तक के विवरणों में, बहुत सी ब्याज-ग्रदाय-गियों का उरलेख न करने के कारण, ग्रायकर ग्रधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 277 ग्रौर 278 के ग्रन्तगंत मुकदमा दायर किया हैं। यह सूचना मिली हैं कि यह मामला ज्यूडिशियल मजिस्ट्रेट, मंगलं र की ग्रदालत में विचाराधीन है। सरकार को विजया बैंक के ग्रध्यक्ष के खिलाफ दायर किये गये किसी ग्रौर मामले की जानकारी नहीं है।

Alleged Irregularities in Commercial and Development Banks

1734. SHRI RAM JETHMALANI: Will the Minister of FINANCE AND REVENUE AND BANKING be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the attention of Government has been drawn to a Leaderette appearing in the 'Economic and Political Weekly' of April 30, 1977 on page 705;
 - (b) the facts of the case mentioned therein;
 - (c) the action proposed to be taken against those responsible for committing such irregularities, and
 - (d) whether any inquiry is proposed to be conducted into the affairs of commercial and development banks so that proper norms and channels of authority can be established?

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE AND REVENUE AND BANKING (SHRI H. M. PATEL): (a) to (d). Government have seen the Leaderette which appeared in the Economic and Political Weekly dated the 30th April, 1977 under the caption 'Financial Institutions—Restoring Order'.

2. It is presumed that the information sought for by the Hon'ble Member relates to certain alleged irregularities in the grant of loans and other facilities to five industrial concerns, a political party and certain parties in resettlement colonies of Delhi, by public sector banks and all-India public financial institutions.

- 3. Of the five industrial concerns referred to above, the Maruti Group of concerns and the U.P. Road Transport Corporation have alone been specifically mentioned, while the names of the three other industrial concerns, a political party and parties in resettlement colonies of Delhi have not been indicated. The three unnamed industrial concerns appear to be:
 - (i) Jaipur Udyog Ltd., Sawai-madhopur.
 - (ii) Kamani Engineering Corporation Ltd., Bombay.
 - (iii) William Jacks & Co. (India) Ltd., Bombay.
- 4. The following is the position in respect of each of the parties mentioned above:—
 - (i) Jaipur Udyog Ltd., and (ii) Kamani Engineering Corporation Ltd.

The financial institutions and banks formed a consortium to rehabilitate the two companies which had gone sick. In terms of the rehabilitation programmes, certain facilities were extended to these companies.

(i) JAIPUR UDYOG LTD.

Jaipur Udhyog Ltd. is a major cement manufacturing company in North India. As part of the rehabilitation programme of the company drawn up, besides financial assistance given by the institutions and banks, Central Government issued a notification deferring collection of excise duty for a period of one year in order to give relief to the company, after obtaining adequate security of assets in favour of Government. The deferred duty was to be recovered in

5 equal annual instalments, the first instalment being payable on the expiry of 24 months from the date of publication of the notification. Interest at the rate of 6 per cent will be paid by the company to Government on the deferred amount of excise duty.

137

(ii) Kamani Engineering Corporation Ltd.

The company is reported to have already turned the corner and started showing profits. A proposal was made by certain members of the Kamani Family to transfer their shares in the company to Texmaco Ltd. belonging to the Industrial House of Birlas. The matter is now pending before the High Court of Bombay and is sub judice.

5. The managements of Jaipur Udyog Ltd. and Kamani Engineering Corporation Ltd., were also restructured at the instance of the financial institutions and banks, in order to ensure control by them over the affairs of the respective companies. The banks and institutions are alive to the need for constant monitoring of the accounts of the units and are taking adequate steps to ensure that the funds advanced are used properly and for the purpose for which they have been given.

- 6. (i) Maruti Group of concerns.
 - (ii) U.P. Road Transport Corporation.
 - (iii) William Jacks & Co. (India) Ltd.
 - (iv) A political party (not named in the Leaderette).
 - (v) Certain un-named parties in the 23 resettlement colonies of Delhi.

No all-India public financial institution has given any loan or advance to these parties. Regarding the facilities given by the public sector banks, in accordance with the practice and usages customary among the bankers and in conformity with the provisions of the Banking Companies (Acquisition

and Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1970, the State Bank of India Act, 1955 and the State Bank of India (Subsidiary Banks) Act, 1959, information relating to individual constituents are not to be divulged.

regards Maruti Groups of concerns a Commission of Inquiry under the Commissions of Inquiry Act. 1952 has been set up to enquire into the affairs of Maruti Group of companies, the terms of reference of which, inter-alia, include all matters relating to securing of accommodation, loans or other assistance from the nationalised banks and other financial institutions by the Maruti concerns, cluding the eligibility of these concerns to obtain the financial assistance sought for etc.

7. In view of the position indicated above. Government do not propose to institute an enquiry, other than the one already set up in regard to Maruti Group of concerns, to enquire into the affairs of commercial and development banks.

Proceedings by Income Tax Department and Enforcement Directorate against the management of Vijaya Bank Ltd.

1735. SHRI VAYALAR RAVI: Will the Minister of FINANCE AND RE-VENUE AND BANKING be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the management of the Vajaya Bank Limited, particularly its Chairman, has been proceeded against by the Income Tax Department and the Enforcement Directorate; and
- (b) if so, the facts thereof, and the actions taken against them?

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI H. M. PATEL): (a) and (b). Incometax Department has launched prosecution under sections 277 and 278 of the Incometax Act. 1961 read with section 200 Cr. P.C. against Vijaya Bank Ltd., its Chairman and Managing Director, Chief Accountant and some other