LOK SABHA DEBATES

(Fourth Session)



(Vol XV contains Nos. 51-58)

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

Price : Rs. 4.00

CONTENTS

Vol. XV

No. 56-Thursday, May 11, 1978/Vaisakha 21, 1900 (Saka)

| | | | | | | | | | Columns |
|---|------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|--------|---------------|-------------|-----|----------------|
| Papers Laid on the Table | • | | • | • | | • | • | • | 1-4 |
| Committee on Petitions— | | | | | | | | | |
| (i) Minutes . | | • | | • | • | • | | • | 4 |
| (ii) Fourth Report | • | • | | | | • | • | • | 4 |
| Committee on Papers Laid | on th | e Tab | ole | | | | | | |
| Sixth Report | | | | | | • | | • | 4 |
| Railway Convention Com | mittee | | | | | | | | |
| Third Report . | | • | | • | | • | • | • | 4 |
| Committee on Subordinate | e Leg | islatio | n | | | | | | |
| Ninth Report . | | | • | | • | • | • | | 4 |
| Committee of Privileges- | . | | | | | | | | |
| Extension of time for privilege against Sh | prese: rimati | ntatio Indu | n of R ra Ga | leport ndhi a | on the | he qu hers | estion • | of | 5-7 |
| Finance Bill, 1978- | | | | | | | | | |
| Motion to consider as —Amendment rejects | | ment | recor | nmeno | ied 1 | oy Ra | jya Sa | bha | |
| Shri H. M. Patel | • | | | • | • | • | • | ٠ | 8—10, 24—27 |
| Shri C. M. Stephen | ٠. | | | • | • | • | • | • | 10-14 |
| Shri G. M. Banatwa | alia | | | ٠. | • | • | • | • | 14-15 |
| Shri T. A. Pai | • | | • | • | • | • | | | 1518 |
| Shrimati Parvathi K | Crishr | an | • | • | • | • | • | • | 18-19 |
| Shri Vasant Sathe . | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 20-21 |
| Shri Somnath Chat | terjee | | | | • | • | • | • | 21-22 |
| Ohni A C George | | | _ | | | | | | 22-24 |

Motion of No-Confidence in the Council of Ministers-Negatived-**COLUMNS** Shri Asoka Krishna Dutt 37-46 Shri Jagjivan Ram 46--50 Shri B. Shankaranand 54-58 Shri M. N. Govindan Nair . 58---63 Shri Ram Vilas Paswan 63---68 Shri Ragavalu Mohanarangam 68---70 Shri Mohd. Shafi Qureshi . 70-87 Shri Hukmdeo Narain Yadav 19-88 Shri Vasant Sathe 91-99 Shri Saugata Roy 99-104 Shri Dajiba Desai 104-108 Shri Morarji Desai 108-21 Shri C. M. Stephen . 121-36 Members Sworn: Shrimati Mohsina Kidwai . 53

LOK SABHA

1

Thursday May 11 1978/Vaisakha 21, 1900 (Saka)

The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair]

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

CERTIFIED ACCOUNTS OF INDIAN SCHOOL OF MINES, DHANBAD FOR 1975-76

THE MINISTER OF EDUCATION, SOCIAL WELFARE AND CULTURE (DR PRATAP CHANDRA CHUNDER) I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Certified Accounts (Hindi** version) of the Indian School of Mines Dhanbad, for the year 1975-76 and the Audit Report thereon | Placed in Library See No LT-2295/78 |

URBAN LAND (CEILING AND REGULA-TION) (4TH AMDT) RULES 1979

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF WORKS HOUSING AND SUPPLY AND RE-HABILITATION (SHRI RAM KIN-KAR) I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) (Fourth Amendment) Rules, 1978 (Hindi and English versions) published in Notification No GSR 571 in Gazette of India dated the 29th April, 1978 under sub-section (3) of section 46 of the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act 1976 together with an explanatory memorandum [Placed in Library See No LT-2296/781

SECOND REPORT OF JAGMOHAN REDDY
COMMLISION WITH GOVERNMENT
MEMO AND STATEMENT FOR NOT LAYING
HINDI VERSION OF THE REPORT

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI DHANIK LAL MANDAL) On behalf of Shri S D Patil, I beg to lay on the Table —

- A copy each of the following papers sub-section (4) of sectron 3 of the Commissions of Inquiry Act, 1952 —
 - (1) Second Report dated the 23rd March, 1978 of the P Jagan-mohan Reddy Commission of Inquiry set up to inquire into certain allegations against Shri Bansi Lal, for Ex-Chief Minister of Haivana and Ex Union Defence Minister
 - (11) Memorandum (Hindi and English versions) of the Action taken by the Central Government on the above Report
- (2) A statement (Hindi and English versions) explaining reasons for not laying simultaneously the Hindi version of the Report mentioned at (1) (1) above [Placed in Library See No. LT-2297/78]

STATEMENTS SHOWING ACTION TAKEN ON ASSURANCE, PROMISE ETC GIVEN DUR-INC VARIOUS SESSIONS OF LOK SABHA

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI

^{**}English version of the Accounts and the Audit Report was laid on the Table on the 8th, May, 1978

LARANG SAI): I beg to lay on the

(1) The following statements (Hindi and English versions) showing the action by the Government on various assurances, promises and undertakings given by the Ministers during the various sessions of Lok Sabha —

(1) Statement No XXIX-Tenth Session, 1974

(11) Statement No XXI-Twelth Session, 1974

(111) Statement No IX-Severteenth Session, 1976 Fifth Lok Subha

- (iv) Statement No VI-First Session, 1977
- (v) Statement No IX-Second Session 1977
- (vi) Statement No V-Third Session 1977
- (vii) Statement No II-Fourth Session, 1978
- (2) A statement (Hindi and English versions showing reasons for delay in the Implementation Reports [Placed in Library, See No LT-2298/78]

EMPLOYEES' P F (AMDT) SCHEME, 1978

SHRI LARANG SAI On behalf of Shr₁ Dr Ram Kırpal Sınha, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Employees' Provident Funds (Amendment) Scheme, 1978 (Hindi and English versions) published in Notification No GSR 602 in Gazzite of India dated the 6th May, 1978 under sub-section (2) of section 7 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act 1952 [Placed in Library See No LT-2299/78]

Notification under Central Excise Rules, 1944

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI ZUL-FIQUARULLAH) I beg to lay on

the Table a copy of Notification No. GSR 273(E) (Hindi and English versions) published in Gazette of India dated the 9th May 1978, issued under the Central Excise Rules, 1944 [Placed in Library See No LT-2300/78]

COMMITTEE ON PETITIONS

(1) MINUIFS

भी हरि थिल्ल कामत (होशगाबाः) मैं छठी लाक मधा की याचिका समिति का पन्द्रहवा से छब्बीसवी बैटको क वार्यवाही साराण सभा पटल पर रखता ह।

(11) FOURTH REPORT

भी हरि विच्यु कामत मैं छठी लाक सभा की याचिका समिति का चतुथ प्रतिवदन प्रस्तृत करत

COMMITTEE ON PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

SIXTH REPORT

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA (Delhi Sadai) Sir I beg to present the Sixth Report of the Committee on Papers, Laid on the Table

RAILWAY CONVENTION COM-

THIRD RIPORT

SHRI KRISHAN KANT (Chandigarh) I beg to present the Third Report of the Railway Convention Committee on Action Taken by Government on the recommendations contained in their Ninth Report, 1973 on "Social Burdens on Indian Railways"

5

COMMITTEE ON SUBORDINATE LEGISLATION

NINTH REPORT

कुमारी सिण जैन बरलम माई पटेल :(मेहमाना) सध्यक्ष महादय, में सधीनस्य विभान सम्बन्धी नर्मित का नीवा प्रतिवेदन प्रस्तुत करती ह

MR SPEAKER: Shri P. K. Deo to present a petition.—He is not there.

COMMITTEE OF PRIVILEGES

EXTENSION OF TIML FOR PRESENTATION OF REPORT ON THE QUESTION OF PRIVILEGE AGAINST S@RIMATI INDIRA GANDHI AND OTHERS

SHRI HITENDRA DESAI (Godhra):

1 move the following:—

"That this House do extend by six months the time for presentation of the Report of the Committee of Privileges on the question of privileges against Shrimati Indira Gandhi and others for alleged obstruction intimidation and harassment of certain officials who were collecting information for aswers to certain questions in Lok Sabha on Maruti Ltd."

MR. SPEAKER: Is it the pleasure of the House to extend the time?

SHRI KANWARLAL GUPTA (Delhi Sadar): Sir, this is a very important thing. To permit another six months' time is not reasonable. I do not know why the hon. Member has not explained as to why he wants six months more. He must give the reasons. There must be some reasons for the extension of time by six months This is a serious matter. I think the feelings of the House are that the privilege case against Mrs. Gandhi should be settled this way or that way so that the House may take any action on that. This is very urgent. I think when I say this I represent the voice of the House.

SEVERAL HON. MEMBERS: Yes, yes.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: So, Sir, may I request you not to adopt this motion?

SHRI SAMAR GUHA (Contai): Sir, I have to give my explanation. The matter is no, so simple as we want it to be. Certainly it would be possible for us to place the report of the Committee without going into the merit of it. You should remember that this Committee of Privileges had to deal in this matter not only with Mrs. Gandhi but several others. To finish the whole work it required the whole of February and even part of March; when Lok Sabha resumed we had a lot of meetings. We could start the work only when the budget session started Even then we had 19 sittings. We had examined 19 witnesses. We have still many more witnesses to examine. I can assure the House that considering the merits of the case. there should not be-I should not use that word-impatience of any kind or an iota of injustice done.

By this time we have voluminous documents consisting of about 1,000 pages and we have to go through them. We have to be positive in regard to our findings. That takes time. During the session I repeat that we have had 19 sittings. And we have to examine many more documents and many more witnesses. And some of the witnesses have to be re-examined so that justice is done to all concerned. For that reason, this extension of six month. It does not mean that we shall take six month's time.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: Why not you give in next session?

SHRI SAMAR GUHA: No. We shall try our best as we are trying. An exigency may develop and that is why we had asked for six months' time. It does not mean that we shall not try to finish it before that We will try to finish it before that.

SHRI HARI VISHNU KAMATH (Hoshangabad): Mr. Speaker, Sir. I am sorry to say my hon. colleague's plea is unconvincing.

MR. SPEAKER: This is not a debate.

SHRI HARI VISHNU KAMATH: I suggest that the time be extended only upto the last day of the first week of the next session. That is the time, we are prepared to give. Not more than that.

MR. SPEAKER: Mr. Kamath, in these matters, they have been doing a very good work. I have gone through their proceedings. They have done their best. It is not a question of delaying it.

SHRI HARI VISHNU KAMATH: If they are so good and efficient, they can report by the first week of the next session.

MR. SPEAKER: At the same time, if the House pleases, they must be given at least four months' time. They must have some holidays also.

SHRI HARI VISHNU KAMATH: Three months' months' holidays.

MR. SPEAKER I think four month's time will be sufficient.

SEVERAL HON. MEMBERS: Yes, yes.

SHRI SAMAR GUHA: If it so happens, we shall be able to submit it before that. There may be certain exigencies. Because of that we had asked for six month's time.

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"That this House do extend by four months the time for presentation of the Report of the Committee of Privileges on the question of privilege against Shrimati Indira Gandhi and others for alleged obstruction, intimidation and harassment of certain officials who were collecting information for answers to certain questions in Lok Sabha on Maruti Ltd."

The motion was adopted.

MR. SPEAKER: Now we go to legislative work.

11.07 hrs.

FINANCE BILL, 1978

AMENDMENT RECOMMENDED BY RAJYA
SABHA

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI H. M. PATEL): Sir, I beg to move:

"(i) that the following amendment recommended by Rajya Sabha in the Bill to give effect to the financial proposals of the Central Government for the financial year 1978-79, by taken into consideration:

Amendment

Clause 36

That at page 31, lines 1 to 6 be deleted.

(ii) that the recommendation made by Raiya Sabha be rejected."

May I point out to the Hon'ble Members of this House that the suggestion underlying the amendment to the Bill which the Rajya Sabha has recommended to us for consideration is by no means new. Hon'ble Members will recall that similar amendments were moved in this very House at the time of the clause by clause consideration of the Bill. These amendments were extensively discussed and, after due consideration, this Hon'ble House rejected the amendments and passed the Finance Bill. Although it may not, therefore, be necessary for me to offer any detailed comments on the purport and effect of the amendment recommended by the Rajya Sabba, I shall briefly touch upon them.

The amendment seeks to withdraw the Central Excise duties on "Coal" and "Coke not (excluding lignite) elsewhere specified" and "Electricity". In my Budget speech as well as in my subsequent remarks in reply to the debates in this House I had fully spelt out the circumstances in which I had to impose new Central Excise levies and electricity. Briefly coal stated, the circumstances are that this Government have invested and will

continue to invest massive resources for the development of coal industry and power geneneration. In respect of coal, about Rs. 750 crores have been invested after nationalisation of the coal mines and a sum of Rs. 1.650 crores is proposed to be invested in the Five Year Plan (1978-83). In the power sector, the outlay in the current financial year will be over Rs. 2200 crores. Having regard to these massive investments. it was considered that it would be proper that the beneficiaries of these investments should contribute, in a small measure, to the national exchequer by way of Central Excise duty. The excise duty on coal is of a nominal order namely, Rs. 5 per tonne on non-coking coal which constitutes roughly 3/4th of the total coal production in the country and Rs 7 per tonne on coking coal The levy on electricity is only 2 parse per Kw The base for these two levies is wide-spread that I do not expect that the impact of these levies on individual items will be more than marginal. In respect of coal. I have already exempted 1 per cent of the coal produced and cleared from the mines, in consideration of the fact that some coal is absorbed in auxiliary consumption in the collicries. In respect of electricity. I have exempted completely electricity used in auxiliary plants for the purpose of generating electricity. I have also electricity exempted generated by industrial units and other establishments for their own captive consum ption. I have also given a uniform reduction of 10 per cent of the duty payable on the current generated, afta: deducting the auxiliary consumption, thus restricting the levy to 90 per cent. More important than these exemptions, I have exempted completely electricity which is supplied by the State Electricity Boards and others at their agricultural tariffs for use for agricultural purposes. I had also indicated in my speech in this House on the 27th April. 1978 that I propose to consider. in consultation with the concerned Ministries, the question of affording relief, wherever warranted, to power intensive industries such as, aluminium, with a view to ensuring the availability of essential products at reasonable prices. This process has already been set in motion.

At the operational level, a number of procedural relaxations have been made in respect of electricity, having regard to its peculiar nature, method of production etc. With a view to accommodating the Electricity Boards, I have instructed the Department to permit a period of 60 days credit for the payment of duty due from them I have also issued instructions that the duty on coal may be collected at the end of the month rather than on a daily basis

I would also like to emphasise that the amendment recommended by the Rajya Sabha would entail sacrifice of revenue amounting to Rs. 128.20 crores on electricity and Rs. 57.37 in all, Rs. 185.57 crores on coal or. crores. This House will agree that it will not be expedient to allow the budgetary gap to be widened further. Hon'ble Members will appreciate that the abandonment of these measures will have significant impact on the resources for the new Five Year Plan.

I am, therefore, confident that the House will not accept the amendment suggested to us by the Rajya Sabha. So, I move it for consideration

MR. SPEAKER. Motion moved:

"(i) That the following amendment recommended by Rajya Sabha in the Bill to give effect to the financial proposals of the Central Government for the financial year 1978-79, be taken into consideration:—

Amendment

Clause 36.

That at page 31, lines 1 to 6 be deleted.

(ii) That the recommendation made by Rajya Sabha be rejected."

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN (Idukki): Sir, I rise to make a very fervent appeal to the Finance Minister. It is very rarely that bill passed by this House

12

[Shri C. M. Stephen]

is returned with a recommendation. And nobody would say that the recommendation in this case has been made in a blind manner, in an arbitrary manner. There are many clauses in the Finance Bill which the Opposition House opposed and even prought to division, but all those items were not opposed by the Rajya Sabha. There was opposition against the Advertisement Bill. But the Rajya Sabha had approved the measure. There was opposition against the 5 per cent rise on the not otherwise mentioned items. The Rajya Sabha sustained that measure. The Rajya Sabha sustained the position. have passed this on very very valid grounds I would appeal to the Government to consider that, not with a doggedness or stubbornness and not with an unopen mind but with an open mind. The Finance Minister after moving his Finance Bill. I am sure, has been receiving representations from almost every State producing electricity, against this levy. Now, the principal point I want to make is this. Let us not rub the States to much on the wrong side. Let us not drive them to the point of desperation. The main attack I have against the levy on the electricity is that this is an encroachment on the capacity of the States under Constitution to raise a levy, a levy which will yield substantial results subject only to the consideration of making the power available to the consumers at a reasonable level. Sir, in the Seventh Schedule, Item 53 is regarding taxes on the consumption or sale of electricity and this item is reserved for the State. Why is it that they are not imposing more? It is not because that they do not need the money, not that this will not yield more money, but because they have realised that by imposing a tax on the sale or consumption of electricity they will be increasing the burden to the consumers which will affect the industries in that area, which will effect different economic activities in that area. Therefore, subjecting this to a certain measure of sacrifice, they

forego an area from where they could collect the money, for their own development purposes. When the States have done that, here comes the Centre imposing a levy on the production of electricity. Who use the electricity? There are two aspects. One is that they have exempted the captive units and bigger industries which are producing electricity. They have no liability to pay levy at all. These industries which have captive units, produce electricity. They use the electricity produced by themselves. There is no levy. They are big fish. They are very considerately exempted from this levy. Then there are other industries, small industries, medium industries, industries which cannot afford to have a captive units. They draw electricity just from the Electricity Boards. On that excise levy is imposed. The result is that it would be passed on to the consumers. In the competition the bigger one is discriminated in favour and the others are discriminated against and the entire chain gets burdened and the state is crippled of its capacity to raise revenue from an area which is allowed to them. If the consumption cost is increased as a result of imposition of the levy then the possibility of raising tax under item 53, in exercise of powers thereunder, in the 7th schedule in the state list is wiped out. It is most unfair to the states. On the one side you tell them that they should not overdraw from the banks and you are putting the entire burden on them and increasing their economic difficulties. This will create tension between the state and the centre. I do not think there is any other levy against which there was unanimity of opposition as far as the state governments are concerned. The entire opposition in the House on the one hand, the Rajya Sabha on the other hand, the state governments on the third hand and consumers on the fourth hand, together had come out and said: please relieve us from this levy. But the stubborn and Central government is governments on the third hand going head to have this draconian

measure. They have this idea in their head and so they are going ahead. They are initiating certain reactions and repurcussions which will be disastrous for this country in the matter of centre-state relations, in the area of economic development of the states, I can only say this: do not drive the states to the point of desperation. Do not misuse your power in the union list such a manner as to deprive the states the use 'nf their under the state list. That will be end of the federal functioning in this country. This is not merely a financial measure, it is a measure of serious political consequences. For heaven's sake do not stick to that. Why do we have an Upper Chamber after all? It is there to consider certain measures. If we pass some measure in a huff, they may think over same and recommed to us certain changes. If the recommendation is treated invariably as of no value at all, why should the upper chamber be there? It would be a different case if the Upper Chamber sends out every Bill that you are passing. The Opposition has got the majority in the upper chamber. But not one Bill, except the Banking Commission Repeal Bill, the last Finance Bill, no other Bill had been returned by the Upper Chamber. They are exercising their jurisdiction with considerable discrimination and deliberation and sobriety. So when the Rajya Sabha sends it back, let us not shove it into waste paper basket. Let us give some consideration and weight to what they had to say. Therefore, I would appeal to the Finance Minister not to consider this as a prestige issue at all. If you could carry on non-chalantly with deficit finance of Rs. 1,200 crores, you could certainly allow this also to be absorbed into that cushion. Nothing disastrous will happen. Therefore, with a full sense may I at this final of responsibility stage appeal to the Finance Minister to give second thought to the whole matter and to treat this recommendation of the Rajya Sabha with the fullest respect that it legitimately deserves. With these words, I oppose the

motion of the Finance Minister.

MR. SPEAKER: Members who want to speak will be allowed five minutes each because this is a matter which has been debated earlier.

SRI G. M. BANATWALLA (Ponnani): Mr. Speaker, it is heartening to note that the Rajya Sabha had returned the Bill with the recommendation that the dutv on electricity and coal should be withdrawn. I am very happy that the Sabha has risen to the occasion and has made this important recommendation to this House. As you easily recall, I had moved an amendment here on similar lines asking for the withdrawal of this duty. I had then pleaded with the Government and with the House to accept the amendment. The amendment pressed, there was division and unfortunately it was lost.

Now, the Rajya Sabha has returned the Bill with the amendment for which it deserves all congratulations. The Lok Sabha has one more opportunity now to give its decision on The matter. On a previous occasion, I had appealed to this House to rise above all party considerations and to consider this national question above party matters. I am sure that the Finance Minister will give thought to this proposal for duty on electricity and coal, which has been rejected by the Rajya Sabha and of. which the Lok Sabha is now seized. I have risen only to reiterate my appeal to the Lok Sabha and to all the Members of this august House not to treat this as a party issue, but to take it above party level and to see that we stand by the nation in its thinking with respect to duty on coal and electricity.

It is hardly necessary for me to reiterate and recapitulate all the arguments that I have already placed before this House. It is an indisputable fact and even the hon. Minister has not disputed it that these

[Shri G. M. Banatwalla]

duties are inflationary in character. The burden will be passed on to the common people and smaller industrialists and as such will result in deterioration of the economic situation as prevalent in the country.

As iar as the duty on electricity is concerned, it is well known that the duty is a duty upon the States. When Electricity is generated by the Electricity Boards, the duty is a duty upon the States. It is most unfortunate that this ill-conceived duty has come up in the Finance Bill at a time when the States are asking and pleading for more resources at their disposal. At a time when the Centre-State relations are in a state of tension with respect to more financial powers, it is rather unfortunate that the Government has come forward to law the States themselves. It is in this view that we must give a cool thought to the duty. The duty is, I must say with all the emphasis at my command, an on-slaught on the economic authority of the States. An appeal has already been made not to rub the States on the wrong side. I am sure that the Government will consider. reconsider to have a policy of constructive cooperation rather than confrontation with the States. It is not a matter of the economic authority of the States, but the common people will suffer if any such duty is imposed upon such sensitive items as coal and electricity I must therefore reiterate my humble plea to this House to see to it that this duty is withdrawn.

SHRI T. A. PAI (Udipi). Mr. Speaker, Sir, we oppose both these taxation measures which are preposterous and the reasons given behind them are absolutely short-sighted. when the Budget is Unfortunately presented and the fiscal measures are suggested, it comes on party lines because we have very little chance even to make improvements Burdened with the problem of raising resources, the Finance Minister would concentrate on one aspect of the problem, even without realising how

exactly it would affect the whole community. What are the reasons given? One reason given is that heavy investments are being made in the coal sector. And, therefore, these resources are necessary. I think we also, in our Government, planned for these investments. But we did not think of raising resources this way. If at all there are some mines, and mining activities will have to be carried on when we go into uneconomic seams of coal, to make it worthwhile coal prices might have to be increas ed. If the investment is made in the coal industry, it must be realized; but then the CMA must be given authority to raise the price of coal in this country the Central Covernment should not raise a tax and pretend that they are going to finance this coal-raising, and thus come in the way of the coal prices going up in a direct manner, you do not want to create an impression in the country that the prices are rising. So, you are levying a tax on that-which would hide the fact that the effect is the same. Coal has to play a, very important part in the country's economy. We thought of a clear policy. For most of UP and Bihar, soft coke is a substitute fuel for domestic purposes: but that coal is not seing produced enough. On the other hand, you are raising taxes on all this. Again, 85 per cent of the coal produced, is used by the public sector Railway alone might have to pay about Rs 19 crores, by way of these taxes. Whom are we fooling? If the public sector is going to raise its resources in order to improve coal mining in this country, this is something we should look into. Again, coal price outside, i.e. the black-market is almost 100 per cent more; and if only the community would not mind raising the coal price, it would be all right; but it would certainly mind to-day inefficient transportation of pride that in this coal. You take country, last year, the transportation of coal by trucks has gone up by 20 per cent. As I pointed out, you are using valuable diesel to take coal 1500

miles away in this country, and in the name of using coal as a substitute for valuable oil. Something is going wrong. Therefore, I do not see where the cascading effect of this wiff be felt. If a taxation measure which affects the community were to bring about socio-economic transforthere could certainly be mation agreement. Here, it is not going to touch the rich. It is the poorest who are going to be affected And prices have gone up, generally, by 10 per cent. If you are now showing that some prices have come down it is because you have imported certain things at a much higher price and you are subsidizing them. And again it will add to your deficit.

As far as power is concerned, CMA and all the public sector units owe standing balances of Rs. 60 crores to Rs. 60 crores, on which CMA has been paying 15-! per cent interest to the banks. Why is this inefficiency to be financed by taxation of the people?

Now, even rural electrification will come to a standstill, because with the higher cost, I think most of the people will find it uneconomical to use You may say it is used for agriculture. But what about all the other industries that are to go into the backward areas? If you go on exempting it, then there would be no taxes. I think it is worthwhile that the electricity boards themselves raise electricity tariff, based on the working of such economic boards, and not on the inefficient working-that they are indulging in.

And then, again, Railways—in order to make up this deficit—will be called upon to increase their freight rate. One thing goes after another. And, therefore, both these taxation measures are absolutely unreasonable; and I think it should not be a matter of prestige. All the suggestions that have come from the different parts of the House should be considered. And the Rajya Sabha had a greater opportunity to go into this, and they have pleaded for these exemption. And I would join my voice to it. And

I would certainly like to say that as far as deficit is concerned, the Janata Government does not believe that deficit has any meaning, because the Janata deficit is something different. Even the Prime Minister has made a statement recently that the deficit this year is not going to be as much as was presented in the Budget. When the hopes of the people have been raised, that there is not going to be a deficit, for this Rs. 100 crores or Rs. 200 crores, you can have increased profits from the industry; and you will get it by way of income tax and corporate taxes.

SHRIMATI PARVATHI KRISH-NAN (Coimbatore): Sir. at the time of the discussion of the Fininace Bill, we emphasize very much before the Finance Minister particularly duty on electricity and its impact on small-scale industries. rural electrification etc. The State Governments also particularly of Tamil Nadu and Kerala, have brought it to the notice of the Central Government, but .t seems to have fallen into deaf ears I do not want to repeat the points made already by my colleagues, Shri Stephen and Shri Banatwalla, but I would appeal to the Finance Minister that when you are coming again to get an endorsement of your proposal. asking for a rejection of the considered view of the Raiva Sabha, would you consider this democracy?

Yesterday much play was made of the restoration of democracy, that f there is no democracy in this country you would not be allowed to stant there and speak. Sir, when we talk of democracy, it is not mere word's that we want. Democracy does not shooting by bullets in Pan'mean nagar and Bailadilla. Democracy also means that you should heed the not mean that you voice. It does allow the opposition to talk whatever they want and then do whatever you like. Further, this opposition is not only within the Lok Sabha or the Rajya Sabha. There is opposition in the States and there is opposition by

Shrimati Parvathi Krishnan]

19

the common people of this country and opposition by the small-scale industries, whom you claim to encourage and support.

The small-scale industries will be hard-hit by the duty on coal and on electricity in no small measure. We have had several representations from them on this point. It is not only a question of unavailability of ecal or inefficiency of the coal not reaching the small-scale industries in time. The small-scale industries which are run by electricity are also affected, because they do not get sufficient electricity.

There is a proposal in the plan of the Energy Ministry to have rural electrification. Do you think that the landless labour, the harijans, the poor peasants are going to be able to pay for your electricity when you put this duty even before you electrify the villages?

I am sorry that the Finance Minister comes with an illogical logic before this House. He asks: where do I find the resources? Where are you going to find resources for your deficit?. You are prepared to face a deficit of Rs. 1,100 crores and you are asking us about a mere Rs. 149 crores. You are prepared to swallow half a dozen elephants but you want testrain at a fly or mosquito. I think you should hang down your head in shame that you could not give even this small concession to the smallscale sector.

Therefore, in the name of demoeracy, in the name of restoration of democracy, in the name of the poor people of our country and in the name of the States I would appeal to him that he should pay heed to the advice of Rajya Sabha, that he should pay need to the voice of the opposition in the Lok Sabha and gracefully withdrawn this provision. We are prepared to give him permission to withdraw this provision.

श्री बसन्त साठे (ग्रकोला) : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय. मेरी यह धारणा थी कि हमारे जनता पार्टी के वित्त मंत्री श्रौर हमारे दूसरे माननीय सदस्य इस बात को जरा व्यापक दृष्टि से देखते । इसमें वाकई कोई पार्टीबाजी का सवाल नहीं स्नाना चाहिये । मझे याद है कि पिछली लोक सभा में टैक्सटाइल इंडस्टी के बारे में एक बिल ग्राया था. श्रौर विरोधी पक्ष की श्रोर से कुछ सुझाव श्राये थे, तो हमारी पार्टी के कुछ लोगों ने उसका समर्थन किया--श्री पाई स्वयं मंत्री थे; उनको याद होगा — ग्रौर हमने मंत्री महोदय को उस बात को मानने के लिए बाध्य किया ।

मेरी समझ में यह नहीं ग्राया कि क्या सचमच ये लोग अपने दिल में यह मानते हैं कि इलैक्टि-सिटी पर इयटी बढ़ाने से आम लोगों का फायदा होगा। कोयले पर डियटी बढाने से चाहे कितनी छोटी श्राप बतायें लेकिन उसका जो चेन रीऐक्शन होता है, स्नोबोलिंग इफेक्ट जो होता है ग्रौर फिर तमाम कीमतें भिन्न भिन्न उद्योग वाले एक बहाना ले कर बढ़ाते जाते हैं कि सरकार ने टैक्स बढ़ा दिया, बिजली का खर्च बढ गया, हर उद्योग वाला कहता है कि बिजली का खर्चा बढ़ गया, सरकार ने टैक्स लगाया हम क्या करें, तो इस तरह से जो एक बहाना उनके हाथ में दे देते हैं उसका नकसान उपभोक्ता को उठाना पड़ता है।

और एक बात मेरी समझ में नहीं आयी, ग्रर्थ मंत्री जी कहते हैं कि इस टैक्स में ऐसी गुँजाइशें रखेंगे कोई व्यक्तिगत उद्योग हो खास तो उनको एग्जेम्प्शन देंगे. उनकी मैरिट के ऊपर कंसटीडरेशन करेंगे । जहां श्रापने इस तरह से लपहोल छोड़े वहां करण्यन की शुरुवात हो गई, क्योंकि आप तय करेंगे कि कौन सा उद्योग ऐसा है कि जिसके लिए ऐंग्जेम्पशन देना जरूरी है। श्रीर फिर उनके हाथ में खेलेंगे जो बड़े उद्योगों से, ऐसे उद्योगों से मिल कर ऐग्जेम्पशन के लिए ग्रपना केस बनायेंगे। तो मैं ग्रापसे जानना चाहता हं कि 150 करोड़ का सवाल है तो जो बड़े पंजीपतियों के ऊपर जितना सारा एरियर टैक्स का पड़ा हुआ है, 1,000 करोड़ रुपए से ज्यादा, श्रीर जो इस देश में मैंने बार बार कहा है, वित्त मंत्री जी स्वयं उस कमेटी के सदस्य थे, 20,000 करोड़ का काला धन इस देश में है, ग्राप क्या कोशिश कर रहे हैं उसको हाथ लगाने के लिए ? यह कहने से काम नहीं चलेगा कि आपने क्या किया, आपने क्या किया । हमने जो कुछ किया, 1,000 करोड़ का डेफिसिट कभी नहीं लगाया । . . (व्यवधान) ग्रगर ग्रध्यक्ष जी, एक माल दलील हर तर्क की यही रहने वाली है कि श्रापने 30 साल में कुछ नहीं किया इसलिए ग्रब हम झक मारेंगे, तो मैं कहता हं कि इस तरह से आप गड्ढे में चले जायेंगे । कोई भी समझदारी की बात आप से कहें ग्राप कहते हो कि 30 साल क्या हुग्रा । . . . (व्यवधान) प्रधान मंत्री जी ने खद कहा कि 30 साल में 21 साल तो मैं था । तो इससे क्या

मतलब निकलता है । ग्राप दलील यह दीजिए 150 करोड रुपये निकालने का दूसरा जरिया हो सकता है । अब 1,000 करोड़ रुपए से ज्यादा का डेफिसिट ग्रापने रखा, पूरी पंचवर्षीय योजना में 2,200 करोड़ का डेफिसिट हुम्रा उसमें से 1,200 करोड का एक ही साल । जब इतनी ग्राप हिम्मत कर सकते हैं ग्रौर उसको ग्राप कहते हैं कि हम निकाल लेंगे तो यह गरीबों पर बोझ बिजली ग्रीर कोयले का क्यों डाल रहे हैं ? मैं लोकतन्त्र की दृष्टि से, राज्यों की ृष्टि से और मैं उस राज्य से आ रहा हूं महा-राष्ट्र जहां बिजली का उत्पादन उद्योगों के लिए नितात ग्रावश्यक होता है बम्बई में ग्रौर दूसरी जगह, मैं अनुरोध करता हूं कि कृपया इस पर विचार कीजिए ग्रीर यह बोझ राज्य सरकारों पर श्रीर श्राम जनता पर न डालिए, इतनी समझदारी ग्राप जरूर दिखायेंगे । SHRI SOMNATH CHATTERJEE

hon. (Jadavpur): I request the Finance Minister that, when he has got one more chance to consider this matter, it should be considered. may be that at that time Government had taken it up as a very serious matter. Now, the other House has given its view. Whether that maiority is temporary or not, they have utilised that majority, and it has to us. This is come back a very important matter in the sense that these are imposts which are bound to affect the ordinary people of this country. There is no good denying that. There are so many indirect taxes, so many types of indirect taxes. This is a matter which is bound to hit the common people, the ordinary traders, the small traders etc. At least Mr. Sathe was right in one thing, that this is going to be passed on to the common people. This will hit the small manufacturers who use electricity and coal. Even big ones are not going to bear them themselves. They will pass it on to the common-man. The amount is not very much. Therefore apart from making a gesture, this is a matter which is going to help the ordinary people. There are various methods of recovering this emount which you are going to lose. Apart from arrears of taxation, obvimonopoly houses, ously there are there is huge accumulation of blackmoney. Mr. Sathe can help you in unearthing that money. We are see-

ing the preponderant effect of the blackmoney in the country where the common people of this country are the victims of that. They are untouched. You may say there are difficulties. What are the difficulties in realising the taxes, we do not know. What is the difficulty in re-structuring the income-tax rates in this country in the higher brackets, we do not know. Those are not being done. Restoration of democracy must be accompanied by appreciation or acknowledgement of the situation in this country that these people are below poverty line and more and more people are going below the poverty line. We have seen by experience although you have restored democratic rights in this country, the black days of emergency are over, although you have restored the freedom of speech, although you have restored even the judicial independence in this country, the freedom of the press, yet the people are getting frustrated. Why? Because their day to day problems are not being solved. Whether you accept it or not, that is for you. But this has to be understood. There fore, I am appealing to the hon Finance Minister and to the Government that please accept in good grace the suggestion that has come from the Rajya Sabha. All the opposition parties here are unanimous on this. I am sure, many members of your party but for the whip would have supported us. Therefore, kindly accept this. (Interruption) Whip is a necesaberration of democracy in every country. Therefore, let us not ignore the realities of this. But I am requesting the Government to spare the poor people from these imposts and try to give them a feeling that the Government is considerate towards them.

SHRI A. C. GEORGE (Mukandapuram): Mr. Speaker, Sir, in fact, this House will be glad that the hon. Finance Minister is given an oportunity again to give due consideration to the wisdom of the elders' House to which normally he should belong

[Shri A. C. George]

23

though he is standing the younger Ministers dinner these days.

The Rajya Sabha has given this opportunity to this House because there are certain basic issues involved. This is not even a taxation this is an economic phenomenon of the federal imperialism because in effect, this is a taxation or in fact, this is a tribute asked to be paid to the emperor from the vassals because ultimately, 83 per cent of the energy is produced by the public sector or State Electricity Boards. Already they are in difficulties. They are finding it difficult expand their activities to the rural areas. And the Finance Minister has care to see that at taken abundant least this financial measure will not be subject to the repressal of the industrial lobby because they have been exempted earlier itself.

This is a callous measure because, by the rural saying, this, in fact, is eating up or consuming the seed. We do it only in frustration and desperation. The power or energy is basically the seed of industrial production His attempt or his aim at the very seed is trying to consume it

In the State of Kerala, we are trying our level best to increase the production of electricity and we are even supplying to the neighbouring States. Those States have made a unanimous appeal through their legislature resolutions to the Finance Minister. Not only Kerala but almost all the States have come up with this appeal. But still the Finance Minister is insisting that he will tax it.

Now, if this logic is taken to extreme end, the next step of the Finance Minister will be—normally, light and water go togethen—to tax even the drinking water supplied by the local authorities. This is what is being led to. May I, therefore, appeal to the hon. Finance Minister to view this not as a party issue because, uitimately, we are hitting the consu-

mer? The big industries have already got an exemption.

In very many measures, we have seen in the budget proposals that the ultimate burden is carried on to the consumer. So in the interest of the Centre-State relations, in the interest of the consumers and ultimately in the interest of increasing the dustrial production in this country. I would request the hon Minister Finance to give due thought to the appeal or the wisdom shown by the Rajya Sabha. I think. this will cut across party lines and, I hope, this tax measure will be withdrawn. As my hon, triend, Shrimati Parvathi Krishnan said, the House will be agreeable to allowing him to withdraw it.

OF MINISTER FINANCE THE (SHRI H. M. PATEL): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I have listened to the observations made by various hon Members with great attention. It is interesting to see what method they have of appeal ing to me to accept this amendment. They say, I am utterly callous and they want to appeal to me to accept this reasonable proposition. They call it "monstrous", they say, it is an illogical logic. If this is so, where is the need for any appeal to me? (Interruptions)

SHRI VASANT SATHE: He is a most honourable man, most respectable, most reasonable and all that. We are willing to pay every encomium to him.

SHRI H. M. PATEL: llow lightly they are treating this subject? He has no hesitation, in one moment, saying, I am callous and in another moment, because I point out this to him, saying, I am most honourable, most respectable, etc etc. These gentlemen have no value for the words that they use. They are utterly illogical. Not only that. They are inconsistent.

Mr. Stephen said that the Rajya Sabha was most considerate, that they only asked this levy on electricity and coal to be rejected. I think, this House is not aware that the Rajya Sabha did want even to remove the other two taxes also, under item 68 and 5 per cent special tax. It was only fortunately or unfortunately that the Rajya Sabha m their wisdom or by pure accident, at that particular moment, did not reject those provisions also in which case otherwise the loss of revenue to me would have been much greater.

Now, the most important point of thing is this If only all these gentlemen look back to what they had said in regard to the deficit of Rs. 1,050 crores kindly read word for word, everything -they said, what desperate and terrible consequences would follow and, today, the same gentlemen say that an addition of Rs. 187 crores will mean nothing at all, that it will no other consequences at all (Interruption). If you only accept my judgement, as I have said before, the effect of this levy on electricity and coal will not be such as to cause any serious consequence.

Just as you have accepted my judgement in regard to Rs. 1050 crores as deficit which I can carry, so you can carry of this. I know that from your point of view I have no logic. So, this is perfectly all right

(Interruptions)

Those hon. gentlemen forget everything that they say. They argue only for a moment It suits them just now to say that in their wisdom the Rajya Sabha has sent this and therefore we should accept this. It suits them now to say: do not consider these measures on party lines but consider them on some other lines. There is no question of considering them either on party lines or on other lines. My request is that the whole question be considered on reasonable lines and I make this suggestion because we have a very major development plan to carry through. If that development plan requires an enormous sum to be raised, 17 per cent development which

we wish to cary out this year, from where these funds have to be raised when the sixth Five Year Plan we had already discussed in this House. What is the amount that has to be raised during those five years? On whom the burden will fall? The burden will fall on the common man on everybody else. You can say that the burden on the common man should be proportionally as little as nossible. Certainly that should be the aim of any plan that we make. But when We desire that the States shall develop development projects of a massive character we have undertaken then I am afraid we have also got to accept the reponsibility for making more sacrifices and accepting gleater burden on ourselves which cannot just come out of nothing.

Therefore, I do appeal to this House—now I am appealing—that having accepted that it is desirable to develop and not to stand-still, having agreed that certain development projects are worthwhile and should be done, carried through, in that case, resources for those have to be found As far as those resources are concerned, I have frankly said that because these resources are necessary I am proposing these levies

SHRI VASANT SATHE: Not at the cost of the common man

SHRI H M PATEL: They talked about the Electricity Boards and so on and so forth and even raised the spectre of Centre-State confrontation There is no question of Centre-State confrontation. There never has been except for those who wish to create that. There may be certain differences of opinions which will always exist wherever there is democracy wherever there is a free speech, there will be differences of opinions. Even my hon, friend, Shrimati Parvathi Krishnan who wishes to give me gracious permission to withdraw thisbut her graciousness is always there. I know. I can always rely upon that

[Shri H M Patel]

27

graciousness-I would appeal to her to accept the same point that it would be a desirable thing that if you want development you have to pay a price for it I would like to ask my hon friends opposite that if they were ever led to look through budget after budget and whether they had ever accepted any additional levy, everything was always said to be enormous to be very great buiden which cannot be borne, it will break the back

Now I will say something about one point. Mr. Pai said look at this incresase in prices. Has he foigotten about one point? Sometimes certain uncomfortable factors tend to be for-But when the coal mines were nationalised I temen bei sitting in the Oppo ition and hearing Mohankumara Mangalam say that the nationalisation was necessary because private mine owners were planning to increase prices What happened after the nationalisation and what was the increase that was made within a tew months? The increase was of the order of 37 per cent and the only increase that I gay is that my levythe utmost it may reach- will be not even 8 per cent I realise these buidens are necessary I quote this only to say this There are occasions when buildens have to be imposed and they have to be borne. This is one of those occasions I would make this appeal to vou very strongly

12 hrs

MR SPEAKER The question is

(1) That the following amendment recommended by Rajya Sabha in the Bill to give effect to the financial proposals of the Central Government for the financial year 1978-79, be taken into consideration ---

Amendment

Clause 36

That at page 31, lines 1 to 6 be deleted."

The Motion was adopted.

MR SPEAKER We shall now take up the amendment recommended by the Rajya Sabha We have had Enough discussion about it question is

Clause 36

That at page 31 lines 1 to 6 be deleted

The Lot Sibha diided

Division No 41

12 06 his

AYES

Ahmed Hussain Shii Ahsan Jafu Shri Alagesan Shu O V Alluri Shii Subhash Chandia Bose Austin Dr Henry Badri Najayan Shri A R Balakiishniah Shii T Banatwalla, Shri G M Barman Shri Palas Barrow, Shii A E T Barua Shri Bedabrata Bhagat Ram Shri Bhakta Shii Manoranian Bhuvarahan Shii G Burande Shii Gangadhai Appa Burman Shri Kirti Bikram Deb Chandi appan, Shri C K Chatteriee Shii Somnath Chaudhuri Shri Tridib Choudhari, Shri K B Damanı Shrı S R Damoi Shri Somjibhai Dasappa Shri Tu'sidas Desai, Shri Hitendra Deshmukh, Shri Sheshrao Dhondge, Shri Keshavrao Doley, Shri L K

Gamit, Shri Chhitubhai Gawai, Shri D. G. George, Shri A. C. Gopal. Shri K. Hande, Shri V. G. Haren Bhumij, Shri Jaffer Sharief, Shri C. K. Jain, Shri Kacharulal Hemraj

Jeyalakshmi, Shrimati V. Kadannappalli, Shri Ramachandran Kalyanasundaram Shri M Karan Singh, Dr. Khan, Shri Ismail Hossain Kisku, Shri Jadunath Kodiyan, Shri P. K. Kosalram, Shri K. T. Krishnan, Shrimati Parvathi Kunhambu, Shri K. Lahanu Shidava Kom, Shri Lakkappa, Shri K. Lakshminarayanan, Shri M. R. Laskar, Shri Nihar Mallanna, Shri K. Meduri, Shri Nageswara Rao

Murthy, Shri Kusuma Krishna Murthy, Shri M. V. Chandrashekhara Naidu, Shri P. Rajagopal Naik, Shri S. H. Nair, Shri B. K.

Nair, Shri M. N Govindan Nair, Shri N. Sreekantan Pai, Shri T. A.

Parvatı Devi, Shrimati Patil, Shri U. S

Poojary, Shri Janardhana Pradhan, Shri Amar Roy

Puilaiah, Shri Darur Qureshi, Shri Mohd. Shafi Rajan, Shri K. A. Raju, Shri P. V. G. Ramamurthy, Shri K. Rangnekar, Shrimatf Ahilya P.

Rao Shri M. S. Sanjeevi Rao, Shri M. Satyanarayan Rao, Shri P. Ankineedu Prasada Rao, Shri P. V. Narasimha Ravi Shri Vayalar Roy, Shri A. K. Roy, Shri Saugata Saha, Shri A. K. Sathe, Shri Vasant Satyanarayana, Shri Dionam Raju Sayced, Shri P. M. Seyld Muhammad, Dr. V. A. Shankaranand, Shri B. Shrangare, Shri T. S. Stephen, Shri C M. Sunna Sahib, Shri A. Thorat Shri Bhausaheb

NOES

Abdul Lateef, Shri Aghan Singh, Shri Amat, Shri D. Amin, Prof. R. K. Ansari, Shri Faguir All Ang Beg, Shri Bairagi, Shu Jena Bal, Shri Pradyumna Balak Ram, Snri Balbir Singh, Chowdhry Baldev Prakash, Dr. Barakataki Shrimati Renuka Devi' Basappa, Shri Kondaiji Bharat Bhushan, Shri Borole, Shri Yashwant Brij Raj Singh, Shri Chandan Singh, Shri Charan Narzary, Shri Chaturbhuj, Shri Chaturvedi Shri Shambhu Nath Chaudhary, Shri Motibhai R. Chaudhry, Shri Ishwar Chauhan, Shri Bega Ram Chauhan, Shri Nawab Singh

Chavda, Shri K. S.
Chowhan, Shri Bharat Singh
Chunder, Dr Pratap Chandra
Dandavate, Prof Madhu
Danwe Shri Pundalik Harl
Dasgupta, Shri K. N
Dave, Shri Anant
Deo Shri P K

Desai Shri Morarji
Deshmukh, Shri Nanaji
Deshmukh Shri Ram Prasad
Dharia Shri Mohan
Digvijoy Narain Singh Shri
Durga Chand, Shri
Dutt, Shri Ashok Krishna
Fazlur Rehman Shri
Ganga Bhakt Singh Shri
Ganga Singh, Shri
Gattani Shri R D

Goyal Shri Krishna Kumar Guha Shrı Samar Gulshan Shri Dhanna Singh Gupta, Shri Kanwar La' Harikesh Bahadur Shri Hazarı Shrı Ram Sewak Heera Bhai Shri Hukam Ram Shri Jain Shri Nirmal Chandra Jasrotia Shri Baldev Singh Jeshi Di Murli Manchar Kailash Prakash Shri Kasar Shri Amrut Kesharwani Shri N P Khalsa Shri Basant Singn Khan Shri Kunwar Mahmud Ali Khan Shri Mahmood Hasan

Khan, Shri Mohd Shamsul Hasan Khrume, Shri Rinching Khandu

Kushore Lal, Shri Kureel, Shri R L

Kushwaha, Shri Ram Naresh

Lai, Shri S S

Mahi Lai, Shri
Malik, Shri Mukhtiar Smgh
Mallick, Shri Rama Chandra
Mangal Deo, Shri
Mavalankai, Piof P G
Meerza Shri Syed Kazım Ali
Mehta Shri Prasannbhai
Mhalgi, Shri R K
Miri, Shri Govind Ram

Mishra, Shri Janeshwar

Mohd Hayat Alı, Shri

Mahala, fihri K. L.

Mondal, Dr Bijoy
Munda Shri Govinda
Munda Shri Karia
Muriru Father Anthony
Nathu Singh Shri
Nathuni Ram, Shri
Nathwani Shri Narendra P
Nayar Di Sushila
Pandey, Shri Ambika Prasa

Pandey, Shri Ambika Prasad Paraste Shri Dalpat Smgh Parmar Shri Natwarlal B Parthasaiathy Shri P

Parulekar, Shri Bapusaheb Paswan, Shri Ram Vilas Patel Shri Dharmasmhbhai Patel Shri H M

Patel, Km Maniben Vallabhbhai Patnaik, Shri Biju

Patwary, Shri H L Peitin, Shri Bakin Pradhan, Shri Gananath Raghayli, Shii

Raghu Ramaiah, Shri K Rai, Shri Narmada Prasad Rajda, Shri Ratansinh Ram, Shri Ramdein Ram Dhan, Shri

Ram Gopal Singh, Chaudhury

Ram Kishan, Shri Ram Murti, Shri 33

Ramachandran, Shri P. Ramji Singh, Dr. Ramoowskie, Shri Balwant Singh Ranjit Singh, Shri Rao, Shri Jagannath Rather, Dr. Bhagwan Dans Rodrigues, Shri Rudolph Saced Murtaza, Shri Sahoo, Shri Ainthu Sai Shri Larang Sai, Shri Narhari Prasad Sukhdeo Saini, Shri Manchar Lai Saksena, Prof. Shibban Lal Saran, Shri Daulat Ram Sarangi, Shri R. P. Sarkar, Shri S. K. Sarsonia, Shri Shiv Narain Shah, Shri D. P. Shah, Shri Surath Bahadur Shakya, Dr. Mahadeepak Singh Sharma, Shri Jagannath Sharma Shri Yogya Datt Shastri, Shri Bhanu Kumar Shastri, Shri Y. P. Sheo Narain, Shri Shukla, Shri Chimanbhai H. Sıkander Bakht, Shri Singh, Dr. B. N. Singha, Shri Sachindralal Sipha, Shri H. L. P. Sinha, Shri Satyendra Narayan Suman, Shri Ramji Lal

Sintanan, Stari Surendra Jha Suraj Bhan, Shri Surendra Bikram, Shri Surya Narain Singh, Shri Swamy, Dr. Subramaniam Tan Singh, Shri Tej Pratap Singh, Shri Tiwary, Shri Madan Ugrasen, Shri Varma, Shri Ravindra Verma, Shri Brijlal Verma, Shri Hargovind Verma, Shri Mritunjay Prasad Verma Shri R. L. P. Verma, Shri Raghunath Singh Yadav, Shri Hukmdeo Narain Yadav, Shri Jagdambi Prasad Yadav Shri Ramji Lal Yadav, Shri Sharad Yadvendra Dutt, Shri Yuvraj, Shri

MR. SPEAKER: Subject to correction, the result * of the Division is:

Ayes — 86; Noes — 161.

The motion was negatived.

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"That the recommendation made by Rajya Sabha be rejected."

The motion was adopted.

^{*}The following Members also recor ded their votes:

AYES: Shri Dajiba Desai, Shri Raga valu Mohanarangam, Shri Mallikarjun, Shrimati Rashida Haque Chowdhary. Shri S. G. Murugaiyan, Shri M. V. Sudheeran, Shri Nanasahib Bonde, Shri B. Rachaiah, Shri B. P. Kadam, Shri B. Devarajan, Shri K. Obul Reddy.

NOES: Shri Zulfiquarulla, Shri Purnanarayan Sinha, Shri Chandradeo Prasad, Verma, Shri Lalu Oraon, Shri Vinodhhai B. Sheth, Shri Shiv Ram Rai, Shri Ramanand Tiwary, Shri Sarat Kar, Shri Chhabiram Argal. Shri Subhash Ahuja, Shri Rasheed Masood, Shri Shyamnandan Mishra, Shri Madhu Limaye, Shri M. P. Sinha, Shri Bijoy Singh Nahar. Shrimati Singh. Shri Chandravati, Shri Ramapati Singh, Shri Chandra Pal T. S. Negi, Shri Ram Lal, Shri Hari Shri Kamath. Vishnu Padamcharna Samantasinhera, Shri Sribatcha Digal. Shri R. N. Rakesh, Shrimati Rano M. Shaiza, Shri Sudhir Ghosal.

35

Shrimatı Rano M. Shaiza, Shri Sudhir Ghosal

MR SPEAKER. We now go to the Confidence Motion

जी राज विलास पासवाल (हाजीपुर) प्रध्यक्ष महोवय, नेरा पोइट झाफ प्राईर है कि जब सदन ने दे दिया विश्वास फाइनेंस दिल पर तो फिर अविश्वास प्रस्ताव का क्या अविषय है ? जब एक बार प्रापने क्वेक्चन्स तो जारम किया, और सारी कीज जरन हो गई, केवल प्रविश्वास प्रस्ताव बस चल रहा है, और सदन ने बित्त विश्वेयक पर प्रपना विश्वास दे दिया ह

MR SPEAKER There is no point of order

Shri Asoke Krishan Dutt

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI (Chirayin-kil) Rule 353—I would like to read the rule

"No allegation of a defamatory or incriminatory nature shall be made by a member against any person unless the member has given previous intimation to the Speaker and also to the Minister concerned.

Kindly note the words

so that the Minister may be able to make an investigation into the matter for the purpose of a reply "

So, the whole purpose of this clause has been to enable the Minister to reply if an allegation is made But that does not debar a member to make any allegation against any person but it is only to enable the Minister to reply to it

Sir, governments are run by human beings and not by animals

MR SPEAKER I do not quite follow what exactly you have m mind

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI There is a provise which is giving you a power at any time to prohibit a member from making an allegation which I am not questioning But when an hon Member speaks, he may refer to names of

government servants Here the Ministers are sitting and they represent the government and it is their duty to defend their officers because the officers are not here to defend themselves. But if the name of a citizen Mr X or Mr Y or Mr. Z or whoever he may be, is mentioned, it does not seem to to apply The point is that nobody is to be condemned here in his absence. But Governments servants' names can be taken because the Ministers are there to defend them.

Yesterday, when I was speaking, I mentioned the name of the Private Secretary to the Prime Minister, Shri-V Shankar But I find that it has been deleted in the debates. It is nothing derogatory to the dignity of the House nor is there any public in terest served but I was prohibited The rule is clear. It is definitely in the public interest because the office of the Prime Minister is very important It is a very vital institution where if anything goes wrong, it goes wrong in the whole nation It is our duty to mention on the floor of the House what is going on in the Prime Minister's Secretariat mentioning the name and the Prime Minister has to defend him because he is part and parcel of the government

But this clause does not seem to apply to the ordinary citizen of the country because nobody is there to defend him

Yesterday I mentioned the name of Mr Sikander Bakht He could defend himself I referred to a contract awarded against Simon Carves This is only a glaring instance of corruption I am not making any allegations Whenever we mention the name of anybody in the Prime Minister's Secretariat your staff immediately jump on their legs but I have not seen them jump on their legs when Mrs Gandhi's names was mentioned or somebody else's name was mentioned.

AN HON MEMBER Her name was mentioned a thousand times.

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI: My point is: they are under you and not under the Prime Minister's Secretariat. So, Sir, I want a ruling on this matter.

MR. SPEAKER: I will consider. I was not present. I will look into the matter. I cannot say anything off hand.

Shri Asoke Krishan Dutt.

THE MINISTER OF RAILWAYS (PROP. MADHU DANDAVATE): A ruling was already given yesterday.

MR. SPEAKER: He wants me to revise it. I shall look into it. I do not want to say anything about it.

Now, Mr. Asoke Krishna Dutt.

12.14 hrs.

MOTION OF NO CONFIDENCE IN THE COUNCIL OF MINIS-TERS—contd.

MR. SPEAKER: Now we take up further consideration of the Motion of No-confidence.

Shri Asoke Krishan Dutt.

KRISHNA DUTT ASOKE (Dum Dum): When I began speaking yesterday, I had the painful necessity of saying that the hon. Leader of the failed to make out Opposition has even a prima facie case. It is quite because for the last understandable several months I find that my esteemed friend, Mr. Stephen, has remained indecisive. When his Party split he first thought some months ago, that Mrs. Indira Gandhi was authoritarian and he remained with the Congress. Some time later, however, he again changed sides and went over to the Cong. (I). People may doubt behind this the political integrity Mr. Stephen course, move but, of should be congratulated for his foresight. He immediately became the leader of his group and ultimately the Leader of the Opposition. What were the points that Mr. Stephen made out? He said nothing new. We have been hearing all this throughout the Budget Session and not a single word was said either by him or anybody in the Opposion except the new developments of two small victories in the U. P. Assembly and one single victory in the U.P. Parliamentary seat. This was the only new thing, all the rest was repetition.

The leader of the Opposition began by saying that in these 13 months of Janata Rule, democratic rights were being eroded. We were losing democratic rights of protests. May I ask of the Opposition the hon, leader through you. Sir, does he in all seriousness believe what he was saying yesterday? Does he not remember what happened during the rule of the Government that went on immediately prior to the Janata Government, those 19 black particularly during months of emergency. Has not the the Janata Government restored individual rights? The leader of the Opposition or all the other members in his party or in the opposition are saying whatever they like inside this House, whatever they like outside the House and they are getting full publicity for that. What was the condition immediately prior to that? Most of the Members who are on this side of the House were in jail. Those who were outside, whenever they wanted to speak, and important meetings were called them immediately on that day. Prior to our meeting Section 144 was declared and all those people were arrested. The judiciary did not have the right of freedom. To-day a very eminent Member of the judiciary, one of the luminaries of the Supreme Court who was appointed during the regime of Mrs. Indira Gandhi frankly confesses that he did not have the courage to say at that time what he wanted to say. This was the condition that they had made and yet he says that democratic norms are being eroded now speeches, either a days. Whatever of this august given on the floor House or in any of the Assemblies of the different States, any critical statement against Mrs. Gandhi or her Government were not published in the

SHIPE ABOKE KAMPANA DUTT: I

(Shri Asoles Krishen Dott)

press. All that was published was that so and so also spoke. This was what was published. Is that the position to-day?

Yesterday, Hitendra Bhai Desai was quoting from the paper 'Surya'. I think it should be named 'Suraiya' Whatever it is, it publishes what ever it likes. It publishes half truths and defamatory allegations against anybody and everybody. I ask the leader of the Opposition through you, could anybody have dared to have published like this during that period of emergency criticising Mrs. Indira Gandhi, in the manner that this paper criticises the Government to-day? Yet somebody like the hon, Hitendra Bhai Desak-when he was quoting from 'Surva' vesterday I felt that with the solemnity that he was doing it, as though he was quoting from the scriptures. He must have had reasons for this. In this paper, it is not Sanjay ovacha, but he might say Sanjay's better half was saying this. It is therefore better than the scriptures.

I will ask Hitendra Bhai Desai, does his own party colleague Shri Saugata Roy (I do not find him in the House to-day) agree with him? This very same paper from which Shri Hitendra Bhai Desai was quoting yesterday had said that Shri Saugata Roy had been carrying on a racket of selling bogus law degrees from Calcuta University and that he was taking advantage of that. He has brought a Privilege Motion against that. Here Shri Hitendra Bhai Desai comes and quotes from the same paper I do not know the latest alignment on that side. I do not know whether Shri Hitendra Bhai Desai and Shri Saugata Roy are still in the same party.

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI (Chirayin-kil). I am on a point of order.

My point of order is now the hon. member, in the name of quoting the newspaper, made a derogatory remark against the hon. member of this House. Of course, if you allow this, I can also quote from anybody in this House.

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI: Does he own the responsibility?

MR. SPEAKER: He does not say that. He says that that paper has been publishing all sorts of news. He

has said that.

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI, I request your ruling. Can any Member quote

from any newspaper, any document, any derogatory remarks against anybody? I request your ruling on that MR. SPEAKER: If he quotes appro-

MR. SFEAKER: If he quotes approvingly certainly it is wrong to do so without the permission of the House and it cannot be done But if he quotes disapprovingly there is nothing wrong about it. If he quotes that approvingly that the allegation is true then of course it comes within the mischief of the rule. But if he quotes it as a paper, an unreliable paper, because earlier also they have published and so on

SHRI VAYATAR RAVI. He never said that.

MR. SPEAKER. His whole tenor is like that He said Mr. Desai is quoting

SHRI ASOKE KRISHNA DUTT: much. What I said Tank you very was that I did not believe what this paper has said. I think it has made false allegations both against Mr. Saugata Roy and also against our leader, against whom Mr. Hitendra Desai was quoting yesterday. This paper consists of only falsehood and they ought to be aware themselves—Shri Hitendra Desai ought to have verified party Member Shri from his own Saugata Roy about the authenticity of correctness of this paper, about the this paper.

SHRI SOMNATH CHATTERJEE (Jadavpur): Don't give it importance.

AN HON, MEMBER: Ignore it.

SHRI ASOKE KRISHNA DUTT. Yesterday the hon. Leader of the Opposition was very much elated that they have won two assembly seats in U.P.

SHRI A. C. GEORGE (Mukandapuram): He is still holding on to that paper. It is in his hands.

PROF. P. G. MAVALANKAR (Gandhinagar); Though disapprovingly!

AN HON. MEMBER Now he has thrown it out.

SHRI ASOKE KRISHNA DUTT. Yesterday the Leader of the opposition was very much elated that they have won two assembly seats in U.P. and one Parliamentary seat in U.P. He in his speech said that there is a complete polarisation. The North was on one side and the South was on one side and they had made a great dent in the north by winning one parliamentary seat. May I ask him to recapitulate his memory about what happened in the last few months? He was talking about Karnataka Andhra, I admit that we have lost the assembly elections in Karnatuka and Andhra but have we not tremendously improved our position in the last one year? In Andhra during last parliamentary elections one year ago we had only one seat. In Karnataka-you know more than anybody else Sir,-we had only two seats and in the Assembly elections in Karnataka we bagged 59 scats and not two like them. In Andhra, we got 60 seats. What a tremendous increase! We have tremendously improved our position both in Karnataka and in Andhra. This shows that the South is also realising what a menace we had during the period of emergency over here. Much was said by the Leader of the Opposition and other Members also-every other speaker from the opposition spoke about atrocities against harijans. This harijan problem is a very serious and grave problem in this country and everyone of us is ashamed of it. This has been going on for centuries und this is a matter which should cut

scross party lines and this matter should be dealt with at the national level. Yet we find,—we strongly suspect,—that some of those who always try to raise the issue over here are deliberately creating a new situation whereby the elements favouring communalism and casteism take advantage.

Sir, I will give you one instance about what happened in Banaras very recently. Our Defence Minister, Babu Jagjivan Ram had been to Banaras very recently. The manner in which he was insulted there was not only a great shame to the people who publicly insulted him but it had shamed every one of us.

May I ask the Leader of the Opposition as to who was presiding over that function? The function was presided over by a very close relative of Mr. Stephen's counterpart in the Rajya Sabha, his own brother and the whole meeting was organised by the people belonging to the Congress(I). they deliebrately created this situation; they deliebartely insulted him so that anger might rise and they could take advantage of it. So Sir, I would like to urge upon the Government, the Prime Minister and the Home Minister, particularly, that wherever these atrocities occur, they should not only be curbed forthwith but a thorough enquiry should also be made into it as to who are fomenting this. They are saying R.S.S.

I strongly suspect, that a coterfe who has become politically frustrated is trying now to create a communal situation, the situation of casteism, whereby they can take advantage of that disastrous situation. Certain people are suffering from that and these people want to make political use of that and make that community to suffer even more for their own purpose. Sir, the Leader of the Opposition yesterday spoke.

MR. SPEAKER: Your time is up.

SHRI ASOKE KRISHNA DUTT: Sir, yesterday, I spoke for only one minute.

MR. SPEAKER: I have got the record that you spoke for two minutes

SHRI ASOKE KRISHNA DUTT: Even then I want five minutes.

MR. SPEAKER: No.

SHRI ASHOKE KRISHNA DUTT: Sir the hon. Leader of, the Opposition was criticising the Foreign Policy of this Government and, yesterday throughout the debate one speaker after another from that side went on saying that this government has nothing new in regard to foreign policy; that we are following their foreign policy. I would particularly point out what was raised by the Leader of the Opposition. He was talking about Nepal, what has been done with regard to Nepal? The political treaty with Nepal and the trade agreement with them had been treated separately. Nepal is a landlocked country for a long time they demanded trade treaty with this Government and that 18 what they have done and it is perfectly reasonable and in order. It was what a neighbour like India should have done years ago. It was only because of the bullying tactics of the former Government that Nepal was kept suppressed like that.

Sir, our relationships with our immediate neighbours like Nepal, Bangladesh and Sri Lanka and even with Pakistan have improved and yet. the Leader of the Opposition criticised the Prime Minister about his statement regarding Sikkim.

MR SPEAKER: Your time is up.

SHRI ASOKE KRISHNA DUTT: I will take only two minutes.

MR. SPEAKER: No. There is a large number of speakers.

SHRI ASOKE KRISHNA DUTT: Sir. I was interrupted all the time. MR. SPEAKER: That does not matter.

Motion

SHRI ASOKE KRISHNA DUTT: About Sikkim, what the Prime Minister said is perfectly correct. Sikkim is an integral part of India. The people of Sikkim wanted to come to India. This could have been done in a fair, proper and regular way. But the bullying tactics that was adopted by Mrs. Gandhi's Government had been criticised by everybody. Minister was correct to say Prime that the procedure was wrong. We are proud for that courageous and bold statement.

I would conclude by saying that the Opposition was trying to criticise what was going on before the Shah Commission. The Leader of the Opposition said that this is vindictiveness blood-thirsty hound or something like that He said that yesterday. I say that what the Shah Commission is doing as a great benefit to the country. Nobody would have known what happened during the 19 months. Some got puzzled.

MR. SPEAKER. Now you cannot go on like that. You must conclude.

SHRI ASOKE KRISHNA DUTT: I will conclude by saying that all that was being done before the Shah Commission was exposing what went on during the 19 months. Many people did not know anything. I have heard many of my friends opposite, old friends, whom I know for the last 25,30 or 35 years. They are saying, "Oh, you were in jail" and they never knew all these things. would they have known during that time? They were completely in the dark and they were completely gagged. For instance Mr. Pai was completely gagged for 19 months. Then, Shah Commission him an opportunity to come and tell the truth. I think that Shah Commission is not doing anything in a vindictive spirit; it is doing a great service to the country so that, in

46

future, a single individual or a single family or a small coterie will not have the opportunity of exploiting this great country of ours.

I think that the Opposition has completely failed to make out a case for no-confidence against this Government And I think it should be rejected outright

MR. SPEAKER Before I call the next speaker, it may be desirable to dispense with the lunch hour

SOME HON'BLE MEMBERS No. No

MR SPEAKER I thought the Prime Minister has to speak in the Rajya Sabha at 5 O'clock Then lunch hour need not be dispensed with

रक्षा मती (श्री जगजीवन राम): स्पीकर साहब कई सदस्यों ने यह पूछा कि यह श्रविश्वास का प्रस्ताव कैसे ? मुझे ऐसा लगता है कि श्री स्टीफन को भी स्वय मालम नहीं है कि इस प्रविश्वास के प्रस्ताव की ग्रावश्यकता थी क्या गौर इसके लिए यह अवसर था क्या ? इसलिए कोई मुद्दा खडा करने में वे ग्रसमर्थ रहे। कई सदयों ने स्वय मान लिया कि इस प्रस्ताव के बारे में वे बहुत मीरियस नही है। लेकिन शायद उस जगह की परम्परा है कि हर साल एक धविश्वास का प्रस्ताव लाना वाहिये सरकार के खिलाफ । उस परम्परा को निमाना शायद विरोधी पक्ष वे नेता ने अपना एक धार्मिक कर्तव्य समझा । इमलिए यह प्रस्ताव लाना उन्होने प्रावश्यक समझा । यही बात प्रवसर की है। सूखे हुये नाले में थोडा भी पानी द्या जाए, तो उसके बुते संबाहर हो जाता है उम पानी को सभाल रखना । यही भ्रवसर रहा । 1977 के क्रान्तिकारी परिवर्तन के पश्चात् राजस्थान मे चुनाए हुये, उस नाले को पानी नसीब नही हुआ। हरियाणां में चुनाव हुये, वही हालन रही । उत्तर प्रदेश में चुनाव हुये, मुख्य मुत्री वहा पर चुन कर भाये, पानी की बूद नाले में नहीं टपक सकी । बिहार में चुनाव हुये, वहीं हालत रही, कठ सूखे का सूखा रह गया, बाबाज निकलने की गुँजाइम नहीं हुई । प्रवसर मिलता कैसे ? धनसर मिल गया । उत्तर प्रदेश में दो चुनाव विधान समा के हुवे और एक चुनाव लोक सभा का हुआ । इनकी जीत हुई, लेकिन इनको ठडे दिल बे सोचना चाहिये, इतराने से कोई लाम होने वाला मही है। उडे दिल के सोचना चाहिये कि यह बोट उनको मिला है क्या और क्या इसे वे टिका कर रख सकेंगे ? इन्हें लगा कि अवसर यही आया है, सूखे हुये कंठ में थोड़ा गीलापन आया है और इन्होंने समझा कि इस का लाभ उठा लो लेकिन जैसा मैंने पहले कहा कि मुद्दा इनके पास कुछ नही

या। एक ही मुद्दा है कि जनता पार्टी एक नहीं हो सकी है। इस बात को वे मूल जाते हैं कि जनता पार्टी का उदय विश्व के राजनीतिक दलों के इतिहास में एक धर्मिनव सयोग है। क्या यह बता सकते हैं कि इतिहास मे कही भी किसी राजनीतिक दल ने अपने भीपचारिक प्रावधीय होने से पहले ही, उसने एक 92 वर्ष की गठित राजनीतिक पार्टी को उलट दिया हो। कही विश्व के इतिहास में यह नजीर पेश कर सकेंगे कि कोई राजनीतिक दल जिसका भौपचारिका तरीके से गठन न हमाहो। तीस बरस से गरित सरकार की उलट दिया हो। जनता पार्टी ने यह उस बक्त किया जब जनता पार्टी का भौपचारिक गठन नही हुमा था। मगर मेरे मिल स्टिफन साहब भले नहीं है तो चनाव मार्च 1977 में हमा था। जनता पार्टी का गठन पहली मई 1977 को हमा था। पैदाइश के पहले मिले जुले उन राजनीतिक दलो का जमाव जो पिछले कई एक दशको से भापस में लडते रहे हैं, जो पिछले कई एक दशको से एक दूसरे का परास्त करने में प्रपती शक्ति लगाते रहें है प्रचानक एक साथ होकर भापका उखाड फेक्ने की मक्ति उसमे मा जाएगी[?] क्या मापने कभी इस पर सोचा है ? आप कह रहे हैं कि जनता पार्टी का इटैग्रेशन नहीं हु, भाहै। मैं भी कहता हू कि नहीं हुआ। है। शायद श्री वैकटास्वैया ने कोट किया या मेरी ही उक्ति से। क्या इसमें कोई ब्राश्चर्य की बात है द्यगर एक साल के भीतर हम सम्पूर्ण रूप से एक नहीं हो सके तो? आप तो तीस बरस से यह कह रहे थे, 92 बरम से यह कह रहे थे भीर भव भी भाप हृदय पर भपना हाथ रख कर कहे कि क्या किसी भी काल में ब्राप एक थे? ब्राप इनको मत भूल जाए। ब्राप गलत समझ रहे हैं। मैं भापकों बता रहा हू कि भाप भी जो कर रहे थे वह एक राजनीतिक पार्टी के लिए करना लाजिमी था। हमारे यहा जो हो रहा है वह भी लाजिमी है। लेकिन प्राप जिस बात की कल्पना कर रहे हैं वह श्मशान की शान्ति की कल्पना है। प्रापकी संस्था जब तक जीवित सस्था थी तब तक विचारो का टकराब वहां भी होता रहा। जनता पार्टी भी एक जीवित संस्था है। जब तक सोचने वाले लोग यहा रहेंगे विचारों का टकराव होगा। उससे भाप यह न समझ लें कि प्रापको लाभ होने बाला है। प्रगर भापने ऐसी भाषा बाधी है तो भापके हृदय के लिए मुझे जिल्ला है और ग्रापको भारी निरासा होगी। है मतभेद हमारे घापस मे और इसलिए है कि यहा सोचने वाले लोग है। चुनाव के पूर्व मैने कहा था कि भापने तो सोचने का काम एक ही व्यक्ति के सुपूर्व कर दिया है।

एक माननीय सबस्य भभी भी।

भी जगजीवन राम हितेन्द्र भाई ने कुछ सोचने का काम किया था। सास का साथ नही दिया, पर बहु की बातों को प्रामाणिक मान लिया। इससे ज्यादा टिप्पणी की प्रामस्थकता मही है। हितेन्द्र भाई की उम्म ऐसी हो गई है। [मी जनवीवन राम] ऐंका क्षमर उन्होंने किया तो उत्तर्वे कीई पैर मृंगलिक नहीं है।

हरिजनों और दूसरे कमजोर वर्गों के उत्पर होने बाले आखाजारों और अन्याबों की बात भी कहीं वई है। ठंडे दिल से सोचें आप इस समस्या पर। क्या यह जारतीय समाज में एक नाई सनस्या खड़ी हुई है? क्या कांग्रेस के जासन में जो कटनाए गाजीपुर में हुई थें' बांचा में हुई बीं, और कितने स्थानो पर हुई थीं, उन्हें आप भूज गये ? इन बगों में उपर होने वाले प्रत्याजार की ये कटनाए किसी राजनीतिक सस्था का ही नहीं सम्पूर्ण भारतीय समाज का तिर मुका देने के लिए काफी बी।

कुछ ऐसी समस्याए हैं जो राष्ट्रीय समस्याए हैं और जो समस्याए जाप जब मासन में बे, जहा बी, बे समस्याए आज भी बही हैं। हम सापको उलाहना देकर के या प्रापके उत्पर उछान करके सीच में कि समस्या का समाधान हो जाएना, वा आप हमारे उपर उछान कर वह दें कि समाधान हो जाएना, वा आप हमारे उपर उछान कर वह दें कि समाधान होने वाला नहीं है। कुछ समस्याए राष्ट्रीय समस्याए होती है और उनके समाधान के लिए राष्ट्रीय प्रयप्त को प्रावस्थकता होती है। क्या आपने धभी तक यह नहीं सोचा है कि इस राष्ट्रीय प्रयस्त में हमको जी नामिल होना है ? इस सामाजिक कोई वस्त समस्या पर हमें एक राष्ट्रीय प्रयस्त में हमको जी नामिल होना है ? इस सामाजिक कोई पर समस्या पर हमें एक राष्ट्रीय प्रयस्त में समस्या पर हमें एक राष्ट्रीय प्रयस्ते में प्रयस्त में समस्या पर हमें एक राष्ट्रीय प्रयस्त में समस्या पर हमें एक राष्ट्रीय प्रयस्तों से प्रयस्ता पर्यस्ता स्त

इसमें कोई सन्वेह नहीं हैं कि जब कोई उत्पी-दित समाब अपने उत्पीदन का प्रस्त करने के लिए खड़ा होता है, कोई शोधित समाज अपने शोखण का अन्त करने के लिए खड़ा होता है तो निहित स्वार्थ ऐसा प्रयत्न करना है कि उनका अन्त न हो । यह निहिन स्वार्थ इस प्रयत्न में उत्पीदन और शोधक को बढ़ावा देता है कि ज्यादा उत्पीदन करने से शोधित वर्ध की कल्पना खरम हो जाए । यह समाज के लिए नुस्कान है कि जमाव के सबवे पीदित सनुवाध भी आज अपने को मुक्त करने का प्रयत्न कर रहे हैं । क्या यह राष्ट्रीय हित का तकाबा नहीं है कि आप भी उसमें हाब बटार्यें ? यह बक्षीय समस्या नही है । कुछ राष्ट्रीय प्रका होते हैं जिनमें इनको और आपको बोनो को साथ देना पदता है । अब ये प्रका चाहे हरिजनो का हो या विद्यानियों का हो ।

क्या प्रापके जनाने में यूनिकसिटीय बन्द नहीं होती थी । यूनिवर्सिटियों ना बन्द होना, विद्यार्थियों का पढ़ाई छोड़ करके घान्योलन के काम में लग खाना, हमारे धीर धापके लिए, दोनों के लिए बिन्ता की बात है । यह वहीं पढ़ी हैं जिसके कपर राष्ट्र की जिन्मेवारी घाने वाली है । क्य इसके लिए हमें धीर धापकों, दोनों की बिन्त नहीं करनी है । क्या हमें धीर धापकों सहं नहीं सोचना है कि वह पीड़ी, किस्तो बेस का राजनीतिक नेतृत्व करना है, समाव का केतृत्व करना है, समाव का केतृत्व करना है, समाव का केतृत्व करना है, सार्विक स्ववस्था का नेतृत्व करना है कि से सार्वी नेतृत्व जिल्ला के की पर वाने वाने हैं, वह साज कुश्य करों है? यह बात हमको और बापको बोनों को शावनी पड़ेगी क्योंकि वह दस का प्रान नहीं है राग्ट्रीय प्रमन है और दाश्र्रीय प्रमन के समाधान से दलों के हिन को नीचे दबा कर बाते बहना होता है दमीय हिन के उपर उठना पठना है। मैं सापसे यही कहना चाहुगा कि यह खायके लिए भी किन्ता की बात है और हमारे लिए भी चिनता की बात है।

ठीक इसी तरह से झापने श्रमिको की बात कही । ठीक है । इसे यदि मैं टालमा चाह तो कह सकता हू कि 19 महीने से वबे थे, फूरसत मिलन के बाद तुफान प्राया । लेकिन समस्या का इतमा सरल समाधान वरना ठीक नही होना । हमारे यहां सामाजिक भीर प्राधिक व्यक्स्याए जो परम्पराधो से चली या रही हैं उनमें बामूल परिवर्तन की भावश्यकता है। हमें सोबना हांगा कि क्या हम निहित स्वायी को बरकरार रखना बाहते हैं या जिनको उनसे परेशानी है, उस परे शामी को मिटाना चाहते हैं ? समाज और देश के सभी प्रबुद्ध लागों का यह काम हो जाता है कि यह जा गतिशीलता भागी है इसक चरण को हम तेज करे भीरपुरानी परम्पराभी को मिटाने में सहयोगी बनें । इसे बान को भी मत मुलिए कि जनता पार्टी जब यह प्रयस्त कर रही है कि चारतीय समाज को परम्परागत समाज से निकाल कर, बाध निक समाज मे ले बावें ट्रेडिशनल सोसायटी को मोदर्न सोमायटी मे परिवर्तित कर दें। तो निहित स्वार्थ वालो का हमसे नाराज ला. नावास होना प्रवश्यम्भावी है। मैं नहीं समझता कि बाप जा पिछले कई एक दलक से प्रगतिकील राजनीतिक संस्था होने का वाबा करते रहे हैं बीर मुझे कहन में हिचकियाहट भी नहीं है कि आपके कई एक प्रस्तान प्रगतिशील रहे है, हालांकि उस पर कार्यान्वयन करने में धापके चरण उतनी ही तेजी से नहीं चल पाये थे । जाप से उम्मोद की जाएग्री कि प्रापकी धावाज निहित स्वाधी की धाबाज में नहीं मिलेशी जो प्रगतिशीस सनाज का निर्माण करना चाहते हैं उनकी आवाज में मिलेती । अभी भी चापसे कुछ माना है ।

एक माननीय सबस्य वेकार है वह ।

जी कर्मजीवन राज इसलिए उम्मीद है कि झाप खेंडित होते जा रहे हैं । और एक जडित व्यक्तित्व से क्या आजा की वा सकती है उतनी ही आजा हम थी आपसे करते हैं । अब हम आपकी तरफ वेजें स्टीफन थी या हिसेन्स भाई की तरफ वेजें । जाठ कर्ण सिंह नहीं हैं, उन्होंने अपने व्यक्तित निहंद स्वायों को भस्सकात् किया है । अच्छा होता स्टीफन थी, आप भी उनके साथ बजते । मैं आपके लिए मुक्कामना रेखता हूं इस्रीक्ष्ण कि जनता पार्टी देव में एक स्वस्य प्रमा-छंड बरम्बरा को मजबूत बनाना चाहती है, और प्रकारक की परम्परा को स्वस्य बनाने के लिए एक स्वस्य विरोध पक्ष की धावस्यकता होती हैं। स्वसित्य मैंने मुझाव दिया है कि जिएमें आप स्वस्य विरोध वक्ष बन समें। विरोध पक्ष का काम प्रधातकों में विचार के विनिमय से देव का कस्वाप करणा होता है। विचार का विनिमय राष्ट्रीय हित को सर्वोधिर का कर करना पत्रमार है। राज-मेंति के हुत साधारण तथ्य की समझाने के लिये महीं कह रहा हूं, बस्कि विषय की गम्मीरता को कुछ सुगम बनाने के लिए कह रहा हु, धाममें धौर सस्ताक्ष्य कर में विवाद हो, विस्त प्रमान धौर सस्ताक्ष्य कर में विवाद हो, विस्त प्रमान धौर सस्ताक्ष्य कर में विवाद हो, विस्त में स्वस्य हो बाये कि धाप स्वस्य प्रपने हृदय में स्वस्य हो बाये कि धाप स्वस्य विवाद उठा

भी सुरत बहादुर शाह (खेरी) : ईश्वर इनकी चाल्या की शीति वे ।

रहे हैं। कल से ब्राज तक मृत्रे लगा घापके हृदय कें स्वय विश्वास नहीं है कि ब्राय स्वस्य विवाद

बटा रहे हैं।

और सनजीवन राज : जहां तक मैंने उनकी भारता को समझा है उनकी भारता स्वयं में भ्रशान्त है।

भी साववेत्र दल (जीनपुर) इसलिए भगवान इनको सालि दे ।

की स्रोधत राव (वैरकपुर) ग्रीर जनता पार्टी को ग्रकत दे।

बी समजीवन राज दोनो को ।

भी पून0 सस्य नारायन राव (करीमनगर): ग्रमेश्रमेट ऐकसेप्टेड ।

ब्दी ब्यव्यक्तिल राज भेरा घमेडमेंट वही है।
मैं ज्यादा समय नहीं लेना चाहता। प्रापने
स्वयं जिस क्का इस छविन्दास प्रस्ताव को लेवा
धारको भरोधा नहीं वा बड़े होने के बक्त कि
द्वित्त आई भी धारके साथ बड़े हो सकेंगे।
भार सदन ने वेचा होगा डा० कर्ण सिह बड़े हुवे
तो साधा ही बड़े हुवे

क्ष भागनीय सवस्य : नहीं खडे हुवे थे ।

जी जनजीवन राज: ठीक है, नहीं जहें हुवे वे । लेकिन कभी कभी प्रावस्थक नहीं होता है कि सही मुद्दा ही जीवीं को ब्राक्षित करों । कभी कभी गलत नुष्टे भी घ्राक्षित कर तेते हैं । इसलिए वह ब्राक्षित हो गये, बड़े हो गये, प्रविश्वास का प्रस्तुक भा गया ।

विसनी बार्से कावने उठाई हैं, मैं उनको बोह-रामा वहीं बाहता, और न सबका बबाव देवा बाहता हूं। बहीं सब बार्से हैं, जो बजद की बहस के ध्रवसर पर, और जैन की बहुस के ध्रवसर पर, बोहराई गई हैं, धौर सबस्यों ने उनका जबाब दिया है। मैं उन बातों को बोहराना नहीं बाहता।

लेकिन में धापको सिर्फ इतना स्मरण कराना नाइता हू कि हेमोकेंसी मे, प्रजातल में, विरोधी पक्ष का भी उत्तरवाधित्व होता है। उस उत्तर-वाधित्व के प्रति वाण जावक है, मैं ऐसी विकास करके चलता हू। मैं भागके उत्तरवाधित्व के प्रति धापको जावकक कराऊ, ऐसी पृष्ठता मैं नहीं करना। धाप कर सकते हैं। विरोधी पक्ष को यह सुश्रीता रहता है कि हमेगा कोमिश्र करे कि सरकार वालों के कान रेंठ है। नेकिन में ऐसी पृष्टता नहीं करना कि धापके कान रेंठू। मनर प्राप्त से सुश्रीता हो से धालोचना के लिए धालोचना करता प्रजातल के लिए उपयोगी नहीं होता है। प्रजातल का तकाजा है कि निर्माण के लिए सालोचना करता प्रजातल का तकाजा है कि निर्माण के लिए सालोचना करता है।

भ्राप स्थय यह मान कर बले हैं कि भ्रापके श्रविश्वास-प्रस्ताब में कोई मुद्दा नहीं है। एक कदम भ्रागे बड़े और कहें कि वर्णर मुद्दे के इस प्रविश्वास प्रस्ताब को मैं वापस से लेता ह।

SHRI B. SHANKARANAND (Chikkodi): Mr Speaker, I rise to support the No Confidence motion moved by my leader on this side. I was really disappointed to hear Babuji to-day on the issue. We expected something much more from him mouth... (Interruptions) that is, the kind of opinions which he is expressing outside Parliament on some occasions. May I ask the Prime Minister, who is present here, to put his hand on his heart and say whether all is well with his Government? How can I say that the Government which is disunited, which has distrust against each other, which has no confidence in each other and which, in spite of the dinner diplomacy that he played, is united? What picture are a they giving to the country? Are they united? They are scared to see each other's face, both within this House and outside. What hope and confidence can this Government give to the country?

I remember that when there was a debate going on in this House and criticisms were being showered on the [Shri B. Shankaranand]

∢I

Home Minister, there was a demandnot from this side, but from the other-"Why not a Harijan Minister be made the Home Minister?". And I remember the Prime Minister got up and said: "I was also the Home Minister. I know how to rule the defended country." And he Home Minister But I want to tell the respected Babuji: "Whatever amount of praise you may shower on the Janata Government and the Janata Party's performances they are not going to make you the Home Minister or Prime Minister of this country. That is certain." I am making a little more plain speaking. I know you are all against a harijan leader becoming the Prime Minister and that is why I am repeating...(Interruptions) All of you are opposed to Babuji becoming the Prime Minister or the Home Minister. That is the reason why I am mentioning this.... (Interruptions) We were listening patiently when you were all talking .

(Interruptions)

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN: When Shri Patnaik spoke, he described us as sycophant and so on and we kept quiet. But now we find that these friends cannot tolerate even the slightest criticism. Then how can we function here? The wildest allegations were made against us and we kept quiet.

MR. SPEAKER: Hon Members may kindly note that freedom of speech does not mean merely exercising it ourselves. We must protect the freedom of speech of others also.

SHRI A. C. GEORGE: Sir, Babuji referred to the physical deformity of Dr. Karan Singh, which was hitting below the belt. It was very unfair. Still, we kept quiet....(Interruptions)

SHRI B. SHANKARANAND: They have got a majority inside the House. But can they honestly tell us that they hold the same majority outside this House, as they did in 1977? Their

majority is dwindling and the result is being seen in the UP by-elections. You cannot forget that.

May I ask the Prime Minister one simple question? I will ask the same question of other responsible members of the Government. Whom have you served during the one year of your regime? Are the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes happy with you? Are they feeling secure under your administration? Can you honestly tell us that you have done justice to them? Are the minorities feeling secure at your hands? Are the workers, the farmer; or the labourers happy? Whom have you Do not forget that when satisfied? there was a discussion here on the atrocities committed on harijans. even members of the Janata Party asked you to quit. It has gone on record .. (Interruptions)

What do we see in the country today? Laws are being flouted and decencies are being violated in the One is left to name of freedom wonder whether the Government remains mefficient in the name of the freedom of expression There are instances of strikes and labour growing increasingly restive Go slow and work stoppages are becoming not infrequent, making the life of the common man even more difficult. Already the common man is harassed rising prices, contemptuous traders, inadequate transport, inefficient and ruthless administrative officers, frequent breakdown of electricity and water supply etc.

Is there any part of the country now living in peace? Right from Kutch to Assam, Kashmir to Kanyakumari, is there any part of the country which is peaceful and progressive?

AN HON, MEMBER: Karnataka.

SHRI B. SHANKARANAND: The people of this country are now on the side of disunity and not unity. I am

very sorry that this no confidence motion is being taken very lightly. I warn this Government that a no confidence motion is never treated lightly either by the opposition or the ruling party.

MR. SPEAKER: You may continue after lunch.

13 hrs.

53

The Lok Sabha adjourned for Lunch till Fourteen of the Clock.

The Lok Sabha re-asembled after Lunch at five minues past Fourteen of the Clock

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair]

MEMBER SWORN

Shrimati Mohsina Kidwai (Azamgarh)—

MOTION OF NO CONFIDENCE IN THE COUNCIL OF MINISTERS—

MR. SPEAKER: Shri Shankaranand to continue his speech.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU (Diamond Harbour): Sir, I want to make a submission. Today, in the List of Business, there is nothing but this No-Confidence Motion and the House is expected to continue till 6 O'Clock. May I request you that the time may be adjusted accordingly? We want to speak,

MR. SPEAKER: It is not possible. The Prime Minister has to go to the other House.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: A little time may be given to us.

MR. SPEAKER: No please; it is not possible.

SHRI A. K. ROY (Dhanbad); My submission is that Unattached members should be given some time.

MR. SPEAKER: One of the Unattached members has already spoken.

Practically, we have given time to two Independent members.

Shri Shankaranand to continue.

SHRI B. SHANKARANAND: Sir, I was just telling this House that if you look to the countryside, what we see is complete deterioration of law and order. There are robberies, dacotties, atrocities, crimes, all these things, increasing every day. Not only this House but the President of this country has also expressed his concern. This is what the President of India said when he was addressing at the All-India Institute of Medical Sciences. I quote:

"I would like to express my distress at the state of health of the country. Whether it is people being killed in Hyderabad, Amritsar or elsewhere, this is a concrete illness which is more than what institutes like this can cure."

He. further, said:

"I wonder where we are going. I myself do not know and I happen to be the President. I want Mr Raj Narain to convey my grief to other members of the Cabinet."

I do not know whether he has conveyed it to the Prime Minister and his Cabinet colleagues. It is reported:

"The President said that he was appealing as a helpless spectator to all political parties to think carefully before they do anything, because, if the seeds we sow today are poisonous, we will have to reap them tomorrow and what future can we offer to the younger generation."

Again, I quote:

"In the meanwhile, the secretariat of the National Federation of Indian Women in a statement expressed concern at the breakdown in law and order in various parts of the country and, particularly, the news of molestation of women in Madhya Pradesh and Tamil Nandu." 55

It said: a Government which could not protect its women should resign immediately. May I ask the Prime Minister who is a Gandhian, values the values of life, whether he is really happy with the team that he is having? Do they repose confidence in him as the Prime Minister? We are really very sorry that the Prime Minister of this country is being described by the Press as being no longer the Prime Minister but a convenor. It is a shame to this country, not only to the Janata Party. We know Mr. Morarjibhai is an ablest administrator, is a truthful leader. But I do not know what has happened to his party and his capacity.

AN HON. MEMBER: That is due to a wrong company.

SHRI B. SHANKARANAND. whole problem is that of his Ministers and not the Opposition Party. It shows that the Janata Party is punishing him with that company. He has his own problems, the problem of Mr. Charan Singh, the problem of Mr Raj Narain, the problem of Mr. George Fernandes, the problem of Mr. Jagjivan Ram, the problem of Mr. Shyamnandan Mishra, everybody. everyone, each Minister is creating a headache for him.

SHRI M. SATYANARAYAN RAO (Karimnagar): The problem of Mr. Jyotirmoy Bosu

SHRI B. SHANKARANAND: I do not know about it. It is a pity. He has no time to apply his mind to the problems of his country. I do not know whether the Janata Party or the Government has understood the problems of Mr. Jyotirmoy Bosu. The marxists of this country are fattening themselves on the pasture of the Janata Party. I do not know whether they know it. They are praising the Janata Party. They do not want to talk anything else because they know that the Janata Party are committing the mistakes and they want to grow stronger by their mistakes. That is

the reason why they are praising the Janata Party, not for love but for their own gains. But I do not know whether Mr. Morarji knows the tricks of the Marxist Party. That is how their leader vesterday spoke. Mr. Samar Mukherjee, said: we are not concerned with the No Confidence Motion. But still he chartered one, two, three, four or so many things against the Janata Party. That is their wonderful strategy.

Motion

I have very little time at my disposal and I have to tell so many things. Mr. Morarji Desai and the Janata friends on the other side think that only Mr. Stephen, the Leader of the Congress I and Mr. Hitendra Desai the Congress Leader have brought this No Confidence Motion. How can they forget that first their own people have brought this and expressed their no confidence in them? I quote Mr. Biju Patnaik first. You listen to what he has said. It is reported in The Times of India, dated 11th May, 1978. The headline is: Trinity must pach up or quit: Biju'. I quote. "The trinity-Mr. Morarji Desai, Mr. Charan Singh and Mr. Jagjivan Ram-must either make up their differences or quit. If neither happens, the Janata Party will break up." "This was how the Steel and Mines Minister, Mr. Biju Patnajk, one of the stalwarts of the Janata Party who had been a leading light of the Congress reacted to the party's defeat in the by-elections in Uttar Pradesh". I quote Mr. Biju Patnaik. He says: "It is surprising that in spite of the open fighting among the constituents of the Janata Party in U.P., its candidate could poll the votes he did." They sald that Janata Party could get so many votes. Your own Cabinet Minister is saying this.

The next is Mr. Atal Bihari Vajpayee's threat one month ago. The External Affairs Minister, Shri A. B. Vajpayee, has said that 'things are not going well: if the Government does not perform well, if we do not correct ourselves, within three months I will resign'. He is waiting for another two months.

AN HON. MEMBER: Dr. Subra-

SHRI B. SHANKARANAND: Dr. Subramaniam Swaray is different.

Mr. Atal Bihari Vajpayee himself has said that things are not going well and if they failed to correct themselves, he will resign. Is it not an asspression of ne-confidence?

The next is Mr. Madhu Limaye's attack on his own Party. This is what has appeared in Navasakti, a Marathi daily. I quote

(Quoted in Marathi)

He was addressing in Sunderbai Hall in Bombay. He says, 'I have given up my silence...Yesterday only the Janata Party completed one year.'. He further says, 'The people are restless after the one year rule of Janata Party in the country; people are restless, people are not happy.' He further says. People are not interested in who becomes the Minister; people are interested in how they are being ruled and what they are getting.' He has stated in this speech that the Janata Government is not performing well, it has not done what it promised when it came to power; this sort of thing between Janata Party and Government will not go on. That is the main gist of it. Is it not expression of no-confidence?

The next is Mr. Charan Singh's letter of resignation. The Home Minister, a leader of the Janata, himself has expressed no-confidence in you. I will quote. It is reported in the Hindu dated the 30th April, 1978:

"He makes this point in his letter of resignation from the Januta Parliamentary Board and the National Executive. The letter concludes thus:

'A party whose leadership is not inspired by truth, justice or public interest can never deliver the goods or render any service to the people. You may treat this letter as my resignation from the

National Executive of the Janata Party and the Parliamentary Board."

Is this not expression of no-confidence?

Many Harijan Janata MPs spoke in the House an atrocities. Have they not expressed no-confidence in this Government when they spoke? They have said that the Government should quit.

Shri Jagjivan Ram himself has said today that there is no cohesion in his Party. He does not know that nobody trusts him. He believes that everybody trusts him. That is the fun. J.P. himself has expressed no confidence in this Government. It is reported in the Sunday Statesman dated 7th May that, about the Janata Party, Mr. Narayan said:

"It is again said to note that the ruling party is behaving like a loose federation of so many smaller parties. In fact, it is very difficult or me to say what the Janata ideology is. And worse, there is a big gap in what they say and what they do."

The great person who was responsible for the creation of this Party which came to power himself says he has no faith in this Party and this Government. What else do you want? Members on that side say 'Oh, Mr. Stephen has brought this motion only for the sake of politics'. Don't deceive yourselves. If you smile here, that everything is well with the Party and the Government, you are exercising selfdeception and your self-deception is going to ruin this country. For that, we are concerned, and for that, we have brought this No Confidence Motion.

So, I support this No Confidence Motion.

SHRI M. N. GOVINDAN NAIR (Trivandrum): Mr. Speaker, Sir, you were very closely following the debate on this motion and I believe you will agree that there is much truth in [Shri M. N. Govindan Nair]

what each spoke about the other. Now, the ruling Party—the Janata Party—was accusing the Congress and Congress(I) of excesses during the Emergency and the Leader of the Opposition put forward a number of charges against the ruling Party. So, the picture that emerges from this is that the country and the people were caught between the devil and the deep sea. The escape from the frying pan of the Emergency, they plunged into the fire of the Janata rule.

1 was very much pained to hear the speech of the Hon. Minister Shri Jagjivan Ram. The complacent manner in which he spoke and the way in which he retorted all do credit to him as a good Parliamentarian But, I ask 'what was the performance of the Janata Party?'. More than a thousand lives of Harijans have been lost in one year, by murder. Hundreds of women were raped and overall lakh of people were dispossessed of the land they had. Then, untouchability has been evoked and a ban was imposed on Harijans walking along roads. Are all these matters of no concern? Well, Sir, for this, there was jubilance on the part of the Opposition: And they were hoping that this is an opportunity for a come-back of Indira Coming back of Indira Gandhi is no solution to the problem because, for all that has happened, they are also to share the blame. What happened in Hyderabad? What happened in Andhra? What happened in Varanası? Their hands are also not Therefore, the only solution, according to me, as far as this section of the people are concerned. Gandhiji. Before he took up the leadership of the freedom struggle against racial discrimination, Gandhiji organised a non-violent revolt. That is the way for the Harijans, the Scheduled Castes and the oppressed sections in this country to rise up in militant revolt for resistance. Of course, there are 140-150 million Scheduled Caste people in this country of which, I believe, at least 100 million

people are able-bodied men and women. If 100 people at the rate of one from a million come forward and launch a peaceful resistance, this problem will be solved. There is no other solution. Again, during this one year, more than 400 workers have been murdered, have been fired and have been killed.. (Interruptions)

SHRI B. RACHAIAH (Chamrajanagar): They have no patience to hear. . .

SHRI M. N. GOVINDAN NAIR: 1 am not going into all those details . (Interruptions)

MR SPEAKER: Is a running commentary necessary at all?

SHRI M. N. GOVINDAN NAIR: Not only that, I do not understand this comment also because I do not know Hindi. Why should he interrupt... (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: Kindly resume your seat.

SHRI M. N GOVINDAN NAIR: Kindly talk in a language which I understand, if you want me to understand. Otherwise, you sit quiet.

Now, 300 workers were killed by police firing during this one year. For them also there is only one solution. Trade unions are an organized force in this country. The trade union rights were got after many a heroic struggle by the workers costing many lives. So, I would appeal to them to take up the cause of the right of the workers to agitate till this kind of killing ends.

Now, on your shoulders Mr. Speaker rests the responsibility of safeguarding the sovereignty of this House. I request you to ponder over what has happened during this one year in this House. Are we a debating society or are we a sovereign body? We raised the question of Belchi. What was the answer? All over the country, attack on the Harijans...

SHRI YADVENDRA DUTT (Jaunpur): What happened in Tamil Nadu in 1968?

SHRI M. N. GOVINDAN NAIR: We raised the question of Kanpur. But

62

what was the result? Bailadilla. There, not only the workers were shot dead but over a thousand huts were burnt and all their properties destroyed...

SHRI A. C. GEORGE: Shri Biju Patnaik's work.

SHRI M. N. GOVINDAN NAIR: We spoke about and discussed in this House about Sambhal. What was the reply? Hyderabad. We spoke about Pantnagar. What was the next answer? Agra....

AN HON, MEMBER: You raised Agra...

SHRI M. N. GOVINDAN NAIR: I am quoting all this because on all these issues, most of the Members from all sides spoke against these incidents. I considered it a mandate of this House that this kind of atrocities Was stopped. Was there government here to heed tο this? You sav there 18 a government. Yes, there is. When the bell tolls. I am quite sure all the members will come in, and "Oh", at that time there is unity. Now is there a Cabinet? The Prime Minister is in one direction, the Home Minister from the Intensive Care ward of the Medical Hospital in another direction. I am not going into all these things. It is their affair. But I appeal to you to safeguard the sovereignty of this House. I appeal to you to see that the will of this House is implemented by a government here...

THE MINISTER OF STEEL AND MINES (SHRI BIJU PATNAIK): It is always implemented.

SMRI M. N. GOVINDAN NAIR: 1 know that you champion who wants foster parliamentary democracy in this country. But make it meaningful. But if you restrict democracy and democratic rights only to parliamentarians, only to political parties and leaders and leave the ordinary people outside the pale of democracy, parliamentary democracy in this country will be doomed ...

AN HON. MEMBER: A limited company.

GOVINDAN SHRI M. N. NAIR: There is another thing. We about eguaspeak lity and all that, economic equality and all that. I have no time to go into all those things. But the other day I was astounded to hear the atrocious distortion of our Prime Minister about Gandhian economics, in defence of the monopoly capital. I will not go into all those things because there is no time. But I appeal to you that if you want this parliamentary democracy in this country to survive, then let this right enshrined in this constitution for the entire people of this country not merely remain on paper but in actual life they should be able to enjoy.

We raised the question of the basic right to work. In Pantnagar we requested you to withldraw the PAC from there. We requested you to recognize the union there. We requested you to negotiate with them and settle the matter there. We requested you to extend the judicial inquiry so the doings of the Vice-Chancellor may be brought within the ambit. Many days have passed. Is it that the government has not taken note of it. There was a general demand from the people, from many members here and outside this House, that a judicial inquiry should be instituted into the firing in Agra. Well there also it is a basic right.

What is the meaning of your laughing. You should be ashamed.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (SHRI SHEO NARAIN). You should be ashamed for the repetition.

SHRI M. N. GOVINDAN NAIR- Fifty years ago in my State we had to lead struggles for permission for the Scheduled Caste people to work along roads near the temple. I do not know whether he remembers about Vaikom Satyagrah. Even today, in 1978, are we to repeat it after independence?

fShri M. N. Govindan Nair]

69

Now, in my humble submission, I make four demands-

- 1. Immediate judicial enquiry regarding the firings and happenings at Agra.
- 2 Withdrawal of PAC from the Pantnagar University, bringing the Vice Chancellor activities of the under judicial enquiry.
- 3. Recognition of the Union and negotiated settlement of the issue thereby.

If that is done (Interruptions) by the end of this month, I am not giving an ultimatum or anything, from the agony of my heart I say that I will go on hunger strike before the Prime Minister.

नी रास विसास भारतमान (हाजीपुर) अध्यक्ष महोदय, साथ सुबह ही मैंने अपना प्वाइट साफ मार्डर उठाते हुये कहा था कि जब एक बार फाइनेंस बिल पर प्रापने बपनी शक्ति प्राचनादश कर ली, जिस में भापको मात्र 64 मत मिने, उसके बद फिर नो-कान्फिडेन्स-मोजन का कोई भौषित्य नहीं रह जाता हैं।

शब्यका महोदय. जबसे इस देश में पालियामेटी सिस्टम मुरू हुई है, यह 19वां नी-कान्फिडेंस मोमन है। मुझे बर्वे अफसोम के माथ कहना पढ रहा है कि कम अब स्टीफन साहब ने बड़े और से इस मीमन को मुंब किया, तो मैं समझता ह कि उनके दिमाग में यह बात रही होगी कि जब पहले इस तरह का मोकन मुख होता या भीर सरकार नहीं चाहती की कि उस पर बहुत हो, और तरह-तरह की भापतिया उस पर की जाती की, आयद वही स्थिति इस बार भी रहेगी, लेकिन जब प्रधान मली जी ने कह दिया कि मैं एक मिनट भी घरूप-मत मे नहीं रहना बाहता है, इस लिए इस पर तुरस्त बहुस हुए हो जाय-जुस समय विरोधी बॅल के मोनो के पहरे देखने लायक थे, वे कतरा रहे थे, कोई ऐसा व्वाइट खोज रहे वे जिससे किसी तरह से इसका समय बढ़ आये। यह प्रयने भाष में इस बात का बोतक है कि भाप इसके निए तैयार नहीं के, आप चाहते के कि किसी तरह से यह टल जाए ।

धार्थका महोदय, मैं इस सदन में एक नवा प्रतस्त्री हु-वा पहली बार इस सबल में 1963 ने ग्रनिश्वासे प्रस्ताव ग्राया, उसे भी कुपालानी औ जाये है, उस समय की सरकार के जो कार्यक्रम के, उनकी कमिसों को सामने रखने के किए बन्होंने यह मन्ताय देश किया था । उस समय भीव रिवति की सरक ज्यान बाकवित करने के किए उन्होंने उस स्रविश्वास प्रस्ताव की रखा वा । इसी तरह से 1975 में मिनिकास प्रस्ताव बाबा बा. उस समय भी चारी तरफ समुचे देश में इस तरह का बाताबरण फैल गया था, जिसमें जुडीकियरी को सहस-महस किया जा रहा है। वेरे कहने का तारपर्य यह है कि किसी भी नो-काण्यिहेंस-जीवाय की एक मंबाँबा होती है, लेकिन जिस हलके वेंग के इस अविकास के प्रस्ताव की वैद्य किया है-में समझता हूं उसका कोई बीचित्व नहीं या ।

Motion

श्रध्यक्ष महोदय, हमने भी नो-कान्फिर्डेस-नोक्षन के सम्बन्ध में पढ़ा था कि वह क्या होता है और उसकी क्या मर्मादा होती है। वेकिन जब पहली कार हमारे सामने इस पालियामेंट मे यह मोसन पाया, तो हुने क्वी निराशा हुई । इसके सम्बन्ध में यहाँ कोई इंग्टरेस्ट देखने को नहीं मिला, झाज तो फिर भी कुछ सोग महां बैठे हुये हैं, लेकिन कस तो सदन विस्कृत बाली सा रहा । इस प्रस्ताव के नाम पर विरोध पक्ष अरूर जिन्दा रहता वाहिबे---इसमे कोई सन्वेह नही है, लेकिन जो भूमिका इस प्रस्ताव के सम्बन्ध में प्रापको निभानी चाहिये थी, वह ब्रापने नहीं निभाई--यह बात में बड़े अफसोस के साथ कहना चाहता हु ।

झडवडा महीदय, उप चुनाव में जो जीतने की बात है, तो उप-बुनाय में हमेशा जनता झापोजी-शन को जिताती हैं। हमेशा से हम वह देख रहे है। जिस समय नवाहरलाल ने हरू जैसे स्तम्ब बे. उस समय भी माप देखिये कि उप-युवान में बा० शब मनोहर जोहिया पारखाबाद से चून कर बाये हैं और बाबायें क्रेपलानी भी उप-युगाय में कापोजींबन की तरफ से जीत कर धावे हैं। कापने उत्तर प्रवेश में चूनाय समाम्रो में क्या कहा कि एक व्यक्ति के चुने जाने से कोई सरकार बदलती नहीं है धीर प्रापकी नेता वहां जा कर प्रापक पसारती है कि भाषीबीक्षन के नाम पर हुने बोट दीजिए और इससे कोई सरकार गिरने वाली नही है। जनता ने एक जगह आपकी जिता विधा ती वैसा की काजीवन राज जी ने कहा कि काप एक दम इतरा रहे हैं। मैं यह कहता है कि इससे कोई सही जजमेंट नही निकलेगा ।

में यह भी कहना चाहता हू कि झाप बैठ पीठ का नाम सेते हो । सब साप बेंब पी॰ का नाम नेते हो और क्यों ने पी को सन्द करते ये। मैं स्रोधको क्साना चाहता हू कि साम भी हुनारे बैसे सोग बैठ पीर को पूजते हैं। बैठ पीर के सारे में राष्ट्र कवि भी पिनकर ने विश्वा पा

> वह देश शरीवाय पुकार रहा बह बसित देश का बाता है संपनी का इच्टा जय प्रकास भारत का भाग्य विश्वादा है ।

इस जनप्रकाश के सम्बन्ध में यह भी कहा नवा है:

कहते है उसको जयप्रकाश को नहीं मरण में डरना श्चरिन की बझते देख कुण्ड मे स्वय कद जो पहला है

धीर प्राप जमको जेल में बन्द करते थे । ग्रापको सर्वे नहीं भानी जो भाज भाग जे० पी० का नाम लेते हैं। मैं श्रापका कहता है कि श्राप लागों को नैतिक मधिकार नहीं है कि माप उनका नाम ले। आप तो समय गाधी की बान करत थ । ग्राप कहते थे. 'सजय गांधी, सजय गांधी' । श्री नारायण इस तिवारी जब उत्तर प्रदेश ने मन्य गत्री थे ग्रीर हमारे यहा डा० जगन्नाध मिध्र जब मन्य मती थ. ती क्या होताथा। मैहरिजनाकी बतापर भी भाऊमा, क्योंकि भाग उनके बारे में बहुत हल्ला करते है, कि ग्रापके जमाने मे बया हुन्ना । टा० करान्ताच मिश्र, बिहार के मुख्य मही न ग्रीर इस तरह से सब प्रान्तों में कहीं मिश्र, कही विवारी और कही विपाठी मुख्य मती हमा करते थ । जब जनता पार्टी की हुक्मन बाई तो यह पत्नी बार 🖁 कि सब जगहा पर ग्रल्प-सत्यका ग्रीर पिछडी हुई बातियों के लोगों दार। शासन चल रहा है। पहले इनका मध्य मन्नी नही होता था । जब मजय गांधी बाते थे तो पता नहीं उनका बया स्टेटम होता था। अबब वे जाने थे नो श्री नारायण दल निवारी एरोड्रोम की तरफ दौडते थे । उनक पाय छने कै लिए । हमारे यहा जब डा० जगन्ताथ मिश्र मुख्य मती थे धौर जब हम लोग जेल मे बन्द थे. सी जब सजय गाधी पटना जाते थे तो व उनका पांच छुने के लिए जात थे धीर सजय धाणीबाँड वेता था कि 'मुग ज्य त्रियो जगन्नाय 10 वर्ष तक राजकरो।"यह पेपर में बात आई है और वेपसं की कांटरम पड़ी हुई है । धाप फाइम निकालिए घौर देखिये । उनमे यह लिखा है कि सजय गीधी जब बिहार गये तो उन्होंने डा० बगन्नाथ मिश्र को प्राणीर्वाद दिया कि 10 वर्ष वक राज करो । उनको यह पना नहीं था कि दो साल बाद के जेल मे रहेगे लेकिन 10 वर्ष राज करने का बाशीवीद उन्होंने डा० जगन्नाथ मिश्र को दिया। इसलिए मैं यह बहना चाहता ह कि धापके यहां व्यक्ति पत्रा है । मै धीर ज्यादा इस बारे मे नहीं कहना चाहता क्योंकि यह छोटा मह, बड़ी बात हो जाएगी लेकिन मैं यह कहना चाहता ह कि हमारी जो भ्रमुबं प्रधान मन्नी है, उन्होंने क्या किया । भाज कोई ऐमा देश है जहा इतन। बढा धादमी गलती करना है और वह माफी न मांगे । प्रमेरिका में निक्सन ने माफी मागी लेकिन हमारे यहा इतना बड़ा व्यक्ति इतना बड़ा काइम कर और उसके ही नाम पर एक पार्टी बनाई जाए? बोड़े विनो तो प्राप जिन्दा रह सकते है लेकिन सब दिनो तक जिन्दा रहने बाले नहीं है एक ज्यक्ति की प्रष्ठाई देकर।

माप योजना की बात करत है । मेरे पास योजनाओं की पूरी लिस्ट है जो योजनाये आपने चलाई हैं। उन योजनाओं का ही यह नतीजा है कि 1951 में जिस टाटा के पाम 116 करोह रुपया था, वह झाज 1,000 करोड रुपये का मालिक बना हुआ है। जिस बिरला के पास 1951 से 153 करोड रुपए थे, वह साप का योजनामा के बारण यात्र 1.200 कराइ रुपये वा मालिक बन गय। है और जिस मफत राल का श्रामदनी 13 करोड हपा, थी वह 1975-76 में 284 करोड़ का मालिक बन गया है, जिस मार्टिन बने के पास 11 करोड क्यार थे, पता नही आज उसके पास कितन ज्यादा करोड रूपण हो गये है। इस तरह से मेरे पास जा ये वह परिवार हैं उनके सरकारी भाक हे है। मे इसमे भीर ज्यादा नहीं जाना चाहता लिकन यह आपकी योजनाओं का फल है। भापने उल्टी दिणा चलाई भीर भाप कल्प्यज्ड रहे। व भी कहा कि खेती की तरफ ध्यान दी स्रीर कभी तिमी भीर चीज पर । इस देश में करोड़ो गकड जमीन फाजिल है और उस समय भागके नेता कहने ये कि गमला में खेली करो, छतो पर खेनी करो । इस तरह की योजना प्रापने बनाई और सही दिशा में भाप नहीं गये और जब हमारी सरकार गही दिणा में जा रही है तो भाष कहते हैं कि गलत दिशा से हम जा रहे है । उल्टी बुद्धि है म्रापकी, तो हम लोग क्या करे । हिन्दुस्तान गावो का देश है भीर गावों में बमना है। हम लोग गावों की नरफ ध्यान देना चाहने है, तो धाप कहने है कि गलत काम है। हम लोग कहने है कि तैवी इन्डस्ट्री के जिस्से उतना कास रहे कि छोटी इहस्टीज पर उसका इम्पैक्ट न पडे, छोटी इडस्टीज उससे प्रभावित न हो । छोटी इडस्टीज के काम-काज म बाधा नही होगी। ग्रापकी नीति उल्ही है जा प्रापको मबारक है।

Motion

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, भ्रष्टाचार की बात कहते है। इनमे ज्यादा भ्रष्टाचार क्या होगा। श्राप लोगो ने पढ़ा होगा कि हमारे बिहार के एक एम । एक ए थे---धी नवल किणोर जी । उनकी भीर बातो का तो छोड दीजिए, उन्होंने पटना का रेलवे स्टेशन और गार्गा मैदान गिरबी रूप दिशा भीर दोना के नाम 'र पैसा उठा लिया । में तो अपपने रेल मन्नी जीमें करगा कि ये पटनाजकणन के बारे मे इनकायरी बराय । प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, यह सब एक ण्मत् एल ० ए० वा याम सा। (ब्यवधान) मै तो कहता ह कि जनता गवनंगेट ने गला मे धाने के बाद एक ही गलती की । भनता गवनमेट को चाहियेथा कि इन्दिरा गाधी और सजय गाधी को भीर भाष में से कुछ लोगों को मीमा में बन्द कर देती जिसमें आपको पता जल जाता कि भीमा क्या होता है । जनना सरकार ने प्रापको इननी छट दी और बाप उसका इतना नाजायज फायदा उठा रहे हैं।

भध्यक्ष महोदय, ला एण्ड धार्डर का प्रकृत उठाया जाता है और बहा जाना है कि खगब है। बी राम विलास पासनान)

हिन्दुस्तान में शा एण्ड आईर क्यो खराब है? मैंने उस दिन भी कहा वा कि ला एण्ड आईर को खराब करने के लिए एक प्रादमी काफी होता है।

ँ एक ही उल्लू काफी था बर्बीद गुलिस्ता की करने

हर डाल पर उल्लू बैठा है प्रजाम गुलिस्ता क्या होगा ?

देश में एक ही भादमी ला एण्ड धार्डर को खराब करने के लिए काफी है। जब इदिरा गाधी सजय गाधी से लेकर भाप सब लोग कमर कस कर खडे हो गए हैं कि इस देश में ला एण्ड झाडेंर की सिच्एमन उत्पन्न की जाए हरिजनो पर जल्म काये आर्थे तो इसको कैमे रोका जा सकता है। धभी एक माथी मुझ से कह रहे थे कि बनारम मे काग्रेस के लोगो ने ही रामायण को जला दिया ग्रीर जब उसके नजदीक पहुचे तो कह दिया कि हरिजन ने इनको जलाया है। इन्होने कहा कि यह मामला पेपर मे भाया है। भाप चाहते हैं कि देश में ऐसा वातावरण फैले, हिसा देश में फैले। धाप हरिजनो के कथा पर रखंकर बदूव चलाना चाहते हैं। कल हमारे साथी ने बताया कि तमिलनाडु मे 1965 में 42 हरिजनों को जिन्दा जला दिया गया। यह मामला कभी प्रवासार में नहीं प्राया। जब धन-सूचित जाति भीर भन्सूचित जन जानि कमिश्नर ने अपनी रिपोर्ट पेश की तब यह मामला सामन भाया। भाप बहुत बेलची की दुहाई दते हैं। भाप यहा हरिजनो की बहुत बात करते हैं। ब्रापेको शर्म नहीं बाती कि बापने टाइम केपसूल गाडा था। उस समय भाप भूल गए कि डा० भ्रम्बेदकर जा इस सविधान के निर्माता है, उनका नाम तो इस केपमूल मे रख दे। किसी का नाम याद रहा हा या न रहा हो लेकिन उनका नाम तो भ्रापका याद रहना चाहिए था। भाप केवल भासू बहाने के लिए हरिजनो को नाम लेने है।

धध्यक्ष महादय, कोई भी घटना घटती है तो वह किसी के बम की बात नहीं है। हमारे प्रधान मुद्री जी या हमारे होम मिनिस्टर हमारे लिए बाहर पहरा नही दे मकते है। श्रव कोई श्रादमी सदन के बाहर हम को मार दे तो ये लोग क्या कर सकते हैं, कानूनी कार्यवाही ही कर सकते है। झागके जमाने में जब हरिजनो पर घटना घटी बी तो प्रापने क्या कदम उठाये थे और जनता पार्टी की मरकार क्या कदम उठा रही है, इसको हमे देखना है। म्रापके जमाने मे शेरपुर में घटना घटी तो बहुत हुल्ला करने पर झापने दी-दो हजार रूपया दिया था। ग्राज हरिजनो पर कही भी किसी प्रकार का जुल्म होता है तो तुरन्त सरकार की तरफ से पाच पाच हजार स्पया दिया जाता है। इसकी तुरन्त घोषणा कर दी जाती है। भ्राप केवल हरि जनो के कक्षी पर रख कर बद्रक चलाना जानते हैं उनके लिए कुछ करना नही जानते।

धन्यक्ष नहीचय, कहा जाता है कि हनारे प्रकार मत्नों जो बायरेक्टर झाफ बोर्ड हैं। लेकिन कैं कहता हू कि हम धरने प्रधान मन्त्री जी को क्लिडेटर नहीं बनाना चाहते हैं। हमारे प्रधान मत्नी जी, हमारी टीम के केटेन की तरह काम कर रहे हैं।

Motion

मै विदेश नीति के सम्बन्ध में भी कुछ कहना बाहताबा लेकिन समय नहीं है, इमलिए समाप्त कर रहा हूं।

SHRI RAGAVALU MOHANARAN-GAM (Chengalpattu). Mr Speaker, Sir, I am very glad to-day that you have given me this opportunity to speak on the motion of no-confidence tabled by the Leader of the Opposition Shri Stephen

One of the Janata Party Members while speaking on this motion said that they can't understand why noconfidence motion is being tabled like this in the last thirteen months' Janata rule?

Mr Speaker Sir, in the Tamilnadu Assembly, within six months a noconfidence motion was moved and that was supported by the Janata Party Members on the floor of the House. If that is the case. I do not understand why the Members of the Janata Party are creating so many complications whenever they speak on the no-confidence motion I do not want to go into details of what has happened in the last thirteen months' Janata rule. I would of course like to point out only two or three major points. Due to constraint of time limit. I would only ask first of all as to why, as Mr. Stephen just now pointed out, they have increased by 2 paise per kw. of power It was discussed already by the Leader of the Opposition Shri Stephen and so I am not going to touch that point

But, with regard to the procurement price of paddy. I would like to say that it was Rs 75 whereas the price for wheat has been increased to Rs 112 We had been asking for the past six months for a rise in the procurement price of paddy. With the



coming into power of the Janata Government, I thought they would definitely increase the procurement price of the paddy. But, for the past one and half years or so, the same has not been increased at all whereas the price of wheat is unnecessarily and deliberately being increased. It may be for the simple reason that in Southern States, we consume only paddy but in North they consume wheat.

It is no exaggeration if I point out one thing. During the recent natural calamities in the Tamilnadu and Andhra Pradesh, they were not given proper assistance by the Centre. They had given Rs. 37 crores for Tamilnadu -not as a grant but as a part of the plan allocation. We had been suffering very much in Tamilnadu. Our party is ruling the Tamilnadu for more than one year or so but still we are not getting proper assistance from the Central Government,

I will now come to the language issue. I do not know why our top leaders speaks in Hindi. This morning exactly at about 12 noon or so Shri Jagjivan Ram stood up to speak. I thought he would speak in English. He has been a Minister for the past thirty years or so and I thought he would speak in English. But he spoke in Hindi. My mother-tongue is Telugu. I can understand Telugu. I could have understood properly if he had spoaken in English-international language. I do not want to use earphone to listen to the translation because that is not perfectly all right.

The main thing I want to stress is the language issue. As regards procurement price of paddy or any other thing at any time we can solve that problem. I lay stress on the language issue only. Thirty years have gone by. Mr. Speaker, I am not a citizen of Tamilnadu—I am a citizen of India; according to Indian Constitution, Dual citizenship is not allowed. I have been coming to Parliament all the way from Madras. I hear the leaders speaking in Hindi and not in other Indian languages. I would not surprised even if Mr. Morarji Deasai, while replying to the debate, speaks in Hindi. That is all that is going on here. I want that advantages and disadvantages should be equally distributed I know Tamil language. Our forefathers spoke Tamil quoted even scripture in Tamil Even if Mr. Ramachandran wants to speak in Tamil I would definitely ask him to speak only in English. I am not having such a mean mentality. The English language is a force through which we can express our ideas in this House. Why should I unnecessarily speak in a language which is followed only by a handful of Members. Mr. Dubey who has passed his B.A. (Hons.) in the year 1934 from the London University speaks in Hindi. Our Prime Minister speaks in Hindi. Almost all the Ministers who know English only speak in Hındi. I hope all the Ministers and Members who know English will start speaking in English and thereby make other Members understand as to what they speak.

Mr. Speaker, it is not the intention of my party to topple or just make the Janata Party get down from power but it is our intention to point out the defects and ask them to rectify same. Whenever there is a change for me to point out the defects I try to point out the defects but now in the name of No Confidence Motion I was given an opportunity to point out the defects and so I have done it. I hope the Janata Government would definitely rectify those defects With these words I conclude my speech.

भी भोहरूमद शफी फूरेशी (धनन्तनाम) स्पीकर साहब, ग्राप ने ग्रापनी मर्जी के मताबिक एक सेझर मोझन भूव करने की इजाबत दी है। लेकिन कल से ऐमी लग रहा है कि यहां पर दो नो-कात्मिदेस मोशन चल रहे हैं। एक हमारी तरफ से जो एक जिन्दा हुकुमत के खिलाफ है जो बाकई फरनान कर रही है, उसके खिलाफ नी-कान्फिडेंस है, दूसरी तरफ से उनका मी-कान्फिडेंस है एक ऐसी गुवर्नमेंट के खिलाफ बो ब्राज मौजूद नहीं है, शेडो-वाक्सिय कर रहे हैं

[श्री मोहस्य शकी क्रेशी]

हॉबरा गाधी की गवनंमेट के साथ। धाप यह देखें जो तकरीरे इनके बड़े-बड़े स्टालबार्ट्स न की हैं उसमें सिवाय इसके कि पिछली गवनंभेट ने क्या किया, क्या नहीं किया, घौर कोई सवाव नजर नहीं धाता। कल हमारे दोस्त क्यांम बाबू ने कहा हिण्ये मुखालिफ धापी नीशन की तकरीरा में दम नहीं है। हम यह मानते है। हमे इसका गम नहीं है। कि हम में दम नहीं है। लेकिन कमाल तो इस बात ना है नि प्रापक दौर में कमाल तो इस बात ना है नि प्रापक दौर में समाब तक जोन हैं, हमें ता यही ताज्जुब होना है कि जीते कैंस हैं?

मोरारजी देमाई का मै बहुत एहतरम करना ह, बहुत बजुर्ग है। उन्होंने महा है कि ग्रागर हुक्मत गलनों करे तो घापका हक हाना है कि मेरे कान खीचे। मैं उनक कान नक पहुचना नहीं चाहना क्योंकि वह बहुत बजर्ग है, मै उनके दरवाओं नक भी पहचना नहीं चाहता क्यांकि बह मै नही चाहता, लेकिन मझे श्रफमाम है. जब भी उनमें पूछा कि भ्रापने क्या किया ता वह हमेशा यह कहते है कि हमन बहुत कुछ किया, लोगों की जबाने हमने खोल दी है। लोगों की जबान आपने खोल दो है मोरारजी भाई, लेक्नि प्रपने कान बन्द कर लिय है। हमें तो अफसोम इस बात का है कि लाग जितनी •यादा ग्रावाज से ग्रापका प्**कारन है** उतन ही ज्यादा प्रापके कान बन्द हो चने है। छोटे मिनिस्टर जो ग्रापके हैं उनके छाटे तरीके पर कान बन्द हुए है ग्राप तो यडी बुर्सी पर हैं, आपके कार्नतो खुले हाने चाहिए थे, आपको तो बात सुननी चाहिए थी घौर चाहिये भी।

मझे मालम है वि जो यह ग्रविश्वाम का प्रस्ताव है यह भ्रपाजीशन क पाम एक बहुत बडा हथियार होता है। इसका इस्तमाल मही तरीके से करना चाहिए। मै इस बात मे जाना नहीं चाहता, हरिजन के मामले में, मस्लियस के मामले में य जुल्म होते रहे हैं भीर भगर यह आपकी पालिसी जारी रही तो ये जल्म होते रहेगे। लेकिन भ्रापका एक बात की चेनावनी मैं देना चाहता हू कि ग्राज हिन्दुस्तान का गरीब भौर दबा हुआ इसान जाग उठा है। दुनिया की कोई ताकत उसे शब मूला नही सकती न भाप सुला सकते है, न हम मुला सकत है। नीस चालीस करोड भादमी भ्राज हिन्दुरतान में ऐसे हैं जो गुर्बत के लेबेल के नीचे हैं, जो गरीबी की रेखा के नीचे है। तीम चार्नाम करोड इसानो को हमने हमेशा मञ्जबाग दिखाया । मेरी वजीरे भाजम साहब में यहां विनती है कि मगर भापने इन लोगों के लिए बुछ नहीं किया तो यकीन रखिए कि जिस तरीके से जनता ने गुस्से मे प्राकर हमे हटा दिया था, जनता का गुम्सा भापके कपर भी उतर सकता है भीर मापको भी हटाया जा सकता है। भ्राज पानियामेट के हाथ से मुम्रामला है, कल क्या होगा मालम मही। प्रापने प्रपनी पालिमी की वजह से मामला सडको और बाजारो पर पहचा दिया है। मैं एक ही बात भापसे कहना भीर एक ही बात काफी है आपको इस बात के लिए कि आप इप उक्सत से इस्तीफा दे और बहु यह कि जनता का विश्वास भापसे उठ चका है। लोगों का एक एतकाद खत्म हो चुका है। लोग माज ममझते हैं कि यहा पर कोई हुकूमत नहीं है । मद धापकी धपनी पार्टी वाले जब भी कभी बौलना चाहते है मचाई से भ्रपने दिल पर हाथ रख कर उस वक्त उनके दिल से मच्ची ग्राबाज निकलती है और समझता ह कि यही एक बड़ी वजह है जिसकी बिना पर हमे यह प्रविश्वास का प्रस्ताव भाषक खिलाफ लाना पदा । मुझे भ्रापका एहतराम है। भ्राप हिन्दुस्तान के प्रधान मन्नी हैं। हिन्दुस्तान की सरहदी की हिफाजन करन वाले हमारे नीजनान दिन-रान हिमालय की पहाडिया पर, बर्फानी चाटिया पर यह कह कर हिन्दुम्तान की मरहदा की हिफाजत करत है कि यह हमारा मुल्क है, यह हमारी धरती माता है। ग्रापन उनकी भी नहीं बख्शा ह कुछ बयानात ग्रापने ऐसे दिये है जिनसे सल्क र्वे ग्रन्दर ग्रीर बाहर ग्रापने शकक पैदा कर दिये है। निवन श्रापने एक जुमला कह कर उनका विश्वाम खत्म कर दिया है। भ्रापने वहा वि इसने सिविकम को इस्मारल गैर-इखलाकी तरीके से एनेक्स किया है। खदारा मझे बताइये कि जा सिपाही बहा पर मीजद है झौर भारत की सरहदा की हिफाजन कर रहे है, क्या श्रव वह उसी तरह पूरे यकीन के साथ हमारी सरहदी की हिफाजन करेगा। क्या ग्रापन उसके दिला मे यह बहम नहीं हाल दिया है कि हमने इस टेरीटरो पर करजा कर रखा है और वह एक भावृपाइड डलाका का डिफेंड कर रहा है [?] आपने इस किस्म के खयालात मल्क मे फैलाये है। इस बिना पर भी ग्रापको हट जाना चाहिये ।

15 hrs

बाहर के मुल्का में जो खुद-एनमादी, सेल्प-रेलायेम, का एटमास्फियर हिन्दुस्तान ने बनाया था प्रापने उसके भी दुकड़े ट्कंड कर दिये हैं, उसको भी पाण-पाण कर दिया है। प्रापको पोने मात टन यूरेनियम के लिए प्रमनीका के मामने भिखारियों की तरह प्रपनी होली फैलानी पड़ती है। (ब्यवधान) घापने साईम और टेक्नालोजी का गला घोट कर यह माबित करना बाहा है कि हिन्दुस्तान बार हजार बरस पीछे क्ला गया है। धाज बाहर की दुनिया में हमारा मजाक उड़ रहा है।

मुझे झफसोम हैं कि बिदेश मली यहां पर नहीं है। लेकिन हमें मालूम है कि राम की खड़ाऊ को भरत ने झपने तक्त पर रखा था । उसकी एक परम्परा है। हम उस गर फख़ा करने है, हम उसकी हज्बत करते हैं। लेकिन हमें यह मालूम नहीं था कि साथ समरीका में एक ऐसा राजदूत क्षेजेंगे, जो मिसेख लिलियक कार्टर के पांच से पड़ कर उसकी चप्पल का नाम लेगा । किसे मालूम था कि हजारी बरसो के बाव हिन्युस्तान में एक ऐसी हुकूमत प्रायेगी, जो भरत को खड़ाऊ का मनक भूस कर गक ऐसे शक्स से, जो हिन्दुस्तान को उजहत बन कर बहुां पर गया है, जो हिन्दुस्तान को उज्जत और आवक का राजदूत बन कर गया है, प्रगर बहु प्राज लिलियन कार्टर के पांच चाट मकता है, तो कन वह कार्टर के पांच चाट मकता है, किसी बड़े से बड़े सरमायादार मुल्क के लीडर के पांच चाट सकता है । प्रापने वाहरी मुल्को में हिन्दुस्तान की इउक्त को कम कर दिया है ।

कल किमी सदस्य ने कहा कि हिन्दूस्तान का होम मिनिस्टर एम्परेर नही है । प्रगर हिन्दूम्नान का होम मिनिस्टर एम्परेर नही है, मगर वह एम्परेर बनन का ख्वाब जरूर देख रहा है। मुझे ग्रफसाम इस बान का है कि जब भी उनमें बान की जाती है कि भ्राप हिन्दुस्तान की ला एड आर्डर मणीनरी का पूरा खयाल रखिये, ता वट कहते है कि इसस मेरा ताल्लुक नहीं है, इसम ला स्टेट्स का ताल्लक है। लेकिन अब स्टेटस की मिनिन्दीज का डिममिस करने, या उन पर हमला करते, का मौका भाता है, जो कि काननी तौर पर जायज है, तो उस वक्त वह हिन्दस्तान के होम मिनिस्टर है। लेकिन जब गरीबा मजलुमा भ्रोर श्रीक्लयतो का मारा जाना है, तो उम वक्त वह कहते हैं कि यह मामला मेरा नही है, यह तो स्टेटम का मामला है। सिमट-सिमटा कर वह दिल्ली के होम मिनिस्टर रहने है। लेकिन जब दिल्ला में कोई खराबी हा जाती है, तो वह कहते है कि यह मेरा मामला नहीं है, यह ता दिल्ली प्रजामन का मामला है। तो एक ही हाम रह जाता है, और वह उनका भपना घर है, जिसके वह मिनिस्टर है। भगर भाप समझते है कि आपका होम मिनिस्टर सिर्फ ग्रपने ही होम का मिनिस्टर है, तो ग्राप क्यो नही उनको मिनिस्टर बगैर पोर्टफोलियो बना देते ? क्यो हमारी उम्मीद ऐने शक्स पर लगा रखी है, जिसने भाज नक मजलमो, गरीबो भीर दबे हुए तबके के लोगो को टियर गैस, लाठी-**चार्ज भी**र गोलियो से जवाब दिया है ? इस बात पर भी भापकी इस्तीफा देना बाहिये।

खेत हो या खिलहान हो, कालेज हो या सूनिविस्तिटी हो, दफतर हो या कारखाना हो, सबक हो या कारखाना हो, सबक हो या कारखाना हो, सबक हो या कुचा हो, हर जगह हा-हाकार मचा हुआ है। आज कानून की धिज्जया उडाई है। मैं इस छोटी बात में नही जाऊना कि आप ने इतने हजिरनों को मारा और इतने मखलूमों को गोली से उडा दिया। लेकिन प्रापं कल्हण की "राजतरियणी" को पिढिये। काशमीर कल्हण की "राजतरियणी" को पिढिये। काशमीर कल्हण की "राजतरियणी" को पिढिये। काशमीर स्वापं को यो एक राजा चुन किया, केविक राजा चुन किया, केविक राजा चुन किया, केविक राजा चुन किया, केविक राजा की प्यास तभी बुझा करती बी,

अब वहा पर हर रोज एक बिल होती थी।
एक इसान की बिल दी जाती थी। प्राप प्रपना
एक मान भीर तीन महीने का भ्रमा ले लीजिये।
प्राप बिल्कुल उसी हिसाब से एक नही, बिल्क
दो-दो इन्मानो की बिल रोज ले रहे हैं। भ्रमर
कोई कमी होम मिनिस्ट्री की नरफ से हुई है,
तो उनको रेलवे मिनिस्ट्री न पूरा कर दिया है,
क्योंकि हर हफ्त और हर रोज कोई न कोई
व्योंकि हर हफ्त और हर रोज कोई न कोई
प्राधिय प्राप पुण्यन क्यो बनना बाहते
हैं हो ग्रापमें बड़ी उम्मीद भीर तबक्कु
है वि ग्राप इंग्य मुल्क में अम्हरी निजाम भीर
अम्हरी कहा का मजबत बनायों। भीर हम
इसमें भी ग्रापका साथ द सकते हैं।

रेल मंत्री (प्रो० मधु वंडवते) सब मे वडा एक्सिन्ट २६ जन १९७६ को हुआ।

भी मोहस्मद राफी कुरेसी. फिर वही बात! स्थांकर माहब, यह ऐसी बात है, यही दलील हिन्दुस्तान के होम मिनिस्टर देते है कि 1965 में 600 हरिजन मारे गये थे आज तो 200 हो मारे है 100 की और गुंजाइस है मारने की । इस किस्स को बान आप करते हैं। मैं कहना हू कि ग्रापको जब हुक्मत मिली है तो आप अपन ग्रापको हुक्मत करने के काबिल साबित करे। पिछनी हुक्मत की नुस्ता-चीनी करने से ग्रापको कुछ नहीं मिलेगा । आपने जब हुक्मत सभाली ग्रापन कान्नी हुक्मत का ऐलान किया।

इसमें सबस ज्यादा आप यह कहते हैं कि आप इसाफ करंग । यहा कानून क सली बैठे हैं । आपने कहा कि हर गक आदमी के सोध इसाफ करंग । लेकिन इसाफ आपने क्या किया ? क्या यह हकीकत नहीं है कि मुल्डिम को मिनिस्टर बनाया ? उनके जा गुनाह थ उन पर परदा डाल कर आपने उन विश्वास को तोड दिया है जो आप लोगा से कायम करना चाहते से कि आप इस मुल्क में इसाफ की और कानून की हुक्सत लायगे । मेरे पास होम मिनिस्ट्री का एक जबाब आया है एक मती के बारे में, मैं उनका नाम नहीं लेना चाहता, उनके खिलाफ एमीजिमेट का एक केस था। मैंने प्रधान मती को भी लिखा था। लेकिन उसका जवाब क्या है:

"These cases have been dragging on for the last 13 years. The Delhi Administration were advised that in case the infringement of the law was only of a technical nature and there was no evidence to show that the individual against whom prosecution was instituted had personally benefited, there would be justification for withdrawal of these cases."

[थी मोहसद सची करेती]

केशे अवाजत से पड़े हैं, प्रिवेर्ड अवायत में होती है लेकिन अवायत की एक तरफ छोड़ कर जी बवानत सहा पर नवाहों के हुए हैं उपकी जांच कीन करता है? विक्ली ऐविभिनिस्ट्रेजन और नहां फैसला करता है कि इस मजी को मुकरित करार दिवा बावे या न बरार दिवा जांचे। आपने कानून की अध्यान कानून अपित मही के प्रवास कर दिवा है कि आपका कानून आपके कान्यों के दिवा नहीं है जो मुजरित मार्वित हुआ है, आपका कानून बेबना और बेबसो के लिए है। इसिंग में करना हू आज यह भी एक बहुत बड़ी बजह है कि भापकी दुवमत वे किताप यह अविश्वास का प्रताद दिवा मही है। इसिंग में करना हू आज यह भी एक बहुत बड़ी बजह है कि भापकी दुवमत वे किताप यह अविश्वास का प्रताद दिवा नहीं हो। सकता वि है। इसम बोड दो गय नहीं हो। सकता वि हो। इसम बोड दो गय नहीं हो। सकता कि जिय अन्य और गरी के लिय अन्य और गरी के लिय अन्य और है।

इसरे बाद मृह्य प्रप्रमाम है कल हुए लोगा न तसी मिमान दा है यहा पर रो जमनान है एक महिब ने यह करा मुड़ा ता नह बरन हुए शम प्राप्ती है मर्ग्नी मनार अगर गायक हुए शम प्राप्ती है मर्ग्नी मनार अगर गायक कहा कि एक मार धम रहा था। में ना कहता हूं कि इस मत्क में एक हो माड़ प्रम्ता है जो जनता का मार है जो जगता है जिसकी म सुनत है न शक्स है। हा यह जरूर बात है कि उसके पीछे पीछे गरीब प्रवास भूखा प्रवास प्राप्ता प्रवास मजलूम जनता वस्ती है। लेकिन इस सार क श्रीर हिस्से न बह हात दिसाय बहा होता ता वह नुष्ठ समझ मकता था। (अवकात)

भी एच 0 एल 0 पटवारी (मगलदाई) वह साड नहीं है मेर हैं। गाय के पीछ घूमने बालों याद रखों वह भेर हैं।

श्री मोहन्मद शकी कुरेशी मरी एक ही दरक्यान्त है कि पटवारी साहब जब बोला कर ता खडे हा जाया करे यही पता नहीं सगता कि बढ़ खडे हैं या बैठे हैं। जनता कागज का खेर है।

भी एखा एला पटनारी यह देखिए इंदिरा गांधी क्या कर रही हैं गांव क्या कर रही है?

भी बोहम्मद सको बुदेशो मैंने होम मिनिस्ट्री धौर रेलवे मिनिस्ट्री के मुतास्लिक बोडा सा इबारा ही किया लेकिन माज जब हम मलग मलग मिनिस्ट्री की कार्यकर्षणी देखते हैं कि मलग मलग मिनिस्ट्री किस तरीके से काम करती है तो मुझे मफलोस होता है कि कोई भी मिनिस्ट्री पपना काम पूरे तरीके से नहीं कर रही है। लोगों का एतमाद गवनंगेंट से बास्म होता जा रहा है। खुब एनर्जी मिनिस्ट्री के जो मिनिस्टर हैं उन्होंने किसी से किकायत की है कि जब वह कैविनेट की मीटिंग में बैठते हैं तो क्योंकि तलाम बातें

यहां हिमी में होती है इस्रिक्ष यह नहीं समक्ष पात कि नहां पर पया चैतके होते हैं। यह कहते हैं कि को कोकने की सन्तरे हैं किसकी बच्छ से तीजेंट के, फॉटलाइकर के और दूसरी कीजो के कारकार्ग पाल सेट नमें हैं, यह नहीं जानने कि हुक्तमत जतने क्या करना पाहती है। क्योंकि यह सपनों में परावे सनते हैं। यह हाल नारी निर्नाल्ड्यों का है।

मैं इसमें नहीं जाउया कि जनता पार्टी एक उन्नी है या नरती है। जनता पार्टी सम्भ रटना है ता नटे नेकिन मुल्ब नहीं टटना बाहिए। इन लक्ष्या वे साथ मैं इस प्रन्ताव को समर्थन बन्ता ह भीच इस हुक्यत से इतिश्वा करता ह मोधद्वारा वि इस मन्द्र को बन्तिए बहुत वृष्ट परेजार कर बच नै। बक्त रम मिला। बन बहुत पुछ वान की था।

شرق متعمد شفهم قريشي اللت

اک) سدیکر صاحب - آپ نے ایلی مرضی نے مطابق ادک سقشر مرشور موہ کرنے کے اسارت دی ھے - لیکن كل سے ايسا لك رما هے بہاں در دو موکوسیدینس حل رقے ههن- ایک هماري طرف سے دو ایک ربدلا حکومت کے خلاف هے - جو واتعی فنکش کر رهی ھے - اس کے خلاب ترکونفیدیلس ھے-خوسرے طرف ان کا دوکونٹھ پیٹس ہے لہ آیک ایسی گورسلت کے خلاف مو آب موجود بهیں هے - شیدویاکسنگ کر رہے میں اندرا کاندسی کی گورٹمانٹ کے ساتھ مگر آپ یہ دیکھیں جو تقریریں ان کے ہوے ہوے سٹالوائزر نے کی هين أن مين سوائه أسكه كه يجهلي گورنمات نے کیا کیا ۔ کہا نہیں کیا ۔ اور كوئى مواد بطر نهين آتا - كل هماريم دوست شام باہو ہے کہا کہ جلاب مطالف أيوزيشن كي تقويرون مين دم نہیں ہے - هم یه مائتے هیں هيهن اس کا غم ٿيهن ۾ که هم مين

بهت بوا متهیار هوتا هے - اس کا استعمال محصيم عاريقے سے كرنا جاهئے-مين اس باسامين جانا نيين جاهتا ھرے جن کے معاملے میں مسلم کے معادله مين يه ظام هونے رهے عين -ارر اگر یه آپکی پالیسی جاری رهی تو یه ظلم هوتے رهیں گے - لیکن آپ کو ایک بات کی چهتاونی سیس دینا چاعدا عوں که آج عندوستان کا دیا هوا غریب اور دیا هوا اسان جاگ چکا ھے دنہا کی کوئ طاقت اب اسے مثا نہیں سکتی - نه آپ سا سکتے هیں - اور ته هم انهیں سلا سکتے هیں-تیس جالیس کرور آدمی آج هلدوسان میں ایسے ھیں جو فریب کے لیول سے نیجے میں - جو فریمی کی راکھا کے نيجے هيں - تيس - چاليد ع كرور انسانی کو هم نے همیشه سیز باغ دکھایا - میری وزہرآعظم صاحب سے یہ بنتی ہے کہ اگر آپ نے ان لوگوں کے لئے كجه نهين كها تو قبين ركهيك كه جس طریقہ سے جنتا نے فصے میں آکر هبين هنا ديا تها - جلتا كا فصه آب کے اوپر بھے آبو سکتا ہے - اور آپ کو بهى هتابا جا سكتا ه - أج پارلهمنت کے هاته سیں معامله ہے - کل کیا ھوکا - یہ معلوم نہیں آپ نے اپلی پالیسی کی وجه سے یه معامله سوکوں اور ہازاروں تک پہنچا دیا ہے - میں آپ کی بات آپ سے کیوں کا اور

ایک هی بات کافی هے - آب تو اس

دم نہیں ہے - لیکن کبال تو اس بات کا ھے کہ آپ کے درر میں أیے تک جهتے هيں - هميں تو يهي تعجب هرتا هے که جیتے کیسے میں -

شری مرارجی دیسائی کا مهن بهنعا لحترام كرتا هول بهنت بزرك هين - اڻهرن نے کها <u>هے</u> که **اگر** حکومت فلطی کرے دو آپ کا حق هونا هے که وہ میرے کان کیلجے -میں ان کے کان تک نہیں پہنچا چاهنا - کیونکه وه بهت بووگ هیس میں ان کے دروازہ تک نہیں پہلچلا چاهتا - کیونکه وه بهی نهین چاهتا -لهكن منجه السوس هي كه جب يهي اں سے پوجہا کہ آپ نے کیا کہا وہ همدشه یه کهنے شهی - که هم نے بہت کجے کیا - لوگوں کی زبانیں هم نے کھولدی ھیں - لوگوں کی زبانیں آپ نے کیول دی هیں مرارجی بهائی -المكن الله كان بند كر ليَّه هين - همين تو ادسوس اس بات کا هے که لوگ جنئی ایادہ آواز سے آپ کو پکارتے هیں۔ اتلے می زیادہ آپ کے کان بلد موچکے ھیں۔ چپرتے منستر جو آپ کے ھیں انفے چھواتے طریقے پر کان بلد ہوئے میں - آپ تو بوی کرسی پر هیں -آپ کے تو کان کہلے ہوئے چاھئے تھے -آپ کو تو بات سللی جاهائے تھی -اور چاهکے بھی -

منجهے معاوم ہے که جو یہ ارشواہی کا پرستاو ہے وہ اپوزیشن کے پاس ایک

مهجوف هیل اور بهارت کی سرحدوں کی حفاظت کر رہے ھیں کیا اِب وہ اسی طرح پورے یقین کے ساتھ هماری سرحدوں کی حفاظت کرے کا ۔ کیا آپ نے ان کے دل میں یہ وهم نہیں 3ال دیا ہے کہ مم نے اس علاقہ پر قهضه کر رکها هے اور وا ایک اکوپائیڈ تھرے ترے کو ڈیفیلڈ کر رہا ھے - آپ نے اس قسم کے خیالات ملک میں پهیائے هیں اس بنا پر بھی آپ کو هت جانا چاهئے۔

باهر کے ملکوں مهن جو خود اعتمادي عسيلف ريلانيس لا اياتمو سفهر هدوساان سين بدايا تها -آپ نے اس کے بھی تکوے تکوے کر دئے میں۔ ان کو بھی یاش یاش کو دیا ہے ۔ آپ کو ہونے سات تی یوریلیا کے لئے امریکہ کے ساملے بوکاریوں کی طرح ایلی جهولی پهیاانی پرتی ہے -(انگریشن) آپ نے سائیلس اور تهکلولوجی کا کلا گهرنت کر یه ثابت کرنا چاها هے که هندوستان ۱۳۰۰ برهی يهجه چلا كيا ه أج باهر كي دنيا میں همارا مزاق او رها هے -

مجهے اقسوس هے که بدیشی منتری یہاں پر نہیں میں - لیکن همیں معلزم ہے که رام کی کھواوں کو بھرت نے اپنے تحت پر رکھا تھا ان کی ایک پرم پرا ھے - ھمیں ان پر فخر ھے هم اس کی عزت کرتے هیں – لیکن همين يه معلوم نهين تها که آپ

[شرق محمد شفي قريشي] بات کے لگے که آپ اس حکومت سے استعفى دين اور ولا يه جلنا كا وفواهي أب سے اللہ جا ہے ۔ لوگوں کا امتقاد ختم ﴿ و چکا هم - لرگ آج سمجهاتم ههل که یهار کوئی حکومت نہیں ہے - خود آپکی اہلی دارتی والے جب بھی بولنا چاہتے ہیں سچائی ہے اپنے دل پر ھاتھ رکھ کر اس وقت ان کے دل سے سچھی آواز نكلتي هي - اور مين سنجوتا هون كه یہی ایک ہوی وجہ ہے کہ جسکی بلا پر همیں یہ اوشواض کا پوستاو آپ کے خلف لانا يوا -

مجهے آپ کا احترام مے کہ آپ ھندوستان کے پردھان منتری ھیں -هددوستان کی سرحدوں کی حداظت كرنے والے همارے نوجوان دن رات همالیه کی پہاڑہوں پر برفائی چوتهوں پر یه کهه کر هلدوستان کی سرحدوں کی حفاظت کر تے میں که یه همارا ملک هے - یه هماری دهرتی ماتا ہے۔ آپ نے ان کو بھی نہیں بخشا کچه بیانات آپ نے ایسے دیے همی -جس سے ملک کے اندر اور باھو آپ نے شکرک پیدا کر دئے میں۔ لیکن آپ نے ایک جمله کہه کر ان کا وشواهی ختم کو دیا هے - آپ نے کیا که هم نے سکم کو امرزل فیر اخلاقی طريقے سے اندکس کیا ہے - خدارا مجهے بتائے که جو سہاھی وهاں ہو

اور اقلیتوں کو مارا جاتا ہے تو اس وقت ولا کہتے ھیں که یه مهرا معامله نههن هے یہ تو ستیت کا معاملہ هے۔ ست سٹا کر وہ دلی کے هوم منستر وهلے هيں ليکن جب دائی ميں کوئی خوا م هو جاني هے و وہ کہتے هيں که یه میرا معامله نهین هے یه دلی پرشاشن کا معاملہ ہے - تو ایک عی عوم ره جاتا هي اور وه هي ان کا گهر -جس کے ولا ملهائر عین اگر آپ سمجهتے مهر که آپ کا هوم منستر الے نعني نعوم کا منستار هے تو آپ کیوں نہیں ال کو منستر بغیر پروت فولیه بنا دیتے - کیوں هماری امید ایک ایسے شخص پر لکا رکھی ہے جس نے آج تک مظلوموں اور فریبوں اور دیے ہوئے طبقے کے لوگوں کو تیکر گیس، لاتھی چارے اور گولیوں سے جواب دیا ھے -اس بات پر بھی آپ کو استیفا دیلا چاھئے -

کہیں۔ ھوں یا کہلماں ھوں کالیج ھو یا یونھورسٹی ھو، دفتر ھو یا کارجانہ ، سوک ھو یا کوچہ ھو ھر طرف ھا کار محیا ھوا ھے - آج قانوں کی دھجہاں اوائی جا رھی ھیں - قانوں کا مواق اوائیا جا وھا ھے - میں اس بات میں نہیں جاؤنکا کہ آپ نے اتنے ھری جنوں کو مارا اور ابلے مطلوسوں کو کالی سے آوا دیا - لیکن آج آپ کلہیں کی راج ترنکلی کو پولھیے - کلہیں کی راج ترنکلی کو پولھیے - کلمیور کے لوگوں کو پہلی فعہ حق

امریکه میں ایک ایسا راب دوت بهیجینکے جو مسار لیابین کارٹر کے پاوں میں پو کر اس کی چیل کا ناب ليمًا - كسيم معلوم تها كه هؤارون برسوں کے بعد عقدوس ن میں ایک ایسی حکومت آئے کی جو بہوت کی کرواوں کا سبق درول کو ایک ایسے شخص سے حو هندوسان کا راب دوسہ بن کر وهال گها هے - جو هددوستان کی عرب اور آبرو کا راج دوت ہر کو اليا هے - اگر وہ آج وہ لھابيين کاوٹر کے پاؤں چات سکتا ہے تو کل وہ کارٹر یے پاوں چاہ سکتا ہے - کسی ہوے سے ہوے سرمائےدار ماک کے لیڈر کے پاوں چاگ سکنا ہے آپ نے باہری ملکوں میں علدرستان کی عرب کو کم کو دیا ہے ۔ کل کسی سدسیہ نے کیا که هندوستان کا گره منتری ایمهور-مبهن مے اگر هادوستان کا هوم صافتن ایمپور نہیں ہے مگر وہ ایمپور بننے کا خواب ضرور دیکه رها هے - منجهے افسوس اس بات کا ھے که جب ہوی اس سے بات کی جاتی ہے کہ آپ هدوستان كي لا ايند مشهدي كا خيال رکھے تو وہ ڈھاتے ھیں کہ اس سے مہرا۔ تعلق نہیں ہے۔ اس سے تو ساتیت ا تعاق ہے - لیکن جب سٹیٹس کی مدوستریز کو تسمس کرنے یا اور پر زیادتی کرنے کا موقعہ مل جانا ہے جو که قانونی طور پر جائز هے تو اس وقت وہ ہدوستان کے هوم منستر هين - لهكن جب فريبون، مطلومون. -

٠٠٠ هي ماري کلي هين - ٢٠٠٠ کي اور کلچائش مارکے کی - اس قسم کی بات آپ کرتے ھیں - میں کہتا ھیں کہ جب آپ کو حکومت ملی ہے تو آپ ایے آپ دو حکومت کرنے کے ڈایل ثابين كرين - پنچهلي ڪارمت کي نقطه چهلی کرنے سے آپ کو کھے نہیں ملے کا ۔ آپ نے جب حکومت سلمهالی آب نے قانونی حکومت کا أعلان كها -

Motion

اس میں سب سے زبادہ آپ به کہتے ھیں که پ انصاف پسند کریں گے - یہاں فانون کے ملتوی بیٹھے ھیں - آپ نے کہا کہ در ایک آدسی کے ساتھ ا صاف کرینگے - لیکن انصاف أب نے كيا كيا - كيا ية حقيقت نهیں ہے کہ ملزم کو ملستر بقایا -ان کے جو کلاہ نمے ان پر پردا ذال کر آپ نے اس وشواہی کو توڑ دیا ہے جو آپ لوگوں میں قائم کرنا چاھٹے تھے که آپ اس ملک میں انصاف کی اُور قانون کی حکومت لئینگے -مررے پاس هوم منسٹری کا ایک جواب آیا ہے - ایک مقتری کے بارے مين - مين أن كا نام نهين لينا چاها - ان کے خلاف ایمبیلزلسیلت کا ایک کیس تھا۔ میں نے پردھان ملعول کو بھی لکھا تھا ۔ لیکن اس کا جواب کیا ہے۔

"These cases have been dragging on for the last 13 years. The Delhi

[شری مصد شنی تریش] اللہ ہاجہ چللے کا ۔ انہوں نے ایک راجة چن ليا - لهكن راجة كي پهاس تب هی بجها کرتی نهی جب رهان پر هر روز ایک بلی هوتی تهی - ایک انسان کی ہلی دی جاتی تھی -آب اینا ایک سال تین مهیلے کا عرصه لے لیندیے - آپ نے بالکل اسی حساب سے ایک نہیں بلکہ دو در انسانہی كى بلى هر روز لي وهي مين - اكر کوٹی کنے ہوم ملسٹنی کی طرف سے ہوئی ہے تو اسے ریلوے منستری نے يورا كر ديا هے - كيونكه هر هفتے اور ھر روز کوائی نا کوئی درگھٹنا ھوتی ہے جس میں لوگ مرتے رہتے ھیں -آخر آپ اوگوں کے دشمن کھوں بلنا چاهتے هيں - هميں آپ سے بوی امیدیں اور توقع ہے کہ آپ اس ملک مهن جمهوري نظام أور جمهوري قدرون كو مضبوط بنائيلكي - اور هم اس مهي آپ کا ساتھ دے سکتے ہیں -

ریل ملاری پرونیسر مدو دندوتے: سب سے ہوا ایکسیدیدے ۲۹ جورن

19۷۵ کو هرا -

شری محمد شنیع تریشی : پهر رهی بات - سپیکر صاحب یه ایسی بات مے یہ دلیل مندوستان کے هوم منستر دیتے هیں - که 1940 میں ٠٠٠ هريمون مارے کئے تھے۔ آب تو

Administration were advised that in case the infringement was the only of . a technical nature and there was no evidence to show that the individual against whom prosecution was inshad personally there would be justification withdrawal of these cases.'

No-Confidence

کیسز عدالت مهی یوے ههی -ايويةينس مدالت مين هوتي هـ ليكن عدالت أو ايك طرف چهور كو حو بھانات وھاں پر گواھوں کے ھوٹے ھیں - اس کی جانیج کون کرتا سے -دلى أيدمنستريش أوروه فيصله كرتا هے که اس مداری کو معجزم قرار دیا جائے یا نہ قرار دیا حائے - آپ نے نانون کی دهجهان ازا دی هین -آپ نے غریب آدمی کے دساغ میں یہ یقین پیدا کر دیا ہے کہ آپ کا قانون آپ کے ملتن کے لئے نہوں ھے - جو معجرم ثابت ھوا ھے - آپ کا قانوں ہے بسوں اور بے کسوں کے لگے ھے ۔ اس لیے میں کہتا ہوں کہ آپو یہ بھی ایک بہت ہوی وجہ ہے کہ آپ کی حکومت کے خلاف یہ ارشواس لا يرستاؤ بالكل حق بجانب هے -اس مين کوئے در رائے نہيں هو سکتين که آپ انصاف یسند نهیں - آپ کا قابون مفتری کے للے الگ اور فریب کے لئے الک ہے -

اس کے بعد مجھے انسوس ہے که کل کچھ لوگوں نے ایسی مثالیر دمی هين يهان پر جو شرمقاک هين -ایک ماحب نے یہ کیا ۔ مجھے تو

کہتے ہوئے شرم آتی ہے - مولی مدوهر جیشے صاحب نے کیا کہ ایک ساتھ گهوم رها تها - سین تو گیتا هون که أس ملک میں ایک هی سالق گهومتا هے جو جنتا کا سانت ہے - جو بیدھ الا ھے - جس کی تہ صورت ھے اور نہ شکل ہے هاں يه بات ضرور هے که اس کے پہنچھے بیر ھے فریب عوام بهوکا عوام اور دیاسا عوام اور مظلوم جلتا جلتی هے - لیکن اس سات کے اور حصے نه يوے هوتے دماغ بوا هوتا تو وم كني سمني سكتا تها -

شرى اين - ايل - پتواري: وه ساند نہیں ہے شہر ہے - کائے کے پدھیے کھونے والو یاد رکھو وہ شیر ہے -

شری منصد شدیع قریشی: مهری ایک ھی درخواست ہے کہ پتوان صاحب جب بولا کریں تو کھڑے ھو جايا كرين - يهي پته نههن لكتا كه وة كهوے هيں يا بهام هيں - جلتا کافلای شہر ہے -

شری پاگراری: یه دیکهگے اندرا کاندھی کیا کر رھی ھے۔ کائے کیا کر رهی هے -

شري محمد شنيع تريشي : مين نے ہوم ملسکری اور ریلوے ملسکری کے متعلق تہورا سا اشارہ ھی کیا لیکن آج هم الک الک منستری کی

[شری محمد شنیم تریشی] کارکردگی دیعیتے همی که الگ الگ منسٹری کس طریقہ سے کام کرتی ہ ہ تو منعهے أفسوس هوتا هے كه كولي بھی منسٹری اینا کم یورے طویقے سے نہیں ک رھی ہے لوگوں کا اعتمال گورسیلت سے ختم ہوتا جا رہا ہے ۔ خود ابرجی منستری کے جو منستر ھیں انہوں نے کسی سے شکایت کی هے که حب وہ کیبنیت کی میتهنگ مهي بيته عهى تو كهو كم تمام باتهي وهان هادي مين هوتي هين اس لئے وہ نہدی سمجھ پاتے کے وہاں پر کیا فیصلے عوتے هیں - وہ کیتے هیں کہ جو کوئلے کی کمی کے جس کی وجه سے سبانیت کے دراتہاائر کے اور دوسر چیزوں کے کاوخانے آبے بیٹھ ئئے عبل وہ جانتے ھیں کے حکوست اس میں کیا کرنا چاہتے ہے - کیونکہ ولا اینوں میں برائے لگتے ھیں - یہ حال ساری منستریوں کا ھے -

میں اس میں نہیں جاونکا کھ جلتا ایک رهدی هے یا توقتی هے - جلتا یارقی اگر قوتتی هے - اس لفظوں ملک بہدی اس پرستار کا سمرتیں. کرنا هوں اور اس حکومت سے التجا کرنا هوں مودیات کہ اس ملک کو بخشکے بہت کچھ پریشان کو چکے عیں - وقت کم ملا - پاتیں بہت کچھ کہانے کو قهیں -

भी हकमदेव नारायण यादव: (मधुवनी) बाध्यक्ष महीदय, लोक सभा में सरकार के विरुद्ध ग्रविश्वास प्रस्ताव पर हम लोग ग्रामा करते वे कि यहा पर बुनियारी बाने कही अधियो। जैसा कि हमारे नौजवान सदस्य, श्री राम विलास पासवान ने भविश्वास के प्रस्ताव के सम्बन्ध में कहा है कि उस समय हम लोग गावो मे काम करते थे जब डा० राम मनाहर लोहिया औ लाक रामा मे भ्राय थे, उस ममय डा॰ लोहिया ने श्राविश्वास के प्रस्ताव पर बालते हुए जो भाषण दिया था उसके द्वारा उस समय की सरकार का बिल्कुल नगा कर दिया था, न वेबल हिन्दुस्तान में बल्क दनिया क तमाम लागो को देखने का मौका मिला था कि जो बुनियादी गवाल उन्होन उटाये थे उमका काई जवाब सरकार नहीं दे पाई थी। प्रविश्वाम प्रग्नाव का मनलब केवल यही नहीं है वि विरोधी दना का विश्वास सरकार में नहीं रहा बन्कि उसका मनलब यह है कि हिन्दुस्तान की जनता का विश्वास सरकार मे नहीं रहा । हिन्म्नान को जनता का विश्वास सरकार में नहीं रहा, इसक् लिए जो मुद्दे धापके द्वारा उठाए जाने चाहिए थे वह मुद्दे नही उठाये गय । इस प्रकार के महे न गेंबल आपके लिए बल्कि हमारे लिए भी एस हा सकत थ कि भल ही दलीय भन्गागन मे रह कर हम भ्रापक प्रस्ताव का विरोध कर दन नेकिन मन स उनका मान लेन। लेकिन उस पकार का काई प्रश्न ग्रापन नहीं उठाया जाकि किसी के दिन का जीत सवता।

स्रापन बहुत सार प्रश्न उटाय है। प्रापन वहा भीर भाराप लगाया कि जनना पार्टी के भारत ने में 1, महीन में यह काम नहीं हुआ। यह काम नहीं हुआ। यह काम नहीं हुआ। स्टीफेन साहब न भाजमगढ़ जनाव से जनता पार्टी की हार के बहुत सार कारण गिनाये है लेकिन उनके दल की नेती, शीमनी इंदिरा नहरू गाधी न भाज प्रख्वार में बपान दिया है कि सुजय गाधी की गिरपनारी के कारण भाजमगढ़ से जनता पार्टी हार गई। उन्होंने हार का एकमाळ कारण यह बनाया है। सापन बहुत सारे कारण मार कारण महिला यही सिनाये है लेकिन सापन स्वापन वहुत सारे कारण यह कारण यही कि कारण मार सही का कारण यही कि सापन वहुत सारे कारण यही है कि सजय की जा गिरपनारी हुई उससे विकास होकर जनता ने जनना पार्टी को हरा दिया।

धाप बहुत सारे धाराप लगा रहे हैं लेकिन
मैं स्टीफेन माहब और कुरेशी साहब से पूछन।
बाहता हू कि जिन समस्याधी के समाधान धार
पुढ़ना चाहते हैं वे समस्याय अप धार पार
हुई है? स्टीफेन साहब ने धीर धनेक सदस्यों ने
धपने भाषण में कहा कि हिन्दुस्तान में बवण्डर
है, विस्फोटक स्थिति है उसके लिए जनता पार्टी
किमेदार है। धापने कहा कि बटे कारखाना
में पसा लगाया जा रहा है जिसका नतीजा यह
होगा कि उनकी पूणी बढ़ती आयेगी, इटले एक

तरफ पुंजी का कैन्डीयकरण होगा भीर दूनरी तरफ लीग भूखे रहेंगे। लेकिन झाज जो वेश मे समम्यायें हैं उनको पैदा करने वाला कीत है? हम तो उन समस्याधो का निराकरण ्ढने के लिए भाये हैं। भापकी तरफ मे राग के साथ साथ उस राग का निदान भी सझाया जाना चाहिए। ग्राप केवल रोग का बखान करते हैं। मे पूराता हू क्या हिन्दुस्तान की समद केवल रोग पर ही बहस करती रहेगी या कभी निदान पर भी यहां पर बहस होगी? इतने दिनो तक यहा पर रोग का बखान किया गया कि हिन्दूरनान में गरीबी है, श्रशिक्षा है, बकारी है, बेरोबगारी हे लेकिन क्या कभी आपकी श्रोर से यहा पर निदान के सुझाव भी दिये गये ? क्या आपकी तरफ से कभी कोई सुझाव दिया गया है कि जनता पार्टी ने यह गुलन दिशा पकड ली है जिसके कारण समन्या बहती जा रही है भीर उसका यह निराकरण हो सकता है[?] ग्रानि कहा कि बाज छात्र धमताप है, विश्वविद्यालया में ग्रम्ताय है लेकिन उसके लिए कीन जिम्मेदार है ? ग्राज हिन्दुस्तान में जा छाल्ल ग्रमताय है बह भ्रमतोष मना के खिलाफ नहीं है, वह छाव ग्रमतोप व्यवस्था क स्थिलाफ है।

15-14 hrs.

[MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]

मत्ता एक ग्रलग चीज है, व्यवस्था ग्रलग चीज ह । हिन्दूरुनान क ग्रन्दर को बनमान व्यवस्था है, उस व्यवस्था के प्रति छालों में ग्रमताच है। वह कौन सी व्यवस्था है ? जिसके द्वारा हिन्दुस्तान के प्रन्दर लगानार हर योजना में 50 लाख लोंगों को बाब बनाने का काम किया गया, हिन्दू-स्तान के वरोटों लोगों सो गरीबी की रेखा के नीचे धकेलने ना नाम किया गया-- इसी कारण फान प्रसतीय है। हिन्द्रग्तान के प्रन्दर भौपने केवल भोग की व्यवस्था बना घर रखी है, एक तरफ भोग भीर विलामिता की वस्तू को इकरठा वरते जाते हो भीर दूसरी नरफ त्याँग का उपदेश दने का काम करते रहे हा-यही भ्रमनीय का नारण है। बया इसकी दूर करन के लिये ग्रापके समय मे कभी कोई उपाय किया गया या प्रभी भी उस छात्र प्रसताय को दूर करन के लिये ग्रापने कोई मुझाब जनता पार्टी के सामने रखा

इस प्रविश्वाम प्रस्ताय को रखत समय विदेशों कम्पनियों को चर्चा की गई। उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, हिन्दुस्तान के कम्पनिया प्राज हिन्दुस्तान में देशों है, क्या वे विदेशों कम्पनिया प्राज हिन्दुस्तान में देशों है? आज हिन्दुस्तान में लगभग 477 विदेशों कम्पनिया है, मैं पूछना वाहता है—क्या इन विदेशों कम्पनियों को पछले 13 महीनों में जनता पार्टी वे लाइमेन दिये है या ये विदेशों कम्पनियों को पछले ने से स्वीती कम्पनियों को पहले हैं से विदेशों कम्पनियों को पहले हैं से विदेशों कम्पनियों पहले से, आपके इमाने से,

हिन्दुस्तान में चली था रही हैं ? उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, यह कैसी विडम्बना है—पिछले तीम मालो में जिम तरह मे इस देश को बनाया गया-जहा धमरीका जैसे पजीवादी देश मे 10 प्रतिशत बड़े लोग 27 है प्रतिशत राष्ट्रीय भाय का लेते हैं भीर हिन्दस्तान मे. जा गरीब देश है. वहा 10 प्रतिशत स्रोदमी ३० 5 प्रतिशत राष्ट्रीय ग्राय लेने हैं? ग्रापके दारा जा योजनाये बनाई गई--यह उसका परिणाम है कि हिन्दूस्तान का 10 प्रतिशत भादमी राष्ट्रीय माय का 37 प्रतिशत प्रपने ऊपर नेता है और बाकी जो बचना है, यदि उसका बन्त्रारा कर तो फिर हिद्रदुस्तान के पिछल काग्रेसी णायन का रतिहास यह बनलाना है कि 10 प्रतिशन लागो की राष्ट्रीय म्रामदनी 2,391 म्पय 9 पैसे रही है म्रीर गय म नीच के नवके के भ्रादमी की ग्रामदनी केवल 117 रुपये रही है। उपाध्यक्ष महादय. म प्रधना चाहना ह--यह कहा का न्याय है कि हिन्दर्स्तान के 10 प्रतिशत लोगों की ग्रामदनी 2400 स्पय रह ग्रीर सब स नीचे के तबके के ब्रादर्भ की ब्रामदनी 117 रुपय हा। यह विषयना किसन पैदा की, विसन इस विदयना का जन्म दिया, इन सामाजिक विषमता का अन्त करन के लिय धापके समय में क्या किया गया?

माज भी इन्दिरा गाधी दक्षिण भारत में जाती है जो हरिजनो भीर पिछहो का नाम लेती है, आरक्षण की बीत करती है, लेकिन जब उत्तर भारत में जाती है तो दिजा का समर्थन करती है, चाहे जगभाष मिश्र हो, तिवारी हो, पारक हा, पाटे हा, णुक्ला हो, झा हो उनका समर्थन करती है भीर वहा भारहाण की चर्चा नहीं करती है भीर वहा भारहाण की चर्चा नहीं करती है भीर वहा भारहाण की गामानक भाषार का बिग्ध करने हैं। रन दा गाना में लेकर ही उन्होंने हिन्दस्तान वा भामन किया, यही उनहे दी स्वरूप है।

भाज बिहार के अन्दर कर्परी ठाकर की मरकार है, जनता पार्टी की भनकार है--बड़ा बेल छी का नाम लेकर चिल्ला रू है। म सापम बहना चाहता ह--वर्षरी ठापुर वी सरकार ने ग्रागर कही भी हरिजन पर ग्रन्थान।र तथा तथ मात दिन के ग्रन्दर उस केम को संगन के प्रन्दर भेज दिया, चार्अशीट फाइल करा दी धौर सेणन मे ट्रायल करा दिया। जहा कही भी हरिजनो पर प्रत्याचार हुन्ना है, प्रत्याचारियों के स्मिलाफ क्ष्रों से कड़ी कार्यवाही की जा रही है। उस सरकार ने घोषणा की है कि--यदि कही भी हरिजनो पर अत्याचार होगा, तो वहा सामृहिक जर्माना किया जायगा। लेकिन उसी बिहार से जेंब इनका शासन था, तब क्या हुआ ? पिछडी जातियों ग्रीर हरिजनों के बेटे, जिनकी छाली चौढी थी, निकल कर बाहर आये, ता उस सरकार ने शाहाबाद से पटना के बीच में 200 से 300 के लगभग हरिजनो के बेटो को नक्सलाइट्स के नाम पर गोली से उड़ा दिया। यह नव किसके [श्री हुकम देव नारायण यादव]

राज्य में हुआ था, क्या उस बक्त इसके विरुद्ध धापने धावाज उटाई थी? सण्याई से आपको कोई मतलब नहीं है, तच्यो से आपको कोई मतलब नहीं है, धापका मतलब तो केवल इस बात से है कि धाप कामन से धालग हो चुके हैं, किसी तरह से आपको कुर्सी चाहिये।

सूरदास जी ने कहा घा---अब कृष्ण के जाने से गोपियों को वियोग हुन्ना तो वे कहली है---

निर्मिदिन बरमत नयन हमारे, सदा रहत पावम ऋतु हम पै, जब ने श्याम निर्धारे, कचुकि नहीं सूखत मजनारे, उर विश्व बहुत पनारे। जब ते श्याम सिधारे।

भापकी कुर्सी छिन गई। श्रव श्रीमती इन्दिरा नेहरू गाधी वह पिन्त गाती फिरती है। जब से यह कुर्सी छिन गई है, तो यह मामला लगा हुआ है। मैं यह निवेदन करूगा कि मत्ता की राजनीति बहुत दिना तक चली है ग्रापकी। ग्रब ग्राप सच्चाई के माथ, ईमानदारी के साथ, न्याय के साथ मानवता के साथ विरोधी पक्ष के सही कर्त्तव्यों का निवंहन कीजिए। मैं तो यह कहुगा कि जिस तरह से मदन के नेता के खिलाफ. सरकार के खिलाफ भविश्वास का प्रस्ताव भागा है, तो सदन को यह भी देखना चाहिए कि विरोधी पक्ष मे बैठ कर अगर आप मही विरोधी पक्ष के नेता के कर्लव्यों का निवंहन नहीं करन हैं, तो सदन की यह भी कहना चाहिए कि सदन का विश्वास विरोधी पक्ष के नना में नहीं है भीर द्याप उम लायक नहीं हैं। इमलिए ब्रापको विरोधी पक्ष के नेता की कुर्सी छोड देनी चाहिए।

इन शब्दों के साथ मैं इस प्रस्ताव का विरोध करता है।

SHRI VASANT SATHE (Akola): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I rise to support the motion of no-confidence not in a spirit of trying to denigrate the Government because by bringing this no-confidence everyone knows that the Government is not goint to fall. So, the spirit in which we have got this no-confidence is to perform the duty as representatives of the people to invite the attention of the Government to the reality of the situation in the country, that the confidence which the people had reposed just a year back in this Government, is getting shaken day by day. No person in his honest senses will disagree that the general situation in the country is such that the people are

feeling disturbed, disenchanted, disillusioned about many things that are happening in the Janata Government.

Motion

First and foremost, let us take correct perspective of what happened. When the Janata Party was elected, it is agreed, I believe, that the Congress was defeated and the Janata Party placed in power on what was called, a negative vote. The anger of the people registered against the Congress Government for its commissions and omissions or alleged commissions and omissions which the people believed in those days and, therfore, they punished the Congress Government and the Congress Party. I remember the words of a kisan when I went recently to Karnal during the election. He said:

साहब, ये सुमरे कीन होने है सबा देने बाले हिन्दरा गाधी को। हम ने उन का गजा दी है, जनता ने सबा दी है हिन्दरा गाधी को कि सब से बढ़ी गही से उतार कर जमीन पर उनार दिया। हम से बढ़ी सजा क्या हो सकती है बो हमने दी है। ये कौन होते हैं सबा देने वाले।

He said, "Did we elect these people to persecute Mrs. Indira Gandhi? We elected them in the hope that they will look to our problems, solve our economic problems. But what has happened". This is what he said.

हमारा गन्ना खेतो में मुख रहा है। उमका दम्म मही मिल रहा है। गल्मे का दाम इतना और गन्ने का दाम इतना और गन्ने का दाम इतना और गन्ने का दाम कि कि है। सरकार के कान में ज तक नही रेगती है। इसको कोई देखने को तैयार नहीं है। क्या हमने प्रपने किसान के बेटे को इसलिए चुना था कि कोई देखे नहीं। वह गृह मन्नी बन गया है। उसे हमारी तरफ देखने की पुरसन नहीं है।

All the energies are on one-point programme: how to persecute Mrs Indira Gandhi? They have caught themselves, unfortunately, in their own hypnotism. They created a ghost. And now they are possessed by the ghost themselves. They do not know how to get out of it. All energies are spent on that one issue. Not an lota of evidence during all the period has come out. But in a hurry,

the Home Mnster announced, because of the pressure of certain friends from the Janata Party who wanted him to go out for blood, that there was a serious charge and that he was going to arrest her. Arrest he did. But you know what happened. It boomeranged on them.

I had hoped that when the Janata Party got elected as the American people did to Nixon, they would forget Mrs. Indira Gandhi, not a word to mention about her from the next day. If you had done that, you would have seen that, probably, this country also would have forgotten Mrs. Indira Gandhi, But no, Sir I thank the Janata Government for what they did. There has been more publicity of Mrs. Indira Gandhi during their regime than during the entire Emergency.

I am amazed. Is the Janata Government looking to the price issue? What is happening? Every day, we are told by the Finance Minister and the Prime Minister as well that the prices are stablised. Are they? Go and ask your wives. Milk prices have gone up; water rate in Delhi has gone up; electricity charges have gone up; soap has disappeared from the market; you do not get even Vanaspati ghee. Ask an auto-rickshaw man. He has to buy Rs. 75 worth tyre in the black-market for Rs. 175. What do the common people say? Ask them. Then, you will know. They are feeling already the pinch of price rise. You want to close your eyes to reality. Which section of the people have you benefited, let me know? Kisans? You talk so much of kisans. You say that you are going to invest more for them Are kisans getting remunerative prices for their commodities? You talked of Rs. 130 for wheat. Ultimately, what have you given? Only Rs. 112. You talked of protecting the interests of sugarcane growers, jute growers and cotton growers. I ask:

which kisan is getting a remunerative prices? Can any kisan get up and say that he is getting a remunerative price? Take the case of paddy producers. Are they getting a emunerative price? Take the case of labour. Is the working-class today happy and satisfied? Let any labour man put his hands on his heart and say, yes, the working-class of this country is happy.

THE MINISTER OF RAILWAYS (PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE): You had denied bonus to the workers and we have restored it.

SHRI VASANT SATHE Mr. Minister, are your workers happy? They have already declared that they will be going on strike. Then you will blame us. Are the students in this country happy? What the trade unions have decided, including your trade union? They have decided to boycott the Bhoothlingam Commission. Are they happy? Are the students in this country happy? Have you solved the problems of unemployment or done anything for them? Tell me which section of the population today is happy? Can anybody say with confidence that since the Janata Government came into power, yes, he is happy and there is progress in a particular section?

Yesterday, Shri Biju Patnaik, called the Leader of the Opposition Sycophant. Let me tell him what he had to say. On the 16th of October, 1976, in a written statement to the Press, he said:

"The Bharatiya Lok Dal leader, Mr. Biju Patnaik, said here today that extraordinary powers assumed by the Government had brought in distinctive gains.',"

While describing the distinctive gains, he further said:

"Highlighting the gains of Emergency, Mr. Patnaik said that nearly Rs. 2000 crores of floating money had been brought to account, trade

[Shri Vasant Sathe]

balances had improved to Rs. 1100 crores in spite of steep rise in international oil prices the buffer foodstocks had risen to an all-time high quantity worth \$2.5 billion, smuggling curbed, galloping inflation arrested and rupee made stronger day by day. In addition, general discipline had been enforced and thousands of mept officials removed."

Then the chmax comes. He described the 20-point economic programme as a "health socio-economic programmes which has been floated and backed by unusual Government instance on its implementation." Who is a sycophant? Who would be the greater sycophant than this and yet today he is one of your most honoured Cabinet Ministers.

Then comes the great Mr. Kanwar Lal Gupta to be criticised. Here is a gentleman who attacks the credibility of others Here is a gentleman who resigned Jan Sangh in 1954 and contested as an Independent. In, 1962, and lost his deposit Then he came back in 1966, and again stood in 1967 aguinst Dr. Bhai Mahavir as an Independent when he had his deposit. Here is a man of credibility He has never changed the side. He is blaming others. Do not point one finger on others. You are simultaneously pointing three fingers on yourself. Prof Madhu Dandavate, please do not get away. The leader with whom I came is sitting behind your back. Here is a gentleman, when his brother who is the President of the Wholesale Traders Association was changed by no less a person than the Defence Minister, Shri Jagjivan Ram for adulterating dal. This gentleman did not have a word to say against. . Why? Because that President happens to be his real brother. What an integrity! Therefore, why are you talking of integrity? I want to say. .

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA (Delhi Sadar): Sir, on a point of personal explanation.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: He may do it later.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: One a point of order.

जो बार्ज श्री साठे ने मेरे खिलाफ लगाया है वह बिल्कुल बेबुनियाद है जो मेरे भाई के बारे मे प्राप्त कहा है। मै उन समय भी सदन का सदस्य था, मैंन उनमं कहा था माननीय जगजीवनराम जी उम समय कार्योग में थे, धौर इन्होंने कुछ बात कही थी। उम समय भी मैंने यह कहा था कि मेरा भाई के माय कोई ताल्लुक नहीं है, धौर उमके बाद भी कांग्रेस गरकार ने मेरे भाई जिल्लाफ कोई कार्यवाही नहीं की क्योंकि उनके पान काई मैटीरियल नहीं था।

मैंने कभी भी जनसभ नहीं छोडी, यह मै साफ कर देना चाहना हूं। और मे किमी पार्टी का मेम्बर नहीं रहा मिवाय जनसभ के और जनना पार्टी के।

THE MINISTER OF STEEL AND MINES (SHRI BIJU PATNAIK): Mr Saihe made an allegation here. It needs to be corrected because the record must be set right. I will take only half a minute.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: I am not yielding. You have made a press statement . (Interruptions)

SHRI BIJU PATNAIK: Please read the whole lot.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: I do not want to read whole lot.

SHRI BIJU PATNAIK: What does the whole lot say? It says that some good things may have been done by this kind of dictatorship but if cannot carry on. Mr. Sathe, read the last stentence, the last paragraph. I have said that did not believed that Mrs Indira Gandhi would be a dictator. but she had proved me wrong, not only proved me wrong but she tried to be and she continues to be. There fore, the sychophancy lies there, not with Biju Patnaik. The sychophancy lies there, not here.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: Then comes the darling of the Janata Party,

the External Affairs Minister, the most popular person, with whose coming the foreign policy of this country has never been so good as it is today! But then why did this sweet External Affairs Minister have to commit this faux pas the other day by talking about some secret agreement, about which leave alone the Minister of Pakistan, leave alone the then Foreign Minister of Pakistan, Mr. Agha Sahi, leave alone the ex-Prime Minister of our country, even the present Prime Minister of your Party does not know anything does not know what that secret document is. That is what he is alleged to have said.

No-Confidence

But what I am told is, 'Yes, there was a secret agreement'. That secret agreement was between Shri A. B. Vajpayee and Mr. Zia when he met him. Before Mr. Bhutto was sentenced, it is said-he can contradict if he likes-that Mr. Zia pleaded with Mr. Vajpayee, 'Pleace make some statement about this secret agreement so that Mr. Bhutto will stand condemned and my hands will be strenginened! He thought he could hit two birds with one some. With that temptation, he came out with the story of a secret agreement-and that document, he has not been able to disclose. If he has courage, he can show it even now.

There is only one thing more, about these Commission. I do not want to name anybody, but what is the minimum elementary test of a person who will be the head of a Commission? The test is at least impartiality. A person who is the head of a Commission must be known and must appear to the people to be an impartial person. Do you know of a case where a person, who has already declared himself against, and opposed to, a particular person, openly and publicly, and against Emergency, was made the judge in the past? Can you get justice from such a person? Do you know of a person who has been impeached

1123 LS-4

भी एव० एत० पटवारी : क्या इन को बनावेंगे? (व्यवधान)

Motion

SHRI VASANT SATHE: Do you know of a person who has been impeached by 200 Members of Parliament against his integrity with an open charge....

DEPUTY-SPEAKER: MR. Your time is over.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: There is no other person from our side.

DEPUTY-SPEAKER: You have taken already over 20 minutes.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: Now, you never heard this thing: if there is a particular charge against a particular accused, did you ever hear of a case of mixing and hob-nobbing with the accused publicly and openly? Here is a photograph of such a person. This is the 'On-looker' with Morarji Bhai's big photograph on the front page.

I am not on the point of what Morarji Bhai had said or did. Let us not go into how many crimes were committed how many persons were killed, who did what etc., but you should settle down at least now to the task of leading the country and to the economic problem. Or else, you will slip into the grip of that self-hypnosis from which the man in the Intensive Care Unit is suffering. It is dangerous: that is what I wanted to say. Whenever he goes to the Intensive Care Unit or to a hospital, something very staggering comes out. When he went there last time his resignation and an open charge against the leadership of partiality and untruth came out. Now again he has gone there: I do not know what is going to come out.

I am afraid, the way you are going. you are leading this country and your party towards instability. For Heaven's sake we want you: please continue till your term is over. We are not in a hurry to dislodge you, but if you are in a hurry to sink, what can I do? [Shri Vasant Sathe]

Therefore with these words I support the No Confidence Motion as a warning to the Government. Wake up at least now.

SHRI SAUGATA ROY (Barrack-pore): As has been said earlier, we do not bring this no-confidence motion to bring down the Janata Government. In fact, we have brought this no-confidence motion to bring this government to its senses not to bring it down, but to bring it to its senses which is sadly lacking in this motley crowd that goes in the name of a government

To-day, Sir, I had the privilege of hearing Babuji speaking on the nocontidence motion and this is the first time that I hear Babuji speaking on the no-confidence motion. But I know from some other friends that Babuil has always been called to defend noconfidence motions whether government remains the same or it changes. He also spoke for the emergency resolution when it was moved in this Parliament and to-day the fact that they have made him to speak means that like Mrs Indira Gandhi in 1975 this Janata Government does not place its trust on him. That is why they have made him speak on the no-confidence motion.

I have great respect for Babuji. He has been in the Congress for such a long time, even before I was born and. when he left the Congress and formed the Congress for Democracy shortly known as CFD, we felt that the atmosphere in the country has changed. Because of our umbilical ties with the Congress we could not be as courageous as he was but we appreciated it as a gesture. Then, in May 1978 we found the famous photograph of Babuji raising his hands with the other leaders to merge his CFD into the Janata Party. Then, a friend come and told me what the meaning of CFD actually was. It was not for defending the Congress not for defending democracy but CFD stood for Chemicals, Fertilisers and Defence and since these

portfolios are taken over by Babuji and his people it is called CFD... (Interruptions)

I speak for those in the Congress who have inherited a great legacy, and that is the legacy of Mahatma Gandhi and Jawaharlal Nehru and in the light of the appeal in the motion our hopes were raised that there would be some vibration in the hearts of the people in the Janata Party who share this legacy though to-day they may be wrong rubbing shoulders with the murderers of Gandhiji in order to remain in power. We have to say this because to-day I have got a statement from Damodaran Nair who is going on a fast for atoning for Prime Minister. Morarii Desai's sins. This Damodaran Nair was sacked from his job in Gandhi Smriti. For what? Because he said that it was the RSS which murdered Mahatma Gandhi and for that he was thrown out of his job.

As I said earlier, when the Janata Party was voted to power people voted for it, for two reasons. Peopel were unhappy with the happenings during the emergency. It is not that they had any great expectations from the Janata Party. It had only two expectations. One was that the Janata Party had come into power in the wake of Jayaprakash Narayan's Nav Nirman agitation. So, it will have some moral values. No. 2-It expected the Janata Party will become a cohesive party in a difficult national situation—that is to stay on together when Morarji Bhai were to become the Prime Minister. People thought that the country will have great morals and Morarji Bhai showed it by sticking to his own old panacea of prohibition as a cure for all the ills of the country. And then bang, came this statement from our friend there, Dr. Subramaniam Swamy, that 12 out of 19 Ministers in the Janata Party are alcoholics. I do not know how far the pollution has spread. But Morarji Bhai is very moral.

Then Sir, I do not agree when he

spoke that the merger of Sikkim was

wrong. He said, he personally felt

that the annexure of Sikkim was

wrong. That was how he demoralised

our troops fighting on the frontiers.

But, may I ask Morarji Bhai what

happened in Sikkim after the General

Elections? The Congress Party was

voted to power in Sikkım and in 1977

after the Janata Party came to power

the whole Congress Party became the

Janata Party in Sikkim. But Morarji

Bhai with all his morality-did he

protest that people elected on Con-

gress ticket should not be allowed to

defect. This has happened not only in

Sikkim but in Tripura also the Con-

gress Party did become the Janata

Party. In Andhra, Congressmen who pledged to serve three generations of Nehru-Gandhi have become

men. None of this Morarji Bhai's

morality came to the fore then and

only now they are bringing in the

anti-defection Bill. When they knew

that the popularity of the Janata Party

was on the downhill and when nobody

would go to them, they are bringing

in the anti-defection Bill. So complete

the defection before that. This is for

morality....And about unity less said

the better.

Somebody was saying that there was an empress in India and there are no emperors in India. But Shri Morarji Desai reminds me of an emperor. He reminds me of Shah Jahan imprisoned in the fort of Agra looking Taj at Mahal. He reminds me of Shri Morarii Desai sitting here in the throne and Janata Party fighting among themselves on the floor of the U.P. Assembly. He reminds me that Shri Devi Lal brings a bus load of Haryana legislators to prove his support. He reminds me of similar things-M.L.As from Madhya Pradesh wanted resignation of Shri Atal Behari Vajpayee and Shri L. K. Advani and he watches and he stays on as Prime Minister. He looks to me a another emperor of by sone times. That is why I was saying that this government is no govern-

ment. This is rule by abdication. You have abdicated your authority. There is no administration existing in large parts of the country. Why has it happened? Why is it that the Janata Party which was voted to power on the wave of such popular upsurge have become so unpopular in such a short time. You are stewing in your juice. You practised the politics of popularism. You raised great hopes in the minds of the people, while you had no capacity to fulfil those hopes. That is why you had to fire at workers in Pantnagar, in Bailadilla in Agra, to keep them peceified. Whoever has practised this popularism, met a certain fate. I am sure the same fate will fall to the Janata Party.

Motion

Look at Andhra. In seven after a new government was formed it had to call out army in Hyderabad to quell that. I say if political health of the country has to be restored, this language of popularism must be given up. Responsibility must take over while popularism must end. The politics of names must be ended once for all.

I shall not be very long. But here is one Hero of Janata Party who waxed eloquence about the unity in the Janata Party. I have brought with me the Times of India of to-day. Its editorial says 'in calling upon the Janata Government trinity, Mr. Morarii Desai, Mr. Charan Singh and Mr. Jagjivan Ram to make up their differences or quit, Mr. Biju Patnaik has clearly spoken only for himself." there is an emperor Shah Jahan and mind you in Biju Patnaik you have Aurangzeb who wants to throw out Shah Jahan. Here Shri Patnaik comes and says this Bailadilla iron ore export to Japan has gone down. That is why they had to retrench people. I have got figures from Vizag Port. It has been seen that the export of Iron Ore sonnes in the year 1977-78. And you go on retrenching workers and when those retrenched workers protest you [Shri Saugata Roy]

fire on them. This is the way you run the Government.

Last but not the least I took your permission to-day to do one very unpleasant task. I have to mention two names. It was said that in the times of emergency a caucus ruled the country. The caucus centred round the Prime Ministers: Secretariat. caucus consisted of Shri R. K. Dhawan and Sanjay Gandhi. A new caucus is rising in the country to-day. What does this issue of Blitz sav about Tehran-connection of Shri Kanti Bhai Desai? Prime Minister's plane on way from Moscow made an unscheduled halt at Tehran and why did one passenger get down there? What did Shri Kanti Bhai do at Tehran? It is not for castigating the Prime Minister. I have every respect for him. It is a question of the country. It is not the question of the Prime Minister of the country. The whole honour of the country goes down ...

(Interruptions)

What is happening with Shri V. Shankar in the Prime Ministers Secretariat?

DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Saugata Roy, there is a point of order.

SHRI K. S. CHAVDA (Patan): Mr. Deputy Speaker, I want to draw your attention to Rule 353. Can an hon. member make an allegation of a defamatory nature to a person who is not in a position to defend here? We can understand an allegation against a Government servant. The Minister can reply here. But a private person cannot reply here. My point of order is this: This should not be allowed.

DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Saugata Roy has written to the Speaker that he would refer to Mr. Kanti Desai and Mr. Shankar.

SHRI SAUGATA ROY: What about the 100 Mps'charge on polyester ment yarn import? What about these?

It is in the interest of the country that the Prime Minister should seriously go into these charges. It is not my purpose to find fault with the Prime Minister. The other day my friend Mr. Vayalar Ravi mentioned about a raid on ashram in Kerala in which Shankar was involved. But today V. Shankar is controlling all the important appointments. It was at his behast that J.R.D. Tata was removed from Air India. It was at his behast that Dr. Shankar an was made the Director General of Health Services superseding ten other people. It is at his behest that all the top appointments in the country are made. I say this. I say that a new caucus should not emerge in this country. If there was a caucus before we have been the sufferers. We do not want in the political health of this country a new caucus to emerge. We want this Government to stay. We want them to rule for 5 years. But let them mend their fences. Let them repair their houses. Let them stop giving press statements. Let them rule in the interests of lakhs and lakhs of downtrodden people, in the interest of Harijans who are butch red. Even today one Harijan leader has been shot dead at Bareilly. In the interest of them, let the Government rule with firmness, rule with dignity, rule with honesty and rule with sincerity and justice. And that way only the country will survive.

SHRI DAJIBA DESAI (Kolhapur): Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, this is a good opportunity that I am getting to speak at the fag and of the discussion on the No-confidence Motion.

15.58 hrs.

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair]

I can speak basing my views on the speeches made by other hon. Members. I would like to recall the period one year back when the Janata Farty was voted to power.

MR. SPEAKER: You have only 5 minutes.

SHRI DAJIBA DESAI; I want 15 minutes.

MR. SPEAKER: The Prime Minister has to reply. He has to go to the other House.

SHRI DAJIBA DESAI: Mr. Saugata Roy was given 15 minutes.

I should not be penalised.

The Janata Party came to power with the slogan of total revolution. But I could not see any action being taken on the basis of total revolution. I have listened to the speeches from both sides. In the last three or four Lok Sabhas there were discussions on no-confidence motions. The congress Ministers opposed the no-confidence motions and defended themselves in the same language which I hear The Ministers are the same: todav. the language is the same and they are advising the opposition in the same language.

So, I was thinking about it whether there was any difference between the Opposition and the Ruling Party.

16 hrs.

In fact, I have come to the conclusion after one year's of experience that there is no difference—no basic difference—between the policies of the Janata Party and the Congress. There may be differences of opinion in some administrative problems or something like that. Actually the people who wanted a total revolution with the coming into power, they are following the policies of status quo.

For example, when we were discussing the Plan we could hear the same speeches from both the parties. There were certain conclusions to which the Planning Commission came. But, what is the remedy? The First, Second, Third, Fourth and even the Fifth Plan came to the same conclusion namely,

rise in inequality, rise in unemployment, rise in poverty. The provision made in the Draft Plan is again the same with only increased allocation in the Sixth Plan.

The Janata Government is yielding power on the slogan of total revolution. Now it is coming to the position of status quo. To our surprise disillusionment, and to our Janata Government started the programme of Samai Parivarthan-social revolution-but there is no revolution. On the contrary the problems have become national problems. Atrocity on harijans is a national problem: poverty is a national problem; law and order is a national problem. We have been facing these problems for the last thirty years and no solutions were found by the previous ruler. Again there are no solutions offered by the new ruler.

Perhaps I might say that this is the basic point why I am inclined to support the no-confidence motion. I am not supporting the no-confidence grounds motion the which on Mr. Stephen and State had stated. I support this motion perhaps because there is actually no difference. We were opposing the Congress Government. Now the time has come when we have to oppose the Janata Government.

There are certain problems to the ruling party. When we look to the present position, the Members of the Ruling Party here give slogans in one voice; they speak in one voice but with different hearts. Outside they speak with different voices. There are certain people like that.

This is not exactely democracy.

SHRI H. L. PATWARI; This is democracy.

SHRI DAJIBA DESAI: This is chaos. This Government, in a chaotic condition, cannot rule properly this country. Shri Saugata Roy had expressed that they should rule with

[Shri Dajiba Desai]

honesty, justice etc. Can he expect justice; can he expect honesty and can he expect sincerity? There are various programmes before the country—there is law and order problem here. As stated by some of the Members here, we are here to discuss the law and order problem because this is a state subject. Law and order problem is after all a problem which the ruling Janata Party has to face.

The ruling party must have one political approach in every State. In one State they support the backward classes; in another State they support the scheduled castes and yet in anothere State one section of the party supports the higher class whereas the other section of the party supports backward classes. If the party tries to divide or bifurcate the various sections or various communities on caste lines it will not be possible for them to implement any positive decision.

Mr. Speaker, Sir, take the question of education. The previous ruling party based its education policy on The Janata Kothari Commission. Party has also based its education policy on Kothari Commission. The previous Government relied on the Agricultural Prices Commission the Janata Government is also doing the same thing. So, my point is that the Janata Party is trying to continue the economic policies of the Indira Gandhi Government, Indira Gandhi came out with a 20-point programme. The Janata Party has come out with a minimum need-based programme of 7 points. The points are the same. Only difference is that they declared that they will implement them vigorously. They could not implement it vigorously. Then where lies any difference.

Therefore, Mr. Speaker, I am warning this Janata Government. The Janata Party started with a slogan of total revolution. For that they must have a socialist approach. Some people in the Janata Party are eloquent about it but they succumb to the

majority in the Janata Party. If they accept socialism then they will have to change the entire structure of planning. Inequality cannot be abolished by giving some alms to the poor and guaranteeing good profit to the industrialists. Plan has ensured a fair return to industrialists but at the time there is no guarantee of remunerative prices or need-based wages to the agricultural labour or the working class. The present No Confidence Motion is a warning to the Janata Government. They will have to change everything. I expressing this warning on behalf of my party.

THE PRIME MINISTER (SHRI MORARJI DESAI): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I have been patiently hearing all that has been said by the Leader of the Opposition and all others who have spoken in support of the No Confidence Motion moved by the Leader of the Opposition,

I thought I would benefit by what he said and that it would help Government in rectifying mistakes which required to be rectified. The Opposition has a right of moving No Confidence Motions—whether they do it responsibly or irresponsibly it is their business. It is not for me to judge. It is not for me to object to it. That is why I welcomed it immediately and said, let it be discussed immediately. But then I heard nothing now I have been hearing all these things ever since this Government assumed office.

The same things are being repeated over and over again from the very beginning. I can prove it by records if they want to have any proof. It is there if anybody wants to see the record. But I do not want to take the time of the House in recounting these things all over again. Only two new arguments were advanced, two new charges, if I may say so. It is good that they have come out with these

charges so that the people may know what the truth is. The two new charges are that my Principal Secretary is running the whole show. There was a caucus before and there is a caucus now and that my son is doing something like this. Now, there cannot be a more fantastic statement than whatever has been said in this connection. The Principal Secretary has nothing to do with the selection of officers. The appointment of officers emanates from the Ministries concerned and the decision is made by three persons, namely the Minister concerned, the Home Minister and the Prime Minister. This is not a new practice. This practice has been there since 1947. And there is no question of my Principal Secretary trying to influence me in any way. If he tries to interfere, he will not remain in my office even for a day. I would therefore, be obliged to the hon. Members if they can me one instance where he has influenced my decision and got one man in who should not have got in I shall be very thankful for it.

AN HON. MEMBER: He could infrance.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: Whom did he influence? That was the old style. This is not the style now. Even the Prime Minister does not influence other Ministers. They are free to make the recommendations that they want. And I have never suggested any name to the Ministers. Therefore, what is the use of saying this kind of thing? And then a case was made about my son making an unscheduled flight at Tehran. I do not know what is unscheduled flight....

AN HON MEMBER: Halt.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: It was the plane which stopped over at Tehran. It was not done for him. When I had gone earlier, there was also unscheduled halt then. It was not for him. What is the meaning of saying that he made an unscheduled flight? He

went to London from Moscow Tehran. If he had come here and then gone there, it would have cost him more money. It was not the Government money that was spent on him. I have made it clear so many times before whenever somebody asked me about it. Then it was asked why he went there. This was September last, He went there at his own expense to sever the last connection of business he had acquired in 1970. He used to go there before every year with the full knowledge and permission of the Reserve Bank of India. He wanted to get out of it so that there is no connection with any business whatsoever. He had severed all other connections with business here in 1964, but this had remained. He thought that it was necessary that he should get out even from this so that nobody could raise a finger. But what can one say of people who always want to see something wrong in everything which they want to imagine?

Motion

SHRI VASANT SATHE: Why is Chaudhuri Charan Singh wanting to try him?

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: It is between him and me.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: It is a matter of national concern, a Minister writing to you that he wants to try such and such person...(Interruptions)

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: That is a different matter altogether. The Home Minister can say and I can also tell him. That shows the freedom with which we work among ourselves; it does not mean anything else.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: It is a public matter.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: If anything prima facte is established, I would be the first man to prosecute him.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: The Home

Minister says that there is prima facie case.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: He has not said that: That is all wrong. He has never said so; that is a lie if anybody says so.

SHRI A. C. GEORGE; What does he say?

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I am not going to tell you and oblige you in this matter. My hon friends depend upon Blitz-God help them-a paper which has always been a tissue of lies...(Interruptions)

SHRI SAUGATA ROY: It was a question raised in Parliament.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I have known Blitz for the last thirty years and I always treated it with contempt. I do not want to take notice of vellow papers; nor do I want to take notice of those who deal in yellow journalism. I can only sympathise with them for their want of prudence. But if they don't have it, who am I to give them prudence? I do not want to offer any advice in this matter. But this shows on whom the opposition depends to show that there is no confidence in this government. What have they come to? I thought that they would change by years' experience and give up their old methods. But I find that they are still fond of them. I cannot help them. Who am I to say that they should not do so? But if they feel that by this method they will be able to break the Janata Party, they are very much mistaken. I agree and I would not say that there is nothing wrong with us. I will be the last man to say so; no party can claim that there is no difference anywhere, in any party. Show me any party, even in England, even in America, even in Germany wherever you go, you will find differences. There were no open differences during the last few years in their party. But I was told of the inner differences that were there. I do not want to speak about them: I am not like them. I do not believe in resorting to such methods to condemn anybody. But these are matters where if they were careful, I do not think they would have done what they have done here. I cannot compliment them on their performance. What have we they ask. Is this not a great change. the change that has taken place? The change is so remarkable that the world knows it. If there is no full satisfaction, I can understand. I cannot say that there is full satisfaction nor can I say that full satisfaction can be given in a year in every matter. But there is nothing of which we have to be ashamed nor is there anything that we have not which we could have done or that we have failed in doing it. There is no item on which anybody can say that.

They cited many things. They cited prices. Are not prices more this March than what they were in March last? If they deny the figures what am I to do? We do not claim that they have one down. If they have to go down they have to be stable first. And if after ten years record of inflation every year, barring six months of Emergency, we have halted rise in prices, is that not some achievement?

But why should they admit it? They, they have to condemn themselves which they are not yet prepared to do. Yes, I know, there are several hon. Members on the other side who had quietly suffered all kinds of things during the Emergency and even before. They have now begun to admit, some in public and some in private, that Emergency was wrong and should not have been there.

They say now that there is no change. Is this a small change that everybody is free to say what he likes throughout the country? Is it not the greatest change from the times when we tried to point out the

shortcomings of the Government but were taken away in the darkness of night, whisked away somewhere without letting anybody know where we were taken? Thousands of them were taken away and crowds were brought here in lorries and trucks, Government military trucks to prove that people had confidence in them.

AN HON. MEMBER: What about the Kisan rally?

(Interruptions)

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: How can I give any sense of shame to people who do not know what shame is? (Interruptions) How can I give it? It is not possible for me to give any sense of proportion when there is none? How am I to do it?

It is my misfortune that I have to reply to this debate. It is no pleasure for me to have to say these things. I am not happy but I have to reply to it; otherwise, things will go by default. The change you see is that can go about without let or hinderance, they can say whatever they like.

SHRI A. C. GEORGE: May I just say one sentence? The fearlessness had led to one thing in the United States. I may just quote a few lines from 'India Abroad'. An advertisement has appeared in it and it is this:

"SERVICES—We deliver rupees in India. Competitive rates—fast action. Any amount, at any place. Transaction thru banks, Easiest way of doing it—everything done by telephone. Personalized services. satisfaction guaranteed. H. Parikh (Financial Consultant) Orient Express, Journal Square. Jersey City."

An advertisement has come that they will make compensatory payment. That i_S where the fearlessness had led to. This is published in the United States. (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: You have mentioned that, SHRI MORARJI DESAI: These are legacies which we have received from my hon. friend and it is that legacy which is making it difficult for this Government to get over everything and make faster progress. (Interruptions)

SHRI A. C. GEORGE: Since he mentioned tabout fearlessness, I mentioned that. An advertisement is put that rupees will be paid in India for dollars in 'India Abroad', a magazine published in the United States and so many Indians read it.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: They are sorry that we are undoing the wrongs that they heaped upon us people. We have not yet been able to remove all wrongs completely and that is our misfortune. But the wrongs are so many that they cannot be removed quickly. What we could do quickly, we have already done and you see the result of it.

There is complete freedom of press, so much so that what we say may not be published but what they say is published with big headlines. I can prove it by production of those news papers, if they want to see.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: That is why the yellow press is there.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: It is not the yellow press. yellow press is a very small part of it. A large part of it is not yellow press. Then, on the media who is getting publicity? It is given to everybody. If at all, they get more publicity than they deserved at any time. Was opposition ever heard on media before? Yet they

at any time. Was opposition ever heard on media before? Yet they say there is no change. It has been done for the first time in this country, that in the elections the Opposition had the facility of speaking on the radio as much as the ruling party. For the first time this is done.

We are also trying to see that media become independent and do

[Shri Morarii Desai?

##4

not remain subservient to Government. But it requires careful consideration, We had appointed a committee. The report has come. We will soon take a decision. But to say that we have done nothing, has no meaning.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: Media has been captured by one organization.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: By whom has it been captured? The agencies were merged into one in the past regime. There was one 'Samachar' only; and all were bundled into it. and made to do what they liked. All that has been separated and they are made independent. And we don't interfere with them in any manner whatsoever, Not only that. I have told the Press: 'If you want to have your own arrangement, you must have it. I don't want to nominate anybody on the Press Council, should look after the Press yourselves and regulate it yourselves. If any law is violated, certainly Government will step in. But that is only when law is violated; and the action can be taken in a court of law. But for that, we will not interfere in any manner whatever.' I have never asked any Editor or Correspondent to see me, unless he wanted to come and see me. And there also, I have never said, "You should do this or should not do that". I only say, "You better ascertain the facts and write as you like." We don't want to interfere with the freedom of the Press in any manner.

Take the Opposition. Is the Opposition now in the same cramped state in which it was before? The Leader of the Opposition has been recognized fully. And it was for the first time in history that the Speaker and the Deputy Speaker were elected unanimously in this House.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: The credit goes to the House.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I agree that credit goes to the House. The Opposition would have been willing to do that even before; but it was never consulted. Not only that It was defamed in every possible way. We don't believe in retaliation. Not only that The President has also been elected unanimoustly. (Interruptions) I don't say that the credit is not due to you. I say that credit goes to all.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: Dr. G. S. Dhillon was elected unanimously.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: The Speaker was not elected unanimously not in the real sense. Therefore, to say that nothing has happened, is only denying facts. I can say nothing more than that.

Look at food supplies There is more food available to-day. And those whom I met in Bombay and in other cities have said that whenever they wanted things, they get them. Formerly all those things were not available There is now more production Industry is doing better. It has not done enough, I am quite sure. But it takes time A year or 13 months is not enough to change everything and bring Heaven in this country. We have never promised that. We don't do it.

There is Education It requires to be changed; but we cannot change it by ourselves, as they were doing. We want to taken the educationists with us in this matter. Therefore we are discussing education with those who are concerned with it and trying to come to a consensus in the same manner as we are doing in this House on many matters. That is why it takes time. The Constitutional Amendment should been done much earlier. But we did not want it without taking the oppo-

sition with us, as much as possible. We have been able to come to a large measure of agreement even in the anti-Defections Bill; it is delayed because we wanted to cousult the Opposition so that it has a smooth

passage and it becomes more effective. I must say I was very happy this morning when in our discussions we could come to agreed conclusions and reached a consensus. That is why we have to have patience in this matter.

If my hon, friends think we can wash out every wrong thing they did in thirteen months, then I would like to say that we have washed out some; other things still remain to be washed out. That takes a little time; but it will not take more than a year more to wash out the remaining dirt.

SHRI VASANT SATHE: Do not wash them in public.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: left to you. If you want me to do it, I do not know whether you will be able to stand up to it. know: but it is not my business to expose anybody. I have never done it and I would not do it. If you come to me privately, I will tell you what I know, on condition that you But this is not do not publish it. the way to do it.

AN HON. MEMBER: What about your secrets?

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I have no secrets. If I have, let anybody find them. But, somehow, over the years I was close with many of them. So, I know what they really are. That is how the world is. I do not find fault with them. We all have feet of clay; everybody has some failing or the Who am I to find fault with other. them? But they want us to be saints whereas they want to remain what they were, I think that is not the way to increase our capacity or make the Government more effective.

Let there be responsible dignified criticism. Criticism can be made, provided it is made with dignity. When they go on shouting at those who speak from here, they do not see anything wrong in it; they say that is their right. But when some people from here have a dig at them, all of them get up and ask "what is going on?" This is not the way to meet arguments (Interruptions) What does the hon. Member think he is doing? He is demonstrating how he interrupts all the while. I have not interfered with anybody: I have not interrupted anybody. This is not the way to do it. But they pop up and down all the while, because that seems to be the only exercise they know. If it had been really an exercise in dignity with cogent arguments. I would have been very thankful to them.

As I said before, I welcome this noconfidence motion, because I am glad that it brings the two factions together. Those who have factions are now talking about factions? In my party where are the factions? Yes, there are differences of views as my colleague, Shri Jagjivan Ram, said, it is an unprecedented thing in history that five parties, differing from one another but all believing in democracy, fighting against one another for 30 years. criticising one another, come together voluntarily without any pressure from anybody, except the pressure of the people, who wanted democracy to be saved. Therefore, we came together and emerged practically as a new party, which was not fully formed constitutionally in the normal sense. wins the Lok Sabha elections in a striking manner, which opens the eyes of the world, but not the eyes of my hon, friends. Those who have eyes, but do not see-what can I tell them? I can lead a blind man, but I cannot lead a person who can see, but does not want to see. What can I do to them?

And then it was also said by Jagjivanramji that we are working together. It does not mean that all our hearts have come together. Therefore, there are bound to be some differences, differences of opinions and views. That is not healthy in my views, I do agree, but it is not an unusual phenomenon It is natural. If it did not happen, it [Shri Morarji Desai]

would have been unnatural. We would not have lasted. It is because of this that it will be cemented.

And I want their factions also to be cemented. Iwant a strong opposition. I do not want a week opposition. It is the business of the opposition to show the faults of the Government, and also try to see that they get the mandate of the people to replace the Government in a constitutional manner. It is their right. That is what democracy means. And that is why I want that they should recognise this.

I believe what is happening is natural in the conditions in which we have come together, but that also will settle down. We will not oblige you. Don't think that you are likely to come here soon. But if you behave like this, you will never come here again.

I only want that they should be dignified in what they say, that they must have more regard for facts and truth, rather than only eagerness to attack and make us feel. That way nobody feels anything. I wish they had said something which would have made me think. I do not know what reply to give because there is nothing on which I can say anything to them, requires any there is nothing which telling. But my hon, friends go on saying what they like. Let them do so. After all, it is they who have to pick up wisdom, not I. I am trying to pick up such wisdom from them, as is therein them. Everybody can have wisdom I cannot say that there is anybody without any wisdom whatsoever I can never say that. I am trythem. I do not ing to learn from want them to learn from me if they do not want to.

On the one side they give me a compliment that I am a Gandhian. On the other side, they depict me as a fool or a convenor. That is not the way.

They bring here cases of oppression, terrorism of oppression of Harijans,

law and order problems, but these are not problems which only relate to us. they relate to all. I do not want to go on with this argument. This has been happening. And we have got to stop it. We are not able to stop it much, I agree, but this is also a legacy that we have got, and it is not created only by those people; these are also inherent in the conditions of the country. I do not want to blame anybody for these things, but these are things which we have got to stop Formerly these incidents were not published. The Harijans, the tribals and the backward classes had no courage to fight it or speak about it. I am very happy that they are able to fight and speak out today, and that is why when my colleagues here on this side speak very strongly about it. I have patience with them, I appreciate their feelings.

But, do my hon. friends want to spoil the atmosphere further and not make it better? If they are interested in improving the situation and making this country great for the benefit of all of us, this is not the way to go about it. That is all that I can plead with them.

I have nothing to advise them. This is no sermon. It is my business as House to advise them Leader of the if necessary, but I know that advice must not be given to anybody who does not want it. One who does that would be stupid. That I learnt when I was young, not now. If they say many things and I have got to reply to them, they consider it a sermon. God help them again. Do they expect that I must not say anything which I must say to refute what they are saying in a wrong manner? If I say that, how does it become a sermon. If I say that I want the opposition to be strong, would I be giving a sermon? I say that the opposition will be strong only if it becomes dignified and disciplined in the House because it goes out outside and I was from the House simply very unhappy when I was told in one argument that this House does not represent the conditions in the country. The people out side represent them. Are we not representatives? Is it not derogatory to the Parliament and its authority? This House represents the best in the country, whatever they may be.

SHRI MOHD. SHAFI QURESHI: Nobody said this.

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: It was said here. I will show it from the record. That is not right. It has been said from the front benches opposite. It has not been said from the back benches.

SHRI MOHD. SHAFI QURESHI: Whom is he referring to?

SHRI MORARJI DESAI: I can tell you but I do not want to name people. It is not said by one but by two. If they did not say it and if they say, they did not say it, I accept it. I have no diffulty about it. But let them see afterwards what they have said in a hurry without knowing what they are saying. That also is a malady from which we are suffering here. I hope, we do not suffer from that malady as a result of this no-confidence motion.

I am thankful to the hon. Leader of the Opposition for making an exhibition once again of what weaknesses they are suffering from. If they want to press the no-confidence motion, they are free to do so. But that will not add to the dignity in any way. That is all I have to say. Thank you.

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN (Idukki): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I am really disappointed that in rising to reply, there is nothing in the speech made by the Prime Minister which I have got to reply to. He said only one thing that the people who have eyes do not care to see, cannot see. After listening through two days speeches if the Prime Minister does not see anything that has been said which is to be taken note of, I only repeat what Christ said once: "Those who have eyes to see, let them see; those

who have two ears to hear, let them hear."

The Prime Minister, unfortunately, does not have the ears to hear what was being said here.

A question was repeatedly asked? why this no-confidence motion was brought forward. Many of the noconfidence motions were discussed in the past. Many Members in this House are witness to that. There is one thing absolutely distinct as far as this motion and the speeches on this motion are concerned. With the exception of one or two speeches, it will kindly be noted that the motion sought to rest not on corruption charges and mudslinging but on national issues. Not that there are no corruption allegation to be made; there are quite a number. I do not want to make any allegation but I have got with me a letter from Shri Shibban Lal Saksena who sent this to me where he said:

"I have been deeply distressed and pained at the corruption of some of the Ministers in the Central Cabinet. I have already given you a chargesheet containing hundred-and-one specific charges against..." (I do not want to mention name; it is here.) "And another chargesheet against another Minister..." (I do not want to mention. That is there in the letter.)

I have got the corruption charges with me. I could just read out those charges and could have started mudslinging process. But, I believe, the Parliament of India, if one can avoid it, must not be used for that purpose National issues must be raised; national issues must be projected. National issues were projected here.

In the course of the budget discussion, every demand was discussed and a sum-total of impression has been formed and a sum-total of impression has been reflected in this House.

What is it that you heard from here? Congress (I), Congress,

[Shri C. M. Stephen]

P.W.P., Communist Party of India A.I.A.D.M.K., C.P.M., Muslim League, everyone of the parties which spoke from here said, performance is miserable; take warning; you are failing the country. Many members from your Benches said, this is a warning, take the warning. Prof. Mavalankar who so verily spoke, partly for you and partly for this side, while defending you, said, take care, the going are not good. This is the consensus that has developed.

There are two grounds on which I through my No-Confidence motion. Generally, the No-Confidence motion comes up for three purposes. One is, there is a possibility of capturing power and the No-Confidence motion comes to unseat the Ministry. It is not for that purpose, is when there is a specific issue, that has got to be projected and, sometimes, the No-Confidence motion comes-this happened when the Railway strike was there. It is not for that purpose. There are many issues which have got to projected. The third is, when the opposition feels that the Government are failing the country miserably and, therefore, in the interest of the country it is time that the Government think of either performing or quitting, the Opposition is bound to give this warning. And it is for that purpose that I put through the No-Confidence motion.

Analysing the grounds on which I put the No-Confidence motion, with respect to the first one, that you are failing the country, there is a contensus. Then, what should follow? There is a difference of opinion there. According to me, I find that no mending is possible and, therefore, I say, it is time that you quit. The C.P.M. differs from me. They made a most blistering at ack on you. Mr. Samar Mukherjee said. "Mend. We give you more time. Otherwise, you will be thrown out." These are the two positions taken. Even Mr. Biju Patnaik took up the position about the election, saying that it was the token cut—an erosion of credibility; we accept that. That he conceded. As far as we are concerned, some friends believe that you should mend and, therefore, allow you to carry on or get thrown out and, I believe, it is impossible for you to mend and, therefore, get out before you are thrown out. Excepting for this, there is no difference of opinion.

As far as the basic issue, are concerned, there is absolutely no difference of opinion at all. They say, they will mend and there can be a difference of opinion and all that. Difference of opinion there must be in every party.

Let us look at the Congress. were told, "How was your Congress?" Many of my leaders who spoke, who got me in the Congress, tell me, "How was your Congress?" It is they who brought me up to the Congress. I sat at their feet and learnt what the Congress message was. It is very strange that they tell me, "What about your Congress?" It is your Congress, Babu Ji; it is not my Congress. Let us not think the other way. What was this Congress? This Congress before Independence was a mass movemen'. There were different ideas, different ideologies. When it came to the question of implementation, how programmes must be taken up. Sharp differences developed. From out of the womb of the Congress, the Swatantra emerged; from out of the womb of the Communist Party the Socialist Party emerged; from out of its womb, the B.L.D. emerged and I do not know whether it will be correct to say, to a certain exten', from its womb the Jana Sangh also emerged. (Interruptions) I stand corrected. That is why I do not know. Out of these wombs, different parties emerged. They emerged, consolidated and crystallised on different specific ideas and ideologies. Now they say merely because these crystallised organisations came together and they put a khadi shawl around that and said: this is a single party. Therefore,

cohesion there can be. You are assuming a position which is absolutely not possible or practicable.

If the Indian National Congress completely cohesicve, completely strong, could not, at the stage of implementation of a programme, carry on as one party but had to be split up then the different organisations crystallised as iron clad organisations coming together at the moment of implementing a programme will necessarily have to fall out. Otherwise, every one of you is a hypocrite; you are not sincere people standing firm with the faith you have, with the political conviction you have. It is just my reading. Therefore, I feel it is a vain exercise. If you want to carry on that exercise, by all means you carry on that exercise. But, in the interest of the country, I feel a better progress will not be possible. That is the difference I pointed out.

In 1977, when you won the elections and the results were tha, you are here today, in 1977, it was a cohesive party, strong and powerful and determined to do something. Within one year, difference have developed. I am not interested in your differences at all. I am not calculating on my future on the dissension that may take place among you. I am calculating on my inherent strength and in my capacity to muster people for implementation of my programmes. I will be a political fool if I think that by your disintegration I must fix up my future. Not at all. But I could see that in the nature of things this disintegration is unavoidable and to the extent of its being unavoidable, the country will suffer. That is the position I am taking.

Now, Sir, you are repeatedly saying that we did not mend matters during emergency. Well there are four types of people, as far as emergency is concerned. When you call one another names let us understand those people. There is a set of people who valiantly opposed the emergency from the start. Hats off to them. I salute them. There

is a set of people who put the cause of emergency as necessary for the nation, who argued in this House and remained arguing until the elections were declared. Then there is a third class of people who protested against emergency, went to jails made an escape out of it and started negotiations and scuttled the whole movement. I knew this class of people. They are the persons on whom the cap will fit. He understands what I mean. Then there is a fourth class of people who even after the elections-during the elections, they were defending the emergency-finding out that the power had passed on to somebody jumped to the other wagon and came back saying: down with the emergency and you are accepting those people. Then there is a fifth class of people whom I represent who believed and continue to believe that emergency was rightly declared. (Interruptions) You may say thousand times shames. Here in my hand is the first speech I made in this House, after the elections when the President's Address was discussed. said. "I for one fought for the elections on the question of emergency. I wanted a verdict of the people on the basis of emergency. All of us in Kerala campaigned on the basis of emergency" and so on and finally said: "this was the issue which we had honestly put forward in my constituency. In our pamphiets and speeches, we said: do you approve of the emergency as you know it or not and they have upheld the proposition and they approved the emergency." This is the position I took in my very first speech after the elections. You may accept or you may not accept it. What I am saying is that there are four or five types of people. Who is more honourable? I say those persons who opposed emergency from the very start, I differ from them. But I acclaim them as honourable man and accept them as honourable men. We pleaded for the Emergency believing that it was correct and were holding on to that position and are still saying that it was rightly declared. Would you condemn me for the reason that I did not change my

[Shri C. M. Stephen]

opinion? And would you prefer the person who argued for Emergency till the elections and who remained in my party upto the elections, who were the high priests of Emergency in the Ministry, who wanted to command you and whom you hugged and kissed in order that you may get some election results somewhere? Who is honourable? The person who said, 'I have got the right to believe' and who believed that the Emergency was rightly declared, who held on to it whatever be the attacks and criticisms who said not once but twice, who is saying even now for the third time that Emergency was rightly declared, or the other person? Would you condemn me as a dishonourable man and would you prefer to call the other man as an honourable man? Would you say that anybody who came here and argued for Emergency held on to it, goaded us to the impression and also told us that the Emergency was good, played our leader and made us accept the Resolution and then when the crucial time came, jumped off

SHRI KRISHAN KANT (Chandigarh) I want to know from him whether it the same situation develops today, he would like Emergency to be promulgated m this country.

SHRI C. M STEPHEN: I am only saying this. Let nobody say anything against anybody at all.

Mr. Biju Patnaık made a valıant speech. All I have to say is that those who are in the glasshouses should not throw stones at others. There are many people there who can come out, hold their heads high and attack, but not Mr. Biju Patnaik; Mr. Biju Patnaik is not among them, because we know his antecedents. He speaks about sycophancy. He says he knows intimately how Nehru functioned, how Indiraji functioned. We know. I saw how, in Bhubaneswar session of the Congress, the sycophancy was functioning, I saw the sycophancy the maximum, how the sycophancy fruitioned in an unparalleted manner when without being a Minister, he was given a room in the South Block to run this country, to carry on the defence of this country.

Well, Sir, there is a book...

PROF MADHU DANDAVATE: In Indiraji's raj, even those who were Ministers were not given room.

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN: Here is a book by Shri C. G. K. Reddy on Baroda Dynamite Conspiracy; here he says:

"Further discussions between the representatives of the conclave of mid-December and the Government representatives were stalled by Om Mehta This gave birth to the infamous 'My Dear Om' letter addressed by Biju Patnaik in the New Year of 1977. This letter crowned the shame that the opposition leaders who were outside the jail perpetrated on themselves and caused consteination and demoralization to those who were either in jail and still uncompromising."

श्री राजी सास सुनन (फिरोआवाद) : श्रीमती इन्दिन गांधी ने श्री तीजू पटनायक को पत्र लिखा या । क्या ग्राप को पता है ग्रीर जानकारी है कि उनके व्यक्तिगन सम्बन्ध का है?

SHRI (' M STEPHEN: All I am saying is this. Let nobody try to play up the valorous posture. The question was asked....

SHRI BIJU PATNAIK: The record must be set right. He has named me. He was reading from a book, from a newspaper chap, whoever it is, I do not know. He may be very big for him, but I do not know him. He may have done a good job for him, I do not know...

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN; You do not know George Fernandes!

SHRI BIJU PATNAIK: Whether he came with George Fernandes or for George Fernandes, I do not know...(Interruptions)

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN: I am not vielding.

MR. SPEAKER: He is not yielding. I will give you an opportunity later.

17 hrs.

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN: Mr. Krishna Kant asked me a question, whether, if such a situation arose today, we would like Emergency to be declared. I put the question back to him, because the Constitution amendment proposals have come up. The question is whether, in the case of an internal emergency, emergency must be declared or not. The present Article says 'internal disturbances' and in place of that you want to put in the new words 'armed rebellion'. That means an internal affair. Supposing the Naxalite people go up in a sort of a rebellion in an area, you can construe it as armed rebellion: if, in a working class area the people take up arms, you can take it as armed rebellion. The question is whether you are prepared to say there will be declaration of Emergency only if there is external aggression and war or fear of external aggression and war or would you still say we should provide for a contingency in which internal Emergency can be declared? The very fact that you want to provide for it shows that in your view there can be a situation in which internal developments can happen which would justify the declaration of emergency. The difference is only thiswhether the assessment of the situation as at the time of the declaration of Emergency was correct or not, whether your assessment or our assessment is correct. Different opinions are possible. However, once it is declared, all the other consequences follow. Nobody had anything to say about the period beyond this 19 month period. All that was said was about the 19 month period. There was no allegation that there was no freedom or democracy before the Emergency was declared; there was 1123 LS-5.

absolutely no allegation. The allegation is only in respect of the 19 month period. Therefore, the moment Emergency is withdrawn, automatically status quo ante will have to be restored. And the Emergency was withdrawn; the Emergency was withdrawn the moment people's verdict came through the Election. (Interruptions). Before the Government was handed over to you, Emergency was withdrawn, (Interruptions),

My point is, if you wanted to bring back the conditions which were obtaining during Emergency, you were faced with the necessity of declaring a fresh Emergency. That declaration you did not make. If a new declaration did not come, then status quo ante and democracy automatically stood restored. It is nothing of your boon: that is what I am saving. The people declared themselves against Emergency and that verdict was accepted. The Emergency was cancelled and you were ushered into power-which you did not hope for before the Emergency. If you wanted to restore the happenings of the 19 months period, you would have had to declared Emergency again. Therefore, the essense of what you are saying is only this, that you did not declare a fresh Emergency. This is all that you are saying: nothing more than that. In the absence of Emergency, nothing else was possible and your omission to declare a fresh Emergency is something you want to claim credit for. Are the conditions in this country such as would justify the declaration of a new Emergency? That is the simple question I have got to ask you. (Interruptions).

I had the most painful moment in my life today when I listened to Babuji. I must confess to an attitude of instinctive reverence on my part towards Babuji more than any other leader. It is the unkindest cut of all that the Janata Party chose to field Babuji in this No Confidence Motion discussion: it was the unkindest cut of all. Babuji talked about the removal of the 92 year-old Congress.

[Shri C. M. Stephen]

Babuji was my President. The whole period, Babuji was in power; the whole period, he was in the Parliamentary Board; he was in the political affairs Committee; he was in the Cabinet; he was in the Election Committee, there was no superior organisation of the Party in which he was not involved. He guided us; he advised us; his opinion was orders for us. But now, what does he say? We are not prepared to unlearn the moment he is prepared to unlearn. You taught us certain lessons which have become a part of us. We remain firm there. We cannot unlearn the moment you decide to unlearn. Then, Babuji, you moved the motion approval of emergency. That speech is before me. Would you withdraw a single sentence of the speech you made. You stand by it or not? You justified the emergency. Babuji says that he stands by everything he said while he put forward the resolution for approval of emergency. what is the difference between you and me? The only difference is that we stand where we did whereas somebody else chose to leave from where he did. This is the only diffe-

Now, Sir, when he speaks of the Indian National Congress as an organization of 92 years, an organization which brought him up and which he brought up and under whose banner he moulded many a political leader and worker in this country—they are in thousands who look to him...

SHRI NATHU SINGH (Dausa); Mr. Speaker, Sir...

(Interruptions)

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN: I know what had happened since the Allahabad judgement. I know what had happened before the resolution by the Party was passed. I remember what happened between Babuji and me when the resolution was drafted. I know the position, from time to time. These things cannot be rubbed off in a single ströke. Therefore, inner

party discussions were there, not with Indira Gandhi only but all of us and many of us were involved. Babuji was involved, Shri Chavan was involved, I was involved and many of us were involved. ...

AN HON. MEMBER: Even Mr. Bahuguna.

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN: We sat together and drew up a resolution and it was that resolution which was passed by the parliamentary party. Subsequently, step by step Babuji advised us and I, more than to any other leader, went to Babuji for his advice and guidance—this is a secret which I want to make public....

AN HON. MEMBER: What about Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna?

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN: And here, Babuji come_s and accuses me bringing forward a no-confidence motion. Babuji did I say anything disparaging about you in my speech? I raised only national issues when I put my points across. Those national issues have echoes everywhere. Well, it was said somewhere—he too serves a purpose who only stands up and cheers. There are people who can do that. It is his good fortune that he is always on that side and never on this side whichever Party may come and whichever Party may go. It is out of conviction that he is always on that side and he can always reply to a no-confidence motion.

Mr. Speaker, Sir, I am very sorry, after such a long period of time I have got to impart this tone in my speech with regard to my most revered Babuji. A situation for that should not have been created. We can understand the compulsions of the situation but, please, for heaven's sake, don't rub on the wrong side of our heart. Don't wound us by declarations which are all right against your foes but not against us. This is all I have to say as far as Babuji's speech is concerned.

Mr. Speaker, Sir, this is a mement for introspection in the country. The Fathers of the Constitution contemplated that an emergency may become necessary. May be there may be difference of opinion and in judgement. I have got before me Mr. Justice Mathew's report where he says:

"Be that as it may, there can be no gainsaying the fact that violence threatened the democratic set up in Bihar. Violence was very much in the air at all levels—individual, social and political.

It is axiomatic that civilised life becomes impossible if political violence were to take the place of legal, parliamentary and constitutional processes. ... No democratic system can accept the use of physical violence as an instrument of politics.

The incidents of violence in Bihar including the incidents of explosion at Samastipur were a grave warning to the nation and all people had to give very serious thought as to what action should be taken at the national and political levels to put an end to violence to safeguard democracy and ensure national security."

This is the finding of the Commission. May be you withheld this Commission's report. May be you have a soft corner for a Commission's report coming from somebody else. But this is a Commission's report from a sitting Judge of the Supreme Court whose integrity nebody has so far questioned. This is the impression he has gathered as a result of evidence.

The question is: whether under such circumstances an emergency could have been declared justifiably. Misuae there was. Nobody denies it. In the implementation of emergency there was a misuse. Behind the

curtain of emergency certain orimes were committed, which must be condemned. There is no doubt about it. But, on the question as to whether there could be emergency, the test is, what is your attitude? If you want still to provide for internal emergency, then, the cat is out of the bag. Faced with a similar situation you will also declare an emergency. If that is your attitude, then, what right have you to criticise somebody who, in his best judgment, thought that emergency was declared?

Therefore, the sum total of the entire debate is this. This debate is not without purpose of a was pointed out by me at the very start, this debate was for the purpose of projecting what people in the country have started feeling. There is an erosion of faith. I am repeatedly saying this. Take note of it. And mend it if you can. But I don't believe you can. Therefore I say: Get out before you are thrown out.

This is all that I have got to say. Try as much as you can to mend it by all means but not by the mimicry such as Mr. Biju Patnaik performed here. That is not the answer.

Sir, I am concluding. The sum total of my charge against this Government is this. Through this discussion a picture has emerged. The unterests of the country are at stake. You may take some money somewhere, there may be some corruption somewhere, I am not bothered about it. But, if the fabric of the country is threatened, if the basic...

SHRI CHANDRA SHEKHAR (Balia): Excuse me for interrupting you.
Mr. Stephen, you were never bothered about corruption. You were never
bothered about civil rights and democracy. You know about it. But I
would like to know one thing from
you. Can there be anything more
despicable than your performance
this evening that you are justifying

(Shri Chandra Shekar)

all the evils of the emergency? Can there be anything more serious than that? I know, you and your colleagues have no consideration for any values. I have been saving this for the last 10 years. I am not surprised at your behaviour and your performance this evening. But I am surprised at the behaviour and performance of some sober people in that 'side. When their leader is speaking in terms of all praise for emergency. they think that he is doing something very great and doing very great service to the nation. Well, do it if it serves you any purpose . .

SHRI C. M. STEPHEN: I will repeat it again. I say, Number one: First the question is whether one can held that the declaration of emergency was justified, as per the provisions of the Constitution, My answer is yes.

Second, the question is, whether in the implementation of emergency there were excesses. My answer is, and they deserve to be yes, condemned.

Number three. The question is whether in the conditions obtaining in emergency certain persons committed crimes which cannot be pardoned. I say, yes, They deserve to be punished.

These are the three propositions which I have put forth.

Number four. The question is whether under this emergency positive gains were achieved in the country or not. I say, yes.

So, this is the definite position that I am taking. And I am taking this position, representing the people. This was the proposition I put forth in my election and I won. This was the only issue that was put forth. Anybody who comes from Kerala and other areas will say that this was the issue that was put forth. True, Sir, the North did not accept it. But the

South did. And now what? "All the by-elections have been fought on the misdeeds of Mrs. Indira Gandhi. The people have rejected that. Therefore a new thinking is coming. That is all I have got to say.

Motion

If you say that the acceptance of emergency is bad morally, what justification have you to take in your fold persons who were the high priests of emergency, who justified the emergency and won the election on that basis? What moral sense have you? You have accepted those people. After having done that, what moral right have you to point the finger of accusation at us? Look to your people among you they are the villains and not I.

I have the honesty to say, the declaration of emergency was justified. I said it. I say it. I will continue to say it. There is no doubt about that. One sentence more and I have

The totality of the opinion in this House emerging as a result of the discussion is that the performance of this Government has been unsatisfactory. Faith of the people has been eroded. The people are giving a warning. A large number of Members in this House feel that your performance is miserable. If you accept it as a matter of fact, accept this impeachment and get out. If not to that extent, you will not be respecting the views of the people.

With these words, Sir, I press this motion for acceptance of the House.

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI (Chirayinkil): Sir. I am on a point of clarification. One minute, Sir, We are also a party to the no-confidence motion. We have taken part in the debate. But a situation has now come when Mr. Stephen replied to an important question put to him by Shri Chandra Sekhar and now we come to a point of saying whether this motion justifles emergency or not.

AN HON. MEMBER: That was not the question. (Interruptions)

SHRI SAMAR GUHA (Contai): That is the main question. All their speeches have been directed in justification of emergency. Those who have suffered—1,36,000 under MISA and DIR. (Interruptions)...suffered in 19 months of internal emergency. The whole country was made captive: the whole country was made prisoner. And this man is justifying the emergency; if you have got the courage, then throw out the empress of emergency; throw out the crown prince of emergency. (Interruptions). It is good that the cat is now out of the bag. This is what you are intending to do. You are trying to bring about a totalitarian regime in this country. You are preparing the ground for that. (Interruptions).

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI: We were a party to the emergency and the

rule at that time; we are not denying that fact. But, we are not taking any position to justify the emergency. It is not the purpose of this motion.

Motion

MR. SPEAKER: Now I shall put the motion to the vote of the House. The question is:

"That this House expresses its want of confidence in the Council of Ministers".

The motion was negatived.

MR. SPEAKER: Now, the House stands adjourned to meet at 11 A.M. tomorrow.

17.19 hrs.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Eleven of the Clock on Friday, May 12, 1978/Vaisakha 22, 1900 (Saka).