Compulsory Foodgrains Procurement

*545. Shri S, C. Samanta: Shri M. L. Dwivedi: Shri Subodh Hansda: Shri Bhagwat Jha Asad: Shri P, C. Borooah: Dr. M. M. Das:

Will the Minister of Food, Agriculture, Community Development and Cooperation be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Goverrment have asked the State Goverrments for compulsory procurement of a percentage of producer's crops on the arrival of new crops; and

(b) if so, the details thereof?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Food, Agriculture, Community Development and Cooperation (Shri Govinda Menon): (a) and (b). In the Chief Ministers' Conference held on 18-11-66, it was decided to lay down a minimum programme of procurement for each State. As a part of this programme levy at the producer level was generally accepted, although the States would have flexibility in regard to methods of procurement.

Shri S. C. Samanta: Is it not a fact that in some of the States the compulsory procurement of foodgrains system has been found to be defective in the sense that the grains procured from the peasants at the time of the harvest has to be resold to them later in the year?

Shri Govinda Menon: That is not uniformly so, because procurement is spread over all the months of the year.

Shri S. C. Samanta: May I know whether the voluntary procurement system is prevalent anywhere and, if so, in which States?

shri Govinda Menon: In most of the States there is one system of levy or the other. There are certain States where there is monopoly procurement. But there is no State where it is fully voluntary. Shri Ranga: May I know whether the procurement would be based upon the price that would be ruling in the market so that the peasants need not have to suffer or lose in view of the fact that the procurement price that has been fixed so far has always been much lower than the prevailing market rate, even at the harvest time?

Shri Govinda Menon: I would say that the market price is sometimes higher than the procurement price, but the procurement price has been fixed with due regard to the cost of production and a margin of profit.

Shri Ranga: When was it ever fixed on the basis of the cost of production? Has the Agricultural Prices Commission ever admitted it? Never.

श्री म० ला० द्विश्वेषीं : लैंबी की जितनी योजना सरकार ने पिछले साल बनाई थी उस के अनुसार सभी राज्यों ने अपना-अपना कोटा पूरा नहीं किया और कुछ राज्य ऐसे हैं जहां पर अब भी उतना गल्ला लोगों से नहीं सिल सका जितना सरकार ने बाहा था तो मैं जानना बाहता हूं कि क्या सरकार उस की दाबार का अनुसान नहीं लगा पाई थी या फारमर्स ने डिफाल्ट किया है तो सभी राज्यों में एक सी नीति वर्ती जाय उस की दिशा में सरकार क्या कदम उठाने जा रही है।

Shri Govinda Menon: Each State lays down the target of procurement at the beginning of the year. Later on, some errors may be found in the assessment made, but if you compare finally the quantity which is procured, it is sometimes less than what was laid down in the beginning of the year. Still, last year the procurement has been significantly good.

Shri Subodh Hansda: While the States have their own procurement staff, at the Central level the Food Corporation is going to enter into business in the States. Will these two organisations not duplicate the work

₹801

of procurement of paddy in the States?

Shri Govinda Menon: No. Sir. Where the Food Corporation is carrying on procurement there will be no State staff which will be surplus to requirements.

Dr. M. M. Das: The hon. Minister has just now said that the Food Corporation has been entrusted with the work of collecting the levy. May I know whether there has been a difference of opinion between some State Government, I mean, West Bengal, and the Food Corporation, regarding the amount that is to be paid? Have there been some difficulties recently?

Shri Govinda Menon: The quantity to be surrendered by the producer is determined by the State Government and not by the Food Corporation.

श्री जगदेव सिंह सिद्धान्तं : जब कि किसान को मालगजारी और ग्राबपार्गः तथा ग्रपने ग्रपने परिवार का खर्च चलाने के लिये विवश हो कर मंडी में अनाज को बेचना पड़ता है, तब फिर उन्हीं किसानों से सरकार भ्रनिवार्य रूप से किस भ्राधार पर भीर किस भनपात से भन्न लेना चाहती है। क्या उन की वार्षिक ग्रावश्यकता का ध्यान रक्खा जायेगा, जिस से वह दुखी न हो सकें ?

Shri Govinda Menon: Certainly. Sir. The levy orders and schemes perfected in the States do lay down that there will be some surplus left to the producers taking into account the domestic requirements, the agricultural requirements and other requirent of the farmer. A certain portion

alone is procured.

श्री विभित्ति मिश्रा: क्या सरकार के ध्यान में यह बात ब्राई है कि पिछले साल बिहार में निवी और प्रोक्सीरमेंट का जो काम चलाकः गया उस में उन किसानों से भी वसली की गई जिल के नाम पर जमीन नहीं है, श्रीर जहां पर वह किसानों के नाम पर थी भी

वहां वह विविध भाइयों में बंट गई है या किसानों ने उसे बेच दिया था। ऐसे किसानों के पास लेबी का नोटिस ग्राया ग्रौर उस की वसली के लिये उन को तंग किया गया जिस की वजह से यह लंबी सिस्टम ग्रनपापुलर हो गयी। क्याइस कांफरेंस में इस के ऊपर भी कुछ विचार हम्रा कि इस के लिये कोई फलप्रफ फार्मुला निकाला जाये नाकि लेबी सिस्टम के साथ किसानों की उचित दाम दिया जाये ।

Shri Govinda Menon: Such instances have not come to our notice. Bihar there is a levy of 60 kilograms in certain districts and 40 kilograms in cortain other districts per acre over we acres and millers have to give 124 per cent of production and stocks in rice mills. That is the system prevailing in Bihar. It may be that there might have been individual cases which may have come to the notice of the hon. Member; none has come to our notice.

श्री विभत्ति मिश्रः जिसके पास पांच एकड से ज्यादा जमीन है उसके ऊपर भी 60 किलो लेवी लगाई गई भीर वह जमीन किसान के नाम पर नहीं है तब भी उस की तंग किया गया भीर वसली की गई। मैं जानना चाहता है कि सरकार ने क्या इसके लिये कोई फलप्रफ फार्मला कायम किया है। बह कहते हैं कि यह सिस्टम है।

ब्राध्यक्त महोदय : वह कहते हैं तो मैं क्याकरूं?

श्री विभति मिश्राः उनसे पुछिये कि वह राज्य कैसे चलायेंगे।

थी बडे: पिछले समय में जो लेवी या प्रोक्योरमेंट हुन्ना है उसके सम्बन्ध में क्या शासन की नजर में यह ग्राया है कि प्रोक्योरमेंट का जो बेसिस रहा है वह यह रहा है कि कितमा एरिया बोया गया है। बास्तव में डाउट के बाद बेसिस यह होना चाहिये कि कुल कितना उत्पादन हुम्रा है। इसकी वजह से जिन फिसानों के पास ग्रनाज नहीं था उनसे भी कलेक्टर ने ग्रा कर जबवंस्ती वसूली की । इसीलिये बस्तर ग्रीर मध्य प्रदेश के दूसरे क्षेत्रों में झगड़े हुए । The basis was wrong. It was not to be on the sowing of the land.

Mr. Speaker: First in Hindi and then in English he is repeating the same question.

श्री बड़े: मैं पूछना चाहता हूं कि क्या आप ने स्टेट्स को कोई डाइरेक्शन दिया है कि जिन राज्यों में ड्राउट एड़ा है वहां पर जबर्दस्ती नहीं करनी चाहिये और प्रोक्योरमेंट का बेसिस या सिद्धान्त जमीन नहीं होना चाहिये बल्कि उत्पादन होना चाहिये।

Shri Govinda Menon: The procurement operations are conducted by the State Governments. There may be individual or stray cases of lapses but they have not come to our notice.

Shri Bade: There are so many cases.

Shri Govinda Menon: Where crops have been lost on account of drought, I am certain that the State Government would not have had procurement for those areas.

Mr. Speaker: One thing very important he has said, namely, that the basis that is relied upon is the area that is under cultivation and not the produce that goes to the producer. He says that they do not take into consideration whether actually the cultivator has received so much amount out of which that levy can be taken. He says that they take only the area into consideration.

Shri Govinda Menon: So far as I know, the lands are classified and the procurement is not uniform....(Interruptions).

Shri Hari Vishau Kamath: He is side-tracking the issue. You, Sir, put the question and even then he did not answer it. What is this? Mr. Speaker: Order, order. Shri Tyagi.

श्री जगदेव सिंह सिद्धाःती : पूरा उत्तर तो देना चाहिये ।

श्री हुँयम नन्द शश्रवाय : उनको जानकारी नहीं है ।

ब्रध्यक्ष महोदयः जो पूछा गया उसका उत्तर उन्होंने दिया। श्रगर उनको जानकारी नहीं है तो मैं क्या करूं।

श्री हुकम चन्द कछवाय : वह सवाल को टालना चाहते हैं, यह कहां तक उचित है।

श्री **बड़े**: उनका कहना यह न**हीं है कि** जानकारी नहीं है

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय : भ्रव ग्राप बैठ जाइये ।

श्री हुकम चन्द कञ्जयायः उत्तर तो पूरा करवाइये ।

Shri Tyagi: With a view to facilitating procurement of foodgrains, have the Government advised the State Governments to realise their repayment demands from the cultivators of their loans or other inputs given to them in kind rather than in cash?

The Minister of Food, Agriculture, Community Development and Co-operation (Shri C. Subramaniam): That is one of the schemes which we are working out to link crop with marking. Therefore, when we deliver fer tilisers or seeds or pesticides, to that extent, they deliver grains instead of paying in cash.

Shri P. Venkatasubbaiah: In view of the unprecedented drought and cyclone in some parts of the country, may I know whether the Government proposes to intensity procurement not only in surplus States but also in deficit States by giving the incentive price which the Government has failed to do so far—it has arbitrarily been fixing the prices—and, if that is so, whether there is any integrated programme of not

5805

only procurement of foodgrains from the people but also of providing the necessary inputs?

Shri Govinda Menon: The idea that there should be procurement not only in the surplus States but aslo in the deficit States because it is necessary to have under the control of the Government the largest quantity possible. With respect to price, I have already answered that prices are fixed in consultation with the State Governments and on the advice of the Agricultural Prices Commission. The Government are trying to give to the maximum extent possible the inputs which are required for intensive cultivation.

Shri Ranga: Question.

Shri Nath Pai: Whereas it is possible to agree with the desirability of procurement, it is the mechanical and unimaginative enforcement of it causes all the inconvenience. Taking this into consideration, will the Minister ensure that the directives or the guiding principles with regard to procurement will be such that the cultivator has enough for his own and for the next harvest and may I know whether in the cyclone-affected areas of Madras and the western coast of Maharashtra Konkan, this levy will not be collected this year?

Shri Govinda Menon: The levy is collected from only those producers who have an excess over their domestic and agricultural requirements. It whether it is a does not matter drought-affected area or not because the levy is not from an area but from individuals. The State Governments, the best of our knowledge, are seeing to it that the levy is made only after ample provision is made for the domestic and other requirements of the producers.

Mr. Speaker: Shri Sheo Narain.

Shri Nath Pai: What about the cyclone-affected areas? I want to know what would be the guiding principles in the case of Madras

where crops have been destroyed by the present cyclone and the same has happened in Konkan. What will be the guiding principles for the authorities in an area like this? I did not say, drought-affeced areas but I asked about cyclone-affected areas.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: It is quite the opposite.

Shri Govinda Menon: Where the crops are destroyed, certainly there would be no procurement.

श्री शिव नारायण : ग्राप गल्ला किसान से लेना चाहते हैं प्रोक्योरमेंट के द्वारा या खरीद कर । लेकिन ग्राप देखें कि किस भाव पर श्राप ग्रमरीका से गेहूं खरीदते हैं । जातना एक्सपेंस होता है उसका हिसाब लगा कर ग्रगर किसान से गल्ला लें और जो कीमत बठती है वह उसको दें तो क्या ग्राप नहीं समझते हैं कि गेहूं की पैदाबार हमारे यहां बढ़ सकती है ? लगान जो ग्राप किसान से लेते हैं वह भी गल्ले के रूप में लें तो क्या दिक्कत है ? सरकार इस पालिसी को श्राखर्यार क्यों नहीं करती है ?

म्राच्यक्त महोदय: बड़ी म्रच्छी सजैशन है। श्री शिव नारायण: जवाब म्राना चाहिये, बड़ा क्लीयर सवाल है।

प्रध्यक्ष महोदय : इसीलिए जवाब की जरूरत नहीं है कि बड़ा क्लीयर क्वेश्वन है जिस में जवाब भी शामिल है। उनको चाहिये कि इस पर ध्यान दें। श्रापका सवाल बहुत साफ है।

श्री यहापाल सिंह : सरकार ने यह नारा लगाया था कि लैंड मस्ट गो टू दी टिल्ल । सरकार ने कहा था कि जमीन का मालिक किसान होगा । माज किसान का मोनरिशप कहां रह गया है जबकि उसकी मर्जी के खिलाफ भाप प्रोक्योरमेंट कर रहे हैं ? क्या भाप किसान से प्राशा रखते हैं कि वह पैदाबार करेगा इन हालात में अबकि उससे प्रोक्योरमेंट किया जा रहा है ? वह हिंग ज नहीं कर सकता है । या तो भ्राप भ्रोनरिक्षप के भ्रधिकार को न मानियेगा या किर प्रोक्योर-मेंट करना बन्द की जियेगा ।

ग्रध्यक्ष महोदय : श्री श्रा^र० एस० पाण्डेय ।

श्री राम सहाय पाण्डंय : वे कौन कौन से प्रान्त हैं जहां प्रोक्योरमेंट श्रापका सफल हुआ है ? मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या यह सच नहीं है कि अभी भी कुछ ऐसे किसान हैं, कल्टीवेटर हैं जिन के पास श्रनाज है श्रीर वे उसको मंडी में भी ले जाते हैं लेकिन जो एजेंसी इसको प्रोक्योर करने वाली है या खरीदने वाली है वह उसमें सफल नहीं हो रही है श्रीर किसान को अपना श्रनाज वापिस ले जाना पड़ता है ?

Shri Govinda Menon: There have been such cases also where some producers escape.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Now that the Government is trying to evolve a national food policy, I would like to know what will be the procurement policy in the so-called national food policy.

Shri Govinda Menon: That is what I have stated in the answer. That is to say, there should be a programme of procurement for each State. As far as possible, it should be a levy on the procedure, but there will be some flexibility with respect to the performance of each State.

Shri D. N. Tiwary: May I know whether Government has sent any instruction to the State Government not to send levy notices in those areas where the crop has been considerably damaged and each cultivator has produced less than 25 per cent of the crop. May I also know whether the Government is aware that levy notices are being sent indiscriminately to the cultivators in Saran District of Bihar?

shri Govinda Menon: We are not aware of any case where crops have been destroyed and there have been levy notices issued by any State Government. That is something which has not come to our notice.

Mr. Speaker: Next Question.-546.

Shri Sham Lal Saraf: Question, 549 can also be taken with this.

Mr. Speaker: Yes, if it is possible

Shri Govinda Menon: Yes, I shall answer both of them.

Damage to Foodgrains is. Transit

*546. Shri Shree Narayan Das: Will the Minister of Food, Agriculture, Community Development and Cooperation be pleased to state:

- (a) whether it is a fact that a consignment of nearly 3,000 bags of wheat sent to Madhya Pradesh and of 2,000 bags of mile also sent to Nagpur, were found to be damaged during transit, as commented in the editorial column of the Times of India of the 11th August, 1968;
- (b) if so, the circumstances in which this happened;
- (c) whether those responsible for this have been brought to book;
- (d) whether it is also a fact that storage capacity at all the Indian Ports where imported foodgrains are unloaded, is not sufficient; and
- (e) if so, the steps taken to make up the deficiency?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Food, Agriculture, Community Development and Cooperation (Shri Govinda Menon): (a) A quantity of about 1,128 quintals of wheat and about 72 quintals of mile was damaged by rain in the course of transit to Raipur and Nagpur respectively during July last.

(b) and (c). Owing to shortage of covered wagons for the unprecedented movement by rail of foodgrains