LOK SABHA DEBATES

(Seventh Session)



(Vol. XXVII contains Nos. 21-30)

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

CONTENTS

	_							COLUMNS	į
Oral Answers to Questions *Starred Questions Nos. 1939 to 1341, 1345, 13 Written Answers to Quest	1326 348 ar	rd 13/	28, 17	1330 t	o 133	4 , 13		337, . 6845—80	>
Starred Questions Nos. 13 and 1349 to 1363	325, 13			1338,	1342	to 13.	44, I	346 , . 6880—93	3
Unstarred Questions Nos.	. 2064	to 21	35					68936931	1
Themas 4.14 .4 .79.44								. 6932	t
Message from Rajya Sabha								. 6932-33	3 ,
Committee on Private Mem	Committee on Private Members' Bills and Resolutions-								·
Thirty-eighth Report								. 6933	3
Public Accounts Committee									~
Thirteenth Report								. 6933	3
Estimates Committee—									
Forty-second Report						•		. 6933	5
Demands for Grants-									
Ministry of Law .								6934707	
Shri Khadilkar .						•		. 69344	
Shri H. N. Mukerjee Shri Nath Pai	;	•	•	•		•	:	6944—5 . 6956—6	
Shri C. R. Pattabhi F	, Daman	•	•		·	Ċ	Ċ	. 69667	
Shri Raghubir Sahai		:	:	· ·			·	. 69796	
Pandit Thakurdas Bh								6985-700	
Shri Braj Raj Singh	•	•	•		•	•	•	7002—1	
Shri Ajit Singh Sarha				•		•	٠	. 7014—2 . 7023—2	
Pandit M. B. Bhargay Shri P. R. Patel	va .	•	:	:	:	Ċ	Ċ	7028—2	
Shri Jaganatha Rao	•	:						7034	
Shri Narasimhan					•		٠	70384	
Shri Supakar .			•	•	•	•	•	7042-46 7046-54	
Shri Khushwaqt Rai Shri Subiman Ghose			:	:	:	:	•	705460	-
Shri A. K. Sen		:						. 70607	5
Half-an-hour Discussion re	: Price	s of P	add	y in M	adhy	a Prad	lesh	. 7078—96	5
Shri Supakar .			•	•	•	•	•	707881	l
Sardar A. S. Saigal Shri Panigrahi			•	•	•	•	•	7081-86 7082-8	•
Shri Radhelal Vyas		•	:	·	:		:	. 70838	
Shri R. S. Kiledar								7086-8	
Shri A. M. Thomas		•	•	•	•	٠	•	. 7088g	
Daily Digest		•		•	•	<u>. </u>		7097-7102	

^{*}The sign+marked above a name indicates that the question was actua asked on the floor of the House by that Member.

6845

LOK SABHA

Wednesday, March 18, 1959/Phalguna 27, 1880 (Saka).

The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Magnesium Carbonate

Will the Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Central Salt Research Institute, Bhavnagar has worked out a new process for the production of light basic magnesium carbonate;
 - (b) if so, what are its uses; and
- (c) whether its cost of production has been worked out and steps taken for its commercial development?

The Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs (Shri Humayun Kabir): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) It can be used in the rubber industry and in manufacture of cigarette and other high quality paper.
- (c) The cost has been worked out. The National Research Development Corporation of India is taking necessary steps for its commercial development.

Shri Subodh Hansda: May I know whether all the raw materials that are required for the manufacture of

6846

this light basic magnesium carbonate is available in our country sufficiently; if so, what are the raw materials?

Shri Humayun Kabir: The raw material will be indigenous, and that is why we are encouraging the development of this process.

Shri Subodh Hansda: The Minister just now stated that steps have been taken to manufacture it on a commercial basis. May I know whether any party has applied for licence to manufacture it on a commercial basis; if so, whether any royalty has been charged from that party?

Shri Humayun Kabir: So far, five parties have shown interest in this matter, and this is being handled by the National Research Development Corporation.

Shri S. C. Samanta: Is it not a fact that at the invitation of the Government of France, a delegation consisting of the Senior Industrial Adviser, Chemicals, and the Salt Commissioner, had been to France to look into the structure of salt-based chemicals in that country? If so, have they given any advice as regards these chemicals?

Shri Humayun Kabir: I should ask for notice because this is a general question.

Shri R. C. Majhi: May I know whether we import magnesium carbonate at present; if so, to what extent?

Shri Humayun Kabir: About 1,193 tons were imported in the year 1957 at a cost of Rs. 11.99 lakhs, and for the year 1958, from January to November, about 851.5 tons were imported.

410 (Ai) LSD.—1.

Shri Subodh Hansda: The Minister stated that the cost of production has been worked out. May I know what the cost of production per ton is, and how far it compares with the imported magnesium carbonate?

Shri Humayun Kabir: The cost of production under this process is estimated to be Rs 936 per ton. The cost of the imported material, on the basis of the figures for 1957, was a little more than a thousand rupees, and for this year it is about Rs. 975

Lok Sahayak Sena

Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri Subodh Hansda.

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state

- (a) how many States have so far drawn up schemes for the utilisation of the services of persons trained in the Lok Sahayak Sena,
- (b) whether the target of training five lakhs men in Lok Sahayak Sena by the 31st March 1960 will be fulfilled.
- (c) whether any contact is kept with the trained personnel especially with those who were awarded Certificates of Merits, and
- (d) what percentage of trained persons have joined the regular army?

The Deputy Manister of Defence (Shri Ragharamaiah): (a) Five States namely, Bihar, Mysore, Orissa, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh have drawn u, schemes for the utilization of the services of the persons trained in the Lok Sahayak Sena

(b) It is not possible to give an assurance at this stage. As against 4 lakh persons required to be trained by 31st March, 1959 according to the target 3,52,139 persons, have been trained upto 31st January 1959 and every effort is being made to achieve the target for 1958-59.

- (c) No, but a list of all trained personnel with their addresses is forwarded to the District authorities to-enable them to contact these persons when necessary.
- (d) A little less than 1 per cent of those trained have joined the Army.
- Shri S C. Samanta: May I know whether any State Government has given work in multi-purpose projects and other projects of national importance to these trained persons?

Shri Raghuramaiah: We have noinformation so far as the States are concerned

Shri S C. Samanta: May I know whether any such request has been made to the State Governments?

Shri Raghuramaiah: Not that I am-

Shri Subodh Hansda: The Minister stated that certain States have drawn up schemes for the utilisation of the services of this Lok Sahayak Sena May I know what kind of schemes have been drawn up, and how the State Governments want to utilise the services of the Lok Sahayak Sena?

Shri Raghuramaiah: I did not follow the question

Mr. Speaker: What are the schemes adopted by the State Governments to utilise the services of the Lok Sahayak Sena?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Krishna Menon): These are really matters for the State Government. Our function is only to train these people

Mr. Speaker: The hon Member only wants to know if the Minister has got the information

Shri Krishna Menon: We have not been asked

Shri U C. Patnaik: May I know if, during the 30 days training, there is any arrangement to co-ordinate other organisations like the Community

Projects and others, so that the training imparted to the trainees can be utilised by the State Governments later on?

Shri Raghuramalah: We have no such specific scheme, but, of course, people are being trained and they can be utilised for such purposes as they may be found useful.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Minister has already said he does not know to what purpose the State Governments are using them. They can use them for any purpose.

Shri U. C. Patnaik: I wanted to put....

Mr. Speaker: There is the material there, discipline; they can use it for any purpose.

श्री पद्म देश इस लोक सहायक मेना मैं जिनको एक बाण ट्रेनिय हो जाती है, उसके परचात् क्या उनके लिये कोई रिफ्रेशर कोसं वगैरह की योजना भी रखी है ताकि वे हमेगा प्रकटिंग रहे ?

Shri Raghuramaiah: There is a proposal to have a refresher course, but that has not been found acceptable at the moment.

Shri Warier: May I know whether periodical training is also given to them, whether there is any scheme for periodical training of these people?

Mr. Speaker: That is the refresher course.

Shri Raghuramaish: That is the question I answered.

Sari Goray: We were told that only five States so far have taken advantage of this scheme. Have the Government tried to find out why the other States are not responding?

Shri Raghuramaiah: At the moment we have received information only from these States. It is up to the other States to move in the matter.

Skri Goray: Have you tried to find out?

Mr. Speaker: But I believe the hon. Minister has just said that it is only one per cent or two per cent. . . .

Shri Raghuramaish: One per cent.

Shri Krishna Menon: That is the position that is to be reviewed. The present experience shows that this is not army material. It has no military value at the moment. The scheme apparently was inaugurated as part of the general development of the country, not particularly for military purposes. With one month's training, and our inability to call them in pecause they are people working in the villages it has no military value as such, but there are establishments in the Deferre Ministry which have no strict military value.

Mr Speaker: Hon Members may take it up during the Defence Budget. After all, human material and money come from the same source. The hon. Minister is not aware of the purpose for which they are being trained. They are no good for the Army, no good for the village; in between what is it they are good for?

We have had enough. I will allow hon. Members ample opportunity in the debate

Shri Goray: I wanted to know from the Minister why the other States are not responding, whether he has made any enquiries To that there is no reply.

Shri Raghuramaiah: We are pursuing this enquiry.

Mr. Speaker: Why are they not responding? It seems to be such a beautiful scheme. Why are they not snxious to take advantage of it?

6852

Shri Raghuramalah: It is for them to respond. We shall pursue the matter.

States Tribes Advisory Councils

*1228. Shri R. C. Majhi: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that in some States Tribes Advisory Councils have not met even once in the year 1957 and 1958; and
- (b) if so, whether any action has been taken by the Centre in the matter?

The Deputy Minister of Home Affairs (Shrimati Alva): (a) No. A statement showing the number of meetings of the States Tribes Advisory Councils/Boards held during 1957 and 1958 is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix IV, annexure No. 64].

(b) Does not arise.

Shri R. C. Majhi: May I know who summons the meeting of the Tribes Advisory Councils, and what the causes are for not summoning the meetings? Is it because there were no subjects to be discussed?

Shrimati Alva: It is not that The advisory councils/boards meet regularly. It was in 1957 that in some of the States, these councils could not meet because of the States' reorganisation programme that was going on But in 1958, almost all the States have had meetings, as is shown in the statement laid on the Table of the House.

Shri R. C. Majhi: According to the Tribes Advisory Council Rules, how many meetings at least should be held in a year?

Shrimati Alva: There is no rigid regulation about how many meetings should be held. But the Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes has suggested that the meetings should be held more frequently.

Shri Jaipal Singh: Just new, the hon. Minister stated that the States' reorganisation work somehow or other prevented the States from convening the Tribes Advisory Councils. I do not see what the connection between the two is, between the States' reorganisation programme and the summoning of the States Tribes Advisory Councils. In my State, in 1957, the council had not met once. Has the State given any reason as to why the council was not called in 1957? How is it connected with reorganisation of States?

Shrimati Alva: It was connected, because the different boundaries etc. were being discussed, and that was the reason for the council not meeting. But in 1958, every State has had three, two or one meeting as indicated in the statement.

Shri Jaipal Singh: May I seek a clarification?

Mr. Speaker: Let the hon. Member leave alone the old past; let him bury the dead past In 1958, it is all right.

Shri Jaipal Singh: No, it is far from all right.

Mr. Speaker: All right, the hon. Member may pursue the question and ask why even in 1958, several meetings have not been held.

Shri Jaipal Singh: The hon. Minister has just told us that the special officer has indicated that these councils should meet more frequently. I would like to know what the meaning of the phrase 'more frequently' is. Is it once a year or twice a year or how often? Let us have some arithmetical figure.

Shrimati Alva: They meet more than once a year, and we have suggested that they meet more than three times. Three is the maximum number in the statement laid on the Table of the House, and we have suggested that they should meet more often than three times in a year.

Water Supply in Delhi

*1230. | Shrì Ram Krishan Gupta:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 289 on the 27th November, 1958 and state at what stage is the proposal to constitute a special committee consisting of the Union, Punjab and U.P. Ministers and the Mayor of Delhi to speedily iron out problems in connection with water supply and drainage problems of the Capital?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri G. B. Pant): The matter has been further considered and a tentative proposal has been reached. Final decision will be taken after consulting the Punjab and the U.P. Governments.

Shri Ram Krishan Gupta: May I know the details of the proposal which has been formulated?

Shri G. B. Pant: The proposal at present is that there should be a committee consisting of the secretaries of the various departments which have to deal with matters pertaining to Delhi Administration, end the Home Secretary should be the convener, and that wherever there is any difference of opinion or difficulty, then the Home Minister should try to settle those differences and to bring about some sort of agreement.

Shri Ram Krishan Gupta: May I know whether it is a fact that the Punjab Government have made an offer to undertake the Delhi water project?

Shri G. B. Pant: The Punjab Government have for the present agreed to release water from one of the escapes, which has not so far been providing any water for Delhi. I saw in the papers this morning that they had also put up some new schemes, which have not yet reached my hands.

Shri D. C. Sharma: May I know the part that the U.P. Government are playing so far as the implementation of this scheme is concerned

Shri G. B. Pant: We stand in need of water also from some streams which are in U.P. So, the assistance and co-operation of the U.P. Government are needed for that purpose.

श्री तथल प्रभाकर: क्या मैं माननीय मंत्री महोदय से जान सकता हूं कि यमुना के ऊपर एक ठेकेदार ने एक युन बनाया हुआ है और उसकी वजह में पानी में स्कावट आती है और उसके मम्बन्ध में चीफ कमिश्नर और म्यूनिसिपल कारपोरेशन के कमिश्नर की भी राय है कि वह हटा दिया जाना चाहिये किन्सु उसके बावजूद भी वह नहीं हटाया जा रहा है, इसका क्या कारण है ?

श्री गो॰ व॰ पन्त: पुलों से मेरा ताल्लुक नहीं रहता है मगर आपने कहा है तो मैं देख लगा ।

Ordnance Factory, Khamaria

*1331. { Shri S. M. Banerjee: Shri D. C. Sharma:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 989 on the 5th December, 1958 and state:

- (a) whether any decision has been taken on the report of enquiry about the losses and deficiencies in the stores of Ordnance Factory, Khamaris;
 - (b) the findings thereof; and
 - (c) the action taken thereon?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Shri Raghuramainh): (a) to (c). The report of the Board of Inquiry has been examined by the Director General of Ordnance Factories in consultation with the Controller of Defence Accounts (Factories). He recommended the write-off of the losses complained of to the extent of about Rs. 80 lakhs which have been established and investigation of a

further loss mentioned in the report to the extent of Rs. 62.8 lakhs which has not yet been established. The

Oral Answers

losses of Rs. 80 lakks which are proposed to be written off consist inter alia of:—

- (i) Loss on account of stores becoming obsolete.
- (ii) Loss on account of deterioration in stock,
- (iii) Loss 07 account of deficiencies found on stock verification.
- (iv) Transit loss.
- (v) Loss due to change in condition.
- Rs. 48.12 lakh
- Re. 9:54 lakhs
- Rs. 17.02 lakhs"
- Rs. I 03 lakhs Rs. I 22 lakhs.

*It may however be added that surplus stores worth Rs. 56 6 lakhs riso came to the netice of the Board of Inquiry.

In respect of other losses a detailed examination by a special team, which will verify the stocks and assess the condition of the stores, has been considered necessary. Final action will be taken after the report of the team is received.

The investigations by the Special Police Establishment into some of the cases arising out of these losses are still in progress and final reports are expected soon. Departmental action against certain employees has already been initiated as a result of the report submitted by the Special Police Establishment in one such case.

Attention of the hon. Member is also invited to the statement on the subject laid on the Table of this House on the 9th May, 1958 in which a broad indication of the nature of the report and the action taken/being taken on it was given.

I may add that except those amounts which have been finalised and written off, the figures given in the statement that was laid on the Table of the House before as well as in this answer to-day may be treated as provisional, because further investigation is going on into the correctness of those figures.

Pandit D. N. Tiwary: The hon. Deputy Minister stated in his reply that stores worth Rs. 9.54 lakhs deteriorated May I know how the deterioration came about, and who was responsible for that?

Mr. Speaker: That is, so far, from the investigation that has gone on, who appears to be responsible for it?
Shri Raghuramaiah: So far as these losses are concerned, they cover the period from 1949 to 1957; and they cover various items, not necessarily those due to theft or fraud but various other items like transit losses, losses because of stores becoming obsolete in course of time and by reason of later developments and deterioration in stock by reason of long storage etc

Now, the question of individual liability is being examined by the Special Police Establishment, and they have given a final report only in one case, but their investigation into the other cases is still proceeding. To the extent they have reported, we have initiated departmental proceedings.

Pandit D. N. Tiwary: May I know whether the report submitted by the Special Police Establishment also names some gazetted officers or mere clerks only?

Shri Raghurashaiah: The report covers both categories, gazetted as well as non-gazetted officers.

Shri D. C. Sharma: Originally, the loss stood at Rs. 1.78 crores. Out of it, Rs. 88 lakhs were written off, and now the loss is estimated at Rs. 60 4 lakhs; and so many items of loss have been again written off, according to the statement made by the Deputy Minister. I want to understand what kind of accountancy this is, where the loss estimated at Rs. 1.78 crores is going on tapering and tapering down till it becomes almost nothing. I want to know how this has happened.

Shri Raghuramalah: The point really is, as I explained in the statement which was laid on the Table of the House, the Board of Inquiry treated certain matters as matters of loss which, on technical examination, have been found to be not losses really in the sense that we understand an article as missing. Take. for instance. loss on account of deterioration in stock. That is deterioration in quality. and, therefore, the value gets reduced. To that extent, they have treated it as a loss. Or again, loss on account of stores being obsolete. They cannot be used for any other purpose. They have. if at all, to be sold as scrap. That loss is also treated as a loss.

Therefore, there is a difference in the figures on that account. We have asked them to investigate, as I have already explained in answer to the question just now, exactly what is meant by the loss of Rs. 62 lakhs, what are the items, and how far the loss can be treated as a loss.

Shri D. C. Sharma: I want to know the total value of the stores in this factory and how it happened that Rs. 60.4 lakhs have been written off on account of obsolete stores. What is the percentage of obsolete stores generally to stores that are usable, and how is it that in this factory, the percentage of obsolete stores has been so great as compared to the percentage of usable stores?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Krishna Menon): These are all stores of a long period. The Deputy Minister, when he mentioned the years 1949—57, was only referring to the period of the inquiry. Actually, these stores are war-time stocks and there has been no handing over of the stock list to the new people who came in. The reference to Rs. 1-8 crores and these large figures, is not of loss by reason of goods having disappeared. It is loss in book values. The book value might have been so much. But

when it is looked into again, the stock has either gone out of use or cannot be used by the fighting forces at present. Therefore, from the Army's point of view, it is a loss. It may be useful for other purposes discovered hereafter. If you will permit me, this inquiry is still going on and we would place before you all the material that is available for you to inspect and use your discretion as to how much of it should be placed on the Table of the House.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member wants to know the percentage of stores declared obsolete out of the total quantity of stores.

Shri Krishna Menon: He gave this figure of Rs. 48-12 lakhs with regard to obsolete stores. But in view of changing conditions, especially restriction on foreign exchange, and of the increase of non-technical skill in the factories themselves, we do not know how much of this would have to be reoriented.

Shri U. C. Patnalk: In the case of stores which have deteriorated, was there an inquiry as to whether the deterioration was due to lapse of time only or due to negligence on the part of the officers concerned?

Shri Krishna Meaon: This inquiry was conducted by a comparatively junior officer in the ordnance establishment itself several years ago, and it can by no means be said that he was a technician. This is deterioration in stock according to present standards.

Shri Thirumala Eao: The Deputy Minister was pleased to tell us that among the items of losses there is an item relating to 'deficiencies—about Rs. 17 lakhs'. What are the varieties of deficiencies? Are they actually loss from the stock or have they not come into the register at all while the cost was paid for them? Will he explain what is the meaning of 'deficiencies'?

Shri Krishna Menon: Both the legs of the Deputy Minister's answer have to be considered. He mentioned loss on account of deficiencies found on stock verification, that is, verification of stock from a particular set of ledgers. Then we find another one where it shows a surplus of Rs. 56 lakhs. Some of these are documents that may have come to us from preindependence days for which it is not possible to give any other explanation.

Oral Answers

Shri Jainel Singh: Is it not a fact that the officer who was in charge of this has since been transferred to headquarters and given a stoff appointment, as it were? He is in the production section. In other words, although he had been held responsible for much that happened during his charge, he has been given a promotion. Is that a fact?

Shri Krishna Menon: That is not a fact. First of all, this gentleman-I am happy to say that there has been no name mentioned—has not been given any promotion. He is no longer in our service. Nothing has been proved against him either by the Special Police Establishment or by anyone else. Naturally when a person presides over an establishment, whosoever is at fault, the blame is passed on to him. That is all there is to it. We have nothing against this officer. So far as his records go, he has been a very clean person, and extremely efficient. We are sorry to lose him from the technical point of view. He has resigned. Under the law, he is entitled to resign. We cannot stop him.

Shri Joachim Alva: In cases of theft. are the authorities as strict and severe as they were during the last war, when in cases of simple theft like theft of a cigarette box, where the accused pleaded guilty straightway expecting to be left off on bond, he was convicted and given six months?

Shri Raghuramaiah: In this particular case, as already explained, no case of theft has so far been proved. The Special Police Establishment are going into that aspect of the case and the question will arise after the findings are given.

Oral Anathers

Shri Goray: What we are interested: in knowing is if in a single depot like Khamaria, the losses amount to about Rs. 80 lakhs by way of deterioration. what is the incidence of such losses in other ordnance depots?

Shri Razhuramaiah: The conditionof storage in this depot was not ordinary, in the sense that this was a big depot catering for war requirements during the war period. Stores worth. lakhs and lakhs of rupees were kept here and then the depot was closed. immediately after the war. It was again reopened a little later and various other stores from Lucknow and other places were subsequently transferred here. There was a certain period wherein there was some lacuna in the accounting procedure and so on. All this covers a very long period. As I explained, the accounting is only from 1949-57, but the actual storage, as explained by the Defence Minister. was much earlier, throughout the war period. So it is not a matter of a year or two. I may explain that we have now taken various steps to tighten up security.

Shri Hem Barua: In view of the fact that this factory was commissioned for work in 1948, is it a fact that the stock verification was left over to 1952? If so, what is the percentage of losses that was inflated during this period because during the period 1949-52, the accountancy system broke down completely?

Shri Rarhuramaish: It is not possible to give a breakdown of the period of losses. We may know of those losses only when the inquiry is completed.

Shri Hem Barua: What is the answer to the first part of my question? Why was it left over to 1952—the stock verification?

Mr. Speaker: Shri Tangamani. The hon. Member cannot go on like this.

Shri Hem Barna: He did not reply to the first part of my question.

Mr. Speaker: He has to be satisfied with whatever raply is given.

Shri Tangamani: In the statement, there is a reference to the reply given on 6th May, 1958.—Starred Question No. 8016.—That is about the J.C.O's. death. I would like to know whether the J.C.O., who was either murdered or committed suicide, gave evidence before this board of inquiry?

Mr. Speaker: It does not arise out of this.

Shri Raghuramaiah: The J.C.O. has not given evidence. He was not called upon to give evidence. The death took place in September. The board completed evidence in August. So there is no connection at all between the two.

पुस्तकालय समाहकार समिति + *१३३२. वी अन्त वर्धन : सरवार इक्याल सिंह :

क्या शिका मंत्री २४ नवस्वर, १६४८ के अतारांकित प्रश्न संस्था ३६१ के उत्तर के सम्बन्ध में यह बताने की क्रपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) पुस्तकालय सलाहकार समिति ने को रिपोर्ट वी की, क्या उस की एक प्रति समा-बटल पर रखी जायेगी : भीर
- (स) उस समिति की सिफारिशों पर क्या कार्यवाही की गई है ?

शिका मंत्री (डा० का० ला० कीमाली): (क) रिपोर्ट की प्रतियां संसद पुस्तकालय में उपसम्ब हैं।

 (स) रिपोर्ट सरकार के विचाराधीन है। राज्य सरकारों, धायोजना कमीकन धीर विक्वविद्यालय धनुदान कमीकन के भी विचार सार्गे गये हैं।

An hen. Member: In English also. Dr. K. L. Skrimali: (a) Copies of the Report are available in the Parliament Library.

(b) The Report is under consideration of the Government. Comments of the State Governments, Flanning Commission and University Grants Commission have also been invited. भी अकत दर्जन : मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि यह रिपोर्ट गवर्नमेंट के हाथों में कब भा गई थी भीर इस में निर्णय करने में इतनी देरी क्यों हो रही है।

Dr. K. L. Shrimali: The Committee submitted its report to the Ministry on 15th November, 1958. As I have already explained to the hon. Member, the Ministry has to consult the State Governments, the Planning Commission and the University Grants Commission. There are various recommendations which concern the State Governments and Universities. Therefore, no further action can be taken unless consultation has been done in this matter.

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman: Now that the report is before Government, would they consider the feasibility of declaring certain Libraries like the Connemara Library in Madras and the National Libraries in Calcutta and Bombay, and equipping them properly?

Dr. K. L. Shrimali: I do not know about the particular Libraries to which the hon. Member is referring. This report deals with certain recommendations made by this Committee and, as I said, Government are considering this matter.

भी भक्त दर्भन : क्या माननीय मंत्री जी कतला सर्केंगे कि उन के प्रनुमान से कब तक इस सम्बन्ध में निर्णय हो जायेगा ?

डा० का० ला० बीबाली : मैं कोई निश्चित तारीख तो नहीं बतला सकता लेकिन यह कोझिश होगी कि जितनी जल्दी हो सके, इस पर निर्णय हो सके।

सफेद सीमेंट

*१३३३. बी नवस प्रधाकर : क्या बेझानिक पर्वेषणा और सांस्कृतिक-कार्य अंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि प्रावेशिक अनुसन्धानधासा, हैदराबाद में सफेद दीजेंग बनाने के सिये निकाशी गई विधि ब्यापारिक वृष्टि से कहां तक उपयुक्त है ? 6863

The Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs (Shri Mumayan Kabir): This will be known when pilot plant investigation is carried out for which a pilot plant is being set up at the said Laboratory.

An Hon. Member: In Hindi also.

भी हुमाबूर् कविर : नेवोरेटरी में पायलेट प्लांट लगाया जा रहा है, जब उस पर जांच होगी तब इसका पता लगेगा ।

भी नक्त प्रशंकर: श्रीमन्, क्या में जान सकता हूं कि धनुसन्धान के जो परिणाम निकले हैं, उससे यह गुण में कैसी होगी ?

भी हुमाभून् किकर: उसकी क्वालिटी
महुत ही पण्डी निकली है धौर सासकर
जिसमें राजस्थान और घांछ प्रदेश का
पोटेशियम फैल्स कर भीर राजस्थान का साडम-स्टोन भीर जिप्सम लगाया गया उसका नतीजा
बहुत प्रण्डा निकला है।

भी नवल प्रभाकर . दूसरी विदेशी कम्पनियों का जो सफेद सीमेंट होता है उसके धनुपात में इसका मूल्य कैसा रहेगा ?

भी हुनायून् कविर : मूल्य ग्रन्दाजन १७० रुपये पर टन रहेगा भीर भभी बाजार में जो उसकी कीमत है वह २४० रुपये से ३१० रुपये पर टन है।

Pension and Gratuity Cases

*1334. Shri Harish Chandra Mathur: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state the number of pension and gratuity cases which are pending for (i) over six months; (ii) over 12 months; and (iii) over two years?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha): The information asked for is not readily available with the Government of India and would require to be collected from the various pension sanctioning authorities scattered all over the country. I would refer the hon. Member to the Finance Minister's reply to a somewhat similar question (viz. Unstarred Question No. 886 on the 25th February, 1959) where information regarding the number of penmation regarding the number of pen-

sion cases which are pending for over a year after retirement of the employees concerned is already being collected. Government are of the view that the information that would be placed on the Table of the House in that connection will give an indication of the size of the problem and that collection of further information apart from involving an enormous amount of labour and time hardly commensurate with the public advantage to be gained, may not also be of much use.

Shri Harish Chandra Mathur: The answer which the hon. Deputy Minister has given orally differs from what has been given to me in writing. What I am told is that the information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House in due course; while the Deputy Minister says that it will not be profitable_in public interests to collect the information.

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: I have already invited the hon. Member's attention to the reply given by the hon. Finance Minister to an Unstarred Question in which it is stated that the information is being collected. I said that apart from that information which is already being collected, any further information on the basis of this question will not be of much use.

Shri Harish Chandra Mathur: I won't enter into a controversy. This written answer is with particular reference to my question, saying that this information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House. I think the assurance given in writing is being withdrawn orally.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member is misunderstanding the answer. The hon. lady Minister said that on an earlier occasion when the hon. Finance Minister gave a reply, he said that with respect to similar facts he was having some information collected. So far as this question is concerned, the hon. Member has asked not only for those facts but also for other facts. The hon. Deputy Minister has just now said that as regards the new statistics that are sought to be collected, the trouble involved would not be at all

-commensurate with the profit and, therefore, to that extent, it won't be sollected.

So far as the earlier question is concerned, the promise is not being withdrawn. The information will be collected and placed on the Table. I understand this is how the hon. Minister replied. The hon. Member does not understand. I am really surprised.

The hon. Member will proceed to put a question if he has any. The hon. Member seems to have misunderstood the reply. The reply is that whatever the Finance Minister has agreed to collect will be collected and whatever new things are sought to be collected will not be collected because the trouble involved is not at all proportionate to the advantage gained. That is the view of the hon. Deputy Minister.

Shri Harish Chandra Mathur: It is in respect of this new information that is asked for in this question that it has been stated in the note handed over to me that it is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

On this particular question just before the House it is said so. I have just collected it from the Notice Office. It is stated in the written answer that this information asked for by me in respect of this particular section is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

Mr. Speaker: How does he get a copy of that?

Shri Harish Chandra Mathur: I got it from the Notice Office.

Mr. Speaker: I was all along under the impression that it related only to the earlier question.

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: Basically, the information asked for by the hon. Member and the reply that was given by the Finance Minister are not different. The Finance Minister gave a reply.....

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Minister must follow what the hon. Member says. The hon. Member says that he is prepared to accept whatever has been said with respect to an earlier question. He says that he has got a statement from the Notice Office which says that the figures are being collected. How does the hon. Minister have a different answer?

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinka: I am not aware of how he got that. I shall enquire into that.

Mr. Speaker: I shall find out from the records.

Shri Harish Chandra Mathur: 13 view of the fact that this questic has been receiving the scrious attention of the Finance Ministry, may I know if they can give us a general indication of the number of pension cases which are in arrears—whatever information they have got—and also what are the main causes for these cases being delayed in disposal?

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: I have already replied that question. To clarify that further, the information is already being collected and we will lay it on the Table.

Shri C. B. Pattabhi Raman: Will Government consider issuing directives to expedite the cases pending for more than a year?

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Staha: That directive has already been issued and I would like to invite the attention of the hon. Member to the reply given by the Finance Minister on the 2nd March. He said that as soon as a person retires he would be given the pension

Shri Sonavane: Is any adhoc amount paid during the pendency of the settlement of these claims for gratuity and pension in deserving cases?

The Minister of Finance (Shri Morarji Desai): A provisional pension is given.

Shri Harish Chandra Mathur: A committee had been appointed in consultation with the Organisation and Methods Division to evolve a procedure which will make for very quick disposal of the cases in arrears. May I

know if that committee has finalised its report and what procedure has been evolved for the disposal of those cases?

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: The committee has finalised its report. So far as the recommendations in regard to procedure is concerned, they have already been implemented by the directives that have been given by the Finance Ministry.

Mr. Speaker: May I know from the hon. Member the number of his Starred Question for which he has got a statement from the Notice Office? I have got a copy of the reply which is exactly in the same terms as the one which the hon. Deputy Minister has read out. It is supplied to me and I have it before me.

Shri Harish Chandra Mathur: It is No. 1334. Down below it is written 886.

Shrimati Tarkoshwari Sinha: Question No. 886 is the question to which I have already made a reference in my reply.

Mr. Speaker: How does the hon. Member say that the hon. Minister has committed himself? I do not find it in the reply.

Shri Harish Chandra Mathur: It is what I collected from the Notice Office, Sir.

Mr. Speaker: That has reference to the earlier question. I am afraid the hon. Member is wrong. Now, let us proceed to the next question. Let not any hon. Member be assertive in these matters.

Scientific Policy Resolution

*1836. Shri D. C. Sharma: Shri Rameshwar Tantia:

Will the Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 326 on the 28th November, 1958, and state the latest position with regard to the implementation of the scientific policy resolution?

The Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs (Shri Hamayun Kahir): In addition to action already reported to Parliament, a scheme to grant 'Merit Promotions and Advance increments' to scientific personnel working in the Defence Science Organisation and the Indian Agricultural Research Institute, has been approved by Government.

- 2. The Government is considering a scheme formulated by the All India Council for Technical Education to improve the conditions of service of teachers working in technical institutions.
- 3. The Council of Scientific and Industrial Research has set up a committee under Dr. D. S. Kothari to-consider the establishment of a Central Institute for Scientific and Technical Information.
- 4. The other recommendations are still under consideration.

Shri D. C. Sharma: May I know what has happened to the scheme of setting up an All India scientific service?

Shri Humayun Kabir: I do not know to what the hon Member is referring. There is no proposal as such for an All India scientific service. But there are a number of scientific services and some of them are all-India services.

Shri D. C. Sharms: That was one of the suggestions made in the Scientific Policy Resolution that an All India scientific service should be created. I want to know what has happened to it.

Shri Humayun Kabir: The operative part of the Scientific Policy Resolution is given in paragraph 7 in which I do not find any definite reference to all India service.

Shri D. C. Sharma: May I know if any pool of scientific experts is being created and if so at what stage is it?

Shri Humayun Kabir: That is another matter. Certainly there was a

recommendation about the creation of a central pool and action has already /been initiated. It has been decided that there will be a pool of highly qualified technical personnel of whom about 25 per cent shall be persons with Indian qualifications and degrees. Advertisements for the pool have been issued and thus is in the process of being constituted.

Skri D. C. Skarma: May I know whether any efforts are being made for spreading scientific education at the post-graduate level or at the university level?

Shri Humayun Kabir: I did not quite follow the question Of course post-graduate education is specialised and whether it is science or humanities, for every subject there is separate provision. I do not understand what exactly the hon Member wants.

Shri D. C. Sharma: I want to know whether any efforts are being made for the training of scientists of the higher kind. That is what I meant.

Shri Humayun Kabir: Every effort is being made to train scientists of every kind.

Mr. Speaker: How has it improved our knowledge? Now, next question.

Republic Day Celebrations

Shri S. C Samanta:

*1357 { Shri Aurobindo Ghosal:
 Shri Subodh Hansda:

4-

Will the the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any foreign cadets were invited by Government to attend the Republic Day in New Delhi; and
- (b) if so, how many and from which countries?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithia): (a). Yes.

(b) Each of the Commonwealth countries which have Cadet Corps was invited to send up to three Cadets. Three Cadets from the United King-

dom and two each from Ceylon and Ghans came for this year's Republic Day.

Shri S. C. Samenta: May I know how the expenditure incurred for this purpose was met?

Sardar Majithla: The passage was paid by their respective countries. While they were here they were the guests of the Government of India, or rather the Defence Ministry, and they were looked after.

Shri Joachim Aiva: It is reported that the three cadets who came from the United Kingdom were very much impresed with our Republic Day cele brations. May I know whether in the next year the Ministry proposes to call more people from different countries?

Sardar Majithia: Every year we have been asking the cadets to come and witness our Republic Day parade; next year will be no exception.

Shri Thirumala Rac: May I know the policy behind this invitation? Is it restricted to Commonwealth countries?

Sardar Majithia: It is just a reciprocal invitation. They ask us to come and we ask them to come over. That is the basic idea behind it

Shri Hem Barua: May I know whether Pakistan was invited and, if so, what is the reaction to that invitation?

Sardar Majithia: As I said, invitation was sent to all the Commonwealth countries and whoever chose to send the cadets were most welcome.

Boat Accident

*1239. Shri Mohammed Imam: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the boat in which the Deputy Home Minister was returning to the Andamans, capsized and she was exposed to danger; and
 - (b) the cause of this accident?

6871

The Deputy Minister of Home Affairs (Shrimati Alva): (a) The cance in which the Deputy Home Minister was 'returning from Car Nicobar island to the ship M.V. Nicobar capsized. The Deputy Home Minister was exposed to come danger.

(b) Rough and swelling sea.

Mr. Speaker: Now that she is safe, why pursue this question?

Shri Mohammed Imam: May I know whether it is not a fact that Ministers' lives are very precious and more so the life of the lady Deputy Minister who comes from Mysore? May I know why proper safety measures were not taken for a safe voyage to Andamans and whether we can take it that the Deputy Minister will not repeat such experiments hereafter?

Shrimati Alva: Such experiments will not arise, but this experiment arose because when we were landing on the Car Nicobar island we went in two canoes that were tied by a plank We tried that out again and this unhappy incident occurred

Export of Iron and Steel Scrap to Japan

*1340. Shri Ajit Singh Sarhadi: Will the Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel be pleased to state

- (a) the total quantity of export of Iron and Steel Scrap in 1958 to Japan and its value; and
- (b) what further quantities has Japan promised to take during the year 1959?

The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel (Shri Gajendra Prasad Sinha): (a) The total quantity of Iron & Steel Scrap exported to Japan in 1958 was about 1.65 Lakh tons valued at about Rs. 165 Lakhs in round figures

(b) Government are not aware of any promise given by Japan regarding the quantity of scrap that Japan would take during the year 1959. However, Japan is expected to buy scrap from India in 1959.

Shri Afit Singh Sarhadi: Is it done on cash payment basis or on barterbasis?

Shri Gajendra Prasad Sinha: Scrap-13 exported and we try to import finished steel instead of it.

Shri Mohammed Imam: Iron and steel scrap is required by our own iron factories in India and there is considerable shortage of the scrap. When there is shortage, may I know the reason for allowing the export of scrap which we need for ourselves very urgently?

The Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel (Sardar Swaran Singh): Our policy is that our internal requirements have got precedence and whatever is not required by us is only permitted to be exported and our experience over the last two or three years does indicate that the export was in categories which are not normally used by users inside the country. It earns valuable foreign exchange Therefore, we have been pursuing that policy of exporting those items which could not be used inside the country.

'Shri Panigrahi: May I know whether it is a fact that during the last one or two years some of the indigenous factories which need this iron scrap were closed because the raw materials were not available for them?

Sardar Swaran Singh: No, Sir. I have not heard of any case. If there is any particular case in the mind of the hon. Member I would take that information

Pre-Examination Coaching for Scheduled Castes and Schoduled Tribes Candidates

*1341. Shri B. C. Mulfick: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 812 on the 10th December. 1958 and state:

(a) whether Government have received reply from the University of Allahabad regarding the starting of pre-examination coaching classes at

Allahabad University for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes candidates who appear for I.A.S. and I.P.S. examinations;

- (b) if so, the nature of such reply; and
- (c) how many other Universities were approached to take up this scheme?
- The Deputy Minister of Home
- (b) The Allahabad University have agreed to run the coaching classes at Allahabad.
- (c) Four other Universities viz, Bombay, Agra, Madras and Calcutta were addressed in the matter.
- Shri B. C. Mullick: May I know when this scheme will be taken up?

Shrimati Alva: We had referred it to the different universities. Allahabad is the only university that has agreed. Amounts have been sanctioned during the last month to conduct these classes for 100 trainees at an estimated cost of Rs. 30.560

Mr. Speaker: When will this scheme be taken up?

Shrimati Alva: It does not depend upon us; it depends upon the universities. Delhi University has declined.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member does not want that With respect to Allahabad where they have agreed to start this scheme.....

Shrimati Alva: Last month, we have sanctioned the amount and they should be starting it now.

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri G. B. Pant): It will start in August, 1959.

Shri B. C. Mullick: May I know whether the Allahabad University has given any indication about the basis of selection of candidates?

Shrimati Alva: The basis of selection would be first-class and secondclass graduates, From them selection would be made for candidates to be trained.

Shri Jadhav: May I know what will be the duration of the coaching classes and whether this will be free?

Shrimati Alva: I have just said that the amounts will be sanctioned for those universities that undertake this coaching. Allahabad has undertaken, to do it. The students will get lodging, boarding and coaching free.

Shri B. C. Mullick: What would be the duration of the scheme?

Shrimati Aiva: It would be anacademic year.

Shri Thimmalah: May I know whether the Government has prepared a scheme for training the students in each university; if so, may I know the number of students to be trained at each university at a time?

Shrimati Alva: We have the scheme, but the universities are not prepared.

Shri Sonavane: May I know the reasons why the other universities are not accepting the scheme, and what steps Government think of taking tomake these universities take up the scheme?

Shri G. B. Pant: If these universities take up the scheme, then, on receipt of proposals from them, those proposals will be considered sympathetically.

Shri S. R. Aramugham: The hon. Deputy Minister for Home Affairs said that the Madras University has agreed to take up this scheme. May I know whether they will take up the scheme this year?

Shrimati Alva: Madras has not agreed.

Shri B. K. Gaikwad: May I know how many students can be accommodated at a time, and what will be the amount of scholarship which would be given per student?

6876

Shri G. B. Pant: 100 students will be trained at one time, and they will be given, I think, a reasonable amount to enable them to meet their expenditure.

Shri Sonavane: Sir, I wanted know the reasons why some universities have not accepted the scheme.

Mr. Speaker: Ask those universities.

Shri G. B. Pant: Those universities, perhaps, seem to be of the opinion that their main function is to concentrate on academic studies and not on coaching, and the universities which have not been pleased to accept our proposal have stuck to their orthodox attitude in these matters.

Shri Sonavane: Is it not a healthy...

Mr. Speaker: All that may be true. Hon. Member has got five members in the local Assembly. He can persuade at least one of them to take up this matter in the local Assembly.

Salary Saving Scheme

*1345. Shri Goray: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state what steps Government are contemplating to take with a view to make the Salary Saving Scheme of the Life Insurance Corporation of India applicable to Central Government Employees?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha): This suggestion was considered last year but was not found practicable.

Shri Goray: In view of the fact that these facilities were available for employees in some departments like the Railways before the L.I.C. came into existence, will not Government consider the question of expediting this scheme?

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: Even now considerable difficulties are experienced by the accounting officers in proper accounting of the deduction allowed in the pay bills. Therefore, it sometimes leads to consequential delay in the finalisation of accounts. Therefore, looking to these difficulties. Government thinks that it is not in a position to atert this scheme for the Central Government employees.

Shri Tangamani: Some private employers have already accepted this scheme. If the reason is only accounting difficulty, may I know why the Government is not taking steps when we will be getting at least Rs. 10 crores yearly by way of premia?

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha: far as the non-gazetted staff is concerned. Government think that the policy holders should themselves be responsible for the premium. If the disbursing officers are made responsible for the deduction of that amount from the pay bills, they will be responsible for paying the premium to the Life Insurance Corporation. will involve so many difficulties in case the policy holders as such will not be responsible for payment of the premium. So far as gazetted officers are concerned, there are certain accounting difficulties, and therefore we do not think we are in a position to take it up.

Shri Tangamani: There are now about 60 lakh policy holders, and now the premium that is paid each year will be somewhere about Rs. 10 crores.

Mr. Speaker: Hon. Member arguing.

Shri Tangamani: They are contributing at least Rs. 10 crores, and if this is taken another Rs. 10 crores will come. Because of accounting difficulties alone, why should Government stand in the way?

Shrt Geray: My point was that in the Railway Department, for instance, before the L.I.C. came into existence this facility was extended to the railway employees. Why is it that it has been taken away?

The Minister of Finance (Shri Mineriji Denni): Because it is found by experience that the accounting system will not bear the strain of doing this. I do not think this will lessen the insurance in any way.

Mr. Speaker: Question No. 1346—Shri R. S. Tiwari.

An Hon. Member: He is not present.

Mr. Speaker: Next Question—Shrimati Ila Palchoudhuri.

Some Hon. Members: Shrimati Ila Palchoudhuri.

Mr. Speaker: Question No. 1347 is passed over—the hon. Member is not attentive. We will go to the next question.

Mineral Survey in Southern India

*1348. Shri Elayaperamal: Will the Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel be pleased to state the nature of steps taken or proposed to be taken to intensify search for base metals in the hilly regions of Southern India (Andhra, Myzore, Kerala and Madras)?

The Parliamentary Secretary to the Milaster of Steel, Mines and Fuel (Shri Gajendra Prasad Sinha): With a view to intensify and accelerate the search for base metals in this country, a Base Metals Wing has been set up in the Geological Survey of India. A senior officer of the Geological Survey of India has been placed in overall charge of this Unit. He is working out organisational details and demarcating the areas in all parts of India where intensive work should be taken up in order of priorities.

The programme of work of this Unit is likely to be finalised very shortly when only it can with certainty be stated in what parts of Southern India the operations will be undertaken by this Unit.

410 (Ai) L.S.D.-2

ghri Elayaperamal: May I know whether the Ministry has received any information regarding a hill near Midura District which has revealed large amounts of iron deposits?

Shri Gajendra Prasad Sinha: The Geological Survey of India has mapped certain areas and has got certain information about deposits in different parts of the country.

Shri Narasimhan: In view of the fact that the Atomic Energy Commission has found in Madura and Salem District uranium bearing rocks, will the Geological Survey of India help them in further research, and will it do further exploration or will it be left to the Atomic Energy Commission to do it by itself?

The Minister of Steel, Mines and pact (Sardar Swaran Singh): All help of every kind which the Atomic gnergy Commission requires the Geological Survey of India to render will be ungrudgingly given.

Shri Narasimhan: May I know whether the recent discovery of uranium bearing rocks in Madura and Salem pastrict was done by the Geological survey of India or by the Atomic parry Commission?

Sardar Swaran Singh: The Atomic gnergy Commission also has got its own organisation for discovery and exploration of minerals of special interest to the Atomic Energy Commission.

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman: Will there be a geodetic survey marking these areas bearing uranium and other ofes so that they are not misused and made useless for exploitation later on?

Sardar Swaran Singh: That is a suggestion for action.

Shri Achar: May I know whether any survey work has been done in the west Coast—I mean, South Kanara and North Kanara? Sardar Swaran Singh: I think the hon. Member will be well advised to table a separate question because that may give some useful information.

Oral Answers

Shri Panigrahi: May I know whether the Ministry of Steel, Mines and Fuel has appointed any expert committee to assess the iron ore deposits, in the various States of India; and, if so, what is their report?

Sardar Swaran Singh: So far as iron ore deposits in the country are concerned, a good deal of work has been done by the Geological Survey of India from time to time, and according to present indications India is very fortunate in possessing fairly large deposits of iron ore which are not only large in quantity but also very good in quality. I do not think that any special committee is required to be appointed to make any assessment of that mineral.

Shri S. R. Arumugham: Are the Government aware that good iron ore is available in the Nilgiri District of the Madras State and whether the Government have made any survey of that area?

Sardar Swaran Singh: It is true that iron ore is to be found in fairly large parts in the South and some of the deposits are very rich and the position has been stated from time to time about the occurrence of these iron ores in that locality.

As for the particular locality about which the hon. Member is enquiring, I could not say off-hand.

Mr. Speaker: Shrimati Ila Palchoudhuri.

Shrimati Ha Palchoudhuri: No. 1347. Thank you, Sir.

Oil Survey by Stanvac

*1347. Shrimati IIa Palchoudhuri: Will the Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel be pleased to state the latest position concerning progress made in respect of exploration of oil by Stanvac in West Bengal?

The Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel (Shri Gajendra Priend Sinha): The Company has done extensive geological and geophysical exploration in the West Bengal basin. It has also made a photogeomorphological survey of the concession area, the results of which are being studied. It has drilled 5 wells already and is presently drilling the 6th. It is too early to say whether the 6th well will also prove dry.

Shrimati Ha Palchoudhury: May 1 know what is the progress of the drilling in the Darjeeling district where it has been said that some oil has been traced?

The Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel (Sardar Swaran Singh): The places where the wells have already been drilled are Burdwan, Galsi, Jalangi, Dabagram and Bolpur. The sixth well which is being drilled now is at Ranaghat. The hon lady Member, with her knowledge of geography of Bengal, can allocate these to the various districts.

Shri Kasliwal: May I know whether the company has stopped the survey for oil in this area or is still continuing?

Sardar Swaran Singh: No, Sir. They have not stopped; they are still continuing. As I said a moment ago, the well which is being drilled now is at Ranaghat, and already, the inducations are that it might produce gas, although it is too early to say as to whether this will be high pressure gas or low pressure gas.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Defence Research and Development Advisory Committee

*1325. Shri Rajendra Singh: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred.

Question No. 358 on the 24th November, 1958 and state:

- (a) whether the Defence Research and Development Advisory Committee has since met:
- (b) if so, the recommendations made by the Committee; and
- (c) if the reply to part (a) be in the negative, the reasons therefor?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Shri Raghuramaiah); (a) Yes. The first meeting was held on the 28th January, 1959.

- (b) Matters regarding Grants-inaid for Research projects and the problem of allocation of Research work to certain universities, national laboratories and Research institutions were generally discussed.
 - (c) Does not arise.

Aligarh University

*1329. Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) how many students were awarded scholarships by Central Government for prosecuting studies in the Aligarh University during the last ten years;
- (b) whether any bond was executed by the recipients of these scholarships;
- (c) the nature of the bond executed; and
- (d) the number of scholarshipholders who failed to honour the terms of the bond?

The Minister of Education (Dr. K. L. Shrimali): (a) to (d). A statement is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix IV, annexure No. 65.]

Pipeline from Assam Oil Fields to Refinery

*1335. Shri Nagi Roddy:.

*1335. Shrimati Parvathi Krishnan:
Shri Vasudovan Nair:

Will the Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel be pleased to state:

(a) whether any phased programme

has been decided upon for the maintenance of the crude oil supply and the construction of the pipelines from the Assam Oil Fields to Bihar Oil Refinery and the Assam Oil Refinery; and

(b) if so, what are the details of the programme?

The Minister of Mines and Oil (Shri K. D. Malaviya): (a) and (b). It is proposed to construct both stages of the pipeline simultaneously. The first stage is proposed to be completed by April 1961, and the second by April, 1962.

Employment of Non-Himschalfs to Himschal Pradesh

*1238. Shri E. Madhusudan Rac: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any complaints have been received with regard to the employment of non-Himachalis even to sub-ordinate and non-gazetted posts by the Himachal Pradesh Administration, ignoring the provisions of the Public Employment Requirement Act, 1957; and
- (b) if so, whether Government have taken any action to mitigate the grievances of the people?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri G. B. Pant): (a) Yes,

(b) Regardless of the merits of the complaints, the Administration have been asked to give special consideration to the Himachal candidates in making appointments to such posts.

National Coal Development Corporation

*1342. Shri Wodeyar: Will the Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel be pleased to state what amount has been paid by the National Coal Development Corporation up to date as compensation to the owners for obtaining

mining rights in Kathers, Karangura, Korba and other areas?

The Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel (Sardar Swaran Singh): Claims have been received so far only in reapect of mining rights acquired in the Kathara area and in Gidi. Saunda and Bachra in the Karanpura erea. The amount of the claim is about Rs. 23 lakhs for the Kathara area and has been made by the Kathara Coal Company Ltd. As there are two other parties claiming a share of the compensation, it is proposed to refer tha matter to the Tribunal set up under the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957. total compensation as admitted by Government will be simultaneously deposited with the Tribunal. In regard to the Karanpura area, Messrs. Bird & Company are the claimants. They have asked for time to revise the details of the claim previously submitted by them to the National Coal Development Corporation.

Commissioner for Sales Tax, Delhi

*1343. Shri D. R. Chavan; Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the rules regulating the appointment of Commissioner for Sales Tax, Delhi were framed by the Delhi Administration and the Union Public Service Commission and it is laid down therein that this post should be held only by an I.A.S. or I.R.S Officer on tenure basis:
- (b) whether the present incumbent of the post of Commissioner, Sales Tax, Delhi belongs to either I.A S. or I.R.S.; and
- (c) if not, why it has not been filled up in a manner conforming to the prescribed rules and regulations?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri G. B. Pant); (a) Yes.

- (b) No
- (c) When the post fell vacant in August last, the present officer has

been appointed merely in an officiating capacity pending the selection of a permanent incumbent.

Automatic Rifles for Armed Ferom

*1344. Shri Dinceh Singh: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state whether there is a proposal to supply the Armed Forces with automatic rifles?

The Minister of Defence (filtri Erishna Menon): Proposals in regard to the equipment of Armed Forces personnel are continually under review.

हिमाचल प्रदेश में बहुरति प्रदा

*१३४६. भी रा० त० तिवारी : स्या विचि मंत्री यह बताने की कृषा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि हिमाचस प्रदेश के कुछ भागों में भव भी बहुरति प्रया प्रचलित है: भीर
- (स) यदि हां, तो सरकार इस प्रचा को समाप्त करने के लिये क्या कार्यवाही कर रही है ?

विवि उपमंत्री (थी हवारनवीत): (क) सरकार की इस मामले में कोई जानकारी नहीं है।

(स) सरकार इस बारे में कोई विशेष कार्यबाही करना भावस्थक नही समझती।

Maulana Azad's Memokrs

*1349. Shri U. C. Patnaik:
Shri Aurobindo Ghesal:
Shri Prakash Vir Shastri:
Shri Bibhuti Mishra:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether the mamuscripts of the memoirs or autobiography of the late Maulana Atad is being preserved in the National Archives; and (b) if 'so, when it is likely to be published?

The Minister of Education (Dr. K. L., Skrimali): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The sealed cover with the National Archives of Indis purporting to contain the complete text of the second volume of the late Maulana Asad's Autobiography will be opened after February 22, 1988, i.e. thirty years after his death. The question of publication will only arise after that data.

तेटराहट पापर

*१३५०. भी आसर: क्या इत्यात, साम और इँवन मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि बम्बई राज्य के रत्नगिरि जिले में लेटराइट पत्थर की बडी-बडी कार्ने मिली हैं;
- (स) क्या सरकार ने इस सम्बन्ध में कोई भ्रतत्वीय सर्वेक्षण किया है ; धीर
- (ग) यदि नहीं, तो क्या कोई ऐसा प्रस्ताक विकाराणीन है ?

काल और तेल मंत्री (भी के॰ दे॰ वालवीय): (क) से (ग). रात्तिरि जिले में लेटराइट पत्यर (Laterite Stone) की मौजूदगी का कुछ समय से पता चमा है। जिले की व्यवस्थित मान चित्रकारी के दौरान मारतीय भूगर्भीय सर्वेक्षण निमाग हारा कोचे यमें लेटराइट की सन्य किसी नई लोज की रिपोर्ट नहीं मिनी है। ऐसा मालूम हुमा है कि पदार्थ सज्झी किस्म का नहीं है। इस जिले में लेटराइट पत्यर का सर्वेक्षण करने के लिये कोई नया प्रस्ताय विचारायीन नहीं है। स्योंकि मूनीक्षण (Recommissance) के स्राक्षर पर

भारतीय मूनजीय सर्वेक्षण विनाम द्वारा यहले ही इसका मानविज्ञण किया वा चुका है।

Alley and Tool Stool

*1351. Shrimati Rosu Chakravastty: Will the Minister of Steel, Mines and Fael be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the alloy and tool steel project to be built in the Public Sector has been finalised;
- (b) which are the foreign parties who have submitted estimates;
- (c) what are the items for which estimates have been asked for,
- (d) whether any foreign party has been chosen to submit the final project report; and
- (e) if so, what will be the information contained in the project report and the terms and conditions on which this report will be prepared?

The Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel (Sardar Swaran Singh): (a) Specifications have been finalised.

- (b) and (c). Firms from four countries—U.K., France, Italy and Poland—have quoted, as required, for (i) preparation of the detailed project report; (ii) engineering services during construction; and (iii) 'know-how' for manufacture and training of personnel.
 - (d) No, Sar. Not yet
- (a) The project report will give sufficient details of the plant and equipment and the design features, for c.v.l engineering and erection work, to enable Government to call for tenders. It will also contain other relevant information like estimated investment, estimated cost of production, processes to be employed and personnel required.

Death of Indian Doctor in Nepal

*1352. Shri 5. M. Benerji: *Shri Jardish Awasthi: Shri Warler:

Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state!

- (a) whether it is a fact that an Indian doctor in Nepal died in a road accident on the 27th February, 1959; and
- b) if so, the circumstarces attending the accident and the total number of casualties?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha): (a) Yes Dr. J. S. Agrawal, a Lecturer in Botany, who had been deputed to Nepal under India's Aid Programme, died in a road accident on the 27th February, 1959; and

(b) A statement is laid on the Table of the House.

STATEMENT

Dr. J. S. Agrawal was required to accompany a batch of students of the Tri-Chandra College, Kathmandu, on an education tour to India commencing on the 27th February, 1959. The party, which consisted of 17 students and a few other passengers, besides Dr. Agrawal, left Kathmandu in an open truck at about 7 A.M. on the 27th February, 1959. About 3 miles from Thankot, as the truck was going on a steep decline, it suddenly developed mechanical trouble and the vehicle went into a khud in a spin, entered a nulla and came to a stand-still at "bout 500 ft. from the road in bits " 'd pieces. Dr. Agrawal and a stuo'nt died on the spot, while others ,sustained injuries of varying degrees. There have so far been other deaths in the Bir and American Mission Hospitals where the injured were admitted. These include casual passenger—all others were students.

✓ Management of Public Funds in Air Force

*1358. Shri Rajendra Singh: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 387 on the 24th November, 1958 and state;

- (a) whether the report submitted by the Committee to enquire into the management of Public Funds in the Air Force has since been considered; and
 - (b) if so, the decisions taken thereon?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithia): (a) The Report is still under consideration by Govern-

' (b) Does not arise.

Industrial Finance Corporation

*1354. Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri R. C. Majhi:

Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

- (a) the steps taken or proposed to be taken by the Industrial Finance Corporation to make good the loss of about half a crore of rupees sustained by it in its deal with Sodepur Glass Works:
- (b) whether it is a fact that the Corporation is trying to settle the matter with the guarantors, and
- (c) if so, whether any amount has been recovered from them?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha): (a) The Corporation has initiated legal proceedings against the guarantors.

(b) The Corporation would be willing to consider a settlement of the matter out of court if a satisfactory proposal is received from the guaranters.

Mariefacture of Acroplanies in Mindastan Aircraft (Private) Ltd.

*1255. Shri Ram Krishan Gupta: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the extent to which the defence requirements in respect of aeroplanes are met by the production in the Hindustan Aircraft (Private) Ltd., Bengalore;
- (b) the total cost of the various types of seroplanes imported during 1968: and
- (c) when the country would become self-sufficient in this regard?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majitha): (a) Trainer Aircraft and part production of some Vampires are done at Bangalore.

- (b) It is not in the public interest to give out the information
- (c) It is not possible to say at this stage when the country will become self-sufficient in respect of its requirements of aircraft.

Export of Pig Iron

- *1356. Pandit D. N. Tiwary: Will the Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel be pleased to state:
- (a) the quantity of pig iron exported in 1958:
- (b) whether the demand of pig iron in the country has been fully met during the period, specially for Small Scale and Cottage Industries, and
- (c) whether Government are aware that some manufacturing units in the country, specially in Bihar are suffering for want of adequate supply of pig iron?

The Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel (Sardar Swaran Singh): (a) There has been no export of pig iron during 1958.

(b) Demands of all foundries have been met in full from Period III/1958 onwards (c) No, Sir. The supply position is such that all demands for pig iron can be met.

Pewers of Governors

*1357. Shri Harish Chandra Mathur: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the observations made by Justice K. Sankaran of Kerala High Court regarding the curtailment of the powers of Governors in the matter of granting pardon and remission of sentences; and
- (b) what consideration, if any, has been given by Government to this matter?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri G. B. Pant): (a) Yes. Copies of the Report have been obtained from the Government of Kerala.

(b) The State Government is expected to give due consideration to the observations and suggestions made in the report by the Commission of Enquiry appointed by them. No proposals have been received here in this regard.

Child-Lifting

*1358. Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 292 on the 28th November, 1968 and state the further progress made with regard to the study of existing laws to determine whether they are adequate for coping with child-lifting and the specific problem of children being multilated?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri G. B. Pant): The views of the State Governments on the tentative conclusions reached by the Government of India are being examined.

Foreign Loans

*1259. Shrimati Renu Chakravarthy: Will the Minister of Finance bepleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Quuestion No. 318 on the 17th February, 1959 and state:

- (a) whether the interest on foreign loans incurred by the non-Government agencies will be paid by the Government of India or by the agencies themselves; and
- (b) what are the service charges and who will bear them?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha): (a) The interest on foreign loans obtained by the non-Government agencies is payable by them direct to the World Bank from whom all the loans in question have been taken

(b) A service charge, which is called 'Commission' under the Articles of Agreement of the Bank, is payable at one percent per annum and is to be borne by the borrowers

Auto-Car Refuellers for I.A.F.

*1369. Shri S. M. Banerjee: Shri Tangamani: Shri Warlor:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether a new project is being undertaken to rebuild auto-car refullers (bowsers) for the use of the Indian Air Force, and
- (b) if so, the cost of this project and its capacity?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Sardar Majithia): (a) No new project is being set up to rebuild autocar refuellers (Bowsers) for the use of the Indian Air Force Rebuilding and reconditioning of these refuellers has been undertaken by the Air Force and is done at Avadi

(b) Does not arise.

Barallendi Besenrek Institute

*1361. Shri Suboth Hansta: Shri S. C. Samanta: Shri R. C. Majhi:

Will the Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that:
 Karaikudi Research Institute in:
 Madras State has developed a newtype of primary wet cell which
 makes use of indigenous and easily
 available inexpensive components;
- (b) if so, what steps Government. have taken to develop it on a commercial scale; and
- (c) whether the components erssufficiently available to develop it on a commercial scale?

The Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs (Shri Humayun Kabir): (a) and (c) Yes, Sir

(b) Trials in actual use are being conducted. When these trials prove satisfactory, further steps will be taken with a view to commercial development.

"Prof Kaldor's Suggestions about Integrated Tax Structure"

Shrimati Renu Chakravarity:
Shri Rajendra Singh:
Shri Ram Krishan Gupta:
Shri Supakar:
*1362
Shri Harish Chandra
Mathur:
Pandit D. N Tiwary:
Shri Koshava:

Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Prof. Nicholas Kaldor has suggested a birth tax on Hindu Mitakshara coparcenary property to be included in the integrated tax structure in India;
- (b) whether certain other tax proposals have been suggested by him;

- (c) if eo, the exact nature of the proposals; and
- (d) the Government's reaction to the same?

The Deputy Minister of Finance (Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha): (a) Government have no information.

- (b) and (c). His suggestions are contained in his report on 'Indian Tax Reform' published by Government on 16th June, 1956. Copies have already been sent to the Parliament Library.
- (d) It is not practicable to state Government's reactions to every taxation proposal which is made. Decisions taken by Government will be apparent from the legislation brought from time to time.

Banaras Hindu University

*1363. Shri Ram Krishan Gupta: *Shri D. C. Sharma: Shri Braj Raj Singh:

Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of institutions under the Banaras Hindu University re-opened so far; and
- (b) the final date by which all the Colleges will be opened?

The Minister of Education (Dr. K. L. Shriman): (a) All the institutions under the Banaras Hindu University, which were closed indefinitely with effect from the 8th October, 1958, have since been re-opened.

(b) Does not arise.

Production of Coal

2964. Shri Ram Krishan Gupta: Will the Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel be pleased to state the quantity of coal produced in public and private sector during the year 1958-59 so far? The Minister of Steel, Mines andi Fuel (Sardar Swaran Singh):

Coal Produced

Period	Private Sector	Public Sector	Total
A	. (In mi	lkon tone)

April '58 to January'59 33.06° 4.95° 38.01°

"The figures for January '59 included above-

Legal Aid to Scheduled Tribes in.

Punjab

Shri Ram Krishan Gupta:

Shri D. C. Sharma:

are provisional.

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the amount allocated by the-Centre to the Government of Punjabfor giving free legal aid to Scheduled Tribes during 1958-59;
- (b) the amount spent out of it sofar; and
- (c) the number of persons benefit-ed?

The Deputy Minister of Home-Affairs (Shrimati Alva): (a) to (c). No amount has been allocated by the Centre to the Government of Punjab for giving free legal aid to Scheduled Tribes during 1958-59 as no such programme has been included by the State Government in theirplans for the Welfare of Scheduled Tribes.

Exemptions from Income-Tax in U.P.

2066. Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

- (a) the total number of industrial concerns and joint stock companies exempted from Income-Tax in Uttar Pradesh; and
 - (b) the reasons for exemption?

The Minister of Finance (Shri Morarji Desai): (a) and (b). No.

4895

companies have received examption as such. However, two companies received relief under clause 15 of the Merged States (Taxation Concessions) "Order, 1949 and a third company received the benefit of Section 15C of the Indian Income-tax Act, 1922

- · Staff in the Ministry of Education
- 2007 Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to estate.
- (a) the number of Assistants and Clerks at present m the Ministry of Education and its subordinate offices in Delhi and outside Delhi, separately, and
- (b) the number of such employees among them belonging to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes?

The Minister of Education (Dr. K. L. Shrimali): (a) and (b) A statement is laid on the Table of the Lok Sabha [See Appendix IV, annexure No 66]

Corruption among Policemen

2003. Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state

- (a) the number of policemen serving under the Central Government who were arrested and convicted on charges of corruption during the period from July 1958 to February 1959, and
- (b) the number of policemen who were given rewards for rendering mentorious service during the same period?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri G. B. Pant): (a)

Arrested Convicted (from July 1958 to 31st January 1959)
(b) 5359 (Do)

Daulatabad Port

2009. Shri Pangarkar: Will the Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) the amount spent on the maintenance of the Daulatabad Fort during 1958-89; and (b) the emount proposed to be spent during 1959-60?

The Deputy Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs (Dr. M. M. Dae): (a) Rs 503 (Upto December, 1958)

(b) Rs 5,000 subject to funds being voted by Parliament

Excavations in Nander District

2070 Shri Pangarkar; Will the Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any excavations were recently carried out in Nander District of Marathwada Region of Bombay, vand
 - (b) if so, with what result?

The Deputy Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs (Dr. M. M. Das): (a) No. Sir

(b) Does not arise

Concession to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes and other Backward Classes

2071. Shri A. K. Gopalan: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state the amount of Central subsidy or grant given to each of the State Governments during 1958-59 so far for giving Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes and Other Backward Classes students, the following concessions

- (1) Fee concession,
- (11) Scholarship payment,
- (in) Money for purchase of books,
- (1v) Hostel accommodation and con cession in hostel rates, and
- (v) Subsistence allowance or any other such concessions?

The Deputy Minister of Home Affairs (Shrissati Aiva): A statement showing the Central assistance proposed to be given to the State Governments during the current financial year for the group 'Educational Schemes' which mostly comprises of

the five items mentioned by the Memher, is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix IV, annexure No.67.]

It is not possible to furnish the amounts of Central assistance for the five items separately as such a break-up has not been furnished by the State Governments while asking for final payment of grants.

Reorganisation of Ordnance Factories

2072. Shri Hem Raj: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the net savings that have been made by the reorganisation of the Ordnance Factories in 1958;
- (b) the total amount of labour force employed in them;
- (c) the number that is usefully employed and the number that remains idle; and
- (d) the steps taken by Government to utilise this idle labour force?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Shri Raghuramaiah): (a) There has been no "reorganisation" of structures in the Ordnance Factories. The output of the Ordnance Factories was considerably greater and saving is as m relation to that increased output and the existing resources of plant and manpower and the almost full employment of labour.

(b) 43,016 as on 31-1-1959

- (c) (i) The number usefully employed as on 31-1-1959 42,754
- (ii) Occasionally labour is rendered idle for short periods due to break down of machinery, temporary shortage of materials, etc., and such idle labour is utilized on alternative jobs as far as possible. Presumably the information is not sought in respect of such labourers as are rendered idle temporarily, but in respect of unabsorbed surplus labourers. The number of such unabsorbed surplus labourers was 262 on 31-1-1959.
- (d) Constant watch is being maintained on the position of any sur-

pluses in the Factories. While due to varying load position in the factories, surpluses at some period or the other cannot altogether, be eliminated, every effort is being made and will be maintained to use the available labour force gainfully.

Ferro-manganese

2073. Shri Panigrahi: Will the Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel be pleased to state:

- (a) what is the total quantity of ferro-manganese produced in India in 1958-59 so far:
- (b) how much of this quantity has been exported;
- (c) how much of this quantity has been consumed in the country; and
- (d) what was the stock held by the producers by the end of 1958?

The Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel (Sardar Swaran Singh): (a) Estimated production is about 55000 to 56000 tons.

- (b) Export during the period from April to November 1958 was about 8750 tons; figures for subsequent months are not available yet.
- (c) Estimated internal demand is about 30000 to 35000 tons.
- (d) Stock as on the 31st December, 1958 is estimated at about 28,000 tons.

Coal Supply

2074, Shri Ram Saran: Will the Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that during June-December, 1958, State Governments' Departments were either refused permits or made short supplies against their requirements by State Collieries and they had to purchase from private collieries when National Coal Development Corporation (Private) Limited agreed to sell coal of State Collieries to M/s. Kanoria Coal Company;

- (b) whether it is a fact that the coal released to the company was sold at open market rates to brick-kiln owners and other consumers; and
- (c) whether any restrictions were imposed by Coal Controller for use or resale of the coal thus released to M/s. Kanoria Coal Company?

The Minister of Steel, Miner Fuel (Sardar Swaran Singh): (a) The demands of the State Governments for meeting their requirements of brick burning coal were accepted by National Coal Development Corporation (Private) Ltd., and programmed on the State Collieries. No demand was refused during the period under reference. The Uttar Pradesh Government, however, decided to draw only 50 per cent of their requirements on their own account, although the full quantity had been agreed to by the Corporation. The coal sold to M/s. Kanoria Coal Company was out of surplus stocks available with the State Collieries.

(b) The Government of India have no information. Sanctions were issued by the Coal Controller in favour of M/s. Kanoria Coal Company in accordance with the recommendations of and the permits issued by the Provincial Iron & Steel Controller, Uttar Pradesh, Kanpur. The disposal of coal on its arrival at destination points within the State is done by the State Government.

(c) No.

Central Public Health Engineering Research Institute, Nagpur

2075. Pandit J. P. Jyotishi: Will the Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state what is the estimated cost of the Central Public Health Engineering Research Institute to be established at Nagpur?

The Deputy Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs (Dr. M. M. Das): No complete estimate of cost of the Central Public Health Engineering Research Institute has been prepared. According to the plan approved by the Governing Body of the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research it is estimated that the Institute will cost Rs. 31.00 lakhs in capital expenditure and Rs. 28.285 lakhs in recurring expenditure over a three year period ending with the Second Five Year Plan.

राज्यों में साजरता

२०७६. श्री सुझवक्त राय: : क्या शिक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कृषा करेगें कि देश के विभिन्न राज्यों में कितने प्रतिशत साक्षरता है ?

शिका मंत्री (शा० का० ला० भोवाली) : नवीनतम सूचना एकत्र की जा रही है ग्रीर प्राप्त होने पर सभा पटल पर रख दी जायेगी ।

Punjab State Youth Welfare Board

2077. Shri Daljit Singh: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Central Government has offered any grant to the Punjab State Youth Welfare Board for the year 1959-60;
 - (b) if so, the amount thereof; and
- (c) the nature of projects proposed to be undertaken by the State Youth Welfare Board with the Central grants?

The Minister of Education (Dr. K. L. Shrimali): (a) No. Sir.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

Evening Colleges in Delhi

2078. Shri Jinachandran: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 643 on the 20th February, 1959 and state:

- (a) the names of Universities to which the evening colleges in Delhi are affiliated; and
- (b) what is the percentage of students attending these colleges, whoare employed?

The Minister of Education (Dr. K. E. Skrimali): (a) Delhi and Punjab Universities.

(b) 100 per cent.

Rural Institutes

2072. Shri Jinachandran: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Rural Institutes for imparting Rural Higher Education already established in India and their location;
- (b) how many of these are directly run by Government and how many by prevate agencies; and
- (c) what are the special features of these Institutes and on what basis such Institutes are opened in various localities?

The Minister of Education (Dr. K. L Shrimali): (a) to (c) A statement is placed on the Table [See Appendix IV, annexure No 68]

LCS Officers

2666. Shri Dinesh Singh: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No 1217 on the 12th March, 1958 and state the present position regarding:

- (a) the number of ICS Officers in the Service of Government of India;
- (b) the number among them working under the Ministry of External Affairs,
- (c) how many of them have opted for IFS; and
- (d) the number among them serving abroad on posts borne by Ministries other than the Ministry of External Affairs?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Datar):
(a) 142 (this includes 18 officers serving under autonomous Corporations).

- (b) 31.
- (c) 26
- (d) 5.

Foreigners in Government Employment

2081. Shri Dinesh Singh: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to lay on the Table an up-to-date statement showing the number of foreigners employed by the Government of India in each of the Ministries and Departments in India and the names of the countries to which they belong?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Datar): The information will be collected and laid on the Table of the House as early as possible

Manufacture of Arms and Ammunition for Civilian Use

2082 Shri Dinesh Singh: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No 2088 on the 18th December, 1958 and state

- (a) the names of existing units manufacturing arms and ammunition for civilian use in the private sector, who have already been granted licences for such manufacture:
- (b) what are the goods manufactured by each of them,
- (c) whether any shot gun or rifle cartridges for cavilian use are being actually manufactured in the country apart from being refilled, and
- (d) if so, the names of companies or units manufacturing them and their annual production?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Shri Raghuramaiah): (a) and (b). The requisite information is not readily available. It will have to be collected from the State Governments who are the licensing and administrative authorities in this matter.

Yes, in (c) and (d) Ordnance Factories only. The present programmed production of shot gun cartridges is 8 lakhs rounds per year. This can be increased to 60 lakhs in due course provided there is a market demand A, certain quantity of 315" cartridges has also been produced

Steel Plant in Andhra Pradesh

2083 Shri Rami Reddy: Will the Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Andhra Pradesh Government have made representations to the Government of India for locating a steel plant m Andhra Pradesh, and
- (b) the action taken by Government in the matter?

The Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel (Sardar Swaran Singh): (a) Yes, Sir. A representation was received in 1956.

(b) The State Government were informed that the possibility of setting up of a steel plant in the State would be borne in mind in considering the location of one of the future plants besides the fourth steel plant at Bokaro

Educational Grants to Orissa for 1959-60

2084. Shri Panigrahi: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 778 on the 2nd March, 1959 and state:

- (a) what is the finalised programme of educational schemes for 1959-60 for Orissa which has been received by the Government of India:
- (b) What amount of assistance the Orissa Government has sought from the Centre for this programme (scheme-wise);
- (c) the amount of assistance Central Government has sanctioned:
- (d) whether all the schemes sent by Orissa Government have been approved; and

(e) if not, the schemes which have been approved?

The Minister of Education (Dr. K. L. Shrimali): (a) and (b) A copy of the Programme giving scheme-wise information regarding outlay and estimated Central assistance for 1959-60, received from the State Government, is the Table of the House. placed on [See Appendix IV. annexure No. 69].

- (c) No financial sanction for 1959-60 Programme has been issued cording to the new procedure threefourths of the estimated Central assistance will be released in the form of Ways and Means advances in nine equal monthly instalments The amount so released will be adjusted against the payment sanctions to be issued m March, 1960
- (d) and (e) Yes Sir, except scheme at Serial No 18 of the statement, asthe main scheme has not been finalised.

Books on Crimes

2085, Shri N M. Deb: Will Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state what facilities are given to Central Government officials specially in Police Department who write books on crimes in addition to their regular duties?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri G. B. Pant): Any request for considered on special facilities is merits

Allowances to Ministers

2086, Shri Ram Krishan Gupta; Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the total amount spent by way of facilities and allowances on Central Ministers and Deputy Ministers during 1958-59 so far; and
- (b) the nature of the steps taken in 1958 or proposed to be taken in 1959 to curtail such expenditure?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri G. B. Pant): (a) Exclusive of salary, the total amount booked from 1st April, 1958 upto November, 1958 was Rs. 7,44,039|-. This does not cover expenditure on medical facilities which cannot be worked out.

(b) The implied assumption that the expenditure is excessive is not correct.

Malti-Storeyed Building at Bombay

2007. Shri Osman Ali Khan: 'Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact, that a multistoreyed building has been built for Class II officers of the Income-tax department at Bombay, without the lifts having been provided; and
 - (b) if so, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of Finance (Shri Morarji Desai): (a) and (b). Two seven-storeyed blocks with 28 flats in each block have been constructed for Income-tax Officers in Bombay. There was provision for installation of one lift in each of the blocks when construction was planned. The installation of the lifts is expected to be completed shortly.

Research Branch under the Survey of India

2088. Shri S. M. Banerjee: Will the Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs be pleased to lay a statement on the Table showing:

- (a) whether a Research Branch exists under the Survey of India at Dehra Dun:
 - (b) if so, its functions;
- (c) the number of subjects with their names on which research has so far been completed;
- (d) the number of subjects on which research is likely to be completed

during the Second Five Year Plan period; and

(e) the amount spent on the working of this Branch during 1957-58 and 1958-59 so far?

The Deputy Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs (Dr. M. M. Das): (a) and (b). Yes, Sir. The Survey of India has a Geodetic and Research Branch at Dehra Dun. Its function is to carry out geodetic susqueys and connected research.

- (c) and (d). Research work is carried out pari passu with productive work in the field of geodesy and control surveys. This research is a continuous process and is not related to any plan period. A statement showing the subjects in which the research work (i) has so far been undertaken and (ii) is proposed to be undertaken during the remaining, period of the Second Plan is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix IV, annexure No. 70.]
 - (e) 1957-58 Rs. 8,14,070. 1958-59 (till February 28th 1959) Rs. 9, 38,300.

Survey of India

2829. Shri S M. Banerjee: Will_the Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state the total number of Class IV, Class III, Class II and Class I employees of the Survey of India and their respective wage bills separately?

The Deputy Minister of Scientific-Research and Cultural Affairs (Dr.-M. M. Das):

Manahan

_	of Wage nployees Bill
Class IV	Rs.
(a) Regular .	1799 1,56,816
(b) Contingent.	3050 2,16,284
Class III	2543 4,30,295
Class II	106 55,433
Class I	85 91,278

5907

Survey of India Air Survey and Training Estate, Dehra Dun

2090. Shri S. M. Banerjee: Will the Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether no pucca road and lighting arrangements exist in Air Survey and Training Directorate Estate, Survey of India, Karanpur, Dehra Dun:
- (b) if so, whether any plan has been chalked out for such construction work:
 - (c) the amounts sanctioned; and
- (d) whether work is likely to start in 1959?

The Deputy Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs (Dr. M. M. Das): (a) All the roads in the Estate with the exception of one approach road are pucca. There are, however, no road lights in the Estate.

- (b) and (c). Plans for electrification of the roads are being formulated. Funds will be allotted after the estimates are approved.
 - (d) Yes, Sir.

Endebtednes, Among Class III and IV Survey of India Employees

2091. Shri S. M. Banerjee: Will the Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any survey has been made as to the indebtedness of the Class III and Class IV employees of Survey of India; and
- , (b) if so, the result thereof?

The Deputy Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs (Dr. M. M. Dus): (a) No. Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

Class HI and IV Survey of India.

2092. Shri S. M. Banerjee: Will the Minister of Scientific Research' and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any rule exists for declaring Class III and Class IV employees under the Survey of India as permanent;
 - (b) if so, what are those rules;
- (c) total number of employees in Class III and Class IV having ten years, five years and three years of service at their credit, who have not been declared permanent upto 1st, January, 1959; and
- (d) the number of employees likely to be made permanent in 1959?

The Doputy Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs (Dr. M. M. Das); (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Temporary Class III and Class IV employees of the Survey of India become eligible for transfer to permanent establishment after they have put in a specified number of years of service in their respective grades in accordance with the terms and conditions of their service as laid down in Circular Orders No. 435 (Adm.), 436 (Adm.), 437 (Adm.) and 438 (Adm.), copies of which have been placed in the Parliament Library. As and when vacancies in the permanent posts occur, eligible persons are declared permanent provided their work and conduct have been satisfactory.

(c)	_			
		employ- ees with 10 years	No. of employ- ees with 5 years service	employ- ees with 3 years
Class III	_	13	72	465
Class IV		306	207	175

(d) Class III . 28 Class IV . 128

Grant of Increments to Survey of India Employees

2003, Shri S. M. Banerjee: Will the Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether dates of increments of Survey of India employees have been made uniform from 1st January for administrative convenience;
- (b) if so, whether it was done in pursuance of any order of Government of India:
- (c) the number of employees who have suffered financially as a result of this measure:
- (d) the amount of financial loss in each case; and
- (e) the steps proposed to be taken in the matter?

The Deputy Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs (Dr. M. M. Das); (a) and (b). No. Sir. The periodical time scale increments of the employees of the Survey of India Department are sanctioned from the dates on which they fall due, except in the case of Class III Division II Technical personnel, initial classification and subsequent grade promotions are made effect from 1st January and therefore the consequential increments take effect normally from that date in accordance with the provisions of Circular Order No. 435 (Adm.), copies of which have been placed in the Parliament Library.

- (c) None.
- (d) and (e). Do not arise.

Strongth of Officers in the Central Secretariat.

2094. Shri Harish Chandra Mathur: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) the assessed requirement of Secretaries, Joint Secretaries, Deputy Secretaries and Under Secretaries in the years 1959-60 and 1960-61; and 410 (Ai)L.S.D.—3.

(b) the number of the above officers employed on (i) field work and in (ii) Secretariat in the year 1947 and at present?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairy (Shri Datar):
(a) Requirements of officers mentioned in part (a) may arise out of

- (i) retirements;
- (ii) reversion of officers to their parent cadres; and
- (iii) creation of new posts.

As assessment of the requirements arising out of (i) and (ii) above during the periods 1959-60 and 1960-61 is given in the statement laid on the Table. [See Appendix IV, annexure No. 71.] It is not possible to furnish any definite information regarding the requirements arising out of category (iii) above

(b) No officer of the categories mentioned in (a) above is employed on field work. The number of such officers employed in the Secretariat in 1947 and 1959 is given in the statement laid on the Table. [See Appendix IV, annexure No 71.]

Thefts in Steel Plants

2095. Shri Morarka: Will the Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that some cases of thefts have been reported in the steel plants recently:
- (b) if so, the details of such thefts and the names of the plants where they have been committed; and
- (c) the amount involved and action taken in the matter?

The Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel (Sardar Swaran Singh): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c). A statement is laid on the Table of the House [See Appendix IV, annexure No. 72.]

Annellate Amistant Commissioners

2006. Shri Morarka: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any, statistics are kept showing the number of cases in which the orders of the Appellate Assistant Commissioner are changed by the income-Tax Tribunal;
- (b) if so, whether the same for the last three years would be laid on the Table; and
- (c) the action, if any, taken against the Appellate Assistant Commissioners whose orders are changed most?

The Minister of Finance (Shri Morarji Desai): (a) and (b). Yes, a statement showing statistics of cases in which orders of Appellate Assistant Commissioners were changed by the Income-Tax Tribunal for the last three years is laid on the Table of the House [See Appendix IV, annexure No. 73.]

(c) Unless there is reason to believe that the Appellate Assistant Commissioners' orders are malafied, no action can be taken simply because a higher appellate authority has varied their orders. However, all orders passed by the Income-Tax Tribunal are reviewed and in assessing the overall performance of an Appellate Assistant Commissioner, due note is taken of the information thrown out by the Income-Tax Tribunal's orders.

Department of Archaeology, Orissa 2867. Shri Panigrahi: Will the Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Central Government has given any assistance to Orissa in 1958-59 so far for development of the Department of Archaeology; and
 - (b) if so, how much?

The Deputy Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs (Dr. M. M. Das): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

2008. Shri Ram Krishan Gupta: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether there is any proposal to have courtesy police in Delhi; and
 - (b) if so, details thereof?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri G. B. Pant): (a) and (b). There is no proposal for any special courtesy police. It is necessary for all ranks of the police to be courteous.

Inter-State Taxation Council

2009. Shri Ram Krishan Gupta: Pandit D. N. Tiwary:

Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state at what stage is the proposal to set up an Inter-State Taxation Council?

The Minister of Finance (Shri Morarji Desai): As the National Development Council serves the purpose for which an Inter-State Taxation Council was recommended by the Taxation Enquiry Commission, it has been considered unnecessary to set up a separate body.

American Educationists

- 2100. Shri Jhulan Sinha: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:
- (a) whether the visit of the team of American educationists to the three Indian Universities of Aligarh, Anthra and Mysore was sponsored by the Government of India:
- (b) if so, whether the team has submitted any report; and
 - (c) the action taken thereon?

The Minister of Education (Dr. K. L. Shrimali): (a) The Government sponsored the visit of four American educationists under the India Wheat Loan Educational Exchange Programme in July, 1958. One educationist

each was assigned to the Universities of Aligarh, Andhra, Myaore and Sri Vankateswara to advise them on the broader espects of the introduction of General Education courses in them.

- (b) No. Sir.
- (c) Does not arise.

दिल्ली से सक्लास

२१०१. श्री विन्ति निश्व : न्या गृह-कार्य मंत्री यह नताने की कृमा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या दिल्ली में विभिन्न प्रकार के अपराधों का पता लगाने के लिये कोई नयी व्यवस्था की जा रही है; धीर
- (स) यदि हां, तो उसका स्वरूप क्या है ?

गृह-कार्य मंत्री (श्री गी० ब० पन्न) · (क) जी नहीं ।

(ल) सवाल नही उठता ।

Accident in Bourkela Steel Plant

2102. Shri Aurobindo Ghosal: Will the Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel be pleased to state:

- (a) whether there was any accident due to collapse of a wall in the laboratory under construction in Rourkela Steel Plant in January, 1959; and
- (b) if so, the reasons therefor and the persons affected?

The Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel (Sardar Swaran Singh): (a) and (b). On the 7th of January, 1959 at about 5:30 P.M. there was an accident due to collapse of a wall of the Material Testing Laboratory building now under construction at the plant site. The Committee constituted to enquire into the causes of accident have expressed the view, that in as much as the shutterings and scaffoldings were according to standard practice and strong enough, the accident was purely

circumstantial. The accident proved fatal, to 3 masons and 2 helpers. The contractors concerned have already reported the accident to the Commissioner for workmen compensation.

Conference of Old.Revolutionaries

2703. Shri Aurobindo Ghosal: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government had allotted any money for the Conference of the Old Revolutionaries held in Delhi in the month of December, 1958; and
 - (b) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri G. B. Pant): (a) Assistance was given out of the Home Minister's Discretionary grant.

(b) Does not arise.

Price of Telco Trucks

2104. Shri Aurobindo Ghesal: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government are going to institute any enquiry into the attitude of Telcos in fixing the price of military trucks as stated by the Deputy Minister of Defence in the House on the 5th December, 1958; and
 - (b) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Deputy Minister of Defence (Shri Raghuranaiah): (a) and (b). It is not intended to institute any enquiry into the attitude of Mis TELCOs in putting up the price of military trucks as no orders for such trucks are pending with them.

Basic Training College, Tripura

2105. Shri Bangshi Thakur: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a proposal seeking the order of the Government of India for purchasing land and building, which is under the possession of the Tripura Administration on rental basis and is being used as Basic Training College has been submitted by the Education Directorate, Tripura; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

The Minister of Education (Dr. K. L. Shrimali): (a) and (b). The Tripura Administration approached the Government of India for allotment of necessary funds for this purpose during the current year and the same have since been made available.

हिमाचल प्रदेश में प्रध्यापक

२१०६. श्री पर्म देव :

क्या शिक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा कृपा करेगे कि

- (क) १६५८ में हिमाचल प्रदेश में कितने मध्यापको को एक स्कूल से दूसरे स्कूल में स्थानान्तरित किया गया,
- '(स) इन मध्यापको को यात्रा भत्ता देने पर कितना व्यय हुमा ; मौर
- (ग) क्या यह सच है कि प्राइमरी स्कूल के बहुत से अध्यापको को ऐसे स्थानो पर स्थानान्तरित किया गया जो १५० मील की दूरी पर थे ?

शिका मंत्री (डा० का० ला० भीमाली):
(क) से (ग) सूचना एकत्र की जा रही है भीर ययासमय लोक सभा-पटल पर रख दी आयेगी।

हिमाचल प्रदेश में स्कूतों के प्रध्यायक

२१०७. **बी वर्**म देव : श्री स० वं० सामन्त :

क्या क्रिआ। मत्री यह बताने की क्रुपा करेगे कि

(क) क्या यह सब है कि हिमाबल प्रदेश में स्कूलो के अध्यापको की आवरण और सेवा सम्बन्धी पुस्तिकार्ये नहीं मिल सकीं ;

- `(ज) क्या यह भी सब है कि कई एस॰ टी॰ प्रध्यापकों का बेतन कम शब तक निश्चित नहीं किया गया है; धौर
- (ग) यदि उपरोक्त भाग (क) भीर (स) का उत्तर हां में हो, तो इस विषय में. क्या कार्यवाही करने का विचार है ?

शिका मंत्री (डा॰ का॰ ला॰ थोमाली): (क) से (ग). सूचना एकत्र की जा रही है भीर यथासमय लोक समा-पटल पर रख दी जायेगी।

सैनिक

२१०८ भो पब्स बेख . क्या प्रति-रक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कुपा करेगे कि जिन मैनिकों को विदेश में अथवा भारत के सीमा प्रदेशों में आवश्यक कार्यों पर लगाया जाता है उन के आश्रितों को सरकार किस प्रकार की सहायता देती है ?

्रश्नति-रक्ता मंत्री (आ कृष्ण मेनन) . जब परिवारों को सैनिक सेविवर्ग के साथ जाने की इजाजत नहीं होती, उन्हें प्राय या तो सैनिकों के प्रतिम सेवास्थानों पर मिले सरकारी मकानों में रहने दिया जाता है, या भारत में उनके पसन्द किये स्थानों पर सरकारी खर्च पर भेज दिया जाता है। रुपया पैसा भेजने की सुविधाये दी जाती है कि बिना किसी झझट के परिवारों को गुजारे के लिये पैसा पहुचता रहे। कुछ प्रपवादों को छोड़ कर उन्हें सैनिक कटीनों से सामान खरीवने की भी सुविधा दी जाती है। डिस्ट्रिक्ट और स्टेट सोल्जर्स, सेलर्ज और एयरमेन्ख बोडों से जिस मामले में वह चाहें सलाह भी से सकते हैं।

जम्मू काश्मीर या जनरल आफिसर कमांडिंग ग्रासाम के श्रधीन पूर्वीय सीमा क्षेत्र में काम कर रहे सैनिक वर्ग की मृत्यु पर उनकी विश्ववाद्यों को साधारण पेन्यन के ग्रसावा, कुछ हालतों में कुटुम्ब उपदान भी विया जाता है। हिन्य चीन में सेवा कर रहे सीनक सेविवर्ग के लिये एक बीमा योजना चालू की गई है जिसके सचीन उनके आखित २०,००० से एक लाख रुपये तक का एक मुश्त अनुदान पाने के योग्य हो सकते हैं।

Stores for Bbilai Steel Plant

2109. Shri Hem Raj: Will the Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that some thirty lakh worth of stores were purchased some months back for the Bhilai Steel Plant:
- (b) whether it is also a fact that a good amount was embezzled out of them; and
- (c) if so, the action taken in the matter?

The Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel (Sardar Swaran Singh): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c). Some complaints in respect of a few of these transactions have been received and are under investigation.

Central Fuel Research Institute

∫Shri Subodh Hansda: 2110. ⟨Shri S. C. Samanta: | Shri Ajit Singh Sarhadi:

Will the Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Central Fuel Research Institute has evolved a new process for the manufacture of fertilizer from coal and lignite:
- (b) whether this new fertilizer has been experimented upon in any part of the country;
 - (c) if so, the results obtained; and
- (d) whether this can be used extensively without any soil test?

The Deputy Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs (Dr. M. M. Das): (a) Yes, Sir. The Central Fuel Research Institute has worked out two processes.

- (b) Experiments have been carried out only on a small plot of ground at the Institute.
- (c) and (d). Positive results could be obtained and assessed only when large scale trials are carried out.

Naga Rebels

- 2111. Shri Raghunath Singh: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that fire was exchanged between Indian Armed Police and the Naga rebels in a village of Manipur on the 22nd January, 1959; and
- (b) if so, the details of the incident?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri G. B. Pant): (a) and (b). On the 22nd January, 1959, a small police patrol party, which had gone to village MONGJRONG KHULLEN in Tamenglong sub-division, had an encounter with some Naga hostile in olive green uniform and armed with rifles and sten guns, who suddenly entered the village by a bridle path. The police had to open fire and the hostiles escaped into the thick jungles leaving behind two rifles and some ammunition. There were no caualities on either side.

भारत सरकार की खात्रवृत्तियां

२११२. श्री रघुनाय सिंह : क्या क्रिक्रा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) १६४८ से भव तक भारत सर-कार की छात्रवृत्तियों पर कितने शारतीयों ने शिक्षा भीर प्रशिक्षण प्राप्त किया भीर भव भारत में काम कर रहे हैं; भीड (स) उन में से किउने विदेशों में रहू नवे अथवा बाद में वहां चले गवे और चड़ां नौकरी कर रहे हैं अथवा स्वतंत्र रूप से अपना कारोबार चला रहे हैं ?

शिक्षा मंत्री (डा॰ का॰ ला॰ सीमाली): (क) ग्रीर (स). सूचना एकत्र की जा रही हैं।

Raygad Fort

2113. Shri Amer: Will the Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Raygad Fort in the district of Kolaba (Bombay State) is under the management of the Central Archaeological Department;
- (b) if so, the amount sanctioned by Government for its maintenance during 1957-58 and 1958-59;
- (c) whether Government have any scheme to develop the fort as a tourist centre; and
 - (d) if so, the details thereof?

The Deputy Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs (Dr. M. M. Das); (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) 1957-58. 1958-59. Rs. 911. Rs. 6.940.

- (c) The Union Department of Archaeology has no such scheme.
 - (d) Does not arise.

Central Excise Collectorate, Mysore

2114 | Shri D. A. Katti: Shri Manay:

Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

- (a) what was the collection of gleaned tobacco in the Belgaum Division of Mysore Collectorate of Central Excise where Mahar concession licences are issued, from 1944 to 1958; and
- (b) if there has been any fall, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of Finance (Shri Morarji Desai): (a) The information regarding the quantity of tobacco gleaned by the Mahar Concession Licensees in the Belgaum Division of Mysore Collectorate available with the Government, is as follows—

Year	Year		Quantity in lbs. (nearest thousand)		
1954			6,60,000		
1955			2,69,000		
1956			1,10,000		
1957			1,19,000		
1958			1,06,000		

The Departmental records relating to the earlier years which were ripe for destruction have been destroyed.

- (b) The fall noticed in the recent years is reported to have been due to the following reasons—
 - (i) Progressive fall in tobacco acreage in the Belgaum Division from 52,000 acres in 1954 to 34,000 acres in 1959.
 - (ii) Due to fall in acreage, the growers utilising even inferior tobacco most of which they were discarding earlier, thereby leaving lesser and lesser quantity for the Mahars.
 - (iii) Greater vigilance by growers over the activities of Mahars who also pilfered good quality tobacco leaves.

Small Savings Scheme

2115. Shri Warier: Shri Kodiyan:

Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state how much amount in the Small Savings Scheme has been collected by way of transfer from either reserve or deposit amounts of banks and such other financial institutions during the Second Five Year Plan period so far?

The Minister of Finance (Shri Merarji Desai): As the investors are 6921 Written Answers PHALGUNA 27, 1880 (SAKA) Written Answers 6922

anot required to divulge the source from which the investments are made by them, it is not possible to supply the information asked for.

Adiyasis in Origan

2016. Shri Sanganza: Will the Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any committee has been constituted by the Central Government to make an anthropological survey and study of the Adivasis of Orissa:
- (b) if so, whether that committee has toured Orissa in the latter part of 1958; and
 - (c) if so, with what results?

The Deputy Minister of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs (Dr. M. M. Das): (a) No. sir.

(b) and (c) Do not arise.

विवेश में जे गर्वे प्रतिनिधि-मध्यलों पर व्यव को गई विवेशी महा

२११७. श्री बिजूरित निश्च क्या जिल मत्री यह बताने की कृषा करेगे कि वर्ष १६५५ से १६५८ तक मंघ सरकार और राज्यो द्वारा मेंचे गये श्रीर गैर-सरकारी प्रतिनिधि-मण्डलो पर कितनी विदेशी महा व्यय की गई?

बिस मंत्री (भी मोरारको बेलाई):
विदेशी मुद्रा नियंत्रण (एक्सचेंज कन्ट्रोल)
कं बारे में को भाकड़ मौजूद है, उनसे यह
पता चलता है कि किन कामों के लिये फितनी
विदेशी मुद्रा दी गई है। उनसे यह पता नही
चलता कि केन्द्र या राज्य सरकारों की भोर से
या गैर-सरकारी तौर पर दूसरे देशों को
मेचे जाने वाले प्रतिनिधि-मण्डलों पर झलग-धसग कितनी विदेशी मुद्रा लच्चे हुई। भारतीय
रिजर्ष बैंक हारा रखे जाने वाले भाकड़ों
के सनुसार १६५६ से १६५८ (नवस्वर)
नक से वर्षों में "सरकारी काम" के लिये
५६ इस्ट साक स्पर्य की विदेशी मुद्रा दी गयी।

Presecutions under the Untouchability (Offences) Act

2118. Shri Daifit Singh: Shri Koratkar:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of persons prosecuted under the Untouchability (Offences) Act during 1958; and
- (b) the number of persons convicted?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri G. B. Pant): (a) and (b). The State Governments are responsible for enforcing the provisions of the Untouchability (Offences) Act, 1955. They have, however, been requested to furnish periodic returns on the working of the Act, Consolidated statement for the year 1958 is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix IV, annexure No. 74.]

Cheating cases in Cut-Piece Trade in Delhi

2119. Shri Goray: Shri Jadhav:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 191 on the 12th February, 1959 and state:

- (a) the number of cases of cheating and fraud in the cut-piece trade in pelhi registered by the police during the years 1957 and 1958; and
- (b) the modus operands of these cheats?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri G. B. Pant): (a) 1957 15 1958 9

(h) It is reported that in the cutpiece trade, cloth is sold by weight and not by length. Mal-practices are resorted to in the process of weighment etc.

Settlers in Andaman and Nicobar Islands

2120. Shri Ajit Singh Sarhadi: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of families settled in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands upto the end of 1958; and
- (b) the States to which such families belong?

The Deputy Minister of Home Affairs Shrimati Alva): (a) 22,93 families,

(b) State-wise break up of families is as follows:---

West Bengal	2,147
Kerala ·	125
Madras	12
Burma Evacuees	5
Mahe (Pondicherry)	4
TOTAL	 2,293

Expenditure by Ministry of Home Affairs

2121. Shri Rajendra Singh: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the sum budgeted for 1958-59 under demand 65 sub-head A 2(1)(4) has since been disbursed; and
- (b) if so, the details of the items on which the said amount was spent?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri G. B. Pant): (a) and (b). A statement showing the total amount disbursed and the details thereof is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix IV, annexure No 75]

Canteen Raramchari Union

2122. Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Canteen Karamchari Union has complained about the non-enforcement of the provisions of the Delhi Shops and Establishments Act to the Central

Secretariat Canteens in South Block (New Delhi) run departmentally by the Ministry of Home Affairs; and

(b) if so, action proposed to be taken in the matters?

The Deputy Minister of Home Affairs (Shrimati Alva): (a) Certain representations have been received from the employees of the Canteens regarding the terms and conditions of their appointment, hours of work, overtime allowance, bonus, setting up of provident fund etc.

(b) The employees are already getting overtime allowance. The canteens are being reorganised and the existing rules etc., will be revised taking into account the representations already received.

Tribal Welfare

2123. Shri Ignace Beck: Shri S. C. Gedsora:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No 801 on the 10th December, 1958 and state the nature of action taken by the State Governments/Union Territories on the recommendation of the Central Advisory Board for Tribal welfare?

The Deputy Minister of Home Affairs (Shrimati Alva): A statement showing the information on the recommendation in question received so far from the State Government/Union Territories, is laid on the Table of the House. [See Appendix IV, annexure No 76.]

Banking Companies Act, 1949

- 2124. Shri Maniyangadan: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:
- (a) the number of banks from the Travancore-Cochin area of Kerala State which applied to the Bank of India for licence coming into force of the Companies Act, 1949; and
- (b) the number of licences issued and the number of applications that have been rejected?

The Minister of Finance (Shri Morarji Demi): (a) 167.

(b) Licences have been issued to 4 but refused to 27 of these banks. 30 banks have converted themselves into non-banking companies or gone into liquidation or have otherwise ceased to function. The applications of the remaining 106 banks are still under consideration.

Basic College at Kakraban in Tripura

2125. Shri Dasaratha Deb: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that a second Basic College has been started in Tripura at Kakraban;
- (b) it so, from which financial year has money been allocated and spent for staff and equipments for it,
- (c) what is the present strength of this training college;
- (d) how many lecturers have been appointed for this college up to date; and
 - (e) when they were appointed?

The Minister of Education (Dr. K. L. Shrimali): (a) to (e). A statement is laid on the Table of the Lok Sabha.

STATEMENT

- (a) It has been sanctioned but bas not started functioning yet.
- (b) Equipment from the financial year 1957-58, staff and equipment from the financial year 1958-59.
 - (c) Nil.
 - (d) Three lecturers.
- (a) On 3-9-1958, 3-1-1959 and 16-2-1959 respectively.

Life Insurance Corporation

2126. Shri Anirudh Sinka:
Shrimati Ila Palchoudhuri:

Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Life Insurance Corporation has formulated a five year plan for its business expansion; and
 - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of Finance (Shri-Morarji Desai): (a) Yes, Sir. A tentative plan has been prepared.

(b) The Corporation proposes to expand the new business production to Rs 1,000 crores per annum in five years' time by progressive steps, the targets for each of the five years being

Year	Rs. in crores.
1959 1960	415
	525 . 665 820
1961	. 665
1962	
1963	1000

English-Medium Schools

2127. Shri Jadhav: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of English-mediumschools in each Union Territory;
- (b) whether it is a fact that there is a big demand for English-medium-schools in Delhi;
- (c) what was the number of such schools in Delhi in the year 1946-47:
- (d) the break up of students of boths sexes in such schools in Delhi; and
- (e) what is the monthly fee in such schools in Delhi?

The Minister of Education (Dr. E. L. Shrimali): (a), (c), (d) and (e). The required information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Lok Sabha in due cours.

(b) No survey has been made to ascertain whether there is a high demand for English-medium schools

in Delhi but judging from the fact that the English-medium schools in Delhi are overcrowded and maintain large waiting lists of admission seekers, these schools continue to be in great demand.

Written Answers

Central Excise Collectorate, Mysers

Shri D. A. Katti: 2128. Shri Dige: Shri Balasahob Salunke:

. Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

- (a) what is the total number of Scheduled Castes Employees in the Central Excise Collectorate, Mysore;
- (b) whether the percentage reserved for the Scheduled Castes is maintained;
- (c) if not, what is the percentage of such employees grade-wise;
- (d) what is the reason for the non-fulfilment of the reserved quota?

The Minister of Finance (Shri Morarji Denai): (a) The total number of Scheduled Caste Employees in the Central Excise Collectorate, Mysore is 68.

- (b) No, Sir. It has not been possible to maintain the prescribed percentage in the reserved vacancies.
- (c) The percentage of Scheduled Castes Employees in grades which are open to direct recruitment (i.e. wherein reservation is made) is as below:—

work- for

Total Quota No. of Percen-

S.C. tage

Grade	ing Stren- gth	direct recruit- ment	Em- ploy- ees	(see Note 2 below)
Supdt. Class II Inspectors . Sub-Inspec-	18	Nil 60%	1 5	5·5% 1·7%
tors U.D.C. L.D.C. Steno-typists Class IV	201 78 185 26 512	100 % 33	16 3 19 2 23	8% 3·8% 10·3% 7·7% 4·3%

Note I.—Recruitment to Class, I Service is made through Competitive Examination held by the U.P.S.C. on all Indis basis and hence no separate reservation is made collectorate-wise.

Note 2.—The percentage of Scheduled Castes Employees has been worked out with reference to the total strength of employees in the various grades and is not with reference to the reserved queta for direct recruitment.

(d) The reason for not filling up the reserve quots to the full extent is non-availability of suitable personnel. All-possible efforts have been made to recruit as many Scheduled Castes candidates as possible by (I) requesting Employment Exchanges to sponsor Scheduled Caste candidates as were available on their live registers (2) addressing the Scheduled Caste Federation in the State and (3) putting up notices regarding examination in important offices at Bangalore at the time of each examination.

Violation of Fereign Exchange Regulations

2129. Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 328 on the 17th February, 1959 and state under what category is the case of Shri B. D. Goenka, Director, Indian Express, being proceeded against for violation of foreign Exchange Regulation, Act?

The Minister of Finance (Shri Morarji Desai): Attention in this connection is invited to items 23 and 24 of Annexure 'A' of the reply to the Unstarred Question No. 514 in the Lok Sabha' on the 20th February, 1959 where detailed of the two prosecutions launched against, and fines imposed upon. Shri B. D. Goenka have been given. Certain enquiries now in progress relate to the question whether he has received any sums in the U.K. not in conformity with the Foreign Exchange Regulations.

Payments from Iron and Steel Equalication Fund ...

Acres - 10 10 1

CARRY IN THE SEC.

2130, Shrimati Ross Chakravarity: Will the Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 346 on the 17th February, 1959 and state:

(a) what were the handling and dicidental charges under T.C.A. programme paid from the Steel Equalisation Fund from 1955 to 1958 (yearwise):

- (b) the cost of Price and Accounts Division Organisation from 1955 to 1958 (year-wise); and
- (c) the payments made to re-rollers during the same period?

The Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel (Sardar Swaran Singh): {a}. (b) and (e). The information is given below:

		1955 Rs.	1956 Rs.	1957 Ra.	1957 Rs.
(5)	Handling & incidental charges under T.C.A. programm:	6,001,968	1,922,948	3,871,155	6,517,600
(b)	Cost of Price & Accounts Division organisation.	3,88,776	440,333	444,153	579,807
(c)	Payments made to Re-rollers.	3,505,099	4,201,599	3,205,530	3,040,231

Scientific and Technical Terminology

2131. Shri Ram Krishan Gupta: Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have any proposal to evolve a common scientific and technical terminology in all Indian languages; and
- · (b) if so, what steps have been taken in this direction so far, or are being contemplated in the matter?

The Minister of Education (Dr. K. L. Shrimali): (a) and (b). The Government of India set up a Board of Scientific Terminology in 1950 to evolve a uniform scientific and technical vocabulary in Hindi, to be adopted in the other regional languages also. as far as possible. The work is in progress.

Oil Experts to Madras

2132. Shri Elayaporumal: Will the Minister of Steel, Mines and Pael be pleased to state:

(a) whether oil experts were sent by the Government to find out the oil resources in Madras State; and

(b) if so, the names of the places in Madras visited by them?

The Minister of Mines and Oil (Shri K. D. Malaviya): (a) Yes. Sir.

(b) Neyvelli, Tiruchirapalli, Cuddatore and Niniyur areas of Madras State.

Report of the Building Project Team

. .

2133. Shri P. C. Borooah: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that an Italian expert on building and structural construction arrived in New Delhi on the 25th February, 1959 at the invitation of the Building Project Team of the Committee Plan Projects:
 - (b) if so, for what purpose; and
- (c) what amount was spent for the visit?

The Minister of Finance (Shri Morarii Desai); (a) Yes.

(b) Dr. Morandi is an internationally known authority on bridges construction and structural engineering. He was invited for consultation on certain problems of bridge engineering encountered by the Buildings Projects Team of the Committee on Plan Projects, with a view to ensuring maximum economy and efficiency in the construction of bridges in India.

(c) The expert did not charge any consultation fee and Government has incurred expenditure only on the cost of passages, internal travelling and stay in India. The total cost in rupees is about Rs. 11,500. No foreign exchange payment is involved.

Colonies for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in Punjab

2124. Shri Daljit Singh: Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state the amount allotted by the Central Government to the Punjab Government for the construction of colonies for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes during 1959-60?

The Deputy Minister of Home Affairs (Shrimati Alva): Under the schemes for the welfare of Scheduled Tribes both in the State Plan and the Centrally Sponsored Programme, no provision has been made for the construction of colonies. It is not possible to give any information regarding the provision made for the construction of colonies for Scheduled Castes during 1959-60, at this stage, as the break up of the Plan allocation for 1959-60 amongst specific schemes has not yet been received from the Punjab Government.

दूसरे कार्यालयों में भेजे गये गृह-कार्य मंत्रालय के कमंबारी

२१३%. भी सरकू पांडे: क्या गृह-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) १६५६ में अब तक गृह-कार्य मंत्रालय के कितने पदाधिकारी दूसरे कार्यालयों में भेजे गये और उनके पद क्या हैं; और
- (स) उन्हें प्रतिनियुक्ति मसे के रूप में कुल कितनी राशि दी गई ?

गृह-कार्य मंत्री (भी गो० ४० पन्त) : (क) कोई नहीं ।

(स) सवाल नहीं उठता ।

12.02 hrs.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

ANNUAL REPORT OF HINDUSTAN STEEL
PRIVATE LATE.

The Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel (Sardar Swaran Singh): I beg to lay on the Table, under sub-section (1) of Section 639 of the Companies Act, 1956, a copy of the Annual Report of Hindustan Steel Private Limited for the year 1957-58 along with the Audited Accounts. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-1803/59].

BOMBAY VILLAGE INDUSTRIES BOARD (RECONSTRUCTION) ORDER

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Datar): I beg to lay on the Table, under subsection (5) of Section 4 of the Inter-State Corporations Act, 1957, a copy of the Bombay Village Industries Board (Reconstruction) Order, 1959, published in Notification No. G.S.R. 200 dated the 13th March, 1959. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-1304/59].

MESSAGE FROM RAJYA SABHA

Secretary: Sir, I have to report the following message received from the Secretary of Rajya Sabha:—

"In accordance with the provisions of rule 130 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Rajya Sabha, I am directed to inform the Lok Sabha that the Rajya Sabha, at its sitting held on the 11th March, 1959, agreed to the Parliament (Prevention of Disqualification) Bill, 1958, as further amended by the Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 24th February, 1959, in the manner following:—

Enacting Formula

That at page 1, line 1,—
for "Ninth Year" substitute
"Tenth Year".

Clause 1

- 2. That at page 1,—(i) in line 3, omit "(1)";(ii) omit line 3.
- 3. That at page 1, line 4,--for "1958" substitute "1959"."

COMMITTEE ON PRIVATE MEM-BERS' BILLS AND RESOLU-TIONS

THURTY-EIGHTH REPORT

Sardar Hukam Singh (Bhatinda):

They to present the Tmrty-eighth
Report of the Committee on Private
Members' Bills and Resolutions

PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE

THIRTEENTH REPORT

Shri A. C. Guha (Barasat). I beg to present the Thirteenth Report of the Public Accounts Committee on the Appropriation Accounts of the Government of Delhi for the year 1954-55 and 1955-56 and Finance Accounts for the year 1954-55 and Audit Reports thereon

ESTIMATES COMMITTEE

FORTY-SECOND REPORT

Shri Thirumala Rao (Kakında): I beg to present the Forty-second Report of the Estimates Committe on the action taken by Government on the recommendations contained in the Fifty-fifth Report of the Estimates Committee (First Lok Sabha) on the Ministry of Defence—Ordnance Factories (Staff Matters and Training).

12.55 hrs.

*DEMANDS FOR GRANTS (contd).

Ministry of Law

pir Speaker: The House will now take up discussion and voting on Demands Nos. 70 and 71 relating to the Ministry of Law for which five hours have been allotted.

rion. Members desirous of moving cut motions may hand over at the Table within 15 minutes the numbers of the selected cut motions. I shall treat them as moved, if the Members in whose names those cut motions stand are present in the House and the motions are otherwise in order.

 β hri Khadilkar (Ahmednagar): $\tau \sigma^{\beta e}$ —

Mr. Speaker: Is the hon. Member practising lawyer?

Shri Khadilkar: No I am really thankful to you for giving me an apportunity—a common man—to express my point of view regarding the Ministry of Law

Mr Speaker: I do not think it is a disqual-fication if he is not a lawyer, only, I just wanted to know

Shri Khadilkar: I happen to be a lsw graduate; I practised only for six months Unfortunately I was prevented from practising any further and from entering the precincts of the courts

Mr. Speaker: I believe once a lawver, always a lawyer

Shri Khadilkar: Yes, in that

भी खुग बक्त राय : मैंने कोई कट मोशन तो नहीं दिया, लेकिन बोलना बाहता है।

^{*}Moved with the recommendation of the President.

DEMAND NO TO-MINISTRY OF LEW '

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:

Demands

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 23,70,000 be granted to the President to complete the sum necessary to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the Bist day of March, 1960, in respect of 'Ministry of Law' "

DEMAND No. 71-ELECTION

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved

"That a sum not exceeding Rs 83,35,000 be granted to the President to complete the sum necessary to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1960, in respect of 'Elections' "

Shri Braj Raj Sing rose-

Mr. Speaker: I will give them all an opportunity next time

Shri Khadilkar: When discussing the Ministry of Law, naturally, the recent report of the Law Commission will have te be referred to When I got that document I was really puzzled because, after nearly 80 years, and after more than ten years of freedom, an opportunity was gaven to emment jurists this land to review the whole position of law and lew administration

As we well know, in Europe, after the French revolution, the Napoleonic Code, as it is called, was enacted because, after a political change, the necessity for a change in the law administration arises, for, the law administration then becomes outmoded all over the world The same thing happened so far as the Soviet Union is concerned In the Soviet Union, after the revolution, they had to give very serious thought to the institution of law and law administration, to both,

Now, this Law Commission which seems to be very much concerned with the appointment of judges, their age of retirement tenure of office. pension benefits and the code of social conduct, has unfortunately completely ignored this basic aspect which absolutely essential for any social reform or if we want to implement our social objective Though we had not experience of the French revolution fortunately, nor of the Russian revolution, still, a big change has taken place The alien colonial rule has ended and when a new rule, a representative Government, was established in this land, it was expected of the Law Commission to give some thought to the changed circumstances and apply their mind to them, instead of applying their mind in a narrow groove and suggesting some remedies which are not going to help the administration of law or the administration of justice Unfortunately, it is found in the report itself that Commission consider that some sort of basic approach would prove disastrous. It is in the report itself

for Grants

Therefore, my submission is this. The Ministry of Law must now consider one thing that after the foreign domination has come to an end. a change has taken place, how are they going to meet the situation from the point of view of the common man? The population of the common man, in that sense, in this country is two crores or five per cent of the total population and the common man is engaged in some way or other in litigation, which is the most unproductive aspect of his labour When such a vast population is engaged m litigation, it is absolutely essential to provide a machinery of law administration which will give them quick justice and justice at not much cost. because justice delayed in the final analysis is justice denied In several cases, because one party does not happen to have enough means, it cannot go into the juridical hierarchy of all the courts and expect to get justice at the highest level.

They have suggested some reforms of a superficial nature. They also feel that something is wrong, but they have not given enough thought as to what is the malady or the main disease. According to me, the main thing is that there is a big histus or a big gulf between the changing dynamic pattern of our society and social objective and the old colonial British law system that is still persisting here. What is our law system here. I would like to ask the hon. Law Minister. Fortunately, he is a young than and he can apply his fresh mind to the whole problem. In this land, ha would be considered most immature even to sit along with the Cabinet bolleagues where for the cabinet the age of eligibility and maturity is 60 or more, but he has been fortunate enough to get a place along with them. I congratulate him for that.

Mr. Speaker: Let there be no discussion of age.

Shri Khadilkar: I just mentioned it in passing. In the given situation, what is the position of the Indian law? Foreign domination has gone, but whatever traditions of law, method of administration of law, codified and procedural law, the main trunk remains. We are drafting some type of legislation here and there, but the main trunk remains. And, the question is whether that main body of statute law and procedural law really meeting the needs of the people.

While laying down the foundation stone of the new building of the Supreme Court, the President of the Indian Republic had to observe that the High Courts and especially the Supreme Court still function in an atmosphere of British precedents, although in many matters, light has to be sought from other sources. I am not giving the full quotation. But the Law Commission did not care to look at that observation also. They have recognised that something is wrong somewhere and some radical change the Commission would abhor the useof that word-word 'radical'-some change must be brought about. But let us examine the decisions have come to.

First and foremost, they considered that the appointment of judges must be entirely left to the Chief Justices. of the High Courts and of the Supreme Court and the Government should be a sort of advisory capacity. I do not subscribe to this suggestion. For one thing, we can hold the Law Minister or the Home Minister responsible for anything happening anywhere in the administration of justice if they are before us. The spirit of the Indian Constitution is that judiciary will be subordinate to the legislature. Let that be understood once and for all This is a wrong notion. They have not got enough time to look to their own performance.

I may give an instance. Look at the labour tribunals, the bank award and the decision of the Supreme Court; look at the wage board award regarding the journalists. A good volume of legislation regarding labour matters is taking place in this country. There is an attempt to bring round labour, to eliminate strife and settledisputes through arbitration. If it were by negotiation and settlement on the spot, they have got some finality. In arbitration matters, it goes on and ultimately some point arises and somebody goes to the Supreme Court

I do not doubt the integrity. judicial acumen, etc., of the Supreme Court judges. But they have never seen that unless these matters are expeditiously decided, the workers or those who are seeking relief through arbitration, would not benefit by it. Look at the decision regarding the bank award. On technical groundsone man was absent—the wholeaward was upset. Is it proper? Is it meeting the needs of the situation? Let us consider this aspect. When they consider their tenure, their retirement benefits and other things.

[Shri Khadilkar]

they ought to take into consideration the social objective of the State while delivering their judgement. I do not question their integrity, honesty and learning; I do admit all that, but unfortunately, the Indian judiciary, with a few exceptions, has never shown that social outlook, not shared that common experience with other people which they ought to share. Of course, they have laid down their code of conduct; they should remain in aloofness and isolation.

Mr. Speaker: The hon, Member has rightly said just now that any organ including the judiciary ought to be subordinate to the legislature. If that is so, it is for the legislature to lay down the law and for the judges to interpret it. Does he want to clothe the judges with the authority make law incidentally by adapting or adjusting themselves to social environments and conditions. hon. Member is able to reflect and react; does he want the judges also to react like that?

Shri Khadilkar: I will explain. The courts have a right to interpret whether we have transgressed limits of legislation or the fundamentals of the Constitution. In that way, they are sentinels of law; that .I do concede. I would like to make A positive suggestion and I have made it on the floor of the House. The time has come when Government and the Law Minister should give serious thought whether this purview of social legislation should be excluded from the purview of the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court or the High Court.

Mr. Speaker: That is another matter altogether. Therefore, to say that they are not reacting to what is happening, etc., is not relevant. Does he say that in theory, they should also become politicians and react to what is happening?

Shri Khadikar: I do not mean that. I am referring to aloofness, etc. On reading the report, I was puzzled—they should not mix with the people

and so on. In England, the judiciary, the high dignatories meet in a particular club; they are supposed to keep themselves aloof.

Mr. Speaker: Even the Speaker of the House of Commons does not move as freely as the Speaker here.

Shri Khadilkar: There is that seclusiveness. Are they not to keep themselves abreast of the social changes that are taking place? That does not affect their integrity.

Shri P. R. Patel (Mahsana): It is for the Legislature to pass the law," not for the courts.

Shri Khadilkar: Therefore, all these concepts of exclusiveness borrowed from the British tradition. unfortunately. They have laid down a code of conduct for the judges. But there I find there is no mention of pan, whether they should smoke or they should indulge in temperate drinking. But there is no prohibition like Britain if they meet in a club where they discuss social gossip or social scandal, as happens in England I have read about this in a very good lawyer's biography and that is why I am mentioning it.

Apart from this, what I would like to urge upon the Law Min. ter is regarding appointments after retirement, that is, whether they should accept political office. Now it is very unfortunate that a man like Chagla, who was a member of the Commission, has, before that recommended in the Law Commission's Report, accepted one office. Of course, he never aspired for any office. He accepted it in the national cause, and I am very happy that he has been posted in America. But still it contradicts his own recommenda-; tion. I am very sorry. I sometimes feel: "what has happened to our public life?" when men who say one thing, advocate one morality do not act up to that. They have not acted up to it. I can give you one instance:

Shri Achar (Mangalore): They are exceptions to the general rule

Shri Khadilkar: I would like to give you one instance, and that would throw some light on this

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman (Kumba-konam). May I point out that while the hon. Member is perfectly entitled to say that even before the report was placed on the Table of the House a person who was a signatory to the report has himself accepted an office, to say that he aspired for it is hitting below the belt. He did not aspire for it

Shri Khadilkar: Excuse me, I did not say

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman: He is not present here to defend himself

Shri Khadilkar: So far as accepting a particular office is concerned, it is a call of national duty, and he is rightly serving our country, to which I have already made a remark. But I was speaking in a general vein

Here I would like to draw the attention of the Law Minister to the firing that took place in Bombay. The All India Civil Liberties Union, with a distinguished lawyer as Chairman, Shri Das, tried to appoint a judicial enquiry committee, and the Secretary of the Civil Liberties Union went round all over the country Every retared High Court judge was approach ed and nobody was prepared to undertake the task Why? Because, all of them had something dangling before them-some committee, some appoint That was the conclusion reached by the heads of the Civil Liberty Union Is it a good state of affairs, I want to ask the Law Minis-Under the British regime many people used to come forward if there If there was firing was miustice people used to come forward and offer their services Today they are not prepared to take that attitude, cause perhaps their chances might be belloar

410(AI)LSD-4

Mr. Speaker: What about the laweyrs? There are thousands of lawyers

Shri Khadilkar: We wanted a judicial enquiry and we wanted an ex-judge of the High Court to preside over the enquiry .

Mr. Speaker: The hon Member should conclude

Shri Khadilkar, I wili very briefly refer to some other points. As regards the All India Judicial Service, I think that is a good recommendation. As regards the procedure, there was a specific term of reference that they should try to simplify the procedure Now, what is the experience of the common people? What is the expenence of people living in the villages and small places? They come m contact with only the local criminal court or revenue court where there is such an amount of delay. The delay under the present procedure is so much that one cannot imagine how many days are wasted, how much valuable time and money are wasted

Recently, the Law Minister had been to the Soviet Union He has seen how soon justice is administered there I do not think there is a wrong impression here that there is no system of administration of justice there Here, according to the Commission, the normal time we take is 18 months I understand that in the Soviet Union when there are no complicated matters the decision is given in 15 days I hope if I am not right, the hon Minister will correct me. I have ascertained that this is the position there Why should we not adopt a similar procedure which will simplify everything and will cause less burden to the poor litigants?

Shri M. P. Mishra (Begusarai); is there any law in the Soviet Union

Shri Khadilkar: You have not studied their law system Do you mean to say that 20 crores of people are just living without any legal system? You are mistaken

Shri M. P. Mishra: Then you have not read Mr Khrushchev's statement. 6943

Shri Khadilkar: I have enough books with me on the subject.

Then I want to refer to another thing. The Commission has stated that we should get talent from the bar, that is, Bombay and Calcutts. Here, I want to point out one thing. There are many districts where there are very good lawyers. They should not be completely excluded from recruitment, because my experience is....

Mr. Speaker: For High Court judgeship?

Shri Khadilkar: Yes. There are good lawyers at the districts. Unfortunately, they do not come to the limelight. My experience is that in Poona, there are at least half a dozen lawyers. They will stand anywhere in competition with any of the High Court Judges that are now being appointed. I entirely agree that the caste consideration or communal or regional consideration should not be brought in. But, situated as we are, whatever we do, some type of political consideration is bound to creep in. But the important thing is that under the Constitution, they are free once they are appointed. Then it is a test whether they resist political pressure or not. It is their duty to resist political pressure. They should be above all pressures, including social pressures, and they should see that justice is done.

Then, I will skip over one or two points and refer to one point. In the small places what we find is that under the new legislation, particularly revenue legislation, lawyers are not permitted to appear. Some of the lawyer friends have reported that really the litigants are at a disadvantage because the mamlatdar or the revenue officials are supposed to dispose of all the cases. So, I would like to draw the attention of the Law Minister to this point. Is it good to have a provision like this? Or, should the lawyers be permitted to appear in such cases also? That is one important aspect which I would like to bring to his notice.

So far as the administration of law is concerned. I would like to observe in conclusion one or two things. As I said in the beginning, this Commission was appointed after 80 years to investigate and lay down the law for a society which is dynamic with a definite social objective. welfare State or socialism or whatever it is. They have not done that. So, it is the duty of the Law Minister, while giving his careful thought to the recommendations regarding bar, All India service, appointment, recruitment, tenure of office, pensions and all that to look into this aspect also. As I said earlier. I would repeat that out of a political upheaval like the French Revolution the Napoleanic Code became the statute law. In the Soviet Union, after the early period of 1925, all the old jurists were called and all the procedural matters, evidence law and all the other aspects of law, were studied and they have evolved a law system. Whatever we might feel about that law system, I would like to point out to my friend that it is attuned to their political objectives. That must be taken into consideration. The political objective, the law system is bound to serve and must serve. If it lags behind, it is a drag on progress. That must be clearly understood. Therefore, the system of law has a social objective. Of course, it is said that law is conservative. it is static. Therefore, it is very important that the Minister of Law takes note of this fact and attunes the system with the changing phenomenon. I hope and trust that the Minister of Law will take note of this aspect while giving his thought to the Commission's report.

I once again thank you for giving me a commoner an opportunity to express the reactions of commoner to the legal system.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee (Calcutta—Central) Mr. Speaker, Sir, it is with

much pleasure that I participate somewhat accidentally in the discussion of the Demands for Grants in respect of the Ministry of Law. My pleasure is enhanced by the fact that it gives me an opportunity of reviving, so to speak, an almost faded relationship with the profession which I had at one time joined It also gives me an opportunity in this House to cross swords.

Mr. Speaker: Why not say. honour to belong? The hon. Member said, I had the pleasure to join. He might easily say, the honour to belong. The profession is such

Shri Nath Pai (Rajapur) The amendment should be accepted

Shri H. N. Mukerice: We all know, you and I perhaps have a soft corner for the profession of Law in spite of whatever criticisms we might utter against it in this House from time to time I am sure you will appreciate my feelings when I say that I am happy that I have this opportunity of crossing swords with my hon. friend the Minister of Law. But, I say crossing swords in a very metaphorical sense My real object is to help him as far as I can in the very onerous 10b which he has got

The importance of this occasion is increased by the fact that we have recently had the Law Commission producing its voluminous reports Perhaps we shall have a further opportunity of discussing the detailed recommendations of the Law Commission But, since we are now discussing the Ministry of Law's Demands for grants, perhaps a few observations in regard to the Law Commission's report may not be out of place.

There are certain matters which I feel the Ministry of Law should bear in mind, particularly, because the times through which we are passing are of a dynamic character and social transformation is professedly being sought to be achieved in our country and our law must keep pace with the development of that social change. My feeling is that what we require very urgently today is an approach towards law and also a new attempt to simplify the law which our country will have to put into effect. I say this because the entire approach to law as we have learnt it so far has been the approach of the men of property. If I may quote the late Mr. Justice Holmes of the U.S.A., he said at one time in a classic judgment that there was an inarticulate major premise behind the back of the mind of every lawyer, so to speak, and that was the divine right of the men of property That is to say, the law that we know so far has a bias in favour of the rights of property. When we are going over to a socialist pattern of society, what happens is that the right of property has got to be adjusted with the rights of citizens and the inter-relation between men of property and those who have not got property in the present state of things. Therefere, it is very important that we bring to bear upon the task of legal reform something of a revolutionary concept I fear the Law Commission has not approached its task with that idea at all

On the country, the Law Commission has tried to bring about a few reforms here and there, to tabulate so to speak whatever laws and regulations we have inherited from the British times, with a few accretions which we have had in the period of Independence, and more or less left it at that, by adding only a few comments in regard to the manner in which we may improve upon the functioning of law and the substance of law in the period which is ahead. I fear that it is not the right approach. It is very important that the Government comes out with a very clear pronouncement in regard to the necessity of relating our law to the new are developing. social norms which It is very important, therefore, that we try to simplify the law. After all. in a socialist society much of the accretions which have made our law such a cumbrous and complicated matter would be absolutely unnecessary. When a basic change happens in our history, we find that simplification of law has actually taken place. In the

[Shri H. N Mukerjee]

case of the French Revolution, the Code Napoleon came into the picture because it was possible to simply the substantive and adjective law was possible at that time to bring about changes in the matter of the law and in the manner of the administration of the law In Kamal's Turkey. even though in many ways it was not exactly a revolutionary change, all the same, it was something of a revolutionary alteration which came in Kamal's Turkey In that case, we also saw that an adaptation of the Code Napoleon was made for the purpose of Turkish legislation

I do not say let us take something from some other country or that sort of thing On the contrary, I say that we in this country have a great tradition of legal talent. In our country, perhaps, the subtleties of jurispruden tial thought reached a certain stature of which we can certainly be proud The Anglo-saxon jurisprudence could be adapted, so to speak, to the conditions of our country because m this country also we had a legal tradition of very great substance and very great substlety We have succeeded m bringing about a kind of co-ordination between Anglo-Saxon concepts of jurisprudence and our own ideas in regard t law So, I do not say that we do without the tradition which we have inherited as well as the result of the impact of the British in this country But, I say at the same time, that since we are now going to have a complete sociological transformation, it is necessary to bring about such changes in the law, such simplification and such basic alterations as would fit in with the new forms of society that we are trying to achieve I say also that now we wish that justice should go to the people rather than that people should have to run after justice to find by way of litigation and adjudication of their claims. Justice has got to be administered in the language of the people

A few weeks later, perhaps, in this House, we shall have an opportunity of discussing as to what exactly the position of Hindi is going to be. Hindi and the other Indian languages will surely have to be employed for the purpose of law, for the purpose of legislation, for the purpose of administration, for the purpose of judicial determination. If we are going to have our Indian languages for this purpose—we surely could have the Indian languages sooner rather than later-it is very necessary to do away with many of these forms of judicial procedure to which we have become so accustomed that we cannot even imagine the absence of them We have today. for example, the idea of citation, of quoting precedents from judgments given many hundred years ago ind any good lawyer like my friend the Law Minister has a library which includes volumes including English reports which start with Bracton, Lytileton, Fortescue and God knows who else and they come to the present Every good lawyer has to go day through, mug up a great deal, and study a number of precedents The whole bias of English administration m regard to law was in favour authority in favour of quoting precedents. This idea of quoting precedents which is only judge made law may have had some kind of validity at one time But, I wonder if in the present set up, when we are going to have our law formulated in our Indian languages and administered through the medium of Indian languages, we can afford the intellectual luxury of that kind of citation which has taken place almost automatically because we could accept and adapt to our needs to the English system of jurisprud-I feel sure, if we are serious about the change over from English to our Indian languages, Hindi and other national languages of this coun try, it is very necessary that we go ahead as fast as we can with the task of simplification of the law, with the task of moving away those cobwebs and unnecessary subtlety and sophistication which have entered into the very corpus of our law and to start so to speak, on almost a clean slate

6950

I say these things with great respect as far as the legal thinking of our country is concerned. But I fear that our legal thinking has not been very sharp, very courageous and very origmal so far In regard to jurisprduence, our country's scholars have not, unfortunately, contributed very much in the modern period, and in regard to legal teaching also, we are more or less imitative in our approach and this whole thing has got to be changed, so that our law may stand now in a very different category from what it has been before

Demands

From this I wish to turn to the idea of the separation of the judiciary and the executive which has been emphasized in several cut motions presented before the House, and I feel that in spite of whatever the Home Ministry might say m regard to this matter,and I fear that perhaps occasionally the Home Ministry is more of a hurdle than the Law Minister is perhaps prepared to concede-whatever views of the Home Ministry might be in regard to the separation of the judiciary and the executive, the Law Ministry has to put its foot down and see to it that this concept which is absolutely in conformity with the highest judicial thought is actually translated into practice in our country with the least avoidable delay this is something to which I wish the Law Minister applies his mind very carefully

Many of the cut motions have referred to the idea that there is a kind of suspicion-maybe not justified, but even so, the fact is there-that the judiciary at the moment is not perhaps as free from executive influences as it ought to be I say this with very great respect to our judiciary, but I do feel that, as the famous maxim of law suggests that law should not only be administered but it also should seem that it is being properly administered, our judiciary has got to behave so that it is really. like Caesar's wife completely above suspicion

Now, what happens is that actually we have encountered instances of what appears to be the likely contamination of the judiciary by executive influence I will refer only to one example I would not mention any particular name After the last general elections were over, there was a Minister who was defeated in the elections. He is a very good friend of mine My relations with him are of a particularly affectionate character, I may even say that, but has defeated and perhaps I also had a hand in his defeat because his constituency was in my area, but almost immediately after his defeat-he was a lawyer-he was appointed a High Court Judge I do not take exception to the appointment at all It was an unexceptionable appointment as far as the man was concerned as far as his qualifications were concerned, but it looked rather odd that a person who stood for election, had been a Minister, and who was defeated at the elections, was appointed straightway to the position of a High Court Judge I do not wish to go on amplifying this matter, but this kind of incident leaves a sour taste in the mouth and it is for the Law Ministry to make up its mind and to plead with the Home Ministry, if pleading becomes necessary, to make sure that these things do not happen. But they have happened, and that is why so many Members appear to be keen on making sure that the judiciary is absolutely free from executive interference

The Law Comm ssion has certain remarks in regard to the appointment of Judges I am sure those remarks are going to be quoted. I am afraid, not having the chance of preparing my speech, properly speaking, I could not get hold of the volumes of the Law Commission's Report from which I could have given quotations about the manner in which judicial appointments appear to have been made I am sure those quotations are going to be put forward before the House but in any case these appointments are very important because the trust the confidence of our people in

[Shr: H N Mukerjee]

6951

the judiciary, it is absolutely essential to maintain, especially when we have a written constitution, when a law passed by even a sovereign body like this Parliament is subject to be declared uters were by the Supreme Court, and therefore we should make sure that the respect of our people for the Judges is not jeopardised by any action on the part of the executive

In regard to the Supreme Court, for instance. I feel, as the Law Commission also has pointed out, that appointments should not be made almost on the basis of seniority, or perhaps on even some less unexceptionable principle, from among High Court Judges Appointments might very well be made from among members of the bar. practising members of the bar, who m ght not be attracted to a High Court Judgeship, but who might very well be attracted to a Supreme Court indgeship' because of certain differentiations which exist as between a High Court Judge and a Supreme Court Judge It is very necessary that we take very careful steps in order to maintain the purity of the Supreme Court

Talk ng about the Supreme Court, I feel that in recent years there has been a multiplication of labour cases which go on appeal especially because the employers have a lot of money which they can throw about, and they can employ very fashionable counsel and spend a lot of money over them As a result of this there is multiplication of labour cases even before the Supreme Court If the Supreme Court is going to exercise its jurisdiction in regard to the labour cases, and I think that in the present circumstances, the Supreme Court ought to exercise 148 jurisdiction in labour cases, I feel there should be a special Industrial Bench I feel that there should be special provision in the Supreme Court for the adjudication of these particular cases and I feel that there should be also a special central agency for conducting these cases before the Supreme Court

I say this because I have noticed what to my way of thinking is an anomaly, and that is the appearance of our Attorney-General on behalf of employers in these labour cases before the Supreme Court I say nothing against the Attorney-General who is a very emment individual, and I say that professionally and from any other point of view he is certainly entitled to accept briefs from employers and appear for them before the Supreme Court I have nothing against him in particular, but I do say that the appearance of the Attorney-General who is the principal legal official of this country, a country which is wedded to the socialist pattern of society, a country whose Government says it wants workers' participation in the management of industry, a country whose Government says it wants equalisation of opportunity for all, a country whose Government says that the ostentation and vulgarity of the inequality of incomes has got to be fought out and rooted out altogether when the Attorney-General is appointed by that country, we should certainly expect that the Attorney-General being the symbol, so to speak of the judicial character of the State should not take such briefs as appear to be partial towards a section of the community whose vested interests it is our purpose to subvert. That is the only sense in having a socialist pattern of society, and therefore. I feel that Government should carefully consider the feasibility the desirability the ethicality of the Attorneyappearing on of employers in labour cases And on the whole, Government should apply its mind to the idea of having a central agency for conducting cases. particularly labour cases, before the Supreme Court

We have noticed also from time to time that the question of the All-India Bar is hanging fire for so long said the Law Commission has made certain observations in that regard I fear, there again, it is on account of the

posit.on of English, it is on account of the position of Anglo-Sexon jurisprudence and adjective Anglo-Saxon law, it is on account of the hierarchy of position, of prestige, which we have inherited from the British days, that we are unable to have an All-India Bar. It is because we have not got a simplified procedure and simplified substantive law that we cannot evolve really an All-India Bar as soon as ever that is possible. But we have to apply our mind to it, and I go back to my first point, namely, simplication of the law which alone will make it possible for an All-India Bar functioning through Indian languages to appear on the scene and to be a really effective proposition.

There is another matter to which I wish to make a reference, and that is that I feel that the Law M nistry has not been very careful in regard to the subordinate legislation activities performed by the different Ministries of the Government of India. In the last Parliament I happened to have been a Member of the Subordinate Legislat on Committee, and even now from time to time I do try to take some interest in the work of the Subordinate Legislation Committee, and I find that the Subordinate Legislation Committee in its reports has pointed out many a time how different Government departments do not look upon the authority of Parliament with that respect which is due to it. The different Ministries of the Government of India formulate regulations and bylaws and all that sort of thing without real respect having been paid to the intention of Parliament Ministries of the Government of India occasionally do not even abide by the directions of Parliament in regard to the laving of the rules on the Table of House in time Many such instances have hopened from time to time, as far as I know from my experience as a member of the Subordinate Legislation Committee in the past, and I feel that the Law Ministry should try to consider this matter very carefully. The Law Ministry should insist that the different Ministries, when they

try to have these by-laws and regulations under the Act, should refer the matter to the Law Ministry, and the Law Ministry should make sure that every single step which is necessitated in the interests of the authority of Parliament is really and truly observed.

I wish also to refer to another matter, and that is that a question has been raised in this House from time to time regarding the appointment of tormer judges of the High Court and of the Supreme Court to other kinds of jobs. We have, for example, a very eminent judge of our country who is now funct oning as an Ambassadorrightly or wrongly, I do not knowabroad There have been criticisms in this House as well as outside in regard. to the appointment of former judges of the High Court and of the Supreme Court as Governors or Ambassadors or anything of that sort.

I do feel that a principle should be laid down that High Court judges or Supreme Court judges, after they ret re, might occasionally be requisitioned because their services are important, but their services may be requisitioned only for purposes of a judicial or a quasi-judicial character. Any job which is even remotely smacking of a political character should not be touched with a pair of tongs by former judges of the High Court or of the Supreme Court.

But the condition of things in our country is such that-I am ashamed to have to say it—that I have myself noticed how ex-judges thrown out, so to speak, at the age of sixty have made a practice of frequenting the corridors of certain Ministries in order to make sure that they get some kind of job. This is terrible; this kind of moral pressure which is being indirectly put upon the judiciary is something which goes against the grain And that is why I feel that you can easily raise the returng age of the High Court iudges from sixty to sixty-five. You can easily give some kind of relief to people who at sixty are perfectly fit and ache for some kind of job to do, and you can easily make such

[Shri H N Mukerjee]

provision as would be making it impossible for former judges of the High Court or of the Supreme Court to be going about sometimes with a beggar's bowl in their hands for political assignments of different sorts

This is a matter to which very serious attention ought to be paid by the Law Ministry, and in conjunction with the Home Ministry it should immediately as soon as ever that is possible, lay down a principle and announce it before Parliament that former judges after retirement should accept only jobs of a judicial or quasi-judicial character

I shall finish now I shall only make a reference to the demand made in some of the cut motions regarding the provision of legal aid, especially for the poor to minimise costs of litiga-I know myself how the Law Minister, when he was practising very eminently in the Calcutta H gh Court had himself taken part in an effort to bring about the provision of legal aid to people who could not themselves command such aid And. therefore, I feel that Government should come forward with a well thought-out scheme The Law Minis ter and some of his friends have been abroad lately, they have been to socialist countries, I am happy to say, to countries like the Soviet Union and Poland, and they have noticed how m those countries, in spite of the com plicated nature of their economy, they have succeeded in simplifying law and procedure, and they have succeeded in making sure that nobody is handicapped on account of not having enough money to prosecute one's de fence in court Therefore, I feel that after his visit to the socialist countries in particular, he should draw upon that experience and collate that with his own wide experience in this country and help to bring about a method of securing ample and adequate legal aid so that the poor lift gants of our country might get some relief

There are some other points, but I feel I have not got much time to dilate on them Besides, there are other Members who are very likely to speak with much greater professional capability than I can muster after so many years of absence from the Bar

I thank you for the opportunity you have given me for taking part in this discussion.

Shri Nath Pai. In the rather dui! report presented by the Law Ministry there are two aspects which deserve very special mention, namely magnificent work done and being done continuously for this country by the Election Commission, which comes within the purview of the Law Ministry, and the monumental work done by the Law Commission I do not know if the recent promotion given to the Law Minister was a mark of appreciation of his undoubted talents, or the part of the Union Government, or an appreciation the part of the Government of role that the Law Ministry is to play in this country in enforcing the rule of law I trust that it is the latter that was the main criterion in this new promotion

I do feel, and there would not be any dispute in this House on pomt, that the rule of law is the major distinguishing mark of a demo cracy, the one characteristic feature which distinguishes it and separates it from other forms of government If we want to see that it is established in this country, then the heavy hand of the Home Ministry should lifted as quickly as possible and as effectively as possible from everything that has to do even remotely with the administration of justice in this country I am not likely to be misunderstood that I was wanting to cast any aspersions on the holder of the Home Minister's office right now it is the principle with which I am concerned

Having agreed that it is the rule of law that will have to be enforced and upheld in this country, perhaps, it will be in the fitness of things that

I define what I mean by the rule of law. I am very sorry that my hon friend Shri Khadilkar is not in the House, because I would have liked to bring to his notice the very sinister implications of what he has said regarding the judiciary being required to conform to the requirements of the executive Shri H N Mukerjee perhaps has stated the case much better and more effectively when he said that a law should be framed to embody the new social ideals of the society. but to suggest that the judiciary should be subordinated and should conform to the executive is a very highly pernicious and sinister doctrine to be pro-_mulgated in a democracy

I shall be pointing out what we mean by the rule of law

It is the body of precepts of fundamental individual legal rights, permeating institutions of Government which are vested with appropriate power of enforcement, and those procedures by which such precepts may be applied to make those rights effective."

I think that rather than going on just having this humdrum duty of making drafts of Bills to be introduced in this House, the Law Ministry of the Union Government will be more and more and in progressive stages charged with the responsibility of efficient judicial administration m this country, because let us remember that to ensure efficient, expeditious and inexpensive enforcement of the rule of law is to strengthen the foundations of the edifice of our democracy The faith in democracy depends to the extent that you are able to instil and inspire faith in the average citizen that justice will be done to him It is, therefore, in this wider perspective, that the role of the judiciary becomes of paramount importance in all our consideration

Under our Constitution, the Supreme Court is established to safeguard the Fundamental rights and liberties of the people. An independent Supreme Court as shown by the working of such Courts in other democratic

countries will have far-reaching influence on the constitutional history and progress of the Union of India. Is *his consideration all the while borne by those who are charged with the heavy responsibility?

This court, let us remember, is constituted as the protector and guardian of the fundamental rights, not only when the dispute is between one citizen and another, but more important is its role when it arbitrates on a dispute which concerns a citizen and executive It is, therefore vital importance that the integrity, the dignity and the impartiality of the Supreme Court is all the while ensured And I should like to point out what is happening in this country with regard to that What has the Law Commission to say with regard to this? Can we say that such a course has been followed? And what a scathing criticism comes in

It is widely felt that communal and regional considerations have prevailed in making the selection of the judges. This has prevented the Court from being looked upon by the subordinate Courts and the public generally with that respect, and, indeed, the reverence to which it is by its status entitled."

Sir it is not with pleasure that I am trying to read this. But it is a very serious thing which we cannot easily look over and pass. Judgments like that, passed by some of the best juridical minds in the country, I hope will not be meeting the usual fate, which reports in this country are normally in the habit of being meted out, that is, being pigeonholded. This is a very serious thing

13 hrs

The whole fabric of our democracy will be tottering if this is what is to happen to that one body which we have created to see that the judiciary functions properly checking the [Shr Nath Pai]

executive when it shows any tendency to exceed in its enthusiasm. What is happening at this level, Sir? Considerations not based on ment, extraneous considerations, are coming in. It is not only at the Supreme Court level that this is happening. This is perhaps the worst indictment of the present regime, perhaps an indictment which cannot be easily lived down, which the Law Commission had to track and put before the nation in such clear, crystal terms What has been the normal practice in the appointment of Judges in the High Courts too? It has been felt by our Chief Ministers that this is a bounty which they can go on conferring, taking into consideration what? Not the merit of the individual concerned, but in many cases, his caste, his community and even his political affiliation Where shall we descend to if this is to be followed? This is not a conjecture that I am referring to. It is the finding of the body which this nation had asked to look into the whole question

"The selection of a person on considerations other than of merit has far-reaching repercussions"

Only yesterday, we were told by the Prime Minister that the rule of seniority very often leads to the survival of the mediocre How dangerous it 18 when it is applied to the judiciary?

The first point I should like to make is that the Chief Justice of India holds a post which will be, for some time to come, till our Constitution is finally interpreted and the functions of the State legislatures and Parliament are decided and the rights of the citizen and the executive are finally defined. vital in the determination of these matters It will go on gradually improving as we progress If, therefore we are not very careful in dealing with such a body, if we go by the considerations of caste, community and political affiliation, and even this minor consideration of seniority, the

Supreme Court will never be having the benefit of such personnel of independence and sturdy character and judgment who alone can take this onerous responsibility of acting as the guardians of the rights of the citizens and interpreting the Constitution.

This is what the Law Commission has to say on the selection of a Judge on considerations other than that of merit

"Such a Judge would naturally not receive from members of the Bar, who would be no strangers to his capacity, the full measure of co-operation which is needed for the proper administration of justice, nor would a Judge so appointed generally have the amount of confidence in himself which alone can contribute to the efficient discharge of his duties. These circumstances are bound to affect adversely the quantity and the quality of the work turned out by such a Judge"

On a subsequent page, we are told the High Court is burdened with personnel whose only qualification turned out to be that the Judge had succeeded in getting the goodwill of the Chief Minister

I will not be labouring this point, but, as I have already said, this is a sinister tendency that has grown The Commission says that the observations made by Justice Kania that 'merit alone should be the basis for selection of the High Court Judges seems to have been completely overlooked' What an indictment in a single sentence is embodied against those who have indulged in this very dangerous game of undermining the independence, dignity and authority of the judiciary? The selection of a person on considerations other than those of merit will have far-reaching consequences, so far as liberty in this, country is concerned

There is this thing at the technical level—where shall we look for a

Demands

Judge? Reference has been made to the fact that we should not confine our eyes only to the Benches of the High Court. There is enough judicial talent in the Bar too. It is an outdated consideration that the man who is young should not be given any thought in making appointments Some very archaic ideas are very often very heavily weighted, more heavily weighted than they should be permitted to be so, against young talent. We have to be convinced that to be appointed to the Bench, he must be 50 Look at the experience of other countries which have a grand record in judicial history Is it necessary to have a declining age to be an efficient and great Judge? It will be far from me to cast aspersions on age. But youth should not be made a liability where merit should be the only criterion

Reference should also be made to another point. It is a sad spectacleand perhaps a sadder comment on our life-that Judges of the High Courts and Supreme Court have stoop down to practice after retirement It is not enough to condemn this practice and make the necessary constitutional changes; it is important that we should see that we do not treat them as miserly and meanly as we do Let us have austerity and economy, wherever it is necessary But let us not be mean and miserly and trying to be economic at the cost of the liberty of the citizen, at the cost of the independence of the judiciary Rs 800 after a life-long service is not a pension that can keep a man in the necessary comfort and dignity to which he is entitled after having done such a vital service in so important an aspect of our life The pensions of the High Court Judges and of the Supreme Court Judges will have to be radically changed when we introduce this amendment to the Constitution to the effect that no Judge shall be allowed to practice after his retirement

I should now like to turn my attention to another very important aspect

which is often overlooked. It is of the separation of the judiciary from the executive This morning there is a reference in one of the national dailies to what is happening in UP, because there has been a failure to separate the judiciary from the executive. I had requisitioned that daily, but I am sorry it has not been supplied to me, because somebody was reading it. It has happened in Uttar Somebody Prad sh had India, that is Bharat, that is Uttar Pradesh' If this is the pattern UP, what must be happening in other parts of the country? It is leading to disastrous consequences A Magistrate went to the extent of te-trying a case A Magistrate can overrule his own judgment, call back the case and re-try the whole case at the instance of the prosecution! It is a permicious thing Article 50 of the Constitution directs you, makes it incumbent on you, to take immediate steps to separate the judiciary completely and immediately from the executive This leads not only to better justice, but the expeditious justice, as the Law Commission has very cogently and conveniently brought to our notice Some say that it will cost us more But is it really too heavy a cost to have better justice, efficient justice. ready justice and reliable justice? I do not think that the cost will be heavy

It is in this connection that I should like to endorse the suggestion for establishing an All india Judicial Service. To the extent that we take active steps to establish this Service, we shall be ensuring that we provide the entire judicial system beginning with the lowest judicial magistracy to the Supreme Court with the requisite type of personnel laving the necessary training and—very important—having the necessary calibre too

Having said this, I should now like to turn my attention to another point, that is, of the methods of investigation that are being followed Only day before yesterday, the House was [Shri Nath Pai]

pleased to vote a very handsome sum for atomic energy. The reason is that we want to remain abreast of the world, on a par with other countries in scientific methods. Then why employ these medieval inethods in the matter of investigation in a very ordinary thing which we experience, the detection of crime? You as • lawyer must have known, Sir, the tragedy of the accused in the districts and taluks The only method the police, by and large, employ it, nor the laboratory. nor the telescope nor the microscope and all the amenities and equipment which science has made available, which modern and civilised countries are taking pride in employing, the only method the police employ is that of the baton, that of the torture chember The Law Ministry must see that we reform totally this trager aspect of crime defection

Recently. I have received a letter A man was arrested. This is not my experience alone. Both the Law Minister and his Deputy have been eminent lawyers in their respective States and know this tragic aspect of our life—the torture method. third degree method The sooner we do away with it the better it is not only for those unfortunate individuals; but it will tone up our life; it will bring a higher dignity in our life if we discard these archaic and medieval methods

I should like to point out in this connection the methods that have been recommended by them. There is a very important aspect that it is tried, it is common, it is hackneyed to go on repeating that justice delayed is justice denied. I do not want to do that. But how true it is in this country! It has been pointed out what it means, in terms of delays. I have some concrete suggestions to make about these delays. It is this

On page 68 of the Volume it is pointed out

"The loss of working strength of the High Court by reason of

the delays in roughly equivalent to the absence of 12 Judges for 12 months."

This is m U.P., India's biggest State. In Punjab, "There was a loss of 2 Judges for over one year."

In Bihar—"The loss was roughly equivalent to the absence of 5 Judges for one whole year"

The result of this is that there is accumulation of work, there is delay in work and we feel—the average citizen feels—and we know that there is a greater delay . .

An Hon. Member: What about Bombay?

Shri Nath Pai: This is the common experience Everywhere it is so I just quoted this because it is documented Otherwise, I would be appearing as quoting from personal experience. This is unimpeachable evidence. That is why I quoted it. Why does it happen so?

We come to the point again. It is because of delays in appointing Judges, because of the faulty methods in deciding the strength of the courts and the other considerations which I do not want to make a reference, which weigh in making There is dethese appointments lay-unreasonable delay We do not have sufficient strength. Why do we not have sufficient strength? Ιŧ ig because of the faulty methods ın deciding how many judges we require If any decision is reached as to how many judges we require, we do not take the necessary steps to proceed with the appointment because those ulterior considerations come m way of getting the necessary type of judges that the country requires.

I would like to make one or two more observations. It was sad to hear this kind of disparaging remarks against the Supreme Court. I am a 8665

student of law: I pretend to be no more than that. But, our Supreme Court, by and large, has done a magnificent job and I feel proud of it. It will not be for the Supreme Court to try to implement, of course, what we have in mind. We have to frame the law adequately. Let Mr. Sen and his department take care when he comes with his drafts here Let them be more careful and let not another Gounder be called upon to say that the necessary care was not not another Naushir there: let Bharucha be called upon to point it out Let the drafts be properly put

There is another point which would like to make We shall have to see that the jurisdiction in criminal cases is very very sparingly employed There by we will be seeing that the Supreme Court has more time course the dignity of the High Court will be a rinnel It is this thing, I will say. inc. that The Supreme Court should not have anything to do with labour disputes I would like here the Law Minister to think of institutions which can relieve the Supreme Court of those labour disputes There are about 518 appeals pending We had Labour Appellate Tribunals We should get them back If we had labour tribunals with competent people who know their job as to how to deal with these there would not be mordinate delay m the discharge of the work of the Supreme Court

Before I conclude I would like to point out one or two small things this country the civil servants, povernment employees do not always have the right to go to the court There is a very good suggestion coming which, perhaps, the I.aw Minister will be good enough to take into consideration A Tribunal presided over by a retired Judge, with, of course eminent retired civil servants can be constituted to look into all these cases to remove this anomaly that there are sections of the community who have no avenue for redressing a wrong under which they are suffering.

Finally I would like to bring this to the attention of the House before concluding. Somebody had said that every Indian, everbody who is lucky enough to be born in this country must have this thing. 'Wherever my rights shall be infringed, I will have justice done to me and my rights upheld' How can we do it? It will not be that every man can come to the level of the Supreme Court unless we see that legal aid is made available on the scale which the criminal Justice Act of 1948 has made available to the average Briton

In this, one thing can be done will have to give serious thought seeing that the Nuava Panchauats do a good job Cases in which the fine exceed Rs. 50/- and does not total value perhaps a few hundreds-I would not be dogmatic on that-can be dealt with by these courts. To that extent we will be seeing that there is speedy justice, immediate justice and iustice within the reach of the average man Shri Patanjali Shastri may be a fine arbitrator of law. ornament of the judiciary but how will the average man know? will he judge? He will judge by what justice he gets at his own level Therefore, it is that these panchayat courts will have to be constituted, care being taken, of course, that they are not a replica of the village panchayats which today we are having, ridden with communal considerations But, of course, one consideration should be that they will be charged with the duty of doing justice

If we do find some of these suggestions reflected in the attitude and the actions of the Law Ministry, then, perhaps, there will be some justification for granting to them the amounts for which they have come to the House.

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman: Mr Speaker, Sir, it is gratifying to note and it is but proper that from all parts of the House the rule of law should

(Shr: C R Pattabh: Raman)

Demande

be upheld, and encomia should be paid to the rule of law and to the role of the judiciary as such In a written Constitution where you have the guaranteed rights, the judiciary has a definite role to play. It is the repository of the freedoms of all the people Without exaggeration I would claim that perhaps the most popular institution in the whole of India today judiciary headed the is the bv Supreme Court of India Most people feel that there s a heaven where they will get justice

I was rather shocked when I heard my esteemed friend. Shri Khadilkar refer to the judiciary being subordinate to the executive (Interruptions) He almost expressed the feeling

Mr. Speaker: I understood him say that even the judiciary must be subordinate to the legislature in the sense

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman At a later stage he said that

Mr. Speaker: In the legislatures, of course, we make the law There is The no question of subordination legislature is entitled to make the law The judiciary is bound to give That is what effect to that law thought he meant originally quently I did not follow him

Shri C R, Pattabhi Raman gathered there was a bias so far he was concerned. He was more 1ees

Mr. Speaker: I am sure he will change his views if he is called by the executive

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman: Even ın England where the Parliament supreme, the judiciary has a important role to perform. It is not lightly that the Parliament will inter fere with any of the rights of people nor will it do anything which will affect the judiciary, in England Much more so is the case here where

we have a written Constitution where it has a definite role. It is the third imb The judiciary has to protect the guaranteed rights, of course, governed by the Directive Principles envisaged in Chapter IV of our Constitution Therefore, I would like to say that I am very happy to hear my talented friend. Shri Mukerjee talk about the rule of law I know what regard he has for it He will be interested to know what Mr Laleve, the Secretary-General of the International Commis sion of Jurists said. He came down to Madras and I was asked to interview him. He had just then returned from Kerala I asked him deliberate-What do you think of the rule of law in Kerala? He said something very interesting The Chief Minister. in all humility, went before the court and apologized for a contempt. There is the rule of law in Kerala. And that is a great compliment, I am sure, not only to the Communist Party but also to the administration in Kerala Such is the rule of law

The Law Commission to frequent reference has been made says this with regard to the upsurge India With your permission I will quote

'The upsurge of national consciousness which led to Indepen dence has to a great extent altered the psychology of the citizen The change of his status from a subject in a dependency to a citizen of a democratic republic has reacted largely on the citizen's economic and political life. He is proudly conscious of the guaranteed to him by the Constitution, of his right to social and economic justice, and of his claim to equality of status and opportunity"

I cannot improve upon that language The vital role of the court has been put very tersely in Romesh Thapar's case

"This court is thus constituted the protector and guarantor of Fundamental Rights and it cannot consistently with the responsibilities so laid upon it refuse to entertain applications seeking protection against the infringements of such rights."

Demande

That was the observation of the court in Romesh Thapar's case. It is rather embarrassing for me; I had the honour and privilege of appearing for Romesh Thapar in that case. The plea was put that Romesh Thapar could not straightaway run to the Supreme Court because in that case the Madras (Maintenance of Public). Order Act was affected. But the Supreme Court is a Fundamental Right and they said they were not going to jettison him and direct him to go to Madras first.

It was frequently mentioned that the Judges they are not getting up with socialist ideas. They say that the Judges are blocking social progress I may say that they are not doing it. They have got to administer the taw as they find it. You can always change the law and they will dutifully implement it. In V. G. Row's case where again I had the honour and privilege to appear, Chief Justice Patanjali Sastri has said.

'If, then the Courts in this country face up to such an important and none too easy task, it is not out of any desire to tilt at legislative authority in a crusader's spirit but in discharge of a duty plainly laid upon them by the Constitution This is especially true as regards the 'fundamental rights' as to which this Court has been assigned the role of a sentinel on the qui vive"

The Law Commission has said that the lawyer has not been playing a very important role m recent years. It is rather tragic. The Father of the Wation was a great lawyer in South Africa and he came here with all the training and background and he led us to freedom. Our Prime Minister.

has peen a lawyer and our Home Minister, a distinguished lawyer in UP You, Sir, have been a lawyer of emmence in Andhra Pradesh Hon Member You too) In that disturguished company, it is like comparing mouse to an elephant, I am a (Interruptions) amali man view of the great role played by the lawyers. I have no doubt that they are not going to be mere technicians in future The lawyer realises that Motifal Nehru, C R Das and people like them were not mere technicians: they were not merely drafting pleas or appearing in courts There was lot of social work and national work done by them I am sure that lawyer will catch up with the spirit of the times and will not merely be adding to his bank balance he will have some social duty to perform and I am sure he will perform it Otherwise the whole Constitution will crack up The third limb of the Constitution is the judiciary_law And the strength of the chain as you know, is that of the weakest link The moment you drag down the lawyer. you are destroying the democratic way of life If anything is done to permit the law and tribe down arbitrary interference by the executive, there is no democracy; there will not be any democracy in India

with regard to the separation judiciary from the executive it is a very important thing that should be carried out in all haste I am glad m my State of Madras, it is practically complete but not yet fully com plete Unless you separate judiciary you cannot have that independence of judiciary which is essential for the administration of justice (Interruptions) The old collector used to be the Sessions Judge But now the collector is a mere revenue collector and is also a person who does some 10bs like community development and all that He is really a much smaller (Interruptions) man

Mr. Speaker: The District Magistrate is himself under the High Court and there is also a Collector Formerly

[Mr Speaker]

697t

the District Magistrate and Collector was one and the person But the present District Magistrate is a separate person dealing with cases They are all subordinate to the High Court whereas the Collector is under the Revenue Minister (Interruptions) All right Whatever the hon Members have in their minds when they speak of the separation of judiciary from execution it has been done in States of Andhra Pradesh and Madras

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman: May I with your leave elaborate?

Mr Speaker: It is not necessary Whatever they have in their minds had been done there

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman 1# T may with respect, add to what has has fallen from VOU. may say that they are under the High Court That is one thing Secondly. the appointment in most cases, is done by the Public Service Commission. with a Judge sitting along with (An Hon Member Appointhem ted by whom?) I take it finally the Governor appoints and so the executive always appoints. But the subordinate Judges are appointed only on the recommendation of the Public Service Commission where a Judge sits along with the others There something, at any rate we have gone some distance and we are not stagna-That should be the rule all over India Let us make a beginning and let us start I am sure once the start is made, you cannot go back to the bad old days

Now, I wish to refer to the Ministrof Law I am glad a reference has been made to the distinguished lawyer heading it. Last time I pleaded that he should bear a Cabinet rank and I am glad he bears that rank It is a compliment to the legal profession and law But I find that their functions are really rudimentary if may use that word In a Government of this magnitude, they have got two sections Legal Affairs and Legislation. Apart from drafting enact-

ments, giving the opinions and drafting treaties and perhaps suggesting something to the President, I find that they have not much of a function As Shrı Mukerjee has pointed, this has been the back-wash from the bad old days I am sorry I have to use that word In the olden days the view of the Government was that there may be an Indian Law Member but Home Member must be an Englishman Because of that dichotomy, the Law Member was a mere drafting man with legislative jobs and Home Member was the person who dealt with security, police, appoint, ment of Judges and all that I am not now suggesting straightway anything drastic and I am not saying that the whole Home Ministry has to be changed to Ministry of Justice But I say that a beginning must be made and the Law Minister should be consulted at least in the appointment of Judges

Shri Nath Pai: He is the only one to be consulted

Shri C R. Pattabhi Raman I would say this We have now changed I am not seeking anything high. But the Law Minister should be consulted in the appointment of Judges in various States and also in the appointment of the Judges of the Supreme Court. He is after all a lawyer and knows what his job is

Shri Hem Barua. It must be left entirely to the charge of the Law Minister

Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman I would plead again, as I did on a former occasion for the creation of an All India Bar It has been put very well, by Macaulay There have been four Law Commissions so far and from the earliest times the principle is simply this

"Uniformity when you can have it, Diversity when you must have it but m all cases certainty" I feel that they can have a definite legislation with regard to an Ali India Ber, and an Ali India Ber Council.

6973

Sir, I am glad that recommendations have been made with regard to having a judicial service similar to the Indian Administrative Service on an India basis. So far as the administration of Justice is concerned, Cape Comorin to Kashmir, from Quierat to West Benéal, there must be some uniformity. There must be an all-Indian outlook in the judges. There should be regular examination by a Commission similar to the Public Service Commission and the subordimate judges should be chosen by a Selection Committee with a judge of the High Court also on it. That is quite proper, Sir, and that will have a unifying effect. I would also like to stress that at least a portion of the judges of various High courts should to be transferred from one State are I know some States another. agreeable to this. It is very essential to have unbiassed judges What happens today is this. We are just carrying on with our old ideas. but we do not know what will happen in about ten or fifteen years. Fissiperous tendencies show their heads up and if there is no uniformity in quality and equipment it will spell tragedy.

Then Sir, I have referred to All-India Bar I would like to plead that there must be a panel of junior lawyers in every State who appear for the Union Government and the State Government in tax cases, railway cases, etc. I am handicapped to speak much on this because appeared recently in a Railway case. So, I cannot give more details But I wish to state this, Sir, that the lawyers are chosen by the Railways merely by patronage. If the Manager of the Railways likes a person he is chosen although that person may be some-There are so times poorly equipped many good and deserving juniors, various well-equipped persons, in States. You can have ten or twelve of them in a panel in each State. Let them appear in the tax cases, in the railway cases etc. They will acquit themselves very creditably. I hope this suggestion will meet with the approval of the Law Ministry.

for Grants

6974

With regard to stamps, Sir, it is a crying shame that we have ad valorem duty on stamps in some States. It is seven and a half per cent in Madres. What happens is this. Suppose there is a dispute in a case relating to over ten grounds of land in a city and the value is a lakh of rupees. You will have to pay something like seven or eight thousands of rupees for stamps alone. That is hardly proper. It prevents what are called horder cases from being filed at all.

With regard to that aspect of the matter, the Law Commission, at page 494, say this:

"However, even if the view were taken that the cost of the administration of civil justice should fall on the civil litigant it is obviously unjust that he should be made to pay not only such cost but also the cost of the administration of justice as a Whole including justice administered in the criminal Courts. Such a view is obviously unsustainable. That, however, as we shall point out later appears to be the view accepted in most of the States. States like Uttar Pradesh seem to to even further and add to general revenues from the proceeds of the administration of justice."

We find, Sir, that this forms such a huge income in the case of the State Governments and they thrive on it. "To no one will we sell Justice"—is an axiom that is as old as the Magna Carta. They are clubbing administration of criminal justice along with the administration of civil justice. I am glad that the Law Commission have pointed this out.

13.34 hrs.

[MR. DEFUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]

So far as the Union Government is concerned, we have had the decision

[Shri C. R. Pattabhi Raman]

writ against Election Commission Vs. Saka Venkata Rao (A.I.R. 1953 S.C. 210). There, the Supreme Court has held that the jurisdiction under article 226 can be exercised only the authority against whom the order or direction is sought to be obtained is located within the territorial jurisdiction of the High Court. I would like to amplify this. What happens this. If a man from the State Madras wants to file a writ against the Union Government, he has to come to Delhi and file it perhaps before a Division Bench of the Punjab High Court in Delhi. The Parlakimedi case analogy has been stretched. I hope suitable legislation will be introduced to see that the writ jurisdiction under Article 226 in these cases is extended to the State High Courts also.

Then, Sir, I would like to say something about the Benevolent Fund. The lawver is an unfortunate person. He has to work very very hard. There is no provision of any kind to help him by way of pension. I am glad to say that there is a Benevolent Fund in Madras of nearly half a lakh of rupees and that five or six lawyers are helped with a few hundreds of rupees every month. I would like to say something about the position obtaining even in Moscow where the lawyer is not very important. I was there in November with the Lawyers' Delegation. What happens there is this. Out of the person's fee, twenty per cent is taken back by the Presidium or Collegium of various lawyers. preserved and that is given to them with a holiday at the expense of the Collegium. Now, I feel that something like that should be done for the lawyers in India.

With regard to legal aid, the Commission has something very definite to say. This appears in page 566. Seven clauses have been mentioned there. They recommend that:

"(1) Representation by a lawyer should be made available at Government expense to accused persons without means in all cases tried by a court of session;

- (2) Representation by a lawyer should be made available at Government expense to applicants without means in proceedings under section 486 of the Criminal Procedure Code;
- (3) Representation by a lawyer should be made available at Government expense to an accused person without means at the time of the final hearing of a jail appeal which has been admitted..."

and so on and so forth. Now, I need not take the time of the House detailing those clauses. I have no doubt that necessary legislation will be effected so far as that matter is concerned.

The lawyer has played a very great role not only in our freedom struggle but later on as a defender of Fundamental Rights. He is perhaps sometimes treading on corns and 1 know, Sir, sometimes he even becomes unpopular. He is not worth his salt if he refuses to accept a case; he must accept any case whenever he is approached. But, Sir, the moment any lowering of the status of the lawyer and the courts of law takes place, that moment democracy will collapse in India. Thank you, Sir.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The following are the selected off motions relating to the Demands under the Ministry of Law which will be treated as having been moved subject to their being otherwise admissible:—

Demand No. N 70 3 24

No. of Cut Motion 821, 322, 323, 361, 241, 312, 338, 460, 510, 511, 512, 513, 656.

71 657, 658, 659, 660-

Codification and revision of Statute
Laws, Civil and Criminal

Shri Warier (Trichur): I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'Ministry of Law' be reduced to Re. 1."

Need to reform the judicial system

Shri Waster: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Ministry of 'Law' be reduced to Re. 1."

Constitution of Central Agency for conducting cases before the Supreme

Shri Warlor: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Ministry of 'Law' be reduced to Re. 1."

Free legal and to the poor in judicial processes

Shri Warior: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Ministry of 'Law' be reduced to Re. 1."

Need to implement the recommendations of the Law Commission

Shri Supakar (Sambalpur): I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Ministry of Law' be reduced by Rs. 100."

Working of the Law Commission and the inordinate delay in submitting its reports

Shri Kodiyan (Quilon—Reserved—Sch, Castes); I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Ministry of 'Law' be reduced by Rs. 100."

Need for appointment of full-time members for the Law Commission for expeditions working of statute revision

Shri Kediyan: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Ministry of 'Law' be reduced by Rs. 100." Failure to provide simple, cheap and speedy justice to the poor

jor Granie

Shri M. B. Thakere (Patna): I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Ministry of Law be reduced by Rs. 100."

Question of appointments to the judiciary

Shri P. R. Patel: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Ministry of 'Law' be reduced by Rs. 100."

Failure to keep the judiciary free from executive influence

Shri P. R. Patel: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Ministry of Law' be reduced by Rs. 100."

Need to minimise the cost of litigation before the Supreme Court

Shri P. R. Patel: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Ministry of Law be reduced by Rs. 100."

Need to appent a permanent Commission to revise Acts and Rules

Shri P. R. Patel: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Ministry of Law be reduced by Rs. 100."

Failure to provide a cheep, simple, and quick legal procedure for the people

ghri B. Des Guyta (Purulis): I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Ministry of "Law" be reduced by Rs. 100."

6080

Need for speedy disposal of election cases by the Election Commission

Shri R. Das Gupta: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Elections' be reduced by Rs. 190."

Failure to prepare correct electoral rolls

Shri B. Das Gupta: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Elections be reduced by Rs. 100."

Need to prepare electoral rolls in Bengali for the districts of Dhanbad, Singhbhum and Santhal Parganas

Shri B. Das Gupta: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head 'Elections' be reduced by Rs. 106."

Failure to provide suitable arrangements for electors in polling booths during elections in rural areas

Shri B. Dasgupta: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Elections' be reduced by Rs. 100."

Mr. Doputy-Speaker: These cut motions are before the House.

Shri Khadilkar: I am given to understand that some han. members who spoke after me have referred to my speech and said that I had made a reference that the judiciary should be subordinate to the executive. I never made that statement. What I said was that the judiciary in the context of our Constitution is subordinate to the final sovereign authority of this Parliament. That is all.

The Minister of Law (Shri A. K. Sen): That is true. That is what the hon. Member had said.

Skri Raghubir Sakai (Badaun): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I take this opportunity for offering my congratulations to the eminent members of the Law Commission who have produced a very admirable report. I consider that that report is well worth consideration by the hon. Members of this House.

Sir, the reading of that report discloses a number of disquieting features in the administration of justice in our country. There are no two opinions in this country that the independence of judiciary should be maintained and the respect in which it is held should also be maintained. But, as I just remarked, certain disquieting features in our administration of justice have been pointed out by the hon. Members of the Law Commission.

One of them is the falling of standards in our courts, and what is being talked about by every man in the street, by lawyers in every Bar room has now got the stamp of the authority of the Commission's opinion. The Commission has pointed out that the judges have become very accessible to the public and they can be entertained by any and everybody. I just listened to the remarks of my hon. friend, Shri Khadilkar, who said that everything should not be borrowed from England but at least good traditions and good points from British Administration of Justice should be borrowed by us. The Commission has pointed out:

"It appears to us that such behaviour by the judges must lead to a loss of high respect in which the judges should be held by the community. If the public is to give profound respect to the judges, the judges should by their conduct try and deserve it. It appears to us that not only in the performance of his duties but outside the court as well a judge has to maintain an aloof-ness amounting to almost self-imposed isolation."

I do not think that anybody who has had any connection with the profession of law and with these neuris

6982

would join issue with these remarks. There is a noticeable deterioration in the quality of the work done by some of our judges. Not only that judges have become so easily accessible to the public and people can talk them anything they like, the quality of their work has deteriorated very much.

Sir, there are some deplorable trends that we ought to take notice of in our law courts and for which we should feel anxious. Even in lower courts where the rulings of some of the judges of the High Courts are being quoted and where formerly these rulings were regarded with very great esteem, I am sorry to say that in some cases even the Presiding Officers of lower courts just pass remarks that because a certain ruling is coming from a certain judge no notice need be taken of that. I do not think that under the present rules and regulations, under the present discipline such remarks can be tolerated. I am stating a fact that such remarks are being made, and that shows in what esteem the Presiding Officers of lower courts hold some of the judges of our High Courts.

Sir, recently a Bill for amending Criminal Procedure Code standing in my name was ordered to be circulated by this House for eliciting public opinion. Three volumes of opinions on that Bill have already been received, and one of them will be circulted within the next two or three days. When that Bill comes up we will go in detail about the various opinions that have been received, but, Sir, allow me to say that I have been astounded by some of the opinions that have been mentioned in those volumes given by some of the High Court judges. They have simply astounded me, and all that I can say at the present moment is that that shows confusion of thought, confusion on law and confusion on jurisprudence by those honourable persons.

Now, all these things lead to loss of faith in the system of justice-thank

God, the loss of faith has not been entirely taken place. But there are trends going in that direction, and if we do not take note of that, perhaps we might repent.

One of the causes of the deterioration in our administration of justice is. as has been pointed out by the Law Commission, the system of the appointment of judges. The Law Commission has pointed out that the appointment of these High Court judges should be entirely left to the Chief Justice of the particular High Court who should make that appointment in consultation with the Chief Justice of India. I entirely agree with that. The present provision laid down in article 217 of the Constitution says that the consultation with the Governor of a State is necessary which means that Chief Minister should be consulted. That is certainly responsible for this deterioration, and I am one of those who agree with the recommendations of the Law Commission that article 217 of the Constitution should suitably modified.

There is another factor that is responsible for the deterioration in the administration of justice and also for the diminution of the respect in which our judges are to be held. That is, they are allowed private practice after their retirement. This practice has been deprecated by the Law Commission. Even High Court judges after retirement are prone to take up practice, not in the particular High Court from which they have retired but in other High Courts. The District Judges who have retired after the age of 55 or 56 take up practice in their own districts, and they even visit the courts of honorary magistrates and benches thereof. All that diminishes the respect in which judge ought to be held.

I entirely agree with the remarks that have been made by some of my hon, friends on the opposite side that a judge should not be given a further appointment ordinarily by the Government after his retirement. The

[Shri Rashubir Sahai]

instance was quoted of Mr. Justice Chagla who has been recently appointed as our Ambassador. He is doing very admirable work as an ambassador. His qualifications are not in question. But the fact is that the particular judge, who sitting on the Law Commission has subscribed to this recommendation that a judge after retirement should not be given any further appointment, has accepted the specintment given by the Government. I hold Government responsible for this and also the particular person who has accepted that office. He should have guts enough, even though the offer was made by the Government, to refuse it in order to maintain the high traditions of the judiciary.

Sir, one point that I have missed in this admirable report is about the falling of standards in the case of lawyers. The members of the Commission have only offered one or two remarks about the fall in efficiency of the Bar, but they have not gone deeply into that problem. Sir, one the one hand we deprecate or deplore the fall in efficiency of the judges. We take objection to some of their appointments and the manner in which they are appointed. On the other hand, we should be equally anxious to see that there should be no fall in the standard of lawyers. There is toutism prevailing in almost every court. There is corruption prevailing almost everywhere in the precincts of the courts. There is nepotism going on. Fawning on officials has become a daily practice. All these points should have been noticed by the Law Commission, and they should have pointed out some remedy for them. The Law Commission has pointed out that there is overcrowding in courts and the talents that are coming to the Bar are not of the requisite type, and that the lawyers are eking out their existence. All that is true, but that is no justification for the fall in their standards.

With your permission, I can give one example which will Illustrate this point. There was a judicial officer in my own district. He was not a permanent appointee. The entire Bar of that place had a grievance against him to the effect that he was taking illegal gratification. Although I am not a practising lawyer I keep company with lawyers. When I happened to go to that place, those lawyers pointed out to me complaints against this particular judicial officer and I said, "Well, I can espouse your cause, and take your grievance to the proper authorities". Alongwith those people, I saw the District Magistrate. I wrote a representation to the Chief Minister, and it so happened that within a very few days, as the officer was not a permanent appointee, his services were dispensed with. That man was all along staying at that very particular place. The next day, after the orders had been received, that particular judicial officer called on me. He saw me and told me that he has been rightly served with punishment for his faults, but asked me what punishment was going to be meted out to those lawyer friends of mine who were privy in securing illegal gratification for him. I had no reply. This is a very deplorable and a very pitiable aspect. I am sorry that the Law Commission has not said one word about it. If we are anxious and if we are jealous that the standard of judiciary should be high, we are equally anxious that the standard of the Bar should be high.

In this connection, I would also point out that it is an accepted maxim that justice should be free, justice should be cheap and justice should be swift. But here in India things are just the reverse. The honourable members of the Law Commission have deprecated this practice of selling justice at a price, and thay have said that the court-fees that we charge are extortionate. They say:

".....the fee that we charge is so excessive that the civil litigant seeking to enforce his legal rights pays not only the entire cost of administration of civil justice but also the cost incurred by the State in prosecuting and punishing criminals for crimes with which the civil litigant has no concept."

This is a very serious state of affairs that we should look into. They have quoted the remarks of a former Chief Justice of the Madras High Court who has said that "he was amazed at the high court-fees charged in India" Such high court-fees are not charged in England We have not copied that We have copied many other things from England but we have not copied this reduction of court-fees from there.

These are some of the important points that I wanted to bring to light at the present moment. I feel that the report is of a very important character, and considerable time should be permitted for its threadbare discussion. That opportunity may be given either during this session or some time after. But this report is well worth consideration.

। पंडिस ठाकुर रास मार्चेव (हिसार) भगाव डिप्टी स्पीकर साहव

Mr. Doputy-Speaker: The hon Member may sit and speak.

पंचित ठाकुर दास मार्थन. आपकी बहुत मेहरवानी । आपकी एडनाइस के मुताबिक और साथियों की एडनाइस के मुताबिक मै अब हाउस में बहुत कम बोनता हूं और माज भी मेरा बोनने का इरावा नहीं था....

उपलब्बल महोस्थः |हमारी एउवाइस तो वही थी ।

भी समराम सिंह (फिरोजाबाद) : तम भी प्रच्या यही है कि साथ भी प्राप स्थाबा न बीमें । पंत्रित बस्तुर बस्त मार्गव : मैं इतना ज्यादा मही बोसूगा जिस से कि उस एडवाइस की अबहेसना हो । •

जिस तरह से ला मिनिस्टी की विमावस पर यहा पर बहस हो रही है उसे देखकर मुझे हैरानी होती है। ला मिनिस्ट्री का काम पाज तक इस देश में इतना प्रधिक और इतना बढ़ा नहीं रहा है जिसके ऊपर कि किटिसिज्म किया जा सके । ला कमिशन की रिपोर्ट हमारे मामने धाई है और उसके अन्दर बहुत सी ऐसी बातें लिखी हुई हैं जिन की पढ़ कर के हमे शर्म श्राती है। लेकिन इस में ना मिनिस्टर साहब का या ला मिनिस्ट्री का क्या कसूर है। सा मिनिस्टी का तो यह काम नहीं है कि कहीं पर वह एप्याइटमेंट बाफ हाई कोर्ट जसिज में डिस्सा के, का मिनिस्टर साहब का काम नहीं है कि अस्टिस के क्रमर ऐसे बोमें जैसे कि सूत्रीम कोर्ट के अजिस बोसते है या हाई कोर्ट के जजिस बोसते हैं। उसका काम उस तरह का भी नहीं है जिस तरह का कि इसरी मिनिस्ट्रीस का है । उसका काम सिवाय एक दो चीजो के बहुत स्रोटा सा है भीर उसका जिक इस रिपोर्ट में किया गया है भीर कहा गया है .---

"The main functions of the Ministry of Law are to advise other Ministries and Departments of Government on legal matters including conveyancing and litigation, to draft Central Bills, Ordinances and Regulations and follow them up in their various stages up to their enactment or promulgation, to draft Bills and Ordinances for States, whenever necessary, to scrutinize statutory rules and orders from the legal and drafting points of view and to make arrangements for the publication of Central enactments as and when necessary in a convenient form."

इसके घताना उत्तका बोड़ा ता कार ेता कनिवान के सम्बन्ध में बीर साथ ही साथ [गंकित ठाकुर बास जानंग] इनकम टैक्स एपिनैट द्विफल्यूनलं के सम्बन्ध में है। इन मुख एक कामों के घसाया का मिनिस्ट्री का कोई चीर ऐसा काम नहीं है विश्वकी विक्लेबारी उसके ऊपर बोपी वा करू का विन के लियें उसको सायबस करार विक्रा का सके।

नेरे दोस्तों ने कहा है कि ला निनिस्टी का काम ज्यादा दड़ा किया जावा चाहिबे भीर का मिनिस्ट्री को प्रसत्यार दिया जाना चाहिये कि सारे लाख के मुताल्यिक वह भपनी रायक्ती कर सके। ऐसे बा बनाने की इजाबत नहीं होनी चाहिये जिनको कि सा मिनिस्ट्री पास न करे और चन्द उसूलों के मुसाबिक उन साब को यहां पर वेश ही नहीं किया जाना चाहिये समझता ह कि ऐसा रोल प्राज ला मिनिस्टी का नहीं है और न ही उस रोल को धदा करने के वह काविस है क्योंकि ये सब उसकी जुरिसरिक्शन में नहीं आते हैं। आज ये सब बीखें उसकी जुरिसदिक्सन में होती तो मैं समझता हु कि बहुत से कानून जो इस हाउस के अन्दर जाते हैं और जो कि उन उसूनों के बिसाफ होते हैं जिन उसूनों को कि हमने अपनी कांस्टीट्य्शन में रखा है, बें कभी भी न बाते भीर उनको पेश करने की बा उनको पास करने की ला मिनिस्टर साहब इजायत न देते । मैं इस बात में शामिल होना चाइता हं कि हमारी सुप्तकिस्मती है कि हमारे सा निनिस्टर साहब नौजवान भीर कानुनवा हैं भीर झगर उनकी नवारों से वे कानून गुजरते---वैसे कि गुजरने चाहिये ये---भीर उनके शन्दर उनका दक्त होता और वह यह कहते कि यह कांस्टीट्यूचन के विद्याप है या कानून के विसाफ है तो वे कानून इस हाउस के अन्दर बाने नहीं चाहिये थे युद्धे डर है कि प्रभी तक जनके इस पंकारण को न दूसरी जिनिस्ट्री मानती हैं भीर न हैं। यह जूब ही मानते हैं। धन्य यह चीच भाज हो जाने तो मुससे ज्यादा खुद्ध कोई नहीं होगा क्योंकि में बाहुता हं कि का विकिटी भीर का विकिटर साह्य

इस देश के उन्हीं तरह से पांचवान होती पाहियें जिस सरह से कि सुप्रीम कोर्ट परि-मेंटल राइटस की पासराम है। इसी रायह से वायरेक्टिव प्रिसिपल्स की भीर बुसरी षीकों की पासवान का मिनिस्ट्री और जा मिनिस्टर साहब होने चाहिमें भीर कोई भी कालून इस हाउस में ऐसा नहीं घाना चाहिने---साय ही साथ इस देश के प्रन्दर भी----जो कि कांस्टीट्यूशन के मुताबिक न हो । लेकिन हम हर रोज देखते हैं कि कांस्टी-ट्यूशन के जिलाफ जो कान्त्र होते हैं वे भी यहां प्राते हैं, उसमें सा मिनिस्टर साहब का कोई दसस नही है, उनको इसरे मिनिस्टर खुद ही लाते हैं भीर वही झगडा करते हैं। हम तो ला मिनिस्टर साहब की या डिप्टी सा मिनिस्टर साहब की शक्स यहां चन्द मौको पर ही देसते हैं भीर वह भी उन मौकों पर जब कोई कानूनी पृहा पेश होता है। इस बास्ते मैं बाहता ह कि ला मिनिस्ट्री का काम उत्तमा ही नहीं रहना चाहिये जितना कि बाब है बीर उससे बहुत ज्यादा होना चाहिये ।

सा किमान की रिपोर्ट का भी यहां जिक किया गया है। उसकी बाबत में यह पहना चाहता हू कि मुझे धफतोस है कि इस रिपोर्ट को पढ़ कर जितना किसक्वेट। इस हाउस में जाड़िए किया गया है; उससे कहीं ज्यादा इस देश के धन्दर हुआ है। मुझे कहना यह चाहिये कि धगर कोई मुझसे पूछे कि इस देश के धन्दर क्यों नेपाटिक्य है, क्यों इस देश के धन्दर कर्यूननजिम है, क्यों इस देश के धन्दर कर्यूनजिम है, क्यों क्रस्पान है, तो इस रिपोर्ट को पढ़ने के बाद मुझे कहने में घरा की साम्मुल नहीं होगा कि:

"गर कुक थव काना वर सेमर, कुवा वनर मुस्तमनानी"

सुप्रीम कोर्ट बीर् हाई कोर्टंड क्वेश के प्रत्याइंटर्नेंट में कम्यूनलिका, वीबिटिका इन्त्याएंड बीर रीयनसिका वाबिम हीर्डे

🚰 वै पूर्णना पाइता हं कि पितने भी निनिस्टर्स बीर चीफ निनिस्टर्स स्टेड्स के हैं वह क्यों न मनवाना कम्यूनविका करें, नवीं न वह शारे काम ऐसे करें जो जस नमूने के मुताबिक हों विश्वमें इस वक्त हिन्दुस्तान वर्क है ? नीता वें कहा है :

"क्षादाचरति शेष्ठस्तत्तदेवोत्तरा वनः । स बत्त्रमाणं कृष्ते मोकस्तदनुषतंते ।। 14 hrs.

सुप्रीय कोर्ट घीर हाई कोर्ट्स के जजेज किस तरह मुकर्रर किये गये हैं यह मैं इस किताब में से पढ़ कर सुगाऊंगा जिसे कि सा कशिक्षन ने लिसा है। वे जजेज मुकर्रर ती प्रेजिडेंट के नाम से होते हैं केकिन मुकर्रर करने वासे प्रसल में कैबिनेट के मिनिस्टर साहबान होंगे, जो कि इमारे प्रेजिडेंट साहब को एडवाइस करते हैं। धगर यह दुरुस्त है तो मैं पूछना चाहता हूं कि किसने कम्मू-निलज्म किया है ? किसने पोलिटिकल इन्प्रसर्थंस को दाविल किया ? भाज हाई कोर्ट के धीर सुप्रीम कोर्ट के जजेज क्यों इंसाफ नहीं कर सकते ? क्योंकि वह पालिटिकस के कीचर हैं, क्योंकि उनके प्रवाइटमेंट में कम्यनलिज्य को दाखित किया गया । भूते वाद है सन् १६४७ में जिस बक्त पार्टिशम हुआ उसके पहले क्या हालत बी । जब पुराने पंजाब के हाई कोर्ट में बजेब फैसमा करते थे तो यह होता या कि धगर बुक्तजिम मुसलमान है धीर जज भी म्सलमान है तो मुलजिम बरी हो जाता जा, भवर मुलजिम हिन्दू है और जज भी हिन्दू है तो भी मुलजिम बरी हो जाता था। यह हासत बाज नहीं उस दक्त की जब कि यहां पर ब्रिटिश गयनंत्रेंट थी । क्योंकि वितने भी भ्रष्याइंटमेंट्स होते वे वह वेसिस होते ये। पर पूरानें जमाने के एक वड़े बीक वस्टिस साक्ष्य हिचार सचरीना ने गये न्योंकि नह वर्वभिनों भीर मुहरिरों की दो रुपये की रिष्यत सन्द करणा चाहरी में । बार ऐसोसिएसन में मीटिय हुई। उस मीटिय

में नेपपर देने के बाद उन्होंने कहा कोई वकीन सवर कुछ कहना चाहे तो कह सकता है। में उस वक्त भतेम्बनी का बेब्बर रह चुका या भीर मुझे चुरंरत नी कि जो असम बात हो उसे कहूं। मेरी पूड़ा कि आप धर्वनियों भीर मुहरिमों की दो रूपये की रिश्वत बन्द करने वा रहे हैं, लेकिन इन्ह भीर सुनने की हिम्मत है ? उन्होंने कहा कि में जो कुछ कहुंगा वे सुनेंगे ! जैने कहा कि सुनिये । भ्राप के सूपरिन्टेडेंट पुलिस रिववत नेते हैं, वह मंत्रेज हैं, उन को पकडिये। मैंने किप्टी कमिवनर के सिये कहा कि वह रिश्वत त्रेते हैं। एक-एक याने से २१, २१ हजार रुपवा बंबा हुआ है। क्या प्राप यह सब सुनने के लिये तैयार हैं? उन्होंने जवान थवा सी मौर कुछ नहीं बोले। मैने कहा कि एक भीर बात कहनी है। बाप का सारा सिस्टम ४६ परसेन्ड सिविल पोस्टें मुसलमानों को देता है, इतनी सिस्तों को देता है, इतनी हिन्द्रभों को देता है. तब क्या ग्राप उम्मीद करते हैं कि सारे क सारे लोग कम्युनल नही बनेंगे? बह इस का कुछ जवाब नहीं दे सने । शाम को बह मुझे एक जयाफत में मिले भीर कहा कि तुम ने मुझ से बड़े-बड़े सवाल किये। में ने कहा कि सवास तो बड़े किये पर उनका जाबाद मुझे नहीं मिला। उन्होंने कहा कि जवाब कुछ नहीं है। जवाब वह है कि हिन्दूरतान का वो पुराना सिस्टम वा वह बढ़ा बाला बा, जिस में जो हावेस्ट बादबी होता या वह दूनिया से विरक्त होता या. किसी की रियायत मंजूर नहीं करता वा । सेकिन चुंकि हम जजेज इस तरह से बनाते हैं तो फिर उस का नतीया बही निकलेगा। जिस वक्त हवारा कोस्टिट्यूशन का रहा वा उस बक्त मेंने मर्च किया वा --- उस बक्त कास्टिट्युशन के बुताबिक सुप्रीय कोर्ट में सात जबेज वे, सप्तन्यकि की तरह से होंने, धनक्याल टेम्प्टेशनस, धनक-शास इन्स्कूएन्य होंने । यो कि परवाह

विवित ठाकुर बास मार्गेष]

नहीं करेंगे एश्विष्यदिव की भीर पूरी तरह से इन्साफ करेंगे। सुत्रीय कोर्ट के बजेब बनाते वक्त एक्स्ट्रेनियस कंसिड-रेबान्स रक्ते जाते हैं। जिस वक्त यहां पर सप्रीम कोर्ट घीर हाई कोर्ट्स के बजेज की कंडिशन्स प्राफ सर्विसऐड पेन्शन का विल बाबा उस बक्त में ने कहा या कि मझे मासम है कि सुप्रीम कोर्ट में किस तरह से जब बनाये जाते हैं। वे भौर किसी भी कंसिड-रेशन के भप्ताइंट होते हों लेकिन कम से कम मेरिटस पर नहीं भाते हैं। मैंने को कहा बा बहु प्रपनी प्राइबेट नालेज की बिना पर कहा था। में कम से कम पंजाब हाई कोर्ट के जज़ेज के बारे में जानता हं। भभी चन्द अप्वाइमेंट्स हुये । में हाई कोर्ट के सीवों के पास गया. बार में गया. अजेज से निसा, बार ऐसोसिएशन के मेम्बसं से शिला । में उन साहबान से वाकिन्द महीं वा जो जज मकर्रर किये गये। एक साहब ने कहा कि एक शस्स जो टाउटिज्य का सब से बढ़ा एक्स्पोनेन्ट है, वह हाई कोर्ट का जज बनाया गया है। एक प्रीर शक्स बो हर तरह के इन्स्स्एंसेज को मानता है, जिस की प्रैक्टिस ऐट दि बार कुछ नहीं थी, बह चन्त्राइंट किया गया । मे सन कर कार्य के मारे गड गया। यहा जब बिल चाया तो मैंने चर्च किया, होम मिनिस्टर साहब के सामने घर्च किया कि ऐसी शिकायतें हमारे सामने आई है और नह दूरस्त हैं। इसी वास्ते कि० ऐत्यनी ने चन्द जजेज के बारे में जो इन्न कहा उस को सून कर मुझे बड़ा रंग हुया। की शिकायत की उस की ताईद हमारे ला कमिशन बाफ इंडिया ने जो कियाब लिखी है उस से पूरी की पूरी होती है। जिन सल्क्राण में उन्होंने कंडेम्बेसन किया वह हायेस्ट इंडेम्नेशम ग्राफ दि वननैमेंट है । इससे ज्यादा कोई कंडेम्नेशन नहीं हो सकता । इस देश से सम्यूननिक्स सभी नहीं हुट सकता जब तक संप्रीम कोर्ट और

हाई कोर्ट के जबेच के सप्वाइंटमेंट में, जैसा कि ला कमिशन ने कहा है, इंफ्लुपैस काय करता है।

मनी एक साबी ने पूछा कि एक मजिस्टेट को हटाया गया । भीर जो वकील मैजिस्टेट को रिस्कत दिलवाते वे उन को हटाया गया या नहीं। मैं पृक्षना चाहता हुं कि उन होम मिनिस्टर्स को या चौर जो मिनिस्टर्स वे जिन्होंने सिफारिशें या कर की बौर उन चौफ़ मिनिस्टबं को जिन्होंने जबेज को मुकर्रर कराया, उन को कोई सवा दी जायगी ? उन के साथ कोई इस तरह का बर्ताव किया जायगा कि क्यों उन्होंने इस देश के धन्दर इस सीर्स घाफ़ जस्टिस को गंदा कर दिया, जिस की बजह से सारे देख के धन्दर सिवा गन्दगी माप के दशरी चीज चलेगी नहीं ? क्यों एक छोटे घादनी की पकडते हैं. क्यों एक मृंसिक को पकड़ते हैं जो किसी के साव रियायत करता है, क्यों एक खोटे से जब को पकडते हैं जब कि सारे देख का हाम यह है ? में इस किताब को पढ़ना चाहता है। सफा ६१ पर जो निवा है उस को पढ़ कर हर एक पैटियट को सिवा रोने के बुख दिवाई नहीं देता। सफा ६६ पर जो कुछ जिसा हथा है वह किन सल्काच में निका जायना ? योल्डेन प्रस्कात में नहीं यह डार्फ लेटर्स में लिसने के काबिल है। यही नहीं यह ३० करोड़ गरीव हिन्दुस्तानियों के सुन से सिसे जाने के काबिल है जिन की किस्मत पर यह कैविनेट बैठी है। उस में सिका हवा है कि इमारे प्रेजिडेंट के नाम पर कितना क्राया-चार किया गया है। इसमें मिक्का हचा है:

"The almost universal chorus of comment is that the selections are unsatisfactory and that they have been induced by executive influence. It has been said that these selections appear to have proceeded on no recognizable principle and seem to have been made out of considerations of political

expediency or regional or communul sentiments. Some of the members of the Bar appointed to the Bench did not occupy the front rank in the profession, either in the matter of legal equipment or of the volume of their practice at the Bar. A number of more capable and deserving persons appear to have been ignored for reasons that can stem only from political or communal or similar grounds. Equally forceful or even more unfavourable comments have been made in respect of persons selected from the services. We are convinced that the views expressed to us show a wellfounded and acute public dissatisfaction at these appointments. The observations made by Chief Justice Kania referred to by us elsewhere that merit alone should be the basis for selection to the High Court judiciary seems to have been completely overlooked."

इस के मनावा और ज्वादा वक्त कें कोटेसन्स को पढ़ कर हाउस में नहीं लेना बाहता लेकिन एक बात बनाव की व्यस्मत में पढ़ कर बुनाना चाहता हूं:

"A Judge of a High Court has said:

'If the State Ministry (Minister in the State Government) continues to have a powerful voice in the matter, in my opinion, in ten years' time, or so, when the last of the Judges appointed under the old system will have disappeared, the independence of the judiciary will have disappeared and the High Courts would be filled with Judges who owe their appointments to politicians'."

इसके अन्दर बहुत की और वार्ते मी निक्षी हुई हैं थो कि इसके औ स्थादर तक्त है। एक कीच वस्टित झाड़ इंडिया का कोटेबन है जिस को एड़ कर जानून होता है कि यथन हो नया । सिर्फ एक्जिक्य्टिव के अन्दर नहीं, जुडिशियरी के अन्दर भी को किटिसिज्य किया गया है। बीक जस्टिस बाफ दि हाई कोर्ट्स का वह भी इतना सक्त है कि उसे पढ कर सर्म झाती है। वह कहते हैं कि इस सिस्टम की बजह से जो धप्नाइंटमेंट की रिकमेन्डेशन है वह पहले निकलती है त्रीफ़ बस्टिस घाफ वि हाई कोर्ट से, फिर जाती है चीफ़ मिनिस्टर घौर स्टेट के गवर्नर कै पास फिर बीफ मिनिस्टर कई दफा भपने भाप हाइरेक्ट होम मिनिस्टर के पास रिकर्मेन्डेशन मेजता है। हाई कोर्ट को पता भी नहीं सगता कि किस की रिकोमेन्डेशन है भीर वह भादमी मकर्रर हो जाता है। इस की बजह से कई बीफ जस्टिस बहुत सीच विचार करके रिकमेन्डेशन करते है कि कही ऐसा न हो कि कोई ऐसा जज उस के सिर पर योप दिया जाय को उस की मंशा के मृतानिक न हो और हास सराव हो जाय। इस गरव से बीफ बस्टिस घपनी राय बह बनाते हैं जो कि चीफ़ मिनिस्टर की है। इसके माने सीधे यह है कि इन हाईकोर्ट्स भीर सुप्रीम कोर्ट को बंद करो और यहां पर विषटेटर्स रूस भीर भाविटेटरी रूस होना चाहिये धगर हमारे जजेज की यही क्वानिटी है। मुझे यह कहते हये धर्म प्राती है। मैंने ५० वर्ष से ज्यादा इन ग्रदासतों में प्रैक्टिस की है और मैने दो तीन वर्ष से पहले यह कथी नहीं सूना वा कि हाईकोर्ट्स के जजेज के पास सिफारिसें पहुंचती है और एमपसूर्विच वर्क करते हैं। लेकिन मुझे भव इस बात का यक्रीन हो गया है कि हाई कोट्स में इतना दिटौरियेशन हो नया है कि सोग वहां पर जाकर सिफ़ारिश वगैरह करने की अुर्रत करने लये हैं। अब यह तो कहना मुश्किल है कि उन सिफ़ारियों का बसर कितना होता है लेकिन घर चुकि यह चीचें चलते नवीं हैं भीर लोगो को पता है तो वे यह कई वर्षर नहीं रह सकते कि उन बीधों का घरूर मी होता है ।

[पंडिन डाक्ट बाल मार्गन]

एम्बीक्युंटिव और चीक्र मिलिस्टर्स की यो हासत है यह मैं.बापके सामने वर्ष नहीं करना पाहता। मैं घपने हाईकोर्ट्स की बुराई नहीं करना पाइता सेकिन यह नेरे इल्म में प्राया है भीर मुझे मानूम हुचा है कि किस तरह बीफ़ मिनिस्टर्स का जिन मुकदनों से नास्ता हो जसकी सुनवाई की तारीका बढ़ा दी जाती है। ताकि फ़लाने जब है सामने उनकी एप्सीकेशन सुनी वा सके। कहने का मतलब यह है कि इतना डिटौरियेशन हमारे अन्दर मा गया है। इस देश के सन्दर वो स्टैन्डर्व बिटिश वस्टिस का या ग्राज बह कायम नहीं है यह मुझे बहुत धक्रसोस के साथ कहना पड़ता है। भाष भनर हाईकोर्ट्स घौर सुत्रीम कोर्ट पर से लोगों का कौनफ़िडेंस बाता रहा तो इस देश की सीलिड रीक के मन्दर एक क्लीच पैदा हो वायेंगी, एक दरार पड़ जायेगी । धायन्दा के बास्ते जो भी कोई यहां पर होम मिनिस्टर हों, जो भी कोई वहां पर प्राइम मिनिस्टर हों, या त्रेतीबेंट साहब हों, मैं बहुत अबब के साथ अर्थ करूंगा कि ऐसी शिकायत फिर हाउस के मन्दर कमी नहीं चाहिये । बाएन्दा कभी किसी का कमिश्चन को यह कहने का मौका नहीं होना चाहिये कि वह यह कह सके कि सिवाय मैरिट के बीर कोई चीच कंसिडिरेशन में बाई। मैरिट कंसिडिरेशन पर ही कोई शक्स हाई कोर्ट्स या सुत्रीम कोर्ट का अज मुकरेर किया बावे । मैंने बनाब की तवज्यह इस तरफ़ दिलाई । ही सकता है कि मैंने धपने फुस्ट्रेशन में शायद कुछ जरूरत से ज्यादा सक्त सपत्र इस्तेमास किये हों। मैं महसूस करता हूं कि मेरे पास और सक्त धएकाच नहीं है बिनकी कि मैं इस बीच को संबैग और डिपिक्ट करने के बास्ते इस्तेमान कर सक् कि इन ऐकायन्टमेंट्स में वियान मेरिट के कोई बीर कंतिडिरेशन इंटर करता है। यन विक तरह के कि

नणक्रवर गांध की गंवनी से किली के किये पीने पाना पानी मन्या और धनहिल्ली ही पमा और उसको पी कर नोगों में बीमारियां फैलीं उसी तरह हमारी जुनिकिएरी में से बिर नेपोटिज्म, कम्युनिक्य और क्रेबरेटिज्य की मन्यनी नहीं कानेबी तो हमारे देस के सोगों का निश्चास जुनिकिएरी से उठ बायेगा और यह देस के बास्ते और देशोकेसी के बास्ते बड़ा कराब होगा। जब मैं देसता हूं कि हमारी कैविनेट और सारे मोन सुबह से साम तक सिवाब निपोटिज्य और करप्यान को बंडिम करने घलावा कोई बूखरा काम नहीं करते तो यही कहना पड़ेगा कि ''कीवलर मेंड दाई सव''।

इसके धलावा जनाव मुलाहिया फ्रश्मार्थे कि हमारे कांस्टीट्जूसन के ५० वें सैक्शन में लिखा हुमा है कि जुडिशियरी के धौर एग्जीक्यटिय के मंक्संस् धलहिया होंगे, सैप्रेट होंगे लेकिन में देसता हूं कि जिस बक्त यहां पर क़ानून बनाते आते हैं उस बक्त यह चीचा नहीं देसी जाती। मैं मानरेबुल सा मिनिस्टर की खियसत में घर्च करूंगा. . . . मुझे घफ़्सोस है कि वह ऐन मौक़े पर उठ कर जा रहे हैं, सैर जो मैं घर्च करने चला हूं उसको उनके डिप्टी साहब नोट कर सेंबे।

> (विधि मंत्री सदन् के बाहर न का कर सपनी जनह पर बैठे रहे)

आप हिन्दुस्तान के एमिनेंट नावैसे में से हैं और हमें सबको इस बात का फ़ब्नू है कि हम में के एक सा मैम्बर है और हम बाइ बाहते यह उम्मीय करते हैं और हम बहु बाहते हैं कि कोई भी बिस इस हाउस के सम्बर न आये और उसके सम्बर ऐसी बीच न सामी बाहिने विससे सैनेसन बाक जुनिकिएरी और एक्जीवयूटिय न हो के संयुक्त पर सामाई हो ।

इस हाएस के सन्दर कितने ही वर्षी ने मैं नह स्वक्ता पका कावा हूं कि नहां तक इक्कमदेखा का का सवास है वहां पर यह को ऐपेलेट कमियान बनता है, उसके ट्रान्सक्रर उनके एमीस्युमेंट्स में और उनकी तरस्क्री में भाज हमारी एक्बीक्व्टिंग को क्षम न हो । पहिले छोटा सा रिफार्न है। मैं वस बरस से सगड़ता चला माया हं औ सी॰ डी॰ देसमुख से, एक विश्व की कमेटी के मौके पर, जिस का मैं वेचरमैन मैं ने शयहा फिया । उन्होंने इस को देखा लेकिन धाबिए में वह इस को म कर सके । मैं हर मौके पर जितने विस प्रापके पाने हैं, हमेशा शनहा करता रहा है कि यह नामुनासिव है कि जो शक्स बतौर जब फैसला करे वह एग्जिक्य्टिय के नीचे हो। लेकिन यह जरा सा रिकार्म नहीं कर सकते । इनकम टैक्स ट्राइब्यूनल के अन्दर आप का कास अस्त्यार है, इस किताब में शिका है कि भाप उस के सास इन्वार्ज हैं। मैं एक चीज नहीं कई चीज बता सकता हूं जिस के निये इस हाउस के शन्दर शगड़ा हुचा, दरशसल में भाप से यह पर्तनल तौर से नहीं कह रहा हूं। सा विनिस्टर के घोहदे का जुरिज्डिक्शन होना वाहिये। जो भी जिल धाप की कलम से निकला, उसके धन्दर हमारे कान्स्टिट्यूशन में वो उसून दिये हुये हैं उन के वर्सिनाफ इस हाउस के भन्दर कुछ नहीं धाने पावे । भगर भाग ऐसा करेंने तो मुझे उम्मीद है कि इस देश के अन्दर कान्स्टिब्य्शन की भी इज्यत होनी भीर देश के धन्दर इन्साफ म्बदः बढेगा ।

जनाव के स्वरू जायदाद के बारे में बहुत कुछ कहा गया । जायदाद के बारे में कीर्ट्स ही फैसले कर सकते हैं घीर कोर्ट्स को ही फैसके ठीक देनें चाहिये घीर उन के अपर इतना सर्च भी होता है। मैं पूछना पाहता हं कि प्रास्तिर इस जायदाय का हिन्दुस्ताव में क्या बनेगा? सुबह से शाम

तक पिछके यस बरसों से फिसी भादगी की क्षंद्र के इन्द्रक के मुतालिकक कोई सर्टेन्टी नहीं रही है कि कर्य तक वह जमीव उस के पास रहेगी या कब सत्म होगी। श्वारी जायदार्वे जो हिन्दुस्तान के मन्दर ह, शारी की सारी **सैंड्स** अनसर्टेन्टी की चैप _में पड़ी हुई हैं। पंजाब के सैंड रिफाओ 😝 मुताल्सिक मैं चैलेम्ब करता हूं कि यहां **इ**ठा हुमा कोई मिनिस्टर या कोई जब भूज को बतना दे कि प्राच ना की क्या हानत हुं। कोई भी नहीं वानता, न विनिस्टर ज्ञानते हैं, न बिस्ट्रिक्ट मैजिस्टेट जानते हैं द्वीर न वकीस जानते हैं कि सा की क्या श्लासत है भीर कितने बीबा जमीन एक बादमी रक सकता है भीर किन हामात में रक सकता है। कौन सा सा वैसिट है घीर कीन सा सा **क्रींसड नहीं है।** वसीन की तकसीय, हमारे ब्राइम मिनिस्टर साहब कहते हैं सीगस धीर श्रारल है। मैं कहता हूं कि यह यसत है श्रीर उसे गलत समझा जाना चाहिये । अयोंकि जब सब चीव घनसटेंन्टी में है तो क्रोट्स क्या करेंगे ? क्रोट्स को कोई अक्तपार नहीं है। कोर्ट्स को एन्जिक्यूटिव ते अपने मीचे दवा रक्ता है। सारे रेवेन्यू क्रोट्स में लाकी रेन नहीं है। नवे-नव कोर्ट्स बना दिये जाते हैं ताकि वे असग-श्रमन फैसला करें भीर इस तरह के भारिनरी कोर्ट्स के जितने हुकुक हैं वे सल्व किये बाते हैं। युन्ने अपकसोस से कहना पड़ता है कि प्रहां हम ऐसी धन्सर्टेन्टी में पड़े हुये हैं जिस क्रा ठिकामा नहीं है। प्रापर्टी का कानून शर्टेन नहीं है ।

यहां पर में क्रिमिनल सा का विक करूं तो जनाबबाला हैरान हो जार्वेषे । श्रमी तक किरोत्रपुर के प्रत्यर वह बाना शस्वर १ मीजूद है जिस में ऐस्युज्य की पिटाई की जाती थी भीर यह कीज हर एक शेशन जज, डिस्ट्रिक्ट मैजिस्ट्रेट झौर हाई कोर्ट सब जानते हैं। यह रेट मेखइस श्राज भी भौजूद 🖁 । यह हालत है हालांकि ऐसा सानहीं है। मैंने लाक मिश्चन की

रिपोर्ट को पढ़ा चीर नहां अफतोस है कि उन्होंने बन्द फ़िकरों में, बन्द सपड़ों में इस मोरिजिनल सवास को डिसमिस कर दिया जैसा कि सडिलकर साहब ने भी कहा है। ला जितना हमारा है, वह सारा इंग्लिश सिस्टम पर बेस्ड है, हमारी पार्लियामेंट मदर माफ पालियामेंट्स के डिजाइन पर । हमारे ला कोटंस, सुप्रीम कोटं धौर दूसरी सब जीजें उस सिस्टम पर बेस्ड है। यह स्रयाल तो छोड़ दीजिये कि वह कितना इंडियन वे भाफ विकिंग है, भीर कितना इंडियन जीनियस के मृताबिक यह चीज बनाई गई है और इन्साफ क्या है। हम को मान लेना चाहिये, जिसे उन्होंने डिसमिस कर दिया भौर हर एक भादमी यह डिसमिस कर देगा कि माज के दिन इमारा सारा इंस्टिट्युशन ऐंग्लो सँक्सन टाइप का है। प्रगर हम उस को माने तो मै पूछना चाहता ह कि इस ऐंग्लो सैक्सन सिस्टम भौर ला भाफ एविडेंस ने हमारा क्या हाल किया है ? मसल मशहूर है कि बो भदासत के सामने जा कर सच बोलता है, वह प्रसल मां बाप का नही है। जो पंचायत में मा कर झूठ बोलता है वह मादमी यसल मां बाप का बेटा नहीं है। प्रदालतों वें शुरु इस कदर चला हुमा है तो भी मैं सदन से पूछना चाहता हूं कि कोई बीजे इस ला कमिशन ने इस सारे झूठ को हटाने के वास्ते की है ? कोई बीज बाज कोर्ट्स के अन्दर की गई है, मैं नही जानता। मैं एक पुराना बकीस हं, ऋमिनस साइधर हं, बरसरे बाजार, गांव के बीच में करल होता है लेकिन नोमों की प्रांखों के सामने मृत्यिम सृट जाता है, यह फिल बजह से है ? वहां को यह ऐंग्लो सैक्सन तरीका है गवाही का, एविडेंस का, पुलिस मेयड्स का, वह इतनी देर चना भीर यह उसी का नतीचा है। इमारी जीनियस यह है, हम यह चाहते हैं कि ऐसा इन्साफ मिले, जैसे कि इस कथी

रानराज्यका विक करते में कि इस तरह कर इस्ताफ उन का था। हमें मह देना चाहियें कि पुराने सिद्धान्त सत्म हुवे! और जीवल अस्टित हमारा सिद्धान्त है और लोगों को इस से तसल्ली होगी। धाप उसी पर इस्तफ़ा करें। घपने कोर्ट्स को बेहतर बनायें। कोर्ट्स को बेहतर बनाने का इससे घच्छा तरीका नहीं है कि धाप के जजेड फर्स्ट क्लास और घच्छे से घच्छे हो।

मुझे खुशी है कि घब हमें मौका भी मिला है एक तरह से तारीसी इन्स्टिट्यशन को बनाने का, भपनी जीनियस को एक्ससाईज करने का। भव इस कोर्ट्स के भलावा पचायत कोर्ट्स भी बनने लगे हैं, बहा पर न्याय होगा भगर भाप दूसरा ला बना सकें. लोगो की जीनियम के मुतानिक बना सकें। धगर हम इस पुराने इन्स्टिट्यूशन को, जिस को हम ने ट्राई कर लिया है, सैरबाद कह दें, मौजुदाला प्राफ ए⊣िडेंस को धौर बाकी सिस्टम को, तो बहुत बेहतर होगा भौर मुमकिन है कि हुप किसी हद तक इन्साफ के पास पहुच सके। वरना मुझे डर है जिस तरह का इन्साफ था, बंबेबों ने सक्तेक्ट पापुलेशन को जिस तरह का इन्साफ करने का तरीका दिया हुमा था, मैं नहीं कहता कि ऐसा उन्होंने नेक नियती से नही किया बल्कि उन के मुस्क में बही वा घीर बहां चा कर उसी को रायज कर दिया, हम उसके काबिल नहीं ये घीर वह हमारी जीनियस के मुतानिक नहीं था । नतीया यह हका फिसवाकया इन्साफ हिन्द्रस्तान से उनका होता गया । अंग्रेओं के जमाने में सीर चव भी उनका होता गया वह इन्साफ क्रिक को इस इन्ताफ समझते हैं। तो भी मैं कहता हं कि विटिश कोर्ट्स के अन्दर शावद भाव के मुकाबके ज्यादा इन्हाफ होता रहा हो क्वोंकि उस क्सा में अंबे दर्जे के बचेस की क्ष्याइंटमेंट्स में इतना बोलनान नहीं होता

या वितना कि भाष सुत्रीमकोर्ट और हाई कोर्द्रंश में होता है। इस महते में अर्थ कर्मना कि वहां तक इन बीवों का सवास है मैं धर्च करना चाहता हूं कि यहां पर जिक बामा कि कानून का तरीका नंहना है या सस्ता है। नया मै जनाव की तवज्यह कॉस्टिट्युशन की बक्का १३४ की तरफ विसा सकता हं भीर हाई कोर्ट्स के सब क्रस की तरफ दिला सकता हुं या जितने पब्लिश्ड डिसीजन्स है उन की तरफ दिला सकता हं कि भगर लिटिगेशन की जितनी प्रापर्टी है उस की कीमत ५०० ६० या ' पांच हजार में ज्यादा होती है तो बह हाई कोर्ट घरि सुप्रीम कोर्ट में स्ववेवन माफ फैक्ट बन जाना है। सिनिस कोर्ट के भन्दर दूसरे भपील में भदालत दरभस्ल फैक्ट की घदालत नहीं रहती है। कोई ऐसा मुकहमा जिस की मालियत २० हजार ६० या उससे ऊपर की हो तो उसे मुप्रीम कोर्ट में ले जाये जाने का हक है भगर कानुन का सवाल हो बरना धपील सूत्रीम कोर्ट में जा ही नहीं सकती।

मैं भदब से मर्ज करना चाहता हं कि एक बादमी को हाईकोर्ट से भीर सुप्रीम कोर्ट से इन्साफ तभी मिल सकता है जबकि उसकी इतनी प्रापर्टी इनबाल्ब्ड हो । इसके मानी तो यह होंगे कि जिसकी बोड़ी प्रापर्टी है उसको सुप्रीय कोर्ट भीर हाईकोर्ट से इन्साफ मिल ही नहीं सकता । जिसका मामला खोटा है वह उसको हाईकोर्ट भीर सुप्रीम कोर्ट तक में ही नहीं जा सकता । इसके घलावा बहा का सर्वा इतना है कि किसी की हिम्मत नहीं कि हाईकोर्ट तक पहुंचे । हमने प्रपने कांस्टी-द्युशन की बका १४ में यह जिला है कि सब को ईक्पल प्रापोर्चुनिटी होनी । केकिन जिस घारभी का थोड़ी जाक्दाद का मामला है, उसकी तो वहां सक वहांच हो ही नहीं सकती । यह बहुत मामायच चीच है।

इती तरह ते में एक नवन कियनक सा के बारे में क्षर्य करना पाइता हूं। कितने ही थेंडे केसेच हुए हैं, हुस्सांकि ने रेघर हैं, जिनमें कि एक बेगुनाह धादमी को कांसी का हका रोता है। ऐसे केसेज़ में वह मकवमा बतौर हेक के संत्रीम कोर्ट नहीं जा सकता । फांसी का सिर्फ वही मकदमा बतौर प्रपील के हैक के सूत्रीम कोर्ट जा सकता है जिसमें हाई-कोर्ट ने कालेपानी की सजा को फांसी की भजा में बदल दिया हो । ऐसे केसेज के सिवा भीर दूसरे फांसी के केस बतौर हक के सुप्रीम कोर्ट नही जा सकते । यह ठीक नहीं है । मैं प्रजं करना चाहता हं कि इन्मानी कमजोरी के लिहाज से ऐसे सारे केस जिनमें फांसी की मजा दी गयी हो बतौर हक के सुप्रीम कोर्ट क्षक जाने चाहियें। मैं जानता हं कि इसका घसर यह होगा कि सुप्रीम कोर्ट पलेडेंड हो जायेगी क्योंकि ऐसे केमेज की तादाद ज्यादा होती है। लेकिन मैं भर्ज करना चाहता है कि मेरे इल्म में ऐसे केसेज है कि जिनमें बेगुनाह घादमी को फांसी की नजा हो गयी भीर वह पुत्रीम कोर्ट से इन्साफ हासिल नहीं कर सका भयोंकि वह बतौर हक के सुप्रीम कोर्ट तक नहीं वा सकता था। एक केस नहीं कितने ही ऐसे केसेज मेरे इल्म में हैं। जहां तक इंसानी ताकत का ताल्लक है डैय को रिकाल नही किया जा सकता है। इसलिये मै भर्ज करना चाहता हं कि चाहे जितना भी सर्चा हो, इस तरह के केसेज को जरूर बतौर हक के सुप्रीय कोर्ट जाना चाहिए।

भी सवराज तिह : उपाञ्चल महोवब, वा कमीधन की रिपोर्ट के बाद यह निविचत सा समता है कि कानून मन्त्रास्य का जो अधि-कार क्षेत्र है उसे बढ़ाना चाहिए। प्रमी तक कानून मन्त्रास्य का अधिकार क्षेत्र मसविद्या बनाने बाने जैसा, एक मृहरिंद के काम का रहा है, भीर जहां तक नीति सम्बन्ध वालों का सवास है उनसे उसका कोई सम्बन्ध नहीं रहा है। ता कमीधन की रिपोर्ट के बाद नृह मन्त्रास्य का बहु सारा का सारा कार्य वो कानून से सम्बन्ध रक्षता है वह कानून मन्त्रास्य के विग्ने किया बाना चाहिए.

TOO

[की समराम सिर्ह<u>ी</u>

नै ऐसा महबूस करता हूं। सबन में बूबीन कोर्ट धीर हाईकोटों के सिए बहुत ही प्रतिष्ठा के सब्द कहे नवे हैं। मैं उन सब्बों के साथ अपने की बोदता हूं।

वैकिन यह धार्यका प्रकट की नवी है कि देख के ऐसे कितने नागरिक हैं जिननें हाईकोर्ट घोर युप्रीय कोर्ट तक वाने की कायता हैं। यह ऐसी बात है जिस पर कि गम्मीरता-पूर्वक विचार करने की धावस्थकता है। वसक में घगर इस हिन्दुस्तान की जनता को निष्यक बीर सस्ता न्याय विजवाना चाहते हैं तो जो चरन्यरा सुप्रीय कोर्ट घीर हाईकोर्ट की इसारे देख में रही है, उसकी हमें नीचे जिला घीर सहसीन तक नाना होगा, बस्कि मैं तो कहूंगा कि हमें उस परम्परा को घपनी पंचायतों में माना होगा। इस जो पंचायतें चानू करने वा रहे हैं उनमें इसको वह परम्परा नानी होगी।

इस तिलसिले में सा कमीवान ने जो श्रीक्षस मारतीय जुडीश्वियस सरविस की सिफारिस की है मैं उसका स्वागत करता हूं श्रभी तक क्या होता था ? हमारे यहां मजिस्ट्रेट होते हैं। उन मजिस्ट्रेटों की नियुक्ति उनकी पदोष्ठति और उनके कार्य की देखभास एग्जी-क्युटिव करती है । इसलिये उनको यह भारवा-सन नहीं रहता था. उनको यह विश्वास नही रहता था कि ग्रगर वह कानून के मुताबिक श्रपना काम, श्रपनी मामनाओं के श्रनुसार क्यना काम करेंने तो उनका मविष्य सुरक्षित रह सकेवा । इसलिये इस संदर्भ में यह भी बहुत भावस्थक है कि बहां पर भाल इंडिया चडीशियंस सरविस का गठन हो, उसके साय ही साथ निश्चित रूप से एग्जीक्युटिव भीर ज़डीशियरी का श्रमगाव भी होना चाहिए अब तक यह प्रलगाव नहीं होता तब तक हिन्दुस्ताम के नागरिकों को न सस्ता न्याय मिस सकता है धीर न उनको यह विश्वास ही सकता

है कि उनको न्याय निसेना । वाय होता क्या है ? क्य भी वनता की मंत्रि को लेकर धाण लोग क्क्स की सरकार से मक्ते हैं, तो बक्त की सरकार के लोग, बंधि श्रमी वृष्टिश्रवरी बीर एन्बीकारिक का सेपेरेशक नहीं हवा है, बत्रत्वक क्य से, या उनकी कान में यह कह कर इस तरह के काम करावा करते हैं कि जिनसे कानून की इत्या हो जाती है । मैं बापको इसका उदाहरण देना चाहता हुं। मेरे यहां उत्तर प्रदेश में ११५७ में श्रम्याय के जिनाफ सत्याग्रह क्या । उस सत्याग्रह -के सिलाफ जान्ता फीजदारी के दका १०७ भीर ११७ का प्रयोग इस तरह से किया गया कि कोई भी भावमी भगर दिल्ली से बहां घपने क्षेत्र को बा रहा है तो उसको धपने क्षेत्र में घुसने से पहले ही गिरफ्तार कर जिया गया यह कह कर कि वहां तुम्हारे रहने से शान्ति को सतरा पैदा हो सकता है.। इस तरह की हासत में कोई जन धान्दोलन कैसे पनप सकता है। नोगों को सरकार से शिकायतें हो सकती हैं। पर प्रशासन भीर न्याब का सेपेरेशन न होने के कारण इस तरह से काम किया जाता है कि जिससे बस्त की सरकार को मदद मिले धीर इस कारण वो सोन जन भान्दोसन को उठाना बाहते हैं उनको न्याय की बाबा नहीं रहती। यह सेपेरेशन केवल इसीलिये धावश्यक नहीं है कि हमको निध्यक भीर सस्ता न्याय मिले बस्कि इसमिने भी कि बगर कोई लोग जनतन्त्र में विश्वास रक्षने बाने हों और जो धहिसक सरीकों से. महात्मा गांधी के तरीकों से बक्त की सरकार को बदलना बाहते हो, उनके दिमाय में यह साखंका न रहे कि जो मजिस्टेट बैठे हैं, जो इहकिम पर-गना बैठे है वे जनकी प्राजादी का प्रपष्टरम कर मेंने । हमारे मुल्क में इसी धरन हारा पारित निवारक निरोध कानून है । जसके प्रमुसार प्रगर किसी की कार्रवाइयों से केस को सतरे की धाशंका होती है तो उसको बिना मुकदमा चलाये बेम्द कर दिया परता है। मेकिन हम देखते हैं कि ऐसे मामने हूं

हैं कि इस कानन के होते हुए भी लोगों को बाब्ता फीबदारी की दफा १०७ और ११७ के अनुसार बन्द कर दिया जाता है।

इसलिये मैं निवेदन करना चाहता हं कि यह बहुत ही धावश्यक है कि प्रशासनिक सेवाओं का धीर खाय सेवाओं का. एग्जैन्टिव ग्रीर ज्युडिकारी का तुरन्त ही ग्रसकान कर दिया जाए । जब तक यह मलगाव नही होता है तब तक न्याय मिलने पर हमारी भास्वा नहीं हो सकती है। धाज हमें यह भाषा रहती है कि हमें सुप्रीय कोर्ट से, हाईकोर्ट से न्याय मिल जायगा और यह प्रशंसा की बात है कि लोगों का सुप्रीम कोर्ट और हाईकोर्ट में विश्वास बना हथा है धौर वे समझते है कि कम से कम बहां तो न्याय मिलने की बाबा ब्रवस्य रहती है। यह दूसरी बात है कि लोग हाईकोर्ट•तक या सुप्रीम कोर्ट तक पहुंच नही पाते हैं, या वहां तक पहुंचने की उनमें क्षमता नही होती है. उनके पास साधन नहीं होने हैं लेकिन न्याय मिलने की भाषा जरूर रहती है। मै चाहता ह कि यह बीज नीचे से नीचे तक की कोर्ट में होनी चाहिए । धगर हमें हर नागरिक को न्याय देना है, धगर हमें हर नागरिक के दिल में यह भावना पैदा करनी है कि उसे न्याय मिल सकेगा तो इसके लिए हमें नीचे उतरना होगा और लोगों को यह विश्वाम दिलाना होगा कि मैजिस्टेट की भदालत ने भीर उसने भी नीचे की जा घदालत है, छोटी से छोटो जो ग्रदालत है, बहा पर भी उसे न्याय मिल सकता है भौर निष्पक्ष न्याय मिल सकता है भौर कोई सतरे वाली बात नहीं है। भगर कोई व्यक्ति किसी चीफ मिनिस्टर के खिलाफ कोई बात कहता है, किसी मिनिस्टर के बिलाफ कोई बात कहता है, सत्तारूढ पार्टी के जिलाफ कोई बात कहता है तो उसको विश्वास होना चाहिये कि धगर उसके खिलाफ कोई कार्रवाई हुई तो उसे न्याय मिल सकेगा। जब इस तरह की भावना मृत्क में पैदा होगी, तब जो हमारा जनतन्त्र है, वह मजबूत हो सकेगा । इस देश में जनतत्त्र को मजबूत करने

का जिम्मा किसी एक पार्टी या किसी एर ध्यक्ति या किसी एक संस्था ने नहीं ले रखा है. देसे मजबत करने का जिस्मा हर नागरिक का हैं। मले ही यह जिम्मेदारी किसी जमाने मे चेबकि हिन्दस्तान की भावादी लडी जा रही थी, किसी एक पार्टी ने लिए रखी हो लेकिन भाज यह हर नागरिक की जिम्मेवारी है कि पेह देखे कि किस तरह से हमारे देश में जनतन्त्र मजबत हो सकता है। जनतन्त्र तभी पजबत हो सकेगा । जबकि हम लोगों को यह विश्वाम दिलायेंगे कि वे बाहे किमी तरह का काम भी करें, चाहे सरकार की मखालिफत करे, उन्हें न्याय मिल सकेगा। इसलिये यह जरूरी है कि एरजैक्टिव और उद्यक्तिरी का वैपेरेशन करने की दिशा में तेजी से कदम उठाये जायेंगे ।

for Grants

मझे भफ्योम है कि वे लोग जो कि भाजादी मिलने से पहले बार-बार इस तरह की बान करते ये कि एग्जेक्टिव और ज्यहिशरी का सेपेरेशन होना चाहिये ग्राज बहानेबाजी यह इसलिये कि हो पा रहा है कि इससे खर्चा बढेगा। मैं निवंदन करना चाहना ह कि यह जो दलील दी जाती है इस में कोई वजन नही है। हमारे यहा उत्तर प्रदेश में जो हाकिम परगना होता है वह ज्युडिशरो और एग्जे-क्टिव दोनों के ही काम करता है। इस की मैं चाहता हं कि सेपेरेट कर दिया जाय । करीब-करीब हर तहमील में वहा पर ब्लाक डिवेलेपमेंट भाफिसर होते है । घब धगर भाप वहां पर चाहें तो ये दोनों काम भ्रलग ही सकते हैं। जो ऐंग्जेफ्टिव नेचर के काम हाकिम परगना के होते है उन को बी० डी० घो० के सुपूर्व किया जा सकता है भीर जो न्याय का काम है उस को वह ब्द कर सकता है। इसी तरह से न्याय के काम को इसरे मैजिस्ट्रेट्स मे भी बांटा जा सकता है। ऐसा करने से सर्चे से कोई बढोतरी [की बजराज सिंह]

महीं होबी । यह वलील कि यह सैपेरेशन इस बास्ते नहीं हो रहा है कि सर्चा बढ़ेगा बैबनियाद है । यह दलील कोई वजन नहीं रकती है। इन दोनों चीचों को सेपेरेट मै समझंता है इसलियें नहीं किया जा रहा है कि भाज जो सामाजिक व्यवस्था है उस में जो सौग परिवर्तन लाना चाहते हैं. शान्त-वर्षक तरीकों से परिवर्तन साना चाहते हैं. महिसक उपायों द्वारा परिवर्तन लाना चाहते हैं. उन को किसी तरह से पनपने न दिया जाय, उन की बढ़ने न दिया जाय, उन की दबाना प्रावहयक है। इस सदन में जब निवारक नवरबन्दी कानून घाया था उस समय बहस के दौरान में यह बताया गया था कि इतने बादमी इस के धन्तर्गत जैलों में बन्द है। केकिन में पुछला चाहता ह कि दफा १०७ बीर दका ११७ के भन्तर्गत जो हजारो बाहमी बन्द पढे हैं. उन को क्यों बन्द रखा नया है ? जन की जो हालत है वह निवारक नवरबन्दी कानून के अन्तर्गत नवरबन्द सीवों से कम बरी नहीं है। मैं समझता हं कि यह ऐसी चीच है जिस के पीछे कि कोई सम्बा उद्देश्य नहीं है । इस बास्ते में निवेदन कहंगा कि ज्यदिशरी भीर एग्जेक्टिव के बेपेरेशन का तरन्त ही निर्णय हो जाना चाहिये । वहां पर निष्पक्ष न्याय दिया जाना बरूरी है वहा पर एक भीर बात भी जरूरी है जोकि इस के साय जुड़ी हुई है भीर जिस की तरफ कई माननीय सदस्यों ने इशारा किया है और ला कमिशन ने भी इशारा किया है। मैं कहना चाहता हं कि जब तक हाई कोर्ट के जजिस की नियुक्तियां चीफ मिनिस्टर की इच्छा पर होती रहेंगी तब तक देसे यह कहा जा सकता है कि लोगों को धांगे चल कर निष्पक्ष न्याय मिल सकेगा या न्याय व्यवस्था निष्पक्ष रह सकेगी । केंद्र लोग निष्पक्ष रहेंगे भी तो यह रूल के एक्सेपश्चन की बात होगी । नियम के अपबाद की बात होगी लेकिन अन्ततोगत्वा, आसिर में बा कर, वे निष्पक नहीं रह सकेंने। इस-

शिये यह बहुत ही भागस्यक हो जाता है कि जहां तक हाई कोट्स के जिस के एप्नाइंट-मेंटस का सम्बन्ध है, उस को एग्जैक्टिव से, चीफ मिनिस्टर के श्रमिकार क्षेत्र से हटा दिया जाय । मैं तो यहां तक कहना चाहंगा कि भारत सरकार के होम मिनिस्टर से भी इस का कोई सम्बन्ध नहीं रहना चाहिये, वहां तक उन की नियक्तियों का ताल्कक है। केवल बीफ बस्टिस के ही हाथ में यह बीज रहनी चाहिये. हिन्दस्तान के चीफ जस्टिस के प्रधिकार क्षेत्र में रहे. हाई कोर्ट्स के चीफ जस्टिस के प्रधिकार क्षेत्र में रहे । जब इस तरह की बात होगी तभी जा कर हाई कोटर्स के जजिस में यह भावना था सकती है, उन में यह विश्वास हो सकता है कि वे धगर किसी चीफ मिनिस्टर के खिलाफ किसी रिट पेटीशन में कोई स्टिकचर पास करेंगे. कोई काननी व्यवस्था देंगे. कोई रूलिंग देंगे. जिस में किसी की मान-हानि हो सकती है, तो कोई सतरे वाली बात नही होगी । मझे बतरा है कि जो ग्राज व्यवस्था है उस से ग्रागे चल कर इस तरह की बात हो सकती है, इस तरह का सतरा पैदा हो सकता है कि जिस तरह से वहां निष्पक्ष न्याय हम को धब तक मिलता रहा है, जिस निष्पक्ष न्याय की हम भाषा करते रहे हैं, वह भविष्य में नही मिल सकेगा। ला कमिशन की इस सिफारिश का मैं स्वागत करता हूं कि हाई कोर्ट और सुप्रीम कोर्ट के जजिस की नियुक्तियों में चौफ मिनिस्टर की राय नहीं ली जानी चाहिये या उन के द्वारा नहीं होनी चाहिये।

for Grants

इस के साथ ही साथ में यह भी कहना बाहता हूं कि यदि हमें जनता के लिये कुछ करना है, उस की मसाई सोचनी है, तो कब तक अंग्रेजी माथा के जरिये से हमारा काम बस सकता है। बहुत कोशिश करने के बाद बिटिश हकूमत के शासन के डेढ़ सी वर्षों के बाद भी एक परसेंट से अबिक सोग अंग्रेजी को पढ़

धौर समझ नहीं सकते हैं। मैं निवेदन करना चाहंगा कि एक परसेंट लोगों के लिये संप्रेजी भावा में धपनी त्याय व्यवस्था को, उस की प्रोसीडिंग्स को. उस की जजमेंटसं को धाप कब तक चलाते रह सकते हैं। भाज कानून की सारी कार्रवाई अंग्रेजी में होती है। क्या इस तरह से हम हिन्दस्तान के लोगों को न्याय दे सकेंगे. क्या उन में विश्वास की भावना पैदा कर सकेंगे कि उन को न्याय मिल सकेगा ? मैं समझता हं कि हम देश में भादमें स्थिति वह होगी कि भगर कोई व्यक्ति बोडा सा कानून भी भएनी भाषा में जानता है, तो उसे इस स्पिति में होना चाहिये कि वह बिना किसी वकील की राय लिये हए या बिना वकील को किये हए भदालत के सामने भपनी बात को रख सके । भाज स्थिति यह है कि बहुत से ऐसे लोग जोकि प्रादेशिक भाषाओं को जानते है. बगला जानते है, कन्नड जानते है, तेलग जानते है हिन्दी जानते है, कानून का जान उन्हें है लेकिन क्योंकि भदालत में सारी कार्यवाही धप्रेजी में चलती है, धप्रेजी में दलीले दी जाती है, घग्नेजी में जजमेंट्स लिखे जाते है उसी वें सारा कार्य होता है, इस वास्ते वे घपने घाप जा नही सकते हैं भीर इस के लिये उन के लिये वकीलों का सहारा लेना जरूरी हो जाती है। यह भयादह स्थिति है भौर इस का भन्न होना चाहिये भौर जितनी जल्दी यह हो उतना ही धच्छा होगा। भगर इस का शीघ्र ही मन्त नही होता है तो इस के बहुत बूरे नतीजे निकलने वाले है। करोड़ों नागरिकों के दिलों व दिमागों में कभी भी ऐसी सुरत में यह विश्वास नहीं भर सकता है कि उन्हें न्याय मिल सकता है बिना बकीलों के जरिये। बकीलों के बारे में इस को कहने से मेरा यह तात्पर्य नहीं है कि जो वकीलों का वबें है वह ऐसा है जोकि समाज में कोई भी किसी भी तरह का परिवर्तन नहीं पाहता है। इस के विपरीत में यह कहना बाहुगा कि बकीस वर्ग ने बहत ही ऋस्ति-

कारी काम किये हैं। आखादी की जड़ाई में उस न बहुत महत्वपूर्ण माग लिया है। जहां तक समाज की व्यवस्था में परिवर्तन लाने का सवाल है अगर कानून लोगों पर जान बूझ कर कोई असंवैधानिक बंदिशा लगाते हैं, तो उन में परिवर्तन करने के बारे में जो आन्दोलन होते हैं, उन का वे नेतृत्व करते हैं। लेकिन इस का मतलब यह नहीं है कि हमें हिन्दुस्तान के नागरिकों को पंतृ बना देना है और उन को ऐसा बना देना है कि वे हमेशा के लिये अपना काम आप न कर सकें।

इस वास्ते मैं कहना चाहता ह कि जो मंबेजी का मोह है उसे हमें त्यागना होगा । माज यह दलील दी जाती है कि हिन्दी भाषा में या घन्य भारतीय भाषाची में काननी खब्द कोष नहीं है, काननी शब्द नहीं हैं। मेरी समझ में नही घाता कि यह कौन सी दलील है। यह कहना कि पहले कानून का शब्द कोष बना लिया जाना चाहिये घौर उस के बाद हम भदालतों म हिन्दी तथा भन्य भारतीय भाषाची का प्रयोग करेंगे. सेरे विचार में लचर दलील है, ऐसी दलील है जिस का कभी भी कोई महत्व नहीं हो सकता है। भाषा का हमेशा ही यह काम होता है कि वह तथ्यों को प्रकट करे धौर जब तथ्य होते है तब भाषा बनती है। धगर तथ्य ही नहीं हैं तो भाषा का महत्व ही नहीं होगा। जब फैक्ट्स होंगे तो न उन के एक्सप्रेशन के लिये लैगएज की धावश्यकता होगी। घगर हमारे पास शब्द नहीं है तो शब्द घपने धाप बन जायेंगे । इस की फिक किये बगैर कि हमारे पास शब्द नहीं हैं, हम को धाव बढना चाहिये । मैं चाहता हं कि न सिर्फ बिसर जज की घरासत की, मुसिफ धीर मैजिस्ट्रेट की भदालत की बल्कि हाई कोर्ट की कार्रवाह धीर सुप्रीम कोर्ट की कार्रवाई भी संग्रेजी हैं नहीं होनी चाहिये भीर उन की सारी कार्रवाह [बी बज राज सिंह]

701 I

हिन्दुस्तान की घपनी बनानों में होनी चाहिये । हाई कोर्ट भीर उस के नीचे की जो घदालतें है उन की जो कार्रवाई होनी चाहिये वह प्रादेशिक भाषाओं में होनी चाहिये भीर सुप्रीम कोर्ट की जो कार्रवाई है वह हिन्दुस्तान के विघान के मुताबिक राष्ट्रभाषा सरकारी भाषा हिन्दी में होनी चाहिये।

भाज अग्रेखी हक्मत यहा पर नही है भीर हमें प्रग्नेजी से इतना मोह भी नहीं होना चाहिये। जब मैं यह बात कहता ह तो इस का यह मतलब नहीं है कि ग्रग्नेजी भाषा के मै घुणा करता हु। ऐसी कोई भावना अग्रेजी के प्रति में प्रकट करना नही चाहता। जहा तक नालेज के उपार्जन का सवाल है, जहा तक ज्ञान हासिल करने का सवाल है, उस का भपना महत्व हो सकता है भौर है। लेकिन हम जानते हैं कि कल जो धप्रेजी भाषा का महत्व या वह धाज नही है। धाज रूसी भाषा का भी महत्व बढ गया है भीर यन्य भाषायों का भी महत्व बढ गया है। कोई जमाना था जबकि यह कहा जाता था कि उन का सूरज कभी ड्वता नही था जिन का राज हिन्द्स्तान पर था भीर साथ ही साथ दूसरे कई मृत्को पर था । उन की भाषा का महत्व हो सकता है लेकिन दूसरी भाषाओं का महत्व भी कम नहीं है चौर ही रहा है भीर उन में टैक्नालाजी भीर तरह तरह की दूसरी चीजें हो रही है। इस वास्ते में निवेदन करना चाहता ह कि इस मामले पर गम्भीरतापूर्वक विचार किया जाये भीर कानून तथा न्याय की व्यवस्था जब तक हमारी अपनी भाषाओं में नहीं होती है तब तक लोगो में यह विश्वास पैदा नही हो सकता है कि बिना खर्च किये हुए भी उन्हें न्याय मिल सकता है। चूकि ला मिनिस्ट्री की डिमान्ड पर यह बहुस हो रही है और एलेक्शन कमिशन का भी उस के सम्बन्ध जड़ा हुन्स है इसलिये उस के सम्बन्ध में भी दो एक शब्द कहना चाहता हं। पिछले चुनाव में एलेक्सन कमिशन की तरफ से एक व्यवस्था दी गई। देश में कुछ मान्यता प्राप्त पार्टिया है । उस मान्यता प्राप्त पार्टियो को कुछ सुविधायें मिलेगी । कुछ दूसरे लोग है जो मान्यता प्राप्त पार्टी के नहीं है। एलेक्शन के वक्त जो मान्यता प्राप्त पार्टीज नही है उन को कुछ स्विधायें नहीं मिलेगी । जो मान्यता प्राप्त पार्टीख हैं उन को निवान मिलेगा, उन के लिये मतदाता सचिया बिना पैसे के मिलेंगी और इस तरह से उन को मल्क की सयासत में कम सर्चे पर भ्रपना हिस्सा भ्रदा करने का मौका मिलेगा। मैं निवेदन करना चाहता ह कि चुनाव कमिशन को इस पर गम्भीरतापुर्वक विचार करना चाहिये कि क्या यह श्रच्छी बात है। इस से एक नागरिक भोर इसरे नागरिक के बीच में कोई पक्षपात का वानावरण पैदा होता है या नहीं ? मैं चाहगा कि कानन में इस तरह की व्यवस्था की जाय कि जो भी नागरिक किसी चुनाव में खड़ा होना चाहे उस के साथ भीर इसरे नागरिक के साथ, जो किसी मान्यता प्राप्त पार्टी का उम्मीदवार हो, कोई पक्षपातपूर्ण व्यवहार न हो । भगर ऐसी व्यवस्था भ्राप कर सकने है तो हर एक के वास्ने कीजिये कि उस का मतदाता सुचिया मफ्त दे। इस के साथ ही मैं यह बात चाहगा कि भपने इस गरीब म्लक के गरीब ोगो को समद में भीर विधान सभाग्रो में भान का मौका मिले इसलिये यह व्यवस्था होनी चाहिये कि उन को धानी तरफ से ज्यादा खर्च करने के लिये मजबूर न होना पड़े । यह तभी हो सकता है जब इस तरह की व्यवस्था हो कि अपने चनाब के लिये वे अपनी चिट्ठी पत्री को भ्रपने बोटसं तक बिना कुछ सर्च किये पहचा सके। बिना पैसे के ही वे प्रपना सन्देश प्रपने बोटर्स तक पहुचा सकें।

इस के साथ ही मझे यह भी निवेदन करना है कि यहां पर चनाव पद्यति में परि-नर्तन करने की बात बार बार पाती है। किनास्ती कार्ड चलाने की बात भाया करती है। इसी सदन में कानन मंत्री महोदय ने यह फोटो बाली बात कही है कि किन्हीं क्षेत्रों में फोटो दे कर बोट उलवाये जायेंगे । मै कहंगा कि यह इस तरह का तजुर्वा है जो कि बहत ही सतरनाक साबित हो सकता है। हम इस के जरिये कुछ लोगों को बोट डालने से रोक सकते है। मै निवेदन करूगा कि इस पर बहत गम्भीरता पूर्वक विचार करने की जरूरत है कि हम कहीं अपनी चनाव पढ़ति में कोई इस प्रकार का परिवर्तन करने तो नही जा रहे हैं जिस से देश के संविधान के जरिये जो मौलिक ग्रियकार हमें मिले हए है उन का हनन होता हो । भगर उन का हनन होता है तो हम संविधान की भावना की इस कार्रवाई से बेडज्जती करते हैं, हम संविधान को ठेस लगाते है। हमारा फर्ज है कि जिस सविधान को हम ने बड़ी कुर्बानी के साथ बनाया है उस की खास इज्जत भीर प्रतिष्ठा करे। यह तभी सम्भव है जब हम उस की भावना के विरुद्ध कोई काम न करे। जो कानन हम बनाते है उस के जरिये ही धगर संविधान की प्रतिष्ठा के टटने का सवाल भाये तो यह कोई घच्छी बात नही होगी।

जहां तक कानून मंत्रालय को रुपया देने का सवाल है मैं कहूगा कि दूसरे मंत्रालयों के मुकाबले इस मैं बहुत कम काम हुआ है। इस के सामने बहुत काम है। जैसा मैं ने कहा कि इस का काम बढ़ना चाहिये। लेकिन इस के साथ ही साथ यह भी कहना चाहता हूं कि हालांकि कोई चुनाव इस वक्त नहीं हो रहे हैं लेकिन चुनाव कमिशन में भी दूसरे मंत्रालयों की तरह अधिकारियों के बढ़ाने की प्रवृत्ति बढ़ती जा रही है। पिछले साल जहां पर कि सुपरिण्टेंबेंट्स, असिस्टेंट सुपरिण्टेंबेंट्स भीर प्राइवेट सेकेंटरीज केवल पांच थे, उसी एलेक्शन कमिशन में इस साल ह अधिकारी

हो गये हैं। पता नहीं बह क्यों और कैसे होता जा रहा है। यदि प्रफसर बढ़ेंगे तो उन के साथ ही प्राइबेट सेकेटरी बढ़ेंगे, दूसरा इस्टैब्लिशमेंट भी बढ़ेगा, धाफिसर्स का खर्च भी बढ़ेगा। मैं निवेदन करता हूं कि जब काम न हो उस बक्त यह सब चले, यह मुनासिब नहीं है।

Shri Ajit Singh Sarhadi (Ludhitana): In the debate on the Demands for Grants relating to the Ministry of Law, the recent report of the Law Commission must come in for discustion, particularly, when it is a docution, particularly, when it is a docution of very great importance in the recent history of the administration of justice in the country. It not only rovers all aspects of the administration of justice in the country and the application of the rule of law, but it deals with certain features which are thost important.

I certainly endorse what my hon. Irrend Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava has said that the Law Minister as a representative of this House is certainly the guardian of not only the elementary rights but also the Directive Principles of State Policy laid down in the Constitution.

The first and foremost thing for consideration before the Law Commission was whether the present system of the administration of justice in the country is in accordance with the genius of the people and the experiment we have had in these few years after attaining Independence. I am glad that the Law Commission has come to the conclusion that except for need of reform here and there, the administration of justice under the present system is quite in consonance with the genius of the people of this country, and particularly, when, in the Constitution Parliament in its wisdom has enshrined certain fundamental rights for citizen, to protect which there should be a machinery, I am glad that the recent history of the country shows that the judiciary has stood the test and has been able to safeguard the fundamental rights of the people. [Shr: Ajit Singh Sarhadi]

But I must say that in one aspect. the Directive Principle of State Policy laid down in the Constitution has not been implemented in any of the States in the country except, of course, in Madras Here, I am referring to the Directive Principle of State Policy laid down in article 50 of the Constitution of India where it is said that the judiciary and executive should be separated.

You are aware. Sir. that at one time. it was thought that three years would be the maximum period within which the executive and the judicarry had to be separated in this country It was not made a statutory provision because it was thought that this might be done early But it is painful to see that now, when we are in the eighth or ninth year after the commencement of the Constitution. still we are at the same place wherefrom we started, and the Directive Principle of State Policy is still to be implemented, and still the executive and the judiciary is to be separated I am sure the Law Minister will pay attention to this aspect.

I was really surprised to see that the State Governments should raise such hurdles in the implementation of this Directive Principle You know. Sir, very well that in the PEPSU area of the Punjab, the executive and the judiciary were absolutely separated before their merger in 1956 Though it was not by statute, and it was by an executive order, yet the experiment of separation of judiciary from the executive was working successfully in the PEPSU area Instead of applying the principle of separation of the executive from the judiciary to the whole of Punjab after merger, the thing has been made otherwise, and the merger of the executive and the judiciary has been made applicable to those areas of PEPSU even

In this connection, I was really surprised to see the opinion of the Chief

Secretary of the Punjab Government, while he gave evidence before the Law Commission, representing the Punjab Government's viewpoint. am sure that opinion is not an isolated case, but that is a thing which is equally applicable to the rest of the States What he said while opposing the separation of the executive from the judiciary, is, I believe, the view of those States which have not as yet followed that policy laid down in the Directive Principle in the Constitution

for Grants

We find from the Law Commission's report

"The Chief Secretary of the Punjab Government who purported to represent the views of his Government stated that 'in the context of the situation that obtains in the Punjab, taking into consideration the incidence of crime and the nature of crime. the communal atmosphere. constant law and order problem, Government are naturally keen to have as effective a machinery under their disposal as possible for dealing with different types of situations and that Government's view is that if there is complete separation probably the Government's hands would not be as strong m dealing with crimes or dealing with law and order problem as they would be without separation'"

It means—and it is an admission of fact—that the executive also wants to use the judiciary for the purpose of administration of justice and for the purpose of administration and maintenance of law and order This is a wrong approach to the case This is also the view with which the Law Commission has concurred The Commission has condemned this practice

I had just now said that the view of the Chief Secretary of the Punjab Government representing that Government is not an isolated view:

believe it is also the view of the rest of the States in the country which are not implementing the directive principle of separation of the executive from the judiciary. I need not labour this point further except to quote the words of a Member of Parliament who gave evidence before the Law Commission, who wanted to contest the view of the Punjab Government. I am glad that that person is in a very exalted position now This is what he said:

"If the Puniab Government still thinks that they cannot control the law and order situation with separation, all that I can say is that the Government is not taking correct view of the situation If there is any difficulty, it has to be crossed over The main thing is that the executive do not want to part with their power Do they mean that they can control the law and order situation better if they can secure the punishment for the crimes as they want? If they want to maintain law and order at that cost, then better abolish the judiciary"

Shri D. C. Sharma (Gurdaspur): Who was that Member of Parliament?

Shri Ajit Singh Sarhadi: So the object is this, that the law and order situation should be handled in the proper way, not at the behest of the Therefore, I would subexecutive mit at the outset that the most essential is that the directive principle must be implemented, and the executive and judiciary must be separated Unfortunately, what existed by way of separation of the judiciary from the executive in the PEPSU area of the Punjab before the merger has to some extent been eliminated and PEPSU brought on to a level with the rest of the Punjab, rather than the rest of the Punjab being brought on to the level of PEPSU

The second point which I want to place before the Law Ministry for their consideration relates to article 40 of the Constitution. This article

lays down another directive principle. A village or group of villages should be made self-sufficient units and endowed with all the powers, both executive as well as judicial. Here too we have been very much remiss. You will find from the report of the Law Commission—they have examined figures upto 1954—that there are about 10 lakhs of cases of a civil nature pending in different courts of the country 90 per cent of the cases are of the value less than Rs 1000 value That is, a lakhs of cases are of value less than Rs 1000 value Now, the volume of civil litigation has certainly increased, and the delay in the administration of justice is partly due to the increase in the volume of civil litigation. In order to lessen this, the suggestion is that there should be decentralisation of justice, taking into view efficiency and also the correct way of meting out justice. Unfortunately, attention has not been paid to that side

As far as a generation back, the Civil Justice Committee recommended that there should be decentralisation of justice and the panchayats should be endowed with powers to decide both criminal and civil cases. They said

"Everywhere except Bombay it is accepted that village panchayats with judicial functions should be used for the disposal of small cases upto Rs 25 to Rs 200."

They further recommended

"An endeavour should be made to develop this system so as to withdraw all money suits of smaller value from the mussy's and small cause courts, thereby relieving these courts of large amounts of petty work and making it possible for them to cope with the larger duties we think may be given to them".

This recommendation of the Civil Justice Committee was implemented by having legislation in the different States by having gram panchayate and endowing them with the power

[Shri Ajit Singh Sarhadi]

to administer justice. Now, we have got throughout the States panchayats which are endowed with powers to decide cases, both civil and criminal. About the working of that, the considered opinion of the Law Commission is that it has been satisfactory. They have said:

"In most of the provinces where these courts have been introduced, they seem to have been popular disposing a large number of suits and relieving the regular courts of the burden of deciding petty disputes".

They have further said:

"We have reached a stage in our development when the village should be given more emphasis in the dispensation of justice".

If that is the basic thing, that in the decentralisation of justice the panchayats are to be endowed with larger powers to decide both civil and criminal cases, then I cannot understand why the Law Commission makes a recommendation that cases of a petty value up to Rs. 250 should be entrusted with the panchayats, and in special cases upto Rs. 500 with the approval of the Hight Court.

As I said at an earlier stage, the Civil Justice Committee recommended this a generation back. They put the target at Rs. 200. The Law Commission also makes a recommendation to the same effect, only raising the amount by Rs. 50. That looks rather anomalous. We have gained some experience of these panchayats and their working. Reports from different States definitely show-and this has been considered by the Law Commission—that the figures of cases decided and reserved show that only a very small percentage of the decisions of these panchayat courts were taken to the superior court, and that out of those that were taken, only a small proportion was reserved. means that the Law Commission in its wisdom certifies that the Panchayats are being run so far very efficiently, that few cases have gone in revision to higher authorities and a very small number of them have been reversed—which shows the capacity of the panchayats to decide cases of a smaller nature, civil as well as criminal.

Therefore, I cannot possibly understand why the powers of the panchavats should not be increased. I would submit that the powers of the panchayats should be to decide cases upto Rs. 1000 value. Of course, the method which the Law Commission has suggested of having a collection of villages and nomination out of them for the purpose of administration of justice, is one with which I do not disagree. But I would certainly submit that the power should be given to the panchayats to decide cases like that. Not only this. I will go further. The Law Commission itself has discussed that in certain countries there are conciliation boards, there is a sort of pre-trial conciliation. They have, of course, not accepted it here in principle. They have only recommended that the trial judge himself should be the conciliator before trial, and he should try to effect a compromise between the parties. So far so good. But I cannot possibly understand why this principle of conciliation, compromise and stoppage of litigation should not be taken further, and these panchayats made the conciliators and agencies of compromise, if not adjudicators and decidors. They should be given powers to conciliate in cases up to a certain value, say, Rs. 2000. They should see that conciliation place. After that, if necessary, case should come to the courts of law. This is my second point.

My third point is about the recommendation of the Law Commission for the creation of a cadre of All India Judicial Service.

15 hes

There has been criticism from some quarters; there has been appreciation

from some other quarters of this recommendation. I personally feel that it would not be a healthy thing to have an All India cadre of judicial services and I have got three objections to this.

The first objection is this. That has also been taken in the Law Commission's Report. That objection is this; that it would be a sort of creating a cadre of young men at the top whose pay would be higher than that of the rest of the judiciary—the subordinate judiciary—and thereby a lot of disparity between the two both in status and pay.

The object of the Law Commission is that there should be two cadres of All India Judicial Service One is class I and the other is class II. To the class I cadre of the Judicial Service in State there should be recruitment of 40 per cent from the India judicial service. This means that the rest of the 60 per cent will be recruited from the subordinate judicial service, class II. There will be 40 per cent of young people with a disparity in pay and in status, neturally. In the present Administrative Service you find a lot of annoyance, in the minds of those who are promoted from the Provincial Civil Service A young man becomes a Deputy Commissioner in 8 or 9 years. I do not think it is very suitable. Therefore, I would draw the attention to this one aspect that this would not be healthy.

The other objection is this. There will be a kind of language difficulty too. Every State has got its own language, the regional language. What will be the future state of the regional language is yet to be decided. We may come to the conclusion that the language of the State shall be the regional language up to the High Court. Then, because this is an all India cadre of Judicial Service having people from different States, when you transfer them, it will create a lot of difficulty in the judicial service of the State in the matter of language.

Thirdly, there will be a trespass on the sphere of provincial autonomy also. I say that there should be oneness in the country; there should be co-operation; there should be integration, Certainly. But, it is a Union of States. We have got a Union of different States. The States should have provincial autonomy. I personally feel that this would be a trespass on the States' jurisdiction so far as the judiciary is concerned.

I would agree with the Law Commission that so far as the appointment is concerned it should be by the Public Service Commission and the standard should be such that the supervision of the High Court is there.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Member's time is up.

Shri Ajit Singh Sarhadi: With your permission, Sir, I will take some time more I have not taken 15 min-

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: More than 20.

Shri Ajit Singh Sarhadi; The last point I am taking is about the appointment of judges. My hon, friend Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava much to say on that point. He criticised certain recent appointments. I have nothing more to say on that. matter. The Law Commission in its wisdom say that there had been communal and political consideration in the matter of such appointments. far as my State is concerned, such appointments have been from particular community and there has been no appointment from other community. I cannot say whether this was on any political considerations. I would certainly say that the State authorities must have a voice in the matter.

We are just on the threshold of freedom. Ten years is not a long period in the history of any country. Emotional integration has yet to take place. We have yet to become one nation. All interests ought to be represented; all interests ought to be

[Shr: Ajit Singh Sarhadi]

secured. I concede that ment efficiency and capability must be the primary consideration Not only the primary consideration, but be the main consideration But both in the south as well as in the north, there are other considerations. You cannot have merit unless you give a chance to the individual Therefore, to say that the power should entirely vest in hands of the Chief Justice of India and the Chief Justices of the States is not good We have got complete faith in them But yet for some years to come at least the views of the State also have to be taken into consideration They have to take into consideration many things They have got to see that there is due representation in the judiciary so that it may inspire confidence among the people Therefore, for the purpose of the efficiency of the judiciary and for its integrity too and that it should inspire confidence, I submit that the advice State authorities should count There should be some formula evolved that the state should not be entirely in an advisory capacity and they should have an important voice though not the last word

Pandit M. B. Bhargava (Ajmer) Mr Deputy-Speaker, Sir, we have been considering the Demands Grants for the Ministry of Law So far the debate has been concentrated on the 14th report of the Law Commission Prior to this report there have been 13 other reports In the report of the Ministry of Law that has been circulated among the Members, we find that reports 8 to 13 concern the revision of law in the domain of contracts, specific relief and acquisition of property and others But we have not the slightest indication as to what attitude the Ministry of Law proposes to take in respect of the earher reports made by the Law Commission, which were submitted as far back as September 1956

In that report this distinguished body has laid great emphasis upon the

aspect of a unified High Court in the different States of India I would ask in all humility what is the policy of the Government of India on that important recommendation of the distinguished and expert body, whether it is proposed to shelve that report and put it into cold storage And, if it is so, what sin have the people of the State of Rajasthan committed so that, with stubborness, in spite of agitation and widespread protests and resentment, the Bench at Japur was abolished and a unified seat of the High Court was set up at Jodhpur? I would ask, if that is the policy which the Government of India accepted, than has where ìa the reason for this discrimination against one particular State and in not implementing that policy in respect of other States

15.08 hrs

[SHRI C R PATTABHAI RAMAN in the Chair]

I, personally, am fully in accord with the unanimous decision of the distinguished body to establish, the interests of efficiency of judicial administration, a unified High Court in every State But the question arises. where is the seat of that unified High Court to be located? Is it to be located on the ground that there are parochial and regional considerations in a nook or corner of a vast and extensive State like Rajasthan? Or is it to be located in some central place where everybody, every litigant has equal access, where the dispensation of justice can be made cheapest? This policy has to be clarified

I would request the hon Law Minister to please clarify the position of the Government of India in respect of this recommendation of the distinguished Commission

So far as the genesis of the appointment of this Law Commission is conDemande

cerned, I would respectfully the attention of the hon. House to the announcement made by the Ministry of Law on 5th December, 1955, whereby it announced the personnel of the Commission and also the terms of reference of this distinguished Commission. I respectfully submit irrespective of whatever may be said of the Ministry of Home Affairs, the primary responsibility to the House is upon the shoulders of the Law Ministry to give us categorical assurances as to how far it is proposed to implement the recommendations. The last report is in a way a great thought-provoking and epoch-making document in the judicial history of our country. It is regrettable that some of the speakers have spoken of our judiciary in a disparaging manner. In their opinion, judiciary in our Constitution has a subordinate position to the legislature. With all the emphasis at my command I repudiate that insinuation. It is upon a misconception and misreading of our magnificent Constitution. The three organs of the State, the executive, legislature and judiciary, have got, within the fold of our splendid Constitution. Duties and responsibilities to discharge and the provisions of our magnificent Constitution place heavy responsibility upon the shoulders of our judiciary. It is not only our protector but also guarantor of the Fundamental Rights of the citizen. The splendid Preamble of the Constitution says that by constituting India into a sovereign democratic republic we enter into a pledge to secure to all its citizens, justice. social, political and economic and freedom of thought and expression. belief, faith and worship and also to secure to all the citizens equality of opportunity and status. Above all, we also pledge to preserve the dignity of the individual in the sphere of the ever-increasing social activities. It is a difficult and significant role that our judiciary has to discharge; it is a very notice task. It has to protect against encroachment by the executive upon the liberties of the people of India. It has also

the duty of giving a liberal and enlightened construction and interpretation on the provisions of our Constitution so as not to retard the onward march of the country towards the establishment of the socialist pattern of society and the establishment of a welfare State.

It has been said during the course of the debate by some speakers that our learned Judges are far behind the urge of the time. I repudiate that insinuation. The pronouncements of the Supreme Court and the High Courts in recent years, during the last decade since coming into force of our Constitution, have been characterised by clarity of thought, lucidity of expression and profundity of learning. They have shown consummate skill and analytical consideration and a liberalised thought so as to advance the march of the country towards the establishment of the welfare State. If they could not, they will not be doing the splendid duty assigned to them under the Constitution. And they do not stick to the letter of law. While making use of a liberality of thought, they are bound to interpret the different pieces of legislation that had been carelessly passed either by the State. legislatures or by the Central Legislature and test the validity and constitutionality of these laws. They may find that some of these laws have infringed the provisions of the Constitution; and if they find that the limits placed upon the legislative powers of State and the Central Governments are transgressed, the duty of the Judge is plain. My respectful submission is that it was an arduous task and the way in which it has been discharged consciously by our judiciary is a matter of pride to every citizen in this country. That is why the distinguished Commission in its report has said that there should be no executive interference in the matter of the appointment of the Judges of the High Court or the Supreme Court.

[Pandit M. B. Bhargava]

7027

The Constitution-makers and those of us who were associated with the noble task of 'Constitution-making. when article 217 was enacted never thought that it would be abused in the manner it had been abused during the last ten years so that most of the Judges appointed not only in the High Courts but in the Supreme Court are more or less nominees of the Chief Minister or of other executive heads. This is a state of affairs which had been condemned in a very strong language by the Law Commission. I ask the hon. Law Minister in all humility whether the Government has any intention to implement the recommendation of this distinguished Commission and alter the wording of article 217 so as to make it clear beyond doubt that it will be the duty, sole and exclusive duty, and responsibility of the Chief Justice of the High Courts to make appointments of the Judges. solely on the basis of merits and this is a duty which he has to discharge with the concurrence only of the Chief Justice of India.

It has been said that the Chief Minister must have a say in the matter. Yes. The Commission itself has considered that point and it has recommended that the only voice that can legitimately be given to a Chief Minister in this matter is merely a negative voice but he could not and must not be given a positive voice-negative voice in the sense that a certain proposal may be made and then that proposal must be sent to the Chief Minister who has the liberty to express his opinion about that person. But he could not insist upon the substitution of that person by a nominee of his choice. That right, if it exists within the fold of the present Constitution, must be taken away in the interest of the independence of the udiciary.

The next point which I would like to refer to is the recommendation re-

lating to the upgrading of the age limits of the Judges that adorn the High Court and the Supreme Court. The proposal is that the limit must be raised in the case of High Court from 60 to 65 and in the case of the Judges of the Supreme Court from 65 to 70. In America and other advanccountries, there is no agelimit upon the Judge. So far as he is physically capable of discharging his own work, he is at liberty to occupy that great office. But in India, if we are to have recourse to age-limit, the recommendations the Commission are moderate and it will be necessary in the interest of the high degree of work expected of the judges that the age-limit of the judges be raised in the manner sugested. They have also suggested that the agelimit in the subordinate judiciary be also raised to 60.

In the matter of salary, the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court in America gets 20,000 dollars which has recently been raised to 25,500 dollars. The Commission says that m view of the economic conditions the salary of our judges cannot be recommended to be raised. But, Sir, so far as the allowances, pension, leave and other things are concerned, they have made important suggestions and they should be considered.

Lastly, I would call upon the Ministry of Law to accept and implement the recommendations of the Law Commission in respect of the undertaking of an All-India legislation on the model of the Bombay Separation of Judiciary and Executive Functions Act, Act 23 of 1951, and to make an all-India legislation for the immediate separation of judiciary from executive functions.

Shri P. R. Patel (Mehsana): Chairman, Sir, I thank you for giving

me time to participate in this debate. I submit that we appreciate the intelligent labour put by the Law Commission. The report is a very valuable document. It has come at a proper time when it was required in this country. We know that the tendency of the executive to usurp the powers of the judiciary has been on the increase in our country. In every legislation that we had-no doubt, we are also responsible to some extentthe proposal was to give more powers to the executive usurping the powers of the judiciary. And, in the name of tribunals we excluded the jurisdiction of the judiciary.

The Law Commission has made certain recommendations, and I think this Government will implement them. I personally feel that whatever tribunal it may be—labour tribunal, income-tax tribunal or any other tribunal—that tribunal should be under the High Court and members of the tribunal should be nominated or appointed by the High Court. The Government should have nothing to do with the appointment of the personnel of such tribunals.

*What is happening today? No doubt, some of my hon, friends ferred here to the separation of judiciary and executive in the Bombay State. It is true we have separated judiciary from the executive. But. what is the trend? In the Police Act and in other Acts the powers have been given to the executive and the judiciary has been debarred from it. I can give some instances. A man can be externed even by a police officer. A man can be externed by the executive, and there is no appeal or revision to the High Court or any other judicial court. In the Panchayat Act and even in the Local Boards Act there is a clause that if there be any dispute regarding the election of the President or Vice President the final authority is the Collector and none else, the man cannot go to the judicial court. Therefore, the tendency was to usurp the powers of the judiciary and centralise all the powers in the executive. At this time the report has come, and there are very good suggestions in the report.

A very good suggestion that I like is the creation of an all-India judicial service. It is necessary that we create this service on an all-India basis. We know that a strong judiciary, an independent judiciary is the backbone of democracy. Ours is an infant democracy, and in the infancy of our democracy the tendency of the excutive was to usurp powers day by day. Under the circumstances we require to safeguard the democracy for which the judiciary should be independent and strong.

A right suggestion also has been made by the Commission in regard to the appointment of High Court Judges and appointment of Supreme Court Judges They have said that this should not rest with the executive. I think that is a very proper suggestion to keep our judiciary independent of the executive I think the appointments, promotion etc. should be at the discretion of the High Court Chief Justice and the Supreme Court Chief Justice.

Sir, I go further and submit that the whole judicial department should be under the High Court. What is happening today? For their appointments, prospects etc. they go to the executive. Therefore, naturally they are to be on good and friendly terms with the executive. The result is that with the ten years working of the judiciary people have been losing respect which the judiciary deserve. So far as appointments are concerned, that has been done on a communal, regional and political basis. That has also, rather, decreased the reputation of our judiciary. I would submit that the recommendations of the Law [Shri P. R. Patel]

Commission be accepted and implemented.

A suggestion has been made by my hon friend that the judicial courts should work in the regional languages. I absolutely agree with that suggestion I am coming from a former State of Baroda There we had all law books in Gujerati The plaints and appeals were also submitted in Guierati The arguments were done in Gujerati My experience is that we worked well. I think if we adopt regional language in our courts, at least up to sessions courts and district courts, it is not bad. After all, the clients who sit nearby must understand what the advocate does Now the advocate is arguing in English and the client does not know anything. What happened in Baroda? Upto the time of merger, we were practising in Guierati. Afterwards we had to practice in English On one side we got rid of the Baroda rule hut on the other side we got the English rule I think in our country we should adopt our regional languages up to the district level.

I wish to say something about the appointment of Government pleaders and prosecutors In Baroda, Police Prosecutors were conducting the cases on behalf of the Government They were not under the Police but they were under the Judicial Department before 1949 and that was the case in Baroda for years together. We find the suggestion now in this direction in the Law Commission's Report, but this is a suggestion which had been implemented years ago in Baroda territory. It is desirable that this should be done because the duty of the Police Prosecutor is to get justice and not to get conviction Today, the Police Prosecutor feels that he must secure conviction and does not care for justice

I would like to mention one other matter relating to the pay scales of the judiciary. Much has been said regarding the low salary of the High Court judges and Supreme Court judges. I am of opinion that the magistrate should be paid at least 50 per cent more than his present emoluments. We expect something more from the judiciary than the executive. We know, Sir, that the executive officer gets so many things done and he is not expected to be so honest as a person serving in the judiciary. We expect much more from a person in a magistracy

Mr. Chairman: This is the first time I hear of any degree of honesty!

Shri P. R. Patel: We expect something more, Sir, and I think, the salary should be a little bit more Today we are paying them meagre salaries and we expect so many things from them I would suggest that the Government should look into the matter

Lastly, I would submit that retirement, the High Court judge or the Supreme Court judge should not be allowed to have any job under the Government Otherwise, at the time befor his retirement he would just try to please persons in the executive so that he may get a 10b after retirement. I may refer to the story that was referred to by other hon. friends about a Chief justice going to an Embassy I think that it was wrong on the part of the Government to have selected a person who has served as judge of the High Court and it was also wrong on the part of the person to agree to serve in that capacity

Therefore, I feel that there must be a law in this country that the man retiring from the judiciary may not take any job under the Government. We should give sufficient amounts of pension to the persons in the judicial services. There are very good, honest persons in the judicial department; and they die as paupers, while, as we know, some persons serving in the executive, especially in the Police

Department, leave a lot of money after them when they die. So many stories are also said regarding the political workers. When they die they also leave behind them a very good fortune. So, I am of opinion that the High Court Judge, the Supreme Court Judge and the District Judge should be given a good amount of pension.

Shri Jaganatha Rao (Koraput): Mr. Chairman, Sir, I have a feeling that the Ministry of Law does not occupy a proper place that it should occupy in the Central Government In 1957, we find, the Minister of Law did not even have Cabinet rank I pointed out in 1957-speaking on the Demands of this Ministry—that a Ministry of Justice The Ministry should be created should be responsible for appointments of judiciary and also for the administration of justice in the whole country I am glad that the Law Minister are of the hands now strengthened by the report the Law Commission The Commission have stated Ministry of Justice should be appointed at the Centre When I say this, I do not want to suggest that the Ministry which is now in charge of the appointment of judges is not acting properly, or that it is acting in collaboration or in coordination with the Ministry of Law, I do not want to suggest that However, I would say this that it ought to be the duty and the responsibility of the Ministry of Law to look to the appointment of judges and also to see that the administration of justice is carried on properly in the country.

Much has been said about the report of the Law Commission I am sure the Government considering the report and that they would come forward very soon with necessary legislative changes to implement their recommendations. It has been suggested by Shri H. N Mukerjee that the Law Commission did not deal with the problems of revision of laws according to the needs of the society. He

means to suggest that our society is based on the concept of private ownership of property which has come down from Anglo-Saxon jurisprudence. True, it is We cannot prevent a man's thinking; nor could we compel any of our communities to think in the Communist way of thinking. Our society is based on certain principles which are guaranteed under the Constitution Our constitution guarantees such freedoms to every citizen of the country.

Sir, it has been suggested by him that we are having too much reliance on precedents. How can we ignore the judicial precedents in the conduct of our judicial administration? It is the judicial precedents that have been responsible for the type of justice that we are having today.

Sir, it has been suggested that retired judges of the High Court and Supreme Court should not be appointed to any other jobs; more especially, political appointments Some hon members have cited the case of Mr Justice Chagla One of the hon. members on the other side mentioned that he went to the corridors of the Ministers' residence I would like to state that it was the Government that had to seek his services. A man of outstanding ability had to be secured and so it was he that was requested-I would put it that way-to accept the appointment in the United States

Shri Narasimhan (Krishnaguri): Rather, the office

Shri Jaganatha Rao: Therefore, it is not correct to say that every Judge is appointed to a political job or post when he runs after the Ministers or he walks along the corridors of Ministers' bungalows. It is not correct to say that. We cannot generalise on such filmsy grounds

We cannot also lay down an inflexible rule or law that no High court Judge or Supreme Court Judge should be eligible for any other appointment. [Shri Jaganath Rao]

If a Judge is suitable for any job, certainly he should be appointed. I see no reason why the prospect of a judge, after retirement, being appointed to a job to which he may be best suited should be deprived. I think it would be a dangerous move if we accepted that proposition.

I am not going to deal with all the recommendations of the Law Commission as several hon. Members have already spoken about them, and I am sure that the Government will come forward soon with suitable legislative amendments. But there are some matters which do not require any legislative amendments and I think the Ministry of Law should implement those recommendations of the Law Commission as early as possible.

One of the recommendations is the separation of the judiciary from the executive. It is a very salutary recommendation and Article 50 of our Constitution also envisages this. It is a pity that even nine years after the Constitution came into force, nothing has been done in several States regarding this matter. I know that in Madras and Andhra they have done it. The system there is, functions of the collector are separate from the functions of the district magistrate, and the district magistrate and the district judge are interchangeable offices, and they are directly under the jurisdiction of the High court. The collector and district magistrate, however, has the power to take action in certain cases of emergency under sections 144 and 107 of the Criminal Procedure Code. There are also cases where the munsiffs also are invested with first-class magisterial powers. I think that every State should be asked to implement these measures as early as possible. I do not know why the Central Government has not even been very keen on the implementation of this necessary and salutary change which the Constitution envisages.

Another far-reaching recommendation is the legal aid to the poor, Our Constitution says that every man shall have equal protection, equal freedom, justice and all that. But how is it that in every State it is very difficult for the poor man to go to the court and seek relief, not to speak of the High Court and the Supreme Court? So, in such cases, I see no reason why the Governments of the States concerned should not take up this issue in right carnest.

As the procedure now stands, litigation is very costly. It is not meant for the poor. But is only the rich that they can go to the courts and vindicate their rights. So, what has to be done? Should not anything be done to safeguard the interests of the poor and the less privileged sections of the society? I think the Law Ministry, on the strength of the recommendations of the Law Commission, will now do well to goad the State Governments to proceed with the necessary legislation in this regard. Of course, I should say that there must be more voluntary effort on behalf of the members of the Bar. They should also cooperate and try to serve the society as well.

Another recommendation which I would deal with is about the creation of an all-India judicial cadre and the creation of an All-India Bar. I see no satisfactory explanation is given by the Government to show why this has not been done. The legal profession in the country has been agitating for a very long time that there should be an All-India Bar, and that has not been taken note of. Similarly, the creation of an All-India Judicial Service would be very helpful and would create a healthier atmosphere in the country. Judges can be transferred from one State to another and from one High Court to the other, and we can be sure of getting impartial justice. If the judge of a High Court-I do not mean to say a word against their integrity or honesty-happens to occupy the post in a State for a long number of years, naturally he would develop some likes and dislikes. So, it is better, as is done in other Government

services, that the judges also are transferred, after they had been for a certain period of time in one place, to another place.

When the appointment of judges is also left to the responsibility of the Ministry of Justice or the Ministry of Law, as it is called now, without the interference of the Government of the State, I think a day will come when we shall be proud of our judiciary in the country

Another matter which has not been referred to by the hon. Members so far is about the Income-tax Appellate Tribunal. The members of the Tribunal are appointed on a contract besis for five years, and some of them are also re-appointed after the expiry of the term, but they are not entitled to any pension or gratuity or anything like that But they deal with very important matters involving lakhs and crores of rupees They should have some sense of security so that they can discharge their functions more sincerely and with greater care something has to be done in this regard

I was speaking about making justice cheap and speedy I said that just ce as it is administered today is not meant for the poor and is not within the reach of the poor man Take, for instance, the court-fee that is payable The court-fee is a State revenue, and the States nowadays have been increasing the court-fee by even double the rate I know that Madras they have practically doubled the value of court-fee payable. In some other States, the rate of courtfee has been increared by 50 per cent. so much so that it is difficult for poor men to go to court What was suggested by the Law Commission is that in appeals, only half the court-fee payable by the client may be ordered to be paid so that poor people can afford to go to a court of appeal against a decision which goes against them.

About the Administrative Tribunals, I have a few words to say. In a wel-410 (Ai) LSD-7

fare State the activities of the Governments of the States are expanding in several directions. It is but natural that there should be tribunals, but the jurisdiction of the High Court, the powers of the High Courts to review the decision of the tribunals, should be maintained. The Law Commission has accordingly recommended to that effect. I think the Government should straightaway accept the recommendation so that the powers of the High court under articles 226 and 227 are not taken away.

Under art cle 226 there is another snag. Unless the officer or authority against whom a writ is sought stays within the territorial jurisdiction of the court, no writ can be issued. The Government of India has the head-quarters at Delhi, and supposing an officer has no headquarters within the territorial jurisdiction of the High court, the high court concerned cannot issue a writ. Section 226 has, therefore, to be amended suitably.

I would say a few words about the election law, because elect on law also comes within the purview of the Ministry of Law. I would request my friend the Minister of Law to simplify the election law. Of course we have amended the Representation of the People Act recently, but I am afraid we have hurried through the process and not given a serious and careful thought to it Of late, it has become the tendency of the Government-I am sure I would not be misunderstood-to rush through every legislation without giving thought to it. When it is struck down by the High Courts or the Supreme Court the Government come forward with a validating ordinance and a validating law. I request the Government to devote greater attention to this, so that when it comes forward with pieces of legislation the difficulties can be avoided.

Shri Narasimhan: Mr. Chairman, firstly regarding the Law Comm saion's report, all of us, whether in the administration, judiciary or the legislature, should be very grateful to those emi-

[Shri Narasimhan]

7039

nent men for having brought out such an eminent document and set people thinking. I hope Government will seriously consider the report in detail. They should divide the report into three or four categories, points which may be attended to immediately, etc They should divide the report into various kinds of subjects and start implementing them in quick instalments. Otherwise, since the Commission is becoming more or less a continuing body, they will come forward with further reports and you will be caught napping. You would not be able to cope up with the reports of the Commission So, it is better that we take quick action

Mr. Chairman: The Commission will now deal with statute law

Shri Narasimhan: That will be equally important So, the sooner you do things, the better it will be. One of the most inoffensive of the recommendations of the Law Commission is the one that a special officer should be appointed to implement the report I would not be surprised if Government have already done something about it, because it is such a simple and natural recommendation. If they have not already done it. I hope they will do it as the first step towards implementing the recommendations They should have a special division to implement the report. This is contained in the classified summary of recommendations, Part I, page 3

You yourself, Mr. Chairman, and Mr Jaganatha Rao have already referred to the need for changing article 226 in order to facilitate writ applications before all the courts, instead of confining it to a particular court and making it a privilege of that court, thereby depriving the privilege of the common man to seek redress in all courts

The Law Commission have naturally thought about many matters. They have given advice on matters pertain-

ing to the Centre, the States, amendments to the Constitution, etc. There are one or two recommendations which we in this House should also attend to. There are certain recommendations to be attended to by the Cabinet as such. There is a suggestion to the department dealing with drafting of Bills. They are very interesting and that is why I want to read them. For instance, it says:

"All fresh proposals for legislation, unless of emergent nature, should be submitted to the permanent Commission for detailed examination before enactment."

"All important Bills should be circulated to the public courts and the Bar associations for inviting opinion"

We have come across cases where there have been mistakes because they were not referred to State Governments Though the mistakes have not come to prominence now, I am sure they will come later on I am referring to some provisions in the Representation of the People Act where certain village officers and revenue officers of one State were referred to It was not enquired whether similar officers were there in other places or not, whether they are also to brought in the same category or not, etc So, due opinion of the State Governments should be taken before we take the final step.

There is another advice-

"All important rules should be submitted for prior scrutiny to the permanent Commission assisted by an officer of the concerned administrative department."

"Legislative programme should be adequately planned in advance; full and adequate instructions should be given to draftamen and sufficient time should be given to them for scrutinising and reshaping the amendments moved and accepted in Parliament or the State Legislatures."

This is very necessary. When I go to the mofussil, even in small districts, I come across lawyers saying, "Your legislation creates great difficulty; it does not seem to have been well thought out". They almost blame the legislators, but I know for certain how things have happened. None is to blame in particular. The policy evolved by the Cabinet and the draftsmen are directed to draft the Bills and the Bills come before the House. The House is a huge body representing various shades of opinion. Being in a large number, they are capable of yielding to certain emotional appeal and so, the whole concept of the law is changed and legislation becomes contradictory. Therefore, Government will do well to invite Members of Parliament unofficially and find out what they think about it; legislation should be directed towards that end If that is done, last minute changes brought about by the House and the inability of the Government draftsmen to cope with the stuation immeditely can be avoided. That will add to the correctness of legislation.

Regarding election tribunals, I have one point. I have found out from personal experience that their needs are not looked after. Sometimes they do not have typewriters. In the course of , trial at one time. I found that the typewriter went out of order. Even copies of proceedings, papers, rules, etc. are sometimes rather difficult to get. I would not be surprised if they have improved later, but there were such difficulties. Apart from election tribunals, there may be other tr bunals as well I hope this aspect of the matter will also be remembered.

Finally, the burden of legislation has come very heavily on the Law Ministry and they have been doing their best about it. I congratulate them on their achievements all these years. I hope they will bestow great attention whenever legislation is made and see that all our laws are foolproof.

Shri Supakar: Mr. Chairman, many speakers have dealt at length on the corroding influence of politics on our iudiciary. It is unfortunate that in India politicians are swayed more by passion than by reason and we are apt to think that those who differ from us are reactionaries. That is perhaps the reason why, when Mr. Khadilkar made his speech, he said that judiciary should be subordinate to the sovereign body of our country, the Parliament, thereby laying undue emphasis on the sovere gn character of this Parliament and underestimating the responsibility that is given to the Supreme Court and the High Courts by the Constitution of our country.

16 hrs.

I shall not deal with the influence that politics has come to play on judic ary during the last ten years. There have been people in much higher circles than Shri Khadilkar who have not hesitated to say that the judges are living in an "ivory tower" and that their job is to "keep sitting wearing wigs and gowns for a number of hours a day and look very learned." That is one aspect of the picture. The other aspect is that of influencing our judiciary. Our judiciary has been well characterised, as has been quoted by Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava, in the statement of a Judge of a High Court, which ways:

"If the States Ministry continues to have a powerful voice in the matter, in my opinion, in ten years' time or so the last of the judges appointed under the old system will disappear and the rindependence of the judiciary will have disappeared and the High Courts will be full with judges who owe their appointment to politicians."

That will be an evil bad day and that may, in the opinion of some of the Members, might help the development of socialism but in that process democracy will be killed. [Shri Supakar]

Now I will deal with some of the aspects in which the Law Ministry is directly concerned. You know, Sir, that the Law Commission has submitted several reports regarding the revision of legislation on subjects like partnership, limitation, registration etc. Though some of these reports have been submitted as long ago as three years ago, no action has been taken as yet. This is one aspect of the responsibility of the Law Ministry that is being discharged in a very unsatisfactory and very slow manner.

There is another aspect of the problem which is cropping up with the development of our public sector which needs the attention of the Law Ministry more than the other Ministries of the Government of India. As the public sector develops, we have to go in for more and more new types of contracts regarding different kinds of business in our public sector like steel, shipping, housing and other subjects. Those contracts have to be scrutinised by the Law Ministry. It is a sad experience that in the past we have undergone a huge amount of loss, delay and other difficulties on account of our not being very strict in our scrutiny of these contracts and by letting many loopholes in our contracts with the various concerns who have come forward to develop our public sector.

These matters have to be looked into very carefully. I am afraid that the Law Min'stry, as it is constituted at present and the staff that goes to man it are probably not sufficiently active or numerous enough to look into these matters. But unless the draftsmen and other persons are more active and their strength is added up, we shall not be able to cope with the newer and newer responsibilities that are devolving on the Government of Ind's.

In this connection, I will say that there should be proper co-ordination between the Ministry of Law and the other Ministries which are concerned with the nation-building activities, especially the Ministries which are engaged in the development of the public sector.

One aspect to which I wish to lay emphasis is the leg slation that we are having from time to time. It is a painful experience that in many of the enactments, both in the Centre as well as in the States, there is what is known as the "Henry VIII clause" which excludes the jurisdiction of the law courts on that legislation. That is a most unsatisfactory state of affairs. The Ministry should always take care to guard zealously the rights of the citizens to take recourse to a court of law when their rights and privileges are infringed.

Another point which needs emphasis is the legislation in Hindi. The Supreme Court and the High Courts probably will be asked in the future, if not in the very near future, to conduct the arguments and to have the judgments in Hindi.

16.08 hrs.

[Mr. Deputy-Speaker in the Chair]

The Committee which was appointed to look into the report of the Official Language Commission has given its report. In the mean while, if we realise the responsibility and if at all we intend to change English from being the official language of Supreme Court and the H gh Courts, even if the change is to take place after 20 years, we have to take steps now to have our laws translated, either into Hindi or the local State languages and we have to use less and less of English. Also, the reporting of law, the judgments, arguments in and so on in other languages have to be looked into. In these matters I think the Law Ministry has a big respons bility.

Then another point which I wish to raise is the delay in the disposal of cases. Though the Government of

India may not be directly responsible in these matters, I specially draw the attention of the Government to those cases in which the Government is a party, specially the Government of India and in some cases the State Governments It is a well-known fact that when an ordinary case between two private parties takes a year or two to be disposed of, it takes nearly twice as long for a case to be disposed of in a case in which the Government is a party and in which the Government has to pay some money. There is absolutely no excuse whatsoever for the Government, which enjoys a very much more privileged position than an ordinary citizen of the State, to permit the case to be delayed so long I believe the Government will take all steps to see that it does not claim any spec al indulgence from courts so far as these cases where the Government is a party are concerned

Now I will refer to a small point before I finish, and that is about the All India Services' We have some bitter experience from the All India Administrat ve Service This matter has been referred to by some previous speaker, where junior officers without sufficient experience become heads of districts and their administration is not suffic ently satisfactory and not Consequently, the sufficiently able throughout administrative standard India in different parts of the States is gradually going down. I wish the same story may not be repeated in the establishment of the All India Judic al Service I believe the Government will think twice or thrice before they give serious thought to the establishment of an All India Judicial Service

भी स्वाबस्त राम उपाध्यक्ष महोदय. बाज प्रात काल से इस विधि मंत्रालय के सर्व के धनदानों पर विचार हो रहा है

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Hon Members who want to speak should give some indication that they are ready to speak. When I was looking this side. they gave no indication.

ghri Khushwaqt Rai: I was looking that side, unfortunately I have been waiting so long I thought I would not get a chance .

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: All right.

धो सुशबस्त राय प्रातकाल से जो बादविवाद हो रहा है वह इस बात पर होता रहा है कि ला कमिशन का जो प्रतिवेदन बाया है, उसकी जो सिफारिशे हैं उन पर कोई भ्रमल किया जा सकता है या नहीं किया का शकता है। मेरी समझ में जैसे पहिल ठाक्र दास भागव ने कहा कि घगर हम यह पा हते हैं कि ला कमिशन ने जो सिफारिशें की है उन पर काल किया जाय तो इसको सेंटर में एक मिनिस्टर प्राफ जस्टिस बनाना चाहिये भौर जब तक मिनिस्टर भार्फ जस्टिस नही बनता है तब तक ला कमिशन की जो मन्य मस्य क्रिकारिशें है उन पर ग्रमल नहीं होगा ।

द्याप श्रीमान जानते है कि बहुत सी बार्ते यहा तक कि ऐडमिनिस्ट्शन घाफ जस्टिस की जो बात है उसका ताल्लक राज्य सरकार मे होता है भौर बहुत सी बातें ऐसी है जिनका कि सम्बन्ध होम मिनिस्टर से रहता है।

भ्रभी थोडी देर पहले हमारे एक साथी बोल रहे थे। उन्होंने इस बात की चर्चा तो की कि मग्रेजों के जमाने से यह परम्परा चली माई है कि होम मिनिस्टर का कार्य मलग और ला मिनिस्टर का कार्य ग्रलग होता है। चन्होने यह भी कहा कि यह इसलिए कि ना मिनिस्टर को मस्विदे घौर ईफटिंग का कार्य दिया जाता था भौर चुकि होम मिनिस्टर इयोज होता या इमलिए उसको जजेज के रेपायन्टमेंट्स का कार्य दिया जाता या । अगर यह बात कि उसका मायन्दा प्रतिफल **ब्या हो इस बात पर उन्होने प्रकाश नहीं डाला** । ती इसका तो एक ही परिणाम निकलता है भीर वह यह है कि सेंटर में मिनिस्टर धाफ जस्टिस होना चाहिये और उसके ताल्लुक बह सब बातें हो जिससे कि वह स्टेट म जिस

[श्री सुशवस्त राय]

7047

तरीके के न्याय होता है उसको भी देख सके भीर उसको कोमार्डिनेट कर सके भीर उनको डाइरेक्शस दे सके भीर तब यह हो सकेगा कि ला कमिशन में जो रिपीर्ट दी है उसकी जो सिफ़ारिशें है उन पर ग्रमल हो सकेगा। लेकिन एक बात मैं उसके बारे में बहुत ही नम्प्रतापूर्व विधि मत्रालय से भौर उनके बरिए गृह मत्रालय का घ्यान दिलाना चाहता ह और वह सिफारिश यह है कि जहा पर ला कमिशन ने यह कहा है कि जजो की नियुक्ति में चाहे वह सुप्रीम कोर्ट के हो अथवा हाई कोर्ट स के हो, पोलिट्किल, रीज्नल भौर कम्युनल कंसडिरेशस् काम करते है। मै समझता ह कि इस बात को तुरन्त ही बन्द कर देना चाहिये। धगर हम चाहते है कि हमारे देश मे प्रजातत्र फैले, हमारे देश में डिमाकेसी फैले भौर उसकी उन्नति हो तो यह मावश्यक है कि जज ऐसे होने चाहिए कि जिन में जनता का विश्वास हो, भौर जनता का विश्वास तभी हो सकता है जब जज ऐसे हो जिनकी नियक्ति जो मैंने कसीडरेशन्स बताये है उन पर न की गयी हो । प्रभी बोडे दिन पहले की बात है । मैं सुप्रीम कोर्ट गया हुमाया। वहा में एक घदासत में बैठा या और वहा पर एक राज्य का मामला पेश हुआ और उसके सम्बन्ध में वहा जो बहस हुई भौर जा लोगों से बात हुई उससे मुझे यह मानूम हुआ कि उस राज्य के एक जज की नियुक्ति वहा के चीफ जस्टिम की मर्जी के खिलाफ सिर्फ इसलिए कर दी गयी कि वहा के चीफ मिनिस्टर चाहते थे कि इस जज की नियक्ति हा । भीर उसका फल क्याहुबा? उसकाफल यह हुबाकि जब उसी राज्य द्वारा जारी किय गये एक ग्राडिनेन्स पर बहस हा रही **यी ग्री**र ऽसी जज के मामने थी पर उम जज का उससे कोई मतलब नही था, वह मामला चीफ जस्टिस के सामने था, तो उन्होंने राय दे दी कि ग्राडिनेन्म बनाने का प्रधिकार उस राज्य को या। इससे चीफ अस्टिस को परेशानी हुई भीर उन्होंने उस फैसले में कहा है कि ऐसी बातें हमारे बदर

जज को नहीं कहनी दाहिए थी जिनसे हमें परेशानी हो, लासकर जब कि उनकी कोई मावश्यकता नहीं थी कि यह कोई ऐसी बात कहें। तो में प्रापके चरिये से विधि जंत्री को भौर विधि मंत्री के जरिये गृहमंत्री जी से यह सिफारिश करना चाहता हूं कि इस तरह की नियुक्तिया हमारे देश में जो प्रजातत्र है उसको बक्का पहुचा रही है । ऐसी नियुक्तियौँ को खत्म हो जाना चाहिए । मै यह जानता हुं कि विधि मंत्री का इस में बहुत हाथ नही है, लेकिन में जानता हू कि विधि मंत्री कैबिनेट रेक के हं तो उनकी बात गृहमत्री धवस्य स्वीकार करग । इसलिए में ने उनसे दरसास्त की है कि वह इस नन्फ विचार करे।

भव में कुछ बाते इस मत्रालय की कार्य-वाइयों के बारे में कहना चाहता ह भीर में समझता हु कि मुझे उन पर प्रकाश डानने का प्रधिकार

पहली बात जो मुझ कहनी है वह यह है कि जब मिनिस्ट्री की तरफ से डिमाड्स पेश की जाती है तो साधारणनया यह होता है कि वो उसके जो माइनर हैइस होते हैं वह एक ही जगह पर दिये जाते हैं। पर इसमें आप देखेंगे कि डिपार्टमेंट माफ लीगल एफेमर्स की जो डिमाड दी गयी है उसकी डिटेन्स वहा पर नहीं रखी गयी है बल्कि भागे चल कर लेजियलेटिव डिपार्टमेंट के बाद उनकी डिटेल्स दी गयी हूं। इस वजह से जो भी इस दिसाड का पढ़ना है उसका डिटब्स के बाननके बारे में परेशानी हाती है भीर मुझ भी यह परेशानी हुई। में न यही के एक महायक में उसके बारे में पूछत नो वह भी नहीं समझ पाये। फिर उन्होंने विधि मत्रालय के किसी प्रधिकारी से पूछा भीर उन्होंने बनाया । ता में समझना हू कि जब दिमाइम पेण की जाये और उनकी जो किताबें बनायी जायें उनमे इस बात का क्याल रक्षा जाये कि इस तरह की परेशानी न हो ।

इसी तरह से में इसरी बात यह कहना चाहता हं कि इस में प्रावेवल सेविन्स दिसायी गयी है। मै समझता हं कि सेविय्म का जिक डिमांडस में नहीं होना चाहिए । जब भाप खानते हैं कि हम को इतनी सेविंग्स होनी हैं तो उतना कम करके प्राप डिमाड पेश करे। मह जो प्रावेदल सेविंग्स है इनको रिवाइज्ड बजट एस्टीमेट्स में दिखाना चाहिए । में समझता हं कि इन सेविंग्स को इस तरह ने डिमाड में नही दिलाना चाहिए ।

Demande

तीसरी बात में इस प्रनुदानों की पुस्तक के बारे में यह कहना चाहता ह कि इसमें एक्सोडीकर भान युनियन दैरीटरीज की डिमांड नम्बर ७१ के नीचे ४,३०,७०० रुपया दिसाया गया है। उसका सविस्तार विवरण पष्ठ ६ पर दिया गया है लेकिन उसमें केवल ३.०६.७०० का विवरण दिया गया है। बाकी रूपया कहा जाता है, उसका कोई विवरण नहीं दिया गया है। यह मेरी समझ में नहीं भाता कि ऐसा स्वा किया गया है।

यं बार्ने मुझे डियाड्स के बारे में कहनी थी। धव में मंत्रालय के भीर कामीं के बारे मे कहना बाहना ह ।

मझ से पहले बालन वाले माननीय मदस्य में कटेक्ट्स के बारे में जिक्र किया । ममिकन है कि में गलती पर हाऊ, लेकिन मेरा स्वयाल है कि जो एक्लिक सहरटेकिया के साथ मरकार के कट़ंक्ट होते हैं उनके बारे में इस मनालय ने परामर्श नहीं किया जाता । भक्तर प्रश्नी में यह बात मामन भानी है कि बहुत से कट्रेक्टम ऐसे हाते हैं जिनमें पीनाल्टी क्लाज नहीं होता । प्रगण कोर्ट कानुन को जानने बाला एग्रीमेंट के इाफ्ट का देखेगा तो जरूर कहेगा कि इस में पीनाल्टी क्लाज का होना जरूरी है। इसको तो वही लोग नजर मन्दाज कर सकते है जिनका कानन की भानकारी न हो । रिपोर्ट में बह कहा गया है कि इस तरह के कंदेक्टस के बारे में जो सेटल

गवर्नमेंट के द्वारा किये जाते है इस मंत्रालय का मध्यविरा लिया जाता है। ग्रगर ऐसा है तो मै जानना चाहंगा कि फिर यह कैसे होता है कि इन एपीमेंटस में पीनाल्टी कवाज नही होता ।

दूसरी बात यह है कि बहुत से कट्रेक्ट इसरे मत्को में कर लिये जाते हैं। मेरी समझ में नहीं भाना कि ऐसा क्यो किया जाता है। हम जानने है कि जो कटेक्ट हमारे देश में होगा उस पर हमारा कानन लाग होगा और जी दुमरे देश में होगा उस पर उस देश का कानन लाग होगा । इस प्रकार के ग्रवसर पश्लिक एकाउन्टस कमेटी में हमारे सामने बाते रहते हैं जिनमे यह कहा जाता है कि चुकि यह कटेक्टस इसरे देश में हुआ है इसलिये हम इसमें कोई कार्रवाई नद्रीकर सकते।

दूसरी बात जो मैं कहना चाहता हू कि वह यह है कि जो आपने रिपोर्ट दी है उसमे धापने कहा है कि धाप राज्य मरकारी को मलाह देते हैं कि वह कौन में व्यक्तियों को नियक्त करे। धभी जम्म काश्मीर में एक पोलीटीकल मामला चल रहा है। उसमे ग्रापका जो मीनियर वकील है उसकी फीम घाप देते हैं। वहा पर पहले एक वकीस भापने मकरेंग किया, उसके बाद ग्राप बिहार से एक वकील लाये जिनके बारे में कहा गया कि यह एक्स हइं कोटं जज ह धौर उनकी त्रिर्मनल ला की जानकारी बहुत श्रच्छी है। मगर उनके बाद धाप एक और दूसरे वकील को फेबल्स फीम देकर लाये क्योंकि उन्होंने कुछ काग्रेस मित्रयों के मकदमों में इलाहाबाद में पैरवी की थी। धगर धाप किमी अच्छे किमनल लायर को लाना चाहते थे तो ऐसे किसी लायर को लाते जैसे कि हमारे पहिल जी बैठे हुए हैं। मगर उनका स्थाल न कर धाप ऐसे एक वकील को साथे जिसने कभी किमिनल कोटं में प्रैक्टिस नही की भीर न हाई कोर्ट में प्रैक्टिस की ।

ख्याच्यक महोबय धापने भी इस्तियाज किया। पंडित जी के बारे में तो कहा पर जो साहब पडित जी के पास बैठे हैं उनके बारे में नहीं कहा।

बी सुशबस्त रायं उनकी सिफारिश में किसी बगले मामले में करूगा दूसरी बात मैं यह कहना चाहता ह कि सन १६५७ में एक ला मिनिस्टर्स की कानफरेस हुई थी और उसका जिक भी इसमें किया गया है। उनकी जो सिफारिशें है उनके बारे में कहा गया कि उनकी प्रामेसिंग हो रही है। मै तो यह जानना चाहता था कि जो वह कानफरेंस हुई क्या उसकी सिफारिशों को इम्प्लीमेट किया गया। बाहिर सी बात है कि जब भ्राप एक ला मिनिस्टर्म की कानफरेंस बलाने है जिसमे सारे राज्यों के विधि मत्री धाते है और जिसमें भाप भी शामिल होते है उसके जो फैसले होने है उनको कार्यान्वित नही किया गया । यह बड़े द स की बान है। उसका एक फैसला तो यह हैं

Checking of corruption in the administrative machinery of courts इसरे मैपेरेशन भ्राफ ज्याडिशरी फाम दी गग्जेन्टिव भौर नीमरे लीगल एड ट पुत्रर पीपल । ये द्यापने फैमले किये थे और मझे खेद के साथ कदना पहला है कि इन फैसलो पर ग्राज नक धमल नहीं हुआ है जहां नक मझे मालुम है। मेरी समझ में यह बात नही आती है कि जब ग्राप ही फैमल करते हैं तो क्यो नहीं इन फीमलो को ग्राप ग्रमल में लाने हैं। मै मानता ह कि लीगल एड ट दी पुझर देने में काफी दिक्कतें हैं, काफी कठिनाइया है लेकिन मैं यह कहना चाहता ह कि अगर आप सभी मामलो में यह नहीं कर सकते हैं तो कम से कम धारा ३२ जो हमारे मविधान की है. जिसमें ग्रगर किसी सिटिजन के फड़ेसेटल राइट्स इनिए ज होने हैं, उसमें नो धाप यह कर ही सकते हैं। आप दिल्ली में रहते हैं और दिल्ली में रहते हुए यह सहायता प्रदान नहीं कर सकते हैं, यह बड़े धार्चर्य की बात है । गरीब प्रादमी जिसके फंडेमेंटल राइट्स हो ने हैं. और जो अपनी गत सप्रीम कोई तक से वाना चाहता है लेकिन से जा नही सकता है. उसको तो कम से कम मफ्त सीगल एड दे ही सकते हैं। इसका क्या जस्टिफिकेशन है कि ग्राप फैसले करते हैं भीर ग्रच्छे फैसले करते हैं. उनके पीखे भण्छी भावना रहती है. परन्त जब उन फैसलो को धमल में लाने की बात द्याती है. तो स्नाप हिचकिचाते है । साप कहते हैं कि ग्राप स्टेटस को लिखते हैं लेकिन स्टेटस को लिखने के बाद भी तो प्रापको इस पर विचार करना चाहिये कि मागे क्या कार्रवाई हो सकती है। केवल केरल राज्य ही एक ऐसा राज्य है जहा पर यह चीज की गई है भीर उसको छोड कर किसी भी राज्य में इस पर विचार नहीं हमा है। मैं समझता ह भगर स्टेटस से भाप यह नहीं करवा सकते हैं, तो कम से कम मैटर मे ही घाप इस चीव को करवाये ।

मझे दो तीन बानें भीर कहनी है भीर विस्तार में न कह कर मैं केवल प्वाइट्स ही बयान करना । शापने यहा पर एक अनुवाद विभाग है टामलेशन विभाग है। मै जानना चाहगा कि इस विभाग की क्या कारगजारिया है। सन् १९५० में हमारा मिवधान बना वा धौर उस समय उसका हिन्दी सस्करण प्रकाशित हम्रा या । उसके बाद उसका मशी-चित हिन्दी सस्करण भाज सन् १६५६ में प्रकाशित किया गया है और यह भी तब जब बैने इसके बारे में वई बार नवाल किये ! दम बरम बाद हिन्दी संस्करण प्रकाशित किया गया । नौ सालो में वह हिन्दी का मस्करण ही प्रकाशित नहीं कर सका और इस बीच में उसमें सात मशोधन हो चके है। ब्राज मी बाप हिन्दी माथा भाषियों के ऊपर दूसरी जबान लादे हुए है जिसको कि वे पढ नही सकते हैं धीर जो बाहते हैं कि उनको हिन्दी में किताबें पढने को मिलें, लेकिन मिलती नही हैं। जब संविधान के प्रनुवाद में इतनी देगे हो सकती

है तो जो दूसरे कानून हैं चनका तो कहना ही क्या।

शब में इलेक्शन कमिशन के बारे मे इस कहना चाहता ह । वह भी भापसे सम्बद है। इलैक्शन कमिशन को ऐसी कोई बात नहीं करनी चाहिये जिस से कि पेटिशनर को या रिसपार्टेंट को प्रधिक सर्वा करना पडे यर उनका सर्चा बढे । जितनी भी इलैक्शन क्रई है, उनमें कमिशन ने सिक्योरिटी के मामले में इतना तुल दिया और एक रिमार्क किया कि एक एक करके देश की बहुत मी प्रदालनो ने माना भीर उन मामलो को भान्तिर में हाई कोर्ट भीर सुप्रीम कोर्ट में जाना पडा भीर बहुत में पेटिशनर ऐसे थे कि जो चाहे वे वहा जरना भी चाहते ये लेकिन चुकि उनके पास पैसा नहीं था. पैसे की कभी थी. वे जा नहीं सके । इस बास्ते मैं कहना चाहता ह कि इस तरह की बात नहीं होनी चाहिये।

धव मैं रिमुवल भाफ डिसक्वालिफि-केशन ने बारे में कुछ कहना चाहना ह ! में जानता ह कि जो नया मशोधन मन् १६४६ में हमा है उसके द्वारा भ्रापने इलैक्शन कमि-शन को यह अधिकार दे दिया है कि वह १४०(ए) के मानहन किमी भी डिम-क्वालिफिकेशन को रिमव कर सकता है। परन्तु डिसक्वालिफिकेशन में कई फर्क होते है। एक डिम्बवालिफिकेशन वह है जो कि इलैक्शन दिब्यनल की फाइडिंग में होती है। मैं यह चाहता ह कि इसके वारे में भापकी कुछ हिदायत होनी चाहिये । इसका कारण यह है कि इलैक्शन ट्रिब्युनल ने जब फाइडिंग दे दी कि इसने कोरप्ट प्रैक्टिस की है भौर उस प्रैक्टिस के लिये भगर वह डिमक्वालि-फाई हो गया है तो उमकी डिसक्वालि-फिकेशन जल्दी ही रिमृब नही किया जाना चाहिये ।

एक बात मैं यह भी कहना चाहना हु कि इसैक्शन कमिशन की घोर से राज्यो को कुछ भनदान दिये जाते हैं कि वे मध-दाता सन्दियों को ठीक रख सकें, उनको खपवा सकें, उनमें शद्धि कर सकें। मझे यह देश कर प्राक्ष्ययं हुया कि बहुत में जो प्रनदान दिये जाते हैं, उनमें राज्य की जो भावादी होती है, उसका कोई हिसाब नही रखा जाता है, कोई उसका ध्यान नहीं किया जाला है। एक राज्य है जिसकी भावादी छ साढे छ करोड है, उसको एक नास का ग्रन्दान दिया गया है । पिछले साल उसे बीस लाख दिया गया था घौर बीस लाख के सरीव वह सर्चा भी कर रहा है लेकिन ग्रब उसको घटा दिया गया है भीर पाबादी का कोई लिहाज नही रहा गया है, यह क्यो नही रन्दा जाता है, यह बात मेरी समझ में नही चाती है।

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, कहने के लिए तो मेरे पाम धौर भी बातें थी लेकिन चूकि ममय नहीं है, इस वास्ते में अपना भाषण ममाप्त करता हूँ।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Now, Shri Subiman Ghose

Shri Warior. I made a submission that I wanted to speak. It has become almost a lawyers' day today. Why not call a layman who has experience of the dock?

Mr Deputy-Speaker: We have actually started with a layman

Shri Subiman Ghose (Burdwan): If a layman is allowed to speak, he will make the judiciary subordinate to the executive

Shri Warior No

Shri Khadilkar. This is not fair

Mr Deputy-Speaker: The hon Member may now pull it out in as short a time as possible

Shri Subiman Ghose. The Law Commission was appointed presumably, I think, to reform the law and [Shr: Subiman Ghose]

7055

the law courts after Independence, according to the changed circumstances and in consonance with our training and culture and social needs. Undoubtedly, the Law Commission has done a Herculean task, but one thing that strikes me, and which perhaps has not been noticed by the Law Commission, is in regard to addressing the law courts.

I do not know why after the attainment of Independence, when we are living in a republic, the address in law courts should be as 'My lord', or Your honour', or 'Huzur' or 'Dharmavatar', and we should continue these It makes the judges assume an overbearing attitude and makes I do not know whethem touchy ther it has actually happened or it is only a figment of imagination, but there is a story which is current which One very big lawyer was is this arguing a case before a High Court Judge, and in this feeling he said Then gentleman' That touched the Judge and he flew into a rage and said 'You must address the court very properly You must not say The lawyer answered like that' My lord I am sincerely sorry I called Your Lordship a gentleman'

I think the time has com when this mode of address should be discontinued Now practically it makes the business of the lawyer to eulogise and the Judges think that their business is to be eulogised I request the Law Minister to take steps so that this form of address may be discontinued Nowhere m the republican countries-I speak subject to correction-are the Judges addressed in have continued such a wav We with this because it is the system in vogue in England because the Judge there is thought to be the representative of the Majesty and therefore the Majesty is addressed through the Judge Therefore, he is called 'My Lord' or 'Your Lordship' But I think this is a medieval system in a republican country I request the

Law Minister to take steps so that he might discontinue it

The second point I want to urge is this. My hon friend was taking exception and saying that they will make the judiciary subordinate to the executive I do not want to draw a distinction between the Law Ministry and the judges Compai,sons are always very odious There may be very great men in the Law Ministry and there may be very great men among the judges But the fact remains that if we give a blank cheque to the Ministry, we shall find that the High Courts will be filled up by party men We have learnt by this time by bitter experience that defeated candidates in elections have been appointed Judges That will be done, if this blank cheque is given to the executive. It is said often and on that the defeated candidate possesses the requisite qualification, that he is a B L and is a lawyer of some years stand. ing, therefore, there is no harm in appointing him as a High Court Judge But my submission is that that should not be done However great he might be, he has chosen a career, he has taken to the life of a politician and if he is defeated in election, that shows that he is not wanted by the people But then he becomes the people's Judge That is an absolutely anomalous position

I tried in the last session—I do not know whether you remember it or not—to bring forward a Bill for amending the Constitution regarding the appointment of Judges so far as article 217 of the Constitution is concerned but my imsfortune was that I could not carry conviction with you and I ultimately withdrew it

Shri Hem Barua (Gauhati) Con

Mr Deputy-Speaker: He is talking of what happened in the Committee on Private Members' Bills and Reselutions

Shri Subiman Ghose: I think in the Atness of things the Governor should not have any hand in the appointment of Judges. It is the business of the Chief Justice of the respective High Court in consultation with the Chief Justice of India. To ensure real justice and fairplay, this rule should be followed, and the Ministers should not be given any power—the executive should not be given any power—to appoint Judges.

In this connection, I want to say that even in district courts, the munsif may be promoted as Sub-Judge. Once a person begins his career as a munsif, he becomes a Sub-Judge. think that should be stoppage after that because it has been found from experience that promoted servicemen are not according to the needs of the country, or, if I may be excused for saying that, they are not efficient persons If District Judges have to be appointed, they have to be chosen from among the lawyers of at least ten years' standing. They should be * recruited invariably from among the lawyers and there should not be any promoted men from the promoted service brought into that post

Secondly, I submit that in the Constitution there is article 222. what for is it there? It is almost a dead letter to all intents and purposes. We do not find that any right has been exercised under article 222 of the Constitution If this article is applied it will prove two things; one, the oneness of India, if judges are transferred from one State to another By remaining in one place for all time to come, there might be some sort of vested interests growing, if I may be permitted to say so There may be some likes and dislikes of the judges towards some lawvers and towards some litigants. To ensure justice and fairplay my suggestion is that it will be better if the lawyers of one State are appointed judges in another State. not in the same State. That will ensure confidence of the lawyers and the lingant public.

Then, I come to another point, law's proverbial delay. There is delay everywhere and the law's proverbial delay is there. One thing that strikes me—one which has not been mended till now—is that we find the cases pending in the High Courts for such a long time and the rule itself becomes ineffective. I will give one concrete example

One man was granted a permit for a motor route Against that the aggrieved party made an appeal. He won the appeal. Then, it was carried on further appeal and this appeilant won again. Then the defeated man, the man who originally got the permit for three years carried the matter on appeal to the High Court under article 226 of the Constitution of India. That remained pending in the High Court for more than three years and when the case was taken up it was found that the permission granted had expired and so the rule was infructuous

If there is shortage of personnel, why not appoint more persons who can dispense quick justice? If it is found that the rule is infructuous, it will not inspire the confidence of the people or the litigant public

I would like to submit one thing about the amendment of the Criminal Procedure Code We are told that the Criminal Procedure Code was amended for speedy justice. My bitter experience is this The Law Minister had been to my district on the 5th or 6th of February. He went to the law courts I expected that he would go to the criminal courts. If he had, he would have found and would have been convinced that if a case is filed before a sub-divisional magistrate, the next date for appearance is fixed after 3 months

Shri A. K. Sen: I did go there.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Learnt this also?

Shri A. K. Sen: I learnt it long ago.

7059

Shri Subiman Ghose: The time at my disposal is very short and you have rung the bell. Naturally, I can. not discuss the Criminal Procedure Code now But if the situation that has arisen as a result of the amendment of the Criminal Procedure Code is considered, I submit it has changed for the worse and not for the better Practically, trials have been delayed by the amendment of the Criminal Procedure Code and I am ready to prove that There has been delay in the trial Moreover some of the things which should not escape the notice of the Law Minister, but which do are so very important, yet they are very minor and they do not speak well of the administration I give one example When the value of the stolen property is worth Rs 250 it is compoundable with the permission of the Court according to section 379 after the amendment of the Cr P C It is a theft case But the offence of receiver of stolen property has not been made compoundable according to section 111 It is possible that in one trial a thief and the receiver may both stand. The thief can compromise the case but not the receiver. I fail to understand how that is possible Yet that has been passed by this Parliament in amendment The thief can go scotfree but not the receiver I do not know who is the worst offender. He can compromise the case if he steals but if I receive the property from the thief I cannot

I submit only one thing and that is my experience in this law-making body and I say that without meaning any disprespect. It has been said that in a war truth is the first casualty If in this law making body there is any casualty, it is law. I request the Law Minister to take steps so that law may take its rightful position in this House

Shri A. K. Sen: Mr Deputy-Speaker I must at the outset express my sincere appreciation for the remarks and constructive suggestions which have come from all corners of House The Law Ministry Demands came 'p for discussion in 1957 and this is the second time that they have come up again before Parliament during my tenure of office I noticed it then as I notice it today that it is our good luck that they were not discussed with any bitterness and it shows that we are functioning beyond the pale of controversy, whether it is this side of the House or the Opposition Whenever matters pertaining to Law Minis. try come up for discussion we are very gladdened to find that dispasgionate and unprejudiced discussion is always brought to bear when debate takes place in this House

Mr Deputy-Speaker. Because law 4 is no respector of personalities

Shri A. K Sen. Law is not ailied to any party nor is it fastened to the apron strings of any group It was really very entertaining when I listen to Shri Khadilkar whom I always listened to with attention and respect and Professor Mukeriee T really admire the speech Professor of Mukeriee because it is one which I really find completely divorced from passion and prejudice and all the other bias I must say that he rose to very great height today when he dealt with the subject which he claims to have bidden good-bye to long long years ago I must say that from all sides of the House we have had a very fruitful discussion and our Ministry is really obliged for the contributions made by everyone

Let me at once clear some ground so that there may be no mistake about our functions and our responsibilities My esteemed friend Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava rightly pointed out that it is not the responsibility of the Law Ministry to appoint Judges of High Courts or of the Supreme Court Nevertheless, we share the responsibility as Members of the Government and I do not think that we need feel ashamed about the way our Judges. have been appointed ever since Independence in the High Courts or in the Supreme Court

Demands

I do not for a moment acknowledge the criticism or the validity of the criticism which has been levelled here. partly based on certain odd obesrvations here and there from the report of the Law Commission, that the party in power used its influence in the appointments of judges either of the High Court or of the Supreme Court It was pointed out to the Law Commission, and it is my due to point it out here once again, that never during the last eight or nine years has the Government imposed any nominee of its own either in the High Court or in the Supreme Court Invariably the recommendation of the Chief Justices has been accepted, excepting where there has been a divergence of opinion between the Chief Justice of a particular High Court and the Chief Justice of India, and it is only on one occasion, as far as I remember if my recollection is not wrong, that the Government accepted the nomination of the Chief Justice of India in preference to that made by the local Chief Justice If there is such a divergence, the Government have to accept one in preference to the other, as it must, and, therefore, though our Ministry is not responsible for this appointment directly under the rules of business framed under the Constitution, vet, as one sharing the responsibility generally for all acts of Government, it is my duty to emphatically deny that there has been any irregularity or any impropriety m the matter of appointment of High Court Judges or Supreme Court Judges

Reference has been made by my hon friend, Shri Subiman Ghose and also by Shri Mukerice to the appointment of a particular person, whom they have described as an "ex-judicial Minister and a defeated Congress candidate" Shri Mukerjee was very charitable in his reference to gentleman because he knows , personally-a very honourable man, an able lawyer, who was not appointed by the Government but who was nominated by the Chief Justice of the local High Court The Government

w no reason to veto the nomination of the Chief Justice, as it never does in a normal case

I must say that I listened with great regret when Shri Subiman Ghose said that if appointments were left in the hands of the Government as it is now under the Constitution. we shall pack the Benches with Congress nominees. I think he used the expression 'party-men', ! suppose he meant Congressmen I think it stands to the great credit of this Government that it has never tried to do so, and not even its worst enemy has levelled that charge or has been able to substantiate that charge

Shri Supakar: But there is a reference to that in the Law Commission's report

Shri A K. Sen: Whether there is any reference there or anywhere else, it is my duty to emphatically deny the validity of any such reference

Shri Supakar: It is also alleged there it is the statement of a Judge of a High Court-it is on page 73

Shri A K. Sen: Without referring to any personality which may form the source of a particular allegation, and without casting any reflection on this source it is my duty, again, to state before the House that any such allegation is not based on fact

Shri Nath Pai: May I point out to him, Sir, because the Law Commission we appointed also will not be able to answer, that the way he is proceeding to reply shows that what they have said has no authority. In fact, I would say, we should see that their honour is also defended He says "Whosover may have said it. I deny" If he denies it he must conclusively establish in this that these remarks to which made pointed reference are wrong, unwarranted, by facts Otherwise, may I point out the voice of the Chief Justice*

7063

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Let the Minister now substantiate his stand.

Shri A. K. Sem. That is exactly what I am trying to do, in answering what may have appeared in odd passages in the report. It is certainly not for me to brush aside or try to ignore those allegations because they allegations which really ment , an answer, and it is that answer that I am going to give. I have no doubt that the Home Minister, when his Demands for Grants come up before the House, will reply further.

But as I was telling the Houseand that fact may be accepted without any doubt-never has an accasion arisen when the Government has imposed a person on either the high courts or on the Supreme Court against the nomination of the local Chief Justice or of the Chief Justice of India. There is no such instance.

Shri Nath Pai: I only wanted to read one sentence from the report. It says:

"Instances are known where the recommendation of the Chief Justice has been ignored and over-ruled and that of the Chief Minister prevailed"

This is a quotation from the Law Commission's report

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Let us hear the Minister

Shri A. K. Sen: Unfortunately these instances are not given. Otherwise we could have said that those instances were either right or wrong. All that I can say is that never has an occasion arisen-I have said it once and I repeat it with the full authority that I have and with the facts I have at my disposal-when the Government have chosen to ignore the nomination of a Chief Justice in preference to one of its own. Only in one case, when there was a divergence of opinion between the Chief Justice of India and the Chief Justice of a local high court has the nomination of the Chief Justice of India. been accepted in preference to that of the local Chief Justice. That is a fact. It is for the hon. Member to accept or not or to point out facts which prove to the contrary,

Shri Nath Pai: He is only repeating himself.

Shri R. D. Misra: (Bulandshahr): Can the hon. Member give any instance when there is no instance?

Shri Nath Pai: Can you confute what I have read now? This is a 4 contradiction which should be substantiated.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: It is quite right that there is a recommendation or, I should say, a finding by Law Commission But there is the right of the Government to accept it or differ from it They have the right to differ.

Shri A. K. Sen: We shall be very glad and we shall certainly be prepared to correct ourselves-(Interruptions)

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: He is trying to give the answer He complains that no instances have been given. and he says there are none. So, the hon. Members should cite one example at least to show that it was done

Shri Nath Pai: I cited the sources

Shri Braj Raj Singh: They might put their case before the Law Commission

Shri Nanshir Bharncha: May I know from the hon. Law Minister whether, when his attention was drawn to this passage, a clarification, was sought from the Law Commission as to why this allegation was made? He would have been justified in doing 50.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Minister is not yielding to anybody Therefore, I hope there would be no interruption.

Shri A. K. Sen: Perhaps if I consulted the hon. Member earlier Unmight have taken that step fortunately it did not occur to then that I might have consulted Shri Naushir Bharucha In any event, in the absence of any concrete instances where such an unfortunate thing may have happened, it is difficult to contradict specifically, and the contradiction must be in general terms as the allegation is But, as I said, it is rather an unfortunate allegation and we should have been happier if any concrete instance was given illustrating that allegation, because a concrete illustration would have admitted of either no proof or disproof

Shri Nath Pai. We remain totally unconvinced

Shri Naushir Bharucha. Can I bring in personalities?

Shri A K Sen. If they are unconvinced, that is my masfortune. As I said, when the Home Ministry's Demands come here, they will be at liberty to mention such instances as they have in their possession, if any, in order to substantiate the allegations which have been made in the report.

Mr Khadilkar said that no basic approach has been really indicated in the report. I cannot brush aside that remark very lightly. It is true, it must be admitted as such, that the Law Commission has not recommended any sharp departure from our rules of procedure or from the constitutional structure of our judiciary

An Hon. Member: It is possible

Shri A. K. Sen: Whether it is possible or not is a different matter. The fact is that no reducal departure from

our traditional rules of procedure or from our traditional judicial structure has been indicated by the report of the Law Commission. According to them, it is for the House or for the Government, when firm decisions are taken on this report, to determine on this recommendation whether the traditional structure, traditional procedural laws modified as recommended by the report, are enough to guarantee for us a system of law and a system of justice which will bring to the door of the common man justice speedily and without considerable expenses That, after all, is the aim of any society which seeks to cater to the welfare of the common man.

I readily concede that a system of justice which does not bring justice to the door of the common man and make the rights, liberties and benefits conferred by our Constitution and our system of Government available to the common man without much effort cannot possibly endear itself to the public at large and it must be regarded as having failed in its primary objective So, it must be the aim of any democratic society to see that the people living under it enjoy a system of justice which makes justice available to the common man That must be our aim and the aim of Parliament Government and everybody interested in the system of justice obtaining in our country. It is precisely for that reason that soon after our independence, this Commission was constituted to report on entire judical administration of the country and to recommend such measures as they would think necessary to be implemented for the of making this benefit of justice available to the common man.

It is true that we may draw from the experience of many other countries in this field. Prof Mukerjee had referred to the system obtaining in the socialist countries. I may submit that there are many things, which may be learnt from there, but I must

[Shri A. K. Sen]

7067

emphasise that our system of justice is basically sound and our judges, whether in the district or in the High Courts or in the Supreme Court have by and large contributed fruitfully to the development of a just social system and the development of a democratic society, which is guaranteed by our Constitution

I do not agree, if there be any suggestion to this effect, or if I have understood it rightly, I had possibly detected in certain quarters a suggestion that the quality of our judiciary has suffered a deterioration compared to the British days. One of the speakers had said that during the British days, justice was possibly better administered than it is today I am afraid I cannot accept that suggestion at all

17 hrs.

I am afraid, I cannot accept suggestion that the quality of judges has suffered any deterioration What has happened is that after dependence, as a result of the many rights that the Constitution has conferred on the citizen as a result of many of the laws which had passed at the Centre and in the States, striv ing to create a Welfare State a new pattern of litigation had started. involving a great increase in the work, not only of the High Courts but also of the district courts And yet we tried to achieve all that with the same number of judges which we had during the British days I had seen in my own experience how dur ing the Defence of Indra Act days, in the High Court and in the courts, there was a terrific congestion of cases Normal cases could not be disposed of and in many of the High Courts we have a legacy of those days even now I have seen the judges of those days. I had appeared before them myself, some of them good judges, good English judges have also appeared before our judges

before and after independence happy to say that even today our judges m the High Courts and in the Supreme Court, quality for quality, standard for standard, education for education and erudition for erudition. are equal to, if not superior to their compeers in those days They have done a magnificient job in the Supreme Court and in the High Courts They have upheld the principles of liberty, fundamental rights, democratic rights constitutionally guarantees. tney have restrained the executive from over-stepping its limit and they have, by and large, distributed justice equally, sternly and fairly

Shri Braj Raj Singh: Nobody has disputed that

Shri Panigrahi He said "by and large"

Shri A. K Sen: I am glad to hear that I have pleasure to hear that because I was trying to impress that

Shri Hem Barua (Gauhatı) You were labouring under a mrapprehension

Shri A. K. Sen: I am very glad to hear that If it is a misapprehension, I am glad to know that But I thought some such doubt was expressed from some quarter Even outside Parliament I have heard at said Even the members of the Bar have often said that the judges during British days were better

Now, with regard to the suggestions made by Shri Mukerjee, I agree with most of them He says that our law needs simplification. There is doubt about that That is precisely the reason why a permanent Commission has been appointed to keep on revising our laws regularly so that old laws may be made up to date. laws which are cumbersome may be made more simple. I also agree with him that our laws should be related to the social norms which we accepted for ourselves Otherwise. our laws will become antiquated and

7070

will not serve the purpose for which they are made But that is the function of our Parliament and our local legislatures. It is not the function of the judiciary to create laws or to adapt laws to changing circumstances The function of the indiciary here, as in other countries, is only to enforce and interpret laws as are passed by the Parliament It will be a very precarious precedent if we allow our judges to make laws That will be overriding Parliament or usurping the functions of the legislature And it has been, on the whole, a healthy precedent, here and elsewhere, that judges should not he legislators but are only to interpret the laws and enforce them

Shri Hem Barua: After they retire?

Shri A K. Sen: After they retire, if they are asked to interpret, they will do 40, if they are given jurisdiction they will do so

I agree also with Shri Mukeriee that our traditions should not snapped completely But too traditionalism will tend to feter our legal progress. We are obsessed b our traditional outlook We traditional by nature as a race Whatever has been there in the field olden days casts a halo over us is not only here, but m other fields also It sometimes tends to blind our vision and fetter our receptive capacity in receiving ideas from abroad, or incorporating healthy precedents and healthy experiments in other lands in our own system

As I said before, this country has proved beyond doubt in the 19th and 20th centuries how an alien system can blossom with all its beauty on a foreign soil, and the history of the plantation of the Angio-saxon legal tree in this land is a very fascinating history

Shri Hem Barua: They have planted tea shrubs as well

Shri A. K. Sen: In your part 410 (Ai) L.S.D.—8

Shri Hem Barua: In your part too.

Shri A K. Sen: They thrive very well I do not think we should have any regret for that.

It is really a fascinating history to see how the English legal flourished m this country, how We produced a galaxy of legal luminaries who can rank in stature with anv other legal luminaries in any other country In fact, students of history have really marvelled at the way our country received and gave new vigour and life to an alien system Today, nobody thinks that it is an alien system Today, from South Asia up to the heart of Africa, the Indmn Contract Act, the Indian Evidence Act, the Indian Penal Code, the Indian Criminal Procedure Code. the Indian Sale of Goods Act, various other Indian Acts are the laws in those countries When the history of the journey of English law outside England, comes to be written in that perspective it will really make fascinating reading But, however we may be proud of achievements in this historical growth of our legal system, we cannot afford to shut our eyes and ears to experiments outside and under other systems for the purpose of seeing that our eystem of justice really conforms to the social norms as pointed out by Shri H N Mukeriee

Something has been said about Judges being appointed after retirement or during their tenure I think reference was made by a few Members to Chief Justice relinquishing his office and accepting the office of Ambassador for India to the United States That fact proved, if proof is necessary, that in making such appointments, the Government certainly, does not look favourites The history and antecedents of Chief Justice Chagla well known to all who are lawyers. He was a fearless Judge and time, the Government rightly wrongly felt that some of his attacks

[Shri A. K. Sen]

7 071

on Government were not justified. He had rarely missed an opportunity of hitting at the Government.

Shri Nath Pal: Sometimes, promotion is a clever way of getting rid of an inconvenient person.

Shri A. K. Sen: Anyway, he certainly was not one who bowed down before the Government at any stage.

Shri Hem Barua: That may indirectly mellow down the temper of the judiciary.

Shri A. K. Sen: Yet it shows that the discretion of the Government in appointing suitable men for suitable jobs should not be barred. It is a question of preventing the Government from making improper use of its power of appointment; it is not a question of barring legally the right of appointing proper men.

Today, for instance, we have appointed a retired Judge of the Supreme Court as the Chairman of the permanent Law Commission. If the recommendation of the Law Commission is to be accepted literally, then we should not have made this appointment.

Shri Nath Pai: It is a judicial job you have given him, a quasi-judicial job.

Shri A. K. Sen: It is not a judicial appointment, certainly not.

Shri Nath Pai: What does he do as Chairman?

Shri A. K. Sen: He certainly does not adjudicate upon the rights of persons.

Shri Nath Pai: Certainly it is not executive.

Shri A. K. Sen: He certainly does not adjudicate upon people's rights. A sweeping generalisation that no Judge should be appointed to any post cannot be accepted.

Shri Hem Barea: But what about mellowing down the temper of the judiciary? This sort of appointment might indirectly mellow down the temper of the Judges also.

Shri A. K. Sen: The very fact that one of the authors of that recommendation felt that it does prejudice the independence of the judiciary by accepting an appointment himself, disproves any such suggestion. I must say the strength and validity of that recommendation are, to a large extent shaken by the author himself taking a different course.

Shri Nath Pal: But even you will not say there are Chaglas in every High Court who can resist it

Shri A. K. Sen: There are not many posts of Ambassadors of that description either

Shri Subiman Ghose: But posts of , Governors are there Shri Fazl Ali is Governor.

Shri A. K. Sen: Yes, but it must be said to the credit of Shri Fazl Ali that there have been very few Judges like him in the Supreme Court, and he has done a very good job as Governor. Let us not drag in personalities I refuse to believe that a good Judge, if a selection is made, will only look for promotion to this kind of job. In fact, Shri Fazl Ali is one of the Judges who condemned the Preventive Detention Act. He was in a minority in the Supreme Court in that case.

Shri Subiman Ghees: I do not doubt for a moment his ability, but what I want to ask is: is this the reward to be given to him for ability?

Shri A. K. Sen: We do not accept, it That was your suggestion.

Shri Hem Barun: Then you vitiate the temper.

Shri A. K. Sen: Our case is that it is only merit, merit and suitability for the particular job, that determine the Government's decision in appointment of a retired High Court Judge or a Supreme Court Judge to particular offices, and nothing else weighs with the Government think it will be a very bad thing if we legally bar the possibility of using the talents and aptitudes of good Judges in directions where we might employ them most fruitfully for the good of the country I do not think anybody suggests for a moment that the choice of Shri Chagla was a bad choice In fact, his work in the country where he has been assigned has been admirable

Demands

Shri Hem Barua: Not a bad choice. but might have a bad effect

Shri A. K Sen: Nothing much need be said in reply to this demand for a Ministry of Justice based on the recommendation of the Law Commission It is not for me to answer that It is really for the Prime Minister to answer that

Shri Nath Pai: What will be your recommendation?

Shri A K. Sen. I cannot recommend anything because personalities are involved in the matter, and any opinion on such a matter would be odious. and any undertaking for that purpose will be equally odious Therefore, this demand, if at all, must be addressed to the Prime Minister It might form the subject of discussion when matter is debated in the House after decisions are arrived at by the Government on the recommendations of the Law Commission

Only one thing more, and I resume my aeat That is in defence of a very dear friend of ours and a great lawyer who has served the Government of India very well, whose name was unfortunately mentioned by my hon friend Shri Khushwaqt Rai

Shri Khushwaqt Rai: I did not mention any name

Shri A. K Sen The description was so specific that oll of us knew who he was Reference was made to the counsel engaged in the Kashmir conspiracy case I think in these matters enough reliance should be placed on Government in choosing proper men for the job That case is of such great importance for the State of Kashmir and for India as a whole that I can assure the hon Member that nothing but the interests of the case weighed with the Government in the choice of the counsel

An Hon Member: Hear, hear

Shri A K Sen: His selection does not mean m any way that we place or we are going to place less reliance on the other counsel whom we have engaged In fact, the case is of such a big dimension and of such a great importance that two good lawyers were necessary I would only point out to hon Members that these such delicate points that they cannot be answered because then I shall have to unfold a good many of the details relating to that case which it would not be either m the interest of the security of this country or in the interests of the country generally to disclose In these matters, I think Government's bona fides could be relied upon and it can as well be disclosed that Government would such cases act on the best advice that is available to it, because it is not a case which can be run on patronage

Shri Subiman Ghose: But his 13 not the first appointment

Shri A. K. Sen: Even then, the time of appointment, the place of appointment, and everything must be left to Government. It cannot be disclosed why he was appointed at a particular time or why he was not appointed at a particular time In fact, it is not so He was appointed from the very

[Shri A K Sen]

beginning, if the information is needed, he drafted the complaint. Then, he went to the United Nations, he was not in this country. I mean, these matters should be left to the discretion of Government. After all, Government is run not by half-wits but at least by people with some commonsense and some responsibility.

Demands

With these words, I once again express my deep gratitude to the Members who have contributed to this discussion

Shri Nath Pai: May I bring to your notice one serious thing? I know that it will be far from Shri A K Sen's mind for more reasons than one to cast aspersions on the Chief Justice of India But, unfortunately, that is the sum total of what he has done today, when he tried to reply to me on this point

The quotation I gave was not the finding of the Law Commission, and that is a very serious point for this House, and for him as a constitutional lawyer, to consider The quotation which I gave was from the Chief Justice of India It is a very serious matter Are we to go on spreading an impression m the country that the Chief Justice of India was lying Before you give your ruling. I want to quote the words I want to say that the explanation perhaps lies in what has been given to us by the Chief Justice of India And the words that I quoted, when he challenged me to give an instance are his words And these are his words

"Indeed, instances are known where the recommendation of the Chief Justice has been ignored and overruled and that of the Chief Minister has prevailed This unedifying prospect has brought about some demoralisation in the minds of the Chief Justices "

I know that the hon Minister perhaps did not have it in mind, but I think in scoring perhaps a debating point, and perhaps being loyal to the Home Ministry's practice, a very serious thing has occurred, and we want your guidance, Sir, in this matter, because the words challenge categorically that this is not so, not so, that has been a rebuttal without any kind of deference to the authority which has given this in testimony, who should know better what he was saying I think the Chief Justice of India was aware of the responsibility and the heavy onus he carried with him when he made these remarks

Shri A. K. Sen. May I say a word? There was no reflection on the Chief Justice As for inference, an erroneous inference is not the monopoly of laymen only

Shri Subiman Ghose: It may be that of the Law Minister also

Shri A K Sen: An erroneous inference may be drawn by the highest also

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: This was not a judgment in a case of a judicial pronouncement against which any reflection has been inade

Shri A. K. Sen. Even judicial pronouncements

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Then too, we can have a difference of opmion from the one that is held by the Chief Justice There too, we can say that 'I am not of that opinion', though we have to submit to that pronouncement That is the only thing I do not think there is any reflection against the Chief Justice in this matter

Shri Nath Pai: By implication

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: This was only an observation by the Chief Justice If the Law Minister feels differently, there is no harm in it

Shri Hem Barua: There was an implication there

Shri Nath Pai: I won't quarrel with your explanation. The Chief Justice knew it better when he said it.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon Member is entitled to that opinion, that the Chief Justice knew it better, and when the Law Minister says that about the facts that he has got and puts forward his opinion, there is no harm. I suppose that no reflection has been cast.

As regards these cut motions, may I know if any cut motion is desired to be put to vote or all these cut motions are being withdrawn by leave of the House?—I take it that all cut motions are being withdrawn by leave of the House

The Cut Motions were, by leave withdrawn

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is:

"That the respective RIIMS not exceeding the amounts shown in the fourth column of the order paper, be granted to the President. to complete the sums necessary to defray the charges that will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March 1960, in respect of the heads of demands entered in the second column thereof against Demands Nos 70 and 71 relating to the Ministry of Law"

The motion was adopted

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Now, would hon. Members like to start discussion on the Home Ministry's Demands or proceed with the half-hour discussion, so that the Demands of the Home Ministry are taken up tomorrow?

Some Hon, Members: Tomorrow

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Then we shall proceed with the half-hour discussion.

*Half-an-hour discussion.

17.23 hrs.

•PRICES OF PADDY IN MADHYA PRADESH

Shri Supakar (Sambalpur): Before 1 make a reference to the Starred Question No. 731, I want to make a very brief reference to the background of the situation

You know that in the month of November 1958, the National Development Council took a decision that the State would now go in for Statetrading. That was widely publicised throughout the country, just before the harvesting time. After harvest, the cultivator has to sell his paddy and rice. But strangely enough, no precautionary measure was taken to see that this does not create any scare m the minds of the public. When a question about State trading in foodgrains was discussed in the House on 12th March, 1959, the Government told us that they were not ready with a full scheme. At that time, apprehension was expressed by some of the Members in the form of a question I will particularly refer first of all to a question by Dr Ram Subhag Singh

"The scheme was announced in November 1958, and the hon. Minister himself admitted just now that the most effective way of controlling the prices is the prompt finalisation of this scheme. Assuming that he knows all these things, may I know why the finalisation of the scheme is being kept in abeyance?"

The Government's answer was that the time-lag was due to the paper being prepared and being circulated among the States, their opinions being received; he said then the Cabinet would take a decision and the matter would then be put before the House By the time the matter comes before the House, the necessity of protecting the interest either of the purchaser or of the producer will, I believe, have gone; by that time, I believe the next sowing season will have commenced.

[Shri Supakar]

At that time, Shri Thirumala Rao expressed the apprehension that in the mean time the grains would have gone underground, that is, by the time the Government came to a decision That apprehension has been realised and today the position is this. The Government of India says that it does not take any responsibility for the procurement of paddy but it takes responsibility for the procurement of rice from the States of Madhya Pradesh and Orissa and others.

There was a specific question put on 12th March, 1959 by Shri Khadiwala and Shri K. B. Malavia:

"Whether it is a fact that in Madhya Pradesh cash payments are not made to the peasants while purchasing rice as a result of which peasants are compelled to sell their rice for cash payment to the merchants at lower prices; and whether it is also a fact that paddy and rice purchased at lower prices on cash payment are sold by the merchants at the Government at higher prices?"

The Government conveniently evaded answering the question saying that the responsibility for purchasing paddy rests with the Government and spoke nothing about the responsibility for purchasing rice.

In the meantime there was some agitation in the State and there was a conference between the representative of the Government of India and the representative of the State-I believe the Commissioner of the Division-from which I know that out of 28,000 tons of rice purchased on behalf of the Government of India at a price of about Rs. I crore and odd, though the rule is that the producer must be paid within 24 hours of the giving of rice, the Government of India had paid only Rs 45 lakhs, though they had purchased rice worth over one crore. The consequence of such a transaction is that the cultivator who has to pay his rent which falls due in M.P. by the 15th of December each year has to pay his rent and purchase other things as soon as the harvest is over He has to bring the rice or paddy to the centre. When he finds that the Government agent is not in a position to pay the price, he cannot go back to his own village and come again Therefore, he has to undersell the rice to the middleman. I am told very reliably that now rice is being sold at Rs. 9 per maund, as we know also from the question which was put by Shri Shukla himself—it was Question No. 731—paddy is selling at the rate of Rs. 4 or Rs. 5.

On account of these facts questions are put to focus the attention of the Government to the difficulties experienced by the cultivators. But, unfortunately, Government pays no heed. Consequently, the cultivators who had bumper harvests this year and who had expected some return for their labour were practically cheated by the middlemen; and also the purchaser who expected that because there was a bumper crop he would get rice and paddy at cheap rates is disappointed So, the Government's responsibility is not discharged.

I learn from newspaper reports that there was an agitation among the cultivators m M.P. in the Chattisgarh. Drug and Rayagarh area and by the 12th March, 1959 as many as 800 persons were arrested It is a sorry state of affairs The Government gives certain hopes and promises certain things but it does not keep them and it is not able to fulfil those promises. As a consequence the agriculturists not only suffer but they are forced to take recourse to agitation and many persons are arrested. I do not know when the Government will be ready with the scheme for State-trading perhaps when necessities for State-trading might have vanished. Probably when the next season comes, we might have some drought or flood or something and then the agriculturist may find that he is in the same position in the next year as in this year, the only difference being that he has to blame the Government for this year's catastrophic results. I have

nothing more to say. I believe what is happening in Madhya Pradesh is also happening in Orissa and that is why I tabled this half-an-hour discussion. I believe that some of my friends from Madhya Pradesh will bear me out in this matter.

सरवार ६० सि॰ सरगल (जंजगीर) : उपाध्यक्ष जी, बेरे मित्र में कहा है कि रायपुर भीर इन में करीब ८०० शादमी गिरफतार हए हैं। मैं उनसे जानना बाहेगा कि वहां पर जो एजीटेशन शरू किया गया है बह किस की घोर से किया गया है। मझे मासूम है कि हमारा विलासपुर जिला सरप्तस जिला है भीर हम बहा से जितना बनाज मेज सकते हैं भेज रहे हैं। यह मैं जरूर मानता है कि हमको जितनी तादाद में बाबन सरीदना चाहिए वह हम नही सरीद पाये हैं। इस सम्बन्ध में मैं यह कहना चाहता है कि बान का भाव निर्वारित करने से पहले हमको यह देख लेना चाहिए वा कि काश्तकार का इस पर कितना मर्चा ग्राता है भीर उसके बाद ही भाव निर्धारित करना चाहिए था। हमको काश्तकार की धामदनी का ध्यान रक्ष कर भाव निश्चित करना चाहिए था लेकिन यह नहीं कियागया ।

इसके झलावा में यह कहना चाहता हैं कि हमारे चन्द प्रोड्यूसर धपना मास नाकर व्यापारियों को बेच रहे हैं क्योंकि हमारी करीदने की व्यवस्था ठीक नहीं है और इससे मिडिलमैन को लाम हो रहा है। इस बारे में मैं धपने मित्र से सहमत है।

केंकिन सब जो हो गया सो तो हो गया । स्रायन्दा हमको यह करना चाहिये कि जो गस्ला पैदा होता है उसके सर्चे का तल्लमीना कर और उसके बाद किसान का लाभ रखते हुये कीमत निर्धारित करें। साज जो एजी-टेशन हो रहा है उसका कारण गवर्नेनेंट की कुछ कमजोरिया हैं। इसका मुख्य कारण यह हो सकता है कि हम सोगों ने जो गांव निर्धा-रित किया उस वयत जो काम करने वाले जोग वै उनको ठीक तगह से नहीं समाया नया। आज तो मैं देकता हूं कि विनासपुर
में बहुत गल्ला सरीदा जा रहा है। ख्रुत्तीसगढ में कितना सरीदा जाता है यह मैं नहीं
कह सकता। लेकिन काफी तादाद में हमारे
यहां से यल्ला मेंबा जा रहा है। मुझे रीजनक
मुपरिटेंडेंट, विलासपुर से मालूम हुझा कि
गयगढ जिले को जो बैनन दिसे गये थे वे
ठीक तरह ने भरे नहीं जा सके। मैं समझता
ह कि किमी भी सरकार का कर्तव्य है कि
धगर उसके पाम स्टाक है तो उनको जल्दी
भर कर मेज देना चाहित्ये। लेकिन इन सम्बन्ध
में यह फिर कहना चाहता ह कि हमारे
किसानो को जो भाष मिलना चाहित्ये था
वह नहीं मिला है।

बब हुमारे यहा स्केयरसिटी होती है उस वक्त के रिकार्ड को देखा जाये तो प्रापको मालूम होगा कि बिलासपुर सरप्लस रहता है। लेकिन मेरा सुझाब है कि हमारे जिसे से गल्ला खरीदने में सरकार को खरीदने की ठीक व्यवस्था प्रायन्दा ने करनी चाहिये भीर उसी के धनुसार काम होना चाहिये।

Shri Panigrahi (Puri): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I am glad that this halfan-hour discussion has raised somimportant points so far as the procurement of rice m Madhya Pradesh is concerned

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Normally other hon. Members can put only questions

Shri Panigrahi: Sir, two or three questions come to my mind, and would request the hon. Deputy Minister to answer them. The Government has said that the State trading scheme itself has not been finalised yet by the Centre. Therefore, I would like to know under what schemes the different State Governments like those of Madhya Pradesh and Orissa have been procuring rice and paddy on their own behalf and on behalf of the Government of India. Secondly, it has been estimated in the beginning

[Shri Panigrahi]

that there should be a surplus of 4 lakh tons of rice in Orissa and about 3 lakh tons of rice in Madhya Pradesh. But it is now being calculated that this surplus may come down. It may be that the rice which was expected to be surplus has gone underground because of the defective working of the State trading scheme

I would like to be enlightened on this point as to why, when the Government had not finalised the scheme of State trading, the State Governments were not asked to at least appoint-where there is no co-operative-village panchayats to go into procurement from the villages I think that should have helped matters The Government should have at least advanced some money to the panchayats-where there is no co-operativeinstead of appointing those purchasing agents without having any scheme for procurement of rice and paddy finalised.

Shri Radhelal Vyas (Ujjain): Sir, I have only two or three questions to ask of the Deputy Minister. First of all, as already explained by my hon. friend here, there were no adequate arrangements for purchasing the whole stock that came to the market no. was there adequate arrangement to provide all the money that was required to purchase the paddy or the rice that came to the market I would like to know whether still this State trading scheme has not been finalised and how long this experiment of pricesupport scheme will continue. Already the new wheat crop has begun to come to the market and in the next fortnight it will be available in plenty. I would like to know why this scheme has not been finalised. Have they not gained experience for the last two or three months? Why should there be so much delay in finalising this scheme? Would it not cause some harassment or loss to the cultivators? I would like to have an answer from the hon. Deputy Minister. Especially when the State Government of Madhya Pradesh has been pressing and urging on the Central Government to fix the price for wheat, I would like to know why they have not been able to fix a price as yet.

Rice has been procured in the Chattisgarh area. But it is taken, of course, under permit to Bombay or other deficit States I would like to know why the Central Government has not put any ban on the export of rice from these deficit areas. It cannot go out of Madhya Pradesh without a permit, but from Bombay it can go to any part of the country with the result that the traders there can take the rice to any part. Very high quality rice can be taken to any part of the country and middleman's profit can be earned. Therefore, I would like to know why a ban should not be put on the export of this commodity from those States which are aiready deficit and which depend on supplies from other States or from the Centre

My third question is in regard to the northern districts of Madhya Pradesh

The Deputy Minister of Food and Agriculture (Shri A. M. Thomas): To which deficit State is my hon friend referring?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Bombay.

Shri Radhelal Vyas: Rice is allowd to be exported from Bombay, and there is no ban on it Bombay 18 heavily deficit. Now, my third question is this. In my State of Madhya Pradesh, Morena used to depend on supplies of rice from Gird or Bhind districts But it is a border district. Uttar Pradesh is just on the border and the price of rice is very high. Now, I learn that two lakhs of maunds of rice were allowed to be taken to . Morena from Chattisgarh area under permits issued by the collector, and what happened to it? The price was

paid at the rate of Rs. 15 per maund in Chattisgarh area and the traders and businessmen of Morena paid a little more than that; they bring the rice to Morena and then smuggle and sell the rice to the smaller traders at the rate of Rs. 18 per maund. The latter sell the rice to others at the rate of Rs. 20 and then the rice comes to Dholpur and from Dholpur it goes to Agra or other parts of Uttar Pradesh and sells there at the rate of Rs. 24 a maund. Now, I can understand that if the people of Morena are in need of rice they must be supplied. but why should it not be allowed to be sold at the price which is allowed for the fair price shops and why should it be allowed to be freely moved or passed on from one trader to another trader in these districts from where the rice is procured? Should any ban be not put? What arrangements are being made to check the smuggling and the increase in price. by the Central Government? I would like to know that

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: All the three questions have been put now.

Shri Radhelal Vyas: I should like to put a few more questions. I would like to know whether definite orders have been issued to purchase handpounded rice, because there were complaints about this. Then there were also complaints that there were different qualities of rice produced in the different districts of Chattisgarh area. For example, the rice produced in Durg or Surguja or Baster differs in quality from district to district. I want to know whether this difference i quality has been taken into consideration. There were complaints that prices were fixed on the basis of a particular quality, and the quality which was found in one district was not available in another district. The quality differed. I want to know whether anything has been done or any orders have been passed to remove this anomaly, so that the cultivators

districts may be of all the other equally benefited.

अ र० सि किसेवार (होशगाबाद) : , उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, बहुत मी बाते माननीय सदस्यों ने इसके सम्बन्ध में कही है। मझे केवल एक बात ही विशेष रूप से कहनी है और मैं माननीय मंत्री महोदय मे जानना चाहता ह कि जब किसी गल्ले की खरीद के लिए मरकार की बोर से कीमन मकरेर की जाती है तब किन किन तथ्यो पर ध्यान दिया जाता है। क्या यह बान तो नहीं है कि ग्रचानक ही कियी गल्डे की कीमत मकरंर कर दी जाती है ? इसमें तो किमानों के रास्ते में बड़ी घडचने या मकती है, बड़ी कठिनाइया या मकती है, बड़ी तकलीकों का उनको सामना ररना पड सकता है। कीमन मकर्रर करने बक्त क्या धाए इस बात का भी ध्यान रखते है कि पैदा करने में किनना वर्चा ग्राना है या नहीं रखती है ? क्या यह भी देखा जाता है या नहीं कि पिछले पाच मालों में किस तरह की फमलें हुई है ? ऐसा भी होता है कि दो साल तो बच्छी फसन होती है, दो मान साधारण होती है और एक माल ब्री होती है।

पैटाबार देखने के पहले क्या इस बात का भी ध्यान रखा जाता है सबवा नहीं कि पाच सालो में जितनी पैदावार हुई है, उसकी भौसत के अपर धदाजा पैदाबार का लगाया जाये ? पटवारी लोग या दूसरे लोग जब पदावार की जाच करते है तो वे प्रच्छे से प्रच्छे खेती को ही देखने हैं और घच्छे से घच्छे खेतो में भी खेत के उस ट्कड़े को देखने हैं जहा पर फसल बहत भच्छी हुई होती है जिसका नतीजा यह होता है कि जो भदाजा होता है वह बहुत ज्यादा का होता है जबकि फसल उतनी होती नहीं है। ऐसी सुरत में जो भौसत निकासा जाता है वह बहुत ज्यादा का निकलता है। मै जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या भौसत निकालने का कोई सही तरीका भी धापने निकाला है या नहीं निकासा है ।

[भी र० सि० किलेबार]

मैं यह मी जानना चाहता हूं कि उसकी क्या कीमत बैठती है, क्या खर्चा बैठता है फसल काट छेने के बाद बाजार में छे जाने से, इसका भी क्या घ्यानं रखा जाता है ? मैं चाहता हूं कि इसको भी धापको घ्यान में रखना चाहिये। यह बहुत जरूरी चीज है। इसके बारे में कोई वैज्ञानिक प्रणाली निकाली जानी चाहिये बी जिससे कोई गसती की सम्भावना न रहे।

मैं यह भी जानना चाहता हं कि कीमत मकरेर करने के पहले क्या किसान से या किसानों की किसी सस्या धयवा सस्याधों से सरकार कभी सलाह लेती है या सलाह देने के लिये उनको बलाती है प्रथवा नहीं बलाती है क्या उनका बुलाना सरकार जरूरी समझती है या नहीं समझती है ? मैं एक किसान ह भौर मै जानता हं कि किसानों को किन किन मसीबतों का, किन किन कठिनाइयो का सामना करना पडता है। मैं मली भांति जानता हं कि धगर किसान की फसल घन्छी होती है तो सोग चिल्लाना शरू कर देते है और कहना शरू कर देते हैं कि बहुत अच्छी फसल हुई है, लेकिन गगर बुरी होती है तो उसकी बात कोई पुछता नहीं है । जो मसीबतें किसान उठाते है, उन्हें वही जानते हैं।

मेरे मित्रों ने बताया है कि खतीसगढ़ में क्या हुआ है। वहां के किमान आज अन्यन निराश हैं। उनमें कोई उत्माह नहीं रह क्या है और न गल्ला पैदा करने में उनकी कोई रुचि रह गई है। मैं समझता हूं कि उनके भान की को कीमत मुकरंर की गई है उसमें यह ध्यान नहीं रखा गया है कि पिखले चार पांच सालों से बहुत बुरी फसकें छतीसगढ़ में हुई हैं।

मै यह मी जानना चाहता हूं कि जो गलतियां धान की सरकारी सरीदों में खतीसगढ़ में हुई हैं, उनको दौहराया न जाये, इसके बारे में सरकार क्या कर रही है ? यह बहुत जरूरी है कि उसके उसर सरकार विचार करे और जांच करे और प्रगर धायस्यक प्रतीत हो तो एक छोटी सी समिति भी बना दे जो जांच पड़ताल करके उपाय सुझाये । ध्रव सरकार गरूले का व्यापार धपने हाथ में लेने जा रही है और उसे देखनाचाहिये कि जो भी तरीका वह धपनाये वह फूलप्रूफ ही धौर बेचारे किसान मारे न जायें । जिस प्रकार का चोटाला छत्तीसगढ़ में हुधा है, जिसकी वजह से किसानों को इतनी परेशानी का सामना करना पड़ा है, वैसा धागे नहीं होना चाहिये । ध्रव सरकार बहुत बढ़ा घंधा गरूले की खरीद का धपने साथ में ले रही है और ऐसा नहीं होना चाहिये कि वे गलतियां जिर से हो. ।

किसानों को पैसा देने के बारे में भी

एहां पर माननीय सदस्यों द्वारा कुछ बातें कही

गई हैं। मैं चाहता हूं कि किसानों को हूं उसी
रोख पैसा मिल जाना चाहिये और भगर नहीं
मिलता है तो उनको बहुत भारी मुसीबत का
सामना करना पढ़ सकता है। वे बेचारे
२५-३० मील से भपना माल लाते हैं और
भगर उनको पैसा उसी रोख नहीं दिया जाता है
तो इसका नतीजा यह होगा कि उन को पैसा
मिलन नक पेड़ के नीचे पड़ा रहना पड़ेगा और
न साने को मिलेगा और न ही उनके बैलो को
चरने के लिये कोई चीख मिलेगी। इसवास्ते

मैं चाहता हूं कि सरकार पैसे का प्रबन्ध पहले
से ही कर ले और उन को उसी दिन पैसा दे
दिया बाया करे।

Shri A. M. Thomas: Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, the discussion has been raised on procurement prices in Madhya Pradesh, but a vast field has been covered by several Members. However, I am glad that I have got this opportunity to dispel certain doubts which have been cast on the procurement operations that we are having now.

Mr. Supakar, who initiated the discussion, charged the Government that we announced the policy of State

7080

trading but no precautionary measures have been taken to see that state trading, whenever it is to be introduced, is not being torpedoed and that the possibility of stocks going underground has not been taken into consideration. It is true that there has been some delay . . .

Shri Supakar: Long delay.

Shri Thomas:in the matter or finalisation of the state trading .cheme. The various stages that the scheme has passed through have all been explained to this House on more than one occasion. Two days back. there was a question concerning this and my senior colleague, the Minister. explained the various stages through which the draft scheme has passed and he has also said that it is now under the active consideration of the Cabinet. It will not also be correct to charge Government that precautionary measures have not been taken which would fit in with the policy of state trading, whatever shape it may ultimately assume.

Our procurement operations themselves are in the direction of state trading and hon Members would concede that if we want to have state trading, the object is that Government should have control over the marketable surplus in the country. object is socialisation of the wholesale trade. It is something elementary that in its implementation the -uantities that the Government can procure and can take control of will determine the capacity of the Government to control the price level and also the question of having State Trading in the end.

As the question itself refers to procurement operations in Madhya Pradesh, I may state that we have got a Central Government machinery there for procuring whatever quantity of rice is available. It is not correct to say that we are making delays in the matter of purchase, that we are not prompt in the matter of payment and that we are making undue delays in these respects. These allegations, I am afraid, are either too exaggerated or without any basis.

Pandit J. P. Jyotishi (Sagar): Is the machinery widespread?

Shri A. M. Thomas: I will come to that.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: We have exceeded all the surplus in time already.

Shri A. M. Thomas: Coming to Madhya Pradesh, according to the account that we have received in the Ministry, on the 13th March we have been able to procure 178,000 tons of rice in Madhya Pradesh. Out of these 178,000 tons, 142,000 tons have already been moved from the senders of procurement. I am referring to this because an allegation has been made that quantities are not being moved. Whenever necessary, we have been running special trains so that there will not be any difficulty in the matter of storage.

Pandit J. P. Jyotishi: On a point of information. Has it been purchased from the cultivators?

Shri A. M. Thomas: I am coming to that also. In Madhya Pradesh the Centra! Government is procuring rice, and the State Government is procuring paddy. We have fixed the price of paddy on the basis of the price we have fixed for rice. The State Government has purchased about 73,000 tons of paddy on 13th March, 1959. So, the Central Government machinery and the State Government machinery are operating there side by side, our machinery for the purchase of rice and the State Government machinery for the purchase of paddy.

Then, an allegation has been made with regard to payment. My information is that daily bills are being drawn to the extent of Rs. 15 lakhs to Rs. 20 lakhs for this. Care is taken to see that payment is made at least within

[Shri A M Thomas]

48 hours of the time of purchase The hon House will grant that when it is the Government machinery which is purchasing the officers have to exercise due control that quality is according to sample, quantity is not short and so on Naturally, that will take some time The price depends on the quality and unless the quality is good we cannot purchase it, because this is meant for long time storage So, these circumstances must also be taken into consideration Of course, all avoidable delays must be avoided Rut if we commit any mistake at this stage, if we are careless in our purchase of rice, as the House knows very well, there is a likelihood of our losing crores of rupees of the taxpayers' money, which has to be guarded against Therefore, even if there is some delay, it is an unavoidable delay, and we are as anxious as the House that everything should be expedited

Then, Shri Supakar referred to the State of Orissa. In Orissa the State Government is procuring on our behalf. We have also got our machinery there to take over from the State Government whatever is procured by that agency. After discussion we found that the State Government was in favour of having their own machinery for procurement operations and not the Central Government machinery. In these matters, we have to give some consideration to the feelings of the State Governments.

In Orissa, on our account, 51,900 tons of rice have been purchased up to 13th of March The paddy that has been purchased on our account comes to 29,500 tons In Orissa also, it may be said that procurement operations are proceeding satisfactorily.

It has been said that we are rejecting large quantities and that all that
is being offered is not purchased. Of
course, as I have already said, we
must have some regard for quality
Wherever possible make some relaxation. At one stage rice offered with

percentage of brokens up to 35 percent was being purchased upto 27 percent is a tolerable limit. We have gone beyond that. We have now given instructions that rice with brokens up to 40 percent may also be purchased. So that, whatever possible steps can be taken in the matter of procurement, we are taking

Shri Supakar: May I be excused for a little interruption? Is it a fact that the quality of rice which the Government refused to purchase from the producer on account of its being lower than the required standard, the very same rice is purchased when that passes through a middleman and the Government purchase the very same thing?

Shri A M Thomas No, only if it is brought within the permissible limit, we will purchase It is very easy for the producer himself or the person who tenders for sale, just to bring it within the permissible limit. There is absolutely no difficulty That a not a difficult process to have the percentage of brokens in a particular lot brought down. There is no difficulty at all.

Some Members referred to the agtation that was carried on in Madhya Pradesh

Mr Deputy-Speaker. What the hon Member said was that one quantit was refused when it was in possession of the cultivator or producer, but the same was taken by the Government when it passed through a broker or middlem in

Shri A. M Thomas: It is absolutely neorrect

Shri Supakar: I hope it is incorrect

Shri A M. Thomas: I can assure a the hon House that we have set up a very efficient procurement machinery in Madhya Pradesh. We have got our own officers from the Centre

who go there occasionally and supervise these operations. As far as possible, we find that as much care as possible is taken in these matters. I do not think that that allegation is correct. It may be a fact that quantities which we could not afford to take have gone into the hands of niddlemen and they would have brought it within permissible limits by adding good quality rice and then sold it. The very same quantity: it s too uncharitable an allegation to be nade against them. The procurement machinery has functioned there for some time. I do not think such allerations have ever been made. At any rate, no such allegation has come to our notice

Some reference was made to the agitation carried on in Madhya Praiesh I am glad to read from the papers that that agitation has been advisedly withdrawn

Shri Supakar: Since when?

Shri A. M. Thomas: Perhaps recentiv Better late than never.

Hon Members will concede, as far 15 Madhya Pradesh is concerned, the placing of the ban on export of paddy has been a desirable step. The ban on the export of rice, and paddy prolucts has been imposed on the 20th of December, 1957 That it ias been beneficial to the people of Madhya Pradesh taken as a whole is evident from the fact that ilthough in Madhya Pradesh there was a shortage of production in 1957-38 from that of 1956-57 to the extent of more than a million tons of rice. Madhya Pradesh was able to survive he crisis, and when prices of rice went up in other parts of the country. prices did not go up to that extent in Madhya Pradesh, so that the ban 'ould be considered to have been a very beneficial step.

2 hrs

Shri Panigrahi: What was the estinated surplus in Madhya Pradesh?

Shri A. M. Thomas: So, the agitaion was mainly carried on for the raising of the procurement prices, or for removing the ban so that it would facilitate the producers to export it to other deficit areas., I do not think that any Member would argue for the position that it would be desirable to remove the ban.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: If he has much more to say, then he might lay a statement on the Table of the House

Shri A. M. Thomas: No, Sir. I will finish within five minutes

Then the only thing that the Government can do is to procure the surplus quantity that would be available there, for which we have set up the machinery. That our machinery is beneficial from the point of view of the producer will be seen from the fact that the open market prices in Madhya Pradesh are a little lower than the procurement prices themselves, so that our procurement prices serve as a sort of price support.

The charge has been made that large quantities are being smuggled from Madhya Pradesh Perhaps there is some smuggling, but that there has not been any large-scale smuggling will be borne out by the fact that the open market prices are a little less than the procurement prices. If there is largescale smuggling, what we would naturally expect is that there would be a rise in the open market prices, whatever be the controlled or procurement prices, but that does not exist there. Our information is that while the procurement price for coarse variety is Rs 15, the open market price is Rs 14.37 and m certain other areas Rs 14.

Then the question of the basis on which these procurement prices were fixed has been raised by one hon. Member, the last hon Member who spoke. This matter has been explained more than once before the House. It has been stated that the procurement prices have been based firstly on the procurement prices in force in

[Shri A. M. Thomas]

7095

1952-53, and secondly, on the postharvest prices of rice in 1955-56, 1956-57 and 1957-58.

In Madhya Pradesh the procurement price in 1952-53 for coarse common variety was only Rs. 12. The question of Orissa was also raised. In Orissa the procurement price in 1952 was anyhow less than the procurement price that we pay now.

Regarding post-harvest prices, in Raipur for common market in December 1955 it was Rs. 12 50; in January 1956 it was Rs. 12 25; in December 1956 it was Rs. 15 and in January 1957 it was Rs. 17; in December 1957 it was Rs. 19 and in January 1958 it was Rs. 16.

So, my hon. friends would, I think, agree with me that the prices that we

have fixed for Madhya Pradesh as well as for other States are quite reasonable, and this fact has been explained more than once before the House.

I think I have answered almost all the points that have been raised by hon. Members. As I said in the beginning, I am glad that this opportunity has been given to the Government to dispel some of the doubts that have been raised with regard to the procurement of rice.

18.05 hrs.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Eleven of the Clock on Thursday, the 19th March. 1959/Phalguna 28, 1880 (Saka).

[Wednesday, March 18, 1959/Phalesma 27, 1880 (Saka)]

	(weatherday, water	10, 1959) Luankitua	2/, 1000 (Saka))	
ORA! QU	L ANSWERS TO ESTIONS 6845-	0	ITEN ANSWERS TO JESTIONS—contd.	
S.Q. No		UMNB S.Q.	Subject	COLUMNS
1326	Magnesium carbonate . 6845-	47 1353	Management of Public	
1327	Lok Sahayak Sena . 6847-	51	Funds in Air Force	6888
1328	States Tribes Advisory Councils 685:	1354 1-52	Industrial Finance Cor- poration	6888
1330		3-54 1355	Manufacture of Aeroplanes in Hindustan Aircraft	
1331	Ordnance Factory, Khama- ria 6854-		(Private) Ltd	6889
1332	Advisory Committee for	1356	Export of pig iron .	68 89-90
1332	Libraries 6861	ı—62 ¹ 357	Powers of Governors .	6890
1333	White cement 6862	2 ~ 63 . 1358	Child-lifting	6890
1334	Pension and gratuity cases 6863-	68 I359	Foreign Losss	6890-91
1336	Scientific Policy Resolution 6868	₈₋₆₉ 1360	Auto-car refuellers for	
1337	Republic Day Celebra-		LA.F	1689
		9-70 1361	Karaikudi Research Insti- tute	6892
1339	Boat accident 6870	0-71 1 362	Prof. Kaldor's suggestions	00,52
1340	Export of Iron and Steel Scrap to Japan 687	_	about integrated Tax Structure	6892-93
1341	Pre-examination caoching for Scheduled Castes	1363	Banaras Hindu University	6893
	and Scheduled Tribes	U. S.	Q.	
	Candidates 6572-	⊸ 75 No .		
1345	Salary Saving Scheme . 6875-	77 2064	Production of coal	6893 -94
1348	Mineral Survey in South- ern India 6577-	79 ²⁰⁶ 5	Legal aid to Scheduled Tribes in Punjab	6894
1347	Oil Survey by Stanvac . 6879	-80 2066	Exemptions from Income Tax in U.P.	6894-95
	TEN ANSWERS TO ESTIONS 6450—6	5931 2067	Staff in the Ministry of Education	6895
S.Q. No.		2068	Corruption among police-	6895
1325	Defence Research and	2069	Daulatabad Fort	6895-96
-32,	development Advisory Committee 6880	2070	Excavations in Nander District.	6896
1329	Aligarh University	5881 2071	Concession to Scheduled	. ,.
1335	Pipeline from Assam Oil Fields to Refinery . 6883	•	Castes and Scheduled Tribes and Other Back-	
1338	Employment of non-Him-		ward Classes	6896 97
	chalis in Himschal Pra- desh	2072 6482	Reorganisation of Ordnan- ce Factories	6897-98
1342	National Coal Development	2073	Ferro-manganese	6898
1344		2-83 2074	Coal supply	6898-99
1343	Commissioner for Sales Tax, Delhi	3-94	Central Public Health Engineering Research	,,
1344	Automatic rifles for armed			8 99~6900
		6684 2076	Literacy in States .	6900
1346		5884 :077	Punjab State Youth Wel- fare Board	6900
1349		-85 2078	Evening Colleges in Delhi	6900-01
1350	Laterite stones 6385		Rural Institutes	6901
1351		6886 2080	I.C.S. Officers	6901-02
1352	Death of Indian doctor in Nepal	2081 6887	Foreigners in Govern- ment Employement .	6902

	TTEN ANSWERS TO JESTIONS—contd			TTEN JESTIO	ANSWERS	TO
U.S (• • •	COLUMNS	U. S No		ubject	COLUMNS
2082	Manufacture of Arms and Ammunition for Civi-		2112	Govern: larsh:	ment of India	Scho- 691819
	han use	6902-03	2113	Raygad	Port	6919
2083	Steel Plant in Andhra Pradesh	6903	2114	Central Myso	Excise Collectore	torate 6919-20
2084	Educational Grants to Ori-		2115	Small S	avings Schem	ne 6920–21
	ssa for 1959-60	6903-04	2116	Adivasis	s in Orissa	6921
2085	Books on crimes	6904	2117		Exchange	
2086	Allowances to Ministers	6904-0<		on D	Delegations ser	
2087	Multi-storeyed buildings at Bombay	6905	2118	Prosecu		
2088	Research Branch under the Survey of India	6905-06		Act	uchability (Of	6922
2089	Survey of India Air Survey	6906	2119	trade	g cases in Cut in Delhi	6922
2090	and Training Estate Dehra Dun	6907	2120		ın Andamar bar İslands	n and 6923
209 I	Indebtedness among Class III and IV Survey of	0 9 07	2121		iture by Mu ome Affairs	nıstry 6923
	India Employees	6907	2122	Canteen	Karamchan	Union 6923-24
2092	Class III and IV Survey		2123	Tribal v		6924
2093	of India Employees Grant of Increments to	6908	2124	Banking 1949	Companies	Act, 6924-25
	Survey of India Emplo- yees	6909	2125	Basic Co	ollege at Kaki npura	raban 6925
2094	Strength of Officers in the		2126	Lafe Ins	urance Corpe	ration 6926
_	Central Secretariat	6909-1C	2127	English-	Medium Scho	ools 6926-27
2095 2096	Thefts in Steel Plants Appellate Assistant Com-	6910	2125		Fxcise Coli Mysore	iecto- 6927-22
	missioners	6911	2129	Violation	n of Foreign	Ex-
2097	Department of Archaeology Orissa	4011		chang	e Regulations	6928
2098	Courtesy Police m Delhi	6911 6912	2130		ts from Iron	and
2099	Inter-State Taxation Council	-	2131	Scientific		hnical
2100	American educationists	6912			inology	6929
210t	Crimes in Delhi	6912-13	2132		erts to Madras	_
	Accident in Rourkela Steel	6913	2133	Report of	of the Building Team	g Pro- 6930–31
2102	Plant	6913-14	2134	Colonies	for Scheo	
2103	Conference of old Revo- lutionaries	6914			in Punjab	0931
2104	Price of Telco Trucks	6914	2135		Ministry of H s sent on Dep	
2105	Basic Training College Tripura	6914-15		นดก		6931
2106	Teachers in Himschal Pra-	4	PAPEI TAE		AD ON	THE 6932
2107	School Teachers in Hima-	6915		follown n the T	ng papers able	were
	chal Pradesh	6915-16			of the Annual	
2108 2109	Army Personnel Stores for Bhilai Steel	6916-17		Private	Hindustan Limited for	the
2110	Plant Central Fuel Research	6913		the Au	57-58 along	ounts
	Institute	6917-18			sub-section(I) 639 of the C	
2111	Naga Rebeis	6918			Act, 1956	

	COLUMN		COLUMNIS
PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE—contd.		REPORT OF ESTIMATES COMMITTEE PRESENTED	6933
(2) A copy of the Bombsy Village Industries Board (Reconstitution) Order, 1959, published in Notifi-		Forty-second Report was pre- sented.	
cation No. G.S.R. 200 dated the 13th March,		DEMANDS FOR GRANTS . 6	9347077
1959, under sub-section (5) of Section 4 of the In- ter-State Corporation Act, 1957.		Discussion on Demands for Grants in respect of the Ministry of Law com- menced and concluded.	
MESSAGE FROM RAJYA	6932-33	The Demands were voted in full.	
Secretary reported a me-sage from Rajya Sabha that	093="33	HALF-AN-HOUR DISCUS-	7078 9 6
Rajya Sabha had agreed to the further am- endments made by Lok Sabha on the 24th Febru- ary, 1959 in the Parliament (Prevention of Disqualifi-		Shri Supakar rassed a half-an- hour discussion on points arising out of the answer given to Starred Question No. 731 on the 27th Feb- ruary, 1959 regarding pri-	
cation) Bill, 1958. REPORT OF COMMITTEE		ces of paddy in Madhya Pradesh.	
ON PRIVATE MEMBER'S BILLS AND RESOLU- TIONS PRESENTED	6933	The Deputy Minister of Food and Agriculture (Shri A. M. Thomas) replied to the	
Thirty-eighth Report was pre- sented.		debate. AGENDA FOR THURSDAY.	
REPORT OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTE PRESENTED	6022	MARCH 19, 1959/PHALGU- NA 28, 1880 (Saka)—	
Thirteenth Report was presented.	6933	Discussion on Demands for Grants in respect of the Ministry of Home Affairs	