Supply of Polluted Water in South Delhi

- DR. CHANDRA SEKHAR 1373. TRIPATHI: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that polluted water is being supplied to many colonies in South Delhi:
- (b) whether any action has been taken so far by Government in the matter; and
- (c) if so, the details thereof and if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) to (c) No. Sir. The quality of water is checked and monitored right from raw-water at the Treatment Plants to the tail end at the consmer's tap. Sometimes individual complaints of dirty water are received, which are investgated and promptly attended to.

[English]

Scarcity of Drinking Water

- **GEETA** 1374. SHRIMATI MUKHERJEE: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:
- (a) whethe: Government have made any detailed study of the problem of drinking water scarcity which has become acute recently;
- (b) if so, the State-wise details of the acute drinking villages facing scarcity; and
- (c) The reasons identified for water scarcity in different parts and remedial steps being taken?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF RURAL DEVELOP-MENT (SHRI RAMANAND YADAV): (a) to (c) Surveys have been conducted and are being conducted to identify villages in the country facing the problem of safe drinking water. As per criteria adopted by the Central Government, problem villages are those where (a) safe source of drinking water is located at a distance of more than 16 kms, and in the case of hilly areas at an elevation difference of 100 meters or where it is available at a depth of more than 15 meters (b) where the available drinking water is characterised by the prusen e of toxicity, chemical contamination, brackishness, etc. and (c) where pathogenic organisms are present in State-wise details of drinking water. villages having the problem of drinking water supply at the end of the Sixth Five Year Plan are given in the Statement given below The provision of safe drinking water problem villages forms Point No. 8 of the 20 Point Programme and the subject is a part of the Minimum Needs Programme which is being implemented by the State Governments. Under the Minimum Needs Programme, the State Governments formulate, make financial provision for and implement drinking water supply scheme in identified villages. The Central Government supplements the efforts of the State Governments by providing them financial assistance under Accelerated Rural Water Supply Programme. In the Seventh Plan MNP provision in the State Sector in this respept is Rs. 2253.25 crores and Rs. 1201.22 crores under the Central Sector ARWSP.

Statement Rural Water Supply Programme

Coverage of Problem Villages during Sixth Plan (1980 - 85)

| State/UT. | No. of Problem villages as on 1.4.1980 | No. of Problem villages covered (1980—85) (Tentative) | Spillover to Seventh Plan | Percent Spillover |
|-------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1. Andhra Pradesh | 8206 | 8094@ | 112 | 1.36 |
| 2. Assam | 15743 | 8654 | 7089 | 45.03 |
| 3. Bihar | 15194 | 14172@ | 1022 | 6.7,3 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------|
| 4. Gujarat | 5318 | 4492@ | 826 | 15.53 |
| 5. Haryana | 2440 | 2122 | 1318 | 38.31 |
| 5. Himachai Pradesh | 7815 | 4997@ | 2818 | 36.05 |
| 7. Jammu & Kashmir | 4698 | 2028 | 2670 | 56.83 |
| 8. Karnataka | 15456 | 15443@ | 13 | 0.08 |
| . Kerala | 1158 | 1142@ | 16 | 1.38 |
| 10. Madhya Pradesh | 24944 | 23845@ | 1099 | 4.41 |
| 11. Maharashtra | 12935 | 12016@ | 919" | 7.10 |
| 12. Manipur | 1212 | 819@ | 393 | 32.43 |
| 13. Meghalaya | 2927 | 690 <u>@</u> | 2237 | 76,43 |
| 14. Nagaland | 649 | 424 | 225 | 34.67 |
| 15. Orissa | 23616 | 22357@ | 1259 | 5.33 |
| 16. Punjab | 1767 | 537 | 1230 | 69.61 |
| 17. Rajasthan | 19803 | 16043@ | 3760 | 18.99 |
| 18. Sikkim | 296 | 212@ | 84 | 28.38 |
| 19. Tamil Nadu | 6649 | 6649 <u>@</u> | NIL | ~~~ |
| 20. Tripura | 2800 | 2486 | 314 | 11.21 |
| 21. Uttar Pradesh | 28505 | 27143@ | 1362 | 4.78 |
| 22. Wast Bengal | 25243 | 15628@ | 9615 | 38.09 |
| 23. A.N. Isaland | 173 | 173@ | NIL | |
| 24. Arunachal Pradesh | 1740 | 1467 | 273 | 15.69 |
| 25. Chandigarh | - | | | |
| 26. Delhi | 99* | 89 | NIL | - |
| 27. D&N Haveli | - | | 1112 | |
| 28. G.D. & Diu | 66 * * | 64 | NIL | _ |
| 29. Lakshadweep | - | | | |
| 30. Mizoram | 214 | 127@ | 87 | 40.65 |
| 31. Pondicherry | 118 | 111 | 7 | 5.93 |
| | *** | | | J.93 |
| Total | 230784 | 192024 | 38 748 | 16.78 |

⁴ Delhi: Out of 99 Villages 3 villages transferred to DDA and 7 villages deserted.

Anti-Poverty Programmes Targets

1375. SHRI SOMNATH RATH:
SHRI JAGANNATH PATTNAIK:

Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

- (a) the target fixed for the families to be brought above the poverty line in each State under the anti-poverty programmes during the year 1985-86; and
- (b) the number of families brought above the poverty line State-wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI RAMANAND YADAY): (a) and (b) A statement indicating statewise target fixed and families assisted under IRDP during 1895-86 is given below. Against the target of 24.7 lakh families, 30.01 lakh families have been assisted. However, bringing the families above the poverty line is a gradual and continuing process and it will take time before the impact of the programme and beneficiary families can be assessed.

^{**} Goa: Out of 66 villages 2 villages will be submerged under Salauli Project.

[@] Includes partially covered villages also.