# LOK SABHA DEBATES

(Second Session)



(Vol. V contains Nos. 21-30)

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

Price: Rs. 1.00

### CONTENTS

Oral Answers to Questions—  *Starred Questions Nos. 721 to 724  Short Notice Question No. 18  Written Answers to Questions—  Starred Questions Nos. 725 to 727 and 729 to 750.	7411—40 7440—46
*Starred Questions Nos. 721 to 724  Short Notice Question No. 18  Written Answers to Questions —  Starred Questions Nos. 725 to 727 and 729 to 750	37.1
Short Notice Question No. 18  Written Answers to Questions — Starred Questions Nos. 725 to 727 and 729 to 750	37.1
Written Answers to Questions — Starred Questions Nos. 725 to 727 and 729 to 750	7440-40
Starred Questions Nos. 725 to 727 and 729 to 750	
	deta Stotelas
Unstanted Quarties Nos arms against after after after after	7446— <b>66</b>
Unstarred Questions Nos. 3574, 3577 to 3621, 3623 to 3644, 3646	Water Too No.
to 3656 and 3658 to 3659	466—7525
Re. Calling Attention Notice (Query)	7525-27
Calling Attention to Matter of Urgent Public Importance—	15-5 -1
Reported hunger strike by certain employees of Food Corpora-	
tion of India.	7527—41
Message from Rajya Sabha	AT THE STATE DISCUSSION
Estimates Committee—	75 <b>41</b>
First and Second Reports	7541
Bills Introduced—	
1. Salaries and Allowances of Ministers (Amedment) Bill .	7542
2. Tea Districts Emigrant Labour (Repeal) Bill	7542 43
Companies Tribunal (Abolition) Bill	7543—56
Motion to Consider, as passed by Rajya Sabha	7543-56
Shri F. A. Ahmed	7543-54
Clasues 2 to 4 and 1.	7554 <del>5</del> 6
Motion to Pass	7556
Demands for Grants, 1967-68.	556-7602
Ministry of Defence	75567602
Shri N. Dandeker	7558-71
Shri Amrit Nahata	7571-78
Shri Ranjit Singh	7578-93
Shri D. C. Sharma	7601-02
Motion Re. Statement on Emergency—	7602
Shri Hem Barua	7604-13
Shri Ranga	7615-21
Shri D. N. Tiwary	7621-25
Shri A. B. Vajpayee	7625-31
Shri Sezhiyan.	7631-37
Shri Krishna Kumar Chatterjee	7637-40
Shri S.A. Dange	7640-47
Shri George Fernandes	7648-55
Shri A. K. Gopalan	7657-62
Shri M. Y. Saleem	7653-68
Shri Frank Anthony	7668-73
Shri Humayun Kabir	7673-77
Shri Prakash Vir Shastri	7677-79
Shri Y. B. Chavan	7080-67

was actually asked on the floor of the House by that Member.

965 (Ai) LSD-1.

#### LOK SABHA

Monday, June 28, 1967/Asadha 5, 1889 (Saka)

The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock.

[Mr. Deputy-Speaker in the Chair] ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

#### Centre-States Relations

\*721. Shri Prakash Vir Shasiri:
Shri Bibhuti Mishra:
Shri K. N. Tiwary:
Shri Hukam Chand Kachwai:
Shri Raghuvir Singh Shasiri:
Shri Shiv Kumar Shasiri:
Shri Mahant Digvijai Nath:
Shri Y. S. Kushwah:
Dr. Surya Prakash Puri:
Shri Ram Aviar Sharma:

Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

- (a) whether differences between the Central Government and some State Governments are increasing;
- (b) if so, the main issues on which they differ; and
- (c) the steps so far taken to resolve these differences and the result thereof?

The Deputy Minister (Dr. Sarejini Mahishi): (a) to (c). In a country of the size of India, functioning within the framework of a federal Constitution such as ours, occasional differences between the Centre and States are unavoidable. They have existed in the past. With the coming into power, after the last Elections, of State Governments representing different political complexions, these differences naturally tend to acquire a new dimension.

7412

They relate to obvious questions like financial, food, Plan and other allocations to the States. Occasionally other issues such as the handling of gherao activities in West Bengal or the use of AIR facilities also arise.

As in the past, attempts are made to resolve all such questions either by correspondence, personal discussion or conferences of Chief Ministers.

भी प्रकाशनीर सास्त्री : केन्द्र धीर राज्यों के बीच पारस्परिक मतभेद बढ़ते चले जा रहे हैं और जैसा धभी उप मंत्री जी के वस्तव्य से प्रकट हुआ कि चुनावों के पश्चात् उनमें धीर वृद्धि हुई है, किसी प्रकार की कोई कभी नहीं हुई है, मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि इस प्रकार के मतभेद विशेष रूप से किन किन राज्यों से प्रधिक हैं धीर जहां वे मत-भेद हैं वहां क्या खादालों धीर दूसरी समस्याओं के श्रतिरिक्त कुछ ऐसे भी प्रक्लों पर मतभेद है जिससे भारत सुरक्षा सम्बन्धी समस्या भी धा जाती है ?

प्रवान मंत्री तथा अनु अक्ति मंत्री (श्रीमती इंबिरा गांकी) : यह पूरी तरह से सच तो नहीं है लेकिन कुछ हद तक यह अरूर तथ है जैसे हमने खुद कहा कि घेराब इत्यांबि के सम्बन्ध में कुछ मतभेद उठे हैं। लेकिन जैसा कि उत्तर में बतलाया गया है ऐसे मतभेद तो बीई बहुत हमेशा ही रहे हैं।

भी प्रकाशनीर शास्त्री : यन वहे हैं ?

कीमती इंदिरा नांची: बड़े तो चैसे नहीं कह सकते हैं नेकिन कुछ वार्ते खकर नई उठी हैं। इस समय कोई विशेष ऐसे मतभेद तो नहीं हैं जिन के बारे में बातचीत न हो सकती हो भीर कुछ समझीता न हो सकता हो ।

बी प्रकाशनीर शास्त्री: यह जो मतभेव पुनानों के बाद कुछ राज्यों के साथ केन्द्र के श्राधक बढ़ गए हैं क्या इस में कुछ संवैधानिक कठिनाइयां भी इस प्रकार की उलझ गई हैं जिस के ऊपर केन्द्रीय सरकार किर से दिवार कर रही हैं ताकि किसी प्रकार के कुछ संशोधन या परिवर्तन किये जा सकें? दूसरे नक्सलवाड़ी के लिए जो संसद सदस्यों का एक शिष्टमंडल जाने वाला था उमके ऊपर पश्चिमी बंगाल के मुख्य मंत्री ने प्रधान मंत्री को क्या कोई पत्र लिखा था ग्रीर क्या यह सच नहीं है कि गृह मंत्री के गदन में शास्त्रासन देने के बावजूद भी वह यात्रा धव स्थिगत कर दी गई है?

बीमती इंबिरा गांधी : कोई ऐसी बात तों नहीं है जिससे संविधान रास्ते में भाता हो । जहां तक नक्सलवाड़ी का सम्बन्ध है बंगाल की सरकार ने लिखा है कि वहां की स्थित कुछ मुधर रही है भीर इसलिए उन्होंने यह भाग्रह किया है कि उनको मौका दिया जार कि यह काम बे बुद देख सकें

श्री सकाशसीर शास्त्रीः मेरा प्रम्न यह श्रा कि पश्चिमी संगाल के मुख्य मंत्री ने क्या प्रश्नानमंत्री की इस सम्बन्ध में कोई पत्न भेजा है कि संसद सदस्यों का शिष्ट गंडल यहां न जाए यदि हां तो प्रधान मंत्री की इस पर क्या सतिकिया है ? क्या राज्य सरकारें पालियामट के अधिकारों को या संसद के अधिकारों को इस प्रकार से कम भी कर सकती है ?

जीवती इंदिरा गांधी : मुक्य मंत्री का पक्ष मुझे मिला है । सवाल यह है कि नक्यल-बाड़ी की माजुक स्थिति है। जिस बक्त यह निश्च यहुंचा चा कि बहां कुछ संसद सदस्य

\*\* \*\* \*\* .

आर्थें उस समय उनके ब्रपने मिनिस्टर बहां पर थे भीर मुख्य मंत्री ने हमको लिखा कि ये भ्रपना काम पूरा कर नें तो शायद भ्रचनें नतीजे निकलें।

भी प्रकाशवीर शास्त्री: सब जाने की सनुर्मात है ? सब भेज रहे हैं ?

बी क० ना० तिबारी: स्टेटमेंट में कहा गया है कि घेराव भीर फूड जैसे मामलों को ले कर डिफोंसस हैं। चीफ मिनिस्टर भीर वहां के होम मिनिस्टर जब भाए थे तो उनकी प्रधान मंत्री जी से बातें हुई थीं। घेराव एक ऐसा मामला है जिसके उत्पर सारे देश का दिमाग लगा हुआ है। मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या इमके सम्बन्ध में भी वात हुई थीं क्या इस बारे में भाग सब एक मत य। सेंटर की जो राय है उसको क्या उन्होंने माना है या वे भिन्न विचार रखते हैं?

बीमती इंबिरा गांधी: इन दोनों (वययों पर बातचीत हुई है। पश्चिमी बंगाल के मुख्य मंत्री का कहना है कि घेराव की स्थित पहले से सुधरी है। हमारे पास जो खबर माई थी भीर हमने जो विशेष उदाहरण दिये और सुझाव रखे, उनके बारे में मुख्य मंत्री ने कहा कि बे उत्तपर ध्यान देंगें।

जहां तक धनाज का प्रश्न है खाद्य संती जी कई दफे बता चुके हैं कि बंग। स की मांग क्या है धीर यह भी कि हम पूरी कोशिक करेंगें कि जितना धनाज भेजा जा सके, हम उनको भेजें।

बी रबुवें.र सिंह शास्त्री : बंगाल में घेराव से उत्पन्न स्थिति की बंसव में बारबार चर्चा हुई है। मालूम ऐसा पड़ता है कि केन्द्रीय सरकार उस मामले में कुछ घपने भाप को विवश भनुषय करती है। वहां के उद्योगों पर भी इसका प्रमाब पड़ा है। मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि इस स्थिति में केन्द्रीय सरकार की स्पष्ट नीति क्या है ? क्या बहु बंगाल की सरकार के साथ सहमती के कोई प्रभावशाली कार्य इस विषय में कर सकेगी ?

7415

बीमती इंदिरा गांधी : जाहिर है कि हम उन से हर बक्त चर्च कर रहे हैं भीर हमारी कोशिक यह है कि घेराव बन्द हों भीर जड़ां भी जनता के संगया किसी के भी संग भन्याय हो रहा है वह दूर हो, दीनों की तरफ से। धगर तो वहां के मजदूरों के खिलाफ कुछ हुआ है भीर उनको अगर जायज मांगें है तो उनको भी देखना है भीर जो घेराव नाजायज्ञ तरीक से हुए हैं उनको भी रोकना है।

भी रामावतार शर्मा : प्रधान मंत्री महोदय ने बताया कि मतभेद हैं।

मैं जानना चाहता हं कि ये मतभेद क्या केवल वहीं है जहां पर गैर कांग्रेसी सरकारे हैं या वहां पर भी हैं जहां कांग्रेंसी सकारें हैं ? क्या जहां कांग्रेंसी सरकारें हैं उन से भी मत-भेद चल रहे हैं ?

वानतः प्रविदा गोत्रोः पहले बताया जा चुका है कि यु: मनभेद हमेशा से रहे हैं और जो हमारा फेंड्स स्ट्रक्षचर है, उसमें हमेशा रहेंगे भी ।

Shri Krishna Kumar Chatterii: Will the hon. Prime Minister agree with me that the Central Government, in its eagerness to take a more helpful attitude towards the non-Congress Governments, is depriving some of the State Governments run by the Congress in the matter of food and monetary help?

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: No. Sir.

Shri Hem Barua: May I know whether it is a fact that recently the Governor of West Bengal summoned meeting of the District Police Superintendent and the District Magistrate at Raj Bhavan in Calcutta bypassing the State Government, to which the Deputy Chief Minister of West Bengal has taken strong objection, and may I know whether the Government has instructed the Governor of West Bengal to bypass the State Government and, if so, what is the peculiar bandobast for West Bengal?

Oral Answers

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: No such instructions have been sent.

भी प्रदेत बिहारी बाजपेथी : राज्यों की जिम्मेदारियां ज्यादा है और उनकी माम-दनी के साधन कमा है। कमा कुछ राज्यों ने सुझाव दिया है कि बाल इंडिया टैक्स काउंसिल बनाई जाए जो केन्द्र भीर राज्यों के बीच में मामदनी के स्रोतों का ठीक तरह से बंटवारा करे ? क्या यह भी सुझाव दिया गया है कि फाइनेंस कमिशन जो पांच साल के बाद नियुक्त होता है वह स्थायी सौरपर नियुक्त किया जाए जिससे राज्यों को केन्द्र की बाम-दनी में से प्रधिक हिस्सा मिल सके? क्या मैं जान सकता हं कि केन्द्रीय सरकार ने इस बारे में विचार किया है, यदि हां तो उसकी क्या प्रतिकिया है ?

The Deputy Prime Minister and Minister of Finance (Shri Morarii Desai): May I say that all these questions are being raised but there has been no particular consideration on them because Government does not feel that these things can be done conveniently or that the Constitution can be changed like that. But what is required is to adjust, and if it can be adjusted, we are trying to do.

Shri Sradhakar Supakar: There are some State Governments which do not believe in the system of planning as envisaged by our Planning Commission. May I know whether in the case of those States the Centre has tried to have some understanding and modify their own planning or tried to convince those State Governments to see that they accept our system of planning?

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: All the States have accepted Planning.

थी मनु लिनये : स्था उपप्रवान यंत्री वी का ध्वान ग्रान्ध्र के मुख्य मंत्री के क्यान की घोर गया है तथा उसी तरह के केरल के मुख्य मंत्री के बयान की घोर गया है, जिस मुनर्तमान विसीय सहायता का जो इन्तजाम है उसकी जगह पर एक ने नये कानून बनाये अवाने का सुसाब दिया है? अवा सरकार के पास ये सुसाब पहुंचे हैं, यदि अपहुंचे हैं तो क्या सरकार ने उन पर विचार किया है तथा है तथा है तथा क्या क्या निर्मा की स्था कर किया है तथा क्या क्या निर्मा की तथा क्या निर्मा की तथा क्या निर्मा की तथा क्या निर्मा की तथा की तथा क्या निर्मा की तथा की

Shri Morarji Desai: This is not the first time that this question has been raised; this question is being raised for the last few years and the question is that the Constitution may be changed regarding the allocation of revenues between the States and the Centre. This has been considered, and it has been found that no particular advantage will be accruing to any State or the Centre if there is any change. This was done after much deliberation, but in order to see that difficulties may not arise or the States may get more allocation than what they are entitled to according to revenues, the system of Finance Commission has been provided in the Constitution which has been working and every five years they take into account the condition of the States' revenues and the Central revenues and allocate certain amounts from various taxes to different States. In addition to normal allocations all the resources are taken into account when the Plan is made, and equitable allotments are made to different States, having regard to the needs of different States. This, I think, is a far more satisfactory arrangement than making blanket allocations of resources to different States. That is not going to increase the revenues of either the State or the Centre.

श्री हा॰ ना॰ तिवारी: क्या सरकार ने गैर कांग्रेसी सरकारों में ऐसी मनोवृत्ति का बाजास पाया है कि ने घपनी असफलताओं को छिपाने के लिये सेन्द्रल मवर्गमेन्ट की बबनाम-कर ज्यादा कन्सेकन केना चाहते हैं ?

. . . . .

and the second of the second of the

Shri Morari Desal: I do not think that it will be right to give this kind of motivation to States. They can give the same motivation to the Centre.

Shri Jyotirmoy Basu: The impression in West Bengal is that the Home Minister at the Centre by his actions and speeches has tried to belittle the West Bengal Government thereby giving the feeling that the Centre-State relations have been affected slightly. Will the hon. Prime Minister tell us whether she is going to issue some sort of a directive to her Cabinet colleagues not to behave in that manner in future?

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: No Cabinet Minister has behaved wrongly or improperly.

Some hon, Members rose-

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: We have already taken 15 minutes on this question. The Centre-State relations cover a very wide area. I will try to accommodate a few more supplementaries; more than that, I cannot allow.

Mr. Sheo Narain.

भी सिय नारायण : उपाध्यक्ष महोवय,
मैं सरकार से यह जानना चाहता हूं कि नक्सल
बाड़ी में 10 हरिजन स्थियों के सिर काटकर
फेंक विये गये, न बंगाल की होय मिनिस्ट्री
धौर न सेन्ट्रल की होय मिनिस्ट्री इसमें कुछ
कर पाई है। मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि यह
सेन्ट्रल गवर्नमेंट बहां पर क्या करना चाहती
है, वहां हुकूमत उनके हाथ में नहीं है, घाउट
धाफ़ कन्ट्रोल है, इस मावलय को हल करने
के लिये सरकार क्या उपाय कर रही है?

्यीलती इंविरा गाँवी : इसवारे में जांच हो रही है।

Shri V. Krishusmurti: This question is very important. We can devote 20 more minutes for this.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I have already given more than 15 minutes for this question. As I have already said,

. . . . . . . .

permit.

Chief Minister of West Bengal, I sent it on to the Speaker.

Shri V. Krishnamuzti: We can devote another 15 minutes to this question.

Centre-State relations cover a very wide field, and questions from diffe-

rent angles have already been put. I

shall permit a few more supplemen-

tary questions. Beyond that I cannot

भी भंबर साल बुप्त : क्या प्रश्चान मंती महोदया को मालूम है कि कुछ प्रान्तों में सा-एण्ड प्रार्डर की सिचएशन खराब हो रही है बेराब के कारण से, कुछ जगहीं पर रेलवें से प्रनाज लूटा जा रहा है, कुछ जगहों पर लेकर दक्त है इसका कारण यह बताया जाता है कि वहां की जो सरकारें हैं, वे इस मामले में पूरी तरह से को घापरेट नहीं कर रही है। राज्य सरकारों का वर्शन इस बारे में दूसरा है-इस लिये सही बीज क्या है, यह मालूम करने के लिये सरकार ने क्या कदम उठाये हैं ?

दूसरे, जी डेलीगेशन पालियामेन्ट का जाने वाला था, वह विलकुल बत्म कर विया गया है या जाने पर श्रभी विचार हो रहा हैं ?

बी घटल विहारी बाधपेयी : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है । पालिया-मेन्द्री डेलीगेशन जाये, न जाये, इसका जवाब प्रधान मंत्री कैसे दे सकती है। यह पार्किया-मेंट का घपना सवास है, इसको स्पीकर के साथ तय करना होगा । प्रधान मंत्री के कहने बाह्य से डेलीगेशन के जाने या न जाने का सम्बन्ध नहीं है।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: It was not a resolution of the House. It was a suggestion accepted by the Home Minister. Unless there is a resolution accepted by the House, the Speaker does not come into the field. This is my view, so far as this point is concerned.

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: I should like to say that it is not Government who have taken a decision on this. When I received the letter from the

Shri Kanwar Lai Gupta: What is the reply to my question?

मैंने यह कहा है कि वहां पर जो सा-एण्ड-सार्ड र सिचएतन चराब हो रही है, उसके बारे में धर्मग धर्मग वर्षन्ब हैं, उस को समझने के लिये सरकार क्या कदम उठा रही है तथा क्या वह डेंसीगेशन जायेगा या वह खत्म फर दिया शया है।

बीबती इम्बरा गांबी : डेनीगेशन के बारे में घभी कहा गया है, कि इसका भेजना हमारे हाथ में नहीं है।

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: On a point of order. We have just heard Shri A. B. Vajpayee raise a point of order against a question by a Member of his own party. You have decided on the point of order already. So, why is the same question being put again?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: He is asking for information regarding other matters.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: He has also mentioned about the parliamentary delegation.

Shri Virendrakumar Shah: The hon. Prime Minister could reply at least to the first part of Shri Kanwar Lal Gupta's question.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Yes, one part of his question still remains to be answered.

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: Government are constantly in touch with the situation. We are also in touch with the State Government. As far as the railways are concerned, the State Government asked for special help and that help has been sent.

Shri V. Krishnamurti: Is it not a fact that after the general elections in order to embarrass the non-Congress Governments in the various States, the Central Government has cut the financial allocations for the projects in the States? various

Especially, in Madres State, the Tuticorin project has been cut out. Is it not a fact that the Centre has taken such a decision in order to embarrass the Madras Government?

Shri Morarii Desai: This is a wrong allegation, based perhaps on want of knowledge. Schemes have been down in of all States, and perhaps more in the Congress States than in non-Congress States.

भी श्रशिरंजन : उपाध्यक्ष जी, ग्राज बहुत से प्रदेशों में स्थिति बड़ी असामान्य है, कास कर पश्चिमी बंगाल में बहुत असामान्य है। कुछ दिन हए हमने नक्सलवाडी में देखा कि क्या हुआ, फिर हम ने बर्दवान में देखा कि वहां पह मजदरों के बोच में स्विति बराब हुई, सबी कल परलों हम ने देखा कि पालियामन्द्र के एक मेम्बर दक्षी बरी तरह से चायन हुए । ऐसी परिस्थित में जबकि हमारे संविधान में यह व्यवस्था है भीर मंविधान के बार्टिकिस 256 के मातहत यनियन यबने मेंट उसमें हस्तकंप कर सकती है तो में प्रधान मंत्री से यह जानना चाहता हं कि इस धसायान्य स्थिति में जर्बाक नक्सलबाडी में कोई सरकार नहीं है भीर जबकि पश्चिमी बंगाल में किसी की जान व माल की सुरक्षा की व्यवस्था नहीं है तो कौन बाधा है कि वहा र्णनवन सरकार घपना हस्तक्षेप नहीं कर सकती . . (श्यवचान)

Shri Jyotirmoy Basu: Are you allowing this question? (Interruptions).

Shri Shashi Ranjan: Please keep quiet. I am in possession of the House. (Interruptions).

Shri Jyotirmoy Resu: Actually the M. P. conducted a hamle on the young boys there . . . (Interruptions).

Shri S. M. Banerjee: On a point of

Shri Shashi Ranjan: Under what rule?

Shri S. M. Banerjee; Rule 376. I have been listening to the various questions put by the Congress benches. A ruling has been given in this House, and we have developed a convention also, that this House should not be utilised for damning a State Government. My hon, friend, Shri Shashi Ranian, for whom I have great regard, has tried to invoke a particular article of the Constitution and now demands Central intervention which is a matter of policy. I want to know whether we shall be permitted in future to utilise this House or this forum for damning a newly-elected non-Congress popular government of the people. (Interruptions).

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: He referred only to the Naxalbari situation.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: No. no. to the whole of Bengal.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: He referred to Bengal in connection with that situa-

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Let Members go to Calcutta and other places and see things for themselves. They can move freely. As Shri Jyoti Basu, the West Bengal Minister, has said, this agitation against the State Government is political in character. They do not want to allow the government to function there. This is very clear. Their next taget is UP.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: On a point of order.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Has the point of order of Shri Banerjee been disposed of?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I have already said that he had no intention to cast any aspersion on the West Bengal Government. He was more particularly interested in knowing about the Naxalbari situation with which we are all concerned. That is all. Hence I have disallowed the point of order.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: If you look at the question, it is one of the accepted principles reserding purting questions that the supplementaries should be relevant to the subjectmatter of the question.

Shri Shashi Ranjan: Centre-State relationship.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: I am addressing the Chair, not Shri Shashi Ranjan:

Shri Jyotirmoy Basu: Nor Shri Sheo Narain.

Shri Shashi Ranjan: I am helping the Chair.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: Here the subject is Centre-State relations and in respect of that, the main question purports to ask whether there have any differences, and the Government have denied that there have been any differences. So how does this question about the Naxalbarl situation which relates to law and order or the Asansol situation and become in order?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I perfectly agree that the main question relates to certain differences, if they have arisen, and Government have clarified the position. But because a certain situation had arisen there and we had discussed it, he incidentally referred to it. I would request the hon. Member not to refer to any other matter, particularly to Bengal Government with a view to criticise it.

Some hon. Members: Why?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Because the scope of the question is limited.

Shrimati Lakahmikanthamma: We read in the papers that Peking has been taking a lot of interest in the Naxalbari situation. Why do you say it should not be referred to? (Interruptions).

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Unless you permit me, I cannot proceed. I am accommodating as many shades of opinion in as many States as possible because the question covers a wide ground, but if you create a situation. (Intervention).

नी मन् जिनमे : यह पालियामेंट है वा कुछ राज्य सरकारों को लेकर सगड़ा करने का महा बन गया है ? कुछ सोग राज्य सरकारों के विरोधी और कुछ राज्य सरकारों के समर्थक है भीर उनका यह महा बन रहा है, यह हिन्दु-स्तान की पालियामट है या क्या है यह मैं जानना चाहता हूं ? मैं यह दोनों दूष्टिकोण पसन्द नहीं करता । यह हिन्दुस्तान की पालियामेंट है । क्या मतलब है इसका ?

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: You have to regulate the business of the House. You are within your rights to disallow any supplementary which is irrelevant, but can you put a ban saying that no questioner should raise a question about the West Bengal Government? Can there be a ban? You said; you can put a supplementary, but you cannot refer to the West Bengal Government. That is what you said.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Objection was raised that in this House opportunity is being taken just in a slanting manner to cast some aspersion on certain actions of a S.ate Government. I think this should not be permitted in the present circumstances.

Shri D. N. Tiwary: I want one clarification from you.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Mr. Tiwary, please resume your seat. He has raised a very good question, I want it to be replied to.

Shri D. N. Tiwary: In the Third Lok Sabha hundreds of questions were put of this nature, and the State Governments were damned, the Chief Ministers were damned, and now a simple question like this is being objected to. What is this? Two standards.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: As Mr. Limaye has observed, we must also take one thing into consideration. It covers a very wide field, and in the new context of the situation, the State-Centre relation has become a matter on some issues where controversies might

arise. In such a situation, we ought to be very caution while putting questions.

Shri D. N. Tiwary: When it comes to Congress, they are not cautious; When it comes to non-Congress, they are cautious.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The scope of the question is very limited. Let him

Shri Shashi Ranjan: I was asking this question. Article 256 exclusively deals with the relationship between the States and the Union, and I wanted to know from the Prime Minister what fetters her hand from applying article 256 in such a situation in West Bengal when consecutively incidents are happening and people's life and property are almost at stake.... (Interruptions).

Deputy-Speaker: You referring to a section which is a preliminary for emergency powers. I know that section. So, at this juncture, when we are considering inter-State relationship, please do not refer

Shrimati Indira Gandhi: I would merely say that nothing fetters our hands. If the need is felt, if such a situation arises, then the matter can be considered.

जी जगजान राव जोशी : सनाव मौर सगर्डे पैदा होना यह कोई नयी बात नहीं है । केन्द्र और राज्यों के बीच में कांग्रेसी मंत्रिमंडल होते हुए भी तनाव भीर सगडें पैदा हुए हैं। माज जब कि गैर-कांग्रेसी सरकारें कई प्रान्तों में हैं को भी भगड़ें पैदा होंगे। इसलिये में बानना चाहुंगा कि केन्द्र भीर प्रान्तों में कोई भी क्षत्रहा या तनाम की स्थिति पैदा होगी तो उसकी हल करने के लिये एक स्थायी धायोग निवृक्त करने का विचार सरकार कर रही है क्या ?

बीबती इंदिरा बांबी : शभी तो उसकी कोई बायव्यकता है नहीं । जैशा मैंने पहले भी फहा है, सायब माननीय सबस्य यहां में नहीं क्रि ऐसा कुछ भी बत्तभेद उठता है वी बायस की बात करके उसका इस हो बाता है।

Several hon, Members rose-

Deputy-Speaker: We Mr. have exhausted half an hour. I have permitted all Members to put questions.

Shri Vasudevan Nair: All kinds of questions are allowed to be asked from that side. On this side, we are not allowed.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: You see the record and then make a statement. It is not fair. Next question.

Several hon, Members rose-

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I have passed on to the next question.

बी भोगेना हा : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, हम लोंगो को धादेश दीजिये कि हम सदन से जायें। धाप ने हमारी पार्टी की किसी को मौका नहीं दिया । एक पार्टी के छः छः को मौका दिया । तो साथ कह दीजिये कि हम सीग पर्हे जायं। . . (व्यवचान) . . .

चलचित्र उद्योग का राष्ट्रीयकरण

\*722. बी सिटेश्वर प्रसाद : भी मोe प्र**ाया**ः

न्या सचना और प्रतारम मंत्री यह बताने की क्या करेंगें कि:

- (क) क्या सरकार ने चलचित्र उद्योग के राष्ट्रीयकरण के प्रका पर विचार किया है: धीर
- (च) यदि हां, तो इस सम्बन्ध में क्या निर्णय किया गया है ?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting (Shrimati Nandini Satpathy): (a) and (b). The question of nationalisation of the film industry has been considered in all its repects on several occasions in the past and Government

have come to the conclusion that it would neither be advisable nor practicable to nationalise the film industry.

बी तिबेक्टर प्रताद ३ में यह जानता चाहता हूं कि फिल्म एन्व्यायरी कमेटी जो बनी बी उस ने इस सवास पर विचार किया था उस के बाद से सरकार ने इस पर विचार किया है या नहीं और किन कारणों से सरकार इस का नेशनलाइजेशन नहीं करना चाहती है ?

Shrimati Nandini Satpathy: It has been considered by the Government. This Committee has suggested against the nationalisation of the film industry. But it has suggested certain restrictions on the film industry which have already been done by the Cinematograph Act, 1952.

भी सिक्टेक्चर प्रसाद : 1951 में जो कमेटी बनी थी उस ने रेकमेंड किया या:

"We therefore recommend that legislative action should be taken immediately to declare the control of production of films by the Union expedient in the public interest and thereby entrust the full responsibility for the production side of the industry to the Central Government."

में यह जीनना चाहता हूं कि इस के बाद जो ऐस्ट बना उस से स्था सरकार सन्तुष्ट है और सरकार ने स्था इस बात पर विचार किया है कि कमीशन का जो रेक्मेंडेशन चा उस के जो उद्देश्य चें उस की पूर्ति हो रही है? प्रगर नहीं हो रही है तो सरकार इस संबंध में स्था करने जा रही है ?

The Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation (Shri Hathi): May I reply? The portion of the report which the hon, Member read does not say that the industry should be nationalised. On the contrary, if he reads the very beginning of the observations in the paragraph from which he read, they say:

"Some witnesses, less out of conviction but more of despair have suggested nationalisation of the industry as the panacea for all ills. Apart from the fashionable philosophy of nationalisation, the justification for this suggestion has been ascertained to lie in the disorganised condition of the industry....etc. We regret that we are unable to subscribe to this theory."

This is what the Committee have said. But so far as the other measures which are necessary are concerned, the Government is looking into them and if necessary we shall amend the Act.

की थीं शि स्वाची : मैं यह जानना जाहता हूं कि क्या सरकार को यह पता है कि फिल्मों का देश के नवयुवकों के चरित पर बहुत बड़ा प्रभाव पड़ता है धीर वर्तमान समय में जो फिल्मों बन रही हैं उन से हुमारे देश के नवयुवक चरित्रहीनता की धीर बढ़ते चले जा रहे हैं ? ऐसे सबसर पर क्या सरकार का इस फिल्म इंडस्ट्री पर प्रगर नेशनलाइजेशन करने का विचार नहीं है तो कोई ऐसा नियंत्रण करने का विचार है कि जो प्रभावशाली हो धीर दन फिल्मों के द्वारा हुमारे देश के बच्चों के चरित का बिनाश न हो सके, ऐसा क्या सरकार विचार कर रही है ?

Shrimati Nandini Satpathy: The Film Censor Board passes the films. They pass the films after certain restrictions. To those films which can be shown only to adults, they give the "A" certificate, and to other films which can be shown to others, that is, in general, they give the "U" certificate; that is the universal certificate. So, there is some control over the films, and the Government tries to

that all sorts of films which are harmful to the morals of the youngsters are not allowed, and the films are checked.

7429

Shrimati Lakshmikanthamma: Most of the films of recent days are a waste of the celluloid. Either we see the boy-meets-girl or the girl-meets-the-boy and there it is arranged. (Interruption). I would like to know what steps the Government propose to take to encourage such films as would infuse patriotism, a spirit of sacrifice into the minds of the youngsters so as to build up the nation, and whether some kind of funds to such of these films as are produced with this spirit in view, will be given by the Government.

Shrimati Nandini Satpathy: Government always encourages the films which are helpful to the growth of morals, such films which are related now by the hon. Member. These films are sometimes given assistance from the Film Finance Corporation which has been constituted by the Government.

Shri Piloo Mody: I would like to know what makes the Government think that it would be capable of protecting the morals of people by nationalising the industry rather than by leaving it in its present form, and has the Government demonstrated in the past either some artistic, creative ability or any great moral standards?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: That has already been replied to.

Shri S. Kundu: I would like to know why the hon. Minister does not consider that the best way of checking the morals and infusing a spirit of nationalism by using the films to build up our nation and put them to the work of national reconstruction is through nationalisation; and secondly, there was a discussion whether kissing should be allowed in the films. I would like to know what decision the Government has taken about kissing in films. (Interruption).

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Please put your question.

and the same of th

Shri S. Kunda: What I say is, this sort of cheap crazy, sexy films which are being sold around India is bad. Virtually, this kissing is not direct kissing that takes place. Only apparently it takes place since it is bansied. I would like to know what the Minister has got to say on this.

Shrimati Nandini Satpathy: Film is an art and Government think that regimentation of art will rather hamper its growth and not help its growth. So, we consider that the film industry should not be nationalised. About the second part of the question, we have some proposal to have a seminar on certain aspects of films. About the Seminar on kissing, there was some discussion in the papers, but there was no particular proposal like that.

Shri S. R. Damani: At present, the censor board censors films after they are produced. In view of the present low standard of films, may I know whether Government will consider scrutinising and approving the story before the film is produced? Secondly, what progress has been made by the Film Finance Corporation in this direction?

Shrimati Nandini Satpathy: The film industry is in the hands of private people. So, it is not possible for the Government to scrutinise the story before it is made into a film. But regarding films for which the Film Finance Corporation gives loans, their stories go to the Film Finance Corporation and they scrutinise the script before giving loans.

Shri M. R. Krishna; The cost of Indian films is exorbitantly high since huge sums of money are paid to the main actors under the table. Also, the foreign exchange earned through the films distributed to various countries not come to our exchequer. May I know whether the Film Finance Corporation is going to be actively associated in the production of Indian films in order to put an end to all these anomalies?

Shrimati Nandini Saipathy: It is a suggestion for action. (Interruptions).

#### Definition of 'Pakistan' in Oxford Concise Dictionary

#### \*723. Shri A. B. Vajpayee: Shri Bal Raj Madhok:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether in the latest edition of Oxford Concise English Dictionary, Pakistan has been described as a country which includes Kashmir;
- (b) whether this definition of Pakistan' is at variance with the definition given in the earlier edition of the same Dictionary;
- (c) whether it is also a fact that it has been done under pressure from the Pakistan Government; and
- (d) if so, the steps proposed to be taken to get this factual mistake corrected in the said Dictionary?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) The reference to Kashmir is in the etymology of the word 'Pakistan', in brackets, and not part of the definition of its meaning.

- (b) The earlier edition contained the same etymology of the word 'Pakistan' but different definition.
- (e) Government have no information.
- (d) Our High Commission in London has had correspondence with the publishers who point out that there is a difference between definition and etymology and that the inclusion of Kashmir in the etymology implies no suggestion about the legal, constitutional or political status of Kashmir.

बी सदल बिहारी बाजपेबी: मंगे महोदय ने कहा कि हमारे हाई कमीधन की स्रोर से एक पत्र लिखा गया था। स्या उस पत्र का उत्तर भी प्राप्त हुआ है ? यदि हो, सी स्थम का स्वांक्य क्या है ? Shri Swaran Singh: Our High Commission did wrote—did write (laughter) I am sorry my English is bad, but English is not our mother tongue and bad English should not be an occasion for laughter.

भी सभु सिक्षये: मैं उन में हिन्द्स्तान। में बालने की प्रार्थना करता हूं।

Shri Swaran Singh; Our High Commission wrote to the publishers and the publishers took their stand on the difference between definition and etymology.

भी सभु सिमये : ५वा मत्री भहादय मेरी प्रार्थनी पर तिचार नहीं करेंगे ?

Shri Swaran Singh: They stated as follows:

"The etymology given is correct and Kashmir did in fact contribut the 'K' to the word Pakistan."

This information has already been conveyed to the Lok Sabha.

भी घटल विहारी बाजपेवी: जो सफाई दें गई है स्था मंत्री सहोदय उस से सम्बुट्ट हैं यदि सन्तुष्ट रही है तो दश इन बारे म कोई भीर कार्रवाई की गई है?

Shri Swaran Singh: I have looked up the original dictionary. It is rather strange that they should have, while giving the etymology of 'Pakistan', adopted this rather queer system where they say that letter 'P' is from Punjab......

Shri Hem Barua: Sir, I rise to a point of order. If 'P' is from Punjab, what about 'A', is it from 'Assam'?

Shri Swaran Singh: What they have given in the dictionary is like this: that 'P' is from Punjab, 'A' from Afghanistan Frontier....

स्वी समृ सिक्षये : यह कहा से अधि हैं स्वी स्थल सिंह : मैं कहां लागा हूं हैं

भी नषु लिया वे: इसी लिये भाप को मामला लेना चाहिये। बरूमा साहब ठीक कह रहे हैं।

Shri Swaran Singh: .... K' is from Kashmir and 'ISTAN' from Baluchistan parts of India where Muslims predominated. This is a queer way of giving the original of this expression. All of us in India know that 'Pakistan' is a Persian word meaning 'land of paks' which they describe as 'land of pure people'. It is amazing that the publishers of this dictionary should have given the etymology on these considerations.

भी सच्च लिक्क्ये: अफगान फंटियर को जोड दिया है?

Shri Swaran Singh; It is an amazing way of giving the etymology of a Persian word 'Pakistan' which to all of us here in India means—and that was the claim of Pakistan—land of paks'. They claim that is is a land of pure people. We should ignore this etymological analysis published by the dictionary. On the face of it it is ridiculous and we should brush it aside and not devote too much attention to it.

Shri Samar Guha: Sir, I rise to a point of order.

Shri J. E. Kripalani: Sir, am I also allowed to shout. Everybody here seems to be shouting. May I be allowed to shout?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Others should follow your example.

Shri Hem Barna: Sir, Shri Kripalani is wrong; it is not that everbody here shouts.

Shri Samar Guha: Sir, I rise to a point of order. The hon Minister of Defence has again misquoted the interpretation of the word Pakistan'. The word Pakistan' was first originated by one young man called Mr. Rahimtoola when he was a student of Cambridge. He said that 'P means Punjab. 'A' means Afghania, 'K' means

green were project to the second of

Kashmir, 'S' means Sind and TAN' means Balushistan. All these areas combined, he gave the name Pakistan'. The origin of the word was from this young man, Shri Rahimtoola and it means that it comprises of all these areas. Therefore, the hon, Minister's interpretation is wrong.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: There is no point of order. This is from the Oxford Dictionary.

Some hon, Members rose-

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Shri Kripa-lani---

Shri J. B. Kripalani: I had no question to ask, I only wanted permission to shout.

Shri Pileo Mody: Sir, I entirely agree with Acharya Kripalani. It is below the dignity of the House to discuss a subject like this. They can define the name of the country in any they like. I do not think we should take the slightest notice of it.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: About shouting also leaders of groups should take some responsibility to keep shouting down. That is what Dada means.

Shri Ranga: All right, Sir....... (Interruption).

Shri Thirumala Rao: Sir, they are making noise in the House. You should control them.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I will call them, I do not know their names, Do they want to put a supplementary on this particular question?

Shri Shiva Chandra Jha: We are against the procedure adopted.

जो तरीका बाप अपना रहे हैं यह गलत है। कई सवालों पर हम सवाल पूछना चाहते वे, बापने मौका ही नहीं दिया। बाप हमारी तरफ देखते ही नहीं हैं।

बी राजानम्ब ज्ञाल्यी : किसी को बुसाते हैं, किसी को बुसाते नहीं है। यह गक्स तरीका है . . . (इंटरफॉक्ट)

भी कानेस्वर सिंह: माननीय मंत्री जी दिननगरी के बारे में बसाया है कि ंए' अफवान के लिए है, 'के' काश्मीर के लिए है इत्यादि । यह नैशनल ज्योग्नफिकल मैगजीन, जनवरी 1967 का है। इस में काश्मीर को हिन्दस्तान में नहीं दिखाया गया है। डिक्शनरी मीनिंग पर तो उनका व्यान गया है लेकिन क्या इसकी तरफ भी गया है ? जितने भी मित्र देश हैं सब ये काम करा रहे हैं। क्या हमने किसी के पास विरोध पत्र भेजा है ? यह जनवरी, 1967 का इज् है।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question refers to the Oxford Dictionary. This does not arise out of this.

Shri Swaran Singh: I would require notice.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: We proceed to the next question. The hon, Minister has said that he wants notice; it is outside the purview of this question.

#### Production of T.V. Sets

\*724. Shri Madhu Limaye: Shri S. M. Banerjee: Dr. Ram Manchar Lohia: Shri George Fernandes:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the total outlay that the three T.V. Set Manufacturing Companies have made propose to make to fulfil the production targets sanctioned by the Government; and
- (b) the import and foreign exchange content of this outlay?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagai): (a) and (b). Two firms have been licensed so far for the manufacture of 10,000 TV Receiver Sets each per year with indigenous know-how. The capital outlay for these two projects is expected to be Rs. 50-60 lakhs including foreign exchange content of approximately Rs. 20 lakhs.

श्री मद शिलवे : इसके बारे में एक प्रसें से परस्पर विरोधी बातें कही का रही हैं। कुछ दिन पहले नेरा स्थाल

है भप्रैल में कहा गया था कि पिलानी में यह जो शिक्षवाणी सेट बनता है उसका बीस प्रतिशत हिस्सा विदशों से प्राता है। बाद में कहा गया कि जो टी० बी० सेटस बनाये आयेंगे उनका दस प्रतिवृत हिस्सा विदशों से माएगा। सरकार के द्वारा दयान निकला है उस में कहा गया है कि एक टी० बी० सैट के पीछे 27 रुपये के पूर्जे विदेशों से मंगाये जायेंगे। नया मंत्री महोदय इसके बारे में सदन की कोई निश्चित जानकारी देंगे कि जो टी॰ बी॰ सेट बनाये जायेंगे उनका मध्य क्या रहेता. उनमें कितने पूर्वे भादि विदेशी होंगे ? भगर वह कोई विवरण देंगे तो उन की बड़ी मेहरबानी होगी।

श्री बा रा अवस : पिलानी में जो सेट बनाया गया है उसी के बाधार पर ये लाइसेंस दिय गये हैं इन दोनों फर्मी की। काउंसिल बाफ साइंटिफिक एंड इंडस्ट्रियल रिसर्च ने जिस के मातहत पिलानी की भी लैबोरेटरी है, कहा है कि शुरू में 250 रुपये पर सेट के हिसाब से विदेशी मदा लगेगी भीर 19 इंच का जो सेट है वह 1350 रुपये का भीर 23 इंच का जो सेट है वह 1500 रुपये का होगा। जैसे जैसे हम कम्पोनेंटस बनाते जायेंगे जैसे पिक्षर टयबज है या दूसरे कम्योनेंट हैं तो यह सी रुपये तक कम ही जाएगी। उसके बाद जिन रा मैटीरियल्ज से वे बनते हैं उनको बनाने में प्रगति होगी तो उसके बाद वह और कम हो जाएगी। यह कहना सभी ठीक तो नहीं कि 27 रुपये तक आएगी लेकिन काफी कम हो जाएगी।

भी मधु निवये : उन्होंने तेरह सी क्यवे की बात कही है। लेकिन सरकारी बबान में यह कहा गया है :

"However, according to the calculations made by the Pilani Institute, the consumer prices of indigenous sets will compare favourably with that of similar imported sets recently sold by the State Trading Corporation varying from Rs. 1800 to 2000 per set."

सापने जो दाम बताया है उसके बाद यह सबमूल्यन मादि सारी चीजें हुई हैं। इस बक्त सापका क्या अनुमान है कि दाम क्या रहेगा? सगर 27 रुपये का हिसाब लगाया जाए कि 27 रुपये के पुजें विदेशों से मंगाय नायेंगें तो बीस हजार सैट्स के लिए विदेशों से 5,40,000 रुपये के पुजें मंगाने पड़ेंगे मीर माप ने बीस लाख की बात की है ये बहुत परस्पर विरोधी बातें हो रही है। मैं जाहता हूं कि सही चित्र प्राप सदन के सामन प्रस्तुत करें।

बी बंद रांद भगतः वाहर से मगायं जाने वाले 23 इंच के सेट के दाम 1880 रुपये हैं और उस हिसाब से यहां बनाने बाले मेट के दाम कम हैं। दोनों सेट्स के दामों में कोई फर्क नहीं पड़ता क्योंकि इम्पोटिंड जो सेट हैं अवसूल्यन के बाद उनके दाम भी बढ़ेंगे और उस हिसाब से जो हमारे यहां बनने वाले सेट है उनके दाम कम बढ़ेंगे क्योंकि उन में 250 रुपये का ही विदेशी सामान सगता है इस 250 रुपये पर बढ़ोंतरी सूल्यों की कमो होगी। जो बीस लाख रुपये की बात मैंने बताई है कि बीस लाख की बिदेशी मुद्रा लगेगी यह तखमीना प्रभी का है, अवसूल्यन के बाद का है, उससे पहले का नहीं।

Shri 5. M. Banerjee: I would like to know whether it is true that a particular firm in Kanpur is also in the run and is also being given a licence for the manufacture of T.V. sets and, if so, the name of the firm and the terms on which it has been given.

shri B. B. Bhagat: Only two firms have been given licences, One is from Kanpur, that is, the J. K. Electronics, Kanpur. The hon. Member ig very familier with this firm.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: On what terms?

Shri B. E. Bhagat: The terms are similar because the licence has been given by the Licensing Committee. The cost is Rs. Ss lakhs of which the value of plant and equipment would be Rs. 24 lakhs. This estimate on the basis of ten thousand sets production has been accepted.

Shri D. N. Patodia; The hon Minister has suggested that the cost of production of these sets is likely to be lower than that of the imported ones. But the experience is just the other way. The cost of production of whatever has been produced in our country in the past has always been higher as compared to the landed cost. Has any costing been done to suggest specifically what would be the cost of production of a particular set compared to the imported one?

Shri B. R. Bhagat: It has been very clearly worked out. The licence has been given for a 10,000 unit. If it is, say, 5,000 or even less, then the cost will be higher. It has been worked out on the basis of a 10,000 unit; the cost of production has been arrived at on that basis.

Shri N. D. Patodia: What is the cost of production?

Shri B. R. Bhagat: I have already given that.

भी सरजू पाच्येय : देश में बहुत ज्यादा विदेशी मुद्रा की कमी है मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि टी० वी० सेट्स के ऊपर इतनी विदेशी मुद्रा खर्च करने की क्या धावस्थकता है जबकि इस गरीब देश- में दूसरे धावस्थक उद्योग धंमें विदेशी मुद्रा की कमी की वजह से दके 'पड़े हैं? इन पर धाप विदेशी मुद्रा क्यों खर्च कर रहे हैं?

12,00 hrs.

भी वं रा० भगतः यह तो नीति तय हो गई है कि टेमिनिजन की यहां सकरत है धौर न केवल मनोरंजन के जिए

**भी भव जिल्ले :** मगर किल को जलरत हैं? 1800 रुपये खर्च कर के कौन से सकता **R** ?

**भी:व॰ रा॰ भगत:** विकास के लिए या शिक्ता के लिए यह माना गया है कि रेडियो से भी यह ज्यादा कारगर होता है और यह तो नीति तय हो गई है भीर इस की जरूरत समझी गई है, तभी यह चीज की जारही है।

Shri M. Y. Saleem: May I know how much time will be required for manufacturing the TV sets and their coming to the market?

Shri B. R. Bhagat: The foreign exchange has not been cleared; it is under consideration. After they get the foreign exchange, I think, they will not take long.

Shri M. Y. Saleem: I want to know the time when foreign exchange will be available.

Shri B. R. Bhagat: That "annot be decided just now. Every effort is made to see that the foreign exchange is made available; it has to be located where the foreign exchange will come from.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The Question Hour is over.

भी कामेक्बर सिंह : घट्यक महोदय, मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है। हमारा प्रश्न यह है कि मंत्री महोदय ने कहा कि इस के बारे में मुझे पता नहीं है। परन्तु प्रश्न नं० 747 आप देखें वह इसी के बारे में है . . .

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: What he said was that he wanted notice because your supplementary was not covered by the main question.

**जीः कानेश्वर सिंह**ः प्रस्त नं • 747 देखिए । जाप इस की मजाक समझते हैं क्या ? नोटिस तो दिया गया है।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: What is the number of the question?

966 (Ai) LSD-2

भी मन् सिमये : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, भाग इसी सुची में 747 पढिए । उन्होंने कहा कि मुने स्वमा चाहिए। स्वमा भिसी है और सुची मं प्रश्न भा भी गया है। फिर वही प्रश्न जब सप्लीभटी के इप में पूछा गया तो उस का जबाद क्यों नहीं घाया ?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): I would only feel, now that the hon. Member has mentioned, that the reply is already there. If this reply was known there was hardly any point in cross-examining on that.

भी मन् शिनये : सनाश यह है कि भाप ने कहा कि नोटिस चाहिए . . . (व्यवध न). . .

Shri Swaran Singh: I am perfectly entitled, when a question is put, to ask for notice. That is the end of it.

भी मचु लिमये : घध्यक महोदय, यह बहुत उद्देशता से जबाब दे रहे हैं। उम को विनम्प्रता से स्वीकार करना चाहिए कि मैंने जो नोटिस मांगी वह गलती हुई । नौटिस मिल गया था।

Shri Swaran Singh: I do not accept that.

भी मन सिमये : नोटिस है भीर आप कहते हैं कि नोटिस चाहिए।

SHORT NOTICE QUESTION

Inadequate Supply of Wagons to Andbra Pradesh

S.N.Q. 18, Shri Vasudevan Nair: Shri P. C. Adiohan: Shri C. Janardhanan: Shri E. K. Nayanat: Shri P. Gopalan: Shri K. Anfrudhan: Shri A. Sreedharan; Shri Viswanatha Menon:

Will the Minister of Railways be pleased to state:

(a) whether the rice supply to Kersla is hampered due to the inede-

quate supply of wagons to Andhra Pradesh:

- (b) whether the attention of Government has been drawn to a specific report about the dearth of wagons in Tenali due to which 10,000 tonnes of paddy cannot be moved from there; and
- (c) if so the steps taken to supply sufficient number of wagons to Andhra Pradesh?

The Minister of Railways (Shrl C. M. Poonacha): (a) No. Sir. The supply of wagons for movement of rice from Andhra Pradesh to Kerala has been adequate and in conformity with demands registered by rice millers in Andhra Pradesh.

(b) Presumably, the reference is to a news item which appeared in 'The Hindu' of Madras dated 15-6-67. The complaint made in the news item is not correct as adequate wagons have been made available for loading paddy at Tenal; station,

#### (c) Does not arise.

Shri Vasudevan Nair: I would have very much liked to believe the statement of the hon. Minister on the floor of the House, But only two days back the Food Minister of Kerala has made a statement that till the 13th of this month, the supplies were very regular, but after the 13th the supplies are very irregular and the supplies in three days do not amount to the quantity of ration for one day. I should like to know whether after the 13th of this month there was some difficulty about sending rice and whether there was any responsibility on the part of the Railways as far as this delay in the supply is concerned.

Shri C. M. Poonacha: As regards the Railways, to the extent the indents are placed, we have met those demands fully. There is some difficulty here and that is the loading stations are as many as 11 and at some of these stations only piecemeal indents are placed. But instructions are that there should be indents for block rake movement To collect these wagons from eleven odd stations and then to have a formation of a full block rake for movement to Kerala does take some time. These intervening difficulties might have confronted the steady movement up to a point. On the whole, out of the demands placed by the Food Ministry through the Food Corporation, we have been able to move about 35,000 tonnes to Kerala up to the 24th of this month.

Shri Vasudevan Nair: I should like to know how many rice specials are being run on an average every day, and approximately what time does it take to reach Kerala from Andhra Pradesh?

Shri C. M. Poonacha: On an average, according to the programme given to us, we should move about 2 to 2.5 trains per day and it would take about two to three days to reach Kerala.

Shri Vasudevan Nair: Only two or three days. I am told that it takes seven days.

Shri P. C. Adichan: (Spoke in Malavalam).

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is to be put for eliciting information. What is the use of speaking in that language?

थी कामेश्यर सिष्ठ : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, भाप भाषाओं को प्राथमिकता नहीं दे रहे हैं। बाप भाषाओं को प्राथमिकता दीविए।

Shri Vasudevan Nair: I shall translate the question. The hon, Minister had stated in the House before that this being the mango season, there were difficulties in getting wagons. As a matter of fact, every year, there will be a mango season and every year there is likely to be this difficulty. Taking into consideration the difficulties due to the mango season, have Government made adequate arrangements for supply of rice to Kernle?

Shri C. M. Poonacha: Yes, arrangements are being made fully.

Shri K. Narayana Rao: On a point of order. The Speaker had said the other day that so far as the language issue was concerned, we should discuss it and come to a particular agreement in course of time. Therefore, let us not bring up the same issue once again. That is against the rules, against the Constitution and against what the Speaker had stated.

भी मधुलिनये: तो क्या हुन्ना? इस देश की भाषा में ही बोलें न ?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I do not want to suppress expression of views by any Member.

मी सम्मुलिसयेः ठीक है।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: If there is someone to translate, and the hon. Minister is ready to reply, I do not desire that anybody should be suppres-

Shri K. Lakkappa: On a point of order....

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: That was on a different matter.

Shri K. Lakkappa: You cannot suppress me from raising a point of order. The hon. Member has put his question in his regional language. The House has to hear him properly and understand the question that he has put. But no arrangement has been made for that in the House. Under the Constitution, we are entitled to hear the question that he hon Member has put. But we have been sitting here without being able to follow it in the absence of any arrangements having been made in this House. Further, the reply has also to be in the same language as the question, So, the hon. Member's language should he respected. My point of order is that Government and the House have not respected the other sister languages.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: It does not arise out of this question.

Shri K. Lakkappa; This is discrimination.

Shri C. M. Poonscha: Arrangements have been fully made to meet the indents for wagons for movement of rise to Kerala. During the season, there was a heavy demand for movement of mangoes also, but that has been met, and we have enough capacity to move foodgrains. As a matter of fact, on the 24th of this month, the wagon indents as placed on the Railways which were outstanding amounted to 90 wagons only. So there has not been very heavy accumulation of indents which have not been met by the railways so far in connection with the movement of foodgrains to Kerala.

Shri C. Janardhanan: The zones in connection with movement of rice from Andhra and Madras to Kerala are the Southern Zone and the South Central Zone. Due to lack of co-ordination between these zones, sometimes dislocations do occur. Is there any mechanism to co-ordinate these two zones so that the supply of wagons for movement of food is not interfered with?

Shri C. M. Poonacha: Yes. The Food Ministry issues instructions and the Food Corporation of India would be co-ordinating these movements to different destinations. They are the co-ordinating authority who place indents on the railways for particular movements to particular destinations.

Shri E. K. Nayaner: The food situation now in Kerala is very seriousit has worsened. The Central Government had allotted 75,000 tonnes, but upto 23rd of this month, only 25,000 tonnes have reached there. Is this due to the irregular movement of wagous or some dislocation in the Central allocation machinery? There is a report that the Tenali rice millers have comthat they are not getting plained wagons to send rice to Kerele. What is the real position?

Shri C. M. Poenacha: As I have explained earlier, we move according to placement of indents. If the indents are registered, we will certainly make wagons available. There was a report in the Hindu, dated 15-6-67 but the Central Railway authorities have immediately issued a rejoinder which appeared in the Hindu of the 17th, refuting the allegations made. So, what has appeared in the Press on the 15th of this month is not true to facts.

Shri E. K. Nayanar: Upto the 23rd of this month, only 25,000 tonnes have reached Kerala whereas the Central Government say they have allotted 75,000 tonnes. What is the actual position and is the incomplete despatch due to paucity of railway wagons?

Shri C. M. Poonacha: That is not true. As I was mentioning, 35,000 tonnes have moved. The total quantity that has to be moved this month is about 62,000 tonnes.

Shri Viswanatha Menon: What is the quantum of rice transported to Kerala everyday and how many wagons are attached to the special trains everyday?

Shri C. M. Poonacha: These are food specials. It depends upon the type of wagons; if they are BCX, the number of wagons would be less; if they are the ordinary 4-wheelers, they would be a few more. I could not precisely give the number of wagons attached to each food special.

Shri Viswanatha Menon: What is the quantum of rice transported everyday?

Shri Tenneti Viswanathata: Will the Minister ensure that while the mangoes of Andhra travel eastwards towards Calcutta and the rice of Andhra travels southwards towards Kerala, the mangoes should not come in the way of rice?

Shri C. M. Poenscha: That is true, but there is also a demand on the rail-ways to move rice to the north. It is not only the mangoes of Andhra that move northwards, rice also moves northwards.

Shri P. Venkatasubbalah: I would like to supplement the question al-

ready put regarding co-ordination and the mechanism that he is going to evolve for speedy exportation of rice to Kerala. May I know whether the railway administration as such, without entrusting the work to the Food Corporation or the Government concerned, the railway department or the administration itself will appoint a special officer to co-ordinate the indents and demands of the State Government of Kerala for getting rice from Andhra, so that there may not be bottlenecks created and undue delay caused?

Shri C. M. Poonacha: The Chief Operating Superintendent of the South Central Railway is in constant touch with the authorities concerned, the Food Corporation of India and the Andhra State Government,

Shri Jyotirmoy Basu: Will the hon.
Railway Minister be kind enough to
tell us what special arrangements he
has made to shift rice from Punjab to
West Bengal?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: This is outside the question.

Shri Jyotirmoy Base: If so, the details thereof?

Shri C. M. Pootscha: I would require notice of this question,

#### WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Production in Ordnance Factories

•725. Shri S. M. Banerjes: Shri Madhu Limaye:

Will the Minister of Defeace be pleased to state:

- (a) whether all the new Ordnance Factories have started production;
- (b) whether some more Ordnance Factories are proposed to be astablished; and
  - (c) if so, when?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. B. Bhagat);
(a) Out of the 4 new Ordnance Factories approved for establishment after the occurrence of the Emergency in 1962 two have started production. There are two more factories, namely New Vehicle Factory at Jabalpur and Accelerated Freeze Dried (Meat) Factory at Hazratpur near Agra which are under erection.

- (b) No. Sir.
- (c) Does not arise.

Report of the Enquiry Committee on Small Newspapers

\*726. Shri Bibhuti Mishra: Shri K. N. Tiwary: Shri Mohan Swarup:

Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to refer to the reply given to Starred Question No. 71 on the 27th March, 1967 and state further action taken by Government to implement the recommendations contained in the Report of the Enquiry Committee on Small Newspapers?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah): The Enquiry Committee on Small Newspapers made 145 recommendations in their Report. Decisions taken in respect of 30 were laid on the Table of the House on 27th March, 1967. Decisions in respect of 81 recommendations are laid on the Table of the House now. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-782/67]. The remaining 34 recommendations are being processed in consultation with the concerned Union Ministries, State Governments, Press Organisations and other interests.

#### Cantonment Boards

#### \*727. Shti Kanwar Lai Gupta: Shri R. S. Vidyarthi;

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

(a) whether in view of the persistent demands for democratic functioning of Contempor Beards, Government have since reviewed the policy regarding the seats hitherto filled by nomination of Military Officers by the nomination of civilians, which is permissible under section 13 of the Catonment Act, 1924;

- (b) if so, the decision taken in the matter: and
- (c) If not, how Government justify the nomination of Military Officers to the Board in the local administration when the Board's jurisdiction is essentially in the civil area in cantonments and the military Officers are expected to be conversant with the local civic necessities?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri E. E. Bhagat):
(a) In 1957, Government of India, with a view to further democratise the Cantonment Administration, decided to bring about parity between the elected and nominated members in all Class I and Class II Cantonments by keeping one of the nominated seats vacant. No further review has since been made in this respect.

- (b) The question does not arise.
- (c) The jurisdiction of the Cantonment Board extends over the whole of Cantonment area for functions defined in the Cantonments Act, 1924. Provisions for nomination of Military Officers in the Cantonment Board have been made keeping in view the nature of the Cantonments as military stations. Government have already taken steps to ensure maximum possible participation of elected members in the administration of civil areas as under:
  - (i) As pointed out above in answer to clause (a) above, parity has been brought about between elected and nominated members of the Cantonment Board;
  - (ii) The powers of civil area committee in the matter of control over buildings, issue of trade licence, etc. have been unlarged;

- (iii) Assessment Committee dealing with the assessment of house tax has been reconstituted with a majority of elected members. The Vice-President of the Cantonment Board also acts as Chairman of the Assessment Committee; and
- (iv) During temporary absence of the President of the Cantonment Board the Vice-President has been authorised to preside over the meetings of the Board.

Construction of Roads by China near Sikkim Border

\*729. Shri R. S. Vidyarthi: Shri Atam Das: Shri D. C. Sharma:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Chinese are busy round the clock constructing and widening the roads near Sikkim border;
- (b) whether it is also a fact that reports have been received from Bhutan indicating hectic road building activities in the areas facing North-Western Bhutan; and
- (e) if so, the precautions taken by the Government to meet the threat?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat):
(a) and (b). Government have reports that the Chinese have been improving existing roads and bridges and constructing new ones across the boundary with Sikkim and Bhutan.

(c) Such developments are closely watched, constantly reviewed and taken note of in our defence planning. नागाओं का पाकिस्तान बने बाना

\*730. भी धर्णुन सिंह भवीरियाः भी राथ सेवक सावदः

न्या वैदेशिक-कार्य मन्त्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) कितने विद्रोही नागा पाकिस्तान चले गये हैं: ग्रीर
- (ख) क्या मृत विद्रीही नागाओं के शवों के पास से मिले हिष्यार चीन में बने हुए हैं या पाकिस्तान में ?
- प्रतिरक्षा मंत्रीं (भी स्वर्ण सिंह):
  (क) चूंकि छिपे नागा लोग चोरी-छिपे
  पाकिस्तान के साथ लगने वाली हमारी सीमा
  को पार कर चले जाने हैं, इसिलए सरकार के
  पास ऐसे खिरी लाग मों की ठांच-ठांक संख्या
  के बारे में सूचना नहीं है जो पार करके जा
  चके हैं सथवा बायस सा चके हैं।
- (ख) मृत प्रयश जीवित छिपे नागाघों के जो ह्यायार मिले हैं, उनमें से घष्टिकांश ह्यायारों पर कोई निशान नहीं हैं प्रयक्ष कारगर वंग से मिटा दिए गए हैं।

Deportation of Indian Businessmen from Malawi

\*731. Shri Buburao Fatel: Will the Minister of External Affairs be ploased to state:

- (a) the names of the leading Indian businessmen deported by the Malawi Government recently;
- (b) the reasons for their deportation;
- (c) whether our High Commissioner there has lodged any protest against the sudden deportations; and
  - (d) if so, with what results?

The Deputy Minister in the Minisiry of External Affairs (Shri Surendra Pal Singh): (a) Seven persons of Indian origin were declared prohibited immigrants by the Chief Sunnignation Officer of the Government of Malawi, on 26th April, 1987 and asked to leave the country. They ware traders in Malawi and their names are:

- 1. Daud Abul Karim
- 2. Ibrahim Abdul Karim
- 3. Abdul Gaffar Suleman
- 4. Habib Tayub
- 5. Alimahomed Abdul Karim
- 6. Abdul Rashid Aboobakar
- 7. Ahmed Tayub.
- (b) Specific reasons for their being declared prohibited immigrants were not disclosed but it is believed that the orders were passed on grounds of security.
- (c) No, Sir. All the seven persons are British citizens, six of whom are in the United Kingdom and the seventh in Hyderabad.
  - (d) Does not arise.

#### Ceylon Law on Repairiation of Indians

\*732. Shri Sradhakar Supakar: Shri B. N. Shastri: Shri Rabi Ray:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the principal features of the recent legislation by Ceylon regarding the repatriation of persons of Indian origin, who do not opt for citizenship of Ceylon;
- (b) the number of persons who are and will be affected by this Law; and
- (c) whether the said Act is in conformity with the provisions of the Shastri-Sirimova Pact of 1964?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Surendra Pal Singh): (a) The principal features are:

(i) provision is made for grant of Ceylon citizenship to 3,00,000 persons of Indian origin in Ceylon who have not been

- recognised as citizens of Ceylon or of India, together with the natural increase in that number in accordance with a phased programme.
- (ii) the grant of Ceylon citizenship will, as far as possible, be in the ratio of 4:7 against the number of such persons of Indian origin in Ceylon granted Indian citizenship;
- (iii) provision is made for certain administrative measures to enable repatriation from Ceylon of persons graated Indian citizenship by the Government of India.
- (b) This legislation will affect 8,25,000 persons in the Indo-Ceylon Agreement, 1964.
- (c) Government are not aware of any provisions in the Act which would militate against the proper implementation of the 1984 Indo-Ceylon Agreement.

#### Entry of U.S. Troops in Demilitarised Zone in Vietnam

- \*733. Shri E. K. Nayanar: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:
- (a) whether the Government of India, as the Chairman of the Control Commission, has protested against the entry of the U.S. troops into the demilitarized zone in Vietnam; and
  - (b) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Surendra Pal Singh): (a) and (b). The discussions and decisions of the three representatives on the International Control Commission in Vietnam are treated as confidential. The Commusion sends reports of its activities only to the Co-Chairman of the Geneva conference of 1954 and not to the Government of India. We are not aware of any report having been sent regarding the entry of U.S. troops into the Demilitarized Zone.

पाकिस्तान द्वारा सीवा पर कक्य कोठरियों (पिस बारस) का निर्वाण

> <sup>4</sup>734 भी हुतन चन्च कच्चाय : भी शारदा नन्द : भी जि० ४० सिंह :

क्या रक्षा मन्त्री यह ब्रताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सब है कि पाकिस्तान ने पु... कसूर के नाले के साथ-साथ वैसी कवब कोठिरपां (िल वाक्स) बनाई हैं, जैसी उसने इच्छोगिल नहर के साथ-साथ बनाई थीं; और
- (ख) यदि हां, तो सरकार की उस पर क्या प्रतिकिया है?

प्रतिरक्षा नंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री व० रा० भगत): (क) सरकारको इस बात का ज्ञान है कि पाकिस्तान हमारी सीमाधों के उस पारसैनिक कार्यों का निर्माण कर रहा है, और पिल बाक्स बना रहा है।

- (ख) इन सभी अभिवृद्धियों को अपनी रक्षा प्रायोजनाओं में ध्यान रखा जाता है। Management of Ordnance Factories \*735. Shri Hem Raj: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:
- (a) the number of Ordnance Factories and units which are headed by experienced technical military personnel and the number of such factories headed by civil servants; and
- (b) whether it is proposed to place all the factories under the charge of experienced technical military personnel and if not, the reasons ther for?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat):
(a) All the ordnance factories under the Ministry of Defenc: are headed by technically trained civilians.

(b) No, Sir. There is no such proposal under examination as there is already a regular service known as the Indian Ordnance Factories Service for manning the various posts in Ordnance Factories.

#### काठमांब्-कोटारी सदक

\*736 मी तु० राम :
भी दानामतार सर्मी :
भी शिष कुमार सास्त्री :
भी रचुंभीर सिंह सास्त्री :
भी सर्भूम सिंह भवीरिया :
भी मरबेब स्नातक :
भी भारम बास :
का० सूर्य प्रकाश पुरी :
भी भीचन गोयक :

क्या वैदेशिक-कार्य मन्त्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या सरकारका व्यान इस झाशय के समाचार की भोर दिलाया गया है कि भारतीय लोगों को चीन द्वारा बनाई गई काठमांडू-कोडारी सड़क का प्रयोग करने से मना कर दिया गया है;
- (ख) क्यायह सच है कि पाकिस्तान के प्रक्षिकारियों को इस प्रकार उस सड़क का प्रयोग करने से मना नहीं किया गया; और
- (ग) यदि हां, तो इस मामले में सरकार की क्या प्रतिकिया है?

प्रतिरक्षा मंत्री (श्री स्वयं सिंह):
(क) से (ग). उस समय वो सूचना
सुलम थी, उसके भाषार पर 13 जून, 1967
को राज्य समा में ऐसे ही एक सवात के जवाब
में यह स्पष्ट किया गवा चा कि भारतीयों की
नेपाल में चीनियों द्वारा निर्मित काठमांडू—
कोडारी सड़क पर मुक्त रूप से धाने-जाने
दिया जाता चा। तब यह बताया गवा चा कि
नेपाल सरकार ने वह सड़क घाम जनता के
निए 2 जून, 1967 को खोनी थी सौर तब से
ही भारतीय नोग नोई परमिट निए वगैर
मुक्त क्य से मा-जा सकते वे धीर धारतीयों

के सकावा अन्य विवेकियों को उस पर माने अपने के जिए परिमट सेने पढ़ते थे। वह सूचना इसारे राजदूतावास से प्राप्त इस सूचना पर प्राप्तारित थी कि "2 जून को नेपास के महा- महिम की सरकार ने प्राप्तक रूप से यह सूचना दी थी कि सड़क प्राप्त के लिए खोल दी गई है। यह जी बसाया गया था कि भार-तीयों को छोड़ कर तमाम विदेशी लोगों को सड़क पर प्राने-आने के लिए बीजा (परिमट) केने होंगे। भारतीयों भीर नेपासियों को किसी प्रकार के परिमट की प्रावस्थकता नहीं है। उसके बाद कई भारतीय सोग कोई परिमट जिए धगर सडक पर धाए-गए।

लेकिन 23 जून को सबेरे नेपाल के विदेश मन्त्रालय ने हमारे राजदूतावास को जबानी तौर पर बताया कि सभी चैर-नेपालियों को, जिनमें भारतीय शामिल हैं, काठमांडू-कोडारी सड़क पर बारा बीसे से घागे जाने के लिए पर्रायट केंने होंगें क्योंकि वह इलाका नेपाल-चीन सीमा से 20 किलोमीटर के घन्दर होने के कारण निविद्ध क्षेत्र है।

इस घटना से सरकार को ग्राश्चर्य हमा है क्योंकि वह यह भाशा नहीं करती थी कि नेपाल में रहने वाले भारतीय राष्ट्रिकों को नेपास में निषिद्ध-खेतों सहित भीतर के किसी स्थान पर मक्त रूप से ब्राने-जाने के उद्देश्य से भन्य विदेशियों के साथ वर्गीकृत कर दिया जायगा । माननीय सदस्यों को मालूम होगा कि हमारे विदेशी (संरक्षित क्षेत्र) धादेश, 1958 भीर विदेशी (निषद जेव) भादेश 1963 की व्यवस्थाओं के अन्तर्गत भी नेपाली राक्षिकों के लिए बास साविधिक छट देने की व्यवस्था है जिसमें उन्हें इस देश के 'संरक्षित' धीर 'निषद्ध' क्षेत्रों में धाने-जाने की स्वतन्त्रता है। हम महामहिम की सरकार से मनुरोध कर रहे हैं कि वह नेपाल में 'निविद्ध' केवी की लेकर धपने विनियमों की व्यवस्थाओं पर किर्रावचार करें:जिसते कि पारस्परिकता के शाधार पर नेपाल में भारताय राष्ट्रिक, 1950 की सांति एवं नैदी-की दक्ति की जनस्थाओं के मनशा र नेपामी राष्ट्रिकों के साथ समान ग्राधकार प्राप्त कर सकें।

27 मई, 1967 को चीनियों ने नेपास-चीन सीमा के तिब्बत की मोर नेपास के कई महत्वपूर्ण व्यक्तियों भीर भव-बार वालों को तथा पाकिस्तान के राजदूत को लंब पर भ्रामन्त्रित किया था। जनसाक्षारण के लिए सड़क खोलने से पहले ऐसा उद्घाटन विशेष परिस्थितियों में किया गया था।

बैसा कि पहले कहा गया है, सरकार की मात्रा है कि नेपास में काठमांडू कोडारी तथा प्रन्य सड़कों पर माने-जाने के मामले में भारतीय राष्ट्रिकों को नेपाली राष्ट्रिकों के साथ ही समान प्रधिकार प्राप्त होंगें जैसा कि 1950 की भारत-नेपाल शान्ति एवं मैत्री सन्धि में व्यवस्थित है।

#### Relations with Indonesia

\*737. Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

 (a) whether there has been any further improvement of diplomatic and trade relations with Indonesia recently; and

#### (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Surendra Pal Singh): (a) and (b). Our diplomatic and trade relations with Indonesia are developing well and, in general, the Indonesian attitude continues to be friendly. The Foreign Minister visited Djakarta in January and discussed various questions of mutual interest and concern. In the commercial field, trade is reviving. A trade agreement with Indonesia was signed last year and a credit of Rs. 10 crores was also granted. Letters of credit to the extent of about 25th of this amount have so far been approved by the Indonesian authorities.

Air Force Academy of Hyderabad

\*738. Shri Parthesarathy:

Shri Sidheshwar Prasad:

Shri K. Haldar:

Shri R. P. Singh Dec:

Shri Madhu Limaye:

Shri Kameshwar Singh:

Shri Rabi Rav:

Shri Sequeria:

Shri S. M. Joshi:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Government have decided to set up an Academy for Air Force at Hyderabad; and
- (b) if so, when it is likely to start functioning and how will it affect Hakimpet, Jodhpur and Bangalore Training Centres?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat); (a) and (b). Yes, Sir. Basic training at the Air Force Academy at Hyderabad is expected to commence from about the middle of 1969. When the Academy begins to function fully from about the end of 1971, it will absorb the training of pilots at the intermediate stages as well and undertake the training of navigators and air signallers at present done at Jodhpur and the advanced stage of flying training on single-engine aircraft done at Hakimpet. Applied stage (Post-commission) flying training on singleengine aircraft will continue at The training centre at Hakimpet. Bangalore will not be affected in any way by the formation of the Academy.

#### Reorganisation of External Affairs Ministry

\*729. Shri Rabi Ray: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether there is any proposal to reorganize the External Affairs Ministry: and
- (b) if so, the steps that are being taken in this connection?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Surendra Pal Singh); (a) No. Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

Air Space Violations by Pakistan since Tashkent Declaration

740. Shri George Fernandes: Shri Madhu Limaye: Shri J. H. Patel:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of times Pakistan has violated Indian air space since the signing of the Tashkent Declaration;
- (b) whether protests were lodged with the Pakistan Government on every occasion when our air space was violated:
- (c) whether Pakistan has given any explanations following these protests: and
- (d) whether there was an agreement between India and Pakistan that combat and transport air force aircrafts of both countries would not fly within 10 kilometres of the international border and the cease-fire line?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat): (a) According to the information so far available to Government, there have been 45 violations of Indian air space by Pakistani aircraft since the signing of Tashkent Declaration on 11th January, 1966.

- (b) Protests were lodged with the Government of Pakistan for air space violations over areas other than J & K. Complaints about air space violations in J & K area were lodged with the U.N. Observers.
- (c) Pakistan has not given any reply, except in one case wherein they have denied the violation.
- (d) It was agreed between the C.A.S., I.A.F. and C-in-C, R.A.F. during discussions held by them on 16th|17th February 1986 and 14th|15th

March, 1966, that combat and transport Air Force aircraft of both the countries would not fly within 10 kilometres of the international border and the Cease-Fire Line, except in the case of flights for providing logistic support to border posts.

#### Anti-Indian Propaganda in U.A.R. Newspapers

\*741. Shri M. Amersey:
Shri D. N. Patodia:
Shri Virendrakumar Shah:
Shri Meetha Lal;
Shri M. L. Sondhi:
Shri K. P. Singh Deo:
Shri P. K. Deo;

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any action has been taken on his assurance given to the House sometime back that he will take up with the U.A.R. the question of anti-Indian propaganda being conducted in its newspapers; and
  - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Surendra Pal Singh): (a) and (b). This matter was taken up by our Ambassador at Cairo and with the UAR Ambassador in New Delhi. The Editor of the Paper Rose El-Youssel had aircady apologised to our Ambassador and the UAR Foreign Office has expressed regret that this article should have appeared at all.

Rehabilitation of Disabled Jawans

\*742. Shri Narendra Sinsh Mahida: Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri R. K. Sanghi: Shri Shankarrao Mane; Shri H. D. Tulsidas:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that seven hundred disabled Jawans are likely to be released from service as invalids;
- (b) if so, the details of the arrangements made by the Directorate of Re-

settlement, Ministry of Defence for their rehabilitation;

- (c) whether it is also a fact that the employers in the Private Sector are not eager to employ them; and
- (d) if so, whether Government propose to introduce any legislation to assist the disabled jawans to get reemployment?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat):
(a) Yes. Sir.

- (b) They are being rehabilitated as and when they complete their medical treatment, by either providing them civil jobs commensurate with cheir qualifications, experience and residual capacity or by arranging some socational training for them so that, on the completion of the training, they can earn their livelihood.
  - (c) This is true to some extent,
- (d) No, Sir. Government consider that the object should be achieved by persuasion as far as possible.

Acquisition of Land for Defence Use in Jorhat

\*743. Shri R. Barua: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the people from Naosalia and Hazari villages in Jorhat subdivision had to part with their lands including homestead lands for defence use:
- (b) if so, the number of them who have not yet received compensation including shifting costs and the reasons for the delay;
- (c) whether Government had agreed to pay shifting allowance to the people before they vacated their hearths and homes but the authorities have not implemented the orders; and
- (d) whether Government are aware that the Army authorities occupied the lands without any acquisition or sequisition notice?

JUNE 26, 1967

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat): (a) to (c). Statement indicating the lands acquired or requisitioned and the position regarding payment of compensation therefor is placed on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-783/67]. As will be seen therefrom, Rs. 4000 remain to be disbursed by the Collector to about five persons in respect of the land acquired for extension of Runway, while the assessment of compensation including shifting cost in respect of the land requisitioned for an Air Force project in 1966 has still to be made by the Competent Authority pending which assessment 60 per cent of the likely compensation has been disbursed 'on account'.

(d) All the occupations have been covered by appropriate authority. No land has been occupied unauthorisedly.

#### Reply to the Protest Note sent to Israel

\*744. Shri Bhogendra Jha: Shri Vasudevan Nair: Shri Atam Das: Shri Prakash Vir Shastri: Shri Arjun Singh Bhadoria: Shri Ram Avtar Sharma: Shri Y. S. Kushwah: Dr. Surva Prakash Puri: Shri Shiv Kumar Shastri: Shri Narendra Singh Mahida: Shri Hem Barua: Shri Samar Guha: Shri Kameshwar Singh: Shri Virendrakumar Shah:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether India has received any reply to its Protest Note to the Government of Israel over the killing of Indian soldiers by the Israeli Forces in the Gaza strip during the recent Israeli-Arab hostilities in the area;

(b) if so, the contents thereof? .

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Surendra Pal Singh): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Government of Israel has expressed its regrets at the casualties caused but disavows responsibility for the deliberate infliction of casualties. We are not satisfied with this disavowal of Israel's responsibility and after investigations which are in progress have been completed, an appropriate reply will be sent to the Israeli Government.

#### Assets of Indians in African Countries

\*745. Shri Jyotirmoy Basu: Shri P. Gopalan: Shri E. K. Navanar: Shri Ganesh Ghosh:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the total value of assets owned by the Indians in the African countries; and
  - (b) the details thereof?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Surendra Pal Singh): (a) and (b). Information in regard to assets owned by Indians in African countries must necessarily be very rough estimates. In some cases the information concerned is wholly unascertainable. Assets, specifically, of Indian citizens in these countries cannot be stated separately but these would be negligible. broad estimates as may be attempted, would apply generally to all persons of Indian origin. Most of these are U.K. citizens and some have taken out local citizenship of the countries concerned.

According to our information, assets owned by such persons range from approximately £1 million to roughly £ 20 million in some countries where there are large populations of persons of Indian origin.

#### Looking after of U.A.R. Interests in U.S.A. and U.K.

\*746. Shri Atam Das: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the U.A.R. Government have requested

the Government of India to look after her interests in U.S.A. and U.K.; and

7462

(b) if so, the details thereof and the assistance rendered by the Government of India in this regard?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Surendra Pal Singh): (a) and (b). The U.A.R. Government has requested the Government of India to look after its interests in the U.S.A. India has agreed to do this in accordance with the established procedures in this regard.

#### एक समरीकी पविका द्वारा प्रकाशित मानवित्र

\*७४७. भी यशयन्त सिंह चुशवाहः का बैडेजिय-कार्य मन्त्री यह बताने की कपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि एक अमरीकी पविका (नेशनल जियोग्राफीकल मैगनीज) द्वारा ग्रंथने जनवरी 1967 के ग्रंक में प्रका-**जित एक मानचित्र में काश्मीर को पाकिस्तान** के एक हिस्से के रूप में दिखाया गया है:
- (ख) यदि हां, तो इस पर सरकार ने न्या कार्यवाही की है;
- (ग) क्या यह पत्रिका पहले भी इसी प्रकार से भारत-विरोधी सामग्री प्रकाशित करती रही है; भीर
- (च) ऐसे भारत-विरोधी प्रकासनों के बारे में ग्रमरीका सरकार का क्या दुष्टिकोण 8 ?

बेदेशिक-कार्य मंत्रालय में उप-मंत्री (बी सरेनापाल सिंह): (क) जी हां। जिस पतिका और नक्से का प्रकाम जिककिया गबा है, बह सरकार ने देख लिए है ।

(स) नेसनल ज्योग्नेफिक मेगजीन में समय-समय पर को नक्ते छपे हैं, उनमें भारत के जम्म तथा कम्मीर राज्य के मही मंद्रधारिक भीर राजनैतिक दर्जे का व्यान नहीं बन्ना गया है। उन्हें ममय-मभय पर सही स्थिति बनाई गर्ड है नाकि उन्हें इस बात के लिए गाजी किया जा सके कि वे ध्रपनी पत्रिका में सही नक्षी प्रकाणित करें। लेकिन प्रतिका ने अपनी स्थिति को उसी हा स्वीरका है।

- (ग) उनकी प्रकाशित सामग्री इसे कभी-कभी गलन सार हमारे हिनों के विरुद्ध मालम हुई है।
- (थ) अमरीया सरकार की संस्थाओं के प्रकाशनी पर कार्रवाई करने का अधिकार नहीं है।

#### Indian Embassy at Peking

\*748. Shri P. N. Solanki: Shri M. L. Sondhi: Shri Meghrajji: Shri S. K. Tapuriah:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the total number of Indian personnel in the Indian Embassy at Peking:
- (b) the number of members of the Indian Mission who have their families with them;
- (c) the expenditure incurred on our Peking Embassy establishment annually: and
- (d) the total number of Chinese personnel in the Chinese Embassy at New Delhi and whether they also have their families here?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Surendra Pal Singh): (a) 63.

- (b) 16.
- (c) Rs. 11,87,200.
- (d) 9. There is no Chinese family.

#### Leave Concession to Industrial Employees

\*749. Shri S. M. Banerjee: Shri Madhu Limaye:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the unanimous recommendation of the Second Pay Commission regarding leave concession to the Industrial employees in the Defence Establishments have not yet been implemented;
- (b) if so, the reasons for this abnormal delay; and
- (c) the steps taken by Government in this regard?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) to (c). The recommendations of the Second Pay Commission regarding leave to the Industrial employees in Defence Establishments, except that relating to "Earned leave" have since been implemented. As regards the recommendation relating to "earned leave", it was decided by Government in 1960 that it should be placed before the National Council of the Joint Consultative Machinery as it involved a general issue. The recommendation will be considered by the National Council in due course.

## द्वरजेंसी क्रमीशन प्राप्त धविकारियों की पूर्नीनयुक्ति

\*750. वी सिडेम्बर प्रसादः भी पुरुष चत्व कछ्त्रायः बी राम सिंह ध्रयरवातः वी स० मो० बनवीः बी सब् सिसवैः

क्या रक्षा मन्त्री 7 प्रप्रैल, 1967 के घटर-मूचना प्रश्न संख्या 8 के उत्तर के सम्बन्ध में यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि उन प्रधि-कारियों को प्रन्य राजगार देने के लिये प्रव तक क्या कार्यवाही की गई है जिन्हें 1962-62 में एमरवेंसी कमीशन दिया गया चा ब्रीर जिन्हें घव सेवा मक्ति के नोटिस दिये गये हैं?

प्रतिरक्षा जंदी (जी स्वर्ण सिष्ट्): प्रविक्ष भारतीय घोर केन्द्रीय सेवाघों में सुरक्षण के प्रति-रिक्त कि जिन के सिए भर्ती यू॰ पी॰ एस॰ सी॰ द्वारा की जाती है, सीमा सुरक्षा सेना तथा घरम केन्द्रीय पोलोस दलों में नियुक्ति के लिये 248 मफसरों को पेशकश की गई है, जो इस वर्ष विमुक्त होने वाले हैं। ई॰ सी॰ घोज॰ की कुछ संच्या के एन॰ सी॰ सी॰ राज्य सर-कारों के विभागों घौर राजकीय क्षेत्र के कुछ उपक्रमों में भी खपाए जाने की घाशा है। कुछ प्रक्रमरों को निजी क्षेत्र में लगाने के लिए भी प्रयास किए जा रहे हैं।

#### Underground Drainage in Delhi Cantt.

3574. Shri Kanwar Lai Gupta: Shri R. S. Vidyarthi:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the present position regarding the scheme to construct underground drainage in Delhi Cantonment:
- (b) the approximate cost of the scheme;
- (c) whether the proposed scheme includes the civil areas of Sadar Bazar, Gopi Nath Bazar and certain villages; and
- (d) the reasons for not implementing the scheme so far?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. E. Bhagat): (a) Detailed planning and survey of the water borne sewage scheme at Delhi Cantonment is being carried out during the current financial year and a sum of Rs. 1.00 lakhs has been sanctioned for the purpose.

- (b) Rs. 137.97 lakhs.
- (c) The proposed scheme includes the Civil Area of Sadar Bazar, Gopi Nath Bazar and also the villages of Mehram Nagar, Jherera, Old Nangal and Sarai Rohal.
- (d) The implementation of the Delhi Cantonment Sewage Scheme has been

accepted in principle, and it is proposed to consider the matter further as soon as the report of the detailed planning and survey is available.

#### हिन्दी समाचार बलेटिन

3577. भी रामका बीरणा : स्या सकता और प्रसारण मन्त्री यह बताने की इत्या करेंगे कि:

- (क) ग्राकाशवाणी के समाचार विभाग से कितने हिन्दी समाचार बलेटिन प्रसारित किये जा रहे हैं: ग्रीर
- (ख) जिन केन्द्रों से इन्हें रिले किया बाता है, उनके नाम क्या हैं ?

सुबता बीर प्रतारण मंत्री (थी के॰ के॰ शाह): (क) समाचार विभाग द्वारा हिन्दी में कुल मिलाकर 14 समाचार बलेटिन तैयार किए जाते हैं। इनमें से 9 बलेटिन देश के लिए केन्द्रीय रूप से प्रसारित किए जाते हैं। मेर 5 में से एक ब्लेटिन दिल्ली केन्द्र से क्षेत्रीय प्रसारण में, एक टेलोविजन से भीर तीन विदेशों के लिए प्रसारित किये जाते हैं।

(स) देश के लिए केन्द्रीय रूप से प्रसा-रित किए जाने वाले हिन्दी समाचार बुलेटिनों में से एक या घधिक बुलेटिन धाकाशवाणी के दिल्ली, लखनऊ, ग्रलाहाबाद, पटना, रांची, जलंबर, जबपूर, शिमला, भोपाल, इन्द्रीर. मबुरा, बम्बई, नागपुर, पूना, अहमदाबाद, पणजी, भूज, महास, तिरुपी, विवेन्द्रम. कालोकट, हैदराबाद, विजयवादा, बंगलीर, धारवाड, कलकत्ता, कटक, गीहाटी, कूर्सियांग, कोहिमा, इस्फाल, श्रीनगर, जम्म, पोर्ट क्लेयर केन्द्रा द्वारा रिले किए जाते हैं। वयकि हिन्दी जायो छत्रों के केन्द्र इन में से पश्चिकांच बुलेटिनों को रिले करते हैं, पहिन्दी भाषी बोजों के केन्द्र कम से कम एक ब्लेटिन श्रवस्य रिले करते हैं।

#### बाकाशवाणी के कलाकारों द्वारा प्रस्त श्चापन

3578. भी रामचन्द्र बीरप्याः सचना और प्रसारण मन्त्री 5 जन, 1967 के मतारंकित प्रश्न संध्या 1447 के उत्तर के सम्बन्ध में यह बताने की कपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या ब्राकाशवाणी की स्टाफ मार्टिस्ट एनोसिएकन द्वारा प्रस्तत क्लि गये ज्ञापन पर इस बीख कोई निर्णय कर लिया गया है: ग्रीर
- (ख) यदि नहीं, तो उस पर अन्तिम निर्णय करने में कितना समय सगने की सम्बा-वना है ?

सुबना और प्रसारण मंत्री (भी के० के० बाह): (क) श्रीर (ख). मामला श्रभी विचाराधीन है। क्योंकि खर्चे भीर सम्भावित प्रतिकियाची का बध्ययन करना है: शत: ग्रन्तिम निर्णय लेने में कुछ समय लगेगा। यदापि शीघ्र निर्णय सेने के लिए पूरी कोशिश की जा रही है, तो भी यह नहीं कहा जा सकता कि इसमें कितना समय लगेगा।

#### India-Portural Relations

3579, Shri Shinkre: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether there has been any improvement in the relationship between India and Portugal after India-Pakistan war:
- (b) whether any prominent Western country approached his Ministry recently suggesting high-level talks between them for the resumption of normal diplomatic relations; and
- (c) if so, the reaction of Government thereto?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) to (e). No. Sir. Does not arise.

#### Recruitment of George in Army, Navy and Air Force

3580. Shri Shinkre: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the community-wise number of Goans recruited in Goa for Army, Navy and Air Force, respectively, since independence of that Territory;
- (b) the number of Goans who could not satisfy the rules and regulations of recruitment regarding chest, height and weight;
- (c) whether Government are satisfled with the progress of recruitment campaign in Goa; and
- (d) if not, the steps Government propose to take to boost the campaign for large-scale recruitments?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat): (a) to (d). Recruitment from Goa has been made to the Armed Forces from all communities and has been satisfactory. It is not in public interest to disclose the actual number of persons recruited. Separate statistics in respect of those who could not satisfy the prescribed physical standards are not maintained.

#### Territorial Army Centre in Goa

3581. Shri Shinkre: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether there is any Territorial Army Centre in Goa:
- (b) the extent of response, so far, from Government servants and other civilians, to get themselves recruited in the Territorial Army; and
- (c) if the reply to part (a) above be in the negative, whether Government propose to formulate any scheme to expand the Territorial Army in the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu. specially in Goa, where all types of training facilities are available?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. E. Ehagat):
(a) No. Sir.

- (b) Does not arise.
- (c) There is no proposal at present to formulate any scheme to extend the Territorial Army to the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu.

#### श्रीनगर हवाई श्रद्धा

3582- श्री सिद्धेत्वर प्रसाद : नया रक्षा मन्त्री यह बताने की क्या वर्रेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या श्रोतगर हवाई ग्रह्हे को सदाचल ग्रह्हा बनाने के लिये काई कार्य-कम नैयार विस्था गया है: ग्रीर
- (ख) यदि हा, ना उसका व्योग क्या है भीर उस पर किनना धन खर्च होगा ?

प्रतिरक्षा मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (बी बार राज भगत): (क) प्रोर (ख). श्रीनगर हवाई ग्रह को मर्जः मांगमों के लिए उपयुक्त बनाने के लिए उच्चित उपाय किए जा रहे हैं। ऐसा हवाई ग्रह हैं में लैडिंग और प्रन्य सहाम्य मंगों में सुधार करके किया जा रहा है। चुकि निर्माण कार्य प्रगतिशील है, ग्रन्तगंस्त कुल खर्च बना पाना सम्भव नहीं है।

#### Science Advisory Committee

3583. Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Frime Minister be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have been urged upon for a substantial reorganisation of the Science Advisory Committee of the Union Government both as regards its composition and functions so as to include among its members economists, social scientists and experts in the fields of industry and management, to serve a useful purpose; and
- (b) if so, the reaction of Government thereto?

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shrimati Indira Gandid): (a) and (b). The Education Commission (1964-86) headed by Dr. D. S. Kothari has made some recommendations in regard to the reorganisation of the Scientific Advisory Committee to the Cabinet. Dr. Kothari has also referred to this subject in his Founder Memorial Lecture delivered on April 26, 1967, at the Shri Ram Institute for Industrial Research. This question is being examined.

#### Prime Minister's Secretariat

#### 3584. Shri Bibhuti Mishra: Shri K. N. Tiwary:

Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

- (a) the expenditure incurred on the Prime Minister's Secretariat in 1985 and 1966; and
- (b) whether Government contemplate to reduce the expenditure further?

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shrimati Indira Gandhi): (a) The expenditure on the Prime Minister's Secretariat for the years 1965-66 and 1966-67 was as follows:—

> 1965-66 Rs. 15,39,910·17 1966-67 Rs. 16,22,290·24

(b) Every effort is made to effect economies wherever possible.

#### Weather Broadcast

#### 3585. Shri Bibhuti Mishra: Shri K. N. Tiwary:

Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether adequate arrangements have been made from June to November, 1967 for daily broadcasting in various State languages about weather conditions well in advance so that Kisans may start their cultivation on the basis of forecasts; and
  - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri E. E. Shah): (a) Yes, Sir. Weather reports and weather forecasts are regularly broadcast in rural programmes from all stations of All India Radio.

265 (Ai) LSD-3.

(b) The Director General, Meteorology and the various regional offices of the Meteorological Department supply these bulletins regularly to all All India Radio stations. Arrangements for this purpose already exist.

#### Karnali Hydro-Electric Project in Nepal

3586. Shri Sharda Nand: Shri Hukam Chand Kachwai: Shri Jagannath Rao Joshi: Shri Bharat Singh Chauhan: Shri Baniit Singh:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 367 on the 3rd April, 1967 and state:

- (a) whether the report of the Karnali Hydro-Electric Project in Nepal sent by the Government of Nepal to the Government of India has been studied; and
- (b) if so, the details of the report and the action taken thereon?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) The report is still under study.

(b) Does not arise.

#### Replies to the letters of M.Ps. by Ministers

#### 3587. Dr. Karni Singh: Shrimati Nirien Kaur:

Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state the reasons for the letters from Members of Parliament to various Ministers being replied to by Private Secretaries which is a departure from the practice that prevailed during Prime Minister Nehru's time when communications from Members of Parliament were replied to by the Ministers themselves including the Prime Minister himself

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shrimati Indira Gandhi): There is no desire to depart from the practice to which the hon. Members have referred. On the contrary, it is the constant endeavour of

. ....

the Prime Minister as indeed of other Ministers to answer themselves communications received by them, specially from Members of Parliament.

Departure from the practice is sometimes necessitated by sheer volume of the correspondence; more often, however, it results from the necessity for examining the problems posed by the letters in consultation with other Ministries and Departments of the Government of India and, sometimes, State Governments. In such cases interim replies are sent by officials.

#### विदेशों में प्रचार

3588- भी प्रकाशबीर झास्त्रीः नया वैदेशिक-कार्यं मन्त्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) विदेशों में भारत के दृष्टिकोण को सबस बनाने के उद्देश्य से लोक लेखा समिति डारा विदेशों में प्रवार के सम्बन्ध में पहले विये गये सुझावों को कहां तक कार्य रूप दिया गया है;
- (ख) क्या देश तथा विदेशों के कुछ महत्वपूर्ण समाचार पत्नों ने भी इस मसले पर टिप्पणी की है: भीर
- (ग) यदि हां, तो विदेशों में हमारी प्रचार व्यवस्था कव तक ग्रधिक प्रभावी तथा 'उड्डेस्थपूर्ण बनाई आयेगी?

प्रतिरक्षा नंगी (बी स्वर्ण सिंह): (क) टेलीप्रिंटर प्रणाली का विस्तार विदेश-स्थित कई ग्रीर भारतीय मिशनों में कर दिया गया है, रंगीन समाचार-चित्रों ग्रीर टी॰ बी॰ फिल्मों का उत्पादन बढ़ा दिया गया है, भार-तीय सुबना सेवा के मितिरक्त एकांस स्थापित कर दिए गए हैं ग्रीर सार्वजनिक लेखा समिति की ग्रन्थ सिफारिजों पर ग्रमल किया जा रहा है।

'(व) जीहां।

---

(ग) भनुषय के माझार पर विदेश प्रचार कार्य का सुधार करने तथा उसे श्रक्तिक प्रभावकारी भीर उद्देश्यपूर्ण बनाने की निरन्तर कोशियों की जा रही हैं।

Euten Tribunal

2589. Shri Madhu Limaye:
Shri S. M. Banerjee:
Buri George Fernandes;
Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia:
Shri Sidheshwar Prasad:
Shri Bukam Chand Kachwai:
Shri D. N. Patodia:
Shri Prakash Vir Shastri:
Shri C. C. Desai:
Shri Jagannath Rao Joshi:
Shri Sradhakar Supakar:
Shri B. Barua:
Shri D. C. Sharma:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state what further progress has been made in the arbitration proceedings in the matter of Rann of Kutch?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): Pursuant to the decision of the Indo-Pakistan Western Boundary Case Tribunal taken at its first session held in Geneva in February 1966, both India and Pakistan simultaneously presented their Memorials, Counter-Memorials and Replies to the Tribunal by June 1. August 1, and September 1, 1965, respectively. The oral hearings before the Tribunal commenced on the 15th September, 1966 at Geneva and the Counsel for India addressed the Tribunal. The leading Counsel for Pakistan addressed the Tribunal from 24th October 1966 to the 17th February 1967. Counsel for India menced his reply on the 15th March, 1967 and was due to conclude it by the middle of June. Thereafter, the Counsel for Pakistan will give final reply which may continue upto the middle of July, 1967. The award of the Tribunal is expected later during the year.

Demands of Employees' Union of MRG Factory, Nank

\$500. Shri Madhu Limaye: Shri S. M. Banerjee:

#### Dr. Mam Mancher Lobie: Mari George Fernandes:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state;

- (a) whether Government have received any representation from the union of employees of the MIG Factory at Ozar, Nasik:
- (b) if so, the main demands and grievances of the workers; and
- (c) the steps taken by Government to arrive at a settlement with the employees?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat):
(a) Hindustan Aeronautics Limited had received a representation from the Hindustan Aeronautics (Nasik) Employees' Union in November 1966.

(b) and (c). A statement giving the required information is laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in Libary. See No. LT-783|67]

Indians living abroad

#### 2591. Shri R. S. Vidyarthi: Shri Mohan Swarup: Shri Sidheshwar Prasad:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government propose to set up any Commission or Committee to go into the question of longstanding problems of the Indians living abroad;
- (b) whether it is also a fact that these problems have increased manifold after the Independence of India and emergence of freedom in those countries where Indians are living;
- (c) the details of the steps taken to solve these problems; and
- (d) whether any concrete steps have also been taken for the orderly return of the Indians from abroad and for their rehabilitation?

The Einjater of Defence (Shri Swarm Singh): (a) There is no such intention at present.

- (b) After the independence of those countries where persons of Indian origin are living, there has been some increase in the problems affecting such persons.
- (c) and (d). Steps taken to solve these problems vary from country to country and according to the particular nature of the problem. Every possible step is being taken as and when problems arise, for an amicable settlement, and where necessary by negotiations with the country concerned.

For instance, in the case of Ceylon, the Government of India and Ceylon entered into an Agreement in 1964 under which stateless persons of Indian origin in Ceylon would be granted the citizenship of Ceylon or of India, and those granted Indian citizenship would be accepted for repatriation to India. In the case of Burma, arrangements have been made to bring the repatriates to India as and when the need arose.

Government has provided assistance and facilities under various schemes for rehabilitation of these repatriates, e.g. loans for small trade and business, loan assistance for purchasing plots and construction of houses, reservation of vacancies for employment in Government-owned Undertakings, educational facilities for children, etc.

समाचार भारती के लिये वेबनागरी का दूर-मुद्रक (डेलीजिंटर)

> 3592. श्री हुकम चार कश्चाय : श्री राम सिंह श्रयरेवाल : श्री यशवन्त सिंह कुशवाह :

क्या सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्री यह बताने की कुपा करेंगे कि:

(क) क्या यह सच है कि हिन्दी परिषद् के महामंत्री ने उनके मंत्रालय से प्राचना की है कि समाचार पारती के उपयोग के लिखे देवनागरी का एक दूर-मृद्रक (ठेंनीप्रिंटर) समाया जाये;

- (ख) यदि हां, ती इस सम्बन्ध में क्या प्रगति हुई है; धौर
- (ग) यदि नहीं, तो इसके क्याकारण हैं?

सुबना मौर प्रसारच मंत्री (भी के० के० साह) : (क) जी, हां।

(ख) और (ग). समाचार भारती ने मैसर्स हिन्दुस्तान टेंलीप्रिन्टर लि॰, मद्रास को 150 देवनागरी पेज माडल टेंलीप्रिन्टर समीनों का आईर दिया है। जब तक ये भणीनें प्राप्त नहीं हो जातीं, तब तक के लिये, अंतरिम रूप से, डाकतार विभाग ने समाचार भारती को 4 टेंप-माडल देवनागरी टेंलीप्रिन्टर और 20 रोमन कुंजी पटल युक्त पेज-माडल टेंलीप्रिन्टरपट्टें परदिये हुए हैं। समाचार भारती ने डाक-तार विभाग को दो देवनागरी मशीनें लौटा दी हैं क्योंकि वें ठीक काम नहीं कर रही थीं।

राष्ट्रीय भाग तेना बत 3593- की सर्वृत तिह नवीरिया : की राज तेवक यावव :

क्या रक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या उनके मंत्रालय ने उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार की राष्ट्रीय छात सेना-दल के सवर स्रक्षिकारियों (अंडर प्राफिसर) तथा साजेंट मेजर इंस्ट्रक्टरों के पद समाप्त करने का कोई निदेश दिया गया है; और
- (**च)** यदि हां, तो इन पदों को समाप्त करने के क्या कारण हैं?

प्रतिरक्षा बंधालय में राज्य बंधी (बीब० रा० भगत) (क) पीर (ब). 1963 में एन० सी० सी० के प्रसार के फन:बदप प्रशिक्षकों के स्थामों की पूर्ति के लिए नियमित सेना सेविवर्ग की प्रशाप्ता को दूर करने के लिये विल्कुल अस्थायी रूप से सार्जेट नेजर प्रशिक्षकों और अण्डर आफिसर प्रशिक्षकों के तौर पर केडिट प्रशिक्षकों की नियुक्त किया गया था। नियमित सेना सेविवर्ग की प्राप्यता में तब से सुधार हुआ है और जहां तक सेना के लिये एन० सी० सी७ में जे० सी० घोष० और एन० सी० घोष० की प्रतिक्षण कार्यों के लिये उपलब्ध करने की स्थिति में हैं, केडिट प्रशिक्षक उत्तर प्रदेश में तथा धन्यत बदले जा रहे हैं, जैसा कि पहले मन्तव्य था।

Protest by African students against screening of film "The Naked Prey"

3594. Shri Umanath: Shri Ganesh Ghosh: Shri Mohammad Ismail; Shri B. K. Modak: Shri Bharahan Das:

Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether some African students demonstrated in Bombay, Madras, Calcutta and Poons in front of Theatres in protest against the screening of an American film "The Naked Prey";
- (b) whether Government are of the opinion that the film runs down the Africans; and
- (c) if so, whether Government propose to ban the film in view of the sentiments of the African students?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah): (a) It has been brought to the notice of the Government that some African students demonstrated against the exhibition of the film "The Naked Prey" at Bangalore, Poona and Secunderabad. There was no demonstration at Bombay, Calcutta and Madras. However, at Madras two African students protested to the Manager of a cinema hall against the exhibition of the film.

(b) and (c). The exhibition of the film has been suspended and a notice has been issued to the important, under Section 6 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952, to show cause why the film should not be deemed to be an uncertified film in the whole of India, A.

representation has since been received from the importers of the film and is under examination.

#### Diplomatic Posts

3595. Shri S. R. Damani: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the diplomatic posts held at present by (i) Members of the Indian Administrative Service (ii) persons belonging to the Indian Foreign Service, (iii) retired hands including judges, I.C.S. Officers, and (iv) persons from public life; and
- (b) the date of appointment to the diplomatic posts held by them at present?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh):

- (a) (i)—IAS Officers 5 —ICS, not belonging to IFS 5 (ii) 145
  - (iii) (iv)
- (b) The information is given in the two statements laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-784/67].

News Broadcast regarding arrest of students in Srinagar

## 2596. Shri Bal Raj Madhok: Shri Hardayal Devgun:

Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the All India Radio, Delhi broadcast a false news item on the 26th March, 1967 in its 6.15 p.m. bulletin, which was relayed by the All India Radio, Srinagar stating that the students arrested in connection with Medical College seats agitation in Srinagar were released although the students were still under arrest in the Srinagar Central Jail;
- (b) if so, the action taken against the persons concerned; and
- (c) whether it is a fact that the Press despatches regarding the above

agitation are being suppressed and censored at Srinagar?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri K K. Shah): (a) to (c). On 28th March, 1967 All India Radio, Delhi, broadcast a news item in its Kashmiri bulletin at 6.15 p.m. (which was relayed from Srinagar) as follows:

"The Kashmir Government has released on bail four University students who were taken into custody last evening. The students had gone on an indefinite hunger strike on Friday in connection with admissions to the State Medical College."

This was based on a Press Trust of India message which read as under:

"The Kashmir Government has ordered the release on bail of four University Students who were taken into custody last evening."

"The students had gone on an indefinite hunger strike the day before as a protest against what they called 'discriminatory policy' in admission to the medical college".

Apparently the discrepancy in omitting the word 'ordered' in the news bulletin was an error in editing which is regretted. It has now been ascertained that some of the arrested persons had not been actually released on 26th March, 1967.

## Denial of passports to AITUC Representatives

3597. Shrj C. K Chakrapani: Shri Umanath: Shri K. M. Abrahase: Shri Viswanatha Menose Shri K. Anirudhan:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:---

(a) whether some A.I.T.U.C. representatives were denied pensisteby Government this year;

- (b) if so, the names of the representatives and the purpose of their visits abroad; and
- (c) the reasons for refusing passport facilities to them?

The Minister of Defence Swaran Singh): (a) to (c). The information is being collected and will be placed on the Table of the House.

#### Issue of Passports

3596. Shri P. Gopalan: Shri K. Anirudhan: Shri Umanath: Shri K. M. Abraham: Shri P. P. Esthose: Shri Viswanatha Menon:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have refused to grant Passport facilities to some individuals after the Supreme Court's Judgement on the subject;
- (b) if so, the total number of persons who were denied passports?

The Minister of Defence Swaran Singh): (a) and (b). Yes. Sir. Passport facilities were refused to only one person as proceedings were pending against him in a court of law.

बारत में बने विज्ञानों के लिये मलेशिया को

3599. भी हक्तम चन्द कच्चाम : भी रामसित समरवास :

क्या रक्ता मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) नेया यह सच है कि सरकार ने मलेक्सिया को भारत में बने सैनिक विमान बैरीवर्ने के लिये ऋण देने का नियंग किया है:
- (क) वर्ष हां, ती फिरानी शांकि का च्ह्य किया जावेगा और किय शर्मी पर धूथ विवा वावेश: धीर

(ग) इससे फिलनी विवेती महा कमाई जायेगी ?

Written Answers

प्रतिरका नंत्रालय में राज्य नंत्री (भी व॰ रा॰ भगत) : (क) जी नहीं।

(ब) भीर (ग). प्रश्न नहीं उठते।

दक्षिण बाबीका में एंय-भेद की जीति

3600. भी सिद्धेश्वर प्रसाद : भी प्रक्रि रंजन :

क्या वैदेशिक-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की क्रपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) संयुक्त राष्ट्र संघ ने दक्षिण प्रकीका तथा दक्षिण-पश्चिम ग्रफीका में "रंग-भेद" की नीति को समाप्त करने के लिये क्या कार्यवाही की है तथा इसके परिणामस्वरूप इस समस्या को कहां तक हल किया जा सका है:
- (ख) इस मामले में संयक्त राष्ट्र का घीर क्या कार्यवाही करने का विचार है;
- (ग) झफीकी एशियाई देशों का इस मामले में क्या कार्यवाही करने का विचार 8 ?

प्रतिरका मंत्री (की स्वर्ण सिंह) : (क) संयुक्त राष्ट्र महासभा 1946 से नेकर धव तक रंगमेद की निम्दा करते हुए लगभग हर साल प्रस्ताव पास करती भाई है। 1962 में उसने एक प्रस्ताव पास किया विसर्वे उसने बोचना की कि रंगनेद से अंतरीव्टीय शांति और सुरक्षा को बतरा पैवा हुया है भीर संकृत राष्ट्र ने सदस्य-राज्यों से अनुरोध किया कि वें निम्नतिकित उपाव बंदर्वे : दक्षिण प्राथीका सरकार से राजनविक सम्बन्ध रीकें; बंधियं संगीकां का श्रेटा केहराँने वंखे वनी बढावीं को भएनी बंदरलांडी वें न वाने दें; राजिए प्रामुख्य के वार्ष सर्वाक मार्थार की बावकाट वरै:

बिक्षण सकीका के तमाम हवाई जहावों को उतरने भीर गुजरने की सुविवाएं देने से इनकार कर वें। उसमें सुरक्षा परिवद् से अनुरीय किया कि वह समुचित उपाय बरते, जिनमें प्रतिबंध लगाना वामिल है, ताकि विक्षण सकीका महासभा के प्रस्तावों का पालन करे। 1965 में महासभा ने एक और प्रस्ताव पास किया जिसमें भन्य वातों के साध-साथ रंगभेद से पीड़ित लोगों तथा उनके पांरवारों की सहायता के लिये संयुक्त राष्ट्र ट्रस्ट फंड की स्थापना की गई। ऐसा ही एक प्रस्ताव 1966 में फिर पास किया गया। दिख्या भकीका ने भव तक भपनी रंगभेद की नीतियों को नहीं बदला है।

दक्षिण प्रफीका की सरका रदक्षिण पश्चिम प्रफीका में रंगभेद की जो नीति बरत रही है, उसकी भी इन प्रस्तावों में निन्दा की गई।

(ख) भीर (ग). यह कहना सम्भव नहीं है कि संयुक्त राष्ट्र या एफो-एशियाई देश इस मामले में धार्गे क्या कदम उठायेंगें।

# सस्ते रेडियो का निर्माण

3601- भी नहाराज तिह भारती : स्वा रक्ता मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) सस्ते रेडियो बनाने में कितनी प्रगति हुई है;
- (ब) स्था सरकार ने सस्ते रेडियो बनाने के लिये सरकारी बीज में एक कारखाना स्थापित करने का निर्णय किया है;
- (ग) यदि हां तो सस्ते रेडियो जनताको प्रनुमानतः किस मृत्य पर मिलेंगे;श्रीर
- (मं) क्या एवं योजना में उनत रेडियों के लिये पर्याप्त संख्या में सस्ती ब्राई बैटरी वंशोंने की योजना की सामित है ?

अतिरक्ता भेषातथ में राज्य नेपी (की व० २३० जन्म) : (व.) देकियो रिजीवर्री के निर्माण के सिथे सभी नवस्थापित समता भा 75 प्रतिकत केवल मीडियम वेव प्रौर मीडियम तथा कार्ड वेव सम्मिलित कम कीमत के रेडियो सेटों के उत्पादन के लिये प्रयुक्त की जानी है। कम कीमत के सेट बाखार में घाने कुक हो गये हैं चीर बड़ी संख्या में प्राप्त हो जायेंगे जघी स्वीकृत की नई नई समता उत्पादन कुक कर वेगी!

- (बा) जी नहीं।
- (ग) प्रश्न नहीं उठता ।
- (খ) মহল দহুট বঠনা । Engine for HF-24 Aircraft Designed By Hindustan Aeronautics

3662. Shri Sidheshwar Frasad: Shrimati Sharda Mukerjee: Shri Sitaram Kesri:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that an engine was designed and prepared at the Hindustan Aeronautics Ltd., Bangalore which could enable India's supersonic aircraft HF-24 to fly at Mach-2 speed;
- (b) if so, whether the engine was given a trial and all requisite facilities for further development and research were made available to the Hindustan Aeronautics L4d; and
- (c) if not, the reasons for not pursuing the matter further?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. E. Bingui):
(a) No. Sir.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

चूचना और प्रतारण कार्य में तिये राज्यों की सहायता

3603- जी शहाराश सिंह नारती : नया सूचना और जलारण नंती यह बताने की कृपा करेंचे कि :

(क) राजों को चालू वर्ष में शूचना बीर प्रसारण के निष्ठें किसमी संहानेती की का विचार है;

- (कं) क्या सरकार की पता है कि मित्तक्यियता की दृष्टि से कुछ राज्यों में सूचना विभागों की गतिविधियों में कमी की जा रही है; और
- (ग) यदि हां, तो क्या सरकार का विचार उन राज्यों की सूचना सम्बन्धी गतिविधियों में कटोती किये जाने के कारण उनके मनुदानों में कमी करने का है?

पुषना और मसारण मंत्री (भी के० के० शाह): (क) राज्य सरकारों को दी जाने वाली भाविक सहायता की वर्तमान व्यवस्था के धन्तर्गत सूचना भीर प्रसारण मंत्रालय राज्य सरकारों को उन पंचायती रेडियो सैटों को बरीवने के लिये जो "सामदायिक श्रवण योजना'' के अन्तर्गत खरीद कर देहाती क्षेत्रों में लगाये जाते हैं वार्षिक मनावर्ती मनुदान देता है। यह धनुदान सेट की कीमत का 50 प्रतिशत होता है परन्तु इसकी प्रधिकतम सीमा 125 रुपये प्रति सेट है । इन रेडियो षेटों की देख-रेख का सारा खर्चा सम्बन्धित राज्य सरकारें उठाती हैं। इस प्रयोजन के लिये केन्द्रीय बजटों में इकम्प्रत वार्षिक व्यवस्था की जाती है। 1967-68 के बजट में इसके लिये 30 लाख रुपये की व्यवस्था है। चब तक दी गई ऐसी सहायता को उसी घाघार पर भागे भी जारी रखने का विचार है।

- (का) सरकार ने इस प्रकार के समाचार धवारों में पढ़े हैं। उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार ने यह सूचित किया है कि गांगों में काफ़ी लोगों के पास धपने रेडियो और ट्रांजिस्टर सेट होने के कारण सामुदायिक श्रवण योजना की उपयोगिता गांगों में नहीं रही और राज्य सरकार ने भारत सरकार की सहायता योजना के धन्तर्गत सब और रेडियो सेट न सेने का निर्णय किया है। किन्तु राज्य सरकार को यह जिल्ला जा रहा है कि वह इस योजना में भाग लेते रहने के जिये सहमत हो आयें।
- (ग) क्रपर (च) में स्पष्ट की गई विवर्षि को देखते हुए प्रका नहीं उठता ।

प्रजेपणास्य खोडने का उपकरण

3604- भी महाराख सिंह भारती: क्या रका मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या सरकार को पता है कि ग्रमरीका सरकार ने बिस्कुल सही निवाने पर तथा प्रकाश की गति से जाने वाले प्रक्षेपणास्त्र छोड़ने के एक उपकरण का ग्राविष्कार किया है;
- (ख) यदि हां तो क्या सरकार ने चीन की भीर से भाणविक तथा प्रक्षेपणास्त्र के बढ़ते हुए संभावित खतरे को देखते हुए इस उपकरण के बारे में विस्तृत जानकारी प्राप्त करने का प्रयत्न किया है; भीर
- (ग) भारत में इस उपकरण को बनाने के मार्ग में क्या क्या मार्थिक, राजनैतिक तथा नैतिक वाक्षाएं हैं ?

प्रतिरक्षा मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्रीः व०रा०भगत): (क) इस विषय में सरकार को कोई पक्की सूचना प्राप्य नहीं है।

(ঝ) तथा (ग), प्रश्न नहीं उठते । Protest by Ambaia M.E.S. Workers' Union

3605. Shri S. M. Banerjee: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased tostate:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Ambala M.E.S. Workers' Union along with its branches throughout Punjab, have taken a decision to boycott the receipt of pay on 1st June, 1967 as a protest against the non-implementation of orders regarding field service concessions sanctioned by his Ministry; and
- (b) if so, reasons for this abnormal delay in the implementation of the orders and steps taken by Government in this regard?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shirt B. R. Bhagat):
(a) Government received a capt of a

letter from the MES Workers' Union (Area Committee), Ambala, dated 27-4-1967 containing inter-alia a decision that in case the issue of field service concessions for MES employees was not favourably considered, all such employees of the Punjab area would resort to a pay strike for a fortnight in the month of June 1967 and that the salaries of the month of May 1967 would not be accepted on

the pay days of June as a sign

protest.

(b) The claims preferred under the relevant Government orders were not passed in Audit, having regard to the fact that MES persons employed at the airfields at Ambala and other stations specified in the Government orders, formed a part of static formation and, according to the general policy of the Government, field service concessions are not admissible employees of static formations irrespective of their locations. The matter had, therefore, to be re-examined in detail and a fresh Government sanction was issued on the 22nd June 1967 to meet the audit objections and to clarify the decision of Government,

# Constitution of Armed Forces Service

## 3606. Shri Onkar Lal Berwa: Shri Onkar Singh:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether there is any proposal to constitute an Armed Forces Service; and
  - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat):
(a) and (b). A proposal to constitute an Armed Forces Head-quarters Civil Service for civilian employees of Armed Forces Headquarters and Inter Service Organisations is under consideration of Government.

#### Passports for U.K.

3667. Shri Ramachandra Uizka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena: Shri Heerji Bhai; Shri K. Pradhani:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of passports issued. for the United Kingdom during the last three months:
- (b) the number of applications received during the above period; and
- (c) the number of applications rejected during the same period?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) The number of passports issued for U.K. during the last three months is 13228; while 548 applications are being processed.

- (b) The number of applications received during the period in questions is 13775.
- (c) One application has been rejected during the period.

Repatriation of Indians from Burma

3608. Shri Ramathandra Ulaka: Shri Dhujeshwar Meena: Shri Heerji Bhai: Shri K. Pradhani:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether there is any fresh repatriation of Indians from Burma since April, 1967; and
  - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) and (b). 150 Indian nationals have been repatriated by air during April and May, 1967. There has been no repatriation by sea since the end of 1966.

# Staff and Guest artistes of A.I.R.

3600. Shrimati Lakshmikanthanssa: Will the Minister of Information and Breadouting be pleased to state the:

ratio of the aggregate time allotted to A.I.R. staff artistes and that allotted to guest artistes in the music grammes of the All India Radio during 1964-65, 1965-66 and 1966-67?

The Minister of Information Broadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah): The time and labour involved in the collection of the information asked will not be commensurate with results to be achieved.

# Ratio between Expenditure on Broadcasting Staff and Broadcasting Programme

# 3610. Shrimati Lakshmikanthamma: Will the Minister of Information and

Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) the amount spent on the salaries and allowances of broadcasting staff and broadcasting programmes respectively during the years 1964-65, 1965-66 and 1966-67;
- (b) the reasonable ratio as between the above items; and
- (c) in case this reasonable ratio does not obtain as above, what steps Government contemplate to bring about the radio?

The Minister of Iinformation and

Broadcasting (Shri K K. Shah): (a)

(a)	Expenditure on	Actuals 1964-65	Actuals 1965-66	Final grant* 1966-67	Remarks
(i) (ii)	Broadcasting Staff Broadcasting programmes	2,63,05,651 1,12,77,587	3,03,76,205 1,42,32,976	3,45,67,000 1,56,04,300	*Actuals for 1966-67 have not been comp-

"Broadcasting Staff" includes besides the Programme Staff, the Pay and allowances of Engineering, administrative, clerical staff and class IV personnel. Expenditure on Broadcasting programmes includes expenditure on fees of staff artists, casual artists etc.

- (b) It is not possible to prescribe any ratio between expenditure on the salaries of broadcasting staff and broadcasting programmes, because it depends upon the availability of talent within the organisation and the talent required to be booked from outside.
  - (c) Does not arise.

#### Broadcasting Time

- 3611. Shrimati Lakshmikanthamma: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that the aggregate broadcasting time has been cut down by the All India Radio recently;
  - (b) if so, the reasons therefor; and

(c) the anticipated affect of these cuts on the overall popularity of the AIR?

Audit yet.

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah): (a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

#### Soviet Film Festival

- 3612. Shri Ram Charan: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:
- (a) whether it is a fact that a Soviet Film Festival was organised with effect from the 19th May, 1967;
- (b) if so, the total expenditure incurred;
- (c) the number of invitees/delegates; and
- (d) the number of officials of the Ministry and the number of officers belonging to other Media Units who attended the Festival?

The Minister of Information and Breadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah); (a) to (d). The Third Festival of Soviet Films was held in India under the Cultural Exchange Programme effective from the 19th May, 1967 for one week each at New Delhi, Hyderabad, Bangalore, Calcutta and Bombay. Expenditure was incurred on the stay and internal travel of the Soviet film delegation consisting of four persons. The delegation returned on 14th June. 1967 to Moscow and the details of expenditure incurred are being collected.

Invitations were confined to inaugural function held at each centre, 1039 persons were invited to inaugural function held at New Delhi on 19th May, 1967. The number of officials of the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting and of its media units who attended the function was 7 and 6 respectively.

# भोजपूरी कार्यक्रम का प्रसारण

3613. श्री मृत्युंचय प्रसाद : स्या सुचना चीर प्रतारक मंत्री 22 मई 1967 के वतारांकित प्रश्न संख्या 29 के उत्तर के सम्बन्ध में यह बताने की कूपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या झाकासवाणी के पटना केन्द्र से यह भागा की जाती है कि वह उत्तर प्रदेश के पूर्वी जिलों, मध्य प्रदेश के कुछ भागों ग्रीर नेपाल की तराई तथा समुदे विहार के क्षेत्रों के लिये जहां मगही, मैथिली और मोजपुरी भाषाएं समझी जाती हैं तथा उनका प्रयोग शिक्षा जाता है, धपने कार्यकर्मों का प्रसारण बरता है:
- (क) क्या वह भी सच है कि मोजपुरी इन होती में सर्वाधिक बोली जाने वाली मापा 🛊: चीर
- (म) बहि हो, तो क्या सरकार का विचार बोबपुरी कार्यकरों के प्रशासन के शिर्द निमत क्या को कार्य का है ?

सचना और प्रसारन मंत्री (भी के॰ के॰ श्राह) (क) पटना केन्द्र, सिवाय खोटानागप्र प्रधाग (दक्षिण बिहार) के, जो रांची बोन के बन्दर बाता है, समस्त बिहार के लिये प्रसारण करता है। पटना केन्द्र से प्रसारित कार्यक्रम उत्तर प्रदेश के पूर्वी जिली मध्य प्रदेश के कुछ भागों और नेपाल की तराई में भी विस्तृत रूप से सुने जाते हैं।

(ब) भोजपुरी पश्चिम भीर उत्तर-पश्चिम बिहार तथा पूर्वी उत्तर प्रदेश की बोली है। इसके प्रतिरिक्त मैपिली भीर मगही बोलियां भी उत्तर, उत्तर-पूर्व भीर मध्य बिहार में बोली जाती हैं।

# (ग) जी नहीं।

Election of Canteen Committee, C.O.D. of Delbi

3614. Shri S. M. Banerice: Shri Madhu Limaye:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the elections of the Canteen Committee, C.O.D., Delhi Cantonment have not been held even after a lapse of three Years:
- (b) if so, whether this constitutes a violation of the Factories Act; and
- (c) when the elections are proposed to be held?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat); (a) and (b). The biennial election of workers' representatives on the Canteen Managing Committee of the Central Ordnance Depot, Delhi Cantt., was due in April 1966 under the Delhi Factories Rules, 1950. The election has not been held so far as there were doubts about the classification of ourtain categories of employees of t Depot as 'workers' under the Fasteries Act. 1966.

(a) On Mh July, 1987.

#### C.O.D. Cooperative Stores, Delhi Cantt.

## 3815. Shri S. M. Banerjee; Shri Madha Limaye:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether elections of the New C.O.D. Cooperative Store, Delhi Cantonment have not yet been held;
  - (b) if so, the reasons therefor;
- (c) whether the Accounts of the said Cooperative Stores have not been audited; and
  - (d) if so, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat):
(a) and (b). The elections could not be held, as scheduled, as the statement of audited accounts and the audit report were not received from the auditors in time. The elections have since been held on the 17th June, 1967.

- (c) The accounts have since been audited.
  - (d) Does not arise.

Works of the Late Jawaharial Nehru

3616. Shri George Fernandes:
Shri Rabi Ray:
Shri Madhu Limaye:
Shri J. H. Patel:

Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have taken in hand the publication of the collected works of the late Jawaharlai Nehru;
- (b) if so, the works that are being published, and how many volumes of them are expected to be published;
- (c) whether any royalty on these publications is to be paid; and
  - (d) if so, to whom?

The Minister of Information and Breadensting (Shrj K. E. Shah): (a) Not yet, Sir. Preliminary work on the project is expected to start shortly.

- (b) The proposal is to publish the writings, speeches and letters, parsonal and official, of the late Jawaharlal Nehru under the series "Collected Works of Jawaharlal Nehru". The complete works are expected to be published in about 40 volumes.
- (c) and (d). The whole question is still under examination.

#### Asian Security Conference

#### 3617. Shri Jyotirmoy Basu: Shri Sidheshwar Prasad:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the names of the Members of the Indian contingent which attended the recent Asian Security Conference held in Delhi under the joint auspices of the Institute of Strategic Studies and the Indian Council of World Affairs along with their designations;
- (b) whether his Ministry contributed towards the expenditure for holding this top-secret non-official conference:
- (c) whether classified information regarding our defence capabilities were disclosed to Western and pro-Western foreign Delegates and Observers and also their Indian contacts; and
- (d) whether any Indian citizen wita earlier training in M.I.T. Centre for International Studies and Pentagon Strategic Studies Working Groups was associated with the organisation of this conference?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat):
(a) The names and designations of the Indian participants in the Conference are given in the statement laid on the Table of the House, [Placed in Library, See No. LT-765/67].

- (b) No, Sir.
- (e) No. Siz.

7495

(d) We are not aware whether any of the participants had such earlier training.

> नेपाल में भारत-विरोधी प्रकार 3618- वी कि॰ व॰ तिह : भी भारदानन्द : भी हुकस बन्द कछवाय :

क्या वैवेशिक-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि भारत-नेपाल के सम्बन्धों को बिगाइने के लिये नेपाल के कुछ लोग सकिय रूप से भारत-विरोधी प्रचार कर रहे हैं; भौर
- (ख) यदि हां, तो इस सम्बन्ध में सरकार ने क्या कार्यवाही की है ?

प्रतिरक्षा मंत्री (बी स्वर्ण सिंह):
(क) जी हां । बीन भीर पाकिस्तान से
निकट सम्बन्ध रक्षने वाले दल इस तरह की
कार्याइयां कर रहे हैं। नेपाल-स्थित चीनी
राजदूतावास ने भारत-विरोधी फिल्में दिखाकर
तथा भ्रपने अधिकृत बुलेटिनों भीर भाषणों में
भारत भीर भारत के विभिन्न नेताओं का
भ्रपमानजनक उल्लेख करके भारत-विरोधी
प्रचार किया है।

(बा) भारत-नेपाल सम्बन्धों की नींब पक्की है भीर इस तरह की कोशियों पर कोई खास चितित नहीं है ! छपी हुई सामग्रों के रूप में खुल्लम-खुल्ला की जाने वाली कार्रवाइयों का बरावर खंडन किया जाता है। जहां तक नेपाल-स्थित चीनी राजदूनावास की खास-खांस भारत-विरोधी कार्रवाइयों का सवाल है भारत सरकार ने नेपाल सरकार का ध्यान इन प्रनुचित बातों की मार भाकर्षित किया है भीर 5 मई. 1967 को नेपाल सरकार के समक्ष विरोध प्रकट किया है। भारत सरकार को भरोसा है कि नेपाल के महामहिम की सरकार नेपाल में विवेशी मिननों को भारत के बिलाफ शनुता-पूर्व प्रचार करने की इजावत नहीं देगी, बासकर इन मिलनों के मधिकृत बुलैटिनों में श्रवता उनकी किसी घन्य सरकारी गति-विधियों में।

कांठमांडु में चीनी राजदूताबास ने 17 जून 1967 को काठमांडू में गोचर हवाई **बड्डे पर भारत-विरोधी प्रदर्शन किया ।** चीनियों द्वारा असभ्य, श्रगजनियकः भौर बर्बर रीति से व्यवहार करने का यह नवीनतम उदाहरण है जो कि पीकिंग में हमारे राज-दुताबास के अधिकारियों के प्रति किये गये व्यवहार में बहुत-ही स्पष्ट रीति से मभिव्यक्त हुमा है। 18 जून 1967 को नेपाल-स्थित हमारे राजदूतावास ने नेपाल-स्थित चीनी राजदूतावास द्वारा भारत के प्रति--जोकि नेपाल का मित्र देश है--किये गये शब्दवत् व्यवहार के इस प्रदर्शन के खिलाफ़ नेपाल के महामहिम की सरकार से विरोध प्रकट किया। ऐसा समझा जाता है कि नेपाल के महामहिम की सरकार ने, जिसे यह जानकर बड़ा ग्राप्टवर्ष हथा या, काठमांडू हवाई भट्टे पर इस तरह की घटनाओं को रोकने के लिये क़दम उठाये 費1

नेपाल के साथ भारत के गहरे, विविध्य और मैलीपूर्ण संबंधों के संबंधों में, हम यह महसूस करते हैं कि कुछ मिलत देशों द्वारा कमी-कभी उकसाई गई भ्रामक घटनाओं के बावजूद, नेपाल के लोग और विशेषकर, नेपाल के महामहिम की सरकार नेपाल में भारत के शत्रु देशों द्वारा ऐसे मनुष्ति भीर शरारतपूर्ण व्यवहार का समर्थन नहीं करेगी या उनका हौसला नहीं बढ़ायेगी।

कथी-कभी नेपाल के कुछ प्रख्वारों में भारत के बारे में मनत बातें देखने में भाई हैं। विभिन्न प्रश्नों पर प्रपनी नीति का समुचित प्रचार करने से भौर समझा-बुझाकर सचा-सम्मव इनका प्रतिकार करना ही होता है।

पृथ्वीर्थल हवाई छड्डा (उत्तर प्रवेश)
3619- सी जागेत्रवर : क्या रहा।
प्रजी यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

 (क) उत्तर प्रदेश में पृथ्वीयंव्य (प्रतापगढ़) हवाई भट्ठा कव से प्रवीम नहीं पुरानी नुद्राओं तथा तिक्कों का भूत्य 3626. भी भृत्युंचय प्रताव : भी कार्तिक घोराघों : भी शक्ति रंजन : भी शक्त्मीक चीवरी : भी न० प्र० यावच :

क्या प्रवास मंत्री 5 जून, 1967 के तारा-कित प्रश्न संख्या 277 के उत्तर के सम्बन्ध में यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या दुलभं तथा पुरानी मुद्राभों तथा सिक्कों का मूल्य उन में लगाई गई धातु के तोल के भनुसार निर्धारित किया जाता है भयवा उन के महत्व तथा दुलभंता के आधार पर;
- (ब) क्या तार्वे के दुलर्भ तथा पुराने सिक्कों का मूल्य तार्वे के तोल के घाघार पर निर्घारित किया जायेगा:
- (ग) यदि नहीं, तो किन कारणों से यह कहा गया था कि सीने के सिक्के उनमें नगाये गये सोने के मूल्य के ब्रिधक मूल्य पर बैंचे गये हैं:
- (घ) जब वे सिक्के वेचे जाते हैं तो उन मैं लगे हुये सोने का मूल्य सोने के अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय मूल्य के अनुसार कितना होता है भीर वे किस मूल्य पर वेचे गये हैं।
- (ङ) क्या उन सिक्कों की विकी करने से पहले उन सिक्कों के बेचे जाने की सूचना उन लोगों को, जो ऐसे सिक्कों का ज्यापार करते हैं तथा सिक्कों का संग्रह करने वाले लोगों तथा संग्रहालयों को दी जाती है; भीर
- (च) यदि हां, तो उनका देशवार ज्यौराक्या है ?

प्रयाग गंगी तथा अथु सनित गंगी (श्रीनती हन्दिरा गंगी) : (क) तथा (ख). पुराने सिन्हों का, चाहे वे किसी भी धातु के हों, मूल्यांकन न केवल उनमें समी धातु के साधार पर बल्कि उनकी दुवैमैता भीर एतिहासिक महत्व को ध्यान में रख कर किया जाता है।

- (ग) तथा (थ). एसा यह बताने के लिये कहा गया था कि सिक्कों को बेचने से, उनमें लगे सोने के उस समय के अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय मूल्य से ज्यादा रकम प्राप्त हुई। उस समय सोने का अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय भाव सगभग 5 पये 40 पैसे प्रति ग्राम था। सगभग 661.8 ग्राम बजन के 103 सिके अमरीका में 1527 डासर (6,875 पये अववा सगभग 10 रुपये 40 पैसे प्रति ग्राम) में बेचे गये, भीर 1327.9 ग्राम भार के 271 सिक्के बिटेन में 803 पीष्ट (10,840 रुपये अववा सगभग 8 रुपये 20 पैसे प्रति ग्राम) में बच्चे गये।
- (ङ) तथा (च). राष्ट्रीय रक्षा कोष की कार्यकारिणी समिति के निर्णय के धनुसार विजय की व्यवस्था धमरीका तथा ब्रिटेन में हमारे दूताबासों द्वारा की गई और घधिक से प्रधिक प्राप्त पेशकश स्वीकार की गई। बांछित व्यौरा यहां उपलब्ध नहीं है।

Participation of a Cabinet Minister in Security Council

3627. Shri B. S. Sharma: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) Whether it is a fact that a senior member of the Indian Cabinet is leaving for U.S.A. to take part in the Security Council's deliberations; and
  - (b) if so, the name of the Minister?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) and (b). The Minister of External Affairs, Shri M. C. Chagla has gone to New York to lead the Indian delegation to the Emergency Special Session of the United Nations General Assembly. He will also participate in the meetings of the Security Council as and When necessary:

Abelition of Cantonment Boards 2025 Shri George Fernandes: Shri Mathu Limaye: Shri Rabi Eny:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Cantonments in the country whose civic administration is in the hands of Cantonment Boards;
- (b) whether Government propose to sholish the Cantonment Boards and establish Municipal Councils in all these Cantonment areas; and
  - (c) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. B. Bhagat):
(a) 62, Sir.

- (b) There is no such proposal under consideration of Government.
- (c) Cantonment Boards serve a useful purpose, and it is proposed to continue them inter alia for the following reasons:
  - (i) Cantonments are really military stations where the Army lives and is trained. The civil population in cantonments initially sprang up to cater for the needs of the garrison stationed there. It is mainly confined to bazar areas which form only a small part of the total area of the cantonments: The larger part consists of barracks and bungalow areas, parade grounds, recreation grounds, etc. Cantonments are, therefore, primarily military stations and the overriding consideration there is the military interest.
  - (ii) It is an accepted policy in the administration of military

stations that the Commander should be responsible for the health and welfare of the Armed Forces personnel and his authority in this respect should be unquestionable and undivided.

- (iii) It is unlikely that State Government will be in a position to assume responsibility for local administration in Cantonment areas to fulfil inter alia the military needs. Their hands are full and it may not be possible for them to undertake any further finaucial commitments. The then State Government of Bombay, who were requested to establish a municipality in Dehu to look after the civic needs of Dehu military station, suggested that the Ministry of Defence should form Cantonment.
- (iv) The general view of the civil population resident in Cantonment areas appears to be in favour of continuance of Cantonment administration. It was decided some time back to excise certain civil areas for which the Army has no use in the Cantonments of Ambala, Poona and Agra. The civil population resident therein objected to such excision and ultimately the proposals had to be dropped.
- (v) Cantonment Board are local bodies in every sense of the term and enjoy limited powers. For purpose of Convenience, the powers of State Government are vested in the General Officer Commanding-in-Chief and the Government of India. The G.O.C.-in-C functions under the overall control of Government of India which in turn, is reponsible to Parliament.

965 (Ai) LSD-4,

750I

पुरानी नुद्राधों संवातिकों का जूका
3626 भी मृत्युंचय प्रसाद :
भी करितक घोराधों :
भी चित्र रंजन :
भी चास्त्रीति चौचरी :
भी न० प्र० यादच :

क्या प्रकान मंत्री 5 जून, 1967 के तारां-कित प्रश्न संख्या 277 के उत्तर के सम्बन्ध में यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या दुलमं तथा पुरानी मुद्राम्यों तथा सिक्कों का मूल्य उन में लगाई गई धातु के तोल के मनुसार निर्धारित किया जाता है मयना उन के महत्व तथा दुलमंता के सामार पर;
- (ख) क्या तार्वे के दुलमं तथा पुराने सिक्कों का मूल्य तार्वे के तोल के ब्राधार पर निर्वारित किया जायेगा;
- (ग) यदि नहीं, तो किन कारणों से यह कहा गया था कि सोने के सिक्के उनमें लगावे गये सोने के मूल्य से मिश्वक मूल्य पर विषे गये हैं;
- (व) जब वे सिक्के वेचे जाते हैं तो उन कों लगे हुये सोने का मूल्य सोने के अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय मूल्य के अनुसार कितना होता है और वे किस मूल्य पर वेचे गये हैं।
- (ङ) क्या उन सिक्कों की विकी करने से पहले उन सिक्कों के बेचे जाने की सूचना उन लोगों को, जो ऐसे सिक्कों का क्यापार करते हैं तथा सिक्कों का संग्रह करने बाले लोगों तथा संग्रहासयों को दी जाती है; और
- (च) यदि हां, तो उनका देशवार ज्यौरा क्या है ?

प्रवास संजी तथा वायु शनित संजी (बीमती दिन्दरा गांची) : (क) तथा (ब). गुराने सिक्डों का, वाहे वे किसी थी धातु के हों, मूल्यांकन भ केवल उनमें सधी धातु के साधार पर बल्कि उनकी दुर्लर्थता भीर एतिहासिक महत्व को स्थान में रख कर किया जाता है।

- (ग) तथा (घ). एसा यह बताने के लिये कहा गया था कि सिक्कों को बेचने से, उनमें लगे सोने के उस समय के अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय मूल्य से ज्यादा रकम प्राप्त हुई। उस समय सोने का अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय भाव लगभग 5 पये 40 पैसे प्रति साम था। लगभग 661.8 साम बजन के 103 सिके अमरीका में 1527 डालर (6,875 पये झयवा लगभग 16 रुपये 40 पैसे प्रति साम) में बेचे गये, भीर 1327.9 साम थार के 271 सिक्के बिटेन में 803 पीण्ड (10,840 रुपये झयवा लगभग 8 रुपये 20 पैसे प्रति साम) में बचे गये।
- (क) तथा (च). राष्ट्रीय रक्षा कोष की कार्यकारिणी समिति के निर्णय के अनुसार विकय की व्यवस्था समरीका तथा बिटेन में हमारे दूतावासों द्वारा की गई और अधिक से अधिक प्राप्त पेशकश स्वीकार की गई। बांछित व्यौरा यहां उपलब्ध नहीं है।

Participation of a Cabinet Minister in Security Council

3627. Shri B. S. Sharma: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that a senior member of the Indian Cabinet is leaving for U.S.A. to take part in the Security Council's deliberations; and
  - (b) If so, the name of the Minister?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) and (b). The Minister of External Affairs, Shri M. C. Chagla has gone to New York to lead the Indian delegation to the Emergency Special Session of the United Nations General Assembly. He will also participate in the meetings of the Security Council as and when necessary.

Abolition of Cantonment Boards

Shri George Fernandes: Shri Madhu Limaye: Shri Rabi Ray:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Cantonments in the country whose civic administration is in the hands of Cantonment Boards;
- (b) whether Government propose to abolish the Cantonment Boards and establish Municipal Councils in all these Cantonment areas; and
  - (c) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat):
(a) 62. Sir.

- (b) There is no such proposal under consideration of Government.
- (c) Cantonment Boards serve a useful purpose, and it is proposed to continue them inter alia for the following reasons:
  - (i) Cantonments are really military stations where the Army lives and is trained. The civil population in cantonments initially sprang up to cater for the needs of the garrison stationed there. It is mainly confined to bazar areas which form only a small part of the total area of the cantonments. The larger part consists of barracks and bungalow areas. parade grounds, recreation grounds, etc. Cantonments are, therefore, primarily military stations and the overriding consideration there is the military interest.
  - (ii) It is an accepted policy in the administration of military

stations that the Commander should be responsible for the health and welfare of the Armed Forces personnel and his authority in this respect should be unquestionable and undivided.

- (iii) It is unlikely that State Government will be in a position to assume responsibility for local administration in Cantonment areas to fulfil inter alia the military needs. Their hands are full and it may not be possible for them to undertake any further financial commitments. The then State Government of Bombay. who were requested to establish a municipality in Dehu to look after the civic needs of Dehu military station, suggested that the Ministry of Defence should form Cantonment.
- (iv) The general view of the civil population resident in Cantonment areas appears to be in favour of continuance of Cantonment administration. It was decided some time back to excise certain civil areas for which the Army has no use in the Cantonments of Ambala, Poona and Agra. The civil population resident therein objected to such excision and ultimately the proposals had to be dropped.
- (v) Cantonment Board are local bodies in every sense of the term and enjoy limited powers. For purpose of Convenience, the powers of State Government are vested in the General Officer Commanding-in-Chief and the Government of India. The G.O.C.-in-C functions under the overall control of Government of India which in turn, is responsible to Parliament.

7505

3029. भी नाष्ट्रान सहिरवार : क्या सूचना और प्रसारच मंत्री यह बताने की कुपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सब है कि मध्य प्रदेश से बने गये कुछ संसद सदस्यों ने नवगांव (छतरपुर मध्य प्रदेश) में एक रेडियो स्टेशन स्थापित किये जाने की मांग की है;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो उस पर सरकार का क्या कार्यवाही करने का विचार है; भीर
- (ग) उस पर कब तक निर्णय कर लिया जायेगा ?

सुस्ता और प्रसारण मंत्री (भी के॰ के॰ साह): (क) नवगांव में एक रेडियो केन्न की स्थापना से सम्बन्धित छत्तरपुर की जिला कांग्रेस कमेटी द्वारा पारित एक प्रस्ताव प्राप्त हवा है।

(ख) धीर (ग). बुन्देलखण्ड क्षेत्र में एक रेडियो केन्द्र खोलने का प्रस्ताव है। इसकी स्थिति का निर्णय, तकनीकी दृष्टिकोण से धीर प्रोप्राम तैयार करने संबंधी बातों का ध्यान रखते हुये स्थान की उपयुक्तता का सर्वेक्षण करने के बाद लिया जायेगा। इस प्रायोजन को कार्योन्वित करने का काम तभी हाथ में लिया जायेगा, जब भपेक्षित साधन धीर बिदेशी मुद्रा उपलब्ध हो जायेगे।

# Correspondence between Armed Forces Personnel and M.Ps.

2636. Shri Nitiraj Singh Chaudhary: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Armed Forces personnel are permitted to correspond with their representatives in Parliament;
- (b) if so, the subjects on which they can correspond; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor and whether Government propose to permit them to correspond with Members of Parliament as in U.K.?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat): (a) to (c). Armed Forces personnel are subject to the provisions of the Army Act, the Navy Act and the Air Force Act and the rules thereunder as also orders issued by Government and the Service Headquarters. Armed Forces personnel are not permitted to conduct correspondence relating to Defence matters with the Members of Parliament direct. They are also prohibited from seeking redress of personal grievances except through authorised channels. The Chiefs of Staff may reply to letters of Members of Parliament on ordinary matters keeping the Ministry informed. No change in the present position is contemplated.

The position obtaining in U.K. is not known.

#### Acquisition of Land in M.P. for Defence Purposes

3631. Shri Nitiraj Singh Chaudhary: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the area of Bardha and adjoining villages in Madhya Pradesh is proposed to be acquired for establishing a Defence Establishment;
- (b) if so, the total area proposed to be acquired and the number of families who would be uprooted;
- (c) whether Government propose to provide alternative houses and lands to the persons to be affected by the acquisition; and
- (d) if not, whether Government will consider the possibility of acquiring alternative Government Forest area, adjoining the aforesaid area?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri R. R. Bhagat):
(a) A proposal in this regard is under consideration.

- (b) The proposal envisages the acquisition of 13,158 acres of private land. (The total requirement is 25,572 acres and the balance of 12,714 acres is made up of State Government revenue/forest land). About 1,100 families are likely to be affected.
- (e) Compensation for the lands and houses acquired will be paid according to law. As regards rehabilitation of the affected persons, the matter will be primarily for the State Government to consider.
- (d) Approximately 5,163 acres of Government forest land considered suitable is already included in the proposal. The adjacent Government forest land is not considered suitable for the project.

#### Defence Production Centres in Vindhya-Satpura Ranges

2632. Shri Nitiraj Singh Chaudhary: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the area of Madhya Pradesh lying between Vindhya and Satpura ranges is out of the normal Air Force striking power of countries that attacked India in the past:
- (b) whether the above area is also centrally situated and is approachable from all parts of the country; and
- (c) if so, whether Government consider the desirability of locating more defence producing centres in the aforesaid hills?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) It will not be in public interest to divulge our knowledge of the capability of such countries.

(b) and (c) The suitability of an area for location of a Defence Production Unit is determined not only from the point of view of its central location and approachability from all parts of the country, but also by other important factors like availability of water, power, raw materials and other

facilities, its topography, etc. In any case, at present there is no proposal to establish any new Defence Producing centres.

# Constitution of Programme Advisory Committee

2633. Shri B. N. Shastri: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state the rules and procedure for constituting the Programme Advisory Committee for the Broadcasting Station of All India Radio?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah): The procedure for the constitution of a Programme Advisory Committee for a Broadcasting Station of All India Radio is that the Officer in charge of the Station concerned draws up a panel of about 20 suitable names representing various interests like literature, art, science, technology, sports, Industry etc.; for appointment as members of the Committee. The panel is shown by the Officer in charge to the State Government concerned with a view to ascertaining whether they have any objection to any of the names included therein. The panel together with the views of the State Government and additional names, if any, suggested by the State Government is sent by the Officer in charge to the Director General, All India Radio. The Director General then makes the final selection of persons for appointment as members of the Committee. Thereafter, orders appoiting the selected persons as members of the Committee are issued by the Director General, All India Radio and thus the Committee is constituted. The members are informed that they would be contacted by the Officer in charge of the Station concerned.

A copy of the rules of Programme Advisory Committees attached to Stations of All India Radio is laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-786/67]. 7500

# Radio Stations in Mailitya Pradesh

3634. Shri Atam Das: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) the total number of All-India Radio Stations in Madhya Pradesh:
- (b) whether Government propose to increase the number of these stations in the near future; and
  - (c) if so, the location thereof?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah); (a) The State of Madhya Pradesh has at present five broadcasting centres at Bhopal, Indore, Gwalior, Jabalpur and Raipur. All these centres have a common programme most of the time which is originated either at Bhopal or Indore, where adequate facilities for programme production are avail-

(b) and (c). The Fourth Five Year Plan, as approved by the Planning Commission, includes provision for setting up two additional broadcasting centres at suitable locations-one in the area near Jagdalpur and the other in the Satna Rewa region.

#### Demonstrations on West Asia Trouble

3635. Shri Swell: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether following the outbreak of hostilities between Israel and the Arab States, there have been occurances in the country in which the United Nations Flag has been insulted;
- (b) whether Government received any protest from the United Nations Organisation or any of its agencies; and
- (c) the steps taken by Government in the matter?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) During certain demonstrations in Srinagar on June 7, 87, some hooligans brought down e U.N. flag at the headquarters of UNMOGIP and pelted stones on the building.

- (b) No. Bir.
- (c) Steps were taken by authorities to bring the situation under control immediately.

# Publication of Books

2636. Shel B. N. Shastri: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state the total number of books published by the Publications Division during the year 1966-67 language-wise?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah): The requisite information is given below:--

S. No. Language No. of pub-No. of lications Publications including books, in the pamphelets, etc. Press on brought out 31-5-67 during 1966-67.

ı.	English	84	46 22
2.	Hindi	84 62	22
3.	Assamese	14 7 21	7
3. 4. 5. 7. 8.	Bengali	7	7
š.	Gujarati	21	17
õ.	Pumjabi Kanada	24	17
7.	Kanada	3	23
8.	Malyalam	7	20
9.	Marathi	25	17 23 20 12
9. 10.	Oriya Tamil Telugu	I	34 19 26
II.	Tamil	15	19
12.	Telugu	14	26
13.	Urdu	24 3 7 25 1 15 14 28 1	13
13. 14.	Portugese	I	

#### Ex-servicemen

3637, Shri Hem Raf: Shri Nitiraj gingh Chaudhary: Shri G. S. Mishra:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of ex-Servicemen in the country. State-wise as on the 31st May, 1967; and
- (b) the number of ex-Servicemen who have been usefully employed and the number of those wise are jobiess, Statewise?

The Minjeter of State in the Minje try of Defence (Shel B. R. M. (a) and (b). Complete and accure

information regarding the number of ax-servicemen is not available, nor is it possible to collect this information in the near future. However, Government are examining in consultation with the State Governments and Centrally administered areas, the feasibility of holding a special census of examinement. The matter is still in its exploratory stages.

# Acquisition of Land for Military Training

3636. Shri Hem Eaj: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any land has been acquired by Government for military training in the country during last five years; and
  - (b) if so, in which States?

The Minister of State in the Ministery of Defence (Shri B. B. Bhagat):
(a) and (b). The information is not readily available and is being collected. A statement will be laid on the Table of the House.

# Sainik School, Pachmarhi

3639. Shri Nitiraj Singh Chaudhary: Shri G. S. Mishra;

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether a Sainik School is proposed to be set up at Pachmarhi; and
- (b) if so, when the school is likely to start functioning?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri R. E. Bhagat):

(a) No such proposal is under consideration at present.

(b) Does not arise.

Code for Political Broadcast from A.I.R.

3644, Shri Madhu Limaye: Dr. Ram Manchar Lohia: Shri Mam Barna:

## Shri Bhogendra Jha: Shri Vasudovan Nair:

Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have reconsidered the All India Radio policy in regard to political broadcasts in the light of the discussions/criticisms in Parliament;
- (b) whether any changes have been made in the existing code or rules;
- (c) if so, in what respects and the nature of the changes?

The Minister of Information and Breadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah):
(a) to (c). It is proposed to have discussions with leaders of all parties and with Chief Ministers before making any changes.

## National Defence Academy, Khadakvasia

3641. Shri Atam Das: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that an expert Committee has been set up to examine the syllabus of National Defence Academy, Khadakvasla;
- (b) whether this Committee will also examine the syllabi of other Defence Academies in the country; and
- (c) when the Committee is likely to submit its report?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat):
(a) A decision has been taken to set up a Committee of Experts to revise the syllabus of instruction at the National Defence Academy. Detailed terms of reference and membership of the proposed Committee are being finalised;

(b) No.

(c) Does not arise at present.

# Lessons of UAR-Israel Conflict

# 3642. Shri Hem Barua: Shri Samar Guha:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have deduced any lessons from the U.A.R.-Israel conflict helpful to our nation both from the military and international relations points of view:
  - (b) if so, what are they; and
- (c) whether Government propose to take or suggest any measures through the U.N. for permanent peace in that part of the world?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) and (b). Recent developments in West Asia show force resolves no problem and peace and stability cannot be achieved in that region without the complete withdrawal of the armed forces of Israel from the area, recently occupied by them.

(c) India has consistently stood for peace and is actively working in the U.N. and outside towards this end.

# Statement by Maj.-General Rikhye

# 3643, Shri Hem Barus: Shri Kanwar Lai Gupta: Shri Samar Guha:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the attention of Government has been drawn to the statement made by Maj.-Gen. Rikhye, UNEF Commander, regarding the death of the Indian personnel of the UNEF in Gaza in his report to the U.N. Secretary-General which says: 'A contributing factor to the casualties suffered from artillery fire was the proximity of the United Arab Republic military positions to the camps concentrated'; and
- (b) if so, Government's reaction thereto?

The Minister of Defence (Shet Swaran Singh): (a) and (b). There were a number of incidents in which Israeli forces attacked the Indian personnel of UNEF. The statement could only relate to one of those incidents and even with regard to that one incident further enquiries are necessary in order to establish its validity.

#### **Employment of Artists**

## 3644. Shri Yashpal Singh: Shri Ram Gopal Shalwale:

Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government propose to employ the artists trained in the Indian Film Tostitute, Poons in Radio and TV programmes; and
- (b) if so, when a decision is likely to be taken?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah):
(a) Yes, Sir. The Government is working out proposals for the employment of Diploma-holders from the Film Institute of India, Poons in the Telsvision Centre of All India Radio and a Committee has been constituted to go into the details of posts and lay down recruitment rules and procedures. There is no proposal for their employment for sound broadcasting.

(b) The decision will be taken as early as possible.

#### Recruiting Centres for Air Force

3646. Shri Hem Raj: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of recruiting centres and sub-centres established so far State-wise for recruitment to the Air Force;
- (b) whether Government propose to open one such centre in each State;and
  - (c) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat):
(a) to (c). There are 17 Air Force recruiting centres in the country. One in each State except Kersla and Haryana. The centres, however, do not necessarily cover only the State in which they are located. There are no sub-centres. The existing recruiting centres are considered adequate to meet the requirement.

सरकारी कामकाम में हिन्दी का प्रयोग

3647 भी मोलहू प्रताद : भी रवि राय : भी राम सेवक पादव : भी महाराज सिंह भारती :

क्या वैदेशिक-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) उनके मंत्रालय के प्रशासनिक प्रभाग में ऐसे कितने मनुभाग हैं जहां पर काम मुलत: हिन्दी में होता है;
- (ख) क्या यह सब है कि हिन्दी में प्राप्त हुए कायजों तथा मूलत: हिन्दी में तैयार किये गये दस्तावेजों के अप्रेजी अनुवाद मांगने की अधिकारियों की प्रवित्त हिन्दी के अयोग में मुख्य बाधा बनी हुई है; और
- (ग) यदि हां, ती उपरोक्त बाक्षा को दूर करने के लिये क्या कार्यवाही करने का विचार है ?

प्रतिरक्षा मंत्री (भी स्वर्ण सिंह) : (क) एक धनुषान में पूर्ण रूप से प्रीर दो धन्य धनुषानों में प्रांशिक रूप से ।

- (ख) हिन्दी के प्रयोग में श्रीमी प्रगति का मुख्य कारण प्रत्येक स्तर के कर्नवारियों में भच्छी हिन्दी के झान की कमी है।
- (ग) भारतीय विदेश सेवा के प्रधि-कारवों को स्वामी करने के लिए विभागीय

परीका में हिन्दी भिन्दार्थ विषय रहा है और
गृह मंत्राजय की हिन्दी प्रशिक्षण योजना पर
मंत्रालय के मुख्यालय में भीर हमारे विदेश-स्थित मिशनों/केन्द्रों में भी भ्रमल किया जा
रहा है। मंत्रालय में भनुवाद की क्षमता
का विस्तार करने पर भी विचार किया
जा रहा है।

हिन्दी कथाओं में जाने वाले कर्नवारियों के लिये प्रोत्साहन

> 3648- जी मोलह प्रसाद : जी रिव रीय : जी रोम सेवक पादव : जी महाराज सिंह मारती:

क्या वैवेशिक-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि ।

- (क) क्या उनके मंत्रालय ने उन सर-कारी कर्मचारियों को प्रोत्साहन देने के सिये, जो गृह-कार्य मंत्रालय द्वारा धारम्भ की वई हिन्दी कक्षामों में जाते हैं, घपनी कोई योजना बनाई है; धौर
- (ख) यदि नहीं, तो ऐसान करने के क्या कारण हैं?

प्रतिरक्ता मंत्री (श्री स्वर्ण सिंह) : (क) जी नहीं।

(ख) सरकारी विभागों/मंत्रालयों में हिल्दी झारम्म करने के विश्य पर भारत सरकार के निर्णयों/निदेशों पर झमल करने की जिम्मेदारी गृह मंत्रालय पर है और उन्होंने हिल्दी प्रशिक्षण योंजना झारम्भ कर दी है जिसमें ऐसे सरकारी कर्मचारियों की प्रोस्साहन और नकद पुरस्कार देने की व्यवस्था है जो हिल्दी में समुचित योग्यता प्राप्त करते है। यह योजना भारत सरकार के सभी मंत्रालयों/विभागों पर समान रूप से लागू होती है और इस तरह विदेश मंत्रालय ने झलग से कोई दोजन। नहीं बनाई और न बनाने का विचार

# भारत का विभावन के बाद केक्कत

3649- वी मोलहू प्रसाद : भी रवि राग : बी महाराज सिंह मारसी : बी राग सेवक ग्राव्य :

क्या वैदेशिक-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) 1947 में पाकिस्तान बन बाने के बाद भारत का कुल क्षेत्रफल कितना बा;
- (ख) 31 ग्रगस्त, 1966 को भारत का क्षेत्रफल कितना था; भौर
- (ग) सरकार ने भिन्न करारों के भ्रन्तर्गत पाकिस्तान को कितने वर्ग मीश भूमि दे दी है?
- प्रतिरक्ता मंत्री (भी स्वर्ण सिंह) :
  (क) 1947 में पाकिस्तान बनने के बाद जारत के क्षेत्रफल का इस तरह हिसाब नहीं सगावा गया। भूतपूर्व रजवाड़ों की रिवासतों के क्षेत्रफल समेत वो कि जारत में मिल गए और पुर्तगाली तथा फांसीसी बस्तियों समेत जो बाद में भारत के साथ मिल गई 1-1-1966 को भारत का क्षेत्रफल 3,268,090 किलोमीटर था; यह केन्द्रीय सांक्यिकीय संगठन द्वारा इकट्ठे किए गए आंकड़ों के धनसार है।
- (स) यह फैसला किया गया कि बराबर सर्वेकण होते रहने के परि-णामस्वरूप स्रोतकल सांकड़ों में जी अन्तर आता है उसे 10 वर्ष में सिर्फ एक बार जनगणना के समय प्रकाशित किया जाएगा। इस सिलसिले में शिका मंती ने 5 अगस्त, 1966 को सदन की मेज पर एक विवरण रखा था।
  - (ग) स्विति इस प्रकार है:
- (i) भाकत-पूर्व पाकिस्तान सीवा : वधी तक चीमांकन पूरा नहीं हुचा है ग्रीर दर्जनए इस सक्स्वा में पाकिस्तान को कोई दलाका देने का प्रकन नहीं उठता ।

- (ii) जंबाक परिचय पाकिस्ताल सीया: सीयांकन 1960 में ही पूरा किया जा चुका है। जीर इस सेकटर में उनके इसाकों में अइने वाले हमारे प्रदेशों का धौर हमारे इसाके में पड़ने वाले उनके प्रदेशों का धादान-प्रवाल 17 कनवरी, 1961 को हो चया या। धयले गए सेवों का विवरण स्वर्णीय प्रधान मंत्री श्री जवाहर साल नेहरू ने 19 धगस्त, 1961 को धातारांकित प्रधन संख्या 1674 के उत्तर में लोक-सभा के सामने रखा था।
- (iii) राजस्थान-पश्चिम पाकिस्तानः तीला: इस क्षेत्र में सीमांकन का कार्य पूरा हो गया है। चूंकि इस सेक्टर में एक-दूसरे के इलाके पर एक-दूसरे देश का विपरीत प्रधिकार नहीं था इसलिए इलाकों की अदला-सदली का सवाल ही नहीं उठा।
- (iv) गुजरात-परिचय पाकिस्तान सीचा: सीमांकन का कार्य ग्रंची पूरा नहीं हुमा है। कण्छ ट्रिबूनल के फैसले का इन्तजार है।

## Visit of Indian Navy Flagship to Kuwait

3659. Shri Narendra Singh Mahida: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that in April, 1965 when the flagship of the Indian Navy visited Kuwait on a goodwill cruise, the ship was refused permission to enter the port of Kuwait and was asked to anchor off the small oil port of Mina-al-Ahmedi, some 20 miles south of Kuwait;
  - (b) if so, the reasons therefor;
- (c) whether for the duration of their stay, the city of Kuwait was placed out of bounds for the Indian sallors:
- (d) whether there was no reception of any sort by the Kuwait Government in honour of the visiting ship; and

(e) if so, whether the ship had to curtail the goodwift visit and return home?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat):
(a) and (b). During their goodwill visit to Kuwait from 16-3-1965 to 20-3-1965, INS VIKRANT and INS KUTHAR anchored off Mina-al-hmedi, in accordance with the normal practice in Kuwait in the case of visiting Naval ships.

- (c) Yes, Sir, except when they proceeded ashore in organised parties.
- (d) Receptions were given by the Kuwait authorities in connection with the visit of the Indian Naval shins.
- (e) The ships did not have to curtail their goodwill visit to Kuwait.

#### Facilities for Jawans

3651. Shri Narondra Singh Mahida; Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that various State administrations are not paying as much attention towards the needs of the service personnel as was done during the Indo-Pakistan conflict;
- (b) whether facilities for jawans like the allotment of land for housing and agricultural purposes are quickly granted:
  - (c) if not, the reasons therefor;
- (d) whether a scholarship to the son of the late Major Shaitan Singh 'the hero of Chushul' was promised by the Chief Minister of Rajasthan; and
- (e) if so, whether it is a fact that the Director of Education, Rajasthan Government rejected his application and the Major's son had to discontinue his studies?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat):
(a) to (c). No specific cases to the effect that the State Governments are not paying as much attention towards the needs of service personnel as was

. No.

cone during the Indo-Pak conflict, regaring land for house and agricultural purposes, have been brought to the notice of Government.

(d) and (e). The Government of Rajasthan has been addressed to furnish the required information, it will be laid on the Table of the House, when received.

# Military Trucks for Water Supply in Bihar

3652. Shri Atam Das: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that some military Trucks have been loaned to the Bihar Government for bringing drinking water in drought affected areas of Bihar;
- (b) whether such an assistance has also been provided by the Military authorities in the drought affected areas of Madhya Pradesh; and
  - (c) if so, the details thereof?

The Hinister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. E. Bhagat):
(a) Yes.

- (b) No such request has been received from the Government of Madhya Pradesh and the question of giving such assistance therefore does not arise.
  - (c) Does not arise.

Rations for Military Personnel

2653. Shri Atam Das: Shri Ram Avtar Sharma:

The Minister of Defence bepleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that rations for military personnel have been reduced;
- (b) if so, whether the reduction is temporary;
- (c) whether it is also a fact that short supply of food to the jawans has affected their health and efficiency; and

(d) if so, the steps proposed to improve the health and efficiency of the jawans particularly in the NEFA and Ladakh greas?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) A voluntary experimental cut of 60 gms (2 Ozs) of atta per head per day in the rations for all troops on the field service scale of ration (other than those on the high altitude scale of rations) was made in February 1966 and is still in

- (b) The cut is reviewed from time to time, and is operative at present upto 29th February, 1968.
  - (c) No, Sir.
- (d) Does not arise. Besides, as stated above, the cut of 60 gms made in February 1966 does not apply to troops located in the high altitude areas of Ladakh and NEFA.

## Supply of Gorm Warfare Material to Pakistan by U.S.A.

2654. Shri Shiva Chandra Jha: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that in the arms aid to Pakistan, USA has also supplied germ warfare materials; and
- (b) if so, the reaction of Government thereto?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. E. Bhagat):
(a) Government have no information.

'(b) Does not arise. However, Pakistan is a signatory to the Geneva Protocol which prohibits the use in war of poisonous or other gases and bacteriological methods of warfare.

# Chinese Inditration in Maldive and other Indian Islands

3655. Shri Madhu Limaye; Shri Arjun Singh Bhadoria : Shri Rabi Bay; Shri Ram Sowak Yaday;

#### Shri J. H. Patel: Shri Molahu Prasad:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the arrest of some persons speaking a tongue similar to the Chinese in one of the Maldive Islads;
- (b) whether there are reports of Chinese inflitration into the Maldive or other Groups of Indian Ocean Islands; and
- (c) if so, the steps proposed to check this in concert with Maldive or other authorities or on its own?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) to (c). Government have no information. The question pertains to matters which are not within the jurisdiction of the Government of India. However, in so far as developments of the type mentioned affect Indian national interests, Government are exercising due vigilance.

## Atomic Energy Station in Mysere

3656. Shri K. Lakkappa: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any survey has been made in the Mysore State to locate an atomic energy station there by the Central Government; and
  - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Frime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shrinsati Indira Gandhi): (a) and (b). The Site Selection Committee appointed by the Government of India in 1962 to recommend suitable sites for the location of atomic power stations in India suggested 'Sangam' near Mekadatu in Mysore State as one of the sites satisfying the various criteria for the location of atomic power stations. No detailed survey of the site has so far been undertaken, as there is no proposal at present to establish an atomic power station there.

# Students from Angela

3658. Shri Shinkre: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of students from the Portuguese colony at Angola who have come to India for specialised studies in the last five years;
- (b) the number of those carrying their studies in the educational institutions in and outside Goa, respectively;
- (c) how many of them have been successful in completing their courses and with what qualifications;
- (d) whether some of those students in Goa have approached Government for the facilities for further studies at Dehradun and Madras; and
- (e) whether there are complaints from those Angolan students for the paucity of funds or for bad treatment by a sector of Goen population on racial grounds and political ideology?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh); (a) 24.

- (b) The following is the break-up of the courses which the students are following:
  - (i) Military Training at the IMA. Dehradun—7 students.
  - (ii) Police Training at the Police Training School, Phillaur.... 6 students.
  - (iii) Nursing Course at Margoa in Goa—7 students.
  - (iv) Polytechnic course at Goa—2 students and another two at the Industrial Training Institute in Madras.
- (c) So far none of the students have completed their studies at the educational institutions. They arrived in India in June, 1966 and as they did not know any English, a special course was organised for a period of 6 months which had to be extended to 12 months so that they could have a weeking knowledge of the language.

In fact, they are now going to follow the regular courses mentioned in (b) above. The course at the IMA starts on 20th July and at the ITI, Madras on 1st August. The rest of the students have joined their courses.

- (d) The courses mentioned in (b) above have been organised at the instance of the students themselves in consultation with their leaders in exile who are residing in Leopoldville (Congo). The Government of India met their requests in every respect.
- (e) There have been no complaints from these students regarding shortage of funds as they were receiving adequate stipends during their training in Goa.

There have not been any complaints regarding ill treatment by the Goan population. There is still, however, a small pro-portugese element in Goa which does not welcome the idea of India helping Angolans in exile and this section probably has shown a certain indifference towards these students.

# Transfer of A.I.R. Employees of Panail Station (Goa)

3659. Shri Shinkre: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Goan employees of the A.I.R. Panaji-Goa and the years of their service at that Station;
- (b) whether all the employees, including the Goans who were working at that station during Portuguese regime are subject to transfers;
- (e) whether the non-transfer of Goan employees, for a long time has put them in a position of advantage in regard to formulating the programme policy according to their predilections and enabling them to employ artistes of their choice depriving others in the allocation of programmes; and

(d) whether Government propose to apply uniformly without any discrimination the rules and regulations regarding transfer to all the employees of Al.R. Pannai?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah):
(a) 106 Goan employees working at AIR, Panaji were taken over, at the time of liberation. They had 7-8 year's service at the station.

(b) and (d). Employees of A.I.R., Panaji, including Goans taken over from the Emissora-de-Goa are subject to the service conditions relating to transfers governing AIR employees, and the rules and regulations are applied to all employees without diserimination.

(c) No Sir.

#### 13,17 hrs.

RE. CALLING ATTENTION NOTICE (Quety).

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Calling Attention. Samar Guha.

"Shri Krishna Kumar Chatterji (Howrah): We have given a calling attention regarding an hon. member of this House who is reported to have been assaulted according to the newspapers. The Hindustan Standard has published it on the 25th, and today the Statesman has come out with the news.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I am inform him that we receive hundreds of call attention notices in the morning. I know some hon members have mentioned this also. It will be considered. (Interruptions).

Shri D. C. Sharma (Gurdaspur): This is a very important matter.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: These things are not supposed to be referred to on the floor of the House.

Shri Shee Naraht (Basti): He is in hospital. (Interruptions).

Mr. Dennty-Speaker: I have already replied. Let us proceed.

Ehri Ham Revus (Mangaldai): Leave aside the calling attention notices. The very fact that a member of this House has been assaulted is a very serious development. Therefore, some member of the Government, whether it is the Prime Minister or the Home Minister should make a statement enlightening us about this.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker; I will certainly communicate the feelings of the House to the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs.

Shri Shee Narain: What about a statement? The man is in hospital.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: As Mr. Hem-Barua has requested, I have said I will communicate what has been said to the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs.

The Minister of Parliamentary Affairs and Communications (Dr. Ram Subhag Singh): I will communicate it to the Prime Minister and request her to make a statement.

भी मधु लिक्बे (मुंगेर): इस पर कुछ लोगों ने काल प्रेन्सन दिया है इस लिये यह बाकायदा सदन के सामने झाये। इस तरह बक्तव्य से कैसे डोगा।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I cannot offhand say. How can I say? It will be considered.

Shri Shee Narain: He is a member of the House.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy (Kendrapara): Has the Minister to say anything? You can sak the Government.

Dr. Ram Subbag Singh: I have already conveyed to you that I shall bring the feelings on the matter to the notice of the Prime Minister, and shall request her to make a statement before he House according to her convenience.

Shri Systimacy Mass (Dissesson Harbour): The matter will be subjudice. Mr. Deputy-Speaker: He has been admitted to hospital; so far as the news is concerned, it is not a question of sub judice; if it is sub judice, I will take notice of it. It does not arise.

वीं मंत्रु कियंदी: इस में सक्जुडिस का सवाल नहीं भाता है। फैक्ट्स के बारे में भगर बयान भाये ती क्या हर्ज है। उपाध्यक्ष महोदय यह भाप ही ने चलाया है कल सब्जुडिस का काम लेकर भापने मेरा एक पत काट दिया भापको जरा सोच-समझकर करना पाहिये।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I will not allow you to refer to that. (Interruptions).

An hon. Member: It is a matter of life and death.

Shri Sheo Narain: You yourself might have read in the papers. The men is on his dying bed. He is in the hospi-

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The Minister has already said that he would communicate the feelings of the House and in due course information would be placed on the Table of the House. Call attention notice.

12.20 hrs.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPOR-TANCE

REPORTED HUNGER STRIKE BY EMPLOYEES
OF FOOD DEPARTMENT

Shri Samar Guha (Contai): Sir, I call the attention of the Minister of Food, Agriculture, Community Development and Co-operation to the following matter of urgent public importance and request that he may make a statement thereon:

Reported hunger strike on the 20th June 1967 by Eighteen thousand employees of the Food

Department all over India in protest against non-implementation of long standing demands

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): Before he makes a statement, I have a submission to make. Telegrams were received on the 19th that the hunger strike was to take place on the 20th. Today the Minister takes the opportunity to answer, when the information has become a story. Such important call attention notices lose their significance if they are not answered in time. You should tell the Ministers to deal with them urgently.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: You should realise that 100 notices are received every day. We have to shift them and determine priority on account of the urgency of each notice. In that process sometimes it is not possible to answer them the next day. Every day we take one notice. I fully appreciate what you say but this cannot be helped.

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Food, Agriculture, Community Development and Cooperation (Shri Annasahib Skinde): It is not correct to say that 18,000 food employees all over India went on a mass hunger strike on the 20th June, 1967. The actual position is that the All India Food Employees Association issued a directive to the employees of Food Department numbering about 17,000 (of whom about 6,000 are already on deputation with the Food-Corporation of India) to observe a fast only on the 20th June, 1967 to get their grievances redressed. Exact information about the number of employees who actually observed fast on that day is not available. has however, been reported that the fast was observed peacefully WORK without detriment to normal anywhere.

- 2. The demands of the Association
  - (a) Effecting total regional infegration of the functions and

[Shri Annasahib Shide] employees of the Food Department with the Food Corporation of India:

- (b) Settling the terms and conditions of transfer of the employees of the Food Department to the Food Corporation by amending the Food Corporations Act, 1964; and
- (c) departmentalisation of clearance, stevedoring, transport, etc. works of the Central Food Department in all the ports in India.
- 3. The position about these demands is scriptim as follows:---
- (a) Since the Food Corporation was established on the 1st January, 1965 nearly one third of the work of the Food Department has already been transferred to the Corporation. The depots in the Southern Region were transferred on 1-4-1965 and the depots in the Punjab, Delhi and U.P. were transferred during the period 1-4-1966 to 1-11-1966. A few other sectors of work have also been transferred to the Food Corporation, Along with the work transferred so far, 6,000 gazetted and non-gazetted officers of the Food Department been transferred on deputation to the Food Corporation. The transfer of other work of the Food Department to the Corporation has had to deliberately slowed down on account of the extremely difficult food situation in the country for the past several months and particularly the very heavy imports during the last years. The operations of handling of foodgrains at the ports and its distribution among the various States have become extremely complicated and full of difficult administrative problems requiring close coordination Governmental different between agencies concerned. Considering that the Food Corporation is sti'l in the process of establishing itself, it was not thought desirable to burden it immediately with the entire work of

clearance and distribution of foodgrains all over the country. However, the policy of Government has not undergone any change and it is proposed to transfer the remaining items of work to the Corporation as early as it is practicable.

- (b) Government have already decided to provide for the terms and conditions for the transfer of the employees of the Food Department to the Food Corporation of India by proposing a suitable amendment to the Food Corporations Act, 1964. A Bill for this purpose is likely to be introduced during the current session of the Parliament.
- (c) The departmentalisation of the various aspects of operations relating to handling of foodgrains at the ports is being gradually implemented. At the same time, however, the necessity for avoiding any dislocation at the the midst of heavy ports ìn imports of foodgrains and the extremely difficult task of speedy movement of foodgrains to various tribution centres have to be kept in view. Already certain major aspects of these operations have been depart-Bombay, Kandla, mentalised at Madras and Visakhapatnam. process is continuing and we may be in a position to departmentalise certain aspects of foodgrain operations even at the Calcutta port in the near future.

Shri Samar Guha: Sir, this is a puculiar statement which is full contradictions, confusion indecision, hesitation and half-hearted admission of the legitimacy of the demands of employees. The first sentence 1272 that it is not correct to say 18,000 food employees went on a mass hunger strike but in the same para they say that exact information about the number of employees Who actually observed the fast on that day is not available. If it is not available, how can they say in the first sentence that it is not correct, etc.? Again, in

third the third para, they say, one of the work of the food department has already been transferred to the Corporation. In the same para they say that the officers have been transferred on deputation. Then again they say that the transfer of other work had to be slowed down and for that reason they are not now proposing to departmentalise and absorb the food workers in the department. In the same para they say that they are bringing a Bill to amend the Food Corporation Act of 1964. They say that the departmentalisation of various aspects of operations relating to handling of foodgrains at the ports is being gradually implemented.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Put the question.

Shri Samar Guha: It is a peculiar statement. How can there be such a statement ful! of so many contradictions? Would the hon. Minister make a specific statement saying that all the employees would be absorbed in the Food Corporation? Secondly, what is the exact time when that Act of 1964 will be amended? (c) They say that they will be in a position to departmentalise certain aspects of foodgrain operations at the Calcutta port in the near future. What does that 'near future' mean? and (d). . .

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Do not follow Mr. Hem Barus.

Shri Samar Guha: In view of the fact that the hunger strike was admittedly observed peacefully and without detriment to the normal work will the Government be kind enough to invite the spokesmen of that association to have a full and free talk with them so that the matter could be settled to the satisfaction of the food employees?

Shri Annasahib Shinde: The hon. Member has raised a number of queries, I am not prepared to agree that there is any inconsistency in the statement . . . (Interruptions.) Please hear with me. The point is this. We

have contacted the different regional directorates. We have said that all the 18,000 were not on hunger strike. The regional directors say: we are not in a position to say how many employees in our office were on hunger strike because . . . (Interruptions) they say that they did not know whether on one day the employees took their meals in their houses or not. They have no information.

Shri Hem Barua (Mangaldai): That is unfair.

Shri Umanath (Pudukkattai): If you do not have the actual figures, do not malign them. After all they are our countrymen.

Shri Hem Barus: That is the Congress way of fasting. I am sorry the Minister is adding insu!t to injury.

Shri Umanath: He should not cast reflection on the bona fides of these employees. If he cannot verify the figures, that is different.

Shri Annashib Shinde: The Food Department does not have 18000 employees.

Shri Umanath: That is a different matter.

Shri Ranga (Srikakulam): On point of order, Sir. On this point which has been brought to your notice, we expected you to some ruling. But you do not give it. The minister also is not decent enough to withdraw his indecent remark. What are we to do in this House? Here is a minister who says, we all know how these fasts are being conducted; people eat at home and come over here. Another member from this side says, that may be the Congress way of fasting. That is not fair. Let it be clearly understood that whoever fasts, he undergoes a certain amount of sacrifice and suffering. It would be improper for anybody on that side, especially a minister, or for any of us to attribute

[Shri Ranga].

motives to those people who go on fasts. May I request you to sak the minister to say that he is sorry to have made that slip of the tongue and he did not mean that those people were really not fasting?

Shri Annasahib Shinde: I was only mentioning on the basis of the information of the officers concerned under whom they are working. I am not casting any aspersion nor am I suggesting that they did not observe fast. I am really sorry if that sort of impression is created in the minds of hon members.

Coming to the question, I will be too happy to discuss the problems of the employees with their association.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy (Kendrapara): You have discussed umpteen times with them.

Shri Annasahib Shinde: About the legal position, I have already written to the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs that in this session we should be allowed time to take up this legislation. I hope it will be possible for the Business Advisory Committee to find time for it. We are as eager as the hon, member to see that the employees are not dissatisfied on account of the non-existence of legislation. We wish to extend all necessary protection regarding their service conditions, etc.

Shei Surendianath Dwivedy: Has the Bill been introduced?

'ghri Annasahik Shinde: The Bill is ready with me. I have written for permission that it may be taken up in this session.

Shri Hem Barus: A young man like you should do it immediately.

Shirt Assessed Shinds: Thank you. About transferring all the work to the Food Corporation, we are passing through a vary difficult time. The Food Corporation has come into

existence only 2 years earlier. think that this work should be transferred to it by stages. Even Foodgrains Policy Committee which went into this problem has suggested that Food Corporation should try to consolidate its position and not diversify its activities very early. It will take some time, But the Government is firm on the policy. We have built up a very strategic sort of institution to control the public sector foodgrains trade. We wish that the foodgrains trade-handling, etc.--is transferred to the Corporation as early as possible.

Shri A. K. Gopelan (Kasergod): I understand that all the employees of the old Food Department are absorbed in the Food Corporation, and the Food Corporation is recruiting persons newly. One of the demands of these employees is that when reeruitment is made, preference must be given to those old Food Department employees who are sent away and who are without job. Why is it that this simple and reasonable demand is not considered? Why is it that these facilities are not given to them? May I know whether the hon. Minister will see to it that at least this demand, that hereafter recruitment is made first preference should be given to those employees " of the old Food Department and only after that others should be considered is acceded to?

Shri Annasshib Shinde: We have made the position very clear. We have all sympathy for the employees who have served for a number of years in the Food Department. After all, the Food Corporation is a sent of commercial organisation and the officers of the Food Department are free to apply for the posta there. There is a selection committee and selection takes place on merit:

Shel: Succedennath Dwivoly: What about continuity of service?

Skri Annasahib Shinde; That is ensured. The question which the hon. Mamber has referred to is altogether different. As far as continuity of service is concerned there is no difficulty. Apart from that, the scales of pay offered by the Food Corporation are s'ightly higher. Therefore, if a particular employee joins the Corporation he gets other advantages also. As far as the existing position is concerned, that is not affected. Shri Gopalan has raised the point about new posts that are created. When they are advertised, these officers are free to apply. I think all will agree that selection should take place on the basis of merit.

Shri A. E. Gopalan: The Minister said that they can apply. May 1 ask why preference cannot be given to these old employees of the Food Department who have been retrenched? Cannot the Government say that they must be given preference? They had been working for so many years. Now they have been retrenched. The Government should say that first preference should be given to those employees.

Shri Anna sahib Shinde: Without fettering the right to select on meru, I am prepared to suggest that the Food Corporation should give preference to these employees.

shri A. K. Gopplan: Were they taken without any merit before and then they were allowed to continue in service so long?

Shri Annasahib Shinde: The hon. Member's question was about higher gosts.

Shri A. K. Gopalan: For the same posts.

Shri Anssenhib Shinde: For the

Shri Umalista: From the statement I understand that about 6000 employees, both gazetted and non-gazetted, are deputationists. One of 505 (Ai) LSD—5.

the important demands, which does not find a place in the statement, is that these deputationists must be called back. Their number is 6000, and the Corporation is making new recruitment also. May I know from the Government whether this demand has been considered, whether the deputationists will be ca'led back and, if they are not going to call back the deputationists, the reasons for not calling them back?

Shri Annasahib Shinde: We contemplating to transfer their services to the Food Corporation of India. When those posts have been abolished when the work has been transferred to the Food Corporation of India and the Food Department has been disbanded, naturally, we are thinking of giving statutory protection as and when the services of these employees are transferred. The principal demand of the employees was that statutory protection should be given to the transfer. We enacting the legislation with that particular problem in mind.

Shrimati Sastela Gopalan (Amba-(apuzha): The hon. Minister said that he will be bringing forward legislation very soon. I would like to know whether the provisions of that legislation will be the same as was proposed by the Government in the draft amendment which they placed before the employees and which was rejected by them or will it be the provisions which the employees had suggested in the draft amendment which they presented to the Government? I would like to know whether the proposed legislation will contain provisions suggested by the employees; if not, may I know the reasons and what is the difference between the two, the amendment proposed by Government and the draft amendment presented by the ployees?

Shri Assessable Shinds: The two main demands of the employees were; firstly, that statutory recogni-

7538

# [Shri Almasahib Shinde]

tion should be given to transfer c.f service from the Food Department to the Food Corporation and, secondly, protection and privileges which the Central Government servants enjoy under article 311 of the Constitution should be incorporated in the amending Bill. As I have already explained, legislation is being brought erder to safeguard the interests of employees when their services are wansferred and also to give them the rights and privileges under article 811.

Shri Umanath: Sir, I rise to a point of order. The hon, lady Member Shrimati Suseela Gopalan said that the Government proposed an amendment which the employees rejected and the employees proposed a draft amendment which they said would protect their interests. That has been with the Government for nearly a year now. She wanted to know whether the Bill that the Minis'er is bringing forward will contain those very provisions which the employees rejected or it will contain the rovisions which the employees proposed in their draft amendment. The Minister may kindly make that position clear.

Shri Annasahib Shinde: I have already made it clear. As far as the wording etc. is concerned, we have to be guided by the advice of Lew Ministry, but the two important demands of the employees have been incorporated in the Bill.

Shri Umanath: He has not replied to it whether it was the one that was rejected by the employees. Let him entegorically say whether it was once rejected by them.

Shri Annasahib Shinde: Hon, Memher will have an opportunity when we discuss the Bill.

Shri Umanath: He said that he and the Bill ready and that he was waiting for the clearance of the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs. When the Bill is ready he can categorically say whether the provisions in the Bill are the same which were rejected by the employees or it is the other way about.

Shri Annasahib Shinde: I am not prepared to say that word by word it is the same.

Shri Umanath: Not word by word, but essentially.

Shri Annashib Shinde: What I am submitting is that the two important demands of the employees have been incorporated in the Bill.

Shri Umanath: He is evading the issue.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon. Minister has said that the important provisions have been incorporated Beyond that what do you want?

Shri Umanath: Important provisions of what?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Demand of the workers.

Shri Umanath: My point is specific. When a question is put, he can say that he wants notice; he cannot say that he cannot answer it. Let him reply to the question categorically. There is no difficulty here at all. The point is whether the provisions he has in the Bill on hand are the same that were rejected by the employees.

Shri Krishna Kumar Chatterji (Howrah): On a point of order, Can he ask for the contents of the Bill before it is introduced in the House?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The Bill has not yet come before the House but he has said that the demands have been incorporated.

Shri Sureadrenath Dwivedy: Sir, it is a very simple point. I do sot think he will have any objection to sey whether the Bill which they propose to introduce is modified according to the new representation made by the workers or whether they have some other proposal. He can say whether it is the same or in a modified form.

Shri Annasahib Shinde: I have explained the position that there were two main demands. There were a number of other minor points which can be governed not by the Bill but by regulations. The first main demand was that their transfer from the Food Department to the Corporation should be statutorily governed. That has been acceded to and incorporated in the Bill which is to be introduced in the House. The second demand is about the rights and privileges which are at present enjoyed by the employees under artiale 311 of the Constitution. That also has been incorporated in the present Bill. If the hon, Member is kind enough to ask me a specific question whether a particular demand is there or not I sha'l be in a position to answer it.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: The question is very specific. After considering the demands they had sent a draft to the employees which they had rejected. Is the Bill which he proposes to introduce the same which the employees had rejected or is it a modified Bill?

Shri Annaszhib Shinde: We referred these points to the Law Ministry and as drafted by the Law Ministry the Bill has been finalised.

Shri Jyotirmoy Rasu (Diamond Harbour): In the statement the hon. Minister has stated:—

"Already certain major aspects of these operations have been departmentalised at Bombay, Kandla, Madras and Visakhapatam. This process is continuing and we may be in a position to departmentalise certain aspects

of foodgrain operations even at the Calcutta port in the near future."

Has a date been fixed; if so, what is it?

Shinde: I will Shel Annesahib again submit humbly that there is no contradiction in these two. On the previous occasion it was mentioned that the Calcutta port operations would be departmentalised. Then the economics of it was examined. At that time the cost per tonne was about 40 paise when it was being carried on the basis of contracts. When the Finance Ministry and others examined it, it was found that the cost may go up to 66 paise per tonne if it is departmentalised immediately. They took some time to examine it and the Finance Ministry advised us that if the costs are likely to go around about 50 p. per ton, then we may think of departmentalising... (Interruption).

Shri Jystirmoy Basn: I only very innocently asked what is meant by 'near future'. He says that the costs will go up and all that. He is misleading the House. He always gives cock and bull stories; we are not fools. He says that because the costs will go up . . . (Interruption).

Shri Tulsidas Jadhay (Baramati): When the Minister is answering a question, he should not be interrupted like this.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: He is seeking further explanation. Mr. Basu, you ought to recline that he is giving as much information as possible. If you are not satisfied, you put a specific question.

Shri Jyotirmoy Base: This 'near future' is to be defined. It is a very good self-contradiction. He says that all this will cost more if it is departmentalised. Here is the statement of the hon. Minister which says 'near future' and I have the right to know from the hon. Minister what is meant by 'near future'.

Sari Assaudio Shinde: Had the hon. Member been a little patient, I would have been able to satisfy him. I was going to reply to that but he interrupted me and was explaining the background. If all this goes right, we are thinking of departmentalising it within the next three or four months.

#### 12,47 hrs.

#### MESSAGE FROM RAJYA SABHA

Secretary: Sir, I have to report the following message received from the Secretary of Rajya Sabha:—

"In accordance with the provisions of rule 127 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Rajya Sabha, I am directed to inform the Lok Sabha that the Rajya Shabha, at its sitting held on the 22nd June, 1967, agreed without any amendment to the Anti-Corruption Laws (Amendment) Bill, 1967, which was passed by the Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 16th June, 1967."

# 12.471 hrs.

#### ESTIMATES COMMITTEE

FIRST AND SECOND REPORTS

Shri P. Venkniasubbainh (Nandyal): I beg to present the following Reports of the Estimates Committee:—

- First Report on the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting—Film Institute of India, Poona.
- (2) Second Report on the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting—Board of Film Consors, Bombay:

12.48 hrs.

SALARIES AND ALLOWANCES OF MINISTERS (AMENDMENT) BILL\*

The Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation (Shri Hathi): On behalf of Shri Y. B. Chavan, I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill further to amend the Salaries and Allowances of Ministers Act, 1952.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): Sir, I wish to oppose the Bill.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: At the introduction stage?

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Yes; I want only a minute.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: You have not written to met about it. According to the Rules, you have to give notice that you want oppose it at the introduction stage. You have not done so. I will not permit you.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I want a clarification from the hon. Minister.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Not at this stage.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill further to amend the the Salaries and Allowances of Ministers Act, 1952."

The motion was adopted.

Shri Hathi: I introduce the Bill.

Shri S. M. Hamsjee: What is the difference between a Member and Minister? After death, you and I will be affected.

#### 13.49 hrs.

TEA DISTRICTS EMIGRANT LABOUR (REPEAL) HILL

The Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation (Shri Rathi): I beg to

<sup>\*</sup>Published in Gazette of India Extra ordinary, Part II, section 2, dated 26-6-67.

move for leave to introduce a Bill to provide for the repeal of the Tee Districts Emigrant Labour Act, 1933 and for matters connected therewith.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill to provide for the repeal of the Tea Districts Emigrant Labour Act, 1932 and for matters connected therewith."

. The motion was adopted.

Shri Hathi: I introduce the Bill.

12.49 hrs.

COMPANIES TRIBUNAL (ABOLI-TION) BILL-contd.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: We now take up further consideration of the Companies Tribunal (Abolition) Bill. The hon. Minister.

The Minister of Industrial Development and Company Affairs (Shri F. A. Ahmed): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I have listened with great attention to the debate on this Bill. While I am grateful to the hon. Members for almost agreeing with the objects of this Bill, they have made certain observations which seem to have raised some doubts in their minds. I would like to deal with them.

I am grateful particularly to my hon, friend, Mr. N. C. Chatterjee, for giving a lead in this matter because he is conversant not only with the Company Law but also with the law as it is administered....

शी नवु लियमें (मुंगेर): नृत्व सुनाई नहीं वे रहा है। प्रध्यक्ष महोदम, हमारी विनली है कि हमारे सभी प्रध्नों का यह जबाद वें नहीं तो फिर क्लाच बाई क्लाज पर और वर्ष दीविय पर बीचना पड़ेगा,

shrif S. M. Henerjee (Kanpur): We should not hurry up. The President has already left for Canada.

Mr. Beputy-Speaker; There is a time limit, He has to finish in 15 minutes.

Shri F. A. Ahmed: I do not know why my hon. friend, Mr. Limaye, is so impatient....

सी सपु जिनये: मैंने लिखित विया है। वै इम्पेनेंट नहीं हूं। समय बचाने के लिए मैंने फिया जवाब भा जाएगा ती मुन्नें बोलना नहीं पढ़ेंगा।

Shr F. A. Ahmed: Perhaps it would have been pertinent for him to raise this question after I had resumed my seat without giving replies to the various questions that he had raised.

I was particularly referring to the obsevations made by my hon, friend, Mr. N. C. Chatterjee, who has experience not only of Company Law but also of Company Law as it is administered in various Righ Courts and particularly in the High Court of Calcutta. I am glad that he has given his wholehearted support to the objective of this Bill. I was, however sorry to hear from him regarding transfer of jurisdiction from tribunal to Government. I do not know how somehow he got an impression that what we intended to do was to take over certain powers to the Government after abolishing Tribunal. I would like to make it clear that this is farthest from our thought or from our objective. As the hon. Member will be pleased to see, only the jurisdiction, which is now exercised by the Tribunal under section 111, is sought to be transferred to the Central Goverument. That was the position before this jurisdiction was transferred to Tribunal. If the hon. Member the will be further pleased to see, he will find that section 111 of the Companies Act, provides for registration or refusal of registration of transfer of shares. Appeals in respect of these matters are proposed to be transferred from the Tribunal to the Central Carernment. In this connection I would

also like to point out that, apart from the fact that under Article 226 any one aggrieved by the order of the Central Government can go to the High Court, there is futher remedy provided under section 156 of the Companies Act by which any one aggrieved can approach the High Court for rectification of the Register of Members. Therefore, it is not correct to say that what the Government intends to do by this Bill is to transfer the power or jurisdiction enjoyed by the Tribunal to the Central Government. This is farthest from our though. Except the jurisdiction under section 111, about which I have expiamed the position, there is no other jurisdiction which is proposed to be transferred from the Tribunal to the Central Government. Either the jurisdiction has been transferred to the courts or the jurisdiction has been transferred to High Courts.

Another point that was made by some Members was that our objective to cut delay would not be achieved because the High Courts of Calcutta, Bombay, Madras, Allahabad etc. had such a large number of arrears that it might not be possible for them to deal with these cases when transferred to them. First of all, I may inform the hon. Members that so far as the cases coming under the provisions of the company law are concerned, they will be pleased to find that most of these cases are of the nature of miscellaneous applications. They have been of the order of about 300 or so during the last three years, and these applications are disposed of within a very short period. Secondly when these applications will be filed, they will be filed not only before one High Court but before all these High Courts at Calcutta. Madras, Allahabad whichever has jurisdiction over the area from which this will arise. Therefore, the number in a particular High Court will be very small. The number of cases that require a good deal of time are very few. Perhaps, in some of the High Courts, these cases will not come up at all. In

some of the High Courts like those at Bombay, Calcutta there may be just two or three cases of this nature in the whole of the year.

I hope Shri N. C. Chatterjee will bear me out when I say that the Calcutta, Madras and Bombay High Courts have got a separate Judge for dealing with matters relating to company affairs.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee (Burdwan): The Calcutta High Court has a company law judge specially deputed for this, and he sits and deals with these cases practically throughout the year, at least for a term.

Shri F. A. Ahmed: Therefore, if these cases are transferred to the High Courts, the arrears of cases referred by hon'ble member before High Courts will not come in the way. On the other hand, I think that these company law judges will be in a position to dispose of these cases more expeditiously.

Shri S. Kundu (Balasore): It is true that one of the judges may be earmarked as a company law judge. But since the election tribunals have been wound up, one of the judges has been put in charge of these election cases. So, unless we increase the number of judges, by merely earmarking some judge as a company law judge, the problem would not be solved and we would not get a speedier decision. That is the point.

Shri W. A. Ahmed: If the number of cases is examined property, the hon. Member will be satisfied that many of the High Courts will have no increased burden at all, and wherever there will be increased burden, I am sure it will be possible for the Chief Justice to make proper allocation and thus without disturbing the work before them, deal with and dispose of these cases parkets more expeditiously than it has been possible for the tribunal to do so.

Then, some hon. Members suggested that a time-limit ought to have been provided for the disposal of these cases. This is a matter in which I feel I am not entitled to express any opinion. It will be neither wise nor desirable on our part to interfere with the jurisdiction of the High Court or the Supreme Court which alone can lay down in these matters the necessary procedure.

It was also suggested that this Bill should be referred to a Select Committee. I would submit that whatever observations have been made by hon. Members are not of such a nature as would require a thorough investigation or probe by a Select Committee.

In fact, most of the objections raised are of such a nature as do not really concern the subject matter of the Bill and can easily be disposed of by discussion in the House. I am sure that after hearing my ruply, hon. Members will be satisfied that the objections raised by them are not of such a serious nature as to warrant reference of this matter to a Select Committee.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The hon-Minister might continue after the lurch recess.

13.01 hrs.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned for Lunch till Fourteen of the Clock.

The Lok Sabha reassembled after Lunch at Fourteen of the Clock.

[Mr. Deputy-Spraker in the Chair]
COMPANIES TRIBUNAL
(ABOLITION) BILL—Contd.

Shri F. A. Ahssed: Before the House broke up for lunch recess I was referring to the various observations made by the hon, members regarding the provisions of this Bill, and I hope, after I have replied to the various questions raised by them, hon, members will be estimed that this is a measure which need their support and

it is not a measure which need be sent to the Select Committee as was urged by some of the hon, members,

In the course of the discussion, certain observations relating to the working of the company law and also the delay in the disposal of these matters by the Department were referred to by some of the members, particularly by my hon, friend Shri Madhu Limaye. I would like to assure him that so far as we are concerred, whenever any matter is brought to our notice either are moty or at the instance of any of the hou. members, we take the earliest action un these matters. I can also assure him that the department will not lag behing to deal with this aspect of the question. But at the same time the hon. Member must appreciate and realise the difficulty that sometimes in taking a decision in these matters we are handicapped because of the difficulty created by some of the corapanies by not giving or delaying the necessary replies to the questions raised by us. I also entirely agree with him that apart from functioning of the administrative department, it is necessary that in the context of the developments and of our directive principles, it is desirable to give a fresh look at the provisions of the company law. That is a matter which is engaging my attention and I shall appreciate if any hon, Member would be pleased to send me suggestions in this behalf. I know that Shri Madhu Limaye has already given notice of a Private Members' Bill in which he has suggested a few amendments. They will receive our consideration and the decision of the Government will be made known when that Bill But in comes before this Rouse. addition to that I may inform the House that we are also proposing to bring, as recommended by the Monopolies Commission, a law in order to control monopolies in our country. That Bill will be introduced in this House in this session. Is sprat from this there are other suggestions wh the hon. Members who are interest

#### [Shri F. A. Ahmed]

in the good administration of companics, have to offer I shall welcome them and give them due consideration.

In the course of his observations, Shri Limaye also referred to three or four matters which have practically no bearing on this Bill. Least the hon. Member should feel that there is something which we want to hide or which we do not want to reply to, I would place the facts of these cases before the House. I will first deal with the matter which Shri Madhu Limaye referred to about some tea company in Pakistan. I suppose he was referring to Patrokola Tea Company about which he wrote a letter to me in the second week of June this year. I have examined this care and my reply to his letter is on its way. He may get it either today or tomorrow. In this connection, I would like to point out that Shri Surana on whose complaint Shri Madhu Limaye had written this letter to me had approached the Calcutta High Court in a petition under section 395 of the Companies Act for an order directing the Central Government to appoint an Inspector to investigate into the affairs of the Company under section 237(a) (ii) of the Companies Act. The court declined to issue an order prayed for by Shri Surana in the absence of convincing proof of the allegations made by the petitioner. Shri Surana subsequently made a representation to the company law board in September 1966 making a number of allegations against Duncan Brothers Ltd. which acted as the managing agents of the company upto 31st March 1956. and also against Messrs. Munnalal Bhalotia and Company, a firm of share brokers, which purchased a large block of shares in Patrokola Tea Company from Mesers. Duncan Brothers Lid., The representation was made in the context of the refusal of Messrs. Munnalal Bhalotia & Co., to purchase shares from Shri Surana at the same rate at which they had purchased a large block of shares from Motors Duncan Brothers, Ltd. The Company Law Board after carefully considering Shri Surena's request for appointment of an Inspector under section 387(b) of the Companies Act advised bigs to make a representation to the Registrar of Companies, Calcutta, under section. 234(7) of the Companies Act together with the materials in support of his allegations. This suggestion was also repeated in a letter addressed to him on 8th March, 1967. He has not yet submitted his representation to the Registrar, as advised. Shri Surana. saw the Chairman of the Company Law Board on the 1st June, 1967 and promised to make a representation to the Company Law Board after he had attended the annual general meeting of the company then due to be held on 8th June, 1967, indicating specifically the points which he would like the Company Board to check up withreference to the books of account of the company. The Company Law Board have not yet received any reference from him. If Shri Suranahappens to meet the hon. Member, he may advise him to give the materials to the Company Law Board as promised by him. I have to this effect also addressed a letter to the hon: Member.

Then some reference was made to a Worli Co. I think this reference was to Gammon India Ltd. On 20th March, 1966, Shri Madhu Limaye addressed a letter to the then Minister of Law, drawing his attention to the report of the auditors on the balancesheet of the company as on 31st March, It appears that the auditors 1965. were unable to state whether the investment sale proceeds of bonus shares and right shares issued in previous years and dividends thereon had been correctly accounted for. The Registrar of Companies, Bombay, under the direction of the company Lew Board, made enquiries as to how in view of the facts pointed out by the auditor, the balance sheet of the company for the relevant period capil

be deemed to show a true and fair view of its affairs. It was also enquired whether the company had obtained from its London office further particulars and information required by the auditors. When the matter was still under examination, Shri Madhu Limaye put a question on 26th July, 1966 and, again, on 15th Nov-In reply to the first ember, 1966. question he was informed that the department was examining the matter in consultation with the Enforcement Directorate and the Reserve Bank of India. In reply to the second question, he was informed that the Reserve Bank had received a declaration dated 11th November, 1961 through the bankers of the company in respect of their holdings of foreign shares and securities that had been held in London from 1942 onwards. The company had also informed the Reserve Bank of India that bonus and right shares on certain foreign-security holdings had accrued to it from time to time and their agents in London had collected and sold the shares realising £1,04,552. In view of this, no prosecution was launched under the Foreign Exchange Regulations Act. It was also pointed out in reply to the question that the company had appointed a firm of chartered accountants in London to check its accounts in London and that the report of the said firm was awaited.

In answer to a further question by Shri Madhu Limaye and two other hon Members, it was stated on 26th May, 1967 that the London chartered accountants submitted their report to the company and that the statutory auditors of the Indian company had drawn the attention of the shareholders to the findings of the London firm in their report on the affairs of the company for the year ending 31st March 1966. The enquiry initiated by Government was calculated to find out whether there was a basis for holding that the company and its officers had contravened the provisions of sections 86, 209 and 211 of the Compenies Act. It would be necessary not only to find out the violations of the provisions, but also to find out whether these violations were committed wilfully and knowingly. To this end in view, the department is now collecting the necessary information from the company. The company has also recently been asked to obtain clarification of its Chairman Mr. Gammon, as to the reason for which he was not in the know of the transaction in London since he was also associated with the London company. The final decision in this matter would depend on the nature of the company's reply. We are also taking steps to ensure that the original Broker's Note, which was not available to the London Firm of Chatered Accountants is traced by the company and produced before its statutory auditors.

Tribungl

(Abolition) Bill

The third case he referred was Duncan Strator and Company.

It is a fact that Shri Madhu Limave put a question which was answered on 16-9-65 about some references in articles of the Maratha; Daily of Bombay, alleging Shri Hari Das Mundhra exercising control over the management of Duncan Straton & Co. In reply to another question by Shri Limaye which was replied on 4-11-65, it was stated that the Company Law Board were looking into the affairs of the Company to ascertain whether the interest of the company or its shareholders were being or were likely to be prejudiced by any action taken by Shri Mundhra or otherwise. In reply to another question by the same-Member it was stated on 22-3-66 that the books of accounts of the company were being inspected under Section 209, Sub-section 4 of the Companies Act. The Inspection could not, however, be completed expeditiously as it was found necessary to inspect the books of accounts of two other companies with a view to connecting various transactions appearing in the books of Duncan Straton. Shr! Limaye was informed accordingly on 16-8-86 and, again, on 20-11-88, that

#### [Shri F. A. Ahmed]

7553 -

while inspection of books of accounts of Duncan Straton was completed, inspection of other companies and one foreign company having a place of business in India could not be carried out on account of non-production of necessary books and documents etc. Prosecution has since been launched against the officers of these two companies and also against Shri Harı Das Mundhra as a deemed director. The Registrar of the Companies, Calcutta has also issued show-cause notices regarding the contravention of the Act under Section 295 which contravention has been admitted by Shri Bagri and Shri Agrawal but they also intimated that the loan was repaid with interest on 10th October 1966. The parties concerned have pleaded oversight and inadvertence and have prayed for condonation of the lapses on their part. Notwithstanding this, the Registrar of Companies Calcutta has been advised to launch prosecution for contravention of Section 295. It may also be stated that the Enforcement Directorate issued show-cause notices to the Duncan Straton, Brahmaputra Tea Company & Shri Hari Das Mundra and Mrs. Mundhra for infringement of Foreign Exchange Regulations. The Enforcement Directorate would certainly initiate such further action as may be necessary in the light of the replies received.

There is another matter which was not referred to in the discussion the other day, but which he has mentioned in the course of the letter today. The Department of Company Affairs have not received any complaint in the matter in respect of Indian Oxygen Limited. It may, however, be mentioned in this connection that this allegation has been made in connection with Bombay Oxygen Limited. Shri Limaye and two other hon. members have given notice of a question relating to Bombey Oxygen, which is due for answer in the course of next month.

The Department of Company Affairs there advised the Regional Director

at Bombay to look into the matter and submit a report immediately to the extent possible, having regard to the information available on the record of the Registrar of Companies, Maharashta. It may be mentioned in this connection that the sudited balance sheet of the company may not disclose whether any manipulation has been made as alleged by Shri Limaye. For this purpose, a specific inquiry has to be made by the Registrar and it is being undertaken.

My friend, Mr. Banerjee is not here. He referred to a case—I am not in the habit of using adjectives, but he used some adjectives about Mr. Gupta. 1 can only say that so far as that matter is concerned, about the delay by the tribunal, he said that a petition was made by him and because of the fear of this gentleman, an adjournment was allowed and the case is still pending. It is in order to get over these difficulties that this Bill has been brought, and I am sure that everyone concerned will have better scope and better justice before the High Courts which are being invested with these powers.

With these words, Sir, may I request the hon. Members to support this Bill.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is:

"That the Bill to provide for the abolition of the Companies Tribunal and for matters connected therewith, as passed by Rajya Sabha,' be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The House will now take up the Bill clause by clause. There are no amendments to clause 2. The question is:

"That clause 2 stand part of the

The motion was adopted.

7555 Companies Tribunal (Abolition) Bill

Clause 2 was added to the Bill. . .

Change 3- (Transitional provisions).

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: There are some amendments to clause 3.

Shri C. Muthummi (Karur): Sir, I beg to move:

(i) Page 2, lines 4 and 5,-

for "Central Government and that Government".

substitute "High Court having jurisdiction in the State of court of action and that High Court" (1).

(ii) Page 2, line 8,-

for "Government" substitute—
"High Court". (2).

(iii) Page 2, line 12,-

for "Magistrate of the First Class"

substitute "District Judge." (3).

(iv) Page 2, line 13,-

for "Presidency Magistrate" substitute-

"District Judge". (4).

(v) Page 2, line 20, -

for "Magistrate of the First Class"

substitute "District Judge".

(vi) Page 2, lines 20 and 21,---

for "Presidency Magistrate" substitute---"District Judge". (6).

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I shall put them all together.

Amendments Nos. 1 to 6 were put and negatived.

ABADHA 5, 1986 (SAKA)

D.G. (Min. of Defence)

7556

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is:

"That clause 3 stand part of the Rill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 3 was added to the Bill.

Clause 4 was added to the Bill.

The Schedule was added to the Bill

Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

Shri F. A. Ahmed: Sir, I move:

"That the Bill be passed."

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is:

"That the Bill be passed."

The motion was adopted.

## \*DEMANDS FOR GRANTS, 1987-46

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The House will now take up discussion and voting on Demand Nos. 4 to 8 and 111 relating to the Ministry of Defence for which 7 hours have been allotted.

Hon, Members present in the House who are desirous of moving their cat motions may send slips to the Table within 15 minutes indicating the serial numbers of the cut motions they would like to move.

DEMAND No. 4-MINISTRY OF DEFENSE

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 54,14,000 be granted to the President to complete the sum necessary to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1968, in respect of Ministry of Defence"

DESCRIPTION NO. 5-DEPENCE SERVICES, EFFECTIVE—ARMY.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Motion moved;

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 4,48,27,33,000 be granted to the President to complete the sum necessary to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1968, in respect of Defence Services, Effective—Army."

DEMAND No. 6-Defence Services, Effective-Navy.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 25,97,33,000 be granted to the President to complete the sum necessary to dejray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1908, in respect of Defence Services. Effective—Navy'."

Demand No. 7—Defence Services, Expective—Air Force.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Motion moved:

That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,07,02,00,000 be granted to the President to complete the sum necessary to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1968, in respect of Defence Services, Effective—Air Force!"

Demand No. 8—Depence Services Non-Expective.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Motion moved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 17,00,00,000 be granted to the President to complete the sum necessary to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the list day of March, 1968, in respect of 'Defence Services, Non-Effective'."

DEMAND NO. 113. Departs Caparal.
Outlay.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Motionmoved:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 83, 68, 86,000 be greated to the President to complete the sum necessary to defary the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending the 31st day of March, 1968, in respect of 'Defence Capital Outlay'."

Shri N. Dandeker (Jamnagar): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, there are three cut motions in my name for disapproval of policy. I will refer to them in the order in which I propose to speak on them. The first one is No. Failure to re-organise the naval forces into two separate fleets; the Eastern and the Western. The second one is No. 20: Failure to study and to draw lessons from the Indo-Pakistan flict in 1965 and to undertake appropriate reorganisation, re-equipment and re-training of the Armed Forces for hostile operations on the Western Front. Lastly, the third one is No. 2: Failure to modernise both the management and the plant, tools and equipment of the older ordnance factories.

I would like to deal first with the question of reorganising the naval forces of India into two separate floots. The main objective of the Indian Navy, as indeed of the Indian Armed Forces, is not agression. Aggression is clearly not our policy; we are not an aggressive nation and we have no designs upon anybody's territory. Therefore, there is no question of our Armed Forces ever adopting an aggressive posture. Nor, indeed, in accordance with the policy hitherto adopted by the Government, is there any ques-tion of our Armed Forces having a their objective any participation any system of collective security. mention this here as an accepter basis, merely in order to avoid sidetracking of the debate. House knows my own views on the that we ought to participate in a syl teen of collective security. But & the purpose of this debate, I am accept ting the position of non-involvenses

in any collective security system. That leaves the accepted objective of our Armed Forces, namely, that they are intended solely for the defence of this country. But I submit that the appropriate defensive posture for us is not one of supinely waiting for somebody else to attack us, or supinely waiting till we are "gheraoed" before we start taking action. I mention this because I have seen that during the course of Indo-Pakistan operations in 1965, there was an extra-ordinary instruction issue to the Navy that the Indian naval vessels should not operate north of a line due west of Porbunder and that even south of this line the Indian naval units should not engage enemy vessels beyond 200 miles of the Indian coast. I suggest that is not an appropriate defence posture. The kind of defence posture that I envisage, as appropriate for the Armed Forces of any country and certainly of this country, is one of defence from strength, I may describe it as an aggressive defensive posture.

With this as the objective of our Armed Forces and of the Navy, one has to consider what tasks the Navy has to undertake in the east, that is to say, in particlar, in the Bay of Bengal and in the Indian Ocean, n, east of of Ceylon. Ceylon and south-east When we are clear about the tasks to be performed, we will know what kind of naval set-up we need to have in that region. But before one goes on to describe the tasks or to describe the kind of naval organisation that we ought to have for the performance of those tasks, one must first consider what are the maritime geo-physical facts in the region and what are the geo-political facts in the current situation there because these, quiet obviously, will govern the nature of the forces that we need for our naval defente.

To begin with about the maritime geo-physical facts, the Indian coast-line extends over 3000 miles, cut into two by Ceylen. That is an important matter to which I will refer in a moment, but the point to remember is that it is not only a very long coast

line but it is a coast-line that clearly cut by the intervention Ceylon in between around which our naval forces would have to go operate in the east if as of now, we have only a one fleet navy. Secondly. there is of course, the existence of a naval base in the south of Ceylon at The third geo-physi-Triconomalee. cal fact of the greatest importance is that our Andaman Islands which are in quite a well-located position within 100 miles of the only two entrances from the east into the Bay of Bengal and into the Indian Ocean are today extremely poorly fortified. Finally, another inportant fact of life in connection with this question of defence of our east coast is that something like 60 per cent of India's overseas trade as well as 60 per cent of India's coastal trade is either terminating at the ports on the east coast or originating from the ports on the east coast. So much, Sir, about the geo-physical facts. The more important facts perhaps are the geo-political ones in relation to our neighbouring countries, both the near neighbours as well as the distant neighbours and their attitude vis-a-vis India.

First of all, we have Ceylon, a friendly country, but it could, as indeed it seemed it might when we had the conflict with China, come under the influence of possible enemies deny shelter and supply to our ships in the ports of ceylon and particularly at the naval base in Trincomales. I do not go further to suggest that there could be possible offensive operations from Trincomalee, but I do suggest that we have to take into account the political situation that is possible, that indeed seemed probable at one time when Chinese influence was very strong in Ceylon, necessary supply and provisioning facilities might be denied to us by Ceylon.

Our second near neighbour is Burma a very friendly country; but it is also one of the uttimate objectivities of Chinese policy of exercising heighmony over Asia. [Shri N. Dandeker]

Then, there is Malaysia, an exceedingly friendly country. And finally, sere is Indonesia. I know that our Foreign Minister has been almost in ecstagies over the results of his visit to Indonesia, but I think he is forgetting that Indonesia was until quite secently, in fact, until the end 1965 during the Indo-Pakistan conflict,-a hostile country with a fairly well equipped Navy containing number of submarine units and is a country which though now friendly, could again become hostile in collaboration with or in support of either Pakistan or China.

The distant neighbours who are also important in this connection are Thailand, Laos, Cambodia and Vietnam. The only point to remember in consection with these, from my present standpoint, is that they are the immediate objectives of the expansion of Chinese hegemony over South East Asia.

Beyond these countries lie Australia, New Zealand and Phillippines. all exceedingly friendly, and beyond them again are Japan and Taiwan, also exseedingly friendly.

In between in the eastern waters, there is the British fleet, quite friendly, based today at Malaysia, and further on there is a more powerful American fleet, also quite friendly.

The relevance of this geo-political situation in relation to the naval defence of our country can be two-fold; first of all, in the light of who likely to be our enemies, judged in a really cold-blooded assessment of the situation; and secondly if those are likely to be the enemies, what likely to be the attitude of some of the countries to which I have referred? Looking at it that way, quite plainly, the two enemies that we have, probable enemies, the possible enemies that we have to consider in this context, would be China and Pakistan, either acting separately or acting jointly or acting simultaneously.

Now in that kind of position has to ask oneself what would be the tasks of the Indian navy before we can consider how the Indian forces ought to be organized to dothose tasks in that kind of situation. Sir, I have listed five principal tasks which the Indian navy on the cest in the Bay of Bengal and in the Indian Ocean, would have to perform. In the first place, it would have to keep a strict and continuous watch on the entrances into the Bay of Bengal and the Indian Ocean from the seas beyond those two narrow entrances to which I referred earlier. Secondly, particularly during the time of hostilities either with Pakistan or with China or with both, the function of the navy would be to detect, hunt down and destroy any intruders coming into the Bay of Bengal the Indian Ocean by those two channels. Thirdly and most importantly, if intruders did get in, the Navy would have the task of defending the Andaman Islands, and finally of course once enemy forces get beyond those lands, there would be the terrible and tremendous task of, on the one hand guarding the east coast of India, and on the other, guarding the commercial sea routes that pass over the Indian Ocean and the Bay of Bengal.

I would like to pause here for while to consider what this involves in terms of even just that one consideration, namely, guarding the sea routes. Indian vessels today carry something like 40 to 45 per cent of India's overseas trade - 50 per cent of the India-UK trade 40 per cent of the India-Continental trade, and 50 per cent of the India-Japan and Pacific trade, and about 25 per cent of other trades; I am referring for the moment only to general cargo trade. As regards bulk trade with India, probably the Indian shipping carries today less than 10 per cent of the bulk cargo trade. Moreover, I said earlier, 60 per cent of the Indian oversens trade either originates from or terms nates at the Indian ports on the cast coast. This could involve a tremendone task in terms of defending the see-lanes and see traffic to this country, both when it is carried in Indian vessels and possibly also when it is carried in foreign vessels. Perhere, the task of defending foreign vessels would not be so great, partly because they would enjoy, if carried innocent traffic,-that is, did cargo,—legal not carry contraband protection, and would not much of a task for the Indian Navy. But in so far as the defence of Indian vessels is concerned particularly Indian vessels would be carying all the contrabard cargo arriving India, the task of defending the sea lenes for the safe passage of these vessels would be a very considerable ene.

In this context, with these objectives, with these geo-political facts and geo-physical facts and with these tasks to be performed the question is: Can these formidable tasks be performed by the Indian Navy as at present organised? Today, virtually speaking, the Indian Navy consists of just one fleet which is based on the west Coast at Bombay and Cochin. time of a scrap with an enemy, only a lew vessels could perhaps be spared to go into the Bay of Bengal. I do not think it is much of a secret to disclose that during the Indo-Pakistan conflict, the bulk of our naval forces were centred on the west coast and there was hardly anything that went over to the sest coast, though there were in fact suspicions of hostile submarine activity, but nothing specific turned up.

I submit that if this defensive task on the east coast is to be performed in the context I have indicated, it becomes urgently necessary to reorganise the entire Indian Navy into two fleet, one a western fleet and another an eastern fleet, the two operating under virtually separate commands bit under the overall control, of course of the Chief of Maval Staff.

file, if it every be said that this is mothing new, that this business of

splitting up the Indian Navy into two virtually independent commads and two virtually independent fleets has been under consideration since 1962. Possibly, it has been under consideration since 1948. I do not know, But I do know that it has been under consideration.

But this phrase 'under consideration' is one of those governmental phrases that are exceedingly clusive. I read once somewhere that when you get a reply from Government that the matter is "under consideration", it means that they have lost the file and they are looking for it and when they say, the matter is receiving their "active attention", it means that they have just found it, and of course, when they say it is under "active consideration" it means that they are wondering what the whole thing is about. Since 1952 or it may be since 1948, this question has certainly been "under consideration". But meanwhile, grave international developments have over-OF. taken us. The situation in 1948 even in 1952 or even in 1956 was totally different from the kind of situation. that prevails today. I suggest that both strategically as well as tactically speakig, the problem is one of greater urgency now and cannot be disposed of merely by saying that Government have had this matter under consideration for a whole generation.

Now, granted, as I believe one must grant, that there is need for an immediate decision on this question of having two fleets, granted that two fleets, we ought to have what is involved? I know there is a good deal involved. It will involve a considerable amount of planning and a considerable amount of expenditure. But I think the Minister, and no doubt also the Finance Minister, know that in so far as the urgent requirements of defence are concerned, this House will not deny grants for the purpose. This House has been highly critical a number of extravagant schemes and extravagant expenditure, of a number of schemes: [Shri N. Dandeker]

7565

that any body would describe cock-eyed. But this House has never been rejuctant to vote all the money required for the purpose of the defence of the country.

In the brief time at my disposal, I can do no more than indicate the baisc elements in this problem. What would be involved at the outset of course, is the establishment of a major naval base, and a dockyard on the east coast with dry dock, repair and supply faci-The moment I say lities. this. I remember that since 1952 at any rate, Government have had under congideration the building of a dry dock on the east coast. But 15 years have elapsed; they have not taken decision, they have done nothing. Today even for the merchant marine, the repair dry dock and turn-round facilities that are available on east coast are so ridiculous that only . a country like this could tolerate these. In fact, vessels built at Vizag have to go all the way to Calcutta, for inspection by the prospective buyer in dry dock with all that is implied in such a process. What is involved, therefore, in this question of constituting a second fleet in terms of basic facilities is the establishment of a naval base on the east coast, with a dockyard with dry dock, repair and supply facilities,

Now, Sir, I will venture to step where angles fear to tread, namely to consider what we should be, in broad terms the composition of a fleet on the east coast. I have neither the technical knowledge nor indeed information to be dogmatic about this. My knowledge is limited and my information is also limited, but it seems to me, on a general review of his question, that the essential elenents of an eastern naval fleet would se at least one, perhaps two, aircraft carriers with the appropriate plement of four fast, well armed and equipped protective units for each of them. I say aircraft carrier because

today the concept of the naval warfare spart from that involving nuclear weapons and so on, has changed so much that static warfare is no longer, at any rate at sea the kind of thing one thinks of. What one wants is a fast and very powerful aircraft with a very mobile kind of fleet air arm capable of quick operations all over the place; and of course, it has to have certain protective units accompanying it. From the actual fighting angle, I imagine what is required is a number of small, fast, wellarmed offensive units composed of destroyers. corvettes and poised to hunt down and kill intruders, especially submarines. I do not anticipate, in the foreseeable years to come any probable enemy likely to intrude into the Bay of Bengal and so forth with surface naval units. What we have got really to worry about is submarines.

That being the position I envisage for the eastern fleet, certain subsidiary questions arise. I do not know what the present position is,-I do not want to know,-but I do think that the question of fortifying the Andamans into a really first-class advance base should be very much to the fore in the Defence Ministry's calcula-What is realy required is tions. well-equipped and heavily fortified advance base in the Andamans with a few highly trained units of the Army, Navy and the Air Force, who must be constantly watchful, always

on the alert, constantly undertaking combined exercises. Added to this would. I imagine, have to be a chair of air fields on the east coast of India from where long range land based reconnaisance wir force units could constantly keep a look out during times of screp or trouble for intruders comins in from the far eastern side. So Sir, just to summarise this particular part of my discussion about defence policy, I have no doubt what ever that there is need for recta sing the Indian Nevy into two fleets I believe the matter has been under consideration for quite sometime, with no results whatever. I believe the matter is one of urgency and cannot wait any more years before decisions are taken, because even after decisions are taken, a good deal of work has to be under taken, considerable amount of planning and so on. But I submit that so far as one can make out from the report of the Defence Ministry year after year, this does not seem to be a question that under active consideration, or concerning which anything concrete has yet emerged.

I turn now to the other matter concerning which I have a cut motion namely, the Indo-Pakistan I know I treading here on conflict. difficult ground. I do not want, therefore, to talk about or to criticise or even to mention the outlines of the general strategy adopted by us or indeed of particular actions or the merits and demerits of those actions, but I do want to focus ottention on just one aspect which in fact, troubled me a great deal during the Pakistan conflict and for months subsequent to it.

We worked out perhaps the most brilliant counter-offensive to the penetration which Pakistan made on the Akhnur sector. We attacked in a big way on the Sialkot sector and made considerable headway. And then we got stuck, we just got stuck there. I know that subsequently there has been a lot of whitewashing and mealymouthed statements to the effect that it was our intention only to occupy certain defensive positions, not to advance any further and so on. I cannot believe that those are true explanations, because I know for a fact that our armoured units really got bogged down; and they got bogged down so long that it was not possible thereafter to make any further ad-TADCOS.

I have tried to piece together over the last 18 months the causes of this, the for the I could gather them. These tennes I will presently mention. But 900 (Ai) LED-6

let me add my reasons for mentioning them is this, that I have grave doubts whether snything is being done to eliminate those causes.

For example, when our armoured units went forward, they did not receive either adequate air support in the battle, or air cover when operations came to a standatill.

Secondly, the infantry units which should have follwed immediately after the armoured units were terribly long in coming, and this for the simple reason that although they were mobile they were mobile in trucks having tyred wheels, not track wheels. When a tank attack is made even a layman like me knows that the tanks do not advancee on broad roads, they go cross country. They have to be followed for occupation of territry by infantry forces; but the infantry forces have to be conveyed in track vehicles, not vehicles with tyres. The result on the Sialkot front was that our advancing tank forces did not receive infantry support for I believe two days. possibly three.

The same difficulty arose in regard to the maintenance of supporting supplies. The logistics of the whole operation, I gather, was not something to be proud of. It was disgracefully inadequate, and if the forward armoured corps troops held their ground, it was entirely because of their personal bravery, their tenacity and the leadership they received from the junior officers up to the level of the officers that actually go into the battlefield. They held the ground not because they received adequate air support or air cover, not because the infantry followed up in time, not because the legistic position was adequate, but entirely because of their own capabilities.

Then, the artillery. I believe we are still functioning with artillery which is not self-propelled. I am aghast at this thought that the artillery had to be dragged to the place, had to be manoeuvred, had to be taken

[Shri N. Dandeker]

hither and thither by being dragged by someother vehicle. Our artillery is not even today self-propelled; and of course, its range is limited as compared to the range of the artillery which is at the disposal and command of the Pakistani forces. Worst of all perhaps-I would like to say a little more about this-was communications. One could say, communications were practically a total failure during the Pakistan operations. I am saying that in all seriousness. I wanted to say this last year but I thought it was too close to the events and I would not have liked to say it then because of all sorts of possible consequences. But today, when we are very nearly two years away from the events, I believe I should be failing in my duty, with the knowledge that I have pieced together in the last eighteen months, if I failed to say that one of the gravest failures during those operations was the failure of communications. I will not go into the details of it. I have considerable knowledge of the details. but I have no doubt that the Defence Minister can get them from the signals and other appropriate wings of the Army and the Air Force; the reasons for the failure, the effects of the failure; and so on. The real fact is that there was considerable failure of communications between the operational units, the defence units and the commands.

Now. Sir about the tanks them-The Centurions with which our armoured regiments are equipped are first-class tanks. Our people know them inside out and they are able to handle them with great competence. They stood by us in the face the technically most superior patton tanks. But one of the things I have been trying to find out during the last year or two is this: what is the degree of preparedness of our tank units? will be very restrained in saying this; hut I do want to say it, because I must warn the House about this. formation that I have gathered last year and which I have attempted to

check up is that if we wanted the tank squadrons to be ready at 24 hours notice for battle, not more than fifty per cent of the tanks in any squadron would be ready for battle at such short notice. It is not the fault of the men who maintain them. They are about the best maintained equipment because their lives depend on it. But they are the best maintained equipment within the limits imposed on But the whole logistics, again, of the supply of components and spare parts is all tangled up; all manner of papers going up and down with notes of somebody saying "no" or "yes" and so on. The fact is that if you were to ask, at random, the tank squadron commanders of the Indian Armoured Corps: how many tanks would be battle worthy at 24 hours' notice? I doubt whether more than fifty per cent of any squadron would be battle worthy. I know, nevertheless, if there was such an order tomorrow the men would limp into battle with their tanks and give a jolly good light. That is their business and they do it remarkably well. But that is not good enough. It is true, as people often say: the best of weapons are only as good as the men behind them. But it is equally true that the best of men can be only as good as the geapons they have.

The fact is that there is a great deal in all the matters about which I have been speaking that requires to be put right urgently. That is why I have raised these issues. Had I any reasonable ground for believing that all these deficiencies that came to light during the Indo-Pakistan operations had been put right, that lessons had been learnt, that where equipment was defective, it had been put right and so on, I would not nave raised these points. But with the information that I am able to gather together-it is very difficult business to get all this,-I thought I must say this. I talk of lessons to be learnt in terms of these matters; I am not talking to terms of the real conduct of war or in

i

terms of the actual tactics or methods. I am merely talking of the lessons to be learnt, in terms of the wherewithal with which to fight. Those lessons have not been learnt or if they had been learnt, little or nothing has been done about them so far.

भी भ्रम्त नाहादा (बाडमेर): उपा-अवल महोदय, मैं भापका ग्रीर भापके हारा इस सदन का ज्यान अपने देश की उन सीमाओं की तरफ ले जाना चाहता हूं जिन की तरफ आरथद देश का ध्यान पहली बार उस वक्त गया जब पाकिस्तान ने हमला किया। बह राजस्थान की सीमा जो पाकिस्तान से संगती है उस क्षेत्र के लोग अक्सर यह कहा करते हैं कि भला हो प्रेसीडेंट भय्यूव खां का जिसने भारत सरकार का भीर देश का अथान हमारे इस क्षेत्र की मोर दिलवाया। द्माप जानते हैं कि देश का सब से प्रधिक क्षेत्रफल भीर सबसे ग्रधिक लम्बे क्षेत्र पर पाकिस्तान की फीजो का प्रगर प्रधिकार हुआ। या तो उस क्षेत्र में हुआ या। पूरा इलाका कहते हैं कि रेगिस्तानी है, सुनसान है, बीरान है लेकिन इसका यह मतलब नहीं होता कि उसकी सुरक्षाका उचित इंतजाम न किया जाय . . . .

एक माननीय सबस्यः यह तो पुरानी परम्परा है।

श्री अनुस नाह्यद्धाः यह परम्परा नहीं है लेकिन में युक्तिस बतनाता हूं वहां भी। जब पाकिस्तान का हमला उस तरफ हुआ, जब पाकिस्तान की कौजों ने उस सेव पर हमसा किया उस बक्त उन तीमाओं की सुरक्षा का नार बहां की पुलिस, विशेष पुलिस, जिस को कहा जाता बा, समस्त पुलिस, उस के हाथ में था। फिर हमारी प्रीय पहुंची और इसारी फीज को किन-किम इनहियुमन, धमानवीय कठिनाइयों का सामना करना पड़ा, उसकी शायद ग्राप क्ल्पना नहीं कर सकते। वहां न सड़कें थीं न रेलें थीं, न पीने का पानी था। वहां मिट्टी के ट्यूबों में, रेत के पहाड़ों में हमारे जवानों के हमारी ट्रकें फंस गयीं। पांच फंस गये, **ब्**गक्तिस्थतीसे उस वक्त हमारे उधर के बेतों में तरबूज लगे हुए ये वह तरबूज खा काकर हमारेजवानों ने भ्रपनी प्यास बुझाई है। लेकिन पीने का पानी वहां नहीं मिलता था। उस के बाद भी उस दिशाल नम्बी औड़ी सीमापर सुरक्षा की पूरी व्यवस्थानहीं हो सकी। यही कारण है, श्रीमन्, की युद्ध बन्दी होने के बाद उसके बाद भी पाकिस्तान की फीजें जब इक्षर उधर उस सीमा में बुसती रहीं भीर जहां जी चाहा उन्होंने ग्रलग-ग्रलग जगहों पर कन्जा कर लिया तो संचार व्यवस्था न होने के कारण हमारे राजस्थान के उस दक्त के मुख्य मंत्री तक को पताभी नहीं पड़ा कि पाकिस्तान की फोजें हमारी किन-किन सीमाओं में कहां-कहां घुस भाई द्याई हैं और कहां-कहां उन्होंने प्रधिकार कर लिया है। राजस्थान की विधान सभा में अब प्रश्न उठाया गया तो वहां के मुख्य मंत्री ने कहा कि ऐसी बात नहीं हो रही है नेकिन उन्हीं दिनों जब इस सदन में प्रश्न उठाया गया तो मालूम पड़ा कि कि राजस्थान के एक बहुत बड़े भूखंड पर पाकिस्तानी फीजों ने अधिकार कर लिया है। मैं भाग से से यह निवेदन करना चाहता हूं कि इस सब हालत के तीन बुनियादी कारण थे। एक कारण यह था कि वहां हमारी सड़कों हैं, न रेसें हैं, न गीने के पानी का इन्तजाम है भीर तीसरा कारण यह या कि संचार व्यवस्था नहीं है। बुशकिस्मती से हमारे सुरक्षा मंत्रालय का प्रयाग तब से इस ओव की सड़कों, रेलों भीर पानी भादि के विकास की तरफ है लेकिन मैं यह बतलाना चाहता हुं श्रीमन्, कि जो सड़कों ग्रीर रेलों का

المتحصصان ويتبته وعر

[भी धमृत नहाटा]

विकास बहा हो रहा है या जो पानी का इन्तजाम हो रहा है वह एक दम नाकाफी है बहुत कम है। इतिहास ने यह प्रमाणित किया है कि हमारे देश की यह सीमा बहुत सिक बनरेबुल है, सनु को सामंत्रक देने वाली है। जब तक हम आर्थिक विकास यहां नहीं करेंगे सीर जब तक हम इस तरह की सीमा की रक्षा के लिये पूरी अयवस्था नहीं करेंगे, यह ललवाना या निमंत्रण हमेशा दुश्मन की रहेगा।

यह सड़कों की बात मैं घाप की बतलाऊ। सड़के बननी मुरू हुई, सुरक्षा मंत्रालय ने बह श्रद्धके बनाने का कार्य राजस्यान सरकार को दिया। राजस्थान सरकार ने कहा गया कि यह सड़के नवम्बर, 1967 तक पूरी बन वानी बाहिएं। राजस्थान सरकार ने कहा कि हम यह सड़कें बनायेंगे लेकिन धाप यह बतलाइये कि इन सड़कों को बनाने के लिए हम जो मशीनरी कीएट करें वह कितने करंड़ रुपये की एक साल में बनाने की मशोनरी हम कीएट करें ? यहां से राजस्थान सरकार को कहां गया कि करीब श्रुक वर्ष में 7-8 करोंड़ रूपये की सड़कें पूरी कर सकें इस तरह की मशीनरी घाप की रट कीजिये । राजस्थान सरकार ने वह मशीनरी कीएट की, प्रकसरइंजीनियरतैयारकिये भीर बह सङ्कें बननी तैया रहुई। अव राजस्थिन सरकार से कहा गया है कि हम साल के सिर्फ माहे तीन करोड़ रुपये सड़क बनाने के लिये दे सकते हैं, इस से घछिक नहीं दे सकते । बानी 8 बा 9 करोड़ रुपवे देने की क्षमता इस बक्त राजस्थान सरकार के पास है, भीर वह नवस्वर तक उन सड़कों की पूरा करने की स्थिति में भी है, सेकिन सारी की सारी मन्नीनरी बनने के बाद, विशेष इंजी-विद्यार नियुक्त करने के बाद, सारे घादमी नियुक्त करने के बाद राजस्थान सरकार है कहा गया है कि हम केवल साईं तीन करोड़ रुपये इस वर्ष देंगे । इस तरह राषस्याव खरकार की बहुत मुक्किस है। या तो बहु नवम्बर तक सड़क बनाये, भीर अगर न बनाये तो पैसा कम होने से यह काम एक या दो या तीन साल भाने [जानेना ।

मैं बतलाना चाहता हूं कि इस वक्त सड़कों जो राज़स्मान सरकार बना रही है वह नाकाकी हैं। वह केवल दो या तीन बड़ी सड़कों बना रही हैं, और उन में से एक सड़क जकर सीमा के समानान्तर चलती है, इस के धलावा जहां सड़कों का एक जाल विद्याने की धावस्थकता है वहां पर केवल एक या दो बड़ी सड़कों बनाई जा रही हैं। मैं घाप के द्वारा जो नितान्त और बहुत ही दवाब बाली धावस्थकता है सड़कों का जाल विद्याने की, उस की खोर सुरक्षा मंत्री बी का ध्यान दिलाना चाहता हूं।

रेलें केवल दो पूरी सीमाघों की ओड़ती है। एक जबपुर से पाकरण भीर दूसरी जोख-पुर से पोकरण। पिछली लड़ ई के बाद केवल 60 मील की एक रेलवें लाइन तैयार की जारही है पोकरण से जैसलमेर तक। जहां रेलें नहीं के बराबर भी वहां इस लड़ाई के तजुर्वे के बाद केवल एक 60 मील सम्बी रेलवे लाइन का विछाया जाना एकदम नाकाफी है जैसलमेर से बाड़मेर तक एक रेलवे लाइन सुरक्षा के दृष्टिकोण से बनाये जाने का प्रस्ताव था, लेकिन उस प्रस्ताव का क्या हुमा, कुछ पता ही नहीं चल रहा है उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, भाप भी जानते हैं कि फ़ौजों के लिये सड़को से प्रधिक मददगार रेलें होती हैं । हिन्दुस्तान-पाकिस्तान युद्ध के बाद रेलों ने जो शानदार काम किया, दह सारा देश जानता है। जोधपुर का राह्यार काम वहीं करता था पाकिस्तान के हवाई बहाज झाते थे, राडार को उन का पठम नहीं चलता था, लेकिन रेलवे के बहादुर धीर स्वन कर्मचारियों ने कंट्रोस टेसिफोब के हाथ बराबर जोवपुर के प्रधिकारियों 🛸 सूचनायें दीं । दूश्मन के हवाई वहांचीं का 7575

पता नहीं या, लेकिन जिस बहादुरी भीर मुस्तैवी के साथ, जिस सजगता के साथ हमारे कर्मचारियों ने हमारी उस सीमा की सुरका में मदद की वी उस को हम ने बहुत ही महसूस किया है। फौजों के माने जाने भीर सामान पहुंचाने में रेलें बहुत मददगार साबित हुई हैं भीर भावश्यकता है कि उस केंद्र की सुरक्षा के लिये दो या तीन बड़ी रेलवे लाइनें भौर विछाई जायें।

एक भीर विशेष समस्या की ब्रोर मैं सुरक्षा मंत्री महोदय का घ्यान भाकिकत करना चाहता हूं। राजस्थान के जैसलमेर जिले में एकरेन्ज खोला जा रहा है, फील्ड मार्टिलरी रेन्ज । वहां बड़ी-बड़ी तीर्वे दागने की ट्रेंनिंग फौजों को दी जायेगी। यह धावस्यक है कि फीजों को भ्रच्छी ट्रेनिंग दी जाये भीर इस ट्रेंनिंग को देने के लिये जो बाहरी इलाका चाह्नि शायद वह जैसलमेर भीर वाड्मेर जैसे रेगिस्तानी इलाके के ग्रलावा और कहीं नहीं मिल सकता। लेकिन करीब एक हजार वर्गमील का जो यह क्षेत्र मुरक्षा मंत्रालय ने बनाया है उस की वजह से करीब 15 या 20 हजार भादमियों भौरकरीब डेढ़ लाख पशुर्धों को अपने अपने गांव को छोड़ने पर मजबूर होना पड़ेगा । सुरक्षा मंद्रालय ने राज-स्थान सरकार को यह कार्य दिया है कि वह जमीन ली जाये। यह देश की सुरक्षा का सवास है, देश की सुरक्षा के लिये यदि हम को सपना घर नारभी छोड़ना पड़ें, सपना मांक छीड़ना पड़ें, तो उस में एतराज नहीं हीना चाडिये, भीर वहां के लोग देश की सुरक्षा के अकृत्य को देखते हुए, हमारी फीओं की ट्रेंजिन भी भावस्थलता को महसूस करते हुए ऐसा नहीं कि अपने गांव नहीं छोड़में या अपने घर बार नहीं छोड़ेंगे। लेकिन उन की इस वेकविकः का बदबा उन्हें देना तो दूर रहा, उन की देशभनित के बदले उन का सम्बदाद देना दूर रहा, उन के साथ इस प्रकार का बरताब किया जाता रहा है जैसे उन्होंने कोई धपराध्र या जुर्म किया हो। एक-एक परिवार की फाइल को निपटाने के लिये जैसलमेर के क्लेक्टर ने 60-60, 70-70 घीर 100-100 मील दूर से परिवारों के मुखिया की जैसलमेर तक दौड़ाया जैसे कि उन के दांसफर हो रहे हों। उन के मुझावजें का सवाल प्राज तक हल नहीं किया गया है उनको गांवों से निकाला जायगा किन्तू कितना पैसा देंगे उन की जमीन का यह सवाल ग्रभी तक हल नहीं हुगा है।

15.04 hrs.

[SHRI G. S. DHILLON in the Chair]

साथ ही साथ मैं बतलाना चाहता हूं कि जिस प्रकार का वह क्षेत्र है उस में बहुत खामियां हैं । टेढ़ा-मेढ़ा रास्ता बनाया गया है, जिससे तोपों के भाने जाने में भसुविधा हो सकती है। एक नरफ रेलवे लाइन जा रही और दूसरी तरफ सड़क जा रही है। उस में भगर जरा सा परिवर्तन कर दें उत्तर भीर पश्चिम की तरक, जो सड़क है जो रेल है उस को बोड़ा सा धार्ग सरका दे तो करीब 10,12 हजार धादमियों के घर बार उन के मबेशी भीर उन के खेत बचाये जा सकते हैं। भीर इस से इस क्षेत्र में न कोई मसुविधा होने वाली है न इस ट्रेनिंग में कोई बाक्षा भाने वाली है। इस विशेष समस्या की तरफ भी मैं सुरक्ता मंत्रालय का व्यान दिलाना चाहुंगा ताकि थोड़ा सा परिवर्तन कर के इस क्षेत्र को भश्चिक सुविधा जनक बनाया जा सके, भीर मधिक कॉटगुमस बनाया जा सके। साथ ही साथ 10--12 हजार बादमियों को तकलीफ से बचाया उदासके ।

इस के पश्चात् में द्याप का स्थान एक छोटी सी समस्या की धीर दिवाना चाहता हुं । अब पाकिस्तान ने हिन्दुस्ताब पर इनका

# [श्री धमुत नहाटा]

किया था उस वक्त जैसलमेर जिले में एक गांव है भटटोबालों, उस पर पाकिस्तान से जो रेन्जर्स धाये थे उस की इत्तला हमारी पुलिस भीर फीज को देने वाला एक बहादर मुसलमान था। उस का नाम बीजल था। उसने मा कर इतला दी हमारे भार ० एस ० सी० को कि वहां क्या मामला है। मालुम हमा कि पाकिस्तान के रेन्जर्स भाये हुए हैं। म्काबला शुरू हुया । हमारे सशस्त्र पुलिस के जवानों के हिययारों के बारूद बाले कारतूस खतम हो चुके थे। तब उन्होंने उस बीजल से कहां कि दूसरे इलाके पर जो जवान और सिपाही है उन को इतला करो भीर हवियार लाभी । वह बीजल भीर उस का छोटा लडका दोनों भागे भौर दूसरे इलाके में चले गये। वहां से जवान भीर हथियार को लेकर बाये भीर दुश्मनों को वहां से भगाया । हमारे सूरका मंत्रालय ने इस बीजल को उस की वहादुरी के लिये एक हजार रूपये के पुरस्कार की धोषणा की थी. हैकिन ग्राज तक पता नहीं कि वह बीजल कहां है। पाकिस्तान वाले उसे भगा कर ले गये वह जिल्दा है या मर गया, पाकि-स्तान के जेल में वह सड़ रहा है या मर चुका है, इस का पता नहीं है। उस ने भारत की रक्षा की है उस ने भारतीय सीमाधों की म्राक्ता के लिये अपनी जान हथेली पर उठाई बी इस लिये उस के लिये प्रस्कार की घोषणा की गई है, लेकिन उस की सुरक्षा के लिये भौर उस की जिन्दगी के लिये ग्रभी तक कोई कार्रवाई नहीं की गई है। इसका तरफ भी मैं मंत्री महोदय का ध्यान दिलाना चाहता है।

इक और विशेष समस्या की भीर में आप का ध्यान दिलाना चाहता हूं। राजस्थान के इस रेगिस्तानी इलाके में जिस का मैं जिक कर रहा हूं करीय 15 हजार जवान हमारी फीजों में बरती होते हैं। मेरा खयाल है कि धकेले एक नहसील शेरगढ़ से जो मेरे जुनाब क्षेत्र में भाता है, 7 हजार जवान

फीओं में हैं। वह एक ऐसा इलाका है जिस के बहादर जवान हमारे फीजों में भाते हैं। बहा के बहुत से बहादुर जवान युद्ध में काम भाये। जब कोई फीज का सिपाड़ी रिटायर हो जाता है तब उस जवान के परिवार के लिये, उस के प्राश्रित लोगों केलिये उन के बच्चों की शिक्षः के लिये भीर उन लोगों को जमीने भादि देने के लिये प्रबन्ध किया जाता है । इस मामले में राजस्थान सरकार भीर केन्द्रीय सरकार ने समय-समय पर घोषणायें की है कि उन्हें अमीनें दी जायेंगी. रियायतें दी जायेंगी. पूरस्कार दिये जायेंगे. उन के बच्चों को मधत शिक्षादी जायेगी लेकिन मैं आप के द्वारा सुरक्षा मंत्रालय का ध्यान इस भ्रोर दिलाना चाहुंगा कि हमारे इस क्षेत्र के जो जवान बहादुरी से काम का चुके हैं उन के परिवारों को यह जिकायत है कि जिन सुविधाओं की घोषणा, जिन रियायतों की घोषणा, जिन पुरस्कारों की घोषणा की जाती है उस पर धमल बहुधा नहीं होता, खासकर जमीन के बारे में और बच्चों की शिक्षा के बारे में। यह शिकायतें बहुत वह वैमाने पर की का रही हैं। मैं चाहंगा कि हमारे इन जवानों को जो मुविघायें दी जानी चाहिये और जिन की घोषणायें की जाती हैं, उन पर ग्रमल भो किया जाये।

Shri Ranjit Singh (Khalilabad): Mr. Chairman, Sir, I am constrained to remark, at the outs.t, that in forty minutes' time I have to expose the neglect of the vital subject which has taken place during the last twenty years. The way the Defence Budget has been presented puts one in doubt as to whether there are any principles formulated by the Government for the presentation and the formulation of the Defence Budget.

At the very outset, let me speak a little on the very question of the principles on which the defence of this country is based. For the purpose

of preparation of the armed forces for the defence of the nation, there is always a higher directive laid down by the Cabinet, a definite and a firm directive, given to the Services. In our country, this directive does not exist. This directive is known as the national war aim. This was also criticised in the NEFA Report that a higher directive was non-existing.

It is a great tragedy that even now there is no higher directive as formu-. lated by the Cabinet and given to the services. In fact, the Government does not know-it is in a dilemmawhether we are at war or at peace with our two troublesome neighbours. The Government will probably deny that we are in a state of war with them.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy (Kendrapara): Any way we are in emergency all right.

Shri Ranjit Singh: What was our relationship with China in 1962, between 20th October and 15th November? Were we at war with China or not? If we were at war with China, when did we revert to peace? China has still got large chunks of our territory under their occupation. When did we revert to peace with China? was our relationship with Pakistan between 5th August and 23rd September, 1965? We were at war with them. When the borders had been violated on both the sides, there was no question about the fact that we were at war with them. When did we revert to peace? The inere ceasefire-in the case of China, our Government calls a unilateral cease-fire-is only suspension of hostilities so that peace negotiations may take place. A cease-fire is not an end to war and, certainly, no country with any dignity, accepts peace with its territory under foreign occupation. Therefore, our Government should have decided as to whether our national war policy was the liberation of the territory under foreign occupation. Similarly, directive laying down the national war aim should have gone to the armed

The Government should have given to the armed forces a national war aim in the following manner: You will prepare for the liberation of all Indian territory under alien occupation. Then only, the armed forces would have the motivation to prepare themselves. We have no motivation at the moment and, therefore, the Government formulates the Defence Budget without taking into consideration the fact that we must liberate the territory that we have lost to the enemy, whether it is China Pakistan.

Only a few months ago, I read a statement made by the External Affairs Minister in which he had said, "At the moment, we are not in a position to liberate our territories." He had obviously addressed that remark to China. It implied inherently that we will make an effort to get into position to liberate those territories. But the Government has not made that effort. Either the Ministry of Defence thinks otherwise or the External Affairs Minister was talking against the principles of his own Government. Therefore, the very basis for the Defence Budget does not exist, the principles on which the defence of this country should be founded. When we are in a state of war as we are at the moment, we have to give a directive to the army for preparation with an aim for all training in peace. Particularly the army says that they train in peace to prepare for war. That is not enough. There should be a firm directive from the Government because the greatest threat to peace— I cite a Swiss dictum—is to take peace for granted. Our Government has taken peace for granted even while fighting the enemy. It is no use going into the legal jargon whether we are at war or not. A war that lasted for twenty years from 1925 to 1945 between Japan and China was always referred to by the Japanese as the

#### [Shri Ranjit Singh]

D.G.

Mukden incident. There was no formal declaration of war wnen Pakistan violated our territories; yet, on the 5th August and again on the 1st Septumber, 1965, the forces clashed and we occupied each other's territory: had there been a proper aim given to the army, there would have been an unconditional surrender of all Pakistani forces within 15 days. There has recently been another war, a war in which eight belligerant nations took part and seven of them were reduced to such a mockery by a small nation! There was no formal declaration of war at all. So, our Government have to decide whether we are at war or at peace with China and Pakistan. How can a nation having the slightest selfrespect be at peace with people who are in occupation of our territory? So, I request the Government to give to the Armed Forces the motivation for their training that all their training, all their preparation, will be directed towards the liberation of our territories under foreign occupation and only when this directive is given, we shall be able to formulate a proper budget for defence. The indecision of the Government regarding this is hampering the motivation of our troops. Without this an army can neither train itself well nor in times of war can it fight.

I will now come to the question of the preparation, rather than the preparedness, of the Armed Forces. I will deal with it in the following headings: its strength, its organisation, equipment, training, intelligence and above all morale.

Let us first consider the strength of the army. What is the dictum regarding the strength of the army? The strength of the enemy or enemies that we are likely to face or are facing and consequently the extent of the borders that our army is called upon to guard. Here we have two enemies facing us. It is the evaluation of defence experts that in times of war, if China and Pakistan com-

bine against us which they are bound to do and are preparing to do, we shall be facing a total of 40 divisions, Knowing that we shall be facing a total of 40 divisions, we are preparing an army with a strength of only 20 divisions and the Government says that they do not have finances and asks how they could prepare an army of more than 20 divisions. If you have to fight a defensive war and if you cannot increase the strength of the army, at least think of increasing the strength of your auxiliary forces. which is the cheapest method of enlarging and extending the army times of war. Again, I will cite the example of that country which has created military history very recently. namely, that of Israel. It had standing army of 50,000 troops only, but within 48 hours, the strength of that standing army went up to 2,50,000. This was the state of preparedness of its auxiliary forces. It is this state of preparedness that we must have. But what are Government doing regarding the strength of the Army?

They have reduced the strength of the officers in the Army. They have got rid and are getting rid of more than 6,000 emergency commissioned officers most of whom have had battle experience. From the answers given on this subject, you know how much the House was exercised over the question of the release of these emergency commissioned officers, and it was said that we were giving them a very raw deal, and that in fact we are being unfaithful to those people who had served the country with their very life. But the answers given were so evasive, and I might say that the answers given were also not true in all cases; I do not say that the untruth was spoken deliberately by the Defence Minister, but he was probably misinfored; I shall accept that position because generally Ministers here are misinformed. Now, what is the type of answers that we have been setting regarding these emergency

commissioned officers? First of all, it is said by the hon. Minister in his reply that such turnover is necessary to been the Army young. It had been pointed out by me then that we were getting rid of 2000 emergency commissioned officers; out of them we had selected 500 for regular commissions, so that the number comes down to 1500. We were getting rid of another 600 officers who were going on pension in one year, and the turnover of the Defence Academy and the OTS was Thereonly 1100 officers in a year. fore, in a single year we were further reducing the strength of our officer corps by 700. The Amy is already working on a hard cores of officers of 80 per cent strength only, and by reducing that strength further, we were weakening our units. But the Defence Minister had replied then that it was necessary to do so to keep the Army young. But then, probably, as a resuit of that very question, Government got rid of the vounger set of officers of the emergency commission and they extended the time-limit of officers who were to retire, by another two years. Is this the way to keep Those officers the Army young? whose services have been extended are incompetent officers, who have been thought unfit for promoton in the past twenty years. It will be improper to cite names here; otherwise, I could read them out in hundreds. But it is enough to say that this thing is taking place. On the one hand, to cover up a false answer, a misinformed answer is given; on the other hand, the time-limit of the older officers is extended. Is this the way the Ministry wants to keep the Army fresh? This is one aspect of the strength of the Armed Forces.

Then, I come to the auxiliary services. We have reduced the strength of the NCC, and we have done so on the recommendation of a single officer, namely the present directoremental of the NCC. It had been desided by a committee after detailed study that the NCC should be made computatory in all institutors. But

when the scheme started in 1963 we were very much short of officers. It was in 1964 and 1965 that the scheme came to a slow progress. But in 1966 Government stailed the scheme without giving a fair trial to it; when the new director-general came, he told Government that he could not do it and, therefore, Government accepted his ruling, and that which had been decided by a committee and which nad not even been given a fair trial was shunted and the NCC strength was reduced.

Then I come to the Territorial Army, the saddest part the Government have played in respect of it not only regarding its strength but also regarding its morale. The Territorial Army was instituted as a second line of defence in this country. In 1960, certain territorial army units. notably the artillery units, were embodied. From 1960 to 1962, they were kept in that position. In August 1962, orders came for disembodiment of these units-at a time when China was threatening. The Government themselves had adopted a tough posture vis-a-vis China, and at that time they thought of reducing this army by disembodying these units. Do you know when this disembodiment started? In October 1962 when the Chinese were advancing on our borders. Right through the war with China, from 20 October to 15 November, these territorial army units, amongst them a medium regiment and a field regiment, of which we were very being disembodied. short, were Jawans were sent home and in spite of representations from those units that 'we are at war now' and orders had gone out for reservists to report back, these vital units of the artillery were kept on being disembodied. And when the Chinese ceased fire, orders went that the jawans should he called back to the units and reembodied!

Again during the Kutch operations in 1965, these very units were distanbedied. While the disambediment [Shri Ranjit Singh]

was proceeding, the Government stopped it again. Then came September 1965. In September orders were given that these territorial army units should again be disembodied. I was sent to Delhi by certain army friends to try and use my influence with certain of my friends in the Ministry and in the political parties to stop the disembodiment of these units. I pleaded with them, government officials as well as Ministers, that we were going to face a war very soon. But they said everything was under control. 'In Kashmir, everything is under control. We will not need the territorial army units'. In fact, one Minister went to the extent of saying, You army people panic for nothing. In Kashmir, everything is under control'. I went back, met my army commanders and told them what the situation was. They sent me again on the 1st of September. I came on the 1st of September. I saw Shri Dandeker and Shri Vaipavee. I wanted to see Shri Krishna Menon, but I could not see him. I wanted to see leaders of all shades of opinion and tell that at this vital moment the army was being reduced. These leaders grasped the purport of all that was happening, but they were helpless against the attitude of the Government. Even on the 3rd September, batches of the territorial army were put on the train to be sent home, when Juurian had already fallen. On 4th September, orders were given to call back those jawans from their homes.

This was the state of the territorial army then. All this happened because it has been the policy of Government to send their rotten elements of the regular army promoted to the NCC and the Territorial Army. I will give examples. A Director of the Territorial Army sold a military rank, promoting a wine merchant direct from hony. Captain to the rank of hony. Lt. Col. and immediately after that took the job of General Manager in his factory. People on

the verge of retirement in the regular army are shunted on to the Territorial Army. So, in this way, these auxiliary forces have been raped by the Government, and there is a budget provision which clearly shows that there is to be a further reduction in these auxiliary forces. We cannot maintain a large army, and we do not want to maintain a large auxiliary force. Where is this nation being led to in regard to defence?

I come now to the question of equipment on which my esteemed friend Mr. Dandekar has already thrown much light, and on which I shall throw further light, because by good fortune I happen to have fought in the last war with Pakitsan, by good fortune I happen to have earned certain singular honours, and by good fortune I happen to be an expert in ballistics and small arms. So, I draw the attention of the House and the Minister to the drawbacks the army felt.

Good equipment means also good morale. During the last war, we were greatly helped by God, there is no doubt about it. For instance, I know that every time the First Armoured Division moved out for manoeuvres, only 50 per cent of its Centurion tanks reached the destination, the rest having breakdowns on the way, but when the First Armoured Division moved one of its units to Khemkaran, by the grace of God not a single tank broke down on the way, not a single tank had mechanical failure. So, it was the grace of God. Now, are we to rely only on the garce of God again?

The sorry state of equipment that we have is shown by the two examples that I give now. We started with a venture to make our own tank, the Vyjayanta. It was an excellent tank by all accounts, yet the fact remains that the gun of the Vyjayants was far inferior to the gum of the Centurion.

The Centurion gun still remains the best gun of all tanks in the world. It fires an armour-piercing shot at the muzzle velocity of 4700 ft, per second, which is 1,000 ft. per second more than the Patton muzzle velocity. The Centuration tank which gave such a good account of the Indian Armoured Corps in Phillora and in Khemkaran .

Shri Randhir Singh (Rotak): Are they as good as Pattons?

Shri Ranjit Singh: They are much better than Pattons.

Again, naturally the gun remains, but it is also the man behind the weapon that counts, and our men were far superior to them.

The question of the wireless sets has already been elaborately told over here by Mr. Dandeker. Now I will give two examples to show how the army suffered due to lack of communications and breakdown of communications. When our forces were advancing for the capture of Sialkot and there was nothing left in Sialkot, their army had descried it, the civilians had deserted it, it was only a question of going there to occupy it, because of the loss of communications two brigades could not place each other on the ground, and they delaynight trying to ed this the whole place each other's position, and in the morning came cease-fire. wireless sets which we were using never functioned at night, at night they just failed to function, and even now the army is short of good wireless We need wireless sets which sets. are transistorised which we can manufacture in the country, but the sources are neither being tapped, nor are the finances forthcoming.

Then there is the question of rifles. Ichapore gave, a very good rifle to the army, but it is a rifle that is still cumbersome to carry.

He has already Mr. Chairman: taken 30 minutes, the time allotted to his party, but he is making quite an interesting speech, and I would not mind giving him a few more minutes.

Shri Ranit Singh: In the matter of extremely vital equipment, we are still dependent on foreign countries. Take the question of HF 24. We started a division to manufacture fusillage over here and get the engine manufactured in Egypt. I do not know who gave this idea. But whoever gave this idea does need to be treated a little roughly both by the Government and by the people of this country. It was nothing short of misguiding the nation and placing the nation in a dangerous position. To have the engine manufactured by a nation whose technical knowledge is not as advanced as our own and to have the smaller thing, fusellage manufactured in this country is indeed something that does not tally with intelligence.

An hon. Member: Are we still manufacturing it there?

Shri Ranjit Singh: My information is that that factory has been razed to the ground . . . (Interruptions).

Shri Samar Guha (Contai): short notice quetsion on this matter had been rejected.

Shri Ranjit Singh: Now, the rifles that we are using weigh 10.5 lbs. By using calibre bullet 303, the carrying capacity of the soldier for bullets is not increased by using these rifles. The world has gone on to rifles with much higher velocity and with lighter body weight, and much smaller calibre to ensure that soldier is able to carry greater load of ammunition on his body. The tendency is to go in for.2 class with muzzle velocity of 3200-3700 feet per second. These rifles were being used by the Israelis and these are the latest rifles that America is using. It costs loss than the present 303 rifles used by the Army; the wastage of ammunition is much less and the soldier can carry a much larger [Shri Raniit Singh]

7589

load of ammunition without increasing the weight. But a mere Rs. 10 crores to switch over to these rifles are not coming from the finance and therefore our fire power is stunted. The lessons of the last war as learnt by our defence forces are not being applied by the Government because of financial bottlenecks. For instance, we felt acute shortage of air defence equipment at the battle front itself. In the Central sector, while advancing towards Lahore we had no air defence arrangement. Not a single light ackack gun, anti aircraft gun, or machine gun in a full divisional front. enemy aircraft came and we had no interception arrangements. It is circumstances that the under such morale of the Army breaks down. It is to be said to the credit of our forces that even under these circumstances we held our ground and did not run away like some other armies have done when there was no air superiority or air cover offered to them. And at the same time it was felt that we should have good anti tank defence for our gun areas. Our guns were exposed at times to the enemy tanks. It happened in Kashmir. One of our regiments had to leave its guns and come back because there was no anti-tank gun protection. It was rethat there should be commended anti-tank defence in each gun area given to the divisional artilliery and that it should be an integral part and each division should at least have one battery if not a full regiment of antiaircraft guns. But what is the sorry state of affairs? We negotiated for the purchase of certain numbers of L-70 guns, which are quite good and modern enough to knock down the Pakistani aircraft, with France, but when our team went over there, because our team was stalled for financial ressons, financial red-tapism, when we went over there, we found that out of the 1,000 guns that had been ready for us, Pakistan teem had already come and taken 600 away, leaving 400 rejected for our army. This is the sorry state of defence finance.

Now, I will give another example, and that is about intelligence. I will give examples of the faulty military intelligence which is still placing us in danger. We all know of the existence of the Ichhogil canal, but for four full days our armed forces could not approach the Ichhogil canal because our military intelligence-in fact there was no military intelligence-our civil intelligence had reported that the west bank of the Ichhogil canal was higher than the east bank. It commanded the entire east bank and contained the anti-tank defence. Unless we reconnoitered this position, and unless we neutralised this anti-tank gun positions, naturally, it would be suicidal for our tanks to advance. How much time does it take to find out whether one bank of the Ichhogil canal is higher than the other bank? There were thousands of pilgrims, thousands of tradesmen, going to Pakistan every day before the war and passing over the Ichhogil canal: why could we not send a military officer, disguised as a civilian, with a civilian passport, just to pass over the canal, peep out and see whether the canal had equal banks or one bank was higher? Our Government just did not think about it.

Mr. Chairman: The hon. Member's time is up.

Shri Ranjit Singh: I will take just five more minutes. I will give just one or two examples. The contribution that I am making is from my personal experience, and these are things still exercising the mind of our military commanders. I come now to the most important factor, and that is, morale. It is easy for the Government to say that our troops have high morale. That is not the question. Our troops, when they are engaged in battle with Pakitsan, will always have a high morale. The question ioi

is that morale sustainable under il conditions? If we give to the rooms no metivation for the present, the harassment of their families estinues here, do we expect that seir morale will be sustainable under il conditions? If we give it bad adership, do we expect their morale ill be sustainable under all condiane? Judging from the morale of se Arab nations, just before the war, e would have thought that that army as capable of doing anything, but at morale was not sustainable under attle conditions. Therefore, condions must be created here and now, uring peace-time, to see that the orale of the soldier will be sustainthe under all circumstances. What are e doing now? Their family is haresand their people at home have protection. There are States like unjab and Rajasthan where the offiers go round and find out the trouble the soldiers' families, and set them ght. The credit goes to Punjab and ajasthan. But there are places here the soldier is the least consieration. There is an example which as come before me just today. A lonel in a station in Madhya Pradesh ad his daughter eloped, and the police fused to take out the fist informaon report, only because the miseant was connected with the police. ow, consider the morale of that Ltal. It is under such circumstances, hen the morale of the army becomes Mi-Government, that seeds are laid military revolution which none of would welcome, but which this overnment, I am sorry to say, has WD.

Consider the economic bottlenecks at exist in the budget for defence, here is a reduction in the budget, a vital issues. For instance, we have it down on stores by Rs. 15, crores, wan what we provisioned when the on account was passed, and what e have grovisioned now, there is a flerence of Rs. 15 crores. In Rs. 15 cres, you could have raised a com-

We are cutting down all these things. and at the same time, we are allotting priorities to the expansion of the Asoka Hotel. We are allotting priorities for building a 20 lakh audito-rium, which will bring us two Gnat planes. If we think our borders are in danger and our country has to face the enemy, if the Government is determined that some day we must liberate those areas now under foreign occupation, then we must think of allotting priorities first and foremost to the defence organisation. For, nothing can subjugate the defence of the country. The present budget as formulated must be outright rejected. because it is not defence-conscious from the very beginning to the very end.

What is the remedy to all these? The Defence Minister will not understand; probably he cannot understand. The Government refuses to understand. Now, the only remedy is for a Standing Committee of Parliament to go into all these things to allot priorities and also to formulate the national war aim—in fact this Government is proceeding without any aim anywhere—they will proceed now there.

Shri Shashi Ranjan (Pupri): You are giving a very good speech and we are listening to it attentively. Do not dilute it by mixing up other things.

Shri Ranjit Singh: You are more worried about dilution of the Government's reputation than the dilution of the defence preparedness of the country. It is not an aspersion on any political party. It goes to show that defence is a very technical matter, which cannot be handled by non-technical men.

Sir, I thank the House for the indulgence shown to me. I request that the entire defence policy should be refermulated. Even if it is done by a [Shri Ranjit Singh]

7593

we do not mind. But it should be realistic and take into account as to whether we have got enemies to face. If we are prepared for war, we will have peace. But if we are unprepared for war, we will go under foot when war comes.

Shri Shashi Ranjan: Sir, I wish to point out that there is no high military officer in the official gallery. Will you direct that the military officers should be called to be seated in the official gallery when the defence debate takes place? The gallery is meant for that purpose. Not a single officer high-up is present. This is a very sorrowful state of affairs. Does it mean that they consider our opinion to be insignificant?

Mr. Chairman: We are concerned only with the Defence Minister, not the officers in the gallery.

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): The convention always has been that anything outside the House is invisible and we should never take notice of it.

Shri Shashi Ranjan: Then, what is the good of having an official gallery? It can be wound up. We do not want it to be a show. Let all the members say whether they agree with me or not. The Minister should not talk like that; it is not in accordance with propriety.

Shri Swaran Singh: I think there is unnecessary excitment over this issue. The parliamentary convention has always been-we should steadfastly adhere to it-that the spokesmen of the Government in the Cabinet are answerable to the House and not the officers.

Shri Shashi Ranjan: But you have got to respect the wishes of the members here.

Shri Swaran Singh: I sm sure the House does not at all agree with this

suggestion because it is inconsistent with the doctrine of democracy and it is parliamentary democracy that we are functioning under. We should never take note of the people in the galleries, whether it is the officers' box, press gallery or the visitors' gallery. We are concerned with the House only and here I am responsible for every one as far as defence matters are concerned. If the officers fail or others fail, I am responsible to the House and none of them is responsible.

Shri Ranjit Singh: The point raised by the hon. Member can be carried to this extent that instead of getting army officers here, some of us would be ready to discuss these matters with the army officers in the presence of the Defence Secretary and the Defence Minister.

Shri Swaran Singh: Although the desire is there, and I think it is well-intentioned, there are certain certain principles of democracy, norms, and once we slip from those norms and principles then it is inconsistent with either the spirit of the Constitution or the democratic principles. It is the Government spokesmen alone who are responsible to Parliament, and I would beg of hon. Members not to have their eyes on the permanent staff, whether they are civil, military, navy or air force officers. It is the Government's responsibility, and whatever instructions are given to the officers, either civil, military or navy, they are given by the Government and we are responsible to the House here.

Shri Ranga (Srikakulam): Sir, I entirely agree with what the Defence Minister has said just now. It would be wrong for us, Members of Parlisment, to be turning our attention to whosever sits there in the official gallery. We are not concerned about their presence at all. We are only dealing with the Ministers here. The

Ministers are responsible to the Parliament through the Cabinet. Therefore, I think, our hon friends, who have come to Parliament either this year or in the last Parliament, will bear with the conventions of parliamentary life and respect at least, even if they do not bother about the Opposition, the interpretation given of the convention by their own ministers. If they are not willing to do so, we can only say we are sorry for these hon. Members (Interruptions).

Mr. Chairman: As the hon, Member still seems to be not convinced, let me tell him that I have been a Presiding Officer for so many years and never, even in the State legislature, any point was raised about the presence of officers in the gallery. It is absolutely a wrong point of order. Hon. Members may now move the cut motions to Demands for Grants relating to the Ministry of Defence, subject to their being otherwise admissible.

Shri S. Kundu (Balasore): I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Ministry of Defence he reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to provide proper and effective defence services on the northeast border of India from Raniganj to Jalpaiguri. (1)].

Shri N. Dandeker: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Ministry of Defence be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to modernise both the management and the plant, tools and equipment of the older ordnance factories. (2)]

Skri E. K. Nayanar (Palghat): I beg to move:

."That the demand under the head Ministry of Defence be reduced by Rs, 160". [Handling the retrenchment and the resettlement of water carriers and sweepers by the authorities of B.E.G. and Centra Kirki, Poons...3. (4)].

"That the demand under the head Ministry of Defence be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Need for recognition of the trade union rights of the civilian employees of B.E.G. and Centre, Kirki, Poona. (5)].

"That the demand under the head Ministry of Defence be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Need to remove the grievances relating to service conditions of the persons of B.E.G. and Centre, Kirki, Poona. (6)].

"That the demand under the head Ministry of Defence be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Deniel of the privilege of mutual transfer to certain employees of 512 Army Base Workshop, Kirki, Poona (7)].

"That the demand under the head Ministry of Defence be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Delay by the Ministry of Defence in disposing the appeals preferred by the employees. (8)].

"That the demand under the head Ministry of Defence be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Discharging employees under the rule 5 of the service conduct rules of the civilian employees in Defence. (9)].

Shri Ramavatar Shastri (Patna); I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Ministry of Defence be reduced by Rs. 100".

#### [Shri Ramavatar Shastri]

7597

(Excessive expenditure on high officials in the name of general administration. (11)].

"That the demand under the head Ministry of Defence be reduced by Rs. 100".

Need for more expenditure on the amenities of ordinary employees as against high officials. (12)].

#### Shri S. Kundu: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Defence Services, Effective-Army be reduced to Re. 1."

[Failure to raise Orissa regiment. (14)]

#### Shri Samar Guha: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Defence Services, Effective-Army be reduced to Re. 1."

[Failure to organise guerilla army for the Himalayan region, (16)].

"That the demand under the head Defence Services, Effective-Army be reduced to Re. 1."

[Failure in formation of para military border force. (17)].

#### Shri N. Dandeker: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Defence Services, Effective-Army be reduced to Re. 1."

Failure to study and to draw lessons from the Indo-Pakistan conflict in 1965 and to undertake appropriate reorganisation, re-equipment and retraining of the Armed Forces for hostile operations on the Western Front. (20)].

Shri Ramavatar Shastri: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Defence Services, Effective-Army be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Need to grant adequate pay and allowances to civilian employees. (51)].

"That the demand under the head Defence Bervices, Effective-Army be reduced by Rs. 100". [Need to pay adequate attention to the amenities of Territorial Army personnel. (52)].

#### Shel S. Kundu: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Defence Services, Effective-Navy be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to provide one naval base of Paradip Port. (61)].

#### Shri Samar Guha: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Defence Services Effective-Navy be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to set up a base in Andaman Islands. (62)].

"That the demand under the head Defence Services, Effective-Navy be reduced to Re. 1".

[Policy regarding recruitment in Navy. (63)].

#### Shri N. Dandeker: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Defence Services, Effective-Navy be reduced to Re. 1".

[Failure to re-organise the nacal forces into two separate fleets: the Eastern and the Western. (64)].

# Shri Ramavatar Shastri: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Defence Services, Effective-Navy be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Need to do justice to all States in the matter of recruitment to the Navy. (68)].

"That the demand under the head Defence Services, Effective-Navy be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Fall in the standard of living of Januars in the Navy. (69)].

#### Shri Samar Guha: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Defence Services, Effective-Air Force be reduced to &c. I". [Failure in further strengthening the Air Force. (70)].

and a said of the and defined state.

D.G.

That the demand under the head Defence Services, Effective-"Air Force be reduced to Re. 1".

Ekailure to manufacture rockets for the Air Force. (71)].

Shri Shinkre (Panjim): I beg to move: " The state of the state

That the demand under the head Defence Services, Effective-Air Force be reduced to Re. 1.".

[Failure to give due attention to expansion of the Air Force. (72) 1.

Shri Ramavatar Shastri: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Defence Services, Effective-Air Force be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Need to give adequate representation to the people of Bihar in recruitment to Air Force. (73)].

'That the demand under the head Defence Services, Effective-Air Force be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Need to increase the amenities for air-men. (74)].

Shri S. Kundu: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Defence Capital outlay be reduced to Re. 1".

[Need to establish new defence based industries in Orissa. (79)].

"That the demand under the head Defence Capital outlay be reduced to Re. 1".

[Need to improve the present proof and experimental centre at Balasore. Orissa, into a major one. (80)].

Shri Ramavatar Shastri: I beg to move:

"That the demand under the head Defence Capital outlay be reduced to Re. 1".

965 (Ai) LSD-7.

0

Retrenchment policy in ordnance factories. (81) 7.

"That the demand under the head Defence Capital outlay be reduced to Re. 1".

[Need to improve the working conditions of workers of ordnance factories. (82)1.

That the demand under the head Defence Capital outlay beg reduced to Re. 1".

[Dependence on foreign countries in the field of defence requirements of the country. (83)].

"That the demand under the head Defence Capital outlay be reduced to Re. 1".

Lack of ordnance factories to manufacture latest weapons in sufficient quantity. (84)].

'That the demand under the head Defence Capital outlay be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Need to pay special attention to making the country self-sufficient in the matter of arms. (89)].

"That the demand under the head Defence Capital outlay be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Need to manufacture modern arms in the country. (90)].

"That the demand under the head Defence Capital outlay be reduced by Rs. 100".

Retrenchment of ordance factories workers. (91)].

"That the demand under the head Defence Capital outlay be reduced by Rs. 100".

[Need to pay adequate attention to the development of ordnance factories. (92)].

Mr. Chairman: The cut motions are now also before the House.

15.55 hrs.

[Mr. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]

Shri D. C. Sharma (Gurdaspur): I congratulate the hon. Minister and the hon. Member who preceded me for making a very valuable suggestion. But while I listened to him, I asked myself one question, and this has been put not only by me to myself but by others also to themselves, and that is: Are we always to believe the experts?

President Kennedy lost Cuba—it is given in his biographies—in the first place, because he believed in experts, he took the advice of experts, and he was not able to win over Cuba. Next time, he turned a deaf ear to the advice of experts and he was able to do something. Therefore, I am always very careful about what experts say because I know that experts know less and less of more and more and they get lost in the jungles of details and they do not see the wood for the trees.

I was very happy to find that my non. friend had taken some part in the tast war that we fought against Pakisfan and I was very happy that he gave ue his first-hand experiences of that war. Sir, the Second World War was lought long long ago. I have not been a soldier but I have been very fond of soldiers, I have been very fond of reading books on strategy and tactics and I have been very fond of reading reminiscences of generals and soldiers and other persons. After going through all these, I can assure you one thing that no two persons have agreed about the reading of the altuation then. Therefore, I submit, personal experiences are not always a very correct guide of national policy; personal experiences are not always the right kind of pointer to having a national policy in any field of national endeavour. But all the same we have a national defence policy. .I ask myself one question: Don't we have a national policy?

An hon. Member: No.

Shri D. C. Sharma: You say, 'No'. The gentleman sitting there talked of a military coup; he talked of military officers; he talked of armed revolutions and all that. Therefore, you do not think in terms of national defence policy. I think in terms of national defence policy. I know what our defence policy is.

Here is this gentleman who is always capitalising on his being a jos. Why should he sit here? He must go to his seat. Otherwise, I will not speak. He must go to his seat.... (Interruption).

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: He is not coming in your way.

Shri D. C. Sharma: I ask you: Why should this man sit here?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Order, order. He is not blocking you in any way. Please continue your speech.

Shri D. C. Sharma: What does be know? I know jats are great people....

श्री रणधीर सिंह (रोहतक): मानर्गाक रदस्य अपने द्यापको क्या समझते हैं? मैं इनकी इज्जत करता हूं लेकिन ये सिर पर ही चढ़ते आ रहे हैं।

Shri D. C. Sharma: I ask this make to go to his seat . . . (Interruption).

Shri Randhir Singh: I care a hang for him. Who is he to ask me to go? If he has no respect, I am not obliged to pay him respect.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I will request the hon. Member to resume his speech tomorrow. Whatever time he has taken now will be deducted.

16 hrs.

MOTION RE. STATEMENT ON EMERGENCY

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Now we take up the motion on the statement on

Statement on Emergency

760

Emergency made by the Minister of Home Affairs on the 22nd June, 1967.

Mr. Hem Barua.

Before he starts, shall we decide on the time limit? We have got two hours.

श्री झटल बिहारो वाजपेयी (बलराम-पुर): इस विवाद के लिये दो घंटे का समय रखा गया है। दो घंटों में सभी पक्षों के विचार श्राना सम्भव नहीं है। श्राप चाहें तो सदन की राम लेकर इस विवाद का समय बढ़ायें, अन्यथा इस विवाद के साय न्याय नहीं हो सकेगा।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: It was already extended by one hour.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy (Kendrapara): We may extend it by one more hour. We can sit upto 7 p.m.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Let us see at 6 p.m. Not more than 15 minutes each.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: The Mover should have 30 minutes.

श्री मधु लिमये (मुंतर) : कांग्रेसियों को कम बुताइये। दा तीन कांग्रेसी काफी हैं।

श्री द्वा० ना० तिशारी (गोपालगंज): नमों काफो हैं।

श्री मधु लिमने: श्रापको क्या जरूरत है?

Shri D. N. Tiwary: What is this diserimination? It is always going on in this House. We are not going to accept this.

Whatever the time allotted, it will be divided half and half. ऋषिकी भी श्रोपिनियन है, हमारी भो श्रोपिनियन है। This attitude is very bad.

श्री मघु लिमये: फिर समय बढ़वाइये।

श्री हा० ना० तिवारी: हम वप रह जाते हैं तो ग्राप सिर पर चढ़ते जाते हैं।

श्री फंबर लाल गुप्त (दिल्ली सदर):

ग्रभी एमरजेंसी के बारे में बहस होने जा रही

है। लेकिन मिनिस्टर साहब ने कोई फैक्वुअल

हाटा हमारे सामने नहीं खा है कि किस

तरह से एमरजेंसी काम में लाई जा रही है।
खास तौर से जो सेंसेटिव एरियाज हैं उन में
ग्रगर एमरजेंसी न हो तो क्या परिणाम
होगा, ग्रगर थोड़े हिस्से में होतो क्या होगा
ग्रौर ग्रगर बिल्कुल हटा ली जाए तो क्या
होगा? जब तक कोई फैक्वुअल डाटा
सामने न हो तब तक हमारे लिये मुश्किल
होगा कोई भी फैसला करना।

एक इन्होंने एंग्योरेंस भी दिया है। मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि उसकी बया गारार है? क्या ग्राप क्वारंरली रिपंट देंगे या बतायेंगे कि किस तरह से यह एमरजेंसी काम में लाई जा रही है। डी॰ ग्राई॰ रू॰ज को ग्रापने पिछले साल कुछ रिलंक्स किया था। उसका क्या नताजा निकला? सैं प्रार्थना करता हूं कि मंत्री महोदय फांच सात मिनट में इन बातों को स्पष्ट कर दें तो ग्रच्छा होगा। उनको बताना चाहिए कि स्थित क्या है।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Does the Minister want to say something?

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Y. B. Chavan): No.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Mr. Hem Barua.

Shri Hem Barua (Mangaldai): I beg to move:

"That the statement on emergency made by the Minister of Home Affairs on the 22nd June, 1967, be taken into consideration."

I must congratulate the hon. Speaker for having allowed this discussion on Emergency. This Indian

## [Shri Hem Barua]

Parliament has, of late, specialised in wasting its time, energy and the taxpayers' money in discussing trifles. We know that the Indian Parliament has spent Rs. 1,26,500 on discussing Stalin's daughter, Madam Svetlana. (Interruptions).

# ्र औं सब् जिनये : माल्म होता है कि भ्राप को स्वेतर्जाहा से बहुत वफ्टत है।

Sari Hem Barua: I have collected this figure straight from the horse's mouth Therefore, you need not harbour any doubt about it.

I have gone through the statement of the hon. Home Minister made on the 22nd June, with utmost care and caution and I find that this is a very disappointing statement because it goes contrary to the expectations that were roused in this country by the statement made on the 18th March and in which indications were given that the Emergency would be lifted from this country. He has given certain reasons. I do not want to get into those reasons now. But then, I must submit that somehow or other, emergency has become normalcy in this country; emergency has become an occupational disease with our rulers.

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Like the cease-fire.

Shri Hem Barua: Emergency might be compatible or might not be incompatible with dictatorship. For instance, the people in China are condemned to unproclaimed emergency because there is a totalitarian regime in that country. But we have a democracy in our country and emergency in the context of democracy is an enachronism. The hon, Home Minister should realise that.

He has advanced certain arguments, and I must submit that these arguments are most unconvincing. These arguments show only lack of vision and a lack of a sense of reality on

the part of the Home Minister. I did not expect this from the Home Minister as I knew him. It is also a fact that when logic recedes, passions prevail and this is what has happened with the Home Minister and when passions prevail, political insight gets distorted.

May I submit that I could not compromise the statement made by the Home Minister on the 22nd June with the natural temperament with which Shri Y. B. Chavan is endowed? He has a sober approach to things and he has a just temperament. In spite of all these things, why has he come out with a statement that contradicts the basic qualities that the man possesses? Often I wonder whether it was the ghost of his distinguished predecessor who used to see bugbears in moonlight, that dominated over him; or else, it is difficult to justify this sudden somersault on the part of the Home Minister.

There is a tendency, unfortunately, on the part of the Home Minister, to blame the Opposition parties. He has blamed the Opposition parties and he has laid the blame at the door of the Opposition parties. But one need not be a genius to see through the psychology that has prompted the Home Minister to extend the emergency in an unspecified way to an unspecified time. If I say that he wants to extend the emergency, judging from the statement made by him on the 22nd June, from now to eternity, possibly I would not be wrong.

Shri Pilco Mody (Godhra): Why is the hon. Member wasting his time? The hon. Minister is not listening to him.

Shri Hem Barua: Shri Piloo Mody says that I should not waste my time because the Home Minister is not listening to me.

Shri Ranga (Srikakulam): Home Minister is still in conference with his colleagues.

Shri Hem Barun: On a point of order....

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Vidya Charan Shukla): We were talking about the points that the hon. Member had made.

Shri Hem Barua: May I continue now, Sir?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Yes.

Shri Hem Barua: Is it not a fact that during the last elections, the Congress Party assured the people of India that the emergency would be lifted? I just want to know it from the hon. Minister.

16.69 hrs.

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair.]

I want to know from my hon. friends opposite whether they are going or they are willing to seek a fresh mandate from the people on the question of the continuation of the emergency or not. Somehow or other, I find that this Government does not suffer from moral compunctions, and this Government would not fight any of hitting you below the belt if it thinks that that is going to pay it dividends.

Now that has become a psychology, a mentality, with this Government. to cling on to power somehow even by dubious methods, if necessary. When originally on the 26th October, 1962, emergency was proclaimed in this country after the Chinese had committed aggression on us, there was not a single dissenting voice in the country or in Parliament. Why did the people of India and the Parliament of India vote for the proclamation of emergency in the country? Because the country thought, because Parliament thought that the Government would utilise the emergency powers to strengthen our defence apparatus and to convert it into an instrument of power against the enemies who were threatening us with annihilation.

But somehow or other, what has happened today? Instead of strengthening our defence apparatus, the emergency powers are often used as a very malicious way against individuals. Now the Home Minister comes and says that this emergency would not apply to certain parts of the country, although it is there. Possibly he has States like Andhra Pradesh m mind. But suppose some overzealous district officer or police officer in Andhra Pradesh under the shelter of these emergency powers tries to destroy a small shopkeeper, could you . prevent him legally from doing st? You cannot. Therefore, the original . purpose which inspired our people to support the declaration of the emergency by Government is now lost in a mad craze for power on the part : of this Government.

What do they do? During these. 20 years of freedom, this Government has perfected one machinery, one technique and one diplomacy only. They know full well to use this technique or diplomacy against the Opposition parties and that has come to light.

While trying to indulge in the extension of the emergency provisions: for another unspecified period, the Home Minister mentions the threat of ? external aggression and external danger. The entire idea is related to anticipations. Government anticipate that one day China would commit aggression on us or that Pakistan would commit aggresion on us. The Government should be able to anticipate. But mere anticipations connot be real substitutes for action. Government have failed to assess the situation confronting us today in its correct perspective. If they had done that, they would not have pleaded for the continuance of this neferious thing called emergency.

When the Chinese committed aggression on 20th October, 1962, was

[Shri Hem Barua]

there emergency? It was declared six days after. And do you want to say that the absence of the emergency in this country was responsible for our debacle at the hands of China in NEFA and elsewhere? What was actually responsible for it was the tack of will on the part of Government to defend the frontiers of this country. We must not forget that.

What happens? There are some people who would say that on the 20th October, 1962 there was no emergency and that was why we could not give a good account of ourselves when we were attacked. To suggest like that would be sheer madness, spadness to the nth degree, without even a method. People always say that there is a method in madness. But this would be madness without any method. For five years since 1962 we are in a state of emergency. The Chinese aggression lusted only for five days.—and the emergency has tasted for five years.

Whatever that might be, the Chinese aggression lasted only for a few days, but somehow or other the emergency has become a permanent feature in the life of this nation. During the fast five years we have been under a state of emergency, the people of India have been under a state of emergency, during the last five years, the fundamental rights that were guaranteed to the people of India under the Constitution have been put in cold storage.

We are as a nation in a state of constitutional anarchy. High Court after High Court have given their vardict against the continuance of the emergency provisions because the emergency provisions deprive the geople of their fundamental rights, and the legal luminaries of this country have also come out with criticism against these emergency provisions.

Can you tell me of any nation in the world in whose life emergency has become a permanent feature, except India? In the U.K. or any other mature democracy, when external aggression takes place, when there is occasion for emergency, emergency is imposed, and as soon as the external danger or external aggression is liquidated, the emergency is also withdrawn. In this country, emergency has been made a permanent feature of our national life with a purpose. The purpose is to perpetuate power for some people who rule this country.

The Home Minister might say that the purpose of continuing or perpetuating the emergency is to create a sense of urgency in this country. Where is that sense of urgency in this country? It pains me to say that even at the time when the Chinese aggression took place there was not that sense of emergency which we all want for this country, for our people; there was no sense of urgency from the Central Secretariat down to connaught Place. There was no sense of urgency in the country.

The main purpose for which you want emergency powers is to strengthen our defence apparatus, to create a sense of urgency in this country. You are defeated in your purpose. Why do you want to perpetuate a legislation or perpetuate a declaration or perpetuate the emergency for which you do not have the powers, even if you have the power, you do not have the will, even if you are exercising power you are exercising in the wrong way. Shri Nath Pai was very right when he said, while speaking on the emergency, that the nation wanted emergency to be used as a sword in its interests, but in the palsied and paralysed hands of this Government it has become a juru, a broomstick.

The Home Minister raight say that the emergency paid us dividends during the Pak aggression. What dividends I do not know. In spite of the fact that the emergency was there, \$.000 Pakistani nationals with arms in their hands came as far as Srimager in Kashmir, and they built up ammunition dumps. You had the emergency powers, could you stop them from coming into your country? When they came and they were discovered, you went on telling the Powers of the world to ask Pakistan to withdraw these illegal entrants. Iske an old woman you started weeping. I could not understand that. You have your own laws, the emerzency powers, in this country. Whenever a foreigner enters into our country, you have the right to push him out. You could not push out 5,000 illegal entrants from Pakistan. On the other hand you went on complaining at the doors of the different world Powers for help, but the help did not come.

That is about Kashmir, and that is about Pakistani infiltration, but what about China? There is another argument about China also. True it is that China poses a threat, true it is that China has developed her thermonuclear power. It is true, I concede, that China has ceased to be a paper tiger. I also concede that the nuclear teeth of the Chinese tiger have now become long enough to reach the Indian cow, or rather the Indian calf. Whatever that might be, these are all facts. But at the same time what happens about China? In order to meet the Chinese threat you do not need the emergency provisions; but you have to build up your military and economic strength. Have you done so to face the aggression or challenge from China or Pakistan? When China or Pakistan commits aggression on this country you can again impose emergency. Why should you anticipate an attack coming from the side of Pakistan or from the side of China and perpetuate an emergency the way that you are seeking to perpetuate it? At the same time I would say that the threat of nuclear war or thermonuclear war can be met only by, we, ourselves developing the theromonuclear power. We have the

Mr. Speaker: At this stage you are discussing emergency—not defence.

Shri Hem Barus: The hon, Minister spoke of collusion of the Naga and the Mizo hostiles with China an therefore he wants to perpetuate il emergency. Could you forget that the collusion that came to light on the floor of this House, the fact that th collusion started as early as 1965? That was the time when our Government was empowered to the teeth with all sorts of powers including the emergency powers. Why do you for-get that? You could not do anything to destroy that collusion in 1963. Now you say that you want to deter that collusion by extending the emergence provisions. Nobody would believe you. Since the rebellion started in the Mizo hills fifteen months back. the Mizo hostiles are in constant communion and collusion not only with China but also with Pakistan. I raised the question in this House that during the last year when Mr. Chen Yi the Chinese Foreign Minister visited Dach the capital of East Pakistan, two delagations, one of Naga hostiles and another of Mizo hostiles, paid a visit to Dacca and met Mr. Chen Yi there. When I raised that issue here, somehow or the other this Government pleaded complete ignorance about that. Why did you forget that you had diplomatic mission in Dacca. The Foreign Minister of that time cathe and wanted to know from me the channel through which I came to know of it and I said: I am not going to give the channel; you must go and try to contact your people and the embassy in Dacca, Here is a Government that fails on all the scores; here is a Government which by its ineptitude and inertness had encouraged both the Naga and the Mizo hostiles to rebel against this Government. Yet they come and tell Parliament that they want to extend these emergency provisions because they want to disrupt the collusion of the Naga and the Mizo hostiles with [Shri Hem Barua]

China. Why do you forget that the Miso hostiles go to East Pakisian from where they are airlifted to Peking for training in guerilla warhare and for collection of arms. Have you beca able to stop this collusion of the Mizos with China during all these 15 months since the rebellion started? You have no; you cannot because you are busy in the stinking aquabble for power inside your own party; you cannot mobilise the will 10 destroy all these connections with foreign powers. At long last I appeal to the hon Home Minister who is a shrewd man and a good man . . . .

Shri Piloo Mody: That is the only sentence he heard.

Shri Rem Barua: I hope and trust that the hon. Home Minister, a man who has vision and foresight, will apring up in his seat and declare to this House and through this House to the nation, that from this day, the emergency is ended in this country. Sir, when the aggression actually takes place, we will be too glad to invest him with all the powers that he needs to break the bone of China and Pakistan who had committed aggression on us. We will be there to help you. So, please stand up and say that from this day the emergency is ended in this country.

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:

"That the statement on emergency made by the Minister of Home Affairs on the 22nd June, 1867, be taken into consideration."

Now, I will call one by one to move their motions. Shri Yashpal Singh not here. Shri N. C. Chatterjee—not here.

Shri Frank Anthony (Nominated.... Anglo-Indians): I move:

That for the original motion, the following be substituted, namely:-

"This House, having considered the statement on the emergency

made by the Minister of Home Affairs on the 22nd June. 1967, is of the opinion that the immediate steps be taken for the deletion or appropriate amendment of article 358 of the Constitution so that there is no., as at present, the blanket suspension throughout the country of the fundamental rights under article 19 of the Constitution." (2)

बी अबु लिभवे: प्रध्यक्ष सहोदय, मैं एक बात कहना चाहता हूं। मेरे प्रस्ताव में "टरिप्रनेटेड" के बाद जो जब्द फार्य दिव" है, उस को मैं हटा देना चाहता हूं। यह साबिरी लाइन का शाखरी कब्द है।

I move:

That for the original motion, the following be substituted, namely:---

"This House, having considered the statement on emergency made by the Minister of Home Affairs on the 22nd June, 1967, recommends to the Government of India:

that, since the proclamation of a state of emergency is justified only when there is a clear and present threat of external aggression or internal disturbance, gravely jeopardising the nation's security; and

further, since the normal laws of the land clothe the Government with enough powers to enable it to deal with any situation that might arise,

the state of emergency be terminated." (4)

Shri Survedrenath Dwivedy: Sir, is my substitute motion, I will drop the tast sentence. Otherwise, it will give a wrong impression.

Mr. Speaker: Which portion do you want to cut out?

Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: The last part of the motion, beginning with the words, "and may bring forward". [ meve:

That for the original motion, the following be substituted, namely:-

"This House, having considered the statement on emergency made by the Minister of Home Affairs on the 22nd June, 1967, is of opinion that the Government should take immediate steps o lift the emergency from the 1st of July, 1967". (5)

Shri Humayun Kabir (Basirhat): I move:

That for the original motion, the following be substituted, namely:--

"This House, having considered the statement on emergency made by the Minister of Home Affairs on the 22nd June, 1967, is of the opinion that there is no justification for the continuation of the emergency and it should be terminated forthwith." (6)

Shri Ranga: Mr. Speaker, Sir, if there is to be any direct, clear and unequivocal confession of failure on the part of the Government, here is that confession. They said that there was an emergency. The whole country recognised it and the whole House recognised it, and we appreciated the timely action taken by the President in declaring the emergency and taking all the necessary actions flowing therefrom. The House as a whole rose as one man and supported the Government on that occasion, when the Chinese invaded our country. But thereafter, the Chinese had the alacrity to declare a cease-fire, and withdraw, but our Government did not have the self-confidence to withdraw the emergency and then say, "Now that the Chinese had gone back, the country is no longer in need of any such emergency powers and it can carry on its administration in the usual manner, with all the constitu-

tional rights being enjoyed by the people." Instead of that, they had carried on this emergency for such a long time and misused it in such a shameless manner, and the very word "emergency" has come to be the butt of ridicule and later on, the Government had had to say this; "Yes; the real emergency has arisin in regard to this matter." They themselves came to realise that there was no real emergency and there was no need for this emergency and emergency powers, and then, what did they do? They found this instrument, this weapon so very convenient with them, that they began to misuse it and in an unconscionable manner. Even then, after such a lot of protest from various sections of this House, and from various sections of the people in the country also, who said that they had been misusing it, they tried to palm not that honour, but that dishonour to as many State Governments as possible by saying-the predecessor of the present Home Minister said-that many of the State Governments had misused it. They gave the assurance that it would be applied only to those strategic areas which were under great stress from attacks and invasions and revolts from certain sections of the people and the rest of the country would be free from its mischief, and they would give definite instructions to the State Governments not to misuse it in the manner they have been doing.

We have said repeatedly that Government have sufficient powers to deal with various lawless elements, but they would not listen to us. Now the West Bengal Government has shown clearly by the use it is making of the Preventive Detention Act that those powers are enough to deal with the unconstitutional acts of various sections of the people. Those very sections of the people to whom the Preventive. Detention Act is now being applied by the West Bengal Government were

(Shri Ranga)

dealt with by the emergency powers. That is bow hundreds of tradesmen, merchants and some industrialists also were taken to task under those powers. That is how it came not only into ridicule, but into contempt also. That was why Government had to say that they were not going to use it against those people.

Government Held a conference with the opposition leaders in the hope that they would agree to the proposed amendment which Government wanted to introduce. But they were disappointed because there was no agreement. Now they say they would not withdraw the emergency powers from 1st July, which they should have done, which all of us demand. But they do not want to withdraw those powers at all. They want to keep it ith themselves. It is like a baby learning to walk with a crutch, but even when it no longer needs it, it must hang on to it. That is how Government wants to carry on. With the baby there is some excuse, because he is supposed to be not so much adolescent. But this Government does not seem to raise above those few stages. wants to hang on to the cruth, Is it only because of its foolishness? There is some evil-mindedness behind it. I do not went to blame any particular individual-the Home Minister or Defence Minister. I blame the system in which Government functions. This Government wants hank on to so many powers. Is it capable of using them? Has it shown by practice in the past that it is capable of using the powers it had in its possession to protect this country from various unlawful and anti-social elements? I have said so the other day and I repeat it. It has failed not on one occasion, but repeatedly to protect the interests of the country whenever it was needed, with the powers it had, even spart from the emergency powers. I do not want to go into further details about it.

Attainst whom does it want to use these powers. It says, there is trouble in Nagaland. Did the Nagaland people ever care for the liberti and fundamental rights that we have given to ourselves? Would they bother now if these emergency powers are taken? It is not they. It is not even the Mizo fills people or the Kashmiri people, who are all mentioned here. It is we in the rest of the country who care for the Constitution and who value these fundamental rights, who are hurt and who angry at the very mention of this term "Emergency' when there is no emergency at all. It is for our benefit or for our trouble that these emergency powers are to be continued. should the Government be so angry with the people at large that they want to continue these emergency powers? When the Nagas declared themselves independent and some of them had established an independent Government, did we need this emergency law? When the Mizos were thinking of it, did we need it at all? Did we not find it possible to send our army in order to deal with the Nagas in the manner or to the extent in which we were able to deal with them? We did not need the emergency powers there. Did we need the emergency powers when we sent away the Patnas and other people who came at the instance of Pakistan into Kashmir? Did we not send our army to send them away or drive them away? Were we not able to liberate three-fourth of Kashmir without these powers? Therefore, these powers are not necessary for these purposes. If, however, at any time, as Shri Hem Barua put it, China were to invade our country or Pakistan were to invade our country once again as they did foolishly, unwisely, criminally, in the past, Parliament would not lag behind the Defence Minister or anyone else, or the Home Minister in this country, and in coming forward and asking for thes emergency powers. We would be the first to sik for these emergency post and giving those powers to the Goverament quite willingly whatever

Government there may be at that time.

Who is to implement these emergency powers Let us see. It is the State Governments. Even when they were having their own Congress governments in all the States they were not able to prevent those State Governments from misusing these powers. Today, in all the major, States, Congress, fortunately for us and for the country, is no longer able to form the government. It is non-Congress governmets that are there. It is those non-Congress governments that have got to implement these powers. Supposing they misue these powers tomorrow against my hon. friends themselves, would there be any redress? Nothing at all. Would the Government of India be able to go and tell them that they should not do it? Supposing they fail to use these powers when a real emergency comes, would they be able to tell them that they are not doing their duty? All that they can do is to dismiss the governments that are there. That is the power that they want to have. In order to dismiss State governments also these powers are not needed. When they dismissed the Communist Government in Kerala, did they need these powers? But it would certainly come in handy if they were to dismiss them, because it is a very convenient weapon. Otherwise, have they been able to come and tell us that they have assured themselves of the willing co-operation of the State Governments in the proper implementation and proper use of these powers? They have not given us that information. Have those State Governments themselves asked for these powers to be given to them, have they asked the Central Government not to keep these powers in their hands and to give it to them so that they may properly implement it? We have not been given that information. Without their cooperation, without their asking for these powers, why is it . that this Government want to themselves with these powers? There can be only one imaginable reason, and

that is that the Government wants to make use of them some day to get rid of these non-Congress governments.

Do they want to do it? We do not know what they are going to do. How can we believe this Government? say this for this reason. the Communists were there Kerala, at the time of the last general elections-not this one but the previous one—and the Government thought that the Communists were likely to capture power there, Shri A. K. here is my hon. friend, Gopalan, who is a standing example of what you did to those people there. You put them all in jail hoping thereby to gut a majority for yourself, to manufacture a majority for yourself. But, fortunately for the country and democracy, the people of Kerala refused to be cowed down by Government and they elected these people, Shri Gopalan and all the rest of them. I do not agree with their policy and programme. I am opposed to the Communist Party. But, at the same time, the people there wanted the Communists to be elected and they elected them in spite of the misuse they made of the powers in their hands. In the face of this failure of the Government, in the face of this dishonourable use of powers by Government in Kerala, how is it possible for me to accept my friend, Shri Chavans personal assurance-it can only be personal and nothing more-that these powers are not going to be misused?

All that I can say is this. We do not need these powers now. I would be the first one to support the Home Minister, whoever may be the Home Minister of the day, and the Government, whatever may be the political colour of that Government, moment he convinces me, my party and the people in this country that a real emergency has arisen. Let China be foolish enough to invade us once again. She may be armed with Hydrogen bomb and all the rest of it, it would be still foolish for her to invade us if she were to do it. Let

[Shri Ranga]

Pakistan with all the intrigues that she 25 carrying on with China and other powers try to commit such a foolish mistake, then they will be able to see that we would be by the side of the Government, the whole country would be with the Government and the Parliament also would rise, as we had risen last time, as one man in order not only to give emergency powers but also to give all the rest of the powers that the Government would need and we would also place ourselves at the disposal of the defence forces in order to protect the territorial integriy, the Constitutional Strength and Stamina of our people and of our democracy.

बी go नाe तिवारी: प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, इस प्रस्ताव के मवर ने, अफसोस है कि वह इस वक्त नहीं हैं, अपने भावण में जो कुछ कहा बदि जनके इन्वेक्टिन्न की, और बरकार के बिलाफ जो गालियां उन्होंने बीं, उन्हें उनकी भनालिसिस हम नोग करेंगे तो मालम होगा कि शायद उनमें ग्रपनी स्पीच को कोई तत्व ही नहीं है। क्षरू करते हुए उन्होंने कहा या कि इस हारस में एक परिपाटी बनती चनी बारही है कि इस लोग व्यर्थ की बालों पर बहुत बाद विवाद करते हैं , जिससे न तो देश का कोई फायदा होता है न इस पालियामेंट की ही प्रेस्टिब बढ़ती है। उन्होंने कुछ उबाहरण भी विथे स्वेतलामा भावि के। मैं भी उन से सहयत हूं कि प्रक्सर हम लोग वेंबी बातें करते हैं जिनसे देश का धन तो क्य बे होता है सेकिन जिनसे न देव का कायदा होता है भीर न पालियामेंट की त्रेस्टिक बढती है।

प्रस्तायक महोदय ने तीन वार्ते कहीं। उन्होंने पहली बात यह कही कि हमें प्रतिरिक्त इनकेंग्सी पायर नेना ठीक नहीं है। वह उनकें है। इनरी बात उन्होंने कही कि कर को दिनका प्रोपेनेन्ट्स के बिकाफ इस्तेमान किया बाता है और तीसरी बात यह कही कि गवर्नमेंट जब बाह तब बिब इमरबंसी पावर जागू कर सकती है। मैं दूसरी बात को ले रहा हूं कि यह विरोधी पार्टी के लागों के खिलाफ लागू की बाती है। उन्होंने इसका कोई उबाहरण नहीं दिया कि उनकी पार्टी के किस पुरुष के खिलाफ इसकी इस्तेमाल किया गया है।

एक मानतीय सहस्य : मेरे बिलाफ मह लागू किया गया।

भी हा० ना० तिवारी: मैं कह रहा वा कि श्री व शाकी पार्टी के किस धारमी पर यह लागू किया गया है यह उन्होंने नहीं बत्तकाया। उन्होंने कोई उदाहरण नहीं दिया कि श्रमुख श्रमख व्यक्ति के खिलाफ विरोधी विचाद रखने की यजह से इसका इस्तेमान किया गया। श्रगर कोई क्सूर करे धीर उस पर यह लागू हो, उस पर यह कह दिया जावे कि यह विरोधी जिचारशारा की वजह के किया गया है, तो इसका कोई जवाब नहीं हो सकता है।

सक्यस महीदय, माप यह जानते हैं

कि को माफिसर्स इसका इस्तेमान करने
वाजे हैं वह माखिर मनुष्य हैं। कहीं कहीं
जैप्तेब हो जाती होंगी, यह मैं मान सकता
हूं मीर उनको सुझारने का सब्जैवंड
प्रयस्न को करती है, सेकिन को भी स्वित्त हुनारे वार्डस पर है उसको देखते हुए वह नहीं कहा जा सकता कि वहां इसकी कीई
सावस्थकता नहीं हैं। हमारे होम मिनिक्टर
ने को कांकरेंस जिरोबी दनों के नेताओं की
हुनाई की उस में करीब करीब सजी ने
यह कबून किया वा कि वार्डर एरिया पर,
सास कर पूर्व दिना की ओर, इस बात की
ककरता है कि वहां पर इसकेंती वावर की

An hon, Member: Question.

Stirt D. N. Tiwary: I was in the meeting: there was no question of that.

ari S. A. Dange (Bombay Central South): Our Party had not agreed.

Shri D. N. Tiwary: That there is need for Emergency was agreed to.

उस वक्त हमारे होमामिनिस्टरयह बाहते वे कि देश के भीर भागों ने इनर्जेंनी "टा ली जाव भीर केवल वार्डर एरिया पर रखी बावै । इसके लिये ग्रावश्यक था कि कांस्टिक ट्यक्तन में संशोधन हो । लेकिन विरोधी दन वाले इस बात की मानने के लिये तैयार बही थे। बह बाही वे किया तो सन्धे देश पर बतारहेया कहीं न लागरहे। इसमे उब लोगों की एक ही चाल बी कि इसमे बवर्नमेंट की बदनामी हो । जहां भा (ध्यकता नहीं देवहां इमर्जेंसी की लाग करने मे वक्षेपेंट वदनाम होगी शीर जहा जहरत है बनर वहां लाग नहीं होगा तो वार्डर एरियाज वर नव्येमेंट दिवस्त में पड़ेरी । इसके शकावा और कोई उद्देश्य मेरी सनम में नही काया । वस्तुस्यिति यह है कि अगर वह बाहते ये कि सिर्क वार्डर 'एरिया र पर लाग रहे तो वह इसके लियें तरीका सोवते कि की उसको किया जाये और देश के बाकी हिस्से से इसको पूरी तरह से हटा लिया बाये । ध्रमी मैंने उस समेंडमेंट की देखा जिसे श्री नि० च० चटर्जी ने मुद्र किया है। उसमें कहा गया है कि स्टेप्स लिये जायें बिसमें कांस्टिट्युशन को धमेंड किया जा सके घीर यह सिर्फ बाउँर एरियाज पर लागू हो सके। सगर सरोजीशन वाले राजी हो चार्रे कि क स्टिट्र्शन धमेंड हो जाये भीर बाईर एरियाज पर ही यह सानु हो सके, ती वैं भी होम मिनिस्टर साहब से या प्रनुरोध करूंता कि वह इस बात की मान में भीर इस चर इन्सिस्ट न करें कि वह इमर्जेंसी पावरसं बर्जेमान क्य में रक्खेंगें। मैं जानता हूं कि बहु इनको रक्षना बाहते भी नहीं हैं। वैद्याल बाज बार्डर रुरियाज की जो दशा है. और याप लीगों ने ब्रद कहा है कि मीबो

धीर नागलेष्ड के लीग भी कभी पारिकस्तान वसे जाते हैं कभी चाइना वले जाते हैं भीर ग्राज के पेपर्स में भी है कि वे बाम्सं वहां से लेकर बा रहे हैं, तो इस स्थिति में यह पावर कैसे न एक्खा जाये ? साधारण स्थिति में इसको नहीं रश्खा जाना चाहिये. लेकिन भाज भी इस देश में मीर जाफर भीर जयचन्द खत्म नहीं हुए हैं। वह यहां पर बराबर रहे हैं भीर भाज भी हैं। यह नहीं कहा जा सकता कि भाज शत प्रतिशत ऐसे लोग हैं जो कि दश्मनों से मिले हुए नहीं हैं। हम ने देखा है, माज से नहीं बहुत पुराने जमाने से, कि जयचन्द श्रीर भीर जाफर इस देश में रहे हैं। माखिर उनको कैसे रोका जाये ? देश की सिनवीरिटी को कैसे वरकरार रक्खा जाये ? इस लिये जह न है कि इमर्जेंसी उस भाग में तो रहे जहां इसकी बरूरत है बाकी भाग में न रहं।

Statement on

**Emergency** 

एक माननीय सदस्य : क्या कांब्रेस कार्यालय में भी रहेगी?

श्री हा० ना० तिशारी : हमारे कार्यालव में है या नहीं यह सन्मव दिखना सकेगा। में इह रहा था कि यदि विरोधी दलों के नेता नोग देश की भलाई के लिये बैठ कर विचार कर लें होम मिनिस्टर से चौर रेंसा इंटेंन्सन बाहिर कर दें कि वह चाहते हैं कि वह बाइंट् रियाज के प्रतिरिक्त थीर जगहीं से इसको लिफ्ट करना चाहबे और नार्डर एरियाज से नहीं लिफ्ट करना चाहिये तौ इस समस्या का हल निकाला जा सकता है। धनर वह ऐसा नहीं करेंने तो आईर ररियाज पर रखने के लिये इसको सारे देश पर रखना होगा । इस लिये धगर श्री रंगा साहब चाहते हैं यह जस्दी से जस्दी खरम हो, तो यह उनके हाब में है। यह सरकार के हाब में नहीं है। ग्रगर हम लोग कांस्टिट्यूशन को बाज धर्मेड करना चाहें ती हम नहीं कर सकते । एशेक्टोरेट ने हमारी संख्या को कम कर दिया है। इसलिये मैं उनसे बाहुंगा कि वह इसमें सहायता दें कि देश की रहा के लिये कोव्टिट्यूसन की [की हा॰ मा॰ विवासी]

सर्वेद-क्रिया जाये घीर ऐसी पावर यहनेमंड को दी जाये जिस्हों यह बार्वर एपियाज पद सामू हो, भीर कहीं सामू न रहे।

एक बात यहां पर कही गई कि गववंगेंट के हाम में बड़ी शक्ति है। मगर वह चाहे तो बिना कोई कानन बनाये हर ही बिलाने बाफर भीर जयभन्द है उन से कील कर सकती है। मैं इस बात को नहीं मानता । जड़ां बैसी परिस्थित होती है वहां वैसी ववा सी बाती है। अगर साधारन बीमारी हो तो ाबारण दवा थी जाती है। भगर बीमारी गम्भीर हो भीर उसकी दवा साधारण की बाबे तो रीगी मर जायेगा। उसी तरह से विना इस तरह से संविधान को संबोधित किये हुए देश की रक्षा नहीं हो सकेगी। बाज कहीं कही मसाधारण स्थिति है, जान कहीं रेलें लूटी जा रही हैं भीर लोग मारे जा रहे हैं, तो इसके विषद्ध साधारण कानून का सहारालेकर हम देश की रक्षानहीं कर सकते । भगर हमें भावस्थकता है कि नई शक्त मिले तो वह भापको देनी चाहिये, बह शक्ति सरकार प्राप्त करं ताकि देश की बक्ता हो सके।

Mr. Speaker: I will be able to call a larger number of members to speak on this today, if members take only minutes each.

Shri A. B. Vajpayee: We can extend it by one hour.

Mr. Speaker: That means that we will have to sit upto 7 p.m. Even then, there are so many members in this list. I would request the hon. Members, on this side as well as on that side, to make short speeches giving only their points.

Mr. Vajpayee.

भो भारत बिहारी वाजरेवी : तंबिधान के धनुष्केद 3.52 के धन्तर्गत संविधान के निर्माताओं ने व्यवस्था की है कि विदेशी धाकमण का स्थिति में भीर देश में धान्तरिक उपाय की बता में संस्थाल की स्थित कोषित की जाए। उस अगुण्डेय में यह वी कहा गया है कि यह संस्थाल की स्थिति. दो महीने तक रहेगी यदि संसद् उसको बढ़ाने का निजय न करे। इससे संविद्यान के निजी-ताओं की मंत्रा विश्वास स्पष्ट हो जाती हैं। उन्होंने "ग्रेष एनरजेंसी" संसद् का उपयोग किया है, केवल संकट नहीं, गम्बीर संबद का। विदेशी बाकमण का संकट धीर देश में व्यापक उपत्रय का संकट धीर संविद्यान के निमिताओं ने दो महीने का समय भी विधा है।

यह कहा जा सकता है कि सभी को संकटकाल की स्थिति लागू है उसे संसद ने पुष्ट किया है। लेकिन क्या पांच वर्ष तक निरन्तर संकटकाल की स्थिति बनाये रखना संविधान के निर्माताओं की मंत्रा के अनुकूक है? इससे कोई इन्कार नहीं कर सकता कि देश के सामने संकट है। लेकिन होंगे संकटों के साथ जीवित रहना सीखना होगा, हमें संबद्धों के साथ लोकतन्त्रीय प्रधिकारों का उपभोग करने का प्रथसर देना होगा।

संबदकाल की स्थिति की घोषणा करके हम संविधान द्वारा प्रदत्त मूलभूत अधिकारों को कुछ काल के लिए स्थितित कर देते हैं, कानून के सामने नागरिकों की बराबरी नहीं रहती, सम्पत्ति के अधिकार भी सीमित कर दिये जाते हैं, व्यक्तिगत स्वाधीमता संकुचित हो जाती है और बिना कारण बताबें किसी नागरिक को नजरबन्द कर जसे किसी बोर्ड के सामने पेश करना यह भी संबदकाल की स्थिति में कन नही पाता।

जब जीन ने प्राप्तमण निया ता संकट काछ : की स्थिति की धोषणा की गई। सबी विदोधीं दलों ने, सारे देज ने उसका समर्थन किया । जेकिन प्राप्त विदोध क्यों हो रहा है ? मृद्ध : मन्द्री महोदय को इस पर गम्भीरता से विचाक करवा चाहिये। इसका एक ही कारण है किं वि के सामने संकट को है, नेकिन संकट की
मनुष्ति नहीं है, बतरे तो है, नेकिन बतरों
की अवीति नहीं है। बोर यह अनुष्ति न
सासन में है, न अशासन में है, न सत्ताकु दस
में है, न जनता में है। अब यदि संकट है नेकिन
संकट की अनुष्ति नहीं है तो फिर कानून से
संकट की स्थित बनाये रखने का क्या साम
होया। देश का मनोविश्वान बदल नया है और
इस मनोविश्वान को फिर से बदलने के निए
क्वम उठाये बिना संकटकाल की स्थिति को
बारी रखना ठीक नहीं होया।

क्या सामान्य कानून इस स्थिति का धामना करने के लिए पर्याप्त नहीं हैं जिसकी बीर संकेत करके गृह मन्त्री महोदय अपने बचन से मुकर जाना चाहते हैं ? मैं उन्हें बचन मंग का दोषी ठहराता है। जिस दिन नई लोक समा की बैठक भारम्भ हई, पहले ही दिन पाकर उन्होंने घोषणा कर दी कि एक जूलाई में संकटकाल की स्थिति देश के केवल कुछ मार्गो तक सीमित रहेगी । कुछ सोच समझ कर उन्होंने घोषणा की थी। झाज वह जिन संकटों को भोर इशारा कर रहे हैं वे संकट नए नहीं हैं। वे संकट उस समय भो थे। हां केवल एक ही नया संकट पैदा हो गया है। वह बमझते थे कि विरोधी दलों का समर्थन संवि-बान में संशोधन करने के लिए वह प्राप्त कर नेंगे भीर विरोधी दल उनको भनुगृहीत करने के लिए तैयार नहीं हैं। क्या यह जरूरी है हम धरकार की मदद करें ?

र्थाः यक्षश्रन्तराव वस्तुः च: एमरवेंसी के निष्टा

भी भारत बिहारी वाजपेती : प्रापको हुमें समझाना होगा कि देश में वस्तुत: संकट है और आप उस संकट का निराकरण करने के लिए सिकार चाहते हैं, भीर किसी बात के लिए नहीं। क्या भारत सरकार चीनी भाकमण का प्रतिकार करने के लिए सम्बद्ध हो रही है? क्या हम 1962 में की गई उस पावन प्रतिका को कि हम तब तक चैन से नहीं नैठेंगे जब तक एक एक एक हंच. भूमि को चीन के चंगूल है मुक्त नहीं कर मेंगे, पूरा करने जा रहे हैं?

यदि सरकार उस भूमि को मुक्त कराने के लिए कदम बढ़ाये दो हम संबद्धकाल की स्थिति का समझैन करने को तैयार हैं। यक सरकार एक तिहाई जम्मू काश्मीर को मुक्त करने के लिए धारी बढ़े दो हम इस सरकार को प्रसाधारण अधिकार देने के लिए तैयार है। नेविभ सरकार इस दिता में कुछ करना नहीं चाहती देश के प्रति किए गए संकल्पों पर पानी फेन्शा चाहती है भीर सरकार इसनिए प्रविकार काहती 🛊 कि एक प्राप्तका है नया धाकमण होने वाका है। स्या यह धार्शका उस दिन नहीं बी जिस दिन सदन में चोषणा की गई थी कि एक बुलाई से संबटकाल की स्थिति को कुछ क्षेत्रों में सीमित कर दिया जाएगा ? यदि उस दिन यह कल्पना नहीं थी तो मैं क्ष्ट्रंगा कि: यह सर-कार की अबुरद्शिता है, यह सरकार अपनी नाक से घाये देखने की क्षमता नहीं रखती है । चीन और पाकिस्तान के बावमण का संकट उस समय भी था। वह संकट बाज की है। लेकिन विसी सम्भावित संकट की बाबंका से हम प्रपत्ने नागरिकों को धनन्तवाल तक मुलभत प्रधिकारों से बंचित नहीं कर सकते हैं। जैसा भ्रमी कहा गया यदि देश पर कोई म्राक्रमण होगा तो सरकार पनः संबदकान की स्थिति घोषित कर सकती है। संविधान ने उसे प्रधिकार दिया है भीर उसे देश का स्वेच्छा से सहयोग मिलेगा । प्रगर कुछ तस्व उस मार्ग में वाश्वक बनेंगे तो हम उनका साथ नहीं देंगे। राष्ट्र की सुरक्षा सर्वोपरि है। लेकिन भाज हमें राष्ट्र की सुरक्षा को लोकतांविक धविकारों के साथ मिलाना होगा।

17 hrs.

गृह मन्त्री महं.दय १६तं हैं कि नुष्ठ सेवों में संबदकाल की स्थित रहेगी मूलभूत अधिकारों का स्थमन होगा और भेष भारत में पूरी छूट होगी। अध्यक्ष महोदय संबदकाल को कुछ क्षेत्रों तक सीमित रखने और शेष भारत को संकटकाल की स्थिति से निकालनें के हम लोग मूलभूत रूप से विरोधी हैं। बहु संबद कैसा है जो देश के एक माग में है लेकिन दूसरे भाग में खिसकी धनुभूति नहीं होती। बहु [श्री घटल बिहारी बाजपेयी]
मंकट कैसा है जो सम्पूर्ण देश पर नहीं है ? क्या
जम्मू काश्मीर का संकट शेष भारत का संकट
नहीं है ? क्या घसम पर चीन का प्रहार सारे
देश की प्रमुसत्ता पर, देश की धवाण्यता पर
देश की स्वाधीनता पर प्रहार नहीं है ?
विद हम एक राष्ट्रीयता की कल्पना में विश्वास
करते हैं और काश्मीर से लेकर यन्या कुमारी
तक सम्पूर्ण राष्ट्र को कन्ये से कन्धा सगा कर
बड़ा करना चाहते हैं तो संकट यान को कुछ
लेवों में सीमिन न्याने की कल्पना भ्रामक है।
यह कभी धानक सिद्ध हो सबती है। इसका
लगा महिता चाहित।

ब्रध्यक्ष महोदय, हमारी सरकारों के पास पयंः प्रधिकार हैं । गृह मन्त्री महोदय, बायद सं२३ ताल की स्थिति को जस्म काश्मीर वंकात, ब्रामाम, नेफा बीर बंगाल तक मोमित रखना चाहते होंगे । चाहते होंगे का प्रयोग में जान-बुझकार कर रहा हूं क्योंकि उन्होंने श्रभी तक अपना इरादा बताया नहीं है। कौन से सेंसिटिव एरियाच हैं ? घगर वह क्षेत्र वही है जिनका मैं उस्लेख कर रहा है तो क्या यह सच नहीं है कि इत राज्यों की सरकारों के पास किसी भी स्थिति का सामना करने के लिए पहले से पर्याप्त भ्रधिकार हैं? पश्लिक क्षेपटी ब्रीर सेक्य्रिटी ऐक्ट है। क्या जम्म् काश्मीर में ब्रधिकार नहीं हैं सरकार के पास ? क्या बिहार में पबिलक सेकटी ऋधिकार काम नहीं कर रहा है। क्या परिश्वमी बंगाल में पिंडनक सक्यरिटी ऐक्ट नहीं है मैफा के लिए भीर नागालेंड के लिए यह सरकार पहले से विशेषाधिकार ले चुकी है। लेकिन इन मधिकरिर्देक बाद क्या हो रहा है 7 जून को जम्म कामीर में क्या हुमा? श्रीनगर व क्या हुन्न ? मैं उन घटनीमों में जाना देश नाहती की स्थिति है। शासन के पास अविकार भी हैं। सगर जीनगर में 7 जुन को निर्वाचर असावे नए । महिलाधों का अपनान किया गया । नेशनव कान्फरेंस की एक बीप को बाग लगा दी गई। फिसी के खिलाफ कार्यवाही नहीं की नई । भाप प्रधिकार में भीर कार्यवाही न करें तो अधिकार लेना व्ययं है भीर भाप प्रधिकार कें भीर उनका दुष्पयोग करें तो अधिकार देना चातक है। दोनों दृष्टियों से देखिए इन प्रसाक्षारण प्रधिकारों की भाव-

क्या इस बात से इन्कार किया जा सकता है कि भारत सुरक्षा ग्रविनियम का दुरप्योग किया गया ? जाससों को पकड़ने की बात समझ में बा सकती है, मगर इसमें विद्यार्थी पकडे गए, सरकारी कर्मचारी गिरक्तार किए गए व्यापारियों को बन्दी बनाया गया । महाराष्ट में एक प्रेम विवाह को रोकने के लिए डिफेंस आफ इन्डिया रूस्स का उपवीग हभा था। गह मन्त्री महोदय महाराष्ट्र 🕏 बाते हैं इसलिए इस घटना को भूल नहीं होंगे। जिन को मधिकार उन्होंने ब्रधिकारों का दूरपयीम किया । इमलिए ग्रंब वे ग्रधिकार लेने के ग्रधिकारी नहीं है। जिन सीमावर्ती श्रेतों में गृह मंत्री महोदय श्रापतकाल की स्थिति करना चाहते हैं वहां पर्याप्त अधिकार है। सामान्य कानून स्थिति का सामना करने में समर्थ होने चाहियें, भीर भगर वे समय नहीं है तो फिर जो उस कानून का उपयोग करते हैं उन में कोई दोष है या यदि कानून में स्वबं कोई दुवंलता है तो उस को भी दूर किया जा सकता है। हम इस प्रकार के किसी भी प्रश्न पर विचार करने के लिए तैयार होंबे कि नागरिकों के मूलभूत प्रशिकारों का दमन या स्वगन किये बिना देश पर धाने वाके संकट का सामना किया जा सके। इस के लिख सरकार अधिकार चाहती है तो वह ठोस प्रस्ताव लेकर धाये। उस के गुण व दोवीं का विचार कर के हम निर्श्वय करेंगे। लेकिन हम स तरह के प्रधिकार देने के लिए तैयार नहीं हैं जिन प्रधिकारों का शासन सबुपयीय नहीं कर सका चीर जो प्रविकार पांच की म्बिति में प्रायश्यक भी महीं दिखाई देते ।

श्वपाञ्चल महोत्य, एक बात श्रीए। मैं ने कहा कि देश में खंक्ट है। जबर संक्यः की समुकृति नहीं हैं। यह समुकृति देश में

वैदा करनी होगी। नहीं तो प्राप जो भी कदम उठाएंगे वह बलत समझा जायगा। उसे राजमतिक दृष्टि से विकृत रूप कर के पेश किया जायगा। धाखिर सरकार मिनिकार लेकर राज्य सरकारों के द्वारा ही उस को धमल में लावेगी। नक्सलबाडी का नाम बार बार लिया जाता है। मान एमजेंसी है. विशेषाधिकार भी हैं लेकिन पश्चिमी बंगास की सरकार ग्रगर उन विश्वविश्वाधिकार का उपयोग करने के लिए वैथार नहीं है तो मह मंत्री महादय क्या करेंगे ? माखिर प्रधिकार जो लिए जायेंगे उन का उन्योग राज्यों की सरकारों को करना होगा भीर राज्यों की सरकार आज नैयार नहीं हैं। गृह मंत्री महीदय की सभी यह बताना बाकी है कि कितने मध्य मंत्रियों ने उन्होंने विचार विनिमय विद्या ? कितने मध्य मंत्री संकटकाल को स्थिति बनाये एखने के उन के प्रस्ताव से महमत है। पहले मध्य मंत्रियों के साथ चर्चीका बडा जांग्यार हवाला दिया जाता था। ब्राजकल गृह मंत्री महोदय मंत्रियों को चर्चानहीं करते। स्यों नहीं करते? श्राम्बर मुख्य मंत्री भी जिम्मेदार हैं। उन्हें भी मंतर का स्थिति की अनभूति होती चाहिए। उस के धनमार कार्य करने को उनकी सिद्धना होनी चाहिए। प्रगर यह सिद्धना नहीं है तो नई दिल्ली में बैठकर अनुधारण प्रधिकारों का उपयोग समस्याधी को सुलकाने के बजाय ग्रीर उनकायेगा। मैं गृह मंत्री महोदय से कहना चांहता है कि वह किर से विचार करे। धनी । जुलाई में कुछ दिन बाको हैं। सक्ट काम की स्थिति श्वारम कर हैं ग्रार यदि फिर वास्तविक संकट कान पैदा होना है तो सारा देश उन का समर्थन करेगः। ग्रन्थवा ं संबद काल में भी वह देश की जगा नहीं सर्बेंगे सोगों का समर्थन नहीं पा मुकेंगे। धन्यवाद।

Shri Soukiyan (Kumbakanam): Sir, I support the motion brought forward by Mr. Hem Barua as amended by the 965 (Ai) LSD-6.

other Members of the House demanding that the emergency should be lifted from the 1st of July. The Home Minister made a solemn declaration on 18th March that the emergency would be lifted from 1st of July. That statement contained only three sentences. He has now produced a very long statement asking the House and the outside world that due to various reasons the emergency has to be continued. He has mentioned in the statement that the proposal to amend the constitution did not meet with the approval of the opposition parties and so he has decided not to introduce the amendment. I highly appreciate the democratic spirit of the Home Minister.

Shri Ranga: He is helpless.

Shri Sezhiyan: This high sense of democratic spirit has come to him not out of moral strength but out of the numerical strength. In the case of the passports Bill, the entire opposition parties opposed that Bill. If Government had the same democratic spirit, they should have dropped that Bill. But where they could push through a Bill, with their own majority, they do so. But where they require the opposition votes, they assume a different posture.

There are two points in the amendment proposed by the Government. First they want to limit the operation of the emergency to certain areas. Secondly, they want to indemnify the Government servants for acts done during the emergency. Once the emergency is lifted without doing this amendment, hundreds and thousands of damage suits will go to the court in respect of the past mistakes. They want to avoid that and that is why they want to continue the emergency. They perpetuated and perpetrated these acts and they should be prepared for the consequences. If their conscience is clear and if they think that they used the emergency powers with restraint and only in the interest of the country, they should not be afraid of the damage suits. Because their [Shri Sezhiyan]

conscience is not so clear and they are afraid of their actions, they do not revoke the emergency. They say there is the threat of arrogant China and aggressive Pakistan and hence the emergency should be continued. I think that it was Prime Minister Nehru who said that the Chinese danger was going to be with us there for thousand years. Are you going to have this emergency also for thousand years to come?

An hon. Member: Thank God, Congress will not remain for thousand years.

Shri Sezhiyan: The Defence of India rules and the emergency powershave become part and parcel of the daily life of India? These powers have been misused to a great extent. I want to apprise Members of the implications of the emergency. combined effect of the proclamation of emergency issued by the President under articles 352 and the Presidential order under article 359(1) of the Constitution is that all rights under article 19(1) remain suspended and secondly, any citizen who had been affected by the suspension of article 19(1) is debarred from approaching any court for redress under articles 14, 21 and 22. Even if a person has been detained, he cannot file a petition for writ of habeas corpous before a court. Therefore, once you put a citizen behind the bars under the emergency powers, you not only deprive him of all the fundamental rights, but also deny him any right of approach to the These two things are very bad.

Mr. Tiwary speaking on the other side, said that instead of talking in vague terms, we should give some concrete cases where these powers have been misused and abused. I can give hundreds of cases. There are eminent people here who have been affected by these powers. I can also quote many case-laws where it has been specifically said that the Government have misused the powers given to them under the emergency regulations.

Justice Bedi of the Punjab High Court, in delivering a judgment on 22nd November, 1965, said:

"If the police for one reason or the other could not get them convicted adequately under the law of the land, it did not mean that they should resort to the emergency powers."

This has been said by a high court judge in disposing of a case. Therefore, if you do not like Mr. Gopalan or anybody else, you simply apply the emergency powers and put him inside the jail. It is wrong, because you do not bring him before the proper forum under the law of the land.

Mr. M. C. Stealvad, an eminent jurist of this country, and who was also the Attorney-General had this to say:

"In substance, the executive has taken advantage of the Chinese aggression to constitute itself into what may be called a constitutional dictatorship."

This has come from the mouth of an eminent jurist.

I can quote still higher authorities. Mr. Justice Tarkunde of the Bombay High Court, while delivering a judgment and disposing of a case on 9th December, 1966, said:

"It would not, in our view, be an exaggeration to say, if ours was a police State, and we had never heard of democracy and rule of law, orders passed in such a case would not have been more arbitrary and oppressive than the orders with which we are dealing."

Therefore, all norms of democracy have been stifled through these powers. The Chief Justice of India, Mr. P. B. Gajendragadkar, while delivering a learned judgment on 18th February, 1986, said:

"We wish to add that when we come across orders of this kind by

which citizens are deprived of their fundamental right of liberty. without a trial on the ground that the Emergency proclaimed by the President in 1962 still continues and the powers conferred on the appropriate authories by the Defence of India Rules justify the deprivation of such liberty,.... that even during Emergency, the freedom of Indian citizens cannot be taken away without the existece of the justifying necessity specified by the Rules themselves The tendency to treat these matters in a somewhat casual and cavalier manner which may conceivably result from the continuous use of such unfettered powers, may ultimately pose a serious threat to the basic values on which the democratic way of life in this country is founded."

He went on to say:

"It is true that cases of this kind are rare: but even the presence of such rare cases constitutes a warning to which we think it is our duty to invite the attention of the appropriate authorities."

Therefore, high court judges, Supreme Court judges and many other eminent jurists of our country, have declared themselves against this abuse of powers vested under emergency. Even the Minister—the then Minister of Law, who was responsible for piloting the measure in this House in 1962—Mr. A. K. Sen. when he was no longer a Minister and became an ordinary Member like us, has said, in 1968:

"The emergency must be withdrawn because the purpose for which it was introduced did not exist any more."

I have quoted many jurists of eminance from inside the country. Shall I now proceed to quote from eminent jurists outside the country? The International Commission of Jurists, in their last quarterly bulletin published on 1st March this year, have said as follows:

"...till now India has been regarded as a bastion of democracy and a champion of the rule of law in Asia. But emergency legislation permitting protective detention and depriving detainees of safeguards and legal remedies—introduction in 1962 because of threats from China—is still in force although the situation has returned to normal."

### They have also stated:

"Continued suspension of fundamental constitutional rights in India has aroused growing anxiety throughout the free world about the country's future."

That is what the International Commission of jurists have stated in their last quarterly bulletin. How tarnished the image of India has become in the eyes of te international jurists.

I can meet the argument whether Government have got enough powers to deal with these things in their present structure of statutes. This has been analysed by the Home Ministry itself. In the Hindustan Times dated 2nd August, 1966, the Government's opinion has been expressed as follows:

"A study by the Home Ministry reveals that the provisions of many State Acts relating to public security or safety are so comprehensive that there is practically no entingency bearing on security which is under the Defence of India Rules and which is not under the Security Acts."

Mr. Nanda seems to have written a letter to all the Chief Ministers and

[Shri Sezhiyan]

7637

that has been referred to in the paper:

"In a letter addressed to the Chief Ministers early this year, Union Home Minister G. L. Nanda said:

"I understand that with two or three exceptions, the States have public security laws under different designations, which supplement the provisions of the IPC, Cr. P.C., etc. I believe that with the possible addition of some provisions, those laws would prove adequate for the maintenance of public order and public safety without involving denial or abridgment of the fundamental rights."

If the Home Ministry is satisfied that the States have enough powers under the existing Acts, why do they want to continue this emergency? As I said, misuses and abuses have been carried too far.

They require continuance of emergency not because there is threat of aggression from outside. To meet aggressions from outside, we are all prepared to the last man. But here is a calculated aggression by the ruling party on the fundamental rights of the countrymen. There is an emergency not so much due to any enemy across the border. But there is an emergency for themselves. There is emergency for their constitutional dictatorship; there is emergency for their police state, there is emergency for their regime—a regime with a black past and a bleak future. For that emergency, they want to continue this one. Therefore, I support the amendments moved here and demand that from 1st July the emergency should be lifted, for their own good and for the good of the country.

Krishna Kumar Chatterji (Howrah): Sir, I rise to oppose the substitute motion before this House to lift the emergency. I feel smazed to see that some of my hon, friends on

the other side are living and breathing in an atmosphere of unreality and artificiality. Shri Hem Barua a man of poetic disposition, has built a paradise of his own to live in. But we are men of the soil of India; and we know the reality of the situation and we cannot be completent about it. It would he a present unto them if I present through you some news item appearing today in the Times of India . . . (Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: That is not proper. The speeches of the opposition leaders were listened to in pin-drop silence. He has also a right to speak. may not agree with him, but you cannot go on interrupting.

Shri Jyotirmov Basu (Diamond Harbour): I have got one submission.

Mr. Speaker: I would only say I am very unhappy.

Shri Krishna Kumar Chatteril: A little while ago, an expert in military strategy on the other side has been convincing the House that we are in a state of war. Sir. I was almost convinced that a state of war had begun although we are living in peaceful conditions. From the same side now we are told that there no necessity of an emergency. I will read out a news item to the House and then my hon, friends will perhaps realise what kind of a danger India is facing today. This news comes from Jammu where it is said:

"The Pakistani authorities are reported to have pushed about 10,000 persons towards the ceasefire line in the Poonch-Rajouri sector with a view to sending them clandestine'y to the Indian side.

Most of them migrated to Pakistan-held areas during the 1965 conflict and had been camped at . Khoratta, Samalia and other places.

A number of these persons are stated to have been given training in guerilla warfare."

There is another news item that has appeared in the same newspaper. It is a disturbing news item where it is said:

"The 'uneasy peace' prevalent now in disturbed Naxalhari. Kharaibari and Phansidewa is considered to be a 'tricky' one by informed circles. . . . Extremist leaders are reported to be harping on the police firing of May 25 in ten people. including women and children, were killed, to keep up the tempo of the agitation and rouse further the sentiments of their followers in preparation of 'the second phase of the struggle'.

Some leaders are reported to be engaged during this 'ull in arming themselves and perfecting their communication system. This system reportedly consists of couriers and sound signals and is being worked in the troubled areas from one end to the other. This means that in case of a police action in any part of the three areas, the 'comrades' all over will come to know of it immediately. The extremist leaders are reported to have already instructed their followers to resist police action."

There is one other news item that has appeared in the newspapers. It was propagated that the Chinese are being deported from Nepal. There was a demonstration by Chinese elements in that part of the country in spite of the Nepal Government's forbidding them to do so. Therefore, the danger is there. . . .

Shri Jyetirmey Basu: Sir, I rise to a point of order. I refer you to rule 356. Under this rule what the hon. Member is saying is not relevant here. What has something happening in Nepal got to do with our emergency here?

Mr. Speaker: There is no point of order.

Statement on

Emergency

Shri Krishna Kumar Chatterji: Sir. the Naga question, the Mizo problem and all those problems are there. A friend of mine had been to the Sikkim border recently. I am taking the House into confidence. He had been there on a personal mission. He found quite a large number of Chinese army men concentrated on the Sikkim border. Sir, we in West Bengal living in a state of consternation and cannot afford to ask the Home Minister to lift the emergency. I am one of those who had been a security prisoner during the British regime. Then we were fighting for our independence and today we have to fight for preserving that independence. Therefore, I feel that the emergency should be there under the conditions prevailing We have to prevent external aggression and internal sabotaging. I must congratulate the hon. Home Minister for the commendable restraint that he has shown by limiting these emergency powers to certain areas only. A few minutes ago my hon, friend Shri Hem Barua said that Indian Ministers are cubs. But Indian so'diers are not cubs. They can fight out our enemies. Our policemen and military men are not cubs. Even if the ministers are cubs. our policemen and military men can fight out aggression. That is why these emergency powers have to be there. If it is to be lifted the Minister must be satisfied there is no danger to the security of our land. (Interruptions).

Shri Hem Barua: Sir, I did not say that the Indian ministers are cubs. I said that the nuclear teeth of become long Chinese tiger have enough to reach the Indian calf.

Shri S. A. Dange: Mr. Speaker, Sir. I oppose the statement made by the Home Minister on continuation of Emergency and I support the motion which demands that Emergency terminated. That is the general content of all the substitute motions that are before the House.

[Shri S: A. Dange]

Now, the case made by the Home Minister really does not seem to be a ease for the continuation of Emergency. The real reason which he has in his mind perhaps for the continuation of Emergency is not revealed by him because if he takes his stand on that, he may perhaps lose all the moral support he can get by putting forward the reasons he has given.

What is continuation of Emergency? I think, we a'l agree on one point that suspends fundamental Emergency rights and as such converts a democratic Constitution into a Constitution of dictatorship—you may precede it by the word 'constitutional' or you may say, it is a dictatorship-and all the quotations given by my friend over there will prove that it has now become a police State. I do not know whether the Congress Party which still claims to adhere by democracy would like the description of that State which they are running, as a police State.

The reasons given here are something like these; that there is China there which once invaded us. that there is Pakistan there which invaded us that their armies are there which might do something, that now China's hydrogen bomb has come and, along with hydrogen bomb, there is a red book of quotations of Mao Tse-tung and that the hydrogen bomb is hanging in the air over Tibet and somewhere else. It is said: Are these not sufficient reasons for continuing Emergency inside India? Does the Home Minister assure me that continuation of Emergency is an antidote to hydrogen bomb, that continuation of Emergency is an antidote to Chinese army. and that continuation of Emergency is the best weapon to fight Pakistani aggression? I wonder if Emergency is considered by him as a sort of milltary force of such a mighty power that the Indian army is proved uselies: and that his emergency and the police; arresting innocent men and putting them in jail, is the most effective mean

Salar Salar

e was a la la marchine de la companya de la company

sure of fighting aggression from outside. But, I think; he does not take that ground perhaps and his real reason is different; one he has suggested and one he has not suggested.

His real reason is his continuing war against the tribals. The Mizo tribals are mentioned; the Naga tribals are mentioned; the Tripura tribals are mentioned: some tribes in Assem are mentioned. That means this police State has to continue war against the tribals within the framework of Emergency and that means the Government of India has come to the conclusion that the tribal problem can never be resolved except by military means and even then that is a question mark. Is that the political standpoint of the Congress Party that the Naga problem will be resolved by Emergency or that the Mizo problem will be resolved by Emergency or that Assam tribes' problem will be suppressed by Emergency? If that is so, then we say, we are opposed to the continuation of Emergency on anyone of these, This war against the tribals must be stopped. Military operations against the tribals is not the solution of their problem. They accept his in words but not in deeds. They are not able to carry it out.

Then, about the powers of Emergency, a tribal delegation of Nagas whom they declare hostiles, is given all the wonderful facilities to go to London and come back and they, the underground Nagas, are allowed to meet the Prime Minister with immunity from Emergency. Is that a policy or a bankruptcy of policy? I do not mean that they should not be allowed. to go to London. By all means, allowthem to go to London; by all means, see them here in New Delhi, But, I say: they have created the problem of the Nagas and they have worsened it. They have allowed Michael South a British agent, to strengthen Nagas, rabelion and now they blams China for their going to China. They have allowed Michael Scott to strangel

their hostile acts against the Government of India and this country. They have permitted him to deal with the settlement of the Naga problem despite giving them an autonomous State. You give an autonomous State to Gos, to six lake of people, but you cannot give an autonomous State to six lakhs of tribals of Assam, Mizo tribals and others. Why can't you convert them into self-governed autonomous States under the Constitution and solve the problem? Instead of solving the problem in a most democratic way, tatisfying the Nagas' demand, satisfying the tribals' demand, they want continuation of Emergency because 34 Nagas went to China and brought back 24 guns. That is not the way of solving the Naga problem, It should not be the ground on which you should come before this country and say that you cannot deal with about five million tribals and, therefore, 500 million Indian people must be deprived of their fundamental rights. A wonderful solution of the most democratically conceived Ministry that we are told it is, that 500 million people's democratic rights are suppressed because they cannot solve the problem of 5 lakhs or 5 million Nagas, which is a simple thing. That shows to what depth of degeneration the concept of democracy has come and to what height of police Statehood they have risen. Therefore, on such reasons, you, Congressmen, should feel ashamed to back this standpoint of the Home Minister, you sanction the Emergency against tribals, military preparations. though you can solve the problem in a simple way. You are letting loose the contractors, the forest contractors; bankers and money lenders who are ruining the tribal economy and then when there is a revolt, you say, Emergency will be enforced" and when they go and see somebody there, then they say, "Emergency is not against you, but against the 500 million people of India. They must live under dictatorship because we cannot silence them. What a fantastic logic you, gentlemen, are observing I do not know. This loyalty to your flowernment will lead you to ruin. I cannot

be sorry for it, but then the "ruin" should be properly organised least.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member is expected to address the Chair.

Shri S. A. Dange: Not your ruin,

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member was addressing the Congressmen.

Shri S. A. Dange: Now the real thing is this. The Congress leader there who just spoke has really done disservice to his Government and his Party. He has really revealed the real reason for the Emergency that is Naxalbari. That is why I was asking my friends to keep quite and allow him to talk. some ten sq. mile area, 200 Adivasis were deprived of their land, and they were thrown out by Jotedars and the Adivasis do not know the intricacies of the Supreme Court judgment or the pleadings in the High Court and so on. They simply say, "if you take my land, I will come back and take that land" and when they go back, your wonderful heroes shoot ten women down and then you come round and say, "since they are not suppressed by the murder of ten women and two children, we shall now proclaim Emergency due to Naxalbari". That is the justigiven. I hope you will disown it perhaps; I do not know; you may not, but that is the real They are creating havoc reason. in the country as though one little spot in the Naxalbari area is out to overthrown the whole Indian empire and the whole Indian Government and, therefore, the Emergency Actually the shou'd be continued. Generalised, reason is well stated. it means that the Congress Party is not now hopeful of continuing its methods ordinary ЪУ misrule must they therefore, and. suspend the fundamental rights and the fundamental rights and the Constitution and continue the Emergency by which alone they can live and rul

1 . 1 - 1

### [Shri S. A. Dange]

this country. That is the real meaning of his demand for continuing the Emergency. Therefore, they are conspiring first against that strong West Bengal Government, that popular Government, which they hate most because there foreign capitalists are concentrated, there the highest monopolies are concentrated, it is the seat of Birla empire that is being threatened, there the big jotedars are still intact, there the revolt being the acutest they want to suppress it by the continuation of the Emergency. They are not only doing it surreptitiously, but here it is said:

"The United Front circles here doubt the propriety of the speech of the West Bengal Governor, Mr. Dharms Vira, made to District Magistrates and Superintendents of Police."

What a logic. Under the framework of the Constitution, a Governor goes to a State. It is an accepted principle....

The Minister of State in the Departments of Parliamentary Affairs and Communications (Shri I. K. Gujral): The contradiction has come in the papers.

Mr. Speaker: He says that the contradiction has come.

Shri S. A. Dange: Then, please lay it on the Table.

Mr. Speaker: He says that it has come in papers.

Shri S. A. Dange: I am not going to accept his words. (Interruption).

Shri I. K. Gujral: The contradiction has come in the papers.

Shri S. A. Dange: We know that this is being done. I am speaking from personal knowledge that these Police Superintendents and District Magistrates, are asked to defy the orders of the Ministers because the Governor is the supreme authority. But under the Constitution the Governor has to act within the framework of the advice of

the Ministry and cannot go over their heads. But if such advice as we have seen is being encouraged, it means that my hon, friends opposite are fomenting a revolt of the State Police. within the very Constitution with the which they are supposed to be governing, through directives of their Governor calling upon the superintendents of police of defy the Ministers. Therefore, a sort of contradiction is being deliberately developed in order to suppress this Ministry and the non-Congress progressive Ministries for carrying out their task in favour of of the people.

Not once has the emergency in five years been used against the blackmarketeers. When about two hundred blackmarketeers were arrested once by Shri Nanda, they were released after 36 hours with an apology with folded hands and an astrologer to help. This was the fate of the emergency action against the blackmarketeers. Thousands of political workers arc being put in prison; my hon-friend Shri A. K. Gopalan will confirm me when I say this. Even now, strikes are being suppressed. Even in a strategic plant like the Bhilai plant. today demands are being refused and security guards are beating up workers, and arrests are being made, and the union secretary and others are being put into jail in the name of emergency and all that. Whose aggression is it? Are they fighting an internal disturbance? Yes. They are fighting an internal disturbance in a collapsing economy, governed by the Birla empire and the monopolists; it is the aggression of the Birlas which they are supporting by continuing the emergency. Under the emergency 7000 licences have been given, under th emrgency there has been vast accumulation of wealth; under the protection of the emergency garnering of foodstuffs etc. has been going on, but not once was the emergency used in order to denounce these black acts, and all the time only strikers, peasants and workers and political parties have been.

er a errannin e

victimised. Is that the justification for continuing the emergency?

They are trotting out the names of China and Pakisttan, But they are trotting out these names just to hum-bug the people. Their main desire is to perpetuate an undemocratic rule, to supports the monopolists, to continue a police State and thereby preserve the class power of monopolists and landlords. May I request them to please reconsider their decision? This is not going ot help. This is not going to help in solving the Naga problem; this is not going to help in solving the strikers' problem; this is not going to help in solving the problem of scarcity and the financial disaster that is in front of them. The emergency has also a clause called financial emergency. Therefore, I would request the Congress Party to be more careful. It is not a question of one party only. It not a question of emergency against 500 million people and of suppressing their fundamental rights and raising a police State in the name of democracy of the Indian people.

Mr. Speaker: At this rate, we may not be able to finish this debate even by 7 p.m. If 20 or 25 minutes are going to be taken by the leaders. then we do not know how long this could go on; after all, there must be some time-limit at least by 6.30 p.m. or some such time, we must agree to conclude the debate. Suppose only five or six Members get opportunities to speak and the other people do not get a chance to speak, that would not be proper. Shri Humayun Kabir has sent in his amendment and so also has Shri Frank Anthony and I would like to call both of them. Hon, Members may be very brief so that I could give some chance to he other Members also.

बी मध् लिसचे : 15 मिनट दीजिये। इस से स्रविक समय मत दीजिये।

क्षाच्यक सहोबक: 15 मिनट देने से ची क्षाम नहीं क्षेत्रगा। If I were to call all the Members, then it may even be 9.30 p.m. I have got so many names in the list before me and it includes Congress Members aiso. I would request hon. Members to be very brief.

Shri S. M. Banerjee (Kanpur): Let us continue this debate tomorrow.

Mr. Speaker: Tomorrow, something else which is more important may come up. So, how could be say that this should be dragged on to tomorrow? Now, Shri Fernandes,

भी जार्च फरनेन्डीस (बग्बई-दक्षिण) : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, जब यह प्रस्ताव यहां पर ग्राने वाला था तब कांस्टिट्रएट इ.सेम्बली ने जो संविधान पास किया था ग्रीर उस वक्त उत पर जो बहस हुई थी संविधान की मंजूर करते वक्त, उस का मैं ने देखने की कांशिण की। मविधान बनाने वाले लोगां ने, सिर्फ कांस्टिटएन्ट इ.सम्बली के सदरयों ने नही वत्क टा॰ अम्बेटकर जैसे लं.गा प्रीय उन के ग्रन्य साथियां ने, जिन के द्वारा काफी मेहनत इस संविधान की बनाने में की गई, इस संबंध में क्या कहा है उस की जिलाई को भी मैं ने देखा। एक महस्वपूर्ण धावय जा कारिस्टरल्ट द्यसैम्बली में कहने में द्वादा था जबकि इस एमरजेंसी पावर के अपर बहुत से माननीय सदस्यों ने र,इत विरोध किया था वह यह षा :

"This emergency provision is merely intended to meet one purpose, viz. that all our efforts all these years spent in constitution making may not go in vain and those people who wield power in future will be adequately empowered to save the Constitution".

ग्राने जाकर ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, यह कहा नवा:

"I would ask the House to consider this chapter as a sort of safety value which is intended to save the Constitution".

The state of the s

# [मी बार्च क्लोस्ट्रीय]

इससे यह विक्कुल साक हो जाता है
कि संविधान के संरक्षण के लिए एमरजसी
वाला कालम जोड़ने में घाया था। जब
ब्राक्टिंग कमेटी ने संविधान को बनाया
तब ऐसी यांजना थी, तब ऐसा उसने सिखा
वा कि प्रोक्तेमेलन होने के बाद से छः
महीने तक वह प्राक्तेमेशन जारी रहे। लेकिन
जब संविधान को मंजूर करने का बक्त धाया
तब संविधान को मंजूर करने का बक्त धाया
तब संविधान करने वालों ने यह उचित
समझा कि छः महीने तक ये विशेष प्रधिकार
सरकार के हाथ में या राष्ट्रपति के हाथ में
ही देना ठीक नहीं होगा। उन्होंने छः महीने
के बखायदी महीने करा दिया। डाठ धम्बेटकर
साहब का उस बक्त का यह वावय था:

"The second change that is introduced in sub-cl. (c) of cl. 2 is shat orginally it was provided that the proclamation shall cease to operate at the expiration of six months. It is now proposed that it should cease to operate at the expiration of two months. Six months was felt to be too long a period".

इस सब को बतलाने का मेरा मंगा यह है कि आपको पता जल सके कि संविधान बनाने वाल लोगों के मन में क्या विचार था। उनका विचार यह वा कि सरकार या राष्ट्रपति को ये विशेष अधिकार कम ने कम बजब के लिए विशे जल्में भीर मुस्क में इस किस्म की संकटकाल की परिश्वित कम से कम समय के मिए जारी रखी जाए। यह उनका उद्देश्य था।

्राष्ट्रपति के हान में हमेशा यह मिनकार रहता है बीत इस एमरजेंदी को सत्तर मान हम हहा के का की निर्णय करते हैं दो स्वाका वर्ष यह नहीं है कि स्थार बृह्नांकी या हम राष्ट्रपति के सिकार को हटा गहे हैं। यार संकटकातील परिस्थिति इस संविधान के सन्दर सिक्षी हुई परिस्थितियों के सनुसार कल को या परसों को या सात दिन में या साठ महीने में फिर से राष्ट्रपति जी को नजर साती है तो राष्ट्रपति जी के हाथ में फिर भी प्रधिकार कायम रहता है कि संबदकालीन स्थिति को वह फिर बॉबित कर हैं।

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, गृह मंत्री जी ने जो कुछ भी इस एमरजेंसी को जारी रखने के पक्ष में कहा है, जो कुछ भी वसील दी है, उन में में कोई बचन नहीं पाता है और मैं उचित नहीं ममझता हं कि इस संकटकालीन परिस्थिति को जारी रक्षा जाए। गृह-मंत्री जी यह कहते उहे हैं कि जुलाई महीने में हम संकटकालीन परिश्वित की समाप्त कर देंगे। जब उन्होंने यह घोषणा की बी उस वक्त जो राष्ट्रीय **बन्तर्राष्ट्रीय** परिस्थिति भीर बह गवर्नमेंट के सामने की भीर झाज भीर धन्तर्राष्ट्रीय राष्ट्रीय परिस्थिति है वह भी उस के सामने है। मुझे तो इन दोनों में कोई खास फर्क विखाई नहीं देता है। श्रव्यवारों में हम पढ़ रहे हैं कि नवस्थर दिसम्बर तक श्रव यह नई परिस्थिति चलने वाली है। हो सकता है कि गृह-मंत्री जी यह कहें कि मैं ने यह बात नहीं कही है कि विसम्बर में या नवस्थर में फिर से इस पर विचार होता। लेकिन हमारा यह अनुभव है कि को बीक गृह-मंत्री जी इस सदन में कहना चाहते हैं उस को. यहां पर न कह कर या न कह पाने की स्विति में हो कर पहले वह सहबारों में किसी न किसी इंग से उस को छपना देते हैं। यह उन की एक बादत हो सकती है। हो सकता है कि उनकी यह बाबत बहुत पसन्द भी हो । वह भाज व्यवहारों में छपी हो, ऐसी बात नहीं है। कई विशों से यह या रहा है कि विसम्बर एक वह परिस्थिति प्रारी रहेगी

भीर फिर इस पर विचार होने का मीका बाएना । बगर बाब से चार महीने पहले गृह मनी जी वह कह सकते हैं कि जुनाई में इस परपुतः विभारकिया आएगा झौर अब जुनाई नवरीक पाती है तब अपने उस बमान से अजन इट कर वह हमारे सामने बाते हैं और कहते हैं कि कुछ महीनों के लिये हम इस को और बनावे रखना चाहते हैं या हमें इस को ग्रीर बनावे रखना पड़गा तो स्पष्ट हो जाता है कि उन का ही यह विचार हो कि नवम्बर दिसम्बर से इस पर पुनः विचार होगाः। में आजना चाहता हुं कि ऐसो कौन सो परिश्चित है जिस को वह बाज से चार या छ: महीने के बाद देखापारहे हैं। चीन के मामले में या पाकिस्तान के मामले में या मिजी या नाना नोगों के मामले में या राष्ट्रीय ग्रीर भन्तराष्ट्रीय मामलों में या भीर भी किसी मामले से क्या प्राज कोई भी हिम्मत के साथ यह वात कह सकता है. हमारे गृह-मंत्री जी कह सकते हैं कि नवस्वर दिसस्वर में जो परिस्थिति श्राज है इस में कोई प्रन्तर पड़ने बाला है ?

मुझे गृह मंत्री जी के ऊपर कोई व्यक्तिगत आरोप नहीं करना है लेकिन जो कुछ वह कहते रहे हैं उस को अब हम पढ़ते हैं, उन के द्वारा पहले कही गई बातों को देखते है तो ऐसा मालूम यहता है कि एक तरह से हम को इसमें फंसाने की वह कोजिज कर रहे हैं या सरकार कोशिज कर रही है। संकटकालीन परिस्थिति सिर्फ हिन्दुस्तान को ही देखने को नहीं मिली है, और भी दुनिया के मुल्क हैं जिन को देखने को बिजी है, इस का सामना दुनिया के बीर मुल्कों को भी करना पड़ा है। इस मामले के ऊपर दुनिका के कई मुल्कों की घरामतो में बहस हो **चुकी है । हेवियस** कारपस के प्रधिकार को अवरीका जैसे मूलक में कव हटाया जाए, इस के अपर बहां की सुप्रीम कोर्ट का फैसला है और वंह फैसला साफ कहता है

When there is a clear and present denser.

भौर सक्त कोई चतराहो तो फिर संकटकालीन स्थिति की कोबणा हो सकती है, हेवियम कारपन के प्रविकार की हटाया जा सकता है ; क्या हमारे गृह मंत्री जी इस बात को कह सकते हैं कि बाज ऐसी परिस्थिति हमारे मुल्क में है, नया क्लीयर एंड प्रबंट डेंबर इस बक्त हमारे देश के सामरे है। हम इस देश में प्रजातंत्र ग्रीर लोक शाही की बहुत बातें करते हैं और श्रमरीका, इंग्लैंड, कांस,जर्मनो भादि के उदाहरण तक दिये जाते हैं लेकिन याप देखें कि इस वक्त प्रमरीका वियतनाम में लड़ाई लड़ रहा है भीर उन के सैंकड़ों नहीं हजारों नीजवानों वहां इस वक्त मर रहे हैं। स्वमं ग्रमरीका के ग्रन्दर नीगरीं लोगों ने भपने अधिकारों के वास्ते बहुत ही बड़ी एक जंग छेड़ रखी है। लेकिन यह सब कुछ होते हुए भी भगरीका में हेबियम कारपम के प्रधिकार को नहीं हटाया गया है, वहां संकटकालीन स्थितिकी बोचणा नही की गई है; जो भी प्रविकार वियतनाम की लड़ाई के पहले या नीगरों लोगों का ग्रान्दोलन ज्र्रू होने के पहले वहां थे, वे तमाम प्रधिकार इस वक्त भी ग्रमरीका के ग्रन्दर मौजूद है। जब बासको मुविधा होती है तब ब्राप बमराका का उदाहरण दे देने हैं, तब ग्राप इंगलिस्तान का उदाहरण दे देते हैं नेकिन जब भाप को ध्रमुविधाजनक प्रतीत होता है नो भाप भ्रमने भ्राचरण में जो वहां व्यक्ति स्वातंत्र्य के लिए सुविधायें दी जाती हैं, उन को देना नहीं चाहते हैं, उन के बारे में कभी भी विचार करना नहीं चाहने हैं।

में साफ कहना बाहता हूं कि गृह-मंत्री जी की घोर से संकटकालीन परिस्थिति को हटाने की व्यवस्था होनी चाहिये। जहां तक पाकिस्तान घोर चीन का सम्बन्ध है, जन की घोर से खतरे का सम्बन्ध है, उस का खुसासा कई माननीय सदस्यों ने कर दिया हैं घौर में उस बात को कहना नहीं चाहता हूं। में एक चीज गृह-मंत्री जी से कहना चाहता हूं। इस सावदे खीर कान्त घाप के पास हैं। इंडियन 7653

पीनल कोड भाष के पास है। पुलिस कायदे बाप के पास हैं. बोईर सिक्योरिटी के बारे में कायदे बाप के पास हैं, हर प्रकार की परिस्थिति का मकाबला करने के लिए आप के पास कायदे हैं भीर सब से बड़ा लोक शाही भीर प्रजातन्त्र की खत्म करने वाला प्रिवेटिय डिटेंशन एक्ट भी भागके पास है। जब इतने कायदे भाप के पास हैं तो बयों यह संकटकाशीन परिस्थित को जारी रखना ग्रांग इन डिफेंस बाफ इंडिया मृत्य को जारी रखना भाष चाहते हैं। ये जी तमाम कायदे है. ये बाप के हाथ में है भीर बाप इन का इन्हेमाल कर सकते है।

नागालीड का स्नाप उदाहरण देते है। में गृह मंत्री जी को याद दिलाना चाहता हं कि नागायों का मसला संकटकालीन परिस्थिति की घोषणा होने के पहले से चलाभारहाहै। जहांतक मिजो लोगों के मामले का सम्बन्ध है हमेशा ही यह मंत्री जा ने यहां बयान दिया है कि वह इस मसले को बहुत अच्छे दंग से ठीक करने के काम में नगे हए हैं। नागाओं से बातचीन चलती रहती है। इंगलिस्नान जाने की भी उन को घनमति दी जाती है। प्रधान मंत्री जी कहती हैं कि

We are negotiating with the friendly hostile Nagas.

ऐसी परिस्थिति में नागाओं का मकावला करने के लिये भगर ग्राप संबदकाल बनाये रखने की बात करोगे और इतने कायदे प्राप के हाथ में होते हुए यह बान करोगे, तो मैं कहंगा कि व्यक्ति स्वातंत्र्य पर होने वाले इस हल्ले को हम लोगों को रोकना पड़ेगा। प्रनुपव इमारा इतना ही कहता है अध्यक्ष महोदय कि झाज तक यह जो संकटकालीन परिस्थित का इस्तेमाल हवा वह इस्तेमाल इस मुस्क के बन्दर जो भी जन मान्दोलन रहे, जो भी मजदूर बान्दोजन रहे, उन्हीं को बत्न करने के बास्ते हुमा । हड़तालों को बाल्य करने में

इस का इस्तेमाल हुए। । नेगा कुद का धनुसब है अध्यक्ष महोवय, 1963 में चीनी प्राक्रमण के चन्द दिनों के बाद चीनी तो वापस चले गए. लेकिन फिर भारत सुरक्षा कानून का इस्तेबाल मुझ पर हो गया कीर नौ महीने के लिये मझे बन्द कर दिया गया। मैंने प्रकृत पूछा. केन्द्र के गृह मंत्री से प्रश्न पृष्ठा, राज्य के गृह मंत्री से पूछा, विसी ने जवाब नहीं दिया. मैंने कहा कि घदासत में से जायो, क्या ग्राहोप हैं बतलाओं । जवाब में एक ही मिला कि किफेंस भाफ इंडिया एक्ट के भन्सार कोई भी बात द्याप से कहने की द्यायण्यरमा नही है इसलिए हम प्राप से नहीं कहते कि वयों गिरपसार किया गया है भीर यही बात भारतीर तक चली । बजह नहीं बताया गया । धगर यह कहना है कि डिफेंग प्राफ इंडिया एक्ट को लेकर धाप जन ग्रान्दोलन को खरम करने के लिए उस का इस्तेमाल करना फाहते हो नो मैं एक ही बात की याद दिलाना चाहता है। जब पीनी धाकमण हथा भीर भागे बढकर जब पाकिस्तानी भाकमण हमा तो मैं मजदूर भाग्दोलन मे काम करने वाला धादमी था। कहां कहां उस बक्त हड़तालें चल रही थी ? जिस दिन भाकमण हमा उस दिन हडतालों को रोकने का काम हम लोगों ने किया। विसी ढंग से सरकार को धड़चन में डालने का काम हम लोगों ते नहीं किया भीर जब हम लोगों की ब्रोर से, मजदूर बान्दोलनों की ब्रोर से, जन संगठनों की बोर से इस किस्म का सहयोग मिला तो भाष की भोर से उस का जवाद क्या भिलता है कि फिर उस के बाद भारत सुरक्षा कानन का इस्तेमाल हम ही लोगों पर होता है। 1962 में चीनी शाकमण के बक्त शब्सक महोदय, जब एक राष्ट्रीय सुरक्षा कोन के मिए पैसाइकट्टाकरने का बक्त भाषा तो हमारे जैसे लोगों को इस्तेमास किया । मजदूरों के बीच में कांग्रेसी मंत्री तो जा नहीं सकते है, हमें साथ ने कर गए। मगर जब वह काम बरम हो गया तो हम को उठा कर डी॰वाई॰:. भारः में बन्द कर दिया । (व्यवसात) 🔍 🚈

Statement on 7656 Emergency

तो जब इतने कायदे कानून झाप के हाय में हैं जब देश के बन्दर कोई भी सं हटकासीन परिस्थित हो. उन का मुकाबिला करने के निए प्राप के पास कई कायदे कानून हैं तो मेरा यह निवेदन है कि इस संकटकालीन परिस्थिति, को बापस लेने का काम सरकार की भीर से तत्काल होना चाहिए। एक बात और कह दं। प्राखिर को ग्रेव एमर्जेन्सी की भाप स्वीकार करते हैं। लेकिन क्या ग्रेव एनर्नेन्सी को मरकार मानतो है ? मरकार का जो बताब है उस बताब में ग्रेव एउजेंन्सी कहीं दिखाई देती है ? चन्द महीने पहले संगोका होटल के बारे में बहम चली। करोड़ों मखा व वं कर के रिवास्त्रिय टावर वहां बनाते हो बम्बई महर में लाखों हाया खर्च कर के · · · · · (च्य क्यान) . . . ए रः मिनट, ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं बत्म कर रहा है। बम्बई शहर में बाप चन कर देखिरे, लाखी रूपया खब कर रोज गादियां होती है। यहां दिल्लो में सभी धभी गायद दिनेम सिंह की नेटी की जादी हई, किन्ने लाख रुपये खर्च कर के हुई ? यह कोई संकटकालीन परिस्थिति है ? प्रगर मंक्टकालीन परिस्थित को मानते हो तो बैं में ही बर्लाब करो जिस से लोग इस को मानें। नोगों में यह भावना था जार्य थीर जनना में भी इस किस्म की बात निर्माण हो जाय कि जिस से उन को भी घनुभव हो जाय कि ऐसी कोई परिस्थिति है जिस का महाबिला करने के लिए भरकार को विशेष प्रधिकार की आवश्यकता है ।

Mr. Speaker: Shri A. K. Gopalan.

मी सर्व सि० संगत (बिलामपुर) : हम साप से प्रार्थना करना बाहते हैं कि हम लोगों को भी बहन दिया जाये।

Mr. Speaker: I do not mind calling all the Members if the hon. Minister is prepared to sit till 8 O' clock. I will call a dozen congressmen. I will finish this side and then this side. I am prepared. भी रनवीर सिंह (रो.तक): तील को इधर से हो गया। एक को इखर से भी मौका मिलना चाहिए।

सी मणिकाई के प्रदेन (दमोह) : सध्यक्ष महोदय, हम लोग पन्तः प्रदृद्ध बार खड़े होते हैं। हमें कर तक प्राप के मामन हास बोडना पड़ेगा ? ....

Mr. Speaker: Your name is not there.

भी सिननरियण (व ती): हमें भी मौका नितना चहिए।

भी भीचन है के पटेन: प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, भी रेनवे बजट पर इसी तरह बराबर खड़ा होता रहा भीर मुझे मीका पही भिनता था।

Mr. Speaker: Mr. Manibhai Patel is floating; he has gone there from . here thinking that he can make more trouble. Your name is not in the list; even if I were to call the Congressmen you will not get a chance. Neither Mr. Sheo Narain nor Mr. Manibhai Patel. After giving chance to the leaders of parties, I will give a chance to the Congress Members in the list. It all depends on the Minister. I am prepared to give a chance to all. I will put somebody in the Chair and you can continue till 8 or 8.30; I have no objection; I will call all names by the Congress Therefore, let us hear first at least the leaders of all the parties. Then, othe Members will get a chance. I have called Shri Gopalan.

### Shri Manibhai J. Patel rose-

Mr. Speaker: Mr. Manibhai Patel, I am warning you. The moment I sit down, you are getting up. I have told you that your name is not there. You are not going to be called. If you want to go, please go.

An hon, Member: How long is this debate to last?

Mr. Speaker: I do not know. Up to 8 O' clock if you want.

Shri A. K. Gepalan (Kasergod): Mr Speaker, Sir, some of the points which I wanted to refer in this connection have already been made by the previous speakers. I was one of the victims of this emergency, and I was also affected by the DIR, along with some of my friends here. The first instalment was over and the continuation of the emergency means the beginning of the next instalment. I know that when the emergency continues, we may again be the victims of these emergency powers. I do not want to point how these emergency powers have been misused and abused. Some instances have already been given here. I have also so many instances where the emergency powers, in many cases, had been misused.

It is not only in the case of love marriages, but on other occasions also, some interesting things take rlace. When the clash with Pakistan took place, in the jail in which I was kept, some Muslims came, and one Musliman ordinary cart-puller-was siso taken in because of some hatred between him and the police inspector. When that Muslim came to the jail, and when he was told that he was a Pakistani spy, he was asking everybody what this thing "Pakistan" was. He did not know whether it was some estable or anything like that. Therefore, such a man was also arrested as Pakistani spyl

Mr. Speaker: Can an intelligent spy behave like that?

Shri A. K. Gopalan: I have no experience of a spy and so I carinot say! I sho know how we had been treated inside the jails. On recesons of security of the country, our letters to the Speaker had not been forwarded to him. The papers given from here were not given to us and it was said that for the sales of security of the country, on grounds of national security, this cannot be done. A letter written to like N. C. Chatterjee, see far as a case was concerned, was

not delivered to him for two months. I had to go to the High Court, Mrunkulam, and it was the High Court which ordered that such things must not be done and they should be stopped.

I am pointing out these things to show that it is nothing but political vindictiveness. There is no question of national security or emergency or anything of that kind. Even under the DIR, one is prevented from acting in a manner which is prejudicial to the public safety. So, we would not be allowed to act inside the jail or outside in anyway against the public security or safety. Apart fom that, even if it is a case of just writing or sending a letter, or receiving a letter, if it is against the public security and national interests, then I say I have had enough of it. The Home Minister says that the emergency powers have not been misused in any case. I say it is only political prejudice and vindictiveness. Even now, when the emergency continues, I do not know when I will be again one of the victims of it; I am not afraid of it. (Interruption). I would like to make one request of the Home Minister. There is a judgment of the Supreme Court which is to the effect that when the emergency is lifted, all those who were detained can go to the court and then ask, if they prove that they had been arrested illegally, that compensation must be given. I understand that when the emergency is to be lifted, a modification is to be made so that those who had used the emergency in an illegal way may escape. I know there is no chance of the emergency being lifted now. Now the slogan is emergency for ever. But if ever it is lifted, will the Home Minister see that the Supreme Court judgment is honoured and no ber will be put upon it? If that is done, cortainly in many cases both the State Governments and the Central Goverminent will have to pay complicattion and then the country will know how people have been arrested without justification.

. .

7650-

18 hrs.

The statement of the Home Ministry continuing the emergency is death blow to the fundamental rights meranteed by the Constitution, Already it has become a grave of all the political aspirations of the people and democracy has become a farce. In spite of other powers like the Preventive Detention Act and in spite of having used the emergency powers for the last 5 years if the Government is not able to function, that Government forfeits the confidence of the people and instead of continuing the emergency, that Government has to be thrown out

The Supreme Court judgment clearly says what will happen if the emergency powers are used:

"The tendency to ignore the rule of law is contagious. If our Parliament which unwittingly made a void law not only allows it to remain on the statute-book but also permits it to be administered by the executive, the contagion may spread to the people and the habit of lawlessness like other habits dies hard."

The contagion has spread and if there are any internal disturbances, it is because of this attempt to continue the lawless law.

I know whenever the question of continuing the emergency comes, the Home Minister used to say, "we must consult the Chief Ministers". We used to say, "No; the Chief Ministers should not be consulted. It is the Parliament which should decide."

Shri T. B. Chavan: We accepted your view.

Shri A. B. Valpayee: Belatedly.

Shri A. K. Gepalan: But even then it was said that they must be consulted. I would like to know whether the Chief Ministers were consulted now and if so, how many agreed to it and how many did not, If you forcethe Chief Ministers to implement certain things which they do not want, I do not know what difficulties will come.

It is said that there is a grave situation in the country and so emergency should continue. On the other hand, [ understand that 6000 Emergency Commissioned Officers have been given notice and they have to goaway in September. If this is done. what happens to the morale of the army? The commissioned officers who were fighting and suffering in 1962 are given notice and they arenot promised that they will be absorbed in any other place. Now the Home Minister says, emergency must continue.

What I say is, really there is no emergency as far as the situation in the country is concerned, either externally or internally.

It is said that they want these powers to be used in some pockets, to be used here and there. As hasalready been explained, the concept of emergency is total and national, the concept of emergency is not that you can declare emergency here and not there. You cannot declare a state of emergency in Chandni Chowk and say that there is no emergency in the New Delhi area. How can there be emergency only in some pockets and not in the whole country? How care you say that there is emergency in the border areas only and not in the whole country? The state of emergency, if it is there, it is for the whole country. The people of the whole of India must know that emergency situations exist in the country. It must be felt by all the people of India and not only the people in some areas, some states or some parts of States. Therefore, to say that because the Opposition did not allow the Government to restrict the emergency to some areas they have now come forward with the proposal to continue the emergency is not correct. That [Shri A. K. Gopalan] means the purpose is not national emergency, the purpose is something else.

A point was made here about internal disturbances, Naxalbari, gheraos and all those things were mentioned. There had been emergency in country for the the last five years. The Preventive Detention Act was there, the DIR was there and all those powers were there with the Government. How is it, with all those powers these gheraos. Naxalbari trouble, the Naga problem the Mizo problem and other problems could not be solved. Not only these problems have not been solved. they are increasing. Sir. the question of Naxalbari is not a problem of internal disorder. It is a question of land. What are gheraos? Because the awards are not properly implemented, because the tribunals' decisions are not carried out, the workers and peasants are desperate. When there is food shortage in the country, you are able to get any amount of rice in the black-market. When people do not get their rations for two or three days they go and loot the shops and other places. Therefore, if you want to continue emergency for this internal disorder, then you will have to continue emergency for ever, because as long as you do not find out the real causes of these troubles and try to remedy them, as long as the forces that are creating unemployment, hoarding, discontentment among the workers etc., not checked, this sort of disorder will be there and you will have to continue the emergency for ever, Same is the case with regard to the question of China and Pakistan. China and Pakistan have been there all these five years. Unless we take some steps to solve the problem, we will have to continue the emergency till China and Pakistan do not exist. There is the question of Mizos. Today we read in the papers that the tribals of Tripura are also agitating. Their land has been taken away and that is why they are agitating. These questions will have to be settled, otherwise the trouble will continue and politico-socio-economic tension will be there. We must find out what is the cause of this politico-socio-economic tension and take necessary steps to remove it. If that is not done, if the border question is not settled in some way or the other, if the workers and peasants are not kept satisfied, you will have to continue this emergency for ever.

'I only want to make a request. Please lift the emergency. We have known it for the last five years. If at any time there is real danger, it can be revoked. Therefore, I request the Government to lift the emergency or they must get out. If there is an jota of shame, self-respect, prestige, love to the country, love to civil liberties and fundamental rights guaranteed by the Constitution, they must know that there is a limit up to which they can deprive the people of their rights. - If they want to deprive them of these rights for ever, the result will be that the Government will not be able to continue. As it has already been pointed out, using the Emergency powers and putting persons in will never help and that will not solve the problem.

Mr. Speaker: Shri Saleem.

There are another six names before me, Shri Randhir Singh, Shri Amar Singh Saigal, Shri Barua, Shri Frank Anthony, Shri Humayun Kabir and Shri Prakashvir Shastri. There are a number of other Members also who want to speak apart the list of names given to me. If you take two or three minutes each, I will be able to accommodate these six Members and others also. Shri Saleem.

Shri Shee Narayan; I want to move a closure motion. I have the right to move a closure motion.

Mr. Speaker: Before you move a closure motion, you must discuss it with your Chief Whip and others and find out if they are going to vote for you. Otherwise, what is the use of moving a motion like this?

**भी मृ० यू० समीत** (नलगोंडा) : जनाब स्पोकर साहब, एक कानन के तालिब-इल्म के लिये जिसने हमेशा शहरी चाजादियों के लिए और फंडामेंटल राइट्स की हिफाजत के लिए बकालत की ही, इमरजैसी के बर्खास्त किये जाने की तहरोक की मखालफत करना बड़ा परेकानकृत ममला है । लेकिन जितने स्वालात स्योबीशन के ग्रानरेबुल मेम्बर्स ने जाहिर किये हैं घगर उनकी तह में पहुंच कर गौर किया जाय तो यह मालम होगा कि किसी एक दकन ने भी यह बात इस ऐवान के सामने इस हाउस के सामने नहीं रक्खी है कि इमरजैंपी के दिक्लेयर किये जाने के जो ग्रसमान ये वह ग्रम नाकी नहीं रहे हैं। दस्तूर में इमरबैंसी के ऐलान किये जाने के जा प्राउण्डस बयान किये गये हैं वह प्राउण्डस भव बाकी नहीं है ऐसा किसी बानरेबुल मेम्बर ने इस हाउस के सामने बयान नहीं किया है।

मैं जनाब स्पीकर साहब प्रापकी तवज्जह प्राटिकल 352 के पहले पैरावाफ की तरफ़ मबजुल कराऊंगा जिसमें कि यह कहा गया है

"If the President is satisfied that a grave emergency exists whereby the security of India or of any part of the territory thereof is threatened, whether by war or external agression or internal disturbance, he may, by Proclamation, make a declaration to that effect."

वनाव स्थोकर साहब, जिन हालात के पेबीनजर 352 के प्रवत्यारात प्रेसीवेट साहब ने इस्तेमाल किये थे, प्राज उन हालात के बरकरार होने से किसी प्रयोजीयन के बानरेषुन वेम्बर ने इंकार नहीं किया है। युक्क में विस्तरवेंसेच बारी हैं। चीन धीर पाकिस्तान का खतरा बरकरार है और उसका अन्याज इस बात से होगा कि जब से यह बजट सेगन गुरू हुआ है अगर उन सवालात पर और उन सन्नीमेंटरी सवालात पर जो पाकिस्तान और जीन की तैयारी के मुताल्लिक बक्तन फवक्तन किये गये हैं, और किया जाये तो यह मालूम होगा कि मुखालिफ बेचों पर बैटने वाले अरकान के दिन इस खोफ और उर से भरे हुए है कि जीन और पाकिस्तान हिन्दुस्तान के खिलाफ कार-वाइयों में बराबर मसस्फ हैं और किसी दिन भी ऐसा वस्त दरपेग ए सकता है कि पाकिस्तान या जीन हिन्दुस्तान में ऐनी सुरत हाल दैश कर हैं कि हिन्दु-तान में ऐनी सुरत हाल देश कर हैं कि हिन्दु-तान में एनो सुरत हाल देश कर हैं कि हिन्दु-तान में एनो सुरत हाल देश कर हैं कि हिन्दु-तान में एनो सुरत हाल देश कर हैं कि हिन्दु-तान में स्वाप्त अर्थार आजादी खतरे में पड जाय ।

जनाब स्पीकर साहब, यह हालत ती बैरुनी हमलावरों की है। इस तरीके से मल्क के मुस्तलिफ हिस्सों में जिस तरीके से बद्धमनियां कैलाई जा रही है जिस तरीके से कानन को हाथ में लेकर शहरियों की जिन्दगी की, शहरियां की जायदाद की, पबलिक प्रापरटी को खतरे में डाला जा रहा है उस के वाकयात रोजबरोज धलकारों में बा रहे है। इसलिए यह नहीं कहा जा सकता कि 352 के जो प्रसवाब है वह बाकी नहीं रहे। सब एक सवाल यह पैदा होता है कि यह जो इमरजैंसी डिक्लेयर की गई है उसके मतास्त्रिक सिर्फ एक ऐतराज किया गया है जो तमाम अपोजीशन के मेम्बरान की तकरोरों में दर्ज है और वह यह है कि इस इमरजेसी के बरकरार रखने में कांग्रेस हक्मत की मीयत सही महीं है यानी कि इयरजैसी के बरकरार किये जाने की बुनियाद पर जो इंड्सलाफ़ किया जा रहा है उस का सबब यह है कि नीयत पर हमला किया जा रहा है ।

18.17 hrs.

[Mr. Deputy-Speaker in the Chair.] जनाव किप्टी स्पोकर साहब, मैं धापसे यह ग्रजं करूंगा कि घगर मुखासिक्क ग्ररकान

## [भी भू• पू• सलीम]

के यह बंदेशे सही होते. यह बीफ भीर बतरा की बाहिर किया गया है उन में कुछ जान होती तो बाज महासिक्ष बरकान जिस कदर ताबाद में देंडे हुए हैं वह इतनी तादाद में न हैंडे डोते । देश्तरोत बमाना, बेहतरीन बक्त इस इध्वत हो. इन बब्ध्यारात को इस्तेमाल करने का वह या जब कि मुल्क में है शेकेनी हो इशियाद पर जनरल एलैक्संस हो एवं थे। होई एक मिसाल ऐसी सामने नहीं बाई है कि जिसमें यह बयान किया गया हो कि जाम इन्त्रकाबात के मौके पर, माम बातरत प्रवेकतन के बीकी पर किया नियासी जनात के कारकन को या किसी सयासी जबात के उध्योदवार को जिसकी कि मुद्रायकत का कांग्रेय की खतरा हो, उसके जिशक इमरबेसी पावसे का इस्तेमाल किया गया हो । ऐसी कोई एक मिसाल भी पेश नहीं को गई है लिहा इ। इस दलील में कोई इञ्चल नहीं है कि काबेल इक्ष्मत इमरजैसी का उध्य को इसलिये बढाना चाहती है कि वह पोलिटिकल कृथ्वतीं के, पोलिटिकल पार्टियो के बिजाफ़ इसकी इस्तेमाल करना चाहती है। इतमें होई शक नहीं है कि जब से इमरजेसी इत बल्क में बाई है, जब से इस 4-5 वर्ष में 45त से ऐसे बाकयात हुए है कि इमरजेंसी का इस्तेमाल धगर न किया जाता ता बेहतर था और रेडी शिकायतें मा पाई है कि गलत तौर पर इमरबैसो का इस्तेमाल किया गया है और लोगों को यसत तौर पर जेल में आस दिया गया । इस का एंतराज हुक्मत ने की किया है बीर हहमत ने उस तलाका को मी कोशिश की है लेकिन इन मामुली बतरों का मोजदगी में बाब बोहदेवाराह क्य सरकार के त्रहिश्ये की बुनियाद वर या कनक सम्मेंट को उलती का बुनियाद पर क्या इतना वका कतरा मोल शिया जा मकता है जब कि जीन भीर पाकिस्ताना, भीको भीर नावाभी का बतरा हिन्द्रस्तान में बरकगर है भीर हिन्दुस्तान की हिन्दावत कररे ने पड़ी हुई है,

इनरकी वरष्यास्त कर है। बाब ? ..... Mr. Deputy-Speaker; The hon. Member may conclude now.

Shri M. Y. Saleem: 15 to 20 minutes were given to the other members. I should be given at least ten minutes. I have not spoken even for five minutes. I will take two or three minutes more.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: There is Emergency; he should finish it early.

भी मु० यू० सलीव : मैं जनाब डिप्टी स्योकर साहब से ग्रजं कर रहा वा कि जिस तरीके से इमरजेंसी के प्रक्तायारात की इस्ते-माल किया गया उस में बयान यह किया गया है कि गलतियां हुई हैं सेकिन वह गलतिया किसी सियासी मखासफत की बनियाद पर हुई हैं या किसी सियासी रकावत की बनियाद पर हुईं, ऐसी कोई मिसास एक बाध के बलावा पेश नहीं की जा सकती है। इत्तिफाक से मगर किसी एक शब्स के बिलाफ इस्तेमाल की गई हो जाहिए है कि वह किसी सियासी जमात का फर्द होगा। मेरे इल्म में ऐसे बाक्रयात है कि इस इमरजेंसी के तहत ऐसे लोगों को भी गिरफ्तार किया गया है जो वर्षों से कांग्रेस के एक्टिव मेम्बर वे लेकिन इमरजेंसी की नीवत पर उन की एक्टिविटीज के खिलाफ गुबहात पैदा हुए इसलिए उम को नजरबन्द कर दिया गया। यह कहना विस-कुल गलत है कि किसी एक जमाचत के विधाफ या किसी एक पोलिटिकल झार्गेनाइवेशन के बिलाफ इमरजेंसी का इस्तेमाल किया गया ŧ١

मैं एक माजिरी बात कह कर अपनी तकरीर को जाम करूमा और वह यह है कि माने में होम मिनिस्टर ने यहां इस ऐवान में यह ऐंनान किय था कि इमरजेंसी को जुनाई के महीने तक जास कर दिया जानेना और बहुत मण्डा होता सगर जुनाई के मुक्क होने से पहले इमरजेंसी जास होने का मुमान 7667

मर दिया जाता। लेकिन एक वर्त उस में यह रक्बी गई थी और उस बक्त किसी शक्त ने यह ऐतराच नहीं किया या कि इमर-जैंसी को उन इलाकों में बाक़ी रक्खा जायेगा जहां डिस्टरवेंसेच हो रहे हैं, जहां पर लाइफ भीर प्रापरटी पर हमला होने का बतरा है। वहां पर मस्क के अमनोधमान को तबाह होने का संदेशा पैदा हो गया है वहां पर इमरजेंसी को बाकी रक्खा जायेगा। इस भक्सद की हासिल करने के लिए प्राटिकिस 368 के पेशनजर तरमीम करने के लिए होम मिनिस्टर साहब ने इस हाउस में यह बयान. किया कि उन्होंने प्रयोगीशन के घरकान को बुलाकर उनसे इस मसले में इतिफाक बाहा। लेकिन उन्होंने इस तरमीय की लाने पर तथावन करने से, कोबापरेट करने से इन्कार कर दिया। इस के माने क्या हैं? इस के माने यह हए कि जिन मुकामात पर इमरजेंसी बरकरार रहने की जरूरत है उन पर इमरजेंसी की जरूरत को महसूस करने के बावजूद उन्होंने कांग्टीटवृशन में तरमीम करने से इन्कार किया ताकि इमजेंसी मजबरन ? बरकरार रक्खी जाये और उन की इस ऐवान में बहस मुबाहसा कर के हकुमत की बदनाम करने का मौका मिले ताकि हक्मत की तरफ से लोगों में बदयमानी पैदा हो। भयोजीनन के पास यह बहुत बड़ा हरवा है जो बह टेकरी बेंचेक के खिलाफ इस्तेमाल करना चाहता है भीर ऐसी सुरते हाल पैदा करना चाहता है कि मजबूरन हक्ष्मत को इस पर स्थल करना पडे द्वार उसको सवाम के सामने इस रूप में पेश करने का मौका उसे मिले कि हकमत उन को उन की पाजादी से महरूम करना चाहती है, उन के फंडामेंटल राइट्स से महस्य करना चाहती है।

काबिरी बात यह है कि घाटिकल 19 में जिन बीजों को बयान किया गया है उन में से सात या चाठ ऐसी हैं जिन के मातहत मिर्वेटिन क्रिटेंसन ऐक्ट और डी व बाई व्यार को बबैर शाफिन किये हुए किसी को गिरफ्तार किया जा संकता है, उस के राइट बाफ मबसेंट को रेस्टिक्ट किया जा स्वाता है। डी • बाई • बार॰ की तहत किसी शहस को जेल में रक्खा जा सकता है लेकिन भाटिकस 19 में कई फंडामेंटल राइटस हैं, जिन को कांस्टिटयक्रन ने इस मुल्क के जहरियों को दिया है और जिम का इस्तेमाल होने के बाद किसी इमर्जेन्सी की तहत कोई जरूरत पढ़े तो उस के लिये न डी ॰ इंडि॰ बार ॰ काम दे सकता है और न प्रिवेंटिय डिटेंग्स ऐक्ट बाम दे सब हा है। इस लिये इमर्जेंसी का बरकरार रहना एकरी है ताबिः इस मुल्क में जो समन व समान को बतरा पैदा हो गया है उस का मकाबला करने के लिये हुकुमत के हाथ मजबूत

Statement on Emergency

Shri Frank Anthony: My substitute niotion reads thus:

"This House, having considered the statement on the emergency made by the Minister of Home Affairs on the 22nd June, 1967. is of the opinion that immediate steps be taken for the deletion or appropriate amendment of article 358 of the Constitution so that there is not, as at present, the blanket suspension throughout the country of the fundamental rights under article 19 of the Constitution.

I am hoping that the hon. Home Minister will accept this substitute motion of mine. When he met some of us, I expressed the feeling that not a negligible number of Members from the Opposition would be prepared to accept the application of the emergency to certain selected sensitive areas on one condition namely that he would either delete article 358 or bring in a suitable amendment.

Shri Y. B. Chavan: The hon. Member may speak for himself, and not for all

Shri Frank Anthony: Even the Jan Sangh supported me. Let me explain the implications.

It is generally accepted jurists that an emergency should never continue for more than six months when a country is not actually at war. That is generally accepted by jurists, so far as the application of the emergency powers in any democracy is concerned. And I say this with great respect because I had something to do with the framing of the Constitution, that it was obviously also the intention of the framers of the Constitution. We were framing it in the context of procedures and practices in democratic countries. It was obviously their intention that an emergency involving the ouster of the jurisdiction of the courts, an emergency involving blanket suspension of fundamental rights, an emergency involving the conferring of absolute and extraordinary powers, should never be indefinitely continued when a country is not at war. That was clear the intention of the framers of the Constitution.

There is one matter that Government and the Home Minister have ignored. It is a crucial issue. I am glad that they want to make the emergency applicable only to certain selected sensitive areas.

### An hon. Member: That is it.

Shri Frank Anthony: That is it, but it is a complete non sequitur. Unless you delete article 358 or appropriately amend it, what would it mean? Assuming that we accept this, in face of article 358, what follows? Under article 12 of the Constitution, there is the definition of 'State', and it includes even a municipality. Let us assume-1 shall show later on that you can do it without any amendment-that we accepted the application of the emergency only to border areas. With 358 there, what would it mean? You would apply it presumably to the Mizos; you would apply it presumably to Nagaland; you would apply it presumably to Kashmir. But in the face of art, \$68, a municipality in Bangalore, at the instance perhaps of, say, Shri Poonacha, can take away the trade or occupation of any little man, which has no relevance at all to security or any other consideration implicit in the proclamation of an emergency.

Shri Y. B. Chavan: He is working his thesis on wrong premises. idea of the amendment in the Bill that was circulated was that there would also be a suitable provision for the amendment of art. 358 which also explained that in that case the powers under 358 would be exercised only to the extent which could be connected demonstrably with the emergency position in those sensitive areas. He is trying to build his whole case on a basis which is completely mislead-

Shri Frank Anthony: All right. am glad the Home Minister has said that because we had nothing positive before us.

I am accepting that your amendment would have involved a suitable ansendment of 358. Art. 358 in its present form means this-let me state its application, 358 in its present form means: you declare a proclamation; even if you apply it to only certain . selected areas, it means that a municipality in a far-away place can do what I had alluded to because it falls within the purview of the definition of 'State' in art. 12 and it can, therefore, take away any person's trade or occupation which has no relationship whatsoever to the security or emergency position contemplated in the proclamation.

Let me give you an example. I had argued the two cow-slaughter cases in the Supreme Court. I had conceded the cow. The other day the butchers of Madhya Pradesh came to me and said that by an executive flat, Government of Madhya Pradesh had put a blanket ban on their profession. They asked; What are we going to 671

of Is our profession connected rith the security of the State? ; sid: 'Go and ask the Government secause under art. \$58, you can by an pee dirit of the executive, take away he profession of butchers, teachers, loctors or any body else, and say 'You hall do nothing'. I cannot go to a ourt and invoke art. 19 because there s a blanket suspension of art. 19. That s the iniquity of it.

The Home Minister said he repared to modify or amend art. 358? Thy don't you do it even now?

#### An Hon. Member: How?

Shri Frank Anthony: Because I say rt. 358 is otiose—I am using a legal expression. It is unnecessary. You an act under 352 read with 359. You ave already got a proclamation of mergency declared. All right. Let he proclamation go to this extent that t applies to the whole of India. Then 'ou invoke art. 359. The President omes in. The President say, 'under irt. 359, I suspend fundamental rights n respect of certain areas'.

You have got 352. You have got the proclamation. Do not withdraw it. fou have got 359 under which the resident says 'I am suspending funlamental rights only with regard to he border areas. You have everyhing in the Constitution today. You lo not have to do anything else. You uspend the fundamental rights only ris-a-vis the sensitive areas

Then you do not have this situation which I referred to. Only the other lay I had argued and got out one Banta Singh on the ground that the ction against him under the D.I.R. was nala fide. I had to go the circuitous way because of the D.I.R. When you suspend fundamental rights only under art. 359, selectively. I can imnediately invoke art. 19 so that Banta Singh or somebody else placed in o imilar situation can get relief, as the letention would bee struck down. You nave the power to do it and apply tha proclamation selectively. I would ask the Home Minister to do it. Look at art. 352 read with 359. You already have that power.

Emergency

What I say is this: You have your proplamation of emergency. You have its application to certain selected areas. Now under art. 359 suspend fundamental rights, if like with regard specifically to those particular selected areas. And you achieve what you say you want to achieve. What outrages my conscience as a lawyer is this indefinite continuance of the emergency, this perpetuation. Three evils are preceipitated. The first is you inure the executive to the exercise of extraordinary powers. I know several people Delhi itself have been released, they had been wrongly mala fide arrested, I got them released, but with great difficulty, because the D.I.R. is open to so much abuse. There is this formation of the habit of the executive in not only exercising extraordinary powers, but in abusing those extraordinary powers. What is worse than that, several members on this have made that point, is that erase any sense of emergency from the minds of the people. After all, this is a vast country, it is not s country, it is a sub-continent, you are bound to have perenially some kind of trouble somewhere, but if you keep crying unecessarily wolf the whole time, then when you have the wolf really at your throat, the people will not respond, and they will have become cynical.

Worst of all, I say to the Prime Minister: what kind of image are we projecting, by virtually perpetuating this emergency what kind of image are we projecting internationally? My friend is right, I am a member of this International Commission of Jurists. You are projecting the image internationally of a country that is anstable, of a country that is in a state of siege, of a country that is bedevilled by a permanent emergency. That is the image you are projecting. And therefore I say to the Home iShri Frank Anthonyl

Minister: think of this. You say you want to apply it selectively, you can still under the provisions of the Constitution.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Shri Kabir. Just ten minutes.

Shri Humayun Kabir: I will not take more than that, and I will try only to place my points before the Home Minister and the House.

I think first we have to recognise that neither the Home Minister nor the Government have made a case for the continuance of the emergency. My hon, friend Mr. Yusuf Saleem said that the opposition have made no case. It is for the Government to make a case for continuing something which is abnormal.

I think there is positive and negative evidence before the country and the House that the emergency at present is not needed. The negative evidence is that at the time of the Kashmir events in 1953 when Sheikh Abdulla was arrested and for a long time after, there was trouble there. During the whole of that period no emergency was declared and the Government was in a position to deal with the matter under the normal laws of the land.

Similarly, the Naga affair continued from 1954, and the emergency was declared only in October, 1962, 8 years thereafter. The Government was able to function without resorting to emergency.

There is thus negative proof that the Government could function and the security of the land could be maintained without resort to an extraordinary law, I will not say extraordinary but a lawless law.

Similarly there is positive evidence that the emergency has not helped the Government in any way. It has not enabled Government to prevent trouble among Misos. Many hon.

Members including Mr. Hem Berua have pointed out there is positive evidence that in August 1965 there was large scale infiltration into Kashmir. which later on led to the conflict with Pakistan. During that period the emergency was in force, all the special laws were there, but the Government was powerless to prevent infiltrators from coming to Kashmir. It has been estimated that the infiltrators who had come almost to Srinagar numbered several thousands. The figure is sometimes put even at 20,000, in any case it was several thousands.

Positively and negatively therefore there is evidence to show that the emergency has not in any way helped the Government.

Secondly, as Mr. Frank Anthony pointed out, prolonged emergency is bound to lead to abuse. I have no time, otherwise I could give a number of instances where innocent people were arrested and were later on I will give only one released. example. A person who later became the Congress candidate for elections in the last election of 1967 from the District of Murshidabad had been kept in jail under the emergency for a long time. Either his arrest was right or wrong. If it was right, why was he given the Congress ticket for contesting the election to the Assembly? If it was wrong, and most probably it was wrong, it was an unjust act, probably an act of vindictiveness or even personal vendetta; in either case it was a clear abuse of the emergency.

There are so many judgments, not merely one of the Supreme Court and the different High Courts condemning again and again the use of these emergency powers. They have actually eroded the sense of civil liberties in this country. Once the executive gets these absolute powers, they can never do without them. Even today the Government has sufficient powers in the Preventive Detention Act, in the Public Security Act, and in the

7676

Naga area and in a major part of Assam the Armad Forces Special Powers Act. They have all the powers they need and therefore the continuation of the emergency is completely without justification.

If you read articles 352 and 359(2) together, in a sense even today the Government can declare an emergency in certain parts of the country, and not the entire country. Article 352 reads: "whereby the security of India or any part of the territory .. " It is explicitly mentioned there, 359(2) explicitly mentions that the fundamental Rights can be suspended only in certain parts. The constitution makers had foreseen this eventuality. At the same time they made a very clear distinction between two different kinds of situations; one is where there is emergency and the other is where there is a breakdown of law and order in a particular area. When there is breakdown of law and order in a particular area, the President's rule can be imposed. By making a sharp distinction between the two, the constitution-makers wanted to make it clear to the people of India and the world outside that the emergency powers would be used only in extraordinary circumstances. You cannot have extraordinary circumstances for five years. Strichline is a very good tonic but if you give it all the time to a patient, the patient very soon dies. That is what the Government is doing by continuing emergency indefinitely and without justification. It is actually killing the sense of civil liberty in this country and undermining the very basis of democracy.

In a recent statement, reference was made to China and Pakistan. One hon. Member has already mentioned that these are long-term problems. As the late Prime Minister Pandit Nehru once said, we shall have to live with China for a thousand years. Are we going to have emergency for a thousand years? Pakistan also is therefor the last twenty years and we have southwally problems with Pakistan.

These arguments, e.g., the use of China or Pakistan should be discounted in normal circumstances. If this kind of lawless legislation continues in normal circumstances, it would be as Mr. Anthony said, like crying wolf all the time and thus dulling the sense of emergency in this country.

The Government have the necessary powers under the Security Act, the Preventive Detention Act and the Armed Forces Special Powers Act. Also under the Constitution, they can enforce certain restrictions in certain parts. Positive and negative evidence has during the last fourteen years proved that these powers are not necessary to deal with these situations. On the other hand, even when the emergency is there, Government cannot adequately deal with the situation. I have heard the other day the Home Minister using the words 'real emergency', what is the difference between real and unreal emergency? Have we had unreal emergency all these years? Perhaps real emergency was for 3 or 6 months or at the most a year; immediately after the Chinese attack, maybe there was real emergency for a shortwhile during the Indo-Pakistan conflict. For the rest of the period, it was unreal emergency and perhaps the hon. Home Minister wanted to admit it in this indirect way. When a real emergency dawns upon this country, we may be taken by surprise, for though the prolonged emergency we are creating a situation where the use of extra-ordinary powers will be completely useless.

The Government have, therefore, made out no case. On the other hand, they have made a commitment to this House on 18th March to revoke the emergency. It is no use blaming the Opposition. One hon friend used a very ingenious argument. It is this: Because we have not agreed to certain amendments of the Constitution, we are forcing the Government to continue the emergency. This is a very strange kind of logic indeed. We do not recognise the necessity of

### [Shri Humayun Kabir]

7677

the emergency today. If hon friends Opposite will put their hands on their hearts and ask their conscience, I think a majority of them will admit that there is no emergency in the country today; and there is no need for these emergency powers and these should be withdrawn as early as possible.

भी प्रकामधीर मास्त्री (हापुड) : त्रवाध्यक्ष महोदय, इस में कोई सन्देह नहीं कि जब सीमावर्ती क्षेत्रों में संकटकालीन स्विति को समाप्त करने की बात कही जाती है. तो एक बार मन वहां की स्थिति को देख कर हिलता है। मीमावर्ती क्षेत्रों में जो द्मराष्ट्रीय तस्व पनप गये हैं भीर समय समय पर जो भारतीय स्वाधिमान के लिये भीर देश के इतिहास के लिये एक चुनौती बन कर मामने बाते रहे हैं, उस से यह लगता है कि हमारे देशवासियों की भीर प्रत्येक दल की बढ़ी गम्भीरता से उन सारी समस्यामी पर विचार करना चाहिये। मणी कछ दिन पहले की बात है, मोबो पहाड़ियों में जिस प्रकार की राष्ट्रीय घटनायें घटी, नागलैण्ड में नागा विद्रोहियों की जिस प्रकार मशस्त्र ट्रेनिंग दी गई और उस के बाद जिस प्रकार की स्विति नागालैण्ड में घटी, वे सारी समस्यायें सभी हमारे मामने हैं। काश्मीर में साज ह्री प्रातः काल समाचार पर्वो में वह समाचार प्रकाशित हमा कि दम हजार वे व्यक्ति जो पाकिस्तान संबर्ष के ममय पाकिस्तान असे गये थे, फिर किस प्रकार उन को धक्का देकर जम्मू काश्मीर की सीमा में उकेला जारहा हैं। वहां उन के माने के बाद, जो पाकिस्तान से देनिंग ले कर था रहे हैं. जन्म काश्यीर की स्थिति क्या बनेगी-एक समस्या है जो देश की रक्षा करने वालों के मामने है। इसी प्रकार की न्यिति राजस्थान की सोमा पर बनी हुई है नक्क नवाड़ी वनैरह में जो कुछ हो रहा है उस सब को देखते हए देश के प्रत्येश व्यक्ति के जन में चिन्छा

बनी हुई है। ऐसी स्थिति में तीमावर्ती क्षेत्रों में संकटकालीन स्थिति को समाप्त कर विधा जाय भीर इस प्रकार के सिंद्यकार सपने हार्थों से बापस कर दिये जार्थे, इस बात को कहते हुए मन कांपता जरूर है, लेकिन नीति में एक सिद्धान्त लिखा हुधा है—

यद्यपि शुद्धं लोकविरुद्धं नाचरणीयं नाचरणीयम् ।

बहुत सी बातें, इस में कोई सन्देह नहीं सस्य होती हैं, लेकिन मगर जनता की भावना उस के विपरीत हो, तो राजा का कर्तव्य यह हो जाता है कि एक बार उसमें हाथ रोक कर काम करे।

1 जुलाई को संकटकालीन स्थिति की बापसी का प्रकन उपस्थित है। सभी तो संसक्ष का प्रधिवेशन ।। प्रगस्त तक है, ऐसी कोई स्थिति घाती है सो संसद विधमान है, इस में कोई सन्देह नहीं कि संसद के जितने भी राष्ट-वादी सदस्य हैं, वे इस विषय में सरकार के साथ रहेंगे। वह घटना चाहे विदेशी प्राक्रमण की स्थिति में हो या देशी विगाड़ में। जहां यह सारा देण घव तक पहली दो परीक्षाओं में सफल हो चुका है, अपनी एकता का परिचय दे चुका है, न केवल विदेशी शतुक्षों का मुकाबला करने के लिये, बल्कि घर में बैठे हुए शक्यों का मुकाबला करने के लिये भी सारा देश एक हो कर खड़ा हो गया। ऐसी स्थिति यदि कल को फिर बावी है तो यह सदन धपनी सरकार को इस प्रकार का ग्रक्षिकार देने में किसी प्रकार की हिमकिचाहट नहीं करेगा। इससिये सरकार को जनता की भावना का घाटर करते हुए, जनतन्त्रीय परम्परा का पालन करते हुए इस संकटकालीन स्थिति को फिलहास वापस ने नेना चाहिये।

दूसरी तब से बड़ी ड़ियति यह है कि सबी कुछ दिन के बाद इस संसद में एक विजेवक अनसाकृत एस्टीविटीय के संबंध में बाने संबंध

Statement on 7680
Emergency

है। मैंने उस विधेयक को जहां तक ज्यान से देखा है, उस में सरकार को इस प्रकार के अधिकार प्राप्त हो जाते हैं कि संकटकालीन स्थिति को अगर सरकार वापस ने सेगी तो भी इस प्रकार के अधिकार सरकार के हान में रहेंगे कि इस प्रकार के तत्वों के साथ आसानी से भूगता जा सके।

इसियं मेरा यह निश्चित मत है कि जब सारे विरोबी दनों की एक सम्मति से यह राथ है और सरकार यह देख भी चुकी है कि पिछले बाई वर्षों में कुछ स्थानों को छोड़ कर इस संस्टकालीन स्थिति के विशेष प्रयोग की स्थिति नहीं झाई, तो सरकार झाज इसे झननो प्रतिष्ठा का प्रश्न न बनाये, बहिक इस को बापस ने कर देश के सामने एक जनतन्त्रीय परम्परा का भ्रादर्श उपस्थित सरें।

The Minister of Commerce Dinesh Singh): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, during my absence, a while ago, I believe the hon. Member Mr Fernandes had made a charge that during the marriage of my daughier I spent two or three lakhs of rupes or something to that effect. Normally, I would not have taken the time of this House in giving any reply, because my friends had registered our protest, and it was abvious that it was a patent bood. But, nevertheless, I would like to say that if the hon. Member who made this charge did not havclues with him now, he would search his heart and then tender a public apology to me.

वी वार्व करनेन्डीब: उपाध्यक महोनय, मैंने ऐसा नहीं कहा था कि श्री दिनेश सिंह ने दो-सीन साब क्या वर्ष किया है, मैंने इतना नहां था

वपाञ्चल महोदय: नावों स्पेया वर्ष हुवा है, ऐसा कहा था। 665 (Ai) LSD—10. The Minister of State in the Minitry of Education (Shri Bhagwat Jha Azzd): Either he should prove it on he should apologise.

भी शक्षिभूषय बाजपेवी (खारगोन) : उपाड्यक्ष महोदय, भापने इस पर क्या निर्णय दिया, इन की अठ बोलने की हमेशा की भादत है, भापने इस पर क्या निर्णय दिया, कृपय। बताइये ?

भी रचनीर सिंह: माफी मांगे नहीं ते एक्शन लिया जाय।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker. After this explanation, as you cannot substantiate what you said, in fairness you should withdraw your statement.

भी जानं करनेन्डीच : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं ऐसा कहूंगा कि मादी में लाखों रुपया कर्ष हुग्रा । दिनेश सिंह ने नहीं खर्च किया ।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: You made mention about his daughter's marriage. That is not fair. In fairness, you should withdraw it.

श्री जार्च करनेन्द्रीकः उपाध्यक महोदय, जावी में लाखों रुपया खर्च हुमा—मैं इस में फर्क करना थाहता हूं। मैं इस मामले में ऐसा फर्क करना थाहता हूं कि किसी व्यक्ति ने खर्च किया वा किसी जादी में खर्च हुधा—यह कहने में फर्क है। मैंने कहा है कि जावी में खर्च हुमा है. (व्यवचान). इस में बापस करने की क्या वात है।

की विनेश्व सिंह: उपाम्पत महोदय, भव उन्होंने साफ कर दिया है, जाहिर है उस में कोई सच्चाई नहीं है। मैं भापकी इजाजत जाहता हूं कि भव इस मामले को छोड़ दिया जाय।

Shri Y. B. Chavan: Sir, for nearly 3 hours, we have had a very interesting debate on the statement I have made about the continuance of the emergency. The whole story starts

(Shri Y. B. Chavan)

with a statement I made on 18th March, 1967. In order to remind the House what exact'y was the commitment made at that time, I would like to read a portion of it:

"The Proclamation of Emergency which was made in the wake of the Chinese aggression has been reviewed. In actual practice, the Government has already restricted the exercise of emergency powers to certain areas only.

Now comes 'he most operative

"It is their intention to seek constitutional authority to terminate with effect from 1st July, 1967 the state of emergency in all parts of the country except where abnormal conditions still persist."

Some hon, members have opposition that aspect and some members have been divided on The scheme of emergency now corporated in the Constitution is based on articles 352, 353, 358 and 359 of the Constitution. The concept of emergency is total and national in its scope, as Mr. Gopalan said. That is the present constitutional position. There is no doubt about that. But in the course of the last few years a criticism was made-it was voiced today by Dange also-is it necessary that for the sake of 5 million people, 500 million people should lose their fundamental rights? So, another idea was propagated in this country and in this House whether we cannot have some sort of partial emergency in parts of the country if the emergency exists in those parts. My statement of 18th March was based on the possibility of the House accepting this position, whether we CET this have a partial emergency in country when emergency exists - to certain parts of the country. When I went and approached the leaders of the Opposition I approached them

with this proposition that if they agreed instead of having total emergency—if there is total emergency there should be to:al emergencythere should be a provision in the Constitution that if the emergency exists only in parts of the country there should be also partial emergency and therefore we can amend the Constitution so as to vary proclamation of emergency which is in existence now so that emergency can be applied only to certain parts of the country. This is the basic thing. On 18th March I did not say revoke that we would completely the proclamation of emergency. I said that our intention was to se constitutional authority to apply the emergency to only certain parts the country. Today the emergency is indivisible, total and national. Even this is a fact. It is not a question of merely sentiments. Certainly I endorse all their sentiments as far as fundamental rights are concerned, but I cannot share their conclusions

I do not agree with their analysis of the present conditions in parts of the country. What is assessment of the conditions that exist in those par's of the country? That is, really speaking, the basic point in this whole controversy. The tutional aspects can be considered later. I will consider the suggestions given by my hon, friend, Frank Anthony, a little later. But the whole thine now depends what is our assessment of the conditions that exist in certain parts of the coun'ry, especially in the eastern and western regions.

A charge was made, based on their own political theory, that this emergency is being continued only to continue the war we are waging with the tribals in the east. It was said, we are doing it because we want to have the emergency powers to deal with the internal conditions, because we want to intensify the police State that exists. This was the theory that

Shri Dange put forward. I must say this is a completely wrong theory. The fac's are that there is trouble in Nagaland, the Mizo Hills, in Kashmir (Interruption). But we are not seeking continuation of this emergency to deal with the internal problems. The character of the problem changes when external powers take interest. take a hand in these affairs and undertake operations of subversion with the help of these elements. Then the entire picture changes, the entire context changes. When in the Mizo Hills, in Nagaland, in NEFA, in parts of Assam and other parts of the country including Jammu and Kashmir, Pakistan and China, individually jointly, take interest in subversive activities, a different picture emerges. I am told, before we started discussing this subject, the House was considering the Demands for Grants relating to the Ministry of Defence. At that time hon. Members have put up another theory, there is danger to the security of the country and all that. The collusion of Pakistan and China is something we cannot afford to ignore. That is a reality. When that collusion is making itself operative very effectively in these parts of the country-because in the last few months, whether they brought two rifles or fifteen people went over there, the point is that the Nagae and Chinese have established contact....

Shri Hem Barua: They did it in 1963.

Shri Y. R. Chavan: This is now evidence that we are getting, that the Naga hostiles crossed the northern Burmese territory and went into Chinese territory and established their contact there. Then there is the question of Chinese taking interest training Miso rebels in Pakistan. Then there is the linking of Mizos ....

An Hon. Member: You can never prevent anybody from coming or going...

Shr! Y. B. Chavan: That is a different thing altogether. You can huri tons of abuses and we will take them. That is a separate matter. You can certainly criticise the Government for that. I am not arguing that point.

My major point today is that these new factors rake the pirture Nagaland, Mizo land, Jammu and Kashmir, completely a different picture. It is not a question of merely internal trouble. We are, certainly. capable enough to face the internal trouble, not only in this part of the area but in the rest of the parts "e formtry. That is why I have given an assurance that Emergency powers will not be used in the rest of the country except in the border areas. That assurance stands, I know somebody will ask: How are you going to stand by those assurances? I cannot amend the Defence of India Act. But, certainly, the Defence of India rules can be amended.

Shri Frank Anthony: Fundamental rights will be suspended.

Shri Y. B. Chavan: I am coming to that. I cannot help it. I wanted to come to you for amendment of the Constitution for that purpose and I was not given that authority. What can I do? The constitutional scheme of Emergency today, as it stands today, is that once you proclaim Emergency, the suspension of article 19 is total. I hope the constitutional expert, Mr. Anthony, agrees on that ..

Shri Frank Anthony: That is very clear.

Shri Y. B. Chavan: What is possible under article 350 is certainly a matter of consideration. I am prepared to consider it. Whether it can be made applicable to certain parts of the country or not is possible under article 359 and not under article 19.

Shri Frank Anthony: As long as article 358 is there, you cannot do %. Shri Y. B. Chavan: I know that. That is the difficulty. That is why I say, I am prepared to have it considered again, in consultation with the constitutional experts, whether I can do anything by issuing a Presidential Order under article 359. I am prepared to consider that

Our intention is not to encroach upon 'be fundamental rights of the people. I certainly endorse every word said about the sanctity of the fundamental rights. But, at the same time, we cannot be blind to certain dangers to the national security which exist. That is a reality. What is the answer for that?

Shri S. A. Dange: I ask you: Is Emergency an answer to that problem?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: Emergency in the sense, that, if these things really become difficult, you must have powers to deal with them. I can assure the hon. Members that it is not a very pleasant job for me to defend this. I can tell you, on my behalf and on behalf of every Member on this side of the House, we do not consider it a pleasant duty to defend any restriction on the fundamental rights of the But if it is in the national Deople. interest to do so, as our duty, we have to do it. I am doing it only as my duty. There is no other way of doing

The hon, Member, Mr. Dange, said that we are trying to carry on merely a war with tribals. That is not so. As I have said on many occasions on the floor of the House, we treat this question basically as a political question. We have to deal with these people there. But if an element of the people there, a section of the people there, want to rise in armed revolt, what is the answer for that? The answer, certainly to that extent will be armed answer, military answer, but, basically, it is a political question. Now, when the foreign powers want to put a hand into it and treat it as some sort of a people's liberation movement-it is how Chinese broadcasts are referring to that—it takes quite a different political aspect. I would like to know what Mr. Dange or Mr. Gopalan thinks about this aspect of it. They have not said anything about it.

Shri S. A. Dange: Liberate the people.

Shri Y. B. Chavan: This is how it comes off.

Shri S. A. Dange: That means, you remove Emergency.

Sar; Y. B. Chavan: They take peoples fighting for the cause of their sovereignty, for the separation from the Indian Constitution, as a liberation of the people.

An hon, Member: Not that,

Shri Y. B. Chavan: If that is not so 1 am glad....

Shri S. A. Dange: To begin with the Naga problem was not like that You know it.

Shri Y. B. Chavan: In the case of Nagaland, your solution was to give them an autonomous State.

Shri S. A. Dange: Their first demand was Statehood. You denied i and, therefore, this problem worsened.

Shri Y. B. Chavan: The Nagaland State is granted; that very much exists. But even then the problem is not solved. You are forgetting one thing You may criticise for that delay but now the fact stands that the Nagaland State very much exists. Even then the problem is not solved. (Interruption). When points are made, the get somewhat agitated and start interrupting.

Steri S. A. Danger I wanted startilcation.

7688

19 hrs.

Shri Y. B. Chavan: I have given him the clarification. answer. That is the answer. That is being done and if still the problem not solved, it is not because of people of Nagaland but it is because somebody is trying to instigate them. It is the third hand that makes whole thing very sinister and it is this sinister part of it that we have to fight and that is exactly why want the emergency power. This is my case. I have repeated the same thing. There is nothing much to say My basic case is based on the political aspect. But at the same time we do not say that we want this power indefiniely. As I have said, we ourselves very conscious of this problem-the entire Government. The Prime Minister has been very conscious of this position for the last year and a half and whenever occasions came for discussing this matter, she been very much sensitive about the question of Emergency. But when it is a question of academic like or dislike and a real danger to the national security, you have to take hard decistons, dutiful decisions and this is one of those decisions. I hope the House will accept it.

Shri Thirumala Rao (Kakinada): May I make a request....

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: No questions please. He has a right to reply. He may finish in three or four mirutes.

Shri Hem Barua: The hon. Home Minister has advanced certain arguments in favour of perpetuating the Emergency in this country but he has not dealt with certain vital points. The vital points, I would say, relate to the suspension of fundamental rights guaranteed to us under the Constitution.

Now the existence of China and Pakistan is a historical fact and Pakistan and China might be inimical to us for years together. Since the existence of Pakistan and China is a historical fact and since they are going to be intransigent for a longer period, does he propose to continue the Emer-

gency till such time when they become friendly to us once again, which is not possible?

Shri Y. B. Chavan: I have already said that our idea is not to continue it indefinitely. We are going to review the whole position sometime in November or December.

Shri Thirumala Rao: I request you to give me just one minute. The responsible leaders of the opposition have levelled a charge against the Government that the Emergency is being continued by the Congress Party to perpetuate itself in power. The Congress Party depends on its democratic strength. I hope the Home Minister will reply to this argument of the opposition.

Shri Y. B. Chavan: I have already answered.

Shri Hem Barua: The suspension of the fundamental rights because of the imposition of the continuation Emergency is a very disgusting matter. It is said that there is posture of hostility, poised by China against I agree in that but at the same time I say that this Emergency is not the answer to the posture of hostility posed by China. I have been saying times without number that we must build up our economic and military strength to face the challenge that is poised against us by China, as also by Pakistan. In this connection, I would say another thing. Let not this Government depend on the so-called umbrella to be assured either by the United States or the USSR. This, say, because of our experience in the recent West Asian conflict. What happened in that conflict? President Nasser depended too much on the help of Soviet Russia, but that help ultimately did not come; even China assured him that China would be ready to help the U.A.R. provided Soviet Russia does not help her. But during the cenflict, what happened. China tried to encourage President Nasser Mao's thoughts. For all ailments, China has only one mantram, namely Mao's thoughts. President Nasser might or might not defend his socialism with

[Shri Hem Barua]

Mao's thoughts, but for us to defend our frontiers with Kosygin's thoughts or Johnson's thoughts would be difficult.

Whatever that might be, I again renew my appeal to the hon. Home Minister to see that this emergency which is a blot and which is a disgrace on the nation and a disgrace on the part of the Government, and at the same time also pulls down our international image, is withdrawn; and if he withdraws the emergency, I may tell him that he will have the blessings jof the whole nation with him for further progress towards greater heights.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: There are several substitute motions. We have admitted these motions under rule 342. So, I shall now put all the substitute motions together to vote. The main motion has already been debated upon and is not to be put to vote.

भी जबु सिनये : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, उस में दो किस्म के हैं। मेरा जो चीथा मोतन है उसको सत्तम से रखा जाय।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: 1 shall first put substitue motion No. 2 by Shri Frank Anthony to vote.

The substitute motion No. 2 was put and negatived.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I shall now put substitute motion No. 4 by Shri Madhu Limeye to the vote of the House.

Shri Madhu Limaye: Please read it.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is:

That for the original motion, the following be substituted, namely:—

"This House, having considered the statement on emergency made by the Minister of Home Affairs on the 22nd June, 1967, recommends to the Government of India:

that, since the proclamation of a state of emergency is justified only when there is a clear and present threat of external aggression or internal disturbance, gravely jeopardising the nation's security; and

further, since the normal laws of the land clothe the Government with enough powers to enable it to deal with any situation that might arise, the state of emergency be terminated forthwith." (4)

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: 1 shall now put substitute motion No. 6 by Shri Surendranath Dwivedy to vote.

The substitute motion No. 5 was put and negatived.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I shall now put Shri Humayun Kabir's substitute motion, namely substitute motion No. 6 to vote,

The substitute motion No. 6 was put and negatived.

19:08 hrs.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Eleven of the Clock on Tuesday June 27 1967/Asadha 6, 1889 (Saka).