| 1 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| 4. हरियाणा | 166.92 | 173.86 | 102.49 | 443.27 |
| 5. जम्मू और काश्मीर | 184.90 | 198.43 | 63.63 | 446.96 |
| 6. कर्नाटक | 704.05 | 606.65 | 307.97 | 1618.67 |
| 7. महाराष्ट्र | 6.47.36 | 570.21 | 426.30 | 1643.87 |
| 8. मध्य प्रदेश | 402.63 | 386.78 | 230.88 | 1020.29 |
| 9. उड़ीसा | 217.56 | 348.05 | 167.87 | 733.48 |
| 10. राजस्थान | 978.28 | 1110.56 | 525.55 | 2614.30 |
| 11. तमिलनाडु | 447.88 | 561.46 | 460.89 | 1470.23 |
| 12. उत्तर प्रदेश | 728.64 | 551.78 | 210.05 | 1490.47 |
| 13. पश्चिम बंगाल | 381.30 | 423.53 | 195.91 | 1000.74 |
| योग अखिल भारत | 7314.25 | 7321.31 | 3933.06 | 18568.67 |

Revenue Leaks in Land and Development Office

- 151. SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJ-PAYEE: Will the Minister of WORKS AND HOUSING be pleased to refer to replies to Unstarred Q. Nos. 67.4 on 11 April 1983 and 10810 on 9 May 1983 regarding revenue leaks in Land and Development Office and state:
- (a) in which of the 26 cases inspection reports submitted by Inspecting officers were found to be incorrect, alongwith necessary details and dates;
- (b) whether decision in the former Ministry of Works Production and Supply letter No. 2405-W1/51 dated 31 March, 1951 re-

garding additional construction in houses leased for a residence is still in force and whether this decision was applied to cases at Sl. Nos. 2, 3, 4, 5, and 9 in the above-noted Annexure at that time, if not, the reasons therefor; and

(c) the particulars of officials in the L. and D.O. who had originally located, examined, processed and reported the revenue loss in each of the 26 cases?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF WORKS AND HOUSING (SHRI MOHAMMED USMAN ARIF): (a) to (c). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha.