## Statement II

G1	Name of Industrial House							No. of Bodies - Corpo- rate	Value in Rs. Crores		
Sl. No.									Ansets	Turnover	P.B.T.*
 I	Birla .	•	•	•	•		•	69	1171.15	1374.56	98.81
2	Tata .	•		•	•		•	34	1102.11	1367.60	51.24
3	Mafatlal .	•	•	•	•	٠	•	24	317.86	475 • 41	39.07
4	J.K. Singhan	ia	•	•		•	•	18	299• 57	318. 52	13.50
5	Thapar .	•	•	•	•	•	•		244.06	367. 19	20. 24
6	I.C.I	•	•	•	•	•	•		228. 73	3 <sup>08</sup> 87	<b>26.</b> 38
7	Bangul .	•	•	•	•	•	•	5	2 <b>20</b> 86	341.13	13.27
8	Shri Ram .	•	•	•	•	•	•	14	2 <sup>0</sup> 4 • 79	335 80	8. 35
9	Oil India .	•	•	•		•	•		203.24	423·39	15.67
10	Scindia .	•	•	•	•	•	٠		202 81	92.60	(—)7.77
11	Larsen & Tot	ıbro	•	•	•	•			194.51	1 <b>69.</b> 09	19. 52
12	A.C.C	•				•	•		186 62	183 02	15.63
13	<b>B</b> hiwandiwal	a	•	•	•	•	•		178.38	61.18	()8. 57
14	Kirloskar .	•	•	•	•	•	•		176.25	199 10	9. 11
15	Hindustan Le	ever	•	•	•	•	•		157.15	370.20	28. 32
16	Chowgule .		•		•	•	٠		149.96	40. 23	(—)2.73
17	Khatau (Bon	ihav)		•		•	•		143. 12	235+02	13.71
18	Kasturbhai L	albha	i.	•	•	•	•		140.00	202 98	22.25
19	Mahindra &	Mahiı	ndra	•	•		•		137. 18	139.65	<b>5</b> •∞5
20	Walchand	•	•	•	•	•	•		135.70	135.50	()1.70

Assets, Turnover and Profits before Tax in 1978, of 20 largest industrial houses (as per registrations under section 26 of the MRTP Act as on 31-12-78) ranked by size of their assets in 1978

## News regarding Legal Proceedings against Companies of Big Industrial Houses

81. SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Will the Minister of LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether, as reported in Financial Express, New Delhi dated February 17, 1980, page 1, his Ministry has started legal proceedings 2625 L.S.—6. against about 400 companies controlled by the big industrial houses for their failure to register themselves under the MRTP Act;

(b) if so, a list of those companies;

(c) a list of big industrial houses which control these Companies; and

(d) what are the specific charges against those Companies?

THE MINISTER OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI P. SHIVSHANKER): (a) to (d). The newspaper report in question appears to refer only to the default notices sent by the Government advising individual under-takings to register under section 26 of the M.R.T.P. Act, 1969, whenever they are considered to be prima-facie so registrable. As on 31-12-79, 370 such notices were being processed. Upto 31-12-79, legal proceedings have been taken against two companies. The details are given below:

51. No.	Name of the company		Industrial Group to which it belongs	Charges		
I	Kulittalai Cane Farms Limited	•	Parry	Inter-connection with a number of other companies.		
2	Fibre Glass Pilkington Limited	•	Independent	Dominance in the production of mineral wool and glass fibre.		

News regarding Multin. Comporations

## 82. SHRI INDRAJIT GUPTA:

SHRI K. A. RAJAN:

Will the Minister of LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to a news item appeared in the *Times* of *India* dated February 27, 1980 regarding multinational Corporations probing the new Government's mind on their reinduction in the country; and

(b) if so, the details thereof and Government's reaction thereto?

THE MINISTER OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI P. SHIV SHANKER): (a). Yes, Sir.

(b). The main points made in the news item are as follows:---

(i) Coca Cola which wound up its business in 1978, after it failed to abide by the Janata Government's directive that it should divulge the contents of the concentrate, has already sent feelers to the new Government.

(ii) The IBM, which had pulled out in 1978 because it did not want to dilute its equity, as per FERA regulations, has also been probing the Government's mind on their reentry in the data processing business. The core office of IBM in India has been researching the possibility of its reinduction not only in the data processing business but also in the communication field.

(iii) Firestone and several other companies which were finding it difficult to meet the FERA requirement<sub>s</sub> and had decided to wind up business in India are also awaiting the Government policy.

The Departments of the Government concerned with the matters raised in the news item have no information in this regard. The question of the Government's reaction to the news item, therefore, does not arise. There is no proposal before Government at present for relaxing the provisions of the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act, 1973.