

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI P.V. RANGAYYA NAIDU): (a) and (b). Yes, Sir.

As per Eighth Five Year Plan objectives it is envisaged to provide Telephone connection practically on demand in rural and tribal areas and to contain the waiting period for telephones to within two years in large telephone systems. It is also proposed to replace all manual exchanges by March 94 and replace small size electromechanical exchanges (MAX-III and line finder type MAX-II) by electronic exchanges. Expansion plans are drawn accordingly for Faridabad Region also.

(c) No, Sir. The existing electro-mechanical exchanges are planned to be modernised progressively, subject to availability of equipment and resources.

(d) Does not arise in view of 'c' above.

Music Production

4491. SHRI ANIL BASU: Will the Minister of INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING be pleased to state:

(a) whether the government have taken steps to widen the scope of research in music production and innovation in music production through A.I.R. and Doordarshan; and

(b) if so, the details thereof?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING (KUMARI GIRIJA VYAS): (a) and (b). Innovation in music production is a continuous process in All India Radio and Doordarshan some examples of which are in orchestration where a synthesis of Western harmony is successfully tried in Indian

melodies, and in the adoption of new formats such as jugle bandhis, instrumental ensembles. Singing in natural surroundings. Visualisation of songs etc.

Excess Telephone Bills of M.Ps

4492. SHRI BAPU HARI CHAURE: Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to state:

(a) whether complaints regarding excess amount of telephone bills of Members of Parliament have been received in his Ministry;

(b) if so, the number of such complaints received during the last three years; and

(c) the action taken or proposed to be taken by the Government in this regard?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI P.V. RANGAYYA NAIDU): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) A total of 244 complaints have been received from April, 89 to November, 92.

(c) Each and every complaint about excess billing is examined thoroughly from all aspects as per the existing departmental instructions and wherever justified, rebate is granted to the subscribers.

Clearance of Irrigation Projects in Cauvery Basin

4493. SHRI V. KRISHNA RAO: Will the Minister of WATER RESOURCES be pleased to state:

(a) the names of the projects in Cauvery Basin of Karnataka, which are awaiting clearance by the Central Water Commission;

(b) whether these projects are under

various stages of construction under non-plan schemes;

to give any financial assistance for the pending projects?

(c) if so, the progress made so far in each of the project; and

(d) whether Union Government propose

THE MINISTER OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI VIDYACHARAN SHUKLA): (a) to (d). A statement is attached.

STATEMENT

Details of Major & Medium Irrigation Projects in Cauvery Basin of Karnataka which could not be considered for clearance because of Nonresolution of Inter States issues

Sl.No	Name of Project	Rs. in crores				Thousand Hectares		Remarks
		Estimated Cost	Expenditure to the end of 3/92	Ultimate Irrigation Potential	Potential created to the end of 3/92			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Major Projects								
1.	Kabini	618.46	171.14	87.00	40.89		The Project was approved in 4/58 for Rs. 2.50 crores. Revised estimate with changed scope received in 1973 was sent back due to nonresolution of inter-State issues of Cauvery waters.	
2.	Harangi	199.00	134.18	53.54	40.73		The Project was sent back to the State due to nonresolution of issues regarding sharing of Cauvery waters among basin States.	
3.	Hemavathi	885.82	449.00	283.00	96.14		-do-	
4.	Varuna (D. Devraj Urs Cenal) (KRS RB Canal I)	102.16	31.47	32.38	0.40		-do-	

Sl.No	Name of Project	Rs. in crores					Thousand Hectares		Remarks
		Estimated Cost	Expenditure to the end of 3/92	Ultimate Irrigation Potential	Potential created to the end of 3/92	5	6	7	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
5.	Yagachi	50.15	16.05	21.45	-	-do-			
6.	Hosapathal Lift Irrigation Scheme	The Project has not been taken up for execution.							
<i>Medium Projects</i>									
1.	Iggaluru	18.04	9.35	4.05	1.81	-do-			
2.	Uduthorehalla	42.11	9.96	6.43	-	-do-			
3.	Kuduregun-Dihalla	The Project has not been taken up for execution							
4.	Changevadi	-do-				-do-			
5.	Kamasamudra	7.94	5.73	3.10	-	The Project report has not been received in Central Water Commission for techno-Economic appraisal.			
6.	Arkavathi	55.06	12.35	8.56	-	It was approved in 4/64 for Rs. 65 Lakhs. Revised estimate with changed scope received in 5/85 was sent back due to non resolution of inter-State issues.			

Sl.No	Name of Project	Rs. in crores			Thousand Hectares		Remarks
		Estimated Cost	Expenditure to the end of 3/92	Ultimate Irrigation Potential	Potential created to the end of 3/92		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
7.	Chicklihole	11.04	9.67	1.62	0.06		It was approved in 10/63 for Rs. 46.50 Lakhs Revised estimates received in 10/77 was sent back due to non-resolution of inter-State issues of Cauvery Basin.

Notes: The Planning Commission has approved on Outlay of Rs. 100 Crores for the Projects in Cauvery Basin for VIII Plan subject to the sharing of water being resolved by the Cauvery Water Disputes Tribunal.