7217

LOK SABHA

Friday, August 26, 1966/Bhadra 4, 1888 (Saka)

The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock,

[MR. DEPUTY-SPEAKER in the Chair]
ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS
Appointment of Indian Personnel in

Foreign Firms

*689. Dr. L. M. Singhvi; Shri D. C. Sharma: Shri P. C. Borooah:

Will the Minister of Industry be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the appointment of Indian personnel in foreign firms has been progressing satisfactorily;
- (b) if so, the nature of the progress made so far; and
- (c) the number of Indians and non-Indians employed in the category of above Rs. 3,000 p.m.?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Industry (Shri Bibudhendra Misra): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) The number of Indians drawing emoluments of Rs. 1,000 and more has more than trebled from 4,862 on the 1st January, 1956 to 16,302 on the 1st January, 1965. On the other hand, the number of non-Indians has declined in this category from 6,566 to 3,014 during the same period.
- (c) As on the 1st January, 1965, 1,567 Indians and 2,057 non-Indians were employed in the category of Rs. 3,000 and more per mensem.

721⁸

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: May I know whether from time to time the Government of India have taken the initiative to intervene in this connection and to secure a progressive Indianisation of personnel in foreign companies?

Shri Bibudhendra Misra: Yes, Sir. Every year, since 1952 onwards, a press note is issued. All the information is collected, of course it is voluntary, there is no rule or regulation as such, they are asked to have more and more Indian personnel. Every year a study is made, and the result of the study is published in the form of a press note.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: May I know whether the Government of India does not share the feeling in the country that Indianisation has not proceeded apace satisfactorily and that something more than these press notes which are issued from year to year is required to be done; if so, what is being done or what has been proposed to be done in this connection?

Shri Bibudhendra Misra: I have figures from 1964 onwards, also showing the number of Indians and foreigners in different pay ranges. Rs. 1,000 and above, Rs. 3,000 and above etc., and on a study of these figures, it will not be correct to say that it is not satisfactory.

The Minister of Industry (Shri D. Sanjivayya): Let me quote the percentage. In 1954 the percentage of Indians was 33, and in 1965 it is 84.4.

Dr. L. M. Singhvi: The point is this is being circumvented by certain foreign concerns and is not being properly complied with.

Shri P. C. Borooah: In spite of changing of hands from foreigners to Indians during the past decade, the percentage of British interest in the tea industry is 65 per cent, and the industry is still under the control of the British. In such circumstances, Indianisation that is taking place in the tea industry is there, but they generally favour having candidates from other States than those from the local States.

This was created dissatisfaction in the minds of the educated unemployed. This is again a policy of divide and rule. May I know whether the Government propose to issue instructions to the tea industry that whenever they are taking in people, the local people from the State should have preference to others from other States.

Shri D. Sanjivayya: That is not possible; we can only ask them to try to do certain things.

Shri Buta Singh: Are the indian personal engaged in corporations and other statutory bodies of the Government of India attracted by the higher salaries and the better service conditions of the foreign companies and, if so is the minister in a position to let us know the number of such persons who had left the service in these bodies and gone to firms in recent years?

Shri D. Sanjivayya: That is absolutely a separate question.

श्री भागवत झा श्राजाद : श्रभी मंत्री महोदय ने कहा है कि उनके पास इन विदेशी फर्मों में काम करने वाले कर्मचारियों की पूरी रिपोर्ट इसलिए नहीं रहती है कि वे किसी भी कानून के अन्तर्गत इन विदेशी फर्मों को पूरी रिपोर्ट देने के लिए मजबूर नहीं कर सकते हैं। ग्रगर यह बात सही है तो आपने कौन से कदम उठाये हैं कि जिससे इस देश में काम करने वाला विदेशां फर्मों को कानून के अन्दर सूचनायें देनी पड़े? Shri D. Sanjivayya: It has already been stated by my colleague that there is no statutory power to check. If it is the desire of the hon. Member to have statutory powers in this regard, probably this question will have to be examined further, but at the moment there is no statutory power.

Dr. Ranen Sen: Is it a fact that after the Government issues the press note indicating the desire of the Government to the foreign firms to employ more and more Indians, these foreign firms are not employing Indian personnel but are employing foreigners in the higher echelons of the services, and, if so, what are the measures that the Government intends to take in order to rectify this disparity?

Shri Bibudhendra Misra: In the category of salary range from Rs. 3,000 to Rs. 5,000, the number of Indians is 1,345, as compared to 1,220 non-Indians. In the Rs. 5,000 category, the number of Indian is 222, as against 837 non-Indians.

Dr. Ranen Sen: The latter part of the question—what are the measures the Government intends to adopt to rectify this disparity, as practised in the foreign firms?

Shri Bibudhendra Misra: There is no disparity. Below and up/o Rs. 1000 it is already Indianised.

Shri Basappa: May I know whether any of the foreign firms have declined to give the list of Indian names?

Shri Bibudhendra Misra: No, Sir.

Shri Hem Barua: The hon. Prime Minister has said that there would be a probe into foreign collaboration to find out whether it has to be extended or not. Since there is no statutory power with the Government, may I know whether Government proposes to lay down a condition that whenever any foreign collaborator offers collaboration, he should give an assurance

to the Government that a high percentage of Indians would be employed and not foreigners.

Shri D. Sanjivayya: That is altogether a separate question.

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: Is the hon. Minister aware that on the one hand the best brains are taken and tempted to join foreign firms and on the other hand they are never given any key posts and when they attain the age of 45, conditions are made so difficult for them that they are made to switch over to some junior departments. If the situation is like that, what action do they propose to take to check this sort of exploitation of Indians by the foreign firms?

Shri D. Sanjivayya: Certain instances of that nature were brought to the notice of the Government and they are being dealt with in the Labour Ministry.

Manufacture of Scooters and Auto-cycles

*690. Shri Bagri:
Shri Madhu Limaye:
Dr. Ram Manohar Lohba:
Shri Maurya:
Shri Kishen Pattnayak:
Shri Ram Sewak Yaday:

Will the Minister of Industry be pleased to refer to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 4700 on the 29th April, 1966 and state:

- (a) whether applications received by Government for the grant of industrial licences for the manufacture of scooters and auto-cycles have since been considered;
- (b) if so, the names of the firms to whom the industrial licences have been granted; and
- (c) the terms and conditions of such licences?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Industry (Shri Bibudhendra

Misra): (a) to (c). A statement is laid on the Table of he House.

Statement

All the applications received upto the end of April 1966 for the manufacture of scooters and autocycles have been considered. It has been decided to reject the schemes which have been found prime facie unsuitable. A final decision about the schemes. which. on preliminary scrutiny, have been judged to be worthy of further consideration will be taken after the targets of demand and capacity for scooters and autocycles by the end of the Fourth Five Year Plan period have been determined.

श्री बागड़ी: उपाध्यक्ष महोदय, पहले तो इस सरकार के जवाब के बारे में मेरी ग्रापित है, ग्रव तक जो भी जबाव होता है वह दोनों भाषाग्रों में दिया जाता है, लेकिन यहां पर जवाब सिर्फ ग्रंग्रेज़ी में ही ग्राया है, हिन्दी भाषा में नहीं ग्राया है।

मंत्री जी ने अपने जवाब में लिखा है कि
ये तमाम दरख्वास्तें जो अप्रैल, 1966 तक
आई थी, उन पर विचार करने के बाद प्राइमाफेसी अनसूटबिल होने की बिना पर नामन्जूर
कर दिया गया है। इन के अनसूटबिल करार
देने की क्या मुख्य वजूहात हैं और क्या मुख्य
वजह यह नहीं है कि किसी व्यक्ति विशष
या कम्पनी विशेष को ज्यादा मुनाका करने
की लट की इजाजत दी जाती है।

Shri Bibudhendra Misra: About 90 to 92 applications were received and they were reviewed by a Screening Committee. Certain criteria laid down, for example, the full details such as the foreign exchange indigenous component, component and all that were to be gone into. The Screening Committee, after a detailed study, considered that some of these offers did not conform to the requirements. So far as the scooter is concerned, apart from the question of expansion in respect of the exist-