# LOK SABHA DEBATES

(THIRD SERIES)

Vol. LVI, 1966/1888 (Saka)

[May 16 to 18, 1966/Vaisakha 26 to 28, 1888 (Saka)]



Fourteenth Session, 1966/1887-88 (Saka)

(Vol. LVI contains Nos. 61 to 63)

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT
NEW DELHI

## CONTENTS

No. 61-Monday, May 16, 1966 Vaisakha 26, 1888 (Saka)

Onl Animor to Origina	Column
Oral Answers to Questions—	. ( . (
*Starred Questions Nos. 1691 to 1695	. 16969—98
Short Notice Questions Nos. 35 to 37	16998—1704 <b>2</b>
Written Answers to Questions-	
Starred Questions Nos. 1696 to 1720	. 17042 59
Unstarred Questions Nos. 5657 to 5697, 5697-A and 569	7-B. 17059 <b>88</b>
Calling Attention to Matter of Urgent Public Importance— Establishment of a Fertiliser Plant at Madras	1708893
Papers Laid of the Table 170	93—95, 17100— <b>07</b>
Re. Motion for Adjournment— (Query)	1709\$17100
Rules Committee—	
Minutes	17107
Estimates Committee—	
Minutes of Evidence .	17107
Committee on Absence of Members from sittings of the Hot	15e
Minutes	. 17107-08
Message from Rajya-Sabha	17108
Leave of absence from sittings of House	1710811
Committee of Privileges— Seventh Report	17111-12
Re. Business of the House	17112-13
Correcting Answer to S.Q. No. 94 Re. Nagas Infiltration in Burma	nto 1711 <b>3-14</b>
Statement Re. Explosion in Bhusaval Down Goods Yard — Dr. Ram Subhag Singh	17114

<sup>\*</sup>The sign + marked above the name of a member indicates that the question was actually asked on the floor of the House by him.

767 (ai)LS-1.

17208-12:

Statement Re. Delay in placing the Kerala Moneylenders	Rules, . 17115—17
Shri B. R. Bhigat	. 17115—17
Constitution (Nineteenth Amendment) Bill Negatived	. 1/1131/
Motion to Consider	
	17117-23
Shri Hathi	17117—23
Delhi Administration Bill-	
Motion to Consider, as reported by Joint Committee	17123 39, 17153- <b>-92</b> :
Shri Hathi	1712328
Shrimati Renu Chakravartty	. 17130—35
Shri Brahm Prakash	17135—39, 17153— <b>58</b> :
Shri Bade	17159—64
Shrimati Subhadra Joshi	17164 <b>70</b>
Shri Nambiar	17170-74
Shri Shiv Charan Gupta	17174-80
Shri Naval Prabhakar	17180-86
Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath	17186—92
Shri Madhu Limaye	17192
Statement re. Prime Minister's Visit to Orissa .	. 17139—53
Shrimati Indira Gandhi	17139—44. 17148 <b>—52</b> :
Half-an-hour Discussion re. status of High Court Judges	. 17192-93
Dr. L. M. Singhvi	17192-93
Shri Nanda	17193
Business of the House	17194—172 <b>06</b>
Half-an-hour Discussion re. Capacity of Ports to hand	lė
Cargo	17206-12
Shri Linga Reddy	17206 o <b>8</b> :
Shri C. M. Poonacha	17208—12:

#### LOK SABHA

Monday, May 16, 1966/Vaisakha 26, 1888 (Saka)

The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair]

**ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS** 

Explosion of Hydrogen Bomb by China

\*1691. Dr. L. M. Singhvi:
Shri P. C. Borooah:
Shri Hari Vishne Kamath:
Shri Nath Pal:
Shri D. C. Sharus:
Shri Ram Harkh Yadav:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government are aware that China is shortly to explode a hydrogen bomb;
- (b) whether the attention of Government has been drawn to a statement by Edward Teller, father of hydrogen bomb-regarding the prospects and the implications of the Chinese nuclear advances; and
  - (c) if so. Government's reaction thereo?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singhh; (a) The People's Republic of China is reported to have conducted a nuclear explosion on the 9th May A press communique issued by the Chinese Government stated that the device exploded contained thermonuclear material.

(b) Yes. Sir.

(c) Government's reaction to China's development of its nuclear capability was stated by me in the House on the 30th May.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: I realise that the position of the Government has not changed since the 10th May, when the hon. Minister replied, but the country is still anxious to know, because it has only been confused by his replies, and so, may I know whether the Government's policy. in terms of nuclear weapons, will continue to be signified by an attitude of resignation or what may be called an attitude of nuclear fatalism, or, whether the Government have sought to be realistic, namely, that a positive policy has to be followed if its efforts for non-proliferation meet with nothing but failure; particularly whether the Government have got in touch with Soviet Union, America and Britain?

Shri Swaran Singh: Government's policy is positive and realistic—both.

Dr. L. M. Singlevi: I asked a specific question: whether the got in touch with the and Soviet Governments, and if the Government's efforts at non-proliferation meet with nothing but failure, whether the Government would seek to develop its own nuclear capability, both for peaceful uses and wartime uses. If so, what is the response of those Governments?

Shri Swaran Singh: I thought I also clarified the position when I was reptying to the Call Attention Notice, that efforts are still being made that in the context of non-proliferation, there should be willingness on the part of the main nuclear powers to go through certain nuclear powers.

Shri P. C. Borooah: The hon. Minister of External Affairs has just said that Government believes that the best guarantee against proliferation of atomic weapons was the guarantee by the United States of America and Russia, the main nuclear powers, that the interests of the nuclear powers would not suffer because of the adherence of the principle of non-proliferation. May I know if and when such a guarantee is expected to be given by the U.S.A. and U.S.S.R. and even if such a guarantee is given, to what extent we can rely on it because the national interests of India will not be tallying with the national interests of the U.S.A. and the U.S.S.R. in the event of a nuclear attack?

Shri Swaran Singh: It is difficult for me to say as to how long will it take before the nuclear powers can re-assure the non-nuclear powers. In the national interests of India, as was categorically stated by the Prime Minister, our own defence is the paramount consideration in this respect as in any other respect.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: He wanted know the form of re-assurance desired by Government: the answer must come.

Mr. Speaker: He says that the policy remains the same.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Considering that the Chinese Foreign Minister recently challenged to use his own words-"American imperialists, Russian modern revisionists, and Indian reactionaries" --"come one, come all"-and subsequently, the Chinese thermo-nuclear explosion took place, do the Government, in the light of the statement made by the External Affairs Minister on the 10th May, propose to write to the United States and the Soviet Union, the major nuclear powers, and also the non-nuclear nations of Asia and Africa, as to what is to be done against Chinese nuclear blackmail, and if they are not writing, what are the reasons therefor?

Shri Swaran Singh: If I had understood the hon, wember correctly, he asked whether the Government is prepared to write to the main nuclear powers and also to the main non-nuclear powers. Writing is

not difficult, but one has to be clear about the contents of that writing. We have already taken the position in the United Nations and also in the committee which is discussing these matters in Geneva that the main nuclear powers should work out suitable assurances and guarantees to the non-nuclear powers if they are interested in non-proliferation. Unless basically there is some agreement by the main nuclear powers about this, there is not much point in just spelling out these things in writing.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Is there no agreement so far?

Shri Swaran Singh: There is no agreement so far.

Shri D. C. Sharma: The 17-nation disarmament commission which was seized of the problem of nuclear proliferation and the problem of not adding to the nuclear stockpiles in different countries has adjourned without arriving at any results. In view of the fact that even the nuclear nations and non-nuclear nations had not arrived at any formula for disarmament, especially in regard to nuclear non-proliferation, will it not be advisable for the Gov. ernment to have a fresh look at its nuclear policy in the light of our defences which are being challenged not only by China but by Pakistan also. because I read in the papers that Pakistan is going to explode a bomb very soon?

Shri Swarun Singh: I have already said that the Government is constantly reviewing these international aspects, some of which have been referred to by the hon, member, and the paramount necessity of providing adequate defence for the country. All these matters-nuclear disarmament and nonproliferation and the necessity to safeguard our integrity-are not mutually contradictory.

भी राम हरका यादव : सरकार का यह कहना है कि हम बन्नतें-जरूरत अपने मस्क में एटम बम तैयार कर लेंगे। मैं यह जानना चाहता हं कि मौजूदा साधनों की बिना पर एक विनासकारी एटम बम के तैयार करने में कितना बक्त लगेगा सीर उस पर कितना खर्च होगा।

## भी स्वर्ण सिंहः इसके मुताल्लिक मैं कुछ इत्तिमा नहीं वे सकता ।

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: The non-aligned conference at Cairo had asked for a world conference on disarmament and China herself had made suggestions about a meeting of the Heads of States in order to secure a complete destruction of all nuclear weapons. In view of these happenings for whatever they are worth, may I know what the Government is doing to see that some tangible steps are taken and at least as an interim arrangement, an atom-free zone in this part of world is sought to be established, because such a kind of agreement for an atom-free zone in South Asia would mean something?

Shr! Swaran Singh: The hon, member is correct that in the Cairo non-aligned conference, there was a declaration that a world conference on disarmament should be convened and to bring the information up-to-date, a similar proposal was adonted by the U.N. General Assembly sometime back. The important thing in this which has to be kept in mind is that not only the Chinese suggestion of complete destruction of all nuclear weapons, but also disarmament in regard to conventional weapons has to be tackled, because of the huge size of the Chinese arms and the conventional weapons they have got. We have always supported the convening of a world conference on disarmament. On the nuclear free zone we are in agreement. We support this in principle. But let us not forget that a nuclear free zone in which China continues to have and develops nuclear weapons really becomes somewhat unreal, unless, of course, China is prepared. for which there is no sign at the moment, of cooperating in any such venture. There was a proposal of establishing a nuclear free zone in Africa where none of the African countries at the moment is in possession of any nuclear device. We supported it and a large number of African countries also supported it.

Shri Harish Chandra Mather: May I know what force the hon. Minister sees in the Chinese attitude that thev as also France are governed by the attitude of the United States of America and U.S.S.R., in

perpetuating monopolistic control of the nuclear weapons and that there should be no question of proliferation rather than that of destruction of the nuclear weapons which these powers have, and until and unless that attitude is taken there is no likelihood of any further improvement and success in getting non-proliferation ahead?

Oral Answers

Shri Swaran Singh: Sir, at the present moment, unfortunately, the two main nuclear powers, namely, the United States of America and the Soviet Union, are not propared even to undertake to stop manufacture of fresh bombs. what to talk of destruction of the entire stock-pile, and we were boping that in the Geneva Conference, at any rate, the main nuclear powers would undertake not to manufacture new atom bombs and new nuclear bombs. That was one of the suggestions which we had made. as a first step to ultimate destruction of all nuclear weapons, that they should not only continue not to undertake any new tests but should undertake that they will not also manufacture any new bombs. But there is very little response at the present moment from the main nuclear powers on that, Therefore, these are the difficulties which the world is experiencing in the matter of disarmament, particularly in this nuclear

Shri Harish Chandra Mathur: Sir, my question was, what force do you see in this Chinese attitude, that it is useless to talk of non-proliferation if the nucleus powers take this attitude? What is the sense in talking to them when they want to keep the monopoly? Do we subscribe to that view? Are we not one with China particularly in this matter?

Shrl Swaran Singh: We should not take the Chinese claim at its face value, when they say that there should be complete destruction, obviously because they have got two or three and the other party has got hundreds of them, and they put forward this idea in the hope that......

Stri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Chinese are not the only people who say thet, about the continuence of monopoly and that there actions who talk of non-proliferation and do not try for destruction of these weapons.

want that they should keep the monopoly with them.

Shri Swaran Singh: It is for the nonnuclear powers also to take their own positions; it is not for me, for the main nuclear powers, to give an adequate reply, whatever reply they have got to this Chinese claim. But we should not be taken in by this assertion on the side of the Chinese, because it is not what it appears to be on the face of it. (Interruption).

Shri Ranga: May I know what steps are being taken by Government to assure themselves of nuclear protection from any of these great nuclear powers, whether they are two or four? Are they assured that they would come to our rescue in any such contingency in which these irresponsible Chinese Government thinks of using this nuclear weapon, as they did last time when China invaded India.

Shri Swaran Singh: In this respect. I have already ventured to state that our approach to this problem of safeguards being afforded to the non-nuclear powers has been in the context of international and multi-lateral basis rather than of bilateral basis. That is the essential difference between Prof. Ranga's approach and the attitude that we had taken. We had felt that the best protection that could be afforded to the non-nuclear powers was multi-lateral guarantee in the international sphere rather than bilateral arrangements which are another name for defence pacts.

Shrimati Renuka Ray: The Minister has replied that we believe in a multi-lateral stand. I would like to know, for security of the non-nuclear powers, what steps are being taken towards this end now and, if not, why not

Shri Swaran Singh: Steps are being taken in the United Nations and in the 17-Nations Committee and also in the course of cxchange of views between various countries.

# हैवराबाद खावनी बोर्ड

\*1692 डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया: भी कियान पटनायक : भी राजसेक्क वादव :

क्या प्रतिरक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि

- (क) क्या यह मच है कि हैदराबाद छावनी बोडं के मध्यक्ष ने, जो एक मनोनीत ग्रफसर हैं. बोर्डके एक निर्वाचित सदस्य को 1963 में पीटा बा:
- (ख) यदि हां, तो इस प्रकार की कितनी घटनामों के बार में सरकार की जानकारी है; भीर
- (ग) क्या सरकार कोई ऐसी योजना बना रही है, जिसमें छावनी क्षेत्रों को सचमच स्वायत्तं शासन प्राप्त हो ग्रीर सदस्यों का मनोनीत करने की व्यवस्था समाप्त हो ?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri A. M. Thomas): (a) No, Sir.

- (b) Government are not aware of any manhandling of an elected member in any Cantonment.
- (c) Meanwhile question of providing autonomy to the Cantonment areas is under consideration but the total elimination of nominated members cannot be visualised at this stage.

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया : मेरे पास एक जज का फैसला है, पांचवें शहर मजिस्टर सिकन्दराबाद का 11 मई. 1964 का श्री ग्रन्जैया के सिलसिले का, जो कि ग्रर्थ शास्त्र में एम० ए० हैं भीर किसी कालेज में लेक्जरार हैं। इस फ़ैसले में लिखा हमा है.

चाव्यका महोबय : यह इतिला प्राप दे दीजिये, मैं उनसे कहंगा

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया : प्राप सिर्फ एक जमला सन लीजिये, भपराधी का भारोप कि इस बारदात के बाद उनके साथ मिलटरी यानी पलटन के धफमरों ने धौर दूसरे जो उस सभा में थे, उन्होंने हाबापाई की, भारपीट की, मालुम होता है कि सब है, क्योंकि पुलिस ने बाद में उनको दवाई के

सियं गांधी घस्पताल में भेजा । यह मजिस्ट्रेट का फैसला है घोर साथ ही साथ इम फैसले में यह घो कहा गया है कि जहां उनके मुहस्ले में पानी का चाप कम या, जब बिगेडियर साहब तहकीकात करने गये, तो उस सदस्य को, जो कि उसका मेम्बर या, साथ लेकर नहीं गये । ऐसी हालत में क्या मंत्री महोदय यह कहने को घब भी तैयार हैं कि छावनी के इलाके में पलटन के लोग ऐसी कार्यवाही नहीं करते ?

Shri A. M. Thomas: As far as our information is concerned, there was no question of manhandling by the Commander against any elected Member. But our information is that on the 29th November, 1963, one elected Member assaulted the Commander. That is our information. In fact, what happened was that there was a meeting of the Cantonment Boards on 29th November, 1963 and Shri Anjayya wanted that whether three roads in his own ward should be metalled should be taken first before any other business was taken up. That could not be done. So, there was an uproar in the meeting and the Chairman had, as a last resort, to adjourn the meeting. After that, Shri Anjayya adopted an attitude which was not at all becoming on his part. He threw some rotten tomatoes against the Brigadier. That is what happened.

As regards the second question as to what the Government is going to do with regard to the revision of the Cantonment Boards Act, this is the position. In fact, that Act is more than 40 years old. We are ourselves, thinking of revising the Act. Several sections of the Act were examined, and but for the emergency, we would have perhaps completed that examination.

In the main answer. I have indicated that the question of giving autonomy to the camtonment areas is under examination. Meanwhile, under executive instructions, we have given certain autonomous powers to these boards. Besides, further democratisation has been done by introducing the adective element and by introducing parity

between the slected members and the nominated mombers.

बा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया : प्रभी भी माननीय मंत्री महोदय ने गलत बयानी की कि उस सभा में ... (ध्यववान) प्राप बरा सुन तो लीजिये । जब साहब का फैसला था कि उस सभा में बिगेबियर साहब ने सब्क बनाने की इजाजत दी । वह पास हो गया था । फिर कुछ जरा मा

धन्यक सहीदयः इतने सारे की तहकी-कात नहीं कर सकते हैं। धाप दूसरा सवास करें।

बा॰ राज मनोहर लोहिया दूमरा
सवाल पूछ् इसके पहले मैं प्रापका ध्यान
इस पर खींचना चाहता हूं कि प्राप्तिर मान
लीजिये किसी ने टिमाटर फैंका तो क्या
उसके माने यह हो जाते हैं कि उसकी हड़डी
तोड़ वेंगे ? यह क्या कोई तरीका है ?
अवाब जिस बंग से दिया जाता है उस पर
मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है

**ग्राम्यक्ष महोदय** व्यवस्था नहीं, भ्राप दूसरा मवाल पूछे ।

बा० राम भनोहर लोहियाः मान लीजिये टिमाटर फेंका तो क्या हक्की तोड़ने का ऋषिकार मिल जाता है।

**बाध्यक्ष महोदय**ः मैं फैमला क्या करूं ?

डा० राम भनोहर नोहिया घाप प्रध्यक्ष महोदय हैं, घाप घगर फैममा इत मामने में नहीं देंगे तो .

**प्रस्थक महोदय**ं मैं कोई कोर्ट नहीं हूं।

डा॰ राज मनोहर नोहिया : कोर्ट प्राप इम नरह से हैं कि जेरे सवाल का जवाब तो बाप विज्ञा ही सकते हैं।

सम्बक्त शहोदय ं धन श्राप दूसरा सनाल करें :

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया : क्या मंत्री भंहोदय को मालम है कि छावनियों में एक करोड जनता रहती है ? बाकी 47 करोड जनता को स्वायल शासन के ग्रधिकार है नेकिन इस एक करोड जनता के स्वायत्त शासन के मधिकारों पर जबर्दस्त हमला हो रहा है क्योंकि उनके बोडों में, उनकी कमेटियों में धार्धे सदस्य पलटनों की तरफ से नामजद किये जाते हैं भीर ये सदस्य भारत के जनतंत्र के शासन को खत्म कर दिया करते हैं भीर यहां तक होता है कि बीस-बीस लाख रुपये का बजट दो-दो भिनट में पास करवा दिया जाता है। ऐसी स्थिति को देखते हर क्या मंत्री महोदय भारत की पलटन का ऐसे गैरजनतांत्रिक कमं से बिल्कुल भ्रलग कर सेंगे और छावनियों की जनता की बाकी जनता के मताबिक अपनी हकमत चलाने हेंगे ?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): The hon. Member has certainly raised some very important points, and as we have said in our main reply, we are ourselves examining this question.

As a matter of fact, I have myself made a request to the chairman of the Reforms Commission that he should also constitute a team which should study this question specially, and if they make any recommendations, certainly we shall consider them also.

I quite agree that there is certainly some scope for democratisation. But I would like to inform the House that we ourselves have taken certain steps for democratisation, by allowing parity between the elected members and the nominated members.

As regards the problems of the civil areas, a civil committee is formed in which the elected members form a majority, and they themselves are delegated all the powers to decide about those problèms, dut I quite conceuv this position that this is not enough, and something more will have to be done.

भी किशन पटनायक : मंत्री महोदय ने मंजीया पर यह इल्जाम लगाया है कि उन्होंने मैनहेंडल किया । मैं पहले तो यह जानना चाहता हं कि क्या टिमाटर फेंकना मैनहैंडलिंग

मध्यक महोदय : यह कोई सवाल नहीं है। मिनिस्टर साहब की स्पेशल इनफार्मेशन में यह नहीं भाता है कि टिमाटर फेंकना

श्री किशन पटनायक : मैं यह पूछना चाहता हुं कि जवाब देने के पहले क्या मिनिस्टर साहब ने मैं जिस्ट्रेट की जजमेंट देखी है जिसमें उन्होंने लिखा है :

The accused's complaint that after the incident he was roughly handled by the military officers and others present at the meeting appears to be true, for the police sent him to the Gandhi Hospital the next day for treatment

## क्या इसकी जानकारी उनको है ?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: This particular judgment has not been brought to our notice. We shall certainly examine judgment also.

Verification of Circulation Claims of Calcutta Newspaper

\*1693. Shri Basappa: Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Shri D. C. Sharma:

Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Registrar of Newspapers reported to the Diwakar Committee that the publisher of some Calcutta Newspaper was consistently refusing to show the account books regarding circulation;
- (b) if so, whether the Diwakar Committee recommended legal proceedings against the newspaper and its publisher;
- (c) the names of the concerned newspaper, its publisher and editor;
- (d) the newsprint quota allotted to it; and .

(e) the total amount of advertisements given by the Directorate of Audio-Visual Publicity for this publication after the Reeistrar of Newspapers had given his evidence before the Diwakar Committee?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri Raj Bahadur): (a) There is a mention in the Enquiry Committee Report that the Press Registrar had mentioned to the Committee that he was experiencing some difficulty in assessing the circulation of a Calcutta daily as the publishers had failed to submit for scrutiny the necessary accounts books.

- (b) The Committee has observed that in such cases the advice of the Ministry of Law should be sought for putting legal process in the motion.
  - (c) There is no mention in the Report of the Committee of the name of the newspaper referred to in part (a) of the question. The record of evidence before the Committee also does not contain the name of any particular paper in this context.
    - (d) and (e). Do not arise.

Shri Basappa: What efforts have been made to ascertain the name of this paper and whether this is a paper which is a member of the Audit Bureau of Circulation? If so, what is their estimate of its circulation ?

Shri Raj Bahadur: There is on the part of the Registrar an experience and feeling that many of the papers do not produce their account books and other relevant tocuments in time for the purpose of checking of circulation. The number in 1965 was as much as 149. It is in that context that the whole position has to be examined.

Shri Ranga: The question has not been answered. What efforts have been made to ascertain the name of the paper? Why did they not find it out from their own Registrar? Who made this complaint?

Shri Raj Bahadur: If I make an invidious distinction between one paper and another where there are as many as 149 such papers, it would not be fair to any one of those papers, if I name it.

On a matter of procedure.....

Mr. Speaker: When the name of one particular paper has been mentioned,there might be many others also-does the Minister not think that its name should be given?

Shri Raj Bahadur: I can give its name and also the names of the others who have been so reported.

Mr. Speaker: That statement might be laid on the Table.

Shri Raj Bahadur: If you like, I will do so.

Shri Basappa: While giving the name of the paper, will he also say what is the quantity of newsprint given and also the amount of advertisement given to this papers?

Mr. Speaker: We cannot ask for all those things now.

Shri Basappa: Of only that one paper.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: He might not agree to give the name of the paper. But he must have information about how much quota has been given and how much advertisement has been given to that particular paper?

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: You permitted this question to be formulated in this form. That being so, I do not see how it is not proper to give the name of the paper and other details in connection therewith.

Mr. Speaker: I have said it is not improper.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Therefore, it stands to reason, as Shri Ranga said and you agreed, that since the Registrar of Newspapers is an employee of his Ministry and since he gave evidence before the Committee, of which I happened to have been a member, it is easy enough to find out the name and give it to the .House

hri Raj Bahadur: The complaint was of a general nature. I have not the least

inclination to conceal the name from the House. But the question is whether 1 should make an invidious distinction. As you have advised. I will place it on the Table.

Shri Tyagi: One name only.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Is Shri Atulya Ghosh connected with that paper?

Shri Raj Bahadur: Since this is being insisted upon. I may say that against the demand based on entitlement already certified, the demand was for 1009.50 metric tonnes in respect of these two years, 1964-65 and 1965-66, and the quantity given was 462.91 metric tonnes, which is much less than even 50 per cent of the entitlement.

Shri Ranga: What is the name of the sacred paper?

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I want to seek your guidance.....

Mr. Speaker: On what ground should I refuse the question of Members about the name?

Shri Rai Bahadur: I do not mind giving the name. The name of this paper is Jan Sevak. I have got as many 23 now-28. If I give the name of one and do not give the names of the others, it will be making an invidious distinction as between paper and paper.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Before the Diwakar Committee, the Registrar deposed that the paper is not giving the circulation figures. Is it not a fact that the same Committee had said in its recommendations that the quota given to a paper against which there are certain allegations shall not be reduced unless it is given a show-cause notice? Is it a fact that this paper has since then supplied all the information wanted and yet without giving any showcause notice, why has the Minister chosen to reduce the quota?

Shri Raj Sahadur: The fact of the matter is that in the first two years the necessary account books were not forthcoming. Later all the papers have been received. Based on that against a claim of 22,318 circulation, the paper has been adjudged to have a circulation of 11,000 and on that basis the decision has been taken.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: How did you do that? After giving an opportunity?

Shri Raj Bahadur: After giving them proper notice. Also, they have every right to appeal at any time they like.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: This Registrar is the most prejudiced fellow.

Mr. Speaker: I did not follow what he said.

Shri Raj Bahadur: Since this took place, this is the second Registrar. So, none of them is prejudiced.

Shri D. C. Sharma: May I know if the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting has any legal powers, or has given any legal powers to the Registrar of Newspapers, so that he can bring the erring newspapers to account, and if not, what steps the Government is going to take so that those newspapers which do not comply with the regulations of the Indian Newspaper Act are brought to book?

Shri Rai Bahadur: The Registrar of Newspapers has got the power to check the circulation and to demand evidence, account books etc., in support of the circulation claimed by any individual newspaper. In case that is not done, the Registrar is entitled to reduce, after giving proper notice, the newsprint to be allotted to the particular paper. That is the sanction that he has got.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I would like know whether, despite the report of the Diwakar Committee. Government has not initiated any legal proceedings against this Jan Sevak run by Atulya Ghosh, and whether it is a fact that after the reduction of the quota, the Chief Minister of Rajashan, Mr. Sukhadia, inervened and requested the Information Minister to release the newsprint quota of this organ of the ruling party? May I know why Government has not taken action against this paper?

Shri Raj Bahadur: The latter part of the question and the insinuation made

therein is totally repudiated. It is not at all a fact. The Rajasthan Chief Minister never approached me.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: What about the first part of the question? The first part of my question is....

Mr. Speaker: The first part also is going to be answered, but hon. Members should show greater responsibility. They should first satisfy themselves that there is reason for making any imputations.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: What is the insinuation?

Mr. Speaker: It is left to the Minister to deny or repudiate, but the mischief is done.

Shri S. M. Bunerjee: On a point of personal explanation.....

Mr. Speaker: I would like to know what ground he had to say like this (Interruptions).

Shri P. Venkatasubbalah: He had made an allegation. What are the facts in his possession for making such an allegation?

Shri Tyagi rose-

Mr. Speaker: Only when I call any Member, he should speak. I will call him. Not in this manner.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: My question was whether despite the recommendation of the Diwakar Committee. Government has not initiated any action against the paper Jan Sevak because it is under the administration of Atulya Ghosh, whether it is a fact that when the quota was reduced the Chief Minister. Mr Sukhadia, requested the Information Minister to restore the quota. What is the assinuation. If it is not so let him say so. (Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: It is not enough that a question is thrown just in this mannor—is it not a fact that such and such a man was treated like that is it not a fact that such and such a man told a lie—and then it comes from the Minister it is not a fact. That is not enough. Members should

satisfy themselves that there is at least some reasonable ground for believing that.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Let there be an enquiry against the newspaper. Black-marketing is going on. Only because Atulya Ghosh is there, no enquiry is being made.

Mr. Speaker: I will ask him to give the information to me.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I want to know whether it is correct or not.

Mr. Speaker: That should be decided first. If it is asked, "I want to know whether such and such a man has committed rape", the mischief is done, and if the Minister says it is not a fact, would that be enough?

Mr. Speaker: First the Member should satisfy himself that there are reasons to believe.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: If I am satisfied, I would have put a more definite question......(Interruptions).

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Similarly, can one ask whether Mr. Banerjee has taken some money for putting this question?

Shri Tyagi: In all fairness when one of the papers of a similar category had been named, it would be better if ten or 12 names, whatever they are, they are also read. I would, therefore, suggest five or six other names may also be read. Which are the names?

Shri Raj Bahadur: From Andhra Pradesh: Vahini. Pragathi. Sahakari. Timber Samachar: from Bihar: Awaz. Navarashtra. Sathi. Viman. Atma. Himalaya. Naqueeb. Navashakti. Nepal Sandesh. Paigham.-E-Nehru. Roshni. Uttar Bihar. Vishwa Bandhu. Chatra Bandhu. Gram Sewak, Sevanjali; from Delhi: Namdhari Samachar. Navan Hindustan. Wattan. Daur.-I-Jadid. India. Haujjan, Jasoosi Phanda: from Gujarak, Agahai. Prakash. Spatriik.... (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: How many are there?

Shri Raj Bahadur: I have got 149 cases for 1965.

Oral Answers

Mr. Speaker: They may be placed on the Table of the House.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: It has been admitted by the Minister that this particular paper was showing an inflated ngure of circulation and was taking more newspaper quota than was due to it. Has the Government made enquiries of reports about blackmarketing of the extra paper that they got?

Shri Raj Bahadur: No such thing came to our notice. On the contrary, as far back as 1962, the State Government did support the claim of the paper and thereupon there were some questioning and the Registrar promised an enquiry which done and because of certain facts and figures a tentative decision was reached for about 11,000 circulation, and even this was however protested by the paper concerned.

Shri Ranga: What does he say? What is the reply? Is it the reply to Mr. Dwivedy's question?

Mr. Speaker: He says that the Minister has stated that the paper had given inflated circulation and on enquiry it was found that the real circulation was less.

Shri Raj Bahadur: I have said that the State Government by its circulation officer supported the claim of the paper and there were some questions raised and there were enquiries and on the basis of those enquiries, tentatively we have reduced it to a figure of 11,000 in circulation.....(Interruption). So far as we are concerned. we have taken a tentative decision that they should not get on 22,000 circulation, they should get on 11,000 only. They are protesting and therefore, we cannot say this is the final position.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Is it the reply to niv question? When I put that supplementary, he admits that it has been 10....

Mr. Speaker: The answer has come that the paper is protesting that their circulation is what they have been claiming.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: No. Sir.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Has not the government enquired about the blackmarketing of paper?.....(Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: Government came to the conclusion that the circulation was not as much as was declared by the paper. But the paper is still fighting out that case.

Shri Nambiar: Every paper fights.

Mr. Speaker: The position is this.

Shri Raj Bahadur: May I make one thing clear? About the whole of 149 papers, the only difficulty which was reported by the Registrar was that they could not or did not produce the account books. If the account books were produced they are verified from time to time; there is nothing unusual in that. The 149 papers cannot all be condemned; nor can this be.

Shri Ranga: For two years thereafter, they did not submit their accounts to you.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya.

Shri C. K. Khattacharyya: The hon. Minister told the House that there are more than 100 papers which have failed to supply the required circulation figures. Has he made any enquiry as to why the Press Registrar has chosen this particular paper for his attack before the Diwakar Committee when there are more than 100 papers in the list of defaulters?

Shri Raj Bahadur: The reason was that some complaints were made against this paper by those who were opposed to this paper. Therefore an enquiry had to be made in the normal course.

Shri Nambiar: May I know who is the editor of this paper?

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Next question.

#### Sino-Pak Collusion

\*1694. Shri Shree Narayan Das: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) the latest assessment made by Government regarding SinoOral Answers

Pak, lollusion against India arising out of the recent Sino-Pakistan manoeuvres both in the West and East Pakistan: and

(b) if so, the steps taken to counteract evil effect of it?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri SWaran Singh): (a) The reent visit of Chairman Liu Shao-Chi to Pakistan and the statements made during the visit by the Chinese and Pakistani leaders clearly confirm Sino-Pak. collusion against India. The supply of military equipment to Pakistan by China has added a new dimension to this Sino-Pak collusion.

(b) The Government are taking necessary steps to meet the situation.

Shri Shree Narayan Das: May I know whether the Government of India have studied the question in the context of the fact that Pakistan invaded India and since then, Pakistan has followed a policy which is bringing Pakistan nearer to China as well as nearer to the USA, the United Kingdom and the USSR even, and so, may I know what is the result of the study and what is the reaction of the Government in this regard?

Shri Swaran Singh: The result of the study is that the presumption that the hon-Member is giving expression to is not correct.

Shri Shree Narayan Das: May I know whether it is a fact that recently some of the important outposts beyond the ceasefire line are being strengthened with the help of the Chinese experts and Chinese arms and, if so, what is the Government doing with regard to meeting the situation that may be created in the near future?

Shri Swaran Singh: This matter was referred to some days ago on the floor of the House. On our side, we have to strengthen our defences and any steps that Pakistan takes which are in contravention of the agreement, are a clear violation of the Tashkeut declaration and spirit.

Shri Narendra Slagh Mahida: May I know whether it is a fact that some part of the Gilgit area has been handed over by Pakistan to China and whether China is preparing on a large scale to train the Pakistan army?

Shri Swaran Singh: We have information that the Chinese did supply arms and armaments to the Pakistanis, but we have not got any firm information about the training of Pakistani army by the Chinese.

Shri Narendra Singh Mahida: I mentioned about the surrender of the Gilgit area by Pakistan to China.

Speaker: Surrender of some parts of the Gilgit area by Pakistan China.

Shri Swaran Singh: It is true that the northern part of Pakistan-occupied part of Kshmir was given by the Pakistanis to the Chinese, on what they described as a border agreement. Besides that, I have no other information.

श्रीबढे: प्रभी मंत्री जी ने कहा कि ताशकंद समझौते की स्पिरिट के विरुद्ध यह काम हो रहे हैं। तो क्या भ्रापकी गदर्नमेंट ने रणा को इस बारे में कुछ लिखा है या उनकी नजर में यह बात लाई गई है कि चाइना भौर पाकिस्तान इन दोनों का भापस में मिलन यह ताशकंद समझौते के विकट हो रहा है ? यदि हां, तो रणा का इस बारे में क्या रिऐक्शन है ?

Shri Swaran Singh: China is not a party to the Tashkent declaration. I know they have been opposing the Tashkent declaration from the very beginning, and their action is really consistent with their original stand, to take steps to torpedo the Tashkent declaration. (Interruption). The hon. Member does not come here very often, and that is why he is repeating the question. This has been answered before: that we have been keeping the Soviet Union informed about the viblation of the Tashkent declaration by Pakistan. have already informed the House: probably the hon, member was not present.

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: The surrender of land by Pakistan to China and the regular training of Pakistani people in the Chinese army have proved very clearly the unholy alliance of Pakistan and China. What are the countries which disapprove of this unholy affiance and what steps are we going to take to safeguard our position vis-a-vis the position of these two allied countries?

Shri Swaran Singh: We have to continue to take steps to strengthen our unity and defence potential. What other countries disaprove of the Sino-Pak alliance or collusion is for those other countries to decide. There is not much point in going round the world and asking other countries to disapprove of the collusion between Pakistan and China. We have to defend ourselves pointing out our assessment of this relationship to other countries and it is for the other countries to decide. (Interruption).

Mr. Sneaker: We cannot give our opinion about other countries.

Swell: Has the Government's attention been drawn to the recent statement of President Ayub Khan of Pakistan that Pakistan's relation with the USA is coming to normalcy, i.e., going back to the relationship that obtained between them before the Indo-Pak conflict? Has the Government assessed the truth of that statement and if there is truth in it, how do they square it up with this, this growing Sino-Pak collusion to which the Minister referred?

Shri Swaren Singh: I have seen the report. About the truth of the content of the report, it is either for China or for United States to say something. How can I say whether Pakistan's relationship with USA has returned to normal or not?

भी प्रकाशबीर शास्त्री: मैं यह जानना चाहता हं कि भारतवर्ष के पूर्वी भाग में विशेषकर प्रासाम, मणिपुर, विपुरा धौर नावालींड में क्या यह सत्य है कि चीन घौर पाकिस्तान दोनों ने मिलकर कुछ इस प्रकार से धपनी सांठ-गांठ की है कि लाखों की संख्या में पहले प्रपने व्यक्ति वहां भेजकर पान्तरिक विद्रोह कराया जाय भीर फिर भनुकुल स्थिति देखकर भारत के पूर्वी भाग पर **प्रक्रिकार जमाया जाय ? यदि हां. तो इस** सम्बन्ध में भारत सरकार की प्रतिक्रिया क्या है ?

Shri Swaran Stoch: There was subdued noise in the House and I could not fully comprehend the question. It is a fact that Pakistan has been encouraging the Nagas in the eastern part of our country and they have also been encouraging the Mizos. To what extent is the Chinese hand in this is not clear. If there is any Chinese hand, it will be via Pakistan and that also will be Pakistani help. We are aware of the situation and we have to take the necessary corrective steps.

श्री विभृति मिश्र ग्रध्यक्षजी, मंत्री जी ने भाभी कवल किया पाकिस्तान भौर चीन की कुछ साठ गांठ है, सभी सेशन बन्द हो रहा है, हम लोग जायेंगे तो लोग पूछेंगे कि हमारी फौजी तैयारी क्या है भीर हमको बाहर से क्या मदद मिलेगी क्योंकि सुरक्षा मंत्री ने एक दिन पहले कबल किया है कि डेढ लाख फौजी चीनी हमारी सरहद पर हैं, तो लोग भलावे में नहीं भायेंगे, वह डेफिनिट हमसे जानना चाहेंगे कि हमारी सरकार क्या कर रही है फीज के मामले में भौर विदेश से हमें क्या-क्या महायता मिल रही: **\*** ?

Shri Swaran Singh: The Defence Minister has noted this point. It is not usual to give figures about the disposition of our defence forces.

भी विमृति मिश्र प्रध्यक्ष जी, प्बाइंट बाफ बार्डर है । यह हिन्दुस्तान की जनता के द्वारा हम लोग चुनकर भागे हैं। वह इस तरह से मुलाबे से नहीं मानते हैं । वह डेफिनिट चाहते हैं कि हम क्या कर रहे हैं हिन्दूस्तान की सुरका के लिए, इसलिए मंत्री जी ठीक से बतावें।

क्राप्यक्ष महोबय : प्रव वह हमें तो नहीं बतलाते । प्राप पार्टी में उनसं प्रकारिया पर्छ लें ।

Shri Tyagi: Are not the Chinese helping Pakistan in constructing a road which would connect Haji Pir bulge with China, so that they can easily bring their armoured vehicles to our front? If it is a fact will the Government assure this House that they are taking immediate defensive action in this regard?

Shri Swaran Singh: Sir. I am unable to say if any road is being constructed between....

Shri Sham Lai Saraf: The two cannot meet.

Shri Tyagi: I was saying about the road connecting Chinese territry to the Haji Pir bulge on the other side where Pakistan is having heavy defence installations. Are not the Chinese helping them to build this road so that they can bring their vehicles to the front?

Shri Swaran Singh: Chinese vehicals if they want to bring, can be brought into Pakistan territory and from there they can go to any part of Pakistan-occupied Kashmir. On this road between Haji Pir and Chinese territory. I am afraid I am unable to throw any further light.

Rev. Michael Scott

1695. Shri Hem Barua: Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri S. M. Banerjee: Shri Kishen Pattnayak:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether in relation to what he said in the House about the steps to be taken against the Rev. Michael Scott, member of the Nagaland Peace Mission immediately after the second round of talks betwoon the Prime Minister and the Naga underground leaders at Delhi are over any steps have been or proposed to be taken against the gentleman concerned; and

(b) if so, the nature of the action taken or proposed to be taken?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Dinosh Singh):
(a) and (b) The Government of India have asked Rev. Scott to leave India and he has already left the country.

Shri Hem Barua: Now that the main villain of the Nagaland drama is off the stage, may I know if the Government are aware of the fact that the Baptist Church in Nagaland has constituted another peace body-we call it 'Peace Mission'-if so, in that connection, if Government are in a position to tell us that: (a) religious institutions like the Baptist Church must not dable in political matters, for that complicates political issues, and; (b) whether Government are prepared to tell us that there is no necessity for a second peace body for our experience about the peace body in Nagaland is that peace bodies create 'dispeace' and at the same time the underground. Naga leaders are having their discussions with the Prime Minister?

Shri Dinesh Singh: We have acenreports to that effect, that Church leaders have nominated some more people to the Peace Mission. We any communication.

Shri Hem Barua: You have received. The communication from the Baptist Mission has reached the office of the Foreign Minister on 12th May and it is there.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order, answers should be heard.

Shri Dinesh Singh: I am afraid the hon. Member move more information. But I checked it up even this morning. We have not received any proposal so far from the Church leaders. We shall bear in mind the suggestions made by the hon. Member when we receive the proposals.

Shri Hem Baren: Now that Shri Chalina, a member of the now defunct Nagaland Peace Mission, has issued a statement saying that it is the armed wing of the so-called Nagaland Federal Government who are responsible for the sabotages committed in Assam and that the civil wing of the so-called Nagaland Federal Government was persuaded by Shri Chaliba to issue a statement condemning these acts of sabotage in Assam, may I know, in that context, whether Government are going to have a second round or a third round or a further round of talks with these Naga underground leaders since they are people who cannot deliver the goods, people who do not have any authority on the armed wing who are creating all this havoc? It is for the Prime Minister to say.

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Enrgy (Shrimati Indira Gandhi): Sir, I think we should not precipitate the breaking of these talks. But. however, nothing has yet been decided about the third round.

Shri Hem Barua: Sir, my question was specific. Shri Chaliha, a member of the now defunct Nagaland Peace Miss'on, has said openly that it is the armed wing of the Nagaland hostiles who are responsible for committing these acts of sabotage and, at the same time, the civil wing of the Nagaland underground leaders have condemned these acts of sabotage. That shows the Naga civil wing does not have any hold on the armed wing. Therefore, what is the purpose in having any discussion with these people who cannot deliver the goods? (Interruption).

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: He is repeating the same question.

Mr. Speaker: That answer has come. The Government does not propose to precipitate breaking off these talks. Shri Yashpal Singh.

Shri Hem Barua: Because I thought the answer to my question had not come.

Mr. Speaker: He should resume his useat now.

Shri Hem Barua: Why should not I crepeat the same question?

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Hem Barua: I will do it. Nobody can stop me.....(Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

भी यशपाल सिंह : सरकार ने यह नहीं बतलाया कि पादरी स्काट के हाथों से जो देश की डिस्सविस हुई है भीर नागालैंड में जो उन की गहाराना हुरकतें हैं उस के मुताल्लिक सरकार ने उन्हें क्या पनिशमेंट दी है ? यह तो कोई बात नहीं हुई कि वह भ्रपना काम करके चले गये, जो उन के हाथों डिस्सविस हुई है उस के लिए उन्हें क्या सजा दी गई है ?

श्री दिनेश सिंह : सजा देने का कोई सवाल इस में नहीं उठता । उन्होंने कुछ बातें की जो कि उन के लिए करना मुनासिब नहीं था खास कर जिस जगह पर वे थे वहां उन को नहीं करना चाहिए था इसलिये हम ने उन से कहा कि पीस मिशन में वह कोई और फायदेमंद काम नहीं कर सकते हैं लिहाजा बेहतर है कि वह चले जायं भीर वे चले गये यहां से ।

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Rev. Michael Scott. has left the country—well and good—but I would like to know whether he is still in correspondence or in league with some of those Naga hostiles who were responsible for creating this trouble......

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Proceedings cannot be followed. There is so much of noise.

Shri S. M. Banerjes: I would like to know whether, after leaving India, Rev. Michael Scott has left some of his followers or his political progeny, if I may say so, in Nagaland to create more trouble and if so, the steps taken by the Government to break this chain.

Shri Dinesh Singh: I am sure there are some sympathisers of Rev. Michael Scott there. He has been voicing a con-

tain opinion which has been supported by some people. We have already made our position quite clear and we continue to hold the same.

श्री किशन पटनायक : जैसा कि मैंने साप से कहा सरकार ने यह सदन् में माना है कि विद्रोही नागाओं के उत्पात के पीछे बिटिश कूटनीतिक हाथ भी है ता मैं जानना चाहता हूँ कि क्या सरकार ने इसका पता सगाया है कि माइकेल स्काट बिटिश कूटनीति के एक गैर-सरकारी कार्यकर्ता हैं ?

भी विनेश सिंह: मैं इसके बारे में कुछ नहीं कह सकता . . . (व्यवधान)

एक माननीय सदस्य : क्यों नहीं कह सकते ?

भी बागड़ी: पता लगाया है या नहीं सवाल यह पूछा गया है?

श्री **मबुलिमये** : मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है ।

**प्रध्यक्ष महोदय** : व्यवस्था इसमें कहां उठती है ?

श्री मनु लिमये: मैं बतलाता हूं कि वह क्या व्यवस्था है एक सैकेंड में मैं बतलाता हूं।

श्री विनेश सिंह : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, इसके बारे में मैंने प्रजं किया कि वह ब्रिटिश सरकार के किसी कूटनीतिश के यहां पर नुमायन्दे हैं वा नहीं है यह कहना बड़ा मुश्किल है इस के बारे में पता लगाना कि दूसरी सरकार का कोई जासूस है या नहीं है लेकिन हम उनके काम को यहां पर देखें तो उससे मालूम होता है कि उनका काम कोई मुनासिब नहीं रहा है श्रीर उसको देखते हुए हम ने उनको यहां से वापिस भेज दिया ।

Shri Nath Pal: Has the Government's attention been drawn to a report that Rev. Machael Scott has had a special interview with the British Minister of Foreign Affairs, Mr. Arthur Bottomley and, if the 707 (ai) 1.5—2.

Government knows it, may we know whether Mr. Bottomley was being prevailed upon by Mr. Scott to take interest in this matter and whether the Government has taken up this matter and made a representation to the British Government that this is purely an internal affair and that the British Government is not called upon to interfere in this way?

Shri Dinesh Singh: I entirely agree with the hon. Member that this is an internal matter in which the British Government has nothing to do at all. We have also seen the reports of Rev. Machael Scott meeting the Commonwealth Secretary. But he is a British national and. as such, he meets his Ministers.

12.00 hrs.

#### Paradip Port

\*S.N.Q. 35. Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Will the Minister of Transport, Aviation, Shipping and Tourism be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any charter of demands has been received from the Paradip Port Workers' Union;
- (b) whether it is a fact that the President of the Union met personally the Minister concerned and top officials of the Ministry in January, 1966 and urged for urgent intervention to check illegal activities of the Chief Engineer of Paradip Port;
- (c) whether even the conciliation proceedings have failed on account of the uncooperative attitude of the Chief Engineer; and
- (d) if so, the action taken to avert the strike?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Transport and Aviation (Shri C. M. Poonacha): (a) Yes S.ir. A list of demands was received from the Union on 30-4-1966.

(b) The President of the Union shad discussions with senior officers of the Ministry regarding port labour problems in Paradip last January. He explained the Union's point of view and he was informed that action would be taken strictly

- (c) Government do not agree that the conciliation proceedings have failed because of the attitude of the Chief Engineer and Administrator.
- (d) Further necessary action has been initiated by the Labour Relations machinery with regard to the points of difference between the Project authorities and the Union. Government see no justification for the Union launching a strike from the 23rd instant.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: What is the answer to parts (c) and (d) of the question?

Shri C. M. Poonacha: The notice of strike is there, but the authorities concerned at Paradip port have been given instructions to deal with the situation. in respect of the various demands, strictly in accordance with the labour laws, and the matter is dealt with accordingly.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: The hon. Minister has said that the Chief Engineer had not violated any labour laws. May I draw his attention to the fact that on 19th October, 1965, the Chief Engineer himself had committed in writing that he had retrenched about 300 workers and that had been done illegally, and he had agreed with the Assistant Labour Commissioner at Jharsaguda that those workers would be taken back and they would be considered as if they had been there on the rolls, and back wages would be paid to them. But he has not done that for the last six months. May I know what action has been taken against the Engineer?

Shri C. M. Poonacha: Some of the workmen belonging to some of the coastal vessels working at Paradip port were retrenched. I want to know whether the hon. Member is referring to those cases of retrenghment.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Those are different. These cases refer to 300 workers who had been illegally retrenched, and the Chief Engineer himself had admitted ultimately that he had done that illegally, and he promised that he would take them back. As regards the workers belonging to the the coastal vessels, I shall come to that question presently.

Oral Answers

Shri C. M. Poonacha: If the question is with regard to the other shore-labour. instructions have already been issued to the port authorities to pay compensation where retrenchment is inevitable, because after the completion of the project, a large number of labourers working in the port area are found surplus to our requirements. Therefore, they have got to be retrenched, and such retrenchment would be on the basis of 'last-come-first-go', and whatever is permissible under the labour laws by way of compensation and such other things would be paid to them. Those instructions have been issued, and the port authorities are taking accordingly.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: It seems that he has not read the charter of demands at all. I have put a specific question about 300 workers who had been retrenched, and in regard to whom the Chief Engineer had admitted in writing pefore the conciliation officer that it had been done wrongly and he would take them back ....

Mr. Speaker: That information is probably not with the hon. Minister .....

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: And he goes on saying that the authorities have been instructed to proceed according to the labour laws. What purpose does this answer serve?

Mr. Speaker: He says that these 300 construction workers.....

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: This has nothing to do with them. These 300 workers had been retrenched, illegally . . . .

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member is referring to some 300 labourers belonging to a different category. He says that these workers had been retrenched, and the Chief Engineer himself had said that they

had been retrenched illegally. That is the allegation.

Shri C. M. Poonacha: That matter is under conciliation proceedings with the local labour officer. That officer is seized of the question. He is taking necessary action to see that nothing illegal is done so far as the labour employed there are concerned.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Let him straightway say 'I do not have the facts; I will find out and tell the House tomorrow'. This conciliation has failed. It has been reported to the Ministry. There are three other matters, victimisation of trade union officials, and vessel workers, about which he mentioned. All these conciliation proceedings have failed and the matter has been referred to here. They are not referring it to the tribunal.

Mr. Speaker: He might put his second question. I will ask him to get the information on this question and lay it on the Table.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Tomorrow he can give it. These are all with them.

Mr. Speaker: If he can, he might give it tomorrow; if he cannot, later.

Shri Surendramath Dwivedy: Not only about this. Is it not a fact that conciliation has failed regarding the victimisation of officials for trade union activities and also victimisation of the water works staff numbering 35. illegal retrenchment of vessel staff, about which he was mentioning, numbering 40 or so? All these reports of failure of conciliation have been referred to the Ministry. Why have these cases not been referred to the tribunal?

One of the demands of the Union was for recognition, that being the only Union functioning there since 1964. Why this delay in according recognition to this trade Union?

Shri C. M. Poonacha: There are different batches of labour employed in various categories of work. As for labour who are working in the water works, the new water works installations have been completed. Earlier 250 tube-wells were being operated

employing a large number of labour. Consequent on the new water supply scheme having been finalised those labourers who have been found surplus are being retrenched....(Interruptions). Why don't you listen to me? If you do not want to listen, what can I do? I am giving information.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: The question is specific. You are not giving the answer to that.

Shri C. M. Poonacha: I am giving u clear answer.

Mr. Speaker: Order order. The Minister might go on.

Shri C. M. Poonacha: As for the crew that were employed in the vessels working there the vessels were taken on hire from the Government of Orissa and they were being used by the port authorities on the basis of payment of special hire charges. After the work was finished, the vessels have gone back to the Orissa Government. The part of the crew is not the concern of the port authorities.

As regards recognition of the Union, there are three Unions registered. The matter is under consideration as to how recognition should be accorded and necessary verifications are going on.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: He should give specific replies to my specific questions. I referred to the vessels workers, then recognition of the particular union which should have been given. As regards conciliation proceedings regarding victimisation, retrenchment etc. the failure report was submitted to the Ministry.

Mr. Speaker: That he has not got. He will find out.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Let him sav so. It was sent in Docember. I have written letters to them. They are not doing anything in the matter.

Shri C. M. Poonacha: The matter was discussed here in January. The President of the particular Union was here and the whole matter has been gone into in detail and very many of the demands have not been pressed since. Only one of the demands, about recognition of the Union, is

now being pressed. The matter is now being examined, because there are three Unions. It has to be verified and decided as to which of these Unions should be given recognition. This particular headquarters are in Cuttack. As to what amount of representation it enjoys with regard to Port labour has to be verified. It cannot be done straightway.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Again. recognition apart, he has not replied to the question whether report of failure of conciliation has been received or not.

Mr. Speaker: Whether conciliation report been received by Government.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: He is evading the question altogether.

Speaker: Whether conciliation has failed, and the report has reached the Government about that.

Surendranath Dwivedy: should be referred to the tribunal. is the next course.

Shri C. M. Poonacha: On that point, this is not a recognised union, and the matter is being discussed, and we are trying to do our best so far as retrenchment of labour is concerned.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: should get some reply. It may not be a recognised union, but if conciliation proceedings were held by the Labour Ministry, the next course, according to law is to refer it to the Employment Ministry, to refer it to the tribunal. He does not reply to that. It is not a recognised union, that is only one part.

Mr. Speaker: Twice I have repeated. What can I do?

Renu Shrimati Chakravartty: Mr. Poonacha always does this. He has not replied. He must answer the question.

Shri Bade: Why should he avoid the question?

Mr. Speaker: The Member is insisting that if conciliation has failed, the matter ought to have been referred to the tribunal. According to the Minister, from what I could follow, his plea was that the union was not a recognised one. Probably he means to say that in that case. because the union is not a recognised one, therefore, even if conciliation.....

Shri S. M. Banerjee: That is wrong.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: would be going against the law.

Mr. Speaker: I may be mistaken. I do not know the labour laws.

Am I correct in inferring or concluding from what the Minister has said that his stand is that because the union was not a recognised one, therefore when the conciliation failed with that union, it was not necessary to go to the tribunal? Am I right?

Shri C. M. Poonacha: The position is that the union is not a recognised one.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: That is a different matter altogether.

Shri C. M. Poonacha: It is merely a registered union, and the headquarters of this union is in Cuttack, it is not in Paradip. The Labour, Employment Rehabilitation Ministry is now verifying the fact as to what extent the members of this union represent dock labour. This is the most crucial point which is being now verified by the Labour Ministry.

Mr. Speaker: Whether the reference to the tribunal would depend upon the decision of this issue?

Shri C. M. Poonacha: Yes. Sir.

Surendranath Dwivedy: The headquarters is not at Cuttack, you are wrong.

Shri A. P. Sharma: Strike is the last resort for settling the disputes of workers when there is no alternative left. The Minister has said it is not a recognised union. May I know from him whether the union has taken a strike ballot to obtain the views of the workers before giving strike notice, or a few handful of people having registered a union have given this strike notice?

Shri C. M. Poonacha: I do not have any information.

Shri A. P. Sharma: This is a very important point that I have raised.

Mr. Speaker: I am not disputing its importance.

Shri A. P. Sharma: Will this information be made available?

Mr. Speaker: If the Minister says that he has not got the information at this moment, what should I do?

Shri A. P. Sharma: Will it be made available later on? Let the Minister say. He wants to say something.

Mr. Speaker: I have asked the question. He has answered it.

Shri A. P. Sharma: I wanted to say that the strike is not legal.

Mr. Speaker: This insistence of Members I cannot understand. The Minister says he has not got the information.

Shri M. L. Dwivedi: He can lay it on the Table of the House.

Mr. Speaker: I cannot compel him to come out with that information. What should I do?

Shri A. P. Sharma: I have raised a legal point. No strike can be valid unless and until the view of at least 75 per cent of the workers are in favour of the strike. Therefore, I have made this point. I wanted to know whether this union has obtained the views of the workers before giving the strike notice. That is a very important point. If the information is not available with the Minister, let the information be made available later.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Ranga: In view of the fact that the Minister has said that this guestion has been pending for the past five months, may be more, because in December they had their talks with the conciliation officer, may I know if when the conciliation officer was carrying on his proceedings or his talks there was only one union which he had to deal with so far as labour was concerned and thereafter two more have

come into existence and Government is waiting to see which one of this new unions which had been helped by somebody or the other to come into existence should be recognised apart from the original one?

Shri C. M. Poonacha: As far as the information that I have, we have three registered unions. As to which came into existence first, and which next, I do not have any information. I will try to collect this information and place it before he House.

Some Hon. Members rose-

Mr. Speaker: There are three short notice questions which I had admitted. That was a mistake. Fifteen minutes have been taken by this one.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: There are several others who want to put supplementary questions.

Explosion of Mines in Border Areas of Puniab

S.N.O. 36. Shri R. Barus: Shri Guldhan: Shri Onkar Lai Berwa: Shri Kishen Pattnayak: Shrimati Basaut Kunwari: Shri Madhu Limaye: Shri Hukam Chand Kachhavaiya: Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia; Shri Maurya: Shri Bagri: Shri Hem Barua: Suri Surendranath Dwivedy: Shri D. C. Sharma:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether a number of civilians have been killed as a result of explosion of mines laid by Pakistanis in the border areas of Punjab since the withdrawal of Pakistani troops from those areas:
- (b) if so, the total number of civilians as well as military personnel thus killed;
- (c) whether all the mines laid by the Pakistani forces in those areas have since been cleared; and

(d) whether Government has taken up this matter with the Government Pakistan?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) and (d). Government are aware of some recent deaths as a result of explosions of mines near Amritsar border. Since withdrawal of forces, three persons have died due to explosions of mines near Amritsar.

- (c) All mines have since been cleared.
- (d) No. Sir.

Shri R. Barua: May 1 know whether these mines were laid after the cease-fire and at the time of discussion of the withdrawal this matter was taken up?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: No mines were laid after the cease-fire but this matter of laying of the mines was discussed before the agreement for the actual withdrawal started. Pakistani forces certainly had removed some of the mines but till the last minute they could not remove some and about these they had given full information and sketches of these mines also. We find that even besides the sketches they gave us, a few more mines were found ultimately. There is no case of any fresh laying of the mines.

भी गुलकानः क्यायहस्य नहीं है कि सीमावर्सी क्षेत्रों से जो भारतीय उखाडे थे. उनको शिविरों में रखने के लिये सरकार भस-फल हुई, उसके कारण ही वे लोग वापस मीमावर्ती क्षेत्रों में सैनिकों द्वारा बारूदी सरंगों के उठाये बगैर ही भेज दिये गये भीर इस गल्ती के फलस्वरूप सैकडों भारतीय नागरिक खेमकरण क्षेत्रमें मारे गये ? ग्रब सीमावर्ती क्षेत्र बारूदी स्रेगों से मुक्त कियाजा चुका है?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: We had taken all precautions about warning the people con cerned. As a matter of fact for a few weeks we did not allow anybody to go and reside in those areas. But sometimes some people make mistakes and suffer consequences as a result of that.

की जलकान: प्रभी हाल में पंजाब फिर-इसाऊ मंत्री सरदार गुरुदयाल सिंह

ढिल्लों ने कहा हैं कि 70 भ्रादमी श्रकेले खेम-करण क्षेत्र में मारे गये. यहां मिनिस्टर साहब ने तीन बताया है, किसमें सच्चाई है।

Shri Y. B. Chavan: I would certainly like to clarify that point. I know that figure of 70 is being mentioned. This number of 70 odd really speaking refers to the number of persons who were injured or killed since May, 1965. Because, as you know, as the House is aware, after may, we had our own forces advanced around the border; sometimes, mines were laid there also. The present question refers to the mines explosions, and it really involves the events after the withdrawal of the Pakistani forces. That is the point.

भी मणुलिमधे: ग्रभी मंत्री महोदय ने कहा कि यद्भवन्दी के बाद 25 फरवरी तक जबकि फीजें वापिस बलाई गई पावि**ः**तान ने नई सुरगें या नये बम वगैरावहां पर नहीं छोड़े थे। मैं जानना चाहता हं कि इस जानकारी का ग्राधार क्या है ? क्या इसका बाधार वह है जो पाकिस्तान कहता है ? मेरे प्रक्न का (ख) भाग यह है कि फाजिलका. बेमकरन चादि इलाकों में, क्या यह बात सही हैं कि 25 फरवरी के बाद बारह से प्रधिक लोगों की मस्य इन विल्फोटों के कारण हुई है। में चाहता हूं कि पूरा मांकड़ा, राजस्थान, बेमकरन प्रादि के बारे में जहां जहां पाकि-स्तानी सेना धाई थी. मत्री महोदय. महर का देने की क्रमाकरें।

**प्रध्यक्ष महोदय** : यह मब'ल तो बोईर एरिया भाफ पंजाब का था । राजस्थान इसमें कैसे . . .

श्री मचु लियमें : साथ साथ कह देंगे तो क्या बुरा है। नहीं तो फाजिल्क कातो कम से कम कहें।

Shri Y. B. Chavan: I will give the information that is available with me. Bcfore the withdrawal, in Amritan area, there were 20 deaths owing to mines and 25 injured. After withdrawal, in the Ferozepur area, deaths were two; injured 6. In the Amritsar area there were three deaths; two injured. In the Gurdaspur area, there was one injured. So, as a matter of fact, before withdrawal and after withdrawal, the total is as follows: Punjab:

Oral Answers

17000

भी मधु लिभये: राजस्थान के बारे में भी कुछ कहिये।

Shri Y. B. Chavan: About Rajasthan, 1 have no information. Ferozepur: two deaths; Amritsar 23 deaths; all combined—before withdrawal and after withdrawal, in the case of injured, Ferozepur. 6. Amritsar, 27 and Gurdaspur, one.

डा॰ राम ननोहर लोहिया : मंत्री महोदय ने पाकिस्तान के नक्शों का जिक किया । क्या उन नक्शों में जहां जहां सुरंगें भीर विस्फोटक पदार्थ थे सब इलाके ज्ञामिल थे ? भगर नहीं थे तो क्या ताशकंद समझौते के मुताबिक भीर भन्तर्राष्ट्रीय कानून में गोली-बन्दी की संधियों भीर नियमों के मुताबिक पाकिस्तान को ये पूरे नक्शे देने नहीं चाहिये थे ?

Shri Y. B. Chavas: I gave all the information that is available with me. They gave sketches of a number of mines in the area from where they had withdrawn. But as I mentioned, some, or rather, two mines were discovered even after withdrawal, and whose sketches were not given; it may be possibly by mistake; I cannot say, I cannot interpret any motive for that.

बा॰ राम भनोहर लोहिया: मैं प्राप से निवेदन करना हूं कि मवाल बिल्कुल साफ बा। तीन बलाके हैं जहां पर हमारे प्रावमी मरे हैं। वहां पर सुरंगें भीर विस्फोटक थे। पाकिस्तान ने हटते वस्त वे सब नक्शे विये थे बा नहीं विये थे?

क्रम्पन महीवय: उन्होंने बताया था कि कहां कहां माईच हैं। लेकिन उनके कहने के मलाक भी कई माईज तिकली जो तक्तीक नहीं बताई।

17010

**डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया** : जहें पर मौतें हुई ये पाकिस्तान ने नहीं बताई ीं ?

स्राप्यक्ष सहोदय नो प्रापका सदाल था उसका जवाद तो प्रा गया ह । दूसरा सदाल प्राप करना चाहते हैं . . .

**डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया** : दूसरा नहीं।

Shri Y. B. Chavan: I will answer that question. I will try to meet the point as much as I have got information with me. These deaths were not connected with the mines which were found and which were not mentioned by Pakistan.

I will answer, if the hon. Member listens to me for a minute. As I have said, even about this information and about the aketches that we had, we had informed the people and warned them about these places. But sometimes, people make mistakes; sometimes they attempt, or they are tempted to go in and then this kind of action does take place.

भी बागकी : मुरंगों को न हटाने का कारण जिनकी मृत्यु हुई उनमें सरकारी धादमी कितने थे धौर जनता के धादमी कितने थे ? जब फौज को पता था कि यहां यहां मुरंगें हैं तो बहां जनता को जाने से रोका क्यों महीं गया ?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: This is exactly what I said. People were warned about the location. But then sometimes people are tempted to go into those areas, because of agricultural operations, etc. Sometimes, they are tempted to go and collect brast, etc.

Shri Hem Barun: Pakistan has violated the Tashkent declaration with impunity and she has left behind here even mines in the areas of occupied Punjab from where she had to withdraw under the Tashkent declaration. Here, on a previous occasion, the Prime Minister told us that Soviet

Russia had decided to send an emissary to Pakistan to bring to the notice of the Pakistan authorities the violation of the Tashkent agreement, which the Soviet Union could not do because of Pakistan's objection. In that connection may I know what steps the Government have taken to normalise the situation and to see that the Tashkent declaration is not violated by Pakistan, through the good offices of Soviet Russia?

Mr. Speaker: That question is too wide for a short notice question.

Shri Hem Barua: It is very specific, Sir.

Mr. Speaker: The whole Tashkent agreement cannot be brought in. The question is about the mines that have been placed. The question about the Tashkent agreement is to be answered by another Ministry.

Shri Hem Barua: After the withdrawal, they have left behind these mines.

Mr. Speaker: The Minister has answered that point.

Shri Hem Barua: My objection is, I do not think, as the Minister thinks, that they have left behind these mines through mistake. I think it is a deliberate action on the part of Pakistan.

Mr. Speaker: May be. But all that does not arise here.

Shri Heni Barua: Therefore, I want to know whether the Government have brought this thing to the notice of the Soviet Union.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri D. C. Sharma: I would like to know from the hon. Minister one thing. He said that the people were warned against these mines. May I know if they were warned against these mines. May I know if they were warned against these mines of which Pakistan had given notice. or against those mines which had been discovered after Pakistan had left and, if so, if they had given notice and they knew fully well that these mines were dangerous for the public, why did they not cordon off that area so

that the people could not for any reasons enter that area?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: For weeks this area was cordoned off. The information about the mines which were located there was conveyed to us by Pakistan. We had warned the people about these mines, but unfortunately, the accidents took place in the case of some of these mines. In regard to some of them, two mines, of which we had no notice from Pakistan, there, no incident took place. The army officers in their own operations discovered them.

श्री सबु लिसये: मेरा एक व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है। मंत्री महोदय के सारे जवाब परस्पर विरोधी हैं। मैंने पूछा था कि श्रगर नक्षों थे तो उन नक्षों के धनुसार ग्रापने मुरंगों को क्यों नहीं हटाया? श्री डी० सी० शर्मा के सवाल के उत्तर में मंत्री महोदय ने कहा है कि उस एरिया को उन्होंने कार्डन ग्राफ़ किया था मतलब जनता को जाने नहीं देते थे। उस समय में इन्होंने मुरंगों को क्यों नहीं हटाया? इसका कोई जवाब नहीं ग्रा रहा है।

Shri Hari Vishau Kamath: May I submit. Sir, that short notice questions tend to accumulate now-a-days because most Ministers have developed the bad habit of not even intimating to the House about them. Though two or three days' notice is given to them, they do not let us know whether they accept or whether they refuse to accept the short notice questions. Normally, they are given two or three days' notice, and we are informed in time. But now-a-days, for days on end we are not informed.

Mr. Speaker: I shall see to it.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It is becoming worser and worser; it is becoming curioser and curioser. What is the Government coming to?

श्री मधु लिमये: हमें भी दम दस दिन तक जवाब नहीं मिलता है। हम भी मल्प-सबना प्रश्न देने हैं लेकिन दम दम दिन तक जवाब नहीं मिलता है। मैं ग्रापको भी लिख चुका है।

इंडियन स्कूल भाफ इच्टरनेशनल स्टडीख

S.N.Q. 37. भी भागवत झा आंखें व : श्रीमती रामबुलारी सिन्हा : श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री : श्री किशन पटनायक : श्री मध सिमये :

क्या शिक्सा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह मच है कि सपू हाउस के इण्डियन स्कूल भ्राफ इण्टरनेशनल स्टडीड के संचालक ने एक गोध-छात्र श्री बेद प्रताप "बैदिक" का छात्रवृत्ति तथा छुट्टी के लिये हिन्दी में लिखा हुमा प्रार्थना पत्र यह कह कर लौटा दिया था कि वह भ्रंग्रेजी में लिखा होना चाहिए;
- (ख) क्या संचालक की यह कार्यवाही संविधान के धनुरूप है; भौर
- (ग) क्या उक्त शोध-छात्र के प्रति न्याय कराने के लिये सरकार नुरन्त कदम उठायेगी ?

The Minister of Education (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) The certificate of attendance for payment of scholarship and application for leave submitted by Shri Ved Pratap Vedic have not been returned to him by the Director, but have been passed on to the Registrar whom Shri Vedic has been asked to see. Shri Vedic has not seen the Registrar although a written communication has been sent to him in this Earlier, Shri Vedic had connection. been advised by the Director that in accordance with the normal practice in the School, he may fill up the forms in English and get them endorsed by the Head of the Department.

- (b) Does not arise.
- (c) Does not arise.

भी भीगवत झा आजाव : मैं यह जानना बाहता हूं कि क्या यह बात सत्य नहीं है कि 29 अर्थन से लंकर 7 मर्ड तक इस गोध छात्र बेदिक ने इन स्टडीज के निदेशक से बार बार मिलने की प्रार्थनायें की आर उनकी वह प्रार्थनायें स्वीकार नहीं की गई। क्या इस जवाब के अनुसार मैं यह समझूं कि उनको रिजस्ट्रार से इसलिये मिलने को कहा गया कि उनका हिन्दी में लिख। हुआ आवेदन पत्र स्वीकृत हुआ और उसे वहां स्कालरिशय मिल जायेगा।

Shri M. C. Chagla: The certificates has got to be a un'er-signed by the Head of the Department. When Shri Vaidik went to the Director's Office, he was advised to go to the Head of the Department and get both the attendance certificate and the leave application endorsed by the of the Department for further after writing then out in English. Instead of acting on this advice, Shri Vaidik chose to address a letter to the Director in Hindi, enclosing the certificate of attendance and the leave application written in Hindi without any endorsement from the Head of the Department. The Director passed on these documents to the Registrar and simultaneously Shri Vaidik was asked by the Director office to see the Registrar. Although the Registrar asked Shri Vaidik to see him, Shri Vaidik did not choose to see him.

श्री भागवत झा भाजाव : मेरा प्रण्न यही तो था कि रजिस्ट्रार से मिलने के लिये इसलिये कहा गया कि उनका हिन्दी में प्रार्थना पत्र स्वीकार कर लिया गया, या कि डाइरेक्टर ने सिर्फ यह कहने के लिये बुलाया था कि भ्रावेदन पत्र हिन्दी में निष्का हुआ है इसको भ्रोषेजी में लिख कर लाग्नो । मैं सिर्फ यह जानना चाहता हूं।

Shri M. C. Chagla: First he was asked to submit his application in English, because under the rules of this School, the application has to be in English. But when he insisted that his application in Hindi

should be considered, the Director asked him to see the Registrar.

भी भागपत सा साजावः में यह जानता बाहता हूं कि इंटरनैशनल स्टडीज के नियम विद्यान के अपन हैं या विद्यान के अन्तर्गत । इस तरह से इस बात की आवांज उठाई जाती है कि किसी पर हिन्दी लादी न जाय । तो में जानना बाहता हूं कि यदि इंटरनैशनल स्टडीज का यह नियम है कि कोई व्यक्ति हिन्दी में दक्कित्त न लिखे यह उन पर अंग्रेजी लादना है या नहीं, और क्या यह विद्यान के अनुरूप है ।

Shri M. C. Chagla: May I clarify the position? I depreciate any controversy over Hindi. We are all anxious to propagate and support Hindi and to see that non-Hindi areas.....(Interruptions).

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: He again tries to rouse the sentiments of the House. My question is simple, whether under the Constitution.....

Mr. Speaker: Order, order Let him complete the answer and then I will judge whether the answer has come.

# डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : क्या यह जबाब है ।

Shri M. C. Chagla: The position under the Constitution is that Hindi is the official language of the country. Under a directive of the Constitution, English is associate language. May I point out that the Constitution only talks of Hindi being used for official purposes? The Constitution does not impose any language upon a private institution or an academic institution. we are here dealing with the School of International Studies, which is autonomous. The medium of instruction settled by this institution is English. The business is done in English. The correspondence is carried on in English.

She Mhapuret Ina Aund: Who pays the money?

भी बागड़ी : यह गलत बात है । मंत्री महोदय का जवाब गलत है ।

Shri M. C. Chagta: I am explaining the Constitutional position. This gentleman knows English; he carries on correspondence in English. He has written an essay in English. I request the House to judge it dispussionately. He enters an institution and he knows under the rules, the business of that institution is carried on in English. I could understand if he did not know English. The Constitution does not impose any language upon a university.

## **डा॰ राम मनोह**र **लोहिया**ः मलाम करो उस विद्यार्थी को ।

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Does he mean to say that those who do not know English and who have graduated in Hindi medium cannot enter this School of International Studies? (Interruptions).

Shri M. C. Chagia: My hon, friend is getting unnecessarily excited. We are dealing with a gentleman who knows English, who has corresponded in English and who has written an essay in English. He enters an institution. He knows under the rules the business of the institution is carried on in English. This is not the case of a student who does not know English. He knows English and yet he does not follow the rules of business and writes in Hindi. The Director does not know Hindi; he comes from the south. tims is an all-India institution, a national institution, where the admission is from all over the country. As I said, rightly or wrongly this autonomous organisation has decided that the medium of instruction should be English. My hon. friend talks of the Constitution. If you kindly look at the relevant article, the Constitution deals with official business between State and State, Government and Government and not with private institutions. Surely the Constitution is much too liberal to impose upon any institution a particular language. Is it suggested that an institution cannot have Tamil or Telugu or Bengali? (Interruptions).

बह उससे सही हो जाती है और क्या कोई सही कांत एक ही भादमी कहे तो वह गलत हो जाती है।

श्रीमती रामदुलारी तिन्हा : मैं मंत्री
महोदय से जानना चाहती हूं कि इस विद्यालय
में भारत के भ्रतिरिक्त दुनिया के किन-किन
मुल्कों के विभिन्न भाषा-भाषी विद्यार्थी शिक्षा
प्राप्त करते हैं भ्रीर इस विद्यालय की शिक्षा का
माध्यम क्या है ।

प्रध्यक्ष महोदय : यह तो बतलाया उन्होंने ।

Shri M. C. Chagia: We have many foreign students and students from all over India. I have already said the medium is English.

भी प्रकाशबीर झाल्बी: अध्यक्ष महोदय, आपकी लोक सभा की दक्षता का परिचय देते हुए कि 13 तारीख को लोक सभा सिववालय ने मुझे लिखा कि अध्यक्ष महोदय इस प्रकृत को स्वीकार करने में असमर्थ हैं, लेकिन अब यह प्रकृत स्वीकार हो गया, मैं पूछता चाहता हूं कि इस स्कूल को सरकार की ओर से कितना अनुदान दिया जाता है वार्षिक और क्या जिस प्रकार से इस प्रतिभागाली विद्यार्थी को इन कठिनाइयों का सामना करना पड़ा, किसी और को ऐसी कठिनाइयों का सामना न करना पड़े । इसके लिये जिसा मंत्रालय ने क्या नये निर्देश जारी किये हैं स्कूल के अधिकारियों को ।

Shri M. C. Chagla: As soon as I realised that several Members felt agitated I immediately wrote to your department that I accept short notice, because I thought it was but right for me to come and mention it before the House.

In 1965-66, we paid Rs. 6 lakes to this institution.

बी बीर्य : प्रभी जिला मंत्री ने जो तब्द इस्तेमाल किया उसके बारे में मैं एक स्पष्टीकरण बाहता हूं। उन्होंने कहा कि बब बार बार बहुत से सबस्य जानकारी मांगने भगे तब मैंने उसे स्वीकार कर लिया। तो क्या कोई यसत बात बहुत से जोग कहें तो सम्बक्त महोबस : सही या गलत का सबाल नहीं हैं । शार्ट नोटिस क्वेश्चन को ऐक्सेप्ट करना न करना उनके ऊपर हैं । उन्होंने खुद कहा कि पहले उन्होंने कहा कि बह शार्ट नोटिस क्वेश्चन पर जवाब नहीं दे सकेंगे, लेकिन बाद में जब उन्होंने देखा कि बहुत से मेम्बर हैं जो सवाल पूछना चाहते हैं तब उन्होंने कहा कि वह जवाब दे देंगे ।

भी किशन पटनायक : सञ्यक महोवय, जिस विद्यार्थी के सम्बन्ध में यह सवाल है इस ने स्नपना बी० ए० (आनसं) और एम० ए० तक हिन्दी के माध्यम से पास किया है और 80 प्रतिशत या 70 प्रतिशत नम्बर रखें थे, इसका जानते हुए इसे ऐडमीशन मिला है इस संस्था में जिसको कि एक राष्ट्रीय संस्था कहते हैं और यह सीधा सवाल है कि इस विद्यार्थी को जिसके बी० ए० धानसं और एम० ए० दंशी भाषा के माध्यम से किया है उसको प्रपना शोध पत्र, धीसस हिन्दी में त्या जायगा या नहीं और जो कुछ दरक्वास्त वगैरह वह हिन्दी में देगा उसको सहण किया जायगा या नहीं है

Shrl M. C. Chagla: May J explain the position As I have already told the House. Shri Vaidik who applied for admission to the school carried on correspondence in English.

भी किसन पटनायक: वह सवाल नहीं है। मेरा सवाल यह है कि उसने एम० ए० तक हिन्दी के माध्यम से किया है। ग्रंगेजी उस पर लादी जा रही है। उसने देशी भाषा में एम० ए० पास किया है।

Shri M. C. Chagia: He wrote an essay in English. Later on, he expressed a desire to the Head of his Department as well as to the Director to write his doctoral thesis in Hindi. Both of them pointed out to him the difficulty of finding adequate research materials on Central Asia

in Hindi, as well as appointing Hindiknowing experts on the subject for examining his thesis. After talking to the Director, Mr. Vaidik gave the Director the impression that he had accepted the position as state'd by the Director. When Mr. Vaidik expressed his difference in writing in English, the Director advised him to make special efforts to improve his knowledge of English and he agreed to do so.

Mr. Speaker: The only thing that the hon. Member wants to know is whether such institutions as are being aided by the Government would be entitled to refuse such applications if they are submitted to them in Hindi?

Shri M. C. Chagia: We have many autonomous institutions. Take the case of a university. If a university decides tomorrow that the medium of instruction in that university will be Tamil and that it will do its work in Tamil, we cannot interfere. I agree that we pay the money. But, after all, we have to respect the autonomy of the institution.

श्री किशन पटनायक : अनुदान देते हो, श्रनदान बन्द करो।

Shri Khadilkar: As the hon, Minister said, it is a university and an autonomous body. It gets grants as any other university gets from the University Grants Commission. The composition of the students is such that the majority come from Bengal, Kerala, Madras, Maharashtra and other States. There are students from other countries as well. In such an autonomous institution, when it has been laid down that the teaching medium will be English, because the question is that, ultimately, the examiners will have to be appointed at level ..... (Interrupinternational tions). In such a situation. I would like to know this. This student who was getting scholarship from the school-not from the University Grants Commission-submitted a essay in English and later on . . .

Shel Bhagwat Jha Azad: He had not submitted any thesis in English. It is a wrong statement (Interruption).

Shri Khadilkar: I am connected with the university (Interruption).

Shri Vasudevan Nair: Sir, this kind of interruptions when hon? Indi-speaking Members are going into the facts of the case, should be stopped. When they asked apastions we never interrupted. Why is Shri Anal interrupting like this? This should be stopped (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. All shall sit down. Hon. Members should patience to hear each other (Interruption).

Shri Khadlikar: Sir, when the question of his application for the monthly scholarship came later on, he insisted on writing it in Hindi. In the mean time he wrote letters to the Hindi Press. I would like to know from the hon. Minister whether he is prepared to respect the autonomy of the university or not? Secondly, would he like to introduce-I have full sympathy for Hindi and I feel that slowly Hindi should be introduced even at the international level -Hindi in this way so as to encourage indiscipline in a body well-known all over the world by raising this issue here. Otherwise, the Academic Council is there. tead of representing the matter to Academic Council, to the body of Governors and to the administration, he goes to the Press and then some people take up the matter. I would like to know what is the procedure?

Shri M. C. Chagia: I want to respect the autonomy of this institution fully. As a matter of fact, a meeting of the Academic Council has been convened and this question will be considered. I beg of the House, of the hon. Members, to await the decision of the Academic Council. As my hon, friend pointed out, this is an institution which has acquired international stature. We have students from all over India. We have students coming from abroad. And, the institution, rightly or wrongly, has decided that the medium of instruction shall be English. Who are we to interfere with the autonomy of this institution and say that they should not have this medium, they should have some othe: medium? We should leave it to the

Academic Council. After all, we respect their academic independence and freedom.

श्री मध् लिम्पे : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, पिछले साल इस संस्था में एक परिसंवाद हमा था "केरल में राष्ट्रपति शासन" के विषय पर भीर मझे उसमें बलाया गया था। खेद की बात है कि कुछ लड़कों को उकसाया गया कि मैं वहां पर हिन्दी में न बोलुं श्रौर श्रंग्रेजी में ही बोलं। मैं ने लड़कों को समझाया ग्रौर वह फिर सहमत भी हए। यह वही संस्था है जिसका मसला ग्रब ग्राया है।

श्रभी मंत्री महोदय ने कहा कि संविधान के ग्रनसार राज्यों के बीच पत्र-व्यवहार की भाषा या केन्द्र की शासकीय भाषा केवल हिन्दी है श्रीर यह स्वायत्त संस्था है लेकिन शायद मंत्री महोदय भूल गए कि इस संविधान में 351 घारा भी है जिसमें लिखा है:

"It shall be the duty of the Unjon to promote the spread of the Hindi language, to develop ....."

Shri Rajaram: Sir. I want to say one thing ..... (Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. He may kindly sit down. I can call hon. Members one after the other. Then he can have his say. In this manner we cannot proceed. Now I have called Shri Madhu Limaye. Let him put his supplementary. Then I can call another hon. Member. Then he might put his supplementary in his turn. Otherwise, in this manner we cannot transact any business.

भी मध लिमये : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, उसी वक्त मैं व्यवस्था के प्रश्न पर उठने वाला था लेकिन समय बचाने के लिए मैं नहीं उठा । 351 घारा में साफ लिखा है :

"It shall be the duty of the Union to promote the spread of the Hindi language, to develop it so that it may serve as a medium of expression for all the elements of the composite culture of India"......

धब मैं जानना चाहता हं कि केन्द्रीय सरकार के खड़ाने से यनिवसिटी ग्रान्टस कमीशन की सिफारिश पर हो, चाहे शिक्षा मंत्रालय की सिफारिश पर हो, ब्रापते इस संस्था की प्रस्थापना के बाद, उसके विकास के लिए और उसके सालाना खर्चे के लिए काफी रकम दिया है, ग्रापने एक साल का केवल बताया, ग्राप परा बता दें कितना दिया है और उसके बाद मैं सवाल पूछता हं कि केन्द्रीय सरकार के खजाने से जब कभी पैसा दिया जाता है अनदान की शक्ल में हो या और किसी शक्ल में हो तो क्या यह धारा बीच में नहीं ग्राती है? मैंने प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, हिन्दी लादने का सवाल नहीं उठाया । सवाल ग्रंग्रेजी लादने का है । मैं तमिल धौर तेलग् भाषी सदस्यों से कहता हं कि हिन्दी लादने की बात नहीं है, अंग्रेजी लादने की बात है ।तमिल भ्राये, तेलग भ्राये, बंगला भ्राये, उसमें हमें कोई धापत्ति नहीं है लेकिन अंग्रेजी लादने की बात नहीं होनी चाहिए।

प्रध्यक्ष महोदय: तो प्रापने सवाल पुरा कर लिया ।

श्री मध लिमये : स्वायत्त संस्था की बात द्यायी । \* \*

भाष्यक्ष महोदय: यह रेकाई में नहीं जायमा ।

श्री मध् लिमये : इसमें काटने लायक बात क्या है ?

धाष्यक्ष सहोदय: जब मैं मना कर रहा हं तब भी वह बोलते चले जा रहे हैं।....

भी उच लिमयें:

Shri M. C. Chagla: I am fully conscious of article 351. As I started by saying, it is the duty of everyone.....

श्री भव लिवये: ग्राप ने केवल राज्यों की बात कही ....

**ग्रह्मका महोदयः** ग्राहर,•श्राहर । ग्राप सन को जबाब भी देने देंगे या गर्न ?

<sup>\*\*</sup>Not recorded.

भी बागडी: धंग्रेजी लादी क्यों जाय?

**धाष्यक्ष महोदयः** मंत्री जी का जवाद सुनिये।

श्री श्रष्टु लिसये: मेरे प्रश्न का जवाब नहीं श्राया। क्या केन्द्रीय सरकार के पैसे का इस्तेमाल श्रंग्रेजी लादने के लिए किया जा सकता है?

**प्रध्यक्त महोदय** : श्रव श्राप बलने भी दीजियेगा ?

Shri M. C. Chagla: He should not interrupt me like this. He has made a long speech and he is not prepared to listen to me.

l am fully conscious of article 351. As I started by saying, it is the duty of everyone to promote Hindi. But in my humble view, the way to promote Hindi is not to interfere with the autonomous institutions. If the institution wants to carry on in English for the time being, well, let it do so. I hope, the time will come when all our institutions will be run in Indian languages.

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया : अध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्त है।

Mr. Speaker: Call Attention Notice. Shri P. C. Borooah.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: I may be allowed to put a question on this.

Stirl Ranga: You have allowed that gentleman to make a speech. This is an important matter.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: May I know whether the Central Government, if it gives any grant to any institution, specially in non-Hindi areas, when anybody starts submitting thesis in Hindi—my University does accept it—will immediately be forced to either give up the grant or allow thesis to be written in Hindi? He must make that clear.

डा॰ राम धनोहर लोहिया : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है। मैं संविधान के नियमों के भ्रन्तगंत व्यवस्था का सर्वाल उठाना चाहता हूं।

Shri M. C. Chagla: I have made it quite clear that the Central Government does not give grant to autonomous institutions on the basis of language. It is left to the autonomous institution to decide which language it wants. I am a great believer in academic freedom. I think, it will be wrong for the State to impose any language.

Mr. Spenker: Call Attention Notice; Shri P. C. Borooah.

भी मधु लिमये: भाप भंग्रेजी इम्पोज कर रहेहैं, भाप शंग्रेजी लाद रहे हैं।

**भ्रष्यक्ष भहोबय**: माननीय सदस्य मान नहीं रहे, हैं बीच में इम तरह से दखल दिये जा रहे हैं।

का० राम मनोहर लोहियाः मैं मंविधान
 के नियमों के ग्रन्तगंत व्यवस्था का प्रश्न उठा
 रहा हूं।

प्रध्यक्ष महोदयः व्यवस्था किस पर उठा रहे हैं जो सवाल था वह तो खुत्म हो गया ?

बा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया : मैं इस संविधान के अनुसार व्यवस्था का सवाल उठा रहा हूं । मंत्री महोदय ने जाने कितनी ग़लत बातें यहां पर कही हैं । शिक्षा का काम है कि विद्यायियों के प्रन्दर जो कुछ योग्यता है वह प्रकाण में प्राये । इसलिए विद्यायियों की योग्यता को बढ़ायेंगे भीर उसे ऊपर लायेंगे या उसे हवा देंगे ?

**ग्राम्यक्ष महोदय**: व्यवस्था का सवाल कौन से रूल के ग्रन्दर माननीय सदस्य उठा रहे हैं?

**डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया** : नियम 343 ग्रीर 120 हैं।

क्रम्यकः महोदयः चलिये कहिये।

का॰ राज अनोहर लोहिया: संविधान की धारा 343 की उपधारा 3 में बिलकुल साफ कहा गया है कि 1965 के बाद से अंग्रेजी भाषा खाली खास खास कामों के लिए रहेगी, संघ के सभी कामों के लिए नहीं रहेगी यह संविधान में बिलकुल साफ लिखा हुआ है, खाली खास खास कामों के लिये रहेगी। अब कहिये तो मैं उस को पढ कर मुनाऊं?

श्रध्यक्ष महोदयः में ने देख लिया है।

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया: प्रभी तक कोई कानून नहीं है इस देण में जिसमें इस खास काम के लिए कानून बनाया गया हो । इस संविधान की धारा के मुताबिक शिक्षा के मामले से अंग्रेजी खत्म हो चुकी है । अब खाली हिन्दी हो, बंगला हो, तामिल हो भीर बाहे कोई भी भाषा रखना चाहें वह हो । संविधान की धारा इस मामले में बिलकुल साफ है ।

इस के अलावा अगर आप वाहें तो खुद इस लोक सभा की भाषा के बारे में धारा 120 को देखिये।

एक माननीय सदस्यः पढ़ कर बताइये ।

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया: वह दूसरी घारा मैं पढ़ कर नहीं सुनाऊंगा क्योंकि वक्त लगेगा। एक माननीय सदस्य कह रहे हैं कि मैं यह घारा भी संविधान की पढ़ कर सुना दूं तो मैं उसे पढ़े देता हूं:—

"Notwithstanding anything in this article, Parliament may by law provide for the use, after the said period of fifteen years, of—

- (a) the English language, or
- (b) the Devanagari form of numerals.

for such purposes as may be specified in the law."

यह उद्देश्य विश्वविद्यालय का, स्कूल का, कालिज का किसी भी कानून में खास तौर से नहीं लिखा गया है इसलिए विलक्त गैर- कानूनी बात शिक्षा मंत्री महोदय ने कही है । रह गई धर्मरा 20। श्रव धारा 120 के मृताबिक इस लोक सभा की भाषा मेरी राय में श्रेशेजी तो हो ही नहीं सकती लेकिन बंगला हो सकती है। सुताबिक हमन कर बड़ा शास्त्रय ग्रीर बड़ा दृ:ख होता है जब श्रीमती रेणु वक्रवर्ती जैसी सदस्य यहां पर भाकर श्रीश्रेजी की शावभगत भीर श्रीश्रेजी की तारीफ़ करने लगती हैं जब कि उन के ही इलाक में सब से पहले कोई 20-30 वर्ष पहले श्री रवीन्द्राथ टैगोर ने विश्वविद्यालय में बंगला में भाषण दिया था। उनकी यहां पर कहना चाहिए कि श्रीश्रेजी के बजाय बंगला साइये, तामिल लाइये। यह संविधान की धारा है....

क्रम्पक्ष महोवयः धाराएं तो कितनी बतलाई है।

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया: प्रव उस से नतीजा निकाल रहा हं कि यह दिल्ली में जो मन्तर्राष्ट्रीय राजनीति का क्षेत्र है मगर वहां पर तमिल विद्यार्थी तेलग विद्यार्थी शंग्रेजी पवना चाहे. ग्रंबेजी में ग्रंपने लेख भी लिख. धपना शोध कार्य भी करे, मास्टर के लिए भी मैं कहता है हालांकि यह गलत है कि वह अंग्रेजी में पढाये लेकिन वह श्रकेला विद्यार्थी बेचारा कहता है कि मैं भ्रपना जोध कार्य भ्रपनी मात-भाषा में कहंगा तो जबरदस्ती उस के ऊपर एक ऐसी भाषा लाद देते हो जिससे कि उस की प्रतिभा भीर योग्यना बरम हो जार्ना है तो यह संविधान के बिलकुल खिलाफ़ जाता है। इसलिए में साफ़ तौर से यह कहना चाहता है कि श्रगर कोई तामिल विद्यार्थी अंग्रेजी में काम करना चाहता है तो वह बरा करेगा भीर फिर भी मैं कहुंगा भीर मैं बाहुंगा कि वह तामिल में ही भ्रपना काम करे। ग्रब भगर श्रीमती रेण चक-वर्ती बंगला में काम न कर अंग्रेजी में करना चाहती हैं तो मझे बड़ा बरा लगेगा लेकिन मैं उन के लिए कुछ नहीं कहंगा। लेकिन धगरू कोई विद्यार्थी विलक्त साफ़ तौर से बद्धता है कि मैं सपनी प्रतिमा संग्रेजी में नहीं दिखला सकता

हंतो उस को उसकी मातृभाषा में काम करने की इजाजत देनी चाहिए। ग्रव गणित के मामले में (व्यवधान) मेरी मातृभाषा तो तामिल भी हो सकती है।

मध्यक्ष महोदयः भ्रव भ्राप बैठ जाइये।

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया: वस एक वाक्य कह कर खरम किये देता हूं। मुझे खाली शिक्षा मंत्री से यह कहना है कि फ्राज भारत में विज्ञान गणित और इतिहास बिलकुल खत्म हो चुके हैं। उस का एक मात्र कारण है कि अंग्रेजी के द्वारा उन में शोध कार्य होता है। हम बिलकुल गरीब हो चुके हैं यह मैं ग्राप से कहना चाहता हूं...

Shri S. Kandappan: He has gained his sharp intellect through English. Why should he deprive the younger generation the privilege?

बा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया: यह विलकुल शलत बात है। मैं यह बिलकुल नहीं हूं। मुझे झाठ वर्ष की उम्र तक श्रंग्रेजी का कुछ भी पता नहीं चला था। मैं अपनी मातृभाषा में बोल रहा हूं।

ग्राप्यक्ष महोदय : ग्राप बैठिये ।

एक नई चीज है जो कि प्रांज इंडियन डेमोक्रेसी में डेवलप कर रही है। बाकी जगहों में यह कायदा होता है कि प्रगर स्पीकर खड़ा हो तो दूसरे बैठ जाया करते हैं जब कि यहां स्पीकर खड़ा होता है तो दूसरे बोलने वाले मेम्बर कहते हैं कि बैठ जाइये ग्रीर लाचार होकर स्पीकर को बैठना पड़ता है, जब कि होना यह चाहिए कि स्पीकर जब खड़ा हो तो सारे मैम्बर्स बैठे रहें। जब मैं देखता हूं कि मेरे खड़े हुए भी दो मैम्बर्स खड़े होकर बोलते चले जा रहें हैं तो यह तो ग्रच्छा नहीं लगता कि मैं भी उन के साथ बोलता चला जाऊं।

श्रव सवाल सिर्फ इतना था जिसको बहुत बसीय तौर पर कहा गया कि जो इंटरप्रटेशन बागला साहब ने 343 भीर 120 का किया है वह डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया के ख़याल में बिलकुल गलंत है भीर वह समझते हैं कि वह जो इनका इंटरप्रटेशन लेते हैं वह दुरुस्त है श्रीर चागला साहब का सही नहों है। श्रव मेरा यह काम नहीं है कि मैं इस पर फैसला दूं कि कौन सा इंटरप्रटेशन सही है श्रीर कौन सा सलत है? श्रगर संविधान की धाराश्रों के इंटरप्रटेशन को लेकर कोई झगड़ा हो तो उसका फैसला श्रदालतें कर सकती हैं। श्रव श्रगर चागला साहब श्रीर गवनंभेंट इस पर श्रपना एक इंटरप्रटेशन बतलाते हैं तो उसका उनको हक है। श्रगर वैसा वह सलत कर रहे हैं तो उसका दुक्स्ता श्रदालत ही कर सकती है। श्रदालन हीं इस बारे में कोई फैसला दे सकती है कि वह कांस्टीट्य्शन के वरिखलाक है या नहीं सुझे उस बारे में स्तिग् देने का कोई श्रीधकार नहीं है। Shri Borooah.

भी शिव नारायण: अध्यक्ष महोदय, आप मेरा एक निवेदन सुन लीजिए। हम इस देश के अस्सी फीसदी गरीव भादमी हैं, जिन से इस गवर्नमेंट के पास पैसा भ्राता है। इंगलैण्ड और अमेरिका में . . .

श्राध्यक्ष महोदय : माननीय सदस्य बैठ जायें। मैं ने सुन लिया है।

भी शिव नारायणः सुन क्या लिया है? ग्राप हमें कुछ कहने ही नहीं देते हैं।

**ग्राध्यक्ष महोदय**ः माननीय सदस्य बैठ जायें।

Shri Frank Authony: Mr. Speaker, Sir. I want to make a submission, because I want to put the record straight....

भी शिव नारायण : गरीवों की कमाई का पैसा मिसयूज हो रहा है। कम से कम ग्राप मुझे एक सवाल पूछ लेने दीजिए। क्या शार्ट नोटिस क्वैस्चन दूसरी तरफ के सदस्यों का ही है?

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदयः ग्रद ग्राप बैठ जायें।

Shri Surendramath Dwivedy: You have held not only now but several times here that as regards the interpretation of the Constitution you are not the authority, and that the matter will have to be decided in the court. If that be your stand, how do you permit points of order based on the articles of the Constitution? If it is stopped in the very beginning, then the time of the House would not have been wasted in this manner.

Oral Answers

17029

My hon, friend had raised a point of order on the basis of the articles of the Constitution. So far as the interpretation of the Constitution is concerned, you have held not only now but several times that you are not the authority to interpret the Constitution, and if anybody is aggrieved, he has to go to the court. If that be you stand, how then do you permit points of order being raised on the basis of the articles of the Constitution. If it had been stopped in the very beginning itself, then the time of the House would not have been taken up in this manner.

Mr. Speaker: If the point was so patent that it had been decided earlier, then after hearing it. I can refer to that decision and say that it has already been decided.

Shri Frank Anthony: That was what I wanted to say. I only wanted to put the record straight. I would not have intervened but for this that Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia has presumed to interpret the Constitution. I do not expect him to know much about the Constitution. anyhow. He has referred to article 343 of the Constitution.....

डा० राम मनोहर लोहियाः हां, हां, ग्राजादी के लिये तो यह ही लड़े ये न । इस लिये संविधान का पता इन्हीं को होगा ?

ग्रध्यका महोबय: दूसरे मेम्बरों को भी कुछ कहने दिया जाये। दूसरे मेम्बरों को भी कहने का हक है।

Shri Frank Anthony: These matters have already been placed beyond all doubt. I want to come to the assistance of the Education Minister. Since he has left the Bench he has not kept up with the decisions of the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court has placed this matter beyond

all doubt. was one of the counsels in the Gujarat University case. What did the Supreme Court strike down in the Gujarat University case? When the Gujarat University sought to make what is popularly but wrongly known as an Indian language as the sole medium, the Supreme Court said that they could not do it, because English is the only link language or medium....

17030

श्री सम्मु लिक्यों : इंस्टीट्यूट में ग्रंग्नेजी : ही मोल मीडियम है, हिन्दी नहीं है। यह कैसी बात कर रहे हैं। यह जो कुछ कह रहे हैं हैं, वह गैर-लागू है, इर्गलवेंट है।

Shri Kishen Pattnayak: What was he before 1947? He is only a nominated Member. (Interruptions).

### Shri Kishen Pattnayak\*\*

Mr. Speaker: These observations would not go on record.

भी बागड़ी : फ्रष्यक्ष महोदय, माननीय सदस्य किस विषय पर ग्रौर किस इल के मातहत बोल रहे हैं।

**प्राप्यक्ष महोदय**ः मैंने उनको बुलाया है। भाप बीच में क्यों दखल दे रहे है?

भी किशान पटनायक : यह 1947 से पहले क्या थे?

श्री वागड़ी: ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, ग्राप जनता के जुने हुए सदस्यों को तो मौका नहीं देते हैं भीर नामजद मेम्बर को बोलने का मौका दे रहे हैं।

प्रश्यक्ष महोदय: क्यों ग्राप दूसरे मेम्बरों को भ्रपनी बात नहीं कहने देंगे ?

Shri Frank Anthony: As regards the medium of instruction, so far as the university is concerned, the Supreme Court struck down the attempt of the Gujarat University to prescribe a single medium.....

श्री किशन पटनायक : 🤻

<sup>\*\*</sup>Not recorded.

<sup>707 (</sup>ai) LS-3.

भ्रम्पक महोदयः यह नहीं लिखा जायेगा।

भी किशन पटनायकः हम इस सदन में राष्ट्र-विरोधी बातें नहीं सुनना बाहते ₹1

सम्बक्त महोदयः जो नहीं सूनना चाहते हैं, वे बाहर चले जायें। यह फैसला करना उन का काम नहीं है कि यह राष्ट्र-विरोधी बात है या नहीं। जिस को नहीं भाता है, वह बाहर चला जाये।

श्री किशन पटनायकः श्री एन्यनी को बाह जाना पड़ेगा। वह नामजद मेम्बर 青山

Shri Frank Anthony:.....on the basis de facto that English is a link language for the purposes of higher education; and that is why no university could outlaw English. That was the basis so far as that was concerned. (Interruptions).

Shri Sinhasan Singh: A point of order had been raised by Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia, and that had been disposed of by vou. Now. Shri Frank Anthony is speaking on the same thing....(Interruptions).

Shri Frank Anthony: In 1954, when Shri M. C. Chagla was the Chief Justice of Bombay, I was one of the counsels. Interpreting article 30 of the Constitution, he said that it was the indefeasible right of institutions run by the Anglo-Indian community whose mother-tongue is English to run them through the medium of English . . . . . .

श्री बागड़ी: घट्यक महोदय, में प्रापनी जानकारी के लिए पूछना चाहता हं कि-

Shri Frank Anthony: I am just giving you aid to show that this point has already been decided . . .

भी बागडी: अध्यक्ष महोदय, माननीय सदस्य किस दिषय पर बोल रहे हैं? वह किस रूल के मातहत बोल रहे हैं।

ब्रम्यक महोदय : माननीय सदस्य बार-बार दखल दे रहे हैं भीर कार्यवाही को रोक रहे हैं।

Oral Answers

Shri Frank Anthony: Those who do not want English need not go there.

भी बागड़ी: प्रध्यक्ष महोदय ....

ध्रम्यक महोदय : अगर माननीय सदस्य इस तरह बीच में रुकावट डालेंगे, तो मुझे एक्शन लेना पड़ेगा। मैं ने कई दफा उन की मना किया है, लेकिन वह बाज नहीं धाते हैं। भाखिर सब्बाकी कोई हद होती है।

Shri Frank Anthony: What I want to say is this. Shri Jawaharlal Nehru, on the 9th August, 1959, replying to my resolution gave an assurance that English would be . . . . .

भी किशन पटनायकः धगर हम इस तरह से भाषण देते, तो धाप हम को बाहर निकाल देते।

Mr. Speaker: The decision is there. That is enough. He need not go into the details.

Shri Frank Anthony: I shall finish in a minute.

श्री मधु लिमये: माननीय सदस्य जो कुछ कह रहे हैं, वह नियम के विपरीत है।

चन्यक महोवय: नियम के विपरीत नहीं है। धाप मुझे सूनने क्यों नहीं देते हैं? मैंने उनको इजाजत दी है।

भी मध् लिमये : यहां पर गलत भीर इर्रिलवेंट बात कहने की इजाजत नहीं दी जा सकती है। नियम 356 के मातहत मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है।

बाध्यक्ष महोदय: पहले मुझे इन को सन लेने दें।

भी मधु लिससे: माननीय सदस्य गैर-लागू बाल कर रहे हैं, वे इर्रेलिबेंट बार्ते कह रहे हैं। साप नियम को पढिये।

Shri Frank Anthony: On the 9th August, 1949, replying to my resolution, the Nehru formula mark was made that English shall be the associate language.....

Dr. M. S. Aney: Associate language.

Shri Frank Anthony: ... that English shall be the associate language, and Government have purported to translate that into the Official Languages Act. The people in the south are not satisfied with that translation, but it is there, and English is an associate language. In any case, in terms of the Supreme Court decision, no Government can say to any college or m-deed any university that they shall not have English as the medium. Nobody is compelled to go.....

श्री सन् लिमये प्राध्यक्ष महोदय, श्राप भेरा स्थवस्था का प्रश्न सुन लीजिए। माननीय मदस्य गैर-नागू बातें कह रहे हैं:

Shri Frank Anthony: When English is the medium. I say....

श्री कथु लिसवे : ग्रध्यक महोवय, मैंन जो व्यवस्था का प्रश्न उठाया है, प्राप उस को तो सुन लीजिए। माननीय मदस्य गैर-लाग बातें कह रहे हैं।

ब्रध्यक्ष बहोदय: माननीय सदस्य कार्य-वाही में क्कावट डाल रहे हैं। मैं उन को कहूंगा कि वह वाहर चले जायें।

श्री मधु लिसके: मैंने व्यवस्था का प्रक्न उठाया है।

**मध्यक्ष महोदय**ः मैंने उन को कहा है कि वह बाहर चले जायें।

भी बागड़ी : श्री एन्यनी नामजद मैम्बर हैं, इन का कोई हस्का नहीं है। इन को बाहर निकार्ण्क जा सकता है। हम तो जनता कं बोट ले कर यहां झाए हैं।

# भी मचु लिमवे :\*\*

स्थ्यक महोत्यः माननीय सदस्य को शब्द कह रहे हैं, वे न लिखे आये। मैं उन को कहना कि वह बाहर चले आये।

बा॰ राज मनोहर लोहिबा : घष्पक महोदय, मैं ग्राप से निवेदन करूंगा कि धाप इस तरह किसी सदस्य को सदन से बाहर जाने के लिए न कहें ।

सम्बक्त सहोदयः मैं जरूर कहंगा। मैंने बहुत सब्द किया है।माननीय सदस्य बाहर चले जायें।

(Shri Madhu Limaye left the House)

Shri Frank Anthony: The only question is this. Why then the Supreme Court

नी बाणकी: ध्रध्यक्ष महांदय, धनर कोई सदस्य पार्येट धाफ धार्डर उठाता है, तो धाप उस को तो इजाजत नहीं देते हैं धीर इन को बोसने का मौका दे रहे हैं।

भ्रम्बन महोदय: माननीय सदस्य बैठ जायें भीर रुकावट न डालें।

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: You are allowing a long time to Shri Frank Anthony to argue about his case. But when a Member wants to raise a point of order, you are not allowing him.

Shri Ramga: There was a point of order earlier by Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia. On that, how can there be a point of order again?

भी भागवत झा धाकाव। रंगा माहव इतने जोर से न बोलें। उन की लंग्म फट जार्सेगें। इस भी जोर से बोल सकते हैं। इस कांग्रेस बाले उन को बहुत टासनेट करने हैं।

<sup>\*\*</sup>Not recorded.

**अध्यक्ष महोबय**ः मैंने श्री एन्यनी को बुलाया है। दूसरे माननीय सदस्य क्यों बोल रहे है?

में श्री एत्यनी से कहूंगा कि वह सिर्फ जजमेंट का हवासा दे दें। वह इस वक्त सब ग्राग्मेंटस न दें।

We do not need any arguments. We want only the decision.

Shri Sinhasan Singh: When a Member wanted to raise a point of order, you had asked him to sit down. But you are allowing Shri Frank Anthony to have his say now....(Interruptions.)

Shri Frank Anthony: They have given the constitutional.....

Shri Brij Raj Singh: Has he been nominated to speak by you?

Mr. Speaker: Shri Frank Anthony may refer only to the judgement. He need not enter into the arguments.

Shri Frank Anthony: I have referred to the Official Languages Act I have also referred to the decision of Shri M. C. Chagla, affirmed by the Supreme Court. I want to know why when Shri M. C. Chagla concedes that they have the right, the constitutional right, that this international body or whatever it is, this university or school, has the constitutional right, affirmed by the Supreme Court....

Shri Bagri: On a point of order.

में व्यवस्था का प्रश्न उठाना चाहता हं

श्री शिव नारायण: भापने मुझे बोलने नहीं दिया ।

**अध्यक्ष भहोदयः भव भा**प उनको खतम कर देंगे या नहीं ?

Shri Maurya (Aligarh): Mr. Anthoy should be asked to sit down.

श्री क्षित्र नारायणः ग्रापने मुझे को रोक दिया भौर इन को बोलने दिया। प्वाइन्ट श्राफ भ्राइंट रेज करने का हर मेम्बर को ग्रिधकार है

Oral Answers

प्रध्यक्ष महोदयः मैं एक को वक्त दे सकता हूं। दो को एक साथ नहीं दे सकता।

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: We are illiterate Members. We want to understand what point of order you are hearing and what is this discussion about.

Mr. Speaker: Mr. Anthony might sit down for the present.

Shri Frank Anthony: I am finishing my question.

Mr. Speaker: He might resume his

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: What is the point that Mr. Anthony is raising?

Shri Sinhasan Singh: A point of order was raised by Dr. Lohia. You have given your ruling on that and that matter is closed now. But on the very same thing Mr. Anthony is raising a matter and explaining. He goes on to speak on the language issue which is not here for the time being.

Shri Frank Anthony: On the constitutional issue.

Shri Sinhasan Singh: He is discussing the High Court and Supreme Court judgements. But when Shri Madhu Limaya rose on a point of order on that, you asked him to go out. At the same time, Mr. Anthony goes on arguing his own theme of English vs. Hindi. We are not discussing the question of English vs. Hindi now. After your ruling disposing of Dr. Lohia's point of order, the matter should have been closed. Nobody should have been asked to raise any point on it further. This is making a mockery of things. (Interruptions).

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: I only wanted to know from you two things. This matter started on a short notice question. Shrimati Renu Chakravartty asked a question. After that, you asked Shri P. C. Borooah to take up the next call attention

17037

notice. Then Shri Sheo Narain stood up. You aaked him to sit down because you had already called Shri P. C. Borooah to read the call attention motion. So Shri Sheo Narain sat down. After that, Mr. Frank Anthony stands up and goes on speaking.

Shri Frank Anthony: No. no.

right. Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: All somebody else.

I want to know from you only two things. When Mr. Anthony started interpreting the Constitution, Mr. Madhu Limaye wanted to raise a point of order. l want to know most humbly from you: if a Member wants to raise a point of order, can you ask him to go out? Number two-as an illiterate Member, I want to know what are the points being discussed before the House? What are we discussing now? Also, why should Mr. Madhu Limaye be asked to go out. When he wanted to raise a point of order? Because it does not suit somebody? (Interruptions). .44

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I was here when actually Mr. Frank Anthony was speaking and at that time Mr. Madhu Limaye was searching the rules of procedure. He wanted to raise a point of order on the basis of the particular rule which says that discussion of irrelevant matters not concerning the House or the business of the House at the moment is not permitted or allowed. In all fairness, you should have asked Mr. Madhu Limaye to sit down and await his next chance. Instead of that something else had been done. He was probably angry with Mr. Anthony. In return, you asked him to go out.

श्री बागड़ी: मुझे भी सून लो, मैं भ्राप से निवेदन करना चाहता हं। व्यवस्था का प्रशन उठाने का हर सम्बर को प्रधिकार है। मध लिमये को उस प्रश्न पर ग्रापत्ति हुई, जो कि गर-संसदीय या भीर उन्होंने 356 के अन्तर्गत घापके सामने व्यवस्था का प्रश्न उठाया. तो जो बोलने वाले मेम्बर थे, उनको बैठ जाना चाहिये था और धापको उसके गलत या सहति होने के बारे में निर्णय देना चाहिये था। लेकिन ग्रापको यह ग्रधिकार नहीं है कि भाप व्यवस्था का प्रश्न सने बगैर फैसला देना तो दरिकनार, उनको निकाल दें, भाप एक नामजद मेम्बर को भाषण देने की हजाजत दें भीर भाषण के प्रश्न पर प्वाइन्ट धाफ ब्रार्डर वाले को भौका न दें. यह ब्रापकी ग्रधिकार नहीं था।

Shri Kapur Singh: I am not questioning your right to have given that direction which you have to Mr. Madhu Limaye. nor am I saying that the direction itself was intrinsically wrong. I only submit that in view of the circumstances which have now been revealed to the House and now that your direction has been obeyed, he should be permitted to come hack

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Yes.

भी जिल नारायकः मझंभी कछ कहने कामौकादियाजाय ।

चाष्यका महोबय: श्रापने कह दिया है, धव बैठ जाएये।

There ought to be some end.

भी शिव नारायणः मैंने जब सवाल रखा कि गरीब भादमियों से पैसा भाता है। इंग्लैण्ड ग्रीर ग्रमेरिका में जितनी प्राइवेट भौर भाटोनोमस बाडीज वर्क करती हैं. डेवलपमेंट ग्राफ एज्यकेशन के लिये, उस में गवनेमेंट कोई पैसा नहीं देती है। तब ग्रापने उसको मना कर दिया। झगडा किस बात का है कि उस लड़के ने दरख्वास्त हिन्दी में लिखी, यह चार्ज है, न कांस्टीटयशन का झगड़ा है, न कुछ, हजुर ने मझको रोक दिया। उसके बाद दिवेदी जी धौर एन्यनी साहब को एलाउः कर दिया। मैं जानना चाहता हं कि सरकार जो रूल है, उसके मताबिक मध् लिमये ने कोट किया अोसीजर के मताबिक कोट किया, लेकिफ उसको निकाल दिया और मझ को रोक दिया। कैवल एन्यनी साहब ही इस हाउम की ग्रथारिटी

नहीं हैं, हर मेम्बर को ब्रिधिकार है, कोरम उठाने का, प्वाइन्ट धाफ ब्राईंट रेख करने का— श्रापकी इजाजन से।

श्री सुरेश्वनाथ द्विबेदी: उनका कहना है कि मधु लिमये को निकाला, उनको क्यों नहीं निकाला।

Mr. Speaker: My regret is that because the subject being discussed is a delicate one and opinions differ sharply on that, the real perspective is being ignored altogether.

I have been asked why I did ask Mr. Madhu Limaye to go out and why I did allow Mr. Anthony to speak on that subject. The sequence might just be appreciated. Dr. Lohia had raised that point of order. I told him that I would not interpret the Constitution, and then called Mr. P. C. Borooah to take up the call attention notice. Mr. Dwivedy then raised this point of order that when I always have said that I would not interpret the Constitution, how could allow points of order based on the articles of Constitution saying that the Constitution is transgressed or flouted. My answer was that sometimes it so happens that on those articles some decisions have been given by judicial courts, and if I or someone else was aware of them, probably we might benefit by that; then the point of order might be decided. That was my reply. Mr. Anthony stood up to say that decisions had been given. Because that was my position, therefore, he wanted to explain that already the Supreme Court had decided and he wanted to give me that assistance. That is being mixed up and it is said that I allowed Mr. Anthony to speak, I did not allow Mi. Sheo Narain to speak and so on.

भी प्रकाशबीर शास्त्री : उन्होंने मुरू ही यहां से किया कि यह शिक्षा मंत्री की सदद देन: बाहते हैं ।

भी म० ला० द्विषेदी: उन्होंने कहा कि जिला मंत्री को सहायता करना चाइते हैं। धन्यक महोबय : उन्होंने यह कहा था, शास्त्री साहब, क्योंकि शिक्षा मंत्री साहब ने वे डिसीजन्ज नहीं बतलाबे हैं कि जब से बे जजी से भागे हैं, उनके पीछे जो फैमले हुए हैं

Shri Nambiar: You started giving your ruling in English, but now you have switched on to Hindi. Please continue in English.

Mr. Speaker: That was because that question had been put in Hindi.

ग्रम्यक्ष महोदय : यह सवाल चूंकि सास्त्री जी ने किया वा इसलिये इसका जवाब हिन्दी में देना वा, यही झगड़ा तो एपलीकेशन का चल रहा है।

प्रव दूसरा सवाल यह प्राया, जिसमें
मुझ पर नुक्ताचीनी की गई है कि जब एक
मेम्बर खड़ा हो कर कहता है कि ग्वाइंट प्राफ प्रावंर उठाना चाहता हूं, मैं उसको कहता हूं कि प्राप बाहर चले जाइये, यह सवाल नहीं है । उन्होंने ग्वाइंट ग्राफ प्रावंर उठाया, ग्वाइंट ग्राफ ग्रावंर मेंने सुना, ग्वाइंट ग्राफ प्रावंर का फैसला किया, जो कि उन्होंने कोट किया था

Shri S. M. Banerice: Not this time.

Mr. Speaker: This time I have not allowed because the whole conduct throughout has been to put obstructions. I was asking again and again that he should allow me to hear Mr. Frank Anthony whom I had called, and after that I would hear him, but he interrupted in between.

भी किशन पटनायक : ग्रापने गलती की है ।

श्री मन लान हिबेबी : प्रश्न सह है कि जब एक विषय समाप्त हो गया तो समाप्त होने के बाद फैंक एन्यनी साहब को बोलने को क्यों दिया गया ? यह सदन का घपमान है जो किया गया है। जब एक विषय सदन में श्रा गया तो दूसरा विषय उठाने की घनुमति कैंक एन्यनी साहब को क्यों दी गई?

ध्यन्यक महोबब : मैं सीक्वेंस बता चुका **夏** 1

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: You have given the sequence. I was under the im-pression that Mr. Madhu Limaye had raised a point of order on a point of order. If the sequence is what you have described, then Mr. Limaye has every right to raise a point of order, and I think we have to listen to it, and you have to listen to it, and I think if that is correct, then you should ask him to come back, if this is the sequence.

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : मैं प्रापके सामने एक अर्ज कर रहा हूं। मैं कायदे कानून में नहीं जारहा हूं। मैं भर्ज यह कर रहा हूं कि भाप मध् लिमये साहब को वापस बला लीजिये । फैंक एन्यनी साहब ने जो कुछ फ़ैसले बहां पर कहे हैं उनका वर्तमान विषय से कोई सम्बन्ध नहीं है । उन फ़ैसलों से यह साबित नहीं होता है कि किसी विद्यार्थी को मजबर किया जा सकता है एक भाषा चनने के लिये। उन फ़ैसलों का खाली मतलब यह है कि वहां पर पढाई ग्रंग्रेजी में होगी। इसलिए बिस्कूल गलत तरीके से उन्होंने वक्त इस्तेमाल किया है। मैं धापसे निवेदन करता हं कि मधु लिमये साहब को ग्राप बापस बुला लें।

स्रव्यक्ष महोदय : दो बातों को भापस म कनपयुज न किया जाए। भयर तो यह सबाल हो कि मैं ने गलती की है उनको निकाल कर धौर मैं उसका पश्चाताप करके उनको बापस बुलाऊं तो वह मैं करने के लिए तैयार नहीं हं।

Whatever the consequences. I am prepared to suffer.

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : यह मैं नहीं कह रहा हूं।

प्रस्थवा महोदय : प्रगर ऐसा कोई सवाब नहीं है चौर दूसरा सवाज है कि हाउस की राय है कि उनको इजाजत दी जाए तो मझे उसमें कोई ऐत्या नहीं है। Shri P. C. Boroosh.

Shri Frank Authony: May I conclude? प्रप्यक्त महोबय : प्रव रहने दीजिये ।

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

# मंत्रालयों का पुनर्गठन

- \*1696 भी सिंबेश्वर प्रसाद: क्या प्रधान मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :
- (क) क्या मंत्रालयों/विभागों मुव्यवस्थित ग्राधार पर स्थायी रूप से पुनर्यंठन करने के प्रश्न पर उन्होंने विचार किया ž :
- (ख) क्या उनका ध्यान इस बात की मोर दिलाया गया है कि मंत्रालयों/विभागों के प्रशासनिक ढांचे में बार-बार परिवर्तन से सुचारू रूप से कार्य-संचालन में बाधा पहली
- (ग) क्या यह सच है कि कुछ मंत्रालय काफ़ी बड़े हैं किन्तु धन्य मंत्रालय छोटे-छोटे हैं: ग्रीर
- (भ) यदि हां, तो स्या सरकार का विचार विभिन्न मंत्रालयों/विभागों को सून्यवस्थित ब्राधार पर कब तक पुनगठित करने का है ?

प्रधान मंत्री तथा ग्रजु शक्ति मंत्री (भीमती इन्दिरा गांधी) : (क) से (ग). नीति तथा प्रशासनिक कार्य-क्षमता धावश्यकताग्री के धनकल मंद्रालयों एवं विभागों का समय-समय पर पुनर्गठन किया जाता है। ऐसी स्थिति में यह निश्चित करना संभव नहीं है कि सभी मंत्रालयों एवं विचानों का कार्य-भार समान ही हो । हाल में ही कई एक मंत्रालयों एवं विभागों को उनके द्वारा किये जाने वाले कायों के धनसार पुनर्वेठित किया गया । मंद्रालयों की संरचना, संगठन तथा कार्यविधि से सम्बद्ध मामलों की समीक्षा भी की गई भीर वैज्ञानिक आसार पर एक मंत्रालय में पूनगैठन की एक नबीन योजना लाग की गई है। इस प्रकार के परिवर्तक

श्रमासनिक कार्य-अमता, मितव्ययिता तथा कार्य की गतिशीलता को ध्यान में रख कर किये गये हैं। सरकार को इस बात की जानकारी नहीं है कि उन परिवर्तनों के कारण सुवाक रूप से कार्य-संवालन में किसी प्रकार की बाधा पड़ी है।

(घ) हाल में ही गठित प्रशासनिक सुधार ग्रायोग ग्रन्य बातों के साथ-साथ मंत्रालयों तथा विभागों में विषयों के वर्गी-करण, कर्मचारी वर्ग के गठन तथा कायं-विधि से सम्बद्ध मामलों की जांच करेगा। इस विषय में प्रायोग द्वारा की गई सिकारिज्ञों पर सरकार विचार करेगी।

#### Memorial for Jawans in Delhi

### \*1697. Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena;

Will the Minister of **Defence** be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 657 on the 21st March, 1960 and state:

- (a) whether the scheme to raise a memorial in Delhi in the memory of the Jawans of the Armed Forces who laid down their lives for the defence of the country has since been considered by Government; and
  - (b) if so the details thereof?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) and (b). The scheme is still under consideration.

Foreign Exchange Allotted to Delegation of Communist Party visiting U.S.S.R.

- \*1698. Shri Kappen: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:
- (a) whether Government have allowed the visit of a number of delegations of the Communist Party of India to Moscow for holding ideological discussions with the Soviet Communist Party leaders; and
- (b) how many Indian Communists were given permission to visit the Soviet Union during the last one year for medical treatment or for other purposes?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) On an invitation of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union, a 6-member delegation of the Communist Party of India visited the Soviet Union in March-April, 1966 to attend the 23rd Congress of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union. On another invitation from the Central Committee of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union, 9-member delegation of the Communist Party of India went to Moscow in April, 1966 for bilateral talks with the Communist Party of the Soviet Union. Necessary facilities were extended to the members of the delegations for their visit.

(b) During the last one year 70 members of the Communist Party of India were permitted to visit the Soviet Union including 27 persons for medical treatment.

### Netaji Jayanti Celebrations

- \*1699. Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to refer to reply given to Starred Question No. 817 on the 28th March, 1966 regarding Netaji Jayanti celebrations and state:
- (a) whether the advice of the Central Programme Advisory Committee was sought in April;
- (b) if so, what advice was tendered; and
- (c) the decision of Government in the matter?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri Raj Bahadur): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c). The Central Programme Advisory Committee generally approved the pattern followed by All India Radio in the matter of observance of anniversaries of various national leaders. However, in view of the special importance of the matter, the Government have also had the benefit of the views of some Hon'ble Members of Parliament belonging to different political parties at an informal meeting on the 11th May, 1966. As a result of discussions which took place

at that meeting, it has been decided to modify the list in respect of anniversaries to be observed on an annual basis. A revised list of anniversaries to be observed by A.I.R. annually on an all-India basis is given below. The list includes the name of Netail Subhash Chandra Bose.

Revised List of Anniversaries of National Leders to be Observed by AIR Annually on an All-India Basis

1. Mahatma Gandhi;

17045

- 2. Pt. Jawaharlal Nehru;
- 3. Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri;
- 4. Netaji Subhash Chandra Bose:
- 5. Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel;
- 6. Dr. Raiendra Prasad;
- 7. Lokmanya Tilak;
- 8. Maulana Abul Kalam Azad.

# भारतीय समाचार बुलेटिन

\*1700 श्री प्रकाशबीर शास्त्री:

श्री हुक्तम चद कक्रवायः

श्री विशन चन्द्र सेठ:

श्रीस० मो० बनर्जीः

श्रीनाय पार्डः

श्री प्रिय गुप्तः

श्री मौर्षः

श्री यशपाल सिंह: श्री वाजी:

क्या **चूबन( चीर प्रस**(रज मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्यायह सब है कि पिछने कुछ समय से भारतीय समाचार बुलेटिनों में विदेशी मधिक खबरें प्रकाणित की जा रही हैं:
- (खा) यदि हां, तो क्या इसके लिए कोई नई नीति बनाई गई है; भीर

(क) यदि नहीं, तो समाचार बुलेटिनों में मुख्य भारतीय खबरों की उपेक्षा करके विदेशी खबरें शिष्ठक प्रमारित करने के क्या कारण हैं?

17046

सूचना भीर प्रसारण मंत्री (भी राज बहातुर): (क) जी, नहीं ।

(ख) भ्रोर (ग), प्रश्न नही उठते ।

Purchase of Arms by Pakistan

\*1701. Shri D. C. Sharma:

Shri Ram Harkh Yadav:

Shri Onkar Lai Berwa:

Dr. Kobor:

Shri Hukam Chand Kachhavaiya:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Indian Envoys abroad have reported the purchase of arms by Pakistan wherever they are available and without regard to price; and
- (b) if so, the reaction of Government thereto?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) and (b). Indian Missions report to Government whatever information becomes available to them on Pakistan's arms purchases abroad, and we take diplomatic action, as appropriate, in such matters.

# Properties of Gurdwaras in Pakistan

- \*1702. Shri Daljit Singh: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that the value of the properties of Gurdwaras left in Pakistan comes to about Rs. 25 crores with Rs. 50,00,000 income annually against which neither any evacuee property of Muslims religious shrines of Punjab was allotted to S.G.P.C., Amritsar nor any settlement has been made with Pakistan Government so far:
- (b) whether it is also a fact that previously, some such land was allotted to S.G.P.C.. Amritaar temporarily and was cancelled afterwards and taken over by the Wakf Board of India; and

(c) if so, whether Government have any proposal to allot the same land of Punjab permanently to S.G.P.C., Amritsar in lieu of the same?

Written Answers

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) It has been reported by the S.G.P.C., Amritsar, that the value of the properties attached to the Guidwaras in Pakistan in 1947 totalled a little over Rs. 16 crores 18 lakhs and that the annual income from those properties was 481 lakhs. According to the information with Government no evacuee property of Muslim religious shrines has been allotted to S.G.P.C. No settlement has yet been possible with the Pakistan Government in regard to the properties of the S.G.P.C. left in Pakistan.

- (b) According to available information no land was allotted to the S.G.P.C.. Amritsar from Muslim religious shrines, and there is no Wakf Board of India as such.
- (c) Government have no such proposal under consideration.

### Hostile Nagas

## \*1703. Shri R. S. Pandey: Shri R. Barna:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have taken certain concrete steps against the hostile Nagas who have been carrying on antinational activities during the recent past; and
- (b) if so, the details of the measures taken and the results achieved to normalise the situation in the State?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Dinesh Singh): (a) and (b). Instructions have been issued by the State Governments of Assam, Manipur and Nagaland to intensify security arrangements and vigilance in the affected areas and suitable steps have been taken to protect the commission of unlawful acts by the underground Nagas and also to prevent danger to the life and property of the citizens. The State Governments have also issued instruction to their Administrative Officers to seek the help of the Army whenever necessity arises.

### R.T.C. with Industrialists

\*1704. Shri R. Barun: Shri S. M. Baneriee: Shri Linga Reddy: Shri Daii: Shri P. C. Boroonh: Shri Prakash Vir Shastri:

Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

- (a) whether a programme has been finalised by Government to hold a number of round-table conferences between Government and groups of industrialists and experts in different fields of industry and commerce with the object to harness to better purpose the expertise and dynamism in the country; and
- (b) if so, the details of the programme of holding such discussions and the major issues which will be taken up at series of such conferences ?

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shrimati Indira Gandhi): (a) and (b). It is intended to arrange meetings from time to time with those interested in industry, agriculture and other important aspects of our economy. The object of the meetings is not to arrive at any decisions on specific issues, but to provide for a free and frank exchange of views in order to bring about a better understanding of the problems and difficulties which are faced by Government and the people engaged in these lines of economic activity.

The first meeting of this kind was beid on April 29 and 30 with some industrialists, managers, technologists and economists to discuss the problems hampering industrial production and development.

The next meeting is likely to be held early in June to discuss problems relating to Public Sector Enterprises and their production.

The dates for further meetings are yet to be finalised.

17050

### Ceylonese Government Claim on the Island of Kicha Thivu

### \*1705. Shri Madhu Limaye:

Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia:

Shri S. M. Banerjee:

Shri P. C. Borooah:

Shri S. Kandappan:

Shri D. C. Sharma:

Shri Warior:

Shri Dail:

Shri Rajdeo Singh:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the claim made by the Ceylonese Government on the island of Kicha Thivu:
- (b) whether any representation has been made by the said Government to the Government of India:
- (c) whether any reply has been sent to this communication; and
  - (d) the contents of the reply?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Dinesh Singh: (a) Government of India have seen press reports regarding this.

(b) No. Sir.

(c) and (d). Do not arise.

### Alloys Steel Plant at Kanpur

\*1706, Shri S. M. Banerice: Shri Kishen Pattnayak: Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Moons:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that a final de cision has since been taken to establish a special Alloy Steel Plant at Kanpur; and
- (b) if not, the reasons for the delay in taking a final decision?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri A. M. Thomas): (a) and (b). There is a proposal under consideration for modernising the facilities available for Alloy Steel Production at Kan-

pur. The scope and pattern of facilities to be set up have to be determined with reference to the capabilities that may be developed in other steel plants in the public and private sectors. This is under examination of the Government of India.

## Marine Diesel Engine Factory at Ennore (Madras)

- \*1707. Shri S. Kandappan: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:
- (a) whether the proposal to set up a Marine Diesel Engine Factory at Ennore near Madras has been dropped; and
  - (b) if so the reasons therefor?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shrl A. M. Thomas): (a) Yes. Sir

(b) On the invitation of the Government a Team of M/s. MAN Engineers visited India during June, July and August 1965 to prepare a basic manufacturing plan for this Project. They were also requested to advise on the best location out of the sites at Cochin, Madras and Visakhapatnam or any other site which they may recommend. After taking into consideration various factors, e.g. geograp hical situation, communications, availabi lity of labour, investment and factory costs, etc., and carrying out a relative assessment of the different sites, they have re commended in the following order for the location of the factory-Ranchi, Vishaka patnam, Madras and Cochin.

### होगरा बटालियन

\*1768 भी भौंकार लाख बेरवा: श्रीय० व० सिहः भी रामेक्वरामनः

क्या रक्ता मंत्री यह बताने की क्रमा करेंके fa.

(क) क्या यह सच है कि जम्म तथा काश्मीर के मुख्य मंत्री ने डोयरा बटालियन की ग्रालोचना की है

17051

- (ख) यदि हां, तो इसके क्या कारण <del>ਲੈਂ</del> :
- (ग) क्या यह भी सच है कि इस प्रालोचना मे डोगरा बटालियन में भारी रोष है : ग्रीर
- (घ) यदि हां. तो क्या इसके सम्बन्ध में सरकार ने उनसे कोई पूछ-ताछ की है ?

प्रतिरक्षा मंत्री (श्री यशवन्तराव चक्हाण) (क) से (घ), सरकार ने इस विषय की प्रेस रिपोर्ट देखी है, परन्तु उसे मधिकृत कोई सुचना नहीं है। जम्म तथा काश्मीर सरकार से पछताछ की गई है. भीर प्राप्य सुचना, प्राप्त होने पर सभा के पटल पर रख दी जाएगी।

Visit of an Official of E.A. Ministry to Vietnam

\*1709. Shri P. C. Borooah: Shri P. Venkatasubbala'n: Shri K. C. Pant:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether a senior officer of his Ministry was recently sent to Victnam to study the possibilities of economy in expenditure on the International Control Commission on Vietnam and to have a general idea about the position there;
- (b) if so, what was his report to Government; and
- (c) the action taken by Government in the light of his report?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Dinesh Singh): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c). The report or Government's decision thereon cannot be divulged at this stage without embarrassment to India's position as Chairman of the Commission.

#### Film on Netaji

Written Answers

- \*1710. Shri Nath Pai: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:
- (a) whether Government are aware that a film called "Netaji" is being shown in several cinemas in the country;
- (b) that the film does no justice to the greatness of Netaji; and
- (c) whether there is a demand that the film be withdrawan from circulation?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri Rai Bahadur): (a) Yes.

- (b) The film was passed at and the opinion of the Examining Committee of the Board was that the film has been made with due reverence and respect to Netaii.
- (c) Government has received no complaint or representation that the film should be withdrawn from circulation. However. a petition was filed by Shri Dwijendra Nath Bose, in the Court of the Additional Chief Presidency Magistrate, Calcutta, for restraining the exhibition of the film under Section 144 Cr. P.C. and was dropped by the court. The film is now in cliculation in the country.

### Negotiating Machinery for Civil Defence **Employees**

### \*1711. Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 807 on the 28th March, 1966 and

- (a) whether the question regarding the setting up an interim negotiating machinery for the civil defence employees has since been considered by Government: and
  - (b) if so, the details thereon.

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) and (b). Yes, Sir. The proposal to set up an interim Negotiating Machinery for civilian defence employees has since been considered and dropped, in view of the fact that the Joint Consultation and Compulsory Arbitration Scheme of the Ministry of Home Aftuirs in which the Defence Ministry will participate, is likely to come into force very soon.

17053

### Naga Hostile Activities

- \*1712. Shri P. C. Boroosh: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to refer to the replies given to supplementary question to Starred Question No. 1309 on the 25th April, 1966 and state:
- (a) whether investigations as to the question of existence of foreign hand behind the Naga hostiles activities have been completed; and
  - (b) if so, with what result?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Dinesh Singh):

(a) and (b). We have definite information about the help given by the Pakistan Government to the Naga hostiles.

### Coverage of P.M.'s Visit to U.S.A.

### \*1713. Shri D. C. Sharma: Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri Kapur Singh:

Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the use of Voice of America voicecast for the coverage of Prime Minister's recent visit to the United States of America by the All India Radio has been enquired into; and
  - (b) if so, the result thereof?

### The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri Raj Bahadur): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) All India Radio did not use the Voice of America's voicecasts in covering the Prime Minister's recent visit to the United States. It, however, used excerpts from the Prime Minister's speeches recorded by the Voice of America. Such facilities are commonly availed of by broadcasting organisations all over the world on a reciprocal basis. The introdutory remarks pertaining to the excerpts were written by A.I.R.'s own correspondent covering the Prime Minister's visit and were read by an Indian locally available.

# Statement by British Defence Secretary

17054

- \*1714. Shri Hem Barua: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:
- (a) whether the attention of Government has been drawn to the statement made by the British Defence Secretary, Mr. Denis Healey in the House of Commons which says: 'India and Pakistan are still more concerned with the struggle with each other than with other troutles';
- (b) if so, whether Government think that Britian has not been able to overcome her inhibition of equating India with Pakistan; and
- (c) if so, whether Government have taken up this matter with U.K. Government?

# The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) Mr. Denis Healeys statemen: was not made in context of Britain's relation with India and Pakistan but arose out of a reference to the possibility of an "Asian coalition" made by Sir Alex Douglas Home.
  - (c) Does not arise.

# इस्लामी गृट

# \*1715 श्री मधुलिमयेः

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया:

- क्या **बंदेशिक-कार्य** मंत्री यह बताने की कृषा करेंगे कि :
- (क) क्या मरकार का ध्यान इस्लामी गुट के बनाने के लिये किये जा रहे प्रयाम की ग्रीर दिलाया गया है;
- (ख) इस में कौन -कौन से देश शामिल हो रहे हैं :
- (ग) भारत की सुरक्षा पर इसका क्या प्रभाव पड़ेगा ; भीर ...
- (व) इस सम्बन्ध में मरकार क्या कार्यवाही कर रही है ?

बैबेशिक-कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य संजी (भी विनेश सिंह): (क) से (भ). इस्तामी गृट या संश्रय का विचार नया नहीं है। दिसम्बर, 1965 में जब शाह फैजल ईरान गए थे, तब यह प्रस्ताव फिर रखा गया था। पहले कदम के रूप में, दोनों शाह इस पर महमत हुए कि सब इस्लामी देशों के राज्या-ध्यक्षों का एक शिखर स-मेलन बुलाया जाए। इसके अनुरूप शाह फैजल ने पाकिस्तान समेत कई देशों की याला की।

अभी यह नहीं कहा जा सकता कि शिखर सम्मेलन होगा और उसमें किन-किन देशों का प्रतिनिधित्व होगा । भारत सरकार प्रपनी धर्म-निरपेक्षिता और गुटों से धलग रहने की नीति के अनुसार, इस प्रस्ताव के विषय है और उसे यह जानकर सन्तोव हुआ है कि प्रगतिशील भरव और मुस्लिम तथा प्रन्य देशों में धर्म के पाधार वर ऐसे गुट बनाने के खिलाफ जबवैस्त प्रतिक्रिया हुई है ।

#### Accidents to Naval Aircraft

- \*1716. Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that recently several naval aircraft have been involved in accidents;
  - (b) if so, the details thereof; and
- (c) whether an inquiry has been or is being held in the matter?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) Only two aircraft of the Navy were involved in minor accidents while landing at Santa Cruz in the 1st May 1966.

(b) One of the aircraft was diverted from the Carrier INS VIKRANT to Santa Cruz after minor damage to the nosewheel whilst practising deck landing. The nosewheel collapsed on landing at Santa Cruz. The second aircraft which was escorting the first aircraft also landed at Santa Cruz. A minor fire developed in the second aircraft engine bay after the engine had been switched off. The fire

was promptly brought under control. Both the pilots are safe.

Written Answers

(c) Yes, Sir, a Board of Enquiry is being held to investigate the cause of fire which occurred after the engine of the second aircraft had been switched off. No enquiry is intended in the first accident as it was a case of material failure

#### Conflict in Vietnam

- \* 717. Shri Shree Narayan Das: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:
- (a) whether the international Commission for supervision and control in Vietnam or any of its member Governments has taken initiative in the matter of seeking ways in ending conflict in Vietnam;
- (b) if so, the precise nature of steps taken; and
- (c) whether any and if so which other Governments have expressed their desire to help in this matter?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Dinesh Singh): (a) and (c). The Government of Canadahave been considering the utilisation of the services of the International Commission for Supervision and Control in Vietnam question. The Government of Canadahave also informed the Government of Canadahave also informed the Government of India of their thinking in this regard. The proposal was only exploratory to find out how the International Commission could help in this and no specific details have been worked out. The proposal is not being pressed.

# सेना के धकसरों द्वारा त्यागपत्र

# \*1718. डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया: भी बागडी:

क्या रक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की क्रूपा क**रें**गे कि

(क) क्या यह सच है कि सेना के कुछ प्रफलरों ने दिसम्बर, 1960 प्के बाद इस प्राधार पर त्यागपत दिये हैं कि इस प्रकार के कार्य के लिये उनकी भारमा तैयार नहीं है :

- (ख) क्या यह सच है कि ऐसे प्रफासरों के स्थागपत्र स्वीकार नहीं हुए धौर उन्होंने इस सम्बन्ध में उच्चतम न्यायालय में ध्रपीस की है;
- (ग) क्या यह भी सच है कि बड़े भ्रफ्तरों ने इनके सम्बन्ध में यह कहा है कि ऐसे दिमाग के लोग सेना में भ्रष्कं साबित नहीं होंगे : भीर
- (ष) इनके बावजूद भी उनके त्यागपक स्वीकार न करने के कारण क्या हैं ?

प्रतिरक्षा संबी(श्री यसवन्तराव बक्ताक):
(क) 4 सैनिक प्रफार ों ने प्रायः इन कारणों से इस्तीफा दिया है कि उन्हें सेना में रहने में कोई किंच नहीं है, सैनिक का के बीवन के स्वामाविक तौर पर वह प्रनुपयुक्त हैं, प्रपने प्रापको उसके लिए हालने में प्रसम्ब हैं।

- (ख) केवल एक धफसर ने जिसका इस्तीफा निरीक्षणाधीन है उच्चतम न्यायासव मैं रिट धम्यावेदन दिया है ।
- (ग) प्राचैनापत्न भेजते समय हर एकः
  स्राधकारी सेना में स्रफसर की उपयोगिता
  स्रथवा सन्यथा के सम्बन्ध में स्रपने टिप्पण
  देता है जिन पर मामले का निर्णय करते समय
  विचार किया जाता है ।
- (घ) सेवा के हित समेत सभी बातों का ध्यान रखते हुए प्रत्येक मामले पर सरकार द्वारा उसके गुणों के धनुसार विचार किया जाता है। दो मामलों में धस्तीफे स्वीकार कर लिए गए हैं। एक मामला विचाराधीन है, धौर चौथे में, कोर में कि जिसमें धफसर सेवा कर रहा था, प्रफसरों सम्बन्धी स्विति के कारण इस्तीफा स्वीकार नहीं किया गया है।

# Closure of American Monitoring Stations By Pakistan

- \*1719. Shri P. C. Borooah: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:
- (a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the reported closure of the American Monitoring stations by Pakistan in order to pressurize the United States to resume full-scale military assistance to Pakistan;
- (b) if so, whether Government's attention has also been drawn to the psychological frenzy in the U.S. Government on resumption of arms aid to Pakistan in the context of this pressure and the display of the Chinese arms by Pakistan on Pak. day; and
- (c) if so. Government's reaction to prevent U.S. Government from lifting the embargo on arms aid to Pakistan under such pressure?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) Government are not aware of any psychlogical frenzy in the U.S. Government to resume arms aid to Pakistan, in the context of the threat of closure of U.S. Monitoring stations in Pakistan. We presume that the U.S. Government have drawn its own conclusions from the display of Chinese arms by Pakistan on Pakistan Day.
- (c) Government have expressed to the U.S. Government their deep concern at the reports about the possibility of lifting embargo on arms aid to Pakitian.

Diplomatic Relations between Rodesia and United Kingdom

\*1720. Shri Madhu Limaye: Shri Sidheshwar Prasad: Shri Kashi Ram Gupta:

Shri Indrajit Gupta:

Shri Vasudevan Nair:

Shri Nath Pai:

Shri Kishen Pattnayak: Shri Hari Vishno Kamath:

Dr. Ram Manhor Lobis:
Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the move for the restora-

tion of diplomatic ties between the rebel white minority Rhodesian Government and the Government of United Kingdom;

- (b) the likely repercussions of this on the Afro-Asian world;
- (c) its impact on the Commonwealth and inter-Commonwealth relations; and
- (d) the reaction of Government to this development?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Dinesh Singh): (a) Southern Rhodesia is not a sovereign, independent country. Therefore, it could not have diplomatic relations with the U.K. However, Britain did have a representative (called the High Commissioner) and his staff functioning in Salisbury. The Rhodésians similarly had an office in London. With the declaration of UDI the British representative was withdrawn and a small residual staff was left in Rhodesia for the performance of consular functions. Even this residual staff was asked to leave the country by the Smith regime but when the British Government agreed to start exploratory talks with the illegal regime in Salisbury and it was decided not to withdraw the residual staffs in London and Salispury.

- (b) The U.K. decision to institute even exploratory talks with the illegal regime in Salisbury has come as a surprise to Afro-Asian countries including those the Commonwealth and reactions to this development are critical of Britain's action.
- (c) It is too early yet to assess what impact this will have on the Commonwealth and on relationships as between its members.
- (d) The Government of India share the unensiness felt by other Asian and African countries in this matter and are watching the situation.

### Indo-Kuwait Joint Venture

5657. Shri Basumatari: Shri Kindar Lak

### Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Shri Firodia:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

Written Answers

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Kuwait Government is prepared to help the Indian Government in respect of industrial development: and
- (b) if so, the details of the agreement, if any, in this regard?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) and (b). In recent contacts between the Governments of India and Kuwait it has been agreed that possibilities of industrial and financial cooperation between the two countries for mutual benefit should be closely examin ed. A Joint Indo-Kuwait Committee has been formed and the first meeting of this Committee is likely to take place shortly.

### Cheap Radios

### 5658. Shri Dhuleshwar Meena: Shri Ramachandra Ualka:

Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 2482 on the 21st March, 1966 and state:

- (a) whether the question of supplying cheap radio sets to the villages has since been considered by Government; and
  - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri Raj Bahadur): (a) and (b). The entire question of augmenting the production/supply of radio receivers in the country is being pursued vigorousty in consultation with the Ministry of Industry. Action is being taken to obtain foreign exchange resources to step up production of cheap sets and spare parts

#### New Medals for Army Personnel

# 5659. Shri Dhuleshwar Meena: Shri Ramachandra Ulaka:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 3197 on the 4th April, 1966 and state:

(a) whether the proposal for the institution of new medals for the Armed 17061 Written Answers VAISAKHA 26, 1888 (SAKA) Written Answers 17062

Forces personnel has since been considered; and

(b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri A. M. Thomas): (a) and (b). The proposal is still under consideration.

### Oriya News Reels and Documentaries

- 5660. Dr. Kohor: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:
- (a) the number of Oriya news reels
  - (b) their names;
- (c) whether any Oriya documentary the or news reels are under production or likely to be produced during 1966; and
- (d) if so, their names and time of their release?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri Raj Bahadur). (a) to (d). All the documentaries produced and released by the Films Division in public cinemas on all-India circuits and all films released through mobile vans since May 1958 have been dubbed and released in Oriya. Since January 1960 all weekly newsreels released in public cinemas on all India circuits are also dubbed in Oriya. The exact number and nanes of documentaries and news reels so far dubbed in Oriya and released, are being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

#### Paktoonishan

- 5661. Shri Madhu Limaye: Will the Minister of external Affairs be pleased to same:
- (a) the present policy of Government regarding the help to the Pakhtoonistan Siberation movement led by Khan Abdul 707 (ai) LS—4.

Ghaffare than, as announced by the Minister of External Affairs in Lok Sabha on the 17th November, 1965

- (b) whether Badshah Khan is to visit India in the near future; and
  - (c) if so, the date of his visit?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) Government's attitude on this question has repeatedly been made clear in the House. Government has every sympathy for the just demands of the Pakhtoons and will do whatever is constitutionally possible to help them.

(b) and (c). Khan Abdul Ghaffar Khan who was invited in January, 1965 has not indicated when he will visit India.

### धाकाशवाणी का विविध भारती कार्यक्रम

# 5662 भी हुकम चन्द्र कछ्याय: श्री बढे:

क्या सूचना भीर प्रसारण मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि धाकाशवाणी के "विविध भारती" कार्यक्रम के धन्तर्गत फौजी भाइयों के लिए धम्लील माहित्य एवं फिल्मी गीत प्रसारित किये जाते हैं;
- (ख) क्या ऐसे गीतों से जवानों के ब्राचरण पर प्रतिकृत प्रभाव पड़ता है ; ब्रीर
- (ग) क्या इससे हमारी मुरक्षा ब्यवस्था किसी प्रकार से खतरे में पढ़ सकती है जिसका उत्तरदायित्व हमारे जवानों पर है ?

सूचना सौर प्रसारण मंत्री (स्त्री राज बहादुर): (क) जी, नहीं । सैनिकों की प्रायना पर विविध भागती से प्रसारित होने वाले फिल्मी गानों में घांगर की पुट तो होती है परन्तु इस बात का ध्यान रखा जाता है कि उनकी विषय वस्तु सुरुचि के सीमान्य मानदण्डों से गिरी न हो ।

(ख) तथा (ग). प्रश्न नहीं उठता।

# बौन (पश्चिमी जर्मनी) में गांधी स्टीट

5663. श्री ग्रोंकार लाल बेरवा: क्या वैदेशिक-कार्यमंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि जर्मनी की रण्जधानी बौन की नगरपालिका न हाल में बनाई गई सड़क का नाम "गांधी स्ट्रीट" रखा है : और
- (ख) यदि हां, तो क्या इस सङ्क को यह नाम देने से पूर्वभारत सरकार की स्वीकृति लेली गई थी?

# वैदेशिक-कार्यमंत्री (भी स्वर्णसिंह): (क) जी हां।

(ख) ऐसे मामलों में भारत सरकार की महमति लेने की ध्रावण्यकता नहीं होती है। लेकिन बीध की म्युतिसिपैल्टी ने बोन्नःस्थित हमारे राजदूतावास के जरिये ध्रपने निर्णय की सूचना भारत सरकार को दे दी है। बौध में एक सड़क का नाम महास्मा गांधी के नाम पर रखने के भाव की हम सराहना करते है।

# प्रधान मंत्री तथा कांग्रेस ग्रध्यक्ष की चंकी-स्लोबाकिया की यात्रा

5664- श्री घॉकार लाल बेरवा। क्या वैदेशिक-कार्यमंत्रीयह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि प्रधान मंत्री तथा कांग्रेस धध्यक्ष श्री कामराज शीट ही चैकोस्लोबाकिया की यात्रा पर जायेंगे ;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो इस पर होने वाला व्यय कौन वहन करेगा ; और
- (रू) इस पर कितना व्यय होने का अनुमान है तथा कितनी विदेशी मुद्रा खर्च होगी ?

बैदेशक-कार्य मंत्री (श्री स्वर्ण सिंह):
(क) जी हां । चैकोस्लोवाकिया के विदेश उप-मंत्री डा० एल० सीमोविक ने उसे 15 प्रप्रेल, 1966 तक अपनी भारत यात्रा के दौरान अपने प्रधान मंत्री की ग्रोर से हमारी प्रधान मंत्री को चैकोस्लोवाकिया की यात्रा करने का निमंत्रण दिया । हमारी प्रधान मंत्री ने यह निमंत्रण स्वीकार कर लिया है । यात्रा की अभी तक तारीख निज्वत नहीं की गई है। हमें यह सूचना है कि चैकोस्लोवाकिया नेशनल डैमोकेस्लोवाकिया के प्रधान मंत्री ने कांग्रेस अध्यक्ष की भ्रोर में चैकोस्लोवाकिया के प्रप्राप्त की जारे से चैकोस्लोवाकिया के विदेश उप-मंत्री ने कांग्रेस अध्यक्ष श्री के० कामराज को भी निमंत्रण दिया है। कांग्रेस प्रध्यक्ष ने ग्रभी कांर्ड तारीख निज्यत नहीं की है।

(ख) सामान्य थ्यवहार के अनुसार प्रधान मत्नी के स्थानीय ग्रादर-सत्कार का खर्च ग्रातिथ्य सरकार उठाती है ग्रीर याता-खर्चभारत सरकार ।

कांग्रेस भ्रष्ट्यक्ष की यावा का कोई खर्च भारत सरकार नहीं उटाएगी।

(ग) चूंकि प्रधान मंत्री की चैको-स्लोवाकिया की यात्रा की तारीख प्रोर समय प्रभी प्रन्तिम रूप से निम्चित नहीं किया गया है, इसलिए प्रभी प्रनुमानित व्यय का प्रश्न नहीं उठता।

जपर भाग (ख) में जो उत्तर दिया गया है, उसे देखते हुए भारत सरकार कोई क्योरा देने की स्थिति में नहीं है।

### Furniture at M.E.S., Ambala

5665. Shri S. M. Banerjee: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that during the years 1963-64. 1964-65 and 1965-66, a lot of furniture in Military Engineering Services at Ambala Cantonment has been found missing or written off;

- (b) if so, how much amount was involved and when that furniture was manufactured or purchased;
- (c) whether action was taken or an inquiry held to find out the exact loss of the public money; and
- (d) the steps taken to avoid such losses and misapppropriation of Government stores and property?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan: (a) to (d). All the information necessary to answer this question is not available. It is being collected and a statement will be laid on the table of the House as soon as the relevant information is received.

# सैनिक ग्रधिकारियों के साथ करार

# 5666 डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया: श्री किशन पर्टनायक: श्री मधु लिमबे:

क्या रक्षा मत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे

- (क) क्या सरकार सैनिक ग्रधिकारियों से उनकी सेवाग्रों के सम्बन्ध में लिखित रूप में कोई करार कराती है ;
- (ख) यदि हा, तो क्या उसके घाषार पर वे सैनिक अधिकारी राज्यादिष्ट होने (कमीशन प्राप्त करने) के बाद किसी निश्चित प्रविध तक सेवा करने के लिए बाब्य होते हैं; ग्रीर
- (ग) यदि नहीं, तो क्या कोई धौर कान्नी उपबन्ध हैं जिनके धनुसार सरकार सैनिक प्रधिकारियों की सेवा की गतौं को विनियमन करती है धौर वह उन्हें कितनी निश्चित श्रवधि तक सेवा करने के लिये बाध्य कर सकती है ?

प्रतिरक्षा संत्रालय में राज्य संत्री (का० स० बासस): (क), (ख) तया (ग). जी नहीं, सिवाय वायू सेना की गैर-तकनीकी शाखाओं में, जहां कमीजन के लिए चुने गये उम्मीदवार को एक प्रतिक्रापल पर हस्ताक्षर करने होते हैं जिसके घन्तर्गत उसे छात्रता की घवधि क्रूबिहत कम से कम धाठ वर्षों के लिये सेवा करनी पड़ती हैं। सैनिक घफसरों को घनिवायं सेवा निवृत्ति की घायु तक, धमवा नियमित कमीशन प्राप्त होने पर वायु सेना में नियमों के घनुसार निर्धारित धवधि की तिथि तक, या घनियमित कमीशन प्राप्त होने की दशा में एक विशेष घवधि के लिए सेवा करनी पड़ती हैं। प्रपनी सेवा के दौरान वह प्रपनी घपनी सेवा मम्बन्धी घधिनियमीं के प्रधीन होते हैं।

# रेडियो बाल्ब के मल्य

5667. श्री हुकम चन्द्र कछवाय: क्या रक्षा मंत्री 26 नवस्वर, 1965 के झतारांकित प्रश्न संख्या 1388 के उत्तर के सम्बन्ध में यह बताने की कृपा करेगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि भारत इलेक्ट्रोनिक्स लिमिटेड, बंगलीर द्वारा निर्मित रेडियो वाल्व दिल्ली में ग्रव भी निर्घारित मूल्य पर नहीं मिल रहे हैं;
- (ख) क्या वे वास्त काले बाजार में निगुनी भौर चौगुनी कीमतों पर उपलब्ध हैं, जिसके फलस्वरूप झाम जनता को ही नहीं भ्रपितु सरकारी तकनीकी विभागों को भी कठिनाई का सामना करना पड़ रहा है;
- (ग) क्या भारत इलेक्ट्रोनिक्स, लिमिटेड, द्वारा नियुक्त वितरक, मैसर्स यूनिवर्सल रेडियो, चांदनी चौक, दिल्ली भी इन वाल्वों को नहीं बेच रहे हैं; भौर
- (घ) इन वाल्बों को निर्धारित मूल्यों पर खुले बाजार में उपलब्ध कराने के लिए सरकार क्या कार्यवाही कर रही है ?

प्रसिरका संज्ञालय में राज्य संजी (बी बाव नव बालस): (क) तथा (ख). जी नहीं। भारत इलेक्ट्रोनिक्स लिमिटेड द्वारा निर्मित विभिन्न प्रकार के रेडियो वाल्व दिल्ली में प्रकाणित दर पर नियुक्त किये-ब्रंथे वितरकों सथवा उनके परचुन विकेताओं में प्राप्य है। तदिप, बी० ई० एल० द्वारा प्रकाशित से प्रधिक दरों पर इन वाल्वों के बेचे जाने सम्बन्धी शिकायतें प्राप्त हुई हैं।

- (ग) चांदनी चौक दिल्ली के सर्वश्री यूनिवर्सल रेडियो नियुक्त किये गये वितरकों में से एक हैं। किसी विशेष समय में किसी विशेष किस्स का या किस्सों के बाल्बों की भ्रष्ठाप्यता के कारण कभी कभार ग्रक्षमता के श्रर्तिरक्त, वह नियमित सप्लाई कर रहे हैं।
- (घ) बी० ई० एल० द्वारा वर्तमान वितरण व्यवस्था प्रायः पर्याप्त है ।

N.D.F. Collection in Bahraich

5668. Shri Ram Singh: Shri P. H. Bheel: Shri P. K. Deo:

Will the **Prime Minister** be pleased state:

- (a) the total amount collected from Sirila and Prayagpur Blocks of Bahraich District in U.P. for the National Defence Fund during the recent Indo-Pakistan conflict;
- (b) whether the entire amount has been deposited by the local authorities in the National Defence Fund; and

(c) if not, why?

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Beergy (Shrimati Indira Gandhi): (a) In connection with the recent Indo-Pakistan Conflict Rs. 11,631.22 and Rs. 20.421.31 were collected from Sirsia and Prayagpur blocks respectively of Bahraich District in U.P. for the National Defence Fund.

- (b) The entire amount has been deposited in the State Bank of India, for credit to the National Defence Fund.
  - (c) The question does not arise.

# नौसेना के कर्मचारियों के परिवारों की , सुविधायें

5669. श्री रा०स० तिवारी: क्या रक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या सरकार ने नौसेना के उन कर्मचारियों के परिवारों को, जिन्होंने भारत-पाकिस्तान संघर्ष के परिणामस्त्ररूप प्रपने जीवन का बलिदान किया था, सुविधायें देने की कोई घोषणा की हैं:
- (ख) यदि हां, तो कितने कर्मचारियों के परिवारों को मुविधायें दी गई हैं ; श्रौर
- (ग) यदि नहीं, तो उसके क्या कारण हैं ?

प्रतिरक्षा मंत्री (श्री यशवन्तराव चक्हाण) : (क) तीनों सेवाग्रों के, श्रीर इस लिए नौसेना के सेविवर्ग समेत, सभी सैनिक सेविवर्ग के लिए उदारनापूर्वक विशेष कुटुम्ब पेन्शन ग्रवाडों, श्रीर नियोंग्यता पेन्शन के लिए ग्रादेश जारी कर दिए गए हैं।

(ख) तथा (ग). प्रश्न नहीं उठते क्योंकि हाल के भारत पाकिस्तान युद्ध के दौरान नौसेना के कोई सेविवर्ग नहीं मारे गए थे।

मेरठ जिले में स्थानीय समाचारपत्रों के लिए सलसारी कागज का सम्यंश

5670 भी विभाग प्रसाद:
भी हुकम चन्द कछवाय:
डा॰ लक्ष्मी मल्ल सियवी:
भी दाजी:

क्या सूचना भीर प्रसार मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच हैं कि उत्तर प्रदेश के मेरठ जिले के स्थानीय समाचारपत्नों को प्रखबारी कागज का कोटा दिया जाता है;
- (ख) क्यायह भी सच है कि ये समाचार-पत्र 1000 से ग्रधिक प्रतिया नहीं छापते हैं;
- (ग) क्य यह भी सच है कि "दैनिक मायाराष्ट्र" की केवल 800 के लगभग प्रतियां छपती हैं;

(घ) क्या यह भी सच है कि उक्त समाचारपत के पाम जो मशीन है उससे एक दिन में 800 से मधिक प्रतियां नहीं निकाली जा सकती हैं

Written Answers

- (ङ) क्या यह भी सच है कि उक्त समाचारपत्र की रिपोर्ट भी सच नहीं होती हैं: ग्रीर
- (च) यदि हां, तो ऐसे समाचारपत्नों को ग्रखबारी कागज का कोटा तथा सरकारी विज्ञापन देने के क्या कारण है जब कि धर्धिक परिचालन वाले भ्रन्य समाचारपत्नों को न तो श्रखबारी कागज का कोटा दिया जाता है ग्रीर नहीं सरकारी विज्ञापन ।

सुचना भीर प्रसारण मंत्री (भी राज बहादूर): (क) जी, हां।

- (ख) जी, नहीं । सरकार के पास उपलब्ध जानकारी के भ्रनसार, मेरठ से प्रकाशित होने वाले भ्रखबारों में कई भ्रखबारों की प्रचार संख्या 1000 से उत्पर है।
- (ग) मेरठ के दैनिक मायराष्ट की प्रचार संख्याकी जांचकी जारही है।
- (घ) ऐसा पता लगा है कि इस पत्न की छपाई मशीन एक दिन में 2000 प्रतियां फ्राप सकती है।
- (ङ) मरकार के पाम कोई जानकारी नहीं है।
- (च) ग्रखबारी कागज का बटवारा करते समय, प्रखबार की नीति या उसकी विषय वस्तु पर ध्यान नहीं रखा जाना । ग्रखबारी कागज प्रखबारों को, भावेदन करने पर सार्वजनिक सचना संख्या 54-म्राई॰ टी॰ सी॰ (पी॰ एन॰) तारीख 26-4-1966 में निहित नीति के धनसार भ्रलाट किया जाता है। इस सुचना की एक प्रति लोक सभाकी मेज पर रखी जाचकी **2** 1

विज्ञापन देने के लिए पत्न-पविकाओं को चनने में यह ध्यान रखा जाता है कि जनकी प्रचार संख्या कितनी है. प्रकानन में

वे कितने नियमित हैं उन्हें कौन लोग पढ़ते हैं उनका स्तर कैसा है विज्ञाप न किस भाषा भौर क्षेत्र के लिए है भौर वे पत्रकारिता के स्वीकृत नैतिक ग्राचार का कितना पालन करते हैं।

Written Answers

# Wage Board for Cantonment Board Employees

### 5671. Shri Rama chandra Ulaka : Shri Dhuleshwar Meena:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 831 on the 28th March. 1966 and State:

- (a) whether the proposal to appoint a Wage Board for Cantonment Board employees has since been considered;
  - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B Chavan): (a) and (b). The proposal to appoint a Wage Board for Cantonment Board employees is still under consideration of Government.

### Indian Contingent in Gaza 5672. Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey : Shri Brij Basi Lai : Shri Brij Behari Mehrotra:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased

- (a) whether it is a fact that the officers and other ranks of Indian contingent of the United Nations Peace-keeping Force in Gaza were presented U.N. service medals at Dierel Ballah (Gaza) recently;
- (b) if so, the number of the Officers and other ranks who have got such

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri A. M. Thomas): (a) and (b). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

### L. N. A. Memorial in Singapore

5673. Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath:" Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 573 on the 15th November, 1965 and state:

MAY 16, 1966

- (a) whether it is a fact that the then Prime Minister of Singapore had stated that "I would give the most courteous consideration to any request from the Government of India for the reconstruction of the I. N. A. Memorial in Singapore" and thus the Prime Minister Nehru had therefore answered: "In view of the statement of the Prime Minister of Singapore, we are giving further consideration to the matter":
- (b) the reasons for now interposing the "people of Indian origin in Singapore" between the Government of India and of Singapore:
- (c) whether Government have from the stand taken by Shri Nehru as adumbrated in (a):
  - (d) if so, the reasons therefore; and
- (e) if not, the upshot of consideration of the matter by Government?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) Yes, Sir,

(b) to (c) Shri Nehru stated in the Lok Sabha on 25-3-1960 in answer to question No. 1465 that the reconstruction of the L N. A. Memorial in Singapore was a matter between the persons of Indian origin in Singapore and the Government of Singapore. The Government of India will, however, be glad to offer its co-operation and

Since Singapore is now an independent country it is best that the people in Singapore give thought to this matter. They already have the assurance of cooperation of Government of India.

कीनिया में एक भारतीय चल-चित्र का चित्रण (शहिंग) करने की धनुमति देने से स्कार

5674. श्री श्रीकार लाल बेरबा : क्या सचना भीर प्रसारण मन्त्री यह बताने की क्रुपाकरेंगे कि:

- (क) क्यायह सच है कि हाल में एक भारतीय दल को कीनिया में एक चल-चित्र का चित्ररण (शर्टिग) करने की अनमति नहीं दी गई थी:
- (ख) यदि हां. तो उसके क्या कारस है: घीर
  - (ग) क्या सरकार ने इस मामले के

बारे में कं निया की सरकार से पत्न-ब्दवहार किया है ?

सचना और प्रसारण मन्त्री (श्री राज बहादर). (क) ग्रीर (ख). : सरकार को यह सचित किया गरा है कि कीनिया सरकार द्वारा भारतीय फिल्म यनिट का कीनिया म कुछ दश्यों को फिल्माने (मृटिंग) के लिये दी गई पहली ग्राज्ञा कछ, गलतफहमी के कारण रोक ली गई और बाद में एक और प्रार्थनापत्र मांगा गया । इस बीच, फिल्म यनिट ने, जिनकी कीनिया में रहने की स्वीकृत भ्रवधिसमाप्त हो गई थी, उन दश्यों को श्रफीका के एक श्रन्य देश म फिल्माने (शर्टिंग) का फैसला किया।

(ग) जी, हां।

Use of Atomic Energy

5675. Shri Linga Reddy: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state: (a) the steps taken to use atomic energy

- as a substitute for electric and thermal energy where there is scarcity of water in the country:
- (b) the schemes proposed to be taken up in the Fourth Five Year Plan in this regard?

The Prime Minister and Minister o Atomic Energy (Shrimati Indira Gandhi: (a) Atomic Energy can be used as a substitute for coal or hydro energy for production of electricity. Atomic power stations, like coal-fired stations, however, require water, as atomic power reactors. like boilers in thermal stations, convert into steam, which drives turbo-generators. In areas in which fresh water is scarce, but sea water is available, fresh water can be produced as a by-product by desalination in atomic power stations.

The Department of Atomic Energy is setting up two nuclear power stations, in locations remote from cheap coal supplies and where hydro power is not available. namely, one at Tarapur in Maharashtra, with a capacity of 380 MW(e) and another at Rana Pratap Sagar in Rajasthan with a capacity of 200 MW(e). Studies are in progress in the Atomic Energy Establishment, Trombay, on the economics

of the production of fresh water by desalination in atomic power stations.

(b) During the Fourth Plan period, it is tentatively proposed to double the capacity of the station in Rujasthan and to set up an atomic power station of 400 MW(e) at Kalpakkam in Madras. Schemes for the further expansion of the nuclear power programme are under consideration.

# Meeting between under-ground Nagas and Chinese Foreign Minister

## 5676. Shri P. C. Borooah Shri Hem Barua:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether inquiries about the meeting of an Underground Nagas delegation with the Chinese foreign Minister in Dacca have been completed; and
  - (b) if so, with what results?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Siugh): (a) and (b). There is no confirmation available that the Naga rebels met the Chinese Foreign Minister at Dacca.

### T.V. Studios

5677. Shri P. C. Boroosh: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) the names of the countries which have offered assistance to build television studios in India; and
- (b) the nature and details of assistance offered by each country?

The Minister of Information and Broad casting (Shri Raj Bahadur): (a) Only the Federel Republic of Germany offered assistance to build one television studio in India. A few more countries have also made preliminary enquiries which are exploratory or 'and commercial in nature.

(b) The Federal Republic of Germany have supplied equipment for one T.V. studio in New Delhi, loaned services of German technicians and agreed to train India Engineers in West Germany.

# राजरीर में साकाशवाणी का केस

5678. श्री सिद्धेश्वर प्रसाद : क्या सूचना और प्रसारण मन्त्री यह बताने की कपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या राजगीर में भ्राकाशवाणी का एक प्रसारण केन्द्र स्थापित करने की मांग की गई है; भ्रीर
- (ख) यदि हां, तो इस सम्बन्ध में क्या कार्यवाही की गई है ?

सूचना ग्रीर प्रसारण मंत्री (भ्री राज बहाबुर): (क) जी हां।

(ख) धाकाणवाणी के पटना केन्द्र से जो कार्यक्रम होते हैं वे राजगीर में, जो वहां से केवल 70 किलो भीटर दूर हैं, प्रच्छी तरह सुने जा सकते हैं। घत: राजगीर में एक प्रस्पा रेडियो स्टेशन स्थापित करना धावश्यक नहीं है।

## Portuguese Colonies

5679. Shri Madhu Limaye: Will th Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the ferment in the Portuguese colonies of Angola, Mozambique and Guinea; and
- (b) if so, the assistance which Government is rendering to the freedom movements in these territories?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Government of India have always vigorously opposed the colonial policies of Portugal and have constantly pleaded the cause of the people of Portuguese Colonies in the United Nations and in other international forums.

India is a member of the U.N. Special Committee of 24 on Colonialism, which

17076

S.G.P.C. in compensation for its properties in West Pakistan.

has done commendable work in bringing to the notice of the world, the atrocities committed by the Portuguese Colonialists on the inhabitants of Mozambique, Angola and Guinea, in contravention of the U.N. Charter and Human Rights. As a result of the efforts of this Committee in which India has played a notable part, the U.N. General Assembly has passed a number of resolutions aimed at securing independence for the Portuguese territories Africa.

There is no proposal under consideration of Government to pay any amount to the S.G.P.C.

Some scholarships for higher studies in India are being offered each year, to students from Portuguese Colonies and we have also supplied some medicines, first-aid equipment and books etc. for use by the nationalists of these colonies, in exile.

## Reported discourtesy to resident of Israel

# Land Belonging to Muslim Shrines

5681. Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 4338 on the 25th April, 1966 regarding reported discourtesy to Pressdent of Israel and state:

5680. Shri Daljit Singh: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 2507 on the 21st March, 1966 and state:

(a) whether the case was heard in the last week of April, 1966, as scheduled: and

(a) whether all the land belonging to the Muslim Shrines in Puniab has been taken over by the Wakf Board of India;

(b) whether it is also a fact that neither

(b) if so, what stage it has reached?

Wakf Board of Pakistan nor Wakf Board of India pays any amount to S.G.P.C.. Amritsar who spends about a lakh of rupees for the management of Gurdwara Nankana Sahib alone: and

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) The case came up for hearing on 10th May, 1966.

(c) if so, whether Government have any proposal under consideration to pay some amount to S.G.P.C.. Amritsar out of the income of Wakf Board?

(b) The prosecution against the students has been withdrawn and they have been released.

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) There is no Wakf Board of India as such but there are State Wakf Boards including one in established under the Central Wakf Act, 1954. Whether any lands belonging to Muslim Shrines in Punjab have been taken over by the Puniab Wakf Board will be known after the survey of wakf properties, which is now in progress, is completed.

### Plant at Katni

5682. Shri Firodia: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

(b) and (c). The Wakf Board of Pakistan has not paid any amount to the

- (a) whether it is a fact that a monferrous plant is likely to be set up at Katni:
- (b) if so, the capacity of the plant and its estimated cost: and
- (c) whether any foreign assistance willbe utilised?
- The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri A. M. Thomas) : (a. and (b). A Project involving an estimated outlay of Rs. 340:57 lakhs is being implemented at the Ordnance Factory, Katri. Part of this Project viz. a Plant for Melting and Rolling of brass has already been commissioned. It is not in public interest to disclose the capacity of the Plant.
- (c) There is no foreign assistance for this Project.

# जवानों के लिये जूतों की सरीव

# 5683. श्री हुकुम चन्द कछवाय: श्री श्रीकार लाल बेरवा:

क्या प्रतिरक्षा मन्त्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि कानपुर के केन्द्रीय प्रायुध डियो (सी० ग्रो० डी०) के कमांडेन्ट ने जवानों के लिये 1.२ हजार जोडी जूते किसी भी कीमत पर बाजार से खरीदने के ग्रादेण दिये हैं; ग्रीर
- (ख) यदि हां, तो इसके क्या कारण हैं ?

प्रतिरक्षा मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री चा० म० चामस): (क) तथा (ख) रक्षा सेवाधों के लिए बटों की धावश्यकताएं डी॰ जी ० एस ० ए०डी ० द्वारा टेकों के विरुद्ध व्यापार में सप्लाई भीर कानपुर की हार्नेस तथा सेडलरी फैक्टरी में निर्माण द्वारः पूर्ण की जाती है। चिक साधारण संसाधनः से बट एकलों की समय पर सप्लाई न हो सकी, केन्द्रीय धार्डनेन्स डिपो कानपुर के कमांडेंट ने 9 और 10 साइज के बट एंकलों के लिए 19, 25 रुपये से 19, 95 रुपये की विभिन्न दरों पर और 12 और 13 साईज के बटों के लिए 24,00 रुपये की दर पर 12558 जोडों के लिए स्थानीय निविदाएं भामन्त्रित करने के पश्चात् स्थानीय कय के लिए फरवरी 1966 में घाडेर दिए थे, कि सेना की संक्रिया के कारण फोरी धाव-श्यकताए पूरी की जा सकें। सामृहिक सप्लाई के डी० जी० एस० एण्ड डी० द्वारा तय किए गए ठेकों के तुलनात्मक दर हैं, 9, 10 भीर 12 साईज के लिए 19.00 रुपये से 20.10 रुपये तक और साईज 13 के लिए 19.10 रुपये । तदपि, साईज 12 के 35 जोडे और साइज 13 के 12 जोडे स्थानीय निविदामी द्वारा खरीदे गए थे. भीर 12511 वटों की समची जेव राणि साईज 9 घीर 10 इती धी: ।

### Destil due to Explosion of Mines in Khem Karan Area

### 5684. Shri Gulshan: Shri P. H. Bheel: Shri Dallit Singn:

Will the Minister of **Defence** be pleased to state the number of persons and animals died as a result of explosion of mines laid by the Armed forces on the Indo-Pakistan border after the withdrawal of forces according to Tashkent declaration uptil now, especially in Khem Karan area?

The Minister of Defence Production (Shri A. M. Thomas): Five persons have died and 9 were injured in Punjab including 3 and 2 respectively in Amritsar district after the withdrawal of forces due to explosion of mines laid by Pakistanis. A few animals have died similarly; the exact number is not known.

# Agreements with Foreign Advertising Agencies

5685. Shri S. N. Chaturvedi: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have entered into any collaboration agreements with foreign advertising agencies, contrary to its declared policy; and
- (b) if so, the names of the foreign agencies and the terms of agreements entered into with them?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri Raj Bahadur): (a) No. Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

### Stenographers in Directorate Genera! of Ordnance Factories, Calcutta

# 5686. Shri R. Barua: Shri Rishang Keishing:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have received any representation/complaint from any Member of Parliament during the last four months regarding the service condi-

tions of stenographers working in Directorate General of Ordnance Factories, Calcutta;

Written Answers

- (b) if so, the nature of representation/ complaint received; and
  - (c) the steps taken in this regard?

#### The Minister of Defence Production (Shri A. M. Thomas): (a) Yes.

- (b) The letter from a Member of Parliament deals with the promotion Grade II stenographers of the Directorate General of Ordnance Factories, Calcutta, as Superintendents. It has been stated in the letter that the service conditions stenographers of D.G.O.F. Headquarters do not compare favourably with those their compatriots in the Armed Forces Headquarters, that there is a variation in the answer given in Parliament to Questions on this subject, and that stenographers recommended by two Departmental Promotion Committees for motion as Superintendents have not actually been so promoted so far.
- (c) A reply is being sent to the Members of Parliament, explaining the correct position.

### Promotion of Stenographers in Directorate General of Ordnance Factories. Calcutta

5687. Shri R. Barua: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have any proposal to promote Grade II stenographers redesignated as Assistants before the 10th May, 1965 to the posts of Superintendents in the Office of the Directorate General of Ordnance Factories, Calcutta; and
- (b) if the reply to part (a) above be in affirmative, the period stipulated these Stenographers to work as Assistants before they are promoted to the posts of Superintendents?

The Minister of Defence Production (Shri A. M. Thomas): (a) and (b). Stenographers have been given an opportunity to work as Assistants from December 1964 for a period of two years prior to being considered for promotion Superintendents in available vacancies.

### T.V. Gifts from Germans

17080

- 5688. Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:
- (a) whether West Germany has made a gift of tele-cine equipment comprising two 35 mm. projectors, two 16 mm, projectors and two T.V. cameras; and
- (b) The tele-cine equipment is being made use of?

#### The Minister of Information hno Broadcasting (Shri Raj Bahadur): (a) Yes,

(b) The tele-cine equipment si being used for putting out filmed programmes over the TV Station at Delhi.

## भारतीय राज्यक्षेत्र का क्षेत्रफल

- 5689 श्री सिद्धेश्वर प्रसाव: वैदेशिक-कार्य मन्त्री यह बताने की कपा करेंगे किः:
- (क) 15 भगस्त 1947 को भारत का क्षेत्रफल कितनाथा:
- (ख) ग्रब कितना क्षेत्र भारत सरकार के वास्तविक श्रधिकार म है; श्रीर
- (ग) क्या इस सम्बन्ध में शीघ्र ही कोई श्वेतपत्र प्रकाशित करने का विचार है ?

बंबेजिक-कार्य मंत्री (श्री स्वर्ण सिंह) : (क) से (ग). सूचना इकट्ठी की जारही है स्रीर सदन की मेज पर रखादी जाएगी।

### Handing over of Nagaland to Army

5690, Shri D. C. Sharma: Will Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have been urged upon to hand over Nagaland to the Army; and
- (b) if so the reaction of Government thereto?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) No, Sir

(b) Does not arise.

# हिन्दी का प्रशीग

569<sup>1</sup>. श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री : क्या सूचना भीर प्रसारण मन्त्री यह बताने की कपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि उनके मन्त्रा-लय के प्रधिकारी से, जिसने हिन्दी में एक पुस्तक प्रकाशित करने की प्रनुमति मांगी थी, उसका प्रयोजी प्रनुबाद प्रस्तुत करने के लिये कहा गया था;
- (ख) क्या यह भी सच है कि.कुछ प्रधि-कारियों को लेखक महकारी संस्था के सदस्य बनने की अनुमति नहीं दी गई थी क्योंकि वे उस संस्था के नियम ब्रादि अंग्रेजी में नहीं देसके थे; और
- (ग) ब्रधिकारियों के हिन्दी विरोधी रवैये को बदलने के लिये क्या कार्यवाही की जारही है?

सूचना भीर असारण मंत्री (भी राज बहाबुर): (क) जी, केवल एक मामले में।

- (ख) सूचनाएक वित की जारही है।
- (ग) यह कहना ठीक न होगा कि मन्त्रा-लय में हिन्दी बिरोधी रवैया है।

### धाकाशवाणी के कर्मवारी

5692. श्री प्रकाशबीर शास्त्री: नयर सूचना और प्रसारण मन्त्री यह बताने की कृषां करेंगे कि:

(क) भ्राकाशवाणी समाचार विभाग में सम्पादकीय कार्य करने वाले कुल कितन

- ब्यक्रित नियमित सरकारी कर्मचारी है भीर प्रतिवर्ष ऐसे कितने कर्मचारियों की निय्क्ति की जाती है;
- (ख) प्राकाशवाणी में दफ्तरों का काम करने वाले कुल किनने व्यक्ति हैं भौर उन पर प्रति वर्ष किननी राशि खर्च की जाती है;
- (ग) ब्राकाशवाणी में कुल कितने इंजीनियर है ब्रौर उन पर प्रति वर्ष कितनी राशि खर्च की जाती है;
- (घ) भ्राकाशवाणी में कुल कितने स्टाफ ब्राटिस्ट हैं भौर उन पर प्रति वर्ष कितना रुपया खर्च हो रहा है;
- (ङ) लम्बी प्रविध के धनुबन्ध पर कुल कितने कैजुमल घाटिस्त्वीधाकाशवाणी में काम कर रहे हैं प्रीर उन पर प्रति वर्ष कितना रुपमा खर्च हो रहा है;
- (च) क्या स्टाफ ब्राटिस्ट घौर कैजु-घल घ्राटिस्ट वर्ग में दफ्तर का काम करने वाले टाइपिस्ट, क्लर्क घादि भी हैं; घौर
  - (छ) यदि हां, तो इसके क्या कारण हैं ?

सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्री (श्री राख बहाबुर: (क) में (छ). सूचना एकबित की जा रही है और यथाणीझ सदन की मेख पर रखदी जाएगी।

### सैनिक को पेंशन का विया जाना

5693 श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाय :स्या प्रतिरक्षा मन्त्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या मरकार का ध्यान दिल्ली से प्रकाणिन हिन्दी साप्ताहिक "राष्ट्र हित" के 25 धप्रैल, 1966 के श्रंक में छप इस समाचार की धोर दिलाया गया है कि बुलन्दणहर जिले के एक जीवित सीनक को "विधवा पत्ती" को पेंकन दी जा रही है;

17084

- (ख) क्या यह भी सच है कि उक्त सैनिक भ्रपना नाम बदल कर सेना में भर्ती हम्रायाः ग्रीर
- (ग) यदि हां, तो इस सम्बन्ध में सर-कार क्या कार्यवाही कर रही है ?

प्रतिरक्षा मंत्री (श्री यशवन्त राव **चव्हाण**): (क) से (ग). सरकार के सामने ऐसा कोई समाचार नहीं श्राया, लगाए गए भारोपों की जांच की जा रही है।

### Assam Train Explosions

### 5694. Shri D. C. Sharma: Dr. Mahadeva Prasad:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the hostile Nagas has 'disowned responsibility for the Assam Train Explosions and have sent telegrams to the Home Minister and the Prime Minister in this regard; and
- (b) if so, the reaction of Government thereto?

# The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Government of India feel that the Underground leaders should help the Government in bringing the culprits to book in case the inquiries reveal that the acts of sabotage were committed by the Naga hostiles.

The Government have not relaxed in their efforts to trace the culprits and to take other precantionary measures to ensure security and safety in the area.

# Canadian Objection to Remarks in Annual Report of External Affairs Ministry 5695. Shri Sidheshwar Prasad:

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Canada has strongly objected to some remarks in the recent Annual Report of his Ministry;
  - (b) if so, what are those remarks; and

(c) the reaction of Government to Canada's objection?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) No. Sir.

- (b) Does not arise.
- (c) Does not arise.

# टेलीविजन उपकरणों की खरीद

5696. श्री हक्तम चन्द कल्लवाय । क्या सुचना भ्रीर प्रसारण मन्त्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्यायह सच है कि सरकार का विचार कुछ देशों से टेलीविजन उपकरण खरीदने का है:
- (ख) यदि हां, तो इस प्रयोजन के लिये <mark>भनुमानतः कितनी विदेशी मुद्रा की श्रावश्यकता</mark> होगी;
- (ग) क्या इन टेलीविजन उपकरणों का निर्माण भारत में किया जा सकता है : ग्रीर
- (घ) यदि भाग (ग) का उत्तर नाकरा-त्मक हो, तो इसके क्या कारण हैं?

सचना और प्रसारण मंत्री (भी राज बहाबूर): (क) जी, हां। हम बाहर से टेलीविजन के उन यन्त्रों को, जिनकी हमें तरन्त ही जरूरत है, प्राप्त करना चाहते हैं।

(ख) से (घ). भारत इलाक्ट्रानिक लिमि-टेड ने विदेशी फर्मों/संस्थाओं से टेलीविजन के सप्रेषण (टांसमिट करने वाले) यन्त्रों की सप्लाई तथा उत्तरोत्तर देश में ही इनके तैयार करने के लिए सहयोग करने के प्रस्ताव ग्रामन्त्रित किए हैं। सप्रेषण के यन्त्री में ये भी शामिल हैं : सप्रेषण यन्त्र (ट्रांस-मीटर), स्ट्रांडियो साज-सज्जा, कैमरे तथा इधर-उधर भासानी से लागे ले जाए जाने वाले यन्त्र । कुछ प्रस्ताव मिले हैं ग्रौर इनका द्यध्ययन कियाजारहा ।

#### Shri Mohan Ranade

5697. Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia: Shri Madhu Limave: Shri Bade: Shri Hukam Chand Kachbavaiya: Shri Y. D. Singh: Shri Kishen Pattnayak: Shri Prakash Vir Shastri: Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: Shri Daii: Dr. Ranen Sen: Shri Jagdev Singh Siddhanti:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

Shri Hem Barua:

- (a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the plight of the Indian national Shri Mohan Ranade arrested in connection with the Goa liberation struggle and who is at present undergoing his life sentence in Portuguese captivity:
- (b) whether there are other Indians in Portuguese imprisonment; and
- (c) the efforts made by Government to secure their release?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) Yes, Sir. The Government of India are fully alive to the difficult situation of Shri Mohan Laxman Ranade who is undergoing imprisonment in Portugal.

- (b) Yes. Sir. Besides Shri Ranade. Dr. Talo Mascarenhas, a resident of Goa. is also undergoing imprisonment in Portugal.
- (c) Notwithstanding the fact that Dr. Talo Mascarenhas has declared himself as an Indian national, the Portuguese Government have questioned our right to intervene on his behalf, as they continue to regard him as a Portuguese citizen. Efforts are being made to secure his release through the International Red Cross. In the case of Shri Ranade, we engaged a portuguese lawyer through our Embassy in Mexico to plead his case. However, efforts to secure his release did not succeed and we are, now, trying to obtain his release through a third country and the International Red Cross. So far, our efforts have not borne fruit.

संयुक्त राष्ट्र संघ को क्षेत्रफल के मंबंध में भेजे गये सांकडे

5697-A. डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया: भी किशन पटनायक: श्री मध लिमये:

क्या प्रधान मन्त्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या सरकार कभी-कभी संयक्त राष्ट्र संघ को देश के क्षेत्रफल मम्बन्धी आंकडे भेजा करती है:
- (ख) क्या ये मांकडे वर्ष 1947 से श्रव तक कई बार बदल चके हैं ;
- (ग) यदि हां, तो उनका व्यौरा क्या है: भीर
  - (घ) इन परिवर्तनों के क्या कारण हैं?

प्रधान मंत्री तथा अप शक्ति मंत्री (श्रीमती इन्विरा गांधी) : (क) जी हां, केन्द्रीय सांख्यिकीय संगठन 1961 से लेकर ग्रब तक संयक्त राष्ट्र जनांकिकीय वर्ष बोध है के लिए संयक्त राष्ट्र मांख्यिकीय कार्यालय को क्षेत्रफल सम्बन्धी मांकडे भेजता रहा है।

- (ख) जी हां, इन धांकडों में कुछ धन्तर होता रहा है।
- (ग) भौर (घ). एक विवरण संलग्न है। संयक्त राष्ट्र जनाकितीय वर्ष बोध के विभिन्न प्रकाशनों के लिए संयक्त राष्ट्र सांक्यिकीय कार्यालय को केन्द्रीय सांस्थिकीय संगठन द्वारा भेजे गये भारत के क्षेत्रफल सम्बन्धी प्रांकडे

वर्ष	क्षेत्रफल (ब	र्ग किलो मीटर)
1961		2949275
1962		3276395
1963		3276140
1964		3276140
1965		3276443

टिप्पणियां :---

- 1. 1961 के भ्रांकडों में उन क्षेत्रों के म्रांकडे सम्मिलित नहीं हैं जिन के बारे में रिपोर्ट भेजते समय 1961 की जनगणना के जनसंख्या सम्बन्धी म्रांकडे उपलब्ध नहीं थे।
- 2. 1962 तथा उसके बाद के वर्षों के म्रांकडे पूर्ण क्षेत्रफल के म्राधार पर दिये गयेथे। इन धाकडों में जो साधारण ग्रन्तर है उसकी व्याख्या करते हुए महासर्वेक्षक ने बताया है कि यह भन्तर प्रतिवर्ष भ्रधिका-धिक बडे पैमाने पर संकलित किये गय मानचित्रों के कारण है।

#### Kidnapping of Two Nurses in Delhi

16971-B. Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased state:

- · (a) whether it is a fact that a military staff car was used on the 2nd May, 1966 or thereabout to kidnap two nurses in Delhi:
- (b) if so, the details of the incident: and
- (c) the action taken against the culprit or culprits?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) On the night of 2nd May 1966, two military nursing officers who were walking on Maude Road, were offered a lift by a staff car driver. The MNS Officers asked him to drop them in Gopinath Bazar in Delhi Cantt. driver, however drove at great speed towards Dhaula Kuan. One of the lady officers jumped out of the car near Railway Colony. She was removed to military hospital by a civilian taxi driver. The other lady officer jumped near President's Body Guard Ranges. She was removed to Willingdon Hospital by some one in an unconscious state.

(c) The Staff Car driver has been immediately put under close arrest by the military authorities and a summary of evidence is being taken with a view to trying him by Court Martial.

Fertilizer Plant at

Madras (C.A.)

13.25 hrs.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

ESTABLISHMENT OF A FERTILISER PLANT AT MADRAS

Shri P. C. Borooah (Sibsagar): I call the attention of the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals to the following matter of urgent public importance and I request that he may make a statement thereon:

"Establishment of a Fertiliser Plant at Madras".

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri A. M. Thomas): Let that statement be laid on the Table of the House.

The Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals (Shri Alagesan): I place it on Table of the House (Placed in Library. See No. LT-6345/661.

Mr. Speaker: Is it a long one?

Shri Alagesan: Four and a half pages.

Mr. Speaker: Members must have got the copies of this. He might put the question.

Shri P. C. Borooah: The statement makes no mention about the percentage of profits stipulated to be repatriated by the American company. May I know the estimated amount of foreign exchange to be repartriated thus and how it will compare with the saving of foreign exchange because of consequent elimination of imports of fertilisers?

Shrl Alagesan: The capital cost of the project is estimated at Rs. 28.75 crores. The products that will be produced here will be urea, 850 tons per day.

Shri P. C. Boroosh: That is not question. It is about the profits to be repatriated by the American company.

17089 Fertilizer

Shri Alagesau: Even if 20 per cent of the profit is repatriated, it will not come to more than a crore of rupees.

Shri P. C. Borooah: How will it compare with the foreign exchange that will be saved by elimination of imports? That is the second part of my question.

Mr. Speaker: No second question.

श्री यक्षपाल सिंह (कैराना): क्या यह सही है कि हमारे योजना मन्त्री इसकी शरायत से सहमत नहीं हैं श्रीर वह यह कह रहे हैं कि शरायत दुबारा लिखी जायें?

Shri Alagesan: No. Sir.

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): 1 refer to page 2 of the statement where it says:

"The main features of the Agreement are: The Government of India will hold 51 per cent of equity and Amoco the remaining 40 per cent."

On the other hand, page 3 says:

"The Managing Director, who will function under the control of the Board, would be a nominer of the American Company."

Not talking of our Indian economy, even the commercial practice is that the party which is having a minority share does not have a managing director. So, I want to know the circumstances under which the managing director's post has been given to this American company and not to an Indian.

Shri Alagean: This is not the first time. In industries where we have to acquire the knowhow and where sufficient amount of foreign loans are raised and where foreign participation has been taken. in order to see that the plant is constructed efficiently in record time and also started up properly and goes into production we have made this arrangement that the managing director will be a nominee of the foreign participant.

Shri Vasudevan Nair (Ambalpuzha): Where is the precedent? You said this is not the first time.

Shri Alagean: I may inform the House that in the case of Cochin Retinery, the managing director will be appointed for ten years by the American partner, whose equity shareholding is much less than the shareholding of the American company in this case.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: My question was.....

Mr. Speaker: He has answered it.

Sari S. M. Banerjee: He says there are precedents. I want to know, before this is there any precedent in this country where a foreign collaborator has been given the post of managing director.

Shri Alagesan: I quoted the case of Cochin Refinery.

भी सम् लिस्से (मुंगेर) : धापने मुझे वापिस माने की डजाजत दी, इसके लिए मैं मापको धन्यवाद देता...

**सम्यक्ष महोदय**ः हाउम ने दी है, मैंने नहीं दी।

श्री श्रम् लिखये : मेरा सवाल यह है कि
यह जो समझोता हुंबा है यह बहुत लस्बा है
श्रीर सारे तथ्यों को इन्होंने प्रपने निवेदन में
नहीं लाया है असल में इममें व्यवस्था है
कि एक निदशक मण्डल रहेगा, बोर्ड श्राफ डायरेक्टर्ज और कार्य मिमित रहेगी, एग्जेक्टिव कमेटी । ग्रगर ग्राप देखेंगे तो ग्रापको मालूम होगा कि सारे ग्राधिकार इस कार्य मिमित को, एग्जेक्टिव कमेटी को दिये गये है श्रीर उसके जो ग्राध्यक्ष है यह ग्रमिकी कस्पनी के द्वारा नियक्त कियं जायेंगे, मैनीजग डायरेक्टर उनके प्रमुख है, वेयरमैन हैं। मैं जानना च'हता हूं कि क्या इसका विवरण मभा दे सामने रखा जाएगा श्रीर इस बात की ग्राप सफाई वेंगे कि क्यों मैनेजिंग डायरेक्टर हिं दुस्तान की सरकार की झोर से नियुक्त नहीं किया जाएगा और चेयरमैन झाफ टी बोर्ड झाफ डायरेक्टर्ज धमरीकी कम्पनी के जरिये ? इस तरह उलटा क्यों नहीं किया उन्होंने ?

Shri Alagesan: I have placed copies of the agreement in the Library for reference by hon. Members of the House.

श्री मथु लिमथे : ग्रापके बयान में तो यह नहीं दिया है।

Shri Alagesan: The Chairman will be a nominee of the Government. Only the Managing Director will be a nominee of the American partners. Now there is an Executive Committee and that Executive Committee is expected to take decisions almost unanimously. Wherever decisions are taken by the exercise of the casting vote of the Managing Director, all these decisions can be reserved for review by the Board.

श्री मध्यु लिस्पे : व्यवहार में सारे ग्रिधिकार ग्रमरीकी मैनेजिंग डायरेक्टर के हाथ में रहेंगे।

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty (Barrackpore):If one reads this statement very carefully one will find that almost all the most important technical and operational activities of this complex will have to be decided, in the case of any differences, by a three fourth majority. I shall point out to you, for instance, that the sales policy, fixation of prices, recommendation with regard to the declaration of dividences. etc. will have to be decided by a threefourths majority, in other words, by the unanimous vote of the board. This is on page 2. Again on page 3, you see "What ever decisions are taken by the managing director by exercising his casting vote in this committee can be reviewed by the board and altered by a three-fourths majority." The Board further has powers to modify or withdraw the power or the authority of the managing director by a three-fourth's majority. The Government of India has not got the three-fourths majority at all in the Board; it is 50:50.

with the one casting vote of the chairman to be nominated by the Government India. I could have pointed many other things, technical as well as operational, over which the managing director has full power. In all these matters it is necessary to have three-fourths majority which we cannot get in case there is a deadlock. Then there is by a simple majority right to refer it to a summit body. comprised of one member of the American company and the Chairman of the board. In that case, it will be 50:50 division. If there is a deadlock one dose not know what will happen. I would like to know whether the Government considers these wide concession right or not. What has been stated by Mr. George B Hargens, Vice president of the American company is that the US firm has every right to block all decisions. In view of this, does he think that the country is to benefit by this agreement. I would like to whether when he assures us that in future agreements he will try to improve upon this, will he keep this as a base? I would like to know if the Madras fertiliser agreement is going to be the pattern.

Shri Alagesan: The hon. Member has read out certain portions only. I take it that she has read the other portions as well. Two parties do not come together to have a deadlock and to be always quarelling. I have stated in the same statement:—

"Apart from the safeguards and provisions made in the interest both parties in the Agreement. I believe that as practical men who are more interested in seeing the job through than insisting on their respective rights, both the representatives of Government and AMOCO will bring to bear upon the job on hand the fullest measure of mutual understanding, co-operation and spirit of give-and-take so that the plant is established in record time and produces the much needed half-a-million tonnes or so of fertilizers for the benefit of increased food production."

That is part of my statement.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: May I ask one more question?

Mr. Speaker: I would not allow that would be a departure from the normal procedure. But her question: Is that going to be a pattern, that question has not been answered.

Shri Alagesan: In fact, I shall draw the attention of the hon. Members to the last sentence of my statement.

"I may also assure the House that in future we will even try to improve upon this and secure even lrager benefits for the country."

Shri S. M. Banerjee: What improvements?

Shri Alagesan: 1 had in mind the Haldia project where we propose to set up a refinery as well as a fertiliser plant and there we certainly propose to make improvements over this.

Mr. Speaker: Papers to be laid...... (Interruptions).

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: The crucial question is whether this will be the basis, whether this will be the basic pattern on which he thinks he can improve.

Mr. Speaker: He will improve on it. That is what he says.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: The point is whether he thinks that this itself is a very good thing. I want to know this.

Mr. Speaker: Papers to be laid...... (Interruptions).

13.35 hrs.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE HEAVY ENGINEER-ING CORPORATION LIMITED, RANCHI, FOR 1964-65

The Minister of Industry (Shri D. San-jivayya): I beg to lay on the Table-

(i) A copy of the Annual Report of the Heavy Engineering Corporation Limited, Ranchi, for the year 1964-65 along with the Audited Accounts and the comments of the Comptroller and Auditor General thereon under sub-section (1) of section 619A of the Companies Act, 1956.

(ii) Review by the Government on the working of the above Company.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-6346/66].

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON BROAD-CASTING AND INFORMATION MEDIA ON RADIO AND TELEVISION

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri Raj Bahadur): I beg to lay on the Table, a copy of the Report of the Committee on Broadcasting and Information Media on Radio and Television.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-6347/66].

STATEMENT SHOWING ACTION TAKEN BY
GOVERNMENT ON ASSURANCES ETC.

The Minister of State in the Department of Parlismentary Affairs and Communications (Shri Jaganatha Rao); I beg to on the Table the following statements showing the action taken by the Government on various assurances, promises and undertakings given by the Ministers during the various sessions of Third Lok Sabha:—

 Supplementary Statement No. II Fourteenth Session, 1966.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-6348/66].

(ii) Supplementary Statement No. 1V Thirteenth Session, 1965.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-6349/66].

(iii) Supplementary Statement No. VII Twelfth Session. 1965.

[Placed in Library See No. LT-6350/66].

(iv) Supplementary Statement No. XI Eleventh Session, 1965.

[Placed in Library See No. LT-6351/66].

(v) Supplementary Statement No. XIV Tenth Session, 1964.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-6252/66].

(vi) Supplementary Statement No. XIX Sixth Session, 1963.

Re. Adj.

[Placed in Library See No. LT-6348/661.

Mr. Speaker: Shri Kamath . . . (Interruptions).

13.36 hrs.

RE: MOTION FOR ADJOURNMENT QUERY

**डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया (फर्रुखाबाद)**: भाष्यका महोदय, मेरा भारत के सम्बन्ध में एक कामरोको प्रस्ताव है ।

ध्ययक्ष महोदय : ग्रापका जो कामरोको प्रस्ताव था उसे मैंने नामंजुर कर दिया है।

**डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया**ः मैं उस पर म्यवस्था उठाना चाहता हुं श्रीर श्रापका ध्यान संविधान की धारा 1 के ऊपर दिलाता है।

ध्ययक महीदय : भापने एक कामरोको प्रस्ताव दिया । उस पर मैंने फ़ैसला दिया । बब भाप उस पर व्यवस्था का प्रान उठाना बाहते हैं। यह कैसे हो सकता है।

षा० राम मनोहर सोहिया : इसलिये कि भारत ही खत्म हो रहा है। उसका क्षेत्रफल कम हो रहा है। शायद भापने देखा नहीं है मेरे कामरोको प्रस्ताव को । भारत क्या है । धाज वही बत्म हो रहा है।

चन्यका महोदय ग्राप मुझे लिख दीजिये जो घाप को कहना है। मैं उसको देखालंगा।

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया : घव सिफं कस का दिन रह गया है।

प्रश्यक्ष पहीदय : मैं उसे माज देख लुंगा । हा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : घष्यक महोदय, भाप जरा यह सोच लीजिये . . .

क्रमक महोदय : घर प्राप सुझे पाने चलने बीजिये ।

**ड़ा० राम मनोहर लोहिया:** मैं चलने देता हूं, लेकिन भ्राप देख लीजिये । शायद भापके ध्यान में यह होगा कि परसों श्री गल-आरी लाल नन्दा ने यहां तक कह दिया कि मारत का क्षेत्रफल संयुक्त राष्ट्र संघ की किताबों के धनुसार क्या है इससे उनको मतलब नहीं है।

भध्यक्ष महोदय: यह कब हम्रा है।

बा॰ राम मनोहर लोहियाः यह परसॉ हुमा है।

मध्यक्ष भहोदय : परसों क्या हुमा ।

**डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया:** परसों मैंने संयुक्त राष्ट्र संघ की किताबों से कुछ . . .

भ्राच्यक्ष महोदय: संयुक्त राष्ट्र संघ सी किताबों को भापने भव देखा । यह किताबें कब क्रपीं श्रीं।

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया: मैं क्या करूं जब मुझे परसों पता चला।

म्राध्यक्ष महोदय: इतने दिन बाद भाप उठाना चाहते हैं...

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया: मेरे इल्म में जब बात प्राई है तभी तो उठा सकता हूं।

श्राच्यका महोवय: यहां पर ग्राप के इल्म में घाने का सवाल नहीं है।

द्या॰ राम भनोहर लोहियाः मैं प्राप से भनुनय करता हूं कि भ्राप बिल्कुल ठंडे दिमाग से मेरी बात सुनें। यह भारत के क्षेत्रफल का सवाल है...

धाष्यका महोबय: मैं कहता हूं कि भारत के क्षेत्रफल का सवाल हो या कुछ भीर हो, जो नियम हैं मैं उनसे बाहर नहीं जा सकता।

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया : नियम यह है कि जब मुझे कोई चीज मालूम हो . . .

17098

Re. Adj. 17097

ब्राध्यक्ष महोदय : नहीं यह बात नहीं है। किताब छपी 1964 में। 1964 के जो नक्शे हैं उन में इस किताब में दिखलाया गया है कि भारत का क्षेत्रफल कम है।

डा० राम मनीहर लोहिया जब मुझे मासुम हुआ . . .

ध्यस्थ महोबय : जब धाप को मालूम हो इसका सवाल नहीं है....

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : मेरी बात मान लीजिये। भारत खत्म होता जा रहा है है भौर यह परसों का मामला है।

ध्यध्यक्ष भहोदय : डाक्टर साहब धाप मेरी बात मानिये । इस को हम इस तरह से नहीं ने सकते । मैंने घाप से कह दिया ।

भी मधु लिमये (मृगेर) : ग्रज्यक महोदय, मैं प्रापका ध्यान दिलाना चाहता हं कि मैंने विशेषाधिकार के बारे में वो दफे सिखा है। हमारे योजना मन्त्री ने यहां जो कहा...

ध्यध्यक्ष महोवयः इस तरह से नहीं।

भी मधु लिमये : मैं एक मिनट में खत्म कर दूंगा।

**प्राप्यका महोदय**ः नही ।

भी बागड़ी (हिसार) : भारत के क्षेत्रफल के बारे में मेरा भी कामरोको प्रस्ताव था। मुझे उसके बारे में कहना है। न तो मुझे इलला दी गई, न मुझे उसके बारे में कुछ मालूम हुन्ना । पहले तो मुझे इस बात पर भापत्ति है कि मुझे मेरे कामरोको प्रस्ताव के ऊपर इत्तला नहीं दी गई, दूसरे इस पर कि मेरा जो कामरोको प्रस्ताव भारत के क्षेत्रफल के बारे में है वह मैं समझता हूं कि नियम के धनुसार है। वह ठीक है भौर उसे लिया जाना चाहिये।

श्राप्यक्रम महोदय : क्या हर एक मेम्बर ठीक हीने के बारे में समझेगा, चाहे में ठीक न समझ् ।

भी बागड़ी : मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि कायदे के मुताबिक . . .

द्मन्यस महोदय : कायदे के मुताबिक बह ठीक नहीं है।

भी बागड़ी : प्राप पहले मेरी बात सुन सीजिये।

भ्रध्यक्ष महोदय : नहीं, मैं इस तरह से नहीं सुन सकता।

भी बागड़ी: फिर कैसे सुन सकेंगे।

श्रम्यक्ष महोदय : भाप बोलते चले जायेंगे तो क्या मेरे लिये यह लाजिम हो जाता है कि भाप की बात को सुनूं।

भी बागड़ी: लाजिम तो नहीं है लेकिन यह बर की बेती नहीं है। घाखिर यह लोक-समा है किस वास्ते । भगर भारत की सीमाभी 🗥

श्रध्यक्ष महोदय : घव माननीय सदस्य काम नहीं करने दे रहे हैं। श्रभी हाउस मुझ से कह रहाथाकि मैंने तेजी में फैसला किया । मैं इतनी दफे कह चुका हुं लेकिन कोई सुनता नहीं है। ऐसी हालत में मेरे लिये चाराक्या रह जाता है।

भी मधु लिमये : ग्राप इस कामरोको प्रस्ताव पर प्नविचार कर सकते हैं। मैं एक ही बात के ऊपर ध्यान खींचना चाहता हूं। बेरवाड़ी केस में सुप्रीम कोर्ट का फैसला है, धाप उसे देखिये ...

श्रष्यका महोदय: ग्रव क्या मेम्बर साह-बान कोई काम नहीं होने देंगे । मैं फैसला जानता है।

भी मधु लिमवे : मैं प्रदव हे प्रजं करना चाहता हं . . .

बध्यक्ष महोबय: सिर्फ इतना कह देने से कि मैं भदब से भ्रज करना चाहता हूं क्यों भाक्स्ट्रक्शन पैदा नहीं होता, हाउस के काम में रुकावट नहीं भ्राती।

श्री बागड़ी : श्रगर हम यहां भारतीय सीमाग्रों की बात नहीं कर सकते तो क्या यह लोक सभा रह जायेगी।

भ्रम्यक्ष महोदय: इस तरह से नहीं कर सकते।

भी स्थागी (देहरादून): ग्राध्यक्ष महो-दय, मैं श्राप को सूचित करना चाहता हूं कि होम मिनिस्टर ने बादा किया था कि जो सीमा का प्रश्न है उस पर एक ग्रालाहदा जवाब देंगे विचार कर के। मेरा यह कहना है कि पहले उनका जवाब सुन लिया जाये।

सध्यक्ष महोदय: वह स्टेटमेंट तो वह कर रहे हैं। इस वक्त सवाल यह है कि स्राया यह ऐडजर्नमेंट मोशन स्रा सकता है या नहीं। स्टेटमेंट तो वह स्रा रहा है।

श्री त्यागी : इसीलिये मैं कह रहा हूं कि पहले उसे सुन लिया जाये ।

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया : मिर्फ एक दिन रह गया है इस सन के लिये । नना भारत के संविधान की पहली धारा को खत्म करने के लिये कार्रवाई करनी पड़ेगी जिसमें माफ लिखा है कि भारत है क्या । भारत है राज्य, भारत है दिल्ली के मातहत जो इलाके बंधे हुए हैं...

भी स्थागी : पहले मिनिस्टर का जवाब तो सुन लो।

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया: जवाब भाया नहीं । भाज भा जाता तो कामरोको भस्त(व भी भ्रासकताथा।

भ्रध्यक्ष महोदय : क्या तीन चार मेम्बर इस तरह से एक बारगी खड़े हो जायेंगे भीर मुझे कोई कार्रवाई नहीं करने देंगे भीर यहां का काम नहीं चलने देंगे।

हा० राम मनोहर लोहिया: मन माप हमारे पर मपनी कोप दृष्टि इतनी न रखिए।

श्री बागड़ी : भध्यक्ष महोदय . . .

ध्राध्यक्ष महोबय: घव देखिए, एक बैठे, दूसरे खड़े हो गए। वह बैठे तो दूसरे खड़े हो जायेंगे। यही चलता रहेगा और फिर जब मैं कोई कार्यवाही करूंगा तो कहेंगे . . . (ब्यवधान)

13.14 hrs.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE-contd.

Shri Hari Vishuu Kamath (Hoshangabad): Mr. Speaker, Sir, to invite your the attention of the House and to the very useful and interesting report that has been presented to the House on the 3rd May by the Committee on Government Assurances. This is the last instalment of statements laid by the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs on the Table with regard to this matter during this session. It is a very disturbing document that has been laid-

Mr. Speaker: He cannot discuss it now.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It has relation to what has been laid today.

Mr. Speaker: It has been laid today, but now it cannot be discussed.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I am not discussing what has been laid on the Table today, but I want to invite your attention to what has been laid on the Table on the 3rd May, more than a week agoand it has relevance to what has been laid today.

Now, while I do not go into the detailed figures, I wish to point out that very disturbing statements have been made

by the Committee on Government Assurances, and I am afraid that a major crisis is brewing-redolent, though in another context, of the constitutional conflict that has arisen between the two Houses of Parliament with regard to an other matter-a crisis which, unless you and the House effectively intervene, will result in an erosion of powers of Parliament and the Minister will, I am afraidget away with, shall I say, a feather in his cap, and cocking a snook at the House.

May I invite your attention to the report of the Committee presided over by Shri Siddananjappa? I am glad that the Committee has re-inforced what has been said in this House during the last so many occasions....

Mr. Speaker: Briefly.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Very briefly, but you have been permitting very long statements to be made. This is a very relevant issue relating to the relation between Government and Parliament, a very major issue, at stake, and we must see to it that it is resolved in the interests of the supremacy of Parliament.

The Committee says:

"A statement showing the statistics regarding the implementation of the assurances pertaining to the Second and the Third Lok Sabha as on the 10th December, 1965 and also showing the up-to-date position is appended to the Report .....".

Then, it points out as follows:

"....It would be observed that 24.8 per cent assurances were implemented within two months, 43.9 per cent in two to six months, 20.3 per cent in six months to one year, 6.6 per cent in one year to one and a half years and the remaining 4.4 per cent in more than one and a half years."

The Committee is rather kind to have said only "more than one and a half years". It did not say whether it is two years or three years and so on.

But the more serious matter comes next; it will take away the rights of Parliament unless this tendency on the part of the Government is nipped in the bud. Here is what the Committee says. The Minister of Parliamentary Affairs is there, a senior Minister. The Committee sava that the Minister of Partiamentary Affairs has "raised an objection to the Committee addressing the various Ministries and the departments of the Government of India direct for eliciting further information about the progress made in the implementation of the pending assurances under the directions given by the Speaker on the floor of the House or the Committee themselves." The Committee, commenting on this, rightly says:

"The Committee at their sitting held on the 11th March, 1966, felt that the action taken by the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs in addressing the Ministries not to furnish informa-

Look at the extent to which the executive, the Government, has gone; the executive is becoming very audacious and supercilious, to say the least-

"....direct to the Lok Sabha Secretariat contravened the provisions of Rules 270 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha...".

Now, what does rule 270 say? 270 says:

"A Committee shall have power to send for persons, papers and records:"

But the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs takes it into his head to write to the Ministries and the departments of the Government of India asking them not to furnish information direct to the Lok Sabha Secretariat. The Committee goes on to say:

"....jnasmuch as the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs had asked the Ministries not to furnish any information to the Committee difect but to channelise it through the Department of Parliamentary Affairs,

[Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath]

17103

The crowning disgrace is to come yet. The Committee says:

The Committee also called for the letter addressed by the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs to the various Ministries referred to above . . . . "

They would like to have this letter, because, under rule 270 ...

Mr. Speaker: Yes; I follow him.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The Committee concludes:-Look at the pathetic. rather the bathetic statement by the Committee and the impotence the Parliamentary Committee has been reduced to, under your aegis-

"The Committee regret that it has not been furnished to them till the time they approved this Report."

This was on the 3rd May. They asked the Minister to furnish the letter on the 11th March, and nothing has happened till the 3rd May!

Now, I ask you, in all seriousness, is it the intension of the executive, of the Government....

An hon, Member: The Minister of Parliamentary Affairs.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Yes; but he represents the Government-to make the Parliamentary Committee set up under your aegis almost defunct? Is it not in the interests of parliamentary democracy to see to it that a Committee of Parliament retains the supreme powers of Parliament in the set-up that we are functioning? It is up to you, Sir, ensconced in that high Chair, to ensure that the powers, the rights, of this House are kept intact and are not eroded and that the Parliamentary Committee on Government Assurances functions as it ought to, and as you have directed it to function-and the rules permit it-as a full-fledged Parliamontary Committee. The Minister of Parliamentary Affairs ought to be brought to book on this matter.

Mr. Speaker: I have had a discussion with the Minister.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: What will he say to this? These are categorical statements made in the report.

The Minister of Parliamentary Affairs and Communications (Shri Satya Narayan Sinha): Shri Kamath has gone so eloquent and has been quoting....

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I was only quoting from that document, the report of the Committee.

Shri Satya Narayan Sinha: That is one side of the thing. I request that the correspondence which has passed between you and me and my department and this Committee should be placed on the Table of the House, and let the House judge, and after that, we are prepared to discuss this matter. One should hear the other side of the picture also, and then let us sec. We will agree to what you say, but let us first hear the other side also. The entire correspondence will be placed on the Table of the House for the information of hon. Members.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee (Calcutta Central): Sir, if the Minister chooses to place the papers on the Table of the House, one can certainly go into them, but it is a pity and a trifle humiliating also, if, when repeatedly we have seen this matter of Government assurances being made on the floor of the House and they are meant to be implemented, for some reason or other, it cannot be implemented within a reasonable period of time, then, it is for the Government we ome and tell the House that it come not be implemented. We have got a Committee so that this matter might be proceeded with, with economy as regards the time of the House. (Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: It was no disrespect. No disrespect was meant to the Committee or to anybody. Probably, the Minister from the words that have been read, only meant that he was carrying on the correspondence with them, and therefore, he has said that he only meant that that might be done, so that he might get himself posted with what is being done.

Shri Satya Narayan Sinha: If Shri Kamath goes to your Chamber, I will also be there, and we shall all discuss it. (Interruptions).

Shri Nambiar (Tiruchirapalli): If the Committee is not given full information and co-operation from the department. then how could the Committee function? This House appoints a Committee to look into the matters.

NOTIFICATION UNDER EMPLOYEES' PROVI-DENT FUND ACT, 1952 AND COAL MINES PROVIDENT FUND AND BONUS SCHEMES ACT, 1948.

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Shri Shahnawaz Khan): I beg to lay on the Table-

- (1) A copy each of the following Notifications under sub-section (2) of section 7 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act. 1952:
  - (i) The Employees' Provident Funds (Second Amendment) Scheme, 1966, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 349 in Gazette of India dated the 12th March, 1966.
  - (ii) The Employees' Provident Punds (Third Amendment) Scheme, 1966, published in Notification No. G. S. R. 349 in Gazette of India dated the 12th March. 1966.
  - (iii) The Employees' Provident Sunds (Fourth Amendment) Scheme, 1966, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 350 in Gazette of India dated the 12th March, 1966.
  - (iv) The Employees' Provident Funds (Fifth Amendment) Scheme, 1966, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 351 in Gazette of India dated the 12th March. 1966.
  - (v) The Employees' Provident Punds (Sixth Amendment) Scheme, 1966, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 352 in Gazette of India dated the 12th March. 1966.

- (vi) The Employees' Provident Funds (Seventh Amendment) Scheme, 1966, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 412 in Gazette of India dated the 19th March. 1966.
- (vii) The Employees' Provident Funds (Eight Amendment) Scheme, 1966, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 413 in Gazette of India dated the 19th March. 1966.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-6354/66]

- (2) A copy each of the following Notifications under Section 7A of the Coal Mines Provident Fund and Bonus Schemes Act, 1948.
  - (i) The Andhra Pradesh Coal Mines Provident Fund (First Amendment) Scheme, 1966 published in Notification No. G.S.R. 490 in Gazette of India dated the 1st April, 1966.
  - (ii) The Rajasthan Coal Mines Provident Fund (First Amendment) Scheme, 1966 published in Notification No. G.S.R. 491 in Gazette of India dated the 1st April, 1966.
  - (iii) The Coal Mines Provident Fund (First Amendment) Scheme, 1966 published in Notification No. G.S.R. 492 in Gazette of India dated the 1st April, 1966.
  - Coal Mines Bonus (First (iv) The Amendment) Scheme, 1966 published in Notification No. G. S. R. 517 in Gazette of India dated the 9th April, 1966.
    - (v) The Andhra Pradesh Coal Mines Honus (First Amendment) Scheme, 1966 published in Notification No. G.S.R. 518 in Gazette of India dated the 9th April, 1966.
  - (vi) The Rajasthan Coal Mines Bonus (First Amendment) Scheme. 1966 published in Notification No. G.S.R. 519 in Gazette of India dated the 9th April, 1966.
  - (vii) The Assam Coal Mines Bonus (First Amendment) Scheme, 1966 published in Notification

[Shri Shahnawaz Khan]

No. G.S.R. 520 in Gazette of India dated the 9th April, 1966.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-6355/66].

Comm. Minutes

13.49 hrs.

## RULES COMMITTEE

#### MINUTES

Shri Krishnamoorthy Rao (Shimoga): I beg to lay on the Table the Minutes of the sittings of the Rules Committee held on the 26th April and 11th May, 1966.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): Will this be circulated, because of the discussion shortly coming up on the Rules Committee?

Will it be circulated tonight?

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

13.494 hrs.

#### ESTIMATES COMMITTEE

#### MINUTES OF EVIDENCE

Shri D. D. Mantri (Bihar): I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Minutes of svidence given before the Sub-Committee and Minutes of the Estimates Committee and Minutes of the sittings of the Estimates Committee relating to Ninety-fourth and Ninety-fifth Reports on Ministry of Defence (Defence Research and Development Organisation)—Defence Metallurgical Research Laboratory, Electronics and Radar Development Establishment and Defence Electronics Research Laboratory.

13.50 hrs.

COMMITTEE ON ABSENCE OF MEMBERS FROM THE SITTINGS OF THE HOUSE

#### MINUTES

Shri Khadilkar (Khed): I beg to lay on the Table the Minutes of the Sixteenth and Seventeenth Sittings of the Committee on Absence of Members from the Sittings of the House held during the current session. 13.50 hrs.

## MESSAGE FROM RAJYA SABHA

Secretary: Sir, I have to report the following message received from the Secretary of Rajya Sabha:—

"In accordance with the provisions of sub-rule' (6) of rule 186 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Rajya Sabha. I am directed to return herewith the Kerala Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1966, which was passed by the Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 11th May. 1966, and transmitted to the Rajya Sabha for its recommendations and to state that this House has no recommendations to make to the Lok Sabha in regard to the said Bilt."

13.51 hrs.

# COMMITTEE ON ABSENCE OF MEMBERS

Leave of absence from sittings of the House

Mr. Speaker: The Committee on Absence of Members from the Sittings of the House in their Seventeenth Report have recommended that leave of absence be granted to the following members for the periods indicated against each:

- (1) Shri Kolla Venkaiah—14th April to 28th April, 1966 (Fourteenth Session).
- (2) Shri A. K. Gopalan—26th March. to 27th April, 1966 (Fourteenth Session).
- (3) Shri Dasaratha Deb—7th March to 4th May, 1966 (Fourteenth Session.)
- (4) Shri Ghyasuddin Ahmed—7th March to 4th May, 1966 (Fourteenth Session).
- (5) Shri Biren Dutta—7th March to 31st March, 4966 (Fourteenth Session).
- (6) Shri Laxmi Dass—7th March to 29th March, 1966 (Fourteenth Session).

- (7) Shri Ananda Nambiar—7th March to 30th April, 1966 (Fourteenth Session)
- (8) Syed Baddrudduja—16th February to 4th March, 1966 (Fourteenth Session).
- (9) Shri Madala Narayana Swamy— 14th April to 28th April. 1966 (Fourteenth Session).
- (10) Dr. B. N. Singh—16th April to 17th May, 1966 (Fourteenth Session).
- (11) Shri R. Umanath—14th April to 2nd May, 1966 (Fourteenth Session).
- (12) Shri A. Jayaraman—14th February to 13th April, 1966 (Fourteenth Session).
- (13) H. H. Maharani Vijaya Raje Scindia of Gwalior—1st April to 28th April, 1966 (Fourteenth Session).
- (14) Raja P. C. Deo Bhanj—8th March to 5th April, 1966 (Fourteenth Session).
- (15) Shri T. Abdul Wahid—12th March to 22nd April, 1966 (Fourteenth Session).
- (16) Shri Vijya Bhushan Singh Deo-28th February to 27th April, 1966 (Fourteenth Session).

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): Can it be held over till tomorrow till we have got the paper mentioned in item 9? We have not seen it.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty (Barrackpore): I have seen it. I find that our two colleagues, Shri Dasaratha Deb and Shri Biren Dutta, Members from Tripura, have

been give 59 days leave. In the other House, the Home Minister made a categorical statement that all of the detenues are going to be released, who have been detained under the DIR. I would urge that it is very important that this House also exerts its influence in seeing that the Home Minister releases. Shri Dasaratha Deb and Shri Biren Dutta also. I would request this House to look into this matter before agreeing to this.

Shri Namblar (Tiruchirepalli): Only this morning I had occasion to meet you and the Leader of the House in your chamber. I requested the Leader of the House to see that these 2 Members are released. This practice of granting leave for 59 days has been going on for the last 16 months. I was also one of those who got leave like this. It is now too late in the day to continue it any longer. I request that the House should exert its influence to see that these two Members are released, so that they may be made available to the House as early as possible.

Shrl S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): When you read out that telegram the other day. Sir, we wanted to raise the issue about Shri Dasaratha Deb and Shri Biren Dutta. You said it can be raised on some other occasion. I submit that firstly this leave of absence is a compulsory one. He is not taking leave willingly; he is not sick or anything like that. He is compelled to take leave. Tomorrow is the last day. No other hon, member of this House is under detention now. These are the two unfortunate or fortunate Members of Parliament who are still being detained. My information is, the Tripura Government has decided not to release them till the next general election. That is why I request the Home Minister to make a statement announcing their release tomorrow.

Mr. Speaker: The Committee has only to recommend whether leave is to be granted or not. It is for the House to grant or refuse it. This is the only thing at this moment. Extraneous matters, are referred to now. Is this the occasion when it is to be decided whether they are to be released or not? They put in an applica-

17112

[Mr. Speaker]

tion; that is considered by the committee and a recommendation is made. That is all that we have to see. There is nothing else that can be discussed at this moment.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: May I request you to hold it over till to-morrow after we have received the paper mentioned in item 9?

Mr. Speaker: No; I am not holding it over.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I hope those Members who are reported to be ill are receiving competent medical treatment. It is rather anomalous that when all the Members of Parliament have been released, only these two should continue under detention. The hon, member mentioned in No. 16 has sought long leave for domestic reasons. I hope there is nothing serious and he will be back in the House very soon.

Mr. Speaker: The time of the House should not be taken on these things. I would request the hon. member kindly to absain from this. If somebody is anxious to enquire about the health of another Member, he can....

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: This is very unfair. You have ruled before in this House that it concerns the whole House.

Mr. Speaker: I take it that the House agrees with the recommendations of the Committee.

Several hon. Members: Yes.

The Members will be informed accordingly.

13 54 hrs.

## COMMITTEE OF PRIVILEGES

#### SEVENTH REPORT

Shri S. V. Krishnamoorthy Rao (Shimoga): I beg to present the Seventh Report of the Committee of Privileges.

Shri Kapur Singh (Ludhiana): I had given a notice under Rule 377 . . . .

Mr. Speaker: When it comes up for discussion, he will have an opportunity.

Shri Kapur Singh: On the previous occasion, you had allowed me briefly to make a statement. It is very germane that the statement should be made now. This report is not coming up for discussion tomorrow. It is the other report which is coming up for discussion.

Mr Speaker: I did not say this is coming up for discussion tomorrow.

Shri Kapur Singh: Certain portions have been suppressed and I want the House to know under what circumstances they have been suppressed.

Mr. Speaker: This is not the opportunity.

Shri Kapur Singh: There is a precedent. On a previous occasion, you allowed me to make a statement.

Mr. Speaker: He would appreciate that even at that time objection was taken by many Members that that opportunity had been afforded to him.

Shri Kaper Singh: In defiance of our Rules of Procedure, a report is being presented to the House. It is not in accordance with the rules of the House.

Mr. Speaker: There are other methods and modes under which this can be attacked. He must give notice....

Shri Kapur Singh: I had given notice under Rule 377. I want to pass on very vital information to the House.

Mr. Speaker: This is not the opportunity.

#### 13 '56 hrs.

## RE: BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Speaker: The Prime Minister will be making a statement at 3 P.M. today on her visit to Orissa.

The Minister of Parliamentsy Affairs and Communications (Shri Satya Narayan Shnhah: I have a suggestion to put before the House through you. The difficulty is every day because of some unforeseen things—you are helpless—the schedule is not adhered to. Our difficulty is this. We had thought

that the Constitution (Amendment) Bill will be taken up today because a particular voting is required for that and hon. Members have all been requested to be here in the House so far as this side is concerned. That side also they must have done so. A large number of Members have been informed that way. I suggest that we can take up the Constitution (Amendment) Bill first-it is only for two hours-and after finishing it, we can take up the Delhi Administration Bill.

Some hon. Members: Yes.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad) : While I have no objection to the change suggested, I would only request that as a natural consequence, amendments to the Constitution (Amendment) Bill should be allowed to be moved straightway without notice, because we had not come prepared for that Bill today.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty (Barrackpore): I hope the discussion on Mr. Asoke Mehta's statement will not be pushed out.

Mr. Speaker: We are trying. . . .

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: If not today, it should be taken up tomorrow and it should not be pushed out.

भी मध् लिम्ये: (मंगेर): उनका जो सझाव है कि पहले कास्टीटयशन धमेंडमेंट बिल को रक्खा जाय वह तो ठीक है लेकिन मैं इतना जानना चाहता हं कि नन्दा साहब का जो स्टेटमेंट होने वाला है भौर त्यागी जी ने जिसका जिक किया वह ब्राज होगा या कल होगा भीर भगर कल होगा तो कब होगा ?

ध्यध्यक्ष महोदय : कल करेंगे ।

13.59 hrs.

CORRECTING ANSWER TO S.O. NO. 94 RE. NAGAS INFILTRATION INTO BURMA

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri A. M. Thomas): I would · like to make a statement....

Mr. Speaker: He can lay it on the Table.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshanga-

bad): We have got copies.

Shri A. M. Thomas: It is only a few lines and I shall read it.

Sir. in the main Answer given to Starred Question No. 94 on the 21st February 1966, it was stated that a gang of Naga hostiles, estimated at 1000 to 1500 entered Burmese territory on the 29th December, 1965. The fact is that the date on which the gang of Naga hostiles entered Burmese territory was 29th November, 1965.

14 hrs.

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): Sir. the original answer was that a gang of Naga estimated, at 1000 hostiles, to 1500 entered Burmese territory the 29th December, 1965. fact is that the date on which the gang of Naga hostiles entered Burmese territory was 29th November, 1965. There is a difference of one month between the date given in the original answer and the date now given. I want to know whether the information given in the original answer was actually supplied wrongly or whether it has been corrected now, because there is a difference of one month in the date pertaining to such an important matter.

Shri A. M. Thomas: Sir, even when the original answer was given our information was that they entered on 29th November 1965. But this mistake took place due to a clerical error-it was typed wrongly.

14. 01 hrs.

**EXPLOSION** STATEMENT RE: GOODS YARD BHUSAVAL DOWN

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Rallways (Dr. Ram Subbag Singh): Sir. I beg to lay on the Table a statement clarifying certain points raised in the House on the 6th May, 1966, when the hon. Member, Shri A. P. Sharma stated that 11 days were taken by the Railways to go from Pathankot to Bhusaval , which was incorrect.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT.6358/ 66].

14:02 hrs.

STATEMENT RE: DELAY IN PLA-CING THE KERALA MONEYLENDERS' RULES 1964 ON THE TABLE

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Finance (Shri B. R. Bhagat): Sir. the other day, the hon. Member, Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath, wanted to know reasons for delay which has occurred in the Kerala Moneylenders' Rules, 1964 being placed on the Table of the House of the Lok Sabha. I tried to get the information. The Rules were notified on 31st March, 1964 and were required to be placed on the Table of the State Legislature. This was not done by the State Government while the Legislature was in session. The precise reasons for this are not known to the Central Government. A reference from the State Government was received in May 1965 more than one year after the date on which the notification was issued. In view of the time which had elapsed, by the time when the reference from the State Government was received and in view of the fact that other connected proposals for legislation relating to chit funds in Kerala were under conaideration (while the question of making it clear that financial institutions should be excluded from the Acts and Rules relating to money-lending was also under consideration), the Rules had to be examined, so that modifications, if any, could be made, before they were placed on the Table of the House. Ultimately, however, it appears that no modifications were necessary or worthwhile and the Rules were laid on the Table of the House, as they were notified.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): Sir, it is good that 1 raised this point the other day because now we find that it throws a lurid light on the inefficient manner the Government is functioning or the State Government is functioning. Now, after the promulgation of the Emergency by the President, and President's rule in Kerala, the Centre is directly responsible for the good government of Kerala, for the administration in Kerala, and is it not Government's duty to find

out why it was that though it was notified on the 21st of March or so, till the end of September, 1964, when the Government resigned, it was not laid on the Table of the House? He says the reasons are not known, the reasons are obscure. Is the Government helpless in the matter and it cannot do anything at all?

May I also, Sir, in view of the accumulating business, invoke your powers under Rule 15 of the Rules of Procedure and see that the business before the House is finished somehow. It says that the Speaker shall determine the time when a sitting of the House shall be adjourned sine die.

Mr. Speaker: The House has taken a decision on that.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The House can revise its decision if it wants to finish the business.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty (Barrackpore): Sir. let me understand the order in
which the business is going to be taken
up today. I presume that we are going
to take up the Constitution (Nineteenth
Amendment) Bill first. This has been
allotted two hours. That means, after we
have proceeded with this Bill for one hour,
the Prime Minjster will intervene to make
her statement at 3.00, and then after that
we will again continue with this Bill. That
means this Bill will go up to 4.00 and
then we will take up the Delhi Administration Bill.

Mr. Speaker: That is right.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: Then what about the Merchant Shipping Bill.

Mr. Speaker: That will come up toinorrow.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: That will mean that the Discussion under Rule 193 will go out. I would beg of you to specify as you have done on earlier occasions, that this discussion under Rule 193 will be taken up at 3.00 or 4.00 tomorrow.

Shri Nambiar (Tiruchirapalli): Otherwise it will be elbowed out. Mr. Speaker: We will see.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I am sorry, Sir, the Minister has disappeared. It is very bad. I raised a point. I wanted to know whether the Government is helpless in the matter. Who is to answer that?

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member asked him to find out why this delay has occurred. Certainly he will find out.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: He should have said so. It should go on record that he is going to find that out.

Mr. Speaker: He will find out,

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Without your permission, Sir, he has fecamped.

14.05 hrs.

# CONSTITUTION (NINETEENTH AMENDMENT) BILL

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence (Shri Hathi): Sir. on behalf of Shri G. I.. Nanda I beg to move:

"That the Bill further to amend the Constitution of India be taken into consideration."

Sir, this is a small Bill which purports to amend the Constitution of India. Article 3 of the Constitution reads as under:

#### "Parliament may by law-

- (a) form a new State by separation of territory from any State or by uniting two or more States or parts of States or by uniting any territory to a part of any State;
- (b) increase the area of any State;
- (c) diminish the area of any State;
- (d) alter the boundaries of any State:
- (e) alter the name of any State:"

Now, Sir. under this article 3 which provides for the formation of new States, alteration of areas and boundaries and

alteration at names of existing States, before the constitution (Seventh Amendment) Act, 1956, was enacted, the expression "State" occurring in that article meant Part A States, Part B States and also Part C States. All the States were classified in three different categories A, B and C. I need not go into the details. Those which were originally provinces in the British period were mostly classified as A States, most of the States which were under the Indian rulers-there was a merger States-like Rajasthan. Saurashtra and all that-with the Raipramukhs were B States and others like Himachal Pradesh, Kutch, Delhi and such others were classified as C States. After that, instead of C States. the concept of Union Territories was introduced in our Constitution. But article 3 was not amended to include the term "Union Territories" and the word "State". remained as "State". The difficulty now is that if a part of a State has to be merged in the Union Territory, this provision of article 3 may perhaps not be applicable because the word is "State" in the provision and not "Union Territory". Therefore, while if you want to transfer a part of a State to another State this article 3 of the Constitution can be invoked. if a part of a State, for example. Punjab is to be transferred to, say, Himachal Pradesh, this article cannot be operative, because the word used is "State" and not "Union Territory". Therefore, it is that under this article 3, two explanations are proposed.

Suri Tyagi (Dehra Dun): Change of the name of a State is permissible under this article. Now the name is changed white the State remains. It is the same State, only the name is changed. From Part 'C' State, it has become a Union territory. So only the name will change. Otherwise, it remains as it is.

Shri Hathi: We can argue that Part 'C'.
State has become a Union territory. But
suppose some objection is taken that this
does not include Union territory? This is
for making it absolutely clear. This is not
amendment; this is Explanation. Therefore, we say:

"Explanation I.—In this article, in clauses (a) to (e), "State" includes a Union territory...."

[Shri Hathi]

This is the Explanation added. What Mr. Tyagi says is right that it can mean so. But in order to make it abundantly clear, this Explanation is being added. Then, there is another Explanation:

"Explanation II .- The power conferred on Parliament by clause (a) includes the power to form a new State or Union territory by uniting a part of any State or Union territory to any other State or Union territory."

This is a simple Bill. I need not say anything more. I move.....

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:

"That the Bill further to amend the Constitution of India be taken into consideration."

Shri Narendra Singh Mahida (Anand): There are various amendments to the Bill. The Members are absent.

Mr. Speaker: I cannot just go and cell them.

Does anybody want to speak? No.

Shri Pratap Singh (Sirmur): I want to move my amendments here.

Mr. Speaker: Not at this stage. They will be taken up later when we take up the clauses.

As there is nobody to speak. I will put it to the House

The question is:

"That the Bill further to amend the Constitution of India be taken into consideration."

Shri Tyagi: At this stage, is the prescribed majority required?

Mr. Speaker: Yes. Let the Lobbies be cleared.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty pore: I just want out to get a copy of this Bill. I wanted to speak on this.

Shri Nambiar (Tiruchirapalli): I too went out to get a copy of the Bill.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: Even the Ministers are not here. The Minister of Parliamentary Affairs, the Leader of the House, is not here.

Shri Nambiar: This is because of the sudden change in the agenda.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: Minister of Parliamentary Affairs himself proposed the change.

Shri Nambiar: This is an important legislation. We should have been given due notice.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. The Lobbies have been cleared. The question is:

"That the Bill further to amend the Constitution of India be taken into consideration."

The Lok Sabha divided.

## Division No. 18

Achuthan, Shri

Ram Singh, Shri Rane, Shri

# AYE S NOES

[14.17hr8

Akkamma Devi, Shrimati Anjanappa, Shri Arunachalam, Shri Aged, Shri Bhagwat Jha Bakliwal, Shel Bal Krishna Singh, Shri Balakrishnan, Sbri Banerji Dr. R. Berman, Shri P.C. Besappa, Shri Bhania Deo, Shri L.N. Bhargava, Shri M.B. Bhathar, Shri

Bhattacharyya, Shri C.K. Boroosh, Shri P.C. Brahm Prakash, Shri

Brij Basi Lat. Shri Braj Raj Singh-Kotah, Shri Chakravartty, Shrimati Renu Chakraverti, Shi P.R. Chanda, Shrimati Jyotena Chandak, Shri Chandrabhan Singh, Dr. Chandriki, Shri Chaudhry, Shri Chandramani La-Chaudhuri, Shri D.S. Chaudhuri, Shrimati Kamla

Chavan, Shri D.R. Chavda, Shrimati Johraben Chuni Lal, Shri Daffe, Shri Daljit Singh, Shri Deo Bhani, Shri P.C. Dhuleshwar Meena Shri Dinesh Singh, Shri Dixit, Shri G.N. Dorai, Shri Kasinatha Dwivedi, Shri M.L. Elayaperumal, Shri Gahmari, Shri Gajraj Sinub Rac, Shri

Ga apati Rem, Shri Ganga Devi. Shrimati Gupta, Shri Shiv Charan Hajarnavis, Shri Hansda, Shri Subodh Harvani, Shri Ansar Heds, Shri Hem Raj, Shri Himatsingka, Shri Jadhav, Shri M.L. Jamunadevi, Shrimati Jena, Shri Jha, Shri Yogendra Joshi, Shrimati Subhadra Kayal, Shri P.N. Kha lilkar, Shri Roui ilgi, Shri H.V. Krist as, Shri M.R. Kureel, Shri B.N. Lakshmitanthamma, Shrimati Lalit Sen, Shri Laskar, Shri N.R. Lazmi Bai, Shrimati Mahadeo Prasad, Shri Mahishi, Dr. Sarojini Maimoona Sultan, Shrimati Malhotra, Shri Inder J. Mallick, Shri Rams Chandra Manaen . Shri Mandal, Dr. P. Mandal, Shri J. Maniyangadan, Shri Mantri, Shri D.D. Marandi, Shri Masuriya Din, Shri Matcharaju, Shri Mehdi, Shri S.A. Mehrotra, Shri Braj Bihari Mengi, Shri Gopal Datt Menon, Shri Krishna Miara, Shri Mahesh Dut'a Mohammed Yusuf, Shri More, Shri K.L.

Mukane, Shri Mukerjee, Shrimati Sharda Murmu, Shri Sarker Muthish, Shri Neik, Shri D.1 Naik, Shri Maheswar Nair, Shri Vasudevan Nambiar, Shri Naskar, Shri P.S. Nayak, Shri Mohan Ora, Shri Pande, Shri K.N. Pandey, Shri R.S. Pandey, Shri Vishwa Nath Panna La', Shri Pant, Shri K.C. Parashar, Shri Patel, Shri Chhotubhai Patel, Shri Man Sinh P Patil, Shri T.A. Pathaik, Shri B.C. Prabhakar, Shri Naval Pratap Singh, Shri Raghunath Singh, Shri Rai, Shrimati Sahodra Bai Raide Singh, Shri Ram, Shri T. Rem Sewak, Shri Ramanathan Chettiar, Shre R. Rumpure, Shri M. Rao, Shri Jaganathe Rao, Shri Kri hnamoorthy Rao, Shri Muthyal Reo, Shri Ramapathi Rao, Shri Thirumala Reddiar, Shri Reddy, Shri Lirge. Reddy, Shrimati Yashoda Roy, Dr. Seredish Roy, Shri Bishwanath Sadhu Ram, Shri Saha, Dr. S.K.

Sahu, Shr. Rameshwar

Saigel, Shri A.S. Camanta, Shri S.C. Sarma, She A.T. Saryathama Devi, Shrimati Sen, Sh i P.G. Shekante a Dovi, Shrimeti Shankaraiya, Shri Sharma, Shri A.P. Sharma, Shri D.C. Shrer Narayan Day, Shri Shyam Kumari Deri, Shrimati Siddenanjappa, Shri Siddhantl, Shri Jagdev Singh Siddish, Shri Sidheshwar Prasad, Shri Singh, Shri D.N. Singh, Shri S.T. Sinhe, Shirmari Ramd l'ari Sinha, Shrimati Tarkernwari Sivappraghassen, . hri Ku. Snatal, Shri Nardes Sonavare, Shr! Subharaman, Shri Subramanyam, ohn T. Sumat Pra ad, Shri Swamy Shri M. P. Tabir, Shri Mohammad T iwary, Shri R.S. Tripathi, Shri Krishna Dev Tyagi, Shri Uikey, Shri Ulaka, Shri Ramachandra Verms, Shri M.L. Vecrabasappa, Lhri Verme, Shri S.L. Vidyalankar, Shri A.N. Virbhadra Singh, Shri Vyas, Shri Radhelal Wadiwa, Shri Wasnik, Shri Balkrishna Yadab, Shri N.P. Yadav, Shri Ram Harkh

Mr. Speaker: The result of the division

Ayes 2; Noes 170.

The motion is not carried by a majority of not less than two thirds of the total membership of the House, present and voting.

The motion was negatived.

Shrimati Ranu Chakravartty: Does it mean that in this session, nothing can be

done, there can be no Punjabi Suba or anything of the sort?

Shri Nambiar: May I make a submission?

Mr. Speaker: Shri Hathi to continue his speech on the Delhi Administration Bill.

Shri Nambiar: Before we 30 to another item, may I make a submission on what has happened just now? My, submission is, because of the change in the agenda, the

## [Shri Nambiar]

whole thing has ended in a very scrious chaos. The formation of the Punjabi Suba which was expected and which is bound to come has been delayed because of the deliberate change brought about by the Government. This is my accusation. The whole country will feel disappointed at the way this thing has been done. I want to make a strong protest and I want to record my objection to this way of doing things. Such a serious issue has not been properly dovetailed. As a consequence of this, we shall be made a laughing stock.

Delhi

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. What can I do? I cannot do anything.

DELHI ADMINISTRATION BILLcontd.

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs and Minister of Defence Supplies in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Hathi): Mr. Speaker, Sir, on Saturday last, when the House rose, I had just begun my speech on the Delhi Administration Bill as reported by the Joint Committee. This Bill was introduced in the Lok Sabha on 18th November, 1965 and thereafter it was referred to the Joint Committee of both the Houses of Parliament.

The Report of the Joint Committee was presented to Parliament on the 9th May, 1966. As stated in that report, the committee invited memoranda from public bodies and individuals and also took evidence from the representatives of the public bodies, political parties and individuals. The scope of the Bill was throughly discussed, and a number of improvements have been effected. I would not like to take the time of the House in explaining the previous history of the administrative set-up of Delhi.

Speaker: The hon. Minister may kindly stop for a minute. There is so much of noise in the House.

Shri Vasudevan Nair (Ambalapuzha): Members are excited.

Shri D. C. Sharma (Gurdaspur): The whole House is excited.

Mr. Speaker: I do understand that the Members are excited. But what can I do now?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshaugabad): It is not your fault.

It has happened once before also. This is the second or third time when Government have blundered. They are in blunderland.

Mr. Speaker: Now. Members have to give their attention to the proceedings that are going on. They should not go on talking among themselves.

Shri Nambiar (Tiruchirapalli): This was a snap arrangement which we did not anticipate.

Mr. Speaker: What can I do in that case?

Shri Vasudevan Nair: Government should explain why all this has happened.

Shri D. C. Sharma: It is a big blow to us.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: This is a emissness or blundering on the part of Government.

Mr. Speaker: Now, the hon. Minister might continue his speech.

Shri Hathi: I do not like to take the time of the House in explaining the previous history of the administrative set-up of Delhi.

The Home Minister, while moving the motion for referring the Bill to the Joint Committee had explained in full the reasons for bringing forward this measure for the administrative set-up of Delhi. He had explained in detail the special position which Delhi occupied and the position of the other federal capitals in the world also.

14.23 hrs.

#### [MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]

A balance is kept between the requirements of democratic association of the people with the administration and the need for effective control of the national Government over the Government of the capital, to avoid conflict which may detract from the efficient working of the metropolis.

It was also explained that keeping in number of forcign Legations, Embassies etc., were housed in Delhi it was not expedient or advisable to have two sets of Government or a dual Government in the capital.

I would restrict myself to the Bill as it has emerged after the deliberations of the Joint Committee. The House will notice that there were in the original Bill 37 clauses. As the Bill has emerged from the Joint Committee, as the report of the committee shows, there have been two new clauses added, namely clause 15 and clause 36. One clause, namely original clause 24 has been deleted. 11 clauses have been amen'ded, and two clauses have been amended because there are consequential amendments. In all 16 causes have undergone changes out of 37 clauses. The new Bill has 38 clauses.

I shall now deal with the important changes which have been brought about. The first is the amendment of clause 3. The number of elected members of the proposed metropolitan council has been raised from 42 to 49. That is one important change. The second change which the committee has brought about is that instead of associating three members of the interim metropolitan council nominated by the Government with the Election Commission for the purpose of delimiting the constituencies of the council. provision has been made for associating the Members of the Lok Sabha representing Delhi with the Election Commission for this work. It was found by the committee that it should not be left to the Central Government to nominate any of the members for this purpose.

707 (Ai) L.S.--6.

Then, clause 12 has been amended to make a provision for the Deputy Chairman of the council. There was only a chairman to be elected, but a deputy chairman hus also been provided for by amending clause 12.

Clause 13 is only a consequential amendment. All those provisions which applied to the chairman have also to be made applicable to an extent to the Deputy Chairman. Therefore, amendment of clause 13 is a consequential one.

The new clause 15 specifically provides that every member of the executive council shall have a right to speak or otherwise take part in the proceedings of the metropolitian council or any committee thereof.

The next clause amended is clause 20 (old clause 19), where a provision has been made regarding the powers and privileges of persons who have the right to speak in and otherwise take part in the proceedings of the metropolitan council and any committee thereof, as they apply in relation to members.

Then, clause 24 which dealt with the language or languages to be used in transacting the business of the metropolitan council has been deleted. It was thought that it should be left to the metropolitan council itself to decide what language or languages it should use.

Clause 24 (original clause 23) has been amended to provide that the administrator shall make the rules after consulting the chairman of the metropolitan council. That has been added.

Then, there were demands from various members that one of the members of the executive council should be designated as chief executive councillor and the other members as executive councillors. This change has been made in clause 27.

Then, it was also thought that in order to avoid bye-elections to the Delhi Municipal Corporation, clause 32 might be amended so that the membership of the corporation should not preclude the persons from being members of the interime

[Shri Hathi]

metropolitan council. This provision removes the bar on being simultaneous membership of the interim council and the Delhi Municipal Corporation.

Then the other clause 35 is of a consequent.al nature, which provides that the electoral college for the Union Territory of Delhi shall consist of the elected members of the Matropolitan Council constituted for the Territory under the Delhi Administration Act 1966, and until that Council is constituted, the electoral college shall consist of the elected members of the interim Metropolitan Council constituted under the Act.

Then a new clause—as I said, there are two new clauses, one is cl. 15 and the other cl. 36—has been added to provide for the representation of the Metropolitan Council on the Delhi Development Authority in view of the representation of the Delhi Advisory Committee on the DDA. With the coming into force of this Act, the Advisory Committee will not be there. Therefore, the representation which the Delhi Advisory Committee has on the DDA will be given to the members of the Metropolitan Council.

Clause 38 empowers the President to take necessary steps to remove any difficulty whatsoever for giving effect to the provisions of the proposed law, particularly in relation to the constitution of the Metropolitan Council. The Committee, specially Shn Kamath, wanted that orders made by the President shall be laid on the Table of each House and would be subject to such modifications as may be made by both Houses. That also has been incorporated.

These are the main amendments to the old Bill as was introduced. It may be that at the time of the motion for reference of the Bill to the Joint Committee and during the Committee stage, suggestions well made that the Metropolitan Council should be given financial powers, that is, power to approve the budget of the Territory and a provision that the Executive Council should be collectively

responsible to the Metropolitan Council. In substance, this suggestion amounted to placing the Metropolitan Council in the position of a legislative Assembly so far as the budget was concerned and making the Executive Council answerable to that body in the same way as the Council of Ministers is answerable to a State legislature. It was made clear that the theme as was envisaged and under which this B.II has been drafted and introduced is that it was not contemplated that there should be a Legislative Assembly for Delhi-art. 239 stands in the way-and so if there is no Legislative Assembly, it is not possible also to give financial powers. Even then we have given as much thought as could be bestowed to this question, we have consulted experts, and the Comittee came to this conclusion that it was not possible to give financial powers to this body....

Shri Harl Vishnu Kamath: Without amending the Constitution.

Shri Hathi: without amending the Constitution. Therefore, the Bill which gives the maximum association to the people of Delhi in the administration of the territory has been the result of the deliberation of the Joint Committee as it has emerged out of the Joint Committee.

I would not like to deal with other suggestions which are likely to come just now because I would be glad to hear the arguments and constructive and helpful suggestions. After that

tive and helpful suggestions. After that, if we think it feasible to accommodate, we may consider them. But so far as the main theme is concerned, giving financial powers and making the Executive Council responsible to the Metropolitan Council seem not to be possible.

I move.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Motion moved:

"That the Bill to provide for the administration of the Union territory of Delhi and for matters connected therewith, as reported by the Joint Committee. be taken into consideration".

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: What 18the time allotted? Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Three hours.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: In view of the importance of the subject and the controversial nature of the Bill, I suggest that the time must be extended—I am sure members on both sides will agree—by at least one hour.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty (Barrack pore): No.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The programme is very tight. There are other items of business also.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: There are amendments also. How much for general consideration and how much for clauseby-clause discussion?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: We can have two hours and one hour.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: So many amendments are there. They cannot be disposed of in one hour.

Shri Bade (Khargone): The other business collaped. We have saved some time on that. That may be given to this.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: We shall see anyway.

श्री नवल प्रभाकर (दिल्ली करोल बाग): उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा एक व्यववस्था का प्रकृत है। संविधान में हरिजनों के लिये धारक्षण दिया गया है। संविधान की धारा 332 में स्पष्ट रूप से कहा गया है कि:

"Scats shall be reserved for the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. . .

मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि यह जो दिल्ली प्रशासन विधेयक है उस की जो 32 धारा है जिस को धाप प्रस्थायी तौर पर रखने की व्यवस्था करने जा रहे हैं उस में कहीं पर भी भनुमूचित जातियों के लिये कोई धारक्षण नहीं रक्खा गया है। उन के लिये सीट्स सुरक्षित नहीं रक्खी गई हैं इसलिये यह विधेयक संविधान की भावना के मबुरुप महीं है भीर इस पर कोई विचार नहीं किया जाना चाहिये। इस में भंतरिम काल के जिये कहीं पर भी शेड्यूल्ड कास्टस के लोंगों के लिय कोई रिजर्गेंगन नहीं हैं जब कि कांस्टिट्यूणन में स्पष्ट रूप से लिखा हुन्ना है कि शेड्यूल्ड कास्ट्स के लोगों के निये सीट्स रिजर्व्ड होनी चाहियें।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: This House has never taken up the question of deciding constitutional matters. That is for the courts to determine. Perhaps the provision for representation of scheduled casts will be made in the election rules by the Election Commission. There is no point of order.

श्री नवल प्रभःकर: संविधान में बिलकुल स्पप्ट लिखा हुमा है, इसमें कोई सन्देइ नहीं है।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I have ruled it out of order. We do not decide constitutional questions.

भी नवल प्रभाकर : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं कहना चाहता हं . . .

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I have ruled it out of order.

Shrimati Renu Chakravarity: Mr. Deputy-Speaker, after hanging fire for a very long time, this Delhi Administration Bill has come before the Lok Sabha, Before coming to Lok Sabha, we have seen it passing through raany phases as far as the ruling party is concerned. At one stage one heard that it was being opposed by the Delhi Congress; later we heard that a section of them were supporting it and a section were opposing it. Then we were in the Joint Committee, we witnessed the spectacle of several Members of the Committee, resigning.

Now, what ever may be the reasons for such behaviour, at least we on this side of the House—I can say this at least for my party—have not been able to understand till today why the Home Ministsy and the Minister. Shri Nanda, and in his place, Shri Hathi, are consistently denying the people of Delhi a democratically elected

[Shrimati Renu Chakravartty]

and fully representative government, when Delhi actually is the biggest Union Territory from the point of view of population and also from the point of view of its importance. If Himachal Pradesh, Manipur and Tripura, can have more or less elected legislatures—and the people there have agitated and got it—I do not see any reason why the Delhi State cannot also have a democratically elected and fully representative legislature.

As a matter o fact, I have not been able to understand why a section of the Delhi M.Ps. and why my hon. friend Shrimati Subhadra Joshi is so opposed to it. It has really puzzled me. Maybe personalities have got mixed up in the whole matter. but I have not understood the principle of it.

We have been told that in USA, Washington does not have such a thing. For everything that we have to do here, must we find a parallel in the United States? If it is a good thing, take it. But I was told that even in a place like Washington, there is much to be desired as far as civic administration goes. As a matter of fact, I heard just recently, and then I thought about the discussions we had in our Select Committee, that recently when Washington had a very big snowfall, the civic administration was such that for days the Indian Embassy was completely surrounded by snow, and they had no means by which to clear it, and for days they could not come down to carry out their normal avocations. I have not been to Washington, I do not know what it was all about, but our Government is always telling us, this is what happens in Washington, this is what happens in New York. I have not been able to understand, if Manipur, Tripura and Himachal Pradesh can have elected legislatures, why the seat of the Central Government being in Delhi presupposes that they should not have an elected legislature.

It appears to me that all the arguments that Shri Hathi has put forward have been totally unconvincing, especially when I find that really in Delhi we have a multiplicity of organisations dealing with

matters which are essentially civic, and which makes the normal life of a State so difficult. For example, they have the Delhi Development Authority, they have the Delhi Milk Scheme, they have a plethora of bodies, all set up one on top of the other. We have the Delhi Corporation, and now we are going to have another Metropolitan Council which is neither fish nor flesh. So, I see absolutely no reason why Mr. Nanda, the Home Minister, the Home Ministry and the Government of India could not see their way to give a legislature to the people of Delhi.

Shri Hathi: Constitution.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: The Constitution has been brought up as an excuse, but, I am attacking the very basis, the very principle of the Bill. I am not in the Select Committee, I have the right to say that this Bill should be scrapped entirely and a full legislature with full rights to the people given just as are given to all other citizens of India and should be given to the people of Delhi too.

What is the set-up envisaged under the proposed Mtropolitan Counc 1? The fact is that even with the new amendments, the Metropolitan Council and its members are nothing but an advisory body. I know friend Mr. Khanna is very my hon. enamoured of this advisory body. So is my hon. friend Shrimati Subhadra Joshi. They would like to advise, but Members of Parliament know what the real status of these advisory bodies is. We have so many consultative committees, and we have seen that they are an absolute farce, a complete farce, with the result that after four or ten years, whatever the number of years, you have been elected to the House, Members have stopped going to them, because it is an utter waste of time, utter waste of breath. Nobody listens to you. You may try, as far as you possibly can, to attend these meetings, but as far as the executive is concerned, it goes merrily on. Therefore, this Metropolitan Council, which is nothing more than an advisory council, is, I would say, nothing more than a debating society.

Actually, all executive authority is going to vest in the Executive Council, and this Executive Council, again, is made a nominated body. Firstly they make the Metropolitan Council such that the Elected Members have an advisory capacity, and the Executive Committee, where they have some executive authority, it is made a nominated body. It is a peculiar concept that if you have elections, you make it advisory, and if you do not have elections and have nominations, you give them executive authority. This shows the undemocratic nature of the entire concept of this Metropolitan Council and the Executive Council set up under the Clauses of this Bill.

Not only that. In many cases it is the Administrator who will be ruling. If the Administrator thinks that questions should not be asked, he will make the rules, he will just take the advice and consult the Metropolitan Council Chairman, beyond asking the Metropolitan Council Chairman, there is absolutely nothing to prevent him from making the rules as he thinks fit. If there is a deadlock, he will refer the matter to the President, and the President will give his verdict, but prior to getting the President's verdict it will be decided by the Administrator whether a particular matter is important enough for him to utilise the veto and refer the matter to the President or not. So, I say a bureaucrat, an Administrator, will have the whip hand over both the Executive Council and the Metropolitan Council. This is what I have understood from the Clauses of this Bill.

Therefore, after having opposed the very principle underlying the Bill, that the people of Delhi should be deprived of a legislature with full legislative powers given to other citizens in India. I have now tried to make an amendment which I put before the House, within the limitations which this Bill puts before us. As an Opposition Member, I cannot do anything further at this stage.

I propose that in the Clauses of thit Bill, if we really are sincere to give the

Metropolitan Council some democratic rights, there should be a provision that after the Metropolitan Council's advice has to be taken, that advise should be referred to the Executive Council or to the Central Government or to the President as the case may be, and that the people to whom it is referred should regard the advice and the recommendation of the Metfopolitan Council as mandatory. This at least should be done. If this also is not done, I would say that this 's nothing but a farce. The people of Delhi rightly are agitated over this matter, however much my hon. friend Mr. Khanna may say that there is a large number of people in Delhi who do want such rights.

I have also tried, within the limitations of this Bill, to make the Executive Council responsible to the Metropolitan Council. I have not heard any worthwhile arguments made by Mr. Hathi when he introduced this Bill, as to why this also was not possible, why the Executive Council should not be responsible to those who are the elected representatives of Delhi. I believe that there is far too much of a personal element in this quarrel. We are people who come from outside Delhi, we are not at all concerned whether it will be Mr. Khanna who will be Chief Minister or X or Y or Z. What we are concerned with is the principle underlying the Bill. Therefore, I would say that at least the Executive Council and the Administrator should be responsible to the Metropolitan Council for their actions. Therefore, I have proposed and will propose and will press that at least this much should be accepted by Government that any member of the Executive Council against whom the Metropolitan Council has passed a vote of no confidence by a two-third majority should be removed. At least this minimum thing should be there. That is what should be there in spite of all the other arguments which have been put forward before us. If that is not done immediately, I say that this whole thing is useless. We are handing over the entire administration of Delhi to a bureaucratic set up, which is not the real idea behind a Bill of this

[Shrimati Renu Chakravartty]

17135

type. Sir, I would also urge that number of elected members of the council should be increased to 56. Here I find myself very lucky; I find that Subhadra Joshi has given a similar amendment and so I have a sneakying feeling that it is going to be accepted by the Government. Once in my lift, I find I am in good company. But if this point had been accepted earlier it would have been better. Why did we go in for the other 49 which would have overlapping of constituencies, inevitable. It is also a question of deciding the number on the basis of population and other matters which I had explained. 56 is normal; I to 8 constituencies for the Lok Sabha seat On the basis of the existing population and the constitution of the Delhi municipal authorities this is the most reasonable principle that should have been accepted. I have also proposed that we want this Metropolitan council should be an active body and in every clause we should give such authority and such powers to enable it to actively intervene in the life and well-being of the city. That is why regarding the question of whether the metropolitan council should be called at an interval of not less than six months, I have said not less than three months. Also have said not less than three months. Also whenever a vacancy occurs in the chairmanship of the council, it shall not be left vacant for any unspecified period; election to the chairmanship must not be later than a month from the date on which the vacancy takes place. I would recommend to this House that we should look at this Bill in all its minute details at the second reading stage but even at this stage we should give our verdict that the underlying principle in this Bill is something which we cannot accept. We cannot accept the decsion of the Union Government to take away from the people of Delhi their right to have an elected legislature as every other citizen in the rest of India.

श्री बह्य प्रकाश (बाह्य दिल्ली): जनाव डिप्टी स्पीकर, इस बदकिस्मत दिल्ली बालों के लिए जो यह बिल बनाया गया है मैं उस सम्बन्ध में धपने कुछ चुने हुए विचार

धाप के सामने पेश करने के लिए खड़ा हमा है। मैं यह कह देना चाहता हं कि यह राय खाली मेरी नहीं है, यह खाली दिल्ती कांग्रेस की नहीं है,यह दिल्ली कांग्रेस की भी है, दिल्ली जन-संघ की भी है, दिल्ली बम्मुनिस्ट पार्टी की भी है श्रौर दूसरी पोलिटिकल पार्टियों की भी है। जिल्ली यहां की आर्गे-नाइज्ड पबलिक श्रोपीनियन है चाहे वह ट्रेड यनियन हो, चाहे वह बिजनेस धार्गेनाइ-जेशन हों, चाहे इंडस्ट्रियल धार्गेनाइजेशनस हों, कलचरल, सोशल सभी भ्रार्गेनाइजेशनस की वह भ्रोपीनियन है भ्रीर वह राय है कि सब से पहले यह बिल जो इस वक्त दिल्ली ऐडमिनिस्टेशन बिल के नाम से दिल्ली के लिए भाया है यह बेकार है यह ऐडवाइजरी है। कोई ताकत दिल्ली वालों को नहीं दी है यह एक तरीके से दिल्ली वालों को बहलाने का खिलौना दे दिया गया है भ्रौर यही वजह थी कि दिल्ली के झाठ 8 मेम्बरस में से 5 मेम्बरस ने इस से सम्बन्धित सेलेक्ट कमेटी से इस्तीफा देदिया था। उन की राय साफ़ थी कि इस बिल में जान नहीं है यह ऐडवाइजरी कमेटी है इस में कोई ताकत नहीं मिलती और चंकि गवर्न मेंट कोई ताकत उस में देने के लिये तैयार नहीं है लिहाजा इस कमेटी के साथ इस बिल के सम्बन्ध में ताल्लक रखना बोटरों के साथ वेइंसाफ़ी करनी होगी। यह ठीक है कि हमारे 3 मेम्बरसं उस में रहे बल्कि हमारे इस्तीफ़ा देने के बाद वह ज्यादा जोर से गये भीर उस में हिस्सा लिया। दो वजीर हैं। जाहिर है कि वजीर बहुत नहीं होते भपनी राय में ग्रौर उन्हें गवर्नमेंट के कहने के मताबिक चलना पड़ता है। एक ग्रीर साहब हैं एक हमारे मैंम्बर हैं जिनका कि ताल्लुक सिर्फ नई दिल्ली से है. नई दिल्ली के लिए हो सकता है कुछ जानते भी हां लेकिन दिल्ली वालों के लिए बहुत ज्यादा नहीं जानते हैं। जो बनियादी भधिकार हैं फंडामैंटल राइट्स हैं लोगों के उन के बारे में हमें बहुत स्पष्ट होने की जरूरत है। गांधी जी ने एक दफ़े कहा बा:--

To be loyal to our organisation must not useful subordinating of one's settled consections. Parties may fall and Parties may rise but our deep convictions must marie unaffected by such passing changes.

इसी कनविवशन के साथ मैं भाप के कामने धपने विचार धर्ज करना चाहता है। इस बिल के द्वारा भी भीर इसने पहले भी दिल्ली के 30 लाख लोगों के साथ बेहंसाफी की गई है भीर यह बेइसाफी अंग्रेजी जमाने की लगा कर सन् 1912 से जब से यहां राज-धानी धाई वह बेइंसाकी धाज तक जारी है छन के साथ । मधी नहीं पता कि कर्ब तक बह बेइंसाफी जाी रहेगी? यही जवाब जो सन् 1914 में ब्रिटिश गवनमेंट ने नई दिल्ली के लोगों को दिया था वही जवाब सन 1947 में दिया गया भौर वहीं जवाब बाज भी दिया जा रहा है कि दिल्ली के लोगों को राजनैतिक ग्रधिकार, दिल्ली के लोगों की दैमोकेटिक ग्राएकार ग्रसेम्बली ग्रादि नहीं दी जा सकती है। मैं भदन के साथ धर्ज करना घहता हूं कि तमाम इनिया में वाशिगटन भीर कैनबरा को छोड़ कर जिसकी कि राजधानी जंगल का टकड़ा काट कर बसाई गई थी जो किसी धाबादी का हिस्सा नहीं या भौर जो कि हिस्टारिकल बहर नहीं था बल्कि कांस्टीटयशन में एक कालग काट कर बनाई गयी थी उन के लिए तो कहना ठीक है कि उन का तो कोई डेमोक्रेटिक राइट नहीं है होलाकि वह अपने लिए लड रहे है। लेकिन इसके भ्रलावा किसी भौर मल्क में यहां तक कि फैडरल मुल्कों में जहां किसी सुबे की राजधानी है वहीं उस की भी राजधानी है। ऐसे कई कैंप्टिल दूनिया के ग्रन्दर मौजूद है खासतौर से कैनाड़ा में यही हालत है। जर्मनी में यहीं हालत है. साउथ धफीका में यही हालत है यह फैडरल कंट्रीज की बात मैं भाप से कहता हं। इस के भ्रलावा कम्य-निस्ट मुल्क हैं उन का जो ऐडमिनिस्ट्रेशन है सैंटल घौर स्टेट का उस में कोई फर्क नहीं है उन का कैपिटल वहीं मोजद है। इसलिए बह कहना कि दिल्ली में दो राजधानी नहीं हो अकती यह बात बिलकुल सही नहीं है भौर में समझता हं कि यह कह कर दिल्ली वालों के साथ बेइंमाफी की जा रही है। मैंने फिर भी कहा कि घाज धगर घाप कंपिटल घलग चाहते हैं भीर नई दिल्ली वाले, खास तौर से नई दिल्ली के खन्ना साहब नमाइंदे हैं वह खास तौर से चाहते हैं तो बेशक नई दिल्ली को झलग रख लें, झगर नई दिल्ली बाले भी यही पसन्द करें। नई दिल्ली को बह कोई प्रधिकार न दे यहां तक कि मेम्बर पालियामेंट को चनने का प्रधिकार न दें यह बात भी मेरी समझ में था सकती है। मैंने पहले भी कहा है कि भ्राप नई दिल्ली को बेशक धलग रख सकते हैं। लेकिन जहां तक हम दिल्ली वालों का तारलक है मैं भाप से यह कहना चाहता हुं कि हमारा दिस्सी के बोटरों के सामने बायदा है। मैं ने उन से वायदा किया था पिछले एलेक्जन में कि हम बाप के लिए कोई हैमोक्रेटिक सैट बप लायेगें क्योंकि हम समझते चे कि उस के बगैर उन की तकलीफों धौर उन की परेशानियों का कोई हल नहीं हो सकता है भौर यह मैं भाप के सामने पढ़े देता हं :--

As has been said earlier, the problems of Delhi are problems of growing city and they need to be tackled with courage and imaginations. The Pradesh Congress is firmly of the opinion that the problems can be tackled properly only through a democratic set-up and a bure-aucratic set-up is ill fitted and ill equipped to deal with the multifarious problems which Delhi of today poxes.

यह बायदा दिल्ली के वोटरों के सामने किया गया था। मैं ने भौर हमारे भौर काम करने बालों ने जा कर यह कैम्पेयन किया था कि हम भाग के लिये एक जिम्मेदार हुकुमल लाये । हम ने यहां नक कहा कि चलिये, एसेम्बली न सही, भाग एसेम्बली के अलावा भगर कोई भीर डेमोकेटिक औट-प्रम, कोई भौर डांचा दे सकते हैं, तो दीजिये । हम उस पर ईमानदारी के साथ भमन करवे

# [श्री ब्रह्म प्रकाश]

के लिए तैयार हैं। अगर वह अच्छा होगा तो हम एसेम्बली की बात को छोड़ देंगे। शास्त्री जी आर पंडित जवाहरलाल नेहरू ने हमारे सामने यह वायदा किया था कि आप बेक्षिक रहें, हम आपको एक अच्छा ढांचा, एक अच्छा निजाम देने की कोशिश करेंगें, जिस से आप की तसल्ली होगी, आप एसेम्बली पर जिंद न करें।

15 hrs.

Mr. Deputy\_Speaker: He may continue afterwards. The Prime Minister may now make her statement.

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया (फर्क्खाबाद) उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा एक व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है। भ्रभी भ्रभी मैं ने सुना कि यह सर-कार एक संवैधानिक संशोधन पर गिर चुकी है.....

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय : कोई व्यवस्थ। का प्रश्न नहीं है।

बा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया: यह कानून खत्म हो गया है। इस लिए क्या भव सरकार रह गई है?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: They do not arise now. The Prime Minister.

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया: सरकार श्रव है क्या ? श्रव्छा सरकार बोल रही हैं।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Order, order, The Prime Minister.

15.01 hrs.

STATEMENT RE. PRIME MINISTER'S
VISIT TO ORISSA

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shrimati Indira Gandhi): Sir. I returned yesterday afternoon from a two-day tour of the areas acutely affected by drought and scarcity in Orissa. On the ove of my departure. I extended my stay in the State as originally proposed, by an extra day so as to have a fuller idea of the prevailing situation. I had occasion

to visit ten villages in the three wolfast affected districts of Kalahandi, Sambalpur and Bolangir, including some which were not on schedule and which were selected on the spot, for surprise visits. At my request, my colleagues Shri Jaganatha Rao and Shrimati Nandini Satpathy, who accompanied me, went to another three villages for which I could not myself find time; Shrimati Satpathy went to two villages to which I was to go, while I went to two others which were not on schedule.

Apart from addressing large gatherings at Senapalli, Khariar and Padampur, I had the opportunity to meet and talk to a large number of villagers, wherever I went specially Adivasis and Harijans-and to visit their homes and see their families and children. I visited many gratutious relief and free feeding centres, school centres for feeding children, sites where relief works were in progress and centres for the distribution of loans and seed. I also had occasion to meet the Honourable Member of this House from this area, and some Members of the State Legislature including those of the Opposition Party, local officials, Panchayat leaders, representatives of voluntary agencies working in the area, students and youth representatives, as well as others.

I was naturally distressed to see conditions in these affected areas. It was obvious that the people had suffered considerable hardships. There is unprecedented drought and scarcity, and an acute lack of purchasing power. At the same time I was somewhat relieved to find that the situation is under control as a result of the energetic measures taken by the State Government. But there is no room whatsoever for complacency. On the contrary, there is great need for administering the relief programme with the utmost possible efficiency and despatch and for a very vigilant eye on the situation.

My colleague, the Food Minister. apprised the House the other day of various measures taken to provide relief in the affected areas. The State Government

|Shrimati Indira Gandhil

ment have already strengthened the relief machinery at various levels and appointed a senior officer as Special Relief Commissioner to co-ordinate and direct relief work; he accompanied us during the tour. The relief programme has now been revised upwards to provide for an outlay of nearly 9 crores. A sum of Rs. 1.35 crores was made available to the Orissa Government some time ago for expenditure on relief, and a further sum of Rs. 2 crores is being released to-day to provide ways and means support for further expenditure. I have emphasised that neither rigidity of procedure or formality, nor lack of resources should be allowed to come in the way of the programme, and such further needs as arise and are agreed upon will be similarly met.

As the Food Minister announced the other day, the number of people covered under gratuitous relief which is meant for the old, the disabled and the infirm being increased by the State Government to 2,00,000. The number of free feeding centres has also been increased. road-works are being undertaken to provide widespread employment to people; 10,000 wells are included in the programme out of which 3,000 are to be made pucca immediately, and the remaining after the rains. Other similar works are being undertaken, including those which can continue during the monston. Some medical teams are already at work; more will be sent.

One of the most important and urgent needs of the affected people is the adequate and timely supply of seed requirements for the next Kharif crop. A programme for the procurement and supply of 4 lakh maunds of seed by the middle of June has been drawn up and is under execution. Side by side, Rs. 3:5 crores of tagavi has been arranged. The original limits for the grant of taqavi have been liberalised to provide loans at the rate of about Rs. 100 per acre, upto fhe limit of Rs. 800 to the same party. I have impressed upon the State Government and the officials concerned, the importance of timely implementation of this programme and they have assured me that they will 4

keep the target date so that difficulties of movement in the rainy season do not come in the way.

One of the questions raised concerned the actual wages earned by people volunteering themselves for relief works. The State Government have agreed firstly, that as far as possible panchayat and other agencies will be utilised to organise these works, with a view to minimising reliance on middlemen; and secondly, that in the patting of wines paid, a minimum earning of the value of one rupee per head per day is ensured for subsistence, irrespective of output, with more for anyone who is entitled to it on the basis of his actual work.

There has been a demand in some quarters for the supply of paddy instead of milled rice. It appears that the bulk of the supplies have already been converted into rice, and the stocks of paddy available are now relatively limited; also, I was told that the actual demand for paddy might not be much. However, the State Government have agreed to arrange for some stocks of paddy to be sent to the affected areas where there might be demand.

The problem of the high price at which rice was being sold was also brought up and it has now been decided firstly, to subsidise rice in selected areas of specially acute distress; and secondly, to supply partly wheat and partly rice in areas where this combination will be appropriate, thereby bringing down the overall purchase price.

I was particularly concerned, as I am aure the House will also be about the health and welfare of children in the scarcity-affected areas. I have advised the State Government to consider extending the scope of the children's midday feeding programme to non-school going children, at least in the pockets which are specially hard hit. At one such feeding centre I saw that this had, already been started in a small way. I have also suggested that a suitable person might be attached to the Special Relief Commis-

[Shrimati Indira Gandhi]

17243

sioner to help him coordinate the Health and Child Welfare programmes.

For the next agricultural season, apart from loans and seed to which I have referred earlier, the State Government are arranging to press into service all available power-tillers and some tractors to help cultivators plough their lands, subsidising the service in the case of the more ncedy.

My anxiety is as much about the longterm needs of this very backward area as about its immediate needs. It is obvious that this area has not yet received the impact of development programmes in any appreciable manner. This state of affairs cannot be permitted to continue and we must devise an accelerated programme for its long-term development on the basis of priority. I am asking my colleague, the Planning Minister, to go into this matter at an early date in consultation with the Planning Commission so that we can undertake this task as early as may be practicable.

I was deeply touched by the affection and kindness with which people received me everywhere, in large numbers, in spite of the gruelling heat. I was also hearten-ed to see their spirit and high morale, regardless of the present difficulties.

Thank you, Sir.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy (Kendrapara): I am glad that unlike the food Minister, it was possible for the Prime Minister to visit some of the villages and she also decided to go to some un-scheduled villages. I want to know whether she or any of her colleagues who visited some of the villages were able to go to village Deodhar where 9 persons have d'ed, according to the admission of the State Government. They did not admit that the deaths were due to starvation; they say it is due to continued mal-nutrition and super-imposed illness. Was it possible for her to verify any of these . cases?

I am really sorry even she was not able to persuade the State Government to seize all the paddy that is available with the mill-owners and others and to supply it to the people. She says that she was told there was not much demand for paddy. I do not know who told her so. Was it possible for her to ask any of the villagers there and they said, "No; we would prefer rice to paddy"? When I raised it other day, the Food Minister said, Prime Min'ster will discuss it with State Government. The mill-owners are charging high prices and they have procured the paddy. Some paddy is available in other places too. The point is if paddy is supplied, the husk will be available for the cattle also. I think even now they should take some steps in this regard.

She has told us about the other measures that have been taken. I want to know if she had any discussion with the State Government because this area has been long neglected to take up any major irrigation project like Indravati and other projects in that area, so that these things do not recur in future.

भी मौर्य (बलीगड) : श्रीमन, सब रे पहले तो मैं माननीय प्रधान मन्द्री जी का धन्यवाद देता हं कि वे इस मौके पर उस क्षेत्र में गई भीर जांच की जहां कि बकाल पह रहा था, लोग मर रहे थे । लेकिन उन्होने जो बयान दिया है, वह सदन में लाने से पहले पूरी दनिया में भीर देश में जाचका है, इस लिये उसका कोई महत्व नहीं रह गया है। फिर भी उनके बयान से ऐसा जाहिर होता है श्रीर वह सत्य भी है कि जितने लोग धकाल से मरे हैं, मर रहे हैं भीर मरेगें जन में बहमत खेतीहर मजदूर, शेडयल्ड कास्ट भीर शेडयल्ड ट्राइब्स के लोगों का है। इस तथ्य को सामने रखते हए क्या भविश्य में सरकार ऐसा कोई प्रोग्राम बनायेगी जिससे कि पंचवर्षीय योजनाओं के भाषार पर सीधे सीधे लाभ खेतीहर मजदूरों, शेडयुल्ड कास्ट्स धीर शेडयुल्ड टाइब्स के लोगों को हो।

दूसरे उड़ीसा में प्रकाल के बारे में इस सदन में प्राज से टो ढाई महीने पहले संयुक्त सोसलिस्ट पार्टी के भाई पटनायक जी ने यह सवाल रखा था भीर उस समय खाद मंत्री जी ने सदन को ऐसा बताया था कि कोई ऐसा संकट होने वाला नहीं है, हम ने पूरी व्यवस्था कर दी है, जिससे घकाल वहां न होने पाये इस तरह से मिनिस्टर लोग बेमीत लोगों को मार देते हैं, क्या इस के लिये कोई व्यवस्था की जायगी।

उपाध्यक्ष पहीश्य : प्राप सवाल कीजिये।

श्री मौर्य: मुझे कहने दीजिये, किसान बेमौत मर रहे हैं, श्राखिर यह लोक सभा है किस लिये?

# उपाध्यक महोबय : समय नही है।

Shri G. N. Dixit (Etawah): On a point of order. Sir, Shri Maurya is acting against Rule 372 which says:

"A statement may be made by minister on a matter of public importance with the consent of the Speaker but no questions shall be asked at the time the statement is made."

The rule is categorical and it should not be allowed to be violated.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The practice in this House has been to allow a few questions in an explanatory way. I require members that the questions should be short.

श्री सीर्ध: मध्य प्रवेड, राजस्वान, उत्तर प्रदेश के भी कुछ जिलों में कहते पढ़ रहा है। वहां लोग बेमीत मरेगें इन तमाम परिस्थितियों को सामने रखते हुए सरकार ने क्या क्या व्यवस्था की है। उड़ीसा में करीब 10 लाख लोग कहत से मर रहे हैं, कहत के शिकार हैं डनमें ढाई-तीन लाख बच्चें हैं, उनके लिये भी कितनी अ्यवस्था सरकार ने की है और क्या करा करना चाहते हैं?

Shaimati Renu Chakravartty (Barrackpore) The Prime Minister said she would not like to go into the past, but would only think of the future. But has she found out how in a surplus State like Orissa it was possible that there was such a tremendous lack of food even though it was a backward area how the administration failed to rush there even after there was such a severe drought? In future, how does she propose to see that such a thing never occurs again because droughts will be there even in future ?

Shri Nambiar (Tiruchirapalli): Only the other day Shri H. K. Mahtab, former Chief Minister of Orissa, compared this famine to the Bengal famine. So, may I know whether the Prime Minister and the Government have taken a serious note of the situation and are taking steps similar to those taken during the Bengal f-mine and subsequent famines elsewhere or have they taken it only as a scarcity area, as reported earlier?

Shri Hem Barus (Gauhati): Although the Government so long in their own obdurate way have denied the fact that famine conditions, exist in these three districts of Orissa, viz., Balangir, Sambalpur and Kalahandi, I must congratulate the Prime Minister on having come out with a very out-spoken statement that is characteristic of her. In this connection, may I know whether after touring these areas, she was convinced of the fact that famine is there and if famine is there, whether she is going to declare these areas as famineaffected areas and take necessary steps to meet the situation? When a particular area is declared as famine-affected, work on a war-footing is taken up. Is she going to introduce that in these areas?

Shri Krishnapal Singh (Jalesar): According to the statement of the Prime Minister, the immediate problem seems to be to provide purchasing power to the population affected by the drought. My suggestion is that Government should start relief and development works. When we were discussing the Railway Budget the other day, some Member proposed bere that new railway lines should be constructed there.

[Shri Krishnapal Singh]

17147

I think this is the time when these works should be taken up. Peopel who capable of working should be provided with employment and those who are incapable of working should be given for relief and assistance.

श्री बड़े (खारगोन) : संबसे पहले में प्राईम मिनिस्टर जी की धन्वाद देता ह कि वे वहां उस एरिये में गई, और साथ साथ वहां का ग्रवलोकन कर के ग्राई ग्रीर स्टेटमेन्ट बहुत ग्रच्छा दिया है। लेकिन मध्य प्रदेश का बस्तर जिला . जो कि उड़ीसा प्रान्त के पास ही लगता है, वहां पर सरकार ईमिडियेट रिलीफ देने के लिये फौरन कैम्पस खोलने वाली है लेकिन जब तक उन ल.गों को फी ग्रनाज नहीं बाटां जाता है, तब तक कोई रिलीफ नहीं ग्राता है। फैमीन रिलीफ में सरकार ब्रादमीयों को 91 पैसे स्त्रियों को 51 देती है. उसको रिवाइज कर के ज्यादा राहत मिले इस के लिये सरकार क्या मदद करनेवाली है ?

श्री बागडी (हिसार) : उडीसा में दस लाख भ्रकाल प्रसित लोग हैं जिन में से ढाई लाख के करीब बच्चे हैं। क्या प्रधान मंत्री बतलाने की कृपा करेंगी कि इस वक्त कुल कितने बच्चे मुफत भोजनालयों में भोजन करते हैं।

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): rose-

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Prime Minister.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Sir, why do you leave me out?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: You are an independent Member.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Sir. you are independent when you are in the Chair and you are "unattached" when you come back. I want to come back unattached.

Sir, from the statement of the hon. Prime Minister it appears that the situation is really very grave and serious. Though after her visit to these areas she has mentioned in some places, as reported in the newspapers, that famine conditions prevail, probably such a declaration by her in this House will definitely offend her colleague, Shri Subramaniam, who denied that there are famine conditions. I would like to know whether it is a fact that some long-term measures and also short-term measures, both, are in view, to see that Orissa does not remain a drought-affected area or a famine-striken area; if so, may I know what are those measures?

visit to Orissa (\$11.)

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: Sir, the question is whether this is a famine or not. As, I think, the Food Minister has mentioned in this House on an earlier occasion, the States have their own famin codes. According to the conditions for declaring famine under the State code, it is not a famine. Nevertheless, the State Government has adopted a number of relief measures which are generally more liberal than what the famine code would provide. I do not think it makes much difference what word is used, provided all that is necessary to be done is being done.

Shri Hem Barua: It must be done on a war-footing.

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: I do not know exactly what Shri Hem Barua means by war-footing.

Shri Hem Barua: You did that when the Pakistanis agressed on us, and you did that when the Chinese aggressed on us.

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: I would certainly like to have it done on as much warfooting as possible. I think that can be done when everybody joins in toat. Fortunately, the public is being very helpful, and even students who are now having their holidays are wanting to help. There is just one problem where students want help. They want to be fed. This causes a little difficulty because people who go from the city also want to be fed. There. perhaps, we can make some arrangements by which their own organisations can undertake to feed them.

In Sambalpur—I am afraid I did not have much time to go to the other areas—I did go into the reports about deaths which were supposed to have taken place on account of starvation. I looked in great detail into the enquiry reports, and I think the number which is now being quoted in this House is far from anywhere near reality and it is absolutely ridiculous.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: I was speaking about a particular village.

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: I did not go to that. I looked into some of the reports which the Commissioner had got from different places. My general impression was that there have been some cases in which deaths have occurred. But generally these cases had a background of age, of illhealth and disease. It is also true that this is an area which has been very very poor where the people have been living on a very low diet for-I do not know, for how many years-I must say, about a hundred years. It was the hon. Member, Shri Dwivedy, who showed us some mahuwa and other things. As I was going from village to village. I noticed some people, almost like in small meetings under a tree. I stopped the car and went to them. The ladies among them came and showed me what they were eating. In the course of the conversation I asked them: "Is it the first year that you are eating this, or have you been eating this before?" They replied: "We always cut this".

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Peepal leaves?

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहियाः (फरुखा-बाद) : हमशा खाते रहते हैं।

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: They denied eating it. But it was in their baskets. When I asked them: "Do you also eat this", one of the ladies said "No". Obviously, I have not been to other places and I could not have seen those particular places which are the worst affected.

**ग॰ राम भनोहर लोहिया** : हमेशा मरते रहते हैं। Shrimati Indira Gandhi: Whenever we wanted to go to a place as originally fixed, somebody, for instance, from the Opposition, some local people, used to come and say that we should not go to that place but instead we should go to some other villages. So we dropped the original one and went to the other village. I did not see any persons who looked as if they were going to die or who looked specially thin and so on.

भी मौर्य: \*\*यह गलत नदानी है।

Shri Shree Narayan Das (Darbhanga): Sir, the hon. Member has used the word\*\* which is unparliamentary It should be expunged.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: It is unparliamentary. The hon Member should either withdraw it or else it will be expunged (Interruptions)—It will be expunged.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: I was told that the police were there and within a distance of two miles nobody was permitted to come and meet you.

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: It is not correct. As I said, the police did not even know where I would be going. When I waned to go to a village, some people used to say that instead of that village. I should go to some other village. Immediately I sent Shrimati Satpati in the direction in which I was to have gone and I went in the other direction. So there was no question of the police being near me, the police were all in Bhuvaneawar, not in these areas.

I must confess that the people who really seemed to be suffering were the very old people and weak children. I saw a number of children with distended stomaches. But those who were part of the food programme were looking well. That is why I lay stress that apart from the distribution of seeds, which of course is of first importance to farmers, the children's programme should get top priority. I think we will be able to do that. The difficulty is now of personnel and collecting those who do not go to school. But

<sup>\*\*</sup>Expunged as ordered by the Chair.

17152

[Shrimati Indira Gandhi]

through the panchavets and with the help of voluntary workers. I think this may be manageable.

श्री मौयं: कितने बच्चों को खाना दे रहे £?

श्रीनती इंदिरा गंधी : जितने भी स्कूल **जा**ते हैं उन सब को मिल रहा है एक खाना दिन का।

श्री मौर्य : मैंने गिनती पृछी है कि कितने बच्चों को खाना दे रहे हैं।

श्रीमती इंबिरा गांधी: संख्या तो मेरे पास नही है।

Shri Sruendranath Dwivedi made mention about giving paddy. I myself did ask the people in the villages, mostly women and none of the women I asked showed a preference for paddy. They felt that it would involve extra work and that it would be much easier to have rice. In spite of this. I told the Chief Minister who was with me that because people had made this demand paddy may made available in some villages. If the Government felt that the people wanted it they might make efforts to give more.

Regarding the Indravati project. hon. Member, Shri P. K. Deo, gave me a memorandum on it. As I mentioned in my statement also, we shall pertainly look into this.

So far as the long-term and short-term measures are concerned, I have already spoken about the short-term measures. Regarding long-term measures, most of the adivasis live in areas where there is very bad communication. This is a great difficulty. Therefore, it is very important now to build up communication now. Also, most of the roads are such that during the rains the rivers and nullahs overflow and it is not easy to go. If something can be done to make that small bit pucca, I think that would be of help. There are many such things.

Shri Tyagi (Dehradun): Is there any plan to provide irrigation facilities to villages wherever it is possible?

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: Wells are being dug. The existing tanks are cleaned. In some places the people have dug canals of their own. They wanted to be reimbursed for that, and we are looking into it. But the major thing, of course, is irrigation, communication and, as far as the Adivasis and Harijans are concerned, some kind of multi-purpose food I think, if we put forward these programmes, this would go a long way towards helping them. But the problem is such an old one, such a vast one. I must say it is not only in Orissa. You will find such people in every part of India and certainly in eastern U.P. So, this is something which is not easy to do and it cannot be done very quickly. But certainly it canbetaken in hand as soon as possible.

Somebody mentioned whether this was like the Bengal Famine. This is nothing like that at all. There, it was a question of people dropping dead.

Shri Nambiar: The Chief Minister of Orissa stated on the floor of the Assembly that it can be compared with the Bengal Famine.

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: From what I have read of the Bengal Famine -because I was not out then-and heard from those who worked there is that the stories were quite different.

भी बाधडी : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय मेरा एक प्रश्न था कि कितने बच्चों को सरकार से बिना पैसे के खाना मिलता था । प्रधान मंत्री . . . (व्यवधान)

order: Deputy-Speaker: Order, Shri Brahm Prakash to continue his speech.

भी बागडी : क्या भाप मेरे सवाल को सुनना भी नहीं चाहते... व्यवधान सरकार के खजाने से . . . (ध्यत्रधान)

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Please sit down.

श्री बाचडी: शर्म नहीं भाती है। यह बच्चों का सवाल है .... (ध्यत्रधान)

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The Member is defying the Chair. I will have to ask him to go out.

भी कागड़ी: प्रधान मंती.. (ध्यवधान)

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: If he behaves like this. I will have to take action against him. Shri Brahm Prakash.

15.32 hrs

DELHI ADMINISTRATION BILLcontd.

भी बहा प्रशास : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं भाप से निवेदन कर रहा था कि हम नै असेम्बली की बात पर जिहन कर के यह तजवीज की कि भगर ऐसा ढांचा हम की दिया बाये जो कि डिमोकैटिव हो, जो एक युनिफाइड एडमिनिस्टशन देसके. तो हम उस पर ग्रमल करने के लिये भीर तजुर्वा करने के लिये तैयार हो सकते हैं भौर भसेम्बली की मांग को इस्तवा में डाल सकते हैं। बहुत बात चीत के बाद एक बात तय हुई कि एक मेटोपोलिटन काँसिल चुनी हुई बनाई जाये । लेकिन जब झागे बात चली तो यह तो निश्चित हो गया कि उस के एग्जोक्यटिव कौंसिलर चने हए बनाये जाय नैकिन वह मेटोपोलिटन कौंसिल के सामने जवाब देह हो यह तय नहीं हुआ। इस मैट पालिटन कौंसिल को बजट या फाइनैन्शन पावंस हासिल हों यह बात तय नहीं हुई। यह बातचीत यह कह कर मल्तवी हो गई कि यह तफसील की बात है भीर हम इस पर बात-चीत करेंगे।

उस के बाद हम लोग लगातार कोशिशें करते रहे कि होम मिनिस्टर से बातचीत करें नेकिन दुबारा बातचीत करने का मौका नहीं भाषा । भचानक मैं ने प्रखबार में पढ़ा कि इघर उधर मैं ने कुछ मान लिया था, कोई कमिटमैंट मैं ने दे दिया था। मुझे यह चून कर बहुत द:ख भीर हैरानी हुई। मैं तो

तीन चार साल से बातचीत में सामने रहा है भौर तफसीली बातचीत में मैं ने भगधा हो कर काम किया है। मैं लगातार कहता रहा हं कि कोई कमिटमेंट नहीं हचा। कमिटमेन्ट िर्फ इतना ही था कि हम श्रसेरबली के श्रलावा कोई दसरी सेपेन्ड बेस्ट चीज या सेट ग्रप मानने के लिये तैयार हैं। लेकिन वह बातचीत शाधी श रू हुई भीर बाद में टट गई। भेरे पास तमाम खत मौजद हैं। सबसे पहले जो होम निमनिस्टर की एडवाइजरी कमेटी थी उस में घगस्त सन 1965 में सवाल उठा । दिल्ली के दसरे मेग्बरों ने उठाया कि उसमें फाइनेन्शल पावर्स दी जाये। एक चीफ एग्जिक्यटिय कौसिलर मकरेर होना चाहिये धीर उस की हाउस के सामने जवाब देही हो । लेकिन बाद में इस का कोई जवाब नहीं दिया गया । वे एडवाईजरी कमेटी के मिनिटस मंगा कर दे सकते हैं।

यह बिल इस हाउस में 18 नवम्बर को भ्राया। मैं ने 21 भनतुबर को एक खत लिखा होम मिनिस्टर को । मैं उस खत के जरूरी भाग को पढ़ कर सूना देता है :

"The other matter which is very important and concerns the various schemes is that a clearer picture of the proposed Metropolitan Council needs to be indicated. The position is still confusing. We made certain observations in the last meeting of the Advisory Committee but no satisfactory answer was given at that time. The minutes of that meeting are not yet before me so that I could judge as to what decisions were recorded. However, I would like to make mention of a few points to avoid any embarrassments afterwards.

"The leader of the majority party in the Metropolitan Council should act as the Leader of the House and should be appointed as the Chief. Executive Councillor, who will be the e-officio Vice-Chairman of Council. He will preside over the meetings in the absence of the LA

MAY 16, 1966

# [श्री बहा प्रकाश]

11755

Governor. The other Councillors should be appointed on his advice

The second important point pertains to financial powers The Metropolitan Council should have its own Consolidated Fund and should have the power to pass its budget."

यह खत मैंने 21 धक्तबर को लिखा था। धगर कोई कमिटमेंट था तो वह कहा था या किस की तरफ से हम्रा था। इसके बाद मैंने लागातार होम मिनिस्टर साहब को टलीफोन किया कि मैं उन से इस मामले में ग्राकर बातचीत करना हं लेकिन मसे उन्होंने एक दिन भी बक्त नहीं िया। मेरे खत तक को एकनालेज नहीं किया। उसके बाद मैं ने विल पेश होने से दो दिन पहले 16 नवम्बर को उन को लिखा कि ग्रगर बिल आएगा तो डिफिकल्टी होगी, इस लिये मेहरबानी कर के उसे पेश न किया जाये। उस के बारे में बातजीत की गई लेकिन कोई फैसला नहीं हो सका । मेरे पास डाक् मन्टस हैं, जो इस को साबित करते हैं।

यह इल्जाम लगाना मरे पर या किसी के कपर, या प्रदेश कांग्रेस कमेटी है ऋपर कि हुम ने कमिटमेंन्ट किया था, यह सरासर ज्यादती है भौर होम मिनिस्टर के स्टेटस के भादमी से हम यह उम्मीद नहीं करते थे कि वह इस तरह से कहेगें। मैं मानता हं कि उन की भ्रवाज बड़ी है, मैं यह भी जानता हं कि उन की ग्रवाज प्रखबारों में पहुंच सकती है, मैं यह भी मानता हं कि भगर वह कुछ कहे तो बहुत से लोग उनके साम मिल कर उन की धवाज में हां में हां मिला सकते हैं। धगर कोई कमिटमेंट किया गया है तो वह प्रदेश कांग्रस कमेटी दिल्ली की बैक पर किया गया है। मैं तो यहां तक कहंगा कि भगर किसी ने भ्रलग भ्रलग जा कर कमिटमेंन्ट है खास लालच से किया है। दिल्लों को तर 6 से कोई की अमेर में ट करने का उनको ग्रधिकार नहीं या और बाद में उन्होंने .हुन पर यह इल्जाम लगा दिया कि हम ने कमिटमेंट किया है। हम तो कहते हैं कि इस बिल को मुल्तवी किया जाय ग्रीर इसमें फाइ- नैन्नशल पावर रखने की बात की जाय। भ्रगर जरूरत पड़े तो ग्राप इसके लिए कांस्टि-ट्युशन को अमेंड कर सकते हैं। होम मिनि-स्टर फाइनेन्सत पावर्त देने के खिलाफ नहीं हैं भीर कहते हैं कि मैं दिल्लो को एक डिमाका-टिक सेट ग्रप देना चाहता हं। मगर हमको इसका यह जवाब दिया जाता है कि ग्राप ने कमिटमेंट किया है। यह ऐसा इल्जाम है जिस को हम कभी भी वर्दास्त नहीं करेंगे हम दिल्लों के चने हुए नमाइन्दों म से कछ की लालचदेकरलीभ देकर मिला लिया गया **भौ**र बहुलादियागया कि इस ने क**मिटमेंट** किया हैं। हमें इस बात पर बढ़ा अफसोस है।

जो लोग कहते हैं कि हम ने कमिटमेंन्ट किया , उन में से चार पांच प्रावमी वह हैं जो उन मीटिंगों में होम मिनिस्टर साहब के सामने हाजिर भी नहीं होते थे। सुभद्रा जोशी तो एक मीटिंग में हाजिर थीं दसरे दो मेम्बर किसी मीटिंग में हाजिए होते थे किसी में नहीं होते थे। इस मामले को किसी ने भी स्टेडी नहीं किया है। होम मिनिस्टर श्री नन्दा ने भी शायद स्टेडी नहीं किया। अगर किया है तो मैं ने ग्रौर सेकटरी साहब ने किया है। मैं जिम्मेदारी से कह सकता हं कि इस विल के बारे में हमारे साथ सरासर छोखा किया गया है। जब भी कमिटमेंट की बात कही जाती है पब्लिक के भन्दर तो उस की सख्त प्रोटस्ट होती है। ग्रीर इस को में सख्ती से रिजैन्ट करता हं।

दूसरी बात कही जाती है फाइनैन्शन पावर्स की होम मिनिस्टर कहते हैं कि मैं फाइनैन्शल पावर देने के लिये तैयार हूं भगर यह कहा जाता है कि कांस्टियशन भागे माता है और हम नहीं दे सकते हैं तो 245 म्रार्टिकल के मातहत हम यह फाइनैन्शल पार्वस दे सकते हैं। मार्टिकल 245 भीर 246 (4) के भ्रन्वर फाइनैन्शल पावसं दी जा सकती हैं। इस के लिये घाप स्टडी कर के देख ले भीर मालम कर लें। भगर नहीं दी जा सकती हैं तो भाप को क्या दिक्कत है। प्राप इतनी बार कांस्टिट्यूशन प्रमंड कर चुके हैं, क्या इस बिल के लिये हम ऐसा नहीं कर सकते हैं। दिल्ली की जो पब्लिक प्रोपियन है, दिल्ली के जो लोग हैं, वह इस बात को बाहते हैं कि हमारे लिये कोई डिमाकेटिक सेट प्रप्रमिल। वह प्रसेम्बली के लिये जिंदू नहीं करना चाहते, लेकिन कोई डिमाकेटिव सेट प्रप्रचाहते हैं, वह कोई दिल्ली के लोगों के लिये रिस्पांसिवल बाडी चाहते हैं। वह ऐइ-बाइजरी बाडी नहीं चाहते, कोई ग्लोरिकाइड क्लकं नहीं चाहते, कोई ग्लोरिकाइड क्लकं नहीं चाहते हैं। प्रगर इन चालिस प्राटमियों को हम सिर्फ ऐडवाइजरी शक्त में रखते हैं तो कीन जिम्मेदार प्रादमी एडवाइजर बन कर जायेगा। 245 और 246 (4) में कहा है कि:

Article 245 (1) reads thus:

"Subject to the provisions of this Constitution, Parliament may make laws for the whole or any part of the territory of India, and the Legislature of a State may make laws for the whole or any part of the State."

Then, article 246 (4) says:

"Parliament has power to make laws with respect to any matter for any part of the territory of India not included in a State notwithstanding that such matter is a matter enumerated in the State List."

Financial power means any power, Financial power is part of it.

Shri Hathl: The phrase is 'Parliament may by law make'.

Shri Brahm Prakash: In this very Bill those powers can be given. The hon. Minister can accept the amendments tabled by my hon. friend Shri Shiv Charan Gupta to that effect. Those amendments can be accepted, and financial powers can be given to that body.

The Bade: We also agree with the hon. Member that the powers can be given.

श्री बहुप प्रकाश : जब वह कहते हैं कि एनी पावर दे सकते हैं तो फाइनेंजियल पावर इ.ज. एपार्ट झाफ दैट । तो जनाब झाला,

707 (ai) LS.-7.

यह मैं के कैस्टीटयाशन के बारे में कर्ज किया। मैं एक बात ग्रीर कहना चाहता हं कि इन्टरिम कौमिल ग्राप इसमें लाये हैं। चन्द महीनों के लिए भ्राप इंटरिम कौंसिल लाना चाहत है और 40 भारमी एलेक्ट कराना चाहते हैं 90 लोगों से धौर वह भी चन्द महीनों के लिए दिल्ली के सैट-ग्रंप के दो हिस्से हैं, एक मेटोरोलिटन कौंसिन धौर एक कारपोरेशन मेयर-इन-कौंसिल। मेयर-इन कौंसिल का दिल धाज तक हाउस के सामने नहीं ग्राया। एक ग्रजीब बात है कि इतनी ग्रनडय हेस्ट है इसके ग्रन्दर कि कांस्टीटयशन का जो रीमार्गेनाइजेशन का था वह बिल भी षपले में चला गया लेकिन डेलही का मेटो-पोलिटन बिल डेलही के लोगों की बेडज्जती करने के लिए जरूर लाया जायगा चाहे कुछ भी क्यों न हो। यह गवर्नमेंट की जिद है। सेकेंडी एजकेशन जिल पड़ा है। वह हउस में नहीं भाषा। डेलही हाईकोर्ट बिल को प्रायिंटी नहीं मिली, लेकिन डेलही मेटोपोलिटन बिल जरूर ग्रागया चिकि जिद है, चेकि सजा देनी है, चुंकि पंजाबी रीम्रार्गेनाइजेशन की मदद हमने की थी. इसलिए हमें मजा देनी है—कि यह बिल हम पर लागु किया जाय, जनाबद्याला मैं यहां यह कहना चाहता हूं, मझे पता नही, ... मैं इस हाउस में फिर भाऊंगायानहीं, इसकाती मझी सभी बाद में तय करना है लेकिन मैं यह कहना चाहता हं गवर्नमेंट ब्राफ इण्डिया, होम मिनिस्टी, खास तौर से. ब्राज डिवाइड एण्ड रूल की कोशिश कर रही है। ग्राज डेलही की पब्लिक के ग्रन्दर, डेलही की कांग्रेस के घन्दर और डेलही के लोगों के झन्दर डिवाइड करके रूल करना बाहती है जो कि एक फारेन गवनैमेंट किया करती थी। ग्रंपनी गवर्नमेंट कभी ऐसा करती है। मध्ये दुखा है इस बात का भीर मैं कहता हं कि जो होम मिनिस्टी का रवैया दिल्ली वालों के साथ और खास तौर से दो एक भौर मिनिस्टरों का वह निहायतु बेइज्जती का है। मैं समझता हं दिल्ली बाले इस रवैये को बर्दाश्त नहीं करेंगे भीर मैं एक

17159

बात और कह देना चाहता हूं कि जब तक दिल्ली को डेमोकेटिक राइट्स नहीं मिलेंगे, दिल्ली के लोगों को खद ध्रपने फैसले करने का हक नहीं होगा दिल्ली के लोगों को खद भ्रपनी किस्मत बनाने का हक नही होगा वह अपने हक्क के लिए लगातार जहोजहद करते

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Now. Shri Bade. He may take not more than 10 minutes

An Hon. Member: Time may be extended.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You should extend the time for this Bill. The time saved on the Constitution (Nineteenth) Amendment Bill may be appropriated for this Bill.

श्री बडे: माननीय उपाध्यक्ष महोदय. डेलही एडमिनिस्ट्रेशन बिल की सेलेक्ट कमेटी का मैं मेम्बर था ग्रीर मैंने ग्रपना डिसेंटिंग नोट दिया है। लेकिन मुझे एक कथा याद भ्राती है कि एक दफा एक बच्चा बहुत रो रहा था श्रीर कह रहा था कि मैं चांद लंगा। चांद कोई उसको दे नहीं रहा था श्रीर न देसकताथा। तो उस गांव में एक बड़े होशियार भादमी थे। वह भाये भीर उन्होंने उस बच्चे के हाथ में एक ब्राइना दे दिया भीर कहा कि लो, यह चांद तुम्हारे हाथ में घा गया। इसी प्रकार से हमारे होम मिनिस्टर साहब ने किया है। यहां के जितने कांग्रेसी सदस्य जैसे चौधरी ब्रह्म प्रकाश जी भौर बाकी सब जो हैं वह रो रहे थे कि हमें कुछ ब्रधिकार चाहिये तो उन्होंने कहा कि ठीक है, यह लो डेलही एडमिनिस्टेशन बिल। इस प्रकार भ्राइना देकर चांद उनके हाथ में दे दिया कि यह लो, यह चांद तुम्हारे हाथ में ग्रागया। ग्रीर फिर यह कांग्रेस व ले कैसे मर्गी को दाने डालकर लड़ाते हैं यह दश्य श्रापके सामने श्राया। जो कांग्रेस के बड़े कट्टर सदस्य थे, जो कि चीफ मिनिस्टर थ भतपूर्व, भीर बड़े कर्मठ सदस्य हैं, भाज वह किस तरह से हमला कर रहे हैं कांग्रेस पर भौर होम मिनिस्टर पर कि वह बोलते बोलते टेबिल पर भी जोर जोर से हाथ से भाषाज करने लग गए जब उनको जोश भा गया। शायद वह समझ गये होंगे कि अपो-जीशन के ग्रधिकारों पर भी जब कुठाराघातः होता है तो उनको किस प्रकार से जोश धौर गुस्सा श्राता होगा। नाउ दि शुइज पिचिग हिम।

Administration 3 1

मैं यह कहना चाहता ह माननीय उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, कि यह डेलही ऐडिमि-निस्ट्रेशन मेट्रोपोलिटन कौंसिल बिल बिलकुल युजलेस बिल है। डेमोकेसी की धन्जिया इसमें उड़ाई गई हैं। इसमें क्या कह रह हैं, ब्राफ देखें, सेक्शन 22 में यह लिखा है:

"Subject to the provisions of this Act, the Metropolitan Council shall have the right to discuss and make recommendations with respect to the following matters in so far as they relate to Delhi'.

वह अपनी राय दे सकती है, लेकिन डिसीशन नहीं ले सकती हैं। एग्जीक्यटिव कौंसिल उनकी राय को मानेगी या नहीं यह एक समस्या की बात रहेगी। यानी मेट्रोपोलिटन कौंसिल को प्रपना समय इसमें व्यतीत करना है। समय देने के बाद डिस्कस करना है भ्रीर भ्रपने सुझाव एग्जीक्युटिव कौंसिल को भेजना है भीर एग्जीक्यटिव कॉसिल माने नौकरणाही । पहले श्रीलियाणाही, नादिरणाही निजामणाही भौर क्या क्या थे, भव नौकरणाही एक ग्रौर मा गई । यह नौकर शाही ऐसी है, जिसने इसका कट् कट् धन्भव कभी किया है, वह जानता है कि जो इसके पचड़े में पड़ गया उसका भला कभी होता नहीं। यह जो एग्जीक्यटिव कौंसिल है इसमें तीन मेम्बर होंगे जिनको प्रेमीडेंट नामिनेट करेंगे भीर उसके हाथ में ही, एग्जी-क्य टिव कौंसिल को ही ऐडिमिनिस्टेटिव एथारिटी है। एक्जीक्यटिव कौंसिल में एक भी मेम्बर ऐसा नहीं रखा है जो मेटोपोलिटन कौंसिल के प्रति रेस्पांसिबिल हो। एग्जी-क्यूटिव कौंसिल के ऊपर मेट्रोपोलिटन कौंसिल का कुछ कन्दोल, रखाते तो भी मैं समझता कि दिल्ली वालों को खिलोना नहीं कुछ भौर दिया 17161

है। बाज इतने साल से दिल्ली के लोग प्रौर दिल्ली की जनता जिल्ला रही है कि हमको कुछ मिलना चाहिये, डेमोकेटिक राइट्ग हमको मिलने चाहिए। लेकिन प्रापने दिया क्या है कि जुनकर प्राना, एक जगह इकटठा होना ग्रौर केवल वहां जो एक बजट बायेगा उस पर डिस्कस करना ग्रौर रेजूल्यूकन करना! वट दे ग्रार नाट फाइनल। उनके हाथ में कुछ भी नहीं है।

एक भाननीय सदस्य: राज्य सभा में क्या है? यही तो राज्य सभा में है।

श्री बड़े: राज्य सभा को ग्रपर हाउस श्रीर परलोक कहते हैं । तो परलोक भेजना है तो भेजिए । यह है लोक सभा भीर वह है परलोक सभा : परलोक भेजना है तो भले ही भेजिए । पर यह मैं कहता हं कि यह प्रजातन्त्र की हंसी है, यह रिडिकिलिंग दि डेमोकेसी है । डेमोकेटिक राइटस में माननीय उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैंने प्रस्ताव रखा था कि फाइनेंशियल राइट्स होने चाहिएं, बजट पर कन्दोल होना चाहिए । धाप कहते हैं कि उसके लिए कांस्टीटयशन को भ्रमेंड करना पडेगा । कांस्टीटयशन में 18 भ्रमेंडमेंट्स भाप कर चुके हैं भौर उन्नीसवां भ्रमेंडमेंट भ्राज लाये थे । तो कांस्टीट्युणन तो ग्रापके हाथ का मैल है। ग्राप उसको जब चाहे बदल सकते हो । लेकिन जब मन में नहीं होगा तो कहेंगे कि कास्टीट्यमन को धमेंड करना पडेगा, जब तक कांस्टीटयजन भ्रमेंड नहीं होगा तब तक फाइनेंशियल राइट्स कैसे दिये जा सकते हैं। जब म्यनिसिपल कारपोरेशन को बजटरी पावस है और वह बजट डिस्कस कर सकते हैं, उन को पूरा ग्रधिकार है ग्रपने बजट पर तो क्यों नहीं मेटोपोलीटन कॉमिल का प्रधिकार होने चाहिए ? भाप सेलेक्ट कमेटी में इस शंका का कोई निरसन कर नहीं सके। मैं ग्रभी तक यही समझता हं कि फाइनेंशियल पावसं भगर नहीं दिये तो कुछ भी नहीं दिया है भौर रजोल्युशंस फाइनल नहीं होते तो उनका भी कोई प्रयं नहीं है । साथ में जब कांस्टीट्यूजनल

हैक्सपर लाये ये तो एक्सपर ने यह कहा था कि कांस्टीट्यूझन धमेंड करना हूँपड़ेगा है भीर हम भीर कांग्रेस के सदस्य यह मांग कर रहे थे कि कम से कम फाइनेंशियल पावर उन को हैं। फाइनेंशियल पावर्स देंगे, भीर बजेटरी राइट्स देंगे तो हमारा काम हो जायगा, लेकिन वह बात हुई नहीं।

उसके साथ साथ मैं मस्टीप्लीसिटी भाफ एचारिटी होती है। भूम्युनिसिपल कमेटी है, कारपंरिशन है, वह भी रहेगा और साथ साथ में मेट्रोपोलिटन, कॉसिल भौर भा गई। यानी दो मियां के बीच में हलाल होती है। तो कारपोरेशन भौर मेदोपोलिटन कॉसिल के बीच हिमें दिल्ली हलाल हो जायगी क्योंकि इनका कोई समन्वय नहीं हमा। इसके साथ साथ में डेलही मिल्क म्कीम है, डेवलपमेंट घाफ डेलही की एक संस्था है उन पर इसका कोई कंट्रोल नहीं हैं। ब्रह्म प्रकाश जी कहते हैं कि यहां लेजिस्लेटिब ग्रसेम्बली होनी चाहिए । मैं कहता हूं कि दुनिया में जहां जहां कैपिटल हैं बहां लेजिस्लेटिव धरोगबली नहीं मित्र बह्य प्रकाश जी बैठे है, वह दुनिया में एक भी जगह बना दें कि जहां कैपिटल है बहां लेजिस्लेटिव श्रसेम्बली है। ऐसा कहीं नहीं है। लेकिन प्रपने यहां इस प्रकार की संस्था होनी चाहिए कि लेजिस्लेटिव ग्रसंम्बली तो नहीं लेकिन लेजिस्लेटिव असेम्बली के बराबर कोई चीज होनी चाहिए जिसमें फाइनेंशियल पावर्स हों भीर उन पर पूरा कंट्रोल उसका होना चाहिए।

भी सहा प्रकाश : हैं कैनाडा हैं में, वेस्ट जर्मनी में और साउथ प्रफरीका में तीनों जगह मौजद है । ः

श्री बड़े : मैं यह इसलिए कहता हूं कि वाशिगटन में नहीं है, श्रीर इंग्लैंड में काउंटी कॉसिल्स हैं, वहां भी नहीं है श्रीर धार्ट्रेलिया में नहीं है । कैनाडा का मुझे मालूम झहीं । लेकिन उसके धनुसार धापकी " इसमें फिर ध्रमेंडमेंट देना था कि कैनाडा में इस तरह से है श्रीर यहां भी ऐसे होना चाहिए। [श्रीबड़े]

इसके प्रलाबा कमेटी ने भाषा के बारे में जो उसका 24वां सेक्शन होता था उसे ही गायब कर दिया है और मेट्रोपोलिटन कॉसिल पर प्रपनी भाषा निर्धारित करने का काम छोड़ दिया है। मेरा ख़याल है कि धारा सम्बन्धी क्लाज 24 को सैलेक्ट कमेटी ने गायब करके उचित काम नहीं किया है क्योंकि वहां पर फिर इस चीज को लेकर दादिववाद खड़े होंगे ग्रीर अगड़ेबाजी होगां। जब हमारे संविधान में साफ लिला हुआ है कि भारतीय संघ की राष्ट्रभाषा ग्रीर राजभाषा हिन्दी होगों तो बिना किसी सकोच के उसे रख देना चाहिए था ग्रीर इस तरह से इस मामले को ग्रनिश्चत ग्रीर विवाद का विषय बना कर नहीं छोड़ना चाहिए था।

मेरा यह भी निवेदन हैं कि प्रगर यहां दिल्ली की विशेष स्थिति में प्राप दिल्ली वासियों को एक लेजिस्लेटिव प्रसे बली नही देना चाहते हैं तो इस को पावसे देनी चाहिए और फाइनेंशियल पावसे से वैस्ट करना चाहिए। इस मेट्रोपोलिटन कौंसिल को एक जिस्सेदार संस्था होना चाहिए। धगर लेजिस्लेटिव प्रसंस्वली धाप दिल्ली वालों को नहीं देते हैं तो इस कौंसिल को तो ताकत दीजिये ताकि वह जिस्सेदारी के साथ प्रपने कर्तव्यों को निभा सके ।

श्रीमती रेणु चक्रवर्ती ने एक संशोधन दिया है कि ग्रगर दो तिहाई बोट्स मेट्रोपोलिटन कॉमिल में किसी एग्जीक्यूटिव कॉसिलर के खिलाफ हो जाएं तो उसको हट जाना चाहिए मैं इसका समर्थन करता हूं। एग्जी-क्यूटिव कॉसिलर शुंड बी रिसर्पोसिबिल टु बी मेट्रोपोलिटन कॉसिल।

जहां तक सीट्स का सवाल है मेरे ख़याल में उसकी तादाद 56 होनी चाहिए । जब मेट्रोपोलिटन कारपोरेशन के 100 मेम्बर हो जायेंगे तो उसके ध्रनुसार 50 सीट्स कारफोरेशन की हो जायेगी, 6 सीट्स बाकी रहेंगी तों 6 सीटों का एलैंक्शन हो जायेगा । उसमें ऐसा लिखा हुधा है:— "In my view, it should be 56. If the number of elected members is kept at 49, then out of these 49 members, 43 would be from the Corporation area. If the Corporation and Metropolitan Council elections are going to be held simultaneously, then the number of the Corporation Wards would have to be either 86 or 129. But according to the Delhi Municipal Corporation Act. a Ward for the purpose of Corporation election, shall be of not more than 20,000 population..."

इसलिए मैं चाहूंगा कि वजाय 49 के उनकी तादाद 56 होनी चाहिये।

मेरा विचार है कि जिन 4,5 मैम्बर्स ने भ्रपने त्यागपव दिये हैं भ्रगर बह स्थाग पव देने के बजाय डि:सैंटिंग नोट देते तो सब को मालम पडता ग्रीर देश की जनता के सामने भाता कि उनके इस बारे में क्या विचार हैं। इस्तीफा देने से शासन के ऊत्तर कोई ग्रमर पड़ने वाला नहीं है क्योंकि होम मिनिस्टर और गवर्नमेंट के मन में एक ऐसी बात थी कि इसे करना है इमलिए उसे उन्होंने रख दिया । उस में जो दो मिनिस्टर्स थे वह इटरैस्टैंड थे क्यों कि ग्रब दिल्ती के लिए पालिया मेंट में बजाय 5 सोटों के 7 साटें हो गयी हैं स्रीर इसलिए वह खण होते होंगे कि सम्भवतः 7 कांग्रेसी उन पर चन कर आयेगे इपलिये उन्होने इस मेजर को सपोर्ट किया । खन्ना साहब किस प्रकार से उसको सपोर्ट करने हैं जब कि इस मैटोपोलिटन कौंसिल को फाउनैशियल पावर्सनहीं हैं? मैं इस बिना पर इस बिल को भ्रपोज करता हं।

श्रीमती सुभवा जोशी (वलरामपुर) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, भ्राज दिल्ली ऐडमिनिस्ट्रेगन बिल के ऊपर जोकि सदन के सामने पेण हैं बातचीत हो रही हैं। मुझ को यह सुन कर ताज्जुब हुआ जब भानरेबुल मैम्बर श्रीमती रेणु बक्तवर्ती ने कहा कि वह नहीं समझतीं कि मैं दिल्ली में भ्रसम्बली के खिलाफ क्यों हूं। बह यह भी नहीं समझीं कि इस बिल में क्या चीज है जिससे मैं एक इनैमई फील करती हं। मैं इस बारे में सिर्फ यह कहना चाहती है कि यह उनका उतना ही भ्रम है जैसी कि गलती उन को लगी कि दिल्ली के पालिय-मैंन्ट के सदस्यों में मैं भी हंपर मैं दिल्ली से पार्लियामेंट की सदस्य नहीं हं पर दर्भाग्य मेरा यही है कि उन की तरह कुछ भीर लोग भी जब भ्रपना मतलब समझते हैं तो मझको दिल्ली का सदस्य समझ लेते हैं भीर जब मतलब नहीं रहता तो मझ को बाहर का सदस्य समझ लेने हैं। इसके लिए मैंने उनको हमेशा धन्यवाद दिया और मैं सफाई से कहना चाहती हं रि मैं दिल्ली में धसैम्बल के खिलाफ नहीं हैं। हमेशा मैंने इस स्टैंड को सपोर्ट किया है श्राजभी करती हं और हमेशा करती रहंगी, कौन नहीं चाहता कि जनता को अधिकार मिलें? ग्रब क्या श्रीमती रेण चन्नवर्ती या कम्यनिस्ट पार्टी मझ को सिखायेंगे कि उमुलों के लिए हम को लड़नाचाहिए ? ग्राज मैं श्रीमती रेण चक्रवर्ती की पार्टी का जिक नहीं करना चाहती लेकिन वाक्या यह ह कि ज्यों ज्यों चनाव नजदीक भ्राते जा रहे हैं त्यों त्यों उनकी पार्टी उसलों को ताक में रख कर दूसरे दलों से चनाव के लियं समझौते कर रही है उनकी पार्टी बिना उसलों के समझौते हिन्दू-स्तान के एक कोने से दूसरे कोने तक कर रही है।

Shri Nambiar: What has that to do with this?

श्रीमती सुभद्रा जोशी : उनकी पार्टी का बिहार में समझीता जनता पार्टी से हो रहा है । उत्तर प्रदेण में उन का समझीता जनसंघ से हो रहा है. श्रांध्य में उन का समझीता स्वतंत्र पार्टी से हो रहा है श्रांर पंजाब में जहां उनका समझीता पहले भी श्रकाली पार्टी से हुआ था फिर भी श्रकाली पार्टी से हो रहा है फिर उन से मैं क्या उमूल की बात सुनुं?

इतनाही नहीं में दिल्ली की बात करती है। बड़े मास मूबमैंट का उन्होंने जिक किया। जनता कितनी उनके पीछे हैं उम का जिक किया? किस तरह से दिल्ली की जनता के लिए उन के दिल में प्रेम हैं और किस तरह मे वह उनकी डिमांड के साथ है इस की दूहाई उन्होंने दी? मैं उन से भ्रादर के साथ पुछना चाहती हं कि जिस दिन दिल्ली का संस्स टैक्स बढ़ातो व्यापारियों के साथ उन्होंने मिल कर भीर उन की पार्टी ने मिल कर हडताल का नारा दिया लेकिन जिस दिन (देल्नी) से बालडा गायब हो गया, महंगाई घत्यधिक बढ गई, कीमतें बढ गईं और जिस दिन दिल्ली में लोग घाटे के थैले लेकर घर-घर धमा किय तो कम्यनिस्ट पार्टी ने दिल्ली बन्द का नारा वयों नहीं दिया ? आखिर यह कहां का उसल है ? वह भी जल्स मझको देखने का सौभाग्य प्राप्त हथा जब ग्रेटर हरियाना के उसूल पर कम्यनिस्ट पार्टी एक प्रदर्शन लेकर हमारे सामने भाई? वह रिपबलिकन पार्टी के जलस के साथ भी यहां पर ग्राई जिसमें मांग की गई कि लागों की मकात चाहिये, झग्गी झोपडियां चाहिए । जहा तक मांगां का सवाल है वह सही है। लेकिन मैं बतला रही थी कि क्या उनके उसूल है जिनकी वह दहाई दे रही हैं? उस जन्म में 25 एक पार्टी के भीर 25 दसरी पार्टी के लोग शामिस थे। ग्रेटर दिल्ली की डिमांड की लेकर संसद के सामने प्रदर्शन हथा। मैं नहीं कहती कि दिल्ली की अमेम्बली की मांग गलत है लेकित मैं यह कहती हूं कि श्राज जब दिल्ली की जनता के नाम पर यहामांग पेश कर रहे है उन के पीछे दिल्ली की जनता कितनी है यह **ध**पने दिल को टटोल कर पुछे।

भव उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, पावर किम को नहीं चाहिए ? शिंत कोन नहीं चाहता ? जनता के हाथ पावर भागे इस को मंजूर करने पंचों द्वारा पावर्स दे दी गई हैं। वहां भी चुनाव हुमा करें। भाज होम मिनिस्टर के उपर बड़ा चार्म लगाया गया। मैं न तो एक्स मिनिस्टर हूं, न बुढ़ बी मिनिस्टर हूं और न ही प्रजैट मिनिस्टर हूं लेकिन मैं कहना चाहती हूं कि भ्रगर भाज यहां ऐसी बाब न होती तो भण्छा था। मुझ को बहु दिन याद है जब इस देश के सबसे बड़े नेता ने भ्रयने स्वभाव के खिलाफ़ जाकर, भ्रपनी भ्रादत के 17167

खिलाफ़ जाकर जैसे कि कोई एक परसनल दिलचस्पी लेकर दिल्ली में ग्रसेम्बली कायम की थी लेकिन वह हमारी करतृतों से कायम नहीं रह सकी। मैं उस वक्त भी दिल्ली में थी भौर काम करती थी, ग्राज भी दिल्ली में रहती हं भीर हमेशा रही हं कभी अच्छी अगह रही कभी छोटी जगह रही भौर कभी बड़ी जगह रही लेकिन जैमा मैंने कहा कि यह हमारा दुर्भाग्य रहा कि हम अपने कारनामों से ग्रीर करतृतों से जिस उसूल की बिना पर हम को दिल्ली की जनता को एक चीज मिली थी उसको हमने तोड दिया । जब चनाव श्राये तो दिल्ली की जनता ने कहा कि श्रसेम्बली कहा गई ? हमने वायदा किया कि हम तुम को फिर लाकर देंगे। हम ब्राज फिर ब्रपने होम मिनिस्टर साहब से ग्रसेम्बली मांगते हैं। म्राज जो बिल हमारे सामने माया है मैं भदब के साथ कहता चाहती हैं कि वैसे उसका दिल्ली की ग्रसेम्बली के बिल के साथ मुकाबला नहीं है पर मैं उसका स्वागत इसलिए करती हं कि वह एक कदम भीर भागे है...

Shri Numbiar: What is that one step? One more confusion, not more step.

Shri Hathi: I will clear it.

श्रीमती सुभन्ना जोशी: मैं प्रभी बताऊंगी
कि उसमें क्या क्या खूबियां हैं लेकिन मैं यह
ध्रवण्य कहूंगी वह एक कदम प्रागे की श्रोर है।
मैं मानती हूं कि बहुत सारी बातें हैं जो कि
हमारे हाथ में वहां नहीं हैं। उसे समझने के
लिये कोई कांस्टीट्यूणनल ऐक्सपट होने की
जरूरत नहीं है। हमारे नेता यह समझते हैं
और मैं समझती हूं कि किसी हव तक सही
समझते हैं कि हम लोगों को विल्ली के कार्यकर्ताधों को दिल्ली के नेताओं को प्रभी बहुत
कुछ सीखन की खरूरत है, बहुत कुछ ट्रेनिंग
चाहिए जो हम रो उनके सुपरविजन में लेकर
द्वासिल करनी चाहिए।

15.59 hrs.

[SHRI P. VENKATASUBBAIAH in the Chair]

Administration Bill

माफ़ करेंगे हमारे हाउसिंग मिनिस्टर, ग्रभी डी॰ डी॰ ए॰ उनके पास ग्राया है न मालुम उसकी क्या शक्ल बनने वाली है और किस तरीक़े से चलने वाला है, मैं कुछ नहीं कह सकती। वहतो देख कर पता चलेगा। लेकिन मैं प्रजं करना चाहती हं कि डी॰ डी॰ ए० में जनता के बहत से नमायन्दे रहते हैं। इस सिलसिले में मैं उन ग्राफसरों का जिक नहीं करना चाहती हं, जो दिल्ली के क्लबों श्रौर होटलों में बैठ कर फ़ैसले करना चाहते हैं ग्रीर करते हैं। मैं तो सिर्फ़ जनता के नुमायन्दों का जिक्र करना चाहती हूं, जो समाजवाद का नारा लगाते हैं। दिल्ली में जो ग्रीन बैल्ट बनी. डी॰ डी॰ ए॰ में जनता के नुमायन्दे होने हए भी कानुनों को तोड़ कर, कानुनों से बच कर, उस ग्रीन बैल्ट में सिनेमा हाउसिज बने. गराब की दकानें बनीं श्रौर बड़ी-बड़ी मोटरों की मरम्मत की दुकानें बनीं।

16 hrs.

इस हाउस के माननीय सदस्यों ने दिल्ली में पच्चीस पैसे में काफ़ी का कप बेचने वाले उस काफ़ी हाउस को देखा होगा, जिसने पहली दफ़ा यहां के ट्रेडचं और विजिनेसमैन को चैलेंज किया कि भाप दो रुपये में काफ़ी बेचते हो, लेकिन हम पच्चीस पैसे में काफ़ी बेच कर भी कमा सकते हैं। उस काफ़ी हाउस के लिए डी॰ डी॰ ए॰ में जनता के किसी नुमायन्दे की तरफ़ से भावाच नहीं उठाई गई।

श्री मौर्य (प्रलीगढ़) : फ्रष्टाचार से तो शायद ही कांग्रेस के कोई मिनिस्टर बचे हों । तो फिर उनको क्यों नहीं हटाते ?

श्रीमती सुभक्षा जोशी: अगर नन्दा जी भ्रौर हाउसिंग मिनिस्टर, जिन पर दुनिया भर के चाजिब लगाए जा रहे हैं, इस बारे में मदद न करते, तो उस काफ़ी हाउस को तोड़ कर गिरा दिया जाता, जो दिल्ली के दस हजार, लोगों को केटर कर रहा है थीर पच्चीस पैंस में काफ़ी बेच कर भी जिस की पांच हजार रुपये रोज की सेल है, भीर उन सब लोगों को फिर काफ़ी के लिए दो रुपये खर्च करने पर मजबर होना पडता।

श्री बहाप्रकाश: मैं सफ़ाई देना चाहता हूं कि इस बारे में डी० डी० ए० में घकेला मैं उसके हक में या ग्रीर सारे मेम्बर उसके खिलाफ वे।

भीशती सुभक्षा जोशी: मैं उन अफ़सरों का जिफ नहीं कर रही हूं, क्योंकि वे जनता के नुमायन्दे नहीं हैं। यहां के सबसे आला अफ़सर भी उसका विरोध कर रहे थे, नेकिन मैं उनका जिफ नहीं करना चाहती हूं। इस सदन के सदस्य यह न समझें कि मैं यह चाहती हूं कि जनता के प्रतिनिधियों को पावर न मिले और बहु पावर अफ़सरों के पाय रहे। मैं यहां पर अफ़सरों का काज प्लीड करने के लिए नहीं आई हूं। मैं तो उसूल की बात कर रही हूं। बो पावर ली जाती है, वह अच्छे काम करने के लिए ली जाती है,

मुझे वह बात भी याद है कि काश्मीरी
गेट के जो चमार धौर मोची दो-दो पैसे कमाने
के लिए सारे शहर का ट्रर लगाते हैं, उनको
शहर से सात मील दूर भेजा जा रहा था,
लेकिन जो बड़े-बड़े कबाड़ी शहर के बीच में बैठे
हुए हैं, जब उनको हटाने का सवाल श्राया,
तो जनता के नुमायन्दों ने कहा कि श्रगर उनको
हटाया जायेगा, तो हम सत्यायह करेंगे।
चूंकि मेरा नाम बहुत दफ़ा लिया गया है, इसलिए मैं इम दर्दनाक इतिहाम में गई हूं।
इसका मुते बड़ा श्रक्तसोस है श्रौर मैं इसके
लिए श्रापसे माफ़ी चाहती है।

जहां तक इस बिल का ताल्सुक है, मैं भी बाहती हूं कि मट्रोपालिटन कौंसिल में इलैक्टिड मैंम्बर्ज की तादाद 49 के बजाये 56 कर वी जाये । इस बिल में जो सम्ब्री बातें रखी गई हैं, डिप्टी होम मिनिस्टर साहब सनको बतायेंगे । एक सम्ब्री बात यह रखी गई है कि बैंब तक तो एन० डी० एम० सो० धौर दूसरी लोकल बाढीज के बजट डिस्कस नहीं हुमा करते थे, वे सारे बजट घड मेट्रो-पालटन काँसिल में डिस्कम होंगे । यह मैं मानती हूं कि शायद वह काँसिल फीसला नहीं करेगी । यह भी मैं मानती हू कि शायद वह सिर्फ एक डिवैटिंग सोसायटी हो । लेकिन मैं मजे करना चाहती हूं कि कुछ पालियामेंट के मेम्बर चाहे बहुत कुछ पावर रखते हों, लेकिन हमारी पायर बोलने की ही होती है । हम यह भी जानते हैं कि घ्रपोजीणन के एक सवाल पूछने से हिन्दुस्तान हिल जाता है, सरकार हिल जाती है । इसलिए उस मैंट्रो-पालटन काँसिल में हम कुछ नहीं कर सकगे, ऐसा नहीं हो मकता है।

धाखिर में मेरी दरक्वास्त यह है कि सरकार इस बात को मान ले कि डी॰ डी॰ ए॰ धौर दूसरी बाडीज के बजट मैट्रोपालिटन काँसिल में डिस्कम हुझा करें। जितनी ज्यादा से ज्यादा पावर हो सकती है, वह इस मैट्रो-पालिटन काँमिल को देने को कोशिश की आये।

माज दिल्ली में सर्विसित्र का बुरा हाल है। दिल्ली में बाहर से—पंजाब से, यू० पी० से—लोग काम करने के लिए माते हैं भौद जो दिल्ली के नौकरी करने वाले हैं, वे पच्चीसों बरस तक नौकरी करने के बाद भी तरकते नहीं कर पाते हैं। मेरी तजवीब है कि दिल्ली का एक मलगपम्लिक सर्विस कमीशन बने और दिल्ली वालों को भी नौकरी पाने, तरकते करने और उसर जान का ज्यादा से ज्यादा मौका दिया जाये। यैंक यू।

Shri Nambiar: I am strongly opposed to this Bill. We have just now seen two hon. Members from Delhi speaking—Mr. Brahm Parkash who was Chief Minister of Delhi State when I same here in 1952, and Shrimati Subhadra Joshi, whom also I have known from 1952.

[Shri Nambiar]

These two hon. Members representing Delhi are speaking in two different tones and opposing each other.

Mr. Brahm Prakash stated categorically here in the very presence of the Minister of State of Home Affairs that Mr. Nanda had a sort of deal with some section of the Congress here and with some section of his own henchmen to decide upon this issue, and that the majority of Congress Members of Delhi are opposed to this move. The same sort of division is bound to happen inside that Council.

Wherefrom have they coined this word Metropolitan Council? So far we have known of States and Un'on Territories. but this Metropolitan Council is a very imaginary word which they have concocted or found out from the dictionary. They say because it is a metropolitan city, there must be a Metropolitan Council. Bombay is a metropolitan city as also Madras and Calcutta. Why this Metropolitan Council particularly for Delhi? Is it because the Home Minister's rule has to be perpetuated in Delhi, over the heads of the people of Delhi? Therefore, it is a shame that we introduce a newly co'ned word or a new addition to the so-called statehood. Therefore, this Metropolitan Council is a move to fool the people of Delhi and the people of India.

Today they may talk of a Metropolitan Counci for Delhi, tomorrow they may say it must be there for Bombay and Madras, and finally they will say that the State of Kerala, the State of Andhra Pradesh, the State of Bengal, may be a Metropolitan State, and they may say that the President must rule the roost through an Administrator or the Governor, without the people's consent. Therefore, this is the thin end of the wedge of a new era, a new step that they are taking here to undo the democratic tradition that has been built during all these years.

we have seen what happened in Kerala during the last election and immediately after that. Before the Assembly was summoned, when all the hon. Members elected were in jail, it was dissolved. There was no Assembly available, now can they dissolve a thing which is not there? This

is the type of democracy that they want to bring in India, and in its footsteps comes the Metropolitan business now. Again, this will go. Therefore, in the larger interests of freedom and democratic tradition that we have built, and in the larger interests of the desire of the people who live in democracy, this abnoxious method and this most derogatory and reactionary step of Metropolitan businessmust be ended here and now.

Shrimati Subhadra Joshi sa'd just now that when a green belt was coming round Delhi, moneyed people have built this thing and that thing. Moneyed people can build anything anywhere. They did in Bombay, they did in Calcutta. Could you stop it? And here alone in Delhi they want to stop it through the agency of the President and the Administrator, a thing which is happening all over. This is impossible. I would ask Subhadra Joshi: Cannot the Administrator and the so-called Executive Council which is going to be nominated by the President be purchased or persuaded to do more harm to the people? Where is the method of stopping it? At least if there is an elected assembly, if there is a council of ministers responsible to the assembly and people, if they misbehave, there is a method of voting them down or correcting them through public opinion. no way to correct the executive Council and so the called administrator except by dismissal by the President. But unless and until you create public opinion throughout India and bring pressure through this House and persuade this House to take act on against these officers, be removed. Shrimati they cannot Subhadra Joshi says that the bad cases that happened during the previous regime should not be repeated; therefore, they should not be any elected assembly. I do not know whether any bad things happened in 1952 when Mr. Brahm Prakash was Chief Min'ster; that is none of my business to go into that. It is not only in Delhi that there were mistakes and failures; it is there everywhere under the Congress regime. That cannot be the reason to say that people should not be allowed their democratic set up. If this logic of

Shrimati Joshi is correct, President's rule in Kerala is correct. President's rule everywhere will be correct because there may be misbehaviour by the Assembly and council of ministers. If there is misbehaviour, book them. But that is where they do not do anything. Then all will jo'n together. When we point out to them at such and such things happened under the Congress reg.me, how the ministers misbehaved, etc., they will nounce on us and say: He is a communist. pro-Peking communist, who is to be put in Cuddalore Central jail for ten months, he should not open his mouth. There, it is a question of dividing the spoils. Democracy means democracy and even if my brother, father or mother has m'sbehaved, under the democratic system he or she should be punished. Unless that spirit is inculcated here, you cannot have better regime in this country. I want this House. Slowly, bit by bit we are following the path that was followed by many of the Asian-African countries. If we do not stand up for democratic traditions and principles, we would become just like the other States in Asia and Africa where ministers will not be there. They will be suddenly put behind the bars; their heads will be rolling in the corners here and there: in the ditch you can find the bodies of ministers. Such things may happen in this country as well. Let us take a vow, whether it is communist or congress, we must follow the tradition of democratic principles. The metropolitan council should not be there; it is to be nominated by the President. There must be an election, an elected assembly, a council of ministers answerable to the people. If the Centre feels that such an assembly in Delhi will interfere in their day-to-day functions of this Parliament or the minister's head offices, you can create proper safeguards against any intervention. Here I beg to deffer from hon. Mr. Kamath. I read his dissent note; he said that the métropolitan council was necessary because we want to keep a safe posit on for Delhi so that the Centre's control. etc. may be there. I ask him to reconsider his position.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I sav so with reference to the scope of the Bill. Shri Nambiar: So, this Bill from that point of view is wrong. Therefore, we must have a democrat set up immediately created in Delhi which will show the path to the whole country. Democracy could thrive only by the leadership of headquarters here. Therefore, I oppose this Bill.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta (Delhi Sadar). Mr. Chairman, I am tempted to speak on account of two remarks by the hon. Home Minister in his speech while introducing the subject for discusson. He said that article 239(A) comes in the way of giving a legislative assembly. Secondly, he said financial powers cannot be given without amending the Constitution. There is a lot of confusion about the constitutional position on this matter. I would humbly suggest to the hon. Minister that he may kindly not stand on prestige and consider this matter in its correct perspective Article 239(A) provides for administrative arrangement for some of the Union Territories and lavs down "Parliament may by law create for any of the Union Territories of Himachal Pradesh, Manipur, Tripura, Goa, Daman and Dieu and Pondicherry etc." The Name of Delhi and a few other Union territories is not mentioned. Similarly, article 239(1) says: "save as otherwise provided by Parliament by law, every Union Territory shall be administered by the President acting, to such extent as he thinks fit, through an administrator to be appointed by him with such designation as he may specify". Then there is another clause. I shall deal with these two Articles jointly. Previously, article 239(1) began with the words "subject to the other provisions of this part." Now the Article has been amended these words have been substituted by "save as otherwise provided by Parliament by law." Because of this substitution at the beginning of article 239 (1) Parliament was given unfettered powers to create a legislature and specific provision in article 239(A) for Himachal Pradesh. Manipur, Tripura, Goa, Daman and Diu and Pondicherry does not restrict Parliament to make law for providing a legislature for the Union Territory of Delhi. Even though it may be contended that the power to make laws under article 245(1) and 246(4) does not confer the power to [Shri Shiv Charan Gupta]

17175

set up a legislature for the union territory in respect to which power to make laws has been conferred, that argument would not be available in construction of the words "save otherwise provided by Parliament "law" in article 239(1). It is not making laws for the union territory, but it is providing for administration of the union territory. Part VIII is the exclusive part laying down the Constitution of union territories just as we have got part VI to deal with the constitution of the States. In this state of affairs, it will not be illegitimate to argue that though articles 239 to 241 lay down the executive, legislative and judicial structure of the union territory, the above provisions are subject to the overall power of Parliament to make alterations in the provisions contained in part VIII. In this sense, the power conferred upon Parliament by the opening words of article 239(1) need not be unnecessarily restricted to making administrative changes. In short, by virtue of the power conferred by the opening words of article 239(1) also, it is now possible for Parliament to set up a legislature for the union territory of Delhi by an ordinary legislation. It is suggested that article 239(A) indicates a particular arrangement for Union Territories. It is suggested that the provision restricts the power of Parliament. Now, this is a very serious matter, because Parliament draws this power under article 245(1) and 246 and then under article 248 also. Under article 245(1), Parliament has, subject to the provisions of the Constitution, the power to make laws for the whole or any part of the territory of India. Article 245 distributes legislative powers under certain heads exclusively to Parliament and certain other heads exclusively to the State legislatures and certain further heads concurrently to Parliament and the State legislatures. Clause 4 of article 246 provides that Parliament has power to make laws with respect to all matters for any part of the territory of India not included in a State notwithstanding that such matter is a master enumerated in the State List.

Thus, in respect of Union territories. which are a part of the territory of India. not included in any State, Parliament has power to make laws with respect to all matters whatsoever. Apart from the above, all residuary powers of legislation are vested in Parliament under article 248 and entry 97 in List I of the Seventh Schedule. The powers of Parliament for legislation in respect of the Union territories are thus absolute and plenary, as plenary as power of Parliament to legislate in respect of matters mentioned in List I to Seventh Schedule or items falling under its residuary powers of legislation under article 248.

Now, when we discuss the arguments put forward by the hon. Home Minister, let us examine the position of the Australian Constitution or the Constitution of the United States of America or the Constitution of the British Parliament. Take the British constitution from which we have drawn certain analogies and certain usages and certain practices. Now, if we look at this question, this may be illustrated by a precedent from the Commonwealth of Australia. It has been provided by section 122 of the Commonwealth of Australia Act that the Parliament may make laws for the government of any territory surrendered by any State to and accepted by the Commonwealth or otherwise acquired by the Constitution. The Australian Parliament by section 35 of the Papua and New Guinea Act, 1950, has established a Legislative Council and the legislature itself makes laws under section 48 for peace, order and good government of the territory. The laws are of course subject to disallowance by an administrator or the Governor-General. This would, therefore, show that in any event in Australia the term "to make laws" has been understood to mean a power to erect a subordinate legislature in the territory.

Let us next take the position in the United States of America. Clause 2 of the US Constitution says:

"The Congress shall have power to dispose of and make all needful Rules and Regulations respecting the territory or other property belonging to the United States; and nothing in this Constitution shall be so construed as to Prejudice any claims of the United States, or of any particular State."

By virtue of these undoubted powers, Congress had created local legislatures for Alaska and Hawaii when they were territories but which have not become ful-fledged States and have become part of the United States, but Puerto Rico presumably is still a territory.

Delhi

Chapter 145 passed by the 64th Congress during the second session provided for a civil government for Puerto Rico, where under section 25 all local legislative powers are conferred on a legislature. By section 37, the Legislative authority provided shall extend to all matters of legislative character, not locally inapplicable, including power to create, consolidate and reorganise the municipalities so far as may be necessary and to provide and repeal laws and ordinances therefor, also the power to alter amend, modify or repeal any or all laws. This power, of course, is subject to the power of disallowance by the President. They are also subject to the annulment by the Congress: because it has been stated that all laws enacted by the legislature or Puerto Rico shall be reported to the Congress of the United States. But in 1952. the United States Congress went very much further.

In India also, under article 245 of the Constitution, Parliament may make laws for the whole or any part of the territory of India which includes Union territories. Under Article 246(1) and (2), Parliament has power to make laws with respect to matters enumerated in List I and List II. and under clause (4) of article 246, Parliament has power to make laws for any part of the territory of India not included in a State notwithstanding that such a matter is enumerated in the State List. Under article 248, Parliament has exclusive power to make any law with respect to any matter not enumerated in the Concurrent List or State List. In the words of the American decision, the Indian Parliament has all the dominion and sovereignty-national,

federal, municipal and State—so long as it remains a Union territory.

17178

Let us go further. In deciding this question, our Parliament must be assumed to have at least as large power as the United Stafes Congress with respect to Union Territories. This is important-the US Congress has power to make rules and regulations whereas under our Constitution, Parliament has power to make laws and if the US Congress by virtue of its power to make rules and regulations establishes almost a full legislature subject to disultowance by the President or repeal by the Congress, there is no reason why under similar conditions, the Indian Parliament cannot create similar institution in the Union Territory of Delhi.

So, there is no Constitutional bar. I can understand a policy decision of the Government that a legislature should not be provided. I may not agree with it, but to take shelter behind the Constitution is perhaps not correct. I think it is time that this matter should be gone into, because according to the Home Ministry's appraisal of the situation, they limit the power of Parliament to make laws for the whole of the country, including the Union Territories.

I would like to say something about the financial powers. What is the provision in our Constitution in this regard? The procedure in financial matters is dealt with under articles 112 to 117 for the receipts and expenditure of the Government of India and 202 to 207 for the States. article 245 and 246(4) Parliament has enacted several laws where financial powers have been given. It may be argued that these powers have been given to the local authorities. May it be so, but there is no provision in the Constitution limiting the power of the Parliament to vest financial powers in a body like the Metropolitan Council as envisaged in the Delhi Administration Bill. No doubt the powers of appropriation can be given only when the legislative powers are given to the Metropolitan Council. I do not see any difficulty in giving such limited powers to this body, but however, if it creates any difficulties in the present scheme of things, there is no difficulty in creating a Fund for the Union Territory of Delhi, transferring the money

[Shri Shiv Charan Gupta]

17179

from the Consolidated Fund of India after appropriation by Parliament and giving powers to the Metropolitan Council to grant estimates of expenditure. In this connection, I have moved certain amendments, Previously also I gave some amendments. But these are modified amendments. They should not be treated in the light manner in which the previous amendments were treated when the Bill came up for discus-When we insist on sion earlier. powers, it is not for the pleasure of it, but it is in order to make the Metropolitan Council an effective body to create close relation between the Metropolitan Council and the Executive Council. This relation will create a sense of responsibility in the mind of the Executive Councillors as they will have to look to the Metropolitan Council for sanctioning expenditure. This would not achieve the object of having a responsible Government, but it would partially create a sense of responsibility both among the Executive Council and the Metropolitan Council.

This is important: It would not be out of place to mention here that wherever people have enjoyed democratic form of Government, even if the powers have been misused here and there, the people have always been clamouring for it, because they feel that they can talk on a point of selfrespect and prestige with their elected representatives and this feeling is not there when they have to deal with the officials. This is the reason why the people did not feel satisfied with the working of Corporation in Delhi or elsewhere except in Bombay and Calcutta, because the arrangement before the Corporation was more democratic as the Executive was elected, but later on, when the Executive and Deliberative wings became separate, and the Executive was not elected, the sense of frustration crept into the minds of the people. Even in Bombay, Calcutta and Madras there is a growing feeling that the Executive should be elected, and it should not be surprising when the people have tasted the fruit of democratic functioning in the last sixteen vears.

In conclusion, I would urge upon the hon. Home Minister, because Delhi is his

direct responsibility and because ae wants that some good arrangement should made for Delhi where there should be no trouble, even at this late hour, to kindly consider this matter in its correct perspective and grant us financial powers. That can even be made at this late stage, so that the Metropolitan Council and the Executive Council can work in cohesion and the people of Delhi can look to the Metropolitan Council for removal of their various difficulties and problems.

श्री नवल प्रभाकर : सभापति महोदय. श्री कहा प्रकाश ने इस का इतिहास बतलाया ग्रीर कहा कि दिल्ली के जो सदस्य थे उन्होंने कहीं भी किसी भवस्था में इसे स्वीकार नहीं किया। श्री शिवचरण गृप्त ने इसकी संवैधानिक स्थिति बतलाई । किन्तु मैं एक दूसरा रूप प्रस्तुत करना चाहता हं। श्रौर वह यह कि भ्राज की स्थिति क्या है ?

ग्राज जो लोक सभा के सदस्य बैठे हुए हैं या जो राज्य सभा के मदस्य बैठे हुए हैं उन को पूर्ण श्रधिकार है कि दिल्ली के बारे में वह यहां पर कोई प्रश्न कर सकें या कोई मसला उटा सकें। मुझे ऐसा लगता है कि यह कार्य मंत्रालय श्रीर गृह मंत्री इससे बहुत परेशान हैं। वह समझते हैं कि 500 सदस्य इधर हैं , स्रीर 250 उधर हैं, 750 मेम्बरों की परेशानी से बचने के लिये कोई रास्ता निकाला जाये । उन्होंने दिल्ली वाली की परेणानी का कोई रास्ता नहीं निकाला है, उन्होंने ग्रपना बचाव देखा है, ग्रीर ग्रपना बचाव देखने के लिये जो इस सभा के सदस्यं! के ग्रधिकार हैं उनको हटा कर एक एंड बाइजरी नेचर की, सलाहकार परिषद् दी जा रही है। ब्राज तो यह है कि अगर दिल्ली में कोई भी परेशानी होती है तो हम यहां पर सरकार को परेशान करते हैं। हम यहां पर उस मसले पर विचार करते हैं, बहस करते हैं, सब कछ करते हैं। लेकिन कल क्या होगा ?

कल यह होगा कि जिसको मेटोपोलिटन कौंसिल कहा जाता है उस को यह मामला सौंप दिया गया है । उसके बारे में कहा जाता है कि वह छः महीनों में एक बार मिल लिया करेगी भौर उस पर विचार कर लिया करेगी. भौर विचार कर के सलाइ दिया करेगी। म्रथात वह सलाहकार परिषद है। भले ही उसकी सलाह मानी जाय या न मानी जाये । मेरा यह कहना है कि गह-कार्य मंत्री इस सभा की परेशानी से बचने के लिये यह बिल लाये हैं। ग्रंपने बचाव के लियं हुसे लाये हैं। यह उन के लिये टीक है, किन्तु उन्होंने यह कभी नहीं सोचा कि इसमें दिल्ली का कितनालाभ होगा । ग्राप ने देखा कि जितने भी सदस्य बोले हैं, भीर उन में मैं श्रीमती सभद्रा जोशी को भी लेता ह, उन्होंने कहा है कि इस में कोई ग्रधिकार नहीं है, लेकिन प्रगति का एक कदम है। पर तुएक कदम चलने से तो कोई बात बनती नहीं है। दिल्ली वाले तो यह चाहते हैं कि जिस प्रकार से इस देश के दूसरे नागरिक है मार जितने मधिकार इसरे नागरिकों की हैं, दिल्ली वालों के उनसे कम न रहें। श्रास्त्रिर यहां के लोगों ने क्या चपराध किया है । मैं भापसे यह जानना चाहता है कि जब हर प्रदेश वाले लागा के पास ग्रपने हक हैं. ग्रपने अधिकार है तो हम लोग उन अधिकारों से बंचित क्यों रहें। ग्राज उनके लिये यह कहा जाता है कि वह सलाह दिया करेंगी जिसका ग्रर्थ यह होता है कि वह शासन न करें।

मैं इस सन्दर्भ में प्राप को बतलाऊं कि दिल्ली में 55 सलाहकार समितियां हैं। उन 55 सलाहकार समितियां में यह प्रवस्थ। है। उनमें मे कई एक में मैं भी सदस्य हूं। जब जब उन सलाहकार समितियां में नुक्ता जीनी को जातीं हैं, वह सलाहकार समितियां रूप कर दी जाती हैं। मैं प्राप को एक मिसाल देकर भी बतलाऊंगा कि उन की मीटिंग नहीं बुलाई जातीं। गृह-कार्य मंत्री उसके वेद्यरमैन

हैं। ,,दिल्ली की एक सलाहकार समिति है। उसकी भीर दिल्ली में जो सलाहकार समितियां बनी हुई हैं उन की मीटिंगें नहीं बुवाई जातीं। इस का लेखा जोखा। जब लिया गया तो मानम हमा कि मीटिंगें होती ही नहीं है। फिर गह-कार्य मंत्री जी से यह कहना पड़ा कि मोटिंग तो बलवाइरे । मै श्रापको बतलाऊ, मै लोक सभा से बुन कर के दिल्ली मिल्क स्कीम में गया हक्या है । वह भी एक सलाहकार समिति है। डेढ साल हो गये है, धगर उसकी मीटिंग नहीं हुई तो क्यों नहीं हुई । एक मीटिंग में जरा कछ ले दे हई, कछ सवाल पुछे गये, कछ जोर दिया गया तो उस के बाद मीटिंग होनी बन्द हो गई। एक दफे उस के पैसले पर नक्ताचीनी की गई तो उस में से एक ग्रष्टिकारी ने कहा कि ग्राप का ग्रधिकार नहीं है. ब्रार यह टम्मं ऐंड करिडशन्स में नहीं न्नाता है । यह वस्तुस्थिति है

श्रव मैं बतलाना चाहता है कि यह सलाहकार गमिति किस तरह की बनने जा रही है जिस को मेदोपोलिटन कौमिल कहते हैं। यह इस तरह की होगी जैसी कि है जिस को जनसम्बर्ध धाज भी एक कमेटी समिति या पब्लिक रिलेशन्स कमेटी कहते हैं। एक तान धार्फिणियल उसके नेग्नरमैन है। उस में बहत से सदस्य हैं। भन्तर इतना है कि जो मेटोपोलिटन कौमिल होगी उस के सदस्यों को भत्ता दिया जायेगा, कछ तत्स्वाह दी जायेगी और जिस तग्ह ने ब्राज भी दो ऐक-बाइजर बहां पर है जिन को हजार हजार रुपया दिया जाता है, उन की संख्या बढा कर चारकर दी आयेगी । सब भी गह-कार्य मंत्री जी उन की नामिनेट करते हैं ग्रीर जी मेटोपोलिटन कॉमिल होगी ग्राने वाली उस के भी नार सदस्य होंगे जिन को एरिज़क्यटिक कॉमिलर कहने हैं। उन के लिये गह कार्य मंत्री राष्ट्रपति को सिफारिश देंगे. भीर राष्ट्राति के नाम से वह नामिनटें हमा करेंगे । इस में दिल्ली के लोगों की -क्या प्रधिकार मिल रहे हैं। यह देखने की 17183

बात है। ग्राज ग्रवस्था यह है कि जो लोग हमारे यहां बैठे हुए हैं वह बोल तो सकते हैं, कह तो सकते हैं कि ग्राज यह हमारे अधिकारों को छीनने की बात हो रही है।

में भ्राप को बतलाना चाहता है कि दिल्ली के पिछले चीफ कमिश्नर जो थे जब वह उप-राज्यपाल होकर हिमाचल जाने लगे तो मैं उन से मिला भीर कहा कि भ्राप बड़ी जगह पर जा रहे हैं। उन्होंने कहा कि मैं बहुत ही खुश हं कि मैं दिल्ली से जा रहा है। उन्होंने कहा कि दिल्ली की भ्रवस्था निराली है। एक जमाना था कि मैं गृह मंत्रालय के ग्रन्दर सचिव था, संकेटरी था भीर मेरा भादेश सारे मंत्रालय पर चलता था. भीर भ्राज भ्रवस्था यह है कि गृह-कार्य मंत्र लय का ग्रन्डर सेकेटरी मुझे चिट्ठी लिखता है, मुझे आदेश देता है। वही बात इस मेटोपं लिटन कींसिल में होने वाली है । वहां पर गृह-कार्य मंत्री की मोर से नहीं उन के अंडर सकेटरी की भ्रोर से चिटठी जाया करेगी चीफ कमिश्नर को. भीर चीफ कमिश्नर जस को ध्यान में रख कर काम करेंगे । इस मेट्रोपोलिटन कौंसिल में जो चार सदस्य होंगे वह भी नामिनेटेड होंगे। अगर बहु ठीक से नहीं चलेंगे तो उन्हें नामिनेट कीन करेगा ? वह वही काम करेंगे जो उन को कहा जायेगा । इसलिये दिल्ली के लोगों की जो वस्तुस्थिति है उस के श्रनसार यह भेट्र पं लिटन कौसिल काम नहीं करेगी । इस लिये इसको हम सदस्यों की भी देखना चाहिये भीर देख कर के उस पर विचार करना चाहिये।

मैं एक बात भ्रोर बतलाना चाह्नता हूं कि पिछले दिनों इसी सदन में एक बिल प्रवर समिति से भ्राया था जिस का नाम काशी चिन्नविद्यालय बिल था। उस के ऊपर जब सदस्यों की राय जानी गई भ्रीर यह मालूम हुआ कि सदस्यों की राय उस के विपरीत है तो वह बिल भ्राज तक कोस्ड स्टोरंज में पड़ा हुआ है। मैं एक नेक सलाह देना चाहता हूं। हम दिल्ली के ब्राठ सदस्य हैं। दो तो मंत्री हैं। वह तो सरकार की ही कुर्सी पर बैठे हैं।

निर्माण, म्रावास तथा नगरीय विकास मंत्री (श्री मेहर चन्द सम्ना): वह चन कर केनहीं ग्राये हैं।

श्री नवल प्रभाकर : नहीं, चुनकर के तो श्राप भी श्राये हैं। नेकिन श्राप जिस कुर्सी पर बैंठे हुए हैं, श्राप तो उसी रूप में बोलेंगे जिस रूप में गृह-कार्य मंत्री वोलेंगे श्रीर जिस दिन उस कुर्सी के विजाफ बोलेंगे तो शायद श्राप वहां बैठ नहीं सकें। यह एक वस्तुस्थिति है जो हर सदस्य जानता है।

दिल्ली के लोक सभा के पांच में से तीन सदस्य बोले हैं और तीनों की राय है कि यह बिल दिल्ली के लोगों के लिए उन हो भावनाम्रों की पूर्ति नहीं करता है। तो कृपा करके जिस तरह से काणो विश्वविद्यालय लय का बिल वापस ले लिया था इसको भी वापस ले लीजिए, उसी में अच्छा है और वही ठीक है।

मैं अन्तमें यह कहना चाहता हं कि 1962 के अन्दर दिल्ली नगर निगम ने कुछ सुझाव दिये थे कि दिल्ली कारपोरेशन का जो प्रधिनियम है उस में कछ अमेंडमेंट होना है और सरकार ने बादा किया कि हां, बह होंगे, लेकिन वह जो मांग थी वह पीछे पड गई श्रौर मेटोपोलिटन कींसिल ग्रागे ग्राकर खडी हो गई। श्रव भो यडकहाजाता है कि हां, वह ड्राफ्ट्होतो चुका है. लाने वाले हैं। तो मैं भाप से कहता हं कि उसी को पहले ले ब्राइये । उस का हम देख लेंगे और उसकी छाया में फिर हम बाद में इस पर भी विचार कर लेंगें। तो म्राप इन बातों को देखिये, सोचिए, समझिये और सोच समझ कर हे उस पर भाप विचार की जिए । मैं ग्राप से यह कहना चाहता हं कि यह जो बिन है किसी भी मुरत में दिल्ली के लोगों की भावनाओं की पूर्ती नहीं करता । भ्रापने देखा होगा कि

प्रवर समिति के भन्दर जो लोग भागे भीर वहां उन्होंने घपने विचार व्यक्त किये. विटनेस में 15 पार्टीज धायीं भीर पन्द्रह में से "जहां तक मझे याद है एक को छोड़कर के भीर वह भी धकेला एक व्यक्ति था, बाकी किसी ने भी इस बिल का कोई समर्थन नहीं किया। सब ने यह कहा कि यह बिल जा है यह भाधरा है और यह हमारी भावनाओं की पर्ती नहीं करता है, लोगों की भ्राकांक्ष भ्रों की पति नहीं करता । इसको वापस ले लीजिए या इसमें कछ एसी शक्ति डालिए कि उस के कारण इसमें कुछ जान भाये।

Delhi

ग्रन्त में मैं श्रीमन, यह बताना चाहता हं कि मैंने प्वाइंट ग्राफ ग्राडर भी रेज किया था ग्रीर वह मैं फिर दोहराता हं ग्रीर यह मैं भ्रापको बिलकल साफ करना चाहता ह कि अगर आपने मेरी बात को नहीं माना तो यह ग्राप का जो विल है, जब यह कानन बनेगातो यह कोट के भ्रन्दर चेलेंज होगा कि भापने संविधान की श्रवहेलना की है और संविधान की ग्रवहेलना करके ग्रगर इस बिल को ग्राप लायेंगे कि इंटरिम पीरिग्रड के ग्रन्दर धाप धनस्चित जाति के लोगों के लिए सूर-क्षित स्थान नहीं रखेंगे तो यह बिल चलने वालानहीं है भ्रोरन चलेगा । यह भ्राप निश्चित रूप से समझ लीजिए । कांस्टीटयशन की धारा 332 में बिलकल यह स्पष्ट रूप से कहा गया है कि धनसचित जाति के लोगों के लिए सीटें रिजर्व होनी चाहिएं। एक तरफ भाप इसी बिल में मानते हैं कि हरिजनों के लिए, ग्रनसचित जातियों के लिए कछ सीटें रिजर्व होनी चाहियें लेकिन वह जो इंटरिम वीरिग्रड है उस में ग्राप ग्रवनी उमी बात से मुकर जाते हैं। तो यह एक संविधान की अवहेलना है और या तो आप उतना पोर्शन निकालिए और नहीं तो कोई न कोई प्रदालत में जायगा ग्रीर ग्रनसचित जाति के जो भी प्रधिकार है उन प्रधिकारों को चैलेंज करेगा र्धार वहां भ्राप सफाई नहीं देसकोंगे । इन शब्दों के साथ में फिर ग्रापको मलाह देता हं कि भाप इस बिल को वापस ले लीजिए

भौर प्रगर नहीं बापस लेते हैं, भ्राप बजिद हैं तो मैं यही बताता हुं कि यह दिल्ली के लोगों की भावनाओं की पूर्ति नहीं करता है।

Mr. Chairman: Now, Shri Kamath. I would request hon. Members to be very brief, because there are a large number of Members who want to speak on this Bill.

Shri Hari Viehou Kamath: You can extend the time for this Bill. You cannot reduce the time for others; the same time as was given to the previous speakers will have to be given to all speakers.

Au hon, Member: The Prime Minister had taken about half an hour in between.

Mr. Chairman: 10 minutes is the time allotted to each Member.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: 10 to minutes is the usual time.

Chairman: Shri Kamath may please try to finish in 10 minutes.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Mr. Chairman, the Bill before the House is the end-product of the Haphazard agitation carried on by the discontented, if not frustrated, and faction-ridden Congress party politicians of the former State, Part 'C' State, during the last ten years since the still dissolution of the Part 'C' set-up, and of the confused cogitation among the members of the Union Council of Ministers. That being so. I am not surprised that the product that has resulted from this blend of haphazard agitation and confused cogitation is a strange constitutional animal.

Here I am reminded of what the poet said in Sanskrit:

विनायक प्रकर्वाणा रचयमास वानर

Shri Nambiar: Well said.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: A potter set out to make an image of Vinayaka, Ganesh. He did it so clumsily because of various factors intervening that ultimately he produced a vanara, a monkey. That is what has happened with regard to this Bill for Delhi (Interruption).

I wonder in what category I should place the measure that the Government has devised for the Union Territory of

MAY 16, 1966

## Shri Hari Vishnu Kamathl

Delhi. Time was when we had diarchy under the British regime after the Montford reforms. This is not even diarchy. Here we have what I may call a triachy, that is, from the Corporation to the Metropolitan Council, then the Executive Council and then our Ministers here, the Home Minister and the entire Council of Ministers. This is nothing less than triarchy for the Union Territory of Delhi.

I have no hesitation in saving that the Government has deliberately devised this measure as a sop to the politicians of Delhi, the Congress politicians, who could not achieve unity among themselves so far as the demand for a Delhi State was concerned, and the Government has succeeded in throwing this sop to the Delhi Congress politicians who are themselves to blame-if anybody were to blame-for this Bill that has been inflicted them and on the people of Delhi.

The Bill suffers from or is afflicted by various obnoxious provisions. When amendment was incorporated in art. 239 of the Constitution by a Bill amending the Constitution that Parliament may by law create the Union Territories of Himachal, Manipur, Tripur, Goa, Daman and Diu and Pondicherry, Delhi was left out of the ambit of this provision. I wish that my hon, friends, representing Delhi Union Territory, Shri Prakash and his colleagues had moved an amendment to this. I do not know whether they did so at that time. Perhaps they overlooked it at that time.

Shri Brahm Prakash: We moved it. but it was not accepted.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Perhaps because there was no pressure behind the amendment to be incorporated in that Bill. If there had been sufficient pressure on Government, they might have incorporated that amendment in the Bill. I believe if they had worked among the Members of Parliament for this, they would have had more success than was actually achieved at that time.

Arxicle 239 as it stands, unfortunately debars the Delhi Union Territory from having some kind of an Assembly and a Council of Ministers as the other Union Territories have. If Delhi had been included in that amendment, the story would have been different today. But even as it is, the Attorney-General also gave evidence before the Joint Committee, and he gave it as his expert opinion that unless the Constitution was amended, even budgetary and financial powers could not be conferred on the Delhi Metropolitan Council that is proposed in this Bill. I do not know, I wish it could be done, managed.

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: He has given a different opinion at a different place.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The former Attorney-General or the present?

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: Mr. Setalvad. Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I too referred to the former Attorney-General. Mr. Setalvad.

Shri Hathi: Does the hon. Member mean to say that the opinion given before the Committee deliberately was not the correct opinion?

Shri Shiv Charan Gupta: That I am not saying. Whenever a particular case is presented in a particular manner, the opinion is sought. That is why I suggested and made out that this point should be now thoroughly gone into because. while taking recourse to article 239A, the powers of Parliament which are given under Articles 245, 246 etc., are being limited.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: If this be a fact that he has given a different opinion elsewhere, it is a serious reflection upon the integrity of the former Attorney-General. If he has spoken in two different voices on the same matter, it is very unfortunate to say the least, and we would like to have some light thrown upon this by the Minister when he replies to the debate.

May I suggest that the Minister made matters worse now, bad as it was, he has made it worse, by suggesting a last minute amendment-we have just got a copy-which will be moved later on I know, but that shows the pernicious manner in which the Government's mind is working.

There is a clause which seeks to empower the Government to nominate the Members of the Metropolitan Council, and there is at least a useful proviso there that they should not be in the service of Government, and I have proposed my own amendment which will be moved at the appropriate stage when the Clauses are taken up. Now Government has proposed this amendment. I do not know whose brain-wave it is.

## Shri Hathi: Yours.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You have made it a Vanara instead of a Vinayaka. They want to delete this proviso also. That means they can nominate even Government servants on the Metropolitan Council, that is what it comes to. If Government wants to press that amendment at the appropriate stage, I would like to suggest that the principle of nomination by the executive to a legislative or a quasilegislative body is a thoroughly obnoxious principel in a democratic set-up and I would be very happy if that clause, that sub-clause, is completely deleted, which empowers Government to nominate members on the Metropolitan Council and reduces it to zero. If it is not reduced from 5 to zero, at least it should be reduced from 5 to 2, it should be not more than 2. Even then, they should be neither Government servants nor holders of permits or licences granted by the Government. I hope the House, in the interests of the integrity and of democracy will accept that amendment and throw out this wholly pernicious amendment notices of by the Minister today.

Then there is another provision which makes the proposed Executive Council completely irremovable except at the pleasure or the displeasure of the President, which is absolutely unthinkable in a democratic set-up. I am aware that the Bill does not confer a fullfledged State legislature and a council of ministers on Delhi, but certainly we must ensure that democratic principles are not completely thrown to the winds, set at naught. But that is what the Bill seeks to do, and I have therefore suggested in one of my amendments an alternative. The Bill provides that the members of the Executive Council can sit in the Metropolitan Council, can take part in the proceedings but they have no right to vote. The President 707 (ai) LS-8.

himself can be impeached, he is not completely irremovable, the Judges under the Bill that is coming can be brought to book and removed from office by the President, but are these members of the Executive Council higher than the President, higher than the High Court and Supreme Court Judges? Certainly there should be a provision which stipulates, provides, that if a special majority say two-thirds, of the members of the Metropolitan Council. passes a vote of no confidence, or presents a petition to the President seeking his removal from office, the President should remove that member forthwith from office. I hope the House will accept that very desirable, wholesome and necessary amendment.

One last word and I have done. Much has been said about Delhi being the federal capital. It is difficult to have a full fledged democratic apparatus, paraphernalia for the union territory of Delhi. There have been experiments in various parts of the world. Some federal capitals have a democratic set-up, full fledged; others do not have it. Shri Brahm Prakash pointed out some instances and gave some illustrations of some federal capitals having a democratic set up or quasi democratic set up. I do not know: I have not studied. So I have to rely on the word of Shri Brahm Prakash. But you are well aware that in the district of Columbia-I am quoting at the risk of being dubbed as a sort of emulator of the American pattern; my friend Prof. Mukerice is sitting next to me. But that is one of the democratic countries in the modern world, the United States, though of course it may not be liked by all of us; the pattern of democracy they have set up is perhaps hated by my friend Prof. Mukerjee; he may refer to the democracy of Moscow rather than the democracy of Washington, I do not know what he thinks, but even so-as I said in the district of Columbia till the last amendment of the Constitution of the United States, the people of Columbia district-Dr. Singhvi may correct me if need be-were not enfranchised at all; they were disenfranchised so far as american presidential elections were concerned. Be that as it may, even if there is no of democratic set up in other federal capitals, certainly Mr. Brahm

#### [Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath]

Prakash can argue we can argue: let us have a new precedent and make a new start. Certainly. Why not? But the experiment that was tried during 1952-56, when it finally came to an end may I say with all humility and in all earnestness, was not too happy. Delhi as a Part (c) State had a full-fledged democratic apparatus and my hon, friend Shri Brahm Prakash himself was the Chief Minister of Delhi State. We recollect that here, in this very House, endless and unseemly quarrels used to go on in this Parliament, and carried on outside also in the city of Delhi, Delhi State Assembly. Of course it may be argued that such quarrels are a sort of ordinary every day feature of the Congress regime, the tussle between the Centre and the states. But here Delhi being the federal capital, Delhi being one of the international cities of the world, if it becomes the seat of two government authorities, the Central and State Governments, carrying on day to day struggle for power, and what not, it will not be a happy spectacle to watch. Therefore perhaps it was decided by an amendment in 1962 that Delhi should be excluded from the purview of Article 239(a).

One last word and I have done. I will finish in two minutes. If Delhi cannot have a democratic apparatus, certainly we must safeguard the people of Delhi against this dangerous situation resulting and flowing from the undemocratic set-up, and therefore I propose that there should be at the Centre a separate minister for Delhi affairs. And no measure affecting Delhi, no Bill, no resolution or any other measure affecting Delhi, should be introduced in Parliament without the prior approval of an advisory committee-not a consultative committee, but an advisory committee-which will include within its fold all the Members belonging to Delhi Union territory. If that is accepted it will go, I suppose, some way, not all the way, towards making the provisions of the Bill which are otherwise unacceptable, a little less unacceptable.

Therefore, while I give partial support to some of the provisions in the Bill before the House. I hope that the amendments proposed by me will be accepted. Otherwise, it will be wholly unacceptable. and

I will not then be able to give even my partial support to the measure.

श्री सबु लिस्थे (मुगर) सम पति जी, आज लोकतंत्र के लिए बड़ शर्म का दिवस है, क्योंकि लोकतंत्र की हत्या करने वाला कानून आज इस सभा के मामने मंत्री महोदय लाए हैं। लोकतंत्र की जितनी कसीटियां हैं, उन में मे कोई भी कसीटी आप ले लीजिए। आप को मानना पड़ेगा कि यह कानून लोकतंत्र की किसी भी कसीटी पर निखरता नहीं है, पूरा उतरता नहीं है। लोकतंत्र की चार बड़ी बड़ी कसीटियां हैं। एक कसीटी है कानून बनाने के लिए और आमदनी-खर्च पर नियंत्रण रखने के लिए जनता के द्वारा चुनी हुई सभा का गठन। हम लोग यहां देख रहे हैं कि दिल्ली के लिए

Mr. Chairman: The hon. Member may continue tomorrow. Now we take up the half-hour discussion.

श्री प्रकाशकीर शा त्री (बिजनीर ): इस बिल के लिए कितना समय ग्रीर रह गया है?

श्री हरि विष्णु कामत: इस के लिए समय बढ़ाया जायगा । कम से कम एक घंटा---या दो घंटा---बढ़ाना पड़ेगा।

भी मौर्यं : इस के लिए समय बढ़ाया जायेगा ।

# \*STATUS OF HIGH COURT JUDGES

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: (Jodhpur): I have already intimated that certain developments which are very heartening and have taken place gratifying that the question of the status conditions of service of the High Court Judges and the Supreme Court Judges appears to have been reopened. I informed that the hon. Home Minister is himself holding consultations with the Chief Justice of India with a view to arriving at a mutually agreed basis of revision of these conditions of service, and the question of upgrading the status. At this stage, as I submitted in my letter this afternoon, I would not like to voice

<sup>\*</sup>Half-an-hour Disdussion.

a discordant note. I would only like to express the hope that these consultations would be fruitful and that the judges' conditions of service and their status would be upgraded after mature consideration and in an adequate measure.

17.02 hrs.

# [MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]

I would like the hon. Minister or the Deputy Minister, only to give this assurance to the House, that at the appropriate time when these mutual consultations are concluded a statement would be laid on the Table of the House, informing the House of the steps that are or have been taken or proposed to be taken by the Government with a view to improving the conditions of service including pension and leave of High Court Judges and Supreme Court Judges, and with a view to seeing that their exalted position in our constitutional system is protected, preserved and maintained. That is all that I would like to sav at this stage because, as I said, I would not like at this stage of mutual consultation between the hon. Home Minister and the Chief Justice of India to voice a discordant note. That is all I have to sav.

Shri D. D. Puri (Kaithal): I do not think that the hon. Member was going to strike a discordant note. I think if the matter is before the consideration of the Government, it is all the more appropriate that they should be armed with the views and the various suggestions in this House, and therefore, this half-hour would be extremely well-utilised if we proceed as we originally proposed to proceed.

Shri D. C. Sharma (Gurdaspur): It think Dr. L. M. Singhvi has acted very wisely in not speaking about the subject at this stage. He has acted very wisely because the question is already being discussed somewhere else.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Unless the hon. Member has given notice, he cannot go on like this.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: He should say that when that stage is reached, we would be informed about it. The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Nanda): As has been said, we are already moving in this matter. I have had some talks with the former Chief Justice of India, Shrj Gajendragadkar. Since we could not complete those discussions, I am having talks with the Chief Justice of India now. I hope that as a result of that, the best that is possible in connection with the question that has been raised will be done. In due course, we shall place a statement on the Table of the House in this connection.

17.05 hrs.

#### BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: There is another half-hour discussion.

Shri Linga Reddy (Chikballapur): .

The Minister of Parliamentary Affairs and Communications (Shri Satya Narayan Sinha): I would like to make a statement.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Yes, Mr. Linga Reddy may kindly resume his seat.

Shri Satya Narayan Sinha: Sir, you and the House are aware of the circumtances under which the Motion for consistances under which the Motion for consiment Bill, could not be carried for want of the requisite number of votes. As you and the House are aware, Sir, the intention of a very large number of Members of Parliament has already been expressed in favour of the steps initiated by the Government in connection with the reorganisation of the State of Punjab which necessitated the bringing up of the 19th Constitution Amendment Bill.

As the vote taken today does not represent the overwhelming wish of the House, the Government propose to reintroduce the Bill in the Current Session of Parliament and to put it up\* for consideration by the House. Therefore, a notice for leave to introduce the Bill is being given by Government and a request is

[Shri Satya Narayan Sinha]

being made to you to waive direction No. 19B of the Directions by the Speaker. It is also proposed to move for waiver of Rules 338 and Second Proviao to Rule 74 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Lok Sabha to facilitate the introduction and consideration of the Bill tomorrow by the House. I hope, Sir. you and the House will agree to the proposed action.

#### Some hon. Members rose-

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: He is not moving a formal motion now. He is just making a statement. Tomorrow he will move the motion.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty (Barrack-pore): Sir, we ourselves were very upset when the whole thing was changed and the Bill fell through. We accept the principle of the Bill and we are in favour of Punjabi Suba. But if we allow this precedent whereby because of their big majority they can waive the rules even for constitutional amendment, what bar is there to the Government bringing forward any Constitutional amendment that it desires which we may think is very undemocratic and which we may have to oppose? In Buch a situation, let us not treat it as lightly as is being done.

#### 17.08 hrs.

[MR. SPI:AKER in the Chair]

I am glad you have come. Sir, without meaning any disrespect to the Deputy-Speaker. I want to urge upon the Government not to try to waive the rules for a constitutional amendment. many of us would not want to come back for another session in between. unfortunately, because of the sins and omissions of the Government, we will have to do it. We want this to be passed. At the same time, we do not want to open the floodgates to permit constitutional amendments to be passed in a light manner by waiving the Rules and Directions by the Speaker. I would beg of the Government, through you, not to bring forward this Bill so lightly. We fully support the objectives of the Bill and we want it to

go through quickly, but we cannot allow it to be done by waiving the rules.

Nambiar (Tiruchirapalli): Mr. Shri Speaker, Sir, we are strongly opposed to this move. We had a similar occasion before. Then what we did was not to suspend the rules but to have another session to pass that Bill. Now, if we agree to open the floodgates for suspension of the rule as and when they require for their own failure, that will be quoted as a precedent for further action of a similar nature. Again, as I have already pointed out, the shifting of the agenda or the order of items on the agenda this morning was done without any imagination or preparation. The Leader of the House or the Whip should have got his own men to vote, this being a Constitution (Amendment) Bill. They negleced the whole thing. Even in my case, I was ready with the Delhi Administration Bill. When it was decided to take up the Constitution (Amendment) Bill, I had no copy of the Bill and I had to go to the Table Office to gee one. By the time I could go and get it, within a minute or two, the whole thing collapsed, there was need to take the vote and you, Sir, had no other go but to take the vote. Therefore, it is none of our fault, nor the fault of the House. As such, if there is a fault, it must be squarely placed at the proper place. We should not give room for any of these easy methods to come in and float the traditions that we have built up so far.

Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah (Adoni): Of course, Sir, this cannot be taken as a precedent. These are circumstances that have come up suddenly. The unanimous opinion of the House, from all the sections of the House, and the wish of all hon. Members is that there should be an early creation of Punjabi Suba. For that reason the amendment has to be passed. Boundary Commission has been set and it is doing its work. As it has been promised by the Government and as it is the unanimous wish of the people, this amendment has to be passed. I would only request you, Sir, though it is not a good precedent, because of the circumstances prevailing, because of the temper of the country, because we have also to watch the expeditious manner in which the thing has to be brought, to waive this rule.

Shri L. M. Singhvi (Jodhpur): Sii, what has come to transpire is truly distressing. It is true that those who do not agree with the request for waiver of Direction No. 19B are not trying to obstruct whatever is the desire of the Government. It is not with a view to delay or to obstruct, it is with a view to secure compliance with certain conventions which have been observed invariably in the House, that this is being opposed. You would recall, Sir, this is not the first occasion when the plea of circumstance being advanced. It is true the circumstances are such that we would all like that we do not have to come again. We would all like that we do not have to meet again for the purpose of considering, this Constitution (Amendment) Bill. But, Sir, I think even more compelling than the circumstances is the compulsion of our precedents or conventions and underlies those precedents and conventions. I think it is a salutary convention that we would like this Direction should not be waived in this particular case. There should be no need, no opportunity for letting any objections or aspersion be cast in a matter such as this. I think following the precedent set by the late Prime Minister, Shri Jawaharlal Nehru, under similar circumstances, the Government should readily agree to have another session if that is necessary, or postpone it until the next session if that can be done. but in no case resort to a waiver or suspension of the direction.

Shrl Rajaram (Krishnagiri): Sir. somehow the Government side lost their amending Bill today at 2.30 and the hon. Minister of Parliamentary Affairs comes up to make a statement at 5.5, that too after the Deputy-Speaker had called another hon. Member to raise the Hulf-hour-discussion standing in his name. We never expected such things to happen. I do not want to use Dr. Lohia's words. He said that the Government has gone away, he asked where is the Prime Minister. where is the Cabinet and so on.

I do not want to use such words. Anyhow, there has been the precedent created by our late Prime Minister. Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru and he had not accepted such kind of things going on inside the House. He had called another session for such a Bill and it had been carried through like that. So, I say, this amendment must come either in the next session or in another special session before that but not tomorrow or the day after in such a haphazard manner.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): What has happened today reminds me, rather poignantly, of the remissness that was displayed by the Congress Benches and the Congress Members of this House some two years ago when the then Prime Minister took very serious notice of it and he even-and the papers carried that-sent severe castigatory letters to the Members of his Party. But the Party does not seem to have learnt anything. It is very unfortunate that for the second time in two years the Constitution Amendment Bill has fallen through because they were nowhere to be seen and they could not assemble in sufficient numbers. May I, therefore, say that this proposal made by the Minister Parliamentary Affairs or the Leader of the House would have us not merely waive your direction but also Rule 338 and another rule too, which makes it incumbent on us to give two days notice for a motion. Now, this motion is made today at 5 O' Clock and it is to be taken up tomorrow. It should be taken up two days later, that is, on Thursday, not even Wednesday.

Sir, this triple result flows from the one egregious blunder they have committed today which does not exonerate them from the responsibility of calling another I, therefore, seriously suggest session. that the Congress Parliamentary Party must be made to learn a lesson which they will not forget all their lives and that can only be done by ensuring, by providing, by making the House agree to the proposal that the expenditure incurred in connection with the next session that may be called will be debited to the Congress Party funds and that they will have to pay for the next session.

B.O.H. MAY 16, 1966 17199

Shri Khadilkar (Khed): Sir, the procedure that is being laid down is a sort of self-imposed discipline of this House with the sanction of the House. I admit it has a certain amount of sanctity. But on occasions like this....

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: What is the occasion?

Shri Khadilkar: ....because of certain procedural accident, this may be allowed. (Interruption) Let me have my say. It can be called a little bad management. After all, in managing the affairs of this House, sometimes there are some slips. Keeping all these things in view-I agree that there is some sanctity to the procedure but, at the same time, we are the masters of the procedure, the House is the master of the procedure, in the final analysis-I plead that this weiver as has been asked by the Leader of the House should be accepted. At the same time, this should not be cited as a precedent and I would urge that, as far as possible, care should be taken by the Leader of the House or the Whip to avoid such situations where waiver is called for.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: The Leader of the House went for his lunch. What is the great urgency?

Shri C. Sharma (Sardhana): There are forms and procedures as against the substance and rights. Forms and procedures can be changed to the exigencies of the situation if the need so demands. It is very difficult to change the substance and rights recognised by law. In this case, it is a matter of form and procedure and the situation demands a change. So, it may be accepted as it is.

Dr. M. S. Aney (Nagpur): The provisions regarding the amendment of the Constitution laid down there mandatory and they are not optional in any way and, therefore, those other provisions re. suspension of rules etc. cannot be light-heartedly applied in cases of this kind. I request you, in the interest of showing our respect for the Constitution. that we must put up with that thing.

The provision should not be suspended for the day so that the whole thing could he revived for tomorrow. That would be ridiculous

B.O.H.

Some hon. Members rose -

Mr. Speaker: Is it necessary for all Members to speak?

Shrimati Savitri Nigam would take just half a minute. In spite of all precautions, sometimes accidents do take place.

Shri Nambiar: This was not an dent

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: Whatever happened has happened. When the Opposition Members were speaking, I did not disturb them at all. I am not yielding. Let hon. Members please allow me to have my say.

In spite of all the precautions this thing has happened, but it does not involve any question of legality. It is a simple matter of procedure. I would beg of you that you may kindly accept the proposition put forward by the hon. Leader of the House so that that would save us, and save the country from all the difficulty and the trouble of holding another session.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: Why was the order of the Bills changed?

Shri Satva Naravan Sinha: Let say with what best of intentions that was done. Let her not prejudge me before hearing me completely.

An hon. Member: We do not his good intentions.

Shri Satya Narayan Sinha: In the first place, I tender my sincere apologies for the inconvenience which has been caused to the House.

Shri Gauri Shankar Kakkar (Fatehpur): On a point of order. May I know whether it is a regular motion under discussion or only a statement? We were 17201

given to understand that there was nο motion.

Mr. Speaker: It is only a statement. not a regular motion.

Shri Satya Narayan Sinha: I did not want to take the House by surprise tomorrow. Therefore, I wanted to tell you what we proposed to do tomorrow, of course, with your approval and with the approval of the House. The hon, lady Member has asked me why the order of the Bills was changed. All that 1 can say is, as the hon, lady Member Shrimati Savitri Nigam has said, that in spite of our best precautions accidents do happen. But in this case it is not only a question of accident. As I was telling my friends outside, there is a proverb in Hindi that Chaube wanted to become Chabbe but he became Dubbe. Really, that is the posttion here also, correctly speaking.

This Bill was to have come up on the 14th instant, and three-line whips were issued, and the Members were all here on the 14th. But as I had explained to you, because of certain circumstances, two hours or two and a half hours or three hours every day are spent after the Question Hour on other items. The result is that as I have said no schedule could be adhered to. The result was that we had again to issue three-line whips to all the Members to be here on the 16th instead of on the 14th. Then, what happened was this. We thought that according to the schedule, this Bill would have been taken up yesterday, and perhaps the voting would have taken place today. This morning I was informed, and I saw the agenda also and I found that there was no chance of this Bill being taken up even towards the end of the day if we had allowed the Delhi Administration Bill to go on. The difficulty then would be that the message would have been sent tomorrow to the Rajya Sabha. The Rajya Sabha Members were very anxious that they should rise on the 18th; they said that they would not wait on the 19th; they said thta there would be very thin attendance on the 19th, and it may not be possible for many of them to be present, because they had been informed to be present only on the 18th.

B.O.H.

In those circumstances, with the best of intentions, we were rather anxious that this Bill should be put through. Therefore, I sounded you first and I also consulted other friends. Some friends sitting on this side of the House said 'What is this? You had asked us to be here on the 14th; again, you had asked us to be present on the 16th; but now you say that this Bill would not be taken up today and it would be taken up only tomorrow.' Therefore, in that anxiety we thought that precedence should be given to this Bill so that we would be able to finish this Bill today, and all those people who had been asked to be here on the 16th would have been here. Therefore, it was done with the best of intentions. And yet this kind of thing has happened. How has this happened? In the first place, after the consideration. motion, nobody had thought that the whole thing would collapse. As I always say, the House is quite so unpredictable sometimes, and, therefore, this has happened. My hon, friend Shri Kamath would not allow even a small thing to go through unchallenged and would deliver speeches for half an hour. Why did he not make any speech?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Who is he to quesion that? Why should I be forced to speak?

Shri Satya Narayan Sinha: I am not questioning him.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Why should he bring in irrelevancy? He should speak relevantly here.

Shri Satya Narayan Sinha: I was saying that there are hon. Members like him also, but nobody took part on this Bill.

The whole thing collapsed . . .

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It is for the House to decide. You cannot decide

Shrimati Renu Charkravarity: On the Delhi Administration Bill, for example,

#### [Shrimati Renu Chakravartty]

from our Group, Prof. Hiren Mukerjee was to speak. But the order was upset suddenly and because of the change we had to go out to call them in.

Shri Satya Narayan Sinha: I was saying that the whole thing collapsed.....

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Tactics of warfare, parliamentary warfare.

Shri Satyn Narayan Sinha: Generally this does not happen. There were no Members to speak. These difficulties were there. The fact remains that this thing happened. But because this Bill is absolutely non-controversial, everybody is anxious that it should be pushed through as soon as possible, I think there should be no difficulty. I think the House should believe our bona fides that we tried to change the order only because we were anxious that this Bill should be pushed through today. Under the circumstances, it is for you and the House to judge and decide anything you like.

I may also say this. It is not going to be a precedent. But every rule has an exception. If any rule does not have it, it is not worth baving.

Mr. Speaker: Normally, I am not in favour of suspending rules and directions. Last time also, it was done on my advice; I was of that opinion—that a special session might be called and that the rule might not be suspended. That is correct.

But today I find that there are circumstances which are distinct from those. I will put it to the House. All of us are desirous that this reorganisation takes place. It should take place now in time in order to avoid further complications. Relations are being strained between the communities as time passes. There are further complications arising. All sections of the House desire—we are all united in that—that complications should not arise.

Shri Kamath may say that we must chastise Gövernment. But that would be ont affair. In doing that, whether we do harm to the cause that is dear to all sections should also be seen. All of us desire that this must be pushed through.

Then there were two or three unexpected things that happened. This was the first time on such a Bill when I found nobody prepared to speak when I looked around.

Shrimati Reau Chakravarity: Circumstances were such. They changed it in such a way that nobody knew what was happening.

Shri Hari Vishau Kamath: Part of his tacies, to take us by surprise.

Mr. Speaker: Then this is not only a matter of our choice. Other State legis-latures have also to be convened and their consent has to be obtained. There are so many circumstances intervening.

I will make this appeal to Members. As they are all of the same opinion and they are also surprised that this has happened, in this particular case, we might make a departure and just agree to it being considered.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Let the party pay the extra expenditure.

Shrimati Renu Chakravarity: They want to have their lunch, sleep and everything. Are we to tolerate this kind of thing for that?

Mr. Speaker: As I have said, other interests are also involved. They would also be affected.

Shri D. C. Sharma (Gurdaspur): We agree with your statement.

Shri K. C. Sharma: We welcome it.

Mr. Speaker: Other complications would come in I am afraid of those things.

Shri Namhilar: Within a week, we can assemble. What is the difficulty?

Capacity of
Posts (H.A.H. Dis.)

Mr. Speaker: There are so many interests,

Shri Namblar: We sympathise with the cause; we are for the Suba.

Mr. Speaker: That is why I have appealed to all to agree. All sections are agreed on the main thing.

Shrimail Renu Chukravartty: May I make one point very clear? If you are going to give your ruling to the affect that this might be permitted to be done. I would request you, before you put this to vote, to make it very clear to the House and to the country that this waiving of rules will never be resorted to any more. This may be made very clear.

Mr. Speaker: It is not possible to say that never again it will be resorted to-but at least I will give this guarantee that normally or ordinarily it will not be taken advantage of in future. If such a thing happens on account of the failure of Government, then certainly they would not come up for this.

Shri Nambiar: But it will be a very bad precedent.

Mr. Speaker: There are different circumstances that come up, different considerations.

Shri Nambiar: We sympathise with the cause very much more than they.

Mr. Speaker: That is why I am appealing to him.

Shri Namblar: But we are really afraid, frightened, that they will repeat these things.

Mr. Speaker: We will see. We are here.

Dr. M. S. Aney: 1 withdraw my objection.

Mr. Speaker: I hope all others will fall in line.

Shri Nambiar: No. not in this case.

Mr. Speaker: I am appealing to him because the cause is dear to him as to anybody else.

Shri Raghunath Singh (Varanasi): When is it to be taken up?

Mr. Speaker: A new motion has to be made. It cannot be done today.

Shri Raghanath Singh: Why should we not move the motion just now? All the hon. Members are sitting in the Central Hall. They can all come. We can have the motion now.

Mr. Speaker: We have to suspend many rules. The Bill has to be introduced afresh. All things have to be done. It cannot be done in this mauner. To-morrow it may be done, but we will have to take care that tomorrow we finish all the work that we have.

Shri D. C. Sharma: Has the rule been suspended?

Mr. Speaker: That motion will have to be moved.

Shri D. C. Sharma: Ask the leader to make the motion

# 17.32 hours

# • CAPACITY OF PORTS TO HANDLE CARGO

Shri Linga Reddy (Chikballapur): I am raising this discussion on points arising out of the answer given on the 1st March. 1966 to Starred Question No. 275. A discussion on this will be very useful and very purposeful.

The other day, the Food Minister was pleased to say that arrangements are being made for importing 9 inillion tous of foodgrains. Out of this, 5 million tons have been imported so far.

When we are faced with unprecedented drought in several parts of the country, it is very necessary that our ports should be in a position to handle the cargo of foodgrains in addition to handling other materials such as fertilisers etc., that come from other countries. It is very necessary that the Transport Ministry, the Food and Agriculture Ministry and the port authorities co-ordinate their

<sup>\*</sup>Half-an-hour discussion-contd.

[Shri Linga Reddy]

activities to import foodgrains through the ports and send them as early as poswible to the places where there is necessary. Time is the essence of the matter. 17.34 hrs.

# [MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]

We have a number of major ports, but all of them are not fully developed. Sometime ago an expert committee from America came and inspected some of the ports, and I believe they have submitted a report. A summary of it, no doubt, has been given, but I wish the full report had been made available to the hon. Members. Now I understand that it is intended to import 1.5 million tons of foodgrains every month through these ports and send them to the other parts. Unfortunately foodgrains are not imported in ports situated near the drought affected areas. It is because the handling of foodgrain and other cargo in the several ports are not properly planned for some reason or the other. I understand that some officers here in the food department are interested in getting the bulk of the imports through Bombay port only though there are other ports which can very easily and conveniently handle the grains and send them to the needy parts. For example according to the answer given, Bombay received during 1964-65 a total tonnage of 17.5 million in foodgrain and other cargoes. Other ports like Calcutta, Kandla, etc. very few shipments of grains. I understand that some officers in the food department are interested in getting foodgrains only through Bombay, the result being that the foodgrains received at the Bombay port are not being handled quickly and results in payment of demurrage. The hon, minister may find out the demurrage that had been paid. I understand that this demurrage is being shared by some interested officers and others. This may kindly be enquired into. An instance may be given. Manhattan, a supertanker carrying 72.000 tonnes of PL 480 grains was not in a position to be received in the Bombay port. Arrangements were made to receive it at Madras by stopping it mid-sea for a long time and unload

them into smaller ships. The same thing could be sent to Kandla port or Pradip port. Of course, it could not be landed there. But by smaller ships foodgrains could have been easily sent to Calcutta and other ports. It is necessary that the handling capacity of foodgrains and other materials should be geared up so that foodgrains could be sent to the needy places early. That is not being done at present. Out of 9.1 million tonnes that are to be imported from several parts, five million tonnes have been received. We have to receive about seven million tons from other countries such as America. At least in future it may be properly planned and grains should be sent to the other parts. If wheat is needed in an area, the wheat ship should be sent there. But it is sent to Madras and from there sent to wheat needing areas. The transport charges thus become heavy. Mangalore port can easily handle some grains but they are not at all sent there. Similarly, the Cochin port, the Vizagapatnam port and Marmagao port-all three ports-depend upon the supply of foodgrains that are received from several countries, whether it is imported wheat or rice, and to send them to the places where they are needed. But that is not being done. It is because of the unplanning, that is there in the Food Department. The whole thing may kindly be reviewed. and the ships should be overhauled, and the things be properly planned.

Handling Capacity of

Posts (H.A.H. Dis.)

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Transport and Aviation (Shri C. Poonschalt: As regards the handling of imported foodgrains, of the imported cargoes and the export of goods from this country, the capacity of the ports has got to be developed. It is with this object in view that the capacity of our various ports, major and minor, and the coastal ports, is being developed year after year. For the benefit of the hon. Members of this House. I may be permitted to quote that in the year 1964-65, the total handling capacity of our ports, major ports, was of the order of 48.4 million tons. In 1965-66, this capacity was stepped up to 49:5 million tons and in 1966-67, this capacity is now further stopped up to a new level of 57 million to 58 million tons. That is to say, the capacity that we have developed to handle cargo, both import and export, at the various ports of India is being steadily developed and improved.

Apart from this, even the capacity of the minor ports all along our coastline is being systematically developed and that capacity is today somewhere at 8:5 million tons. With this development, now we are organising our machinery to handle the unprecedented import of foodgrains to this country. Up till recent years an average of five to six million tons of foodgrains used to import. Due to abnormal conditions in the country this year, the proposal is to import about 12 million to 15 million tons of foodgrains, an all-time high record. We have to make extraordinary arrangements to handle this heavy import that we have before us. With this programme in view, the ports have been geared up to handle the increased volume of import. The imports of food grains could be arranged; ships could be chartered and the purchase of mechanical equipments for handling also could be agreed upon or arranged, but the capacity to deliver the goods at the ports depends upon several factors. Firstly, it is a question of berthing facilities; secondly, storage facilities and the transhipment facilities at the ports; thirdly, capacity to move unloaded grains to the hinterland either by rail, or by road and fourthly. which is a very important one, the labour that could be mustered at all these big ports to handle this big import programme. It is not a one-sided development. It is not merely the question of development of port capacity. It is all these things which go to the success of our handling the import of this heavy stocks of foodgrains. In that, we had to mechanise some of the handling programmes at the various ports, particularly at Bombay, Kandla, and now, in Madras, where we are now mechanising the unload ing of foodgrains. We are having special mechanised installations at the various berths and by that we are trying to step up the discharge of foodgrains at every port. Besides this, railway movement also has to be organised. It is an all-round attempt to handle this big programme of imports which is being done continuously.

Till the end of April, we had been able to handle the imports fairly successfully. But in May, it is going to be a bit difficult because there has been a bunching of vessels at various ports, particularly Bombay. That is due to various reasons. The vessels that were .hartered to bring grains from America used their maximum lay time of 15 days and they did not reach the ports sufficiently early. These were some slow-moving vessels which could not reach the ports according to the time programme. So, they slipped into May. Otherwise, we should have had them in April. Consequently there is bunching of vessels

We have also made arrangements to import food by bulk carriers, with the result at the moment we have heavy bunching of vessels and consequently we are running into some difficulties this month. Our programme is that during the non-monsoon months we should be able to handle 1.5 million tons of grains in all our major ports and 1 to 1.2 million tons during the monsoon months. However. on accounts of bunching of vessels we are now finding it rather difficult to handle cargo in the way we have programmed for. However, this month as against 1.2 million tons, we may have to even handle much more than that, for which we are making every effort for the successful handling of the vessels that come.

The hon, member made a pussing remark that that has been a tendency to accept vessels only at Bombay and other ports are not given due consideration. This is not a fact. Bombay, of course, is handling the bulk, because we have about five berths there and one berth is going to be added exclusively for foodgrains. So, six berths would be working only for food ships in Bombay. In addition, we have port installations to handle mechanically the discharge of grains from the vessels. For these reasons. Bombay is more suited to handle a larger import of foodgrains. Even though we have all these facilities we had to divert two vessels from Bombay to Madras, because there has been heavy hunching. We could not divert more vessels to Kandla, because Kandla also at the moment is somewhat congested.

Shri C. M. Poonachal

However, we are making every possible effort.

The other arrangement we are making is that we would be discharging these big tankers into Liberty coasters and ships at mid-stream and taking them various ports, so that demand on berth facilities may be minimised. With all these arrangements, it is our hope that the programme which has been drawn up for import of foodgrains during the year will be successfully handled in spit of the difficulties we have to encounter. I can give the assurance that with regard to port handling, we will try to do our utmost. Much depends upon the rail and road movement and the facilities available at the various port areas.

Mention was made that Manhattan, the big tanked which is about to reach our port, has been arranged to be brought to Bombay and it has not been taken to Kandla or Paradip. It is not so. Hecause this bulk carrier has to be taken to a port from where the grain so discharged could be moved quickly by railways, we had to take it to Madras. We could take it to Paradip. But Paradip port by itself cannot handle the discharge. We do not have a rail connection to Paradip. We cannot g oand unload it at Paradip and then move it by trucks. 70,000 tons of grain is coming in one load. So It has to be taken to a port which could handle it.

Shri Linga Reddy: What I said was that it could be taken to Paradip and....

Shri C. M. Poonacha: The idea is that it is not going to be unloaded at Paradip but it will be taken to Paradip from where it will be unloaded into "liberty" ships and moved to Calcutta. But the difficulty is, Paradip is a newly constructed port and we are not still fully organised at that port. So the owners of the vessel

themselves insisted that it has to be discharged at a port which is acceptable to them. This is one of the conditions of the charter party. Therefore, we had to accept their decision to unload this eargo at Madras. Then, Madras has all the other facilities of movement of foodgrams which, when it is discharged in Madras, could be organised to the best possible advantage.

Mention was made that we are not using the facilities available in other ports such as Vishakhapatnam, Mangalore and others. It is not correct. We have this year handled about 53,000 tons of foodgrains import through Vishakhapatnam. through Cochin about 48,000 tons, through Mangalore about 12,000 tons. The hon. Member would be knowing that Mangalore is a seasonal port. After 15th May we cannot handle any vessels there, Only for about 6 to 8 months in a year or, at the most, 9 months we can work vessels, and that too mid-stream which is very expensive. Even then we have made use of Mangalore port and discharged about 12,000 tons of foodgrains.

Shri Linga Reddy: I said that Mangalore port has to be developed quickly and made an all-weather port.

Shri C. M. Poonacha: When the major port comes into being, no doubt, we will take big tankers there. At the moment it is mid-stream unloading. Even with these difficulties and handicaps we have made arrangements to unload vessels wherever possible, so that our port capacities are used to the maximum and this heavy programme of foodgrain import is successfully handled.

#### 17.53 hrs.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Eleven of the Clock on Tuesday, May 17, 1966/