1695 Oral Answers AGRAHAYANA 17, 1887 (SAKA) Oral Answers 6199

Mr. Speaker: I have already given her a chance.

Shrimati Lakshmikanthamma: I am not asking a question.

Mr. Speaker: No. Shri P. C. Borooah,

Shri P. C. Borocah: May I know whether Government's attention has been drawn to the deliberate distortion of reports by this section of the press to the effect that there were as many casualties on the Delhi roads during the black-outs as on the fighting front; if so, whether Government have banned the circulation of this particular issue of the paper?

Shri L. M. Mishra: We have seen all these reports. We are unnecessarily giving publicity to what appears in the press.

Shri Indrajit Gupta: May I draw the Minister's attention to a report which appeared in the Calcutta Statesman of 8th October which says that copies of Newsweek, an American Weekly, which arrived in Calcutta by air for sale were detained by the customs officials at Dum Dum becau e the Weekly was alleged to contain an article likely to encourage communal and anti-Indian sentiments? I want to know why this particular issue, which was detained on these grounds by the customs officials, was subsequently released and was allowed to be sold freely and distributed in this country?

Shri L. N. Mishra: I am not aware of this particular question. I require notice for this.

Shri Kapur Singh: Do Government regard every utterance not applauding Government's action and policy as anti-Indian; if not, who is to judge the matter and how?

Shri L. N. Mishra: The people at large, the democracy itself, will judge.

Mr. Speaker: Next question. Shri Yashpal Singh

डा० राम बनोहर लोहिया : एक सवान मुझे कर सेने दें। प्राच्यल महीविय : प्रापका नाम सब से पहले या प्रौर मैंने प्रापको सब से पहले बुलाया या। लेकिन प्राप मौजद नहीं थे।

बा॰ राम मनोहर लोहिया : इतना अफ-सोस है मुझ को कि मैं बयान नहीं कर सकता इं। अगर एक

भ्रम्पक्त महोदय ः घव मैं मजबूर हूं। दूसरे सवाल पर जब मैं चला जाता हूं तो कमी मैंने ऐसा नहीं किया है। मगर भ्राप करायें तो यह सारा डिपारचर हो जाएगा उससे।

Stagnation in Assistants' and Clerks' Grades in the Central Secti.

*717. Shri S. M. Banerjee; Shri Xashpal Singh; Shri Kapur Singh; Shri Warior; Shri Daji; Shri Daji;

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Assistants, Upper Division Clerks and Lower Division Clerks with more than 10 years of service in that grade have not yet been promoted in the Central Secretariat;

(b) if so, whether stagnation in their grades is the maximum; and

(c) the steps taken by Government to remove the hardship experienced by those Assistants and Clerks?

The Deputy Minister in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri L. N. Mishra): (a) to (c). A statement is kaid on the Table of the House.

Statement

The total strength of the grades of Assistant, U.D.C. and L.D.C. in the Secretariat and attached offices is as follows:--

Assistants 5,500 (approx) Upper Division Clerks 3,000 (-do-) Lower Division Clerks 10,000 (-do-)

Appointments to the various grades of the Central Secretariat Services are

6197 Oral Answers

made against the specified number of duty posts sanctioned from time to time. Accordingly, promotions could be made only against vacancies in 'he respective grades as and when they become available. It is true that in each grade there are persons who have put in 10 years or more service and who have not had an opportunity for promotion. But, as stated earlier promotion is not automatic and depends upon the availability of vacancies. According to available information, there are 64 Assistants, 151 Upper Division Clerks and 200 Lower Division Clerks who have reached the maximum of the pay scales of their grades but compared to the total number of duty posts in those grades, it cannot be said that stagnation in these grades is great. However, with a view to improving the prospects of promotion of persons with long years of service, direct recruitment to he grades of Section Officer and Assistant has been temporarily reduced and direct recruitment to the grade of U.D.C. has altogether been abolished.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: Sir, I rise on a point of order. Actually, the question asks whether it is a fact that Assistants, Upper Division Clerks etc. with more than 10 years of service...

Mr. Speaker: I have read it.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: In the statement an effort has been made by Government to say "Those people who are in the maximum grade". There is an attempt to suppress the real information from this House. If you will kindly allow me, I will give you the correct information.

Mr. Speaker: I will allow him an opportunity to ask a supplementary.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: That you will.

भी यदापाल सिंह : क्या यह सहा है कि इस समय कोई चार हजा? के लगभग ऐसे क्लक भीर भरिस्स्टेंट्स है जिन को दस साल से प्रधिक सर्विस करते हुए हो गए है, यदि हो तो उन लोगों के भविष्य के लिए सरकार क्या सोच रही है ? भगर इकोनोमी की बात हो तो नया रिकूटमेंट क्यों हो रहा है स्रीर कौन इनके भविष्य के लिये जिम्मेवार है ?

भी स० ना० सिश्च : मैंने तो ठीक बात कडी । उन लोगों की संख्या 4,000 नहीं है । जो वक्तव्य मैंने दिया है उस में लिखा है लगभग 400। लेकिन प्रयर भीर सब लोगों को जोड लें तो करीब 2400 बन जाते हैं जो कि इस तरह से हैं। लेकिन जहां तक रिकटमेंट को बन्द करने का सवाल है. ग्रगर हम ऐसा करें तो नये ग्रौर नौजवान लोग नहीं ग्रा सकेंगे ग्रीर सैकेटेरियट में पराने भौर बढे लोग ही रह जायेंगे। फिर भी हम ने नियमों में थोडा परि-वर्तन कर दिया है। पहले 75 परसंस्ट रिकट-मेंट बाहर से होता था उस को बाद में 50 पर-सेन्ट किया श्रीर धागे चल कर 25 परसेन्ट किया गया । इस रेशियों से अब 75 परसेन्ट भावमी डिपार्टमेंट से प्रमोशन पायेंगे । लेकिन मेथ रिकटमेंट की गंजाइण जरूर रहनी चाहिये ताकि कुछ तो फेंश ब्लड ग्रासके ।

भी यक्षपाल सिंह : क्या सरकार ने यह ध्याल किया है कि चारों तरफ जो इनएफि-शिएंसी बढी है वह सिर्फ इसलिये है कि काम करने वालों को कोई इन्सेन्टिव नहीं मिल रहा है। मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि इस के लिये कौन जिम्मेदार है।

भी ल० ना० सिक्ष : यह कहना गलत है कि इन्सेस्टिय नहीं है । जेकिन यह बात मही है कि नीचे के तबके के जो कर्मचारी है उन की हालत अच्छी नहीं है । इस की मैं मानना हूं । उस हालत को मुधारने के लिये ही नियमों की रिवाइज किया गया है जैसे कि एल० डी० मी० भ्रीर यु० डी० मी० जो है वह स्टेलेखाफ के कि एग्जामिनेशन के लिये 35 वर्ष की उम्म तक जा सकते हैं जो कि पहले 24 वर्ष था । इम तरह ने हम ने कोशिश की है लेकिन जितना होता चाहिये उतना नहीं हो सका है । किर भी इम प्रयास कर रहे हैं ।

6199 Oral Answers AGRAHAYANA 17, 1887 (SAKA) Oral Answers 6200

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I would like to know whether it is a fact that 2,200 Lower Division Clerks and 800 Upper Division Clerks, who have put in more than ten years of service and are overdue for promotion, are stagnating in those particular grades, and if so, may I know whether the Government contemplate to appoint a Committee to investigate into this, i.e., why there is this stagnation, or whether they have in mind to abolish these grades and merge them into one?

Sari L. N. Mishra: Instead of 2,400 it may be 2,800. I m not sure about it.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: That was my point of order, Sir. I am not requesting him to give the quantity of wheat supplied under P.L. 480. I have asked for the specific number of Assistants, Upper Division Clerks and Lower Division Clerks. Here, even in the statement, it has been stated:

"Assistants 5,500 approximate-

What does he mean by 'approximately'? They do not know even the specific number of Assistants, UDCs and LDCs?

Mr. Speaker: He has given the figure, 2400.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: No, there are 2,200.

Mr. Speaker: He says that he might look into it again.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: That is not the thing.

Mr. Speaker: Now he might put his question. There is no point of order.

Shri S. M. Banerjee: It should be laid on the Table. Information should not be suppressed from this House.

Mr. Speaker: If he writes to me, then certainly I will.....

Shri S. M. Banerjee: I want to know whether there are 2,200 L.D.C.s and 800 U.D.C.s, who have put in more than ten years of service and who have been rotting on the maximum without any further promotion and if so, whether Government have taken any decision to combine these two grades and open further venues of promotion or appoint a Commission to investigate in to it.

10.0

अध्यक्ष महोबयः कमिशन इसमें क्या करेगाः

Shri L. N. Mishra: According to the information avilable here, they are about 2,400....

Mr. Speaker: He is also referring to some publication. That must also be of Government. He may, therefore, check up.

Shri L. N. Mishra: Regarding the question of appointment of a Committee, this has been agitating our mind for sometime past. We are aware of the difficulties and problems of the employees of these classes. We have received a number of representations from their organisations also. I might mention here that there is a proposal under consideration...

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Active consideration?

Shri L. N. Mishra: ... to set up a Committee to look into the grievances and suggest remedial measures. The Committee is proposed to consist of the Establishment Officer to the Government of India and representatives, one each, from the Ministries of Defence, Finance and the Department of Administrative Reforms, The Ministry of Home Affairs is in touch with the respective Ministries and I hope this Committee will be set up soon.

Shri Kapur Singh: Do Government properly appreciate that promotional stagnation is just another name for 'de-incentivisation' which invariably leads to de-efficiency?

Shri L. N. Mishra: On the question of promotion, if there are no vacancies how can we promote them? 5201 Oral Annuers

There are two reasons why they are not getting promotions for some time past; one is raising of the retirement age form 55 to 58; the second is adoption of economy measures. That is the reason why some of the openings have, of course, been closed.

Shri Kapur Singh: He has not answered my question, but he has answered something else.

Mr. Speaker: Shri Kapur Singh has given a process whereby all this leads to inefficiency such as decentivisation and another 'de..', perhaps dehydration or something like that.

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: May I know how long this committee is going to take to give its final verdic? What are the terms of reference of this committee which will decide the fate of these clerks, lower division as well as upper division clerks?

Shri L. N. Mishra: The terms of reference have not been finalised, but we have asked them to complete the report within three months.

Shri Warlor: May I know whether it is a fact that Government have imposed a ban on the promotion of clerks in the Secretariat whereas they are not imposing such ban on the first grade officers, and if so, the reasons therefor?

Shri L. N. Mishra: No ban has been imposed, but the point is that, some new posts are not being filled, and new appointments are not being made far reasons of economy, and this has resulted in the closing of some of the openings.

Shri Kapur Singh: There is a written ban.

Shri A. P. Sharma: Is this stagnation due to inadequate chances of promotion or due to some other reasons? If it is due to inadequate chances of promotion, are Government contemplating to increase the higher grade posts?

Shri L. N. Mishra: No; as I have said we can increase these posts only if there is need. If hon. Members want only to increase the number of posts in the higher grade....

Shri A. P. Sharma: The higher grade posts should be increased on a percentage basis in every Department.

Shri L. N. Mishra:...and if they want that all these 18,000 people should be promoted, then it will be difficult for us.

बी बगदेव सिंह सिद्धाग्तीः भारत सरकार का ऐसा कौन सामंत्रालय है जिसमें प्रसिस्टेंटों प्रौर दोनों प्रकार के क्लकों की पदोन्नति न करने का सब से प्रधिक दुस्प्रप्रभाव पड़ा है ?

भी ल० ना० मिश्राः इस के लिये सूचना चाहिये ।

श्वी काशी राम गुप्त : क्या सरकार को इस बात की जानकारी है कि जिन लोगों की तरक्की नहीं हुई है उन में भ्रधिकतर ऐसे लोग है जिन के छः छः, सात सात बच्चे हो गये हैं श्रीर उनकी भामदनी 20 ६० फी ग्रादमी से भषिक नहीं रही है। यदि ऐसा है तो क्या सरकार उन के लिये कोई विशेष भत्ता देने की बात सोच रही है।

ग्रम्थक महोदय ः क्या मेम्बर साहव का कहना है कि एक तरफ तरक्की नहीं हो रही है तो दूसरी तरफ तरक्की हई है ।

भी काझी राम गुप्त: मेरा कहना यह है कि चुंकि उन की 20 ६० फी घादमी से मधिक घामदनी नहीं है इसलिये उन में इनएफि-णिएंसी घा गई है।

अप्रम्यक्ष महोवयः क्या ग्राप इसको इस से कनेक्ट कर रहे हैं कि जिन की पहने तरक्की हो जुकी है उन के पहले से छः बज्वे हैं? भ्रगमा सवाज ।