Second Series, Vol. XXII-No. 8 27th November, rest (Thursday)

LOK SABHA DEBATES

(Sixth Session)



(Vol. XXII contains Nos. 1-10)

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

TEREZ SECLEPTOR (POSSESSE)

CONTENTS

Oral Answers to Questions—	
Starred* Questions Nos. 261, 263, 265-278	62 I
Short Notice Question No. 2	_
Written Answers to Questions-	23
Starred Questions Nos. 262, 264, 279-290	-42
Unstarred Questions Nos. 442—479	
Motion for Adjournment—	
Murder in a night train	-66
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	666
-	666
Committee on Absence of Members—	
	667
Delhi Rent Control Bill—	 /
	667
	667
Calling Attention to Matter of Urgent Public Importance—	,
National Mineral Development Corporation	7-6Q
	660
Motion of Privilege—	wy
Statement by Chief Minister of Kerala	
Dr. K. B. Menon	
Shri Asoka Mehta	
Shri S. A. Dange	
Shri Frank Anthony	-06
Shri Jawaharlal Nehru	
Shri Khadilkar	-17
Raja Mahender Pratap	
Pandit Brij Narayan "Brijesh"	
Shiri Vajpayee	-
Shri Braj Raj Singh	
Shri A. K. Gopalan	
Ot 1 and Distriction Dates	
04 134 W 34	
Shri M. R. Masani Parliament (Prevention of Disqualification) Bill—	_> <u>.</u>
And the second s	- 00
	-
Shri Tangamni	
	~93
Shri Vajpayee	707
01 1 4 77 0	
Daily Digest	

^{*}The sign + marked above a name indicates that the question was actually asked on the floor of the House by that Member.

1596

LOK SABHA

Thursday, 27th November, 1958.

The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock.

[Mr. SPEAKER in the Chair]

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Canal Waters Dues

*261. Shri V. C. Shukla: Shri D. C. Sharma:

Will the Minister of Irrigation and Power be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 62 on the 12th August, 1958 and state:

- (a) what further progress has been made in securing settlement of the "disputed" and the arrears of "undisputed" canal water dues outstanding against the Government of Pakistan; and
- (b) if the position is as before, whether Government propose to take any special steps to expedite settlement of the dues?

The Deputy Minister of Irrigation and Power (Shri Hathi): (a) and (b) The matter is still under correspondence between the Governments of India and Pakistan.

Shri V. C. Shukla: Are the Government actively considering the question of setting off part of these outstanding dues as our share of the cost of the construction of the link canals?

"Shri Hathi: We are not considering that. It is not for us to consider. We are insisting on the payment.

249(Ai) LSD-1.

Shri V. C. Shukls: Did the Government recently ask the Pakistan Government to pay the dues immediately amounting to about Rs. 107 lakhs, and if so, has any money since been received from Pakistan?

Shri Hathi: The amount due on the undisputed item is Rs. 30,81,631 and the amount due on the disputed item is Rs. 99,49,635. We are in correspondence with the Pakistan Government requesting them to pay these amounts.

Shri V. C. Shukla: May I know if the study of the latest replacement plan offered by Pakistan has been completed by the Government of India or not, and if the Government have formulated their stand on that plan?

Shri Hathi: Really this is outside the scope of this question, but the plan has been studied and we have prepared our statement which will be considered at the next session that is due to be held on December 2 at Washington.

Shri Morarka: Is it a fact that some files concerning this dispute and the canal water dues have been stolen recently in the theft committed in the Finance Ministry?

Shri Hathi: I think the Finance Minister did make a statement about certain files that have been lost.

भी रघुनाथ सिंह: चृकि यह धगस्त का मवान है में जानना चाहता हूं कि धगस्त में लेकर आज तक कुछ पेमेंट पाकिस्तान के द्वारा हुआ है कि नहीं?

भी हाबी : कुछ पेमेट नहीं हुमा है।

Shri Goray: If the Pakistan Government insists on refusing to pay the dues, what steps are Government contemplating to take?

Shri Hathi: Negotiations.

Shri Hem Barua: In view of the recent statement made by Gen. Ayub Khan to the effect that this is a life and death question with them, do Government expect any payment of our dues?

Shri Hathi: These things are quite separate.

Shri Vajpayee: May I know if this question has been raised before the World Bank and whether Pakistan has been told that India will not adhere to its obligations of supplying water to Pakistan till 1962 if these arrears are not paid immediately?

Shri Hathi: This question of nonpayment is known to the World Bank. The question of withdrawal of water is also known to the World Bank.

Defective Construction of Ships at Hindustan Shipyard

+ *263. \int Shri Subodh Hansda: Shri S. C. Samanta:

Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the enquiry of the expert Committee on the defective construction of certain ships in the Hindustan Shipyard is over;
- (b) whether the report has been submitted to Government; and
- (c) if so, the main findings of the report.

The Minister of Transport and Communications (Shri S. K. Patil):
(a) to (c). A statement is laid on the Table of the Lok Sabha, [See Appendix II, annexure No. 25.]

Shri Subodh Hansda: May I know what action has been taken against the persons responsible for this defect in the design or the construction of the vessels?

Shri S. K. Patil: The report has been placed on the Table of the Rouse. Several persons have been held responsible for certain omissions, but the chief person is the firm which was our adviser, A.C.L.

Mr. Speaker: He wants to know what steps have been taken even against the firm.

Shri S. K. Patil: We are trying to recover the money. Nothing else can be done at this stage. The firm has also been changed, because we have switched on to the other firm.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know whether in the excise launch "Andamans" this defect was found after the construction or in the intermediate stage?

Shri S. K. Patil: It was found after the construction, but the defect is only in the speed of the launch.

Shri Subodh Hansda: May I know whether any loss has been incurred on this construction?

Mr. Speaker: What is the amount of loss?

Shri S. K. Patil: Loss is not there in the sense that its dead-weight has gone down. Therefore, the loss will be in its actual running.

Shri S. C. Samanta: From the statement I find that while "Haldia" was under construction, some defects were found in the design. May I know whether it was referred back to the engineering consultants of the Calcutta Port Commissioners or the ship building authorities who took the step?

Shri S. K. Patil: It has not been finally constructed. The defects were noticed at an earlier stage that if the design was pursued, the dead-weight tonnage would be less. Therefore it has not been completed. It has been referred back to the Port Commissioners in Calcutta.

Shri Tangamani: In the survey vessel "Haldia" which is the subject matter here, may I know how much

has already been spent on construction?

Shri S. K. Patil: I would require notice for that. I have not got the figures here.

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: May I know whether there is any clause in the original agreement between the consultants and the Hindustan Shipvard by which the Government is enabled to collect the losses incurred because of either acts of omission or commission by the consultants?

Shri S. K. Patil: The charge of consultation is not the main factor in the cost of a ship. It is only a part of the cost. But the ship is defective because it has gone down in dead weight tonnage. Therefore, no question arises of substantially recovering the cost from anybody.

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: My question has not been answered. wanted to know whether in the original agreement between the consultants and the Hindustan Shipyard there was any clause whereby the Government is enabled to collect the losses incurred because of acts of omission or commission by the consultants.

Shri S. K. Patil: The loss is only the consultation fee, and that is not the material cost of the ship. The ship is built by others, by our yard, according to the design, and if the design is faulty, the ship also becomes faulty. Therefore, there is nothing to be recovered except that part of the cost which is under negotiation which we are claiming back

Mr. Speaker: The hon Member evidently wants to know if, in case any defect arises subsequently on account of the execution of the work according to the wrong advice given by the consultants, there is any penalty provided besides withholding

Shri S. K. Patil: For that I will have to go back to the contract. I have not got the information here.

Shri Hem Rarus: Regarding "Haldia" it is said in the statement: ,

"This vessel has been partly constructed and it was found that if the vessel were built to the design and particulars furnished by the Consulting Engineers of the Calcutta Port Commissioners it Would involve a considerable loss of dead weight."

So, what steps have been taken against this firm of consulting engineers for giving defective designs involving such a loss?

Shri S. K. Patil: The hon, Member is referring to "Haldia" which is the second thing which has not yet been built. It has been detected at an early stage that if that particular design is pursued, there will be loss in dead tonnage. Therefore, it is under negotiation between the Port Commissioners of Calcutta and the shipward.

Raissthan Canal

Shri Harish Chandra Mathur: Shri Ram Krishan: Shri P. L. Barupal:

Will the Minister of Irrigation and Power be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 440 on the 25th August, 1958 and state:

- (a) whether work on Rajasthan Canal is proceeding according to schedule:
- (b) how much amount has been speht so far and what provision exists for the rest of the Plan; and
- (c) whether administrative set up and control Board have been finalised and what is the nature of these organisations?

The Deputy Minister of Irrigation and Power (Shri Hathi): (a) The work in the Punjab portion is proceeding according to schedule but the progress in respect of the Rajasthan portion is rather slow as the work in that State has been started only recently.

- (b) Approximately a sum Rs. 68:62 lakhs has been spent so far. It is proposed to spend Rs. 18:5 crores in all during the Second Plan period.
- (c) The setting up of the administrative machinery and the Control Board are under consideration of the Government and will be finalised very soon.

Shri Harish Chandra Mathur: May I know what action is being taken to step up the progress of work on the Rajasthan side, and whether the Minister can give us an assurance that the canal water dispute with Pakistan will not retard the progress of this work?

Shri Hathi: Really, the execution is with the Rajasthan Government, and we have drawn their attention to the slow progress. The work in the Punjab will be completed according to schedule, and I hope that even the Rajasthan Government will expedite the progress.

Shri Harish Chandra Mathur: The retiring chairman of the water and irrigation board was to take over as the head of this project from the 1st of October. In spite of the fact that he has got very attractive offers from outside, he wants to stay on here. May I know why he has not been able to take over, and what is being done in this matter?

Shri Hathi: The terms of agreement for the conditions of service etc. between him and the Rajasthan Government are being considered by the Rajasthan Government.

Shri Harish Chandra Mathur: May I know whether the Central Government are in touch with this matter, particularly, regarding the setting up of the administrative and control machinery, and also what steps have been taken so far to finalise this?

Shri Hathi: Yes, the Central Government are in touch with this matter. In fact, a meeting was held this month on the 7th instant, and the matter is now nearly finalised.

Shri Harish Chandra Mathur: May I know what has been finalised?

Shri Ram Krishan: In reply to a previous question, the Deputy Minister had stated that the proposals had been sent to the Puniah and the Rajasthan Governments. May I know whether any reply has been received from these Governments?

Shri Hathi: The replies were received from the Governments, and the points that were raised by the Governments were actually discussed at the meeting on the 7th November. 1958, to which I had referred earlier, and those points have been solved.

Shri Harish Chandra Mathur: The hon. Minister has not stated what has been finalised now.

Mr. Speaker: Hereafter, I shall allow the hon. Member to ask only four supplementary questions. He must make up his mind to ask those questions which are of the greatest importance.

Shri Harish Chandra Mathnr: It is so nice of you to say so. I am referring to the question which I have already asked. One part of the question has not been answered, and that is whether the canal water dispute with Pakistan will not retard the progress of this work; another which he has answered is that it has been finalised May I know what has been finalised, and what is the shape now?

Shri Hathi: The composition, duties and functions of the Rajasthan Canal Board have been finalised.

Shri Harish Chandra Mathur: What is it?

Shri Hathi: That is, who will be on the control board, what will be the functions of the board etc.

Oral Answers Rate for Public Telephone Call

Shri Bahadur Singh: Shri Shree Narayan Das:

Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) the steps taken in respect of the proposal to increase the charge for a local telephone call to 15 nP.; and
 - (b) the increase in income expected?

The Minister of Transport and Communications (Shri S. K. Patil): (a) All existing coin boxes which now accept a 2 anna charge will be modified to accept two coins one of 10 nP. and one of 5 nP. totalling to a 15 nP. charge. The modification will be commenced on 1st January, 1959 and is expected to be completed by 31st January, 1959 at all places in India.

(b) This depends on the number of calls made after the revision of charge. On the assumption that there will be no change in the number of calls, the yearly increase of revenue would be approximately Rs. 1 lakh only

Shri Tangamani: In view of the fact that the additional revenue is likely to be only Rs. 1 lakh, may I know why there is this increase to 15 nP. instead of its being 12 nP.?

Shri S. K. Patil: It comes to that. according to the scheme of rationalisation, because he must not pay anything less than the average ordinary subscriber who pays something more than 15 nP. for a call That is the first point. Secondly, a committee was appointed to go into the matter and that committee recommneded 20 nP. This is indeed a compromise that we have made, so that we could bring him as near the ordinary subscriber as we possibly can

Shri V. P. Nayar: The hon. Minister stated that the modifications will be completed before long. May I know the total expenditure which would be involved on the complete modification required to make it accept new coins. and whether it is the Government

which undertakes the work of modification or whether any agency has been entrusted with this work?

Shri S. K. Patil: These things do not exactly arise out of this question. I have no information about the actual expenditure that will be there. But it is being done in our own workshop.

Shri Braj Raj Singh: In view of the increase of revenue by nearly Rs. 1 lakh, may I know whether there is any proposal with Government to revise the increased charge for local telephone calls to 10 nP. only?

Shri S. K. Patil: No, I said that we are charging at the rate of 15 nP.. because the average subscriber also pays that.

Shri Achar: The hon. Minister was pleased to say that a committee had been appointed. May I know the composition of the Committee, and whether it was a Governmental committee?

Shri S. K. Patil: I have not got the composition here. A committee had been appointed to recommend the telephone tariff that should be charged. The composition is not here before me. If the hon. Member wants the names. I shall give him the same; the names are not here

Shri Ansar Harvani: As most of the telephone booths are defective, and even after our putting the coin in the slot, the calls do not mature, may I know what amount Government expect to earn from such non-matured calls?

Shri S. K. Patil: The whole question of this public call office is under examination. In other countries, there is a special coin that has to be put there, which is not a current coin which can be used. We are also considering the possibility of whether that could be done, and that will be a better answer, but it will take some time before we come to that decision.

Shri V. P. Nayar: May I know whether Government are aware that apart from calls not resulting after the coin is put, there are also devices by which calls can be had without putting coins, and the coins can also be taken back?

Shri S. K. Patil: We know. We are aware of many things, but that is true in all walks of life. You do something, but there are cleverer people who find an answer to it. But we are improving.

हिमाचस प्रदेश का परिवहन विजान

*२६७. श्री पदा देव: स्या परिवहन तथा संचार मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) हिमाचल प्रदेश के परिवहन विभाग ने सेन्ट्रल वर्कशाप के विकास पर कितना घन व्यय किया: धौर
 - (ख) वहा क्या-क्या काम किये गये?

परिवहन तथा संचार मंत्री (श्री स० का॰ पाटिस): (क) ४.२६ लाख रुपये।

(ख) हिमाचल सरकार के परिवहत विभाग के सेन्ट्रल वर्कशाप में मोटर गाड़ियों की मोवरहाल (Overhau!), इंजनों का नवीकरण (Reconditioning), बसों के ढांचों का निर्माण, उनकी मर्रमत तथा प्रशासन के स्टाफ कारों की सर्विसिंग (Servicing) की जाती है। वर्कशाप में मोटर गाड़ी की बैटरियां भी बन जाती है।

Some hon. Members: In English also,

Shri S. K. Patil: (a) Rs. 5:26 lakhs.

(b) The Central Workshop of the Transport Department of the Himachal Government attends to major overhauls of vehicles, re-conditioning of engines, construction and repairs of bus bodies and servicing of the staff cars of the Himachal Pradesh Administration. Motor vehicle batteries are also being made in the Workshop.

बी पर्य देव: माननीय मंत्री को यह मालूम है कि हिमाचल में प्रधिक संख्या में बीमार गाड़ियां सड़कों पर पड़ी रहती है। क्या हिमाचल में उनको ठीक करने का कोई प्रबन्ध है।

बी स॰ का॰ पाटिल: प्रवन्ध तो हो रहा है। हिमाचल में सड़के कुछ ऐसी है कि गाड़ियां बीमार हो जाती है। इसलिए दूसरी जगहों के मुकाबले में वहा की सड़कों को दुरुस्त रखने के लिए ज्यादा पैसा दिया जाता है।

श्री पद्म देव: क्या माननीय मंत्री बतला मकोंगे कि इस साल कितनी गाड़ियों का जीर्णोद्धार हुन्ना है ?

भी स॰ का॰ पाटिल: मेरे पास ये फिगर नहीं हैं कि कितनी गाड़िया बीमार हुई भीर कितनों का जीणोंद्वार हुआ।

Shri Tyagi: I hope they are not infectious.

श्री श्रम्बुल लतीक : क्या डाक्टरों का कोई बोर्ड विठाया गया है यह तहकीकात करने के लिए कि यह क्या नई बीमारी गाडियों को हो रही है ?

Mr. Speaker: We need not pursue that simile.

Shri Kamal Singh: In view of the heavy expenditure incurred in wear and tear of motor vehicles, is it considered that some extra amount be spent on the upkeep of roads, building of new roads etc.?

Shri S. K. Patil: That is exactly what is contemplated. But it is a State responsibility, of course in consultation and co-operation with us, and we have decided to give every help to that Government to do that.

Plan for Control of Flood in U.P.

*268, Shri S. M. Banerjee: Will the Minister of Irrigation and Power be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Master Plan for Flood Control in U.P. has since been examined by the High Level Committee on Floods:
- (b) if so, what are the salient features of the Plan: and
- (c) whether Centre is likely to grant some aid for this?

The Deputy Minister of Irrigation and Power (Shri Hathi); (a) Yes, Sir. An "Outline of the Master Plan for flood problems in U.P." received from the State Government has been examined by the High Level Committee on Floods.

(b) and (c). A statement containing the requisite information is laid on the Table of the Lok Sabha. [See Appendix II, annexure No. 26.]

Shri S. M. Banerjee: The statement contains brief details of flood protection works proposed in the Outline of the Mastern Plan. May I know from which year or month this work is likely to be started and the plan going to be executed?

Shri Hathi: Some of the works included in this Plan are already under execution. The remaining, of course, will have to be taken up in future years. But as to which of the works could be started will be decided by the Central Flood Control Board.

Shri Vajpayee: May I know if there is any scheme to protect U.P. towns against erosion, and if so, the names of those towns? Has the scheme been put into execution?

Shri Hathi: Broadly, the Master Plan has six categories of protection works: marginal embankments, raising of the level of villages, protection of towns against erosion by rivers, drainage, increasing waterways under bridges and flood detention reservoirs.

Mr. Speaker: He wants to know the names of the towns.

Shri Hathi: I have not got the list of towns.

Shri Braj Raj Singh: In view of heavy floods in West U.P. for the last two or three years, may I know whether there is any special scheme for flood control in West U.P.?

Shri Hathi: Really, these flood protection schemes are formulated by the State Government themselves. Whatever schemes they forward to the Central Water and Power Commission are examined and loans given on priority basis to such schemes according to the financial resources available.

Mr. Speaker: I thought U.P. was a The hon. Member single State wants to divide it into East and West, North and South!

Shri P. C. Bose: In view of the fact that most of the rivers of U.P. flow into Bihar, is it not proper to have a Master Plan for both the States together?

Shri Hathi: In fact, the High Level Committee on Floods have examined all the outline plans submitted by various State Governments.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: The details given are of a long-term nature. In view of the repeated floods in U.P., what immediate remedial measures are likely to be taken up by the Committee or by the State Government or the Central Government?

Shri Hathi: Immediate measures are being taken. Actually marginal embankments are under construction. Then some villages are being raised. Protection works against erosion are being proceeded with. In fact, we have given a loan of about Rs. 8 crores to U.P. for these emergent works.

Khoela Committee

*269. { Shri T. B. Vittal Rao: Shri D. C. Sharma:

+

Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 1497 on the 23rd September, 1958 and state when the Khosla Committee appointed to go into the condition of Railway Bridges and to suggest measures for improving the same is likely to submit their report?

The Deputy Minister of Eailways (Shri S. V. Ramaswamy): As already stated, this is a Committee of experts entrusted with a very important job requiring deep and exhaustive study and collection of voluminous data from various sources which naturally takes time.

The preliminary report of the Committee is in its final stages and is likely to be submitted early next year.

Shri T. B. Vittal Rao: May I exactly know at what stage the Committee's work stands now, whether they have wished inspection of the bridges they wanted to inspect, and collected evidence from eminent engineers?

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: All I can submit at this stage is that the terms of reference have been looked into and in accordance with the terms of reference, they have gone into the matter and are submitting a preliminary report. If my hon. friend is interested in the terms of reference, I shall read them.

Shri T. B. Vittal Rao: I know them.

Mr. Speaker: He wants to know how far they have progressed in the matter of inspection and so on. If the hon. Minister has got details, he may give them.

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: I have not got details of the inspection.

Shri D. C. Sharma: In view of the urgency of this matter, is it not thought desirable that we should have

interim reports as often as possible se that the damage to the bridges and difficulties about them are overcome as early as possible?

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: I do not think we can hustle these experts. This is a very intricate and delicate matter—a very difficult matter too involving collection of data, collation, collaboration.....

Shri V. P. Nayar: Botheration!

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: and examination. All this takes time. We cannot rush through.

Shri T. B. Vittal Rao: According to the terms of reference, the Committee were asked to submit the report within six months. Be that as it may, before the Committee finalise their recommendations, will they again collect evidence of eminent engineers in the country?

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: I do not know, but whatever is necessary to collect in order to finalise their report will be done by them.

Shri P. C. Bose: Are urgent or important repair works to bridges being carried out simultaneously with the work of the Committee or are they being held up?

The Minister of Railways (Shri Jagjivan Ram): No work has been held up in anticipation of the recommendations of the Committee.

Shri Tangamani: This Committee was appointed more than two years ago, and after that, we find similar accidents taking place over the same bridges, as it happened in the Maruthayar bridge. May I know whether any interim recommendations were made by the Committee to immediately take suitable steps about particular bridges?

Shri Jagjivan Ram: The Committee was appointed sometime in March, 1957.

Shri T. B. Vittal Rao: Nearly two VERTE.

Shri Jagiivan Ram; Not two years. As has been stated by the Deputy Minister, it is a very important work. There has been great improvement in hydraulic science and technology. There have been changes in water -courses and irrigation systems also in the country. At times rainfall falsifies all previous data. All these things have to be taken into consideration before the experts are in a position to make recommendations which will hold the field for many years to come. Therefore, they are taking time.

As regards taking evidence, most of the famous engineers in the country have been associated with the Committee either as regular members or as co-opted members.

Accident to Night Airmail Skymaster

- *279. Shrl V. P. Nayar: Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 1508 on the 23rd September, 1958 regarding accident to Night Air Service Skymaster at Madras and state:
- (a) whether any enquiry has been made: and
- (b) if so, what are the findings of the enquiry?

The Deputy Minister of Civil Aviation (Shri Mohiuddin): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) The accident Investigation report has been received and is under examination.
- Shri V. P. Nayar: Is it a fact that when the pilot detected fuel leakage in the 'plane and was landing, he found to his dismay that the brakes of the 'plane did not work?

Shri Mohiuddin: As I stated, the report is under examination. All the points brought out by the Investigation Committee will be considered in due course. I cannot reveal any details now.

Shri V. P. Nayar: Is the hon, Minister aware that but for the extraordinary courage shown by the pilot

Oral Answers

Mr. Speaker: What is the good of going into details now?

Shri V. P. Nayar: I saw that 'plane the next day.

Mr. Speaker: I am happy he did it.

Shri V. P. Nayar: We are all happy that 47 lives were saved.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Minister also might have seen it the next day. Let us await the report. I am sure the report, if it is an important one, will be placed on the Table of the House

Shri V. P. Nayar: I wanted to know if the report suggests that the pilot was extraordinarily competent in this case and Government would reward the pilot for saving 47 lives.

Mr. Speaker: Let us wait and see. These are all suggestions for action.

Milk Powder and Condensed Milk Project

- *271. Shri Ram Krishan: Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that a milk powder and condensed milk project is being set up at Amritsar;
- (b) if so, whether any other place for this purpose was recommended by the Punjab Government;
- (c) if so, the names of those places; and
- (d) the reasons for selecting Amritsar?

The Deputy Minister of Agriculture (Shri M. V. Krishnappa); composite plant for processing milk and manufacturing milk products like butter, ghee and milk powder is being set up at Amritsar.

(b) and (c) The project is included in the State sector of the Punjab

161#

1613

(d) Amritsar was selected presumably because it is a very important milk pocket.

certained from the State Government.

Shri Ram Krishan: May I know the total amount to be spent on this project and the total quantity of milk to be produced?

Shri M. V. Krishnappa: The project will cost ultimately Rs. 30,50,000 and it will be processing 2,500 mds. of milk per day and manufacturing 50,000 mds. of butter and 60,000 mds. of dried milk powder.

Shri Ram Krishan: May I know whether the Punjab Government has proposed to set up such a project in the Hindi speaking area of Punjab which is a milk producing area?

Shri M. V. Krishanappa: It is for the coming in Nabha; but the Hariana area which the hon. Member referred to is a very important area and Delhi will be gettting a large quantity of milk from this area because the Delhi project is coming into operation very soon-from June next year-and we would like to use the milk produced in the Hariana area for meeting its requirements.

Shri D. C. Sharma: Is it not a fact that Gurdaspur was suggested as the site for this factory? May I know why Gurdaspur was turned down?

Shri M. V. Krishnappa: It is for the Punjab Government to fix the location of the factory.

Shri V. P. Nayar: May I know whether in setting up the factory Government have considered the suitability or desirability of sending the products to areas where the per capita consumption of milk is very very low such as in the States of Kerala and Madras?

Shri M: V. Krishnappa: That is the main consideration in thinking of the milk powder. We want to convert all the surplus liquid milk of this milk-producing area and send it toplaces where people do not get milk.

Shri V. P. Nayar: Ultimately, it will go to Calcutta.

Shri M. V. Krishnappa; It is alsoimportant.

Shri Heda: There is a proposal to colour the powder or the milk. I know the reasons for this since nobody would mistake powder for fresh milk or the pasteurised milk for fresh milk?

Shri M. V. Krishnappa: Presumably the hon. Member is referring to press report regarding yesterday's Municipal Corporation resolution wherin they are reported to have decided unanimuosly that in Delhi skimmed milk should be coloured. But today there is a contradiction and they say that that was not decided.

Money Orders

Shri Nagi Redy: Shrimati Parvathi Krishnan: Pandit D. N. Tiwary:

Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Money Orders worth nearly Rs. 20,000|-remained unpaid at a local Post Office in Muzaffarpur for several days because there was no money; and
- (b) if so, whether the mater was enquired into?

The Minister of Transport and Communications (Shri S. K. Patil): (a) There were heavy accumulations of money orders in the interior sub-office in Muzaffarpur District in the month: of September, 1958.

(b) The Postmaster-General has instituted enquiries into the matter and has taken all remedial measures pos-

Skri Nagi Reddy: May I know the number of days that the money orders were kept pending in that particular post office?

Shri S. K. Patil: I cannot give the exact number of days; but they were pending for a few days in the same month-maybe some weeks.

Shri Nagi Reddy: May I know the number of persons who were put to trouble due to this delay?

Shri S. K. Patil: It is very difficult to say how many persons were put to inconvenience.

Shrimati Parvathi Krishnan: May I know the reasons for this delay and the specific remedial measures have been taken?

Shri S. K. Patil: The reasons are very obvious. (Interruption). To these places remittances go in one thousand a day because security has also to be taken into consideration the average being Rs. 29 lakhs and some thousands —which is the district average. Instead of that, all of a sudden, owing to drought and other conditions, there were many money orders and they came to Rs. 34 lakhs, some Rs. 5 to Rs. 6 lakhs in addition. Therefore, this kind of congestion was necessitated because of security reasons.

With regard to the other part of the hon. Member's question, the remedial measures, when all these are converted into mail bags and other remedies are adopted, it is possible that we shall be able, consistent with security, to pay the money orders quicker

Shri Tyagi: Have the Department informed the senders of these money orders that their money orders could not be delivered in time so that they can take to some other arrangements?

Shri S. K. Patil: It is indeed a very fine suggestion. I do not think it was done. But, I would take that suggestion that in cases where these things happen sometimes, it is a better policy. if it is feasible that the persons affected shall be duly informed.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know whether one of the reasons is the paucity of sufficient number of cash overseers available to carry the money from the head office to the local office?

Shri S. K. Patil: That is correct: and, therefore, their number is alsoproposed to be increased.

भी गणपति राम : क्या म ती महोदय को माल्म है कि:बनारस जिले में कुछ छोटे छोटे पोस्ट ग्राफिसिज में छ छ ग्रीर चार चार महीने के मनीमाइंर मभी भी पडे हए है और एक एक छोटे छोटे पोस्ट प्राफिस में पाच पाच भीर दग दस हजार रुपये तक के मनी झाडंर अभी भी रखे हुए हैं [?] यदि हा, तो इस बार में क्या कायवाही की जा

श्री स० का० पाटिल: यह मवाल इस से नहीं उठता है। लेकिन इस चीज को भी मैंदेख लुगाः।

Shipping Freight Rates

°273 {Shri Raghunath Singh: Shri Aurobindo Ghosai:

Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state whether it is a fact that Government is again taking up the question of readjusting freight rates with foreign shipping Companies with a view to encourage export from this country?

The Minister for Transport and Communications (Shri S. K. Patil): Yes, Sir, This is a more or less continuous process and Government take up the matter with the shipping Conferences concerned whenever plaints are received to the effect that freight rates on any commodity figuring in India's export trade are either

high or discriminatory. The question of setting up a regular organisation for tackling this problem more effectively is under consideration.

श्री रधुनाथ सिंह: यभी तक किसी के साथ ग्रापकी कान्केंस या मीटिंग हुई है. इस बारे में या नहीं कि हिन्दुस्तान की एक्सनोर्ट टंड में उन्नति हो भीर इस हेत् फेट रेट में थोड़ी सी कमी हो ?

भी स॰ का॰ पाटिल: एक कान्फ्रेस हो गई है, Sixth Meeting of the Consultative Committee of Interests, 19-8-58 Shipping वह भी इसो नतीजे पर पहुंची है कि फोट बढ़ रहे हैं और डिकिमिनेशन हो रहा है। लेकिन गर्वनमेंट कोशिश कर रही कि जहां तक हो सके यह चीज न हो।

Shri Tyagi: May I have an idea of the approximate amounts paid from year to year as freight to these foreign shipping companies; and may I also know whether these payments are made in rupee currency or in the respective currencies of the countries to which the ships belong?

Shri S. K. Patil: So far as the separate moneys are concerned, it is difficult for me to say now because I have not got the figures. But the currency is not the rupee currency; it is foreign exchange that we have to pay unless there is arrangement to the contrary.

Shri A. C. Guha: Is it true that in some cases the discriminatory freight rates are acting against the promotion of our export trade in particular matters?

Shri S. K. Patil: That is exactly what I answered the hon. Member. Therefore, we are taking up this matter so that the charges, whether high or low, if they are of a discriminatory character should be taken into consideration.

Shri Tangamani: Was this question of discrimination considered at the sixth meeting and may I know whether any decision was arrived at?

Shri S. K. Patil: The question WAS considered; but what exactly decided in detail is not before me.

Shri Tangamani: Has there been any subsequent conference?

Shri A. C. Guha: Is it true that in some cases the freight between neighbouring countries like Burma and Malaya to the U.K. is lower than the treight rates to India from those countries?

Shri S. K. Patil: That may happen. But, these matters are not exactly under our control except through a process of negotiation: and we are going through a very delicate process of negotiation.

Export of Rice from Orissa to Bihar

*274. Shri Panigrahi: Will Minister of Food and Agriculture be bleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 488 on the 25th August, 1958 and state:

- (a) whether 25,000 tons of rice have been exported to Bihar as agreed to by the Government of Orissa;
- (b) what was the price paid per maund of rice exported to Rihar from Orissa: and
- (c) whether there is any stock of rice in Orissa on the Goverment of India account?

The Deputy Minister of Food and Agriculture (Shri A. M. Thomas): (a) The Orissa Government have been offering rice to the Bihar Government in instalments, and a quantity of 10,000 tons has been offered so far. Of this, about 5,000 tons have been despatched and further despatches are in progress.

- (b) The prices paid are :-
- Fine Rs. 19/1/3 per maund bagged F.O.R. des-patching station (inclusive of Sales Tax) (i) S Rice j Super
- . 1 Rs. 18/15/3 per maund bagged ex godown (inclusive (ii) Fine Rice " of Sales Tex.)

(iii) Common Rice Rs. 18/7/- per maund begged ex-godown (luclusive of Sales Tex.)

(e) No. Sir.

Shri Panigrahi: Recently, the Union Government has authorised the State Government of Orissa to purchase rice at the rate of Rs. 15/- per md. May I know whether this rice would be purchased from the purchasing agents or directly from the farmers?

Shri A. M. Thomas: It is only a recent arrangement that the Government of the State of Orissa has been authorised to purchase at a particular rate. That will be from the producers as well as those who offer to the State Government.

The Minister of Food and Agriculture (Shri A. P. Jain): With your permission I may add that this rice was purchased by the Government of Orissa on its own account, and not on the account of Government of India. The Government of Bihar was in need of rice. These two Governments were put in touch with one another and the Government of Orissa sold rice to Bihar Government on a no-profit-no-loss basis.

Shri Panigrahi: Recently the Orissa Government has submitted to the Union Government that at the price fixed, Rs. 15 per maund, the State Government is not prepared to purchase rice. Is the Central Government going to suggest any other measure to the State Government?

Shri A. P. Jain: Last year we suggested Rs. 15 for the purchase of rice on behalf of Government of India. They did not agree to that figure and they purchased rice at a higher price. It was Rs. 15.50, I believe, and was purchased on their own account. So far as this year is concerned, we have intimated them that they should make purchase on our behalf at Rs. 15 per maund.

Shri Panigrahi: May I know whether this Rs. 15 per maund is the priceto be paid to the purchasing agent or directly to the cultivators?

Shri A. P. Jain: Whoever may be the supplier, the Government pay Rs. 15.

Shri Jaganatha Rao: May I know if large quantities of rice procured last year by the Orissa Government on the Government of India's account is still lying there awaiting removal, in Koraput especially.

Shri A. P. Jain: No rice was purchased by the Orissa Government last year on the account of Government of India.

Shri V. C. Shukia: Recently, the Government of India have fixed the sale price of rice at Rs. 15 per maund in Madhya Pradesh. May I know if the rice imported from Madhya Pradesh would be paid just that purchase price or the Government of Madhya Pradesh would be getting a much higher price as in Orissa?

Shri A. P. Jain: May I respectfully submit that the hon. Member may table another question?

Mr. Speaker: There are fourteen States; I am not going to allow this sort of question.

Shri Daljit Singh: May I know the effect that this purchase had in the market at Orissa? Has it increased the local price?

Shri A. P. Jain: It created no effect.

Mr. Speaker: He wants to know whether it has increased the local price.

Shri A. P Jain: No, Sir. This was the stock lying with the Government of Orissa and the Government of Orissa sold it to the Government of Bihar. It was not purchased at the time from the market. Shri Heda: May I know whether the fixation of the price at Rs. 15 has any bearing to the existing market rates or it has been done with a view to bring down the rates?

Shri A. P. Jain: The Government of India had fixed the price after taking into account several factors. One was the recommendation made by the Foodgrains Enquiry Committee—that procurement price should be fixed between Rs. 15 and Rs. 17. The other was the procurement price prevailing in the year 1952-53 and also the market price prevailing in certain other years.

Shri Panigrahi: May I know whether the State Government has written to the Union Government to the effect that this fixation of Rs. 15 is not going to help the farmers if the State Government is asked to purchase it from the purchasing agents?

Shri A. P. Jain: I could not follow the question.

Mr. Speaker: All that he wants to know is this. Has the States Government written to the Central Government that this Rs. 15 would not reach the peasants if the rice is purchased from the middlemen? Is there any instruction given by the Centre that it ought to be purchased from the middlemen?

Shri A. P. Jain: No, Sir. There is no such direction from the Centre. We have also authorised the State Governments to buy paddy at the corresponding rate so that the profiteering of the middlemen can thereby be curbed.

Shri P. G. Deb: May I know if this price-fixation is going to benefit the cultivator?

Shri A. P. Jain: It is going to benefit everybody.

Surarcane

Shri Bimal Ghose:
Shri Ram Krishan:
Shri Bishwanath Roy:
*275.
*Shri Nagi Reddy:
Shrimati Parvathi Krishnan:
Shri Vajpayee:
Shri Ajit Singh Sarhadi:

Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the per acre yield of sugarcane and the recovery per cent of sugar in cane have been declining over the past three years; and
- (b) if so, the reasons therefor and measures adopted to effect improvement in this regard?

The Deputy Minister of Food and Agriculture (Shri A. M. Thomas):
(a) and (b). A statement is laid on the Table of the Lok Sabha. [See Appendix II, annexure No. 27.]

Shri Bimal Ghose: The hon. Minister has been good enough to give us statistics for the last ten years. Neither the average per acre yield nor the recovery per cent had increased in the last ten years May I know if the Government is satisfied with this position and also with the activities of such institutions as the Indian Central Sugarcane Committee?

Shri A. M. Thomas: There has not been any substantial increase in the recovery percentage.....

Some Hon. Members: No increase.

Shri A. M. Thomas: In 1957-58, it is 10.00 whereas in the previous years it has been 9.72 or 9.83 and 9.93. There has been some increase....... (Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: Let the hon. Deputy Minister explain the position. The hon. Members are themselves answering the question. Why are they impatient?

Shri A. M. Thomas: In the statement placed on the Table, it has been **I633**

stated that the variations are mainly due to natural causes such as drought, irregular rainfall, floods, etc. We have adopted intensive cane development measures from 1949 and we have also provided for Rs. 8 crores for cane development in the Second Plan. We are spending substantial amounts in the direction of providing chemical fertilizers and irrigation facilities. We are attending to seed improvement also. We are thus taking the necessary measures. Of course the progress has not been substantial. But we have been able to cover about fifty per cent of the total sugarcane area in the country. It may also be stated that the Coimbatore cane variety is now in use in about 95 per cent of the area and it is a variety known throughout the world.

The Minister of Food and Agriculture (Shri A. P. Jain): With your permission, may I add a word, Sir? I agree that there has not been any substantial profess in the yield per acre: I am not satisfied with it. But I would like the hon. Members to take one factor into account. New areas have come under sugarcane which were not so suitable. In the areas which were previously under sugarcane there has been a comparatively higher yield, but because of these new areas, the average yield has been lowered. We are of course proposing to concentrate our efforts to increase the yield per acre.

Shri Bimal Ghose: This information was not given in the statement. Do I take it from the hon. Deputy Minister that all that we can do for increasing the yield would merely balance the counter-effects of natural causes and we can never expect to have any increase in the average?

Shri A. P. Jain: There is no such implication. I think sugarcane yield can increase.

Sari Braj Raj Singh: From the statement it appears that in the Second Plan there is some proposal for cane development and one proposal is the construction of pucca roads in sugar factory areas. What is the mileage of pucca roads constructed in the sugar factory area during the Second Plan? Is it a fact that the cane cess realised from the cultivators for the purpose of constructing pucca roads has remained unutilised for some years?

Mr. Speaker: We are going away. Many details are given in the statement no doubt. But the question relates to recovery and the supplementary goes to roads.

Shri Ajit Singh Sarhadi: May I know if it is not a fact that in Punjab the recovery percentage has been very much less during the last five years?

Shri A. M. Thomas: In Punjab in 1957-58 the figure is 9.42 compared to 9.92 in the previous year. So, there is some increase.

Pandit R. C. Sharma: Has the hon. Minister taken any step for introducing varieties of sugarcane which give a better recovery percentage in preference to the other varieties and if so, would he like to change the price formula for the sugarcane?

Shri A. P. Jain: It is our constant effort to introduce better yielding types of sugarcane and also the types of sugarcane which give better recovery; but that has no bearing with the bonus formula.

Shrimati Parvathi Krishnan: The hon. Minister stated that a sum of Rs. 8 crores has been set apart for sugarcane work in the Second Five Year Plan. May I know whether that includes the expenditure on the Central Sugarcane Research Stations and also the expenditure that is being incurred on the repeated delegations that are sent abroad to find out other methods of sugarcane productios?

Shri A. M. Thomas: The amount of outlay which is necessary for State schemes is included therein as also the amount which would be spent on the Sugarcane Research Institute

Shrimati Paryathi Krishnan: May I know whether there have been any results of the delegations going abroad. whether other methods αf production have been adopted; and if so, whether any useful results have come from them?

Shri A. M. Thomas: It is true that one delegation has gone abroad and it has visited certain countries like Australia It has submitted a report and the recommendations contained therein are also being taken into consideration.

Shri Nagi Reddy: Has the Government tried to review the whole work of the Sugarcane Board for the past eight years to see where the lacuna lies, because even though the Board has been functioning and spending a lot of money we have not been able to increase the production?

Shri A. M Thomas: It is not correct to say that we have spent a lot of money From the Central revenues have spent for development schemes in 1956-57 Rs 32 lakhs, Rs 38 lakhs in 1957-58 and who have provided about Rs 60 lakks for the current year

Shri Shivananjappa: In view of the fact that sugarcane purce is linked with the recovery, may I know the steps taken by Government to keep the sugarcane price remunerative to the cultivator?

Shri A P. Jain: 1 nstly, there is the basic price of Rs 1-7-0 fixed for factory and Rs 1-5-0 for outstations. Secondly, along with it, the cultivators get a bonus worked out on the basis of the fo, mula which has now become a part of the price.

क्षान की केतावनी देने वाला रकार बंध

Oral Answers

क्या परिवहन तथा संचार मंत्री २७ सितम्बर, १६४८ के ताराकित प्रक्न संख्या १६६१ के उत्तर के सम्बन्ध में यह बताने की कपा करेगे कि:

(क) क्या यह सच है कि नई दिल्ली के सफदरजग हवाई ग्रह पर तफान की चेतावनी देने वाला जो रडार यन लगाया गया है, वह धल के तुफान के बारे में किसी प्रकार भी सूचना नहीं दे सकता है; भीर

(ल) यदि हा, तो इस कमी को दूर करने के लिये क्या कार्यवाही की जा रही **a** ?

प्रसैनिक उड्डयन उपमंत्री (धी महीउद्दीन) (क) भीर (ख). यह रहार एं मी ग्रावियों का पता देता है जो बूंगे से लदे हुए वादलों के साथ हो। ज्यादातर श्राधिया इसी किस्म की हाती है। रहार की खम्मियन पानी बरमाने वाली ग्राधियों यानी थण्डर-स्टार्म का पता लगाना है। यह रडार उन अवस्क आधियों का पता नहीं लगा सकता जिनके साथ पानी से लदे हुए बादल न पार्य जाये। इस किस्म के रहार की यह पामी नहा है।

्श्री**भक्त दर्शनः** श्रीमान, जत्र कि माननीय मंत्री और शासन को जात है कि दिल्ली में ज्यादातर तूफान रगिस्तान के नजरीक होने के बारण बरा भरें ग्राने हैं तो ऐसो हालत में काई ऐसा यत्र क्यों नहीं म्थापित किया गया जो थि ऐसी मानियो का पना लगा सके ?

श्री महीउद्दीनः में ने जवाब में बताया है कि ज्यादातर आधिया ऐमी होती है जिन के कि माच पानी का भी सगाव होता है की र इनिलए क्रांतियों का पता यह रडार दे देगा।

धी भक्त दर्धन : अब तक इस यत्र के द्वारा जो भी अनुभव प्राप्त किया गया है में धानना चाहता हूं कि क्या वह काफ सन्तोवजनक है या नहीं और क्या उससे हमारे हवाई जहां जो का काफी सदद मिली है कि नहीं 2

भी मुहीबद्दीनः मेरे स्थान में काफी महायता मिली है।

Shri Joachim Alva: When TU Jets are coming in and Boeing Jets are soon to come, may I know why we have not got radar capable enough of detecting every kind of storm?

Shri Mohiuddin. I have already stated that most of the storms in North India will be detected by this equipment

श्री अक्त बर्गन मयह जानना चाहना ह कि इस नरह ना रडार यत्र क्या केवल दिल्नी में ही स्थापित किया जा रहा है या देश के अन्य स्थानों में भी उसे स्थपित करन की कोई योजना है

भी मुहीउद्दीत . उस निम्म का यह रैयेन रडार एक हा हे लिकन दूसरे किस्म के मान रडार यक हमने खरीदने हैं जिनमें स दमदम ग्रीर मैट्रालाजीकात्र प्राफिस नई दिल्ली उन दा स्थाना पर वह रडार फिट हो चुके हैं ग्रीर - की शान्तकुत, नागपुर महास, गया ग्रीर गीहाटी इन पाच जगहो पर, ग्रीर लगाय जायेग ।

Mr. Speaker. Next Question

Shri A. M Tarig: Question No 277

Shri Tangamani: Sir I request that Question 282 also may be taken up along with this

Mr. Speaker: Let me see 1. Shri D C Sharma present? 249(Ai) LSD-2 An Hon. Member: No

Mr. Speaker: Then I cannot allow it to be taken up

Shri Tangamani: Part of the answer to this question is to be given by the Previous question

'Ir Speaker: That does not matter, the hon Member is not interested

Delhi Transport

277. Shri A M Tariq: Shri Bibhuti Mishra:

Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state.

- (a) whether Government are aware of the acute shortage of transport facilities in Delhi.
- (b) if so, what steps are being taken to improve such facilities, and
- (c) whether there i any proposal to increase the number of two-scater scooters in Delhi

The Minister of Transport and Communications (Shr. S K Patil).

(a) Yes There is shortage of cheap transport

- (b) Steps have been taken to dugment the existing fleet of buses with the Delhi Transport Undertaking The State Transport Authority, Delhi, is issuing additional permits for taxis and two-seater auto-rick-shaws
- tc) Additional permits for twoseater auto-rickshaws are being issutd by the State Transport Authority Delhi, as and when vericles become available

श्री श्र० मु० तारिक क्या ट्रकमन का यह इत्म है कि दिल्ली म जो ट्रमीटर स्कूटमें चलते हैं जनमें में ६० फीसदी ऐसे हैं जिनका कि माइलेंज मीटर हमेशा खराब रहता है श्रीर क्या उनको पता है कि इन ट सीटर स्कूटमें के ड्राइवमें अपनी मरजी में जहा जाना चाहे जाते हैं श्रीर पैमेजमें को भी वह इसके लिए मजब्र करते है कि वे भी वही जांय जहां कि वे जाना चाहते हैं?

Oral Answers

की स॰ का॰ वाटिल: यह जानकारी में भानरेबल मैंबर से लेता हूं।

श्री विमति निभाः मे जामता चाहता हुं कि नई दिल्ली में सरकार यह ट सीटर्स बाटो किशा चलाने के लिए वया इंतजाम कर रही है ?

Shri S. K. Patil: This is, Sir, the responsibility of that Government and the Chief Commissioner, and they have got a programme of expanding the facilities of transport.

भी प्रव मुक्तारिक: में ने पूछा था कि क्या 4ह हक़ीकत है कि दिल्ली में जो स्कटर्स चलते हैं उनमें से ६० फ़ीसदी ऐसे है जिनका कि माइलेज मीटर हमेशा खराव रहता है और इस बारे में हुत्मत ने क्या पालिसी श्रीखत्यार की है ?

श्री स० का० पाटिस : में ने कहा कि कि वह चीज़ तो श्रभी इस सवाल से नडी उठ री है लेकिन पता है भीर वह जानकारी मुझे मिली है भीर में उसे राइट नवाटॅर्स मे भेज दंगा।

Shri Ansar Harvani: Is there any proposal to hand over some of the routes to private operators to make up the shortage of transport facilities m the city?

Shri S. K. Patil: The hon Member seems to have taken it from some report that has appeared in the Press; I have not received any intimation that the Corporation is contemplating such

Shri Ram Krishan: May I know whether Government have received any complaint against scooter drivers that they refuse to carry passengers through certain routes?

Shri S. K. Patil: Generally, Sir, it is the Chief Commissioner and the

Corporation that receive the compiaints. If the hon. Member wants, I would enquire and place the information before the House.

Shri Tangamani: I find that there are 550 four-seater scooters, which are known as phut phut, and I learn that Government do not propose to issue any more licences. In view of the fact that this system of transport is very much used by the poor people. may I know whether Government will reconsider the question of issue of licences?

Shri S. K. Patil: That is a suggestion for action: we shall consider it.

Shri Vajpayee: I understand that the Delhi Transport Authority applied to the Central Government for a licence to the tune of Rs. 13 lakhs to import spare parts and the Central Government has sanctioned only a licence of Rs. 3 lakhs. May I know if it is a fact; and, if so, will Government consider the desirability of increasing the amount so that the spare parts may be imported?

Shri S. K. Patil: They are not exactly the same, what the licences were applied for and what was given. But the fact remains that the spare parts are not available Therefore. the sickness in transport has increased, and we are seriously considering what the hon Member wants

Dakotas

*278. Shrimati Masida Ahmed: Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be please I to state whether Government have undertaken any measures for replacement ٥f overaged Dakotas flying on various routes in the country?

Minister of The Deputy Aviation (Shri Mohiuddin): As I have stated in reply to part (c) of Starred Question No 138, answered 21-11-1958, the question of replacement of Dakotas is under consideration.

Answeri Madde Ahmed: May I know whether all the existing Dakotas and Skymasters are still fit for service and who is the proper authority to guard against dangerous conditions and under what basis the actual condemnation is done?

Shri Mohiuddin: The Dakotas and Skymasters are absolutery in good condition and in serviceable condition. They are all airworthy. The Director-General, Civil Aviation, is the authority to issue the certificate of air-worthness, and there are very strict rules according to which every part of the machinery and the engine is tested or replaced according to programme, and then they are certified as airworthy. Only then they can fly.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTION

Weekly Scheduled Freighter Service By Air India International

S.N.Q.2. Shri Goray:
Shri Basumatari:
Shri Ajit Singh Bhatinda
Sardar A. S. Saigal

, Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Air India International have announced running of a scheduled freight weekly service between European countries and India and within India, from the 17th of this month:
- (b) whether they have entrusted the job to an American Company, and
- (c) if so, what are the terms of the contract?

The Deputy Minister of Civil Aviation (Shri Mohiuddin) (a) Yes. Sir, but the service will not carry traffic between points in India

(b) The service will be in the name of Air-India International and bear A.I.I. flight numbers but will be operated with aircraft and crew of Seaboard and Western Airlines, an American all cargo carrier.

- .(e) The Agraement between the two airlines has not yet been finalised but broadly the terms of the contract are:
 - (1) All operational expenses will be incurred by Seaboard & Western Airlines.
 - (2) The quantum of gross revenues earned from the carriage of traffic on the India—U.K. route will be apportioned on the basis of 80 per cent. to Sea Board and Western Airlines and 20 per cent. to Air India International.
 - (3) Air India International will receive the agency commission of 7½ per cent. on traffic for which immediate connection is provided by Seas board and Western Airlines on their existing scheduled freighter service between London and New York.
 - (4) The arrangements will be operative upto 31st March, 1960.

Shri Goray: May I know whether any effort was made to find out if any Indian company was ready to serve on these terms?

Shri Mohinddin: When these negotiations were initiated, the question whether any Indian private operator will be able to render the same service as offered by the Seaboard and Western Airlines was fully considered and the A.I.I. came to the conclusion that the terms offered and the service that will be rendered by this Airlines will be better than that of the other one

Shri Goray: May I know whether the Kalinga Airlines were ready to operate these lines on these terms?

Shri Mohiuddin: The Kalinga Airlines had informed the Government and the A.I.I. that they would undertake this work, but, as the hon. Member knows, Kalinga have not got a plane suitable for the cargo service for long distances

Shri Basumatari: May I know who is the Chief Controller for this? Is it Mr. J. R. D. Tata or the Director-General of Civil Aviation?

Shri Mohiuddin: This is an agreement between these two airlines. There is an agreement between them on certain terms and conditions. I do not know what is meant by the term "Chief Controller".

Shri Joachim Alva: May 1 know why the Government did not exploit the idea of having our own freighter plane which would cost about Rs. 70 lakhs and why they were content to receive only a small commission of 7½ per cent of the freight on cargo?

Shri Mohiuddin: It is not 71 per cent. As I already stated, it is 20 per cent of the gross revenue. As regards purchasing of aircraft on our own account. I may state that at the present moment, the A.I.I. have got ten or 11 super constellations. They will receive Boeings in the early part of 1960. When Boeings are received, their capacity will increase by about 100 per cent, and from 1960 onwards the piston-engine aircraft which the A.I.I. now possess will be surplus. So. they are now making arrangements in such a way that by March, 1960. when the Boeings will be received. they will be able to develop cargo business, and the super constellations that may become surplus at that time will be usefully employed.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: Are we to understand from the Minister that this arrangement is a very temporary one because of the shortage of aircraft and that the policy of not allowing non-scheduled operators or private operators to function—in relation to the airlines coming to India and going out—will not be subverted in any way after 1960?

Shri Mohiuddin: The arrangement is temporary. It is only up to March, 1960. Another clause will also be included that, if necessary, the arrangement will be terminated at

three months' notice so that when the A.I.I. super constellations are surplus they can be utilized for this purpose.

Shri Basumatari: May I know who are the personnel in this American, company and what is the relation that this company has with Mr. J. R. D. Tata and others?

Shri Mohinddin: The Seaboard and Western Airlines are well-established cargo carriers and they are well known.

Shri Jaipal Singh: May I know whether, when the, negotiations were going on with Kalinga, it is not a fact that Kalinga offered to buy up-to-date French freighter aircraft so that they could do this work without any foreign currency involvement?

Shri Mohiuddin: They did offer to buy. As a matter of fact, they also brought one freighter to India for test purposes but the difficulty is about foreign exchange. The other difficulty which I may point out is, as I have already said, the A.I.I. have themselves got sufficient number of planes to use from 1960 onwards for cargo purposes If another aircraft were to be purchased, then that aircraft would have still been a surplus one. Moreover, during this period, 1958-60, there is another difficulty. As I have stated, the operational cost of this American airline will be the full responsibility of the American airline itself. The A.I I will not be financially responsible. If a new operator had to come in the difficulty would have about the return load from London to India. In the present circumstances of restricted imports, probably return load would have been little and the company would not have earnd sufficient revenues.

Shri Jaipal Singh: I am afraid the hon. Deputy Minister has not understood my question. What I want to know is whether it was not a fact that this particular operator undertook to buy up-to-date freighter aircraft, which has been very highly spoken of

by the Ministry of Defence and did not entail any foreign currency difficulties. What I really wanted to find out was why there was no linking between the requirements of Defence and our Civil Aviation in such matters, because it is a question of transport command. I am not disputing that the Super-Constellation might be good. But I want to know why there is no linking. The question has been raised earlier with regard to replacement of Dakotas also. There seems to be no linking between our defence and civil aviation requirements.

The Minister of Transport and Communications (Shri S. K. Patil): The question of linking does not arise out of this. This is merely confined to the freight for a limited period. I can understand its importance, but surely it does not flow out of particular question.

Shri Hem Barua: In view of the fact that these independent operators informed the Government that they were ready to purchase French Nord American Skymasters and British aircraft which would have meant 100 per cent earning for our country, why is it that the Government thought it fit to barter away our legitimate rights with an American company for 20 per cent of gross revenue on traffic and 72 per cent commission?

Shri Mohiuddin: It is a matter of opinion whether the earnings would have been very large or 100 per cent. That is a matter of opinion. As I have already stated, on account of very heavy restrictions on imports and on account of lack of organisation for sale of capacity on the continent of Europe, the A.I.I. thought it fit and we agreed that the import of a newer aircraft for this purpose will not ultimately be profitable

Shri Hem Barua rose---

ľ

Mr. Speaker: 1 have allowed a number of questions. I cannot any more

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO **QUESTIONS**

1646

Integration of Gramdan and Community Projects

*262. Shri D. C. Sharma: Will Minister of Community Development be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 632 on the 28th August, 1958 and state the progress made so far regarding integration of Gramdan and Community Pro-Jects?

The Minister of Community Development (Shri S. K. Dey): A Model Gramdan Act is being prepared.

Gramdan literature has been distributed to most of the States for Block Headquarters libraries. Gramdan sets of basic gramdan Journals and literature for those libraries as well as village libraries arc also supplied

Akhil Bharat Sarva Seva Sangh have started Gram Nirman work in collaboration with Community Development machinery at State level in Trimungalam (Madras) and Lakhimpur (Assam), in addition to Koraput (Orissa). They also propose taking up similar work in Sarguja (Madhya Pradesh) and Dungarpur (Rajasthan).

Telephone System in Cuttack

- '264 Shri Sanganna: Will the Minister of Transport and Communication nications be pleased to state.
- (a) whether the existing Telephone System in Cuttack city has been converted into the Automatic System; and
 - (b) if not the reasons thereof?

The Minister of Transport and Commonications (Shri S. K. Patif): (a) No.

(b) A scheme for a 1200 line automatic exchange is under consideration

Private Manufacturers of Rail Concluse and Wagons

Written Answers

Shri Yajpayee: Shri Raghunath Singh: Shri Agadi:

Will the Minister of Railways pleased to state:

- (a) whether private manufacturers of rail coaches and wagons have recently made a representation to Government in respect of the future of their factories;
- (b) if so, the difficulties and demands detailed therein; and
 - (c) Government's response thereto?

The Deputy Minister of Railways (Shri Shahnawaz Khan): (a) Yes.

- (b) They have asked for additional coach building work beyond the current orders placed by North-Eastern Railway.
- (c) On account of the reduction in the 2nd plan coach procurement programme, the Railway Administration winable to place any further orders.

Fertilizers

280. Shri Rami Reddy: Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:

- (a) the quantity of fertilizers proposed to be imported during the rethaining period of the current year;
 - (b) the quantity imported till now;
- (c) the value of the quantities referred to in parts (a) and (b) above;
 - (d) the payments made so far; and
- (e) how the balance of payment will be paid?

The Minister of Food and Agriculfare (Shri A. P. Jain): (a) to (e). A Statement is laid on the Table of the Enk Sabha. [See Appendix II, anmexure No. 28].

Development of National Highways

*281, Shri Achar: Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government is setting apart Rupees five crores for development of "National Highways" from out of the excise duty on cement; and
- (b) whether Government is also setting apart similar amount for construction of village roads in backward areas of the country?

The Minister of Transport and Communications (Shri S. K. Patil): (a) and (b). A statement is laid on the Table of the Lok Sabha. [See Appendix II, annexure No. 28-A].

Transport in Delhi

*282. Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to lay a statement showing:

- (a) the number of taxis, two-seater scooters, motor cycle rickshaws and D.T.U buses plying in Delhi as on the 30th September, 1958;
- (b) whether the above is likely to meet the transport needs on account of "India-1958" Exhibition in the Capital;
- (c) if not, the steps taken to provide additional transport facilities; and
- (d) the number of additional permits issued, if any, for taxis, twoseater scooters, motor cycle rickshaws. separately, for the exhibition period?

The Minister of Transport Communications (Shri S. K. Patil): (a) to (d). A statement giving the informatic= required is laid on Table of the Lok Sabha. [See Appendix II, annexure No. 29].

Development of Road and Inland Transport

*283. Shri Subodh Hansda:
Shri S. C. Samanta:

Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that schemes and suggestions have been invited by Central Government from the State Governments and private bodies for development of road transport and inland transport;
- (b) if so, how many suggestions have been received by Government up till now; and
- (c) whether these suggestions have been considered by Government?

The Minister of Transport and Communications (Shri S. K. Patil): (a) to (c). A statement giving the information required is laid on the Table of the Lok Sabha. [See Appendix II, annexure No. 30].

Cost Structure of Indian Airlines Corporation

*284. Shri Ram Krishan: Will Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state the progress made by the committee appointed by Government to examine the cost structure of the Indian Airlines Corporation?

The Deputy Minister of Civil Aviation (Shri Mohinddin): The proposed Committee to enquire into the structure of the Indian Airlines Corporation, has not yet been set up.

रेलगाड़ी पर ब्राक्रमण

*२८५. भी रचुनाय सिंह : नग रेलवे मंत्री यह बनाने की क़ुरा करेगे कि यह सच है कि १० धक्तूबर, १६५८ को भरतपुर रानी स्टेशन (पूर्वीतर रेलवे) पर लगभग एक हजार विद्यायियों ने लखनऊ जाने वाली १ भ्रम गाडी पर हमला किया जिसके परिणाम- स्वरूप एक मोजन माड़ी ट्ट गई भीर यात्रियों के कोटें भाई?

रेल-उपमंत्री (बी शाहनवास सां): जी हो । ४०० विद्यायियों की भीड में से एक ने गाडी पर पत्थर मारे जिससे डार्ड नग कार को थोडा नकसान पहुंचा। डाइनिंग कार के एक बेटर भीर एक रेलवे भफसर को बोटें भागी।

Purchase of Ships from Yugoslavia

*286, Shri Panigrahi: Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. on the 20th August 1958 and state:

- (a) whether negotiations for purchase of ships from Yugoslavia have since been completed; and
 - (b) if so, with what result?

The Minister of Transport and Communications (Shri S. K. Patil): (a) The matter is still under consideration.

(b) Does not arise at present.

Sinking of 'Bosta Ricaw' Freighter

*287. Shri Vajpayee: Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) Whether it is a fact that a freighter 'Bosta Ricaw' loaded Rs. six lakhs worth of Indian iron ore and bound for Czechoslovakia sank in the Gulf of Aden in the month of October, 1958; and
 - (b) it so, the details of this mishap?

The Minister of Transport and Communications (Shri S. K. Patil): (a) and (b) A statement is laid on the Table of the Lok Sabha. [See Appendix II. annexure No. 31].

Telco Locomotives

Shri D. C. Sharma: Shri S. M. Banerjee: Shri Tangamani; Shri Ram Krishan:

Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No 1369 on the 18th September, 1958 and state;

- (a) whether the arbitrator has since given his award regarding the prices of Telco Locomotives for the period commencing 1st April, 1958, and
- (b) if so, the price finally agreed to per locomotive?

The Deputy Minister of Railways (Shri Shahnawaz Khan): (a) No

(b) Does not arise

Water Supply and Drainage Schemes in Delhi

'289. Shri Ram Krishan: Will the Minister of Health be pleased to state

- (a) whether it is a fact that Union Government propose to constitute a special Committee consisting of Union, Punjab and U.P. Ministers and the Mayor of Delhi to speedily iron out problems in connection with water supply and drainage problems of the Capital and
- (b) if so, at what stage the proposal is?

The Minister of Health (Shri Karmarkar): (a) and (b) Such a proposal is under consideration

U.S. Development Loan Fund

*290. Shri Panigrahi: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state

(a) whether the Railway Board have worked out details of the projects in which its share of loan from the U.S Development Loan Fund is proposed to be invested and (b) whether fresh tenders have been invited for the purchase of railway equipment out of this loan fund?

The Deputy Minister of Railways (Shri Shahnawaz Khan): (a) and (b). There have been two loans for railways from the Development Loan Fund

For the first loan, details of the projects have been worked out and tenders have also been invited for aubstantial portion

For the second loan, which was agreed to only in September 1958, details are being worked out in consultation with the US authorities

M/s Bird & Co.

442. Shri V. C. Shukla: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state

- (a) whether it is a fact that Messrs Bird & Co, are the Eastern Railway's contractors in respect of goods handling at Sahibgunj,
- (b) if so whether it has been brought to the notice of the Railway Administration that the above contract has been sub-let by the Contractors to an individual on some percentage basis
- (c) whether such sub-letting would be in consonance with the terms of the contract awarded to Messrs Bird & Co., and
- (d) if not, what action has been taken in the matter?

The Deputy Minister of Railways (Shri S. V. Ramaswamy). (a) Yes

- (b) It has come to notice that labour for the work is supplied to the firm by a Sardar at "piece rates" for the work, while the firm provide the necessary staff for supervision as also the equipment and facilities such as shovels, baskets, drinking water
- (c) and (d) The action of the contractors in relation to the agreement and what further action should

be taken by the Railway Administration are under examination.

Holiday Homes for P. & T. Employees

- 443, Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:
- (a) whether holiday nomes for Posts and Telegraphs Employees in the Northern India have since been started;
 - (b) if so, where: and
- (c) if not, what steps have been taken for opening the same?

The Minister of Transport and Communications (Shri S. R. Patil): (a)

- (b) At Simla.
- (c) Does not arise

Movement of Foodgrains from Punjab

444. Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state the total quantity of foodgrains which has been moved out from Punjab during the period from the 1st May to the 31st October. 1958?

The Deputy Minister of Railways (Shri S. V. Ramaswamy): During the period from 1st May to 31st October. 1958, a total of 19,260 Broad Gauge wagonloads and 13,395 Metre Gauge wagonloads of foodgrains were moved out from Punjab

Note Foodgrains include wheat and its products, rice, coarse grains, grams and pulses.

Ticketiess Travelling on Railways

445. Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state the steps taken to prevent incidence of ticketless travelling on the Indian Railways?

The Deputy Minister of Railways (Shri Shahnawaz Khan): A statement showing the steps taken to reduce the incidence of ticketless travel on Indian

Railways is laid on the Table of the Lok Sabha. [See Appendix II, annexure No. 32.]

हिमाचल प्रदेश में मूल पाम केन्द्र

४४६. श्री पच देव : क्या खाछ तथा. कृषि मंत्री एक ऐसा विवरण सभा पटल पर रखने की कृपा करेंगे जिसमें निर्नेत्तलिखन जानकारी दी हुई हो :

- (क) हिमाचल प्रदेश में कितने मलग्राम केन्द्र चालू है भ्रीर कहा-रहा;
- (स्त्र) उन केन्द्रों में किम प्रकार का काम किया जाता है, भीर
- (ए) चाल वर्ष के लिये क्या लक्ष्य निर्वारित किया गया है भार उसमें प्रबानक क्या भगीत हुई है

साच गौर हृषि मंत्री (श्री ग्र० ग्र० बीन) : (क) मं (ग). एक विवरण सभा पटल पर रख दिया गया है । [देशियोग पश्चिष्ट २, ग्रनुबन्ध संस्था ३३]

गाड़ी का पटरी से उत्तर जाना

४४७ **्रभी रघुनाथ** सिंह : भीमती इला पाल**यो**षरी :

क्या रेलवे मंत्री यह बताने की कृषा करेने कि

- (य) क्या यन गच है कि ६ झक्त्वर. १६४८ को बरेली-कामगंज राक्यान पर घटपुरी और मकरन्दपुर स्टशनों के बीच जात ममय ६५ ग्रंप कासगंज-काठगोदाम मवारी गाडी का डंजन भीर उसके छैं डिब्बं घटरी से उत्तर गये;
 - (ख) यदि हा, तो दुईटना का विवरण व्याह , आर
 - (ग) दर्घटना ने कारण क्या थे।

रेल उपमंत्री (श्री सें० वें० रामस्वामी): (क) ग्रार (ल) ६-१०-४६ को मुबह लगभग द अजवार २० मिनट पर जब ४५ ग्राप काशगंत्र-काठगोदाम सवारी गाड़ी पूर्वोत्तर रेलवे के चाटपुरी मोर मकरन्दपुर स्टेशनों के विच जा रही थी, उसके इंग्न का टेण्डर मीर साथ के ६ सवारी डिक्वे पटरी से उत्तर गये। इस दुर्घ ना के फनस्बरूप वें/, माल के एक बच्चे को मामूली चोट मायो। डाक्टरी देव-भाल के बाद बच्चे का सफर जारी रहा। म्रनुनान है कि रेलवे सम्पन्ति को लगभग ६०० ६० का नुकसान हमा।

(ग) रेलवे मुनियर ए निनिरट्रेटिंव अफसरों द्वारा इसकी जाच करा रही है। रेलवे से इमें दुर्घटना की ब्योरेवार रिपोर्ट मिलने की प्रांक्षा है।

Multi-purpose Blocks in Orissa

- 448. Shri Panigrahi: Will the Minister of Community Development be pleased to state:
- (a) the number of multi-purpose blocks allotted to Orissa in 1957-58;
- (b) where these blocks have been opened;
- (c) whether any multi-purpose block has been allotted to Orissa in 1958-59; and
- (d) if so, how many and the areas included in it?

The Minister of Community Development (Shri S. K. Dey): (a) Four.

- (b) These Blocks have been opened at the following places:
 - (i) Bhuyapirh . Mayurbhanj District
 - (ii) Kashipur . Kalahandi District
 - (iii) Narayanpatna Koraput District
 - (iv) Raruan . Mayurbhani District
 - (c) No.
 - (d) Does not arise.

Telephone Connections

- 449. Shri Ram Krishan: Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:
- (a) the number of pending applications for Telephone connections from

the towns of Mohindergarh and Hissar district of Punjab at present;

- (b) the date of the oldest application; and
- (c) the reasons for delay in giving connections?

The Minister of Transport and Communications (Shri S. K. Patil): (a) 112—9 in Mohindergarh District and 103 in Hissar District.

- (b) 12-4-1957,
- (c) Mainly due to want of exchange capacity and stores required. Action has been taken to increase the exchange capacities and to provide the connections early.

Food Godowns in Orissa

- 450. Shri Panigrahi: Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be Bleased to state:
- (a) the number of grain godowns constructed by the Central Government so far in Orissa and the capacity of each of these godowns and their locations;
- (b) the total number of such godowns to be constructed by the Union Government in Orissa durmg the Second Plan period;
- (c) the total amount of money given to Orissa so far for this purpose; and
- (d) how many of the proposed grain godowns have been completed and where?

The Minister of Food and Agriculture (Shri A. P. Jain); (a) Nil.

- (b) Not yet decided.
- (c) Construction will be undertaken by the Central Public Works Department and the question of payments to the State Government does not arise.
 - (d) Nil.

dstance for Health Schemes in Orieta

1647

451. Shri Panigrahi: Will the Minister of Health be pleased to state:

- (a) the amount of assistance given to Orissa from the aid received from U.S.A. under the following projects in 1957-58 and 1958-59:
- (b) assistance to Medical Colleges and Allied Institutions;
- (c) assistance to Orientation Training Project:
- (d) assistance to National Water Supply and Sanitation Programme:
- (e) assistance to National Malaria Control Programme; and
- (f) assistance to National Filaria Control Programme?

The Minister of Health (Shri Kar-(a) In 1957-58-U.S. markar): \$ 600,577.00.

In 1958-59-US \$384,348.00.

(b) In 1957-58 'NIL'

In 1958-59-U.S.- \$ 56,878.00.

- (c) 'NIL'.
- (d) 'NIL'.
- (e) In 1957-58---US. \$438,182.00.
- In 1958-59-U.S.-\$ 327.470.00
- (f) In 1957-58—U.S. \$ 162,395.00. In 1958-59 'NIL'.

Agricultural Schemes in Orissa.

452. Shri Kumbhar: Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state the amount allotted by the Central Government to the Orissa State for the development of various agricultural schemes in thar State during the First Five Year Plan and the Second Five Year Plan so far?

The Minister of Food and Agriculture (Shri A. P. Jain):

(Rs. in lakhs)

Pirst Five Year Plan 36.45 Second Five Year Plan so far 87.43 TOTAL

123.88

Left Luggage

- 453. Shri Kumbhar: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:
- (a) the quantity of all kinds of left luggages found at the destination Stations of the South Eastern Railway during 1958, so far;
- (b) the number of left luggages to the owners on 'rereturned presentation; and
- (c) the number of left luggages sold by public auction?

The Deputy Minister of Railways (Shri S. V. Ramaswamy): (a) 254 packages.

- (b) 106 packages.
- (c) 24 packages.

Bridges on Rivers in Orissa

- 454. Shri Kumbhar: Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 249 on the 17th February, 1958 state:
- (a) the amount granted by Central Government to Orissa State for the construction of bridges over rivers during the Second Five Year Plan; and
- (b) the names of the bridges completed and under construction trict-wise?

The Minister of Transport Communications (Shri S. K. Patil): (a) Rs. 33.33 lakhs (Rs. 2678 lakhs for bridges on other roads and Rs. 6.55 lakhs on National Highways).

(b) A statement explaining Drogress of each project is laid on the Table of the Lok Sabha. [See Appendix II, annexure No. 34.]

Casual Labourers on S. E. Railway

- 455. Shri Kumbhar: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:
- (a) the number of casual labourers recruited in the South Eastern Railway during the year 1958 so far;

- (b) the number of labourers among them belonging to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes, and
- (c) the terms and conditions of their employment?

The Deputy Minister of Railways (Shri Shahnawaz Khan) (a) 61,257

- (b) Information is not available as no record is kept
- (c) They are given market rates of wages or minimum wage if subject to Minimum Wages Act

Extra-Departmental Postal Staff

456. Shri U C Patnaik Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state what is the total strength of the Extra-Departmental Postal Staff in the country in the following categories and what is their pay scale in each category

- (1) ED Sub Post Masters
- (11) ED Branch Post Masters
- (111) ED Mail Carriers,
- (1V) ED Delivery Agents
- (v) ED Packers, and
- (v1) ED Messengers?

The Minister of Transport and Communications (Shri S K Patil). A statement giving the required information is placed on the Table of the Lok Sabha [See Appendix II, annexure No 35]

Soil Conservation in Bombay

457 Shri Pangarkar Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No 2631 on the 23rd April, 1958, and state the names of the soil conservation schemes sanctioned for Bombay State for the year 1958-59?

The Minister of Food and Agriculture (Shri A. P Jain). As per the list furnished by the State Government the following schemes have

- been sanctioned by them for 1968-59:---
 - 1 Anti-erosion and afforestation works.
 - 2 Refresher courses for Agriculture Officers
 - 3 Survey and research in Soil Conservation
 - 4 Investigation on pasture and grazing
 - 5 Soil Conservation Works
 - 6 Soil Conservation in Catchment Areas
 - 7 Dams and ponds for moisture conservation
 - 8 Soil Conservation
 - 9 Contour Bunding Schemes
 - 10 Construction of field embankments
 - 11 Afforestation of Catchment Areas
 - 12 Construction of dams and bunds for erosion control
 - 13 Staff for Contour Bunding
 - 14 Contour Bunding
 - 15 Fixation of Sand Dunes
 - 16 Opening of Soil Conservation Demonstration Centres
 - 17 Soil Conservation Schemes in Ratnagiri District
 - 18 Immobilisation of Runns of Kutch and Rajasthan

Irrigation Projects

- 458 Shri Pangarkar Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state
- (a) the proposals for minor irrigation projects under investigation in Bombay, and
- (b) the help that the Central Government have given to the State in removing the difficulty of technical personnel?

The Minister of Food and Agriculture (Shri A P. Jain): (a) and (b) The State Government formulate

suitable schemes of minor irrigation projects on the basis of their investigation and forward the schemes to the Government of India for assistance. The Government of India have, therefore, no information about the projects under investigation Bombay. A project of water sources survey in Bombay State is being implemented by the State under Operational Agreement No. 42 of the T.C.M. The services of a T.C.M. Expert had been made available to the State for the purpose

Family Planning

459. Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Minister of Health be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No 66 on the 12th August, 1958 and state

- (a) how many Regional Planning Training Centres have been opened during 1958 so far,
- (b) the places where they have been opened; and
- (c) the expenditure incurred so far on these Centres?

The Minister of Health (Shri Karmarkar): (a) and (b). One Regional Centre has been opened in Patiala but training has not been started there Two Regional Centres are actually functioning one each at Calcutta and Madras and are expected to be opened officially soon

(c) Information on the expenditure incurred is not yet available

Ticket Checking Staff

- 460. Shri Ram Krishan: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 1291 on the 2nd September, 1958 and state:
- (a) whether the orders for the confirmations of Ticket Checking Staff of the Delhi-Rewari-Fazilka section have been issued and upgrading finalised: and

(b) if not, by what time confirmations are expected to be made and upgrading finalised?

The Deputy Minister of Railways (Shri Shahnawaz Khan): (a) Orders of provisional confirmations have been issued by the Railway. Orders regarding upgrading are under ımplementation and have not yet been finalised.

(b) Upgrading will be finalised shortly

Training in Midwifery and Health Visitors

461. Shri Kumbhar: Will the Minister of Health be pleased to the number of female students from the Scheduled Castes and Tribes at present receiving training in the integrated course for Midwifery cum Health Visitors in the Health Schools of the Union Territories and States?

The Minister of Health (Shri Karmarkar): The information is being collected and will be placed on Table of the Sabha in due course

D.V.C Water Tax

462. { Shri Subodh Hansda: Shri S. C. Samanta:

Will the Minister of Irrigation and Power be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Damodar Valley Corporation water tax is much higher than that nn similar projects in other States for irrigation: and
 - (b) if so, by what percentage"

The Deputy Minister of Irrigation and Power (Shri Hathi): (a) and (b). The necessary information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

Bahuda River Project in Orissa

463. Shri Sanganna: Will the Minister of Irrigation and Power

pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 2994 an 27th September, 1958 and state:

(a) whether the plans and estimates in respect of Bahuda River Project in Orissa have since been received from the Government of Orissa; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon? The Deputy Minister of Irrigation

and Power (Shri Hathi); (a) No. Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

हिमाचन प्रदेश में मालियों की प्रशिक्षण

४६४. भी पद्म देव : त्रचा क्रिवा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे

- (क) वर्ष १६५७ और १६५० में श्रव तक हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार ने कितने मालियों को प्रशिं क्षित किया है : भौर
- (ख) इस मंबंध में दो वर्षों में कितना व्यय हमा?

कार्च चौर कृषि मंत्री (भी घ० घ० चैन): (क) ६५ को प्रशिक्षित किया गया है। २७ मभी प्रशिक्षण प्राप्त कर रहे है।

(स) १६५७-५८ में १२,३०० रुपये सर्च हए। अप्रैल-सितम्बर, १६४८ के समय में योजना पर ३,१८३.०४ रुपये खर्च किये गये। बाद के प्राकड़े प्रभी तक उपलब्ध नहीं है।

Prices of Foodgrains

465. Shri Shree Narayan Das: Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state what were the ruling prices of different foodgrains in important centres in various States during the last three months as compared to the same period during the last year?

The Minister of Food and Agriculture (Shri A. P. Jain): A Comparative Statement showing the month-end wholesale prices of different food-

grains in certain selected centres in various States during the months of August, September, and October to the years 1957 and 1958 is laid on the Table of the Lok Sabha, (See Appendix II, annexure No. 38.1

Design for Gravity Dams

466. Shri Shree Narayan Dast Will the Minister of Irrigation and Power be bleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that 40 engineer has patented a design for gravity dams to the Planning Commission which would be 30 per cent. cheaper than the conventional design;
- (b) if so, whether the Planning Commission have got it examined by experts; and
- (c) if so, the result of such examination?

The Deputy Minister of Irrigation and Power (Shri Hathi): (a) Shri Shyam Lal (Retired Superintending Engineer) has patented a design for gravity dams. According to him, this design will result in 30 per cent. saving in materials and labour.

- (b) Yes.
- (c) Examination shows that design is only an extension of one of the standard dam designs namely, slabs and counter-forts or multiple arches and counter-forts. Buttress dam, as is contemplated, is not adoptable for all locations. Where discharges are fairly high, buttress dams are not found to be economica? because buttress dam sections have be modified for overflow. saving in materials and labour is to a great extent offset by the increase in face masonry and form work.

Coal Supply to Railways

- 467. Shri Rameshwar Tantia: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that coal supplied to the Railways has been

found inferior than the desired quality; and

(b) if so, how much extra expenditure had to be incurred by the Railways during the year 1957-58 due to the inferior quality of fuel supplied?

...The Deputy Minister of Railways (Shri Shahnawas Khan): (a) Yes, Sir, to a certain extent.

..(b) It is not possible to give exact figures as only about one per cent of the coal wagons consigned to Railways are checked at receiving ends. Two specially organised Quality Surveys carried out by Railways during 1957-58 indicated that there was an crease in consumption of coal about 11 per cent, due to coal supplied to Railways from Bengal and Bihar coal-fields being inferior to specified grades. However it will not be appropriate to make an assessment actual losses during 1957-58 on basis of this indication.

Vizagapatam Port

468. Shri Raghunath Singh: Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that a pilot Shri T. V. S. Kutti of Visakhapatnam Port, was crashed to death between an oil tanker and tug in October, 1958; and
- (b) if so, what are the details of the accident?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Transport and Communications (Shri Raj Bahadur): (a) and (b). On S-10-58, at about 11·30 hours Shri Kutty, Pilot, boarded M. V. "Caltex Capetown" to take her out of the port. When the vessel was almost abreast of the break-water in the Outer Channel, Shri Kutty decided to disembark from the vessel. The Pilot launch at the time was on the starboard side and due to heavy head swell and rough sea was pitching heavily. Shri Kutty had got down the

pilot ladder and when he was about to get into the launch, the launch had moved away. He further slipped down on the hand ropes being unable to get up again and, when the launch came up again, he was caught between the launch and the ship. The Pilot Launch Khallasis immediately hold of him and took him on board. conscious in Shri Kutty was launch and asked for some water which was given to him. He was taken to the Jetty by the launch and therefrom he was taken in an ambulance to the Port Dispensary. On examination by the Port Doctor he was unfortunately found dead.

III Class Coaches with Electric Fans

169. Shri Hem Raj: Shri Raghunath Singh: Shrimati Mafida Ahmed:

Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to lay on the Table a statement showing:

- (a) total number of III Class coaches on Indian Railways;
- (b) total number of such coaches provided with electric fans upto the 31st October, 1958;
- (c) the number of coaches proposed to be provided with electric fans during the Second Plan period;
- (d) the number of such coaches running on the Assam Section of the N.E.F. Railway; and
- (e) the number of coaches on the Assam Section furnished with electric fans upto the 31st October, 1958?

The Deputy Minister of Eailways (Shri Shahnawas Rhan): (a) 15714 as on 15-10-1958.

- (b) 10153 as on 15-10-1958
- (c) 4499.
- (d) & (e) Coaches are not earmarked for exclusive use on Assam Section. However, on the N.E.F. Railway there

were 731 third class coaches on 15-10-58 out of which 551 were fitted with fans.

Sale of Old Wagons

470. Shri Damani: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to lay on the Table a statement showing the old wagons sold in the years 1953-54, 1954-55, 1955-56, 1956-57 and 1957-58, together with the value per wagon realised in each such year?

The Deputy Minister of Railways (Shri Shahnawaz Khan): A statement is placed on the Table of the Lok Sabha. [See Appendix II, annexure No. 37.]

Grow More Food Campaign

- 471. Shri Panigrahi: Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:
- (a) whether any loan was advanced to the Orissa Government on Grow More Food Account in 1943:
- (b) if so, what was the amount advanced: and
- (c) whether the Orissa Government has finalised that account with the Union Government?

The Minister of Food and Agriculture (Shr A P. Jain): (a) and (b) Rs. 14 32 lakhs was advanced to Orissa to grant loan to cultivators during 1943-44.

(c) Yes, Sn The loans have been repaid.

Master Plan for Flood Control in Orissa

472. Shri Panigrahi: Will the Minister of Irrigation and Power be pleased to state whether the master plan for flood control work in the Orissa State has since been examined by the High Level Committee on Floods?

The Deputy Minister of Irrigation and Power (Shri Hathi): The Orissa Government have prepared a note on their long-range plan for flood control extending over a period of 15 years beyond the Second Five Year Plan period. The note has been examined by the High Level Committee on Floods.

Suspension of Railway Employees

- 473. Shri Rajendra Singh: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to lay on the Table a statement showing:
- (a) the total number of railway employees suspended on all Indian Railways from the 1st January, 1958 so far:
- (b) the number of suspended employees whose cases have been disposed of ending in punishment, indicating the nature of punishments awarded and of those whose cases are still pending; and
- (c) the break-up of the information asked for in parts (a) and (b) on North Eastern Railway, district-wise

The Deputy Minister of Railways (Shri Shahnawaz Khan): A statement is placed on the Table of the Lok Sabha. [See Appendix II, annexure No 38]

Training of Health Visitors

- 474. Shri Ram Krishan: Will the Minister of Health be pleased to state:
- (a) the names of the States which have submitted to the Government of India for approval schemes to open school, for training health visitors;
- (b) whether these schemes have been approved; and
- (c) if so, the details thereof in each case?

The Minister of Health (Shri Karmarkar): (a) The following States have submitted schemes:

- 1 Bombay
- 2 Bihar
- 3 Jammu & Kashmir
- 4. Kerala
- 5. Mysore.
- 6. Madhya Pradesh

- 7. Uttar Pradesh
- 8. Madras

- 9. Puniab
- 10. West Bengal.
- (b) The schemes submitted by state Governments at Serial Nos. 1-7 have been approved. The schemes submitted by the Governments at Serial Nos. 8-10 are under consideration.
- (c) The details of the schemes, State-wise, are as indicated below:—

Location

No. of

of the school	candidates to be ad- nutted annually	
Kajkot .	25	
Ranchi	30	
Srinagar	15	
Trivandrum	37 in the 1st batch and 20 in subsecquent batches.	
Indore .	60	
. (i) Allaha- bad,	60	
(ii) Bareilly	60	
Madurai	30	
Patiala	30	
Calcutta	30	
	Kajkot Ranchi Srinagar Trivandrum Indore (i) Allahabad. (ii) Bareilly Madurai Patiala	

The duration of the course is 2½ years. The syllabus will be as approved by the Indian Nursing Council. The students during the course of training will be paid a stipend of Rs. 50 (Rupees fifty) per month. Central assistance will be provided on the approved pattern.

Leans to Agriculturists in Andhra

- 475. Shri E. Madhusudan Rao: Will the Minister of Food and Agriculture be pleased to state:
- (a) the amount allotted year-wise by the Central Government to Andhra Pradesh for advancing loans to agriculturists during the First Five Year Plan and the amount earmarked for the Second Plan period; and

249 (Ai) LSD.-3

(b) the various schemes under which the amounts were allotted?

The Minister of Food and Agriculture (Shri A. P. Jain): (a) and (b). The statement containing the required information regarding Grow More Food Schemes since the formation of Andhra Pradesh is placed on the Table of the Lok Sabha. [See Appendix II, annexure No. 39].

Bhadravati Railway Station

476. Shri Mohammed Imam: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 631 on the 25th November, 1957 and state the progress since made in the works connected with the remodelling of the Bhadravati station?

The Deputy Minister of (Shri Shahnawaz Khan): Surfacing the platform and providing cover over the platform are in progress. These are likely to be completed by about February, 1959.

Railway Protection Force, Central Railway

- 477. Shri Daljit Singh: Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:
- (a) the strength of the Railway Protection Force on the Central Railway as on the 31st October, 1958; and
- (b) the number among them belonging to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes?

The Deputy Minister of Railways (Shri Shahnawaz Khan): (a) and (b). The required information is furnished below:—

Category	·	Vo. of Sche- luled Castes	No. of Sche- duled Tribes
Chief Security	•	Nil	Nil
Security Officer	1	Nil	Nil
Asstt. Security Officers	9	Nil	Níl
Class III Staff .	403	19	1
Class IV Staff .	6,392	964	54

Telephones

478. Shri Daljit Singh: Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of applications on the waiting list for installation of telephones in Amritsar district as on the 31st October, 1958; and
- (b) the time by which telephones are expected to be provided?

The Minister of Transport and Communications (Shri S. K. Patil): (a) There are three exchanges in Amritsar District. The waiting list figures for each exchange are given below:—

Amritsar: 1264

Chheharta:

Patti:

(b) Amritsar: Most of the applicants would be provided with telephone connections in 1959. All during 1960

Chheharta: By April, 1959.

Patti. During 1959

Tuticorin Port

- 479. Shri Subbish Ambalam: Will the Minister of Transport and Communications be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 2937 on the 27th September, 1958 and state:
- (a) whether Traffic Survey of the Tuticorin Port has since been completed; and
- (b) if so, whether the Report has been received?

The Minister of Transport and Communications (Shri S. K. Patil): (a) Yes. Sir

(b) The Report is awaited.

12.04 hrs.

MOTION FOR ADJOURNMENT—

MURDER IN A NIGHT TRAIN

Mr. Speaker: On the 25th November, 1958, Shri Braj Raj Singh gave

notice of an adjournment motion about the reported murder of a lady passenger on the night of the 23rd November, 1958, in a train proceeding from Hoshiarpur, the discussion on which was held over. The hon. Minister of Railways will now make the promised statement.

The Deputy Minister of Railways (Shri S. V. Ramaswamy): Shrimati Harjeet Kaur, the deceased, was working as a Headmistress in Village Janauri, 13 miles from Hoshiarpur. She had taken two days casual leave for Monday and Tuesday to visit her husband, Shri Waryam Singh, who is Inspector of Police. Puniab Armed Police, at Jullundur. She is reported to have reached Hoshiarpur town on November 22, 1958 at about 15.00 She purchased her railway ticket from the out agency and reached railway station Hoshiarpur at about 21.00 hours. It is not known where she spent her time between 15,00 hours and 21.00 hours. Her father-in-law lives in Hoshiarpur town, but as there are no ladies in the house, she did not visit her father-in-law. The deceased first took her seat in the general compartment of the passenger train in which there were four other male passengers at Hoshiarpur, but later left for the ladies compartment. The safety devices, i.e., safety latches were in working order on both sides of doors and iron bars on all windows were provided in this compartment. She appeared to have travelled alone, as there was no other lady passenger detected travelling in that compartment. The deceased does not appear to have asked for special precautions or any assistance from the train guard for her safety, when the necessary safety devices already existed in that compartment.

Crime was first detected by the guard, Shri K. C. Grover, at Jullundur City, when he was going towards the engine. According to police report, the guard tried to open the door, but it was found locked from inside. Through a chink in the door, as the lights were on, he peeped in the compartment and saw the blood. He then

1 663 Motion for Adjourn- 27 NOVEMBER 1958 Motion for adjournment ment

went on to the off side On opening the door which was not locked from inside, he saw a lady lying murdered.

The crime took place in SLR 1188, second from engine, on 11 JH train on 22-11-1958, which left Hoshiarpur station at 2125 hours right time and arrived at Jullundur City at 2250 hours right time

According to the post-mortem report, the death was due to shock and haemorrhage-asphyxia, as a result of intensive injuries of skull organs. The deceased was about 25 years in age. She always carried a knife m her pocket for protection, but she could not use it during the unfortunate assault made on her in the train.

The police have taken up the investigation of the case and have detected some valuable clues to work out the case The Assistant Inspector General, G R Railways, has reached the scene and is supervising the police investigations

Shri Braj Raj Singh (Firozabad) From the statement it appears that adequate safety measures were not taken on the whole by the railway authorities

Mr Speaker That is the inference of the hon Member The hon Depputy Minister said all the devices were there in the compartment Possibly she would not have bolted from within

Shri Hem Barua (Gauhati) He said that no additional request for safty was made by the lady

Shri Braj Raj Singh May I know whether any compensation is proposed to be given to the dependants of the murdered lady and whether in future on such occasions when murders take place m the railway tram, any convention shall be established that compensation shall be paid as a rule to the dependants of the murdered persons?

Shri Vajpayee (Balrampur). I wanted to know if the post-mortem had been conducted and if so, what is the result?

Shri S. V. Ramaswamy: The postmortem report shows that there are extensive skull injuries. The death was due to shock, haemorrhage and asphyxia as a result of throttling

Shri S M. Banerjee (Kanpui) May I know whether some policemen were also travelling in the tram and whether it is the duty of the policemen to see every compartment at every station?

Shri S V Ramaswamy On that train the policemen were not travelling

Shri S M Banerjee I want to know the leason

Mr Speaker They were not travelling that day Hon Members want to know whether it is usual to send policemen in every train

Shri S V Ramaswamy No

Shri S M Banerjee They assured us in this House that adequate safety arrangements will be made in future I want to know the specific reason why the policemen were not there in that train

Shra Narayanankutty Menon (Mukandapuram) The other day the hon Minister said that the Railway Protection Police are intended not for the protection of human life, but for the protection of property At the same time, we find that in many trains which run during the night, ordinary police protection is not given I would like to know from the hon Railway Minister whether ordinary police protection from the State Police concerned will be given especially when the trains are running in the night, in view of his statement that the Railway Protection Police is not intended for the protection of human life?

Message from Rajya 1666 Sabha

The Minister of Railways (Shri Jagjivan Ram): I never said that police protection will be provided in all trains on all sections. I said that where police protection is found to be necessary, police escort is given on the train. In this particular train, on the day of the occurrence, there was no police escort.

As regards the question whether at every station the police should come and check, from the answers it is quite clear that the train started and at the next stopping station, it was detected by the guard. So, there was no lapse on that account.

Shri Goray (Poona): May I suggest something? This is not a stray occurrence, because, so far as I know, six months ago or so one military officer, a young man, was murdered near about Chakradharpur. I would like to suggest to the Railway Minister that instructions should be sent out saying that wherever a lady or somebody is travelling alone in a first class compartment the guard or the conductor should give a warning that he or she is travelling at his or her risk and that he or she should take all precautions to bolt the door from within. Because, sometimes it happens that because of neglect on our side we leave the door as it is which gives an opportunity for these people to come in and do their dirty work. Will it not be possible to take precautions?

Shri Jagjivan Ram: I will examine what effective steps can be taken in this connection. Perhaps, a board or something should be there in every compartment saying that the passengers should see to it that the doors are properly secured in their compartments.

Mr. Speaker: We have had enough discussion. It is unfortunate that the incident should have occurred. We have already discussed the safety measures, and I am sure the hon. Minister will take all necessary measures from time to time, as and

when things occur beyond one's control. He will also take into account all the suggestions as to what further devices may have to be made. I think it is not necessary to pursue the matter. Therefore, no consent is given.

12.20% hrs.

PAPER LAID ON THE TABLE

AMENDMENTS TO THE MOTOR VEHICLES RULES FOR THE EXCLUDED AREAS, ASSAM

The Minister of Transport and Communications (Shri S. K. Patil): I beg to lay on the Table, under subsection (3) of section 133 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939, a copy of Notification No. MV 22/55 dated the 21st May, 1958, making certain amendments to the Motor Vehicles Rules for the Excluded Areas, Assam, 1942, published in Assam Gazette. [Placed in Library, See No. LT-1048/58]

12:21 hrs.

MESSAGE FROM RAJYA SABHA

Secretary: Sir, I have to report the following message received from the Secretary of Rajya Sabha:

"In accordance with the provisions of sub-rule (6) of rule 162 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Rajya Sabha, I am directed to return herewith the Tea (Alteration in Duties of Customs and Excise) Bill, 1958, which was passed by the Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 18th November, 1958, and transmitted to the Rajya Sabha for its recommendations and to state that this House has no recommendations to make to the Lok Sabha in regard to the said Bill." 12.211 hrs.

COMMITTEE ON ABSENCE OF MEMBERS

TENTH REPORT

Shri Mulchand Dube (Farrukhabad): I beg to present the Tenth Report of the Committee on Absence of Members from the Sittings of the House.

I also lay on the Table a copy of the statement containing the names of Members who have been absent for sixty days or more during the last session.

12.211 hrs.

DELHI RENT CONTROL BILL

REPORT OF JOINT COMMITTEE

The Minister of Home Affairs (Pandit G. B. Pant): I beg to present the Report of the Joint Committee on the Delhi Rent Control Bill. 1958.

12-21# hrs.

EVIDENCE TENDERED BEFORE JOINT COMMITTEE

Pandit G. B. Pant: I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the evidence tendered before the Joint Committee on the Delhi Rent Control Bill, 1956.

12.22 hrs.

CALLING ATTENTION TO A MAT-TER OF URGENT PUBLIC IM-PORTANCE

NATIONAL MINERAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Shri Ram Krishan (Mahendergarh):
Under Rule 197, I beg to call the attention of the Minister of Steel,
Mines and Fuel to the following matter of urgent public importance and I request that he may make a statement thereon:

"Composition and functions of the Corporation set up to exploit the mineral resources of India." The Minister of Mines and Oil (Shri K. D. Malaviya): The National Mineral . . .

Mr. Speaker: Is it a long statement? Shri K. D. Malaviya: No, it is only one page.

The National Mineral Development Corporation was incorporated on 15th November, 1958 with an authorised capital of Rs. 15 crores. The Industrial Policy Resolution places the responsibility of exploitation of minerals listed in Schedule A of the Resolution on the State. The Ministry of Steel, Mines and Fuel has undertaken detailed surveys of some of the minerals capable of being developed by the State. To start with, the Corporation will undertake the exploitation of iron ore at Kiriburu bordering on the States of Orissa and Bihar for export to Japan at the rate of two million tons a year. The Corporation will also be in a position to undertake exploitation of iron ore and other minerals like copper, diamonds and pyrites in other areas as soon as the detailed · prospecting of some of these deposits is completed. To enable the Governments of States and also interested private parties to cooperate with the Government in the development of individual deposits the Corporation has been empowered to create subsidiary companies and allow participation to the extent desirable in each case.

For the present, it is proposed to create a Board of Directors composed of some officials and some non-officials with a Chairman at the head. The question of appointing a prominent person as whole-time Chairman of the Corporation will be decided as soon as the work of the Corporation justifies such an appointment and the Corporation has decided to take other projects in addition to the one at Kiriburu.

The Memorandum and Articles of Association have been separately forwarded to the Library of the House.

12:243 hrs.

REPRESENTATION OF THE PEOPLE (AMENDMENT) BILL*

The Deputy Minister of Law (Shri Hajarnavis): I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill further to amend the Representation of the People Act, 1950, and the Representation of the People Act, 1951.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill further to amend the Representation of the People Act, 1950, and the Representation of the People Act, 1951."

The motion was adopted.

Shri Hajarnavis: I introduce the Bill.

12.25 hrs.

MOTION OF PRIVILEGE

STATEMENT BY CHIEF MINISTER OF KERALA

Mr. Speaker: The House will now resume further consideration of the following motion regarding the question of privilege moved by Shri M. R. Masani, and amendment thereto, moved by Dr K B Menon. on the 27th September. 1958:

"That the attention of the House having been drawn by an Hon'ble Member on September 23 to the telegram sent by Mr. E. M. S. Namboodripad, Chief Minister of Kerala, to Pandit G. B. Pant, Home Minister, extracts from which are contained in a report based allegedly on official sources issued by the Press Trust of India from Trivandrum on September 20 and published in The Times of India, Delhi and the Amrit Patrika. Calcutta, on September 21, in the course of which Namboodripad has attributed the motive of slander to some Hon'ble Members of this House;

and having taken note of the subsequent telegram from Mr. Namboodripad to Pandit G. B. Pant, which was read to this House by the Hon'ble the Speaker on September 23;

this House resolves that matter be referred to the Committee of Privileges for investigation as to whether a breach privileges of the House and of the Hon'ble Members concerned been committed; and whether any contempt of the House thus committed has been adequately purged; and that the Committee be requested to present its report and recommendations for appropriate action at the first day's sitting of the next session of the Lok Sabha."

Thereafter, I have received one more amendment to the motion, and that was tabled by Shri Narayanankutty Menon Does he want to move it?

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: Yes

Raja Mahendra Pratap (Mathura): I have been to Kerala recently. So, I must also be given an opportunity

Mr. Speaker: I will try to give him an opportunity later. I have received notice of an amendment from Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri. But it was received only today.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri (Berhampore): I may be permitted to move it.

Mr. Speaker: I think it is too late. I will be satisfied with whatever amendments have already been moved.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: Yesterday was a holiday.

^{*}Published in the Gazette of India Extraordinary, Part II—Section 2 dated 27-11-58.

Mr. Speaker: But day before yesterday was not a holiday

Shri V. P. Nayar (Quilon) It is within your power to do it

Mr. Speaker: Now it is 12 25 Two members have already spoken, Shii Nayar has already spoken Therefore we will conclude by 2 30 or 3 o'clock I will give ten minutes to each Member

Shri Narayanankutty Menon (Mukandapuram) I beg to move

"The attention of the House having been drawn by a member on September 23rd to the report of a telegram alleged to have been sent by Mi E M S Namboodripad, Chief Mmister of Kerala State, to Pandit G B Pant, Home Minister, extract from which is contained in reports in two newspapers

and having taken note of the subsequent telegram from Mr Namboodripad to Pandit G B Pant which was read to this House on September 23rd by hon the Speaker,

and having taken note of the fact that the original telegiam sent by Mi F M S Namboodripad itself was a confidential document and intended by the sender to be such

and having satisfied that it would be improper and inappropriate to initiate any action relating to the privilege of this hon House basing upon a confidential document never intended to be published.

the House decides that no further action be taken in respect of the telegram above referred and that the whole matter and any proceedings thereto be dropped"

My substitute motion is based upon the mere fact that the whole privilege motion was necessitated by the non Member passing through a document which was obviously, according to him, sent by the Kerala Chief Minister to the hon Home Minister Any correspondence between a Chief Minister of a State or a Government of a State and the Central Government, if we go into the principles on which our Constitution is based, we will find, is bound to be confidential in nature because otherwise the rights to be exercised by the State Legislatures and the State Governments and the rights that are to be exercised by the Central Government and this Parliament may come into conflict

12 28 hrs.

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair!

And the hon Prime Minister the other day while discussing another motion. said that the real relationship between this Parliament and the State Legisiatures and the jurisdiction of Parliament and the State Legislatures are embodied in certain articles our Constitution, the elaboration of which and the understanding of which could be laid down only by mature consideration and discussion hereafter because these relationships will have to be built upon certain conventious that we ourselves build in this coun-Looking into our Constitution, we find that the very basis of Constitution, the very basis on which the integrity and the unity of country is based, is the federal nature of our Constitution, and as long as the Central authority, which is the Parliament, gives due respect to the Legislatures which exercise sovereignty on their own spheres, the integrity of our Constitution and the unity of our unity of our Constitution and the country will be built up. The other day, the Law Minister said for benefit of those who brought motion and also for the benefit of ali the hon Members of this House the privileges of either individual Members of this House or the privileges of this House are not at all to be enforced by means of certain actions which we hold as a threat privileges in the long and uncertain past' history when democratic institu-

1673 Motion of Privi

[Shri Narayanankutty Menon]

tions were built up, were built up by the way in which the Members of this House behaved and because of their actions alone, they have built up this privilege privilege. Any that Member of Parliaanv hon. ment has got today, any privilege that this august House has got today should be a privilege in the eyes of our people to whom we all owe our ultimate allegiance Those people should understand and appreciate that there is a breach of privilege and there is already a privilege. But, in this case, when a correspondence passes on between the Chief Minister and the Home Minister here, according to the very nature of our Constitution. the Home Minister should be the custodian and interpreter and defender of the action of the State As far as this Parliament is concerned. if any day, the archives of this correspondence and the sanctity of it, any hon. Member or anybody tnis House tries to probe, certainly that day will be the most sorrowful day as far as the integrity and the basis on which our Constitution has hased

time-all Forget for some Members of this House—that this has been committed or alleged to have been committed by the Chief Minister of the Kerala State. Remember, the day will come when, in the 14 States where they usually carry on correspondence on matters of State where things like this usually crop up, every Chief Minister will have to be hauted up before the Bar and every State Government will have to be hauled up before this House for such breach of privilege or contempt which they have committed. Is it the case that hon. Member of this House who owes allegiance to our Constitution and also to the basis, the letter and spirit of the Constitution, can tolerate for one relentless day that there shall be a war of privileges, of rights, of their own jurisdiction between the 14 State legislatures and each Chief Minister in each State and the Centre? When that day will dawn, in spite of the fact that from outside and inside the House we as everyone else are asked to follow our Constitution both in letter and spirit, the very nature οŧ this motion, I wish to submit. is an. affront to the real spirit and letter of our Constitution today. Because. if we go through the proceedings of our Constitution, it presupposes a happy relationship which does not contradict each other, as between the legislatures, the State Governments and the Central Government. As long as we owe allegiance to this Constitution and every hon. Member of House owes allegiance to the Constitution, it shall be the bounden duty of every Member to see that the particular spirit as also the letter of the Constitution is safeguarded and action is done opposed to it, which will promote any sort of ill-feeling or war between the States and That will not be any action Centre that we will be doing in support the Constitution, to uphold the Constitution both in letter and spirit.

I will come to the very point of the substitute motion, that is, the contidential nature of the correspondence itself. The other day, the Law Minister, obviously after he became a Member of the Cabinet, said,—we have got every right to take it for granted that that is the opinion of the Cabinetthat this correspondence between the Home Minister and the Chief Minister. obviously the first telegram, is a confidential telegram, intended to be confidential and therefore it is a privileged document. I invite the attention Rules of of hon Members to the Procedure of this House itself. Rules of Procedure have been formulated to have a healthv relationsnip between the Centre and the States and the 'sanctity and privilege and secrecy given to any correspondence Centre between the States and the Every Member of this House is entitled to ask questions of the hon. Ministers and it is considered to be the privilege of every hon. Member to elicit any information. It is only under extraordinary circumstances that the Ministers and the Cabinet get the right and privilege to deny to the hon. Member to give certain answers relating to certain subjects. It is basing on this principle that in the Rules of Procedure we have formulated when we ask questions, those questions will be out of order under Rule 42 of the Rules of Procedure, if those. questions relate any matter of correspondence between the State Governments and the Central Government. Rule 42 says:

"In matters which are or have been the subject of correspondence between the Government of India and the Government of a State, no question shall be asked except as to matters of fact and the answer shall be confined to a statement of fact."

The very principle is this that if this House or any hon. Member thereof gets liberty to go into the nature of the correspondence that is carried on between the State and any hon. Minister in the Cabinet, that will let loose a chain of events whereby any discussions in this House will not be helping the relations between the State and the Centre and it will be undermining the very nature of the confidence that the Government holds on behalf of the State Governments.

What is the relationship under law? Under all known systems of jurisprudence, in this particular case, between the Home Minister and the Chief Minister of a State, it is a relationship of high confidence. It is a relationship which is sanctimonious in character. Because unless the Home Minister upholds the rights and view points of the Chief Minister, as far as this forum is concerned, the State Government will go unrepresented. (Laughter). My hon. friend who obviously comes from Kerala has got every right to laugh at me because it is one of his intentions that his laughing should mean something more as he laughs out. I am not worried about his laughter. What I am speaking is not because the Chief Minister of Kerala is involved. We in this part of the House will be only too happy that every Chief Minister who obviously commits certain acts in the course of his duty should be called before this House because politically we are not with them. I am submitting this not hecause in this case the condemner or the alleged person who committed the alleged breach of privilege is the Chief Minister of Kerala. I am submitting this because, just like others, when we say that our Constitution is based upon a principle, we will be the first to uphold that principle, because we have got as much respect if not more than others as far as our Constitution is concerned and also the rights and privileges of this House are concerned.

My submission therefore, is that if today this House goes deep into any correspondence and that correspondence is to be called on the floor of the House and this House begins describe the subject matters of that correspondence, this House will not be doing an act which will promote the strength and also the longevity of our Constitution, but that itself will be the first under-mining bomb that would pe placed beneath our Constitution which will develop one day that by a series of actions following this privitege, the entire basis of the Constitution will be blown up. I make an appeal to hon, Members of this House pecause matters of privilege are not to pe treated as party politics. In a democratic Constitution, party politics will have to travel beyond in cases of privilege, because it is a privilege which we will have to enforce by the confidence that we build up in the country, in the minds of our people. What is the sanction behind this privilege? It may be that the condemner can be called to the Bar of the House; it may be that the condemner can be committed to prison. After 100 years of freedom struggle, is anybody in India afraid of being condemned to prison for the courage of his conviction? In the case of the Kerala Chief [Shri Narayanankutty Menon]

ŧ

Minister, the Mover of the Motion knows that for the courage of his conviction, a jail or custody is not due to him. But, today, if, because of political grounds, because the Keraia Chief Minster has got the courage of conviction, because he refuses...

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Order, order; the appeal should not be in such identical terms.

Shri Narayanankutty Menon: because the Kerala Chief Minister refuses to reconsider his views socialism, he should be called to the Bar of the House and penalised because of certain political reasons, for that particular purpose alone. decency, decorum and principles ought to be thrown to the wind! The wind that is being sown today for the purpose of being utilised against the Kerala Chief Minister will turn into a whirlwind and the originators of this motion shall reap the fruits of the whirlwind. We are interested, in this part of the House as you and everybody there, beyond the question of party politics, that our Constitution should have a long standing and that this country should have democracy and the general principles and sanctimonious principles of democracy will have to be upheld in this country. Joining with you, I make an earnest appeal that we should not probe further into this matter. Let us give as much privilege, let us give as much respect to that correspondence which the Government itself agreed on that day through the mouth of the Law Minister as a privileged correspondence. Later on, the Chief Minister himself said that the correspondence was not intended to be published. Let us drop it today and show to the people that we are not people who get ourselves bitter by means of certain actions which never touch the real privilege of the House, the real privilege of this House being the discharge of its duty in the interests of the people.

I will conclude by making one point in appeal. The real test whether a privilege of this Hon. House has been broken is whether the people of this country today feel that a telegram by the Kerala Chief Minister-indeed something may be contained or may not be contained in it—is a breach of privilege of this House. In my humble opinion, the people of this country are the least worried about the telegram of the Chief Minister. Since the people could not find any solemn right of the hon. Members of this House being involved, if we maide the House, the most responsible people in this country, make much of it so that an alleged privilege is being built up or consider that a privilege which did not exist has been broken, then certainly the very sanction that we have got to enforce our privileges will be lacking because the people are our ultimate sanction

Raja Mahendra Pratap: May I say a few words?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Order, order. Not just now

Dr K. B. Menon (Badagara): I have not spoken on my amendment.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Yes, he may speak.

Dr. K. B. Menon; I rise to speak on my amendment to the main motion moved by my hon, friend Shri Masani. My amendment is more direct. It is direct because I was completely convinced that the first telegram of the Chief Minister was not intended to be confidential

I have listened to the speech of Shri Narayanankutty Menon on his substitute motion. He is, in my opinion, clutching at a straw, for, his argument is based upon rather filmsy assumptions, and the strength of the argument depends upon the strength of the assumptions.

The first and foremost assumption that he makes is that the first telegram of the Chief Minister was confidential. In the first place, it was not intended even by the Chief Minister to be a confidential one, for, if he had intended the first telegram to be a confidential one, he should have sent it in code, for, inter-government communications of a confidential nature are generally communicated in code. This telegram was not in code.

Shri V. P. Nayar: I do not know of Government sending them in codes.

place, while the House was discussing the admissibility of the motion, I received a telegrame from my friend in Trivandrum which I wish to place on the Table of the House. The telegram is dated 25th September, and is addressed to me. The telegram reads:

"Kerala Chief Minister first telegram under privilege motion was released through his private secretary to a news agency stop surprised his claiming it confidential—R. Parameshwaran Pillai, Convener District Congress Adhoc Congress Committee Trivandrum"

Shri V. P. Nayar: Ad noc!

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Subsequently it is to be seen what credit is to be attached.

Dr. K. B. Menon: There was no interruption when Shri T. C. N. Menon spoke.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: He should be allowed to proceed uninterrupted.

Dr. K. B. Menon: Encouraged by this telegram, I went to Trivandrum to conduct a personal enquiry, and I wish to place before the House the little information that I gathered from my personal investigation. I met Shri Pillai himself. (Interruption)

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Would it be possible for us to proceed in this manner?

Dr. K. B. Menon: I met Shri Pillai and a few others, and I understood that the telegram was read out to the news agency by Shri Sarma, the private secretary of the Chief Minister. The news agency person has taken, and must have taken notes when it was dictated on the telephone. I am perfectly sure that, being a confidential document, he would have preserved it, and that it would be available to the House if the House prefers to refer it to the committee and call these relevant witnesses before the House. I have no doubt that they will be able to throw some light on this subject.

We are interested in getting at the truth. We are not interested in getting after persons. I want to be fair even to the person who is on the dock, and I have no objection if the House rejects my amendment and accepts the main resolution and refers the same to the committee.

In the statement that is made by the PTI in the Times of India I wish to submit again, reference is more than once made to official sources. That publication refers to the subject matter of the telegram. viz. objections raised by the Chief Minister that the subject should not be discussed in the House because it would be interfering with the rights of the State and that the State has no representative in the House to against the unfounded allegations that are likely to be made; quoting all this, the despatch, as printed in the Times of India in three places, makes reference to official sources.

Coming to the time of the telegram, the Speaker of the House made the announcement on the 19th that he would consider the admissibility of my motion. The telegram was dated the 20th. It was released to the press on the 20th, it was published all over India on the 20th. From the point of view of time it perfectly tallies. There was no lapse of time, and therefore there is no reason to believe that the press got at the telegram in an

[Dr. K. B. Menon]

illegal way. As the telegram which I have placed on the Table of the House shows, it was released to the press by the private secretary of the Chief Minister, Shri Sarma.

Another argument brought forward is that the second telegram is an apology. I humbly submit that the second telegram is not an apology. It is an effort to explain away the situation, and if I may also submmit the House would take it, even into it there is an incorrect statement, because the Chief Minister says in the telegram that it was not intended to be released to the press. I submit: if he did not intend it to be released to the press, how did his private secretary talk to the news agency on the telephone? That is a fact and it may be inquired into. The Chief Minister should take his private secretary to task if he had not officially authorised him to release it to the Dress

Then again, judging from the subject matter of the telegram, the two facts that were stated in the telegram were firstly that it was improper to discuss the subject because most of the cases were sub judice; second place, it was improper to discuss it because the State's representative was not present in the House to defend the case. Both these, I feel, are points which the Chief Minister wanted to communicate to Speaker, and through the Speaker to the House. There was no question of confidence involved in it because it was only that, for some reason I do not know, why the hon. Home Minister was made the agent of communication to the Speaker. The telegram, as a matter of fact, is intended for the Speaker, and is intended for the House. So, no confidence can be claimed in this matter, as far as the first telegram is concerned. second telegram is an after-thought after Shri M. R. Masani moved his motion, and after it appeared in the paper on the 23rd September, an explanatory telegram comes to the

Home Minister. The first telegram was not marked confidential, was not in code, and was never intended by the Chief Minister to be a confidential one.

Now, I wish to make another submission. What is it that I have done? What is it that my colleague comrade Shri Asoka Mehta has done? What have we done to merit to be called 'slanderous'? I have responded only to the call of the people of my State.

An Hon. Member: The great representative of the people of Kerala! (Interruptions).

Dr. K. B. Menon: Violence was let loose in Kerala, discrimination was made between Communist and non-Communist. There is a general denial of Fundamental Rights to the people of the State, the privilege of the House.... (Interruptions).

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I am very sorry that in spite of my best efforts, hon. Members are not going to stop interrupting and allow him to proceed. There should be a fair discussion, we should at least be patient and hear the hon. Member.

Shri Goray (Poona): We should be the guardians of democratic rights at the same time.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I hope there will be no more interruptions now.

Dr. K. B. Menon; I have done only my duty, and I know that the privilege of the House is sacred to the extent that any whittling away of the privilege of the House will mean efficacy of the House. It is not a party question, as Shri Narayanan-kutty Menon has rightly pointed out. It is a question and it is an issue on which every Member must keep a close watch, and must keep a hawk's eye, and must see that it is defended at all costs.

Therefore, I appeal to the House in the name of fairness. And that is the reason why I am even prepared to go to this extent that I shall have no grouse if the House rejects my direct motion, and accepts the motion moved by Shri M R Masam, I feel then greater justice will be done to the Chief Minister who is today on the docks, we should be fair even to the person on the docks

With these few words, I conclude

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty (Basırhat) May I make one submission? I did not want to disturb the hon Member while he was speaking But I would like to have a ruling from you whether you think that that telegram is worthy of being placed on the Table of the House, because it is within your discretion. We do not know who this gentleman, Mr Pillai, is, and what authority he has to say that so-and-so has actually given some material to the paper

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: As fin the telegram, if it is desired, certainly, I shall ask the hon Member to place it on the Table of the House. That may be placed on the Table of the House.

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: May I point out that on an earliei occasion, you disallowed me to lay anything on the Table of the House saying that it is a general rule that the Chair has to look into it and ser whether it is a document worthy of being placed on the Table of the House? Are we to take it that you take it that this particular letter coming from some Mr Pillai is worthy of being placed on the Table of the Huss

Raja Mahendra Pratap: May I say a few words?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker Order, order The hon Member should not get up every time when there is a pause and just express his desire that he should be allowed to speak I thought that the hon lady Member desired that it was fair

Shrimati Renu Chakravartty: No

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: that it ought to be placed on the Table of the House At least that was what I understood, and I said that it might be placed on the Table of the House

Now that this telegram has been read, there is no harm, and I think it should be placed on the Table of the House Since it had been referred to, it should be placed on the Table of the House

[The telegram was accordingly, laid on the Table of the House which was placed in the Library, see No. LT-1053/58]

Shri Khadilkar (Ahmednagar) May I point out one thing? We are discussing the fundamental rights of this House, in order to preserve its dignity and decorum. When we are debating on certain evidence already before the House, are we to allow other things to be gathered from outside in support of a particular position? Would it not be derogatory to the proceedings of the House. I would like to have your ruling on this

Mr Deputy-Speaker: We have not drawn anything from outside. It is yet to be seen whether this gives us any clue are not, whether it is to be credited with any reliable source or not, and what it is worth. That is a different thing altogether.

What I have just now said is that this telegram which had been referred to would be placed on the Table of the House Whether it is worth anything whether it is to be given any credit or not would be a thing to be subsequently looked into Nobody has said that this is to be given discredit or credit

Shri Tvagi (Dehra Dun) May I get one clarification from you with regard to placing of documents or the Table? Does your discretion allow that Members could place anything on the Table? Because if this were made a [Shri Tyagi]

precedent, all types of telegrams can be had, and they may be placed on the Table of the House.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: It is not the right of any hon. Member to have a document placed on the Table of the House. The hon. Member expressed his desire. And there are rules that he should first provide a copy to the Speaker, and then the Speaker would decide whether really that is a document which should be placed on the Table of the House, and whether it should be permitted. But this document had been referred to, and it had been read. And what I thought was that because it had come up during the course of the argument and reference has been made to it also, it ought to be placed on the Table of the House. Whether we should attach any importance to it or not is a different thing altogether.

Therefore, I decide this way.

Shri Asoka Mehta (Muzaffarpur): Unfortunately, when this matter was first brought up in the House in the last session, I was not present in the House.

I would like to bring to a common focus the developments that led to this motion being brought us before this House. At the very beginning of the last Session, my hon, friend Dr K. B. Menon had brought forward a motion in this House that the situation in Kerala should be considered because he felt, and many of us felt that the cond tions there were such as were denying the people the Fundamental Rights. It was not as if this was the stray feeling of an individual or a group in this House, but during the same Session, when this question was seriously agitating the minds of all of us, no less a body than the Working Committee of the Congress Party passed an eighty-word resolution wherein it was pointed out as follows. It referred to the state of insecurity in the State....

12.58 hrs.

[Mr. SPEAKER in the Chair]

Shr! Nagi Reddy (Anantapur): On a point of order. Are we discussing the privilege motion, or the resolution of Congress Working Committee or the conditions in Kerala as they exist today?

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. We are discussing a privilege motion. As to what is relevant and what is not relevant, I have to decide.

Shri Nagi Reddy: What about my point of order?

Mr. Speaker: I have answered the point of order. So long as I allow any hon. Member to go on, hon. Members may take it that I take it, and I rule that it is all relevant.

Shri Asoka Mehta: The resolution said:

" as well as the policy of the State Government which is often discriminatory and not in accordance with the rule of law.".

This was the very point that we had made, and you, Sir, very rightly wanted us to substantiate this kind of a general charge that we had made on the opening day of the last Session of Parliament. My hon, friend Dr. K B Menon, and I, therefore, in accordance with your wishes, and in accordance with your command, whenever we got an opportunity, tried to place before the House facts and documents as they came to our notice. We were doing that because we were called upon to prove that conditions in Kerala were such as demanded an intervention by this House. While we were discharging our responsibilities, the Chief Minister of Kerala sent a telegram. It purports to be a confidential telegram. I shall not cover the ground that has been so ably covered by my hon, friend Dr. K. B. Menon. I shall only invite the attention of the House to a P.T.I. message published by the Times of India of 21st September. This message, dated 20th September says:

"According to official sources here the substance given in that report is given there according to the official sources here (meaning in Trivandrum)."

I am sure that at that time neither the P.T.I. man nor the Times of ludia man was interested in playing any mischief

13 hrs.

Then the word used there is "slander". The whole controversy revolves round the word "slander". If this word "slander" was-as tried to be made out on the last occasion by some friends—used in the heat of the moment, one would ignore it. this word "slander" is being deliberately and consciously used by the Communists. I would invite your attention to the New Age, the official organ of the Communist Party. In its publication of 1st October, a publication that came out immediately after this debate took place in this House in the last session, this is what Mr. P. C. Joshi, a very eminent leader of the Communist Party, has to say about this discussion and I shall read it to you-

"Tata employee M. R. Masani (which is absolutely false)...

An Hon Member: It is a fact.

Shri Asoka Mehta: Keep quiet.

"...brought up a privilege motion..." (Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri Nagi Reddy: Is it right on the part of the hon. Member to say "keep quiet". (Interruptions).

Several Hon. Members: He said "shut up"; he should withdraw those words.

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): Is he maintaining the decorum? (Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. We are discussing a privilege motion. Hon. Members need not get excited. I called Shri Menon; he spoke. All hon. Members will have reasonable opportunities to speak. Let there be no interruption. Let not hon Members get excited over this matter.

Shri Tangamani (Madurai): I would like to know whether it is proper tosay "shut up"?

Shri Asoka Mehta: I said "keep quiet".

Shri H. N. Mukerjee (Calcutta—Central): May I raise a point of order?

Mr. Speaker: What is the point of order?

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Mr. Asoka Mehta used the expression "shut up".

Several Hon. Members: "Keep-quiet" he said.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Are you keeping order in the House, or Shri Asoka Mehta? Those words, according to his understanding of the English language, means "you please keep quiet". Are we going to take orders from Shri Asoka Mehta?

Shri Asoka Mehta: My knowledge of English is not as good as Shri Mukerjee's.

Mr. Speaker: I have heard the point of order All hon. Members will kindly keep order in the House. Let there be no talk here other than what is relevant. There was continuous interruption and I did not hear him say one way or the other. Possibly the hon. Member also got excited and used the words "shut up".

Hon. Members must know that every hon. Member is a human being first and everything else next. I do not

[Mr. Speaker]

think he ever used the words, but if the words "shut up" are there they will be removed. Shri Asoka Mehta.

Shri Nagi Reddy: There is so much of extraneous matter which he is bringing that I think the debate is taking an entirely different course

Mr. Speaker: The hon, Member brings it to my notice that what Mr. Asoka Mehta is reading is not relevant. I ask him to continue. It is relevant. It is for me to decide. What is the meaning of going on interrupting like this?

Shri Asoka Mehta: Hon. Members, I know, lose their patience or get excited.

Mr. Joshi says:

"It is only a gibe of those who have lost the battle of facts and principles."

Then he continues:

"The Parliamentary session will close this week but the Kerala debate will go on in the country. Truth and good service to the people: this is the strength of the Kerala Government. Slanders and fear of good work being done by a Communist Ministry: this moves the opponents of the Kerala Ministry."

Again, Sir, the word "slander" is used here This word "slander" is used after the debate took place.

I would now invite your attention to a very interesting book written by my hon. friends Mr. A. K. Gopalan and Prof. Hiren Mukerjee entitled Communists in Parliament. I would invite your attention to page 4.

Shri Nagi Reddy: When was that published?

Shri Asoka Mehta: This book says:

"We were in Parliament, in very fact, a new element-forthright and obviously in touch with the people, qualities which made us perhaps vaguely feared but always respected, even in the height of attack and slander on us (as over the issue of Telengana and of Preventive Detention in the May-August session of 1952.)"

Whenever you criticise the Communists, whenever you say anything that they do not like, they are in the habit of calling those who criticise them as slanderous. This word "slander" is a favourite expression of the Communists. Therefore, the Chief Minister of Kerala used it not in the heat of the moment. It is a part and parcel of the Communist strategy. I would like to invite your attention to the fact that it is the recognised tactics of the Communists in Kerala to intimidate the people. The Chief Minister of Kerala tried to do it with the High Court. The Kerala High Court was conscious of its rights and privileges. Therefore, he had to go before the bar of the High Court and offer an unqualified apology to the High Court, because he had tried to play that kind of thing with the High Court. This House should be equally concerned about its own privileges.

Sir, what is happening in Kerala today? This technique of intimidation is being carried on. A D.S.P. in Kerala has to go to the Communists..... (Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member need not refer to that

Shri Asoka Mehta: After all you must give me an opportunity of explaining to you and to the House. If this were a stray expression, I have sufficient respect for the Chief Ministers of this country as not to associate them with a motion of this kind and I would have been the first person to request my hon. friend Shri Masani not to press this motion. The Communists in Kerala have been deliberately indulging in these tactics. This motion is not a matter of mere form; it is a matter of profound substance and of profound importance, because this has been the tactics and the technique which is being deliberately used. That was the reason why a telegram purported to be confidential was deliberately leaked to the press, as has been proved not by the telegram that my hon. friend read out, but by the internal evidence in the report that was published by the Times of India on the very morrow of the telegram being sent. This deliberate leaking out of the telegram, this use of the word "slanderous" against some of us by Mr. P. C. Joshi in the official organ of the Communist Party after the discussion had taken place here, this use of the word "slander" by Mr. Gonalan and Mr. Mukerjee against the entire House, because nine-tenths of the House disagrees with one-tenth of it is deliberate. This one-tenth does not represent the vital elements of the country. If the nine-tenths of the House disagrees with them they are being denounced as slanderous. is a conscious, deliberate and welldefined strategy of the Communist leaders and that is the reason why this matter should be looked into, should be gone into and should be referred to the Privileges Committee so that they may realise that in this country they will not prevent any one-least of all a Member of Parliament-from discharging his duty, because there are some who are interested, who are masters of the tactics and strategy of intimidation. But intimidation is not going to work against us, and to prove that, to conclusively prove to all concerned in the country, and above all, to the brave people of Kerala, who are fighting against heavy odds in order to keep the torch of freedom alive, in order to see that the lamps of liberty are not put out there, are not put out in any part of the country, this Motion must go to the Privileges Committee.

Shri S. A. Dange (Bombay City—Central): I do not want to speak on points of law for the simple reason that I am neither a lawyer nor a jurist.

But I would prefer to take a lesson from my hon. friend, Shri Asoka Mehta, and ask a question: why has this thing arisen? From where does it proceed? It proceeds from a wellplanned conspiracy and a cold-war to overthrow the Communist Government in Kerala. And let me assure my friends who, as Opposition parties should be m a position to support another 'Opposition' Government, that they know, if ever by chance or mischance they come to power, they will meet with the same fate from that side In any case, it seems today there is a nice unholy alliance amongst all these gentlemen to malign and to start a cold-war against Kerala....

Shri Tvagi: Slander.

Shri S. A. Dange: This has nothing to do with privilege If it is a question of the dignity of these gentlemen who have made speeches, we know what dignity they have got. (Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Let us not lose ourselves in excitement.

Pandit K. C. Sharma (Hapur): It is very undignified.

Mr. Speaker: It is not right for the leader of the Communist Group to say 'We know what dignity the other hon. Members who have spoken have got'. It is not right. Every hon. Member is a dignified Member of this House. I am afraid in the heat of the moment the hon. leader of the Communist Group has said something which, I do not think, he meant. While trying to support or oppose a Motion of Privilege relating to 'slander'—the word used—let us not be drawn into the same situation here by using expressions which are not quite good.

Shri S. A. Dange: I said that because I thought when Shri Asoka Mehta read an extract from the New Age describing the hon. Member, Shri M. R. Masani, as a Tata employee, he would protest. Since he did not protest, I thought, being a Tata employee, he had not a very dignified position.

Mr. Speaker: That is another matter.

Pandit K. C. Sharma: This is undignified

Shri S. A. Dange: Let me explain. As a Member of Parliament, he has his dignity I was referring only to his dignity as a Tata employee. That is all.

Mr. Speaker: Let it be in any capacity. So long as an hon. Member is a Member of the House, let not anything be said which would take away the dignity of the hon. Member. The hon. Member might have read it. But that does not mean that we can use that as an excuse to attack any hon. Member here He is an honourable Member both inside and outside. Let there be no words said about it

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: On a point of clarification. A little earlier, Shri Asoka Mehta had the goodness to refer to my hon friend, Shri A. K. Gopalan, and myself as past-masters in the art of slandering people, intimidating people and all that sort of thing. But you did not think it fit and wise to stop him. But now you stop this kind of thing being said

Mr. Speaker: If I slipped over in a particular matter, the hon Member might have pointed it out to me. (Interruptions)

Shri M. R. Masani (Ranchi-East): On a point of personal explanation Now that Shri S A. Dange has asked why I did not contradict that remark in the New Age, may I say two things? One is that I ceased to be a Tata employee when I got elected to the House in May 1957 I considered it a great honour for sixteen years to be identified with the leading industrial House in the country which has done a great deal for this country. Secondly, I did not contradict the New Age because it is a habitually lying newspaper. (Interruptions).

Shri T. B. Vittal Rao (Khammam): He says that it is a habitually lying newspaper. What is this? Mr. Speaker: The newspaper is not a Member of the House, (Laughter)

Shrimati Renu Chakravarity: We shall now take this to mean that the word 'lying', which has been used, is parliamentary. (Interruptions).

Shri S. A. Dange: Therefore, I would submit that we should pay attention to the implications of this Motion. Even if it were held to be a correct Motion and even if it were passed, it would do damage to the political development in this country. That is why I am looking at it from the political standpoint.

Shri Rajendra Singh (Chapra): Damage to Communist development in this country. (Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member is disturbing his own leader!

Some Hon. Members: No, no.

Shri Vasudevan Nair (Thiruvella): He is not a member of our Party.

Shri S. A. Dange: The point I wish to make is this, that this question of privilege should not be pushed too far. I need not tell you about how this concept of privilege has arisen. But I may say that a privilege was claimed by the House of Commons against autocratic monarchs who hanged them when they criticised the monarchs. Later on, the House of Commons developed a certain content for this concept of privilege But now even in the House of Commons, there is a protest from the public that the Members carry this privilege too far; in fact they are setting themselves as super-Gods who cannot at all be questioned or against whom a remark will not be tolerated from the members of the public. There has been a certain amount of debate in the House of Commons also and in the general Press of England about this question of privilege. Here too the same development is likely to take place. Of course, unfortunately, the first occasion that has arisen here is with

regard to the Kerala Ministry. But that is not the main point. The main point is: how far are we going to stretch this concept of privilege? My impression is that we are trying to set ourselves as demi-Gods or super-Gods over anybody else who is outside the House who would like to use this word or that against a statement on the part of a Member. This would bring the dignity and privilege of the House in conflict with the general sentiments of the people who would like to criticise even hon. Members, may not be in very polite words.

Therefore, the first point is: let us look at it from the political standpoint, that if we go on putting forward obstructions in the way of people not merely criticising but even sharply criticising us, using sometimes even bad words against Members here, it would not redound to our dignity and privilege; if we are criticised, we should not lose temper and call them before the Bar of the House. In that case, we shall be losing our own dignity before the common people.

Of course, here in this case it is not a question of a common man being involved. Here is the Chief Minister of a State. That is still greater reason why we should have restraint, because has the Chief Minister as a member of another legislature not his own privilege? That also should be a question which we will have to look into

For example, the question of privilege in England does not suffer from the obstruction of another Assembly which is an Assembly of a State or Province. England does not have a federal Constitution. We have a federal Constitution. As yet, I do not think we have come to any balance between the privilege rights of Parliament and the privilege rights of the State legislatures. There have not been much of case-law or rulings on this question. Therefore, that point also should be taken into account, and we should come to the conclusion that the members and Ministers of the

State legislatures also should have certain privileges. If the two privileges conflict, let us come to certain understandings and rulings on this question. Instead of taking that way politically, the whole debate is taking another angle, that is the angle as is provided by Shri Asoka Mehta and that angle is that he thinks the communists are very fond of the word Well, if it is slander, it is 'slander' slander. For example, if I were to discuss it politically, my friend Shri Asoka Mehta is a standing slander against socialism. He deserves to know socialism and naturally he hates communism: and when he hates communism, then he thinks every minute of his life to get an opportunity to damn the communists. Therefore, we are bound to say it is a question of slander. And slander is not such a bad word too

Shri Asoka Mehta: Sir, can this word be brought in in this manner? He is only trying to defend his leader, the Chief Minister of Kerala and he called me a slanderer

Shri S. A. Dange: A slanderer against his own socialism which he has betrayed.

Shri Asoka Mehta: Mine is a socialism which is accepted by the House. I am being charged as a slanderer against the socialism which is the accepted policy of the whole House.

Shri S. A. Dange: You are slanderer and a betrayer.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order; I do not want hon. Members.....

Shri S. A. Dange: When passions are sought to be roused, we shall not be short of it. Let it be remembered.

Mr. Speaker: Hon. Members are very good parliamentarians. They have had experience of this House as also elsewhere. I would request hon. Members not to cast aspersions against one another, here in this House. It is

[Mr. Speaker]

1697

enough that we are dealing with something that has been said outside the House. It is true that any hon. Member has the privilege here and he won't be taken to a court of law for what he says. But I am here to see that one hon. Member does not use expressions derogatory to any other hon. Member. They have enough vocabulary that they can use in such a manner to express their own ideas as forcibly as possible without bringing in any words of abuse.

Shri S. A. Dange: I am not at all calling him a slanderer against me or against Shri E. M. S. Nambudripad, or anybody. It is a philosophical expression. If somebody slanders against something it is a slander against philosophy. What have I said? I mean slander against his own philosophy. It is not an imputation on his personal character. I do not see how I have violated the decorum of the House. It is not a reflection on his individual character.

Mr. Speaker: With all respect I do not agree. There is no use trying to make it anything impersonal. It is only with respect to this person. We are not saying anything here about socialism versus communism or some aspects of socialism But it is in relation to an hon. Member of this House that it is said he is a slanderer. Onc can easily say that he is a slander to his house, to his family or to the whole country and so on. There is no difference between this and the other one. I am still sorry that an hon. Member, a leader of a group should persist in the use of the word 'slander'. (Interruptions).

Order, order.

Shri S. A. Dange: I am only submitting......(Interruptions.)

Shri Rajendra Singh: Shut up...... (Interruptions).

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Sir, he said 'shut up'.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

Shri S. A. Dange: Sir, I was making a submission that this question should be looked at from a political standpoint and the question of privilege also should be considered with sober attitude. I was pointing out the danger that if only party considerations or considerations that were against a certain ministry which you do not like or a certain Minister whom you do not like, if these considerations brought into the assessment of things and assessment of the value of privilege in this House, then, the traditions of this House would meet with a bad fate. That is what I was submitting.

Now, so far as other things are concerned, which my hon. friend Dr. Menon or my hon. friend Shri Asoka Mehta has raised, it is really doubtful why a telegram of an adhoc Congress committee should have been allowed here and brought here. I thought there was a properly established Congress committee. I do not know about adhoc Congress committees. In any case, there is no protest from the real Congressmen on the other side about the adhoc congressmen on this side. Therefore, I thought it is all accepted.

Shri Rajendra Singh: On this side, there is an ad hoc Comintern.

Shri S. A. Dange: Therefore, I think it is quite natural for an ad hoc Concommittee's telegram being quoted by a socialist gentleman who thinks he is in opposition to the Congress benches. So, my submission is that the consideration of the whole question is being vitiated by pure considerations of party propaganda. I would like to know later on from the declamations which would be made from all sides whether such considerations should be imported into the discussion of the privileges of an hon. Member of this House, because, as I have already submitted, and I once again repeat it-and I do not want to take much more time of the Housethat this is all being got up as a cold war,

Let me assure my hon, friends that cold war has never frightened us-of whatever kind either here or elsewhere. Therefore, if it is imported into this House, then, it will only lead to bad results for all of us, not only for us but for all of us. What is happening. An elected Ministry-an elected Legislature is there and it has put the Ministry into power-is being tried to be overthrown by all sorts of means, and means which are not very constitutional means. If that lesson were to go to the country that a Parliament with a majority party and the so-called socialists and all combined, in order to overthrown a Ministry which they did not like (Interruptions.)

Shri Rajendra Singh: What does he mean by so-called socialists, Sir? (Interruptions.)

Shri C. D. Pande (Naini Tal): Shri Dange has a passion for it.

Mr. Speaker: Hon. Members resent the expression socialists. (Interruptions.)

Shri S. A. Dange: Some of them are of the so-called socialist party, some of the socialist party; some of them are of the Praja-Socialist Party and there are these different kinds of parties we have got here. They have tried to become one socialist party; unfortunately they failed. I cannot help it. That is why I have tried to describe them in their different shades. If I am wrong in my description, please excuse me. So, with such an impression I am saying (Interruptions).

Shri C. K. Bhattacharya (West Dinajpur): Whenever they differ from the communists they become the so-called socialists; when they are one with the communists, they are the only socialist party.

Shri S. A. Dange: So, Sir, I want to repeat that if an impression were to go sound in the country that all these

forces are combining in order to overthrow a Ministry which is carrying out certain measures which had a place in the programme of all these parties but were not carried out-if this Ministry is to be overthrown by such means—then the masses would draw very peculiar conclusions regarding the development of democracy in this country, regarding the use of the Constitution in this country and of the way in which a landlord capitalist government as we call it is run by various Congress Ministries in the various States and how they can be overthrown. (Interruption.) We have tried to overthrow by legal means and we have succeeded. Why should there be anything against? If you want to overthrow it, do it in the same way as we have done. That is, you try to do things in a good way and meet the demands of the people and society yourself But, no; they want to create an atmosphere as if this Government is not liked by the people and there is violence and insecurity in the land.

Sir, if these means are to be used, 1 once again say this that the results would be very bad for all of us. I am not considering only the question of Communist party: I am considering the question of all the parties in this country and all elected Governments. If once a government which is elected and which does not belong to the majority party should be treated like this, then elections will be of no value. No elected government will have any value because anything will be done to overthrow it. I certainly want such an impression not to be created. (Interruptions.) Therefore in this tactics of overthrowing the Kerala Government this privilege motion should not be allowed. I would appeal to the gentleman who has brought it if there are certain grievances against the Government in Kerala let us sit down and discuss threadbare and thrash it out. That will be for the good of us all.

Some Hon. Members rose-

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. I have heard sufficiently. Before I call upon the other hon. Members, I would like to impress upon the House the scope of this motion. Now, the simple question is whether the word 'slander' ought or ought not to have been used and whether it is a question privilege and if so, what steps the House ought to take, whether it must dispose of it here and now or send it to the Committee and if the House 1tself disposes of it in what manner should it dispose of it, whether it should accept this motion or that motion. These are the simple points generally. Cold war and other things have been brought in. Whatever might have been said, hereafter it is not necessary to say what is the motive behind all these. The simple point is this. If the word has been used, has it been used rightly and is it meant to attribute motives to this House? Is

Shri Frank Anthony (Nominated—Anglo-Indians): Sir, you have, may I say with respect, sought to bring this discussion back to its proper moorings Unless my communist friends provoke me, I do not propose to vie with the Leaders of the Communist Group in the way in which they sought to fight gratuitous personalities.

it such a big matter or is it a small

matter? That is the point.

The position, as I see it from a more or less legalistic point of view, is this. Under our Rules of Procedure, a motion of this kind can only be moved with the consent of the Speaker. Under Rule 224, three conditions are postulated before you are pleased to give your consent. One of the conditions is a very important condition and that is that the matter requires the intervention of the House.

Now, having been seized of Mr. Masani's motion, having before you certain evidence, particularly documentary evidence, you were pleased, in terms of this rule, to say that the matter prima facie required the intervention of the House. I would ask the

Members of this House to bear this in mind. The Speaker has advisedly and after ample consideration come to the conclusion before giving his consent that the matter requires intervention of the House.

Now, what is this House supposed to do? Under rule 226, the House is supposed to do one of two things. It can, after hearing the case put forth by all sides, decide the matter itself or take a decision to remit the matter for consideration and investigation by the Privileges Committee. I am not very certain of the implications of Rule 227. Under Rule 227 you, as the Speaker, appear to have an overriding discretion suo motu to refer the matter to the Privileges Committee.

Mr. Speaker: The Rule has been interpreted to me in this way. Before I give my consent, I may ask the expert body to give me advice and independently, of my own, I can do that. But it is not for publication here. It is only to give me an opinion as to how I should act—whether I should give consent or not.

Shri Frank Anthony: I was only outlining the procedure. What we are really concerned with is this whether after the Speaker has found that there is sufficient material to warrant the matter being brought to the notice of the House, we will in a cavalier manner say: 'No', as the Communists want us to do and just throw it out or act otherwise.

Dr. K. B. Menon has put in his amendment in a rather forthright manner. He has asked us to come to decision, that a contempt of the House has been in fact committed, that we should arraign before this House the Chief Minister of Kerala By training and by experience I am objective and I quite frankly see Dr. Mcnon's amendment is rather forthright although there is ample precedent for it in the British House of Commons and they do act exparte in privilege matters without hearing the other side. But all sorts of issues have been brought in and all the irrelevant matters have been brought in by the other side whereby they are making all kinds of charges of mala fides and they are trying to embarrass the Central Government. We have heard here all kinds of rather irrelevant sermons about relationship between the Centre and the State. I say the simple issue is this.

We are here concerned with the complaint by an amendment before this House that there has been a breach of privilege by a person. Let us try to forget the personalities involved, whether that happens to be the Chief Minister of a State or I shall say, even the Prime Minister of this country. What are we concerned here? I say let us, whoever the person, at least give the appearance of fairness It is not good enough to be fair only. I would request the House to remit this matter to the Privileges Committee. Otherwise my friends on the other side would probably welcome it in order to damn the Government and say that this Government has run true to its form; it has given its blessings to something ex parte against the Chief Minister of a State only because he happened to have a different political complexion. I say, in fairness to this House, we should not decide the matter as Dr. Menon has asked us. Let us remit the matter to the Privileges Committee.

Then, Sir, I also want to put the other side of the medal to you. We are here custodians of the privileges not only of the Chief Minister or the Prime Minister. We are custodians in privileges of the humblest Member of this House. No Member of this House has come to us and said: "Well, I have been called a slanderer; improper motives have been imputed to me and I am seeking your protection, improper motives have been imputed to me in the discharge of my duties as a Parliamentarian, in functioning in no other capacity." As I have said, the Speaker has looked into this matter. He has

come to the conclusion before admitting it that this is prima facie a case for intervention.

My friend, Shri Dange, I submit with respect, sought to draw a political red herring across this question. He assumed a pose of injured innoceace. He said that there was a conspiracy on the part of everybody else to join against the communists. I do not think we need take that attitude of Shri Dange very seriously. Then a pseudolegalistic position was taken by Shri Narayanankutty Menon. He said: "How can we seek to posit a privilege motion on a confidential document?" I submit with the utmost respect that it is not the correct position. I just do not understand how this 'confidential document' is being brought in. I say it has been brought in advisedly order to embarrass the Home Minister and through the Home Minister Central Government. The Home Minister is being charged directly with breach of faith. There is no question or the Home Minister being involved. If this matter is remitted to the Privileges Committee, the Home Minister will not be asked to appear before it; the Home Minister will not be asked to produce any document and show: here is the telegram. The telegram was sent in an open way. All that the Committee would have to do is this. It summon the original of the telegram from whichever office it was sent. The Home Minister does not come into the matter

There is ample evidence to show that these people have been stigmatised as slanderers. Should we accept-Shri Dange's thesis: "Why should we sc thin-skinned?". The communists, I say with respect, when anybody against them, are very unduly thin skinned but when they talk against anybody else, as Shri Asoka Mehta pointed out, the word 'slander' and other abusive, defamatory words are part of their ordinary vocabulary. I say this with respect. We had ample evidence. We have ample evidence that my hon. friend here is being [Shri Frank Anthony]

stigmatised as a slanderer. In the reply telegram which was sent to the Home Minister and which was read out here—there is no question of privilege and it is not as if we are trying to drag out some thing which was behind, completely behind the curtain of official secrecy; the thing is already at large—the Chief Minister reaffirms the word. He admits that the word 'slander' was used; he does not deny that at all. Once again these people have been stigmatised as slanderers.

The position to my mind is very clear. Is there prima facie evidence to show that a Member of this House in the discharge of his duty has been called a slanderer?

Shri Tyagi: Has he named any Member?

Shri Frank Anthony: The whole matter was ad hoc, so to speak in respect of Dr. K. B. Menon and in the reply the matter was raised as far as I know; the Chief Minister had referred to Dr. Menon in effect as a slanderer. An explanation was asked for from the Chief Minister. In the reply the slander was repeated. There was no question of his saying: "No, I never said that; it was false". If he had said so-it was never said-for proof we can get the whole confidential document. As I said, the whole thing has been reaffirmed and publicised. Everybody knows it, and it is a fact that the Chief Minister referred to Dr. Menon as a slanderer. That is the simple position. I know that the Government are embarrassed, but they would be doing an injustice to the House if they allow this embarrassment, any false sense of somekind of relation between the Centre and the States to come in in order to reject this motion for reference to the Privileges Committee. I say, it would be setting up a precedent which is completely unworthy of the House.

What are we seeking to do? We are seeking still to work to some kind of democratic parliamentary conventions and principles. I can understand my Communist friends feeling hurt that they must submit to democratic and parliamentary controls. That is why they would like to call people slanderers and worse. With respect, submit, if the Prime Sir, I beg to Minister of India is in the same position, we must follow the same proceaure. It is not only a question of his referring to a person as a slanderer. Here it is a question of precedent. If he refers to a Member of this Housewhether he is the Chief Minister or the Prime Minister-as having said something because he has taken a bribe, how would you distinguish it from a person being called a slanderer instead of being called a bribe-taker? And, what will be the protection that they will seek? Because you were intimidated by Communist tactics you were afraid to arraign the Chief Minister as he happens to be a Communist Chief Minister. Why do you arraign an editor if he says that a Member of this House has made a speech because he has received a bribe? Sir, I am talking about a legal precedent. Is it a breach of privilege to refer to me as a slanderer? If it is a breach of privilege to refer to me as bribe-taker. then, a fortiori equally it is a breach to refer to me as a slanderer.

Now, Sir, you have ample prima facie evidence. There is no question of any relations between the Centre and State Governments. It is a simple question of Dr. K. B. Menon, a Member of this House vis-a-vis a gentleman who was a citizen of this country. I submit, there is more than enough evidence for the matter to be remitted to the Privileges Committee; we are not asking the House to take a decision on this.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Prime Minister.

Shri Tyagi: Sir, may I request you kindly to ask the Home Minister to place on the Table of the House the

telegram because, after all, we are discussing language without knowing as to what exactly it is.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. hon. Member has not been following the proceedings. This matter was disposed of at an earlier stage.

Raja Mahendra Pratap: Sir, I had been to Kerala, and I want to give a report about it before the Prime Minister speaks.

Mr. Speaker: He will have his turn.

Raja Mahendra Pratap: I must explain what I saw in Kerala.

Mr. Speaker: Very well.

Shri Khadilkar: Before the Prime Minister makes a statement regarding this from his angle, would it not be better, Sir, for others to say something, whatever they want to say?

Mr. Speaker: I will call the Prime Minister now. Does the hon. Home Minister propose to speak?

The Minister of Home Affairs. (Pandit G. B. Pant): I have no particular intention of speaking.

Mr. Speaker: I won't call upon any hon. Member unless he wants to speak.

Pandit G. B. Pant: If I have to make a request I will do that, but at present I do not intend to bother the Chair by making any such request. If I want to do so, I will do it later.

Mr. Speaker: I only wanted to know the time that I have to allot. Very well: I have called the hon. Prime Minister now.

The Prime Minister and Minister of External Affairs (Shri Jawaharla) Nehru): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I was not present in this House on previous occasions when this matter came up for some kind of discussion; but, naturally, I tried to follow what had happened, to understand it, because it was a matter, in a sense, of grave consequence from many points of view.

I suppose it is difficult, when such a matter comes up, for Members of this House entirely to shed their party character or their pre-conceived ideas. It is not an easy matter. Nevertheless, it is obvious and I agree with Shri Anthony in what he said-that the matter has nothing to do, ought to have nothing to do with groups, parties or other political ideas that people may have.

However, I do not think we can entirely forget or we should forget the fact that the person who is charged with an impropriety or against whom the allegation is made is the head of a State Government. It is a fact. and I do not know why we should forget that and merely imagine that we are dealing with a simple matter as one citizen to another citizen; of course, in the ultimate analysis it is between a citizen and another citizen. but all these factors are relevant and important.

I think all of us will agree that where any kind of-may I use without any improprietyslander is done to any Member of the House, in whatever way it may be, or, in fact, anything is done which attracts the privileges of this House; every party and every this House should in defend the House and should take steps to prevent that kind of thing happening. We are all, I hope, jealous of the reputation that this House should have and should build up for itself. So there can be no doubt, no argument-even though we may in our heart of hearts differ-about that basic issue.

There may be sometimes, of course, argument whether in the name of defending the privileges of this House we do not sometimes act in a rather thin-skinned way, we do not import other considerations than the immediate ones at issue. I know it is difficult. None of us can avoid import[Shri Jawaharlal Nehru]

ing other considerations to some extent. Nevertheless, the issue should be decided apart from those other considerations.

If I may respectfully submit, Sir, I did not well, agree with what has been said, the manner in which it has been said about importing other considerations by either the hon. Member Shri Asoka Mehta or the Leader of the Communist Party here, Shri Dange, when he talked about the cold war I am not very efficient with cold war as he said he was, and, personally I dislike the cold war wherever it occurs, even in the wider international sphere and much more so, of it in OUT course, if we have this House. own country or in So I cannot. apart from other reasons, because I would find myself rather incompetent to meet such a situation. Anyhow, it is not desirable for us to bring that in here. Therefore, I do not wish to say anything on that issue although I think some of his remarks were very uncharitable, so far as the Government is concerned, in that connection.

I know my colleague the Home Minister and I, who have most to do with State Governments, have tried to the very best of our ability to deal with the Kerala Government as we deal with other State Governments. We may have made a mistake; I do not say we are infallible, but we have only tried to do that. We have differed in some matters with them who pointed out our differences, but we have not to my knowledge done anything which we would not have to done to another State Government in that position. In fact, if I may say so, speaking for myself, there has sometimes been an element of bending backwards in this matter lest we be suspected of having done something to a Government which is controlled by a party which is opposed to our party and Parliament. So, we have been particularly anxious about it. Again I say that I do not claim any particular virtue. I do not say

we might not have made mistakes or said something which should not have been said. But this has been our attitude, and therefore, I was a little distressed at what Shri Dange said,—that we were leading some kind of crusande against the Kerala Government. However, I do not wish to refer to that matter in this connection, because, I would like this House to try, and every hon. Member to try, not to bring in these issues, important as they may be in other contexts, in the consideration of the particular matter before us.

Having said that. I would also like to say this. I am not quite sure we gs a Government should function at all in this matter, as a Government. As individual Members, of we have equal right with other Mempers I go a step further. As a party slso, I do not think these are party matters, that a party should function in a particular way. So, my request to the Members of this House will be that they should not get entangled in their other pre-conceptions what they think of the Kerala Government or the conditions in Kerala. They should keep that apart and try to judge this matter on the bare facts nefore us.

I would have preferred-I shall be duite frank to this House-if this motion had not been brought not in order to protect the Chief Minister σf Kerala. although. if necessary, it is my duty to proor help him—that is s different matter-but because I am a little anxious that we should not enter into a path of conflict in such matters, because this kind of thing might be overdone. There are things said, often enough, which are not desirable and things said in the heat of the moment which, a person, thinking more would not have said.

If we pursue every person who makes a statement like that, I do not know how many of us will be completely innocent of never making any remarks which might not be held up against. We are all human beings,

and I know that I err sometimes, Sir, though I hope not too often. So, from that point of view, if my mind was quite clear that if it was a deliberate flouting of the dignity of Parliament or of any individual Member of Parliament, then, of course, there can be no doubt that that challenge has to be met. But where in other contexts, in the heat of the moment or in a controversy something is said, I would personally prefer this House not to take too much notice of it. But, as I said, this is my personal reaction which I place before this House.

When I read about it on the first occasion, I did feel that perhaps it would have been better if this matter had not been pressed. But there it is. The House is seized of it, and it is now for each individual to decide on this issue and in which way he vote. I cannot give an should advice. I can function by myself as I think best. But I would repeat again that we have heavier before us, tremendous difficulties and tasks before us, and if we get into this groove of challenging each other, over every petty thing or words spoken and of importing what Shri Dange was pleased to describe as an atmosphere of cold war here, it would not be good for this House or for the country outside.

I would only beg Shri Dange, when he says that, to advise his own partymen—not here, for, here we know each other, but outside—to speak a little more, shall I say, gently, to write a little more politely, and not always to behave as if the Heavens were falling, and therefore this fact had to be announced in square headlines.

I confess, maybe, I have become too old for this kind of thing, but it distresses me—this continuous shouting and running down people. It is a question of a Member of the House here, he can take exception to it and ask you, Sir, to protect him or the House to protect him. But who

is to protect all others outside this House who are being held up to ridicule or slander or whatever the word may be? It is too much, and I am not for the moment thinking in terms of even any particular group. There is a tendency, far too big tendency, in the country to that effect, and it distresses me.

As I said, maybe I am not in tune with modern ways of thinking and I am ageing, but I do think it is a good thing to be courteous; it is a good thing not to shout too much at each other and to speak a little gently and try to solve problems in that way.

Therefore, all I have to say is that this is a matter for each individual to decide, as indeed it is, and it is not for me as Leader of the House or leader of the majority party in this House to tell them what they should decide in this matter. It is a matter of dignity of an individual and if that dignity has been affected in the wrong way, if somebody else has acted in a wrong way in so far as a Member of this House is concerned. I have expressed my own view about it, and I leave it to others to decide what they should do.

Shri Khadilkar: Since the beginning of the last session, what I find is that some attempts are made in this House to continue the and the debate concerning Kerala things that are, for the time being, raging in that State as well as outside that State, in the country. When my friend Shri Masani noticed certain news items in the newspapers and thought of bringing that matter before the House, I thought that his attempt was to avoid, as far as possible, from either side, this controversy being carried to a limit where this House will have to take a serious note of. So, I considered that it was a mild warning that he desired. But now what do we find here? I am not going to look at the motion or issue before the House in a partisan way and I expect Mr. Masani and

[Shri Khadilkar]

Mr. Asoka Mehta, who have faith in multi-party democracy and who would like that the democratic institutions in this country should further grow and evolve on those lines. would not also adopt a sort of partiwan attitude towards the issue before us. So far as the words that were used are concerned, I feel they were of a general nature, and as I raised the point of order on the last occasion. if such a general complaint not referring to a particular individual, is made, the original document must be before the House before we are competent to take any action.

14 hrs.

I will not take much time of the House, but I will just refer to page 135 of Mays' Parliamentary Practice What I feel, is as the Law Minister pointed out on the previous occasion, the original document is not before us and in the subsequent telegram. which the Speaker had an opportunity to see, a certain explanation of the former telegram was given. So, I think the Chief Minister stands exonerated, and, therefore, the matter should be dropped after the second telegram was received. As the former correspondence was of a confidential nature, it should not be pursued further.

I would like to appeal to this House from another point of view. In this country, though we have adopted the British parliamentary procedure, we are a type of a federation and we believe m multi-party democracy. If our faith is genuine, then in the course of evolution. occasions might arise when Centre will be controlled by one party and there might be different States governed by different parties. In such a situation, if we lose our head and take a partisan attitude because a particular party is not to the liking of the party ruling at the Centre, I am afraid we will not build up national unity or help the cause of democracy in this land. Therefore, the issue before the House is not simply the privileges, decorum and dignity of the House. We must be very watchful and vigilant about them; there is no doubt about it. But we must be equally vigilant about the decorum and dignity of the Chief Ministers in the States, whether they belong to the Congress or the Communist Party, because they have been elected properly and they have assumed charge of office as the Head of the State. Is it not our duty in a federal structure of the Constitution, to look at the problem from this angle as well?

Therefore, I would like to appeal to every Member of this House, that if we are going to be short-sighted and going to import the debate that is continuing in the country against a particular brand of Government that is ruling in Kerala, let that debate be fought on a political partisan platform. But when this House debates a question of privilege, it is not a partisan issue It is not any party issue; it is the issue of the House. As I said earlier, it is the fundamental right of this House that we are going to protect, if at all it has been infringed by somebody outside. is the main question before us.

A certain telegram of a routine nature, which is prima facie confidential, was sent. It has been said on the last occasion by the Prime Minister....

An Hon. Member: The Prime Minister was not present.

Shri Khadikar: I am sorry; the hon. Law Minister said that it is normally confidential, unless otherwise it is expressed that it is for publication. If some newspaper tries to get hold of a secret confidential document and publishes certain parts of it and if on that basis we are going to say that our dignity, decorum or privilege has been infringed, I am afraid those things would give certain encourage-

ment to those who are trying to pry and get secret documents of the Government. I personally would deprecate such an attempt.

Shri Asoka Mehta said that a certain resolution has been passed by Congress Working Committee. He has unfortunately quoted it on the floor of the House, because from the very quotation of the resolution of a party executive, he has exposed himself to the charge that he is arguing his case, getting support from a particular party which feels rather afraid to act and at the same time. through some utterances sometimes creates an atmosphere that this is a Government which is not desired by the major party ruling the country. I do not think all Congressmen are of the same view. But as a party, their expression is of such a nature which implies that they are visualising the evolution of one-party authoritarian rule in this country and they would not have that democratic tolerance which presupposes the healthy atmosphere for the growth of the democratic institutions in society. If this is so, as Comrade Dange said, there is an atmosphere of cold war and this House. let me repeat, should it. insulate self, if it is going to discharge its responsibilities properly, against importations of cold war atmosphere if it exists in any State or in any part of the country.

If, as we saw at the initial stage of the debate, when the question of privilege of this House is involved, a certain partisan warfare is waging on the floor of the House, I think we are not equal to the task of protecting the dignity of the House, because it is not the dignity of any individual or any party, but it is the dignity of the whole House that we are going to protect. That is the law of privilege.

When my friend, Shri Masani, introduced his motion, I had a talk with him, and I said, "It is very unfor-

tunate that this word has been used; I was also surprised. But if you are drawing the attention of the House and the Chief Minister of Kerala for a slip, I would not object so much." But seeing the manner in the debate was carried on the last occasion, particularly the partisan warfare on the issue before the House that took place in the early part of the debate here. I feel the best course for my friend. Shri Masani, is to drop the motion, as it has served purpose. Perhaps it will be useful for future guidance of all the Chief Ministers, whether of the Congress persuasion or some other persuasion in every State, who fortunately come to assume charge of responsibility to bear in mind that a certain amount of restraint in their official, and perhaps even in the non-official, utterances is necessary. They should remember the debate that took place on the little report that appeared in a section of the press

With these words, I again appeal to every Member of the House, as well as to the main mover of the motion, Shri Masani, that they should give serious thought to this particular issue before the House. As I said earlier, I feel that unless the original document is in possession of House, this House cannot decide this matter. That is the law, as laid down by May, an authority on parliamentary practice, which we are supposed to follow. As I have already quoted him. I do not want to take any more time in repeating it. If by inference we are going to convict the Chief Minister of a State, perhaps the future historians will accuse us of certain short-sightedness when they read the proceedings of the House, and say that those who were charged with the responsibility, not only of preserving the dignity and decorum of the House but also of ensuring the future growth of democracy in this country, did not act with imagination, with

1

[Shri Khadilkar]

vision and a certain decorum, which is necessary for democratic evolution and public life in this country. With these words. I conclude my speech.

Raja Mahendra Pratap: I told the House in the last session that I shall be going to Kerala and it would be better to discuss this question when I come back. I and my friend Shri Daulta, we two went to Kerala We were there only for three days, but we toured 400 miles in Kerala. and we did not see anywhere any trouble of any kind. I asked some people here on the road. I asked some people there on the road; I asked "What is some Muslim gentleman: the condition here? Are you sup-pressed by the Hindus here?" That Muslim gentleman said: "Here are four communities—the Nairs, the Ezhavas, the Christians and Muslims. We are living at peace."

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member will kindly resume his seat. I have no intention to avoid any statement by the hon Member who has taken all the trouble to go there and study the conditions by himself. But if J allow the hon. Member to go on saying what happened in Kerala, another hon. Member, who possibly has got a different impression on the same subject, may say: what he says is wrong. In any case, that is not the point. The simple point is whether we in this House should take any action on the statement and whether it is a statement which goes against the privilege of the House So, leaving all other topics, let hon Members address themselves to this simple point. Even today, after Shri Dange, Shri Asoka Mehta referred to something and Shri Dange allowed him to conclude. After that, I submitted to the House that such controversies relating to what is happening in Kerala need not be brought in this discussion

Raja Mahendra Pratap: That is my preface. I am coming to the point. I must also explain very clearly before this House that I am a religious man. I tried even to convert Comrade Lenin to religion.

An Hon, Member: Did you succeed?

Raja Mahendra Pratap: Anyhow, I was really very greatly distressed this morning when I saw a lot of shouting in this House. I quite agree with the hon. Prime Minister that in this House there should be a kind of decorum, there should be very great tolerance for one another. It is very unfortunate that Shri Masani and Dr. Menon brought these resolutions, these suggestions. What they really want is not served by these resolutions. I gm not a communist. Therefore, can say without any fear that they are helping the communists by the resolution. You know in our country when some people are prosecuted or persecuted, then those people are very much liked by our people. Now, if such a resolution is brought here, the result is that people will like the communists more I say that such a resolution is very unfortunate, even for that object for which they meant it I want to say that now when there is a danger on the border, there should not be brought such questions in the House which divide us more and more, because then a day will come when all the parties are dissolved I do not wish that. But if we will continue to quarrel like that then there may be a certain dictator who may dissolve all the parties

What I saw in Kerala was quite peaceful I asked all kinds of people We were both together and I think he will bear with me when I say we were always together. One gentleman, Shri Thanu Pillai, who was formerly the Chief Minister there, said that all the communists are scounderls and no communist can be pelieved.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member is referring to some statement of some hon. Members there. It will give opportunity to others to say all sorts of things. I want to avoid that.

Shri P. S. Daulta (Jhajjar): I just want to submit that every word of what he said is true.

Mr. Speaker: But let them not be repeated here. It is no good trying to repeat what hon. Members said elsewhere. If there is any abuse, why should he carry the abuse inside the House? I think the hon. Member has concluded and he has nothing to add.

Raja Mahendra Pratap: I want to say more. I am giving an eye-witnes, account before the House as to what I saw in Kerala

Mr. Speaker: That is not relevant

Raja Mahendra Pratap: We asked certain principal of the school. This gentleman was with me

Mr. Speaker: All that is not relevant.

Raja Mahendra Pratap: That principal said: we are very satisfied

Mr. Speaker: That information may be useful elsewhere, but not in this context. Let the hon. Member confine himself to this resolution.

Raja Mahendra Pratap: This is a very unfortunate resolution that is the impression created. And there I must agree with these communist brethren that the impression created is this: the Congress, P.S.P. and these lot of people are against the Government in Kerala and by hook or crook, by some method, they just want to pull it down. This impression should not be created. I agree with those gentlemen that we should drop it. Of course, I am not for democracy; I do not know it. I am for religion, and I believe in that democracy of God, God who created all men: God wants good of all men. But I say that if this

situation created by my friend is continued, we shall then be nowhere. We shall only quarrel here and when this news is printed in the press, what will the public say? The public will say "Oh! Ayub Khan is very good".

Some Hon. Members: No, no.

Raja Mahendra Pratap: I will tell you that is the impression created, because they say: these parties always quarrel This should not be. I say that press should not take notice of the shouting in the House. We are all friends and we want good of all. We are all together

Mr. Speaker: I would like to give apportunities to representatives of some Groups. Pandit Brij Narayan "Brijesh". Then, I will call Shri Vajpavee and then Shri A. K. Gopalan. I will call one or two here also

Shri Braj Raj Singh (Firozabad): May I speak, Sir?

Mr. Speaker: If he wanted to speak; very well

Shrimati Renuka Ray (Malda): May I speak, now?

Mr. Speaker: I have called the other hon Member I will give some opportunities to Leaders of Groups.

Raja Mahendra Pratap: On a point of order, This gentleman tells me that I should explain that I was not bribed there We were not bribed there We were charged for the food also by the Government restaurant.

पंक्ति क्रज नारायश् "क्रजेश" (शिवपुरी) प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, सदन में जो प्रस्ताव भाया है

Mr. Speaker: Hon Members will be brief as I intend closing this debate by 3 o'clock

पंडित क्रम नारायला "क्रम्कश": उस प्रस्ताव पर श्रध्यक्ष महोदय मम्मानित सदस्यों विंडित इक नारायण "इजेश"

ते बहुत संक्षेप में दो चार शब्दो में भ्रपनी भ्रपनी बात कहने के लिये बोल रहे हैं। स्वयं प्रस्ताव ही ो, चार शब्दो में हैं। मेरा निवेदन इस सम्बन्ध में केवल इतना ही है कि जहा तक भारतवर्ष, ग्रायंवर्त भीर उस की इस लोक सभा का प्रदन है, हमारे सामने एक बादर्श रहा है कि हम ने सारे संसार में यह दिन्वाना है कि हमे जो भाज प्रजातन्त्र स्वीकार किया है. बह प्रजातन्त्र मसार में किस ग्रादर्श के साथ चलना चाहिये, यह हमें सब के सामने उपस्थित करना है। भव यदि हम स्वय प्रजातन्त्र की भवहेलना कर के भीर दूषित उपायों के द्वारा एक इसरे की प्रपशब्दों के साथ व्यवहार करना भारम्भ कर देंगे तो हम वह भादर्श भीर वह महानता जो ससार के सामने प्रस्तुत करना चाहते हैं उसे करने मे पिछड़ ही नही जाये बिल्क एक प्रकार से केवल वह हमारी धादर्श प्रस्तृत करने की प्रणाली भी शाब्दिक ही एह जायेगी। मेरा यह नि दन है कि मनसा वाणी और विचार करने में सब में साम्य होना चाहिये। हमारे विचार महान होने चाहिये भौर विचारो को व्यक्त करने की हमारी परिपाटी भी महान होनी चाहिये भीर जिन के लिये हम उन का प्रयोग कर रहे हैं उन के प्रति हमारे हृदय में भावना भी महान होनी चाहिये। इस महानता से प्रेरित हो कर जब हम कोई कार्य करेगे तो किसी को उपदेश देने की आवश्यकता नहीं पडेगी। खराबी तो उस समय ग्रारम्भ होती है जब हम घपने मन में तो द्वेष बद्धि रखते है भीर उसके पश्चात शब्दाडम्बर में पड कर उस देश बद्धि को छिपाते हुए भपने हृदय के अन्दर के हए एक विशिष्ट स्वार्थ की पूर्ति के मार्ग पर अग्रमर होते है। भाज हम देखते हैं कि हमारे देश में शब्द छल भीर शब्दाडम्बर भीर गालीगलीच बहुत काफी बढ गई है और यह दोनों ही पद्धतिया हानि-कारक है। स्पष्ट रूप में स्पष्टता के नाम पर ग्नीर स्पष्टवादिता के अपशब्दों का प्रयोग भी देश के लिये अहितकर

ही होगा । । दूषित भाव हृदय में रस कर सुन्दर से सुम्दर शब्दों के आवरण में उन की कट कर और फिर विचार व्यक्त करते की जो परिपाटी है और जिसे डिप्लोमेसी के नाम से विभूषित किया जाता है, वह हमारे देश के लिये हितकर नहीं होगी । हमारे लिये तो मब मे सुन्दर बात यही होगी "मनस्यकैम् वचस्येकम् कमंण्येकम् महत्मनाम्" । मनसा वाचा और कमंणा सब में हमने महानता को सामने रखना है।

ग्राज हम देख रहे है कि सदन में बैठ कर यह तो हम कहते है कि हमारे मामने पार्टी पालिटिक्स नहीं है और हमारे मामने दलगत नीनि नही है भीर हमारे सामने व्यक्तियों का प्रश्न नही है। किन्तु वास्तव में ग्रन्तरात्मा में जब हम घस कर देखते हैं तो उस से हम ग्रपने को ग्रस्टना नहीं पाते । बोलने वाले भी स्वय ग्रपने ग्राप को महान दिखाने के लिये यह बोल देते हैं कि बह इन सब से परे हैं लेकिन वास्तव में भीतर उन के यह दूं लता घर करे रहती है। श्रीर जब हमारे मन में कुछ चाज छि । होगी तो वह दूसरे पर अवश्य प्रभाव बालेगी चाहे हम उस को स्वीकार करें ग्रथवान करे और यह दलगत ीति की लेकर सदन में हम ग्रा जाते हैं भीर उस के कारण हमारा वानावरण खराब हो जाता है। इस में सन्देह नहीं कि पार्टियों के बीच में जो मनोमालित्व उत्पन्न होता है और जो विषमता उन के बीच में उत्पन्न हुई भीर जिम विषमता के श्राधार पर जो वायु मडल निर्मित हथा बह एक दिवत बाय महल था श्रीर उस ने यह स्थिति हमारे सामने रख दी है। इसमें कोई सन्देह नही कि कोई भी व्यक्ति किसी के प्रति कोई ग्रपशब्द का यदि व्यवहार करेती वह महावता की दिष्ट में कभी भी स्वीकार नहीं किया जा सकता। उस का कोई भी बद्धिमान भ्रादमी समर्थन नहीं कर सकता है भौर यदि इसी तरह का व्यवहार चलने दिया जा गा तब ती एक दूसरे के लिये भपशावीं

का व्यवहार प्रारम्भ हो जायेगा और जिस की कि कोई सीमा नहीं रहेगी और जब कोई सीमा नहीं रहेगी तब फिर कोई एक भयंकर स्थिति उत्पन्न हो सकती है। जहां तक अपशब्दों 🕏 व्यवहार का सवाल है. उन पर रोक तो होनी ही चाहिये लेकिन उस के साथ साथ हम किसी इसरे झाइमी को बदनाम करने के लिये नबीन नवीन प्रकार दृढ निकालेंगे भीर उन प्रकारों को प्राधार बना कर प्रगर हम कि प्रकार से न चल सके भीर गलत राह पर चले भीर लोगो को ठीक रास्ता नही बतला सके तो फिर हम अपना भीर दूसरों का हिन नहीं करने बाले हैं। धगर मीधे नाक पकड़े के स्थान पर पीश्चे से हाथ डाल कर नाक पकड़ने की पद्धति निकालेगे तो उस से कोई हमारा लाग या हित होने वाला नहीं है, मेरा यह नम्म निवेदन है।

14.26 hrs.

[Mr. Deputy-Speaker in the Chair]

यहा इस समय देश में जो वायमडल का निर्माण हुन्ना है जो कि समाचारपत्रों से मालम होता है भीर यहा लोग सभा में कम्यनिस्ट पार्टी के साथ जो ग्रन्यों का वादविवाद चल रहा है. उम में दो मत नहीं हो मकते हैं कि लोगो में यह भावना निर्माण हो रही है कि इन दोनों में एक प्रकार की टग ग्राफ बार चल रही है। मैं इस बात स इन्कार नहीं करता कि विचारों में मतभेद ों सकता है श्रीर जहा तक कम्यनिस्ट विचारधारा का सम्बन्ध है हम त्रिकाल में भी साम्यवादी विचारधारा स्बोकार करने को तैयार नहीं और उस का यहा भी और बाहर भी खड़े हो कर हम अपने बद्धिवाद े प्राधार पर उस का पिरोय करेंगे परन्त इस बा तान्पर्य यह नहीं है कि हम वह विरोध इन अपशब्दी के द्वारा करेगे। साम्य-बादी दल ने भी यदि उन से हमारे विचार नही मिलते हैं भीर यदि उन्हों ने भी भपशब्दों के द्वारा हम को निन्दनीय या निन्दक कह कर भीर घोषित कर के भागे बढ़ने का प्रयास किया तो यह उन के लिये भी श्रेयस्कर नही होगा। मन्ततोगत्वा हम इस देश के निवासी 249(Ai) LSD-5

हैं भीर हमें जो विचारधारा पसन्द धावेगी उस को लेकर हम चलेंगे नेकिन यह विचार-थारा हमें जनता तक पहचानी है और बंदि जनता के स्तर को गिरा दिया और उस के विचारने की प्रवृति को गिरा दिया तो फिर हम स्वयं भी सही टिक सकेंगे यह स्वयं विचारने की बीज है। नेतागण जिस ग्राधार पर बलना चाहते है जब वह भाषार ही हिल जायेगा. जब माधार ही भ्रष्ट हो जायेगा तो फिर नेतत्व वे किस का करेंगे. यह सोचने की एक चीज होती है और उस द्ष्टि से में समझता हं कि यह जो परम्परा चली भा रही है इस प्रकार से एक इसरे को अपशब्द कहने की और सदन में बैठ कर यदि हम एक दूसरे के लिये अपशब्दों **डा** प्रयोग करने लगेगे तो फिर उस का गम्भीयं, उस की महत्ता, और उस की निर्णायक शक्ति कहा रहेगी? जब हम निर्णय करने बैठे भीर स्वय उसी में जिस चीज में कि हम निर्णय करने बैठे हैं उस में फस गये तो फिर हमारा निर्णय भी शृद्ध होगा, यह कैसे माना जा सकता है ? इसलिये भ्रत्यन्त शान्तिपूर्वक. मत्यन्त गम्भीरतापुर्वक बिना किसी प्रकार का काक्षेप लगाये जो विषय है. उस पर विचार होना चाहिये। ग्रभी जो यहा पर वाद विवाद हमा भीर उस के द्वारा जो स्वरूप सामने प्रस्तृत किया गया वह योग्यतापूर्ण, बृद्धिमत्ता-पूर्ण भौर कोई बडा भव्य भौर सुन्दर नही था। भारतवर्ष की लोक सभा में इस प्रकार के दश्य उपस्थित होना प्रशोभनीय है। वैसे प्रन्य देशों की ससदों में सदस्यो द्वारा एक दूसरे पर कुर्सिया उछालन भीर टेबले फेकने की घट-नाये हो गयी है भीर हमारे निकट के पड़ीसी देश में ईस्ट बगाल की विधान सभा में कूर्सिया श्रीर मेजे एक सदूरे पर फेकी गयी भौर भापस में सदस्यों में हाथापाई भी खुब हुई भौर इस गडबड मे वहा के स्पीकर महोदय को इतनी चीटे धाई कि वे बंचारे जान से गये....

उपाध्यक्ष महोदय : वह स्पीकर नहीं, डिप्टी स्पीकर थे ।

वंडित बस नारावंता "सबेस" : जी हां, मझ से नमती हुई के किप्टी स्पीकर ही वे। इस समय हमारे यहां उपाध्यक्ष [महोदय बासन पर बिराजमान हैं बीर मुझे यह देख कर बड़ा दु:स हुआ कि कहीं पर ऐसी दुसद स्यिति भी पैदा हो सकती है। खैर बाहर जो हो, हनें अपने भारत वर्ष की लोक समा में इस प्रकार का दृश्य कभी भी उपस्थित होते देने का धवसर नहीं देना चाहिये। दुनिया को हमें यह दिखाना है कि हमारा प्रजातन्त्र एक भद्र पुरुषों का, सम्य पुन्त्यों का, योग्य भौर बुद्धिमान पुरुषों का यह प्रजातन्त्री सदन है। इस सदन में हम लोग गम्भीरतापूर्वक बाल की खाल निकालते हैं और बच्छी प्रकार से भापरेशन करते हैं भीर कर सकते हैं लेकिन बह बेंच हिला कर, कृसिया हिला कर और मारपीट की नौबत ले आना, यह कोई बुद्धि-मान और योग्य व्यक्तियों का काम नहीं है। यह तो जो साधारण मनुष्य होते है जिन में कि विचारने की, सोचने की और बोलने की शक्ति नहीं होती है, वे हाथापाई पर उतर आते है या गालीगलोत पर उत्तर द्याते हैं। इसलिये मेरी यह प्रार्थना है कि सदन की प्रतिष्ठा को, सदन के सस्यों की प्रतिष्ठा को ग्रीर प्रान्तों की मिनिस्टीज भौर चीफ मिनिस्टर्स की प्रतिष्ठा को ज्यान में रखते हुए इन सब मे परस्पर एक साम्य रखना है और यदि चीफ मिनिस्टर किसी स्टेट का कहे कि मेम्बर क्या चीज होती है भौर मेम्बर कहें कि चीफ मिनिस्टर क्या चीज होती है तो जनता यह कहने पर मजबूर होगी कि हम दोनो कुछ भी नहीं है भौर इस तरह दोनों की जो महानता है वह समाप्त हो जायेगी। इसलिये हमे बजाय एक दूसरे पर कीवड उद्यालने के एक दूसरे का प्राशंसक बन कर भ्रौर एक दूसरे के कानूनों का भादर करते हुए लोकतन्त्र के पथ पर आगे अग्रसर होना है। एक दूसरे के प्रति यह भावना रहनी चाहिये, "परस्पर भावयन्तः श्रेयः परमवा स्थेत्यः"। मेरी यह नम्म प्रार्थना भौर नम्म निवेदन है कि यह जो वायुमडल का निर्माण हुन्ना है उस वायुमंडल को समाप्त करला चाहिये । वैसे तो यह प्रस्ताव कोई बहुत महत्व का नहीं है कि विस् के सिये देस की और बहुत सी बतों की खोड़ कर केवल प्रीविलेज मोखन पर विचार करने के बिये हम बैठते लेकिन जब हम उस पर विचार करने वैठ ही गये हैं तो उस का निष्कर्ष यही निकलना चाहिये कि मविष्य में इस प्रकार की घटनामों की पुनरावृत्ति न हो भीर साथ ही इस प्रकार के अपगढ़तों का व्यवहार न किया जा है, इस प्रकार का निष्वय कर के हमें भविष्य में कार्य आरम्भ करना चाहिये।

श्री वाजपेयी (बलरामपुर): उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरे भित्र श्री मसानी ने जो प्रस्ताव सदन के सामने पेश किया है में समझता हूं उस से जिस उद्देश्य को वह पूरा करना चाहने ये वह शायद पूरा हो गया है। सदन या सदन के मदस्यों की प्रनिष्ठा बनाये रखने के लिये हम लोग किनने व्यप्र है यह प्रख तक के हुए विवाद से पूरी तरह स्पष्ट हो गया है, प्रौर कोई भी ऐसा प्रयस्त जो मदन की प्रनिष्ठा को कम करने के लिये जब किया जायेगा उस का हम विरोध करेगे इस सम्बन्ध में भी ग्रब किसी को सन्देह नहीं रहना चाहिये।

तेकिन इस विवाद के साथ जो और भी प्रक्रन खड़े हो गये हैं और हम इस विवाद को खागे बढायेंगे तो में समझता हूं और प्रक्रन जटिल होगे, इस सदन की प्रतिष्ठा या सदन के किसी सदस्य की गरिमा का प्रक्रन पीछे पड जायेगा, और यह कहे के बावजूद कि किसी राजनीतिक उद्देष्य से यह प्रस्ताव नहीं लाया गया हैं, राजनीतिक मंतव्य सामने बा जायेंगे।

जो भी केरल के मुख्य मंत्री ने तार दिया उम तार से यह स्पष्ट नहीं होता कि उन्हों ने सदन के किसी मदस्य विधोष के विश्वय कोई प्रारोप सगाया है। प्रभी यहां यह बात कही गई कि वह प्रारोप एक सदस्य 1 + 1, विशेष के विद्ध है। मैं समझता हं तार में किसी का नाम नहीं है। हम उस में से संदर्भ के द्वारा धर्म निकाल सकते है, लेकिन यह बात सच है कि तार में किती सदस्य के नाम का उल्लेख नही किया गया । इस का श्रभित्राय यह है कि किसी निविचत सदस्य पर कोई झारोप नहीं है। यदि यह कहा बाये कि सदन के सदस्य के नाते जो कुछ सामग्री उन्होंने सदन के मामने उपस्थित की उस के ऊपर केरल के मरूयमंत्री को प्रापत्ति है. और उन्हों ने ऐसे शब्दों का प्रयोग किया है जिन्हें सदन की प्रतिष्ठा के प्रतिकृल कहा जा सकता है, इस में भी इस बात का विचार करना होगा कि क्या केरल के मख्य मंत्री की शीयत सदन के किमी विशेष सदस्य को बद-नाम करने की थी।

श्री प्रशोक मेहता ने कहा कि हमारे कम्यनिस्ट दोस्त इस तरह की शब्दावली का प्रयोग साधारण रूप से करते हैं। हो सकता है कि यह प्रयोग भी उसी साधारण रूप से हो गया हो भीर उस का भ्रभिशाय सदस्य की मानहानि करना न हो। मैं नही समझता मरूप मंत्री की नीयत क्या थी, इस के सम्बन्ध में यह सदन कैसे निर्णय दे सकता है। सेिकन जो भी विवाद यहा चल रहा है वह विवाद एक राजनीतिक पष्ठ-भिम में देश में देखा जा रहा है, जबकि कम्यनिस्ट पार्टी केरल मे चन कर ग्राई है। भीर में यह स्पष्ट कर दूं कि कम्यनिस्ट पार्टी से मेरा विरोध है भौर उस की नीतियो भीर कार्यक्रमो भीर उस के सिद्धानों का में कट्टर विरोवी ह भीर भागे भी रहगा। लेकिन इस तथ्य से इन्कार नहीं किया जा सकता कि जनता के बोटो से भारतीय संविवान के भ्रन्तगंत कम्युनिस्ट पार्टी केरल में सत्तारूढ़ हुई है। यदि केरल में प्रसुरक्षा की स्थिति है, मंविधान द्वारा स्वीकृत मौलिक श्रिषकारों पर कुठाराचात किया जा रहा है, जैसाकि सदन में दावा किया गया है. तो में तिबेदन करूगा कि केन्द्रीय शासन को संविधान के अन्तर्गत वे अधिकार प्राप्त है जिन से केरल वे कम्युनिस्ट पार्टी को सत्ता से हटाया जा सकता है। क्यों नहीं उन अधिकारों को काम मे नाया जाता?

लेकिन यहा एक बडी विचित्र परिस्थिति पैदा हो गई है। केरल को एक उदाहरण के रूप में पेश किया गया, पचशील का उदाहरण, कोएग्जिसटेस का उदाहरण, कि १३ राज्यों में काग्रेस का शासन है, केरल में कम्यनिस्ट पार्टी का शासन है, यह हिन्दुस्तान में पंचकील का जीता जागता नमुना है। भ्रगर नमुना है तो उस के साथ न्याय का, नीति का व्यवहार होना चाहिये। मेरे कहने का यह ग्रमित्राय नहीं है कि केन्द्रीय सरकार केरल के साथ कोई पक्षपान कर रही है, मगर यह विवाद इस सदन के भीतर भीर बाहर इस प्रकार का भ्रम पैदा करने में सहायक हो सकता है कि हम येन केन प्रकारेण, किमी भी प्रकार से, केरल की कम्यनिस्ट पार्टी को बदनाम करना चाहते हैं। में समझता हं भ्रगर इस प्रकार की स्थिति पैदा होती है तो वह ठीक नहीं है ।

हम ने मधारमक शासन को स्वीकार किया है। भारत एक फेडेरेशन है, युनिटरी स्टेट नही, यद्यपि में भौर मेरी पार्टी यनिटरी स्टेट के पक्ष में हैं जिस में इस प्रकार के राज्य भीर केन्द्र के साथ उत्पन्न होने वाले झगडे भौर उन के परिणामस्वरूप देश की एकता के लिये पैदा होने वले खतरे के लिये कोई सम्भावना नहीं रहेगी, लेकिन सत्तारूढ दल ने, देश के बहमत ने संघात्मक शासन सीकार किया है भौर सघात्मक गासन स्वीकार करने से जो परम्पराये भावश्यक है, जो नीति<mark>यां</mark> भ्रनिवायं है, उन का पालन किया जाना चाहिये। किमी राज्य में किमी श्रीर पार्टी का शामन हो इमीलिये यदि सत्तारूढ दल के मदस्य उस पार्टी के साथ भेदभाव करें तो में समझता ह कि यह ठीक नहीं है। विविधता में एकता को भगर हमें राजनीति में भी माश्रय देना ह तो फिर जब तक

[बी वाजपेयी]

कम्युनिस्ट पार्टी संविधान के घन्तर्गत काम करती है, भौर मुझे यह मान कर चलना होगा कि वह ऐसा कर रही है क्योंकि केन्द्र ने झभी तक हस्तक्षेप नहीं किया है, तो फिर हमें उन को पूरी छुट देनी चाहिये, श्रीर मैं समझता ह कि अगर इस प्रिविलेज के मोशन को भी हम राजनीति ने भ्रलग रख कर देखे, भीर भगर मेरे मित्र मिस्टर मसानी जो कटटर कम्यनिस्ट विरोधी है इस सवाल पर विश्द ससद की प्रतिष्ठा भीर उस के सदस्यो की गरिमा का प्रक्त बना कर देखे. तो मैं समझता ह वह भी इस बात को स्वीकार करेगे कि काफी विवाद हो गया । बीफ मिनिस्टर ने यदि कोई गलती की थी तो उस की झोर सारे ससार का ध्यान खीच दिया गया और ग्रब इस विवाद को भागे बढाने की भाव-श्यकता नही है। यहा समाप्त कर देना चाहिये। मै उनसे भ्रपील करूगा, वह इस समय भ्रपने स्थान पर नही है, कि उन के प्रस्ताव में जो उद्देश्य था वह पूरा हो गया है, भौर वह अब इस विवाद को समाप्त कर दें। इस कट् विवाद को श्रीर श्रागेन बढाये ।

इन शब्दों के साथ में समाप्त करता ह।

भी बजराज सिंह उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, जो विशेषाधिकार का प्रश्न मेरे मित्र श्रीमन् मसानी ने सदन मे उपस्थित किया है वह बहुत ही महत्व का प्रश्न है। इस से इन्कार नही किया जा सकता कि सदन की प्रतिष्ठा, सदन के सदस्यों की प्रतिष्ठा का हमेशा ध्यान रखा जाना चाहिये, भीर इस प्रस्ताव पर जो बहस हुई उस ने यह स्पष्ट कर दिया है कि इस सदन को, इस सदन के हर एक सदस्य को, सदन की प्रतिष्ठा में बहुत मोह है, वह उसे कायम रखना चाहता है। लेकिन, श्रीमन्, सिर्फ इतना ही प्रश्न नहीं है कि हम सदन की प्रतिष्ठा और विशेषाधिकार को कायम रखे. सदन के सदस्यों की प्रतिष्ठा भीर विशेषा-धिकार को कायम रखें. इस के साथ ही राष्ट्र के बहत से भीर भी प्रश्न है। हमें देखना होगा कि जहा एक तरफ हम सदन भौर सदन के सदस्यों की प्रतिष्ठा भौर विशेषाधिकार की तरफ इतने जागरूक है, क्या उतने ही जागरूक हम ग्रन्य समस्याग्रों की तरफ भी है या नही। इस सदर्भ में हमें इस विशेषाधिकार के प्रश्न को देखना होगा।

मै इस से इन्कार नहीं करता कि शब्द "स्लैडर" ग्रपमानजनक है, भ्रोर यदि किसी सदस्य की प्रतिष्ठा में ऐसा शब्द कहा जाता है तो इस को बरदाश्त नही किया जाना चाहिये। लेकिन हम को यह भी देखना होगा कि जिस व्यक्ति की तरफ से यह शब्द प्रयोग किया गया है उन की पष्ठभूमि क्या रही है। उस पार्टी की पृष्टभूमि क्या रही है। हम यह न भूल जाये कि राष्ट्रीय झान्दोलन के जमाने में राप्ट्रिपता के प्रति इन्ही हमारे दोस्तो ने किस तरह के शब्दों का प्रयोग किया था, उन को पूजीपतियो का दलाल तक कहा गया। जब सन् १६४८ में काग्रेस कम्युनिस्टो की तुतीय कान्फ्रेम कलकत्ता में हुई तो उस समय उस में कहा गया कि जो सोश्लिस्ट नेता है उन को श्रामतौर से बदनाम करना चाहिये भौर मोश्लिस्ट कार्यकर्तामो को श्रपनी तरफ खीचना चाहिये। उस वक्त कान्फ्रेस में यहा तक कहा गया कि हिन्दुस्तान का प्रधान मत्री इम्पीरियलिस्ट डाग है यानी साम्राज्यबाद का कुत्ता है। यह पष्ठ-भमि है उस पार्टी की ग्रौर इस प्रश्न पर विचार करते समय हम को इस पृष्ठभूमि को भ्रपने सामने रखना चाहिये भीर देखना चाहिये कि उस पार्टी के लोगो को इस तरह की भाषा बोलने की जो ब्रादत पडी हुई है, इस शब्द का प्रयोग उस के कारण किया गया है, या किसी धन्य उद्देश्य से भौर इस के पीछे क्या वही भावना थी, या किसी दूसरी तरह की मानना थी। मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि इस एक शब्द के प्रयोग मात्र से हम समझ लें कि इस सदम के विशेषाधिकार का उल्लंघन द्भुष्मा है और उस पार्टी के विरुद्ध एक प्रकार की लड़ाई खेड़ दें—जिस की हमारी परम्परा नहीं हैं—तो मेरे विचार में यह उचित नहीं है।

श्री प० द० पाण्डे: चूंकि उन की यह भादत हो गई है, इसलिये क्या उन को खोड़ दिया जाये?

श्री कजराज सिंह: मैं यह भी कहना चाहता हूं कि यह उन की भ्रादत बनी रही, लेकिन बह एक तथ्य है कि जब तक वे लोग भ्रापनी उस भ्रादत पर कायम रहे, वे हिन्दु-स्तान में कुछ नही कर सके। भ्रापर उन्होंने कुछ किया है, तो वह भ्रापनी नीति भ्रीर भ्रापने तरीकों में परिवर्तन कर के, भ्रापने काम के ढंग में परिवर्तन कर के किया है।

हम जानते हैं कि जब इस देश में १६४१ में बिटिश शासन के विरुद्ध व्यक्तिगत सत्या-मह शुरू हुमा, तो हमारे यही मित्र कहते ये कि इस समय देश में माम स्ट्रगल— जनयुद्ध— की जरूरत है, लेकिन जब १६४२ में "क्विट इंडिया" का प्रस्ताव सामने म्राया, तो इन्हों ने कहना शुरू कर दिया कि म्राज लड़ाई की जरूरत नहीं है भौर बिटिश शासन जो युद्ध कर रहा है, वह तो "पीपल्ज वार" है।

एक माननीय सबस्य : क्या यह सब रेलेबेन्ट है ?

श्री बजराज सिंह: मेरे कहने का तात्पर्य यह है कि उन की ये सब नीतियां चलती रहीं, परन्तु हिन्दुस्तान की राजनीति में उन में कोई फ़र्क नहीं ग्राया । ग्रीर श्राज हिन्दुस्तान की राजनीति में ग्रगर कम्यूनिस्ट पार्टी को कोई महत्व या गरिमा प्राप्त है, तो वह इस लिए नहीं है कि उस ने कोई श्रच्छा काम किया है बिल्क इस लिए है कि शासनारूढ़ पार्टी की महारमा गांधी के सिद्धान्तों पर चल कर जनता के हित के लिए जो कुछ करना चाहिए था उस ने वह नहीं किया।

इस लिए मेरा निवेदन यह है कि इस विशेपाधिकार के प्रश्न को ले कर हमें कम्यू-निस्ट पार्टी के विरुद्ध कोई युद्ध नहीं शुरू कर देना चाहिए । जब तक वे लोग संविधान में विश्वास करने हैं, जब तक वे सोचते हैं कि वे हिन्दुस्नान वें संविधान के मुताबिक कार्य कर सकते हैं ग्रीर राज्य चला सकते हैं, तब तक हमें उन्हें दाम करने का मौका देना चाहिए।

उपाध्यक्ष महोवय: यह एतराज किया जा रहा है कि इस सम्बन्ध में जो कुछ कहा जा रहा है, वह रेलेवेन्ट नहीं है। स्पीकर माहव ने कहा था कि नाज हमारे सामने जो मसला है, माननीय सदस्य सिर्फ़ उस पर बहग करें।

श्री बजराज सिंह: में जानता हं कि केरल की तरह यदि हिन्दुस्तान में उन का शासन हो, तो ऐसी ग्रीर बहुत सी बातें हो सकती है, जिन को हम बर्दाश्त नहीं करेंने । लेकिन सवाल यह है कि क्या हम लोक सभा में, हिन्दुस्तान में जो कुछ हो रहा है, उस का दर्पण बन रहे हैं, देश में जो विचारधारा चल रही है, क्या हम यहां पर उस की सही तस्वीर खींच रहे हैं, या नहीं । मैं यह निवेदन करना चाहता हूं कि इस सदन में ग्राज जो बहुस हो रही है, या पिछले म्रियवेशन में इस सम्बन्ध में जो बहम हुई, मुल्क के लोग उस को सदन के विशेषाधिकार के रूप में बहीं ले रहे है। वे तो यह समझ रहे हैं कि दो पार्टियों के बीच युद्ध चल रहा है। "स्लेडर" शब्द के प्रयोग से हमारे माननीय सदस्यों का जो द्यपमान हुन्ना है, उस के लिए उस शब्द का प्रयोग करने वाले व्यक्ति को जो सजा दी जानी चाहिए थी, देश में भीर विदेशों में इस घटना का प्रकाशन होने से वह सजा काफ़ी हो जाती है। ग्रब इस प्रश्न को ग्रागे बढ़ा कर हम विशेषाधिकार की रक्षा के प्रश्न की झागे नहीं

[श्री वजराज सिंह]

बढ़ायेंगे, बल्कि हम आगे बढायेंगे राजनीति में बैचारिक मतभेद को, वैचारिक युद्ध को और वह देश की भविष्य की राजनीति के लिए अच्छा नहीं होगा ।

व्यक्तिगत रूप से में जानता हु कि कम्यू-निस्ट शासन धच्छा नही हो सकता । ग्राज सवाल यह नहीं है कि कांग्रेस के शासन भीर कम्युनिस्टो के शासन में कोई फर्क है या नही। जहा तक में समझता ह, उन में कतई फर्क नहीं है--केरल के शासन में भौर उत्तर प्रदेश के शासन में कोई फर्क नहीं हैं, उन की नीतियो में कोई फर्क नहीं हैं। जिस पच वर्षीय योजना को काग्रेम चलानी है, उमी को चलाने ग्रौर पूरा करने का प्रण कम्युनिस्ट पार्टी करनी है। श्राज प्रश्न तो यह है कि क्या हम इस विशेषा-धिकार के प्रदन को इतना महत्व दे, जिस से हिद्स्तान की मौलिक समस्याये पीछे पड जायं भीर क्या इस प्रवन पर बह्म करने रहना हिन्दुस्तान की दूसरी महत्वपूर्ण समस्यात्रो के लिए ठीक भीर उचित होगा या नहीं? ग्रगर हम सिर्फ काननी दुष्टि से देखने लगे, तो यह स्पष्ट है कि यदि 'स्लेन्डर'' शब्द का प्रयोग किया गया और प्रकाशित करने के लिए किया गया--जैमा कि वह प्रवाशित हुमा-तो यह उचित नही था भीर इस भ्रपमानजनक शब्द का प्रयोग नही होना बाहिए या भीर उस मे विश्वपाधिकार के उल्लंघन का प्रश्न उठ सकता है। लेकिन यदि विशेषाधिकार का प्रश्न उठ, तो उस के बाद हमें देखना पडेगा कि सम्बद्ध व्यक्ति को हम कितनी सजा दे सबते हैं, हम इस प्रस्ताव को विशेषाधिकार समिति में मेजे या यह सदन उस पर विचार करे परन्तु जो कुछ हो चुका है, वहीं काफी है। मेरा विचार यह है कि ग्रव तक जो कुछ हो चुका है, वही पर्याप्त है और इस सम्बन्ध में ग्रब ग्रागे बढ़ने की जरूरत नहीं है, इस को पास करने की अरूरत नहीं है। प्रस्तावक महोदय को इस पर ज्यादा जोर देने की जरूरत नहीं है। इस प्रस्ताव को जो

मन्तव्य बा, जो उद्देश्य बा, वह पूरा हो बुका है 1 में समझता हु कि बदि कम्युनिस्ट पार्टी जनतत्रीय तरीके से हिन्दुस्तान में काम करने के सिद्धान्त पर दृढ है, तो उसे मानना पडेगा कि इस तरह की गलती भविष्य में न होनी चाहिए। में साफ तौर से मानता हू कि यह गलती है, इस को करने वाला व्यक्ति चाहे कोई हो, चाहे वह केरल का चीफ मिनिस्टर हो, चाहे उत्तर प्रदेश का हो । लेकिन इस के साय ही साथ इस घटना के विषय में मुल्क में भावना इस तरह की है कि चुकि केरल कम्मृनिस्ट शासित प्रदेश है, इस लिए यह कार्यवाही की जा रही है भीर भगर उत्तर प्रदेश का चीफ मिनिस्टर रहा होता, तो ऐसी कोई बात न होती । भले ही यह बात निराधार हो, लेक्नियदि मुल्ककी जनता के हृदय में यह भावना बैठी है, तो हिन्द्स्तान की भविष्य की राजनीति के लिए भीर शासन के लिए यह ग्रच्छा नही है। इस विषय पर जितना विवाद हो चुका है, उस का पर्याप्त समझते हुए इस सम्बन्ध मे ग्रागे नही बढना चाहिए । कान्नी रूप से इस प्रश्न के सब पहलुओं को देखते हुए, यह मानने हए भी कि वह पत्र प्रकाशन के लिए था भीर उस को प्रकाशित किया गया भीर इस प्रकार इस सदन के विशेषाधिकार का उल्लंघन किया गया, इस सम्बन्ध मे श्रागे नही बढना चाहिए। जैसा कि मै ने कहा, इस पार्टी के लोगों को इस प्रकार की भाषा भौर शब्दावली का प्रयोग करने की म्रादत श्रह से रही है। हमारे माननीय मित्र श्री डागे ने भी उसी प्रकार की शब्दावली का प्रयोग किया । वह तो समझते है कि उन की पार्टी के समान कोई दूसरी पार्टी कान्तिकारी नहीं है, कोई जनता का भला नहीं चाहती। वह चाहे जैसे शब्दो का प्रयोग करे झौर जैसा उचित समझे करे, लेक्नि में कहना चाहता हू कि हम जो तरीका इस्तेमाल करना चाहते है, उस के हमारा उद्देश्य पूरा नही होगा । जिन प्रबुत्तियो को हम खत्म करना चाहते है, उन के लिए हम को सैद्धान्तिक बेसिस पर लड़ना पड़ेगा,

हमें वैवारिक लड़ाई लड़नी पड़ेगी—मीर बह हम लड़ रहे हैं, महात्मा गांधी के सिद्धान्तों पर बल कर लड़ रहे हैं, जैसा कि हम हमेंशा लड़ते धाये हैं। घन तो वे लोग ग्रपने को अनुकूल बना रहे हैं और धगर वे ऐसा करना खारी रखते हैं और धाने वाले समय में राजनीति में जननंत्रीय परम्पराधों को विकसित करने की बात करते हैं, तो इस प्रताव को वापस लेने से उस में कुछ मदद मिल सकेगी। में निवेदन करना चाहता हूं कि हिन्दुस्तान के उन लोगों को काम करने का मौका दिया जाना चाहिए, जो कि हिन्दुस्तान की राजनीति में जननंत्रीय परम्पराधों में विक्वाम करने की बात करते हैं।

अन्त में एक और बात कह कर में ममाप्त करूंगा । संघीय शासन में हमारे कुछ और उत्तरदायित्व होते हैं । हमारी लोक सभा या हमारी गवनंमेंट उस तरह की नही है, जिस तरह की कि कि टेन में चलती है, या अन्य जनतंत्रीय देशों में चलती है । यहां राज्यों और केन्द्र का अधिकार-क्षेत्र बंटा हुआ है, सीमायें बंटी हुई हैं । इन दोनों को अपने अपने क्षेत्रों में पूरी मार्वभीम सत्ता प्राप्त है । इस लिए इस देश में स्वस्य राजनीतिक वातावरण पैदा करने के लिए और जनतंत्रीय पद्धति को विकसित करने के लिए यह आवश्यक है कि हम राज्यों को उन के मामलों में पूरी आजादी दें ।

इन शब्दो के साथ में कहूंगा कि इस प्रस्ताव पर भीर भागे बढ़ने की जरूरत नहीं है भीर इसकी विशेषाधिकार सिर्मात के सुपुर्द करने की जरूरत नाही है। इस प्रस्ताव का जद्देश्य पूरा हो चुका है भीर इसे यहां ही समाप्त कर विया जाना चाहिए।

Shri A. K. Gopalan (Kasergod):
After the Prime Minister's speech and
the good sentiments that had been
expressed by him, I do not want to
rake up those things that had been
said here about me personally. Shri
Asoka Mehta had said something

about me. If I have opportunities on other occasions I shall reply to them. I want only to speak on two points.

Shri Frank Anthony, while he was pleading for referring this motion to the Privileges Committee, said that there was some basis behind the second telegram, and that the telegram itself was the reason why it should be sent to the Privileges Committee. I want to read out the second telegram and then say that if the second telegram is the basis, then my opinion is that the matter should stop here, and no discussion should be there. I am sorry that even though at that time we had raised certain points, yet, unfortunately, the Speaker admitted this motion; if he had not, then these things would not have happened.

The second telegram says:

"I never intended to publish this telegram. On the contrary meant for your consumption only. Morcover never intended cast aspersions or reflection on any Member of Parliament or his conduct or proceedings of House. Context makes clear my meaning that if State not allowed to present correct facts an one-sided version from a Member may appear as slander on Kerala Government. Never meant to make imputation on Member but pleaded that if Kerala Government's case not before House impression would be damaging to my Government. Pray explain position to Hon. Speaker and my complete absence of intention to cast aspersion on Member of the House."

The second telegram definitely says that it was not intended against any Member of the House and there was no question of reflection on any Member of Parliament or on Parliament itself. In the last portion, he says: "My complete absence of intention to east aspersions on Members of the House'. Not only that. In the second sentence, he says: "Context makes

[Shri A. K. Gopalan]

clear my meaning that if State not allowed to present correct facts, a one-sided version from a Member may appear on Kerala Government'. A Member gives a version here. Member thinks that it is a correct version. It may not be a correct version. But when the Member gives the version to Parliament and if the Government against whom it is made is not there, is not represented there, to contradict it and say that it is not correct, it means it is a slander on the Kerala Government. This is all that he has said. This one-sided version goes outside and it becomes a slander against the Kerala Government. That is what he has said. I want to point out that that is the correct position.

There was an adjournment motion tabled by Shri Asoka Mehta sometime ago. There was also a discussion on the admissibility of that Motion for some time. Shri Asoka Mehta pointed out certain things. The Kerala Government or their representatives were not here to reply to that. We also did not know about the truth of the contents of the telegram. So we could not say anything. But that has gone into the proceedings and the country. The version was that one Velayudhan was attacked by Communists. It was said that there was something done to him on political considerations. When I inquired, I understood that immediately the question came up for discussion in Parliament and it was published. Shri Velayudhan gave a statement to the papers that this incident had nothing to do with politics, there was nothing between the Congress and the Communists in this. He said that some girls belonging to that house were going to school. Then some people made fun of them and there was an exchange of words between Velayudhan and the others. When Velayudhan was returning in the evening, he was attacked by some people. Velayudhan was out of the hospital. He had given a dying declaration. In that declaration, he had said that this had nothing to do with politics; it was because of a quarrel between his son and some other people, and when he was returning home, he was attacked.

When something is presented here, it may be correct or not correct. Suppose I place some facts here. I have an adjournment tabled here or I give notice of some other motions here. The Government concerned is not here to reply. What happens is that when there is no reply by the Government of Kerala, which is the concerned Government, it will be a slander on that Government.

So it is not a question of the word 'slander'. Here is the Chief Minister of a State. You may not like his qualities and his party. My hon. friend, Shri Asoka Mehta, referred to a contempt of court incident. When he knew that he had made a certain matter had statement after the been filed in the High Court and that it was not a proper thing to do, he went to the High Court and said he was sorry about it. Then the Chief Justice remarked to the Chief Minister: "Your prestige and your stature have gone high by this".

So as far as the Chief Minister is concerned, if he had intentionally done that, he would have said that he had done that. But what he says in the telegram is very clear. I am not going into the constitutional point whether it is a confidential document or not. Here he has definitely said: 'I did not mean anything. I did not mean any reflection on any Member.'. What he said was this: 'If you are taking cognisance of something against the Kerala Government and if Members are allowed to represent the matter anyway they like and we are not represented to reply to that and we cannot say anything about it, it must be a slander against the Kerala Government'. He has also drawn attention to the fact that he used the word 'slander' only in that context. He again makes clear-My complete absence of intention to cast aspersion on the Members The Speaker may be informed' He says that he had absolutely no intention of casting aspersions on the House So by sending this motion to the Privileges Committee, what is it that we are going to achieve? As the Prime Minister has said, as far as a Chief Minister and his duties are concerned, it will be not only a very difficult matter, but Parliament will have always to take these things into its cognisance, and Parliament's time will be sufficient only to discuss about certain words and so on

It is true as the Prime Minister has said, that in the heat of the moment we may sometimes use certam words But here the Chief Minister makes it very clear that what he said was that if the Kerala Government was not represented here to put its case a one-sided version would go round the country and that it would be a slander on that Government

I have nothing more to say except this that after receipt of the second telegram I think it is quite essential, if we want, as the Prime Minister said, to restore the dignity and privilege of this House, we should better end this matter here. As far as other things are concerned. I do not want to say anything But I only want to refer to one thing The Prime Minister asked my Party and others to be careful in our speeches and writings I accept it I would only request him to see that as far as the Congress members in Kerala are also concerned, they might also be careful in their open speeches and writings, so that with carefulness on both sides, such things may not recur and there may be harmony all round After this, I hope that the House will certainly decide that the thing is over and there is no question of sending it to the Privileges Committee

Shri Mahanty (Dhenkanal) I have no intention to prolong this bitter and acrimonious debate, but if I do so, it is precisely for the reason that some of the basic issues have been confused, and the House has been left with a sort of distorted impression I shall venture to put forth my views for what they are worth

The basic fact has to be remembered that the arms of this House are long enough and strong enough to lay them on any person, be he the Chief Minister of Kerala or be he even the Prime Minister of India, if that person commits certain acts which infringe the majesty and dignity of this House That is a fact which cannot be assailed

The next point we have to consider is whether the Chief Minister of Kerala in the telegram which was sent—whether by releasing that telegram and by giving it publicity in the Press—has committed any breach of privilege or not. The House in its wisdom may condone any such action. That is one thing. But it becomes a completely different thing if it is said that no breach of privilege has been committed.

I would, therefore, request House to differentiate between these issue, and see what is the genesis of this matter It will be remembered that during the last session an adjournment motion was tabled by Dr K B. Menon alleging certain incidents happening in Kerala While the Speaker was considering the admissibility of the motion, a question was raised as to who would answer behalf of the Government of Kerala At that time, it was held that the Government of Kerala has been functioning in this matter through the Minister of Home Affairs of the Government of India Therefore, if any answer had to be offered, it would be by no less a person than the Home Minister Then the Home Minister of the Government of India naturally pleaded that unless he referred this matter to the Chief Minister of Kerala, he would not be in a position to acquaint the House with the real state

[Shri Mahanty]

of affairs. Therefore, while the House was seized of this question, while the Speaker was seized of this question, a telegram was received from the Chief Minister of Kerala which was addressed to the Home Minister of India for the consumption of the Speaker.

15 hrs.

An Hon. Member: For the consumption of the Speaker?

Shri Mahanty: I will come to the "consumption" part later.

The first point that I wish to raise is, is it right, is it open to the Speaker to withhold a particular document which is rightly the property of the House. That is a point which has to be clarified to the House. I am not concerned whether it is the Communist Ministry or any other Ministry. I yield to none in my anxiety to see that a non-Congress Ministry functions in a State and functions effectively. The real issue is whether the Speaker is entitled to withold the document and whether he is not going to share his confidence with the House. If the Speaker says that he is not going to share his confidence with the House, then it is for the House to say that the House does not want to share confidence with him. That will be a very bad day for any Speaker. Even though it might have been meant for the Speaker for his own "consumption". the House has also to share it.

The second telegram says that the first telegram though it had used the word "slander" it was not meant for the House and was meant only for the Speaker.

Shri Tangamani: No; for the Home Minister.

Shri Mahanty: Yes, for the Home Minister. It was for the consumption of the Home Minister in relation to a matter with which this House was

seized. The Speaker has also got a part of that "consumption". He might have thought-after this consumption-that this matter, that this adjournment motion should not be allowed. But unless the House knows the circumstances under which that particular adjournment motion has been disallowed, it will be unfair both to the subject-matter of the consideration and also to the House. Therefore, even though it might have been meant for the Home Minister, even though it might have been meant for the Speaker, it was primarily meant This hon. House for this House. should have to judge whether the particular adjournment motion was admissible or not.

The second telegram admits that prima facie certain words might have been used in the first telegram advertently or inadvertently which had the effect of maligning the House or infringing its dignity but mentions that the first telegram was not meant for the House. That makes the position worse, because to say the least it is a breach of faith. The House is being maligned. If an individual member is maligned, to that extent, the entire House is maligned. It is one thing to say, I have done so; for that I express regret'; but, it is a completely different thing to say, 'Yes, I have maligned somebody but behind, his back.' That is unconscionable. That makes the position worse. What is troubling me is this: what is there to prevent the Chief Minister of Kerala to say, 'Yes, I did it; I am sorry for it; I did not mean it; if anybody has taken it seriously, I am sorry.' The other day he went to the High Court and said. 'I am sorry for it' and tendered his apology. That would have been dignified of him.

I had expected some of our communist friends to have admitted the matter. There can be no escape from the fact that in the first telegram the word 'slander' was used. Slander is a word which is highly objectionable. It has been admitted in the second telegram, but it has been stated that the first telegram was not meant for the House

Before I conclude my speech, I would like to submit to you in all humility whether you are going to rule that a document which was germane to a matter which was under consideration in the House can be treated as confidential and whether the Home Minister cannot be asked to lay it on the Table of the House I would like to know where is the secrecy about it It is true that Members of Cabinet are bound by oaths of secrecy as much as we are bound by oaths of secrecy But the question here is this The telegram was sent If I go to the post office in Trivandrum or Kerala, wherever it might be if I deposit the requisite amount of fees and make an application in the requisite manner, what will prevent the postal authorities from supplying me a copy of the telegram I am not conversant with that part of the law But, according to my layman's knowledge I know that a telegram can never be considered as a secret document under which the Government of India can take Therefore, I hold and mainshelter tain that there has been a breach of privilege

But, I would appeal, now that its purpose has been served, the House may condone that act It has served its purpose and the lesson has been driven home A breach of privilege has been communicated and the House may condone that action

But, it will be a completely different proposition if it is said that no breach of privilege has been committed taking umbrage under the fact that in the second telegram the first telegram was sought to be disowned

I am of the opinion that a breach of privilege has been committed; but, as has been said by some hon. Members since it has served its purpose, the matter should not be pressed

Shrimati Renuka Ray: Mr Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I thank for your giving me a little time and I shall try to say what I have to say as briefly as possible

I think our Prime Minister has rightly said that this is a matter that should be discussed absolutely objectively and dispassionately. It is unfortunate if political ideologies are dragged into it because it is a matter of the privilege of the Members of this House This House is the custodian of the people's rights and any curtailment of the privileges of this House is a curtailment of the people's rights. As such, it is necessarily our duty and our responsibility as Members of this House to view this matter not from any political angle but simply from the one and only angle as to whether in any way this will be a precedent, if we allow it to pass by, that posterity might say of us, that history might say of us that young though we were as a democracy, we were not zealous of our rights and we allowed the rights of this House to be curtailed because a majority in this House-let me be frank-were embarrassed Why were we embarrassed? Because it happens that an eminent man, the Chief Minister, belonging to a party to which many of us do not belong, is, in fact, involved, and that the privilege motion that has been brought before this House is being viewed not objectively We do not want to be embarrassed by other matters which are really extraneous to the issue

Therefore, I say that it is very necessary for us to view it from the proper angle and to remember that "Eternal vigilance is the price of democracy" If today we allow our own embarrassments to come in the way of doing our duty as Members of this House, then, it will be extremely wrong of us We shall have done something for which posterity might well say of us that we did not cherish

[Shruhati Renuka Ray]

the freedom that the Constitution has given us The privileges of this House are a part really of the privileges of the people themselves, of democracy as we view it Sir, the Opposition Leader, Shri Dange, spoke about the fact that today a great deal had been said and was being said regarding the privileges and privileges being made too much of regarding the House of Commons There is a vital difference between the privilege of a Member while he is discharging his duty as a Member of this House and the privilege that might be considered pertaining to him only because he is a Member of this House These are two widely different things and we have to see the demarcation between them A Member is trying to perform his duty in whatever light he may see it and his privileges should certainly be guarded zealously by every Member of this House, irrespective of party differences

15 11 hrs.

[MR SPEAKER in the Chair]

It is unfortunate that on the floor of this House a great many things have been dragged in and a great many things said about our own party These are extraneous So far as the Chief Minister of Kerala is concerned, personally speaking, or even from the Party angle, we have nothing against him, he is a good man who works according to his own light. It is not a personal issue It is an issue of the rights and privileges of this House When this matter goes to the privileges Committee, as the last speaker has said, it will decide I am sure that a vindictive attitude will not be taken by the Privileges Committee where the representatives of all sides of this House are represented I cannot say here what view it would take ultimately But I am sure that this motion is being supported by so many because of the fact that a precedent of this

nature should not go down and not pecause of any other feeling.

Many things have been said regarding intimidation and many extraneous issues have been brought in which are not quite pertinent to this motion. I pave been in Kerala very recently but not for any political purpose and I have received the greatest hospitality from the Government of Kerala They nave given us opportunities to see things While seeing things which are not of a political nature we have found that there seems to be some kind of difficulty on the part of the people to express themselves as they would like to But that of course is not quite pertinent to the issue and I do not want to bring it up But I do want to say this

Mr. Speaker: That is not relevant

Shrimati Renuka Ray: Intimidation, slander and all these words are used by so many people Let them also not forget that, when they try to impute motives regarding the reasons for which Members of this House want to bring up this motion, they should look into their hearts and see whether they really believe in the Constitution and democracy and the safeguarding of these. In the ultimate analysis, this motion is for the safeguarding of the Constitution and of democracy I am glad they are working under the Constitution today and I hope that they will join us m earnest in upholding That is the real reason why so many of us feel that this motion is of importance and we cannot let this go We do not want to be vindictive We do not want personal or party considerations to weigh with us We do feel that if it had been any other Chief Minister, say of our own Party, of any other State, even then this matter would have been taken up and we would not have felt this hesitancy that is felt today. We must objectively

and dispassionately view this matter and consider it only from the one angle. This House is the custodian of people's rights and it is sovereign. In future this kind of thing may happen again and if it is not taken up now, gradually this House and democracy itself would be weakened.

Shri M R. Masani. Mr Speaker, the Leader of the House has -followed the highest traditions the British House of Commons m making it clear that, on a motion of privilege of this nature, neither the Government nor the parties normally function It is really question of the House as a whole and for its individual Members to consider as to what has taken place and what action should properly follow The Prime Minister did indicate his personal preference by saying perhaps he would have liked this matter not to have come before this House but, as he quite rightly pointed out, this House is now seized of it While two or three Members appealed to me to withdraw the motion I feel that this motion is no more a kind of a private property of my own, it belongs to the House itself, and the House is now seized of It is for the House to consider whether the motion that I made two months ago is or is not warranted

Now Sir there are some irrelevancies which have crept in this morning One of these was the attempt to import the federal structure and to bring m the relationship between the Central and the State Governments which had nothing whatever to do with this case Equally irrelevant were the motives of conspiracy which were alleged against all of us by the Party con What is relevant is whether a citizen of India—the fact that he is the Chief Minister of a State or be longs to a particular political party is not of the essence of it—outside this House has or has not infringed the privileges of the House and committed a contempt Shri Mahanty who spoke before me pointed out that nothing stopped the individual concerned during the two full months that have passed since from tendering the same kind of unqualified apology as he did m the High Court of Kerala before which he was brought for contempt. But these two months have been allowed to pass without the kind of amends which would have been enough to make all of us agree that these proceedings should be dropped In fact, Shri Gopalan has just now said something to justify the use of the word "slander" by pointing out that it was used in a certain context and sought to make it legitimate. Shri Dange pointed out that we should not be thin-skinned and worry about criticism Nobody worries about criticism at all I had said in my opening speech two months ago that if we were called fools or unwise people who did not know the interests of the country or how to serve them then it was all right when people attribute motives of malice and mendacity, because slander imputes motives of mendacity and malice, then our integrity and honesty in performing our duties is impugned It is not against criticism that anyone jibs at all Let there be good and free criticism of Members of Parliament collectively and individually. but let their motives when they are functioning in this House in pursuit of their obligations to their constituencies and to the people of this country, not be impugned It is a very good warning for them

Those who have read May recall that the reason for this is that this House is the sole judge of the legitimacy of the actions of its Members It is for this House and you Sir, as it's presiding authority to pull up any of us if we behave in an undignified way or say things which we should not say But it is not right for anyone outside this House to sit in judgment on the honesty and the motives of anyone who functions in this House It would make it impossible for the hon Members of this House to function freely if they were to be faced with the kind

[Shri M R. Masani]

of intimidation that comes of terms being Leard against them that should not be hurled at Members of Parliament for performing their duties Now, this House has three motions before it. Dr. K. B. Menon's amendment seeks to establish that a contempt has been committed I, Sir, do not myself have very much doubt on that point, but I realise that it may be necessary to investigate whether a contempt has been committed whether, for instance, there has been publication on the part of the individual concerned That is why. Sir. at the end of my motion I have kept it completely neutral and non-committal All that the resolution that I presented to the House says is

"this House resolves that the matter be referred to the Committee of Privileges for investigation as to whether a breach of privilege of the House and of the hon Members concerned has been committed, and whether any contempt of the House thus committed has been adequately purged, and that the Committee be requested to present its report "

The Committee is asked, Sir, to investigate and report to the Hou e on the first day of the next Session. That makes this motion nothing of the nature of persecution, nothing of the nature of a judgment, nothing of the nature of condemnation. It is a request for investigation by a body of Members of the House composed of Members of all parties of this House with our own Deputy-Speaker at its head.

Sir, in the course of the discussion many issues have arisen which call for investigation Apart from the main question whether contempt has been committed on which I have brought in the motion, other issues have arisen The Chief Minister in his second telegram has alleged that he had meant the first one to be confidential. The hon Member, Dr K B Menon, today has made an important disclosure that, to the best of his know-

ledge after investigation on the spot. he has reason to believe that this first telegram, almost simultaneously with 1ts despatch through the telegraph office to our Home Minister, was released to the news agency concerned by no less a person than the Private Secretary or Personal Assistant. Shri Sharma, of the Chief Minister himself If this is a fact, then the second telegram, far from being an apology or amends, adds insult to injury It seeks to mislead the House about a fundamental thing—whether this was meant to be confidential or not However, we do not know for Sure about it We want the Privileges Committee to call the relevant wit-Resses and to find out whether this was so, and whether it was marked confidential as alleged in the second telegram These are things that need to be investigated. We are faced with the position that although two months have passed no amends have been made to this House as was made th the case of the Kerala High Court.

Now, Shri Narayanankutty Menon has moved a substitute motion He relies on the confidential nature of the first telegram He then goes on to say that it would be improper and happropriate for this House to institute any action Sir, I wonder whether this is not again an attack on the decision that you gave, that there was a prima facie case for instituting proceedings, and also not an attack on the House An overwhelming majority of Members got up to signify their support that leave be granted for this rhotion, because you and the House Were satisfied that there was a case Here comes an amendment that it Improper and inappropriate for this House to take this up Sir this is not surprising Shri Asoka Mehta this morning showed that this lack of res-Bect for the House and its Presiding Authority is not accidental He might have gone on to quote from page 6 of the same booklet of Shri Gopalan and Shri Mukerjee where they refer to the "unhelpful attitude of the Chair" So, Sir, this lack of respect for the House and its institutions is

something that is chronic and inherent in certain people's thinking

Lastly, what is the issue involved? The issue is whether a citizen of this country has done something to violate the privileges of this House and to commit contempt of it. If we are told that because a man belongs to a particular political party or because he happens to occupy for the time being the position of Chief Minister of a State he should not therefore be proceeded against, then, Sir I think we would be indulging in great discrimination if we asked an ordinary citizen or the editor of a newspaper to be proceeded against for a similar offence This House should accept the principle that as Shrimati Renuka Ray and Shri Mahanty pointed out there should be equality before the law and equality in the way we deal with people

This motion that I have made, Sir, is a non-contentious one which seeks to investigate and get at the truth. It does not sit in judgment over. Shri Namboodripad or anyone else, and it avoids any question of bringing in any extraneous issues I feel, Sir, the House would be doing justice to itself if it adopts this motion for reference to the Privileges Committee for investigation and report

Mr. Speaker I shall first put Shri Narayanankutty Menon's substitute motion to the vote of the House

The question is

"The attention of the House having been drawn by a member on September 23rd to the report of a telegram alleged to have been sent by Mr E M S Namboodripad Chief Minister of Kerala State, to Pandit G B Pant, Home Minister, extract from which is contained in reports m two newspapers,

and having taken note of the subsequent telegram from Mr Namboodripad to Pandit G B.

Pant whih was read to this House on September 23rd by Hon. the Speaker,

and having taken note of the fact that the original telegram sent by Mr E M S Namboodripad itself was a confidential document and intended by the sender to be such.

and having satisfied that it would be improper and inappropriate to initiate any action relating to the privilege of this. Hon House basing upon a confidential document never intended to be published,

the House decides that no fur ther action be taken in respect of the telegram above referred and that the whole matter and any proceedings thereto be dropped."

The motion was negatived.

Mr Speaker: Then Dr K B Menon's amendment

The question is

"That for the last paragraph of the original motion, the following be substituted, namely —

"This House resolves that a contempt of the House has been committed by Shri E M S Namboodipad the Chief Minister of Kerala State and that he should be called to the Bar of the House on the first day of the next session"

The motion was negatived

Mr Speaker I shall now put the original motion to the vote of the House The question is

"That the attention of the House having been drawn by an Hon'ble Member on September 23 to the telegram sent by Mr E M S Namboodripad, Chief Minister of Kerala, to Pandit G B Pant, Home Minister, extracts from which are

[Mr. Speaker]

contained in a report based allegedly on official sources issued by the Press Trust of India from Trivandrum on September 20 and published in The Times of India, Delhi, and the Amrit Bazar Patrika, Calcutta on September 21, in the course of which Mr. Namboodripad has attributed the motive of slander to some Hon'ble Members of this House:

and having taken note of the subsequent telegram from Mr. Namboodripad to Pandit G. B. Pant, which was read to this House by the Hon'ble the Speaker on September 23;

this House resolves that the matter be referred to the Committee of Privileges for investigation as to whether a breach of privileges of the House and of the Hon'ble Members concerned has been committed; and whether any contempt of the House thus committed has been adequately purged; and that the Committee be requested to present its report and recommendations for appropriate action at the first days sitting of the next Session of the Lok Sabha."

The Lok Sabha divided: Ayes 138; Noes 32

[15.31 hrs.

Division No. 1]

AYES

Abdul Latcef, Shri Acher, Shri Anthony, Shri Frank Arumugham, Shri R. S. Ashanna Shri Ayyakannu, Shri Balakrishnan, Shri Banerji, Shri P. B. Bangshi Thakur, Shri Barman, Shri Barrow, Shri Rema Shri Hem Basumat arı, Shri Beck, Shri Ignace Bhagat, Shri B R. Bhargava, Pandit Thakur Das Bhattacharyys, Shri C. K. Birendra Singhji, Shri Boroogh, Shri P. C. Bose, Shri Chanda Shri Ami K. Chaturveds, Shri Chavda, 5hri Chettiar, Shri R. Ramanathan Dalut Singh, Shri Das, Shri Ramdhani Dasappa, Shri Deb, Shri N. M. Desai, Shri Morarji Dundod, Shri Dinesh Singh, Shri Dublish, Shri Dwivedi, Shri M. I.. Ghodeser, Shri Fatesinh Ghose, Shri Bımal Ghosh, Shri M. K. Godsora, Shri S. C. Gobokar, Dr. Goray, Shri

Govind Das, Seth

Hajarnavis, Shri Heda, Shri Imam, Shri Mohamed Jadhay, Shri Japal Singh, Shri Jena, Shri K. C. Thulan Sinhe, Shri Jhunjhunwala, Shri Jinachandran, Shr 1 Joshi, Shri A. C. Joshi, Shrimati Subhadra Khadiwala, Shri Kotoki, Shri Liladhar Kripelam, Shrimati Sucheta Krushna Chandra, Shri Krishnaswami, Dr. Kurrel, Shr. B. N. Lachhi Ram, Shri Lahiri, Shri Mafida Ahmed, Shrimata Maihi, Shri R. C. Maisthia, Sardar Mandal, Shra J. Masani, Shri M. R. Masuriya Din, Shri Matin, Oazi Mehta, Shri Asoka Melkote, Dr. Menon, Dr. K. B. Mishra, Shri Bibhuti Mishra, Shri L. N. Mishra, Shri M. P. Mishra, Shri B. D. Mushra, Shri R. D. Mohan Swarup, Shri Mohiudeen, Shri Gulam Morarka, Shri Mullick, Shr B. C. Murti, Shri M. S. Musafir, Giani G. S.

Naidu, Shrı Govındrajulu Nair, Shri C. K. Nast, Shri Kuttikrishnan Nalduroker, Shri Narasımhan, Shri Naravanasamy, Shri R. Naskar, Shri P. S. Negi, Shri Nek Ram Onkar, Lal Shri Padalu, Shri K. V. Pahadia, Shri Pande, Shri C.'D. Pandey, Shri K N. Panna Lal, Shri Patel Shri Raicshwar Patel, Sushri Maniben Pattabhi Raman, Shri C. R. Pillar, Shri Thanu Rahman, Shri M H Ranah, Shri Ram Garib, Shri Ram Saran, Shri Rampure, Shri Rangarao, Shri Ren, Shri Jaganatha Ray, Shrimati Renuka Rungsung Suisa,hri Saigal, Sardar A.S. Samanta, Shri S.'C. Semdia, Shrimati Vijaya Raje Selku, Shri Shah, Shri Manabendra Shankarıya, Shri Sharma, Shri D. C. Sharma, Shri R. C. Shukla, Shri V. C. Siddenantappa, Shri Singh, Shri H. P. Singh, Shri M. N. Sinha, Shr Gajendra Prasad

Sinhe, Shri Satunghdhara Sinhe, Shri Setyendra Narayan : Sinha, Shrimati Turkeshwari Sanazak, Shri Nardeo Soren, Shri Subramanyam, Shri T.

Swami, ShrijV. N. Tantis, Shri Rameshwar Thaur Des, Lais ; Tuls Ram, Shri Uihe, Shri Upadhyaya, Shri Shiva Datt Upadhyaya, Shri Shiva Datt qualification) Bill Varma, Shri B. B. Vedakumari, Kumari A. Verma, Shri Ramji Wadiwa, Shri Wasnik, Shri Balkrishna Wodeyar, Shri

(Prevention of Dis-

NOES

Banerjee, Shri S. M. Kar, Shri Prabhat Brai Rai Singh, Shri Khadilkar, Shri Chakravartty, Shrimati Renu Kumaran, Shri Dange, Shri S.A. Kunhan, Shri Dasaratha Deb. Shri Matera, Shri Elisa, Shri Muhammed Menon, Shri Narayanankutty Ghere, Shri A.V. Mukerjee, Shri H.N. Gopalan, Shri A.K.; Nair, Shri Vasudevan Goundar, Shri Shanmuga Nayar, Shri V.P. Halder, Shri Panigrahi, Shri Iyer, Shri Bswara Paruleker, Shri

Parvathi Krishnan, Srimati Ram Suhhag Singh, Dr. Ramam, Shri Rao, Shri T.R. Vittal Reddy, Shri Nagi Sampath, Shri B.V.K. Shobha Ram, Shri Sugandhi, Shri Tangamani, Shri Warior Shri

The motion was adopted.

15.30 hrs.

PARLIAMENT (PREVENTION OF DISQUALIFICATION) BILL—contd.

Mr. Speaker: The House will now take up further discussion of the Parliament (Prevention of Disqualification) Bill. Out of 10 hours allotted for the general discussion of the Bill, 8 hours 27 minutes have been taken so far, and the balance is 1 hour 33 minutes. Shri Tangamani may continue his speech.

Shri Tangamani (Madurai): Mr. Speaker, Sir, the other day I was saying that under article 102 of the Constitution Parliament is empowered to state those offices which will not disqualify a Member from holding his post of Member. But even when we give a schedule stating that such and such offices will be disqualifying. nothing would prevent the court of law from saying that any particular office will not disqualify. I mentioned also how there is no principle involved in saying that certain offices cannot be exempted. I mentioned the Employees' State Insurance Corporation and also the Dock Labour Boards. The schedule contains not only the 249 (Ai) LS-6.

Employees' State Insurance Corporation but also the regional committees. It also mentions the Port Trusts of Bombay, Calcutta, Madras and other bigger ports.

15.32 hrs.

[MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]

Even the various Electricity Boards and the consultative councils are also included in the list showing the posts which will disqualify.

In this connection, I would like to mention what the sub-committee had to say about categorizing. This is what they say in paragraph 14, page 19:

"In categorizing the Committee into disqualifying and non-objectionable ones no single uniform principle has been strictly applied as the Sub-Committee was influenced by the fact that in the peculiar circumstances of our country and the undeveloped state in many respects participation of members of Parliament, many of whom have special knowledge of various subjects could not rigorously be excluded,

[Shri Tangamani]

Thus some balance and compromise has been applied in categorising these Committees, while purity, freedom from influence and independence of members has been the guiding principle in making the choice. The practical aspect of utilizing the experience, wisdom, and talents of members in public interest specially in matters relating to social welfare, education, labour and cognate matters has also been kept in view".

I would submit that this cannot be a principle by which we will be able to lay down the general line of policy. That is why parts I and II of the schedule are based upon no definite principle at all.

I will develop another point Now, in the new period of time that we are living, when the public sector is growing, when statutory bodies are also coming into existence, a certain attitude will also have to be developed inside the Parliament. Many annual reports and reports dealing with the functions of statutory bodies particularly in the public sector come for scrutiny before this House. That is one effective check which this House has got. By means of such effective criticism and effective check there is a salutary influence exercised over the functioning of the various public bodies. I can mention the State Trading Corporation. I can mention the UPSC. I can mention several other instances also. So, there is a fear in the minds of members of the committees that because such and such a matter is going to be discussed Parliament, they will have to be very careful in dealing with the issues. But the same argument was advanced for saying that this is the reason why a Member of Parliament should not be associated with such a committee. I start with the assumption that every Member of this House is an honourable man. Every Member of this House is honourable. And we have

got to be very jealous about protecting our honour. When we start with that assumption, inclusion in schedule itself presumes that we suspect the integrity of the Members, we suspect the moral standards of the Members and we suspect that they will be susceptible to influence. These two things cannot go together. If a particular Member is associated with a statutory body, my submission that he will be afraid because has to face the House, as a Member of the House; at the body in which he functions he is able to influence in the sense that he is constantly reminding the committee that there is an eagle eye of Parliament over him and over the committee of which he is a member, So, more and more Members of Parliament should be associated with such public bodies.

Only recently, in the last session, a very important Bill was passed, after having been referred it to the Joint Committee It was the Merchant Shipping Bill. Both in the Joint Committee and in this House, the constitution of the National Shipping Board was discussed. It was felt that the Board must contain cifically some Members of Par-I would like to know liament. whether they will be exempted or whether they will also come under the disqualification rule When we feel how the economy of the country must be developed and when Members of that Select Committee that in a particular body more and more Members should be associated with such a body and with the National Shipping Board, and with such statutory and non-statutory bodies, we find that in this Joint Committee's report there is a rigorous approach to the inclusion of the Members.

I shall refer to two more points and then conclude. The State has got to administer not only law and order

qualification) Bill

as in the past but it has to administer and manage several industries, especially when the public sector is growing. So, we would like to see talented men, engineers, doctors, consultants and such people coming into this House, and that will also have a salutary effect.

I can mention many instances here. On this side of the House we have got Shri Prabhat Kar who is an exemployee of the Lloyds Bank. Are we sorry that such an employee of the Llvods Bank is here? He is able to focus attention, from the Opposition side, to several ralient features of the Reserve Bank of India report. We have with us Shri T. B. Vittal Rao, who is an ex-employee of the railways. We have watched how penetrating some of his questions are when tackling the railway matters. We also have Shri S. M. Bancriee, an ex-employee of the Defence Department I can say without any fear of contradiction that he is now a terror for the Defence Department because many of the 'inside things' which happen in the Defence Department are in the know of Shri Bancrice. We wish we have such men from the Posts and Telegraphs Departments also.

I would mention one more instance. Take the managing director of a banking concern The managing director of a big industry is not disqualified, but an employee in Reserve Bank will be disqualified. because an employee of the Reserve Bank will come under the office of profit So, this kind of distinction which is now sought to be created; and again the attitude of the Joint Committee in keeping the purity of the House are something which I can not in a position to reconcile.

I can mention another aspect. Many Members who preceded me referred to the University Grants Commission. If it is a question of power, has not the University Grants Commission got powers? It is the Commission which wanted the three-

year degree course and ultimately it becomes the policy of the Government. It decides how much aid should be given to the Universities. Are they not powerful? When we are exempting certain bodies, how is it that we are not excluding the Vice-Chancellors of universities?

We have had several discussions about what is going on today in the Banaras Hindu University. The House in its wisdom has passed a certain legislation. We do not pass a certain legislation giving protection to particular employee of an organisation Many respected and responsible Members of this House have voiced the opinion that so long as this Vice-Chancellor continues, there is not going to be peace there. But we find that a particular Vice-Chancellor is protected, and instead, we find number of students blacklisted. When we want to exclude Vice-Chancellors. we must bear in mind the experience that we have gained in this Parliament.

In this Bill, there is no regular method by which exemption has been given If, as the Select Committee desires, you must know clearly what is an office of profit, this is not the way Merely bringing this Bill or taking this Bill back and bringing another Bill is not going to solve the problem. What is going to solve the problem is boldly coming forward with a suitable amendment of Constitution. Article 102 will have to be clarified. We will have to explain what is an office of profit and whether we limit it only to remuneration or extend it to certain powers conferred by Parliament or other bodies. When we clearly define that, the Parliament will be in a better position. Otherwise, what is going on now is nothing but shadow boxing.

Many hon. Members have suggested that the Government must be bold enough to withdraw this Bill and bring a suitable amendment to the Constitution. Although our Constitution is rigid in the sense that it is written, it is flexible to the extent

[Shri Tangamani]

that we are in a position to amend it whenever occasion arises. This is a clear occasion, because as a result of the passing of this Bill, there will be many uncertainties. This Parliament itself elects some people to the committees and now these committees are disqualified. This kind of anomalous position must end. But I am afraid, this legislation is going to create more confusion. Many people will not know whether their election is constitutional and legal or not. After the next election, this is going to throw open the flood gates of election petitions. We are trying to put an end to election petitions and mala fide actions and elevate the status of this House. But by passing this Bill, we are going to bring all the Members of this House into a position of uncertainty.

Therefore, my submission is that this Bill should be withdrawn. many Members from different sections of the House have already suggested. some other suitable legislation should be brought, along with an amendment of the Constitution

Barman (Cooch-Behar-Reserved-Sch. Castes): Mr. Deputy-Speaker. Sir. it is very difficult to pass an opinion on this measure. because we find that those hon. Members who had deliberated on this Bill for long nine months are still themselves undecided as to the future of this Bill and the future of some Members whose cases perhaps have not been included within the schedule

Shrı Tangamani said that we must amend the Constitution, so that this confusion may not arise, by deleting article 102. I think that will not be that article possible, because has some utility. According to the democratic Government, it is the executive that is responsible to the legislature. We, as Members of Parliament, have to watch the execution of the policies and the working of this Government from day to day. If any Member of this House is associated with the execution of the policies, this House will not be able to call for an explanation from the executive. So. think the principle we should stick to is that so far as the executive is concerned, no Member should be associated with it, because this House is entitled to call for explanation from the executive.

But at the same time, we have to associate some of the Members with the execution of the functions of the executive, as for instance, Ministers and Deputy Ministers. They are also responsible to this House for departments under their control. But at the same time I should say that apart from direct connection with the executive, Members should not tied up by such a limitation that they should not be associated with advisory bodies, etc. On the one hand, we want that Members should not hold any office of profit. For that purpose, I think that the definition of compensatory allowance is quite sufficient. But to say that even if the allowance is not more than what is defined here as compensatory allowance, there may be positions of influence which an hon. Member may make wrong use of. To stretch, this inference to that extent is, I think, entirely wrong.

After all, Members of Parliament and State Legislatures have been trusted by their electorate. After they have won that confidence, to say that they should not be associated with any position which carries with some influence which they may abuse is very wrong. If a Member acts wrongly, what is the remedy? If any such misbehaviour by any Member is exposed on the floor of this House, it shall go to the whole world. There is no better safeguard needed. So far as his constituency is concerned, they will take note of it and the Member will be finished for ever. Is there any such safeguard against any other person who is not a Member, but who is associated with positions of influence? I do not think such sort of thinking should at all enter into our minds. Once we are free from such suspicion, then there is no difficulty associating Members even in administrative bodies. When a Member is personally sitting here, if he misbehaves, he may be confronted here and will be more than sufficiently punished. I can say that once we remove the mistrust from our minds there will be no difficulty whatsoever if we add some clause by which a Standing Committee of Parliament or of the State Legislatures is constituted, which will be empowered to go into the cases from day to day or from time to time and report to Parliament or the State Legislature that such and such body, according to the Constitution, attracts disqualification because it is necessary for the representatives of the public to be associated with such bodies, this disqualification should not apply to them and so legislation should be brought accordingly. I think that is the surest possible way of getting out of the rut. We should not think for a moment that any Member would go out of his way to misbehave when he has to justify himself on the floor of the House. There is one story. Some boatmen wanted to go in a boat upstream. They were plying it throughout the night. They did not know the location. In the morning they found that they were in the same place where they were at the start. I think our position has come almost to situation. We are thinking of conceivable things, because we cannot trust ourselves. I think we should proceed with the Bill. We shall pass the Bill as it is or with some modifications as we find later necessary. We have got sufficient support from all elderly members. You yourself, Sir. had presided over it. But, at the same time, in order to avoid any future difficulty in which we may be caught. I feel there should be some standing committee with sufficient power to report to the House of any other office which it may feel, should be exempted from disqualification.

बी बाजवेबी (बलरामपुर) : अपाध्यक्ष महोदय, इस विषेयक पर संयक्त समिति को जितना परिश्रम करना चाहिए था भीर जितना ज्यान देना चाहिए था, उसने नहीं दिया । भीर परिणाम यह है कि विधेयक द्यधरे रूप में हमारे सामने उपस्थित है। में यह दलील मानने के लिए तैयार नहीं हं कि मंयक्त समिति के लिए यह सम्भव नहीं बा कि वह सभी समितियों का विचार करती भौर किन ममितियों की सदस्यता सदस्यों को इस बदन में माने के लिए मयोग्य बना देगी. इस सम्बन्ध में कोई निर्णय करती। एक बहुत बडी बात जिसका कि शायद विचार नहीं किया गया. वह यह है कि संसद ग्रयोग्यक्त के अम्बन्ध में जैसे भी कानन बनायेगी, हमारे राज्यों की विधान सभाये उनको माडेल के इप में लेंगी भीर उन के कान्न भी उन्ही के आधार पर बनेंगे और परिणाम यह होगा कि र्रुसे व्यक्ति संसद में ग्रा सकेंगे जो कि शासन के द्वारा प्रभावित होंगे।

इस विघेयक में यह व्यवस्था की गई है कि गावो में जो मालगजारी वसल करते है उन्हें छट होगी चुनाव में खड़े होने की । प्रव में अत्तर प्रदेश की बात जानता हूं कि पूराने बटवारी भीर माज के लेखपाल गांवों की जनता तर कितना असर रखते है, दबाव की द्ष्टि से, प्रभाव की दृष्टि से नहीं भौर भले ही वे भपने क्षेत्र में संसद् के लिए निर्वाचित न हो सकें, अगर जहा तक विधान सभा के निर्वाचन क्षेत्र का प्रध्न है, वे जरूर भ्रपने पद का प्रभाव ला प्रकृते है । ग्रब ग्रगर राज्यों की विधान सभायें अपने विधेयकों में ऐसे पदों को भी शामिल करेंगी भीर में समझता हं कि वे करेंगी वयोंकि शंसद ने उनके सामने एक नम्ने का ऐक्ट अनाने की नैयारी कर दी है तो उसका परिणाम ठीक नहीं होगा नयोंकि सुबों में ऐसे व्यक्ति श्रधिक संख्या में पहुंच सकते है जो कि शासन के द्वारा प्रभावित हों भीर जो किसी भी प्रस्त पर सत्य भीर निर्भीक दिष्ट से विचार

[क्षे वाजपेवी]

न कर सकें। आज जब कि सत्तास्द दल बहुत बड़े बहुमत में है तब तो इस बात का इतना सतरा नहीं है लेकिन श्रव धीरे धीरे राजनैतिक परिस्थिति बदल रही है धीर श्रागे चल कर कभी राजनैतिक संतुलन ऐसा हो सकता है कि थोड़े से सदस्यों का प्रभावित होना दूरगाभी परिणाम उत्पन्न करे। में समझता हूं कि इस बात की पूरी व्यवस्था नहीं की गई कि जो भी व्यक्ति किसी पद पर होने का लाभ उठाते हैं या उसमे दूसरे को प्रभावित कर मकते हैं, उन्हें विधान सभाकों या संसद् में लाने की कोशिश नहीं करनी चाहिए।

विव्वविद्यालयों के वाइस चासलसं की श्रयोग्यता दर करने के सम्बन्ध में यहां पर काफ़ी वाद-विवाद हमा है। इस सम्बन्ध मे बनारस विश्वविद्यालय की भी चर्चा की गई है। मैं यह प्रस्ता चाहता हं कि जब इस मदन में बनारम हिन्दू विश्वविद्यालय मशोधन विधेयक पर बहस हो रही थी उस समय भगर उस विश्वविद्यालय के वर्तमान वाइस चांमलर भी सदस्य के रूप में इस मदन में मौजूद होते, तो उनकी नया स्थिति होती श्रीर इस सदन की क्या स्थिति होती । नया वह उनके लिए भीर सदन के सदस्यों के लिए ठीक होता ? क्या उनकी उपस्थिति मदन में बतौर एक मदस्य के. सदन के माननीय सदस्यों के लिए उनकी ग्रालोचना करने में ग्रीर एक स्वस्थ वातावरण पैदा करने में महायक होती ? में समझता हं कि यह किसी के लिए ठीक नहीं होता, इस मदन के लिए भी ठीक नहीं होता भीर एक विश्वविद्यालय के वाइम चांसलर की महत्ता के लिए भी ठीक नहीं होता।

15:56 hrs.

[SHRI BARMAN in the Chair]

कुछ सदस्यों ने इस सुझाव का प्रतिपादन किया है कि लाइफ़ इंझ्योरेस कारपोरेशन या स्टेट ट्रेडिंग कारपोरेशन ऐसे संस्थानों से

सदस्यों के जाने से वे संस्था अध्या तरह के वलेंगे । मेरा निवेदन है कि इस समस्या का एक पहलू भीर भी है भीर वह है कि ऐसा होने से इन संस्थानों में गडबडियां भी हो सकती हैं जैसे कि लाइफ़ इंक्योरेंस कारपोरेकन में हई। उस तरह के कांड भी घटित हो सकतें है भीर उस समय इस सदन में बैठे हुए उस सदस्य की स्थिति क्या होगी जो कि उस संस्थान में काम करता होगा? फिर उस सदस्य के मित्र भी होंगे भीर इस सदन में बैठा हम्रा वह सदस्य किसी पार्टी का भी होगा का प्रदन भी पार्टी प्रतिष्ठा खडा हो सकता है और मैं नहीं समझता कि मंमद के सदस्यों को ऐसे संस्थानों में लाना उचित होगा.

Shri Narayanankutty Memer (Mukandapuram): There is no quorum in the House. Of course it would inconvenience some hon. Members.

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): it is because the Members had a strenuous session.

Mr. Chairman: Anyhow, the bell is being rung. Now there is quorum. The hon. Member may continue his speech.

श्री वाकपेशी: सभापित महोदय, में निवेन कर रहा था कि देश के श्राधिक विकास के साथ ऐसे सम्यानों की सख्या बढ़ेगी, लेकिन उन पर नियन्त्रण करने का. उन्हें ठीक तरह से चलाने का यह अच्छा तरीका न होगा कि संसद् के कुछ सदस्यों को उनमें भेज दिया जाये। जो भी संसद् के सदस्य इस तरह के श्राधिक या श्रीद्योगिक संस्थानों में काम करना चाहते हैं उन्हें संसद् की सदस्यता में त्यागपत्र दे देना चाहिए श्रीर पूरा समय. पूरा श्रम, पूरी शक्त और पूरी बुद्ध लगा कर उन संस्थाओं को श्रागे बढ़ाने में लगना चाहिए। श्रगर

1767

उनकी शक्ति बंट जायेगी भीर वे दोनों तरफ भ्यान देंगे तो न तो वे संसद के प्रति भपना दायित्व पालन कर सकेंगे और न उन संस्थानो के प्रति न्याय कर सकोंगे। में समझता हं इस सम्बन्ध में विधेयक में जो भी व्यवस्था की गबी है जस पर पूनविचार किया जाना भाहिए।

राज्यो में विधान सभाये इस विधेयक के अनने पर भपने विषेयक तैयार करेंगी। इस बात को ध्यान में रख कर भी इम विधेयक में जो सशोधन उपयुक्त ये वे नही किये गये, भीर मझे लगता है कि विधेयक अगर इस रूप में पास हो गया तो फिर चनाव के बाद होने वाले झगडो की सख्या बढेगी, भौर यह तै करना महिकल होगा कि कौन सानिर्वा-चित सदस्य ममद की सदस्यता के योग्य है अथवा नही है।

विवाद में इस बात को भी उठाया गया हैं कि सविधान की धारा १०२ में जो शब्दा-वली है उसके ग्रन्तर्गत हमारे लिए यह सम्भव नहीं है कि हम ग्राफिन ग्राफ प्राफिट लाभ का पद क्या है इसकी व्याख्या करे. भीर ससद यह नहीं कर सकती । श्रगर वैधानिक दृष्टि में मसद के लिए यह कार्य सम्भव नहीं है ती फिर मविधान की धारा में सशोधन करने के सम्बन्ध में हमे विचार करना चाहिए। में इस बात से महमत नहीं हू कि भ्रयोग्यता की मारी शर्तें हटा दी जाये भीर समद के द्वार सब के लिए खोल दिये जाये । ससद के मदस्यो की स्वतन्त्रता भौर निर्भीक बुद्धि सुरक्षित रहे, इसके लिए ग्रावश्यक है कि ऐसे व्यक्ति ससद में प्रवेश न पा सके जो प्रशासन द्वारा, कार्य-पालिका द्वारा, एग्जीक्यूटिव द्वारा प्रभावित है, उससे मर्थ में या प्रभाव में लाभ उठाते हैं। नेकिन इस सम्बन्ध में बढ़ी बारीकी से छान-बीन करने की जरूरत है भौर काम लम्बा भी है। शायद प्रवर समिति पूरा समय नहीं दे सकी । यह मधूरा विधेयक है । मेरा निवेदन है कि सरकार को यह विधेयक वापस लेना चाहिए भीर उसे घषिक पूर्ण रूप से, जो

श्रापत्तिया सदस्यो ने उठायी है उनका विचार करते हुए सदन के सामने लाना चाहिए।

बी हेडा (निजामाबाद) महोदय, भाज देश के अन्दर एक प्रवृत्ति बहुत स्पष्ट तौर पर दिखायी दे रही है, भीर वह प्रवृत्ति यह है कि जनता न केवल उम्मीद करती है बल्कि यह माग करती है कि यह सेंसद् भ्रपनी जिम्मेदारियो को पूरे तौर पर पालन करे । जनता ग्राज इस बात की माग करती है कि जो कुछ भी खर्च होता है वह ठीक तौर पर सर्च हो, गवनंमेट की तरफ से जो कारपी-रेशन्स है या जो इनवेस्टमेट होता है, या जो फिसकल भीर दूसरी तरह की पालिसिया बल रही है भीर जो इतना पैसा लगाया जा रहा है वह ठीक तौर पर लगाया जाये, कही फिज्ल-बर्चीन हो भौर कही पर भी सिफारिश की या भ्रपने रिश्तेदारो को मदद देने की बात न दिखायी दे। तो ग्राज हमें यह समझ कर चलना चाहिए कि जनता श्रव बहुत किटिकल हो च्की है क्योंकि वह ग्रब चीजो को बारीकी से दखती है भीर नक्ताचीनी कर रही है, भीर इस जिम्मेदारी से ससद इन्कार नहीं कर मकती । उसकी इस सम्बन्ध में अन्तिम जिम्मेदारी है भीर उसे इसका जवाब देना पडता है।

अभी एक चीज का जिक मेरे पूर्व-वक्ता ने किया है, लाइफ इश्योरेस कारपी-रेशन का। उसके बारे में जो कुछ भी हुआ। उस पर जनता ने भ्रपनी नाराजगी या भ्रपने विचार बड़ी स्पष्ट नौर पर व्यक्त किये है। ये सब चीजे क्या बताती है। ये चीजे यह नताती हं कि ग्रव ससद के सदस्यों को बहुत ज्यादा सनग रहना चाहिए, बहुत ज्यादा मालुमात रखनी चाहिए भीर इस तरह से जो इस मसद् का सारी चीजो पर नियन्त्रण होना चाहिए उमका ठीक तौर पर पालन होना चाहिए ।

एक और बात में इस सिलसिले में कह देना चाहता हूं। वह यह है कि जानकार

[बी ध्रहा]

हलकों में भाग तौर पर, मले ही माम माद-भियों का यह स्थाल न हो, यह स्थाल बढता जा रहा है कि संसद के सदस्यों को ज्यादा जानकारी नहीं होती । उनको बहुत कम मालुमात होती हैं घीर उनको बहुत कम धनुभव होता है। ग्रीर इस कारण वह अपनी जिम्मेदारी का पालन नहीं कर सकते। जब हम स्टाक एक्सचेंज में या किसी खास उद्योग के केन्द्र में जाते हैं तो लोग कहते है कि यह चीज घापके कान पर नहीं घाती, यह तो महीनों से हमारे कान पर माती रही है। भौर उन लोगों को बड़ा ग्राइचर्य होता है कि संसद के उन सदस्यों को भी, जो कि उन विषयों के विवादों में भाग लेते रहे है, उतनी जानकारी नहीं है जितनी कि होनी चाहिए। तो हमारी जानकारी की इस अपूर्णता के सम्बन्ध में काफी रूयाल बढ़ता जा रहा है। भौर सच बात तो यह है कि विषय इतने ज्यादा है कि किसी भी सदस्य के लिए यह शक्य नहीं है कि पूरे तौर पर उनकी जानकारी रखे भीर वह जानकारी प्राप्त करना भी उतना मासान नहीं है । जानकारी प्राप्त करने में काफी दिक्कतें आती है। तो अगर इन दो तीन बीजों को हम ध्यान में रखें तो एक चीज स्पष्ट हो जाती है कि हम सदस्यों में से हर एक सदस्य को या कम से कम सदस्यों के एक छोटे से समूह को विशिष्ट प्रकार के विषयों में दिलचस्पी लेनी चाहिए। कुछ हद तक ऐसा हो रहा है सेंकिन ग्रगर हम किसी भी पार्टी को या पूरे हाउस को लें तो यह नहीं कहा जा सकता कि यह चीज संतोषजनक रूप मे हो रही है। तो हमें कुछ विषयों की विशेष जान-कारी रखना भावश्यक है। इसमें बड़ी मदद मिलेगी यदि सदस्यों का सम्बन्ध चीजों से नजदीक का हो जिससे कि हमें जानकारी हासिल करने में ग्रासानी हो।

एक उदाहरण के तौर पर में सैंट्रल सोबाल लेफेयर बोर्ड को लेता हूं। इसमें करोड़ों रुपया अर्च होता है। लोक-सभा का एक सदस्य और राज्य सभा का एक सदस्य जसमें नियुक्त होता है। इसका परिणाम यह होता है कि घगर हम इसके बारे में कोई चीज मालूम करना चाहें तो जस सदस्य से घासानी से मालूम कर सकते हैं। घगर जस सदस्य के पास यह जानकारी न हो तो वह मालूम करके हमारे पास पहुंचा सकता है। इस तरह से हमको जानकारी घासानी से मिल सकती है।

दूसरे ऐसा करने से देश में यह विशेष भावना पैदा होगी कि संसद् का नियंत्रण तगड़ा है ग्रीर ज्यादा नजदीक से हैं ग्रीर इस-लिए काम ठीक तौर पर चलता रहेगा।

पूर्ववक्ता ने एक दो भ्राशंकाओं की तरफ ध्यान दिलाया है। वे ग्राशंकायें सही है, लेकिन उसका जो उत्तर है उसकी तरफ उन्होंने ध्यान नहीं दिया । दो चीजें उन्होने बतायीं। एक तो यह कि जब किसी कारपो-रेशन में एक सदस्य का सम्बन्ध है, ग्रगर उसकी तरफ से गलती होती है तो उस सदस्य की क्या हालत होगी। मान लीजिये कि हम में से कोई मादमी लाइफ इंश्योरेंस कारपोग्शन का सदस्य होता है जो म्रालोचना उसकी यहां भीर हमारे देश में हुई उम समय उस सदस्य की क्या हालत होती । साफ है कि उसकी हालत खस्ता होती ग्रीर ठीक तौर पर वह जिम्मेदार होती । इसके लिए है। कल ग्रगर हमारा कोई मिनिस्टर गुलती करता à. तो हालत क्या होगी ? च कि वह जिम्मेदार है, इस लिए उस की हालत खस्ता होनी ही चाहिए। लेकिन सवाल यह है कि क्या वह मेम्बर वह हालत होने देगा। हम तो उस को भेज ही इस लिए रहे है कि वह वहां दुर्गति न होने दे श्रीर चगर इस के बावजूद दुर्गति होतो है, तो उस को भुगतना चाहिए। मेरे मित्र ने कहा है कि उस वक्त उस के मित्र उस की तरफ़दारी भीर उस का बचाव करने की कोशिश करेंगे। मेरे मित्र

ने यह सवाल भा उठाया कि शायद पार्टी के प्रस्टीज का भी सवाल पैदा हो जायेगा । यह सारी पेचीविगयां पैदा हो सकता हैं, लेकिन व वेचीद्रियां तो मिनिस्टर्षं भीर डिप्टी मिनिस्टर्ष के चालीस पचास मदों के साथ भी पैदा होती भीर हम उन को गवारा करते है भीर डैमोकेसी में उन की गढारा करने के भलाव कोई चारा मो नहीं है। इस स्थिति में मेम्बर्ज के विषय में भी इस प्रकार की पेचीदगियां गवारा करने का कोई हर्ज नहीं है। इस का जो तोड है, उस की तरफ़ मेरे माननाय मित्र ने घ्यान नहीं दिलाया।

Parliament

एक दूसरे मित्र ने कहा कि इस बात का भो श्वदहा होता है कि कही इन पदों का दु पयाग न हो जाय-इन पदा से वे कही ग्रपना खद का लाभ ग़लत तीर पर न करना शुरू कर दे। इस तरह की भी झाशंकाए होती है। इस का तोड यह है कि सार्वजनिक जीवन में, विशेषकर राजनीतिक जीवन में, हरेक व्यक्ति को बदनामी का बड़ा डर होता है भौर इस लिए वह ग्रपना व्यवहार, ग्रपना किरदार शंका मे बिल्कुल परे रखना चाहता है, क्योंकि ऐसा किये बगैर उस को हर पाच साल के बाद जो जनता के सामने हाजिर होना पड़ता है, वह नाममिकन हो जायगा, श्रीर इसी कारण से कोई पार्टी या उस के मित्र भी उस का साथ नहीं दे सकेंगे। होता यही है। यही नहीं, दूसरे डेमोक्रेटिक कंटीज में भी यही होता है। हमारे देश में भी यह हो रहा है। पहली बात यह है कि जनता में भाज यह प्रवृत्ति है कि भगर कही बोडी सी भी गड़बड़ है, तो वह उस को सच मानने लगती है। जहां ग्राशंकाए मौजूद हों, वहां वह किसी न किमी जिम्मेदार ग्रादमी को षसीटना चाहती है। जहा सचमच में कोई गडवड़ घोटाला हमा हो, या लापरवाही बरती गई हो, तो वहां जिम्मेदार व्यक्ति के लिए तो जीना दूभर हो जायगा भीर उस के मित्रगण भी उस की मदद नहीं करेगे, क्योंकि के जानते हैं कि इबने वाला तो इबेगा ही, उस का साथ देन बाले भी इबेंगे भीर भगर न भी इबे, तो उन पर खींटे तो जरूर उछाले जायेंगे श्रीर उन

पर मी असर पडेगा। धाम जनता के सामने हुप लोगों को जाना पड़ता है---धाम दिनों में भी भीर सास तौर पर इलैक्शन में भी । उस का **ल्याल हर पार्टी भीर हर व्यक्ति को होता है** भीर इस प्रकार के पदो पर जो व्यक्ति द्वासीन है, उन के मित्रों को भी होता है। मैं समझता हैं कि इस वजह से जिस गडबड की भाशंका की जाती है, वह नहीं होगी।

(Prevention of Dis-

qualification) Bill

इस के प्रतिरिक्त प्रेस ग्रीर जनता हम लोगो के ग्राचार ग्रीर व्यवहार पर कड़ी नजर रखेगी और हम लोगों को ग़लती नहीं करने देगी। जिस प्रकार से हम लोगों से यह उम्मीद रक्षी जाती है कि सरकारी भ्रोहदेदारों. कार्पोरेशन वर्गरह भी (दूसरे लोगो से जो ग़लतियां होती है,उन को हम न होने दें, जिस प्रकार उन को चैक करने की जिस्मेदारी हम लोगों पर--इस ससद वेः सदस्यों पर--हाली जाती है, ठीक उसी प्रकार हम गुलती न करे. इसकी जिम्मेदारी स्वयं जनता पर है। जनता सजग हो रही है भीर रहेगी। प्रेस पर भी यह जिम्मेदारी है। ग्रगर एक पार्टी का कोई न्यवित गलती करता है, तो दूसरी पार्टी का भादमी खामोश क्यो रहेगा ? वह बराबर हर बात को सामने लायेगा भीर उस को लाना भी चाहिए । हमारी पार्टियो में भी ऐसा ही होता है। एक भ्रादमी चला जाये, तो उस की जगह खाली हो जायगी भीर किसी दूसरे को मिल जायगी। इस लिहाज से वे एक दूसरे पर नजर रखेंगे । इसलिए यह विचार ठीक नहीं है कि चुकि ग़लतिया हो सकती है, इस लिए संस के सदस्यों को वहा न भेजा जाये। संसद के सदस्यों को बिन्कुल बच्चे ग्रीर मासुम समझ कर उन को ग्रखनान रखा जाना चाहिए। बल्कि उन को एक्सपीरिएंस्ड भीर तज्बेंकार समझना चाहिए। यह समझना चाहिए कि वे कि काम करेंगे और इस लिए उन को मंझधार के बीच में भेजने के लिए हमें तैयार रहना चाहिए।

यह भी कहा जाता है कि मेम्बर श्रपने पद का दुरुपयोग करेगा । मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं

कि पद का दरुपयोग करने या अनुचित लाभ उठाने के लिए संसद का सदस्य होने की माबश्यकता नहीं है। वह सब काम उस के बगैर भी हो सकता है। जो लोग लाम उठाना बाहते हैं भौर उठा सकते हैं. वे भपने मित्रों के द्वारा रोसा कर लेते हैं, वे मित्र चाहे मिनिस्टर के हों या बड़े बड़े भाफिसर के हों। इस के लिए उन्हें संसद-सदस्य बनने भीर संसद-सदस्य बन कर कार्पोरेशन का मेम्बर बनने की जरूरत नहीं है। उन को हम पकड सकें, इसी लिए तो यह विषेयक लाया गया है। इसलिए संसद-सदस्यों के जितनी ज्यादा प्रवत्तिया--एक्टि-विटीज-से मम्बन्ध हों, उतना ही हमारे ालए अच्छा होगा और देश जो हम से यह आशा करता है कि हम सभा पर नियंत्रण रख मकों, वह धाशा भी पूरी होगी।

ग्रन्त में मैं एक जुज्बी बात कह कर ममाप्न करता हू। मैं नं एक मंशोधन का नोटिस भी दिया है भीर वह यह है कि डिस्क्वा-लिफिकेशन से एग्जेम्प्शन की लिस्ट में मैंड़ल बोर्ड भ्राफ़ फ़िल्म सेन्सर्ज का जिक नही है। ममय भ्राने पर दो शब्द मैं उस के सम्बन्ध में भी भर्ज करूंगा।

इन गन्दों स माथ मैं इस विधेयक को सपोर्ट करता हूं ।

Shri Mohammed Imam (Chitaldrug): Interesting, and sometimes elaborate, speeches have been made from either side of the House, and it is clear that no section of this House is satisfied with this Bill. This Bill creates more confusion rather than clarifies the present position; indeed, it worsens the present situation, and gives more power to the legislatures to remove disqualification even in genuine cases where disqualification has been incurred.

The Constitution lays down that under certain circumstances, especially, when a Member holds an office of profit, he cannot become a Member, nor can he continue to be a Member. Power is also given both to Parliament and to the State Legislatures to remove disqualification according to circumstances. This power is intended to be used very rarely and in public interest and only when such removal of disqualification is meant for the good of the State. But, on the other hand, we find that this power is often misused. It is invoked in many cases to suit individual conveniences and to satisfy party appetities.

I can say from my experience of State Legislatures, particularly, the Mysore Legislature, how this power has been misused. Series of legislations has been passed to remove disqualifications, and I think on a number of occasions disqualification has been removed, to help certain individuals. Members of the legislature have been appointed as members of the labour tribunal or appellate tribunal, and still they continue to be members of the legislature and they are also drawing handsome salaries. They have been appointed as chairmen and directors of Government-owned and Government-aided factories. have been appointed in various offices which are offices of dignity and from which they can command great power and influence. Even recently, I find that members of the legislature have been appointed as chairman of the housing board of Mysore, chairman of the social welfare board and so on; and one member has been appointed as chairman of the smallscale industries board, and another as chairman of the khadi board. What I would like to point out to hon. that we have Members is received full information regarding what is happening in the States.

Shri Dasappa (Bangalore): Is there any remuneration for this job?

Shri Mohammed Imam: I think when my hon friend was Minister there, such things did happen many times. He raised the question of remuseration. True, the Chairman of the Housing Board or of the Small Scale Industries Corporation is not drawing remuneration. But he is provided with a car, a house; whenever he travels, he draws TA; he attracts the local crowd. They come and ask him for houses. He is given the parties and addresses.

It has been pointed out in this House itself before by Shri C. C. Biswas that an office need necessarily entail or involve monetary profit, but if it is a position of dignity, if it is a position from where he can use his influence and power, then it has to be considered an office of profit. An office of profit need not necessarily be construed in terms of money. That is what has been happening.

Similarly, we find that from this August House some people being appointed as members or Chairmen of some committees which exercise considerable influence on the people. As members of such committees, they can misuse their position. All this goes against the spirit of the Constitution. This was put in the Constitution to ensure the purity of see that the administration and to Members who are elected by the electorate discharge their duties properly. On the other hand, such provisions made in the Bill will disrupt the safeguards and preventive measures framed in order to ensure the purity of administration and to prevent a Member from misusing or using the legislature as a stepping stone for his own personal advancement.

I submit that even the recommendations embodied in the Thakur Das Bhargava Committee have not been fully implemented. Officers like those of Vice-Chancellors and revenue officers are being exempted from disqualification. A Member is elected from a certain constituency with a particular object. He is elected by millions of people to help them to be their friend and philosopher and

help them in time of need and to reflect their voice. It is the intention that he should exercise an effective, intimate and continuous contact between the people and the Government. On the other hand, if a Member of either this House or of a State legislature when once elected accepts an office of profit or if he misuses his position, he will be committing a breach of faith.

I must also submit that Government must refrain from putting temptations before these Members. Instances are not wanting when members of the legislature are appointed to responsible remunerative job; they are appointed as members of Planning Commission or of other bodies or Chairmen of important companies. It may be argued that these Members may resign But I oppose such a policy. The Government should take care that when a person becomes a Member of this House, he is not seduced during his five year tenure; otherwise, the entire atmosphere may be demoralised. It shows that such things happen, the administration is not run on pure lines but on party lines Often it so happens that to win over members of their own party or the Opposition, such baits are held out to persons.

Coming to a few instances in the Bill itself, the office of Vice-Chancellor is exempt. It is stated that Vice-Chancellors may become Members of Parliament or State legislatures, even though they command a dignified place in the Universities. There are Vice-Chancellors who are elected and nominated. The nominated Vice-Chancellors owe their position and continuance purely to Government. It may be argued that an elected Vice-Chancellor may be a legislature. Member of the there, the practice is that the Vice-Chancellor's appointment is subject to the approval of the Governor who is the Chancellor. It is a common practice that the Senate always submits a panel of three names and it

[Shri Mehammad Imam]

is left to the Chancellor to select any man from the panel. The Chancellor, who is also the Governor, is subject to the party Government. So, this elected Vice-Chancellor also is subject to the influence of the Government. His pay, most of it, comes from government money. In both these cases, there is no justification to exempt the Vice-Chancellor from incurring this disqualification.

Besides, it takes away most of his time. Even a member of a Syndicate wields a position of influence. And such a person must not serve in the Legislature as a representative of the people.

Then I come to the revenue officer. My submission is this. Whoever it be. anvone whose appointment depends upon the Government, whose continuance depends upon the Government, who may be dismissed or continued by Government. should not have any place in the Legislature. revenue officer may be having police powers or may not have, but he is appointed by the Government. Government can dismiss him, increase his remuneration etc. Till now no revenue officer was entitled to stand for election. All their applications were rejected.

Recently, there was a case in the Supreme Court which came from my constituency. The Supreme Court held that a revenue officer cannot stand for election; and his application was rejected. Now, the Government are coming forward to remove this disqualification. This really goes against the Constitution. Whoever is subject to the influence of Government, whoever is under the thumb of Government cannot act in Parliament. He always looks to the Government for his continuance and such a person ought not to be a Member of the Legislature.

I would like to say a few words about the committees. It has been pointed out in the Bhargava Committee Report that there are two kinds of committees. Of course, we cannot be prevented from serving on committees. But, there are committees and committees. There are committees which supervise and keep surveillance over the actions of others. There are advisory committees. I have absolutely no objection to Members of Parliament or of the State Legislatures becoming members such committees. But, there are committees and boards, a position on which gives them a clear chance to use their influence not only use but to misuse-and it often gives them an opportunity to make money or become corrupt. On such committees, I think, the hon. Members should not go and serve.

I have already given instances of committees wherein they can exercise their patronage and whereby they can draw towards them particular sections of society, committees which can give them opportunities to strengthen their own party positions. All these must be avoided.

I submit that there is no hurry to proceed with this Bill. Let the hon. Minister cry a halt. Let him get all the information from the States. In fact, an attempt was made by the Chairman of the Bhargava Committee to get that information. I am afraid they have not got full information with them.

So far as my State is concerned, there are a number of difficulties. In fact, I have tabled two amendments to be considered. For example, the Housing Board and the Khadi Board. These are small committees. But there are other committees which are very influential and a member of such a committee can misuse his power. It may be considered that the Government have put these persons in

these committees not only to help them but to draw them to their side and also to act as agents at the time of election.

With these words, I submit that the hon. Minister should withdraw this Bill and should get all the information that is available in the States, and come to a definite understanding and see what is the right policy to be adopted and what is the right position to prevent Members of the legislatures who represent people from misusing their position—a position which has been entrusted to them by the electorate who have got implicit faith in them.

Shri Braj Raj Singh (Firozabad)

Mr. Chairman: I am sorry; I cannot give time to any other hon. Member.

Shri Braj Raj Singh: May I submit one word? When an hon. Member of this House spoke for more than 1½ hours, it was the sense of the House that the time for general discussion should be extended. It was usual and in a general discussion, it could not be checked. That was also the sense of the House. I had been rising in my seat for the last three days; yet I have not been able to get time. I will take ten or twelve minutes.

Mr. Chairman: I am sorry; the hon Member may take his chance during the third reading. I quite agree with what he says but it was for him to represent to the Speaker or the Deputy-Speaker

Shri Braj Raj Singh: But it was the sense of the House that the time should be extended.

Mr. Chairman: I am sorry there is no time left new. The hon. Minister

The Minister of Law (Shri A. K. Sen): Sir, it is true that we had a very exhaustive discussion on this rather small Bill. It evinces the very keen interest taken by the House

qualification) Bill about a matter in which at rightly feels vitally interested. It is necessary to clear the ground at the very outset for the purpose of replying to the detailed criticisms which have been levelled against the Bill as reported by the Joint Committee. The purpose of the Bill was to remove disqualification in regard to certain offices, technically regarded as offices of profit as they may carry some small remuneration, however small it may be. The House will recollect that we still have an Act in operation more or less similar to the Bill as was originally drafted and introduced in this House which gave exemption to various offices disqualifying their holders. The scheme of the present Bill as was originally introduced was more or less similar to that Act. After the Bill was introduced, it was sent to the Joint Committee which had a rather long duration and that again appointed a Sub-Committee in pursuance of its desire to see whether a Schedule could be annexed to the Bill which would show at a glance which are the offices which would be treated as disqualifying notwithstanding the fact that these offices carried only compensatory allowance for their holders. Pandit Thakur Das Bhargaya who has more or less stated on the floor of this House that it was useless to append a Schedule which was inexhaustive was one of the main champions for introducing a Schedule in the body of the Bill itself. He was more or less insistent along with many other hon. Members that apart from the general exemptions given in clause 3, certain offices which would otherwish be exempted should be treated as disqualifying their holders. Sub-Committee examined nearly 1,200 committees and bodies, statutory or non-statutory, for the purpose of ascertaining which of the bodies should be but in the Schedule as disqualifying their members or their Chairman or directors from continuing or from standing as Members of Parliament. After a detailed examination of nearly 1,200 committees and bodies whose supplied constitutions were

[Shri A. K. Sen]

1361

the Central Government as also by many of the State Governments, the Sub-Committee reported to the Joint Committee and the Joint Committee passed finally these two parts of the Schedule which we now find printed in the body of the Bill enumerating various bodies set up under Central Acts and also under various State Acts.

One of the main criticisms levelled against the introduction of this Schedule by a large number of Members on the floor of the House in the course of the debate has been that the Schedule is not exhaustive. I may tell the hon. Members that when Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava and his colleagues were insisting upon the introduction of a Schedule I told them from the very outset that no Schedule could be exhaustive, and it was precisely for that reason that in the original Bill introduce a Schedule. we did not Even in England, hon. Members will recollect that after years of study when the British Act was ultimately passed it was found that even there they had not been able to carry out a detailed examination of all the various bodies and committees and they had admitted that their Schedule too was not exhaustive In fact, it from the records of the appears proceedings of the Select Committee which was headed by Sir Patric Spence, late Chief Justice of India, that even after a period of 61 years when a member of the Select Committee put the following question to Sir Austin Strutt: "A circular has been sent round to all the Departments to secure this list (list of disqualifying offices), has it not?", the reply given on behalf of Government says: "Several circulars over the last 61 years have been sent". Later on, another Member, Mr. Bowles, observed: "We do not want to ask the Departments to search again for another 61 years to add even more to what is a tremendous number already." This was the final observation of one of the

members of the Select Committee and I want to read it out to the hon. Members for the purpose of illustrating again what I said in this House when the Bill was first introduced, after the Bill was introduced and was sent to the Joint Committee, and also before the Joint Committee when they were deliberating upon this Bill. This is what he said:

"I am very doubtful about this procedure. I would have thought that if we ask Sir Austin or anyone else start thinking of a list of all the possible bodies, membership of which might be incompatible with membership of this House, I do not think there will be any end to the enquiry."

This was after 6½ years in England where they had only one Parliament and not 14 different legislatures as in our country and 14 different sets of statutes passed by the respective legislatures. Then he goes on to say:

"I do not realise we were going to embark upon that sort of enquiry Take the Historic Houses Advisory Committee which advises the Minister of Works on which Historic Houses should be preserved or given a grant and so forth"

"Some people might take the view it is incompatible with membership of the House to be a member of that Committee and advise that a particular historic house in his constituency should get a grant from the Ministry. There is Ancient Monuments Board; there are all kinds once you start on that. Some are sanctioned by statute, some are not. It would be a never-ending job."

Hon. Members will realize that even assuming that after years of laborious work we succeed in unearthing all possible bodies and committees set up under local, State and Central Acts, these lists will them-

selves become obsolete after period of time because some of these bodies will become functus officio, some of them will be created anew and in the meantime some existing bodies might have changed their function and so on.

By the very nature of the circumstances, the list which we introduce in the schedule-by saying "we" I mean the Joint Committee-could never be exhaustive or final, and therefore, it is an inherent weakness in any form of lists that such lists would not import finality in the matter. That is why in the British statute they have introduced a provision by which a simple resolution of the House Commons the lists may be added to. varied or amended, by the addition of new bodies or by the deletion existing bodies and so on Unfortunately, this expedient is not possible so far as our Constitution is concerned

Hon. Members will kindly read article 102 of the Constitution It will not take much time to realize that article 102 prescribes in clause (1) (a) that the exemption must be by a law of Parliament. It says:

"...other than an office declared by Parliament by law not to disqualify its holder;"

That means whichever office has to be exempted has to be so exempted by a law passed by Parliament, and a mere resolution of either House of Parliament would not be enough to satisfy the requirements of the Constitution. That is why the simple provision in the British Act is not feasible so far as our Bill is concerned.

Therefore, it is proposed, and the Government no doubt agreed to the proposal, that if a list is ultimately accepted, as indicated in the schedule, by the House and passed, then the only possible remedy which one may think of for the purpose of keeping the list as exhaustive and as final as possible from time to time, in view of the continuous changes and flux

which may be occurring in the omposition and function of those bodies, is to set up a Standing Committee of the House or of both Houses for the purpose of keeping under study all statutory and non-statutory offices under different State Acts and different Central Acts. So, from time to time, they may report to Parliament as to the desirability of changing the schedule and the House may then, on such report, take upon the task of passing new laws changing the schedule. That is the only way possible.

You cannot in a law declare beforehand that the House or both Houses will be entitled to change the schedule by a simple resolution. As I said, even in England, the list has not been found to be exhaustive or final. Here it will be more so, and therefore once it is accepted that a schedule has to be inserted, this infirmity has to be accepted along with it, and there is no getting away from it. The only way by which you can rectify position is to set up a Standing Con.mittee or body at a future time for the purpose of keeping under its study the whole question of lists and offices for the purpose of periodical report to enable the Parliament to take appropriate action, for the purpose of keeping the schedule as nearly exhaustive and up-to-date as possible. As I said, it was not the original intention of the Government, when the Bill was introduced, to have any list, in view of the natural weakness in any scheme which provides for a list or schedule of the type we have now inserted in the Bill as a result of the Joint Committee deliberations.

Therefore, first of all the House has to decide whether apart from the general principles of exemption contained in section 3, we should specify by name certain offices, which should not enjoy that exemption. It has been hinted by some hon. Members that in preparing the schedule, the Joint Committee has followed no principle whatsoever. Such an accusation must be

27 NOVEMBER 1958

[Shri A. K. Sen]

rejected as extremely unfair and unthinking. Though I was personally never wedded to the necessity of having a schedule yet in all fairness to the Joint Committee, I must submit that they did follow some principles, though by the very nature of the circumstances, no single or uniform principle could possibly be struck.

Shri Mahanty (Dhenkanal): Would the hon. Minister kindly enlighten us as to what principles were followed by the Committee?

Shri A. K. Sen: The hon. Member himself was a member of the Joint Committee and as far as I remember. be was himself a votary of schedule at that time. At least, I do not recollect having heard him on any occasion opposing the system of introducing a schedule at a time when, on behalf of the Government. I was trving to resist it. Further, the hon. Member himself was a member of the sub-committee which went into the constitution of the various committees and reported finally to the main committee as to which committees ought to be debarred from enjoying the exemption.

Shri Mahanty: I was a member of the sub-committee, but I think no principles were followed.

Shri A. K. Sen: If the hon. Member is making a grave confession, he should weigh the consequences before making the confession. I am not prepared to accept the confession, though it may come from an hon. Member of the sub-committee. What I understood as a member of the joint committee was that Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava and some others felt that imply because a Member does not draw anything but the compensatory allowance, it does not mean that he is not put in a position where he might be able to enjoy a superior or more influencial position to that of his conferers in Parliament. Pandit Thakur Das Bhargava and some others thought that apart from the question of actual remuneration being drawn by any Member of Parliament, another principle should be considered, namely, whether even if a Member obtains no pecuniary benefit from his office, he is not in fact given a position where he can utilise his position either for increasing his own personal influence or for distributing patronage for others.

If I remember aright, this was the undertone of the many interventions which came from Pandit Bhargava in the course of the long deliberations of the Joint Committee. He said that the reason why the constitution of the various bodies and committees gone into by the sub-committee and the Joint Committee was for the purpose of enabling the Members to examine the constitution of each and every committee for the purpose of ascertaining whether, apart from the remuneration payable to them, they also are not given some added advantage by way of influence, patronage and so on. Therefore, the sub-committee thought that the offices mentioned in parts one and two of the schedule were the offices which ought to be disbarred from enjoying the exemptions granted in section 3. Government ultimately accepted certain variations in favour of khadi boards. If I remember aright, many of the khadi boards and social boards were disbarred by the sub-committee Appearing for the Government I strongly objected to the members of the Khadi Board being disqualified from becoming Members of Parliainent; so also the members of the Social Welfare Board and the rural health centres. As a result of those things this new Schedule has appear-

So far as the Government is concerned, it has now accepted the Schedule. No doubt, our mind is open. It any case is now made out, or made out later, by the standing committee set up for the purpose of amending

the Schedule, either by addition of some more or deletion of some existing ones from the Schedule, we shall be prepared to consider them on their merits. Up till now there has been only a general criticism that there will be other bodies similar to these which would be enjoying exemptions while those which are enumerated in Parts I and II of the Schedule would not be enjoying the exemptions granted under section 3 and so the Schedule should be rejected. Well, I must say that that argument proceeds from rather loose and careless thinking. because before the hon. Members are prepared to put in amendments to the Schedule itself for the purpose of rectifying what, according to them, are the defects of this Schedule, so that the other committees similar to those now disqualified under the Schedule are brought on par it is no use discussing this matter in general. Unless I am told that "here is a body X or Y which enjoys all the exemptions but which are nevertheless similar to the ones which do not enjoy all the exemptions, because they are put in the Schedule". I am not at all able to answer that criticism.

Shri Shankaraiya (Mysore): May I ask one question? In Part II whereas exemptions have been made with regard to some States for bodies like electricity boards, marketing and warehousing bodies and housing boards, exemptions have not been made in regard to some other States for the same bodies. Why was no uniform policy adopted?

shri A. K. Sen: The Schedule does not give exemption. The Schedule, on the contrary, disbars certain bodies from exemption.

Shri Shankaraiya: In one State being a member of the Electricity Board constituted under a Central Act is a disqualification whereas in another State it is not.

Shri A. K. Sen: If the hon. Member has any electricity board of any 249(Ai) LSD-7.

particular State in view, which charges the same functions and enjoys the same privileges of patronage and influence, as electricity boards which have been disbarred in the Schedule, the hon. Member will be at liberty to put in an amendment in order to extend the same disqualification to those other electricity boards also, and unless he does so. I am not in a position to examine whether they are in fact at par with those on the Schedule itself. And if in future such defects occur, which possibly may not noticed even by the hon. Members, it will be the task of the standing committee to report about them in future and try to remove the defect which is inherent in the system of schedule. But that is a defect curable by amendment of the schedule. So, unless hon. Members give me concrete instances of bodies whose prototype or close are on the schedule and thus disqualified, I am not in a position to answer it. That again is a general criticism. And I shall be very obliged if any concrete body, either statutory or non-statutory, with its functions, privileges and immunities given to me....

Shri Morarka (Jhunjhunu); Does he want it now?

Shri A. K. Sen: Any time; at the time of the amendment. Neither the mind of the Government, nor of the House, is closed on that point. In fact, that is the very reason why I told the Select Committee that I myself felt that if the schedule is adopted, the standing committee becomes a necessary adjunct. And it will be precisely the duty and the function of the standing committee to cure the defects, if any, in equity, fairness or otherwise, to the schedule.

Shri Dasappa: Would it not be in order to generalise bodies like housing boards, State electricity boards, city improvement trusts etc., because they have similar functions.

Shri A. K. Sen: I am not prepared to do generalisation and it was opposed in the original Bill. But, once in the Schedule, you specify certain bodies, each body is distinct in law, set up by a different statute. You cannot say Housing Board; that is extremely vague.

Shri Shankaraiya: So far as the Electricity Boards are concerned, they are constituted under the Electricity Act and they have got a uniform status

Shri A. K. Sen: Without examining the position of the Boards set up in view of certain delegation by the Central Government, I am not in a position to answer. If the hon. Mcmbers are pleased to put in amendments, I can assure them that neither my mind, nor the mind of the Government nor the mind of the House is closed to it. As I said, inequality and want of finality are inherent in the Schedule itself. If any Electricity Boards have been left which should be equally disqualified, I am prepared to consider that. I would like hon, Members to study the bodies in their respective States and put in such amendments as they think are proper, which should be incurring the same disqualification as those mentioned in the Schedule.

I do not want to go into the details of the general criticism levelled against the Schedule apart from what I have already said. The next criticism has been against exempting the office of Vice-Chancellor. I can tell the House now that the Government will agree to Vice-Chancellors not being exempted apart from those who are already exempted, if any amendment is introduced. I expect some amendments to be introduced at the stage of the Second Beading.

Criticism has also been against Home Guards, Territorial Army, and N.C.C. As usual we have heard criticism regarding the bona fides of the Government, malicious desire of the

Government in trying to stuff this House with Home Guards, N.C.C. and Territorial Army people as if simply by removing the disqualification you get the fellow automatically into this House, as if people do not have to elect the man who is otherwise qualified. But before the Joint Committee, in this House before and today now, I would strongly oppose disqualifying any member of the Home Guards, any member of the N.C.C. or any member of the Territorial Army from being a Member of Parliament. These are people rendering useful service for the nation and for the country. To tell them that though they render useful service, they are di qualified from being Members Parliament would be the height Take, for instance, my injustice own State, West Bengal. With a long frontier with East Pakistan, every village has to have Home Guards, in the very nature of the circumstances. We are living away from that area and we do not understand the necessity of having Home Guards in that widely far-flung boundary, covering parts of A-sam and West Bengal, for every village is a threatened village from border attacks. Every village has to have its Home Guards. Are we to tell the Home Guards that because they have joined the Home Guards, defending their own country, they cannot be Members either of the State legislatures or of Parliament? In all States, as far as my information goes. the State Governments have established Home Guards and though they can be members of the State legislatures. they can't be members of Parliament. The same thing with regard to the N.C.Cs. Young boys in the Universities and colleges receive training facilities. Personally speaking, I would welcome the way when every man and every woman would know how to defend the country and know the arts of military training. That is a different matter, but even now we are trying to exfend the same type of training to as many boys in our colleges, schools and universities as

possible. To tell them: join the N.C.C. and learn the art of defending your country, but you can never be Members of the legislature, is some thing which I have strongly opposed and which I shall strongly oppose. I am opposed to have that sort of discrimination against a vital section of the community on which the defence of the community rests.

17 hrs.

Shrimati Mafida Ahmed (Jorhat): What about professors and teachers of the Government-aided schools and colleges?

Mr. Chairman: What I propose is this. Let the hon. Minister first finish his general observations. After that one or two questions may be answered.

Shri A. K. Sen: No. Sir. I shall answer the lady. Government-aided schools are not Government' offices. We are only concerned under article 102 to remove disqualification of Government offices carrying profit. Offices which are not Government offices do not come within the disqualification imposed by article 102.

Mr. Chairman: May I know how much time the hon. Minister will take?

Shri A. K. Sen: I shall finish just now. Or, you may adjourn the House. It may be that some other points have to be replied.

17.02 hrs.

27 NOVEMBER 1958

(The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Eleven of the Clock on Friday the 28th November, 1958).

[Thursday, the 27th Movember, 1958]

QU	L ANSWERS TO LESTIONS	I595—1 6 35		tten answers to Uestions—cont.	•
E.Q. No	Bul igas	COLUMNS	S.Q. No	Subject).	Corusans
261 263	Canal Water Dues Defective Construction of Ships at Hindustan	1 5959 7	287	Sinking of ' Bosta Ricaw' Preighter	≅640
	Shipyard .	15971600	288	Telco Locomotives .	1641
265 266	Rajasthan Canal	160002	289	Water Supply and Drains ge Scheme in Delhi .	1641
200	Call	160205	290	U.S. Development Loan Fund	
267	Transport Department in Himachal Pradesh .	1605-06	***		1641-43
268	Master Plan for Control of Flood in U.P.	1 6 07-08	U.S.G No	♥-	
269	Khosal Committee .	160911	449	M/s Birds & Co	
270	Accident to Night Airmail Skymaster	1611-12	442 443	Holidsy Homes for P. & T. Employees	1642-43
271	Milk Powder and Con- densed Milk Project	1612—14	444	Movement of Poodgrains from Punjab	1 6 43 1643
272	Money Orders	1614—16	445	Ticketless Travelling on	1043
273	Shipping Freight Rates .	1616-18	443	Railways	1643-44
274	Export of Rice from Orissa to Bihar	1818-21	446	Key Village Centres in Himachal Pradesh	1644
275	Sugarcane	162225	447	Train Derailment .	1644-45
276	Storm Warning Radar Unit	162628	448	Multi-purpose Blocks in Orissa	1645
• • •	Delhi Transport .	162830	449	Telephone Connections.	1645-46
278	Dakotas	1630-31	450	Food godown in Orissa .	1646
S.N.Q	•		451	Assistance for Health Schemes in Orissa	16:
No.	TTT. 11				An-
2	Weekly Scheduled Frei ghter Service by Air		452	Agricultural Schemes in Orissa	1647
	India International	1631—35	453	Left luggage	1648
WRIT	TEN ANSWE		454	Bridges on Rivers in	1040
~_	estions	163661	•	Orissa	1648
S.Q. No.			455	Casual Labourers on S.E. Railways	1648-49
262	Integration of Gramdan and Community Pro-			Extra-Departmental Pos- tal Staff	1649
264	jects	16 36	457	Soil Conservation in Bombay	1649-50
•	tack	1636	458	Irrigation Projects .	1650-51
279	Private Manufacturers			Family Planning	1651
	of Rail Coaches and Wagons	1637		Ticket-Checking Staff	1651-52
280	Pertilizers	1637	461	Training in Midwifery and Health Visitors .	1652
281	Development of National	-6-0	462	D.V.C. Water tax	1652
282	Highways Fransport in Delhi .	1638	463	Bahudar River Projects	-
· 🕳 .	Development of Road and	16 38	464	in Orașa	1652-53
	Inland Transport . Cost Structure of Indian	1639		Training of Gardeners in Himachal Pradesh	1653
_	Airlines Corporation .	1639		Prices of Foodgrains .	1653-54
286	Attack on a Train Purchase of Ships from	1639-40	•	Design for Gravity Dams Coal Supply to Raise	1654
	Yugoslavia	1640	40/ (Coal Supply to Rail- ways	1654-55

249(Ai)LSD-8

***	TTEN ANSWERS TO	ı	REPORT OF COMMITTEE	COLUMNS
S.Q. No.	Subject	COLUMNS	ON ABSENCE OF MEM- BERS FROM SITTINGS OF THE HOUSE PRESEN-	٠.
468	Death of Pilot Shri	1655-56	1ED	1667
469	III Class Coaches with Electric Fans	1 656 -57	Tenth Report was presented	
470	Sale of Old Wagons .	1657	REPORT OF JOINT COMMITTEE PRESENTED	1667
471	Grow More Food Cam- paign	1657	The Minister of Home Affairs	
472	Master Plan for Flood Control in Orissa .	1657-58	(Shri G.B. Pant) presented the report of the Joint Com-	
473	Suspension of Railway Employees	1658	mittee on the Delhi Rent Control Bill, 1958.	
474	Training of Health Vi-	1658-59	EVIDENCE ON BILL—LAID ON THE TABLE	1667
475	Loans to Agriculturisis in Andbra	1659-60	The Minister of Home Affairs	
476	Bhadravati Roilway Sta-	160	(Shri G.B. Pant) laid on the Table a copy of the evidence tendered before the Joint	
477	Railway Protection For- ce, Central Railway	1660	Committee on the Delhi Rent Control Bill, 1958.	
478	Telephones	1661	CALLEN AUPENBERON TO	
479	Tuticorin Port	1661	CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE	1667-68
MOTI	on for adjournmen	L 166166	TO MILLOUIS THE COLUMN	2007-011
ser .no Sh 251 dir pa 231 tra	Speaker withheld his con- to the adjournment below in the adjournment below in the adjournment below in Braj Raj Singh on the h November, 1958, regar- ing the murder of a lady ssenger on the night of d November, 1958, in a in proceeding from Hos- irpur.		Shri Ram Krishan called the attention of the Minister of Steel, Mines and Fuel to the composition and functions of the Corporation set up to exploit the mineral resources of India. The Minister of Mines and Oils (Shri K.D. Malaviya) made a statement in regard thereto.	
PAPER	S LAID ON THE TABLE	1666	BILL INTRODUCED	1669
22) 199 um Sec Ve cer Mo the	py of Notification No. MV [25] dated the 2131 May, 88 was laid on the Table der sub-section (3) of the motor hicles Act, 1939, making tain amendments to the the thick of the thick Rules for Vehicles Rules for Excluded Areas, Assam, 42, published in Assam zette.		Further discussion on the Inotion of privilege moved by Shri M.R. Masani on 27-9-58 re: statement by the Chief Minister of Kerala and an amendment thereto by	569 17 56
SAB:	tary reported a message	1666	Dr. K.B. Menon was resurated. One substitute motion was moved by Shri T. C.N. Menon.	
Sal tion reg in Exc Lo	m Rajya Sabha that Rajya bha had no recommenda- as to make to Lok Sabha in urd to the Tea (Alteration Duties of Customs and cise) Bill, 1958, passed by k Sabha on the 18th No- mber 1958.		Shij M.R. Masani replied to the debate. The substitute motion and the amendment in the original motion were negatived. The motion was adopted and the matter was referred to the Committee of Privilege.	

COLUMNS

Corpagn

BILL UNDER CONSTINERA-

. 1755-mg2

Parther discussion on the motion to consider the Parliament (Prevention of Disqualification) Bill, 1957, as reported by faint Committee continued. The discussion was not concluded. AGENDA FOR FRIDAY.. 28TH NOVEMBER, 1958—

Discussion on the mession re:
Investment Policy of Life
Insurance Corporation.
Further discussion on the
motion to consider the Companies (Amendaneat) Bill,
1857 (Amendaneat of section
293) by Shri Mahsary and
consideration of other Private
Member's Bill.