर कोई कायदा श्रीर कानून बनाना चाहते हैं तो वह देश का कानून तो हो नहीं सकता है। वह तो कुछ दो करोड़ भाइयों के लिए कानून हो सकता है। मैं समझता हूं कि यह जो हमारे सोचने का तरीका रहा है उससे कई दफे इस देश को नुक्सान हुन्ना है भीर देश को काफी घाटा हुन्ना है ।

सभापति महोदय, ग्राप जानते हैं कि जैसाकि प्रस्तावक महोदय चाहते हैं इस देश ने उपभोक्ताओं को सस्ता ग्रनाज सुलभ करने के लिए काफी रुपया खर्चा है ग्रीर काफी रुपया इस देश ने घाटे के तौर पर बर्दास्त किया है।

सन् १६४६ से लेकर सन् १६६० वह कोई २६८ कोड़ के बैंता है, ग्रंदाजन उसे ३०० कोड़ रुपया कहा जा सकता है। ३०० करोड़ रुपया इस देश के ग्रन्दर इस बात के लिए खर्च हुग्रा है कि देशवासी सस्ता गेहूं ग्रीर चावल खायें।

ग्रभी प्रस्तावक महोदय ने वित्त मंत्री महोदय का जिक्र किया था भ्रीर उनकी उस तकरीर का भी जिक्र किया था जो कि उन्होंने राज्य सभा में उनके साथियों की तकीों के जवाब में कहा था। कल भी उन्होंने इस सदन में कहा था भीर मैं समझता हं कि भ्राज भी उन्होंने उसी चीज का कोशिश की कि वित्त मंत्री महोदय की तकरीर के बारे में भ्रपने तरीक ेकार के हिसाब से भंदाजा लगाये । मैं भ्रपने दोस्त को बतलाना चाहता हं कि यह गांधियन तरीका नहीं है कि कोई ब्रादमी सत्याग्रह करें श्रीर दुकान के उक्तपर जाकर झगडा करें। चीजों के दाम जरूरत से ज्यादा न बढने पायें इसका **तरीका** बिल्कुल भ्रासान है कि उपभोक्ता उस चीज को इस्तेमाल करने से इंकार कर वै । म्रब म्रगर चीनी की कीमत ज्यादा है तो उपभोक्ता को चाहिए कि वह चीनी खाना वन्द कर दें। चीनी बगैंर खाये कौन भादमी मर जाता है। मैं तो समझता हूं कि उपभोक्ता यदि पांच दिन भी चीनी लेने से इन्कार कर दे तो दुकानदार मजबूर हो जायेगा कि वह चीनी महंगी न बेचे। भ्रब मेरी राय में इसके कोई सोसाइटी बनाने या किसी किस्म का सत्याग्रह वगैंरह करने की जरूरत नहीं है। मैं तो समझत। हूं कि ग्रगर उपभोक्त। लोग जैंसा मैंने मुझाव दिया कि वह मंहगी होती जाने वाली चीज का इस्तेमाल बन्द कर दें, ग्रगर इस अंग से सोचना शुरू कर दे तो चाहे वह ग्रनाज का व्यापारी हो चाहे वह चीनी का व्यापाी हो वह सही रास्ते पर लाये जा सकते हैं।

मुझे मालूम है कि फूड एंड एग्रीकल्चर मिनिस्ट्री ने काफी ेपया इस बात के प्रचार के लिए खर्च किया है भीर इस बात ी बहुत कोशिश की जा रही है कि लोग भ्रपनी ईटिंग हैबिट्स यानी खाने की भादतें बदलें भर्यात् चावल खाने वाले इलाकों के लोग चावल के साथ साथ गेहं खाने की भी भादत डालें, चावल के साथ में गें की रोटी भी खाना सीखें, निरा चावल ही चावल न खायें। इसमें देश का भला है। म्रब उपभोक्ता लोग काफी समझदार लोग हैं घौर वह इस मंशा को भ्रच्छी तरह से समझ सकते हैं लेकिन वह समझने से इंकार करते हैं। ग्रब यह इस देश की बदकिस्मती है कि उपभोक्ता हालांकि काफी पढे लिखे हैं भीर काफी देश का रुपया उनकी पढाई लिखाई पर खर्च हुम्रा है लेकिन उपभोक्ता लोग देश के हित की बात नहीं सोचते । उपभोक्ता केवल भ्रपने स्वार्थ की ही बात सोचता है। ग्रब उसके मुंह में गेहूं लगा है, उसे गेहूं का स्वाद है तो वह गेहूं नहीं छोड़ सकता भीर वह गेहं के बदले में ज्वार ग्रीर बाजरे की रोटी खान, नहीं च,हता । इसी तरह से जो चीनी का उपभोक्ता है भौर जिसके मूह चीनी लगी है, जिसे चीनी का स्वाद है वह उस चीनी को नहीं छोड़ना चाहता । वह गुड़ खाने को तैंयार नहीं है, वह शक्कर **बान को तैयार नहीं है**। वह तो बस सफेद चीनी ही खाते रहना चाहता है। यही नहीं भापको याद होगा कि भभी कुछ दिन पहले यहीं सदन में इस बात के लिए बहस हुई थी कि वनस्पति तेल का जमाया जाना बन्द कर दिया जाय । ग्रब दरग्रसल

[ची॰ रणवीर सिंह]

देखा जाय तो जमे हुए तेल में भ्रौर वैसे ताजे तेल में कोई फर्क नहीं है। सिर्फ एक सफेद रंग का फर्क है भीर जिसके कि लिए करोड़ों रुपये उपभोक्ता देते हैं। जहां तक स्वाद का सम्बन्ध है साधारण बगैंर जमे हुए तेल श्रीर जमाए हुए तेल के स्वाद में कोई फर्क नहीं पड़ता है भ्रीर न ही कोई उसके गण में कोई फर्क आता है, भ्रलबत्ता फर्क उसकी शक्ल में भ्रा जाता है भ्रीर उसका जमा हम्रा सफोद रूप दिखाई पड़ता है ग्रीर वह सफोद रंग उपभोक्ता को भ्रच्छा लगता है भ्रौर उसके मन को भाता है भ्रीर इसलिए वह तेल को जमाये ए रूप में कबुल करता है। भ्रब गांधियन तीका बिल्कुल साफ है इस देश का हित तभी हो सकता है जब कि श्रादमी श्रपने ऊपर कोई प्रपितबन्ध लगाये। जब तक हम कुर्बानी नहीं करेंगे तब तक देश का हित कैंसे हो सकेगा? हर एक देश कूर्बानी से बढ़ता है। कोई भी देश केवल कायदे **ग्रौर कानून बनाने से ग्रागे नहीं बढ़** सकता है ।

श्रव कौन नहीं जानता कि इन पिछल १४, १५ साल के भ्रन्दर इस देश में कंट्रोल्ड एकोनोमी रही है। गेहं के भाव कंट्रोल्ड रहे, चीनी के भाव कंट्रोल्ड रहे। श्रव गेहं का जहां तक वास्ता है मुझे एक पंजाब का किसान होने के नाते मालुम है कि सन् १६४७ के भ्रन्दर गेहं की कीमत १६ रुपये प्रति मन थी जब कि स्राज हमारा गेहूं १४ रुपये प्रति मन बिकता है। इस तरह धान के बारे में मैं भ्रापको बतलाना चाहता हूं कि जो धान १० रुपये, १२ रुपये म्नौर १५ रुपये मन **तक** बिकता था वही धान इस देश के अन्दर ६ पये मन तक बिका है। श्रव मुझे तो सरूत हैरत होती है जब हमारे मेनन साहब कहते हैं कि दाम बढ़ गये हैं भ्रीर पता नहीं कि वह कैंसे प्रांकड़ हैं जिनको कि वह पेश करके इस बात का दावा करते हैं कि दाम बढ़े हैं। मब मजीब हालत है कि १०, २० फीसदी के ऊपर इतना बावैंला उठाया जाता है

श्रीर कभी सरका निकरों का सत्याग्रह कराया जाता है तो कभी इस सदन के अन्दर श्री आवाज बुलन्द की जाती है। मैं अपने माननीय मित्र से निवेदन करना चाहूंगा कि यह गांधी-वादी तरीका नहीं है श्रीर इस तरह से उनको उपभोक्ता श्रों को लीड नहीं देनी चाहिए ...

Control of Prices) Bill

श्री नारायणन कुट्टि मेनन : सत्याग्रह मत करिए लेकिन बिल तो पास करिए ।

चौ० रणवीर सिंह : खाली विल ग्रीर कानून बना देने से ही सारा काम हल होने वाला नहीं है । मैं कोई कंट्रोल्ड एकोनोमी के खिलाफ नहीं हूं लेकिन मैं यह जरूर समझत! हूं कि खाली कानून ही जैसा कि वे समझते हैं, देश ग्रागे चलने वाला नहीं है। देश ग्रागे चलता है देश का दिमाग बदलने से, देश का स्वभाव बदलने से ग्रीर देश का स्वाद बदलने से। कानून की भी जगह होती है, कानून का भी स्थान होता है ग्रीर उसका इस्तेमाल किया गया है। मैं भी इन बातों को मानने के लिए तैयार हो सकता हु ग्रगर देश की उस १६ करोड वर्किंग फ़ोर्स का भी इसमें स्याल रखा जाये—–उसमें से ५० लाख वैस्टिड इन्ट्रेस्ट्स को चाहै निकाल दिया जाये--वे ग्रनाज खुद पैदा करते हैं, जो ग्रगर ज्वार पैदा करते हैं, तो ज्वार ही खाते हैं, अगर बाजरा पैदा करते हैं, तो बाजरा खाते हैं---वे चावल गेहं को खरीदने नहीं जाते हैं-जिसको मोटे दाने कहते हैं, उसको पैदा करते हैं ग्रीर खाते हैं, जो इसी तरह गुड़ ग्रीर शक्कर खाते हैं, जो रफ़ खुराक को भी खाते हैं, वे तेल को भी खाते हैं, वनस्पति को नहीं खाते हैं। मैं यह निवेदन करना चाहता हूं कि देश में जो हालात होते हैं, उनका ग्रसर इस विकंग फ़ोर्स पर भी पड़ता है श्रीर उसका भी कोई इन्डेक्स नम्बर है, उसका भी कोई नाप-तौल है। एक तरफ तो यह नाप-तौल है कि १६४२-४३ में चावल का इन्हेक्स नम्बर

Commodities (Fixation, Regulation and Control of Prices) Bill

१०० था स्रौर वह १६६० में १०६ हो गया ग्रीर गेहूं का १०० से घट कर ६१ हो गया। फिर भी भ्रावाज उठाई जाती है। दूसरी तरफ गेहूं का भाव इस देश में पिछले चौदह साल में १६ रुपये से ८ रुपये घटा, गृड २१ रुपये से घट कर ७, ८ रुपये मन बिका, धान १६ रुपये से घट कर ६ रुपये मन बिका। मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि उस वर्किंग फ़ोर्स का भी इन्डेक्स नम्बर है। उसके लिए भी ग्रावाज उठाई जानी चाहिए। क्या उनके लिए भी किसी इन्डेक्स नम्बर की जरूरत है या नहीं ? मैं मानता हूं कि किसान बहुत खासा समझदार है। जिन बातों का जिक माननीय सदस्य ने किया है, उन में से ज्यादा बातों का किसान से भी सम्बन्ध है और किसान के जीवन पर उनका घाटा-नफ़ा होता है।

माननीय सदस्य एक तरफ किसान की खेती की बात करते हैं श्रीर दूसरी तरफ तनस्वाहदार भ्रादिभयों के लिए सत्याग्रह की बातें करते हैं। टैक्स उनसे लिये जायेंगे ग्रीर इनकी तनस्वाहें बढा दी जायेंगी। वह गेहं के भाव कम करने की बात करते हैं। मैं पूछता हं कि किस के पेट पर पट्टी बांध कर गेहूं का भाव कम किया जायगा? मेरे मान-नीय मित्र को यह मालूम होना चाहिए कि काश्तकार सर्दियों में सख्त सर्दी में श्रीर गर्मियों में इतनी गर्मी में काम करते हैं, लोग नहीं कर जो कि सस्ता ग्रनाज खाना चाहते हैं श्रौर हर किस्म के मौसम का मुकाबला करके वे देश में धन दीलत पैदा करते हैं। किसान ने इस देश में इतना ग्रनाज पैदा किया, जितनी उसकी जरूरत थी। एक वक्त ऐसा ग्राया कि इतना गेहूं हो गया, जिसको हम इस्तेमाल नहीं कर कर सकते थे, इतना घान पैदा किया गया, जिसको इस्तेमाल नहीं किया जा सकता था। ग्राज चीनीकार्भावक्त ग्राया है। म्राज से हेढ़ साल पहले १६ लाख टन चीनी पैदा हुई। सारे देश में कूल ५ करोड़ की

सहायता दी गई, जिस में से ४,४२ करोड रुपया तो चीनी के कारखानेदारों को दिया गया स्रौर शुगरकेन ग्रोग्नर्ज को ५८ लाख रुपया उनके गन्ने की कीमत बीस नये पैसे बढ़ाकर दियागया। इसकानतीजायह हुम्रा कि दस लाख टन चीनी ज्यादा हुई म्रीर **ग्रगर ग्रन्दाज लगाया जाये, तो ३० करोड** रुपया एक्साइज के लिये ज्यादा दिया गया।

ग्रगर माननीय सदस्य **ग्रौ**र यह गवर्नमेंट देश की हालत सुधारना चाहते हैं, तो कानून के बजाय कोशिश करें कि इस देश में ऐसे हालात पैदा हों, जिन में ज्यादा भ्रनाज पैदा किया जा सके ग्रौर वह तभी हो सकता है जब ग्रनाज पैदा करने वाले को भी कुछ सुविधायें दी जायें ग्रीर उसके लिये भी कुछ रुपया खर्च किया जाये । माननीय सदस्य ने किसानों के लोहे के ग्रौजार, फाली वगैरह, पर कंट्रोल करने के बारे में जिक्र नहीं किया क्योंकि उससे लेबर पर ग्रसर पड़ता है, जिसके लिये वह पूरा संरक्षण चाहते हैं। वह मिली भगत हो जाती है। किसान जरूरतों का इसमें कोई नहीं किया गया है। कई भाई कहते हैं कि श्रीर देशों में चार हजार पौंड घान एक एकड़ में पैदा होता है। ऋौर यहां सिर्फ पांच छः सौ पौंड पैदा होता है। चाहता हं कि यहां का किसान भी उतना ही धान पैदा कर सकता है. बग़ैर किसी प्रचार के कर सकता है, लेकिन उसके लिये मुनासिब हालात चाहिए । गन्ना क्यों नहीं ज्यादा पैदा होता है ? जब पानी की ज्यादा जरूरत होती है, तो हम पानी नहीं दे सकते हैं। पानी का इन्तजाम हमने नहीं किया है। पंजाब प्रदेश खेती में बहुत श्रागे है लेकिन वहां भाखरा डैम के लिये जहां प्लानिग कमीशन ने १४४ करोड़ रुपये खर्व करने के लिये दिये हैं, वहां भ्रगर उस जमीन को ठीक करने के लिये, जहां पानी ज्यादा है, ६० करोड़ रुपया दिया जाये, तो किसान १२० करोड

13249

ष्पये की पैदाव।र एक साल में करने के लिये तैयार है।

इसलिये में कहना चाहत हूं कि इस कानून को पास करने की जरूरत नहीं है। भगर सरकार सही तरीके पर चलना चाहती है, तो काटेज इण्डस्ट्रीज श्रौर माइनर इर्री-गेशन पर ज्यादा से ज्यादा रुपया लगाया जाये । अगर कानून बनाना है, तो ब्लैक मार्कटिंग पर कण्ट्रोल करना चाहिये, जिससे चोरी भी नहीं होगी और उनका मुहा भी पूरा होगा ।

Shri T. B. Vittal Rao (Khammam): Sir, I rise to support this Bill, Essential Commodities (Fixation, Regulation and Control of Prices) Bill. I must congratulate my hon. friend Shri T. C. N. Menon for having brought forward this Bill.

Sir, during the Second Plan period Government failed to hold the price line. In that context, legislation this nature is very essential during the Third Plan period. On the eve of the Third Plan, when the draft outline was being finalised, the Prime Minister said that there would be no use for these Plans unless and until price line was held. During Second Plan period the prices of various essential commodities like rose up by 44 per cent. Similarly the prices of edible oils, sugar, etc., have gone up abnormally. If you take the consumer price index, which is an indication of the prices obtaining in the country, you will find there has been considerable increase. If you take the consumer price index for the year 1949 as one hundred, the average for 1960 was 124, that is, the cost of living has increased by 24 points. Then, if you take for instance the case of the big cities, Calcutta, Bombay, Madras and Delhi, you will be astounded that the consumer price index in the City of Madras has increased by 44 per cent. as against the all-India

average of 24 per cent. This is the position.

When the question of quantum of dearness anowance was referred to the Gadgii Committee, it was hoped that prices would stabilise at a particular level. It aid not happen. Even the First Pay Commission thought prices would stabilise at a particular ievei. But it did not happen. Therefore, unless and until the prices of the various commodities, cereals, grains and edible oils are controlled and prices are regulated, I am afraid, our whole planning will not succeed. In the context or planning, this is very very essential.

How is this increase taking place? On the one hand you see the increase in production. If you compare austrial production with 1951 as 100 base, in 1960 it is 168. Similarly agricultural production has also increased. When production is increasing we find at the same time prices are also creasing and prices are increasing phenominally. When my hon friend the Deputy Minister of Food, Thomas, was on this side, he himself used to raise this issue that due to deficit financing and taxation measures prices are likely to rise. The then Finance Minister assured us that by having certain checks he would able to control the prices. But assurance, as experience tell us, could, not be fulfiled. Therefore, unless and until a definite policy of regulating the prices, as stated in the is laid down I am afraid we will not make much headway.

We see what happens in the socialist countries. As the production increases, simultaneously the prices are brought down. But unfortunately in our country the prices also are creasing with the increase of production.

The growth of industries in our Take, country is also phenomenal. for example, one city, namely Ahmedabad. We know to what extent

textile industry there has grown. In 1933, the capital investment, that is the paid-up capital, on 66 mills was Rs. 4.5 crores. In 1958 there were 59 textile mills with a paid-up capital of Rs. 17.41 crores. And the gross block has increased from Rs. 18.3 crores in 1933 to Rs. 59.09 in 1958. There amazing increase in the production. At the same time, what do we Within one year the price of cloth rises by 40 per cent. At this rate wnere are we heading to? Naturally, if this inflation and rising prices are left like this uncontrolled, there will be an end for all this planning; and, not only planning, even democracy will be at stake.

Therefore, I would strongly urge that this is a very modest Bill which has been brought forward by my hon. friend wherein he has asked only for the regulation of prices and controls wherever necessary; and the punishment he has suggested for violation is not very much, because he has only years imprisonment suggested three and Rs. 5,000 fine. The Prime Minister once said that blackmarketeers should be hanged by the next lamp post.

Shri Tangamani (Madurai): No lamp post is available!

Shri T. B. Vittal Rao: But that has not taken place.

Take the profits made by the industries. You will find that the rate of profits has also increased considerably. Even in the textile industry, where it was very bad, in 1958 you will see that the amount utilised, only from internal resources, for capital formation was Rs. 20 to Rs. 30 crores. On the other hand, what do you A lesser number of workers are producing a larger quantity of cloth, but the prices are increasing.

With these few words I strongly commend this Bill for the acceptance of the House.

Shri Shree Narayan Das (Darbhanga): The Bill that has been presented to the House for its consideration by my hon. friend Shri Narayanankutty Menon appears in my opinion to be unnecessary, because Parliament has already passed the Essential Commodities Act of 1955 the object and function of which are the same as have been given in this Bill. Here also "essential commodities" been defined, and almost all the articles that have been mentioned here figure there. As I said, the object of the Bill is the same as that of the Act which is "to provide, in the interests of the general public, for the control of the production, supply and distribution of, and trade and commerce in, certain commodities". "Essential commodities" have been defined there also. The object of this Bill which has been moved for consideration is "to provide for the fixation, regulation and control of the prices of commodities which are essential for the life of the community", which is contained in section 3 of that Act.

Commodities

Control of Prices) Bill

All these powers have been given to the Government under that Act. is for the Government to decide if in the interests of the general public the Government should regulate and control and fix the prices of all the commodities that have been enumerated in this Bill, and at what time.

Therefore, although the object this Bill is laudable, I think it is unnecessary, because the powers that are sought to be given through this Bill have already been given to the Gov-Government by ernment, and the can at any time notification the prices-not only fix the prices, but control the production, supply and distribution of, and trade and commerce in, these comomdities. All these things can be done by Government. Therefore in my opinion, it is unnecessary to waste the time of the House on this. The hon Member should have taken into consideration the existing Act, the Essential Commodities Act of 1955. If he had done so he would also have found it quite unnecessary to introduce this Bill and to take the precious time of the House unnecessarily on this.

With these words, I oppose the Bill.

Shri A. M. Thomas: Mr. Chairman, I do not want to question bona fides of the hon. Member who has brought forward this Bill. But, as was mentioned by the last speaker, this Bill is quite unnecessary and, if enacted, will be a superfluous one in our statute book.

Shri Narayanankutty Menon, I am sorry to state, has not said anything about the provisions of the Bill. He has simply said that he moves it and that the House should accept it. If my hon. friend had cared to study the provisions of the Bill that he has himself brought forward and also examined some of the provisions of the previous statutes which have got a bearing on the subject, I think he would not have chosen to bring forward this Bill at all.

Although my hon, friend has not referred to the various provisions of the Bill so as to establish before the House how this Bill is necessary, he has raised some points with reference to the rising cost of living and the high level of prices. Many of the points that have been raised by him, I think most of them, have been raised in the course of the Budget debate, in the debate on the President's Address, and in the debates on the De-mands of the various Ministries. But unfortunately my hon. friend has chosen to come to this House towards the fag end, after all these discussions were over: so that, he had no opportunity to hear the various points of view that were urged in answer the points that were raised.

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: It has been put on the agenda only today. So what can I do?

Shri A. M. Thomas: My friend has, however, taken advantage of this opportunity to justify the wrong leadership that he and some of his other friends have given in the matter of the strike of the Central Government employees. I thought that that chapter would not be raked up again and that it would be given some finality But whenever my friend gets a chance

to speak on any subject whatsoever, he raises this question of the strike of the Central Government employees I am sorry that my friend has not realised even now the wrong leadership that he has given in the matter of that strike. He has devoted a substantial part of his speech to justify the stand of the Central Government employees and to say how their strike was justified. This question has been answered on more than one occasion in this House and I do not therefore want to go into it and spend my time.

Regulation and Control of Prices) Bill

As regards prices, it is realised by the Government in the Draft Outline of the Third Five Year Plan also a chapter has been devoted to the question of prices that the maintenance of prices at a reasonable level, especially of essential commodities, is very basic to the launching of any plan for the development of the country.

If my hon, friend had brought forward this Bill and made this speech with a view to highlight the importance of the approach that has to be made by the Planners and the Central Government in this matter, I would have welcomed his speech and the Bill that he has brought forward, but that has not been his intention at all. My hon. friend has been trying to make out that there is absolutely no relationship between prices and eonomic development. To quote his own words, he has said that the rise in prices has absolutely no relationship to the economic development. I am sorry for the proverty of thought and knowledge

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: Of economics,

Shri A. M. Thomas:..... that my hon. friend has displayed, whereas it is generally admitted by all concerned, whether on the Government side or on the side of the Opposition....

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: Not on the side of Opposition at any time.

Shri A. M. Thomas:that in a developing economy, the prices are

bound to rise. But, to what extent they should rise, and at what level they should be kept is a matter to be decided by a consideration of various factors. It cannot be disputed that normally in a developing economy, there will be an upward trend prices. We are taking due account of this phenomenon, and the task of the policy-makers is to ensure that prices, especially of essential consumer goods remain relatively stable.

Essential

It cannot be denied that as far this country is concerned, the people are having a ridiculously low standard of life, and that we are trying to improve that standard of life. There has been an increase in the standard of life, and that also cannot be denied. If you go through the consumption figures in respect of food articles. mainly of cereals and other things, in advanced countries like America or Canada, you will find that every year, their general consumption of cereals, that is, wheat and wheat products, is going down. What does it show? It shows that they have reached a sort of saturation point, and their demand is going down and not increasing, whereas we are at such a ridiculously low standard of life that with increase in the purchasing power of our people, our demand is going up. My hon. friend Shri T. B. Vittal Rao was saying that there had been increase in the production of rice and wheat, and yet, the prices were going up. Yes, that is so; there has been increase; that canot be denied, and the figures were given at the time of the debate on the Demands for Grants of the Ministry of Food and Agriculture. All the same, we have seen a phenomenon that prices have been increasing, and that is because of two main factors namely the increase of population and the increase in the purchasing power of the people. When the poor people get anything in addition, they spend it on essential necessities of life, such as foodgrains, sugar and such other articles. So if with increase in production, there is also increase in prices, it can easily be ex-

plained by the fact that there is definitely an increase in the standard of life of the people also.

Control of Prices) Bill

This problem of increase in prices has to be tackled on several fronts. The main front on which we have to tackle this problem is to devote all our energies in the matter of increasing the production of essential com-That is one of the main modities. objectives of our Five Year Plans also. In the broad analysis, the prices are determined by the interaction of the forces of supply and demand. So, in respect of articles of which there is more demand, we shall have to produce more of them. That is the only way to meet the situation and to solve the problem on a permanent basis and not by control or regulation alone.

Control or regulation is only short-term remedy and will have only a limited effect. And you will notice that we have taken advantage of the trend in demand and other factors. With regard to foodgrains, as the Hous∈ knows, towards the end of the Third Plan, we have fixed a target of production of 100 million tons.

Reddy Shri Nagi (Anantapur): What would be the per capita production by that time?

Shri A. M. Thomas: per capita consumption?

Shri Nagi Reddy: Per capita production. I ask this because our population also will be increasing.

Shri A. M. Thomas: The per capita production will be about 172 ounces cereals and pulses together.

Shri Nagi Reddy: Therefore, the prices will be rising higher.

Shri A. M. Thomas: Not that. At a particular stage—that was that When we get into a more or said. less developed stage, the demand will be stable and later on when the standard of living increases, there will be less demand of these essential

Commodities (Fixation, Regulation and Control of Prices) Bill

[Shri A. M. Thomas]

commodities. That was what I was driving at. But I am sorry that my hon, friend has not chosen to understand it.

Shri Nagi Reddy: That was not the point.

Shri A. M. Thomas: The comparative easiness in the prices of cereals and sugar that we find now is because of increased production. Various regulatory measures taken by Government also including imports and judicious distribution, have also helped to keep the prices under check. You will find that production has got a direct effect on the prices especially when the demand is high. You will find that the rise in the price of edible oils has been due to the pressure of increased demand, while in the case of cotton manufactures it is due mainly to rise in prices of raw cotton, the production of which witnessed a sharp fall during the last season. There has been a sharp fall in the production of cotton so much so that there has been a rise in the price of the raw material, as also a rise in cloth prices.

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: What about sugar?

Shri A. M. Thomas: With regard to sugar, the hon. Member has been referring to 1959. In 1959, we produced only Rs. 19.4 lakh tons agianst our yearly consumption of 22 lakh tons. Is there any further explanation necessary? In 1960, we have produced 24 lakh tons. Our production has outstripped our demand. So the prices are not rising.

Shri Indrajit Gupta (Calcutta-South West): Still they want to export sugar to America.

Shri A. M. Thomas: We are prepared to export not only to America but to Russia and China also, provided there are markets there. every patriotic citizen of this country wants to export sugar and feed the domestic grower as well as

labourers and also the people large, what is the fun of saying such things?

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: When there is a shortfall in production, certainly the price goes up. But who got the Rs. 1-4 and Rs. 1-8? That is the question. Hence the necessity for control.

Shri A. M. Thomas: The question was gone into by the Tariff Commission. They have fixed the exfactory price at Rs. 38-75 per maund or something like that, based on the sugarcane price of Rs. 1-10 maund.

16.38 hrs.

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair]

Even according to my hon. friend, Shri Braj Raj Singh, what would be the cost? Without understanding all these things, my hon. friend goes on saying what he likes. There is the sugarcane price, there is excise duty, there is the manufacturing cost and the sugarcane cess. All these have to be added.

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: Tariff Commission have fixed a price of 50 nP. per lb. Take it even at 60 nP. per lb. But sugar was not available to be sold at that fixed price. Throughout the market for one year sugar was sold at Rs 1-2 or Rs. 1-4. Leaving out the fixed price, where has the money gone?

Shri A. M. Thomas: When there is a shortage of supply and increase in demand, the prices will go up.

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: Hence the need for control.

Shri A. M. Thomas: If by passing this Bill all these problems can be solved, there is absolutely no difficulty; I am one with my hon. friend.

The Third Plan provides for a substantial increase in production not

Commodities (Fixation, Regulation and Control of Prices) Bill

only of foodgrains but also of oilseeds and cotton which are the raw materials for edible oils and cotton manufactures. You will find that the anticipated oilseeds production in 1960-61 is only 7.1 million tons, but in 1965-66 the target is 9.8 million tons. It will be increased by 38 per cent. in the case of cotton, our production in 1960-61 is only 4.9 million bales. but under the Third Plan the annual target, in 1965-66 is fixed at 7 million bales, an increase of 43 per cent. So that with regard to these essential commodities, the long-term and basic remedy is only increased production.

There are other things such as fiscal measures which will lead to restraint on consumption and there is the monetary policy. There can be some effect by way of control and regulation also and it is in that light that I have to approach the Bill of my hon, friend, Shri Narayanankutty Menon.

As I have already stated, he has not explained to the House the various provisions of his Bill. The main purpose in his bringing forward this Bill is contained in clause 3. It says:

"If the Central Government is satisfied that in the public interest it is expedient so to do, it may by general or special order, fix the prices of essential commodities specified in the order."

Then, there is clause 4 and so on. That is the penalty clause. These are the main things. It is quite a simple remedy. According to my hon. friend fixation of the price of these things is the only thing necessary, apart from the question of enforcement and how far it would be desirable and whether people would get even the essential things at a reasonable price simply because there is fixation of price.

My hon, friend, Shri Shree Narayan Das has referred to the Essential Commodities Act of 1955. I am afraid Shri Menon has not cared even to go through that statute, that is, Essential Commodities Act of 1955 (10

of 1955) as amended by the Essential Commodities (Amendment) Act of 1957 and the Essential Commodities (Second Amendment) Act of 1957. These are Acts 13 and 28 of 1957. Whatever my hon. friend wants have by this Bill can be got by the provisions contained in this Act.

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: shows that you have not read both.

Shri A. M. Thomas: I have read both. Take for example, section 3(1) of the Essential Commodities Act. It

"If the Central Government is of opinion that it is necessary or expedient so to do for maintaining or increasing supplies of any essential commodity or for securing their equitable distribution and availability at fair prices, it may, by order, provide for regulating production, or prohibiting the the production, supply and distribution thereof and trade and commerce therein."

Probably, my hon. friend does not want to hear. Even under the Essential Commodities Act, there is power.

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: I am hearing.

Shri A. M. Thomas: Then there is section 3(2)(c). Under that section, Government have been given specific powers to make orders providing for controlling the prices at which any comomdity may be bought or sold. And, section 7 of the Act provides sufficient deterrent punishment, contravention of the orders under the Act. From section 7 you will find that the punishment is deterrent.

"If any person contravenes any order made under section 3-

- (a) he shall be punishable-
 - (i) in the case of an order made with reference to clause (h) or clause (i) of sub-section (2) of that section, with imprisonment for a term which may extend to one year and

[Shri A. M. Thomas]

shall also be liable to fine, and

ii) in the case of any other order, with imprinsonment for a term which may extend to three years and shall also be liable to fine:"

I think, my hon. friend, in his Bill also wants to go up to three years.

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: Three years and five thousand rupees fine.

Shri A. M. Thomas: Even now the punishment is deterrent. What all my hon. friend has in view can be served by the enactment which is there already.

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: You have not read clause 5 of the Bill. It deals with arrest.

Mr. Speaker: Hon. Members must forget that they come from the same State and should not go on talking like this.

Shri A. M. Thomas: Yes; it reads:

"..any police officer may arrest without warrant any person who is reasonably suspected of having committed any offence under this Act."

Would it be a non-bailable offence? With regard to the provision here the police have got powers to arrest even without warrant. All the objects with which this Bill has been brought forward would be served by this enactment, namely, the Essential Commodities Act of 1955, as amended by subsequent enactments and there is absolutely no necessity for an enactment of this kind. So, I oppose this Bill.

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: Sir, hardly any reply is required for the intervention of the hon. Minister because the various economic theories propounded by him transcend the realm of realities in this country nor have they got an relationship to the

basic economic laws either in a capitalist society or a socialist society or a mid-term society, as has been said by him. The simple proposition which I place before this House explodes the new economic theory that he has propounded on the basis of the law of supply and demand. Regarding sugar, he said that the Tariff Commission had gone into everything and fixed price structure. I agree with him that the Tariff Commission has gone into the whole question and fixed a certain price. But my case was that during 1959 people in this country who had to buy sugar in the open market had to pay 150 per cent, more than the price fixed by the Tariff Commission and this was taken away by the middle trader. Therefore, there is a necessity for effective controls on that. first justification is that the Tariff Commission has itself fixed the price at a particular level with a margin of profit. Therefore, there is no question of saying that an increasing demand and diminishing supply will result in an increase in the prices. Secondly, his theory of supply and demand is applicable only where the basic economic laws are allowed to play in an ubriddled way. In our country, we have got a planned economy and the basic economic laws themselves, especially the law of supply and demand, are not allowed to have a free play. So, in the interest of the people and in the interest economy, there are certain controls and they are there exactly because when there is a conflict between supply and demand the prices are bound to go up or even an artificial difference can be created between supply and demand, as it has been made in the case of textiles and certain food materials. Unless State trading comes in, the only way of getting out of the situation is by effective controls and I have therefore made out a case for having controls in this matter.

Thirdly, he said about an expanding and developing economy. I did not say that in a developing economy under any circumstances the prices will

Control of Prices) Bill

not go up. In an expanding and developing economy the prices will go up only on certain conditions being fulfilled and if these conditions are fulfilled the prices are bound to go up. When there is not enough production of a commodity and when the per capita national income and the total national income increase the prices are bound to go up. But there is also a tendency for the prices to go up without any reason. In that context, certainly the only remedy is control of prices. Even in a completely socialistic economy, the prices show a tendency at times to go up until it reaches a certain level of maturity. The remedy in the socialist countries also is by introducing regulation of prices. This Government, the Planning Commission and this House stand committed basic policy that whenever it is necessary, controls and regulations bound to be there. The only difference of opinion is what sort of controls are to be there and how they are to be implemented. My hon, friend referred to the working of the Essential Commodities Act. We have seen its working. In spite of that Act and two amendments for that Act during the course of the last four years, whenever the prices wanted to increase and wanted to fluctuate they have fluctuated and they have increased. This simple factor is known to my hon. friend and it is amply illustrated even after he has become the Deputy Minister as to how this simple law of economics works in this matter. I will give give an illustration so that, even though I will not be in a position to convince my hon, friend that I know even the rudiments of economics, or that I know anything about economics compared to him and the economists who should know the realities in this country, I can show that I am able to know it. My hon, friend is not able to know it since he became a Deputy Minister. He used to know it very amply and put it before House as a non-official Member before he became the Deputy Minister. In Andhra Pradesh, according to my hon

354 (Ai) LSD-6.

friend, there are 8 lakh tons of rice produced in a particular season. For argument's sake, let us say that Andhra Pradesh wants only 4 lakh tons, and there are 4 lakh tons as surplus, on paper. He wants to export the 4 lakh tons within that zone. But ultimately, when that rise is taken away, you will find that the surplus is only one lakh tons! What about the rest?

Secondly, in Andhra Pradesh, when the bumper crop comes in the persons who are not so rich and who have borrowed money for agricultural operations from these money-lenders are waiting for the crop, and they want the crop to taken to the yards; they want money in return. The warehouseowners in Andhra Pradesh who have got enough credit, in spite of the restrictions put by the Reserve Bank, are there to purchase the crop and purchase the rice at the price dictated by these people. But when they enter the warehouse, the crop goes to the warehouses of the middlemen thus a sort of artificial crisis or shortage in rice is created. It is then that they begin to dictate the prices.

Shri A. M. Thomas: How are all these relevant?

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: These are relevant. These are the prices which are there, not because of your law of supply and demand works there. The law of supply and demand is vulgarising the situation, and the artificial shortages are created. Therefore, the only remedy is to bring in controls. My hon, friend has referred to the provisions in the Act, but I would like to say that they are totally ineffective. (Interruption). My hon. friend was saying that this Bill is not a remedy. He has gone deep my own conscience and tried even to dictate my intentions of the provisions of this Bill. I agree. My intention is to find out a certain remedy-namely, of controlling these prices in an ordinary, humble way as is possible for

of Prices) Bill

[Shri Narayanankutty Menon]

me. If my hon, friend declares that nothing will be answered by this Bill. he will be speaking anything other than truth.

As I have said, ordinances brought with regard to the Central Government employees' stri**ke**. ordinance is brought to take away the right to strike, and the right to get employment is taken away all of a sudden with lightning speed. person in this country cannot be compelled to do slave labour, if he refuses to go and work, then he may be imprisoned for six months or one year. If the fundamental right can be taken away, if the right of having a telephone and sending letters by post is taken away, where is the question of the fundamental right for trade? They are giving more sanctity to the fundamental rights of the traders and the exploiting classes than to others. this is the approach, my hon. friend is speaking less than truth by saying that nothing will be achieved by this Bill. If nothing can be achieved by this Bill and nothing is achieved by the Essential Commodities Act which was referred to by my hon, friend, the position that is taken by the Government is that they are not at all prepared to take any step in this direction, namely, of giving a statutory sanction for fixing a ceiling on prices.

Shri A. M. Thomas: Ceiling can be fixed under the essential commodities Act. My hon, friend refuses to understand.

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: The most important provision n this Bill is clause 5. In the Essential Commodities Act, there is absolutely no provision for arrest without warrant. You, Sir, know the difference very well-the difference between an arrest without a warrant and a legal proceeding upto a particular complaint given by the Government officer. (In-That is exactly terruption). even though there was ample power for the Government under Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act

for declaring a strike illegal, superseded the Industrial Disputes Act and came out with an ordinance, because one provision was not there for arrest without warrant. Although a provision was there in the Industrial Disputes Act, they came out with an ordinance, Ordinance No. I of 1960, with a provision in respect of arrest without warrant. Otherwise, it was not possible to have an effective control, and therefore, it was brought in. There were so many other provisions which are not so parallel and so similar to the other Act. The basic question is this, namely, whether Government agree with the principle effective sanction should be created by means of a statute for fixing the prices and also implementing the fixation of prices. If that policy is agreed upon, there is absolutely no quarrel. But the reply of the Deputy Minister that nothing will be achieved either by this Bill or the Act that is already on the statute, shows that all protestations made by the Planning Commission and Government that they very much worried about the increasing prices have no substance in relation to the speech made by the Deputy Minister here.

The hon. Deputy Minister has treated the subject as lightly as possible. He did not take the implications of the rise in prices, even though right from the Prime Minister to the Planning Commission, they have been going on saying that prices are increasing. Ultimately we come to the one conclusion that whatever might be the economic theory involved, as long as ours is an expanding economy, as long as our own conception of economic development is on the middle-road way between democracy and socialism, this phenomenon is bound to happen because free trading will continue here. Therefore, unless Government bring out remedial measures, as promised by them, including State-trading-now they have abandoned Statetrading-such a kind of statutory sanction is required.

Therefore, the reply of the hon. Deputy Minister did not even touch the fringe of the problem. As a matter of fact, to this serious question, he has taken up a ridiculing attitude. Therefore, the Bill cannot be withdrawn by means of anything that has been said by the Deputy Minister. I am very sorry that whatever effect is there because of the speech made by the hon, Finance Minister regarding the gravity of this problem, that has been taken away by the reply given by the Deputy Minister. Therefore, I once again commend this Bill to the acceptance of this House..

Even though according to the Deputy Minister, this Bill may not be so useful for implementing the fixation and regulation of prices, I say that it will serve as a moral background in order that this kind of profiteering and blackmarketing may stop. the Finance Minister put it yesterday, the society itself will take it upon its own hands to see that blackmarketers are not encouraged and prices are not taken high. This Bill will be serving the purpose to the extent that some sort of moral background is given to the people to see that this menace is at least controlled in a small way. I commend this Bill, even with that limited objective and limited benefit, for the consideration and approval of this House.

Mr. Speaker: There are no amendments to the motion. I will put it to the House. The question is:

"That the Bill to provide for fixation, regulation and control of the prices of commodities which are essential for the life of the community be taken into consideration."

The motion was negatived.

16.59 hrs.

ALL INDIA DOMESTIC SERVANTS BILL

by Shri Balmiki

Shri Balmiki (Bulandshahr—Reserved—Sch. Castes): I heg to move:

"That the Bill to provide for the

registration of domestic servants and to regulate their hours of work, payment of wages, leave and holidays be taken into consideration."

श्रध्यक्ष महोदय, दो वर्ष की ग्रग्नि-परीक्षा के पश्चात् ग्रखिल भारतीय घरेलू कर्मचारी विधेयक सदन के सामने ग्राया है।

निन्दतु नीतिनिपुणाः यदि वा स्तुवन्तु लक्ष्मीः समाविष्तु गच्छतु वा यथेष्टम् । ग्रद्धैववा मरणमस्तु युगान्तरे वा न्यायन्तु एथः प्रवचलन्ति पदं न धीराः

नीति में निपुण पुरुष चाहे निन्दा करे या स्तुति करे या प्रशंका करे लक्ष्मी भाये, या चली जाये, इससे क्या । श्राज मरना हो, या युगों के बाद, इससे क्या । लेकिन न्याय के पथ पर या न्याय की बात पर जो कदम रखा है, वह विचार के स.थ रखा है । धीर पुरुष न्याय से एक पग भी विचलित नहीं होते ।

17.00 hrs.

सारे देश में श्रमिकों का प्रश्न तो सामने ब्राता है, लेकित घरेल मजदूरों के सवाल जो कि एक बड़ा गम्भीर सवाल रहा है भ्राज तक टाला जाता रहा है । मैं यहां सदन में इस प्रकार का विश्वेयक लाकर देश में कोई ग्रशान्ति नहीं लाना चाहता, बल्कि देश के घर घर में एक प्रकार का ऐसा शान्त वातावरण लाना चाहता हूं कि जहां इन घरेल मजदूरों का एक स्थान हो सकता है। जिन घरों में वे सेवा करते हैं वहां उनके स थ सद्भावा तथा प्रेम का व्यवहार हे ना चाहिये । यद्यीय यह प्रश्न जब भी हमारे सामने द्याता है तो उसकी हम।रेस थीयहां श्रौर बाहर भी कुछ श्रौर दाष्टि से देखा हैं। भ्रतेक इस प्रकार की महि-लाग्रों की संस्थाय हैं ग्रांर इस प्रकार के व्यक्ति भी हैं जिन्होंने इन दो वर्षों में कुछ धमकी भरे पत्र, गम्भीरता के सन्ध नहीं बल्कि कुछ कड़ी भाषा में इस प्रश्नंको लेकर लिखे हैं केवल इस बात को लेकर ही नहीं बल्कि मैं इन बातों को मस्तिष्क में इसलिये रखता हूं कि

APRIL 22, 1961

[श्री बाल्मीकी]

मैंने भी स्वयं एक घरेलू मजदूर की तरह, एक सफाई मजदूर की तरह कट् ग्रनभव किये हैं, भीर मने भी उन कब्टों को बर्दाश्त किया है। इसलिये भी मैं इस प्रश्न को लाना चाहता हं, जसा कि मने इस विधेयक के स्टेटमेंट ग्राफ म्राञ्जक्टस एण्ड रीजन्स में जाहिर किया है कि उनके काम करने की स्थिति में सुधार ग्रान। चाहिये । उनको छट्टी के ग्रवसर भी ठीक तरह से प्राप्त होने चाहियें। यही नहीं, उनके काम के घण्टे भी मुकर्रर होने चाहियें। साथ ही उनको जो वेतन मिलता है उसको भी उ को देने का ठीक ठीक तरह से प्रबन्ध होना चाहिये ग्रीर इसी प्रकार से जो उनके काम की ग्रन्य स्थितियां हैं उनकी स्रोर भी स्रापका ध्यान जाना चाहिये। हिन्दुस्तान के ग्रन्दर जी घरेल मजदूर हैं उनके अन्दर आज एक आन्दोलन है, यकीनी तौर से ग्राज वे एक विकट स्थिति में हैं, लेकिन उस भावना के पीछे ग्रःज एक विचार है इस प्रकार का कि वे कोई इस तरह की म्रावाज उठा कर म्रपने काम की स्थिति को ठीक करने के लिये ग्रवसर लेकर या इस प्रकार की दूसरी बातें, जो कि उन के जीवन की सुविधाम्रों के लिये हो सकती हैं, उन को लेकर वह कोई एक नया म्रान्दोलन हिन्द्स्तान के ग्रन्दर लाना चाहते हैं मैं इस में विश्वास नहीं करता । वे केवल समाज में भ्रपना उचित स्थान चाहत हैं। मैं इस बात को मानता हूं कि उनके साथ ग्राज जो व्यवहार होता है वह भ्रच्छा नहीं होता है। मानवीय दृष्टि से भी उनके साथ दुव्यंवहार होता है । मैं यह कहने के लिये तैयार हूं कि उनकी स्थिति पर ग्रापने हल्के हल्के पहले से ग्रधिक ध्यान देने की चेष्टा की है, लेकिन ग्राज भी जिस प्रकार से उनकी ग्रोर ध्यान दिया जाना चाहिये, उस प्रकार नहीं दिया जाता है। मैं यह कहने के लिये तैयार हं कि जब इस प्रश्न की एक नये दिष्टकोण से छुत। हूं तब सदियों का इतिहास मेरी श्रांखों के सामने लुड़कता चला जाता है कि उस समय मानवों का एक उचित तथा समान स्थान ग्रादि सुष्टि में उत्पन्न हम्रा था। ग्राज इस इन्सान की जो कराहट है, जो स्रावाज है, उसका जो दुःख है, उसका जो दर्द है, उसके पीछे जो मार्मिकता है, उसके पीछे जो सहदयता है, ग्रमानवीय व्यवहार के प्रति जो भावना है, उसकी स्रोर मैं स्रापक। ध्यान इस लिये स्नाकर्षित करना चाहता हं कि उसमें एक तथ्य है तथा हमारा राष्ट्र एक ऐसा राष्ट्र है जो ग्राज बापू जी के पुण्य सिद्धान्तों पर म्रागे चल रहा है **मी**र यहां पर कल्याणकारी राज्य की भावना पैदा हो रही है, वहां इस प्रश्न को कैसे टाला जा सकता है।

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member may continue next day.

17.04 hrs.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Eleven of the Clock on Monday, April 24, 1961/Visakha 4, 1883 (Saka).

[Saturday, April 22, 1961/Vaisakha 2, 1883 (Saka)]

COLUMNS

COLUMNS

BILL PASSED . . . 13101—13203

The clause-by-clause consideration of the Finance Bill, 1961 commenced and concluded and the Bill, as amended, was passed.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE ON PRIVATE MEMBERS' BILLS AND RESOLU-TIONS ADOPTED . . .

13203-04

Eighty-third Report was adopted.

PRIVATE MEMBERS' BILLS—NEGATIVED

13204-05, 13219--67

- (i) Motion to consider the Hydrogenation of Oils Bill moved by Shri Jhulan Sinha on 24th March 1961, was negatived.
- (ii) Shri Narayanankutty Menon moved for consideration of the Essential Commodities (Fixation, Regulation and Control of Prices) Bill. The motion was negatived.

PRIVATE MEMBER'S
BILL—AMENDMENT
FOR CIRCULATION
ADOPTED

13205-19

Further discussion on the motion to consider

the Hindu Succession (Amendment)
Bill (Amendment of section 14) moved by Shri
Subbish Ambalam on 7th April 1961 and the amendment thereto for circulation of the Bill for purpose of eliciting opinion thereon by Shri Thanu Pillai was resumed.
After some discussion the amendment to circulate the Bill was adopted.

PRI VATE MEMBER'S BILL UNDER CONSIDERATION . . .

13267-70

Shri Balmiki moved for consideration of the All India Domestic Servants Bill. The discussion was not concluded.

AGENDA FOR MONDAY, APRIL 24, 1961/VAISA-KHA 4, 1883 (SAKA)—

- (i) Consideration of the Amendments by Rajya Sabha to (1)the Telegraph Laws (Amendment) Bill, 1960; and (2) the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Amendment Bill, 1960.
- (ii) Consideration and passing of the Criminal Law (Amendment) Bill. 1960.