(b) whether coal transportation by rail is banned within Bihar because of the distance limit of 200 miles due to which coal in Haryana or Punjab in cheaper than that in northern areas of Bihar, e.g. Jaynagar, Sitamarhi, Bagahaer; if so, any remedial steps?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF COAL IN THE MINISTRY OF ENERGY (SHRI GARGI SHANKAR MISHRA): (a) Coal prices at the pithead are fixed by Government and are applicable all over the country. Consumers pay all other statutory levies and transportation charges on coal and as such the prices of coal to the consumers vary place to place.

(b) Movement of coal to consumers within 200 miles of pithead by rail in Bihar is not banned. In all cases consumers pay for the transportation charges.

## Linking of Raigarh Thermal Power Station with two IBA Coal Mines in M.P.

4868. SHRI B. R. NAHATA: Will the Minister of ENERGY be pleased to state:

(a) whether any proposal has been received from the Madhya Pradesh Electricity Board for linking of the proposed Raigarh Thermal Power Station in Madhya Pradesh with two IBA Coal Mines in Madhya Pradesh; and

(b) whether it is also a fact that the Coal Authorities are linking these coal mines with other Power Stations which are at a longer distance and if so, what are the reasons for it?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF ENERGY (SHRI VIK-RAM MAHAJAN): (a) and (b). A proposal has been recived from the Madhya Pradesh Electricity Board regarding coal supply from Mand river and Ib valley coalfields for setting up of a power station of 3x210 MW capacity near Raigarh. However, no project report has so far been received for techno-economic clear-

i isidhaana

51 LS-10.

Written Answers CHAITRA 2, 1904 (SAKA) Written Answers

ance by the Central Electricity Authority. The project can be considered for technoeconomic clearance only after all the necessary inputs are tied up.

A few thermal power stations in the Western Region have been linked to the Ib valley coalfields. The exploration of the Mand-Raigarh coalfield is still at a preliminary stage and their production potential can be determined only after detailed exploration is carried out. Even in respect of the Ib valley coalfields, further exploration is being carried out to determine the full production potential. Coal linkages are provided to the power stations keeping in view, inter alia, the quality and the quantity of coal required by the power station and the facilities that exist for transportation of the coal from the coalfield to the power station.

## Hydel projects of M.P.

4869. SHRI B. R. NAHATA: Will the Minister of ENERGY be pleased to state:

(a) as to how many Hydel Power Project proposals have been submitted for sanction to the Central Electricity Authority by Madhya Pradesh;

(b) when they were submitted and what is the result; and

(c) whether it is a fact that none of these Hydel Projects are being sanctioned for the year 1982-83, and if so, for what reasons?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF ENERGY (SHRI VIK-RAM MAHAJAN): (a) to (c). The Project report of Seven multipurpose/hydroelectric projects, received from the State authorities are under examination in the Central Electricity Authority/Central Water Commission. Details of these schemes are given in the statement enclosed.

These projects would be taken up for implementation after their techno-economic feasibility is established and the schemes are included in the Plan.

MARCH 23, 1982

## Statement

Hydro Generations Schemes in Madhya Pradesh under Examination in Central Electricity Authority

Sl. Name of Scheme No.	Inst. Cap. (MW)	Date of receipt of report		Present status of technical examination of the Scheme.
I 2	3	4	5	6
1. Barsagar (Revised proposal)	$5 \times 105+$ $2 \times 15+$ $3 \times 15$ $= 39^{\circ}$	Sept. 80	19582 (Power)	The project report has been examined in CEA and CWC. 'The aspects relating to irrigation and the civil designs of the projects are yet to be finalised by the Govt. of Madhya Pradesh in the light of comments of the CWC.
2. Sindh Multipurpose pro- ject Phase II (Mohini Sagar)	3×25 ≕75	June 78	393 1 (Power)	Replies to the comments of CEA are awaited from the State Government.
3. Orchha Multipurpose Project.	$2 \times 15+$ $2 \times 30$ $= 90$	Sept. 78	4830 (Power)	Project report has been examined in CEA and comments sent to project authorities. Re- plies to the comments of CEA are awaited. U.P. Govt. have raised certain inter-State aspects in this Project.
4. Hasdeo (Bango) Multi- purpose Project.	3×40 =120	May 81	4097 (t ower)	The comments of CEA/CWC have been sent to project authorities. Their replies are awaited.
5. Kutru I H.E	3×50 = 150	Sept. 80	7256 (Power)	The comments of CEA/CWA have been sent to project aut- horities. Replies are awaited. The hydrology cost estimates, civil designs etc are yet to be finalised by the project autho- rities in the light of the com- ments of the CWC.
6. Mahanadi Reservoir	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \times 2 \cdot 5 \\ = 10 \end{array}$	Aug. 81	734 (Power)	Under examination in CEA CWC. The aspects regar- ding Hydrology and hyde civil designs are yet to be resolved by the project au thorities in the light of com- ments of CWC.;
7. Kutru II H.E.	3×50 ≖150	Feb. 82	11316 (Power)	Under Examination in CEA/ CWC. (Report received in Feb. 81.

**2**9 I

292