LOK SABHA DEBATES

(Fourth Session)



(Vol. XVIII contains Nos. 51-61)

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

ONE RUPEE (INLAND)

FOUR SHILLINGS (FOREIGN)

CONTENTS

							COLUMNS
Oral Answers to Questions—							
*Starred Questions os.	1177 t	0 1184	ı				. 13949—77
Short Notice Questions Nos. 13 and 14							. 13977—81
Written Answers to Questions—							37// 02
Unstarred Questions Nos.	. 2713	to 27	ro.				. 13982 —85
Calling Attention to Matters of				porta	nce-		
(i) Alleged scarcity of su				•			. 13985—89
(ii) Outcome of visit of the tries to Austria and V	e Mini	ister of	Steel	land	Heav	y Indu	s- . 13989
Papers laid on the Table .				•	•	•	
Committee on Private Members'	Bills			· tions.		•	13989—91
Minutes							13991
Committee on Petitions-							327
Minutes							13991
President's Assent to Bill .							13992
Committee on Absence of Memb	ers—						
Fifth Report							13992
Public Accounts Committee—							
Twelfth Report .							13992
Correction of Answer to Starred	Quest	ion N	o. 63 7	,			13993
Statement re : Part I of the Repo		the A	torne	y-Ge	neral	and	
Shri A.V. Visvanatha Sasti	ri				•	•	
Shri Kanungo Re: Busi ess of the House	•		•		-		13993—96
		A D:11	: .			_	996—14000
Constitution (Seventeenth Amendment) Bill—introduced							
Companies		or the	luiry	into			1411230
Shri Kanungo .							1400112
Shri Daji				_			14024-42
Shri R.G. Dubey .							1404250
Shri Sumat Prasad							14050—56
Shri Krishnapal Singh							
Shri C.K. Bhattacharyya	a						14058—66
Shri U.M. Trivedi							1406778
Shri Mahesh Dutta Mis	га						14078—87
Shrimati Renuka Ray							1408792
Shri Surendranath Dwiv	redy					. 14	092-14102
Shri Shashi Ranjan							14102-08
Shri M.L. Jadhav .							1411215
Shri Tridib Kumar Cha	udhur	i					14115-25
Shri D.C. Sharma.							14125—30
Documents re: certain Companies and opinion of Additional Solicitor							
General—laid on the Tabl	е.	•	•	•	•		14108—12
Daily Digest				·		<u> </u>	1413136

^{*}The sign + marked above the name of a Member indicates that the Question was actually asked on the floor of the House by that Member.

13949

13950

LOK SABHA

Monday, May 6, 1963/Vaisakha 16, 1885 (Saka).

The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock.

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]
ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS
Naval Base in Eastern Zone

*1177. Shri Subodh Hansda: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether a proposal to establish a Naval Base in the Eastern Zone of our country is under the consideration of Government:
 - (b) if so, its location; and
- (c) whether any preliminary survey has been made in this regard?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Defence (Shri D. R. Chavan):
(a) to (c). Government decided in principle some time ago to the establishment of a major Naval Base and Dockyard at Visakhapatnam. The project is being implemented in a suitably-phased programme.

Shri Suboth Hansda: In view of the fact that there is no adequate arrangement to guard the sea waters of Bay of Bengal, may I know whether it is not desirable to set up this naval base either at Andaman or at Calcutta?

Shri D. R. Chavan: It is a suggestion, Sir.

Shri Subodh Hansda: May I know whether sometime back the Andaman and Nicobar Islands have been surveyed for setting up this naval base?

572 (Ai) LSD-1.

Shri D. R. Chavan: It does not arise out of this.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath. In view of the statement made by the hon. Defence Minister last Monday the Chinese Navy is capable of operating in the Bay of Bengal and the Indian Ocean and in view of some Chinese leaders' reported statement that the key to the domination of Asia is control of the Indian Ocean, is it intended to make this naval base strong enough to meet this threat and if so, does Government propose to obtain assistance and expertise from friendly democratic countries of the west like U.K. and USA, just as the Government is doing for the Army and the Air force?

Mr. Speaker: Does he think all this information should be given?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I am sorry, Sir. He is a very intelligent Minister, no doubt. . . .

Mr. Speaker: The Minister is very intelligent and therefore I was reluctant. I put it to the hon. Member himself whether he thinks that it would be in our interest to say all these things here.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: He himself said last Monday—but it came at the fag end of the question hour.

Mr. Speaker: I leave it to the Minister.

Shri Tyagi: Is the Minister fully conscious of all these problems?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): We are conscious, Sir.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: He may answer the second part of the question whether the Government is prepared to obtain assistance and expertise for the navy, just as for the air force and army from friendly democratic countries of the west?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: As far as taking help from democratic countries is concerned, I think the attitude of the Government is quite clear. It has been explained many times on the floor of the House.

Shri Sham Lal Saraf: May I know how long it will take to establish this naval base at Visakhapatnam and how long it will take to establish a submarine base along with that?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: All these matters are not connected with this problem. As I said, the Government's intention was explained last time. As far as the project is concerned, it will take quite a long time.

Shri S. C. Samanta: Is it not a fact that the World Bank experts, by-thebye, expressed their wish that between Haldia port and Paradip port there is a possibility to establish a naval base?

Mr. Speaker: That is a different thing; it is not connected with this.

Shri R. G. Dubey: May I know whether this matter came in for discussion with Lord Mountbatten, British Chief of Staff, and whether there was a coordinated view taken of this matter?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: The question of this project never came in for discussion

Diplomatic Immunity Enjoyed by Indian Diplomats Abroad

*1178, Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the number of officers and other personnel in Indian missions abroad who enjoy diplomatic immunity from civil as well as criminal prosecution;

- (b) whether the claim to diplomatic immunity of one or more such officers or personnel has ever been challenged; and
- (c) if so, when, where and in what circumstances?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shrimati Lakshmi Menon): (a) All India-based diplomatic officers and the members of their families enjoy immunity from civil as well as criminal prosecution. Non-diplomatic staff and members of their families enjoy immunity from criminal prosecution but in respect of civil proceedings the immunity is limited to actions performed by them in the course of their official duties.

(b) and (c). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: So far as the various echelons of diplomatic personnel are concerned, at what level or rank does diplomatic immunity cease to operate, and may I know whether not merely the diplomats and their families but also their cooks and other servants, clerks and chambermaids are also given diplomatic immunity in foreign countries?

Mr. Speaker: Is he very particular about the last portion?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: That is what is reported in some London papers.

Mr. Speaker: About chambermaids he wants to know particularly?

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: As far as the domestic servants of diplomatic officers are concerned, they enjoy immunity from civil and criminal proceedings only to the extent admissible by the receiving State.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Arising out of the answer to parts (b) and (c) of the question, is the Government aware of certain proceedings launched last year—I believe last year or the year before that—or sought to be

launched against an officer of the Indian High Commission in the United Kingdom for mal-treatment of his servant and in this case diplomatic immunity was invoked; and, also a couple of years earlier an Indian doctor in London sought to prosecute an official of the High Commission in the United Kingdom and there also displomatic immunity was invoked and no proceedings could be initiated?

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: There was only one instance where diplomatic immunity was challenged as far as we know, and that was in London. There one Indian member of the staff misused a railway pass and the case was withdrawn by the local government on grounds of immunity. That is why I stated in reply to parts (b) and (c) of the question that we have not got all the available information so far. Therefore, I cannot say categorically 'yes' or 'no' to this question. Except for this stray incident we do not have any other information. When we get the information, we will place it on the Table.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Is it being collected?

Shri Kapur Singh: May I know whether there have been any or many cases of gross abuse of diplomatic immunity by many members of our diplomatic corps abroad since independence? I want a general answe, only.

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: The general answer is 'no'.

भी यक्षपाल सिंह : वया सरकार ऐसे कर्मचारियों को जिन्होंने कि इस तरीके से इस प्रविकार को मिसयूज किया है, उन को निकालने के लिए सोच रडी है ?

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: I have said 'no' in answer to the previous question.

Shri D. C. Sharma: May I know if these diplomatic immunties are given

on a blanket form or they are given according to the country in which the diplomats serve and according to the ranks which they occupy in the diplomatic service?

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: The whole thing is governed by the relevant articles of the Vienna Convention which lay down what types of officers enjoy diplomatic immunity and what types do not have them.

Assam Rifles for NEFA

*1179. Shri P. C. Boroosh: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have decided to induct some forces of Assam Rifles into Kameng Division of NEFA and other areas vacated by Chinese to enforce law and order; and
- (b) if so, the action taken in pursuance of this decision so far?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shrimati Lakshmi Menon): (a) and (b). When the Chinese withdrew after their eggression in NEFA, the Assam Rifles began returning to their normal posts. Amongst these were a few in Kameng Frontier Division. The Assam Rifles as the traditional civil armed force in the area has always been responsible for law and order in support of the Civil Administration. No new policy decision is, therefore, involved in their return.

Shri P. C. Borocah: May I know whether it is a fact that the Chinese after vacating the occupied areas have left behind a large number of agents and soldiers in NEFA in Mufti who are responsible for creating lawlessness around Dirrang Djong and some other areas there? If so, what is their approximate number and what steps has Government taken to conteract their activities?

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: We do not have any information about the large number of Chinese being left here who have caused disorder. But

13955

the answer specifically refer movement of Assam Rifles to that area and as I have stated, it is for giving protection to the civilian personnel.

Shri P. C. Borooah: May I whether Assam Rifles personnel have been adequately armed with auto. matic weapons just to counteract the Chinese agents who have got automatic weapons also?

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: Thev are armed with the usual weapons as much as is required.

Shri Basumatari: Since the remarkable work done by the Assam Rifles has been admitted by the Government, may I know whether the discrimination between the pay of the members of the Assam Rilfles and the pay of the other military personnel will be removed?

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: The disparities are almost minimal. For instance, the pay of a Rifleman was only Rs. 3-50 less than that of Army counterpart.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: The hon Minister has stated that after the Chinese withdrawal some instructions were issued. May I know what are the places from which the Chinese have withdrawn and which been occupied by the Assam Rifles?

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: I never said that any instructions issued. I only said that they moved in.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: What places have been reoccupied?

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: In Kameng Frontier Division upto Khinzemane. They have moved Bum La to clear the pass for the return of the prisoners-of-war.

Shri Hem Barua: In view of fact that the Colombo proposals stipulate that we can send our Army personnel upto the MacMahon Line, what is the objection in particular in

our implementation of the Colombo proposals in this respect-it might be unilateral-and supplement the Assam Rifles with our armed forces in NEFA?

Mr. Speaker: The first part is not relevant to this question. It is only about sending our forces. His main question only relates to the unilateral implementation of the Colombo proposals and not to this question at all. Only at the end he adds, as to why they may not be used for occupying that area.

Shri Hem Barua: May I submit that the Colombo proposals stipulate that we can send our army personnel upto the MacMahon Line?

Speaker: Should we these forces there?

Shri Hem Barua: No. Sir.

Mr. Speaker: The question is about the Assam Rifles.

Shri Hem Barua: The Assam Rifles is only a police force. That is why I wanted to know whether any steps are taken to supplement them with our regular armed troops.

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs and Minister of (Shri Jawaharlal Atomic Energy Nehru) rose-

Shri Tyagi: On a point of order, Sir. It has been a convention in the House not to ask questions about the movement of the armed forces. If it is said as to where we have moved our armed forces, it will go against security. Therefore, I pray that such questions may be prohibited.

Mr. Speaker: He might resume his seat. There is nothing objectionable.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The hon. Minister can say that,

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: So far as I know, apart from the Assam Riffes and the Assam Police, no military formations have been sent to those areas thus far. It is for our Defence people to determine when and where they are required.

Shri Swell: Is it a fact that although the members of the Assam Rifles perform similar duties as performed by the members of the regular, army and are called upon to bear the brunt of the rigour of the NEFA operations, they do not receive the same amenities as the members of the regular army in respect of payrations etc.? If that is a fact, may I know the reasons that stand in the way of the Government doing so?

Mr. Speaker: A similar question was asked and that has been answered.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: Sir. the differences used to be more marked. They have been reduced considerably. The Assam Rifles have done very fine work and we do not wish any discrimination against themcertainly not. But there are obvious reasons. One is, the army is meant to serve anywhere they are sent to, in India or outside. Assam Rifles are limited to the area relatively near their homelands. And the training etc. given to the army is far superior far more lengthy, far more complicated than that of the Assam Rifles.

Shri Swell: I would like to have my question answered in full.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: My colleague has just said the differences in pay etc. are minimum. They are very small now.

Shrimati Lakshmi Menon: And so far as the rations are concerned when they are under operational command get the same scale of rations as are given to the army.

Shri Tyagi: May I take it that the conditions on the movements of armed troops of India which were just announced by the Chinese at

the time of their withdrawal from this area were only unilateral and that India does not stand committed to whatever restrictions they have announced and that they are free to move? Is that the policy.

Mr. Speaker: He is putting a general question.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: We are free to take any steps that we consider necessary.

Shri D. C. Sharma: May I know if the strength of Assam Rifles is adequate to meet the civil defence needs of this region not only at the time when it is not in trouble, but also at the time when there is some kind of emergency going on there?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I am sorry. I have not understood his question.

Mr. Speaker: Is the strength of the Assam Rifles adequate enough to safeguard or to discharge those duties entrusted to them not only in the normal times but also during emergency?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: It is a difficult question to answer. It depends....

Mr. Speaker: That is all right. I also wanted that it need not be answered.

Foreigners Employed in A.I.R.

*1180. Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 977 on the 22nd April, 1963 and state:

- (a) the number of foreigners employed in each of the language units of All India Radio separately on the 1st April 1959, 1st April, 1961 and 1st April, 1963;
- (b) whether steps are being taken towards complete Indianization of the personnel of such units, where foreign nationals are still employed; and
 - (c) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting (Shri Sah Nath): (a) A Statement is laid on the Table of the House [Placed in the library, see No. LT-1312|631.

Oral Answers

(b) and (c). Efforts are being made to indianize the language units of All India Radio to the extent practicable.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It is mater of gratification that complete Indianisation of personnel has taken place in only two units, English and Portuguese. the other units there are still foreign nationals employed. Is it a fact that, taking one of the language units as an example, some of the personnel employed formerly in the Chinese unit were arrested cently anti-Indian for activities. espionage operations? Is it a fact that the announcer or the broadcaster actually used to depart from script that had been earlier approved to suit his own purposes? May know what precautions, what steps, are being taken to prevent repetition of this kind of activity in these foreign language units which may be friendly to the Chinese, including the Chinese unit itself?

Shri Sham Nath: There was some trouble regarding some announcers in the Chinese unit and one of them was arrested and some enquiry made. As regards the foreign language units, generally we take all possible care to scrutinise the antecedents of the people who are ployed in these language units and I do not think there has been any trouble or difficulty in regard to the personnel of any other units.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The Minister said, steps are being taken to Indianise the personnel in the foreign language units. But actually, the statement laid on the Table of the House shows that in some units there has been increase in the number of foreign personnel employed in language units. What is the reason for

this foreignisation if I can use that word?

Shri Sham Nath: We take all possible steps to employ as many suitable Indians as we can, but for broadcasting purposes it is very necessary to have people who can speak foreign languages with the correct accent, pronunciation and fluency. So, some- . times it is very difficult to get suitable Indians. At the same time as I have already said, Sir, we are always trying to have more and more Indians in all our units.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: That a serious reflection upon our own Indian personnel, because that Indians cannot speak for instance French with a good accent. The Prime Minister knows that Indians speak foreign languages with accent.

Mr. Speaker: The answer has been given.

Shri Hem Barua: India occupies the second place in the world so far as knowledge of foreign languages concerned.

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): Apart from some individuals speaking good French or good anything else, it is very very difficult to reproduce the manner of speaking of a foreign tongue by a foreigner by us. It may be, having adopted English as a step mother tongue, so far as English is concerned, we may be able to speak with tolerable facility but, so far as the other languages are concerned, it is extraordinary difficult. It is difficult for an Englishman speak French properly, leaving the Indians. In fact generally, if an Indian knows French he will probably speak with a better accent than an Englishman. It becomes matter of laughing stock to the listener at the other end if the language is distorted in pronunciation etc. by the announcer.

Shri Tyagi: How long were the Chinese employees of these units allowed to continue after the Chinese aggression? For how many months? Were these worthy Chinese taken on the recommendation of Chou-En-lai's Government?

Shri Sham Nath: I have no information whether these persons were employed on the recommendation of any foreign government, but it is a fact that some of them continued there and there was an inquiry because of a complaint against one of them.

Shri Tyagi: For how many months were they continued?,

Shri Sham Nath: About two months, Sir.

डा॰ गोविंद बास: प्रधान मंत्री जी न जो यह कहा है कि विदेशी भाषा का ठीक तरह से उच्चारण करने में बहुत दिक्कत होती है यह बिल्कुल सही है। लेकिन मं जारना चाहता हूं कि न सब स्थानी रप भारतीय नियुक्त हो सकें, इसके लिए क्या प्रयत्न किये जा रहे हैं? क्या कुछ एक भारतीयों को कोई विदेशी भाषायें सिखाई जा रही है या ग्रीर किसी प्रकार का प्रशिक्षण दिया जा रहा है?

श्री शाम नाथ: िर्निस्ट्री श्राफ एजु-केशन की जी फारैन लैगुएजिज स्मालर-शिप स्कीम है, उसके सिलसिले में ए० श्राई श्रार० परसनेंल को फारन लैंगुएजिज की ट्रेनिंग के लिए भेजा जाता है। उसके साथ साथ जो हमारी इंडियन मिशंज हैं दूसरी कंट्रीज में, उनके ज़रिये भी जो क्वालिफाइड लोग ई. उनको हासिल करने की कोशिश की जाती हैं। Shri Manaen: May I know Government will indicate whether it is a fact that the Kurseong station of the All India Radio is manned almost catirely by the Nepalese-knowing people who are Indians and who are giving excellent service? If so, may I know whether Government has considered it feasible to have Nepalese-knowing people of Indian origin in the Napalese programme of the All India Radio in Delhi also?

Shri Sham Nath: As regards the Nepalese unit, we have got two Indians and four foreigners. In all, six persons are working in the Nepalese unit. We will note the suggestion of the hon. Member and try to do the needful, if possible, Sir.

श्री यशपाल सिंह: २६ प्रप्रैल की शाम को पौने माठ बजे एक ऐसी टाक बाड-कास्ट की गई थी जिस की लैंगुएज गलत थी म्रीर प्रोनंसिएशन फाल्टी था, क्या यह कुसही है ?

Shri Sham Nath: I would require notice, Sir.

श्राध्यक्ष महोदय: न तो श्रापने जुबान बताई है कि किस जुबान में वह टाक थी और नहीं श्राप नें बताया....

श्री यशपाल सिंहः पीने आठ बजे बताया है ग्रीर तारीख भी बताई है कि २६ ग्रप्रैल थी।

Shri Hem Barua: In view of the fact that the hon. Prime Minister has stated that our accents are not good and we may be laughing stock abroad, may I draw the attention of the Prime Minister to the fact that broadcasts in foreign languages like French and Spanish are done in the South East Asian countries by the local people? In view of that, may I know from the Prime Minister whether the security of our country is not of better or more important than accent?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: The importance is for the recipient to receive it, to hear it, to understand it and to appreciate it. All these factors go if it is not properly done. If the reception is not good, the reaction on the mind also is not good.

Shri Kapur Singh: May I know whether persons of foreign origin acquiring Indian nationality through matrimonial alliance or otherwise are still treated as foreigners for the purpose of such employment?

Shri Sham Nath: I cannot say anything about that off hand, Sir.

Shri Himatsingka: How many persons are supposed to be listening to the French and other foreign language broadcasts?

Shri Sham Nath: As regards broadcasts in French they are directed towards the countries in West Asia. It is not possible to say how many people actually listen to it.

Dr. Sarojini Mahishi: May I know how many foreigners are working in the other units of the A.I.R. besides the language units?

Shri Sham Nath: I would require notice. As regards External services, I have already given figures.

Shri P. R. Patel: I want to know, after the proclamation of emergency, whether it is a fact that the All India Radio, in the foreign broadcasts did not mention invasion or aggression by the Chinese and if so, for how many days.

shri sham Nath: I would require notice. But I do not think it was so, that in our broadcasts the word aggression or invasion was not used

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Apart from those few foreigners whose replacement might be difficult, as referred to by the Prime Minister, could we know whether, for the rest, any time-limit or phased programme has been drawn up to completely Indianise the other language units?

Shrī Sham Nath: As far as broad-casting is concerned, the staff of these units performs two distinct functions. One is translation, the other is broadcasting. As regards translation, there is not much difficulty. We are able to get suitable Indians. For broadcasting, as I said, Sir, it is necessary to have people who can create an impact on the listeners in the target areas. So I would not be able to say anything more on this point.

Shri D. C. Sharma: In the case of two units, Indonesian unit and Swahili unit, the number have gone down. Indonesian has only two persons and Swahili has only one as against three at one time. May I know if the duration of the time of broadcasts to these countries has been shortened in view of the shortage of personnel or some Indians have been found who know the Swahili language and the Indonesian language.

Shri Sham Nath: The duration of broadcasts in these languages has not been shortened.

shri Sham Lal Saraf: Since foreign languages have been introduced in some of our Universities for sometime past now, may I know if they have been able to produce men or women conversant with these languages and if so, by what time the Government propose to get them employed in this section?

Shri Sham Nath: A_S I have already stated we are trying to give preference to Indians. But, when we do not get suitable Indians, we have to employ foreigners.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: May I know in what particular Chinese dialects broadcasts from our Radio stations are made, whether in Cantonese or Jukinese and whether any steps have been taken to recruit Indians from Hong Kong who speak both these languages very fluently?

Shri Sham Nath: As regards Chinese broadcasts, we broadcast in both Cantonese and Kuovu.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: The last portion he has not answered.

Mr. Speaker: Next question.

Indo-Pak. Border Conference

- *1181. Shri P. C. Borocah: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that Pakistani representatives failed to attend an Indo-Pakistan border conference which was to have been held at Latitilla on Saturday, the 6th April 1963;
 - (b) the matters that were to be discussed at the meeting; and
 - (c) whether any reasons for the failure on the part of Pakistani representatives to attend the meeting were intimated to Government?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Dinesh Singh): (a) No, Sir. No meeting was arranged at Latitilla on 6-4-1963.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

Shri P. C. Borooach: May I know whether the Indian authorities have communicated their resentment on the failure of the Pakistan delegation to attend the conference and if so, whether any reply has been received from the Pakistan authorities?

Shri Dinesh Singh: I mentioned, no meeting had been arranged.

Shri P. C. Borooah: May I know how far the border demarcation between Pakistan, Assam and Tripura has been completed?

Shri Dinesh Singh: I am afraid I could not give figures offhand.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I want to know whether it is a fact that the Survey which was being taken up by a joint team regarding Berubari has not yet been completed because documents have not been given by the Pakistan authorities to the Indian Government and if so, what steps have been taken?

Shri Dinesh Singh: I beg to submit that this does not arise out of this question.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: This is important.

Shri Dinesh Singh: This is about a specific meeting in Latitilla that has been referred to.

Shri Hem Barua: In view of the fact that the Indo-Pakistan talks at the official level are very often than not reduced to a mockery because of Pakistan's intransigence, may I know whether the Government propose to have talks at the highest level, at the Prime Minister's level in order to settle all problems including this?

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): Government have no objection to considering all the problems between India and Pakistan at any level, but circumstances must be favourable for that. Naturally, this cannot be done unilaterally.

Shri P. R. Chakraverti: In view of the fact that there is influx of East Pakistan nationals into India, that is, into Assam and the other portion of West Bengal, do Government propose to hold any conference on this issue?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: May I point out that apart from the past influx, there is no influx now or recently? Sufficient steps have been taken. The so-called influx took place six or seven years ago, mostly.

Shri Hem Barua: Even now, it is there, in Tripura, for instance.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I do not know. My information is this. I cannot say about any odd individual coming in.

Shri Priya Gupta: The State Government protested against it.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: What I amsaying is this. I have received information from the State Government, that, broadly speaking, they have taken adequate steps to stop this influx. Nobody can guarantee, on a long border, about any odd individual coming in.

Shri Priya Gupta: The fact remains that the exodus was there from Pakistan.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Instead of seeking my permission to ask any supplementary question or anything of that sort, the hon. Member just shoots up and asks his question that is not fair. He should stand in his seat, and I shall allow him an opportunity as I am allowing to other hon. Members.

Shri Priya Gupta: When the turn comes, the thing goes away. That is the difficulty.

Mr. Speaker: He has not stood so far. I have been watching him.

Shri Priya Gupta: Can I get the reply to my question?

Mr. Speaker: Not at this time.

Shri Priya Gupta: The Prime Minister says that he has not got that information.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Priya Gupta: I seek your permission, Sir. The Prime Minister says that there is no information.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. I shall give him an opportunity if he asks for it in the regular manner, and not otherwise.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I should repeat-and my information is based not only on our own sources but the Assam Government's reports-that by and large there is no infiltration. I cannot guarantee about odd individuals coming in. The infiltration largely took place before 1954;-after that, a little continued; from partition up to 1953-54, there were practically no check-posts. The conditions were the same as previous to Partition, and considerable numbers of people came through, and there was the trouble. After 1954, they were checked, but still some continued to come in. Recently, that is, some months back, the check-posts have been fortified, and it is difficult for people to come except odd individuals who may creep in.

I do not remember the second part of Shri P. R. Chakraverti's question.

Shri P. R. Chakraverti: The other day, that is, during the last week, about 37 families had come, and that was admitted by the Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I forget the second part of the question. I wish to answer that.

Shri P. R. Chakraverti: In view of the influx of these people, is there any proposal to hold any conference?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: As a matter of fact, it had been suggested that this matter might be discussed at the last series of Indo-Pakistan talks, to which Shri Swaran Singh led the deputation or delegation on our behalf. But, apparently, this subject was not raised then. We are perfectly willing to discuss it with Pakistan.

Shri Basumatari: In view of the fact that, of late, the number of infiltrators is increasing in Assam State, am I to understand that this is an encouragement to the people of Pakistan just to cross over the border due to the fact the discussion is going on between the Pakistan and the Government of India?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I could not follow the question.

Shri Basumatari: In view of the fact that the number of infiltrators is, of late, increasing in the Assam State, am I to understand that this is an encouragement to the people of Pakistan just to cross the border as the discussion between the Pakistan and Government of India is going on?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I do not understand this question. I say that they have not been increasing, but they have been decreasing very much, and for months. Odd individuals may come in; but the regular process has stopped.

I do not know what Shri P. R. Chakraverti was referring to. What

has recently happened is the pushing out of some Hindu Pakistani nationals into India. That is a different thing. Some of the Muslim infiltrators here from Assam or Tripura were pushed back; apparently, as a reprisal, some Hindus have been pushed, some Hindu families have been pushed into India.

Shri Swell: My question is related to the overall Indo-Pakistani trouble because I come from a border State...

Mr. Speaker: We cannot take up overall relations here in this question.

Shri Swell: I am coming to that.

In view of what the Prime Minister has just stated— and it has also been admitted that a large number of Pakistani immigrants exist in Assam—May I know whether the fear of these immigrants as well as the desire to create a balance there are reasons that explain why the Prime Minister still refuses to grant a separate State to the Hill areas?

Mr. Speaker: That is a different question altogether.

Withdrawal of Ex-Defence Personnel from Civil Departments

*1182.

Shri Subodh Hansda:

Shri S. C. Samanta:

Will the Minister of **Defence** be pleased to state:

- (a) whether there is any proposal to withdraw the ex-defence personnel from civil departments to make good the shortage in the Defence Forces;
- (b) if so, when such personnel will be called back;
- (c) the number of such personnel in the civil departments; and
- (d) how many have already opted to join the Defence Force?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Defence (Shri D. R. Chavan):

(a) No, Sir; there is no proposal to recall compulsorily ex-Service personnel who have no reserve liability.

Ex-service personnel with reserve liability are being recalled whenever they can be suitably employed.

- (b) Does not arise.
- (c) The information is not available.
- (d) A number of ex-Service personnel having no reserve liability who are serving in civil departments under the Central and State Governments have applied to come back to Armed Forces for service in the emergency, and some of them have been taken back in the Armed Forces. The information about the numbers of such ex-Service personnel is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

Shri Subodh Hansda: A few days ago the Minister said in reply to a question that there is shortage of defence personnel—I mean commissioned officers—and a large number will be recruited for the Emergency Commission. In view of this, may I know whether the ex-officers, who were in the Defence Services, will be called back?

Shri D. R. Chavan: That question has been answered.

Mr. Speaker: Ex-personnel include officers also.

Shri D. R. Chavan: Yes.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: The hon. Minister just stated that some ex-Defence personnel have applied to come back and join the Emergency Commission. Is it also a fact that while they have applied for joining the Army, Government have permitted Gen. B. M. Kaul to take up appointment with a private firm?

Mr. Speaker: That is a different question. It does not arise out of this.

Mr. S. M. Banerjee: It does arise.
Mr. Speaker: There is a separate question coming on that today.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Does it not arise out of this?

Mr. Speaker: Re-employment does not arise out of

श्री बड़े: क्या यह बाद सच है कि जब चाइना का हमला हम पर हम्रा था तब रि टायर्ड झाफिसम् को नोटिस दिया गया था कि वे फिर ग्रामी को ज्यायन कर लें. लेकिन महीने या डेढ महीने बाद उन्हें लेने से इनकार कर दिया गया ?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): The position is that normally every officer who has got a reserve liability has to be given notice, but certainly their recall depends upon their suitability in certain jobs and our requirements.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: In the exhaustive answer it has not been mentioned whether all the ex-Defence personnel have as a rule been asked to give their opinion as to whether they would like to come back or as to whether any criteria have laid down on the basis of which they will be called back for the present.

Shri Y. B. Chavan: Most of the persons who really wanted to come back had intimated their desire to do so. But their actual recalling depends upon their suitability and our own requirements.

Shri Sham Lal Saraf: May I know whether the choice is left to Defence personnel serving in civil departments to come back to the Army or not?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: Normally they are expected to come back.

Shri D. C. Sharma: In view of the fact that ex-Defence personnel generally serving in civil departments, may I know whether those civil departments are not furthering the cause of defence if not directly, least indirectly?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: No, there is no question about that,

Trucks and Tractors

ी 🖟1183. Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 971 on the 22nd April, 1963 and state:

- (a) whether defects, structual or otherwise were detected in Shaktiman trucks and in tractors supplied to Dandakaranya Authority:
 - (b) if so, the nature thereof;
- (c) whether they have since been eliminated; and
- (d) whether there is any programme of mass production of both?

The Minister of Defence Production in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Raghuramaiah): (a) No Shaktiman trucks have been supplied to Dandakaranya Development rity. These vehicles have been issued only to the Defence Services and have proved extremely satisfactory in operation. Tractors have been supplied to the Dandakaranya Development Authority. Certain defects were noticed in the tractors supplied to them.

- (b) The major defects noticed were high oil consumption and power in certain cases.
- (c) The defects have been rectified and the tractors restored to service.
- (d) The proposal to increase the present level of production is being examined.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Answering a question on the 22nd April, a fortnight ago, regarding tractors, the Minister said that the Ministry of Rehabilitation would be in a position to say what the position was. He added that there were certain defects, certain complaints, and that some of the parts were replaced. May I know whether all this was only a euphemism or the reality, and whether the tractors supplied to the Dandakaranya Authority were found completely unserviceable and the Defence Ministry was informed accordingly by the Dandakaranya Authority?

Shri Raghuramaiah: What I was that in fairness to the Ministry of Works, Housing and Rehabilitation it was they who would be in a better position to corroborate what I said, and I still adhere to it. Our information is that with the change of the engine from Mitsubishi to Komatsu, most of these defects have been rectified. That is what I said, and I adhere to it.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: About the trucks, is there any plan or proposal or any blueprint with the Ministry for mass production of the Shatiman trucks, and if so, what stage has this plan or proposal reached?

Shri Raghuramaiàh: We are producing as many as we can, and we are also examining, and to some extent already taking the assistance of the civil sector to push up our production figure.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: This concerns trucks and tractors, both. I may be permited to put two questions. I am only requesting.

Mr. Speaker: Let the first one be put.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I would like to combine both.

I want to know whether it is a fact that the cost of the Shaktiman trucks is much less than the trucks supplied by Premier Automobiles and other private concerns. My second question is . . .

Mr. Speaker: He should not at least say it is his second question.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I want to know whether there was complete enquiry by some officer into the defects of the tractors and it was found that the defect was of a very minor nature and was because of the wrong application of tractors in Dandakaranya.

Shri Raghuramaiah: About the comparative values, I had already stated on the last occasion, without reference to any particular imported product but generally speaking, that while our cost is about Rs. 40,000, the imported cost is about Rs. 50,000. To

the second part of the question, I have nothing to add to what I have said.

भी बड़े: दंडकारण्य में जो ट्रैक्टर भेजे गए हैं उनमें बहुतों में पावर कम होने से क्या दंडकारण्य प्रायारिटी ने सिफारिश की है कि उन को वापस लिया जाना चाहिए?

Shri Raghuramalah: I have already stated that one of the defects discovered in the use of the earlier engine was loss of power and it has since been rectified.

Dr. Govind Das: Is it not a fact that as far as the Shaktiman trucks are concerned, there was never any complaint about them, and it is considered that they are the best trucks possible? So, is there any truth in the news that they showed some defects? (Interruptions).

Shri Tyagi: The hon, Minister should reply in Hindi?

Dr. Govind Das: I am not a faddist about language. If the Minister does not understand Hindi, I must ask in English.

No answer was given.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: Has nis attention been drawn to observations of the Estimates Committee on the tractors that were supplied to the Dandakaranya Authority. that the tractors were not tried in the sort of soil or ground that was in Dandakaranya, and that various other operational difficulties were enced? Has the Government taken note of the observations and made enquiries about that?

Shri Raghuramaiah: Originally, these were meant for Army purposes. Therefore, trials were conducted in areas suitable for that purpose, but of course we have noted the comments of the Committee.

Shrimati Sharda Mukerjee: I would like to know if it is a fact that the

management and staff at Avadi and other ordnance factories comprises of 95 per cent of non-technical officers drawn mainly from the Indian Army and, if so, whether the Government propose to change this out dated practice fairly soon, a practice which has been given up elsewhere in the world.

Shri Raghuramaiah: It does not arise out of this question, but I may say our ordnance factores are manned mostly by qualified people such as engineers with experiences in various industrial establishments prior to recruitment.

Manufacture of Automatic Weapons

*1184. Shri P. C. Borooah: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have invited a Belgian team of experts to advise Government on the ways and means for maximising production of automatic weapons in ordnance factories;
- (b) if so, when the team is likely to visit; and
- (c) the precise matters on which their advice will be sought?

The Minister of Defence Production in the Ministry of Defence (Shri Raghuramaiah): (a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c). Does not arise.

Shri P. C. Borooah: May I know what is the installed capacity of production of automatic weapons in our ordnance factories and what at present is the actual rate of progress in the manufacture of automatic weapons?

Shri Raghuramaiah: I do not know whether my hon, friend wants me to give the numbers of rifles produced. That would not be in public interest.

Shri A. P. Jain: Is the Minister satisfied that the automatic weapons are being produced in numbers and in quality?

Shri Raghuramaiah: We are well on the way towards that objective.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: What are the methods for testing our automatic weapons produced and may I also know whether any foreign collaboration has been invited to maximise production and efficiency?

Shri Raghuramaiah: We have an excellent inspectorate and a competent inspectorate that tests the automatic rifles produced by us. It had gone through all the tests.

Shri Hem Barua: In view of the fact that our frontiers with China are principally masses of mountains, may I know whether Government have taken this compulsion of geography into account and decided to produce light automatic weapons which the Chinese used during this operation?

Shri Raghuramaiah: Naturally all these considerations have gone into an examination of this matter.

Shri Kapur Singh: May I know whether Government have decided to allot the manufacture of certain arms to the private sector and, if so, what are those categories?

Shri Raghuramaiah: At the present moment we are only taking components.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Is it a fact that Belgium offered some scheme in 1957-58 and that was rejected by the Finance Ministry and therefore we did not manufacture automatic weapons?

Mr. Speaker: What has it to do with this question? Can he ask about any scheme which was considered at any time?

Shri S. M. Banerjee: This question is whether Government have invited a Belgian team of experts.

Shri Raghuramaiah: We are still talking with the Belgian gentleman.

Shri P. C. Borooah: May I know whether any equipment is imported from Belgium?

Shri Raghuramaiah: Sir, I could not hear his question.

Shri P. C. Borocah: May I know whether any equipment is imported from Belgium to modernise our arms production?

Mr. Speaker: When he speaks from the Assam border he should speak a little louder.

Shri Raguramaiah: The question is 'not one of border line in the context of the automatic rifles which we are discussing. It may be that if we need something else then we negotiate with various other countries.

Shri Tyagi: Are the Government making any efforts to manufacture light machine guns which could be broken into small pieces and re-assembled on the high hills?

Shri Raghuramaiah: Shri Tyagi knows that this is an old subject. We are giving it of course the highest importance.

Shri D. C. Sharma: May I know if in the preparation of these automatic weapons we are self-sufficient so far as technical skill is concerned or we are taking the assistance of so many other countries?

Shri Raghuramaiah: I am very happy to say that this automatic rifle is entirely our own product based on the skill of our own people.

Mr. Speaker: The House will now take up Short Notice Questions.

J SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS

Permission to Lt.-Gen. B. M. Kaul for Appointment in a Commercial Concern

S.N.Q. 13. Shri D. D. Mantri: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Lt. General B. M. Kaul has been permit-

ted to join a shipping company at Tokyo; and

(b) if so, why he is permitted to' go out of India when the enquiry against him about reverses in NEFAis still going on?

The Minister of Defence (Shrt Y. B. Chavan): (a) Yes, Sir. Officers are normally permitted to accept employment after retirement in commercial firms etc. provided that they have had no dealings with the firms during their service.

(b) Does not arise as there is no enquiry against any individual officer as such. The enquiry is in the nature of a Military appraisal, the scope of which has been fully explained in the House earlier. There is no reason to think that Lt.-Gen. Kaul will not be available, if required, in connection with this enquiry.

Shri D. D. Mantri: May I know whether the Government has put himon the discharged list or on the retired list?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: Naturally; he has retired.

Shri D. D. Mantri: May I know whether any restrictions or conditions have been put on Lt. Gen. Kaul at the time of his departure from India as his evidence in the enquiry shall be supposed to be an essential one?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: No restrictions or conditions are necessary and they are not imposed.

Shri Hem Barua: In view of the fact that Gen. Kaul is the central figure of the enquiry that is conducted into the NEFA reverses, may I know how the Defence Minister could say that the enquiry is not against any individual and that is why he has permitted him to join the company?

Mr. Speaker: The information has been given, and therefore he should put some further question for information.

Shri Hem Barua: May I know whether the Government propose to-

enquire into the actions of individuals conected with the NEFA reverses or not, and if there is one, whether Gen. Kaul is in the picture or not?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: I have answered the question. The latter part of my written answer to part (b) of the question says:

"There is no reason to think that Lt.-Gen. Kaul will not be available, if required in connection with this enquiry."

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Is there not an established rule that if a Government officer, after retirement, wants to join a private firm, up to two years after retirement he has to obtain Government's permission and, if so, has Government granted him permission in this case and what are the reasons?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: Yes, Sir. Permission was given.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Why?

Mr. Speaker: Shri Kapur Singh.

Shri Kapur Singh: I want to put a specific question. May I know—

Mr. Speaker: Is that a reflection on all other hon. Members who have put questions?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: As Compared to his own performance in the past.

Shri Kapur Singh: I want to pinpoint just one aspect of the matter. Is there anything in the terms of the enquiry which may indirectly or directly include Gen. Kaul's conduct or his personal accountability in the matter?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: As far as the report is concerned, it is not in my hands, and I cannot say whether it is there. But I can certainly say that before this permission was given, the Army Headquarters was consulted and they also had raised no objection about it.

Shri D. C. Sharma rose-

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Shri S. M. Banerjee:

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Before Gen. Kaul takes up appointment in the Jayanti Shipping Company, as came out in the newspapers, I would like to know whether he will be asked to give a written statement of the entire thing,—for how much he is ressible in regard to the NEFA reverses; whether anything has been taken from him in writing.

Shri Y. B. Chayan: I think he did give a written statement. There he is functioning as Senior Adviser to the Chairman of the Company in Tokyo.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I asked in connection with the enquiry, not in connection with the Jayanti Shipping Company.

Shri Y. B. Chavan: In the first part of my answer, I have said that he has given a fuller statement.

Shri Birendra Bahadur Singh: During the emergency period, officers are generally supposed to continue in service. How is it that Gen, Kaul has taken over a job elsewhere in this emergency period?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: We are sure that his services will not be required.

Shri Tyagi: What pay was he getting at the time of retirement and what is the pay which Jayanti Shipping Company have offered him? Was it on the recommendation of the Government that this gentleman was employed there?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: There was no question of Government's recommendation to the company concerned. But as far as I know, the pay that he is likely to get in Jayanti Shipping Company is 20,000 dollars a year, without income-tax Exemptions.

Setting up of New Steel Plants

S.N.Q. 14. Dr. L. M. Singhvi: Will Minister of Steel and Heavy Industries be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government proposed to set up two major

steel plants in public sector in addition to the proposed steel plant at Bokaro;

- (b) if so, at what stage of consideration is the proposal; and
- (c) whether Government have commissioned a project report for the purpose?

The Minister of Steel and Heavy Industries (Shri C. Subramaniam): (a) to (c). To meet the demands of the Fourth Plan period it may be necessary to set up one or two new steel plants. In accordance with the recommendations of the Steering Group which has been set up to help the Government to formulate the Fourth Five Year Plan for iron and steel, feasibility studies are conducted in the Bailadilla-Vishakhapatnam and Goa-Hospet region for such plants. A final decision on this is unlikely before the end of this vear.

- Dr. L. M. Singhvi: What would be the estimated capital outlay, targeted production capacity and the pattern of organisation and likely location of these projects and is there any tentative thinking by Government in this matter?
- Shri C. Subramaniam: Just now we are doing the feasibility study. When that is completed, the other things will be looked into.
- Dr. L. M. Singhvi: I want to know whether there is any tentative thinking.
 - Mr. Speaker: Not just now.
- Dr. L. M. Singhvi: May I know whether any preliminary exploration in respect of finding the necessary capital investment for this purpose has been made and if so, whether any negotiations with any foreign collaborators are taking place at present or are likely to take place shortly?
- Shri C. Subramaniam: That stage has not arisen yet.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Increments of Employees of AEC Centre and School, Packmarhi

2713. Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Class IV employees of A.E.C. Centre and School, Pachmarhi, Madhya Pradesh, have not been given increments in pay since 1959:
- (b) if so, the number of employees so affected;
- (c) the reasons for non-payment of increments due; and
- (d) when such increments are proposed to be sanctioned and paid to the said employees?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Defence (Shri D. R. Chavan):
(a) No, Sir. There are no such cases pending.

- (b) to (d). Do not arise.
- A.E.C. Centre and School, Pachmarhi

2714. Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Class IV employees of A.E.C. Centre and School, Pachmarhi, Madhya Pradesh whoes quasi-permanent certificates have not still been issued:
 - (b) the reasons for the delay; and
- (c) when the matter will be finalized and necessary certificates issued to the employees?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Defence (Shri D. R. Chavan):
(a) There are 34 civilian Class IV employees who are eligible for grant of quasi-permanent certificates but who have not been granted the same so far.

(b) and (c). Certain administrative formalities had to be completed. This has now been done and action is in hand to issue the quasi-permanency certificates to the persons concerned.

Rural Industrial Projects

Written Answers

- 2715, Shri D. J. Naik: Will the Minister of Planning be pleased to state:
- Rural Industrial (a) whether the Projects in various States have started functioning; and
 - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of Planning Labour and **Employment** Nanda): (a) and (b). A statement showing the progress of the Rural Industries Projects is placed on the Table of the House. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-1313 [63].

Recruitment from NEFA

- 2716. Shri P. C. Borooah: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:
- (a) whether NEFA Administration has arranged visits of the Army Recruiting party to different parts of the Agency to make an on-the-spot recruitment of personnel to the army;
- (b) if so, the number of persons so far recruited from that area through such selection parties?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Defence (Shri D. R. Chavan): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) It will not be in the public interest to disclose the information on the floor of the House.

Rehabilitation of Indians from Mozambique

- 2717. Shri P. C. Borooah: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:
- (a) whether Government have, of late, decided upon a scheme in consultation with the Gujarat State Government for rendering aid for rehabilitation of the Indians recently repatriated from Mozambique; and
- (b) if so, the progress so far made in the implementation of the scheme?

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs and Minister of Ato-

- mic Energy (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): (a) Government have in consultation with the Government of Gujarat sanctioned a scheme for the grant of financial assistance to repatriates from Mozambique who are unable to maintain themselves. The expenditure on this scheme is to be shared equally by the Central and Gujarat Govern-The Government of Guiarat have also agreed to advance loans at concessional rates of interest the repatriates. The question of further assistance to the repatriates under consideration by Government and is likely to be finalised shortly.
- (b) As some aspects of the overall scheme for the grant of assistance have yet to be finalised implementation has been delayed.

Kalaikunda Air Base

Shri Subodh Hansda: ጎ Shri S. C. Samanta:

Will the Minister of Defence be plased to state:

- (a) whether any areas are proposed to be acquired for Bomb testing for Kalaikunda Air base in Midnapur District, West Bengal;
- (b) if so, when such areas will be acquired;
- (c) what is the total area to be acquired for this purpose; and
- (d) whether compensation will paid to those who will be uprooted?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Defence (Shri D. R. Chavan): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) Government has sanctioned the requisitioning of private land and possession will be taken as early as possible.
- (c) The total area of land required for the Range is 3697 19 acres as under:-
 - (i) Private owned land-1029.47 acres.

- (ii) Forest and State Government land—2667.72 acres.
- (d) Yes, Sir.

Bengali Film "Dada Thakur" 2719. ∫ Shri S. C. Samanta: 2719. ∫ Shri Subodh Hansda:

Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- '(a) whether the Bengali feature film "Dada Thakur" depicts the true life history of a living person in West Bengal;
- (b) if so, the name of the person; and
- (c) whether the person concerned was consulted while declaring "Dada Thakur" the best feature film of 1962?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting (Shri Sham Nath): (a) and (b). The film "Dada Thakur" purports to depict the life of Shri Sarat Chandra Pandit residing at Jangipur, Murshidabad.

(c) No. Sir. Nor was it necessary.

12 hrs.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MAT-TERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

(i) ALLEGED SCARCITY OF SUGAR IN PUNJAB

शी गुलकान (मटिंडा) : मैं खाद्य तथा कृषि मंत्री का ज्यान निम्न अविलम्बनीय के लोक महत्व के विषय की घोर आकृष्ट करता है होर चाहता हूं कि वह इस सम्बन्ध में अपना वक्तव्य दें :---

"पंजाब में चीनी की कमी" और इसका बहुत मधिक मूल्य पर बेचा जानां।"

Mr. Speaker: I received another notice this morning also. I do not

know whether it has reached the Minister or not. If he could answer that also, because there will be no other opportunity.....

The Minister of Food and Agriculture (Shri S. K. Patil): From which State, Sir?

Mr. Speaker: Rajasthan.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi (Jodhpur): I have given the calling attention notice about Rajasthan.

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): It is a general thing, Sir.

Mr. Speaker: It can be answered as a general question, with particular reference to these two States.

Shri S. K. Patil: I will answer supplementaries about Rajasthan, Sir.

Sir, as announced by me on 17th April 1963 in this House, a Sugar-(Control) Order was issued on that date under the Defence of India Rules for taking powers to regulate distribution prices and movement of sugar. This step was taken in order to curb the unjustifiable rise in prices of sugar that had been occurring through February-March and early April, and to ensure equitable distribution reasonable of available supplies at prices. As announced by me maximum ex-factory prices have been fixed for sugar factories in States and a system of allotment of monthly sugar quotas of individual States from specified factories have been introduced. Sugar factories have been directed to supply assigned quantities of sugar to the nominees of State Governments at prices not exceeding the ex-factory prices fixed by the Central Government. The work of procurement of sugar from factories and its distribution at prices commensurate with the notified exfactory prices has been left entirely in the hands of the State Governments.

2. The monthly quota fixed for the State of Punjab is 14,000 tonnes.

[Shri S. K. Patil]

According to information received, the Punjab Government has introduced a scheme under which sugar will be distributed throughout the State at a uniform retail price. The State quota has been distributed by State Government amongst districts of the State, and syndicates of sugar merchants have been pointed for distribution of sugar in urban areas and Zilla Parishads cooperative societies for rural areas. The uniform retail price fixed is Rs. 1.20 per kilogram for average quality sugar and Rs. 1.23 per kilogram for superior quality sugar. The Government has made arrangements with their nominees to lift the sugar allotted from the sugar factories and 9,000 tonnes of sugar is already reported to have reached the principal towns of the State and distribution of this sugar has started at the retail prices fixed by the Punjab Government. The State Government feels that the sugar situation in the State would soon be normal. What plies to Punjab, Sir, equally applies to the States of Rajasthan. and, for that matter, any State. So far as the distribution of these quotas is concerned, it has been entirely left to the State Governments, and give permission at the ex-factory prices which have been fixed. At that price the quotas have got to be lifted. Also, what is to be given to the wholesaler and what is to be given to the retailer has also been fixed by the State Governments in consultation with us, so that there should not be any rise in prices.

श्री गुलकान: क्या मंत्री महोदय के ज्यान में यह श्राया है कि मोगा मंडी में बैसाखी वाले दिन १२५ रुपये म श्राने प्रति क्टिल के हिताब से चीनी बिकी है, जब कि सरकार ने पंजाब में ११२ रुपय प्रति क्टिल भाव नियत किया है श्रीर क्या चीनी के महंगे भाव श्रमी तक चल रहे हैं? यदि हां, तो उनकी रोकथाम के लिए सरकार ने जो कार्यचाही की है, उस का कथा ब्योरा है? Attention to Matters
of Urgent Public
Importance

श्री स० का० पाटिल : जैसा कि मैंने स्टेटमेंट में बताया है, १ रुपया २३ नये पैसे प्रति किलोग्राम का भाव नियत किया गया है, श्रुपर १ रुपया २५ नये पैसे प्रति किलोग्राम का भाव होगा, तो वह इतना नहीं बढ़ा है, जिससे घवराहट होनी चाहिए।

Shri Kapur Singh (Ludhiana): May I know whether the Government have noted the recent Press statement given by the President of the Halwai Union, Jullundur Division that the price of sugar have touched Rs. 130 per quintal as against the control price of Rs. 110 per quintal; if so, what action has the Government taken in the matter?

Shri S. K. Patil: Immediately after that order, some kind of disturbance is bound to occur until the sugar stocks go there and until all these arrangements are done. If the consumers are in a hurry to go and buy no matter what the price is, sometimes it may happen. But I have reports from Punjab that the situation is being steadily normalised.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: May I know whether the attention of the Government has been drawn to the fact that the prevailing prices of sugar in retail have been exhorbitantly high in places like Jaipur and Jodhpur and the Government of Rajasthan has said that this is due to short supply of sugar as a result of shorter allocation made by the Central Government—in place of ten million tons perhaps only seven million tons have been given?

Shri S. K. Patil: Then mililon tons?

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: May be ten lakh tons.

Shri S. K. Patil: It cannot be millions or lakhs, Sir, it can be only thousands.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: I do not know the exact figures, but the report is that less than three-fourths of the requirements have been made available to Rajasthan by the Union Government.

Shri S. K. Patil: We have been watching these quotas for the last four or five years. The quotas that have been given just now are really the quotas that have been continued for a long time, and in case of emergency if a little more sugar is required by any particular State we give that also. We have received no such request from the Government of Rajasthan.

(ii) OUTCOME OF VISIT OF THE MINISTER
OF STEEL AND HEAVY INDUSTRIES TO
AUSTRIA AND WEST GERMANY

Shri D. D. Mantri (Bihar): Sir, I call the attention of the Minister of Steel and Heavy Inudstries to the following matter of urgent public importance and I request that he may make a statement thereon:—

"The outcome of his recent visit to Austria and West Germany."

The Minister of Steel and Heavy Industries (Shri C. Subramaniam): A statement, giving all the details, is laid on the Table of the House (Placed in Library, See No. LT-1314/63].

12.06 hrs.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

COMMERCIAL VEHICLES (DISTRIBUTION AND SALE) CONTROL ORDER, 1963

The Minister of Steel and Heavy Industries (Shri C. Subramaniam):
Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Commercial Vehicles (Distribution and Sale) Control Order, 1963 published in Notification No. S.O. 1274, dated the 1st May, 1963, issued under Section 18G of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951. [Placed in Library, see No. LT-1300/63.]

COTTON CONTROL (AMENDMENT) ORDER AND NOTIFICATION NO. S.O. 1205 UNDER INDUSTRIES (DEVELOPMENT AND REGU-LATION) ACT

The Minister of Industries in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry

(Shri Kanungo): On behalf of Shri Manubhai Shah I beg to lay on the Table a copy each of the following Notifications:—

- (i) The Cotton Control (Amendment) Order, 1963 published in Notification No. G.S.R. 656 dated the 20th April, 1963, under sub-section (6) of section 3 of the Essential Commodities Act, 1955. [Placed in Library, see No. LT-1301/63.]
- (ii) Notification No. S.O. 1207. dated the 27th April, 1963 issued under section 15 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951. [Placed in Library, see No. LT-1302/ 63.]

DEFENCE OF INDIA (FIFTH AMEND-MENT) RULES AND STATISTICAL INFOR-MATION RELATING TO THE WORKING OF PREVENTIVE DETENTION ACT

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Hajarnavis): Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy each of the following papers:—

- (i) The Defence of India (Fifth Amendment) Rules, 1963 published in Notification No. G.S.R. 740, dated the 26th April, 1963, under section 41 of the Defence of India Act, 1962. [Placed in Library, see No. LT-1303/63.]
- (ii) Statistical Information regarding the working of the Preventive Detention Act, 1950, during the period 30th September, 1961 to 30th September. 1962. [Placed in Library, see No. LT-1304/63.]

NOTIFICATION UNDER THE EXTRADICTION ACT

The Minister of State in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shrimati Lakshmi Menon): I beg to lay on the

[Shrimati Lakshmi Menon]

Table a copy of Notification No. G.S.R. 325, dated the 22nd February, 1963, under section 35 of the Extradition Act, 1962. [Placed in Library, see No. LT-1305/63.]

APPOINTMENT OF CENTRAL WAGE
BOARDS FOR IRON ORE MINING INDUSTRY AND LIMESTONE AND DOLOMITE
MINING INDUSTRIES

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Labour and Employment (Shri R. K. Malviya): Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy each of the following papers:—

- (i) Government Resolution No. W.B.-2(1)/62(1), dated the 3rd May, 1963 regarding appointment of a Central Wage Board for the Iron Ore Mining Industry. [Placed in Library, see No. LT-1306/63.]
- (ii) Government Resolution No. W.B.-2(1)/62(1), dated the 3rd May, 1963, regarding appointment of a Central Wage Board for the Iron Ore Mining Industry.

[Placed in Library, see No. LT-1307/63.]

12.8 hrs.

COMMITTEE ON PRIVATE MEMBERS' BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

MINUTES

Shri S. V. Krishnamoorthy Rao (Shimoga): Sir, I beg to lay on the Table the Minutes of the Sittings (13th to 21st) of the Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions held during the current session.

12.8 hrs.

COMMITTEE ON PETITIONS MINUTES

Shri Thirumala Rao (Kakinada)
Sir, I beg to lay on the Table the
Minutes of the Sittings (5th and 6th)
of the Committee on Petitions held
during the current session.

12:081 hrs.

PRESIDENT'S ASSENT TO BILL

Secretary: Sir, I lay on the Table the Finance Bill, 1963 passed by the Houses of Parliament during the current session and assented to by the President since a report was last made to the House on the 29th April, 1963.

12.083 hrs.

COMMITTEE ON ABSENCE OF MEMBERS

FIFTH REPORT

Shri Khadilkar (Khed): Sir, I beg to present the Fifth Report of the Committee on Absence of Members from the Sittings of the House.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshan-gabad): Mr. Speaker, with regard to this, as the session is coming to a close, will you take the pleasure of the House, under rule 327, before the House adjourns? Because, usually, it takes some time, a week or so. Will it be put for tomorrow to ascertain the pleasure of the House?

Mr. Speaker: I will see if it is possible.

12.09 hrs.

PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE
TWELFTH REPORT

Shri Tyagi (Dehra Dun): Sir, I beg to present the Twelfth Report of Public Accounts Committee on Action taken by Government on the Outstanding Recommendations of the Committee contained in their 34th, 37th and 42nd Report (Second Lok Sabha) relating to Civil Accounts. 13993 Statement Re: VAISAKHA 16, 1885 (SAKA) Part I of the Report 13994 of the Attorney-General and Shri A. V. Visvanatha Sastri

12.09 hrs.

CORRECTION OF ANSWER TO STARRED QUESTION NO. 7637

The Minister of Works, Housing and Rehabilitation (Shri Mehr Chand Khanna): Sir, in the reply given to a supplementary to Starred Question No. 637, answered in the Lok Sabha on the 28th March, 1963, I had mentioned that the summary of the recommendations of the Chanda Committee was laid on the Table of the House of the Lok Sabha on 4th January, 1963. It was actually so laid on the 22nd January, 1963.

12.09 hrs.

STATEMENT RE: PART I OF THE REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL AND SHRI A. V. VISVA-NATHA SASTRI

The Minister of Industry in Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): Sir, I rise to make a statement about the request made by some hon. Members of this House last Saturday that Part I of the report of Shri C. K. Daphtary and Shri A. V. Vishwanatha Sastry be placed on the Table of the House. This House will remember that in his statement on the 29th April, the Law Minister had explained, why Government considered it undesirable in the public interest, to place this part of the Dhaptary-Sastry report on the Table of the House. In the light of the discussion on the floor of this House last Saturday and in pursuance of the assurance given to you, Sir, at that time, Government have now looked into the alleged disclosure of this part of the report. They regret to have to say that the documents circulated to some hon. Members of this House appear to be correct copies of Part I of the Daphtary Sastry report, Government deplore the leakage of the secret document, and have already ordered an urgent enquiry into the circumstances in which this leakage place.

Since this part of the Daphtary-Sastry report is already in circulation, Government do not consider that any useful purpose will be served now by continuing to treat this part of the report as secret. I am therefore, laying it on the Table of the House [Placed in Library, See No. LT-1310/

Shri Tyagi (Dehra Dun): I congratulate the Minister.

to the Lok Sabha Secretariat.

63]. Copies have been made available

Shri Daji (Indore): My submission is this. The matter raised by us with your permission did not merely relate to the leakage of some secret official Government document; it was something more than-that. As I had made myself clear on that day, it also concerned propriety. A document which was not to be disclosed in the public interest because it will give an indication about the possible action by Government to the parties concerned has leaked out after the same document was withheld from the House on the ground of public interest and privilege. Therefore I would like to know two things. The hon. Minister says that an inquiry has been instituted. I would like to know the agency that is conducting the inquiry. In the peculiar circumstances of the case where the privilege of the House and propriety are involved, I would request that a small committee of the House itself should be entrusted to inquire as to who is responsible for this leakage. We would like to know who is conducting this inquiry.

Mr. Speaker: That is not the stage at this moment. The Government has instituted the inquiry. When that report comes to us, it would be the stage....(Interruption). This is not the stage when the House should institute an inquiry. The Government has instituted an inquiry. Let that inquiry be completed. Probably some persons might be found guilty and put up for trial or other proceedings might be taken against them....(Interruption). That is not our business now let them make the inquiry at this moment.

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): Our point was only this that it was a question of privilege as this was a document which was concealed from us. Secondly, the hon Minister says that a leakage has occurred which he deplores and says that an inquiry has been instituted. What is this inquiry? Will this inquiry also give documents to somebody?

Mr. Speaker: It is not proper for the hon. Member to say like that.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: It has circulated

Mr. Speaker: It is to be found out as to who is responsible for that and proper steps are to be taken against that. But is this the way in which we should proceed? So far as the question of privilege is concerned, as I said the other day, if it is found ultimately that it was due to the negligence of the Government or that any official of the Government had released it, the question of privilege could arise. Unless we know that-if it is a leakage-there is no question of privilege. There might be a question of propriety, but that is to be seen afterwards, not just now. There question of privilege at this moment. Even the leakage of Budgets has not been held to be a question of privilege. That has already been decided many a time.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: May I seek your protection? It is certainly surprising that the House is not entitled to know even this much as to what is the agency of inquiry.

Mr. Speaker: Certainly, it does look surprising. But I do not think that at this time I should press for the information as to who is inquiring about that.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri (Berhampur): I only wanted to know if the Government could give us any information about the identity of the mysterious Shri Mehr Chand Khanna whose address was given in the letter

Shri A. V. Visvanatha Sastri Mr. Speaker: Unless that inquiry is

Part I of the Report

made and Government knows it, how can it be known?

of the Attorney-General and

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs and Minister Atomic Energy (Shri Jawaharlai Nehru): May I say, Sir, that it is the Intelligence Department of the Government of India which is the only proper agency for such an inquiry....

Shri S. M. Banerjee: SPE. Special Police Establishment or the ordinary Intelligence Department.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: The Intelligence Department of the Government of India.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: They have no intelligence.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Shri Kamath.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): May I ask....

Mr. Speaker: Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath to raise a matter regarding the business of the House.

12:13 hrs.

RE:BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): By your leave, Sir, I should like to refer to this matter which has been causing a lot of anxiety to the House all through the last year, that is, since the House was convened last April. The hon. Minister of Parliamentary Affairs had assured us on the 16th of last month that so far as the future is concerned. the business of the House would be planned correctly. Now, the session is coming to a close-we are at the fag-end of this strenuous Budget session-and still three items are yet to be disposed of, namely, the Bose Commission's Report, the Dhebar Commission's Report and the discussion on the Planning Commission. I

139985

am not including in this the items that were listed in the Lok Sabha Bulletin, Part II, of the 9th of last month which included several other items-four or five other items. suppose, they are now to be shunted off to the next session.

Mr. Speaker: That is too old now.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It has been superseded by the 22nd April Bulletin, but even that includes these two extra items besides the Vivian Bose Commission's Report. We have got before us only 10 or 12 hours more and this time will be fully taken up by the Vivian Bose Commission's Report. It was announced last week that the session would close tomorrow evening, if I remember aright. But what will happen to the other items which were listed in the bulletin as late as the 22nd April-Dhebar Commission's report and the discussion on Planning? They are both important. This Dhebar Commission's report they have been postponing from session to session. I think it must be discussed before this session comes to a close. Also, the Planning discussion was definitely put off on the assurance given by you-I distinctly remember-that it will be discussed before the session comes to a close.

Mr. Speaker: I only said, it would be discussed separately.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Not in the next session; but in this session.

May I submit, before I close, that so far as the business of the next session is concerned, I do hope the Minister will bear in mind the assurance that he gave last time and that there will not be any attempt in the next session to make the House sit late every day, to compel the House to sit late every day and I trust the business of the House will be arranged properly and adequate time and an adequate number of days will be fixed accordingly after consulting you. This must be done. You must be consulted by Government before summoning the Parliament about the date of Commencement as well as the duration of the Session and all that.

Shri Sonavane (Pandharpur): Before the Minister for Parliamentary Affairs answers this, I want to ask one point of clarification. According Bulletin Part II dated 9th April, 12. hours are allotted for the discussion on the motion to be moved by Mr. K. C. Reddy regarding the report of" the Commission of Inquiry appointed to investigate into the administration. of certain Dalmia-Jain Companies. But the 15th report of the Business Advisory Committee states that only 6: hours are allotted for that. I want to know which of them is correct.

Mr. Speaker: That has already beendiscussed in this House.

Shri U. M. Trivedi (Mandsaur): Ithas been unfortunate that during this session, although we made up our mind from time to time to sit only upto 5 Oclock and also from time to time said that we will not sit on Saturdays, we have not been sticking to that. Everytime the hon, Minister-Parliamentary | Affairs-sweet tongue as he has-approached us, asked us to continue upto 6 O'clock or 7 O' clock and like that. Will that beavoided in future? Proper arrangements must be made so that should not be repeated again. This is putting the burden too much. Evenin this hot season we have been made to work till 6 O'clock, 7 O'clock and like that.

Mr. Speaker: When he approaches the hon. Members with that sweet: tongue, they should be able to resist that at that moment and not after.

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shri Jawaharla! Nehru): May I venture to submit, it is a very extraordinary proposal that we must not do this, we must not sit after 5 O' clock. Indeed, I think, the proposal should be that we should and Re: Business of the House

[Shri Jawaharlal Nehru]

must do it if the necessity requires. Here we talk about emergency and hard work and yet we refuse to sit an hour more. I do not understand. Other Parliaments sit all the night, if necessary. Have we grown so soft as to get frightened of a little extra work?

Shri Vasudevan Nair: As the Prime Minister said, we are prepared to sit for the night also. There is one point for the consideration of the hon. Minister. Apart from what Kamath has said, we are having Constitution Amendment Bill which you know will validate the Kerala Agrarian Relations Act which has been invalidated by the High Court. It is a non-controversial Bill having only 3 clauses. I am sure, we can pass it within half an hour. That will help a large number of people. We meet only after three months. I would like the hon. Minister to consider this question also. If possible, we can pass that Bill also.

Shri Buta Singh: May I know from the hon. Prime Minister as to when the report on the Serajuddin Affairs is expected to be placed on the table of the House?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I hope to make a brief statement tomorrow.

Minister of Parliamentary Affairs (Shri Satya Narayan Sinba): My hon, friend Mr. Kamath has said about the present session. I do not know if I, or the Government, or anybody else, can be held responsible. If anybody is responsible, it is the entire House. We know we all decided that the House will continue till the 7th. That is the dead-line there. We would have had taken up both the things, the Dhebar Commission's report and also the Planning Commission's TRport if we had adhered to the schedule which was approved by the House. You know, Sir, because the House wanted and you also decided, the time was extended. How can I do it? I do not know. How am I responsible for this? Naturally, these two items must be carried over to the next session.

About the future business, we will always try to inform the House ten days in advance. I cannot say, three months in advance, to my hon. friends what we are going to do in the next session.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: He has completely misunderstood what I said. In the first place, I never held him responsible for this. As regards the next session......

Mr. Speaker: I have understood him what he wants to say.

He only says, whatever can be finished now, within the next two days, we will finish it, and we are adjourning tomorrow. That is one thing. Whatever is left, even though it is on the agenda, that would be carried to the next session. That is all that he has said. Am I right?

Shri Satya Narayan Sinha: Yes Sir; certainly. For the Vivian Bose Commission Report, we have decided on 12 hours. Our friends will have to sit beyond 5 if they have to finish.

Some Hon. Members: Copy....

Mr. Speaker: They are available at the counter.

12.20 hrs.

CONSTITUTION (SEVENTEENTH AMENDMENT) BILL*

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Hajarnavis): With your permission, Sir, on behalf of Shri A. K. Sen, I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill further to amend the Constitution of India.

^{*}Published in the Gazette of India Extraordinary Part II—Section 2, dated 6-5-1963.

14001

Shri Vasudevan Nair rose-

Mr. Speaker: Is it the same Bill cannected with Kerala?

Some Hon. Members: Yes.

Shri Vasudevan Nair: We are prepared to sit late in the night.

Mr. Speaker: Give him leave, first. The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill further to amend the Constitution of India."

The motion was adopted.

Shri Hajarnavis: I introduce the Bill

12:22 hrs.

MOTION RE: REPORT OF THE COM-MISSION OF INQUIRY INTO DALMIA-JAIN COMPANIES

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo): Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Report of the Commission of Inquiry appointed to investigate into the administration of certain Dalmia-Jain Companies laid on the Table of the House on the 23rd January, 1963, be taken into coasideration."

Shri Bakar Ali Mirza (Warrangal): On a point of order, Sir,..

Mr. Speaker: Let him make the motion.

Shri Bakar Ali Mirza: The motion.

Mr. Speaker: Let the motion come. He can't make the motion: is this the point of order?

Shri Kanuago: At the outset, it may be useful to make a brief survey of the circumstances leading to the Constitution of the Commission. Between 1949 and 1951, numerous complaints were received by Government from

various sources against the management of Dalmia-Jain group and 1952. Government appointed Inspectors to investigate into the affairs of three important companies controlled by this group. The Inspectors found many objectionable features in the management of Dalmia-Jain Airways Ltd., and on the basis of these findings the Registrar of Companies, filed a F.I.R. with the Special Police Establishment in November, 1953, The S.P.E. thereafter conducted a large number of searches all over India for the relevant books and accounts relating to the D. J. Airways and the allied concerns and got hold of valuable material which was subsequently made over to the Commission.

into Dalmia-Jain Companies

While these enquiries were going on, an investigation was ordered into the affairs of Bharat Insurance Company belonging to this group on receipt of some information about the diversion of funds by it. The investigation report submitted in July 1953, disclosed considerable manipulation of the company's funds and callous disregard of the interests of the policy holders. Subsequently, the company's failure to produce the securities held by it for its auditor's physical verification came to Government's notice whereupon the matter was entrusted to the Special Police Establishment. Following the investigation by Special Police, Shri R. Dalmia was arrested and subsequently prosecuted on charges of criminal conspiracy and breach of trust under section 120B read with section 409 of the Indian Penal Code. He was convicted and sentenced to two years simple imprisonment in 1959.

Following the enquiries and investigations mentioned above, Government felt that a comprehensive investigation into the affairs of the companies managed by the Dalmia-Jain group was called for in order to ascertain the full facts about the modus operandi of the management, to expose the malpractices committed by the persons connected with these concerns and to recommend the steps to be

(Shri Kanungo)

14003

taken to prevent such malpractices in future. Government, therefore, up a Commission of Inquiry in December, 1956, under the provisions of Commission of Inquiry Act, 1952, to enquire into and report on the administration of nine companies, nature and extent of the control, direct and indirect, exercised over such companies and firms or in any them by Shri Ramkrishan Dalmia, Shri Jai Dayal Dalmia, Shri Shanti Prasad Jain, Shri Sital Prasad Jain, Shri Shriyans Prasad Jain, their relatives, employees and persons concerned with them and also to report what measures in the opinion of the Commission were necessary to ensure in future due and proper administration of the funds and assets of companies and firms in the interest of the investing public. Subsequently, a tenth company, namely Dalmia Dadri Cement Limited was added to the list.

The commission was originally headed by the late Shri Justice S. R. Tendolkar of the Bombay High Court. On his resignation, Shri Justice Vivian Bose was appointed chairman 1958.

Numerous petitions before High Courts and appeals before the Supreme Court as well as applications before the commission itself by R. Dalmia and other challenging the validity of the appointment of the commission, its constitution, its jurisdiction etc. held up the proceedings before the commission for a considerable time and delayed the completion of its work by nearly two years. The commission has also mentioned the dilatory tactics adopted by companies whose affairs were vestigated and the persons in charge of their management in not producing the necessary evidence before commission and the destruction valuable records with the object of thwarting the efforts of the commission considerably delayed its progress

The commission submitted its port in two parts; the first part which dealt with the irregularities malpractices committed in the scheduled companies under investigation was received by Government on 18th. June, 1962. The second part of report which contains the commission's recommendations as to steps to be taken to prevent recurrence of such irregularities and malpractices in future was received 31st October, 1962.

It may be pertinent to mention in this context that the original notification appointing the commission that in addition required to findings on the alleged malpractices, the commission should recommend the action which in its opinion should be taken 'as and by way of securing redress or punishment' This portion of the terms of reference of the commission was held by the Supreme Court to be ultra vires of the Commission of Enquiry Act on an appeal preferred against the order of the Bombay High Court in several writ petitions field by Shri R. Dalmia and others soon after the appointment of the commission. The original terms of reference thus stood modified accordingly. The commission, therefore, was precluded from making any observation or recommendation in report as to the action needed 'as and by way of securing redress or punishment' in respect of the persons whom personal responsibility had. been fixed by the commission for the irregularities and malpractices. The of the above decision of implication the Supreme Court was that commission was placed essentially inthe position of a fact-finding tribunal. Its report thus embodies the able facts supported by documentary and other evidence relating to the various irregularities and malpractices of the scheduled companies noticed in the course of the inquiry. In any judicial action these facts will, therefore, have to be proved in the usual way as before any competent court of law.

14006

The finding of the commission in a summaried form are as follows. The funds of public limited companies. banks and insurance companies were improperly used for buying shares of other companies with large accumulated reserves and substantial liquid resources in order to enable Dalmia-Jain group to obtain control over - them. As a consequence, the investing public which held shares in the public companies thus brought under the control of Dalmia-Jain group suffered. Assets of companies were improperly transferred to others with the object of benefiting the Dalmia-Jain group and causing loss to the shareholders of the transferor companies. Such transfers were made by mere book entries and at prices manipulated by the group. Assets of public companies under the control of this group were transferred to private companies closely held them which were subsequently put into liquidation. The help assistance of obliging liquidators and chartered accountants were obtained by the group for securing the maximum benefit for themselves by resort to this process. Dummy concerns were fraudulently appointed as selling and managing agents at high rates of remuneration for long terms and were paid large sums as compensation on premature termination of their contracts within a short period of their appointments.

Numerous other gross irregularities were found to have been committed by this group, such as fraudulent payment to themselves of under-writing commission, allotment of shares to fictitious persons, fabrication of accountbooks, vouchers and other documents. manipulation of balance-sheets fictitious entries relating to intercompany loans and transfer of funds to take advantage of different financial years of various companies.

All but two of the ten companies whose affairs were investigated by the Commission dishonestly refrained from declaring dividends, thus bringing about depression in the value of

their shares enabling R. Dalmia to purchase such shares at reduced rates and causing considerable loss to the investing public. After a substantially large number of such shares had been acquired by R. Dalmia, dividends were declared thus benefiting the new owner.

The Commission found that the income-tax liability of the companies belonging to this group was evaded in various ways such as by the suppression of taxable profits by manipulation of accounts. by extinguishing reserves and accumulated profits before taking companies into liquidation, by introducing secret profits by allotment of shares to non-existent persons and by transferring all assets and liabilities of companies which were taken into liquidation by schemes of arrangement under the Companies Act before the determination of their tax liabilities. The Commission estimated the amount of tax evaded by these companies on account of their questionable methods at Rs. 150 lakhs. The Commission has also estimated the loss arising to the investing public on account of the various manipulations and malpractices at Rs. 260 lakhs.

The Commission has held Shri R. Dalmia to be the master mind behind all the malpractices and as being responsible for all the frauds, including losses to the exchequer and the investing public. Second only to him, the Commission has found Shri Shanti Prasad Jain to be a key man in some cases and has laid on him the responsibility for several fraudulent acts and manipulations as well as for several matters considered by the Commission as being in disregard of honest practice. The commercial others whose conduct has been criticised by the Commission in connection with several individual transactions are J. Dalmia, Shriyans Prasad Jain and Shital Prasad Jain.

In view of the Supreme Court's ruling regarding the terms of referenc of the Commission to which I referred earlier, it became necessary [Shri Kanungo]

14007

for Government to consider what further penal or administrative action by way of punishment or redress, they can take against the persons concerned on the basis of the material available in the report. This necessarily called for a detailed study of the Commission's voluminous report in the departments concerned, after it was received by Government.

This study was in the first instance, undertaken in the Department Company Law Administration in consultation with the Law Ministry and on a consideration of this study, as the House i_S aware, Government requested Shri C. K. Daphtary, the then Solicitor-General and Shri A. Vishwanatha Sastri, a retired Judge of the Madras High Court, to consider the report and advise Government as to the steps that could be taken in pursuance of the findings of the Commission. The report of these two eminent lawyers was received by Government on 25-4-63. Government have now examined this report and are considering suitable action on the suggestions and recommendations contained in it.

As the House was informed on the 29th April by my colleague, the Minister of Law, the report of Shri C. K. Daphtary and Shri A. V. Vishwanatha Sastri consists of two parts. Part I deals with the various irregularities and malpractices which were commented upon by the Vivian Bose Commission, and examines what further appropriate legal action can be taken by Government in respect of them. The Committee is of the view that the available evidence relating to about ten of these questionable transactions indicates the possibility of suitable action in a court of law against the persons found by the Vivian Bose Commission to have been involved in these transactions, but recommends further investigation by Government into these transactions before any legal action in respect of them is initiated. Government are

taking necessary action to this end. Part II of the Committee's report deals with the amendment of the Companies Act and its administration. Hon. Members are aware that this part of the Report was laid on the Table of the House a few days ago. The other part, viz., Part I of the report, has been just laid on the Table of the House in circumstances already explained in my statement before the House earlier today.

Incidentally, I may mention for the information of the House that on the basis of complaints received regarding fraudulent use of corporate funds, Government have ordered investigation under section 237 of the Compapanies Act into the affairs of flive companies which are under the control and management of Shri S. P. Jain a leading member of Dalmia Jain group. They are Benett Colman Ltd., Rohtas Industries Ltd., New Central Jute Mills, Ltd., Ashok Marketing Co., Ltd., and Sahu Jain Ltd. In regard to Ashoka Marketing Co., Ltd., an investigation under Section 249 has also been ordered to determine whether it is an associate of the Managing Agency concern, Sahu Jain Ltd. Further, an investigation under section 235 has also been ordered into the affairs of Asia Udyog Private Ltd., which is one of the ten companies covered by the Commission's enquiry.

I would also like to mention that. pending amendment of the Companies Act in the light of recommendations made by the Vivian Bose Commission and the Attorney-General and Shri Vishwanatha Sastri, and in view of the strong comments made by the Commission on the business standards of some of the principal members of this group in regard to the management of several joint stock companies with which they are associated, Government have considered it necessary to exercise extra care and vigilance through the various administrative Ministries in the consideration of applications for grant of licences and

statutory approvals under the Companies Act and other laws and other facilities for setting up new lines of business or the substantial expansion of existing ones by companies or persons belonging to this group. I may also mention in this context that two members of the group have vacated their offices in a leading banking concern, after the publication of the Report.

In considering this report, I submit, it is necessary to bear in mind that the various irregularities and malpractices pointed out Vivian Bose Commission occurred at a time when the Company Law in force was not the Act of 1956 amended by the Act of 1960, but the Act of 1913, a much milder piece of legislation based on the pholosophy of laissez faire of an earlier genera-Further, the Department of Company Law Administration was not in existence at that time, and the responsibility for the administration of the Act, such as it was, was left to the State Governments. Indeed, in that period, it was only in the States of West Bengal and Bombay that there were full-time Registrars of Companies, the Registrars in other States being all part-time officers. who were also Registrars of Co-operative Societies, Directors of Industries, etc. This necessarily resulted in inadequate administration or enforcement of the Act. Hon, Members will recall that one of the main objects of setting up the Department of Company Law Administration in 1955 in the Central Government was to replace this unsatisfactory arrangement by an administrative set-up, which, if it was properly equipped with the requisite tools, could ensure effective administration of the Companies Act, and if it was properly organised could exercise adequate supervision over the corporate sector.

Hon. Members are no doubt aware that Company Law, which is by far the most important piece of legislation designed to regulate commercial practices in the corporate sector has

Commission of Inquiry into Dalmia-Jain Companies

undergone /considerable changes since the period covered by the commission report (1945-1955) by virtue of the amendment of the Companies Act effected first in 1956 and subsequently in 1960. A large number of questionable transactions which might have been within the four corners of law under the 1913 Act are no longer so, and have become punishable under the present Companies Act. A number of wholesome restrictive provisions to check misuse of powers by corporate management have been introduced in law and numerous safeguards of a preventive or prophylactic nature have been provided to ensure that unhealthy corporate trends are kept under control. The concept of "associates" and "relatives" introduced in law for the first time in 1956 have effectively restrained company managements from entering transactions of a dubious nature with their relatives or members belonging to their group by making such transactions valid only if they are sanctioned by the General Body in some cases and by the Central Government in others. It is no longer possible to make advances or loans to concerns with which the directors of public companies are associated without the approval of the Central Government. It is also not possible for a public company to make investments in the shares of other companies beyond certain limits without such approval. The associates of managing cannot be appointed as selling or buying agents and compensation for termination of managing agency agreements can be paid only on lines permitted by law. Public companies cannot freely be converted into private ones and accounts of branch offices have got to be compulsorily audited unless exemption is granted by the Central Government. Payment of managerial remuneration has been brought under control and certain safeguards have been provided in law to prevent any ownership of shares affecting prejudicially the interests of share-holders. Increased powers have been granted to government to order an investigation in suitable cases and provision-

[Shri Kanungo]

audit of accounts under for special certain circumstances has been introduced.

Motion re:

I mention these changes effected in 1956 and 1960 in company law by way of illustration only, to submit to the House that the tightening of the Companies Act that has been effected since the happening of events commented upon by the Commission has considerably reduced the possibility of the recurrence of such events, a fact that has been noted by the Bose Commission in its report. In para 2 of the Report, the Commission has observed:

"The Companies' Act of 1956 and the amendment Act of 1960. have certainly brought about farreaching changes to the provisions existing under the Indian Companies' Act, 1913 as amended in 1936. Various deficiencies and malpractices disclosed and discovered in the administration of companies have been lessened and the loopholes, plugged to a large extent.

For example, one of the malpractices which came to our notice, namely, the premature and deliberate termination of managing agencies and payment of compensation for termination, has been effectively dealt with in the Companies' Act as well as in the Taxing Statute. We are therefore left with not many recommendations to make."

That the changes in Law so far effected do not go all the way and do not completely eradicate the evil of mismanagement or dishonest corporate practices has, however, to be acknowledged. Both the Bose Commission as well as the Daphtary Sastri Report have made recommendations and suggestions for the amendment of the present Companies Act in order to plug the existing loopholes and deficiencies. These recommendations and suggestions are being considered by Government along with the recommendations of the Jenkins' Committee which went into the working of the joint stock companies in U.K. last year. In the light of these various recommendations and proposals, a Bill to amend the Companies Act will be brought before the House as early as practicable.

Though a company would be registered as a Corporation under the Companies Act, its operation would be regulated by provisions of statutes which govern those tions depending upon the nature of operations. For example, a such banking or an insurance company is governed wholly as far as its operation goes by the Banking Act and Insurance Act. Similarly a company generating and distributing would be governed by the Electricity Act. A company whose operations are industrial production will be governed by Industries Regulations and Development Act. And above all the incentives and disincentives in any operation would be governed by the various general and special taxation laws. Therefore Company can be adequately regulated only when the various statutes governning the particular field of operation are set in motion along with the Companies Act. This we always endeavour to do.

I do not think, Sir, I need say anything more at present except to assure the House that Government are taking appropriate action regarding the various recommendations of the Bose Commission as well as the Daphtary Sastri Committee.

Sir, I beg to move.

Md. Speaker' Motion moved:

"That the Report of the Commission of Inquiry appointed to investigate into the administration of certain Dalmia-Jain Companies laid on the Table of the House on the 23rd January, 1963, be taken into consideration."

Shri Bakar Ali Mirza: Sir, report of the Attorney-General forms

Commission of Inquiry into Dalmia-Jain Companies

an important part of this document and Members have no time to study that important document. It was laid on the Table of the House today and some of us have not even a copy of it. Even though it is a small document it is a vital document and I think time should be given for us to study that report. In this case it happens to be a small document; but it could have been as big as the report itself. So, I suggest that time should be given to study it.

Shri Kanungo: I may submit that the first part of the report is barely ten pages.

Some Hon. Members rose-

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Under the circumstances in which all this has come, there is no option now, because the House has desired that we must deliberate on it and finish it in this session. At this time we cannot postpone or do anything of that sort.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy (Kendrapara): Everybody has got it.

Shri Sonavane (Pandharpur): Sir, I rise to a point of order. A petition has been presented to the Committee on Petitions by Shri Shanti Prased Jain, and it was circulated to us on the 4th of this month. I would like, in this connection, to invite your attention to sub-rule (3) of rule 307 of the Rules of Procedure which says:

"It shall also be the duty of the Committee to report to the House on specific complaints made in the petition referred to it after taking such evidence as it deems. fit and to suggest remedial measures either in a concrete form applicable to the case under review or to prevent such cases in future."

This is a mandatory provision. It says "It shall also be the duty of the Committee to report to the House on 572 (Ai) LSD—3.

specific complaints made in the petition...." They have circulated Therefore, I think that unless the Committee goes through this petition, investigates and examines the witnesses on specific complaints made in the petition referred to, it is a Committee of the House-it will not be in order to proceed with the discussion in question. I have gone through the petition. Several complaints been made therein; it points out to injustices and certain confusions and contradiction, etc., All these things need a very through enquiry and a subcommittee of this House has there and the petition has been referred to it. So, the House should be enlightened by the deliberations of the Committee-any further evidence that they want to take-and could submit a report on it. will be enlightening us. That will be better for a thorough consideration or this report. Therefore, the discussion would not be in order, unless the Committee on Petition reports on the matter.

Shrimati Renuka Ray (Malda): The report of the Committee on Petitions is with us. It has reported on this and we have got it.

Shri Sonavane: They have circulated it.

Shri Tyagi (Dehra Dun): I am not raising any point of order. But I wanted your guidance in one matter. It is a wide subject and the report is a large, big one, having so many points. Could it be possible for us to know as to what the actual points are which we are required to discuss, so that the discussion may be relate to those specific things? Otherwise, the whole report is a big one. It is not a Government report. It is a Commission's report.

Mr. Speaker: The Minister has tried to say all the points that have been brought out. He has tried to express them, and it is for hon. Members to discuss and deliberate on them. So far as the point of order of Shri

63 Report of the 14
Commission of Inquiry
into Dalmia-Jain Companies

[Mr. Speaker]

Sonavane is concerned, I am sorry I cannot agree with him. Rule 307 says:

"The Committee shall examine every petition referred to it...."

It has examined it.

".. and if the petition complies with these rules, the Committee may direct that it be circulated."

It has directed that it be circulated.

"....where circulation of the petition has not been directed, the Speaker may at any time direct the petition be circulated."

There was no necessity for me because the Committee has already done it.

"Circulation of the petitions shall be in extenso or in summary form...".

That also has been done, in whatever way it was thought proper. Thirdly, the hon. Member relies upon the wordings:

"It shall also be the duty of the Committee to report to the House on specific complaints made in the petition referred to it...".

This is only limited to the specific complaints that are made in certain individual cases whether they have to go into that. There is already the report of the Commission before us. That is to be discussed. In relation to the observations made by that Commission. the petition had laid its own point of view. Therefore, it is not necessary in this case for the committee to make an enquiry, go into everything and then make a separate recommendation. It is only for those specific petitions that relate to any individual matters or when any particular individual sends in grievance that he has that the committee goes into that. Therefore, I cannot agree with him. We will start with the discussion.

Shri Sonavane: Sir, I bow to your ruling. May I submit . . .

Mr. Speaker: Is there going to be a discussion about my ruling? What else can he say?

Shri Sonavane: Sir, this is a very voluminous report. The Attorney-General's report is in two parts. The first part has been placed on the Table just to say. They also form very important and consequential reports. The two are connected matters. So, we have not been able to read and digest them thoroughly. We would need time for that. My suggestion is that if the discussion on this report can be taken up in the next session. the other important matters-the Constitution Amendment Bill and other things-can be taken up, because 12 hours have been allotted

Mr. Speaker: Then, he might make a regular motion. The House has already taken a decision to discuss it in this session. Mr. Bakar Ali Mirza also raised it and I have said that the House has taken a decision that it wants to deliberate on this report just in this session.

Shri A. C. Guha (Barasat): The Vivian Bose Commission's report was out two months ago and there was sufficient time.

Shri Sonavane: In view of the development that has arisen....

Mr. Speaker: I do not think that the House agrees with it. The sense of the House is that this must be discussed in this session. He may make a motion and I will put it to the Houe.

Shri Sonavane: I beg to move:

"That the debate on the Report of the Commission of Inquiry appointed to investigate into the administration of certain Dalmia-Jain Companies laid on the Table of the VAISAKHA 16, 1885 (SAKA) Commission of Inquiry into Dalmia-Jain Companies

House on the 23rd January, 1963. be adjourned to the next session."

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That the debate on the Report of the Commission of Inquiry appointed to investigate into the administration of certain Dalmia-Jain Companies laid on the Table of the House on the 23rd January, 1963 be adjourned to the next session."

The motion was negatived.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi (Jodhpur): In order that our discussion may be more relevant, may I know from the Government whether they can tell us as to whether in respect of any these matters covered bv report, there is any pending legal action? That they should be able to tell us, because while the Minister has told us the various aspects the report itself, and what the Government wishes to do, we should also be told whether there is any litigation pending in respect of any of these matters?

Mr. Speaker: Can the Minister say anything about it?

Shri Kanungo: As far as I know...

Shri Sham Lal Saraf (Jammu and Kashmir): May I say, because will help....

Mr. Speaker: Many things do help but there is a procedure prescribed. I have called the Minister and then he stands up.

Shri Sham Lal Saraf: Just one point, Sir. This morning, while enumerating the charges that have been levelled against this group of Dalmia-Jain concerns, the Minister also expressed the view that such and such charges are proved and so on. When the Government has made up its mind with regard to that also, what other assistance will Government get by discussing this report here? I want to know that.

Shri Kanungo: Regarding the point raised by Dr. Singhvi, I will say that no matter is now pending in any eourt.

Mr. Speaker: The Minister referred to some FIR.

Shri Kanungo: That is not in any court.

Shri U. M. Trivedi (Mandsaur): Has that been withdrawn?

Mr. Speaker: The hon, Member wants to know whether the FIR' has been withdrawn or it is still with the police for enquiry?

Shri Kanungo: The FIR lodged in 1952 is still under investigation.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: After the Commission has submitted its report!

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I heard Minister say that the FIR was lodged in 1952 and that is still under investigation. I have seen one copy of the FIR which was supplied to the District Magistrate of Delhi. It means that the FIR has reached the District Magistrate of Delhi. It means the matter is sub judice.

Kumar Chaudhuri: Shri Tridib (Berhampur): It is not.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: According to you, it is not; according to me, it is; I understand these things better. This matter has been placed before the District Magistrate and is pending before him. It is certainly a sub judice matter. Will it be a justifiable thing to make some remarks about what is mentioned in the FIR?

Mr. Speaker: I have followed it and I appreciate the situation. Of course, the Members shall have to exercise their discretion. The report was filed before the District Magistrate as Mr. Trivedi says. The District Magistrate must have forwarded it to the police. Afterwards, the whole matter was given over to this Commission. They

I4020

have gone into the matter and come to certain conclusions. I heard the Minister say that whatever material the police had seized was also handed over to the Commission and the Commission has made certain observations.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: What happens to the FIR then?

Mr. Speaker: Probably it can only be assumed that the police had been waiting till now to know what the findings or the conclusions of the Commission are. They might or might not have proceeded, I do not know.

But so far as the report of a Commission appointed by the Government is concerned, that certainly can be discussed here. Whatever is written there can be deliberated upon and there is no bar to that. The report given by the Audior General and Mr. Viswanatha Sastri is also before this House. Therefore, the House has every right to deliberate upon and discuss these reports. But certainly discretion shall be exercised. It shall not be assumed that someone is really an accused person. That is further to be enquired into. But so far as the conclusions that have been drawn in the report and the evidence are con-cerned, every hon Member has to refer to it to give his opinion.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: May I ask for enlightenment on one point from the Minister?

Mr. Speaker: He can ask for it when he speaks.

There are substitute motions also.

Shri Daji (Indore): I beg to move:

That for the original motion, the following be substituted, namely:

"This House, having considered the Report of the Commission of Inquiry appointed to

investigate into the administration of certain Dalmia-Jain Companies, laid on the Table of the House on the 23rd January, 1963, urges upon the Government—

- (a) to take immediate steps to punish the guilty persons,
- (b) to remove the persons concerned from all responsible posts held by them in any Public Limited Company,
- (c) to take over all Dalmia-Jain concerns,
- (d) to inquire into all concerns of this Group, and
- (e) to take all necessary steps including legislation for the above purpose." (1)

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): I beg to move:

That for the original motion, the following be substituted, namely:—

"This House, having considered the Report of the Commission of Inquiry appointed to investigate into the administration of certain Dalmia-Jain Companies, laid on the Table of, the House on the 23rd January, 1963, is of opinion that these concerns should be taken over by Government in the larger interest of the country and recommends that suitable legislation be brought forward for the purpose." (2)

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: I beg to move:

That for the original motion, the following be substituted, namely:—

"This House, having considered the Report of the Commis-

Commission of Inquiry into Dalmia-Jain Companies

sion of Inquiry appointed to investigate into the administration of certain Dalmia-Jain Companies, laid on the Table of the House on the 23rd January, 1963, is of opinion that the Government should—

- (a) lose no time in bringing the guilty to book,
- (b) take over the concerns of the Dalmia-Jain group or in alternative make arrangements for shareholders to change the management of these concerns and put them in proper hands,
- (c) strengthen the Company
 Law Administration by raising
 it to the status of a high-power
 Commission with necessary
 authority for detecting and
 punishing all the mal-practices
 connected with the operation
 of the corporate sector, and
 - (d) reconsider the policy of allowing the ownership and control of the key sectors of the economy through which such mal-practices are facilitated in the hands of private individuals or concern". (3)

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: I beg to move:

That for the original motion, the following be substituted, namely:—

"This House, having considered the Report of the Commission of Inquiry appointed to investigate into the administration of certain Dalmia-Jain Companies, laid on the Table of the House on the 23rd January, 1963, is of opinion that—

(a) immediate penal measures should be initiated against all business concerns and their Directors against whom charges of illegal deals have been proved and all business and industrial establishments owned by such concerns should be confiscated forthwith and should be run by the State in future,

- (b) the Report proves that corrupt and illegal practices are not confined only to certain Dalmia-Jain concerns, whose affairs came to be investigated by the Commission and immediate steps should, therefore, be initiated for nationalising all large scale industries which are now in the private corporate sector so as to obviate the chances of financial and stock manipulations by legal or illegal means at the expense of ordinary shareholders and public exchequer, and
- (c) all persons against whom any charge of financial or stock manipulation has been levelled publicly and a prima facie case has been made out should be removed from the Boards of Directors of Public Sector enterprises and should be prevented from associating with the latter in any capacity, directly or indirectly." (4).

Shri D. N. Tiwary (Gopalganj): I beg to move:

That for the original motion, the following be substituted, namely:—

"This House, having considered the Report of the Commission of Inquiry appointed to investigate into the administration of certain Dalmia-Jain Companies, laid on the Table of the House on the 23rd January, 1963, is of opinion that the Government should—

(a) initiate inquiry into the working of a dozen of top ranking business firms to find out their lapses and deliberate hoodwinkings in order to take up

Commission of Inquiry into Dalmia-Jain Companies

[Shri D. N. Tiwary]

comprehensive steps to put an end to all malpractices, and

Motion re:

(b) take suitable steps against the delinquents". (5).

Mr. Speaker: The substitute motions are before the House.

13 hrs.

Shri Sonavane: Sir, I had given a substitute motion, but I am sorry it has not been circulated.

Mr. Speaker: When did he give it?

Shri Sonavane: Two days back.

Mr. Speaker: I will find out. If it is in order, certainly I will admit it. Now, these five substitute mctions and the original motion are before us for discussion. What about the time limit for speeches?

Sir, may I Shri S. M. Banerjee: submit that normally (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. My allows me difficulty is that he never to finish.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: You will never have this complaint from tomorrow, I can assure you.

Mr. Speaker: Is that another joke? Because we are adjourning tomorrow. as soon as the next session I will have the same difficulty again? What is this?

Now, these motions are before the House. I was only limiting the time. The time limit would be 15 minutes in the ordinary, of course, routine, but in some cases it may extend to 30 minutes for the leaders of groups or their spokesmen who are opening the debate.

Now, what is it that Shri Banerjee wants to say?

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Sir, this is voluminous report that if ordinary Members are not given even 20 minutes each, they will not be able to speak anything. I want your guidance in this matter. After all, we have cared to read the entire report and all these documents. I would request you to kindly see that at least 20 minutes are given to us. We want to speak on the merits of this. If 20 minutes are not given, it will be difficult for us.

Mr. Speaker: The difficulty is, if I put now 20 minutes as the minimum time, probably I shall not be able to accommodate many Members.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: We can sit longer.

Shri Daji: We will see as the debate progresses.

Mr. Speaker: All right, we will see. Now, Shri Daji.

Mr. Daji: Mr. Speaker, Sir, we have got before us today a very historic and momentous report, and I regret to mention that the Government's motion as well as the explanation offered to us in support thereof by the hon. Minister has been as wanting, weak-kneed and beautifully vague as the Government's policy in the entire matter of controlling big business for the last 15 years.

Shri Tyagi: I am glad you call it beautiful.

13.03 hrs.

[Mr. Deputy-Speaker in the Chair]

Shri Daji: I say this report is historic in the sense that the country and the House have had the opportunity in the past to discuss many scandals. We have had the jeep scandal, the fertiliser scandal, the LIC scandal and what not. But, Sir, it is for the first time that one of the leading private sector big business house

Commission of Inquiry into Dalmia-Jain Companies

is on the mat. Even when we discussed the Mundra deal it was more from the angle of the public sector, the LIC investment policy and the lapses of the officers concerned rather than the "get quick rich" tendencies of Shri Mundra. Therefore, I say it is a historic occasion, because whenever we discuss a scandal of the public sector a cry is raised sometimes in the House, often outside, by representatives of big business that public sector ipso facto means corruption.

Here, Sir, is a case of one big business house being examined, being x-rayed and probed into. Here we find corruption galore, corruption in repletion and the entire technique of malpractices that can be imagined perpetuated by this House. Therefore. this shows that there is corruption in big business. Inefficiency in the public sector undertakings are sometimes brought out because the public sector is constantly under the watch, under the vigilant eye of Parliament, the Estimates Committee and the Public Accounts Committee. If we were to turn the same searching examination into the affairs of private big business houses there will be more skeletons in their cupboard than in the public sector. I do not say this to justify the corruption in the public sector. We do fight against the corruption in the public sector. But from the corruption in the public sector an atmosphere or a case is always built by big business interests that it is only in the public sector that there is corruption.

Shri D. C. Sharma (Gurdaspur): There is no corruption in the public sector.

Shri Daji: Now, what does this report say. I will not detail the conclusions and findings contained in this report. The hon. Minister read them out in detail. In fact, he read out nothingelse excepting paraphrasing it. He could have saved the time of the House and given us some more time for the discussion. Therefore,

let me not go into the cataloguing of the findings. This, Sir, discloses the methods in which an established big business house stumbles in its attempt to make easy and quick money. It shows how exploiting the demand, the need and the opportunity of rapid industrialisation imposed in the Plan of India the big business houses have in the process of industrialisation been concerned more with plundering the people and the exchequer rather than building the nation on a solid foundation.

Sir, it is a very interesting thing I have been presented with this very good book: The Beginnings of my life—a Guide to Bliss by Shri Ramakrishna Dalmia (Interruption). Having done all this a facade was sought to be created that this gentleman was withdrawing from the world following saintly pursuits and leave all these things to benami directors or dummy directors. What does the first page of the book say?

Shri D. C. Sharma: When was it published?

Shri Daji: In the first page of this. book it is said:

"We have not been content with.
the enjoyment of the senses, but
instead have been consumed by
them."

No truer commentary could be made of Shri Dalmia himself, his life and his dealings in the big business sector. Then, if we turn to the next page, there it is said—it is an appeal almost ironically to all of us as we are sitting here today to discuss this issue—"bear no ill-will". I can assure Shri Dalmia that I will speak without an iota of ill-will. And, see the egoism—this book can be had free by anyone who undertakes to read it and meditate on it for half-an-hour every day (Interruption).

See the hypocrisy of the bourgeois. You commit all sorts of conceivable, moral and legal offences and want to

Commission of Inquiry into Dalmia-Jain Companies

[Shri Daji]

harangue the public, befuddle them and bamboozle them by saintly gestures. This, Sir, is the morality of big business.

Motion re:

An Hon. Member: What is the name of that book?

Shri Daji: Now I come to this report. What is very glaring in the report is this. Broadly speaking, the findings can be split into parts. One is the regular, organised, planned plunder of the honest common shareholders. That runs crores of rupees. In the case of one gentleman alone the Commission says that it is about Rs. 3 crores. In the case of another gentleman the loot has not been possible to assess because the books were withheld. The exchequer itself has been deprived of rightful income-tax which comes to more than a crore of rupees.

Therefore, Sir, the question is not, as the hon. Minister has posed, whether we are studying the problem. I am tired of this pusillanimity. The report takes two years. The matter is in the Supreme Court. In July you get the report. In January you are thinking. I do not know what. From January you are still thinking as to what is to be done. Then you decide that it should be referred to a legal committee. Now the report of the legal committee has come. Still you are thinking. Shall you ever end thinking and start acting or thinking itself is a part of acting and when you are acting you are not to take any possible action?

Shri Sonavane: That is good aceing.

Shri Daji: I regret to say, Sir, that I disagree with the whole approach of the Government and the whole approach of the learned Attorney-General. This I say, Sir, with the greatest respect. Because, if we look into the report itself, if we turn to page 18, the Commission has established that these persons functioned as a group, and the functioning was

with the intention of bringing into circulation black money, that is, market money, for fraudulently floating companies, for draining public companies of their money and transferring it to private companies, for advancing it to private companies, for advancing unsecured loans and to partake that loan,-in the name of Dalmia, Shanti Prasad Jain and Bennet Coleman and Company there were Rs. 27 lakhs of unsecured loan, unreckoned lon-for committing frauds, for supplying fraudulent vouchers, for organising fraudulent account books, for passing accounts which did not exist for passing resolutions at meetings which were never held, for allotting shares to 114 persons who were non-existent and for so many other evil practices. All this was certainly done the Commission itself says as a group and functioning as a group.

Now, Shri Shanti Prasad Jain: his petition here has also made out the same case which he tried to make up before the Commission and that has been repelled. Because, the Commission rightly held that once the group responsibility is accepted, it is not necessary to prove or bring home each and every individual action. The Commission, having accepted that attitude, when it came to considering the individual responsibility it has become rather too soft.

I will take this opportunity to give my wholesome tribute to the meritorious and great work, the phenomenal work, done by this Commission which, plodding through a hay stack of nonsense deliberately created by the parties concerned has come and given: us this very good report. But it is not sufficient. On this particular point I find that the Commission has been more or less halting. It should have gone further. Its own findings justify its going a little further.

Then, if all these things which have recounted and which have been listed in the report of the Commission have been done for the further-

Report of the

Commission of Inquiry

ance of the interest of the group, it is a clear case of legal conspiracy: nothing short of it. We need not search for individual actions here and there to prove it. It is a clear case of conspiracy initiated by three and joind by others, to function as a group. to distribute indefinite shares of illgotten money from year to year, acquire more and more money as a consequence, to promote the conspiracy to defraud, to commit defalcations and misfeasance of crores of rupees. If this is conspiracy, then I disagree with the observations of the Attorney-General, not in an emotional way but even in a legal way, that further probe is necessary. You had probed for six years, then there was a probe lasting six months and a further proble of six weeks. So, this probe will never end,

Here, may I invite the attention of the Government to section 10 of the Evidence Act which makes an exception to the general law of evidence and says that in a case of conspiracy the action of each can be proved against the other? May I also further draw the attention of the House to the famous Jupiter Fraud case in which a member of the Congress Working Committee, Shri Kaveeshwar was convicted precisely holding that though there was no definite proof against him under section 10 because of the evidence of other conspirators. the charge was deemed to be proved against him and he was convicted and sentenced? The same principle has followed in 1948 Council in Gill's Therefore case. there is an established legal opinion that in the case of conspiracy we need not enter into the hair splitting discussion as to the individual responsibility here and there. That being so. I fail to understand why so much delay has taken place. We learn of man trying to murder Shri Kairon in Punjab. He is in jail. No case has been lodged against him. He has been taken to jail and he is charged with He is under arrest and conspiracy. investigations are proceeding. Why

into Dalmia-Jain Companies is this double standard in the case of big business? In the case of big business, no harassment, no document seized and you are having a probe and investigation. While referring to this, I am constrained to remark about the most dishonest and shameful leakage that the Government itself has been forced to admit today. Whom does it help? It will help the very persons against whom you wanted to proceed. You withhold a document from Parliament on the ground that it is against public interest to disclose it, because it will indicate the possible Government's line of action to the persons concerned. And what can be a greater travesty than the fact that this morning all of us got that document by post or by a peen of Asia Udyog, a concern of Dalmias. You wanted to hide that report from Dalmias and Dalmia has circulated copies to all of us! there be a mockery of Palianientary democracy and soverignity more gross than this? Could there be more shame to a Government department which is charged with the duty of enforcing the law and bringing culprits to book? Could any shame be more palpable than this leakage? This leakage further proves that the hands of big business are long enough to reach the office of the Attorney-General, office of the Law Minister, the officer of the Commerce and Industry Minister, in fact, all offices of the Government. They are long enough. That is all the more reason why this House should be bold, conscious and vigilant to see that the hands of big business, though long enough, are certainly shorter than the sovereign powers of Parliament.

Here I would like to say that the defence of Shanti Prasad Jain amounts to nothing. I refer to pages 9 and 39 of the petition given by Shanti Prasad Jain. The defence is "that the practices that I am charged with are the normal practices of big business". It is very good. So, the defence is "I am not the only culprit; I am not the only culprit; I am not the only thief". He has listed the names of Mafatlal, Birlas, Tatas etc. So, accord-

[Shri Daji]

ing to him, why should Dalmias alone be held responsible for it? May I suggest to Government that it well grant pardon to him and declare him as Crown witness if he is prepared to come and give evidence as a witness of the shady deals of Birlas, Tatas and others? We will forgive him. Let him come before us in sack cloth and ashes, penitent and repentant. Let him help us to unearth all the black deals, black business and black money everywhere. Unless he does that, this is hardly a defence. Simply saying "everybody does it; so I have also done it; I should get away with it" is hardly a defence. That is the opinion of the Commission and that is my submission also.

This report shows the way in which the houses of Dalmia and Jains have functioned. This report shows type of behaviour in which big business in our country indulge, and unless we are able to see it as a type and not as an exception, we will not doing full justice to the whole matter. What is this type? Diversification of The lines of business. Commission says and the Attorney-General says that it is a common practice. Blind transfer of shares. To whom? To no one, but in a blank form. That blank form is again transferred. Again, to whom? To no one. It goes on ad infinitum. These blank transfers treated as an essential modus operandi of speculative stock exchange. It is through these blank transfers that all sorts of adjustments in the book accounts can be made. This, the Commission says and the Attorney-General says, is a common thing. dummy directors, both of them say, is a common practice. Similarly, inter-corporate loans is a common practice. Auditors passing accounts without seeing the original books is a common thing. Abuse of beneficial common practice. amenities is a controlled com-Abuse of panies is a common practice. Then, I will read out another common practice of big business. I am document of two reading from the

very learned legal luminaries, the Attorney-General and Shri Shastri, What do they say? Let the House know how big business functions:

"It is a matter of common occurrence that some of the rich industrialists have no house or motor car
of their own. They pay nominal
rent to the company which owns
the house. They use the cars of
managed companies freely. Under
the guise of entertainment expenses,
they entertain themselves and their
dependants and relatives."

This impoper utilisation of the funds of the company is common occurrence. Can there be anything more immoral than this? Do you want something more? Now I realise how they manage to go in big limousines and live in fashionable bungalows. Then, at page 227 of the report you will find something interesting. When Shanti Prasad Jain's advocate. Shri Mishra, raised this point before the Commission, the Commission hit out quite rightly and said that Government should come forward to understand it and do the needful.

"If this sort of thing is the inevitable result of group control as Mr. Misra said in another connection, then it seems to us that provision will have to be made for independent protection for such controlled companies."

So, this shows something more than the acts of omission and commission of Dalmia. I do not want for a minute to hide or belittle what they have done. But I want to stress with all the force at my command that this reflects a type and if you go deeper into this investigation, if you do not have a skin-deep examination, you will find that this also corresponds to a type of big business.

What is that type? This report discloses that and I sumbit that all is not well in the kingdom of big business. What is this kingdom of big business? I invite the attention of the House to the Report of the Press Commission,

part I, page 271. This is a classic quotation from there:-

"The proprietor of one of the biggest newspapers volunteered statement that he had committed every crime short of murder. Not knowing whether to take this as an attempt at humour, we put specific question whether he had committed dacoity. His answer was, "The spirit is willing but the flesh is weak.""

This is a statement by the proprietor of a leading newspaper before the Press Commission appointed by Government. This shows their morality. This reflects their morality.

An Hon. Member: What his name?

Shri Daji: They have not given out any names; so I cannot give out the name. Operating with this morality in the course of the ten years since independence to 1958, figures for which are available, almost all the big business houses have doubled their assets. In a study Professor Hazari has pointed out that the Tatas increased their gross capital stock from Rs. 152 crores to Rs. 388 crores, the Birlas Rs. 65 crores to Rs. 159 crores, Martin Rs. 92 Burn from Rs. 40 crores to crores and Dalmia-Jain from Rs. 31 crores to Rs. 76 crores. The technique, as Professor Hazari says, is chain-breeding process where initially they make a limited investment, then that company invests in another company and it goes on like that. Through this they control the whole thing. was revealing.

An Hon. Member: What is wrong there?

Shri S. M. Banerjee: There is nothing wrong.

Shri Daji: You will understand the wrong when another scandal bursts upon you. Till then you will understand.

into Dalmia-Jain Companies

Shri S. M. Banerjee: It is coming.

Report of the

Shri Daji: I was surprised to read from the Reserve Bank of India Bulletin last year that in India three managing agency concerns controlled 20 per cent of the entire investment in public companies. That is the extent of the growth of concentration. It is the same thing in banking. 14 directors of the bank are connected with five industrial houses, 32 with two to four and 24 with one. So, 50 per cent. of the directors of all banks are connectindustrial ed with one house another, giving loans to each other, passing it on and transforming entire financial system or the economy of the country into a mutual operative society, the only successful cooperative society functioning India today.

Shri D. C. Sharma: Call it by some bad name; do not call it a mutual cooperative society.

Shri Daji: Is it prudent for the Government to be indifferent at the initial stage and act belatedly, or are we to wake up in time? Every day we hear of one scandal or another. Income-tax evasion, under-invoicing, over-invoicing. Foreign Exchange violation. violation. customs Act profits-all these suppressed are condoned. Look at the income-tax has been evaded to the tune of Rs. 1 crore only by this concern, maybe ostensibly legally but, if you probe, by illegal methods, by forged vouchers, manipulated accounts, making the auditors pass the accounts which do not exist. How long are we going to go on like this? Whose responsibility is this? The culprits are Dalmia-Jain but, as they say and as I have pointed out, they followed a pattern; perhaps, they tried to overreach themselves. But whose is the fundamental basic responsibility? make bold to say that the Government cannot shirk its responsibility. They are responsible mainly for this state of affairs being allowed to go on. I will not go into the details; I will only point out a few things.

Report of the Commission of Inquiry into Dalmia-Jain Companies

[Shri Daji]

The other day we asked a question about industrial licences—I am very sorry that today the hon. Prime Minister is not present here--and a reply was given that only about 185 licences out of 4,000 licences issued had gone to six big business houses. An impression was sought to be created before the House that out of 4.000 only 185 were given to big business. I do not even remotely suggest that the hon. Prime Minister deliberately did it. He was given that information and he read that out. But I will pose two questions before the House and before the hon. Minister through you. Let him go and find out the break-down and report tomorrow the break-down of licences for Rs. 10 lakhs and above. I want the total value of the 4,000 licences and the total value of the 185 licences given to big business houses. Then the cat will be out of That will show who has the big. been able to pillage and loot all your largesse in the form of licences.

Motion re:

Then, how is it that it comes to pass, as the Attorney-General says, that all industrialists do not own any house or car? They use the company's car and the company's house do not pay any rent. This is the report of the Attorney-General. This is the normal practice. How do you allow it? May I say that there is an important lacuna in the Income-tax Act through which crores of rupees can be saved. The other day my hon. friend, Shri Morarka, pointed out a case of a company-I think, he was referring to Gwalior Rayons-whose last year's profit was Rs. 225 lakhs and the amount of all taxes paid was only Rs. 10 lakhs; thus, the net profit was Rs. 215 lakhs. How could it happen? It is through a loophole that you have deliberately kept in the Income-tax Act. It is that if a firm of partnership's accounts are to be scrutinised, only such expenditure is to be set against tax as is actually necessary for the profession or business, but for the corporate sector the word "necessary" has been omitted. Any expenditure actually incurred by a corporate sector is allowed to be credited against the tax. So it is through this loophole that you have allowed anything, namely, the directors' car, house, selling-agency commission, managing agency commission whether it is necessry or not, for business. Why have you not been ble to plug these loop-Why is there this action? You have been a failure in the matter of stopping tax evasion, in the matter of unearthing hoarded gold and in the matter of bringing to book the house of Birlas for the gross violation in the case of Ruby and New Asiatic Insurance Company even when the auditors have discovered and reported to you many cases of lakhs and lakhs of rupees. You have been faltering and marking time in the case of the house of Thackerseys.

I will now come to another case. Is it not a fact that tax evasion the tune of Rs. 7 crores was discovered in the case of the JK group of indus-

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: And Serajuddin.

Shri Daji: I am coming to that: please do not be in such a hurry. For that discovery one man, Shri Goenka, was given an inam of Rs. 32,000. He is now fighting with the Government saying that he had been promised more. He says, "I made you discover Rs. 7 crores of suppressed tax, please give me more." he has been given Rs. 32,000 and the discovery was that income-tax of Rs. 7 crores had been evaded. If that is so, what have you done to the Jks? You have rewarded the man all right. Merely realising the income-tax is not sufficient. Therefore I want to pose the question whether even one big business house has been put to criminal trial for evasion of income-tax or whether the Government does not regard evasion of income-tax as an offence. Is it not misappropriation of the grossest form? During the discussion on the Companies Act it

into Dalmia_Jain Companies
trying to bring out the hypocrisy.
They do all these things and then

was promised that in future the evaders' names will be published. Our good friend, Shri Mahavir Tyagi in that very good report on direct taxes almost suggested it. It was suggested as a must by force by the Government but the Government put it in the last clause giving the discretion to Government not to disclose in the interest of revenue. The result is that it has not been disclosed. These are policies of the Government which are coming home to roost. Then the hon. Prime Minister goes and complains that the whole newspaper world is an industry controlled by the industry. The bank is an industry controlled by the industry; the newspapers are an industry controlled by industry. With all its background, with alı morality these vested interests go for witch-hunting, for Minister-killing and for even pulling down the hon. Prime Minister, I am reminded of a very good article.

Shri Tyagi: From the trend of the speech of the hon. Member I feel that there are many matters involving the Finance Ministry. Therefore could you kindly send word to the hon. Finance Minister so that he or someone from the Finance Ministry could be here to take notes?

Shri D. C. Sharma: How can that be possible? The hon. Member has referred to the hon. Prime Minister so many times. Will Shri Tyagi say that the hon. Prime Minister should also be sent for? Two hon Ministers are here and I do not think we need any more Ministers.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The concerned Minister is here.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Many matters have been raised.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Everything will go to him.

Shri Daji: I will recall the observation in one of the leading paper, the Hindustan Times that Pandit Nehru is not a tidy director of men and matters. So, let us invite some directors from Dalmia-Jain group. They will be better than Pandit Nehru. I am preach morality to the world. How in an organised way is this double-standard being foisted and foistered?

Shri D. C. Sharma: What has the Hindustan Times done? Therefore, what I say is, the Government has by softness the identity when the sale.

Hindustan Times done? Therefore, what I say is, the Government has by softness, hesitency, weak-kneed policy allowed the big business houses to grow and today they are in danger. We have raised very often the question of important Secretaries being employed by big business houses. I say, today, even before a Secretary retires, he is booked. Even when he is in service, he is booked. (Interruption).

Shri D. C. Sharma: Why talk about that? (Interruption) ...

Shri Daji: It is a common knowledge that without an important Secretary joining the big business houses, it will not be possible to obtain licences. How does it go on? To what extent, is it going on? Unless you away the power of big business houses forcibly, your democratic system, really speaking, cannot continue function. Let us remember, the concentration of wealth breeds favouritism, nepotism, corruption, distorts the country's economy and its growth; leads to wasteful consumptions which are frivolously copied; sets false canons of social prestige-self above society; it has pernicious influence on the country's politics, pressure groups; officers are seduced and Ministers are hypnotised and social policies are diluted and destroyed. So, unless are able to do something radical, you cannot have results.

Before I conclude, through you, I appeal to all Congress Members and to the Ministers: please do a little heart-searching about what I am going to say; not here, do it in the privacy of your bedrooms. When all these DJ groups have been plaguing the country, how many high-ups have not been associated with them? How

[Shri Daji]

much of this black money has not flown into the coffers of the Congress election funds?

Some Hon. Members: No, no. (Interruptions).

An Hon Member: What about the Communist Party.

Shri Daji: Even today when huge funds, are taken from big business houses, tomorrow they may be also on the Mat and it will prick your conscience because, I know still some conscience is left in some of the Congress Members. The Opposition tried. most of us tried, when the Companies Law was debated that political subvention by the Companies should stop. The Government which has the powers to give licence, raw material, market, etc., all these concessions business houses, if such a Government takes political subvention from Companies, we cannot say nothing will happen. Certainly, political morality demands the tradition of Rama, Even before the dhobi can point it out, the Government should stop loans from big business houses.

Shri Tyagi: When he mentioned dhobi, he pointed me out.

Shri Daji: As the Chairman of the Public Accounts Committee, you have that function. (Interruption) Therefore, we raised a question. We requested the Prime Minister not to go to the function of the Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry. Even now, we think, the Prime Minister should not have gone to receive Shri Shanti Prashad Jain. I will again pose another question. Is it not a fact that a son of a very big Minister at the Centre has been able to float a big venture of Rs. 1 crore and on the Board of Directors associated almost all the names of big business houses and in the opening ceremony the father Minister went to bless the son?

Shri K. C. Sharma: On a point of order, Sir. Can such a thing have relevance to this? These things are wide of the mark.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: It is quite relevant. (Interruption).

Shri Daji: Such a conduct certainly gives out an impression to the country that big business houses are to be blessed.

Now, before I conclude I come to the concrete measures. As I said tighten up the Company Law Administration; revolutionise it: change it; plug all the possible loopholes. Immediately start criminal prosecution against the guilty. Take over all the Dalmia-Jain con-According to the Commiscerns. sion's report, there are 60 concerns. There is a further probe in 9 or 13 concerns. No. over all of them. Take Take credit institutions run by them. They are fiduciary trusts in which people's money is concerned. We cannot afford to take any risk. I do not think they must be doing that. But we cannot afford to take any risk. Have also a probe into this goal-get quick-rich tendency-and success of other big business houses and set an economy on a pattern which prevents concentration of wealth.

Lastly, I think, it is time that we nationalise audit service. What has been discovered is clear that audit passes accounts without seeing the accounts books even.

Dr. M. S. Aney (Nagpur): That is the most important part.

Shri Daji: Unless you nationalise the audit, there can be no guarantee of a proper check on the company. It is not only I who say it. The leader of the INTUC, Shri Khandubhai Desai and other trade union organisations have been demanding this. I know of a case where the son of a director of a company was appointed the auditor of that company. What sort of audit

14042 Commission of Inquiry

will he carry out? I can give you the nama. If this is so, what audit will he do? Unless you nationalise audit and have control on their accounts. you certainly cannot do anything,

May I make another suggestion, not a revolutionary one, but it is Congress suggestion (Interruption). Some years ago, the Government was pleased to appoint a tribunal for probing into income-tax evasions. matter went up to the Supreme Court and the Supreme Court quashed that saving that under the fundamental rights it cannot be held. Today there is Defence of India Act. There are Defence of India rules. We cannot challenge even the detention or the Supreme Court ruling because remedies before the courts are barred. Uptill now the Defence of India rules have been more abused than used. I offer you one opportunity of using the Defence of India rules and reconstitute the tribunal in the name of emergency and defence, in the name of money that is required to fight the Chinese aggression. Use it. I am not suggesting something revolutionary because you yourself have done it; technically or otherwise it was struck off. Here is the time for doing it. You get all the black money of the big business houses out. Therefore, it is a permanent thing; that is a permanent measure.

Now, the permanent policemen of the people can be the workers in the industry-the employees. They know every shady deal. So, follow the policy which has been laid down by Mr. Gulzarilal Nanda. Give rights to the trade unions and trade unions shall not belie. Let the workers be the policemen. Take people confidence. Socialism cannot be built upon the good offices, softness the money of the big business houses. Socialism can only be built if initiative and the confidence of people is released in a big way. Let them feel that the country is being built for them.

Therefore, I commend by saying this. The question is, are we going

into Dalmia-Jain Companies . to allow the big business houses to use democracy and liberal laws to grow and ultimately throttle that very democracy because the growth of concentration is the danger to the economic health, the democratic life and the social aims of our country. Democracy consistent with legal practices which allow concentration, fraudulent practices in a society where plenty and poverty co-exist together cannot live. That is not socialism. Not only that. It will endanger the very fabric of democratic life. Let us awake; let us arise. This is really a warning bell. If we do not take a warning now, it may be too late tomorrow.

Shri R. G. Dubey (Bijapur North): Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I am grateful to you for this opportunity given to me to participate in this debate. I believe, we are cussing a very vital matter. According to me, two Or three aspects of the question arise out of the discussion of this report, to find out the lacunae in the existing Company Law and then amend the Company Law. Second, according to me, we have to revise our industrial policy; private sector versus sector and see whether the industrial policy that we have adopted a few years back is adequate or whether we have to make some revision in the light of this. The third is the action. legal or criminal against the parties involved.

I think, regarding the action, I am content to leave it to the Government, because we should not appear to be vindictive towards the individuals. I would leave it to the Government. am concerned with the broad conclusions that we have to consider in the light of the observations in this report.

I think the House would remember Shri Feroze Gandhi, who, in a sense, was responsible to draw the attention of this House to some of the malpractices in the corporate sector. He played a great part during his period to bring some of these things to the notice of the House.

[Shri R. G. Dubey]

Before I proceed with the discussion, I must pay my tribute to Mr. Vivian Bose, his co-workers and colleagues for this great voluminous work that they have done. They had been working for the last 6 or 7 years. In 1956, the Inquiry Commission was appointed. They had to struggle against many difficulties. At every stage, legal questions were raised and the matter taken to the the Puniab Supreme Court, High Court and Bombay High Court. I must say, the Vivian Bose report has been very fair to the parties. Therefore, though Ι have been flooded with literature from various quarters, I have not looked into them. Personally, I feel that the only basic document that should guide our deliberation is the Vivian Bose Report which has gone into all aspects of this question.

The Vivian Bose Report has drawn our attention to the abuse of control exercised in these companies in certain respects. With your permission, I should like to read certain relevant passages. Under Chapter VII, Abuse of Control, the last para—Loans and Advances—says:

"Companies in which the public had invested their moneys were made to give loans and advances without security and at low rates of interest to the companies in which the Group of R. Dalmia, were interested, to the advantage of the latter and to the detriment of the former. In some cases large advances were made to R. Dalmia personally."

Another way of abuse of control was improper transfer of assets "by improperly making or purporting to make inter-company investments by mere book entries. These entries purport to show..."—I do not want to go into details, Liquidation was another device.

"This was another device. After the public companies were squeezed dry, the husks were discarded and destroyed. The favourite method was to bring the company to voluntary liquidation, appoint a willing liquidator who fell in with the scheme, get a scheme of arrangement sanctioned by the courts, hand over all the assets and records and books to a purely R. Dalmia concern in which the directors were the tools of R. Dalmia, and then get the transferee company to destroy the books and records so that traces of the frauds and manipulations were destroyed."

We come to another important thing and that was the destruction of the account books. On page 29, it is said:

"Of these 19 companies, the books of account and records were destroyed in the following nine cases within a period of 4 years from 1953."

Shri Himatsingkha (Godda): Now the law has changed.

Shri R. G. Dubey: Maybe, Under Chapter, on page 243, it was said: find fictitious persons—the last para reads like this:

"But that is not all. There are more curious facts. Of the 160,000 shares said to have been allotted to and held by persons in such widely scattered localities, no less than 158,850 are said to have been transferred by these 114 persons to only 4 persons and 2 concerns; and the bulk of the transfers are said to have been effected on one day."

There is another important thing On page 576, it is said:

"In spite of the receipt of the aforesaid profits besides others from the regular business of D.O.P.M., its audited profit and loss accounts for these years showed small profits or even losses as shown below.....".

I do not want to go into the details of these.

14046

of these. In the concluding portion of the report, reference is made to the Jenkins report which is very important in this connection. Then, it is said that in order to bring to book the wrong-doer, we should not taken any action which would affect the bonafide, enterpriser in this country. I do agree with this view. But, all the same, though I do not know anything about Company law or business, when I went through some of the reports, when I saw that investing public was defrauded to the extent of Rs. 3 crores. I was amazed and I thought we were living in a strange society where minor offences are punished-if a person goes and steals five rupees, he is punished, but if such things happen in society, there is something wrong and something ought to be done to check this. I do not suggest that we should be vindictive to the persons. But, I feel that for the future, something has got to be done.

I feel that this may not be the solitary instance. If we go into the record of, the corporate sector tomy mind at least in 50 per cent. of the case, it may be that a kind of malare prevailing . practices had the Mundhra experience. This is the Dalmia-Jain group. Again, the Government have told us that they are making further enquiries into certain other companies. the remedy?

One remedy is naturally to improve the Company law. The Vivian Bose Commission has made certain recommendations. After that, the Solicitor General and an eminent jurist Shri Shastri also has made certain recommendations. I have no doubt in my mind that the Government, in course of time, will bringforth legislation which will remove some of these defects.

It is, to my mind, a more important question, how to deal with the public sector versus the private sector policy. You know we have adopted the Industrial policy resolution. Certain categories of industries we have left to the public sector and certain others to the private sector. I agree with

Shri Daji that something should done to check what we call monopoly capitalism in this country. He quoted certain instances where licences have been given to some businesshouses. Though 4.000 licences have been given to other companies, there are a few companies or businesshouses control a large investment. I think, today, if we leave aside the Railways and the P. & T., though we have got the public sector steel industries, fertiliser industries and other things, if we compare the investment in the private sector and the public sector, I imagine, a very large sums are still in the private sector and the sums invested in the public sector are very meagre. The question is, if we want to see a socialistic pattern of society established, if we want to pursue this idea we cannot develop these forces and wait and watch so that they overtake the public sector in course of time. We must do something to check monopolistic group capitalism in this country. Otherwise, they will destroy the development of the very forces which want to develop the public sector in this country.

One thing is there. In judging the issue between private sector and the public sector, what is our criterion? We want to develop national production. We want more food; we want more industry. Merely because in the private sector, there are some malpractices and defects, we cannot say tomorrow, come on, we shall close the corporate sector and we shall start. That cannot happen simply. ever our desires, whatever our wishes, private sector will have to be 'here because there is a limitation. is the limitation, According to me, two limitations have to be kept in view. One is, to what extent can we develop the public sector and the rate at which that can grow. Even though we want to have the public sector expanded, we cannot do it because, we need technicians, we need experience, we need administrative skill and more and more money. That we cannot do Again, under the Constitution we have accepted that

[Shri R. G. Dubey]

we shall give compensation wherever we take over any business. So, our capacity to develop the public sector is conditioned by two things. The first is our capacity to go ahead. second is that we have kept another golden rule before our eyes, and that is that we want to avoid bloodshed in our country; we want to develop the public sector and we want to attain socialism, but at the same time. we do not want it to develop at a faster rate which will create a kind of civil war in the country. Subject to these two conditions I want that the public sector should develop at a faster rate than what it is doing today, because the private sector has obviously failed us in certain respects.

. Motion re:

Another thing that I would like to bring to your notice is that the private sector or what we call capitalism was coterminous with the Industrial Age. In England the capitalist class came up at that time; in Germany, also, France and in America class had come up at that time, and they had large colonies developed, and so, the capitalist or private capitalist economy developed in those countries, because they had some resources. But in India, we find that the capitalists or the private sector people are not in a position to contribute to the growth of the social economy or the national economy as they did in other countries, because we have no sources, and we have no colonies to exploit. Today, if we go through the loans granted by the Industrial Finance Corporation, for instance, find that there is no business-house in this country which is in a position to have a steel plant, for argment's sake, suppose Government decide to entrust the construction of a steel plant to some private business-house. Are they in a position to erect such a steel plant? A steel plant requires at least Rs. 100 crores, and no businesshouse today is in a position to construst the steel plant. Whatever Tatas might have done in the good old past today, there is no question of private business-house being in a position to

Dalmia-Jain Companies only And it is not but if we the dissee tribution of loans today, we find that the private sector in this country is

Report of the

impotent, and it is not in a position to develop the industries as they ought to be developed. Nearly 60 to 80 per cent of the loans today is advanced by Government. Why should Government advance loans to the private sector and then allow them to develop that kind of monopolist control in the country and then create anti-social forces which will come in the way of the development of our socialist or progresssive economy? These are some of the considerations which we have to keep in view.

That does not mean that the public sector has no defects. As hon. Members have said, the public sector also has certain defects. But I would submit that we must have some clear conception of what the socialist approach means. If we merely develop the public sector and invest money, that also might lead to a certain kind of State capitalism. Simply because Government invest money, and we have got big managers, the ICS or IAS officers etc. in charge, who are well paid, and who are paid to the tune of Rs. 3000 or Rs. 4000 or Rs. 5000, it does not mean that things are well in the public sector. I am told that in some of the public sector undertakings, the condition of labour is worse than that in the private sector. So, the public sector also has some defects. But there is one satisfaction that when we have the public sector, we have control over it, and we can change the board of directors of the public sector undertakings.

So, far as the private sector is concerned, firstly, we have to see that we improve the company law and check some of the malpractices which are, going on. Secondly, we have to see that the pace of development of the public sector is enhanced. Thirdly, in regard to the public sector also, we must have a clear conception whether we want to follow the Yugoslavia pattern or we want to follow the

pattern in some other countries. Public sector should not merely mean investment of Government money therein, but we should have some clear conception about it.

With these words, I support Govvernment's stand. As Government have stated, there are two parts of the report. Anyway, for some reason or the other, the other part of the report also has been brought before the House. And in accordance with that, Government are going to amend the company law and also take action. As for the action part, I leave it to Government, But I do want Government not only to consider the improvement of the company law but also to consider whether the time has not come for the revision of the Industrial Policy in the light of what we have seen.

With these words, I support the Government's stand.

Shri Sumat Prasad (Muzaffarnagar): I have gone through the major portion of the report, and I have read also the report of Mr. Daphthary regarding the action to be taken in the matter. With the passing of the Industrial Policy Resolution, 1956, the private sector has benefited a great deal, and there is great concentration of wealth in certain business-houses. This is a subject of much concern. While the organised private sector is benefiting, the other persons have not proportionately derived any benefit from the development of industries.

The Report of the Bose Commission makes a painful reading. It shows how accounts were falsified and the balance-sheets were passed in the absence of proper balance-sheets, the profits were suppressed, to avoid payment of income-tax, and the shareholders were defrauded.

Some of the directors, particularly, Shri Shanti Prasad Jain, has denied all responsibility. Once he took up the position that he only owned certain shares and there was no such

Thing as the Dalmia-Jain group, and therefore, he was responsible only for his own action. But there is an important document which has been given in this report which completely demolishes the position taken up by him. This is at page 179.

This establishes two things, though the shares were in the name of individual persons, they were benami, and the Dalmia-Jain group was the proprietor of those shares. Not only was this the position, but even the managing agency and the selling agency were the joint property of this group.

I shall now read out certain portions from this document which appears at page 179 of the report. It reads thus:

"हम श्री रामकृष्ण डालिमया सुपुत्र स्व॰ सेठ हरजीमल जी डालिमया, श्री जय-दयाल डालिमया सुपुत्र स्व॰ सेठ हरजीमल जी डालिमया, श्रीर श्री शान्ति प्रसाद जन सुपुत्र स्व॰ साहु दीवान सिंह जी ने भ्रपने मूलघन का, जो नकद श्रीर शेयरों के रूप में जहां भी जो कुछ है, निम्नलिखित रूप से पुनः विभाजन कर लिया है . . .

Shri Kanungo: What is the hon. Member quoting from?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: He is reading from the report.

Shri Sumat Prasad: I am reading from the report. At page 179 is the copy of the agreement.

तीन प्रतिशत धर्मादा ट्रस्टों में या धर्मादा कम्पनी विशेष में दिया जाएगा । श्रीर बीस प्रतिशत श्री रामकृष्ण डालमिया के, पचीस प्रतिशत श्री जयदयाल डालमिया के श्रीर पचीस प्रतिशत श्री जान्ति प्रसाद जैन के हिस्से में रहेगा । शेयरों का जो डिविडेंड झाएगा, वह प्रति वर्ष उपयुक्त हिस्सों के भनुसार श्रलग श्रलग धर्मादा ट्रस्टों या धर्मादा कम्पनी विशेष में तथा तीनों मालिकों में बंट जाएगा। इसी प्रकार—वर्तमान तथा भविष्य

[Shri Sumat Prasad] ,
में होने वाली कम्पनियों की मैनेजिंग एजेंसी
भीर सेलिंग एजेंसी झादि में उपयुक्त विभाजन
के अनुसार हिस्सा रहेगा ।

Motion re:

Then, it goes on to say:

यह निश्चय हुआ कि श्री रामकृष्ण डांसिया ध्रपनी भामदनी में से प्रति वर्ष भ्रपनी भावस्यकतानुसार घर-खर्च कर सकेंगे। श्री जयदयास डांसिया भ्रपनी भामदनी में ये प्रति वर्ष सवा लाख रुपया तक तथा श्री शान्ति प्रसाद जैन भ्रपनी भामदनी में से एक लाख रुपये तक खर्च कर सकेंगे। इसके भतिरिक्त शेष रकम तीनों के खातों में भ्रपने भपने हिस्से के भनुसार जमा हो जाएगी।

यह निश्चय हुआ कि मैनेजिंग एजेंसी के शेयर तीनों मालिक ऐसे किसी व्यक्ति के नाम पर ट्रांसफर नहीं कर सकेंगे जो श्री रामकृष्ण डालिमया, श्री जयदयाल डालिमया भौर श्री शान्ति प्रसाद जैन के परिवार से सीधा पीढ़ी (मेन इन) का सम्बन्ध रखता हो।

यह निश्चय हुआ कि तीनों में से कोई व्यक्ति किसी प्रकार का भलाहदा कारोबार नहीं करेगा।"

14 hrs.

It leaves no doubt that the shares they owned were joint properties of the Dalmia group and they were not individual properties of the various persons.

Now, the Dalmia-Jain Airways Company was floated to purchase vehicles and other material from the Disposals after the war. They were not in a position to advance Rs. 5 crores as the price for these Disposal goods. But the benefit of this deal did not go to the shareholders of D-J Airways. The Bose Commission have analysed the agreement and have come to the conclusion that the agreement relating to the joint venture, namely, this

purchase deal, was in the interest and to the benefit of Allen Berry. When the time came when they could derive benefit, the company was transferred to DCPM. In the meanwhile, over 2.000 vehicles were also transferred to DCPM. In this way, they managed to defraud their shareholders, and ultimately they contrived that this company, the D.G. Airways, should into voluntary liquidation. Some of the shareholders protested against that, but this was hurried on. There is no doubt that they did this in pursuance of a certain scheme. The directors of the Airways and Allen Berry were common. Shanti Prasad was director in both companies. The deal was being settled by a partnership agreement; in the subsequent deal, they Then terminated the joint venture. Shanti Prasad Jain was dealing both capacities. In one instance, in the same deal, he represented himself, that is, Allen Berry, which was a private limited concern: and at the same time, he was negotiating with the Airways which was a public limited concern. As has been rightly mentioned by the Commission, the Airways was not given any opportunity to have independent advice in the matter. The whole thing goes to show that there was a conspiracy and the relationship between Allen Berry and Airways was that of a fiduciary nature. Allen Berry was in a sense, agent and partner of D.J. Airways. We have seen that the shares belonged to the group and the group benefited. Thus, there remains no doubt that there is prima facie a charge of criminal conspiracy, and the action of every individual has got to be judged in that background. It was beyond the scope of the Commission to apportion blame, but during the course of their inquiry, they have come across sufficient material which shows that Government will be perfectly justified in pursuing this matter in the light of those findings.

Now Shanti Prasad Jain has taken up the position that on the 31st May

Dalmia-Jain Companies

1948, there was dissolution amongst the members of the Dalmia-Jain group. But subsequently, he had to change the position. He continued to be director even after that. And when he was cross-examined further. he made a very significant statement before the Commission. He says:

"I want to be very frank. We did not attach any importance to that. We never considered that we are partners to any firm and it does not mean that we divided that firm among ourselves. We held our assets mixed with one another and the ffect of that was that the three of us were together exercising control over the said companies.

"By this partition we only mean that our effective control over the respective companies is sorted out".

Then the Commission observe:

"In our opinion, the date 31-4-58 has no meaning. It is admitted that nothing was done on that date and it was also admitted before us in the arguments that no concrete act of implementation was carried out before 31-5-48. We are of opinion that this date is an afterthought introduced after March 1949 and that the case of partition from 31-5-48 has not been proved. We will elaborate on this as we continue".

Then once Shanti Prasad took up the position that the shares were his private property, the Commission wanted to know the source from which the purchase money was paid. Then all sorts of objections were raised. Shanti Prasad claimed privilege. There is one thing very significant in this connection. His counsel, Shri Ved Vyas, while arguing the matter of the privilege, referred to the following observation in the Bose Commission report: -

"Shri Shanti Prasad Jain's application also states that he claims

the protection of article 20(3) of the Constitution. In clarification of this, Mr. Ved Vyas said that the ground on which the protection was sought was that Shanti Prasad Jain apprehended that disclosure by him of whether or not he purchased certain Preference and Ordinary shares in Allenberry and Co. and the circumstances in which they were bought and later sold, and the persons or companies from whom they were bought and sold (assuming that they were) and the sources from which he obtained the money for their purchase might incriminate him in respect of certain offences of which he has been accused by the Registrar of Companies, Delhi, among them, criminal breach of trust, cheating, falsification of certain accounts, forgery and allied offences including offences under section 222 of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; as also offences under the Control of Capital Issues Order in respect of the sanction given by the Examiner of Capital Issues for the issue of shares of Dalmia Jain Airways".

Susequently he appointed another lawyer, Shri Bhagwati, Shri Bhagvati contended that Shri Ved Vyas had argued this point in this way without any consultation with the client. Then Mr. Vivian Bose put this questionare you prepared to give this statement in writing?' He said 'No'. Then he did not press the point. That was the state of affairs. This should be judged in the light of the fact that this was the joint concern of the group and nobody had his individual shares, managing agents' shares or selling agents' shares. They belonged to the pool. If we view the case in this light, there appears to be a clear case of breach of trust and criminal conspiracy.

With this development in the private sector, it has become necessary for the Government to take certain mes-

[Shri Sumat Prasad]

sures and to make certain changes in the company law. In this case we have seen there were dummy directors who never knew anything When they came in the witness box, they said they acted according to the directions of Shri Ram Krishan Dalmia. Shri S. P. Jain said he was their god, and they acted according to his advice. But Shri Dalmia never figured as a director in any of the companies. Therefore, to safeguard the interests of the small shareholders. a procedure should be evolved by Government by which certain independent directors may be on the board, so that the concerns may not be monopolised by certain individuals.

Shri R. G. Dubey: Who should appoint the independent directors?

Shri Sumat Prasad: Some scheme has got to be thought out by which the interests of the small shareholders can be safeguarded.

Companies should not be allowed to have their own auditors. Only Government auditor, should audit their accounts. In this Report I came accross an incident in which the balance sheet did not exist at all, but was supposed to have been passed. It was answered on behalf of the auditors that they would not insist on a balance sheet and would be satisfied with a statement of account. The staff should also be vigilant, and all the balance-sheets should be scrutinised. Otherwise, the faith of the masses will be undermined, and the industrial development of this country will be greatly retarded. Along with the public and co-operative sectors, we depend on the private sector also, and so it becomes the responsibility of the Government to see that the shareholders are not defrauded.

Taking advantage of the provision for voluntary liquidation, in this case Dalmia-Jain Airways went into voluntary liquidation. A scheme of amalgamation was framed, and the

shareholders got only Rs. 5 per hare. The value of the shares was reduced by Rs. 5. And thus they made crores of rupees. The voluntary liquidator did not perform his duties properly. He did not go into the matter. He did not allow the inspectors of the Government to inspect the account books. All the accounts were suppressed. Some of them were destroyed, and he put up before the court a scheme of amalgamation, and that was accepted by the court. The court has to decide on the material that is placed before it. Therefore. liquidator, whether he be voluntary or appointed by Government, has to place all the materials before the court. In this direction also, some change is needed, so that Government may be in a position, in a scheme of liquidation, to place all sides before the court and nothing is gained by suppressing the real facts of the case.

Shri Krishnapal Singh (Jalesar): The enquiry into the working of the Dalmia Jain group, as it is popularly known, has brought to light certain facts about our private sector, of which we were not aware so far, and there is no doubt that everything does not seem to be satisfactory.

The malpractices which have come to light are due either to the law being defective, or due to the defective administration of the law relating to business.

We have heard a very forceful speech by my friend Shri Daji who went into details of the working of the private sector. I think he has tried to make out a very good case against what the private sector does. I am mostly in sympathy with what he said but his conclusions do not appeal to me. The remedy which he proposes for correcting these malpractices, namely nationalisation private concerns, does not ap-I do not think me. peal to that is the proper way. If we have a little boil in one of our arms,

concerns.

would not be very wise to have the entire arm amputated. It would be much better to have the boil treated and to cure the disease. Therefore, I do not agree with his conclusion, that the only way to correct this state of affairs is nationalisation of private

Then he suggested that better recognition should be granted to the trade unions. In this respect, I would like to go a little further. I am surprised that up to this time Government have not tried to associate labour or employees with the management and ownership of the companies.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: They have a scheme.

Shri Krishnapal Singht They have a scheme. We have been hearing that things will be done, but it has not , been introduced. If labour is given a share in the capital and in the management of the concerns, we will have two distinct advantages. will be that we will not have as many strikes as we have been having; labour certainly cooperate with the management, and there will be increased production in the country. other advantage will be that if labour gets a share in business and is put on the management of privately owned concerns, it will have a better knowledge of the running of the firms in which it is working, and therefore would be in a better position to safeguard its own interests and those of the shareholders. Therefore, I suggest that instead of thinking of nationalisation, we should try to associta labour in a greater degree gradually and give it a place in management of these concerns. not for a moment say that if people have committed offences and if they are responsible for malpractices, they should not be punished. But at this moment we should calmly consider whether these malpractices are committed by only a few individuals or are so common that we have to take a general and more liberal view of it It is no exaggeration to say mat

the conditions under which we live and we have been living in this country are not conducive to raising the standards of morality in our society. Had the Government placed even a fraction of the emphasis which they have placed on the material gide, to improve the moral standards of the people, things would have been different. In every department of life, we find that our morals are not what they should be. We cannot accuse a few people of being guilty of doing what the Bose Commission has pointed out.

There is another serious point. Why have the Government chosen one set of industries for this kind of an enquiry? What was the reason? The Bose Commission itself has pointed out that these malpractices are common. Mr. Daji pointed out, as the Bose Commission says that most of the industrialists do not own motor cars lead a life of luxury. If that is the position, why should the Dalmia Jain group be singled out for purposes of this enquiry?..(Interruptions.) That is exactly the point. My friend Shri Banerjee who is much better acquainted with industry than I am has given the answer. That is the reason. It gives an answer to the suspicion as to why this group was singled out for this kind of investigation.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: You say to them what I have said.

Shri Krishnapal Singh: Mr. Banerjee says that I should repeat his words. Mr. Baner-jee said that the Dalmia Jain group was singled out because they did not subscribe very lavishly to the Congress fund.

Shri C. K. Bhattachs-vya (Raiganj): That is just like Mr. Banerjee....(Interruptions.)

Shri Krishnapal Singh: But that is a very relevant point for this House to bear in mind when they are discussing this report: why should one group be singled out when, according

[Shri Krishnapal Singh]

to the knowledge of various Members and Parties, such malpractices are common. It is unfortunate but I think we should judge this case in the light of these facts. We should take action against those who are guilty after we have given an opportunity to them to put in their defence. Even a murderer is given an opportunity to be heard by a court. Why should we go only on the report which places only one side of the picture before us? Why should we not give an opportunity to the other side to be heard in this House which is the highest court in the country?

I would like to say a few words as to what the Party to which I have the honour to belong wants to be done, what changes they want in the policy of the Government. As I have made it plain before, we want that the private enterprise should remain. We do not want that everything should be taken over by the Government.

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: Does Mr. Banerjee agree?

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I want to nationalise even Congressmen.

Shri Krishnapal Singh: We must associate labour in the management and we should set aside a portion of the capital in the newly floated concerns for the labour or even in the older ones when they expand their capital. Whereas we are in favour of the private sector being retained we also want that they should have a conduct of business. We want managing enterpreneurs to be honest, enterprising, adventurous, sympathetic towords workers and shareholders, efficient and economical. This is what we should aim at in our business. We expect that Government will come forward with suitable amendments to the company law so that in future such malpractices do not happen. The interests of the shareholders should be effectively protected. There should be more stringent liquidation laws. Interlocking of enterprises should be watched. Confusion between private companies and public corporations should be prevented. Lastly, scrutiny of auditors' activities is needed.

If we go through the Bose Commission report, we find that the auditors do not always act in the manner in which they are expected to do. There is no doubt that if we want our private enterprises to run successfully we should have good auditors and it is necessary that we should have auditors with integrity and knowledge. Otherwise we cannot expect the private companies to maintain their accounts in a clean manner. Therefore, whereas I say that we should take action against all people who are responsible for offences and irregularities, I also say that the people who committed them should not be treated unduly harshly and we should judge the case in the light of the circumstances which have been brought before us.

I hope that this House will give every opportunity to the people who are accused of having committed these irregularities to lay their case before this House before they arrive at conclusions.

With these few remarks I again request the House to consider the few points which I have placed before it.

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, in dealing with the present case we have the following papers to guide us. On the one hand we have got the report of the Bose Commission, the report of the Daphtary Committee partly laid on the Table of the House and partly leaked out through the contrivance of some friends, and also we have received an analysis circulated by some helpful gentleman, probably a lawyer, through the post office. On the other hand we have the petition of Shri Shanti-Prasad Jain, two telegrams from the

14061

eldest wife of Shri Ramakrishna Dalmia....

Shri S. M. Banerice: Five.

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: Mr. Banerjee is fortunate he has received five.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Because I belong to the Opposition.

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: Then, a representation by some staff members of the Dalmia concern; and just as I was about to come out from the house, a representation from the Asia Udyog (Private) Limited, forwarding this leaked-out report. I got it before I came to the House, through the grace of Asia Udyog (Private) Limited, they forwarded it to me. So, all these papers we have to go through and make our conclusions upon them.

I do not know whether it will be correct but after going through this latest paper that I received from this Asia Udyog (Private) Limited, it appears they are building their case upon the leaked-out portion of the Daphtary-Sastri report. This made me feel that this leaking out business must have been done by persons who are interested in the party. Otherwise, why should Asia Udyog (Private) Limited, into whose affairs investigations have been ordered and inspectors have been appointed forward it to me in support of their case? That is the conclusion that I made there. (Interruption). Mine? I got it today, just as I was coming out. Somebody came and gave it to me.

Regarding the other papers that I received, I will refer only to two passages. One is from the telegram that I received from the eldest wife of Shri Ramakrishna Dalmia. She refers to the FIR report, to which the hon. Minister also referred. She says that "the FIR report was filed by the Companies Registrar in November, 1953, and this has been pending for the last 9½ years. Neither police submitted a report under section 173 nor dismissed investigation". This is a

state of things which requires an explanation from the Government, if this is correct.

Then, regarding these representations that I have received from the Dalmia concern employees, I shall refer to only one passage, that is the passage on page 7, where they say:

"We may point out that neither we are concerned, nor we like to comment as to what other insurance and other kinds of companies (refer Bhaba Committee Report) associated with other big business houses, have done or are doing at the cost of the investing public, and why no action has been taken against them".

This is the question put before the Government by the staff members of the Dalmia concern, and to this also the Government has got to render a reply.

Then, coming to the petition of Shri Shanti Prasad Jain, he has given us three conclusions, or rather his conclusions numbering three: firstly, that the Commission has erred in its conclusions; secondly, whoever else might have been responsible for what the Commission have found to be wrong, there is no responsibility of Shri Shanti Prasad Jain; and, thirly, the way the Commission has dealt with the whole thing has not been wholly fair-he does not say unfair, he says 'not wholly fair.' That is in the petition. So, that is the petition.

After having dealt with these things. I took up the part two of the Daphtary Committee report which has been made available to us by Government before. The Daphtary Committee in their own analysis have upheld the findings of the Bose Commission, so that the complaints that Shri Shanti Parsad Jain makes against the Bose Commission finding are contradicted also by the Daphtary Committee Report. In different parts of the report in dealing with their

[Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya]

recommendations for the amendment of the Company Law, in every case, they uphold what the Bose Commission have recommended. Referring to the Dalmia-Jain Airways they say:

"Even from its very inception the promoters had never intended that the huge sum of over 319 lakhs raised by public subscription towards the share capital should be utilised for such business. They had only intended to form a private company for carrying on a totally unrelated adventure, namely, purchasing surplus motor vehicles and spare parts and machinery left by USA and the American forces at the conclusion of the last war."

This is where the whole thing begins, that is in the middle of the year 1946.

As I read the report, and also the petition, a feeling came over me. August 1946 has been a fateful period in Indian history, and August 1946 has been also a fateful period in the history of the Dalmia concern. It is on the 13th of August that they got permission from the Government of India to add to the objects of the Airways the purchasing and reconditioning of vehicles left during the last war. And in referring to this the petition itself says-the feeling is there also:

"The DCPM had purchased the shares in the middle of 1946, when the share market was at its highest. The Calcutta killing and the Liaquat Ali Budget produced a crash in the share market. The partition of India made it worse".

That is the defence that Shri Shanti Prasad Jain has put forward. So, a fateful incident in our history is related to a fateful reverse in the fortunes of the company also.

What the Daphtary Committee has recommended in its first part I have only cursorily gone through. But their finding, I believe, is that there is not much action to be taken unless further enquiries and further investigations have been made. These investigations have continued for six years. I do not know how many years more the en-tire process will take if the Sastri Committee's recommendations

Report of the

Dalmia-Jain Companies

The analysis that I have got apparently from a lawyer, an undisclosed friend, is that there is material in the findings of the report on which the following cases can be proceeded with: criminal conspiracy, criminal breach of trust, cheating, forgery and falsification of accounts. These are the cases suggested in the analysis that somebody has sent me, analysing the points of law and the whole report.

I do not want to go into details of the report, which are more or less well-known. What I felt was-these words came to my mind,-

एव प्रवर्तित चक्र

accepted for action.

The wheel moves in this way. Certain persons create a position for themselves in the society. With the help of their position, they get money from the public and also from the public exchequer. With the help of that money, they improve their position and with the help of the improved position, they get control over the Government machinery. With the help of the control over Government machinery, they get more money from the public exchequer. That is how the wheel moves. In the present conditions in which we are, it comes to this that there is a contrivance by which it may be made possible that public property and public money are utilised for private enrichment and private gain. That is what has been in the Sastri Committee's recommendations also:

"The Commission of Enquiry discovered a case where certain persons, for their unjust individual enrichment contrived to have their own private concern...."

14065

This is the conclusion they have come to.

How can this malady be remedied in the society itself? This new contrivance has got to be resisted somewhere. My idea is, this is possible in one way only. That is, at a certain stage, there comes into operation or comes into existence an unholy alliance between officialdom on the one side and the business people on the Otherwise, this thing can never happen and can continue to go on. That is my conclusion and that is the conclusion, I believe, that various enquiries have also some to it. Since the independence of India came, we have been let down by two elements in society: the official element on one side and the business element on the Had the two elements acted fairly to the people, India, would have been a much better place to live in than what it is today. That is my own inward feeling, which I speak out on this occasion.

Mundhras and Dalmias are not mere accidents. They are symptoms of a deep-seated malady in the society itself. The social fabric is being corroded by greed. It is the greed motive that is leading to the things which the Bose Commission investigated. This has not been done out of poverty, out of want, out of desperation for not getting the wherewithals with which a man can live. These are done out of greed and it is here that the remedy must be applied. Otherwise, while on one side we go on building this planned reconstruction of society, on the other side, this greed motive will begin corroding the entire basis of the society like an insidious poison and one day the whole thing will have topple down. The fundamental remedy is not in legislation or commissions of enquiry. The fundamental remedy will be to reawaken the moral sense of the society, as Gandhiji used to do, which we have forgotten by

now. Only a day back the Prime Minister presided over the Moral Force Conference in the AIR hall. There he had stated that the influence of moral factors is everlasting and the influence of every other thing is transitory. This is what the Prime Minister stated and this is what we want to put into action.

In the end, I only make an appeal to my opposition friends. In all the speeches they deliver, in all the propaganda they make, they try to wean away the people from the inherent sense of morality or what I would call That they try to Dharma Buddhi. break and have broken to a certain extent, but they have not been able to give any substitute. They have persuaded the people not to follow the habitual moral rules that they used to follow; they have not been able to give substitutes. I am glad Prof. Mukerjee has come. I appeal to Prof. Mukerjee to accept my suggestion and join with me in appealing to the people in the way of the old moral preachers:

> न जातुः कामः कामानाम् उपभोगेन शाम्यति ।

हविषा कृष्णवत्मैव भूय स्वामिवर्धते ।

"The desire is not satiated by the enjoyment of desire; it gross by what it feeds upon." Again, it is said:

> यावद् म्प्रियते जठरं तावत् सत्वं हि देहिनाम् ।

> ग्रधिक योऽभमन्येत सस्तेनो दण्डभाग् भवेत् ।।

"The individual in the society has a right only to that much which is enough to satisfy his hunger. One who wants more is a social thief and should be punished". I appeal to Prof. Mukerjee to join me in appealing to the people to go back to the spiritual moorings, so that these things can be made impossible.

Report of the 14068 Commission of Inquiry into Dalmia-Jain Companies .

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Sir. we have a very voluminous report before us. It is a most laborious document, and it is rather impossible to offer a fulsome criticism of what is contained in the report that is before us. Still, each of us is trying to make an attempt to give his views on it and I will not lag behind in doing so.

The disclosures that have been made by these reports show in clear language the human greed to make money, more money and more money. malpractices that have been brought to light are really such as call for full attention on the part of Government to check malpractices in future. The enormity of the offence, if I may be permitted to use the word 'offence', is very great. But what appears to me as very strange is, who allowed this thing to continue? Who was responsible for this state of affairs? We have any amount of documentary evidence made available to us from various sources which go to show that it was this Dalmia who used to contribute large sums of money to the Congress funds.

An Hon. Member: Where are the documents?

An Hon. Member: Jan Sanah ko nahi?

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Here are the documents. You can take them. You have got them. I have also got them.

Is it because of this contribution that we were keeping quiet for so long or is it that things were allowed to drift because all round the same position was obtainable. The rich are rich. They may form a group of themselves. They have got better hold on the Press. They can praise the Government, they can praise the Ministers and try to draw a veil upon the actual things that are there. The hon. Member, Shri Daji, when he was speaking, narrated a few scandals. But he refused to talk about the jeep scandal, the pre-fab, scandal, the ammunition scandal, the fertiliser scandal, the

Mundra scandal, the Serajuddin scandal and so many other scandals.

An Hon. Member: He did mention jeep scandal and fertiliser scandal.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: He wanted to mention, but he did not. The whole difficulty is that somehow or the other we all try to shield some persons for certain aspects with which we see the political life. Everyone who does the nation in the eye or deprives poor ' people of the hard earned money, whether it is in the hands of the nation or in the hands of a managing director, is as great a rogue as anybody else. Dalmia used his brain in a perverted manner to make more money. There is no doubt about it as it appears. But, Sir, the wonderful part of the whole thing is, has he committed an offence under the law?

An Hon. Member: No.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: After having wasted these six years and producing this big volume, what is it that we have got? I am fortunate that this morning the hon. Minister was pleased to issue this so-called secret document to us. What does it convey to us? What is the sum and substance of this whole thing, this rigmarole? I do not read this report as a very valuable document. With all respect for the great judges who sat over this, I say it is a rigmarole. When we read it we come to this conclusion. One after the other they have said: further investigation is necessary in one case: in the other, further material is necessary; for the third, further investigation is necessary and ultimate success of the enquiry will depend upon that further investigation; for another, proof is lacking; for the interpolation of entries in the personal accounts, all this will be subject to further enquiry and so on. Then, the haphazard manner in which this recommendation has been made has surprised me very much. It is said:

"The balance-sheet of D. J. Airways for the period ending 30th

Commission of Inquiry into Dalmia-Jain Companies

14070

June has been signed by Dalmia and S. P. Jain and others, and an offence under section 282 of the Companies Act would appear to have been committed."

I was searching for it. This is a report of 1963. I was looking at the Companies Act, and I find that section 282 has no bearing with this. Then I thought that probably the hon, judges might be thinking of the old Act, and I found out that they were probably referring to the old Act in giving their opinion. Sir, such learned people to whom lot of money has been paid from the pockets of the Government have submitted this report without even trying to study what particular law will apply and in what year the offence is said to have been committed. It is from these people that we have to draw our inference whether or not the prosecution of these particular persons is possible.

After reading this report I can only come to one conclusion, that what they have in mind is that the result if effective against minor characters only it will have to be considered whether it is worth the trouble. After having wasted six years we again come to the conclusion that further investigation is necessary, and that without further investigation no prosecution is possible. Why have we wasted all this money? What for have we done it? What advantage have the Government or the public derived from all this investigation? A lot of money must have been spent. I was reading that the appointment of one Shri Petigara was made on Rs. 600 per day. What a tremendous lot of money must have been spent for getting this investigation done! We are talking of Dalmia; everybody concerned was also trying to fill his belly. So, when rich persons are to be prosecuted other people want to become rich at the cost of the rich. That greediness is not disappearing in the least.

I would ask, are there methods, are there officers, are their Acts avail-

able for us to catch hold of these people when they commit the wrong? Do we not get into the know of affairs that such and such persons, such and such rich merchants are doing this wrong? Very recently I received some intimation—I am trying to contact the Home Minister for that purpose-that certain persons entered into a conspiracy between the years of 1948 and 1957 and took away about Rs. 7 crores of foreign exchange by surreptitious methods. I fail understand that. How is it that our big officers, the Reserve Bank, the Customs and others are not able to locate these things? These things go into the hands of nincompoops who charge as much as Rs. 32,000, as was disclosed today, for getting an evasion of income-tax to the tune of Rs. 7 crores.

Shri Balkrishna Wasnik (Gondia): This information can be had from big business houses.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: Very good. Wherever you may get it, the whole question is that you must get it. If it can be had from big business houses, it must be got from big officers also.

After studying this what further ridiculous thing comes to my notice is that a complaint was filed somewhere in the month of November, 1953—on 19th November, 1953—Shri Kanungo told us that it was in 1952, but I think it was done in 1953.....

Shri Tyagi: And, it has not come yet?

15 hrs.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: In 1953 this complaint was lodged by Shri B. R. Seth, Registrar of Joint Stock Companies, Delhi. It is a long complaint and a very full one as it is. This Commission was appointed in 1956. So, from 1953 to 1956 what investigation was carried out? What type of complaint is it? Any person who has learnt law, who has the rudimentary knowledge of criminal law knows the principle of Actio personalis moritur

[Shri U. M. Trivedil

Motion re:

cum persona. It also leads one to the conclusion that mens rea is a necessary ingredient of an offence under the Indian Penal Code. If mens rea is to be imputed, there must be a person. To whom can we impute that this person has committed this mis-What is the name of the take? accused and what is the address of the accused? Dalmia Jain Airways through the Chairman of the company and other members of the Jain concern? What type of accusation is it? During my study of criminal law I have never come across such a proposition. The only case where a body corporate has committed an offence is the fictitions offence which is created by law under the Defence of India Act. It is only under the Defence of India Act or the company law that a body corporate commits an offence. When a body corporate commits an offence, the punishment is not sending to jail. Here the offences are under sections 406, 420, 465 and 477. Who is going to jail? Is the Dalmia-Jain Airways to be sent to jail? What type of offence was committed by it? Why is it that the investigation has been going on for the last seven years, rather I should say ten years, because this was started in November 1953? It is now nearly ten years since the offence was committed. Then we are asked to discuss it.

this morning I raised this Then, point. This document indicates that it is in the court of Shri Satish Chandra, District Magistrate, Delhi. It means that the document must have gone to the District Magistrate. If it has gone to the District Magistrate, it means that cognisance of this case has been taken by the District Magistrate. Is it fit and proper that the House of the People, the Lok Sabha, will discuss the pros and cons of this investigation and then come to a particular decision and thereby influence the conduct of the judiciary?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: We are discussing the Vivian Bose Commission report; not the investigation or complaint.

Report of the

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I am coming to it. The Vivian Bose Commission also experienced some difficulty. Commission of Inquiry which was appointed was not able to get hold of the documents. Then, this matter was placed before the district magistrate and warrants were issued to seize from all over India particular documents. Was that machinery to be used for the Vivian Bose Commission? I submit not. It is true that it has given us material. It is very true. They have come to certain conclusions; very true. They have found out certain things which show how greed for money acts. It is no joke. A man enters into a contract and then colludes to get some money from the company, because the money comes from the pocket of the poor shareholders. A man enters into a contract that for twenty years he will serve that company. After nine months, his services are terminated, an arbitrator is appointed to go into the case and a huge sum is paid as compensation. Whose money is it? It is the money of the shareholders. Certainly, it is callousness. Callousness apart, immorality apart, what is required in law is to see what offence has been committed. Are we to investigate the callous behaviour of the rich? We know the callous behaviour of the rich. If the behaviour of the rich is not callous, probably, the labour would not have suffered, and the country would not have suffered if the callousness of the rich is not there. But what is the offence that has been committed? What is the money that we have spent on this report? Should we not inquire whether the money was justly spent or not, especially when we are left in the lurch for the report has suggested further investigation? How long will this investigation after investigation go on? I do not understand it. There must be some limit to it.

Commission of Inquiry into Dalmia-Jain Companies

We have also received some memoranda from various sources. I am not going to refer to them. What was the reason which prevented the Government from taking immediate action when this company was floated with 114 fictitious shareholders? At page 243 of this Report, Chapter VII, it is stated:

"Out of the first 121 names in the register of subscribers not less than 114, to whom share certificates were issued, were fictitious."

Therefore, they were non-existent persons. It further says:

"We made the following attempts to trace them."

Then they give the details.

Shri Tyagi: Sir, would you summon some of the Ministers here? There is no Minister present.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: One Minister is present.

The report further says:

"The number of shares issued to each ranged from 250 to 5,000. No less than 50 of these 114 persons are said to have invested sums ranging from Rs. 10,000 to Rs. 50,000 each; and 11 are said to have invested from Rs. 20,000 to Rs. 50,000 each."

A man who invests Rs. 10,000 to Rs. 20,000 is not to be found. How many are there in our country, or at least how many were there in our country in the year of grace 1948, who could invest Rs. 10,000 or 20,000 and who were not to be found? We allowed this fiction to grow and we tolerated this fiction. In 1948 one of the reports of the Public Accounts Committee indicates to us how we developed this habit of tolerating this fiction. There was a report that at the cost of Rs. 10 lakhs some buildings were constructed and the buildings were fetching good rent and we used

to get that rent. For three years we continued to get that rent. At the end of three years, the rent ceased. Then, investigations started why the rent had not come. I am only giving you an illustration as to how things are going on in our country. After three years it was found out that no such building was ever constructed. That building was simply not there. The rent was a fictitious thing and the investment was a fictitious thing. Then we tried to find out whether the gentleman who made this report was there. We found that he has gone to Pakistan and is not available in our country. We tolerated such a thing 1948. This is a thing that we allowed to have roots and foundation in the year 1948. When we continued to tolerate these things in Government departments, certainly we have to tolerate them in the private sector.

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: May I remind the hon. Member that in 1948 this Government did not exist?

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I am sorry, the hon. Member's memory is not as sharp as mine. It was this Government which was in existence from July 1947.

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: It came into existence after 1950.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: No, the hon. Member is wrong.

Shri Tyagi: It was the Viceroy's Executive Council.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: May be, but the difference is that between Tweedledum and Tweedledee. The same person was holding office.

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: I do not dispute the hon. Member the advantage of having a convenient memory. But the fact is that Republic came into being in 1950.

Shri U. M. Trivedi: I am not concerned with convenient or inconvenient memory. I am concerned with

[Shr U. M. Trivedil

historical facts. The question, therefore, is that we tolerated it. Does the hon. Member who just tried to intervene want to say that just because the report referred to was of 1948 it should not be looked into? Is it his contention that what has been stated by Shri Shanti Prasad Jain from November 1948 need not be gone into because this Government was not in existence then? Or, are we to say that we should not do anything because this report was not there? do not think that that is his contention.

Motion re:

Then comes the question whether the vigilance that we want the comcompany inspectors appointed under the Company Law to exercise should grow or should the same thing go on happening, namely, that the Registrar of Companies has nothing to do with the administration of companies. will simply sit tight and the inspectors need not do any work except enjoy their lucrative remuneration. Very recently there were reports about some company in Belgaum. The reports are floating. They go to the Registrar of Companies and not a feather moves to take any action Who should against the company. do it? Should we have another commission waste another six years for this commission to submit its report, then after six years are gone should we have another opinion from a learned member of the profession who would then say, "Let there be further investigation"? How are we going to cure these things that are coming before us? It is therefore meet and proper that remedies must be found out. evils have been disclosed to us and the remedies must be found. I do not want to be vindictive against anybody. If the Government feels that no useful purpose can be served by not prosecuting them, let them not prosecute them. I do not care. But the evils at least have been disclosed in this report. Are we going to sit tight having studied this report and not formulate the

remedies for the future so that these things shall not happen at least?

Big businessmen are there in our country today. They must be manipulating so many things. Some have been brought to light and some have not been brought to light. But are they different in any manner what has been done by Dalmia Jain or anybody who is concerned with this? I am not concerned with any particular man in this. not being done all over India by the rich, by those who are managing companies? I had heard about a company, Standard Mills, where cornering was done by one particular person who is now reputed to be a very honest man. He cornered the whole block of shares and brought the price down to one-tenth of the face value and then purchased all of them. Now he is a very big man because now he is behind that company. These are the things that are happening. These are things which are understood by everybody. Everybody knows it These are not things which are novel. Are these things not known to Government officers? They are known to them. Are these things not known to Ministers. They are known to them. Ιf they known to the Ministers and to Government officers, who are directly concerned with them, what prevents them from making a suggestion the Government and through Government to Parliament that action must be formulated to remedy these ills that have been disclosed. It is no remedy to have everything in the public sector. This is the public sector. What other sector is it? We are the public sector. This Parliament is the public sector. We are not able to do anything. What is there that this public sector will do? The public sector has already given us an example where by investing Rs. 920 crores you get a profit of only 1.29. What is this public sector doing except taking away the money of the public and not giving any return

whatsoever? So, the point for consideration is how best to control the investments that have been made in our country.

It may be that for certain reasons public sector would be necessary. Certain essential industries we cannot leave in the hands of ordinary persons. Some industries industries which have to deal with our defence. which are essential for our country, which provide public utility services. must be controlled and we must have them in the public sector. But then we may not spread our tantacles so much to all other things. stands to reason that, whether it is money taken from the taxpaver which goes to the Consolidated Fund and is then put back into the market or whether it is put into the market direct by the shareholder, the money has got to be protected. Ιf money is to be protected, a method must be found to do that. This report can, therefore, lay the basis for formulating such law by virtue which we can protect the interests of the share-holders. It is with that, end in view that this particular must be studied by us. It discloses the various methods by which people are cumulatively doing such acts-I do not want to use any ism, Communism or capitalism-which are immoral in the sense that they just verge upon an offence against the public. I would submit most respectfully that to that extent this report has served its purpose, namely, it has opened our eyes and showed us that proper legislation ought to be forthcoming so that in future this may not recur.

But it is not sufficient that there should be legislation for this purpose. What is required further is that there must be an honest machinery to work that legislation. We have already legislated to some extent. Our Company Law is there. Offences under the Company Law are described. I was fortunately also one of the members of the Select Committee when this Companies Act was enacted. We did 572 (Ai) LS-5.

Dalmia-Jain Companies discuss the pros and cons of the various sections of the Act. But what do we find? It goes on as merrily as ever. Every day each one of us sees advertisements that are there under the Companies Act saying that soand-so is overage and that it is now being resolved to make him a direc-This particular provision is there. Every day we see that. What action is being taken by Registrar of Companies? What action is contemplated under the law? Why is this new provision under section 282 being flouted and why is this provision being made a wash-out? Should we get no benefit whatsoever from the provisions that have been incorporated? I will therefore say most respectfully, as I have said, that leave aside the personalities, do not consider the personalities, Dalmia or no Dalmia, Jain or no Jain, Shanti Prasad or Sreevans Prasad. tnem out, what we are concerned with this Report has given is that indication of what the an are in the public limited concerns which are being handled by rich persons and which, with the knowledge of law in their hands, are being prostituted and how the public is deprived of its rightful share which it ought to earn from any sincere managing agent that may be there. Therefore the loopholes that are there in this law must be plugged and plugged so successfully that no further opportunity may arise in our country to run down X, Y or Z. It is merely washing the dirty linen of somebody which I do not want. I simply want that the law must be so administered, manipulated and formulated that in our country such a thing shall not happen again.

Shri Mahesh Dutta Misra (Khandwa): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I thank you very much for giving me an opportunity to say a few words on the subject under discussion. It is really a very serious thing being discussed and debated in a serious manner. So far as the corrupt practices in this country are concerned, we have had many references in this

[Shri Mahesh Dutta Misra]

14079

House in the form of questions, calling-attention notices and various other opportunities have been exploited by hon. Members to refer to malpractices not only in the field of business but in other spheres of public life. Therefore when I view this report in that larger perspective I begin to think whether it would be very proper on our part to come to any conclusion about this report only. We find many lacunae in the reports that have come to us, not only in the Vivian Bose Report but also the Daphtary-Shastri Report and we find to our dismay that we cannot take any legal action against this particular business group, much less against any particular person belonging that group. Therefore, at the very outset, I would like to say, or rather inform the House, that I do not wish to bring in any ideological colour while discussing these things because I find in this House, people belonging to different ideological moorings and throwing light on the mal-practices or corrupt practices, in the country from their own angle. I wish to tell the hon. Members of the House, through you, Sir, that I have a very broad national perspective in discussing these things. I believe that capitalism has a role to play in this country, in our national economy and they would continue to enjoy the advantages and privileges given to them by law in proportion to their contribution to national production and distribution. But I find. especially looking to the conclusions of this Report, that capitalism in this country continues to be unbridled. And this is not the solitary case of that; this is not the only business group which remains unrestrained by law.

I would like to say one thing more. It is not the fault of capitalism as such. Capitalism has a profit motive and the profit motive is that of money. They are not very particular about any political consideration. Maybe, formerly we had a business

morality; we had a sort of commercial ethics in the country and people abided by that and that has been referred to in the Daphtary-Shastri Report, that probably they have gone out of that former commercial ethical rut and committed certain errors that are not sanctioned by the commercial community.

We have also adopted a peculiar attitude towards this problem. think we have adopted a policeman's approach towards this question. have been hearing of this ever since we came into power in this country in an independent India. That why I do not wish to go into the technical questions, whether this Government was there or not. large, Indians have been in power ever since 1947. These things have been happening in this country. have been hearing about them. early as 1947-48, the Congress Party passed a resolution about standards of public conduct. That also raised a peculiar controversy in this country. I was a bit associated with that. Ever since that, we have been discussing of corruption in this country. But we have not come to any particular conclusion. We have not come to any specific conclusion as to how we can check corruption in this country, whether it is in the business field or in the administrative machinery or other political walks of life. We have not come to any conclusion that we can take such and such an action against them. Therefore, here again. I feel that this discussion may not lead us anywhere until and unless we view the thing in a larger social perspective.

I would like to make a few suggestions. Before the Government takes any action about this Report, because it would need further investigation and the task might be again delayed, I would like to suggest to the Government that let there be a comprehensive sort of inquiry about the business groups existing in this coun-

try that are participating in the plan of production, consumption and distribution. I woud like to suggest, let there be a general, comprehensive, inquiry into the conduct of all leading business groups. I understand from reliable sources that our Company Law Administration has gested that the kind of things have been mentioned in the Vivian Bose Report exist in other leading business houses also and that orders have been issued to the field cers to investigate into the conduct these business groups. do not know how far it is ture. It is or the Government to find think, there is some stuff in what is suggested by the Company Law Administration.

Some of the members of the Opposition have referred to the administrative machinery also. I am not going to hold any brief for anybody. But I would like to say that if it is found out that there has been a conspiracy between the official circle and groups in the country let business this thing not go unchecked. It must be found out, let people know where the malady resides, where the actual evils exit. Therefore, I would like that there is a sort of comprehensive inquiry which goes into the details of these aspects of commercial dealings and business activities so that, after sometime we come to certain conclusions. Here again. I would request the Government not to allow things to drift for 6 years or 7 years. Let there be a comprehensive inquiry and let there be a time-limit fixed for that—maybe a year, or, at the most two years. Let us come to certain conclusions about these business houses. If it is a fact that the Government is aware of the malpractices existing in other business houses, then why single out DJ group only? I am not a friend of the capitalists but I know capitalism has a role to play in our mixed economy, in our national

Dalmia-Jain Companies life and to that extent I would like them to play their role. I would like to give them all the facilities under the law. But so far as this particular incident is concerned, especially after reading the petitions and after reading the telegram of Mrs Dalmia, I come to the conclusion that it is no use singling out particular busiess group, when we have definite information, and reasons to believe that these malpractices exist in other business houses also. Therefore, without going into details of these petitions and reports of these things, I would like to suggest that the mal-practices of circular loans or under-writing or sale purchase, all these things, have been there from 1946. Some people have tried to take shelter behind it, that in 1946 there was a peculiar psychology in this country, there was a psychology that people had reaped benefit during the War and they have into that habit and so, for some time, this would go on. In a nascent democracy, people become indulgent. They think that these things are common in a nascent democracy and that we should allow them to go on. Now, 16 years have passed and even now if we cannot check these things, then it is not only a danger to the democratic system but also to the capitalist community itself because they cannot go on in this manner for ever. They get a lease of life because they have part to play in the national life. But they would not enjoy it, they would not be allowed to have it if the people become agitated, if the people disillusioned, and if the people become desperate. All these things that we discuss here in this House are allowed to be published in the press and they agitate the public mind, the public conscience. Therefore, I would like the Government, as well as the Opposition to use restraint in discussing this report because, naturally, when we are not a court of law, we are not sitting upon judgement on this particular affairs, we have to take a broader view of the whole thing. Therefore, we must give up the policeman's

[Shri Mahesh Dutta Mishra]

approach. I would request the Government, as well as this House to give up the policeman's approach. We are not sitting upon judgement on the DJ group only. We have to think of this malaise if it is a part of the integrated social whole. If it is a part of the integrated social whole, if it has absolutely vitiated the society, then we have to set the whole machinery in order.

Motion re:

I would again repeat those suggestions that the law be amended and, I think, the law will be amended-it has been amended in certain respects. Meanwhile let there be no witch-hunting. Let us tell the people participating in the national production and distribution: thus far and no further. Things might have happened and we might not be in a position to take action against the business community, against the officers and other persons involve in affairs. But let us tell the country and all people concerned: thus far and no further. If we can tighten up the administrative machinery, the country shall be satisfied. The people shall be satisfied. If we tell them, hereafter there will not be any malpractices indulged in by anybody. that the Government machinery is vigilant and they are taking effective action against the people who indulge in those thinks, they would be satisfied I would go further and even suggest that let us absolve all those who have committed offences in the past I won't be sorry for that. Because, we have to run a democratic set up. If we allow these things to then. democracy is continue. danger much more than socialism and other principles to which we adhere.

In the end, I would like to say one word about something that has been referred to by Shri U. M. Trivedi. He said that the public sector has not brought dividends, is not useful. In a gradualist democracy where socialism is the goal, the only way is to have a public sector. Wherever it has committed a mistake it must be rectified. Public sector can play the role of competing with the private sector, with the capitalist concerns, by making profits, by making people work honestly. efficiently, by running the whole thing in a businesslike way. It is only the public sector, the co-operatives or other things that will put an end to all these malpractices. Because we want a democratic set up, we want democratic procedure to continue in this country, and we do not want to employ any other means as this is the only way available to us. Therefore, must emphasise that there must be more and more scope given to the public sector. I would like that the public sector is allowed to compete with the private sector in a particular field of production so that people know that if things are made by the public sector, they are better, they are produced at a cheaper rate, they can compete with things produced by the private sector. Then only we can think of stopping the malpractices. Because, malpractices exist and they flourish on the consumers' money, on the people's money or on the shareholders' money. If we can compete with them, they would not be able to indulge in these malpractices.

I do not want to go into the details. The only thing that I want to bring out here is that there should be a comprehensive general social enquiry about all questions of malpractices, corrupt practices inefficiency in business, in the administrative machinery, in the country in general, so that we can arrive at conclusions and we can take some Then, we can tell effective action. the people, hereafter, nothing will happen; you can say that the Government means business, the Government wants to put a stop to corruption and the Government wants to put a stop to all kinds of malpract-

At the end, I would like to say a word to all parliamentarians

we have to look within ourselves. Before talking of corruption, before criticising others before talking of malpractices existing in business or administration or everywhere, everybody, here, including the Opposition Members have to look within themselves. Then, probably we will find an answer why and how far corruption is existing in this country. Are we not responsible for it? Have we not subscribed to it in some way or the other? Have we not supported it in some way or the other? Then, the answer would come from within ourselves and ultimately we will have to decide whether we are going to put an end to corruption or not. The answer would come, If 500 Members get the answer, then I can rest assured that the people will also be satisfied that the answer has come and action would be started.

Shrimati Renuka Ray: Mr. Deputy-Speaker, for some time past, we have been aware in this country that a number of malpractices are indulged in, particularly in order to defraud the exchequer of its legitimate dues. of the We have also been aware combines. I think. monopolist nevertheless, it would be true to say that when this report of the Vivian Bose Commission came out the country was startled and shocked-shocked to find the type of things into which it was possible to indulge and the kind of malpractices that in detail took place not only against the Government exchequer, but against public companies in which so many people, so many poor people also had their shares. Naturally, the matter has created a great deal of interest in this country.

When the Minister was speaking, he said that many of these things cannot happen today because, these loopholes have been plugged, because of the Compnay Law Administration having come into existence and having been able to plug some of the loopholes It may be true. But, it is also true to say that this is not a single instance of a single business combine or firm that we have seen.

There are instances which are coming to light in the other sections of the private sector in this country.

We have agreed that there should be a private sector as well as a public sector at least during the time of economic development. In view of that I am not here to say that we do not want any private sector. But, I am here to say on behalf of the House and the country that, if there is a private sector it must keep at least within the limits of what is known as business ethics. Because, in this country, the private sector believes in the law of the jungle. They do not even subscribe to business ethics in the U.S.A. They are businessmen, most of them; t They. are not industrialists. are merely speculators who are out to get rich as quickly as they can. Therefore I do not want to enter into the regarding the amendments details that have been suggested by the Vivian Bose Commission in part II and also the suggestions of the Attorney-General and Shri Shastri. only want to say that, I hope, very soon very expeditiously these amendments to the Company law will be brought in. I do not want to go into the details because I do not have the time here.

I also want to point out to the hon. Minister and the Government that many years ago, when in 1955 or 1956, 10 years ago, the Act was amended, a great hue and cry was raised in the country by the private sector and they said, all industries would be finished, they could not possibly sustain these amendments. But, this has proved an illustry fear. I hope, this time, the Government will waste no more time than it has already wasted in bringing in these amendments.

This leads me on to another point which has also been ably pointed out by the Commission and that is in regard to administration. The tibhtening up of the administrative machinery is most important and is most urgently required. In fact, I daresay

[Shrimati Renuka Ray] that the loopholes are largely due to lack of integration of administrative machinery. In order to administer the Companies Act effectively, it is essential take other measures like capital issue control stock exchanges. financial institutions, for example the I.F.C., the I.C.I.C., and the L.I.C. etc, are administered in a purposeful way by one Ministry. Unless reform is brought about how

we possibly prevent all these things

when so many Ministries deal with

. 31.

the same subject.

I may add also that even within the Commerce and Industry Ministry, there is no coordination between the department of Company Law Administration and the department administering the Industries. Regulation and Control Act. It is essential that the provisions of these two Acts which deal with investigation the affairs of companies should be dealt with by the same department, so that when action under the provisions of the Companies Act is taken. the corresponding provision of the Industries Development Act is also taken into consideration. Unless this is done, here again there is a big loophole. Therefore my second contention is that the administrative machinery must be tightened. It must be made stringent. No excuse can be pleaded that one part of the administration does not know what is happening in another administration Ministry. These two in the same things the Company Law Administration and the Industries Regulation Act must be administered by the same . .

Shri Himatsingka: They are under the same Ministry.

Shrimati Renuka Ray: They must be under the same department. The Company law and the Regulation of Industries Regulations Act are under the Commerce and Industry Ministry, but administered by two separate departments. I said, first of all, they must be brought under the same Ministry and these

two must be brought under the same department even within the same Ministry. Unless this is done, we shall continue to be faced with all those difficulties that we have been faced with so far.

Dalmia-Jain Companies

Then, so far as corruption is concerned, we have to take some steps in this regard. We talk a great deal of corruption in this country. agree that corruption is not, however, so great as we sometimes imagine, but it is far too much for efficient administration. Therefore, while I do not want any witch-hunting, I would say in all humility to the hon Minister that when huge amounts money are offered to minor clerks who can hardly keep up a standard of living, it is hardly fair and it acts as a very great temptation. Therefore, it is very essential to see that when we are dealing with people who are willing to bribe up to any extent, even to crores of rupees, if it comes to that, the handling of the machinery must be by high-powered and responsible persons and persons also who have a technical knowledge of the subject. It often happens that lack of technical knowledge of subject also makes it easy for people who come under his administration to take advantage of the administrator's ignorance; it may that he does not want to be taken advantage of, but his lack of technical knowledge sometimes stands in the way. I would, therefore, request the hon. Minister to take action to see that not only is the administration tightened up but it is in the hands of people who cannot succumb to temptation; it is true that circumstances are such that I do not say that they can never succumb to temptation, but the likelihood should be that they will not succumb to such big temptations.

15,42 hrs.

[Dr. Sarojini Mahisi in the Chair.]
From Chapter VIII of the report, as has already been pointed out, we find that the Vivian Bose Commission has said that the exchequer has been defrauded to the extent of

Motion re:

Commission of Inquiry into
Dalmia-Jain Companies

Rs. 145 lakhs, and the public companies to the extent of about Rs. 260 lakhs. That sounds bad enough by itself, but just think of the poor people who might have had shares in those companies, who have been defrauded because of the growth of so-called private companies these through which the money of the public companies has been drawn away. We would like to ask what Government are going to do about all these things particularly in respect of the present offenders so that there will be a deterrent in the future to people who might want to tread or who might feel like treading the same path. In the couse of his opening speech, the hon. Minister had stated that in regard to judicial action the evidence will have to be proved in a court of law. It is quite right that it has to be proved in a court of law, and these things take time. Therefore, there are other measures that could be taken. There are people in this country, the so-called businessmen, who do not mind going even to jail if they can keep up their profits; they do not mind paying some fine if they can keep crores of rupees. Therefore, the only effective way is to think of other measures. I am not saying that you should nationalise all their companies, irrespective whether they are good or bad. I would ask the hon. Minister to be very careful not to nationalise in any circumstances those firms which have deteriorated and which are no longer But there is one particular good. which is a very good firm namely the Dalmia Dadri Cement Limited. What about this firm? Why not be nationalised should it mediately? I am not talking of the claims of nationalisation now. I am saying that as a measure of punishment, which will be more effective than sending the persons to jail, which wil be more effective than their being made to pay penalties. If there is a judicial inquiry, and judicial action is to be taken through the courts of law, then it may take a long time, and the present incum-

bents may not even be there. Therefore, it is most essential that some action should be taken now which will really hit them. And the one way in which we can hit them is by seeing that good companies, companies that are giving good profits, are taken away from them. There might be more than one such company, but the Dalmia Dadri Cement Limited is one company about which everybody knows that it is paying good profits, and I would request the hon. Minister particularly to see that it is nationalised. I am not saying that all companies in the private sector must be nationalised at once. No. we have accepted a mixed economy, but we have accepted it subject to some regulation, subject to the condition that the mixed sector will be such a sector as where people will remain within certain limits, and if they can keep within those limits. certainly, let us have it. But when there has been so much offence by this group of companies, then certainly, if there is any company belonging to that group which is a good company and which is paying profits, then it is time, and in fact, than time that Government take immediate action to nationalise that company and take away the illgotten gains.

Shri Sonavane: The hon. Member said that a good company should be nationalised. To deteriorate or to improve?

Shrimati Renuka Ray: I say that good companies can be nationalised. There are many nationalised cerns which are doing well. wrong to say that they are not doing well. The steel industry which has hardly emerged is criticised. But, it that nationalised is wrong to say companies do not do well. Take, the nationalised railfor instance, way concern the Chittaranjans. The Chittaranjan-built engines are cheaper in course of time and also better than those manufactured by the Tatas. There are many examples of nationalised industries which are al-

[Shrimati Renuka Ray]

ready proving that they are doing well. I daresay, therefore, that if the Dalmia Dadri Cement Limited is taken over by the Commerce and Industry Ministry, it can be run efficiently and well. And in any case, it should be taken over as a measure of punishment or penalty and as a deterrent in the future to all those who aspire in this country to defraud the exchequer and the people with impunity, people who do not believe in any regulated and controlled industrialisation but who believe in speculation, who do not promote companies wish to industrial projects which will benefit the country even indirectly but who only wish to make money for themselves, in order to get rich quickly.

Therefore, it is more than time that we took every possible step on all counts, through the courts of law, through Government directions etc., to take suitable steps so that not only this group will have to pay the penalty for all its misdeeds, but in the future, nobody will come forward to traverse the path which they have trodden, and nobody will feel that in this country which is going towards a socialistic pattern of society anyone, even if allowed to function in the private sector, will have any right whatsoever to take advantage of the people of this country with impunity.

With these words, I hope that the hon. Minister will take action on the three points that I have made. The first is that he should bring forward amendments as suggested by also by the the Commission and Committee. Daphthary Sastri and Secondly he should tighten the range of administration and bring it under one control. Thirdly, he should take effective steps to bring in effective penalties as deterrents for the future against those who have transgressed the law.

Mr. Chairman: Now, Shri Suren-

Shri S. M. Banerjee: On a point of order. No Minister is present here.

The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Food and Agriculture (Shri Shinde): I have been asked by the hon Minister to be present here.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: It is a very serious matter coming up for the first time. And the Parliamentary Secretary also does not belong to that Ministry. This shows how seriously Government are taking up this important and serious matter. This Commission itself has spent over six years on this matter, and the public exchequer has spent about Rs. 27 lakhs on this. And for the first time, in this so-called socialist pattern of society and government, how the private sector, the unscrupulous businessmen in the private sector can reduce every code of conduct to a mockery has been revealed in this very report.

This matter should have been taken very seriously. But it so happens that the entire Government—many Ministries are concerned with it, the Finance Ministry, the Commerce and Industries Ministry; I would say even the Prime Minister, if he is true to his faith in socialism, should have been present here—is not represented here when the discussion is taking place.

Shri Kanungo: I am sorry I had to be away for a while.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: For this Report, I pay a tribute to the Vivian Bose Commission. Whatever may be their failings, whatever may be their limitations, whatever may be the ultimate outcome of this, the fact remains that this Report not only throws a searchlight into the affairs of private business, but also reveals the character of the present Government which professes socialism but functions in such a manner that under its very protection all these malpractices and frauds continue.

I am not very much concerned with individuals. Whether an individual is punished or not, is not the question

(SAKA) Report of the 140 Commission of Inquiry into Dalmia-Jain Companies

at issue. The whole question is this. In this country, we want to develop a socialist economy where we have purposely given a place to the private sector to play its part. But what is How have these really happening? things occurred? What are the reasons? What was this Government doing for so many years? I am not going into the details, but it is really a strange phenomenon in this country that we see. This applies not only to the Gov-· ernment. Here you find that the petition of Shri S. P. Jain has been sponsored by a Socialist. Here I find a paper, Blitz, which is of communist persuasion. Yet what does it say? Just see the page in the middle. displays Dalmia's great sacrifices in the history of business houses. Dalmia's difficulties, how he is suffering, how he is being slowly killed by mental torture etc. etc. The same paper in the cover page goes to the defence of Shri K. D. Malaviya, Socialist, who is being charged today with being involved in some corruption. front Malaviya On the Dalmial

Shri Hem Barua (Gauhati): What a combination!

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: This i_S the picture of the combination that is working in this country.

I was thinking that this Government would take this matter very seriously. It is not only a matter of ten companies. After all, what are these ten companies? There are many houses. As the Minister said in his opening speech, we have amended the company law in 1956 and in 1960. But after the company law was amended, is he in a position to say that such malpractices do not prevail in this country? Is he in a position to assure this House categorically about it? No.

Shri A. P. Jain (Tumkur): Very much.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: That is your difficulty. You feel that we have plugged all loopholes, everything is O.K.

From 1946 to 1963 is a long period. You have gone into the affairs of companies which started business in 1946. According to their own statement, they separated in 1948. According to the Commission themselves. all was transferred money to some other company. All these they are functioning. And what have you done? What action have you taken? If you really wanted to stop all that fraud, malpractice and manipulation, if that is your aim, then I would put this to you.

I say this: they wanted to conceal the whole thing. The first part of the Daphtary-Sastri report really exposes the Government more than anybody else. Therefore, they wanted to avoid laying it on the Table of the House, saying that it would not be in the public interest to do so.

After these long years of investigation, what has happened? What have they written? No legal proceedings can be taken without further probe. These eminent lawyers have gone into every single recommendation of the Vivian Bose Commission and come to the conclusion that they have got only copies, they have based their conclusions on suppositions and therefore, they will not stand in a court of law. So a further probe is necessary. All these ten years what have you done?-Ultimately, further probe! You have not arrested anybody. These companies are functioning as usual; they are going merrily on. No action has been taken. I am told there were actually 9 companies, but the Commission took another in the course of their investigation into their jurisdiction. Of these 9, 5 were almost dead companies; 4 somehow or other manipulated their accounts. It has been borne out by the investigation and report that books were destroyed. accounts were manipulated, no evidence put forward papers were concealed. All these are stated here, And yet what have you done? You have not taken any action. You have not started any prosecution. Nothing has

[Shri Surendranath Dwivedy] ;been done against them, let alone other companies.

I ask Government to tell me this. Originally, as many as 20 companies were to be subjected to investigation by the Commission. Why only 10 were given and the others withdrawn from the communique? Now you are appointing an inspector to probe into five companies. I really cannot understand it. Why were these 5 companies not given to the Commission themselves to investigate, a Commission which had such an eminent Judge as its Chairman. They had already gone into several questions. They could have brought out many other factors.

And who is this person who appointed? Mark this-that is also very significant. The Minister stated in the morning that in 1952, they appointed this very Chopra and Co. to inspect these companies. As soon as it was appointed, funnily enough, what did the Airways, anticipating that a certain inspector is going to be appointed, do? They filed a petition in the court for compulsory liquida--tion of the company. Chopra and Co. submitted their report in November 1953. You could not take any action. You only gave it to the police to investigate. By the time police investigated, many books were concealed somewhere. The police investigated for three years, and after the police investigation, nothing was completed. Then you appointed this Commission with limited terms of reference. Again the lawyers say that nothing can be done on the basis of the Commission's report. I was really surprised. When this Commission was appointed, whatever papers the police had were given to them. Again, the Minister said the F.I.R. is still there, they will further investigate. Into what? Do you expect any paper to be available after 10-15 years? Is it ever possible to get them? It is all eye-wash. You could have started proceedings. Have you not got powers? You are suggesting unless the company law is amended, there is no power in your hands, you are not in a position, to take

action. Why? There are sufficient powers in your hands. There are two things involved in the way you are proceeding. One is that really you want to give protection to such practices. Secondly, you do not really intend to take some stringent action against these malpractices, because you will be exposed to the charge that you are singling out some persons here and there in order to malign them for political reasons. What is your evidence to rebut this charge? This is a serious matter.

In this country we have seen Mundra deals. There you took immediate action. The Industries (Development and Regulation) Act is there. There are enough powers with you to take action. Why is it that on this particular thing you did not take action and after ten years you are merely appointing an inspector? By that time these five companies also would have transferred their money to somebody else.

16 hrs,

Shri Hem Barua: And we would not be here then.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: So, let the guilty persons be punished, whether there is constructive responsibility or individual responsibility, in all these matters. The real modus operandi has not yet been known. Let us know individually who are responsible. If they are responsible, take action. That is what is needed. Yet, you are going in a roundabout way, and I do not think anything will happen. You may amend the company law to any extent, but that is not going to stop these things actually. If you really want to stop these malpractices, the only course open to you is not to appoint an pector who has no powers, but appoint some Commission which will take five or ten big business houses in this country who generally indulge in these things, as has been admitted by the Daphtari report itself. Let them have a thorough probe, not to punish them, but to see how to

stop these things, so that we know where we stand, and then we can fix the place of the private sector, the role they have to play in the economy of this country. That is what is need-·ed

I have a word for the private sector also. I am not one of those who say that we should immediately naionalise everything. That is not possible. We are wedded to a mixed economy. We want the private sector to play its part in the national interest, not for selfish interest. Such a great revelation has been made by this report. What is the Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry doing? What is its role? It is a very respected body. Government gives it a special prestige, special dignity. The Prime Minister goes there, the Finance Minister goes there, when the annual conferences are held. This Federation is also very alert whenever anything is wrong with the public sector. They come out with facts and figures, statements, memoranda etc., stating that the public sector has failed, that prices have gone up, advising that the public sector should be done away with. They lose no time pointing their finger at the functioning of the public sector. But here, are they parties to this I want to know. The great house of Tatas have contributed much to the industrialisation of the country, and what is their reaction? They have said: we to sit in the want not P. Shri S. if Federation Jain is the President. Is enough? Have they put a resolution condemning this thing anywhere in the Federation's meetings? Have they stated, not with a view to punish their own comrades, let them not do that, but have they stated anywhere that they themselves are taking steps to see that these malpractices are stopped for all time to come? I think they should come forward and help this Government and country in seeing to it that these malpractices are stopped for all time to come. If they do not do their part, then all facilities that have been given to the Federation should be withdrawn. Government should place it in its proper place, because all are a party to these things, I would say. They would be charged like that if they themselves come forward voluntarily to stop these malpractices.

Dalmia-Jain Companies

Report of the

But I feel that no further evidence is necessary. That is my point. You should immediately take action. But the whole difficulty is that whenever this question of corruption or malpractices comes up, it is the policy, the mind, the attitude of our great Prime Minister that comes in the way. You want cent per cent. evidence. If Serajuddin has violated the Customs Act, has given lakhs of rupees, I have to prove it, give cent per cent, evidence. If Dalmias or Jains or Birlas or Tatas have committed anything, you want cent per cent. evidence. That is why we find corruption today at all levels. It is an individual, for not possible for anybody outside to really Government. It evidence to the Government when for the there is prima facie evidence to take action.

Was there not prima facie evidence in these cases? There was prima facie evidence on the case of the Vivian Bose Commission Report because you are going to have a further probe. So, I say that corruption at all levels is due to your attitude; that is the fountain head from which all these flow. Therefore, people feel that they may commit any amount offences, that they can just ignore the law and yet they can go scot free because there is this big business, unscrupulous big business, I do not say all big business. They know that they have such influence today in the Congress Party, in the Government, that they can indulge in these things without any fear. That is the point.

Shri Daji was suggesting administrative tribunals. I would even go so far as to suggest that you can use the [Shri Surendranath Dwivedy)

emergency powers. The enemy is laughing at you, that your affairs are in such ridicule. Why not invoke the Defence of India Rules if you are not able to invoke other powers? You can do that. But yours is a policy of masterly inaction, going on chanting the mantra of socialism. You simply go on stating that you are not going to tolerate these monopolies, monopoly press, monopoly capitalism, and yet you give all facilities, you give every protection, to see that they thrive in this country.

Then, I will draw your attention to two matters which are to me very important in this connection. Every year the Compay Law Administration, in its Report, has been pointing out in the first page that the powers given to it are not enough. They have stated in their reports that if they are to go into the malpractices in the corporate sector, they must have the authority and the power to go into all aspects of the question. They have been crying for it, but never has that been done.

You know that in this House a definite complaint was made that in the markets of Calcutta imported zinc was sold by a firm called Kalinga Tubes Limited to the tune of Rs. 15 lakhs in the black market. The complaint was made, but the Company Law Administration could not do anything. The zinc did not go to the factory, it was sold there, a cheque was issued by the person who took the zinc, money was transfered, all this was done, but Government never thought it fit to find out in which train, in which wagon, in which truck, the zinc received at Calcutta port was taken away, how much amount was involved, on whose account, whether the zinc really went to the factory for the manufacture of tubes. That has never been done. So, you have powers, yet political considerations or other factors prevail so much in your mind. If you are serious about it, if you want to develop our economy, if

you want more money without depending much on foreign aid for development, then this field of administration should be given full powers. There should be a high power commission with authority to go into all these things thoroughly so that malpractices are stopped from the beginning, and we do not have to appoint commissions for this purpose later.

Dalmia-Jain Companies

Lastly, I touch upon another aspect, that is the question of our industrial policy. As I have already stated, we Socialists advocate and believe that in the present state of our economic development, the private sector has a part to play. We accept that proposition. What is the function of the private sector and what is the function of the public or corporate or cooperative sector? These have to be defined clearly. In the initial stages many things might have happend in the public sector enterprises but that does not mean that it has failed or that it should not be encouraged. We must see that the public sector industries in this country develop speedily as possible and as efficiently as possible. But at the same time what does this show? This shows that the private sector which is required to play a very great part in the development of the economy has not only failed but has made the entire business community reduced to a mockery on account of the malpractices. But how are they able to operate? If you analyse the Dalmia-Jain companies, you will see that they operate because they are monopoly transactions; banks are with them; insurance is with them. It began with insurance. The entire banking system is in private hands. The Tatas have banks at their disposal; the Birlas have banks at their disposal. They have general insurance also at their disposal. If you want to control these groups, it is time that you seriously took measures to control these institutions through which they take the money of the shareholders for their purposes.

14101

Report of the Commission of Inquiry into Dalmia-Jain Companies

Take the steel industry. I have been advocating for several years now that right from iron mines and iron ores till the end of manufacturing steel, the entire process should be nationalised. We may have competitions in other fields. But in time like this and in industries of this category we should decide which sectors should be completely under the public sector. Whatever may be their achievements, I suggest that even the Tatas should be nationalised, if you want the steel industry to grow in this country. You have to reconsider your entire industrial policy in the context of things that are happening in the country, the unscrupulous manner in which some of the big business houses have exploited the liberal attitude of the Government to subvert our economic development. If you take over banking and insurance at this moment, there would be little room for these things.

About the Company Law Administration, there are recommendations already. They are not new. Shastri Committee, the Bhabha Committee all these committees have made ample recommendations. It is a waste, It is dishonest on the part of the Government to some extent to say that this report was mean mainly to unearth guilts and punish the guilty. It is not so. Ultimately that has not happened. If legislations are necessary, you must do them. The sooner you do it the better. After this exposure you will get unanimous support from the House and from the country. You must take steps to bring in legislation and modify your present laws, if you are not able to take them over. Executive and legislation action should be taken to plug the loopholes so that such things may not 'happen in the future. You are not taking any steps to punish these people to act as deterrent; you are still hesitant to take action probably because you think that when such things are found out in the other big business houses in the private sector, they are so powerful that they may

ultimately throw you a challenge and then you will find it difficult to manage your own affairs. That is what it comes to.

There are two ways how corruption could be stopped. At the highest level measures should be immediately taken, whoever he may be however highplaced he may be in business or in Government or in public life, to find out things and punish guilty persons. I maintain that even the present laws give you enough powers to act and see that these things are stopped but you have to decide in your mind first. My complaint is that you are not only vaccillating. It will not probably be wrong to accuse the Government that they are a party to the machinations of these big monopolies, big business houses that are in the country.

भी शशिरंजन (पपरी): एक गम्भीर विषय को लेकर आज हम इस सदन में उसकी समीक्षा कर रहे हैं। ऐसा विषय पहले कभी भी हमारे सामने उपस्थित नहीं हथा है। लेकिन बजाय उसकी समीक्षा करने के हम लोग कानून के मुताबिक सरकार जो काम कर रही है, उस पर विचार अपने व्यक्त कर रहे हैं, उसी पर ज्यादा बोल रहे हैं। मभी हमारे दिवेदी जी ने कहा है कि फैडेशन भाफ चैम्बर्स भाफ कामसं से हमें भपना सम्बन्ध विच्छेद कर लेना चाहिये क्योंकि उसके कुछ सदस्यों ने कुछ ऐसी बातें कहीं भीर उसमें सम्मिलित होने से इन्कार किया । यह जो चीज है. व्यक्तिगत चीज है। मभी उन्होंने टाटा का दष्टांत दिया है भीर कहा है कि टाटा ने कहा कि मैं सम्मिलित नहीं हुंगा । यह उसका व्यक्तिगत व्यवहार है. व्यक्तिगत भाचरण है भौर इसमें सरकार कुछ नहीं कर सकती है। यह एक बहुत बडा ब्यापारी वर्ग का समृह है भीर इतने बडे समूह में प्रगर एक वो घादमी, गलती करते हैं कुछ इस प्रकार का कार्य करते हैं, तो उसके

[श्री शशिरंजन]

14103

लिये सारी की सारी गवर्नमेंट को दोषी दल ठहरायें, यह शायद उचित नहीं होगा । दाजी साहब ने बहुत सी भादर्श की बातें कही हैं। भादर्श की बात सही हो सकती है, . कैसा होना चाहिये, क्या होना चाहिये, यह सब जो उन्होंने कहा मैं उससे बहुत कुछ सहमत हं। लेकिन विषय यह नहीं है। विषय यह है कि भ्रभी जो परिस्थिति हमारे सामने है, कानून के मताबिक जिन कम्पनियों ने व्यवहार नहीं किया, उनके साथ हमारा कैसा व्यवहार हो, उनके प्रति हमारा क्या दृष्टिकोण हो, हम उसकी कैसी समीक्षा करें। भ्रादर्श की बातों से शायद ही कोई व्यक्ति सहमत हो सकता है। कोई नहीं कहता कि लुपहोल्ज को प्लग न किया जाए । कोई नहीं चाहता कि इनकम-टैक्स का इवैशन बन्द न हो, कोई नहीं चाहता कि म्रोहदे के प्रभाव से गलत काम बड़े बड़े दफ्तरों में जाकर कोई करवाये । यह कहना कि हमारे प्रधान मंत्री अपना सम्बन्ध बिल्कुल विच्छेद कर लें, ऐसे विग विजिनेस हाउसिस से, यह कैसे सम्भव हो सकता है या कहां तक उचित हो सकता है. इस पर हमें विचार करना चाहिये। भगर इस तरह से हम अपने को सब से भ्रलग करते जायें तो कैसे काम चल सकता है ? होना तो यह चाहिये कि जिनमें भादर्श हो जो यहां पर घादर्श की बात करते हैं वे उन लोगों के पास जायें जो इस तरह की गलत बात करते हैं गौर उनको समझायें, उनको बतलायें कि तुमने यह ऐन्टी नेशनल काम किया है भौर समाज के विपरीत काम करने का नतीजा सारे देश पर पडेगा । जब देश नहीं रहेगा तो वे लोग कहां रहेंगे। इस तरह से उनके पास जाकर भीर उनके मानस पर कुछ प्रभाव डाल कर, कुछ प्रथना ऐक्शन दिखला कर भीर भपने मैं भी वही प्रवृत्ति माकर हम काम करेती में समझता हूं कि उससे ज्यादा ग्रसर पड़ेगा । ग्राज नहीं हो, कल नहीं हो, कुछ ज्यादा दिनों में हो, लेकिन इसका इसर जरूर पड़ेगा । इसल मैं इस

पालियामैंट ने कानून बनाया है भीर बहत से सदस्य काफी दिनों से यहां है, कानून बदलना उनके हाथ में है, वे जब चाहें कानून बदल सकते है, लेकिन सरकार तो जो कानून है उसके मुताबिक ही काम करेगी और करना भी चाहिये। प्रगर वह ऐसा नहीं करेगी तो कानून की मान्यता नहीं रहेगी भीर कानन का कोई महत्व नहीं रहेगा।

ग्रब इस रिपोर्ट की में कई रुखों समीक्षा करना चाहता हूं भीर सदन का ध्यान उसकी तरफ ग्राक्टब्ट करना चाहता हं। एक काल तो सन' १६४८-४६ का है जिसकी चर्चा इस रिपोर्ट में की गई है। ग्राज की परिस्थिति मैं, ग्राज के वातावरण में हम चाहें तो भी कह लें लेकिन जब हम उस समय की बात करते हैं, पूराने समय की बात करते हैं. तो उस समय में जाना पड़ेगा भीर उस समय की परिस्थिति को, उस वक्त के वाकयात को महेनजर रख कर विचार करना पड़ेगा। सन' १६४८-४६ में अंग्रेज तुरन्त गये थे, सत्ता हमारे हाथ में ब्राई थी। हम लोगों को बहत सी तब्दी िलयां करनी थीं भ्रौर हमें जीवन के हर पहलू में जुझना ही नहीं बल्कि उसे सुधारने के लिए भगीरथ प्रयत्न करना था । बहुत सी समस्यायें एक साथ उभर म्राई थीं। सदियों की दासता से हम खुद बहत कमजोर घौर जर्जर हो गये थे। भंग्रेज इसलिये हमारे देश से नहीं चले गये थे कि उन्होंने हम पर कोई मेहरबानी की थी, बल्कि इसिलये चले गये थे कि देश की परि-स्थिति ऐसी थी जिसमें उनके लिये यहां रहना संभव नहीं था । सदियों की दासता ने हमें बहुत कमजोर कर दिया था ग्रीर जब भी हमारी नजर देशी क्षेत्र मैं भौर भन्तर्राष्ट्रीय क्षेत्र में पड़ी तो हमने पाया कि हमें बहुत कुछ: करना है। हौले हौले हमने कुछ प्रगति भी की भीर काम भी किया, लेकिन हम सब पहलुक्यों पर दुष्टि नहीं डाल सके । इस मैं सरकार का ही दोष नहीं कहा जा सकता है: बल्कि देश के हर एक रहने वाले का दोष था 🌡

जो कुछ हुमा, जो म्रच्छाइयां माई उसमें भी हर एक का साझा है भीर जो खामियां रह गई उसके लिये भी हर एक दोषी ठहराया जा सकता है। उस वक्त अंग्रेज लोगों ने हमें जो शोषण की शिक्षा दी थी उस में समाज में एक दूसरे का शोषण करने की शिक्षा मिली थी, विजिनेस क्लास वाले जो लोग थे वे भी शोषण की प्रवृत्ति रखते थे । उस वक्त पैसे का महत्व था। राय बहादूरी, राय साहबी भौर सर की उपाधि किसी गरीब हलवाहे को नहीं मिलती थी बल्कि करोडपति भौर भरबपति को मिलती थी। लोगों में उसकी लिप्सा थी. लोगों मैं उसके लिये ललक थी श्रीर वे चाहते थे कि उनके पास पैसा हो। उसके लिये वे गलत काम भी करते थे। कांग्रेस इतने दिनों तक लडी ग्रौर उसने पैसे के महत्व को घटाने की भीर व्यक्ति के महत्व • को बढ़ाने की बातें कीं। हम उसमें कहां तक कामयाब हुए यह दूसरी बात है।

सन्, १६४६-५० जो वस्त था वह एक ट्रांजिशिनल पीरियड था, हम एक जीवन से दूसरे जीवन में प्रवेश कर रहे थे। कम्पनी ला हमारे ऊपर मंग्रेजो द्वारा लादा गया था। हम लोग उसे मानते माये थे, हम उसमें सब्दीली नहीं कर सके, शायद वह हमारी नजर से खूट भी गया, में ऐसा मानता हूं। उस के बाद लियाकत मली की मिनिस्ट्री बनी। बाजार में जो शेम्रर थे उनके एक बार ही भाव गिरे। कुछ लोगों ने खरीदे मौर बेचे। गलत किया या सही किया, कुछ लोगों ने जान बूझ कर भी किया। लेकिन हमें वस्तुस्थिति को भी महेनजर रखना पड़ेगा मौर कार्य करना होगा। एक वह परिस्थिति थी।

दूसरी परिस्थित यह माई कि डालिमया जैन का यह मामला समीक्षा के लिये एक कमीशन को सौंपा गया और उस कमीशन ने सात, माठ वर्ष का समय लिया। इस दम्यांन में बहुत सी तम्बीलियां हुईं, हो रही थीं। कमीशन को विचार करना था उस वक्त परः जो कि सन, १६४८-४६ का था।

Dalmia-Jain Companies

16.27 hrs.

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]

जो कार्य उस किमशन को सौंपा गया था वह तत्कालीन समय के लिये नहीं था। उसके बारे में उसे सुझाव देने थे। सन् १६५३ में उसने विचार किया। सन् १६५३ में भाभा कमेटी भी बनी थी गौर उसने कम्पनी ला मैं कुछ अमें डमैंट किया। फिर सन् १६५७ में कुछ अमैंडमैंट हुआ। श्री शास्त्री ने, जिन्होंने दफ्तरी साहब के साथ राय दी है, एक अलग रिपोर्ट पेश की थी। कुछ तब्दीलियां भी हुई थीं।

तीसरा जो वक्त झाया वह उस सात या झाठ महीने का है जिसकी रिपोर्ट इस सदन के पटल पर रक्खी हुई है। झाज जो वस्तु-स्थिति है उसकी परिस्थिति मैं भी काफी लोगों के दिमागों में बहुत सी बातें झाई है। झब रिपोर्ट हमारे सामने पेश की गई है और दफ्तरी साहब गौर शास्त्री जी ने कम्पनी ला को फिर बदलने का सुझाव दिया है।

सवाल यह उठता है कि प्रगर डालिनया जैन प्रुय वालों ने कम्पनी ला के मुताबिक कार्य किया, प्रगर कानून मैं लूपहोल्स होने की वजह से उन्होंने उसका नाजायज फायदा उठाया तो उसके लिये कानून ज्यादा दोषी है बनिस्बत उस प्रादमी के । हालांकि मैं उस प्रादमी को भी दोषी मानता हूं । प्रगर वह ठीक यातब फिर इस दम्यान में कम्पनी ला को ठीक करने का सुझाव देना मुझे ठीक नहीं जंचता है । इस कमिशन के बारे में हमारा जो विचार था, उसके बारे में जो प्रहम्यित थी, उसके बारे में हम लोगों ने जो नक्शा बना कर रक्खा था, प्रगर उसमें तब्दीली भ्रा गई तो उस दम्यान में कम्पनी ला को क्यों भर्मेंड किया जाय ?

इन सब बातों को मद्दे नजर रख कर हमको इस पर विचार करना है, इन बातों

Motion re: Report of the Commission of Inquiry into Dalmia-Jain Companies

श्री शशिरंजन]

को ध्यान मैं रख कर हम अपनी राय दें। यह कह देना कि सरकार भी इसमें पार्टी है यह ठोक नहीं है। सरकार ने तो, जैसा मेंने पहले मर्ज किया, जो पालियामैंट का बनाया द्भा कानून है उस को महे नजर रख कर काम किया । किसी की तरफ से थोडी कमजोरी हो सकती है, किसी की तरफ से कोई ढोलापन हो सकता है, लेकिन कम्पनी ला को बालाये ताक रख कर कोई सरकार काम करे, ऐसा संभव नजर नहीं भाता। भव हमें यह कानन कैसे बदलना है यह पालियामेंट के मेम्बरों के हाथ में है, उनको इस पर विचार करना चाहिये । इसमें तो कोई दो राय नहीं हो तकतीं कि कानून जो इम बनायें वह ऐसा नहीं होना चाहिये कि सारा पैसा एक भ्रादमी के पास सिमट कर भ्रा जाए । हम लोगों ने जो समाजवादी समाज की कल्पना की है उसको कैसे कार्यान्वित करें, कैसे अपनी योजना को सब जन हिताय और सब जन सुखाय बनाव, इस पर हमको विचार करना चाहिये।

कमीशन की रिपोर्ट के बारे में मैं एक दो शब्द ग्रीर कहना चाहता हूं। में बड़े भदब से निवेदन करना चाहता है कि यदापि इस कमीशन की रिपोर्ट प्राप्त करने पर देश का इतना पैसा खर्च हुन्ना, लेकिन उसमें हमको बातों का कुछ खुलासा नहीं मिलता । शायद इसका कारण यह हो कि इसमें कुछ कानून की भड़चन थीं । इस रिपोर्ट से यह तो प्रकट होता है कि लोग एक दूसरे का शोषण करना चाहते हैं। हमको इसकी शिक्षा तो मिलती है। ग्रब हम कानून को इस तरह बदलेंगे ताकि जिस तरह के समाज की हम रचना करना चाहते हैं वह सम्भव हो सके। लेकिन हमको इस रिपोर्ट को इस दृष्टि से देखना चाहिये कि उस समय जो कानून मौजूद या उसका डालमिया जैन ग्रुप ने कहा तक उल्लंघन किया भीर उसके लिये वह कहा तक दोषी है। इस तरह इसकी नहीं देखना चाहिए

Documents re: certain companies and opinion of additional Solicitor General laid on the Table

जैसे कि हमारी उससे कोई दृश्मनी हो और हमारा यह दिष्टकोण भी नहीं होना चाहिये कि क्योंकि उसमें बहुत पैसा कमा लिया है इसलिये उस पर लाखन लगायेँ या उसको परेशान भौर पामाल करें। हमें तो यह देखना है कि उसने कानून का कहां तक उल्लंबन किया भौर कानून के मताबिक उसने क्या गलतियां कीं। मैं समझता हूं कि जब इस सदन में बैठ कर इस प्रश्न पर विचार करें तो हमको निष्पक्षता से विचार करना चाहिये, जहां तक सम्भव हो । हमारा दृष्टिकोण निष्पक्ष होना चाहिए।

DOCUMENTS RE: CERTAIN COM-PANIES AND OPINION OF ADDI-TIONAL SOLICITOR-GENERAL LAID ON THE TABLE

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Finance (Shri B. R. Bhagat): Sir, with your permission, I lay the following documents on the Table of the House:

- (1) the charges sent to New Asiatic Insurance Company Limited and Ruby General Insurance Company, Limited;
- (2) the reports of the Government directors of the Asiatic Insurance Company Limited: and
- (3) the opinion of the then Additional Solicitor-General, Shri H. N. Sanyal.

[Placed in Library, See No. Lt-1311/63].

Shri Daji (Indore): In this nection. I want to make a request to the Minister concerned through you. It is very good that the documents which were not available so far have now been placed on the Table. Since the Prime Minister is also here, I would like to submit one thing. It is not fair to lay on the Table only the report of the Solicitor-General which gives a clean bill to the parties concerned. The auditor's report, on the

Solicitor-General laid on the Table

basis of which action was taken and the Solicitor-General which has given his definite opinion should, in fairness to all, be laid on the Table because then only we would be in a position to judge the whole matter in its proper perspective. It is not at all fair only to lay one portion on Table. The Auditor's report should also be supplied to us so that we can judge both and come to our own conclusions. Now, to jay one report, which gives a clean bill to Birlas, and not to lay another report on the basis of which the other report was prepared, is not at all fair. Why is that being withheld?

charges Shri B. R. Bhagat: The which were framed and sent to the companies were on the basis of the auditor's report. They contain all the materials. As the hon. Member himself has been pleased to observe, it includes the introductory chapter and the concluding chapter of the two auditor's reports. At this stage, when House would be adjourning tomorrow, it is rather difficult to lay these voluminous reports—one taining 140 pages and another containing 126 pages-on the Table. Further, they contain only figures and statistics. Factually, the gist or the sum and substance of the report is before the House-both the charges that have been framed by the Government as well as the documents placed by the hon. Member himself. That is the position. I am in the hands of the House.

Shri Daji: It is not fair. The Goernment may place it tomorrow notionaly That does not matter.

Mr. Speaker: He has made that demand. When we take up some disnotionally. That does not matter.

Daji: You will recall Shri the hon. Minister that concerned said that the Solicitor General. actually speaking, had certain observations about the auditors' report and has not believed the auditors. It is on that basis that the Solicitor General has come to a particular finding. There-

fore before we can judge the Solicitor General's opinion, we must get the complete report of the auditors, even the middle portion. I have placed on the Table what was in my hands. Despite the Government's machinery could get certain things and I have placed them on the Table. Now the Government is coming forward with the Solicitor General's report on which the Government forms its action of not proceeding in the matter. I think, you and hon Members will agree that it is very fair that if the Solicitor General's report is given, the auditor's report on which it is based should also be placed on the Table. The House cannot be taken half into confidence and half not

Shi S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): As I wrote to you in the morning, another report a copy of which was placed by my hon. friend, Shri Homi Daji, has been circulated in hundreds. That is the report of the auditors, Sailen Ghosh and Suresh Chandra.

Mr. Speaker: He should not read from that.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I My point is that not reading. when this document has already been circulated to all the hon Members, what is there secret in it? It has been laid on the Table here and it is a public document. Therefore, the full document should be placed so that we may utilise this opportunity and demand an inquiry against the Birla concerns also. I think, the House will not be denied that.

Mr. Speaker: The Government may consider over it and then they can say about it.

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shri Jawaharlai Nehru): We shall certainly give consideration to anything suggested by this House, but it is obvious that it is physically not possible to place this tomorrow on the Table. But apart from that, we are placed in a somewhat difficult position. The hon.

I4III Documents re: Certain
Companies and opinion of
Additional Solicitor-General
laid on the Table

[Shri Jawaharlal Nehru]

Member placed parts of the auditors' report here, as a result that ultimately we decided to place, at his request, the Solicitor General's opinion. charges and the Government Director's report. Normally we would not have done so. The Solicitor General does not at all like his private communications to Government placed before the House. He says, "I cannot be frank then". But in view of the fact that the auditors' report had been placed and there was a demand for the Solicitor General's opinion and connected papers, we decided to place them on the Table. We have done so. Frankly I cannot give an opinion; I have not seen the auditors' report as a whole. I have seen some very brief summaries of it or other papers and the matter will have to be considered by those who are dealing with it, that is, by hon Law Minister and others.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Is there any objection to placing the auditors' report?

Mr. Speaker: Now it should not be argued upon. They have made a demand and the Government has said that it shall have to be considered by those who are concerned with it.

Shri Daji: Shall we get a copy?

Mr. Speaker: I cannot say.

Shri Daji: I agree with the hon. Prime Minister that it cannot be done immediately. But one copy can be placed in the Library and nominally it may be placed on the Table tomorrow.

Mr. Speaker: Let Government cansider it

Shri Daji: Shall we get a copy of the documents placed just now, say. by tomorrow morning?

Shri B. R. Bhagat: Normally we have to give 21 copies. Now to cyclos-wie 140 pages of one and 126 pages

MAY 6, 1963

Motion re: Report 14112 of the Commission of Inquiry into Dalmia-Jain Companies

of another is not possible. It is difficult,

Shri Daji: Of these documents.

Mr. Speaker: Can some copies of the documents placed on the Table just now be made available?

Shri B. R. Bhagat: We have sent 21 copies. We are making efforts to cyclostyle more copies and shall try to prepare as many copies as can be prepared.

Mr. Speaker: That is all right.

16.39 hrs.

MOTION RE: REPORT OF THE COMMISSION OF INQUIRY INTO DALMIA JAIN COMPANIES—contd.

Shri M. L. Jadhav (Malegaon): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I rise to make certain observations on the Bose Commission's Report about the Dalmia Jain concerns that is before the House. In the first place I submit that the inquiry that was made by the Commission was delayed for six long years. I find from the proceedings of the inquiry that the matter was referred to the High Court, to the Supreme Court and to various other courts and that there were consistent efforts to delay the matter so that the matter may not be concluded or the Commission may not finish its inquiry earlier. Consistent efforts were made, as we find, so that the work of the commission was held up. Further on, I find that nearly Rs. 27 lakhs have been spent on this Commission. And what have we achieved? After spending Rs. 27 lakhs, we find that necessary papers have been held up. We find, no evidence is forthcoming about the crimes of certain millionaires who are running some fictitious concerns who have indulged in mal-practices. I find it is a case where a poor man has not committed any crime for money. It is

tices.

a crime committeed by rich people and that too for becoming more rich, for earning more money. The impression that is created from the Attorney General's report is that there is wholesale juggling of funds subscribed by the public for private enrichment and a number of them have acted in utter

disregard of honest commercial prac-

In this report, I find, the point that is before the House, that shares of these companies were sold. How were they sold? As soon as the company was registered, their shares were sold within a month or so and that too at abnormal price and again the same shares were re-purchased for a lesser price, resulting in a total loss of lakhs of rupees of that particular concern. All these manipulations resulted in loss to that particular concern and loss to the holders. I find, that was not attended to properly and, therefore, these particular concerns were able to make money.

Another instance that we find that a particular firm or its director was appointed as managing and after being appointed as managing agents they were to be paid certain heavy remuneration. Now, before the period was complete, after some two or three years, we findthis was the practice which used to be adopted by the Dalmia-Jain concerns-they used to pay heavy amount for the termination of the managing agency terms and thereby each director or each individual tried to make money from the business of particular concern. A number things that we find are of such a type that legally—that is what we from the report of the Attorney General-these crimes cannot be brought to book or it is very difficult to prove these things in a court of law for want of evidence. But morally, we find, there has been consistent effort by these big business men to avoid so many taxes. Even account books have been manipulated. There,

find that double accounts have been kept and these things have been done in order to avoid income-tax. If we study this Vivian Bose Report, if we realise all these things, I think, the Company Law needs amendment. The Company law Administration should be revitalised and a new look should be there in order that such a fraud, such a mismanagement such a waste and robbery of public money is not there. In order to avoid these things, it is very necessary that the Company law should be amended suitably so that persons doing

mismanagement, persons doing

at the proper time.

things that may hamper the interest

of the shareholders, may be checked

into Dalmia-Jain Companies

The second thing that I would like to suggest is that I find from the report that the Audit is done by private chartered accountants. I find that the audit is not proper. We find that there are recommendations and the accounts have been certified without looking into the things in the proper perspective. I think that henceforth, it is very necessary that these private concerns should be audited by Government accountants. The Government should strengthen its accounts department so as to cover the private firms or public limited companies that are run by private enterprise. We find that the private enterprise claims that it should have ample space, it should be given ample room, as good as the public enterprise, at this stage when the State desires that private enterprise should run along with public enterprise, I suggest that we should have more checks counter-checks on private enterprise. Then alone, we can succeed in having honest business from these concerns.

Then, I would like to suggest that. When we find that legally we are not in a position to punish those concerns and legally we are not in a position to punish the persons who are defaulters or those who have mismanaged the firms, who have earned money for themselves, we may not be able

[Shri M. L. Jadhav]

to punish these persons; but certainly we can take over the management of some of these concerns. If the management can be taken up by the Government they should be taken over and run as public enterprises. That is very essential in the interests of the country and the nation. Such a big business having so many concerns, when they mismanage, it is the duty of the State to take up these concerns and run them as State enterprises.

Further on, I find from the report of the Attorney-General, so many things have been mentioned, These things, the Commission could not arrive at with all its efforts for six years for want of accounts books which were not shown to the Commission or some books were destroved. What action has been taken? I find that some amounts which could have been recovered civilly, would have to be written off being not These allowed by law of limitation. things we see in the report of the Attorney General. We find that in the private companies in which these things happened, fictitious and artificial losses were shown in the account books. We find that the Commission was not able to do anything. Even now, the Government is not in a position to take any steps against those concerned. Ours is a nation which says that we have a cultural heritage, which says that our moral reputation is high. But, when we find that the mismanagement that has been revealed by the Bose Commission is of such a type, I think that my suggestions in this regard to the new amendments in the Company law are very necessary.

With these words, I conclude my speech.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: I was wondering who was in the docks today....

Mr. Speaker: If the House agrees. we may sit till 5.30 p.m. today, that we may complete the 12 hours allotted for this motion tomorrow.

Shri D. C. Sharma: Today. we may sit till 5 p.m.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): The House had earlier decided that during the days when this motion would be under discussion. would sit till 6 p.m.

Mr. Speaker: We might not sit till 6 p.m. today. We can go on at least till 5.30 p.m. so that there may not be a burden tomorrow to sit very long.

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: We have a function today at 6.30 p.m. So, we may sit today till 6 p.m. here. We have to attend that function, and, therefore, we may continue to sit here till 6 p.m.

Mr. Speaker: I have fixed 5.30 p.m. for today. If the House desires to sit till 6 p.m. I have no objection....

Dr. Melkote (Hyderabad): We may sit today till 5.30 p.m.

Shri D. C. Sharma: Till 5 p.m. today.

Mr. Speaker: I think we may sit today till 5.30 p.m.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: For how long shall we have to sit tomorrow?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Tomorrow, if necessary, we can sit till 7 p.m. or 8 p.m.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: While listening to the . discussion on the Vivian Bose Commission report. I was wondering who was in the dock before public opinion today, whether it was the particular group of industrial promoters and company promoters whose case was referred to the commission, or the Congress Government.

Commission of Inquiry into Dalmia-Jain Companies

We all, know, and it has been several times mentioned today also, that the malpractices which were referred eventually to the commission for investigation came to light and were referred to a court of law as early as 1953. Then, during the period 1953-56 nothing much happened.

Then after three years in 1956, a commission was appointed. But the commission was not appointed without some prodding from this House. In order to refresh the memory of the party in power and also hon. Members of the House were present on that day I would refer to the speech of our lamented friend, Shri Feroze Gandhi, who raised this matter in connection with the discussion on the Insurance (Amendment) Bill on the 6th of December, 1956. He gave a list of about one hundred companies controlled by this group, namely the Dalmia-Jain group.

16.54 hrs.

[Mr. Deputy-Speaker in the Chair]

He was speaking in connection with the affairs of one of their insurance companies, namely the Bharat Insurance Co., and he ended his speech with these words, I am quoting him at length. He said:

"My suggestion to the Finance Minister...."

—the then Finance Minister Shri C. D. Deshmukh who was piloting the Bill—

"..is to proceed in a bold way and to take a bold step. What you have now taken will not do. My suggestion is that you appoint a commission of inquiry with full judicial powers to investigate the entire Dalmia-Jain affairs from 1945-46 or whenever it began up to date."

He further went on:

"I say that it is the responsibility of the Government, and greater than that of the Government, it is the responsibility of this House to get back the entire moneys of all the shareholders of Dalmia-Jain Airways, of the Lahore Electric Co. and of the Bharat Bank, all three of which total up to a figure of Rs. 8 crores or thereabouts."

"I say that these moneys have to be returned. If you have to change the Constitution, change it. If you have to change the laws, change them. The House is with the Finance Minister, and I can assure him that not a single voice, either from this side"—

he was speaking from the Congress side; he was a prominent member of the Congress Party—

"or that side, will be against it. Let him act quickly as years have passed, people are dying, some of the people who held evidence have died. Now, what are you going to do? I have also thought over this problem. Whichever side you look there are lawyers and they get you into trouble, just as they get my friend, Mr. Dalmia into trouble. Instead of going to the Attorney-General, for a change, come to us-that is what I say. We assure Government and assure the Finance Minister that the House will be with him in whatever he wants to do, but he should do it."

Then he ended with this observation in Hindi:

> "हम सब म्रापके पीछे हैं। म्राख़ीर मैं मैं म्राप से एक बात मीर कहना चाहता हूं:—

'भ्रयंते हस्तो भगवान, भ्रयंते भगवत्तरः । श्रापके हाथ भगवान है, श्रापके हाथ भगवान से भी ज्यादा ताकतवर हैं । कितने ताकतवर हैं, वह पूरी तरह से, खुलम-खुल्ला साबित हो गया है ।

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya: That was from the Congress Benches.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: Yes. and that day, the democratic conscience of the Congress Party was speaking, the democratic conscience of this august House was speaking, the democratic conscience of all India was speaking through the voice of Feroze

That was his demand. Everybody wanted that the guilty company promoters should be punished. Government also felt that something had to be done. This happened on the 6th December, 1956 and on the 11th December, the Government and the Ministry of Finance came out with an Order in which was stated:

"Whereas it has been made to appear to the Central Government that:

- (1) a large number of companies and some firms were promoted. and or controlled by Sarvashri Ramkrishna Dalmia Jaidayal Dalmia, Shanti Prasad Jain Sriyans Prasad Jain, Shital Prasad Jain or some one or more of them and by others being either relatives or employees of the said person or persons, closely connected with the said persons:
- (2) large amounts were subscribed by the investing public in the shares of some of these companies:
- (3) there have been gross irregularities (which may in several respects and materials amount to illegalities) in the management of such companies including manipulation of the accounts and unjustified transfers and use of funds and assets:
- (4) the moneys subscribed by the investing public were in a considerable measure used not in the interests of the companies concerned but contrary to their interest and for the ultimate personal benefit of those in control and or management; and
- (5) the investing public have as result suffered considerable losses....".

Therefore, the affairs of these companies were referred to a Commission

into Dalmia-Jain Companies of Inquiry. But from the very beginning-one Member has already referred to it-the pivotal company, about whose affairs Shri Feroze Gandhi mentioned, namely, Bharat Insurance Company, was not included in the schedule of companies, but nine others were included about which we know. But were the Government very serious that this should be a judicial inquiry so that a public wrong done to lakhs and lakhs of small investors should be redressed and the guilty persons punished? No. The House of Dalmias went to the Bombay High Court in 1957 for a stay of the proceedings of the Commission. What was the stand taken by Government at that time? Before the Bombay High Court, the Principal Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Shri H. M. Patel, stated in his affidavit, that what was sought to be inquired by the commission into was not individual crimes and wrongs but definite matters of public importance in respect of which the findings of the Commission would be a valuable aid to Government for future legislation. That is to say, when the Commission was appointed Government knew, and it was stated before the Bombay High Court in an affidavit by the then Principal Secretary in the Finance Ministry who had appointed this Commission, that what was intended was not the punishment of the guilty, but some legalistic changes amendments to be put through after the deliberations of the Commission were over. That was the objective that Government had in view. Government never intended to punish the persons the guilty to find out responsible for the waste and misuse of public money, because Government themselves were very much under the influence of those very people.

17 hrs.

Shri Himatsingka: If they had not taken up that stand, the whole Commission would have been stifled under the Constitution. Article 14 and some other Articles would have stood in the way. That is why that affidavit must have been taken.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: Government had ample power under the other laws to appoint more effective and help powered commissions of enquiry, and that was what Shri Feroze Gandhi wanted. He even said: "If you have to change the Constitution, change it, if you have to change the laws, change them." That was the demand that he made, and by approving that demand the House gave a mandate to the Government, but the Government was, from the very initial stages, insincere about their sims.

Leave aside the other big business houses. Here was at least one big business house which, in the language of economic historians, we might truly call a house of robber barons. That was the term that was used to describe the great American industrial promoters of the late ninteenth century, the Vederbilts, the fellers and other big names made capitalism what it is in America today Here, in a lower level, in our under-developed country, after the second world war, this new class of robber barons, unscrupulous, negative in their approach, without any constructive approach or imagination, came up in order to cheat the public. to get public money. to amass profit for themselves. As I was saying, here was a big business house, a house of robber baron, which was charged in this House, and the Government had appointed a commission of enquiry setting out definite charges against it, and here are the persons: Sriyans Prasad Jain, Sheetal Prasad Jain, Ram Krishan Dalmia, Jai Kishan Dalmia and others. I might reminder my hon. friend Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya that Sriyans Prasad Jain, one of the persons involved, was also a very important Member of the Congress Parliamentary Party here. He was not a Member of this House, he was in the other House. Then, it is not only a question of Srivans Prasad Jain only. At least since 1956 Government should have known, at least after formulating 'he charges

against these people, that they had committed illegalities, they had cheated the investing public. There were specific charges against them to investigate which the Commission was appointed. But what do we find? What has been the attitude of the Government of the high officials and of the different Ministries so far as these persons are concerned? Is it not a fact let Government deny it, that even after this Commission was appointed to investigate his activities. Shri S. P. Jain had been, until the other day, a Member of the Board of Directors of the National Industrial Development Corporation? Can the Government give any explanation as to why they allowed it after the appointment of this Commission investigate into the affairs of these companies against which such serious charges were made?

My friend Shri Daji was very circumspect, but, was it not a fact that even the Prime Minister received the abinandan granth from the hands of Shri Shanti Prasad Jain whom his Government has made these What is their explanation charges? for this? It was in the year 1960 or 1961. Should they deny it or should the Government say that this is not correct, I will apologise. We all respect and honour our Prime Minister. But is this the example that you set before the public? Can you blame the public if they are sceptic about these commissions of enquiry? We al! know what has been the result of this quiry, after an expenditure of Rs. 27 lakhs. We know about the action proposed to be taken against these persons and we also know what Daphtary-Shastri Committee has said. I have nothing to say against the legal acumen or the competence or objectivity of these two gentlemen. One is our Attorney General whom we heard the other day in this House and we all respected his opinion and gave it due consideration. The other has adorned the Bench in Madras and is a well-known jurist. He was the Chairman of the Shastri committee on whose suggestions we made the second amend-

[Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuril ment to the Company Law. Even then wny could not the Government consider the fact that Mr. Shastri appeared before the Supreme Court in one of their suits against the Commission and had been their lawyer? Let not Government delude itself with the idea that these things are not being talked about in the Lobbies or the Central Hall in the newspaper offices and in the cities of this great country. I have nothing to say against them nor do I say their conclusions are illegal or incorrect. But appearances have to be kept; you have to keep the confidence of the people. So much hush hush was made about this report, in which they give their opinion about the action to be taken. In a special paragraph entitled Time Factor, they say:

"Many years have lapsed since the matter complained of occurred. There are possible causes of action which could be enforced by the share-holders of the concerns in question, subject to the law of limitation, against one or the other or more of officers including Directors. But at this distance of time, it would not be possible to find any of them who would still be so interested as to initiate and continue proceedings for which they might well have to spend an enormous amount of their time and may have to be financially responsible to a lesser or greater extent. The average shareholder was a small shareholder who has long reconciled himself to the whole or partial loss of his capital and who, it is almost certain, has now not only no interest in but no recollection of what might years ago have aroused either his interest or his resentment."

Thus they conclude that because there has been a lapse of time there is no necessity or scope for instituting civil suits. So far as R. K. Dalmia is concerned, they say he is already undergoing in prisonment, then why trouble that poor fellow

any further. These findings may be very correct, legal and proper. But even then I ask the hon. Members of the Congress Party-so far as this side of the House is concerned, I do not appeal to them, because we know their views-but I appeal to the democratic conscience of the Congress Party that even for mere ance's sake, you should not have referred' to the Vivian Bose Commission's report to Mr. Sastri. I have nothing against him, but even then I say it was not proper. They should have taken the fact that he was the Dalmia Jain Groups' lawyer into consideration.

I will finish by making some constructive suggestions. We are all now reconcile to the Government decision and the opinions of the various legal experts that nothing much can be done although lakhs of rupees have been spent and crores of rupees have been stolen. But we must at least see to it that such things do not recur in future. The legal amendmens that have been suggested by the Vivian Bose Commission and also by the Sastri-Daphtary Committee are more or less of an unimportant and procedural nature, trying to plug the loopholes here and there. But at least I must pay my tribute to the Vivian Bose Commission that they have made one vital and very important suggestion. That is with regard to the administrative machinery. have said that the administration of company law and other allied départments which have to deal with the administration of joint-stock panies, capital issues and the like, should be centralised. If I may say so, this is centralised in other capitalist countries also, where malpractices of this kind are things of the

In Great Britain, these things are centralised under the Board of Trade. Even life insurance is managed by the Board of Trade. The department dealing with the Companies Act and allied legislation and all other things like control of capital issues are under the centralised control of the Board of Trade. In the United States, there

is no control of capital issues. the corporate sector there is under the control of the Security Exchange Commission. They exercise strict control, although they believe in rugged individualism and free capitalism. Even then, they do not hesitate to control very rigidly, at every stage, their corporate sector through the Security Exchange Commission. It is not only a question of centralisation of the administrative machinery. I support the suggestion made by Shrimati Renuka Ray that they must be invested with more power.

I would go to the length of suggestapart from centralisation, ing that they have to be given more power. Particularly the complaint of the company law administration has been that they have no puritive or preventive power to proceed against persons who evade or break law. In the Security Exchange Commission of the United States. I have been told by very knowledgeable prople who keep themselves informed about these things-they have 900 officers apart from other staff. Here in our different regional company law administration departments in Calcutta, Bombay other places, who few officers. Sometimes we find that they have to do all kinds of work. I can say from personal knowledge that I brought certain malpractices of a very small company to the notice of company law administration. the Interested parties brought certain documents to my notice and I advise them that all those things should be placed at the disposal of the company law administration It took nearly three years for the Company Law Administration to initiate investigation under 237 and to come to any decision over that. I think they should be given more power and some kind of an Administrative Tribunal on the lines of the Incometax Tribunal should be there so that they might take immediate, quick and speedy decision whenever they suspect that laws are being broken.

Shri D. C. Sharma: Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I think one of the best things that have happened during the 572 (Ai) LSD—7. last 15 years of our independence has been the publication of this report which has revealed the seamy-side, the shady side and the underhand side of what is called big business in this country. Of course, I pay my tribute to the inspectors who did a good job of work. I pay my tribute to Vivian Bose who has produced this great report. I also join my friends who have paid unqualified tributes to our Solicitor-General and to Mr. Sastri. I think, of course, they have done their duty by this nation and by this country.

But one cannot avoid the impression that this is the most sensational report that has been produced and, I believe, it shows that there is something very very rotten in the State of Denmark. I also believe that something has got to be done in order to clean the augean stable of what is called big business in this country.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker, one can judge this report from many different angles. First of all, I look at this report from the objective of our Indian nation. from the sovereign goal that our country has placed before itself, the goal of socialistic pattern of society. I think even a cursory perusal of this report will show that this socialistic pattern of society of which we are talking all the time has become just a mockery because of the affluent way in which these members of big business live. In the Bible it is said about the lillies of the field that neither do they toil nor do they spin and yet Solomon in all his glory was not clad like them. But we are living in different times. I wish to say that people who do this big business ride the most expensive motor cars and yet they do not own any motor car; they live in the most luxuriously furnished bungalows and yet they do not possess any bungalow; they entertain people on the most grand scale and lavish scale and yet they do not spend any money out of their pockets. This kind of thing which has been revealed by this report puts me to shame. think every Indian citizen will feel ashamed of what has been disclosed in this report with regard to big busi-

Report of the 14128 Commission of Inquiry into Dalmia-Jain Companies

[Shri D. C. Sharma]

ness. They will be ashamed to see their dealings, the way in which they have been spending money, acquiring money and trying to grow richer and every day. The socialistic pattern of society demands that there should be very little disparity between persons who belong to the highest income group and the lowest income group. We want that every one should have the necessities, the irreducible minimum to live a happy and contented life in this world. But how can you make the socialistic pattern society real to the people when they look at these big business people and find that they have resources, not their own resources but the resources of other people, to play with to squander away and to play ducks and drakes with. I think the best thing that has got to be done in this. We have got to do something so that the man in the street, the common man, thinks that there are no two nations India-the nation of big business people and the nation of ordinary, average citizens of India-there is only one nation in India and the members of the nation are enjoying modest income and no one is having much more than is necessary. As Shakespeare has said in one of his plays, you give more to those who already have much. I feel that these persons have been getting, more, though they have already much. I would not mind if a man gets more, which is already much, provided he earns his money the honest sweat of his brow. But, is that being done Shares are sold to persons who do not exist. Deposits have been transferred to persons who live nowhere. Companies have been floated which are only on paper. The shareholders' money has been hold of without any compunction, without any scruples, without any idea of public good.

Sir, I am not against the rich, I may tell you, if they behave, as Mahatma Gandhi said, as trustees of the nation and if they share their riches with other people. But these persons have not done that. They have committed all kinds of, what shall I say, misdeeds in order to further their own interests. Therefore, as long as this report is there, I cannot go to any platform and justify to my people that we are living in a country whose goal is the socialistic pattern of society. So, 1 think something has got to be done in order to make socialism real, concrete and visible to people and this sort of things will have to be put an end to.

Secondly, we are all wedded to the Industrial Policy Resolution. I think no one in this House, not even the Communists, would say that the Industrial Policy Resolution should be tampered with, or done away with. We believe in mixed economy. I think that is one of the object lessons that we have given to the world, that India can prosper only when there is a public sector and a private sector.

Now, people talk about scandals in the public sector,-the jeep scandal and the fertilizer scandal. They have been burried; they are dead and gone. Yet, my friends in the opposition try to refer to them. We do not mind it. Because, they have very few items to speak about; so, they must refer to them also. I do not mind their doing so. But the fact of the matter is, if you total up the so-called scandals which the public sector has, according to some of my friends, they are just a flea-bite and infinitestimally small as compared to the scandals that we find in the so-called joint stock companies of the private sector.

One thing is to be taken note of and it is this that the scandal-mongering capacity of our people has to be curbed. As long as you have reports like this, people will find plenty of material with which they can indulge in this kind of scandal-mongering.

Moreover, we are inviting capital from outside India. We are inviting people to come and invest their capital in India. If those foreign investors read this report, do you think, they will feel heartened or encouraged in order to come here and invest their capital? After all we are having

foreign collaboration in the corporate sector also. It is not only in the public sector that we are having collaboration. When they read about this report, do you think any person from any country outside India will feel happy in collaborating with these persons who have done this kind of thing?

Another point that I want to make is that this is only a sample survey. I do not think that this report is comprehensive. I do not say that this report isomnibus, that this report covers all the sectors of our corporate sector. It is only a brief glimpse, a bird's eye view of what is being done in the corporate sector. I feel that if this is the kind of sample that we have got by examining only one of the members of big business, I do not know what revelations will come to us if we turn to unravel the trangle of this big business. I shudder to think of it. I tremble when I think of that. What is going to happen to this country when such things are happening in broad daylight, the very nose of our administrators? I think, this is something which will make anyone unhappy. Therefore this sample survey should not be taken only as an isolated instance of the bad dealings of the big business but it should be taken to be a pointer. a kind of lantern which reveals to us the state of affairs that exist in that particular sector of our industry and banking.

There is another point which I want to make and it is that there can be many remedies for this. I do not want to go over the ground which has been covered by my hon. friends already. What is the remedy for all this? What can we do to clear up this business There can be many remedies. In the first place you have the

legislative remedy. Of course, the Company Law is there and we revised

the Company Law recently.

into Dalmia-Jain Companies

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Member's time is up.

Shri D. C. Sharma: I will require more time. I will finish my speech tomorrow morning.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: He can take two or three minutes.

Shri D. C. Sharma: I cannot finish in two or three minutes. I will continue tomorrow.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: All right, The House stands adjourned till 11 o'clock tomorrow, the 7th May, 1963.

17.29 hrs.

The Lok Sabha adjourned till Eleven of the Clock on Tuesday, May 7, 1963/Vaisakha 17, 1885 (Saka).

[Monday, May 6, 1963/Vaisakha 16, 1885 (Saka)]

• • •	· · · · · ·
Columns	Cnlumns
ORAL ANSWERS TO QUES-	CALLING ATTENTION
TIONS: 13949—81	TO MATTERS OF UR- GENT PUBLIC IMPO-
	GENT PUBLIC IMPO-
S.Q. Subject	RTANCE—contd.
No.	The Minister of Food and
num Navel have in Postern	The Minister of Food and Agriculture (Shri S.K. Patil)
II77 Naval base in Eastern Zone 13949—51	made a statement in regard
	thereto.
1178 Diplomatic immunity en-	
joyed by Indian dip-	(ii) Shri Dwarkadas Mantri
lomats abroad 13951—54	called the attention of the
1179 Assam rifles for NEFA . 13954-58	Minister of Steel and Heavy
1180 Foreigners employed in	Industries to the outcome
A.I.R 13958—65	of his recent visit to Austria
1181 Indo-Pak Border Confe-	and West Germany.
rence 13965—69	
1182 Withdrawal of ex-De-	The Minister of Steel and
fence personnel from	Heavy Industries (Shri C.
civildepartments. 13969—71	Subramaniam) laid on the
1183-Trucks and tractors . 1397175	Table a statement in regard
1184 Manufacture of automatic	thereto.
	PAPERS LAID ON THE TA-
weapons 13975—77	BLE : 13989—91
S.N.Q.	- ","
No.	(1) A copy of the Commercial
13 Permission to Lt. Gen.	venicles (Distribution and
B.M. Kaul for appoint-	Sale) Control Order, 1963
ment in a commercial	published in Notification No.
concern 13977—80	S.O. 1274 dated the 1st May 1963, issued under section
14 Setting up of New Steel	18G of the Industries (De-
Plants 13980-81	velopment and Regulation)
	Act, 1951.
WRITTEN ANSWERS TO	
QUESTIONS: 13982—85	(2) A copy each of the follow-
S.Q. No.	ing Notifications :—
•	
2713 Increments of employees of AEC Centre and	(i) The Cotton Control (A-
	mendment) Order, 1063
School, Pachmarhi . 13982	mendment) Order, 1963 published in Notification No. G.S.R. 656 dated the
2714 A.E.C. Centre and School, .	No. G.S.R. 656 dated the
Pachmarhi 13982	20th April, 1963, under sub-section (6) of section
2715 Rural Industrial Projects 13983	sub-section (6) of section
2716 Recruitment from	3 of the Essential Com-
NEFA 13983	modities Act, 1955.
2717 Rehabilitation of	(ii) Notification No. S.O.
Indians from 13983-84	1205 dated the 27th April,
	1963 issued under section
	15 of the Industries (De-
2718 Kalaikunda Air Base 13985	velopment and Regulation)
2719 Bengali Film "Dada	Act, 1951.
Thakur''	(3) A copy each of the follow-
CALLING ATTENTION TO	ing papers :—
MATTERS OF URGENT	
PUBLIC IMPORTANCE: 13985—89	(i) The Defence of India (Fifth Amendment) Ru-
	(riffin Amendment) Ru-
(i) Sardar Dhanna Singh called the attention of the	les, 1963 published in No- tification No. G.S.R. 740
Minister of Food and Agri-	dated the 26th April, 1963,
culture to the scarcity of	under section 41 of the
sugar in Punjab and its sale	Defence of India Act,
at very high prices.	1962.
·	

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE—contd.

- nistical Information regarding the working of the Preventive Detention Act, 1950 during the period 30th September, 1961 to 30th September, 1962.
- (4) A copy of Notification No. G.S.R. 325 dated the 22nd February, 1963, under section 35 of the Extradition Act, 1962.
- (5) A copy each of the following papers:—
 - (i) Government Resolution No. WB-2(1)/62(1) dated the 3rd May, 1963 regarding appointment of a Central Wage Board for the Iron Ore Mining Industry.
 - (ii) Government Resolution No. WB-2(1)/62(2) dated the 3rd May, 1963 regarding appointment of a Central Wage Board for the Limestone and Dolomite Mining Industries.
- (6) The Minutes of the sittings (13th to 21st) of the Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions held during the current session were laid on the Table.
- (7) The Minutes of the sittings (5th and 6th) of the Committee on Petitions held during the current session were laid on the Table.
- (8) A copy each of the following papers:—
 - (i) the charges sent to New Asiatic Insurance Company Ltd., and the Ruby General Insurance Company;
 - (ii) the reports of the Government Directors of the New Asiatic Insurance Company Ltd.; and
 - (iii) the opinion of the then Additional Solicitor-Geneneral, Shri H. N. Sanyal.

PRESIDENT'S ASSENT TO BILL:

13) 2

Secretary laid on the Table the Finance Bill, 1963 passed by the Houses of Parliament during the current session and assented to by the President since a report was last made to the House on the 29th April, 1963.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE ON ABSENCE OF MEM-BERS PRESENTED:

139 2

Fifth Report was presented.

REPORT OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE PRESENTED:

13992

Twelfth Report was presented.

STATEMENTS BY MINIS-TERS: 13003-06

- (i) The Minister of Works, Housing and Rehabilitation (Shri Mehr Chand Khanna) made a statement correcting the reply given on the 28th March, 1963 to Starred Question No. 637 by Sarvashri Sidheshwar Prassad and Ram Harkh Yadav regarding allotment of quarters to Government employees.
- (ii) The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo) made a statement on Part I of the Report of Shri C.K. Daphtary, Attorney-General and Shri A.V. Visvanatha Sastri, a retired Judge of the Madras High Court, on certain aspects of the report of the Commission of Inquiry appointed by Government to go into the affairs of several companies in the Dalmia-Jain group and also laid on the Table a copy of the said Report.

BILL INTRODUCED:

14000-01

The Constitution (Seventeenth Amendment) Bill, 1963.

COLUMNS

COLUMNS

MOTION RE: REPORT OF THE COMMISSION OF INQUIRY INTO DALMIA-14001—08, JAIN COMPANIES: 14112—30

The Minister of Industry in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Shri Kanungo) moved the motion re: Report of the Commission of Inquiry into the administration of certain Dalmia-Jain Companies laid on the Table on 23-1-63. The discussion was not concluded. AGENDA FOR TUESDAY, MAY 7, 1963/VAISAKHA 17, 1885(SAKA):

Further discussion on the motion re: Report of the Commission of Inquiry into the administration of certain Dalmia-Jain Companies.