(d) whether the Government propose to improve its offer on providing market access made to the WTO policy in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI BENI PRASAD VARMA): (a) and (b) Sir, India in its schedule on basic telecommunications services submitted to WTO have stated that the subject of opening up of National long distance service beyond the service area to competition will be reviewed in the year 1999. The subject of opening of International Service will be reviewed in the year 2004.

- (c) The foreign equity permitted for services committed in WTO in India's schedule is upto 25%. However in actual practice 49% foreign equity is being permitted in Basic and Cellular Telephone Services.
- (d) There is no proposal at present for increasing the foreign equity for the services committed in India's sched-

# New Rangiya Division under NF Railway

2059. SHRI ISWAR PRASANNA HAZARIKA: Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Government have issued the necessary notification determining the territorial jurisdiction of the new Rangiya Division under Northeast Frontier Railways for which the foundation stone was laid in February, 1996:
  - (b) if so, the details thereof; and
  - (c) if not, the reasons for the delay?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (SHRI SATPAL MAHARAJ): (a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c) The detailed territorial jurisdiction of Rangiya Division has not yet been finalised.

# **Electrification Programme**

2060. SHRI MANGAT RAM SHARMA: Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Government propose to electrify all Rail lines by 2000;
- (b) if so, the details of rail lines which have been electrified during the current financial year and target for next financial year, State-wise; and
- (c) the estimated expenditure likely to be incurred thereon?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (SHRI SATPAL MAHARAJ): (a) No, Sir. Electrification projects are capital intensive and as such only those broad gauge routes over which the traffic density is high and the return on investment is not less than the minimum prescribed are considered for electrification.

(b) During current financial year 1996-97 following sections have been electrified/are expected to be electrified:

Section	S	RKN	A States
1.	Tori-Patratu-Chainpur	10	1 Bihar
2.	Mandigobindgarh-Ludhiana	40	6 Punjab
3.	Walayar-Shoranur	72	? Kerala
4.	Bhimadolu-Nidadavolu-		
	Rajhamundry	179	Andhra
	Samalkot-Anakapallie		Pradesh
<b>5</b> .	Karkend-Jamadoba	8	Bihar
<b>6</b> .	Bondamunda -Barsuan/	105	Bihar/Orissa
	Kiriburu		
7.	Jamtara-Jhajha-Dadpur	123	Bihar

Following sections are targetted for completion during the next financial year 1997-98:

Sections		RKM	States
1.	Chainpur-Gumia	31	Bihar
2.	Ambala-Saharanpur	89	Haryana,
			Uttar Pradesh
3.	Bondamunda-Lodhma	136	Bihar/Orissa
4.	Shoranur-Mulagunu- athukavu	20	Kerala
5.	Jhajha-Mokama	105	Bihar
6.	Chandil-Muri-Barkakana	119	Bihar/West Bengal

(c) The total expenditure during the current financial year and the next financial year is estimated to be Rs.650 crores.

# US Pressure to Open Telecom Sector

2061. SHRI SONTOSH MOHAN DEV: DR. T. SUBBARAMI REDDY:

Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the attention of the Government has been drawn to the news items captioned 'Open up telecom sector or face special 301: US' appearing in Hindustan Times dated October 15, 1996;
- (b) if so, whether the Government have examined the report regarding US pressure on India to open telecom sector:
  - (c) the reaction of the Government thereto;

- (d) whether the matter has been taken up with US Government; and
- (e) if so, the time by which a final decision is likely to be taken?

THE MINISTER OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI BENI PRASAD VARMA): (a) Yes Sir.

- (b) India has submitted its offer in basic telecommunications services to WTO on its own keeping in view the national policy objectives. During discussions there was no reference of open up telecom sector or face special 301: US' as has appeared in the news in Hindustan Times dated Oct. 15, 1996.
  - (c) to (e) Does not arise in view of (b) above.

# **Taxation Policy**

2062. SHRI NITISH KUMAR: SHRI SURENDRA YADAV:

[Translation]

Will the Minister of STEEL be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the steel industry has not been developing properly in the country due to the present taxation policy and rates thereof;
  - (b) if so, the reaction of the Government thereto;
- (c) whether the Government have taken necessary action to effect comprehensive improvement in the present taxation policy:
  - (d) if so, the full details of the action taken; and
  - (e) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STEEL AND MINISTER OF MINES (SHRI BIRENDRA PRASAD BAISHYA): (a) and (b) Following the economic liberalisation policy of the Government since 1991, the steel sector has registered impressive growth.

(c) to (e) In a dynamic economic environment, the Government effects appropriate changes in the taxation and fiscal policy, from time to time, to meet the requirements of the growing industry. These changes are reflected in the budget.

# [English]

#### Facilities to Jobleas Workers in Industries

2063. SHRI JAI PRAKASH AGARWAL: Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to state:

- (a) the facilities extended to the jobless workers of those industries which were shut down or likely to be shut down in the capital, Delhi on the directives of the Supreme Court:
- (b) whether Supreme Court has issued guidelines to the managers of these industries regarding service benefits

- etc. to the working and jobless workers of these industries;
- (c) whether Government have received representations regarding refusal to provide service benefits etc. to these effected workers;
- (d) if so, the number of complaints received as on date from various labour organisations, the details thereof; and
- (e) the action taken or likely to be taken by the Government in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF LABOUR (SHRI M. ARUNA-CHALAM): (a) to (e) The Supreme Court of India in the inter-locutory Application No.22 in Writ Petition(c) No.4677 of 1985 ordered on 8.7.96 to close down/relocate from Delhi 168 industries which are listed as hazardous. Subsequently, the Hon'ble Supreme Court further directed to close down another 513 units w.e.f. 31.1.97 and 43 units w.e.f. 28.2.97. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has also given direction that the workmen employed in these industries were entitled to the following rights and benefits:-

- (1) The workmen shall have continuity of employment at the new town and place where the industry is shifted. The terms and conditions of their employment shall not be altered to their detriment.
- (2) The period between the closure of the industry in Delhi and its restart at the place of relocation shall be treated as active employment and the workmen shall be paid their full wages with continuity of service.
- (3) All those workmen who agree to shift with the industry shall be given one year wages as "Shifting Bonus" to help them settle at the new location.
- (4) The gratuity amount payable to any workman shall be paid in addition.
- (5) The workmen employed in the industries which fail to relocate and the workmen who are not willing to shift alongwith the relocated industries, shall be deemed to have been retrenched with effect from the stipulated date of closing of industries, provided they have been in continuous service for not less than one year in the industries concerned before the said dates. Besides the compensation payable under Section 25F(b) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the workmen will also be paid one year of wages as additional compensation.

Subsequently, following reports of the industries not showing any inclination for re-location and in the light of apprehension that the industries were more interested in selling part of the land and utilise the money so received for purposes other than for re-location, a suo moto statement was placed on behalf of the Union Labour Minister in both Houses of Parliament on 28th November, 1996 expressing grave concern of the Union Government in this regard. An application for direction on behalf of Union of India was also filed in the Supreme Court. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has passed another Order on 4.12.96, inter alia providing the following additional relief to the workmen: