GOVERNMENT OF INDIA DEFENCE LOK SABHA

UNSTARRED QUESTION NO:2213
ANSWERED ON:09.03.2000
AMMUNITION IMPORTED UNDER DOUBTFUL CERTIFICATE OF INSPECTION
RAOSAHEB PATIL DANVE

Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state:

- (a) whether ammunition worth several crores of rupees imported against doubtful certificate of inspection from Pitcare Ltd. Hong Kong in January, 1993, was subsequently rejected as it failed in a test-check in Central Ammunition Depot, Pulgaon;
- (b) whether the C.A.G. has also adversely commented up on this deal in his Seventh Report of 1999 (Defence Services);
- (c) if so, the details of the deal and the brief of comments of C.A.G. thereon; and
- (d) the follow-up action taken thereon?

Answer

MINISTER OF DEFENCE

(SHRI GEORGE FERNANDES)

(a) A contract dated 21.1.1993 was signed with M/s Pitcare Ltd., Hongkong for supply of 10000 rounds of 130mm and 6700 rounds of 122mm illuminating ammunition for an amount of USD 6.12 million.CAD, Pulgaon intimated in September 1993 the receipt of consignment of 10,000 nos of 130mm Illuminating Ammunition from Hongkong against contract. Since the consignment was received without technical literature and range tables, the check proof was delayed. The check proof was conducted in August 1995 on receipt of fuze setting key and range tables from the firm. Ten rounds were fired and three recorded defects. The consignment was subjected to reproof by firing 13 rounds in December 1995, wherein 12 failures were recorded out of 23 rounds fired.

In view of the unsatisfactory performance of the ammunition during check proof, the consignment was recommended to be `Not fit for Use` and quality claim raised.

- (b) Yes, Sir.
- (c) Comments of C.A.G. are enclosed as extracts from Report no.7 of 1999 (Defence Services).
- (d) The case will be referred to CBI for independent investigation.

ENCLOSURE REFERRED TO IN REPLY TO PART (c) OF THE LOK SABHA UNSTARRED QUESTION NO. 2213 FOR 9.3.2000

Report No.7 of 1999(Defence Services)

CHAPTER II: MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

12: Presumptive fraud in import of ammunition

A foreign firm drew payment of Rs 11.84 crore against a doubtful certificate of inspection of ammunition which was subsequently rejected as it failed in proof.

Test check of records in Central Ammunition Depot, Pulgaon and in the Ministry disclosed a case of import of ammunition through a trading firm, which was declared unfit for use. The circumstances relating to the inspection of the ammunition and release of payment are suggestive of collusion.

Ministry concluded a contract with Pitcare Ltd. Hongkong in January 1993 for supply of 10000 rounds of 130mm and 6700 rounds of 122mm illuminating ammunition at a total cost of US \$6.12 million, equivalent to Rs 16.54 crore.

Ammunition was to be inspected within 60 days of receipt at site by the purchaser As per the amended terms of contract, the ammunition was to be finally inspected by a team appointed by the purchaser and acceptance certificate was to be issued within 60 days of receipt of goods at site. However, there was no evidence to establish that bank was informed of the amendment in the condition for inspection.

The contract stipulated that the cost of ammunition was to be paid through a letter of credit against presentation of sales invoice, bill of lading, packing list and most importantly, inspection and acceptance certificate.

1US\$ = Rs 27.0270

Inspection certificate was issued even before the despatch of ammunition

Inspection certificate did not carry name and designation of inspectors

Proof test was carried out after two years of receipt of the entire quantity

Ammunition valuing Rs 11.84 crore was rejected in proof test Scrutiny disclosed that the consignment was despatched on 30 May 1993. While as per this amendment to the contract the inspection was to be carried out by the purchaser within 60 days of receipt of ammunition at site, strangely a certificate dated 30 May 1993 was issued conveying the inspection and acceptance of the ammunition even before the ammunition had left the originating station. The inspection certificate did not carry the name and designation of the Chairman and member of so stated inspection team, nor the authority under which they had inspected the consignment.

The consignment was received in Central Ammunition Depot in August 1993. The proof test was to be carried out within 60 days of receipt of the ammunition at site. However, Controller of Quality Assurance carried out the proof test in September and December 1995, i.e., more than two years after receipt of the ammunition. The delay in proof test was attributed to non-receipt of technical documents, which were supplied by the firm in October 1994. The delay of one more year even after receipt of technical documents remains unexplained. The entire consignment of 10000 rounds of 130mm illuminating ammunition for which US \$3.76 million equivalent to Rs 11.84 crore was claimed by the firm through letter of credit with the help of the inspection certificate of suspected genuineness, was rejected after proof test.

By the time quality claim was lodged, the firm had wound up

Ministry has not taken effective action to fix responsibility

When the Ministry made efforts through the Indian High Commission, Singapore to locate the firm, one Mr. Peter Lim who had signed the contract as Managing Director of Pitcare disowned any responsibility stating that Pitcare Hongkong had been wound up and the Singapore office had acted only as a forwarding agent. Despite evidence of suspicious dealings in the matter. Ministry has not conducted any inquiry to fix the accountability in the matter as of December 1998.

It is recommended that the matter should be investigated through an independent agency to fix responsibility for not ensuring that the amended inspection clause of contract was got noted by the bank and for issue of suspected inspection certificate, delay in carrying out proof test, besides failure of the Ministry to investigate the matter.

The matter was referred to the Ministry in June 1998; their reply was awaited as of January 1999.