71 FE

the Constitution will stand in the way. But let there be a discussion. Some remedy must be found to avoid all filese, at any rate, to create a sense of security in the minds of the traveling public. The hon. Minister ought not feel helpless merely because the State Governments may not be coordinating or co-operating. Let us discuss this matter. The hon. Minister may himself initiate the discussion and indicate what are the difficulties so that the House may give suggestions or it may be in the form of a non-official motion. I have no The objection either way. Minister himself may ask for some time or I will allot time to a nonofficial motion.

Oret Atlawere

Shri P. C. Bose: What about G.R.P.?

Shri Jagiwan Ram: No, it is under State Governments.

As I have said, we welcome the idea of having frequent discussions in the House apart from the budget discussions. As you suggested, in every session some time should be set apart for discussion of the Railways. said I agree to that suggestion and it is for you now to fix the time in every session, whether it is two hours three hours or whatever it may. I said is I welcome the idea.

Mr. Speaker: Next question.

Shri Mohammed Imam: Sir, there is a motion regarding railway accidents pending before the House for a long time and it has been admitted by you. (Interruptions).

Mr. Speaker: I shall fix up a time. Next question.

Pandit D. N. Tiwary: The motion has been accepted by you for discussion. There are also thefts and decoities in railway stations.

Mr. Speaker: I will find out and fix a time as early as possible.

Teles Leconstives

Shri Jaganatha Rao: Shrt N. B. Munisamy: 1366, & Shri Narayanankutty Meaon: Shri Warior: Shri Mohan Swarup:

Will the Minister of Exilways bepleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that Messrs. Telco have finally quoted a price of Rs. 3.93 lakhs per locomotive while the Railway Board have offered only Rs. 3.75 lakhs per locomotive for the period commencing 1st April 1958;
- (b) if so, action proposed taken in the matter?

The Deputy Minister of Railways (Shri Shahnawaz Khan): (a) Yes.

(b) Since the Company have not accepted the offer of Rs. 3-75 lakhs per locomotive, the case has now been referred to arbitration

Shri Jaganatha Rao: May I know who is the arbitrator to whom the case has been referred?

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: Mr. Majumdar, ex-Judge of the Bengal (Calcutta) High Court.

Shri Jaganatha Rao: May I know when he is required to give the award?

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: We expect that he would be taking up the assignment in the very near future. won't take long.

Shri N. R. Munisamy, May I know whether the difference in cost of Rs. 18,000 between the price quoted by the firm and that offered by Government is due entirely to the overhead charges of the firm on each locomotive? What is the charge as compared for each locomotive by Chittaranian?

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: The Chittaranjan locomotive is a broad guage locomotive and the total cost of a: DIT

Chittaranjan produced locomotive is Rs. 4'77 lakhs. But a comparison would not be fair.

Shri Prabhat Kar: What is the term of reference to the arbitrator?

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: The :erm of reference is whether the price of 200 locomotives delivered or to be deliverred by Messrs. Tata Locomotive and Engineering Co., Ltd., to Government during the period 1st April, 1958 to 31st March, 1960 should be Rs. 3,92,861 per locomotive as had been quoted by the Company or Rs. 3,74,994 per Mocomotive as has been offered by the Government as calculated by them.

Shri N. R. Munisamy: What is the imported cost of a similar locomotive from other countries, say Japan?

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: Whe have not imported any metre gauge locomotive since 1955.

Shri N. R. Munisamy: What is the price charged?

Shri Shahnawaz Khan: Since 1955 we have not imported any.

Shri Sinhasan Singh: What is the basis for this difference of charges offered by Government and claimed by the Company?

Mr. Speaker: Should it be investigated here?

Shrt Sinhasan Singh: On what basis have they put the price at that figure?

The Minister of Railways (Shri Jarlivan Ram): The differences are on various items. Because there is a difference between the price offered by the Railway Ministry and the price quoted by the Company and because we could not agree, the matter has been referred to arbitration.

Mr. Speaker: The matter is in the arbitrator's hands.

Shri Bimal Ghoss: Why not give it to the Traiff Commission instead of referring to a Judge as an arbitrator?

Shri Jagiwan Ram: Of course, on the last occasion the matter was referred to the Tariff Commission. this time we thought that since the issue was very limited—the difference between Rs. 875,000 and odd and Rs. 393,000 and odd-it should referred to one-man arbitration that the matter could be settled earlier.

Contributory Health Scheme

*1360. Shri Tangamani: Will the Minister of Health be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Contributory Health Scheme is facing difficulties due to paucity of doctors and other medical
- (b) whether the Government servants as well as doctors have been complaining about it: and
- (c) if so, what steps Government propose to take to remedy the situation?

The Minister of Health (Rhri Karmarkar): (a) to (c). A statement giving the required information is placed on the Table of the Sabha. [See Appendix V. annexure No. 124.]

Shri Tangamani: In the statement I find that there are as many as 4 lakhs of people who are covered by the scheme but there are only 40 medical officers at present. out at one doctor for 10,000 employees. May I know how many more doctors are proposed for this year and how many will be taken in?

Shri Karmarkar: The 40 medical officers including 11 specialists were employed at the commencement of the scheme in 1954, when the number of beneficiaries was 2,23,000. But, at present the number of doctors is 181 including 30 specialists; and 44 additional medical men are proposed to be appointed.

Shri Tangamani: May I know whether the proportion will be kept at one per thousand as in the E.S.I. Scheme?