Allotment of Land to Educationat Social and Religious Institutions in Delhi

9629. SHRI N. E. HORO: Will the Minister of WORKS AND HOUSING be pleased to state:

(a) the names of educational, social and religious institutions to which land has been allotted by Government in New Delhi and Old Delhi and the area of the land allotted to each institution during the last two years;

(b) the criteria followed for the allotment of land to these institutions;

(c) whether some persons are in possession of illegal land and are running some nursery schools etc. just to show to Government and had hired some rooms and taking financial benefits like this such as Udasin Ashram, Punchkuin Road, New Delhi;

(d) if so, whether Government propose to take back such land which belonged to Government and take action against such owners; and

(e) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF PARLIAMEN-TARY AFFAIRS AND WORKS AND HOUSING (SHRI BHISHMA NARAIN SINGH): (a) Two statements showing the names of the institutions, which have been allotted land by the Delhi Development Authority and Land & Development Officer are laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library See No. LT-2503/81].

(b) The institutions are required to fulfil the under-mentioned conditions for the purpose of allotment of the land:—

(i) It should be a Society registered under the Societies Registration Act, 1860.

(ii) It should be of non-profit making character.

(iii) Its case should be sponsored by the Ministry/Department concerned of Delhi Administration except in cases of religious institutions.

(iv) It should have sufficient funds to meet the cost of land and to undertake the construction of building thereon.

(c) Yes, Sir. The Land & Development Office has reported that some unauthorised schools are being run on Government land. The Priest of Udasin Temple is also running a school unauthorisediy on Govt. land at Punchkuin Road, New Delhi. The temple itself is an unauthorised one.

(d) and (e). The Land & Development Office has reported that necessary action against the individual squatters is taken under the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1971 for recovery of damages and that wherever necessary eviction proceedings are also initiated.

दिल्ली विकास प्र/धिकरण ने निलंबित कर्यंचारियों की बहाली

9630 श्रो निहास सिंह : क्या निर्याण झौर ग्राबास मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण के कितने कर्मचारियों के विरुद्ध पुलिस ने मामले दर्ज किये हैं ग्रांर उनमें से कितने कर्मचारी निलम्बित हैं ;

(ख) क्या यह सच है कि जांच के बाद पुलिस ने कहा है कि उनके खिलाफ कोई मामले नहीं बन सकते ग्रौर फिर भी दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण ने न तो उनको बहाल किया है ग्रौर न न्यायालय में उनके खिलाफ ग्रारोप पत्न दायर किये हैं ; ग्रौर

(ग) यदि हां, तो सरकार ढारा उन कर्मचारियों को बहाल करने के लिए क्या कदम उठाये जा रहे हैं जिनके खिलाफ कोई मामला नहीं बनला है ?

संतरोग फार्य तना निर्थाण झौर झावास रांत्रो (श्री मोधम नारायण सिंह) : (क) दिल्ली विशास प्राधिकरण ने सूचित किया है कि पुलिस ने इसके 119 कर्म-चारियों के विरुद्ध मामले दर्ज किए हैं झौर इनमें से 13 इस समय निलम्बित हैं।

(ख) दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण ने सूचित किया है कि इसको ऐमी कोई सूचना प्राप्त नहीं हुई है।

(ग) प्रक्रन ही नहीं उठता।

विल्ती दुग्ध योजना की 28 मार्च, सं 3 म्रप्रेल, 1981 तक की म्रदधि के कार्यकरण की जांच की मांग

9631. भी निहाल सिंह :क्या कृति मंत्री यह बताने की क्रुपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या यह सच है कि दिल्ली दुग्ध योजना के कर्मचारियों ने दिल्ली दुग्ध योजना के 28 मार्च, 1981 से 3 ग्रत्रैल, 1981 तक की सात दिनों की प्रदर्धि के कार्यकरण की जांच की मांग की है ग्रीर दो कर्मचारियों ने ग्रनिश्चित-कालीन हड़ताल भी की है;

(ख) यदि हां, तो क्या सरकार ने जांच करने के लिये कोई व्यवस्था की है मौर यदि हां, तो उक्त मयधि के दौरान वहां पर पाई गई मनियमिल्लाग्रों का क्यौरा क्या है ; म्रौर

(ग) यदि नहीं, तो जांच न किये जाने के क्या कारण हैं? ्रिव तथा ग्रासीण पुनियः र्वाण यंत्रारूप में राज्य गंत्री (श्री ग्राय० वी० स्वासीनाथन) (क) जी नहीं।

(ख) प्रश्न ही नहीं होता ।

(ग) प्रका ही नहीं होता ।

Seniority List of Female Physical Education Teachers

9632. SHRIATI MADHURI SINGH:

SHRIMATI SANYOGITA RANE:

Will the Minister of EDUCATION AND SOCIAL WELFARE be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 6450 on 6th April, 1931 regarding seniority list of female physical Education Teachers and state:

(a) the number of teachers with more than twenty years of service whose seniority has been disturbed as a result of this revision; and

(b) whether such a revision has been made in the case of male teachers also, and if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF EDUCATION AND SOCIAL WELFARE (SHRI S. B. CHAVAN): (a) Unstarred Question No. 6450 replied on 6-4-81 was about the seniority list of female Post-graduate teachers and not female Physical Education Teachers. However, according to information received from the Delhi Administration, the seniority of Physical Education Teachers (female) was revised as a result of a judgment in the High Court of Delhi. 42 Senior Physical Education Teachers (female) were affected due to This revision.

(b) No, Sir. The seniority list of Physical Education Teachers (male) was also challenged by some Physical Education Teachers in the High Court of Delhi. But, the Court dismissed the case.