LOK SABHA DEBATES

(Second Session)



(Vol. IV contains Nos. 11-20)

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

Price: Rs. 1.00

CONTENTS

No. 20.-Monday, June 19, 1967/Jyaistha 29, 1889 (Saku)

								COLUMNS
Obituary Reference								5777
Re. Statement about China								5777-79
Oral Answers to Questions-								
*Starred Questions Nos. 571		73 and	575					5779-5818
Short Notice Question No. 14	\$			•	*			5818-29
Re. Opportunities to ask Supple	eme	ntaries	•	1.0			100	5829-30
Written Answers to Questions-								
Starred Questions Nos. 574, 5	76,	578 to	600					5830-48
Unstarred Questions Nos. 286	53 to	2915	and 2	917 t	0 2950			5849-5911
Message from Rajya Sabha	*							5912
Companies Tribunal (Abolition) Bi	11—						
As passed by Rajya Sabha			143	·				5912
Panel of Chairmen .				0.0				5912
Committee on Government Ass	urai	nces—						
First Report							94	5912—13
Statement under Direction 115	(1)	*		60.00				5914—16
Situation in Naxalbari	70		70					5914—16
Shri H.N. Mukerjee	÷	2	20	334		2		5914
Shri Y.B. Chavan .				3*		•		5914-15
Bills Introduced:								5916-17
(i) Andhra Pradesh and Mys								5916
(ii) Iron Ore Mines Labour	Wel	fare C	css (A	mend	ment)	Bill		5916-17
Re. Indian Embassy in China			*					5917
Passports Bill					547	12		5917-6012
Motion to Consider, as passe	d by	y Rajy	a Sabi	na .	12			5917-6012
Shri Sradhakar Supakar	6			1140	3			5917-20
Shri M.R. Masani		v.	20			*		592027
Shri K. Narayana Rao				754		45	38	5928-31
Shri A.N. Mulla .			200	·		. •		5931-33
Shri S. Kandappan			•			45		5933-37
Shri Vikram Chand					_ •		•	593841

The sign +marked above the name of a Member indicates that the question was actually asked on the floor of the House by that Member.
828 (Ai) LSD-1.

								COLUMNS
Shri K.M. Madhukar	٠				5.5			5941-4
Shri A.S. Saigal .					92			5944-4
Shri Viswanatha Menon		7						5945-4
Shri D.C. Sharma				22	75.0			5949-5
Shri Ram Sewak Yadav				3540		100		59535
Shri Dattatraya Kunte		*	*	- 60				59575
Shri R.D. Bhandare		*	*6			*		5961-6
Shri Srinibas Misra		*		0.80			•	5964-6
Shri Tenneti Viswanathan	m	*						5966—6
Shri Chandra Jeet Yadav					ä			5968-7
Shri B. Shankaranand					ri.	9		5970-7
Shri Surendra Pal Singh		0.0						5972-8
Clauses 2 to 11 .	e:				*	10.00		5983-601

5777

5778

LOK SABHA

Monday, June 19, 1967/Jyaistha 24 1889 (Saka)

The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock

[MR SPEAKER in the Chair]

OBITUARY REFERENCE

Mr Speaker I have to inform the House of the sad demise of Capt Awadesh Pratap Singh, who passed away on the 7th June, 1967 at the age of 79

Capt Awadesh Pratap Singh was a Member of the Constituent Assembly of India and the Provisional Parliament during the years 1949-52

We deeply mourn the loss of this friend and I am sure the House will join me in conveing condolences to the beleaved family

The House may stand in silence for a short while to express its sorrow

The Members then stood in silence for a short while.

RE: STATEMENT ABOUT CHINA

Mr. Speaker: Question No 571 Shri Madhu Limaye

भे नधु रिश्. ये . प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, यह मनाल करने से पहले में धापका ध्यान दिलाना चाहता हूं कि बैलिंगडन हास्पिटल में जिन चीनी दूतों को रखा गया है उन लोगों ने नहां पर ऊपम मचा रखा है। यह नहुत ममीर मामला है। जन उस दिल मैंने कहा कि दिल्ली में मान्नों का राज्य शुरू हो गया है तो सामने के मदस्यों ने बढ़ा एतराज किया। लेकिन भाज के लाग हास्पिटल में मान्नों की तस्वीरें लटका नहें हैं। क्या ग्राप का यह मामलम है

Mr. Speaker, I know that, I have read the newspapers

श्र म गुसिम ग्रेतो प्राप प्रधान मती प्रीर म ग्वार स कहिये कि दिल्ली में श्रीर इस देश में हमारा राज्य है।

Mr. Speaker: I may tell the hon Member and the House that I have received at least 110 call-attention nonces, out of which a bundle of them on this question—quite naturally because of things that are happening in China and in our own place in hospital. Therefore I was thinking of asking the Minister after the Question Hour to make a comprehensive statement. It is important because the hydrogen bomb has been exploded in China and something is happening in the hospital here.

Shri Hem Barua. I think, you should congratulate the doctors of the Willingdon Hospital.

Mr Speaker: I entirely agree with you I was thinking on those lines inyself. We will take the questions first and then the call-attention notices I myself would have proposed the Minister's making a statement. After all, everybody is anxious to know something about what is happening. The Chinese have been given time till 3 PM today; therefore, at 5, 530 or 6 o'clock we would like to hear something about it. The whole country is anxious to know as to what

would happen by 3 o'clock. Therefore I was myself going to request the Minister to say something at 5'30 or 6 o'clock.

Shri Shashi Ranjan: We must be informed of the time.

Mr. Speaker: I will let you know.

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): Before the House rises, I shall try to collect as much information as possible.

Shri Shashi Ranjan: Let it be at 6 o'clock.

Shri Swaran Singh: It would depend on the convenience of the House.

Mr. Speaker: Time is given till 3 p.m. and then they will have to get the information from China. I will see when it can be done.

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Tibet

*571. Shri Madhu Limaye: Shri S. M. Banerjee: Shri George Fernandes: Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government intend to sponsor a resolution protesting against the violations of human rights and liberties in Tibet by the Chinese authorities:
- (b) whether Government have given any consideration to the proposal to extend recognition to Dalai Lama's Bureau as the Government of free Tibet in exile; and
 - (c) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Surendrapal Singh): (a) No such proposal is under consideration at present.

(b) and (c). Various aspects have been taken into consideration in determining our policy in relation to Tibet. This policy does not extend to giving any political status to the Dalai Lama during his stay in India. Our policy is continually under review and will be reviewed again in the light circumstances.

भी मधु सिभये : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, जहां तक ग्राधिक सहायता का सवाल है यह सरकार ग्रमरीका पर निर्भर है भीर कुछ विदेशी नीति के मामलों में यह रूसियों की वैचारिक गुलामी कर रही है। मैं सरकार से यह जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या उसने रूस की मंगोलिया सम्बन्धी नीति पर विचार किया है। जैसा कि उस दिन मैंने कहा था रूस ने 1924 में मंगोलिया पर चीन की सार्वभौमिकता को कबूल किया। लेकिन याल्टा में रूजवेल्ट ग्रीर स्टालिन के बीच में जो करार हुगा, उस में एक वाक्य यह था

"The status quo in Outer Mongolia shall be preserved."

इसका धर्म यह है कि रूस ने उस करार के द्वारा प्रमरीका की महमति से--ग्रीर वाद में लाल चीन की महमति से भी --- बहत मंगोलिया की स्वतंत्रता को कुबूल करवाया। पहले 1924 में उस ने मंगोलिया पर चीन की मार्बभौमिकता को माना, लेकिन बाद में याल्टा में तमाम दुनिया से मंगोलिया की स्वतंवता को कबुल करवाया। इस सरकार ने तिम्बत के बारे में भी इसी किस्स की गलती की लेकिन रूस ने जिस तरह मंगोलिया सम्बन्धी प्रपत्नी नीति में परिवर्तन विया भीर चूकि यह सरकार कसियों की बहुत गुलामी करती है इस लिये उस से सबक सीब कर क्या वह तिक्वत के सम्बन्ध में अपनी नीति में उसी तरह परिवर्तन करेगी धीर रिज्यस की स्वतंत्रका की घोषणा करेबी ?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): It is a wrong suggestion that we copy any country in the matter of the conduct of our foreign affairs. It is our own independent policy. It is not fair on the part of any hon. Member to suggest that we are trying to copy any other country in the pursuit of our international policy.

About Tibet, the basis on which he put the question was, because Soviet Union has adopted a certain policy in relation to Mangolia, that we should, therefore, follow a similar policy in relation to Tibet. Both have to be considered on their merits. In the matter of formulating our policy on Tibet, we should be guided by our own national considerations and we should not and need not copy any other country in relation to their handling of another problem.

भी मध् लिमये : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, चीन बराबर कह रहा है कि भारत सरकार तिब्बत की स्वतंत्रता का समर्थन कर रही है लेकिन वह ऐसा विस्कुल नहीं कर रही है जैसा कि भ्रमी मंत्री महोदय ने कहा है। उसी तरह चीन की सरकार कह रही है कि हिन्दुस्तान की सरकार दो चीन वासी नीति को चला रही है भर्यात फार्मोसा भौर चीन इन दोनों को मान्यता दे रही है, लेकिन यह सरकार ऐसा नहीं कर रही हैं। चीन यह भी कह रहा है कि हिन्द्स्तान के प्रतिक्रियाबादी, ग्रमरीकी साम्राज्यवादी भौर रूसी सुधारवादी एक नयी पवित्र संधि बना रहे हैं चीन के खिलाफ लेकिन यह सरकार यह भी नहीं कह रही है। मैं मंत्री महोदय से यह जानना चाहता हं कि जिन तीन कामों के बारे में चीन कह रहा है कि यह सरकार ये सारे काम कर रही है, क्या सरकार सचमुच इन कामों को करने की कोशिक करेगी : तिम्बत की स्वतंत्रता का समर्थन, दो चीन वाली नीति का पालन भौर चीन की भाक्रमणकारी नीति के खिलाफ क्स भगरीका भीर हिन्दुस्तान का पनिव संगठन ।

Shri Swaran Singh: This question relates to Tibet and it should not be expanded to cover other matters of our foreign policy; in relation to China.

भी मधु लिमये : यह प्रश्न उसी से जुड़ा हुया है। क्या तिब्बत विदेश नीति का सवाल नहीं है?

Shri Swaran Singh: Those questions are not related to Tibet. About Tibet our position has already been explained

श्री मणु लिमये: मंत्री महोदय ने बिल्कुल जवाब नही दिया है। चीन इस सर कार पर यह मारोप लगा रहा है कि वह ये तीन काम कर रही हैं निब्बत की स्वतंत्रता का समयंन, दो चीन वाली नीति का मनुसरण मौर चीन के खिलाफ ममरीकी साम्राज्य-वादियों मौर रूसी सुधारवादियों के साथ पवित्र संधि। जब चीन कह रहा है कि यह ये तीन काम काम कर रही है, तो वह सचमुच इन कामों को क्यों नहीं करता है?

Shri Swaran Singh: If anybody or any country makes an incorrect allogation against our country, then for proving that, what the other person is accusing, I should do the same thing, is a very strange suggestion which I would not like to adopt for my country. We should not be deflected by the accusations that Chinese might make that we are pursuing a particular policy. The hon. Member himself, while formulating his question, said that it is incorrect that we are pursuing that policy.

भी मन् लिस्ये : करना चाहिए। सगर सरकार करती तो हम उसकी बहुत तारीफ़ करते । यह बहुत सण्छी बात है।

Shri Swaran Singh: So, if another country incorrectly makes an allegation that we are pursuing a particular policy, that should be a motive

for adopting that policy in a proposition to which. I am sorry, I cannot subscribe.

भी जार्ज फरनेन्द्रीख : मबी महोदय ने कहा है कि दलाई लामा का जो ब्यूरो हिन्दु-स्तान में काम कर रहा है दह उनको टिब्बल की सरकार के रूप में मान्यता देने के लिये तैयार नहीं है। मेरा प्रश्न यह है कि किसी मी मियासी मामले के सम्बन्ध में भीर विस्ति के सस्ति के सम्बन्ध में दलाई लामा की बोली पर जो पाबन्दी उनकी बोली पर जो काला लगाने का काम हिन्दुस्तान की सरकार ने किया है तो क्या वह जाला कम से कम हटा कर दलाई लामा को दिख्यत के तमाम मननो पर बोलने को स्वतन्त्रता हमारा सरकार देगी रे

Shri Swaran Singh: I do not accept that there is any restriction as such on Dalai Lama's making speeches. He has been making various speeches. It is one thing to place restrictions and quite another to give various types of facilities that might be asked

श्रं कार्ज फरने खें बं सध्यक्ष महोदय मुझं आपकी नदद चाहिये। मेरा प्रण्न यह है कि तिस्वत के सिमासी मसलो पर भाज दलाई लाका कोई भी बात हिन्दुस्तान में नहीं कह सकते हैं और किसी भी मसले पर कोई भी विचार प्रकट करने हों तो मरकार से मेन्थ्योर कराने के बाद प्रकट कर मकते हैं। ऐसी हालत में मेरा यह प्रश्न है कि सिमासी ममलो पर, तिस्वत के मसलो पर, तिस्वत के मियासी ममलों पर बोलने की स्वतंत्रता हिन्दुस्तान की सरकार उन को देगी। क्या

Shri Swaran Singh: I do not accept that there is any censor as such but any individual, howsoever be may be, who takes refuge in any country is expected to follow certain norms that are applicable when a person is functioning as a person who has sought asylum. (Interruptions).

I can sit down if he wants to speak.

It is a normal practice for any person who has sought asylum in any country not to indulge in any activity which may in his judgment and in the judgment of the host country unnecessarily embarrass that Government that country. This is a normal practice that is followed. There are no particular restrictions on the functioning of Dalai Lama. He is a respected individual and we have given him asylum. We should treat it in that form and not get excited over it.

Shri Krishna Kumar Chatterji: In view of the fact that the present Chinese regime in the Mainland is retent'essly pursuing a policy of unprovoked aggression and hostilities against India and in view of the latest assault and humiliation heaped on the Indian diplomats attached to the Indian Embassy in the Chinese Capital by thousands of stone-throwing Chinese hooligans

Mr. Speaker: This is entirely a different question. I have asked him to make a statement about China

Shri Krishna Kumar Chatterji: My question is whether the Government is prepared to reopen the Tibet issue

Mr. Speaker: There is no relevance

वीं घटन विहारी के लचे वीं घटम स महोद र, चीन के माचा मारी जो सक्षि हुई चीं, उनके अनुमार चीन में क्यान की स्थायलना का घादर करने का बजन दिना चा । क्या यह मान गुरी है कि कम्चिन्स्ट चीन ने निकान की स्वायलना चारम कर दी है ? क्या यह मान नहीं है कि क्यानिस्ट चीन ने निकान की स्वायलना चारम कर दी है ? क्या यह मान नहीं है कि क्यानिस्ट चीन ने वनाये जा रहे हैं जो तिब्बती घोग्सों से प्रवर्शनी कार्य: कर है चीर घाज विकास में विहानियों की चीनी कम संख्या में कर देना चाल की ध्यान में रचते हुए कि चीन ने उन मंधि पालन नहीं किया कहा गरकार अपनी के मामले में फिर से विवाद करने का

र्धाधकार है ?

Shri Swaran Singh: It is true that the Chinese promises of respecting the autonomy of Tibet have been very flagrantly violated and thrown to the winds by the Government of the People's Republic of China Many of the things that have been mentioned by the hon Member opposite really very gross violations of human rights and as such, on the last occasion when the matter came before the United Nations, we did support the Tibetans' case of violation of human rights and we supported that Resolution which ultimately was approved in the United Nations As to whether this is a ground strong enough for going back upon the treaty that we have signed China, I do not think that the stage has come when we should repudiate the treaty that we have signed.

Shri R Barua: Apart from whatever relations there are between India and China today, China's sovereignty over Tibet was admitted by India. Therefore, in the context of the present world affairs, will it be right for India to agitate over the question of Tibet which is already an internal question, an internal matter, of China*

Shri Swaran Singh: That is one view As I have already said, if there is a violation of human rights, then irrespective of the sovereignty or suzerainty of any country over any other part or a part of their own country, it should attract the notice of the international community. There is such a thing as human rights and violation of human rights which does attract and should attract the notice of the international community

Mr. Speaker: Shri Umanath.

भा बसपाल सिंह : श्रीमान्, मै एक जरूरी बात जीननी चात्ता हू मानदीय मन्नी जी से

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. I have called Shri Umanath.

Shii Umanath: Under Dalai Lang's legime in Tibet, the Lamas had the right to cut the hands or any part of the limbs of the serfs or even kill them without due process of law. When the Government supported the human rights resolution in the last session of the UN General Assembly, may I know whether they made themselves sure that when they supported that resolution, they did not support the right of those Lamas to be restored, and secondly whether that was the reason why excepting India non-aligned nations did not support that resolution?

Shri Swaran Singh: I do not want to go into the historic rights, powers or laws of Tibet as they prevailed be-But we had satisfied ourselves that the human rights of the Tibetans were being jeopardised It was after assuring ourselves of that, that we decided to support the move in the United Nations to call upon the international community to take notice of this violation of human rights. The right to cut anybody's limb or something else surely has got nothing to do with human hights, and even this question that is being put really....

Shri Umanath: Cutting the limbs without due process of law is not a violation of human right?

Shri Swaram Singh: Certainly, human rights will not extend to that, and we are not trying to restore whatever are the correct or supposed, according to the hon Member, rights in human rights. It is not for us to go into it. At the present moment, the question related to the violation of the human rights of Tibetans by the Chinese, and we have taken a stand on that.

Shri D. C. Sharma: The hon. interim Foreign Minister has spoken in a blanket way about human rights. Human rights, apart from ever so many other things, imply also the right of every man to worship in his own way. May I know from the hon. Minister whether this question of worship which is being denied to the indigenous population of Tibet after China has taken over, was also considered? May I know also whether it was also considered that all the gold that was to be found in the monasteries of Tibet had been looted from there and was being used in Hong Kong to purchase arms and ammunition and thermo-nuclear weapons and missiles and atom bombs and other things?

Shri Swaran Singh: I would require notice of this profound question

Shri Hem Barua: Peking Radio in its broadcast in Hindi on the 10th June, at 8-45 P.M. has called upon the Indian revolutionaries to hack to pieces the Indian reactionaries and to make the waters of the Ganges red with their blood? Possibly, the Chinese have called you. Sir, and us as reactionaries, and they want us to be hacked to pieces and make the waters of the Ganges red with our blood.

भी मणुलिमये : सब से पः में डांगे साहब की । इनकी क्यों भूल गये ?

Shri Hem Barua: Oh, he is there. I did not see him earlier. He is also s reactionary.

Shri S. A. Dange: Does the hon. hon. Member accept the title of 'Indian reactionary' for himself?

Shri Hem Barna: Possibly, they do it.

Shri S. A. Dange: No, he does it

Shri Hem Barua: I do not do it, but they mean it.

Shri S. A. Dange: Why is he afraid?

Shri Hem Barua: Shri S. A. Dange has asked why we are afraid. are not afraid, and I think you are also not afraid of this. But in the context of the present developments and the China's naked interference in our internal affairs in Nagaiand, Mizo Hills and elsewhere and also at Naxalbari, may I know whether Government are prepared to have a retninking so far as the Tibetan issue is concerned, and to help the Dalai Lama in his political mission in South-East Asia? The Dalai Lama is going to the South-East Asian countries to canvass political support for his resolution in the United Nations. May I know whether or not Government are going to help in that?

Shri Bal Raj Madhok: We want a specific answer.

Shri Swaran Singh: I will be very specific. I will not go into the preambulary part because it is full of so many loaded things that it is not for me to contradict each and every thing that he said. That he might settle with the many others sitting in the Opposition Benches who were the party who were called by the Chinese Radio to hack to pieces those reactionaries or others according to their imagination. We are not unaccustomed to hear this type of polemics of the Chinese extremists. We think that the best way is to ignore them with the contempt they deserve, and we should not unnecessarily be concerned about all the wild things that Chinese put out in their broadcasts or other medai.

Shri D. C. Sharma: You want us to become yogis,

Shri Swaran Singh: I do not want anyone to become a yogi when the professor even in his old age is so young and enthusiastic. We should retain that vigour and not think of becoming yogis, not at any rate while dealing with the Chinese.

Without going into the preambulary part of the question, on the two issues, we have never come in the way of the Dalai Lama visiting other countries if he wants to visit those countries, and when he is in other countries it will be the Dalai Lama's desire or those countries' policy to permit or not to purmit him to undertake any activities they like. But it is quite clear that we do not want our country to be used for political purposes by anybody who seeks asylum here, whether ho is the Dalai Lama or any other person. We are quite clear on that issue, and there should be no misunderstanding on that score.

On the question of the Tibetan issue, I do not know precisely what is meant when a sort of vague question is put that we should do some rethinking on that issue. I would like to know the content of that rethinking pefore I give a specific answer. Our policy in relation to Tibet has been enunciated here from time to time. On one occasion, I remember Shri Chagla, who is in charge of External Affairs-I am only trying to give whatever information I have to serve the House-did say that all these factors which are prevailing are always before the Government and they constantly keep an eye upon the policy to be pursued, but beyond that he did not seem to go.

भी सब विभवे : यह नहीं कहा । कहा या कि पुनर्विकार करेंगे।

Shri Swaran Singh: I have nothing to add to what Shri Chagla has already said

Shri Hem Barua: He said that in the Rajya Sabha, not here

Shri Shivaji Rao S. Deshmukh: In view of the inhumanities inflicted on Tibetans by the Chinese, similar those inflicted by the same authorities on Mongols and Muslims in Sinkiang, how is it that our Government concerned with the inhuman ment inflicted on the Tibetans alone and insist that these be taken cognisance of by the UN? In view of the fact that the so-called Sino-Indian treaty, which has been referred to by the hon. Minister, has run out of time in terms of physical limits and in terms too of the fact that not even a gnost of that treaty remains, what steps Government from taking a fresh de novo outlook on the entire Sino-Indian relations in relation to Tibet?

Shri Swaran Singh: I am afraid I have nothing to add to what I have stated.

Mr. Speaker: Chaglaji has answered, he is not able to say.

Some Hon. Members rose-

Mr. Speaker: Will, all of you, kindly sit down? I will again call everybody if you like, I have no objection.

Shri H. P. Chatterjee: I had caught your eye.

Mr. Speaker: You have caught my eye, but unfortunately I cannot call everybody at the same time.

Shri H. P Chatterjee: I was in Tibet in 1951. So, let me ask one question

Mr. Speaker: Other than what Mr. Chagla said, Mr. Swaran Singh is not able to give additional informa-He is not able to say anyhting tion. Naturally, as he said, he can now. only repeat what Mr. Chagla said, Therefore, is there any point in your repeating the questions and his repeating the same answer? We have spent 25 minutes on this question. I do not mind spending another 25 minutes, but will it serve any purpose? If you want, I have no objection.

Shri Kamal Nayan Bajaj: Will you permit a two-hour discussion on this?

Mr. Speaker: You want a discussion?

Shri Shivaji Rao S. Deshmukh: My question has not been answered.

Mr. Speaker: The Chair cannot answer your question. Unfortunately, it is the Minister who has to

answer the question, and not the Chair

Shri Shri Chand Goel: On a point of order. You have said that the Minister is not in a position to give more and better answers to the question, than what Mr. Chagla has already given Is it not his duty to come well prepared to answer all questions?

Mr. Speaker: He is thoroughly prepared

Shri Swaran Singh: I want to say quite categorically that it is not lack of preparation, but the Question Hour is used to eliciting information, not to ask me to alter policies. What I have said is, on the policy aspect a certain attitude has been taken and explained by Shri Chagla. In the Question Hour you cannot ask me to alter the policy. That policy continues to prevail. So, it is not lack of preparation, but my lack of willingness to be subjected to pressure to alter policy under high policy questions. That I am not prepared to accept

Shri Nambiar: We will spend one hour on this question. Let us create a record today

Shri Nath Pai: We believed that one of the major planks of the foreign policy of India, or what remains of that policy nowadays, was to support the struggles for national independence in any part of the world wherever people are trying to rise in rebelhon against the shackles of foreign rule, alien rule. Mr. Swaran Singh just now seems to have abandoned, discarded and thrown to the winds this policy of this country. This is clear from the latter part of his reply to Mr. Hem Barua's question, when he said that we are not going to allow anybody to use this country. country should be the bastion for all those who want to fight for the freedom of their countries. Are we to understand that there is a radical change in the policy of India so far as our stance to people's struggling for freedom is concerned?

Shri Swaran Singh: There is no change in the policy about which the hon. Member spoke-support to countries and people who are fighting for uneir independence against the colonial rule. That policy still continues. We should make a distinction. There are struggles between the people of one region in a country and their central Gove: nment and between people of one region and the people of another region. I would request the hon. Member not to confuse between the There is a great deal of difference between colonial powers daminating the people and the people's fight against colonialism; this should be distinguished, howsoever uncomfortable the position might be, from the struggles which could be described as internacine struggles between the people of one region and the central government and between the people of on region and another region.

श्रः यक्ष्मा सिह । प्रश्निक्ष महोदय, मै
एक 'वाइट आफ आईर उठाना चाहता
ह । मै आप से यह जानना चाहता ह कि यह
पालिमी काग्रेम पार्टी की बनाई हुई है भौर
बार बार क्वैंश्वत्म भी वाग्रेंस पार्टी के लोग
पूछों है तो क्या इसका मतलय यह हुआ
कि हिन्दुस्तान कोई भारासगाह है '
बह विस्वत में जाकर भपने भश्विकारों के
लिये क्यां नहीं लड़ते है ' बार बार यह
काग्रेम वालं ही क्यों स्वाल पूछते हैं '

Mr. Speaker: There is no point of order, but only disorder. It is a Government for the country, not for the Congress Party

श्री बमलस्थन बजाज : भारत के साथ तिब्बत के सास्कृतिक, भौगोसिक भीर ऐतिहासिक सम्बन्ध हजारों वर्षों से रहते हुए भागे हैं राजनीतिक वृश्टि से जो उस में भेर फार हुआ वह इतने महत्व का नहीं कहा जा सकता, वह टैम्पोरैरी, कुछ समय के बास्ते हो सकता है । इन भैर फारों को जिस तरीके से हम ने सीच करके किया भीर हमारा उसके साथ मे जो कुछ भी बजन हम ने दिया जब चाइना के साथ हमने अपनी ग्रहर स्टैं हिंग की, मती महोदय ने यह कहा कि उस समझदारी को याजो एक संदरम्टैबिंग थी वह बिल्कुल बरम हो गयी है और जो ब्राटोनोमी यी तिब्बत के भन्दर में वह वहा पर रही नहीं है, यहापर ग्रत्याचार ग्रीर दूसरी जीजे मब हो रही हैं ऐसी स्थिति के ग्रन्दर वया भारत के ऊपर नैतिक जिम्मेदारी भाती है. वैधानिक ग्रीर राजनैतिक जिम्मेदारी तो एक तरफ है. उस मधाप गिनती लगाये तो उस म मझें उत्ता एतराज नहीं है, परन्तु हमार्ग नैतिक और धर्मिक जिम्मेदारी जो निव्यत के सम्बन्ध में रही है उस के बार में इस सदन है विकार श्री चागना जी का जो कि इसरे इचार्ज है, हमारे मठी महोदय उनकी कहे भौरयहकहे कि धार्मिक भौर दूसरी जो हमारी ग्रहरस्टैडिंग निस्त्रत के साथ में हमेगा रही है उस में फोर फार हथा है भौर उस जिस्मेदारी को निभाव के लिये मदन की बननी हमारे मन्नी महोदय से है । कडम कारे में बहु जानकारी देया उनकी कहे।

Mr. Speaker: Everybody wants to make a small speech

Shri Swaran Singh: I do not think he has sought any information

र्धाः कमकारत बजाजः मै उनमे थोडी सीसफाई करना चाहता हथीर मै

I have been misunderstood

Mr. Speaker: Please at down have called another Member

Shri H. P. Chatterjee: In 1951, I was in Tibet when the Chinese invaded Tibet.

Mr. Speaker: That was long aso. Come to the present... (interruptions).

Shri H. P. Chatterjee: How can I make the Minister understand without a preamble? At that time, many Tibetans asked me why we should object to their having a representative in the United Nations while Nepal has a representative in the UN. They said, we are more similar to you than to the Chinese; we write like you from left to right. Our formula for prayer is "Om mani padme Hun" which is clearly Sanskrit, we have nothing in common with the Chinese, why ere you objecting to us when the Nepaiese have their representation in the UN? Now, I ask the Minister; when the Chinese have not kept their word about Tibetan autonomy, will the Government take up the cause of Tibetans and see that they have their representative in the UN?

Shri Swaran Singh: I would like to understand what the reply the hon. Member gave, when the Tibetans asked him that question. The UN does not deal with the desires of the people, however eminent they may be. It is the governments that are represented in the UN, and unless there is the Government and that makes a representation and ask for it the UN does not consider anything.

Shri R. D. Bhandare: May I know from the hon. Minister whether the Dalai Lama is treated in this country as the head of a sovereign State who has taken asylum in this country as such facilities are given to him?

Shri Swaran Singh: No, Sir. He has not sought asylum as head of a sovereign State, as the hon. Member says

Shri Bai Raj Madhek: The hon. Minister has said that the question of Tibet is different from other places where colonial regimes exist. May I know whether he has read anything about history? Tibet has existed as an independent country for the last 2,500 years according to the chronicals—ruled by the son of Prince Mrithunjay. There were times when for sometime China ruled

over Tibet just as Afghans and Turks ruled over India. Does that mean that India is part of Turkey or India is part of Afghanistan?

Mr. Speaker: Please put your question.

Shri Bal Raj Madhek: I want to know whether the Government is prepared to repudiate that treaty; he said the treaty has been violated by China. The one most important part of that treaty was that the autonomy of Tibet will be respected. It is purely political clause. political clause of the treaty, that the autonomy of Tibet would be respected, has been violated. This is not a question of human rights. In view of the fact that the most important clause of the treaty of 1954 has been violated by China, may 1 know whether the Government is prepared to repudiate that treaty.

Shri Swaran Singh: The same question is repeated. I would like to add that he is a professor and he must have read more of history. I have also read a little of history. Our attitude is based upon our assessment of all these historical events, and then we ultimately took a decision.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Since the policy and practice of Government appears to be that we do not intervene in the internal affairs of other countries even when some unfortunate incidents take place-for example, in Indonesia, half a million people were hacked to death on the suspicion of their being communists and we did not even utter a word against it-may I know, in that case, since certain things might have happened in Tibet where a feudal-theocratic regime has been sought to be transformed by revolutionary methods and certain excesses might have taken place, why we look upon it as something which We might even consider taking to the international forum and there by disturb and derange the delicate balance

in international relations, which we should not do in present-day circumstances?

Shri Swaran Singh: I would only humbly point out that a few unfortunate incidents is one thing; persistent violation of human rights is quite Whereas we can ignore another. a few unfortunate incidents, we should not ignore when the basic human, fudamental rights are being violated.

Steel Plant in Iran with India's Collaboration

*572. Shri Brij Bhushan Lai: Shri N. S. Sharma: Shri Sharda Nand: Shri A. B. Vaipayee:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that a steel plant in Iran is being set up with India's collaboration:
- (b) if so, the nature and extent of help and the terms and conditions thereof; and
- (c) whether Government have also considered Iran's relations Pakistan in military matters while offering this collaboration?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Surendra Pal Singh): (a) No. Sir.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

भी झटल बिहारी वाजपेयी: स्या इस बारे में कोई बातचीत चली है? हो सकता है कि उस बातचीत को धन्तिम रूप न दिया गया हो लेंकिन मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या प्रारम्भिक कातचीत चली है भौर नया ईरान में किसी तरह का कारखाना लगाने का हमारा इरादा है?

Shri Surendra Pal Singh; There has been no direct approach from the fran Government to set up any steel plant with Indian collaboration. But what

actually happened there, which obviously prompted the hon. Members to bring this question here, is that Iran is negotiating with Russia for the setting up of a steel plant with Russian help and co-operation. Last year, they approached us, to find out whether we would like to supply to them technicians, and managerial staff etc.; their engineers came here last year; they went round and saw our steel plants and were greatly impressed. That is where the matter rests. Once their negotiations are over, then they will contact us again.

Statement by U.S. Ambassador in India

1

*573. Shrimati Suseela Gopalan: Shri A. K. Gopalan: Shri K. Ramani: Shri Ram Kishan Gupta: Shri H. N. Mukerjee:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the attention of Government has been drawn to a statement by the U.S. Ambassador in 'American Reporter' attacking some political parties in India for their criticism of U.S. Aid to India; and
- (b) if so, Government's reaction thereto?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Surendra Pal Singh): (a) We are aware of articles published in the "American Reporter" by the U.S. Ambassador in India on various topics but no article attacking any political parties in India has come to our attention;

(b) Does not arise.

Shrimati Suseela Gopalan: May I know whether his attention has been drawn to a report published in the American Reporter, dated 25th April, under the heading "Ambassador's Report" which directly attacks the policies pursued by the communists in this country?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): We saw the article which was published in the American Reporter The reply that the Deputy Minister has read out is the reply given after studying carefully the article as it appeared in the American Reporter.

Shri Ram Kishan Gupta: May I know the name of the political party mentioned in this article?

Shri Swaran Singh: The name of any political party as such is not mentioned.

Shri Umanath: Communists in India are referred to in five places.

Shri Swaran Singh: It is correct that there is mention there that the communists are crticising the food aid to India and he has given the reasons

Shri Umanath: It is all a concoction of lies.

Shri Swaran Singh: It is true that communists are mentioned, but not the communist party. I am not defending it. I am just giving information.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Since we bear a great deal abou ours being a democratic country with a Parliament functioning, on account of which we cannot do certain things, when American Ambassador refers to the communists over and over again and criticises them as perverse and unpatriotic people who are acting against the interests of India and its relationship with other foreign countries, do I take it that the reference to communists is interpreted by the Ministry fat-headedly as not implying the communist movement in this country, which is represented bodily in this House?

Shri Swaran Singh: There are two communist parties in this House. In that article, there is no mention of the communist party as such. There is mention of communists having criticised the U.S. Aid. He has given his

5799

reasons why he does not accept that critacism. I am not defending what he has done. But I am giving the information to the House. It is for the House and the country to judge as to whether this amounts to criticising a political party. Criticism has been levelled against the American Government which he represents and it is one of his duties to improve the relations between India and the USA Also, it is not uncustomary for Ambassadors to meet certain criticism that might be put across in the country to which they are accradited, if they feel that it comes in the way of development of friendly relations between the country which they represent and the country to which they are accredited

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Do I take it that the minister implies that the Ambassador of a capitalist country or a socialist country is at liberty in this country to criticise the communists of the capitalists or whoever else it may be, openly in a periodical printed, published and largely freely distributed on a large scale in this country? Do I take it that that is the view of the Government?

Shri Swaran Singh: Our view is quite clear. So far as our political set-up is concerned, it is for each of us to criticise the other political parties in the country and no outside Ambassador, whether he is from communist country, a socialist country, a capitalist country, a non-aligned country or an aligned country, can criticise any of the political parties in country But I would only humbly place before this hon. House whether in those cases where some parties, may be the Jan Sangh, the Congress Party or the Communist Party, criticise the actions of a country which that Ambassador represents or that High Commissioner represents, that foreign diplomat is entitled meet that criticism or not and meeting that criticism if he observes a certain decorum and does not cross a certain limit it is for us to consider and for this Parliament to consider whether, in view of the freedom of expression that we adhere to, we should not permit people who are criticised to offer their version of the criticism that is levelled. I do not offer any comment, I do not pose that problem before this House.

Shri Bedabtata Barua: In view of what practically all the members of another Embassy, the Chinese Embassy, have done, from the throwing of ston's to many other things, and their mother country has been even proposing to hack some of us to death is it not necessary to worry less about what a particular Ambassador might be writing in a foreign journal particularly when his views regarding some of the political parties in India or the Government's view is already well known

Shri Swaran Singh: I could not follow the hon Member's question

An hon. Member: It is an opinion, not a question

Shri Umanath: The hon. Minister just now said that in reply to criticisms by the political parties in our against foreign countries whether the foreign country sentatives are justified in replying to those criticisms and defending themselves is a matter for this House to judge. I would like to know what is the position of the Government. If political parties in this country criticise foreign countries about their policies towards our country, does the Government think—I want to know your position and your reaction-that the Ambassador was correct in only replying in the journal. When in the case of North Vietnam certain booklets about American atrocities North Vietnam were circulated here the Government took action to that those leaflets were not circulated, may I know whether the Government approached the Ambassador to me that that journal was not circulated here?

Shri Swaran Singh: Each case will have to be examined on its merit.

Shri Vasudevan Nair: The cat is now out of the bag. You are shamelessly defending the American bassador.

Shri Swaran Singh: I would not say, for instance, he is shamelessly attacking the Ambassador of another coun ry, because we do not advance each other's view points or arguments by calling names. So it is very unfair to make an assertion of that type. I am quite all right if I tow his line, but if my view is not palatable to him and he goes to the length of using such expressions as "shameless", 1 strongly resent such an attitude. That really lacks objectivity and it is very unfair that such things should be uttered on the floor of the House

I said, whenever any such satement is published by the representative of any foreign country in our country we examine it. If it is such that action is called for, we do take action. I do not want to hide fact from this hon. House that this statement is not being examined for the first time on the floor of the House; statement of the American Ambassador was carefully examined in the External Affairs Ministry and their view was that it is not ac ionable.

Shri K. Narayana Rao: The distinction drawn between political parties as such and individuals as such appears to be too tenuous and technical. do not think the answer is satisfactory. But whatever it may be. I would like to know from the hon. Minister whether there would be any difference in the attitude of the Government if the reference in that article had been to the Communist Party instead of the Communists? Secondly, I would like to know whether in view of these things, there is any international convention as to what exactly is position in regard to the freedom of the Ambassador of any foreign country to express his views and whether in this particular case the limits of international propriety and convention have been exceeded.

Shri Swaran Singh: It is true that when a group of political viewpoint is described as such and the party is not named, the difference is tenuous. I do accept the suggestion that implicit in the question. As to what will be our attitude if a party is ramed, we would not like any outside Ambassador of any country to enter into our own internal differences between various political parties. We ourselves should be able to decide and criticise according to our accepted norms, according to our Constitution, and we will not like any Ambassador of any other country to criticise, or for the matter of that, to applaud any political party. I hope that there is unanimity of opinion on this issue among all of us. About the second question, about international conventions and the like, it is well-established that the Ambassador of another country does not interfere in the internal affairs of the country to which he is accredited and we take the view that taking sides amongst political parties in the country to which he is accredited certainly transcends that that limit which should not be crossed and it amounts more or less to interference in the internal affairs and as such i, should be avoided. About the international conventions. they are well established that whereas the Ambassadors have got the right, even the duty, to remove any misunders'andings that might created about their country country to which they are accredited, they should do that in such a manner as not to transgress the limit I have mentioned, that is to say, they should avoid giving an impression of interfering internally amongst the political parties of that country.

भी कंपर लाल गुप्त : मंत्री महोदय को क्या यह मालुम है कि समरीका, क्या

भीर दूसरे बहुत से देशों का लिट्डेंबर यहां पर काफी माता में बाटा जाता है ? यहां पर बहुत सारे देशों के एम्बेसेंडर भी रहते हैं। कई बार उन लिट्डेचर में कई पोलिटिकल पार्टीज का नाम लेकर या जिस तरह से भ्रमीश्री उसा नाथने कहा इसी प्रकार से भीर लोगों का नाभ ले कर एम्बेसेंडर भी किटिसाइज करते हैं। मंत्री महोदय ने कहा है कि ऐसा नहीं होना चाहिए। मै पूछना चाहता ह जिस कि जिस देश के एम्बे-सेंडर यहा पर रहते हुए पोलिटिकल पार्टीज को क्रिटिसाइज करते हैं क्या ग्रापने उनके पास कोई ऐसी सुचना भेजी है या रैसा कोई सक्युंलर भंजा है या भौर कोई कदम उठाया है ताकि उनको यह पता चल सके कि उन्हें ऐसा नहीं करना चाहिए?

Shri Swaran Singh: Sir, I am glad that for a change Shri Gupta appears to agree with Shri Umanath, although, maybe, for different reasons.

Shri Kanwar Lai Gupta: Yes, we agree on that point. We do not want interference from either side

Shri Swaran Singh: The Ambassador of some country might be criticising his own party; that may be the reason. But I would appeal to him that instead of asking me to reply in this general form, if he could cite to me in any statement of any Ambassador anything which he thinks amount to criticism of a political party functioning in the country. I will have that matter examined. About the general proposition, I have already enunciated the position and I think my submission and my categorical statement on the floor of the House will be enough notice to every member of the diplomatic community.

अ जा बं करने की बः समेरिकन रिपोर्टर में समेरीका के राजदूत ने जो लेख लिखे हैं जनको इंडियन एक्सप्रेंस जैसे दूररे शख-बारों ने भी छापने की व्यवस्था की बी। इन लेखों में प्रमरीकी राजदूत ने एक बात यह

भी लिखी यी कि उन्होंने भारत सरकार से यह जिकायत की है अमेरीकी राजवत के नाम से या अमरीकी सरकार के नाम से कि कई फोर्ज्ड डाक्मेट्स हिन्दुस्तान में चुनाब के मौके पर फैलाने का , बाटने का काम किया गयाया। उन्होंने लेखों के बन्दर लिखा था कि इस मामसे मे उन्होंने केन्द्रीय सरकार से भीर सम्बन्धित मन्नी से भीर विशेषका विदेश मन्त्री से शिकायत भी की थी। मैं जानना चाहता हं कि क्या अरकार को खुद इन फीज्डं डाकमेटस के बारे में कोई जानकारी है? दूसरे मैं यह जानना चाहता ह कि प्रमरीकी राजदूत ने जो शिकायत एक्सटनंल एकयसं मिनिन्टर के पास की थी, उस शिकायत पर क्या कार्रवाई की गई भीर ती तरे मैं यह जानना नाहता ह कि क्या यह मसला सी० बी० माई ० के पास जाच के लिये दिया गया था भौर दिया गयाथा नो प्रभी तक उस पर कोई रिपोर्ट मिली है या नहीं मिली है भीर मिली है तो क्या मिली है?

Shri Swaran Singh: About the forged documents about which the hon. Member has made a mention, I confess I have not got the information. If a notice is given, I shall collect the information.

I do not think it is a matter for the CBI to investigate. About this matter having been brought to the notice of the External Affairs Ministry, I have not got precise information

Shri Hem Barua: May I know if Government is aware of the fact that at present there are deep stirrings of an ominous nature in the U.S.A. and one American Senator has gone so far us to say that the food aid to India should be cut down; if it is so, whether Government is in a position to tell us if this article written by the American Ambassador and published in the American Reporter and its criticism have not given an encouragement and inspiration to the press in America to be anti-Indian.

shei Swaren Singh: I am sorry, 1 cannot either sonfirm or contradict that. Presumably, the Ambassador, according to his own statement, has tseued this in order to improve relations between India and the United States and I could not presume that it could be his intention that this should be picked up and anti-Indian propaganda should be heightened in the United States press

बीती तथा पाकिस्तानी सैनिकों का बमाव

*575. भी प्रकासवीर ज्ञास्त्री : भी रामपुरे : बी देवनकीनस्थन पासीविया : बी राम सेवक यावव : बी मन् लिमये : भी जार्ज फरनेग्डीस : बी रवि राय: जी महाराज सिंह भारती: भी मोलहु प्रसाद: भी न० कु० सांबी : बी रामबन्द्र बीरप्पा : बो ही बं सर्माः भी जगसायराव कीशी : भी हक्त चन्द क्छदाय : बी राज सिंह ग्रामरकार : **बी घोंकार सिंह**ः थी ना॰ स्व० सर्नाः श्री भारदानम्ब : भी घटल विहारी वाजवेबी : शा क्यी सिंह : बीचती निर्मेष कीर : भी भारत तिह पीहान : भी बुज मूचन लाल: बी रचत्रीत सिंह : बी विभूति विषा : थी क० मा० तिवारी : बी य॰ घ० प्रसाद : बी स० वं• सामसः : थी घ० ছ० किरहु :

नहीं का जा अस्त्रकी :

भी विदिय कुनार चीपरी : भी यद्यपाल सिंह : जी रा० वच्छा : थी घोंकार लाल बेरवा : थी मीठा सात : भी सिक्षेत्रवर प्रसाद : बी जिबद्धमार ज्ञास्त्री : थी रामगोपाल ज्ञासवाले : बी घो० प्र० त्यागी: चीमती ज्योत्सना चंदा : भी भगाड़ी : क्यो गा० चा० मिक्यः भी प्रव केव देव : भी सु० कु० तापड़िया : भी क प्रवृत्तिह देव: भी ग्रममत सां: भी भड़ाकर सुपकार : थी समाननकी : भी वैनो संकर सर्माः भी का मिं मचकर : भी रामावतार शास्त्री : धी देवदत स्ट्या :

न्या रका मंत्री यह बताने की कुदा करेगे कि:

- (क) क्या भारत की बीमाओं पर हाल में हुए बीनी और पाकिस्तानी सेनाओं के जमाव में कुछ कमी हुई है;
- (बा) क्या पिछले दो महीनों में किसी नी सीमानतीं सेतों में कोई विश्वेष उद्येक्ना-त्मक कार्यवाही मही हुई है;
- (ग) नया यह की सब है कि इस नार पाकिस्तान सियालकोट और राजस्थान शंचलों के वोंबों में विश्लेष वैदारी कर शहा है; भीर
- (व) वदि हां, तो वदा इस स्विति का समना करने के लिये सरकार हारा कोई कार्यवाही की गई है अववा करने का विचार है ?

The Minister of Defense Swaran Singh): (a) No, Sir. (b) An Indian police patrol as already stated in Lok Sabha, was fired upon by Pakistani troops on 19th May in the area south-south west of Akhnur. Subsequent to the firing incident, Pakistan strengthened its troops in the Daggar area opposite Akhnur.

(c) and (d). Government are aware that Pakistan has been constructing Defence Works and communications opposite our borders including the Jammu-Sialkot border and Rajasthan-West Pakistan border. All such developments are carefully watched and appropriate steps taken to safeguard the territorial integrity of the country.

Shri Samar Guha: What about West Bengal and Assam border? Is there no activity of Pakistan?

Shri Swaran Singh: He should have studied the question. I am replying to the question as it has been framed.

Mr. Speaker: Let Shri Shastri ask the supplementary question.

भी नकाशकीर शास्त्री: रक्षा मली को प्रपने इंटेसीजेंस बिपाटमेंटस से भयवा किन्हीं भीर कोतों से क्या इस प्रकार की जानकारी मिली है कि चीन भीर पाकिस्तान का जो सैनिक जमाब भारतीय सीमाभो पर है उसकी संख्या कितनी है भीर क्या इस बार चीन भीर पाकिस्तान दोनों मिल कर भारत के पूर्वी भाग में विशेष रूप से भाकमण की तैयारियां कर रहे हैं?

Shri Swaran Singh: I have already said that there is no unusual concentration of troops on our borders with China and Pakistan. We must not one sight of the important fact that even in peace time many of the forces, particularly of Pakistan, are deployed on areas which are not far away from our borders. So, we have to be very careful about our defence, whether they keep them in peace position or, actually, they move them on the front. We should not be in a full merely

because they are not on the border. That aspect is always before us.

About the question of Sino-Pakistan collusion, this matter has been stated on the floor of the House on several occasions, that China has been adopting a policy of giving not only political support to Pakistan, and Pakistan's attitude against India, but they have also given them military hardware, tanks, aircraft, ammunition and several other war stores. This collusion is well known to us and we are conscious of this fact. All our arrangements are based upon an appreciation of this relationship between Pakistan and China.

13.00 hrs.

Shri Hem Barua: On a point of order, Sir.

The hon. Defence Minister has now said that there has been no unusual concentration of Chinese and Pakistani troops on our borders. But on a previous occasion, his distinguished predecessor...

Mr. Speaker: The point of order has to be answered by the Speaker, not by the Minister.

Shri Hem Barua: ...said on the floor of the House that there has been unusual concentration of Chinese troops on our borders. How do you now reconcile between these two statements? They still remain there.

Mr. Speaker: If it is a wrong statement made now, we shall call for an explanation of the Minister and he will make a statement later on. Now, we go to the Short Notice Question.

Re: Short-notice Question No. 14

Shri Umanath: Sir, I rise on a point of order on this Short Motice Question.

Rule 41(2)(vii) says:

"it shall not relate to a matter which is not primarily the concern of the Government of India;"

this Short Notice Question relates to an entirely law and order situation in one part of West Bengal State. The other day, apart from law and order aspect, it was argued that 'public order' does not come within the purview of the State. You will see from the Seventh Schedule, in the State List, that 'public order' is also included as far as States' powers are concerned.

Sir, you had given a ruling, in your wisdom, the other day, when question of Naxalbari was raised the form of a Call Attention notice on the floor of the House that you rejected the Call Attention notice on the ground that that related to law and order situation and that being a State subject, you did not admit it. But then the only consideration which you showed for permitting the discussion was that that area was a sensitive border area and certain things, like, parallel Government and other things, were mention-That was the reason for that. Otherwise, you ruled that that was a law and order question and you rejected the Call Attention notice.

Here, as far as this particular area that is mentioned in the Short Notice Question is concerned, it is not border area. Then, if that is deducted, your other ruling stands that it is a law and order question and it cannot be admitted. Secondly, it may be said that breakdown of governmental machinery, constitutional machinery, is a thing that is attracted by the Central Government powers as well as the Parliament powers. How to determine the question of breakdown? The question of breakdown which allows the Parliament to be attracted and which allows the Central Government to be attracted should be a point of fact. How is it to be determined? How it is to be determined whether it is a point of fact. It cannot be by my framing a question to that effect. If you allow me framing a question to that effect as a criterion for admitting a question, what will happen? On the law and order question, in every State, I can frame my question in such a way that there is breakdown of governmental machinery, constitutional machinery, and get it admitted. It will be hell for the State Governments to continue functioning. Whether there is a constitutional breakdown or not, it must be determined on the basis of constitutional emergency provisions in Articles 354 and 356. Article 356 says:

"If the President, on receipt of a report from the Governor of a State or otherwise, is satisfied that a situation has arisen in which the Government of the State cannot be carried on in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution

So, for a question to be admitted under that, the President must satisfied then we get attracted. Otherwise, by merely framing question, it does not attract. Here it is merely framing the question and that is why I say this. You been good enough and I am glad that you are very firm on the question of not allowing this Parliament to be an instrument to encroach upon even the small autonomy that our States have I am glad about that and that is why I am saying that this should not be allowed.

The second rule on which I depend is 41(1) which says:

"Subject to the provisions of sub-rule (2), a question may be asked for the purpose of obtaining information on a matter of public importance within the special cognizance of the Minister to whom it is addressed."

Under the same rule, you have got this also here:

"It shall not relate to a matter with which a Minister is not officially connected."

This question is addressed to the Minister of Labour and Employment. But the entire question relates to law and order and breakdows of Constitutional machinery. My point is that this question is addressed?

Minister who is not at all concerned with the content of the question and, therefore, it is out of order. It may be stated that the area mentioned is mines area and, therefore, it comes under the Union List. It does not, because the jurisdiction of the Central Government, so far as mines area is concerned, according to the Seventh Schedule, item 54, is "regulation of mines...." and according to item 55, "regulation of labour and safety in mines....". Safety does not include law and order safety. Under the Factories Act and Mines Act, safety measures are there and safety means that safety. I am confirming it on the basis of a previous precedent with Mr. Hathi. We had gone on a deputation with regard to mines area where arrests had taken place. When we represented to him about arrests, he clearly said that law and order in mines area was not within his powers, it was in the State List and he was only concerned with labour. This question being a law and order question, on that ground also, it is very clear under the Constitution as well as the rule I have quoted that it does not come under his Ministry.

Shri Nath Pai: I completely disagree with Mr. Umanath. I do not agree with him. The question has been rightly admitted.

बी जब जिनवे : मध्यक महोदय, मैं भी पायंट माफ मार्डर पर बोलना जाहता हूं।

Mr. Speaker: Should I not say something about the point of order that has been raised? I should say something about that.

श्री बच्च लिया है। मैं उसके हक मैं बोल रहा हूं। भ्राप मुझे सुनने के बाद फैसला दीजिए।

Shri S. S. Kothari: It affects the fundamental rights of the people....

Mr. Speaker: I know.

भी मधु लिक्ये : मुझे खेद है कि जिस तरह में उस दिन भी हीरेन मुकर्जी के साथ सहमत नहीं हुया, उसी तरह मैं बाज बी उमानाय के साथ सहमत नहीं हूं। कम ते कम हम लोगों का यहां पर हमेशा यह रुख रहा है कि ऐसे सार्वजनिक महत्व के मामझों पर बहस करने भीर चर्चा करने का हमको पूरा प्रधिकार है। लेकिन चर्चा करने का यह मतलब नहीं है कि हम राज्यों के कार्य-क्षेत्र या प्रधिकार-क्षेत्र का प्रतिक्रमण कर रहे हैं। ऐसे कई मामले यहां पर बाये हैं। भभी कुछ ही दिन पहले हितवाद में जो हड़ताल चल रही थी, उसके बारे में मैंने एक भ्रत्य-सूचना प्रश्न दिया भीर मुझे पता चला है कि मती महोदय ने उस को कूबल किया है। एक मानी में यह भौचोगिक रिक्तों का सवाल है, लेकिन माथ साथ प्रवारों के मामले इनफ़र्मेशन एड बाड-कास्टिंग मन्नालय के मातहरा भाते है। उसी तरह वर्तमान प्रश्न के दो पहलू हैं। मैं मानता हु कि यह एक सोमित दायरे में कानून भीर व्यवस्था का मामला हो सकता है लेकिन यह जो कोयले की खानो का मामला है, इस में केन्द्र सरकार को जरूर दिलचस्पी लेनी चाहिये। खास कर जब ट्रेड यूनियन नेताघों का करल होने लगे, तब तो कम से कम चर्चा करने का प्रविकार हमको होना चाहिये। मैं संकट-कालीन बाराबों की चर्चा पमन्य नहीं करता हूं, क्योंकि मेरी राय है कि साधारण तीर पर उनका इस्तेमाल नहीं करना चाहिये। मामनीय सपस्य ने इस सवाल की खेडा है भीर इस सम्बन्ध में भनुष्केय 356 का जिक किया है, जिसमें राष्ट्रपति-जासन लागू करने की बात कही गई है। लेकिन जहां तक प्रगुच्छेद 256 का सम्बन्ध है उस में कानुनों पर धवन करवाना यह भी केन्द्र के कार्यक्षेत्र में बाता है नेकिन अध्यक्ष महोदय, मुझको यह चर्चा घच्छी महीं लगती है। मैं केवल यह कह देना चाहता हुं कि समर यह वहां पर साम्रारण परम्परा, परिनाटी

बन जायेगी कि ऐसे विश्वेच मामलों पर बहुस तक न हो--राज्यों के कार्यक्षेत्र पर चतिक्रमण मैं नहीं करना बाहता लेकिन यह समये राष्ट्र की प्रतिनिधि सभा है इस लिये बहस को वबाने की यह प्रवृत्ति मुझे झच्छी नहीं लग रही है। मध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं भपने माननीय सदस्य मिक्षों से प्रार्थना कक्ष्मा कि बाहे काग्रेस का राज्य हो, बाहे गैर-काग्रेसी राज्य हो, या जिस सरकार में हम लोग हैं वह सरकार हो, लेकिन भगर ऐसे मामले मा जाते है जैसे मकाल वगैरह की भी चर्चा हम करते हैं वैसे तो खादा का मामला राज्यों के मातहत माता है फिर भी हम करते हैं तो बहस पर एतराज नहीं करना नाहिये। इसनिये जरा मैं उन से प्रार्थना कक्या कि वह कुछ सहिष्णु बनने की कोशिश करे और कम से कम चर्चा का जो क्षेत्र है उस को कम न करें।

Shri N. Dandeker: I am really surprised at the objection. Any number of times, questions have been asked here about collieries, about labour rirenchment, about the situation arising out of the rationalisation of collieries, for instance, those run by the National Coal Development Corporation and so on.

Here, the problem is both relating to mines as well as to labour and trade unions in the mines, where one of the officials of a trade union has been murdered. If this is not the kind of subject to be treated as of general public importance urgency about which M.Ps. can ventilate their opinions in this House. do not know what else can come within the ambit of Central like labour and mining; when incidents of this kind occur, certainly we can take them up here. I suggest, therefore, that this point of order has no substance at all, and it ought to be ruled

Shri S. S. Kothari: The Constitution guarantees fundamental rights to the people, particularly the right to life and property. These rights have been violated in this particular case. There is lawlessness there; murders are being committed and property is not safe. If Parliament is not going to discuss them, then what else are we to discuss?

भी प्रकाशबंद शास्त्री : प्रस्पक महोदय, पश्चिमी बंगाल में जो भी स्थिति पैदा हुई हैं वैसेतो इस बातकी बहुत बड़ी जिम्मेदारी काग्रेस सरकार पर है क्योंकि जिस स्थिति का निर्माण पश्चिमी बंगाल में हुन्ना है उसके लिये कांग्रेस सरकार किस द ग से उत्ररहायी है मैं इस प्रश्न का विवेचन इस समय नहीं करना चाहता । पश्न्तु इस मामले में केवल यह निबेदन करना चाहता हुं पूर्व इस के कि ब्राप इस व्यवस्था के प्रश्न पर ग्रपनी व्यवस्था दें कि ग्रभी कुछ दिन पहले जब कलकत्ता के मौद्योगिक कारखानों के उत्पादन के बारे में प्रकृत झाया जिस में चेराव की स्थिति पर चर्चा थी तो मा ऐंड धार्डर का बहाना लेकर कहा गया कि यहां पर इस पर चर्चा नहीं हो सकती, जब नश्सल-बाढी का प्रदन उपस्थित हुमा जिसका देश की सरका से सबध है तो उस समय भी यह कहा गया कि यह ला ऐंड आईर से सब्बित है भीर यह राज्य सरकार का मामला है, बाज इसी तरह खान का प्रश्न है जिस में मजदरों की स्थिति से उत्पन्न परिस्थित का प्रश्न है भौर जिसमें एस० एस० पी॰ के एक कार्यकर्ता की हत्या हुई है तो फिर ला ऐड धार्डर का प्रक्त कहा जा रहा है भीर यह कहा जा रहा है कि इस पर यहां बहस नहीं हो सकती तो घगर पश्चिमी बंगाल सरकार को इसी तरह बंलगाम कर के पालियाओंट के पश्चिकारों को श्रीम लिया जायगा तो भेरा धपना धनुमान है कि इस देश की एक दिन इस की बहुत बढ़ी कीमत चुकानी पड़ेनी।

Shri Jyethmey Basa: एरिवनी बंगांच सरकार केमवाम चलका है। Is it parliamentary? The West Bengal Government is a legally elected demoratic Government. So, he cannot make remarks like this.

Mr. Speaker: That was what Shri Umanath had also said.

Shri R. Barua rose-

Mr. Speaker: Does the hun. Member also agree that the question must be answered?

Shri R. Barua: No. However much sympathy we may have with the sentiments expressed. I would submit that the question as it framed presupposes a breakdown of law and order in the State, because the wording of the question is whether any down of constitutional machinery is prevalent in such and such places. So, there is an assumption in the question in that regard; if that is so, then there should be a certificate to that effect from the Government. If we admit this question on this basis, because of our sympathy in the matter, it would mean that similar things would crop up again and again on the floor of the House. Therefore, my submission is that this question is not relevant, and it is hit by the constitutional provision, because it does interfere with the existing government in a particular State. Unless a situation has arisen in a particular State where the Governor is satisfied that law and order has broken down, and he sends a communication to the President to that effect, we cannot take it up here. It is only when the Governor is satisfied that there is a breakdown of law and order and sends a report to that effect that we can take it up here.

Shri Nath Pai: I should not have liked to speak at all but for my fear that silence on this occasion may be misconstrued or misunderstood as acquiescence on my part in the fallacious submission of my hon. friend, Shri Umanath. (Interruptions).

I shall now come to the substantive part of my submission. In the first place, you should not have any hesitation in ruling out of order the so-

called point of order he has tried to raise here. You rightly admitted this question. I would not like anybody to try to curtail, slowly or otherwise, the rights of this House. There is a growing tendency now-a days to do so on the pretext of defending the rights of the States, the States encroaching on the rights of Parliament. Some of us will resist it at every stage. Everywhere we are now hearing this talk of the rights of the States. But what about the rights of Parliament?

I would like you to refer to art. 19. Among the rights listed therein and given to us all citizens, are these:

"to assemble peaceably and without arms; to form associations or unions".

What was happening in this particular area was that a valiant colleague of ours was brutally butchered. Shall we be gagged, muzzled or throttled when a valiant calleague of ours, whom we had known for 20 years, was brutally assaulted and then hacked to pieces? We are told It is a State subject; keep quiet'.

Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhuri: Shri Nath Pai's party is also represented in the State Government. I am also a Member of Parliament and I am conscious of the rights of Parliament.

Shri Nath Pai: I shall uphold the right of Parliament; he is free to deny Parliament its rights (Interruptions)

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: Let us hear arguments and not this melodrams. (Interruptions)

Shri Nath Pai: This is not melorama. I shall make my submissions in my own way. I will not be muzzled like this.

Shri Jyetirmey Basu: His Party has got representation in the West Bengal Cabinet.

Shri Nath Pai: Item 54 of the Union List, Regulation of Mines, and item 55, Regulation of labour and safety in mines-these are Central subjects. Finally, Labour is a Central subject.

An hon, Member: Concurrent subiect.

Shri Nath Pai: All right, concurrent subject. We have always raised the question of rights of labour nere.

Therefore, I would submit to you: in the first place, please try to resist any curtailment, any abridgment, of the rights of Parliament; secondly, this question, I think, was rightly admitted and therefore, you should rule the point of order out of order

Shri S. A. Dange: I only wanted to say that I would not mind Parliament discussing the murder or assault of any trade unionist in any industrial dispute in any part of the country.

Mr. Speaker: I would like to explain the procedure followed with regard to Short Notice Questions, First they are sent to the Ministers concerned. It is only after the Minister accepts a Short Notice Question that it gets printed. Normally it is accepted. Naturally in this case the Minister accepted it. That is why it has been put down here. It is not as if it is only a law and order question, but other things are also connected with it. Shri Hathi himself had said, according to Shri Umanath, sometime ago, when he was perhaps Minister in the Home Ministry, that only labour matters should be considered and not law and order matters. So he will himself bear that in mind and answer. I would now request him to answer the question.

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Labour Employment and Rehabilitation (Shri L. N. Mishra): Before I answer it, with due respect, I would say the question is admitted by the Lok Sabha Secretariat and we are saked to say whether we are prebared to answer at a short notice....

Mr. Speaker: This is a Short Notice Question. It is the responsibility of the Minister. If he says, 'I am sorry, I cannot answer', the Lok Sabha Secretariat is helpless. He must at least know the rules.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTION

Lawlessness in Collieries in Burdwan District

S.N.Q. 14. Shri D. N. Patodia: Shri S. K. Tapuriah: Shri P. K. Deo: Shri Mohamed Imam: Shri Charaniit Rai: Shri N. Dandeker: Shri Kanwar Lat Gupta: Shri A. B. Vajpayee: Shri K. N. Tiwary: Shri Tulshidas Jadhav:

Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to state:

- (a) whether a state of complete lawlessness, anarchy and breakdown of constitutional machinery is valent in Ratibari and Kuradi lieries in Burdwan, district of West Bengal:
- (b) if so, whether there have been several cases of lawlessness, assault, unlawful confinement and attempts to damage public and private property by the armed outsiders; and
- (c) whether an SSP leader who was also the Secretary of the Colliery brutally Muzdoor Congress was murdered by anti-social elements recently and the action, if any, being taken by Government to give full protection to the collieries in question and the people who are employed there and those living in those areas?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Schabilitation (Shri L. N. Mishra): (a) and (b). The situation in these Collieries has unfortunately periously deteriorated recently. There been cases of intimidation, assault violence and wrongful confinement.

5819

(c) Shri B. P. Jha, a S.S.P. leader and Secretary of the Colliery Mazdoor Congress was killed in a disturbance at the Kuardi Colliery on June 1967.

The situation in these collieries arising from inter-union rivalry poses a law and order problem and the Central Government have requested the State Government to take suitable measures

Shri D. N. Patodia: Since the situation and the prevailing lawlessness has been admitted by the hon. Minister. I would like to know what reply the State Government has given so far, and if it has chosen to remain silent on the subject, in what manner the Central Government propose to proceed further in the matter.

Shri L. N. Mishra: They are not silent. The police are investigating the case. FIR has been filed.

Shri D. N. Patodia: These disturbances in the collieries as well as certain other disturbances in Naxalbari are part of the big agitation of gherao in West Bengal, by which complete lawlessness is prevailing there and the fundamental rights of protection are being denied. Therefore, in this bigger context, may I know what positive steps the Government propose to take by which the fundamental rights of the people are protected and the exodus of people from West Bengal is prevented?

Shri L. N. Mishra: We are concerned primarily with the limited question of industrial relations in this colliery. I would not like to go into those matiers.

Shei S. H. Tapuriah; From gherao to Naxalbari to Kharibar, it is a very end state of affairs in West Bengal, A reign of terror has been let loose. We have also seen that the advice given by Mr. Y. B. Chavan, and the recent proposal to send a team of MPs to Nazalbari have been resented. Even the admindon of the question has been Potented.

Shri Vasudevan Nair: Are you allowing the State Government to be slandered like this?

Shri S. K. Tapurish: These people even try to question your authority to admit this question. All these things are going on because they want Parliament to stay away from this thing. My question is: how long can the Centre sit tight and watch the deteriorating situations? Are they taking any positive action against them?

Shri L. N Mishra: We are not sitting tight. So far as industrial relations are concerned, we have taken positive steps. We have created a kind of a special cell for it, deputed a new officer, additional officer, to the collieries of Bihar-Bengal. We are not sitting tight so far as industrial relations are concerned.

Shri Mohammed Imam: Is the State Government aware of this lawlessness that is going on there, and if so, what action did they take? May I know which are the political parties that have been encouraging this lawlessness?

Mr. Speaker; He may answer about labour trouble, not about law and order

Shri L. N. Mishra: There was a clash between the workers of two unions. not between political parties, two centra: organisations of labour. AITUC and HMS. The leader of the SSP murdered by the followers of the other group as it is alleged.

Shri N. Dandeker: I hope the Minister would not-I assume the Government deals with this matter as one of joint responsibility—keep on saying this concerns local labour, or this does not concern something else. This situation in West Bengal and in parts of East Bihar is reminiscent of what happeneid in Telengana in 1947 and At that time, the Central Gevern. ment thought fit to take decisive action and that action was quite effective, and this whole kind of rot was stamped out with a very firm hand. I want to know why the Control Government is not now taking similar action to stamp out this thing with a very firm hand.

Shri L. N. Mishra: I would not like to go into the history of Telengana or anything. Our responsibility is confined to industrial relations in the collieries. We have taken firm, positive action, and we feel that industrial peace will be restored.

Shri N. Dandeker: What positive action?

Mr. Speaker: He is answering the question about the collieries, not about law and order. He is labour Minister. Naturally he is answering only about labour.

Shri N. Dendeker: There is joint reponsibility of the Government, and he cannot keep on shirking this question

भी कंबर लाल गुप्त प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, 31 मई को कुछ लोगों ने एस० एस० पी० पूर्तियम के लीवर का मर्बर किया—एक तो भापने उन का नाम नहीं बताबा.

भी मन् सिमयेः बनारसी प्रमाद शा

भी कंबर लाल गुप्त: दूसरे इन यूनियन के लोगों ने 31 मई को कोयला खानों के मालिकों को 9 घन्टे तक घूप में खड़ा रखा, उन को मौबालय नड़ी जाने दिया, पानी नड़ी पीने दिया

भी भी० ५० जाने : बहुत प्रश्ला किया ।

भी हुकम चन्द कञ्जवाय: यही प्रापका कल्चर है।

भी सु॰ कु॰ ताचिव्या : बाएको वूच का क्या फ्ला, बाच तो एयर-कन्डीशन गाड़ियों में चूमते हैं। धूप कैबी कड़ी होती है, इसका बापको क्या पता।

भी भी • स॰ डांबे : खण्डा किया घीर वड वका करेंने इस प्रकार के सांसिकों के विकास । It is the owners who are maintaining gangsters, and we are going to act against them.

Mr. Speaker: You can do it outside, if you please. This is Parliament House (Interruptions).

श्री संबर शास गुप्त . इन के करम के प्रति-रिक्त तीन धार्टीम्यों को किडनैप कर दिया गया भीर बहुत सारों को साठी से पीटा गया । इस सम्बन्ध में मैं मरकार से पूछना चाहता हू कि क्या धाप के पास 7 जूब को कोलियरीज के मालिकों की तरफ से काई पैटीशन धाई थी, यदि धाई थी, तो उस में मोटें मोटें कीन कीन से प्याइन्टस चे ?

दूमरी बात मैं यह पूछना चाहता हूं कि माइन्दा लेबर के इस प्रकार के इन्सीडेंन्टस न हों, जिस में ट्रेड यूनियन लीडरान की कत्स किया जाता है, उन को नाठियों से मारा जाता है, इस के जिसे मरकार क्या कदन उठा रही है ?

भी ल० ना० किया. जहा तक 31 ता० की बात है, रित्तवाडी में घेराव हुमा था, वहां के मैं नेजर भीर दूसरे लोगों को तकलीफ हुई थी-यह सही है। उन को चार बजे तक धूप में खड़ा रखा गया, पानी नहीं दिया गया। लेकिन जहा तक मैंनेजवेण्ट के लोगों का तारीख 7 को मिलने का ताल्लुक है, मैं कह नहीं सकता कि कौन सी तारीख थी, लेकिन वहां के लोग हमसे भीर हुआरे भन्म सामियों से निजे चे भीर उच्चोंने भन्मी किनाइबा कताई थी कि किस तरह वहां पर दो बूनियमों की सड़ाई के का रख उन के लिये, कठिवाई उत्पन्न हो रही है भीर उत्पर्तत में बाधा पड़ रही है।

जहां तक भी बी० पी० जा के करन का संबन्ध है— 4 जून को उन का करन अपुपस के संबर्ध के कारण हुआ।

भी संबर साल कुछा : और प्रका के दूसरे चार्ट का उत्तर नहीं झावा----माइन्सा इस

5824

तरह के झगड़े न हों, ट्रेड यूनियनों के बीच सें करल तक नौबत न झाये, उस के लिये भाग ने क्या किया ?

Shri S. A. Dange: In this murder, the AITUC is not concerned.

श्री ल० ना० मिश्र : हम इस में कुछ नहीं कर सकते । ये जो ट्रेड यूनियन चलाने वाले नेता हैं, जिनके संगठन हैं, जन को एक दूसरे के प्रति टालरेन्स रखना होगा, जन को एक दूसरे के साथ काम करने की भावना जगानी होगी । जो लोग वहां काम कर रहे हैं, जन में एक के नेता कम्युनिस्ट मार्किसस्ट लोग हैं भौर दूसरे के एस० एस० पी० । भगर वे सरकार साथ चला सकते हैं तो मजदूर धान्दोनन भी साथ चला सकते हैं ।

भी अंबर लाल गुप्त: मध्यक्ष महोदय,
मुझे मिनिस्टर साहब की बात से एतराज है।
बे कह रहे हैं कि दोनों ट्रेड मूनियनें जानें।
दोनों ट्रेड यूनियनें लड़ती हैं, झगड़ा करती हैं,
झेबर डिस्पयूटस फैलते हैं, तकलीफ होती
है- क्ल लरकार चुप बैठ कर घपनी इम्पोन्सी दिखाती रहेगी, इन-काम्पीटन्सी दिखाती
रहेगी—यह क्या जवाब मिनिस्टर साहब ने
दिया कि हम कुछ नहीं कर सकतें।

Mr. Speaker: This is a police matter.

बी घटल बिहारी बाजपेबी: अध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि श्री झा की हत्या किन परिस्थितियों में हुई? क्या यह सब है कि जब श्री झा घपने कार्यकर्ताघों की समा को सम्बोधित कर रहे थे, उनके ऊपर धाक्रमण किया गया, उन के विक्क हिष्यार काम में शाये गये, बम का प्रयोग हुआ ? क्या सरकार ने पता लगाने की कोशिल की कि इस हत्या के बाद जो हत्या में सम्मिशत समझ जाते हैं उन के खिलाफ क्या कार्यवाही की गयी ? क्या घणी तक उन को गिरफ्तार किया गया है या वे वैसे ही छूट हुए पूम है

भी ल० ना० मिथाः पहली बात तो यह कि गुप्ता जी ने कहा कि हम लोग बैठे हुए हैं हम लोग बैठे हुए नही हैं और नहीं इनकाम्पी टेंन्ट हैं। हमारी स्टेट गवर्नमेट की पुलिस वहां पर काम कर रही है, तहकीकात कर रही है। जहां तक इस घटना की बात है-बम का भी प्रयोग वहां पर हुआ, ऐसा कहा जाता है, भाले, तलवार, लाठी भावि का भी प्रयोग हुआ भीर इस तरह सें उनका करल किया गया। जहां तक उन लोगो की गिरफ्तारी का सवाल है, सभी तक कोई गिफ्तारी नहीं हुई है। मैंने यहा धाने से पहले कलकत्ता टेलीफोन करवाया था धौर वहा से यह मालूम हुआ कि अभी तक कोई गिरफ्तार नहीं हमा है, लेकिन दोनो तरफ की बातें पुलिस के सामने है-श्री का के लोगों के बयान भीर दूसरे लोगों के बयान पुलिस के सामने हैं भीर पुलिस इस के बारे में तहकीकात कर रही

भी नाथ पै० दोनो तरफ की बातें क्या रे, वह भादमी मर गया है या नहीं रे

Shri D. N. Patodia: On a point of order It is a most unsatisfactory answer. The murder occurred on the 4th. I believe some very vital interests are involved in it. So, this matter should be discussed in this House for two hours.

Mr. Speaker: We will see

भी जिन न रायन यह जो मर्डर हुआ तो वह पुलिस भीर उस राज्य का विचय है भीर उनमें सैंद्रल गवर्नमेंट कोई इंटरफीयरेंस नहीं कर सकती क्यों कि वह तो उस राज्य भीर पुलिस का मामला है भीर यह उन का ही विचय है।

Shri Jyotirmey Basu: I shall read out briefly what the Deputy Inspector-General of Police, an officer of the Indian Police Service, who is ultimately controlled by the Central 5825

Government, has stated in his report. He has said:

"About 500 men equipped with deadly weapons a tacked number 11 and 12 cooli Dharaos of the said colliery under the leadership of Mr. B. P. Jha. As a result of this attack, 11 colliery workers were seriously injured "

Several hon, Members rose-

An hon. Member: He should not read.

Shri D. N. Patodia: Let the Minister explain.

Mr. Speaker: Please put the ques-Do not read.

Shri Jyotirmoy Basu: May I know whe her the Central Government has received a report submitted by the DIG of Police, Burdwan Range and, if so, what is the reaction of the Central Government thereto? statement says: "About 500 men ..." (Interruption).

Several hon. Members rose-

Shri Umanath: It is not fair. They have put so many questions, and when the hon. Member gives the other version, why should they shout?

Mr. Speaker: I have allowed him to put his question. But he goes on reading something.

Shri Jyotirmoy Basu: I shall obey you and put a question. The only thing is that they referred to some details and so I wanted to put forward the other version. (Interruption). The statement says: "About 500 men equipped with deadly weapons attacked No. 11 and 12 cooli Dharaos. . . Then, 'in the report it has been further stated that when the workers led by B. P. Jha were going back after beating up the workers of cooli Dhaoras in this way, the beaten up workers attacked them from behind and seriously injured Shri Jha. Shri Jha died and his dead body was thrown away. Except Shri Jha, nobody was injured".

Several hon, Members rose-

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. All of you must sit down. I am explaining the position. This is the Question Hour. We are not discussing any subject. You have the right to put a question, and nobody can prevent you from putting your question. shall see that everybody gets a chance. I can guarantee that. If one side has a right to shout, then the other has that right also Now, this is Question Hour. shout. Shri Umanath also raised some points, some constitutional points, and so on. The other hon. Member refers to police writings. During debate you can raise such things but not during the Ques.ion Hour. Therefore, I would request Shri Jyotirmoy Basu to put his question and not begin reading it again. The same thing he could have put in a different Way.

Shri Jyotirmoy Basu: May I know, in view of the fact that the DIG of Police, has reported that B. P. Jha as beaten up and he died and that ne persons living in those two Dhathe Communist belong to (Marxist) led union, is it true that the Government has received similar reports from the DIG, Burdwan Range, West Bengal Government, and, if so, what is the reaction of the Government thereto?

Shri L. N. Mishra: Hon. Member Shri Jyotirmoy Basu seems to have better access to the Government records than we have. moment, I have no copy of the report of the DIG, Burdwan Range. But the Home Secretary to the West Bengal Government has sent us a report. I will not like to read out from it, because the case is under investigation. We have also reports from our own organisation. In the collieries, we have the Chief Labour Commissioner and the Additional Labour Commissioner. We have reports from them. There are different versions, but it is a fact that Mr. B. P. Jha was murdered. Other things are disputed, but this murder, is not in dispute.

Shri Jyotirmey Basu: Under what circumstances did it happen?

An hen. Member: Are you defending the circumstance?

Shri D. N. Patodia: But no action has been taken so far.

भी राम सेवक बादव : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, में स्बंध बहां पर गया वा। को जानकारी नुजे मिली है उस घाधार पर मैं प्रखला हं कि श्रीबी०पी० झा० की हत्या बहुत ही चृणित बी और क्या यह सही है कि 4 जुन को जब हत्या हुई उस के पहले 2 तारीखको युनियन 3 कायकर्ताघों को जिसके वह नेता थे पकडा नवा और उनको परेशान किया गया। उस दहनत से पैदा हुई हालत को कट्रोल करने के लिए वह समा होने जा रही थी और उन सभा को वह जा रहे थे तब उन की हत्या की गई या बम बगैरह का इस्लेमाल हुआ और क्या वह सही है कि कुछ लोगो के नाम एफ० माई० भार० में दर्ज कराये गये जिनमें लफ्ट कम्मुनिस्ट पार्टी के नेता लीग हैं उन के खिलाफ बाज तक कोई कार्यवाही यहा तक कि छानबीन भी भौर पूछ गछ भी नहीं की गई तब उस सदर्भ में मैं यहा जानना बाहुना कि जब मली महोदब ने कहा कि यह मामला जाच पड़ताम के मातहत है छानबीन हो रही है भीर जब ऐसी स्विति है वो डी॰ वाईं॰ वी॰ को क्या हक वा चौर किम नियम के मातहत उन्होंने बयान दिया जब कि वहा की छानबीन हो रही है ? दूसरे मह जो राइवल वृत्तियन्य की बात होती है लैपट कम्युनिस्ट घौर एस० एस० पी० की तो वह सैंपट कम्युनिस्टो की युनिसन कितने चिम पहले बनी थी और वह एस । एस । पी॰ की पहले वाली यूनियन कितने दिनों से क्ल रही है और अया यह मही नहीं कि वहां के देड मुनियन मुबमेंट को बोकि जातिपूर्ण नम रहा वा उस को क्याने के निए वह सब कार्यवाही की नई ?

भी अ॰ मा॰ व्याप : वह बात सही है कि यह मो भी बी॰ पी॰ झा॰ का करण हुआ उस से पहले से ही नहां सगड़ा चल रहा था । माननीय सदस्य ने कहा कि वह स्वय बहां पर गये थे, मुझे मालूम है कि बर वहां पर गये थे। उन को स्मरण होग कि 5 मई से ही वहां की हालत बराव बल रही बी भीर इस तरह के इटिभिडेशन ऐमास्ट वाएलैस और रॉगफुल कमका-इनमैट के काफी केसेंज हो चुके थे। की एक ग्रन्छी बासी लम्बी फहरिस्त होगी। मैं समझता हु कि दस, बारह ऐसी बटनाए होगी, लेकिन इस समय मैं उनका जिक नहीं करना बाहता और उनका व्योरा नहीं देना वाहना। जहां तक इन राइवल यूनियन्य का बसाल है, एस॰ एस॰ पी॰ की युनियन सब से परानी यनियन है भौर वह विकशनाइण्ड युनियन है। यह जो मैफ्ट मिकस्ट कम्युनिस्टों ने बनाई है वह युनियन बाद की है यह एलेक्जन के बाद बनी हं भीर उन की यह यनियन रिक्यनाइबंड नहीं हैं इस के लिए मालिक पर दबाब डाल रहें भें कि तुम हमारे साब काम करो भीर उनके माथ काम मत करो। जहां तक बगाल का प्रश्न है बगाल के कोयसे की बदान मे एस॰ एस॰ पी॰ वालों की की जो वृतियत है उस को हम नामते है, उन की ताकत ज्यादा है भीर इन तीन जयहों में भी एस॰ एस॰ पी॰ बालों की ताकत ज्यादा वी और उन की यूनिवन्त को मान्यता बी।

जहां तक नुवरिमों का सवास है मैं उन से कहंगा कि को मुझे खबर मिकी है उस के धनुसार भी महेगा सिंह, सेकेटरी कुराडी मूनिट कोइसिएरी नजदूर कांग्रेख एस॰ एस॰ पी॰ ने सर्च की हरखन राय एम॰ एस॰ प॰, रीजिन चटजी बाहस प्रेडिट कोइसिएरी नजदूर सथा छी॰ पी॰ धार्ष॰ नानित्र और रीजिन सेन ए सीडिन खी॰ पी॰ धार्ष॰ नानित्र और रीजिन सेन ए सीडिन खी॰ पी॰ धार्ष॰ नानित्र और रीजिन सेन ए सीडिन खी॰ पी॰ धार्ष॰ नानित्र असर सीर 21 सन्य नोनों के नाम चुनित्र के खीर नुवरिनों के जिलाने हैं। चुनित्र उस की सहसीकार कर रही है।

Shri Hem Baren: The Minister said that this situation in that particular area started deteriorating from the 5th May Unfortunately the police in West Bengal has been immobilised due to so many reasons. It is a fact that the police in West Bengal has been immobilised and the situation started deteriorating from 5th May, resulting in the murder of a man. The murder of a man is a reprehensible thing. Why is it that they did not do anything to give any protection to the trade union workers? (Interruption)

12.38 hrs.

RE OPPORTUNITY TO ASK SUPPLEMENTARIES

Mr. Speaker: Before I pass on to the next item I would like to bring one thing to the notice of the House One hon Member has sent a note which has really pained me. He says that he is very sorry for my highhandedness and all that. If he had been only educated in India I would not have minded, but he is highly foreign educated and all that

Shri Nath Pai: Why don't you mention the name.

Mr. Speaker: I do not want to mention the name.

Shri Nath Pai: Then all of us become suspect in the eyes of the House.

Mr. Speaker: No, none of you has done this. I would call that hon. Member and speak to him rather than mention it here. But I would like to express my pain and grief over the way in which it is done. * spent 40 minutes today over one question. I knew it was wrong, but I allowed the House to go on with only one ques ion. I know during the Question Hour one question cannot be allowed to go on for 40 minutes. If in spite of that, if one hon. Member was not called, he says that it was high-handedness on my part, it is really unfortunate. I would request

him-he knows it, one hon. Member here knows it.

Shri Hem Barua: In all fairness, Sir, you should identify the Member or else what will happen is, we also tried to catch your eye.

Mr. Speaker: I know that. I entirely agree with you. But the hon. Member who sent me the note knows it. I am sure he will himself feel sorry for this.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Demilitarised Zone between North and South Korea

*574. Dr. Ramen Sen: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to sate:

- (a) whether it is a fact that North Korea has informed India of increasing US violations in the demilitarised zone between it and South Korea;
- (b) if so, the reaction of Government thereto?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagia): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Government of India have noted the claims and charges made by both the sides.

Applications for Passports

*576. Shri George Fernandes: Shri J. H. Patel: Shri Madhu Limaye:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether there has been a phenomenal rise in the applications for passport since the Supreme Court's judgment holding that it was the fundamental right of every citizen to secure a passport; and
- (b) Whether all applicants have been issued the passports?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) There has been a slight increase in the number of applications for passports after the Supreme Court Judgment in addition to the increase which had already occurred as a result of liberalisation of rules in June last year.

(b) Efforts were made by the available staff handling the increased work-load to dispose of as many passport applications as possible. During 1966 only 4 per cent applications were rejected. 'In the first 5 months of 1967.18 per cent of applications were rejected.

Investigations into use of Toxic Chemicals in Vietnam

*578. Shri Indrajit Gupta: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the International Control Commission for Vietnam has made any investigation into the allegation that toxic chemicals are being used by U.S.A. against the civilian population in South Vietnam; and
 - (b) if so, the findings thereof?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) It is understood that the International Commission has received complaints from the People's Army of North Vietnam about the alleged use of toxic chemicals and gases by the United States of America in South Vietnam.

(b) The Commission sends reports of its activities to the Co-Chairmen of the Geneva Conference. No report on this subject has been received by the Government of India so far.

Violation of Indian Air Space by Pakistan

*579. Shri Yashpal Singh:
Shri S. C. Samanta:
Shri Onkar Lal Berwa:
Shri Hukam Chand Kachwai:
Shri Jagannath Rao Joshi:
Shri Swell:
Shri D. C. Sharma:

Shri Meetha Lal: Shri K. N. Pandey: Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey: Shri Tridib Kumar Chaudhari:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether a fighter plane from East Pakistan entered Indian territory and flew over the villages of Jayantiput and Haridaspur of 24 Parganas District on the 30th April, 1967;
- (b) whether this was the second incident of air intrusion within a week; and
- (c) the action which has been taken in the matter?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

Infiltration of Tibetans in Bhutan

*580. Shri D. N. Patodia: Shri C. C. Desai: Shri R. Barua:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that there has recently been heavy infiltration of Tibetans into Bhutan;
- (b) if so, the total number estimated to have crossed over to Bhutan from Tibet:
- (c) whether the Government of Bhutan have approached the Government of India in this regard; and
- (d) if so, whether any steps have been taken to deport the infiltrators and check their entry any further?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagin): (a) A number of Tibetans have crossed over to Bhutan in the recent past.

(b) The number of Tibetans who crossed over to Bhutan between the period 1st October, 1966 to 31st March, 1967 is 132.

- (c) No. Sir.
- (d) No, Sir. All such Tibetans are interrogated and those found genuine refugees are permitted to enter and settle in India. Those against whom there is any suspicion are kept in detention

Supply of Military Equipment by Russia to Pakistan

*581. Shri Sradhakar Supakar:

Shri N. R. Laskar:

Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinha:

Shri Mohsin:

Shri Dhirendranath:

Shri K. P. Singh Deo:

Shri Y. A. Prasad:

Shri N. K. Sanghi:

Shri Parthasarathy:

Shri Bedabrata Barua:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the U.S.S.R has recently supplied a large quantity of military equipment to Pakistan;
- (b) the total value of the military europeant purchased by Pakistan from U.S.A., U.S.S.R. and Iran in the recent months; and
- (c) the steps taken by Government to meet the threats from Pakistan?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat):

(a) Government have not received any reports to this effect

(b) In view of the answer to (a) the question relating to value of purchase of military equipment by Pakistan from the U.S.S.R. does not arise. The U.S.A. has recently made it possible for Pakistan to purchase non-lethal equipment as well as spares for lethal equipment. No information is available as to the extent to which this facility has been availed of. known that Pakistan has obtained a variety of arms and spares, ammunition and vehicles from Iran. It is not possible to give an estimate of the value of the purchases made by Pakistan from U.S.A. and Iran.

(c) The arms build-up of Pakistan is being closely watched by Government and steps necessary to meet the threat to our security arising therefrom are constantly under review and appropriate steps are taken to deal with any threat posed by it

indian Contingent with UNEP in Gasa

*582. Shri K. P. Singh Deo:
Shri Atam Das:
Shri Prakash Vir Shastri:
Shri Raghuvir Singh Shastri:
Shri Shiy Kumar Shastri:
Shri Arjun Singh Bhadoria:
Shri Molahu Prasad:
Shri B. S. Sharma:
Shri D. C. Sharma:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the evacuation of the Indian contingent with the UNEF in Gaza has commenced; and
- (b) when the evacuation process is likely to be completed?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Surendra Pal Singh): (a) and (b). The Indian Contingent with UNEF has already left Gaza. Of the 981 officers and men of the Contingent 14 were killed, 948 have arrived in India via Cyprus and the remaining 19 are expected to return home soon

मंत्रालयों द्वारा समाचार ए**वेंसियों की** सेवाएं प्रप्त करना

583 श्री सिद्धेक्थर प्रसाद नया सूचना भीर प्रसारण मंत्री यह बताने की अपा करेंगे कि

(क) कौन-कौन से मतासय समाचार एजेंसियों की सेवाये प्राप्त करते रहे हैं तथा उन समाचार एजेंसियों के नाम क्या है तथा पिछले तीन क्यों से इन एजेंसियों को कमशः कितनी राज्ञि का भुगतान किया गया

- (ब) क्या कची किसी मंत्रासय ने बनाचार एवेंसियों की सेवाएं प्राप्त करने की उपबोरिता का मृत्यांकन किया है ;
- (ग) यदि हां, तो इसका क्या परिणाम निकला : ग्रीर
- (घ) यदि नहीं, तो मूस्यांकन न करने के क्या कारण हैं और वर्तमान प्रबन्धों में सुधार करने के लिये क्या कार्यवाही की जा रही है ?

सुचना और बसारण नंधी (भी के के बाह): (क) प्रव तक सात मदालयो से मुक्ता मिली है। सूक्ता और प्रसारण मंत्रालय के प्रतिरिक्त दी प्रय मंत्रालय-(1) वैदेशिक कार्य मंत्रालय तथा (2) प्रतिरक्षा मंत्रालय-समाचार एजेंसियों की सैवायें प्राप्त कर रहे हैं। इन मंत्रालयों ने पिछने तीन वधीं में जो बर्च दिया उसका एक विवरण सदन की मेज पर रखा जा रहा है। पुस्तकालय में रका गया। संस्था एस॰ टी-705/67] बाकी मंत्रालयो के बारे में मूचना एक ब की जा रही हैं भीर समा पटम पर रख दी जायेगी ।

- (क) से (घ). सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय इन समाचार एजेंनियों की सैनाधी की उपयोगिता का मुख्यांकन करने के लिये नीचे दी गई बातों पर निर्मर करता है :-
 - 1. स्वीकार्यता
 - 2. विष्यसनीयना
 - 3. स्पीड भीर
 - 4. फैमाव

इन परीक्रणों को ब्यान में रखते हुए, वे क्षेत्रार्वे लामदायक सिद्ध हुई हैं। प्रश्य मंत्रा-नवीं ने इन संचाओं का मृत्यांकन करने के लिये कीम से परीक्रम प्रचनार्वे हैं, इसके बारे में सूचनाएक जाकी जारही है।

यह सब है कि प्रस कनीवन ने 1954 वें यह उल्लेख किया वा कि कोई सवाचार एवेंसी बाहे कितनी भी बस्तुनिक क्वों न हो, एकाधिकार के कारण कुछ बुटियां पैदा हो सकती हैं। वे कमियां प्रतियोगी सेंबा द्वारा, जो सभी उपभोक्ताओं को स्वतन्त्र रूप से उपलब्ध हों, दूर की जा सकती हैं। इन बातों को प्यान में रखते हुए, प्राकाशवाणी तथा इस मंत्रालय के घन्य विभागों के लिये तीन भीर समाचार-एजेंसियों की, जिनके नाम है-समाचार मारती, हिन्दुस्तान समाचार तथा इंडिया न्यूज एण्ड फीचर बलायन्स, सेवाये प्राप्त करने का विचाराधीन है।

संसद सबस्यों के भावन * 584. भी सरज् पाण्डेय : भी इसहाक साम्अली :

नया सुचना और प्रसारण मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि धाकाशवाणी से लोक समा में सदस्यो हारा दिये गये भाषणों का प्रसारण किया जाता है;
- (स) यदि हां, तो क्या यह भी सच है कि ऐसे भाषणों के प्रसारण के बारे में कोई निश्चित नीति नही है ;
- (ग) क्या यह भी सच है कि 31 मई, 1967 को रेसबे बचट पर चर्चा के समय जिन सदस्यों ने भाषण दिये वे तौतकाम 8-15 वर्जे के समाचार प्रश्लारण में उन सनी नामों का उल्लेख नहीं किया गया था; धीर
- (न) यदि हां, तो इसके क्या कारण 8 ?

मुचना बीर प्रसारण मंत्री (श्री के॰ के॰, ब्राह्): (क) से (थ). बंसव् सवस्वों हारा विने गये जायम प्रकारित नहीं किए जाते । बहस के बौरान कही गई मुख्य वातें ही समाचार क्लेटिनों वा "संसद समीका" में शामिल की जाती हैं। यह सही है कि 31 मई, 1967 को रेलवे बजट पर हुई बहस के दौरान जिन सदस्यों ने भाषण दिये थे, उन सब के नामों का उल्लेख 8.15 बजे के प्रसारण में नहीं किया गया था। जसा कि पहले बताया जा चुका है बहम के दौरान कही गई मुख्य बातें ही समाचार बलेटिनों या' संसद समीक्षा में शामिल की जाती हैं। भाकाशवाणी द्वारा प्रसारित किए जाने वाला लम्बें में लम्बा समाचार बलेटिन 15 मिनट की ग्रवधि का होता है। इसमे समस्त संसार के समाचार दिए जाते हैं, जिनमें संसद में जो कुछ होता है वह भी शामिल है। ससद समीका 10 मिनट की धवधि की होती है। इन समीक्षाओं में, संसदीय बहसों के अतिरिक्त संसद में हुए हास्य-विनोद भीर उसके सामान्य वातावरण का भी उल्लेख किया जाता है। भतः संसद में जो कुछ होता है, उसके बारे में प्रसारण मीमित होता है ।

Visit of Underground Naga Representatives to London

*585, Shri Atam Das; Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey; Shri Yajna Datt Sharma; Shri Jagannath Rao Joshi; Shri D. C. Sharma;

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that two underground Naga leaders left for London to have a talk with Mr. Phizo about the settlement of the Naga problem;
- (b) if so, whether it is also a fact that before leaving for London, they had talks with Officers of the External Affairs Ministry in New Delhi; 828 (Ai) LSD-3.

- (c) if so, the text of such talks; and
- (d) the reasons for granting them visas and foreign exchange?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) Two representatives of the Naga Underground have proceeded to London for the purpose noted in the question.

- (b) No. Sir. No talks as such were held although discussion concerning arrangements for the trip had taken place.
 - (c) Does not arise.
- (d) The reason is stated in part (a) of the reply.

Issue of Diplomatic Pas ports

*586, Shri C. C. Desai: Will the Minister of External Affairs Le pleased to state:

- (a) the categories of persons to whom diplomatic passports are issued by the Government of India;
- (b) whether the issue of diplomatic passports is regulated by rules and regulations or is it purely discretionary with the Minister or the Ministry, and
- (c) whether a statement of persons to whom diplomatic passports have been issued but who are not officers of the External Affairs Ministry will be laid on the Table?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) Diplomatic Passports are issued to:

- (i) Officers of the Indian Foreign Service, their wives and dependent children when posted abroad;
- (ii) High dignituries and others having diplomatic status either because of the nature of their foreign mission or because of the position they

hold, e.g., The President, the Vice-President, Chief Justice, Speaker, Ministers of Union Government and Chief Ministers of State Governments. Members of Parliament and senior Government officers when proceeding abroad on parliamentary or governmental business.

- (b) The issue of diplomatic passports is regulated by the rules incorporated in the Passports Rules 1967, notified on the 10th May, 1967, (vide Schedule II, Part I, Item III of the Rules).
- (c) A statement containing the names of persons not belonging to the Foreign Service to whom diplomatic passports have been issued after the promulgation of the Passports Ordinance 1967 is laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library. See No LT-706/67].

81kh Pilgrims to Pakistan

*587. Shri D. C. Sharma: Shri Atam Das:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Shiromani Gurdwara Prabandhak Committee proposed to send one thousand pilgrims to Dehrasahib Gurdwara at Lahore for Guru Arjun Dev's martyrdom day on the 12th June, 1967;
- (b) whether Pakistan has not yet given permission to the Indian Sikh pilgrim jatha to visit Lahore, though an application was made three months ago; and
- (c) if so, the reasons therefor and the steps taken to expedite the matter?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagia): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c). Pakistan Government conveyed their permission only on the 6th June, 1967 even though the pilgrims were scheduled to depart for Pakistan on the 9th June, 1967. We have asked the Pakistan Righ Commission in Delhi to urge their Govern-

ment to grant permission to Indian pilgrim-jathas, well in advance, in future.

Commercial Broadcast on A.L.R.

*588. Shri Nambiar:

Shri C. K. Chakrapani;

Shri Mohammad Ismail:

Shri P. Gopalan:

Shri E. K. Nayanar:

Shri Yajna Datt Sharma:

Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have finalised the rates for advertising on radio;
 - (b) if so, the details thereof;
- (c) whether the press reports that the advertisements would go on the air on the 15th August, 1967 are correct;
- (d) whether the scheme for introducing Commercials on A.I.R. is ready;and
 - (e) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah); (a) and (b). The rates are being finalised.

- (c) Yes, Sir. It is proposed to start commercial broadcasting from 15th August next.
- (d) and (e). Details of the scheme are being worked out.

Foreign Minister's talks with Meads of Missions of Arab States

*589. Shrt Parthementhy: Shrt B. N. Shastri: Shri Y A. Prassd:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether he had talks with the Heads of Missions of 19 Arab States on the 31st May, 1967; and (b) if so, the nature of the talks held at the meeting and the results thereof?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Surendra Pal Singh): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Heads of Arab Missions in New Delhi conveyed their grateful thanks to the Government of India on behalf of their respective Governments for India's support in the West Asian crisis.

Peking Radio Broadcasts About MIZOs

*500. Shri Hem Barua; Shri Kameshwar Singh: Shri A. Sreedharan: Shri Swell:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Peking Radio in its broadcast, possibly on the 29th May, 1967, has come out with encouraging words for the Mizo hostiles for their 'revolution' against India; and
- (b) if so, Government's reaction to the Chinese interference in our internal affairs?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Government are fully aware of the dangerous implications of such interference.

Indo-Pak Talks

*591, Shri Virendrakumar Shah: Shri Bodabeata Barua:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Pakistan Government have sent a reply to his letter expressing willingness of India to talk with Pakistan on all matters including Kashmir issue;
 - (b) if so, the nature thereof; and
- (c) the latest prospects of the proposed Indo-Pakistan talks?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagia): (a) No. Sir.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

Posts of Secretaries in the Departments of Defence Production and Defence Supply

*592. Shri Jyetirmey Basu: Shri K. Ramani:

Will the Minister of Befence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have taken any decision to abolish the posts of secretaries in the departments of Defence Production and Defence Supply, which were created in 1962 in view of the present economy drive; and
- (b) if not, the reasons for their continuance?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) Only the post of Secretary (Defence Supplies) which was created with effect from 24-11-65, is proposed to be abolished with effect from 1-7-67.

assuming increasing importance since 1962, in view of the urgent need to develop and manufacture modern and efficient weapons and equipment within the country. Government are satisfied that the volume, nature and quality of work in the department justifies the post of a Secretary in the Department of Defence Production.

Indo-Ceylon Agreement of 1964

*593, Shri K. Haldar : Shri D. Amat : Shri D. C. Sharma :

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state;

(a) whether Government of Caylon have demanded that under International Law, India was obliged to enact legislation to enable it to accept repatriation of \$25,000 people from Caylon as provided in the Indo-Caylon Agreement of 1964; and

(b) if so, the steps taken in this matter?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) No. Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

5843

Boycott of Indian Goods by American Firms

*594. Shri M. Meghachandra: Shri Bhireswar Kalita: Shri K. Haldar: Shri Hukam Chand Kachwai: Shri Ram Singh Ayarwal: Shri Prakash Vir Shasiri: Shri Ramavatar Shastri: Shri Y. S. Kushwah: Dr. Surya Prakash Puri: Shri Mahant Digvijai Nath: Shri Shiv Kumar Shastri: Shri Raghuvir Singh Shastri: Shri Virendrakumar Shah: Shri S. K. Tapuriah: Shri Hem Barua: Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Shri Nath Pai: Shri Y. A. Prasad: Shri B. N. Shastri: Shri Shiva Chandra Jha:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that as a reprisal to India's support to U.A.R., in the present Middle East crisis, some U.S., Firms have boycotted Indian goods:
 - (b) if so, the details thereof; and
 - (c) Government's reaction thereto?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagiay: (a) and (b). To our knowledge only two firms, Cohen Dry Goods Co., and its subsidiary, Enterprise Manufacturing Co., both of Philadelphia, who had newly entered the field of Indo-U.S., trade to import some Indian textiles, have cancelled their import orders of some \$20,000 from Indian manufacturers.

(c) This unjustified cancellation seems to have been an isolated outburst of emotion. There is no reason why there should be any hostility towards India in the U.S.A., because of our West Asian policies. In any case, trade and econmoic relations should not be mixed up with politics. We believe this is widely recognised in the U.S.A., and find no indication that this isolated cancellation is setting any pattern.

Reported Entry of Chinese into Nagaland

*595. Shri B. N. Shastri: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased state:

- (a) Whether it is a fact that some Chinese nationals recently entered into Nagaland through Tirap District of N.E.F.A.:
- (b) if so, the steps taken to drive them out:
- (c) whether Government are also aware that the Chinese follow the old route over the Patkai range of mountains for entering into India; and
- (d) if so, the steps taken for checking the entry of Chinese through this route?

Affairs The Minister of External (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) No, Sir.

(b) to (d). Do not arise.

Forcible collection of taxes by Nagas

*596. Shri D. C. Sharma: Shri P. K. Deo: Shri G C. Naik: Shri K. P. Singh Deo: Shri A. Dipa:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Naga hostiles are going about collecting taxes forcibly from villages not only in Nagaland but also in certain areas bordering Assam, telling the people that they need money to buy arms and ammunition to strengthen their position and are also intimidating the villagers:
- (b) whether it is also a fact that Naga rebels have been supplied with explosives to blast trains: and

(c) if so, the security arrangements made in this regard?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) The Government of India have received reports, of some cases, alleging extortion by the Underground Nagas from villages in Nagaland and the area adjoining Nagaland-Assam boundry.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) Suitable Security measures with in the limit of the resources in men and money available, have been taken to prevent Subversive activities by the Naga Underground.

केनिया तथा ग्रन्थ ग्रफीकी देशों से भारतीयों का निष्कासन

- 597. श्री संबर लाल गुप्त: क्या वैवेशिक-कार्य मत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :
- (क) क्या यह सब है कि भारतीयों को कीनिया तथा ग्रन्थ ग्रफीकी देशों में निकाला जा रहा है ;
- (ख) क्या सरकार ने इस बारे में कोई कार्यवाही की है; घीर
- (ग) यदि हा, तो इन निरकारो की इस पर क्या प्रतिकिया है?

वैदेशिक-कार्य मंत्रालय में उप-मंत्री (थी सुरेन्द्र पास सिंह) : (क) पिछले वर्ष कुल मिलाकर भारतीय मूल के झाठ व्यक्ति सुरक्षा के बाधार पर कीनिया से वापस मेंचे गये थे । इन में से चार मारत मेंजे गए और इन में से दो तत्काल यूनाइटेड किंगडम चले गए । कीनिया से किसी और को नहीं निष्कासित किया गया है । तंजानिया और मलाबी में इस वर्ष भारतीय मूल के कुछ व्यक्तियों को निषद्ध प्राप्रवासी चे किसी कर दिया ग्या शैर उनसे देन छोड़ कर चले जाने की कहा गया ।

- (ख) हमारे हाई किमश्नरों ने सम्बद्ध सरकारों को यह साफ साफ बता दिया है कि भारतीय मूल के उन व्यक्तियों के प्रस्तावित विपत्तन के सभी मामलों में, जो भारत के नागरिक नहीं हैं, नीचे लिखी प्रनिवार्य गर्ने प्रवश्य पूरी होनी चाहिए :—
 - (1) सबद व्यक्तियों के पास वैध पासपोर्ट होने चाहिए ;
 - (॥) उन्हें उनकी मर्जी के खिलाफ हरगिज भारत नहीं भेजा जाना चाहिए और वे यह भ्रवश्य कहें कि वे भारत जाना चाहते हैं ;
 - (III) एमे सभी मामलों मे भारत सरकार को पहले ग्रवण्य मूचित कर दिया जाना चाहिए ग्रीर प्रस्ताबित कदम उठाने मे पहले हमारी सलाह ले ली जानी चाहिए।
- (ग) ऐमं मकेत मिले है कि हमारी जापतिया और अते वैध पाई गई हैं और ऊपर बताई गई अतों को छोड़ कर मबिष्य में अन्य किमी अवस्था में किसी को आरत वापम जाने का आदेश नहीं दिया जाएगा। तजानिया सरकार के निष्कासन आदेशों के बारे में कहा जाता है कि वे देश में अवैध निवास करने और नियमित कार्य-परमिट रखने के आधार पर जारी किए मए थे। इन निष्कासनों के बारे में हमने तंजानिया की सरकार से लिखा पढ़ी की भी और उसने अपने आदेशों पर फिर विचार करने और उबित मामलों में उन्हें रह करने का निषंय किया है।

मनाबी सरकार ने सुरक्षा के कारण निष्कासन प्रादेश जारी किए वे।

Shifting of Vehicle Research and Development Establishment from Ahmedangar to Avadi

*598, Shri Madhu Limaye: Shri S. M. Banerjee: Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia: Shri George Fernandes:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of persons who are likely to be thrown out of employment as a result of shifting of Vehicle Research and Development Establishment from Ahmednagar to Avadi;
- (b) the expenditure involved in shifting it and the loss likely to be sustained in terms of the abandoned buildings; and
- ic) the Compensation alternative jobs which will be given to the workmen rendered unemployed?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat): (a) to (c). No employee need thrown out of employment as a result of the shift of the Vehicles Research and Development Establishment from Ahmednagar to Avadi as there will be no reduction in establishment, Employees who are liable, in accordance with their terms and conditions of service, to move with the Establishment on the transfer of its location, and are either not offered alternative posts or do not accept offers of alternative posts, will be given terminal benefits as admissible under the relevant Government orders.

The expenditure involved in shifting the installation from Ahmednagar to Avadi has been estimated at Rs. 2.88 lakhs. The buildings vacated at Ahmednagar will be utilised by other Defence Establishments and there will accordingly be no loss on this account.

Caribon Aircraft acquired by IAF

*599. Shri Indrajit Gupta: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that some or most of the Caribou Aircraft acquired for the IAF from Canada are inoperative for lack of spare parts from Canada; and
- (b) if so, the steps taken in the matter?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat):
(a) Serviceability of these aircraft has been affected to some extent by lack of spares.

(b) Steps have been taken in the matter, and the bulk of the spares required have already been received while the remaining quantity is likely to be received shortly.

Telephone Radio Communications between Army Chiefs of India and Pakistan

*600. Shri George Fernandes: Shri J. H. Patel: Shri Rabi Ray: Shri Madhu Limaye:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of times direct telephone|radio communications established between the Chief of Army Staff, India and the Commander-in-Chief, Pakistan Army have been put to use, since these were established; and
- (b) whether it has served any useful purpose?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. E. Bhagat):
(a) On 13 occasions.

(b) The arrangement has proved useful perticularly in dealing with matters which might lead to misunderstanding or be calculated to cause unnecessary tension or with disputes which have actually ocused some tension.

Electric Connections for Civilians in Delhi Cantt. Area

2863. Shri Kanwar Lal Gupta: Shri R. S. Vidyarthi:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the civilians residing in Delhi Cantonment get electric connections after a very long time; and
- (b) if so, the reasons for the delay and the time by which all the applicants are likely to get electric connections?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) Yes, Sir. There has been some delay in providing new electric connections.

(b) The transformer feeding the area became over-loaded as a result of new connections. A project for augmenting the transformer capacity from 300 K.W. to 450 K.W. has accordingly been sanctioned and is under execution. It is expected that all the applications pending on date will be cleared by the end of this year.

Manufacture of Transistors

286a. Shri Baburao Patel: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Companies which manufacture transistor radios in India and the places where they are located:
- (b) the number of sets manufactured by each unit in 1966-67;
- (c) the amount of foreign exchange allowed to these manufacturers for importing spare parts during the year 1966-67;
- (d) the probable loss incurred by small industries in the manufacture of cheap transistor sets owing to the non-availability of raw material;
- (a) the reasons for granting 'Essentiality Certificates' to these manufac-

turers when raw materials could not be made available;

- (f) whether it is a fact that quite a few manufacturers were buying the essential components of transistor sets in the black-market at exorbitant rates to get their units working somehow; and
- (g) the steps taken by Government to end this transistor scandal?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat); (a) to (c). In the organised sector. 21 manufacturers are engaged in the manutacture of transistor sets well as valve sets. They manufactured approximately 4.28 lakhs transistor sets during the calendar year 1966. These units were allocated Rs. 59.22 lakhs in foreign exchange for the year 1966-67 for the production of transistor radios as well the valve radios made by them. Small Scale Sector Units are also engaged in the manufacture of transistor radios. Information regarding number of small scale units engaged in the manufacture of transistor radios and the number of such radios produced by them and the foreign exchange allocation made to them for this purpose is not readily available. As these units fall within the jurisdiction of Directors of Industry of the States, the information regarding number of units engaged in the manufacture of transistor radios and the number of such radios produced by them is being collected from Directors of Industry. Information regarding the foreign exchange released to these unints for the year 1966-67 is being collected from the Chief Controller of Imports and Exports.

(d) to (g). As these questions pertain to the small scale units, information in respect of these questions also is being collected from the Director of Industries of States along with the information regarding the questions (a) to (c) mentioned above.

Yojana Weekly

2865. Shri S. C. Jha: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) how far the weekly Yojna both English and Hindi are dependent on their own earning in the shape advertisements and sale; and
- (b) how far they are dependent on subsidy?

The Minister of Information Broadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah); (a) and (b). The present print order for the English edition of Yojana is 14,050 and that of the Hindi edition 6,300. The total expenditure on the journals, including cost of production and establishment and the total revenue derived from sales, subscriptions and advertisements during 1966-67 were as follows:

Total Total Expenditure Revenue Rs.Rs.English Edition 2.70.866 6.948 Hindi Edition 1,70,984 17,132

Advertisement and sales revenue are steadily increasing but still there is a wide gap between revenue and expenditure. This is mainly due to the fact that a large number of copies are distributed free according to a carefully drawn up schedule, in order to secure the maximum possible awareness of planned economic development, and to stimulate informed discussion thereon, in the rural areas, schools, colleges, universities, etc.

Datch Government's offer for Hydraulic Research

2866. Shri K. P. Singh Deo: Will the Minister of External Affairs pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Dutch Government have offered aid to help hydraulic research in Bihar;
- (b) whether it is also a fact aid for two other projects has also been offered by the Dutch Government:

- (c) if so, details thereof; and
- (d) whether Government have accepted the offer?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) to (d). The Government of the Netherlands have offered assistance to India in improving agricultural production in Bihar by increasing the amount of water available for irrigation. It is proposed to earmark a sum of one million Dutch guilders for this purpose which will be utilised in carrying survey of sub-soil water in a selected area in Bihar State, by geological and geophysical methods, supplemented by actual drilling of a few wells.

A second project which the Government of the Netherlands propose to undertake will be designed to improve the nutritional value of common diet of the people by addition of lysine in order to upgrade the protein content of food. The offer is being examined by the Government of India.

Cordite Factory, Aruvankadu

Gowder: 2867. Shri Nanja Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Employees Union, Cordite Factory, Aruvankadu have submitted a memorandum to Government in April, 1967 containing a list of their demands; and
 - (b) if so, the action taken thereon?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat): (a) No. Sir. There is no Union by the name of Employees Union, Cordite Factory, Aruvankadu. There is however a Union known as the Cor-Nο dite Factory Labour Union. memorandum has been received from this Union also.

(b) Does not arise.

Atomic Scientists

2868. Shri S. C. Jha: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Atomic Scientists in India:
- (b) the number of Atomic Scientists India needs for being completely self-dependent in Atomic Research matters; and
- (c) whether any efforts have been made for bringing back all those Indian Atomic Scientists or other Scientists who have settled abroad?

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shrimati Indira Gandhi): (a) Abou. 2500 scientists/engineers are on the rolls of the Department of Atomic Energy and its various constituent units. This does not include the scientists employed in the aided institutions under the administrative responsibility of this Department.

- (b) It is not possible to estimate precisely the number of atomic scientists and engineers required in order to ensure self-reliance in the field of atomic research. Such self-reliance depends as much on growth and diversification of relevant branches of Indian industry as on the number of scientists and engineers.
- (c) Various steps have been taken by the Government of India to enable Indian scientists settled abroad to return. The Department takes suitable action to secure the services of any particular Indian scientist settled abroad, if his services are required in connection with the atomic energy programme.

Engiousi Prespect Office, New Delhi

\$869. Shri Narendra Singh Mahida: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

 (a) whether the conditions of working are not satisfactory in the Regional Passport Office at New Delhi;

- (b) whether it is a fact that the facilities for a large number of people who visit the Office each day are most inadequate;
- (c) whether it is also a fact that there is considerable delay in the processing of the applications for issue of passports; and
- (d) if so, the steps proposed to be taken to streamline the functioning of this office?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) and (b). Recent measures introduced to liberalise the Passport rules, have resulted in substantial increase in Passport applications and of visitors to the Regional Passport Office. This has caused overcrowding of the present accommodation.

- (c) Effort is always made to dispose of all applications promptly but, naturally, there is some delay in cases where applicants have not complied with formalities.
- (d) Steps have been taken to strengthen the staff and to shift the office to a bigger accommodation.

Marmagao Harbour

2870. Shri Shinkre: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have formulated any scheme, to reserve the present Marmagao Harbour for the sole use of Mercantile Navy and to construct a new one at Baina Coast, near Vasco-da-Gama for the sole use of the Indian Navy;
 - (b) if so, the details thereof; and
- (c) if not, whether Government propose to formulate any such scheme in view of the increasing movement and needs of Mercantile Navy in the Marmagao Harbour?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) to (c). Proposals for the development of Marmagao Harbour for the joint use of the Indian Navy and the Mercantile Navy are still under consideration.

5585

Hyderabad House

2871. Shri Virendrakumar Shah; Shri Sheopujan Shastri; Shri Madhu Limaye;

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether a party for the visiting Pradesh Congress Committee Presidents and Secretaries was held on the 9th April, 1967 at Hyderabad House;
- (b) if so, whether Government have decided to give the Hyderabad House, the Headquarters of External Affairs Ministry, for the use of non-Governmental functions; and
- (c) if so, the rent charged by Government for the use of this House for such non-Governmental functions?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagia): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c). The Hyderabad House is not the headquarters of External Affairs Ministry. Only a portion of it houses a Department of that Ministry. The main building is used for Government hospitality and entertainment by all the Ministries and Departments of the Government of India. It is also used for that purpose by the Prime Minister, specially when the number of guests cannot be accommodated in her present residence.

Very occasionally, Hyderabad House has been allowed to be used for private functions by persons connected with Government on payment of rent at the following scale:

- (i) Hyderabad House (Banquet Hall, Ball Room, etc.) Rs.
 - Rs. 500/per day.
- (ii) Banquet Hall or Ball Room Rs. 250/only. 250/-
- (iii) Lawns in Hyderabad Rs. 150/-House only. Rs. 150/per day.

Border Boads in Assam

2872. Shri R. Barua: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government propose to reimburse the expenses incurred by the Government of Assam for the construction of border roads in Assam; and
- (b) the break-up of these expenses from 1960 onwards?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat):

(a) The entire expenditure incurred on the construction or improvement of the roads included in the programme of the Border Roads Development Board is met by the Centre. When the agency is State P.W.D., the expenditure incurred by State Government is reimbursed as "Grant-maid"

(b) The amounts reimbursed to Government of Assam from 1960 onwards were as under:

1960-61	Rs.	10,37,484.84
1961-62	Rs.	78,70,000.00
1962-63	Rs.	50,64,759.70
1963-64	Rs.	36,68,615,64
1964-65	Rs.	103,42,350.61
1965-66	Rs.	191,07,000.00

A sum of Rs, 226.61 lakhs was provided in the budget for 1966-87 for expenditure on roads entrusted to State P.W.D. in Assam.

Closure of Film Studies

2873. Shri George Fernandes; Shri J. H. Patel; Shri Madhu Limaye;

Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of film studios existing in different parts of the country;
- (b) the number of studies closed down during the past five years; and

(c) the number of workers rendered unemployed consequent upon the closure of studios?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah): (a) to (c). The information is being collected from State Governments etc. and will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.

Planning Commission Members

2874, Shrimati Tarkeshwari Sinba: Shri K. M. Madhukar: Shri Ramavatar Shastri:

Will the **Prime Minister** be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that nearly all the Members of the Planning Commission except one, are no longer in the Planning Commission;
- (b) if so, whether Government propose to nominate a new set of Members to Planning Commission; and
- (c) if so, the names of the persons proposed to be appointed as Members of the Commission?

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shrimati Indira Gandhi): (a) to (c). Prior to the General Elections the composition of the Planning Commission was as follows:

P-Part time
W-Whole time

Prime Minister	Chairman	P
Shri Asoka Mehta, Minister of Planning	Dy. Chairn	an W
Shri Y.B. Chavan, Minister of Home A	Member ffairs	. P
Shri C. Subramanian Minister of Feed, A culture, Commun Development & Cooperation.	ri-	P
Shri Sachindra Cheu dhuri, Minister o Finance.		P

Shri T.N. Singh, Minister of Iron & Steel	Member	P
Prof. P.C. Mahalanobis Hon. Statistical Advi- ser to the Cabinet.	,,	P
Shri Tarlok Singh	**	W
Prof. M.S. Thacker		W
Prof. V.K.R.V. Rao	"	w
Shri S.G. Barve	,,	W

The Administrative Reforms Commission which is examining a wide field of administration submitted an interim Report on 29th April, 1967 on the subject of the reorganisation of Planning Commission. As the matter regarding the composition of the Planning Commission was on the anvil it was not thought appropriate to make fresh appointments to the Planning Commission pending consideration of the proposals for its reorgani-Therefore, it is correct that at present there is only one full-time Member, Prof. M. S. Thacker, another full-time Member Shri Tarlok Singh, has proceeded on long leave and Prof. P. C. Mahalanobis continues in his earlier capacity.

 A decision will be taken shortly on the recommendations of the Administrative Reforms Commission, and the appointments of the Members will be made thereafter.

हिषयारों की सप्लाई के लिए सकती धरब से पाकिस्तान की प्रार्थना

2875. भी हुकम चन्च कच्चवान : भी राम सिंह स्वयरकार : भी यशक्त सिंह पुरावाह :

क्या वैवेशिक-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या यह मन है कि पाकिस्सान ने हविवारों की सप्लाई के लिये सकरी सक्स से प्रायंना की यो तथा उसकी प्रायंना स्वीकार कर ली गई है ;

- (ख) क्या यह भी सच है कि पाकिस्तान ने विश्व बाजार ने वड़े पैमाने पर हथियार खरीदने के लिए सउदी ग्रस्थ में ऋण मांगा है; ग्रोर
- (ग) यदि हा तो इसके बारे में सरकार की क्या प्रतिक्रिया है ?

वंदेशिक-कार्य मंत्री (श्री नु० क० वासना) : (क) मन्कार के पास कर्र सूचना नहीं है ।

- (ख) मरकार ने ममय-ममय पर घलाबारों में ऐसी खबरे देखी हैं कि सफ़री घरन ने मैनिक उपकरण खरीदने के लिए पाकिस्तान की ऋण दिया है। मफ़री धरब की मरकार ने इन खबरों में इनकार किया है।
- (ग) सरकार ने यह स्पष्ट कर दिया है कि भारत इन तरह की हिष्यारों की महायता को अभित्रतापूर्ण कार्य समझौगा और यह कि इस तरह की महायता से उप-महाद्वीप में शास्ति में बाधा पढेगी और इससे ताशकन्द घोषणा के अभल में कठिनाई उत्पन्न हागी।

C.I.A. Activities

2876, Shri A. K. Gopalan: Shri K. Ramani: Shrimati Suseela Gopalan:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether India has supported the move for the inclusion of a separate para to mention the activities of the American Central Intelligence Agency, particularly its infiltrations into non-Governmental organisations in a report of the Economic and Social Council of United Nations (ECOSOC); and
 - (b) if so, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) and (b). During the meeting of the Committee on Non-Governmental Organisations of the Economic and Social Council, some delegations pointed out that a number of non-Governmental organisations received financial support from American Central Intelligence Agency and that this was incompatible with their consultative status with the Economic and Social Council. There was a procedural motion to include this view in the report of the Committee on Non-Governmental Organisations and India supported the

वैशासी के त्योहार पर सिक तीर्थ यात्रियों की पंजा साहब की यात्रा

2877 श्री भारत सिंह बोहान : श्री हुकम खन्द कस्रवाय : श्री राम सिंह ग्रयरवाल :

क्या वंदेशिक-कार्य मत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह मच है कि वैशास्त्री के स्रवसर पर एक हजार सिख तीये यात्री पजा साहब गयं थे ;
- (ख) क्या यह भी मच है कि हुसैनी-वाला क्षेत्र में उनका भव्य स्वागत किया गया था; भीर
- (ग) कितने व्यक्तियों को पासपोर्ट दियं गयं ये तथा उन में से कितने व्यक्तियों की पासपोर्ट नहीं दिये गये थे ?
- बंदेशिक-कार्य मंत्री (श्री मु० क० कागला): (क) वैज्ञान्त्री के घवसर पर भारत के विभिन्न भागों से कोई 1350 सिख यात्री पंजा साहब की यात्रा के लिए गए।
- (ख) सरकार को इस बात की कोई जानकारी नहीं है कि हुमैशीबाला क्षेत्र में जनका ऐसा स्वागत किया नवा था।

Goodwill Mission from Pakistan

2878, Dr. Ranen Sen:
Shri K. P. Singh Deo;
Shri P. K. Deo:
Shri Dhirendranath:
Shri Prakash Vir Shastri:
Shri Ramavtar Sharma;
Shri Hukam Chand Kachwai:
Shri Atam Das:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Government of India are aware that Secretary-General of National Awami Party of Pakistan has suggested that a good-will mission from Pakistan is willing to visit India to create understanding between India and Pakistan; and
- (b) if so, the Government of India's reaction thereto?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shrj M. C. Chagla): (a) and (b). The Government have seen press about reports a suggestion Chaudhry Khaliquzzaman, a veteran opposition leader of Pakistan to send a non-official delegation from Pakistan to India with a view to create understanding between the two countries. suggestion was immediately ridiculed and rejected by the Pakistani leaders and newspapers, the Pakistan Government have stated that they have no idea of any such proposal and have no sympathy for lt.

(b) The Government of India have all along shown keepness to normalise and improve the relations between India and Pakistan and would welcome any opportunities for contacts of this kind.

Production in Ordnance Factories

2679. Shri S. M. Banerjee; Shri Madhu Limaye;

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the production in Ordnance Factories has gone up;
 - (b) if so, to what extent;
- (c) how it compares with the figures of 1964 and 1965; and
- (d) the further steps taken to augment production?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat):
(a) to (c) Although there has been a reduction in the workload for clothing items, the production in respect of arms and ammunition and vehicles (including Heav) Vehicles) has increased. The value of issues for the latter class of items during the years 1963-64 to 1966-67 is as follows:

Year	Value of issues
	(Rs. in Crores)
1963-64	. 63.10
1964-65	63.75
1965-66	78.06
1966-67	. 86.00
(estimated)	

- (d) The following steps have been taken to increase the production in Ordnance Factories:—
 - (i) Four new factories are in the process of being established; and
 - (ii) old equipment in the existing factories is being rehabilitated and modernised consistent with the availability of resources.

Fire in Hindustan Aerenautics Ltd., Kanpur

2884, Shri S. M. Banerjee: Shri Madhu Limaye:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether a fire broke out in the Hindustan Aeronautics Ltd., Kanpur in the month of April, 1967;
 - (b) if so, the causes thereof;
- (c) whether any enquiry has been conducted; and
 - (d) if so, the result of the enquiry?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat):
(a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) to (d). The fire broke out in the salvage yard. An enquiry was conducted. The findings of the enquiry were:
 - (i) in all probability, a cigarette butt thrown carelessly caused the fire due to the presence of dry grass and scrap wood; and
 - (ii) the loss was negligible.

New Radio Stations in Orissa

2881. Shri Sradhakar Supakar: Shri N. R. Laskar:

Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the relaying stations of Sambalpur and Jeypore in Orissa are proposed to be converted into independent broadcasting stations of the Akashvani; and
 - (b) if so, from what date?

'the Minister of Information and Breadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah); (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) They are proposed to be partial programme originating centres in the 4th Plan and fully independent stations in the 5th Plan.

Complaints against Officials of Indian Missions Abroad

2882. Shri Sidheshwar Prasad: Wall the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state;

- (a) the number of complaints received from the Indian citizens abroad against the misbehaviour of officials of our Embassies during 1966;
- (b) the comparative position of these complaints in 1965; and
- (c) the steps being taken to ensure that the officials of our Embassies not only behave nicely with the Indians abroad but also help them in providing better facilities?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagia): (a) One.

- (b) Two.
- (c) There are detailed instructions about facilities to be made available to Indians abroad. Every effort is made to ensure courteous assistance by Missions, without involving public expenditure, except to the minimum extent envisaged in the instructions.

"स्टेटसमेंम" का सैनिक संबाददाता

2883. भी सिद्धेश्वर प्रसाव : भी प० नोपासन : भी जनानाथ : भीशती मुशीसा योपासन : भी रमानी :

क्या रक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंकें कि:

- (क) किन कारणों तथा किन परि-तिथतियों में भूतपूर्व सेनाव्यक्ष जनरस जे॰ एन॰ चौधरी को एक सैनिक संवादवाता के रूप में 'स्टेट्श्वन' में प्रपने सेख देने की धनुमति दी नई थी ;
- (क) उन श्रीतक व्यक्तिकारियों के नाम क्या हैं जिन्हें वह समुनति दी वर्ष वी; भीर

(ग) क्या सरकारका विकार तत्सम्बन्धी नियमों में कोई संजोधन करने का है ?

मितरका मंत्री (बी स्वर्ण सिंह):
(क) जहां तक मामले की छानबीन करना सम्मव हो पाया है, जनरल जे० एन० चौधरी को एक सनिक संवाददाता के रूप में "स्टेट्समैन" में भपने लेख देने की भनुमित निम्मलिखित पहलुभों को ध्यान में रखते हुए दी गई थी:—

- (1) थल सेना से सम्बन्धित मौलिक बातों के सम्बन्ध मे जनता को सूचित किए रहने की धावश्य-कना—
- (2) लेख केवल शिक्षाप्रद ग्रीर सूचनाप्रद होंगें ;
- (3) लेखों में सरकारी नीति की भानोचना न होगी ; भौर
- (4) लेखों में उनका नाम नही होगा।
- (क) मेजर जनरल सोमदल ही एक भफसर हैं जिन्हें एक सैनिक संवाददाता के रूप में समाचारपत में सेख देने की धनुमति दी गई थी ।
- (ग) इस विचार से सेवा कर रहे सफसरों के लिए वर्तमान नियमों को पर्याप्त समझा गया है भीर नियमों में परिवर्तन करने के लिए कोई प्रस्ताव विचाराधीन नहीं है।

माउन्हम दिवीक्षम

2884 भी सिडेक्टर मताद : न्या रक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंने कि :

(क) सेमा में माउन्टेंन विवीजनों की संख्या तथा प्रत्येक में समिकों की संख्या बढ़ाने के खिए 1966-67 में थवा कार्यवाही की गई है; सीर (ख) पहाड़ी क्षेत्रों के निवे उपयुक्त हथियारों के मामले में किस सीमा तक भारमनिर्भरता प्राप्त हुई हैं ?

प्रतिरक्षा मंत्रालय में राज्य-मंत्री (धी ब॰ रा॰ भगत): (क) भौर (ख). यद्यपि थल सेना की युनिटों भौर विरचनामों में उनकी क्षमता को बढ़ाने के लिए कुछ प्रान्तरिक पुनर्गठन किया गया है भौर उन्हें सुप्रवाह रूप दिया गया है, फिर भी चल सेना की संख्याणिक में कोई वृद्धि नहीं हुई है। देश में बनाये जाने वाले हिचयारों को प्रधिकाधिक रूप से इस्तेमाल किया जाता है भौर इस सम्बन्ध में इस प्रकार के हिचयारों का धनुषात उत्तरोत्तर बढ़ता जा रहा है। इस बारे में भीर भिधक विवरण देना जनहित में नहीं होगा।

I.A.F. Plane Accident near Agra 2885. Shri Hukam Chand Kachwai: Shri Jagannath Rao Joshi:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 47 on the 27th March, 1967 and state:

- (a) whether an inquiry into the Air Force trainer aircraft accident near Agra has since been completed;
 - (b) if so, the details thereof; and
- (c) if not, when it is likely to be completed?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) According to the findings of the Court of Inquiry the accident occurred due to the mal-function of the normal under-carriage system. The exact cause of the mal-function could not be determined as the aircraft was completely destroyed. The loss on account of damage to the Service property amounted to Rs. 13.50 lakes which will be written off. The extent of damage to the standing crops assessed by the Court of Inquiry amounted to Rs. 350 which will be paid to the persons concerned after

due verification by the local Civil authorities in accordance with the rules. The Court of Inquiry has not held anoyne directly or indirectly responsible for the accident.

(c) Does not arise.

पुनर्वास निदेशालय

2886. भी हकम चन्व कछवाय : भी राम सिंह ग्रमरवाल:

क्या रक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि पुनर्वास निदेशालय को भूतपूर्व मैनिकों को रोजगार देने की शक्तिया नहीं दी गई हैं ; भीर
- (खा) यदि हा, तो उसके क्या कारण

प्रतिरक्षा मंत्री (भी स्वर्गसिंह) : (क) जी हां । यह निदेशालय ग्रसैनिक नौकरी दुवने वाले सेना के मृतपूर्व प्रफसरों और सम्भाव्य नियोक्ताओं के बीच एक सम्पर्क कार्यालय के रूप में काम करता है, भीर सुरक्षित तथा गैर-सुरक्षित रिक्त स्थानो में उन्हें नौकरी दिलाने के सम्बन्ध में सेना के भतपूर्व सैनिको भीर भ्रफसरो के अधिकारों और हितों की देख रेख करता है।

(ख) पुनर्वामन निदेशालय किसी भी ग्रन्य विभाग के नीचे किसी भी पद के लिए निय्कित-अधिकारी नहीं है। सम्बन्धित नियमों में उल्लिखिन निय्क्ति अधिकारी (सम्बन्धित मंद्रालयों मे सरकार सहित) द्वारा ही नियम्ति-प्रस्ताव भेजे जाते है।

बाव्सेना मह्यासयों में प्रसंतिक कर्मवारी

2887. भी हुक्स बन्द कछवाय : श्री जगनाय राव सोशी: भी राम सिंह ग्रयरवाल :

क्या रक्षा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) बाय सेना मुख्यालय में इस समय

सैनिक कर्मवारियों के स्थान पर कितने प्रसैनिक कर्मचारी काम करते हैं ; और

Written Answers

(ख) उनकी पदोन्नति किस प्रकार की जाती है तथा उसमें कितना समय लगता

प्रतिरक्षा मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री (भी ब॰ रा॰ भगत): (क) भीर (ख). इस समय बाय सेना हेड क्वार्टर में 61 धर्सीनक वाय सैनको के रिक्त स्थानों पर नियुक्त हैं। उच्चतर ग्रेड मे उनकी पदोर्जात इस शतं पर प्रवरता के भाषार पर की जाती है कि सम्बन्धित व्यक्ति पदोन्ननि के लिए योग्य हो ग्रीर उसके लिए रिक्त स्थान उपलब्ध हो, वर्तमान स्थिति इस प्रकार है कि निचले ग्रेंड मे लगभग 18 वर्ष की प्रवरता वाले व्यक्ति उच्चतर ग्रेष्ट में पदोन्नत होने के योग्य बने हुए है लेकिन यह समयावधि बदल सकती है। पिछली बार यह लगभग 7 से 20 वर्ष तक विभिन्न समयाविध में थी।

Military Personnel in Andaman and Nicobar Islands

2888. Shri M. R. Krishna: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Naval and other militarised personnel serving in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands are not eligible for the Andaman Allowance which is permissible to other Central Government empioyees; and

(b) if so, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) and (b). Taking into account the Andaman pay which is admissible to Central civilian Government servants in the Andaman and Nicobar Group of Islands, Naval Officers and sailors of the Resident Naval Officer's Organisation at Port Blair as well as Army officers and personnel of the Chief Engineer Port Blair Organisation, who are on a static

role, have been granted an Andaman allowance at the following rates:

Commissioned Officers:

- (i) Single officers and 10% of pay submarried officers unaccompanied by families. maximum of Rs. 100/- p.m.
- (ii) Married officers accompanied by families ject to a maximum of Rs. 175/- p.m.

Personnel Below Officer Rank:

- (i) JCOs and Chief Petty Rs. 25 p.m. officers.
- (ii) Havildars/Dafadars and Rs. 20 p.m. Petty officers.
- (in) Others Rs. 15, p.m.
- 2. The other Service officers and personnel in the Andaman and Nicobar group of Islands are on a semioperational role and their living and working conditions are similar to those in forward areas in the mainland in India in which field service concessions are admissible. Accordingly, these officers and personnel have been sanctioned concessions on the same pattern which include free rations for officers and a special compensatory allowance ranging from Rs. 6 to Rs. 20 p.m. for personnel below officer rank. In addition to these concessions married officers who are not permitted to take their families with them are given a separation allowance of Rs. 50 p.m.

U. S. Military aid to Pakistan

2889. Shri Madhu Limaye: Shri S. M. Banerjee: Shri George Fernandes: Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the total value of the military assistance received by Pakistan under the Arms Aid Pact with the United States till the end of 1965;
- (b) the type of military assistance received; and

(c) the type and value of the military assistance received by India from the same source?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat):

(a) and (b). Pakistan is estimated to have received military aid worth \$1500 to 1700 million by September 1965, comprising mainly weapons of offensive capability like Patton and Chaffee tanks, F-86 and F-104 aircraft (some equipped with missiles), modern artillery and Napalm bombs etc. as also cash assistance for raising and maintaining large number of troops.

(c) India received military assistance from U.S.A. only after the Chinese aggression and upto September 1965. The value of such assistance is estimated at \$76 million. The assistance comprised of requirements for the mountain units of the Army transport aircraft, spares, communication facilities, and earth moving equipment and some machinery.

Atomic Energy

2890. Shri Ram Kishan Gupta: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the programme for the Development of Atomic Energy for peaceful purposes during the Fourth Five Year Plan has been finalised; and
 - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shrimati Indira Gandhi): (a) and (b). A statement giving the broad outline of the programme for the development of atomic energy for peaceful purposes during the period of the Fourth Five Year Plan is Placed on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-707/67].

Indian Foreign Service

- 2891. Shri S. R. Damani: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:
- (a) the present strength of the Indian Foreign Service; and

838 (Ai) LSD-4

(b) the number of persons working in India and abroad?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagia): (a) 278 in IFS (Branch A)

2278 in IFS (Branch B)

49 in Information Service of India.

in India 72 for IFS (b) 196 abroad (BranchA) on leave and/or in 10 transit. 1149 in India for IFS (Branch B) 1129 abroad For Infor-8 in India mation 41 abroad Service of J India.

Passport of Tariq Abdullah

2892. Shri Bal Raj Madhok: Shri Hardayai Devgun;

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Indian passport of Tariq Abdullah son of Sheikh Abdullah has been impounded after he represented Pakistan in the U. N. Security Council last year while holding an Indian passport;
- (b) whether Government are thinking to call him back and launch a case against him for defying the country and helping the enemy in the world bodies while having an Indian passport; and
- (c) whether Tariq Abdullah is still on the staff of Indian High Commission in London?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) Shri Tariq Abdullah's Indian passport stands cancelled from 16th October, 1965 and instructions have been issued to impound it on presentation. The passport has not yet been surrendered

although a notice was served on him to do so.

- (b) The question of any action being taken against him will arise when he returns to India.
- (c) No, Sir. His services were terminated in March, 1965.

Gun and Shell Factory, Cosstpore

2893, Shri Deven Sen: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that 32 trade apprentices at the Gun and Shell Factory, Cossipore, West Bengal have been refused absorption even after the completion of the three years course of training by them in the factory;
- (b) whether it is also a fact that it is the first time when apprentices who have passed have been refused absorption;
 - (c) if so, the reasons therefor; and
- (d) whether Government propose to absorb them either in Cossipore or in any other ordnance factory in India?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri R. R. Bhagat):

(a) and (c). These trade apprentices were recruited and trained under the provisions of the Apprentices Act, 1961 to fulfil the statutory obligation in this regard and not with reference to specific requirements of the factories. On completion of the period of apprenticeship training the trainees can only be absorbed against existing vacancies.

It is a fact that 32 trade apprentices of the last batch at the Gun and Shell Factory, Cossipore could not be absorbed after their training, and that this is the first time that this has happened.

- (b) Yes. Sir.
- (d) There is at present no requirement at the factory at Cossipore or at any other Ordnance Factory.

Germ and Chemical Warfare

2894. Shri Baburao Patel: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government are aware of the fact that many nations are already manufacturing weapons for germ and chemica) warfare which are easier to use and which, according to Britain's Minister for Disarmament, even smaller and poorer countries can afford to make.
- (b) whether Government also propose to manufacture them, and
 - (c) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) to (c). Government are aware of the possibilities of manufacture of weapons for bacteriological and chemical warfare. Even though some nations may be manufacturing such weapons, it is not the policy of the Government of India to do so. Government are signatories to the Geneva Protocol which prohibits the use in war of asphyxiating, poisonous or other gases and of bacteriological methods of warfare.

Film Institute

2895. Shri Chintamani Panigrahi: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any student from Orissa have been selected for training at the Film Institute of India, Poona;
 - (b) if so, the number thereof;
- (c) whether the Orissa Government have made provision for any scholarships; and
 - (d) if so, the number thereof?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah); (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) Six, so far.
- (c) Yes, Sir.
- (d) Two.

Production of Ilmenite

2806. Shri Virendrakumar Shah: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

- (a) whether production of Ilmenite has fallen from 3,41,000 tonnes in 1956 to 30,000 tonnes in 1965; and
- (b) the reaction of Government to the charge of the industry that the fall in production is due to the incorrect mineral policy of Government?

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shrimati Indira Gandhi): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The steep fall in the production of Ilmenite was due to a variety of reasons: (1) Competition in external market from countries which had located cheaper sources of ilmenite; (2) the adaptation of the plants of Titanium Dioxide by foreign a anufacturers to the grade of available from these countries, which is different from Indian ilmenite, (3) difficulties encountered in the modernisation of the Indian plants. these factors placed the Indian imeindustry at a disadvantage. Despite these difficulties, energetic steps have been taken to revive export market for ilmenite and to reorganise the industry, with the quired degree of mechanisation, modern and efficient lines. It is hoped that this would enable Indian industry to compete successfully in world market in the near future.

सन् अनित्र (जनरेटर)

2897. श्री महाराज सिंह भारती : क्या प्रकार मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगी कि:

(क) बौबी पंचवर्षीय योजना में अनु जिन्तों से कितनी किलोबाट विजली पैदा करने की क्षमता हो जायेगी तथा उसकी अनुमानित उत्पादन लागत क्या होगी;

- (ख) डिजायन तैयार करने से संयंत्र की स्थापना तक के सभी क्षेत्रों में तथा ईंबन के उत्पादन में ब्रात्मनिभंरता प्राप्त करने में कितना समय लग जाने की सभावना है : श्रीर
- (ग) यदि ग्रात्मनिर्भंग्ता के लक्ष्य निर्धारित किये गये हैं तो प्रति-वर्ष केवल देश के संसाधनों से चलने वाले कितने बिजलीघर स्थापित किये जायेगे तथा प्रत्येक की क्या क्षमता होगी ?

प्रधान मंत्री तथा धणु शक्ति मंत्री (श्रीमती इन्दिरा गांधी) : (क) बौधी पंचवर्षीय योजना के ग्रन्त तक प्रस्तावित न्यूक्लीय बिजलीघरों में 580,000 किलोबाट बिजली उपलब्ध होगी । 3,80000 किलोबाट की क्षमता बाले तारापुर परमाणु बिजलीखर में उत्पादित बिजली की भनुमानित लागत 4 50 पैसे प्रति किलोबाट घटा तथा राजस्थान परमाणु बिजलीखर में उत्पादित बिजली की कीमत 5 08 पैसे प्रति किलोबाट घंटा होगी ।

(ख) तथा (ग). तारापुर परमाणु विद्यत प्रायोजना पर होने वाले खर्च में 60 प्रतिशत विदेशी मुद्रा थी। मद्राम परमाणु विद्युत प्रायोजना में इसे घटा कर केवल 20 प्रतिशत कर दिया गया है। प्रधिक प्रारम-निर्मरता बौद्योगिक क्षेत्र के विकास पर निर्मर करती है। श्राशा है कि मद्राम परमाणु विजली घर के बाद जो विजलीघर बनेगे, उनसे प्रारमनिर्मरता में की गई प्रगति का पता चलेगा।

ब्रायुध कारसाना, मेरठ

2898. श्री महाराज सिंह भारती : क्या रक्षा मजी यह बताने की कृपा करेगे कि.

(क) क्या यह सच है कि उत्तर प्रदेश मेरठ स्थित भागुध कारखाने के कील में हजारों एकड़ भूमि बेकार पड़ी है भौर इस भूमि का एक बड़ा भाग खेती के लिए किसानों को दिया जाता है;

- (ख) क्या इस कारखाने के विस्तार तथा इस भूमि में कुछ नये भायुष्ठ कारखाने स्थापित करने की किसी योजना पर विचार किया जा रहा है भौर यदि हां, तो उसका क्योरा क्या है;
- (ग) क्या इस भाय्घ कारखाने में भ्रमंनिक उपयोग की भी कोई वस्तुएं बनाई जाती हैं: भीर
- (घ) यदि हा, तो उनका क्यौरा क्या है तथा आयुध कारखाने में जो उत्पादन होता है वह क्तिने मूल्य का होता है तथा उसमें कसैनिक वस्तुये कितने प्रतिशत मूल्य की होती है?

प्रतिरक्षा जंत्रालय में राज्य-मंत्री (भी ब॰ रा॰ भगत): (क) मेरठ में कोई ध्रायुष्ठ कारखाना नहीं है। शायद मुरादनगर के धायुष्ठ कारखाने से ग्रमिप्राय हो, कारखाने के इलाके में कुल 641 एकड़ धूमि में से 133 एकड धूमि को खेती के लिए पट्टे पर दिया गया है?

- (श्व) जी, नही।
- (ग) इस ममय ऐसी कोई व्यवस्था नहीं है क्योंकि कारखाने के पास कोई फालतू उत्पादन क्षमता नहीं है।
 - (घ) प्रश्न नहीं उठता।

कारमोसा के साथ सम्बन्ध

2899. श्री महाराज सिंह भारती: क्या वैदेशिक-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेगे कि:

(क) सरकार ने हमारे हितो की रक्षा करने की दृष्टि से फारमोसा (ताइवान) के साथ संबंध बनाये रखने तथा उनके साथ सम्पर्क रखने के लिये क्या उपाय किये हैं;

- (ख) क्या भारत और ताइवान के बीच कोई करार (सास्कृतिक, व्यापारिक, राज-नयिक भादि) किया गया है;
- (ग) यदि हां, तो उसका न्यौरा क्या है;
- (भ) क्या ताइवान सरकार ने भारत की विकास याजनात्रों में कोई रुचि दिखाई है; ग्रौर
- (क्र) यदि हा, तो इस सबध में सरकार की क्या प्रतिक्रिया है?

वैदेशिक-कार्य मंत्री (श्री मृ० क० वागला): (क) भारत सरकार का फारमोसा के साथ कोई संबंध नहीं है।

- (ख) जी, नही।
- (ग) प्रश्न नहीं उठता।
- (घ) जी, नहीं:
- (ङ) प्रश्न नही उठता।

Strikes and Demonstrations by the LA.F. Airmen

2900. Dr. Ram Manchar Lohia: Shri Rabi Ray:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of times the Airmen of Indian Air Force who resorted to hunger strike or protest demonstrations since independence;
- (b) the nature, causes and the outcome of these protests; and
- (c) the action taken by Government in this regard?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh); (a) Five.

(b) The incidents were the result of certain grievances of the airmen concerned. Two incidents were in the form of violent demonstrations, while in three, the airmen stayed away from the mess. All the incidents in question were investigated and legitimate grievances were looked into.

(c) Suitable disciplinary administrative action was taken against the persons who were held to be blamed.

Rhodesia

2901. Shri Hem Barua: Shri Surendranath Dwivedy: Shri Nath Pai:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the Prime Minister has received a communication from the U.K. Prime Minister containing his latest proposals towards the solution of the Rhodesian problem; and
- (b) if so, what are these proposals and Government's reaction thereto?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

Indian Statistical Institute

2902. Shri S. M. Banerjee: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any serious irregularities and cases of misappropriation of public funds in the Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta, have come to notice of Government;
- (b) if so, whether any investigation has been made in this regard; and
 - (c) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shrimati Indira Gandhi): (a) The Indian Statistical Institute Review Committee, whose Report has been laid on the Table of the House, has pointed out some financial irregularities in the Institute. In addition, one case of allegod fraudulent drawal of a sum of Rs. 17,000 in the Institute, has come to the notice of Government.

- (b) Action on the Report of the Indian Statistical Institute Committee is being taken in suitation with the Institute. The case referred to above is being looked into by the Institute.
 - (c) Does not arise.

भारत में ग्रफीकी विद्यार्थी

2903. भी भो० प्र० त्यांगी : स्या वैदेशिक-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे fa :

- (क) क्या सरकार को पता है कि श्रविकांस प्रकीकी विद्यार्थी, जी विजीव बांध्ययन करने के लिये भारत धाते हैं, यहां से घपने साथ भारत विरोधी जाबनावें सेकर जाते हैं:
- (ब) यदि हां, तो क्या सरकार ने इसके कारणों का पता लगाने तथा इस स्विति की मुखारने के लिये कोई प्रयत्न किये हैं ; और
 - (ग) यदि हां, तो किस प्रकार से ?

वंदेशिक-कार्य मंत्री (भी मृ० क० चानला): (क) यह कहना ठीक नहीं है कि भारत में भ्रष्ट्ययन के लिए भाने वाले ज्यादातर प्रफीकी विद्यार्थी वापसी भारत-विरोधी भावनाएं लेकर जाते है: यह कहना ज्यादा ठीक होगा कि मारत द्वारा उन्हें दी जाने वाली शैक्षिक स्विधायों की अधिक भगहना की जाती है।

(क) और (ग). लेकिन बोड़े से श्रफीकी विद्यार्थियों के सामने कुछ कठि-माइयां वेश बाती हैं, जिनका मुख्य कारण है : (1) जिस्र प्रकार की परम्पराधी के कारण सामाणिक संपर्क का समाव भीर (2) वे जिस तरह के रहन-सहन की बाबा करते हैं,

उसकी व्यवस्था में कमी। भारत सरकार ने इनकी देखमाल के लिए विदेशी विद्यार्थी सलाहकारों को नियुक्त करके और उनके लिए धण्छे रहम-सहन की व्यवस्था, छात्रवस्थि की घष्टिक रकम की भवायगी, भीर इंडियन काउंसिल फार कल्बरल रिलेशंस, इंडियन काउंसिस फार भकीका, बाई • एम • सी • ए० भीर वाई० डब्ल्यू० सी० ए० जैसी संस्थाओं के जरिये सांस्कृतिक कार्यकलापों के विस्तृत कार्यक्रम के द्वारा इन कठिनाइयों की दूर करने की दृष्टि से समित कदम उठाए ŧι

Written Answers

Border Roads

2004. Shri Dhuloshwar Moone: Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Heerji Bhai: Shri K. Pradhani:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state the amount set apart for the development of border roads in the eastern and other borders of the country during the current year?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat): The provision made in the budget estimates (1967-68) for the development of border roads in the eastern sector (Sikkim, Bhutan, West gal, Assam and NEFA areas) and other borders is as follows:-

Total amount Amount set included in the budget estimates, der roads in the eastern sector.

Amount for apart for bor- other border roads including border roads in Rajasthan, Gujarat and I. & K.

(Re. in lakins)

Capital 4,894.96 2,778.67 2,116.29 Domands.

Ald to Nepal, Bhutan and Sikkim

Shri Ramachandra Uluka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena: Shri Heerji Bhai: Shri K. Pradhani.

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the amount earmarked for giving aid to Nepal, Bhutan and Sikkim for their development works during 1967-68 and
- (b) the particulars of the works to be executed?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) Nepal: Rs. 7,94,06,000; Bhutan: Rs. 3,44 00,000, Sikkim: Rs. 1,75,00,000.

- (b) The development works to be executed in Nepal, Bhutan and Sikkim fall broadly under the following heads:—
 - (i) Agriculture.
 - (ii) Communications.
 - (iii) Irrigation and Power.
 - (iv) Water Supply Schemes
 - (v) Education.
 - (vi) Horticulture
 - (vii) Forestry
 - (viii) Health; and
 - (ix) Machinery and equipment.

Naval Base at Marmagao 2906. Shri Dhuleshwar Meeua: Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Heerji Rhai; Shri K. Pradhani;

Will the Minister of Defeace be pleased to state:

- (a) the stage at which the proposal to set up a Naval Base at Marmagao stands at present;
- (b) whether any final decision has since been taken in this regard; and
 - (c) if so, the result thereof?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swarza Singh): (a) and (b). The proposal is still under the consideration of the Government.

(c) Does not arise.

Chinese Intrusions into Sikkim and Rhutan

2807. Shri Ramachandra Ulaka: Shri Dhuleshwar Meena: Shri Heerji Bhai: Shri K. Pradhani:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether China has perpetrated numerous military intrusions into Sikkim and Bhutan during the last two months; and
 - (b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat):
(a) No. Sir.

(b) Does not arise

Theft of valuable Articles from Menastries in Himachal Pradesh

2966. Shri K. P. Singh Doo; Shri D. N. Patodia; Shri S. K. Tapuriah; Shri P. K. Doo;

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that some rare articles of historical value have been stolen from Ghantspa Gomta monastery and other monasteries in Himachal Pradesh;
- (b) whether it is also a fact that the Himachal Pradesh Government have taken up the matter with the Ministry of External Affairs for the recovery of the lost articles;
- (c) if so, the details of the lost articles; and
- (d) the action taken by Government to recover the articles?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagia): (a) to (d). This matter is under active investigation and the facts of the case will be placed before the House on its completion.

रही कागज के बाम

2909. भी जगभाष राव जोशी: क्या सूबना और प्रसारण मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या यह सच है कि राजधानी में गत पाच महीने मे रही कागज के दाम तो भाषे हो गये हैं किन्तु अन्य सभी वस्तुओं के दाम बढ़ गये हैं; भीर
- (ख) यदि हा, तो इसके क्या कारण है?

सूचना झौर प्रसारण मंत्री (श्री के० के० शाह): (क) जी, नहीं।

(ब) सवाल नही उटता।

संस्कृत के समाचार-पत्र

2910. भी जनभाष राव जोती: क्या सूचना श्रोर प्रसारण मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) देश में संस्कृत में कितने ममाचार-पत्न तथा पत्निकायें प्रकाशित होती है, ग्रीर
- (ख) उतमे में कितने समाचार-पत्नो तथ तत्रकाओं को मरकारी विज्ञापन दिये जाते हैं?

सूचना ग्रोर प्रसारण मंत्री (श्री के० के० झाह): (क) तीस।

(ख) मस्कृत की चार पविकाशी—— तीन मानिक श्रार एक साप्ताहिक—को विज्ञा-पन श्रार दृश्य प्रचार निदेशालय द्वारा कन्द्रीय सरकार के विज्ञापनी के लिए प्रशास में लाया जा रहा है।

Lai Bahadur Shastri Memorial Fund. 2911. Shri George Fernandes:

Shri Virendrakumar Shah:

Shri J. H. Patel;

Shri B. M. Joshi;

Shri Madhu Limaye:

Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

(a) Whether a fund called the Lal Bahadur Shastri Memorial Fund has been set up to perpetuate the memory of the late Prime Minister;

- (b) if so, the names of the members of the Memorial Fund Committee;
- (c) the amount of money so far collected; and
- (d) the amount of money spent from the fund?

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shrimati Indira Gandhi): (a) and (b). A trust known as the Lal Bahadur Shastri National Memorial Trust has been set up to work out and implement programmes for perpetuating the memory of the late Prime Minister Shri Lal Bhahadur Shastri. The following are the trustees:—

- 1. Dr. Zakir Husain
- 2. Smt Indira Gandhi
- 3. Smt. Lal Bahadur Shastri
- 4. Shri K. Kamaraj
- 5. Shri Morarji R. Desai
- 6. Shri Jayaprakash Narayan
- 7. Dr. Sampurnanand
- 8. Shri Biswanath Das
- 9. Shri Gulzarilal Nanda
- 10. Shri Y. B. Chavan
- 11. Shri S. K. Patil
- 12. Sardar Swaran Singh
- 13. Shr₁ N. Sanjiva Reddy
- 14. Shri Prafulla Chandra Sen
- 15. Shri S. Nijalingappa
- 16. Shri M. Bhaktavatsalam
- 17. Shri Krishna Ballabh Sahay
- 18. Shri V. P. Naik
- 19. Shri K. Brahmananda Reddy
- 20. Shri Mohanlal Sukhadia
- 21. Shri G. M. Sadiq
- 22. Shri T. N. Singh
- 23. Shri C. B Gupta
- 24 Shri Kamalapathi Tripathi
- 25. Shri Atulya Ghosh
- 26. Prof. N G. Ranga
- 27. Prof. Hiren Mukherji
- 28, Shri J. R. D. Tata
- 29. Shri G. D. Birla
- 30. Shri V. V. Dravid
- 31. Shri Atal Behari Vajpayee
- 32. Shri S. N. Dwivedy
- 33. Shri S. Mulgaokar
- 34. Shri Amarnath Agarwal
- 35. Shri A. K. Karan
 - (c) Rs. 1.66,616, 42P
 - (d) No money has so far been spent.

Indian Ambassador in Syria

2912. Shri Ram Kishan Gupta: Shri Yashpal Singh; Shri Ram Gopal Shalwale:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that India had no Ambassador at Damascus during the period when recent developments on Syria's border with Israel took a grave turn; and
 - (b) if so, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagla: (a) and (b). Pursuant to decisions taken well before the crisis in West Asia the previous Ambassador to Syria left on transfer on the 17th May.

Transfers in the Foreign Service involve a chain of transfers and it is not unusual for some time to elapse before the successor assumes charge of his post. With the development of the crisis in West Asia the new Ambassador to Syria was recalled from leave and ordered to proceed to Damascus where he arrived on 5th June.

Indian Diplomat in Peking

2913. Shri K. P. Singh Deo: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that an Indian diplomat in Peking was made to sign a Police statement that he would not purchase copies of a Red Guard Newspaper; and
- (b) if so, the reaction of Government in this regard?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) The facts of the case referred to have already been detailed by the Foreign Minister in his statement of June 13 in the Lok Sabha.

(b) This incident has been by passed by the latest developments relating to the treatment to our diplomats in Peking.

American ship 'Oceanographer

2914. Shri S. C. Jha: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the planned movement of the American ship 'Oceanographer around the Lacadive and the Maldive Islands and other Islands in the India Ocean and the Bay of Bengal is more from war strategy point of view than from any geological one; and
- (b) if so, the steps taken by the Government in this behalf?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagia); (a) The U. S. Oceanographic Research and Survey Ship "OCEANOGRAPHER" called recently at Bombay in the course of a research voyage around the world in the course of which she is also due to pass through the high seas in the vicinity of Indian territory. The ship is a scientific research vessel, and we have no reason to suppose that its purposes are not purely scientific.

(b) No paticular steps are called for.

Pay Scales of Armed Forces

2915. Shri M. Meghachandra:
Shri Dhireswar Kalita:
Shri Maharaj Singh Bharati:
Shri Hukam Chand Kachwai:
Shri Ram Singh Ayarwal;
Shri Narendra Singh Mahida:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether there is any proposal under consideration of Government to increase the pay scales of the Officers and other ranks in the army;
- (b) if so, the main features of the proposal now under consideration; and
- (c) when a decision is expected to be taken thereon?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) No, Sir.

(h) and (c). Do not arise.

विदेशों में भारतीय विद्यान

2917. भी मोलह प्रसाद : भी महाराज सिंह भारती : भी रचि राय :

क्या वैदेशिक-कार्व मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) विदेशों में स्थित भारतीय मिशनों में दूतावासी भीर उच्चायोगों के स्तर के पुषक-पृथक कार्यालय कितने हैं, भीर
- (स) कितने राजदूत भीर उज्जायुक्त ऐसे हैं जो सरकारी नौकरी में से नहीं चुने यह तथा वे किन किन-दलों से संबंधित हैं?

वैदेशिक-कार्य वंशी (भी बु० क० चामला) :(क) (i) राजदूतायास के दर्जे के ऐसे कार्यालयों की मख्या जहा राजदूत रहते हैं --- 50

- (ii) राजदूतावास के दर्जे के ऐसे कार्यालयों की सक्या जहा राजदूत नहीं रहते—4
- (iii) हाई कमोशन के दर्जे के ऐसे कार्यालयों की सख्या जहां हाई कमिन्नर रहते हैं—16
- (iv) हाई कनाजन के दबँ के ऐसे कार्थानयों की संख्या जहां हाई कमिश्नर नहीं रहते हैं—।

इम मिए कुल मक्या है-71

(स) प्राजकल गैर-वृत्तिक राजदूतो हाई कमिश्नरों की संख्या पन्तह है जिनमे मे पाब सार्वजनिक खेल के हैं, एक भारतीय विदेश मेवा का मेवा निवृत्त अधिकारी है और शेष पांच ऐसे अधिकारी हैं जो भारतीय विदेश मेवा में नहीं हैं। केवल एक राजदूत अपनी निवृत्ति के समय कांग्रेसी था।

Report of Bhagavaniam Committee on Television

2918. Shri Jyotirmoy Base: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Bhagavantam Committee on Television has submitted its report;
- (b) if so, the main recommendations of the Committee regarding the manufacture of television receivers; and
- (c) whether Government will lay a copy of the report on the Table?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah); (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) The Committee recommended that television receiver manufacturing capacity, based on indigenous knowhow, to the extent possible, should be urgently set up through private or public sector concerns. The Committee also recommended to Government to waive or reduce substantially the excise duty on the television receivers manufactured in the country for the first five years.
- (c) The Bhagavantam Committee's Report laid on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-708/67].

लहाक में तिकाती शरणाची

2919. श्री हुझक बाहुला: स्या वैदेशिक-कार्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेगे कि:

- (क) लहाला में इस समय कितने निब्बनी शरणार्थी है तका उन में से कितने लोगों को भूमि देकर बसाया गया है;
- (स) जिन क्षेत्रों में वे बसाये गये हैं उनका स्पीरा क्या है सचा उनके पुनर्वास के सिवे क्या-क्या सुविधायें दी यह हैं;
- (ग) क्या सहाय विकास प्रामुक्त हारा 1965-66 प्रक्या 1966-67 में तिव्यती शरणाचियों के पृत्कांत के बारे में प्रस्तृत की गई मोजना को कियान्यित किया प्रमा

है भीर यदि नहीं तो इसके क्या कारण हैं;

- (च) क्या चाउकांग क्षेत्र में बसे हुए गरगाचियों की हानि की क्षतिपूर्ति करने के लिये उन्हें कोई सहायता दी गई है क्योंकि बड़ी संक्या में उनकी मेड़ें भीर बकरियां मर गयी थीं।
- (क) नया लड्डाख में तम्बुझों में रहने बाले शरअधियों के लिये मकान झादि बनाने की कोई योजना बनाई गई है ; और
- (च) न्या लहाख में रह रहे बहुत से जरणार्चियों पर लगाए गये जासूसी के घारोप, जिसके कारण उन्ह उनके परिवारों से झलग रखा नया चा, सिद्ध हो चके हैं?

वैदेशिक-कार्य मंत्री (भी मृ० क० भागता): (क) भीर (ख). करीब 3,500 चांगचांग इलाका में कोई 1900 तिब्बती स्थानीय भर्य-व्यवस्था में लगा लिए गए हैं भीर वे भेड़ों भीर ढोरों को पालकर भपनी जोविका कमा रहे हैं।

- (ग) जी नहीं। इस योजना की सफलता सिचाई की सुविधाओं पर निर्भर है जो राज्य प्रधिकारियों को सुलभ बनानी चीं। सिचाई परियोजना पर काम अभी गुरू किया जाना है और केन्द्रीय पानी एवं विजना आयोग खसका तकनीकी अध्ययन कर रहा है; उसकी रिपोर्ट का इंतजार है।
- (थ) जी नहीं। हमें चेंड़-जकरियों के बड़ी संख्या में तर जाने के बारे में कोई जान-कारी नहीं है।
- (क) इस बात पर तब विचार किया जाएगा जबकि उन्हें अन्त्रू और काश्मीर की सरकार से भाग्त अस्तावों के भनुसार माओ-स्तोक बीज में फिर से बसा दिया आंख्ना।

(च) जासूसी करने का घारोप किसी पर नहीं लगाया गया। लहाख से 19 तिस्वति बीं को निकाल दिया गया था क्योंकि उन पर घवांछनीय कार्रवाइयां करने का कक था। उन्हें उड़ीसा घौर मैसूर की बस्तियों में भेज दिया गया है घौर उनके परिवार भी छनके पास ही पहुंच गए हैं।

नद्दास कारगित और तेह में रेडियो स्टेशन

2920 सी कुझक वाकुकाः स्था सूचना तथा प्रसारच मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या लहास में कोई रेडियो स्टेनन स्थापित किया नया है;
- (ख) यदि हां, तो उसका किस तारीख को उद्वाटन किया गया था;
- (ग) यदि नहीं, ती प्रधान मंत्री द्वारा, जब वह सूचना खौर प्रसारण मंत्री कीं इस संबंध में दिये गये भाज्यासन को पूरा न करने के क्या कारण हैं;
- (घ) क्या भूतपूर्व सूचना भीर प्रसारण मंत्री श्री राज बहादुर में भी 1966 में धाश्वासन दिया था कि उस वर्ष सितम्बर के प्रथम सप्ताह तक कारगिल तथा लेह में एक एक रेडियों स्टेंगन खोला जायगा;
- (ङ) यदि हा, तो उस बारे में सब तक कोई कार्य सारम्भ न करने के क्या कार्य है; भीर
- (व) क्या लद्दाख, कारगिल तथा लेह में रेडियों स्टेशन खोलने का विचार है?

सूचना चौर प्रसारण मंत्री (औ के के काह) : (क) जी, नहीं।

- (स) प्रस्त नहीं उठता ।
- (ग), (घ) तथा (ङ) इस संसामग को प्रधान नंती वस वे पूचना योग प्रसादन

मंत्री थीं, या श्री राजबहादुर द्वारा विये गये किसी विशिष्ट श्रीश्वासन का श्रभी तक पता नहीं लग मका है। तो भी, लेह में एक रेडियो स्टेशन स्थापित करने का प्रस्ताव है भीर केन्द्र जल्दी तभी स्थापित किया जा सकता है जब भवन, यातायात विजली श्रादि जैसी सभी अपेक्षित मुविधाएं दी जाएं। स्थोंकि यह एक दुर्गम क्षेत्र है श्रीर ऊपर बताई गई मुविधाश्रो में से श्रभी तक एक भी सुविधा हासिल करना सभव नहीं हुमा है, इसलिए केन्द्र स्थापित नहीं किया गया है।

(च) स्वीकृत चतुर्थ पंचवर्षीय योजना में केवल लेह मे एक रेडियो स्टेशन स्थापित करने की व्यवस्था है।

Ladakhi Programme on A, L R.

2921. Shri Kushok Bakula: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any Ladakhi programme is broadcast from All India Radio, Delhi at present;
- (b) if so, the nature of the programme broadcast and the number of Ladakhi people employed on the work;
 - (c) if not, the reasons therefor; and
- (d) the reasons for not broadcasting programme in Ladakhi Language when programmes in all the languages are broadcast from All India Radio, Delhi?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah): (a) No. Sir.

- (b) Does not arise.
- (c) and (d). All India Radio, Delhi, like any other regional Station of All India Radio, broadcasts programmes only in the languages spoken by a sizable section of the people in the region.

Supply of Oils to South Vletnam

2922. Shri Indrajit Gupta: Shri Bedabrata Barua: Shri Y. A. Prasad: Shri N. K. Sanghi:

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the attention of Government have been drawn to the Chinese "Hsin-hua" agency report that India has agreed to send 1,000 tons of diesel and other oils to South Vietnam;
- (b) if so, whether the oi has begun to be shipped from Cochin port; and
- (c) if so, the reasons for permitting such despatches which can be used for military purposes?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagia); (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) The report is completely false and without any foundation.
 - (c) Does not arise.

Emoluments of Armed Forces Personnel

2923, Shri Nitiraj Singh Chaudhary: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the monthly emoluments being paid to Armed Forces personnel **eparately for each cadre and also separately for all arms;
 - (b) when this pay was last revised;
- (c) whether it is also a fact that civilian employees of equal and inferior ranks are having more amenities than armed forces personnel;
- (d) whether it is a fact that civilian employees have to maintain only one establishment, as they live with their family whereas a person serving in the Army has invariably to maintain two establishments; and
- (e) whether Government propose to revise the pay scale, Dearness Allowance and other emoluments of the Armed Forces personnel?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) Details of pay, allowances and various concessions admissible to Armed Forces personnel (i.e. other than Commissioned officers) are given in the following Appendix to the Annual Report of the Ministry of Defence for 1966-67:

- (i) Appendix B (pages 143 to 148) for Army personnel.
- (ii) Appendix C (pages 158 to 162) for Sailors.
- (iii) Appendix D (pages 171 to 175) for Airmen.

Subsequent to the publication of the Annual Report, the lower rates of ration allowance mentioned m the above Appendices have been increased w.e.f. 1-4-67, from Rs. 1-82 to Rs. 1-88 per diem in the case of Army personnel. from Rs. 2-36 to Rs. 2-44 per diem for Sailors and from Rs 2-38 to Rs. 2-46 per diem for Airmen.

- 2. There are no cadres as such for Armed Forces personnel; their monthly emoluments depend on pay group, rank, length of service, nature of duties, area station in which serving, etc.
- (b) The rates of pay of Armed Forces personnel were last revised in 1960 with retrospective effect from 1-7-59
- (c) It is not possible to make any precise comparison between the two categories of personnel as the system of renumeration and concessions is different.
- (d) The question arises only when civilian employees or defence personnel are posted away from their homes or normal place of residence. In such cases and when they have to go to non-family stations the question of two establishments may arise The need is therefore contingent on their posting.
- (e) No proposal of ay general revision is under consideration but from time to time questions of changes in allowances do arise. Some proposals in that regard are under consideration.

Films for State Awards

2924. Shri Vasudevan Nair: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether any films have been selected for State awards for 1966;
- (b) if so, which are the pictures selected; and
- (c) if no picture has been selected, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah): (a) to (c). Government have been considering the question of widening the scope of the State Awards for Films with a view to offering further incentives to the Producers and Directors of quality films. A few more categories of awards are proposed to be introduced. In that event the rules will have to be notified in the Gazette of India. Steps are being simultaneously taken to invite entries of films produced in the year 1966 for the awards.

Scientific Officers in Atomic Mineral Division

2925. Shri Sidheshwar Prasad: Will the Prime Minister be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that no Director has been appointed in the Atomic Mineral Division for the last six years;
- (b) whether the posts of Scientific Officers in the Division have not been made permanent although Officers have been serving for more than fifteen years;
- (c) whether no pool accommodation has been made for these officers at their respective Headquarters; and
- (d) whether no promotion rules have been framed in the Division although parallel organisations like Council of Scientific and Industrial Research have framed such rules, resulting in great disatisfaction in the Division?

5895

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shrimati Indira Gandhi): (a) In 1960, the Geological Adviser to the Department of Atomic Energy was entrusted with the duties of the Director, Atomic Minerals Division. With effect from March 13, 1967, the Atomic Minerals Division has been reorganised and two Regional Directors have been appointed.

- (b) Posts of Scientific Officers in the Division have been made permanent and orders confirming individual officers are expected to issue shortly.
- (c) No special pool accommodation has been provided for these officers as they are entitled to the general pool accommodation in various cities.
- (d) Recruitment rules for appointment to various scientific and technical posts in the Atomic Minerals Division are in existence and promotion are made on the basis of these rules.

Protest from Pakistan Regarding Trade with Bruel

2926, Shri D. C. Sharma: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Pakistan has protested to India against his statement on trade between Pakistan and and
- (b) if so, the reaction of Government thereto?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The protest of the Pakistan High Commission has been rejected. Copies of Pakistan's protest note and Government of India's reply thereto are placed on the Table of the House. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-709] 67].

ब्रेनियम का निर्पत

2927. की ब्रह्मानस्य की: जनप्रायराव जोशी : जी गुक्तम चन्द कछवाय :

थी राम सिष्ठ सवरबास : वी महाराज सिंह भारती:

क्या प्रकास बंबी यह बताने की श्रुपा करेंगे कि:

- (क) क्या सरकार का विश्वार युरे-नियम का निर्यात करने का है; और
- (ख) यदि हां, तो 1966-67 में यरेनियम का कितना निर्यात किया गया भौर कितनी विदेशी मदा प्रजित की गई ?

प्रधान मंत्री तथा सम्बन्धित मन्त्री (भोमतो इन्दिरा गांबी): (क) जी नही।

(ख) प्रश्न नही उठता।

बायुसेना के मुख्यालय में झाग लगने की घटना

2928. भी बह्यानन्द की : भी भगसःच राव जीवी : भी इकम जन्द कल्लबाय : बी राम सिंह धयरबाल :

क्या रक्ष। मंत्री यह बताने की क्रूपा करेगे कि:

- (क) रामकृष्णपुरम में ब्लाक संख्या 6 के कक्ष 7 में स्थित बायु सेना के मुख्यालय में इस वर्ष कितनी बार माग लगी;
- (ख) इसके परिणामस्वरूप जान और माल की कितनी हानि हुई तथा भाग लगने के क्या कारण ये:
- (ग) क्या सरकार ने इस बारे में कोई जांच करवाई है; भीर
- (च) यदि हां, तो उसके नया परिणाम निकले हैं?

प्रतिरक्षा मंत्री (की स्वर्ग सिंह): (क) इस प्रकार की केवस एक घटना हुई भी 23 मई 1967 को घटी की।

(क) से (क). माग लगने से किसी की मृत्यु नहीं हुई वायु सेना नियमों के मनु-सार इस घटना की जांच के लिए और जितनी सम्पत्ति की हानि हुई इसकी जांच के लिए एक जांच भदालत बैठाने का भादेश दिया गया। जाच भदालत की रिपोर्ट के प्राप्त होने पर ही माग लगने के कारण और भन्य विवरण मालुम होंगे।

Programme for East Africa

2929. Shri P. N. Solanki: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that while the external Programmes for East Africa in Swahili, Hindi, and other languages are being Broadcast from Delhi but only one Programme in Gujarati is broadcast and that too half of it, is being broadcast from Bombay; and

(b) if so, the reasons thereof?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah): (a) and (b), No Sir. Gujarati Service to East Africa is being broadcast in two sessions daily—a news bulletin of 15 minutes duration in the morning and a general programme of 45 minutes duration in the evening. The morning news bulletin is broadcast from Delhi and is carried on the High Power Transmitter at Bombay. The evening Programme originates from Bombay and is put out simultaneously from High Power Transmitters at Delhi and Bombay. The place of origin of a certain programme is determined by the availability of suitable transmitters at the time when the service is required to be broadcast.

Gujarati News Broadcast from A.I.R. Station at Delhi & Bombay

2836. Shri P. N. Solanki: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Gujarati News in the External Services are broadcast from Delhi in the morning and from Bombay in the evening; and

(b) if so, the special reasons for this arrangement?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah): (a) and (b). Yes, Sir, The morning newsbulletin in Gujarati is of 15 minutes' duration and is a national bulletin based on material supplied by PTI and UNI and other sources. Such a bulletin can be prepared only at Delhi by the News Services Division. This bulletin is fed to Bombay on telephone lines for broadcast from the Bombay High Power Transmitter.

The evening bulletin in Gujarati is of 5 minutes duration and is a regional bulletin covering only head lines of regional news of particular interest to Gujarati population in East Africa; it is prepared by the Regional News Unit at Bombay. This bulletin is part of a composite Gujarati programme of 45 minutes' duration which because of the availability of talent is produced in Bombay. It is however, broadcast on both Delhi and Bombay High Power Transmitter.

News Reading on A.I.E.

2931. Shri P. N. Solanki: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the All India Radio has any machinery to scrutinise or act upon the suggestions made by Press and Public regarding the poor quality of News Reading; and
 - (b) if so, how it functions?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri K K. Shah): (a) and (b). Criticisms or suggestions received from listeners through letters or noticed in newspapers are brought to the attention of the news-readers concerned. The importance of effective newsreading is constantly emphasised on those responsible for news-reading. There is, however, no separate machinery for the purpose.

Recorded Speeches

2932. Shri P. N. Solanki: Will the Minister of Information and Broadeasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the recorded voices of Mahatma Gandhi and Shri Jawahar Lal Nehru are broadcast from time to time but recorded voice of Saidar Patel is not broadcast; and
 - (b) if so, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah): (a) While All India Radio broadcasts the recorded voices of Mahatma Gandhi and Pandit Jawahar Lai Nehru, it also utilises from time to time in its programmes the recorded voice of Sardar Patel

(b) Does not arise.

Ranks, Badges and Uniforms of Defence Services

2933. Shri Hem Raj: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that the ranks, badges and uniforms are different in the three wings of Army, Navy and Air Force of the Defence Services;
- (b) if so, whether Government propose to bring uniformity in them; and
 - (c) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) No. Sir.
- (c) The ranks, badges and uniforms in the three Services have a tradition and distinction of their own and are consistent with the specific characteristics of each Service.

Economy in the Working of Indian High Commission in London

2924. Shri Vasudevan Nair: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) the main recommendations of the report submitted by the team of the Foreign Service Inspectorate which went into the question of effecting economy in the working of the Indian High Commission at London; and

(b) the decisions taken thereon?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) The report of the Foreign Service Inspectors has not yet been finalised.

(b) Does not arise.

Helicopters from U.S.A.

2935. Shri Atam Das: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have any proposal under consideration to purchase Sikorksy Sea King helicopters from U.S.A. to strengthen the Indian Air Force; and
- (b) whether Government also propose to set up a factory to manufacture these helicopters in collaboration with U.S.A.?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

फ़िन्म सेंसर बोर्ड

2936 श्री भी० प्र० त्यागी : क्या सूचना ग्रीर प्रसारण मजी यह बताने की कृपा करेगे कि:

- (क) 31 मार्च 1967 तक पिछले पाच वर्षों की अवधि में उन फिल्मों की सख्या कितनी है जिनके प्रदर्शन के लिये फिल्म सैमर बोर्ड ने अनुमति मही दी बी किन्तु केन्द्रीय मंत्रालय ने अनुमति दे दी बी; धीर
- (ख) फिल्म सेंमर बोर्ड कीराम की, झव-हेसना करके केन्द्रीय मंत्रासय ने किन कारजों से उनके प्रदर्शन की झनुमति दी है?

सूचना भीर प्रसारण मंत्री (श्री के के काह): (क) 141 (ब) उचकुनत मानलों पर पुनविचार करने पर सरकार इस नतीजे पर पहुंची कि उनके प्रदर्शन से यथा-संशोधित चलविन्न सिंधिनियम, 1952 की धारा 5वा(1) में उल्लिखित फिल्मों के मार्वजनिक प्रदर्शन संबंधी सिंधान्तों का उल्लंधन नहीं होगा।

सहायक के.बट कोर

2937. भी राज गागल जालबाले : क्या रका मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेगे कि

- (क) सहायक औडिट कोर के प्रशिक्षण पर वार्षिक कितना धन व्यय किया जाता है; भौर
- (ख) ग्रव तक कितने पुरुष तथा महिला दोनों को प्रशिक्षण दिया जाचका है?

रिश्वा मंत्रालय में राज्य नहीं (श्री बंद राव भगा): (क) तथा (ख). सहायक कैंडेट कोर को 1965-66 में धन्तम रूप से बन्द किए जाने के कारण उस पर धन कोई व्यय नहीं किया जा रहा है। सहायक कैंडेट कोर मुख्य रूप से नागरिक घोर गारोरिक प्रजिञ्जण देने से संबंधित था। उसमें पुरुषों प्रोर महिला कैंडेटों को सैनिक प्रशिक्षण नहीं दिया जाता था।

Community Radio Sets for Punjab

2938. Shri Ram Kishan Gupta: Will the Minister of Information and Percadeasting be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Community Radio Sets supplied to the rural areas in Punjab during the Third Five Year Plan which are lying idle at present; and
- (b) the steps taken by Government to repair such radio sets?

The Minister of Information and Breadensting (Shri K. K. Shah): (a). Under the Central Government Subsidy Scheme, 4,800 community listening receivers were supplied to the \$28 (Ai) LSD—5.

Punjab Government (before the reorganisation) during the Third Five Year Plan period. Information on the actual number of the receivers lying of the state of the being colmeted from the State Government and will be laid on the Table of the House.

(b) The maintenance of the Community Radio receivers supplied to States under the Community Listening Subsidy Scheme is the responsibility of the State Governments and they have set up organisations for this purpose. A model maintenance scheme for their guidance has already been circulated. The importance of setting up suitable maintenance machinery on the lines of the model scheme has been impressed on the State Governments from time to time.

Ex-Servicemen in Manipur

2939. Shri M. Meghachandra: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Ex-servicemen at present in the Union Territory of Manipur;
- (b) the steps being taken to rehabilitate them; and
- (c) how many of them are still unemployed?

The Minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) According to approximate statistics available with the Manipur State Soldiers' Sailors' & Airmen's Board the number of ex-servicemen in Manipur, including families of serving and deceased soldiers, is 5,882.

(b) Ex-servicemen of Manipur State too are eligible for the following facilities and concessions which have been or are being provided to rehabilitate ex-servicemen—

For Direct Employment:

(i) Permission for registration in an Employment Exchange of their own choice, six months before their release.

- (ii) Grant of priority III for Civil Employment by Employment Exchanges.
- (iii) Age relaxation to the extent of service in the Armed Forces plus a grace periand of 3 years, wherever necessary.
- (iv) Relaxation of minimum educational qualification for appoinment to Class IV posts.
- (v) Preference for jobs in Defence installations and in security posts for which they have special background.
- (vi) Reservation of vacancies in permanent posts in Class III and Class IV to the extent of 10 per cent and 20 per cent respectively for a period of two years in the first instance from 1st July, 1966.

For training in order to improve employment prospects:

- (vii) Vocational training at the Industrial Training Institutes for which 5 per cent seats have been reserved with stipends.
- (viii) Preference for teacher's training.
- (ix) Tractor and Agricultural Farm Machinery Training (the first batch to go in for training in July 1967).
- (x) Pre-release training for about 3,000 Army personnel every year in certain selected trades in the various Industrial Training Institutes located near-about their Regimental Centres, (the scheme to be implemented shortly).

The State/Union Territory Governments including the Government of Manipur have been requested to extend the concessions mentioned, at (iii), (iv) and (vi) above for direct employment in the corresponding State Services and posts.

(c) According to the statistics of the Employment Exchange of the Union Territory of Manipur, the number of ex-servicemen waiting for jobs on 31st March, 1967 as shown on the live register was 111.

Indians in West Germany

2940, Shri Jyotirmoy Basu;
Shri K. Haldur;
Shri Bhagaban Das;
Shri C. K. Chakrapani;
Shri E. K. Nayanar;
Shri K. Ramani;

Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Indians living in West Germany;
- (b) the number who have settled down there;
- (c) whether Government have received any complaint regarding illtreatment meted out to Indians there;
 - (d) if so, details thereof; and
- (e) the steps Government propose to take in this regard?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagia): (a) 4251.

- (b) 22 (19 hold German and 3 British passports).
 - (c) No. Sir.
 - (d) and (e). Do not arise,

Pak. Attempt to Malign India Abread

2941. Shri Hem Barua: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Pakistan is trying to utilise the current crisis in West Asia to malign India in the Arab world and to promote misunderstanding between the two by putting forward all sorts of mischievous stories about India;
- (b) whether it is also a fact that Pakistan is putting forward another set of stories about India for circulation in Western world vives-vis the present West Asian crisis; and

(c) if so, the details thereof and the steps taken by Government, to counteract these malicious lies?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) Yes, Sir.
- (c) During the West Asian crisis Pakistan has attempted to malign India by fabricated stories. Some of the inventions of Pakistani propaganda are given below:

Pakistan news media circulated a story to the effect that India was supplying spare parts worth 2 million pounds to Israel for her Ouragan Jet Fighters. This vicious allegation was absolutely baseless and was immediately denied.

When President Nasser's Emissary came to India to convey U.A.R. Government's appreciation for India's support, Pakistani propaganda machinery brought out reports that the Emissary had come to ask for aircraft and India had let U.A.R. down. This story was again fabrication as President Nasser's Emissary made no such request and the question of turning it down did not, therefore, arise.

In the Western world, Pakistan in order to ingratiate itself with those who are sympathetic to Isreal said that India was supplying arms to the U.A.R. This shows how double-faced Pakistani propaganda is.

Apart from this the Pakistani propaganda machine, as usual, distorted or mis-quoted statements by various Indian leaders.

As usual our Missions were suitably instructed to publicise the correct facts.

Ordnance Factories in Maharashtra

2948. Shri Joytirmoy Basu: Shri K. Haldar: Shri Bhagahan Das: Shri K. Ramani:

Shri C. K. Chakrapani: Shri E. K. Nayaner:

Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Ordnance Factories erected in Maharashtra State during the last five years; and
- (b) the minimum and maximum distance of such factories from the border?

The Minister in the Ministry of Defence (Shri B. R. Bhagat): (a) During the last 5 years, in Maharashtra State erection work started on 3 Ordnance Factories. One of them has since gone into production.

(b) Of these the nearest factory is approximately 600 Kilometres and the farthest factory is about 1000 kilometres from the border.

Entry of Chinese Nationals in India through Sikkim

2943. Shri B. N. Shastri: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government are aware that there being no passport system between India and Sikkim, Chinese Nationals often enter India in the guise of citizens of Sikkim; and
- (b) if so, the steps taken by Government to check it?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagla): (a) With Sikkim's border with Tibet already closed, the Chinese do not have free access to Sikkim There is no Chinese community in Sikkim and even the entry into India of Tibetan refugees in Sikkim as well as of others of "Chinese origin" is subject to Indian regulations. In view of this, the assumption in the question would seem to be unfounded.

(b) Does not arise.

Opening of New Radio Stations for Regional Languages

2944. Shri Bedabrata Barua: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of radio stations, opened during the last year to cater to the needs of regional languages; and
- (b) the scheme for opening of new Stations during the year 1967-68?

The Minister of Information Broadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah): (a) During 1966-67 new Radio Stations, with low power transmitters, were set up at Mathura and Aijal. The Station at Mathura, besides improving coverage, caters to the needs of Brij Bhasha. The Station at Aijal is intended to serve the Mizo Hills area near Aijal and broadcasts some the programmes in the local dialects. Also Auxiliary transmitting centres were commissioned at Gulbarga, Coimbatore, Agartala, Bhagalpur and Udaipur to extend the service from their respective existing Radio Stations at Dharwar, Tiruchi, Calcutta, Patna and Jaipur.

(b) During the year 1967-68 new Radio Stations are expected to be opened at Pondicherry, Dibrugarh and Teju (NEFA). An auxiliary transmitting centre will also be commissioned at Parbhani which will extend the coverage of the existing Radio Station at Poona.

Televisions

2945. Shri Nitiraj Singh Chaudhary: Shri B. S. Sharma; Shri Ram Kishan;

Will the Minister of Information and Brendessing be pleased to state:

- (a) the name of All India Radio Station where from Television programmes are relayed;
- (b) the plan for providing Television Broadcasting and Relaying Stations in the country during this and next three years;

- (c) the places where Television Broadcasting stations and the places where relaying stations would be located;
- (d) the areas these broadcasting and relaying stations would cover; and
- (e) the period by which whole country would be covered by Television?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri K, K, Shah): (a) Delhi TV Centre.

- (b) to (d). The Fourth Five-Year Plan of All India Radio, as approved by the Planning Commission, provides for the setting up of Television Stations at Bombay, Calcutta, Madras and Kanpur besides the exnapsion of Delhi TV Centre. These Stations are expected to cover the cities and the areas around them. The range of these Stations will depend upon the power of the transmitter, type of antenna employed, location of the transmitting centre and antenna height. The details have not yet been finalised.
- (e) It is hoped that the country would be covered progressively during the next ten to fifteen years.

Powerful Transmitter at A.I.R. Panaji-Gon; and Gon

2946. Shri Shinkre: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government are aware of the frequent demand from Goans for the installation of a powerful transmitter at A.I.R. Panaji-Goa; and
- (b) if so, what Government propose to do in this connection?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) It is proposed to increase the power of the existing transmitter at Pansji (Goa) in order to improve the broadcast coverage in the territory of Goa.

Number of Employees at A.I.R. Panaji-Goa

2947. Shri Shinkre: Will the Minister of Information and Broadcasting be pleased to state:

- (a) the total number of employees in the All India Radio Station at Panaji-Gos;
- (b) the number of local people and the number of outsiders; and
- (c) the number of local employees who are connected with the Konkani Bhasha Mandal, Goa?

The Minister of Information and Broadcasting (Shri K. K. Shah); (a) One hundred and forty four.

- (b) One hundred and thrity one are local people and thirteen are from outside.
 - (c) three.

Ex-servicemen of Former Portuguese Army in Goa

2948. Shri Shinkre: Will the Minister of Defence be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of ex-servicemen of Goan origin from the former Portuguese Army in Goa at present;
- (b) the steps so far taken by Government to rehabilitate them, and
- (c) the number of those who are still unemployed?

The minister of Defence (Shri Swaran Singh): (a) The information is not available. The former Portuguese Army personnel of Goan origin are not treated as ex-servicemen for the purpose of benefits and concessions admissible to the ex-servicemen of the Indian Armed Forces.

(b) and (c) Do not arise.

न रुम्त राष्ट्र कावास सेना में भारतीय सेनिक

2949 भी रवृदेर निह शास्त्र'ः भी महासर्वर शास्त्र'ः

भी ित्यक्रवार शास्त्रः :

क्या रक्ता मंदी यह बताने की कृता करेगे कि:

(क) संयुक्त ग्राब्द्ध ग्रायात सेना के

कितने भारतीय सैनिक संयुक्त ग्ररंब गण-राज्य तथा इसराईल की सीमा पर समय समय पर तैनात किये गये भीर इस समय उनकी मख्या कितनी है;

- (ख) सयकत राष्ट्र सघ की भोर से भ्रन्य देशों के कमश कितने सैनिक वहां पर तैनात किये गये; भीर
- (ग) क्या ऐसे कार्यों के सिथे विदेशों में मैनिक भेजे जाने समय सैनिक ग्रीधकारियों से परामर्श लिया जाता है?

प्रतिरक्षा नंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री बंद राद भगत): (क) तथा (ख). 1956-57 से संयुक्त राष्ट्र घापात सेना में मारतीय सैनिको भीर भन्य देशों के मैनिकों की घधिकतम सख्याणक्ति पहली नवम्बर, 1966 की स्थिति के धनुसार निमनलिखिन है।

वर्ष		भारतीय सैनिक सच्याः सन्ति
1956-57		958
1957-58		1173
1956-59	•	1218
1959-60	•	1249
1960-61		1255
1961-62		1249
1962-63	X	12-9
1963-6.		1258
1964-65	(•)	1273
1965-66		1255
1966-67		981

प्रन्य देशों से सैनिक

देश			संख्या शक्ति
ग्राजी ल			506
कनेडा		•	795
डेनमार्क नार्वे	•		341
स्वे ड न			265 2
युगोस्साविय	т		707
	योग		2616

(ग) जी हां।

Pilgrims from Pakistan

2956. Shri Atam Das: Will the Minister of External Affairs be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that 100 pilgrims from Pakistan are expected to visit the shrine of Hazrat Khawaja Allauddin Ahmed Subiz at Kalyan Sharif m Saharanpur (in U.P.) in the near future: and
- (b) if so, the details of facilities etc. which Government propose to provide them during their stay in India?

The Minister of External Affairs (Shri M. C. Chagia): (a) Yes, Sir. Permission has been accorded to a party of 100 Muslim pilgrims from Pakistan to visit the Shrine of Hazrat Khawaja Alauddin Ali Ahmed Sabir at Kaliar Sharif, Distt. Saharanpur (U.P.) from 18th to 25th June, 1967.

(b) Boarding, lodging and transportation facilities will be provided to the pilgrims as usual, on payment.

12.37 hrs.

MESSAGE FROM RAJYA SABHA

Secretary: Sir, I have to report the following message received from the Secretary of Rajya Sabha:—

"In accordance with the provisions of rule 111 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Rajya Sabha. I am directed to enclose a copy of the Companies Tribunal (Abolition) Bill, 1967, which has been passed by the Rajya Sabha at its sitting held on the 15th June. 1967."

COMPANIES TRIBUNAL (ABOXI-TION) BILL

As PASSED BY RAJYA SABBA

Secretary: Sir, I lay on the Table of the House the Companies Tribunal (Abolition) Bill, 1967, as passed by Rajya Sabha.

12.38 hrs.

PANEL OF CHAIRMEN

Mr. Speaker: I have to inform the House that under sub-rule (1) of rule 9 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha, I nominate the following Members on the Panel of Chairmen:—

- I. Shri K. Manoharan.
- 2. Shri C. K. Bhattacharyya.
- 3. Shri G. S. Dhillon.
- 4. Shri Bal Raj Madhok
- Shrimati T. Lakshmikanthamma; and
- 6. Shri S. M. Joshi.

12,381 hrs.

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES

FIRST REPORT

Mr. Speaker: Shri Vajpayee may present the repert.

Shri A. B. Vajpayce (Balrampur)—

Shri Samar Guha (Contai): Sir, I rise to a point of order. I had given a Calling Attention Notice on the serious food situation developing in West Bengal. While food riots have started there my Calling Attention Notice has been rejected. Shops and bazars are being ransacked and houses are being searched for food....

Speaker: Order, order. Mr. cannot raise it like this. 110 Calling Attention Notices have been received today. If all the 110 Members, like Shri Samar Guha, get up and start raising their points of order do think this House can function. Therefore, I would request hon. Members whose Calling Attention Notices have not been accepted not to raise it in this way. As I said, 110 notices were today. It is such a big received bundle that if everybody starts getting up in this way there will be no end to it.

shri Hem Barus (Mangaldai): Sir, I understand your difficulties. At the same time, I have my full sympathy for the situation in West Bengal. I submitted another Calling Attention Notice 15 days ago.....

Mr. Speaker: You are also doing the same thing, exactly what Shri Samar Guha did.

Shri Samar Guha: Will the hon. Food Minister make a statement?

Mr. Speaker: I do not know. The Food Minister is here. The hon. Member can ask him.

भी ग्रदल बिहारी बाजनेबी : मध्यस महोदय, मैं सरकारी भाक्यासमों सम्बन्धी समिति का प्रवस प्रनिवेदन प्रकृत करता है। 12.46 hrs.

STATEMENT UNDER DIRECTION 118(1).

SITUATION IN NAXALBARI

Shri H. N. Mukerlee (Calcutta North East): Mr. Speaker, Sir, in pursuance of Direction 115(1) of the Directions by the Speaker under the Rules of Procedure of Lok Sabha, I intend to invite the attention of the House to an apparently incorrect statement made by the Home Minister Shri Chavan, in Lok Sabha on 13th June, 1967 (since repeated in Raiya Sabha on 14th June, 1967) regarding non-receipt from the West Government of official information regarding the situation in Naxalbari and adjoining areas in spite of a request for the same having been conveyed to the state Government.

Sir, Delhi papers reported that the West Bengal State Government was surprised at the Home Minister's statement in Parliament, since a communication on the matter had in good time, according to the State Government, been sent to the Home Minister. This report appeared in several Delhi newspapers and I need not particularise it.

I would like you, Sir, to be pleased to let me have a rectification of the anomaly which is represented by this difference in the papers.

The Minister of Home Affairs (Shri Y. B. Chavan): Sir, While speaking in this House in the evening of 13th June in the course of a discussion on the situation in the Naxalbari area I had stated that I had sent a telegram to the Chief Minister of West Bengal on 12th June and that my Ministry had also requested the West Bengal Government later the same day supply information on certain points sought to be raised in this House. had proceeded to say that since had not received replies to the two communications I was somewhat handicapped in making a full state[Shri Y. B. Chavan]

A reply to my telegram to the Chief Minister was received in the afternoon of 14th June. A reply to the other Communication from the Ministry was received in the Home Ministry at about midnight of 13th June. Thus when I made my statement in this House in the evening of 13th June neither of these two replies had reached by Ministry.

However, it has since been brought to my notice that in response to request by the Ministry on 10th June in connection with a Calling Attention Notice in the Rajya Sabha West Bengal Government had handed in a wireless message in Calcutta on the evening of 12th June, and the message had been delivered to Home Ministry in the afternoon of 13th June. Unfortunately, this message was not promptly brought to the notice of any of the senior officers who were dealing with the subject, with the result that I had not been informed of it when I made my statement in the evening of 13th June. regret that not being aware of receipt of this message I made statement in this House to the effect that no information had been received from the State Government.

I wish to take this opportunity to make it clear that in stating that no reply had been received to the two communications of 12th June it was far from my intention to suggest that there had been any undue delay on the part of the West Bengal Government. I may add that I have sent a communication to the Chief Minister, West Bengal, assuring him on these lines.

Shri H. N. Mukerjee: I appreciate the Home Minister's statement. But in view of the delicate balance, I hope that good care is taken every time to see that no irritations are caused which can be avoided.

Shri Jyotirmony Basa (Diamond Harbour): With regard to this correspondence with the West Bengal Government, Sir, would you be so kind as to direct the Home Minister to place copies of the papers on the Table of the House?

Mr. Speaker: There is no question of placing them on the Table.

12.45 hrs.

ANDHRA PRADESH AND MYSORE (TRANSFER OF TERRITORY)

The Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Y. B. Chavan): Sir, I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill to provide for the transfer of certain territory from the State of Mysore to the State of Andhra Pradesh and for matters connected therewith.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill to provide for the transfer of certain territory from the State of Mysone to the State of Andhra Pradesh and for matters connected therewith."

The motion was adopted.

Shri Y. B. Chavan: I introduced the Bill.

12.46 hrs.

IRON ORE MINES LABOUR WEL-FARE CESS (AMENDMENT) BILL*

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Labour, Emp'oyment and Rehabilitation (Shri L. N. Mishra): Sir.

^{*}Published in Gazette of India Extraordinary. Part II. section 2, dated 19-6-67.

[†]Introduced with the recommendation of the President.

5917 Passports Bill JYAISTHA 29, 1889 (SAKA)
I beg to move for leave to introduce tioner had we
a Bill further to amend the Iron Ore writing how t
Mines Labour Welfare Cess Act, 1961. pulated.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill further to amend the Iron Ore Mines Labour Welfare Cess Act, 1961."

The motion was adopted.

Shri L. N. Mishra: I introduce; the the Bill.

RE: INDIAN EMBASSY IN CHINA

Mr. Speaker: I would like to announce that about our Embassy in China a statement will be made by the Government at 5.30 P.M. today.

12,48 hrs.

PASSPORTS BILL-Contd.

Mr. Speaker: The House will now take up further consideration of the Passports Bill. Shri Supakar will continue his speech. He has already taken about 4 minutes.

Shri Sradhakar Supakar: (Sambal-pur): I was dealing with a wide spectrum of colourful personalities like Rita Feria, Dr. and Mrs. Dharma Teja, Sheik Abdulla, the underground Nagas who went to meet Phizo and the delegation of Members of Parliament who went to Taiwan and Israel. Here I would like to quote two interesting cases which were dealt within the judgment of the Supreme Court.

In writ Petition No. 30 of 1967, Mr. R. D. Chakravarty, Under Secretary to the Government of India in the Ministry of External Affairs states on affidavit that Om Parkash Kapur was a member of a gang of passport racketeers and had got many students stranded in foreign countries, because as a travel agent he had arranged for their travel with a company which did not exist. In proof of the objectionable activities of the petitioner, the Union of India filed a photostat copy of his letter in which the peti-

1889 (SAKA) Passports Bill 5918 tioner had written in his own hand-writing how tickets were to be manipulated.

In the second case it is stated that Satwant Singh Sawhney, the famous petitioner, obtained in 1961 an import licence under the Export Promotion Scheme for import of brake liners in ribbons and brass rivets of the face value of Rs. 3 lakhs on condition that he would export finished brake liners worth Rs. 4 lakhs to non-rupee account areas. He however sold away in Indian markets 91 per cent of the imports. He was also alleged to have defrauded the import authorities by showing fraudulent exports with a view to obtaining import licences under the Export Promotion scheme. Investigations were going on into his doings in Kuwait and the passports were withdrawn, because Satwant Singh Sawhney, was apprehended, wished to leave-India to tamper with evidence.

12.51 hrs.

[SHRI C. K. BHATTACHARYYA in the Chair]

This is the character of the cases. involved in the Supreme Court majority judgment and I do not know whether after the marjority judgment people will not claim a fundamental right to racketeer in passports. That is my apprehension. However, in the Supreme Court majority judgment they have not dealt with the issuewhether the allegations against the applicants were correct or not. But there may be some other cases also of rich people, who have enough money to spend, wishing to squander valuable foreign exchange of which we are in short supply. This is very important because now there is a proposal to abolish the P form altogether. We want a legislation on passports which would cover all these classes of cases and also cases of those who are ignorant people who wrongly think that if they go abroad in search of a job they will get it and who, when they actually go abroad disillusioned.

5919

[Mr. Sradhakar Supakar]

In this connection I will read one sentence from the Explanatory Memorandum appended to the Passports Bill which says:—

"Government might have to protect his interests abroad vis vis a foreign state and might also have to arrange for his repatriation to India at public expense, should he become destitute or a public charge."

All these cases have to be covered by a legislation on passports. But, unfortunately, we find that clause 2(f) of section 6, which was there in Ordinance, has been omitted. About that I have given notice of an amendment. While moving for consideration of the Bill on Friday the hon. Minister of External Affairs said that that clause had been omitted because would take a long time to consider the financial conditions. But it is the responsibility of Government to see that our people in foreign countries do not behave in such a manner that will tell upon the prestige of country.

I would have expected that the Government provided in the clauses what is called a sui generis clause, that is to say, for refusing passport to a person on other sufficient grounds along with the six or seven clauses that have been enumerated. But, unfortunately, that has not been done and it has been stated that on these grounds only and on no other grounds the Passport Authority may refuse a passport.

The Bill has been passed in the Rajya Sabha. Now, the interval of time between the promulgation of an ordinance and its passing into law must be a very short one and, therefore, it may be said that the urgency of legislation is there. But it would have been better if having regard to the complexity of situations likely to arise in the cases of the issue of passports, this Bill had been considered,

about the pros and cons, about liberalisation or the need for stringency in a Bill of this nature, in a Select Committee. But this has not been done obviously for want of time.

I also feel that the Government had not the time to think over all the aspects of the problem because the time between the judgment of the Supreme Court and the date of the promulgation of the ordinance was very short. The judgment of the Supreme Court was announced on 24th April, 1967 and the Ordinance was promulgated on 5th May, 1967 and the Government had no time in the short interval to give thought to the problem.

I, therefore, appeal to the Minister of External Affairs to give greater thought to all the problems which I have enumerated that may arise out of the passport regulations and, if necessary, bring an amending Bill at a later stage, enumerating all the conditions which may arise on the question of the necessity of having restricted and curtailed rights in the matter of the issue of passports.

Shri M. R. Masani: (Rajkot): Mr. Chairman, Sir, as is well-known, this Bill is a result of a judgment of the Supreme Court and before I deal with som, aspects of the Bill to which exception may be taken, I would like to draw your attention to one or two parts of the judgement. The judgement of Chief Justice Subba Rao says that "the real question in these writ petitions is whether a person living in India has a fundamental right to travel abroad" and he draws attenthe tion to the relevant article of He Constitution, that is Article 21. goes on to say:

"If the right to travel is a part of the personal liberty of a person, he cannot be deprived of his right except according to procedure established by law." Then, in a later part of the judgement there is a passage where he says:

"For the reasons mentioned above, we would accept the view of Kerala, Bombay and Mysore High Courts in preference to that expressed by the Delhi High Court. It follows that under Article 21 of the Constitution, no person can be deprived of his right to travel except according to procedure established by law."

Finally, later the judgement, in the Supreme Court says:

"But in the present case the executive claims a right to issue a passport at its discretion; that is to say, it can at its discretion prevent a person from leaving India on foreign travel. Whether the right to travel is part of personal liberty or not within the meaning of Art. 21 of the Constitution, such an arbitrary prevention of a person from travelling abroad will certainly affect him prejudicially. A person may like to go abroad for many reasons. He may like to see the world, to stay abroad. medical to undergo teatment that is not available in our country, to collaborate in scientific research, develop his mental horizon different fields and such others. An executive arbitrariness can prevent one from doing so and permit another to travel merely for pleasure."

The point in my reading these passages is that I find the Bill, as now framed, offends against this judgment in certain respects and indeed against the Constitution. There are several aspects in which the Bill offends against the Constitution and the judgment of the Supreme Court.

Mr. Chairman: The hon. Member may continue his speech after lunch.

Shri M. R. Masani: Thank you.

13 hrs.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned for Lunch till Fourteen of the Clock.

The Lok Sabha re-assembled after lunch at Five Minutes Past Fourteen of the Clock.

[Mr. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]

PASSPORTS BILL-Contd.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The House will now take up further consideration of the Passports Bill. We have only 2 more hours left for this Bill. I think we can have I hour more for the general discussion and 1 hour for the clause-by-clause consideration. I hope that that would be acceptable to the House.

Shri M. R. Masani: There should be at least 1 hour for the clause-by-clause consideration, because there are about 53 amendments to be considered. At least 1 hour for the clause-by-clause consideration should be there.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Yes, we can have 1 hour for the clause-by-clause consideration.

Now, Shri M. R. Masani may resume his speech.

shri M. R. Masani: I had just started before lunch to draw attention to some features of the Supreme Court judgment. It was a historic judgment which had struck a blow for the liberty of the citizen. Unfortunately, I find that the Bill has some features which are not in consonance with the spirit of the judgment, and I do not even know if they are consistent with the letter of the Constitution.

There are three features of the Bill which are objectionable. To start with the smallest, in clause 5 it is prescribed that the officer concerned

[Shri M. R. Masani]

5923

may not only reject an application for a passport but may even refuse to give reasons why he is rejecting it. This is the height of arbitrary exercise of discretion which the Supreme Court has ruled cannot be applied in the case of a fundamental right like the right to travel. It is had enough that, on the plea of public interest or some other ground, a citizen can be refused a passport but when it comes to this that the man who refuses the passport even to share a brief statement of the reasons why he has refused the paraport, it gets to the height utterly arbitrary and tyrannical be-This clause should haviour. be amended to strike out this ridiculous power that is sought to be taken by Government.

The second aspect which is objectionable is about the grounds which a passport may be refused. Some of these grounds are reasonable and I have nothing to say them. But there is one which is objectionable and that is the one clause 10 where it is said:

"if the passport authority deems it necessary so to do in the interests of the sovereignty and integrity of India, the security of India, friendly relations of India with any foreign country, or in the interests of the general public."

The objectionable words are:

"friendly relations of India with any foreign country.".

These words are very dangerous because they are very subjective. Friendly relations of India with any foreign country means anything that involves the interests or relations of India with any other country with which we may have diplomatic relations, including, let us say, the Peking Communist regime. If somebody goes abroad or is going abroad who, they consider, may say something against the Cultural Revolution or the Thoughts of Mao Tse-tung or the kind of peculiarly gentlemanly and civilised behaviour which Peking has been displaying during the last few days, then that also could be made a reason for refusing the man a passport, because our precious relations with this bandit regime must before everything else.

Just to show how servile our Government can become where the susceptibilities of Communist are concerned, let me give just one instance. It will amuse the House to know the reason why for months that excellent film 'Dr. Zhivago' was not allowed to be shown in this country. In order to suck up to the Soviet Embassy and the Soviet dictatorship, ultimately certain portions had to be cut out. Here are the words that were cut out for the purpose, from which the House will judge what "friendly relations with a foreign power" are supposed mean in the eyes of this Government.

For instance, one character says:

'Yes, but do you know at what There were children in those days who fived off human flesh. Did you know that?'.

That was cut off.

Another remark cut off was:

No. the Bolsheviks do not like me and I do not like them. They do no! know right from wrong.".

The third remark that was cut off was:

"This Lenin, will he be a new Czar then?".

The fourth remark was:

"I am the only free man on this train. The rest of you cattle.".

And the final remark was, and very true it was:

"Personal life is dead in Russia; history has killed it.".

In the case of a Government that interferes with the freedom of the film and for six months denies the people of this country from seeing a wonderful production like Dr. Zhivago' because of these innocent words, which every child knows to be true, is it beyond their capacity to deny a man a passport because they may say that the Soviet Embassy would not like it or that the Soviet Government would not like it?

In other words, a servile government like this, which sucks up to Nasser at one and, Kosygin at the other and Mao Tse-tung at the third cannot be trusted with the power to deny an Indian citizen his right to travel because those in office think that their precious relations with these gentlemen would be disturbed. I think this provision should not form part of this Bill.

The last, and most important objection is that the right of appeal to the Courts is sought to be taken away In clauses 6. 9 and 11 it is made perfectly clear that a citizen cannot go to a court of law when he is wrongly or arbitrarily denied his passport. Now, this aspect of the Bill is a direct defiance of, and an attempt to defeat, the judgment of the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court says "This is a right fundamental right; the travel is a part of the right of personal liberty. You may not by your discretion or arbitrary behaviour deprive any citizen of this right. Therefore, please pass a law so that the rule of law may prevail". hon, friend now brings forward Bill saying that this is what the Supreme Court wanted. But they forgot to put in a provision that the citizen, when denied his passport, shall in the last resort have the right to go to a court in appeal:

I do hope that if this Bill is passed in this form, the Supreme Court will strike it down, and I hope that Chief Justice Subba Rao's historic judgment will be followed by another historic one declaring this Bill ultra vires of the Constitution. We will not vote for this Bill unless this non-justiciable aspect is removed.

The Foreign Minister gave a very interesting explanation. I am reading from the official summary:

"The question of making the issue of passport justiceable was also considered. Every effort had been made to expedite the issue of passports. But if an appeal was made to a court of law the result would be considerable delay because a court of law could not refuse whatever the procedure provided. It would cause greater hardship to a citizen if he had to go in appeal to a court of law than to an administrative officer who could dispose it of quickly".

It is perfectly true that the first appeal should be to an administrative officer. If the Regional Passport Officer in a particular place says 'no'; then certainly let there be a higher official of the Ministry of External Affairs appointed to consider appeals. Nobody quarrels with that. That is a perfectly correct thing. Nobody suggests that he should straight to a court of law and be delayed for six months. But supposing the official of the Ministry of External Affairs refuses a passport then where does the question of delay come in? Does it mean that Chagla feels that a man who is refused a passport would rather submit to that ruling than go to court and get it after a few weeks? is most ridiculous and an eye-wash. I am surprised that the hon, Minister should think so low as to insult the intelligence of this House by making this statement in his original speach. I hope he will not indulge a shullist

[Shri M. R. Masani]

performance in the U.N. General Assembly in New York in the coming days and hold us up to a similar ridicule.

An hon, Member: I won't be surprised if he does.

Shri M. R. Masani: I won't be surprised if he does it either.

But let us stick to this. I say that the right of appeal to the courts is not an alternative to the right of appeal to an administrative officer. is an additional right which should follow when the first appeal to the administrative officer fails. the question of delay simply does not arise.

Already the 'P form' is another illegal way by which Indian citizens are prevented from going abroad. I am very glad that the Administrative Reforms Commission has pointed out that the Reform should be dispensed with and that a man who has got a passport should have the right to go abroad without further let or hindrance.

These are two illegal things. One is the P form. I hope it will be struck down by the Courts if somebody takes it up there. Then there is this new illegality perpetrated, which violates art 14 of the Constitution.

I say that these provisions which bar appeal to the Courts are ultra vires the Constitution particularly article 14. I do suggest that even now Government rather than have this lawless law passed may consider removing this aspect and make a provision for final appeal to the Courts where a domestic appeal fails. If they do not do that, then I am starid we shall have to vote against the Bill as a whole.

When the time comes for moving amendments, we shall move amendments to improve the Bill.

Shri K. Narayana Rac: (Bobbili): We are aware of the particular circumstances under which this Bill has been brought forward. To appreciate the necessity of this Bill, perhaps it is desirable to have a little historical background of this particular problem.

Before we got independence, problem of entry into and exit out of India was governed by British laws. So far as Indians going abroad was concerned, it was regulated by the British passport system and Indians were used to be described as British subjects. So far as foreigners coming into India was concerned, it was regulated by the Indian Passport Act. 1920.

After independence, this British system was not applicable to Indians going aboard Unfortunately, ignored that aspect of it, and we used to regulate it by executive instruc-That is to say, so far foreigners coming into India was concerned, we had the Passport Act, and so far as Indians leaving India was concerned, we regulated it by executive instructions.

The issue was brought before courts from time to time and was agitated in several High Courts, and as Mr. Masani has pointed out, different High Courts gave different verdicts. Ultimately it came before the Supreme Court. The issues before the Supreme Court were two-fold: #hether the denial of a passport constitutes denial of the right of freedom of movement of the citizen, and whether, the possibility of discrimination being inherent in executive instructions, it was violative of article 14. On these two issues, the jority held that a denial of a passport would be violative of articles 21 and 14.

I cannot agree with the judgment of the Supreme Court, Article 19(1)

(d) relates to freedom of movement of the citizen within India. The Supreme Court has, however held that personal liberty under article 21 means freedom of movement, including freedom to go to foreign countries. It says that it is a residuary right which should be accommodated in article 21.

The difficulty in accepting this conclusion of the Supreme Court is two-fold. First of all, it is an elementary principle that most laws internal in their application, and even the Indian Constitution cannot confer a right on the Indian citizen to go abroad, or to do something in foreign countries, as it is opposed to principles of international law. If it were to be assumed that Indian citizens, can have the right to abroad, is it not violative of the national sovereignty of other countries. We have a passport system and foreigner can step into India without permission of the Government of India. We have the Registration of Foreigners Act. We regulate their movements and ask them to report to the police station etc. Similarly, when Indians go abroad, the movement of Indians therein will be regulated by that foreign Government. Such being the case, I am not in a position to agree with the Supreme Court judgement that personal liberty in article 21 extends to the right of an Indian citizen to go abroad.

Shri S. Kandappan (Mettur): We are not discussing the judgment of the Supreme Court.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: But it is the background to the Bill and so he is perfectly within his right to refer to them.

Shri E. Narayana Ecc: Mr. Masani has referred to the fundamental rights and in the light of that assumption he made certain comments.

Suppose this judgment is overruled by the next judgment. This is a judgment decided by 3: 2. Very strong dissenting opinion is there. It is quite likely that this judgment may be reversed.

I agree with the judgment about article 14. No executive action could be taken, especially relating to the freedom of a citizen's fundamental freedoms, without an enabling legislation. When citizens are affected by executive action, it should be done with the authority of the law. There is necessity for empowering the executive authority to regulate the issue of passports. Under Entry No. 19 in the Union List, 7th Schedule, Parliament has been given the power.

Now coming to the Bill, in principle I agree with this necessity for this Bill but I have some difficulty in accepting some of the provisions of the Bill. It can be very well improved. I will refer to two items. One is about the 'grounds'. Clause 6 lists nine grounds on which an application be rejected. Of these four or five are mere facts which are veriflable. It says that a person shall be a citizen of India. There is no difficulty in verifying that. It refers to imprisonment persons convicted of for two years for offences involving moral turpitude. It is also a verifiable ground. There are some other conditions which involve discretion political matters. For instance, the question whether the ravel abroad of a person will be prejudicial to the interests, to the security and integrity. of India is there. I am doubtful if any passport authority could be given discretionary power like that without donsulting the Central Government. These are political issues and it will be extremely dangerous to leave them to ordinary passport authorities, much more so when that authority has not been defined. There is a vacuum because in the entire Act the passport authority has not been defined. He may be big man or a small man but to give him such wide powers will be bed.

[Shri K. Narayana Rao]

About the right of appeal, I agree with Mr. Masani's comments. The passport authority has been given the power to give the grounds. If it is to be rejected, it must be rejected by the Central Government. If a citizen is not told of the grounds, how can he prefer an appeal? Otherwise, the right of appeal is fictitious. At least the appellate authority should have the power to call for the grounds on which an application had been rejected. With these words, I finish.

Shri A. N. Mulia (Lucknow): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I rise to support the Bill which is before the House. Some amendments have been moved. but I feel that a case for sending the Bill to the Select Committee has not been made out. Mr. Goel did make some general remarks, but I believe that they can be urged as amendments to the various sections of this Bill, and there is no reason why the passage of this Bill should be delayed when it has become almost a necessary Bill. It became a necessary Bill after the decision of the Supreme Court. The majority decision has been hailed by some Members of this House on my right as a great vindication the rights of the citizens. Would they be surprised to know that a large number of persons in this country were simply amazed at this decision and I was one of them? judgment no doubt is now the of the land but at the same time. I can express my surprise at the view which the learned judges took, because in the context of the world of today, when values are constantly changing, when our conception of liberty is also undergoing a change, when the conception of the public good is dominating the mind of the people, this conception of absolute Herry certainly seems to be strange. Even nationals today except an outlaw nation like China, cannot be totally independent and so an individual cannot be completely at liberty to do whatever he pleases without any regulation or restrictions. This doczrine of absolute liberty is completely out-dated and it cannot be applied to the necessities of the present times in which we are living.

I think there are four main considerations which have to be kept mind when we go through the provisions of this Bill. In the first place, the granting of the passport should be the rule and the refusal should be the exception. This should be accepted that whenever an application comes, normally it would be granted and the refusal would be only in extraordinary cases Secondly, when the prayer is refused, a written order stating the reason must be given to the applicant. A refusal w:thout the reasons is not right; it is neither desirable nor proper. The reasons must be given so that the applicant, if he is dissatisfied, should go in appeal and this appeal should not go from an administrative post to an administrative pillar, for it only mean that no fresh mind was brought to deal with the matter but the same authority under two different names, first passed an order and then confirmed it. At the appellate stage, an authority other than the administrative authority, should decide the matter, for, issuing a passport is is not merely an administrative and a political act; it also deals with the Therefore, right of the citizen. although a passport is basically political document, being a letter of introduction given by a country to country. It vouches another respectability of a citizen, and grants him the right to travel abroad. Therefore, a judicial mind at stage should come in the picture to decide whether the rights have been rightly refused or denied. Thirdly, the process of granting passports should be simplified and streamlined and no undue delay should occur because of a complicated procedure. In my opinion, 3 days would be ample time to issue a pessport. an appeal, 10 days are more than consideration The fourth enough. should be that discretionary powers of administrative authorities should be restricted as far as possible by directions contained in written rules, so that the chances of law being used as an engine of political oppression and discrimination and not as instrument of order should be reduced to the minimum. At the moment the greatest suspicion against any discretionary power vested in the authorities is that it is likely to abuse that discretionary power. In an administrative order, you cannot completely do away with this discretionary powerbut if it is reduced to the minimum and a judicial mind is introduced at some stage to look into the matter. I think the rights of the citizens could be amply safeguarded.

Shri S. Kandappan: Sir, travel abroad should be encouraged with a view to strengthen the ties of human fellowship, which will ensure lasting peace in the world. This Bill, which is apparently very innocuous, has got a sinister motive behind it and I totally oppose it. I am not for moment suggesting that there should not be any regulation in the issue of passports. It needs to be regulated for two reasons. One is our foreign exchange position and the other is, people who go abroad may indulge in subversive activities in that country. With regard to foreign exchange regulations, it is not the concern of the External Affairs Ministry, but of But even the Finance Ministry. otherwise, if our previous experience is any example, as the Administrative Reforms Committee has suggested, there is nothing much to fear on that account.

In this Bill, a plethora of rules have been created apparently to regulate the activities of our people going abroad, but there is enough scope given for political discrimination at the hands of the executive. Actually the recent judgment of the Supreme Court relates to the right of a citizen for passport. The Supreme Court has observed that to travel abroad, any citizen in India has an equal right like any other citizen; it is his per-828 (Ai) LSD—6.

sonal liberty and it cannot be curtailed unless it is regulated by the procedure under article 21 of the Constitution. Accordingly this Bill has been brought. I find that the procedure that has been followed up till now is codified in this Bill and nothing more is there. The arbitrariness that was there is still going to be there. The discretion of the executive is there. It has not been curtailed even mitigated. After all, in the long history of 20 years of independence, we have come across only two people who were named for indulging in any kind of subversive activities in foreign countries. One has gone away to London without being apprehended and the other has been brought back and put into prison after his passport being impounded. For these cases we need not attach so much importance and create abundant laws which will give enough room for the executive to tamper with. That is my first objection to this Bill.

Another thing is, I find a remarkable omission in this Bill of another kind of subversive activity that has become more and more frequent and in increasing number by our nationals in foreign countries. If political subversion has to be prevented, I think it is equally important, if not more, to prevent economic subversion of people who go abroad. When this matter was taken up in Rajya Sabha the hon. Minister, Shri Chagla, is reported to have declined taking any responsibility for that. He said he was not at all concerned with that, he was concerned only with people going abroad. I do not agree with him on that point. I feel strongly about it.

I feel that something must be done about it and this whole Bill should be recast so as to see that no kind of economic subversion is indulged in. It is common knowledge that people like Dharma Teja and Sahu Jain go scotfree. They can get P forms, passports and anything they like. I am not here saying that we should

[Shri S. Kandappan]

not allow business people to go abroad....

श्री हुकम चन्द्र कछवाय (उड्डीन):
उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं प्राप की व्यवस्था
भाहता हूं। प्राप को ध्यान होगा कि पिछली
बार प्रध्यक्ष महोदय द्वारा यह निर्णय
दिया गया वा कि सदन में कोई मंत्रिमंडल
का मंत्री श्रवस्य होना चाहिये।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The Minister responsible is present here.

भी हुकम चन्द्र कछवाय : यह इतना महस्वपूर्ण विवय चल रहा है, लेकिन इस बक्त एक भी मंत्री उपस्थित नहीं हैं। क्या भाप भादेश देंगे कि उन को बुलवाया जाय ?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I have taken note of it.

Shri Rane (Buldana): There is no such ruling.

Shri S. Kandappan: Sir, the Bill could have been more welcome if it had incorporated some provisions to apprehend people who are really swindlers and smugglers who bring ruin to the economy of this country (Interruption). I submit that the whole Bill should be recast and they should come with a different Bill suited to the needs, the necessities and the circumstances prevailing in India today.

Another important point I would like to make is with regard to the administrative aspect to which some previous speakers have made a reference. In these passport offices there seems to be some kind of a taboo to exercise commonsense and to show any courtesy or understanding or sympathy towards the people who approach them. Everybody is suspected and looked on with suspicion. There should be some understanding and some human touch to the whole problem. Actually the harassment and the travail to which these passport

applicants are being subjected to is horrible. I can say this from my own experience. As far as my part of the country, Tamilnad, is concerned there are quite a large number of people who have got connections with Malaya Singapore Ceylon and other southeast Asian countries They go there frequently. They have got their kith and kin there. For them travel abroad is a very common thing. Even the people who live in rural areas, even the peasants, the labourers who work in the rubber and tea plantations of Ceylon go there often. They find it difficult to get their passports and travel documents. I think some sort of a cell should be created or at least some administrative orders should be issued to mitigate the difficulties of these people who go sbroad.

Another important point that I would like the Minister to bear in mind is the historical cultural and other bonds that exist owing to our blood relations friendship particularly Ceylon We often get invitations to visit that country for cultural and other activities. Unfortunately for us our Embassy people in Ceylon are not oriented as to the true nature of the DMK here with the result that people in Ceylon took upon the Tamilians here as suspects simply because there is quite a considerable minority there and all sorts of cock and buil stories are circulated in Ceylon about DMK. I would appeal to the Government that they should gee to it that some kind of counter-measures are taken and real true propaganda is done in that country so as to explain to the people there and to apprise them of the true nature of the DMK. Unless they are made to feel that the three crores of Tamilians living in Indla are their closest friends, I am afraid. there cannot be any real lasting friendship between these two countries. This is a vital matter; so, Government should see to it that real friendship exists. If what they profess to be real friendliness is a challange there, then there is no ground

for refusing passports or travel documents to DMK people going abroad.

With this submission I oppose the Bil aind I would like the Minister to take note of the few suggestions that I have made

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: How long will the Minister take--15 minutes?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of External Affairs (Shri Surendra Pai Singh): Yes, Sir

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: There are a number of hon. Members who would like to participate in the debate and hardly 20 minutes are left. Is it the desire of the House that I should extend the time?

Some hon. Members: Yes

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Then I will extend it by one hour, otherwise it is not possible to conclude the debate within 20 minutes, because every Group representative would like to participate in the debate, and nobody adheres to the rule of 10 minutes. It is very difficult to enforce that rule

Shri Surendra Pal Singh; We are in your hands.

Shri M. R. Masani: That means clause-by-clause discussion will start at 4 o'clock.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: At 4 or 4.15. I want to finish it as early as possible.

भी हुकम कम कखाय: मंत्रिमंडलीय म्तर के किसी मंत्री को बुलबाइये। मदन ने यह तय कर रखा है कि हमें का कोई न कोई कैबिनेट स्तर का मंत्री उपस्थित रहेका।

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: They are all here. The Minister in charge of it is here; he is very attentive and is taking notes. I do not think any purpose will be served by repeating the same thing over and over again.

भी हुकन जन्द कश्रवायः यह दात नहीं है। तय यह है कि कैंबिनैट स्तर का मंत्री उपस्थित रहेगा। इस तरह से कार्रवाई नहीं जल सकती है।

की ग्रंथ सिंश् सहगत (बिलासपुर) : जो दीलें करते हैं भीर जिन को जवाब देना है, वह बैठें हुए हैं ।

भी हुक्त क्र-द कछवाय : जो निर्णय पहले में है उसको प्राप कैमल करवाइये।

Mr Deputy-Speaker: It was not the rule. It was expected that some senior Minister would remain present. But the Minister in charge is here. He is attentive and is listening to all the points made.

Shri S. Kandappan: It is all right; he initiated the discussion on this Bill in the Rajya Sabha

Shri Vikram Chand Mahajan (Chamba): Mr. Deputy-Speaker, Sir, the Government has taken a timely step by introducing this Bill regulating the issue of passports. This Bill is a sufficiently comprehensive measure but there are certain lacunae which I would like to bring to the notice of the House

Shri K. K. Nayar (Bahraich): Till what time will the debate proceed?

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I have extended time by one hour. Originally, I wanted to finish it by 3 o'clock; now, it will be finished by about 4 o'clock.

Shri Vikram Chand Mahajan: There are certain lacunae in the Bill which many hon. Members have brought to the notice of this hon. House. I want to point out some of them

मं हु प्र बन्द कछशय : उपाष्यक्ष महोदय, मंत्री महोदय को बुलवाइये। क्यों भादेश नहीं दे रहे हैं। इस तरह से कैसे कार्रवाई चल सकती है ? 5939

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Shri Kachhavaiyya, if I were to insist that leaders and deputy leaders must also be present in the House, would it be correct? So, please resume your seat. Let the debate go on.

भी हक्षम चन्द कल्लवायः जरूरहोना चाहिये। इसके बारे में रूल है।

Shri Vikram Chand Mahajan: One of the lacunae which I want to bring to the notice of the hon. House is in clause 5(3) of the Bill. This Bill empowers the passport authorities to deny the right of passport to a particular applicant, or issue a passport to a particular applicant But if the passport authorities deny the passport to the applicant, the passport authority has another right, that is, the right not to supply copy to the person who has applied for a passport. Now though the right of appeal is given to the person who has applied for a passport to file an appeal against the order of the passport authority denying him that passport, yet, if the copy is not given to him, then the right to appeal becomes meaningless, because what has he got to appeal against if he does not know the grounds on which he is going to appeal? Now, this denial of the copy on the part of the passport authorities is again not appealable. That is to say, if the passport authority thinks that it is not in the interest of the security of the country or public inter. est to give him a copy, then the person who has applied for the copy has no right to appeal against this particular order also. Therefore, uncanalised and uncontrolled power has been given to the passport authority to issue a passport or not to issue a passport. If he decides not to issue a passport, then another right is given to him not to supply a copy also.

Similarly, once a passport is issued, then again, a right is given to the passport authority to cancel the passport. Now, once a passport is cancelled, another right is conferred on the passport authority i.e., not to supply a copy of the grounds by virtue of which he has cancelled the passport.

Now, once you give a passport, then there must be certain right given to the applicant who has got the passport to claim a right of appeal why his passport is going to be cancelled. But under this Bill once a passport is cancelled the right still vests in the passport authorities not to supply the copy. Then again, another right is given to the Central Government, the right not to give a passport, and again there is no right of appeal provided against this particular order. Thus, we have three authorities which have been given the right not to give a passport and yet the person who appies for a passport has no right either to file an appeal or go to any other forum where he can air his grievances.

My submission is that such uncontrolled powers given to an official hierarchy, which has no judicial experience, would be practically making a mockery of the Passport Act or the right of a citizen to get a passport. Similarly, no limit is provided within which period the passport authority has to give a decision; the passport authority can take one or two years to come to a decision Of course, rules are being made which may provide for some period. But my submission is that the Act itself should have provided a specific period. For example, in the Representation of the People Act it is provided that within six months an election petition has to be decided.

So, my submission is that this Act has to be reconsidered in the light of the various discussions which have taken place, especially regarding the right of a citizen to get a passport. If that right is denied, then there should be a forum which should be a judicial authority where he can ventilate his grievance. We all know that appeal from an officer of the Government to another officer of the same

Government is normally a sort of farce, because the appellate authority has no judicial experience nor the capacity to see objectively the whole case. Therefore, the right of appeal should be vested in a forum like the District Judge or the High Court. If the Central Government think that it is in the public interest or the security of the country that the proceeding should be held in camera as in the cases under the Preventive Detention Act, the power can be given to the High Court to hear the proceedings in camera. Similarly, a right can be given to a citizen to go in appeal, those proceedings can be in camera, as in the case of Preventive Detention Act. Therefore, my submission is that the right of appeal should be there in every case. Secondly, uncontrolled powers should not be vested in the Central Government because it is not necessarily the Minister concerned but the Secretary or the Joint Secretary who is given the authority or the power to decide the cases and their decisions are absolutely final and nobody can question them because the Act says so. Clause 11 provides that no appeal sholl lie against any order made by the Central Government. My submission is that such arbitrary powers, uncontrolled powers, given to the Central Government which means the Secretary or the Joint Secretary, would be considered arbitrary even by the courts and so, instead of the courts striking it down, it is better to refer the Bill to the Select Committee for mature consideration and necessary amendments.

शी क० नि० वकुकर (केसरिया):
उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, इस बिल को सिलेक्ट
कमेटी के सुपूर्व करने के लिए जो संजोधन
रक्षा गया है, मैं उस का समर्थन करता हूं :
इस बिन की विभिन्न घाराओं को देखने
से मानूम होता है कि इस बिन के विषय में
ठीक डंग से विचार नहीं किया गया है : इस
में नीयों के मौलिक प्रधिकारों की सुरका
की कोई व्यवस्था नहीं की नई है, इस्क यह

विल हमारे मौलिक अधिकारों पर लगाम लगाने वाला है।

इस बिल के द्वारा मधिकारियों को इतने विस्तृत प्रधिकार दे दिये गए हैं कि उन के द्वारा इस कानून पर धमल किये जाने के सम्बन्ध में मनमाने ढंग से काम किये जाने का भय है। पिछले बीस सालों का यह अनुभव है कि अब कभी हमारी पार्टी के लोगों ने बा कई दूसरे लोगों ने पासपोर्ट के लिए दरख्वास्त दी, उस में तरह तरह की घड़ंगे-बाजी की गई भौर कभी कभी तो पासपोर्ट दिया ही नहीं गया । हम जानते हैं कि इस सदन के सदस्य, श्री रामावतार शास्त्री, ने कई बार पासपीट के लिए दरझ्वास्त दी, लेकिन उन को पास-पोर्ट नहीं दिया गया । कई दूसरे लोगों के सम्बन्ध में भी ऐसा ही किया गया । पिछले बीस वर्ष का यह प्रनुभव है कि सरकार ने पासपोर्ट देने के सम्बन्ध में बासकर विरोधी दलों के लोगों के विरुद्ध पक्षपात किया है। इस अवस्था में यह जरूरी है कि एक तो हमारे प्रधिकारियों को मनमाने दंग से इस कानुन पर भ्रमल करने की छूट न हो भौर दूसरे, हमारे मौलिक घधिकारों का हनन न हो, इन दोनों बातों की समुचित व्यवस्था इस बिल में की जानी चाहिए।

इस बिल में अपील करने की व्यवस्था नहीं है, जो नियमितः कानून में होनी चाहिए जिस के जिर्य से अधिकारियों की कार्यवाही के खिलाफ़ कवहरियों में अपील की जा सके। इस बिल में अपील के सम्बन्ध में भी ऐसी व्यवस्था की जानी चाहिए, जिस से किसी भी व्यक्ति को गलत तरीके से पासपोर्ट से बंचित न किया जा सके।

मैं जानता हूं कि हमारे देश के कितने ही ऐसे लोगों को देश से बाहर खाने की धनुमति दी गई है, जिन्होंने स्मयांक्षण किया है, जो बाहर से सोना लाए हैं और जिन्होंने कई प्रकार के नियमों और कानूनों का उल्लंबन किया है, लेकिन सरकार की सक्क

[भी क० मि० मध्कर]

से उन के विरुद्ध कोई कार्यवाही नहीं की यई है। इस की तुलना में कई दूसरे लोगों को विना किसी उचित कारण के पासपोर्ट नहीं दिया गया है।

ऐसा मालूम पड़ता है कि इस बिल पर डी० माई० मार का भूत सवार है। यह बिल इस प्रकार की भागकाओं से भरा पड़ा है कि जो लोग विदेशों में जायेंगे, वे हिन्दुस्तान की सुरक्षा के खिलाफ़ काम करेंगे वास्तव मे बह बिल सुप्रीम कोर्ट के फैपले के कारण नहीं लाया गया है, बल्कि देश मे जो नई स्थिति पैदा हुई है, जिस में कांग्रेस के पैरो के नीचे से मिट्टी खिसकती जा रही है, उस के कारण यह कानून बनाने का निम्चय किया गया है। सरकार ने यह बिल ला कर लोगो की सिवल लिक्टींज पर हमला करने भीर देश में यह भाशंका पैदा करने का प्रयतन किया है कि जो लोग हिन्दुस्तान से बाहर जायेंगें, वे सबवर्सिय एक्टिविटीज मे भाग लेंगे भीर देश की मुरला के विरुद्ध काम करेंगे । ऐसा मासूम होता है कि डी॰ माई॰ भार० इस बिल के रूप में फिर झा रहा है।

सरकार ने इस बिल मे ऐसी धाराये रखी ह, जिन के द्वारा न केवल साधारण व्यक्तियों के, बल्कि एक बास कम्युनिटी भौर गुप के मौलिक मधिकारो पर हमला होता है। ऐसा मालूम होता है कि सरकार को यह वंशा है कि सुख युप या ऐसे हैं, जो हिन्दुस्तान के प्रति बफादार नही है। अगर वह बात सही है, तो ऐसे लोगों के क्सिक कार्यवाही की बानी चाहिए, लेकिन केवल मंका के प्राधार पर मुप या समृह को पासपोर्ट से बचित करने की व्यवस्था करना उचित नही है। हो सकता है कि इस कानून के द्वारा मुस्लिम हमाज, दक्षिण चारत के लोगों या कुछ विशेष पार्टिबों के मोगों के मौसिक प्रविकारों पर हमला किया जाए । इस बका र की बात्रकाची वे बरकार की बुवंकता का पता चलदा है।

इसलिए से चाहता हूं कि इस विस को सिलेक्ट कमेटी में बेजा जाये, जहां इन तमान सवालों पर विचार किया जाये और उसके फलस्वरूप एक पूर्ण कानून यहां पर सामा जाये, जिसमें जनता के मौलिक प्रधिकारों की मुरक्षा की गारण्टी हो, प्रधिकारियों की बिसकीकारी पावसं पर प्रकुत्त हो, ताकि इस विल का दुरुपयोग न किया जा सके, केवल मकायो के प्राचार पर किसी वर्ग विशेष के विख्य प्रभात न किया जाये और इस पर प्रमल करने के सम्बन्ध में जनता को कठि-नाइयों का सामना न करना पढ़े, इस प्राक्रय के सेक्षगाइयां रखे जाये।

थं, अ० सि० सहगत : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, पासपोर्ट बिल, 1967 के सम्बन्ध में सबसे पहले में यह कहना चाहता हूं कि पासपोर्ट देने के लिये जितना कम बक्त लग सकता है, उसमें धगर पासपोर्ट दे दिया जाये, तो ज्यादा घच्छा है, क्योंकि झाजकल होता यह है कि कभी कभी पासपोर्ट देने में काफ़ी बबत लगता है और नोगों को परेजानी उठानी पहती है।

जब किसी व्यक्ति को पासपोर्ट देने से इकार किया आये, तो कम से कम लिख कर देना चाहिए कि फ़लां फ़ला का रणों से पासपोर्ट नही दिया जा रहा है। यदि कोई व्यक्ति मरकार के फैसले के बिरुट प्रणील करना चाहता है, तो वह धपील पासपोर्ट देने वाले ग्रफसर से बड़े किसी ग्रफ़सर के पास न जाकर किसी अज के पास जाये, तो धण्छा होगा । इसके र्यातारक्त यह व्यवस्था भी की जानी वाहिए कि दस दिन के भीतर बीसेर इस बात का फ़ैसला कर दिया जाने कि किसी व्यक्ति को पासपोर्ट दिया जायेगा वा महीं। जब तक कोई खास कारण हो, पासपीट देने से इंकार नहीं फरना चाडिए। शायद इस देश में ऐसे मीन होंबे, जिम की विचार-कारा मान मेरे बालों से मिनती है।

भी रामसेवक यावव (वारावंकी) : वाड़ी वाले भी हैं।

सी स॰ सि॰ सहनान : दाड़ी वाले हमेशा सफ़ेंद रहें हैं भौर सफ़ेंद रहेंगे। वे नहीं बदलेंगे। माज हमारे ऊपर एक माफ़त माई हुई है। भौर चाइना हमारे खिलाफ माज कार्य कर रहा है, पाकिस्तान हमारे खिलाफ कार्य कर रहा है। हम उन्हें यदि पासपोर्ट यहां से देना चाहने हैं तो दें लेकिन देखने में यह माता है कि यदि जाना है तो किसी न किसी दूमरे मापकी जो एम्बसीड हैं उनके जिए से लेकर निकल जाते हैं। यह जो चीज है इस पर हम को कड़ी निगाह रखनी चाहिए भौर में भाप से यह कहू कि इस कार्य के लिए यह जरूरी है कि जो हमारा पासपोर्ट का दफ्तर है उसमे ऐसे लोग रखे जायं जिन की निगाहे इन चीजों पर खास दीर से रहे।

पासपोर्ट मना करने के लिए धाप कोई न कोई कारण उसको बतायें। इस वक्त मान नीजिए धापने कोई जज मुकरंर नहीं किया है भौर धाप कोई जज मुकरंर करना नहीं चाहते हैं तो धापका फर्ज है कि किन्ही दो धादिमयों का ट्रिब्यूनल बना कर उसको बताये कि यह कारण हैं, इन की वजह से हम पासपोर्ट नहीं देना चाहते हैं।

इस में यह क्लाज 6 में रिप्यूजल के बारे में जो है, यह मेरी राय में ठीक नहीं है इसलिए मैं बाहूंगा कि इस पासपोर्ट कानून को किर से जो सुझाब सबस्यों ने दिए हैं उन पर गौर करके भौर इसमें अनेंडमेंट करके यह लाया जाय तो ज्यादा सण्छा है,।

Shri Viswanatha Menon (Ernakulam): I stand here to oppose this Bill and I oppose it on principle. My learned friend here had traced the history as a result of which this Bill has had been brought forward. Ac-

cording to me, this Bill has been brought forward to evade the spirit of the judgment.

The Supreme Court came out with a historic judgment saying that the right of the Indian citizen to travel abroad was a fundamental right. Till, then, during the last fifteen years, a number of passports had been refused. My refusing every passport, the Central Government were acting illegally Without even an apology to the House they have brought forward this Bill seeking powers to refuse passports under this measure.

If you go through the Bill you will see that the autocratic powers of the executive are still kept intact. From the discretion given to the passport authority itself, we can see how Government are not prepared to give even an inch of freedom to the people. They want to violate the fundamental right guaranteed by the Constitution and interpreted by the Suprme Court, through this Bill.

While moving the Bill for consideration, the hon. Minister said that he wanted a judicial authority to be the appellate authority, but because of delay he was not going to introduce that provision. I could understand the spirit of it. I have been an admirer of Mr. Justice judgment. but when Mr. Justice Chagla's has become Minister Chagla, I find that he is trying to usurp the powers of the court. We have got an independent judiciary in our country to take care of our fundamental rights. If there is no court, then what is our guarantee? Who is going to enquire about the whereabouts and antecedents of the particular person who has applied for a passport? You the history of police verification, and you know how many youngesters are roting all over the country without even getting a job.

[Shri Viswanath Menon]

5947

thing will happen here also. It was happening formerly also when We asked for passports. Grounds like sovereignty and integrity of India, public interest and other such vague terms are put in here as reasons for refusing a passport. The words 'public interest' have also been put in here. The hon. Minister was apologetic and said that no court had defind that. I shall define it for him; public interest is Congress interest, or the interest of the Congress Party. If anybody against the Congress, then he would not get a passport. At the same time, the smugglers, Tejas and such other people who are actual enemies of the Indian Union will get passports and they can go wherever they like. it is said that any person is against the integrity and sovereignty India, then it must be proved before a court of law; a mere refusal passport by an officer is not enough. They have tried it on the question of police verification for government jobs. They have tried it by putting us They have tried at these things. Now they want to use this weapon to curb the Opposition. will not do. My humble submission to them is do not try these any more. You tried police verification in Kerala and you lost Kerala for ever. You tried the other trick putting an Opposition party members in jail on the ground of public interest, national integrity, sovereigntyall these words were used. You were not prepared to bring those persons before courts of law. Here also you want to evade the court. At least you should have been ready to have quasi-judicial tribunal. You are not even prepared for that.

If the Central Government taken a decision, it is not subject to review. The Central Government is included in the passport authority. But when the Central Government take a decision, no appeal from their decision is allowed. By bringing forward such a Bill, they are pleading urgency. With all respect to Muliaji,

I say there is no urgency in this case, They want to use this weapon to curb the Opposition parties. For the last 15 years, they were doing these illegal acts. Now they want to repeat them through this. We know of hundreds of cases where genuine passport applications were rejected. Here an allpowerful provision is also put in saying that no action can be taken when it is done in good faith and all that. If this provision stands, the same history will be repeated.

This Bill has been brought forward because the Supreme Court said. You cannot do it without a regulation'. Now in the garb of regulating freedom of travel, they are denying freedom of travel. My humble submission is you want to have this Bill, have it in a proper form. Let it go to a Select Committee. Let us have opinion on the Bill, gather it and then formulate it properly. I say this because if the Bill as it stands is passed. I am sure the Supreme Court will certainly interfere and strike it down. I do not want to go into all the provisions because of my limited time. But I can say that if the Bill as it stands is enacted and is brought before the Supreme Court, they will strike it down. That is a sure thing.

let the Bill go to Therefore, Select Committee. Let the Committee decide.

Before concluding, I want to utter a warning to Government, Tomorrow the Opposition may be sitting on the treasury bench. Then they can the very same words 'public interes.' against the party in power Therefore let us think over this matter at this juncture and have consultation with everybody and then bring it before the House.

Another point. I do not know why the Central Government are so xious to have the entire power concentrated in their hands. The Congress Party has lost half the

So why not take the State Governments also into confidence?

An hon. Member: We are not sorry for it.

Shri Viswanatha Menon: I would request Government to consult the State Governments and take into account the opinion of the States, and then formulate a Bill in the light of that. State Governments also must have the power to issue passports. We will support such a Bill. Otherwise, Government may have this type of Bill passed this time. But it is not going to get our support.

Shri D. C. Sharma (Gurdaspur): This is a very unfortunate Bill that my esteemed friend, Mr. M. C. Chagla, has brought before this House.

I call it unfortunate for many reasons. My first reason is this. You cannot have one rope to hang everybody with. You should have different kinds of necks, and for different kinds of people. I think in the first place the Government of India should have categorised different types of persons who go abroad. Who are those persons?

There are students. Do you mean to say that you want to stand in the way of those students who want to improve their qualifications and come back to India imposing upon them all these restrictions?

Then there are some migrants. My friend was talking about migrants from Ceylon. There are some migrants even now. You can have a different set of rules for them.

Then, there are some persons who go to visit their friends or relations. I may have my son in Africa, or my daughter in America. You should have a different kind of rule for those persons who go to visit their friends or relations.

Then there are some persons who go about in order that they should find facts about certain things. They need not be Government-sponsored, they need not be Government agents or Government officials. They are fact-finders for the country, and they bring them and make use of them. Do you want to subject them to this kind of harassment? I do not think that should be the case.

Then, there are certain persons who go abroad for cultural purposes. Of course, our Government also sends cultural delegations, I have no doubt about that, but there are some persons who go on their own on these cultural missions. Do you want that they should also be subjected to all the penal clauses of this Bill? I do not think so.

Then, there are some persons who go abroad for labour. What a sorry picture my country is giving at this time in the United Kingdom! What kind of conditions have we produced in the United Kingdom! I do not think any Indian can go to those places where our unskilled labour lives, and feel happy. I do not think any-body can rejoice to see how the people who belong to skilled labour class are also living.

In England the race question has now been raised. People say that the race question has been brought about by the migration of Indian unskilled labour and skilled labour, by the migration of people from West Jamaica, by the migration of people from the Caribbean Sea. You must have a different set of rules for these persons.

I am very sorry, Mr. Deputy-Speaker, that you have been elevated to this Chair, because I would have found you my foremost ally in this matter at least. What do you think of these businessmen? All businessmen are not alike, I know, for, there are some businessmen who traffick in foreign currency, who sometimes smuggle foreign currency also, and [Shri D. C. Sharma]

who go about under all kinds of pretexts to foreign countries. You are going to hang all these persons by the same rope.

And then, the most important question that my country faces today is this, the question of brain drain. Of course, there are very few brains on the Treasury Benches just now, and therefore I can talk about brain drain. What is this brain drain?

The Minister of State in the Departments of Parliamentary Affairs and Communications (Shri I. K. Gujral): Whatever we have

Shri D. C. Sharma: Please keep quite. I know what you are. I know how much brains you have.

I was submitting very respectfully that we talk about brain drain. What is this brain drain due to? Some of our finest scientists, some of our finest men of letters, go abroad and do not come back. It is because conditions there are much more prosperous than conditions here. I remember a gentleman, I forget his name, who has been given a research laboratory of his own in the United States. Fifty per cent of the Ph.Ds. from UK go to the USA. Perhaps a larger number of persons will go to the USA and other countries and even the UK from our country. You should have a different set of rules for those persons who will constitute a brain-drain from our country. I am sorry to say that you have tried to make one blanket rule for every type of person

My second point is this. You have saddled the administration with too much power and with too much responsibility, I may say, even with arbitrary power. They are the masters. Of course you will say that they will have to record their observations why they refuse the passport but recording is one thing, appealing is another thing, and the acceptance of the opinion is a third thing. Therefore, I say that

these passport offices, regional passport offices in India which are homes of scandal and which have created so much unhappiness in every sense of the word, should be abolished. We talk of democratic decentralisation in this country. So, there should be decentralisation in the award of passports also and the district officer should be made responsible for issue of a passport. He should report to the E.A. Ministry or somebody the number of persons to whom he has awarded them. They should the situation from time to time. If anybody has any grouse aganist the district authority, he should appeal to the commissioner or somebody else. I tell you, Sir, that these passport offices deserve to be abolished and all their authority taken away and given to the district collectors and commissioners, whatever they are called,

How many days do you require for the grant of a pussport? Look at the number of persons who are cooling their heels outside the passport offices and look at their plight and unhappiness and sadness. If the passport is not granted, where do they go? Sometimes some persons come to me. I know that theirs is a genuine case and I write to the External Affairs Ministry and I always get a stereotyp. reply. They have stereotyped replies for all kinds of questions whether on Tibet or China or Pakistan or on passport and they send you that. district authorities should be empowered to give a passport within seven days and if the passport is refused, he should be allowed to appeal within seven days.

My last point is this. Do you want to make this country a country of racketeers, a country of passport racketeers? We have heard of so many passport racketeers. Some of our citizens were sent to Rome some years ago. Some of our citizens go abroad and they are sent back Therefore, I would say that deterrent

months, to those passport issuing agencies, not the authorised agencies, but the so-called passport issuing people who take money from innocent people and give sometimes fake passports. So, a punishment of six months is nothing for them. They should be given a more severe punishment than that

With these observations, I thank you very much

भी राम सेवक बादव : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, इस समय जो विधेयक प्रस्तृत किया गया है उससे मौजूदा पासपीट व्यवस्था मे कोई तबदीली नहीं की गई है। घब तक जिस तरह से लोगों को पासपोर्ट दिया जाता था उसी को कानून की मक्ल दी जा रही है। इससे ज्यादा कुछ नहीं । इस सिलसिले मे मैं कहगा कि सरकारी घफसरों भीर मन्त्रियों को छोड कर सबसे पहले धगर किसी को पासपीट मिलने मे प्राथमिकता मिली होगी तो सेठों, पैसे वालो को भौर दूसरे नम्बर पर इस लोक सभा भौर राज्य समा के सदस्यों को मिली होगी। तीसरे नम्बर पर सत्तारूढ़ दल के लोगों को, वाहे वह विधान समा के सदस्य या लोकसभा के सदस्य हों या न हों। बीबे नम्बर पर किसी भौर का नाम नहीं घाया है। यही प्रव तक की कारगुआरी इस पासपोर्ट कार्यासय की रही है।

सुप्रीम कोर्ट ने इस पर रोक लगाई, घौर इस रोक के लगाने के बाव, जो कार्य प्रव तक चला वह धाने भी चलता रहे, इसलिये एक धन्यावेश जारी किया गया। उसी घन्यावेश को एक कानून की सक्त में परिवर्तित करने के लिये मीजूदा विधेयक धाया है। जिस सक्त में वह विधेयक लाया गया है उससे मोगो के माने जाने में कुछ घाजावी हो, रोक बत्म हो, ऐसा होने बाला नहीं है। इस विधेयक का यह उद्देश्य टपकता है कि जिस को सरकार न चाइती हो कि वह देश के कहीं बाहर जाये उत्तके करण प्रतिक्रमा रहे। 15 23 hrs.

(SHRI C K. BHATTACHARYYA in the Chair)

मैं बाप को बतलाऊं कि बपने देश में ही हम लोगों को हर जगह जाने की धाजाबी नहीं है। इस देश के पूर्वी इलाके में, उर्वसीधम में, जिस को नेफा कहते हैं, वहां भी अब तक परमिशन वगैरह न मिल जाये तब तक लोगो को माने जाने की इजाजत नहीं है। उसी वरह से जब हिन्दुस्तान का कोई नागरिक दूसरे देश को जाना चाहे, तो उसको इसकी इजाजत नही है। कैसा तमाशा है, कैसी बाख मिनौनी का बेल है कि एक माननीय सदस्य को इस सदन के, एक कम्युनिस्ट सदस्य को, जब वह मेम्बर नहीं थे, तब मावेदन पन्न देने पर उनको पास-पोर्ट नही मिला, लेकिन अब चकि वह इस सदन के माननीय सदस्य हो गयें है, इसलिये जहां चाहे जा मकते हैं। कोई रोक उनके ऊपर नहीं है। भाप देखिये कि इन दोनो स्वितियों में कितना विरोधाभास है भौर कितनी नकली या बनावटी चीज मा गई है। इसी सन्दर्भ में मै भपनी पार्ट का एक उदाहरण देना भाहता ह । मेरे जिले के खलील्रंहमान खां साहब के भाई रूस में हैं। वह उर्दू में तुजुर्भा करने के लिये भेजे गये । भारत सरकार ने भेजा था । वह वहा पर रह रहे हैं। वह खलील्रेंहमान सा साहब के सगे भाई हैं। खलील्रेंहमान खा साहब की एक लड़की बहा पढ़ रही है। बह उस लडकी से मिलने के लिये भीर बानगी मामलो में घापम में बातचीत करने के लिये पपने भाई से मिलने जा रहे थे। लेकिन उन को पास पोर्ट नही मिला । सारी दिक्करों पैदा की गई, जबकि सरकार को एक पैसा, एक श्रेसा भी देना नहीं या । वह सारा वर्षा ब्रद देने के लिये तैयार थे। इस तरह से पासपोर्ट न देकर लोगों के घाने जाने पर रोक समाई जा रही हैं । यही इस विश्वेयक का उद्देश्य लगता है।

इस विश्वेयक में साफ साफ कहा क्या है कि अब पामपोर्ट स्थिकारी किसी को पास्कीर्ट

[श्री राम सेवक यादव]

देने से इंकार करेगा तो उसमें कारण देगा। लेकिन धागे चल कर क्या कहते हैं? धगर वह समझते हैं कि कारण देना देश के हित में नहीं है तो वह कारण नहीं देंगे। क्या मतलब? जिस को पासपोर्ट नहीं देना चाहेंगे वह देशहित में नहीं होगा। इसका कारण भी नहीं देंगे। एक तरफ कहते हैं कि कारण देंगे धौर दूसरी तरफ ऐसा वाक्य लिखते हैं जिससे वह कारण नहीं भी देंगे।

फिर भागे चल कर क्या कहते हैं ? उस की भपील होगी। किस के पास जायेगी? जो अधिकारी होगा उसके पास आयेगी। वह प्रधिकारी भपने ही विभाग के धौर एक ही सरकार के पश्चिकारी के खिलाफ कभी फैसला नहीं देगा । घगर इस बात में कोई दम होता तो इसमें व्यवस्था करते कि किसी निक्ति न्यायालय के सामने भपीलें जायेंगी। अगर ऐसा होता तो कम से कम लोगों का इत्मीनान घौर भरोसा होता कि उनके साथ न्याय किया जायेगा । वह भी इस विश्वेषक में नहीं है। मैं कहना चाहुंगा कि जिस शक्ल में यह विश्वेयक भागा है उसको उसी रूप में पास करने से कोई लाभ नहीं होगा । इसलिये इस पर पुनर्विचार करने की भावस्यकता है, चाहे वह संयुक्त प्रवर समिति में हो या और किसी प्रकार से हो। मैं चाहुं शा कि मन्द्री महोदय इस पर सोचें।

धाप से मैं दूसरा निवेदम यह करना बाहूंगा कि यह अन्तर्राष्ट्रीयता का युग है। नेकिन अन्तर्राष्ट्रीयता के युग का जो यह अर्थ होता हैं कि जनता और सरकार दोनों स्तरो पर आवान प्रदान हो, एक दूसरे के नजदीक लोग आयों, आज उस पर रोक लगाई जा रही है। सन् 1914 तक नोगों के आने जाने पर रोक लगाने का कोई नियम अथवा कानून नहीं था, पासपोर्ट की व्यवस्था नहीं थी। नेकिन 1914 के बाद से वह बीज आ गई और रोज रोज

इस पर प्रतिबन्ध सगते चले जा रहे हैं। बाज की दुनिया में जब झन्तर्राष्ट्रीयता की जबवेंस्त बात बसती है, धन्तर्राष्ट्रीय मजबूर संगठन चलते हैं, अन्तर्राष्ट्रीयता की चर्चा होती है तब लोगों के धाने जाने पर प्रतिबन्ध लगे, यह मेरी समझ में नहीं भाता है, भीर मैं बाहता हुं कि वह दिन घाये, धीर घभी द्या आये जब किसी भी नागरिक को कहीं जाने का प्रधिकार हो। वह जहां भी चाहे बिना किसी रोक टोक के, बिना किसी हिचक के, बिना किसी प्रतिबन्ध के जा सके। ऐसा करने के विरोध में यह कहा जायेगा कि वह जाकर कुछ इधर उघर की कार्रवाई करेगा । जहां तक इसका सवाल है, जिनको पासपोर्ट मिल जाया करता है, चाहे साजिल से ही सही, वह भी इधर उधर करते हैं। इमलिये यह तर्क नहीं रक्खा जाना चाहिये। मैं चाहुंगा कि जहां सरकारी स्तर पर प्रन्तर्राष्ट्रीयता चलती है, वहां जनता के स्तर पर भी उसे चलना चाहिये। हो सकता है कि किसी देश की सरकार का किसी अन्तर्राष्ट्रीय मुद्दे पर कोई द्ष्टिकोण हो और जनता का या दूसरे राजनैतिक दलों का उससे भिन्न वृष्टि-कोण हो । इसलिये उन को भी पूरी भाजादी होनी चाहिये कि जनता के स्तर पर चीजों का घादान प्रदान करते रहें। वह दल घीर वह न्यक्ति किसी देश में बिना रोक टोक के बायें जायें भीर भपने दृष्टिकीण का प्रचार करें। मै मिसाल के तौर पर कहना चाहता हूं कि भाज हिन्दुस्तान भीर पाकिस्तान के रिक्ते मण्डे नहीं हैं सरकारी स्तर पर वह भौर भी विगड़ते चने आ रहे हैं। हो सकता है कि दोनो देशों की जनताओं के स्तर पर यह रिक्ते भण्छे बनाये वा सकें। इसका नतीया शाजिमी तीर पर यह भी हो सकता है कि सरकारी स्तर पर मी बहु घण्छे हो जावें। संबठनों बीर व्यक्तियो को बहा वह लोग चाहें बाने वाने की 🖓 🕄 माजारी होनी चाहिये। मेकिन ऐसा नगता है कि इस विश्वेयक की ऐसी फैसाब बानी वृष्टि नहीं है, इस सरकार की ऐसी वृष्टि नहीं है। इम बीज को महे नजर रखते हुए में बाहुंगा

कि मन्त्री महोदय श्रीर सरकार इस पर पून-विचार करे।

जैसे ही सर्वोच्च न्यायालय का फैसला हुआ, वैसे ही सरकार ने अध्यादेश जारी कर दिया । इसका परिणाम यह हम्रा कि इस बिल में न जाने कितनी खामियां रह गई ग्रौर इस से अन्तर्राष्ट्रीयता का उद्देश्य पूरा नहीं होता । सुप्रीम कोर्ट ने जो निर्णय दिया है, उसको खत्म करने के लिये और नागरिकों के अदालत मे जाने के अधिकार को खत्म करने के लिये यह बिल लाया गया है। यह सोच विचार करके तैयार नहीं किया गया है। इसके पास होने के बाद सम्भव है, ग्रौर मेरा निश्चित मत है कि सर्वोच्च न्यायालय में चैलेंज किया जा सकता है ग्रौर फिर इसको रह किया जा सकता है। इसलिये जल्दबाजी में कोई काम नहीं होना चाहिये।

Shri Dattatraya Kunte (Kolaba): Sir, it was not necessary for the Supreme Court to lay down the law that travel abroad was a fundamental right, which man was enjoying since time immemorial. As a matter of fact, if we look into history, we will find that even under very difficult conditions, man has ever liked to travel and go abroad and see things for himself even suffering difficulties for it. As a matter of fact, not only this was a fundamental right which man enjoyed but autocratic rulers and others also never objected to it, because one will know that really the system of passport is a matter which has come up during the twentieth century. When nations became very conscious of the right, authority, prerogative and what not over a humble ordinary human being being the subject of their nation they wanted to control an individual functioning and going abroad. That is how the passport system came.

We are even then thankful to the Supreme Court that it has in a majority judgment at least upheld the fundamental right. Though not in a Full Bench, all judges agreeing to it,

because the judgment of the Supreme Court has come, it became necessary for this Government to bring some Act on the statute-book. That is how they first made the President to pass an ordinance and now they have brought a Bill before the House.

But is this Bill really meant only to satisfy the better of the law or the spirit of the law? I am afraid this Bill does not satisfy the spirit of the law as laid down in the Supreme Court judgment but it only wants to satisfy the letter of the law. (Interruption). Therefore, in support of this point I would like to refer to some of the clauses of this Bill.

If we look to the definition of "passport authority" it reads like this:

"'passport authority" means an officer or authority empowered under rules made under this Act to issue passports or travel documents and includes the Central Government:" 1

Now, we have other legislations like the Defence of India Act and other Acts where it is laid down that not an officer below the grade of a District Magistrate or Superintendent of Police will perform the function. Here it only means "an officer", and a law has been laid down in this very House by no less an important person than the Deputy Prime Minister who said that according to him even the Class IV servants were officers. He elevated them to that high level; I am very glad he did that. But now the Government will be free to appoint even a Class IV servant and call him Class IV Officer to do the function of a passport authority. I really do not know if it is to be laid down under the rules. But if the Government had very honest motives, why did they not come out saying what they meant by "an officer"; otherwise anyone they designate as an officer would be the passport officer. Therefore, as I was saying, they are trying to satisfy the letter of the law and not the spirit under which the Supreme Court really delivered the judgment.

[Shri Dattatraya Kunte.]

We now come to clause 4(3) of the Bill which reads:

"The Central Government shall, in consonance with the usage and practice followed by it in this behalf

An ordinary citizen of the State would not know what that practice is. What that practice is it is not mentioned. Whatever it be, it is just like the Sanskrit saying: atal atalia atali

Mr. Chairman: There is the other Sanskrit saying. प्राशिक्षिद्धव न माध्र मर्व

Shri Dattatraya Kunte: I am obliged to you, Sir, for mentioning that. The Chair has come to my assistance by saying that because it is a rule fixed by Government it should readily be accepted.

Going a little further, clause 5(2) reads like this:

"On receipt of an application, the passport authority, after making such inquiry, if any, as it may consider necessary

I do not know what sort of an enquiry this person is going to make For instance, has the Central Government all that staff all over the country where it can make this inquiry? What sort of an inquiry is this authority going to make? It says "as it may consider necessary". It does ont lay down what enquiry such authority ought to make. Then, in the same clause, sub-clause (3) says "furnish to that person on demand a

copy of the same". It is given only on demand; it is not automatically given to him. He must make a demand for it. Even if he makes a demand, if the authority so feels, it can decide: no, I do not want to give the passport and I will not give the rea-Therefore, the old executive authority rule, which was a sort of autocratic rule which we had as a legacy from the British Government, is being perpetuated by means of passing this legislature. This supreme House in the country is used as a means to perpetuate the autocratic rule. That is why I say that it is the letter of the law that is being satisfind and not the spirit

We will go further. In clause (4), which deals with the conditions under which a passport or travel documents could be denied sub-clause (d) says:

"that in the opinion of the Central Government the presence of the applicant in such country is not in the public interest"

The opinion of the Central Government means, that this authority has to write to the Central Government and ask the Central Government what is their opinion about Shri Kunte going abroad and if the Central Government gives its opinion "no, he should not go abroad", that is sufficient enquiry for the purpose of this Act. The Act does not lay down how the Central Government will come to its opinion of its own. Is it going to do it in its executive authority, or in its sublime judgment or omnipotent authority, it is not made celar, as far this piece of legislation goes.

Then I am coming to clause 11. It refers to the period within which one must appeal. Clause 11 says at the end "within such period as may be prescribed". The law of limitation is 10 there. Whether the limitation is 30

days or 60 days, whatever it is, usually it is the practice in a Bill of this type to say within how much period the party should appeal. Why should it be left to the rule-making power to decide even as regards the time within which the appeal has to be filed?

Coming back to the authority, as i said, according to the Deputy Prime Minister even a class IV servant is an officer. So, he can say something as his opinion. How is he going to arrive at his opinion? Is he going to arrive at his opinion by discretion or by individual judgment? If we look at the old Government of India Act, 1935, even in the matter of the Goternor, when he had to exercise his right, the clause celarly laid down whether it was in his individual judgment or discretion I would like to know whether this authority whoever it might be is going to exercise opinion at its discretion or on advice. Therefore, if this right is really fundamental right a man has been enjoying since time immemorial and if this Government has any respect for the law laid down by the Supreme Court, then it ought to have more careful in drafting this piece of legislation.

Shri R. D Bhandare (Bombay Central): Mr Chairman heard Shri Kunte very patiently, must say something to disabuse his mind to whatever extent that mind could have been abused. Sir, I want to raise one fundamental question, and the question relates to the judgment of the Supreme Court itself judgement of the Supreme Court says in a nutshell that personal should not be curtailed except according to the procedure established by law in terms of article 21 of the Constitution. I think that position Mr. Kunte has accepted. But. then he savs that even article 21 of the Constitution should not be made use of in passing this Bill because this Bill is not in conformity with the spirit of the judgment given by the Court. That raises a fundamental question whether the sovereign State should have the right and power to control the entry or exit of a person, whether he is a citizen of this country or a foreigner, to come in and get out of the State as and when he liked. That is exactly the point which has been weighing on the minds of authorities all over the countries when exercising the power given to the State. In passing Passport laws.

I need not repeat the simple altruistic proposition that State means a territory. The people, the territory, the government and Sovereignty—these are the factors which make a state. The definition of a state necessarily speaks of a territory and territory necessarily means boundary limits. In view of this fact the conflict between the sovereign state and the dual's liberty has to be taken into consideration and the sovereignty of the State must outweigh or veto individual liberty. It is therefore not only a question of the letter of the judgment but also of the spirit of the notion, the idea of the supremacy of the nation in the modern age in which we are living, we have accepted and sought to be incorporated in this Bill.

Coming to the Bill itself, is really so arbitrary and dictatorial as to refuse permission or passport any individual the State would like to refuse? No. Sir. it is not the case. I will come to clause 5 itself. 5 speaks of natural justice. I will just distinguish betwen the exercise of arbitrary powers and the exercise of discretionary powers. Has clause 5 bestowed on the Passport Authority powers to be exercised arbitrarily or are the powers discretionary? powers are necessarily discretionary powers because an inquiry must be held, and reasons must be assigned for refusal. My hon. friend, M. R. Masani has spoken specially or article 14 of the Constitution. Article 14 of the Constitution speaks of

[Shri R. D. Bhandare]

natural justice and equal treatment. When the law has to be exercised according to the discretionary power, then the nation of natural justice is necessarily introduced. Natural justice connotes that the party is heard—right of hearing is given—reasons are assigned and power to appeal is given. If it is the position under clause 5 then all the notions and norms under natural justice are introduced and accepted. Therefore under clause 5, the notion of natural justice is introduced and the authority is not allowed to exercise the power arbitrarily.

Shri S. Kandappan: Appeal to the executive is no appeal.

Shri R. D. Bhandare: There is distinction between arbitrary exercise of power and discretionary exercise of power. Let me make the point clear. As soon as the Opposition talks of discretionary exercise of power, they necessarily accept the notion natural justice. The notion of natural justice connotes opportunity to be heard, reasons to be given and the right to appeal Then the discretionary power is exercised according to the notions of natural justice. Therefore the Opposition has no case. They have always in their argument referred to and alluded to the discretionary power under the present bill.

Shri Nath Pai (Rajapur): You are holdig the Devils brief.

Shri R. D. Bhandare: I am rather holding the brief of the nation a nation which would like to regulate the entry and exit of a person uder this Bill.

Then, under clause 11, there is also the power of appeal. Further, under clause 15, no proceedings could be taken without the sanction of the Central Government. This right in the nature of review is also given. (Interruption). Of course, there is the Constitution and we should never be oblivious of Article 226 which gives full power to question the Executive. The power to appeal remains there. The inherent power vested in the High Court could be exercised in favour of the individual.

Shri Seshiyan (Kumbakonam): Why don't you recognise that in the Act itself?

Shri R. D. Bhandare: Because this is an excutive Act.

Mr. Chairman: The hon. Member may conclude now.

Shri R. D. Bhandare: Let us not run away with the idea that the Government has come forward with this Passport Bill in order to only to deprive the Opposition from going abroad and not those persons whose movements must be controlled and curbed.

Srinibas Misra (Cuttack): Shri Mr. Chairman, Sir, before the judgement of the Supreme Court, the Government was exercising powers arbitrarily without any legislation. after the judgement of the Supreme which recognises the right of citizen to go out that this legislation has come before the House. By bringing forward this Bill, the Government has simply tried to reserve to itself the same right that was with before the judgement of the Supreme Court.

I am beginning from the point where my hon. friend, Mr. Kunte left. It

has been said that some rights have been given. I would presently that all the rights that seem to have been given are illusory. There is the right of appeal. The right of appeal is not given to persons against whom the Central Government have passed an order. In this whole Act you will find that the Central Government is given the authority to pass The Central Government can say whether they are satisfied that somebody should go outside or not. The Central Government will authorise officers to search and arrest a person. will lay down the conditions for the issue of a passport. They will provide for the rules. All these powers are given to the Central Government. What can the Central Government do? The Central Government may, notification in the Official Gazette, direct that any power which may be exercised should be performed such officer or authority subordinate to the Central Government, by State Government or any authority under the State Government.

So, the Central Government can subdelegate these powers to their ordinates and even the power under clause 11 which gives power to appeal and also the power to pass orders can be delegated to others. Thus, third-rate officers who are at the bottom can pass orders as Central Government orders against which no appeal lies. Under this delegated provision, what has been done is whatever is done by somebody as Central Government, under delegated power, is not subject to appeal. while there is so much provision for appeal, actually there is no provision for appeal for at all. There is no question of natural justice there. You will find this in the other provisions also. The Central Government will make provisions for the conditions; they will lay down the conditions in the passport. Who is the Central Government again? The Central Government can be any officer under the Central Government or the Government. Any third class magistrate can act as the Central Govern-

ment for laying down the conditions. The breach of any of these conditions will entail the forfeiture and seizure of the passport and harassment to the persons. Everywhere, in respect of almost all the clauses, in clauses 4, 10, 11, 13, 14 and 15, anybody can authorised by anybody, not the Central Government. So, where is the provision that the discretionary power will be used according to natural justice? This is an arbitrary power; there is no question of natural justice My conclusion, therefore, that whatever was there previously, simply by a cloak they want to the sanction of this hon. House; they want to exercise the same powers by saying that they have an Act and that they have the authority of the Parliament.

Shri Tenneti Viswanathan (V13akhapatnam): Reasons have been advanced why an Act like this should not get the sanction of this House. We have been reading in our literature all along that, to complete the education, one has to go round the world. But our Government does not seem to agree with that age-old proposition. It looks as if this Government is of the view that it should control citizens in such away that they shall be confined to the country in which they are born and for ever be condemened never to travel outside India unless somebody in the Secretariat is pleased to allow them to go.

It has been said here by an hon. friend Opposite that the powers taken here under the Bill are not arbitrary. submit to Let me you that completely they arbitrary. are In the first portion of clause (5) it is said that the reasons for the denial of passport are to be given, but at the end it is said, that the reasons need not be disclosed to the applicant in the opinion of the Central Government it will not be in the public interest to give reasons. Therefore, the whole thing is illsusory. Consequently, the right to appeal is absolutely illusory. What else do you require to say that this is a lawiess law? Why does the Government want [Shri Tenneti Viswanathan]

to confine its citizens in this way. I can understand if for statistical purposes or for regulatory purposes, they have some power to issue passport, but the issuing must be a matter of course. Unless the person who applies for a passport, who wants to go out, endangers the security of India, he should be entitled to go,, provided he has money or provided the present foreign exchange regulations him some amount of foreign exchange. To travel in or out of the country is a fundamental right. If it is a fundamental right to move throughout the whole territory of India, to move wherever he wants to go, it must also he equally a fundamental right for a person to go outside India and there is absolutely no reason why it should be under the conflete control of the Central Government.

As I submitted, the applicant need be given the reasons why Central Government is refusig give him a passport. The Central Government means that it may be an office Superintendent finally; it boils down in brasstacks to this; seeing the practices in the Secretariat, it means that some Superintendent or somebody will say that the applicant should not go and then the Government issues an order. What else do you require say that these are arbitrary powers? Let yours be a natural Government; let there be natural justice. citizens would like to go about, give them the facility to go round and see the world. Do not have them much under your control. submit, therefore, is that this should be withdrawn by the Government. They must frame a sound law for the ordinary purpose of regulating the travel of people outside India. To that extent, we agree that there must be registration, there must be statistics and Governmen must konw where the citizens are going, but to have more powers above that, certainly amounts to arbitrariness. I submit that Bill should not be allowed to passed in the present form.

भी दुरुबीव्रत यादव (ग्राजनगढ़) : मान-नीय प्रधिष्ठाता महोदय में, समझता हं कि यह विधेयक जो सदन के सामने पेश किया गया है इस में मक्य रूप से हर नागरिक का यह धिकार होना चाहिए और उस की मान्यता कर के सरकार को चलना चाहिए कि प्रवर कोई पासपोर्ट चाहता है विदेश में जाने के लिए, तो उसे पासपोर्ट दिया जाना चाहिए, जब तक कि कोई ऐसा विशेष कारण न हो जिस में विशेष परिस्थितियों में प्रमर उस में कोई राष्ट्रद्रोह का खतरा है या फारेन एक्सचेज की बहुत बड़ी कठिनाई पड़ रही है जिम में कि प्रार्थी सरकार को सन्तुष्ट करने मे सक्षम नहीं है ऐसी हाजत में ही बेवल पासपीट के रिफायजल का अधिकार मरकार के पास होना चाहिए, भ्रन्यचा पासपोर्ट हर नागिक को मिलना चाहिए। मझ इस वान की प्रमन्नता है कि हमारे विदेश मती ने इस सदन के मामने इस बात का ब्राज्वामन दिया है कि किसी राजनैतिक भेदमान के हारण किसी की पामपोर्ट इन्कार नहीं किया जायेगा। में समझता ह कि इस बात का निर्देश, हमारे इस बिल के धन्दर होना चाहिए प्रन्यथा मदन के प्रन्दर का प्राप्तामन उस वयत नहीं भायेगा जब हमारा कोई भी पामपोर्ट प्रधिकारी इस बात पर किसी को भी पासपोटं देने स इनकार करेगा । बाद-विवाद में जो सब से बड़ा हर एक्सप्रस किया गया है वह पिछले पन्द्रह बीम साल के भनुभंव के ब्राधार पर है। बनुभव यह है कि पासपार देने में भावश्यकता से प्रधिक विलम्ब किया गता है । पासपीट लेने में बहुत श्रविक परेशनी का अन्भव करना पड़ता है। श्रीमन्, मैंन देखा है कि बहुत में प्रतिनिधि मंडल में लाग जाने वाले हैं। कल उन की जाना है भी? ग्राज 24 घंटे पहले उनको इनकार कर दिया जाता है कि आप को पासपीट नहीं मिलेगा । भव न तो ममय रहता है कि वह भ्रपीस कर मकों भीर एसी हालत में बहुत से लोगों की प्रतिनिधि भैदल में भाग लेने से बंबित होना

पड़ता है। मैं समझता हूं कि इस बात की पूरी मस्तैवी से व्यवस्था हो कि किसी भी हालत में पासबोर्ट इनकार नहीं किया जायेगा और इनकार किया आयेगा तो उस को पूरा समय होगा कि वह उसकी अपील कर मके। मैं ममझता है कि हमारे विधयक में इस बात की भी व्यवस्था होनी बाहिए कि जिस के पासपंट को इनकार किया जा रहा है उस के इनकार करने से पहले उस को ब्लाबर यह बनाया जाना चाहिए कि यह कारण है कि जिस की वजह से प्राप को पासपोर्ट नही दिया जा रहा है। अगर प्रार्थी पामपो अधिवारी की नानुष्य कर देता है नीं उसे पासरोटं दिया जाना चाहिये घन्यथा इस बात का ग्रनभव है कि मामली पुलिस की धौर सी० घाई० डी० की रिपोर्ट के ऊपर पामपोर्ट नहीं दिया जाता है । और जैसा माननीय राम सेवक यादव जी ने यहां कहा है कि यह सचमन एक जिटम्बनामी लगती है कि एक भादमी को ग्राज पामपोर्ट इनकार किया जाता ? ग्रीर कल वही पालियामेट का या विधान सभा का गदस्य हो जाता है तो उस को देशमन्ति का भरासा हो जाना है, उस को इस बात का प्रधिकार हा जाता है भीर उस को पासपोर्ट मिल जाता है। इस प्रकार की विडम्बना का धन्त होना चाहिए।

एक बात भीर कह कर में खत्म करता हु। मैं इस बात में महमत नहीं हूं कि पासपाट के प्रधिकारी के हर रिपयजल के बाद न्याया नय में प्रपील का प्रधिकार होना चाहिए। इस प्रकार की व्यवस्था किमी भी देश मे नही है, न समाजवादी देणों में है न पूजीवादी न किमी प्रजातात्रिक देश में है भीर मैं समझता ह कि इम मे प्रार्थी की खद दिक्कत पैदा होगी, मेकिन अगर सेट्स गवनंभट किसी के पास-पोर्ट के प्रधिकार को छीनती है भीर उसको पासपोर्ट नहीं देती है तो ऐसी हालत में उसकी अधिकार होता चाहिए कि केन्द्रीय सरकार के रिपयुजल के बिसाफ न्यायालय मे ा सके क्योंकि उस के बाद किर कोई बारा नहीं रहता । बना स्थायासय में केन्द्रीय मनकार

भी अपना पक्ष रखे भीर प्राथी भी भपना पक्ष रखे । धगर न्यायालय इस बात पर सन्तुरट हो जाता है कि कोई विशेष कारण नहीं है उसे पामपोर्ट इनकार करने के लिए तो उस को पासपोटं दिया जाना चाहिए।

16 hrs.

श्रीमन, एक बात धोर कहना चाहता ह । इस वक्त जिस बात को इस सदन के ग्रन्दर बहुत मजबूती के साथ रखा गया है में समजता ह उस के उपर हमारे मन्त्री जी को इस सदन के अन्दर एक बार इस बात का फिर ग्राक्वासन देना चाहिए कि विसी भी व्यक्ति को राजनीतिक भेदभाव के कारण पासपोंट इनकार नही विया जायेगा धौर नम्बर दों किसी भी बाहर देशों ने अन्दर प्रतिनिधि महल या विसी सम्मेलन वे ग्रन्दर भाग सेने वाले व्यक्ति के लिए पामपोर्ट की हर सहालयत दी जायेगी, उस को इनकार नहीं किया जायमा ।

नीमरी बात, पासपोर्ट का जो तरीका है, कानून है, उस को सरल बनाना चाहिये, अन्यया इम में बड़ी दिक्कत पैदा होती है। मैने बारबार देखा है कि विद्यार्थियों की. बाहर जाने वाले प्रतिनिधि मडलो के सदस्यो को पामपोर्ट लेने के लिये पचासी बार दण्तर के चनकर काटने पड़ते हैं । इस सिये उन का नरीका और नियम है, उस को सरल बना चाहिए ।

इन जब्दों के साथ में भागा करता ह कि हमारं मती जी इस पर विचार कर वे इम के लिये ठीक व्यवस्था करेगे ।

Shri B. Shankaranand (Chikodi): No one who has gone through judgment of the Supreme Court petition No. 230 of 1966 will say that this Bill has been introduced to give a go-bye to that judgment. I would quote a portion from that judgment:

"It follows that under art. 21 of the Constitution, no person can [Shri B. Shankaranand]

be deprived of his right to travel except according to the procedure established by law. It is not disputed that no law was made by the State regulating or depriving persons of such a right".

When there is no law, it is for Government to introduce such a law to make it convenient for Government to regulate the rules cocerning passport laws. This is what they have done.

The Opposition is attacking this Bill because it gives no remedy by way of appeal agains: refusal to appeal to the courts. Lt can be seen that people go abroad for good or for bad reasons. Here I will again quote from the judgment:

"A person may like to go abroad for many reasons. He may like to see the world, to stay abroad, to undergo medical treatment that is not available in our country, to collaborate in scientifis research, to develop his horizon in different fields and such others. An executive arbitrariness can prevent one doing so and permut another to travel merely for pleasure. While in the case of enacted law one knows where he stands, in the case of an unchannelled arbitrary discretion, discrimination is write large on the face of it".

person wants to Suppose a go abroad with an ill motive against the Government or the people of country. Suppose a person wants to go abroad to evade punishment under the law. It is in the interest of the country in such cases that he should not be allowed to go abroad. After all what is liberty? Liberty with ference to the context of this means liberty depending on the length of his purse. A poor man cannot go abroad. It is the man who the financial means and capacity who can go abroad. This law is made applicable to him. If a person wants to go abroad to commit an act against the country or the interests of the country and seeks a passport for that purpose, it is natural for Government to refuse that. Therefore, it is necessary to regulate it. Hence the need for this Bill.

Much has been said against the remedies provided in the Bill. Clause II gives the remedy by way of appeal against so many acts for refusing a passport. The Government or the executive must have certain powers to curtail the liberty of a person who is not using that liberty for the purpose of the good of the country, or the good of the people. For such a person, this law is quite essential. In the present context, this Bill is necessary and I think the House should support it.

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: I am very grateful to the Members who have participated in this debate. A very large number of points and suggestions were put forward by many speakers. I have not got the time at my disposal to refer to all of them. I will therefore refer to only some of the main points which have made by practically all the speakers. And I will do my utmost to members in regard to them.

The main demand which has been made by practically all the speakers here has been that because this Bill is a very important one and is of a controversial nature, it should be referred to a Select Committee where all the points and amendments which the hon, members may have can be thrashed out and this can be made a better and more comprehensive Bill. Under normal circumstances, the Government will have no objection to refer this Bill to a Select Committee if there is a demand from the members.

Shri M. R. Masani: We are demanding it now.

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: I going to explain that the difficulty is that of time. The hon. Member knows that the ordinance which this Bill seeks to replace will come to an end on the 2nd July, and if it is not replaced by an Act of Parliament what will happen is this, there will be no law whatsoever, and the Government will have no control on the issue of passports, or the Government will have to come forward with another ordinance. Both the contingencies are undesirable. So, it is not possible to refer the Bill to a Select Committee. However, I give an assurance that all the points that have been raised here will taken note of and kept in mind the time when we review the working of this Act, and if ever it becomes necessary to amend the Act in the light of our experience, we will come before the House with amendments.

Another criticism which has made is that this Bill has brought forward to bypass the judgment of the Supreme Court or to evade the spirit of the Supreme Court judgment. That is not correct, I will say this Bill has been brought forward in compliance with the directions of the judgment itself, because the judgment has clearly said that every individual has a right to travel abroad as laid down in article 21 of the Constitution, unless that right is curbed by some procedure established by law, and this is exactly what this Bill seeks to do. As there was no law, we had to bring this Bill.

Shri S. Kandappan: You are only codifying the arbitrariness that existed before. As long as you are going to keep arbitrary powers with you, you are not respecting the spirit of the judgment.

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: I assure you there will be no arbitrary use of these powers at all.

Shri S. Kandappan: It is writ large on the Bill.

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: A criticism has been made that in certain circumstances when a passport is refused, revoked, cancelled or impounded, reasons may not be given. ordinarily, reasons will always communicated to the aggrieved party, but in certain exceptional cases where the security of the country and such things are involved, the reasons will be withheld to safeguard the sovereignty of the country, its security friendly relations with other coun-

Shri S. Kandappan: How will security be violated?

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: Even in coming to a decision to refuse a passport government's decision is based on good evidence. Government has its own machinery to come to its own conclusions, but as the evidence and the machinery which gives the information to the Government on basis of which they come to such decision is of a confidential nature, it will not be proper or in the public interest to disclose the source from which Government receive the information. On these grounds, and on very rare occasions, the reasons will be withheld. Otherwise, practically in all the cases the reasons will be given.

Shri M. R. Masani: Here you must make a distinction. There is a distinction between giving reasons and disclosing your source You can give the reasons without disclosing source.

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: The difference is very subtle. It is very difficult to give the reasons and not disclose the source. I may say thing, and the other person will say on what grounds do you base your judgment, then I will have to close the source.

Shri K. Narayana Rao: The Constitution makes a distinction facts and grounds in article 22. Even in a situation where a person is de[Shri K. Narayana Rao]

tained under the Preventive Detention Act, the grounds have to be given. though the Government have empowered not to disclose the facts. So, it is still possible to make a distinction between facts and grounds. The Government can certainly withhold facts and communicate reasons. I do not find any difficulty for the Government to make tinction like this.

श्रो ग्र॰ सि॰ सहगल : स्प्रिट ग्राफ ला नया है, यह तो ग्राप ग्राने खयालात में जाहिर की जिये ?

श्रो सुरेन्द्रपाल सिंह : स्प्रिट ग्राफ ला यह है कि बाहर जाने में ग्रापके रास्ते में दिक्कत नहीं होनी चाहिये और हमारी यह कोशिश रहेगी कि दिवकत न ग्राये । उसी स्प्रिट को हम फोलो कर रहे हैं।

Mr. Masani had objected to the use of the word 'friendly and said that no refusal should made on this ground. There are number of cases where people did go abroad and what thev did or ultimately spoiled our relations with the country they visited or some other country with which we have happy relations. This has been past experience. We want this power so that we can go into the antecedents and the background known to the Central government and if we feel that a person going to a certain country is likely to prejudice our good relations with that country, government should have power to put some restriction and that is why this has been inserted here.

There has been a demand from practically all the speakers that the appellate authority should be a judicial tribunal and not an executive authority otherwise it will be an appeal against the order of one executive officer to another executive rity. The appellate authority that is

going to be appointed under this Bill will not be subordinate to the passport authority at all; it will be quite independent of that and we propose to appoint a person who has a legal background and training. We have every hope that that authority will act in an independent manner.

Passports Bill

Shri M. R. Masani: If it is going to be part of your Ministry, it is no use.

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: It will be under the Ministry, but independent of the passport authority . . . (Interruptions). If we refer all these appears to the judicial authority, judicial tribunal, the applicant himself will be put to a lots of inconvenience. all know the functioning of courts; the delays are well-known to everybody. Then it has to follow a certain procedure.

Shri Sezhiyan: The same argument would go to abolish all the courts.

An hon. Member: You can hang all the judges.

Shri Bal Raj Madhok (South Delhi): You must lay down some time limit that when an appeal is filed, the appellate authority should give decision within a particular time. The public are harassed by long delays. No answer is given. You may fix a time limit of, say, seven days or ten days, within which the reply is to be given. If a new endorsement has to be made, it has to be done within a particular time. That will awoid much of the harassment.

Shri Surendra Par Singh: That can be done; there will be no harassment to the public. We cannot lay down a time limit in the Act itself. But administrative instructions will issued to see that there is no harassment or delay and that the cases are dealt with and disposed of as expeditiously as possible. I can assure the House on that account.

Shri Shri Chand Goel (Chandigarh): Can you make a provision in the rule that if an application is not disposed of within a week or ten days, the applicant can assume that the passport had been granted. That will be a good guarantee that delays would not occur. Good intentions and promise to issue instructions would not satisfy the public or save them from harassment.

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: All these things are laid down in detail in the rules and the rules will come before the House. The hon. Members will have an opportunity to scrutinise those rules; they can modify them. All these things can be discussed at that stage. They could not be provided for in the Act itself If there is any objection, they will be gone into and suitable modifications will made, if the House so wants. But think that is a matter which should be left for a suitable time in future when the rules are framed and discussed.

16.15 hrs.

[MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]

Shri P Ramamurti (Madurai); One can go in appeal and refute the arguments only when the grounds are stated. How can he make an appeal when the grounds for refusal are not stated? After all, the evidence on which you do that, is not there. What is the meaning? He can go and only say, "Please reconsider." A wonderful appeal you have provided! You do not allow them to refute the evidence.

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: There are only very few cases like that. In a large number of cases, they will have every chance to go in appeal.

Shri P. Ramamurti: The reasons should be given.

Shri Surendra Pal singh: It is only in very few cases that such a contingency will arise. Shri P. Ramamurti: In those cases in which he is going to be refused, will you supply him with evidence so that he can refute the evidence? Otherwise, what is the meaning of an appeal?

Shri N. C. Chatterjee (Burdwan): Unless you give the grounds, what is the use?

Shri P. Ramamurti: It will become fictitious.

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: On the grounds of sovereignty of the country, security of the country, etc., I am afraid the reasons will not be given in those cases But there will be only very few cases like that.

Shri P. Ramamurti: But those few cases are important ones. For political affiliations, you are going to deny the issue of a passport. And if the person does not get an opportunity to make an appeal stating the reasons, what is the meaning of this provision for appeal? It becomes frivolous.

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: I can assure hon. Members that no harassment and no delay or difficulty will be caused on the grounds of politics or on the grounds of political affiliation.

Shri P. Ramamurti: Remove that clause for appeal and not waste the expenditure on an officer who does nothing but rubber-stamp the orders.

Shri Dattatraya Kunte: The hon. Minister knows that assurances on the floor of the House are not binding or have any validity at all.

Shri P. Ramamurti: If the evidence is not given, the appointment of an officer is a waste of public money. You can very well abolish that post.

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: It is true there may be difficulties in some cases, but I can assure you that no passport will be refused on grounds of an individual affiliation to any particular political party. I think reference was [Shri Surendra Pal Singh]

made by an hon. Member from the DMK party who said that for going to Ceylon, etc., the passport was refused to a D.M K. man. I can say that we will never refuse a passport on grounds of politics.

Shri Seshiyan: We do not know on what grounds it was refused.

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: There are other considerations, each application is scrutinised and studied on its own merits and then the matter is decided.

Shri Viswanatha Menon: Even the delegation going to a conference outside was refused passport. The All-India Trade Union Congress representatives were refused passport. I will give you hundreds of examples.

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: It is not within my knowledge. There must have been some very valid reasons for them to do that, which I do not know.

Shri P. Ramamurti: In 1952 I was refused a passport.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I suggest that the hon. Minister be allowed to continue without interruptions.

Shri Surendra Pai Singh: We will try our very best to see that such considerations do not come in the way of issumg a passport, and we will see that passports are issued as quickly and as expeditiously as possible.

Shri N. C. Chatterjee: Real appeals, not fraudulent appeals, not make-believe appeals, not eye-wash appeals. They will be only successful provided you give the man in writing the grounds on which the passport is refused, so that he will have the opportunity of refuting the grounds. Otherwise, you declare him persona non-grata. He does not now what it is. It is not fair. An opportunity should be given to defend himself and to refute the arguments put forward

against him; he must put forward the cogent grounds.

Secondly, we appeal to the hon. Minister that there should be a time-limit, so that he will know when the time-limit expires. The man should be in a position to know that there is something against him. You should proceed on that basis.

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: As regards time-limit, that can be considered. I agree. We shall do everything possible to streamline whole machinery to see that passport is issued at the earliest possible time. We can lay down the time limit. That is a very good suggestion, which consider. Everything should We would be done to expedite the issue of passport, without any delay taking place.

Shri S. Kandappan: It is a fact that whenever the DMK leaders apply for a passport to go to Ceylon particularly, it is seldom given. It is seldom a repeat that they get a passport.

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: I do not know anything about issuing of passport to the DMK Members. If the hon. Member would write to me, I shall see.

Shri S. Kandappan: It is not a question of my going. What I say is a general norm should be fixed and followed.

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: All that I can say is that a passport will not be refused on political grounds. You must have faith and trust in the Government that it would behave in a responsible manner.

Shri C. Chittybaba (Chingisput): Is it not a fact that a passport was refused to Mr. Karumanidhi, who is PWD Minister in Madras now?

Shri Dhireshwag Kalita (Gauhati): A passport application was made 3

months ago by Dr. Ajit Singh of Gau-He wanted to see his sister lving ill in London. For months, no reply has been given to him. How can we believe the minister when he says that the applications will be disposed of quickly after scrutiny? Not even a reply has been sent to this man who applied for a passport 3 months ago.

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: All these are administrative matters for which provision cannot be made in the Act itself. We will do our best to streamline the whole procedure and see that no delays take place. But it is difficult to lay down any timelimit in the Act, because the applications will be varying in nature. They have to be scrutinised and if necessary further enquiries have to be made. All applications cannot be disposed of in 7 or 8 days. No Such time-limit can be put in here. All I can say is, everything will be done from our side to see that no undue delay takes place and no harassment is meted out to the applicants. Beyond that, it is very difficult to give any assurance.

The point has been made that prior to the Supreme Court judgment, the Government of India had been acting in an arbitrary manner and if this Bill is passed into an Act, enourmous powers will vest in the hands of the executive and it will continue to act in the same arbitrary manner. I will quote a few figures to indicate that the Government has not been acting is an arbitrary manner in the past nor is it the intention of Government to continue to act arbitrarily in future in the matter of issuance of passports. In future the performance will be better than in the past. In 1964-I do not have the actual number of applications made-but the rejections were only 2.5 per cent, which goes to show that Government does not act in an arbitrary manner. We try to give the passports as quickly as possible. Only in certain cases, in the interests the security of the country, Government has to have certain special powers to control and regulate certain. persons going out of the country.

Shri S. Kandappan: What about. people who swindle foreign exchange? There is no provision in the Bill that such kinds of obnoxious activities will be curtailed.

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: If there is any definite allegation or complaint filed in a court or if there are any summons or warrant of arrest against any person, passport will not be given. But if there are no definite charges or allegations in a court of law, naturally we cannot refuse passport. Sometime back it was said that we gave a passport to Mr. Dharma Teja and he managed to get out of the country. The fact of the matter is when Mr. Dharma Teja left the country, there were no charges against him. He was completely free to go out.

Shri S. Kandappan: What accounts in foreign banks?

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: If it is not brought to our notice, how can we find out? If there are no charges, we cannot refuse a passport merely on suspicion. There has to be some definite charge or order of a court.

May L Sir, once again express my inability to answer all the points that have been raised here. A number of points were raised and it will not be possible to answer all the points at this stage. I have covered the main points that were raised by hon. Members. I can only say that hon. Members should have some patience with us, they should allow us to give a trial to this Act and see how it functions. I can only give this assurance that if we have any difficulty later on, in the light of the experience that we gain in future, we might come forward before the House with suitable amendments, and the points that hon. Members have suggested will be borne in mind and due consideration will begiven to them.

[Shri Surendra Pal Singh]

With these words, Sir, I commend the Bill for the consideration of the House

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: There is an amendment to the motion for consideration. I shall put that to the vote of the House. The question is:

"That the Bill be referred to a Select Committee consisting of 15 members namely, Shri Hem Raj, Shri Kameshwar Singh, Nawabzada Syed Zulfiquar Alı Khan, Shri Krishnan Manoharan, Shri Samarendra Kundu, Shri Bal Rai Shri Vikram Madhok. Chand Mahayan, Shri K. Ananda Nambiar, Sirdarni Nirlep Kaur, Chaudhari Randhir Singh, Shri Gajrai Singh Reo. Shri Diwan Chand Sharma, Shri Prakash Vir Shastri. Shri G. Viswanathan and Babu Sri Chand Goyal; with instructions to report by the 30th July, 1967." (53).

The motion was negatived

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I shall put the original motion now. The question is:

"That the Bill to provide for the issue of passports and travel documents, to regulate the departure from India of citizens of India and other persons and for matters incidental or ancillary thereto, as passed by Rajya Sabha, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The House will now take up the Bill clause by clause. The question is:

"That clause 2 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2 was added to the Bill.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Then we come to clause's.

Clause 3—(Passport or travel decuments for departure from India).

Shri K. Narayana Rae: Sir, I beg to move:

Page 2,-

after line 9 insert-

"(2) Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section (1), the requirement as to passport or travel documents shall not be applicable to those persons who are privileged to dispense with passports and travel documents under International Law and the comity of family of nations." (11).

The provision as it stands is absolutely terse. It looks as though any person who leaves India without a possport would be brought within the purview of this Act. It may be quite possible taht under International Law, International Conventions and International Comity certain heads of States may not be required to carry passports at all and they may go without there being any insistence on the possession of a passport. Even though I quite well see that there is a possibility of exemption under clause 25 of the Bill, it depends upon the whims and fancies or the discretion of the Central Government. Therefore, I have brougt this amendment to see that those people who are entitled under the norms of international conventions be exempted from the requirement of possession of passport

Shri Surendra Pai Singh: Sir, I do not accept it.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I shall put it to the House.

Amendment No. 11 was put and negatived.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is:

"That clause 3 stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2 was added to the Bill.

Clause 4.—(Classes of passports and travel documents).

Shri K. Narayana Ran: I beg to move:

Page 2, lines 31 and 32,--

omit ", in consonance with the usage and practice followed by it in this behalf," (12).

This amendment does not involve any principle. It is only an improvement of the draft. The reference to usage and practice should have found a place in the rules and not here. We should not introduce an element of rigidity in the legislation. So I want this to be deleted.

Shri Surendra Pai Singh: I do not accept the amendment.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I will now put amenment No. 12 to the vote of the House

Amendment No. 12 was put and negatived

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is:

"That clause 4 stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

Clause 4 was added to the Bill

Clause 5—(Applications for passports, travel documents, etc. and orders thereon).

Shri Nambiar (Tiruchirappalli): I beg to move:

Page 3, line 9,-

for "such inquiry, if any, as it may consider necessary".

substitute "formal inquiry about the identity and correctness of the details of the entries and being satisfied" (40). Now sub-clause (2) of clause 5 reads as follows:

"On receipt of an application, the passport authority, after making such inquiry, if any, as it may consider necessary shall, subject to the other provisions of this Act,"

The term "such inquiry, if any, as it may consider necessary" is very "ague; it is ambiguous; it may mean anything. The officer is vested with very wide powers. He may or may not make an inquiry; it is so vague. This provision gives wide scope for all sorts of malpractices. Therefore, I want to make the provision specific, correct, apt and legal. So I am suggesting the substitution of these words by the words "formal inquiry about the identity and correctness of the details of the entries and being satisfied". Suppose my name is given as Anandan Nayar, instead of Anandan Nambiar or my father's name or my district is given wrongly, he should correct them. If, instead of Khadilkar, the name is given as Khadolkar, the passport authority should have the right to check up the correctness of the entry. Similarly, he can check up the identity of the person. There may be one K. N. Nayar whose father's name may be K. K. Nayar. But there may be so many oher K. K. So, the correctness of the identity can be checked up and cleered. That is all the purpose of the inquiry. What other inquiry can he make? After all, he is only a passport authority; he is not a police officer.

Shri Vishwanatha Menon: He can see whether the face corresponds to the photo.

Shri Nambiar: Yes, that also can be done.

He is the Passport Officer; he is not a police officer. He cannot say that so-and-so is bad in his behaviour etc.

Shri C. C. Desai (Sabarkantha): He invariably sends it to the police officer. Shri Nambiar: Yes. Then, the whole thing is underlined here. This passport authority is a person who is in name only, who is only the sealing man. He has no authority.

Then, the construction of the clause is like this.-

"shall subject to other provisions of ties. Act by order in writing".

He is the authority to decide whether to reject or grant passport. It is on his authorit and under his signature that the wiole thing is to happen, Therefore I say that if the fundamental right of a citizen to obtain a passport is guaranteed then he has only to see that the person who has applied is the correct person etc. The other details are there in the other clauses. Let the other clauses come into being and let it take its own course. Let the passport authority not be given more powers than are required in the The other powers beginning stage. which are required according to my amendment are formal inquiry about the identity and correctness of the details of entries and that he is satisfled about those entries etc. Only that can be there.

Why I am moving this amendment is because we have seen that this granting of passports has become more or less a farce. It has become a matter of whims and fancies of certain officers controlled by the Ministry

An hon. Member: Scandalous

Shri Nambiar: I have my own experience. Even though I was a Member of this House I was refused a passport. When even a Member of Parliament has no right to get a passport, I do not know what about the citizen. A Member is considered to be a little more than a citizen; at least, we are representing about a million people. My passport application was rejected. Of course, subsequently when I went to the hon. Minister personally and begged of him rather than only I could get the

passport. This was my own case. I do not know how many cases hon. Members may know or may not know. Therefore there must be a criterion as to when and how you should give a passport. In that, I submit, political considerations should not come at all. If one belongs to a party, say the Communist Party, which is not in the good books of the present regime, they may not reject it. I have not a case which I think I have told the hon. Deputy Minister about and I do not want to quote it now because he has not yet examined the case. A person who comes from my district, a Muslim a very decent gentleman applied for a passport to go and visit his own relatives in Malaysia and other places, formerly also Burma, and this was rejected. Nobody knows the ground.

Shri Surendra Pai Singh: We know the grounds.

Shri Nambiar: That is not at all communicated. The Passport Officer only says, "Reject". He does not give the reason. If you insist on knowing the reason, you are shown the way out. He says, "Please go out"; that is the answer. I am speaking from my own experience. Many students who want to go abroad for studies are also put to difficulties. A particular colour has come in the way After all, a citizen of India is a citizen. He should not be given a colour—yellow, red, white etc. Except for the colour of the skin, political colour should not be given.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Every party has a political colour and you do not want to shed that colour.

Shri S. Kandappan: But that cannot be taken as a criterion for giving passports.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: That is all right.

Shri Nambiar: But we are working within the four corners of the Indian Constitution and the Constitution guarantees certain rights. Unless and until he is declared an outlaw or the party is banned and has no right to exist as a political party, why should it be so? For instance, I know that many DMK friends in Madras State were denied passports. For Communists, of course, it is a common feature. whether it is a Communist from Kerala or from Timbuctoo. A Communist is a Communist. The first feeling of a Passport Officer when he knows that one is a Communist is refusal. Then he must convince himself of the fact whether his refusal must be altered and he must be granted a passport; but, prima facie he will be refused. It was also the case in Madras State for Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam. After the DMK regime in Madras I do not know what will be the attitude. Anyhow, the State authorities have no right to grant passport. It is only the Central Government which has got the right to grant a passport. The State Government can only make a recommendation; the Collector has also to recommend and forward the application.

This is the crucial clause of the Bill. I request the hon. Minister and the Members on both the sides to accept my innocent and reasonable amendment so that passports are issued to all reasonable and legitimate persons and that they are not denied their right to go abroad.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: There some amendments to clause 5. I want to know whether they are being moved. Shri K. Narayan Rao. you moving your amendments?

Shri K. Narayana Rao: I moving my amendments.

Shri P. N. Solanki (Kaira): I beg to move:

"Page 3, lines 27, to 31,—

omit 'unless in any case the passport authority is of the cpinion that it will not be in the interests of the sovereignty and integrity of India, the security of India, firendly realtions of India with any foreign country or in the interests of the general public to furnish such copy". (15).

Shri Nambiar: I beg to move:

"Page 3,-

omit lines 20 to 22". (41).

Shri Dattatraya Kunte: I beg to move:

"Page 3, line 26,-

omit "on demand" (42)

"Page 3, line 27,-

for "is of the opinion" substitute-

"in its discretion comes to the conclusion" (43).

"Page 3, line 31,-

add at the end-

"and furnish to the person concerned a copy of the same" (44).

Sir, these are my amendments. In clause 5(3), it is stated:

"... and furnish to that person on demand a copy of the . . ."

I really do not know why a person must demand a copy of these things. It should automatically follow that when a person is denied the right to go abroad, he should be furnished a copy of that.

Then, the next line says:

"unless in any case the passport authority is of the opinion . . ."

I do not know what sort of an authority is going to be appointed. officer of any type could be appointed under this Act. Therefore, I have suggested that instead of the words "is of the opinion" the words "in its discretion comes to the conclusion" should be substituted. I want the

[Shri Dattatraya Kunte]

authority to exercise its discretion. While replying to the first reading of the Bill, the hon. Minister was pleased to say that there might be a number of cases where passports have been denied but he did not have the knowledge of the same. That is the pity of it. There are persons who ask for an authority and they pass on that authority to some other persons about whose actions they are absolutely either unmindful or ignorant. Therefore, it is necessary that the authority must pass the order in its discretion.

Finally, in amendment 44, I have suggested that the following words be added at the end:

"and furnish to the person concerned a copy of the same."

It says that in some cases, it might not be furnished. I suggest that in all cases, the copy must be furnished. The three amendments—will make some sense of an officer or authority acting somewhat judiciously or in a judicial manner. Therefore, these are the amendments which I want to be accepted by the House.

Shri P. Ramamurti: I just want to point out how fallacious and fraudulent the claim of the Government is that they are, under this, providing for an appellate authority. Here the clause is absolutely clear. the passport officer is of the opinion that a copy of the grounds on which it is refused need not be given his discretion is absolute and supreme if he is just of that opinion, then, copy need not be punished. Then. how will he make his appeal? From That is why I say that this is a fraudient clause. The entire intention of the Government is to refuse passports to a number of people.

I can give my own case. In 1946, I was given a passport; I went to England and a number of countries in Europe; nothing was said against me. Leter on, in 1952, when I was the Leader of the Opposition in the

Madras Assembly, I applied for a passport. At that time, Mr. C. Rajagopalachari was the Chief Minister of Madras. He told me that the Central Government were refusing to give me the passport. When I came and made enquiries here, I was told that it was the State Government which was refusing to recommend. Then I went to Mr. Rajagopalachari andtold him that this was what they told me I told him. "one of you must be lying; I do not know who is lying, whether you are lying or the other man is lying, but one of you must be lying". Then I added, "even if you are not going to give me a passport, I shall still out of the country; you cannot prevent me from going out; and when I come back, you cannot take any action against me because I am a citizen of this country and I have a night to come back. Simply because, I am asking for a passport in the normal way, I am refused," Ultimately he came down, when I threatened him that I would go out in spite of refusal. Then he said, "all right; I will give it, in order to save my prestige, to such of the other countries: you change some of the countries and will give the passport". This happened in 1952. Then when I went, I became a normal person.

So, the whole procedure is for political purposes. They denied the passport at that time because they were governed by political considerations The provisions of this Bill give them ample powers to refuse, to geny, passports to a number of people. All the assurances that the Minister might give on floor of this House will have no validity. We know what value these assurances have! Therefore, all the provisions for an appeal are fraindulent provisions. They do not mean it: they just want to hoodwink the people and that is why they have PIOvided for an appellate authority. If they really mean that, they must accept Mr. Kunte's amendment as well as the amendment of Mr. Nambiar

Shri P. N. Selanki: My amendment is to omit lines 27 to 31. This is bessue of this. In some cases the reasons will be given by the passport officer and in some other cases the reasons will not given. This is really confusing. In all the cases where passports are denied, the reasons should be given.

I will just give you one example which took place three days back A lady doctor wanted proceed to the United States. She had been given a scolarship and she was to report herself in the United States on 2nd July. No reasons were given for the refusal of a passport to her approached me Then we all went to the passport office Still no reasons were given We were simply told that the Passport Bill was pending before the Parliament and that they could only take a decision after the Bill was passed Even then the reasons were not given. We asked whether we could apply for the passport on other grounds They said that might be considered if we applied or other grounds, but on that ground there was no hope of her getting the passport Unless a citizen of this country is informed as to why he is forbidden to go abroad, why he is refused a passport, there can be no other way of finding out what is the fault in that particular person and why he or she is refused a passport. Therefore, my request is to omit those lines. The reasons should be given for granting or not granting a pass-

The Deputy-Speaker: I shall now put all the amendments to vote.

Shri Nambiar: Before you put the amendments to vote, we must know the mind of the hon. Minister. This is a very serious clause and this is the main clause. The hon Minister must tell us why he is not accepting the amendments.

The Minister of Defence (Shri-Swaran Singh): With your permission, I shall try to explain one or two

points that have been raised by hon. Members while moving their amendments.

First of all, as has already been stated categorically by the Deputy Minister, the provisions of this Bill are not meant to be used and will not be used for any political reasons, and that is an assurance which should be taken and which should not be brushed aside lightly.

Shri Dattatraya Kunte: Has this assurance any legal effect?

Shri Swaran Singh: It has the legal effect

Shri Dattatraya Kunte. Not in the least

Shri Swaran Singh: It has a legal effect because the grounds on which passport will be refused are spelt out in clause 6 of the Bill, and, therefore, that is a legal cover.

Shri Dattatraya Kunte: It is as vague as anything

Shri Swaran Singh: Shri Nambiar's amendment is obviously restrictive because he wants the enquiry at that stage to be restricted to looking at the columns and to seeing about the identity etc. I am afraid that that will not serve the purpose of it if the scheme of the Bill is kept in view. Clauses 5 and 6 of the Bill have to be seen together. In clause 6 various grounds are set out on which the passport authority can refuse the issue of a passport Obviously, to come to the conclusion whether the action that is to be taken at that stage is not hit by any of the restrictive provisions of clause 6, it will be necessary for the passport authority to undertake some enquiry; it will not be just counting the columns or looking at the identity and so on Let us be quite clear about this Clause 5 states that there has to be an enquiry, and that will not be confined to the limits which Shrf Nambiar's amendment proposes to impose on the nature of the enquiry at that stage. So, on this ground, I cannot accept his amendment.

[Shri Swaran Singh]

Shri Dattatraya Kunte has put forward three amendments to clause 5. I am not quite sure whether he really wants to introduce the expression 'at its discretion comes to the conclusion' instead of 'is of the opinion'. I think that that perhaps might give even greater scope for the exercise of authority or discretion by the passport authority. 'Is of the opinion' 'exercises his discretion and comes to the opinion' is a process through which any passport authority or any officer has to go in order to form an opinion.

Shri Daitatraya Kunte: Not necessarily.

Shri Swaran Singh: It is not as if he will be blind absolutely when he forms or has to form an opinion. The formation of the opinion will be the result of his weighing the various circumstances and an element of exercise of discretion will also be there. As I look at the scheme and the phraseology, I feel that it will not be proper to introduce the expression 'at its discretion'.

The two other points raised by Shri Dattatraya Kunte when he moved his amendments have already been replied to by the Deputy Minister when the latter was replying to the debate on the motion for consideration of the Bill.

I would, therefore, appeal to the House that these amendments which are unnecessary or cannot be accepted may be thrown out.

Shri A. S. Saigal: What about appeal and what about a tribunal for the purpose?

Shri Swaran Singh: In regard to the appeal, we have said that the appeal does lie. The grounds on which a passport can be refused are spelt out in clause 6. It is not on all of those grounds that a copy of the order refusing the pessport is denied, but it is only in certain cases that a copy of the order refusing the pessport is

denied. The reasons have to be recorded in each case. That is provid ed for in clause 5 clearly. As regarde the question whether in all these cases, a copy of the reasons should be supplied or not, the only limitation that is mentioned there is this. If it is not in public interest, then the copy need not be given; similarly, there are several other grounds which have been spelt out. So, it is not fully restrictive on that account, and the appeal will be competent. They can go in appeal. At any rate, if a copy is not supplied, the applicant will know that it is within one of the grounds mentioned.

Shri P. Ramamurti: How is he to appeal without knowing the reasons?

Shri Swaran Singh: He knows full well that the refusal is based on one or other of the three or four considerations on the basis of which a copy is not supplied; therefore, he has full knowledge, and he can refute any of these points

Shri Tenneti Viswanatham: The reasons need not be disclosed in some cases.

Shri Swaran Singh: That is what I am trying to explain. The scheme of the Bill will show that the refusal to suply a copy of the reasons can be there only in certain cases which are spelt out in the clause itself. So his case will be under one or the other of those four provisions. He can still agitate.

Shri P. Ramamurti: On what basis can he proceed when he does not now the grounds? This is wonderful.

Shri Nambiar: The difficulty this...

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: He has explained. A second speech is not permissible

Shri Namhiar: The difficulty of the citizen must be appreciated.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: He has appreciated it and given the answer.

Shri P. Ramamurti: He has been a lawyer. Has he come across a case where without knowing the grounds of refusal, a man can appeal?

Shri Swaran Singh: If he asks my opinion, I have been dealing with cases of appeal.

Shri P. Ramamurti: Not as a Minister, but a a lawyer.

Shri Swaran Singh: As both. As a lawyer, I have drafted appeals and as Minister I have disposed of them. I can assure him that several friends belonging to his party approached me on several occasions. They preferred an appeal when passport was refused. I looked into the cases and in several cases when I found that the refusal was not proper, I have granted the passports.

Shri P. Ramamurti: That is on political considerations.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I shall now put all the amendments to this clause to the vote of the House.

Amendments Nos. 15 and 40 to 44 were put and negatived.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is:

"That clause 5 stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

Clause 5 was added to the Bill.

Clause & (Refusal of passports, travel documents etc).

Shri Sradhakar Supakar: I beg to move:

Page 4,--

after line 28, insert-

"(ff) that the applicant has no adequate means of supporting himself outside India consistent with his dignity and selfrespect as a citizen of India; (fff) that the applicant has not furnished an income-tax clearance certificate from the appropriate income-tax officer;" (1)

Shri Srinibas Mishra: I beg to move:

Page 4,-

omit lines 26 to 33. (4)

Page 4,—

omit lines 37 to 39. (6)

Shri P. N. Solanki: I beg to move:

Jage 3, line 33,-

for "shall" substitute "may". (17)

Page 4,-

omit lines 1 to 3, (19)

Page 4, line 8,-

for "shall" substitute "may". (20)

Page 4,-

omit lines 18 to 20. (22)

Page 4, line 37,-

omit "in the opinion of the Central Government". (25)

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The amendments are now before the House.

Shri P. N. Solanki: Regarding my amendments, Nos. 17 and 20, I seek to substitute the word 'shall' by 'may'. When the passport officer is given discretion to refuse passport on the grounds or reasons that he decides, why should there be a directive again from Government, saying 'you shall do this, you shall not do that'. Therefore, the word 'shall' should be substituted by 'may'.

Shri C. C. Desai: In clause 6, the grounds on which a passport can be refused are listed. The clause is a comprehensive one covering every conceivable ground, and at the end of it there is a sub-clause (i) which puts this ground, in the opinion of the

828 (AI) LSD-8.

[Shri C. C. Desai]

Central Government the issue of a passport to the applicant will not be in the public interest'.

I can tell you, having had experience of administration, that every case of refusal of passport will be decided under sub-clause (f). You can go in appeal, but nothing will happen. We have got every conceivable objection covered in the provious sub-clauses. I see no reason why there should be an omnibue additional sub-clause which says that you can refuse a passport "in public interest". particular sub-clause is ill-conceived and arbitrary and should not be there I suggest to Government that at least this amendment be accepted and the sub-clause deleted as all the objections are embodied in the first seven or eight sub-clauses.

17 hrs.

Shri Nambiar: Anything and everyhing can be done under this clause. They can give a passport to anybody, or they may not give a passport to anybody. The whole Bill is reduced to this by this clause. Such a type of loose legislation should not be allowed.

"Subject to the other provisions of this Act, the passport authority shall refuse to make an endorsement for visiting any foreign country under clause (b) or clause (c) of sub-section (2) of section 5 on any one or more of the following grounds, and on no other ground, namely:

(a) that the applicant may, or is likely to, engage in such country in activities prejudicial to the sovereignty and integrity of India."

He may or is likely to engage in such activities. So, what is the criterion to decide? Suppose I go to Switzerland for a rest of three months or three weeks, you can interpret it and say that a Communist becoming

healthier may affect the interests of the country.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: There is a possibility it might do some narm to you if you go to Switzerland.

Shri Nambiar: Suppose this is taken before a court of law, what can the poor Judge do? The Judge will say section 6 is so powerful that the authority can refuse, so he has refused, my hands are tied, I am unable to give you any relief, and we will have to come back paying the fees. It is obvious.

So, I would request the hon. Minister to delete this clause. I can only oppose this clause. I cannot amend it. It is not amendable.

Shri Srinibas Misra: My amendment is to omit sub-clauses, (f) (g) and (i) in sub-clause (2) at page 4

It reads:

"Subject to the other provisions of this Act, the passport authority shall refuse ".

It is a mandatory provision. The passport authority shall refuse on the following grounds, namely:

"(f) that proceedings in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed by the applicant are pending before a criminal court in India:"

So, as soon as a criminal case is instituted against anybody, a passport shall be refused. I may be excused for using the expression that it is an insane clause. I can thwart anybody's attempt to go abroad by filing a case with one witness. The type of offence should have been prescribed, whether it is a non-bailable offence or an offence under the Motor Vehicles Act or such other acts, for which a fine of Rs. 5 is prescribed. Anybody anywhere in this country can stop anybody from going abroad. Then:

"(g) that a warrant or summans for the appearance, or a warrant for the arrest, of the applicant has been issued by the court under any law for the time being in force or that an order prohibiting. ."

"Warrant or summons for appearance" is insane. Even if I file a case against Mr. Nambiar that he has promised to give me Rs. 10 and has not given, a summons will issue for his appearance. Will that compel the Government to refuse a passport to Mr Nambiar?

These are insane clauses which should not have been there. If at all they are put in they should have been qualified by the nature of the offence, whether it is moral turpitude or some other serious offence

These days, after the amendment of the Criminal Procedure Code, representation is being allowed for the accused. So, what par is there to a peraccused son who is from going abroad, because he can be represented in the court. I take it that was the only thing before the draftsmen when they put this in, to see that the court proceedings should not be held There is provision for that. There is provision for representation under the Civil Procedure Code also, So. why should these two provisions there?

Sub-clause (i) has been opposed by everybody, because it is power taken by the Government.

> "(i) that in the opinion of the Central Government are issue of a passport or travel document to the applicant will not be in the public interest."

It is unguided, unrestricted power He can say:

'I am of the opinion that it would not be in the public interest'. Chagla admitted that public interest Public interhas not been defined. est' should be defined; if not, should this Bill be taken up by this Government. In every case, he

say: it will not be in public interest and I am of that opinion. That kind of thing is unnecessary. It also cannot stand the test of courts.

Shri Sradhakar Supaka:: Sir, I have my amendments to Mr. clause. Nambiar mentioned about the presence of an applicant outside India which is likely to prejudice the friendly relations with any foreign country. When Sheikh dullah was allowed to go outside India, there was a lot of objection. government was taken to task for allowing him to go abroad and speak there peopardising the friendly relations between our country and some of the Arab countries. Such cases are likely to arise. Regarding the other clauses which I propose, in the explanatory memorandum itself was stated that there might be many people who might go outside contract labour. Even people who are students are asked to go abroad some of the passport racketeers. When they go abroad they find there are no admission facilities and there is also no opportunity to earn livelihood. They find themselves in very bad predicament and that tells upon our prestige and also our High Commission or the Dmbassies abroad are highly embarrassed. For meeting such situations. I have moved clause.

So far as the other sub-clause concerned, it relates to the applicant furnishing an income-tax clearance certificate. Hitherto even in the case of students who have not been earning anything and who want to go abroad, this was required. In the case of big people, businessmen, we have always the apprehension and questions are put in the House as to why such and such person is allowed to go abroad as he has such a huge arrear of income-tax and all that. They ask: why not have a provision. Unfortunate'y, the Supreme Court judgment rame on the 24th of April and the Or inance came into force on the 5th of May only eleven days thereafter,

[Shri Sradhakar Supakar]

6003

Government had no time to weigh all the pros and cons of the matter and therefore, they were not in a position to look into all proposals. The hon. Minister has promised that he would look into the matter and cover all the loopholes which may be found. In genuine cases where passport should have been given, why were they refused. He will have to see that. I do not want to press amendments as I hope that the Government will take action.

Shri Swaran Singh: Sir, it is doubt correct that clause 6 is the operative clause because it spells out the various principles which will weighed by the passport issuing authority. It also mentions in the sevesub-clauses the reasons grounds on which the passport authority can refuse a passport. You must have noticed that there has not been any criticism of the main reasons according to which a passport should be refused, namely, if it threatens the sovereignty, territorial integrity, etc. So, it is welcome sign. Some objection has been raised by my friend opposite that one of the clauses is a little too vague, but with his long experience of administration, he should readily concede that there is such a thing as public interest, and an effort has been made to spell out and define various considerations. Still, may be a residue which might be still left; and if on the ground of overall public interest, the passport authority comes to the conclusion that a passport should be refused, power is retained. In view assurances that have already given, that the intention is to grant a passport when an application is made, and that those will be only exceptions where a passport is refused. there will be no difficulty. A very sincere effort has been made that the restrictive clauses also should strictly defined. It is for this reason that an effort has been made to define the various considerations and conditions which should operate for taking

a decision that the issue of a passport should be refused. It is for the first time that an effort is being made to define these restrictive clauses.

I would appeal to the hon. House, that the experience that they have had of the past, should not be a guide for approaching the provisions this Bill, because it is known to hon, Members of this House, (Interruption). Formerly there was no provision to this effect as there was and when statute the effort is made to define the various clauses hitting against which may the mischief of the come within operative clause for refusal, great care has been taken to define those clauses and conditions in a proper form. There may still be certain cases, some residue still left, and it is for this reason that the clause relating to public interest has been retained For this reason, I would submit that I am sorry I am opposing all amendments that have been put forward

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: There are s number of amendments. With the permission of the House, shall I put them together?

Shri Sradhakar Supakar: I beg to withdraw my amendment, No. 1.

Amendment No. 1 was by leave, withdrawn,

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I shall then put amendment Nos. 4, 6, 17, 19, 20; 22 and 25 together.

Amendments Nos. 4, 6, 17, 19, 20. 22 and 25 were put and negatived

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is:

"That clause 8 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.
Clause 6 was added to the Bill

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: We shall now take clause 7. There are no amendments.

6005

Shri C. C. Desai: I have amendment No. 46, which is to clause 7.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: It is to clause 8.

Shri C. C. Dessi: It is to clause 7duration of passports and travel documents.

Shri M. R. Masani: It is to clause 7. It is a mistake in the order paper.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Yes.

Clause 7- (Duration of passports and travel documents)

Shri C. C. Desai: Sir, I beg to move.

Page 5, omit lines 1 to 7 (46)

As it is, it is difficult to get a passport. The person first applies. application is forwarded by the passport authorities. It is a printed form which is prescribed. In it, the application is first made. It goes to the police officer and it takes 6 months to one year after that to get the passport. The maximum duration which a passport is generally issued is three years. Now Government want powers to reduce the period at the discretion of the passport authorities. Their whole concept of a passport is that it is a favour being given by the Government, whereas point is that it 's an individual's right, which can only be refused for reasons of State. I want to delete provision which lays down that the initial period for which a passport is issued can be curtailed. I suggest that the prescribed period may be allowed to remain.

Shri Surendra Pai Singh: I sorry I cannot accept the amendment.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: I will now put amendment No. 46 to the vote of the House.

Amendment No. 48 was put and negatived.

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: The question is:

"That clause 7 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 7 was added to the Bill.

Clause 8 and 9 were added to the Bill.

Clause 16 (variation, impounding and revocation of passports and travel documents)

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: There are a number of amendments.

Shri Srinibas Mishra: I beg to move: Page 6 .-

Omit lines 14 to 16. (7)

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: Amendment No. 29 is the same as No. 7; it cannot be moved ...

Shri K. Narayana Rao: I beg to move:

Page 5, line 39,-

after "may" insert-

"with the previous approval of the Central Government," (27)

Shri P. N. Solanki: I beg to move:-

Page 6, lines 7 and 8,-

relations of Omit "friendly India with any foreign country," (28)

Shri K. Narayana Rao: I beg to move:

Page 6,-

Omit lines 22 to 30. (80)

Page 6,-

after line 30, insert-

"(3A) Notwithstanding the possession of valid passport, same may be suspended with re-

[Shri K. Narayana Rao]

6007

gard to holders of passports in India--

- (a) if any criminal proceeding is pending in India against the passport holder; or
- (b) if the passport holder has to comply with a lawful warrant or summons issued by a competent court in India." (31)

Shri P. N. Soianki: I beg to move:

Page 7, lines 2 and 3,-

Omit "friendly relations of India with any foreign country" (32)

Shri C. C. Demi: I beg to move:

Page 6, lines 7 and 8,---

Omit "friendly relations of India with any foreign country, or in the interests of the general public" (47)

Shri Dattatraya Kunte: I beg to move:

Page 6, line 39,-

Omit "on demand" (48)

Page 7, line 1,—

for "is of the opinion" substi-

"in its discretion comes to the conclusion" (49)

Shri C. C. Desai: I beg to move:

Page 7,---

Omit lines 5 to 11. (50)

Mr. Deputy-Speaker: All these amendments are now before the House.

Shri C. C. Desai: I want to omit the lines:

"friendly relations of India with any foreign country".

We have recently seen the subservience of this Government to the

Arabs. I feel a provision like this could be used to deny the issue passport for a country like Israel. They can say that if anyone goes to Israel, friendly countries will offended. Probably there are where people have applied for passport to Israel and have been refused merely on the ground that Mr. Nasser would be offended. This is not consistent with our self-respect. should not be guided in these matters by what the other countries may say or feel. Therefore, this particular clause ought to be deleted from the Bill.

6008

Shri Srinibas Misra: Sir, I have moved this amendment seeking to delete sub-clause (e) of sub-clause (3) for the same reasons for which I moved my amendment for deleting sub-clauses (e) and (f) in clause 5.

17.26 hrs.

[MR. SPEAKER in the Chair]

I am sorry, although I moved for omitting sub-clause (f) and (g) the significance of my arguments—were lost on the hon. Minister who did not even care to reply to my points and say these should not be omitted. Here also the reasons are the same, that if after a person gets a passport a false case is filed against him and he is summoned to the court whereby a case is pending against him, then the Government can withdraw—the passport, impound it and alter—the conditions. Therefore, this sub-clause also should be omitted.

Shri K, Narayana Rao: Sir, actually, there is common ground between clause 6 and clause 10 of this Bill. In both the clauses the grounds are mentioned for rejection of a passport, for rejection of endorsement of a passport, or impounding a passport etc. There my amendment sought to see that the existence of a criminal case pending against an applicant should not be the ground for rejection of the application at all. Simply because a case is pending, the appli-

should not be rejected. the ultimate analysis the applicant may be acquitted. Therefore, the proper course should be to keep the application pending during the pendency of the case. Similarly, simply because a summons has been issued against me to appear before a court, may be of a very innocuous should my application for a passport be rejected or the passport which has been issued to me be revoked or impounded? In all these situations the proper course would be to keep, one case, the application in suspension and, in the other, the use of the passport in suspension. That is why I have moved amendments to delete certain provisions and to substitute in their place a provision for suspension of the application and for suspension of the use of the passport till the disposal of the case.

Shri Dattatraya Kunte: Sir, I have moved two amendments. Similar amendments were moved to a previous clause and in reply to those amendments the hon. Minister of Defence was trying to defend the Government's position The attitude he took was that there was nothing wrong in the amendments moved by me. said, if the amendment was accepted the position would be more strict and stringent for the applicant and therefore he would not accept the amendment. I cannot understand the very intelligent argument of his. In opposing an amendment later on he was trying to tell the House that these officers will be very careful. He was giving his own experience, that had looked into the cases of passports being rejected and in many cases they were granted. But where guarantee that we will have officers like Shri Swaran Singh everywhere who will give those decisions? When they are talking of very intelligent officers, I am reminded of the mathematician Newton. What pened to him was, once one of maidservants came to him sawing that the milkman was asking for seven days' charges for the milk. He not know what was the amount

milk taken. He was told that it was one litre per day and the rate three pence per litre. So Newton started calculating, he went into logarithms and anti-logarithms and ultimately came to the decision that the amount was between 20 and 22. The maid-servan, wondered that great scientist, the great mathematician who was made a Member of the Royal Society by the King of land was not able to give the simple answer that 7 multiplied by 3 gave 21. That is what happens to people who are highly placed For instance, friend from Calcutta tells me: suppose a person receives a summons for having committed public nuisance in the streets, should the passport be rejected on that ground? Therefore, we must draft our legislation in such a manner that those persons who are left to administer the law do understand the meaning and sense of these words and that they do not act like Newton. Therefore, I am pressing my amendments.

Shri Surendra Pal Singh: I am not prepared to accept any of the amendments.

Mr. Speaker: I think I will put all the amendments together. I am putting amendment Nos. 7, 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, 47, 48, 49 and 50 to the vote of the House

Amendments Nos. 7, 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, and 47 to 50 were put and negatived.

Shri Srinibas Misra: When these amendments relate to different matters how can they be put together to the vote of the House in this way?

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That clause 10 stand part of the Bill"

The motion was adopted.

Clause 10 was added to the Bill.

Clause 11.- (Appeals)

Shri Dattatraya Kunte: I beg to move:

Page 7, lines 32 and 33 .--

for "and within such period as may be prescribed,"

substitute "within thirty days from the receipt of the orders" (51)

Shri M. R. Masani: I beg to move:

Page 7, line 27,-

after "section 5" insert-

"or section 6" (38)

Page 7 .-

omit lines 34 and 35. (34)

Page 8 .--

omit lines 13 and 14

Of these three amendments, one is a routine one. In fact, it is a mustake to which I want to draw the attention of the Minister. In the matter of appeals this clause refers to clauses 5 and 10. I may point out that clause 6 is also of a similar nature and if the Bill had been properly drafted it would have included clause 6 also as a section from which an appeal would lie. This is a constructive suggestion that I am offering to my hon. friend.

My two other amendments are on the fundamenta' question whether the denial of passport should or should not be made a justiciable matter. Since it is already 5.30 p.m. Sir, perhaps you would allow me to continue my observations tomorrow morning.

The Deputy Minister in the Department of Parliamentary Affairs (Shri Muthyal Rac): Sir, the time has already been extended by one hour by the Deputy-Speaker. It would not be possible for the Government to accept extension of time still further.

Shri M. R. Masani: I hope the Minister is not asking for its passage without a third reading. We want to

oppose the Bill during the third reading and we want to divide the House.

in Peking (C.A.)

JUNE 19, 1967 Slege of Indian Embassy Core

An hon, Member: We have to take up the China question now.

Shri M. R. Masani: This is a very important clause. Further, we want to oppose it during the third reading.

Mr. Speaker: Tomorrow wou'd you be able to finish it in one hour's time?

Shri M. R. Masani: I think one hour would be adequate tomorrow.

17.28 hrs.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MAT-TER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPOR-TANCE

SIEGE OF INDIAN EMBASSY IN PERINC AND SERIOUS DETERIORATION IN INDIA-CHINA RELATIONS

Mr. Speaker: We will now take up the Calling Attention Notice.

Shri Seshiyan (Kumbakonam): Is it a Calling Attention or a general discussion?

Mr. Speaker: Calling Attention Notice.

Shri Seshiyan: Sir, you said in the morning that the Minister will make a statement. So, all parties may be given opportunities.

Mr. Speaker: There are 50 names in the Calling Attention Notice.

How could I give opportunity to all of them?

Shri S. A. Dange (Bombay Central South): You may ask the spokesman of each party to make a statement. That would be easier.

की बच् कियारे (मुजेर) : सध्यक महोदय, में भवित्तम्बनीय लोक महत्व के निज्ञतिक्ति विकय की और वैदेखिक कार्य

मंत्री का ध्यान दलाता हूं धौर प्रार्थना करता हूं कि वह इस बारे में एक वक्तव्य दें :---

पेकिंग स्थित भारतीय दूताबास को चीनियों हारा बेरा जाना, चीनी चार्ज द अफेयर्स हारा वैदेशिक-कार्य मंत्रालय के विरोध पत लेने से बार कार मना करना, चायल चीनियों के ठहरने की अवधि बढ़ाया जाना, चीन हारा निकाले गए भारतीय राजन्यकों हारा हांगकांग में बुलाये गए सवाद- वाता सम्मेलन को रह किया जाना. नेपाल में हवाई अहु पर चीनियों हारा भारत विरोधी नारे लगाये जाना और हाल ही की घटनाओं के बाद भारत-चीन सम्बन्धों का गंभीर रूप से बिगड़ना।

The Minister of Defence Swaran Singh): Mr. Speaker, Sir, hon. Members would be interested in the latest developments about the situation of our Embassy in Peking. We have now, after considerable delay received full report from our d' Affaires. Members will recall that at 11.00 a.m. on 17th June, the Chinese Foreign Minrster suddenly demanded the evacuation of all members and their families residing parts of Peking in the Embassy compound. A period of two hours given for this operation but, by the time the Charge d' Affaires returned to the Embassy half hour had already passed. No cars which were already in the Embassy were permitted by the Chinese to be taken out of the Embassy compound to bring in the families. It was therefore necessary to bring families living in about 15 to 20 flats about 3 miles away from the residence in one or two vehicles belonging to the Embassy and a number of cars and vans lent to us by some friendly Missions. By the concerted efforts of the Embassy personnel and these friendly Missions our staff managed to evacuate every single family before the expiry of the time limit that is within 98 minusiae

Shri M. R. Masani (Rajkot): Who were the friendly missions?

Shri Swaran Singh: The total number of individuals in the Embassy today is 66 including 15 women and 23 children. One family left for India a day octore the siege pegan. Mr. Hau who accompanied bari Raghunath and Vijay to Hong Kong and the weekly courier who was in Hong Kong have been asked to stay back in becomes Kong, until the position clear. Hon'ble Memoers will that even before the confinement of the whole Embassy personnel in the building. demonstrations by Guards in front of the Embassy had already gone on for several Since the actual siege began dey before yesterday, Red Guards have prevented any access of vehicles to the Embassy by blocking up the street. The so-carled "masses" smashed every window and door of the Embassy building facing street, and the reception rooms which are adjacent to the street have been complete y wrecked. So far there has been no attempt at forcing entrance into the Embassy premises, Although a small back gate has been damaged. Our Embassy reports that hundreds of demonstrators stood on two sides of the Embassy compound and hurled stones and broken glasses mto the Embassy compound making it impossimovements within for Embassy between the two wings. No injury has been caused to anybody yet but since windows in the reception and dining rooms of the residence and other sections of the Chancery face the road, there is at every moment the likelihood of stones falling into the building itself with a possibility of hurt being caused to women and children. Precau ions are being taken against this eventuality. While all this was going on attempts made by the CDA to contact the Foreign Ministry were frustrated either by lines being cut off or by false excuses. Hon. Members should realise that the total area of the [Shri Swaran Singh]

Embassy compound is not more than 11 acres, divided into two buildings and a few out houses. Friendly Missions were prevented from bringing provisions until this morning, when some provisions were finally permitted by two members of the Chinese staff of the Embassy. Eversince the siege began there has been a campaign to terrorise the Embassy personnel. There have been continuous demonstrations, burning of effigies and dealening noises caused by at least 30 loud speakers blaring away slogans day and night into this small Embassy area. Apa:t from the elementary physical problems this makes normal lives impossible for Embassy personnel. Hon. members can visualise the psychological torture to which offices and staff in the Embassy India, Peking and their families are being subjected to.

To put it briefly, our Embassy is besieged and the personnel of Embassy are virtually held as prisoners. There have been similar sieges in Peking in the last one year in some other Embassies but what our peop'e are now enduring is much Worse mainly because our Embassy compound is comparatively small. Also. the only communications we are having with the outside world telephone and even in this case we are told that for full 8 hours on the day the siege began the Foreign Office refused to answer our telephone calls.

In spite of the almost unendurable strain that they are being subjected to, I am happy to announce that, in al' his messages, our CDA has conveyed to us the determination of our Embassy personnel to go through this ordeal without financing.

The Chinese CDA was summoned to the Ministry of External Affairs yesterday. A most emphatic protest was lodged regarding the totally unjustified and unwarranted siege of the Embasey premises.

The Embassy was informed that unless the restrictions on the movement of our officers and personnel were removed and povisions allowed to go through, within 24 hours, the Government of India would be obliged take app iste counter measures, for whic.. e Chinese Government will be obliged to bear so'e responsibility. The Embassy was also informed-that, as regards Mr. Chen Lu Chih and Mr. Hsien Cheng Hao the notes already communicated stand. However, on humanitarian grounds since it is alleged that they have received injuries, it is not being enforced immediately

Hon. Members must have seen the reports in the Press about the unseemly behaviour of the Chinese personne! at the Willingdon Hospital where the seven injured Chinese have been receiving treatment. Expert at ention has been given to these injured personnel, indeed Chinese Embassy Officials expressed gratification to our officers at the medical facilities attention given to them. The Chinese CDA during his conversation was also informed that if, as is alleged Mr. Chen Lu Chih has suffered injury he could also be sent to the Willingdon Hospital where he would receive the best treatment possible. Notwithstanding a'l the arrangements made yesterday the Chinese Embassy personnel tried to interfere in the administration of the hospital. A Doctor of the Pakistan High Commission was also the CDA as "a friend" brought by to see the Chinese patients. The hospital authorities informed the Chinese CDA that he was welcome to see his colleagues, but the hospital could not foreign doctors patients inside the Government hospi-'als. In the conversation with the Chinese CDA, it was also mentioned that, in Peking also, Embassy doctors are not allowed to treat patients inside Chinese government hospitale.

Subsequently, the Chinese Embessy personnel insisted on shouting slogans in Chinese and on having a portrait of Mao Tse Tung hung in the hospital where the patients were accommodated, obviously for propagandist purposes Naturally the hospital removed, obviously from propagandist puision and insisted that this kind of behaviour in a hospital could not be permitted Four of the patients were discharged by the Willingdon Hospital yesterday evening, the three others are improving steadily. There is no cause for anxiety but they are being kept under observation

Late last night our Charge Affaires in Peking was asked to go to the Chinese Foreign Office He agreed to go only after proper assurances had been given about his safe transit through the demonstrators The Foreign Office demanded the Government of India should permit the Chinese Government to send a civil aircraft to New Delhi to evacuate the Chinese Embassy personnel injured in the recent incident on June 1967 We have already issued instructions to our Charge d' Affaires inform the Chinese Government that unless and un il the present siege of the Embassy is lifted, the Government of India is not prepared to consider such a request

If the Chinese Government does not comply with the demands raised our note within the specified limit, appropriate counter will be taken

Shri Nath Pai (Rajapur) The time 13 over; the time has expired

Shri S. Kandappan (Mettur) The time limit expired at 3 P. M We should have

थं. मब लिमवे श्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रक्त है। मेरा जो ध्यान भाकर्षण का प्रस्ताव है उस में उस्लिखित कई मामलों का कोई जवाब नहीं दिया गया है जैसे भारतीय राजनायिको का प्रेस सम्मेलन, जिसको इन के आदेश के रह कर दिया गया, नेपास में बीनी दूतावास के लोग स्वागत करने झाथे भौर उस के बाद इन्होंने कोई विरोध पत नेपाल सरकार को दिया है, इन बीजों के बारे में कोई सफ़ाई नही है। इसी तरह से विलिंगडन अस्पताल में मासोरसेत् ग के विचारों को लटकाया गवा, इसका भी उल्लेख नहीं है। तीन बजे ये कार्यवाही करने बाले ये, भव पौने छ बज गये हैं, पौने तीन बन्टें गुजर गये हैं इन सब के बारे में सदन की जानकारी दें वर्ना यह मजाक हो जायेगा शासिर इस ध्यान शाक्षेण का क्या मतलब 2 7

Shri Swaran Singh: I am very sorry that the hon Member should describe such a serious si uation as 'Mazaak'

भी नषु लिसये मैंने भापके मुतास्मिक कहा है। आप मेरा ही मखील उड़ाने की कोशिश कर रहे हैं। भापने प्रस्ताव पढ़ा है। क्या बापने उस का जवाब दे दिया है ?

Shri Swaran Singh: I would respectfully urge

भी नव लिमये प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, इस तरह से तो नहीं बलेगा । मैंने भापसे पूछा है, व्यवस्था के प्रश्न का जवाब क्यो दे रहे हैं?

Mr. Speaker: Of course, the callattention was there I had requested the Minister to make a comprehensive statement because of not only the points raised here but we also wan'ed to know what had happened after 3 P.M That is why we postponed this till 5:30 P M. to enable the Minister to give some information whether the

6020

[Mr. Speaker]

siege had been lifted and if not, what was the position about it. If the hon. Minister has some information about it he can give.

I do not know whether all of you can put questions. (Interruptions) I have already told the Minister that whatever information he has, from 3 to 6 P. M., he can give.

Shri S A. Dange (Bombay Central) South): Before the Minister proceeds to answer Mr. Limaye's question....

भी मधु लिम्बे : उनको कहने दीजिये न, भेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है।

Shri S. A. Dange: ... would be also give us information as to whether a counter-request was sent by the Government of India that they would like to send an aircraft to evacuate their women and children who are besieged there and whether the Chinese Government would accept request and whether the Government of India has such an intention. Why can't we lock up the embassy there and evacuate our personnel instead of allowing them to be tortured like this? That is the point. Let Embassy here also be looked up and let them also go.

Mr. Speaker: This will come 'questions'. That is, of course, a pertinent point. We read in the Press today that they had asked for permisto send a plane to take sion . Embassy staff. Whether such a request has been made by us is the question. Of course, the main thing is whether, after 3 P. M., any other event has happened.

Shri S. A. Dange: Do they wish to send a ship?

Shri Swaran gingh: I would appeal to the hon, members that we should not treat it as a political issue; it is a national issue and we should all approach this problem not with a view to criticising the Government, but we should view it as a problem that we

have to tackle and tackle it consistent with our national honour and dignity. dignity. (Interruptions).

Shri Kanwar Lai Gupta: (Delhi Sadar): Let him tell us what he has done.

Shrj Bal Raj Madhok (South Delhi): When we had fixed that this would be taken up at 5.30 p.m. we did so in the morning on the understanding that he would have some information.

Mr. Speaker: I have myself anid that

भी मध् लिम्ये : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, ये .विदेश मंत्री भी नहीं हैं, विदेश मंत्री के लिये काम कर रहे हैं। प्रधान मंत्री यहां बैठी हैं, वह बोलें, वह चुप स्यों हैं। इन की बकरा बनाया जा रहा है ?

Mr. Speaker: Let us hear the hon. Minister now.

भी बहल बिहारी बाबपेजी (बसराम-पुर) : यह राष्ट्रीय प्रश्न है, इस यह जानना चाहते हैं कि क्या सरकार राष्ट्रीय नीति तय करने के लिये तैयार है ?

Mr. Speaker: I shall allow the hon. Member to put questions later.

भी घटल बिहारी बाजपेयी : प्रश्न तो एक ही है जो भापने पूछ दिया है। तीन बज गये, सरकार के श्रस्टीमेटम का समय निकल गया है . . .

Mr. Spenker: On behalf of all the Members, I have done that myself, But hon. Members are not allowing the hon. Minister to answer. Let us allow him to say.

Shri M, L Soudhi (New Delhi): We want action.

Shri Swaran Singh: We have not received information that the siege has been lifted, and we are proceeding on this basis; for further steps that we should take we are proceeding on this basis that the siege of our Embassy in Peking continues, and it is our intention to take a decision on our side, and we intend to deliver....

An hon Member: When?

Shri Swaran Singh: . . . a note to the Chinese representative here some time this evning.

Mr. Speaker: Now, we shall have to think about how we shall proceed with this. Many hon. Members want to ask some questions and elicit information, or ask for clarifications. Or they may also like to make some suggestions. There are 50 names on the list before me, and I cannot possibly call all of them.

Shri D. C. Sharms (Gurdaspur). We on this side of the House are also equally concerned.

Mr. Speaker: Apart from these 50 Members, there are others also. The House consists of five hundred odd Members. I know that. There are 50 Members who have given notice of this. But I shall not be in a position to call all of them. May I, therefore, call one from each party, and two or three from the Congress side also?

Shri Nath Pai: Why there or four from that side? None from that party should be called.

Mr. Speaker: It has been agreed to by all of us that 50:50 would be the sharing between the two sides.

Shri Nath Pai: All these difficulties have arisen because of their bungling

Mr. Speaker: This is a matter about which we are all agreed. Naturally, we are all worried about it. Therefore, may I request hon. Members to keep a little calm and speak one by one? After all, Government also are equally anxious to do something. But perhaps they would need time. (Interruptions).

Whatever that may be, let us not start talking simultaneously. It is no use ten Members getting up and speaking simultaneously. I would call one Member from each party, either the leader or anybody that the leader may suggest. Let us have some order in this.

Shri S. Kandappan: He should give sraight answers.

श्री सन्नु लिमये : घट्यक महोदय, पहले इन दो मुद्दों का जवाब दिलवाइये बाद में घापका सुझाव ठीक है। हम इसको मानेंगे।

Mr. Speaker: If Shri Madhu Limaye has not got an answer for any of his questions, he may say that.

भी: सब् लिमारे मेरे पहले मुझों का ही जवाब नहीं भाषा है, पहले उन का जवाब भा जाये।

Mr. Speaker: That is what I have said. If the hon. Minister can give any extra information, I shall be very happy. If not, the hon. Member can ask for it again. There is no difficulty about it.

श्री मधु निमये : मेरे पहले मुद्दों का जवाब दिलवाइये, उस के बाद सवाल पूछने का मौका ग्रायेगा ।

Mr. Speaker: Has the hon. Minister any other information to give?

Shri Swaran Singh: I have given whatever information was available.

बी क० ना० तिकारी (बेतिया) : प्रभी मधु लिमये साहब ने जो काल-एटेन्बन नोटिम पढ़ा है, उसमें जितने प्वाइन्टस हैं, उन का जवाब मिनिस्टर साहब ने नहीं दिया है। उसी तरह से दूसरे मेम्बर साहब ने जो प्वाइन्ट उठाया है, टाइम के बारे में, एरोप्बेल भेजने के बारे में, उन का भी जवाब नहीं दिया है। इस लिये फुलर स्टेटमेंन्ट बा जाब, नब सवाल पूछे जांग। 6023

JUNE 19, 1967

Mr. Speaker: The hon, Member is perfectly right . . .

Shri D. C. Sharma: We do not stand when you are on your legs, . . .

Mr. Speaker: I do not expect him to,

Shri D. C. Sharma: But the fact of the matter is that the hon. Minister of External Affairs has said nothing more than what we have already read in the papers this morning. What purpose is then served by this calling-attention notice? What is the use of raising this matter when we are going to get a rehash of the newspaper reports that we read this morning in The Hindustan Times, The Statesman, The Indian Express, The Times of India and all kinds of other papers?

Mr. Speaker: I entirely agree with the hon Members. But if the hon Minister says that that he has no additional information to give, I wonder what the Chair can do . . .

Shri Randhir Singh (Rohtak): Let him make the statement tomorrow.

र्थाः हुकम चन्द्र रुख्याय (उज्जैन) । प्रधान मंत्री मंत्री से जवाब दिलवाइये ।

Mr. Speaker: No please. After all. both the Foreign Minister and the Prime Minister are here. Whatever information is available, they will give If they are not able to give more information, the Chair cannot help.

श्री तथ तिसरो : घट्यक महोदय इन के दूत ये हांगकांग में, भीर वहां पर प्रेस सम्मेलन होने वाला या । वह इन के घादेश पर रह किया गया है । क्या इस के बारे में मंत्री महोदय जानकारी नहीं देंगे ?

इसी तरह नेपाल में चीनी दूतों के स्वागत के सिथे वहां के चीनी दूत पाये वे भीर उन्होंने जारत विरोधी नारे लगाये, क्या इस के बारे में भी कानकारी नहीं देंगे ? जो इस स्यान धाक्यंण का विषय है वह तो कम से कम उत्तर में बाना चाहिये। उस के बाद बाप का सुझाव हम ने मान लिया है कि हर एक दल में से एक सक्त्य सवाल पूछेगा।

Shri Swaran Singh: I have got this information about the press conference in Hongkong. It was cancelled because Mr. Raghunath was to report to our Government first. The press conference was held on Mr. Raghunath's arrival here yesterday.

Shri M. L. Sondhi: Was it on Chinese advice because it took away all the significance from it?

Shri Swaran Singh: I have said that I have no further information.

भी संबर लाल गुप्त : मेन स्वेश्यन का जवाब तो भाषा चाहिये। वह तो दिल-वाइये कि 3 बजे के बाद सरकार क्या करने शाली है। यह नहीं बतलाया जाता तब लाभ ती क्या है?

Shri S. S. Kothari (Mandsaur); The onl way-out is to sever diplomatic relations and close the chapter.

Shri N. Dandeker (Jamnagar); Mr Speaker, I really wish on a matter like this it was the Prime Minister who had dealt with this and who should have replied because this Minister, who has in fact only just seen this statement which he has read out has a habit of provoking the House into a situation to which he himself then refers as undignified. I really think this is a very difficult question and it is a matter which we ought to approach quit? dispassionately.

To begin with, I think I am right in saying that our Embassy Staff in Peking, both those who have come back as well as those who have remained there, have suffered great humiliation. We have followed these terrible happenings not only with great anxiety and sympathy but also with a great sense of humiliation in this country. It everybody here feels strongly about this, it is because of

in this country and tell the Chinese, "we will not have anything more to do with you"? and will they stop all this business of keeping windows open with China so that they can throw no more dirt at us?

the humilia ing and servile position we have been accepting vis-a-vis China in the last five years. Everybody without exception was of the opinion that after the Colombo discussins failed, at least then we should have severed diplomatic connections, or we should have done so anyway when in Peking and all over China there began this stupendous, organised mob terrorism, or at least lately when other foreign missions have been so terrib'y badly treafed—even our friend's the Russians' and various other missions. But we just seem to go on taking this lying down, even kicked about, humiliated and so on.

One other question is this. The Minister mentioned that in our plight other missions were helpful, friendly. Is there any reason why he does not mention their names? Could we have the names of such people on the spot who helped us, to whom we should at least acknowledge thanks for the assistance they have given? It seems to be a particular puerile kind of attitude to take, to be kicked around ourselves and not have the decency to mention the names of people who have helped us, as to which, if the Minister will not do it, at least some of us here would like publicly to thank them for the assistance they have rendered.

This is really the crux of the whole thing, that the Government do not seem capable of taking any dignified attitude, any dignified action. minimum action which seems to have been called for at the present juncture should have been the severance of diplomatic relations.

Mr. Speaker: Shri Madhok.

Today, the governments ultimatum, if I might so call it, expired at 3 P.M. They are now going to sit and think of what they are going to do. Good heavens! Sir, I thought even when they issued this ultimatum, their mind would have been clear as to what they were going to do when it expired.

Shri Nath Pai: This will not do please, I submit if we want to get a worthwhile reply. This blanket reply does not help, we have never benefited. Let every question be separately replied to.

Sir, if I am guilty of taking this to the level of passion, I am sorry; but these things provoke one. And when one adds the pontification by the Minister, it really makes matters worse because, right throughout the country, there is a sense of humiliation and indignation. There has been a sense of humilaition right since the India-China war; there has been humiliation at the way they have been treating our notes and protests and the massive correspondence that has been going on to no purpose.

Mr. Speaker: After all, it was only an opinion expressed.

The Minister says other missions have also been treated badly. everybody ought to have known what we were in for. Therefore the first question that really does arise is; is the Government ever going to assume a. dignified stance, a posture of dignity

Shi M. Dandeker: There were two questions.

Mr. Speaker: The question is whother we are going to break our relations with them. The same or similar questions may be asked by other members also. It is already five minutes to six. If ten or twelve people are to ask similar questions, I do not mind, let us see if repetition will not there.

Shri Swaran Singh: I do share the indignation of our people at the attitude and behaviour, uncivilised behaviour, of the Chinese towards the members of our mission, and, as I have already indicated, at 6 p. m., within a few minutes from now, it is [Shri Swaran Singh]

6027

our intention, taking everything into consideration, to impose restrictions on the members of the Chiese staff in Delhi, and they will be on a recipro-The type of restrictions that are imposed on the members of our staff there in China, similar restrictions are intended to be imposed on their staff in this country.

The severance of diplomatic relations is a bigger issue, upon which we should take a decision not when we are faced, with this immediate problem. We should try to settle this first, and we should not link the question of severance of diplomatic relations with this. That is a bigger issue, about which the Government position has been clarified before, and there is no alteration in Government's policy in regard to the continuance of diplomatic relations.

Shri N. Dandeker; I wanted the names of the missions. I would like to thank those friendly countries. Which are those countries? Why is he suppressing the information?

Shri Swaran Singh: I am not supp ressing anything. At the present moment I have not got the names of those countries. I will communicate them as soon as I get the names,

Shri Dandeker: Do I und rstand that the Minister does not know who were friendly to us?

Mr. Speaker: All countries are friendly. He should get information.

Shri S. Kandappan: On a point order. We are discussing such an important issue.

Shr! N. Dandeker: It is extraordi nary that the Minister should not know the names of the friendly missions who helped us. I would like to say from here, many members would like to express, our thanks to these missions.

Mr. Speaker: We have so many friendly nations. It is a question of the friendly nations who helped us there.

18 hrs.

Shri S. Kandappan; On a point of order. We are discussing such a vital issue and the Minister says that he has no information. In an yesterday's English daily from Madras, I have seen the names of those countries' ambassies that had helped us. Why could not the Government get that information?

Mr. Speaker: It is a question. What is the point order.

भी मधु लिमये : यह सब क्या चल रहा ह ? पहले से इनफोर्मेशन हासिल करके क्यों नहीं घाते है ?

की बंबर लाम गया : कम धवारों में पढ़ कर नाम बतायेंगे ?

Shri Swaran Singh: I would appeal to the hon. Members that they should be a little more indulgent to me because I depend upon the information that I received from the E. A. Ministry. After all, it is my intention to give all the information that I have. I have just received information that the embassies of Bri ain, France, Yugoslavia, Socialist countries . . . (Interruptions) Indonesia and the Scandinavian countries helped our mission ... (Interruptions.) I would like to assure the hon. Members that it is not my intention to suppress anything; after all I do not get anything by suppressing any information. I will pass on oll the information that I get from the Ministry. If on any specific issue, they want information, I will try my best to collect it.

Shri Hem Barne (Mangaldai): This morning, you directed the hon. Minister to make a comprehensive statement. The names of these friendly nations are already in the Press; we have read about them. Whon this question was put specifically so many Ministers run to the official gallery. and the official gallery have given him a list and that list also is not complete. That is the trouble.

Shri Bel Raj Madhek: The hon. Minister has just now said that they

are going to take reciprocal action against the Chinese in the Chinese Embassy here. This has been the practice; we have been behaving as reactionaries. The Chinese take some action and we react to that. What the country needs today is a positive approach....

Shri Hem Barua: Is it not a new meaning, Sir?

Shri Bal Raj Madhek: The hon. Minister says that we should be indulgent to him and that it is a national question. I agree with him that it is so and we should have a national policy towards China. people of India want a positive policy of which there should be at icasi four planks: first, break of diplomatic relations with China; second, the issue of Tibet and repudiate the treaty of 1954; third; withdraw support to Chinese entry into the UN, and fourth, establish friendly relations with those countries which are interested in containing China and which are threatened by China. These are the four planks of worthwhile, national, positive policy towards China. Government talked of national danger and I agree with it. Is the Government prepared for sitting down with the other national parties of the country and evolve a national policy on these lines of which the first plank is the immediate break-off of diplomatic relations with China? That is my specific question.

Shri Swaran Singh: The specific reply is that at this stage, this question of severance of diplomatic relations is not being considered

Shri Seshiyan (Kumbakonam): By all canras of civilised behaviour and international diplomacy, the recent occurrence and the obnoxious behaviour of the Chinese should have made our Government long ago chalk out some plans. Just now the Minister says that some other embassies in Peking have been subjected to the same kind of treatment of demonstration in front, etc. I went to know in

such background what did the Government do all along to prepare our own embassy staff and to bring all the families of our embassy together? Why have the Government failed in this primary duty of giving protection to them and maintain the dignity of our embassy in Peking?

Shri Swaran Singh: Sir, let us not forget that the primary duty of affording protection to the members of any foreign mission is that of the host government. It is our responsibility here in Delhi to provide protection to members of the diplomatic corps of other countries. (Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: Please hear him.

Shri M. L. Sondhi: It is an irrelevant reply.

Shri Swaran Singh: I wish relevance were the virtue that should be followed by the other side! It is extremely relevant. This is the responsibility that is accepted in international practice, that the host country is to provide protection to the members of a foreign mussion, (Interruption). It is true that when a special situation arises or there is a special danger, then we have specifically to take up wi h the government of the country to which our diplomats are accredited, to take special measures. In this particular case, our members of the mission were staying in different flats, and there was no lack of security as such. When they were living in those various flats, on this occasion, as I have said, the Chinese authorities asked the members to move into the embassy building, and in accordance with that, we moved them quickly into this building, because this was what was required by-Interruption)-Now,, this was an unjust and uncalled for requirement by them; they should have afforded protection to our members of the staff even in the flats they were residing. They failed in their duty and they illegally asked our people in an unjustified manner, the members of our staff and others-to move into the emberry building.

603 I

Shri S. A. Dange: I am not raising questions of long-term policy just now. what is at stake is the safety of the women and children besieged in the Indian embassy, and the safety of the staff there. The Chinese have asked that they want to send an aeroplane to evacuate their personnel. Is the Government prepared to send an aircraft on our part to evacuate our personnel which is already besieged? The Minister speaks of reciprocal behaviour. The Chinese leaders, whatever their diplomatic notions may be, in the present circums ances they are shown to be highly cultured gangsters and it is such a relationship that is established by those gentlemen with our people in Peking. I hope the Minister is not calling for reciprocal barbarism on our part. Please do not do that. But then I would request that we do send our aircraft and do not go in search of a ship to go to Hong Kong and evacuate them, evacuate our women and children. I want to know whether the Government is prepared to do that.

The Prime Minister and Minister of Atomic Energy (Shrimati Indira Gandhi): We do intend to send a plane, and we do not intend to allow their plane to come till they have given permission for our plane to come and for our people to be brought in safety along with their luggage.

Shri D. C. Sharma: The hon. Minister said very wisely that we should not make it a party issue,-I agree with him,-and that we should discuss it on the international plane. I want to ask him, how are you going to discuss it on the international plane, when China is not a member of the UNO, when China is not friendly to most of the nations of this world, when China is living an isolated, alienated existence, and when China has been known throughout history as a great inventor of torture? I think anybody who has read the history of China will agree with me that China has been known as the great inventor of tortune. When China has inflicted upon our diplomatic corps, not only

physical torture, not only nervous torture, not only mental torture, but also spiritual and psychological torture, when China has done all that, I want to ask the hop. Minister—

Shri Hom Barua: What is spiritual torture?

Shri D. C. Sharma: You cannot understand it. (Interruptions). Sir, may I know in what way he is going to solve this problem of evacuation of those persons from there on an international plane?

Mr. Speaker: The Prime Minister has answered just now that an aero-plane will be sent.

Shri D. C. Sharma: Did he not know that the Chinese diplomats here evacuated their families long ago? Did he not see the writing on the wall, when they had evacuated their families, that something was brewing there and something was going to happen? Why is it that he was in the dark about all these things?

Shri Swaran Singh: I have nothing to add to what the Prime Minis er has said about the evacuation plan.

Shri D. C. Sharma: How is he going to make this issue an international issue?

Mr. Speaker: Dr. Lohia.

डा० राम मने हर लोहिया (काम. अ). धन्यस महोदय, धाज में कुछ जिन्दा से बोल रहा हूं, क्योंकि चीन ने धपना सदजन बम फोड़ लिया है और धनर वह चाबे को वह एक बम से ही हमारी राजकानी और उस के सभी निवासियों को विश्वास अस्य कर सकता है।

भी बी० च० सर्वाः कर दे हुम को । इस से मण्डा है।

in Peking (C.A.)

डा० राज मनोहर लोहिया : धगर उधर, इखर और भपने देश में इतनी हिम्मंत होती, तो किसी भी बात की चिन्ता नहीं होती भीर कभी का चीन से सम्बन्ध तोड़ना तो दूर रहा, भव तक हम लोग न जाने कितने भागे बढ़ गए होते ।

मुझे हैरत होती है अब मैं प्रपने देश कै किसी मंत्री को यह कहते हुए सुनता हूं कि न सिर्फ हिन्दुस्तान के कूटर्न तिज्ञों के साथ बस्कि इंग्लिस्तान और रूस के कुटनीतिओं के साथ भी वही बर्ताव, या उस के जैसा बर्ताव हुधा है । भगर इंग्लिस्तान के डोबर की पहा-ड़ियों का एक छोटा सा हिस्सा भी चीन ने ले लिया होता तो भव तक क्या हो गया होता ? धगर कत के साइबेरिया के मैदान का एक छोटा सा भी हिस्सा चीन ने ले लिया होता तो बया हो गया होता ? लेकिन फिर भी जब इस देश का एक मंत्री च हे वह विदेश मंत्री हो या प्रधान मंत्री ऐसी बातें बोलता हैं ---भाप यह न समझें कि मैं बिना सोचे बोल रहा हुं--- तो मुझे यह कहना पड़ता है कि इस देश की सरकार को भपनी जमीन के बारे में किसी तरह की लज्जा नहीं रह गई है। न जाने हम किस भविष्य में जा रहे हैं ?

Mr. Speaker: That is not the question. We are not discussing that now.

बा॰ राम धमे हर सोहिया : धाज वह समय था गया है, जब कि इन सब बातों पर गम्भीरता के साथ विचार किया आये, वर्ना नवीका क्या होगा ? सभी इस सदन को यह महीं बताया गया है कि चीन ने खुद एक नोट सरकार को भेजा है कि चीन के दूतावास के कपर को हमला हुया था, जस्दी से नस्दी बच का संदोषसमक उत्तर विया जाये, वर्ना चीय कार्यवाही करेगा । इस संसव को प्रभी यह सकर नहीं की वर्ष है। मैं वह सकर दे रहा हूं कि चीव उस्टे सरकार को एक गोट भेज कुका है। इस प्रकार के बॉट परस्पर माते वाते रहेंथे । कड़ीका वह होवा कि चीन कोई

कार्यवाही करेगा, तब यह सरकार उल्टी कार्यवाही करेगी । जब चीन इस देश से अपने राजनियक सम्बन्ध तोड़ेगा, तभी यह सर-कार भी चीन से भ्रपने राजनयिक सम्बन्ध तो हेगी । माखिर ये सब बातें एक दूसरे के साथ जुड़ी हुई हैं।

भाप जानते हैं कि जब इस सरकार ने संयुक्त राष्ट्र में **वीन** के प्रवेक्त के सम्बन्ध में कार्यवाही की---1962 के बाद कार्यवाही की । प्रपनी जमीन छिनने के बाद कार्यवाही की ! मैंने इसी लोक लभा में इनकी उपमा उतलडके से दी थी जो भपनी मांकी शादी असके बलात्कारी के अप करा देना चाहता है। इत तरह की सरकार को लेकर <mark>धाप</mark> कहां तक जा सकेंगे ? **यब समय धा गया** है जब इन सब बातों पर गम्भीरता के साथ विचार कर के एक **मगादार फ़ैसला** किया जाये।

सवाल करना फ़िजूल है लेकिन मेरा पहला सवाल तो यह है कि क्या कही इंग्लिस्तान की डोवर की पहाड़ियों को या रूस के साइ-बेरिया के मैदान को चीन ने हमला कर के भपने कब्बे में लिया है कि जिसके कारच वे मंत्री हमारी भवस्या की उन की भवस्या से तुलना कर दिया करते हैं।

दूसरा सवान यह है कि क्या सरकार की कार्यवाहियां हमेशा खबाबी रहेंगी: अब हमारे दूतावास के लोग निका<mark>ले आर्येंगे,</mark> जब चीन राजनियक सम्बन्ध तोड़ेगा तथ यह सरकार तोड़ेगी। जब चीन फिर से इस देश पर हमला कर देगा और एक-तिहाई हिन्दुस्ताम सत्म हो जायेगा स्या तद सह सरकार बेतेगी? धव वह समय धा गवा है जब धमरीका या रूस या कोई भी देश हमारी मदद करने के लिए धाने वासा नहीं ै जब तक कि एक -तिहाई हिन्दुस्तान चीन 🗣 करने में नहीं पता जाता है। यह बाद बादक

6056

[डा ० राम मनीहर सोहिया]

इसराईल युद्ध ने बिल्कुल साबित कर वी है। तो क्या यह सरकार इन छोटी-मोटी बातों के झगड़े में फंसी रहेगी कि जो चीन करेगा, उसी का जबाब दे देंगे. वह बप्पड मारेगा, तो ये भी यप्पड़ मार देंगे, वह गाली देगा, तो ये भी गाली देंगे भगर वह किसी तरह से श्रेम करेगा, तो ये भी कर देंगे ? क्या यह सरकार उसके अलावा कभी कुछ करेगी ? धव गम्भीरता के साथ हमें कुछ करना चाहिए। हमें बप्पड़ नहीं मारना है, गाली नहीं देनी है. हमें शान्ति के साथ रहना है। हमने उनके साब सम्बन्ध तोड़ डालना है, लेकिन धगर युद्ध करना पढ़ता है, तो फिर ऐसा बंकस्य बना कर युद्ध करना है कि चाहे हम क्रम हो जायें, लेकिन भपनी अमीन के एक एक इंच को बचा कर रखेंगे।

माज कोई ऐसा-बैसा मौका नही है। नेकिन जिस तरह धाप इस सवाल को छेड रहे हैं भीर जिस तरह मंत्री महोदय ने जवाब देना मुरू किया है, उस से ऐसा मालूम होता है, वैसे बच्चों की कोई गोली या गुल्ली-इच्छे की लड़ाई हो रही हो । वह यह लडाई नहीं है। मैं चाहता हूं कि चीनी राजनियको के ज़िलाफ़ बरा भी कोई कार्यवाही न हो। इस बात की कोई बरूरत नहीं है। हमें ज्ञान्ति भौर गम्भीरता के साथ अपने कदम तय कर लेने चाहिए कि अब चीन से हमारा कोई सम्बन्ध नहीं है । उसके बाद हम शान्ति से रहें। धमर हम भाज वाले रिक्ते को ही मगातार भीर पांच इस बरस चलाते रहें, तो अच्छा है ! यदि युद्ध होता है. तो उसका सामना भी संकल्प के साथ करें. बाहे उसमें हमें कितनी भी तकलीफ़ें उठानी वर्डे ।

Mr. Speaker: After all, hon Members can only ask questions. Dr. Lohia has raised a big policy matter. I do not know if the hon. Minister is prepared to snewer it now. If he is pre-

pared to answer it I will be 「東田門 happy.

डा० राम बनोहर लोहिया : मैंने एक सवाल तो डोबर और साइबेरिया के बारे में किया है।

Mr. Speaker: If he is prepared to answer I have no objection. After all, even while putting a question, as somebody said, there is no use using a language which will be rather wounding the feelings of the other side. If the Minister can answer some of the points raised by the hon. Member, he may do so.

Shri Swaran Singh: Sir, you rightly pointed out that it is a bigger question of policy. According to Dr. Lohia a certain policy should be adopted by Government. He has reasons, which according to him are weighty reasons, why that particular policy should be adopted. I think that in a Calling Attention Notice, at this stage, when perhaps we elicit information or give information, these questions as to what should be our policy or whether any alteration in our policy is required, these are bigger considerations which can be discussed in the course of the debate when Demands for Grants of the Ministry of External Affairs are taken up. Perhaps on a Calling Attention Notice I cannot do justice to the bigger issues really in short replies. No question of fact has been elicited. He has put forward only certain views that should be adopted.

Mr. Speaker: I thought some discussion would have been necessary.

भी राम लेवक बादवः सम्यक्त महोदय, न्यवस्था का प्रश्न है । प्रश्न पूछने का धर्व होता है कि उत्तर मिने और अगर प्रश्न का उत्तर न भाये सीक्षा हो फिर इस समय घापकी सहायता की बावक्यकता मानूम पड़ती है। प्रश्न तो बीबा सा वा कि क्या चीन की जब किया होगी तब हुगारी कोई क्स के अगर (व्यवदाय)

Mr. Speaker: He is repeating the question in the form of a point of order.

5037

श्री राम सेक्क यावन (बाराबंकी) : दूसरा प्रथम था कि क्या ऐसी कोई मिसाम कतायेंने कि डावर और साइबेरिया का कोई हिस्सा चीनियों के पास गया हो और उसके बाद भी उन्होंने सम्बध कनाये रखा हो ?

Mr. Speaker: Will you kindly sit down?

भी मण्ड लिमये : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, एक ही मेरा निवेदन है । पिछले बार मैंने यह सवाल उठाया था । यह बार बार प्रस्थ देशों से तुलना करके इसकी गम्भीरता को कम कर रहे हैं । इसलिए यह मंत्री महोदय इस प्रश्न का उत्तर वें कि कोई भी दूतावास या देश है कि जिसकी जमीन छीन ली गई हो या जिसके उत्तर प्राक्रमण हुआ हो ? इसका तों जबाब नहीं दे रहे हैं तो फिर ऐसी बाहियात तुलना करने से क्या फायदा है ?

Mr. Speaker: He has said that.

Shri Umanath (Pudukkottai): Sir, I express our grave concern at the siege of our Embassy premises, of our diplomatic personnel, of our women and children in Peking. I submit that this siege is unjustifiable and unwarranted.

Shri Frank Anthony (Nominated Anglo-Indians): Very mild language.

Shri Umanath: If the Chinese Government had thought that there was anything wrong on our part, it was up to the Chinese Government to have taken up the issue at the diplomatic level. Further, what wrong has been committed by our innocent women and children and our diplomatic personnel there? These are all matters to be taken up at the diplomatic level instead of resorting to siege and similar things.

In this connection, I would like to submit that what happened two or three days ago in the Chinese Embassy premises in India, the assault of the Chinese and the pulling down of the Chinese flag in our capital here made our position morally weefl....(Interruptions).

Shri Randhir Singh: They slapped our police and you are justifying it.

Shri Umanath: The other day, when Shri Chagla referred to the question of their entering the premises, he said-these are his words-fortunately they did not enter the premises. This should not be tolerated at all. It is unfortunate that such a thing should have happened here. Anyway, I am glad that the Prime Minister has announced that the Government proposes to send an aeroplane to Peking on a reciprocal basis to evacuate our families Apart from this thing, apart from the measures and countermeasures, in view of the anti-climax, I would like to know from the Government whether any efforts are contemplated through such embassies which are friendly with both countries to bring pressure on the Chinese Government to resolve this particular incident that has just now arisen?

Shri Swaran Singh: It is not necessary to invoke the help of any other Mission for resolving this issue. We are directly talking to the Chinese through their Mission here and through our charge d'affaires in Peking.

Shri R. K. Sinha (Faizabad): The question of China, as it has arisen in view of the provocations, is a political question, and it is time that we assess our position politically because in international politics we should not permit China to be the first to initiate certain measures and we are to reply to it. China is trying to test our policy of non-alignment, our policy of progressive independence, our capacity to decide issues on merits. Sir, I wish to tell Dr. Lohia and some friends on the other side....(Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: I thought he was asking a question... (Interruptions).

Shri M. L. Sondhi: Do not moralise...
(Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Ask the Minister whatever you want.... (Interruption).

Shri R. K. Sinha: I wanted to join with Dr. Lohia in saying that every son and daughter of India will defend the sovereignty of the country. People on this side of the House are not in disagreement with the sentiment but before I say something people on the other side start howling. They are not prepared to hear one Member of the Congress Party....(Interruption).

I want to say that the Chinese Government is behaving so dictatorially because it has been provoked by the fact that we successfully defended the Arab cause in West Asia. They need this opportunity because they wanted to prove to the people in Asia and Africa that they can challenge the Government of India any time they choose. That is why I want to point out to the Minister that a policy of national defence, of national sovereignty and of standing up to all the threa's of China is the only way to deter the Chinese. I want to ask the Mirrister whether China today is not isolated from the other socialist countries, whether the Chinese who give serious warnings every time to America and Taiwan are not tin soldiers and whether the Chinese who are not able to defend their allies in North Vietnam-whether these very Chinese can hope to challenge our policy of non-alignment and test it. I want to tell the Minister that if he wants to contain China, with proper pursuit of our policy of non-alignment and peaceful co-existence we should try to show the Chinese that we are prepared to pay tit for tat. We should also tell the Chinese that we shall cut down our staff in Peking and, if necessary, break diplomatic relations.

Shri Swaran Singh: I am in agreement with most of what 'the hon. Member has said. About the operative part, we are already conscious of the fact that India faces a constant threat fron: China. It is for this reason that we are always conscious of strengthening our defences to meet any challenge to our sovereignty and our integrity. In that I am glad that there is unanimity amongst our people and determination to defend our country, our honour and our dignity.

बा॰ राम मने हर ले ह्या : क्या बाहि-यात बानें करतें रहते हो ? इन बातों को सुन कर के तबियत झत्ला उठती है। कहां एका है ? क्या एका है ?

Shri Swaran Singh: I was in fact re-echoing the sentiments some of which were expressed by Dr. Lohia and I do not know why he should feel angry over this. We have got the national policy of defence and we are pursuing that policy.

Shri Nath Pal: Mr. Speaker, I would beg that we keep a sense of proportion. There is a danger that we shall lose a long perspective by allowing ourselves to be swept off our feet by the temporary posture of heroics. What the Government is now trying to do is to try a posture of heroics.

I fully endorse what Shri Dange said and I was glad that even the Swatantra did that. We cannot meet the Chinese challenge by this kind of an improvised, ad hor policy, hand-tomouth and day-to-day policy. All the difficulties are arising because of the failure of this Government to evolve a long-term strategy and then fitting daily tactics into that. The Chinese have a long-term strategy towards this country. They know that this democratic country constitutes the biggest challenge and danger to China. Therefore they want to go systematically exploiting every opportunity to diminish the influence of India, to make India the laughing stock of Asia, to make India a non-entity and to make India a laughing stock of the world. Systematically they are proceeding towards achieving this objective. But

this Government has no policy towards China. It never had it. It never understood modern China.

I will like to point out to you here what Izvestic is talking about China. In the latest article, the Izvestiaare Communist allies they belonging to the brothers same family-reports that the Peking cowards dare not help the people who are trying to raise their banner for freedom in Hong Kong. I would like to ask the Minister why these Chinese who never miss an opportunity to whip an insult, a humiliation, on India, who will talk in the loudest terms so far as India is concerned. will be extremely cautious; whenever it comes to confronting a major power, be it the Soviet Union or be it the U.S.A. Take the question of Qumoy, Matsu or Hong Kong; take the question of Formosa or Taiwan. Chinese claim it, but they will never dare to raise their little finger to exercise their authority. Then, take the case of supporting the people of Vietnam. They accuse others of betraying but they themselves will never do anything. But why they do it in case of India is because they have found a measure of this weak-kneed leadership.

Today we are going to satisfy ourselves by doing this and that and by giving an ultimatum. I am not going to be satisfied with this. This country is not going to be satisfied with this. We want to know this from Mr. Swaran Singh and, if possible, from the Prime Minister; are we going to go on living with China on a day-today basis or are we going to evolve a national policy? He began by asking for the support of the national policy. If the policy is to be what has always been, that is, the total absence of policy, always reacting to the Chinese initiative, if the policy is to be of appeasement and of kotowing the Chinese, we are not going to support him. Do we understand this perverse, sadistic, behavoiur of the Chinese in isolation or is it part of a bigger scheme? This is immediately

followed by the explosion of a hydrogen bomb. I must say, I would not like that we pay in small matters tit for tat but I would like that on major issues we confront China. It is no use burning Chinese effigies in Connaught Place.

May I ask him: Is he even now prepared to sit down with others and try to evolve a national policy, policy of firmness, a policy of selfrespect, a policy of self-interest, a policy of liberating the territory that the Chinese have occupied? If you have such a policy, the nation is with you. The nation is not with you in the policy of kotowing Chinese. He said that we have only 11 acres in Peking. Does he know that we have given them 15 acres here? This illustrates how we are surrendering everything even in the matter of establishing an Embassy The Chinese gave us 11 acres and we are giving them 15 acres in the Diplomatic Enclave. Are we today at least aware of the danger of China, of the possibility that this may be a prelude to something bigger, of exploding the hydrogen bomb, of the mischief of Peking and of the statement from Pindi? Are we aware of all this? Are we trying to meet them in a grand manner as they are trying to evolve it?

Shri Swaran Singh: On this bigger issue, we have been following fully the postures of China in the international sphere and the way they have functioned in Asia and Africa. I think, it will not be fair on the part of the critics of the Government's policy to say that we have ignored China's postures In fact, there been confrontation with China the diploma ic front in Jakarta, Algiers, in the Afro-Asian world, and we are fully aware of the Chinese designs in the Afro-Asian world and what their postures are.

On this question of Sino-Pakistan collusion also, it will not be fair for the critics to say that we are not conscious of this danger. In fact, both the External Affairs Minister and mag-

Mr. Speaker: I thought he was asking a question... (Interruptions).

Shri M. L. Sondhi: Do not moralise... (Interruption).

Mr. Speaker: Ask the Minister whatever you want.... (Interruption).

Shri R. K. Sinha: I wanted to join with Dr. Lohia in saying that every son and daughter of India will defend the sovereignty of the country. People on this side of the House are not in disagreement with the sentiment but before I say something people on the other side start howling. They are not prepared to hear one Member of the Congress Party....(Interruption).

I want to say that the Chinese Government is behaving so dictatorially because it has been provoked by the fact that we successfully defended the Arab cause in West Asia. They need this opportunity because they wanted to prove to the people in Asia and Africa that they can challenge the Government of India any time they choose. That is why I want to point out to the Minister that a policy of national defence, of national sovereignty and of standing up to all the threa's of China is the only way to deter the Chinese. I want to ask the Minister whether China today is not isolated from the other socialist countries, whether the Chinese who give serious warnings every time America and Taiwan are not tin soldiers and whether the Chinese who are not able to defend their allies in North Vietnam-whether these very Chinese can hope to challenge our policy of non-alignment and test it. I want to tell the Minister that if he wants to contain China, with proper pursuit of our policy of non-alignment and peaceful co-existence we should try to show the Chinese that we are prepared to pay tit for tat. We should also tell the Chinese that we shall cut down our staff in Peking and, if necessary, break diplomatic relations.

Shri Swaran Singh: I am in agreement with most of what the hon. Member has said. About the operative part, we are already conscious of the fact that India faces a constant threat fron: China. It is for this reason that we are always conscious of strengthening our defences to meet any challenge to our sovereignty and our integrity. In that I am glad that there is unanimity amongst our people and determination to defend our country, our honour and our dignity.

डा॰ राम मनीहर लीहवा : क्या बाहि-यात बार्ने करते रते हो ? इन बातों को सुन कर के तबियत झत्ला उठती है। कहां एका है ? क्या एका है ?

Shri Swaran Singh: I was in fact re-echoing the sentiments some of which were expressed by Dr. Lohia and I do not know why he should feel angry over this. We have got the national policy of defence and we are pursuing that policy.

Shri Nath Pai: Mr. Speaker, I would beg that we keep a sense of proportion. There is a danger that we shall lose a long perspective by allowing ourselves to be swept off our feet by the temporary posture of heroics. What the Government is now trying to do is to try a posture of heroics.

I fully endorse what Shri Dange said and I was glad that even the Swatantra did that. We cannot meet the Chinese challenge by this kind of an improvised, ad hoc policy, hand-tomouth and day-to-day policy. All the difficulties are arising because of the failure of this Government to evolve a long-term strategy and then fitting daily tactics into that. The Chinese have a long-term strategy towards this country. They know that this democratic country constitutes the biggest challenge and danger to China. Therefore they want to go systematically exploiting every opportunity to diminish the influence of India, to make India the laughing stock of Asia, 10 make India a non-entity and to make India a laughing stock of the world. Systematically they are proceeding towards achieving this objective. But

this Government has no policy towards China. It never had it. It never understood modern China.

I will like to point out to you here what Izvestia is talking about China. In the latest article, the Izvestiathey are Communist allies brothers belonging to the same family—reports that the cowards dare not help the people who are trying to raise their banner for freedom in Hong Kong. I would like to ask the Minister why these Chinese who never miss an opportunity to whip an insult, a humiliation, on India, who will talk in the loudest terms so far as India is concerned, will be extremely cautious; whenever it comes to confronting a major power, be it the Soviet Union or be it the U.S.A. Take the question of Qumoy, Matsu or Hong Kong; take the question of Formosa or Taiwan. The Chinese claim it, but they will never dare to raise their little finger to exercise their authority. Then, take the case of supporting the people of accuse others of Vietnam. They betraying but they themselves will never do anything. But why they do it in case of India is because they have found a measure of this weak-kneed leadership.

Today we are going to satisfy ourselves by doing this and that and by giving an ultimatum. I am not going to be satisfied with this. This country is not going to be satisfied with this, We want to know this from Mr. Swaran Singh and, if possible, from the Prime Minister; are we going to go on living with China on a day-today basis or are we going to evolve a national policy? He began by asking for the support of the national policy. If the policy is to be what has always been, that is, the total absence of policy, always reacting to the Chinese initiative, if the policy is to be of appeasement and of kotowing the Chinese, we are not going to support him. Do we understand this perverse, sadistic, behavoiur of the Chinese in isolation or is it part of a bigger scheme? This is immediately

followed by the explosion of a hydrogen bomb. I must say, I would not like that we pay in small matters tit for tat but I would like that on major issues we confront China. It is no use burning Chinese effigies in Connaught Place.

May I ask him: Is he even now prepared to sit down with others and try to evolve a national policy, a policy of firmness, a policy of selfrespect, a policy of self-interest, a policy of liberating the territory that the Chinese have occupied? If you have such a policy, the nation is with The nation is not with you in the policy of kotowing Chinese. said that we have only li acres in Peking Does he know that we have given them 15 acres here? This illustrates how we are surrendering everything even in the matter of establishing an Embassy. The Chinese gave us 1½ acres and we are giving them 15 acres in the Diplomatic Enclave. Are we to lay at least aware of the danger of China, of the possibility that this may be a prelude to something bigger, of exploding the hydrogen bomb, of the mischief of Peking and of the statement from Pindi? Are we aware of all this? Are we trying to meet them in a grand manner as they are trying to evolve it?

Shri Swaran Singh: On this bigger issue, we have been following carefully the postures of China in the international sphere and the way they have functioned in Asia and Africa. I think, it will not be fair on the part of the critics of the Government's policy to say that we have ignored China's postures In fact, there has been confrontation with China on the diploma ic front in Jakarta, in Algiers, in the Afro-Asian world, and we are fully aware of the Chinese designs in the Afro-Asian world and what their postures are.

On this question of Sino-Pakistan collusion also, it will not be fair for the critics to say that we are not conscious of this danger. In fact, both the External Affairs Minister and mo-

[Shri Swaran Singh]

self and my predecessor, Mr. Chavan, have been aware of this danger. We are not unaware of this danger. We have always informed this House and we have been informing the country of this danger and what steps we have been taking to counter that. As to what is the best way of countering the Chinese menace, one view is the pursuit of vigorous, progressive, pollcies and strengthening of the nonaligned world and always championing the causes which are not liked by the Chinese-that is an important measure and an instrument to counter China. It is a fact that China is in a state of isolation and, therefore, several aggressive postures. ...

Some hon. Members: No, no.

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : क्या बात कह रहे हैं घट्यक्ष महोदय भाखिर कोई भूठ की भी हद होती है ।

Shri Swaran Singh: ...that they are adopting are not wholly unrelated to that sense of isolation and that sense of failure in the various diplomatic moves in which they indulge.

Shri Bai Raj Madhok: Is he speaking to the House?

Shri Swaran Singh: I know that my hon, friends belonging to Jan Sangh and Swatantra Parties and others are not in agreement with the Government's policy on that issue. Whereas the objective is clear, we feel that the method that they are suggesting is not an effective method. It might be talking talk but that is not an effective method. I would like to assure this hon. House in all sincerity and humility....

क्षा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया : ये क्या क्ष्म रहे हैं बध्यम महोदय । पाकिस्तान उसका बोस्त है, नैपास उसका दोस्त है, वर्मा उसका बोस्त है, बस्वानिया उसका दोस्त है, बरव Shri Swaran Singh: To that we are the last to indulge in heroics. It is not our intention to indulge in heroics. We view this problem with all seriousness; we view it as a grave problem and a serious problem, and the steps that we take are taken after careful calculation and there is no element of either bravado or heroics in our attitude.

डा० राम मनोहर लोहिया : बह कथी नहीं हो सकता । हमेशा नाक रगड़ते रहोंगे मिट्टी में, हिरोइक, हिरोइक सुन लिया है मयेजी में ।

Shri J. B. Kripalani (Guna): 1 would only remind the acting Minister of External Affairs about what our Prime Minister, Jawaharlal Nehru, said once in this House, that whenever in history China has been united and strong, it has been aggressive; we have to live with this aggressive neighbour. I am sorry, but I agree with Mr. Nath Pai that all these years we have not evolved any China policy; we have never enunciated a China policy. It is no use saying that China is isolated. If China is isolated, it is not on account of ourselves, because we have always advocated its entry into the UNO. There are other territories which are their own and in which the Chinese have been living for centuries. Why do they not go in that direction and why do they come in our direction? Why do they not heap indignities on other nations rather than heaping indignities on this nation, when we have advocated their entry into the UNO? I must say that anybody looking at your policy would think that we are a cowardly nation. we do not stand on our own legs and we yield to bullies. A nation that yields to bullies is bound to come down.

Another thing which I want to say is this. Suppose, a sadistic rascal is pulling out the eyes of my neighbours. When he comes to pull at my eyes, I have nothing to do but say that he I have

not to save my eyes because he has pulled out the eyes of my neighbours? This is a very strange attitude that is taken by the Foreign Minister. Because some others are insulted, we have nothing to do; whether they are insulted rightly or wrongly, more than ourselves or less than ourselves, the question is why are we being insulted? We must stand up. What is the good of saying that the other nations are also treated like this. Why don't you take council with those other nations?

Shri Swaran Singh: I have never said in jus.ification of the Chinese behaviour that they have insulted other countries. That was farthest from my mind. In fact, in the statement today, I have said that although the indignities had been heaped on members of other missions, what they have done to us really surpasses all the brutalities and barbarities which are known to have been inflicted on others. That was not in any way a mitigation of what they were doing, but only to highlight their consistent behaviour which has been there throughout. I am sorry, a very senior hon. Member of this House, Mr Kripalani, and also some other hon Members should have interpreted it in this form. It was farthest from my mind to mention those instances to show in any way that they are to be equated with ours. That is not the point. That was only to show that they are consistently adopting these tactics in a consistently barbarous manner. In this particular case, namely the siege of our Embassy in Peking, they have surpassed even their own record. So, that is mentioned as a bad record and not in any way as an extenuating circumstance or even the remotest justification of what is being done.

I agree that we must act in a brave manner. I agree with Shri J. B. Kripslani that there cannot be any respect for a cowardly people, and I hope that our people are brave and that we should face this bravely, calmly and with all the strength that we can bring about and should not be lost in these polemics.

डा॰ राम मनोहर सोहिया : तुम बल्कुल निकम्में हो, अरपीक हो।

Mr. Speaker: It is nearing 6.45 p.m. We have spent over an hour on this. A calling-attention notice cannot be a debate. If I want to call all the Members who want to ask questions, then we may probably have to sit for ano her two hours, and I do not think that is possible. There cannot be a regular debate now on this callingattention notice.

So, I shall now adjourn the House and it will meet again at 11 a.m. tomorrow.

18.42 hrs.

The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Eleven of the Clock on Tuesday, June 1967/Jyaistha 30, 1889 (Saka).