LOK SABHA DEBATES

(Eleventh Session)



(Vol. XLIV contains Nos. 31 to 38)

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

Price: Rs. 2. 00

CONTENTS

Menday, Sep	tembe	r 2, 1	1974/4	shadra	14,	1896	(Saka)	
									Columns
Re Adjournment Motions	s								1-4
Re Question of Privileg	ge	į•					•		5-19
Question of Privilege-									
Failure of Government to									19-28
of action taken on Sugar Papers laid on the Table		istry 1		, com		on ice	,port		29-48
Statement re Ownership o	f land	helov	v the s	ea wit	hın te	rritOt	ial wat	ters	
-Shri H. R. Gokh	ale				٠				48-53
Statement by Member re- about payment to Railw	reply ay em	to sur polye	plemes for	entary strike	on S perio	Q. N d—	o. 2 25		
Shrimati Parvathi Kri	ishnar	1	٠			•	•		53-55
Shri Mohd. Shafi Qu	reshi		•		٠	•	•	•	55-56
Interest-tax Bill-Introdu	Le d								57
Sick Textile Undertakings	(Nati	onalis	ation)	Bill-	-Intro	duced		•	57-59
Constitution (Thirty-sixth	Ame	ndme	nt) B	ll—In	trodu	ced			59-107
Supplementary Demands for Grants (General), 1974-75-									
Shri K. R. Ganesh									108-122
·Oil Industry (Developmen	it) Bil	1							123-178
Motion to consider									
Shri D. K. Borooah									124-34
Shri C. K. Chandrapp	างก								134-42
Shri Shivnath Singh									142-48
Shri R. V. Bade									148-52
Shri M. C. Daga									152-56
Shri Shyamnandan M	ishra		•						156-60
Shri B. V. Naik .									160-64
Shri I. Matha Gowden									164-68
Shri Nathu Ram Mird	lha								168-73
Shri Biren Dutta									173-76
Shri Raja Kulkarni 2014 LS-1.		•				٠,			176-78

LOK SABHA

Monday, September 2, 1974/Bhadra 11, 1896 (Saka)

The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock.

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]
RE. ADJOURNMENT MOTION

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU (Diamond Harbour): Sir, I have given notice of an Adjournment Motion. There are 300 starvation deaths in Assam alone. And all over the country there are numerous starvation deaths. Now, I am told, Intelligence Wing of the Food Ministry has envisaged that by November-December there will be food riots all over the country and they feel that there will be a requirement of import of 18 million tonnes of foodgrains. This is a fit case for an Adjournment Motion. There have been various cases of starvation deaths throughout the country especially in Bankura, Purulia etc. In Orissa, Madhya Pradesh, Assam, and in other places there have been various cases of starvation deaths. The House must discuss this matter. I want your guidance on this matter. Sir.

MR. SPEAKER: The House has already discussed it twice.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE (Kanpur): The Minister must make a statement. There have been numerous reports in this regard.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: 300 died of starvation in Assam. Please see the *Times of India* news item in the second page. Would you kindly allow my adjournment motion? (*Interruptions*)

MR. SPEAKER: There is no question of taking up the adjournment motion. This has been discussed already on two occasions.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Sir, you will agree that this news came out only yesterday. And this is a matter which happened very recently and when a paper like the *Times* of *India*, a leading daily of the country, has focussed the attention on this starvation death in Assam, it is a serious matter.

SHRI K. S. CHAVDA (Patna): There is a shortage of foodgrains in my part of the country. (Interruptions)

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Is it or is it not a fact that the Food Intelligence Wing has warned the Government that eighteen million tonnes of foodgrains must be imported for just survival. We want this to be replied to by Government honestly and truthfully. We are distressed to see this news. (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: Will all of you kindly sit down?

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Sir, this is a news item in the *Times* of *India* with which, I am sure, you are also concerned about.

श्री मधु लिमये (बांका): मेरी दो प्रार्थनाएँ हैं। पहली बात तो मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या 377 के तहत ग्राप ग्रामाम ग्रीर बिहार में जो लोग मर रहे हैं, 400 मर चुके हैं उस पर ग्राप चर्चा उठाने देंगे ?

दुसरी बात यह है कि यह जो लाइसेंस के बारें में पालियामेंट्री प्रोव की बात चली थी और ग्राप ने कहा था कि मैं फिर मौका दंगा चर्चा 3

[र्श्वा मधु िमये]

करने का श्रीर प्रोमीजर ले डाउन कहना डिप्टी म्मीगर माहब ने भी परमों इन बारे में सपने शाबजवेंगन दिये हैं श्रीर यह इतना महत्वार्ग मामला है कि सम्बारों में भी इस की वर्षा हो रही है। इस पर जन्दी फीनला होना चाहिंगे महत्रवानी करके श्राप इस का प्रोमीजर जन्दी तथ की जिये सौर हम लोगो को इस पर बहुक करने का मोश दी जिये।

वस एज इनना ही कहना है।

SHRI JPOTIRMOY BOSU: I hope you would have seen the observations of the hon Deputy Speaker. I have given a notice under Rule 388. That motion is before the House. The second privilege motion is also to be taken up for a discussion. So, I would like to know your observations on the motion under Rule 244, sub. (i) under Rule 388.

श्रीमधुलिमयेः गाप एर एर सर्वात या फैसला देवे जाइये।

MR. SPEAKER: All right. Please sit down. All of you have said what you wanted to say. Now, Mr. Munsi.

SHRI PRIYA RANJAN DAS MUNSI Calcutta—South): Sir. I would like to submit that in this hour of crisis, particularly in this economic situation, yesterday in Delhi the Communist Party workers and the Congress volunteers had gone on a de-hoarding drive.

MR. SPEAKER: That is another matter.

SIRI PRIYA RANJAN DAS MUNSI. So many hundred tonnes of foodgrains and cement were dehoarded. The police did not arrest a single hoarder. The volunteers who were involved in this alone were disturbed by the police. (Interruptions).

MR. SPEAKER: I am on the first one raised by Shri Bosu which has not yet been disposed of.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: Sir, I want to make a submission. (Interruptions)

SHRI PRABODH CHANDRA (Gurdaspur): Sir, everyday the hon. Members go on speaking without the permission of the Chair. I have given a privilege motion against the hon. Member who is obstinate and who wants to take away the time of the House every day. I won't name him. Everyday the hon. Member is taking the time of the House. This matter should be referred to the Privileges Committee.

MR. SPEAKER: You will please sit down.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: Sir. I want to make my submission in half a minute. This is the last week of the session. My friend, Shri Bosu moved motion. adjournment This has arisen out of the starvation deaths that have taken place. Please allow the Minister to make his statement here and now either today or tomorrow. My second point is this. The hon, External Affairs Minister should also make his statement about the base in Diego García island. The U.S. is going ahead with this.

MR SPEAKER: You are now adding one more item to the ones that have already come up before the House.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: But there is no discussion. Let the Foreign Minister make a statement.

RE. QUESTION OF PRIVILGEE

SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR (Ahmedabad): Sir, I have written to you. Last week we had a discussion on the question of having a full Parliamentary probe on the alleged 21 signatures. On Saturday you said you would not be admitting any motion but on Monday you will be....

श्री शंकर बयाल सिंह (चतरा): मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है निथम संख्या 31 के प्रमुक्तार प्रतिदिन सदन के मामने एक कार्य मूची हुआ करती है। लेकिन में बरावर देखता हूं कि कार्य मूची हों हम लोगों के हाथ में धरी रह जाती है और जिन के नृंह में जो बान आती है उससे वे शुरुआत कर देते हैं। यह ठीक नहीं है एहला कार्य प्रियनेज कर है ग्रांर उसको लिया जाना चाहिये। जहां नक दूसरी बानों का मंबंध है (श्यवान)।

MR. SPEAKER: May I tell you, Mr. Bosu when we extended the time that was under certain compulsions because we were much behind the schedule of the official business. We decided we would sit for another three days.

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE (Banka): They have brought the new Constitution Amendment Bill.

MR. SPEAKER: Now, you have introduced many other things along with this. As for this adjournment motion we have discussed it. It is a continuous matter. All that I can ask is if there is any latest information, they should bring it before the House before it adjourns.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU (Diamond Harbour): I have quoted from the Times of India of yesterday about starvation deaths in the Assum Town.

MR. SPEAKER: Please sit down. I have given my ruling.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Did you direct the Government to make a statement?

MR. SPEAKER: I have asked them.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Sir, kindly ask the Government to make a statement.

MR. SPEAKER: I have told them. Please sit down.

SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR: Sir, last week you were kind enough to observe—I quote:

"We will continue this debate on some other day. In the meanwhile, I will try to find out what should be the procedure. Personally, I feel it is a very important subject over which we must apply our mind and settle down certain procedures. Now the rule is that when one member makes an allegation against another member, he should give notice to the other member. If some people go to the extent of getting even forged or fictitious signatures, we have to go into the matter thoroughly. We should not only consider the present case in its proper persaction pective and consider what should be taken about it, but also, if such cases occur and the Minister is in possesion of certain facts, whether it is not necessary that he should either ask for information from the member or at least convey to the Speaker that some members are involved like this and ask for his directions. These are the various issues over which we can have some views. I cannot give any off hand ruling at present. We will take up this subject again some other day. We will consider by that time what procedure should be adopted."

This was your observation. Now, my submission is this. On Saturday also, we had further discussion on this point. We had discussion on the privilege motion raised by my friends. Mr. Bosu, Mr. Madhu Limaye and others, namely, against the Prime Minister and also on the withdrawal of motions by some Members for a Parliamentary probe. You said on that

[Shri P. G. Mavalankar]

day that we should leave Saturday to regular business and that we will take up all these motions on Monday. Monday has arrived. Therefore, we have come before you with a request for a discussion. We want that the name of Parliament and of the Members of this House should be cleared not through any Governmental agency, but, we want a through and complete probe by a Parliamentary Committee. It is 'on'ly Parliament which can say whether bers were responsibe or not. would like you not only to appoint a day for discussion but, I would also like you to proceed forthwith with the appointment of a Committee under your guidance and under your Chairmanship, which would go into the whole question. We should not leave this matter in the hands of the Prime Minister or this Government, because this is not a matter to be done by a majority. This has to be done by the entire House. This is my submission.

(Interruptions.)

श्री मध् लिमये : मैं श्राप का निर्णय दो सवालों के ऊपर चाहता हूं। प्रिवनेज के नवाल पर थोड़ी बहुत चर्चा शनिवार को हुई थी लेकिन डिप्टी स्पीकर ने ग्रौर ग्रापने कोई निर्णय नहीं दिया। प्रधान मंत्री के खिलाफ हम लोगों ने विषेपाधिकार का, सदन ही मानहानी का प्रस्ताव दिया है और उसके ऊपर अब ग्राप वाकायदा प्रस्ताव रखनेकी हमको ग्रन-मित दें। दूसरा सवाल एक व्यापक सवाल है। 21 सदस्यों के हस्ताक्षर से जो आवेदन पत दिया गया उनमें से बीस ने कहा कि हमारे हस्ताक्षर जाली हैं। एक ग्रय तक सदन के सामने नहीं श्राया । उसने सी.बी.ग्राई. से कहा कि मेरे हस्ताक्षर हैं। इसलिये शायद वह यहां पर नहीं ग्राया श्रव तरह तरह की बातें उठ रहीं है कि जिन बोस सदस्यों ने हस्ताक्षर किये क्या ये झठ बोल रहे थे या सत्य वयानी कर रहे थ ग्रौर इसके बारेमें देशमें सन्देह उत्पन्न हुग्रा है। ऐसी हालत में दोषी व्यक्तियों को छोड़ना वडा

ग्रपराध होगा ग्रौर जो सदस्य निर्दोष हैं उन के ऊपर यह लांछन या सन्देह पुरे मन्क में फैलने देना यह इस सदन की गरिमा के लिये ग्रच्छा नहीं है तीन चार प्रस्ताव स्ना गए है स्नायने उनकों स्वीकार भी कर लिया है और बलेटिन नम्बर 2 में भी वे प्रकाशित हो गए हैं। अब एक प्रक्रिया ग्राप तय करें जैसे डिप्टी स्पीकर साहब ने उस दिन कहा और प्रापने 28 तारीख को कहा कि यह कोई सी वी ग्राई या इटलीजंस एजेंसी के हाथ में देने का मामला नहीं है उनकी मदद हम लोग ले सकते हैं है इराइटिंग एक्सवर्टस को भी बला सकते हैं लेकिन ग्रन्त में इसकी जांच ग्रांर इसका फैसला इस मदन की कमेटी को ही करना चाहिए ग्रगर निर्दोप लोंगो को ग्राप बरी करना चाहते हैं, मुक्त करना चाहत ह तो यह जो कमेटी वनेगी उसका वेयरमेन विरोधी दल का होना च!हिये, कांग्रेस का, बहमत एक का---श्राप रखिये भ्रौर इसलिये मैंने कहा है कि नौ कांग्रेसी ग्रौर ग्राठ विरोध पक्ष के ग्रौर विरोध पक्ष का चेयरपैंन क्योंकि इस कमेटी की राय से जो मक्त होंगें उनके बारे में कोई संदेह देज में नहीं रहेगा कारण कांग्रेस पार्टी ग्रोर विरीध पक्ष दोंनों मिलकर इसका फैसला करेंगे इस बास्ते इस प्रस्ताव के बारे में ग्रब यात ग्रदना निर्णय दें।

यह अच्छी बात नहीं है कि प्रिवलेज का प्रस्ताव ग्राया प्राइम मिनिस्टर के खिलाफ ग्रोर सफाई दे रहे हैं संसद कार्य मंत्री क्या इस तरह से प्रिवलेज के मागले में बोला जाएगा सरकार की ग्रोर से? जिम व्यक्ति के खिलाफ प्रिवलेज मॉजन ग्राता है उसको ग्रा कर सफाई देनी चाहिये ग्राज भी प्रश्रान मंत्री नहीं ग्रायीं हैं। प्रधान मंत्री इस सदन के वारे में कितनी तुच्छ राय रखती है इसका उनकी गैर-हाजरी में पता चलता है।

इन के मन में पालियामेंट के प्रति कंटेम्पट की भावना हैं इस को कथ तक आप बर्दास्त कीजिएगा यही मैं जानना चाहता हूं

SHRI PILOO MODY (Godhra): I want to declare on behalf of all of us here in the Opposition at any rate that we have no confidence in the CBI inquiry at all It has been our experience over the last few years that the CBI is an instrument that the Government has invented in order to falsify evidence and whitewash its misdeeds and therefore to say that a inquiry is going on as if that would pacify either us or the country is the height of absurdity. As a matter of fact not only have forgeries been taking place, but there has been perjury also and my information now is that they are trying actually to replace the original letter in toto, With these fears, for us to be satisfied by the Government announcing that there is going to be a CBI inquiry is utter nonsense

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE Serze all the documents य िक्ट्राय करेंगे बदलेग हास्यक्ष्म तरा।

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU. I gave notice of a motion under rule 388 because today in the List of Business there is a privilege motion pending Under rule 224(1), you cannot take up more than one privilege motion on the same day Therefore I had requested under rule 388 that this particular rule be suspended to take up the privilege motion which was discussed already on Saturday You had made an observation, as I see from the debate.

'Mr Speaker We will take all these motions and everything on Monday because we decided that today we will take only "

MR SPEAKER You did not agree to it Do not take half of it

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU. The hon. Deputy-Speaker made a very valuable contribution in this House particularly when he said that this

matter cannot be entrusted to an outside agency. Now fresh information has come to us that all the 7 firms in Pondicherry are perhaps non-existent. That is a new thing which has come to our notice that these firms are non-existent.

Yesterday I think the Prime Minister at a public nieeting in Ambala had chosen to vilify the Opposition saying that they have found four corrupt persons in this country, herself, her son, Shri Laht N. Mishra and Shri Bansi Lal For our utterances in this House, she often take, the opportunity of vilifying us If she and her party step outside out of office and institute an all-party parliamentary probe and if these charges of corruption are proved to be false, I shall resign my seat Let a probe be instituted and then we shall find out

This forged signature issue is a very serious matter, that we are being dubbed as frauds in the eve of the people Mr Deputy-Speaker has clearstated it, the entire country is talking about it the press is talking about it and yet the thick-skipped Prime Minister considers that there is no corruption in the country. It is a serious matter and we should like you to constitute a parliamentary committee to probe into the alleged fraud committed by Congressmen under the direction of a Minister under the very nose the Prime Minister of this country.

SHRI N K SANGHI (Jalore) I am rising on a point of order. He is making wild allegations against the leader of our party on the floor of this House. He has to give a motion and then only he can say those things. How far is it permissible?

SHRI S M BANERJEE (Kanpur) In this particular case 21 MPs—they are my brothers and sisters—ire involved Sarvashri Shyamnandan Mishra, Madhu [Shri S. M. Banerjee]

Limaye, Mavalankar, Sezhiyan, Dandavate and others have tabled this motion which is non-controversial. The motion does not say anything about the Prime Minister or the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs. It reads:

"That this House resolves to constitute a Committee of the House consisting of 15 Members to be nominated by the Speaker to undertake an enquiry to identify the persons, circumstances and factors that have tended to lower the dignity of the House as a whole as a result of the alleged association of the names of some Members of the House with the letter of recommendation for the grant of licence to the parties referred to in the reply to starred question No. 730 in the Rajya Sabha on the 27th August, 1974."

This is actually to save those Members from the embarrassing position. The country is not going to believe whether they signed it or not signed; they have to be exonerated by a Committee of this House, whatever the CBI may or may not do If there is anything politically done, the persons concerned will have to be exonerated by those who are in politics, namely, a Committee of Members of presided over by a this House Chairman who belongs to the Opposition. My second point is this If Members want to write something, some letter for any employee it is termed as political pressure But it seems they can safely write for permits and quotas; there is no question of pressure in this! I would request you to accept this motion which you have already admitted, because it says here: the Speaker has admitted the following motion under rule 189. It can also serve the purpose of exonerating those Members whose names had been brought in for a CBI enquiry. They say the CBI has already done it. It seems proper that you should allow a discussion on this motion which is the least controversial.

भी संकर बवाल लिहः प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, भेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है नियम 352 (2)के तहत । माननीय सदस्य श्री मधु लिमये भीर ज्योतिर्मय बस् ने प्रधान मंत्री जो कि सदन की नेता भी हैं भीर सदस्या भी हैं, उन के बारे में वह कहा कि सभी उन्होंने किसी जनसभा में सम्बोधिन करते हुए जो बाते कही वह अनुचित है, यह इन की सरा-सर गलत भीर भ्रव्यावहरिक बातें हैं। इस तरह से किसी भी सदस्य के सम्बन्ध मे ये कुछ नहीं कह सकते है। यह सदन भीर सदस्य दोनों के लिए मर्यादा के विरुद्ध बातें है। इन्ही शब्दों के साथ मै यह भी कहना चाहता ह कि जो 20 जानी हस्ताक्षरों का मामला है उस सम्बन्ध में ग्राप ने मोशन भी है. हमारे दल के सदस्यों ने भी लिया मोशन दिया है, उधर ने भी सदस्यों ने दिया है, उस पर जब डिम्कशन हो तब बाते होनी चाहिए। यहा रोज एक ही विषय के उत्तर बेब्नियाद बाते नही उठानी चाहिए । मैं अनुरोध करना चाहता ह कि जिन सदस्यो का नाम झुठ मुठ ग्राया है उन के दिल पर उस से बोट पश्चनी है, उन बान को माननीय सदस्यागण अनमव करे। जब आप उस के लिए डेट भीर समय फिक्स करे तब उस पर डिस्कशन होना चाहिए। पर मैं आग की र्यालग चाट्या है।

SHRI A. K. M. ISHAQUE (Basirhat): In the conduct of business of the House, time has been made the essence of every thing and we pay attention to time so as to accommodate every subject in the House. You Sir, prepare an Order and the business of the House is conducted according to that order. But in this House we have been seeing that everyone is indulging in repetitions. The motion was there and it is even now before the House. Whether one particular adjournment motion should be admitted or not. (Interruptions) That issue has been discussed.

SHRI K. S. CHAVDA (Patan): Mr, Bosu said, there are starvation deaths in Assam and you were kind enough to say that the Minister will make a statement. There is acute shortage of foodgrains, pulses, edible oils and other essential articles in Gujarat and the State is facing famine. The Minister should make a statement about that also.

MR. SPEAKER: So far as floods and starvation deaths are concerned, this was already coming through the questions and we also had a discussion. If there is any latest information, I have already said that I will be asking the minister to come with some statement before the end of the session.

As for the other matter, when it came, in a very brief time we tried to deal with it. I am very happy that the members of the opposition are so much concerned about the welfare and privileges of 21 members of the ruling party. It means that there is a lot of fraternity developing between both sides.

Secondly, I said that this is a matter about which I am not prepared to give an offhand ruling it concerns hon, members. I will consider it over and evolve some procedures. But before that could be done. there is already a privilege motion against the Prime Minister. Some of the members who sent their motions have withdrawn their motions. They have every right to withdraw them. Only when the House is seized of it by a regular motion and a discssion is allowed, we have to seek the pleasure of the House for withdrawing But when the members came with their personal explanation, there was no regular motion. I fail to understand why the name of the Prime Minister should be dragged into it and given so much publicity. Don't give a wrong picture to the country at any time. All your observations are not confined to this House. They go outside also. If

a. 4. 10 - 1.

those Members who gave this notice come to the Speaker and say that they are being pressurized or coerced,-and they are the only right persons who can do that,-that is different matter. They have a right to consult each other, they have a right to have a second thoughts, they have a right to reconsider and withdraw what they have given. Why go out of the way and have far-fetched conclusions that so and so did it because so and so pressurized or coerced them? I fail to understand this. On the very first day we said that we will not consider it as on party I said that we will try to evolve a procedure. But, before that, you enter into the question of privilege, you enter into a long debate and you make so many observations. There should be some sort of code for it. I do not understand all this fuss about it. There is no question of privilege involved in it.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: You have not taken notice of what the Deputy-Speaker has said on the floor of the House.

MR. SPEAKER: What the Deputy-Speaker said was about a different matter. He did not support this. He did not give any finding on the privilege motion.

SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR: He referred the matter to you.

श्री शंकर दबाल सिंह: मेरा प्वाइन्ट आफ आईर है। नियम 361 के अनुसार जब अध्यक्ष खड़े हों तो कोई भी सदस्य खड़ा नहीं होगा। मैं. मान्यवर. इस के बारे में आपकी रूनिय चाहता हूं—बारवार इस सदन में यह हो रहा है कि जब आप खड़े होते हैं तो दिसयों सदस्य खड़े हो जाते हैं और आप बैठ जाते हैं—क्या इस तरह से हम लोग नियमों को मान कर चल रहे हैं। मैं चाहता हूं कि जो सदस्य 361 का उलंबन करें उस की बात रिकार्ड में नहीं आनी चाहिए—कृपा कर इस पर अपना रूनिय दें।

MR. SPEAKER: Kindly sit down. I think this is over. As far as the other matter is concerned....

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA (Begusarai): Sir, I am rising on a point of order.

भी शंकर बयाल सिंह : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय, पहले मेरे प्वाइन्ट झाफ़ झाडेंर पर रूलिंग दीजिए।

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA: On the previous working day I had made a complaint of breach of privilege and contempt of the House against both the hon. Prime Minister and the hon. Minister of Parliamentary Affairs. So far as your observations today are concerned, I must say in all humility that you have not been fair to the complaint......(Interruptions)

SHRI A. K. M. ISHAQUE: This is a clear reflection upon the Chair. . . (Interruptions)

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA: If I could understand your observations, they amounted to maligning those Members who had made a complaint of the breach of privilege and contempt against the Prime Minister and the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs. This will be advertised to the whole world as if we are after the blood of the Prime Minister or after him....

MR. SPEAKER: You cannot make any comments on my ruling.

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA: We have been maligned. I say that you have not been fair to us because something that fell from your lips today would amount to a censure of what we did on that day. We did it as a matter of duty. We do not think that attracted any such harsh observations as you have been pleased to make.

On that day, we did not base our complaint of the breach of privilege only on the withdrawal by the

hon'ble Members of that party of their motions which they had given to you. My motion of breach of privilege was pending against the hon'ble Commerce Minister. Then, there were other motions tabled by other hon'ble Members from the Opposition. They were also pending with you. You had, in fact, shown your inclination that you would like to go into depth so far as that matter was concerned. So, the House was fully seized of it. In this meantime, certain steps were taken by the hon'ble Minister of Parliamentary affairs and by the hon'ble Prime Minister which were reported in the press and which amounted to coercion and pressurisation. Therefore, we were quite in order in bringing a motion of breach of privilege. With all respect, we did not deserve the kind of harsh observations that you have made.

श्री मचु लिमये : ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा व्यवस्थाका प्रकृत है।

ध्ययक्ष महोदय : किम बात पर?

श्री सम लिसये: जिन 8 काग्रेसी सदस्यों ने प्रस्ताव दिया था जो ब्लेटिव नम्बर 2 में छवा था इन लोगां ने व्यक्तिगत स्पष्टी-करण भी दिया है भीर उस में भाग के संरक्षण की भीर इस सदन के संरक्षण की भीर दी सदस्यों ने पालियामेटरी प्रोब की माग की है। ऐसी हालन में ग्रगर वह मिक्त ग्राना प्रस्ताव बापस लेने हैं तो वह एक ग्रत्या बात होती है। लेकिन जब व्यक्तिगत स्पष्टीकरण उन्होंने किया, जो एक सेकरेड प्रोसीजर है, भीर उस के विपरीन जब काम करने हैं भीर ग्रपना प्रम्नाव वापस लेने हैं नी वह ग्रनग बात है। ग्रस्त्वारों में जो छरा है उस ने माफ किया है कि प्राइम मिनिस्टर के धमकाने के बाद ही उन्होंने यह बापस निया है, बिदड़ा किया है। इमलिए प्राइम मिनिस्टर के खिलाफ़ विशेषाधिकार का प्रस्ताव बनना है।

MR. SPEAKER: I strictly hold to this view that Members are the masters of their own free will as to how they make up their own mind on

certain matters. Only the Members themserves can come here and say that they are under pressure. You come and say, 'A' did it or 'B' did it or 'C' did it or the Prime Minister did it. You bring the Prime Minister into the picture. This is going too far It is a far-fetched thing, telling the people that, perhaps, something is going on, the Members are not the agents of their own free will, they are doing this way or that way Where I do not agree. Unless they themselves come and say in this House that they are being prossurised. I will not take note of that Only the Members themselves can come here and say in this House that they are under pressure that case. I will take strict notice of it I invite those Members they being pressurised?

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU Did you read the newspapers, National Harold and Hindustan Times (Interruptions).

MR SPEAKER The press people have their own rights to comment

Herd, we are expressing our own views. The other day in this House when that question arise, I said, "I do not want to give an off-hand ruling. I will consider it"

Now, before we consider something, another motion comes that a Committee be appointed You say, No. an opposition Member to be the Chairman of the Committee and the other people say No' and so and so should be the Chairman' That is the atmosphere I think there is a lot of change in that background and atmosphere and I will have to reconsider it. Even before coming here even during the last week so many things came and he gave it much earlier If I were to take this objection now, that somebody says we will have a committee appointed by the Speaker and somebody comes and says 'Opposition Member should be the Chairman of the Committee', is it not a contempt

of the Speaker when all this is before the House? Every Member has got a right to express his own views. They express their own views and you express your own views I really fail to understand why all this confusion

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Did you not read the National Herold and the Hindustan Times?

MR SPEAKER \o I have my own views

Interruptions

I hear you with the utmost respect because you come prepared and you should also hear me because sometimes I may differ with you Many times I agree with the Opposition Here I have given my views about it

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA:
I have drawn your attention to a
motion which has been signed by the
representatives of many political
parties to which the hon'ble Member
Mr S M Banerjee also drew your
attention earlier

भ्रष्यक सहोवय: एक मोशन ही ठीक है। यहा ना बहुन मारे मोशन्स है, सब को फिर में दोबारा देखगा कि किस में क्या है। अभी 5 7 परमों छोड कर गया। मृबह गाडी में उतर कर आया हू। 5 7 और पड़े है। किस में क्या निकलना है यह मुझ को हर एक में देखना है।

I have not committed Take it in a very good spirit It is a question of the whole House.

भीर इसमें क्या निक्लना है, उमको क्या वापम निया, उसका क्या होगा, किसने प्रेंछे से तार हिनाई, किसने भागे से हिलाई इसकी छोडिये।

Take it as a question purely of the Members. From that objective point we will consider it. SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: My submission is that the National Herold which is a paper controlled by the Prime Minister and the Hindustan Times gave out elaborately how the M.Ps of the Congress Party were pressurised by the Prime Minister. That is what has created all this trouble.

MR. SPEAKER: Now, there is no question of privilege.

Prof. Madhu Dandavate.

11.49 hrs.

QUESTION OF PRIVILEGE-Contd

FAILURE OF GOVERNMENT TO LAY BEFORE THE HOUSE MEMORANDUM OF ACTION TAKEN ON SUGAR INDUSTRY INQUIRY COMMISSION REPORT—Cont./

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE (Rajapur): Before the hon Minister makes a setatement. I would like to summaries within two minutes the points involved.

On 27th August when I sought your permission to raise the privilege issue against Shri C Subramaniani, Minister of Agriculture, I had pointed out to you and to the House that on 15th May, 1973, the Bhargava Commission had already submitted its report on nationalisation and on 27th February, 1974 the final report was submitted and you pointed out that that date should be considered the date of submission of the final report

2 According to Section 3(iv) of the Commissions of Inquiry Act, 1952 there 1, a mandatory provision which makes it obligatory on the Government to lay on the Table of the House within six months of the submission of the report, not merely the report that has been submitted but also a memorandum of action taken on the report

3. On 28th August 1974, the Minister for Agriculture laid on the Table

of the House all the reports that were submitted by the Bhargava Commission. Along with that they also submitted a memorandum which they described as a memorandum of action taken on the report. My contention was that this memorandum of action but it is a memorandum of inaction.

On page 3 of he memorandum which they described as memorandum of action, it is stated:—

"In view of the sizeable financial outlay and complex administrative issues involved, Government would need some more time to examine the matter in detail and arrive at a decision"

Not only they have not taken any decision, but they have sought your primission to have more time even to examine the report. When I raised the issue last time, you were kind enough to make a very significant observation which had appeared in the Lok Sabha proceedings of 27 August, 1974. When I said that this was not a memorandum of action in terms of section 3(4) of the Act, you said.

"In my opinion also, this is not a memorandum"

These were the observations that you made on the last occasion. Therefore, my submission is that, as required by the provisions of the Act, the memorandum of action has not been submitted. On the contrary, they have pleaded their inaction, they have pleaded for more time, they have said that they need more time to examine the report and take a decision.

Normally if there is a lapse on the part of the Government on some other matter, there is no economic consequence. But when there is a serious lapse on such problems, when there is indecision on the part of the Government, there is economic consequence. Recommendations have been made by the Bhargava Commission;

some have recommended creation of a Sugar Authority; some have demanded outright nationalisation. just now do not want to go into the ments of the case of nationalisation The only procedural point is that if no decision is taken for one full year on nationalisation and on the entire report for six months, in that case. actually the issue remains in suspended animation, and as a result of that, because the entrepreneurs and sugar magnates know that the recommendation for nationalisation has already been made, they do not feel any stake in the industry on the one hand and at the same time because the industry is not nationalised, also whatever gains could be accrued under nationalisation are not available. As a result, the productivity of the sugar industry suffers This is the economic consequence of the lapse committed by the Government It is a serious contempt of the House and, therefore, a breach of privilege I seek your permission to raise this question of privilege against the Minister of Agriculture.

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AND SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY AND AGRICULTURE (SHRI C SUBRAMANIAM): Two points arise out of this One is with reference to this content of the memorandum Certainly the memorandum can give only facts and not fiction Therefore, whatever action has been taken or has not been taken has been stated in the memorandum and, therefore, is factually correct.

Then, with regard to the charge that we should have been more expeditious in examining the report, that Government should have functioned in a more expeditious manner, if that is the charge which the hon Member is making, perhaps I may be inclined to agree with him. But certainly it is not a matter which can be raised as a privilege that this is not a memorandum.

Therefore, I respectfully submit that I have complied with the provisions of the Act. In the memorandum I have stated what is the present state of affairs with reference to this Commission's report, and there is no question of misleading the House by giving any wrong facts there. If there is a failure on the part of the Government, the hon Member is entitled to raise it in any form he likes, but certainly not as a matter of privilege

श्री सधु लिसये: यध्यक्ष महोदय, यह जो शूगर दक्वायरो क्मीशन की रपट थी, इस के बारे में इन्होंने कहा है कि यह एक अनिरम रिपोर्ट थी। असली सवाल यह है कि पार्ट (1) जिस को आप कहते है कि यह अपने में एक गरिप्णं रपट है, उसके बारे में इननी देर बाद भी कोई एक्शन नहीं लिया गया।

SHRI C SUBRAMANIAM: This matter has already been decided. (Interruptions).

श्री सबु लिसये प्रध्यक्ष महोदय,
मैं यह कह रहा था कि जो अपने में परिपूर्ण
रण्ड थी जनने बार में दिमी तरह का एकणन
नही निया गया । केवल पार्ट (2) के बारे
में कहा है कि हम ने कुछ क्षणन लिया है ।
मैं पार्ट (2) के बार में नहीं कह रहा हू ।
पार्ट (1) जिस का आप कहते है कि
यह गिराणं रिपाट हे दनने दिना बाद
तक कार्ट का प्रवाही नहीं की गई है। इसलिए
मेरा यह कहना है कि इस पर आप मांचे और
फैंसला दें।

This is not a memorandum of action taken, this is a memorandum of inaction

SHRIS M BANERJEE (Kanpur).
Sir, I want to make a suomission.
When this was raised as a privilege motion, the report had not been laid on the Table of the House. Prof.

[Shri S. M. Banerjee]
Madhu Dandavate made his contention on the basis of the news which had come in the press.

He argued that this was not an interim report but this was a report which was full and complete report, self-contained report, regarding nationalisation of sugar industry. You will remember that Mr. N. N. Pandey also said this. This term of reference was added to their other terms of refe-This was down because all sections of the House, all hon. Members who participated, demanded nationalisation. On the basis of this specific demand made, this was included. So, this was added on to the other terms of reference and they were asked to report. On the basis of that, the Report was submitted. And the only report which was submitted to the Government 1973. Government should have taken action. Government has deliberately delayed the whole thing. This is just to give free-hand to mill magnates to do what they like. They try to convert the sugar mills into junk and after they have extracted the full profits only they will give it to the Government. Mr. Subramaniam has misled the House to this extent that he called that report as interim report. That is a self-contained report and the only report; thereford due to his omission and commission we consider that what he said was misleading the House.

भी अंकर दयाल सिंह (च ..रा) : इस सम्बन्ध में में एक निवेदन करना चाहता हूं। यह जो चीनी उद्योग जाच आयोग की रिपोर्ट हम लोगों के मामने हैं, जिस पर हम विचार कर रहे है, यह आयोग 1970 में गठित हुआ या और 1974 के फरवरी में इस ने अपनी रिपोर्ट दी है। आज गेंदा बाबू इस सदन में अभी नहीं है, जिन का बराबर चीनी उद्योग क त्रारे में दर्द रहा है और बराबर सदन में वे इस के वारे में बोलने रहे हैं — अब वे सदन में आग गये हैं — मान्यवर, मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि यह जो रिपोर्ट

हमारे सामने आई है, इस का हिन्दी वर्तन नहीं आया है और क्योंकि इस में निखा हुआ है, इसलिए मैं आपको बता रहा हूं कि सदन के सामने यह आना चाहिए। तभी हम इस पर विचार करेंगे।

भ्रष्यक्ष महोदय: भ्रापित्म तरफ चले गये है।

भी शंकर बवाल सिंह: मान्यवर, मैं वाहता हूं कि हिन्दी रिपोर्टभी ग्रानी वाहिए।

अध्यक्ष महोबय: आप हि दी वर्शन के बारे में हर जगह घुमेंड देते हैं। श्रमी तो यहा पर मीर बात चल रही है। यहा पर एक टेक्निकल प्वाइन्ट पर बात रूर रही है श्रीर आप 'हिन्दी' में पड गये है।

श्री शंकर बयाल सिंह: मै टा के श्रनमार हो इस का उठा रहाह। इस में लिखा इसा है श्रीर मैने इस को 'इने में समय लगाया है।

प्रथम महोदय : इस तरह से मल्टो-परपज केन रखना बड़ा मृष्टिन है। यह तो एक तरहती चलता है।

MR. SPEAKER: Prof. Dandavate, I appreciate very much your point of view

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE: Please don't depreciate it now.

MR. SPEAKER: I appreciate it. I tried to know how it is a question of privilege. Because, privilege is something you cannot make by far-fetched meins. It is on failure of the Government. Now, he has taken the interpretation in his own way and you have taken it in your own way,—according to the Action Taken Report this should have been included in the Memorandum,—and he says, it includes whatever up to that stage was there about the action taken.

26

12 hrs.

There are no rules as to what is the limitation for the action taken on the whole or part of the report. The whole thing I shall have to consider sometime in the meeting of the Rules Committee so that I may get the time and the guidance on the action taken report as to whether the action taken is available upto the date of presentation or is the action taken has to be completed before the date of presentation of the report.

I have consider this in all its aspects. The other day when I came before the House I could not come prepared Sir, I am now not in a position to accept it as a privilege. At the most it can be taken as a failure on the part of Government. And, if it is going to be a failure of the Government, I shall have to consider in what form it is a failure of the Govern-I shall discuss this with you or some other Members and, in the Rules Committee I must have some idea before I could come with information. I have not got any information just now which will go down as a precedent for the future cases A number of such reports come. Sometimes the report is not ready for want of holding some meetings Earlier also, you know that in the case of Administrative Reforms Commission, we waited for their reports for many years. So, let us drop this at this stage.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE: You permit me to say this. You have said that one has to make up his mind about the stage at which the report has to be given regarding the action taken. I wish to point out to you one thing. That is, on page 3, paragraph 7, the report says that:

"We need some time for examining as well as deciding about the subject of.."

It means that this relates to nationalisation of the industry. As far as that is concerned, the report was submitted about one year back. You may consider that as an interim report. MR. SPEAKER: We must have certain things definitely before us to guide us for the future also.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE: Unfortunately, when you say or when you feel that it is the failure of the Government, the Minister does not even have the courtesy to express his regret.

When it is a case of failure, the Minister does not say so. He says that he can only talk about the facts.

MR. SPEAKER: In my own opinion, I want to know at what stage it can be regarded that action taken has any justification.

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE: The Minister is trying to ridicule by trying to say that he is concerned with the facts. He does not even regret for the failure.

MR SPEAKER: There may be some genuine difficulties.

12 04 hrs.

[SHRI DINESH CHANDRA GOSWAMI in the Chair]

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU (Diamond Harbour): Sir, I raise matter regarding the rape of one minority girl who was taken away from the custodian of Calcutta and who was raped by a local person....

MR CHAIRMAN: Now, Papers laid on the Table. Shri Shastri.

THE MINISTER OF WORKS AND HOUSING (SHRI BHOLA PASWAN SHASTRI) rose.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Sir, let me complete what I want to say.

MR. CHAIRMAN: No, please.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: I have given notice. (Interruptions).

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Bosu, please listen to me. I am on my legs. You cannot compel the Chair since you are [Mr. Chairman]

speaking without my permission. Whatever you say will not go on record.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: (*).

MR. CHAIRMAN: I am on my legs. Please listen to me. When I am on my legs, Members are supposed to take their seats. Why don't you listen to me? (Interruptions). I have not said what you said is unparliamentary. Why don't you listen to what I am saying?

12.05 hrs.

[MR SPEAKER in the Chair]

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Sir, I have written to you about a minority community girl who was abducted from her relations in Calcutta and was raped. She was recovered after three hours. She comes from....

MR. SPEAKER: You must speak on the subject on which you are allowed. I do not mind such matters coming but they must come at the proper time and through some motion

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: The girl belongs to a minority community of Anglo-Indians. She was raped by local hooligans.

MR. SPEAKER: We have got so many minority communities in this country. It is a law and order matter and it is a State subject. There should be some method of bringing it.

SHRI FRANK ANTHONY (Nomnated-Anglo-Indians): Sir, I rise on a point of order. I do not want to go into the merits of the allegation of

rape. But I was disturbed at the observation which came from the Chair that there are minorities and minorities in this country. Sir, when a Harijan woman is raped it is raised in the House and rightly so. If a Sikh woman is raped it is raised in this House. Suddenly because it is a minority community you say the matter is not impertant enough. I want an assurance. I deal with cases of tape and most of them are of Harijan women. I know what happens. They maks reports. The caste Hindu investigating officers do not record On the other hand, they bring false counter cases against Harijans. want an assurance that this case will be investigated by some kind of a special agency and will not be left to the mercies of some local people who will hush the whole thing. That is all I want.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU. What is the proper motion? We can give only notice under Rule 377 to reach you before ten O'clock

MR. SPEAKER There are 50 motions. I agree for one. If you like, 50 can come every day. You don't know how many others are received which one is allowed, which one is permitted. Such matters could come on a subsequent day, if not to-day. I would not be denying it in any shape. Today, we had a privilege motion. There may be some other mutions.

^(*) Not recorded.

29

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Gujarat Vacant Lands m Urban Areas (Prohibition of Alienation) Amendment Act, 1974 and Gujarat Goverament Orders under Guiarat Vacant Lands in Urban Areas (Prohibition of Alienation) Act. 1972.

THE MINISTER OF WORKS AND HOUSING (SHRI BHOLA PASWAN SHASTRI): I beg to lay on the Table:

- (i) A copy of the Gujarat Vacant Lands in Urban Areas (Prohibition of Alienation) Amendment Act, 1974 (President's Act No. 10 of 1974) (Hindi and English versions) published in Gazette of India dated the 7th August, 1974 under sub-section (3) of section 3 of the Gujarat State Legislature (Delegation of Powers) Act, 1974 together with an explanatory note [Placed in Library. See No LT-8365/74]
- (ii) (a) A copy each of the following Gujarat Government Orders under sub-section (4) of section 7 of the Gujarat Vacant Lands in Urban Areas (Prohibition of Alienation) Act, 1972, read with clause (c) (iii) of the Proclamation dated the 9th February, 1974 issued by the President in relation to the State of Gujarat.
 - (1) Order No VCT/SR, 595/72. dated the 29th November, 1973 in the case of Shri Walter Jacob Christian and others.
 - (2) Order No. VCT/SR/15/72. dated the 29th November. 1973, in the case of Shrı Abdul Karimbhai Hajinabibhai.
 - (3) Order No VCT/SR/579/72. dated the 29th November, 1973 in the case of Shri Dineshbhal Mohanlal Desai.
 - (4) Order No. VCT/SR/70/73. dated the 3rd December, 1973 in the case of Shri Lalbhal Devchand Shah.
- (5) Order No. VCT/SR/11/13/14/ 72, dated the 5th December, 2014 LS-2

- 1973 in the case of Shri Chandrakant Chotalal Desai.
- (6) Order No. VCT/SR/755 dated the 29th December, 1973 in the case of Shri Shankalchand Jaichandbhai Patel.
- (7) Order No. VCT/SR/573/72 dated the 15th December, 1973 in the case of Shri Dhirubhai Ranchhodbhai Desai.
- No. VCT/SR/37/73 (8) Order dated the 29th December, 1973 in the case of Shri Trikamlal Ranchhodas Panchal.
- (9) Order No. VCT/SR/635/72 dated the 31st December, 1973 in the case of Shri Hamirbhai Kanjibhai Doriya.
- (10) Order No VCT/SR/573/72 dated the 8th January. 1974 in the case of Adarsh Cooperative Industrial Estate Ltd.
- (11) Order VCT/SR/576/73 No dated the 8th January, 1974 in the case of Shri K. N. Taneja.
- (12) Order No. VCT/SR/78/73 dated the 3rd February, 1974 in the case of Shubhsegar Mills Pvt Ltd.
- No VCT/SR/29/73 (13) Order dated the 3rd February, 1974 in the case of M/s. Rupam Cine Enterprise.
- No VCT/SR/77/73 (14) Order dated the 13th February, 1974 in the case of M/s. Dugar Textile Mills Pvt. Ltd.
- (15) Order No. VCT/SR/45/72 dated the 13th February, 1974 in the case of Mahalaxmi Metal and Rolling Factory.
- (16) Order No. VCT/SR/740 dated the 13th February, 1974, in the case of Shri Vijay Steel Rolling Mills Pvt. Ltd.
- No. VCT/SR/696/72 (17) Order dated the 19th February, 1974 in the case of Gravity Instrument Private Ltd.
- (18) Order No. VCT/SR/644/72 dated the 28th Feruary, 1974 in the case of Vishnu Cotton Jinning Factory.

RX .

- (19) Order No. VCT/3074/25904-V dated the 20th April, 1974 in the case of Shri Chandra Sinha Gambhirsinha Venkaneda Tal, Palsana.
- (20) Order No. VCT/1474/17747-V. dated the 29th April, 1974 in the case of Shri Ramji Motiji Thakore, Chodasar Taluka City.
- (21) Order No. VCT/1473/85194-V dated the 11th April, 1974 in the case of Shri Naroda Satyanarayan Cooperative Housing Society, Ahmedabad
- (22) Order No. VCT-2074/Kha/ 2152-V dated the 18th April, 1974 in the case of Shri Surajlal Maganbhai Paneria, Pannera Pardi Taluka Bulsar.
- (23) Order No. VCT-3073/Kha/ 4937-V dated the 21st April, 1974 in the case of Shri Santilal Rangildas Khandwala Surat.
- (24) Order No. VCT/2473/113882-V dated the 11th April, 1974 in the case of Shri Devjibhai Ambabhai. Junagadh.
- (25) Order No. VCT/1474/25599-V dated the 29th April, 1974 in the case of Shri Ranchodbhai Atmaram, Sola Tal Daskroi.
- (26) Order No. VCT/2673/26480-V dated the 18th April, 1974 in the case of Shri Patel Bhikhabhai Shankarlal, Visnagar.
- (27) Order No. VCT/3173/100063-V dated the 16th April, 1974 in the case of Shri Mahavir Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Vidvan, Surendranagar.
- (28) Order No. VCT/3074/21742-V dated the 29th April, 1974 in the case of Shri Thakorebhai Kuberbhai Patel, Puna Tal Choriyasi.
- (29) Order No. VCT/1474/9179-V dated the 18th April, 1974 in the case of Shri Ishwarbhai

- Shivlal Patel, Narangpura, Taluka Daskroi, Distt. Ahmedabad.
- (30) Order No. VCT/1473/123874-W dated the 23rd April, 1974 in the case of Shri Ibrahim Daud Nabibhai, Jamalpur Chakla.
- (31) Order No. VCT/2373/43756-V dated the 29th April, 1974 in the case of Shri Rambhai Gigabhai & Bhanabhai Ratna, Junagadh.
- (32) Order No. VCT/SR/52/73 dated the 5th April, 1974 in the case of Shri Mahalaxmi Cotton Jinning Factory, Dholka.
- (33) Order No. VCT/SR/654/72 dated the 5th April, 1974 in the case of M/S. Rasik Cine Enterprise, Ahmedabad.
- (34) Order No. VCT/SR/130/73 dated the 7th April, 1974 in the case of Pinku Corporation, Ahmedabad.
- (35) Order No VCT/SR/638/72 dated the 20th April, 1974 in the case of Associated Labour Corporation, Ahmedabad.
- (36) Order No VCT/SR/89/73 dated the 22nd April, 1974 in the case of Gujarat State Fertiliser Company Ltd., Baroda.
- (37) Order No. VCT/SR/45/73 dated the 23rd April, 1974 in the case of Electro Engineering Products, Baroda.
- (38) Order No. VCT/SR/38/73 dated the 23rd April, 1974 in the case of Shri Epox Electricals Pvt. Ltd., Baroda,
- (39) Order No. VCT/SR/53/73 dated the 27th April, 1974 in the case of Smt. Navmalikaben Manubhai Kamdar, Baroda.
- (40) Order No. VCT/LND/WS/2228 dated the 19th April, 1974 in the case of Ambica Brick Industries, Godhra.

(41) Order No VCT/SR/258/72 dated the 20th April, 1974 in the case of Smt Harigangaben Ishwarlal, Katargam, Surat

Papers Laid

- (42) Order No VCT/RG/333 dated the 26th April 1974 in the case of Shri Ram Paper Mills Pvt Ltd Surat
- (43) Order No TNC/VCT dated the 5th April 1974 in the case of Vallabh Glass Works Vallabh-Vidyanagar
- (44) Order No TNC/VCT/SR/164/ WS/3780 dated the 20th April 1974 in the case of M/S Krishna Metal Industries, Nadiad
- (45) Order No TNC VCT/SR/202/ WS 3772 dated the 20th April, 1974 in the case of Shri Ravjibhai Parsottam Uttarsanda Tal Nadiad
- (46) Order No TNC VCT/SR/175/ WS 3805 dated the 30th April 1974 in the case of Bhagvati Cold Storage Nachad
- (47) Order No TNC VCT SR/80/ WS dated the 20th April 1974 in the case of Shii Chaturbhai Lallubhai Patel Moguri Tal Anand
- (48) Order No TNC VCT/SR 80/ WS/3665 dated the 20th April 1974 in the case of Shri Vithalbhai Lakhabhai Uttarsanda Tal Nadiad
- (49) Order No LND/VST/Shp-6590 WS 2337 dated the 19th April 1974 in the case of Gujarat Cables and Enemaled Products Pvt Ltd Ankleshwar
- (50) Order No VCT/1474/41648-V
 dated the 16th May 1974 in
 the case of Shri Sakaraji
 Udaji Thakore, Ambethi Tal
 Dholka
- (51) Order No VCT/3074/35848-V dated the 27th May, 1974 in the case of Shrı Durlabhbhai

- Lallubhai, Sandhiar, Tal Olpad
- (52) Order No VCT/2074/7511-V
 dated the 27th May 1974 in
 the case of Shri Navsari
 Vibhag Kushtarog Nivaran
 Mandal Navsari
- (53) Order No VCT/2074/1567-V
 dated the 1st June 1974 in
 the case of Shri Dhirubhai
 Ranchodji Desai Vapi Tal
 Pardi
- (54) Order No VCT/1874/37149-V dated the 1st June 1974 in the case of Shri Nathubhai Dhanabhai Akwada Tal Bhavnagar
- (55) Order No VCT/1473/88747-V
 dated the 7th June 1974 in
 the case of Shri Ambaji
 Mataji Devasthan Managing
 Committee Palanpur
- (56) Order No VCT/1473/17882-V dated the 14th June 1974 in the case of Shri Hasumukhlal Keshavlal Patel Ahmedabad
- (57) Order No VCT/3074/45215-V dated the 5th June 1974 in the case of Shri Kalidas Dahyabhai, Ahmedabad
- (58) Order No VCT/1473/23044-V dated the 24th June 1974 in the case of Shri Popatlal Premchand & Subhodhchandra Popatlal Ahmedabad
- (59) Order No VCT 1473/89045-V
 dated the 25th June, 1974 in
 the case of Shri Jitendra Patel
 Chairman of Yashsagar Cooperative Housing Society
 Limited Ahmedabad
- (60) Order No VCT 2874/41649-V dated the 27th June 1974 in the case of Shri Vadaliya Rasulfateh Rajvadala Tal. Vankaner Rajkot
- (61) Order No VCT-1774/37688-V dated the 27th June 1974 in the case of Shri Chaganbhai Punjabhai Patalwadia, Bill Tal, Baroda.

- (62) Order No. VCT-2072/1545-V dated the 27th June, 1974 in the case of the State Bank Staff Cooperative Housing Society (Proposed), Bulsar.
- (63) Order No. VCT/2473/113075-V dated the 28th June, 1974 in the case of S. T. Employees Cooperative Housing Society (Proposed) Borsad, Dist. Kaira,
- (64) Order No. VCT/2874/47806-V dated the 10th July. 1974 in the case of Shri Bhimsinha Gulabsinha Jadeja, Rajkot.
- (65) Order No. VCT/3073/141890-V dated the 16th July, 1974 in the case of Shri Jamnadas Maganlal, Surat.
- (66) Order No. VCT/1774/659-V dated the 17th July, 1974 m the case of The Krishna Cooperative Housing Society, Baroda.
- (67) Order No. VCT/SR/82/73 dated the 2nd May, 1974 in the case of Sukrut Cooperative Industries, Ahmedabad.
- '(68) Order No. VCT/SR/705/72 dated the 6th May, 1974 in the case of Gangadhar Cotton Pressing Factory, Ahmedabad,
- (69) Order No. VCT/SR/705/72 dated the 6th May, 1974 in the case of M/S. Leubi Engineering, Ahmedabad.
- (70) Order No. VCT/SR/119/73
 dated the 14th May, 1974 in
 the case of Madhavpura Market Shop and Ware Housing
 Cooperative Society Ltd.,
 Ahmedabad.
- (71) Order No. VCT/SR/42/72 dated the 16th May, 1974 in the case of Shri Jamanadas Narayandas Jethanand Harijani. Ahmedabad.
- (72) Order No. VCT/SR/19/73 dated the 18th May, 1974 in the case of M/S. Continental Industries Ahmedabad.
- (78) Order No. VCT/SR/118/73 dated the 18th May, 1974 in

- the case of Shri Nathubhai Kuberdas Prajapati, Ahmedabad.
- (74) Order No. VCT/SR/507/72 dated the 30th May, 1974 in the case of M/S. Vadnagarwala Dying and Printing Works, Ahmedabad.
- (75) Order No. VCT/SR/508/72
 dated the 30th May, 1974 in
 the case of M/S. Ganibhai
 Rahimji Vadnagarwala,
 Ahmedabad.
- (76) Order No. VCT/SR/138/73 dated the 17th June, 1974 in the case of Ellisbridge Shopping Centre Owners Association, Ahmedabad.
- (77) Order No. VCT/SR/178/73 dated the 6th July, 1974 in the case of Smt. Laxmiben Sivaji Thakore, Ahmedabad.
- (78) Order No. VCT/ Case No. 20 dated the 13th May, 1974 in the case of M/S. Indian Chemical Industries, Rajkot.
- (79) Order No. (Vacant land case) No. 29 dated the 13th May, 1974 in the case of Shri Dayalal Mulji & Shri Ravji Mulji, Upletha
- (80) Order No. (Vacani land case) No. 16 dated the 20th May, 1974 in the case of M/S. Guldip Corporation, Kuvadva, Rajkot.
- (81) Order No. (Vacant land case) No. 30 dated the 30th May. 1974 in the case of Mohanlal Dayabhai Vadera, Rajkot.
- (82) Order No. (Vacant land case) No. 21 dated the 31st May, 1974 in the case of Shri Vasantlal Popatlal Malaviya, Gondal, Distt. Rajkot.
- (83) Order No. (Vacant land case) No. 10 dated the 6th June, 1974 in the case of Shri Hirji Ratna and others, Upleta.
- (84) Order No. VCT case No. 33 dated the 7th June, 1974 in the case of Kumari Shri Hit-

- endra Kumariba Rasikkumar Sinhji Zala, Wankaner.
- (85) Order No. (Vacant land case) No. 27 dated the 27th June, 1974 in the case of M/s. Jagadamba Pottery Works, Kankaner.

Papers Laid

- (86) Order No. (Vacant land case) No. 34 dated the 27th June, 1974 in the case of Shri Bharat Re. factory, Morbi, Distt., Rajkot.
- (87) Order No. Bhumi/VCT dated the 1st May, 1974 in the case of Shri Govindbhai Ranchodbhai Dalvadi, Broach.
- (88) Order No. LND/VCT dated the 1st May, 1974 in the case of Shri Vasantlal Bhikhabhai Mistry, Broach.
- (89) Order No. Bhumi/VCT dated the 1st May, 1974 in the case of Shri Hasmukhlal Maganlal Shah, Chapra, Tal, Ankleshwar.
- (90) Order No. LND/VCT dated the 1st May, 1974 in the case of Shri Jitendra Jashbhai Patel.
- (91) Order No. LND/VCT dated the 1st May. 1974 in the case of Shri R .C. Sodagar.
- (92) Order No. LND/VCT dated the 1st May, 1974 in the case of Shri M. B. Dalwadi.
- (93) Order No. Bhumi/VCT dated the 1st May, 1974 in the case of Shri J. K. Pandya.
- (94) Order No. Bhumi/VCT dated the 1st May, 1974 in the case of Shri Jayantilal Bhikhabhai Modi.
- (95) Order No. LND/VCT 44/74 dated the 8th May, 1974 in the case of Shri N. C. Modi.
- (96) Order No. Bhumi/VCT dated the 9th May, 1974 in the case Shri P. A. Shah, Partner,

- Anand Engineering Works, Broach.
- (97) Order No. Bhumi/VCT/Wasl dated the 16th May, 1974 in the case of Shri V. M. Dodaji, Director, Cowaran Pvt. Ltd.
- (98) Order No. VCT/SR/340 dated the 3rd May, 1974 in the case of Tapi Industrial Cooperative Service Society (Proposed).
- (99) Order No. VCT/SR/296/73 dated the 7th May, 1974 in the case of J & K Industries, Surat.
- (100) Order No. VCT/SR/332 dated the 9th May, 1974 in the case of Shri Kantılal K. Thakore, Partner of Kamal Tiles.
- (101) Order No. VCT/SR/279 dated the 5th July, 1974 in the case of Shri Ramkrishna Industrial Cooperative Service Society Limited, Surat.
- (102) Order No. VCT/SR/316 dated the 5th July, 1974 in the case of Shri Gulabchand Syamal, Olpad, Distt. Surat.
 - (103) Order No. VCT/SR/36/73 dated the 2nd May, 1974 in the case of M/s. Inland Cryogenic Private Ltd., Baroda.
- (104) Order No. Ch. LND-I, VCT/, 691/74 dated the 17th June, 1974 in the case of Shri Jalram Cold Storage-Ice Factory, Amreli.
- (105) Order No. Ch. LND/4VCT/892/4 74 dated the 28th May, 1974 in the case of Bharat Cement Pipe Industries, Amreli.
- (106) Order No. VCT/SR/65/73 dated the 15th May 1974 in the case of Shreyas Education Trust, Baroda.
- (107) Order No. VCT/SR/15/73 dated the 18th May, 1974 in the case of Alembic Chemical Works Company Ltd., Baroda.

- (108) Order No. VCT/SR/101/74 dated the 4th June, 1974 in the case of Sarabhai M. Chemicals (Telerad Private Ltd.,) Baroda.
- (109) Order No. VCT/SR/44/74
 dated the 4th June, 1974 in the
 case of Shri Nitinbhai Chhotabhai Desai and Others,
 Baroda.
- (110) Order No. VCT/SR/42/73 dated the 4th June, 1974 in the case of Shri Haribhai Bhimbhai Naik and Others, Baroda.
 - (111) Order No. VCT/SR/43/73 dated the 4th June, 1974 in the case of Shri Savailal Amritlal Seth, K. M. Haribhai Bhimbhai Naik
- (112) Order No VCT/SR/23/74
 dated the 26th June, 1974 in
 the case of Shri Amarsinha
 Ranchod Solanki and Others,
 Garadhia Tal, Savali.
- (113) Order No. VCT/SR/95/73 dated the 5th July, 1974 in the case of Shri Motibhai Kalidas Patel and Others.
- (114) Order No. VCT/SR (16/73 dated the 5th July, 1974 in the case of Shri Light Publication Ltd., Baroda.
- (115) Order No. VCT/SR/115/74 dated the 6th July, 1974 in the case of M/s. Fluid Controls. Baroda.
- (116) Order No. VCT/SR/114/74 dated the 6th July, 1974 in the case of M/s. Alfied Castings, Baroda.
- (117) Order No. VCT/SR/112/74
 dated the 6th July, 1974 in the
 case of M/s. Jyoti Limited,
 Baroda.
- (118) Order No. VCT/SR/34/73 dated the 6th July, 1974 in the case of Shri Suryakant Somabhai Patel.
- (119) Order No. VCT/SR/92/73 dated the 10th July, 1974 in the case of Shri S. P. Purohit, Attorney, Dhir Krishi Mangal-Society, Baroda.

- (120) Order No. LND-2-C 1481 dated the 26th June, 1974 in the case of Unity Industries, Junagadh, Junagadh.
- (121) Order No. VCT/SR/365 dated the 11th July, 1974 in the case of S. K. Industrial Coop. Service Society Ltd., (Proposed) Surat.
- (122) Order No CH/VCT/SR-2/1974 dated the 1st June, 1974 in the case of M/s. Dayaram Metal Works Pvt., Ltd., Bellimora
 - (123) Order No. CH/VCT/SR-31/74 dated the 8th July, 1974 in the case of the Amalgamated Electricity Co., Ltd., Bulsar
- (124) Order No CH/VCT/SR/18/73 dated the 15th July. 1974 in the case of Ram Rolling Mills Pvt. Ltd., Navasari
- (125) Order No LND/NA/M/1272 dated the 29th May, 1974 in the case of M/s Rajdeep Ceramic Industries, Maniari, Tal, Mehsana.
- (126) Order No. LND/NA/WS/969 dated the 16th July, 1974 in the case of Bal Jadiben, W/o. Gangaram Lalludas, The Proprietor of N. K. Brother, Unza Tal, Sidhpur.
- (127) Order No. TNC/VCT/SR-195/, WS/7346 dated the 13th May, 1974 in the case of Patel Export Co., Nadiad.
- (128) Order No TNC/VCT/SR-212 dated the 20th May, 1974 in the case of Shri Rajendra Prasad Ishwarbhai Patel.
- (129) Order No. TNC/VCT/SR-72/. WS 735 dated the 31st May, 1974 in the case of Shri R. J. Patel of Umreth, Holding Powers of Attorney from Maniben W/o Punjabhai Jedhabhai Patel.
- (130) Order No. TNC/VCT/SR/77/ WS/ 3637 dated the 31st May, 1974 in the case of Shri Chhotabhai Punjabhai Patel, Nadiad.
- (131) Order No. TNC/VCT/SR-111/ WS/7396 dated the 31st May

- 1974 in the case of Shri Chandrakant Chunilal of Umroth Tal. Anand.
- (132) Order No. TNC/VCT/SR. 166/, 73 dated the 31st May, 1974 in the case of Shri Ambalal Hathibhai Patel, Pipal Tal. Nadiad.

Papers Laid

- (133) Order No. TNC/VCT/SR-178/ WS/7388 dated the 31st May, 1974 in the case of M/s. Nadiad Wire Netting Industries, Nadiad
- (134) Order No TNC/VCT/SR-87/, WS/7422 dated the 7th June. 1974 in the case of Borsad Oil Mill, Borsad.
- (135) Order No TNC/VCT/SR. 140/, WS/7235 dated the 28th June, 1974 in the case of Borsad Taluka Cooperative Cotton Sale and Jinning and Pressing Society Borsad.
- (136) Order No TNC/VCT/SR-92/, WS/7532 dated the 28th June 1974 in the case of Eliraben, D/o Dudhabhai Jivabhai, Khadana Tal, Petland.
- (137) Order No TNC/VCT/SR-298/ WS/7541 dated the 4th July. 1974 in the case of Shri Pitambardas Jagjivandas Dalwadi. Nadiad.
- (138) Order No. TNC NCT/SR-218/ WS/7473 dated the 11th June, 1974 in the case of Shri Vijyakumar Chhanganlal Mohji, Tal, Mehmdabad.
- (139) Order No. TNC/VCT/SR-216/, WS/7471 dated the 11th June, 1974 in the case of Shri Ganshyambhai Gulabsing Vaghela Mahij.
- (140) Order No. TNC/iVCT/SR-217/ WS 7472 dated the 11th June, 1974 in the case of Shri Ambalal Nagjibhai Patel, Mahiji, 'Tal, Mehmdabad.

- (141) Order No. TNC/VCT/SR-240/ WS/7467 dated the 11th June, 1974 in the case of Shri Vakhjibhai Lallubhai Patel, Nadiad.
- (142) Order No. TNC/VCT/SR-196/ WS/5524 dated the 11th June 1974 in the case of Anand Taluka Coop. Cotton and Jinning and Pressing Society, Ltd., Anand.
- (143) Order No. TNC/VCT/SR. 78 dated the 11th June, 1974 in the case of Shri Chhotabhai Gokaibhai, Narsanda, Nadiad.
- (144) Order No. TNC/VCT/SR-210 dated the 12th July 1974 in the case of M/s. Patel and Co., Borsad.
- (145) Order No. TNC/VCT/SR-209/ WS, dated the 12th July, 1974 in the case of M/s. Mukesh & Co. Borsad.
- (146) Order No. TNC/VCT/SR 103/, WS dated the 12th July, 1974 in the case of Anand Patel Truck Factory, Anand.
- (147) Order No. TNC/VCT/SR. 250/; WS 7916 dated the 12th July, 1974 in the case of Uttarsanda Kalavani Mandal, Uttarsanda.
- (148) Order No TNC/VCT/SR 125/ WS/4004 dated the 15th July, 1974 in the case of Power Cables Pvt. Ltd., Nadiad.
- (149) Order No VCT/iV/1433 dated the 10th May, 1974 in the case of Emergency Mechanic Works Surendranagar.
- (150) Order No. VCT/V/57/74 dated the 14th May, 1974 in the case of Shri D. C. Industries Ltd., Limbdi.
- (151) Order No. VCT/V/1509/73 dated the 20h May, 1974 in the case of Shri Bharat Jinning and Pressing Factory, Surendarnagar.
- (152) Order No. VCT/V/56/74 dated the 10th June. 1974 in the case of Shri Saurashtra Steel Industries Ltd., Surendranagar
- (153) Order No. VCT/V/475/74, dated the 21st June, 1974 in the

1

case of M/s Amba Mechanic works, Surendranager.

- (154) Order No. VCT/V/2968 dated the 27th June, 1974 in the case of Shri Mahavir Mandal Industries, Surendranagar.
- (155) Order No. VCT/V/1117 dated the 6th July, 1974 in the case of Shri Surendranagar Udyognagar Sahakari Mandali Ltd., Surendranagar.
- (156) Order No. VCT/V/1788 dated the 12th July, 1974 in the case of Shri Khatki Umarbhai Kalubhai.
- (157) Order No. VCT/V/1637 dated the 15th July, 1974 in the case of M/s. Suketu Textiles, Surendranagar.
 - (b) A statement (Hindi and English version) showing (i) reasons for delay in laying the above Orders, and (ii) for not laying the Hindi versions thereof.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-8365/74.]

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU (Diamond Harbour): Sir, kindly see Item No. 2, sub-para (ii) (1). The order is dated 29th November 1973. The subsequent two orders are also dated 29th November 1973. These should have come long ago before this House.

MR. SPEAKER: Which number is this?

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: We had the Budget Sersion. They had imposed President's Rule long ago. What was this hon Minister doing, sitting in his room? Does he not look after his work? I have grave doubts.

MR, SPEAKER: You just raise your objection.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Sir, he should explain this.

भी भोरना पास्वान वास्त्री: कारण तो विया है, उन को समझना वाहिए। कारण यह है कि 9 फरवरी, 1974 को गुजरात में प्रेसीडेंट्स रूल लागू हुआ। वहां से 29 जुलाई, को हमारे यहां खबर आई। 29 जुलाई के बाद सदन का सैशन नही था, उसके बाद यही सैशन हो रहा है। 19 भगस्त को हमने पालियामेंट से पेश करने के लिए भेज दिया। इसलिए गवर्नमेंट की तरफ से और मेरे विभाग की तरफ से कोई भूल नही हुई है।

श्री शंकर बयाल लिह (चतरा) :

मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है। मेरा कहना है

कि हिन्दी में इस को इन्होंने नहीं रखा है।

मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि हिन्दी में इन का

विवरण कव तक भ्राएगा, यह मंत्री महोदय

बताएं।

श्री भोला पास्वान शास्त्री: दो सवाल उठाए, गए हैं। एक तो यह कि फरवरी-मार्च मे सैशन था, उस मे क्यों नही रखा गया? गुजरात मे जिस वक्त प्रेसीडेट्स रूल लागू हुआ, गवर्नमेट की स्थिति साधारण नहीं थी इसलिए कागजात मेरे पास नहीं आए ।

भी ज्योतिमंद बसु : क्यो नही भ्राया ?

श्री भोला पश्चान शास्त्री: इसलिए नहीं झाया कि वहा पर प्रेसीडेंट्स रूल लाखू हुझा, जब प्रेसीडेंट्स रूल लागू होता है, गवर्नमेट में चेंज होता है तो कुछ दिक्कतें होती हैं, उस को सभालना पड़ता है। .. (व्यवधान) ...

जहां तक हिन्दी का सवाल है यह बात ठीक है कि हिन्दी में धाना चाहिए। लेकिन गवर्नमेंट का धार्डर है धौर होम मिनिस्ट्री का धार्डर है कि जो लोकल भाषा है जैसे गुजराती में पिन्सकेशन हुआ है, हिन्दी में नहीं हुआ है, हम यह नहीं कहते हैं कि हिन्दी में नहीं धाना चाहिए, लेकिन इतना बास्यूगिनस वेपर था कि हिन्दी में ट्रांसलेशन करते करते देर हो जाती, लेकिन जब गुजराती, लोकल भाषा वहां की है, अंग्रेजी और गुजराती में हो गया है तो ऐसा नहीं समझा गया कि जरूरी हैं-कि हिन्दी में आना ही चाहिए। ...(अयवधान)।

श्री शंकर बयाल सिंह: संविधान का कसे धाप उल्लंधन करेंगे? धाप कहते हैं कि जरूरी नहीं समझा गया। धाप कहिए कि हो रहा है, लाएंगे, लेकिन यह धाप कैसे कह सकते हैं? संविधान का उल्लंधन कैसे करेंगे?

प्रध्यक्ष महोवय: भाजकल का जमाना है कि अगर आप सच बोलेंगे तो उस की इतनी कदर नहीं है। आप उसी तरह कह दीजिए कि हिन्दी में भी आ जायेगा।

भी भोला पास्वान शास्त्री: मैंने यह नहीं कहा कि हिन्दी में नहीं श्राना चाहिए।

ग्राप्यक्ष महोबय: ग्राप मच बोले, उमे वह पसद नहीं करते। ग्राप बोलिए कि ठीक है, हिन्दी में ग्रा जायगा।

श्री मोला परवान शास्त्री: मैंने कहा है कि हिन्दी में भाना चाहिए। जहां तक सिद्धान्त का सवाल है, मैं माननीय सदस्य से एग्री करता हूं।

SHRI SEZHIYAN (Kumbakonam): The hon. Minister said that he had received the notifications on the 29th July....

MR. SPEAKER: He has stated the factual position.

SHRI SEZHIYAN: Did they inquire from the officials of the Gujarat Government why they had delayed the sending of these till that date? Did hey get any clarification from them?

MR. SPEAKER: Let him not be so strict.

Notification under Gujarat Private Forests (Acquisition) Act

THE MINISTER OF STATE THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI B. P. MAURYA): Sir, I to lay on the Table a copy of the Hindi version of the Gujarat Private (Acquisition) Rules. published in Notification No. GHKH-51; 74-PRF-1973-74-354-P in Gujarat Government Gazette dated the 4th April, 1974, under Sub-Section (1) of Section 22 of the Gujarat Private Forests (Acquisition) Act, 1972 with clause (c) (iii) of the Proclamation dated the 9th February, 1974 issued by the President in relation to the State of Gujarat [Placed in Lab-See No LT-8367/74]

श्री श्र्योतिर्मय बसु: यह गलत काम किया है। वह झार्डर 9 फरवरी, 1974 का है श्रीर आज दो सिनम्बर हो गया, करीब 7 महीने होने वाले है।

Why was he there sleeping over this

स्रध्यक्ष महोदय : 7 महीने में क्या हो सकता है ?

श्री ज्योतिमंथ वसु: 7 महीने मे बच्चा नहीं हो सकता है, यह तो मालूम है...

भ्रष्यक महोबय: एक साल तक हो जाता है। 7 महीने हुए है, पांच महीने भ्रीर रहते है एक साल पूरा होने मे।

श्री ज्योतिर्मय बसुः यह भ्राप कैसे कहते हैं ?

MR SPEAKER: I am not here only to say 'Yes' to whatever he likes. I have to give my suggestions also.

ANNUAL REPORTS AND AUDITED ACCOUNTS OF PUNJAB AGRO-INDUSTRIES CORPORATION LTD., CHANDIGARH, MYSORE STORE AGRO-INDUSTRIES CORPORATION LTD., BANGALORE AND HUMACHAL PRADESH AGRO-INDUSTRIES CORPORATION LTD., SIMLA, ETC.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI ANNASAHEB P. SHINDE): I beg to lay on the Table—

(1) A copy each of the following Reports (Mindi and English [Shri Annasasaheb P. Shinde] versions) under sub-section(1) of section 619A of the Companies Act, 156.—

- (i) Annual Report of the Punjab Agro-Industries Corporation Limited, Chandigarh, for the year 1971-72 along with the Audited Accounts and the comments of the comptroller and Auditor General thereon
- (ii) Anual Report of the Mysore State Agio-Industries Corporation Limited, Bangalore, for the year 1972-73 along with the Audited Accounts to the comments of the Comptroller and Auditor-General thereon,
- (iii) Annual Report of the Himachal Pradesh Agro Industries Corporation Limied Simla, for the year 1972-73 along with the Audited Accounts and the comments of the Comptroller and Auditor-General thereon,
- (2) A statement (Hindi and English versions) showing reasons for delay in Jaying the Report mentioned at (1) above

[Placed in Library See No LT-8368/74]

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU The first report to be laid under this item is that of the Punjab Agro-Industries Corporation Limited, Chandigarh for the year 1971-72, the second report relates to the year 1972-73 and the third report relates to the year 1972-73 and that is in respect of the Himachal Pradesh Agro Industries Corporation Limited Simla What was Mr Shmde doing there? Did he go to sleep, Sir?

SHRI SEZHIYAN (Kumbakunam) He has given the reasons already

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: I do not accept the reasons

SHRI ANNASAHEB P. SHINDE: I have explained the reasons.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU I do not accept the reasons

ANNUAL ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT REPORT OF PARADELP PORT TRUST FOR 1972-73

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PARLIAMEN-TARY AFFAIRS (SHRI B KARANAND) On behalf of Shri Pranab Kumar Mukherjee, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Annual Accounts of the Paradip Port Trust for the year 1972-73 and Audit Report thereon (Hindi and English versions) under sub-section (2) of section 103 of the Major Port Trusts Act 1963 [Placed in Library See No LT-8369/74 1

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU Here too, it relates to the year 1972 73

12 21 hrs

STATEMENT RE OWNERSHIP OF LAND BELOW THE SEA WITHIN TERRITORIAL WATERS

MR SPEAKER Now, Mr Gokhale is to make a statement

श्री अधु लिसये: (बांका): मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रकृत है कि यह किस प्रक्रिया, किस नियम के तहत हो रहा है? क्या यह 372 के तहत है? क्या यह डायरेक्शन 115 के तहत है? यदि ऐसा है तो मेरा पहले होना चाहिए। (अयवधान) आप बीच मे क्यो बोलते हैं? मैं एक एक नियम को लेकर बोल रहा हूं। अभी खत्म करता हू।

क्या यह 357 के तहत है—स्थक्तिगत स्पष्टीकरण ? धगर व्यक्तिगत स्पष्टी-करण है तो 115 (सी) डायरेक्शन का देख सीजिए। स्रध्यक्ष महोदय: साप मेरी बात सुम लीजिए। साप ने जब उन का स्टेटमेंट साया तो कुछ और बीज इम मे पैदा की जो कि उन्हें स्पष्टीकरण के लिए मैने भेज दी।

श्री मचुलिसये: प्रापदेख लीजिए, यह प्रिविलेज का सवाल बनता है या नहीं ग्रीर इम के ऊपर मुझे सुनिए।

स्रध्यक्ष सहोदय: ग्राप न स्पष्टीकरण माना वह मैने दिला दिया, श्रव प्रिविलेज इस में क्या होता है क्या नहीं, जिम बान में श्राप को शक था, उन्होंने कहा कि इस में कोई फर्क नहीं है, फिर भी मैंन उन में कहा कि जो भी स्पष्टीकरण देना है दे दीजिए। ग्राप एक तरफ एतराज उठाने है दूसरी तरफ कहते है कि स्टेटमेंट न दे।

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE How can you abdicate your responsibility?

मेरा प्रिविलेज

का सवाल है। मै मुनूगा इन को। इस के बाद ग्राप मुझ का मुनिए।

MR SPEAKER I did not find any ground for privilege in it I have allowed the hon Minister, and I shall allow the hon Member also to make his submissions.

THE MINISTER OF LAW. JUS-AFFAIRS TICE AND COMPANY (SHRI H. R GOKHALE): In earlier statement made on 2nd May, 1974, I referred to the scheme of reclamation formulated by the Government of Maharashtra relating to the foreshore and stated that the of the State Government to reclaim the foreshore land was based on the local legislation and that it did contravene article 297 of the Constitution. I thus explained the legel position relating to reclamation foreshore. I did not state then that

the Maharashtra Scheme was confined to foreshore only.

In a notice given on 8th May, 1974, the Member alleged that I had made a mis-statement that the Maharashtra Scheme was confined to the fore-On 8th August, 1974, I denied this allegation Thereafter in a Notice dated 16th August, 1974, the Mem ber made an attempt to prove how I had made a mis-statement I submit that I never said that the Maharashtra Scheme was confined to foreshore only It was never my intention to deal with the factual aspect of the case, as I am concerned only the legal aspect of the matter; nor do I propose to deal with the factual aspects, namely, whether the Scheme covers land beyond the foreshore.

श्री मचु लिमये: श्रध्यक्ष महोदय,
मैं श्राप के सामने उन के 2 मई के वक्नव्य में
से एक जुमला—शाखरी जुमला—पेश करता
ह, जिस के श्राधार पर श्राप फैसला कर
सकते हैं। गोखले साहब ने 2 मई
के वक्नव्य में कहा था—

"In conclusion, it might be stated that the reclamation of the fore-shore by the Maharashtra Government under the scheme of reclamation formulated by them did not contravene article 297 of the Constitution".

क्या इम का मतलब यह नहीं होता है कि रिक्लेमेशन की स्कीम केवल फारशोर तक सीमित है ...

कुछ मानमीय सबस्य : नही, नही।

भी मणु लिमये : नहीं कैसे ? घट्यक्ष महोदय, भाप फैसला कीजिए —हस का इम्पलाइड मीनिंग बिलकुल साफ है। मेरी यह गुजारिश है

52

भी सबु लिलये: फिर किन का फैसवा मांगुं ;-स्या गोखले साहब का या इदिरा गांधी का फैसला मांगुं ? घष्ट्यक महोदय, मैं तो भाप ही से फैसला मांगूगा।

I am not MR SPEAKER: cerned with the decision on it. It is a legal question.

भी मध् लिमये : ग्रध्यक महोदय, इस में यह स्टेटमेन्ट है --

"Scheme of Reclamation formulated by them".

Maharashtra By whom? By the Government. He stated:

"...did not contravene article 297 of the Constitution.".

इसका साफ मतलब है कि इन की राय मे बैक वे रिक्लेमेशन स्कीम फोरशोर तक सीमित है अगर वे मेरी इस कस्टैन्शन को मानते हैं तो मेरा कहना है कि इस टैरिटोरियल बाटर्स के नीचे जुमीन भी इस रिक्लेमेशन में आई है मैं मैप्स ला कर आप के सामने रखने के लिये तैयार हुं द्वाप उन को भी बुला लीजिये। म नैबीके मैप्स पेश कर साबित करूगा कि इस रिक्लैमेणन की स्कीम में टैरिटोयिल बाटसं के नीचे की जमीन भी भाई है जो भाप की जमीन थी लेकिन आप महाराष्ट्र गवनंमैन्ट को सर्टिफिकेट दिये का रहे हैं। 2 करोड़ 80 लाख रुपये की रिश्वत काली 16 प्लाट्स की विकी में ली गई हैं। झध्यक्षमहोदय, कानून मंत्री हमारे प्रधिकारों की रक्षा नहीं कर रहे है। बस्कि उनको सर्टिफिकेट दे रहें हैं कि फोरशोर तक हुए इन की स्कीम सीमित है।

भ्रध्यक्ष महोदय, यह फैक्टस का सवाल है आप गोखले साहब भीर सरदार स्वर्ण सिंह जी को बला लीजिये मैं नेबी के मेण्स ले कर झाता हं मैं इंण्यिन टाइड टैबिल्ब और बम्बई रिक्लेशमॅशन स्कीम भी ले बाता हं-मैं बाप के सामने साबित करूगा कि निशिचत रूप से इनके के कुछ क्लाक्स ऐसे है जो टैरिटोरियल बाटर में है यानी साल भर, 24 घन्टे पानो के नीचे यह जमीन रहती है अप इस के बारे में अपना फैसला दीजिये म सारे दस्तावेज भाप के सामने रखने का तैयार हं-प्राप उस में सरदार साहब को बुला ले, गोखले साहब को बना ले और इधर से प्रपोजीसन वालो को भी बला लीजिये मै साबित करने को तैयार ह-मेग चेलेन्ज है गोखले साहब को।

MR. SPEAKER: I am not here to sit in judgment over it. I am not concerned with the legal aspect of it. I am not sitting here as a judge of a High Court. I am sitting here as the Speaker to allow the hon. Member to put his case and to allow the hon Minister also to be put his case.

श्री मध् सिमये : इस क्या कानूनी सवाल है यह तो तथ्यो फैक्टस का सवाल है झगर इस तरह से मन्नी महोदय, गलन बयानी करते जायेगे तो कैसे चलेगा।

MR SPEAKER These are matters. I shall allow the hon, Member to put his case and not to go into the legal aspects and ask for a legal finding on it. You can just go to Shri Anthony, engage him, got his opinion and go to the court. is a topmost lawyer. He will be very much helpful asa member of the Lok Sabha.

श्री वस लियसे: भाप हमारी बात सुन शींजिये अपने चेम्बर में इन दोंनो मिनिस्टरों भौर धपोजीसन वालों को बला लीजिये।

स्रध्यक्ष महोदय : बीसियों बातों की यहां डील करना पड़ रहा है, अब आप चाहते है कि एक कोर्ट धन्दर लगा लुं मेरे को तो यही बरदास्त करना मृश्किल है।

श्री मध लिमधे: ग्राप ग्रक्मर चैम्बर में बुलाते है चुकि यह मामला सरकार के लिये एक्बरेसिंग है, इम लिये नहीं बुलाना चाहते हैं।

MR. SPEAKER: I cannot accept that position.

श्री मधु लिमये: भ्राखिर चेयर का फंक्शन क्या है ? चेयर सदन के ब्रधिकारों की रक्षा करता है।

The minister has told a blatant lie. a white lie.

ऐसा लगता है। कि भ्राप उन को बरी कर रहे हैं। धाष्यक्ष महोदय: इस काम को मैं नही करुंगा

श्री मध् लिमये: ग्राप नही करेंगे तो कौन करेगा यह सदन के विषेषाधिकारो का मामला है। चलिये प्राप को बरी कर दिया। गोखले साहब , धाप बड़े भाग्यवान है।

ध्राप्यक्ष महोदय : ग्राप बरी करने वाले कीन है?

STATEMENT BY MEMBER RE: REPLY TO SUPPLEMENTARY ON S. Q. NO. 225 ABOUT PAYMENT TO RAILWAY EMPLOYEES FOR THE STRIKE PERIOD

SHRIMATI PARVATHI KRISHNAN (Colmbatore): Mr. Speaker, Sir, On 6th August 1974 while replying to a supplementary arising out of starred question No. 225, Shri Mohd. Shafi Qureshi gave an answer which is contrary to facts.

My supplementary question was as follows:

"Sir, I would like to know from the Honourable Minister, of these reinstated cases, how many have been demoted ..?".

In his reply, the Minister stated that..... "All appeals are being decided on merits. All these people have been taken back on the original posts There has been no case of demotion so far."

I have, with me, Sir, documents to prove that the Minister was not giving correct information in his reply. To quote only one example, on 16th July 1974, that is three weeks before the Minister gave his reply, the following order was served on one Shri J. P. Srivastava, an employee in the Allahabad Division of the Northern Railway:

"Letter No. 230. Elect RSO Conf ZZ 74 (Appeal 127A 1974, dt. 16.7.74)

From: DP.O. N. Rly., Ald to Sr. J. P. Srivastava c'o T. F. R. Ald.

The Divl. Supdt. has considered your appeal quoted above in terms of Rules 1968 and passed the following order which may please be noted.

He was an active participant in the strike. He even gave press statement exhorting people to go on strike. We may take him back but revert him as fireman Gr. C. permanently break in service to be enforced.

You are accordingly directed to report to Loco Foreman, Ald. to take up your duty as fireman Gr. C. immediately. The intervening period between the date of your dismissal from service and date of reinstatement would be treated as break in service for all purposes."

55 St. re. supplementary SEPTEMBER 2, 1974 St. re. supplementary on S.Q. re. rly. employees on S.Q. re. rly. employees

[Smt. Parvathi Krishnan]

From this order which is a sample of many such orders it is quite clear that the Minister has misinformed the House and is himself ill informed of what is happening in his Ministry in respect of victimisation cases. Petty officials are using powers given to them to harass and humiliate the railway workers and this nodes ill for the future of normal working of the railways.

I request that the Minister be asked to correct his reply.

MR. SPEAKER: Shri Qureshi.

ahri Jyotirmoy Bosu (Diamond Harbour): On a point of order. Shri Lalit Naram Mishra is sitting at the back of the House. His name is on the list. Why should the Deputy Minister reply? This is very unusual. A cording to the list of business, Shri L. N. Mishra is to make the reply. But he is sitting at the back relaxing

MR. SPEAKER: She has mentioned Shrı Qureshi's name.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Your direction is that if the Minister is in the liouse, he should reply.

श्रम्यक्ष महोत्यः श्रगर दोनो इक्ट्रेबैट गए तो इनको तसल्ली हो गई। श्रगर पै.छे बैठे ये तो इनको नसल्ली नही थं।

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: In the list of business, Shri L. N. Mishra is to make the statement. He is here in the House.

श्राध्यक्ष महोदय: यह मवाल तो कुरैशी साहव का है।

SHRIMATI PARVATHI KRISHNAN: Shri Shafi Qureshi gave the original reply.

MR. SPEAKER: Any other Minister can also give the reply.

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (SHRI MOHD, SHAFI QURESHI): During

the course of supplementaries to Starred Question No. 225 on 6th August, 1974, Smt. Parvathi Krishnan wanted to know the number of railway employees who have been demoted on their reinstatement. While replying I have conveyed the information that generally all these people have been taken back on the original posts, because to my knowledge at that time nobody had been demoted while being taken back.

I have subsequently checked up the position and I find that generally all staff have been taken back in their original designations without any demotion to a lower post. There has been one case on the South Central Railway where a Naik who was in the revised scale of Rs. 200-240, has been taken back as a Peon in the scale of Rs. 196-232. On the Southern Eastern Railway four Foremen Grade 'A', who were officiating in an ad hoc capacity, that is purely as a stop-gap measure, since they were not duly selected for the higher grade, were taken back as Foremen Grade 'B', which was their position before their ad hoc promo-

As regards the case referred to by Smt. Parvathi Krishnan the facts are that Shri J. P. Srivastava, Asstt. Driver (Electric) was removed from service on 15th May 1974. On his appeal, he was taken back as Fireman on 16th July, 1974. He can again represent to the appropriate authority, when the matter will again be considered.

I had while replying Smt. Parvathi Krishnan mentioned clearly that all appeals are being decided on merits. On scrutiny of the appeals when it is found hat the offence committed by the employee can be viewed leniently any of the lesser punishment provided for in the Discipline and Appeal Rules is given and the employee is put back to duty.

MADHU DANDAVATE PROF. (Rajapur): After the statement it has become clearer that the facts referred to by Mrs. Parvathi Krishnan are correct. There is not only one order; there are many orders where reinstated workers have been demoted. This is a new form of victimisation... (Interruptions).

MR. SPEAKER: You cannot ask for clarifications now.

12.32 hrs.

INTEREST-TAX BILL*

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI K. R GANESH): I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill to impose a special tax on interest in certain cases.

MR SPEAKER: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill to impose a special tax on interest in certain cases".

The motion was adopted.

SHRI K. R. GANESH: Sir, I introducet the Bill.

12.33 hrs.

SICK TEXTILE UNDERTAKINGS (NATIONALISATION) BILL*

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AND SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY AND AGRICULTURE (SHRI C. SUBRAMANIAM): I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill to provide for the acquisition and transfer of the right, title and interest of the owners in respect of the sick textile undertakings specified in the First Schedule with a view to reorganising and rehabilitating such sick textile undertakings so as to subserve the interests of the general public by augmentation of the production and disribution, at fair prices, of different varieties of cloth and yarn, and matters connected therewith or incidental thereto.

भी मध लिमचे (वाका) : प्रध्यक्ष महोदय एक लम्बे भ्रमें के बाद यह विधेयक हमारे सामने भाया है, भीर इस पर मझे खशी है लेकिन इन की जो मुद्रावजे की योजना है यह मेरी राय में ठीक नहीं है, भीर इसलिये में उस हिस्से का विरोध करना चाहता ह । वह उस का समर्थन किन कारणो को लेकर करना चाहते हैं इस का ग्रगर सदन के सामने विवरण ग्रीर स्पप्टीकरण देगे तो मेहरबानी होगी ।

SHRI C SUBRAMANIAM: I do not know whether at this stage I should go into the merits of compensation, etc. When the Bill comes up for consideration, I shall explain.

भी मधु लिमये : यह थोडे ही है। जन सिद्धान्तों के सवाल उठाए जाते है तो जबाब ग्राना चाहिये। मैने केवल एक सिद्धान्त का मवाल उठाया ।

भ्रम्यक्ष महोदय : जब प्रन्मिपत्म र एफ दी बिल कमीडरेशन स्टेज में जाते है तो उस समय जबाब दे सकते है। लेकिन ग्रगर कोई लेजिस्ले-टिव कम्पीटैम या कांस्टीटयशनल ग्रमेडमेट है तब तो ग्राप जवाब ग्रभी माग मकते थे।

भी मध लिमये ग्रध्वक्ष महोदय. दोनों कारणो को ने कर विरोध किया जाता है। मैं स्पष्टोकरण चाहता हं कि किन सिद्धान्तो के बाधार पर उन्होंने कम्पेन्सेशन की योजना बनायी है।

घ्रध्यक्ष महोदय: यह तो कंसीडरेशन स्टेज पर बतायेगे।

श्री सथ लिसवे: धगर नहीं धाना है तो इम को अबौलिश कर दीजिए।

India Extraordinary, Part II, *Published in Gazette of dated 2nd September, 1974.

[†]Introduced with the recommendation of the President.

MR. SPEAKER: He says he will make it clear at the consideration stage.

The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill to provide for the acquisition and transfer of the right, title and interest of the owners in respect of the sick textile undertakings specified in the First Schedule with re-organising and rea view to such sick textile habilitating undertakings so as to subserve the interests of the general public by the augmentation of the production and distribution, at fair prices, of different varieties of cloth and yarn, and for matters connected therewith or incidental thereto."

The motion was adopted.

SHRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: I introducet the Bill

12 36 hrs.

CONSTITUTION (THIRTY-SIXTH AMENDMENT) BILL*

THE MINISTER OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI SWARAN SINGH): Sir, I beg to move for leave to introduce a Bill further to amend the Constitution of India"

MR. SPEAKER: Motion moved:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill further to amend the Constitution of India".

There are four persons who have sent their names who want to oppose this. I will call them in the order in which their names were received.

Shri Shyamnandan Mishra.

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA (Begusarai): I have thought it my duty to oppose the introduction of

the Bill because it is our clear opinion that it goes against the very fabric of the idealism that inspired the founding fathers of our Republic. This spirit of idealism was reflected in the scheme of special relationship that was conceived by the founding Constitution. fathers of our recognised the special problems of Bhutan and Sikkim. No less a person than Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru had moved a resolution in the Constituent Assembly which said that there had to be a recognition of the special problems of Bhutan and Sikkim, This special relationship was based on the basic rights and aspirations of the people of Sikkim as well as on the national good and interest of India.

This is also against the very concept of our Federation. The underlying concept of our Federation is its unity and cohesión. Let us be quite clear in our minds that by this amendment of the Constitution, you are loosening the very structure of our Federation, and thereby you are probably going to open a Pandora's box. It is, again, repugnant to the very ethos and personality of our Constitution. The Constitution speaks of a Federation; it does not speak of an Association. This is a new concept that is sought to be introduced in our Constitution. We have been accustomed only to the concept of a Federation and not to the concept of Therefore, my humble Association. submission is that it will knock out the very framework and structure of our Constitution. The Constitution will become either a strange animal or a zoo of strange animals. Why do I say so? The Constitution recognises only two kinds of entities. One is of that which will be admitted according article 2 of the Constitution. It says:

"Parliament may by law admit into the Union, or establish, new States on such terms and conditions as it thinks fit."

[†]Introduced with the recommendation of the President,
*Published in Gazette of India Extraordinary Part II, Section 3, dated
2nd September, 1974.

tution as well.

So, there are only two entitles recognised. One entity would be created by the admission of a State and the other entity would be created by establishing a new State. All these entitles will have to find a place in Article 1 of the Constitution. The categories that had been specified in Article 1 do not mention the category of an Associate State. So, it is repugnant to the Constitution and it is repugnant to article 1 of the Consti-

Then I come to the scheme of the Constitution which gives representation in Parliament. There is a certain scheme adumbrated in the Constitution according to which anyone can find a place in Parliament. In my humble submission what we are seeking to do now is to provide representation to strangers in the House. The scheme of the Constitution is provided in article 84. which says:

"A person shall not be qualified to be chosen to fill a seat in Parliament unless he—

- (a) is a citizen of India;
- (b) is, in the case of a seat in the Council of States, not less than thirty years of age and, in the case of a seat in the House of the People, not less than twenty-five years of age; and
- (c) possesses such other qualifica-

There are certain qualifications laid down in article 84 of the Constitution, and unless the requirements of article 84 of the Constitution are met, one cannot find a place in Parliament. This is the scheme of the Constitution.

Article 102 of the Constitution mentions the disqualifications attached to a Member of Parliament. What this Bill seeks to do is to abrogate article 102 of the Constitution. This Bill seeks to abrogate the disqualifications that would attach to the stranger from Sikkim. But the amendment does not speak anything about article 84 of the Constitution and that article remains

(36th Amdt.) Bill in tact; but the disqualifications are sought to be removed by this amendment.

Constn.

I would again submit that the abrogation of article 102 of the Constitution does not mean automatic abrogation of article 34 of the Constitution. What article 102 of the Constitution lays down is of a negative nature, and negative applies only to a positive that has preceded before. Here the positive is allowed to remain in tact and the negative is sought to be removed from the Constitution. So, it would not mean an automatic removal of the positive requirements of the Constitution as contained in article 34.

Then, what is the force behind section 5 of the Amendment Bill? Section 5 of the Amendment Bill says:

"The provisions of this Schedule shall be in addition to, and not in derogation of, any other power. Jurisdiction, rights and authority which the Government of India has or may have in or in relation to Sikkim under any agreement, grant, usage, sufference or other lawful arrangement."

What is the force behind it? By this amendment Bill, this House, when converted into a Constituent Assembly, is sought to be equated with representative Assembly of Sikkim. When we are seeking to amend the Constitution through this Bill, it is thought that the House converts itself into a Constituent Assembly. So my submission is that when we are doing this as a Constituent Assembly, that is sought to be equated with what has been done in the Assembly of Sikkim. Assembly of Sikkim, If the same which the Government says is asking them to take this step, takes a different attitude tomorrow, would happen to our Constitution. what would happen to the amendment that we are seeking to introduce today? Therefore, even from the point of view of the assurances and guarantees that are contained in section 4 of this Bill, there is absolutely 63

[Shri Shyamnandan Mishra] no assurance, no guarantee that they would be observed by the Sikkim Assembly.

It appears to me that our Constitution could not be based on the vicissitudes of political opinion in Sikkim Are we going to base our Constitution on the vicissitudes of political opinion in Sikkim. There might be a particular political constellation prevailing in Sikkim at a particular point of time. But another constellation might come into being again Should we be asked to amend our Constitution accordingly?

The founding fathers of our Republic had taken into account the basic urges and aspirations of the people of Sikkim. We should not go by temporary change in the direction in which the urges and aspirations of the people of Sikkim lie. What have you seen in India? Many of the States demand that the Centre should part with more powers, if not anything else. That is the political trend. The political trend in Sikkim is supposed to be to the contrary. I will not go into those aspects at the present moment.

At this stage, my points are of a constitutional nature. I am going into the constitutionality of this measure.

By this amendment of the Constitution, we are seeking to give representation to strangers in the House Our laws will not apply to the people of Sikkim. Yet, they will be associated with all that we do in this House. They will not be the citizens of India; they will not be fulfilling the requirements of the Constitution. yet, they will be made the Members of this House. The very concept of our federation will have been damaged beyond repair. They do not seem to realise what they are doing to the future of our federation. If they had given enough thought to it, they would not have gone by certain expediencies at the present moment. Therein lies the immaturity and lack of balance in judgment on the part of the present leaders.

What I would like to submit is that we had certain peputation, at the time of Independence—of maturity and balance in our judgement. founding fathers, in spite of all the agitations that had been mounting in. Sikkim for accession to India, at that stage, had not agreed to the accession to India. We will not, even now, be in favour of accession to India. We do not want to give an impression to the people outside that we are out for any bit of territory. It is not the intention of any hon. Members of this house to accuse that the Government, by any action, in the remotest fashion, would like to give that impression to the people. I will not attribute that motive or intention on the part of the Government at all Therefore, the founding fathers of our Republic thought that there must be a special relationship between Sikkim and India and that relationship should be kept in tact. Otherwise, there might be repercussions.

[Shri Nawal Kishore Sinha in the Chair]

12 48 hrs

Many people talk about international repercussions and so on. We will also refer to them at a later stage What I was submitting was that this maturity and balance in their judgment, this foresight on their part that our future should lie in this kind of a special relationship should not be jeopardised by any action of the Government today. This amendment to the Constitution is something of a monstrosity.....

SHRI VIKRAM MAHAJAN (Kangra): On a point of order, Sir.

At this stage, the hon. Member is supposed to raise only constitutional objections to the measure. He is not supposed to raise a debate on this issue; he is not supposed to go into the merits of the measure. They should confine themselves to the constitutionality of objections.

1

Secondly, I would say that there should be a time-limit; thirdly, they should not add adjectives to what they are saying; fourthly, they should not raise a debate and, fifthly, we should be permitted to counteract what they are saying.

MR. CHAIRMAN: You are free to answer his points. But, as far as his observations are concerned, I think, they are strictly within limits.

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA: Let the hon. House realise that I am opposing the very introduction of this Bill and, therefore, I have to point out in a limited way, at this stage certain other aspects of the matter as well. When I was submitting that it was a Constitutional monstrosity, I was not indulging in exuberance of emotion. What you are trying to bring about is a disparate marriage between the ethos of a republic and the ethos of a monarchy as Sikkim has many attributes of a monarchy. There cannot be a marriage between the ethos of a republic and the ethos of a monarchy in that sense ...

SHRI VIKRAM MAHAJAN: **

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA.** I think that this would not stand the judicial scrutiny, and the Government would be well advised to withdraw this measure.

SHRI SAMAR GUHA (Contai). Sir, this kind of a joke in such a serious matter should not go on record. Their susceptibilities are involved.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I agree, it will not go on record.

Mr. Jyotirmoy Bosu.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU (Diamond Harbour): Sir, this is nothing but a denial of democracy and full autonomy to the people of Sikkim. I oppose the suspiciously hasty move to absorb Sikkim. I want to know at the same time from the hon. Minister what is the specific reason for taking a hasty step like this at the moment. As I have said, it is a subversion of the people's aspirations for democracy. This is unconstitutional and undemocratic and gives rise to serious misgivings. The lot of the people should be decided by the people of Sikkim. This is ominous in its regional and global implications.

Let us look at our books of account. Article 84 clearly states:

"A person shall not be qualified to be chosen to fill a seat in Parliament unless he-

- (a) is a citizen of India, and makes and subscribes before some person authorised in that behalf by the Election Commission an oath or affirmation according to the form set out for the purpose in the Third Schedule:
- (b) is, in the case of a seat in the Council of State..." etc., etc.

The representatives from Sikkim will not fulfil any of those conditions. Then what will be the citizenship of these members? How can a Sikkimese citizen, under this article, become a Member of Parliament? Will they be given dual citizenship? Can non-Indian citizens-Sikkimese in this case elect non-Indian Members to our Parliament? The definition of 'citizen' is given in article 5 which clearly states:

"At the commencement of this Constitution every person who has his domicile in the territory of India and-

- (a) who was born in the territory of India; or
- (b) either of whose parents was born in the territory of India;
- (c) who has been ordinarily resident...." etc., etc.

[Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu]

"...shall be a citizen of India".

The Sikkimase representatives will not be fulfilling any of those conditions. We also want to know the nature of representation and responsibility. There, Article 81 clearly states:

"Subject to the provisions of Article 331, the House of the People shall consist of—

- (a) not more than five hundred members chosen by direct election from territorial constituencies in the States, and
- (b) not more than twenty-five members to represent the Union territories..." etc., etc.

That also is not at all fulfilled. A directly elected representative in Lok Sabha will thereby be directly responsible to the people. Is that going to be fulfilled? This is not the case here.

Clause 4(b) of the Schedule says:

"The representatives of Sikkim in the Council of States and the House of the People shall be elected by the members of the Sikkim Assembly."

That condition is not fulfilled

Then clause 4(d) of the Schedule says:

"Every representative of Sikkim in the Council of States or in the House of the People shall be deemed to be a member of the Council of States or the House of the People as the case may be for all the purposes of this constitution, except as respects the election of the President or the Vice-President:".

Tell me. They are neither Indian citizens nor full Members of the Lok Sabha. You give them an opportunity but you do not give them the powers to elect President or Vice-President. They are neither hish nor flesh. What sort of an attempt is this?

Then, what will be his cath of allegiance? Why is all this dene in indecent haste? This is a serious attempt to by-pass the Parliament. This is not a matter where you should give two days for us to consider the Bill and then bring the Bill and that too at the end of the session. There is no indication at all till the other day. This is very strange.

It is a very strange thing that there is a duality, supporting the Chogyal as also supporting the Legislature, an undemocratic and unusual character of an Assembly headed and dominated by an Indian bureaucrat. He is not elected but he enjoys full powers to dissolve the Assembly.

The popular aspirations are for a democratic rule, not for a merger because they gain nothing as their per capita income is much higher than what it is in India

If a referendum takes place on such a vital issue and in a case where the Nepalese population constitutes 70 per cent and the Bhutias and the Sikkimese are 30 per cent, how on earth could an Indian bureaucrat impose Section 144? I have a note before me which says.

"The imposition of Section 144 of the Indian Penal Code was one of the first actions taken by B. S. Das, the Indian bureaucrat who took over as Chief Administrator of Sikkim in April 1973...."

SHRI DINESH CHANDRA GO-SWAMI (Gauhati): It has nothing to do with the Bill under consideration.

SHRI VIKRAM MAHAJAN: What has Section 144 got to do with the Constitution?

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: I was reading a note. It further says:

"The leadership of the Sikkim Congress... (Interruptione).

MR. CHAIRMAN: Their objection appears to be that you should keep yourself limited to the Bill before the House.

Constn.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU You read the various debates in the House and you be true to yourself and find out how many debates strictly confined themselves to the scope of the Bill I have covered the Constitution and 1 have also covered the Bill This is a very vital issue I am trying only to say

leadership of the Sikkum "The Congress which is said to represent the popular and democratic aspirations of the people of Sikkim is of dubious background **

HARI KISHORE (Pupri) This should not go on record SHRI VIKRAM MAHAJAN This should be expunged

MR CHAIRMAN This will be looked into

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU The Bill will have serious international repercussions which I would like the hon Members to kindly consider coolly and dispassionately The historical precedents should be taken into account This will be a sure road to disaster and it may be charged that the Bill smacks of imperial pretensions terruptions) We may be dubbed, I am cautioning you, as expansionists and those who are anxious to criticise us, wont they get a chance to say that these are Hitlerite actions which took place in Austria/Czechoslovakia in 1938 on similar pleas?

(Akola) SHRI VASANT SATHE Only your godfather will say that

SHRI VIKRAM MAHAJAN The Chinese possibly may say

SHRI VASANT SATHE Indian Parliament This is not the politburo of China.

13 hrs

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU The most important thing-the issue of improv-

ing our relations with China which is very very vital will perhaps receive a set-back This will affect our regional relations with small neighbours and Bangla Desh is no precedence. This will cost us in terms of relations with Nepal, Bhutan, Bangladesh, Pakistan, Ceylon, and Burma I would like the External Affairs Minister to consider The conclusion is This these things is a threat to our democratic system. This is subversion of democratic aspirations and movement in the Indian sub-continental region This is a threat to international peace and security This sabr-rattling policy will only undermine Indian quest for peace and security I oppose this Bill lock, stock and barrel

भी मधु लियथे (बावर) : समापति महोदय, हालांकि सरकार इस महत्वपूर्ण मामले मे जल्दबाजी कर रही है भौर शायद कुछ भव्य-दिव्य कर के जनता का ध्यान इम वक्त देश मे जो ग्राम ग्रायिक सकट है उम मे विचलिन करना चाहती है, लेकिन मैं फिर भी इस पर दलीय द्ष्टिकोण से प्रेरित हो कर नहीं बोलना चाहता है। यद्यपि ग्राप के मोटिव के बारे मे मुझे सन्देह है, लेकिन फिर भी मैं चाहगा कि इस की दलीय दिष्टिकोण का विषय न बनाया जाय, क्योंकि यह सरकार झाज है कल नहीं रहेगी, लेकिन जो हमारे हिमालय के इलाके है, जो पडौसी है उन के माथ हम को हमदर्दी भीर दोम्नाना रिश्ते तो रखने ही है। इसलिए मैं दलीय दिष्टकोण से नहीं बोलगा।

सभापति बहोबच : पश्चिमी एमिया मे पिछले कुछ वर्षों मे हम लोगो ने एक्सेशन भीर यूनियन के बहुत सारे प्रयोग देखें हैं जो जल्दबाजी में किये जाते हैं। जैसे लीबिया और मिश्र का यूनियन बना--लेकिन साज क्या हालत है ? जैसे मिस्र यमन और सीरिया का मृनियन बना, इस की हालत भी बाज बाप देख रहे हैं। एक

[सभापति महोदय]

ब्यापक अरब यूनियन का प्रयास किया गया, उसकी हालत भी आज आप देख रहे हैं। इस लिए में पहली बात यह कहना चाहूंगा कि इस में जल्दबाजी से काम न कीजिए। सभी पहलुओं पर सोच-विचार कर के कदम उठाइये जिस से आगे चल कर पण्चाताप करने की नौबत आप पर और हम लोगों पर न आये।

सभापित महोदय, जो ढांचा हम लोगों के सामने रखा गया है उस के द्वारा एक नई बात हमारे संविधान में ये घुमेड़ना चाहते हैं, जिस के सभी पहलुओं पर मुझें लगता है कि सरकार ने भी विचार नहीं किया है। विरोधी पक्ष को तो आप ने मौका ही नहीं दिया है। भारत और सिक्किम का जो करार हुआ उस पर यहां कभी चर्चा नहीं हुई। इस लिए मुझें कुछ उद्धरण देने पड़ेंगे—मैं चाहूंगा कि समय के बारे में आप थोड़ा ढिलाई बरतियेगा।

सभापति महोदय, सिक्किम की जो नई प्रसम्बली है, यह उस का 11 मई का संकल्प है। इस के पहले कि मैं उस में से उद्धरण हूं, मैं चाहता हूं कि जो समझौता या करार भारत सरकार और सिक्किम के बीच हुया था उस में जो 11वीं धारा है उस को पहले ब्राप के सामने रखं। इस में कहा गया है——

"....The Government of India who are solely responsible for the defence and territorial integrity of Sikkim and who are solely responsible for the conduct and regulation of the external relations of Sikkim, whether political, economic or financial, reaffirm their determination to discharge these and other responsibilities for the benefit of the people of Sikkim, for their communal harmony, good administration and economic and social development. It is hereby reaffirm-

ed that they shall have the necessary powers for carrying out these responsibilities.'

यह इन का ब्राधार है। इसके बाद उन का जो 11 मई का संकल्प है जिसे वहां की ब्रसेम्बली ने पाम किया था, मैं उस का पांचवां ब्रनुच्छेद ब्राप के मामने रखना चाहता हूं —

"5. Reiterating Its determination to further strengthen the relations between India and Sikkim, taking notes of the Government of India's responsibility for the security and defence of Sikkim, for its external relations, and for good administration in Sikkim, and bearing in mind the special interest and responsibility of the Government of India for the further democratic evolution and rapid economic and social development of Sikkim, this Assembly resolves and hereby requestes the Government of India to examine the modalities of further strangthening Indo-Sikkim relation. ship as already agreed to in the Agreement of May 8, 1973 signed between the three parties and to take immediate steps for Sikkim's participations in the political and economic institutions of India.

ग्रव उनके 6ठें ग्रनच्छेद को भी मुनिये-

6. The Assembly accordingly resolves and hereby requests the Government of India to depute immediately a Constitutional viser for (i) giving a legal and constitutional framework for objectives of this Resolution, (ii) defining the powers of the Chogyal, the Chief Executive, the Executive Council and of the Assembly; and (iii) recommending to the Government of India specific proposals for further strengthening relationship and Sikkim's participation in the political and economic institution of India, as desired in this Resolution."

74

13.04 hrs.

[MR. 'SPEAKER in the Chair]

श्रव, श्रध्यक्ष महोदय, इस के ग्राधार पर यह सविधान में सशोधन करने वाला विधेयक बनाया गया है ग्राज यह हमारे सामने ग्राया है। इस में कई मवाल उठते हैं। सब से पहले मैं ग्राप की तवज्जह प्रिएम्बल की ग्रीर दिलाना चाहना हूं। हमारे मविधान का जो प्रिएम्बल है उस में कहा गया है—

We, the people of India having solemnly resolved to constitute India into a Sovereign Democratic Republic and to secure to all its citizens

Justice, social, economic and political:

Liberty of thought, expression belief, faith and worship, "

It is further said:

'Fraternity assuring the dignity of the individual and the unity of the Nation'

सन्यक्ष महोदय: इस में कत्यना यह है कि—वी दी पोगुल प्राफ पिण्या—हम भारत की जनना । इस के साथ हा नागरिक हकों की कल्पना तीसरी बान—यूनिटी साफ दि नेशन—पाष्ट्र की एकता—इन बाता पर छोर दियां गया है । ध्रव इस दिल के पास होने के बाद इण्डियन यूनियन का क्या स्वरूप होने वाला है—क्या इस के ऊपर हम लोगों को गम्भीरतापूर्वक सोचना नहीं

स्वयस सहोत्तव : भाटींकल 1 मे भारत की श्रंत राज्य-वृत्तियम सेल वी ए यूनियन

आफ स्टेट्स कहा गया है, अब यह "एसो शियेट स्टेट्स" कहां से बीच में आता है—क्या इस के लिए आर्टीकल 1 को बदलने के बारे में आप की मोचना नहीं चाहिए ? क्या इस पर ईमानदारी में विचार नहीं हाना चाहिए ?

दूसरी बात—भारत का जो इलाका है, उसकी परिभाषा इस में की गई है और उस में यह कहा गया है कि तीन किस्स के इलाके भारत में है या हो सकत है —

- (a) the territories of the States,
- (b) the Union of territories specified in the First Schedule, and
- (c) such other territories as may be acquired

द्मध्यक्ष महोदय : क्या मिक्किम को हम एक्बायर करगे? क्या इस श्रेणी मे यह भ्रा सकता है? मरी राय म नहीं भ्रा सकता है। ऐसी स्थिति स द्याप उस म (डी) का जाडियं कि ऐस राज्य जा खशी स इण्डियन यनियन मधाकर क्सीड करना चाहते है। सीधी वात हानी चाहिए। ग्रब जा व्यमेशन बार दोटी हागा उस म विसी राज्य का विशेष दजा देना चाहते है वह द सकते हैं। मरा मनलब यह नही है कि इविडयन यनियन के तहत अन्य राज्या की तरह रहे जो नया राज्य हागा उस की भगर म्पेशन स्टेटम दना चाहन है तो उस के बारे मे इम सदन म माचा जा सकता है। लेकिन जापरा मवैद्यानिक ढाचा है उस को जल्दी-बाजी में बदलेंगे ता उस के नतीजे बहुत ही खनग्नाक निकल सकत है-यह चेतावनी माज में देना चाहता ह ।

सम्बद्धा महोत्रय : इस में भीर बहुत सारे सिद्धान्त जुडे हुए हैं । साप जरा इन का विक्रेयक देखिए । ईसमें कही भी यह नहीं [श्री मध्रु लिमय] कहा गया है कि यह जो विश्वेयक हम लोग पास कर रहे हैं श्रीर सिक्किम को ऐसोशियेट स्टट्स देने जा रहे हैं इस के बारे में सिक्किम को जो जनना है उस को राय ली जाय।

श्री एमः राम गोशाल रेही (नजीमाबाद) ली गई।

भी मधुनिमये : नहीं भी गई। सिक्किम की धर्मम्बली का चुनाव हिन्दुस्तान के साथ एमोसियेट स्टेट्स कायम करना है इस बिना पर नहीं लडा गया है। क्या वहा की जनता के सामने ग्राप ने यह सवाल रखा था कि भारत के साथ रेमोशियेट स्टेट्स लेना है या नही ? इस के ऊपर सिक्किम की जनता की राय ग्राप ने नहीं ली। जो चुनाव हम्रा सिक्किम मे उस का दूसरा। आर था कि सिक्किम में लोकताविक ढाचा हो। इस-लिए यह कहना कि सिक्किम की भ्रमेम्बली जनना के द्वारा चुनी गई है, और इसलिए असेम्बली का जो प्रस्ताव है वह हमारे निए काफी है, मैं इस से सहमत नही हु। इस के ऊपर श्राप ग्रगर चलना चाहते है तो चलि र, दो तिहाई राक्षमी बहुमत है आप का । लेकिन धगर नकं से भौर राष्ट्रीय हित मे बात करना चाहने है तो मिक्किम की जनता की एक जित करने का आप को पहले प्रयास करना चाहिए। ग्रगर ग्राप का यही है कि आप हमारी बात नही मानना चाहते भीर चाहते हैं कि इस पर श्रभी विचार होना चाहिए और इस को पारित भी करना चाहिए, तो क्या यह ग्रच्छा नही होगा कि ऐ रोशियेट स्टेट्स वाली जो बात है नई इस के उपर तत्काल मिक्किम में रेफ़रेण्डम लिया जाय? उस मे 18 माल या उम से अधिक जिनकी बायु है उन की राय भाप लीजिए, तत्काल ने लीजिए। इन की शामिल करने से पहले जीक्रिए, क्यों कि कामीर का अनुभव हमारे सावने है। प्रसमिष् भाष सकते रैफ़रेकम सीजिए।

इतना ही नहीं जो स्टैट्स होगा उस में
यदि भविष्य मे परिवर्तन करना है तो खिक्किम
की जनता को भाप भाश्वस्त कीजिए कि इन
तरह का परिवर्तन भाप की रजामन्दी के बिना
और नये रेफ़रेण्डम के बिना नही होगा।
तो ऐसोशियेट स्टेट्स के लिए रेफ़रेण्डम
कीजिए। धव हिन्दुस्तान की तो मैं नहीं
कह सकता या, भाप कहते कि भड़येवाजी कर
रहा हू, लेकिन कम से कम जो नया राज्य भा
रहा है वहां की जनता की जो राय लेनी
चाहिए। भीर भागे परिवर्तन भी करना
है तो उसके लिये भी उन की राय लेनी
चाहिए। स्पष्ट भाश्वामन हम को देना
चाहिए।

ग्रागे चल कर ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय. इस विधेयक में कही भी मैं यह नहीं देख रहा ह कि दायित्व के मिद्धान्त को आप ने माना है। सारे भ्रधिकार सरकार ने हाथ में लिये है झोर पालियामेट के ऋधिकारी को भाप ने इस मामले में सीमित किया है। सिनिकम का एक प्रतिनिधि राज्य सभा मे लेना चाहते है जो कि भ्रमेम्बली के द्वारा चुना जायगा। ठीक है हमारी राज्य सभा के प्रतिनिधि भी असम्बलियों के द्वारा चुन जाते है। लेकिन इस लोक सभा से भ्राप ग्रमेम्बली के द्वारा नामजद सदस्य को लेगे। ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, लोक सभा की जो बुनियाद है उसी के ऊपर यह कुठाराघात कर रहे हैं। मैं तो जो दो नीमीनेटेड सदस्य हैं उनके भी **खिलाफ हु। हालांकि धापको दो चुनाव** वाली धारक्षित जगह उनको दे दे, ऐंग्लो इंडियन्प के लिये, मुझे कोई एनराज नहीं है, लेकिन नामजदगी के सिद्धान्त का मैं विरोध करता हूं, और उसी बाबार पर सिक्किम बसेम्बली के द्वारा चुने हुये किसी प्रतिनिधि को यहां पर बुलाना बैठते के लिये युक्षे प्रष्का नहीं लगता । यह लोकतंत्र के विपरीत है। इस सवन का बो

78

ढांचा है उसके खिलाफ यह बात जाती है। इसलिये इस के ऊपर भी ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, इन को विचार करना चाहिए।

उसके बाद अध्यक्ष महोदय, आपने सिक्किम असेम्बली का नया प्रस्ताव देखा है 29 जून का? मैं माननीयश्यामनन्दन मिश्र और माननीय ज्योतिमर्थ बसु का ध्यान उस और खींचना चाहता हूं। इसमें उनका जो सिक्किम ऐक्ट है, जो एक माने में उनका संविधान है, उसमें उन्होंने दो परिवर्तन चाहें हैं और उसमें कहा है कि मैं आप को मूल धारा और उसका संशोधन भी पढ़ कर सुनाना चाहता हूं। 31 में कहा है:

"All judges shall be independent in the exercise of their judicial functions and such functions shall be discharged in accordance with law."

ग्रौर इसमें यह परिवर्तन चाहते हैं:

"The ultimate appellate jurisdiction against the judgment of the High Court of Judicature in Sikkim should lie with the Supreme Court of India."

भ्रौर जहां तक (32) का सवाल है:

"All sections of the people of Sikkim shall enjoy the basic human rights and fundamental freedoms without discrimination;

- (2) The Government of Sikkim shall make every endeavour to secure for the people of Sikkim the enjoyment of the aforesaid rights and to maintain and promote communal harmony;
- (3) Notwithstanding anything contained in the aforesaid provisions, special provisions shall be made for the advancement or the protection of the aboriginal inhabitants of Sikkim and other minorities."

इसमें यह जोडना चाहते हैं 32 (1) :

"as enjoyed by the Citizens of India under Part III of the Indian Constitution."

तो क्या केवल सिक्किम ऐक्ट के तहत ही ग्राना चाहते हैं ? ग्रपने संविधान में क्यों नहीं डालते हैं कि फंडामेंटल राइट सिक्किम की जनता को भी मिलें ? ऐसोशियेट स्टेटस के माने क्या है। इंडियन युनियन के नागरिकों को जो फंडामेंटल राइटस हैं वह ग्राप सिक्किम की जनता को देने के लिये तैयार हैं ? उन को नागरिक बनाने के लिये तैयार नहीं है। ग्रगर ग्राप उनको ऐसोशियेट सिटिजन ही बनाना चाहते हैं तो इसमें ग्राप को प्राविधान करना चाहिये । तो फंडामेंटल राइट्स को लेकर सिटिजनशिप को लेकर बहुत बुनि-यादी सवाल उत्पन्न होते हैं, जिससे हमारे संघ राज्य के पूरे ढांचे पर ग्रसर पड़ेगा । इसलिये ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं ग्रधिक न बोल कर केवल मंत्री महोदय से प्रार्थना करूंगा कि जल्दबाजी के चक्कर में न पड़ कर हम लोग भी चाहते हैं कि हिमालय के पड़ोसी देशों के साथ हमारा रिश्ता हो श्रीर उनकी जो इच्छा है कि भारत के पोलिटिक्ल भ्रौर इकोनामिक इंस्टीट्यूशन में उन को हिस्सेदारी मिले उसका भी ग्रादर हो। यह जो उनकी सदइच्छा है उस का मैं स्वागत करता हुं। यह जो सदइच्छा पहली चुनी हुई ग्रसेम्बली ने दिखाई है उसका मैं स्वागत करता हं, लेकिन यह मामला बड़ा गंभीर है भौर इसलिये भावना में ग्राकर हम लोगों को काम नहीं करना चाहिए।

मैं तो एक छोटा सा आदमी हूं, बड़े बड़े देश हमारी आवाज कहां से सुनेंगे, लेकिन मुझे इस बात पर बड़ा दुख है कि चीन म माओ-त्से-तुंग के नेतृत्व में पीपुल्स रिपब्लिक कायम होने के बाद हिमालयने स्टेट्स के बारे में चीन

[र्थाः मधु लिमये]

के बारा गलत नीति भवनायी गई। उस नीति का मैं विरोध कर रहा हु। धौर उन्होंने तिब्बत को अपने देश का ही एक हिस्सा बनाने का धौर तिब्बत की जो भाजादी थी उस को कूबलने का जो प्रयास किया है उसका मैं विरोधी रहा ह भीर मेरे नेता डा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया भी रहे है। उनके नेतृत्व मे हम लोग भपनी हिमालय नीति की बात कहते थे। अगर वह नहीं होता तो कितनी अच्छी बात हो जाती कि तिब्बत, नेपाल, भटान, सिक्किम इन सभी लोगो को सार्वभौम स्वतवता प्रदान की जाती, न्युट्लाइज्ड स्टेटस उनका बनाया जाता । भीर भगर ऐसा हो जाता तो इन इलाको में जो जनना हिमालयन स्टेट्स मे रहती है वह भी शान्ति मे रह सकती थी भीर भाज इन बातो पर विचार करने की हम लोगों को आवश्यकता ही नहीं होती । कोई दूसरी ही बात हो जाती । लेकिन यह नही हो पाया। ग्रव ग्राप सिक्किम के साथ एक नया रिश्ता कायम करने की कोशिश कर रहे हैं नो जल्द-बाजी में कोई कदम न उठाये ग्रीर जल्दी में इम बिल को पाम न की जिये। जौइट पालि-यामैटरी कमेर्ट। मे इन सभी पहलुओ पर विचार करने का मौका दीजिये। इस मत्र मे यह पास नही होगा तो कोई बात नही है। भीर जो भी स्टेट्म ग्राप तय कीजिये रजामन्दी मे कीजियगा और सिक्किम की जनना की जमके ऊपर राय ले कर कीजियेगा।

इतना ही मुझे कहना है।

SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR (Ahmedabad): Even while the Constitution (Thirty-sixth Amendment) Bill is at this introductory stage, I wish to rise and express my views in no unmistakable terms about the strong objections on constitutional, legal, political, moral and parliamentary grouds, that I wish to raise on this supportant and vital issue.

T cannot be charged of the criticism

that I am against the good-will and good relationsip that sught naturally to exist and even be eabanced between the peoples of the various Himalayan kingdoms and autonomous regions and ourselves. But this is not to mix up one issue with the other. Those of us who want better relations and stronger relations with our neighbours of the Himalayan kingdoms and regions want them all to be achieved by true understandings, which are arrived at after a thorough understanding of the constitutional, legal and political implications involved

My first objection, tharefore, is this. Shri Swaran Singh in the Statement of Objects and Reasons appended to this Bill says:

"With a view to giving effect to the wishes of the people of Sikkim for strengthening Indo-Sikkim cooperation and inter-relationship, the Bill seeks to amend the Constitution to provide for the terms and conditions of association of Sikkim with the Union".

But he does not mention why it is necessary to amend our Constitution and go about having the peculiary constitutional arrangement seeks to introduce through this special legislation with a view to having better relationship between people of Sikkim and those of India Since he has not made that clear the Statement of Objects and Reasons is therefore, to that extent, madequate and incomplete.

Some days ago, in this House, in reply to a starred question, Shri Swaran Singh had replied in some such words that:

"When the matter will come with regard to Sikkim's political and economic association with India we will consider it at that time and at the appropriate levels.".

That was the 'reply 'that' he gave. Unfortunately, we did not have any

Constn. (36th Amdt.) Bill

occasion during this session to have any debate on foreign affairs, and, therefore, we were unable to raice this issue at any time. He had only stated his view boint with that, and nothing has happened thereafter, and, therefore, my submission is that this House has not been given any opportunity, and this Parliament has not been given any opportunity, to go into the details of the various implications of this important provision which the Government of India wants to introduce through this Constitution Amendment Bill

Now, Sir, Article 1 of our Constitution says

- (1) India, that is, Bharat, shall be a Union of States
- (2) The States and the territories thereof shall be as specified in the First Schedule,
- (3) The territory of India shall comprise-
 - '(a) the territories of the States.
 - (b) the Union territories specified in the First Schedule and
 - (c) such other territories as may be acquired"

As far as the things go, at piesent, we have only the territories mentioned in sub-clauses 3 (a) and 3 (b) of article 1, and there has been no mention so far of 'such other territories as may be acquired' referred to in sub-clause 3(c) of article 1

From the Bill which the hon Minister has brought forward for introduc_ tion, it is not clear whether this scheme will mean having Sikkim's association as a part State of the Indian Union. He has not mentioned it but my submission is that it could be at best an associate status. I want to ask this fundamental question whether the makers of our Constitution ever thought of giving at any later stage

status of an associate territory or associate State to any territory neighbouring India. Surely, did not do it. If however, there has to be some association, then it should have been as a fullfledged part State of the Indian Union like any other State I would like the hon, Minister to explain this point, whether Sikkim is going to be a part and parcel of the territory of the Indian Union and if so. whether the people of Sikkim will get all the rights and privileges and whether they will have to be under all the obligations and duties as all other citizens of the Indian Union, and if so, how he expects this kind of parallel and almost double citizenship. double responsibilities and parallel responsibilitis within the same Indian Union and within the same Federation to function smoothly within our constituional scheme.

If Sikkim is going to be an integral part of India, I would like Government to clarify whether it is once and for all and finally going to be an indestructible part of the indeastructible Union that is Bharat that is India If India that is Bharat that is the Union of all States is indestructible, and if we are not sure that the new State which is going to be associated with it is or is not going to be an indestructible part of the Union of India, how can we accept this fundamental change in the whole constructional scheme and framework that our Constitution-makers thought of and provided? This is my second major objection

All that the Minister has done through the various provisions of this Bill is to give the impression not only to some people in India but to many peopde abroad that it is almost a near annexation! Do you want that Sikkim should be annexed? Do you want India to be charged with expansionism? If not, let us make it very clear as to what kind of constitutional political, economic, social [Shri P. G. Mavalankar]

and popular relationship is going to obtain between the people of Sikkim and the people of India.

objection is to the ex-My next traordinary rush displayed, especially at the fag end of the extended current monsoon session of the Lok Sabha. were honest If Government sincere and if they have nothing to hide, if their intentions were clear, then they could jolly well have said; 'All right, let us leave this to Parliament; let us go into a detailed consideration and let us come back at the next session and then go over the whole matter'. Instead of that, what Government are doing is to rush with this Bill. They are already hardpressed for time-the Minister of Par-Affairs has been liamentary plaining, you have been saying that much government business waiting in the three or four remaining days of this session-then I ask this extraordinary additional rush and hurring up to introduce such an important fundamental Bill which goes at the very root and makes the constitutional frame work of India so much disturbed? Because. after all, the Constitution-makers have made our Federation, so delicately balanced, so well-balanced and so reasonably balanced that I am afraid this new Bill sought to introduced by the Minister of External Affairs is going to disturb and tdilut that very delicate framework of our Constitution.

Then, again, have the Government of India thought it proper to consult public opinion in India? Apart from what Shri Limaye has said that the people of Sikkim have not been consulted, have the people of India been consulted? Should the Government of India, because they at the moment happen to have a majority adequate for amending the Constitution, go on the assumption that the people of India have agreed to it? Was this union between Sikkim and

India, a peculiar union, a strange union, a very interesting concept of union, part of the election manifesto in Sikkim? Was it also part of the election manifesto in India when elections to the Lok Sabha were held in 1971? Were the people of India told that Sikkim was going to be a part of India's federation? Was this an election issue? No.

Therefore, if at all the Government of India want to go ahead with this Bill, they should hold a referendum on this issue and seek a clear mandate from the people and not merely go by the two-thirds majority which they happily for then, happen to have in order to amend the Constitution.

Then as regards the representation given to the people in Parliament. one member in the Lok Sabha and on emember in the Rajya Sabha, they have all rights except that they cannot vote at the Presidential and Vice-Presidential election. It means that the representation is not equal. Do we have two types of members in this House, some with one set of rights and some other with another set of rights? Why this kind of inequality o rights? Therefore, I oppose it on that ground also.

If Sikkim's representation in Parliament is going to be the kind of representation which the Minister of External Affairs has stated, then their position in this House will at best be that of observers but not full participants in the parliamentary and other procedures and processes that obtain in our House.

Finally, if this kind of major and fundamental amendment of the Constitution is going to be made, let Government not take an attitude of replying only on their two-thirds majority, that because they have a two-thirds majority, they can amend the Constitution as they like. The Constitution-makers had provided for a two-thirds majority mechanism on

this premise that we must have a substantial majority in favour of an amendment and or all matters basically referring to the whole pattern and framework of the Constitution and the democratic republic, it is even necessary that they will have-near unanimity if not complete .manimi-I would even say complete unanimity on such an amendment, Since they have not done so on this matter, I very strongly oppose on the ground I have already narrated, the introduction of this Bill by the Minister External Affairs.

SHRI SEZHIYAN (Kumbakonam): There can be no two opinion that we all desire close and friendly relations between Sikkim and India. We are also appreciative of the aspirations of the people of Sikkim and also sympathise with their relentless endeavour to improve the democratic content and the representative character of the Government they have. also share the feeling that we should extend goodwill and neighbourly feelings to the people of Sikkim in their efforts to improve their economic conditions. But on that score there is no valid reason why we should rush through a Bill the implications of which appear to be historical and far-reaching. It is not an ordinary amendment to the Constitution; it is an amendment to the basic character of the Constitution itseif. From the federal, we are changing to the confederal one. After the introduction and acceptance of the 36th amendment, many clauses of Constitution Bill become nullified, Article 84 says that a person shall not be qualified to be chosen to fill a seat in Parliament unless he is a citizen of India, Article 102 is more empha-

tic, it says a person shall be disqualified for being chosen as a member of Parliament if he is not a citizen of India or has voluntarily acquired the citizenship of a foreign State or is under any acknowledgement of allegiance or adherence to a foreign State. I can quote many other clauses which are going to be nullified by this amendment. is why I feel that they should not hustle and hurry through this Bill. We should know the full implications and possible repurcussions. If this Bill becames law a person who is not subject to the rule of law of this country will be allowed to make a law for this country. The plea that they want to have close and permanent relationship with Sikkim is not new. Even when Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru was there and when the Constitution of India was being drafted, there were certain moves to closely knit Sikkim with India within the constitutional framework but ultimately those moves were droped. A new concept is being introduced—associateship. All these things require sober and consistent thought. We cannot rush where India's constitutional character is concerned. I do not know whether it is for the better or for worse. But the federal character is being changed. I do not know why they should rush through this Bill, introducing it on Monday and getting it passed on Wednesday. That is why I implore them that they should bestow some more wise and sober thought before changing the basis of the Constitution.

MADHU DANDAVATE PROF. (Rajapura): Propriety as well as procedure demand that I should not raise political issues; so I shall confine myself to the constitutional aspects of the Bill. One very significant aspect has been totally discarded in the drafting of this Bill. While I welcome the general desire of the people of Sikkim to have closer relations with India I want to say that this desire must not be mixed up with the claims and requirements of the Constitution. Article 1(3) (a), (b) and (c) in Part I specifically mentions the territory of India shall comprise of the territories of the States, the Union Territories specified in the First Schedule; and such other territories as may be acquired. I am sure the Bill does not suggest that Sikkim is a territory acquired. There is no reference to an additional category like Associate State. So, if the Constitution stands as it is, probably some constitutional difficulties will be created in the very first article.

No doubt it is said in the Statement of Objects and Reasons that on the 10th June, 1974, after passing the Government of Sikkim Bill, the Sikkim Assembly resolved unanimously that should be taken amongst measures other things for seeking representation for the people of Sikkim in India's parliamentary system. Though the Sikkim Assembly has unanimously expressed its view in this legard, it must be made explicitly clear that we cannot leave the constitutional issues to the vagaries of the change of political system and the political climate there. Today the Assembly has adopted this resolution. In some other political set-up, there is guarantee that the same attitude will continue. Therefore, in order that the relationship should be indetractable and irreversible, some constitutional provision should be made by which the will of the people of Sikkim regarding their association with

India must be firmly and irraversible expressed, Some provision like a referendum in Sikkim will be able to give us the final and irreversible verdict of the people so that at some later stage if there is a change in the political set-up there, the representation of Sikkimese people in our Parliament may not have to undergo a change.

In our sovereign Parliament, we cannot have two categories of membership those directly elected by the people and those elected or selected by the Sikkim Assembly. This will create further difficulties and it will be a paradox.

Lastly, due to the constitutional complexities, it is better that we have a wider consultation with the various parties and groups in the House. All the lacunae and the loopholes should be completely removed, so that if the amended Constitution is subjected to judicial scrutiny, no further trouble may arise at a later stage. Therefore, while welcoming the general desire of the people of Sikkim to have closer relationship with India, I would very much like that you should not rush through the Bill hurriedly. should have wider consultation with all the parties-ruling as well as the opposition-and after a complete examination of all the constitutional issues, the Bill should be brought in a redrafted form.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE (Kanpur): Sir, the opposition members had two meetings with the Prime Minister. The last one was today at 9.30 A.M. Some of my hon, friends were unfortunately not present. I do not know whether they did not go there or could not go there. All these points were raised by the members, who were present at the meeting. On behalf of the CPI, we made it clear that we are very much opposed to this sert of rushing through.

We are not opposed to the spirit or substance of the Bill. We requested the Foreign Minister that even without going through the Select Committeewe agree that once it goes to the Select Committee it will delay matters -we could have a discussion and we could bring some amendments which might make the Bill fool-proof. have read the Bill. I am not a lawyer. But even as a layman I feel that certain clauses of the Bill need amendment I hope that both the Law Minister and the Foreign Minister, who have agreed to discuss the Bill with the opposition will bring in some amendments to improve the Bill.

The main question is whether this House and the Government of the land are with the people of Sikkım or Because, in today's the Chogyal. newspapers there is a report;

"Kazı Lhendup Dorji of Sikkım today asked Prime Minister Indira Gandhi to ignore the Chogyal's last minute attempts to impede giving representation to Sikkim in the Indian Parliament."

Can we shut our eyes to the fact that the Chogyal, because of his monarchy, bled the people white, suppressed and oppressed the people? So, the people of Sikkim revolted against the palace in a very correct manner. We went to the help of the people of Sikkim and we helped them in getting the Constitution and the Assembly. If they pass a resolution in their Assembly saying that it is their desire to have representation in the Indian Parliament, we must help them.

My hon. friend, Shri Shyamnandan Mishra said something about farreaching implications. There will be far-reaching implications for everything. When we supported the cause of Bangladesh, it was almost a story that we were supporting a fiction. When we support a correct cause, which has far-reaching implications, there will be all sorts of criticism.

Constn.

(36th Amdt.) Bill

It is mentioned in the Statement of Objects and Reasons:

"After the promulgation of the Government of Sikkim Act, the Chief Minister of Sikkim has made formal requests to the Government of India to take such steps as may be legally or constitutionally necessary to give effect to the Government of Sikkim Act, 1974 and the resolutions passed by the Assembly and particularly providing for representation for the People of Sikkim in Parliament."

Shri Madhu Limaye said, "Let there be a referendum, if not in this country, at least in Sikkim so that we can know the will of the people. I oppose this. Let the people choose between the Chogyal and the Constituent Assembly, between the monarch who ruled the country for years together, keeping Sikkim backward, and the representatives of the people. After all, if we cannot pass this measure on the 4th, we can do it on the 6th or 8th. Let us first remove the defects. in the Bill.

We definitely welcome the spirit of the Bill. If the people of Sikkim want to remain with us, if they want our help in planning their economy, we should not hesitate to help them. By making them an associate member so that they can retain their liberty and independence as a sovereign State, we are not doing something against the Constitution. The Constitution can be amended. fathers of the Constitution never anticipated the emergence of Bangladesh. The fathers of the Constitution never antitripated the vast changes taking place in the country. Is the Constitution going to be static? Should the Constitution be so rigid that it cannot take note of any political change either in this country or in other countr -

[Shri S. M. Banerjee]

I would say, the founding fithers of the Constitution in their wisdom framed the Constitution. They may be fathers of the Constitution but the sons and daughters of the Constitution who are today living in the country can change the Constitution.

SHRI VIKRAM MAHAJAN rose-

MR. SPEAKER: I think, quite a few of you have already expressed your views.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: There are certain vital things involved here. The Attorney-General should be called before the House.

MR. SPEAKER: He has given a motion. I do not think I can decide it myself. He says that the Attorney-General should be called.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: No, no.

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA: Probably, his opinion has already been taken earlier. So, I think there is no need of that.

MR. SPEAKER: I think, the opinions expressed by various hon. Members have been very much concrete. There is no question of ambiguity attached to an interpretation of any article of the Constitution quoted here. They have been quoted quite elaborately without any question of any ambiguity being attached to their interpretation.

SHRI VIKRAM MAHAJAN: Sir, the basic fallacy on the basis of which the hon. Members have been putting forward their points of view is that they have taken the Constitution as a static Constitution. They think that the Comstitution once framed is permanent, rigid, once and for ail. I humbly submit that the basic concept of the Constitution that it is flexible. Each generation has a right to decide what type of Constitution it wants. The Constitution, in fact, reflects the aspirations of the people of each generation. Now, this generation feels that there should be a closer relationship

between India and Sikkim and that is with they have brought this particular amendment.

My submission is that with all these articles of the Constitution which have been quoted they presuppose that we keep the Constitution as static and then see whether this amendment falls within the four corners of the provisions of the Constitution. They forget that the amendment of the Constitution is for amending the Constitution or changing the Constitution according to the new aspirations of this generation. Once we understand the basic corcept, then we need not quote article 4 or Article 3 or Article 84 of the Constitution

MR. SPEAKER. You have oversimplified it.

SHRI VIKRAM MAHAJAN: That is exactly the difficulty that the Opposition leaders do not understand the basic concept ...

MR. SPEAKER The objections raised here are not so simple as you think

SHRI VIKRAM MAHAJAN: Let me finish by submissions and then you can make your observation.

MR. SPEAKER: I am not making any observation. I was thinking that you were going to meet the observations made by them. But you are doing it in a very broad way without touching any particular observations made by them.

SHRI VIKRAM MAHAJAN: Let me make my basic submission first and other submissions will be on the basic submission.

Once you agree that the Constitution can be changed and each generation has a right to decide what type of Constitution it needs or wants, then the next question arises, if the Constitution is not static, and amendment of the Constitution can

Constitution. Article 2 change the of the Constitution says that there shall be new States, A Class States, B Class States, Associate States, territories and so on. This is an amendment which adds to that particular list a new State. Similarly, amendment of the Constitution can add new types of States, new types of Members who can come to this House. These are the amendments which can change the Constitution and which need not be in consonance with the articles of the Constitution which are already in existence. generation is going to The new Constitution. the whole change Therefore I submit that these objections have been raised on the basis or on the fallacy that the Constitution of India must be kept static and I humbly submit that there is no force in their arguments.

PROF. S L SAKSENA (Maharajganj). I was a member of the Constituent Assembly and my feeling is When we drafted the Constitution, we tried to incorporate the ideas acceptable to us in the Draft Constitution. Our experts were fully competent to incorporate those ideas in the Draft Constitution Just now my friends have raised Constitutional objections to the introduction of this Constitution (Amendment) Bill But the whole question is whether we agree with the spirit or the purpose of this Amendment. I feel that the Prime Minister and the Minister of External Affairs should be congratulated on their courage in bringing Our Constitution forward this bill will be changed as and when we desire to incorporate into it new ideas that arise and that are acceptable to us. There is no Constitutional difficulty which cannot be removed we are satisfied that what we are doing is correct, then we can incorporate those things in our (onstitution. The question is simple, as Mr Banerjee said. Shall we support Mr.

Chogyal or shall we support the people of Sikkim? I think, we have done a great job in helping the people of Sikkim in obtaining a democratic Constitution and we should not leave them in the lurch when they want our help. The fundamental purpose of our action should be applauded and we should do all that we can to help the people of Sikkim -by amending our Constitution to accede to their wishes I do not think that there is any insuperable difficulty in modifying the articles of the Constitution or filling up the lacuna. The real purpose of the Amendment should be applauded.

श्री श्रार० बी० बड़े (वर्गा) : श्रध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं इसका विरोध नहीं करता हूं. केवल इतना ही कहना है कि श्रभी जो मेम्बरों ने कहा है कि इसमें मुझबूझ से बाम करना चाहिए श्रीर जल्दी नहीं करनी चाहिए, उससे मैं सहमत ह। श्रार ऐसोशियेट स्टेंट्स माग रहे है तो संविधान के दूसरे श्रनुच्छेद में सणोधन करना चाहिए।

THE MINISTER OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI SWARAN SINGH): Mr. Speaker, Sir, although the discussion at this stage has covered more aspects of the new Constitution (Amendment) Bill than is normally the scope at the introduction stage, I do not want to take any technical objection. I do concede that this amendment of the Constitution does postulate a new concept, a new idea, and, therefore, I welcome the discus The various ideas that have been put forward by the hon Members are important ideas and I would like to assure the hon, members that we have already, in our internal discussion before we came to Parliament, gone over these matters and several others, and I will not be giving out any secret if I were to say that some

[Shri Swaran Singh]

of these aspects which have now been raised have already received our attention and we have tried to weigh the validity of these points and then after taking a very careful and overall look, we have come to this hon. House with the present proposal for amending the Constitution.

The basic point if I may say, on which this House has first to make up its mind is in our relationship with Sikkim where even without any amendment of the Constitutoin, there are certain responsibilities that we carry. Those responsibilities are that we are solely responsible for their external relations, for defence, cause defence of Sikkim and defence of India are very much inter-con-nected and there is mutuality of interest in that respect. Even in the matter of communications and also in relation to internal law and order. and to ensure good administration, there are responsibilities that we carry to-day in relation to Sikkim.

It is for the first time that there have been elections on the basis of adult franchise in Sikkim and it is a tribute to the maturity of the people of Sikkim that they have established an Assembly which has 31 Members belonging to one Party and there is only one Member in the opposition. What is important is the Constitution that they have adopted, that is the Sikkim Act....

PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE: There is no such maturity in our House?

SHRI SWARAN SINGH: I would be very happy if we could get it. It all depends upon how we act and how you act.

What I am saying is that it is of importance that the new Constitution of Sikkin has been adopted by all the 32 Members unanimously—31 belonging to one Party and the only

one Member who was in the opposition also supported the Constitution. It is also important to note that the requisite assent according to their Constitution and according to their practice, had been accorded by the Chogyal. After that, there was the formal request made to us that according to their Constitution and according to their resolution they want what they have desired namely, representation in the Indian Parliament....

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Who made this request?

SHRI SWARAN SINGH: The head of their administration.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: The Indian bureaucrat?

SHRI SWARAN SINGH: No. The Chief Minister who is the leader of the largest political party there. Then, he has made a formal request on what they have adopted, namely that they should have a representation in the Indian Parliament and would also like to be associated in the economic main-stream of India and they would also have other facilities like entering our services, even the highest services—the administrative service and the defence service and certain other matters. These are all details into which I do not want to go now.

So, the basic point before us is: are we to respond positively to this urge expressed unanimously by all the elected representatives of Sikkim in order to build our relationship on a firmer basis? The answer to this is positive. And, from the observations which have been made I could not detect much dissent on this point. They may have said, well, it is a new concept, we should consider whether it should be there or not, we should

Constn.

give greater thought to it, etc., but, by and large, there is a desire to respond positively to this basic urge of the people of Sikkim unanimously expressed through their elected representatives.

14 hrs

97

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA basic political urge is expressed by another political set-up in a different way, would you respond"

SHRI SWARAN SINGH: should not deal with such hypothetical matters The present position is that this is their request and if we have to respond positively, then, we have to make certain arrangements in our own Constitution to enable them to have representation in our Parliament, in the Lok Sabha and in the Rajya Sabha Now, to bring about thing, we have male certain proposals which are before this honourable House m the form of this Constitution Amendment Bill. It is true that a new concept is heing introduced But this new concept is to meet a new situation, namely, a desire expressed by the people of Sikkim to be associated with our Parliamentary system, in the form which they have suggested will say, in all humility, a new concannot be judged by the provisions that are already existing If the new concept could be implemented by the existing provisions of the Constitution, it will not be necessary for me to come for amendment of our Constitution The precise reason why I am seeking the indulgence of this honourable House to agree to this amendment is according to the provistons of our Constitution as they exist today, that wish, expressed by the unanimous desire of the representatives of Sikkim to which I presume there is a consensus that we should respond, positively,-that objective--cannot be realised unless the Constistution is amended.

Now, Sir, our own Constitution, if I may say so, is a dynamic and living Constitution. There are several hon. Members here who are very senior. Prof. Shibban Lai Saksena has already intervened. There are several other hon Members who were associated with the process of Constitution-making It is a matter Constitution history that our own went through several changes, from the very beginning. We had Part I States, then Part II States. We also had the concept of the Rajpramukhs. Late: where we were dealing with w problem as they emerged, new political realities as they took shape in our country, we progressively evolved our Constitution to find an answer to the new political situation and the aspirations of the people So, in this way, our own Constitution when through several changes. Now you can see, there is a more or less unified picture of the country and there is more or less a similarity of the relationship and the internal situation in the various constituent States. What has aiready been mentioned by one hon. Member and which is known to all of us is that we also in our own Constitutron have not hesitated to make even unorthodox provisions for example regarding nomination. There are two nominated Members who are Members of this House, for historical reasons which I need not go into, to represent the Anglo Indian community. Then also, in both Houses, there are categories of Members who do not participate in the election of the President or the Vice-President. That also is a pattern which is known to us If I may say so, this is the great virtue of our Constitution, that is not so rigid.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Do you mean to say that under the Indian Constitution they can take part in the election of the President of this country?

SHRI SWARAN SINGH: I am saying that they are not Members by virtue of the process of election I am on that point

Now, if you amend the Constitution, then the Sikkimese will have the right Much depends upon the view that you take on this Bill which seeks to amend the Constitution

SHRI K S CHAVDA (Patan)
When a person is not a citizen of
India he cannot be a Member of
Parliament

SHRI SWARAN SINGH You can decide about it I was only trying to deal with the question of election versus nomination—direct versus indirect election

MR SPEAKER He is not following the virtue of the Constitution

SHRI SWARAN SINGH Therefore, our Constitution is a dynamic Banerjee raised a Constitution Mr valid point and several other Memraised a point that bers too have there should be willingness to discuss and a concrete suggestion might be available in order to either tighten any particular clause or to improve its phi aseology or if there is any new idea I am not shutting out that In fact I have already had two discussions with my colleagues and with the leaders of the Opposition and I would like to say that the general approach we were constructive this morning when we had a fairly exhaustive discussion about the various aspects of the new Constitution Amendment I have already agreed that I am prepared to sif with the hon.

Members who may have any ideas as to how to improve the text and by introducing an amendment, to improve the text We have already agreed that there would be a meeting at which any hon Member, who might be interested in this aspect, is most welcome. We are having that meeting tomorrow in the morning and that has already been agreed upon

Then, Sir, several ideas have been At this stage I do not want to enter into those aspects which, I think touch the merits of the new Bill and which I am sure, can be discussed at considerable length when the stage for consideration of the Bill comes Theretore at this stage, I would like to say that the competence of this Pailiament is not challenged as to whether, m the exercise of that sovereign right, they actually should amend or not. There are questions which relate to the merit of the amendment of the Bill for which there would te an ample opportunity when we discuss the Bill at the consideration stage

So I would appeal to this hon. House that at this stage—this is only a stage when a Bill may be introducted—we should primarily concentrate on the legislative competence and nothing that has been urged that this Parliament is not competent to undertake the amendment

It is true that, for instance, Shri Mishra has raised a point that this is a new concept

102

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA: This is not only a new concept but it concerns the very frame and structure of the Constitution.

SHRI SWARAN SINGH: If I may say so, if the right to amend is there, the question as to the extent up to which that right is exercised, becomes a matter relating to the clauses of the Bill and not the basic structure. So, when you can whether this or that provision goes beyond the concept, it can be voted upon, it can either be accepted or not accepted. So, if I may say so, there are a large number of matters which relate to the provisions of the Bill but not about the legislative competence or the right of Parliament to amend the Constitution

श्रीमध चित्र : ग्रः इमको ज्याहट पानिय मेट गंकमेर्ट; में भे जगा।

SHRI SWARAN SINGH: I would urge that this is not just a drafting matter but a matter of substance on which the whole House would like to participate Therefore, I am not in favour of remitting it to the Joint Select Committee.

SHRI JYOTIRMOY BOSU: Because you cannot afford to delay.

SHRI SWARAN SINGH: Sir, we are not at all rushing the Bill Sikkim Amendment Bill was passed practically two months back. After that they made a request and they have been reminding us and this re-

quest has been pending for more than a month. We have taken all this time to consider this and several other aspects in drafting this Bill and we took the earliest opportunity to open discussions with the Leaders of the Opposition. We already had two discussions with them. So, there is no desire to rush the Bill. I agree with the observations made by the several Members opposite that this is not a party matter. It is a matter of national importance and is in that spirit that I would appeal to the hon. Members to cooperate with us to give real content to the desire of India to respond positively to the democratic urges of the people of Sikkim as unanimously expressed through their elected representatives. I know the Chowgyal of Sikkim has taken exception to certain provisions but we have to take into consideration the hasis fact he himself gave his assent to the Sikkim Act and the provisions of this Constitution flow from the provisions of the Sikkim Act

For all these reasons, I suggest the matter is basically a political matter and not a matter of legal niceties. They can be dealt with. We will respond positively if there is basic agreement that we should respond to the democratic urges of the people of Sikkim.

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce a Bill further to amend the Constitution of India."

The Lok Sabha divided:

AYES

[14.17 hrs

Gokhale, Shri H. R. Gomango, Shri Giridhar

Gopal, Shri K

Goswami, Shri Dinesh Chandra Gotkhinde, Shri Annasaheb

Wi,

Gowda, Shri Pampan

Hari Kishore Singh, Shri

Hari Singh, Shri

Jeyalakshmi, Shrimati V

Jha, Shri Bhogendra

Jha, Shri Chiranjib

Joshi Shri Jagannathrao

Joshi, Shri Popatlal M.

Kadam, Shri J G

Kadannappalli Shri Ramachandran

Kader, Shri S A

Kakodkar, Shri Purushottam

Kakoti, Shri Robin

Kamakshaiah, Shri D

Kapur, Shri Sat Pal

Kathamuthu, Shri M

Kotoki, Shri Liladhar

Krishnan Shrimati Parvathi

Lutfal Haque Shri

Mahajan Shri Vikram

Majhi Shri Kumar

Malhotra, Shri Inder J

Mallikarjun, Shri

Mandal, Shri Jagdish Narain

Manhai, Shri Bhagatram

Manjhi, Shri Bhola

Mehta, Dr Mahipatray

Mirdha Shri Nathu Ram

Mishra Shri Bibhuti

Division No 17]

Aga, Shri Syed Ahmed Agarwal, Shri Khrikrishna Ambesh, Shri Ansarı, Shri Zıaur Rahman Azad, Shri Bhagwat Jha Bade Shri R V Banera, Shri Hamendra Singh

Banerjee, Shri S M Barman Shri R. N Basumatan, Shri D Berwa, Shrı Onkar Lal

Bhagat, Shri B R Bhagat, Shri H K L Bhattacharyyia, Shri Chapalendu

Bheeshmadev, Shri M

Brahmanandji, Shri Swami Brij Raj Singh-Kotah, Shri Chakleshwar Singh, Shri Chandrakar, Shri Chandulal Chandrappan, Shri C K.

Chandrashekharappa Veerabasappa, Shri T V

Chaturvedi Shri Rohan Lal Chhotey Lal, Shri

Chewhan, Shri Bharat Singh

Daga, Shri M C

Das, Shri Anadi Charan Deshpande, Shrimatı Roza

Dhamankar, Shri Dixit, Shri G C Dumada, Shri L K Dwivedi, Shri Nageshwar

Engti, Shri Biren Gancsh Shri K R Gangadeb Shri P

Gogo: Shr: Tarun Goham, Shri C C

Mishra, Shri Jagannath

Misra, Shri S. N.

Mohammad Tahir, Shri

Nanda, Shri G. L.

Negi, Shri Pratap Singh

Nimbalkar, Shri

Painuli, Shri Paripoornanand

Palodkar, Shri Manikrao

Pandey, Shri Krishna Chandra

Panday, Shri Sarjoo

Pandey, Shri Sudhakar

Panigrahi, Shri Chintamani

Paokai Haokip, Shri

Patel, Shri Arvind M.

Patel, Shri Natwarlal

Patel, Shri Prabhudas

Patil, Shri Anantrao

Patil, Shri S. B.

Peje, Shri S. L.

Radhakrishnan, Shri S.

Raghu Ramaiah, Shri K.

Rai, Shrimati Sahodrabai

Ram Sewak, Ch.

Ram Singh Bhai, Shri

Rum Surat Prasad, Shri

Rao, Shri M. S. Sanjeevi

Rao, Shri Nageswara

Rao, Dr. V. K R. Varadaraja

Rathia, Shri Umed Sangh

Reddi, Shri P. Antony

Reddy, Shri K. Ramakrıshna

Reddy, Shri M. Ram Gopal

Reddy, Shri P. V.

Reddy, Shri Sidram

Roy, Shri Bishwanath

Sadhu Ram, Shri

Saksena, Prof. S. L.

Samanta, Shri S. C.

Sanghi, Shri N. K.

Sankata Prasad, Dr.

Sant Bux Singh, Shri

Sarkar, Shri Sakti Kumar

Satpathy, Shri Devendra

Savant, Shri Shankerrao

Sayced, Shri P. M.

Sen, Dr Ranen

Sethi, Shri Arjun

Shankar Dayal Singh, Shri

Shankaranand, Shri B.

Sharma Shri A. P.

Sharma, Shri Nawal Kishore

Shastri, Shri Ramavatar

Shastri, Shri Sheopujan

Shenov. Shri P. R.

Shivouth Singh, Shri

Sinha, Shri R. K.

Sonan Lal, Shri T.

Surendra Pal Singh, Shri

Suryanarayana, Shri K.

Swarar Singh, Shri

Tarodeksr, Shri V. B.

Tiwarı, Shri R. G.

Tulsiram, Shri V.

Uikey, Shri M. G.

Vekaria, Shri

Verma, Shri Sukhdeo Prasad

Vijay Pol Singh, Shri

Vikal, Shri Ram Chandra

Zulfiquer Ali Khan, Shri

108

107

NOES

Bhattacharyya, Shri Jagadish Bosu, Shri Jyotirmoy Chavda, Shri K. S. Dandavate, Prof. Madhu Dutta, Shri Biren George, Shri Varkey Haider, Shri Krishna Chandra Huda, Shri Noorul Mavalankar, Shri P. G. Mehta, Shri P. M. Mishra, Shri Shyamnandan Misra, Shri Janeshwar Parmar, Shri Bhaljibhai Patel, Kumari Maniben Ramkanwar, Shri Sen, Shri Robin Sezhiyan, Shri

MR. SPEAKER The result of the division is:

Ayes: 140; Noes: 17.

The motion was adopted.

SHRI SWARAN SINGH: I introduce the Bill.

SUPPLEMENTARY DEMANDS FOR GRANTS (GENERAL), 1974-75—
Contd.

MR. SPEAKER: The other day, I saw the objections raised by hon. Members about certain expenditure of the previous year. I think that is a subject matter for Excess Grants. I hope the hon. Minister will come forward with the Excess Demands for Grants.

We adjourn now to meet again at 3 P.M.

14.20 hrs.

The Lok Sabha adjourned for Lunch till Fifteen of the Clock.

The Lok Sabha re-assembled after Lunch at five minutes past fifteen of the Clock.

[Mr. Deputy-Speaker in the Chair] SUPPLEMENTARY DEMANDS FOR GRANTS (GENERAL), 1974-75— Contd.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: We resume discussion, on the Supplementary Demands for Grants in respect of the Budget (General) for the year 1974-75, along with the cut motions moved.

Shri Ganesh to finish his speech.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN OF FINANCE THE MINISTRY (SHRI K. R. GANESH): In the course of discussions on the First Supplementary Demands for Grants 1974-75 in Lok Sabha on Saturday, the 31st August, 1974, Shri Era Sezhiyan, raised a point of order in regard to Constitutional propriety of regularisation, by way of Supplementary Demands for Grants, of expenditure incurred in the previous year by obtaining advance from the Contingency Fund in that previous year. S/Shri Madhu Limaye and S. M. Banerjee also supported the point of order raised by Shri Era Sezhiyan and sought a ruling from the Chair.

As I understand, Sir, the main issues raised by the hon. Members were—

 (i) Supplementary Demands for Grants come within the purview of clause (a) of article

The following Members also recorded their votes:-

AYES: Shri Kartik Oraon.

NOES: Shri Shiv Shanker Prasad Yadav.

1974-75

115(1) of the Constitution, which specifically refers to "current financial year"; and

(ii) Consequently, expenditure incurred, without due appropriation, in a particular year, if sought to be regularised in the following year, has to take the form of Excess Demands for Grants, coming within the scope of clause (b) of article 115(1) of the Constitution.

It is the contention of the hon. Member that of the four items of expenditure met by obtaining advances from the Contingency Fund which proposed to be regularised through this batch of Supplementary Demands for Grants, the item at (b) in Demand No. 48 on page 11, having been incurred by obtaining an advance from the Contingency Fund on 13th March, 1974, i.e. during the financial year 1973-74, is not an item which can appropriately come within the scope of Supplementary Demands for Grants in 1974-75 within the purview of clause (a) of article 115(1) and ought to have come before the House in the form of Demand for Excess Grants relating to the year 1973-74.

It appears to me that there is some misunderstanding in the minds of some hon. Members with regard to the provisions in the Constitution relating to Contingency Fund and Excess Ex-Article 267(1) of the penditure. Constitution envisages establishment of the Contingency Fund in the nature of an imprest to be placed at the disposal of President to enable advances to be made by him for the purpose of meeting unforeseen expenditure pending authorisation of such expenditure by Parliament under article 115 or 116. Article 115 of the Constitution has two parts, namely, clause (a) dealing with Supplementary or additional expenditure required to be incurred during the current year and clause (b) which deals with money spent during a financial year in excess of the authorised appropriation granted for a year. Items of Contingency Fund advance cannot and do not come under the provisions of clause (b) of article 115 relating to excess expenditure.

There are three forms in which expenditure is incurred, namely,—

- (a) Annual Demands for Grans voted by Parliament and expenditure from the Consolidated Fund of India authorised through Appropriation Act;
- (b) Grants for supplementary or additional expenditure authorised in the course of the year as contemplated under article 115(a). Here also, the appropriation is from out of the Consolidated Fund; and
- (c) Expenditure incurred from advances taken from the Contingency Fund of India which is to be regularised as required by Constitution by obtaining Supplementary Grants. The Supplementary Grants in such cases are also covered by appropriation from the Consolidated Fund of India.

Any expenditure incurred by Government which does not fall it. any of the three forms is excess expenditure.

As you are aware, Sir. we have, as in British pattern, a well established procedure for regularisation of excess expenditure. I would like to invite attention to British procedure on Excess Grants described on page 747 of the Seventeenth Edition of May's Parliamentary Practices. As in Britain, the excess expenditure, if any, incurred are recorted upon by the Comptroller and Auditor General in his Report, which, after it has been laid before Parliament is examined at length by Public Accounts Committee. It is only

[Shri K R. Ganesh]

III

after the Committee, after examination, submits its Report recommending regularisataion of excess expenditure that the Demands for Excess Grants are presented to the House for voting and the connected Appropriation (Excess Grants) Act passed by both Houses of Parliament In Britain, according to May, this is done by about March of the following year Here, to cite an example, the excess expenditure incurred from the Consolidated Fund of India in Civil Departments in 1969-70 was reported upon by the Comptroller and Auditor General in March 1971 The excesses disclosed in the Report of the Comptroller and Auditor General were examined by the Public Accounts Committee, under the distinguished Chairmanship of my hon friend Shei Era Sezhiyan and the Report of the Committee recommending regularisation of the excess expenditure, was presented to the House in December 1971, whereupon, the Demands for Excess Grants in respect of 1969-70 were presented to this House in March, 1972

I would specially bring to the notice of Hon Members that these expenditures, reported upon by the Comptroller and Auditor General examined by the Public Accounts Committee and regularisation for recommended through Demands for Excess Grants, did not include expenditure of the order of Rs 110 lakhs, comprising four items incurred towards the end of 1969-70, not from the Consolidated Fund of India but by obtaining advances from the Contingency Fund The additional expenditure so incurred by obtaining advances from the Contingency Fund in March 1970 was regularised by obtaining Supplementary Grants in the next financial year in August, 1970.

I presume the distinction between excess expenditure and expenditure incurred from advances from Contin-

gency Fund is now clear. The only point which remains to be clarified is the propriety of including the items relating to previous year in the Supplementary Demands presented this year There is nothing either in article 267(1) of the Constitution or in the Contingency Fund of India Act 1950 to bar regularisation of items of Contingency Fund advances relating to previous year by obtaining supplementary grant in the subsequent year By its very nature, an advance from the Contingency Fund is made to meet unforeseen expenditure-may be. unforeseen even at the time when the last batch of a year's Supplementary Demands for Grants is presented to the House The need for making an advance may arise on any day, including the last day of the financial year and this happened on several occasions For instance of the four items of advances from the Contingency Fund made towards the end of 1969-70 about which I referred to earher, two were made on the 31st March 1970 one on the 30th March 1970 and the other on the 26th March, 1970-all the four being made after the presentation of the last batch of Supplementary Demands for Grants for 1969-70 Once it is conceded that the expenditure incurred by obtaining Contingency Fund advance is different from 'Excess, it would follow that it has to be regularised by obtaining supplementary grant either in the same year or in the subsequent year depending on the circumstances

There is thus, little substance in the point of order raised by my friends and I submit Sir, that it may be ruled out

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER In the first place I must say it is not possible for me to digest the mass of material and references given by the hon minister. It is a rather technical question relating to finances and obviously he has come fully prepared, which he should do Day before yesterday, when this

point was raised, he, I think very wisely and discreetly, postponed giving an answer to the point. because he himself was not quite sure about it and he wanted to look into the matter closely and get the briefing from his department, which was only natural and normal. Today he has come with a fairly long explastatement, which is welcome. On the other hand, on this matter for me to say that I have digested everything that he has said also would not be fair. I am not being humble, but I think any person sitting in this Chair, unless he himself had been the Comptroller and Auditor-General for years together, for whom it is meat and fish, any other person will find it difficult to comprehend so soon.

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE (Banka): Meat and fish have become expensive.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Literally, yes; figuratively, they are not. So, 1 do not know how to settle this matter, If I open it again for discussion, then it is this way or that way. Shri Sezhiyan had raised this and, obviously, after hearing the Minister, he might have certain other things to say. In that case, it becomes another debate and it becomes very difficult. Then, ultimately, the whole matter has to be decided by the Chair.

Now, in this respect, my task has been made very much easier because the Speaker before leaving the Chair this morning, this afternoon rather, before recess, had made some observations on this. Obviously he must have had a copy of Shri Ganesh's statement. He must have studied the statement in his chamber; I do not know. He has made certain observations which amount almost to a ruling.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE. (Kanpur): When was it made?

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: In this House just before its adjournment. The difficulty is that you never put your ear phone. At that time you

should have put your ear phone. This is what the Speaker said:this has been given to me by the Table just now—

"The other day I saw the objections raised by hon. Members about certain expenditure of the previous year. I think that is a subject matter for the excess grants. I hope the hon. Minister will come forward with an excess Demands for Grants.

We adjourn now to meet again at 3 p.m."

So, I think we should not go, back. No more argument is necessary, although there may be arguments this way or that way. When the Speaker has said this is an excess demand, then clause (b) of article 115 is attracted, which reads as follows:

"(1) The President shall-

been spent on any service during a financial year in excess of the amount granted for that service and for that year".

—it does not say from which fund, whether it is the Consolidated Fund or Contingency Fund; it only says if money has been spent in excess—

"cause to be laid before both the Houses of Parliament another statement showing the estimated amount of that expenditure or cause to be presented to the House of the People a demand for such excess, as the case may be."

The Speaker's ruling attracts this provision of the Constitution. It follows that the Government will have to come with another excess demand and should take this out from the present supplementary demands. I am not going into the details. If we go by the Speaker's ruling, as we should, then it

[Mr. Deputy-Speaker]

1974-75

#IS

needs a closer look. Demand No. 48
15 a clear case to me, about 50 and 51,
I do not know; it has to be looked into;
so also Demand No. 53 has to be looked into very carefully. And if these
are to be taken out, then I do not know
how do we proceed.

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE These are charged items. They are not votable. They should be taken out. Minus these items, the Demands should be put to the vote of the House. The Appropriation Bill should be withdrawn

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER. We have to deal with these things in a very responsible way because it involves money.

I would, again, say that the hon Members of this House have done a very great service to this House and also to this nation. This House is the watch-dog of everything Money the most important thing You are doing your duty for which I am very grateful. The nation has to be grateful to you all for doing your duty Nothing can be taken for granted This House cannot be taken for granted by any-Anybody anywhere having to body do with the administration of this country will commit a very grave mistake if he takes this House for granted There are hon Members, very hard working and very watchful It takes a lot of pains, a lot of work, to go into all these details I really admire them.

We have to deal with these things in a responsible way. To say that we must take these out and pass the rest, I think, we will be doing it in a little hurry and in a little irresponsible way. We have to have a close look at them. A lot of clerical work has to be done I really do not know what to do. I would like the hon Minister to enlighten me on this as to how we proceed in the matter.

SHRI K. R. GANESH Sir as you said, since the Speaker has given a

ruling, there is nothing else for me to say as far as the merits of the points raised by Shri Sezhiyan and others are concerned.

I have very extensively tried to put the view that we in the Ministry of Finance have on this question. It was our firm opinion that these do not constitute Excess Demands. I am here to abide by the Speaker's ruling. But, I think, it is also necessary for me to lust ie-state the position that as far as we in the Ministry of Finance are concerned, we feel that these are not Excess Demands, that article 115 applies to the Consol dated Fund of India

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE Sir I rise on a point of order

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER Let him finish I will allow you later on

SHRIK R GANESH As you rightly indicated, these are very delicate financial matters and delicate financial matters have got to it gone into depth and these delicate financial matters have also an integrated approach to various problems. Though the Speaker's ruling has got to be accepted by the House. I think it is also necessary that the points that I have tried to put this has been a very long statement and I will try to summarise it.

SHRI S M BANERJEE On a point of order, Sir

After hearing all of us. Shri Sezhiyan, Shri Limaye and miself--our arguments were practically the same—the Speaker in his own wisdom has given a particular ruling and you have read out the ruling, after giving a full hearing to Shri Ganesh. The Finance Department may do whatever they like After all, we are guided by the ruling given by the Speaker All of us are supposed to abide by it

The only course left for the hou. Minister is to separate these Excess Demands. He should bring another list of Supplementary Demands It is a question of printing only Excess Demands should be brought separately Let us not have a discussion again But to pass a thing knowing fully well that these are Excess Demands by no stretch of imagination they can come under these Supplementary Demands how can we possibly become a part to the second come under the second come and the second come and the second come and the second come are part to the second come and come are second come as the second come and come and come and come are second come and come and

My submission is that you Sir, direct it hon Mini ter to bring two thing eparatel one Supplementary Demand for 1974 7; and other Excess Demands for 1975-74

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER I think we can of do anything except go by the Speaker's ruling I am just making a suggestion for the consideration of the hon members that in view of the Speaker's ruling the Government should sort it out with the Speaker and after sorting it out with him, the Minister may come again with new Supplementary Demands and a new Appropriation Bill He will have to convince the Speaker in view of his ruling. Therefore I do not think we can proceed in the matter.

श्री मधु लिमये उपाध्यक्ष महोदय मैं प्रस्ताब करना नाहना ह ग्रन्डर रूल १४० (श्यवधान)

We should postpone the discussion on this

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER I have already said that in view of the Speaker riling the Government should sort it out with the Speaker convine the Speaker which are the every grants to be taken out and come with the new Demands and new Appropriation Bill

Then we 30 on to the next item

THE MIN'STER OF PARLIAMENT-ARY AFFAIRS (SHRI K RAGHU-RAMAIAH) This morning I was not here Did the Speaker give a ruling on this?

MR DEPUTY SPEAKER Yes, 1 will read it out again

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE It is not necessary (Interruptions)

MR DEPUTY-SPEAKER I am not changing anything. Do not get excited I am just clanifying the position for the benefit of my good friend, Mr. Raghu Ramiiah because he is the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs and he has special responsibilities he is very hard working he has to run here there and everywhere he was not here at the beginning Naturally he is entitled to hear I will read out what the Speaker has ruled before leaving the Chair

'The other day I saw the objections raised by hon members about certain expenditure of the previous year I think that is a subjectmatter for excess grants I hope the hon Minister will come forward with Excess Demands for Grants"

In view of that the best thing is to sort out with the Speaker and come with one Supplementary Demands, and another Excess Demands for Grants two Appropriation Bills, one for Supplementary Demands and another for Excess Demands That has to be sorted out Even Excess Demands have to be discussed separately

SHRI K R GANESH These are delicate financial matters. Let there be a discussion. Since the text of the ruling itself is before you I cannot challenge it But I distinctly remember that when the Speaker left—if this ruling had been there then there was no question of my going through the motion— when the Speaker left he asked me to put forward the view of the Ministry and then the House adjourned for Lunch. This was the impression that I got.

[Shri K. R. Ganesh]

have now read out the recorded version, the text itself. But at that time everything was done in a hurry and he left. None of us could hear each and every word of what he had said. But I distinctly got the impression that the Speaker wanted me to satisfy the House. This is, I might submit in all fairness to the House, what has actually happened, and then he suddenly left and because I got the impression that he indicated that I have to satisfy whether these are Excess Grants or not . (Interruptions) Just I am submitting. There is nothing going to be lost by thrashing out the whole thing. I did not go for lunch. I sat here to see once again all the books which I have collected, to satisfy the Speaker that these are not Excess If that was the ruling, then I would not have been foolish enough even to lose my lunch

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER I will tell you. I must say that when I came here, I was also under the impression that the Speaker had asked you to answer the points of Shri Sezhiyan and that is why I called you and you have made a statement, but half way through or when you were about to finish the statement, the Table brought me this piece of paper in order to tell me that this is already what the Speaker has decided.

I will tell you what happened. The whole morning was in confusion. You saw me there, I came here and I thought he asked me to come and relieve him and I had to stand there half a minute and I went back, and then the Speaker said something and adjourned the House This is on record and we cannot go back. (Interruptions) We have to go by the record

We might have all impressions like the seven blind men and the elephant, one telling that it is trunk of a tree, another says that it is like a wall, like that. But the only thing on which it has to be decided is the authentic document supplied to me from the Table from the reporters. We cannot do anything else.

SHRI K. R. GANESH: May I make another suggestion? Since these items which are there on which there has been objection and on which one has to establish whether they are Excess Demands or otherwise ... (Interruptions).

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Why are you impatient? Let him clarify

SHRI K. R. GANESH Since this is not a charged item and in any case, it is not to be voted

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER That is no question 113 is very clear Even if it is not to be voted, nothing prevents it to be discussed.

"So much of the estimates that relate to expenditure charged upon the Consolidated Fund of India shall no be submitted to the vote of Parliament But nothing in this clause shall but construed as preventing a discussion in either House of Parliament of any of the estimates."

It may not be voted, but the House can discuss Therefore I do not know how to get out of it

SHRI K, R GANESH One way could be that those items on which there is a difference and on which the Speaker has given a ruling, those items could be taken out from the Appropriation Bill and the rest of the Appropriation Bill passed

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: There I do not know, because the Speaker's ruling is very vague. He has not pointed out to any particular items in his ruling. He is only accepting the fact that there are Excess Demands, but what are those Demands, he has not said. Therefore, he has directed the Minister to come forward with an Excess Demand. But what are the Excess Demands, how can one decide it here? One has

to go into the demands very very carefully. Therefore, the whole matter has to be sorted out with the Speaker.

I am sory. We now take up the next item.

SHRI MADHU L!MAYE: Now, this is finished.

SHRI K. RAGHU RAMAIAH: Nothing is finished. This is only adjourned till tomorrow.

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE: You cannot decide that.

SHRI K. RAGHU RAMAIAH: The Chair has said that we have to sort it out with the hon. Speaker. Let it be postponed till tomorrow.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: We will take up the next item—Supplementary Demands for Grants for Gujarat.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: After Gujarat, Sir. we are having Pondicherry. In Pondicherry also, it is full of Excess Grants.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: When we come to Pondicherry we shall be in Pondicherry.

SHRI MADHU L'MAYE: You may please direct him to correct all Demands.

SHRI SEZHIYAN (Kumbakonam): There is a point of order in Gujarat Demands. There are many items for which expenditure has been made in the previous year. But this is included in the Demands for Grants, 1974-75. Take Roman (i), Para 2 is there relating to Supplementary Demands for Grants. It says:

.... "The Supplementary Demands for Grants for expenditure from the Consolidated Fund of Gujarat included in this volume, which is the first instalment..."

SHRI K. R. GANESH: Excuse me for interrupting you. May I submit that because of this ruling of the Speaker which is there, which we have to

accept, in Gujarat as well as in Pondicherry, the same problem will come.

122

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Will it mean, in Pondicherry also?

SHRI K. R. GANESH: Yes, Sir.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: All right. We skip over those items. (Interruptions).

Order please. We take up Demands for Railways.

AN HON. MEMBER: The Railway Minister is not here....

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Let us understand very clearly that, accepting the Speaker's ruling, the Minister says, he is aware that there are elements like that in Gujarat, in Pondicherry Demands. And also, all these things must be sorted out together in order to save the time of the House, which, I think, is very reasonable.....

So, now we take up the Demands for Railways. The Minister is not here. Then we take up the Oil Industry (Development) Bill. Mr. D. K. Borooah is not here.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS (SHRI SHAHNAWAZ KHAN): I am here...

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE; About the subject matter of Railways, Sir....

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: We are skipping over.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE; The Minister may not be here. Mr. Madhu Limaye is here.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: The Minister of Finance cannot speak on behalf of the Minister of Railways.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: It is not necessary that the Minister has to initiate the discussion. It is left to the Members to initiate the discussion. Then reply will be given by the Minster of Railways.

MR. DEPUTY SPEA. "R: There have been occasions in this House when I have had to adjourn the House for five minutes or so because the Minister concerned with the matter under discussion could not be present. And therefore I do not want to break from that tradition to discuss anything where the Minister in charge is not there. Of course it is not his fault because nobody could anticipate that we would reach this item so quickly. It is not his 'oult. And therefore, we postpone d' assion on this.

15.40 hrs.

OIL INDUSTRY (DEVELOPMENT) BILL-Contd

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: How we come to the famous. secret Bill, of Shri D. K. Borooah and I do not know whether we should ... (Interruption) Mr. Shahnawaz Khan, a bulky man. a prominent man is there; why don't you see him

SHRI P. G. MAVALANKAR (Ahmedabad): How did he know that it will come at this stage?

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Any Minister can take it up, it does not matter. I was only going to say, this is a famous and secret Bill and I do not know whether we shall discuss it in secrecy or we shall discuss it with our tongues in our cheeks or in whichever way you put it. I think we can take it up and discuss this

SHR! S. M. BANERJEE (Kanpur): Before that, let us have quorum. Sir.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Now, the quorum is there. Now. Mr. Shahnawaz Khan.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS (SHRI SHAHNAWAZ KHAN): Sir, on behalf of Shri D. K. Borooah, I beg to move:

"That the Bill to provide for the establishment of a Board for the development of oil industry and for that purpose to levy a duty of excise on crude oil and natural gas and for matters connected therewith, be taken into consideration."

श्री मधु लिमये (वाका) : उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या बरुधा जी ने ग्रापको लिखकर दिया था कि उन की जगह पर यह बोलेंगे ?

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I have understood what you said. We see the difficulty. The difficulty with me sometimes is that I have not only sympathy but also empathy; empathy goes much more than sympathy. We can read into the mind of a Member. It is a fact that Shri Borooah has not written to me. But, having regard to the exigencies of the situation in the House, I have exercised my residuary powers to allow the Minister of State to initiate the discussion.

SHRI S. M. BANERJEE: If you read it again you will find that it is a further consideration of the motion moved by Shri Borooah. He has already moved it and so, it is a continuing matter.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I think he only moved it. I do not know whether he has made a speech. But, still, if he wants to enlighten this House by speaking on it. I think, we should welcome it.

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS (SHRI D. K. BOROOAH): Sir, it was a very innocuous Bill.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: If it is innocuous, why do you call it 'Secret'. Secret is an unusual thing.

SHRI D. K. BOROOAH: It is innocuous in the sense that it only wants to impose a cess of Rs. 60.

and the second second

SHRI MADHU LIMAYE: I rise on a point of order. This is most irregular.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER. You can make your point of order.

भी मचु लिमये : मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है । उराध्यक्ष महोदय, मिनिस्टर घ्राफ स्टेट बोलने के लिये खड़े हो गये और मैं भी व्यवस्था के प्रश्न पर खड़ा हो गया लेकिन ग्राप ने मुझे एन्टीसिपेट कर दिया और ग्रभी इस बोच मे यह आ गये, तो श्री शाह नवाज खा का क्या हुआ, उनके भवण का क्या हुआ ?

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Now, let us take it a little seriously. Now, it has been a very unusual day. These kinds of things never come frequently to Parliament. I think that this adds spice to Parliament. It also reinforces that Parliament is the real House. You have succeeded. I do not think the Opposition has ever succeeded so far as it has succeeded to-day. It has been able to scuttle the entire Supplementary Demands for Grants in General. you have scuttled the Appropriation Bill, you have also scuttled the Demands for Grants for Gujarat, you have scuttled the Demands of Pondicherry, you have scuttled the Demands of Railways. What else do you want? As very very vigilant watch-dogs of this nation, you have done your duty. But, it has been very unusual. Therefore, it was fortunate that the Minister of State happened to be here. I think he had some premonition or had some dream last night that something would happen and you might vote it down. The whole thing would have been scuttled if he would have got a well earned holiday. Let us take it this way. In the meantime the senior Minister has come: When the Minister of State makes his speech and the senior Minister comes in the meanwhile. The Minister of State has begun his speech. Then comes the senior Minister. Let all this be recorded for posterity.

SHRI D. K. BOROOAH: This is perhaps the only way our names can be recorded for posterity.

Ostotniauteur 126

(Dev.) Bill

Sir, this Bill is basically a very mnoceus Bill. As the hon. Members by now have known its main purpose is to impose a levy on the crude in order to provide funds for the development of the oil industry in its various ramificatios. Before I go into the contents of the Bill, I would like to bring to your notice only one point which has aroused some degree of, if I may say so, doubt in the minds of the hon. Members, namely, why this Bill introduced as a secret Bill. As you know this question was gone into by the hon. Speaker and then he gave a general ruling that this Bill is a Finance Bill without being a Money Bill. Sir, our rules provide for a secret Bill In fact, there is one precedent. Why it was introduced as a secret Bill, the reason being if you had imposed this tax and followed the regular procedure of giving notice of three to four days the people would have known that such and such tax was going to be imposed and there was some suspicion. that partially if not wholly, there would have been some evasion of this tax.

Ordinarily, that doubt should not have arisen because oil is mostly in the public sector. Four million tonnes of crude oil is produced by ONGC. So, there was no reason of ONGC avoiding tax. Three million tonnes of crude oil is produced by Oil India which is again 50 per cent Government and 50 per cent Burmah Oil Company. There also the chances of evasion are very very remote. we have one small thing-Assam Oil Company which has a small refinery in Digboi and there they process half a million tennes of crude. The capacity of the refinery is about 48,000 tonnes. Supposing the movement they knew there was going to be imposition of Rs. 60 per ten and suppose they had put: 48,000 tonnes from the pipe-

[Shri D. K. Borooah]

line into the refinery they could have avoided imposition of Rs. 60 per ton on 48,000 tonnes. I do not say they would have done it but, Sir, was a possibility. This could have been done. There are various ways of evasion of tax. We cannot stop all the embezzlement by punishing people, but we can plug the holes so that we could prevent evasion. Therefore, we thought, as a measure of abundant caution, that we should take special steps so that there was no risk even a remote risk, of anybody avoiding this tax. That is why, it was introduced as a secret Bill The moment it is introduced, immediately, collection of tax starts, so that there is no time gap during which somebody can draw out some crude oil and put it into the refinery This is one aspect

We considered this matter very seriously and we did not want to take any risk. Even if a small quantity was avoided may not be 48,000, but 10,000 or 5,000 it would have been open for the hon. Members to ask 'Why did you not take abundant precaution?'. It was only by way of abundant precaution, this was introduced as a secret Bill. Otherwise, there is nothing secret about it. Technically speaking, it may be called a secret Bill. This was done only in order to avoid delay in processing and bringing this Bill before the House. That was the only purpose. I hope, Sir, now it has become public Technically, under the Rules, we may use the word 'secret':

So, Sir, the main purpose of this Bill, as I said, is to generate some funds for the development of the oil industry, in all its ramifications. Sir, this arises out of what the Malaviya Committee had suggested that here should be a fund, which should be generated by levying a tax on the petroleum products. We thought that instead of levying a tax on the petroleum products where collection would be more complicated and the chances

of evasion would also be more, the best thing was to levy a tax on the crude oil itself so that collection would be easier and chances of evasion of tax would also be 'Nil'. That is why, instead of levying this tax this cess on the petroleum products, as suggested by the Malaviya Committee, we are levying this cess on the crude oil. This is the basic difference.

The second aspect is, how to utilise this money, the amount that will be collected on the basis of this cess. Today, we are producing 7 million tonnes or a little more than that The amount that would accrue annually would be Rs. 60 per tonne x 7 or 8 million tonnes How to utilise this money? The Malaviva Committee went question into the really went into the question of on shore drilling and they suggested that Government should provide adequate funds for it. Sir, because of the problem of non-availability of crude oil and the high prices the Planning Commission made an allotment of about Rs. 700 crores for drilling during the next five years So, here is no dearth of funds for drilling. But, Sir. drilling means on shore drilling shore drilling would also be accommodated in that. The basic point is, the development of oil industry has to be undertaken in a comprehensive manner because it is not enough if we undertake drilling; it is not enough if we undertake exploration, but we must also draw the oil out of the holes put it in the pipeline and then get it into the refinery. Wherever we have struck oil, we must also provide for utilisation.

Therefore, we thought that this amount, in addition to the Rs. 700 crores already made available to ONGC, should be made available with a view to utilising the crude that we may be able to produce in this country.

I will give one example. Now we are striking oil not in a very big way

but in a substantial way-may be it might develop into a very substantial big way-at Galki. We have got a well there dug in collaboration with Russian experts. As hon. members may know. Russian team headed by their Minister for Oil who himself is an expert visited the site there and said this is prospective very area. We have struck there. The oil daily production would be 150 tonnes a day The average in this country for our wells is only 20 tonnes a day, but here in one well at Galeki we are getting 150 tonnes a day. Our expectations are that we may get half a million, than one million and, may be, 2 million tonnes also.

The problem then would be how to utilise the crude. It has to be carried to some refinery or the other. For that we need pipeline. As you know, the present pipeline irom Naharkatia to Baraum carries only 5 million tonnes. So it you strike oil in a big way, you must expand the pipeline. If you have to carry about 8 million tonnes, we need about Rs. 17 crores for expanding the capacity of the pipeline by having booster pumps, then the crude has to be air-conditioned because in winter it conceals in Assam and it has to be heated up to make it movable along the pipeline. Where to find the Rs. 17 erores? It is not enough to drill. We have also to get the crude on to the doorsteps of the refinery.

Then it may be that we will have to expand the refinery capacity also to utilise the oil. If members from Tripura were here, they would have told us that gas has been found there. The Minister of Mining had announced it even before I did in Rajya Sabha. We are getting gas 1,00,000 cubic metres a day, natural gas. That is enough to produce 10 megawatts of power. This is in one well only. We are drilling more. How to utilise the gas? It must be utilised in some shape or other. That investment will have to be made immediately; otherwise we will

have to flare the gas, wastit. I sorry ing am to say in India, in Assam particularly, we have been wasting a lot of our gas because we do not have the resources or plans to utilise the gas. Today it is longer possible waste to anv asset which is a product of petroleum industry.

Similarly, we are now striking oil in Bombay High. There has to be expansion of offshore drilling. Now We are drilling with only one rig. We are going to by one more, a big rig. companies are coming there. The oil has to be brought to the share. We will have to buy tankers to carry the oil. If you strike oil, you must also make arrangements or utilising it by providing financial resources.

Thereore, we thought the utilisation of this money should not be confined to drilling, but the other processes after oil has been struck.

16 hrs.

Now gas is as valuable as oil. In fact, in many West European countries, they consider gas as more valuable than oil because it provides all the requirements of the petro chemicountry, cal industry. In this producing fertiliser we are from naptha. There are two plants, one in Nunmati in Assam and the other the Gujrat Fertilisers where we are using natural gas and converting it into fertilisers, we use it as feedstock forfertilisers. Suppose we strike oil or gas in a big way in Tripura we will have to put up a petro-chemical complex and even a fertiliser plant there. We are now drilling in Jaisalmer and we may strike gas. What shall we do? It has to be converted into fertiliser or petro-chemicals. These contingencies have to be provided for. It is not only a question of drilling for oil or getting oil: you must also provide for the utilisation of oil in all its manifestations. Gas is one manifestation of oil. What is the position today? Italy is buying natural gas from the Soviet Union and bringing it by pipeline. America is

[Shri D. K. Borooah]

buying in a large way natural gas energy from Algeria, Great Britain and France too. Japan is buying from the Gulf areas. We must make arrangements for all our products. Today we are flaring very valuable natural gas m the oil fields of Assam. It is a sad sight for us to see.

The question is how will the money be utilised? This money will be collected and put into the consolidated fund of India It will come under the control of the Board and the Board will use it according to its discretion and certain norms which the Government fixed by be be Such expenditure will scrutinised by the Comptroller and Auditor-General of India and his reports will be submitted to Parliament every year It will thus be under the financial control of the Public Account Committee and Parliament

The Board will consist of thirteen members Clause 3 deals with the composition of the board not more than three members to be appointed by the Central Government to represent the Ministry or Ministries of the Central Government dealing with petroleum and chemicals, two members to be appointed by the Central Government to represent the Ministry of the Centwith Government dealing ral not more than the finance; members to be appointed by the Central Government to represent the Corporations, being Corporations owned or controlled by the Central Government engaged in activities referred to in clause (k) of section (2) that is, production of fertilisers and petro-chemicals not more than two members to be appointed by the Central Government from amongst persons who, in the opinion of the Central Government have special knowledge or experience of oil industry, one secretary to the Board The Central Government will appoint one of the members representing the Ministry or Ministries of Petroleum and Chemicals as the Chairman of the Board

There has been a complaint that with this Bill the Minister had abdicated all powers. As regards financial allotment, it is only meet and proper that the Minister should not be there. that in such matters it is better. There are representatives from the Ministry of Finance as well our Ministry, there are also five representatives from corporations who have experience such the matters Rg ONGC Indian Oil Corpora-Corporation Fertiliser of tion. India. Petro-Chemical Corporation, etc We have two petro-Chemical Corporations, one in Assam and another in Gujrat There are more corporations of this type and we can have five from Two of them would be nomithem nated by the Government of India As gesture to the working class, I thought one of them should represent workers One of the leaders of the trade union movement should be included because I want that the workers should be involved in the development of this country It is a question of absolute social necessity In this country the major part of the wealth is created by labour because we do not have the technology which the western countries Just 8 per cent of the people grow the food for the entire people of America and they export it also Here 70 to 80 per cent of the people are agriculture So in this engaged in country we can develop only with the close collaboration and cooperation of the working class If labour theory value has any application in any country, it is here Therefore, labour should have a high place in the management of our industries

SHRI PRIYA RANJAN DAS MUNSI (Calcutta-South). It should be a labour, not labour leader Leader may be an MP who may not know anything of the industry If he is unconnected with the industry, he may even spoil it

SHRI D K. BOROOAH. We are in Parliament because people have elected us A labour leader is one in whom

134

the working class has confidence. My experience has been that labour has cooperated with us and we have been functioning without a hitch. I am only giving an assurance here that out of the two to be nominated, one will be closely associated with the welfare of labour.

Oil Industry

(Dev.) Bill

SHRI NATHU RAM MIRDHA (Nagpur): You want Rs. 1700 crores for expansion. But you are asking for only Rs. 48 crores. Why so low?

SHRI D. K. BOROOAH: We have already been allotted Rs. 700 crores for oilfields.

RAM MIRDHA: SHRI NATHU When you need Rs. 1700 crores, Rs. 700 crores is nothing. You need so much money.

SHRI D. K. BOROOAH: It is not a question of allotment of money. capacity to utilize that money has also to be taken into account. We will have to build up that capacity. We have to engineering, mechanical, build up pipe-laying capacity. drilling and Therefore, whatever we can set our heart to m the development of oil industry in all its ramifications, we will be able to manage within this. I would like to hasten slowly. This is addition to what has already been allocated. I would be grateful if the hon. Members vote more money. This is only a beginning. I have to take into account the over all picture, the technical capacity, the possibility fabricating equipment, the possibility of importing equipment. If we find we can go faster, certainly the House would not grudge any money, because this forms part of the strategy of suryival. But, as I said, we must take into account our capacity, which is of a different nature our industrial capacity, the capacity of man-power and various skills, because oil industry is a very complicated industry where you require from welders to drillers.

Therefore, I would sum up by saying that our effort is to build up an Oil Development Fund, to be administered by a Board of experts in which the Minister need not be involved. Secondly, whatever allotment of funds is there, it has to be done according to certain norms. Whatever money is spent, it has to be audited by the Comptroller and Auditor-General and it would be subject to scrutiny by. this House and its instrument, namely, the Public Accounts Committee. Therefore, I would suggest that the hon. Members might consider the accepance of this Bill.

MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER: Motion moved:

"That the Bill to provide for the establishment of a Board for the development of oil industry and for that purpose to kevy a duty of excise on crude oil and natural gas and for matters connected therewith, be taken into consideration."

There are two amendments to this motion. Are they being moved?

SHRI C. K. CHANDRAPPAN (Tellicherry): I beg to move:

"That the Bill to provide for the establishment of a Board for the development of oil industry and for that purpose to levy a duty of excise on crude oil and natural gas and for matters connected therewith, be referred to a Select Committee consisting of 15 members, namely. Shri R Balakrishna Pillai, Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu, Shri M. C. Daga. Singh, Shri Indrajit Shri Darbara Gupta, Shri Shahnawaz Khan, Shri P. G. Mavalankar, Shri Shyamnandan Mishra, Shri Piloo Mody, Shri Priya Ranjan Das Munsi, Shri Vayalar Ravi, Shrimati Maya Ray, Shri Era Sezhiyan, Shri R. K. Sinha and Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee with instructions to report by the first day of the next session," (54).

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA (Begusarai). I beg to move:

"That the Bill to provide for the establishment of a Board for the development of oil industry and for that purpose to levy a duty of excise on crude oil and natural gas and for matters connected therewith, be referred to a Select Committee consisting of twelve members, namely, Shri S. M. Banerjee, Shri C K. Chandrappan, Shri Madhu Dandavate, Shri Jambuwant Dhote. Samar Guha, Shri Niral Enem Horo, Shri Shahnawaz Khan, Shri Janeshwar Mishra, Shri Ajit Kumar Saha. Shri Era Sezhiyan, Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee and Shri Shyamnandan Mishra with instructions to report by the first day of the next session" (55).

SHRI PRIYA RANJAN DAS MUN-SHI The hon Minister said that in the Board 40 members would be taken out of which five would be representing the Central Government 1 want to know whether those five are from the technocrafts from the Ministry or the general administration people.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER He will reply when he replies to the debate

Shri Chandrappan.

SHRI C. K CHANDRAPPAN (Tellicherry). Mr Deputy-Speaker, Sir, I am in full agreement with the purpose for which the Bill has been moved by the hon. Minister.

Broadly speaking, the Bill seeks to set up an Oil Board and an Oil Inquistry Development Fund for the development of the oil industry as a whole in our country. Both these are provided with a view to achieve self-reliance in matters of oil and other raw materials in the country. But I am not very enthusiastic in welcoming this Bill because the way in which this Bill provides for the Board and its approach to the prob-

lems of oil exploration and oil industry, it is not very much acceptable.

16.16 hrs.

[SHRI VASANT SATHE in the Chair]

First of all, the hon. Minister, while speaking in the House has made a welcome assurance that there will be representatives of the workers in the Board which he is visualising to be constituted under the Bill. But regarding the Board I have certain If the hon. Minister will be doubts able to convincingly explain, then I will have no guarrel about it. regards the Board, as it is visualised by the Bill and the powers the Board will enjoy I have every reason to believe that it will be a highly bureaucratic set-up. It has got enormous powers. I could have understood, if in the other part in relation to the functioning of the Board the Government were more clear, then, perhaps this danger of bureaucratic functioning of the Board could have been By that what I mean is avoided that the Bill seeks the development of the oil industry as a whole, while the hon Minister has sufficiently explained that point, he has not emphasized that there will be prospecting, that there will be off-shore drilling, shore drilling, etc. Apart from that, he visualises the development of a big compley of oil industry in our country which will take us to the goal of self-reliance

I am not an oil expert But I try to understand what happens in matters of oil exploration in other countries. He himself said that the Soviet Union is one of the countries which has developed oil industry in a very big way. Their exploration in Siberia; one can sav, it is classic in itself, the way they have done it. If he has the chance to read the book called "Glimpses of Siberia" which is available in our Library, he will understand how great emphasize they have laid on the ques-

tion of oil exploration, prospecting and drilling of oil, oil exploration as such with all the other surveys. That has later proved to be one of the most vital aspects of the development of oil industry. I am not underplaying the other aspects of developing the industry. But, first of all, when you plan to develop the oil industry, the oil utilisation in our country, you must be able to locate where the oil 18 available, you must be able to explore and drill the place where oil is located. Only then the other question will arise, whether you are going to utilise the oil properly. I think, in this aspect, this Bill is extremely That is my first criticism

Here, certain questions which may not be strictly relevant but nonetheless relevant should be brought to the not.ce of the House You spoke of the new attempts being made by Government to explore oil. We all understand because we have been hearing policy Government's declarations every day In the recent period Governnunt have heavily leaned on the multinational corporations for exploration 011 and prospecting in our country It 18 one of the most dangerous aspects which is developing in our economy because oil plays a vital role in the development of the economy In the recent period we as a whole have witnessed, in several parts the world, a move against multi-national in this field. Our friendly oil rich country, Iraq, the country with which we have deals in crude oil and other things, has nationalised the oil industry. That means, they have kicked out the multi-nationals from the oil scene It created an uproar in the international oil world. But, strangely enough it is in that very period, when the multi-nationals were thrown out of the developing oil countries. India has opened its gates and has welcomed with red carpets the multi-national corporations to our country. My surprise is this. Personally also, I feel, the hon. Minister should not

been the person to lay the red carpet welcome those multi-nationals to our country. I tried to understand, within the limitations that I may have, as to what were the conditions under which he was inviting the multi-nationals. How 'dangerous' are the conditions? I would not use more harsh words. I do not say you have leased our country to the multi-nationals. But it is very near to that. Look at the long term agreements-30 years or even more, the Minister can correct me. 60,000 kms. of Indian atmosphere will be under the surveillance of the multi-national corporations under the agreement that you have signed. means a lot. Multi-nationals never came for oil exploration alone. It is invariably and inherently connected with espionage and sabotage. It happened in countries like chile. In the name of helping the country develop the economy, the neo-colonials, in the shape of multi-nationals, entered the economy. I must warn you, about the experience of Chile where multi-national Corporations were in the field of copper. That experience should not have been forgotten. But the foregetting is very costly. I think you have forgotten that.

Then again, coming to the Bill, how is it relevant to the Bill? I understand the Government will argue that they went to the multi-nationals because they did not have the technical know-how and the money. These are the two arguments There, I say the Malaviya Committee's report was more forthright and more correct in its understanding. They visualised the development of oil exploration in a very different manner. This Bill is drafted basically basing on that report. They said in their report was that emphasis should be on the prospecting and drilling of oil. It is a fact and I do not deny the fact which the Minister placed before the House while he said at that time the concept was only to have an intensive exploration of oil on the on-shore and now what is add-

[Shri C K. Chandrappan] ed is the off-shore drilling and intensive efforts in that line. There comes, may I use the expression, the cat out of the bag It is out because the entire off-shore drilling has been sold away to the multi-nationals should not have happened It will not happen if this Bill itself would have had another concept, the concept which was rightly stressed in the Melaviya Committee's report which said that we should give emphasis to the drilling, prospecting and other aspects of the development of Here, by bracketing exploration and prospecting with oil industry, another sin you have committed also and that should be told to the House the petro-chemical industry or other industry connected with oil is just like any other industry like steel, mining or any other industry which can develop on its own legs It can compete with their industries I will not agree with you if you say, 'No'. It can compete on its own legs and it can show the merit It can present its case even before the Planning Commission with a claim. It is an industry which is prospering. it can claim money from the various governmental bodies including the Planning Commission But oil prospecting and exploration is not like that I am sure the hon Minister will agree with me when I say that this is a highly risky venture, oil exploration, because you may explore in the Arabian coast, but no result But you have to do that if you want oil and you have to drill every possible sedimentary basin or the possibility to see whether oil is available or whether some little bit of crude or gas will be available there The expenditure is inevitable The result is not certain and there is so much of uncertainty If you go by the experience of countries which have developed their oil industry, it is more interesting than reading an adventure novel the way in which it was done This aspect has been lost sight of

As a result of that, what happened now is that the oil development fund will be claimed by the petro-chemical industry and various other industries connected with oil and only one of the claimants will be the ONGC for prospecting and exploring oil

MR CHAIRMAN Please conclude

SHRI C. K CHANDRAPPAN Please give me five minutes more There may not be many speakers

What I was trying to tell was that the ONGC will be one of the claimants along with the fertiliser industry, the petro-chemical complex and all that They will claim that they have made wonderful performance and profit is there After all, these are judged on the basic of profits I am not costing any aspersion on it What happens is this Even the Planing Commission will ask what is your performance? What is the result? If they say, we have drilled the whole of Arabian Sea, no result, they will say, drill next year, no fund next will be the sort of they answer will get. This sort of bracketing of ındustries with ONGC was wrong this Bill give emphasis to that thing The Malaviva Committee rightly warned Government that it should not be done like that. is another point You talk about lack of fund But whenever fund is provided, what is the result? We Government is keeping itself away from taking sufficient and proper intiative I am not making any local issue We feel very strongly on these points Government should have taken more programmes for oil exploration Take for example the Western coast, the Kerala coast. Just now my friend was telling about Tamilnadu There are reports to Government regarding possibilities of exploration The same is the case in Tripura also. So, that is there. So

many claims are there. So many possibilities are there. Government is not in a position to spend for that, So, the Government will say, we will give you another priority, not top priority. But my feeling is, most of these should have been given top priority keeping in view that you want primarily crude oil, and visualise a stage where we will be self-ralient in oil. If we have enough oil then there are many ways open to our friends for developing the oil industries and work for their development.

Oil Industry

(Dev.) Bill

Regarding workers representative I have moved an amendment to that effect. But if you move an amendment to make it clear that there will be a workers' representative, to make way for workers' representation, I think, it would be much more than an assurance that you will be given to this House, because, the hon. Minister Mr. D. K. Borooah is good. He has a feeling for the workers, I know but may be, another Minister will come, who may not have the same feeling.

SHRI M. C. DAGA (Pali): I request the Minister to include that clause in the Bill.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I request you to make that point when your comes, your name is already there in the list.

SHRI D. K. BOROOAH: So far as that point is concerned

MR. CHAIRMAN: Similar points may be raised by others too. You can reply to all of them at the proper time instead of replying every time.

SHRI C. K. CHANDRAPPAN: There is one; amendment in my name, seeking that this Bill should be sent to a Select Committee.

I know that with all the technicalities that the Government is facing. they have put that Bill before us a few days back. Otherwise it will not come into operation and it will create a difficulty. Considering the fact that we are dealing with a vital aspect of our economy, if we have a little more study, it would help the Government. We are not speaking in the spirit of opposition for opposition sake but it would help the Government if it is sent to a Select Committee; I do not know whether the Minister can concede that point.

Lastly, you may, in your reply, explain how you are going to eliminate the bureaucratic functioning of this Board. This is a point which I raised at the beginning. Malviya Committee visualised a Committee. If this is done, the whole constitutional set up of the Committee would have been different. He visualised a Committee headed by a Member of the Cabinet rank. Here I am not saying that this Minister or that Minister makes a difference. It can make a difference only if a bureaucrat sits in judgment. Here the Bill seeks to create a Board where men like the Secretary of a Ministry will sit in judgment over everything and I do not think that will create a congenial atmosphere.

These are some of the reservations that we have about this Bill and about the purpose of the Bill for achieving self-reliance. For achieving that purpose, to set up a Board and also to raise a fund is a welcome proposal of the Government. I hope that Minister will try to explain these points, particularly, about the multinational Corporation at least in the long run as to what is his idea about eliminating the Multi-national Corporation from the sphere of oil industry.

भी शिवनाथ सिंह (श्रृंशन): सभापति जी, यह झायल इंडस्ट्री डेवलपमेंट बिल जिस भावना से यहां पेक किया गया है, उस भावना से कार्य रूप में लाया जाय. तो जरूरी है।

[श्री शिवनाच सिंह]

इसमें एक प्राप्तका जरूर है कि इस तरह से हम हर इडस्ट्री के डेवलपमेट के लिये यदि सेम लगाना गुरू कर देंगे तो पब्लिक को हम किनना टक्स करते जायेगे, लेकिन फिर भं। मैं यह कहना चाहता हू कि प्राज हमारे देश मे भीर तमाम दुनिया के अन्दर जो तेल का सकट है भीर इस तेल के मकट को मीट प्राउट करने के लिये भ्रगर देश के रिसोसिज को बढाया जाए भीर हमारा तेल का प्रोडक्शन बढे भीर इसके लिये भ्रगर मरकार सेस लगा कर भ्रपने रिमोमिज को बढाती है भीर भ्रपने फड्म का इकीज करती है, तो हम इसको एक स्वागन योग्य कदम कह सकते है।

इस बिल के मबध में में दो तीन वाते कोटे का में ग्रापके मामन निवेदन करना चाहगा । इस बिल में आपने यह रखा है कि एक बोर्ड का कास्टीट्यमन होगा श्रीर बोड के ग्रन्दर जैमा कि मेरे पूर्व वक्ता ने कहा है कि लंबर के भादमी हो मकते है। क्लाज (डी) में ग्रापने यह रखा है कि दो ग्रादमी ऐसे होंगे जिन को गवर्नमेट कर सकती है। विसी एक्सपर्टन को वह एपाइन्ट कर सकती है और नेवर के आदिमियों को भी कर मकती है। इस सबध मे यह निवेदन करना चाहुगा कि ग्रगर ग्राप का लेबर को रेप्रेजेन्टेशन देना है, ता मही रूप म ग्राप उस की रप्रेजेन्टेशन दीजिये। इस क्लाज की रखने से लंबर के दिमाग में यह ग्राशका उत्पन्न हो सकती है कि उनके ग्रादमी रखे भी जा सकते हैं और नहीं भी रखे जा सकत है। इसनियं जब मली महोदय जवाब दे तो यह बनाये कि अगर इस वक्त अगर ऐसी क्लाज नहीं है, तो एमेडमेट के मारफत ऐसा कोई स्पेमिफिक प्रोबीजन वे इस मे रखे, जिम मे उनको रेप्रेजेन्टेशन मिल सके । धाप एक ही सदस्य लेवर का इसमे रखें लेकिन इस के लिये सोचना चाहिए कि यदि आप लेबर को

इसमें एसोशियंट करना चाहते हैं, तो आप इस में साफ तौर से रिखये ताकि उनमें यह भावना जागे कि उत्पादन में उनकी उपयोगिता है और इस तरह से आप को उन का सही उपयोग करना चाहिए। अगर आप ऐसा करेंगे, तो उनका सहयोग आप सफलता पूर्वक प्राप्त कर मकते हैं। आपने इसमें ला मिनिस्ट्री के रिप्रिजेन्टेटिव रखे हैं फाइनेन्स के रखे हैं और मैन्ट्रल गवर्नमट के रिप्रेजेन्टेटिक रखे हैं। यह मब ऊपर का मिलसिला है और जा मजदूर बहा पर काम बरते हैं उन लोगों को इसमें सतोय नहीं होगा। इसलियं फुल रेपेजेन्टेशन उन का मिल इस बात की तरफ आप वा घ्यान देना चाहिए।

सभापित महोदय श्रव मझे जा खाम तौर पर श्रावजेश्यान नजर श्राता है वह इस बिल को क्लाज (6) पर है। इस क्लाज (6) के श्रन्दर यह रखा गया है कि जितना भी फड इस बोर्ड के पाम होगा जो डेवलपमट फड के रू। म होगा उसको श्राप किसी का एडवाम कर सकत है। इसम यह दिया ह्या है

"(a) making grants on advancing loans to any oil industrial concern or other person who is engaged or is to engage in any activity referred to in clause (k) of section 2:".

दमम प्राप सरगन (2) के क्ताज (क) को विखिय ना इसम साफ तौर पर लिखा है कि किसी भी प्राइवेट इन्डिकिजुझल को, जो झायल का काम करना है उसका एडवास या लोन मिल जायेगा भीर मुझे झाशका इस बात की है कि इसमे जो यह क्लाज रखा गया है, उससे बन का दुक्पयोग होगा। इस क्लाज को सक्षम रूप ने मैं झाप के मामने रखना चाहता हू भीर उसका जो झावजे-क्शनेबिल पार्ट है, वह यह है

हम पब्लिक को टैक्स करना चाहते उसके साथ साथ हम को यह भी देखना चाहिए कि जा धन हम इम तरह मे प्राप्त करे उसका दुरुपयोग न हो। जिनने फड़्ज हम ग्रायल इडस्टी के डिबेलपमेट में प्राप्त करना चाहते है या हम को प्राप्त होगे कही ऐसान हो कि जो लोग भायल की माकिटिंग का काम करते है उनको इस में सं रुपया दे दिया जाए । इस तरह मधन कः दूरुपयोग होगा। इस क्लाज से धन के दुरुपयोग की आशका हो सकती है। फर्टिलाइजर की कीमने बढी। प्राइवेट कसन्त्रं का इसक पहने स आभास हो गया उनको मालूम हा गया था कि दाम दुगुनं होने वाले है, यह भी ठीक है कि रा मैटी रियल जो इस मे इस्तेमाल होता है उसकी कीमने भी बढ़ी है लिया उतनी नहीं बढ़ी हैं जिननी फरिलाइबर की बढ़ी हैं आर उन्होंने फर्टिलाइजर का बहुत भारी स्टाक इकट्ठा कर लिया मावर नाइट हाडे वर निया और जैस हा कीमने बढ़ी, इस फरिलाइजर को उन्हान बढा हुई कीमना पर बेचना गुरू कर दिया जार इस तरह मे ररोडा राया कमाय भाव वाला फटिलाइडर नग भावो पर उन्हान बेचा। ग्राप इस पर नियत्र गनही कर सके पुराने स्टाव का पूर न साव पुर बचने की ग्राप व्यवस्था नहा कर सके। यह चीज आपको देखन। च हिए थी नेकिन ग्राग्ने देखी नहीं। यहां भो आपने कोई फूल प्रफ मिस्टम नही अपनाया । जो कहुन प्राप्त हा उनका दुरुपयोग न हो पाए इसके बारे में ग्रापको प्रावधान करना चाहिए

था। भ्रापने बताया है कि जा पैमा भ्राएगा

वह किन तरह से खर्च होगा भीर उसके बारे

में बापने क्लाब 18 रखी है। बापने कहा

है कि एक्सप्नोरेशन के लिए भायल प्रोडक्शन

के लिए इसका उपयाग होना चाहिए।

मार्किटिंग

मापने

साथ ही

एकिटिविटी ब भी रखा है । मैं नही समझति हूं कि मार्किटिंग एण्ड अदर एक्टिविटी ज पर यह खर्च होना चाहिए आप यह टैक्स एक एक्सट्रा-आर्डिनरी टैक्म के रूप मे नगा रहे हैं । यह साधारण टैक्म नहीं है । जिम नरह में एक्स इख इप्टी बढाई जाती है या नगाई जाती है उस नरह में इसको आप नहीं बढा या नगा रहे हैं । यह स्पेशन टैक्स है और स्पेशन नीड़ को मीट आउट करे ने के लिए आप नगा रहे हैं । उस इसको भी बरदाशत करने के लिए नैयार है निकत जिम मुद्दें को पूरा करने के लिए आप इसका नगा रहे हैं, उमी भूदें पर आप इसका बच करने की भी व्यवस्था करे दूसरों पर अकरे !

प्रायन डिवनेपमेट का जहा क मवाल है इसमें कैरोमीन बायन ग्राता है, फर्ट-लाइजर काता है पैट्रील साता है डीजन माता है । अब माप मायल इडस्टी पर सैस लगान जा रहे हैं। गाबो मे लोगो के दिमाग मे मजदूरो के शिमाग मे आशका उल्पन्न हो गई है। वहा पर लागा को कैरोसीन मायल भी नहीं मिलना है नालटेन या दिवरी जलान के लिए, राशनी तक करने के लिए, माप ग्रार बिच्छु ग्रादि सं ग्रपनी रक्षा करने के निए। जिनना कै ोसीन ग्रायल पैदा होत. हे उमका दमवा हिम्मा भी गावो को नहीं मिल पाता है। महीनो महीनो तक वहा इसके दर्शन नहा होते है। कहा यह मिलता है, दिल्नी, बम्बई, मदास कलकता मादि बडे बडे देश के शहरों में आप देते हैं। वहा इसकी कजम्पशन होती है। अधिकतम हिस्सा बम्बई को दिया जाता है। वहा भच्छ भच्छे लोग रहते है। वहा रोशनो करने के लिए इसकी जरूरन नहीं होनी हैं साप या विच्छ का डर नही होता है, रमाई पकाने के लिए. उनको कैरोसीन भाषल होता है, इस वास्ते चाहिए होता है कि कोयले से या लकड़ी से खाना पकाने

[बी शिवनाय सिंह]

बहा उनकी बहू बेटियो के हाय गदे हो जाते हैं, उनके स्वच्छ भीर साफ कपड़े गदे होने नही चाहिए, इसलिए उनको ग्राप कैरोसीन ग्रायल देते हैं भीर किसान को ग्रावेरे मे रखते हैं। स्टेट्स का तेल का कोटा ग्राप कम करने जा रहे हैं। मैं प्रायंना करता हूं कि की सीन ग्रायल की व्यवस्था ग्राप गावो मे भी करे, उनको ग्राप प्रायमिकता दे, इसका डिस्ट्री-व्यूगन बहा पर भी करे।

श्रन्त में मैं यही कहना चाहता ह कि आपने फर्टिलाइजर को कोमते आ बढाई उसके साथ साथ श्रापको यह भो देखना चाहिए सा कि जो पुराना स्टाक फर्टिलाइजर का पडा है बह पुराने दामो पर हो बिके। म्टेट गवर्नमेंट इसको कट्रोल नही कर पाई। श्रामे से मैं चाहना हू कि चाहे कोई विशेष कानून के जरिये हो लेकिन श्रापको यह देखना चाहिए कि जब कीमनें इम तग्ह से बढ़ाई जाती हैं ता जो पुराना स्टाक होता है वह पुरानी कीमना पर ही बिके, इमकी अयवस्था श्रापको करनी चाहिए। पुराने स्टाक पर बढो हुई कीमतो का लाभ श्राप प्राइवेट कसन्धं को मन जाने दोजिए।

प्रापने बोडं में सभी गवनंमेंट प्राफिससें रखें हैं, कोई एक्सपर्ट या पिल्लिक के प्रादमी नहीं रखें हैं। प्रफसरों के प्रति देश में कांगों के दिनों में जिस प्रकार की भावनाए हैं वे किसी में छिपी हुई नहीं हैं। एक खृणा की भावना है। पिल्लिक के भादमी, बुनं हुए प्रादमी तब तब बोडं में नहीं लाए जाएंगे बोर्ड का फकर्शानग ठीक नहों होगा। फाइनेंस, पैट्रोलियम एण्ड कैमिकल्ज तथा दूसरी मिनिस्ट्रीज के अफसर आपने इस में रखें हैं। उनके प्रति देश से मद्मावना का वातावरण नहीं है। उस में पार्लियामेट घौर असैम्ब-क्षीज या जो लोकल पिल्लिकमैन हैं, उनको भी

भापको रिप्रेजेटेमन देना चाहिए या । जहां कही भाप पब्लिकमैन की मिस्टेंस लेते हैं वहा घोटाला कम होता है, गडबड़ी कम होती है भीर काम ठीक होता है।

सापने स्टेंडिंग कमेटी ज का भी प्रावधान रखा है। बाइं के कुछ मैम्बर हो स्टैंडिंग कमेटी के मैम्बर होग। इससे कोई लाभ नही होगा। स्टैंडिंग व मेटी जा मे एक्सपर्ट लोग होने चाहिये, वह एक्सपर्ट बाडी होनी चाहिए। स्टैंडिंग कमेटी का जो भी राय होगी झाखिर को वह बाईं के पाम हा नो जाएगी। इस बास्त बाईं को जा भी राय स्टैंडिंग कमेटी से मिले वह एक्सपर्ट झोपिनियन होनी चाहिए। इम बास्ते झापको चाहिए था कि स्टैंडिंग कमेटी मे एक्सपर्ट लोग रहते।

इस बिल की भावना का मैं समर्थन करता हू। कितना चैक झाप सरकारी झकमरा पर रख पाएग झीर कैना काम कर पाएगे उर्सा से इस बिल को हम जज करेग।

श्री श्रार० बी० बड़े (सरगोन): यह जो बिल लाया गया है, इसका मैं समर्थन करता हू। इसका उद्देश्य जो है वह श्रच्छा है। उद्देश्य मे श्रापने यह कहा है

Tit is, therefore, proposed to levy by way of a cess duties of excise on crude oil and natural gas so as to create an Oil Industry Development Fund This Fund would be used exclusively to provide financial assistance to the organisations engaged in development programmes of the oil industry in all its aspects from the exploration for, and the production of, crude oil to its refining, further down-stream processing..."

यह जहेश्य ती भण्छा है। इस में यह भी कहा है कि ब्रायल इण्डस्ट्रीज में जो संस्थायें हैं उन को मदद देने के लिए 60 क्पर्य टन का सस लगाया है। लेकिन प्रभी माननीय चन्द्रप्पन जी ने कहा --- जो मल्टी नेशनल इण्डस्ट्रीज होती हैं, जो गवर्नमंट की कारपोरेशन सें कम्पीट करती है जिन में फारेन-पैसा लगा होता है, उन को इस में से क्यों भदद देना चाहते है। मैं चाहता हुं कि सरकार इसके बारे मे विचार करे।

मापने बोर्ड के बारे में कहा है ---

"The Board shall consist of the following members, namely-

"not more than three members appointed by the Central to be Government....

"not more than five members to be appointed by the Central Government....

"not more than two members to be appointed by the Central Government from amongst persons who in the opinion of the Central Government have special knowledge or experience of oil industry".

छापने कहा है कि ये जो दो मेम्बर्ज हैं ये मजदूरों के व्यक्ति होंगे-यह भच्छी बात है, लेकिन दो ही क्यों रखे? आप ने यह भी कहा है वि इन में से एक व्यक्ति ऐसा होगा जो यनियन्त्र का होगा, लेकिन दूसरा व्यक्ति कहां से लेंगे ? मेरी दृष्टि में तो ज्यादा रखना चाहिए -- प्रापने स्वयं क्यों नहीं रखा-इस का कारण क्या है ? मेरे विचार से तो ग्राप केबोर्ड का कांस्टीच्छन ठीकं नहीं है, ऐसे आदमी इस में ज्यादा होने चाहिए।

इस में आने कहा गया है ---

"where an oil industrial concern or other person, in breach of any agreement, makes any default repayment of any loan or advance or any instalment thereof or in maintaining its or his obligations in relation to any other assistance rendered by the Board or otherwise fails to comply with the terms of the agreement with the Board or where the Board requires in industrial concern or other person to make immediate repayment of any loan or advance and the concern or other person fails to make such repayment, then

इसमें जब प्रापर्टी की हाइपौथिकेशन हो गई है तो भ्राप कहते हैं :--

"without prejudice to the provisions of sec. 69 of the Transfer of Property Act, 1882, any officer of the Board generally or specially authorised by the Board in this behalf may apply to the court one of more of the following relief, namely:

"for an order for the sale of the property-pledged, mortgaged, hypothecated or assigned to the Board as security for the loan or advance;

"for an ad interim injunction where there is apprehension of the machinery of the equipment being removed from the premises of the oil industrial concern or other person without the permission of the Board".

यह जो अनलिमिटेड अधारिटो रखी गई है कि कोई भी भाकिसर कोर्ट में मृत कर के घटेच कर सकता है-यह तो उचित नहीं है। इसमें किसी रिवीजन या एपेसेट प्रवारिटी का प्राबीजन नहीं है, सीधे कोर्ट में जा कर नीलाम करा देंगे. इस के बारे में धाप को विचार करना चाहिए । इस में ऐसी व्यवस्था होनी चाहिए कि एपेलेट प्रचारिटी [और आर॰ बी॰ वाडे॰] उस पर विचार करे और वह मन्जूरी दे तब कोर्ट में जाना चाहिए।

कूड भ्रायल के बारे में भ्राप ने कहा है—

'Crude oil' means petroleum in its natural stage before it is refined or otherwise treated but from which water and foreign substances have been extracted"

इस डेफिनीशन के बारे मे मुझे कहना है कि इसमे पेंट्रोलियम शामिल है क्या? श्राप ने जो 60 रुपये टन का सेंस लगाया है क्या इस का पेंट्रोलियम श्रीर कूड श्रायल पर असर पड़ेगा। मैं तो यह समझ पाया ह कि इस का असर जरूर पड़ेगा, ऐसी स्थिति म हमारे जो काश्तकार भाई है जो डीजल और कूड श्रायल का उपयोग करते है उन के लिए बहुत महगा हो जायगा। श्राप ने इस मे कही भी नही बताया है कि इस वा श्रमर काश्तकारो पर कितना पड़ेगा। श्राज किसानो को डीजल मिलना मुश्किल हो गया है श्रीर भव जब कि श्रापने सेंस बढ़ा दिया है उनके लिए बहुत ज्यादा कठिनाई हो जायगी।

फरिलाइजर भी महगा हो जायेगा। वह किनना महगा होगा, उस पर क्या असर पडेगा—यह भी आप ने नही बताया। फरिलाइजर का दाम बढ़ने से भी गरीको पर असर पडेगा—मैं जानना चाहता हू कि यह असर कितना पडेगा? यदि कोई भी असर पडता है तो मैं इस का विरोध करता ह।

मैं पिछने दिनो अपने क्षेत्र में गया किसानो ने वहा कि 60 रुपया टन सेस लगाने से डीजल और कृद झायल ज्यादा महना हो जायया। हम ने कहा कि शासन ने सेस लगाया है भीर यह पास भी हो जायगा, लेकिन मैं कह नहीं सकता कि इस का कितना असर पडेगा । आप ने अपने भाषण में यह नहीं बतलाया है कि इस का कितना असर पडेगा ।

मन्त मे मुझे यही कहना है कि भ्राप का उद्देश्य धण्छा है, सिकन इस का नतीजा जो होने वाला है, वह खराब होगा क्योंकि किमानों को इस से बहुत कठिनाई होगी। इस देश में किसान ज्यादा रहते है उन को ही ज्यादा कठिनाई उठानी पडेगी। इस पर गम्भीरता से विचार करना चाहिए।

भी मुलचन्द हागा (पाली) मभापति जी. श्रायल भीर नैवरल गैम कमीशन के काम में आप को मन्नोष नहीं हुआ इस लिए आप ने बोर्ड की स्थापना करने का निष्चय [ा। सब से पहले तो यह देखना है कि बोर्ड पर खर्चा किनना होगा-इस के बारे म श्रापन कुख नहीं बताया। मैंने मारे बिल को पढ़ा है उस में यह कही नहीं बनलाया है कि बोई का गडमिनिस्टेटिव गक्सपेण्डिचर कितना होगा। ग्रायल एण्ड नचरल गम क्मीजन पर कितना खर्च होता या और इस बोर्ड को बनाने के लिए कितना टैक्स लगाया है और उम पर कितना खर्च पड़ेगा ? ग्राप ने मिर्फ इतना कहा है कि सरकार का पर्पं यह बा कि हिन्दस्तान में इस प्रकार की शीध करे कि कहा कहा पर तेल या गैस मिल सकती है। सवाल एक्सप्लोरेशन का था. चाहे जैसलमेर हो या दूसरी जगह हो-कितनी गैस कहां से मिल सकती है और इस निए धाप को ज्यादा धनराजि चाहिए. 700 करोड रुपया लेना चाहते हैं। मैं पूछता है-आप इतने सालो से क्यो चूप रहे? झाज हम कह रहे हैं कि हमे तेल का एक्सपलोरेशन करना है, गैस निकालना जरूरी है, लेकिन इतने साल क्या करते रहे?

ग्राप ने ग्रपनी स्पीच मे एक बात ऐसी कही है जो मुझे बिलकुल प्रचर्छ। नही लगी। ग्राप कहते हैं कि मजी को वित्तीय मामलों में धलग रहना चाहिए- ह क्या बात है ? आज कल शासन करने का एक नया तरीक निकला है जिस में कहा जाता है कि मवी महोदय को विलीय मामलो मे अलग रहना चाहिए। मैं कहना ह कि मत्री महोदय को विलीय मामलों में शामिल रहना चाहिए। हमारे जामन मे एक काम अवश्य हम्रा है-जहा जहा मदी लोग काम नहीं कर सकते. बहा बोर्ड और कारपोरंशन बना देते हैं। ग्राप ने इनने सारे उलैक्टीसिटी बोर्ड बनाये है. सब घाटे में चल रहे है। ग्राप बहते हैं कि ग्राटोनामस बाडीज है, इन के मामले में हमें दखल नहीं देना चाहिए। आप के पाम हर माल रिपोर्ट आ जाती है-बस काफी है। वे क्या करते हैं, क्या नहीं बरने हैं-बोर्ड देखने बाला नहीं है। जहां काम नहीं करना वहा बोर्ड इस्टेब्लिश कर दिया जाय पब्लिक में ऐलान कर दिया जाता है कि ग्राज में बोर्ड बन गया है, इस लिए गैम वा एक्सप्लोरेशन ज्यादा हो जायगा । यह बान मेरे दिमास में नहीं बैठती है। मेरे विचार मे तो मली महोदय को खद देखना बाहिए । मैंने देखा है कि आज भी 78 परसेन्ट हमारा रेवेन्य इन्कम एडमिनिस्टेशन पर खर्च होता है जो कुछ भाप कमाने है. जा भो बरना चाहते है उसमे यही होता है ति नौकरिया कैसे बढाई जाये, आखिर वह स्या सलाह देते है? आप को एक सेकेटरी चाहिए, एक बोई का चयरमैन चाहिए, फिर 13 मेम्बरो का टी गडी एका खर्ची, फिर स्टीर्थारण कमेटी उसके में केटरी की पोस्ट-इन मारी बातो मे ही खर्चा हो जाता है। इस सेकेटरी की जगह डढ लो, एक चैंयरमन की जगह ढढ लो पाच हजार रुपए वाली लेकिन मैं इसको ठीक नहीं मानता। आपका एक ही है कि धायब एक्सप्लोरेशन हो। तो प्रायल इन्डस्ट्री के बारे में भाप क्या अरना चहते हैं? जैमलमर म क्या हो रहा है? वहा जो काम करने वारे है वह जाधपुर में किते है। हाईली वह साल ने दा तीन महीने वर्ष कारते है क्यांबि उन पर कोई ध्यान देने वाला नहीं है। ग्राप कहते है जैसल-मेर में बड़ा शोध हो रहा है लेकिन वहा शोध मवाल ही नहीं है क्यारिन वहा पर उनके रहने के लिए मकान है और न इसरी सुविधाये है। वह मिश्रिक में साल में तीन महीने इयुटी पर जाते है और उनको सारा पैसा मिलता है।

श्रापन एक नई याजना बनाई है वि एक बोई की स्थापना की जायेगी लेकिन मारे बिल मेगक खबसूरती यह है कि स्टेटमेन्ट आफ ग्राट्जेक्ट्स वही नहीं लिखा है कि बोर्ड की स्थापना करना चाहते है। स्रापने कहा है जो ग्रमेटर: है वह एप्रव्ड स्वीस्म होगे । ग्रापने नहीं लिखा है कि हम बोई उस्टेब्लिश कर रह है जिसकी इयटीज यह होगी। बोर्ड वा वैभा पासेंगन होगा उसके फवशन और इयुटा क्या हागी यह नहीं लिखा है। स्टेटमेन्ट ग्राफ श्राव्जक्टम एंड रीजन्म में कही नहीं लिखा है वि बोर्ड बनाना जरूरी है क्योंकि वामीणन फेल हो गया है पहले जो मणीनरी थी वह नाम नही बर रही है।

फिर बोर्ड पर जिनना खर्ची होता वह नहीं लिखा है। मैक्सम भ्राप देखे उसमे बोई का कारक्रेंशन लिखा है लेकिन उसमें कौन चैयरमैन होगा जो टैक्नी शयन नहीं होगा। मुझे बडा दख है कि जो टैक्नोकेटण है, जो एक्सपर्टम है उनको झाप इपराइव कर देते है। जो बोर्ड का फामंनेशन है वह क्लाज (3) में ग्राप देखें

The Central Government appoint one of the members referred to in clause (a) of sub-section (3) as Chairman of the Board?

[आसी मूल चंद डोगा] मैंने कहाहै,

why not appoint a person from among the above who in the opinion of the Central Government has special knowledge and experience of the industry?

लकिन ग्रापने क्हा

"Not more than three members to be appointed by the Central Government to represent the Ministry or Ministries of the Central Government dealing with petroleum and chemicals".

What about these experts who have not expert knowledge in the industry?

भ्राप चाहने हैं जो टक्नोकेट्स हो, जो आयल के एक्सपर्टस हो वह चेयरमैन न दने। मैं ने बार बार कहा है— लेविन भ्रापने लिखा है

"not more than two members to be appointed by the Central Government from amongst persons who, in the opinion of the Central Government, have special knowledge or experience of oil industry."

यह बडी अजीब बात है कि जो आयल के एक्सपट है वह शासन में न भाये। एक तरफ तो भाप क ह रहे है वह बड़ा भ्रच्छा कर रहे हैं. लेबर की रिन्प्रेजेटेशन देना चाहते हैं फिर जो आयल एक्सपट म है उनको आप चेयरमैन क्यो नही बनाना चाहते है ? जो उस काम को जानने नही हैं उनको ग्राप चेयरमें को बनाना चाहते है? जो टक्नों केंटम मार एक्सपर्ट सहें, जो उस काम के लिए अपनी जिन्दगी देना चाहते हैं उनकी भ्राप कपर क्यों नहीं लाना चाहने है ? हमारे बहा तो जो एक काम को बही जानने बही ऊपर ब्राकर बैठ जाते हैं और वही टैक्नोईट्स पर और एक्सपर्टस पर हुक्मत करते है। इसलिए में प्रायंना कर रहां ह कि यह क्या हो रहा है। एक छोटा सा काम है लेकिन प्यंज नहीं मालम । स्टेंडिंग कमेटी का क्या वर्षत्र होना । एवं छोटी सी

कमटी हैं, एक बोर्ड बनाया है और उसके लिएं धापने लिखा है स्टैडिंग कमेटी को वर्क इन्ट्रस्ट किया जायगा। क्यों किया जायगा? धाखिर-कार हम लोगों को समझने की कोशिश करनी चाहिए। धाखिर जो रुटीन वर्क हो वह प्राप चेयरमैन को इन्ट्रस्ट व'रें। जिसको धाप फुल टाईम सैलरी दे रहे हैं उसको यह काम धाप क्यो नहीं देते हैं, स्टैडिंग कमेटी को स्टीन वर्क देने की क्या जरुरत है।

लेबर रिप्रेजेन्टणन के बारे में जैसा ग्रापने कहा है उसके लिए भाप एक क्लाज लाईय या अमेन्ड-मेट कीजिए । भापने जो एश्योरे स दिया है कि बीर्ड का एक मेम्बर लेबर का रिप्रेजन्ट्रिब होगा तो मैं समझता ह ध्रापका यह गुश्योरेस काम भ्रायेगा । एक नयी बात होगी । एक बात और है कि इसका चेयरमैन कोई ग्रांर बन सकता है तो फिर आपही क्यो नही बन जाने। ग्रगर ग्राप नहीं बनना चाहने तो बौई क्यो बनाना चाहते हो । बोई की जरूरत ही क्या है। मैं फिर कहता ह जब ग्राप इतना रुपया खर्च करना चाहते है. 700 करोड भ्राप को प्लान से मिलेगा, भीर रुपया भी भ्राप लेना चाहते है तो बोर्ड बना कर द्याप खर्चा क्यो बढाना चाइत है। मै ममझता ह भाप को ऐसा काम नहीं करना चाहिए।

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISHRA (Begusarai): Mr. Chairman, the Bill even today has come almost in a secret way. None of us was prepared for this subject today but, after the collapse of some important items on the Order Paper', it has descended upon us much too suddenly, probably to the advantage of the hon. Minister.

SHRI D. K. BOROOAH: To the disadvantage of the Minister, because he was not here.

SHRI SHYAMNANDAN MISERA: In the first place, I would like to say that it is against the recommendations of the Review Committee in a very important matter. The Review Committee had recommended that a cess be levied on petroleum products and that all the accrurals from the cess be transferred to a national fund for oil exploration. That was the specific recommendation of the Review Committee. The rationale behind this special fund for oil exploration was that in this industry there were high risks and uncertainties involved. The risks in other industries are not comparable. But it beats me why all these things are being lumped together indiscriminately. Why should there not be a specific fund for research and development in every industry? That is what is done when there is a good progressive management for any industry. Of course, oil exploration stands in a different category and on a different footing. It is a special kind of industry. If it has to stand in the queue, competing with other claims, it is obvious that this industry would suffer immensely, and the future of oil exploration in the country would not be very promising. We attach, naturally. great deal of importance to the development of oil in our country

The second thing that strikes me is that there is much less in common amongst the industries that are being joined together almost in a kind of forced marriage. What is the comality between exploration, refinery, fertiliser, petro-chemicals, the chemical group of industries? The only commonality is the personality of the Minister. But about that also. I am a little doubtful whether he will have an effective say as the things are going. This is bound to affect every industry Individually.

Oil exploration is based on Earth Science—Geology, Geophysics, Drilling Technology, Crude production technology. The discipline or science and technology required for refinery, fertiliser and other industries are 2014 LS—6,

completely different. To put these dissimilar things in the same basket would, to my mind, be doing a great deal of damage to all these industries individually. One would not understand the other and yet have a say in the other. The member concerned with one industry will not know what the other industry is about. Yet, he will be poking his nose into the other industry. So, an ignorant man would try to have his say in an industry in which he is not concerned in an expert way. Therefore, my submission would be that the hon. Minister should think even at this stage whether this kind of a forced and disparate marriage should be brought about of all these industries.

There seems to be the Holding Company idea in another form. It may not be called a holding Com-But in essence, it pany as such. the represents Holding-Company idea. It is the SAIL many times over. We have had the taste of SAIL, our experience with regard to SAIL has not been very happy. To say the least, it has acted in the least beneficial way so far We have yet to The same person see the result. happens to be the Head of the SAIL and the Secretary in the Ministry. The Holding Company idea is good on the whole. If one asks me whether the holding company idea is good, theoretically I will say that there is nothing objectionable about But it helps only if there are other companies competing with each other. That is what happens in Italy. There are other companies in compe-This would not be the case tition. here. Therefore, if the hon. Minister is adopting the holding company idea in one form or another, he has to bear in mind that it may not work in the same way in which it has been working in countries like Italy.

Why do I say that it is virtually a holding company idea? Its function is so wide-ranging that it is nothing short of a holding company. The

[Shri Shyamnandan Mishra]

Bill speaks of its power to dispense "financial and other assistance" for the promotion of such measures as are in its opinion conducive to the development of oil industry. Apart from its wide financial powers, does not know what "other tance" means. So, its are very wide-ranging and sprawling. Therefore, I make bold to say that it is a holding company idea and its results are bound to be such as may not be in the interest of all the industries.

Then, it is clear that the stranglehold of the Board on the Companies is going to be total. I have no doubt that by such an arrangement, the in action would be very flexibility much jeopardised It would be very much a centralised body. Its amplitude for expertise and experimentation would be curtailed. The autonomy in management would be very much diluted That appears to me on the face of it when I go through the scheme of this Board. This centralisation, therefore, I repeat, is bound to stiffe the voice of real men who know their job, who know their business

It appears that the Head of this Board would be again a bureaucrat I do not have a special dislike for bureaucrats because a Minister also seems to function many a time much worse than a bureaucrat I really do not know whether the Minister has, by this time, picked up sufficient knowledge in order to make his influence sufficiently felt on the bureaucrats.

So, with these few remarks, I hope that the hon. Minister would persuade himself to agree to the idea of the Bill being referred to a Select Committee. The Bill requires a much deeper considerations than what we are able to bestow during the course of 1½ hours. It is rather

ridiculous that during 1½ hours, the House should be expected to do justice to such an important measure. I would request the hon. Minister to consider whether he would, even at this stage, agree to the reference of the Bill to a Select Committee.

SHRI B. V. NAIK (Kanara): Mr. Chairman, Sir, I welcome this Bill. At the same time I would like to make clear my own mind as well as that of the hon. Minister, so that the fear of ghosts which this country has been having is dispelled

My first point is about the titution of the Board as such. Chairman of this Oil Industry Development Board, as provided in Clause 3, is going to be your Secretary or Joint Secretary or one of these people who will be nominated by the Central Government. If he is going to be a member of the Ministry, I do not see as to what are the disabling conditions today for him to function effectively in the matter of oil exploration and development, what are the handicaps, what are the obstacles, that will be removed soon after he goes on this Board as a nominee of the Government and starts functioning as an extremely good Chairman in charge of the development of oil industry. I have neither any special preference nor any special dislike for hureaucrats But the question is whether, in a field like oil exploration, we should have people who have come through the entire process of regulated thinking. Actually, oil is one such industry which, either in the East or in the West, has been taken as a special field or a sort of play-ground of these people who believed in laissez faire, free enterprise, except, as was stated by Mr. Chandrappan, that the whole of Siberian coal and oil-fields are being explored today-with whom are the Russians collaborating todaywith the Japanese. With the

With the Communist I do appreciate that the people. multi-national corporations done almost a tremendous mischief in the economic world particularly the poor countries much more than the hon. Member has contributed in both the positive and negative sides. would say that they have done it. But. in which country? America. And through whom did they do it? Through the Chilean Army. This country after all had been subjected by another multi-national corporation. That was called the East India Company, which virtually, for a hundred years controlled this country. I have 10 fears about the capacity of a multi-national corporation or any Western company to play any mischief in this country. As long as the Indian Army is what .t is, as long as the patriotism and loyalty of our soldier, our sailor and our airman is there to defend the freedom and integrity of this country. I am not going to be worried that a General Electric General Manager or an Exxon or Texas Oil Company, these Johnnies will be in a position to interfere with the Indian democratic processes as long as the people like us, the hon. Members are in charge of Indian politics. We hold no fears about the Western capitalist managerial talent trying to come and subvert it. We can tell them. want your expertise, not your advice and guidance as how to run our State.'

This ghost of multi-national corporations in this country has been overplayed. I would request you to take special cognisance that the multi-national corporations are being opposed in this country not only by our leftist political groups but they are also being opposed by the Indian capitalists themselves. The Indian monopoly capitalists and the Indian leftists are united on this one ground that we should have no entry to these multinational corporations in this country.

At a time when we are dealing with the multi-national corporations. let us also have a look at our multi-State corporations in our own country. The amount of mischief from State to State where the multi-State corporations in this country have been causing is immense. Under the circumstances, if it is going to give us the capital and know-how, import of technology-even in that behalf, we may not be wanting - -but it is the import of sophisticated instruments, capital equipment machinery, as long as we can discipline them, I should personally feel very different about these multinational corporations, because when we are thinking in terms of a sixty crore investment, is it not a fact that some of the oil companies in the West have made 60 billion dollars as their annual profit? I think Texaco's net profit is Rs. 60 crores multiplied by so many times. It is nearly 60 billion dollars which gives a fantastic sum of Rs. 35,000-36,000 crores of profits. It is simply unbelievable. But it is there.

I would like to submit one more thing for your consideration. Now, the Arabs have come in this oil world. Is there any provision for this Oil Industry Board to make use of the tiemendous amount of petro-dollars. The hon. Minister has made a deep study of these Arab countries. Since they do not have the capacity to absorb and they are not ready to invest it in the banking structure of the Western countries on the basis of long-term investment and the Western banking system is unable to absorb them because the moment they draw cheque, the bank's financial condition is in trouble what are we going to explore as a possibility of the investment of the oil kings and sheikhs. There are tremendous amounts which are accumulating at a fantastic rate. Is there any scope for us in the case of our investment whether in this country or with our friendly Arab [Shri B. V. Naik]

countries? The Persian Government or the Iranian Government has shown interest in some of our projects like Kudremukh for the exploration of iron ore and processed ore. strategy of our economic development will have to change. They have to be given assurance that we will not do like what Col. Gadaffy, the Libyan strongman did, namely, taking over the oil industry, saying, we will pay the bill when the time comes This is the position. A country's basic policies are based on that country's own enlightened self-interest. should explore the possibility of investing of funds from outside sources. This again raises another controversy namely the sea-bed controversy. had more than once tried to draw the attention of the Minister of External Affairs and the Minister of Petroleum to this aspect.

Will we be hold m clearcut terms what will be our compromise formula? There are vast sea-bed areas which lie within the sovereignty of particular country. That may be, according to international law, upto distance of 1061/2 kms. China has raised the controversy that this should be extended to 200 kms miles. It is not today's problem, it is a futurist problem. Whether we agree with China or not, the Arabian Sea is considered to be such a storehouse of wealth, that I reminded of this story in our purana where it is said, the sea-bed is the abode of the Lord of the Wealth, Kubera. He has got his abode under the sea. That is to say, the sea-bed is the biggest storehouse and it is going to be a storchouse not only for petroleum but for so many other things.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I hope you are not going into the puranic aspect of semudramanchan as an oil exploration.

SHREB. V. NAIK: Even that could

be relevant. I prefaced my remarks by saying this is not present day problem; it is not only for the present day, but we have to be relevant also with all time to come and this is precisely what my endeavour is today.

Therefore, what I am trying to submit is that if the Chinese Sea well as the Indian Ocean and Bay of Bengal are extremely rich, I think it would be right for this country to back up completely the Chinese stand on the sea-beds. Otherwise, we see here that our own Multi-National Corporation would like to change this and, there would be a tremendous amount of pressure in trying to parcel out from the international scene in the world to see to it that they become their areas of development. In the latest Twentieth Century, later half, they will be the hunting ground of the Multi-National Corporation.

I would now say that let us approach the Mulfi-National Corporation and the rights backed by them tooth and nail at the U.N. And let us back China. Their position and posture, for a flexible response depends upon our own national interests. These are three things that I want to urge on the hon. Minister who, with his detailed knowledge and with his familiarity with the subject, would be able to enlighten us at the time of passing of the Bill.

I support this Bill.

*SHRI J. MATHA GOWDER (Nilgiris): Mr. Chairman, Sir, on the Oil Industry (Development) Bill, 1974. I rise to express my views.

At the very outset, I would refer to Clause 15, which provides for a levy of cess as prescribed in The Schedule. The maximum rate at which duty of excise may be collected is Rs. 190 per tonne of crude oil and Rs. 56/- per thousand cubic metres of natural gas. But, to begin with, it is proposed to recover by way of a cess in the form of a duty of excise

The original speech was delivered in Tamil.

of Rs. 60/- per tonne on crude oil alone. If the creation of such a Development Fund is so essential, I do not understand why no cess has been levied on the natural gas as mentioned in the Schedule. I am also unable to understand why only Rs. 60/- per tonne of crude oil is being levied, while the maximum rate proposed is Rs. 169/- per tonne of crude oil. Is it the intention of the Government to levy the remaining amount of Rs. 40/- per tonne of crude oil at a later date by issuing a Notification behind the back of this House? While I welcome the legislative effort of the Government for developing oil industry, I do not appreciate the manner in which the Government have proposed to levy the cess. The Government have been explicit with this House.

Sir, I wish also to point out that Clause 3 refers to the establishment and constitution of the Board. According to the provision, all the Members of the Board are going to be nominated by the Government. The Government will be nominating 5 bureaucrats as the Members of the Board. The remaining Members of the Board will be representatives of the oil industry. Though in his introductory speech, the hon. Minister of Petroleum stated that there will be representation of workers on the Board, yet there is no specific mention of this in the Bill. The entire House would have appreciated if it had been specifically mentioned in the Bill self. I MAKE ALLER

In spite of this deficiency, I welcome wholeheartedly the proposition of the hon. Minister in the matter of giving representation to the workers on the Board. I only wish that the hon. Deputy Minister of Railways, Shri Qureshi, follows the footsteps of Shri Borooah and gives representation of the workers on the Railway Board. Shri L. N. Mishra, the hon. Railway Minister, who was just now sitting

next to Shri Borooah, has left the nouse. I am sure that almost all the problems being confronted by the Railways would be solved if Shri L. N. Misnra gives representation to the Railway workers on the Railway Board. I hope that such a happy day comes about in the very near future.

Here, I would like to make a pertinent point. I would not be happy if such a representation of the workers is confined to Indian National Trade Union Congress, which is controlled by the ruling Congress Party. In fact, INTUC is just the labour wing of the Congress Party. The representation of workers on this Board should be broad-based. Since Shri Borooah has made this pioneering proposition, I hope he would also set the pare for giving representation of workers from recognised bodies like All India Trade Union Congress which also has a large number of workers on Instead of hmiting workers' its rolls representation only to INTUC alone, the proposed Board should also have representation of workers from trade union bodies like AITUC. Then alone this Board will bear genuine representative character of the workers.

This Bill refers to the cess in the form of a duty of excise. When it is so clear that the collection of excise duty will constitute this Development Fund, I do not know why the Government should fight shy of saying that this is a taxation measure. Nobody can dispute that this cess is an indirect taxation. In the recent nast, we have seen the steep rise in the price of petroleum products. While the upper middle class people have stopped the use of their cars because of the prohibitive cost of petrol, the Government have got plans to produce small cars and they also give encouragement to the production of small cars like Maruti. wonder whether there will be any market at all for these small cars in [Shri J Matha Gowder]

view of the steep rise in the price of petrol I refer to this because, if as a result of this development cess the plice of petroleum products goes up still further, the country will be wasting its production capacity m manufacturing small cars If through this cess the oil industry develops and the price of petroleum products comes down then some worthwhile purpose would be achieved Otherwise, if the price of petroleum products continues to rise, there will be less and less demand for petroleum products, which will also indirectly affect our country's economic growth I would like to know from the hon Minister whether any long-term plan has been chalked out for augmenting the indigenous production of crude oil and for gradual reduction in the price of petroleum products

Here, I would like to know whether the Government have got an concrete plan for covering the entire coast-line of our country in regard to off-shore prospecting of oil Tamil Nadu we have the longest coast-line from Madras to Kanya Kumarı It is not enough that prospecting is done in the off-shore of We should become Bombay coast self-sufficient in oil by prospecting for oil on the entire coast-line of country, especially in the long coastline of Tamil Nadu We should have concrete proposals for reducing our dependence on foreign countries like Iran or Iraq in this matter I want to know what steps have been taken in this direction by the Government

Sir, it is reported that this Bill is based on the recommendation, of Malaviya Committee Malaviya Committee has also recommended that the powers which are concentrated in the hands of Oil and Natural Gas Commission should be decentralised. The Committee has also quoted the instances of Drilling Engineers having to wait inordinately for the sanction of the ONGC even if they want to drill one foot more in the bowels of

the earth Naturally this delays the prospecting of oil within the country. I want to know what steps have been taken by the Government to decentralise the powers which are now with the ONGC.

We heard recently that oil has been struck in the offshore with the help of Sagar Samrat. It is also reported that two or three more Sagar Samrats would be required to cover the entire offshore on our long coastline. I would like to know whether this Development Fund will be in a position to finance the purchase of two or three more Sagar Samrats, so that indigenous production of oil could be augmented substantially

In the end, I would like to have a categorical assurance from the hon Minister that this less will not lead to further increase in the price of petioleum products. I would also like to know from him whether the Government have formulated any long term programme for the development of oil industry, simultaneously with the creation of this Fund

श्री नाष्राम (मर्था (नागीर) : मभापति जी. यह बिल जो बरुपा साहब ने इस सदन मे पेश किया, इसके पीछे जो भावना है ग्रीर जिस तरीके से इस उद्योग का विकास करने की देश को भावस्थकता है उससे मेरी महमति है। पर जिस तरीके से बिल वे लाये, एक सीकेट बिल, क्योंकि रूल्स मे प्रोबिजन था कि मीकेट बिल ग्राना चाहिए उसके जो उन्होंने ग्रंभी रीजन्म दिए कि चार मिलियन टन तो उत्पादित होता है जो एन० जी० सी० के जरिए बाकी उत्पादन होता है कुछ इंडियन भायल के जरिए भीर 48,000 टन उत्पादन हाता है बर्मा जेन के जिला ता वह भायद कुछ गडबड कर देने इसमे, टैक्स इबेजन हो जाता इसलिए प्रोटेक्शन के तौर पर मी बैट बिल बह लाये । उनकी यह दलील हमारे गने उतरती नही। जिस डामा के साथ वह इसको लाये उसकी धाव-

म्यकता नहीं थी। ग्राप सुबह कम्पनी के पाम ग्रंपना एक ग्रादमी भेज कर दिला देते वह देखता क्या स्टाक्स हैं ग्रीर उसका पता लगाकर ग्राप यह कर सकते थे। यह बहतः सिम्पल चीज थी। पर सामने ड्रामा किया। श्रीर जो भी ग्रापके ग्रागुंमेट्स हैं वह ऐसे बढिया ग्रागुंमेट्स नहीं है जिनसे कि हम को सन्तोष हो जाये। वह हमारे गले उतरे नहीं। खैर, वह स्टेज तो चली गई।

श्रव इस विल के जिंगा जो श्रापने कहा है कि एक बोर्ड बनायेगे भी र उसकी रचना कैसी होगी उसका म्रापने विवरण दिया है। भ्रापने एक टन पर 60 रुपए टैक्स लगाया है। कुल मिलाकर भाषको 48 करोड रुपा मिलेगे। मालवीय कमेटी की जें। मणा थी, उसके पोठे भावना थी कि पेटांलियम प्राडक्टम पर ग्राप से ग लगाये नी उसका एका उन्ट बडा बनता। पेट्रांलियम प्राडक्ट्म बहुन हैं, उस पर ग्राप एक दो पैसा भी लगाते ता उसमे इवेजन की गजारण भी नही थी और वह रूपया तादाद में बहुत वड़ा होता। ज्यादा रूपण की भ्रापको जरूरत भी है भ्रापने बनाया 1700 बरोड रुपया खर्च करने की गुजाइण है क्योंकि धापने कहा है कि गैस निकली है. कुछा खोदा है फिर उसको गैम वे काम मे लाना है या नेल निकाले तो उसको पाइ :-लाइन मे जोडना है और फिर रिफाइन करना है। यह लम्बा प्रोसेस है किसके लिए रुपए की जरुरत है। धगर इस तरीके में धाप लगाने तो ज्यादा रूपया प्रापको मिलता पर ग्रापने एक सिम्पल तरीका ढढा। खैर जो तरीका ग्रापको सुझा वह ग्रापने किया क्योंकि ग्रापने भी देखा कि बहुदी गगा है मैं भी हाथ घो लू। देश में एक हवा वह रही है कि आर्थिक स्थिति खराब है इसलिए चव्हाण साहब भी ग्रा गए, मिश्रा जी भी मा वए और गाप भी मा वए कि हम देश के विकास के लिए बार्य है, समस्या हल करने के लिए भाये है पर मुझे यकीन नही होता, रूपया भाप लेते हैं, शभी भाप कह रहे ये कि बड़ी

मसीवत है, हमारे पास यह नहीं है, वह नहीं है, हम खर्चा नहीं कर पाने है। भ्रापके मन्त्रालय से हमे विशष तौर मे शिकायत है कि फरिलाइजर का जो प्रोडक्शन चौथी योजना में होना चाहिए था उसमे श्राप मिजरव्ली फेल हुए है। श्रापके जो कारखाने शुरु हुए . उनको जिस टाइम पर खत्म होना था वह अभी तक भी बनकर तैयार नहीं हो पाये है वह पाचवी योजना के मिडिल या धन्त तक तैयार होगे। उमलिए धापके मन्त्रालय के प फ मेंन्स से मझे वडा ग्रसतीय है। सदन के और किनने लोगां को असतीय है, मैं कह नही सकता । मै समझता ह श्रापके लिए रुपए की कोई प्राब्लम नही है, ग्रापकी प्राब्लम है ग्रापका काम करने का तरीका, ग्रापका उलको ग्राहिनेशन । भ्रापके सारे तौर तरीके जो है. ग्रापका जो काम होता है उसमे मनी प्राटनम नहीं है बल्कि काम करने का तरीका ही प्राञ्नम है। ग्रभी ग्राप 48 करोड़ रुपा के माय यह शस्त लेकर ग्राये है कि बोर्ड बनायेगे। बोर्ड बनायेगे किन लोगो का? तीन ग्रापके मत्रालय के, दो विन मतालय के, पाच वापौरेशन्स के, एक के लिए ग्राप लेबर पर जोर दे रहे है और फिर एक कोई ग्रीर हो जायगा जिसको भी ग्राप बना देशे । मेरी ममझ मे नहीं ग्राना यह बोर्ड क्या रोशनी करेगा? मझे युवीन नही है आपके वार्ड । 'र यह क्मि नग्ह का बोर्ड है, किस तरह का ढांचा है, किसको चेयरमेन बनायगे, क्या दफ्तर होगा क्या खर्चा छ येगा,यह मारानैरा-फने लिया जो है मैं समझता ह भाप इस पर खर्ची बढ़ा देगे। जो 48 करोड रुपया श्रायेगा वह कसालिइटेड पर में चला जायगा । उसमें कितना ग्रापको मिलगा, बोर्ड क्या योजनाये देगा-वह नक्शा हमारे मामने नही है। इससे आयल की बढोतरी होगी या नहीं, पता नहीं। इतनी कप्प्पनिया कार्पीरेशन भीर इतने एक्सपर्टम होते हए हलाकि पैट्रोलियम का उत्पदन बढ़ा है पहत के मुकाबले में पर ग्राज जितनी जरूरन है उसके मुकाबले में ग्राप भी मानते है वर कुछ भी नहीं है-सिर्फ एक जिहाई है। पेट्रांलियम के

[र्काः नाथुराम सिर्घा]

जो और प्रोडक्टन हैं, जैसे खाद है उसकी ग्राज देश में कमी है। इसमें बोर्ड कोई नयी रोशनी करेगा, यह बात मेरी समझ में नहीं ग्राई बिल ग्राप लाये हैं लेकिन ग्रगर ग्राप 48 करोड़ रुपया ही लेना चाहते थे तो और तरीके से भी लेमकते थे। इस बिल को इतने पेराफर्न-लिया के साथ लाने की क्या जरुरत थी? ग्राप कहते 60 रुपया ज्यादा लगेगा ग्रीर उसके लिए ग्राप एक क्लाज ही लेगाते।

इमलिए यह जो बोर्ड बन रहा है, इसमे किनने टेकिनकल बादमी होगे या नही होगे क्यों 4 यह सारा काम टेक्निकल है पर ग्राप विस्त श्रीर को बिठा देगे । भापने कहा मबी इससे प्रलग रहेंगे, क्यो प्रलग रहें में मबी जी की तो सबसे बडी जिम्मेदारी है। लेकिन ग्राप रहेगे मलग, बन्दक रखेंगे दूसरे के कधे पर भीर उसकी चनायेगा कोई तो यह तरीका ठीक नही है। मेरेखयाल में इम बोर्ड से इम देश की बड़ी मग्स्यावे हल होने नही जारही हैं। भाषक मलालय पर वडी जिम्मे-दारिया है। रुपया होते हुए भी उनको नहीं निभाया गया है - प्राज तक का यह हमारा नजबहि। बार्ड के जिला कै मे इसको निभायेगे इसका मुझे पता नहीं। मैं यह निवेदन करना बाह्नताह कि यह रूपया कमालिडेटेड फट मे जायेगा मागके हाथ में कितना मायेगा यह भी नहीं कह सकते। वह तो देश की जो प्रायटीं ज है उनके साथ जुरेगा। ग्रान्मागेगे ना ग्राप्का भा दस करोड सिन जायेगा। कसालिडेटेड फड मे जाने के बाद वह रुपया विकास मेही लगे इस बात की क्या गारन्टी है ?

खास नौर से उधर बैठने वाले एक माथी ने जो मोशन दिया और उसके साथ में जो अपनी दलील बी मैं कहना चाहना हूं कि उनको एक ही चश्मा स्वारहा है। आपने भी कहा कि रूस वालो ने हमारी बटी मदद की। गैम निकल गई, कृषे बना रहे हैं तो वह बटी अच्छी बात है। रूस बाते हुए रे दोस्त है, आप उनके लिए धामते हैं तो मैं हम भी समझते हैं कि पर दुनिया के एक बढ़े विशास क्षेत्र में जहां लोगी के यास नालेज है जहां धन है जहां लाधन है धपनो सकल से उनका यूज करने की अमता रखते है उसके पीछे अनमेसेसरी डर होना—वह भी भापकी यह काले विश्व में देखने की प्रवृत्ति का होना इस देश के लिए बातक है भीर में उसका भी बहुत बोर विरोध करता हू। इसके लिए मैंने काफी कह दिया और ज्यादा कहने की जरूरत नहीं है।

भाप इस बोर्ड मे मजदूरों का प्रतिनिधि बढाना चाहते है तो मजदूरों से हमारा कोई बैंग्नही है पर जैसा डागा जी ने कहा हमारा तज्जा बहत खराव है। जैसलमेर मे एक क्वा ले । र बैठे कब मे कह रहे है वहा तेल है पड़ोम मे तेल निकला है तो हमारे आयल एक्स लोरेशन की हालत बिल्कुल खराब है। ग्रापके सभी लोग जोधपुरमे पड़े रहते है। तीन चार महीने आपका कोई मजदूर रिग्ज पर नजर नही ब्राया । श्राप उनकी तारीफ कर रहे है हमे भी खशी है आप वोर्ड मे मजदूरों का प्रतिनिधि बैठाकर इस देश का भला करें नो उसपर कोई गनराज नहीं है। पर जैसा शिवनाथ सिह जी ने कहा गावों से एक एक बोतल मिट्टी के तल के लिए रोने है। जनता का कोई ब्रादमी ब्राप बोर्ड मे रखे जिसकी ज्ञान हो भीर वह भापसे मवाल पूछ सके लेकिन ग्रापने तो व्यराकेट्स का अभेला बनाकर खडा कर दिया है जिससे कोई लाभ होने वाला नही है। मेरा मत है कि इसके कम्पो-जीजन को ठीव करने की जब्दत है। ग्राप जिम्मेवारी लीजिए। धगर धार धलग जायेंगे नो वह गैर-जिम्मे दारी की चीज होगी। ग्राप इसके चेयरभैन बने ताकि हम भ्रापसे पूछ सकें कि इस बोर्ड ने रिया काम किया है। में नम्प्रतापूर्व क निवेदन करना चाहता हं कि भावना हमारी भी वही है कि इसका विकास ग्राप करें क्योंकि भाज दुनिया में काइसिस हो

नया है एनकीं का । गंना वह रही है प्राप बीने से मत चुको झाप भी करो। श्रीर ज्यादा करो। पर काम श्राप का सराब है भीर उस को ठींक करने के लिये अब तक ग्राप खद प्रयत्नशील नही होगे सारा मामला पैट्रोलियम मिनिस्टी का विगडा हुआ रहेगा। यह मैं आम तौर से कहना चाहता हं।

*SHRI BIREN DUTTA (Tripura West): Mr. Chairman, Sir, I have carefully listened the speech of hon. Minister wherein he was detailing the objects of this legislative measure. I have also heard carefully the preceding two speeches of the hon. Members belonging to the Congress party. A few days ago the hon Minister had given us to know about the scheme of exploration of the oil resources of the country with our own resources. Unfortunately ideas of the hon. Minister do not find a proper reflection in the present Bill. I would like to say it very clearly that the Soviet-Japan Cooperation is just one thing and keeping view the prevailing economic ituation in the country, it would be altogether a different thing if we invite the multinational companies to our country for the purpose of exploration of the oil resources. It may be that with the assistance of these multinational companies we may be benefited a little but in the long run there is no doubt that our independence and the national interests are bound to be put into jeopardy. In this world today, no one bothers for the weak The hon Members from the Congress party have already stated that the members of the Board which this Bill proposes to set will not care for anyone and there will be no direct parliamentary control on them. In these circumstances we cannot rule out a situation where these multinational companies will develop and exert an all pervading influence and use these members as their own tools and make them work against the interest of the nation. We have already even how these multinational companies have gone to the extent of changing established Governments in the different parts of the country and also how they have succeeded in installing puppet Governments into power which served their own interests. I would therefore, very strongly oppose the views of the hon. Congress Members who were trying to champion the cause of the multinational companies and I would urge that it would not be a step in the right direction and not in national interest. In view of all these, it would be prudent, right at the very outset to be cautious and to move carefully in this matter.

Now, I would like to say a few words about the provisions of this Sir, the hon. Minister had stated that gas has been located in Tripura and that a Central team will he sent there. I had a talk with the Chairman of the ONGC. First he said that he did not get the report about the discovery of gas in Tripura. Of course, there was a lot of bungling in the administrative set up of the ONGC in Tripura, and I must acknowledge with gratitude that the hon. Minister had taken steps to remove the project manager The Chairman had that the gas found at Tripura can be exploited on commercial basis and if it is done then the entire cost of oil exploration can be met out of that earning.

*SHRI D K. BOROOAH So you are supporting the Bill.

*SHRI BIREN DUTTA: Yes. I support the Bill but while doing so I would like to tell him that some provisions should have been made in the Bill which would have helped the backward States of Tripura and

^{*} The original speech was delivered in Bengali.

THE STATE OF THE S

Shri Biren Dutta]

Assam, which are oil rich, to get some positive benefit out of the earnings that is contemplated in this Bill but unfortunately no auch provision is there. I would request the hon. Minister that the difficulties of these backward States, which contribute substantially in catering to the oil needs of the country should be kept in view and suitable provisions for financial allocations for oil exploration etc. may be made in the Rules when they are framed.

While introducing the Bill the hon. Minister has spoken about the need for soliciting workers' cooperation in running the Board and he has categorically stated that at least one workers' representative will be there in the Board and I must congratulate the hon. Minister for this. Though the Bill itself does not provide for this, the hon, Minister will introduce this provision through his own amendment. Now the question is who will be the representative? Which category of workers he will represent? These questions have also been raised by my friends in the Congress benches. The whole controversy and uncertainty could be eliminated if it was made clear in the amendment, the categories of workers who would be eligible to choose their representative to be represented in the Board. But we are keeping it vague. Sir, the hon. Minister has rightly stated that aided by workers' cooperation, the working of the Board would become very easy. But I am sorry to say that the officials of ONGC do not equally share his Even when the recognition is given to an union, the local officials ignore it and create obstacles.

*SHRI D. K. BOROOAH: Which Union you are talking of?

*SHRI BIREN DUTTA: There is only one Union in Tripura. They have been recognised by the ONGC.

at Dehradun but the local authorities at Tripura do not appear to respect the authority and orders of the head office at Dehradun who has not recognised them. I have personal knowledge about the working of this Union. So far there have been any strike and the worker members are all imbibed by feelings of national interest and they sincerely want and they are, in fact, trying their best to push up production. In these circumstances, I do not understand why the local ONGC authorities should try to ignore the recognition which has already been given by the head office of the ONGC. I would request the hon. Minister to kindly look into the matter personally and set right the things and fully enlist the workers cooperation which is so essentially needed now.

Sir, according to an Oil India publication there are many areas in the country which have prospects of oil resources. In many of these places, oil exploration work was taken in hand but unfortunately they were withdrawn just when a little more progress, would have yielded positive results. This was so in West Bengal and in other places too. I would therefore urge upon the hon. Minister that he may please make suitable provisions in the rules so that priority should be given to these areas which have been identified as being a perspective oil bearing area and where preliminary work was undertaken and make suitable financial allocations so that the exploration work which remained unfinished, are undertaken once again, and completed successfully.

With these words, Sir, I would conclude my speech.

SHRI RAJA KULKARNI (Bombay-North-East); Sir, I no doubt welcome the Bill. The step that has

South and the State of the Stat

been taken in creating a fund and having a new machinery in the form of a Board is no doubt a very right step at the right time. The oil industry, in its development, has to fight both at the international level and at the national level. At the international level, for the last 2 years, we were struggling not only on the question of price but also on the question of availability. Fortunately, with the efforts of our Petroleum Ministry and the Minister in particular-to whom I pay my compliments—we have been able to get into Government to Government level agreements Within 18 months, we had

Oil Industry

(Dev.) Bill

three or four international agreements with the Middle East countries and the question of availability is resolved to a large extent Not only here but even in the Middle East countries, we have entered into agreements for offshore exploration. .

MR CHAIRMAN. He may continue on the next day

18 hrs

The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Eleven of the Clock on Tuesday, September 3, 1974/Bhadra (Saka)