1135

LOK SABHA

Wednesday, February 27, 1963|Phalguna 8, 1884 (Saka)

The Lok Sabha met at Eleven of the Clock.

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Rihand Dam

Shrimai Savitri Nigam:

Shri Bishanchander Seth: Shri M. L. Deivedi: Shri Bhakt Darshan: Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: Shri R. G. Dubey: Shri S. M. Banerjee: Shri Shree Narayan Das: \*133. Shri P. Venkata Subbiah: Shri Hem Barua: Shri Vidya Charan Shukla: Shri Mohan Swarup: Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Shri Brij Raj Singh: Shri Raghunath Singh: Shr: Vishram Prasad: Shri Heda: Shri J. B. S. Bist:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs be pleased to state:

Shrimati Jamunadevi:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Central Zonal Council met at Bhopal on the 29th January, 1963 to consider the sharing of water and electricity generated at the Rihand Dam between Uttar Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh: and

2779 (Ai) LSD-1.

(b) if so, what decisions were taken thereat?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Hajarnavis): (a) and (b). The Central Zonal Council met at Bhopal on 29th and 30th January, 1963. The question of sharing of electricity generated at Rihand Dam between Uttar Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh was further discussed at its adjourned meeting held in New Delhi on 19th and 20th Feb ruary, 1963. A Committee, under the Chairmanship of Shri M. R. Sachdeva, Secretary, Ministry of Irrigation and Power, was constituted to examine the matter. The Committee will meet again on the 18th of March with a view to finalising its recommendations.

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: What were the terms of reference of this Committee and what is the date on which this Committee is going to meet next?

Shri Hajarnavis: As regards the latter part of the question, I have already said that they will meet on the 18th of March. The terms of reference are: (a) the quantum of power which should be made available to Madhya Pradesh from Rihand Dam; (b) the price at which power should be made available from Rihand Dam; (c) sharing of power from Matatilla Dam by Madhya Pradesh; and (d) examination of possibilities of extending irrigation from Ranga-War Reservoir and Ken Canal in Madhya Pradesh by Uttar Pradesh Engineers.

Shrimati Savitri Nigam: May I know whether any decision was reached in this meeting? How far is

1136

this news correct that Madhya Pradesh has accepted 25 per cent share not only in electricity but also .....

Mr. Speaker: They are meeting again to finalise it. So, how can he say that now?

श्री म० ला० ढिंबेदी : मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि ग्राभी हाल में क्या उत्तर प्रदेश के मुख्य मंत्री ग्रीर मध्य प्रदेश के मुख्य मंत्री ग्रीर मध्य प्रदेश के मुख्य मंत्री के बीच में एक मीटिंग हुई थी दिल्ली में ? यदि हां, तो उस में क्या क्या बातें तय हुई, ग्रीर कांन सी बात मध्य प्रदेश मांगता है ग्रीर जिस को उत्तर प्रदेश मानने के लिये तैयार नहीं है ? यानी प्वाइंट ग्राफ डिस्प्यूट क्या है ?

श्री हजरनवीस : उन में जो मतभेद वे दे इस बारे में ये कि मध्य प्रदेश को कितनी बिजनी दी जाय और किस भाव से दी जाय ।

श्री म० ला० द्विवेदी : मैं ने पूछा था कि ग्रभी हाल में दिल्ली में जो मीटिंग हुई थी उस में मध्य प्रदेश ने कितनी मांग की है ?

श्रध्यक्ष महोवय: उन्हों ने वतनाया कि कौन कौन सी बात हुई थी। किस ने क्या कहा और किस ने क्या नहीं माना।

श्री म० ला० द्विवेदी : मैं ने पूछा था कि दिल्ली में जो मीटिंग हुई थी . . . . .

म्राच्यक्ष महोदय : यही था कि शेम्रारिंग माफ इलेक्ट्रिसिटी में कितना कितना हिस्सा हो ।

श्री म० ला० द्विवेदी: ग्रभी जो मीटिंग दिल्ली में हुई थी जिस में मुख्य मंत्री मिले थे, मैं ने उस के बारे में पूछा था। उस में क्या हुआ यह मालूम नहीं हुआ।

गृह-कार्य मंत्री (श्री लाल बहादुर शास्त्री:) श्रभी मंत्री महोदय ने जो जवाब दिया है वह श्रभी जो मीटिंग हुई थी उस के ही बारे में है। श्री भक्त दर्शनः श्रीमन्, यह बात कहां तक सत्य है कि दिल्ली में जब दोनों राज्यों के मुख्य मंत्री श्रीर श्रन्य मंत्री मिले थे उस समय उस समिति ने एक सर्वसम्मित रिपोर्ट दी थी, श्रीर यह तय हुग्रा था कि श्रगर वह एक्स्पर्ट कनेटी सदसम्मित से रिपोर्ट देगी तो दोनों राज्यों की सरकारें उस मान लेंगी, लेकिन वाद में मध्य प्रदेश की सरकार ने उस से इनकार कर दिया ?

श्री लाल बहादुर शास्त्री: मेरे खयाल में उत्तर प्रदेश के माननीय सदस्यों को इस मतभेद को ग्रौर बढ़ाने में मदद नहीं करनी चाहिये।

Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad: May I know whether as a result of the last meeting any concrete proposals have been sent to the two State Governments for their consideration?

Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: In fact, the two Chief Ministers met in the Central Zonal Council and they decided that a committee of officers should be set up. That committee met twice or thrice. They have arrived at certain proposals but there is no complete unanimity over the proposals. Therefore, they are going to meet again on the 18th.

Shri R. G. Dubey: May I know whether any sound principles are going to be decided in this matter so that the same can have bearing in other similar disputes also?

Mr. Speaker: Let not other things come in.

Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath: Is there any proposal before Government to take a lesson from this dispute and set up some kind of a permanent disputes with regard to water and power?

Mr. Speaker: That is a different thing altogether.

Shri Tyagi: Why were all these controversial points not settled before the launching of this project? Is it the policy of the Government to settle all contraversial points or disputes in future before any such project is launched?

1139

...Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri: It is not so easy to settle all matters before the project is actually launched. But generally now the Irrigation and Power goes into the Ministry details of every project if other States are concerned, matters relating to supply of water and electricity are fully considered. Yet, as Tyagiji knows, this Rihand Dam project is a very old project and the State has been reorganised since then. When this project was taken into consideration for the first time, there was the Vindhya Pradesh Government Therefore, this difficulty has arisen.

Mr. Speaker: Next question.

श्री विश्वाम प्रसाद : अध्यक्ष महोदय, हम लोगों का नाम प्रश्नों में रहता है, लेकिन हमें बार बार खड़ा होने पर भी समय नहीं मिलता ।

म्राध्यक्त महोदय: मुझे ग्रफसोस है। मेरे दिल में ऐसी बात नहीं है कि ग्राप का नाम देख कर भी मैं ग्राप को समय न दूं।

Mr. Speaker: Next question.

Shri Bhagawat Jha Azad: Question No. 148 may also be taken along with this

श्री भक्त दर्शन : मैं चाहता हूं कि प्रश्न संख्या १४८ को भी इस के साथ ले लिया जाय ।

Mr. Speaker: Can both be taken up together?

Shri Hajarnavis: Yes, Sir.

Chinese Detenues in India

+
\*134. 

Shri D. N. Tiwary:

Shri Bibhuti Michra:

Will the Minister of Home Affairs

be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Chinese detenues who have signified their intention to be repatriated; and
- (b) the arrangement made for their repatriation?

The Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs (Shri Hajarnavis): (a) It is presumed that the reference is to Chinese nationals who are interned under the provisions of the Foreigners Act, 1946:. The number of such persons who have expressed their willingness to return to China is 1443.

(b) Arrangements have not yet been finalised.

## चीनी राष्ट्र**जन**

\*१४८ श्री भक्त दर्भन : श्री भावगवत झा श्राजाद : श्री म० ला० द्विवेदी : श्रीमती सावित्री निगम : श्री दी० चं० शर्मा : श्री रघुनाच सिंह : श्री मरंडी : श्री कृष्णदेव त्रिपाठी :

क्या गृह-कार्ब मंत्री २३ जनवरी, १६६३ के अतारांकित प्रश्न संख्या १०१४ के उत्तर के संबंध में यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

- (क) ग्रब तक कितने चीनी राष्ट्रजन नजरबन्द किये गये ; ग्रौर
- (ख) ऐसे कितने नजरबन्द व्यक्तियों को रिहा कर दिया गया है ?

गृह कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (थीं हजरनवीस) : (क) केन्द्रीय नजरबादी कैम्प, दंबली में १८ फरवरी. १६६३ तक नजरबन्द किये गये चीनी राष्ट्रजनों की संख्या २,१६४ है। इस के अतिरिक्त असम, पश्चिम