

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
<b>G. ORISSA</b>													
Cost break-up awaited													
32. Cuttack	Mahanadi									1300.00	169.00	1489.00	Comments of the State Govt. on Approach paper awaited.
33. Talcher	Brahmini	38.00	276.00	40.00	25.00	40.00	207.50	626.50	45.00	671.50			
34. Chandball	-do-	40.15		10.00	10.00	30.00		90.15	12.62	102.77			
35. Dharmehla	-do-	29.50		10.00	10.00	15.00	139.50	203.50	38.00	241.50			
		107.65	276.00	60.00	45.00	85.00	347.00	2220.15	264.62	2484.77			
<b>H. PUNJAB</b>													
36. Ludhiana	Satluj	3150.11	7861.45	59.20		720.00	171.64	11962.40	2785.00	1674.74	16422.14	Comments on approach paper received but Govt. of Punjab did not agree to bear the cost of O&M fully.	
37. Jallundhar	-do-	1366.39	2588.88	6.00		300.00	14.80	4276.07	810.00	598.65	5684.72		
38. Phagwara	-do-	263.39	248.25	1.20		96.00	5.72	614.56	52.00	86.04	752.60		
39. Phillaur	-do-	13.07	13.85	0.60		24.00	1.24	52.76	18.00	7.39	78.15		
		4792.96	10712.43	67.00		1140.00	193.40	16905.79	3665.00	2366.82	22937.61		
<b>I. RAJASTHAN</b>													
40. Kota	Chambal	153.47	846.00	3.00	20.00	88.00	35.00	1145.47	7.50	160.36	1313.33	Comments of the State Govt. on Approach paper received.	
41. Keshorapattan	Chambal	19.40	18.00	1.20	5.00	10.00	12.50	66.10	5.00	9.25	80.35		
		172.87	864.00	4.20	25.00	98.00	47.50	1211.57	12.50	169.61	1393.68		
<b>J. TAMIL NADU</b>													
42. Kumarpalayam	Cauvery	134.80	343.00	1.00	12.00	19.50	10.75	521.05	30.00	72.93	624.00	Comments of the State Govt. on Approach paper received	
43. Bhawani	-do-	132.70	87.78	1.00	42.00	20.00	30.56	314.04	10.00	43.96	368.00		
44. Erode	-do-	818.18	491.16	1.00	12.00	20.00	19.94	1362.28	16.00	90.72	1569.00		
45. Trichy	-do-	355.00	156.00	1.00	35.00	40.00	18.00	600.00	6.00	84.00	690.00		
46. Palli	-do-	128.45	307.80	1.00	12.00	18.00	10.82	478.97	24.00	66.93	569.00		
		1569.13	1385.74	5.00	113.00	117.50	35.07	3275.44	86.00	458.56	3820.00		
		21769.15	30347.52	496.50	1143.41	3130.42	1997.93	60184.43	5623.79	8891.41	74199.63		

I&amp;D : Interception and Diversion

STP : Sewage Treatment Plant

CRE : Crematoria

RFD : River Front Development

LCS : Low Cost Sanitation

Misc: Afforestation, Solid Waste Management &amp; Public Participation etc.

Add 5% towards expenditure on establishment Research & Development & Monitoring = 3009.22  
 Total estimated cost (74199.63+3009.22) = 77208.85  
 State share on 50:50 basis = 37099.81  
 Central Share = 40109.03

**Assistance for Natural Calamities**

\*192. SHRI KASHI RAM RANA:  
 SHRI ARVIND TRIVEDI:

Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) the details of assistance sought and actually released by the Government to the State Governments to meet the natural calamities during 1994-95, state-wise;

(b) whether the Government have received requests from several State Governments seeking additional assistance for the year 1994-95 and to increase their share of Central Assistance from Calamity Relief Fund;

(c) if so, the details thereof, State-wise; and

(d) the decision taken by the Government on these requests?

THE MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI BALRAM JAKHAR): (a) to (d). Under the existing scheme, the State Governments undertake relief and rehabilitation measures using the corpus of the annual Calamity Relief Fund (CRF). Requests were received from 12 States during 1994-95 for providing Central assistance for relief

measures in the wake of natural calamities. The details of Central assistance sought and Central share of CRF released during the current year are given below:

(Rs. in crores)

Sl. No.	State	Central Assistance sought	Central share released during 1994-95
1.	Andhra Pradesh	344.00	49.21
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	74.50	1.50
3.	Goa	2.50	0.50
4.	Gujarat	303.50	63.75
5.	Himachal Pradesh	399.00	6.75
6.	Karnataka	422.00	10.12
7.	Kerala	100.00	23.25
8.	Madhya Pradesh	200.00	27.75
9.	Maharashtra	945.00	—

Sl. No.	State	Central Assistance sought	Central share released during 1994-95
10.	Orissa	376.00	29.78
11.	Tamil Nadu	221.00	14.62
12.	Uttar Pradesh	281.00	26.64

2. The scheme of Calamity Relief Fund was implemented on the basis of the recommendations of the IX Finance Commission. The issue of increase in the Calamity Relief Fund and other related matters has been considered by the Xth Finance Commission. The State Governments had also taken up the issue directly with the Xth Finance Commission.

[Translation]

#### Pollution in major Cities

\*193. SHRI DEVI BUX SINGH:  
SHRI HARISINGH CHAVDA:

Will the Minister of ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS be pleased to state:

(a) whether the pollution level in major cities is increasing;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether the pollution control schemes already functioning are sufficient to check pollution in these cities;

(d) if so, the details thereof and the success achieved in checking pollution so far;

(e) if not, the reasons therefor; and

(f) the steps taken in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS (SHRI KAMAL NATH):  
(a) Yes, Sir. The pollution levels in major cities are showing an increasing trend in terms of levels of air, water and noise pollution, due to a rise in human population, automobile population and industrial activities.

(b) Details of the status of pollution in the major cities in terms of air, water and noise pollution are given in the statement I attached.

(c) A number of pollution control schemes have been taken up which have helped in reducing the nature and extent of pollution in the various cities.

(d) to (f). Statement II is attached.

#### STATEMENT-I

(b) The levels of air, water and noise pollution in the major cities are given below:

(i) Trends in levels of Air Pollution in Major Cities

Annual average concentrations (in microgrammes per cubic metre) of major air pollutants:

Sl. No.	City/Year	Pollutants		
		Sulphur dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> )	Nitrogen oxide (NO <sub>x</sub> )	Suspended Particulate Matter (SPM)
1.	Delhi			
	1990	5.8	12.5	163
	1991	8.7	12.6	190
2.	Bombay			
	1990	28.2	29.5	228
	1991	33.4	30.9	199
3.	Calcutta			
	1990	19.5	18.0	136
	1991	98.5	28.7	313
4.	Bangalore			
	1990	16.4	11.3	49
	1991	18.3	12.1	60
5.	Hyderabad			
	1990	5.8	12.5	163
	1991	8.7	12.6	190

(ii) Average noise levels [in db(a)] in Major Cities

City	Zones	Day time	Night time
Madras	Residential	66	48
	Commercial	76	71
	Industrial	71	66
Hyderabad	Residential	68	45
	Commercial	76	65
	Industrial	70	56
Calcutta	Residential	79	65
	Commercial	82	75
	Industrial	78	67
Bangalore	Residential	67	50
	Commercial	78	43
	Industrial	78	53
Bombay	Residential	70	62
	Commercial	74	66
	Industrial	76	65
Delhi	Residential	60	N.A.
	Commercial	71	"
	Industrial	73	"

N.A.—Not Available