LOK SABHA DEBATES

(Fifth Session)



(Vol. XXI contains Nos. 21-30)

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

Price: Re. 1

CONTENTS

| | | | | | | | Column |
|---|-------|----------|--------|--------|-------|-----|----------|
| Oral Answers to Questions— | | | | | | | |
| Short Notice Questions Nos. 1 | 4 to | 18 | | | | | 7437-47 |
| Statement re: Cease-fire on Assa Shri Jawaharlal Nehru . | m-Ea | ast Pak | istan | borde | er— | | 7448-57 |
| Statement re: Gold Control and C | ompi | ılsory T |)enosi | t Sche | mes- | | |
| Shri T.T. Krishnamachari | | | • | | | | 7458–66 |
| Papers laid on the Table | | | | | | | 7466-67 |
| Committee on Petitions— | | | | | | | , , , |
| Minutes | | | | | | | 7467 |
| Message from Rajya Sabha | | | | | | | 7467-68 |
| Re: Appointment of Ministers | | | | | | | 7468 |
| Re: Discussion on NEFA Enquiry | Rep | ort | | | | | 7488-73 |
| Discussion on NEFA Enquiry and M | Aotio | n re: " | 'Our | Defen | ce | | , . , . |
| Preparedness" | | | | | | .74 | 474-7502 |
| Shri P.K. Deo . | | | | | | | 7474–81 |
| Shri K.C. Pant | | | • | • | | • | 7481 -87 |
| Shri Heda | | | | | | | 7487–91 |
| Shri Nath Pai | | | | | | .7 | 491-7506 |
| Shrimati Sharda Mukerjee | . • | | | | | | 7506-13 |
| Shri Raghunath Singh | | | | | | • | 7513-24 |
| Shri Lahri Singh . | | | • | | | | 7525-38 |
| Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad | | | | | | | 7538-48 |
| Shri Hanumanthaiya | | | • | | • | • | 7549-55 |
| Shri Frank Anthony | | | | | | • | 7555-65 |
| Shri Manabendra Shah | | | | | | | 7565-70 |
| Shri Sivamurthi Swamy | | | | | • | | 7570-78 |
| Shri Kishen Pattnayak | | | | • | | | 7578-84 |
| Shri Y.B. Chavan | | | | | | .7 | 584–7600 |
| Motion re: Committee on Public | Und | ertakin | gs— | | | | |
| Shri Kanungo | | | | | | | 7603-07 |
| Correction of answer to Starred Q | uesti | ion No. | 743 | | | | 7607-08 |
| Daily Digest | | | | | | | 7609-10 |
| Resume of the Fifth Session, 1963 | 3 | | | | | | 7611-14 |
| Consolidated Contents [Septemb 1885 (Saka)] | er 10 | to 21, | 1963/ | Bhadra | 19 to | 30, | (i—vi) |
| | | | | - | | | / |

7437

LOK SABHA case which pertains to a Cons

Saturday, September 21, 1963 Bhadra 30, 1885 (Saka)

The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair]

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS

Indian Missions Abroad

S.N.Q. 14 Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to refer to the half-an-hour discussion on Indian Missions abroad held on the 13th September, 1963 and state:

- (a) the number of officers and members of the staff of Indian Missions abroad in respect of whom the Public Accounts Committee has made charges of financial irregularities and other malpractices since January 1, 1957; and
- (b) the nature of action taken in each case, together with the details of the charge made by the Committee in that case?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Dinesh Singh): (a)

Officers 14.

Staff 11.

(b) Suitable action has been taken in most of the cases as mentioned in the statement laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in the Library. See No. LT-1796/63].

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I do not want to refer to all the cases referred to in the three-page statement laid on the Table, but there is one 1286 (Ai) LSD—1

case which pertains to a Consul General and an Ambassador. In the other cases action was taken. those concerned being either dismissed or removed or issued warning, suspended etc. But in this case not even a warning was issued for misconduct. The Committee observes in its recommendation that it is concerned at the disregard of financial rules by officers in high position. Therefore, may I know the reasons why these cases were treated on a different footing from the others? Not even a warning was issued to the Consul General and the Ambassador.

7438

Shri Dinesh Singh: I would submit that this is not a case of misconduct. These people had drawn some advances for the transfer of their goods from one place to another. During this transfer, they had felt that they were entitled to certain allowances for which orders had not gone. They were awaiting orders to adjust this in their bills. When this matter was brought to their notice as mentioned in the statement, they adjusted the amount and refunded it.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Have there been any instances during the period under reference when unanimous recommendations of the Public Accounts Committee for further action or inquiry were brushed aside or shelved in a manner similar to that in which an earlier unanimous recommendation made twice or thrice by the Public Accounts Committee was contemptuously disregarded in respect of the notorious jeep scandal or transaction in which......

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. That has nothing to do with this. What has happened here is the point at issue

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: All right, I referred to it as it is a well-known case.

Mr. Speaker: May be. But it cannot be decided here.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I said 'in a manner similar to'.

Shri Dinesh Singh: It is very difficult to meet these insinuations and say anything.

Mr. Speaker: The only question is whether the unanimous recommendation of the Public Accounts Committee in these cases were brushed aside.

Shri Dinesh Singh: Not to my knowledge.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Further inquiry? Not at all?

Mr. Speaker: Not at all.

Shri Dinesh Singh: In the cases I have mentioned, we have taken action.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Is the list exhaustive? Have there been any instances where the Committee's recommendations have been disregarded and no action taken thereon?

The Prime Minister, Minister of External Affairs and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): If the hon, Member points out any case, we will look into it. It is a long list.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: I shall do so next session.

Mr. Speaker: Shri Hem Barua.

Shri Tyagi: Since the Public Accounts Committee has been mentioned......

Mr. Speaker: He cannot rise in this manner.

Shri Hem Barua: In view of the fact that the audit report has pointed out gross irregularities of financial accounts in our missions abroad—in one case there was a misappropriation of a sum of Rs. 1.54 lakhs in the

course of just three months—may I know whether the Prime Minister is in a position to assure us that the handling of financial accounts in our missions abroad has been made foolproof and attempts made to cleanse our diplomatic stables of the dirt and dross that have accumulated over the years?

Mr. Speaker: How to remove all that has accumulated in the question?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I can only give an assurance that we shall do our utmost. What more can I say?

Shri Hem Barua: But have you done your utmost by now? That is what I wanted to know, because the Audit Report has pointed out these things.

Mr. Speaker: Does he expect that any one would say that he has done his utmost?

Shri Tyagi: Since the Public Accounts Committee and its recommendations have been mentioned, I might make it clear that whenever any Ministry does not act on the recom-mendations made by the Public Accounts Committee, the Ministry comes next year for examination, and it has to submit to the Public Accounts Committee an Action Taken Report on each recommendation. I may assure the House that the Public Accounts Committee has taken a resolve that it is going to be absolutely judicial without any party considerations, and therefore, if there is any lapse on the part of any Ministry the Public Accounts Committee will take care of it.

Shri Hem Barua: They have failed to do it. I have read the whole report.

Shri Daji: The Prime Minister has stated that he will do his best. May we know what exact steps have been taken or are proposed to be taken to see that such defalcations and mistakes in accounts do not occur in other Embassies, what instructions have been issued?

Shri Dinesh Singh: All these things are mentioned in detail in the statement laid on the Table of the House.

दिल्ली के स्कूलों में दशहरे की छुट्टियां

ग्र॰ सू॰ प्र॰ १४. श्री भक्त दर्शन: क्या शिक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कृषा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि दशहरे की तिथि के विवाद के कारण दिल्ली के स्कलों में इस बार दशहरे की छुट्टियां दो बार होंगी—पांच दिनों के लिये सितम्बर के दूसरे पखवारे में ग्रीर सात दिनों के लिये ग्रक्तूबर के दूसरे पखवारे में ; ग्रीर
- (ख) यदि हां, तो दो बार थोड़े-थोड़े दिनों के लिये दशहरे की छुट्टियां होने मे ग्रध्यापकों ग्रौर विद्याधियों को जो ग्रमुविधायें होंगी, उनके निराकरण के लिये क्या कार्यवाही की जा रही है ?

The Minister in charge, Ministry of Education (Shri Hamayun Kabir): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

श्री भक्त दर्शन : मैं जानता था कि माननीय मंत्री जी यह उत्तर देंगे । लेकिन क्या वह यह बतलाने की कृपा करेंगे कि वास्तविक स्थिति क्या है?

Shri Hamayun Kabir: The position is that there is no such thing as Dussehra holidays for the schools. The students get the holidays which are the gazetted holidays. They are autumnal holidays at the end of the second term, and that is some time in September or October, and the dates are decided by the Director every year.

श्री भक्त दर्शन: चूंकि दशहरा ग्रीर दीवाली के बारे में ग्रभी तक भी ज्योतिषियों में बड़ा भारी वाद-विवाद चल रहा है, यहां तक कि एक दूसरे को वे शास्त्रार्थं का भी चैलेंज दे रहे हैं, सो क्या शिक्षा मंत्री दोनों पक्षों को बुला करके, उन के बीच में कोई समझौता कराने का प्रयत्न करेंगे ?

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय: I do not allow that. शिक्षा मंत्री भी इस चर्चा में शामिल होंगे ?

श्री यशपाल सिंह : इस सैक्यूलर स्टेट में सरकार हमारे पंडितों की मर्जी के खिलाफ दो दो त्योहार क्यों करती है उनको मर्जी के अनुसार एक त्योहार क्यों नहीं रखतो है ?

म्रध्यक्ष महोदय : कहां दो त्यौहार रखे हैं ?

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I would like to know whether it is a fact that in some of the States the holidays fall in September and in some of the States it will be in October, whereas an over-all decision has been taken that Dussehra falls in the month of October. I would like to know whether such a uniform decision has been taken by Government to have these holidays either in September or October.

Shri Hamayun Kabir: I have already stated that this question refers to Delhi State and there are no such things as Dussehra holidays for the school. They have autumnal holidays.

Gold in the Country

S.N.Q. 16. Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Shri Raghunath Singh:

Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

- (a) the quantity and value of gold so far declared to the Government;
 and
- (b) the total quantity and value of gold, according to the assessment of the Government, available in the country?
- Shri S. M. Banerjee: You will remember, Sir, that when the question of gold control came up, the hon.

Minister promised to make a statement before Parliament adjourned.

Mr. Speaker: He is going to make that statement; we will have that statement.

The Minister of Finance (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari): (a) About 42.97 million grammes valued at approximately Rs. 23 crores at international prices have been declared under the gold control rules by dealers, refiners, institutions and individuals.

(b) According to an article published in the April 1958 issue of the Reserve Bank of India Bulletin, the total quantity of non-monetary gold in all forms in India was estimated at about 3266 million grammes, the value of which at the international price would be about Rs. 1750 crores. This estimate, however, was not based on any precise data. The Government has had no occasion to make any assessment in this matter.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Has Government tried to find out the reasons for such a small amount of declaration out of a total of three thousand and odd million grammes which are supposed to be available in the country?

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: We are still in search of causes and in this search I think probably the Government would have to go into various matters. Government are cognizant of this matter and are fully seized of this matter and at the present moment I am not in a position to indicate precisely what we can do.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: May I know whether it has been examined that the gold control orders as at present framed are more a handicap than easing the position for those who hold gold and who want to declare it?

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: I am not in a position to say categorically that the hon. Member is correct in his assumption. Gold control order is being continually subjected to examination and revision and I think we may perhaps arrive at some type of control

which may yield better results in course of time.

श्री रघुनाय सिंह : मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि जो यह गोल्ड कंट्रोल डिक्लेरेशन स्कीम है वह ग्राप की दृष्टि में सक्सेसफुल हो रही है या नहीं ।

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: May I say that I do hope to be able to deal with this matter, with your permission, a little later.

Shri Tyagi: Out of this small amount of gold declared, how much goes to the credit of the institutions?

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: I can give you the data that I have here. Individuals have declared about Rs. 8·15 crores; dealers, Rs. 14·80 crores and refineries, about Rs. 7 lakhs. Institutions come both in regard to individuals and in regard to dealers. So, the estimate of Rs. 8·15 crores for individuals is not a correct one.

श्री विभूति मिथ : मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि इस सरकार ने गोल्ड कंट्रोल ग्रार्डर के लगाने के पहले कोई ऐसी योजना बनाई है जिससे लोगों को गोल्ड से ममता ग्रौर मोह हट जाय ?

Shri Jashvant Mehta: The hon. Finance Minister stated that substantial amount of gold had not come out. Contradictory statements have been made by the Treasury Benches on the gold control and smuggling issue. May I know whether actually smuggling has increased or decreased?

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: If I know what precisely is the nature of smuggling in this country, there will be no smuggling at all in this country.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: May I know why the Government has not utilised the powers which I am told it has got, to find out whether large amounts of gold are secreted, as they are reported to be, in the security vaults, and see that they are not secreted,

under the provisions of these rules and orders?

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: This is a bit of a hearsay. I have also heard about it. I do not know—once mention is made in the House that there is gold in the security deposits, vaults—it will still be there. I certainly follow the suggestion.

Shri R. S. Pandey: It had been decided that only 14 carat gold would be allowed to be used in ornaments. Now, the impression has been created, as it appeared in the press, that the hon. Minister of Finance is thinking of increasing it from 14 carat to 18 carat. I want to know whether it is true.

Mr. Speaker: The statement is going to be made just now.

Telephone Service in Balaghat

S.N.Q. 17. Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Will the Minister of Posts and Telegraphs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the telephone service in Balaghat, Madhya Pradesh has been stopped or otherwise adversely affected following the assault by some policemen on local telephone operators; and
- (b) if so, the measures taken or being taken to restore normalcy?

The Deputy Minister in the Department of Posts and Telegraphs (Shri Bhagavati): (a) Yes. The telephone exchange at Balaghat had to be closed at about 1100 hrs. on 13-9-1963 but normalcy was restored by the evening on the same date.

(b) The matter was taken up promptly with the State Government and high officials of the Police Department who have given assurance that the P. & T. staff can carry on their normal work without any fear. On the spot investigation by a senior officer of the P. & T. Department was also carried out at the earliest opportunity.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: If I heard the Minister aright, there was a considerable time-lag between the occurrence of the incident and the time when the telephone service was set right. Is the House to understand that other communications were also disrupted and that intimation about the incident—the assault by the policemen—was received here very late by the P. & T. authorities and, if so, what are the reasons for that delay in communication?

Shri Bhagavati: There was not much delay. The incident occurred on 12-9-1963 after 19·13 hours. Even after the reported assault on an operator the exchange worked the whole night. In the morning also the operators came to the Exchange. Again there was some disturbance in the morning at about 10:35 hours. Then the operators left the exchange. From Gondia exchange some operators were then brought to this place and the exchange was put to work at about 15.35 hours on the same day i.e. the 13th.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: What exactly were the details of this assault incident, and has the State Government ordered a regular investigation or enquiring into this matter?

Shri Bhagavati: A magistrate has been appointed by the Commissioner of Jabalpur division to investigate into this matter. He has already investigated into this case on the 18th and completed the enquiry on the 19th.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Has the report been received?

Shri Bhagavati: The report has not yet been submitted.

Shri Daji: Has the Government ascertained the causes of this incident and are steps being taken to see that such incidents do not recur again?

Shri Bhagavati: The whole thing was investigated into, and when we get the report from the magistrate then only we can say.

Production of Cement in Andhra Pradesh

olla V

S.N.Q. 18. Shri Kolla Venkaiah: Shri A. K. Gopalan: Shri M. N. Swamy: Shri Nambiar:

Will the Minister of Steel and Heavy Industries be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the production of cement in Andhra Pradesh has come to a standstill as the factories are closed down;
- (b) whether it is due to want of stockage facilities in the factories on account of non-lifting of the produce;
- (c) whether this has created a serious situation affecting the economy and caused serious inconvenience to the public who are unable to get cement?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Steel and Heavy Industries (Shri P. C. Sethi): (a) No Sir. No report has been received about cement factories being closed down in Andhra Pradesh. Production figures available do not show any serious crisis in the factories.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

Shri Kolla Venkalah: My information is that for the last 11 months the factories are closed because the Government did not remove its quota of cement which is about 85 per cent of the production and the public are suffering because they are not getting cement. Because of that, the factories are closed. Will the Government ascertain this from the factories and the State Governments?

Mr. Speaker: He has suggested that that must be ascertained and it will be ascertained. 11.22 hrs.

STATEMENT RE. CEASE_FIRE ON ASSAM-EAST PAKISTAN BORDER

The Prime Minister, and Minister of External Affairs and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): Three days ago, Sir, I made a statement about the firing on the Karimganj border, near about that. This firing continued for two days after that on 18th and 19th until yesterday morning, when a cease-fire agreement was arrived at between the Sector Commander on our side and the Pakistani border officials. It has appeared in the press today too that at 4 P.M. the cease-fire took effect.

During the talks between the representatives of the two parties, stress was laid by our Sector Commander to have a temporarily acceptable working boundary till the final boundary is settled. But no agreement arrived at on this. Then it was suggested that a meeting might be held at a higher level, at the GOC level between 4th and 10th October to consider this. The Pakistani Sector Commander agreed to convey this request to the higher authorities, made no commitment. Meanwhile we are urging on the Pakistan Government for an urgent survey and demarcation of the boundary, but we have not had any positive response from the Pakistan Government to these proposals.

During these five days of intermittent firing in this border, so far as we know, there were three casualties, one of these being fatal, and probably, though it has not been confirmed, another of the injured, a Muslim lady, also died in the hospital. That is all I have to say.

Shri Swell (Assam—Autonomous Districts): Is it a fact that some Pakistanis have intruded into Indian territory and that notwithstanding the cease-fire, they have refused to budge from there?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: It must be obviously after the cease-fire.

Mr. Speaker: He wants to know whether during this period of firing, Pakistanis had trespassed into our territory, which they now refuse to vacate. Is that the question?

Shri Swell: Yes, Sir.

Shri Jawaharial Nehru: Pakistanis intruded into the territory occupied by us, but which has been in dispute.

Shri Hem Barua (Gauhati) rose-

Mr. Speaker: Order, order; I will give him an opportunity to put his question. A question has been put and that is being answered now.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: So far as I know, in this territory part of it is in dispute, and a though it had been agreed that they should not cross this till it is decided, they have occupied part of it.

Mr. Speaker: The question asked was, whether they have now refused to vacate it.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: Yes. I do not think, as a result of this armistice yesterday, they have vacated. They have just stopped firing on both sides.

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): In this particular area of Lathitilla the Pakistanis hoisted their flag on 14th August, 1963 and they have practically occupied this area. From that particular area they started firing and continued it for five days. I would like to know whether while agreeing to their cease fire proposal, which is good in my opinion, we have made it clear that they must first withdraw from that particular area, which is a disputed area, before we start talking to them?

Shri Jawaharial Nehru: Before we do what?

Mr. Speaker: Before we begin to talk with them. Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: We shall talk with them anyhow and everywhere whenever an opportunity offers itself.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: My question has not been replied.

Mr. Speaker: That he has answered, that to the extent they have trespassed or intruded into our territory they have not vacated it.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: My question was not that. They have entered into our territory. According to the Prime Minister that area is a disputed area, and according to them it may not be a disputed area. But they have entered into a particular area. Even if, according to the Prime Minister, it is a disputed area, they have crossed that area and started firing. I would like to know whether they have been asked to withdraw from that area before the talks start?

Mr. Speaker: That is what he has stated. He has said that talks we will undertake even if that is not done and that in any case we will enter into talks.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee (Calcutta Central): Sir, a cease fire always takes place in accordance with return to status quo. If the status quo has been disturbed, as he alleges, how does the cease fire come to be in the picture?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: Cease fire is a cease fire, it may be something more, it may be no more. As far as we know we have not received detailed reports about the cease fire. As a result of the talks between two sector commanders they decided to stop firing. Our man suggested that a temporary boundary should be fixed. To that the other commander did not agree except to refer it to his higher authorities, and the proposal was that on the 4th October, or a day or two after that, the GOC level meeting should take place to consider that. That has been referred to the higher authorities.

Shri Daji (Indore): The Prime Minister said that the proposal with regard to having a temporary boundary was given by us. Do we understand, therefore, that in this disputed territory up till now there was no temporary boundary agreement; if so, may I know how deep they have intruded?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: The whole area consists of about five villages. It is not a big area. As I have said here previously, there is discordance between the map prepared by the Radcliffe Commission and the details they have given of the area. In the map prepared there is a straight line which is rather favourable to Pakistan. In the detailed report it is favourable to India. There is argument about that. We think that the detailed description is more important than the straight line on the Anyhow, there is this argument and, in this, I believe, a village or two or some parts of a village or two were occupied by Pakistan and bunkers were made there. The bunkers are still there presumably.

श्री यशपाल सिंह (कैराना) : क्या मैं जान सकता हूं कि यह सीज फायर पाकिस्तान ने श्रपनी दया के कारण किया है या हमारा जोर बढ़ने के कारण ? श्रगर हमारा जोर बढ़ने से किया है, तो श्रव तक उनके कितने श्रादमी मारे गए श्रीर कितने ज़हमी हुए हैं ?

श्री जवाहरलास नेहरू: मैं ने अभी आप से कहा, दया किस की है। दया तो हमेशा अच्छी है और मैं आणा करता हूं कि हमारी तरफ से हर एक के लिए हमेशा दया होगी। लेकिन अगर दया के मानी होते हैं आप की कमजोरी, तो कमजोरी बुरी चीज है। हमारी तरफ से तीन कैजुएल्टीज हुई हैं, उन में से एक मर गया है, श्रीर शायद दूसरा भी मर गया हो। इससे ज्यादा तो मालूम नहीं है, श्रीर मालूम

हो भी नहीं सकता जब तक कि वह जाकर केन देखें।

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty (Barreckpore): I want to know whether the 'calling attention' notice on the question about bombers has been admitted. If it has been then I will not ask a question now.

Mr. Speaker: No.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: Then I want to ask whether the hon. Prime Minister has seen the report in the Hindustan Standard' that the jet bomber squadron with radar equipment has been removed to the eastern front, that is, on the East Pakistan frontier, whether there is any information about that and whether Government is seized of the matter.

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): We have got the general information that a bomber squadron has been removed to East Pakistan. More than that we have no other information.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: Have we taken any steps? That is the point.

Shri Hem Barua: In view of the fact that Pakistan has occupied forcibly a portion of Indian territory, as admitted by the hon. Prime Minister, in violation of the Radcliffe Award which lays down specifically that whenever there is a discrepancy between the alignment on the map and the written word in the Award, it is the written word that shall prevail and the written word is in our favour—the hon. Prime Minister said that it is a disputed area; it is absolutely wrong and on top of that. . . .

Mr. Speaker: Now he is enunciating a long, what shall I say. . .

Shri Hem Barua: I will ask the question.

Mr. Speaker: He might ask the question now.

Shri Hem Barua: On the top of it has transpired that Pakistan has stationed B-47 jet bombers complete with radar units against our frontier, as admitted by the hon. Defence Mirackpore): I want to know whether Government can assure us (a) that they have taken adequate steps to counter the Pakistani air threat; and (b) that they are prepared to push out the Pakistani troops who have forcibly and illegally occupied our territory?

Some Hon. Members: What about (c)?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: As usual I am rather lost in the hon. Member's question. . . (Interruption). It is almost like some of the UN resolutions. . . (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Shri Kamath.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): He is replying to his question,

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: He started with "in view of the fact" and carried on to some other paragraphs. That in view of the fact' is not wholly correct, that is, the Radcliffe Award left it to be determined, subject to their general directions, by the surveyors.

Shri Hem Barua: No; I can quote from the Radcliffe Award to prove how wrong the hon. Prime Minister is.

Mr. Speaker: Shri Hem Barua would resume his seat and listen to the answer. He has asked the question and if he is not satisfied with the answer, he can subsequently bring it to my notice that the answer was not correct.

Shri Hem Barua: But at the same time we cannot allow the hon. Prime Minister to throw dust into our eyes. (Interruption)

Shri Raghunath Singh (Varanasi): It is highly objectionable.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: if the hon Member, Shri Barua, can answer his own question, perhaps it is not necessary for me to take the trouble to answer it.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Please do

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I really do not understand. Here is a very long dispute about a certain area. The dispute may be completely misconceived, but we have acknowledged it to be a dispute. We have repeatedly asked for talks on it and all that.

Shri Hem Barua: That is the mis-

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: It has been decided that it should be demarcated and in the course of demarcation itself possibly the dispute about this small area would be solved.

Shri Hem Barua: Which they have occupied forcibly.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: During the last three years how many such cease-fire agreements have been concluded on various points of conflict on the Indo-Pakistan border in the east, how often have they been violated by Pakistan, and is Government sure that Pakistan will not violate this cease-fire agreement too in the near future, and is Government prepared for it?

Mr. Speaker: Can anybody be sure of anything to be done by another?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: How many times it has been agreed to and how many times it has been violated. Every time it has been violated?

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: How can I answer this question as to how many times in the last few years it has been violated?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Have they respected any agreement on cease-fire? I do not think that Pakistan has respected any cease-fire agreement.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I do not accept that. There are many other agreements which have been agreed

Cease-fire on Assam- 7456 East Pakistan Border

[Shri Jawaharlal Nehru] upon and which have been acted upon; some have not been....

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Acted upon by us, not by them.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: By tnem also.

Shri Hem Barua: Before the ink is dry on the agreement, they violate it.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I am to speak with some responsibility. To say that they have always broken every agreement made is completely wrong.

Shri Bade (Khargone): They are going on encroaching on our land and we are tolerating it.

Some Hon. Members rose-

Mr. Speaker: I am calling only the signatories and not the others.

Now, Shri T. T. Krishnamachari.

श्री बागड़ी (हिसार) : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा एक व्यवस्था कार्ेेप्रश्न है...

म्राध्यक्ष महोदयः किस चीज के सम्बन्ध में ग्रापका प्रश्न है हैं?

श्र**ि बागड़ी** : मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न यह है . . .

प्राच्यक्ष महोदय : मैं व्यवस्या का प्रश्न नहीं पूछ रहा, मैं हैंतो यह े जानना चाहता हूं कि किस सबर्जनट े के सम्बन्ध में भौर किस मामले के बारे में हैं हैं?

भी बागड़ो : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, ग्राप पहले मेरी बात सुन लें . . .

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय : एक कालिंग ग्राटेशन खत्म हुमा उसकी निस्वत भा नहीं सकता, दूसरा कुछ शुरू नहीं हुमा है इसलिये उस की निस्वत भी नहीं ही सकता है । जब हाउस के सामने कोई विजनैस ही नहीं है तो व्यवस्था का प्रशन कैसे उठ सकता है ? Now, Shri T. T. Krishnamachari.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: Is he making the statement in reply to the calling-attention-notice?

Mr. Speaker: Yes.

Shri Buta Singh (Moga): I want to make one submission. . . .

Mr. Speaker: There is nothing on which he can make his submission now.

Shri Buta Singh: I want to put one question to the Prime Minister.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member may resume his seat. He cannot put his question like that.

Shri Buta Singh: I want to make a brief submission.

Mr. Speaker: Not in this manner.

Shri Buta Singh: I only wanted to know one thing from the Prime Minister.

Mr. Speaker: I am not allowing him. He may kindly sit down.

Shri Buta Singh: I want to know from the Prime Minister. . . .

Mr. Speaker: Order, order, he is obstructing the proceedings of the House. He should sit down now.

श्री **ब**ग्गड़ी : मेरा एक व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है , . .

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदयः जब कोई कार्यवाही ही हाउस के सामने नहीं है तो व्यवस्था का प्रश्न कैसे उठ सकता है ?

श्री बागड़ी : मध्यक्ष महोदय . . .

प्रध्यक्ष महोदय : मैंने कई बार कहा है कि जब तक कोई मामला हाउस के सामने न हो तब तक व्यवस्था का प्रथन नहीं उठ सकता है। उसके बगैर कोई बात नहीं हो सकती है? 7457 Statement re: Cease-fire BHADRA 30, 1885 (SAKA) Statement Re: 7458 on Assam-East Pakistan Border

श्री बागड़ी: उसी के बारे में मैं भर्ज कर रहा.

प्रध्यक्ष महेदाः जव इस वनत हाउस के सामने कोई विजनैस ही नहीं है तो व्यवस्था का प्रश्न वैकुन्नम् में मैं कैसे रखने दे सकता हं ?

श्री बारड़ी: मुझे ग्रपने काम रोको प्रस्ताव के बारे में पता नहीं दिया गया कि उसका क्या बना. . .

प्रश्यक्ष महोदय: मैं ग्रापके पास ग्रादमी भेजूंगा तब उसके बारे में ग्रापकी पता चल जायगा।

श्री बागड़ी : स्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा काम रंको प्रस्ताव . . .

भ्रश्यक्ष महोदय : भ्राप बैठ जाइये, भ्रभी भाषको पता दे दिया जायगा ।

श्री बागड़ी : जहां तक बैठने की बात है, मैं बैठ जाऊंगा । मैं श्रापके हुवम की तामील करूंगा लेकिन यह व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है कि मैंने एक काम रोंको प्रस्ताव दिया था लेकिन मुझे उसके बारे में कुछ बताया नहीं गया. . .

स्रध्यक्ष महोदय: माननीय सदस्य वैठ जाय । कार्यवाही के मत रोकें ।

श्री बाएड़ी: ग्राप मुझे इतिला दें कि उसे मैं ग्रभी नहीं तो कब उठा सकता हूं ?

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय : ग्राप बैठ जारें।

श्री बागड़ी : सुनारों के बारे में । (Interruptions.)

म्राध्यक्ष महोदय : ब्रार्डर, ब्रार्डर । सब श्राप कैंठ जाय । 11.45 hrs.

STATEMENT RE: GOLD CONTROL AND THE COM-PULSORY DEPOSIT SCHEMES

The Minister of Finance (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari): I had indicated in reply to questions in this House that I would make a statement before the House rose on gold control and the compulsory deposit schemes.

2. My distinguished predecessor had on more than one occasion outlined in this House the basic objectives of Government's goldpolicy. While there can be no denying the important role that gold continues to play in the social outlook and habits of people, we cannot minimise its effects in the form of a drain on our resources in foreign exchange and otherwise. The basic features of the gold policy in tiated by my distinguished predecessor were aimed at a curtailment of the facilities to market gold, particularly in its primary forms, so that the smuggler who got past the Customs point did not feel free to dispose of the gold openly and without challenge, and secondly at reducing the demand for gold by stopping the production of gold ornaments of a higher purity than 14 carat. Government feel that both these objectives are basically sound and justified by considerations of national interests. There can be no going back on them. Nevertheless, the many problems which have been thrown up in the administration of the gold control in its present form had to be reviewed. had also to consider whether and to what extent changes were necessary, even if they be of a transitional character, to alleviate hardship to the class of people, who have been working on gold as a means of livelihood, having at the same time due regard to the sentiments of the people, who as I have said before, have a great deal of attachment to gold and gold ornaments.

 On the problem of those working on gold we have to consider firstly the self-employed goldsmiths and secondly

[Shri T. T. Krishnamachari]

those employed by the bigger goldsmiths and jewellers. While we have a programme of rehabilitation of the self-employed goldsmiths, we recognised that the iringe of the problem has hardly been touched, and it would take much more than the amount of money we have allotted for this purpose so far as well as a great deal of time, before we can successfully rehabilitate most of While we propose to proceed with this task of rehabilitation of goldsmiths, who depend purely on the manufacture of gold ornaments for their livelihood, we cannot do all that has to be done in a day.

- 4. Government have, therefore. decided to grant licences to selfemployed goldsmiths on a nominal ree and to permit them on certain conditions to convert existing gold ornaments in excess of a purity of 14 carat into ornaments of like purity. will restore to them the facility to carry on what was their main avocation. Out-workers, i.e., those goldsmiths who worked on gold in their own houses to execute orders received from licensed dealers, will be permited to handle limited stocks of primary gold upto 14 carat. Government hope that these measures will facilitate the continuance in employment of goldtrade, smiths and artisans in this pending the long-term process of rehabilitation.
- 5. I would emphasise that these relaxations do not involve any change in the Government's basic long-term policy to discourage the use of gold generally and the production of gold jewellery of high purity. If any one wants new ornaments to be made from primary gold he can only get 14 carat ornaments to whichever source of supply he turns. There will be no said or display by dealers of jewellery of a purity exceeding 14 carat. The facility to convert existing ornaments into new ornaments of purity above 14 carat is necessarily a limited one and intended to benefit those, whe

- possess such ornaments and want them to be re-shaped, and to enable the selfemployed goldsmiths to continue toearn a living for the time being.
- 6. Apart from these changes to which I have just referred, Government propose to make certain changes in the administrative set-up in order to make enforcement more effective. The present rules provide for the establishment of a Board to advise Government and to be in over-all charge of the implementation of policy. The responsibility for enforcement these rules is, however, entrusted to the Central Excise Department. After a careful examination of the matter, Government have come to the conclusion that bifurcation of responsibility for policy making and for implementation of it is not wise. Government, therefore, have decided centralise the administration by appointing a Gold Control Administrator, located in the Department Revenue, who will be in charge nt matters of gold policy and administration and who will seek the assistance of States administrations in this
- 7. When the gold control rules were originally framed, it was considered that the most convenient method was to issue them in the form of amendments to the Defence of India Rules. Considering the application of these rules to a very large section of the community, both in regard to the use of gold in industry and in regard to the use of gold as ornaments, Government feel that these rules should be replaced by a statute, subject of course to the approval of the Parliament. Government, therefore, intend to bring up before Parliament at a very early date a bill which might cover both the short-term and the long-term objectives of Government's gold policy.
- 8. I now turn to the other matter which has been exercising the minds of the members of Parliament during this session, namely, the Compulsory Deposit Scheme. As the House is aware, three out of the five schemes

contemplated under the Compulsory Deposit Scheme Act, 1963, namely those relating to land-revenue payers. urban immovable property holders and sales tax payers, have not yet been brought into effect. These schemes have to be implemented through the States and local authorities concerned and draft schemes were referred for their comments to State Governments. The replies received have indicated numerous practical difficulties, notably in the case of land-revenue payers. Not only the rates at which tax on land is levied, but also the periods, methods and agencies of collection vary widely from State to State. In regard to the two other schemes also, it has represented that collection would pose difficulties. In many cases, the municipal authorities do not impose urban property tax and would thus have no ready basis for collecting compulsory deposits. The States have also complained that the sales payers scheme would impose additional burdens on their already hardpressed sales tax authorities. Besides. the out-turn from these two subsidiary schemes is expected to be comparatively small.

9. Quite apart from the administrative problems, there were indications that many State Governments were far from happy about the idea that additional levy, even though it was in the form of savings rather than tax, be imposed upon land owners and the relatively lower income urban groups. Since the main beneficiaries of the Compulsory Deposit Scheine were the State Governments themselves and the entire collection from the land revenue paying class would have gone to them, the Government of India felt that it was but fair to ask the State Governments to express their considered opinion once again on the scheme as a whole. Accordingly, I wrote to the Chief Ministers of all the States asking them for their opinion as to whether these three schemes should implemented, modified, or altcgether given up. The replies I have received from them show that almost all of them are in favour of abandoning the scheme for the land revenue payers and most of them would prefer to see the schemes for the urban property owners and sales tax payers also given up. Some Chief Ministers have drawn pointed attention to the fact that the levy of a compulsory deposit on land owners in particular and the urban population generally would affect the contribution to voluntary savings, a consideration which we cannot altogether ignore.

- 10. In the light of these comments, the Government of India have made a review of the Compulsory Deposit Scheme as a whole. It is important to ensure equity of sacrific between people who belong, broadly speaking, to the same income groups or to the same economic levels. We cannot exempt the land revenue payers from this scheme without giving relief to salaried workers as well.
- 11. The Government of India have, therefore, decided that schemes respect of land revenue payers, urban immovable property holders and sales tax payers, which have not yet come into force, should be given up. As regards salaried workers who are not in the income-tax paying category, Govt, feel that similar relief should, in equity, be given to them. Arrangements will be made to refund deposits that have already been made with interest with the least possible delay. Income-tax payers, however, stand on a different footing. So far as they are concerned, the rate of income-tax on them has gone up and they have the option to pay a part of the increase in the shape of a contri-Depcsit bution to the Compulsory Scheme. A mere withdrawal of this scheme might mean a greater hardship to them, because in lieu of a refundable deposit they would have to make an outright payment in the shape of a tax. The scheme for incometax payers will, therefore, continue. I trust these changes will have support of the House.
- The decisions which I have just announced would mean a substantial

[Shri T. T. Krishnamachari].

loss of resources. Government, however, hope that the loss would be more than made up by an increase voluntary savings. The House will be glad to know that during the 5 months of the current financial year, small savings collections have been at a record figure of Rs. 31 crores, which is more than double the collections in the corresponding period last Government propose to intensify their efforts for the collection of small savings and they trust that State Governments who receive two-third of the collection from small savings will. also join in this effort with renewed vigour.

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): Two supplementaries may be necessary. Shall I combine them into one?

Shri Tyagi (Dehra Dun): I want to seek a clarification.

Mr. Speaker: Not now. Some questions are to be asked. Shri Banerjee.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: It is clear from the statement of the hon. Minister about gold control order that gold control has not succeeded as they wanted.

Mr. Speaker: No comments, only questions.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: After giving these concessions to the self-employed people, what definite steps nave been taken to rehabilitate those who will not be covered by this concession? That is my question No. 1. Secondly, I would like to know whether Government also intended to reduce or modify the surcharge on income-tax which has affected the middle class to a great extent.

Mr. Speaker: Instead of one and two, he could have said (a) and (b); It would have gone as one question.

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: In regard to the first part of the question of the hon. Member, the changes are

In the process of being made and therefore nothing can be said about them now. In regard to the second part, I have stated Government's policy as clearly as I am able to.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty (Barrackpore): May I know whether Government is considering the imposition of a ceiling on holding gold ornaments of 22 carat purity and whether dealers will be permitted to make jewellery of 22 carat purity and also whether licensed dealers who will be permitted to do ornaments will get an assured supply of 14 carat gold?

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari; We cannot really commit ourselves to an assured supply of anything for the reason that Government do not have continued supply of gold. I do not think it is possible for the Government to give an assurance that any demand for 14 carat gold would be met.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: Even for licensed dealers?

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: Even for the licensed dealers it cannot be made. The primary consideration would be for industrial use and the secondary consideration for those people who make ornaments for export. Barring them we can only ration what is available among people who need it.

Shri Daji (Indore): There is a report that Government had discussions with representatives of the All India Goldsmiths Association. Is this correct? In view of this concession announced, has Government considered the release of those who are arrested in this connection?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Nanda): Certain steps would follow the declaration and the statement.

Shri Indrajit Gupta (Calcutta South West): The hon. Minister has categorically declared that it is Government's policy to rehabilitate displaced goldsmiths. For that it will take a long. Gold Control and the Compulsory Deposit Schemes

time. Pending completion of rehabilitation and relief measures, may I know whether Government is considering about the relief measures given to these goldsmiths and their families; whether they will be enhanced in a uniform way throughout the country? I mean the quantum of relief to them.

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: The matter will have to be examined; I am unable to commit myself.

..Shri Vasudevan Nair (Ambalapuzha): In the rehabilitation of goldsmiths, may I know whether the Central Government will have some direct role to play in addition to giving some money or loan to the State Governments?

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: That is the idea. The Gold Administrator will look after this matter with the coperation of the States. But I have said before that Government will certainly indicate to the hon. Members of the House what steps they are taking from time to time when the whole matter would be discussed fully when the Bill comes before the House?

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): May I know if the Minister consulted his distinguished predecessor before making these radical changes in policy, and would he say what his reactions were? Does he propose to rename the compulsory deposit scheme as the national deposit scheme which he had in mind? May I know if the Gold Control Board has been finally abolished?

Mr. Speaker: The second part may be answered.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Why not the first part? Whether he has consuited his predecessor—

Mr. Speaker: No, no. The question whether he has consuited one or the other, and what is his reaction, is not relevant.

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: The deposit scheme is now limited to income-tax payers. As I have indicated it is of benefit to them. The nomenclature does not really matter now.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Has the Gold Control Board been abolished? I have put that question.

Shri T. T. Krishnamachari: 1 have indicated that there will be a Gold Control Administrator. Naturally, the Gold Control Board will not function.

श्री बड़े (खारगोन): गोल्ड स्मिथ्स को जो मदद फिलहाल दी जाती है, वह एक ही प्रकार की हर एक जगह नहीं दी जाती है, एक स्टेट में एक प्रकार की दी जाती है और दूसरी स्टेट में दूसरी प्रकार की दी जाती है। उसका स्टैंडडं अलग अलग है। क्या सेंटर स्टेट्स को यह आदेश देगा कि गोल्ड स्मिथ्स को पर्याप्त माल्ला में मेंटनेस एलाउस मिले, मदद मिले और इस मदद का सभी स्टेट्स में युनिफार्म स्टैंडडं रहे?

Mr. Speaker: The question is that the relief given to the goldsmiths is different and whether the Government would give any direction so that all the States might just have a uniform scale of assistance.

Shri T. T. Krishnamachati: I am really thankful to the hon. Member for having pointed it out to this House. We will try to see that as far as possible there is no discrimination.

11.57 hrs.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Annual Report of Film Finance Corporation, Bombay, for 1962-63 and Review by Government thereon

The Minister of Finance (Shri T. T. Krishnamachari): I beg to lay on the

[Shri T. T. Krishnamachari]

Table a copy each of the following papers:—

- (i) Annual Report of the Film Finance Corporation Limited, Bombay, for the year 1962-63 along with the Audited Accounts and the comments of the Comptroller and Auditor General thereon, under sub-section (1) of section 619A of the Companies Act, 1956.
- (ii) Review by the Government on the working of the above Corporation. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-1794/63].

INDIAN AIRCRAFT (FOURTH AMEND-MENT) RULES, 1963

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Transport and Communications (Shri Mohiuddin): I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Indian Aircraft (Fourth Amendment) Rules, 1963, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 1457 dated the 7th September, 1963 under section 14A of the Aircraft Act, 1934, together with an explanatory note. [Placed in Library, See No. LT-1795/63].

11.58 hrs.

COMMITTEE ON PETITIONS

MINUTES

Shri Thirumala Rao (Kakinada): I beg to lay on the Taole the Minutes of the sittings (Seventh and Eighth) of the Committee on Petitions held during the current Session.

11.581 hrs.

MESSAGE FROM RAJYA SABHA

Secretary: Sir, I have to report the following message received from the Secretary of Rajya Sabha:—

"In accordance with the provisions of rule 125 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Rajya Sabha, I am directed to inform the Lok Sabha that the Rajya Sabha, at its sitting held on the 19th September, 1963, agreed without any amendment to the Personal Injuries (Compensation Insurance) Bill, 1963, which was passed by the Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 28th August, 1963."

11.58½ hrs.

RE: APPOINTMENT OF MINISTERS

The Prime Minister, Minister of External Affairs and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shri Jawaharala Nehru): Sir, may I bring to your notice that our colleague, Shri Dasappa, an hon. Member of this House, has been appointed as Minister of Railways, and Shri Bali Ram Bhagat is made the Minister of State for Planning?

Mr. Speaker: As Members have already done, I also welcome the new Ministers.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): I hope there will be fewer accidents on the railways!

11.59 hrs.

RE. DISCUSSION ON NEFA ENQUIRY REPORT

Shri H. N. Mukerjee (Calcutta Central): Sir, I hope you will forgive me for drawing your attention to one matter in relation to the discussion of the NEFA debacle report. It has caused a great deal of perturbation to many of us, on both sides, I am sure, of the House, that the Minister of Defence was pleased, on account of the arrangement of business made, I suppose, by the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs, to give a reply to the debate on the very same subject in the other House yesterday. I say

this: that this kind of thing is being repeated over and over again; ad nauseam it has been said and reference has been made to the Constitution, that the Ministers are responsible collectively to this House and not to the other House. With the utmost respect to the other House, I say that the principle of indirect election and of nomination which is the basis of the composition of the other House, affects to some extent the symof our constitution which metry has complete democracy its I am not quarrelling with basis. Even during the budget that. yourself session, as you been pleased to point out, the discussion of the budget in general terms has taken place several times over in that House before it has come up in this House.

Now, in regard to a matter of this description, when our House is taking cognizance of this matter this subject is discussed in the other House previously to the Minister coming up here to give his version of the case. If the Government has any intention of clipping the wings of this House, I can only say that it will not succeed, in view of the attitude of the people of this country. We want to know where we stand, and this sort of thing is going on over and over again. I wish you give a direction, I wish you to tell the Government, to give a reprimand to them, that this sort of thing does not take place.

12 hrs.

Shri Ranga (Chittoor): Sir, before you express your view, I wish to say that I am not in a position to agree with my hon. friend, Shri Mukerjee, with regard to this matter. On more than one occasion, the Prime Minister has had to say here as well as in the other House that both Houses are equal, except in regard to the particular question of the budget. In regard to all other things, they should be treated as equal. I do not see any reason why we should be peevish just because it has so happened that they

have concluded their discussion earlier than we did and the Defence Minister found it necessary to give his reply there earlier. Our friends may not agree, but I have had experience of that House also.

Mr. Speaker: Mr. Mukerjee had not, and therefore he feels it!

Shri Ranga: Therefore, I can claim to appreciate their view and attitude. I do not think there is anything wrong in what the Defence Minister has done or in the Parliamentary Affairs Minister allowing the Defence Minister to reply there first when they were fortunate enough to conclude their discussion.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy (Kendrapara): Though I agree in principle with what Mr. Mukerjee has said, on this occasion it was a non-official motion. The Members of both Houses have the right to move a motion at any moment they like. So, it was a non-official motion and they concluded their discussion yesterday. We are continuing the discussion here. So, I do not think any right of this House has been infringed so far as this is concerned.

The Minister of **Parliamentary** Affairs (Shri Satya Narayan Sinha): Since a reference has been made to me, I would like to inform the House and you are also aware, Sir, that according to the schedule we tried our level best that this debate and reply should be finished in this House before it was taken up in the other House. But because the House extended the items of business, therefore, there was no go. We had to do it; otherwise it would have been absolutely difficult. (Interruptions).

Shri Nambiar (Tiruchirapalli): There is not much work in the other House.

Mr. Speaker: Of course, my task has been made easier because hon. Members have answered each other. There is nothing much more to add.

 Shrimati Renu Chakravartty (Barrackpore): Mr. Kamath is silent on this occasion,

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): Only one of us will speak.

The Prime Minister, Minister of External Affairs and Minister Atomic Energy (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru): May I assure hon. Members that it is obvious that there is no deep design on the Government's part to clip the wings of this House? That simply means clipping their own wings and everybody's wings. But sometimes it so happens-you may remember, Sir, that day before yesterday, it was suggested that the Private Members' business might be taken up here today, so as to allow the discussion on the NEFA report to be finished here.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: You could have taken it up on Thursday. Why did you corrode into our time?

Shri Satya Narayan Sinha: It could not be done.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: I am merely saying that there was a suggestion that it may be taken up a day later in order to get the discussion on NEFA report completed here first and that suggestion was rejected by hon. Members opposite and presumably by the House. Therefore, we were forced to do this; we could not ask the other House to adjourn and do nothing for the whole day.

Mr. Speaker: I did not think I have much to say, though I may just say in a lighter manner that we should not behave as co-wives of a helpless husband. Both Houses are sovereign and independent in themselves. They have definite functions to discharge. except of course, special privileges that have been given to this House. First, it was a non-official resolution, as Mr. Dwivedy has said Secondly, the question of budget is a different one. In this case there was no harm if the Minister made a statement there. Of course, the responsibility of the Minister is always there. We have ruled that major statements of policy and other things that do affect very important questions of finance or taxation and others cannot be made there. So far as this is concerned, there is no harm in doing that. Nothing has been done to which we should take exception. My fear is, if we take it up seriously, tomorrow there would be a debate there. That would not look nice. I am rather hesitant to express myself, but the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs would kindly see that this matter is not extended. It should not again be said that there was discussion there and then here. It should end somewhere.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: We should be careful in future.

Shri Kapur Singh (Ludhiana): Who is the husband, Sir, and who are the co-wives?

Mr. Speaker: Husband is the whole Parliament.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: We must be careful about the next years' budget debate.

Mr. Speaker: That we will see. Let us proceed now.

Shri Krishnapal Singh (Jalesar): Sir, I want to bring to your notice that there is my motion for discussion today.

Mr. Speaker: That cannot be taken up. I have told him so many times. I would request him not to raise it here at this moment. He can come to me in the chamber, I will discuss it with him and if he can convince me I will ask the hon. Minister to take it up later in the day.

Shri Krishnapal Singh: That is all that I wanted.

Shri Vasudevan Nair . (Ambalapuzha): Sir, may we know what business we are going to dispose of today? There is a long list on the Order Paper.

Mr. Speaker: Let us decide what we are going to do today. There was a demand that the time may be extended for this discussion. There was also a demand that the motion regarding Public Undertakings must also be taken up.

Shri Ranga: Introduced.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Moved.

Mr. Speaker: Why should we not finish this by 4 o'clock, take up the other motion and finish it even if we have to sit up to 6 o'clock?

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: More time would be necessary for that discussion.

Mr. Speaker: If that is the desire of the House, I certainly would not stand in their way. But I wanted, and perhaps the hon. Members were also anxious, that this should be expedited.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: We wanted it to be moved.

Mr. Speaker: All right. Then 1 will call upon the Minister of Defence at 4.00. Only ten minutes will be allowed to the other Minister to move his motion on Public Undertakings. How long will the Minister of Defence take for his reply?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): 45 minutes.

Mr. Speaker: Then at 4.00 I will call him.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Is the Prime Minister participating in the debate?

Mr. Speaker: That will be seen. Let us proceed now. Shri P. K. Deo may continue his speech. 12.08 hrs.

DISCUSSION ON NEFA ENQUIRY AND MOTION RE, "OUR DEF-ENCE PREPAREDNESS"—contd.

Shri P. K. Deo (Kalahandi): Mr. Speaker, Sir, yesterday I was saying that the Government and our Communist friends use the same language in their approach to the Chinese problem and how their thinking and appraisal of the situation are identical. In that regard I wanted to quote from Shri Dange's speech on 12th September, 1959 on the floor of this House.

He said:

"I guarantee that there shall be no invasion—as far as the Prime Minister is concerned he has said so—with the same confidence I guarantee that there shall be no invasion as far as China is concerned. I cannot talk about others."

Sir, on 14th August, 1962, when on behalf of the Swatantra Party I tabled a substitute motion for consideration when this same subject was being discussed and urged that to streamline our defence efforts we should accept military aid from foreign countries without any strings and also demanded that we should get rid of the then Defence Minister who is the root cause of all trouble, we were ridiculed by the Prime Minister. At that time he said:

"It is quite absurd to talk about China invading India or that China has committed aggression. That is bad enough. We should face it and try to get it vacated. But imagining that she is swooping down the whole of India and swallowing it has, I submit, nothing to do with reality or possibility, even remote possibility of any situation."

Subsequent events have unfolded who is right. In spite of the warning given to the Government from these

[Shri P. K. Deo].

benches no action has been taken, no lesson has been learnt. But the report now says that we are unprepared. I say with all the emphasis at my command that this amounts to culpable negligence or it amounts to deliberate sabotage.

Coming to equipment, to wage war successfully the most essential prerequisite is that we should have adequate weapons and warlike stores. It is our misfortune that we lack most of them. Planning has been watchword since we launched our five-year plans so many years ago, but it had little bearing on defence. effort was made for the production or procurement of essential equipment. Our former Defence Minister claimed that he modernised the Indian Army and built up the army industry. But we all know how he indulged in dubious projects like coffee percolators, room coolers etc. We know that the AVRO-748 programme is much behind schedule. An average aircraft carrier and a cruiser were acquired at a colossal cost with little relevance to the immediate danger. In the 15th and the 16th centuries our rulers at Delhi made the same mistake the Indian Ocean was infested by pirates and Western adventurers, our rulers at Delhi never cared to build a navy to protect the Indian shores. Now when the enemy has been knocking at the door in the northern border over crores of rupees had been spent in strengthening our Navy which is mostly utilised for ceremonial exercises or for goodwill missions.

Time and again we have been told by the Government that our defence efforts are being handicapped by meagre funds. Is it not true that an amount of Rs. 132 crores was surrendered in a period of six years out of the budgeted allotment for the Defence Ministry? If it is closely studied, it will be found that the percentage of surrender goes on increasing. It was 3.2 per cent in 1957-58 and it has risen now to 6.1 per cent.

Regarding communications I beg to submit that our entire communications have been handicapped by lack of roads. The vehicles were old and defectively maintained. Crores rupees have been spent on the infamous Tusker Project which adorns the name of our Prime Minister and of our former Defence Minister the President and the Vice-President. Even though our new Defence Minister has taken charge of the Ministry, his name has not been associated with the Tusker Project and he has got nothing to do with the Tusker Pro-The Tusker Project has been conspicuous by financial irregularities. Roads on the maps are not good unless they actually exist.

Regarding vehicles the less said the better. This morning Shri Kamath has reminded this House about the jeep scandal. We tom-tommed about What happened to its Shaktiman. shakti? We all know how lack of communications has been the major factor in the NEFA debacle. To set up isolated posts without the proper supply line virtually amounts delivering our troops as hostages to That is what actually the enemy. happened.

So far as the system of command is concerned, I will be failing in my duty if I do not point out to this House that so far as the NEFA operation is concerned the difficulty arose when there was a departure from the accepted chain of command. This has been admitted in the report. The hon. Defence Minister has not hesitated to mention this fact in his statement. It is gratifying to note that the general standard of our junior officers was fairly good but at the higher level the shortcomings became more apparent. It is the 4th Indian Division, the crack division of the Indian army, which had fought creditably against Rommel's men and had many victories to its laurels, was routed If India is proud of anything. then India is proud of her fighting men. If you study the pages of history, you will always find that whenever the Indian Army faced any major reverses, it was not due to the fault of the fighting forces, but it was always the Generals and the leaders who had let them down, whether it was at Panipat or it was at Piassey.

To keep up the morale of the Armed Forces is the primary duty of every Government. We have seen how many experienced young Generals have been retired prematurely, and one of them had serious public differences with the then Defence Minister. A Brigadier was promoted overnight, superseding many fellow officers, and he was the person who played the disastrous role as the corps commander in the NEFA operations. Now, we learn that he has been profitably rehabilitated in some company.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order

Shri P. K. Deo: I have not mentioned any name.

Mr. Speaker: The name is so clear that every intelligent person can understand it.

It was in 1960, or 1961 also, when I was the Deputy-Speaker, that a denate had taken place, and Shri Kripalani wanted to mention those names, and I had ruled at that time also that particular names could not be mentioned. We cannot sit here in judgment on what has been done to a particular officer; we cannot decide the promotions of officers or their advancements or the increases in their salaries or other such things. Parliament would not be able to take up those things. So, particular names ought not to be mentioned. All blame might be thrown on Government saying that they have not done this or that....

Shri P. K. Deo: We have to keep up the morale of the Defence Forces.

Mr. Speaker: Even this might be said that suitable persons were not promoted; it might also be said that supersessions have taken place. But

particular persons cannot be named individually.

Shri Ranga (Chittoor): He stands condemned by his own party.

Mr. Speaker: That is a different matter. I am only laying down what the procedure in the Parliament debate should be.

Shri P. K. Deo: The report has been conspicuous by the fact that there was constant interference from Delhi. The report has rightly said that details of operations should have been left to the officers on the spot, but this was never done.

Another thing which completely bewilders me is the announcement of the Prime Minister prior to his departure to Ceylon as to whether it was a political decision or backed by military advice. The hon, Member from Phulpur, our Prime Minister, made a statement that our chaps should not proceed to the Thagla ridge and oust the Chinese military manoeuvers are not to be talked about from platform. When the Indian Army was going to take up an offensive operation at that particular moment, off went the Minister to the United Defence Nations. This was the height of irresponsibility. The only parallel to that can be found in the Roman history; when Rome was burning, Nero was playing with the fiddle. As a result our 2400 seasoned troops had to retreat in utter confusion and were beaten.

My hon. friend Shri Indrajit Gupta has pointed out that it was the friendship of India with the USSR which compelled China to withdraw from Bomdila. Sir, our friendship with Russia is not a new development. It has been continuing ever since. It is unthinkable where we would have been today if there would not have been timely military aid from the U.K. and the U.S.A. This fact has to be accepted. Time and again, we have told in this House that we should take military aid to strengthen and streamline our Armed Forces but it

[Shri P. K. Deo]

is now proved that it is the timely military aid from the U.K. and the U.S. A. and the replacement of the former Defence Minister by a more determined one which have changed the course of the war and put a halt to the Chinese advance. If action would have been taken earlier, probably, this catastrophe would have been obviated.

Regarding military intelligence, there have been no two opinions that there have been grave shortcomings in this regard. If the intelligence would have worked efficiently, then the report would not have said that the Chinese attack was sudden. Without intelligence, it means that you blindfold the Army and send them to fight. I do not know how it would be possible for them to fight.

In this connection, I would like to draw your attention to a statement made by the Prime Minister on the 10th December 1962, that is, after the debacle in which he says:

"I think, on the whole, our intelligence has been first class."

Shri Ranga: He is always 'on the whole'.

Shri P. K. Deo: If it was first class, the report would never have said that the Chinese attack was sudden. know that in this country there has been a network of Chinese spies. In reply to a question, we came to know that some Chinese nationals were in the Indian army. The Chinese eating houses, Chinese dry cleaning shops and beauty parlours have multiplied in recent years. The People's Publishing House, which publishes the communist mouthpiece, had an account in the Bank of China. Still some wing of the Communist Party get their inspiration from China. is a Chinese lobby in this country. That fact cannot be denied.

In this respect, I would submit that our intelligence has to be streamlined.

The Defence Minister has rightly said that lessons had been learnt in NEFA. I am glad he has been taking corrective steps. He hails from the land of Shivaji. His patriotic words when he left his post in Bombay and came here to take charge still ring in my ears and create enthusiasm in the country. We expect lots from him.

Before I conclude, I would make some suggestions. I suggest that the powers of the Supreme Commander, that is, our President, who is just a figurehead in military matters now, should be vitalised. He should take more interest in the affairs of the armed forces as in the United States of America where the President is authorised to look into the disposition and movement of troops even though Congress raises the army and sanctions expenditure for it.

Secondly, there should be a Defence Council established. It should weigh the various defence needs objectively and independently. It should be free from executive fiat and it should express its opinion freely in military affairs.

Thirdly, the three services should be co-ordinated—some sort of integration of the defence services as has been done in UK according to the British White Paper of July 1963. The Chiefs of Staff should be free from file work. They should think more about military problems, tactics, training of troops, their disposition, vulnerability etc. etc.

Fourthly, our defence machinery should be geared up to meet any sudden attack. If—God forbid—there is an attack, it may be a simultaneous one by Pakistan and China. So there should be rethinking in this regard.

At the same time, our policy of nonalignment may be reviewed in this context. The country has paid adequate price for the mistakes the voters of North Bombay have committed.

If the House is anxious that there should be no repetition of such a debacle, if the House is anxious that the scar of humiliation should be effaced from the body of this country, if the House is anxious that the lost battle against China should be won, if the House is anxious that the lost honour should be retrieved, our lost land retaken and our solemn pledge of November 14 redeemed, the only imperative necessity is the appointment of a high power commission to be presided over by a Supreme Court Judge to inquire into the responsibility of the former Defence Minister and former Chief of the Army Staff and to recommend reforms which are needed to develop and strengthen our defence forces and to effect Cabinet and parliamentary control on policy and organisation.

Shri K. C. Pant (Naini Tal): two statements of the Defence Minister which have been before the House since the day before yesterday had been awaited with intense eagerness, tempered in some measure with scepticism, because the subject lends itself so invitingly to hush-hush treatment. However, the Defence. Minister has been refreshingly forthright in uncovering the weak spots, whether in organisation, in execution or in planning. This readiness to accept the mistakes of the past inspires confidence in the Government's determination to put matters right as quickly as possible. That this determination is matched by capacity is evident from the Defence Minister's second statement which makes out a convincing case in support of his claim that the lessons of the NEFA debacle have been learnt well.

On the whole, both these statements have been rather well received by the press and the public. This is not only because they have a ring of honesty about them, but because consistent with the nation's security, they are as comprehensive as they could reasonably be expected to be. I am sure the House will welcome this evidence of the Government's intention to associate

Parliament more and more in matters connected with defence, and I hepe the Defence Minister will continue to take this House into his confidence from time to time in the same spirit of candour in matters pertaining to the defence preparedness of the country. This would go a long way to relieving anxiety in the country on this score and may well act as a tonic as it has done in the present case.

The statement on the NEFA reverses can be broken up roughly into three parts (1) relating to purely technical military matters like equipment, system of command etc. (2) intelligence and (3) the political aspects including the important question of equipment. I do not propose to go into the technical aspects except to welcome in passing the various corrective steps outlined in the second statement, as for example, the measures taken to expand the armed forces and their training facilities to give that training necessary slant to cope with another confrontation with China and to ensure that the accepted chain of command functions undisturbed. All these measures we heartily welcome.

As for our intelligence system, it seems to be in a sorry state of disrepair. Its performance has found wanting on all counts-collection, evaluation and dissemination. Intelligence is a delicate subject and one would like to avoid it. But its breakdown is so clearly one of the basic factors responsible for the NEFA debacle that we cannot do so. After Chinese military preparations the border must have been going on on a fairly large scale over a longish period of time before the attack actually came. And yet our intelligence was unable to provide a clear picture of the Chinese build-up. There will be general agreement with the Defence "a major Minister's conclusion that overhauling of the intelligence system is required". There is need not only to broaden the base of our intelligence system and to give a more positive role to military intelligence but to clearly demarcate the jurisdiction of civil and

[Shri K. C. Pant]

military intelligence so that neither can encroach upon the territory of the other. At the same time, there should be greater co-ordination between the various agencies dealing with civil intelligence and a closer liaison between the civil and military intelligence wings.

There has been some adverse comment both on the floor of the House and in the statement on the role of senior commanders in last year's operations. Shri Prakash Vir Shastri and Shri P. K. Deo referred to it. I refer in particular to the Defence Minister's remark that it was at higher levels, i.e., higher than Brigadier, that shortcomings, that is shortcomings in commanders, became more apparent. Read out of context, this statement would seem to cast a sweeping aspersion on our senior army officers. However, as the Defence Minister has explained, the Indian Army as a whole was really not tested, and only about 24,000 of our troops were really involved in fighting in Ladakh and NEFA. Even in this limited encounter, our troops gave a good account of themselves in Ladhak and the Lohit Division of NEFA. So, there were good commanders and bad commanders even among the few who took part in these operations. As for those who did not, this criticism obviously does not apply to them.

In considering this matter, we should not lose sight of the historical background. The Indian Army was a successor to the British Army. In min days, Indian officers were neiwer trusted fully, nor were they promoted to the higher echelons or command. An Indian officer in those days could hope to go up to the rank of a Lt. Col. at the highest. When British left India in the wake of partition, the truncated Indian Army had but a handful of Indian officers, and these naturally had to fill the vacuum created by the withdrawal of senior British army officers from the Indian Army. This was an inevitable, and, indeed, a most desirable development,

but it had an unwanted side-effect in that these officers, who were thus rapidly promoted did not all get a chance to acquire the normal amount of command experience at the intermediate levels of command. It really at these levels that a Commander can extend this authority by stages in a natural way over progressively larger bodies of men through bonds of loyalty and confidence woven out of the threads of shared experience. At still higher levels, the Commander's duties leave him no time or opportunity to remain in close touch with his troops. So, it is possible that some of our high-ranking officers may have acquired a handicap in the course of their service through no fault of their own. In spite of this handicap, some of them may be excellent commanders, but the factual background should not be lost sight of.

As regards the general question of defence preparedness, it must be kept in mind that in the past our Army was mainly oriented towards a conflict with Pakistan. This was only natural under the circumstances. It should not be forgotten that till but an year ago, China was not openly, actively hostile in the sense that Pakistan was. I wonder if any one in the country. including my hon, friend Shri Prakash Vir Shastri, would have placed Pakistan below China in the list of defence priorities at that stage. In any case, in view of Pakistan's recent marriage of sin with China, it was just as well that we did not take any military chances with a trigger-happy Pakistan.

If this view is accepted, the only question that remains is to what extent could we have prepared for a conflict with China without weakening our defences against Pakistan? I think it is true to say that if we had not given China more benefit of doubt than she deserved, the country would have been psychologically better prepared than it was to face the massive aggression on.

74861

our soil by China. But it is no less true to say that the most brilliant anticipation of events would not by itself have added greatly, or I should say sufficiently, to our actual military preparedness, which is closely related to the industrial potential of the country and the resources allocated to The factor of rescurces is defence. decisive, and as we have now seen, the resources required are staggeringly large for a poor country like ours, the generous assistance of friendly countries notwithstanding, Friends opposite might still say: what of that, what the Government is doing today could have been done four years ago. But could it? That is the question. And to what extent could the Government have counted upon the active co-operation of the Opposition in this task?

Discussion on

It is less than a year ago that the Chinese armies cut into our land, and the lesson seems to have been forgotten already. Processions are organised against taxes-we have seen a number of them in recent weeks-signatures are being collected, and there is even talk of openly inciting people not to pay taxes. This is a peculiar way of strengthening our defence prepared-And if this is the attitude of the Opposition parties today, when one invasion has taken place and the enemy seems menacingly poised for another, do they expect the country to believe that their behaviour would have been less obstructionist our years ago, long before the outbreak of hostilities? Let those who are engaged in this dangerous competition of anti-tax processions and agitations in the midst of the gathering clouds of war, search their hearts and give us an answer.

As regards equipment, I shall restrict myself to welcoming the doubling of the rate of production in our ordnance factories since the emergency, and to a few words regarding the project to manufacture MIGs in India. Shri Prakash Vir Shastri also referred to it. The scheme is to have two factories, one at Nasik to manufacture the fuselage and the other at Koraput in Orissa to manufacture the engines. I agree

with Shri Prakash Vir Shastri that since the body and engine must be exactly tailor made to suit each other, one would have expected that both the factories would have been located under the same roof, or at least near each other, so that frequent consultations would be possible and some of the overheads could be shared. Why two extremities of India were chosen to locate these two complementary units is difficult to understand, and, as far as I can see, it makes the whole project basically inefficient.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): Pressure from Orissa's Chief Minister.

Shri K. C. Pant: I should like briefly to endorse some of the suggestions made by hon, friend Shri Ehakt Darshan yesterday. In his constructive speech, he spoke of the need to expedite the formation of hill divisions and to give preference to the hill people familiar with the terrain and acclimatised by nature in the recruitment to these divisions. He also pleaded for the establishment of mountain warfare schools in different sectors Himalayas. He further referred to the intrusion of Chinese planes into the middle sector. I share his concern over these intrusions, and over the possible Chinese intentions behind these intrusions. On my part, I should like to see every able-bodied person on the border given compulsory military training. Many of them have marrial traditions, and if they are given the training and good arms, I am sure they would be a source of strength to the country in defending itself.

In the end, may I say that this enquiry should be regarded, not as a post mortem, but as a diagnosis. It is but an incident in a developing situation. Listening to my hon. friend Shri Prakash Vir Shastri yesterday, I could not help feeling that he wasted too much of his golden eloquence in flogging a dead horse. The real usefulness of the NEFA enquiry lies not in fault-finding, but in paving the way to a

[Shri K. C. Pant].

proper reconditioning of the armed forces vis-a-vis the task they face on the country's borders. It is on this aspect and on the efforts made by Government since November last to make good the deficiencies of the Army that the debate in this House could most purposefully focus the attention of the country.

Before I sit down, may I quote a few words of wisdom addressed by Sir Winston Churchill to the House of Commons in June, 1940, after the shattering military disaster of Dunkirk. This is what he said, and what he said has a lesson for us too:

"I am not reciting these facts for the purpose of recrimination. That I judge to be utterly futile and even harmful. We cannot afford it.

"Now I put all this aside. I put it on the shelf, from which the historians, when they have time, will select their documents to tell their stories. We have to think of the future and not of the past. There are many who would hold an inquest in the House of Commons on the conduct of the Governments,—and of Parliaments, for they are in it too,—during the years which led up to this catastrophe.

"They seek to indict those who were responsible for the guidance of our affairs. This also would be a foolish and pernicious process. Of this I am quite sure, that if we open a quarrel between the past and the present, we shall find that we have lost the future."

Shri Heda (Nizamabad): Mr. Speaker, what surprises me most is that two basic factors are ignored by the Opposition when speaking on NEFA or similar matters: They are the duration and the course of this war. A massive attack on our soil by Chinese Army took place in October last. I happened to be in Cainsville

in Florida at that time and they asked my reaction. I said that war between China and India had started; it is not going to last less than five years; it may last even 25 years. So, we have to think of this affair, not as a single incident which is complete or which has ended but we have to think NEFA or Sela or Bomdila as one of the first event in the war that is going on between China and India. In the initial stages it is the aggressor who has got the obvious advantages. He is well prepared for it. However mighty or armed an army might be, it cannot have that advantage which an aggressor has got as the Chinese were aggressors, they got those advantages. So, the reverses we had at Bomdila or Sela should not be exaggerated.

The second factor is the character of our Army. Our Army is known for its daring, valour and courageous stand. If you happen to be in the UK, every other man seems to know something about our Army; they have a high word for the Fourth Division: it has a great name all over the world. The point is that this division or for that matter the entire Army was formed not for defensive purposes but rather for offensive purposes. It went Africa, Europe, Burma, Singapore, Malaya and so many places to take offensive: it knew to fire and march forward. Here, for the first time they were confronted with a situation where they had to sit, stand and wait and then fire. This was an entirely now strategy which they had to face and in my opinion they faced it very well. You cannot mention Sela and forget Chushul In Chushul we fared far better and we showed our superiority, courage and valour because there we had the experience and we had been entrenched for a long time. But at Sela, 14000 feet high altitude, the Army had hardly reached that place when they had to face difficulties. At such altitudes it is not so easy to acclimatise oneself, especially for people from the plains.

Then why did the Chinese cease fire? No Member of the Opposition dealt with it. After covering such a long terrain and reaching Sela or even Foothills, why did they not march forward? The reason is: they saw how the country got agitated and stood as one man; it was not bullied and it took a firm decision to fight back. The Chinese had therefore no other alternative but to cease fire. They had sent a good number of forces upto Sela: their supply line between Sela and Walong was very thin and therefore it was not possible for them to hold a long time.

So many stories have been said by friends from the Opposition and I was surprised that they did not refer to the most important part. I do not know whether it is a fact or not. That is immaterial but what is material is how people feel and react to it. Is it not in the knowledge of Mr. Prakash Vir Shastri that when Gen. Chaudhuri was appointed, his first task was to ffy to Gauhati and to ask our Army men to go back to Tezpur. He brushed the question of shifting the headquarters from Tezpur to Siliguri. This was an act which created a tremendous feeling in Army, in Gauhati also: I was in Assam for more than a fortnight along with other Members of Parliament. It had a great impact on our Army and the civilian population and they were prepared to give a very good fight. I know General Chaudhuri well as he had been in Hyderabad for a long time. I casually met him but did not try to find out the truth because what is material is the impression you create. On that day when Chaudhuri came on the scene and took the command, the entire psychology was changed and they were confident that they would go on from victory to victory.

In this context, you will have to see certain other things too. My hon friend Shri Bhakt Dharshan referred to the recruitment. For some historical reasons, so good and some not so good, the British Government recruited people for the Army from a partied

cular region and some particular castes. Now a days, we find the same thing. A number of persons from other areas were refused though their health was good and they had the necessary height, chest measurements, etc., and they were quite satisfactory. When people from Assam demanded a recruiting centre, a centre was started but again recruitment did not take place.

Shrimati Jyotsna Chanda (Cachar): Recruitment is going on in Assam.

Shri Heda: I am saying that the number recruited is not satisfactory. There is a good reason for it. What I am saying is that when the people from the new regions, from where the recruitment was not taking place, offer themselves, we should give them preference. When people from the new castes and communities which were not known as martial communities or castes come forward, we should give some preference to them because they are the people who have departed from their ordinary run of life, the life of their ancestors, and they want to join the army to serve the country and die for the country. Therefore, that enthusiasm and determination goes very long. From this angle, we should make the necessary changes in this regard.

No doubt, what happened at Sela or Bomdila was not a good thing. But it agitated the entire country. I found a very important change coming among the people of Assam. The people of Assam, as you know, had never seen war for the last many centuries, may be 10 or 20 centuries. Even in the last war, Netaji did come there with the Indian National Army for a short time . . . (interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Heda: Netaji did come there, and even the Britishers and American soldiers were stationed there. But the actual fight which Punjab had experienced, which Rajasthan had experi-

[Shri Heda]

enced, which these border States had experienced, has not been experienced by Assam. I am not casting any aspersion. I come from a State which also did not experience any war for the last many centuries. So, that is not the point. The point is that the people have a particular outlook in a border State and the people have a different outlook in a State which is not a border State.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. There is talking across the Benches.

Shri Heda: Now, Assam has become a border State and I am very happy to find that Assam has got an outlook of a border State which is prepared to die for the country, and it is becoming a martial race. I am quite certain that in the future annals of our history, Assam will have a great role. The Assamese generally are peaceloving by nature. But today the Assamese is entirely different. He has awakened; he is conscious of his weakness; he has the preparedness to defend, and he is anxious to overcome any difficulty. If you look at any young Assamese boy or girl, you will feel convinced that Assam has entirely changed today. Their youth is fearless and is ready to face any eventuality. The Assam State can be a good ground for recruitment to our army, navy and air force. They have some of our finest talents. The people are already awake and are in a militant mood. They are happy to sacrifice their all for the freedom of the country. This is a very big advantage. I am quite certain that the psychology created in Assam and all over the country has been a great boon, and with that psychology as an asset, the future course of the war between India and China-history will see and we will see -will be in favour of us, and we will show ourselves far better.

Shri Nath Pai (Rajapur): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I feel no sense of elation or enthusiasm in participating in today's debate because the subjectmatter of today's discussion is perhaps

the saddest chapter in the history of free India. We are discussing the failure of the Government to prevent aggression and to defend the frontiers of the country. It is true that we do not in anyway share the blame or responsibility for the tragedy that befell our country; nonetheless the stigma of dishonour on our motherland attaches and clings to all of us alike. I am, however, encouraged to speak for, there is the old adage of our forefathers who have said this—and that it the natural difficulty in which many of us find ourselves today:

मुलभाः प्रवाः राजन् सत्तं प्रियवादिन्ः क्रिप्रिटस्य पथ्यस्य च वक्ता श्रीता च दर्लभः"।।

I trust that the Defence Minister will be taking the few submissions that I have to make to him in this light.

Though the Defence Minister tried to take this House into confidence and to make available to us some of the findings, he was, I think, held back by his loyalty to his colleagues. But I want this to be submitted you: that it is the right of this House to be told the whole truth. I am not going to defend my case or base my plea on the promise the Prime Minister made on the floor of the Rajya Sabha alone, but I do plead that Parliament is entitled to know the whole truth. And his persistence in withholding from Parliament all that it is entitled to know, apart from being an insupportable encroachment on Parliament's prerogatives and perhaps a calculated contempt of its authority, only strengthens the suspicion that the Government dare not tell the people the whole truth and face the consequences. The Government have vested interest in suppressing the truth, and the specious and unconvincing plea is used-the plea of national interests is used-to shield the Government's own vulnerability to the charge of having failed in its elementary duty to defend this country.

Having said this, I would like to ask the Defence Minister a few questions which arise out of the statement which he has placed on the Table of the House. First, were all those who were concerned with the defence of country during those fateful were all of them-examined by this Committee? Was the Prime Minister examined? Was the then Defence Minister examined? It is true that minutes are maintained, no are maintained, of some very important top-level conferences that took place among the Defence Minister and senior military officials. I would like to know what transpired at a meeting on the 17th October. What was the estimate made? No security is involved in this, and I am going to talk something about security.

I should also like to get an answer to this question: were all relevant documents made available to this commission? Had they access to all the relevant material? Were anybody who wanted to depose allowed to depose before this commission? And finally, what does the commission tell us, apart from what has been included in the statement of the present Defence Minister? Does the commission fasten any responsibility on anybody for what has happened? Does it completely absolve political leadership for the debacle in NEFA? If at all he holds himself responsible, the answers must be provided to these questions, and it is for this reason that I tell him once again: Shri Chavan yesterday, with a degree of success, has resisted this demand for a further enquiry. I would plead with him that this country will not content, you will not have heard the last on the demand for an enquiry, till a full presidential enquiry is instituted and we all know all that has happened.

Do not level this charge of witchhunting. Witch-hunting, persecution, victimisation, are against the grain of our national character. It runs counter; it is repugnant to the spirit of tolerance which permeates our democracy. It is only because we seek to put an end to witch-hunting, because we want that the clouds of suspicion and doubt do not fall on those

who may be innocent, that we demand this full enquiry. It is the only way of defending those who may be innocent. Let us not have witch-hunting; it is bad but white-washing is worse. But I would like to tell the Government that in war ultimately discipline and morale of the army are part of whole defence apparatus. What is going to happen to their morale, to the discipline which is geared to this apparatus? Already I hear the impression is getting round that when General may lose the battle-all that happens is this-he will be transferred from one job to another job. This will be an extremely dangerous precedent. I am not going to talk about any individual. It has never interested me in the past; it does not interest me now. But these are matters of very vital import to us. They cannot be brushed aside by raising a false plea.

However, what the report discloses is such an alarming, shocking state of unpreparedness that imagination shudders at the contemplation of what the whole truth may be like. In brief, this statement of the Defence Minister admits that because of sheer incompetence, because of an almost obstinacy and immeasurable irresponsibility on the part of those who were charged with the defence of the country, the once proud army was subjected to the humiliation of being beaten on its own soil. The nation's pride was wounded; its honour compromised, its very existence as a free nation endangered. Mr. Chavan's statement admits that such an injury was inflicted on this nation, but it refuses to disclose who were responsible for this injury and this anxiety is there under pretext of national security. This anxiety to shield the guilty is paraded as being the paramount necessity of national security. The public must be kept in the dark in public interestindeed extraordinary logic!

13 hrs.

About security, I should like to ask a few pertinent questions. The Prime

[Shri Nath Pail

Minister speaking in the debate foreign affairs observed that there is a China lobby in this country. He deplored it by saying "unfortunately, there is a China lobby in this country". The strongest expression this master English language can find to describe the existence of organised treason is "unfortunate". It is our misfortune that his reactions to these vital matters like treason and defence have of late become so lukewarm, so watery. would like to ask him now this thing about this China lobby. Is it not fact, or are we once again indulging in witch-hunting, that there is a China lobby working in the Foreign Ministry? Would he deny that there is China lobby working-I will quote somebody now-in the Defence Ministry? Would this Government be bold enough to tell the people of this country that there is not a Chinese cell, a pro-Chinese cell in every secretariat, active, organised, and masterminded? Mr. Rawle Knox...(Interruptions.)

I heard this kind of absurd interruptions; the same little group interrupted me, when I was speaking on the same defence debate. When I said that there was no ammunition, no command, no shoes and no arms, they got up in a chorus and said, "No, no, no". Mr. Chavan had to say "Yes, yes, yes" after six mon.hs. So, be careful before interrupting me.

I would like to say also that this security business is raised to rob Parliament of its right. But the rest of the world knows-we are not happy that it knows, but it is a fact that the rest of the world knows. I want a categorical answer from the Defence Minister about this disclosure which first appeared in a Bombay paper, and we were all worried. We have nothing to do with the ethics or politics of any paper. But when a matter touching upon the defence of the country is involved, we all get necessarily agitated and concerned. It was said that the photostat copy of a certain map pointing some of our new airstrips, which were being built, including where the new radar instal ations will be put up was made available. It is now denied by one Ministry that any such map was made. I would like to know from the Defence Minister whether the map existed or not and whether it smuggled out or not. If it is so, why do you try to protect anybody by saying that they are not existing? Whose purpose is served by these interruptions, I do not understand. We can all I think, in having be together, interest in security, in seeing that no potential enemy knows anything about our country.

I should now like to come to another aspect. From the Defence Minister's pertinent points statement, certain arise. Mr. Chavan's statement, pinpointing this extraordinary state of unpreparedness of this country, says that there were no arms even training, much less for defence; there was no proper orientation; there was no transport; there were no proper roads, no equipment, no intelligence, no leadership, no command. All these e.ements which make up the complex pattern of the apparatus of modern defence were missing. Nothing was available, which forms the fighting arm of the country. Only, we had a very active Defence Minister and a very expensive Defence Ministry! In spite of these vital deficiencies, each of which would have destroyed fighting capacity of any army, soldiers fought valiant'y and bravely at Walong and Chushul against a foe, against an adversary who was better equipped and had every advantage in inclement weather and in an unaccu-That is a matter on stomed terrain. which the whole nation can be legitimately proud. It only shows basically our armed forces are sound and are capable of great deeds of heroism and sacrifice in the honour of our country, provided they are properly led and properly equipped.

The report admits an extraordinary state of unpreparedness so far as the

Motion re "Our Defence"
Preparedness"

defence of the country is concerned. How did it come to happen? Prime Minister, whenever an occasion came, was assuring the country how thoroughly we were prepared. Some Members have already referred to it. Those words were ringing in my ears. because I took comfort when he told us that the House need not be anxious. I remember in reply to a question of mine in April, 1962, he said-the next banner headline. day it became because everybody was happy to have this assurance from his lips-when I asked, "What is your overall estimate? Where do we stand with regard this danger?", he said, "Broadly speaking, generally speaking, the military balance has tilted in our favour" That was said in April, 1962. May I

"We have always attached a great importance to NEFA border for various reasons and we have succeeded in protecting it adequately."

read out the whole thing? He said,

How well it was protected till the hour of trial came! Till then it was very ably protected. Time and again we were having these assurances:

"Nobody is left unconnected with the base and therefore weakened. Everything is being done If I may make a broad statement, I think the situation has broad y changed progressively in our favour."

This is another statement.

I must be fair to the man principally charged with our defence. It will be the height of impertinence not to refer to the assurances given by the then Defence Minister. The then Defence Minister told the Rajya Sabha:

"With regard to explosives, for the first time, this country is likely to be self-sufficient."

Shri Krishna Menon, in the Lok Sabha debate on 9th April, said:

"Therefore, to say that Government is oblivious of these concern, that there are no shoes, no warm clothing, to say such a thing is improper."

matters, that it shows lack

Every time an anxious enquiry was made by this side—of course, I have to draw a line somewhere here—either we were ridiculed or a false kind of statement was made that everything was all right.

May I now ask, who perpetuated this tremendous fraud on this country that the country was prepared to take up any enemy from any quarter? Now, they come round and tell usthree different pleas are advanced: (a) We did not have the money; (b) we were taken by surprise; and (c) the army command was Sandhurstoriented. I want to raise four pertinent questions today. Who underestimated the Chinese menace? Who kept on telling the country that the country was prepared? Who was responsible for the state of complete unpreparedness later on discovered? Who then struck a posture of impregnability, of being almighty in face of this unpreparedness?

I will take up the question of money now. Mr. Chavan has referred to it yesterday and made my task easy. He said, it is true we return money year after year, but every Ministry that. Is that an adequate explana-tion? Is the responsibility of other Ministries as onerous as that of your office? Is it the same thing to us that the money is returned because a factory was not built and money is returned because a strategic road is not built? Are these two things on par? For nine years, money was being returned at the rate—I have calculated it-of 7 per cent of grants that were voted by this House, on an average. But that is not charge. The main thing is again and again, the Prime Minister and the Defence Minister and every spokesman and everybody who takes up the responsibility on himself of defending the Prime Minister, tells us that had to make a choice between prior-

[Shri Nath Pai]

ities for defence and for development. When did they come to this House? When did they come with a blueprint, with a White Paper giving the details of their requirements? When did this House commit the crime saying "no" to them? When did we reduce even by a single naya paisa the demands of the Defence Ministry? This remains perhaps the only Parliament in the world where every grant of the Defence Ministry has been voted unanimously for not one year but for 17 long years. But still they will come round and tell us that we do not have the money. Did you ask for it? Did we deny it? Were you ever short? But the plea is raised. What a sense of gratitude and fairplay to this House which has been so co-operative with the Government in these matters!

Now, there is this plea about being taken by surprise. 12 years of warnings are not sufficient for this Government to be awakened to its sense of duty. The Prime Minister said, in a quotation which I referred to earlier, that we were seized of this question from 1950. May I now ask a few questions? Can this plea of the Prime Minister made in the House that we were taking note and living up to it since 1950 be reconciled with the present claim of the Government that we were taken by surprise? Was not the warning given by the rape of Tibet a warning to this country? Were not the outrages committed at Kinzemane, Longju, Bara Hoti and Chip Chap Valley enough warnings to us? Was not bloodshed caused in the Chip Chap Valley when Karan Singh his brave so diers were ambushed warning in 1959? Were not there the writings of Mao Tse-tung? Did we completely forget the first message he sent to the then chief of the Commun-"We hope ist Party, Mr. Ranadive: before long your country liberated"? What did it mean, sending a message to the then chief of Communist Party, after the Republic of India is proclaimed, saying "We hope your country will be liberated

Have not we read that Mr. Mao Tse-tung in one of his writings said that China will reclaim her old territories of Bhutan, Nepal and Sikkim, and China will resurrect itself? Had he not been telling us how proposes to do it? And, what about the maps which were being changed demanding greater and greater chunks of our national territory? these not warnings? After 12 years of possible manifestation every the enemy's evil intentowards this country. after 12 years of his mounting enmity, of his increasing bellicosity, this Government has the check to turn round and tell us that we were taken surprise by the suddenness of the enemy's attack. Dig they expect the Chinese to announce their intention with a beat of crums as we are used to announce the arrival of our leaders in the villages? The Chinese done everything possible to warn us.

The truth of the matter is that this-Government did not understand, did not realise, did not grasp the true dimensions and the magnitude. true significance and the meaning of the menace posed by Chinese expansionism. The reasons are very simpeople; They are nateligent ple. among the most intelligent people in the world we can rank some of them. But what happened then? Why did they in spite of all this allow themselves to be so miserably misled Chinese professings of friendship? very simple. They The reason is were the victims of their own slogan. They were prisoners of their political preferences and prejudices. They were paralysed by their pusilianimity, they were hypnotised by their credulity, by their gullibility. It was the failure of our political leadership from which flow our military failures. These military follures were inescapable corollanes to the political myopia and jaundice from which leadership was ther suffering. Government had no military appreciation of the danger, of the challenge from China. They sailed to ask themselves the most obvious questions and

adopt the most elementary precautions and measures. They never aske! themselves what arybody could have asked theriselves.

How was the average Indian, when this development 'ork place, reacting? When the tragedy of Karan Singh and his jawang was known, I know how an average Indian 'c't, how a typica. Indian fe't. He felt the shame of it, he felt the anger c it, he felt the challenge of it. A very young post of Maha wentra has put this in these two lines - pardon me Sir, for quoting my mother tongue:

> "श्रमिमान धरूं बरिदान कड़े ध्वज ऊंच ऊंच चर्त्र उत्त अत्वः उत्तर गोमा इंच इच लड़ हूं"

Those leaders who used to inspire us have ceased to inspire us any but it would have been wonderful if we would have found an echo of it, of what an average Indian was fee!. ing, in the minds, in the hearts o! some of our leaders. But even that was denied to us The Government, as I was soling, did not understand the Chinese menace. It never asked this simple question: what are the enemy's aims and objectives, what is his economic po entire, what is industrial potential, what is his strategy, what are his techniques. long can he wage a war and long can be hold the war. Logistically It is possible to make out rep ies to all these questions and prepare adequately. But there was no military appreciation and here was therefore preparation.

Then what happened, I would like to ask. The result 's known Did not the army headquarters order an exercise in 1959? Did not Eastern Command carry on, what is called, an army exercise? What were ? What were the the conclusion; of inferences drawn? What were lessons drawn? What were the demands that these aimy exercises made assuming that the Chinese would

have attacked? Whether the Chinese would attack or not was left to political leadership to decide. But the army men recommended that if the enemy attacked our forward positions would crumble and ultimately wang was bound to fall. They also suggested how they could prevent it. What happened to those recommendations? Who filed it as irresponsible, non-sense? Who rubbish holed them and who caught us napping? We want answers to questions. This is not witch-hunting for us, this is our legitimate right to know. We want to know what happened? How were we caught napping? How were we submitted to this humiliation of being beaten easily?

When Namka Chu fell-may I take your indulgence, Sir-I do not know if the agony and anguish humiliation was felt by our leaders as keen.y as an average Indian was feeling when the tragedy of Namka Chu river went like a shudder the heart of India. A young poetess puts it in these words. These are the words of the soldiers massacred there.

"We die unsuccoured, helpless, We were your soldiers, men of bravery and drive.

Yet we die like animals, trapped in a cage with no escape,

Massacred at will, denied the dignity of a battle,

With the cold burning flame of anger and resolution,

With the courage both of the living and the dead,

Redeeming the un-redeemable sacrifice in freedom and integrity, This is your inheritance, this is our unwritten epitaph."

This is what happened at Namka Chu. Was it necessary it should have happened? Four committees went through the problem of the Chinese threat and tried to warn the country.

[Shri Nath Pai]

No preparations were made, and it is no surprise that we came to the debaele, to the defeat to which we were submitted.

They say that we were unprepared. May I ask one question? Is it not fact that the late Dr. Rajendra Prasad after his tour of South East Asia and, particularly, of Japan, gave a warning to the Government regarding the intentions of China? Was it heeded? Was it taken into consideration? Did we try to act upon it? The truth of the matter here again is that we were not prepared because we did not want to be prepared, we did not like to be prepared, we were afraid of prepared we were afraid that our preparations will provoke the Chinese. And, that was the main hindrance, fear of provoking China by being prepared for our defence. Added to this double-mindedness regarding China was this concern about world peace. The Government was constantly suffering from this anxiety that a Sino-Indian conflict may somehow trigger off a wor'd conflagration. Our Government had done well in preventing many a flare-up in quite a few parts of the world. The pursuit of was a legitimate aim. But we should have always remembered that pursuit of peace near at home was rewarded by the unrelenting, treacherous enmity of China. We said that if we want to preserve peace we must be ready for war. We remembered this truism in relation to our policy with Pakistan. But when it came to China we were hypnotised into inactivity by our concern that this may somehow bring the whole world into the holocaust of nuclear war. This was decaiving ourselves; this was battering ourselves, that is, that what we do to defend our border somehow could bring out on the head of the world a whole world war, a nuclear war.

What was happening was that somehow a once vibrant....

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member's me is up. Shri Nath Pai: I would claim a few minutes more with you because I would like to say something about the other statement also. I was taiking so far about the first statement that Shri Chavan made and you would like me to take some note of the other statement too. But I will be very brief now and try to conclude.

Preparedness"

I was saying as to what happened to this once vibrant, vital leadership. May I say in all humility that one gets the impression—all the time I began to get it and feel worried when from the lips of the hon. Prime Minister I heard that not a blade of grass grows there on the Himalaya.

इमां सागरपर्यताम हिमवत् विध्यक्ंडलाम्

That is how my forefathers and his forefathers sang about this land and when we heard that this prayer is to be put to ridicule by saying "not a blade of grass grows", it came as What happened shock. was that there was a thrombosis of the will on the part of some of our leaders. There was a thickening of the emotional arteries and that was the cause of ail the mischief, all the misfortune all the tragedy that befell our country. It was this thickening of emotional arteries and this thrombosis of the will on the part of our leadership.

Now I will turn, having said this, to the future. Shri Chavan has made a statement regarding our preparation also. I would like to ask a questions. After eleven months negotiations and after a dozen delegations the fact remains that we do not have a single interceptor plane worth the name which is an addition to what we had before the invasion came. He has made a good beginning, but I must say this. Let us not deceive ourselves with the illusion that massive aid is coming from anywhere. We will have to depend on ourselves. But we must be here again careful of insidious propaganda regarding selfsufficiency. This plea of self-sufficiency can be seductively tempting and must beware that we do not fall once again into the trap of being unprepared in the garb of being told this is self-sufficiency. We/must get in the meanwhile arms and weapons wherever we can get them from subject of course to our national selfrespect. We want nobody's nobody's charity but what we afford we must try to get from every where and every corner. That should be the policy with regard to it.

Regarding the whole defence apparatus, I would like to plead with the hon Defence Minister that must have a new approach to the entire Himalayan belt. Too long we have neglected that belt. Now we must try to infuse new life and new spirit. The people in that area must not be treated as we have tended to treat them so far. The Verrier Elwin philosophy must be abandoned what-ever the loyalty of the hon. Prime Minister to that philosophy. It has done great damage to this country. These people must be integrated into the rest of the country. They must be invited to join in this mighty adventure of defending their and our common motherland. That will be one aspect

It is my estimate-I claim no authority at all to be a military expertthat on an average if we want meet significantly, purposefully and meaningfully the twin challenge from China and Pakistan, we shall have to make the sacrifices even if it may mean a tightening of the belt raising at least 14 to 16 combat ready divisions. Then only we will be able to give a meaningful reply to machinations of the Chinese and their new allies the Pakistanis.

May I say that it is absolutely necessary that we give up our pathetic belief that by talking the language of reasonableness we will bring China to the path of sweet reason. You and I believe and worship at the shrine of the Buddha and of Krishna. The enemy believes that power speaks through the barrel of the gun

that war is a continuation of polities by other means. He worships at the shrine of Clausewitz and Machiavelli.

I will conclude by saying this only. Let us try to recapture the spirit of November 1962, the spirit of defiance in the face of defeat, the spirit of unbending will, the spirit of an breakable resolve to fight unto victory is won. Let the hon. Defence Minister remember this thing. Let him not allow himslf to be deterred detracted by insidious propaganda. He is facing a chastened India but awakened India. This nation now ready to make any sacrifices provided the sacrifices are demanded to redeem the tarnished honour of country and not to bolster and sustain in office and authority proved incompetence. Let us refuse to follow the mirage of what is called, the Colombo proposals. Let us remember beyond, what is called, the actual line of control lies also India. We must territories. try to redeem these lost We must endeavour to extend the writ of the Tricolour to these territories.

This is the summons of history and to those who will have the courage, the vision to keep this tryst with destiny, this country will give everything. It will give money, arms and, perhaps, the most precious thing its possession its sons and daughters.

Shrimati Sharda Mukerjee (Ratnagiri): Mr. Speaker, Sir, while recognising the unquestionable right of Parliament to examine all policies and actions of Government, I submit that this right does not go to the extent where hon. Members of this House can question the internal organisational functioning of the executive. Unless they can substantiate word with authoritative and official documents, such words have no meaning. I appreciate your ruling in the House the standard of this debate, the trend of this debate gone down to such an extent that we have singled out individuals on flimsy and uncertain evidence. Ι

[Shrimati Sharda Murkerjee]

expect hon. Members of this House to recognise the dignity of this House and only use such evidence as they can substantiate and produce with some authority.

13.28 hrs.

[Mr. Deputy-Speaker in the Chair]

We are debating the statement of the hon. Defence Minister on NeFA reverses and his later statement of the 9th September regarding our delence preparedness. Are we competent, on the information available to us, to assess the performance and responsibility of persons have undoubtedly played a verv significant role prior to our reverses in NEFA? With emphas.s I would say 'Not; definitely not'. We concerned in this debate with (a) the policies, performances and decisions of the Government as a whole with regard to the defence of this country; (b) the Government's plans and policies for future defence preparedness; and (c) with the problem of whether or not the Government is today seiting about in the right way to provide an efficient and well-equipped defence force for the future security our country. Therefore 1 consider that it is the function of Members of Pariament to comment and debate on this and this alone.

The statement of the hon. Defence Minister refers to the military inquiry. He has stated quite clearly the scope of this military inquiry. It pertains to the actions and conduct of the officers and jawans in NEFA. would like to know whether one can assess and apportion responsibility to the people in the field without linking up such responsibility with chain of things which go behind the orders or the conduct which they are responsible for on the field of I have met friends who had action. been in the NEFA action, whom I have known for a long time, and I can guess a little of their feelings and their reactions, and it is of them that I think now, and of the hundreds of others, some of whom I have visited in hospitals, and I would like to say a few words for them now. One of these, a young captain in an Assam hospital said to me 'You are a Member of Parliament. Go and tell them about us'. So, I nope, this will be my small contribution towards ensuring the future success of these men.

Before I go into the more important aspects of the subject under discussion, I would like to refer to few of the remarks made by my hon. friend on the opposite side of House, for whom I have some respect, and for whose intergence also I have respect. He mentioned vesterday that there should be Indianisation of the minds of officers Secondly, he said that there should greater mixing between officers jawans, the officers and the ranks. I can only say to my hon. friend that he is labouring under a gross misconception. I can say with some knowledge that the sense patriotism and nationalism in Armed Forces and other ranks is of the highest order, and it is of highest order I have ever come across in any country. As an example of this, I would like to say from personal experience that if 'anyone helps and looks after the widows and children of the men who have died in the Armed Forces, it is the Armed Forces themselves. In the Air Force-I know that similar conditions exist in the Army-there is a benevolent fund. There are schools, and there are maternity centres. These are subscribed for by the other ranks and by the officers. Is this not love of their country and love of their people?

As for greater mixing between the officers and jawans, I do not know if my hon friend knows that not only do the officers who are young enough to take part in games like foot-ball, hockey and other things play with the jawans and the other ranks, but the wives of officers also do a considera-

ble amount of welfare work in the maternity centres and schools.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty (Barrackpore): What happens in the club and the mess?

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): What about the club?

Shri Ravindra Varma (Thiruvella): The hon. Member opposite seems to be more interested in clubs than in defence.

Shrimati Sharda Mukerjee: I am referring to the jawans. I am not referring to the officers.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The hon. Member said that there was mixing.

Shrimati Sharda Mukerjee: I am referring to the mixing between the officers and jawans and the role played by even the families of officers.

There is another point that I would like to bring out. Perhaps the House is unaware that there is a school here in the Safdarjang area, in the Race Course Air Force Camp where officers' children and the jawans' are educated Would you say that this is not social integration between officers and jawans and officers and airmen? hope that in the future there will be a chance for a greater mixing of parliamentarians with the service personnel.

This inquiry, I submit, was undertaken not only to review all past failures but to ensure our future success. Now, what does success mean to the Armed Forces? It means only one thing, namely victory. And what is it that is at stake. It is the life of the jawan and the life of the officer. It does not depend upon the money that you spend; it does not depend upon the money that this House sanctions or the country sanctions, but depends upon only one thing; it depends on the heightened sense of national glory and patriotism, and the only people who can give it to these men is you and I and the whole country.

Preparedness"

I would submit to you that I have seen wounded men, limbless men who are sent out with a small pension. There is no further recognition their valour and their heroism. There is no attempt made to resettle or reemploy or rehabilitate these men. Do you except these men to give their lives just because this House and the country are able to give enough money towards it? You w.ll have to give more than that. You will not only have to infuse them and inspire them with national giory and a sense of heroism and patriotism, but will have to be prepared to give your sons, and you will have to be prepared to give your husbands. would like to know how many of us are doing that. I do not like reference being made time and again to the fact that we have sanctioned much money, and the question being asked the army has not done better. Sir, victories do not depend upon the money that you Therefore, I would like to see this country at least some amount of effort made towards the care rehabilitation of the wounded, as have seen in other countries. And I would like to see in this country some amount of appreciation given to the men of the Armed Forces, not the ones who are high-ranking officers, but the ones who are invalided out, the ones who are limbless, and the widows and the children of the men of the Armed Forces. Give them some recognition, and show them some appreciation. Then only you infuse them with some kind of herokind of patriotism. ism and some You cannot say just because you have poured some money, that you have a right to their lives.

I say again that the standard of heroism and patriotism among our officers is the highest I have seen in any country.

The Defence Minister has made a reference to our defence preparedness

[Shrimati Sharda Murkerjee]

and has assured us that he will give his special attention to the training, equipment, and the increase in strength of the Armed Forces and so on. I would like to say here that I notice that there is no reference all to the overall departmental reorganisation of the defence ganisation. 1 do not mean service headquarters or the service organisation, but I mean the service headquarters and the service organisation together with the Defence Ministry. I say that the Defence Ministry's strength has increased proportion to the Armed Force's strength. I do not know whether that is a good thing. In other countries, the tendency is towards centralising authority. In our country, it seems that the tendency is towards diffusing authority. And when it there comes to the point, always a tendency to pass on the file, and for Peter to blame Even now, when our country has faced this failure, we are not prepared to apportion responsibility. Why not? Why are we not prepared to apportion responsibility? Why do we stop at the If we cannot have first hurdie? clarity of thought, if we are not prepared to delegate responsibility, if we are not prepared to apportion responsibility, then I do not think that we can

Shri Sham Lal Saraf (Jammu and Kashmir): Responsibility for what? I want that the hon. Member should be clear about it.

Shrimati Sharda Mukerjee: Responsibility for the failures which occurred in the NEFA debacle.

Shri Morarka (Jhunjhunu): It is clear now?

Shrimati Sharda Mukerjee: The inquiry refers to the military reverses in NEFA.

Shri Nambiar (Tiruchirapalli): This House should take the responsibility. Shrimati Sharda Mukerjee: The Defence Minister said in his reply yesterday in the Upper House that if the inquiry was intended to fix responsibility for the disaster, its whole nature would have changed. I beg to differ from him. I consider that unless you apportion responsibility, we cannot go any further. I would request him, therefore, not to balk at the first hurdle. It is necessary for him and for the service headquarter to apportion responsibility.

I think success depends on two things. One is the will to be successful and the other-a very important thing-is that there should be an efficient organisation. I am sure have the will to succeed. The Defence Minister has also assured that he will personally see that able leadership is provided in the armed forces. I do not think training leadership is necessary so much the ability to spot leadership, to bypass routine methods of promotion, if necessary, as it happened in the last war when the Battle of Britain and so on was won by young people. It may be necessary to bypass the routine procedure of promotions and to put a man who is fit for the job in the right place, and, what is more, delegate authority to him, give the right of decision to him, and if he goes wrong, then apportion the responsibility.

Therefore, 'the first thing is that there should be able leadership in the armed forces. If you are going to have able leadership, you must also delegate responsibility. You must be able to give them the right to decide. And when the man makes a mistake, he takes the rap.

The second thing is that there should be efficient organisation of the overall defence organisation. which means not just our forces, but the Defence Ministry as a whole. There should be not more co-ordination but more centralisation of authority, so that when we want to find out who

has gone wrong somewhere, we will not have to search for him.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Member's time is up. There are 18 Members from the Congress Party alone who wish to speak.

Shrimati Sharda Mukerjee: In conclusion, I would just put two points to the Defence Minister. First of all, the inquiry should not be limited to the conduct and performance of the officers and jawans on the field only. It should be a comprehensive inquiry. I would like to know if he has done this. I do not want to know the findings, but I would like to know whether it is a comprehensive inquiry which included the Army Headquarters and the Defence Ministry. Secondly, I do hope that he has apportioned responsibility wherever necessary.

With these words, I express my general support of the Government's policy.

श्री रचुनाब सिंह (वाराणसी):
उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैंने इस प्रस्ताव को जब सदन के सम्मुख नोटिस के लिय रक्खा तो श्री चह्नाण ने जो सुन्दर स्टेटमेंट १३ पृष्ठों का दिया है मैं उस के एक एक शब्द ग्रीर एक एक लाइन को बहुत गौर से देखने लगा। लेकिन बहुत गौर से देखने के बाद भी इस में एक चीज हमें नहीं प्राप्त हुई। जहां तक सेना का सम्बन्ध है, ग्रामीं, नेवी और एग्नर फोर्स यह तीन शक्तियां हैं, लेकिन इस डिफोन्स प्रिपेयर्डनैस में कहीं भी नेवी का नाम नहीं है।

श्री भ्रन्सार हरवानी (विसौता) । नेफा में नेवी क्यों नहीं भजी जाती । (Interruption).

भी रघुनाथ सिंह: इट इज ए मैटर भ्राफ प्रिपेग्नडँनैस, नाट नेफा । इसलिये इसका सम्बन्ध हमारी तैयारी से है। सुरक्षा की तैयारी से है। श्री ग्रन्स र हरवानी को इसे समझनाचाहिंगे। इस कासम्बन्धः सिर्फ नेफा से नहीं है ग्रीर हर वक्त समा-लोचना करनाठीक नहीं होता।

में इसलिये नेवी पर ग्रधिक जोर देना चाहता हं कि ग्राज से दो वर्ष पहले चीन इस विश्व में पांचवीं सामृद्रिक शक्ति था लेकिन ग्राज वह विश्व में चौथी मामद्रिक शक्ति है। हमारी सामुद्रिक सीमा करीब ३ हजार मील लम्बी है। इस सीमा के साथ साथ हमारा एक्सपोर्ट ग्रौर दम्पोर्ट ६६.५० परसेन्ट केवल समद्र द्वारा होता है। इसलिये हम को अपनी सुरक्षा व्यवस्था को दो दष्टियों से देखना चाहिये। एक तो सामुद्रिक सीमा की रक्षा दूसरे ग्रगर युद्ध ग्रारम्भ हो जाय तो कैसे हमारा एक्सपोर्ट ग्रौर इम्पोर्ट देड जारी रहेगा। दूसरे मुल्क हम को किस तरह से सहायता दे सकते हैं।

जैसा मैंने कहा, अगर आप सन १६६० के फिगर्स को देखेंगे, जो कि प्रकाशित फिगर्स हैं, छिपी हुई चीज नहीं है, तो रूस का प्रथम स्थान था, ग्रमरीका का दूसरा स्थान था, इंग्लैंड का तीसरा स्थान था, फ्रांस का चौथा स्थान था ग्रीर चीन का पांचवां स्थान था। सन् ११६० के बाद एक दूसरी चीज सामने ग्राई ग्रौर वह भी पाकस्तान ग्रौर चीन की सन्धि। जैसे जैसे पाकिस्तान ग्रीर चाइना में सम्बन्ध बढता गया, चीन ने अपनी नाविक शक्ति क्यों बढ़ाई, इस स्रोर हमें थोड़ा सा गौर करना चाहिये। सन् १६६० में चीन के पास ४०६ यनिट (जहाज) थे। लेकिन सिर्फ दो वर्षों के अन्दर, हालांकि चीन को कार्मीसा पर हमला नहीं करना था, किमोय ब्राइलैंड पर हमला नहीं करना था, कोई समस्या उस के सामने नहीं थी, लेकिन सन् १६६० में ४०६ मुनिट थे सन् १६६३ के म्रन्दर उन की तादाद हो गई ७७७ यनिट । करीब करीब दूनी तादाद हो गई । उन्होंने अपनी सामद्रिक अस्ति

[श्रो रघुनाथ सिंह] करीब करीब दूनी दो वर्ष के ग्रन्दर बढ़ाई है वह किस के खिलाफ?

इसके विपरीत हमें यह विचार करना चाहिये कि हिन्दुस्तान के पास क्या है। जो पब्लिश्ड आंकड़े हैं उन को देखने से पता चलता है कि हिन्द्स्तान के पास करीब ५० युनिट हैं, पाकिस्तान के पास २७ निट हैं ग्रौर चाइना के पास ७७७ युनिट हैं। ग्रगर चादना ग्रौर पाकिस्तान की शक्ति एक हो जाती है, तो उन के युनिट हो जाते हैं ५०४, ग्रर्थात् दुनिया में वह तीसरी नैवल पावर हो सकती है, वेहमें बड़ी ग्राच्छीतरहसे चैलेन्ज कर सकते हैं।

इसके वाद में ग्राप का ध्यान ग्राकर्षित करना चाहता हं कि चाइना ने अपनी शक्ति कैसे बढ़ाई है। कुछ उस के उ:पर भी स्राप गौर करें। दो वर्षों के ग्रन्दर उस ने जो शक्ति बढाई है उस में सबमैरीन स्रादि का कितना भाग है इस को देखिये। सन् १६५७ में उस के पास १३ सवमैरीन्स थीं भ्रौर ग्राज २४ हैं, सन् १६५७ में उस के पास ५२ टारपीडो बोट्स थीं ग्रीर ग्राज १५० हैं। उस के पास सन् १६६० में एक भी सर्विस काफ्ट नहीं था, ब्राज उस के पास ३५० हैं। गन बोट्स ४८ के स्थान पर ग्राज ६६ हो गईं। इस प्रकार ग्रगर हम देखें तो हमारी सामुद्रिक शनित पाक-स्तान ग्रीर चीन के ग्रनपात में ६.५ प्रतिशत है। हम को विचार करना है कि क्या हम इस तरह से ग्रपनी रक्षा करने में समर्थ हो सकेंगे।

में ग्रापका ध्यान नेवी ग्राफिसर्स ग्रीर सोल्जर्स की तरफ ग्राकषित करना चाहता हुं।

समय हिन्दुस्तान के पास कुल नौसैनिक हैं, जिनमें १,४५० 98,000

ग्रफसर हैं ग्रीर १४,५५० रेटिंग्ज हैं। पाकिस्तान के पास ७०० ग्रफसर ग्रीर ७००० रेटिंग्स हैं, ग्रौर चाइना के पास श्चाफिसर्स ग्रीर रेटिंग्स ४८,००० हैं ग्रीर मेरीन आफिसर्स और मेरीन मैन--जो कि एक दूसरी युनिट है--- २८,००० हैं, ग्रर्थातु चाइना के पास इस समय ७६,००० ग्राफिसर्स थ्रौर सी सेलर्स हैं। अगर चाइना श्रौर पाकिस्तान मिल जायं तो उनकी मिली हई शक्ति ८३,७०० सी ग्राफिसर्स **ग्रौर से** सेलर्स की होगी जब कि हिन्दुस्तान के पास केवल १६,००० श्राफिसर्स रेटिंग्स हैं। भ्रगर मैनपावर की द्ष्टि से देखें तो चाइना ग्र**ौर पाकिस्तान की** मिली हुई शक्ति के मुकाबले हिन्दुस्तान की नेवल शक्ति १८ पर सेंट है।

मैं नौशक्ति की उपयोगिता की तरफ घ्यान ग्राकर्षित करना चाहता हूं । ग्रा**खिर-**कार चाइना और पाकिस्तान की सन्धि क्यों हुई । पाकिस्तान जानता है कि वि**ना** सामुप्रिक शक्ति के वह ईस्ट पाकिस्तान की रक्षा करने में ग्रसमर्थ है। वैस्ट पाकिस्तान से ईस्ट पाकिस्तान कारगो, सोलजर्स, टैंक फ्लीट कैसे जा सकते हैं जब तक कि उसके पास सामुद्रिक शक्ति न हो। पाकिस्तान के एक जनरल ने कहा है कि ग्रगर **हम** हिन्दुस्तान पर उस वक्त हमला कर देते जब कि चान ने हिन्दुस्तान पर हमला किया था तो ईस्ट पाकिस्तान हमारे हाथ से निकल जाता । यह उनकी एक लाइन बहुता महत्वपूर्ण है।

पाकिस्तान समझता था कि ग्रगर उसने उस वक्त बिना चीन से समझौता किए हुए हिन्दुस्तान पर हमला कर दिया तो ईस्ट पाकिस्तान उसके हाथ से निकल जाएगा । लिहाजा इस कमी ने उनको वाध्य किया कि वे चीन वे साम कैट करें क्यों कि ऐसा करने से ः **बको बी**न की नेवल पावर से सहायता मिल सकतो है। पार्किस्तानः जानता है कि ग्रगर उसने हिन्दुस्तान पर हमला किया तो समझौता होने की सुरत में उसकी सहायता के लिये आवेगा ताकि ईस्ट पाकिस्तान में वे वैस्ट पाकिस्तान में सामंजस्य रहेग्रगर उसकी सहायता हो सके भीर उसमें हिन्दस्तान कोई हकावट न डाल सके । इसलिये मैं कहना चाहता हं कि हमारे सुरक्षा मंत्री जी को इस तरफ भी षोड़ा ध्यान देना चाहिये।

हमारे मिलिटरी के बडे-बडे एक्सपर्ट हैं। उनका विचार है कि आज नैवी की जरूरत नहीं है। उनका दिमाग उसी लाइन पर काम कर रहा है जिस पर कि एलाइड पावर्स का दिमाग ग्रगस्त के १६४३ पहले काम कर रहा था। उस वक्त हिटलर भी यही समझता था कि एयरफार्स सब से बड़ी चीज है। इसी प्रकार से यु० के० ग्रीर यु एस ए ए भी यही समझते थे कि एयरफार्स सबसे बड़ी चीज है, ग्रीर उसके बाद ग्रामीं है। लेकिन सन् १६४३ में जब रूजवैल्ट ग्रीर चर्चिल साहब मिले तो उन्होंने कहा कि ऐसे काम नहीं चलेगा। हमारे सुरक्षा उत्पादन मंत्री श्री रघुरामैया साहब जानते हैं कि उसके बाद तीन वीक्स में अमरीका में एक डैस्टायर तैयार होने लगा, दो वीक में एक लिबरटी शिप तैयार होने लगा और ६ महीने के अन्दर उत्तरी अफ़ीका में मोरवको के पास एलाइड फोर्सने लैंड किया ग्रौर ६ महीने के ग्रन्दर रामल को भ मना पडा। जर्मनी इटली की भी रक्षा न कर सके।

इटली में कैसे लैंड किया गया ? नैवी से। फांस में कैसे लैंड किया गया? नेवी से। हिटलर ने भी यही सोचा था कि हम एयरफोर्स से काम चला लेंगे, लेकिन हिटलर ने अपनी आखिरी बसीयत में क्या लिखा है वह पढिए। क्या ग्रापने कभी यह सोचा है कि हिटलर ने क्यों श्रीर बड़े बड़े लोगों को छोड़ कर एडिमरल डानिट्ज को ग्रपना सकसेसर बनाया। ने ग्रनुभव किया कि जरमनी की हार का

सब से बड़ा कारण उसके पास नैवी का न होना या और नैवी न रहने से दूसरे मुल्कों से सम्बन्ध नहीं रह सका, श्रौर जब दूसरे मुल्कों से सम्बन्ध नहीं रह सका तो न कोई मदद ग्रा सकती थी ग्रौर न सामान ग्रा सकता था, फल यह हम्रा कि जरमनी धीरे धीरे सिंक करता गया । लिहाजा हिटलर ने ग्रन्तिम समय में अपनी गलती को महसस किया। जब वह ग्रात्म हत्या करने के लिए तैयार या तो उसने एडिमरल डानिटज को भार सौंपा कि वह ही जरमनी की रक्षा कर सकता है।

मैं आपसे कहना चाहता हूं कि यह विचार कि नैवी का स्थान सूरक्षा में नहीं है सही नहीं है। नैवी का स्थान है। इसलिये हिन्दुस्तान के लिये नैवी का महत्व है कि ग्रगर हिन्द्स्तान को कहा से मदद मिल सकती है तो वह समुद्र के मार्ग से ही मिल सकती है। हमारे वैस्ट में पाकिस्तान है, ईस्ट में पाकिस्तान है, नार्थ में चाइना है। जमीन के राम्ते किसी तरफ से भी हमकी मदद नहीं ग्रा सकती । ग्रगर हमारे पास नैवी नहीं होगी तो रूस ग्रीर ग्रमरीका हमारी मदद करना भी चाहें तो वह मदद हमारे पास तक कैसे ग्रावेगी, ग्रगर हमारे पास कोई सामान कम हो जाए तो वह कैसे ग्रावेगा?

इसके अतिरिक्त हमारे एक्सपोर्ट ग्रीर इम्पोर्ट का ६६ प्रशासिशत व्यापार भी समुद्र के द्वारा ही होता है। अगर हमारे पास नैवी न होगी तो हम उस कनवाय की रक्षा कैसे कर सकेंगे। इसलिये मैं ग्रापसे कहता हूं कि हमारी वह ग्रवस्था न हो जो पौलैंड की हुई थी। चैम्बरलेन ने कहा था कि हम पोलेंड की रक्षा करना चाहते हैं, लेकिन उस समम लाइड जार्ज ने कहा कि म्राप पोलैंड की रक्षा तो करना चाहते हैं लेकिन करेंगे कैसे क्योंकि ग्राप तक पहुंच नहीं सकते । इसी प्रकार अगर दुनिया के और मल्क हमारी सहायता करना भी चाहें लेकिन अगर उस [श्रो रघु तथ सिंह]

7519

सहायता को हमारे पास तक पहुंचाने का कोई उपाय न हो यह स्थिति नहीं होनी चाहिए, जैसी कि पोलैंड की हो गई थी।

मैं ुग्रापको बताना चाहता हूं कि दुनिया के जिन मुल्कों ने नैवी की उपेक्षा की वे समाप्त हो गए हैं। उदाहरण के लिए स्पार्टी को ब्राप लें। स्पार्टा बहत बड़ी पावर थी लेकिन उसके पास नैवी नहीं थी । लेकिन एथिन्स के पास नैवी थी इसलिए वह बढ गयी। रोमन्स कैसे बढे ? नैवी के कारण, ग्रंग्रेज हिन्दुस्तान मे कैसे आराए ? नैवी के कारण । १७ वीं शताब्दी में मराठों के पास जितनी नैवी थी वह गोम्रा की लडाई में समाप्त हो गयी। उसको उन्होंने बढाने का प्रयत्न नहीं किया । उन्होंने पानीपत के मैदान में दूश्मन को रोकने का प्रयास किया । हमारे मिनिस्टर साहब के दादा साहब भी पानीपत की लड़ाई में बीरगति को प्राप्त हुए थे। तो मैं उनस कहना चाहता हूं कि पानीपत की लडाई ग्राप लड़े, ग्रहमदशाह ग्रबदाली को ग्रापने रोकने की कोज्ञिश की कि ग्रागे न बढ़े। लेकिन समुद्र को श्रापने खुला छोड दिया भौर उसका परिणाम यह हम्रा कि यहां अंग्रेज आए, फांसीसी आए और आप उत्तर भारत में ब्रहमदशाह ब्रबदाली को रोकने में लग रहे। ग्रब हमें ग्रागे ऐसी नीति श्रपनानी चाहिए कि हमारी सामृद्रिक कमजोरी से चीन फायदा न उठा ले।

दूसरी बात ग्राप मुनिए । पाकिस्ताम का खतरा तो है ही। इंडोनेशिया भी श्रर्ष कम्युनिस्ट शक्ति है । श्रापने मलयेशिया को स्वीकार किया है भ्रीर उसके स्वागत समारोह में भाग लेने के लिए श्रपना घादमी भी भजा है। लेकिन म्राप देखें कि ग्रगर चीन, इंडोनेशिया भीर पाकिस्तान हुमारे खिलाफ मिल जाते हैं तो हम कहां रहेंगे । श्रापका सारा समुद्र खुला है भौर किसी भी तरफ़ से इमारे यहां द्श्मन

लैंड कर सकता है। ग्रौर जैसा कि ग्राप नेफा के लिए कहते हैं कि हमको माल्म नहीं था कि इघर से चीनी फौज आएगी, उसी तरह श्राप कहेंगे कि हमको मालुम नहीं था कि समुद्र की स्रोर से भी फौज स्राएगी। मेरा कहना है कि जैसे ग्राप पानीपत में लड़े उसी तरह समुद्री सीमा का भी ध्यान रखना चाहिए। ऐसा न करने से अंग्रेज आ गए और उनके हाथों में राज्य चला गया । इसी लिए मैं कहता हूं कि ग्रापको इतिहास ैसे सबक लेना चाहिए ।

एक वात मैं ग्रौर कहना चाहता हूं कि ग्रब लैंड बेसेज का महत्व नहीं है । पोलेरिस स्रौर नाटिलस पनडुब्बियों के स्राविष्कार के बाद लैंड बेसेज़ का महत्व नहीं रह गया है। श्रब मिसाइल्स सबमैरिन्स पर से छोडे जा सकते हैं इसलिए लैंड बेसेज का महत्व खत्म हो गया है।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The Member must keep an eye on the clock.

Shri Raghunath Singh: The motion is in my name. I must be given some

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Fifteen minutes each.

Shri Raghunath Singh: But I am the Mover of the Motion.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: You are the Secretary of the Congress Party.

Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya (Raiganj): Raghunath Singhji is in the midst of his emotion.

भी रघनाथ सिंह: ग्रभी कुछ दिन पहले पाकिस्तान से एक कानफेंस के बारे में न्युज छपी थी । शायद् उसमें धमरीका भी शामिल था। पर इसका ठीक पता नहीं है कि ग्रमरीका शामिल था या नहीं । उस कानफेंस में कहा गया कि वे आफे वंगान में हमारा नेवल बेस कहां हों, क्योंकि सीलोन तो दूसरा देश है और एंडमन और निकोबार हिन्दुस्तान के पास हैं। तो उस कामफरेंस में कहा गया कि हमको लैंड बेस की जरूरत नहीं है क्योंकि हमारे पास सबमैरिन हुए तो हम उन से चिटगांग की रक्षा कर सकते हैं और ईस्ट पाकिस्तान की रक्षा भी कर सकते हैं। क्योंकि मिजाइल्स वहां से छोड़ सकते हैं। इस बास्ते मैं कहता हूं कि हमें इस बात् को प्रयास करना चाहिए कि हम अपनी सबमैराइंस की ताकत को बढ़ायें।

14hrs.

श्राख्निर में मैं एक बात और कह देना चाहता हूं। ग्रपनें बौर्डर्स की मुरक्षा की व्यवस्था के हेतु हमें इजरायल का जो नहल सिस्टम है, उसे ग्रपना लेना चाहिए। वहां का सिस्टम क्या है? नहल सिस्टम यह है कि जितने भी बोर्डर एरियाज़ हैं वहां पर उन्होंने ऐसे ग्रादमियों को ग्राबाद किया है, ऐसे नौजवानों को ट्रेंड किया है जो खेती भी करते हैं श्रीर जो साथ साथ सैनिक भी हैं। मैं चाहता हूं कि इस ग्रोर हमारे डिफेंस मिनिस्टर महोदय ध्यान दें और काश्मीर उपत्यका से लेकर शक्ति तक, जो सिंघु नदी की घाटी है, दिल्ली से सब्जी वहां जाती है और वहां बहुत ही मंहगे दामों में मिलती है।

इसी तरह सीमेंट जो यहां पर ६ रुपये या द रुपये बोरा है, वहां वह सीमेंट का बोरा शायद द० रुपए पड़ता है । यदि यहां कोई सब्जी चार आने सेर हम ख़रीदते हैं तो वही सब्जी वहां दो रुपये सेर बिकती है । यह एक अननेचुरल एकोनोमी है । इससे बार चलने बाली नहीं है । इसके लिए मेरा निनेदन है कि एग्रीकलचरल मिनिस्टर भीर डिफेंस मिनिस्टर को आपस में सहयोग करके ऐसी भावादी वहां पर बसानी चाहिए कि हमारी फ़ौज को जिस चीज की जरूरत है वह चीज वहां पर उत्पादित की जा सके । भगर इजरायल जैसे रेगिस्तान में तमाम चीजें पैदा हो सकती हैं तो मैं नहीं समझता कि सिंघ नदी की बैली जो कि करीब २४० मील की बैली है और जिसके कि ठीक किनारे किनारे सड़क जाती है और जहां पर आबादी भी मौजूद है वहां पर सैनिकों के लिए आवस्यक चीजें क्यों नहीं पैदा की जा सकतीं? इसके लिए जरूरी है कि एग्रीकलचर मिनिस्टर और डिफेंस मिनिस्टर को आपस में सहयोग करके वहां पर ऐसा उत्पादन के हुए लोगों से कराना चाहिए ताकि हमारी सैनिक आवस्यकताओं की वहीं पर पूर्ति हो सके।

श्री हरि विष्णु कामत : क्या ग्राप की गवर्नमेंट इजरायल से सहयोग करने के पक्ष में हैं ?

श्री रघुनाय सिंह : मैं इजरायल से सहयोग चाहता हूं या नहीं यह राजनीतिज्ञों की बातें हैं। मैं तो डिफेंस मिनिस्टर और एग्रीकलचर मिनिस्टर के बीच इस बारे में सहयोग की आवश्यकता के लिए कह रहा हूं ताकि बौर्डर एरियाज की ब्यवस्था ठीक कर सकें।

म्राखिरकार गरुगोविंद सिंह ने सिक्खों की फ़ौज क्यों बनाई थी, वह इस वास्ते बनाई थी कि पंजाब पर हमेशा बौडेर से श्रर्थात् श्रफ्रगानिस्तान की तरफ़ से हमला होता था? उन्होंने जितना भी एग्रीकलचरिस्ठ्स न्लास था उन सब को हथियार दे दिये ग्रौर कह दिया कि अगर अफगानिस्तान की तरफ से कोई विदेशी फौज ग्राती है तो उनमें वे हमारी तरफ़ से फाइट करें और पीछे हम भी वैयार रहेंगे । लिहाजा ग्राप देखेंगे कि पानीपत की लड़ाई के बाद ग्रफगानिस्तान की तरफ से कोई हमला नहीं हमा । हमारी एक फौर-मिडेबुल फौज ग्रीर एक वालियेंटरी फौज वहां पर काइम हो गई थी। इस वास्त मैं निवेदन करना चाहता हं कि फाइनेंस मिनिस्टर साहब एग्रीकलचर मिनिस्टर साहब से इस मामले पर विचार विमर्श करके ऐसा कोई उपाय करें कि इस बौर्डर एरिया पर हमारी भी एक ठोस फौज तैयार हो आव । जो लोग वहां रहें

7523

[श्री रघुनाथ सिंह]

वह वहीं पैदा करके खार्ये पियें ग्रौर ग्रपनी जरूरत का सामान भी वहीं पैदा कर सकें । बहुत खाली जमीन वहां पर पड़ी हुई हैं । बेग्रावाद जमीन काफी पड़ी है ग्रौर ग्रगर उसे हमने इसी तौर पर बेग्रावाद पड़ा रहने दिया तो कोई भी वाहरी ताक़त की फौज ग्रा सकती है । इतन बड़े बौर्डर की ग्राप तार घेर कर ग्रौर फौज रख कर या हर एक स्थान पर सैनिक तैनात करके उसकी सुरक्षा नहीं कर सकते हैं । इस वास्ते हर एक गांव को ग्रापको ग्रामं करना चाहिए ग्रौर ऐसी व्यवस्था करनी चाहिए ताकि उनकी ग्रावश्यकताग्रों की पूर्ति हो सके, उनकी ग्रामदनी हो ग्रौर वे लोग वहां बौर्डर पर ग्रावाद होकर देश की रक्षा कर सकें ।

ग्रन्त में मैं ग्रपने रक्षा मंत्री को धन्यवाद देते हुए यही कहता हूं कि यह जो वात कही जाती है कि चीनी जब पहाड़ से नीवे उतर आयेंगे तब हम उनसे फ़ाइट करेंगे, यह पालिसी बिलकुल गलत है । मराठे लोग क्यों फ़ाइट कर सके? उत्तर हिन्दुस्तान की हिस्ट्री है कि जब भी कोई विदेशी फौज जमुना नदी को पार कर गई है तो सारा देश विदेशी फौज के हाथ में चला गया है । लेकिन राजपूत ग्रौर मराठे लोग शताब्दियों तक क्यों लड़ते रह सके? उसका कारण यह था कि उन के पास पहाड़ था । पहाड़ में ग्राबाद थे । वहीं से लड़ते थे । एक नैचुरल डिफेंस उन के पास था । ग्राप उस नैचुरल डिफेंस को चीन को देना चाहते हैं । यह पालिसी गलत है ।

मैं स्राप को हिन्दुस्तान की एग्जाम्पिल देना चाहता हूं। जब सिकन्दर का भारत पर स्राक्रमण हुस्रा तो उस समय चन्द्रगुप्त मौयं ने कहा कि हम सिकन्दर का यहां बैठ कर वेट नहीं करेंगे बिल्क हम स्रागे बढ़ कर सिन्धु नदी के पास सिकन्दर को रोकेंगे। चन्द्रगुप्त मौयं और चाणक्य फौरन वहां से फौज लेकर स्राग रवाना हो गये। इसी तरह से जब ने भारत पर स्राक्रमण किया तो स्कन्दगुप्त ने हुणों का यहां दिल्ली में वेट नहीं किया बिल्क वे वाल्हीक जाकर उनसे लड़े थे। इसी तरह शशांक ने शकों से कैसे भारतवर्ष की रक्षा की? उन्होंने इसके लिए वेट नहीं किया कि जब शक लोग दिल्ली ग्राजायेंगे तब हम उनसे फ़ाइट करेंगे बिल्क उन्होंने उनको पंजाब के पहाड़ों में जाकर रोका। जब भी ग्राप किसी फीज को मैदान में ग्राने देंगे तो उतना हिस्सा तो ग्राप उसे पहले ही दे देते हैं। वहां वह जबरदस्ती वैठ कर ग्रपना किला बना सकती है, ग्रीर वहां के रिसोसेंग्र को इस्तेमाल कर सकती है। इसलिए यह पालिसी कि जब वह उतर कर ग्रायेंगे तब हम लड़ेंगें, ठीक नहीं है।

मैं श्राप को श्रलाऊ हीन खिलजी की एग्जाम्पिल देना चाहता हूं। जब मुगल लोग तुर्किस्तान से श्राने वाले थे तो उसने दिल्ली में बैठ कर उनका वेट नहीं किया बल्कि उसने जाकर मुगलों को पेशावर में रोका, पंजाब में ही उनको रोक लिया श्रौर उनको ग्रंदर नहीं घुसने दिया।

चंगेज खां ने ईरान वर्गैरह सब को ले लिया लेकिन मुगल हिन्द्स्तान में नहीं स्ना सकें भ्रौर करीव ४०० वर्ष तक नहीं श्रा सके। इसी तरह से ग्राप देखें कि जब मुग़ल लोगों के हाथ में पावर आई तो केवल पश्चिम की तरफ से हमला नहीं हुन्ना क्योंकि ग्रफ़गा-निस्तान को उन्होंने भ्रपने हाथ में रक्खा। हमायं से लेकर श्रीरंगजेब के वक्त तक चूंकि ग्रफगानिस्तान उनके साथ में था इसलिए कोई भी शत्न की फौज उधर से हिन्दस्तान में नहीं म्रा सकती थी । वहीं पहाड में बैठ कर फाइट कर सकते थे। इसलिए यह पालिसी हमारी गवर्नमेंट की ग़लत रही कि शतु पहाड़ से उतर कर मैदान में ग्रा जाय तब उससे लडेंगे। इस पालिसी को भविष्य के लिए त्याग देना चाहिए ।

श्री लहरी सिंह (रोहतक): उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, नेफ़ा रिवरसैंज के बारे में यह ख़याल कर लेना कि वह चंज ख़त्म हो गयी, सही न होगो। वह ख़त्म हुई न समझो जाय। हिन्दु-स्तान की तवारीख़ के अन्दर आने वालो नसलों और औलादों के सामने नेफा रिवरसैंज की चर्चा हमेशा के लिए क़ायम रहने वालो है।

नेफा रिवरसैज के बारे में जो रिपोर्ट दी गई है वह नाम्किन्ति है ग्रीर उससे कोई नतीजा नहीं निकलता सिवाय इसके कि जो खामियां, जो कमजोरियां, जो गलतियां और जो लापरवाही वहां हुई है जिसकी कि वजह से यह नेफा रिवरसैज हए, उस की सारी की सारी जिम्मेदारी इस गवर्नमेंट पर ग्रौर डिफेंस मिनिस्टर पर है। अब यह कह देना कि इट इज ए डेंड होसं, ठीक नहीं है। इट इज नोट ए हैंड होसं. इट इज स्टिल कि का। गवर्नमेंट को इस तरह से बरी तरह चीनियों के हाथ पराजित हाँकर यह नहीं कहना चाहिए कि नेफ़ा रिवरसैंज के लिए गवर्नमेंट ग्रौर डिफेंस मिनिस्टर जिम्मेदार नहीं हैं। ऐसा कह कर टाल देना कि कोई ऐक्शन नहीं लिया जायगा, काम चलने वाला नहीं है। ग्राने वाली नस्लें इस बात को भुला नहीं सकेंगी । इस के लिए गवर्नमेंट ग्रौर डिफेंस मिनिस्टर की पूरी जिम्मेदारी है।

जहां तक हमारी फौज का ताल्लुक है वह
बहुत बहादुर फौज रही है। उसका पिछला
रेकार्ड शाउदार रहा है। अंग्रेजों के वक्त में
भारतीय फौज ने जर्मनी को हराया और जापान
का कामयाबी के साथ मुकावला किया।
अब यह दूसरी बात है कि हमारी फौज ने
ब्रिटिश इम्पायर को कायम करने में उनकी
मदद को। लेकिन जहां तक भारतीय फौज की
बहादुरों का सवाल है वह बहादुरी में किसी से
कम नहीं रही है। लेकिन उस बहादुर फौज को
इस मौके पर डिफ.ट बदांशत करनी पड़ी क्योंकि
ग नंमेंट को पालिसो गलत रही । नेका के

मोर्चे से जो हमारे भाई पंजाब में आये वे कहते हैं कि हमें रंज और अफ़सोस तो इस बात का या कि हमारे पास ऐम्यूनीशन नहीं था और हमें अपनो बन्दूकों बगैर ऐम्यूनोशन के चलानी पड़ों। अब ऐसी गड़बड़ वहां पर क्यों हुई? इसके बारे में रिपोर्ट सबसे पहली बात तो यह कहती है कि बहां पर न ठहरने के लिए इक्विपमेंट था और न ही औपरेजंस के लिए इक्विपमेंट था। अब इननी भारी गवनंमेंट, जिसका कि एक तरफ़ पाकिस्तान दुश्मन हो और दूसरी तरफ़ चीन हो, उसकी ऐसी ढील कि सैनिकों के पास जरूरी ऐस्युनीशन और इक्विपमेंट ही न हो, दिल को बड़ा धक्का और सदमा लगता है।

फिर चीन का हमला कोई ग्रचानक हो गया हो ऐसी बात भी नहीं थी। चीन के श्राकामक इरादे १६५६ से साफ़ तौर पर प्रकट हो चले थे जब कि उसने सीमा पर हमले करने श्रीर हमारे बौर्डर्स की एनकीच करना गुरू कर दिया था। क्या यह सरकार सो रही थी? पार्लियामेंट बराबर सरकार को चीन के खतरे से सावधान करती रही है। सन १६५६ से उनकी शतुतापूर्ण कार्यवाहियां गुरू हो गयी थीं ग्रीर चीनी बढ़ने लगे थे ग्रीर हमारी सीमाग्रों का ग्रतिक्रमण उन्होंने शुरू कर दिया था, सरकार को पूरो तरह से इनको जानकारी थी और उसने रिपार्ट में इसे साफ तौर पर स्वीकार किया है, एक तरफ़ चीन ग्रौर दूसरी तरफ पाकिस्तान का खनरा बराबर रहते हए भी रिपोर्ट में यह चीज ग्राना कि वहां पर जरूरी इक्विपमेंट नहीं था या ऐम्युतीशन नहीं था. कितने शर्म की बात है। आजिर इस गफलत ग्रीर कोताही के लिए कौन जिम्मेदार है ? इसकी पूरी जवाबदेह इस सरकार पर श्राती है। एक वडा मल्क जिसका दश्मन हो, उसकी शत्रतापुणं गतिविधियां वढ रही हों. इमिजिएट डैंजर सामने मौजद हो ग्रौर सरकार इस तरह से सोती रह जाय कि हमारे फौजी लागों को जरूरी साज सामान ग्रौर हथियार वर्गेरह न मिल पायें, यह बडे अफनोस भीर शर्म की बात है। इस सब के लिए इस [श्रो लहरो सिंह] **बरकार के** ग्रलाबा ग्रीर कौन जिम्मेदार हो

सकता है ?

इस के साथ ही मैं यह अर्ज करूं कि सन् **१६५७ से** लेकर सन् १६६२ तक १३१.६८ करोड रुपया डिफेंस में बचता रहा है। सन ५७ में पार्लियामेंट ने जो रुपया दिया वह बचा मौर इस तरह से धागे भी दिया जाता रूपया बचता रहा। इस तरह से १३१.६८ करोड़ रुपया बचता रहा है। वह रुपया किस लिए था ? वह रुपया ग्राम्जं, एम्यूनीशन भौर मार्डिनेंस फ़ैक्टरीज के लिए था, लेकिन वह रूपया खर्च नहीं किया गया । ग्रीर फिर कहा जाता है कि डिफ़ेंस मिनिस्टर ग्रीर इस गवनेंमेंट काक्या कुसूर है। क्या यह पालियामेंट का कूसर है कि वह बाकायदा रुपया देती रही है, सेकिन भाये साल उस को सरप्लस दिखा कर बापस किया जाता रहा ग्रौर इस तरह १३१ करोड़ रुपया वापस कर दिया गया ? इस का नतीजा यह हुआ कि न एम्युनिशन ख़रीदा नया, न ग्राम्जं खरीदे गए भीर न भाडिनेंस फ़ैक्टरीज कायम की गई। मैं ग्राप को बताना चाहता हं कि खानदान मुगलिया की ग्राखिरी हुकुमत का यही हाल था कि कहीं घोड़े नहीं षे ग्रौर कहीं जूते नहीं थे । यह गवर्नमेंट वही नमूना पेश करती है भीर फिर कहती है कि हमारा क्या कुसूर है।

बहां तक इस लड़ाई का ताल्लुक है, बह लड़ाई समुन्दर की नहीं थी, बिल्क हिमालय के बार्डर की लड़ाई थी। हमारी बौदह स्टेट्स में जितना भी इंजीनियरिंग स्टाफ़ था, क्या वह सब उस पहाड़ी इलाके में सड़कें वग़ैरह बनाने के लिए नहीं लगाया जा सकता था? लेकिन हमारे फ्रौजियों ने ब्रा कर बताया कि हमारे अफ़सरों के पास नक्शे भी नहीं थे कि हम ने किघर बाना है। न तो हम ने सड़कें तैयार कीं बौर न मैप्स ही तैयार किये। यह गवनंमेंट कुम्भकणं की नीद सोती रही है, जब कि दुश्मन सड़कें

बना रहा है और वहां की चप्पा-चप्पा जमीन का मालिक हैं। इस हाउस में गवर्नमेंट ने और डिफेंस मिनिस्टर ने बड़े नाज के साथ कहा कि हम फुली प्रिपेयर्ड हैं, लेकिन उन्होंने इस मुल्क की नाक कटवा दी और इस मुल्क का प्रैस्टेज ख़त्म हो गया। यहां की फ़ौजों की यह ट्रैडीशन थी और उन के बारे में यह कहा जाता था कि वे पीछे हटना नहीं जानतीं। मैं शर्ज करना चाहता हूं कि यहां के जेनेरल ख़राव हो सकते थे, लेकिन हमारे जवान, इमारे सिपाही ख़राब नहीं हैं।

हमारे पास नक्शे नहीं हैं, रोड्ज नहीं हैं। श्चीर श्रव गवर्नमेंट ने इस बारे में क्या किया? बह दो चार ग्रफ़सरों को प्रासीक्युट कर रही है भीर वह भी ढीले तरीके से । इस गवर्नमेंट में यह बीमारी ग्रा चुकी है कि जब कभी किसी चीफ़ मिनिस्टर, किसी डिफ़ोंस मिनिस्टर या किसी म्राफ़िसर के बारे में यह कहा जाये कि वह करप्ट है, ख़राबों कर रहा है, तो उस के खिलाफ एक्शन लेने का एक पर्सनल मैटर सा जाता है। रोड्ज न होने **ब**ना दिया से हमारे कितने नौजवान की वजह ? विगेडियर होश्यारसिंह, शैतान सिंह—मैं कितनों का नाम लुं? उनकी **प्रा**त्मा कैसे ठंडी होगी ? उनकी ग्रात्मा एक ही शक्ल में ठंडी हो सकती है कि जो लोग हमारी हार के जिम्मेदार हों, चाह वह डिफेंस मिनिस्टर हो, कोई जैनेरल हो या भीर कोई हो, उन को डोंक में खड़ा किया भीर उन का बाकायदा प्रासीक्यूशन किया जाय । ग्रगर ऐसान किया जायेगा तो हमारे देश में डेमोर्कैसी कैसे रहेगी ? श्राज हमारी फ़ौजों का हौसला पस्त हो चुका है। षाज होता यह है कि जो भी श्रादमी नजदीक से नजदीक जा कर कोई ग़लत रिपोर्ट भी दे दे, उसकी बात को मान लिया जाता है ग्रीर उस को ज्यादा भहमियत मिल जाती है।

न नक्शे हैं, न रोड़्ज़ हैं ग्रीर न एक्थि-बमेंट है, यह किस की जिम्मेदारी है ? **पै**राग्राफ़ २७ में जिम्मेदारी के बारे में बहत दबीहुई ग्रावाज में कहा गया है:

"That brings me to the next point which is called the higher direction of operations. Even the largest and the best equipped of Armies need to be given proper policy guidance and major directives by the Government, whose instrument it is."

इस के आगे कुछ नहीं कहा गया । यह नहीं बताया गया कि गवर्नमेंट का जो फ़र्ज़ था, बह उस ने किस तरह पूरा किया ।

जहां तक ग्राज की तैयारी का सवाल है. उस के बारे में मुल्क में बिल्कुल तसल्ली नहीं है। उस के बारे में ग्राम ग्रादमी को भी खदशा है ग्रौर फ़ौजों को भी खदशा है। इस रिपोर्ट के पैराग्राफ १६ में कहा गया **t** :

"It was at higher levels that shortcomings became more parent. It was also revealed that some of the higher Commanders did not depend enough on the initiative of the lower Commanders ... "

इसका मतलब यह है कि ब्रिगेड लेवल तक था. लेकिन ब्रिगेड लेवल से तो ठीक कपर---ग्रीर ब्रिगेड लेवल से ऊपर जैनेरल होते हैं--बड़ी शार्टकर्मिग्ज थीं। यह क्यों हुआ ? अंग्रेज ने अफ़सरों की भर्ती और प्रोमोशन के लिए सिर्फ़ मेरिट को ही सामने रखा, लेकिन पार्टीशन के बाद जो रीऋट-मेंट हुई, उस में या तो मिलीटरी ब्राफ़िसर्ज ने बड़ी तादाद में ग्रपने रिक्तेदारों को सैपिटनैंट्स की शवल में रवखा या मिनिस्टर सिफ़ारिश करते रहे कि फ़लां को लैफिटनेट लेलो ।

श्री क्यामलाल सर्राफ: क्या माननीय सदस्य ने भी ऐसा किया

भी भागवत का ग्राजाव (भागलपुर): माननीय सदस्य भी तो मिनिस्टर य

Preparedness"

भी सहरी सिंह : मेरे भादमी तो हमेशा मरते रहे हैं, काश्मीर को अस्ताने के सिए मरते रहे हैं।

भो स्यामलाल सर्राफ: मुझे पता है।

भी सहरी सिंह: ग्रब कहा जाता है कि बड़ी तैयारी हो रही है। लेकिन धगर फीज को वाकई ताकतवर बनाना है, तो श्रस्सी फ़ी सदी सिपाही भर्ती किए जाने चाहिये जो कि ग्रागे चल कर कर्नल ग्रीर जर्नल बनें भ्रौर बीस फी सदी को डाय-रेक्ट भाफ़िसर के तौर पर भर्ती किया जाय। धाज सौ में से ग्रस्सी तो बाबसाहव भर्ती किए जाते हैं, जिन में सिर्फ़ यह खुबी होती है कि वे मच्छी मंग्रेजी बोल सकते हैं। पहले तो फिजिकल फिटनेस ग्रीर इन्टैलिजेंस देखी जाती थी. लेकिन भव फ़िजिकल फ़िटनैस को उड़ा ही दियागया है । ग्रब तो एक ही चीज रही है कि ग्रंग्रेजी बोलना ग्राता हो । में यह ग्रर्ज करना चाहता हूं कि जिस जैनेरल की वजह से यह हालत हुई, उस की तरक्की के सवाल को लेकर तीनों सर्विस चीफ्स ने ग्रपने इस्तीफ़े पेश कर दिये थे। उन्होंने गवर्नमेंट के सामने अपने इस्तीफ़े फैंक दिये थे और कहा था कि धगर इस किस्म के ब्रादमी को जैनेरल बनाया जायगा तो यह फौज नहीं चल सकती है। लेकिन उन बेचारों को कौन सुनताथा? उन तीनों चीपस ने जो प्रोटेस्ट करना था वह कर लिया ग्रीर ग्राखिरकार उन को पपने इस्तीफ़े वापस लेने पड़े । लेकिन गवर्नमेंट की पालिसी कानतीजा मुल्क को नेफ़ाकी रिवर्सिज की शक्ल में भुगतना पड़ा। ग्रगर उस जैनेरल को तरक्की न दी जाती, तो म्राज हिन्दुस्तान को शर्म से अपना सिर नीचा नः **फरना** पडता । लेकिन उस को तो प्रैस्टीज का सवाल बना दिया गया था--कहा गया था कि डिफेंस मिनिस्टर यह चाहते हैं।

[श्रो लहरी सिंह]

इसलिए यह जरूरी है कि तरक्की के लिए मेरिट्स को देखना चाहिए । पिछले पांच सात साल में मैरिटस का खयाल नहीं किया गया है, बल्कि जो ग्राफ़िसर ज्यादा नजदीक थे. उन को ही तरक की देदी गई मेरी यह बात ग़लत है, तो सवाल यह है कि क्यों चीफ़ ग्राफ़ दिग्रामीं स्टाफ़ को रिजाइन करना पड़ा । दिब्धन में एक बिगेडियर ने यह लिखा है कि फ़ीज में जो बड़े ग्राला ग्रफ़सर थ, गवर्ननेंट की तरफ़ से उन को रिटायरमेंट के लिए फ़ोर्न किया गया । बढिया से बढिया काम्पीटेंट ग्रफसरों को तो रिटायर होने के लिए क्रोम किया जाय श्रौर किसी श्रीर जैनेरल को प्रोमोणन दी जाय ग्रौर फिर हिन्द्स्तान चाहता है कि हम चीन ग्रौर पाकिस्तान का मकावला करें !

ग्रब भी जो भर्ती हो रही है, उस के बारे में मैं मिनिस्टर साहब को कहना चाहता हं कि यह ठीक है कि ब्रादमी का इन्टेलिजैंट होना जरूरी है, लेकिन महज अंग्रेजी बोलने वालों भ्रौर किसी तरह से इम्प्रैस करने वालों को ग्रगर श्रफ़सर बनाया जायेगा, तो उस का नतीजा यही हे गा कि जब तोपों की दनादन होगी, तो वे जेनेरल हास्पिटल में श्रा कर सोयेंगे । बताया जाता है कि वहां पर जवानों ने कहा कि बाब जी, क्यों भाग रहे हां । हमारी तरफ़ के एक सुवेदार-मेजर थे। उन्होंने कहा कि क्यों भाग रहे हो, यहीं मरना है । वे लोग तो ग्राखिरी दम तक लड़ते रहे, लेकिन कमांड करने वालों का पतानहीं था। जर्मनी ग्राँर इंग्लैंड में अस्ती फी सदी तरिकदां सिपाहियों से की जाती हैं। हमारे क्रिगेडियर बद्धसिंह स्रौर होश्यिरसिंह भी सिपाही भर्ती किए गए थे । लेकिन ग्राज ग्रस्सी फ़ी सदी ग्रंग्रेज़ी बोलने वालों ग्रीर शानदार शक्लो-सूरत रखने वालों को श्रफ़सर बनाने का नतीजा यह है कि जब बन्द्रक ग्रीर तोप चलती है,

तो वे 'खुदा हाफ़िज' कह कर चल देते हैं। इसी वजह से हमारी रिवर्सिज हुईं।

इसलिए मैं आपकी मार्फत मिनिस्टर साहब को पुरजोर तरीके से कहना चाहता हूं कि जिस तरह जमंनी, इंग्लैंड और दूसरे कंट्रीज में किया गया है वैसे ही यहां भी यह तरीका अवतियार किया जाये कि सौ में से अस्सी को दो साल के बाद तरक की दे दी जाये । अफ़सरों के पास इन्टेलिजेंस और दिमाग के साथ साथ दिल होना भी जरूरी है, ताकि वे तोपों की गड़गड़ाहट और हवाई जहाज के गोलों की आवाज को वर्दाश्त कर सकें । उन को लड़ाई की साइंस का भी तजुर्बा होना चाहिए । वहां उन में दिमाग होना चाहिए ।

जहां तक भर्ती का ताल्लुक है, उस में एक ग्रीर नुक्स ग्रा रहा है। पंजाब को स्थोर्ड-ग्रामं कहा जाता है । उस ने हमेशा इस मल्क की हिकाजृत की है। खानदान मग़लिया के वक्त से पंजाब में भर्ती होती थी। ब्राज इस देश में नैकलरिज्म का ढिढोरा पीटा जा रहा है, लेकिन पंजाब में हर एक जगह यह हो रहा है कि राजपूतों श्रीर जाटों के तगड़े श्रीर एफ़िशेंट लड़कों को कहा जारहा है कि तुम्हारी भर्तीनहीं होगी, अब फलां कौम की भर्ती होगी अपर उस कौम वाले ग्रागे ग्राये नहीं हैं। ग्राज सरकार की तरफ़ से इस तरह के तज्बें किये जा रहे हैं। जब हमारी एक सैकुनर स्टेट है, तो जो तगड़े से तगड़ा, एकिशेंट आरदमी हो, उस को भर्ती किया जाये। लेकिन ग्राज पंजाब में मायुसी छा रही है । हजारों की तादाद में तगड़े लड़के ग्राते हैं, लेकिन उन को कहाजाता है कि ग्रब फलां इलाके में, फ़लां स्टेट में, फलां कौम में भर्ती होरही है। यह बात नहीं होती चाहिए। जो फ़र्स्ट क्लास रहा हो, जिस की हिस्ट्री रही हो, जो तगड़ा श्रीर एफ़िशेंट हो, उस की भर्ती किया जाये । ग्रगर ऐसा नहीं किया

जायेगा, तो दिखाने के लिये तो बेशक सरकार छः सात डिवीजन तैयार कर ले, लेकिन मेरा खयाल नहीं है कि हिन्दस्तान की फ़ौज पाकिस्तान श्रीर चीन को दोबारा मकाबला कर सके, क्योंकि ग्रंग्रेजी बोलने वाले कर्नल वग़ैरह लड़ाई न होने तक को खबसूरत वर्दी वग़ैरह पहन कर चलते हैं, लेकिन जब दनादन होगी, तो वे कहीं नज़र नहीं ग्रायेंगे । यह एक बड़ी जबर्दस्त वर्शनग**है** ग्रीर इसको याद रखना चाहिये । मैं यह नहीं कहता कि अंग्रेजी न हो । वह होनी चाहिये। लेकिन इस से भी ज्यादा जरूरी यह है कि हिन्दी हो । ज्यादातर फौजी जो होते हैं वे हिन्दी समझते हैं। उनको समझने तथा समझाने के लिए जनरलों को, कर्नलों की हिन्दी म्रानी चाहिये । म्रगर उनका हिन्दी नहीं भाता है तो वे इनको इन्फ्लएंस नहीं कर सकेंगे। उनके लिए वड़ा मुश्किल हो जाएगा । उनके साथ कांटैक्ट करना बड़ा मश्किल हो जाएगा । मैं कहंगा कि जहां अंग्रेजी हो वहां हिन्दी का होना और भी जरूरी है ताकि सिपाहियों में स्राप जोश भर सकें।

म्राज यहां बिल्कुल उलटी बात हो रही है। आप मेरे साथ चल कर देखें कि जिन लोगों ने हिन्दी बनाई है, उनके जो लड़के स्राते हैं, हुं कट्टे स्रौर तगड़े लड़के श्राते हैं उनको नहीं लिया जा रहा है । राजपुतों, मराठों, डोगरों, मुज्जरों के कितने ही तगड़े लड़के ग्राते हैं लेकिन तजुर्बे के नाम पर उनको नहीं लिया जाता है। सैक्युलर स्टेट का नाम कर दूसरी जमहों पर, दूसरी नौकरियों में तो ग्राप कुछ करते नहीं हैं, लेकिन यहां पर इन में ब्रापने इस तजुर्बे को करना क्ष्ररू कर दिया है ।⊸स्रापने जमीनों पर सीलिंग भी लगा दी है और जिन सिपाहियों के पास थोड़ी योड़ी जमींने थीं, उनसे वे जमीनें भी छीन सी हैं। ग्राप कहते हैं कि ग्राठ एकड़

लोग जमीनें नहीं रख सकेंगे, चार एकड़ या दो एकड़ या एक एकड ही रख सकेंगे। सैक्युलर्जिम इस हद तक ग्रा चुका है कि नौकरियां जो दूसरी होती हैं, उन में यह होता नहीं है, इसको उन में लाया जाता नहीं है लेकिन जब भरती होती है वहां इसको ग्राप ला कर खड़ा कर देते हैं। आप कह देते हैं कि जाटों की भरतो नहीं होगी, राजपूतों की भरती नहीं होगो, मराशं की भरती नहीं होगो। यह जो तरीका आपका है यह बिल्कूल गलत तरीका है । ग्रगर ग्रापको कोई तजुर्बा करना है तो वह ग्राप बाद में कर सकते है। ग्राज जरूरत इस बात की है कि कंटी को तैयार किया जाए। जब तक तमाम कट्टो तैयार नहीं हो जाती है, तब तक आप इस तरह का तज्बा न करें। लड़ाई का डर, मौत का डर ग्रौर तोपों की दनदनाहट हर कोई बरदाश्त नहीं कर सकता है । इसको बही बरदाश्त कर सकते हैं जो सख्स काम करते हैं, वही बरदाश्त कर सकते हैं जो सख्त पेशे करते हों ग्रौर सख्त तरोके से रहते हों । यह जो बारूद ग्राप भरते जा रहे हैं यह फोका है। वैसे ही ग्राप लोगों को खड़े किए जा रहे हैं। यह कौम का सवाल है, मुल्क का सवाल है। जहां पर कौम का ग्रौर मुल्क का सवाल हों, वहां इस तरह को बातें नहीं उठाई जानी चाहियें ।

म्राप कहते हैं कि म्राप म्राटोमैटिक राइफल्ज बना रहे हैं । यह ठीक है । लेकिन क्या इन से ही लड़ा जा सकता है ? क्या लड़ाई के लिए ग्रौर कई बातों की जरूरत नहीं है ? क्या ग्रापके पास काफी ग्रौर ग्रच्छी सी० ग्राई० डी० है ? इसके बिना ग्राप नहीं जान सकते 🥇 कि दुश्मन कितना ताकतवर है, उसकी क्या हालत है । साथ ही साथ ग्राप देखें कि स्राज लड़ाई एयरफोर्स की है, मिसाइल्ज

[श्री लहरी सिंह]

की है, दूसरी चीजों से वह लड़ी जाती है। ग्राप ग्राटोमैटिक राइफल्ज पर ही न बैठे रहें। ग्रापके पास क्या ग्रन्छे भौर बढ़िया हवाई जहाज हैं ? लोहिया साहब ने ठीक ही कहा या कि हमारे प्रधान मंत्री साहव तो दोनों गटों के घंदाजे लगा रहे हैं, इस गुट के भीर उस गट के । मैं कहना चाहता हं कि इस म ग्रापको एक पैसा नहीं मिला है भौर न ही मिलने वाला है। कोई ब्रार्म्स भी धापको मिलने वाले नहीं हैं। भापको इन सब चीजों को इंग्लैंड ग्रीर ग्रमरीका से क्री लाना पडेगा । वही ग्रापको देंगे । **ब**हां भी ग्राप सोच रहे हैं लें या न लें। को कुछ भ्रापने लिया है वह भी दिल से नहीं लिया है । ग्राप कहते हैं कि ग्राप मिग विमान बनायेंगे । छोटी चीजें भी नो ग्राप बनाते हैं ठीक नहीं दनाते हैं। **पें** कहना चाहता हं कि मिग हवाई षहाज भी नहीं बन सकेंगे भौर ग्रगर बनेंगे भी तो तब बनेंगे जब दनिया की लडाई खत्म हो जाएगी । ग्राप ने ढिंढोरा पीटना शुरू कर दिया है कि मिग विमान **भा**प बनाने जा रहे हैं । यह उसी तरह से है जैसे जब ग्रम्बर चर्खा ईजाद हग्रा यातो कहा गया था कि ग्रब तो मांचेस्टर **ब**न्द हो जायेगा । ये मिग वगैरह कुछ नहीं हैं । भ्रापका नान-एलाइतमेंट होता रहेगा । स्रापको च हिये कि स्रमरीका से, एशिया से, जहां कहीं से भी हो ज्यादा से ज्यादा हवाई जहाज हासिल करें। मैं तो यह कहता हूं कि बन्द्रकों तक ही ग्राप धपने प्रयत्नों को महदूद कर लें। हवाई जहाज ग्रगर ग्रापने बना भी लिये तो भी स्या होगा इसको ग्राप देखें । ग्राज कितनी कैज्युएलटीज हो री हैं, इसको ग्राप देखें । नया हवाई जहाज ग्रापने ग्रगर बना लिया तो भ्रौर भी कुछ श्राप खो बैठेंगे। इस तजर्बे में ग्राप भीर भी खो बैठेगे ।

माखिर में मैं इंटैलीजेंस के बारे में कुछ कहना चाहता हूं। रिपोर्ट के ग्राखिर में कहा गया है कि हमारे जनरल भी खराब हैं, गलत तरीके से हमारे टिफेंस मिनिस्टर ने काम किये. गलत तरीके से लोगों को रिटायर किया । बहुत से ट्रेटर्ज किएट कर दिये द्यार्मी में । श्राप देखें कि ग्रामीं में इनाम किन लोगों को दिये गये, तरिक्कयां किन लोगों को दी गईं । इनाम उनको मिले जिन्होंने कोठरियां बनाई, जिन्होंने क्वार्टर बनाये, जिन्होंने भारत सेवक समाज के लिए काम किया, मिट्टी खोदी । दूश्मन हमारे ऊपर बैठा था भीर यहां यह काम हो रहे ये । उन को ज्यादा तरिकयां दे दी गईं जिन्होंने ज्यादा क्वार्टर बनाये ।

इंटेलीजेंस का यह हाल था कि हम पर जब हमला हुआ तो हमें मालूम भी नहीं था कि दुश्मन की पोजिशन क्या है, उनके पास क्या है, उनका बिल्ड-अप कैसा है । मैं एक दो सैनटेंस पढ़ देना बाहता हूं :—

"Thus our troops had no requisite knowledge of the Chinese tactics and ways of war, their weapons, equipment and capabilities. Knowledge of the enemy helps to build up confidence."

पालिर में जो लिखा है:

"Collection of intelligence was not satisfactory. Thus a clear picture of the Chinese build-up was not made available."

भौर ग्रागे लिखा है :---

"There is no doubt that a major overhauling of the intelligence system is required." जिस मुल्क की इंटैलीजेस न हो, जिस मुल्क को यह भी न मालूम हो कि दुश्यन की ताकत कितनी है, उसकी कितनी फीज सामने है, उस मल्क का क्या हाल होगा, इसको भ्राप समझ सकते हैं। क्यों श्राप ग्रपनी एम्बैसी को वहां बिठाये हुए हैं ? यहां की सारी खंबरें तो वहां चली जाती हैं, यहां की एक एक बात वहां चली जाती है, तुम्हारे दफ्तरों की एक एक बात वहां चली जाती है, लेकिन वहां की एक भी बात न माये, यह कितने भ्रफसोस की बात है । जिस मुख्क की इंटैलीजेंस भी तैयार न हो सकी हो, वह क्या कर सकता है । मुझे एक खबर मिली है, मझे पता नहीं कहां तक यह सच है ग्रीर उसको मैं श्रापके सामने रखना चाहता हं। म्राप इसका पता लगा सकते हैं। पं.ियों ने बहुत ही चालाकी से काम किया है । उन्होंने भपनी कुछ, भौरतों भेज दिया कि जाम्रो ध्रौर भेद लाम्रो। वे एक एक्सचेंज में ग्रा गई ग्रीर बहत सी बातों का भेद ले कर चली गईं। यह बात मुझे फौजी ने बताई है ग्रीर माननीय मंत्री इसकी सचाई का पता लगा सकते हैं । उनकी इंटैलीजेंस में लड़िकयां लगी हुई हैं, उनकी एम्बैसी लगी हुई है, यहां के भी कुछ लोग लगे हुए हैं लेकिन जहां तक हमारी इंटैलीजेंस का सम्बन्ध है, हमारी एम्बैसी एक कोने में वहां बन्द पड़ी हुई है, उस में कोई वाकत ही नहीं है । मैं पूछना चाहता हूं कि इंटैलीजेंस का न होना, श्रामी का न होना, भ्रच्छे जनरल का न होना, रोड्ज का न होना, ग्रच्छे हथियारों का न होना, क्याइस पार्लियामैंट का कुसूर है ? कुसूर सिर्फ किसी मिनिस्टर का ही हो सकता है, डायरेक्टली उसी का हो सकता है, उसी को चार्जशेट किया जा सकता है, उसी पर इंडिक्टमेंट है भीर उसको डाक में खडा किया जाना **पा**हिये । यह कहना कि पंडित जी को

ग्मराह किया गया, कोई मानी नहीं रखता है। सरदार पटेल जो एक बार कह दिया करते थे, उसको पूरा कर दिया करते ये । उन्होंने कहा हैदराबाद में यह हो श्रीर वह हुआ । उनकी बात लोगों को जंघ जाती थी । प्रधान मंत्री जी ने कहा कि जाग्रो, चीनियों निकाल दो लेकिन कुछ नहीं हुन्ना । पंडित जी सौ तकरीरें दे लें, लोगों को पंडित जी पर यकीन नहीं होता है । एक तरफ तो उन्होने कह दिया एनकोचमेंट को खत्म कर दो लेकिन बाद में जब वह बात नहीं होती है तो कुछ जंचता नहीं है। मैं प्रधान मंत्री जी की इज्जत करता हूं लेकिन में कहना चाहता हं कि इस तरह से लोगों में एंध्यूजिएज्म पैदा नहीं होता है । नारा श्राप लगाते रहें नेकिन नारे से कुछ होता नहीं है। ग्रच्छाई इसी में है कि उचित रीति से धौर सोच समझ कर ही आप कुछ तबदीली करें।

श्री भागवत झा श्राजाद: उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, नेफा के सामरिक कट धनुभव को श्रौर उस पर की गई जांच रिपोर्ट को देखने के कितने ही पहलू हैं। पहला ग्रीर स्वस्थ दृष्टिकोण तो यह होगा कि हम देखें कि ग्रपने इन कटु ग्रन्भवों के बाद, श्रपनी श्रसफलताश्रों के बाद हम भविष्य में घ्रपनी फौज को किस प्रकार सुव्यस्थित करें, इसका संगठन किस प्रकार से करें । दूसरा दृष्टिकोण यह है जिस कौ ले कर हमारे प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री जी ने इस वाद-विवाद को प्रारम्भ किया है मा चौघरी लहरी सिंह जी ने जिस का जिक ग्रपने भाषण में किया है। उन्होंने कहा है कि भारत सेवक समाज ही हम ने पैदा किया है । मैं कहना चाहता हुं कि जिस देश ने भारत सेवक समाज जैसी संस्थायें पैदा की हैं, उस देश ने चौबरी लहरी सिंह जैसे विद्वान भी पैदा हैं जिनको मिग विमान **फैन्ट्री**

[श्री भागवत झा ग्राजाद]

ग्रौर प्रम्बर चर्खे में कोई फर्क नजर नहीं स्राता है। यह कैसे कहा जा सकता है कि कुछ पैदा नहीं हम्रा है । बहत कुछ पैदा हम्रा है । प्रश्न केवल दिष्टिकोण कुछ, सज्जन हैं जिन्होंने ग्रपनी ग्रांखों पर चश्मा लगा रखा है श्रीर उनको नेफा की ग्रसफलताओं में श्रपनी फौज की पराजय का ही रूप दिखाई देता है । मैं समझता हं नेफा की ग्रसफलताग्रों को पराजय रूप देना ग्रौर ग्रपने जवानों के बारे में यह कहना कि चीनी लडकियों के साथ वे चुम्बन में थे, यह कहना कि हमारी फौज के बहादुर जवान, हमारी फौज के बहादुर श्रफसर शराब पीते हैं, हमारी फौज के ें बहादर लोगों के साथ . . .

् श्री लहरी सिंह: गलत कह रहे हैं माननीय सदस्य । मैंने यह कभी नहीं कहा ।

श्री भागवत झा श्राजाद : उन्होंने यह कहा है कि सनने में यह श्राया है कि सीनियों ने श्रपने यहां की श्रीरतों को भेज दिया हमारी फौज में श्रीर हमारी फौज के श्रादमी उनमें मग्न हो गए । मुझे श्रफसोस के साथ कहना पड़ता है कि माननीय सदस्य इस तरह की बातें हमारी फौज के बारे में कह कर उसका मनोबल नहीं बढ़ा रहे हैं।

में यह कह रहा था कि इस रिपोर्ट को देखने का एक दूसरा रूप है, एक दूसरा दृष्टिकोण है जो स्वस्थ द्िटकोण है। यह ठीक है कि हमने भलितयां की हैं, हमें असफलता का सामना करना पड़ा है, हमें कटु अनुभव हुआ है। लेकिन जैसा हमारे प्रतिरक्षा मंत्री ने बताया है इस रिपोर्ट का एक उद्देश्य है और वह यह है कि किस प्रकार से इन असफलताओं के आधार पर हम अपनी फौज का,

चाहे वह पहाड़ी युद्ध हो या मैदानी, चाहे वह जवानों के सम्बन्ध में हो या ग्रफसरों के सम्बन्ध में, चाहे वह सामरिक ग्रस्त्रों के सम्बन्ध में हो या दूसरे ग्रस्त्रों के सम्बन्ध में हो, किस प्रकार से संगठन कर सकते हैं, इसको देखना चाहिये । जो रूप इस वाद-विवाद को पिछले दो तीन दिनों से दिया जा रहा है, उससे तो यही ध्वनि निकलती है कि हमारे विरोधी दलों के मानन य सदस्य यही चाहते हैं कि किसी व्यक्ति का सिर उड़ा दिया जाए । दुर्भाग्य तो यह है कि उन्होंने कोई इतिहास नहीं पढ़ा । ग्रगर उन्होंने इतिहास पढ़ा होता तो उन्हें मालम होता ऐतिहासिक द्वितीय महा युद्ध में या उस के पहले प्रथम माल्युद्ध में जब लायड जार्जने "गैली पोल कै पेन" में चर्चिल को अन्धकार के गर्त में भेज दिया तो उस के बाद भी इंग्लैंड की अनता ने द्वितीय महायद्ध में उसे अपना नेता बनाया. श्रीर नेता बनाने के बाद दो साल तक इंग्लैंड के गणतंत्र जब उसके साथ में ग्रमरीका था. साथ रूस का ग्रधिनायक तन्त्र था. उसके प्रधान मंत्री र्चीचल को भ्रपनी पराजय को सिर्फ पराजय नहीं बल्कि यद्ध के विध्वंत को हाउस ग्राफ कामन्स में कहना पड़ा । दो सात तक उस भयंकर स्थिति में जब इंलैंड की हार पर हार होती गई, जब हिटलर ने नाश्ते के वक्त हालैंड को लिया ग्रौर उस के बाद खाने के वक्त डेनमार्क को लिया, जब फ्रांस की मैजिनो लाइन बारह घंटों में टूट गई, उस वक्त भी हाउस ग्राफ कामन्स के ग्रन्दर चर्चिल की सरकार में श्रविश्वास प्रस्ताव नहीं पेश किया गया । इंग्लैंड की जनता ने, हाउस ग्राफ कामन्स ने वहां की फौज को, वहां की आर्मी को शराब पीने वाला इंकर्ड नहीं बतलाया, वहां की जनता ने ग्रपने ज्वानों पर यह लांछन नहीं लगाया कि वे विदेशी मौरतों

के साथ थे । लेकिन किया क्या श्री प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री ने ? क्या किया श्री लहरी सिंह ने ? क्या किया श्री नाथ पाई ने ? श्री नाथ पाई ने कहा, हमारे सामने हन्होंने यह कहा, कि वहां के जवानों ने कहा कि उन्हें जानवरों की तरह से मारा गया । मझे उन के कहने पर दुःख है । हमारी फौज ने यह नहीं कहा कि हम जानवरों की तरह से मारे गये। हमारी फौज ने कहा कि हम बडी बहादूरी के साथ मरे । कविता बनाने वाले श्री नाथ पाई को यह बात मालुम नहीं। में कहना चाहता हूं कि सब से बड़ी हानि इस देश को हो रही है पी० एस० पी० के इन महान मस्केटियरस से, इस देश को हानि हो रही है प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री से । यह हानि हो रही है इस देश की जनता के मनोबल की, इस देश के फीज के मनोबल की ग्रीर इस देश के लोगों...

श्री मोहन स्वरूप (पीलीमीत) : देशमिक्त के ठेकेदार तो ग्राप हैं।

भी भागवत हा द्राजाव : श्राप समझिये । द्वितीय महायद्ध में होर बेलिशा को जो इंग्लैंड के रक्षा मंत्री थे, वहां के सूचना मंत्री मैंकमिलन को, जो कि वहां के सूचना मंत्री थे, सरकार से हटना पड़ा था । शायद माननीय सदस्य ने इतिहास नहीं पढ़ा, महायुद्ध की कहानियां नहीं पढ़ीं। उसी समय में एक नहीं, दो नहीं, तीन नहीं, बीसों कमांडरों ने ग्रपने स्थान छोड कर नये ग्रादिमयों को स्थान दिये। लेकिन इंग्लैंड, ग्रमरीका या रूस की जनता ने इस तरह नेफा जैसी रिपोर्ट पर सिर्फ एक, दो, तीन डिवीजनों को नहीं, सम्पूर्ण फौज को गालियां दे कर अपनी जनता के मनोबल को नहीं तोड़ा । यह किसी ने नहीं कहा, कब कहा प्रधान मंत्री ने, कब कहा रक्षा मंत्री ने, कि मुझ से गलतियां नहीं हुईं।

इस रिपोर्ट में कहा गया है कि हमारे पास सामरिक श्रस्त्र नहीं थे । यह भी कहा गया है कि यहां पर, विरोधी सदस्यों का कहना था कि जो सामरिक ग्रस्त्र थे वे फंटस पर नहीं थे, मोर्ची पर नहीं थे । लेकिन यह कहना झूठ है । यह कहना कि उन के पास जुते नहीं थे, यह झठ है। श्री नाथ पाई ने बड़े जोर जोर से कहा कि तुम कांग्रेस वाले कहते थे कि जुते नहीं थे, तुम कांग्रेस वाले कहते थे उनके पास ग्रस्त्र थे ग्रौर हमारे इन चीजों के नहीं होने की बात पर डिफेंस मिनिस्टर ने कहा कि यस. यस. यस । कहां कहा डिहेंस मिनिस्टर ने 'यस, यस, यस" ? डिफेंस मिनिस्टर ने कहा कि हमारे पास ग्रोवर ग्राल इक्विवमेंट की कमी थी कहना कि वहां सामरिक ग्रस्त्र नहीं थे यह झूठ है। इस पर मुझे वह बात याद म्रा जाती है जो कि हिटलर कहा करता था। हिटलर ने कहा कि एक झठ को सौबार दोहराग्रो तो वह सच होगा । हिटलर ने कहा कि देश की ग्राधिक स्थिति को तोड़ दो, ब्रेंस दि एकान मिक स्टेंग्ब, हिटलर ने कहा कि देश के मनोबल को तोड दो ग्रीर उसके बाद फौज पर दखल हो जायेगा । मेरी यह निश्चित राय है कि हमारे यह विरोधी सदस्य चाहे व पी० एस० पी० के हों, चाहे जनसंघ के हों चाहे प्रकाश वीर शास्त्री के रूप में हों, यह इस देश की जनता के मनोबल को, यह इस देश की फौज के मनोबल को, यह इस देश के नेहल को, उस जवाहरलाल के नेतत्व को जिस नेतत्व का डंका सम्पूर्ण संसार में बजना है, तोड़ना चाहते हैं। कोई भी देश युद्ध के वक्त में ऋपने नेतृत्व को नहीं तोड़ता । ग्रगर किसी देश में यद्ध के वक्त में नेतृत्व नहीं था तो उस ने लीडरशिप को किएट किया, उसे बनाया। लेकिन यहां पर हमारे बहादूर आदमी, जो मिग ग्रीर ग्रम्बर चर्खे के फर्क को नहीं

[श्री भागवत झा ग्राजाद]

जानते हैं, चाहते हैं कि इस मनोबल को तोड़ दिया जाय । यह नहीं हो सकता । इसिलये यह कहना कि ऐसी बात कहीं नहीं हुई यह सरासर गलत है । गणतंत्र कभी भी घपने देश की जनता के मुख से रोटी छीन कर बराबर हथियार नहीं बनाता । यही इंग्लैंड ने किया, यही धमरीका ने किया, लेकिन जब गणतंत्र के घन्दर घमिट ताकत जागती है तो उस ताक्त के जगने के बाद कभी द्विटसर जैसे, मुसोलिनी जैसे, घादमियों को शरण नहीं मिलती है ।

हिन्द्स्तान के ऊपर चढ़ाई हुई नेका मीर लहाख में, यह बात सच है, हमारी मसफलतावे प्रारम्भ में हुई, कौन इतिहास का विद्यार्थी यह नहीं जानता कि ग्राकमण को, इन्वेडर को प्रयम सफलता मिलती है, कौन इस बात को नहीं जानता कि घाज तक जितने महायद्ध हुए, चाहे प्रथम महायुद्ध हो चाहे द्वितीय महायुद्ध हो, या भागामी न्यक्लिग्रर बार हो, उन में जो पहला धाकामक होगा उस को स्वभावतया पहले सफलता मिलेगी? यही सफलता यहां मिली । लेकिन भाज लं. म भूल रहे हैं विस्टन चर्चिल के यह शब्द कि: डिमाकेसी लुजेज दी बैटल नाट दी वार हम ने एक महान हानि उठाई, लेकिन हम ने ग्राज तक हथियार नहीं डाले, लेकिन यह बात कह कर, इन सारे जुल्मों को यहां कहं कर कि हमारी फौज के भ्रफसर मपने देश के नक्शे बेचते हैं, यह कह कर कि हमारे देश की फौज के ग्रफसर शराब पी कर सीकेट्स बेचते हैं, यह कह कर कि हमारे देश में कुछ है ही नहीं, हमारी ग्रसफलताग्रों को पराजयों की संज्ञा दे कर, यह कह कर कि शैतान सिंह भीर होशियार सिंह की भात्मायें क्या कहती होंगी लोगों के मनोबल को तोड़ा जा रहा है। मैं इस प्रश्न का जवाब देता हं प्रकाशबीर शास्त्री को कि शैतान सिंह

श्रीर होषियार सिंह की श्रात्मायें यह कहती होंगी कि हमने बहादुरी से लड़ाई लड़ों लेकिन इस प्रकार की बातें कह कर हम मृत श्रात्माओं के ऊपर कलंक का टीका लगाया जाता है। इस देश की हजारों विश्ववाओं के श्रांस प्रकाशवीर शास्त्रों के जवाब में यह कहेंगे कि हमारे महान पतियों ने देश के लिय स्वामिमान के लिये इतना त्याग किया लेकिन आज संस् इ में बैठ कर इन तमाम जवानों को, इन तमाम श्रफ्तरों को, इन तमाम श्रादिम्यों को इरपोक कहा जाता है श्रीर उन के सिर पर कलंक का टीका लगाया जाता है।

श्री यक्षपाल सिंह (कैराना) : डरपोक नहीं कहा गया, यह बिस्कुल झ्ठ है, यह गलत बात कही जा रही है ।

भी भागवत सा ग्राजाद : मैं जानता हं कि ठाकूर यशपाल सिंह ने नहीं कहा। ग्रभी ग्राप के एक मित्र ने कहा कि हमारे जवान जानवरों की तरह से मरे। म्राप मभी तुरन्त म्राये हैं, इसलिये घाप सून नहीं पाये । उन्होंने हालात को बतलाया । हमें खुशी है कि श्रपोजीशन में मभी ऐसे बहादुर बादमी हैं जो कहते हैं कि यह बात गलत है। मैं भी यही कह रहा हं कि सारी बाते गलत हैं। श्राकामक को हमेशा पहले सुविधा रहती है। इस लिये हमारी फौज के सम्बन्ध में, उस की तैयारी के सम्बन्ध में, जो कुछ कहा गया गलत है । यह बात मैं मानता हूं कि हमने भ्रपनी प्रायोरिटीज को, हम ने भ्रपने उस दबाव को किसी भीर रूप में देखा। यह सब कोई करता है । मगर सब जानते हैं कि कोई भी घादमी भपने पड़ौसी को शुरू में ही जुल्मी मान कर नहीं चलता है । कोई भी राष्ट्र प्रपने बगल के राष्ट्र को ग्रन्यायी मान कर नहीं **च**लता है। किसी ने ग्राज तक नहीं किया। श्री नाथ पाई ने कहा कि १७ साल तक पालियामेंट ने दिफेन्स बजट

पास किया, लेकिन श्री नाम पाई यह क्यों भूलते हैं कि जिस समय यह बजट सदन में रक्खा गया, उस वक्त सिर्फ डिफोन्स का पहलु नहीं था । सम्पूर्ण धाय भीर व्यय का जो लेखा इस सदन के सम्मुख रक्खा गया उसमें हिफोन्स भी बा, उस में इरिगेशन एंड पावर भी था. उसमें स्वास्थ्य भी बा उसमें कम्यनिटी हेवेलेपमेंट भी था। किसी सदस्य ने माज तक नहीं कहा कि इरिगेशन एंड पावर का बजट काट कर, स्वास्थ्य का बजट काट कर, कम्युनिटी डेवलेपमेंट का बजट काट कर, जो डिफेन्स ५०० करोड का है उसे ७०० करोड़ का कर दो । में श्राप से इसका एक उदाहरण चाहता हं। हां, उदाहरण यह है कि श्राचार्य कुपालानी ने, वि ग्रेट ग्राचार्य ने, कहा वा कि, डिफेन्स पर सीलिंगलगाध्यो । इस का उदाहरण है इस सदन के सामने ।

श्री मो न स्वरूर जो रुपया यहां से मंजूर किया गया था वह भी तो वापस किया गया प्रधिकांश ।

भी भागवत हा धावाद : मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि जिस समय सदन में माननीय सदस्य नहीं भ्राये थे उस समय जब यहां पर बजट पास हो रहा था उस में कहीं नहीं कहा गया । क्यों ? इसलिय नहीं कहा गया कि इस देश ने यह चाहा कि हिमालय पहाड़ के उस पार के पड़ोसी से हमारा मैत्री सम्बन्ध हो । हम माज भी इस बात पर जोर देते सामरिक तैयारियों के बावजद भी असैनिक हल खोजने का प्रयास होना चाहिये कोलम्बो पावसं ने जो प्रस्ताव रखे माज उनका समर्थन करीब करीब सारा संसार कर रहा है। भीर रूस ने भी कल बहुत स्पष्ट शब्दों में कहा कि चीन बाक्रमक या, भीर चीन से रूस ने कहा है कि कोलम्बो प्रस्तावों के घाघार पर हिन्दुस्तान से बात करो । इसलिये मैं कहना चाहता हुं कि सामरिक तैयारियों के साथ साथ हिन्द्स्तान

को अपनी नीति के अनुकूल दूसरी प्रकार भी इस समस्या के हल को खोजने का प्रयास करना चाहिये । लेकिन इसका यह धर्म नहीं होता कि हमारी सैनिक तैयारियां जैसा कि मैंने पहले कहा इस मामने संसद की जवाबदेही ग्रीर सरकार की भी जवाबदेही है। लेकिन यह कहना सही नहीं होगा कि इसमें किसी व्यक्ति विशेष या किसी कमांडर विशेष की गलती थी। हमारे भतपूर्व प्रतिरक्षा मंत्री श्री कृष्ण मैनन प्रतिरक्षा मंत्री नहीं रहे लेकिन वे जीते जागते इस सदन में सदस्य की हैसियत से बैठ हैं लेकिन फिर भी उनका भूत लोगों के सिर पर चढ़ कर बोलता रहता है। यह चीज कोई हिन्दुस्तान के इतिहास में ही नई नहीं हुई है, इस प्रकार की परि-स्थितियां ग्रन्य देशों में भी ग्रायी हैं ग्रौर लोगों को भ्रपने पदों से हटना पड़ा है। सम्बन्ध में मैं होर बैलिशा, मिस्टर ईंडन, मैकमिलियन भ्रादि के नाम गिना सकता हुं जब कि द्वितीय महायुद्ध में बहुत से नोगों को भ्रपनी नीति के भनरूप जब सफलता नहीं मिली तो वे चले गए। लेकिन ग्राज जो महां लोगों केसिर पर जीवित इन्सान भूत नामता है इसका कोई इलाज नहीं है।

में यह कहना चाहता हूं कि इस संसब् ने बार बार इस बात पर बल दिया है कि देश की आर्थिक व्यवस्था को मुदृढ़ करो । उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, बोकारो, दुर्गापुर, ऐसे इस्पात कारखाने कुछ वर्षों में बनाए जा सकते हैं, लेकिन इस्पाती इन्सान बनाने में समय लगता है । हिन्दुस्तान की आजादी के बाद इस देश में उन इस्पाती इन्सानों को बनाया गया जिन इन्सानों ने एक स्वर से आक्रमणकारी के खिलाफ आवाज उठायी धौर उसको हिमायल के उस पार मेज दिया । मैं समझता हूं कि आज जो तैयारियां हो रहीं है वे ठीक हैं । [श्री भागवत झा ग्राजाद]

श्रव मैं ग्रपने वक्तव्य को समाप्त करते हुए एक दो विषेदों पर ग्रौर प्रकाश डालना चाहता हूं।

रक्षा मंत्री ने हमारी सामरिक तैयारियों के विषय में ग्रपने वक्तव्य में बतलाया कि हमारी ब्रार्डनेन्स फैक्टरीज में दुगना गोला बारूद बनने लगा है ग्रीर ग्राटोमैंटिक वैपन्स बनाए जा रहे हैं। ग्रीर भी तमाम बातों का उल्लेख किया । हमारे एक माननीय सदस्य ने सिकन्दर की बात कही, पुरु की बात कही, समद्रगप्त की बात कही। लेकिन वे भूल जाते हैं कि ग्राज की लड़ाई घोड़ों स्रौर फौज की लड़ाई नहीं है। स्राज सबसे महत्वपूर्ण लड़ाई एयरफोर्स की होती है। यह ठीक है कि हमारे मित्रों से हमको कुछ ग्रंशों में सहायता मिल रही है, जिसका इतना ढोल पीटा जाता है, तो मैं सरकार से जानना चाहता हं कि हमारे मित्र हमको ग्राटोमैटिक वैपन्स के ग्रलावा ग्रौरं क्या सहायता कर रहे हैं। ग्रगर ग्राज हमको ध्रपनी फौजी ताकत को मजबत करना है तो हमको सुपरसानिक जैटस की सहायता मिलनी चाहिये। ग्रगर हिन्दुस्तान को चीन से फैसला करना है, तो वह नेफा ग्रौर लद्दाख की बर्फीली घाटियों में नहीं होगा. वह होगा पीकिंग, ल्हासा स्रौर दिल्ली तथा कलकत्ता के मैदानों में । इसलिये हम श्रपनी सरकार से जानना चाहते हैं कि क्या उनको ग्रपने इन मित्रों से सुपरसानिक जैट मिले हैं। ग्रमरीका ने ग्रपने मित्र ग्रयुब खां को, जब वह वहां पर गए तो, एक सूपरसानिक जैट्स का स्क्वाडरन प्रजेंट किया। हम जानना चाहते हैं कि क्या हमको पैसा देने पर भी हमारे वे पाइचात्य मित्र सूपरसानिक जैट्स देने को तैयार हैं? क्या वह इंग्लैंड जो भ्राज चीन को कामेट जैट एयरकाफ्टं दे रहा है, हमको भी देगा ? हम रूस को भन्यवाद देते हैं कि उसने हमको मिंग वायुयान दिये और उनको बनाने का उपाय भी बतला रहा है। यह ठीक है कि हमको अपनी हर प्रकार की तैयारी करनी चाहिये और अपने डिफेंस को इम्प्रूब करना चाहिये, लेकिन जब हम चीन जैसे जालिम आक्रमणकारी का मुकाबला कर रहे हैं तो हमको अपनी एयरफोर्स को मजबूत बनाना चाहिये, और मैं अपने डिफेंस मिनिस्टर से पूछना चाहता हूं कि क्या हिन्दुस्तान की सरकार ने अपने पाश्चात्य मित्र इंग्लैंड और अमरीका से मुपरसानिक जैट्स की मांग की है, और अगर मांग की है—तो चाहे पैसे के बदले में ही सही या किसी और रूप में सही,—उनको क्या जवाब मिला है।

में अपने वक्तव्य को समाप्त करते हुए कहना चाहता हूं कि हमारी तैयारियां ठीक हैं और नेफा रिपोर्ट के आघार पर हमको कार्रवाई करनी चाहिये। लेकिन साथ साथ अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय पृष्ठभूमि में हमें सामरिक तैयारियों के बावजूद असैनिक हल की भी खोजने का प्रयास करना चाहिय। हमको दोनों तरफ से बढ़ना चाहिय। हमको दोनों तरफ से बढ़ना चाहिय। यह ठीक है कि हमरी नीति नान-एलाइनमेंट की और शान्ति की नीति है, लेकिन इसका यह अर्थ नहीं कि हम दुस्मन के सामने घुटने टेक दें। अपनी नीति के अनुरूप हम तैयारियां करें और साथ साथ असैनिक हल ढूंढने का प्रयत्न भी करते रहें।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Shri Hanumanthaiya

Shri Sheo Narain (Bansi): Sir, I come from the Nepal border. We do not get a chance. We are not able to catch your eye. We are being neglected here.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Order, order— Shri Hanumanthaiya.

Preparedness"

Hanumanthaiya Shri (Bangalore City): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, the speeches so far made by the Opposition party Members are rather an extension of their speeches on the no-confidence motion. This is a debate which centres round a report searchingly submitted to this House discussion. We ought to have concentrated on the lessons that we have learnt from this NEFA debacle and made suggestions to ensure victory for our armed forces and India. Very eloquent speeches were made by brilliant speakers of the Jan Sangh, the Swatantra Party and the P. S. P. They are all speaking about issues that are dead. They invariably bring in a few names whether the occasion warrants it or not. Mr. Menon's name is very often used, and by the Members of the Opposition parties very often abused.

When discussing this report which pointedly shows to us our sense of shame, the sense of defeat, we ought to be in a mood of repentance and devotion to duty, and we have to do things in a way that ensures victory. That psychology ought to make the speeches impartial.

If I wish to speak impartially on this subject. I do not resort to arguments which can be employed on either side according to the inclination of the speaker. I want to quote facts and figures. Shri Prakash Vir Shastri and Shri P. K. Deo of the Swatantra Party repeatedly quoted that Shri Menon had surrendered about Rs. 130 crores which was earmarked for expenditure. Sometimes defence figures lead, sometimes figures mislead. I have had to do something with budget-making for a few years. know these figures have been quoted in a misleading manner. I will show you how it is.

The expenditure on defence budget has not been fully utilised. The explanation is that purchases could not be made in time because there are official procedures to be and there are necessary delays which we have to take into consideration. These things do always happen. When we have to acquire land or purchase arms and other things, the does not disappear if we do not spend it in that year. W_{e} repeat same figure in the next budget for this very same purpose. Therefore, if about Rs. 20 crores is not spent in a particular year, say, in the year 1957-58, that Rs. 20 crores is carried to the next budget and like that it goes on year after year. If we add Rs. 20 crores which lapsed in one year, to the next year allotment of the very same figure of Rs. 20 crores it would look like 40 crores for two years, though it is actually Rs. 20 crores.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: It might have happened in Mysore.

Shri Kapur Singh (Ludhiana): This kind of thing does not happen. Perhaps in Mysore it happens.

Shri Sham Lal Saraf: But all that money did not lapse in one year.

Shri Hanumanthaiya: If you wait for my explanation you will see the reason behind it. I am not interested in criticizing you or defending anybody. I am merely stating facts in an impartial manner. Therefore this Rs. 130 crores lapse has not gone to waste nor has it been surrendered. It is a question of manipulation and adding figures. If the Defence Ministry issues an official communique as to how much money was earmarked for a particular purpose and in what year it was spent and if you give a correct picture, it would certainly not be Rs. 130 crores; it may be less than half that figure or even nil.

You say it is Shri Menon who surrendered this amount. I am sorry, that word ought not to have been used. The difference between budgeted figure and the actual expenditure is in the very nature of budgeting. It applies not merely to Defence but to every Ministry. Let SEPTEMBER 21, 1963

[Shri Hanumanthaiya]

hon. Members look into other ministries' figures and they will invariably find that the budgeted figure is not spent and that some money lapses. It is not a question of surrender. Because of difficulties pertaining to procedure in spending or that proper care has to be taken in spending, money is not utilised in a particular year and is utilised in the next year.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy (Kendrapara): Lack of proper planning also.

Shri Hanumanthaiya: Take example, previous 10 years' figure which I have got. If my hon. friends argue that for the last five years because Shri Menon was the Defence Minister Rs. 130 crores had been surrendered—that word is incerrectly used; it has been utilised in subsequent years—from 1950-51 to 1956-57, in six years, when non-controversial figures were Defence Ministers more than Rs. 100 crores lapsed like this. Let us remember that then the military budgets were not as big as was the case in subsequent years. Therefore this lapsing is not the particular fault of one Defence It has been happening Minister. throughout.

An Hon. Member: In other ministries also.

Shri Hanumanthalya: It had been happening in this Ministry all along the budget-making process. It has been happening in other ministries also. Therefore to get into a mood of personal rancour and attribute all sorts of motives to a particular person is not the kind of psychology that ought to pervade our minds in this hour of crisis.

I am particularly anxious and I have a premonition that the Chinese will again attack. The very people who expected the Chinese not to attack India said that they placed faith in Chinese friendship rather wrongly and they confessed that they were deceived. Let us not be deceived again

The other day an old and respected Member of this House who is not very much in the limelight, Shri V. B. Gandhi, very slowly but very cogently argued that China will again at tack. Let it be noted that China will attack. Therefore, whether we belong to the Congress Party or to any other party, we ought to become so serious as to forget the fault of one another and concentrate on the big effort that we have to make in order to win the war against China that is certain to come.

Regarding responsibility also, the Opposition parties' Members say that everything is right with the army and everything is wrong with the Ministers and politicians. In their anxiety to throw blame on the Government or on the Congress Party they may say so. But in their cooler moments they will see that that charge can be levelled against them also when in years to come they become the Government.

Shri Kamalnayan Bajaj (Wardha): No chance.

Shri Hanumanthaiya: To say that everything is right with the army, and that everything is wrong with the Ministers or the Government or the Treasury Benches is ultimately to subvert the foundation of democracy.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Nobody has suggested that.

Shri Hanumanthaiya: The army at all levels might have done better or might not have done better-I am not an expert-but the report itself makes it clear that there were several deficiencies. I meet as a common man many a soldier or jawan as you say, in Hindi, who was on the front. They are bitter against their officers, the lowest ranks of officers, that is, the Captains, Lieutenants and such others. They say that they never gave the inspiring lead that ought to have been given by the immediate officers. It is easy to blame men at the top. I de not defend them either. We have to

hold them responsible because, after all, power is vested in them. But to put a veneer on all our jawans and officers by saying that they are the best of individuals and to say that only the people in the higher ranks are the worst sinners is a way of argument which I cannot appreciate.

The army that has been trained, as has often been described by the hon. Prime Minister and the hon. Minister of Defence now and previously, was trained in the British ways. As you know, methods of warfare, equipment, startegy and all these things change from year to year, from war to war and this army was not trained upto, what is called, the standard of warfare required for the occasion. If there was any rigidity. I can tell you it was not at the stage of the Minister but at the stage of the permanent officers who are in the Army. They have been accustomed and aclimatised to a particular way of training, command and psychology and they thought that any advice from the higher quarters was interference They had the hardihood to take their cause to politicians and plead that it was interference from higher quarters. To plead all the time that advice or guidance by the Government as interference is to sabotage the very system of army work. Most of you know that the greatest Prime Minister, Mr. Churchill, who won the war for Britain and the allies, not only did the Prime Minister's work but was also day-to-day taking keen and deep interest in the deployment of the army, in strategy and in every method of warfare. It is said and I believe it is true that he was the guiding mind behind the who strategy If some Ministers who of war. are Members of this House come upto that expectation, we ought to congratulate them instead of condemning them in the name of interference.

Shri Ranga: Question. It has yielded very bad results. What is the good of comparing our Minister to Mr. Churchill?

Shri Hanumanthaiya: Then what is the good of my comparing you to Mr. Harold Wilson?

15 hrs.

Shri Ranga: I did not ask for it. That is a cheap jibe which is unworthy of my hon. friend.

Shri Hanumanthaiya: I shall only quote two passages for the guidance of the Defence Ministry from The Memoirs of Field-Marshal Montgomery, and then I shall conclude my speech.

The real lacuna, as is admitted in the report, is want of leadership. Field-Marshal Montgomery says that the leadership is the most important the leadership is the most important element in commanding an Army. And he defines the term as follows:

"My own definition of leadership is this: "The capacity and the will to rally men and women to a common purpose, and the character which inspires confidence."

As to whether the present leadership has come up to that expectation or not, it is not for me to pass a judgment on it today. It is for them to search their own hearts. After all, we judge and compliment not merely because a particular Defence Minister goes and another comes in his place; we are not made of that stuff. We wait for the day to congratulate a Minister when he actually secures victory against the enemy.

Then, about the work of the commander or the leader as he puts it, Field-Marshal Montgomery says:

"The troops must be brought to a state of wild enthusiasm before the operation begins.".

If propaganda goes on that they have no shoes, they have no food, and thus we get them to a rebellious spirit, making them feel that 'there are people in the country who will defend us even though we run away and even though we become prisoners of

[Shri Hanumanthaiya]

war and even though we disgrace the name of the Fourth Division of the army', that is not proper; that is not the kind of psychology that we have to encourage in the Army.

Lastly, the Army, and any Army for that matter, ought to get inspiration to fight. I have no time to quote instances from our history. But I can quote again Field-Marshal Montgomery. It is all very well to send Dilip Kumar and Vaijayantimala for the edification of our troops, but that is not inspiration; it is only entertainment. What does this General who has fought battles and won an immortal name say? He says:

"Finally, I do not believe that today a commander can inspire great armies, or single units, or individual men, and lead them to achieve great victories, unless he has a proper sense of religious truth; he must be prepared to acknowledge it, and to lead his troops in the light of that truth. He must always keep his finger on the spiritual pulse of his armies; he must be sure that the spiritual purpose which inspires them is right and true, and is clearly expounded to one and all. Unless he does this, he can expect no lasting success. For all leadership, I be-" lieve, is based on the spiritual quality, the power to inspire others to follow.".

Shri Frank Anthony (Nominated-Anglo-Indians): I feel that most of us in this House agree with many of the sentiments that have fallen from the Defence Minister. As he has told us, this NEFA inquiry was intended to bring out the mistakes and deficiencies of the past and to ensure that they would not be repeated. I agree wih him when he says that there should be a need, and there is a need, for a proper perspective for our not saying or doing anything that might give information to the enemy or that might demoralise our own fighting men. I sincerely hope that we are really on

common ground on the need learning the lessons of the past. But, quite frankly, I have my reservations in this matter. I have grave misgivings, because I feel that a Government that is not prepared to admit crucial mistakes, but on the hand shows a readiness to cover up those mistakes is not likely to learn any lessons from the past. And although the Defence Minister was perhaps a little more forthcoming than his predecessor, I feel that Government should have been more forthright with this House and with the country. What little has been vouchsafed to us was a grave indictment of the Government.

There was the admission of overall shortage of equipment, the admission of lack of proper roads and transport, of an unsatisfactory intelligence organisation. These admissions by themselves are especially a grave indictment of the former Defence Minister. Perhaps, some of the things that I might say of the former Defence Minister may sound a little bitter, but let me say at the outset that I am bitter, and I am resentful against the former Defence Minister, not for any personal reason; I want to pay him this personal tribute that unlike most of the other Ministers, he had a certain definite capacity for hard work, and he was one of the few Ministers who when I sent a case or a problem, dealt with it promptly, and in most of the cases that I sent to him he dealt with them very satisfactorily. My resentment is purely on principle.

It had become a habit with the former Defence Minister deliberately to keep this House and the country in the dark. Whenever we asked for information, however innocuous, and however adversised it was in the world press, he was in the habit of fobbing us off with supercilious and rather dyspeptic replies, suggesting that we were ignoramuses while he was the sole repository of all knowledge and capacity in defence matters. I had

occasion over and over again to criticise him for this supercilious habit. And the few admissions that have been made in this rather cryptic statement have been sufficient to show that the repeated categorical assurances—I would not elaborate them—of the former Defence Minister about our having not only adequate but increasingly adequate defence preparations, I am sorry to say, were repeated categorical untruths intended deliberately to mislead this House and the country.

I am not unduly worried by some of the deficiencies that have been pointed out in the statement. I am not unduly worried by the reference to the failure of certain middle-aged officers. I am not condoning anything, but I say this that in any Army, particularly in an Army which has functioned over a fairly long period of peace, you will find these deficiencies, middle-aged officers, and people who become soft, or who have degenerated, if I may use that expression, into pot-bellied pen-pushers. They are known as potbellied pen-pushers; there is a special name for them in the Indian Army, and they are known as Agra brigadiers. And it is UP which has the palm for producing pot-bellied penpushers or otherwise. And in the best regulated Army, there will be deficiencies of leadership at all levels, at unit levels, and at higher levels, particularly in peace-time Armies: they tend to become soft; they tend to become decorative, and when political leadership has no rapport with the Army and has very little military thinking, they tend to be diverted to peace-time pursuits. And did we not see the debilitating spectacle of fighting troops being diverted to building houses, and the officers, allegedly fighting officers-I have used the 'allegedly' advisedly because they were favourites at the political court,-being decorated for building houses?

What I feel is this, that the most vital part of the report, the part which deals with political directions and with political misdirections, the part which does not impinge remotely on security, and whose publication would have fortified the morale of the nation, the part which would have vindicated our fighting men, has been deliberately withheld from this House merely because its disclosure would have been a damning indictment of the former Defence Minister. That is why I am resentful. I believe the whole Indian Army today is resentful, and bitterly resentful, because in order to cover the guilt of one man, the former Defence Minister, there has been gratuitous tarnishing of the image of our incomparable jawans, there has been a gratuitous tarnishing of the image of our senior officers. Some of them would be a credit to the best armies in the world. I think the Defence Minister said that the defects were most apparent at the higher levels; and it was quite clear that by the 'higher leve's' he meant Major Generals and above. I am sorry that he should have given the impression of a sweeping indictment. It neither fair nor just. Most of us know who the two Major Generals were. The communists, of course, have run true to form. Their whole technique is to demoralise the country, to demoralise our army, to damn our Generals to save their political henchmen. They damned personally one of the Major Generals. I do not know him personally. I know of him. I know this that he had one of the finest records in the Indian army. That by itself is not a guarantee that he would make a good General. How do you expect even the best of Generals to get on top of this tremendous confusion? And they had to do it in the space of a few hours.

What I want is this, that this House and the country should not spell out the notorious failure of the Operational Corps Commander into a sweeping indictment of all our Generals. His failure was there. It was a notorious failure; it was an expected failure.

Shri Ranga: Expected?

Shri Frank Anthony: I will tell you.

I say it was his failure. But perhaps in a sense-I am talking of the Corps Commander—it was not much his own failure as the crime of the former Defence Minister. And I say it advisedly. I say this because I have some knowledge-I cannot disclose it to this House, because I am often, very often, consulted professionally by people in all ranks of the Army-and I know a good deal of what has happened in the past. But I know this also that Shri Krishna Menon played havoc with the senior ranks of the Indian Army. He sought steadily to purge the Indian Army of its best fighting Generals because they had too much self-respect, they had too much moral courage to submit to his political dictation. He sought deliberately to create around him a caucus of courtier-politician officer would do his political bidding. He sent of the Army-I could give the names, but I do not want to-some of our finest fighting Generals, when they should have been kept. Some were virtually compelled to resign. I could name them, because I know some of their cases, but I won't,

As I said, he played utter, absolute, havoc with the senior ranks of the Indian Army. And not only the senior ranks of the Indian Army. He was known to intervene in selection right down to Lt. Col. level.

As a senior parliamentarian, I not want to refer personally to anyone. But I say this that his appointment of the former Chief of the Army Staff was a deliberately nepotistic political appointment. He might have been an exceedingly good person as an individual. But it was known throughout the Indian Army that he had nothing of the image of some of its former fighting Generals. He was put there because it was known that he was ineffective, it was known that he would be completely ineffective, to withstand the manipulations Mr. Krishna Menon.

But the greatest crime of all was the appointment of the Operational

NEFA Enquiry and 7560 Motion re: "Our Defence Preparedness"

Corps Commander. I regret to say it that over and over again palpable untruths were relayed to this House. Over and over again, we were told that this General had a fine fighting record. I regret to have to say it, but then I have to repel palpable untruths. Throughout the Army, he was known, as the Army knows, as 'Khacchar Corps General'.

Shri Sham Lal Saraf: Question.

Shri Frank Anthony: I know a good deal. I do not want to go into details.

Shri Sham Lal Saraf: I know much more.

Shri Frank Anthony: I know a little more than you.

His whole experience was that of a supply and transport officer. He was known in the military messes as an officer, as one of the few senior officers who had not heard a short fired even in anger.

Shri Balkrishna Wasnik (Gondia): On a point of order.

Shri Frank Anthony: I am not giving way,

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: It is a point of order. Let me hear him.

Shri Balkrishna Wasnik: The hon. Member is using the names of Gene-

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: He did not mention any name.

Shri Balkrishna Wasnik: He referred to the Corps Commander.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: He referred to the rank,

Shri Balkrishna Wasnik: He had already mentioned the name and then the Speaker had to take exception to it.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: No names may be mentioned.

Shri Frank Anthony: I have not mentioned the name. Unfortunately, others have. They have either condemned him by name or sought to defend him. I know quite enough. You will be surprised if I mention names and facts.

Shri A. N. Vidyalankar (Hoshiarpur): He pretends to know more than he knows.

Shri Frank Anthony: I know a little more than you—certainly which end of the rifle to hold when using a rifle.

As I was saying this unfortunate man was more sinned against than sinning. My hon. friend, Shri Hanumanthaiya, just said that morale of any army depended on its leadership. I agree with him. As soon as the appointment of this unfortunate, unfit, inexperienced General was made, the whole morale of the Indian Army plumbed to the depths. They expected it-every mess in India expected the reverses which we suffered in NEFA. Why didn't they have reverses when . our officers were much less experienc-Did we have reverses in the Kashmir campaign? They faced and overcame the most impossible, almost impossible, logistic conditions. even in Ladakh did they fight, and fight to the death? Why this debacle in NEFA?

I have tried to give you some of the reasons. As I said, the elevation of this General was part of the Krishna Menon plan to create a caucus of politician—Generals around him who would do his political bidding. I blame him more than these unfortunate Generals.

We have to be thankful to the Chinese for many things. But one of the things we have to be thankful to them for is this, not only that the Chinese attack created this tremendous national upsurge but that it led to the virtual dismissal of Shri Krishna Menon, because I believe that if Shri Krishna Menon hnd continued in office for any further length of time, he would have succeeded in destroying the whole Indian Army. He would have demoralised it from top to bot-

tom because he had already injected into the army for the first time this pernicious political virus.

I say that the most serious question that emerges from this whole inquiry is this. If it was merely chronic incompetence, you get it in the best regulated nations. If it was merely gross negligence, that also happens. If it was merely the pretensions of a megalomaniac who saw himself in the role of a diminutive Hitler, that also sometimes happens. But the question that is being asked today is: was it much more than any or all of these things. Wa sit deliberate sabotage?

I say this, that as long as the Government chooses to shroud this part of the report which refers to political interference as a decisive cause of the NEFA debacle, this charge will persist-this charge of deliberate sab)tage. I say this: the report itself ind: cates sabotage. The Government knew as early at least as 1957 that China constituted a major military threat to this country. Yet there is the admission that there was no slant in our training for a war with China. There was no slant in our training so far as the requisite knowledge of Chinese tactics was concerned. There was no training to our troops for fighting at high altitudes, when it was axiomatic that if we had to fight the Chinese we would have to fight them at high altitudes.

I have often been asked my reasons for this sudden, unexpected withdrawal of the Chinese. Many of us have given different reasons. I have given an amalgam of reasons. I do not want to be unfair to Shri Krishna Menon, but my reasons have been not only this tremendous national upsurge, not only the aid that was being rushed to us by the Western democracies, but perhaps most of all it was because Shri Krishna Menon was sacked from office. I say this, that his sacking from office came as such an unexpected shock to the Chinese, that it upset all their calculations for a military cakewalk into India. The Chinese may

[Shri Frank Anthony]

have continued to be able to rely on the Indian Communists to sabotage the war effort in the country, they may have been able to continue to rely on the Indian Communists supplying them with vital military intelligence, they may have been able to continue to rely on the Indian Communists to confuse and demoralise our people, but they could not depend on sabotage at the very heart of our war That, in my opinion, was the dominant reason: the sudden, unexpected sacking of Shri Menon was the dominant reason for the sudden, unexpected withdrawal of the Chinese.

Many questions remain to be answered, and they confirm this charge of sabotage. Why did the Prime Minister, apart from the sheer indiscretion of it, proclaim somewhere outside the country that he had given orders to the Indian troops to drive the Chinese out? Obviously he could only have done it if he was misled by the former Defence Minister as to the strength Chinese and concentration of the troops. What is the good of trying to fob off the blame on to our intelligence organisation? I had read long before the Chinese attack repeated warnings in the press of the Western democracies of increasing Chinese concentration, of increasing logistic build-up. How could they then pretend not to know the strength of the Chinese concentration? They must have known of this tremendous concentration of Chinese troops. What was the reason for sending a handful of our troops, leave the equipment aside, admittedly unacclimatised, and I say this categorically, against the advice of our Generals, to take on the Chinese at an impossible height, knowing they were hopelessly outnumbered, knowing that the only result would be that they would be overrun and perhaps massacred? What was the reason for issuing the Indian issuan 50 rounds of "munition? What was the reason. shove all for superseding the advice of his Generals? I say thin and I will not accept any more ipse dixit by way of denial that he did not supersede the advice of his Generals. I say that he superseded their advice, that he hamstrung his Generals at every step.

I have here a cutting from a weekly newspaper, which is not very well known, but it is not being refuted. It levels such grave charges against the former Defence Minister that if they were untrue, he would have it prosecuted.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Which is that paper?

Shri Frank Anthony: I am reading it out. It is probably one of your papers, it is bound to be, it is not one of mine. It is the Flame 18-5-1963. (Laughter) M_{y} friends laugh as if this is less reputable than the New Age. If it is an irresponsible rag, still it gives information which damns the former Defence Minister. Why does he not prosecute them? I will help him to prosecute them, as J am prosecuting the New Age today. This is what it says:

"Lt. Gen. Kaul in a long statement to the Defence authorities is reported to have placed the responsibility for the NEFA reverses during the last fall on the former Defence Minister, Mr. V. K. Krishna Menon.

"Lt. Gen. Kaul, it is learnt, has accused Mr. Krishna Menon with interfering directly in the strategic deployment of forces as well as the military tactics that were employed to meet the Chinese invasion.

"From Gen. Kaul's version it would appear that the military commanders had abdicated all their powers and initiative to Mr. Menon who from his air-conditioned office in New Delhi was moving the army divisions with the greatest ease.

"It is also understood that Gen Kaul has further affirmed that

Preparedness"

whatever he and his junior officers did was on the direct and clear instructions of Mr. Menon. Gen. Kaul has explained the Army withdrawals as the result of Mr. Menon's orders, it is learnt

"Lt. Gen. Kaul is reported to have asserted that but for the unnecessary interference from politicians the Indian Armed Forces would have held back the Chinese marauders and given them a tough fight."

I shall place this on the Table of the House. I am morally certain that the reason for Government covering up this Report, inadequate as it may be, is that it exposes that the real decisive reason for this NEFA debacle was not only political interference, but that it was deliberate political sabotage.

Shri Manabendra Shah (Tehri Garhwal): The function of the enquiry committee was of a restricted nature, to quote paragraph 4 of the report, "I had made it clear that the underlying idea in holding this enquiry is to derive military lessons. It was meant to bring out clearly the mistakes or the deficiencies of the past, so as to ensure that in future such mistakes are not repeated, and such deficiencies quickly made up." This is restrictive. but not restrictive as Shri Gupta said yesterday. I do not agree with him. It is restrictive, and rightly restrictive, because it was not their function to see whether in the circumstances prevailing then, the defects that were pointed out were defects which were deliberate or due to the force of circumstances existing then.

15.27 hrs.

[MR SPEAKER in the Chair]

Therefore, in order to have a proper appreciation of the report, it becomes very necessary for us to understand the circumstances prevailing then. That is the only way in which we can do proper deliberation. It is only then that Government can really take corrective steps.

1286(Ai) LSD-5.

I cannot go into all the defects pointed out in the report, but I shall take up some of the more important ones. One defect pointed out is governmental intereference. This be true, but we have to appreciate that the Defence Headquarters the Government are concerned with the strategy that has to be adopted in the whole country, having them the necessary information about all our borders. The Government is also concerned with the steering of the war in such a way that there are no serious repercussions in the rest of the country, and the Government has to keep in touch with the international pulse. In the sudden emergent situation that befell us last year, it was necessary for the Government here and the commanders in the field to be in constant touch with each other. It was especially necessary because the events took place in quick succesion. Therefore, though I would not say that it was excusable, yet I do appreciate that in that position it becomes a little difficult to demarcate the permissible extent of the political interference or the interference from army headquarters. It will be noted that non-cohesion was only in the NEFA area. That further proves what I have been pointing out. fact. I would even go to the extent of saying that perhaps the local commanders were impelled to take constant advice from the Centre due to the suddenness of the attack, the magnitude of the attack and quick succession of eventful that took place there.

Local strategy and national strategy have to go hand in hand. We cannot allow local strategy to sway the national strategy. Yesterday, I think Shri Shastriji pointed out that we were laying too much stress on the national strategy. In this regard I would like to quote the example of Korea where national and local strategies clashed and national strategy had to overrule local strategy. MacArthur had pushed Koreans right up to the Chinese border. Local strategy

7567

[Shri Manabendra Shah]

demanded that they should attack China but President Truman that national strategy did not mand that. If what Mr. Shastri said yesterday is to be done, we should have chosen and attacked the Chinese at their vulnerable points. would be to forego the interests of national strategy at the expense of local strategy and instead of trying to confine our area of activities, we would have been inviting a broader area of activities which would have gone entirely contrary to our national interests or national strategy. I trying to point out that national strategy is important and we have, therefore to see that political authority is respected and obeyed by the commanders.

The other point that is often referred to is about not taking proper precautions beforehand. I hold precautions had been taken in the circumstances prevailing then. It is an accepted principle that before any fighting is done we must take the Dolitical precautions. That was done by negotiations and discussions. however, one party chooses to do Pear Harbour, no matter how much precautions we take, those precautions will always be inadequate. Similarly, one can say that even if precautions of this nature were being taken, why were we not properly prepared? For that. I say that preparedness is not an abstract thing; it is nothing itself. It is a combination of certain things such as: political policy, objectives of Government, balanced development or lopsided development, state of econonomic growth, etc. All these are considerations that have to play their part. Was the Government to lend her energies solely for aggressive designs or pursue that which we have put in our Directive Principles of the Constitution? Mao said in 1938 that "political power comes out of barrel of the gun;" we say that it comes through the "ballot box." In 1937 he said that "historical experience is written in blood and iron"; we say that it is written by and peace." He said again in 1937 that the "first law of war is to preserve ourselves and destroy the enemy"; we say that "we have to co-exist even with the enemy and try to mould his thinking in the right direction." This policy of ours has been endorsed. approved and applauded by the whole of this House. Therefore, the tempo of our preparedness has to be studied in this context. I hold that the Government did the right thing in not creating a psychological war or the psychosis of war. If we had that this very House would have objected to it. They would have never tolerated it. Now, when we really in trouble, they are organising petition marches and want us to scrap our Plan.

The other point that has been raised is the lack of talent of generals. How are generals made? How we know them? There are peacetime generals; there are wartime generals. I think all our generals are peacetime generals as in the last war most of our present time generals were junior officers. Wartime generals are made and recognised when the country is at war. Is it not a fact that even in United Kingdom whose history is full of wars they find their generals means of trial and error. Is it not a fact that in their Middle east sector General Alexander, after two changes, was found to be a suitable general and he continued to conduct fighting there. That did not mean that other two were incompetent. In fact these two earlier generals went on to hold important posts to the satisfaction of their Government. Therefore, it is not desirable for us to run down our generals day in and day out. Let us not make a political issue or a political capital out of it. Let us not demoralise our generals because that also demoralises soldiers because then they feel hesitant to respect them and obey implicitly their commands. We have to see the situation then prevailing. Then only can we make proper criticism. I think this is the only proper approach by the Government for taking proper corrective steps. Merely taking technical corrective steps is not enough.

Before I conclude I would also like to touch the question of defence preparedness. I had been from time to time bringing to the notice of Government certain steps that should be taken for preparedness. I am glad to note that some of them are already implemented: light arms, radar net work, etc. There are certain other matters of a secret nature which I have brought to their notice and which I will not deal with now. Today, I would like to touch the guerilla warfare, for two reasons. Firstly, we are facing experts on guerrilla warfare. To quote Mao himself;

"The guerrilla campaigns being waged in China today are a page in history that has no precedent. Their influence will be confined not solely to China in her present anti Japanese struggle, but will be world wide."

Secondly the terrain in which we will have to face the Chinese is best suited for such warfare. Initiative seems to be always with the Chinese as they are aggressive and therefore apart from the terrain the problem of having many roads is solved. Lack of roads is one of the points raised by Shri Shastri yesterday. It be an asset if we introduce guerrilla warfare. That would also be a good reply to the Chinese threat in numbers. Guerrilla warfare as was known in the past was "arming the people as a defensive measure" against the invader. Though it was supposed to be a weapon of the weaker side, it was a potent force as the sympathy and backing of the local people existed. The communists, especially the Chinese, gave it a new twist. They combined guerrilla warfare with subversive war. The other technique adopt-

ed by them is the limited sion: take a small bit of land and quickly offer negotiation, just as they did now. A very prominent leader on the Opposition side was saying that the withdrawal of the Chinese was due to Russian influence. I do not discount that in toto. But perhaps it was part of this game limited aggression because the aim as I see it is to keep the pressure and force us to divert our attention from economic development to defence with the result that a situation may arise where they can raise subversive forces and sympathisers. game was being played with us also. I think the Government are of it because they created the border districts for their quick and accelerated economic development. I think be comit is incomplete; It cannot plete unless we also introduce guerrilla system of warfare coupled with the conventional armed forces.

श्री शिवमूर्ति स्वामी (कोप्पल) : अध्यक्ष महोदय, नेफ़ा इनक्वायरी श्रीर अवर डिफेंस प्रीपेएडेंनेस, इन दोनों मोशंस पर पिछले दो रोज से इस सदन में बहस चल रही है।

भारत देश पर चीनी श्राक्रमण को चीनी इतिहास की पृष्ठभूमि में देखना चाहिये। सन् १६४६ में चीन में वर्तमान कम्यनिस्ट रैजीम पावर में ग्राई। पावर में ग्राने के चंद महीनों के बाद ही कम्युनिस्ट चाइना ने जो नक्तशा प्रकाशित किया उसमें हिन्दुस्तान की ५०,००० वर्गमील भूमि को चीनी भूमि दिखलाया गया था । उस नक्शे को देख कर ही हमें चीनियों की विस्तार-वादी मनोवृत्ति का परिचय हो गया था ग्रौर यह पता चल गया था कि हिन्दुस्तान के एक बड़े भूभाग पर उनकी कुदृष्टि है। सन् १६५० में जब चीन ने तिब्बत पर हमला किया और उसको ग्रपने पंजों में जकड़ लिया उसी दिन से हमको जाग्रत हो जाना चाहिये था और चीन के बढ़ते हुए हमले से हमको श्रपनी रक्षा के लिय पूरी तैयारी कर लेनी (श्री शिवमूर्ति स्वामी)

चाहिए थी। तिब्बत जब तक एक बफ़र स्टेट के रूप में हमारे ग्रौर चीन के बीच में विद्यमान था तब तक हमें उतना खतरा नहीं हो सकता था लेकिन जिस दिन से उन्होंने तिब्बत को कम्युनिस्ट चाइना का ग्रंग बना लिया उसी दिन से हमारी गफलत छुट जानी चाहिय थी। लेकिन यह बद-किस्मती की वात है कि यह गवर्नमेंट ग्रीर इसके बड़े नेता तब भी नहीं जागे स्रौर हम अपने को इसी भुलावे में रक्खे रहे कि चीन हमारे ऊपर हमला नहीं होगा भौर हमने उससे दोस्ती जमाने की कोशिश की। चीन के साथ हमने पंचशील के स्राधार पर मैत्री स्थापित की ग्रौर उसके साथ बैठ कर हिन्दी, चीनी, भाई-भाई के नारे लगाये। हम उसकी आक्रमणकारी नीति को तब भी न समझ सके। जिस पंचशील को आधार बना कर उनके साथ हमने मैत्री संधि पर हस्ताक्षर किये उसमें एक वाक्य यह था "म्युचुएल रिस्पेक्ट एंड इंटैंगरेटी"। लेकिन में यह कहना चाहता हूं कि जब तक चीनी हुकुमत ग्रपने उस नक्शे को जिसमें उसने भारत भू भाग की क़रीब ५०,००० वर्गमील एरिया को चीनी प्रदेश दिखलाया था, उसको बदलने ग्रौर ठीक करने को तैयार नहीं थी. तब तक इस तरह पंचशील के समझौते पर चीन के साथ हस्ताक्षर करना बिल्कुल लेकिन हमने अपनी गुलती बेमानी था। से, ग्रपने भोलेपन से. ग्रपने सादेपन के कारण हिन्दी-चीनी भाई भाई का नारा लगा कर उनके साथ बैठ कर दस्तखत कर दिये हालांकि चीनी नक्शे में हिन्दुस्तान के काफ़ी बड़े सीमावर्ती भुभाग को चीनी प्रदेश दिखलाया हुम्रा था। इतिहास इस बात का गवाह रहेगा कि हमारी सरकार गफलत में पड़ी रही, चीनी पालिसी को उसने ग़लत समझा ग्रौर उसका नतीजा यह हुग्रा कि जब २० अन्तुबर को गये साल चीन ने भारत पर बड़े बैमाने पर हमला शरू किया तो हमारी साइड उसका मुकावला करने के लिए तैयार नहीं थी ग्रौर परिणामस्वरूप हमें हार खानी पड़ी स्रौर शर्रामदगी उठानी पड़ी । यही नहीं कि वह हमला कोई एकदम से ग्राँर पहला हमला था। उसके पहले सीमा वारदातें काफ़ी होती रही हैं। संरक्षण मंत्रालय द्वारा प्रकाशित पूस्तिका "लीडिंग इविंट्स ग्रौफ इंडिया– चाइना रिलेशंस, १६४७ टु १२ जुलाई, १६६२" में यह प्रकट किया गया है कि चीन ग्रतिक्रमण की तक़रीबन ११८ घटनायें हुई हैं । उसमें ग्राख़िरी डेट १२ १६६२ है। पहली स्रतिक्रमण की घटना उन की १३ ग्रगस्त, १६५० से होती है ग्रौर ग्राख़िरी १२ जुलाई, १६६२ को होती है। ग्रब ग्रगस्त, सितम्बर भौर अक्तबर इन तीन महीनों की घटनाओं की भी अप्रगर हम उसमें जोड़ दें तो ऐसी घटनाम्रों की संख्या क़रीब १५० के हो जाती हैं। ग्रब इस पुस्तिकाको देख कर कोई भी इसी नतीजे पर पहुंचेगा कि चीन की असली मंशा भारत पर हमला करने ग्रौर उसके हथियाने देशों को थी ग्रौर इसलिए ऐसा मानना कि ग्रक्तूबर का हमला कोई एकदम <mark>ग्र</mark>ौर एबरप्टली हम्रा गलत बात होगी ।

यही नहीं, मैं बतलाना चाहता हूं कि चीनी हमले का उद्देश्य महज भारत की कुछ जमीन ही हथियाने का नहीं था। कुछ मैदानी जमीन और पहाड़ी इलाका ही छीनने की उसकी मंशा नहीं थी। चीन का दरम्रसल भारत पर हमला करने का क्या मक़सद था उसे मैं ग्रपने लफ्जों में न रखते हुए इंडिया-चाइना बोर्डर डिस्प्यूट, एडिटड बाई रागा सत्यपाल, की किताब में से कोट करना चाहूंगा कि उन्होंने इसके बारे में क्या लिखा है ? वे प्रपनी उस किताब में यूं लिखते हैं:—

"With this enemy on our borders, the enemy which is a living

threat to our independence, security and economic development, the enemy which is working on a plan to isolate India in Asia the enemy which is jeopardising the freedom and independence and existence of the smaller nations of Asia, India cannot be complacent. With this enemy in the East, America and other Western countries cannot be at peace in the West. With an expansionist comrade in the camp, Khrushchev cannot avert a nuclear war and keep the bloc intact. Communist China enemy of the human race, enemy of humanism, the enemy of the world, the enemy of the democratic institutions, enemy of the working classes "

कम्युनिस्ट च।इना की नीति स्पष्टरूप से तानाशाही श्रींर विस्तारवाद की है। वह ग्रपना प्रभत्व बढाना चाहता है। उसका प्रजातंत्री सिद्धान्तों में विलकुल नहीं है ग्रौर वह चीन में हिन्दुस्तान ग्रगर पूरा नहीं तो उसका काफ़ी बड़ा हिस्सा मिलाना चाहता है। ऐसा ख्वाब चीन देख रहा है। चीन यह नहीं देख सकता कि उसका कोई पडौसी जनतंत्री सिद्धान्तों पर चल कर ग्रीर स्वतंत्र नीति ग्रपना कर प्रगति करे। उसके दिल में भारत के प्रति एक जलन पैदा हो गई है और इसी वजह से वह हमला करके हमारी जमीन छीनना चाह रहा है। चीन के ग्रसली रूप के बारे में ग्रब भारत को कोई घोखा या मुगालता नहीं रहना चाहिये। चीन के भारत पर हए ग्राक्रमण को बौर्डर वार ही नहीं समझना चाहिए बल्कि यह समझना चाहिये कि वह भारत के प्रजातंत्री ढांचे को जड़ से ही नष्ट कर देना चाहता है भ्रीर इस तरह से भ्रपने साम्राज्य वादी ग्रौर विस्तारवादी इरादों ग्रौर मंसूबों को पूरा करना चाहता है।

इतना ही नहीं में ग्रापको बतलाऊं कि १४ मई, १९६२ को हमारे प्रधान मंत्री खुद चीन को क्या लिखते हैं ? उनको ख्रुत लिखते हैं कि हम ग्रक्साई चिन सडक का चीन द्वारा सिविलियन यज करने के लिये राजी हैं। हम उस वक्त भी उनकी असली मंशा और नीयत को नहीं समझ सके ग्रीर इस तरह का उनको खत लिखा था :---

"They also once again gested on 14th May, 1962 the Indian and Chinese forces in the Western sector should withdraw behind the alignment claimed by China and the international boundary of India pectively. They were willing, too, to permit the civilian of the Aksai Chin road by China. The Chinese Government, ever rejected this offer."

इसके ग्रतिरिक्त जब चीन ने तिब्बत ' पर हमला किया ग्रौर उसको ग्रपन ग्राधीन कर लिया तो हम चुप रहे ग्रौर हमने तिब्बत पर चीन की प्रभुसत्ता स्वीकार कर ली। यह हमारी दूसरी ग़लती थी । राजेन्द्र बाबू ने तिब्बत की ग्राजादी का सवाल उठाया था लेकिन हमने चुपचाप तिब्बत का हरण स्वीकार कर लिया ग्रौर इस ग्राशा में स्वीकार कर लिया कि चीन से हमारी दोस्ती जमी रहेगी। हमने चीन के ख़तरे 🖣 को उस समय भी नहीं समझा।

भारत चंकि एक शांतिप्रिय देश रहा है। शांति की उसकी बेसिक नीति है ग्रौर उसी को ले कर उस जमाने में गांधी पीस फाऊंडेशन की कान्फ़ेंस हुई थी ग्रौर उसमें यह कामना प्रकट की गई थी कि दनिया में सब लड़ाई झगड़े समाप्त हों ग्रौर सर्वत्र शांति स्थापित हो । लेकिन शांति की बात चीन को कभी ग्रपील करने वाली नहीं थी ग्रीर इधर हम शांति का ढिंढोरा पीटते रहे ग्रौर उधर वह ग्रागे बढ़ता रहा । हमने चीन के ग्रसली स्वरूप को पहचानने में सख्त धोखा खाया ग्रौर उसी का परिणाम यह है कि हम ने काफ़ी बड़ा हिस्सा अपना खो दिया है।

7575

[श्री शिवमूर्ति स्वामी]

इस बारे में रैडियंस पेपर ग्रपने ४---६३ के एडीटोरियल में इस तरह से लिखताहै:---

"Those who know weil this problem are quite aware that its history goes back to 1953, when Sino-Indian pact was finalised and India gave way to China to establish its upper nand on the North Eastern borders and allow a perpetual foe to creep into our own doors. Moreover, India committed the serious blunder in the identity of a friend and a foe. Our leaders remained sweet illusion about Communism and Chinese people wihout taking trouble to penetrate into temperament..."

भ्रब इससे ज्यादा साफ में चीन के इरादों भीर नीतियों के बारे में नहीं बतला सकता। एक यही भ्रख़बार नहीं बल्कि कई श्रख़बारों ने इसी तौर पर लिखा है। इसी बारे में इंडियन श्रौवजरवर श्रपने जूत २४, १९६३ के ईश्यू में लिखता है:—

"Our Prime Minister is very kindhearted. He is extremely generous to the faults of friends. He seems to have overlooked the harm that has been done to the country on account of Krishna Menon. But let it be understood that the verdict of the history will be different, if a person responsible for the rible setback to our country, in tead of being punished, continues to enjoy the confidence and respect of our great Prime Minister."

ग्रागे जो हमारी तैयारियां हो रही हैं उनके बारे में दो, चार शब्द कह कर श्रपना भाषण समाप्त कर दूंगा। हमें उनको ग्रपनी सीमाग्रों से बाहर खदेड़ने के लिए दृढ़ संकल्प लेते हुए ग्रपनी तैयारी करनी है। हमें इसकी सावधानी बत्नी होगी श्रौर हर संभव तैयारी करनी पड़ेगी कि हमारी इंटैगरेटी कायम रहे, श्रौर हमारी सीमाएं सुरक्षित रहें।

नेफ़ा रिपोर्ट सि पता चलता है कि वहां पर विवानों को जरूरी सामान सूलभ नहीं था, उनके पास लड़ने के लिये जरूरी हथियार श्रीर्ुंगोले: बारूद नहीं था । यह सब हमारी गफलत भ्रीर भ्रसावधानी की बातें **ग्रख**बारों में भी छप चकी हैं। हमें **त्रिगे**डियर होश्यार सिंह ग्रीर मेजर शैतान सिंह ग्रादि फौजी जवानों के खुन को व्यर्थन जाने देना होगा । उन्होंने ग्रपना जीवन इस मातृभूमि की रक्षा के लिये होम्र्कर दिया। ग्रब डिफेंस मिनिस्टर श्रौर्¶ें कंचे फौजी श्रफसरों का यह ग्रादेश देने पर भी कि पीछे हट ग्राग्री उन्होंने पीछे हटना पसन्द नहीं किया, मोर्चे, पर डटे रहे ग्रीर देश की रक्षा की **खातिर बलिदान** हो गये। उन्होंने कहा . "हम लड़ेंगे मरते दम तक " ग्रीर वे तीन चार दिन तक लड़े उसी थी नाट थी के साथ---

वे उन्हीं मामूली हिषयारों के साथ तीन चार दिन तक लड़े। लेकिन सरकार उन को एम्युनीशन और खाना कपड़ा भी सप्लाई नहीं कर सकी क्योंकि उस के लिए सप्लाई कने-क्शन ही नहीं था। इस ग़लती को हम किस तरह भूल सकते हैं? लेकिन मैं प्रार्थना करना चाहता हूं कि जो कुछ भी ग़लतियां हुई हैं, ऐसा इन्तजाम किया जाय कि वेदोबारा नहीं।

माननीय मंत्री, श्री चव्हाण, ने जिस दिन ग्रपना पद सम्भाला उस दिन से ही मुल्क में ग्रीर हमारे वीर सैनिकों में एक बिलकुल दूसरी हवा पैदा हो गई ग्रीर चीनी फ़ौजे भी पीछे हट गईं। उन के पीछे हटने के दो कारण हो सकते हैं। एक कार्ण तो यह है कि दुनिया की तमाम ग्रोपीनियम हमारे

पक्ष में थी ग्रौर दुनिया की मारल सप्पोर्ट हम को मिली, जिस की वजह से चीन श्रागे नहीं बढ सका था । मैं माननीय मंत्री से यह कहना चाहता हं कि संरक्षण की मुख्य नीति यह होती है कि जो भी लडने के लिए माते हैं, हमेशा उनको एनोज्ड रिखए । या उन को एकदम दूर कीजिए । चीन के पास हमारी जो बीस पच्चीस हजार वर्ग मील जमीन है, उस की वापस लेने के लिए हम ने १४ नवम्बर की शपथ ली थी। लेकिन हम देखते हैं कि उस के बाद उस शपथ को. उस बचन को. पूरा करने की कोशिशों में धीरे धीरे कमी होती जा रही है। लोगों को भुलावा दिया जा रहा है और उस की यद से भी लीगों की दूर रखा जारहा है। कहाजारहा है कि श्रभी तो वे बार्डर पर ही हैं। वे नेफ़ा के तीन-चौथाई हिस्सेतक ग्रागए हैं।लेकिन उस को वह लाइन ग्राफ़ एक्च्यल कंट्रील ही कहते हैं। मैं यह जानना चाहता हं कि उन्होंने जो बीस पच्चीस मील पीछे हटने की बात कही थी, क्या उस पर उन्होंने ठीक तौर पर ग्रमल किया है. क्योंकि उनके दिमारा में लाइन ग्राफ एदचुग्रल कर्टल कोई दूसरी ही है। प्रधान मंत्री कहते हैं कि वहां पर उन की सिविल पें स्ट्र हैं, लेकिन मेरा ग्रन्दाजा है कि वे वहां पर भौर भी रास्ते बना रहे हैं ग्रौर उन की तैयारियां हो रही हैं। ऋगर ब्राईन्दा भी पहले की घटनाएं होंगी, तो यह सरवार उन के लिए मल्क के सामने जवाबदार होगी ।

मैं प्रधान मंत्री से यह अन्रोध करना चाहता हूं कि वह समझें कि वह सिर्फ़ चन्द मील जमीन को बापस लेने के लिये नहीं आए हैं। इस महान प्रजातंत्र का नेतृत्व करने के लिए वह केवल एक पक्ष के समर्थन से सफल नहीं हो सकते हैं। इमर्जेन्सी में केवल एक पक्ष की हुकूमत लाकतवर नहीं बन सकती हैं। जब तक

वह सारी नेशन को ग्रपने पीछे न ले, तब तक केवल एक पक्ष की सहायता श्रीर समर्थन से या इमर्जेंसे की ताकत से हमला-भ्रावर को हटा देना नामुमकिन है। वह हर एक पक्ष को विश्वास में ले कर, हर एक को कन्सल्ट कर के हर एक को साथ लेने की कोशिश करें। इस प्रकार की परिस्थितियों में इंग्लैंड में भी एक नेशनल गवर्नमेंट कायम की गई थी। मैं यह नहीं कहता कि नेशनल गवर्नमेंट में हर एक पक्ष को लेना जरूरी है, लेकिन मैं राष्ट्रीय सरकार उसको कहता हं जिस के दिल में देश भिक्त है, जो देश के हितों को सर्वोपरि रखती है। इसलिए मैं निवेदन करना चाहता हं कि इमर्जेन्सी के वक्त तक पक्षों की दिष्ट से न सोचा जाये, पक्षों को भूला दिय। जाये । ग्रगर यह देश बचा, तो कांग्रेस भी बच सकती है, पी० एस० सी० भी बच सकती है ग्रीर कम्युनिस्ट पार्टी भी बच सकती है।

मैं चाहता हं कि विश्व में भी एक हुकुमत हो -एक वर्ल्ड ग्राडर हो। लेकिन कम से कम इमर्जेंसी के जारी रहने चाइनीज के इस देश से निकाल दिये जाने तक, खदा के वास्ते, परमात्मा के बास्ते कम्युन-लिज्म को नेशनलिज्म के साथ लगा नेशनलिज्म को कम न किया जाये । मैं प्रधान मंत्री से अनुराध करूंगा कि वह ''नेशन एबाव पार्टीज" की दृष्टि से काम करके हिन्दस्तान को एक महान देश ग्रीर प्रजा-प्रभृत्वशाली बनाने के प्रपने ख्वाब क' पूरा करें। वह सब को विश्वास में लें, सब को व न्सल्ट करें, बयोकि एक राष्ट्रीय सरवार बनाने से इस मल्क की ताकत बढ सकती है, जब कि एक पक्ष, एक कम्युनिटी ग्रीर एक प्रार्विस की दृष्टि से माचने ग्रौर काम करने से देश को नकसान होता है। यह प्रार्थना करके मैं ग्रपना भाषण समाप्त करता हूं।

श्री किशन पटनायक (सम्बलपुर) : श्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं श्री चव्हाण की इस [श्री किशन पटनायक]
भावना की कद्र करता हूं कि ऐसी बातें
नहीं कहनी चाहिए या करनी चाहिए,
जिन से दुश्मन का हौसला बढ़े और हमारे
सैनिकों का हौसला पस्त हो । लेकिन मुझे
यह कहने में दुःख है, कि श्री चव्हाण ने कल
साम को राज्य सभा में जो भाषण दिया,
उस में दिये गये तकों से हमारे सैनिकों
का या हमारे देश का हौसला नहीं बढ़ता
है।

मंत्री महोदय को यह तय कर लेना चाहिये कि उर्वसीम्रं में हमारी जो बड़ी भारी पराजय हुई, उसका कारण है, वह बताना चाहते हैं या नहीं । अगर वह बताना नहीं चाहते हैं, तो उन को यह बात साफ़ साफ़ कह देनी चाहिये, क्योंकि इधर-उधर के कारण बताने से सिफं सेना का ही नहीं, बल्कि देश का भी हौसला पस्त होगा, इस में कोई शक नहीं है ।

इस पराजय के लिए उन्होंने हमारी सोलह साल की ब्राजादी के ऊपर---ग्रौर उस ढंग से हमारे संविधान पर भी---दोषा-रोपण किया है । उन्होंने हमारी पराजय का एक कारण यह बताया है कि हमारी ग्राजादी सिर्फ़ सोलह साल की है ग्रौर इस लिये हमारी सेना पक्की नहीं है। यह बिल्कुल गलत बात है, क्योंकि जिस दुष्टि से हमारी सेना सोलह साल की है, उसी दृष्टि से चीन की सेना भी चौदह साल की होगी, तीस साल की नहीं। ग्रगर श्रमरीका से मुकाबला करना हो, तो हम कह सकते हैं कि हमारी सेना सोलह साल की है, लेकिन जब चीन के साथ मुकाबला है, तब हमारी सेना को सोलह साल की कहना टीक नहीं है। श्री चव्हाण भी जानते होंगे कि हिन्दुस्तान में सोलह साल की बच्ची भी मांबन सकती है. लेकिन उस में ग्रगर वह सफल नहीं होती है, तो उस से ग्रंदाज लग सकता है कि उस को चलाने वाला नपुंसक है।

दूसरा कारण उन्होंने यह बताया है कि चूंकि हम एक प्रजातंत्र हैं इसीलिए श्राक्रमण के सामने हम झुक जाते हैं पहली बार श्रौर उतनी तैयारी नहीं कर पाता है जितनी कि एक तानाशाही देश कर पाता है । सरकार का हमेशा यह तरीका रहा है कि जब देश की श्राधिक प्रगति नहीं होती है, तब भी यह बात कही जाती है श्रौर जब सैनिक पराजय होती है, तब भी यह बात कही जाती है । मैं समझता हूं कि हमारे देश के जो सब से श्रच्छे गुण हैं, श्राजादी श्रौर प्रजातंत्र, इन दोनों के ऊपर इस तरह श्रारोप लगाना बहुत ग़ैर-जिम्मेदारी की बात है ।

हमारी जो पराजय हुई है, वह कोई मामूली पराजय नहीं थी, बहुत बुरी तरह पराजय हुई। इसलिये उस के कारण जानने के लिए देश भर में बूबहुत उत्कंटा है श्रीर उस के कारण टीक टीक बताना सरकार की जिम्मेदारी है।

उत्तर-पूर्वी सीमांत ग्रांचल में इतनी भारी पराजय हुई श्रीर ऐसी पराजय हुई कि कभी कभी लगता है कि वहां लड़ाई हुई ही नहीं थी, सिर्फ़ भगदड़ हुई हि हमारी सेना सिर्फ़ भगने लगी और वह भी रोजाना श्रीसत तीस मील की रफ्तार से। यह जो बात हुई है, इस के पीछे क्या रहस्य है, इसका क्या कारण है, इसको हमारे प्रतिरक्षा मंत्री ठीक ठीक बतायें।

16 hrs.

दो कारण हो सकते हैं। एक तो यह कि पहाड़ी इलाकों की ठीक ढंग से रक्षा करने के लिए हमारे मन में हुमारी सरकार के मन में, इच्छा थीया नहीं। प्रधान मंत्री हमेशा इन इलाकों को कम महत्व देते आए हैं, अपनी भावनाओं में और अपने कथनों में भी । लद्दाख को उन्होंने पथरीला कहा है और लांगजु को एक छोटा सा स्थान कहा है । केवल प्रधान मंत्री के ही यह मन की बात नहीं है, हिन्दुस्तान के पढ़े लिखे लोगों के मन में भी शायद ऐसी ही एक भावना छिपी हुई मालूम पड़ती है, छिपे हए ढंग से वह बनी रहती है। उर्वसीग्रं एक पहाड़ी इलाका है भ्रौर वहां के ग्रादिवासी पहाड़ी हैं। इस लिए ऐसा मालुम होता है कि हमारे मन में, हमारी सरकार के मन में, उन के प्रति उतनी सहानुभृति नहीं है जितनी कि मैदानों के लिए या मैदानी इलाकों में रहने वाली आबादी के लिए, ऊंची जाति के, मध्यम वर्ग के बड़े लोगों के 'लयें है। यह जो एक सन्देह हमारे मन में पैदा होता है इसका निराकरण हमारे रक्षा मंत्री को करना चाहिये, ऐसा मेरा उन से अनुरोध है।

दूसरा कारण यह हो सकता है कि उवसीय में जो हमारी सेना काम कर रही थी, हमारी जो सेना लड़ रही थी, उसको कैसे म्रादेश दिये गये थे? क्या उसको लडने का ग्रादेश दिया गया था या भागने का ? ऐसालगता है कि सिर्फ भागने के ही स्रादेश दिये जाते थे । कहीं भी ठीक ढंग से लड़ाई नहीं हुई है ग्रीर चौकियां छोड छोड़ कर हम लोग चले आरए। किसी चौकी के गिरने से पहले ही उसकी खाली कर देने के म्रादेश भी दिये जाते रहे हैं। उदाहरण के लिए मैं बतलाना चाहता हूं कि बोमदीला १८ नवम्बर को गिरा लेकिन उसको १७ नवम्बर की शाम को ही खाली कर दिया गया। इस से यही ग्रंदाजा लगता है कि हमला होने के पहले ही हमारी सरकार तय कर लेती थी कि यह गिरने वाला है, इसको इसलिये छोड़ दिया जाना चाहिय ।

सिर्फ नाम के वास्ते वहां कुछ लड़ाई हो जाती थी। लेकिन वास्तव में जो लक्ष्य होता था वह खाली करने का होता था, भाग जाने का होता था । मैं चाहता हूं कि सवाल के इस पहलू पर भी-रक्षा मंत्री कुछ रोशनी डालें और बतायें कि इन सेनाग्रों को दिल्ली से सरकार द्वारा क्या श्रादेश जाते थे। चौकियों की डट कर रक्षा करने के श्रादेश जाते थे या नाम के वास्ते लड़ कर, उनको छोड़ देने के श्रादेश जाते थे।

तीसरी बात हमारी सेना श्रीर सेना के म्रफ़सरों के चरित्र की हैं। इस के बारे में कांग्रेस के भी एक सदस्य, श्री भवत दर्शन, ने कुछ कहा है। उन्होंने कहा है कि हमारी सेन? में जो अफसर लोग हैं, उन के रहन सहन का ढंग सब उनकी भाषा आदि, को बदलन। बहत जरूरी है। एक कहावत सुनी है कि ग्रंग्रेजों की लड़ाई ईटन ग्रौर हैरों में जीती जाती है या जीती गई थी। लेकिन हमारे यहां यह सही बात है कि हमारी लड़ाई जो है वह हैदराबाद के या खड़ग-वासला के सैनिक कालेजों में हारी गई है। इन कालेजों में जिस ढंग से प्रशिक्षण होता है, जिस ढंग से ग्रफसरों को पढाया जाता है, उसस यह उम्मीद करना कि देश की रक्षा करने के लिये वेग्रपनी सारी जान लगा देंगे, व्यर्थ है। हैदराबाद के स्टाफ कालेज में एक छात्र पर तीन हजार रुपया माहवार खर्चा होता है। इससे ग्राप ग्रंदाजा लगा सकते हैं कि उनका रहन सहन ग्रौर विलासिता किस स्तरपर होगी। उनकी जो क्वालि-फिकेशन होती है वह तो सिर्फ ठीक ढंग से बोलने या श्रच्छी ड्रेस पहनने ग्रौर सामाजिक क्लबों में ग्रौर मिलन स्थलों में टीक तरह से, अदब कायदे से बातचीत करने तक ही महदूद होती है, सीमित होती है । मैं यह नहीं कहता हूं कि ये ग्रफसर जो होते हैं य व्यर्थ ही होते हैं या इन के दिलों में

[श्री किशन पटनायक]

देश प्रेम नहीं होता है । लेकिन केवल मात्र देश के प्रति प्रेम होने से ही देश की रक्षा नहीं हो जाती है । देश के प्रति प्रेम के साथ साथ देश की खातिर जान देने की तैयारी और उसकी खातिर लड़ने के लिए तत्पर रहना, इन चीजों की भी जरूरत होती है । मैं चाहना हूं कि रक्षा मंत्री इस के बारे में भी हम को एश्योरेंस दें कि इन पद्धतियों में वह परिवर्तन लायेंगे ।

सैनिक कालेजों में अंग्रेजी भाषा के ऊतर बहुत अधिक जोर दिया जाता है । अंग्रेजी के ऊतर इतना जोर नहीं दिया जाना चाहिये । अफसर बनने के लिये यह तय कर दिया जाना चाहिये कि ७५ प्रतिशत सैनिक अफसर तरक्की से, छोटे स्तरों से तरक्की कर के बनाये जायेंगे और केवल २५ प्रतिशत कालेजों के छात्रों में से लिये जायेंगे । इस ढंग से हमारी पालिसी में परिवर्तन आना चाहिये ।

एक ग्राखिरी बात कह कर मैं
समाप्त कर दूंगा। प्रतिरक्षा मंत्री से मैं एक
प्रश्न पूछना चाहता हूं। कल राज्य
सभा में भाषण देतं हुए उन्होंने दो चीन की
बात की है। उन्होंने कहा है कि
चीन दो हैं। उन के इस कथन से क्या
हम यह ग्रंदाजा लगाये कि सरकार की
नीति में, सरकार के दृष्टकोण में कोई
परिवर्तन ग्रा गया है ग्रीर क्या
ज्ञान का उदय हो गया है ग्रीर क्या
इस पर सरकार ग्रमल करने वाली है कि
दो चीन को हम मानें, या बह सिर्फ
एक चीन को ही मानेगी ?

ग्रह्य**क्ष महोदय**ः ग्रानरेवल डिफेंस .पिनिस्टर ।

• • • • •

श्री किञ्चन पटनायक : मध्यक्ष महोदय

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदयः ग्रौर वक्त नहीं मिल सकता है। किसी दूसरे माननीय सदस्य को मैं नहीं भव बुला सकता हूं।

श्री किशन पटनायक: सलिए मैंने जल्दी खत्म कर दिया कि द्यापने मुफ्ते कहा था कि हमारे ग्रुप के . . .

प्रष्यक्ष महोदय : मैंने कहा था । लेकिन वक्त नहीं रहा है, इसलिये माफी चाहता हुं ।

The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I am indeed grateful to this hon. House for discussing in detail the two statements that I submitted before this House. I had an opportunity to reply to the debate in the other House, where some of the points were so closely common that I may be pardoned if I am required to repeat some of the points.

I can say that when I submitted these documents I was also not very proud of them. As some of the Members say, it was a sad document. I can say I also submitted these documents to this House with a very heavy heart, and I find that these documents were discussed not only in these two Houses but since they were published they have been discussed in different presses, and I think it has been good for the country to have discussed these documents. Really speaking that was the purpose of having this enquiry made and that was the main object sharing some of the important conclusions of this inquiry with this House and the country.

What I have found is that there is very grave concern over the problems of defence in this country. It is very natural. Even today when I heard the speeches I sensed that. Some of the speeches were very constructive; some of them were

try.

very emotional and some of them, admittedly, were very bitter, but I must grant them all that behind all this emotion, behind all this bitterness and behind all the constructive criticoncern for the defence of the coun-

When this document was placed here the main idea was to consider a certain situation in an objective manner. From my own personal experience I can say one thing. I have worked for eight or nine months and I cannot say that I have become an expert in defence problems, but started knowing something about them. I find that in this country of ours fortunately or unfortunately there is very little background or knowledge about the defence problems. Either there is some wishful thinking about them or there is some romantic idea about the defence problems. It was therefore necessary to assess a certain experience which was a major experience for our army and for our country in the rather furious and rushing events that took place last October-November.

When I say "our major experience". I do not mean to say that our army leaders or officers had no experience of war. I made that point yesterday and it was rather understood by the last speaker who spoke. I mentioned the period of 16 years. It is only since independence that the Indian Army is directed with a certain political purpose of our own. It became an instrument of the people Indian since 1947. So, the experience that the army had last year was, really speaking, the major experience. course, they had some experience before, as I said, of the Police Action in Hyderabad, of a similar action in Goa and perhaps of a more important nature in Kasamir. But the type of experience that our army had last October-November was a major experience from one point of view and it was essential that this experience must be properly assessed. So, though I made a reference to the bitterness and the emotional attitude about it, I must claim and I must plead for objectively which is necessary to examine this report because its main purpose is that.

Its purpose is not merely to try to hold somebody responsible. I think, we are rather too near the history to hold anybody responsible. Let us not have an attitude of sitting judgment like historians; let us leave the whole matter for the future historians to apportion the blame. My hon, colleague, an hon, Member on this side, Shrimati Sharda jee, said that blame must be apportioned. At some time somebody will sit in judgment and the historian will try to apportion the blame. That is a diffèrent matter; but today our present duty is not merely to sit in judgment over anybody with a view hold anybody responsible or punish anybody because we are not at the end of our journey, of the perhaps trouble. The journey has only begun. We are in the process of defending our country. Somebody had referred to what had happened as a defeat. Certainly it reverse, but I do not think the Indian nation is defeated; I do not think the Indian nation will ever be defeated. It is a reverse of a campaign; it is a reverse in a battle.

Even there I must try to correct some of the impressions that my statement made. I did say that the higher commanters' deficiency became apparent. Here I must make one correction in the impression that was created though that was not The battles what was in my mind. that were fought in Ladakh certainly fought well and there higher command had done its very well. Even in the eastern sector, in the Walong sector, our army has done well and some of the hon. Members tried to hold one particular General responsible for it. He was also responsible for certain conducted battles in the Walong [Shri Y. B. Chavan]

7587

sector. It is not enough that we hold anybody responsible. I am not holding a brief for any individual. If at all I am to hold a brief, I must hold a brief for the defence of the country.

I am only looking at it from this point of view. Please do not understand that I am trying to reply this debate only in the spirit of replying to it or to explain everything. I am trying to put my feelings or my thoughts about it. So, the main attitude is as to what lessons we should learn from this inquiry and what lessons this should learn from the experience that we had in the last campaign. That is where we try to put them in as 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 etc.

Government has not tried to shirk its responsibility. Some of the hon. Members did make a mention that Government asked the aggressors to be thrown out. I think that was certainly Government's duty to give instructions to the army for that. If Government had not done that, the Government would have failed in its duty. It is the very purpose of the Government to exist and it is for that purpose that the Army is supposed to be its instrument.

डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया (फरुखा-बाद): ऐसा हुक्म ऐन मौके पर नहीं दिया जाता। जब उल्टे यप्पड़ मार कर भगाये जाते हैं, तब ऐसा हुक्म नहीं दिया जाता।

Shri Y. B. Chavan: I hope, my hon friend, Dr. Lohia, would just listen to me. It is not a fact that Government told them, "Go on this day and do this or that". It was certainly left to the army commanders to do that as soon as possible and when they were ready. On that account, I must say, there was no political interference at all of any nature whatever about the detailed conducting of the campaigns that took place anywhere.

I think, it is not fair to hold any individual Minister or even the hon.

Prime Minister responsible for because it was the nation's This was accepted not by ment but by this House and by the entire country. That policy was have peaceful attitude towards all the neighbouring countries. Some people try to make fun of sheel now because it suits them. But the whole country accepted sheel as our ideal. We did wrong in accepting that as our ideal. It has certainly happened that one of our neighbours has turned out to be treacherous. Her intentions appear to be some sort of expansionist intentions. Now it would be our duty to face it. It is in such naturally that circumstances peaceful democratic country with objective certainly suffers initial reverses.

I entirely agree with Shri Indra-Gupta when he said yesterday that that is what had happened not only to a peaceful country, like India, but to mighty empires during the Second World War and even to a military power, like Russia. That is what happens. What has happened in this campaign I take it as an initial reverse. It does not mean that Indian Army is defeated or that the Indian nation is defeated. But if, at the same time, we do not want to repeat the same mistake, certainly we must study as to what was wrong with the whole thing.

Hon Members have said that we have tried to hide something. Frankly I can tell them that consistent with the public interest, as it is understood . . .

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Public?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: Please do not misunderstand the word 'public'. Consistent with security considerations, at least we have made an attempt with the full consent and approval of the hon. Prime Minister to share all major conclusions with this House and the country. We

have certainly said that there was no chance of China starting offensive against India. That is there, and that certainly was the attitude or certainly was the judgment of the situation in the country and the international situation then. That was not hidden. That was explained, and that was accepted by this House also on many occasions. So, I would suggest that it is not fair to say that something is being concealed from anybody. Something that the country should know or something that this House should know has been given out, with a view not merely to frighten ourselves, not merely to demoralise ourselves or to demoralise the Army or to demoralise anybody; but really speaking, to learn lessons from it and correct those deficiencies and correct those mistakes and see how we can strengthen our own approach in this particular matter, because it is through such experience, and it is through such appraisals, or self-appraisals or self-criticisms, if I may call it like that, that the country can build its own defence concepts or defence strategies.

Defence strategies are not born out of any wishful speech on that side or any brave speech on my side. Defence strategies or defence concepts of a country grow out of economic capabilities, technical developments and development of science and the morale of the nation. So, the first thing is economic capabilities which we shall have to see. Then we shall have to see technological development, then we have to see scientific developmnt, and finally we have to see morale also. As far as morale is concerned, I think that India certainly stands, compared to other countries, as good as any other country. own explanation why China is going back to this and I have always explained it the other way. instance, Shri Frank Anthony has given one explanation. I can give him another explanation. If we look at the history of India, in a way, the history of India for the last one

thousand years, if I may say so, is a history-one cannot say that in all respects-of aggressions over India. and whenever there was aggression against India, India was found to be divided. Possibly, China misread Indian history and they thought that history was going to repeat itself when there was an aggression. But they forgot that the India of 1962 was not the old India, but it was a new Republic India, the people's India. When the Chinese Army started knocking at the door of India, the Indian people stood one with a resolute determination to resist the gression, and I think that it is this determination on the part of the people of India that made China go back. That is my explanation. know that there are other military explanations also for that. I do not want to go into them. An important complex cannot be oversimplified by one explanation, but I would certainly like to give this explanation. If it is acceptable to my hon friend, Shri Frank Anthony, he may possibly accept it.

Shri Frank Anthony: That is one of the reasons.

Shri Y. B. Chavan: It is one of the important reasons,

As regards the other statement that I made about our defence preparedness, I would submit that that statement is, really speaking, supplementary to the first statement. Shri Indrajit Gupta said that some hapnazard explanations had been given about the corrective actions. I would request him to read the second statement along with the first statement, and he will find the answer to every deficiency that is pointed out in the NEFA Report; Inquiry every deficiency which is pointed out there has been answered in the statement on defence preparedness.

Here, I would like to answer a small point made by my hon, friend, Shri Raghunath Singh. He devoted the whole of his speech to just one

[Shri Y. B. Chavan]

point, and that was that no mention had been made about the Navy. Of course, I do not want to underestimate the importance of the Navy or the Navy's preparation in the context of our defence preparations. In the long range, we shall have to take a realistic view and do whatever we can do, looking to our own economic capabilities; that is a different matter. But this statement on defence preparedness was in view of the report of the NEFA inquiry, and naturally, my hon friend would not expect me to take the Navy on the Himalayas.

I would like now to refer to some of the specific points made by some of the hon. Members.

Shri Prakash Vir Shastri made a point about the surrendering of large amounts of the order of Rs. 132 crores. That was the information that was given. So, certainly, I accept the figure of Rs. 132 crores. But I must say that I endorse the speech of my hon, friend, Shri Hanumanthaiya who has made a very good point, that those who have experience of these budgets can certainly say that the amount was surrendered, but the whole arithmetical calculation and the summping up or the totalling up of it does not give a correct picture. The amount which is surrendered this year naturally is provided for in the next year for the completion of a certain work. So, if you just total up the whole amount for ten years, the whole thing looks rather absurd.

An Hon. Member: It is six years' accounts.

Shri Y. B. Chavan: That will be rather an illogical way of looking at the question. Certainly, some percentage may be surrendered. I am taking this opportunity to explain this point. This year the House was kind enough to give me a bumper sanction of very huge amounts. I cannot give a guarantee that I would not surrender any amounts. For, it depends on many factors.

For example, in the statement on defence preparedness, I have mentioned about our new production factories. There, we have certainly arranged and planned and certainly implemented to whatever extent we can the rupee expenditure, in developing the local sites or trying to develop water supply and other matters that we need for them. But, ultimately, it depends upon what aid we are likely to get or what foreign exchange we can afford to spend to get the manufacturing plants needed.

My hon, friend, Shri Nath Pai asked how it was that so many missions had gone, and he asked what they had That only shows our intention of getting help from wherever we can. We have not shown any inhibitions about it. We have gone to the West, and we have gone to the East, because we are in such a situation when we must get help from every friend, from whichever friend can help us, but we cannot expect all the friends to give us all that we want; they have their own political difficulties or whatever other difficulties there may be; so, we must accept gratefully whatever they give, and try to get more if we can. Therefore, it should not be misunderstood that we are not making any efforts, because many missions have gone. But I can tell my hon, friend that many missions have gone, no doubt, but many missions have also succeeded in some While it is true that they matters. have not succeeded one hundred per cent-it is difficult to succeed hundred per cent in getting aid from others-they have succeeded in some matters. But, certainly, I would submit that in strengthening our defence apparatus it takes a long time to prepare; it cannot be done overnight.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The hon. Minister should himself go and try.

Shri Y. B. Chavan: If necessary, certainly, I shall not hesitate to go, not that I am very keen to go anywhere.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: That would be for a national purpose.

Shri Y. B. Chavan: But I must mention one thing that though we are getting all help, let us not forget one thing. Here also, I must take an objective view of things. As far as defence production is concerned, as far as the defence requirements are concerned, we are not going for aid for everything. There is a considerable base of production here in our country, of our own, in certain matters, as for instance, in regard to general stores etc. Certainly, for sophisticated weapons or some sort of weaponry and ammunition etc. we have to go in for aid, and the necessary machinery to produce those things; naturally, we have to do that.

I must say here, whatever criticism may have been made by other people that it would be unfair and ungrateful on my part, if I did not make a mention of the fact that we must recognise the work in this particular field of my predecessor, Shri Krishna Menon, that it was he who for the first time gave emphasis on organising the local production in this country, as far as the defence preparation was concerned. It would be an ungrateful attitude if we do not recognise that. I am saying this, because, as I have said, I am taking a sort of objective attitude about this matter. It is necessary that we must recognise that he did it. Certainly, in the field of aeronautics, we have got a tertain production base. In the case of transport also, I can say that the production of the Shaktiman and the Nisan trucks has solved our problems of transport to some considerable length. So, we must give credit where credit is due.

My hon, friend, Shri Frank Anthony said that he was bitter; if he wants to be bitter, he can be bitter, but since he belongs to a profession where he seeks to get justice done where justice is due, he must not deny that justice in this particular case also.

I was rather intrigued by a statement made by my hon friend, Shri Nath Pai, about some theft of some map. Well, we have got all sorts of maps. He asked me whether there existed such a map. We have got valuable maps, important maps, secret maps, but I have no information that any such map was stolen by anybody. If he has any information, I am prepared to meet him confidentially and get that information. Then I will certainly inquire.

At the same time, he also made another remark which, I must say, hurt me—rather it should hurt everybody here—that there is a 'China Cell' in every department, in every Ministry, here.

Shri Nath Pai: I think it caused hurt to some other friends also because they were whining at me. was quoting from an article by a very distinguished columnist writing the Sunday Telegraph. His writing is extremely friendly except for some uncharitable references to an individual here. He quotes that a pro-China lobby in the Defence Ministry, a pro-China lobby in the External Affairs Ministry is holding this view. There are other quotations which I can submit to him. I did not mean anything else. (Interruptions).

Shri Y. B. Chavan: When a statement like this is made by an important Member like him, it creates a wrong impression in the country; it demoralises the nation, and I do not want that to happen. That is my intention in replying to that point. I want to assure him that there is no such thing. How can there be such a cell functioning in the Defence Ministry or any other Ministry of Government? It cannot be.

Shri Frank Anthony: In your Party, you have got a China lobby (Interruptions).

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: You are the greatest China lobby here (Inter-ruptions).

Motion re: "Our Defence
Preparedness"

Mr. Speaker: That should not happen.

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: He says 'Your Party has a China lobby'. He should not have made that remark.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: It is addressed to you.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: You have gone on record as being the greatest China lobby. He said you are, Sir.

Mr. Speaker: If he was referring to me, I accept it! Members should try to restrain themselves.

Shri Y. B. Chavan: Shri Prakash Vir Shastri asked why we have got Chinese here to teach the Chinese language, why we have not sent our people abroad for training in that language. I can tell him that we have sent our officers for the study of Chinese language to countries wherever we can get them trained. In our own country also, we have got certain facilities for the study of the We have certain-Chinese language. ly some Chinese also as instructors, wrong there is nothing that. But then somebody has got a wrong impression that the Chinese instructors are part of the military intelligence directorate. That is not true. We have got, for example, one Chinese professor from Shantiniketan to teach Chinese. There is nothing wrong in that. If there are Chinese who want to come and help Indians to know their language, with all security care and precautions being taken, I think there is nothing wrong about that,

He made a very important point. That was about the mess attitude of the army officers, that means a certain class attitude, a distinction between the army officers and the jawans—I think that is what he had in mind. I can tell him and assure the House—I assured the Rajya Sabha yesterday and I would like to repeat it here—that we did certainly inherit

this tradition from the British army, but steps have already been taken, and we have taken more care about it; because we find that the Chinese particularly try to emphasise in their indoctrination efforts with prisoners of war this particular point. We have issued a series of instructions to see that a new pattern of relationship between the officers the jawans is developed. Of course, it will take some time. But I am sure that the present army are very keen to have that new democratic attitude established gradually but very firmly.

Another point that was raised was about the production of rifles at Ishapore. I think I have answered that in my statement on defence preparedness. By the end of this year the maximum capacity of the Ishapore factory, which is 2,500 per month, will be reached. Unless it is expanded or we have another plant, it cannot be increased, but it should not be supposed that it is the only production. Bolt action rifle will also be produced, 5,000 a month, even after that.

Shri Bhakt Darshan made a few points, and he was naturally concerned about the contribution of the hill areas in this defence preparedness. I entirely agree with him that the hilly parts from which he comes have got military traditions, and not only that area. I have gone into the statistics, and I have found that nearly one-fifth of the entire new recruitment to the army is from the hill areas. I hope he does not expect us to leave the entire defence of the border areas only to the border people.

श्री भक्त दर्शन (गढ़वाल): मेरा मतलब ग्राप समझे नहीं। सारी सेना में नहीं, माउनटन डिवीजन्स में स्पेशली उन्हें प्रार्थ-मिकता दी जाय। यह मेरा ग्राशय था।

Shri Y. B. Chavan: I think he will concede my point that the hill areas have more than enough representation when I tell him that one-fifth of the 137

newly recruited jawans are from the hill areas.

Another question asked was what we are doing about helping experienced jawans to get into permanent and emergency commissions. That is an important point, because these jawans or JCOs who have, really speaking, gone through the thick battle, possibly have got more battle toughness, and if they are made officers, possibly we will get the right type of leadership that the army requires. We have gone into this matter, and I have mentioned in my statement on defence preparedness that we have expanded the facilities at the Nowgong School where these commission trainings are given. may tell him that nearly one-fourth of the permanent commisions and emergency commissions have gone to these JCOs and the people from the That shows that we rather more careful about it.

The qualities of leadership are something very important as far as the country is concerned, and these qualities cannot overnight be inculcated, because they cannot be imparted merely by training. They certainly are cultivated through certain experiences, and it is the intention of the Defence Ministry to see that we hold exercises from time to time through the Army Headquarters to test the qualities of leadership of the different people, so that we can have proper personnel to lead our army if and when a crisis comes, or if at all it comes. That was one point which I wanted to mention as far as Shri Bhakt Darshan is concerned.

The same point was made by Shri K. C. Pant in his speech. He also made another point that there should be demarcation between civil and military intelligence, but at the same time very fortunately he says there should be co-ordination. I can assure him that demarcation is obviously there, and co-ordination is the one thing we are emphasizing more in the intelligence performance.

About intelligence, I may say it is not merely getting information. Intelligence, really speaking, is quite different from information. In army jargon intelligence is defined as information which is properly evaluated from the military point Information becomes intelliview. gence only when it is properly assessed and it gets certain military From that point of view, value. the military intelligence organisation will take a long time to be reorganised. It requires the right type of personnel, the right type of training and the right type of equipment too.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: And you started late also.

Shri Y. B. Chavan: And we have started late also. So, it will some time. So, I can only assure the hon. Members that in this particular line we have certainly learnt a lesson, rather a costly lesson, but we have learnt it very well now, and I do not think we can afford to make any further mistage in this particular line. Shri Indrajit Gupta made one point: We are making semi-automatic rifles and using them in the Himalayas while the Chinese use of automatic riffes. I do know whether he had more information than I; possibly he has, but my information is that the Chinese not have automatic rifles; they semi-automatic rifles. The Chinese had a very peculiar military technic; sending waves of man-power. is where they are more strong. Superiority in numbers -- that was one Yesterday, I menof their points. tioned their thre military advantages which they exploited completely superiority in numbers; the terrain from which they operated was rather advantageous to them; and thirdly, the aggressor has naturally certain initiatives; he can choose the place and time and everything.

Shri Hem Barua (Gauhati): We are tired of these arguments; they are repeated in season and out of season. Shri Y. B. Chavan: I am not saying that these are the only reasons; I am saying that these are some of the reasons and I am replying to the point of Shri Indrajit Gupta.

Shri Indrajit Gupta (Calcutta South-West): My point was that if the Chinese were equipped even with semi-automatic weapons, we were equipped mainly with breach bolt action rifles. Why did the statement say 'our weapons were adequate'?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: Where did I say 'adequate'? I am giving the view of the military people. That rifle is less rapid but it is good for aiming; it consumes less ammunition. rather more useful. Certainly we have got adequate numbers of that also but the mistake was that we could not take them to the right place in the right time. That was our main difficulty because of the suddenness of the whole situation. I was telling about the other point: the Chinese were not using automatic rifles. From the experience in this type of warfare, semi-automatic rifles are more useful because they have the necessary rapidity and fire power and at the same time they do not waste ammunition.

These are some specific points made by some hon. Members. If the whole report is looked at from the objective point of view ...

Dr. M. S. Aney (Nagpur): The report is not before us.

Shri Y. B. Chavan: I mean the statement wherever I have mentioned the word 'report'. I was saying that the attitude and approach to defence cannot be a divisible approach. There cannot be a Government approach to defence and an Opposition approach to Defence; there cannot be a Congress approach and any other party's approach.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: There should not be.

Shri Y. B. Chavan: It can only be national approach to defence. Therefore, I request the hon. Members to take this report in that objectivity so that instead of trying to divide or demoralise us it will give the necessary strength which is what we need at the moment. speaking, the report is aimed at creating that confidence and awareness in the Army and in the circles where policy decisions are taken so that the deficiencies may not be repeated again. That is my whole approach and I would request the hon. Members to look at this report from that point of view.

Preparedness"

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: On a point of information, may I ask the Defence Minister whether the question of responsibility for the NEFA debacle has not been dealt with at all in the original report or it has all in the original report or it has only been omitted from the statement which is a drastically expurgated edition of the report?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: I can say that if he looks to the terms of reference which I had given, he will see that the enquiry was not for fixing any responsibility as such. So, that was not the question. It is not that the report has arrived at any conclusions as such which I have not given to the House.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: The Prime Minister's assurance was different.

डा॰ राम मनोहर से या : एक परिपत्र दिल्ली सरकार की तरफ से उवसीग्रम के. सेनापितयों को भेजा गया था कि जब कोई जगह गिरने वाली हो तो उसे खाली करो, ग्रासन्न पतन वाला परिपत्र। यह कब भेजा गया था? क्योंकि उस परिपत्र का मतलब ऐसा निकाला गया कि १५ से १८ नवम्बर तक लड़ाई सिर्फ डेढ़ दिन वालांग में हुई, केवल इघर उघर खुट पुट गोलीबारी हुई ग्रीर जग

खाली कर दी गईं। तो परिपत्र कब भेजा गया? किस ने भेजा, जब तक इस के बारे में सफाई नहीं होगी तब तक देशद्रोह का शक मन में बना रहेगा।

श्रो यज्ञवन्तराव चढ्राण : परिपत्र की बात तो मैं ने ग्राप से पहली दफा सुनी। जो इंस्ट्रक्शन पहली गवर्नमेंट ने दिया था, जो कारंबाई करनी है, वह करनी है। वह रिस्पांसिबिलिटी गवर्नमेंट ने ले ली है। लेकिन ऐसा कोई परिपत्र देने के सम्बन्ध में मझे पता नहीं है।

डा० राम मनोहर लोहित: ग्रध्यक्ष महोदयः इस परिपत्र का पता लगना चाहिये । मंत्री महोदय नहीं जानते कि क्या हम्रा था। उस वक्त यह परिपत्रभेजा गया था। शायद वे ग्रंग्रेजी ज्यादा समझें, उस परिपत्र में इमिनेन्ट फाल का शब्द लिखा हम्रा था, इवक्एशन शब्द लिखा हम्रा था । उस परिपत्र के कारण १५ से १८ नवम्बर तक जगहें बार बार खाली की गईं। खाली वालांग में डेढ दिन लड़ाई हुई थी.....

ध्रध्यक्ष महोदध : वह तो कह रहे हैं कि इस परिपत्र के बारे में उन्होंने पहली बार सूना । उन को इल्म नहीं है, उन्होंने यह जवांब दिया ।

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : बडे ग्रफसोस की बात है कि रक्षा मंत्री भी न जानें कि क्या हम्रा पहले ।

Mr. Speaker: For discussion under rule 193, there is no motion. put the second one to the vote.

श्री भक्त दर्शन: श्रीमन, मझे ग्रन्त में कुछ कहने का ग्रिधिकार है।

श्रध्यक्ष महोदय : इस में जवाब की क्या जरूरत है?

श्री भक्त दर्शन : ध्रगर ग्राप इजाजत दें तो केवल एक मिनट में भ्रपनी बात कह दुंगा।

कल मैं ने ६ सितम्बर के वक्तव्य के सम्बन्ध में यहां पर जो प्रस्ताव रक्खा था ग्रीर उस में प्रतिरक्षा मंत्री जी को हार्दिक बधाई दी थी, ग्राज उन्हीं के वक्तव्य के सम्बन्ध में उन्हें ग्रीर डबल बधाई देना चाहता हं।

प्रध्यक्ष महोदय : सिर्फ बधाई जवाब में देना चाहते हैं?

श्री भक्त दर्शन : श्रीमन्, एक दूसरी बात मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि उन्हें ग्राज चुंकि बहुत सी जनरल बातें कहनी थीं, इसलिये जो बहुत से ग्रन्य छोटे छोटे सुझाव दिये गये हैं. अवकाश मिलने पर, मुझे आशा है कि वे परी तरह से उन पर ध्यान देंगे।

इस के बाद मैं यह कहना चाहता हं कि इस सदन में इस बाद-विवाद के सिलसिले में बहत सनसनीदार, बहत रानसनीखेज भाषण हुए । मैं समझता हूं कि ग्रब यह विवाद समाप्त हो जाना चाहिये, ग्रीर गडे मर्दे उखाडने का काम खत्म हो जाना चाहिये। ग्राज से हम को समझना चाहिये कि सब दलों ग्रीर सब व्यक्तियों को मिल कर माननीय प्रधान मंत्री जी के हाथों को मजबत करना है।

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय: बस । ग्राप ने एक मिनट मांगा था। वह हो चुका।

Mr. Speaker: I am putting the Motion: the question is:

"That this House takes note of the statement made on the 9th September, 1963 by the Minister of Defence on 'Our Defence Preparedness'."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker: The Home Minister wanted to make a statement. not here. Shri Kanungo.

16.46 hrs.

MOTION RE: COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC UNDERTAKINGS

The Minister of Industry (Shri Kanungo): Sir, may I submit that there is hardly any time, and so I would not take a little more than 15 minutes. (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: What about the Halfan-Hour discussion? Would the House like to sit....

Several Hon. Members: No, no.

Mr. Speaker: Then I will promise Shrimati Savitri Nigam that in the first wek of next session if she repeats the notice we will take that up in the next session.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty (Barrackpore): Let the hon, Minister move the motion.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy (Kendrapara): Let him move the motion. (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: He is going to move it. If he wants 15 minutes he can have it.

Shri Kanungo: I beg to move the motion as put down in the Order Paper, item No. 7. To save time. I am not reading it.

Mr. Speaker: It may be read once. Shri Kanungo: I beg to move:

"That a Committee of this House to be called the Committee on Public Undertakings be constituted with ten members who shall be elected from among the members of this House according to the principle of proportional representation by means of the single transferable votes.

- (2) That the functions of the Committee shall be:
 - (a) to examine the reports and accounts of the Public Under-

takings specified in the Schedule:

- (b) to examine the reports, if any, of the Comptroller and Auditor General on the Public Undertakings:
- (c) to examine in the context of the autonomy and efficiency of the Public Undertakings, whether the affairs of the Public Undertakings are being managed in accordance with sound business principles and prudent commercial practices; and
- (d) such other function vested in the Public Accounts Committee and the Estimates committee in relation to the Public Undertakings specified in the Schedule by or under the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business of this House as are not covered by clauses (a), (b) and above and as may be allotted to the Committee by the Speaker from time to time.

Provided that the Committee shall not examine and investigate any of the following matters, namely:

- (i) matters of major Government policy as distinct from business or commercial functions of the Public Undertakings:
- (ii) matters of day-to-day ministration:
- (iii) matters for the consideration of which machinery is established $b_{\mathbf{y}}$ any special statute under which a particular public undertaking is established.
- (3) That the members of the Committee shall hold office for a period of five years;

Provided that one-fifth of the members shall retire every year by rotation and the members to retire by rotation every year shall be those who have been longest in office since their last election but as between members who were elected on the same day, those who are to retire shall be determined by lot.

(4) That in other respects the Rules of Procedure of this House relating to Parliamentary Committees shall apply with such variations and modifications as the Speaker may make.

SCHEDULE

(List of Public Undertakings)

PART I

(Public Undertakings established by Central Acts)

- The Damodar Valley Corporation.
- 2. The Industrial Finance Corporation.
- The Indian Airlines Corporation.
- 4. The Air India International.
- The Life Insurance Corporation.
- The Central Warehousing Corporation.
- Oil and Natural Gas Commission

PART II

(Public Undertakings which are Government Companies formed under the Companies Act)

Every Government Company whose annual report is placed before the Houses of Parliament under subsection (1) of Section 619A of the Companies Act, 1956, other than the Public Undertakings included in Part III hereof.

FART III

 Hindustan Aircraft Ltd., Bangalore.

- Bharat Electronics Ltd., Bangalore.
- 3. Mazagon Docks Ltd., Bonibay.
- Garden Reach Workshop Ltd., Calcutta."

I also beg to move:

"That this House recommends to Rajya Sabha that Rajya Sabha do agree to nominate five members from Rajya Sabha to associate with the Committee on Public Undertakings and on the constitution of the said Committee to communicate to this House the names of the members so nominated by Rajya Sabha."

This motion has a chequered history. A similar motion was moved in the House on the 24th November, 1961. The general elections intervened....

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath (Hoshangabad): The spech may be laid on the Table.

Shri Nath Pai (Rajapur): We did not bargain for any speech today. We suggested that the motion may be moved, so that the House may be seized of it. We were not ready for one more speech today.

Some Hon. Members: He can lay it on the Table.

Mr. Speaker: If speech is to be made on the floor of the House and if the House is not prepared to sit now, when we meet again he can make the speech.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: We must be permitted to move our amendments.

Mr. Speaker: After the speech is made, amendments can be moved.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: The speech is held over. But the motion has been made.

Mr. Speaker: The amendments can be moved after the speech is made.

16.53 hrs.

CORRECTION OF ANSWER TO STARRED QUESTION No. 742

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Nanda): Sir, I wish to make a correction in one of the replies which I gave to the House the day before yesterday in reply to one of the supplementaries to the Starred Question No. 743 regarding the search of film studios in Bombay. I stated in reply to a supplementary by Dr. L. M. Singhvi that one of the studios recently searched by the police was the

Mohan Studio and mentioned the name of Shri Raj Bans Khanna along with that studio in that connection. I wish to state by way of correction now that while Mohan Studio was one of the studios searched by the police, Shri Raj Bans Khanna has no connection whatever with the Mohan Studio or with any other studio in Bombay or any of the places which were searched by the police.

Mr. Speaker: The House stands adjourned sine die.

16.55 hrs.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned sine die.

[Saturday, September 21, 1963/Bhadra 30, 1885 (Sak.1)]

7610

COLUMNS PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE-contd. ORAL ANSWERS TO QUES-TIONS 7437-47 COLUMNS S.N.). Subject (ii) Review by the Govern-No. ment on the working 14 Indian Missions abroad . 7437-41 of the above Corporation. Holidays 15 Dussehra (2) A copy of the Indian Aircraft (Fourth Amendment) Delhi Schools 7641-42 Gold in the country · 7442--45 Rules, 1963 published in Notification No. G.S.R. 1457, dated the 7th Sep-17 Telephone service in Balaghat 7445-46 tember, 1963, under section 14A of the Aircraft Act, of cement 18 Production in Andhra Pradesh 1934, together with an 7447 explanatory note. STATEMENT BY PRIME (3) The Minutes of the sittings (Seventh and Eighth) of the Committee on Petitions held during the current Session, MINISTER . 7448-57 . . The Prime Minister (Shri Jawaharlal Nehru) made statement on recent firing by Pakistani forces MESSAGE FROM RAJYA on Assam-East Pakistan SABHA 7467-€8 border. Secretary reported a message STATEMENT BY MINISfrom Rajya Sabha that at its sitting held on the 19th September, 1963, Rajya Sabha agreed without any 7458--66 (i) The Minister of Finance (Shri T. T. Krishna-machari) made a stateamendment to the Personal Injuries (Compensation Insurance) Bill, 1963, passed by Lok Sabha on the 28th ment on the Gold Control Order and the Compulsory Deposit August, 1963. Schemes. DISCUSSION RE: NEFA ENQUIRY AND MOTION RE: "OUR DEFENCE PREii) The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Nanda) made a statement PAREDNESS" . . 7474-7602 correcting the reply given on the 18th September, 1963 to a Combined discussion on NEFA Enquiry and the motion re: "Our Defence Preparedness" continued. The Minister of Defence (Shri Y. B. Chavan) also took part in the debate. supplementary by Dr. L.M. Singhvi on Starred Question No. 743 regarding Search of Film Studios in The motion re: "Our Defence Bombay. Preparedness" moved by Shri Bhakt Darshan was PAPERS LAID ON THE adopted. TABLE 746€–67 MOTION RE: COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC UNDERTAKINGS (I) A copy each of the following papers: . 7(03-07 (i) Annual Report of the Film Finance Corpora-Minister of Industry tion Limited, Bombay, (Shri Kanungo) moved a for the year 1962-63 along with the Audited

Accounts

and

comments of the Com-

ptroller and Auditor General thereon, under

sub-section (1) of section 619A of the Companies Act, 1956.

motion re: Constitution of a Committee of the House to be called the Committee Public Undertakings. on Th discussion was not concluded.

Lok Sabha adjourned sine die at 16.25 hrs.

of the Fifth Session (1963) of the Third Lok Sabha

| Period of the Session | to 21st Sept. 1963. | umber of Discussions held under Rule 193 (Matters of Urgent Public | |
|--|------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Number of meetings held | 30 | Importance): (i) Notices received | 28 |
| | | (ii Discussions held | |
| Total number of Sitting hours. | 188 hours and 6 | | 3 |
| | minutes | Numbers of statements Made under Rule 197 (Calling Attention to | |
| Number of Divisions held | 12 | Matters of Urgent Public Importance): | |
| Government Bills :- | | (i) Notices received | 448 |
| (i) Pending at the com- mencement of the session | | (ii) Statements made by Ministers | 27 |
| | 16 | Half-an-hour Discussion | |
| (ii) introduced | 8 | held Government Resolutions: | 3 |
| (iii) Laid on the Table as | _ | (i) Moved | Nil |
| passed by Rajya Sabha. | 5 | | Nil |
| (iv) Referred to Select Committee | Nil | (ii) Adopted . | Nil |
| (v) Referred to Joint Committee | 1 | Private Members, Resolu- tions: | |
| (vi) Reported by Select | | (i) Received | 15 |
| Committee | I | (ii) Admitted | 12 |
| (vii) Reported by Joint Committee | Nil | (iii) Discussed | 3 (1 Part dis- cussed) |
| (viii) Passed | 20 (List at- | (iv) Withdrawn | Nil |
| | tached) | (v) Negatived . | 2 |
| (ix) Returned by Rajya | | (vi) Adapted | Nil |
| Sabha without any re- | | (vii) Part discussed . | I |
| commendation | 3 | (viii) Discussion postponed | Nil |
| (x) Returned by Rajya Sabha with any amend- | | Government Motions: | |
| ment | Nil | (i) Moved | 5 |
| (xi) Pending at the end | | (ii) Adopted | 4 |
| of the session | ò | | 4 |
| Private Members' Bills : | | Private Members' Mo- tions: | |
| | | (i) Received . | 51 |
| (i) Pending at the com- mencement of session | 40 | (ii) Admitted . | 34 |
| (ii) Introduced | 6 | (iii) Moved | 5 |
| (iii) Discussed | 6 | (iv) Adopted . | 4 |
| (iv) Withdrawn | 2 | (v) Part discussed | Nil |
| (v) Negatived. | 2 | Motions re: Modifications | |
| (vi) Passed . | Nil | to Statutory Rules: | |
| (vii) Part discussed | I | (i) Received | 2 |
| (viii) Discussion postponed | Nil | (ii) Admitted . | 2 |
| (ix) Report of Joint Select | | (iii) Moved | Nıl |
| Committee laid (x) Laid on the Table as | | Number of News Parliamen- tary Committees created, if any, during the session | Nil |
| passed by Rajya Sabha | Nil | | |
| (xi) Pending at the end of the session | 42 | Number of Adjournment Motions: | |
| (xii) Circulated for elicit- ing opinion thereon . | 1 | (i) Brought before the House | Nil |
| | | • | |

| (ii) Admitted but leave not granted by the House | Nil | mittees presented to the Lok Sabha: | |
|--|---------------|---|--------|
| (iii) Ruled out of order | Nil | P.A.C. Reports E.C. Report | 3 1 |
| (iv) Consent withheld by Speaker | Nil | Committee, on Private Members Bills and Re- | |
| Total number of Questions admitted: | | solutions | 5 |
| i) Starred ii) Unstarred (including | 801 | 5. Committee on Absence of Members | |
| starred questions con- verted as unstarred questions). | 2259 ° | Number of Members granted leave of absence | 11 |
| (iii) Short Notice Questions | 18 | Petitions presented. | 4 |
| Number of Reports of various Parliamentary Com- | | Number of New Members sworn with dates and constituencies: | |

| S,No, | Name of Member sworn | Date on which sworn | Constituency | Div. No. |
|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|----------|
| ı. Si | nrimati Syam Kumari I | Devi 13-8-1963 | Raipur | 161 |
| 2. Sh | iri J. B. Kripalani . | Do. | Amroha | 436 |
| 3. D | r. Ram Manohar Lohia | Do. | Farukhabad . | 390 |
| 4. Sł | ari Rajdeo Singh . | Do. | Jaunpur | 163 |
| 5. Si | nri M. R. Masani . | Do. | Rajkot | 445 |

GOVERNMENT BILLS PASSED DURING THE FIFTH SESSION

- The All India Services (Amendment) Bill, 1963.
- 2. The Institutes of Technology (Amendment) Bill, 1963.
- The Administrators-General Bill, 1963.
 - 4. The Specific Relief Bill, 1963.
- The Textiles Committee Bill, 1963.
- The Union Territories Dramatic Performances (Repeal) Bill, 1963.
 - 7. The Limitation Bill, 1963.
- The Indian Emigration (Amendment) Bill, 1963.
- The Iron Ore Mines Labour Welfare Cess (Amendment) Bill, 1963.
- 10. The Appropriation (No. 4) Bill, 1963.
 - 1286 (Ai) LSD-7.

- 11. The Warehousing Corporations (Amendment) Bill, 1963.
- 12. The Customs and Central Excises (Amendment) Bill, 1963.
 - 13. The Major Port Trusts Bill, 1963.
- 14. The Personal Injuries (Compensation Insurance) Bill, 1963.
- The Appropriation (Railways)
 No. 5 Bill, 1963.
- 16. The Special Marriage (Amendment) Bill, 1963.
- 17. The Indian Sale of Goods (Amendment) Bill, 1963.
- The Employees' Provident Funds (Amendment) Bill, 1963.
- The Code of Civil Procedure (Amendment) Bill, 1963.
- 20. The Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Amendment Bill, 1963.