

(a) whether the industrial growth rate in Orissa is very slow;

(b) if so, the reasons therefor; and

(c) the resources provided by the Union Government to the State Government for accelerating the industrial growth rate?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): (a) and (b) Central Statistical Organisation does not compile State-wise Index of Industrial Production. However, as per the Index of Industrial Production for the country as a whole, the overall rate of growth was 8.5 % during 1990-91. During the current financial year, the figures available upto November, 1991 showed a growth of -0.8% during April-November, 1991 over the corresponding period of last year.

(c) According to the Planning Commission, the plan expenditure in the large and medium industries including mining sector was Rs. 8355 lakhs during 1990-91 in the State of Orissa.

[English]

IRDP in Maharashtra

5546. SHRISUDHIRSAWANT: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to Unstarred Question No. 1239 on March 4, 1991 state:

(a) the number of projects sanctioned under the IRDP, district-wise during the last three years in Maharashtra;

(b) the amount of subsidy given under the programme, district-wise during each of the last three years in Maharashtra;

(c) whether the families belonging to Sindhu Durg and Ratnagiri districts secured very little benefit; and

(d) if so, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI UTTAMBHAI H. PATEL): (a) The Integrated Rural Development Programme (IRDP) is a programme for assisting families below the poverty line by giving them loan and subsidy to pursue income generating activities and projects in the normal sense of the term are not sanctioned under this Programme.

(b) The amount of subsidy given under the Programme, district-wise by the Central Government during each of the last three years in Maharashtra is given in the enclosed statement. The state is also expected to give an equal amount to the respective district.

(c) The amount of subsidy under IRDP to the districts in Maharashtra is done on the basis of the number of blocks and the incidence of poverty in the district. There is nothing to infer that Sindhu Durg and Ratnagiri districts have secured lower benefit than their entitlement under this programme.

(d) Does not arise.

STATEMENT

Amount of Subsidy given by the Central Government under IRDP district wise in Maharashtra during 1988-89, 1989-90 and 1990-91.

(Rs. in lakhs)

Sl. No.	District	1988-89	1989-90	1990-91
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Ahmednagar	118.955	138.895	144.510
2.	Akola	111.050	133.920	133.690
3.	Amravati	79.180	103.475	85.185
4.	Aurangabad	55.285	62.805	59.690
5.	Beed	82.380	88.990	111.420
6.	Bhandara	139.970	95.255	80.070
7.	Buldhana	69.050	91.890	58.140
8.	Chanderpur	76.550	87.985	92.062
9.	Dhule	130.840	134.345	192.860
10.	Gadchiroli	32.800	46.567	44.241

(Rs. in lakhs)

<i>Sl. No.</i>	<i>District</i>	<i>1988-89</i>	<i>1989-90</i>	<i>1990-91</i>
<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>
11.	Jalgaon	114.295	135.950	142.600
12.	Jalana	54.730	57.550	69.430
13.	Kolhapur	105.935	127.890	126.800
14.	Latur	47.485	52.405	54.550
15.	Nagpur	59.590	68.820	54.940
16.	Nanded	62.525	74.395	66.470
17.	Nasik	114.680	111.630	133.095
18.	Chandrapur	47.525	56.960	51.470
19.	Pune	63.145	74.475	80.290
20.	Pune	113.530	122.010	144.250
21.	Raigad	95.890	110.033	66.900
22.	Ratnagiri	82.855	96.395	97.730

<i>(Rs. in lakhs)</i>					
<i>Sl. No.</i>	<i>District</i>	<i>1988-89</i>	<i>1989-90</i>	<i>1990-91</i>	
1	2	3	4	5	
23.	Sangli	54.340	65.965	65.000	
24.	Satara	99.080	113.965	121.000	
25.	Sindudurg	40.660	52.380	48.902	
26.	Sholapur	67.845	86.760	80.340	
27.	Thane	99.070	112.795	126.020	
28.	Wardha	40.155	50.840	54.005	
29.	Yavatmal	124.300	141.725	135.833	
	TOTAL	2383.695	2697.070	2721.493	