LOK SABHA DEBATES

LOK SABHA

Friday, April 10, 1992/Chaitra 21, 1914 (Saka)

> The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair]

[English]

OBITUARY REFERENCE

MR. SPEAKER: Honourable Members, I have to inform the House of the sad demise of one of our former colleagues, Shri S.S. Ramaswamy Padayachi.

Shri Padayachi was a member of the Seventh and Eight Lok Sabha, representing Tindivanam constituency of Tamil Nadu during the years 1980–89. Earlier, he had been a member of the Tamil Nadu Legislative Assembly and the Tamil Nadu Legislative Council during 1952–62 and 1966–67 respectively. He also served as Minister of Local Administration in Tamil Nadu during 1954–57.

An able parliamentarian, he lost no opportunity to draw the attention of the House to the problems faced by the poor section of the society. A dedicated social and political worker he worked relentlessly for the upliftment of the down trodden. Shri Padayachi passed away on 3 April 1992 in Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu at the age of 74.

We deeply mourn the loss of this friend. The House will join me in conveying our condolences to the bereaved family.

The House may now stand in silence for a short while as a mark of respect to the deceased.

The Members then stood in silence for a short while

11.03 hrs.

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Construction of Sub-ways/Flyovers in Delhi

[English]

*633. SHRI MORESHWAR SAVE: SHRI JEEWAN SHARMA:

Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANS-PORT be pleased to state:

(a) the number of flyovers/RUBs/ROBs/ Sub-ways in Delhi pending clearance by the Delhi Development Authority and Urban Arts Commission;

(b) the period for which these projects are pending and the reasons for delay;

(c) the details of floyovers constructed and opened for public in Delhi during the Seventh Plan period;

(d) the present position of the remaining flyovers which were proposed to be constructed during the same period and the reasons for delay; and

(e) the steps taken by the Government to complete the aforesaid projects within the revised time schedule?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI JAGDISH TYTLER): (a) to (e). A Statement is laid on the Table of the Sabha.

STATEMENT

Constitutionally this Ministry is responsible for development and maintenance of National Highways only. All other roads/ bridges are essentially the responsibility of the State Government/Union Territories concerned. Based on the details furnished by the various executing agencies in Delhi viz., Delhi Administration, New Delhi Municipal Committee, Municipal Corporation of Delhi and Delhi Tourism and Transport Development Corporation, the replies are as under:-

(a) and (b). 18 proposals of Flyovers/ Subways in Delhi, are presently pending clearance of Delhi Development Authority and/or Delhi Urban Arts Commission for varying periods of time. The details of these proposals including the period for which these proposals are pending and the reasons for delay are given in Annexure-I.

(c) Out of 15 flyovers to be taken up during the Seventh five year plan, 4 flyovers have been completed and opened to traffic during the Seventh Plan period as detailed in Annexure-II.

(d) and (e). Out of the reamining 11 flyovers, 5 flyovers are under construction, one scheme is under sanction and 5 schemes are yet to be approved by Delhi Development Authority/Delhi Urban Arts Commission as detailed in Annexure-III. The delay in completion of works which are under construction are broadly on account of:-

- (i) Change in scope of work, as per site requirements.
- (ii) Shifting/removal of services.
- (iii) Removal of encroachments and jhuggis.
- (iv) land Acquisition.
- (v) Period taken for clearance by Delhi Development Authority, and / or Delhi Urban Arts Commission.
- (vi) Contractual problems.

Efforts are being made by the respective Executive Agencies to complete the works as early as possible, by close monitoring and by expeditious removal of hindrances.

-						
	Remarks including reasons for delay	5	Further modifications asked for by DUAC in 1/92. Modified pro- posal submitted to DDA who have passed it on with their comments to DUAC in 2/92.	DUAC did not agree for flyover flyover at this l9cation and advised to provide underpass or restrict the height of flyover to 8 ft. above road surface. In the meeting hed on 3.3.92, PWD has been asked to furnished costs of two atternative	Matter under correspondence. Replies have been submitted by PWD to DUAC in 12/91.	Clarifications as called for have been submitted in 7/91 and 1/92 to DDA.
	Date of initial Submission	4	5/91 to DUAC	1/91 to DUAC	4/91 to DUAC	4/91 to DDA
ANNEXURE-I	Executing Agency	3	P.W.D. Delhi Administration	þ	þ	Ь Р Г
	Name of Scheme	. 2	Grade Separator at Raja Crossing	Grade Separator at Safdarjung Crossing proposals at this location	Grade Separator at	Grade Separator at Ashram Chowk Crossing
	SI. No.	+	÷	∧ં	ઌં	4
1						

5

Oral Answers

CHAITRA 21, 1914 (*SAKA*)

Oral Answers 6

-	7 Oral	Answei	'S	APRIL	10, 1992		Oral Ans	wers
a sa a na mana na mana ina sa na	Remarks including reasons for delay	5	Proposals was under correspon- dence. In 2/92, DDA returned the proposal unapproved, stating that it does not find priority.	-op-	-00-1		Matter has been under corresp- ondence with DDA. Final prop- osal has been submitted to DDA in 3/92.	Matter has been under corres- pondence with DDA. In 3/92,
	Date of initial Submission	4	3/89 to DDA	2/87 to DDA	8/91 to DDA	12/90 to DDA	1/91 to DDA	1/91 to DDA
	Executiny Agency	З	New Delhi Municipal Committee	- - - -	Delhi-Tourism and Transport Development Corporation.	-op-	¢ Y	60 0 0
	Name of Scheme	2	Grade Separator at intersection of Park Street & Baba Kharak Singh Marg.	Grade Separator at interseection of Tilak Marg and Bhagwan Das Road.	Grade Separator at intersection of J.B. Tito Marg and Lala Lajpat Rai Marg.	Grade Separator at intersection of Ring Road and Africa Avenue.	Grade Separator at intersection of Outer Ring Road and Rohtak Road.	Grade Separator at intersction of Ring Road and Road No. 41
	SI. No:	-	Ń	യ്	7.	ά	တ်	10.

) Oral.	Answers		CHAITRA 21	, 191	4 (SAKA)	Oral Answers 10
Remarks including reasons for delay	5	DDA has suggested for a comp- rehensive study of the schemes in the area.	Proposal was under correspon- dence. It i as returned unapp- roved by DDA in 2/92 stating that it does not find priority.	-do-	-00-	Matter has been under corres- pondence. The suggestions of DDA are being incorporated for re-submission.	Proposal was under correspo- ndence with DDA. It has been returned unapproved in 2/92 stating that it does not find priority.
Date of initial Submission	4		8/91 to DDA	10/91 to DDA	1/92 to DDA	1/92 to DDA	3/91 to DDA
Executing Agency	e		Delhi Tourism & Transport Development	-00-	-do-	- b 1	Municipal Corporation of Dethi
Name of Scheme	2	near Wazirpur Depot	Grade Separator at intersection of G.T. Road and Satyawati Marg.	Grade Separator at	Grade Separator at intersection of Ring Rad and Shanti Path.	Grade Separator at intersection of Outer Ring Road and Road No. 41 (Madhuban Chowk intersection).	Grade Separator on the intersection of Outer Ring Road and Lala Lajpat Rai Marg near Nehru Place.
SI. No.	+		Ë.	12.	13.	14.	<u>.</u>

11 <i>Or</i> a	al Answers		APRIL 10, 1	1992	Oral Answers	12
Remarks including reasons for delay	S. S.	Proposal was under correspo- ndence with DDA. Revised proposal submitted in 2/92.	Proposal was under corres- pondence with DDA. It has been returned unapproved in 2/92, stating that it does not find priority.	Proposal was under correspo- ndence. The comments of DDA fumished in 7/91 are under exa- mination by MCD.		
Date of initial Submission	4	8/91	12/91	8/90		
Executing Agency	e	- 0 	ę	ę		
Name of Scheme	2	Grade Separator on interesection of Outer Ring Road with 80' road of Greater Kailash-II.	Grade Separator at intersection of Najafgarh Road and Jail Road at Tilak Nagar.	ROB at Auchandi Marg near Samaipur Badli.		
Si. No.	1	16.	17.	ŝ		

- 13 Oral Answers
- CHAITRA 21, 1914 (SAKA)
- Oral Answers 14

- 1. Bridge connecting S.P. Mukherjee Marg with Azad Market (Phase-I). (Phase II is in progress)
- 2. ROB at Zakhira (main ROB portion)
- 3. RUB at Shaktinagar.

- 4. Lothian Road under bridge (three spans opened to traffic, remaining spans in progress)
- N.B. All these works fall under the jurisdiction of Municipal Corporation of Delhi.

	1						ic 10,	1992		C	Dral Ans	wer
	Agency	E Care	Munikinu	municipal Corporation of Delhi,	-00-	-0 	PWD Delhi AMN.	-07-		64-		0
ANNEXURE-III	Scheme	Under Construction	ROB at Saharanpur-Shahdara railway crossing with G.T. Road Sahadara.	RUB at Meharuli-Badarpur Road.	RUB connecting Wazirpur Industrial Area to Ashor virea	ROB-22 on Outer Ring Road at the crossing of Delhi Mathura Railway line near Okhla.	ROB at Monkey Bridge	Under Sanction	Grade Separator on Ring Road at Punjabi Bagh Crossing.	Under approval by Delhi Development Authority/DUAC.	Grade Separator at Raja Garden Crossing	
SI. No.	-	(a)	÷	ci	ઌં	4	Ċ.	(q)	.	(c)	.	

17	Oral /	Answers	CHAITRA 2		
• Agency	3	-00-	-04-	New Delhi Municipal Committee	
Scheme	. 2	Grade Separator at Safdarjung Crossing	Grade Separator at Dhaula Kuan Crossing.	Grade Separator at intersection of Park Street and Baba Kharak Singh Marg.	Grade Separator at intersection of Tilak Marg and Bhagwan Das Road.
SI. No.	-	તં	ຕ່	4	ம்

SHRI MORESHWAR SAVE: Honourable Speaker Sir, at the outset, let me appreciate for the details furnished by the hon. Minister. While replying to the question, the hon. Minister has raised the question of constitutional responsibility of his Ministry. In this respect, I would like to point out that a number of highways are passing over the flyovers. Hence, he is not expected to avoid the responsibility regarding the referred flyovers, that is, Dhaula Kuan National Highway No. 8.

Is it a fact that most of the flyovers proposed to be under construction are either finalised at the time of Asian Games in a hurried manner or in view of their being within the constituencies of the VIPs, without being essential or necessary and feasible?

SHRI JAGDISH TYTILER: Sir, some of the Ilyovers in Dethi were planned because of the Asiad Games. But it was not done in a hurry and i would like to tell you that all the flyovers are very well received by the people and ffeel they are serving the people of Delhi well.

SHRI MORESHWAR SAVE: In his reply. the Minister bas mentioned that out of the 15 flyovers proposed in the Seventh Five Year Flan, four are completed; five are under construction: one is under sanction; and five are under approval. While replying, he has also mentioned some of the difficulties. These are usual difficulties and I do not see any point in them. I would like to know whether the how. Minister is aware as to who is responsible for this delay. When are the proposed fly-overs which are under construction, expected to be completed? For those fly-overs which are awaiting sanction, when is it expected? I want to know whether the responsibility for this delay is fixed and whether any action has been taken against those who are responsible for the delay.

SHRI JAGDISH TYTLER: I have stated in my reply that constitutionally this Ministry is responsible for development and maintenance of National Highways only. But since this question has been transferred to me, I

Oral Answers 20

would like to inform the hon. Member that the delay is caused by the DDA and the Urban Art Commission. It does not concern my Ministry. But still we are taking it up with the concerned Ministry to see that there is no more delay and the projects are cleared as early as possible.

[Translation]

SHRI MADAN LAL KHURANA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, the hon, Minister has informed that this point relates, to the Urban Development Ministry headed by Shrimati Sheila Kaul. Sir, there was provision of Rs. 10 crore under the Seventh Five Year Plan for the construction of 5 flyovers in Delhi, i.e. Shahdara, Punjabi Bagh, Raja Garden chowk, Dhaula Kuan, Safdarjang Chowk but before the Lok Sabha elections, during November, 1989, the construction of only Shahdara flyover was started which is located in the Constituency of my friend and the former Minister, and the construction of other four flyovers could not be started resulting in lapse of funds. Mr. Speaker, Sir, the Urban Arts Commission has mainly been responsible for not allowing the construction work of these flyovers. Will the hon Minister discuss with the concerned officials including Urban Arts Commission's officials and start the construction of flyovers under a time bound programme by removing alithe hurdles in the way? Will the construction of Tilak Nagarflyover and Titarpur underground subway will be started immediately, which is directly under the control of your Ministry?

SHRI JAGDISH TYTLER: It is a good suggestion. I will see to it that it is included.

[Translation]

SHRI MADAN LAL KHURANA: Please, let this work be done.

SHRI JAGDISH TYTLER: I have said that you have expressed very good thoughts and have put forth some suggestions. I will definitely consider it and try to do.

MR. SPEAKER: It is an assurance given

on the floor of the House. I am warning him T also that his words constitute an assurance.

SHRI MANORANJAN BHAKTA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, the hon. Minister has mentioned in his statement that a number of agencies are implementing these works. He has also stated that the delay caused was due to the DDA and the Urban Art Centre, I would like to know in particular whether his Ministry would like to have some kind of monitoring at regular intervals so that these matters can be looked into. Otherwise, It will have not only time-running but cost-running effects as well. At the same time, there is a complaint that the Urban Art Commission finalises the contractors first, before clearing any project, and that they are issuing the clearances subsequently. Once the contractor is finalised, then only clearance is given. That is why. I would like to know from the hon. Minister whether he would like to get this matter inquired into and take suitable action.

SHRI JAGDISH TYTLER: No doubt, complaints have been received that the Urban Art Commission is unnecessarily delaying the clearance. As regards the allegation about the contractors being finalised before giving clearance, I will have this looked into. No doubt, there has been unnecessary delay and I will convey this to the Urban Art Commission and also to the Housing Ministry. If possible we will associate with it so that we can get the clearnace an implement the projects as quickly as possible.

Assistance to Kerala

*634. SHRI THAYILJOHN ANJALOSE: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government of Kerala has requested the Union Government for additional assistance to meet financial crisis;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) the decision taken by the Union Government in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI SHAN-TARAM POTDUKHE): (a) and (b). The Government of Kerala requested for an additional special assistance of Rs. 100 crores as medium-term loan to tide over their financial difficulties during 1991–92.

(c) The request of the State Government was considered in this Ministry and, in view of severe resources constraint faced by the Centre, was not agreed to. However, to enable the State to tide over their financial difficulties, advance release of their entitlements in respect of Central assistance for State Plan and share in Central taxes was made. Ways and means advance was also given to the State. In addition, repayment of loan and payment of interest thereon were also rephased on six occasions during 1991–92.

SHRI THAYIL JOHN ANJALOSE: Sir, the hike in the prices of wheat and rice distributed through Public Distribution System has seriously affected the PDS as a whole. In order to cope up with the increased price the Kerala Government had announced subsidy anticipating financial assistance from the Centre.

I would like to know from the hon. Minister whether the Central Government has taken any action in this regard.

SHRI SHANTARAM POTDUKHE: Sir, subsidy has been announced by the State Government on its own. Centre cannot do anything in this regard.

SHRI THAYIL JOHN ANJALOSE: The order to raise additional resources, the Kerala Government has contemplated levy and entry tax at the rate of Rs. 1.5 per litre on crude oil arriving at Cochin refineries. A Bill to this effect was forwarded to the Government of India for its previous sanction.

Till this time the Centre has not given sanction to this where as States like West Bengal, Karnataka, Gujarat and Andhra Pradesh are given permission. I would like