

(ग) यदि हां, तो तत्संबंधी व्यौरा क्या है;

(घ) क्या राज्य सरकार ने उनके इस योजना के क्रियान्वयन के लिए वित्तीय सहायता उपलब्ध कराने का भी अनुरोध किया है; और

(ङ) यदि हां, तो तत्संबंधी व्यौरा क्या है और इस पर सरकार की क्या प्रतिक्रिया है ?

संसदीय कार्य तथा निमण और प्रावास मंत्री (श्री भीष्म नारायण सिंह) :

(क) इस मंत्रालय के पास इस बारे में कोई सूचना नहीं है ।

(ख) जी, नहीं ।

(ग) से (ङ) प्रश्न ही नहीं उठता ।

#### Recruitment and promotions of S.C./S.T. in F.C.I.

4637. SHRI BHEEKHABHAI : Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state :

(a) whether Food Corporation of India is following rules providing for reservation in recruitment and promotions for SC/ST employees in all categories of posts and cadres ;

(b) if so, whether there are certain cadres where there is no representation of SC/ST communities in category-I posts ;

(c) if so, the number and names of the cadres and the sanctioned posts of category-I and category-II posts therein separately ;

(d) number of eligible category-II officers of SC/ST communities available for being promoted to the category-I post ; and

(e) the reasons for not promoting eligible category-II SC/ST officers to category-I post ?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRIES OF AGRICULTURE AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT (KUMARI KAMLA KUMARI) : (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) In Category I posts in the Planning and Research Cadre there is at present, no representation of SC/ST communities.

(c) In Planning and Research Cadre, 6 Category I posts of Deputy Manager were initially sanctioned. Out of six posts, one post has been transferred to Punjab and one post is not to be filled up as per the decision of the Management. Thus 4 posts of Deputy Managers are available to man the Planning and Research Cadre. In Category II, 6 posts of Assistant Manager have been sanctioned.

(d) In Planning & Research Cadre there is only one Scheduled Caste Officer in Category II who is eligible for consideration for promotion to Category I posts in the Cadre.

(e) The eligible Scheduled Caste Officer will be considered as and when promotion from Category II to I in Planning & Research Cadre is made.

#### Failure of Operation Flood I and II

4639. SHRI HARIKESH BAHADUR : Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether his attention has been drawn to the news-item (Indian Express, 9 January, 1982) regarding failure of milk production under Operation Flood I and II and step-motherly treatment given to some of States such as UP ;

(b) whether it is a fact that under Operation Flood I there has been large scale diversion of funds without Government approval leading to various imbalances ;

(c) the original State-wise allocations and actual disbursements made and the reasons for deviations and whether these were approved by the competent authority in advance ; and

(d) The State-wise allocations under Operation Flood II and whether these have been approved by Government and if so, what have been the norms ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRIES OF AGRICULTURE AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI R.V. SWAMINATHAN) : (a) to (c). Operation

Flood I is yet to make the desirable impact in some aspects in U.P. The allocation of funds under Operation Flood-I was based on the coverage of milk sheds attached to each one of the Metropolitan city dairies, expected milk procurement in these milk sheds, the infrastructural facilities that were needed in the respective milk sheds and additional processing and marketing facilities in the Metro cities. Statewise allocations and their utilisation with number of milk sheds covered are given below :

| States         | Allocated by IDC (Rs. in Crores) | Utilised (Rs. in Crores) | No. of Milk sheds |
|----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Andhra Pradesh | 7.22                             | 4.92                     | 1                 |
| Bihar          | 3.85                             | 3.20                     | 1                 |
| Gujarat        | 25.32                            | 21.70                    | 6                 |
| Haryana        | 5.07                             | 3.70                     | 2                 |
| Maharashtra    | 25.76                            | 17.88                    | 2                 |
| Punjab         | 5.45                             | 5.12                     | 3                 |
| Rajasthan      | 4.97                             | 3.98                     | 1                 |
| Tamil Nadu     | 18.58                            | 14.17                    | 6                 |
| Uttar Pradesh  | 7.96                             | 5.65                     | 2                 |
| West Bengal    | 20.64                            | 14.73                    | 3                 |

The allocations and rele are of funds wore approved by Board of Directors of Indian Dairy Corporations. More funds were utilized by certain States according to speed of completion of the projects. The joint-Government of India-World Food Programme Mission that visited the Operation Flood-I areas in September, 1979 also agreed

to this in view of early completion of project.

(d) The State-wise allocations are based on number of districts covered, number of milk producers and milch animals covered, creation of processing facilities, marketing facilities and production enhancement programme. These allocations are approved by the Board of Directors of Indian Dairy Cor-

poration constituted by Government. State-wise allocations so far approved are given below:

|                                | Rs. in Crores |
|--------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. Andaman & Nicobar . . . . . | 0.5715        |
| 2. Assam . . . . .             | 6.6500        |
| 3. Goa . . . . .               | 1.3200        |
| 4. Gujarat . . . . .           | 53.9200       |
| 5. Haryana . . . . .           | 26.9200       |
| 6. Himachal Pradesh . . . . .  | 3.3028        |
| 7. Jammu & Kashmir . . . . .   | 6.8500        |
| 8. Kerala . . . . .            | 19.3600       |
| 9. Madhya Pradesh . . . . .    | 53.2600       |
| 10. Mizoram . . . . .          | 0.5777        |
| 11. Orissa . . . . .           | 9.6600        |
| 12. Pondicherry . . . . .      | 1.0250        |
| 13. Punjab . . . . .           | 58.3200       |
| 14. Sikkim . . . . .           | 0.5604        |
| 15. Tamil Nadu . . . . .       | 31.9600       |
| 16. Tripura . . . . .          | 0.5604        |
| 17. West Bengal . . . . .      | 33.2850       |

### Lote of Foodgrains due to Poor Storage

4640. SHRI HARIKESH BAHADUR:  
Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the attention of Government has been drawn to a news-item (Financial Express 2-1-1982) indicating annual loss of Rs. 1440 crore due to poor storage of foodgrains; and

(b) whether it is a fact that this represents failure of ICAR to organise research and its application and transfer?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER  
IN THE MINISTRIES OF AGRICULTURE AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT (KUMARI KAMLA KUMARI) : (a) Some

losses in foodgrains do occur during storage. However, precise estimates are not available.

(b) No, Sir. Most of the losses take place at farmer's level and both ICAR and the Department of Food have got many projects going including the Save Grain Campaign to popularise scientific storage practices in the country.

### Incentives to farmers for Boosting Farm output

4641. SHRI PIUS TIRKEY :  
Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state :

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the news-item in 'Hindu' dated 21 February