THE MINISTER OF TEXTILES AND MINISTER OF FOOD PROCESSING INDUSTRIES (SHRI HUKUMDEO NARAYAN YADAV): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c). According to the Report of the Joint Study Team appointed by the Planning Commission and the State Govt., of U.P. in 1964, there was not a single unit in the District which could undertake the preservation and canning of fruits and vegetables products. According to information available, at present there is one unit in the private sector having an installed capacity of 50 metric tonnes for manufacturing Fruit and Vegetable products.

### Out of Turn Allotment of Flats, Plots and Shops

828. SHRI RAM SAGAR (Saidpur): SHRI NANDLAL MEENA:

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOP-MENT be pleased to state:

- (a) the details of the out of turn allotments of flats, plots and shops made by the D.D.A. during the last three years, separately, yearwise:
- (b) the number of applications for out of turn allotments of flats, plots and shops pending with the DDA for submission to the competent authorities;
- (c) the details thereof with reasons for not submitting the same; and
- (d) the details of steps taken to process all those applications and to allot the plots, flats and shops to them?

THE MINISTER OF URBAN DEVEL-OPMENT (SHRI DAULAT RAM SARAN): (a) to (d). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha.

### Development of Towns with Recommendation of National Commission on Urbanisation

- 829. SHRI RAM SAGAR (Saidpur): Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:
- (a) whether the National Commission on Urbanisation has identified 600 towns which were said to be growing rapidly in terms of population, 329 which have shown signs of economic growth or have a potential for such growth in the future;
- (b) if so, the details of the 600 towns which are growing in population;
- (c) the details of the 329 towns which have shown signs of economic growth;
- (d) the number of town as at (b) and (c) above located in the districts of eastern Uttar Pradesh with details of the districts in which situated;
- (e) whether the Commission has recommended to give highest priority in the development of the above said towns and if so, the details of action taken to implement the recommendations of the Commission; and
  - (f) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF URBAN DEVEL-OPMENT (SHRI DAULAT RAM SARAN): (a) and (b). The National Commission on Urbanisation made only a mention of the 600 towns which were said to be growing rapidly in terms of population. The list of 600 such towns has not been specified by the Commission in its report.

(c) and (d). A list of 329 towns indicating inter alia the district and the State is given in the Statement-I and II below.

(e) and (f). Yes, Sir. Under the centrally sponsored Scheme of Integrated Development of Small and Medium Towns, out of 300 towns covered under this scheme by the end of VII Five Year Plan, 157 are the towns which were identified by the NCU.

In the recently launched scheme of Growth Centres for industrial development

by the Ministry of Industry, 21 NOU towns have been included out of the 60 growth centres selected so far. The programme for development of small and medium towns is to be continued in the VIII Plan while selecting towns for covering under this programme. The State Governments have been requested to give due consideration to the recommendations of the NCU in this regard.

#### STATEMENT-I

#### National Priority Cities (NPCs)

#### List of Towns Identified as GEMS by NCU

## TABLE—A Capital of States and Union Territories

SI. No.	City	State/Union Territory
1	2	3
1.	Hyderabad	Andhra Pradesh
2.	ltanagar	Arunachal Pradesh
3.	Dispur Gauhati	Assam
4.	Patna	Bihar
5.	Panaji	Goa
6.	Gandhinagar	Gujarat
7.	Shimla	Himachal Pradesh
8.	Srinagar	Jammu & Kashmir
9.	Jammu (winter capital)	Jammu & Kashmir
10.	Bangalore	Karnataka
11.	Trivandrum	Kerala
12.	Bhopal	Madhya Pradesh

SI. No.	City	State/Union Territory
1	2	3
13.	Bombay	<b>M</b> aharashtr <b>a</b>
14.	Imphal	Manipur
15.	Shillong	Meghalaya
16.	Aizawl	Mizoram
17.	Kohima	Nagaland
18.	Bhubaneshwar	Orissa
19.	Jaipur	Rajasthan
20.	Gangtok	Sikkim
21.	Madras	Tamil Nadu
22.	Agartala	Tripura
23.	Lucknow	Uttar Pradesh
24.	Calcutta	West Bengal
25.	Port Blair	Andaman & Nicobar Islands
26.	Chandigarh	Chandigarh Punjab and Haryana
27.	Silvasa	Dadra & Nagar Haveli
28.	New Delhi	Delhi
29.	Kavaratti	Lakshadweep
30.	Pondicherry	Pondicherry

Note: These cities have been selected because they are capitals of states and union territories. They deserve national priority because of their administrative function in a federal structure of political governance. No population criterion has been applied in the case of these cities. In 1981, Daman and Diu was a part of the erstwhile union territory of Goa and even today, the headquarters of its administrator continues to be in Panaji.

#### TABLE—B

#### Million Plus Cities As on 1981

#### (Excluding those already covered in Table A)

SI. No.	City	State	
1	2	3	
1.	Ahmedabad	Gujarat	
2.	Pune	Maharashtra	
3.	Nagpur	Maharashtra	
4.	Kanpur	Uttar Pradesh	

Note:

The million-plus cities have been designated as National Priority cities not only because they have crossed the million (ten lakhs) plus mark but also because of their vital importance in the national economy. Their infrastructure is severely strained. Hence, urgent action is called for.

TABLE—C

Cities which are Heading Towards the Million Plus Mark in 2001

SI. No.	City	District	State
1	2	3	4
1.	Vishakhapatnam	Vishakhapatnam	Andhra Pradesh
2.	Vijayawada	Krishna	qo
3.	Dhanbad	Dhanbad	Bihar
4.	Jamshedpur	Singhbhum	do
5.	Ranchi	Ranchi	do
6.	Bokaro Steel City	Dhanbad	do
7.	Surat	Surat	Gujarat
8.	Vadodara	Vadodara	do
9.	Hubli Dharwad	Dharwad	Karnataka

SI. No.	City	District	State
1	2	3	4
10.	Cochin	Ernakulam	Kerala
11.	Indore	Indore	Madhya Pradesh
12.	Jabalpur	Jabalpur	do
13.	Gwalior	Gwalior	do
14.	Durg-Bhilai Nagar	Durg	do- <del></del>
15.	Rourkela	Sundargarh	Orissa
16.	Ludhiana	Ludhiana	Punjab
17.	Amritsar	Amritsar	do
18.	Jodhpur	Jodhpur	Rajasthan
19.	Kota	Kota	do
20.	Coimbatore	Coimbatore	Tamil Nadu
21.	Madurai	Madurai	do
22.	Tiruchirapalli	Tiruchirapalli	do
23.	Salem	Salem	—do
24.	Varanasi	Varanasi	do
25.	Agra	Agra	Uttar Pradesh
26.	Allahabad	Allahabad	do
<b>27</b> .	Me'erut	Meerut	do

Note: T

This list of potential million plus cities is based on a demographic exercise of population projections. It may be noted that all these cities have shown evidence of economic and demographic momentum. In order to generate positive urbanisation, urgent steps have to be taken to generate employment and economic growth in these cities but at the same time, steps have to be taken urgently to ensure that the urban infrastructure does not collapse. It will be

conceded that to some extent, these cities have acted as counter-magnets to the existing million-plus cities but if we do not want these cities to meet the fate of the existing million-plus cities, state intervention is called for. In short, while every effort should be made to give the backup to these cities, steps must also be taken to see that migration to these cities is contained.

TABLE—D

Other Cities with Potential for Generating Economic Momentum

SI. No.	City	District	State
1	2	3	4
1.	Ankleswar	Bharauch	Gujarat
2.	Manglore	Dakshin Kannu	Karnataka
3.	Durgapur	Barodhaman	West Bengal
4.	Haldia	Midnapore	do
5.	Paradeep	Cuttack	Orissa
6.	Koraput	Koraput	do
7.	Dibrugarh	Lakhimpur	Assam

Note:

This list is based on the statistical exercises done by the Commission to assess the economic growth potential of cities. These cities do not feature in Tables A, B and C.

TABLE—E
RESIDUAL LIST

-			
31. No.	City/Town	District	State
1	2	3	4
1.	Bodh Gaya	Gaya	Bihar
2.	Thaneswar-Kurukshetra	Kurukshetra	Haryana
3.	Leh	Ladakh	Jammu & Kashmir
4.	Mysore	Mysore	Karnataka

415	Written Answers	FEBRUARY 27, 1991	Written Answers	416

SI. No.	City/Town	District	State
1	2	3	4
5.	Jagdalpur	Bastar	Madhya Pradesh
6.	Puri	Puri	Orissa
7.	Aligarh	Aligarh	Uttar Pradesh
8.	Darjiling	Darjiling	West Bengal
9.	Bolpur-Shantiniketan	Birbhum	—do—

Note:

This list is a residual list. The objective is to give a rounded picture of national priority cities by including a few cities which deserve consideration on socio-cultural and other grounds.

#### STATEMENT-II

#### State Priority Cities (SPCs)

TABLE—A

Cities with potential for Generating Economic Momentum (GEMs)

SI. No.	City/Town	District	State
1	2	3	4
1.	Guntur	Guntur	Andhra Pradesh
2.	Warangal	Warangal	do
3.	Rajamundry	East Godavari	do
4.	Nellore	Nellore	do
<b>5</b> .	Kurnool	Kurnool	do
6.	Nizamabad	Nizamabad	do
7.	Tirupati	Chittoor	do
8.	Cuddapah	Cuddapah	do

SI. No.	City/Town	District	State
1	2	3	4
9.	Bheemavaram	West Godavari	do
10.	Khammam	Khammam	do
11.	Mahbubnagar	Mahbubnagar	Andhra Pradesh
12.	Karimnagar	Karimnagar	—do—
13.	Ongole	Prakasam	do
14.	Narasaraopet	Guntur	do
15.	Nalgonda	Naigonda	do
16.	Madanapalle	Chittoor	—do—
17.	Adilabad	Adilabad	do
18.	Dharmawaram	Anantpur	do
19.	Siddipet	Medak	do
20.	Digboi	Lakhimpur	Assam
21.	Bihar Sharif	Nalanda	Bihar
22.	Bermo	Giridih	do
23.	Patratu	Hazaribag	do
24.	Dehri	Rohtas	do
25.	Hazaribag	Hazaribag	do
26.	Begusarai	Begusarai	—do—
27.	Giridih	Giridih	do
28.	Narkatiaganj	Pashchim Champaran	do
29.	Navasari	Valsad	Gujarat
30.	Anand	Kheda	do

SI. No.	City/Town	District	State
1	2	3	4
31.	Himatnagar	Sabarkantha	do
<b>3</b> 2.	Panipat	Karnal	Haryana
33.	Faridabad	Faridabad	do
34.	Hissar	Hissar	do
35.	Sonipat	Sonipat	do
36.	Sirsa	Sirsa	do
37.	Jind	Jind	—do—
38.	Gulbarga	Gulbarga	Karnataka
39.	Davangere	Chitradurga	do
40.	Shimoga	Shimoga	do
41.	Raichur	Raichur	do
42.	Tumkur	Tumkur	do
43.	Bidar	Bidar	do
44.	Harihar	Chitradurga	do
45.	Harwar	North Kannad	do
46.	Trichur	Trichur	Kerala
<b>47</b> .	Cannanore	Cannanore	do
48.	Shornur	Palghat	do
<b>4</b> 9.	Raipur	Raipur	Madhya Pradesh
50.	Bilaspur	Bilaspur	do
51.	Satna	Satna	do
52.	Rajnandgaon	Rajnandgaon	do

SI. No.	City/Town	District	State
1	2	3	4
<b>53</b> .	Dewas	Dewas	do
54.	Bhind	Bhind '	do
55.	Morena	Morena	do
56.	Itarsi	Hosangabad	—do—
57.	Vidisha	Vidisha	do
58.	Guna	Guna	do
59.	Chhatarpur	Chhatarpur	do
60.	Shahdol	Shahdol	do
61.	Betul	Betul	do
62.	Ujjain	Ujjanin	do
63.	Pithampur	Dhar	do
64.	Aurangabad	Aurangabad	Maharashtra
65.	Dhule	Dhule	do
66.	Nanded	Nanded	do
67.	Ichalkaranji	Kolhapur	do
68.	Chandrapur	Chandrapur	do
69.	Parbhani	Parbhani	do
70.	Bid	Bid	do
71.	Nasik	Nasik	do
72.	Tura	West Garo Hills	Meghalaya
73.	Cuttack	Cuttack	Orissa
74.	Berhampur	Ganjam	do

SI. No.	City/Town	District	State
1	2	3	4
75.	Sambalpur	Sambalpur	do
76.	Bhatinda	Bhatinda	Punjab
<b>7</b> 7.	Batala	Gurdaspur	—do—
<b>78</b> .	Hoshiarpur	Hoshiarpur	do
<b>79</b> .	Phagwara	Kapurthala	—do—
80.	Gobindgarh	Patiala	do
81.	Rupnagar	Purnagar	do
82.	Alwar	Alwar	Rajasthan
83.	Bhilwara	Bhilwara	<b>—</b> do—
84.	Bharatpur	Bharatpur	—do—
85.	Tuticorin	Tirunelveli	Tamil Nadu
86.	Tiruppur	Coimbatore	Tamil Nadu
87.	Sivakasi	Ramnathpuram	do
88.	Hosur	Dharm Puri	do
89.	Erode	Periyar	do
90.	Bareilly	Bareilly	Uttar Pradesh
91.	Ghaziabad	Ghaziabad	do
92.	Moradabad	Moradabad	do
93.	Görakhpur	Gorakhpur	do
94.	Muzaffarnagar	Muzaffarnagar	do
<b>9</b> 5.	Hardwar	Saharanpur	do
96.	Bulandshahar	Bulandshahar	do

SI. No.	City/Town	District	State
1	2	3	4
97.	Haldwani	Nainital	do
98.	Unnao	Unnao	do
99.	Rishikesh	Dehradun	do
100.	Mathura	Mathura	do
101.	Asansol	Barddhaman	West Bengal
102.	Siliguri	Darjiling	do
03.	Krishnanagar	Nadia	do

Note: These cities and towns have been selected by applying objective criteria in the statistical exercise done by the Commission. Towns with population below 20,000 have not been considered.

TABLE—B

Headquarters of Districts with 30 per cent and more Urban Population (excluding those already included in earlier lists)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
SI. No.	City/Town	District	State
1	2	3	4
1.	Machilipatanam	Krishna	Andhra Pradesh
2.	Jamnagar	Jamnagar	Gujarat
3.	Rajkot	Rajkot	—do—
4.	Bhavnagar	Bhavnagar	do
5.	Junagarh	Junagarh	do
6.	Ambala	Ambala	Haryana
7.	Bellary	Bellary	Karnataka
	Ratiam	Ratlam	Madhya Pradesh

SI. No.	City/Town	District	State
1	2	3	4
9.	Jalandhar	Jalandhar	Punjab
10.	Ajmer	Ajmer	Rajasthan
11.	Bikaner	Bikaner	do
12.	Kanchipuram	Chengalpattu	Tamil Nadu
13.	Ootacamund	Nilgiri	—do—
14.	Tirunelveli	Tirunelveli	do
15.	Dehra Dun	Dehra Dun	Uttar Pradesh
16.	Jhansi	Jhansi	do
17.	Daman	Daman	Goa, Daman &Diu
18.	Yanam	Yanam	Pondicherry
19.	Mahe	Mahe	—do—
20.	Karaikal	Karaikal	do

Note:

This list excludes the cities and town already listed under National Priority Cities and also under Table. A. The intention of declaring such cities as State Priority Cities is to give an impetus to the growth process by supporting the infrastructure facilities in such cities and thereby helping them to expand the economic bone so that the need for migration to the big cities is lessened. In short, there will be migration within the region and this will contribute to positive urbanisation.

TABLE-C Headquarters of Districts with 90 per cent and more Rural Population

	O:			
SI. No.	City/Town	District	State	
1	2	3	4	
1.	Silchar	Cachar	Assam	
2.	Diphu	Mikir Hills	do	

SI. No.	City/Town	District	State	
1	2	3	4	
				<del></del>
3.	Dhubri	Goalpara	—do—	
4.	Tezpur	Darrang	do	
5.	Nowgaon	Nowgaon	do	
6.	Jorhat	Sibsagar	do	
7.	Haflong	North Cachar hills	do	
8.	Nawada	Nawada	Bihar	
9.	Aurangabad	Aurangabad	—do—	
10.	Sasaram	Rohtas	—do—	
11.	Chapra	Saran	do	
12.	Siwan	Siwan	do	
13.	Gopalganj	Gopalganj	—do—	
14.	Bettiah	Paschim Champaran	do	
15.	Motihari	Purba Champaran	do	
16.	Sitamarhi	Sitamarhi	do	
17.	Muzaffarpur	Samastipur	do	
18.	Hajipur	Vaishali	do	
19.	Samastipur	Samastipur	do	
20.	Darbhanga	Darbhanga	do	
21.	Madhubani	Madhubani	do	
22.	Saharsa	Saharsa	do	
23.	Purnia	Purnia	do	

		-	
SI. No.	City/Town	District	State
1	2	3	4
24.	Katihar	Katihar	do
25.	Dumka	Santhal Parganas	—do—
26.	Daltonganj	Palamu	do
27.	Palanpur	Banas Kantha	Gujarat
28.	Mandi	Mandi	Himachal Pradesh
29.	Dharamshala	Kangra	do
<b>3</b> 0.	Chamba	Chamba	—do—
31.	Una	Una	—do—
32.	Bilaspur	Bilaspur	do
<b>33</b> .	Keylong	Lahaul & Spiti	do
34.	Hamirpur	Hamirpur	do
35.	Kulu	Kulu	—do—
36.	Nahan	Sirmaur	—do—
37.	Pulwama	Palwama	Jammu & Kashmir
38.	Kupwara	Kupwara	do
39.	Doda	Doda	do
40.	Udhampur	Udhampur	—do—
41.	Kargil	Kargil	—do—
<b>42</b> .	Kottayam	Kottayam	Kerala <sup>-</sup>
<b>43</b> .	Mallapuram	Mallapuram	—do—
44.	ldukki	ldukki	do
<b>4</b> 5.	Kalpetta	Waynad	do

SI. No.	City/Town	District	State
1	2	3	4
46.	Raigarh	Raigarh	Madhya Pradesh
47.	Seoni	Seoni	do
48.	Panna	Panna	do
49.	Sidhi	Sidhi	—do—
50.	Jhabua	Jhabua	do
51.	Raisen	Raisen	do
52.	Mandla	Mandla	do
<b>53</b> .	Balaghat	Balaghat	do
54.	Ambikapur	Sarguja	do
55.	Ratnagiri	Ratnagiri	Maharashtra
56.	Ukhrul	Manipur East	Manipur
57.	Tamenglong	Manipur W <del>a</del> st	do
58.	Karong Senapati	Manipur North	do
59.	William Nagar	East Garo Hills	Meghalaya
<b>6</b> 0.	Nongstoin	West Khasi Hills	do
61.	Jowai	Jaintia Hills	do
62.	Tuensang Jown	Tuensang	Nagaland
63.	Mon	Mon	—do—
64.	Baleshwar	Baleshwar	Orissa
65.	Baripada	Mayurbhanj	do
66.	Dhenkanal	Dhenkanal	Orissa
67.	Phulabani	Phulabani	do

SI. No.	City/Town	District	State
1	2	3	4
68.	Bolangir	Bolangir	do
69.	Bhawantipatna	Kalahandi	do
70.	Barmer	Barmer	Rajasthan
71.	Jalor	Jalor	do
<b>72</b> .	Dungarpur	Dungarpur	do
<b>73</b> .	Banswara	Banswara	do
74.	Mangan	North Sikkim	Sikkim
<b>75</b> .	Namchi	South Sikkim	do
76.	Gyalshing	West Sikkim	do
77.	Dharampuri	Dharampuri	Tamil Nadu
78.	Kailasahar	North Tripura	Tripura
79.	Udaipur	South Tripura	do
80.	Jaunpur	Jaunpur	Uttar Pradesh
81.	Rai Bareli	Rai Bareli	do
82.	Gonda	Gonda	do
83.	Basti	Basti	do
84.	Barabanki	Barabanki	do
85.	Lakhimpurkheri	Kheri	do
<b>86</b> .	Almora	Almora	do
87.	Utarkashi	Uttarkashi	do
88.	Chamoli	Chamoli	do

437	Written Answers	PHALGUNA 8, 1912 ( <i>SAKA</i> )	Written Answers	438
SI. No	. City/Town	District	State	
1	2	3	4	
8 <b>9</b> .	Narendranagar	Tehri-Garhwal	do	
90.	Pithoragarh	Pithoragarh	do	
91.	Fatehpur	Fatehpur	do	
92.	Sitapur	Sitapur	do	
93.	Sultanpur	Sultanpur	do	
94.	Bahraich	Baharaich	do	
95.	Bela-Pratapgarh	Pratapgarh	do	
96.	Deoria	Deoria	do	
97.	Azamgarh	Azamgarh	do	
98.	Ballia	Ballia	do	
99.	Pauri-Garhwal	Garhwal	Uttar Pradesh	
100.	Ghazipur	Ghazipur	do	
101.	Bankura	Bankura	West Bengal	
102.	Midnapur	Midnapur	do	
103.	Purulia	Purulia	do	

Birbhum

-do-

104.

Suri

Written	Answers	440
---------	---------	-----

SI. No.	City/Town	District	State
1	2	3	4
105.	Cooch Behar	Cooch Behar	—do—
106.	Maldah	Maldah	do
107.	Murshidabad	Murshidabad	do
108.	Tezu	Lohit	Arunachal Pradesh
109.	Bomdila	West Kameng	do

Note:

These are the least urbanised and mostly poor districts. The migration potential from these districts is high. In terms of positive urbanisation, our policy must be aimed at generating employment and economic growth at the sub-regional level in order to curb migration to the cities.

TABLE-D RESIDUAL LIST

SI. No.	City/Town	District	State
1	2	3	4
1.	Tinsukia	Lakhimpur	Assam
2.	Bongaigaon	Goalpara	do
3.	Porbandar	Junagarh	Gujarat
4.	Patan	Junagarh	do
5.	Mahesana	Mahesana	do
6.	Dwarka	Jamnagar	do
7.	Bahadurgarh	Rohtak	Haryana
8.	Dalhausi	Chamba	Himachal Pradesh
9.	Gulmarg	Baramula	Jammu & Kashmir

441

SI. No.	City/Town	District	State
1	2	3	4
10.	Belgaum	Belgaum	Karnataka
11.	Mandya	Mandya	do
12.	Mediceri	Kodagu	do
13.	Calicut	Kozhikode	Kerala
14.	Alleppey	Alleppey	do
15.	Patiala	Patiala	Punjab
16.	Pathankot	Gurdaspur	do
17.	Kodaikanal	Madurai	Tamil Nadu
18.	Nainital	Nainital	Uttar Pradesh
19.	Mussoorie	Dehradun	do
20.	Jalpaiguri	Jalpaiguri	West Bengal
Note:	This is a list of towns which deserve priority at the state level on grounds or economic social, and environmental considerations. This is an illustrative list and there is scope for modification.		

# Amendment to Standards of Weights and Measures (Packaged Commodities) Rule, 1977

830 . SHRI RAM SAGAR (Saidpur): Will the Minister of FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government propose to amend the Standards of Weights and Measures (Packaged Commodities) Rules 1977 so as to incorporate the words 'cost of production' before the words 'maximum selling price inclusive of all taxes' in order to ensure

the check in the sharp and arbitrary increase in prices unabatedly; and

(b) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES (RAO BIRENDER SINGH): (a) No, Sir.

(b) The subject matter is outside the scope of the Standards of Weights and Measures (Packaged Commodities) Rules, 1977 and of the Act under which the Rules have been framed.